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| 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| PROCEEDINGS | 1 not think it was -- this forum was the proper place to |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: This is a | 2 adjudicate that. In talking to Mr. Chen, I either -- |
| 3 continuation of the public hearing on the applicant | 3 well, I overestimated what he wanted to try and |
| 4 18-08 for conditional use pursuant to Zoning Ordinance | 4 accomplish, and I believe Mr. Chen and I have had a |
| 5 593.4.4.F to allow a child daycare center for up to 195 | 5 conversation where I think maybe we've come to an |
| 6 children on property located at 7430 Needwood Road, | 6 understanding about what I'm trying to protect against |
| 7 Rockville, Maryland. Again, my name is Lynn | 7 and what he'd like to accomplish, and I'll just defer |
| 8 HANNAN. I'm the hearing examiner assigned to this | 8 to let him explain what his concern was. |
| 9 cas | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, why -- let |
| 10 Are there any individuals as a preliminary -- | 10 me know what you want to accomplish. |
| 11 well, would the parties identify themselves for the | 11 WILLIAM J. CHEN: The issue that I had was the -- |
| 12 record | 12 an effort by the petitioner to say that the matters |
| 13 JODY S. KLINE: Good morning. For the record, my | 13 that are contained within the approved preliminary |
| 14 name is Jody Kline. I'm an attorney of the law from | 14 forest conservation plan, which include the driveway |
| 15 Miller, Miller \& Canby, with offices at 200-B Monroe | 15 and one of the trees could not be address in your |
| 16 Street in Rockville, and I represent the petitioner in | 16 proceeding. |
| 17 this case, Primrose School, Franchise and Corporation | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 18 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And, in other words, the -- |
| 19 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Good morning, Hearing Examiner. | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That's where I |
| 20 My name is Bill Chen, and I represent Carol Kosery, | 20 was getting to in your question. Okay, go ahead. |
| 21 Paul Posey, and C.C. English | 21 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah. And so, that -- that was |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Is there | 22 my issue, and it was not a matter of jurisdiction or |
| 23 anyone else here that is going to testify on th | 23 any authority on your side as far as making a ruling on |
| 24 application that has not already testified that is not | 24 the decision of the plaintiff. I was not looking for |
| 25 represented by either Mr. Chen or Mr. Kline? Okay. | 25 that at all. My concern was the ability to make |
| 6 | 1-8 8 |
| 1 Seeing none, we're going to proceed with preliminary | 1 inquiry as to matters of land use nature that are |
| 2 matters. Before we start on the motion in limine, | 2 relative to the approved preliminary forest |
| 3 there any other preliminary matters that the party | 3 conservation plan. Mr. Kline and I talked, as he said, |
| 4 parties have? | 4 and he doesn't have a problem with that type of an |
| 5 JODY S. KLINE: None on the petitioner's side. | 5 inquiry. |
| 6 WILLIAM J. CHEN: After the preliminary matter, | 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 7 just a brief observation but it can wait -- th | 7 WILLIAM J. CHEN: He -- he thought I was trying to |
| 8 appropriate time would be after the preliminary matter. | 8 get an adjudication by you as to the validity of the |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. So, | 9 decision of the planning board. I'm not seeking that |
| 10 we'll -- thank you -- so, we'll go right into the | 10 at all |
| 11 motion in limine. What I'd like to do, Mr. Kline, it's | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. All |
| 12 your -- I've read the -- the pleadings in detail. Do | 12 right. That -- that's good. With that clarification |
| 13 you have, you know, brief, within fifteen minutes, do | 13 then, I don't need to rule on the motion. |
| 14 you have anything to add? | 14 JODY S. KLINE: So, I -- I would withdraw our |
| 15 JODY S. KLINE: Yeah. I don't think you need to | 15 motion in limine with the understanding that we will |
| 16 pay any attention to my letter. | 16 work it out as we go through the testimony. |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I did read | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. And I |
|  | 18 will say, the PFCP could change depending on what |
| 19 JODY S. KLINE: No, I was being too casual. Mr. | 19 happens in the conditional use case. So, all right. |
| 20 Chen and I had an opportunity to speak. When I filed | 20 We will -- or could be required to be amended. So, |
| 21 my request for a motion in limine, I was concerned that | 21 that -- that's good. So, with that completed, Mr. |
| 22 there was going to be a challenge during the | 22 Chen, did you have another preliminary matter? |
| 23 conditional use review to the validity of the forest | 23 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Oh, yes. Thank you very much. |
| 24 conservation -- the preliminary forest conservation | 24 Again, in talking with Mr. Kline this morning, the |
| 25 plan, and I did not think that you would be -- I did | 25 issues of numbers of witnesses and length of required |


| 1 | hearing came up because we're -- we've actually been |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2 | trying to coordinate the presentation of witnesses. |
| 3 | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Um-hum. |
| 4 | WILLIAM J. CHEN: Today, we're going to have one |
| 5 | witness slide in from my clients. |
| 6 | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. |
| 7 | WILLIAM J. CHEN: And tomorrow, Mr. Kline and I |
| 8 | talked about the appraisers, and we thought that the |
| 9 | most beneficial way to handle that, frankly, is to |
| 10 | allow them to testify back to back. And so, again, |
| 11 | they'll be -- I don't know what the right word is -- |
| 12 | sliding an opposition witness -- |
| 13 | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Out of order. |
| 14 | WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yes. |
| 15 | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. |
| 16 | WILLIAM J. CHEN: And we would do that Friday |
| 17 | afternoon rather than the morning. |
| 18 | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 19 | WILLIAM J. CHEN: If that's okay with you. |
| 20 | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I -- I support |
| 21 | any agreement that you have come to. No, not always, |
| 22 | but I think that's a good and very efficient way of |
| 23 | proceeding, actually, so. So, that will be Friday |
| 24 | afternoon. |
| 25 | WILLIAM J. CHEN: Tomorrow afternoon. |
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HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: After lunch, are
you saying?
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And that will be
dedicated to the appraisal testimony?
WILLIAM J. CHEN: It will be -- well, that goes to
the point too. We have -- the petitioners and my
clients have a common interest in concluding this
hearing no later than Tuesday the 10th.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Accordingly, we -- we have had
these discussions. I'm going to -- depending on when
the appraisers are done tomorrow, I told Mr. Kline, I'm
going to try to slide in two witnesses that I don't
think are going to be super long. That would be
Mr. Posey and Ms. English.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Do you
have an issue with that?
JODY S. KLINE: I have no problem with that.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Essentially, what we're trying
to do -- we've had a very candid discussion this
morning about who is planning to testify and when, and
the effort is to be done with this hearing on the 10th.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
here with my calendar and talking about, you know, a sixth day of hearing.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. WILLIAM J. CHEN: We both don't want to do that. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. All right. Thank you very much for coordinating that. Anything else?

JODY S. KLINE: Well, no, and I would just amplify
Mr. Chen's comment by saying I had originally set up my
outline of questions to ask my witnesses of going
through each individual section of the zoning ordinance
by using example of the landscape exhibit -- there's
probably six or seven different sectors. I'm going to
try and finesse that a little bit by simply saying does
your plan meet all the standards of section 6.2 so and
so, and then Mr. Chen can ask a question he thinks is
relevant. So, I don't want you to think I'm trying to
slide over anything. I'm just trying to speed up on
some of the things I think are fairly self-evident --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
JODY S. KLINE: -- and that are well supported in
the record already.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, all right. Thank you. All right.
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WILLIAM J. CHEN: That's without -- that's without
any prejudice to my client --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Ability to cross examine on the details.

JODY S. KLINE: Understood, correct.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I understand
that. Okay. Now, today we had a specific -- what is
the order of witnesses you're asking for?
JODY S. KLINE: Right. It was my intention to
initially call Mr. Eduardo Intriago, who is our civil
engineer --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Um-hum.
JODY S. KLINE: Have him go through an amount of
testimony -- probably 30 minutes or so of what I call
so that you have an understanding of the lay of the
land a better feel for what's there and what basically
can be there -- and then suspend his testimony at some
point in time, $10: 30$ or 11 , call the landscape
architect, have him testify, have him be cross-examined
20 and then Mr. Chen's expert witness, Mr. Berg, would
then testify on the landscape architecture issues and
be cross-examined, and possibly we would even put on
some rebuttal, depending on what is said. But, that's
going to take us into probably the early to mid-
25 afternoon, I would expect. And we would follow that

