

OFFICE OF ZONING AND ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY

-----X
:
:
PETITION OF MICHAEL CHERVENAK : Case No. S-2878
FOR GREENCOURT, LLC :
:
:
-----X

A hearing in the above-entitled matter was held on April 14, 2015, commencing at 9:45 a.m., at the Stella B. Werner Council Office Building, 100 Maryland Avenue, Second Floor RDMHR, Rockville, Maryland 20850 before:

Lynn A. Robeson
Hearing Examiner

A P P E A R A N C E S

On Behalf of the Petitioner:
Soo Lee-Cho, Esq.
Miller, Miller & Canby
200-B Monroe Street
Rockville, Maryland 20850
301-762-5212

Expert Witness - Transportation Planner:
Anne M. (Nancy) Randall
Wells & Associates
8730 Georgia Avenue, Suite 200
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
301-448-1333

Expert Witness - Land Planner:
David Ager
Townscape Design, LLC
6030 Daybreak Circle, Suite A150-109
Clarksville, Maryland 21029
410-531-2621

WITNESSES:

David Ager
Jennifer Vargas
Stephany Yu
Nancy Randall

DIRECT

9
43
48
54

EXHIBITS:

Exhibit No. 29
Exhibit No. 30
Exhibit No. 31
Exhibit No. 32
Exhibit No. 33
Exhibit No. 34
Exhibit No. 35
Exhibit No. 36
Exhibit No. 37

MARKED:

4
10
19
54
66
67
77
89
95

1 as Exhibit 29.
2 (Exhibit No. 29 was marked for
3 identification.)
4 MS. ROBESON: All right. Now, I did have, as you
5 know, this is the time for you to give an opening statement,
6 I did have some concerns about the application, I think I e-
7 mailed some of them to you last week. I can either tell you
8 now what my concerns are and you can address them in
9 testimony, or I can raise them during the course of the
10 hearing. If you have a preference?
11 MS. LEE-CHO: If you would like to restate your
12 concerns, or if there are additional from the e-mails we'll
13 be happy to hear them now and prepare our testimony.
14 MS. ROBESON: Okay. My concerns are how do I
15 track, I tend to agree with Mr. Ager, yes, I do agree with
16 you sometimes, Mr. Ager, I tend to agree with him that the
17 zone doesn't prohibit office. My concern is given the
18 language in the Master Plan how do I track, you know, what
19 uses are in the office versus general office, and how do we
20 track that as an implementation measure, because even though
21 Mr. Ager is again correct that the zoning will remain
22 Industrial, it does remove that inventory from the market
23 for an unforeseeable period of time. So, that is one of my
24 questions.
25 Parking, I see Ms. Randall in the audience, and

P R O C E E D I N G S

1 MS. ROBESON: Calling the case of Greencourt, LLC,
2 BOA Case No. S-2878, OZAH No. 1503, an application for a
3 Special Exception for up to 50 percent of the floor area of
4 development at 12358 Parklawn Drive, Rockville, Maryland to
5 be used for general office. The property is on land in the
6 ILF1 H50 Zone, and is also described as Lot 23, Block F,
7 Washington Rockville Industrial Park.
8 This is a hearing conducted on behalf of the Board
9 of Appeals. My name is Lynn Robeson, I'm the Hearing
10 Examiner, I'll be taking all the testimony and evidence in
11 the case, I will write a report and recommendation which
12 will go to the Board of Appeals. The Board of Appeals does
13 not take any additional evidence, so whatever you have to
14 say please say it here. Will the parties identify
15 themselves for the record?
16 MS. LEE-CHO: Good morning, for the record Soo
17 Lee-Cho with the Law Firm of Miller, Miller and Canby on
18 behalf of the Petitioner Greencourt, LLC.
19 MS. ROBESON: All right. Is there anyone here in
20 opposition to this application? Seeing none, do you have an
21 affidavit of posting to submit?
22 MS. LEE-CHO: I do. I believe the Hearing
23 Examiner has the original, I have a copy.
24 MS. ROBESON: Okay. All right. And that's marked

1 this is a procedural question, why is this coming under, why
2 is the waiver under Section 59 5.4, 59E 5.4, and not 59E
3 4.5, which is the waiver for Special Exceptions? There is a
4 notice requirement under 4.5, so why should I not impose
5 that notice requirement in this case?
6 I also have another question for Ms. Randall, how
7 much capacity, the Staff Report says that this will leave
8 three percent capacity, I think it's the Parklawn Drive,
9 Randolph Road intersection, what does that translate into as
10 far as office industrial use?
11 So, those were my -- and also, you know, I would
12 like a definition, if we're going to enforce this we need
13 some kind of definition I believe of research and
14 development. I did pull some Code citations because even
15 though research and development office is not defined in the
16 2004 Code, research, development, and related activities is
17 defined. So, why shouldn't we use that definition? And
18 again, a lot of this concern is, arises from the
19 recommendations in the Master Plan because I've got to find
20 that this is consistent with the Master Plan. All right.
21 Was that enough for you?
22 MS. LEE-CHO: I think that's enough to get us
23 started.
24 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Now, would you like to make
25 an opening statement?

Page 6

1 MS. LEE-CHO: I would. Just to get us started
2 I'll use the Zoning Vicinity Map to orient you to the site.
3 MS. ROBESON: What exhibit is that?
4 MS. LEE-CHO: This is the Zoning Map, Zoning
5 Vicinity Map, which is Exhibit 11A.
6 MS. ROBESON: Okay. So, you're referring to, for
7 the record you're referring to Exhibit 11A.
8 MS. LEE-CHO: Exhibit 11A, the property is
9 highlighted in yellow at the time the property was still
10 identified on the Zoning Map as three separate parcels, they
11 have since been platted as one. The property is at the time
12 of Special Exception filing was zoned I-4, since October of
13 last year it was rezoned to IL, but at the time, but at the
14 time of filing the Special Exception application it was I-4,
15 and that is the zone under which we are proceeding in this
16 case, not the IL.
17 It's 2.04 acres, and it has frontage both on
18 Parklawn and Wilkins. It is a half mile from the Twinbrook
19 Metro Station, and the Site Plan that was approved for the
20 project was as under the TSDA, the Transit Station
21 Development Area standards of the Twinbrook Sector Plan.
22 There were several waivers done to the Site Plan approval,
23 inclusive of a parking reduction and some setback
24 reductions, as well.
25 We are seeking approval of up to 50 percent of the

Page 7

1 gross floor area of the building, of the main, the principle
2 building, which amounts to 54,347 square feet. The numbers
3 in the record sometimes indicate 54,348, a difference, I
4 know.
5 MS. ROBESON: I noticed that you have one more
6 foot of general office than --
7 MS. LEE-CHO: Well, under the traffic study that
8 was done actually the lower number is general office --
9 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
10 MS. LEE-CHO: -- and the higher number is -- but
11 the Staff Report switched it, and I think even in my, one of
12 my statements I switched it --
13 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
14 MS. LEE-CHO: -- unintentionally, but, so just to
15 clear the record it's 54,347 --
16 MS. ROBESON: Seven.
17 MS. LEE-CHO: -- general.
18 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
19 MS. LEE-CHO: So, as indicated in our statement
20 there is no physical change that would result to the
21 Greencourt project as a result of this Special Exception, we
22 are just talking about the type of uses that are to go into
23 the building. It would just change the mix of R and D and
24 general office. So, that's basically the entire case. I
25 have David Ager here to testify, as well as the owner, sole

Page 8

1 member of Greencourt, LLC, Stephany Yu would like to make a
2 statement, and then Nancy Randall will close us off covering
3 the traffic analysis and parking issues.
4 MS. ROBESON: Okay. I also have one more
5 question, and this would be for Ms. Randall, or maybe the
6 property owner, I noticed that the shuttle service proposed
7 in your statement of operations is peak hour, morning, and
8 evening, the condition recommended by Staff is peak hour,
9 mid-day, and evening, and so I guess my question is which is
10 it? Or which should it be? So, that was another issue I
11 wanted to be addressed.
12 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay.
13 MS. ROBESON: And if it's mid-day where does it
14 go, does it go back to Twinbrook, or to, you know,
15 restaurants or something, and what, you know, what period of
16 time?
17 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. Okay. I think we will have
18 Nancy address that issue when it's her time. I would like
19 to first call, if we're ready to go on --
20 MS. ROBESON: Yes.
21 MS. LEE-CHO: -- with the witnesses? Mr. Ager,
22 who I think will help, hopefully help us eliminate the issue
23 of the R and D general office distinction.
24 MS. ROBESON: I have no doubt. Mr. Ager.
25 MR. AGER: Good morning.

Page 9

1 MS. ROBESON: Please raise your right hand. You
2 don't have to stand.
3 (Witness sworn.)
4 MS. ROBESON: All right. Go ahead, Ms. Cho.
5 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. Mr. Ager, for the record,
6 would you please state your name and address?
7 MR. AGER: My name is Dave Ager, I am Principal at
8 Townscape Design located at 6030 Daybreak Circle, Suite A-
9 150 in Clarksville, Maryland.
10 MS. LEE-CHO: Would you please state your field of
11 expertise?
12 MR. AGER: I'm a landscape architect, certified
13 planner, and also DMD. I have expertise in, I've worked
14 about 33 years primarily in Montgomery County and Frederick
15 County and other areas of Maryland, and I've, with a focus
16 on conceptual design, town planning, urban design, and
17 landscape architecture.
18 MS. LEE-CHO: I have your resume, and I note that
19 in your resume you indicated your experience in land
20 planning, as well as functional areas of expertise in master
21 planning and zoning analysis, is that correct?
22 MR. AGER: That's correct.
23 MS. LEE-CHO: Have you ever previously testified
24 as an expert before?
25 MR. AGER: Yes, I have.

Page 10

1 MS. ROBESON: I can answer that question.
2 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay.
3 MS. ROBESON: The answer is yes.
4 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay.
5 MS. ROBESON: So, what are you seeking to qualify
6 him as? A land planner?
7 MS. LEE-CHO: Land planning, urban design, with a
8 specialty in master planning and zoning analysis.
9 MS. ROBESON: Master planning in the sense of
10 what?
11 MS. LEE-CHO: Well, he has years of experience
12 assisting jurisdictions in --
13 MS. ROBESON: So, he does the master plans? So,
14 that comes within, I'm going to leave it at land planner.
15 MS. LEE-CHO: Land planning.
16 MS. ROBESON: I think that's sufficient because --
17 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay.
18 MS. ROBESON: -- I don't like getting into kind of
19 ill defined expertises, but land planner should be
20 sufficient to get you there.
21 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. I have Mr. Ager's resume to
22 enter into the record.
23 MS. ROBESON: Okay. That'll be Exhibit 30.
24 (Exhibit No. 30 was marked for
25 identification.)

Page 11

1 MS. ROBESON: A very thick resume, which means
2 we're getting older.
3 MR. AGER: Unfortunately.
4 MS. LEE-CHO: So, with that I presume Mr. Ager is
5 accepted as an expert --
6 MS. ROBESON: He is accepted as an expert in land
7 planning.
8 MS. LEE-CHO: -- in land planning? Thank you.
9 Okay. Mr. Ager, could you begin, help us get started by
10 helping us define the applicable neighborhood for this
11 Special Exception?
12 MR. AGER: Certainly. In the Technical Staff
13 Report they identified the neighborhood, if I could refer
14 to --
15 MS. ROBESON: Certainly.
16 MR. AGER: Yes. They refer to the neighborhood --
17 MS. ROBESON: You're referring to 11A, correct?
18 MR. AGER: 11A.
19 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
20 MR. AGER: Generally as Parklawn Drive to the
21 north and to the east, Wilkins Avenue to the south, they
22 didn't say it but they have an exhibit, I think it's
23 Attachment 2 in the Staff Report, that outlines it along the
24 railroad line, and then Twinbrook Parkway to the west. It's
25 attached, yes, it's attachment to the Staff Report.

Page 12

1 MS. ROBESON: Thank you.
2 MR. AGER: It's a graphic example.
3 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
4 MR. AGER: And I have a copy of it if you need it.
5 And I agree with that general representation of the
6 neighborhood.
7 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
8 MR. AGER: As an area. I would like to clarify
9 that when the Staff characterized the neighborhood by use
10 they failed to mention that the properties immediately to
11 the west of the subject site are actually zoned CR 2.0, they
12 made the general comment that the properties adjacent to the
13 site are zoned I-4 in their Technical Staff Report, so I
14 think we should clarify that for the record.
15 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Are you talking across
16 Wilkins Avenue?
17 MR. AGER: I'm sorry. I apologize, yes, to the --
18 MS. ROBESON: To the -- or to the --
19 MR. AGER: -- west of the subject property, across
20 Wilkins Avenue there's a, currently a vacant parking lot.
21 MS. ROBESON: I see that. Yes. Okay.
22 MR. AGER: That parking lot is part of the, a
23 different area, it's not in area nine.
24 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
25 MR. AGER: I'm trying to remember the name of the

Page 13

1 area, in the Master Plan I could look up the reference.
2 MS. ROBESON: That's okay, I --
3 MR. AGER: But it's a higher density area, that
4 property is planned for 145-foot height, 143-foot height,
5 and 2.0 FAR.
6 MS. ROBESON: Does that change your
7 characterization of the neighborhood? How would you
8 characterize the neighborhood?
9 MR. AGER: Well, what it does, it clarifies the
10 neighborhood in the sense that this site, as I mentioned in
11 my report, is not just another I-4 property, generally, it
12 has several characteristics that make it somewhat unique,
13 one is it's within a quarter mile, within a half mile of the
14 Metro, which is an important factor in the Twinbrook Plan;
15 it is very close, within three to 500 feet of two of the
16 major employers, HHS and FDA; and as I mentioned in my
17 report I clarify that it is immediately confronting this
18 particular property, which is of a higher density. And as
19 we get into the discussion on the case one of the purposes
20 of redevelopment of this property that's before you is to
21 provide those sorts of incubator spaces, small uses, small
22 employers, and support services for the larger employers in
23 the area, and so this site is very conducive to that because
24 of its proximity to those users.
25 MS. ROBESON: But those users aren't necessarily

1 research and development users?
2 MR. AGER: That is correct. That is correct. But
3 at the same time the Master Plan, the 2009 Master Plan
4 talked specifically, I can give a page reference, about
5 incubator spaces, and support services in the area. They
6 talked more than just --

7 MS. ROBESON: Okay.

8 MR. AGER: -- light industrial. And so, this is a
9 site in the proximity to those uses, support for those goals
10 and objectives.

11 MS. LEE-CHO: Just to clarify for the record since
12 you mentioned the CR Zone, which is a zone that's in place
13 today, but at the time of the filing of this Special
14 Exception you note the Zoning Map behind you, the property
15 that you reference which is the parking lot --

16 MR. AGER: Yes.

17 MS. LEE-CHO: -- could you just for the record
18 clarify what zone that property --

19 MR. AGER: It's in the, in the, in the previous
20 Zoning Ordinance it was zoned TMX-2.

21 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. So, just moving on, you've
22 already gotten into your land use report but could you
23 restate exactly why you were engaged on this case?

24 MR. AGER: I was asked to offer expert opinion
25 from a land use and planning perspective primarily because

1 the Staff was coming out with a denial of the application
2 based upon primarily Master Plan consistency. The owner did
3 not agree with that analysis, and I researched it and I
4 agree with the owner's position that in fact this is
5 consistent with the Master Plan, I do not agree with the
6 Staff's opinion on that matter.

7 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. And as I would note on page
8 one of the Technical Staff Report it noted that while the
9 application meets most of the technical requirements for
10 general office use, the proposal to allow up to 50 percent
11 floor area for general office does not conform to the goals,
12 objectives, and land use recommendations of the Twinbrook
13 Sector Plan. Just for the record could just clearly state
14 what your conclusion and finding and your professional is in
15 that regard?

16 MR. AGER: Yes. There were many aspects of the
17 review that Technical Staff acknowledged that the plan was
18 consistent with general conditions and other aspects of the
19 Special Exception application. They, obviously they said
20 that they disagree with the Master Plan consistency, and
21 they made reference to several comments and quotes from the
22 Master Plan. I disagree with that analysis, I believe that
23 in fact this case is consistent with and furthers the goals
24 of the Master Plan, I tried to outline it in the report, and
25 we can go through it point by point if you wish. So --

1 MS. ROBESON: I think you -- well, I kind of need
2 to know -- well, that's back to -- go ahead. Why don't
3 you -- I do agree with you that it's not a prohibited use
4 because it's a Special Exception. I am unclear as to I
5 think you had some comments as to why this supports the R
6 and D uses, is that your position that this will support the
7 R and D uses whatever they may be, or --

8 MR. AGER: What I mentioned in the report is that
9 this application and the ability to have general office on
10 this property, limited general office, not 100 percent.

11 MS. ROBESON: Well, it's 50 percent, which, you
12 know, is -- I'm glad it's the three, four, seven and not the
13 three, four, eight. But it's a fair chunk. So, I guess, so
14 is it -- I guess I don't know what you're proposing to do,
15 and does it support the R and D function of the area, or
16 does it not, is it just general office?

17 MR. AGER: No, I think it does support the nature
18 and character of the area, and I think that a combination of
19 research and development uses, light industrial uses,
20 service uses, and general office uses is appropriate at this
21 location, because, again, one of the Master Plan
22 recommendations was, and I'll try to find them, point
23 reference in the Master Plan, but I'm going to paraphrase at
24 this point, that they're looking for not only uses that were
25 incubator startup companies, which is one, potentially be a

1 general office use, that was a recommendation of the plan;
2 they were also looking at support services was a
3 recommendation of the plan, that could be included under a
4 general office category, as well.

5 MS. ROBESON: And what would those types of uses
6 be?

7 MR. AGER: Well, incubator, startup companies
8 could be a variety of types of companies, they could be
9 software companies that are, you know, look like a general
10 office use, but they may have a research component; they
11 might be other types of computer or healthcare related
12 startup companies, you know, small companies that need small
13 space. The other thing about the building which we could
14 get into, as well, which I found interesting, is the nature
15 and design of the building, it's really designed for
16 innovation and collaborations, a lot of open space within
17 the building and those sorts of things, so it's very
18 interesting, it's not your classic center hall cubicle
19 office building, so it's a different character. And the
20 nature of the building is to do just that, is to attract
21 these sorts of high tech companies, and that was another
22 point, I'm glad we've had this discussion because it
23 reminded me there was a reference of attracting high tech
24 companies in the Twinbrook Sector Plan, general office at
25 this location could do that, so that's another furtherance

Page 18

1 of the goal.
2 MS. ROBESON: How would general office do that?
3 MR. AGER: Well, high tech companies could fall
4 under the general use category of general office, they may
5 not have a manufacturing component to them or something like
6 that, so they may, again, be supportive of a goal within the
7 Master Plan, but not really necessarily a light industrial
8 use. So, that would be supportive --
9 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
10 MR. AGER: -- of that recommendation in the plan.
11 MS. LEE-CHO: So, maybe it might help at this
12 point to enter into the record the Planning Board PowerPoint
13 that we presented, a PowerPoint presentation we presented to
14 the Planning Board that had some images of the building,
15 floor plans that might assist in helping you visualize the
16 project.
17 MS. ROBESON: I guess my real concern, I will be
18 happy to take it, maybe we should start with what's an R and
19 D use versus what is the general office use, because I'm
20 really trying to figure out how -- I'm confused.
21 MS. LEE-CHO: I think let me enter this into the
22 record, and then what I think I would like you to do, Mr.
23 Ager, is to talk about the evolving nature of R and D office
24 and how it is looking more like general --
25 MS. ROBESON: Well, you can't tell him --

Page 19

1 MS. LEE-CHO: But we --
2 MS. ROBESON: -- what to, I mean --
3 MS. LEE-CHO: But the evolving nature of R and D
4 office.
5 MS. ROBESON: Well, you --
6 MR. AGER: Ask the question.
7 MS. LEE-CHO: Period.
8 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Okay. I'm going to mark this
9 as Exhibit 31, which is PowerPoint presented to Planning
10 Board.
11 (Exhibit No. 31 was marked for
12 identification.)
13 MS. LEE-CHO: And if you could use any images as
14 you like out of that packet.
15 MS. ROBESON: Are there page numbers on those
16 packets?
17 MR. AGER: No, there are not.
18 MS. LEE-CHO: Unfortunately, there are not. I
19 apologize.
20 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Well, then I'm just going to
21 mark I guess I'll do A, B, C, I hate doing that, but 31A is
22 the title page, and then it just goes B, C. Okay. I have A
23 through L, so it's 31A through L. All right.
24 MS. LEE-CHO: So, Mr. Ager, if you'd like to
25 proceed in helping us better understand the evolving nature

Page 20

1 of R and D office.
2 MS. ROBESON: Well, just tell us about the nature.
3 MR. AGER: Of the building, or the difference
4 between office and R and D space, or the changes in R and D
5 space? Would you like me to just have a general comment
6 about --
7 MS. ROBESON: Just comment on everything.
8 MR. AGER: Okay.
9 MS. ROBESON: And I won't interrupt you
10 MR. AGER: In the Zoning Ordinance there's two
11 definitions within the definition section, one for office
12 general, and one for research, development, and related
13 activities.
14 MS. ROBESON: Now, which, are you in the 2004?
15 MR. AGER: Yes, ma'am.
16 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
17 MR. AGER: Yes. And if I could for the record
18 just read briefly those definitions. Office general is an
19 office for the use of A) professional persons such as
20 doctors, lawyers, accountants, et cetera, or B) general
21 business offices such as insurance companies, trade
22 associations, manufacturing companies, investment concerns,
23 banks and trust companies, real estate companies, et cetera,
24 but not including any kind of retail or wholesale store or
25 warehouse except as otherwise provided herein.

Page 21

1 The second definition, research, development, and
2 related activities, the first sentence reads study, research
3 and experimentation in one or more scientific fields, such
4 as life sciences, biomedical, research, communications,
5 chemistry, computer science, electronics, medicine, and
6 physics. Research and development also, this is the second
7 sentence, research and development also includes the
8 development of prototypes and the marketing of resultant
9 products.
10 So, and then it goes on, there's one other
11 sentence that's not necessarily related here, but it says
12 related activities include manufacturing, mixing,
13 fermentation, treatment, assembly, packaging, and servicing
14 of products. Supporting services such as administrative
15 offices, educational facilities, libraries and data services
16 are other examples of related activities. So, generally the
17 difference between the two -- well, first of all, I think it
18 can be said that there's a gray area between these two
19 definitions, and there's some gray areas between these
20 definitions and the use table, which we could talk about a
21 little bit, as it relates to life sciences in the I-4 Zone.
22 But first of all, the general difference is that there's a
23 research component or experimentation component to the
24 research and development related activities. They could
25 look the same, they could physically have the same space,

Page 26

1 because they want it limited, do you have an opinion as to
2 how to enforce the 50 percent restriction? Who, you know,
3 how would you do that?
4 MR. AGER: Well, it's my understanding that the
5 Department of Permitting Services is the gatekeeper, so to
6 speak, when it comes to use and occupancy permits, and at
7 that point there would have to be a decision on whether it's
8 office, or research and development.
9 MS. ROBESON: As defined in the -- so, you're
10 adopting that, your position is research and development is
11 based on the definition in the 2004 ordinance, correct?
12 MR. AGER: That's correct.
13 MS. ROBESON: Now --
14 MR. AGER: Because that's what we're applying
15 under.
16 MS. ROBESON: Now, who monitors that, though? I
17 mean, DPS is just going to say it's office, or it's R and D,
18 how, you know, say somebody wants to enforce the 50 percent,
19 who's going to tally it?
20 MR. AGER: Well, there would be -- I'm not sure
21 exactly how DPS does it, but I would assume that they have
22 the tally, so to speak, at their office under, you know,
23 under this, at this site, however they file their
24 information there would be a U and O permit of X number of
25 square feet that would have been classified as general

Page 27

1 office, another for research and development and that sort
2 of thing as they go through the process of, you know,
3 getting tenants for the building.
4 MS. ROBESON: Okay. I, what I think I'm going to
5 do is keep the record open, I'd like to send an e-mail to
6 the people at DPS who do both the enforcement of Special
7 Exceptions, and the use and occupancy permit, and get their
8 input as to the best way to keep a running tally so it
9 really does stay as closely as possible to the 50 percent.
10 Okay?
11 MS. LEE-CHO: Fifty, right? Not 15.
12 MS. ROBESON: Yes, 50.
13 MS. LEE-CHO: I keep hearing 15.
14 MS. ROBESON: No, no. I'm 50.
15 MR. AGER: No, no.
16 MS. LEE-CHO: I would note that I do believe there
17 is an annual Special Exception fee filing, I mean, there is
18 a, Special Exceptions are required to pay an annual fee to
19 DPS and they're regulated. So, there may be --
20 MS. ROBESON: Well --
21 MS. LEE-CHO: -- something tied to that, but --
22 MS. ROBESON: Well, there is a fee. The second
23 part is understaffed. Well, the other option is to have DPS
24 file a report with the Board of Appeals, you know, have the
25 Board retain jurisdiction just for the filing of a report,

