

# Transcript of Administrative Hearing

**Date:** October 30, 2017

Case: Bank of America Drive Thru

**Planet Depos** 

**Phone:** 888.433.3767

Email:: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

```
OFFICE OF ZONING AND ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
                                                                      1 Severna Park, MD 21146
                FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND
                                                                      3 BILL CAMP
                                                                      4 BANK OF AMERICA
   Bank of America Drive Thru : 17-17
                                                                      6 EDWARD STEERE
                                                                         VALBRIDGE PROPERTY ADVISORS
                                                                      8 11100 Dovedale Court
                           HEARING
                                                                          Marriottsville, MD 21104
             Before Hearing Examiner Lynn Robeson
                    Rockville, Maryland
12
                  Thursday, October 30, 2017
13
                        10:02 a.m.
15
                                                                      15
16
17
                                                                      17
19
20
                                                                      20
                                                                      21
22
                                                                      22
23 Job: 165630
24 Pages: 1 - 86
                                                                      24
25 Transcribed by: Molly Bugher
                    APPEARANCES
                                                                                             CONTENTS
                                                                                                                       PAGE
  SOMER T. CROSS, Land Planner
                                                                      3 TESTIMONY OF SOMER T. CROSS
                                                                                                                        11
   MILLER, MILLER & CANBY
                                                                         TESTIMONY OF FERNANDA ASSURIAN
   200 B Monroe Street
                                                                         TESTIMONY OF BRADFORD FOX
                                                                                                                        38. 78
   Rockville, MD
                                                                        TESTIMONY OF NICK DRIBAN
                                                                         TESTIMONY OF EDWARD STEER
                                                                                                                        67
   SOO LEE-CHO, ESQ.
9 MILLER, MILLER & CANBY
                                                                                             EXHIBITS
10 200 B Monroe Street
                                                                                                                       PAGE
11 Rockville, MD
                                                                       11 Exhibit 36 Affidavit of sign posting
12
                                                                      12 Exhibit 37 Resume of Somer Cross
                                                                                                                        11
13 FERNANDA ASSURIAN, Manager
                                                                      13 Exhibit 38 Walnut Hill Shopping Center
                                                                                                                        18
                                                                      14
14 BANK OF AMERICA
                                                                                       APF Test
15 22 N. Summit Avenue
                                                                       15 Exhibit 39
                                                                                      Shopping Center Composite Plan
16 Gaithersburg, MD
                                                                      16 Exhibit 40(a) Rendered architectural drawings,
17
                                                                                      east elevation
18 BRADFORD FOX
                                                                       18 Exhibit 40(b) Rendered architectural drawings, 36
19 BOHLER ENGINEERING
                                                                                      south elevation
20 16701 Melford Boulevard, Suite 310
                                                                      20 Exhibit 40(c) Rendered architectural drawings, 36
21 Bowie, MD 20715
                                                                      21
                                                                                       west elevation
22
                                                                      22 Exhibit 40(d) Rendered architectural drawings, 36
23 NICK DRIBAN
                                                                                     north elevation
24 LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING
25 645 Baltimore Annapolis Boulevard, Suite 214
```

_			-	
		5	,	7
1	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 1 5	PAGE	1	So seeing no opposition do you want to go forward Ms. Cho?
2	Exhibit 41 Resume of Bradford Fox	38	2	SOO LEE-CHO: Sure. Thank you. For the record Soo Lee-
3	Exhibit 42 Updated Composite Plan	48	3	Cho with the law firm of Miller, Miller & Canby on behalf of
4	Exhibit 43 Photometric study	52	4	the applicant, Bank of America National Association. Just
5	Exhibit 44 Resume of Nick Driban	57	5	very briefly I would just run through the names of the
6	Exhibit 45 Resume of Edward Steere	69	6	intended witnesses. I will have Somer T. Cross, as the land
7	Exhibit 46 Photo of existing Monument sign	81	7	planner, start. Then we will have Fernanda Assurian who is a
8	Exhibit 47 Disk with exhibits	82	8	representative of the Bank Financial Center, a manager. Then
9			9	Bradford Fox of Bohler Engineering will be our civil
10			10	engineer site design expert. We have Nick Driban of Lenhart
11			11	
12				
13				Advisors providing the market analysis. He did the needs
14				study required by the conditional use.
15			15	
16				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17			16	
18				straightforward case, conditional use for a drive-through
19				associated with a commercial use, in this case the Bank
20				branch facility. The subject property is located within a
21				shopping center called Walnut Hills Shopping Center. The
22			21	site is about 8.28 acres of land. It consists of two parcels
23			22	which we will explain.
24			23	LYNN ROBESON: You mean the shopping center site, not
25			24	the special exception site?
			25	•
		6		8
1	PROCEEDINGS		1	whole consists of two parcels. The conditional use area
2			2	which is a corner of the shopping center is a pad site, does
3	LYNN ROBESON: And I assume the parties ar	e ready.	3	cover both parcels so we will go through that.
4	SOO LEE-CHO: Yes, we are ready. Thank you		4	LYNN ROBESON: Oh. Okay, I get I see. It Okay, I
5	LYNN ROBESON: Okay. I'm calling the case	of CU 17-17,	5	get it. You don't have to explain it. I'll wait.
6	Application of Bank of America National Associa	tion for		SOO LEE-CHO: Yes. The issues it's a straightforward
7	Property on South Frederick AV, Gaithersburg, M	aryland.	6	
8	Okay. Do you want to are there any is the		7	case. The issues that we'll be talking about first really is
9	preliminary matters? I did get we did get t		8	procedural in nature because this is a site, the shopping
10	Water Management Concept Plan, so that's in the			center was developed 1967 I believe, under the C2 zone. And
11	SOO LEE-CHO: Right. Correct. And you have			it was developed under the C2 zone in its earliest
	Affidavit of the sign posting?			iteration, so there are some later C2 zone requirements that
13	LYNN ROBESON: I see that. I will mark tha	t as Exhibit		are not as are not applicable, the first issue. The other
	36. Okay. Anything else?	•		issue is because it's a C2 zone there is a provision in the
15	SOO LEE-CHO: I think for preliminaries th	at is all	14	current zoning ordinance, the 2014 zoning ordinance and that
	that I had. I can start with a brief opening.		15	allowed sites like this to modestly increase in density, up
17	LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Let me just ask befor	e we start,	16	to 10 percent of GFA without triggering the new zones, the
	is there anyone here that is not going to be ca			CRT zones development standards; and we will walk the
	Cho? Okay. Seeing no	y . <del></del>		Hearing Examiner through those provisions. In light of that,
20	BILL CAMP: I'm not.			even though the development standards that are applicable to
21	LYNN ROBESON: You're not? Are you here to	testify in		this case is mostly C2, the use is what brings us before you
	support or opposition?	111		for a conditional use approval because the use now, the
23	BILL COURT: No. I'm with CDRE for represe	nting Bank of		drive-through use is under the new zoning ordinance required
23		nerng pank of		•
24	America		777	
24	America.	All right		as a conditional use approval, as opposed to previously,
24 25	America.  LYNN ROBESON: Oh. I see. Okay. All right.	All right.	24	as a conditional use approval, as opposed to previously, under the C2 zone in the 2004 zoning ordinance drivethroughs were part and parcel to bank facilities. They were

#### Transcript of Administrative Hearing Conducted on October 30, 2017

1 not separate uses of themselves that required a special

- 2 exception. But because of the use, being under the new code,
- 3 that's what we're here for.
- 4 LYNN ROBESON: I guess my only question is, when I read
- 5 771(c) it looks like to me you could go under the old
- 6 standards completely. Did someone give you an opinion that
- 7 you have to go -- well, I guess you're right. I understand.
- 8 Because it's a conditional use now --
- 9 SOO LEE-CHO: Well, and we will walk you through that.
- 10 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
- 11 SOO LEE-CHO: On 771(c) we did get an opinion. It was
- 12 the opinion of Technical Staff and the Planners at Park and
- 13 Planning that that provision, because it specified only
- 14 standards and procedures of the C2 zone and not uses so that
- 15 grandfathering provision was read quite explicitly. So that
- 16 because uses were not incorporated in what was grandfathered
- 17 they felt that the use of the drive-through had to go
- 18 through the new zoning laws.
- 19 LYNN ROBESON: Well, we just went through a case,
- 20 Starbucks, same situation, different rationale, came to the
- 21 same conclusion, but a different rationale. Because as I
- 22 read -- as you parse through the exemptions; the
- 23 developments, the standards, and procedures included the
- 24 sustentative standards, but I'm not going to argue about it.
- 25 It's -- you know in Starbucks that was a drive-through

- 1 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
  - 2 SOO LEE-CHO: Ms. Cross, can you please state your
  - 3 name, business address, and profession?
  - SOMER T. CROSS: My name is Somer Cross. I work at
  - 5 Miller, Miller, & Canby, at 200 B Monroe Street, Rockville.
  - 6 My title is research attorney/in-house land use planner.
  - SOO LEE-CHO: Ms. Cross, have you ever qualified as an
  - 8 expert in the field of land planning in a zoning case
  - 9 before?
  - 10 SOMER T. CROSS: I have before the Montgomery County
  - 11 Hearing Examiner, Marty Grossman.
  - 12 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Is her resume in the --
  - 13 SOO LEE-CHO: No, not yet.
  - 14 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
  - 15 SOO LEE-CHO: Did you want her to cite the case that
  - 16 she was accepted as an expert?
  - 17 SOMER T. CROSS: It was conditional use 17-12, the
  - 18 Korean Community Service Center.
  - 19 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. That was a tough one. If you went
  - 20 through that I'm going to qualify you as an expert. I'm
  - 21 going to mark that as Exhibit 37, affidavit of Somer Cross.
  - 22 I mean, I'm sorry, resume of Somer Cross. Okay. Go ahead.
  - 23 SOO LEE-CHO: Great. Ms. Cross, can you please describe
  - 24 and identify for the Hearing Examiner the two parcels that
  - 25 comprise the shopping center property?
- 10

1

- 1 Starbucks; we came to the same conclusion that they got the
- 2 development standards of the C2 but the use standards of the
- 3 conditional use. So one way or the other -- I have to go to
- 4 back and look at that. So I may come -- anyway. Go -- keep
- 5 going and --
- 6 SOO LEE-CHO: Yes. We are very well aware of the
- 7 Starbucks case and really that was a discussion point with
- 8 Technical Staff and the basis of the conclusion in this case
- 9 that we needed to get a conditional use approval for the 10 drive-through.
- 11 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
- 12 SOO LEE-CHO: There are some --
- 13 LYNN ROBESON: However you want to cut it.
- 14 SOO LEE-CHO: There are some distinctions from the
- 15 Starbucks case that Ms. Cross will cover.
- 16 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
- 17 SOO LEE-CHO: So that it's not a complete -- yeah.
- 18 LYNN ROBESON: Right.
- 19 SOO LEE-CHO: Same situation. So with that we'll just
- 20 move forward with Ms. Cross's testimony.
- 21 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Please raise your right hand. Do
- 22 you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury that the
- 23 statements you are about to make are the truth, the whole
- 24 truth and nothing but the truth?
- 25 SOMER T. CROSS: I do.

- SOMER T. CROSS: Yes. As it Ms. Lee-Cho mentioned
- 2 earlier, this property is actually combined two parcels. One
- 3 is Parcel B which is about 7.87 acres and then all along the
- 4 frontage of Route 355, Frederick Avenue --
- 5 LYNN ROBESON: Can you move the --
- 6 SOO LEE-CHO: Yeah.
- 7 LYNN ROBESON: -- zoning map and -- or if you have some
- 8 other exhibit. There, that's good.
- 9 SOMER T. CROSS: So as you can see on this exhibit, a
- 10 neighborhood, which you have a -- do you want to make an
- 11 exhibit number or?
- 12 LYNN ROBESON: I think that that is already in the
- 13 record.
- 14 SOO LEE-CHO: This is --
- 15 LYNN ROBESON: Is that in the Technical Staff Report?
- SOO LEE-CHO: Yes, it's an excerpt from the Technical
- 17 Staff.
- 18 LYNN ROBESON: Yeah, that's fine.
- 19 SOMER CROSS: Okay. The red line is the entire parcel.
- 20 The area here that is not under the cross-hatch is the
- 21 portion of the property that is in the County. The sliver
- 22 here that runs all along the front -- street frontage is a
- 23 part of an equity case of abandonment of Route 355 that
- 24 reverted to the Walnut Tree Shopping Center -- or Walnut25 Hills Shopping Center. This property is actually still in

#### Transcript of Administrative Hearing Conducted on October 30, 2017

13

1 the City of Gaithersburg and will require a site plan

2 approval for them for the activity. There are no structures

3 proposed in the portion of the property that will fall in

this (inaudible)

LYNN ROBESON: Not even storm water?