| 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: |
| with our architect. | 1 Please raise -- good morning. Please raise your right |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And you agree | 2 hand. Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of |
| 3 with that, Mr. Chen? | 3 perjury that the statements you're about to make are |
| 4 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah. I mean, I -- again, I | 4 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? |
| 5 think we're trying to get this expedited and quite | 5 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes. |
| 6 candidly, Mr. Berg has got hearing times when he's | 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. Mr. |
| 7 available, and this is candidly as much as an | 7 Kline. |
| 8 accommodation for us as it anything else. | 8 JODY S. KLINE: Mr. Intriago, would you please |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. | 9 state and spell your name and give us your business |
| 10 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And then I'm going to hold off | 10 address? |
| 11 cross-examining the engineer. | 11 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes. My name is Eduardo |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Until he | 12 Intriago, E-D-U-A-R-D-O, last name Intriago, I-N-T-R-I- |
| 13 finishes after the -- | 13 A-G-O. I'm sorry, your next question? |
| 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah, yeah. The whole -- | 14 JODY S. KLINE: What is your business address -- |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- the | 15 present business address? |
| 16 landscaping. | 16 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Present business address is |
| 17 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah, yeah. | 17333 -- 333 Baldwin Road, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank | 1815205. |
| 19 you. All right. Mr. Kline. | 19 JODY S. KLINE: And what is your profession? |
| 20 JODY S. KLINE: Yes, ma'am. | 20 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: I am a civil engineer. |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Call your __ I | 21 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. And you are associated with |
| 22 didn't get his name. | 22 what firm? |
| 23 JODY S. KLINE: Sure, no problem. | 23 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: I am associated with Civil |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But call your | 24 and Environmental Consultants. |
| 25 next witness. | 25 JODY S. KLINE: And that firm was -- is -- has no |
| 14 | 16 |
| JODY S. KLINE: Mr. Intriago, would you please | 1 role in the preparation of the plans that are appearing |
| come up and take a seat up here in the front? We're | 2 before the hearing examiner. So, would you explain why |
| 3 going to put you up here. And I'm going to ask you to | 3 you're with a different firm than Maser Consulting? |
| 4 start off with some kind of a base drawing, so if | 4 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. I wasn't planning |
| 5 you'll bring that with you. | 5 on doing this, but there is an opportunity -- a good |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is this in the | 6 professional growth opportunity that came upon me, and |
| 7 record? | 7 then I accepted it last -- at the beginning of the |
| 8 JODY S. KLINE: I have to see what we're going to | 8 year. |
| 9 come up with. Which -- which drawing are you going to | 9 JODY S. KLINE: Wait, let me go back. |
| 10 use? | 10 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes. |
| 11 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: [Inaudible 10:19] | 11 JODY S. KLINE: Previously, during the preparation |
| 12 JODY S. KLINE: So -- so, this is a drawing that | 12 and all the plans, you were associated with what firm? |
| 13 hasn't changed through the entire time. So, this | 13 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: I was associated with Maser |
| 14 should be Exhibit 14 in the record. | 14 Consulting. |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is this existing | 15 JODY S. KLINE: And you had a supervisory role in |
| 16 conditions? | 16 the preparation of all those plans? |
| 17 JODY S. KLINE: Yes, ma'am | 17 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you turn | 18 JODY S. KLINE: And then you had an opportunity to |
| 19 that so the north arrow -- thank you. All right. Now, | 19 go somewhere else? |
| 20 can people -- can you turn it so Mr. Chen can see it | 20 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. |
| 21 and his witness can see it? Okay. And then -- yes, I | 21 JODY S. KLINE: Go ahead and finish out your |
| 22 can see it. Okay. Well, I just want to know that he's | 22 answer. |
| 23 able -- okay, go ahead. | 23 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: And then I was -- I started |
| 24 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Do you want me to sit here? | 24 working with this firm on January 27th after, I guess, |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes, please. | 25 I put my two-week notice around the beginning of |
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January and I have been -- I have been working in
Pittsburgh since then. I commute every weekend.
    JODY S. KLINE: And you've had an opportunity to
review all of the work you did while associated with
Maser related to this application?
    EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: I have, sir. I have --
    JODY S. KLINE: You're familiar with all of these
plans you're going to be talking about today?
    EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: I am very familiar with all
the plans. I've been -- I've been involved since the
inception -- since the very beginning and actually, I
already went back and refreshed my history before this
hearing.
    JODY S. KLINE: I'd like to submit a copy of the
resume if Mr. Intriago. It's an attachment to our pre-
hearing submission, but I just want to give a copy.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It's already in
your pre-hearing?
    JODY S. KLINE: It's already in the record, yes.
I didn't have a chance to check and see what that
actual reference was. Mr. Intriago --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait, hold --
hold on one second.
    JODY S. KLINE: Sure. Do you want us to get that
number for you?
```

    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I just am going
    to double check it.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Is it 111 possibly?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes.
JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, 111.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, go ahead.
JODY S. KLINE: Mr. Intriago, have you ever
qualified as an expert in civil engineering, let's say
before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings
of Montgomery County?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. I have.
JODY S. KLINE: Can you refresh the hearing
examiner of the case you were involved in?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Approximately a year or so
ago, if my memory serves me right, I was involved in
another Primrose case in which it was located on
Layhill Road, and it was a very similar project to this
one.
JODY S. KLINE: And, Mr. --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, let me ask
you. Mr. Chen, are you going -- are you trying to
qualify Mr. Intriago as a civil -- as an expert in
civil engineering?
JODY S. KLINE: That would be my next question.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: No problem.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I'm.
to go ahead. He does have the prior qualifications.
Was he qualified as an expert in that case?
JODY S. KLINE: Yes, ma'am, in the field of civil
engineering.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I'll
accept him as an expert.
JODY S. KLINE: By a now retired former hearing
examiner.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I'll
accept him as an expert in civil engineering.
JODY S. KLINE: So, Mr. Intriago, you heard me say
that I was hoping that you could basically familiarize
the hearing examiner and the other folks in the room
about the lay of the land. So, you put up on the board
a copy of the existing conditions plan that we --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Which is 93B?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: 93B, yes.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay. And what I'd like you to do
-- and you're free to stand up and work from the plan
if you'd like to do so, just as long as you don't block
anybody's view.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Or as long as
you're close to the mic -- close enough to the mic that
he can pick you up. So, go ahead.
COURT REPORTER: Before you begin, let me move the mic.

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Okay, thank you.
JODY S. KLINE: So, I'll just kind of lead you
through. So, what is the size of the property we're
dealing with?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: The size of the property is
about approximately 2.94 acres.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: 2.94 ?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: 2.94 acres, yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I thought
it was -- okay, go ahead.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay. And the subdivision status
of the property -- what is it -- is it platted?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: This property has been
platted.
JODY S. KLINE: It's been platted?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes.
JODY S. KLINE: Do you happen to remember the name
or number of what it is?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: The -- the name and number
of the subdivision, I have it --
JODY S. KLINE: Don't worry. If you don't have it
off the top of your head, don't worry about it.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: It has been platted, sir,

| 21 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 yes. | 1 the width of the right of way is also changed going |
| 2 JODY S. KLINE: Okay, fine. | 2 south into that subdivision as well. |
| 3 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: The zoning of the property | 3 JODY S. KLINE: Okay, so -- |
| 4 is what? | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm sorry, what |
| 5 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: RE-1 | 5 did you say? |
| 6 JODY S. KLINE: Okay, which means | 6 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: The width of the right of |
| 7 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Which is residential | 7 way -- |
| 8 property. | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh. |
| 9 JODY S. KLINE: Okay, fine. And in the RE-1 zone | 9 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: -- changes from 40 feet to |
| 10 were it to be developed in accordance with its | 1060 feet going south. |
| 11 underlying zoning, it could -- you could create how | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 12 many single-family lots on the property? | 12 JODY S. KLINE: And I can't see if I can see it |
| 13 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Well, based on the size of | 13 here, but isn't there effectively a hammerhead |
| 14 the property, we can create up to three single-family | 14 turnaround on south off the drawing? |
| 15 residential lots, which is 40,000 per. | 15 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: On the south side of the |
| 16 JODY S. KLINE: Okay, fine. So, using the | 16 drawing, it's like a -- you don't want to call it a |
| 17 exhibit, and I see you have footprints of features on | 17 cul-de-sac -- it's not a compliant cul-de-sac. So, |
| 18 the property, basically tell us where -- what | 18 it's -- a fire truck could not technically turn around |
| 19 improvements are actually located on the property. | 19 in that with the sides. It doesn't meet the -- |
| 20 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. So, This is | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait. Where a |
| 21 approximately a rectangle-sized property. On the north | 21 you talking about? |
| 22 side, we have Needwood Road. On the south side, we | 22 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: I'm talking about on the |
| 23 have a subdivision -- an existing subdivision. On the | 23 south side. |
| 24 east side, we have two properties. We have the 25 property owned by Ms. -- by the Posey family -- I'm | 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: On the south 25 side of the fence? |
|  | 24 |
| 1 sorry, Kosery family on the south -- southeast corner. | 1 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: On the fence. |
| 2 On the northeast corner, we have a property owned by | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 3 Twui [phonetic] Aung and Mia Aung. | 3 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: That's -- |
| 4 JODY S. KLINE: And those properties are improved | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is it like a T |
| 5 with what? | 5 turnaround? |
| 6 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: They're improved with | 6 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: No, it's like a cul-de-sac, |
| 7 single-family residential homes. | 7 like a roundabout. |
| 8 JODY S. KLINE: Okay, fine. | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, okay. |
| 9 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: On the other -- on the west | 9 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: It's like a cul-de-sac, but |
| 10 side of the property, we have a -- what's called a -- | 10 it doesn't meet the minimum Montgomery County standards |
| 11 it's the Montgomery County -- Montgomery County right | 11 required -- |
| 12 of way. It's a 40 -foot right of way. It's named | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 13 Carnegie Avenue and it runs from Carnegie Avenue all | 13 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: -- for a fire truck to go |
| 14 the way down to the southern subdivision but it's | 14 around. |
| 15 currently not connected. | 15 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. So, let's talk about the |
| 16 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. Would you just elaborate on | 16 shape of the property. You were -- the shape of the |
| 17 what is -- what happens when you get to the southern | 17 subject property. |
| 18 end of Carnegie as shown on that drawing? | 18 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. So, the property |
| 19 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: So, when you get to the | 19 is approximately -- the width of the property is |
| 20 southern end of the -- of Carnegie Avenue at the | 20 approximately 208 feet -- 229 feet, sorry, as a width |
| 21 southwest corner of the site, we have an existing fence | 21 and approximately 600 feet going north/south -- the |
| 22 that goes and blocks the connection between what I said | 22 length of the -- of the property. |
| 23 -- the northern part of Carnegie Avenue and the | 23 JODY S. KLINE: So, are you telling me the |
| 24 southern pat of Carnegie Avenue. And also, I think | 24 property is 8 times longer that it wide? Is that what |
| 25 it's important to note that the right of way is also -- | 25 you just said? |

```
        EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: It's approximately -- so
it's }229\mathrm{ divided by 600 -- so it's like 2 times -- 2.-
something times longer.
    JODY S. KLINE: Okay.
    EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO:Than wide. So, it's 600
divided by --
    JODY S. KLINE: Okay, fine, fine. So, tell us
about the topography of the subject property.
    EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: so, the topography of the
subject property, you know, the higher side -- on the
eastern side of the property where we have the -- our
property -- will be the highest part of the property
and the site drains to the west of the property.
Actually, there's a little portion on the southeast
corner that drains to the southeast. I have another
drawing. Do you want me to show you that? But every -
- the majority of the site tends to drain to the middle
of the west side of the property where there's an
existing culvert -- it's an existing CMP culvert in bad
shape. It's not -- doesn't meet the current MC DOT
standards. And then it goes to the property owned by -
- by the Tasker [phonetic] family on the southwest
corner of the site and the sheet flows and goes into
the watershed on the west side.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: On the what?To
25
```

the what?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: To the west. The sheet
flows to the west.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
JODY S. KLINE: So, go back and tell us again
about what are the improvements on the subject
property?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. So, improvement
on the subject property -- we have -- we have an
existing residential home here. It's about 1,200
square feet.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: The record
doesn't know what that is.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: I understand, sorry.
Approximately, let's say --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is there a
parcel number there?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: A hundred and forty-six feet
north from the property line from Needwood road, that's
in the middle of the property.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That's south.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: It's going south.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: A hundred forty-

1 six feet south.
2 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: A hundred forty-six feet
south of Needwood Road, that's where the 1,200 square
foot existing residential home starts, and it's
approximately in the middle of the property going east
to west. If you go from the western property is 96.46
feet, and it is an irregular shape, but it's -- it's
approximately 1,200 square feet in area.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Additionally, we have also a
woodshed that it's approximately 300 feet going south
from Needwood Road and probably approximately only 20
feet going west from the eastern property line. So,
it's an existing woodshed.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay. Do you have access to the
natural resources inventory so I could ask you to from
it? Is it on that bundle of plans?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, I do have the natural resource inventory, sir.

JODY S. KLINE: Could you put it up then, please?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, give me one second
please.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you know what exhibit that is?
JODY S. KLINE: Well, it should be at the
beginning, so I would say -- well --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Eighteen?
JODY S. KLINE: Well, I see 18 for the cover
sheet. I -- I have --
[Speaking off mic.]
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah, okay.
Don't talk from --
JODY S. KLINE: I'm not sure. What we have here
is a bundle together of the cover sheet and we have the
plan itself.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
JODY S. KLINE: So, if -- it should have been 18-A
and B, I think.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Mr. Kline, I have an
existing condition from the forest preservation.
JODY S. KLINE: No. I don't want -- I'd like the NRI.
[Speaking off mic.]
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Let's go off the record so we don't have confusion in talking.
[Off the record at 10:13 a.m.]
[On the record at 10:13 a.m.]
JODY S. KLINE: Does it say cover sheet?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. But I'm not clear. We're discussing Exhibit 18 and we are


| 33 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 the center. | 1 JODY S. KLINE: All right. So -- so, the current |
| 2 JODY S. KLINE: Have you had an opportunity -- has | 2 driveway is located at the optimum position for access |
| 3 your firm had an opportunity to conduct a line of sight | 3 to the property. |
| 4 study to determine what would be the proper siting of a | 4 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: The current driveway is |
| 5 driveway to future development of this property from | 5 located in the optimum position of it. |
| 6 the fact that this was going to be from Needwood Road? | 6 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. |
| 7 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. During the | 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now, can you |
| 8 progress of getting this plan approved, we did -- there | 8 point out where the current driveway is on that plan? |
| 9 are studies about the sight business. So, at the end | 9 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: It's on -- it's -- |
| 10 of the day, there's a range of distance closer to the | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Midway. |
| 11 eastern side of the property, which is the higher side | 11 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: -- midway. |
| 12 of the road. That is a safer side. That is the | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Approximately |
| 13 location where it meets the Montgomery County standards | 13 the middle of the property and it's represented by |
| 14 for line of sight. | 14 hatched -- it looks like hatched lines on the NRI-FSD? |
| 15 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. Let's take -- let's take it | 15 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Correct. |
| 16 a step back and just -- how about just describe the | 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: To the -- |
| 17 elevations and the grade of Needwood Road as it relates | 17 between the circled letters of 8 and something else. |
| 18 to the property -- in front of the property so the | 18 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Open field and this open |
| 19 hearing examiner understands the line of sight | 19 field for inner access. |
| 20 restrictions. | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, go ahead. |
| 21 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. So, on Needwood | 21 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. So, I'll withdraw my |
| 22 Road, if we're following the north property -- the | 22 question. I understand Mr. Chen's concern. So, you're |
| 23 north property that is owned by Jackapundi [phonetic] - | 23 saying that the current driveway is at the crest of |
| 24 - the Jackapundi family. | 24 Needwood Road. |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: North of | 25 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: The current driveway is at |
| 34 | 36 |
| 1 Needwood. | 1 the crest of the road, yes. |
| 2 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: North of Needwood Road. | 2 JODY S. KLINE: And then -- and the road drops off |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah, directly | 3 on either side. |
| 4 across the street from this property. | 4 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: On both sides, yes. |
| 5 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes. | 5 JODY S. KLINE: And when you did a line of sight |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Go ahead. | 6 study, what did you determine would be the proper -- |
| 7 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Approximately on the eastern | 7 well, what did the line of sight study tell you? |
| 8 property line, that's very close to where the existing | 8 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Well, the line of study -- |
| 9 driveway is for the -- for the subject property. | 9 we analyzed two locations for the line study. One -- |
| 10 There's kind of the high point of the road. It's | 10 one of the locations was where we're proposing the new |
| 11 approximately -- we see a contour there or 474 . So, | 11 driveway that I don't have how to show it on this |
| 12 from that location going west and that location going | 12 exhibit, but it's a couple feet more to the east of the |
| 13 east, the road starts going down. | 13 existing driveway, and we also analyzed Carnegie |
| 14 JODY S. KLINE: So, that's -- so you're -- | 14 Avenue. So -- so, on the eastern side of the property, |
| 15 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: That's the crest. | 15 the -- the line of sight could technically be met in |
| 16 JODY S. KLINE: -- you're pointing to the crest of | 16 that location because it's the higher side. Once you |
| 17 the road. | 17 start going west, you start going faster going down. |
| 18 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. | 18 So, that's why when you put a -- a car on Carnegie -- |
| 19 JODY S. KLINE: Okay, fine. So, go ahead. | 19 at Carnegie Avenue and Needwood Road, that means the |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait. Okay, go | 20 location where you measure the sight of distance for |
| 21 ahead. | 21 county standards looking east, the crest doesn't allow |
| 22 JODY S. KLINE: No, go ahead if you have a | 22 it to meet the minimum distance that's required for |
| 23 question. | 23 sight distance, so. |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, no, go | 24 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. So, can you show us where |
| 25 ahead. | 25 on the site are -- where driveway locations could be |