Page 28

1 an annual report tallying the office versus R and D space.
2 MS. LEE-CHO: I'd like to address one issue that
3 I'm reminded of in this discussion, and that is under the
4 previous Zoning Ordinance regime a property in the I-4 Zone,
5 the only, isn't it correct that, how would a property in the
6 I-4 Zone attain the ability to have general office use?
7 MR. AGER: Well, it's through a Special --
8 MS. LEE-CHO: Only by Special?
9 MR. AGER: Only by Special Exception.
10 MS. LEE-CHO: Only by Special?
11 MR. AGER: Yeah.
12 MS. LEE-CHO: And under the new Zoning Ordinance
13 we have a certain percentage, but --
14 MR. AGER: Yeah.
15 MS. LEE-CHO: -- I guess my question is we've
16 limited here to 50 percent --
17 MS. ROBESON: What's your question?
18 MR. AGER: Yeah. Yeah.
19 MS. LEE-CHO: Are you aware of any limitation as
20 to why we couldn't have asked for 100 percent on this
21 particular site?
22 MR. AGER: Uh-huh.
23 MS. LEE-CHO: Under the previous zoning framework?
24 MR. AGER: Right. No, there's no specific
25 limitation to how much we could have asked for. What's

Page 29

1 interesting now, it's in the record in the written
2 testimony, is that the new IL Zone, which is now the current
3 zone on the property, specifically through the District Map
4 Amendment was changed, the I-4 became the IL, and there was
5 a grant by right of 35 percent general office was in there,
6 so it was a recognition --
7 MS. ROBESON: Right.
8 MR. AGER: -- you know, that --
9 MS. ROBESON: It kind of surprised me that you
10 were coming in for a Special Exception for roughly 16,000
11 square feet.
12 MR. AGER: Well, that's the bottom line in the
13 case.
14 MS. ROBESON: Because that's a lot of money to
15 spend for 16,000 square feet, but that's not an issue here.
16 MR. AGER: That's the desire of the client to do
17 that, in fact, the 16,000 or the 50 percent is actually in
18 our specific situation there's, you know, the Overlay Zone,
19 the --
20 MS. ROBESON: And that's not even counting the
21 1305 Restaurant, because also on this site --
22 MR. AGER: That's separate, yeah.
23 MS. ROBESON: -- there's a 1305 Restaurant --
24 MR. AGER: Right.
25 MS. ROBESON: -- and that's kind of --

1 MR. AGER: Separate from --
 2 MS. ROBESON: -- another non-R and D use.
 3 MR. AGER: That's correct, it was existing, yes,
 4 it was a preexisting use. Right. But, go ahead.
 5 MS. LEE-CHO: But are you aware of why we happened
 6 upon the 50 percent number? Why that was, that is being
 7 proposed here?
 8 MR. AGER: Right. Yeah, the 50 percent, my
 9 understanding is that the 50 percent was the relationship of
 10 the building design, the parking provided, and the kind of
 11 the adequate facilities nature of the transportation, which
 12 Ms. Randall will discuss in the future. I mean, you could
 13 have, I think, you know, you could have said it was 52
 14 percent or, you know, 55 percent, or something like that
 15 from that standpoint of that analysis, but it was decided
 16 that 50 percent would be an appropriate limiting number for
 17 the application.
 18 MS. LEE-CHO: So, I just I guess going back to the
 19 Master Plan limiting language, there's nothing in the Master
 20 Plan per se that dictated the percentage in this particular
 21 application?
 22 MR. AGER: No, the Master Plan generally talked
 23 about limiting general office uses within Area 9, which the
 24 site is within, but it made no specific recommendations
 25 relative to this property, made no specific recommendations

1 as to how much limitation there should or should not be, and
 2 in fact, when you read the Technical Staff Report they
 3 failed to make any analysis or recommendations as to
 4 intents.
 5 MS. ROBESON: Yes, I saw that.
 6 MR. AGER: Yeah. So --
 7 MS. ROBESON: Or I didn't see it.
 8 MR. AGER: You didn't see it, actually. We didn't
 9 see it either. So, there is no limiting factor with respect
 10 to the Zoning Code or the Master Plan except the general
 11 comment that there should be a limitation on general office
 12 within this broader 103-acre area.
 13 MS. LEE-CHO: And in your opinion do you think
 14 that the Sector Plan goal in that regard has been
 15 successful, or unsuccessful?
 16 MR. AGER: Well, I think it's been quite
 17 successful in the sense that in 1992, and again, these
 18 Master Plans are linked with respect to this case, in 1992
 19 there was specific discussion about changing from the I-1
 20 Zone to the I-4 Zone to specifically limit general office
 21 intrusion into this area, with the exception of the
 22 opportunity for an application under a Special Exception,
 23 which is what's before us now. Over that period of time
 24 since that rezoning time frame, today there's been to my
 25 knowledge very limited amount of additional office in that

1 area, so it has been successful.
 2 The other point, I guess, is that in the future
 3 that ability to go beyond what the IL Zone allows by the
 4 District Map Amendment has taken away the ability to do a
 5 Special Exception, so there won't, the Staff made a general
 6 comment about potential erosion of the area --
 7 MS. ROBESON: Yeah, and that's my concern --
 8 MR. AGER: Yeah.
 9 MS. ROBESON: -- and why I'm being so picky about,
 10 you know, the difference between the two and how we're going
 11 to monitor it.
 12 MR. AGER: Yeah.
 13 MS. ROBESON: So, go ahead.
 14 MR. AGER: Well, the opportunity to go beyond
 15 what's allowed in the zone as reorganized under the new Code
 16 is not, there's no Special Exception opportunity, so there
 17 won't be another case before you, we were the last one under
 18 the old Code, we met the filing deadline, so there really
 19 won't be an erosion, and there was this recognition, I
 20 guess, by the County that a certain amount of office is
 21 appropriate in the Industrial Zone because that need that
 22 change across the board, so to speak.
 23 MS. LEE-CHO: And maybe on that point could you
 24 speak to, you know, the new zone does allow for across the
 25 board 35 percent opportunity, but could you speak to --

1 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
 2 MS. LEE-CHO: -- whether --
 3 MS. ROBESON: You can't testify. Do you want to
 4 ask him a question?
 5 MS. LEE-CHO: I do.
 6 MS. ROBESON: And just ask the question.
 7 MS. LEE-CHO: Please speak to your opinion on, as
 8 to whether all properties, can you please speak to your
 9 opinion as to whether one property or another is better
 10 suited for general office in this area?
 11 MS. ROBESON: Good job.
 12 MS. LEE-CHO: Sorry about that.
 13 MR. AGER: If I could refer to the couple of
 14 items. I think I'll refer to 31H, 31I, 31K to answer that
 15 question. These are images from the 2009 Twinbrook Sector
 16 Plan. I think you can actually read the page numbers at the
 17 bottom. So, 31H is actually a reproduction of page 29 of
 18 the Twinbrook Plan, the next one is page 12, et cetera.
 19 What's important to note with respect to this particular
 20 property within the context of the Twinbrook Sector Plan,
 21 Area 90, light industrial area is proximity to, as we
 22 mentioned earlier, Metro, proximity to the larger users,
 23 within walking, I'm referring to 31E now, within walking
 24 distance of the Metro, on 31J, and again, these are all
 25 references from the County's document that we just simply

Page 34

1 placed the image of the site on, the site is located along
2 what's referred to as a bike route, any major pedestrian
3 connection, again, to, with the arrow indicating to the
4 Metro site.
5 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
6 MR. AGER: And then finally on 31K this is an
7 illustration from pages eight and nine of the Twinbrook
8 Sector Plan, and it shows the site, it shows the County's
9 illustrative representation of existing and future
10 development in the area. Items one through five are listed
11 in the Master Plan itself; items six through nine in the
12 table we added for purposes of context for this site. The
13 building, it's a little difficult to see, but the buildings
14 in the light yellow are proposed, and the buildings that are
15 white are existing.
16 MS. ROBESON: I see it.
17 MR. AGER: Okay.
18 MS. ROBESON: I'm glad you clarified that because
19 I didn't know, I didn't --
20 MR. AGER: Yeah, they came up --
21 MS. ROBESON: -- notice the difference.
22 MR. AGER: That actually came up at the, at the
23 Planning Board discussion, item number seven, for example,
24 is the County's representation of potential future
25 development on the parking lot just west of the subject site

Page 35

1 confronting the site on Wilkins Avenue.
2 MS. ROBESON: So, that's yellow, too?
3 MR. AGER: That's yellow, too. Yeah. It's a
4 little --
5 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
6 MR. AGER: -- difficult to see.
7 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Well, that's helpful to know.
8 MR. AGER: Yeah. So, that's the old, that's the
9 parking lot today with the addition of --
10 MS. ROBESON: Right.
11 MR. AGER: -- a future building. What's
12 interesting to note is there's actually a yellow building
13 south of our site, so there was actually, you know, and if
14 you count the floors it's a five-story building. Now, I
15 know it's illustrative, but it's also illustrative in the
16 sense that it shows that the County had some level of intent
17 for redevelopment at this site and around the site, and a
18 five-story building is not necessarily light industrial.
19 MS. ROBESON: What is that building, do you know?
20 MR. AGER: There's an existing building there
21 today, and I want to say it's three stories, and this
22 illustration shows extension of that building vertically and
23 to the south over the existing parking lot.
24 MS. ROBESON: Do you know what use is going to be
25 in there?

Page 36

1 MR. AGER: I don't. And again this is --
2 MS. ROBESON: It's probably in the IL, though.
3 MR. AGER: Yeah, it's in the IL Zone. That's
4 correct, and that's important. So, the point I'm trying to
5 make is that this is again a County document with a general
6 visual graphic representation of some sort of building
7 that's not light industrial, it's, you know, R and D, or
8 it's general office, or something, combination within close
9 proximity to our site.
10 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
11 MR. AGER: So --
12 MS. ROBESON: Now, I am just going to say I see
13 someone, a County person that has walked in, a very esteemed
14 County person. I'm going to let you finish. Do you have
15 anything you wish to say? Can you just say your name for
16 the record at the moment?
17 MS. VARGAS: Hi. I'm Jennifer Vargas, I am a
18 Special Exception Inspector for Department of Permitting
19 Services for Zoning and Site Plan Enforcement. So, I
20 actually will be enforcing and inspecting this case.
21 MR. AGER: Okay.
22 MS. ROBESON: Do you have any -- I don't know if
23 you were -- okay. I know you're on County time, so let me
24 ask you something, have you formed an opinion as to how
25 this, there's been testimony that sometimes it's difficult

Page 37

1 to tell the difference between R and D office and general
2 office, but DPS will track it by occupancy permit, but I
3 guess the question is even, is DPS equipped to -- okay.
4 What I'd like you to do is think about this, are you going
5 to track it, or do you, because the cut off is 50 percent
6 general office, that's one question I have for you, are you
7 going to keep tabs on this? Do you need it as a
8 requirement, as a condition of approval in the Master Plan,
9 and do you need the condition on the Site Plan so everybody
10 is aware of the condition? Not now --
11 MS. VARGAS: Okay.
12 MS. ROBESON: -- because you're not, I haven't
13 sworn you in yet.
14 MS. VARGAS: Okay.
15 MS. ROBESON: And I'm going to let Mr. Ager
16 finish. But those are the questions I have.
17 MS. VARGAS: Okay.
18 MS. ROBESON: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Ager.
19 MR. AGER: I think I summarized the building and
20 the building's location and the importance of that to the
21 case.
22 MS. ROBESON: Are you sure that this is the last
23 one coming through to your knowledge?
24 MR. AGER: To my knowledge we are, we filed on the
25 last date that you could file, and to my knowledge we were

Page 38

1 the last one, but I would ask others to confirm that. It's
2 my understanding.
3 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
4 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. Just looking at your land use
5 report, is there anything you want to say further about
6 second tier users, or do you believe you've covered --
7 MR. AGER: Well, if I haven't covered it --
8 MS. ROBESON: What is a second tier user? I don't
9 understand that.
10 MS. LEE-CHO: Would you like to further explain
11 what a second tier --
12 MR. AGER: Let me make sure I have the reference
13 in --
14 MS. LEE-CHO: I know.
15 MR. AGER: -- front of me so I'm not misspeaking.
16 Is it under market? Yes, secondary tier. Yeah.
17 MS. LEE-CHO: Secondary tier.
18 MR. AGER: In this context those are what I would
19 consider like a startup company, people that aren't
20 necessarily looking for large spaces, they're looking more
21 like for a collaborative space. Small companies rely on
22 other small companies to collaborate and, as referenced in
23 the document, the 31B through D, the floor plans and the
24 building representations show how there is a lot of open
25 space, a lot of opportunity to interact between different

Page 39

1 parties, so it's conducive to those sorts of users. So,
2 from that standpoint that's what I really consider kind of a
3 start up small, small companies, those sorts of users.
4 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. I believe we've addressed the
5 definition of R and D related activities and the general
6 office use. At this point I was going to have Mr. Ager go
7 through just the general conditions and his, you know, for
8 the record, if --
9 MS. ROBESON: That's fine.
10 MS. LEE-CHO: -- you require that and you'd like
11 to have that in the record. So, using the Technical Staff
12 Report as sort of a reference, I'd like you to walk us
13 through the necessary findings.
14 MR. AGER: Sure. On page 11 of the Technical
15 Staff Report, Staff has several references that are out of
16 the 2004 Code as to the standards for evaluation, general
17 conditions for approval, et cetera. The first item is
18 inherent and non-inherent characteristics of the
19 application. And as stated in the Staff Report, the
20 inherent adverse effects are the physical and operational
21 characteristics necessarily associated with particular use
22 regardless of physical size or scale of operations. That's
23 I believe a direct quote from the Code.
24 The non-inherent adverse affects, again, I believe
25 a quote from the Code are physical and operational

Page 40

1 characteristics not necessarily associated with a particular
2 use or adverse affect created by unusual characteristics of
3 the site. And the Staff notes with the exception of, well,
4 the Staff notes that there is a, they use the term minimal
5 change from the site plan approval, and they do not find
6 any, with respect to inherent adverse affects, which is
7 essentially the slight increase in number of employees, but
8 they sense there's no change in the building or the site,
9 they find that there are no non-inherent adverse affects,
10 and I agree --
11 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
12 MR. AGER: -- I agree with their conclusion.
13 MS. ROBESON: Let me do it this way, except for
14 the finding as to Master Plan compliance do you agree with
15 the conclusions and findings of the Technical Staff Report,
16 except as corrected by you at this hearing, the 347 versus
17 the 348 square feet, do you agree with them, or if you want
18 to add something do you want to just go ahead and add what
19 you wish to add?
20 MR. AGER: Uh-huh. I do generally agree with
21 everything, with the exception of their, you know, their
22 findings with respect to the Master Plan. I do want to
23 stipulate that their Staff Report did not include the zoning
24 that was in place under the previous zoning condition for
25 the adjoining property, nor did they make reference to the

Page 41

1 new zoning categories and how that changed things.
2 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
3 MR. AGER: So, generally speaking yes, with
4 respect to these findings I agree with their findings, but
5 there's other supportive evidence that I've added in my
6 report and in testimony that supports our case that would be
7 added here --
8 MS. ROBESON: And that would be the CR zoning
9 and --
10 MR. AGER: The TMX 2 Zone to the CR 2.0 --
11 MS. ROBESON: I understand.
12 MR. AGER: -- with one, the lack of reference to
13 that, the lack of Technical Staff analysis with respect to
14 locational intensity variations within the overall area nine
15 of the Twinbrook Plan.
16 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
17 MR. AGER: Things like that that are in the
18 written testimony, so I just wanted to kind of highlight
19 those, I guess.
20 MS. ROBESON: Okay. All right. Thank you.
21 MR. AGER: Yes.
22 MS. LEE-CHO: So, with that it would take care of
23 all of the --
24 MS. ROBESON: Yes.
25 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. All right. Great.

Page 42

1 MR. AGER: Okay.
2 MS. ROBESON: Mr. Ager, I do appreciate that, Ms.
3 Vargas, I realized I probably put you on the spot. I don't
4 know how familiar with this case you are, so what I'm going
5 to do is send you an e-mail --
6 MS. VARGAS: Okay.
7 MS. ROBESON: -- I'm going to keep the record
8 open, I'm going to send you an e-mail asking the question,
9 and you can get back to me when, do you have a timeframe
10 when you may be able to answer it, or --
11 MS. VARGAS: I will definitely try to get back to
12 you --
13 MS. ROBESON: Now, I have to keep the record open
14 10 days to get the transcript.
15 MS. VARGAS: Okay. Well, I will definitely try to
16 get them to you as soon as, what's tomorrow, Wednesday?
17 Well, before COB Thursday, if not by the end of this week.
18 MS. ROBESON: Well, I'll just say 10 days --
19 MS. VARGAS: Okay.
20 MS. ROBESON: -- and then, you know, you can check
21 with the powers that be and --
22 MS. VARGAS: Okay.
23 MS. ROBESON: -- I'll send you the e-mail and all
24 that kind of stuff.
25 MS. VARGAS: I do want to --

Page 43

1 COURT REPORTER: Could I ask you to go up there?
2 MS. ROBESON: Come up here.
3 MS. VARGAS: Yes.
4 COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
5 MS. ROBESON: If you're going to speak I need to
6 swear you in.
7 MS. VARGAS: Okay.
8 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Please raise your right hand.
9 (Witness sworn.)
10 MS. ROBESON: Ms. Cho, I'm taking her out of order
11 just because I don't know how long she can be here, and can
12 you please state your name and business address for the
13 record, please?
14 MS. VARGAS: My name is Jennifer Vargas, my
15 business address is 255 Rockville Pike, Second Floor,
16 Department of Permitting Services.
17 MS. ROBESON: And what is your occupation?
18 MS. VARGAS: I am the Code Enforcement Inspector
19 for Special Exceptions for this case.
20 MS. ROBESON: Okay. And what would you like to
21 say? Oh, wait, did I swear you in?
22 MS. LEE-CHO: Yes.
23 MS. VARGAS: Yes.
24 MS. ROBESON: Yes.
25 MS. VARGAS: Okay. I would only like to say that

Page 44

1 any conditions that the Board does set forth for this case
2 should also be applied for the site plan.
3 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
4 MS. VARGAS: Should also be on the site plan.
5 MS. ROBESON: Included on the site plan.
6 MS. VARGAS: Yes.
7 MS. ROBESON: That's very helpful. Okay. Ms.
8 Cho, do you have any questions for Ms. Vargas?
9 MS. LEE-CHO: I guess I would like to understand a
10 little bit more about what that that is her opinion. I have
11 a differing opinion, so is this the appropriate time?
12 MS. ROBESON: I know that, I do know that that has
13 been DPS's policy because that's what they use to enforce.
14 But I will let Ms. Vargas, can you explain, Ms. Vargas?
15 MS. VARGAS: Currently we are going through a
16 little bit of internal policy renovation, small word, but
17 like the Hearing Examiner said that this is our policy to
18 have a site plan that is also a Special Exception to have
19 the conditions of the Special Exception within the site plan
20 because they're cohesive, it makes it cohesive.
21 MS. LEE-CHO: Well, that answers my question.
22 MS. VARGAS: And also because more than likely the
23 same conditions that are placed with a Special Exception are
24 going to, are the same for the site plan.
25 MS. LEE-CHO: Well, isn't it true that you have

Page 45

1 cases that are Special Exceptions only that do not have site
2 plan components, how do you enforce those Special Exception
3 cases only?
4 MS. VARGAS: The ones that are only Special
5 Exceptions have conditions on them, then we enforce the
6 conditions.
7 MS. LEE-CHO: Right. So, in this case we have a
8 site plan approval and we have a subsequent Special
9 Exception approval that adds a layer of an additional use --
10 MS. VARGAS: Uh-huh.
11 MS. LEE-CHO: -- what happens if the Special
12 Exception, as, it's a layer, it can be abandoned, correct?
13 MS. VARGAS: Uh-huh.
14 MS. LEE-CHO: So, in, it's a hypothetical, but in
15 that hypothetical what would you then do with the site plan
16 amendment or a site plan?
17 MS. VARGAS: Hypothetically speaking, to answer
18 your question, if the Special Exception were to be abandoned
19 the conditions will no longer apply.
20 MS. LEE-CHO: So, here's my question, why cannot
21 the site plan amendment and the Special Exception approval
22 co-exist without intermingling?
23 MS. VARGAS: I'm not exactly sure. If you can
24 clarify that?
25 MS. LEE-CHO: You have a site plan approval for

Page 46

1 the physical building setback --
2 MS. ROBESON: Let me ask a question, but you can't
3 testify, do you have a witness here to say why this is
4 important to you?
5 MS. LEE-CHO: No, it's a legal opinion. It's
6 just --
7 MS. ROBESON: Well, she's not an attorney --
8 MS. LEE-CHO: Yes.
9 MS. ROBESON: -- so she said that they wanted all
10 the conditions, as I heard she wants all the conditions of,
11 they want all the conditions on one document. So, she can't
12 give you a legal opinion as to why, whether that's legally
13 required or not. If you have factual questions you want to
14 ask her, do you have any other factual questions?
15 MS. LEE-CHO: I guess my only question was the
16 hypothetical, and that it's sort of from DPS's
17 perspective --
18 MS. ROBESON: Well, she's not --
19 MS. LEE-CHO: -- what would they do?
20 MS. ROBESON: -- an, she hasn't been qualified as
21 an expert, so you can ask her, you can't ask her
22 hypotheticals, you can ask her, you can ask her about DPS
23 processes, you can ask her, you know, you can ask her
24 factual questions, but you can't ask hypotheticals because
25 she --

Page 47

1 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay.
2 MS. ROBESON: -- hasn't been qualified as an
3 expert.
4 MS. LEE-CHO: That's fine.
5 MS. ROBESON: If you want to make a legal argument
6 to me as to why this shouldn't be included, why there
7 shouldn't be a condition of approval requiring an amendment
8 then you can do that.
9 MS. LEE-CHO: Now? Because I --
10 MS. ROBESON: No.
11 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. I can submit that separately.
12 MS. ROBESON: Yes.
13 MS. LEE-CHO: I will do that.
14 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Any other questions? Okay.
15 So, what I'm going to do, Ms. Vargas, is unless I hear
16 something different you may be getting an e-mail from me
17 asking for who's going to keep tabs of this 50 percent
18 requirement.
19 MS. VARGAS: No problem.
20 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Thank you for, I don't know
21 if you expected to testify or not, but --
22 MS. VARGAS: No, I wasn't, but it's fine.
23 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Go ahead.
24 MS. VARGAS: Also, I'll provide you with my
25 business cards if you wish.

Page 48

1 MS. LEE-CHO: Terrific. Thank you.
2 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Ms. Cho, go ahead.
3 MS. LEE-CHO: I would just like to call Ms.
4 Stephany Yu just for a brief statement.
5 MS. ROBESON: Ms. Yu, please raise your right
6 hand.
7 (Witness sworn.)
8 MS. ROBESON: All right. Go ahead, Ms. Cho.
9 MS. LEE-CHO: Please state your name and address
10 for the record.
11 MS. YU: Okay. My name is Stephany Yu, you
12 pronounced Yu, Y-U, and 15400 River Road, Germantown,
13 Maryland.
14 MS. LEE-CHO: And please state your position and
15 role at Greencourt, LLC.
16 MS. YU: I am the member, the owner of the
17 Greencourt, LLC.
18 MS. LEE-CHO: And just very briefly for the
19 Hearing Examiner if you could state, you know, what type of
20 work environment were you trying to achieve with Greencourt,
21 the Greencourt project, and why you chose Twinbrook?
22 MS. YU: Okay. Can permit to give myself a little
23 bit, my background in order to clarify that?
24 MS. ROBESON: Very well.
25 MS. YU: Okay. I was born in China, I moved to

Page 49

1 United States 1990, I finished my college and master's
2 degree in Shanghai, and since 1995 I travel back China to
3 start my own business there. And I'm doing real estate
4 development starting 1997. And I'm quite familiar with
5 that, since 1999 till now I have helped China local
6 governments to develop the city plan and mass development.
7 So, a couple of years ago I, my husband was originally from
8 Maryland, so he wants me, and the whole family moved back,
9 so we're looking for the site which can hold as an office
10 for us, so that's reason because my background I started
11 city plan, I started Germantown city plan, Twinbrook city
12 plan, Rockville city plan, and White Flint.
13 So, after sitting there I say, I looked at this
14 city plan, in fact, it feels like, be honest I feel there's
15 some mistakes here, because as a city plan the whole idea
16 behind the city plan is how do I, how do city attract the
17 business moving to have to bring the prospected to the local
18 peoples, create the job, that's the whole idea I think
19 behind the city plan, and you have a, create a better living
20 environment.
21 I think the White Flint plan did a better job
22 because they are put more of idea how do I attract the
23 anchor company, and I create equal system to let the
24 company, the smaller company grow. But in the Twinbrook,
25 the whole idea, I look at that, I feel is basically some big

Page 50

1 developer they just buy some land and then they try to
2 convert that land to other density use, but they haven't
3 really considered say how other small company can use the
4 opportunity as a big government agency moving, what kind of
5 job they can provide, what kind of service they need. In
6 this particular light industrial area the government, all
7 this employee move in, maybe they need auto repair, but
8 maybe once a month, a lot of things like restaurant, like
9 even like daycare center, like exercise, gym, all this
10 service orientate, which is more suited for the local
11 smaller business owner is not really designed there.
12 MS. LEE-CHO: And could you speak to your
13 husband's business, because that might help understand.
14 MS. YU: Yes. Okay. We are, both of us we work
15 in China right now, I have the real estate developer and the
16 investment company; my husband has a healthcare data
17 company, and their history is he feels like he can do
18 something for U.S. healthcare, perform and data
19 transparency. So, in fact that's the reason we chose that
20 place specific because it has in our opinion have such
21 tremendous value there from the Board point of view, we have
22 FDA there, we have all this healthcare, medicals, I don't
23 know really know, just the drug, how do you, what's that, an
24 institution?
25 MR. AGER: Pharmacopia.

