OFFICE OF ZONING AND ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

Stella B. Werner Council Office Building Rockville, Maryland 20850 (240) 777-6660

IN THE MATTER OF:

SAMINA ALI ZAI, d/b/a

*
SMART-ED EARLY LEARNING CENTER

Conditional Use Holder

*

*

Samina Ali Zai * OZAH Case No. CU 15-08

Nea Maloo *

*

Jude Wikramanayake, Esquire
Attorney for the Applicant

*

Before: Martin L. Grossman, Hearing Examiner

Director, Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings

HEARING EXAMINER'S ORDER REVOKING THE CONDITIONAL USE, BY CONSENT

I. BACKGROUND

This case has a somewhat complicated background. The final chapter began when Ms. Samina Ali Zai applied for a conditional use to operate a child Day Care Center for over 30 persons pursuant to Zoning Ordinance §59.3.4.4.F. The subject property is Parcel N120, in the White Oak Gardens Apartments, located at 11624 Lockwood Drive, in Units T-1, T-2 and T-3, and in an adjoining building, 11628 Lockwood Drive, in Units T-2 and T-3, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. The property's Tax Account Number is 05-00277577 (Exhibits 26 and 97), and it is owned by 72 Barrow Street Realty, which had authorized the conditional use application through its agent, Scott Fixell (Exhibits 17 and 24). The subject site is in the R-20 Zone (Exhibit 7), and a conditional use is required for a child care facility for 9 or more children in that zone.

The conditional use holder, Samina Ali Zai, was, at the time she applied for a conditional use, operating a child Day Care Center (Smart-Ed Early Learning Center) consisting of four apartment units in the two aforementioned adjoining buildings, 11624 Lockwood Drive (Units T-1, T-2 and T-3) and 11628 Lockwood Drive (Unit T-2). Units T-1, T-2 and T-3 at 11624 Lockwood Drive had a total of 53 children; and the Unit T-2 located in 11628 Lockwood Drive served an additional 20 children.

¹ All citations in this Decision are to the 2014 Zoning Ordinance for Montgomery County, adopted September 30, 2014 (Ordinance No. 17-52), as amended.

The previous operator of the Day Care Center was Kamini Wayal, under the name of "ABC Scholar." Ms. Wayal operated her Day Care Center under two special exceptions – S-82, granted by the Board of Appeals, governing operations at 11624 Lockwood Drive; and SE 03-4, granted by the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings (OZAH), governing operations at 11628 Lockwood Drive.

On November 18, 2012, Ms. Ali Zai purchased the child care business from Ms. Wayal. Exhibit 18. On April 8, 2015, the Ms. Ali Zai filed the subject conditional use application (CU 15-08) for permission to operate a Day Care Center in the additional site of Unit T-3 at 11628 Lockwood Drive (Exhibit 1). A notice was issued on April 14, 2015, scheduling a hearing for August 6, 2015 (Exhibit 28).

On May 14, 2015, the Applicant requested that the Board of Appeals transfer Special Exception S-82 from ABC Scholar to Smart-Ed Early Learning Center (Exhibit 42(e)), with the previous special exception holder, Ms. Wayal, consenting to the transfer (Exhibit 42(f)). The Board of Appeals issued a Resolution transferring Special Exception S-82 to Ms. Ali Zai, effective June 23, 2015 (Exhibit 43(a)).

After an exchange of emails among the Applicant's attorney, OZAH's Director, the Executive Director of the Board of Appeals, the Planning Department and the Department of Permitting Services, it was agreed that the best way to evaluate the new request for expansion of the operations and to regulate the overall operations of the combined day care facility was for the Applicant to amend her application in CU 15-08 to request that all the day care operations be consolidated under a new conditional use application. A new OZAH hearing date was set, with the understanding that the then existing special exceptions S-82 and SE 03-4 would be revoked, as abandoned, if the new combined conditional use were approved, consolidating all operations under the single conditional use. Exhibits 44 to 68. On February 5, 2016, the Applicant moved to amend her application (Exhibits 69 and 85), and on February 9, 2016, the amended application (Exhibit 70) was accepted for filing by OZAH.

The public hearing proceeded as scheduled on June 24, 2016. The Applicant testified, as did her architect, Nea Maloo. No opposition witnesses appeared at the hearing, and there have been no opposition submissions in this case. Eight parents of children served by the Applicant's Day Care Center filed letters of support for the facility (Exhibit 20(a) - (h)).

On August 2, 2016, the Hearing Examiner approved the conditional use, subject to 17 conditions, for the reasons set forth at length in his Report and Decision. Approval of the conditional use application increased the number of children permitted by 20, for a total of 93, with the additional children to be located in Unit T-3, at 11628 Lockwood Drive. The addition of Unit T-3 at 11628 Lockwood Drive would also bring to five the total number of apartment units in the subject site. The proposed child Day Care Center would operate as one unified facility located in the five apartments, and thus would include all of the units in the existing special exceptions S-82 and SE 03-4, as well as the new Unit T-3 at 11628 Lockwood Drive. Exhibit 70.