```
located that would meet the line of sight requirements
ofDOT?
    EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: So, without having an exact
location of that, it would be between the eastern
property line and probably }20\mathrm{ feet to the west of
existing driveway -- }30\mathrm{ feet to the west of the
existing driveway. So, basically the east side of the
property is the -- is the safer place to put a
driveway. The closer to the height of the crest, the
greater the sight distance is. But we have -- we only
need to meet a certain limit on distance per county
requirements.
    JODY S. KLINE: Okay, fine. So then, let me take
you back to the road on the other side on Carnegie
Avenue.
    EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes.
    JODY S. KLINE: Carnegie Avenue is -- has what
status on the county's road network?
    EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Well, the -- Carnegie Avenue
is a county road, but it's a road that has not been
accepted for maintenance.
    JODY S. KLINE: So, it's been platted by plat.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. -- I'm
letting a lot of leading questions go, but --
JODY S. KLINE: Oh, okay.
```

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- can you --
JODY S. KLINE: Sure, okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- steer away
from them?
JODY S. KLINE: How is the roadway created?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: The road was created by plat -- plat number 1713.

JODY S. KLINE: Okay. And you said the width of 9 the road shown on that plat was --
10 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Forty feet? Okay. And I 11 interrupted you. You said something about the county's 12 position regarding the road.
13 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Well, it's my understanding 14 that this road, it's a county road, but it's not
15 maintained by Montgomery County right now, because it's
16 not been accepted -- because it doesn't meet the
17 minimum standards for Montgomery County to be able to 18 accept that road.
19 JODY S. KLINE: And what does that mean?
20 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Well, it could mean many
21 different things, and what I mean to say -- let me
22 rephrase what I said. The -- with Needwood Road, that
could be kind of close to meeting the standards over
here and would be a tertiary road with Montgomery
County. But the problem with that one is that that one
has a 44-foot right of way and this one only has 40,
and on top of that, it doesn't have any of the -- the
road sections in Montgomery County standards. This is
just a gravel road that doesn't meet any of the county
standards for maintenance. So, right now it's
currently used as a driveway that I think it's only
serving at this point Mr. [?].
JODY S. KLINE: Okay. Could -- could the
applicant approve -- could the applicant improve
Carnegie Road to make it as a point of access to the
subject property?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Mr. Kline, that -- that
actually possibly was discussed at one point.
Actually, we had a meeting on February 26 with Park and
Planning staff in which they actually very -- they
requested strongly for us to improve that -- that road
on which we had to give an additional 4-foot right of
way to meet the minimum standards, which at the end of
the day ended up being 5 feet instead of dedicating 4 .
The client on the other property ended up dedicating 5
with our proposed plans. But we went through the
exercise of trying to start with a design, we did a
road design aligning with -- doing the lining of it.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Where are
we going with this? Why are we discussing what could
have happened with Carnegie Road?
JODY S. KLINE: Because it -- essentially what the
conclusion of his testimony is going to be is that
Carnegie Road is not available for public use. It
cannot be improved to be used.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And what does that have to do with this?

JODY S. KLINE: Because it means then the driveway
can only come in from Needwood Road directly on the
site on the eastern side of the property, and that
dictates where the driveway access to the property is.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: There's going to be a dispute on
that.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. That's --
let's keep -- I'll let -- I just needed to know where
we were going. Okay.
JODY S. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Go ahead.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: So, there was a lot of
discussion of this kind with MC DOT, with right of way,
about the type of improvements we're going to do, what
the meetings done about it, and then -- and then, MC
DOT came and said that they were not going to be able
to approve these improvements to this road because it

| 41 | 43 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 was not safe. | 1 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. |
| 2 JODY S. KLINE: And it was not safe because why? | 2 JODY S. KLINE: And what was the forum for that |
| 3 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Because the -- the -- this | 3 proposal? |
| 4 intersection of Carnegie Avenue with Needwood Road doe | 4 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Sorry? |
| 5 not meet the minimum sight distance. It -- right now, | 5 JODY S. KLINE: You presented a concept plan to |
| 6 it's not meeting the sight distance to make it safe. | 6 what agency? |
| 7 So, whoever is using that driveway to get out of there | 7 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: It was to Park and Planning |
| 8 looking -- looking east, they're not really seeing | 8 if I recall correctly, and so I was -- |
| 9 who's coming from that side with the minimum distance | 9 JODY S. KLINE: Do you remember the name of the |
| 10 required. It's an existing problem on that site | 10 committee you appeared before? |
| 11 JODY S. KLINE: In light of the determination by | 11 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: It was before the Park a |
| 12 the Department of Transportation, the applicant made a | 12 Planning Commission we appeared. I don't -- |
| 13 proposal for access to the site including -- that | 13 JODY S. KLINE: All right. Okay, so you don't |
| 14 included what components? | 14 have it. Okay, fine. But in any event, the formal |
| 15 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Well, there is -- there is | 15 proposal was rejected? |
| 16 -- these plans have been going through a lot of | 16 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, |
| 17 iterations. One of the iterations that we looked into | 17 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. So, what did you then work |
| 18 was to try to abandon the right of way and try to give | 18 out as actual proposal that got incorporated in the |
| 1950 percent to each of the properties. And then we were | 19 application that we're looking at? |
| 20 looking to put a -- a driveway on the west side of the | 20 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: So, after that -- so, |
| 21 property and try to provide a cross-access easement for | 21 went up to the drawing board for probably the tenth |
| 22 the people that were -- can use it in a location that | 22 time and we tried looking at the driveway on the safer |
| 23 as far as we can so it could have been safe for sight | 23 side and complying with the other requirements for the |
| 24 distance. But that was also turned down at one point. | 24 Commission like parking in the back and a couple 25 different things. So, basically we went back and -- |
|  |  |
| 42 | 44 |
| 1 problem with the existing problem and trying to provide | 1 originally, one of the first concepts that we had, we |
| 2 access to Mr. -- the [?] family through this | 2 had the driveway on Needwood Road. That was rejected |
| 3 development with an improved road that we were going to | 3 as well. I neglected to say that, but that was way at |
| 4 put into our plans. We also worked very hard on that | 4 the beginning of the project. So, we went and put the |
| 5 one, but it was turned down at the end. | 5 driveway on the east side of the property where it |
| 6 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. | 6 meets the -- the standards for sight distance and we |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: By whom? | 7 abandoned the driveway that we had kind of on the west |
| 8 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Well, it was many different | 8 to try to combine it with -- |
| 9 discussions between the Park and Planning and the fire | 9 JODY S. KLINE: And did the applicant agree to |
| 10 department because they were asking for a big T- | 10 create any additional right of way for Carnegie Avenue |
| 11 turnaround at the end of that and that was going to be | 11 and for what purpose? |
| 12 the whole -- in other words, on the south side of the | 12 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yeah, I mean, in that -- we |
| 13 road of Carnegie Avenue since remember I was telling | 13 -- the client -- or the property owner in this case, he |
| 14 you this -- this roundabout doesn't meet the minimum | 14 agreed, of course, to dedications. He agreed to the |
| 15 standards. If they want to close this for good, they | 15 dedications on Carnegie Avenue to meet the tertiary |
| 16 wanted us to get a bunch of property from-- from Mr. | 16 right of way and he also agreed to the dedication -- I |
| 17 [?] to be able to put this roundabout in his property. | 17 think, if I recall right, it's 15 feet on Needwood Road |
| 18 So , that would eliminate the possibility of putting any | 18 to be able to meet the Needwood Road right of way |
| 19 type of forest conservation, and that's what we're | 19 width, which is basically matching the right of way |
| 20 using that area for and it was just a lot of | 20 from the Aung family on the east side. So, we're |
| 21 imperviousness that would have no use for that. So, | 21 matching the right of way on the east side of our |
| 22 Park and Planning and a lot of people, DOT and MC DOT, | 22 property so it's a consistent right of way along the |
| 23 just said that that was not a viable option. | 23 Needwood Road. |
| 24 JODY S. KLINE: Did the applicant make a proposal | 24 JODY S. KLINE: Excuse me. |
| 25 -- a formal proposal to abandon Carnegie Avenue? | 25 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir? |


| 45 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: |
| JODY S. KLINE: This dedication is on Needwood not | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you have a |
| 2 Carnegie? | 2 response? |
| 3 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Needwood, yes. So, I said | 3 JODY S. KLINE: I do. And the record is void on |
| 4 it was two dedications we're proposing. | 4 having -- why we're having 5 foot instead of 10 feet. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: How much on -- | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Why does the 5 |
| 6 I'm sorry -- how much on Needwood? | 6 foot versus 10 feet matter? |
| 7 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Fifteen. | 7 JODY S. KLINE: Well, the only reason -- the only |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Fifteen. | 8 reason I asked the question was because that was a |
| 9 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: And then 5 on Carnegie | 9 question that came up in the first hearing that there |
| 10 Avenue. | 10 was -- there is a DOT E-mail that says $10-$ DOT |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, go ahead. | 11 expects 10 -foot dedication and that the ultimate amount |
| 12 JODY S. KLINE: There -- there was at one time -- | 12 of dedication in accordance with the condition and the |
| 13 there was a Department of Transportation dedication | 13 conditional use approval is 5 feet, it was approved by |
| 14 circulating on a 10 -foot dedication. Why was -- | 14 Park and Planning. But there is no paperwork that we |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: On which road? | 15 can find that says let's go down from 10 to 5. So, I |
| 16 JODY S. KLINE: I'm sorry, on Carnegie -- Carnegie | 16 just wanted him to explain what -- why that happened, |
| 17 Avenue. And why is there only a 5 -foot dedication now | 17 even though there's no paperwork that explains it. |
| 18 proposed? | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I guess I'm just |
| 19 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Well, the discussion I was | 19 having problems. Why does this matter? |
| 20 telling you in February was about when everybody came | 20 JODY S. KLINE: I only brought it up simply to try |
| 21 to an agreement that, you know, we only have a certain | 21 to answer a question that came up at the first hearing |
| 22 amount of right away, so the road that was going to fit | 22 what -- where is the record to say it should be a 5- |
| 23 the best need in that particular situation was a | 23 foot dedication instead of a 10 -foot dedication. |
| 24 tertiary road with only 44 feet. But at one point, | 24 WILLIAM J. CHEN: If I may respond to that. I can |
| 25 they were saying -- the conversation with Park and | 25 tell you why it matters or I can try through cross |
| 46 | 48 |
| 1 Planning and everybody voted, MC DOT, was, you know, | 1 later on or probably through one of my own witnesses |
| 2 the first would be to try to dedicate that same amount | 2 why the different -- the 5- to 10-foot dedication issue |
| 3 of property from the west side that from the east side. | 3 is relevant. |
| 4 But the problem was that -- | 4 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait, the west | 5 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Relevance may be not the right |
| 6 side of what? | 6 word in light of the application but why it has some |
| 7 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Oh, Carnegie Avenue. | 7 significance to this particular application. |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So, |
| 9 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yeah, sorry. So, but -- | 9 you're going to address that. So, you feel that it's |
| 10 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Excuse me just for a minute. | 10 significant. Do you have a proffer as to -- to -- |
| 11 I'm going to avoid cross, but I do want to note an | 11 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah. The proffer is if the 10- |
| 12 objection. | 12 foot requirement -- and that's in the record, you've |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 13 got a DRC minutes -- you could not put this building |
| 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Because the gentleman is | 14 where it's located. |
| 15 testifying about decisions made by county agencies and | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I see. I |
| 16 discussions that were made at meetings and things of | 16 understand. Because it -- okay, because of the -- |
| 17 that nature. I don't want to impugn his integrity, but | 17 yeah, all right. I'm not going to say why I understand |
| 18 the applicant's witnesses descriptions of what a | 18 that that's a critical issue. I'm going to let you |
| 19 government agency agreed to or did not agree to, | 19 proceed. |
| 20 particularly now we're talking about dedication, I | 20 JODY S. KLINE: Sure, okay. |
| 21 object to. That if the government has agreed to any | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But I will say |
| 22 type of a dedication arrangement or agreement or | 22 this, I will give it -- it is hearsay. We can take |
| 23 whatever it may be -- and this came up on the first day | 23 hearsay but I'm going to give it the weight it |
| 24 of hearing -- then, there should be in the record the | 24 deserves, which is, you know, unverified, and I do find |
| 25 government's position on that. | 25 it a little unusual that there's no documentation, |

particularly typically Planning staff sends -- there's
E-mails from Planning staff to MC DOT saying what's
your position, and then they get comments back. So,
I'll give it the weight it deserves.
JODY S. KLINE: I understand. Well, let me then -

- the following couple of questions are just to give
you a little bit more background. So --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait, what are
you handing to me?
0 JODY S. KLINE: I'm handing to you basically notes
1 from -- you can see in the upper left-hand corner.
2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah.
3 JODY S. KLINE: These are comments from
4 Deepak Somarajan, who is an employee of the Montgomery
5 County Department of Transportation, making comments to
6 the Development and Review Committee at Park and
7 Planning Commission on a concept plan review for the
8 subject property.
9 WILLIAM J. CHEN: What's the exhibit number?
0 JODY S. KLINE: We're just -- I just -- it has to
1 be made an exhibit for the record.
2 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Oh, I'm sorry.

JODY S. KLINE: He -- he attended the meeting, and
he would have been involved in the correspondence, yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I'll let
you lay the foundation --
JODY S. KLINE: Sure.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- and then Mr.
Chen can decide whether he wishes to object.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Do you have a number?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: We'll mark it as
-- it's not admitted -- but we'll mark it as 183, but
it's not admitted yet.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: And Mr. Kline, what is the
description? They're minute notes or notes of the
meeting?
JODY S. KLINE: I would say it's DOT
recommendations on concept plan review for the Primrose
School.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. Go ahead.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: [Inaudible] dedications in
February.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait, wait,
wait. I need a question on the table. First, we're
going to take a look at this copy.

WILLIAM J. CHEN: Regarding my objection -HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Huh? WILLIAM J. CHEN: You said you were going to allow it -- you've noted my --

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, I said this
-- I said I'm marking it. I'm asking for a foundation.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: To you, as to
your objection, what I heard was that it's hearsay that
isn't backed up, and I guess what I want to do right
now is deal with this document. I -- I will note a
continuing objection if you wish.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yes.
JODY S. KLINE: Would you allow me to do this by
way of proffer, and it will save me having to take five
minutes of questioning then?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No. JODY S. KLINE: No? Okay, fine. Mr. Intriago, are you familiar with this document that I've just given you --

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
JODY S. KLINE: -- which has been marked as
Exhibit 183? And these are comments received from the
Department of Transportation on the concept plan for
Primrose School, correct?

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: That's correct, sir. JODY S. KLINE: As it states in the upper right -HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Have you seen this document before?

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, ma'am. That was done -

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: -- from formal concept
submission that we did at the beginning of the project. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. JODY S. KLINE: And why did you do a concept plan submission?

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: We wanted to make sure that
we have addressed -- we have -- we wanted to have a
formal stance from -- from staff, meaning like
everybody involved, Park and Planning, DPS -- about
what they thought about the -- their comments on the
project. And this is one of the comments we have from Mr. Deepak.

JODY S. KLINE: And wasn't the proposal of abandonment? Well, was proposal for abandonment considered at the time the concept plan --

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. Yes.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay, fine. The concept plan is
not the application that's before the hearing examiner

| 53 | 55 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 today, is it? | 1 WILLIAM J. CHEN: If I may. |
| 2 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: No, it's not sir. It's a | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. |
| 3 different concept. | 3 WILLIAM J. CHEN: What was the nature of your |
| 4 JODY S. KLINE: So, was there subsequent later DOT | 4 exchange with -- |
| 5 comments on the conditional use plan -- | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It wasn't an |
| 6 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. | 6 exchange with -- |
| 7 JODY S. KLINE: -- as compared to the concept | 7 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Oh, okay. |
| 8 plan? | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- or I would |
| 9 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. | 9 have put it. It was an exchange with Mana [phonetic] |
| 10 JODY S. KLINE: So, the 10 -- the number of 10 -- | 10 and Mana forwarded it to me because she didn't know |
| 11 where does the number of 10 feet come around? Was | 11 which plan -- now, they found the plan without my |
| 12 there a subsequent later DOT recommendation for other | 12 intervention, which is why I never -- apparently, she |
| 13 than 10 feet? | 13 wanted us to send her the plan, and apparently she |
| 14 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. That's the | 14 ended up coming and looking at the file. So, I have no |
| 15 discussion I'm telling you that we were going to have a | 15 idea what happened. So, it didn't occur to me to put |
| 16 tertiary road, which is the 44-foot right of way. | 16 it in the record until I'm hearing this. So, I am |
| 17 JODY S. KLINE: And have you ever seen any | 17 disclosing that. |
| 18 paperwork that said we will expect a 5 -foot dedication? | 18 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. |
| 19 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Well, we -- that's what we | 19 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Can I ask one question? |
| 20 proposed in the plans. But the discussion that I | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And if you want |
| 21 recall was that we wanted it to be a tertiary road, 44- | 21 me to see if I can dig up the E-mails, I can put them |
| 22 foot right of way, we needed 4 and we are now | 22 in the record. |
| 23 dedicating 5 at that point. | 23 WILLIAM J. CHEN: On behalf of my clients, it |
| 24 JODY S. KLINE: So, the conditional use plan that | 24 doesn't sound like you were involved in anything. |
| 25 you will be showing us after we have a break here in a | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I -- they -- she |
| 54 | 56 |
| 1 little while -- | 1 came and looked at the file. That's -- |
| 2 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. | 2 WILLIAM J. CHEN: If we're not yet done with this |
| 3 JODY S. KLINE: -- does have dedication that was | 3 document, Exhibit 183, do we have a date? |
| 4 accepted by the staff of Park and Planning? | 4 JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, I'd have to go back and |
| 5 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. | 5 check my calendar to find out what was the date of the |
| 6 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. No further questions. | 6 Development Review Committee that considered the |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I am going to | 7 concept plan. |
| 8 note for the record, I didn't -- until this discussion, | 8 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. |
| 9 I -- we did get inquiries, and I'm not sure they're in | 9 JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, I just don't have it right |
| 10 the case file, from a Meredith -- well, from Meredith | 10 in front of me. |
| 11 Wellington, who apparently is a legislative and policy | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Was -- |
| 12 analyst -- | 12 okay, go ahead. So, are you finished? |
| 13 JODY S. KLINE: Former member of the planning | 13 JODY S. KLINE: Yeah. The reason I'm chuckling is |
| 14 board? | 14 I'm sitting here looking at other -- never mind, never |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Former member of | 15 mind . No further questions. |
| 16 the planning board about dedication on this property. | 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: You want to move |
| 17 I don't know why I didn't put it in the record. I just | 17 on? Is that what you're saying? |
| 18 -- it's just she was looking for a particular plan | 18 JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, well, no. It would -- it |
| 19 showing dedication. That's all I know. It didn't hit | 19 would -- I have correspondence, but it would further |
| 20 home to me that this would have anything to -- | 20 confuse the matter. So, I'm trying to demonstrate to |
| 21 JODY S. KLINE: No, well thank you for disclosing | 21 you or show you that there is no paper guidance from |
| 22 that, yeah. | 22 DOT that we have seen to Park and Planning Commission |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- do with this, | 23 that said 10 versus 5. And so, the last question I |
| 24 but I'm disclosing it, and if I can dig it up, I'll put | 24 would ask is, is our plan in accordance with the |
| 25 it in the record. | 25 dedication requirements expected by Park and Planning |
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staff?
    EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
    WILLIAM J. CHEN: Those are --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So. Well, let
me finish with the Wellington correspondence.
    JODY S. KLINE: Yeah.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: She does -- it
was clear from her correspondence that she works for
the county executive. So, I'm just disclosing this on
the record.
    JODY S. KLINE: Okay, okay. All right.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And it never
occurred to me that this was even an issue, and I'm
still not clear why, but I'm sure that Mr. Chen will
give us. So, the question now -- we're down to now is,
is this document -- do you have an objection to
admission of the -- the document that's been marked as
183?
    WILLIAM J. CHEN: I don't think so, no. As I
understand the proffer from Mr. Kline that these are
just notes or minutes or something provided by DOD.
    JODY S. KLINE: They're recommendations by DOT.
    WILLIAM J. CHEN: Their comments -- on their
comments.
JODY S. KLINE:All on the concept plan.
ell, let
me finish with the Wellington correspondence.
JODY S. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: She does -- it
was clear from her correspondence that she works for
the county executive. So, I'm just disclosing this on the record.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay, okay. All right.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And it never
occurred to me that this was even an issue, and I'm
still not clear why, but I'm sure that Mr. Chen will
give us. So, the question now -- we're down to now is,
admission of the -- the document that's been marked as
183 ?
WILLIAM J. CHEN: I don't think so, no. As I
understand the proffer from Mr. Kline that these are
just notes or minutes or something provided by DOD.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Their comments -- on their
comments.
JODY S. KLINE: All on the concept plan.
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    WILLIAM J. CHEN: And you're going to give us a
    date that we can put on there?
JODY S. KLINE: I'll get you a date.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So, we'll
accept this into the record as the DOT recommendation
of concept plan review for Primrose School.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: At some point in time in the
past.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Subject to
verification of the date.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah. Respectfully, the only
reason I say that is there's been so many iterations --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: -- of the plan that that's what
I'm trying to --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It puts it in
context of where it was in the process.
JODY S. KLINE: And -- and the reason I want to
put it in was the 10 -foot number came out of a plan
that was not the conditional use plan.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I understand.
You guys are getting along way too well today. I'm not
used to this.
JODY S. KLINE: If you can say this four days from

## 57

WILLIAM J. CHEN: And you're going to give us a
date that we can put on there?
JODY S. KLINE: I'll get you a date.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So, we'll
accept this into the record as the DOT recommendation
of concept plan review for Primrose School.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: At some point in time in the
past.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Subject to
verification of the date.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah. Respectfully, the only
reason I say that is there's been so many iterations --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: -- of the plan that that's what
I'm trying to --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It puts it in
context of where it was in the process.
JODY S. KLINE: And -- and the reason I want to
put it in was the 10 -foot number came out of a plan
hat was not the conditional use plan.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I understand.
You guys are getting along way too well today. I'm not
used to this.
JODY S. KLINE: If you can say this four days from
now, then we'll --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Continue.
I digress.
JODY S. KLINE: Yeah. I actually think I've
reached a point where we've tried to speak on the lay
of the land literally and figuratively and would like
to recess and call Mr. Jolley, the landscape architect,
to go through the packet face-to-face that we talked
about earlier. If you want to take a break, I wouldn't
mind that.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: We can take a
10-minute break. So, we'll come back at 5 to 11 .
Wait, am I reading that right? Yes, 5 to 11.
[Off the record at 10:46 a.m.]
[On the record at 10:59 a.m.]
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Are the parties
ready?
JODY S. KLINE: We're ready for my clients, Madam
Examiner.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I did
want to let you know, after -- because we have no
cafeteria here, behind that screen are water and
snacks.
JODY S. KLINE: Snacks?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Because I'm not
-- I can't -- I had to break a hearing the other day
because someone had low blood sugar and had a 911 call.
Not -- no, they didn't actually call 911 , but she had
to eat immediately. So, I have snacks in the back,
separately wrapped and bottles -- little bottles of
water and napkins, okay? So, just in case you want some.

WILLIAM J. CHEN: We will break for lunch though? HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Huh? WILLIAM J. CHEN: We will break for lunch? HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, I don't
know. They may be a substitute. Let's go. I
apologize for this -- go ahead.
JODY S. KLINE: Mr. Jolley, would you please state
and spell your name and give us your business address?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, wait.
Please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly affirm
8 under penalties of perjury that the statements you're
about to make are the truth, the whole truth, and
nothing but the truth?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: I do, yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. I'm
hearing some conversation in the back. Can you please
24 -- that interferes, believe it or not, with the
25 recording. Okay. Feel free to go outside and -- and
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discuss it. Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Kline.
    JODY S. KLINE: Mr. Jolley, would you please state
and spell your name and give us your business address?
    JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes. My name is Jonathan
Jolley. First name J-O-N-A-T-H-A-N, last name Jolley,
J-O-L-L-E-Y. My business address -- my firm is Maser,
M-A-S-E-R, Consulting. My business address is 22375
Broderick, it's B-R-O-D-E-R-I-C-K, Drive, Suite 110,
that's in Sterling, Virginia 20166.
    JODY S. KLINE: And you are employed by what firm?
    JONATHAN JOLLEY: Maser Consulting.
    JODY S. KLINE: And your profession is?
    JODY S. KLINE: Landscape architect.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Before you get
into this, Mr. Chen, are you going to object to his
qualification? You're -- I --
        WILLIAM J. CHEN: No, I'll accept him as a
landscape architect.
        HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Landscape --
landscape architect?
    JODY S. KLINE: I was going to ask him to be
qualified as a landscape architect.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I will
    qualify you as a landscape architect.
    JODY S. KLINE:Thank you.
```

        HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Go ahead.
    Before that, have you ever qualified as an expert in
landscape architecture?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: I have qualified in multiple
cases in multiple states. I have not qualified in a
specific zoning hearing examiner's case in Montgomery
County.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But you have
qualified elsewhere?
Jonathan JOLLEY: Yes, I have.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead.
JODY S. KLINE: Mr. Jolley, were you responsible
for preparation of things like the national resources
inventory, the forest conservation plan, and the
landscaping plan for the proposed Primrose School in
Derwood?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, sir.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay. You put up here, I guess,
what is this? Is this the NRI?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That's 18-A.
Yes, it's the NRI.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: So, we're looking at Exhibit 18-
A, forest stand plan.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, now I
can't see.
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2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: We're actually
getting high tech if we ever go back to the COB. Go
ahead.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: In the small room. Okay, so
yes, this is the same exhibit that Mr. Intriago was
discussing earlier --
JODY S. KLINE: If I could reiterate the -- the
hearing examiner's admonition of pointing doesn't do
it.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: No. I will.
JODY S. KLINE: Very good. So, tell us from your
perspective as a landscape architect, what are the
features that are shown on the NRI that are relevant to
this application?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Generally, when we begin the
analysis of the subject site, we -- after performing
the general survey, we walk the property to identify
the major features. Some of the major features are, as
noted earlier, I'll start from the north end of the
property along the right of way of Needwood Road
working south. So, as you enter the property from
Needwood Road, you enter an open area that is -- is
grasslands with the select specimen -- specimen trees
as you move further south. Centrally located, there is