Page 51

1 MS. YU: Yes. Yes.
2 MS. LEE-CHO: Pharmacopia.
3 MS. YU: And all over the world people were used
4 that as their benchmark, milestone to set up a policy to do
5 our thing.
6 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
7 MS. YU: So, we set up this place we though it's
8 we want to use that place to attract a lot of international
9 investors and the study to associate with all the talents
10 here to basically explore the idea and the technology to
11 China and to Asia.
12 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
13 MS. YU: So, that's, you mentioned the incubator,
14 so basically, my point is, a conclude is because the
15 original Master Plan doesn't really pay a lot of attention
16 to the small user, to the, create equal system for the
17 business grown there, so that put us in the very kind of
18 hard place. We come in as we want to use that as a data
19 center, but later we find out if we want to attract a
20 company coming in we need to get DPS approval to say whether
21 you can come in or not. For instance, we are trying to
22 attract one of the data companies design basically is a
23 mobile phone application for healthcare, okay. How they
24 define that, it's a data company, or it's just a design
25 company? And then they design is basically we make, how do

Page 52

1 you measure your blood pressure, how do you measure your
2 sugar level, you know, nice way. And another, we have
3 another company is doing for senior living, it's like
4 distance monitoring, and it's design company, but as a
5 category I believe that fits as a general office, but they
6 are, this is a design company most of is stop, they don't
7 have a lot of experience, and they don't have money to hire
8 lawyer to fight with DPS, so that's reason we want give us
9 some other space of office space we can help them --
10 MS. ROBESON: I understand.
11 MS. YU: -- to move in, that's very simple. So,
12 I'm frustrated because in the beginning I think if I go
13 through all this site plan, if a site plan says okay, I'm
14 fine, but now I realize I'm not fine. I needed to get
15 approval from DPS and I don't know how they enforce, because
16 I even don't know how do you put this company in the
17 category of industrial, general office, or something. I
18 just have no idea, so that's my problem.
19 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. Thank you.
20 MS. YU: So, I think we ask for the flexibility we
21 can compete in the market.
22 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
23 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay.
24 MS. ROBESON: Well, we're trying to give you
25 flexibility by giving you 50 percent general, if it's

Page 53

1 approved you would get 50 percent general office, but
2 unfortunately the law says, you know, what uses you can
3 have, and there has to be some way of monitoring it. So, I
4 have faith in your attorney and DPS that we can come to a
5 reasonable way of limiting the 50 percent because we do have
6 to do that. I understand what you're saying, and it may be
7 that more of this is research and development than, you
8 know, you realize. So, but we do have to comply with what
9 you've requested, so there has to be some way, I understand
10 your frustration, but we do have to apply the laws as
11 they're written.
12 MS. LEE-CHO: Thank you, Ms. Yu.
13 MS. YU: Thank you.
14 MS. LEE-CHO: Thanks for your time.
15 MS. ROBESON: Thank you very much, it was very
16 interesting.
17 MS. YU: Thank you.
18 MS. LEE-CHO: Call Ms. Nancy Randall.
19 MS. ROBESON: Okay, Ms. Randall. Please raise
20 your right hand.
21 (Witness sworn.)
22 MS. ROBESON: Now, do you have a resume from --
23 MS. RANDALL: I do.
24 MS. ROBESON: And she has testified numerous times
25 here as an expert, are you a Transportation Planner?

Page 54

1 MS. RANDALL: Yes.
2 MS. ROBESON: Yes, as a Transportation Planner, so
3 I don't see the need, especially as there's no opposition.
4 I don't --
5 MS. LEE-CHO: I appreciate the abbreviated
6 process.
7 MS. ROBESON: So, her resume is going to be
8 Exhibit 32.
9 (Exhibit No. 32 was marked for
10 identification.)
11 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. So, with that, Ms. Randall is
12 accepted as an expert in the field of transportation
13 planning, and traffic engineering. Is that correct?
14 MS. ROBESON: I'm sorry --
15 MS. RANDALL: Transportation planning.
16 MS. ROBESON: -- I have to keep track of all of
17 it. Yes.
18 MS. LEE-CHO: Yes. Okay.
19 MS. ROBESON: She is accepted.
20 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. Ms. Randall, if you could
21 start us off by briefly summarizing the scope of the initial
22 study, the LATR study that was submitted in this case,
23 please?
24 MS. RANDALL: Yes. We prepared for this Special
25 Exception application a LATR study.

Page 55

1 MS. LEE-CHO: And just the study is in two places,
2 it's Exhibit 10, as well as it was resubmitted as Exhibit
3 25B.
4 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Is it different?
5 MS. LEE-CHO: The same.
6 MS. RANDALL: It's the same.
7 MS. LEE-CHO: The same. Okay.
8 MS. LEE-CHO: It's the same. I had forgotten
9 about its previous submission.
10 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Go ahead.
11 MS. RANDALL: Okay. We had the typical scoping
12 meeting with Staff with regards to the study area, study
13 intersections, approved background development. We went
14 through the completed process with them. Just for your
15 information we have the intersection, we're all getting
16 older, Wilkins Avenue and Parklawn Drive, the intersection,
17 and that was to the north --
18 MS. ROBESON: Right.
19 MS. RANDALL: -- which you're familiar, Wilkins
20 Avenue for lack of a better description is kind of horseshoe
21 of a road.
22 MS. ROBESON: Right.
23 MS. RANDALL: So, it connects with Parklawn both
24 north and south on the west side of Parklawn. So, our
25 second intersection was Wilkins Avenue to the south of

Page 56

1 Parklawn, and then our third intersection was Randolph Road
2 and Parklawn.
3 We had a total of nine projects that were in the
4 pipeline, approved developments, including this very site,
5 which was previously approved for R and D space.
6 MS. ROBESON: Oh, it was in the pipeline? I
7 didn't realize.
8 MS. RANDALL: Yes.
9 MS. ROBESON: I didn't see that.
10 MS. RANDALL: It was part of --
11 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
12 MS. RANDALL: It was part of the pipeline that
13 Staff asked us to take a look at, what they were asking for
14 was to take a look at the net increase in volume from the
15 previous use that was on the site. As you're aware there
16 were existing businesses on the property.
17 MS. ROBESON: Right.
18 MS. RANDALL: Then we went through and assigned
19 the background trips to these three study intersections, we
20 went through, did the analysis for existing levels of
21 service, background levels of service, we added then the
22 site trips to the network, and analyzed that to get the
23 total future levels of service.
24 In the analysis I think it's important to point
25 out that both Parklawn Drive, Wilkins Avenue both north and

Page 57

1 south are operating well within the congestion standards,
2 there is a congestion standard of 1,800 CLV.
3 MS. ROBESON: Right.
4 MS. RANDALL: And the highest CLV for either one
5 of those intersections is under the total future conditions
6 is 670. So, we're barely using 35 percent of the total
7 capacity available for those two intersections.
8 Parklawn Drive and Randolph Road, the highest
9 during your total peak hour volume is 1,486; the CLV
10 standard is 1,550 for that intersection. So, under total
11 future conditions there's about four percent of remaining
12 capacity.
13 One more thought, though, a note that I think
14 everyone needs to be aware of is that this intersection is
15 going to be redesigned with the extension of Montrose
16 Parkway East, which is a Capital Project.
17 MS. ROBESON: What -- when -- okay, what status is
18 it in the --
19 MS. RANDALL: The status, it's under design, they
20 purchased right-of-way, and construction is anticipated to
21 start in 2019. The funding is there for --
22 MS. ROBESON: I'm sorry, construction is going to
23 start in 2019?
24 MS. RANDALL: 2019. Yes.
25 MS. ROBESON: Okay.

Page 58

1 MS. RANDALL: Yes. So, this intersection will get
2 completely redesigned, and the volume of traffic that's on
3 that intersection will change dramatically and be reduced,
4 because Montrose East will replace basically Parklawn,
5 excuse me Randolph Road, sorry.
6 And you can see on figure seven they have acquired
7 the right-of-way, it's a green, straight green swath, this
8 is on page 16 of my Traffic Impact Study, which gives you a
9 larger aerial view.
10 MS. ROBESON: Can you wait one moment for me to
11 find that in the record? I can't remember which exhibit it
12 is.
13 MS. LEE-CHO: The traffic study?
14 MS. ROBESON: Yes.
15 MS. LEE-CHO: I have an extra copy if you'd like.
16 MS. ROBESON: Here it is, 25B. Okay. I'm sorry,
17 what page are you on?
18 MS. RANDALL: It's page 16, figure seven,
19 hopefully your copy is in color.
20 MS. ROBESON: It is.
21 MS. RANDALL: Good. There is a green swath that
22 runs just north of Randolph Road, which runs essentially
23 east --
24 MS. ROBESON: Yes.
25 MS. RANDALL: -- west on the page, there's a green

Page 59

1 swath, and that is the Montrose extended, Montrose --
2 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
3 MS. RANDALL: -- East that's going to essentially
4 pull the volume off of Randolph Road and it --
5 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
6 MS. RANDALL: -- becomes, so I just wanted to give
7 you the close proximity and the orientation of that new --
8 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
9 MS. RANDALL: -- roadway. So, we went through and
10 did the standard LATR, all intersections are operating well
11 within the CLV standard; we also provided for the pedestrian
12 and bicycle impact statement, it's also contained within the
13 report. It should be noted that we are, we're on a bus
14 line, as well, there's a major bus route that is, runs along
15 Parklawn that goes directly up to the Metro station, those
16 buses, however, have between a 20-minute and a 30-minute
17 headway, not exactly super speedy, and that was --
18 MS. ROBESON: That's why the -- okay, the shuttle.
19 MS. RANDALL: That's why, you know, in terms of
20 changing, going from the R and D, straight R and D, which
21 some R and D users have odd hours depending upon what the R
22 and D use is, and that a shuttle wouldn't necessarily be as
23 beneficial for an R and D use, but with an office use with
24 typical, more typical hours then you can capture that
25 morning peak hour, that evening peak hour, also supply the

Page 60

1 immediate neighborhood with that additional run to make it
2 so that it's running with, when you combine it with the
3 buses that currently run, there's a stop right by the site,
4 when you combine it with that you've now suddenly got a 15-
5 minute, 10-minute headway depending upon --
6 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
7 MS. RANDALL: -- and that was the purpose behind
8 this to --
9 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
10 MS. RANDALL: -- aid that along. So --
11 MS. LEE-CHO: While we're on that topic, do you
12 have an opinion about Staff's recommendation with regard to
13 adding a mid-day run?
14 MS. RANDALL: I don't know that the mid-day run is
15 necessarily going to, it's certainly not going to change
16 what happens during the peak times. The mid-day time, it's
17 a benefit, certainly, to the building attendees. To make it
18 up to Twinbrook, which as you know is a mixed use
19 development, there will be retail and restaurants that will
20 be up at that facility, I think it makes more sense rather
21 than making it a condition that they must run it for both
22 a.m., mid-day, and p.m. peak hour is to see what the
23 ridership is during the mid-day, economically does it make
24 sense to run it if you've only got one person on that
25 shuttle. And it becomes a really onerous thing, and that's

Page 61

1 my concern, because when do you run it? What would make
2 sense is at lunchtime, and that's typically an hour and a
3 half, or two hour window at most, and then what do you do
4 with that particular employee for that, the rest of the time
5 that it's not running.
6 MS. ROBESON: Right.
7 MS. RANDALL: And whereby you can contract out
8 very easily with the morning and have that run, and then in
9 the afternoon.
10 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
11 MS. RANDALL: So --
12 MS. ROBESON: When you say the peak hour is that
13 the peak hour identified in your traffic study, is that when
14 it --
15 MS. RANDALL: No. I --
16 MS. ROBESON: -- we put a condition on what's the
17 evening and morning peak hour that the shuttle would run?
18 MS. RANDALL: I would recommend that the shuttle
19 run depending upon the hours of operation within the
20 building, of course, but I would recommend just based on
21 what we know today that it run at least a two hour window in
22 the morning and a two hour window in the afternoon because
23 you're going to have different start times, and the whole
24 idea here is to provide that service for the tenants. I
25 don't think that you need to run it for three hours in the

Page 62

1 morning or three hours in the afternoon.
2 MS. ROBESON: Do you suggest, when writing a
3 condition do you suggest picking specific hours, or how
4 would you word --
5 MS. RANDALL: I would not pick specific hours,
6 rather I would say pick a two hour window --
7 MS. ROBESON: In the peak period?
8 MS. RANDALL: -- within the peak period --
9 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
10 MS. RANDALL: -- because that, again, it comes
11 back to the businesses, when do they want to start? When
12 are their employees coming to this place of business? You
13 know, they may have an earlier start time, or they may have
14 a slightly later start time. You know, if you're dealing
15 with companies, as an example if you're dealing with daily
16 companies out in California you're going to have slightly
17 later start times --
18 MS. ROBESON: Right.
19 MS. RANDALL: -- so you can have a communication.
20 MS. ROBESON: Right.
21 MS. RANDALL: So, it's --
22 MS. ROBESON: Or as Ms. Yu, is it Yu?
23 MS. YU: Yes.
24 MS. ROBESON: If you're dealing with international
25 companies.

Page 63

1 MS. RANDALL: Exactly.
2 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
3 MS. RANDALL: So, I think it's important to have
4 some flexibility in there rather than saying from 6:15 to
5 8:15.
6 MS. ROBESON: Okay. All right.
7 MS. LEE-CHO: Did we answer where the shuttle
8 goes? Could you expand upon that issue, please?
9 MS. RANDALL: The intent was to get them to the
10 Metro station, to Twinbrook Metro station. That way you get
11 the quickest headway, and you serve the most, plus it
12 supports what's going on in Twinbrook, and their intent of
13 building up some of the commercial support services that are
14 up by the Metro station, but it also has an opportunity to
15 provide some of the services for some of the employers that
16 are along this corridor, as well.
17 MS. ROBESON: Well, that was my question, is this
18 shuttle going to be open to everyone?
19 MS. RANDALL: I think that this has to be a
20 business decision, I don't think --
21 MS. ROBESON: Okay. So, that's just --
22 MS. RANDALL: -- that it needs --
23 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
24 MS. RANDALL: -- needs to be.
25 MS. ROBESON: All right.

Page 64

1 MS. RANDALL: All right? And I think it's a
2 matter of --
3 MS. ROBESON: Not at this stage anyway.
4 MS. RANDALL: Yes. Yes.
5 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
6 MS. RANDALL: I think that's a business decision.
7 MS. ROBESON: All right.
8 MS. RANDALL: You know, if they do then that helps
9 potentially subsidize the shuttle.
10 MS. ROBESON: The fares, yes.
11 MS. RANDALL: Yes. Yes. Exactly. In addition to
12 the standard LATR, the pedestrian, bicycle, we also looked
13 at the Transportation Policy Review, they are required to
14 make a payment which is equal to 25 percent of the impact
15 tax for the project, as they were before, but this time with
16 the changing use --
17 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
18 MS. RANDALL: -- which I believe right now both R
19 and D and office are calculated the same, if memory serves.
20 MS. ROBESON: Okay. All right. And I had one
21 other question but it just --
22 MS. LEE-CHO: I do have a --
23 MS. ROBESON: Follow up?
24 MS. LEE-CHO: -- question. Yes.
25 MS. ROBESON: Sure. Go ahead.

Page 65

1 MS. LEE-CHO: The original LATR that was done, can
2 you specify the square footage split that was studied,
3 please?
4 MS. RANDALL: Yes. In the original traffic impact
5 study that we did at one time we were looking at more of a
6 45/55 split, 55 percent office, 45 percent R and D. In
7 going through that process, and working with Staff, and
8 adjustments to the parking calculations we came back and
9 asked for the 50/50 split. I provided to Ed Axler a revised
10 trip generation table, when you go from 55 percent office
11 down to 50 percent office we actually were generating fewer
12 trips --
13 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
14 MS. RANDALL: -- that what was shown in this
15 traffic impact study.
16 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Is that in the record, that,
17 is that what Ed --
18 MS. LEE-CHO: I have the updated --
19 MS. ROBESON: -- used?
20 MS. LEE-CHO: -- trip generation table, Table 3
21 which is not in the record yet, at least I haven't submitted
22 it in the record, that I'd like to submit now.
23 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
24 MS. RANDALL: Yes.
25 MS. ROBESON: Let's do that.

Page 66

1 MS. LEE-CHO: And in addition we have
2 correspondence, copy of an e-mail from Mr. Ed Axler to Ms.
3 Randall confirming his acceptance of this additional --
4 MS. ROBESON: Okay. I will take that. He did, I
5 don't know if you caught up with your e-mails but he did
6 send me the confirmation that the County had reviewed it.
7 Okay. Let me mark these, 33 is the revised, tell me what 33
8 is.
9 MS. LEE-CHO: It's the revised trip generation
10 Table 3.
11 MS. ROBESON: And that's based on the traffic
12 split, a revised --
13 MS. LEE-CHO: The reduced general office 50/50
14 split.
15 MS. ROBESON: Okay. So, that's 33.
16 (Exhibit No. 33 was marked for
17 identification.)
18 MS. ROBESON: And 34 is an e-mail -- go ahead.
19 MS. LEE-CHO: E-mail from Ed Axler to Ms.
20 Randall --
21 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
22 MS. LEE-CHO: -- confirming her conclusion.
23 MS. ROBESON: Well, I'm just going to put dated --
24 MS. LEE-CHO: Yes.
25 MS. ROBESON: -- December 29th --

Page 67

1 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay.
2 MS. ROBESON: -- 2014 for the exhibit list. And
3 then you can tell me what it is.
4 (Exhibit No. 34 was marked for
5 identification.)
6 MS. LEE-CHO: Ms. Randall, could you --
7 MS. RANDALL: Yes.
8 MS. LEE-CHO: -- explain the e-mail?
9 MS. RANDALL: With the change in the split of
10 office to R and D, Ed and I spoke on the phone, then he sent
11 me an e-mail so that I could respond to it so we had a
12 record. He requested that I take a look at the change in
13 trip generation between the 55/45 split versus the 50/50
14 split.
15 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
16 MS. RANDALL: And I sent him the previous table of
17 the 55 percent office/45 percent R and D --
18 MS. ROBESON: Yes.
19 MS. RANDALL: -- to the 50/50 split, and he
20 conferred, or concurred that the 50/50 split would generate
21 less traffic than what was contained in my LATR report, and
22 therefore there was no impact, there was no need to revise
23 my traffic impact study.
24 MS. ROBESON: All right.
25 MS. RANDALL: It was, my traffic impact study was

Page 68

1 overstating --
2 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
3 MS. RANDALL: -- the issue in terms of our impact
4 on the road system. And going back to that issue of impact,
5 the three percent that you mentioned --
6 MS. ROBESON: Yes. Yes, yes.
7 MS. RANDALL: -- in the Staff Report I do take
8 exception with the way they calculated it. It is, based on
9 the report we are at --
10 MS. ROBESON: Your report.
11 MS. RANDALL: Right.
12 MS. ROBESON: The traffic study.
13 MS. RANDALL: The traffic impact --
14 MS. ROBESON: Yes.
15 MS. RANDALL: -- study. Now, keep in mind that
16 with this trip generation that number's going to come down a
17 little bit.
18 MS. ROBESON: Right.
19 MS. RANDALL: Okay? But it is the percentage of
20 remaining capacity to the, to the upper limit, so in the
21 intersection of Parklawn and Randolph Road, forget that
22 there's a Capital Project --
23 MS. ROBESON: Right.
24 MS. RANDALL: -- at the intersection of Randolph
25 Road and Parklawn the limit is 1,550, and the CLV under this

Page 69

1 analysis, the highest one, is 1,486. Now, that includes
2 everything, that includes the prior approval --
3 MS. ROBESON: Right.
4 MS. RANDALL: -- and this project, because that
5 prior approval was part of our background development, okay?
6 MS. ROBESON: You double-counted them?
7 MS. RANDALL: No, we didn't double count, but we
8 looked at it at two separate times, but the point being that
9 we looked at the increase over and above what was previously
10 there --
11 MS. ROBESON: I see.
12 MS. RANDALL: -- so in their calculation, however,
13 when you look at the total 1,486 divided by 1,550 we're at
14 almost five percent.
15 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
16 MS. RANDALL: Okay? And what they did was then
17 try to subtract out the background, well, they shouldn't
18 have done that, they should have added that.
19 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
20 MS. RANDALL: Okay? So, they were making it look
21 worse than it is --
22 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
23 MS. RANDALL: -- in terms of our impact.
24 MS. ROBESON: Okay. That's --
25 MS. RANDALL: All right?

Page 70

1 MS. ROBESON: -- helpful. Thank you.
2 MS. RANDALL: Yes. Yes. And I wasn't going to
3 quibble about .3 percent --
4 MS. ROBESON: Right.
5 MS. RANDALL: -- but --
6 MS. ROBESON: Right. Okay. Well, that's helpful
7 since --
8 MS. RANDALL: Yes.
9 MS. ROBESON: -- I raised it. Thank you.
10 MS. RANDALL: You're welcome. You're welcome. In
11 addition to Staff conferring with our, this is at Maryland
12 National Capital Park and Planning Staff --
13 MS. ROBESON: Staff.
14 MS. RANDALL: -- the State Highway Administration,
15 as well as Montgomery County Department of Transportation
16 reviewed our traffic impact studies and the parking analysis
17 and provided that their letters, I believe are in the file
18 and in the record.
19 MS. ROBESON: Yes.
20 MS. RANDALL: And they concurred with our
21 findings.
22 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
23 MS. LEE-CHO: Just going back to the CLV capacity
24 issue, does that answer the Hearing Examiner's question as
25 to what does it translate in terms of office industrial use,

Page 71

1 does that --
2 MS. RANDALL: I think it was, it goes to what the
3 Staff was saying during the Planning Board hearing where
4 they wanted, one of the criteria for office use is that the
5 office use can't take up all of the capacity --
6 MS. ROBESON: Right.
7 MS. RANDALL: -- of the area, and, you know, they
8 were looking at three percent as being --
9 MS. ROBESON: Right.
10 MS. RANDALL: -- that, pushing that limit.
11 MS. ROBESON: Right, right.
12 MS. RANDALL: And by the way they calculated it
13 looked like we were coming up against that three percent,
14 but --
15 MS. ROBESON: Right.
16 MS. RANDALL: -- they miscalculated it, and it
17 should have been --
18 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
19 MS. RANDALL: -- backed away from it.
20 MS. ROBESON: That's helpful.
21 MS. RANDALL: So, not to mention the fact that it
22 was dealing with a slightly higher number.
23 MS. ROBESON: Right.
24 MS. RANDALL: Yes. And there's a Capital Project,
25 and it applies to all of the intersections, and all the

Page 72

1 other intersections have more than sufficient --
2 MS. ROBESON: Yes. I think the --
3 MS. RANDALL: -- capacity.
4 MS. ROBESON: -- concern was the Special Exception
5 standard limits you to something scheduled for completion
6 within three years, I think.
7 MS. RANDALL: Right. Right.
8 MS. ROBESON: So, we're dealing both with the four
9 percent, and --
10 MS. RANDALL: Yes, three percent.
11 MS. ROBESON: -- three, three percent.
12 MS. RANDALL: Yes, three percent --
13 MS. ROBESON: Yes.
14 MS. RANDALL: -- is the limit, we're at four point
15 something percent.
16 MS. ROBESON: Yes. Okay.
17 MS. RANDALL: Okay? So, there is excess capacity
18 beyond what the limit is from the --
19 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
20 MS. RANDALL: -- Staff's perspective.
21 MS. ROBESON: All right. That's very helpful.
22 MS. RANDALL: Okay.
23 MS. LEE-CHO: All right. Could you also address
24 the comment in the Staff Report regarding the traffic
25 management agreement that was executed under the site plan

Page 73

1 approval and whether that needs to be amended?
2 MS. RANDALL: Yes. The traffic TAMG agreement was
3 done for the original site plan, we're limited by that site
4 plan in terms of its square footage, and it's not, it
5 doesn't, it is not dependent upon the user within that
6 building as to the types of things that you would do within
7 a TMAG. A TMAG is the standard kind of thing. What we're
8 accepting and have asked for for this Special Exception
9 outside of the TMAG is the shuttle, which is that extra step
10 that wouldn't be required by a TMAG. Now, if this Special
11 Exception lapses, if you incorporate that shuttle into that
12 you've changed the dynamic of this whole TMAG, it makes no
13 sense because the users then in that particular building
14 that shuttle may not work for them. It's the office use,
15 that more 9:00 to 5:00 --
16 MS. ROBESON: So, you want, you want this limited
17 to the term of, the shuttle limited to the term of the
18 Special Exception?
19 MS. RANDALL: Exactly. Exactly. It is the
20 Special Exception --
21 MS. ROBESON: So, any condition relating to the
22 shuttle would operate independent of the TMAG.
23 MS. LEE-CHO: And would there be --
24 MS. RANDALL: In conjunction, I don't like to say
25 it, yes, it is independent.