SOMER T. CROSS: It's some landscaping, but the actual

bank structure and awnings, those are all in the County

property so we've spoken with City Staff and it will be an

administrative approval of the site plan.

10 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. So can you then walk us through the 11

12 grandfathering provisions of the 2014 Zoning Ordinance and

13 which one is applicable in this case?

SOMER T. CROSS: So as the Hearing Examiner already

15 mentioned, it is a similar case procedurally to the

16 Starbucks case of 17-06, where the Hearing Examiner found

17 that the standards to be applied where the pre-October 30th,

18 2014 Zoning Ordinance or what's also referred to as the 2004

19 Zoning Ordinance, but the use standards were the applicable

20 zoning ordinance standards. That case applied 7.7.1(b) which

21 allowed a modification of a preapproved plan. In this

22 situation it's a shopping center that was developed back in

23 1967. There are no preliminary plans. There are no site

24 plans on record. What the zoning ordinance also allows,

25 under 7.7.1(c) is the limited right to expand an already

14

1 developed property. And that would be either the lesser of

30,000 square feet or 10 percent of the gross floor area

already in existence. The property currently has a --

LYNN ROBESON: I'm sorry. How did you distinguish this

5 from Starbucks?

6 SOMER T. CROSS: Instead of applying 7.7.1(b) --

LYNN ROBESON: Oh, because there's no preliminary plan?

SOMER T. CROSS: Exactly. We are at item(c).

LYNN ROBESON: But I -- okay. I remember I didn't say

10 that it was the extension of the preliminary plan. It was, I

11 thought it was existing site design; 771(a) existing site

12 design. But I could be wrong.

13 SOMER T. CROSS: I --

14 SOO LEE-CHO: If I might. We discuss this with Legal

15 Counsel at Park and Planning as well. They initially looked

16 at the site design provision. However, the improvements that

17 we're talking about are not existing. You know, the bank pad

18 and there's another retail pad that the shopping center is

19 developing. That was -- that's not an existing use. It is

20 -- that was brought before the zoning change in 2014. So

21 those sections of the grandfathering provisions don't apply.

22 Those sections are applicable to existing improvements on

23 the ground. And so because we are moving forward with

24 additional density for a bank pad site and a retail site, we

25 fall under 771(c).

LYNN ROBESON: Well, I guess they argued that the

utilities and everything were already at the pads. It had

been -- the plan of the shopping center was to have a pad

site there. Are you saying that this -- that's not the case

5 here?

SOO LEE-CHO: That is not the case here. That was not 6

the -- the pad site didn't exist before 2014.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Go ahead.

SOMER T. CROSS: So applying 7.7.1(c) again allows for

10 either the lesser of 30,000 square feet or 10 percent

11 increase in the existing development. Right now there is a

12 shopping center that totals about 99,857 square feet. We're

13 asking for a pad site development of a bank building of

14 3,120 square feet which is 3.1 percent of the existing

15 structure, so it's less than 10 percent and therefore

16 allowed under this 7.7.1(c). There are additional

17 requirements under C2 that it also has to apply with C1,

18 that if the building does not exceed the height limits and

19 density of the property zoning in effect as of October 29th,

20 2014 the height limits of the C2 is 42 feet; this building's

21 only 21 so we would apply that. That it not be closer to a

22 residential detached zone that is vacant or improved with a

23 single unit living use than any other existing structure.

24 The surrounding property on the northern side is a City of

25 Gaithersburg zoned property for (inaudible) district which

1 is a commercial zone. The property across the street is

with a single family site, so we would meet that

requirement. And then there are no -- there is no site plan

in existence so no site plan amendment would be required and

residentially zoned but it's developed with a church and not

therefore we would meet the requirements of C1.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. Can you walk us through then, what

C2 development standards under the 2004 Zoning Ordinance are

10 in fact applicable in this case?

SOMER T. CROSS: So the 2004 Zoning Ordinance would

12 require in the C2 a height requirement under C-4.351 an FAR

13 requirement under C-4.352 and setback requirements under C-

14 4.353. There is an additional green area requirement;

15 however, this property development predates the green area

16 requirements from the zoning ordinance and would be

17 grandfathered.

18 SOO LEE-CHO: Can you explain a little bit further

19 about that?

20 SOMER T. CROSS: So while the entire site would not

21 have to comply with the green area requirement, the Bank of

22 America pad site has provided additional green area and

23 would exceed the 10 percent requirement for that conditional

24 use area.

25 SOO LEE-CHO: Can you now talk about the parking rates

20

## Transcript of Administrative Hearing Conducted on October 30, 2017

1 that are applicable in this case and which zoning ordinance

2 does it fall under and why?

3 SOMER T. CROSS: So to make things more complicated.

4 There was a 2015 adequate public facilities review of this

5 property and at that point the applicant for the Walnut

6 Hills Shopping Center did request that the parking standards

7 to be pulled out of the old zoning ordinance and the current

8 --

9 LYNN ROBESON: Wait. Wait. Say that again.

10 SOMER T. CROSS: In 20 --

11 LYNN ROBESON: Pulled out?

12 SOMER T. CROSS: So in 2015 the applicant asked that

13 the current zoning parking standards be applied. So while

14 they were allowed to use the C2 parking standards, in 2015

15 they said, can we please apply the current 2014 zoning

16 ordinance which is allowed to be separated from the rest of

17 the development standards by the non-conformity provision of

18 7.7.1(b)3(b) where the applicant may apply to amend the

19 parking requirements of a previously approved application in

20 a manner that satisfies the parking requirements of Section

21 6.2.3, and 4.

SOO LEE-CHO: Now who was the applicant in that 2015

23 APF approval?

24 SOMER T. CROSS: The entire shopping center.

25 SOO LEE-CHO: I have for submission into the record the

1 makeup of this case, and if the Hearing Examiner has no

2 further questions to ask on that --

3 LYNN ROBESON: No. Good. Let's move forward to the --

4 SOO LEE-CHO: Then we'll move on to --

5 LYNN ROBESON: -- meat of the issue.

SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. So could you please describe the

7 surrounding neighborhood boundary that has been delineated

8 by Technical Staff and how it compares to the one that you

9 provided in the Statement of Justification?

10 SOMER T. CROSS: Yes. So in the Statement of

11 Justification on Page 3, the applicant --

12 LYNN ROBESON: What exhibit is the Statement of

13 Justification?

14 SOO LEE-CHO: It is an Exhibit 29(b)

15 LYNN ROBESON: I think I have it; (b)?

16 SOO LEE-CHO: Yes.

17 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. I have it. Go ahead.

18 SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. On Page 3 of that exhibit, the

19 applicant identified the surrounding neighborhood area as a

20 marked by a red dashed line. I created that image just for

21 simplicity of using identifiable street crossings and

22 intersections that made it just easier to identify a

23 neighborhood. Staff, in their Staff Report on Page 4 has

24 identified a much smaller neighborhood, surrounding

25 neighborhood area. As you can see on the exhibit provided it

1 Staff Report, Technical Staff Report which I do not believe

2 the Hearing Examiner has in the record of that APF review.

3 In addition, I want to introduce the concept plan that was

4 associated with that APF review.

5 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Thank you. I'm only laughing

because this was supposed -- this zoning ordinance was

supposed to simplify everything. Okay. This would be Walnut

8 Hill -- 38 will be Walnut Hill Shopping Center APF test.

SOO LEE-CHO: Ms. Cross, the Concept Plan that I just

10 introduced into the record, can you identify where --

11 LYNN ROBESON: Just a second.

12 SOO LEE-CHO: Okay.

13 LYNN ROBESON: And then the Concept Plan will be, how

14 do you call this? The --

25

15 SOO LEE-CHO: We call it the Composite Plan because it

16 shows the entire shopping center.

17 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. So 39 will be Shopping Center

18 Composite Plan. Okay. Go ahead.

19 SOO LEE-CHO: This Shopping Center Composite Plan is

20 actually found in the Adequate Public Facilities Planning

21 Board Staff Report that you just entered into the record on

22 Page 8. The larger version that you were just handed is much

23 more legible and also provides the tabulation.

24 LYNN ROBESON: I see. Okay. Okay.

SOO LEE-CHO: Now, if we are clear on the procedural

is within the immediate area, the immediate impact area and

2 we're fine accepting Staff's delineation of the surrounding

3 neighborhood.

18

4 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Why are you fine with it? Do you

want to opine as to --

6 SOMER T. CROSS: It's --

LYNN ROBESON: -- just for the record so I have it in

8 the record.

9 SOMER T. CROSS: Sure. The technical determination of

10 the surrounding neighborhood while there is no such

11 standard. It's usually what is considered the immediate

12 impact area. If you look at the larger scale area that we

13 provided in the Staff Report -- or in the Statement of

14 Justification, looking at the residential streets and their

15 lack of interconnectedness I don't feel like the impact of

16 the neighborhood, the impact of the shopping center and the

17 specifically the new Bank would impact the larger area.

18 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Thanks.

19 SOO LEE-CHO: All right. Now I'd like to go through

20 some of the necessary findings. First, being Section

21 59731(e)1(a) which asks whether this application satisfies

22 any applicable previous approval on the subject site or not.

23 You indicated in your previous -- in your testimony that

24 there was no preliminary plan, a site plan applicable to

25 this C2 zone. But does this site -- is it consistent with

#### Transcript of Administrative Hearing Conducted on October 30, 2017

1 the 2015 APF approval?

SOMER T. CROSS: Yes. In 2015 the APF approval, the

3 shopping center requested an additional 9,999 square feet of

- 4 floor space. This is the first development application since
- 5 that 2015 review and is only, as I said, before only for
- 6 3,120 square feet.
- LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
- 8 SOO LEE-CHO: Now, looking at Section 59731(e)1(b)
- 9 which talks about the satisfaction of the required C2 zone,
- 10 which I will have our civil engineer cover the details of
- 11 that. Could you speak to the specific use standards found
- 12 under Division 593 for a drive-through use, and determine,
- 13 in your opinion, whether it complies with all the specific 14 use standards.
- SOMER T. CROSS: So in 593.5.14 where it is a
- 16 conditional use, the use will not create a traffic hazard.
- 17 We have a traffic engineer here today who will discuss that
- 18 a lot further but in my opinion, I will not see a traffic
- 19 problem with the queuing lane as proposed. Number two, it
- 21 way that reduces the visibility of the existing commercial
- 22 use. As you can see from the conditional use area is off to
- 23 the northwest corner of the site which will be offset enough
- 24 that it will not impact any of the existing shopping center,
- 25 and their view from 355, Frederick Road, or Frederick
- 1 Avenue. Product displays, parked vehicles, and other
- 2 obstructions that adversely affect the visibility at
- 3 intersections are at entrances and exits are prohibited.
- 4 This is a request for a bank, and does not usually require
- 5 any kind of product display.
- LYNN ROBESON: Well, you wouldn't -- you're not going
- to have any product displays, right?
- SOMER T. CROSS: Exactly. And then when a drive-through
- 9 occupies a corner lot it must be located a minimum of 20
- 10 feet from the intersection of the rights-of-way. This actual
- 11 property takes up an entire block, therefore it is in
- 12 effect, two corners, but the egress and ingress of the site
- 13 remain the same. It is off of North Westland Drive and that
- 14 is more than 90 feet from the intersection of South
- 15 Frederick Avenue.
- SOO LEE-CHO: And just for the record I didn't know if
- 17 you cited the section of the zoning ordinance from which you
- 18 were reading from and opining. If you could state the --
- LYNN ROBESON: She did.
- 20 SOO LEE-CHO: Did? Okay.
- 21 LYNN ROBESON: It's 593.5.14.
- 22 SOO LEE-CHO: Yes.
- 23 SOMER T. CROSS: E)2(b).
- 24 SOO LEE-CHO: Great. Then very quickly can you just go
- 25 through the 596 and -- sections and opine as to their

- applicability in this case? I guess we've already done that
- but maybe --
- SOMER T. CROSS: So 596 are the new standards and as we
- talked before the new standards should not apply except for
- the parking rate as previously requested in the 2015
- adequate public facilities review. However, in the Staff
- Report I noticed that Staff has reviewed for queuing spaces
- and found that that would meet the requirements. There are
- three existing monument signs which are in compliance with
- 10 the old standards and again, the rest of the 6 does not
- 11 apply.
- 12 LYNN ROBESON: Are those monument signs? I couldn't --
- 13 wasn't sure from the Staff Report, are they existing for the
- 14 shopping center or are these new for --
- SOMER T. CROSS: There are three existing monument
- 16 signs for the staff, or for the shopping center. There is
- 17 one at the southern corner of Frederick Avenue and that is
- 18 for the stores off to the southeast. There's a monument sign
- 19 in the middle which is for the grocery store which is the
- 20 must not preempt frontage on any highway or public road in a 20 main tenant in the middle, and also shows the name of the
  - 21 entire shopping center. And then there is a third monument
  - 22 sign on the northwest corner and that is for the shops over
  - 23 on the north --
  - LYNN ROBESON: But the building's going to have 24
  - 25 signage?