1 a large specimen tree, a Silver Maple. There are other
2 additional Black Cherry -- I'll get into the species
3 later. As you travel south approximately a third from
4 the property distance from Needwood Road, you would
5 encounter the home on the subject site. Around that,
6 you have some traditional landscaping and asphalt and
7 driveway conditions. You do have a-- a tree-covered
8 area along the western centrally located right of way
9 of Carnegie Avenue that consists primarily of Norway
10 Spruce. East of that tree cover of the Norway Spruce,
11 you enter -- you have a large open area of the -- of
12 the property that's also traditionally -- it's
13 considered grassland. It's lawn for all intent and
14 purposes in this area. There is tree cover, still
15 centrally located on the property. Looking at the map
15 centrally located on the property. Looking at the map
16 north, you have a tree cover beginning approximately a
17 third -- not even -- a quarter of the way down from the
18 intersection of the northeast corner of the subject
19 property traveling south along that property line and
20 abuts the eastern property line of the subject site.
21 You enter some tree cover. Within that tree-covered
22 area, there are some specimen trees located, for
23 instance, SP9. SP9 is the Silver Maple. Traveling
24 further south, you have continuing grasslands. On the
25 east -- southeastern corner of the subject site, there
is a small area of what we consider to be forest stand -- qualification of forest stand that is interconnected with the tree cover along the eastern property line but also importantly, it's also connected to the greater forest area to the east of the neighboring parcel.
Along the southernmost property line, there is also limited tree cover along there and then as you travel west from the southwest corner of the subject site, across Carnegie Avenue, there are several additional specimen trees off the property on the adjacent residential parcel.
JODY S. KLINE: And you have an obligation to identify trees not only on the subject property but how -- what proximity to the subject property?

JONATHAN JOLLEY: Approximately within 100 feet we try to identify everything, sir.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay, fine.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Would you like me to go into --
JODY S. KLINE: Well, let me -- the question I
wanted to ask you is are you confident that what is
shown on the natural resource inventory is an accurate
depiction of what is found in the field?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: No, sir. There was, subsequent 4 to the initial NRI, we did visit the subject site and we did identify with the legal walking on the eastern
property line, there is a tree that we will now -- we
discussed with staff, Katherine Nelson, we will be
denoting a tree called SP10 that is located on the --
let me just not trip myself here -- the tree will be
located approximately --
JODY S. KLINE: I think you're going to have to
back up a little bit.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Why don't you do
this -- why don't you move the easel forward, and that
will give you more opportunity to get around the back.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Try not to --
hearing examiner robeson hannan: Well, that --
JODY S. KLINE: Well she --
JONATHAN JOLLEY: And then you can't see.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: I'll work -- I'll work my way here.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I still
can't see. If you could just angle it a little bit
this way. Thank you. I can see. Uh, a little bit
more. Thank you. Okay, go ahead.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Everybody good?
JODY S. KLINE: She -- she's the primary audience,
so, I'll give her --
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah, I just wanted to be fair to
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I don't have an
extra copy up here because that -- this is the one that
we added to the record. Go ahead.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes. There is -- there was a
tree located -- there was a tree located on the eastern
property, offsite, that is of considerable size. It is
a large Silver Maple. We are going to denote that tree
as SP 10. It is a 37 -inch diameter Silver Maple that
was walked with staff and I believe the property owner
as well was present, if I'm not mistaken.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I thought they
were supposed to walk this stuff before they approved
the NRI.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: We walked the --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: This is the
second case I've had where --
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah, you can -- it's -- it's
not --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Well, it
doesn't matter. Go ahead. So, there's a Silver Maple
-- a 37 -inch Silver Maple on the eastern property
immediately to the east.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Eastern abutting property.
Correct. It's actually right there.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Pardon me, if I may. Could we
maybe have that tree marked?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, sir. I've got a red -- I
actually have that on the preliminary --
JODY S. KLINE: Let me --
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay, fine.
JODY S. KLINE: Let me suggest it, yeah. Right,
exactly. It is accurately shown on the forest
conservation plan. I don't think we ought to be
adulterating the NRI that's been approved.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: We didn't want to modify that
particular exhibit.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, go ahead.
JODY S. KLINE: But we acknowledge where --
WILLIAM J. CHEN: And you have an exhibit that
shows it?
JODY S. KLINE: Yes, yes.
wiLLIAM J. CHEN: Fine.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Additionally, there was one tree
that was not initially measured because it was under
the 24 -inch size definition. But we have a Red Pine --
after discussion with staff -- in the northwest corner
of the subject site, and that's -- and that tree is
going to be also -- it's going to be denoted as $\mathrm{CH1}$ Red
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a relatively -- I don't want to say extremely rare -but rare.

JODY S. KLINE: Okay. So, you have the approved NRI as sort of a foundation. What is the purpose of the NRI and what is the guidance it gives to you? JONATHAN JOLLEY: So, the NRI is generally used as your -- as your blueprint for the planning team, for 8 the engineers on staff, for everyone involved in -- in 9 the design development process. So, I won't completely 10 review everything in regards to Mr. Intriago's 11 testimony in regards to the topography, but generally 12 yes, he stated it's -- that the site is sloping -13 generally sloping from northeast to southwest and then 14 from the southeast over again, there's a little bit of 15 a saddle in the southern end of the property going 16 Carnegie Avenue. As you look at the open spaces on the 17 property, obviously the northern end of the subject 18 site is relatively open in regards to having isolated 19 trees that are specimens and significant trees. You
20 have a forest stand, as noted earlier, along the -- or
21 tree cover area -- along the eastern property line.
22 You also have a tree cover area along the western
23 property line abutting Carnegie. But as you travel
24 south through the site you're relatively open and
25 gently sloping along the central spine of the property.

The significance of the forest stand on the eastern abutting property at the southeast corner of the subject site is -- is a nice -- a very nice feature in regards to the fact that -- that some of the vegetation densities in this particular area match up well with the continuation of the habitat on the rear of the eastern property line abutting the southeast corner. So, from a design standpoint from the landscape architect's view, is that forest serves a purpose. It 10 serves a purpose to -- to provide habitat benefit to the species and animals and it also would be enhanced if we could locate a primary forest -- a forest station area in the rear of the subject site, which would be 4 the southern edge of the property. So, in my -- our 15 opinion -- my opinion, it would benefit eventually in 6 regards to that forest matures and grows, it will add 17 to a larger continuous forest habitat along the 8 southern property line of the site.