Page 74

1 MS. ROBESON: No, I understand.
2 MS. RANDALL: But it is in addition to all the
3 standard things that we have to do within a TMAG, the bike
4 share, the, you know, the various spaces, the giving the
5 TMAG management team access to the employees to get them to
6 sign up for Metro, all of those things will, won't be any
7 different whether it's an office employee or an R and D
8 employee, those things remain constant.
9 MS. ROBESON: All right.
10 MS. RANDALL: And it's this office --
11 MS. ROBESON: Just out of curiosity, do the TMAGs
12 now set a goal, or of a certain percentage of shared trips?
13 MS. RANDALL: No, and we took no reduction in trip
14 generation because of being within, unlike CR Zone --
15 MS. ROBESON: Right.
16 MS. RANDALL: -- where --
17 MS. ROBESON: That was my question.
18 MS. RANDALL: Yes. Unlike CR Zone, which we are
19 within that same half-mile radius, unlike the CR Zone where
20 you take a 50 percent --
21 MS. ROBESON: Right.
22 MS. RANDALL: -- reduction, or a 37 percent
23 reduction in the case of White Flint for that first phase,
24 but after a period of time you're supposed to meet that 50
25 percent, no, we took no reduction --

Page 75

1 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
2 MS. RANDALL: -- transit reduction for this
3 property, nor did the prior application take any reduction
4 of trips due to --
5 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
6 MS. RANDALL: -- proximity of Metro.
7 MS. LEE-CHO: So, the Staff Report on page seven
8 at the bottom indicates the TMAG must be updated to reflect
9 the proposed change in land use, what is your opinion, do
10 you agree with that statement, or disagree?
11 MS. RANDALL: From a transportation point of view
12 I see no need to do that, it's unnecessary.
13 MS. ROBESON: I'm sorry, what page of the Staff
14 Report are you on?
15 MS. LEE-CHO: The bottom of page seven. The last
16 phrase in that paragraph, or in that last sentence.
17 MS. ROBESON: So, you don't -- it's hard to know
18 whether that needs to be, it's not in the record, the TMA,
19 the TMAG, so you're saying --
20 MS. LEE-CHO: There is nothing in the TMAG that
21 would apply differently to the office and R and D use that
22 we're asking for, than what is already in the TMAG. There's
23 nothing different --
24 MS. ROBESON: So, the TMAG is just you have to do
25 this to encourage ride share, you have to subsidize Metro

Page 76

1 fares.
2 MS. LEE-CHO: Yes. Yes. So, it's already tied to
3 the site plan, to the property, they have to do all of those
4 things, there is no, it wouldn't apply a different thing
5 with the TMAG from an R and D user to an office user, it's
6 still the same plan, you know, I don't change anything, I
7 still allow access in, I still provide subsidies, I do all
8 of those same things.
9 MS. ROBESON: Do you mind providing a copy of the
10 TMAG --
11 MS. LEE-CHO: Can I have the whole record?
12 MS. ROBESON: -- just in the record of this case?
13 MS. RANDALL: Yes, that'd be fine.
14 MS. LEE-CHO: I actually have one.
15 MS. RANDALL: Yes, she gave it to me. I left it
16 over there.
17 MS. ROBESON: You carry around TMAGs?
18 MS. LEE-CHO: Well, I brought one.
19 MS. RANDALL: She brought one, so I know I have it
20 here, it's somewhere in this stack of paperwork. My
21 apologies. I may be able to -- I don't think I would have
22 put it back in there, but maybe I didn't. There it is, it's
23 always the last thing.
24 MS. ROBESON: Okay. I'm going to mark this as 35,
25 which is the site plan transportation management agreement.

Page 77

1 (Exhibit No. 35 was marked for
2 identification.)
3 MS. LEE-CHO: This has attached to it the Planning
4 Board resolution, do you want the complete --
5 MS. ROBESON: Yes, I'll just take the complete.
6 Thank you. Okay.
7 MS. LEE-CHO: That was Exhibit 35?
8 MS. ROBESON: Uh-huh.
9 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. Ms. Randall, could you
10 discuss the parking memorandum dated December 12th, 2014
11 that is in the record at Exhibit 19C.
12 MS. RANDALL: Yes. The site currently has, as
13 you're probably aware the site plan shows 123 parking spaces
14 on the original site plan, we're not modifying, we're
15 changing that site plan. We went through to figure out to
16 what degree and what percentage a waiver would be necessary
17 for that parking. And the parking is probably best
18 summarized on the table on the back of my memo, this was the
19 revised or amended parking analysis December 12th, where we
20 look at the general office space, R and D space, the parking
21 rates associated with them, and then the standard code
22 requirements for those. Then we looked at the shared
23 parking, as you can imagine the small restaurant space
24 that's there is really serving the needs of the immediate
25 community, providing a quick cup of coffee, lunch, that kind

Page 78

1 of thing. And then with that looked at the parking
2 requirement with shared parking, we ended up with, a
3 requirement of 236, we have on site 123 spaces, so we needed
4 a 48 percent reduction in the total required. I think it's
5 important to note that directly across the street, the CR
6 Zone --
7 MS. ROBESON: Directly across Wilkins?
8 MS. RANDALL: Wilkins, the, because it's in the CR
9 Zone they have a parking maximum, and they cannot exceed a
10 certain level, and they're allowing up to and beyond under
11 the Code that we're applying for as much as a 60 percent
12 reduction in their parking in the CR Zone. Clearly, they
13 recognized because of the proximity of Metro anything within
14 a half mile of the Metro, which we are in, could, they don't
15 need the excess parking, and in fact, it is
16 counterproductive to what you're trying to do within the
17 Metro station policy hearings. That's the whole reason for
18 this. And again, going back to for this particular user and
19 asking for this 50 percent reduction and waiver, i.e. the
20 shuttle, again, it helps support in getting, not only
21 getting individuals out of their automobiles, but providing
22 that necessary headway that further gets not only this user
23 but potentially other users --
24 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
25 MS. RANDALL: -- within a community. And there

Page 79

1 should be also noted there is on street parking along
2 Wilkins, that on street parking is available if there is a
3 guest or a visitor that comes in in the middle of the day,
4 and those parking spaces are metered.
5 MS. LEE-CHO: I just wanted to have you identify
6 this exhibit, which is --
7 MS. ROBESON: Is that the site plan?
8 MS. LEE-CHO: This is the site plan.
9 MS. RANDALL: Yes.
10 MS. ROBESON: Uh-huh.
11 MS. LEE-CHO: The Special Exception.
12 MS. ROBESON: That's Exhibit --
13 MS. LEE-CHO: 19D.
14 MS. RANDALL: 19D.
15 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
16 MS. RANDALL: And this site plan shows the surface
17 parking spaces adjacent to the building surrounding the
18 entire property, as well as the garage parking spaces --
19 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
20 MS. RANDALL: -- which is also something else that
21 the Sector Plan has been encouraging --
22 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
23 MS. RANDALL: -- by providing the garage parking.
24 MS. LEE-CHO: Ms. Randall, if you could just note
25 what was changed on the site plan.

Page 80

1 MS. RANDALL: What was changed is the parking
2 analysis table.
3 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
4 MS. RANDALL: All right? That was updated --
5 MS. ROBESON: You mean changed from the site plan,
6 site plan.
7 MS. LEE-CHO: Correct.
8 MS. RANDALL: Exactly. To update the parking
9 table based on the users.
10 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
11 MS. LEE-CHO: For the record could you just read
12 note one under the development standards table.
13 MS. RANDALL: You would ask for that.
14 MS. ROBESON: I know.
15 MS. RANDALL: Do you mind if I --
16 MS. ROBESON: No.
17 MS. RANDALL: Thank you. All development
18 standards as depicted on this site plan for Special
19 Exception are as approved by M-NCPPC in site plan number
20 820130180, and are proposed to remain unchanged under
21 Special Exception Case No. S-2878.
22 MS. ROBESON: Thank you.
23 MS. RANDALL: You're welcome.
24 MS. LEE-CHO: All right. At this point, again, I
25 have had Ms. Randall just go through all of the necessary

Page 81

1 findings, but if we could handle it the same way that we
2 did --
3 MS. ROBESON: Yes.
4 MS. LEE-CHO: -- Mr. Ager?
5 MS. ROBESON: Except for the differences you've
6 had, that you've mentioned in your testimony regarding the
7 percentage of capacity and any other things that you have
8 pointed out do you agree with the Technical Staff's findings
9 and conclusions?
10 MS. RANDALL: I do.
11 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Thank you.
12 MS. LEE-CHO: How would the Hearing Examiner like
13 to -- I do have an answer as far as why the parking waiver
14 was applied for under 59G 5.4 as the code provides.
15 MS. ROBESON: That's a legal issue, so I'll let
16 you address that in closing.
17 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. Thank you.
18 MS. ROBESON: Thank you. I've put you guys on the
19 spot.
20 MS. LEE-CHO: I think that concludes our case.
21 MS. ROBESON: All right. What about, let's see,
22 oh, we did add a quick public facilities by adopting the
23 findings of the Technical Staff Report. Okay.
24 MR. AGER: With noted exceptions.
25 MS. ROBESON: With noted exceptions. That was Mr.

Page 82

1 Ager for the record. All right.
2 MS. LEE-CHO: I guess just to close the loop
3 completely, as far as --
4 MS. ROBESON: Let me do this --
5 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay.
6 MS. ROBESON: -- let me ask Ms. Yu, do you agree
7 with, except for what your experts have said today do you --
8 come forward, please, you're still under oath. Just to
9 cover the engineering stuff, do you agree with the findings
10 and conclusions of the Technical Staff Report, except for
11 what your experts said today?
12 MS. YU: Regarding which part?
13 MS. ROBESON: There are general standards of
14 conditions of approval set forth in the Technical Staff
15 Report, correct? So, except for where your experts have
16 disagreed do you generally agree with what Staff, the Staff
17 Report when they said they found that these standards have
18 been met?
19 MS. YU: I'm not quite getting this.
20 MS. ROBESON: Okay. It's fine.
21 MS. YU: It's hard for me.
22 MS. ROBESON: Dave? Mr. Ager, can you come up?
23 MR. AGER: Yes, we'll go through them one at a
24 time.
25 MS. ROBESON: No, you don't have to go through

Page 83

1 them one at a time, what I'm trying to do is cover the
2 engineering stuff.
3 MR. AGER: Sure.
4 MS. LEE-CHO: Or development standards.
5 MS. ROBESON: Do you have sufficient, you have
6 done many, many --
7 MR. AGER: Too many.
8 MS. ROBESON: Too many. Okay. Do you have
9 extensive experience in site plans?
10 MR. AGER: Yes, I do.
11 MS. ROBESON: Based on your review of the site
12 plan have all the standards, including the engineering
13 standard and the adequate public facilities relating to
14 police, fire, storm water, water and sewer, have these all
15 been met? Do you agree with the Technical Staff that these
16 have been met, except as noted by you and Ms. Randall?
17 MR. AGER: Right. Right. Let me just refer to
18 the Staff Report just for --
19 MS. ROBESON: Sure.
20 MR. AGER: -- a second. Yes, I just reviewed the
21 Staff's summary findings, and with the exception as noted
22 yes, I do agree with their general findings. There is one
23 minor thing that may be a typo in their Staff Report, item
24 four, they make the reference to light industrial R and D,
25 this project was actually approved for light industrial R

Page 84

1 and D office, I think there's a difference.
2 MS. ROBESON: That is what the site plan says.
3 MR. AGER: That's right, so I think that is
4 important to note that it's a, you know, kind of unique, and
5 that's in the context of their discussion about the harmony
6 and the erosion of light industrial uses.
7 MS. ROBESON: I understand.
8 MR. AGER: So, again, I refer the Hearing Examiner
9 to our written testimony on that issue.
10 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
11 MR. AGER: But with those exceptions I agree with
12 the --
13 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
14 MR. AGER: -- findings.
15 MS. ROBESON: That's good enough.
16 MS. LEE-CHO: Thank you.
17 MS. ROBESON: All right. Anything else, Ms. Cho?
18 MS. LEE-CHO: No other than the closing and legal
19 issues --
20 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
21 MS. LEE-CHO: -- that's all I have.
22 MS. ROBESON: Thank you both to Ms. Randall and
23 Mr. Ager, I did put you on the spot. It was very
24 interesting to hear your concept, that was exciting. So, go
25 ahead, Ms. Cho.

Page 85

1 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. Just in closing, I did want
2 to address, let me just suggest first why we proceeded on
3 the parking waiver under 59E 5.4 as opposed to 4.5.
4 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
5 MS. LEE-CHO: 59E 4 deals with parking facility
6 plans that are processed through the Department of
7 Permitting Services and/or the Board of Appeals, and since
8 this project had already gone through a full site plan
9 approval process in which their full parking facility was
10 analyzed and the setbacks set in the, frankly the internal
11 partial part of the internal building was converted to a
12 structured parking this did not require a wholesale review
13 of the parking facilities plan, I did not feel that this
14 fell under that, necessarily that category. And when I
15 found the 5.4 provision, which allows the Board of Appeals
16 to approve waivers to a new use in an existing building that
17 seemed to be the right fit for what we were asking for. In
18 essence, this is an approved parking plan, it's already
19 approved, went through site plan, we are adding a new use, a
20 different use mix that then warrants a reduction or we
21 needed to justify a waiver request, and that's why we
22 proceeded under 59E --
23 MS. ROBESON: Okay.
24 MS. LEE-CHO: -- 5.4. In light of the fact that
25 we had no opposition during the site plan approval, no

Page 86

1 concerned resident or neighbor in the area --
2 MS. ROBESON: Well, the notice requirements --
3 MS. LEE-CHO: Right.
4 MS. ROBESON: -- are much less under site plan
5 approval. But --
6 MS. LEE-CHO: So --
7 MS. ROBESON: -- go ahead.
8 MS. LEE-CHO: But I -- that is not the reason why
9 we proceeded under --
10 MS. ROBESON: Right.
11 MS. LEE-CHO: -- 5.4, to avoid the notice, it was
12 purely just it was the right thing --
13 MS. ROBESON: Right.
14 MS. LEE-CHO: -- for the use.
15 MS. ROBESON: Thank you for addressing that.
16 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. And then just in closing I
17 wanted to address our perspective in terms of whether the
18 site plan, a site plan amendment is necessary, is that
19 appropriate --
20 MS. ROBESON: Yes.
21 MS. LEE-CHO: -- at this time?
22 MS. ROBESON: Absolutely.
23 MS. LEE-CHO: As I alluded to, we really do see
24 this as two separate pods, and not necessarily contradicting
25 or invalidating one from the other. The site plan approval

Page 87

1 in our, for various aspects of it should stand as-is. I
2 guess I can sort of understand the perspective of the Agency
3 wanting to, you know, cross-check, make sure conditions
4 overlap so that there's not lapse in enforcement, but in my
5 13 years of practice I have never, I mean, Special
6 Exceptions are very strictly enforced, and so there's no
7 question in my mind that if a Special Exception has
8 conditions of approval that in fact those will be enforced,
9 whether there is an annual reporting requirement that
10 requires a Special Exception holder to come back to the
11 Board of Appeals to update the Board as far as, for instance
12 as in a school situation, a private education would come
13 back and report as to their enrollment status, there are
14 mechanisms in place under the Special Exception regime that
15 I think adequately serve the public in terms of maintaining
16 the 50 percent. And the only reason why we would not object
17 strongly, I mean, it's just to go back for a site plan
18 amendment for some sort of bureaucratic cross-check we just
19 didn't feel it necessary, there is no site change that is
20 happening, physical change that is happening on this
21 property as a result of this Special Exception approval,
22 it's all internal to the build, there's not a tree being
23 replaced, there is not a bollard, you know, being removed;
24 there is no physical aspect that is impacted on the property
25 by this Special Exception approval. So, from that

Page 88

1 perspective we just didn't see the need to go back to
2 actually touch the site plan amendment, as an amendment.
3 And are very comfortable having this Special Exception co-
4 exist as, you know, a subsequent approval read together with
5 the site plan, I just, I don't see a problem moving forward.
6 So, that's basically our request that we not be required to
7 go back for a site plan approval just because of the cost
8 and time and effort of having to go through a site plan
9 amendment.
10 I did communicate my thoughts to the Council,
11 Planning Board, General Counsel's Office, David Lieb, before
12 this hearing, actually, subsequent to the Planning Board
13 hearing, because at the Planning Board meeting this question
14 was raised, and there were some comments made by the
15 Planning Board members as to why a site plan amendment is
16 necessary, some just didn't think, because there was no
17 physical change that would result thought that it may be
18 unnecessary for them to see it back. So, there was some
19 discussion at the Planning Board level, it was essentially
20 punted, you know, to --
21 MS. ROBESON: Well, you can't testify in closing
22 argument.
23 MS. LEE-CHO: I'm just explaining why I sent this
24 e-mail. So --
25 MS. ROBESON: Well, is that e-mail in the record?

Page 89

1 MS. LEE-CHO: I'm going to, I'd like to --
2 MS. ROBESON: Well, you can't submit evidence,
3 because you're the attorney.
4 MS. LEE-CHO: That's fine. I can communicate --
5 MS. ROBESON: If you want to go back to Mr. Ager
6 since it's a site plan issue, I mean, we can have him put it
7 in the record. Do you want to do that?
8 MS. LEE-CHO: In previous cases I've had the
9 Hearing Examiner request all communications with Technical
10 Staff and Park and Planning be entered into the record, so I
11 didn't think this was going to be an issue as far as an
12 evidentiary question as to whether it should go into the
13 record.
14 MS. ROBESON: Well, okay. But not in closing
15 argument, because then nobody knows -- if you want it in,
16 Mr. Ager, why don't you just come up? Can you please
17 identify that document?
18 MR. AGER: It's a copy of an e-mail from Soo Lee-
19 Cho sent Tuesday, March 31st, 2015, and it's addressed to
20 David Lieb at Park and Planning.
21 MS. ROBESON: Okay. I'll take it in as 36.
22 (Exhibit No. 36 was marked for
23 identification.)
24 MS. ROBESON: And you don't have to testify
25 further to it.

Page 90

1 MS. LEE-CHO: Thank you.
2 MS. RANDALL: Thirty-six.
3 MS. LEE-CHO: Thirty-six, got it.
4 MS. RANDALL: Okay. So, you'll mark it here?
5 MS. LEE-CHO: Uh-huh.
6 MS. ROBESON: You're getting a workout today, Mr.
7 Ager.
8 MR. AGER: Well, I need it, actually.
9 MS. RANDALL: Multi-purpose.
10 MS. ROBESON: Okay. And so, this e-mail explains
11 your position to Counsel for Park and Planning as to why you
12 don't need to get a site plan amendment?
13 MS. LEE-CHO: That's correct. I was requested to
14 submit my thoughts to him in writing. I have not received a
15 response to this e-mail. And I do express in this e-mail
16 that if a site plan amendment is required I do believe that
17 a justification has to be expressed, or the Planning Board
18 has to under 59G 1.22(b)(2), that a basis for the review has
19 to be expressed --
20 MS. ROBESON: Well, that's if no site plan is
21 required.
22 MS. LEE-CHO: No, if a site plan is required,
23 the --
24 MS. ROBESON: No, if there's no site plan required
25 for the underlying use.

Page 91

1 MS. LEE-CHO: Which is this case.
2 MS. ROBESON: No, you have a site plan required
3 for the R and D use.
4 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. So, that's what I argue in
5 here in that actually, the I-4 Zone is not a site planned
6 zone, it didn't require a site plan. The only reason why
7 there is a site plan in this case is because we utilized the
8 transit station development area, special provisions of the
9 I-4 Zone.
10 MS. ROBESON: To get the parking waiver.
11 MS. LEE-CHO: To get setback, you know, setback
12 waivers --
13 MS. ROBESON: Right.
14 MS. LEE-CHO: -- and parking waivers. But under
15 the 59D it's not an optional method site plan, the only time
16 that the I-4 Zone you're treated as having a required for
17 site plan is if you're proceeding under optional method.
18 So, I read these provisions as we are not required to have a
19 site plan under the Zoning Ordinance, this is not, even
20 though we went through a site plan for purposes of whether
21 we have to go back to site plan we're not a required site
22 plan zone.
23 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Well, I will certainly look
24 carefully at your arguments.
25 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay.

Page 92

1 MS. ROBESON: Anything else?
2 MS. LEE-CHO: No, that would conclude our case.
3 MS. ROBESON: Okay. Thank you very much. Thank
4 you very much for coming. What I'm going to do is hold the
5 record open for 10 days, I may solicit an opinion regarding
6 the site plan amendment from both DPS, only, well, two
7 reasons, I want to know, you know, I will ask DPS their
8 position on whether they require a site plan amendment in
9 order to enforce, and whether a site plan amendment is
10 required absent a Special Exception condition requiring it
11 is up to the Planning Board. But my concern as far as the
12 Special Exception is the enforceability, and so I am going
13 to check with them on that, and as part of my question to
14 them I may CC the Planning Department because I didn't see
15 all your arguments till today, so I'm going to look at them
16 and see if I need to involve them in the question out of an
17 abundance of caution, as well. But I am also going to
18 contact DPS, I'm going to hold the record open for 10 days,
19 well, actually I may do it for 15 days just to give them
20 some additional time to respond. And try to work out the
21 enforceability of the 50 percent, you know, how to track the
22 50 percent R and D versus general office space, okay? So,
23 with that --
24 MS. LEE-CHO: And will I have an opportunity to --
25 MS. ROBESON: Absolutely.

Page 93

1 MS. LEE-CHO: -- respond?
2 MS. ROBESON: What I'm going to do is give them 10
3 days to respond, and then give you five days to follow up on
4 that response, unless you need additional time, if you need
5 additional time I can make it 20, hold the record open for
6 20 days.
7 MS. LEE-CHO: Uh-huh. If I -- will your request
8 specifically ask DPS to provide the basis for the site plan
9 amendment, as opposed to them wanting it to be just part of
10 the site plan, or you're just going to leave it open to
11 that?
12 MS. ROBESON: I'm not going to ask them the legal
13 question because they aren't, they aren't lawyers. I am
14 going -- and again, whether the site independent of the
15 Special Exception, whether the site plan needs to be revised
16 is a question for the Planning Board, my question is, or the
17 legal department of Park and Planning, my question is
18 whether it should be revised simply to incorporate the
19 conditions of the Special Exception approval.
20 MS. LEE-CHO: Isn't that the same result?
21 MS. ROBESON: Well, I would, I don't know, because
22 I don't know the Planning Department's position as to why it
23 has to be revised, whether other calculations are affected,
24 you know, it's hard for me to say why they are saying it has
25 to be revised, I don't know, if that's what they're saying,

1 which I don't have that in writing anywhere, so it's
2 premature to make for me to speak on behalf of the Planning
3 Department.

4 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay.

5 MS. ROBESON: So, whether it has to be revised as
6 far as the Special Exception is concerned is a matter that I
7 am going to solicit, I may CC Park and Planning, but I'm not
8 going to address the issue of whether independent of the
9 Special Exception process the Park and Planning is going to
10 require revision to the site plan.

11 MS. LEE-CHO: Understood.

12 MS. ROBESON: All right. Okay. With that I'm
13 going to leave the record open for 20 days. Would you like
14 20 days to give you time to respond to any comments that DPS
15 and Park and Planning? And that would be Park and Planning
16 and DPS within 10 days, and your response within 10 days.

17 MS. LEE-CHO: That should be fine. I would have
18 been fine with 15, but that's fine.

19 MS. ROBESON: Well, whatever you prefer.

20 MS. LEE-CHO: I think my client would prefer the
21 shorter time frame, so 15.

22 MS. ROBESON: All right. Okay. Thank you.

23 MS. LEE-CHO: Thank you.

24 MS. YU: Thank you.

25 MS. LEE-CHO: This is a disk.

1 MS. ROBESON: Just a second. Don't go off. What
2 I'm taking in is a disk which, is this, can you tell me what
3 this disk is?

4 MS. LEE-CHO: They are the resumes of Mr. Ager and
5 Ms. Randall.

6 MS. ROBESON: Is that all that's on here?

7 MS. LEE-CHO: And the Table 3, the trip generation
8 Table 3 --

9 MS. ROBESON: Okay.

10 MS. LEE-CHO: -- the additional --

11 MS. ROBESON: So, I'm going to mark this as
12 Exhibit 37C, D of resumes and updated traffic trip, updated
13 trip generation Table 3.

14 (Exhibit 37 was marked for
15 identification.)