22

1 SOMER T. CROSS: The building will have signage.

- LYNN ROBESON: Have you analyzed whether that complies 2
- with the zoning ordinance?
- 4 SOMER T. CROSS: That will be presented at another
- time. We don't have sign plans at this point. The Staff just
- requested the permits be submitted after approval.
  - LYNN ROBESON: Well, I guess there is case law saying
- that we can't defer -- we can't defer to a future approval.
- We have to say whether you meet the standards or not now. We
- 10 can't defer it to a future approval. We can't defer it to a
- 11 future approval.
- SOO LEE-CHO: Well, I will be introducing rendered
- 13 architectural that show the locations of future proposed
- 14 wall signage.
- 15 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
- 16 SOO LEE-CHO: The applicant --
- LYNN ROBESON: Do you have anybody that can say what
- 18 code it meets and whether it meets -- I'm not sure whether
- 19 you're under 2004 or 2014.
- 20 SOO LEE-CHO: I suspect that the Department of
- 21 Permitting Services is not enforcing 2004 sign ordinance any
- 22 longer. So any new sign permits that are filed would be
- 23 reviewed under the 2014 requirements.
- 24 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
- 25 SOO LEE-CHO: But Applicant would accept a condition

# Transcript of Administrative Hearing Conducted on October 30, 2017

1 that whatever sign --

- 2 LYNN ROBESON: Well, see, that's what I can't do. I
- 3 can't condition on a future approval, an unknown approval.
- 4 Believe it or not, but we can't. Staff doesn't always --
- 5 well, let's -- do you have the renderings?
- 6 SOO LEE-CHO: Yes.
- 7 LYNN ROBESON: Because what I can do is, is we can get
- 8 the renderings over to the sign people at -- we can leave
- 9 the record open. If we can get the renderings over to the
- 10 sign people at DPS you know, we can get an answer.
- 11 SOO LEE-CHO: We can do that.
- 12 LYNN ROBESON: And then, if I have the -- let me think
- 13 about it. I don't want to over complicate it but we really
- 14 can't -- I wish --
- 15 SOO LEE-CHO: We (crosstalk)
- 16 LYNN ROBESON: We can't defer --
- 17 SOO LEE-CHO: The complexity of the sign issue is that
- 18 because it is part of a larger shopping center there are
- 19 measurements that take into account the other tenant signage
- 20 that then is balanced with what then is allowed for the bank
- 21 signage. It is a standalone building.
- 22 LYNN ROBESON: Yeah, they have a --
- 23 SOO LEE-CHO: So it has a --
- 24 LYNN ROBESON: They usually have it apportioned among
- 25 ---
  - SOO LEE-CHO: Yes. But if there is a design -- a
- 2 concept plan and we don't -- we haven't checked whether
- 3 there is a overall shopping center signage plan that was
- 4 ever processed so we would have to check that.
- 5 LYNN ROBESON: Well, let's get the -- we'll get the 6 renderings in and then sort it out.
- o renderings in and then sort it out.
- 7 SOO LEE-CHO: All right. For the next section which --
- 8 LYNN ROBESON: I think if I -- well, go ahead. Just go
- 9 ahead.
- 10 SOO LEE-CHO: So next I want to ask Ms. Cross to run
- 11 through for us the general findings and for this section I
- 12 would just ask her to -- if she has anything to add or
- 13 supplement to what was provided in the Technical Staff
- 14 Report.
- 15 SOMER T. CROSS: I have reviewed the Staff Report.
- 16 Consideration of these necessary findings and I would
- 17 completely agree with Staff that it would be in compliance
- 18 with the Master Plan. It's harmonious. It does not alter the
- 19 character of the area. There are no other conditional uses
- 20 found within the Staff Report neighborhood boundary. It
- 21 seems to be complete -- served by adequate public facilities
- 22 and there is no harm due to non-inherent effects that I
- 23 could foresee. I will say I did a site visit at the property
- 24 at about 1:30, 2:00 on a Tuesday and it was a very active
- 25 site. The bus stop that's located right in front of this pad

- 1 site had five or six people queuing up, ready to go; there
- 2 were people walking around. And I feel like the
- 3 recommendations of Bank of America to add more pedestrian
- 4 crosswalks within the parking lot will help activate the
- 5 entire shopping center.
- 6 LYNN ROBESON: I saw those. Okay.
  - SOO LEE-CHO: With that, I have nothing further for Ms.
- 8 Cross.

7

- 9 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Thank you.
- 10 SOMER T. CROSS: Thank you.
- 11 SOO LEE-CHO: Next, I will call Ms. Assurian.
- 12 LYNN ROBESON: Good morning.
- 13 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Good morning.
- 14 LYNN ROBESON: Please raise your right hand. Do you
- 15 solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury that the
- 16 statements you are about to make are the truth, the whole
- 17 truth, and nothing but the truth?
- 18 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: I do.
- 19 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
- 20 SOO LEE-CHO: Ms. Assurian, can you please state your
- 21 full name for the record, business address and title and
- 22 position with the Bank?
- 23 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Okay. My full name is (inaudible)
- 24 Fernanda Assurian. I am located in 22 North Summit Avenue,
- 25 Gaithersburg, Maryland 2877 (sic). And I'm a financial
- 26
- 1 center manager.
- 2 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Can you make sure that the court
- 3 reporter has the spelling, correct spelling, of your name
- before you leave?
- 5 THE COURT REPORTER: I've got it.
- 6 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Yes. I gave the business card.
- 7 LYNN ROBESON: Oh, you've got it. Okay.
- 8 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Yes.
- 9 LYNN ROBESON: All right. Go ahead.
- 10 SOO LEE-CHO: Ms. Assurian, first, would you state for
- 11 the record whether you are authorized on behalf of the Bank
- 12 to agree to be bound by the testimony and exhibits presented
- 13 today --
- 14 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Yes, I --
- 15 SOO LEE-CHO: -- and by any conditions and that the
- 16 Hearing Examiner may include in the granting of this
- 17 Conditional use?
- 18 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Okay. I am authorized to speak on
- 19 behalf of the Bank.
- 20 SOO LEE-CHO: So we'll just ask you to quickly describe
- 21 some of the operational components that we described in the
- 22 statement of operations which is in Exhibit 29(c) in the
- 23 record. First, could you describe the size and number of
- 24 drive-through lanes that we are requesting?
- 25 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Yes. We are adding two drive-

through lanes with one bypass and we will have an ATM at the

2

3 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

SOO LEE-CHO: What will be housed in the facility?

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: We -- in the facility we're going

to have a breakroom, a kitchen. We're going to have offices,

and we're going to have restrooms. We will have storage

rooms and teller lines and anything -- what we're going to

be able to receive clients.

10 SOO LEE-CHO: Will the drive-through have any teller

11 service --

12 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: No --

13 SOO LEE-CHO: -- connected to the facility?

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: No. The (inaudible) as today they

15 are self-service ATM where the client will be able to

16 perform all the bank -- as I say financial activities as

17 paying credit card (inaudible) deposit checks, cash checks

18 and it's a (inaudible) service. Maybe in the future we be

19 will be having the, as they say, ATAs. What we have during

20 (inaudible) are remote teller access. What I mean to say is

21 like a -- in between, let's say 7:30 and 8:00 p.m. the

22 client will be able to talk to someone remotely (inaudible).

23 LYNN ROBESON: Oh, I see. Okay.

24 SOO LEE-CHO: But that teller is not sitting in the

25 bank facility --

SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. So it sounds like the number of

employees in the facility is -- there's no relationship to

the drive-through use, but we did provide information about

the number of employees for this Bank. What are those

numbers?

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: The numbers of the employees, what 6

we have inside of the financial center is to -- for the

public who will be walk in or they have appointments.

LYNN ROBESON: How many?

10 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Usually we have six employees

11 during the busy business hours. What do you say starts after

12 noon between 1:00 and 4:30 p.m. But we will never, ever have

13 than six employees at one time in the financial center.

SOO LEE-CHO: But what is the maximum number of full-

15 time and part-time equivalent number of --

16 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Equivalent to --

17 SOO LEE-CHO: -- assigned to this facility?

18 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: (inaudible) 20.

19 SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. All right.

20 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. But at one time six?

21 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: At one given time --

22 LYNN ROBESON: No more than six at one time?

23 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: No. No. What I'm saying is 20

24 employees because we're going to have partners.

25 LYNN ROBESON: You have 20 total employees.

30

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: No. No, no, no.

SOO LEE-CHO: -- at Walnut Hill?

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: No. The teller is not located in

the financial center. It's a remote location.

LYNN ROBESON: So this is not a drive-through with the

typical tubes that have -- that transfers papers --

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: No.

SOO LEE-CHO: -- back and forth from the --

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: This teller for Bank of America now

10 is a self-service ATMs. The self-service ATMs, as I

11 explained before, can help the clients with all financial

12 transactions. We don't need a teller helping the clients.

13 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

SOO LEE-CHO: So the hours of operation that we

15 described in the statement of operations, does that

16 necessarily apply then to the drive-through ATM?

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: No. The drive-through ATM, they are 17 or is it 6?

18 24 hours, seven days and we will not -- this is for

19 (inaudible) operations. The (inaudible) what we call.

SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. But it's in the statement we did

21 provide hours of operation for the facility. What are those

22 hours of operation?

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Those I have here; Monday through

24 Friday 7:00 to 8:00 p.m., Saturday 7:30 to 6:00 p.m., and

25 Sunday 11:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Twenty, yes.

SOO LEE-CHO: But we did indicate in our statement that

we would have no more than 12 employees at any one time. So

what do you mean by six?

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: The six, they are the one they are 5

housing for the (inaudible) operations of the financial

7 center.

1

2

SOO LEE-CHO: I see. So what are the other --

9 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: (inaudible)

SOO LEE-CHO: -- what are the other employees that get 10

11 us to 12 that could be at the site?

12 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Okay. The 12 will be inspectors,

13 auditors, business partners, coaches and anybody what is

14 necessary to come to the financial center.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. So is the maximum number of

16 employees that could be on site at any one time, is that 12,

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Okay. Working there, housing, it's

19 six, but it could be fluctuating as we have meetings.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. So I guess the question is for

21 parking purposes and traffic purposes is it 12? Is that the

22 maximum you're saying you could have on site at one time?

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Twelve would be the maximum if we

24 have a meeting. But for the daily activities of the

25 financial --

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

32

36

#### Transcript of Administrative Hearing Conducted on October 30, 2017

1 SOO LEE-CHO: Typical.

2 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: -- typical it's a six.

3 SOO LEE-CHO: Okay, so --

4 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. So are you asking for 12 or 6?

5 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Twelve.

6 LYNN ROBESON: Twelve. Okay. I've got you.

SOO LEE-CHO: We will have other experts, but the

3 analysis was done with 12.

9 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Thank you. Thank you.

10 SOO LEE-CHO: Can you speak to trash removal and

11 typical operations for the Bank as to why a dumpster for the

12 site is not necessary?

13 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Due of the nature of the business

14 we have daily financial data, we don't have a dumpster. It's

15 not necessary because we talk about privacy here. As we --

16 this moment what we talk about breach of our clients'

17 information, we are really concerned about that. We have a

18 service who will come in the (inaudible) financial data and

19 it's shredded, you know, in house. It's located in house. We

20 don't ever, ever use a dumpster for the type of waste.

21 SOO LEE-CHO: And do you also have the kitchen waste

22 taken off site?

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Yes. The kitchen waste as we are

24 talking always we start -- actually we start this like three

25 years ago to be involved with the -- what we are doing right

1 now we (inaudible) we tried to use reusable resources. But

2 we have the cleaning service who comes and remove the

3 existing trash.

4 SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. And is that on a daily basis?

5 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Yes, for the new financial center,

6 yes.

7 SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. And can you speak to why there is

8 not a designated loading space near the front of the Bank

9 for what reasons that that's not preferred?