² The Child Care use in 11624 Lockwood Drive actually predates the grant of S-82 on 6/7/72. It existed under various operators per special exceptions CBA-2315 (12/21/67) and CBA-2710 (12/18/69). See Exhibits 41 and 101, p. 6.

Condition 6 in the Hearing Examiner's decision of August 2, 2016, provides:

6. The Applicant must seek to revoke as abandoned all previously approved special exceptions for the existing use that are still valid. To accomplish this, prior to issuance of use and occupancy permit for the combined child Day Care Center, the Applicant must request that the Board of Appeals revoke the existing special exception S-82 on the subject site, as abandoned, and must request that OZAH revoke the existing special exception SE 03-4 on the subject site, as abandoned. If special exceptions CBA-2315 and CBA-2710 still exist, both of which were superseded by special exception S-82, they should be revoked as abandoned as well.

However, the conditional use holder, Samina Ali Zai, never filed requests for revocation of the mentioned special exceptions with either OZAH or the Board of Appeals.

On September 14, 2018, apparently prompted by reminders from the Department of Permitting Services (Exhibit 109), Ms. Ali Zai filed a request with OZAH (Exhibit 110) to extend the implementation period of the conditional use for one year, since the statutory implementation period expired on August 2, 2018, under Zoning Ordinance §59.7.3.1.I.1.

On September 18, 2018, the Hearing Examiner granted the request to extend the implementation period of the conditional use for one year, and that extended implementation period expired on August 2, 2019. Exhibit 111.

On April 10, 2019, the Board of Appeals voted to transfer Special Exception S.E. 03-4, from the previous holder to Ms. Ali Zai, effective April 23, 2019. Exhibit 114.

II. THE CONSENT TO REVOKE THE CONDITIONAL USE

On April 18, 2019, Ms. Ali Zai, owner and Director of the Smart-Ed Early Learning Center, wrote to the Hearing Examiner stating that "the Smart-Ed Early Learning Center, LLC has decided not to implement the Conditional Use (CU 15-08)." Exhibit 112.

On August 23, 2019, the Department of Permitting Services inquired as to whether CU 15-08 had been revoked, given the conditional use holder's declaration that she did not intend to implement it. Exhibit 115.

III. OPINION AND ORDER

Zoning Ordinance §59.7.3.1.I. provides:

Duration of Approval

- 1. A conditional use that is not established or has not obtained a building permit within 24 months from the date of the issuance of the decision or resolution expires, unless a longer period is established by the decision or resolution.
- 2. After the decision, the Board of Appeals or the Hearing Examiner may extend the time limit for a conditional use to be established or obtain a building permit if the evidence of record establishes that drawing of architectural plans, preparation of

the land, or other factors involved in the particular use will delay the start of construction or the establishment of the use beyond the period of validity. An individual extension must not exceed 12 months. If the Board of Appeals or the Hearing Examiner grants an extension, it must set a date by which the erection or alteration of the building must begin or the use must be established.

* * *

It is clear from the record in this case that the two-year implementation period provided for conditional uses in Zoning Ordinance §59.7.3.1.I.1. has expired, and the one year extension to August 2, 2019, granted by the Hearing Examiner on September 18, 2018, has also expired.

Thus, the grant of Conditional Use CU 15-08 has now expired and can no longer be established. Moreover, the conditional use holder has indicated that she no longer intends to implement the conditional use.

Given these circumstances, Conditional Use 15-08 must be revoked, and the Hearing Examiner so finds.

Therefore, it is, this 4th day of September, 2019,

ORDERED: That **Conditional Use CU 15-08**, *Ali Zai Smart-Ed Early Learning Center*, is **hereby revoked**, with the consent of the conditional use holder, for failure to establish the conditional use within the time period set forth in Zoning Ordinance §59.7.3.1.I.1., nor within the one-year extension to August 2, 2019, granted by the Hearing Examiner on September 18, 2018, pursuant to §59.7.3.1.I.2.

Martin L. Grossman Hearing Examiner

Mart 1 /Zeom

Copies To:

Jude Wikramanayake, Esquire, Attorney for the Conditional Use Holder

Samina Ali Zai

Nea Maloo

Barbara Jay, Executive Director

Montgomery County Board of Appeals

Gwen Wright, Director, Planning Department

Michael Bello, Planning Department

All parties of record

Ehsan Motazedi, Department of Permitting Services, Zoning & Site Plan Enforcement

Greg Nichols, Manager, DPS Zoning & Site Plan Enforcement

Barbara Cox, DPS Zoning & Site Plan Enforcement

Alexandre A. Espinosa, Director, Finance Department

Charles Frederick, Esquire, Associate County Attorney