So, that being said, you want to protect that
area. You are going to focus your -- you're going to
1 focus your development opportunities toward the central spine of the property and moving up toward northern --
3 moving south to north the central spine of the property
up to the northern corner of the subject site along Needwood Road and Carnegie Avenue. Now, of course, you
will have some impacts. There are -- there is a large
specimen tree adjacent to the front entrance driveway
of the existing -- the existing residential home.
There is a, you know, we have one -- yeah, two -- one
specimen tree there which is -- it is a large tree.
However, we will mitigate that tree with additional plantings as we move forward with our final forest conversation plan process. So, in addition to the forest -- the afforestation that we are proposing, we will have additional plantings to offset some of that caliper loss and tree color loss specifically for the impacts of those trees. I think that's it.

JODY S. KLINE: Are we at a point where you should
transfer over to the forest conservation plan or the
preliminary forest conservation plan --
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Sure.
JODY S. KLINE: -- and use that to explain to us
what you've been doing there.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: I believe this might be the best.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And I believe
this is 95 unless there was a revised one.
JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, that's what I was doing
before.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I just want to
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make sure we're looking -- we know which one we're
talking about. I believe this -- the last forest
conservation plan we have is from 10/16/19, Exhibit 95.
    JONATHAN JOLLEY: D and E.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Correct.
    JODY S. KLINE: So, Mr. Jolley, using what's been
    identified as Exhibit 95 A through F in the record of
    the case, can you please tell us about what's shown on
    the forest conservation plan? But let me ask you first
    of all, what are the qualifications required for
    someone to prepare a forest conservation plan? Are
    there qualifications to be able to have a legitimate
    forest conservation plan?
    JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes. The state of Maryland
    requires you can be -- you can qualify from -- via
    several lines. You could be a licensed landscape
    architect, which I am. You can be a state -- you can
    be a forester -- trained licensed forester, or they
    also have been known as other qualified professionals.
    Other qualified professionals can be individuals who
    have taken special training courses for -- for forestry
    and to help prepare these types of documents.
        JODY S. KLINE:And you qualify under at least one
    of those.
    JONATHAN JOLLEY:Oh, yes sir. Yes, sir, I'm a
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make sure we're looking -- we know which one we're
talking about. I believe this -- the last forest
conservation plan we have is from 10/16/19, Exhibit 95.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: D and E.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Correct.
JODY S. KLINE: So, Mr. Jolley, using what's been
identified as Exhibit 95 A through F in the record of
the case, can you please tell us about what's shown on
the forest conservation plan? But let me ask you first
of all, what are the qualifications required for
11 someone to prepare a forest conservation plan? Are
12 there qualifications to be able to have a legitimate
13 forest conservation plan?
14 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes. The state of Maryland
15 requires you can be -- you can qualify from -- via
16 several lines. You could be a licensed landscape
17 architect, which I am. You can be a state -- you can
18 be a forester -- trained licensed forester, or they
19 also have been known as other qualified professionals.
20 Other qualified professionals can be individuals who
21 have taken special training courses for -- for forestry
22 and to help prepare these types of documents.
25 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Oh, yes sir. Yes, sir, I'm a
```

licensed landscape architect.
JODY S. KLINE: Very good. Okay. So, using
Exhibit 95-A, et cetera --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: D and E.
JODY S. KLINE: Thank you, yeah.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. So --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So, D is PFCP-4.
Is that what we're looking at?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, we are looking at
preliminary forest conservation plan titled PFCP-4.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
JODY S. KLINE: Well, let me start with sort of
doing the numerical stuff first of all. With a
property of this size and whatever the determinants
are, what is the amount of forest conservation that is
expected to be provided by this proposal?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: This particular process, we
utilize the forest conservation worksheet and you
prepare the worksheet utilizing -- it's a standard
worksheet provided by the county and the state. And
the first thing we do is we look at the entire project
area. Now, the project area is denoted as 2. -- as we
stated earlier -- it was the 2.94 acres; however, --
JODY S. KLINE: Can I interrupt for a second?
Madam Chair -- or sorry -- Mr. Robeson, just so you
licensed landscape architect.
JODY S. KLINE: Very good. Okay. So, using
Exhibit 95-A, et cetera --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: D and E.
JODY S. KLINE: Thank you, yeah.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. So --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So, D is PFCP-4.
Is that what we're looking at?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, we are looking at
preliminary forest conservation plan titled PFCP-4.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
JODY S. KLINE: Well, let me start with sort of
doing the numerical stuff first of all. With a
property of this size and whatever the determinants
are, what is the amount of forest conservation that is
expected to be provided by this proposal?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: This particular process, we
utilize the forest conservation worksheet and you
prepare the worksheet utilizing -- it's a standard
20 worksheet provided by the county and the state. And
21 the first thing we do is we look at the entire project
2 area. Now, the project area is denoted as 2 . -- as we
stated earlier -- it was the 2.94 acres; however, --
Madam Chair -- or sorry -- Mr. Robeson, just so you
know that we pay attention to you, I noticed your head
kind of snap up when we used the number 2.94 when Mr.
Intriago was talking about the lot area, and it has
been brought to my attention that there is a
discrepancy between that number and the number that appears in the technical staff report, which is 2.54.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah, that's
exactly --
JODY S. KLINE: I went and checked the record plat
that created the lot was actually over 3 acres of land
area. So, what I'd like to do, we could not get a
State Department of Assessment and Taxation form that
would show what they think it is, but when Mr. Intriago
comes back to testify, he was going to get the survey.
So, we're going to try to give you a specific number.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. That's --
that would be helpful. Thank you.
JODY S. KLINE: Because I, you know, we -- there
was confusion --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Half an acre.
But, yeah. Okay, thank you.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay. So, for right now, we're
going to work on the assumption that our 2.94 is the
valid number.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank
you.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: It will change. The number will change.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It may change.
JODY S. KLINE: I'm not sure it will change.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Oh, okay.
JODY S. KLINE: Yeah. Yeah, I'm -- I'm hoping
that the technical staff is the one that's inaccurate
and not our survey, but we'll find that out.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is it on -- did
you -- you didn't survey for the NRI-FSD?
JODY S. KLINE: Well, I'll --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, he can --
no, he can. Don't do that if we can resolve it, I'd
rather go forward with his.
JODY S. KLINE: That's fine. Mr. Jolley, I'm
sorry to have interrupted you.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: That's okay.
JODY S. KLINE: We had a little diversion. Please continue.

JONATHAN JOLLEY: So, the first we did was in
preparation for the preliminary forest conservation
plan was we -- we evaluated the site in regards to what
would be required from an afforestation perspective of
the subject site. Like I said earlier, I'll use the
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| 1 base number. We included the base site area plus the | 1 yeah, 0.61 acres. |
| 2 area -- an area of disturbance offsite as well. So, we | 2 JODY S. KLINE: So, you -- go ahead. |
| 3 were taking into account what we will disturb offsite | 3 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Pardon me. |
| 4 as well for our total project area for -- from an | 4 JODY S. KLINE: No, go ahead. |
| 5 impact stance. That calculation started off at 3.2 -- | 5 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I'm adding 0.78 with 0.5 -- |
| 6 that was 3.2 acres total. That includes 0.26 acres of | 6 JONATHAN JOLLEY: No, no, no. Not 0.78. It's |
| 7 offsite limit of disturbance. We subtract out 0.15 | 70.07 plus 0.5 or 0.54 . |
| 8 acres of land dedication, and we end up with a net | 8 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay, and your total? |
| 9 tract area of 3.05 acres. You then look up the | 9 JODY S. KLINE: So, you're proposing to add |
| 10 requirements for the area. We are designated as medium | 10 landscaping to create forest in the amount of -- |
| 11 density residential. That has a 20 percent | 11 JONATHAN JOLLEY: 0.54, yeah. |
| 12 afforestation requirement. That would result in 0.61 | 12 JODY S. KLINE: Yeah. |
| 13 acres total. | 13 JONATHAN JOLLEY: So, it's not landscaping. It |
| 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: What was that number again? | 14 will be -- it will be preserved and protected. We will |
| 15 JONATHAN JOLLEY: 0.61 acres, sir. | 15 work through -- as we work through the process, the |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Did you say that | 16 entire southern -- as the design stands right now -- |
| 17 was a forestation requirement or an afforestation? | 17 the entire southern not quite a third, but you know, |
| 18 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Well, the -- the -- the - | 18 the rear end -- the southern edge of the subject site |
| 19 presently you have afforestation and reforestation | 19 will be forest and it is intended to be protected long |
| 20 preservation -- you have preservation as well. | 20 term via easement. That easement will be signed and |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. You -- | 21 fenced so that the encroachments into it can be |
| 22 it doesn't mean you have to put 20 percent of the tract | 22 limited. |
| 23 area, which is the 3.05 into forest. | 23 The -- then we overlay the -- the site layout. As |
| 24 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes. We need to forest that | 24 you can see, it will be based on talking about the -- |
| 25 cover. Yes, we have to cover at least 0.61 acres in | 25 getting back to the geometry of the site, because it is |
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| 1 forest. Of -- of the 0.7 -- of the 0.61 acres, we have | 1 long and narrow, it is long in regards to a northern |
| 2 identified, like I mentioned earlier, the southeast | 2 southerly direction and a relatively narrow eastern |
| 3 corner of the subject site, we have the neighboring | 3 westerly direction. The site layout, you can see, did |
| 4 property to the southeast has a forest stand. This | 4 tend to focus along that central spine of the property. |
| 5 piece of the property, I believe I identified as | 5 So, Mr. Intriago can speak to the -- just the logistics |
| 6 qualifying as a forest stand. | 6 of the siting of the driveway in regards to the site |
| 7 JODY S. KLINE: And you're pointing to the lower - | 7 distances and the circulation patterns. But from a |
| 8 - | 8 landscaping perspective as well -- I -- we won't jump |
| 9 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Lower, I'm sorry, I made a | 9 ahead to that, but in regards to the layout, we do, |
| 10 mistake. On the southeast corner of the subject site | 10 with this layout, have several impacts. We will have a |
| 11 we have 0.7 acres of forest stand that is contiguous | 11 -- we are proposing the removal of SP9 specimen tree. |
| 12 with the offsite forest in the southeast corner | 12 We are also removing ST6, ST1. |
| 13 abutting property. So, the 0.7 acres of existing | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait, wait. ST6 |
| 14 forest, from a design standpoint, we thought it made | $14 \text { and } 1--$ |
| 15 perfect sense to then add additional forest cover in | 15 JONATHAN JOLLEY: ST. |
| 16 the southern edge of the property. So, we then added | 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- are the ones |
| 17 approximately -- well, actually not approximately -- | 17 -- SP are the ones -- |
| 180.54 acres of additional forest for a total of 0.61. | 18 JONATHAN JOLLEY: So, it's going to -- |
| 19 WILLIAM J. CHEN: What is that total again? | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, no. Are |
| 20 JONATHAN JOLLEY: It was -- | 20 they along the eastern property boundaries? |
| 21 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Speak up if you would. | 21 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, yes, traveling -- |
| 22 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Sorry, I keep -- I'm trying not | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So, you're |
| 23 to speak as loud as I might. It's 0.54 acres added in | 23 removing the three specimen trees -- |
| 24 the rear of the southern portion of the site and the | 24 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Not all specimens. |
| 25 existing retained is 0.7 , so we have a total of 0.6 or | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, go ahead. |
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| JONATHAN JOLLEY: So, I'll start again so it's | 1 reason for the removal of that particular tree, sir, is |
| 2 clear for the record. | 2 that the building is directly over top of it. One |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I just want -- | 3 additional tree at this time is ST2 -- I'm sorry -- |
| 4 yeah. | 4 moving westerly from tree SP1, you will intersect a |
| 5 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes. I understand where I'm not | 5 tree denoted as ST, as in Tom, 2, and that tree will be |
| 6 being understood for the record. Starting i | 6 -- also need to be removed, and that is also a Silver |
| 7 central portion of the eastern property line, | 7 Maple of 27-inch diameter. |
| 8 approximately just east of what is shown as the micro | 8 JODY S. KLINE: What is causing the removal of |
| 9 bioretention facility of the -- of the subject site and | 9 that -- |
| 10 the central parking lot, we will have -- we ar | 10 JONATHAN JOLLEY: The removal of the last tree, |
| 11 proposing the removal of specimen tree SP , as in Pete | 11 ST2, will be because of grading operations, compaction, |
| 12 9. We will be -- as you travel northerly looking at | 12 and also it would be located within the playground area |
| 13 this plan, you will then intersect, you will see an X | 13 of the proposed daycare facility. |
| 14 denoting the removal. This is tree ST, as in Tom, 6 | 14 JODY S. KLINE: So, well then parenthetically on |
| 15 That is a 27 -inch Norway Spruce. Traveling furthe | 15 that, why can't you have a tree in the playlot area? |
| 16 east or north along the eastern property line, we will | 16 JONATHAN JOLLEY: You -- you could if you had zero |
| 17 unfortunately have to remove tree ST, as in Tom, 1 , | 17 impact in regards to the construction activity, which |
| 18 which is a Black Cherry, approximately 27 inches in | 18 is impractical if not impossible in that scenario. You |
| 19 diameter. As you move -- as you look at the plan in | 19 would need with the building located as it is today -- |
| 20 the proposed building locatio | 20 and that's depicted on this plan -- you're going to |
| 21 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And, Mr. Jolley, before you go | 21 have logistical operations to construct a facility that |
| 22 there -- | 22 would just not be feasible in my personal -- in my |
| 23 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, sir | 23 professional opinion to save that particular tree. |
| 24 WILLIAM J. CHEN: All those trees are being | 24 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. Please continue. Are there |
| 25 removed because of a driveway to | 25 any other trees that will be affected? |
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| JONATHAN JOLLEY: I can get into it. I was going | 1 JONATHAN JOLLEY: There -- there will be. There |
| 2 to go through all -- | 2 are some offsite trees in regards to the western |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. I'm | 3 property line when you talk about some of the critical |
| 4 trying not to get leading. | 4 root zone issues that would -- might be of interest to |
| 5 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay, yeah | 5 some of the neighboring property owners. They are |
| 6 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I was just going to get through | 6 along -- if you travel along Carnegie Avenue southerly, |
| 7 them all and then talk about why. | 7 there are several specimen trees along the southwest -- |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. If it | 8 opposite of the southwest corner of the subject site in |
| 9 moves it on, and you don't object, okay | 9 front of the residential home abutting Carnegie Avenue. |
| 10 JODY S. KLINE: That's what I thought he wa | 10 There are several specimen trees along that area. Now, |
| 11 doing. Well, what is the feature that causes the | 11 that area is well -- these particular trees are well |
| 12 removal? | 12 outside of the -- the limit of disturbance. There will |
| 13 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. So, the feature that | 13 be -- there will be some fending and construction |
| 14 causes the removal of the three trees that I just | 14 fencing that we are going to have to -- the engineers |
| 15 mentioned, SP9, ST6, and ST1, primarily going to be -- | 15 are going to propose that -- are going to limit, |
| 16 because of the proposal of the eastern -- the driveway | 16 obviously, encroachment onto someone else's private |
| 17 along the eastern property line. | 17 property, number one, and any damage on -- onto those - |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. There we | 18 - onto those trees. There will be some excavation |
| 19 go. | 19 along the eastern right of way of Carnegie Avenue that |
| 20 JONATHAN JOLLEY: There will be impacts because of | 20 will go through a critical root zone area. However, |
| 21 grading and some, you know, detrimental roo | 21 with -- without -- with protecting the trees from |
| 22 destruction there. Sorry if I'm moving too slow | 22 further encroachment onto the private property, I feel |
| 23 Moving centrally, where the proposed building is, we do | 23 that the risk could be mitigated in regards to their |
| 24 have tree SP, as in Paul, 1. That is a 45 -inch Silver | 24 long-term survival because we're not going to be adding |
| 25 Maple and that is obviously going to be removed. The | 25 to the compaction issues, which is usually a primary |

1 concern of mine. We never want tractors constantly
2 running over a tree. Envision the tree and a beautiful
3
lawn. If you have people driving equipment, vehicles,
4
storing materials, then you will have impacts of that
5
to that root zone even if you said you were going to
6
7
preserve the tree. So, we would limit those impacts.
8
grading operations along to enter this driveway.
9
That's -- that's an inevitable fact. To do that -- to
10 limit a potential further damage to offsite property in
11 regard to the tree and critical root zones, you know,
12 the [inaudible] will be established. It will establish
13 the -- remove the minimal amount of soil that you would
14 need to require to construct their driveway and then
15 the fencing and the ENS measures will protect any
16 encroachment. Immediately upon the installation of
17 that, I would -- we'll work this out with staff -- but
18 it will be my opinion to make sure that once the -- the
19 curbing is installed as well. We could install at that
20 time additional protective fencing and start to improve
21 the -- where we till all the beds and everything to
22 prepare for the landscaping and then protect that area
23 from any storage of construction materials or
24 additional vehicles driving across that to the best of
25 our ability during construction operations. I think
that would address most of the concerns.
JODY S. KLINE: So, if I can just put it in
numerical sense, how many specimen trees will need to
be removed to implement the plan?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Currently, we are removing SP9,
which is one -- specimen trees -- it's one, two -- two
specimen trees and the specimen trees to be removed are
SP9 and SP1.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay. And how many trees will be 10 --
11 JONATHAN JOLLEY: It is --
12 JODY S. KLINE: -- will be impacted -- the
13 critical root zone will be impacted?
14 JONATHAN JOLLEY: There are -- on the -- on our 15 subject site or abutting?
16 JODY S. KLINE: Break it down to two parts. Do 17 the onsite first.
18 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Well, onsite, we are preserving
19 -- I'll start with my directions -- the northwest
20 corner of the subject site, we do have the Red Pine.
21 There would be a very small impact to the -- to that
22 tree's root zone with the connection of the driveway
23 that the engineers have proposed to connect to Needwood
24 Road. The tree would be protected with fencing and 25 tree fencing and to ensure that there is no traffic
around that tree. As you travel southward -- south along Carnegie Avenue, the -- the trees I mentioned previously, SP6, SP5, SP7, ST8, and ST3 that are on the opposite south -- they're opposite the southwest corner of the -- of the site, those will be -- those are obviously on private property. The limited disturbance for development will be contained within our property along there with the exception of the offsite storm drainage that will be proposed. Mr. Intriago will talk about that. But the portion will be limited by the limited disturbance that's delineated.
12 JODY S. KLINE: So, did you give me a total number then?
14 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I can give you a total number. Let's see -- it should be eight trees, sir.

JODY S. KLINE: Okay. And the new tree -- I'm sorry.

JONATHAN JOLLEY: No, I just didn't make mention of any of the trees that were located across Needwood,
but those would have -- there would be really no impact to those at all.

JODY S. KLINE: So, how about the tree -- the
offsite trees -- any offsite trees?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: I had just mentioned some of the offsite trees.

JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, right. JONATHAN JOLLEY: Did you want me to -JODY S. KLINE: No, you already did. JONATHAN JOLLEY: I'm sorry. JODY S. KLINE: I mean, we're all here concerned about the one on Dr. Kosery's property. JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay, yes. JODY S. KLINE: So, let's hear about that. JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay, so -HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is -- is --
which is the one that staff did not recommend a
variance for? Is that what you're going to address
that staff didn't recommend a variance for but the
planning board did?
JODY S. KLINE: That was not what my question was but --

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, I'm sorry. JODY S. KLINE: No, but that's a good question.
You've got your pencil pointed to --
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, I have my pencil pointed to SP9.

JODY S. KLINE: Okay.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: That is a specimen tree that was
-- go ahead, I'm sorry.
JODY S. KLINE: Well, no -- well you heard Ms.