10 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Okay. We are dealing security here.

11 As a financial institution we are receiving shipment in and

12 shipment out of negotiables; cash and negotiables. We need

13 to be very much very mindful of the security at this time at

14 this time and this moment. We are not receiving negotiables

15 every day, so it has to be as close as possible of one

16 entrance, what we can protect, our negotiables and our

17 people as well, our associates.

18 SOO LEE-CHO: Is there a typical time of day that you

19 have, you know the Dunbar trucks, the security trucks come 20 by?

21 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Okay. We don't have a designated

22 time and date because it's for security reasons as well.

23 SOO LEE-CHO: That's a good point.

24 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: About the --

25 LYNN ROBESON: Certainly you wouldn't say it here.

1 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: No, I would not.

2 SOO LEE-CHO: Exactly.

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: And just for the records, USBS say

the other -- the (inaudible) is what we receive. They park

5 in the associates' parking space, and it takes like three

6 minutes because they cannot come farther than the lot and

7 the financial center. And the (inaudible) receive the mail.

8 SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. And do you have many other type of

9 deliveries?

10 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: No. Because what I say, right now

11 we have a centralized, let's say we complete the work in the

12 financial center and we ship out. So this is once a day as

13 well. So they come, it's prepared for them, they go away and

14 it's a three minutes. US Mail it comes once a day, it's also

15 handled by the (inaudible) what some -- under two minutes.

16 We really don't need a loading space. It's for security

17 reasons.

18 SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. Great. Can you -- I'm going to have

19 Ms. Assurian introduce the architectural, the rendered

20 versions.

34

2

21 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

22 SOO LEE-CHO: Or discuss it. So for the record --

23 LYNN ROBESON: Are they in the record already?

24 SOO LEE-CHO: The colored -- the rendered --

25 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: (crosstalk)

1 SOO LEE-CHO: -- ones are not.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Let's take them in.

3 SOO LEE-CHO: The black and white line drawings were

4 submitted with the prehearing submission.

5 LYNN ROBESON: Oh. Okay. Well, let's get the colored

ones in. So these will be rendered architecturals?

SOO LEE-CHO: Yes.

8 LYNN ROBESON: Thank you. I'm going to make these

 $9 ext{ } 40(a)$ ; 40(a) is the east elevation; 40(b) is the south;

10 40(c) is the west; and 40(d) is the north. Okay.

11 SOO LEE-CHO: All right. Ms. Assurian, if you could

12 speak to just describing for the record the rendered

13 architecturals that you have before you.

14 FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Yes. In general, the sign is just

15 pretty much a standard, all Bank of America and will not

16 alter any of the signage, what we have in the -- actually

17 right now in the shopping center. We have -- each side the

18 logo Bank of America because as was described the shopping

19 center will be reflecting all the passing by clients and

20 it's pretty much standard. It's self explanatory and then

21 each side of the building we will have one sign, with the

22 Bank of America and the logo.

23 SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. I think that's all I have unless

24 you have any questions for Ms. Assurian.

25 LYNN ROBESON: No. Thank you.

1

FERNANDA ASSURIAN: Thank you.

SOO LEE-CHO: Thank you. Next I'd like to call Brad

Fox, our civil engineer.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Before we start with Mr. Fox, is

this the example of the graphic of the signage that you were

speaking of?

SOO LEE-CHO: Yes.

8 LYNN ROBESON: Mr. Fox, please raise your right hand.

9 Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury that the

10 statements you are about to make are the truth, the whole

11 truth, and nothing but the truth?

12 BRADFORD FOX: I do.

13 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Go ahead Ms. Lee-Cho.

14 SOO LEE-CHO: Mr. Fox, please state your name, business

15 address, and profession for the record, please.

BRADFORD FOX: Bradford Lee Fox. Business address is

17 16701 Melford Boulevard, Suite 310, Bowie, Maryland 20715.

18 I'm a civil engineer with Bohler Engineering.

SOO LEE-CHO: Mr. Fox, have you ever qualified as an

20 expert witness in a zoning case before this Hearing

21 Examiner?

BRADFORD FOX: Yes; the aforementioned Starbucks case,

23 Starbucks Burtonsville.

24 LYNN ROBESON: I thought you looked familiar.

25 BRADFORD FOX: Yes.

2 LYNN ROBESON: Yes, let's just identify it so we can --

SOO LEE-CHO: It is part of the plan set that was

SOO LEE-CHO: Which is in the record.

submitted, updated plan set 35(b) it's one of --4

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. 5

SOO LEE-CHO: It's Sheet 3 of 7. 6

7 BRADFORD FOX: Sheet 3 of 7.

8 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Thank you.

BRADFORD FOX: All right. And this shows the existing 10 center. The corner in question at 355 and North Westland is

11 currently developed with parking --

LYNN ROBESON: Do you mind moving it? The first

13 exhibit is blocking part of the site, so I just want to make

14 sure I can follow your testimony. Thank you very much.

BRADFORD FOX: So in this corner the -- 355 and North

16 Westland, it's currently all a paved parking lot with a

17 little landscaping. There is a bus stop located down in this

18 corner. And there's existing drive aisle's to North Westland

19 Drive, and then on the far right side of the sheet you can

20 see the existing drive aisle in from 355.

21 SOO LEE-CHO: Before we go further, could you identify

22 using this Existing Conditions Plan, Exhibit 35(b), Sheet 3

23 where along that corner is the parcel, the sliver parcel

24 that's in the City of Gaithersburg? And what improvements

25 are shown within that sliver parcel?

38

1

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. I will -- and you're currently

licensed in Maryland?

BRADFORD FOX: Yes.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. I'll except him in as an expert in

civil engineering and we'll mark --

SOO LEE-CHO: And site design? (inaudible) profession. 6

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Well, don't bring -- I'll do site

design in this case because I don't have any opposition with

9 the caveat that if there's -- I'm not going to litigate

10 whether site design is a separate --

11 SOO LEE-CHO: Is there a profession, site design?

12 LYNN ROBESON: That's why I've never -- we've -- well

13 anyway --

14 SOO LEE-CHO: I don't know what other expert to use for

15 site design. Especially --

LYNN ROBESON: Well, we really don't usually have an --

17 we usually just have civil engineering expert, but anyway.

18 I'll do it. Forty-one is the resume of Mr. Fox. Okay. Go

19 ahead Ms. Lee-Cho.

SOO LEE-CHO: All right. Mr. Fox, could you please

21 begin by describing the site layout, driveway access, drive-

22 through configuration, queuing space, and all of the other

23 improvements we are proposing?

BRADFORD FOX: Certainly. We'll start with the existing

25 conditions of the site which is the exhibit on the right.

BRADFORD FOX: I'll point out the parcel and then we'll

talk about the improvements on the other sheet. In the

Existing Conditions there's a row of parking that fronts

along 355 which would be almost entirely within that sliver.

The sliver is designated by a line that cuts through those

(inaudible) spaces.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. I see it.

8 BRADFORD FOX: And it mirrors the right-of-way.

9 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

10 BRADFORD FOX: So it parallels the right-of-way.

11 SOO LEE-CHO: And where is it identified, the legal

12 description identified on that Plan, for the sliver parcel?

13 BRADFORD FOX: Right here.

14 LYNN ROBESON: Oh, when you say right here --

15 BRADFORD FOX: I know. I'm sorry; in the bottom center

16 of the plan.

17 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

BRADFORD FOX: There is a reference to the lands of 18

19 Daniel, Daniel & Daniel, with the (inaudible) folio.

20 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Thank you.

21 BRADFORD FOX: Where it calls out the --

22 LYNN ROBESON: Thank you.

BRADFORD FOX: -- the sliver parcel. All right. And 23

24 here are the proposed conditions. Once again we're on the

25 same corner. The building has been located --

39

LYNN ROBESON: Can you put that one up there? Sorry. We 1 LYN

- 2 don't have high tech like the Planning Board so -- Okay.
- 3 Thank you. I appreciate it. That just helps me figure out
- 4 where our --
- 5 BRADFORD FOX: Okay.
- 6 LYNN ROBESON: -- where things are changing.
- 7 SOO LEE-CHO: So to identify the exhibit that Mr. Fox
- 8 is now speaking to. It's Sheet 4 of 7. Same exhibit, 35(b).
- 9 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
- 10 BRADFORD FOX: So picking up where we left off, on the
- 11 existing condition, in the proposed conditions we will be
- 12 locating two separate storm water management facilities, one
- 13 bio-retention and one pervious paving within the sliver that
- 14 is within the City of Gaithersburg. And then I'll talk a
- 15 little bit more about the proposed building. So --
- 16 LYNN ROBESON: What are those -- at the side and the --
- 17 there's two bottom like spikes that come into the -- it
- 18 looks like into the City of Gaithersburg. What are -- yes,
- 19 those, and to the side as well. That one, yes. What are
- 20 those?
- 21 BRADFORD FOX: The one that extends in North Westland
- 22 would be the water service for the new building. The one in
- 23 the 355 here is a sewer service.
- 24 LYNN ROBESON: Oh, okay.
- 25 BRADFORD FOX: And then we're also providing pedestrian

LYNN ROBESON: Northeast?

2 BRADFORD FOX: Yeah. This would be the northwest corner

- 3 of this --
- 4 LYNN ROBESON: Oh, I see what you're saying. Okay.
- 5 BRADFORD FOX: Yes. As it extends out to North Westland
- 6 there is a sidewalk that runs from that corner towards North
- 7 Westland where the applicant has agreed to provide striped
- 8 crosswalks --
- 9 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
- 10 BRADFORD FOX: -- to that sidewalk in two locations.
- 11 One from another existing building that is very close to
- 12 North Westland Drive in the extreme northern end of the
- 13 overall property.
- 14 SOO LEE-CHO: Could you elaborate on that point? Does
- 15 that crosswalk striping now being provided by the Bank
- 16 provide connectivity to an existing sidewalk on North
- 17 Westland?
- 18 BRADFORD FOX: Yes. The goal was to provide an access
- 19 from North Westland Drive. The existing sidewalk does not
- 20 meet all ADA criteria so therefore the crosswalks do not.
- 21 But it does provide a striped pedestrian connection --
- 22 LYNN ROBESON: Okav.
- 23 BRADFORD FOX: -- to North Westland. The main
- 24 connection to the Bank pad would be from Route 355. It's
- 25 also very near to the existing --

42

- 1 access which is a sidewalk that extends out to the existing
- 2 sidewalk.
- 3 LYNN ROBESON: Sidewalk. Okay. I understand. Thank you.
- 4 BRADFORD FOX: All right. And those are all within the
- 5 public right-of-way which is why they appear to spike out.
- 6 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Thank you.
- 7 BRADFORD FOX: So the building is located in this
- 8 corner and has the drive-through wrapping the site. We
- 9 looked at a couple of alternatives and arrived at this one
- 10 for being the best to provide queuing, safety and, you know,
- 11 ease of access. The drive-through configuration you enter
- 12 from the main driveway that extends across the front of the
- 13 shopping center building. And it's closest to North Westland
- 14 Drive. You enter the queue there. There's the two drive
- 15 through lanes along the bypass lane, a stop bar at the exit
- 16 that precedes the pedestrian access from 355 that we already
- 17 discussed, and provides for safe pedestrian travel to the
- 18 front of the store and also into the building. At the
- 19 request of Staff, we extended the pedestrian access at the
- 20 face of the building to an existing sidewalk. It's tough to
- 21 describe, but this would be the grocery store.
- 22 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. "This" is the northeast quadrant?
- 23 Or in the northeast corner of Exhibit 35(b) Sheet 4?
- 24 BRADFORD FOX: Correct, yes. Would be the northwest
- 25 corner of the grocery store, shopping center, and is --

- 1 LYNN ROBESON: Bus stop.
- 2 BRADFORD FOX: -- bus stop. Yeah. All right. And I'll
- 3 touch on storm water management features again. The storm
- 4 water management is along 355 in two locations; one which is
- 5 away from the conditional use area and is an existing area
- 6 of parking that's now being replaced with pervious pavement.
- 7 This would be in the southeast corner of the site.
- 8 SOO LEE-CHO: Can you point that out for her?
- 9 LYNN ROBESON: Of the special exception area?
- 10 BRADFORD FOX: Yes.
- 11 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
- 12 BRADFORD FOX: That's outside of that conditional area,
- 13 it would be over here. This is just a removal of existing
- 14 impervious and replacement with permeable pavement. All
- 15 right. The other would be at the exit lane from the drive-
- 16 through with a bio-retention and then at the entrance lane
- 17 into the drive-through there is a planter box that is
- 18 providing storm water management.
- 19 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. All right.
- 20 SOO LEE-CHO: Mr. Fox, what is your understanding will
- 21 be the requirements of the City of Gaithersburg with regard
- 22 to those storm water management improvements and features to
- 23 be located within their jurisdiction? Like, what is your
- 24 understanding of the permitting requirements?
- 25 BRADFORD FOX: My understanding is that they were going

44

48

#### Transcript of Administrative Hearing Conducted on October 30, 2017

to defer to Montgomery County DPS, and provide

2 administrative staff approval.