16 MS. ROBESON: Thank you all very much.

17 MS. LEE-CHO: Thank you.

18 MS. RANDALL: Thank you. It was good to see you
19 again.

20 MS. ROBESON: Yes. Take care. Thank you, Mr.
21 Ager, I know I gave you a hard time.

22 MR. AGER: No, that's okay, my pleasure. It's
23 always a pleasure.

24 (Whereupon, at 12:01 p.m., the hearing was
25 concluded.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

1
2 DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that
3 the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the
4 electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the
5 Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery
6 County in the matter of:

7
8 Petition of Michael Chervenak for Greencourt, LLC
9 Special Exception No. S-2878

10
11
12 By:
13
14
15
16
17

18 _____
19 Paula Underwood, Transcriber
20
21
22
23
24
25

<p style="text-align: center;">A</p>	<p>70:11;74:2 additional (10) 3:14;4:12;31:25; 45:9;60:1;66:3;92:20; 93:4,5;95:10</p>	<p>17:7;18:3,10,23;19:6, 17,24;20:3,8,10,15,17; 23:2,8,11,13,20;24:1,3, 17;25:4,8,18;26:4,12, 14,20;27:15;28:7,9,11, 14,18,22,24;29:8,12, 16,22,24;30:1,3,8,22; 31:6,8,16;32:8,12,14; 33:13;34:6,17,20,22; 35:3,6,8,11,20;36:1,3, 11,21;37:15,18,19,24; 38:7,12,15,18;39:6,14; 40:12,20;41:3,10,12, 17,21;42:1,2;50:25; 81:4,24;82:1,22,23; 83:3,7,10,17,20;84:3,8, 11,14,23;89:5,16,18; 90:7,8;95:4,21,22</p>	<p>9;93:9 amount (2) 31:25;32:20 amounts (1) 7:2 analysis (14) 8:3;9:21;10:8;15:3, 22;30:15;31:3;41:13; 56:20,24;69:1;70:16; 77:19;80:2 analyzed (2) 56:22;85:10 anchor (1) 49:23 and/or (1) 85:7 annual (4) 27:17,18;28:1;87:9 anticipated (1) 57:20 apologies (1) 76:21 apologize (2) 12:17;19:19 Appeals (7) 3:10,13,13;27:24; 85:7,15;87:11 applicable (1) 11:10 application (15) 3:3,21;4:6;6:14;15:1, 9,19;16:9;30:17,21; 31:22;39:19;51:23; 54:25;75:3 applied (2) 44:2;81:14 applies (1) 71:25 apply (4) 45:19;53:10;75:21; 76:4 applying (3) 23:22;26:14;78:11 appreciate (2) 42:2;54:5 appropriate (5) 16:20;30:16;32:21; 44:11;86:19 approval (27) 6:22,25;24:21;37:8; 39:17;40:5;45:8,9,21, 25;47:7;51:20;52:15; 69:2,5;73:1,82:14; 85:9,25;86:5,25;87:8, 21,25;88:4,7;93:19 approve (1) 85:16 approved (9) 6:19;53:1;55:13; 56:4,5;80:19;83:25; 85:18,19 architect (1) 9:12</p>	<p>architecture (1) 9:17 area (31) 3:4;6:21;7:1;12:8,23, 23;13:1,3,23;14:5; 15:11;16:15,18;21:18; 22:7;24:11;30:23; 31:12,21;32:1,6;33:10, 21,21;34:10;41:14; 50:6;55:12;71:7;86:1; 91:8 areas (4) 9:15,20;21:19;25:13 argue (1) 91:4 argument (3) 47:5;88:22;89:15 arguments (2) 91:24;92:15 arises (1) 5:18 around (2) 35:17;76:17 arrow (1) 34:3 Asia (1) 51:11 as-is (1) 87:1 aspect (1) 87:24 aspects (3) 15:16,18;87:1 assembly (1) 21:13 assigned (1) 56:18 assist (1) 18:15 assisting (1) 10:12 associate (1) 51:9 associated (3) 39:21;40:1;77:21 associations (1) 20:22 assume (1) 26:21 attached (2) 11:25;77:3 Attachment (2) 11:23,25 attain (1) 28:6 attendees (1) 60:17 attention (1) 51:15 attorney (3) 46:7;53:4;89:3 attract (9) 17:20;22:21,23;24:5;</p>
<p>A- (1) 9:8 abandoned (2) 45:12,18 abbreviated (1) 54:5 ability (5) 16:9;25:10;28:6; 32:3,4 able (2) 42:10;76:21 above (1) 69:9 absent (1) 92:10 Absolutely (2) 86:22;92:25 abundance (1) 92:17 acceptance (1) 66:3 accepted (4) 11:5,6;54:12,19 accepting (1) 73:8 access (2) 74:5;76:7 accountants (1) 20:20 achieve (1) 48:20 acknowledged (1) 15:17 acquired (1) 58:6 acres (1) 6:17 across (6) 12:15,19;32:22,24; 78:5,7 activities (8) 5:16;20:13;21:2,12, 16,24;23:23;39:5 activity (1) 22:12 actually (18) 7:8;12:11;29:17; 31:8;33:16,17;34:22; 35:12,13;36:20;65:11; 76:14;83:25;88:2,12; 90:8;91:5;92:19 add (4) 40:18,18,19;81:22 added (5) 34:12;41:5,7;56:21; 69:18 adding (2) 60:13;85:19 addition (5) 35:9;64:11;66:1;</p>	<p>4:8;8:18;9:6;28:2; 43:12,15;48:9;72:23; 81:16;85:2;86:17;94:8 address (12) 4:8;8:18;9:6;28:2; 43:12,15;48:9;72:23; 81:16;85:2;86:17;94:8 addressed (3) 8:11;39:4;89:19 addressing (1) 86:15 adds (1) 45:9 adequate (2) 30:11;83:13 adequately (1) 87:15 adjacent (3) 12:12;22:6;79:17 adjoining (1) 40:25 adjustments (1) 65:8 Administration (1) 70:14 administrative (1) 21:14 adopting (2) 26:10;81:22 adverse (5) 39:20,24;40:2,6,9 aerial (1) 58:9 affect (1) 40:2 affected (1) 93:23 affects (3) 39:24;40:6,9 affidavit (1) 3:22 afternoon (3) 61:9,22;62:1 again (19) 4:21;5:18;16:21; 18:6;24:4,14;31:17; 33:24;34:3;36:1,5; 39:24;62:10;78:18,20; 80:24;84:8;93:14; 95:19 against (1) 71:13 agency (2) 50:4;87:2 Ager (144) 4:15,16,21;7:25; 8:21,24,25;9:5,7,7,12, 22,25;11:3,4,9,12,16, 18,20;12:2,4,8,17,19, 22,25;13:3,9;14:2,8,16, 19,24;15:16;16:8,17;</p>	<p>17:7;18:3,10,23;19:6, 17,24;20:3,8,10,15,17; 23:2,8,11,13,20;24:1,3, 17;25:4,8,18;26:4,12, 14,20;27:15;28:7,9,11, 14,18,22,24;29:8,12, 16,22,24;30:1,3,8,22; 31:6,8,16;32:8,12,14; 33:13;34:6,17,20,22; 35:3,6,8,11,20;36:1,3, 11,21;37:15,18,19,24; 38:7,12,15,18;39:6,14; 40:12,20;41:3,10,12, 17,21;42:1,2;50:25; 81:4,24;82:1,22,23; 83:3,7,10,17,20;84:3,8, 11,14,23;89:5,16,18; 90:7,8;95:4,21,22 Ager's (1) 10:21 ago (2) 22:1;49:7 agree (22) 4:15,15,16;12:5; 15:3,4,5;16:3;40:10,12, 14,17,20;41:4;75:10; 81:8;82:6,9,16;83:15, 22;84:11 agreement (3) 72:25;73:2;76:25 ahead (15) 9:4;16:2;24:2;30:4; 32:13;37:18;40:18; 47:23;48:2,8;55:10; 64:25;66:18;84:25; 86:7 aid (1) 60:10 allow (3) 15:10;32:24;76:7 allowed (1) 32:15 allowing (1) 78:10 allows (2) 32:3;85:15 alluded (1) 86:23 almost (1) 69:14 along (6) 11:23;34:1;59:14; 60:10;63:16;79:1 although (1) 24:7 always (2) 76:23;95:23 amended (2) 73:1;77:19 Amendment (17) 29:4;32:4;45:16,21; 47:7;86:18;87:18;88:2, 2,9,15;90:12,16;92:6,8,</p>	<p>9;93:9 amount (2) 31:25;32:20 amounts (1) 7:2 analysis (14) 8:3;9:21;10:8;15:3, 22;30:15;31:3;41:13; 56:20,24;69:1;70:16; 77:19;80:2 analyzed (2) 56:22;85:10 anchor (1) 49:23 and/or (1) 85:7 annual (4) 27:17,18;28:1;87:9 anticipated (1) 57:20 apologies (1) 76:21 apologize (2) 12:17;19:19 Appeals (7) 3:10,13,13;27:24; 85:7,15;87:11 applicable (1) 11:10 application (15) 3:3,21;4:6;6:14;15:1, 9,19;16:9;30:17,21; 31:22;39:19;51:23; 54:25;75:3 applied (2) 44:2;81:14 applies (1) 71:25 apply (4) 45:19;53:10;75:21; 76:4 applying (3) 23:22;26:14;78:11 appreciate (2) 42:2;54:5 appropriate (5) 16:20;30:16;32:21; 44:11;86:19 approval (27) 6:22,25;24:21;37:8; 39:17;40:5;45:8,9,21, 25;47:7;51:20;52:15; 69:2,5;73:1,82:14; 85:9,25;86:5,25;87:8, 21,25;88:4,7;93:19 approve (1) 85:16 approved (9) 6:19;53:1;55:13; 56:4,5;80:19;83:25; 85:18,19 architect (1) 9:12</p>	<p>architecture (1) 9:17 area (31) 3:4;6:21;7:1;12:8,23, 23;13:1,3,23;14:5; 15:11;16:15,18;21:18; 22:7;24:11;30:23; 31:12,21;32:1,6;33:10, 21,21;34:10;41:14; 50:6;55:12;71:7;86:1; 91:8 areas (4) 9:15,20;21:19;25:13 argue (1) 91:4 argument (3) 47:5;88:22;89:15 arguments (2) 91:24;92:15 arises (1) 5:18 around (2) 35:17;76:17 arrow (1) 34:3 Asia (1) 51:11 as-is (1) 87:1 aspect (1) 87:24 aspects (3) 15:16,18;87:1 assembly (1) 21:13 assigned (1) 56:18 assist (1) 18:15 assisting (1) 10:12 associate (1) 51:9 associated (3) 39:21;40:1;77:21 associations (1) 20:22 assume (1) 26:21 attached (2) 11:25;77:3 Attachment (2) 11:23,25 attain (1) 28:6 attendees (1) 60:17 attention (1) 51:15 attorney (3) 46:7;53:4;89:3 attract (9) 17:20;22:21,23;24:5;</p>

49:16,22;51:8,19,22 attracting (1) 17:23 audience (1) 4:25 auto (1) 50:7 automobiles (1) 78:21 available (2) 57:7;79:2 Avenue (8) 11:21;12:16,20;35:1; 55:16,20,25;56:25 avoid (1) 86:11 aware (6) 28:19;30:5;37:10; 56:15;57:14;77:13 away (2) 32:4;71:19 Axler (3) 65:9;66:2,19	benchmark (1) 51:4 beneficial (1) 59:23 benefit (1) 60:17 best (2) 27:8;77:17 better (5) 19:25;33:9;49:19,21; 55:20 beyond (4) 32:3,14;72:18;78:10 bicycle (2) 59:12;64:12 big (4) 22:20;25:10;49:25; 50:4 bike (2) 34:2;74:3 biomedical (1) 21:4 bit (5) 21:21;44:10,16; 48:23;68:17 Block (1) 3:7 blood (1) 52:1 BOA (1) 3:3 Board (28) 3:9,13,13;18:12,14; 19:10;24:21;27:24,25; 32:22,25;34:23;44:1; 50:21;71:3;77:4;85:7, 15;87:11,11;88:11,12, 13,15,19;90:17;92:11; 93:16 bollard (1) 87:23 born (1) 48:25 both (13) 6:17;23:17;25:2; 27:6;50:14;55:23; 56:25,25;60:21;64:18; 72:8;84:22;92:6 bottom (4) 29:12;33:17;75:8,15 brief (1) 48:4 briefly (3) 20:18;48:18;54:21 bring (1) 49:17 broader (1) 31:12 brought (2) 76:18,19 build (1) 87:22 building (41)	7:1,2,23;17:13,15,17, 19,20;18:14;20:3;22:6, 6,23;24:4,14,19,25; 25:1;27:3;30:10;34:13; 35:11,12,14,18,19,20, 22;36:6;37:19;38:24; 40:8;46:1;60:17;61:20; 63:13;73:6,13;79:17; 85:11,16 buildings (2) 34:13,14 building's (1) 37:20 bureaucratic (1) 87:18 bus (2) 59:13,14 buses (2) 59:16;60:3 business (12) 20:21;43:12,15; 47:25;49:3,17;50:11, 13;51:17;62:12;63:20; 64:6 businesses (4) 22:22;24:5;56:16; 62:11 buy (1) 50:1	70:23;71:5;72:3,17; 81:7 Capital (4) 57:16;68:22;70:12; 71:24 capture (1) 59:24 cards (1) 47:25 care (2) 41:22;95:20 carefully (1) 91:24 carry (1) 76:17 case (28) 3:2,3,12;5:5;6:16; 7:24;13:19;14:23; 15:23;24:23;29:13; 31:18;32:17;36:20; 37:21;41:6;42:4;43:19; 44:1;45:7;54:22;74:23; 76:12;80:21;81:20; 91:1,7;92:2 cases (3) 45:1,3;89:8 categories (2) 25:16;41:1 category (5) 17:4;18:4;52:5,17; 85:14 caught (1) 66:5 caution (1) 92:17 CC (2) 92:14;94:7 center (3) 17:18;50:9;51:19 certain (4) 28:13;32:20;74:12; 78:10 Certainly (5) 11:12,15;60:15,17; 91:23 certified (1) 9:12 cetera (4) 20:20,23;33:18; 39:17 change (18) 7:20,23;13:6;22:13; 25:1,10;32:22;40:5,8; 58:3;60:15;67:9,12; 75:9;76:6;87:19,20; 88:17 changed (7) 22:4;29:4;41:1; 73:12;79:25;80:1,5 changes (2) 20:4;22:20 changing (4) 31:19;59:20;64:16;	77:15 character (3) 16:18;17:19;22:18 characteristics (6) 13:12;24:9;39:18,21; 40:1,2 characterization (1) 13:7 characterize (1) 13:8 characterized (1) 12:9 check (2) 42:20;92:13 chemistry (1) 21:5 China (6) 22:10;48:25;49:2,5; 50:15;51:11 Cho (8) 9:4;43:10;44:8;48:2, 8;84:17,25;89:19 chose (2) 48:21;50:19 chunk (1) 16:13 Circle (1) 9:8 citations (1) 5:14 city (10) 49:6,11,11,11,12,14, 15,16,16,19 clarified (1) 34:18 clarifies (1) 13:9 clarify (7) 12:8,14;13:17;14:11, 18;45:24;48:23 Clarksville (1) 9:9 classic (1) 17:18 classical (1) 22:15 classified (1) 26:25 clear (1) 7:15 clearly (2) 15:13;78:12 client (2) 29:16;94:20 close (7) 8:2;13:15;24:10,10; 36:8;59:7;82:2 closely (1) 27:9 closing (6) 81:16;84:18;85:1; 86:16;88:21;89:14 CLV (6)	
B					
back (22) 8:14;16:2;30:18; 42:9,11;49:2,8;62:11; 65:8;68:4;70:23;76:22; 77:18;78:18;87:10,13, 17;88:1,7,18;89:5; 91:21 backed (1) 71:19 background (7) 48:23;49:10;55:13; 56:19,21;69:5,17 banks (1) 20:23 barely (1) 57:6 based (7) 15:2;26:11;61:20; 66:11;68:8;80:9;83:11 basically (9) 7:24;23:5;49:25; 51:10,14,22,25;58:4; 88:6 basis (2) 90:18;93:8 became (1) 29:4 becomes (2) 59:6;60:25 begin (1) 11:9 beginning (1) 52:12 behalf (3) 3:9,19;94:2 behind (4) 14:14;49:16,19;60:7	Block (1) 3:7 blood (1) 52:1 BOA (1) 3:3 Board (28) 3:9,13,13;18:12,14; 19:10;24:21;27:24,25; 32:22,25;34:23;44:1; 50:21;71:3;77:4;85:7, 15;87:11,11;88:11,12, 13,15,19;90:17;92:11; 93:16 bollard (1) 87:23 born (1) 48:25 both (13) 6:17;23:17;25:2; 27:6;50:14;55:23; 56:25,25;60:21;64:18; 72:8;84:22;92:6 bottom (4) 29:12;33:17;75:8,15 brief (1) 48:4 briefly (3) 20:18;48:18;54:21 bring (1) 49:17 broader (1) 31:12 brought (2) 76:18,19 build (1) 87:22 building (41)	C	calculated (3) 64:19;68:8;71:12 calculation (1) 69:12 calculations (2) 65:8;93:23 California (1) 62:16 call (3) 8:19;48:3;53:18 Calling (1) 3:2 came (4) 23:3;34:20,22;65:8 can (52) 4:7,8,9;10:1;14:4; 15:25;21:18;24:12,12; 33:8,16;36:15;42:9,20; 43:11,11;44:14;45:12, 23;46:21,22,22,23,23; 47:8,11;48:22;49:9; 50:3,5,17;51:21;52:9, 21;53:2,4;58:6,10; 59:24;61:7;62:19;65:1; 67:3;76:11;77:23; 82:22;87:2;89:4,6,16; 93:5;95:2 Canby (1) 3:18 capacity (10) 5:7,8;57:7,12;68:20;	caught (1) 66:5 caution (1) 92:17 CC (2) 92:14;94:7 center (3) 17:18;50:9;51:19 certain (4) 28:13;32:20;74:12; 78:10 Certainly (5) 11:12,15;60:15,17; 91:23 certified (1) 9:12 cetera (4) 20:20,23;33:18; 39:17 change (18) 7:20,23;13:6;22:13; 25:1,10;32:22;40:5,8; 58:3;60:15;67:9,12; 75:9;76:6;87:19,20; 88:17 changed (7) 22:4;29:4;41:1; 73:12;79:25;80:1,5 changes (2) 20:4;22:20 changing (4) 31:19;59:20;64:16;	77:15 character (3) 16:18;17:19;22:18 characteristics (6) 13:12;24:9;39:18,21; 40:1,2 characterization (1) 13:7 characterize (1) 13:8 characterized (1) 12:9 check (2) 42:20;92:13 chemistry (1) 21:5 China (6) 22:10;48:25;49:2,5; 50:15;51:11 Cho (8) 9:4;43:10;44:8;48:2, 8;84:17,25;89:19 chose (2) 48:21;50:19 chunk (1) 16:13 Circle (1) 9:8 citations (1) 5:14 city (10) 49:6,11,11,11,12,14, 15,16,16,19 clarified (1) 34:18 clarifies (1) 13:9 clarify (7) 12:8,14;13:17;14:11, 18;45:24;48:23 Clarksville (1) 9:9 classic (1) 17:18 classical (1) 22:15 classified (1) 26:25 clear (1) 7:15 clearly (2) 15:13;78:12 client (2) 29:16;94:20 close (7) 8:2;13:15;24:10,10; 36:8;59:7;82:2 closely (1) 27:9 closing (6) 81:16;84:18;85:1; 86:16;88:21;89:14 CLV (6)

57:2,4,9;59:11; 68:25;70:23 co- (1) 88:3 COB (1) 42:17 Code (15) 5:14,16;23:23;24:8, 9;31:10;32:15,18; 39:16,23,25;43:18; 77:21;78:11;81:14 co-exist (1) 45:22 coffee (1) 77:25 cohesive (2) 44:20,20 collaborate (1) 38:22 collaborations (1) 17:16 collaborative (1) 38:21 college (1) 49:1 color (1) 58:19 combination (2) 16:18;36:8 combine (2) 60:2,4 comfortable (1) 88:3 coming (8) 5:1;15:1;29:10; 37:23;51:20;62:12; 71:13;92:4 comment (6) 12:12;20:5,7;31:11; 32:6;72:24 comments (4) 15:21;16:5;88:14; 94:14 commercial (1) 63:13 communicate (2) 88:10;89:4 communication (1) 62:19 communications (2) 21:4;89:9 community (2) 77:25;78:25 companies (23) 16:25;17:7,8,9,12,12, 21,24;18:3;20:21,22, 23,23;23:4,13,13; 38:21,22;39:3;51:22; 62:15,16,25 company (16) 23:5,6;38:19;49:23, 24,24;50:3,16,17; 51:20,24,25;52:3,4,6,	16 compete (1) 52:21 complete (2) 77:4,5 completed (1) 55:14 completely (2) 58:2;82:3 completion (1) 72:5 compliance (1) 40:14 comply (1) 53:8 component (8) 17:10;18:5;21:23,23; 22:5,9,19;23:15 components (1) 45:2 computer (4) 17:11;21:5;22:17; 25:14 concept (1) 84:24 conceptual (1) 9:16 concern (7) 4:17;5:18;18:17; 32:7;61:1;72:4;92:11 concerned (3) 25:22;86:1;94:6 concerns (5) 4:6,8,12,14;20:22 conclude (3) 24:3;51:14;92:2 concluded (1) 95:25 concludes (1) 81:20 conclusion (3) 15:14;40:12;66:22 conclusions (3) 40:15;81:9;82:10 concurring (2) 67:20;70:20 condition (11) 8:8;37:8,9,10;40:24; 47:7;60:21;61:16;62:3; 73:21;92:10 conditions (18) 15:18;39:7,17;44:1, 19,23;45:5,6,19;46:10, 10,11;57:5,11;82:14; 87:3,8;93:19 conductive (2) 13:23;39:1 conducted (1) 3:9 conferred (1) 67:20 conferring (1) 70:11	configuration (1) 25:1 confirm (1) 38:1 confirmation (1) 66:6 confirming (2) 66:3,22 conform (1) 15:11 conformance (1) 23:21 confronting (2) 13:17;35:1 confused (1) 18:20 congestion (2) 57:1,2 conjunction (1) 73:24 connection (1) 34:3 connects (1) 55:23 consider (2) 38:19;39:2 considered (1) 50:3 consistency (2) 15:2,20 consistent (4) 5:20;15:5,18,23 constant (1) 74:8 construction (3) 24:19;57:20,22 contact (1) 92:18 contained (2) 59:12;67:21 context (5) 24:22;33:20;34:12; 38:18;84:5 contract (1) 61:7 contradicting (1) 86:24 convert (1) 50:2 converted (1) 85:11 copy (7) 3:24;12:4;58:15,19; 66:2;76:9;89:18 corrected (1) 40:16 correspondence (1) 66:2 corridor (1) 63:16 cost (1) 88:7 Council (1)	88:10 Counsel (1) 90:11 Counsel's (1) 88:11 count (2) 35:14;69:7 78:16 counting (1) 29:20 country (1) 22:8 County (10) 9:14,15;32:20;35:16; 36:5,13,14,23;66:6; 70:15 County's (3) 33:25;34:8,24 couple (2) 33:13;49:7 course (2) 4:9;61:20 COURT (2) 43:1,4 cover (2) 82:9;83:1 covered (2) 38:6,7 covering (1) 8:2 CR (10) 12:11;14:12;41:8,10; 74:14,18,19;78:5,8,12 create (4) 49:18,19,23;51:16 created (1) 40:2 criteria (1) 71:4 cross-check (2) 87:3,18 cubicle (1) 17:18 cup (1) 77:25 curiosity (1) 74:11 current (1) 29:2 currently (4) 12:20;44:15;60:3; 77:12 cut (1) 37:5	date (1) 37:25 dated (2) 66:23;77:10 Dave (2) 9:7;82:22 David (3) 7:25;88:11;89:20 day (1) 79:3 Daybreak (1) 9:8 daycare (1) 50:9 days (12) 42:14,18;92:5,18,19; 93:3,3,6;94:13,14,16, 16 deadline (1) 32:18 dealing (6) 25:18;62:14,15,24; 71:22;72:8 deals (1) 85:5 December (3) 66:25;77:10,19 decided (1) 30:15 decision (3) 26:7;63:20;64:6 define (2) 11:10;51:24 defined (4) 5:15,17;10:19;26:9 definitely (2) 42:11,15 definition (7) 5:12,13,17;20:11; 21:1;26:11;39:5 definitions (5) 20:11,18,21;19,20; 23:22 degree (2) 49:2;77:16 denial (1) 15:1 density (3) 13:3,18;50:2 Department (8) 26:5;36:18;43:16; 70:15;85:6;92:14; 93:17;94:3 Department's (1) 93:22 dependent (1) 73:5 depending (3) 59:21;60:5;61:19 depicted (1) 80:18 described (1) 3:7
			D	
				daily (1) 62:15 data (6) 21:15;50:16,18; 51:18,22,24