```
Robeson's question.
    JONATHAN JOLLEY: Um-hum.
    JODY S. KLINE: And I think she'd probably like an
understanding of why staff recommended retention --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I don't
need that. I'm sure that's in the report. I just
wanted to know which one it is.
    JONATHAN JOLLEY:That -- that is tree SP9.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, thank you.
Now, you continue with your tree.
    JODY S. KLINE: Yes, ST or whatever 10.
    JONATHAN JOLLEY: SP10. So, as we previously
discussed on the NRI, we subsequently went back and
revisited the Kosery property. Tree SP10 was
identified and SP10 was denoted as a large -- that is a
-- excuse me so I can find my notes over here -- SP10
is a 37-inch Silver Maple -- another significant Maple,
which his prevalent in this area. Subsequent
conversations with -- with the property owner, they did
not quite agree to the location of this, and we have
sent out -- we sent out the survey team -- I don't know
the specific date -- but we have an exact location of
that tree because if my memory serves me correctly, I
believe it's closer to the property line. Because they
felt it was closer to the property line, it would
```

obviously have potential for critical root zone impact
because if you were to move that circle closer westerly
towards our property, that would be inevitable. We
have surveyed that. We did not change the record plan,
and we spoke with staff.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: When you say
record plan, do you mean the PFCP?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: The PFCP since it was filed.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: We spoke with staff, which is
Katherine Nelson. That tree will have, field verified
via survey, will be located on the final forest
conservation plan.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So, it is closer
to the property --
JONATHAN JOLLEY: It is slightly closer, that's
correct. So, we believe it's approximately right here
on this exhibit -- I'm sorry, right here does not work.
JODY S. KLINE: Go ahead and mark it on there if
you want.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: There is a shed -- if this pen
works -- there is a shed on the property line actually
directly east of SP9 -- tree SP9 if you travel east.
We believe that tree is actually slightly closer to
that location. We did not --
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have to mark this as -- if we're going to use your
marking, we can't put --
JONATHAN JOLLEY: I wouldn't qualify that as a
survey mark, but --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, if I'm
going to rely on it as an approximately location --
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay, yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- do you have
an objection to marking this particular exhibit as
whatever 10 - the last -- add an exhibit?
[Simultaneous speakers.]
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Are we on 95-A? Are we -- Jody,
are we on 95-A?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, this is 95D.
JODY S. KLINE: No, we're right after -- D, yeah,
right.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Oh.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'd rather just
add it at the end so I know -- so staff knows what they
need to do. So, I'm going to make it 184 , PFCP marked
to show approximate location of SP10.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: PFC.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: PFCP marked to
show approximate location of SP10.
92
JODY S. KLINE: And could I add maybe sheet 4, I
think it is?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, yes. So, PFCP-4.

WILLIAM J. CHEN: Sheet 4 ?
JODY S. KLINE: Yes.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: PFCP-4.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now, can Mr. --
wait a minute. Okay, so that would be 184. Can you
take a pen and mark in the lower right corner by the
firm logo there, just mark Exhibit 184?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: 184 ?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: 184, or just
write 184. You don't have to write exhibit. Okay,
thank you. Go ahead.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: So, that is the tree that we
would also be concerned about. We wouldn't want it to
be detrimentally impacted. There will be further
analysis and working with staff to determine the exact
critical root zone impact and then we will provide, if
necessary, given additional measures whatever staff
would like in addition to restricting any potential
obviously encroachment into the zone, limit the
construction compaction within those areas as much as
possible after the construction of the initial
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| driveway. We will work with them diligently to try and | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm sorry? |
| mitigate that. | 2 JONATHAN JOLLEY: The covered -- the canopy -- the |
| JODY S. KLINE: Mr. Jolley, what -- what is the | 3 canopy of the tree. |
| amount of -- | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Why don't they | 5 JONATHAN JOLLEY: so, if you were to shave off 30 |
| make you go revise the PFCP? | 6 percent of that approximately, you would -- you would |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: This -- this -- that's a good | 7 want to limit that for maximum [inaudible - trails |
| question. They actually stated that we would work it | 8 off.] |
| out through the final. Because this is preliminary, | 9 JODY S. KLINE: Maybe the hearing examiner is |
| they expect that there will be some back and forth. | 10 asking you, how do you figure out what the canopy is. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you, yeah. |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: And that we would address final | 12 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Oh, the canopy -- the canopies |
| comments from everyone involved during -- on the final. | 13 are -- I'm sorry -- I'll grab this real quick. I have |
| JODY S. KLINE: Including the property owner. | 14 to find the right plan here. So, the critical root |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: Including the property owner, | 15 zone -- forgive me, I do not have that exact |
| correct. | 16 calculation on me -- but the critical root that you -- |
| JODY S. KLINE: So, the staff can control what the | 17 you set the -- you set the diameter -- |
| private property owner does. | 18 COURT REPORTER: Please speak up, sir. I |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, okay. We | 19 apologize, could you speak up? |
| don't have to -- just a second. | 20 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, sir. I'm sorry, I do not |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. | 21 have that exact calculation written down here on my |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: We don't have to | 22 notes, but. |
| characterize it now. I understand more about the case | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| now. Go ahead. | 24 JODY S. KLINE: Just the formula. What is the |
| JODY S. KLINE: Sure. | 25 formula. |
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| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I just don't | 1 JONATHAN JOLLEY: The formula is you want to |
| want to characterize it. Go ahead. | 2 measure the diameter of the tree and then you multiply |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: No, I didn't mean to say that we | 3 that times, I believe -- |
| were -- | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: The diameter of |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: You -- you have | 5 the trunk? |
| made it clear. I understand. Go ahead. | 6 JONATHAN JOLLEY: The diameter of the trunk. |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay, okay. | 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. |
| JODY S. KLINE: So, what is the amount of | 8 JONATHAN JOLLEY: And then you will multiply that |
| encroachment into the critical root zone that is sort | 9 by a factor generally of 1.5. |
| of the -- the line as to whether you can save the tree | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, so it's a |
| or you might lose the tree? | 11 formula. Okay. |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: Generally, you don't want -- you | 12 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah, there's a formula. |
| wouldn't -- you wouldn't want to exceed 30 percent. | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Based on the |
| That's -- that's generally a threshold and in my | 14 diameter. All right. That helps. |
| opinion -- | 15 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah, so every tree has a |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: The CRZ is 90. | 16 measurement and then that projects your critical root |
| Is it 90 feet in diameter? | 17 zone mass. |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: I don't have the exact -- oh | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. And it's |
| that specific tree? | 19 an -- at 30 percent of the square footage of the |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. When you | 20 critical root zone. |
| say 30 percent, is it 30 percent of what? | 21 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Of the area, correct. |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: Of -- of if you were going to -- | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Of diameter? | 23 you. |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: -- of the tree -- the covered | 24 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes. Getting back to SP1 or |
| zone. | 25 SP9, which is -- and the other trees along the eastern |
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property line, obviously they would be severely
impacted because we are literally -- it would be curbed
right up to the trunk line.
    JODY S. KLINE:Well, going back to SP10 or
whatever it is.
    JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes.
    JODY S. KLINE: Are you comfortable that the
amount of encroachment on the critical root zone will
be less than 30 percent on that tree?
    JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, I am.
    JODY S. KLINE: Okay. Now --
    JONATHAN JOLLEY: We will -- we will prove that
factually and we will have -- we have the survey and we
will submit the documentation.
    JODY S. KLINE:Well, I mean, that's my question.
How can you come to that conclusion if we haven't
surveyed yet to know exactly how far it is from the
driveway?
    JONATHAN JOLLEY: I did visit the property. We --
we looked at the -- the trees. We looked at the
canopies in regard to the -- the coverage onto -- the
coverage of that tree and how it -- and how it will
potentially -- it crosses a little bit of the eastern
property line. I feel that the -- there will be an
impact. I feel that it will be less than 30 percent,
```