3 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. But you don't have any -- I mean I

4 have to condition it on their approval because I can't --

SOO LEE-CHO: Yes. And I believe that the Technical

6 Staff Report addressed that issue with (inaudible)

7 condition.

8 LYNN ROBESON: Yeah. Okay. I just wanted to make sure

9 you were okay with that condition. Okay.

10 SOO LEE-CHO: All right. I think that the only other

11 thing I have -- oh. We have to go through the C2 development

12 zone standards. All right. So can you take us through the C2

13 zone? The applicable standards and how we comply?

14 BRADFORD FOX: Yes. Then the answer is (inaudible) get

15 through the table that's shown on Sheet 4 of 7, and we'll

16 just go straight down through it. The building height in the

17 C2 zone permitted was 42 feet. This table is structured for

18 the overall shopping center, the approximately 8½ acres that

19 we've been discussing.

20 LYNN ROBESON: Yes.

21 BRADFORD FOX: So the maximum building height, although

22 the Bank is only 20 feet, the max building height occurs

23 elsewhere on the site; it's 36 feet for the main shopping

24 center building. All right. Same thing with building

25 setbacks, they are also for the overall shopping center site

1 standards in 2004 when they enacted the 10 percent minimum.

2 So it only provides 7.4 percent for the overall shopping

3 center property. There is a footnote that speaks to this.

4 The original development was constructed in 1967 which

5 required 0 percent green area and the 10 percent green area

6 requirement first came into existence with the 1972 zoning

7 ordinance. Okay. In regards to our area of the conditional

8 use, just for consideration, we're providing 32,

9 approximately 32 percent of green area for the area of the

10 conditional use, well over the 10 percent.

11 LYNN ROBESON: In the special exception -- conditional

12 use area?

13 BRADFORD FOX: Correct. Yeah. The floor area ratio,

14 once again, this is for the overall shopping center

15 property; allowable is 1.5 FAR. We are at 0.2 FAR. The

16 building area with all things considered including the

17 additional retail and the Bank would be 109,000,

 $18\,$  approximately 109,000 square feet. All right. Do you want to

19 go --

20 SOO LEE-CHO: Yeah. Can we talk about the parking? And

21 you heard Ms. Cross mention the 2015 APF approval and

22 introduce the Composite Plan. Can you provide the updated

23 parking numbers for the site?

24 BRADFORD FOX: Yes.

25 LYNN ROBESON: Is that in the record? Did you file

46

1 so the front was a 10 foot minimum from 355. This bank

2 corner --

3 LYNN ROBESON: Those were the good old days. Go ahead.

4 BRADFORD FOX: Yes. So the Southwest corner of the Bank

5 to that right of way was 42 feet so that distance occurs on

6 our conditional use area. The rear, there was no setback,

7 but (inaudible) less than three feet. The existing building,

8 shopping center building is 46 feet to the northeast lot

9 line. And then on the side it's not applicable but the -- it 10 occurs on the site 2.7 feet from the existing building to

11 the north lot line is the closest point, which would be the

12 building that's shown on Sheet 4 to the rear of our proposed

13 Bank.

14 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

BRADFORD FOX: All right. The green area ratio is 10

16 percent min was required by the C2. The existing lot pretty

17 --

18 LYNN ROBESON: When you say, lot, you're talking about

19 the whole center?

20 BRADFORD FOX: Yes.

21 LYNN ROBESON: Both parcels in the whole center?

22 BRADFORD FOX: Correct.

23 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

24 BRADFORD FOX: So the overall shopping center property

25 was developed before the current C2 or the previous C2

1 that with 35?

2 SOO LEE-CHO: No.

3 LYNN ROBESON: Is that in the record?

4 SOO LEE-CHO: So this is new. This is new.

5 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Can -- I'll mark -- can you just

6 mark it 42? And this will be Composite Plan.

7 SOO LEE-CHO: Updated.

8 LYNN ROBESON: Updated Composite Plan.

9 SOO LEE-CHO: Please tell us how this -- the Composite

10 Plan has been updated.

BRADFORD FOX: So the Composite Plan has been updated

12 it shows the most recent bank layout in the corner for the

13 conditional use and also it shows the storm water management

14 features for both the proposed bank site and also the

15 proposed retail building which is not a part of this

16 conditional use, but was included in the 2015 ABF

17 (crosstalk).

18 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

19 BRADFORD FOX: All right. In addition, the parking

20 table that's shown on Exhibit 42 has been updated to take

21 into account the reduced parking area ratios as required by

22 the CR zone. So we have a large surplus of parking as now

23 shown in that table.

24 SOO LEE-CHO: And just for the Hearing Examiner's

25 benefit, who requested the update to the parking table?

# Transcript of Administrative Hearing Conducted on October 30, 2017

4

1 BRADFORD FOX: Yes.

- 2 SOO LEE-CHO: For information purposes.
- 3 BRADFORD FOX: That was requested by Staff because it
- 4 was zoned CR. Under the 2014 standard we met both the
- 5 regular --
- 6 LYNN ROBESON: We just don't like parking (inaudible)
- 7 BRADFORD FOX: Yes. Yes.
- 8 LYNN ROBESON: The retail likes it but --
- 9 BRADFORD FOX: Mm-hmm.
- 10 LYNN ROBESON: Anyway. I digress. Go ahead.
- 11 BRADFORD FOX: All right.
- 12 SOO LEE-CHO: Okay.
- BRADFORD FOX: And I think that's everything that I
- 14 wanted to mention about Exhibit 42.
- SOO LEE-CHO: Oh, can you speak specifically to the
- 16 parking immediately around the bank pad site and whether the
- 17 Bank patrons will have the ability under the lease to park
- 18 in the other shopping center spaces?
- 19 LYNN ROBESON: Good question. That was one of my20 questions.
- 21 BRADFORD FOX: There are four spaces immediately in
- 22 front of the Bank's front door, including one ADA accessible
- 23 spot. In addition all spaces on the shopping center are
- 24 shared by all tenants.
- 25 LYNN ROBESON: So there's a cross easement for parking?
- 1 Okay. What is your required, just out of curiosity, I mean
- 2 if you have shared parking there's plenty of spaces, but
- 3 what is your required --
- BRADFORD FOX: We are a little over 3000 square feet
- 5 and the ratio that we are (inaudible) is 3.5. To be safe we
- 6 would call it 12 spaces, but it's not reflected in the
- 7 plans. Staff wanted us to look at the entire site as a
- 8 whole. So the --
- 9 LYNN ROBESON: So the entire site -- so with all the
- 10 uses with your use and the existing shopping center you have
- 11 the entire site complies with the CRT Zone standards?
- 12 BRADFORD FOX: Yes. The entire site meets the parking
- 13 requirements based on the existing tenants plus all
- 14 proposed.
- 15 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
- 16 BRADFORD FOX: Okay.
- 17 SOO LEE-CHO: Just going through the additional C2 zone
- 18 development standards can you opine as to the applicability
- 19 of the loading section, 59(e)1.4 of the 2004 zoning
- 20 ordinance?
- 21 BRADFORD FOX: Yes. In the 2004 zoning ordinance they
- 22 stated that adequate loading should be provided. As we heard
- 23 earlier the Bank, for security reasons, does not want a
- 24 designated loading space.
- 25 LYNN ROBESON: What was the cite to the 2004 59(c)

- 1 SOO LEE-CHO: 59(e)1.4.
- 2 LYNN ROBESON: E. Okay. I'm -- okay.
- 3 BRADFORD FOX: All right.
  - SOO LEE-CHO: All right. Moving on. Can you speak to
- 5 Section 59(e)'s landscaping requirements and how we comply?
- 6 BRADFORD FOX: Certainly. I'll bring up another
- 7 exhibit.
- 8 LYNN ROBESON: Those are the parking landscaping?
- 9 SOO LEE-CHO: Correct.
- 10 BRADFORD FOX: Yes. So here we have Sheet 6 of 7 from
- 11 the Conditional Use Plan set. And the --
- 12 LYNN ROBESON: Is that in the record?
- 13 SOO LEE-CHO: Yes, 35 --
- 14 LYNN ROBESON: At 35(b)?
- 15 SOO LEE-CHO: Correct.
- 16 LYNN ROBESON: Okav.
- 17 BRADFORD FOX: The site requires a minimum of 5 percent
- 18 of the internal area to surface parking to be landscaped
- 19 with shade trees. We've provided that. Our site is just the
- 20 surface parking would be the four spaces here and we also
- 21 have looked at the drive-through and queuing area also. And
- 22 we provide that we would need about 283 square feet for 5
- 23 percent based on that parking area. And these, even just
- 24 counting the internal areas here we're over that with
- 25 approximately 350 square feet of landscaping.
- g? 25 approximately 550 square leet of landscapt
  - LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
  - 2 BRADFORD FOX: So all right. The 10 foot landscape
  - 3 strip, if you think back to the existing conditions, the
  - 4 parking was right up along the right of way, and now we've
  - 5 moved that interior to the site and provided over that
  - 6 minimum 10 foot landscape strip.
  - 7 SOO LEE-CHO: Can you address outdoor lighting
  - 8 requirements, Section 59(e)2.6 of the 2004 ordinance and how
  - 9 we comply?

1

- 10 BRADFORD FOX: Yes.
- 11 SOO LEE-CHO: This is a new exhibit.
- 12 LYNN ROBESON: This is a photometric study?
- 13 SOO LEE-CHO: Yes.
- 14 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. This will be 43 is the photometric
- 15 study. Did you -- thank you. We are very high tech here.
- BRADFORD FOX: Yes. I'll speak to some points on the
- 17 photometric plan. It was not prepared by me but upon review
- 18 of it you can see that there are lights provided at the
- 19 front of the building for safety and security and that is
- 20 the closest point to the existing shopping center where
- 21 there's already lighting provided for the parking. In 22 addition along the perimeter there is lighting provided
- 23 facing in towards the Bank and those light levels drop very
- 24 quickly as you move --
- 25 LYNN ROBESON: What are the light levels at the