<p>description (1) 55:20</p> <p>Design (13) 9:8,16,16;10:7; 17:15;24:4;30:10; 51:22,24,25;52:4,6; 57:19</p> <p>designed (4) 17:15;22:23;25:2; 50:11</p> <p>desire (1) 29:16</p> <p>develop (1) 49:6</p> <p>developer (2) 50:1,15</p> <p>development (35) 3:5;5:14,15,16;6:21; 14:1;16:19;20:12;21:1, 6,7,8,24;22:3,11,16,16; 23:22;25:14,14;26:8, 10;27:1;34:10,25;49:4, 6;53:7;55:13;60:19; 69:5;80:12,17;83:4; 91:8</p> <p>developments (1) 56:4</p> <p>dictated (1) 30:20</p> <p>difference (9) 7:3;20:3;21:17,22; 22:13;32:10;34:21; 37:1;84:1</p> <p>differences (1) 81:5</p> <p>different (10) 12:23;17:19;38:25; 47:16;55:4;61:23;74:7; 75:23;76:4;85:20</p> <p>differently (1) 75:21</p> <p>differing (1) 44:11</p> <p>difficult (3) 34:13;35:6;36:25</p> <p>direct (1) 39:23</p> <p>directly (3) 59:15;78:5,7</p> <p>disagree (3) 15:20,22;75:10</p> <p>disagree (1) 82:16</p> <p>discuss (2) 30:12;77:10</p> <p>discussion (7) 13:19;17:22;28:3; 31:19;34:23;84:5; 88:19</p> <p>disk (3) 94:25;95:2,3</p> <p>distance (2) 33:24;52:4</p>	<p>distinction (1) 8:23</p> <p>District (2) 29:3;32:4</p> <p>divided (1) 69:13</p> <p>DMD (1) 9:13</p> <p>doctors (1) 20:20</p> <p>document (5) 33:25;36:5;38:23; 46:11;89:17</p> <p>done (6) 6:22;7:8;65:1;69:18; 73:3;83:6</p> <p>double (1) 69:7</p> <p>double-counted (1) 69:6</p> <p>doubt (1) 8:24</p> <p>down (2) 65:11;68:16</p> <p>DPS (18) 26:17,21;27:6,19,23; 37:2,3;46:22;51:20; 52:8,15;53:4;92:6,7, 18;93:8;94:14,16</p> <p>DPS's (2) 44:13;46:16</p> <p>dramatically (1) 58:3</p> <p>Drive (6) 3:5;5:8;11:20;55:16; 56:25;57:8</p> <p>drug (1) 50:23</p> <p>due (1) 75:4</p> <p>during (6) 4:9;57:9;60:16,23; 71:3;85:25</p> <p>dynamic (1) 73:12</p>	<p>87:12</p> <p>educational (1) 21:15</p> <p>effects (1) 39:20</p> <p>efficiencies (1) 22:24</p> <p>effort (2) 22:21;88:8</p> <p>eight (2) 16:13;34:7</p> <p>either (4) 4:7;23:10;31:9;57:4</p> <p>electronics (1) 21:5</p> <p>eliminate (1) 8:22</p> <p>else (3) 79:20;84:17;92:1</p> <p>elsewhere (2) 22:7,8</p> <p>e-mail (16) 27:5;42:5,8,23; 47:16;66:2,18,19;67:8, 11;88:24,25;89:18; 90:10,15,15</p> <p>e-mails (2) 4:12;66:5</p> <p>emphasize (1) 24:15</p> <p>employee (4) 50:7;61:4;74:7,8</p> <p>employees (3) 40:7;62:12;74:5</p> <p>employers (4) 13:16,22,22;63:15</p> <p>encourage (1) 75:25</p> <p>encouraging (1) 79:21</p> <p>end (1) 42:17</p> <p>ended (1) 78:2</p> <p>enforce (8) 5:12;26:2,18;44:13; 45:2,5;52:15;92:9</p> <p>enforceability (2) 92:12,21</p> <p>enforced (2) 87:6,8</p> <p>enforcement (4) 27:6;36:19;43:18; 87:4</p> <p>enforcing (1) 36:20</p> <p>engaged (1) 14:23</p> <p>engineering (4) 54:13;82:9;83:2,12</p> <p>enough (3) 5:21,22;84:15</p> <p>enrollment (1)</p>	<p>87:13</p> <p>enter (3) 10:22;18:12,21</p> <p>entered (1) 89:10</p> <p>entire (2) 7:24;79:18</p> <p>entrepreneurial (1) 22:22</p> <p>environment (2) 48:20;49:20</p> <p>equal (3) 49:23;51:16;64:14</p> <p>equipped (1) 37:3</p> <p>erosion (3) 32:6,19;84:6</p> <p>especially (2) 25:13;54:3</p> <p>essence (1) 85:18</p> <p>essentially (5) 24:5;40:7;58:22; 59:3;88:19</p> <p>estate (3) 20:23;49:3;50:15</p> <p>esteemed (1) 36:13</p> <p>et (4) 20:20,23;33:18; 39:17</p> <p>evaluation (1) 39:16</p> <p>even (8) 4:20;5:14;7:11; 29:20;37:3;50:9;52:16; 91:19</p> <p>evening (4) 8:8,9;59:25;61:17</p> <p>everybody (1) 37:9</p> <p>everyone (2) 57:14;63:18</p> <p>evidence (4) 3:11,14;41:5;89:2</p> <p>evidentiary (1) 89:12</p> <p>evolving (3) 18:23;19:3,25</p> <p>exactly (9) 14:23;26:21;45:23; 59:17;63:1;64:11; 73:19,19;80:8</p> <p>Examiner (7) 3:11,24;44:17;48:19; 81:12;84:8;89:9</p> <p>Examiner's (1) 70:24</p> <p>example (5) 12:2;25:15,15;34:23; 62:15</p> <p>examples (1) 21:16</p>	<p>exceed (1) 78:9</p> <p>except (9) 20:25;31:10;40:13, 16;81:5;82:7,10,15; 83:16</p> <p>Exception (52) 3:4;6:12,14;7:21; 11:11;14:14;15:19; 16:4;24:23;25:25,25; 27:17;28:9;29:10; 31:21,22;32:5,16; 36:18;40:3,21;44:18, 19,23;45:2,9,12,18,21; 54:25;68:8;72:4;73:8, 11,18,20;79:11;80:19, 21;83:21;87:7,10,14, 21,25;88:3;92:10,12; 93:15,19;94:6,9</p> <p>Exceptions (10) 5:3;27:7,18;43:19; 45:1,5;81:24,25;84:11; 87:6</p> <p>excess (2) 72:17;78:15</p> <p>exciting (1) 84:24</p> <p>excuse (1) 58:5</p> <p>executed (1) 72:25</p> <p>exercise (1) 50:9</p> <p>Exhibit (27) 4:1,2;6:3,5,7,8; 10:23,24;11:22;19:9, 11;54:8,9;55:2,2; 58:11;66:16;67:2,4; 77:1,7,11;79:6,12; 89:22;95:12,14</p> <p>exist (1) 88:4</p> <p>existing (8) 30:3;34:9,15;35:20, 23;56:16,20;85:16</p> <p>expand (1) 63:8</p> <p>expected (1) 47:21</p> <p>experience (4) 9:19;10:11;52:7; 83:9</p> <p>experimentation (2) 21:3,23</p> <p>expert (8) 9:24;11:5,6;14:24; 46:21;47:3;53:25; 54:12</p> <p>expertise (3) 9:11,13,20</p> <p>expertises (1) 10:19</p> <p>experts (3)</p>
	E			
	<p>e- (1) 4:6</p> <p>earlier (2) 33:22;62:13</p> <p>easily (1) 61:8</p> <p>east (5) 11:21;57:16;58:4,23; 59:3</p> <p>economically (1) 60:23</p> <p>Ed (5) 65:9,17;66:2,19; 67:10</p> <p>education (1)</p>			

<p>82:7,11,15 explain (3) 38:10;44:14;67:8 explaining (1) 88:23 explains (1) 90:10 explore (1) 51:10 express (1) 90:15 expressed (2) 90:17,19 extended (1) 59:1 extension (2) 35:22;57:15 extensive (1) 83:9 extra (2) 58:15;73:9</p>	<p>feels (2) 49:14;50:17 feet (6) 7:2;13:15;26:25; 29:11,15;40:17 fell (1) 85:14 fermentation (1) 21:13 fewer (1) 65:11 field (2) 9:10;54:12 fields (1) 21:3 Fifty (1) 27:11 fight (1) 52:8 figure (4) 18:20;58:6,18;77:15</p>	<p>flex (3) 25:3,5,5 flexibility (4) 25:12;52:20,25;63:4 flexible (1) 25:2 Flint (3) 49:12,21;74:23 floor (7) 3:4;7:1;15:11;18:15; 24:18;38:23;43:15 floors (1) 35:14 focus (1) 9:15 Follow (2) 64:23;93:3 foot (1) 7:6 footage (2) 65:2;73:4 forget (1) 68:21 forgotten (1) 55:8 formed (1) 36:24 forth (2) 44:1;82:14 forward (2) 82:8;88:5 found (3) 17:14;82:17;85:15 four (6) 16:12,13;57:11;72:8, 14;83:24 frame (2) 31:24;94:21 framework (1) 28:23 frankly (1) 85:10 Frederick (1) 9:14 front (2) 22:2;38:15 frontage (1) 6:17 frustrated (1) 52:12 frustration (1) 53:10 full (2) 85:8,9 function (1) 16:15 functional (1) 9:20 functions (1) 24:12 funding (1) 57:21 further (7)</p>	<p>23:17;24:5;25:10; 38:5,10;78:22;89:25 furtherance (1) 17:25 further (1) 15:23 future (8) 30:12;32:2;34:9,24; 35:11;56:23;57:5,11</p>	<p>goes (6) 19:22;21:10;25:9; 59:15;63:8;71:2 Good (7) 3:17;8:25;22:17; 33:11;58:21;84:15; 95:18 government (2) 50:4,6 governments (1) 49:6 grant (1) 29:5 graphic (2) 12:2;36:6 gray (2) 21:18,19 graying (2) 25:12,21 Great (1) 41:25 green (4) 58:7,7,21,25 Greencourt (8) 3:2,19;7:21;8:1; 48:15,17,20,21 gross (1) 7:1 grow (1) 49:24 grown (1) 51:17 guess (16) 8:9;16:13,14;18:17; 19:21;22:13;28:15; 30:18;32:2,20;37:3; 41:19;44:9;46:15;82:2; 87:2 guest (1) 79:3 guys (1) 81:18 gym (1) 50:9</p>
F			G	
<p>facilities (5) 21:15;30:11;81:22; 83:13;85:13 facility (3) 60:20;85:5,9 fact (11) 15:4,23;25:21;29:17; 31:2;49:14;50:19; 71:21;78:15;85:24; 87:8 factor (2) 13:14;31:9 factual (3) 46:13,14,24 failed (2) 12:10;31:3 fair (1) 16:13 faith (1) 53:4 fall (1) 18:3 familiar (3) 42:4;49:4;55:19 family (1) 49:8 far (8) 5:10;13:5;81:13; 82:3;87:11;89:11; 92:11;94:6 fares (2) 64:10;76:1 FDA (2) 13:16;50:22 fee (3) 27:17,18,22 feel (4) 49:14,25;85:13; 87:19</p>	<p>file (4) 26:23;27:24;37:25; 70:17 filed (1) 37:24 filing (6) 6:12,14;14:13;27:17, 25;32:18 finally (1) 34:6 find (6) 5:19;16:22;40:5,9; 51:19;58:11 finding (2) 15:14;40:14 findings (13) 39:13;40:15,22;41:4, 4;70:21;81:1,8,23; 82:9;83:21,22;84:14 fine (11) 39:9;47:4,22;52:14, 14;76:13;82:20;89:4; 94:17,18,18 finish (2) 36:14;37:16 finished (1) 49:1 fire (1) 83:14 Firm (1) 3:18 first (7) 8:19;21:2,17,22; 39:17;74:23;85:2 fit (1) 85:17 fits (1) 52:5 five (3) 34:10;69:14;93:3 five-story (2) 35:14,18</p>	<p>flex (3) 25:3,5,5 flexibility (4) 25:12;52:20,25;63:4 flexible (1) 25:2 Flint (3) 49:12,21;74:23 floor (7) 3:4;7:1;15:11;18:15; 24:18;38:23;43:15 floors (1) 35:14 focus (1) 9:15 Follow (2) 64:23;93:3 foot (1) 7:6 footage (2) 65:2;73:4 forget (1) 68:21 forgotten (1) 55:8 formed (1) 36:24 forth (2) 44:1;82:14 forward (2) 82:8;88:5 found (3) 17:14;82:17;85:15 four (6) 16:12,13;57:11;72:8, 14;83:24 frame (2) 31:24;94:21 framework (1) 28:23 frankly (1) 85:10 Frederick (1) 9:14 front (2) 22:2;38:15 frontage (1) 6:17 frustrated (1) 52:12 frustration (1) 53:10 full (2) 85:8,9 function (1) 16:15 functional (1) 9:20 functions (1) 24:12 funding (1) 57:21 further (7)</p>	<p>garage (2) 79:18,23 gatekeeper (1) 26:5 gave (2) 76:15;95:21 general (62) 3:6;4:19;7:6,8,17,24; 8:23;12:5,12;15:10,11, 18;16:9,10,16,20;17:1, 4,9,24;18:2,4,4,19,24; 20:5,12,18,20;21:22; 22:18;23:10,14,16; 24:8,24;26:25;28:6; 29:5;30:23;31:10,11, 20;32:5;33:10;36:5,8; 37:1,6;39:5,7,16;52:5, 17,25;53:1;66:13; 77:20;82:13;83:22; 88:11;92:22 Generally (7) 11:20;13:11;21:16; 30:22;40:20;41:3; 82:16 generate (1) 67:20 generating (1) 65:11 generation (8) 65:10,20;66:9;67:13; 68:16;74:14;95:7,13 genome (1) 22:16 Germantown (2) 48:12;49:11 gets (1) 78:22 given (2) 4:17;25:21 gives (1) 58:8 giving (2) 52:25;74:4 glad (3) 16:12;17:22;34:18 goal (6) 18:1,6;23:17;24:4; 31:14;74:12 goals (7) 14:9;15:11,23;23:24; 24:6,13;25:11</p>	<p>grant (1) 29:5 graphic (2) 12:2;36:6 gray (2) 21:18,19 graying (2) 25:12,21 Great (1) 41:25 green (4) 58:7,7,21,25 Greencourt (8) 3:2,19;7:21;8:1; 48:15,17,20,21 gross (1) 7:1 grow (1) 49:24 grown (1) 51:17 guess (16) 8:9;16:13,14;18:17; 19:21;22:13;28:15; 30:18;32:2,20;37:3; 41:19;44:9;46:15;82:2; 87:2 guest (1) 79:3 guys (1) 81:18 gym (1) 50:9</p>
			H	
				<p>H50 (1) 3:7 half (4) 6:18;13:13;61:3; 78:14 half-mile (1) 74:19 hall (1) 17:18 hand (4) 9:1;43:8;48:6;53:20 handle (1) 81:1 happened (1) 30:5 happening (2)</p>

87:20,20 happens (2) 45:11;60:16 happy (2) 4:13;18:18 hard (5) 51:18;75:17;82:21; 93:24;95:21 harmony (1) 84:5 hate (1) 19:21 headway (4) 59:17;60:5;63:11; 78:22 healthcare (5) 17:11;50:16,18,22; 51:23 hear (3) 4:13;47:15;84:24 heard (1) 46:10 hearing (16) 3:9,10,23;4:10; 27:13;40:16;44:17; 48:19;70:24;71:3; 81:12;84:8;88:12,13; 89:9;95:24 hearings (1) 78:17 height (2) 13:4,4 help (6) 8:22,22;11:9;18:11; 50:13;52:9 helped (1) 49:5 helpful (6) 35:7;44:7;70:1,6; 71:20;72:21 helping (3) 11:10;18:15;19:25 helps (2) 64:8;78:20 herein (1) 20:25 here's (1) 45:20 HHS (1) 13:16 Hi (1) 36:17 high (3) 17:21,23;18:3 higher (4) 7:10;13:3,18;71:22 highest (3) 57:4,8;69:1 highlight (1) 41:18 highlighted (1) 6:9 Highway (1)	70:14 hire (1) 52:7 history (1) 50:17 hold (4) 49:9;92:4,18;93:5 holder (1) 87:10 honest (1) 49:14 hopefully (2) 8:22;58:19 horseshoe (1) 55:20 hour (14) 8:7,8;57:9;59:25,25; 60:22;61:2,3,12,13,17, 21,22;62:6 hours (7) 59:21,24;61:19,25; 62:1,3,5 husband (2) 49:7;50:16 husband's (1) 50:13 hypothetical (3) 45:14,15;46:16 Hypothetically (1) 45:17 hypotheticals (2) 46:22,24	illustrative (3) 34:9;35:15,15 image (1) 34:1 images (3) 18:14;19:13;33:15 imagine (1) 77:23 immediate (2) 60:1;77:24 immediately (2) 12:10;13:17 Impact (13) 58:8;59:12;64:14; 65:4,15;67:22,23,25; 68:3,4,13;69:23;70:16 impacted (1) 87:24 implementation (1) 4:20 importance (1) 37:20 important (10) 13:14;24:23;25:8; 33:19;36:4;46:4;56:24; 63:3;78:5;84:4 impose (1) 5:4 include (2) 21:12;40:23 included (3) 17:3;44:5;47:6 includes (3) 21:7;69:1,2 including (3) 20:24;56:4;83:12 inclusive (1) 6:23 incorporate (2) 73:11;93:18 increase (3) 40:7;56:14;69:9 incubator (7) 13:21;14:5;16:25; 17:7;22:24,25;51:13 incubators (1) 23:9 independent (4) 73:22,25;93:14;94:8 indicate (1) 7:3 indicated (2) 7:19;9:19 indicates (1) 75:8 indicating (1) 34:3 individuals (1) 78:21 Industrial (18) 3:8;4:22;5:10;14:8; 16:19;18:7;24:7;25:24; 32:21;33:21;35:18;	36:7;50:6;52:17;70:25; 83:24,25;84:6 information (2) 26:24;55:15 inherent (3) 39:18,20;40:6 initial (1) 54:21 innovation (1) 17:16 input (1) 27:8 inspecting (1) 36:20 Inspector (2) 36:18;43:18 instance (2) 51:21;87:11 instead (1) 22:14 institution (1) 50:24 insurance (1) 20:21 intensity (1) 41:14 intent (3) 35:16;63:9,12 intents (1) 31:4 interact (1) 38:25 interesting (6) 17:14,18;29:1;35:12; 53:16;84:24 intermingling (1) 45:22 internal (4) 44:16;85:10,11; 87:22 international (2) 51:8;62:24 interrupt (2) 20:9;23:7 intersection (11) 5:9;55:15,16,25; 56:1;57:10,14;58:1,3; 68:21,24 intersections (7) 55:13;56:19;57:5,7; 59:10;71:25;72:1 into (13) 5:9;7:22;10:18,22; 13:19;14:22;17:14; 18:12,21;31:21;73:11; 89:10,12 intrusion (1) 31:21 invalidating (1) 86:25 inventory (1) 4:22 investment (2)	20:22;50:16 investors (1) 51:9 involve (1) 92:16 issue (14) 8:10,18,22;28:2; 29:15;63:8;68:3,4; 70:24;81:15;84:9;89:6, 11;94:8 issues (2) 8:3;84:19 item (3) 34:23;39:17;83:23 items (3) 33:14;34:10,11
J				
Jennifer (2) 36:17;43:14 job (4) 33:11;49:18,21;50:5 jurisdiction (1) 27:25 jurisdictions (1) 10:12 justification (1) 90:17 justify (1) 85:21				
K				
Keep (10) 23:12;27:5,8,13; 37:7;42:7,13;47:17; 54:16;68:15 kind (22) 5:13;10:18;16:1; 20:24;22:14,20;24:11; 25:3,4;29:9,25;30:10; 39:2;41:18;42:24;50:4, 5;51:17;55:20;73:7; 77:25;84:4 knowledge (4) 31:25;37:23,24,25 knows (1) 89:15				
L				
lack (3) 41:12,13;55:20 land (16) 3:6;9:19;10:6,7,14, 15,19;11:6,8;14:22,25; 15:12;38:4;50:1,2;75:9 landscape (2) 9:12,17 language (2) 4:18;30:19 lapse (1)				

87:4 lapses (1) 73:11 large (1) 38:20 larger (4) 13:22;24:11;33:22; 58:9 last (9) 4:7;6:13;32:17; 37:22,25;38:1;75:15, 16;76:23 later (3) 51:19;62:14,17 LATR (6) 54:22,25;59:10; 64:12;65:1;67:21 Law (2) 3:18;53:2 laws (1) 53:10 lawyer (1) 52:8 lawyers (2) 20:20;93:13 layer (2) 45:9,12 least (2) 61:21;65:21 leave (4) 5:7;10:14;93:10; 94:13 Lee- (1) 89:18 LEE-CHO (195) 3:17,18,23;4:11; 5:22;6:1,4,8;7:7,10,14, 17,19;8:12,17,21;9:5, 10,18,23;10:2,4,7,11, 15,17,21;11:4,8;14:11, 17,21;15:7;18:11,21; 19:1,3,7,13,18,24; 27:11,13,16,21;28:2,8, 10,12,15,19,23;30:5, 18;31:13;32:23;33:2,5, 7,12;38:4,10,14,17; 39:4,10;41:22,25; 43:22;44:9,21,25;45:7, 11,14,20,25;46:5,8,15, 19;47:1,4,9,11,13;48:1, 3,9,14,18;50:12;51:2; 52:19,23;53:12,14,18; 54:5,11,18,20;55:1,5,7, 8;58:13,15;60:11;63:7; 64:22,24;65:1,18,20; 66:1,9,13,19,22,24; 67:1,6,8;70:23;72:23; 73:23;75:7,15,20;76:2, 11,14,18;77:3,7,9;79:5, 8,11,13,24;80:7,11,24; 81:4,12,17,20;82:2,5; 83:4;84:16,18,21;85:1, 5,24;86:3,6,8,11,14,16,	21,23;88:23;89:1,4,8; 90:1,3,5,13,22;91:1,4, 11,14,25;92:2,24;93:1, 7,20;94:4,11,17,20,23, 25;95:4,7,10,17 left (1) 76:15 legal (7) 46:5,12;47:5;81:15; 84:18;93:12,17 legally (1) 46:12 less (2) 67:21;86:4 letters (2) 24:16;70:17 level (4) 35:16;52:2;78:10; 88:19 levels (3) 56:20,21,23 libraries (1) 21:15 Lieb (2) 88:11;89:20 life (2) 21:4,21 light (13) 14:8;16:19;18:7; 24:7;33:21;34:14; 35:18;36:7;50:6;83:24, 25;84:6;85:24 likely (1) 44:22 limit (6) 31:20;68:20,25; 71:10;72:14,18 limitation (4) 28:19,25;31:1,11 limited (7) 16:10;26:1;28:16; 31:25;73:3,16,17 limiting (5) 30:16,19,23;31:9; 53:5 limits (1) 72:5 line (3) 11:24;29:12;59:14 linked (1) 31:18 list (1) 67:2 listed (2) 24:8;34:10 little (7) 21:21;34:13;35:4; 44:10,16;48:22;68:17 living (2) 49:19;52:3 LLC (5) 3:2,19;8:1;48:15,17 local (3)	49:5,17;50:10 located (2) 9:8;34:1 location (4) 16:21;17:25;24:10; 37:20 locational (2) 24:9;41:14 long (1) 43:11 longer (1) 45:19 look (14) 13:1;17:9;21:25; 22:18;25:15;49:25; 56:13,14;67:12;69:13, 20;77:20;91:23;92:15 looked (7) 49:13;64:12;69:8,9; 71:13;77:22;78:1 looking (9) 16:24;17:2;18:24; 38:4,20,20;49:9;65:5; 71:8 loop (1) 82:2 Lot (17) 3:7;5:18;12:20,22; 14:15;17:16;24:16; 29:14;34:25;35:9,23; 38:24,25;50:8;51:8,15; 52:7 lower (1) 7:8 lunch (1) 77:25 lunchtime (1) 61:2 Lynn (1) 3:10	15:16;83:6,6,7,8 Map (7) 6:2,4,5,10;14:14; 29:3;32:4 March (1) 89:19 mark (6) 19:8,21;66:7;76:24; 90:4;95:11 marked (10) 3:25;4:2;10:24; 19:11;54:9;66:16;67:4; 77:1;89:22;95:14 market (4) 4:22;22:1;38:16; 52:21 marketing (1) 21:8 marketplace (1) 25:12 Maryland (6) 3:5;9:9,15;48:13; 49:8;70:11 mass (1) 49:6 Master (36) 4:18;5:19,20;9:20; 10:8,9,13;13:1;14:3,3; 15:2,5,20,22,24;16:21, 23;18:7;23:3,18,21,24; 24:6,13;25:11,22; 30:19,19,22;31:10,18; 34:11;37:8;40:14,22; 51:15 master's (1) 49:1 matter (3) 15:6;64:2;94:6 maximum (1) 78:9 may (23) 16:7;17:10;18:4,6; 22:5,5,5;23:24;25:16; 27:19;42:10;47:16; 53:6;62:13,13;73:14; 76:21;83:23;88:17; 92:5,14,19;94:7 maybe (7) 8:5;18:11,18;32:23; 50:7,8;76:22 mean (8) 19:2;26:17;27:17; 30:12;80:5;87:5,17; 89:6 means (1) 11:1 measure (3) 4:20;52:1,1 mechanisms (1) 87:14 medicals (1) 50:22 medicine (1)	21:5 meet (3) 23:24;24:12;74:24 meeting (2) 55:12;88:13 meets (1) 15:9 member (2) 8:1;48:16 members (1) 88:15 memo (1) 77:18 memorandum (1) 77:10 memory (1) 64:19 mention (2) 12:10;71:21 mentioned (9) 13:10,16;14:12;16:8; 23:14;33:22;51:13; 68:5;81:6 met (4) 32:18;82:18;83:15, 16 metered (1) 79:4 method (2) 91:15,17 Metro (16) 6:19;13:14;24:10; 33:22,24;34:4;59:15; 63:10,10,14;74:6;75:6, 25;78:13,14,17 Mexico (1) 22:10 mid-day (7) 8:9,13;60:13,14,16, 22,23 middle (1) 79:3 might (4) 17:11;18:11,15; 50:13 mile (4) 6:18;13:13,13;78:14 milestone (1) 51:4 Miller (2) 3:18,18 mind (4) 68:15;76:9;80:15; 87:7 minimal (1) 40:4 minor (1) 83:23 minute (1) 60:5 miscalculated (1) 71:16 misspeaking (1)
		M		
		ma'am (1) 20:15 mailed (1) 4:7 main (1) 7:1 maintaining (1) 87:15 major (3) 13:16;34:2;59:14 makes (3) 44:20;60:20;73:12 making (2) 60:21;69:20 management (3) 72:25;74:5;76:25 manufacturing (5) 18:5;20:22;21:12; 22:4,10 many (5)		

38:15 mistakes (1) 49:15 mix (2) 7:23;85:20 mixed (1) 60:18 mixing (1) 21:12 M-NCPPC (1) 80:19 mobile (1) 51:23 modern (1) 25:4 modifying (1) 77:14 moment (2) 36:16;58:10 money (2) 29:14;52:7 monitor (1) 32:11 monitoring (2) 52:4;53:3 monitors (1) 26:16 Montgomery (2) 9:14;70:15 month (1) 50:8 Montrose (4) 57:15;58:4;59:1,1 more (17) 7:5;8:4;14:6;18:24; 21:3;38:20;44:10,22; 49:22;50:10;53:7; 57:13;59:24;60:20; 65:5;72:1;73:15 morning (8) 3:17;8:7,25;59:25; 61:8,17,22;62:1 most (5) 15:9;22:21;52:6; 61:3;63:11 move (2) 50:7;52:11 moved (2) 48:25;49:8 moving (4) 14:21;49:17;50:4; 88:5 much (9) 5:7;28:25;31:1; 53:15;78:11;86:4;92:3, 4;95:16 multiple (2) 24:12,13 Multi-purpose (1) 90:9 must (2) 60:21;75:8 myself (1)	48:22 N name (9) 3:10;9:6,7;12:25; 36:15;43:12,14;48:9, 11 Nancy (3) 8:2,18;53:18 National (1) 70:12 nature (9) 16:17;17:14,20; 18:23;19:3,25;20:2; 22:18;30:11 necessarily (13) 13:25;18:7;21:11; 23:1,25;35:18;38:20; 39:21;40:1;59:22; 60:15;85:14;86:24 necessary (7) 39:13;77:16;78:22; 80:25;86:18;87:19; 88:16 need (22) 5:12;12:4;16:1; 17:12;32:21;37:7,9; 43:5;50:5,7;51:20; 54:3;61:25;67:22; 75:12;78:15;88:1;90:8, 12;92:16;93:4,4 needed (3) 52:14;78:3;85:21 needs (7) 57:14;63:22,24;73:1; 75:18;77:24;93:15 neighbor (1) 86:1 neighborhood (9) 11:10,13,16;12:6,9; 13:7,8,10;60:1 net (1) 56:14 network (1) 56:22 new (8) 28:12;29:2;32:15,24; 41:1;59:7;85:16,19 next (1) 33:18 nice (1) 52:2 nine (5) 12:23;34:7,11;41:14; 56:3 nobody (1) 89:15 none (1) 3:21 non-inherent (3) 39:18,24;40:9 non-R (1)	30:2 nor (2) 40:25;75:3 north (5) 11:21;55:17,24; 56:25;58:22 note (12) 9:18;14:14;15:7; 24:23;27:16;33:19; 35:12;57:13;78:5; 79:24;80:12;84:4 noted (7) 15:8;59:13;79:1; 81:24,25;83:16,21 notes (2) 40:3,4 notice (5) 5:4,5;34:21;86:2,11 noticed (2) 7:5;8:6 number (9) 7:8,10;26:24;30:6, 16;34:23;40:7;71:22; 80:19 numbers (3) 7:2;19:15;33:16 number's (1) 68:16 numerous (1) 53:24 O oath (1) 82:8 object (1) 87:16 objectives (2) 14:10;15:12 obviously (1) 15:19 occupancy (3) 26:6;27:7;37:2 occupation (1) 43:17 occurring (1) 22:3 October (1) 6:12 odd (1) 59:21 off (5) 8:2;37:5;54:21;59:4; 95:1 offer (1) 14:24 office (82) 3:6;4:17,19,19;5:10, 15;7:6,8,24;8:23; 15:10,11;16:9,10,16, 20;17:1,4,10,19,24; 18:2,4,19,23;19:4;20:1, 4,11,18,19;22:2,9,18;	23:10,16;24:8,24;25:6, 16;26:8,17,22;27:1; 28:1,6;29:5;30:23; 31:11,20,25;32:20; 33:10;36:8;37:1,2,6; 39:6;49:9;52:5,9,17; 53:1;59:23;64:19;65:6, 10,11;66:13;67:10; 70:25;71:4,5;73:14; 74:7,10;75:21;76:5; 77:20;84:1;88:11; 92:22 office/45 (1) 67:17 offices (2) 20:21;21:15 old (3) 25:5;32:18;35:8 older (2) 11:2;55:16 once (1) 50:8 one (45) 4:23;6:11;7:5,11; 8:4;13:13,19;15:8; 16:21,25;20:11,12; 21:3,10;22:4,11;23:19; 25:10;28:2;32:17;33:9, 18;34:10;37:6,23;38:1; 41:12;46:11;51:22; 57:4,13;58:10;60:24; 64:20;65:5;69:1;71:4; 76:14,18,19;80:12; 82:23;83:1,22;86:25 onerous (1) 60:25 ones (1) 45:4 only (17) 16:24;28:5,8,9,10; 43:25;45:1,3,4;46:15; 60:24;78:20,22;87:16; 91:6,15;92:6 open (11) 17:16;27:5;38:24; 42:8,13;63:18;92:5,18; 93:5,10;94:13 opening (2) 4:5;5:25 operate (1) 73:22 operating (2) 57:1;59:10 operation (1) 61:19 operational (2) 39:20,25 operations (2) 8:7;39:22 opinion (16) 14:24;15:6;25:20; 26:1;31:13;33:7,9; 36:24;44:10,11;46:5,	12:50;20;60:12;75:9; 92:5 opportunity (8) 31:22;32:14,16,25; 38:25;50:4;63:14; 92:24 opposed (2) 85:3;93:9 opposition (3) 3:21;54:3;85:25 option (1) 27:23 optional (2) 91:15,17 order (3) 43:10;48:23;92:9 Ordinance (6) 14:20;20:10;26:11; 28:4,12;91:19 orient (1) 6:2 orientate (1) 50:10 orientation (1) 59:7 original (6) 3:24;51:15;65:1,4; 73:3;77:14 originally (1) 49:7 others (2) 22:24;38:1 otherwise (1) 20:25 out (17) 15:1;18:20;19:14; 23:3;39:15;43:10; 51:19;56:25;61:7; 62:16;69:17;74:11; 77:15;78:21;81:8; 92:16,20 outline (1) 15:24 outlines (1) 11:23 outside (1) 73:9 Over (5) 31:23;35:23;51:3; 69:9;76:16 overall (1) 41:14 overlap (1) 87:4 Overlay (1) 29:18 overstating (1) 68:1 own (1) 49:3 owner (7) 7:25;8:6;15:2;22:21; 24:5;48:16;50:11
---	--	--	---	--

owner's (1) 15:4	27:18;51:15	51:23;67:10	77:3;88:11,12,13,15, 19;89:10,20;90:11,17; 92:11,14;93:16,17,22; 94:2,7,9,15,15	4:13
OZAH (1) 3:3	payment (1) 64:14	phrase (1) 75:16	19;89:10,20;90:11,17; 92:11,14;93:16,17,22; 94:2,7,9,15,15	prepared (1) 54:24
P	peak (12) 8:7,8;57:9;59:25,25; 60:16,22;61:12,13,17; 62:7,8	physical (8) 7:20;39:20,22,25; 46:1;87:20,24;88:17	plans (7) 10:13;18:15;24:19; 31:18;38:23;83:9;85:6	presentation (1) 18:13
packaging (1) 21:13	pedestrian (3) 34:2;59:11;64:12	physically (1) 21:25	platted (1) 6:11	presented (4) 18:13,13;19:9;24:22
packet (1) 19:14	people (3) 27:6;38:19;51:3	physics (1) 21:6	please (18) 3:15;9:1,6,10;33:7,8; 43:8,12,13;48:5,9,14; 53:19;54:23;63:8;65:3; 82:8;89:16	preserve (1) 25:23
packets (1) 19:16	peoples (1) 49:18	pick (2) 62:5,6	pleasure (2) 95:22,23	pressure (1) 52:1
page (15) 14:4;15:7;19:15,22; 33:16,17,18;39:14; 58:8,17,18,25;75:7,13, 15	per (1) 30:20	picking (1) 62:3	plus (1) 63:11	presume (1) 11:4
pages (1) 34:7	percent (53) 3:4;5:8;6:25;15:10; 16:10,11;26:2,18;27:9; 28:16,20;29:5,17;30:6, 8,9,14,14,16;32:25; 37:5;47:17;52:25;53:1, 5;57:6,11;64:14;65:6, 6,10,11;67:17,17;68:5; 69:14;70:3;71:8,13; 72:9,10,11,12,15; 74:20,22,25;78:4,11, 19;87:16;92:21,22	picky (1) 32:9	pm (2) 60:22;95:24	previous (8) 14:19;28:4,23;40:24; 55:9;56:15;67:16;89:8
paperwork (1) 76:20	percentage (6) 28:13;30:20;68:19; 74:12;77:16;81:7	Pike (1) 43:15	pod (1) 86:24	previously (3) 9:23;56:5;69:9
paragraph (1) 75:16	perfect (1) 25:15	pipeline (3) 56:4,6,12	point (19) 15:25,25;16:22,24; 17:22;18:12;24:23; 26:7;32:2,23;36:4; 39:6;50:21;51:14; 56:24;69:8;72:14; 75:11;80:24	primarily (3) 9:14;14:25;15:2
paraphrase (1) 16:23	perform (1) 50:18	place (9) 14:12;22:6;40:24; 50:20;51:7,8,18;62:12; 87:14	po (1) 86:24	Principal (1) 9:7
parcels (1) 6:10	period (7) 4:23;8:15;19:7; 31:23;62:7,8;74:24	placed (2) 34:1;44:23	point (19) 15:25,25;16:22,24; 17:22;18:12;24:23; 26:7;32:2,23;36:4; 39:6;50:21;51:14; 56:24;69:8;72:14; 75:11;80:24	principle (1) 7:1
Park (10) 3:8;70:12;89:10,20; 90:11;93:17;94:7,9,15, 15	permits (1) 26:6	places (1) 55:1	pointed (1) 81:8	prior (3) 69:2,5;75:3
Parking (41) 4:25;6:23;8:3;12:20, 22;14:15;30:10;34:25; 35:9,23;65:8;70:16; 77:10,13,17,17,19,20, 23;78:1,2,9,12,15;79:1, 2,4,17,18,23;80:1,8; 81:13;85:3,5,9,12,13, 18;91:10,14	permitted (2) 24:7;25:25	Plan (133) 4:18;5:19,20;6:19, 21,22;13:1,14;14:3,3; 15:2,5,13,17,20,22,24; 16:21,23;17:1,3,24; 18:7,10;23:4,15,18,21, 24;24:6,13,21;25:11, 22;30:19,20,22;31:10, 14;33:16,18,20;34:8, 11;36:19;37:8,9;40:5, 14,22;41:15;44:2,4,5, 18,19,24;45:2,8,15,16, 21,25;49:6,11,11,12, 12,14,15,16,19,21; 51:15;52:13,13;72:25; 73:3,4;76:3,6,25;77:13, 14,15;79:7,8,16,21,25; 80:5,6,18,19;83:12; 84:2;85:8,13,18,19,25; 86:4,18,18,25;87:17; 88:2,5,7,8,15;89:6; 90:12,16,20,22,24; 91:2,6,7,15,17,19,20, 21,22;92:6,8,9;93:8,10, 15;94:10	police (1) 83:14	private (1) 87:12
Parkway (2) 11:24;57:16	Permitting (4) 26:5;36:18;43:16; 85:7	planned (2) 13:4;91:5	policy (6) 44:13,16,17;51:4; 64:13;78:17	probably (4) 36:2;42:3;77:13,17
part (9) 12:22;27:23;56:10, 12;69:5;82:12;85:11; 92:13;93:9	person (3) 36:13,14;60:24	planner (6) 9:13;10:6,14,19; 53:25;54:2	position (7) 15:4;16:6;26:10; 48:14;90:11;92:8; 93:22	problem (1) 47:19;52:18;88:5
partial (1) 85:11	persons (1) 20:19	planning (39) 9:16,20,21;10:7,8,9, 15;11:7,8;14:25;18:12, 14;19:9;24:21;34:23; 54:13,15;70:12;71:3;	posting (1) 3:22	procedural (1) 5:1
particular (10) 13:18;28:21;30:20; 33:19;39:21;40:1;50:6; 61:4;73:13;78:18	perspective (6) 14:25;46:17;72:20; 86:17;87:2;88:1	planned (2) 13:4;91:5	potential (2) 32:6;34:24	proceed (1) 19:25
parties (2) 3:15;39:1	perspectives (1) 24:18	planner (6) 9:13;10:6,14,19; 53:25;54:2	potentially (3) 16:25;64:9;78:23	proceeded (3) 85:2,22;86:9
partitions (1) 25:6	Petitioner (1) 3:19	planning (39) 9:16,20,21;10:7,8,9, 15;11:7,8;14:25;18:12, 14;19:9;24:21;34:23; 54:13,15;70:12;71:3;	PowerPoint (3) 18:12,13;19:9	proceeding (2) 6:15;91:17
pay (2)	Pharmacopia (2) 50:25;51:2	planned (2) 13:4;91:5	powers (1) 42:21	process (6) 27:2;54:6;55:14; 65:7;85:9;94:9

<p>48:12 properties (3) 12:10,12;33:8 property (26) 3:6;6:8,9,11;8:6; 12:19;13:4,11,18,20; 14:14,18;16:10;28:4,5; 29:3;30:25;33:9,20; 40:25;56:16;75:3;76:3; 79:18;87:21,24 proposal (1) 15:10 proposed (6) 8:6;24:19;30:7; 34:14;75:9;80:20 proposing (1) 16:14 prospected (1) 49:17 prototypes (1) 21:8 provide (7) 13:21;47:24;50:5; 61:24;63:15;76:7;93:8 provided (6) 20:25;24:20;30:10; 59:11;65:9;70:17 provides (2) 25:11;81:14 providing (4) 76:9;77:25;78:21; 79:23 provision (1) 85:15 provisions (2) 91:8,18 proximity (8) 13:24;14:9;33:21,22; 36:9;59:7;75:6;78:13 public (4) 23:14;81:22;83:13; 87:15 pull (2) 5:14;59:4 punted (1) 88:20 purchased (1) 57:20 purely (1) 86:12 purpose (1) 60:7 purposes (3) 13:19;34:12;91:20 pushing (1) 71:10 put (11) 25:6;42:3;49:22; 51:17;52:16;61:16; 66:23;76:22;81:18; 84:23;89:6</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <p>qualified (2) 46:20;47:2 qualify (1) 10:5 quarter (1) 13:13 quibble (1) 70:3 quick (2) 77:25;81:22 quickest (1) 63:11 quite (3) 31:16;49:4;82:19 quote (2) 39:23,25 quotes (1) 15:21 quoting (1) 23:4</p>	<p>77:21 rather (3) 60:20;62:6;63:4 read (6) 20:18;31:2;33:16; 80:11;88:4;91:18 reads (1) 21:2 ready (1) 8:19 real (4) 18:17;20:23;49:3; 50:15 realize (3) 52:14;53:8;56:7 realized (1) 42:3 really (16) 17:15;18:7,20;25:9, 9,10;27:9;32:18;39:2; 50:3,11,23;51:15; 60:25;77:24;86:23 reason (7) 49:10;50:19;52:8; 78:17;86:8;87:16;91:6 reasonable (1) 53:5 reasons (1) 92:7 received (1) 90:14 recognition (2) 29:6;32:19 recognized (1) 78:13 recommended (2) 61:18,20 recommendation (5) 3:12;17:1,3;18:10; 60:12 recommendations (6) 5:19;15:12;16:22; 30:24,25;31:3 recommended (1) 8:8 record (43) 3:16,17;6:7;7:3,15; 9:5;10:22;12:14;14:11, 17;15:13;18:12,22; 20:17;27:5;29:1;36:16; 39:8,11;42:7,13;43:13; 48:10;58:11;65:16,21, 22;67:12;70:18;75:18; 76:11,12;77:11;80:11; 82:1;88:25;89:7,10,13; 92:5,18;93:5;94:13 redesigned (2) 57:15;58:2 redevelopment (2) 13:20;35:17 reduced (2) 58:3;66:13 reduction (11)</p>	<p>6:23;74:13,22,23,25; 75:2,3;78:4,12,19; 85:20 reductions (1) 6:24 refer (6) 11:13,16;33:13,14; 83:17;84:8 reference (11) 13:1;14:4,15;15:21; 16:23;17:23;38:12; 39:12;40:25;41:12; 83:24 referenced (1) 38:22 references (2) 33:25;39:15 referred (1) 34:2 referring (4) 6:6,7;11:17;33:23 reflect (1) 75:8 regard (3) 15:15;31:14;60:12 regarding (4) 72:24;81:6;82:12; 92:5 regardless (1) 39:22 regards (1) 55:12 regime (2) 28:4;87:14 regulated (1) 27:19 related (10) 5:16;17:11;20:12; 21:2,11,12,16,24; 23:23;39:5 relates (1) 21:21 relating (2) 73:21;83:13 relationship (1) 30:9 relative (1) 30:25 rely (1) 38:21 remain (3) 4:21;74:8;80:20 remaining (2) 57:11;68:20 remember (2) 12:25;58:11 reminded (2) 17:23;28:3 remove (1) 4:22 removed (1) 87:23 renderings (1)</p>	<p>24:18 renovation (1) 44:16 reorganized (1) 32:15 repair (1) 50:7 replace (1) 58:4 replaced (1) 87:23 report (39) 3:12;5:7;7:11;11:13, 23,25;12:13;13:11,17; 14:22;15:8,24;16:8; 27:24,25;28:1;31:2; 38:5;39:12,15,19; 40:15,23;41:6;59:13; 67:21;68:7,9,10;72:24; 75:7,14;81:23;82:10, 15,17;83:18,23;87:13 REPORTER (2) 43:1,4 reporting (1) 87:9 representation (4) 12:5;34:9,24;36:6 representations (2) 24:20;38:24 reproduction (1) 33:17 request (4) 85:21;88:6;89:9; 93:7 requested (3) 53:9;67:12;90:13 require (5) 39:10;85:12;91:6; 92:8;94:10 required (15) 27:18;46:13;64:13; 73:10;78:4;88:6;90:16, 21,22,24;91:2,16,18, 21;92:10 requirement (7) 5:4,5;37:8;47:18; 78:2,3;87:9 requirements (3) 15:9;77:22;86:2 requires (1) 87:10 requiring (2) 47:7;92:10 research (27) 5:13,15,16;14:1; 16:19;17:10;20:12; 21:1,2,4,6,7,23,24; 22:3,5,11,15,16,19; 23:15,22;25:14;26:8, 10;27:1;53:7 researched (1) 15:3 reserve (1)</p>
<p>provided (6) 20:25;24:20;30:10; 59:11;65:9;70:17 provides (2) 25:11;81:14 providing (4) 76:9;77:25;78:21; 79:23 provision (1) 85:15 provisions (2) 91:8,18 proximity (8) 13:24;14:9;33:21,22; 36:9;59:7;75:6;78:13 public (4) 23:14;81:22;83:13; 87:15 pull (2) 5:14;59:4 punted (1) 88:20 purchased (1) 57:20 purely (1) 86:12 purpose (1) 60:7 purposes (3) 13:19;34:12;91:20 pushing (1) 71:10 put (11) 25:6;42:3;49:22; 51:17;52:16;61:16; 66:23;76:22;81:18; 84:23;89:6</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <p>radius (1) 74:19 railroad (1) 11:24 raise (5) 4:9;9:1;43:8;48:5; 53:19 raised (2) 70:9;88:14 Randall (144) 4:25;5:6;8:2,5; 30:12;53:18,19,23; 54:1,11,15,20,24;55:6, 11,19,23;56:8,10,12, 18;57:4,19,24;58:1,18, 21,25;59:3,6,9,19;60:7, 10,14;61:7,11,15,18; 62:5,8,10,19,21;63:1,3, 9,19,22,24;64:1,4,6,8, 11,18;65:4,14,24;66:3, 20;67:6,7,9,16,19,25; 68:3,7,11,13,15,19,24; 69:4,7,12,16,20,23,25; 70:2,5,8,10,14,20;71:2, 7,10,12,16,19,21,24; 72:3,7,10,12,14,17,20, 22;73:2,19,24;74:2,10, 13,16,18,22;75:2,6,11; 76:13,15,19;77:9,12; 78:8,25;79:9,14,16,20, 23,24;80:1,4,8,13,15, 17,23,25;81:10;83:16; 84:22;90:2,4,9;95:5,18 Randolph (8) 5:9;56:1;57:8;58:5, 22;59:4;68:21,24 rates (1)</p>	<p>77:21 rather (3) 60:20;62:6;63:4 read (6) 20:18;31:2;33:16; 80:11;88:4;91:18 reads (1) 21:2 ready (1) 8:19 real (4) 18:17;20:23;49:3; 50:15 realize (3) 52:14;53:8;56:7 realized (1) 42:3 really (16) 17:15;18:7,20;25:9, 9,10;27:9;32:18;39:2; 50:3,11,23;51:15; 60:25;77:24;86:23 reason (7) 49:10;50:19;52:8; 78:17;86:8;87:16;91:6 reasonable (1) 53:5 reasons (1) 92:7 received (1) 90:14 recognition (2) 29:6;32:19 recognized (1) 78:13 recommended (2) 61:18,20 recommendation (5) 3:12;17:1,3;18:10; 60:12 recommendations (6) 5:19;15:12;16:22; 30:24,25;31:3 recommended (1) 8:8 record (43) 3:16,17;6:7;7:3,15; 9:5;10:22;12:14;14:11, 17;15:13;18:12,22; 20:17;27:5;29:1;36:16; 39:8,11;42:7,13;43:13; 48:10;58:11;65:16,21, 22;67:12;70:18;75:18; 76:11,12;77:11;80:11; 82:1;88:25;89:7,10,13; 92:5,18;93:5;94:13 redesigned (2) 57:15;58:2 redevelopment (2) 13:20;35:17 reduced (2) 58:3;66:13 reduction (11)</p>	<p>6:23;74:13,22,23,25; 75:2,3;78:4,12,19; 85:20 reductions (1) 6:24 refer (6) 11:13,16;33:13,14; 83:17;84:8 reference (11) 13:1;14:4,15;15:21; 16:23;17:23;38:12; 39:12;40:25;41:12; 83:24 referenced (1) 38:22 references (2) 33:25;39:15 referred (1) 34:2 referring (4) 6:6,7;11:17;33:23 reflect (1) 75:8 regard (3) 15:15;31:14;60:12 regarding (4) 72:24;81:6;82:12; 92:5 regardless (1) 39:22 regards (1) 55:12 regime (2) 28:4;87:14 regulated (1) 27:19 related (10) 5:16;17:11;20:12; 21:2,11,12,16,24; 23:23;39:5 relates (1) 21:21 relating (2) 73:21;83:13 relationship (1) 30:9 relative (1) 30:25 rely (1) 38:21 remain (3) 4:21;74:8;80:20 remaining (2) 57:11;68:20 remember (2) 12:25;58:11 reminded (2) 17:23;28:3 remove (1) 4:22 removed (1) 87:23 renderings (1)</p>	<p>24:18 renovation (1) 44:16 reorganized (1) 32:15 repair (1) 50:7 replace (1) 58:4 replaced (1) 87:23 report (39) 3:12;5:7;7:11;11:13, 23,25;12:13;13:11,17; 14:22;15:8,24;16:8; 27:24,25;28:1;31:2; 38:5;39:12,15,19; 40:15,23;41:6;59:13; 67:21;68:7,9,10;72:24; 75:7,14;81:23;82:10, 15,17;83:18,23;87:13 REPORTER (2) 43:1,4 reporting (1) 87:9 representation (4) 12:5;34:9,24;36:6 representations (2) 24:20;38:24 reproduction (1) 33:17 request (4) 85:21;88:6;89:9; 93:7 requested (3) 53:9;67:12;90:13 require (5) 39:10;85:12;91:6; 92:8;94:10 required (15) 27:18;46:13;64:13; 73:10;78:4;88:6;90:16, 21,22,24;91:2,16,18, 21;92:10 requirement (7) 5:4,5;37:8;47:18; 78:2,3;87:9 requirements (3) 15:9;77:22;86:2 requires (1) 87:10 requiring (2) 47:7;92:10 research (27) 5:13,15,16;14:1; 16:19;17:10;20:12; 21:1,2,4,6,7,23,24; 22:3,5,11,15,16,19; 23:15,22;25:14;26:8, 10;27:1;53:7 researched (1) 15:3 reserve (1)</p>