55 1 case. It was 59(e)2.6 for the lighting, outdoor lighting. 1 perimeter? BRADFORD FOX: The light levels at the perimeter -- the LYNN ROBESON: Yeah. lighting designer might have provided an average. I'm going SOO LEE-CHO: And you did look at the landscape to look for that. If not, I can pull an approximate. provisions of 59(e) parking lots. In that case the 2004 LYNN ROBESON: But you're not -- they're -- you're not parking rates applied because they did not -under the requirement that it be 0.5 foot candles? LYNN ROBESON: Right. Right. BRADFORD FOX: That would be the current code. 7 SOO LEE-CHO: -- but that's the only distinction. 8 LYNN ROBESON: No, that was the old code too. 8 LYNN ROBESON: I was just trying to separate out what's SOO LEE-CHO: Well, the old code is cited at 59(e)2.6 9 applicable. 10 and it simply provides that adequate lighting shall be 10 SOO LEE-CHO: Yeah. I've been doing that for a while on 11 provided for surface parking lots used at night and lighting 11 this case. 12 shall be installed and maintained in a manner not to cause 12 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. I apologize. Go --13 glare or reflection into --13 SOO LEE-CHO: That's okay. LYNN ROBESON: Oh. I'm thinking of the special 14 LYNN ROBESON: -- is that --15 exception. There was a requirement that --15 SOO LEE-CHO: So just to round out the outdoor lighting SOO LEE-CHO: Right. But those are not applicable --16 testimony, did you state that the site faces any, or abuts 17 LYNN ROBESON: We're doing the --17 any residential premises? 18 SOO LEE-CHO: -- the uses under --18 BRADFORD FOX: The properties across the -- across 355 19 LYNN ROBESON: Yes. Okay. Yes. Okay. 19 would be zoned residential but currently --20 BRADFORD FOX: The proposed preliminary lighting faces 20 SOO LEE-CHO: But that's not -- that's confronting. So 21 towards the building it's cut off the face and where it also 21 the standard on the outdoor lighting is whether there's a 22 is adjacent to public right-of-ways that have street 22 glare or reflection into abutting or facing residential 23 lighting for the public right-of-way along North Westland 23 properties. 24 and also down 355. 24 LYNN ROBESON: Well, isn't it facing? LYNN ROBESON: Okay. 25 SOO LEE-CHO: Well, facing through a right-of-way. 54 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Just tell me is there glare across LYNN ROBESON: 1 SOO LEE-CHO: All right. And does the site face any --355? 2 2 did you just say whether it faced a --BRADFORD FOX: No. No there is no glare across 355. If LYNN ROBESON: Wait. If you look at -- I'm sorry. Now you look at the aerial photo --5 LYNN ROBESON: They already have street lights in the I'm --SOO LEE-CHO: You're thinking of the special exception 6 6 right-of-way, correct? 7 BRADFORD FOX: Correct. LYNN ROBESON: Well, I'm thinking of that but --LYNN ROBESON: And do you have full cut off fixtures in SOO LEE-CHO: -- standards. your lighting? LYNN ROBESON: -- there's also -- you've -- isn't 10 BRADFORD FOX: Yes. These will be full cut off and 11 across the street residential? 11 they'll face the interior. And by the time we get to the SOO LEE-CHO: Yes. But it's whether you face or abut 12 back of curb we're at zero along North Westland and .1, .2 13 any residential premises is the standard. 13 (crosstalk) LYNN ROBESON: Okay. That's good. Okay. LYNN ROBESON: No. I'm talking about the new zoning 14 15 ordinance. That's in Article 6 of the new zoning ordinance SOO LEE-CHO: All right. So finally I would just ask 16 which you say doesn't apply because you're under the 16 you to cover general finding 597.31(e)1(f) which is the 17 development standards of the --17 adequate public facilities finding. Is it your opinion that 18 this application meets that requirement? And why? 18 SOO LEE-CHO: Old. LYNN ROBESON: -- zoning ordinance. BRADFORD FOX: Yes. It is my opinion that it means that 19 SOO LEE-CHO: With the exception of parking. 20 20 requirement. I was also involved with that APF that is 21 LYNN ROBESON: Right. 21 Exhibit 38, the Walnut Hill Shopping Center APF test. And SOO LEE-CHO: Because of the (inaudible). So if you 22 during that time we went through the APF with this site in 22

24

25

23 mind and now we're putting this into practice.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Thank you.

SOO LEE-CHO: And that APF is still valid, is it not?

23 look at the Starbucks case you --

24

25

LYNN ROBESON: I think it's 6.4.4.(e).

SOO LEE-CHO: That was not applied in the Starbucks

#### Transcript of Administrative Hearing Conducted on October 30, 2017

BRADFORD FOX: Yes.

2 3 SOO LEE-CHO: All right. Thank you.

BRADFORD FOX: All right.

LYNN ROBESON: Thank you.

5 SOO LEE-CHO: Next I would call our --

6 LYNN ROBESON: Next victim, Ms. Lee-Cho.

SOO LEE-CHO: -- traffic engineer, Nick Driban. For Mr.

Driban I will need to go through voir dire for just --

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Do we have his resume in the 10 record?

SOO LEE-CHO: We will. 11

12 LYNN ROBESON: Yes. This will be 49, no I mean 44.

13 Well, let me just -- please raise your right hand. Do you

14 solemnly as affirm under penalties of perjury that the

15 statements you are about to make are the truth, the whole

16 truth, and nothing but the truth?

17 NICK DRIBAN: Yes.

18 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Before we start are you trying to

19 qualify as a traffic engineer or a transportation planner or 20 both?

21 SOO LEE-CHO: I have him as traffic engineering and

22 planning.

23 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Go ahead.

24 SOO LEE-CHO: Mr. Driban, please state your name,

25 business address, and profession for the record.

1 planning. And at that time we had a renewal of our contract

with Montgomery County, the County Department of

3 Transportation so we were conducting traffic engineering and

4 transportation planning analyses for them. And I've been

with Lenhart Traffic now for about eight months.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. 6

7 NICK DRIBAN: And I'm the Associate Vice President of

8 that.

9 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

SOO LEE-CHO: Are you registered as a professional 10

11 traffic engineer in the State of Maryland?

NICK DRIBAN: I am a professional engineer in the state

13 of Maryland and a professional traffic operations engineer.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. 14

15 NICK DRIBAN: Certified (inaudible)

LYNN ROBESON: I will accept him --16

17 SOO LEE-CHO: I would submit --

18 LYNN ROBESON: -- as an expert.

19 SOO LEE-CHO: Thank you. It's hard to say no to that, 20 right?

58

1

21 LYNN ROBESON: Yes.

SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. Mr. Driban, did you prepare this

23 Traffic Statement submitted in support of this conditional

24 use application?

25 NICK DRIBAN: I did.

60

NICK DRIBAN: Full name is Charles Nicholas Driban. I

2 go by Nick. And business is traffic engineering. I work for

3 Lenhart Traffic Consulting Incorporated; and the business

4 address is 645 Baltimore Annapolis Boulevard, Suite 214,

5 Severna Park, Maryland, 21146.

SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. Can you please describe your

education and professional training?

NICK DRIBAN: Yes. I have a bachelor's of science in

9 civil engineering from Penn State University. I've worked in

10 the field of traffic engineering and transportation planning

11 for about 11 years now on both the public and private sides.

12 My first six years were with a company called STV

13 Incorporated where I was a traffic engineer and

14 transportation planner. We had an on call contract at that

15 time with Montgomery County so from the beginning of my

16 career I've worked in this area doing traffic engineering.

17 After that I was with the Maryland State Highway

18 Administration in the asset management division responsible

19 for reviewing traffic impact studies and had oversight of

20 the District 3 area which encompasses Montgomery County. So

21 I was the -- one of the -- I was the primary reviewer for

22 Montgomery County traffic impact studies for two years. I

23 went back to STV for three years where I was the head of the

24 traffic operations department overseeing technical staff,

25 which is again, traffic engineering and transportation

SOO LEE-CHO: Can you please describe the approach or

method of analysis that was employed for the traffic

statement and describe for the Hearing --

LYNN ROBESON: Well, before you do that. I'm sorry. I'm

trying to -- what local area transportation review

guidelines -- did you use the one that they are using? They

7 have -- Park and Planning has guidelines as you probably

know that haven't been formally adopted yet. Where those the

guidelines you used or?

10 NICK DRIBAN: So this -- the original traffic impact

11 study that incorporated the additional square footage that

12 the Bank is being included under --

13 LYNN ROBESON: Right.

14 NICK DRIBAN: Was conducted in 2015 and followed the

15 2015 LATR guidelines.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Have you updated it to meet the

17 current guidelines?

NICK DRIBAN: So at that time an adequate public

19 facilities approval was granted for an additional 9999

20 square feet --

21 LYNN ROBESON: Oh, so this is -- oh that -- okay. So

22 this is included -- I hate -- I mean -- this is all fine.

23 It's just -- so you're saying that the 2015 APF approval is

24 still valid?

25 NICK DRIBAN: Yes. So the 2015 APF approval was for an

additional 9999 square feet.

2 LYNN ROBESON: Yeah, but did it include the conditional

use?

NICK DRIBAN: So that square footage, the way the

traffic analysis is conducted at the time was for additional

6 square footage for the shopping center and we use, in

transportation engineering, traffic engineering, the ITE

trip generation manual. It's kind of --

LYNN ROBESON: For a shopping center.

10 NICK DRIBAN: Yes. And a shopping center includes pad

11 sites. The definition within the trip generation manual says

12 that the square footage for that shopping center encompasses

13 any pad sites which could be, you know, fast food, banks,

14 any number of things that are counted within the square

15 footage of the overall shopping center.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay. I believe him. 16

17 SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. Were you asked to provide any

18 additional analyses for the Bank use and the drive-through

19 use in particular in this case?

NICK DRIBAN: Yes. Staff requested that we conduct

21 analyses on queuing for the drive-through as well as

22 operations for the site access point to the overall shopping

23 center on North Westland Drive located in --

24 SOO LEE-CHO: (inaudible)

25 NICK DRIBAN: Sure. that can queue within the space in which we determined to be

roughly eight to nine vehicles and there's some documented

research from ITE that looks at the maximum queues for

different types of uses in drive-throughs and this is

research dating back, you know, 30, 40 years, and they found

that the maximum queue observed at a bank drive-through was

8 vehicles for 15 sites that they looked at across the

country. And their sort of conclusion from that was people

aren't willing to tolerate a longer queue than that within a

10 bank drive-through. They'll come back later or -- there's a

11 -- in this case there's an ATM in the lobby. So there are

12 other options.

13 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. All right.

SOO LEE-CHO: And just -- did you state the number of 14

15 queuing spaces calculated for the site?

NICK DRIBAN: Yes. There are eight to nine spaces

17 within -- between the two drive-through lanes and then the

18 space that wraps around the northwest side of the building.

19 And that doesn't include any space within the bypass lane.

SOO LEE-CHO: And are the car the vehicles that are

21 shown on the Site Plan Sheet 4, are they indicative of that

22 queuing space?

23 NICK DRIBAN: Yes.

24 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

25 NICK DRIBAN: So that's showing eight vehicles and you

62

LYNN ROBESON: And you're looking at Exhibit 35(b)

Sheet 4, the site spec -- Conditional Use Site Plan.

3 SOO LEE-CHO: Yes.

NICK DRIBAN: Yes. So on this exhibit it is the site

access point that's on the, I think it's the northwest

corner of the site in the far --

LYNN ROBESON: Of the conditional use site?

NICK DRIBAN: Of the conditional use site.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

NICK DRIBAN: Yeah. So we conducted traffic analyses

11 for queuing and for that site access point and the queuing

12 evaluation looked at the number of vehicles that could queue

13 within the drive-through space and also looked at the layout

14 of the drive-through as it was configured. And in order to

15 make sure that traffic could operate safely and efficiently

16 within there and that the queuing space was adequate for the

17 number of vehicles that would be generated by the Bank. And

18 we found that queuing was adequate and that the layout was

19 appropriate in terms of keeping traffic away from the main

20 spine road of the site that runs from North Westland Road to 21 South Westland Road and generally facilitating traffic

22 operations most effectively.

LYNN ROBESON: Do you ever find -- how do you determine

24 that queuing is adequate?

NICK DRIBAN: So we looked at the number of vehicles

could probably squeeze one more in there.

SOO LEE-CHO: Did Technical Staff find your method of

analysis acceptable?

4 NICK DRIBAN: They did.

5 SOO LEE-CHO: Are you familiar with the specific --

6 well, conditional use standards of Section 3514(e)2(b) of

the 2014 zoning ordinance?

8 NICK DRIBAN: Yes.

SOO LEE-CHO: In particular the first requirement that

10 the use of the proposed location will not create a traffic

11 hazard or traffic nuisance because of its location in

12 relation to similar uses, et cetera. Have you reviewed that

13 section?

14 NICK DRIBAN: I have.

15 SOO LEE-CHO: All right.

NICK DRIBAN: Can you opine as to this application's

17 compliance with that specific use standards?

NICK DRIBAN: Yes. We concur with Staff findings in

19 this case. They found that the pad site located in the

20 northwest corner of the site, next to the site access

21 location is relatively isolated from the rest of the

22 shopping center site which allows vehicles entering and

23 exiting the drive-through to avoid creating a traffic hazard 24 for any other vehicles within the site. You can kind of see

25 that the entrance to the drive-through and, especially the

#### Transcript of Administrative Hearing Conducted on October 30, 2017

65

exit, are relatively removed from the rest of the shopping

center traffic. And --

3 LYNN ROBESON: Did you have to do queuing up at the

access point at North Westland?

NICK DRIBAN: We did, yes. They asked -- Staff asked

that we evaluate traffic operations at that access point and

North Westland Drive is a low-volume road and we found that

8 the average queue during the highest hour, the peak hour was

9 less than one vehicle. It was about 12 to 13 feet so there's

10 really not a queuing issue exiting the site onto North

11 Westland Drive. And the site is -- access is a right into

12 the drive through lane. So you don't have vehicles, you

13 know, queuing up trying to get into the drive-through lane.

LYNN ROBESON: And then they can either go straight and

15 exit onto Frederick Avenue, 355 or they take a left at the

16 end of the lane and travel back to the access?

NICK DRIBAN: Correct. There are a number of access

18 points. The site actually has six access points which -- the

19 overall shopping center site has six access points which we

20 are not intending to change. But you can exit from two

21 locations -- exit the shopping center from two locations on

22. North Westland Drive, two locations on South Westland Drive

23 or back onto Frederick Avenue.

24 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

25 SOO LEE-CHO: Can you opine as to the traffic patterns 1 of the previous study in 2015 for adding an additional 9999

square feet. And based on the trip generation analysis that

we used which is the industry standard from ITE trip

generation manual this use would be included as part of

those trips generated as a pad site within a shopping

center. So that adequate public facilities finding would

apply to the site.

8 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

9 SOO LEE-CHO: That's all I have.

10 LYNN ROBESON: Thank you.

NICK DRIBAN: Thank you. 11

12 SOO LEE-CHO: Finally, I will call Mr. Edward Steere.

13 LYNN ROBESON: You've been patient, Mr. Steere.

14 SOO LEE-CHO: Mr. Steere should be familiar to you too.

15 LYNN ROBESON: He is.

16 SOO LEE-CHO: As well.

17 LYNN ROBESON: Please raise your right hand. Do you

18 solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury that the

19 statements you're about to make are the truth, the whole

20 truth, and nothing but the truth?

21 EDWARD STEERE: I do.

22. LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Go ahead Ms. Lee-Cho.

23 SOO LEE-CHO: Mr. Steere, can you please state your

24 name, business address, and profession for the record?

25 EDWARD STEERE: My name is Edward Steere. I work at

1 and whether any turning movements will cross sidewalks and

2 pedestrian ways and cause any disruption to pedestrian

circulation?

NICK DRIBAN: I believe that the traffic is well

configured for the pedestrian -- the proposed pedestrian

6 facilities. There are pavement markings and signage proposed 6

7 at the exit to the drive-through for the new pedestrian

8 connection there, which would facilitate safe and efficient

9 traffic operations.

LYNN ROBESON: And then you have a crosswalk, right,

11 between the -- you have a crosswalk going all the way to the

12 existing sidewalk for the shopping center?

NICK DRIBAN: Correct; which was requested by Staff to

14 help facilitate pedestrian operations through there. And in

15 terms of that crosswalk the Bank's impact is less than 30

16 vehicles per hour during the peak hours so it's really not a

17 substantial amount of additional traffic that would be

18 crossing that new pedestrian crossing.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

20 SOO LEE-CHO: All right. Finally, just to touch upon

21 the general finding of adequate public services with regard

23 as to this application's compliance with that requirement?

NICK DRIBAN: Yes. As I stated earlier this additional

25 square footage for the shopping center was approved as part

1 Valbridge Property Advisors now. Oh, I let me get the

address right here. Just moved; 11100 Dovedale Court,

Marriottsville, Maryland 21104. And I should explain --

4 LYNN ROBESON: Didn't I see you in Starbucks?

5 EDWARD STEERE: Yes.

LYNN ROBESON: Were you -- yeah.

EDWARD STEERE: So one change --

8 LYNN ROBESON: And you told me where all the Dunkin

9 Donuts were.

10 EDWARD STEERE: I did.

11 LYNN ROBESON: Yes.

EDWARD STEERE: Did you check them all out? 12

13 LYNN ROBESON: Huh? No. I -- never mind. I -- I

14 digress.

15 EDWARD STEERE: Now I'm going to tell you where all the

16 banking facilities are.

17 LYNN ROBESON: Good.

EDWARD STEERE: So this, just to clarify --18

19 SOO LEE-CHO: Well, before we go to --

20 EDWARD STEERE: Okay.

21 SOO LEE-CHO: -- the new study. I have Mr. Steere's

22 to public roads, found in Section 59731(e)1(f) can you opine 22 resume for the record and I would just ask, Mr. Steere, have

23 you testified or qualified as an expert before this --

24 EDWARD STEERE: Yes, I have.

25 SOO LEE-CHO: -- body before. With that I would submit

#### Transcript of Administrative Hearing Conducted on October 30, 2017

him as an expert in market analysis.

LYNN ROBESON: Thank you so much. Okay. Go ahead Ms.

Lee-Cho. That's 45, by the way.

SOO LEE-CHO: Yes. All right. Mr. Steere, did you

prepare the needs study that was submitted in support of

this conditional use application?

EDWARD STEERE: Yes, I did.

8 SOO LEE-CHO: Before we get into the study itself,

could you just clarify for the record that your --

EDWARD STEERE: The business?

SOO LEE-CHO: The business transition that occurred 11

12 from the time that you prepared the study to today?

13 EDWARD STEERE: Yes. So at the --

14 LYNN ROBESON: Well, wait. Let me just -- I don't think

15 I've actually qualified you yet.

SOO LEE-CHO: Oh.

17 LYNN ROBESON: But you have testified before the

18 Hearing Examiner as an expert in market analysis, correct?

EDWARD STEERE: Correct.

20 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. I'm going to --

21 SOO LEE-CHO: Yes.

22. LYNN ROBESON: -- formally accept him --

23 SOO LEE-CHO: Thank you.

24 LYNN ROBESON: -- as an expert in market analysis.

25 EDWARD STEERE: So I'm not sure when exactly, you know,

1 which company I was with the last time I was in front of

2 this Board. But the -- when I prepared this analysis the

3 business was Litman, Frizzell & Mitchell in Columbia,

4 Maryland. And since that time I had taken the business model

5 and moved it to another company, Valbridge Property

6 Advisors. So although the report is titled as Litman,

Frizzell & Mitchell, I am not there.

LYNN ROBESON: Okay.

SOO LEE-CHO: So can you please describe the approach,

10 method of analysis that you employed for the needs study and

11 your conclusions?

EDWARD STEERE: So the important part of my needs study

13 was actually in the neighborhood. What I do is I take and

14 define a space where this facility will serve and use in the

15 neighborhood that Ms. Cross proposed in her report worked

16 very well. And it's significant in the market analysis to

17 identify what that market is. Sometimes we use a drive time

18 analysis as we would for Dunkin Donuts or Starbucks. But

19 other times it's more of a neighborhood base, and this is a

20 neighborhood banking business. It's not necessarily

21 something people rely on a drive time to go use. So looking

22 at the neighborhood that was already proposed here by the

23 applicant made sense because it's bounded by railroad tracks

24 and an interstate highway and then in the northern and

25 northwestern sides of it, it was more or less an isolated

buffer between neighborhoods without road connections. So

the -- as you go north of this facility on Frederick Road

you get into a more commercial area and other dense

neighborhoods, the Lake Forest Mall area and you go south

and you're heading to Rockville and then a little bit

northeast you have the old town of Gaithersburg, so each of

these are different centers. What we had in this particular

neighborhood is Walnut Hill and Rosemont (phonetic) and the

Walnut Hill shopping center which is the isolated single

10 service center for these two residential neighborhoods. So

11 using that as my boundary, I looked through what the -- I

12 used census data to determine what peoples -- the household

13 makeup is and what peoples banking practices were in that

14 area. In a nutshell, what we came down to is that there are

15 no other banking facilities in this neighborhood right now.

16 The facility that this neighborhood probably used the most

17 before was closed, and it was south of Route 370. So to get

18 there these folks would have driven down the highway and

19 across the road to get to a drive up bank facility. So what

20 we have now is a neighborhood service center, a grocery

21 store and other service uses, but no banking facilities, no

22 drive-up banking facilities. There is an ATM in the 7-Eleven

23 and I believe in the Weis, but nothing more than that. The

24 -- that's the first major parameter that we ran into. The

25 other is that in this particular area more than one-fifth of

the residents use Bank of America so they have to travel

somewhere else to use the banking services they've already

elected to use. That's a significant share, one-fifth of the

population among all the different banks in the region. So

we then looked at where the competitive supply of Bank of

America and other banks were. The Bank of America sites that

are nearby, by distance don't sound far, but by driving it's

difficult and they are not convenient. So if you lived in

Walnut Hill subdivision and you needed to travel south to go

10 to work, for example, heading towards this direction the

11 closest facility is actually north of their communities so

12 they would have to drive into another congested area to get

13 to another Bank of America facility or to go down to 14 Rockville. Likewise the -- there were 13 other banks in

15 about a 10 minute drive time area as well which put Lake

16 Forest Mall and Rockville and Gaithersburg so all of the

17 banking facilities were far enough away that they were more

18 are less inconvenient to access.

LYNN ROBESON: How -- I'm sorry. How far away were they

20 in times of drive time?

EDWARD STEERE: Approximately 10 minutes, or 3 miles

22 depending on the time of day. And so normally I would do a

23 study like this on a lot of numbers but this one had a lot

24 more planning principle behind it than numbers. I do have

25 numbers for all of this but on theory the accessibility for

#### Transcript of Administrative Hearing Conducted on October 30, 2017

1 this community made this the paramount concern. As I pointed

- 2 out, more than one-fifth of the residents or households in
- 3 this community are banking with Bank of America now.
- 4 Frederick Road is also a major thoroughfare with, I believe
- 5 it was more than 29,000 average vehicle trips per day, and
- 6 so there is significant pass-through traffic that will find
- this site more accessible than many of the other ones that
- 8 are existing north of it as well. We found that some of the
- 9 other banking sites were in business parks. They're really
- 10 oriented to the business park; they're not oriented to a
- 11 community. They're oriented to a shopping center or some
- 12 other, like the mall, for example. It's not -- it's a
- 13 destination, it's not a neighborhood convenience.
- SOO LEE-CHO: Mr. Steere, you heard from Ms. Cross that
- 15 the Technical Staff had proffered a more narrow and smaller
- 16 neighborhood area. Did that -- would that that alter your
- 17 analysis in any way?
- 18 EDWARD STEERE: It really --
- 19 LYNN ROBESON: And I'll bring up a --
- 20 EDWARD STEERE: Yeah. It -- the Technical Staff
- 21 neighborhood area can easily be summarized by being a
- 22 nonresidential portion of the community. They've picked up
- 23 the commercial strip all along Route 355 with the churches
- 24 that are across the street. It isn't a neighborhood so to
- 25 speak. It's just a business community. Additionally there

- 1 coming from, not necessarily the business customers that are
- next door in the shopping center.
- 3 LYNN ROBESON: Right.
  - SOO LEE-CHO: All right. And did Technical Staff find
- your needs study and conclusions acceptable?
- EDWARD STEERE: Yes, they did.
- SOO LEE-CHO: Okay. That's all I have.
- 8 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. You may be excused. Is there
- anything else? Anyone else?
- 10 SOO LEE-CHO: I have no further witnesses.
- LYNN ROBESON: Okay. The one outstanding issue, I think 11
- 12 what I can do is this. With I'm looking at 40, Exhibit 40
- 13 which is the graphic. I think that may be enough --
- MALE: Ms. Robeson, can I get --
- 15 LYNN ROBESON: Sorry. Oh I see. I didn't even see it
- 16 there. I think that may be enough to craft a condition
- 17 saying it has to be substantially similar to the graphics
- 18 shown on Exhibit 40. And I don't think I need to refer it
- 19 over to DPS.
- 20 SOO LEE-CHO: I'm getting a nod of the head. That's a
- 21 good thing.

74

- LYNN ROBESON: Okay. So what I'm going to do, we
- 23 usually keep the record open for 10 days to get the
- 24 transcript because we can't start writing the decision, or
- 25 we can't finish the decision at least without the

1 are thousands of business employees that are working in this

- 2 particular area as well which would -- the Bank facility
- would also serve.
- LYNN ROBESON: But that's not a market area. That's
- just --
- 6 EDWARD STEERE: That's just a commercial neighborhood.
- LYNN ROBESON: -- a direct impact of the use.
- EDWARD STEERE: Right. That's just a commercial
- 9 neighborhood. It's where most of the traffic is going to be
- 10 focused. It's not what I would call a market area.
- SOO LEE-CHO: So you would say -- well, this area has
- 12 been described and reiterated by the Hearing Examiner as a
- 13 direct impact area. But your characterization of the broader
- 14 neighborhood for purposes of your study, was it broader?
- EDWARD STEERE: Yes. So my neighborhood matched that of 15 that we are showing in the architecturals the Staff Report
- 16 Ms. Cross. So it went into the Walnut Hill neighborhood just
- 17 to the northeast and the Rosemont neighborhood in the
- 18 southwest, and a little bit north and south of this, well,
- 19 not south. It went down to Route 370 so it was just a little
- 20 bit further north past Central Avenue. So it picked up an
- 21 actual neighborhood that -- where the customers would be
- 22 coming from.
- 23 LYNN ROBESON: Right. Right.
- 24 EDWARD STEERE: When I look at market area I'm looking
- 25 at who's it's serving, and it's the customers, where they're

- transcript. Even though we take notes on all those numbers
- like Mr. Fox testified to, we need the transcript. So 10
- days from today is November 9th, which I believe is a
- Thursday so I'm going to hold the record open -- well, let
- me do it until the 10th because the transcripts have been
- late. I'm going to leave the record open until November
- 10th. And then I have 30 days to write my decision, and if
- you disagree, of course Ms. Lee-Cho knows this, if you
- disagree with my decision you have 10 days to appeal that,
- 10 or request oral argument from the Board of Appeals. Okay. So
- 11 right now the record will close on 11/10 and I think that's
- 12 it for now. Do you have any --
- SOO LEE-CHO: I have one point just highlight for you
- 14 in the Staff Report because in addition to the wall signage
- 16 mentions an existing monument sign at that nearest corner,
- 17 that we're -- at this point we're not sure what we're doing
- 18 with that, if anything. But it was --
- LYNN ROBESON: Whether you're going to add a Bank of
- 20 America or not to it?
- 21 SOO LEE-CHO: Correct. Correct. So that -- it is on our
- 22 site plan and is identified as an existing monument sign.
- 23 And it's referenced in the Technical Staff as something we
- 24 reserve for future modification if allowable under the sign
- 25 ordinance.