<p>25:23 resident (1) 86:1 resolution (1) 77:4 respect (7) 31:9,18;33:19;40:6, 22;41:4,13 respond (5) 67:11;92:20;93:1,3; 94:14 response (3) 90:15;93:4;94:16 rest (1) 61:4 restate (2) 4:11;14:23 Restaurant (4) 29:21,23;50:8;77:23 restaurants (2) 8:15;60:19 restriction (1) 26:2 resubmitted (1) 55:2 result (5) 7:20,21;87:21;88:17; 93:20 resultant (1) 21:8 resume (6) 9:18,19;10:21;11:1; 53:22;54:7 resumes (2) 95:4,12 retail (2) 20:24;60:19 retain (1) 27:25 review (5) 15:17;64:13;83:11; 85:12;90:18 reviewed (3) 66:6;70:16;83:20 revise (1) 67:22 revised (10) 65:9;66:7,9,12; 77:19;93:15,18,23,25; 94:5 revision (1) 94:10 rezoned (1) 6:13 rezoning (1) 31:24 ride (1) 75:25 ridership (1) 60:23 right (78) 3:20,25;4:4;5:20;9:1, 4;19:23;24:17;25:7,7;</p>	<p>27:11;28:24;29:5,7,24; 30:4,8;35:10;37:18; 41:20,25;43:8;45:7; 48:5,8;50:15;53:20; 55:18,22;56:17;57:3; 60:3;61:6;62:18,20; 63:6,25;64:1,7,18,20; 67:24;68:11,18,23; 69:3,25;70:4,6;71:6,9, 11,11,15,23;72:7,7,21, 23;74:9,15,21;80:4,24; 81:21;82:1;83:17,17; 84:3,17;85:17;86:3,10, 12,13;91:13;94:12,22 right-of-way (2) 57:20;58:7 River (1) 48:12 Road (11) 5:9;48:12;55:21; 56:1;57:8;58:5,22; 59:4;68:4,21,25 roadway (1) 59:9 ROBESON (373) 3:2,10,20,25;4:4,14; 5:24;6:3,6;7:5,9,13,16, 18;8:4,13,20,24;9:1,4; 10:1,3,5,9,13,16,18,23; 11:1,6,15,17,19;12:1,3, 7,15,18,21,24;13:2,6, 25;14:7;16:1,11;17:5; 18:2,9,17,25;19:2,5,8, 15,20;20:2,7,9,14,16; 22:25;23:7,9,12,19,21; 24:2,16;25:3,7,17,20; 26:9,13,16;27:4,12,14, 20,22;28:17;29:7,9,14, 20,23,25;30:2;31:5,7; 32:7,9,13;33:1,3,6,11; 34:5,16,18,21;35:2,5,7, 10,19,24;36:2,10,12, 22;37:12,15,18,22; 38:3,8;39:9;40:11,13; 41:2,8,11,16,20,24; 42:2,7,13,18,20,23; 43:2,5,8,10,17,20,24; 44:3,5,7,12;46:2,7,9, 18,20;47:2,5,10,12,14, 20,23;48:2,5,8,24;51:6, 12;52:10,22,24;53:15, 19,22,24;54:2,7,14,16, 19;55:4,10,18,22;56:6, 9,11,17;57:3,17,22,25; 58:10,14,16,20,24; 59:2,5,8,18;60:6,9; 61:6,10,12,16;62:2,7,9, 18,20,22,24;63:2,6,17, 21,23,25;64:3,5,7,10, 17,20,23,25;65:13,16, 19,23,25;66:4,11,15, 18,21,23,25;67:2,15, 18,24;68:2,6,10,12,14,</p>	<p>18,23;69:3,6,11,15,19, 22,24;70:1,4,6,9,13,19, 22;71:6,9,11,15,18,20, 23;72:2,4,8,11,13,16, 19,21;73:16,21;74:1,9, 11,15,17,21;75:1,5,13, 17,24;76:9,12,17,24; 77:5,8;78:7,24;79:7,10, 12,15,19,22;80:3,5,10, 14,16,22;81:3,5,11,15, 18,21,25;82:4,6,13,20, 22,25;83:5,8,11,19; 84:2,7,10,13,15,17,20, 22;85:4,23;86:2,4,7,10, 13,15,20,22;88:21,25; 89:2,5,14,21,24;90:6, 10,20,24;91:2,10,13, 23;92:1,3,25;93:2,12, 21;94:5,12,19,22;95:1, 6,9,11,16,20 Rockville (4) 3:5,8;43:15;49:12 role (1) 48:15 roughly (1) 29:10 route (2) 34:2;59:14 run (12) 60:1,3,13,14,21,24; 61:1,8,17,19,21,25 running (3) 27:8;60:2;61:5 runs (3) 58:22,22;59:14 S S-2878 (2) 3:3;80:21 same (15) 14:3;21:25,25;44:23, 24;55:5,6,7,8;64:19; 74:19;76:6,8;81:1; 93:20 satisfy (1) 23:17 saw (1) 31:5 saying (6) 53:6;63:4;71:3; 75:19;93:24,25 scale (1) 39:22 scheduled (1) 72:5 school (1) 87:12 science (1) 21:5 sciences (2) 21:4,21 scientific (1)</p>	<p>21:3 scope (1) 54:21 scoping (1) 55:11 se (1) 30:20 second (11) 21:1,6;22:13;27:22; 38:6,8,11;43:15;55:25; 83:20;95:1 secondary (2) 38:16,17 Section (2) 5:2;20:11 Sector (8) 6:21;15:13;17:24; 31:14;33:15,20;34:8; 79:21 Seeing (1) 3:21 seeking (2) 6:25;10:5 seemed (1) 85:17 send (5) 27:5;42:5,8,23;66:6 senior (1) 52:3 sense (9) 10:9;13:10;31:17; 35:16;40:8;60:20,24; 61:2;73:13 sent (4) 67:10,16;88:23; 89:19 sentence (4) 21:2,7,11;75:16 separate (5) 6:10;29:22;30:1; 69:8;86:24 separately (1) 47:11 serve (5) 23:14;24:12,12; 63:11;87:15 serves (1) 64:19 service (11) 8:6;16:20;23:13,18, 24;50:5,10;56:21,21, 23;61:24 services (11) 13:22;14:5;17:2; 21:14,15;26:5;36:19; 43:16;63:13,15;85:7 servicing (1) 21:13 serving (1) 77:24 set (6) 44:1;51:4,7;74:12; 82:14;85:10</p>	<p>setback (4) 6:23;46:1;91:11,11 setbacks (1) 85:10 Seven (7) 7:16;16:12;34:23; 58:6,18;75:7,15 several (4) 6:22;13:12;15:21; 39:15 sewer (1) 83:14 Shanghai (1) 49:2 share (2) 74:4;75:25 shared (3) 74:12;77:22;78:2 shorter (1) 94:21 show (1) 38:24 shown (1) 65:14 shows (6) 34:8,8;35:16,22; 77:13;79:16 shuttle (15) 8:6;59:18,22;60:25; 61:17,18;63:7,18;64:9; 73:9,11,14,17,22;78:20 side (1) 55:24 sign (1) 74:6 signage (1) 24:25 similar (1) 22:18 simple (1) 52:11 simply (2) 33:25;93:18 site (112) 6:2,19,22;12:11,13; 13:10,23;14:9;22:3,5, 10;24:6,21,25;26:23; 28:21;29:21;30:24; 34:1,1,4,8,12,25;35:1, 13,17,17;36:9,19;37:9; 40:3,5,8;44:2,4,5,18, 19,24;45:1,8,15,16,21, 25;49:9;52:13,13;56:4, 15,22;60:3;72:25;73:3, 3;76:3,25;77:12,13,14, 15;78:3;79:7,8,16,25; 80:5,6,18,19;83:9,11; 84:2;85:8,19,25;86:4, 18,18,25;87:17,19; 88:2,5,7,8,15;89:6; 90:12,16,20,22,24; 91:2,5,6,7,15,17,19,20, 21,21;92:6,8,9;93:8,10,</p>
--	---	--	--	--

<p>14,15;94:10 sitting (1) 49:13 situation (2) 29:18;87:12 six (1) 34:11 size (1) 39:22 slight (1) 40:7 slightly (3) 62:14,16;71:22 small (13) 13:21,21;17:12,12; 22:24;38:21,22;39:3,3; 44:16;50:3;51:16; 77:23 smaller (2) 49:24;50:11 software (2) 17:9;25:14 sole (1) 7:25 solicit (2) 92:5;94:7 somebody (1) 26:18 someone (1) 36:13 sometimes (3) 4:16;7:3;36:25 somewhat (1) 13:12 somewhere (1) 76:20 Soo (2) 3:17;89:18 soon (1) 42:16 sorry (8) 12:17;23:7;33:12; 54:14;57:22;58:5,16; 75:13 sort (6) 27:1;36:6;39:12; 46:16;87:2,18 sorts (5) 13:21;17:17,21;39:1, 3 south (6) 11:21;35:13,23; 55:24,25;57:1 space (18) 17:13,16;20:4,5; 21:25;25:3,5,5;28:1; 38:21,25;52:9,9;56:5; 77:20,20,23;92:22 spaces (9) 13:21;14:5;38:20; 74:4;77:13;78:3;79:4, 17,18 speak (11)</p>	<p>22:2;26:6,22;32:22, 24,25;33:7,8;43:5; 50:12;94:2 speaking (2) 41:3;45:17 Special (58) 3:4;5:3;6:12,14; 7:21;11:11;14:13; 15:19;16:4;24:23; 25:24,25;27:6,17,18; 28:7,8,9,10;29:10; 31:22;32:5,16;36:18; 43:19;44:18,19,23; 45:1,2,4,8,11,18,21; 54:24;72:4;73:8,10,18, 20;79:11;80:18,21; 87:5,7,10,14,21,25; 88:3;91:8;92:10,12; 93:15,19;94:6,9 specialty (1) 10:8 specific (8) 28:24;29:18;30:24, 25;31:19;50:20;62:3,5 specifically (6) 14:4;22:23;23:6; 29:3;31:20;93:8 specify (1) 65:2 speedy (1) 59:17 spend (1) 29:15 split (10) 65:2,6,9;66:12,14; 67:9,13,14,19,20 spoke (1) 67:10 spot (3) 42:3;81:19;84:23 square (7) 7:2;26:25;29:11,15; 40:17;65:2;73:4 stack (1) 76:20 Staff (42) 5:7;7:11;8:8;11:12, 23,25;12:9,13;15:1,8, 17;31:2;32:5;39:11,15, 15,19;40:3,4,15,23; 41:13;55:12;56:13; 65:7;68:7;70:11,12,13; 71:3;72:24;75:7,13; 81:23;82:10,14,16,16; 83:15,18,23;89:10 Staff's (5) 15:6;60:12;72:20; 81:8;83:21 stage (2) 24:21;64:3 stand (2) 9:2;87:1 standard (10)</p>	<p>57:2,10;59:10,11; 64:12;72:5;73:7;74:3; 77:21;83:13 standards (9) 6:21;39:16;57:1; 80:12,18;82:13,17; 83:4,12 standpoint (2) 30:15;39:2 start (11) 18:18;39:3;49:3; 54:21;57:21,23;61:23; 62:11,13,14,17 started (5) 5:23;6:1;11:9;49:10, 11 starting (1) 49:4 startup (5) 16:25;17:7,12;23:4; 38:19 state (8) 9:6,10;15:13;43:12; 48:9,14,19;70:14 stated (1) 39:19 statement (8) 4:5;5:25;7:19;8:2,7; 48:4;59:12;75:10 statements (1) 7:12 States (1) 49:1 Station (8) 6:19,20;59:15;63:10, 10,14;78:17;91:8 status (3) 57:17,19;87:13 stay (1) 27:9 step (1) 73:9 Stephany (3) 8:1;48:4,11 still (9) 6:9;22:11,11,19; 23:17;76:6,7,7;82:8 stipulate (1) 40:23 stop (2) 52:6;60:3 store (1) 20:24 stories (1) 35:21 storm (1) 83:14 straight (2) 58:7;59:20 street (3) 78:5;79:1,2 strictly (1) 87:6</p>	<p>strongly (1) 87:17 structured (1) 85:12 studied (1) 65:2 studies (1) 70:16 study (19) 7:7;21:2;51:9;54:22, 22,25;55:1,12,12; 56:19;58:8,13;61:13; 65:5,15;67:23,25; 68:12,15 stuff (3) 42:24;82:9;83:2 subject (3) 12:11,19;34:25 submission (1) 55:9 submit (5) 3:22;47:11;65:22; 89:2;90:14 submitted (2) 54:22;65:21 subsequent (3) 45:8;88:4,12 subsidies (1) 76:7 subsidize (2) 64:9;75:25 subtract (1) 69:17 successful (3) 31:15,17;32:1 suddenly (1) 60:4 sufficient (4) 10:16,20;72:1;83:5 sugar (1) 52:2 suggest (3) 62:2,3;85:2 Suite (1) 9:8 suited (2) 33:10;50:10 summarized (2) 37:19;77:18 summarizing (1) 54:21 summary (1) 83:21 super (1) 59:17 supply (1) 59:25 support (9) 13:22;14:5,9;16:6, 15,17;17:2;63:13; 78:20 Supporting (1) 21:14</p>	<p>supportive (3) 18:6,8;41:5 supports (3) 16:5;41:6;63:12 supposed (1) 74:24 Sure (10) 23:20;26:20;37:22; 38:12;39:14;45:23; 64:25;83:3,19;87:3 surface (1) 79:16 surprised (1) 29:9 surrounding (1) 79:17 swath (3) 58:7,21;59:1 swear (2) 43:6,21 switched (2) 7:11,12 sworn (5) 9:3;37:13;43:9;48:7; 53:21 system (3) 49:23;51:16;68:4</p>
T				
<p>table (14) 21:20;34:12;65:10, 20,20;66:10;67:16; 77:18;80:2,9,12;95:7,8, 13 tabs (2) 37:7;47:17 talented (1) 22:22 talents (1) 51:9 talk (2) 18:23;21:20 talked (4) 14:4,6;25:11;30:22 talking (2) 7:22;12:15 tally (3) 26:19,22;27:8 tallying (1) 28:1 TAMG (1) 73:2 tax (1) 64:15 team (1) 74:5 tech (3) 17:21,23;18:3 Technical (16) 11:12;12:13;15:8,9, 17;31:2;39:11,14; 40:15;41:13;81:8,23;</p>				

<p>82:10,14;83:15;89:9 technology (1) 51:10 tenants (2) 27:3;61:24 tend (2) 4:15,16 term (5) 23:2,3;40:4;73:17,17 terms (7) 59:19;68:3;69:23; 70:25;73:4;86:17; 87:15 Terrific (1) 48:1 test (1) 22:14 testified (2) 9:23;53:24 testify (6) 7:25;33:3;46:3; 47:21;88:21;89:24 testimony (9) 3:11;4:9,13;29:2; 36:25;41:6,18;81:6; 84:9 Thanks (1) 53:14 that'd (1) 76:13 That'll (1) 10:23 therefore (1) 67:22 thick (1) 11:1 third (1) 56:1 Thirty-six (2) 90:2,3 though (7) 4:20;5:15;26:16; 36:2;51:7;57:13;91:20 thought (3) 22:15;57:13;88:17 thoughts (2) 88:10;90:14 three (17) 5:8;6:10;13:15; 16:12,13;35:21;56:19; 61:25;62:1;68:5;71:8, 13;72:6,10,11,11,12 Thursday (1) 42:17 tied (2) 27:21;76:2 tier (5) 38:6,8,11,16,17 till (2) 49:5;92:15 timeframe (1) 42:9 times (5)</p>	<p>53:24;60:16;61:23; 62:17;69:8 title (1) 19:22 TMA (1) 75:18 TMAG (15) 73:7,7,9,10,12,22; 74:3,5;75:8,19,20,22, 24;76:5,10 TMAGs (2) 74:11;76:17 TMX (1) 41:10 TMX-2 (1) 14:20 today (10) 14:13;22:16;31:24; 35:9,21;61:21;82:7,11; 90:6;92:15 today's (1) 22:1 together (1) 88:4 tomorrow (1) 42:16 took (2) 74:13,25 topic (1) 60:11 total (8) 56:3,23;57:5,6,9,10; 69:13;78:4 touch (1) 88:2 town (1) 9:16 Townscape (1) 9:8 track (7) 4:15,18,20;37:2,5; 54:16;92:21 trade (1) 20:21 traffic (19) 7:7;8:3;54:13;58:2,8, 13;61:13;65:4,15; 66:11;67:21,23,25; 68:12,13;70:16;72:24; 73:2;95:12 transcript (1) 42:14 Transit (3) 6:20;75:2;91:8 translate (2) 5:9;70:25 transparency (1) 50:19 transportation (9) 30:11;53:25;54:2,12, 15;64:13;70:15;75:11; 76:25 travel (1)</p>	<p>49:2 treated (1) 91:16 treatment (1) 21:13 tree (1) 87:22 tremendous (1) 50:21 tried (1) 15:24 trip (9) 65:10,20;66:9;67:13; 68:16;74:13;95:7,12, 13 trips (5) 56:19,22;65:12; 74:12;75:4 true (1) 44:25 trust (1) 20:23 try (6) 16:22;42:11,15;50:1; 69:17;92:20 trying (8) 12:25;18:20;36:4; 48:20;51:21;52:24; 78:16;83:1 TSDA (1) 6:20 tubes (1) 22:14 Tuesday (1) 89:19 Twinbrook (20) 6:18,21;8:14;11:24; 13:14;15:12;17:24; 23:3,15;33:15,18,20; 34:7;41:15;48:21; 49:11,24;60:18;63:10, 12 two (17) 13:15;20:10;21:17, 18;22:4,20;25:13; 32:10;55:1;57:7;61:3, 21,22;62:6;69:8;86:24; 92:6 type (3) 7:22;22:22;48:19 types (4) 17:5,8,11;73:6 typical (3) 55:11;59:24,24 typically (1) 61:2 typo (1) 83:23</p>	<p>unclear (1) 16:4 under (41) 5:1,2,4;6:15,20;7:7; 17:3;18:4;24:19;25:16; 26:15,22,23;28:3,12, 23;31:22;32:15,17; 38:16;40:24;57:5,10, 19;68:25;72:25;78:10; 80:12,20;81:14;82:8; 85:3,14,22;86:4,9; 87:14;90:18;91:14,17, 19 underlying (1) 90:25 understaffed (1) 27:23 Understood (1) 94:11 unforeseeable (1) 4:23 Unfortunately (3) 11:3;19:18;53:2 unintentionally (1) 7:14 unique (3) 13:12;24:6;84:4 United (1) 49:1 unless (2) 47:15;93:4 unlike (3) 74:14,18,19 unnecessary (2) 75:12;88:18 unsuccessful (1) 31:15 unusual (2) 22:9;40:2 up (28) 3:4;6:25;13:1;15:10; 25:6;34:20,22;39:3; 43:1,2;51:4,7;59:15; 60:18,20;63:13,14; 64:23;66:5;71:5,13; 74:6;78:2,10;82:22; 89:16;92:11;93:3 update (2) 80:8;87:11 updated (5) 65:18;75:8;80:4; 95:12,12 upon (7) 15:2;30:6;59:21; 60:5;61:19;63:8;73:5 upper (1) 68:20 urban (2) 9:16;10:7 use (60) 5:10,17;6:2;12:9; 14:22,25;15:10,12; 16:3;17:1,10;18:4,8,19,</p>	<p>19;19:13;20:19;21:20; 22:11,19;23:17,18; 24:8,24,25;26:6;27:7; 28:6;30:2,4;35:24; 38:4;39:6,21;40:2,4; 44:13;45:9;50:2,3; 51:8,18;56:15;59:22, 23,23;60:18;64:16; 70:25;71:4,5;73:14; 75:9,21;85:16,19,20; 86:14;90:25;91:3 used (3) 3:6;51:3;65:19 user (7) 38:8;51:16;73:5; 76:5,5;78:18,22 users (14) 13:24,25;14:1;22:23, 24;24:11;33:22;38:6; 39:1,3;59:21;73:13; 78:23;80:9 uses (20) 4:19;7:22;13:21; 14:9;16:6,7,19,19,20, 20,24;17:5;23:24,25; 24:8;25:2,21;30:23; 53:2;84:6 using (2) 39:11;57:6 utilized (1) 91:7</p>
V				
				<p>vacant (1) 12:20 value (1) 50:21 Vargas (35) 36:17,17;37:11,14, 17;42:3,6,11,15,19,22, 25;43:3,7,14,14,18,23, 25;44:4,6,8,14,14,15, 22;45:4,10,13,17,23; 47:15,19,22,24 variations (1) 41:14 variety (1) 17:8 various (2) 74:4;87:1 version (2) 25:4,5 versus (6) 4:19;18:19;28:1; 40:16;67:13;92:22 vertically (1) 35:22 Vicinity (2) 6:2,5 view (3) 50:21;58:9;75:11 vision (2)</p>
		U		
		unchanged (1) 80:20		

22:15;24:4 visitor (1) 79:3 visual (1) 36:6 visualize (1) 18:15 volume (4) 56:14;57:9;58:2; 59:4	49:8,15,18,25;61:23; 73:12;76:11;78:17 wholesale (2) 20:24;85:12 who's (2) 26:19;47:17 Wilkins (12) 6:18;11:21;12:16,20; 35:1;55:16,19,25; 56:25;78:7,8;79:2	6:9;34:14;35:2,3,12 Yu (27) 8:1;48:4,5,11,11,12, 16,22,25;50:14;51:1,3, 7,13;52:11,20;53:12, 13,17;62:22,22,23; 82:6,12,19,21;94:24 Y-U (1) 48:12	13 (1) 87:5 1305 (2) 29:21,23 143-foot (1) 13:4 145-foot (1) 13:4 15 (6) 25:22;27:11,13; 92:19;94:18,21 15- (1) 60:4 150 (1) 9:9 1503 (1) 3:3 15400 (1) 48:12 16 (2) 58:8,18 16,000 (3) 29:10,15,17 1990 (1) 49:1 1992 (2) 31:17,18 1995 (1) 49:2 1997 (1) 49:4 1999 (1) 49:5 19C (1) 77:11 19D (2) 79:13,14	236 (1) 78:3 25 (1) 64:14 255 (1) 43:15 25B (2) 55:3;58:16 29 (3) 4:1,2;33:17 29th (1) 66:25	
W	window (4) 61:3,21,22;62:6 wish (4) 15:25;36:15;40:19; 47:25 within (38) 10:14;13:13,13,15; 17:16;18:6;20:11;22:7, 7,8;23:18;24:11,13; 30:23,24;31:12;33:20, 23,23;36:8;41:14; 44:19;57:1;59:11,12; 61:19;62:8;72:6;73:5, 6;74:3,14,19;78:13,16, 25;94:16,16 without (1) 45:22 Witness (5) 9:3;43:9;46:3;48:7; 53:21 witnesses (1) 8:21 word (2) 44:16;62:4 work (4) 48:20;50:14;73:14; 92:20 worked (1) 9:13 working (1) 65:7 workout (1) 90:6 world (2) 22:8;51:3 worse (1) 69:21 write (1) 3:12 writing (3) 62:2;90:14;94:1 written (4) 29:1;41:18;53:11; 84:9	Z	Zone (31) 3:7;4:17;6:15;14:12, 12,18;21:21;28:4,6; 29:2,3,18;31:20,20; 32:3,15,21,24;36:3; 41:10;74:14,18,19; 78:6,9,12;91:5,6,9,16, 22 zoned (5) 6:12;12:11,13;14:20; 24:7 zoning (21) 4:21;6:2,4,4,10;9:21; 10:8;14:14,20;20:10; 25:24;28:4,12,23; 31:10;36:19;40:23,24; 41:1,8;91:19	3 (6) 65:20;66:10;70:3; 95:7,8,13 30 (3) 10:23,24;22:1 30-minute (1) 59:16 31 (2) 19:9,11 31A (2) 19:21,23 31B (1) 38:23 31D (1) 24:15 31E (1) 33:23 31G (1) 24:18 31H (2) 33:14,17 31I (1) 33:14 31J (1) 33:24 31K (2) 33:14;34:6 31st (1) 89:19 32 (2) 54:8,9 33 (5) 9:14;66:7,7,15,16 34 (2) 66:18;67:4 347 (1) 40:16 348 (1) 40:17 35 (6) 29:5;32:25;57:6; 76:24;77:1,7 36 (2) 89:21,22 37 (2) 74:22;95:14 37C (1) 95:12	
wait (2) 43:21;58:10 waiver (8) 5:2,3;77:16;78:19; 81:13;85:3,21;91:10 waivers (4) 6:22;85:16;91:12,14 walk (1) 39:12 walked (1) 36:13 walking (2) 33:23,23 wants (4) 25:23;26:18;46:10; 49:8 warehouse (1) 20:25 warrants (1) 85:20 Washington (1) 3:8 water (2) 83:14,14 way (10) 27:8;40:13;52:2; 53:3,5,9;63:10;68:8; 71:12;81:1 Wednesday (1) 42:16 week (2) 4:7;42:17 welcome (3) 70:10,10;80:23 west (6) 11:24;12:11,19; 34:25;55:24;58:25 what's (12) 18:18;28:17,25; 31:23;32:15;33:19; 34:2;35:11;42:16; 50:23;61:16;63:12 whereby (1) 61:7 Whereupon (1) 95:24 white (4) 34:15;49:12,21; 74:23 whole (8)	Y	1	2	3	
	year (1) 6:13 years (6) 9:14;10:11;22:1; 49:7;72:6;87:5 yellow (5)	1,486 (3) 57:9;69:1,13 1,550 (3) 57:10;68:25;69:13 1,800 (1) 57:2 1.22b2 (1) 90:18 10 (8) 42:14,18;55:2;92:5, 18;93:2;94:16,16 100 (2) 16:10;28:20 103-acre (1) 31:12 10-minute (1) 60:5 11 (1) 39:14 11A (5) 6:5,7,8;11:17,18 12 (1) 33:18 12:01 (1) 95:24 123 (2) 77:13;78:3 12358 (1) 3:5 12th (2) 77:10,19	2	2 (2) 11:23;41:10 2.0 (3) 12:11;13:5;41:10 2.04 (1) 6:17 20 (5) 22:1;93:5,6;94:13,14 2004 (6) 5:16;20:14;23:23; 24:9;26:11;39:16 2009 (3) 14:3;23:3;33:15 2014 (2) 67:2;77:10 2015 (1) 89:19 2019 (3) 57:21,23,24 20-minute (1) 59:16 23 (1) 3:7	

**S-2878
Greencourt, LLC**

4	8		
4 (1) 85:5 4.5 (3) 5:3,4;85:3 45 (1) 65:6 45/55 (1) 65:6 48 (1) 78:4	8:15 (1) 63:5 820130180 (1) 80:20		
	9		
	9 (1) 30:23 9:00 (1) 73:15 90 (1) 33:21		
5			
5.4 (7) 5:2,2;81:14;85:3,15, 24;86:11 5:00 (1) 73:15 50 (27) 3:4;6:25;15:10; 16:11;26:2,18;27:9,12, 14;28:16;29:17;30:6,8, 9,16;37:5;47:17;52:25; 53:1,5;65:11;74:20,24; 78:19;87:16;92:21,22 50/50 (5) 65:9;66:13;67:13,19, 20 500 (1) 13:15 52 (1) 30:13 54,347 (2) 7:2,15 54,348 (1) 7:3 55 (4) 30:14;65:6,10;67:17 55/45 (1) 67:13 59 (1) 5:2 59D (1) 91:15 59E (5) 5:2,2;85:3,5,22 59G (2) 81:14;90:18			
6			
6:15 (1) 63:4 60 (1) 78:11 6030 (1) 9:8 670 (1) 57:6			