79 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. But you're not changing the 1 whole shopping center? 2 configuration of the monument; it would just be -- are you? SOO LEE-CHO: A shared sign. 2 Or are, you know --3 BRADFORD FOX: A shared sign. SOO LEE-CHO: Um --4 SOO LEE-CHO: A shared tenant --LYNN ROBESON: Usually with those identification signs 5 LYNN ROBESON: So it's like a tenant identity sign? they just allot -- well, let me ask you this. Would the 6 SOO LEE-CHO: Correct. monument sign, and if anybody wants to testify, would it LYNN ROBESON: Okay. I see the tree here, when I say basically consist of Bank of America with a logo? 8 here, it's just above the monument sign. Would the tree SOO LEE-CHO: Yes. The complexity with that monument interfere with the monument sign? 10 sign is that it straddles our property line. It's actually 10 BRADFORD FOX: It depends on whether or not we'd have 11 technically located more in the right-of-way than on our 11 to prune. I don't know the exact location of any limbs. 12 property. And we are --LYNN ROBESON: Right. Okay. Is there, within this area LYNN ROBESON: When you say our property, you mean 13 in the southwest corner of the sight between the conditional 14 Walnut Hill Shopping Center's property? 14 use LOD and the drive aisles, is there an area where you SOO LEE-CHO: Correct. Correct. And so it is unclear at 15 could put -- move the existing monument sign? 16 this point whether a panel can be added to the existing BRADFORD FOX: Yes. Because of the relocation and the 17 monument because of its location being in the right-of-way 17 existing conditions the paving comes all the way out to 18 or whether it needs to be moved out of the right-of-way. So 18 here, but because of where we put the drive aisle there's a 19 -- and --19 large landscaped area where the sign could be relocated 20 LYNN ROBESON: But that's not on the conditional use 20 where it would be best. 21 site, correct? 21 LYNN ROBESON: And it wouldn't -- it wouldn't interfere SOO LEE-CHO: It is. 22 with traffic -- do you think if it was moved it would meet 23 LYNN ROBESON: It is? 23 the current sign requirements? Have you done that study? 24 SOO LEE-CHO: It's shown on our plan as -- darn. 24 BRADFORD FOX: No. 25 LYNN ROBESON: Someday we'll get high tech. Okay, let 25 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Well, that's -- I'll have to see. 80 1 me -- do you have a -- I just really -- Mr. -- can anybody The other option is that you come in for a minor that's a witness testify about this? Would it be Mr. Fox? modification. SOO LEE-CHO: Yes. 3 SOO LEE-CHO: That's what I'm trying to avoid. BRADFORD FOX: Yeah. LYNN ROBESON: I know that. I'm trying to work with you LYNN ROBESON: Do you mind coming forward? I'm looking on this, but -- what proximity from -- is this monument sign 6 at 35(b) Sheet 4. Can you -- is the monument -- is the shown anywhere? monument sign, the shaded area with a, I guess it's a B next SOO LEE-CHO: You mean a visual? 8 to it? 8 LYNN ROBESON: Yeah. BRADFORD FOX: Yes. The B does not refer to the 9 SOO LEE-CHO: I have an email from Emily Tettlebaum 10 monument sign. It's referring to the storm drain structure 10 that had a picture of it. 11 nearby. LYNN ROBESON: How far do you, Mr. Fox, how far do you 12 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. 12 think it would have to move from the location shown on the BRADFORD FOX: But the shaded area where it is labeled 13 site plan? 14 with existing monument sign is the existing monument sign 14 BRADFORD FOX: Currently it straddles that right-of-way 15 so it would be about the length of the sign right now. So 15 location. LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Let me ask you this. If you had to 16 approximately --17 move the existing monument sign have you done any 17 LYNN ROBESON: So --18 preliminary look at whether it could be moved slightly to BRADFORD FOX: -- 5 to 10 feet. 18 19 the north? Is there storm water management in there? 19 LYNN ROBESON: Five to 10 feet. BRADFORD FOX: There is not storm water management in 20 BRADFORD FOX: Mm-hmm. 21 there. There is an existing tree here and I would say that 21 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. I'm going to take -- Ms. -- Mr. 22 we could (inaudible) onto the property in the vicinity of, 22 Fox, don't go away. Have you seen the sign? 23 you know, moving it northeast and that would be a great 23 BRADFORD FOX: Yes, I have seen the sign.

24

25 Lee-Cho just gave me?

LYNN ROBESON: Is that the sign on this photo that Ms.

24 location.

LYNN ROBESON: And that's an existing sign for the

84

#### Transcript of Administrative Hearing Conducted on October 30, 2017

BRADFORD FOX: Yes.

- LYNN ROBESON: Okay. I'm going to mark this as Exhibit
- 3 46. So in your opinion as a civil engineer, you think the
- movement of the sign to bring in out of the right-of-way
- would 5 to feet 10 feet?
- BRADFORD FOX: Mm-hmm. 6
- LYNN ROBESON: Okay. And then 46 will be photo of
- existing monument sign. All right.
- SOO LEE-CHO: I think with that we are done.
- 10 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
- SOO LEE-CHO: Thank you for your indulgence. 11
- LYNN ROBESON: All right. With that the record is going
- 13 to close on November 10th. You have 30 days. Anything else
- 14 Ms. Lee-Cho before I adjourn?
- SOO LEE-CHO: The only other thing is I have a disk
- 16 with resumes and everything that we've introduced into the
- 17 record.
- 18 LYNN ROBESON: Do you have the disk; does it have this
- 19 composite plan?
- 20 SOO LEE-CHO: Yes.
- 21 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
- SOO LEE-CHO: Well. I mean the architectural 22.
- 23 (inaudible)
- 24 LYNN ROBESON: Oh. No. The Composite -- does it have
- 25 this?
  - SOO LEE-CHO: It has the new one, but not this old one.
- This is --2
- LYNN ROBESON: Okay. It has the updated one?
- SOO LEE-CHO: It has the updated one. I can send you --
- 5 LYNN ROBESON: Does it have this?
- 6 SOO LEE-CHO: Yes.
- LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
- SOO LEE-CHO: I can send you the --
- LYNN ROBESON: No, I don't need that.
- 10 SOO LEE-CHO: -- original one.
- LYNN ROBESON: No, I need the updated one. 11
- SOO LEE-CHO: No? The updated one. 12
- 13 LYNN ROBESON: The updated one is fine. Okay. All 14 right.
- 15 SOO LEE-CHO: And is the updated one marked? Can we
- 16 mark it? That would be Exhibit 42. Okay. Perfect.
- LYNN ROBESON: He's good. Okay. I'm going to mark the
- 18 disk as -- I just lost my exhibit list.
- SOO LEE-CHO: Okay.
- LYNN ROBESON: Well, the disk will be the next exhibit. 20
- 21 I don't know where my exhibit list went, but I think it's --
- 22 we're at 47.
- SOO LEE-CHO: Forty-seven, mm-hmm. 23
- 24 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Now with that, anything else?
- 25 SOO LEE-CHO: I think that's it.

- LYNN ROBESON: Okay. I am going to adjourn the public
- 2 hearing. The record will close November 10th, and my report
- will be out 30 days, at least -- or at most 30 days from
- November 10th. And then you have the right to request oral
- argument within 10 days of the date the report is issued.
- All right.
- 7 SOO LEE-CHO: We have provided the updated site plan
- set and the storm water approval plans to --
- LYNN ROBESON: Yes.
- SOO LEE-CHO: -- Technical Staff. We have not provided 10
- 11 the updated Composite Plan to the Technical Staff.
- LYNN ROBESON: Oh.
- 13 SOO LEE-CHO: Would you like them to get that as well?
- 14 Are you going to be asking them to --
- LYNN ROBESON: I have -- I'm required -15
- SOO LEE-CHO: Yeah. 16
- 17 LYNN ROBESON: -- to ask them. I tell you what. We'll
- 18 leave -- we can do one of two things. I can give them two
- 19 weeks or I can ask them to comment in 10 days. Two weeks, I
- 20 don't let them have more than two weeks normally to look at
- 21 the plan. Do you have a preference whether we keep the
- 22 record open for 10 days or 14 days?
- SOO LEE-CHO: I mean the shorter the better from my 23
- 24 perspective.

- 25 LYNN ROBESON: I know. Okay.
- 1 SOO LEE-CHO: So --
  - LYNN ROBESON: Well, I'll ask them -- the problem is
  - I've got to ask them to review it and if you don't like what
  - they say then you get time, so, you know, I can only give
  - them, like five days or six days to review it and then I
  - have to give you time.
  - SOO LEE-CHO: Typically, I would be concerned. Here I'm
  - 8 -- the plan sets that we submitted --
  - 9 LYNN ROBESON: Yeah.
  - SOO LEE-CHO: I don't anticipate an issue with -- from 10
  - 11 Technical Staff.
  - 12 LYNN ROBESON: Okay.
  - 13 SOO LEE-CHO: So --
  - 14 LYNN ROBESON: Okay. Well, we'll leave it at that then
  - 15 and I'll ask them to get their comments in within six days
  - 16 and then you have four days to respond.
  - SOO LEE-CHO: Yeah. And in the meantime I will get the
  - 18 composite -- do you want them to comment on the composite
  - 19 plan is my question.
  - 20 LYNN ROBESON: I will -- yes.
  - 21 SOO LEE-CHO: Okay.
  - 22 LYNN ROBESON: No. Actually, only the site plan. That's
  - 23 fine.
  - 24 SOO LEE-CHO: They already have that.
  - LYNN ROBESON: Yes. The one you forwarded. 25

85	
2 LYNN ROBESON: Yes.	
3 SOO LEE-CHO: Okay.	
4 LYNN ROBESON: Let's leave it there.	
5 SOO LEE-CHO: Okay.	
6 LYNN ROBESON: And not complicate it. Okay.	
7 SOO LEE-CHO: All right.	
8 LYNN ROBESON: All right. With that the we're goi	g
9 to adjourn the hearing. Thank you very much.	
10 SOO LEE-CHO: Thank you.	
11 LYNN ROBESON: And I'm sure we'll be in touch.	
12 (Off the record at 12:00.)	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
86	
1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER	
1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER	
1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER 2 I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded	
1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER 2 I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing 3 transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded 4 proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the	
1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER 2 I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing 3 transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded 4 proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the 5 best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to,	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to,	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher  DATE: November 7, 2017	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher  DATE: November 7, 2017	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have not interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher  DATE: November 7, 2017	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher  DATE: November 7, 2017	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher  DATE: November 7, 2017	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher  DATE: November 7, 2017  13  14  15  16  17	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have not interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher  DATE: November 7, 2017  November 7, 2017	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher  DATE: November 7, 2017  November 7, 2017	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have not interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher  DATE: November 7, 2017  November 7, 2017  Results of the proceedings were transcribed to the proceedings were transcribed to the countries of the proceedings were transcribed to the proceedings were t	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have not interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher  DATE: November 7, 2017  November 7, 2017  Results of the proceedings were transcribed to the proceedings were transcribed to the counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have not interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have not interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher  DATE: November 7, 2017  November 7, 2017  Results of the proceedings were transcribed to the proceedings were transcribed to the counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have not interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have not interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher  DATE: November 7, 2017  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have not interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher  DATE: November 7, 2017  November 7, 2017  Real Particular States of the recorded to the recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have not interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER  I, MOLLY BUGHER, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording and supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have not interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.  Molly Bugher  DATE: November 7, 2017  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22	