and I also feel that working with the property owner
and with staff, that we can do -- that we can perform
measures to ensure the limit of encroachment and
compaction because compaction is my primary concern
when it comes to trees. The -- any compaction directly
underneath a tree in a significantly large area would
have a negative impact. So, it would be my opinion to
work with -- or not my opinion -- my preference once
we've worked with staff and the property owner, we
would have an exhibit. We can discuss that and we can
discuss additional measures as well for protection if
there's something above and beyond.
JODY S. KLINE: Would you -- would you describe
some of the measures that you think might be applicable
in this instance?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Sure. Depending upon the final
calculated impact, obviously I had mentioned earlier
that we would -- the first thing is to ensure nothing
goes past our limit of disturbance. So, limit of
disturbance is essentially the eastern property line of
the subject site. And then as you travel west into --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: When you say
nothing, are you talking --
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Nothing -- it's --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- just a
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## second.

JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Are you saying
no construction activity at all?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: That's correct. You are not --
we are not permitted to enter the Kosery property.
Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I just want to
clarify what you meant by --
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct, sorry.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- nothing.
Okay.
JODY S. KLINE: And by construction, do you mean no land disturbance?

JONATHAN JOLLEY: No land disturbance. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. JONATHAN JOLLEY: No equipment, construction materials, construction workers, no one entering the
private property of the Kosery residence. That is a --
would not be permitted. We would install tree fencing
along there as well and then when the additional
operations are to construct the driveway along the
eastern property line, you would -- after we install
the curbing, what I'd like to do is then -- which would
be worked out in the final forest conservation plan --
provide some additional fencing for any potential
storage of materials during the construction of the
building or -- yeah, building materials in general is
what I would be concerned about -- stacking of bricks
or anything like that. We could provide additional
securing along there and sign it for no storage, no
vehicles, et cetera in that particular area, because
8 their construction activity will be ongoing for the
9 building and the parking lot. So, we can prevent, at
10 least, mistaken or storage of anything that could
11 potentially have further impacts to any of the -- any
2 of the neighboring property's vegetation.
13 And if -- if we -- ultimately, honestly, if we 14 ultimately determine that there was any -- any negative 15 impacts whatsoever going through the process, someone 16 did store something, then we could recommend some 17 mitigation measures with some injection or loosening of 18 the soils or even some fertilization to help boost the 19 -- the robustness of those trees.
20 JODY S. KLINE: So, I heard what you said you were
21 going to be doing. Would the applicant accept a
22 condition if the conditional use is approved that no
23 final preliminary -- no final forest conservation plan
24 would be implemented until there was coordination with
25 Park and Planning and probably the county arborist and

| 101 | 103 |
| :---: | :---: |
| the property owner adjacent? | 1 you want me to -- how can I get that evidence in front |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: I -- I would let the applicant | 2 of you without having to call Mr. Jolley back as a |
| answer it as well, but I would believe that the | 3 witness? |
| plicant would agree to that | 4 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Well, isn't he coming -- oh, I |
| Q. That would be consistent with what your | 5 see. I apologize. Go ahead. |
| program of -- | 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm going to |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct, it would. | 7 call a 5-minute break. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What was the | 8 JODY S. KLINE: And we'll talk. |
| ditio | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And you talk. |
| JODY S. KLINE: Yeah. Well, I guess I was | 10 I 'd like to get through cross exam now of Mr. -- |
| king ahead of Mr. Chen's comment about are you | 11 JODY S. KLINE: Before lunch? |
| lly going to involve the property owner, and so I | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I've got snacks |
| s suggesting -- | 13 over the |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, you're | 14 JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, right. Well, and I still |
| ying -- okay. | 15 have the landscaping plan to do also. So, I'm probably |
| JODY S. KLINE: We wouldn't -- | 16 another 30 minutes probably. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I understand. | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, all right. |
| JODY S. KLINE: Nothing happens until we all sit | 18 We'll -- we can convene -- did -- we can convene or |
| wn at a table and try and come up with the best | 19 if you want to discuss this, we can convene for lunch |
| tion. It maybe not be totally satisfactory to the | 20 now. |
| cent property owner, but we will certainly try ou | 21 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I don't think we need to have a |
|  | 225 -minute break to talk about it. I think it's more |
| ING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I understand. I | 23 important to get this gentleman -- at least this direct |
| t underst | 24 concluded before lunch break. |
| JODY S. KLINE: I'll be glad to write something up | 25 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. |
| 102 | 104 |
| and submit it if you would like, but I think you ge | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. |
| the gist of what -- | 2 Let's -- |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, I think -- I | 3 JODY S. KLINE: If you want to go until 12:30, we |
| get the gist. | 4 will try and finish all of his testimony before 12:30 |
| WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah, and just to that point, on | 5 and we'll worry about this issue over lunch. |
| behalf of my clients, we have a general objection to | 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. That -- |
| that course of action so that if you're going to | 7 that's good. Okay. Thank you. Let's go with this. |
| ertain anything in writing, certainly I want to | 8 JODY S. KLINE: Mr. Jolley, the only other |
| comment on it. But, as of right now, I will tell you | 9 questions I think I'm going to ask you about the forest |
| clients will not agree to that at all. | 10 conservation plan is you prepared and -- what did you |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, all right. | 11 prepare in terms of justifying the removal of specimen |
| JODY S. KLINE: Could I have one second please? | 12 trees and how was it processed? |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. | 13 JONATHAN JOLLEY: We did provide a -- |
| [Off the record at 11:54 a.m.] | 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm -- I |
| [On the record at 11:55 a.m.] | 15 apologize. I -- this is not -- this -- the impact to |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you need a | 16 this tree did not require any variance, correct? |
| minute? | 17 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct. |
| JODY S. KLINE: No. I guess what I'd like to do | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I'm |
| Mr. Intriago has told me that, in fact, the survey | 19 sorry. |
| vailable as to the exact location of the tree and | 20 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Not at that time. |
| which Mr. Jolley could make a specifi | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm just -- |
| rmination whether there was a 30 perce | 22 okay, go ahead. I apologize. |
| roachment rather than speculating like we just did. | 23 JODY S. KLINE: The direct answer to your question |
| fortunately, that survey is not available to us | 24 is it was not -- it was not submitted at the time the |
| oday. I just wondered -- I don't think -- well, do | 25 request when it simply because it hadn't been |

## identified.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: That's correct. It came up
during the course of these proceedings with my client.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, I -- okay.
I get it.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: What happened, yeah, we informed
the staff that they had missed things. That's how this
came about.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: That's my understanding. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Go ahead. Oh, I
-- okay. I'm putting all the trees together now.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Go ahead, sorry.
JODY S. KLINE: You don't have to go into details,
but did you prepare a justification for the removal of
the trees that you've identified today?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes. Yes, we did, sir.
JODY S. KLINE: And that was processed through the
normal --
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, that was processed through
the normal channels. We provided the document to MNC,
PPC for request for tree variance for critical root
zone impacts and removal of SP1 and SP9.
JODY S. KLINE: And do you feel that the
justifications for the removal of the trees were in
accordance with applicable law for that action?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: I do, sir.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Well, is this going to be
offered into evidence or is already in evidence?
UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: I believe you already
put it in evidence.
JODY S. KLINE: Well, it -- it should be in the
record, but I -- I take that back. I believe it's in
the record of the Circuit Court case. I don't believe
it's in the record of this case because it was handled
in a separate matter.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, we
typically have the staff report on the PFCP in our
record.
JODY S. KLINE: You do have that, correct?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I don't know if
we have it. It's been, you know --
UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: I mean, I'm on the
horn to the --
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Quite frankly, we've looked at
documents that were filed and available through the
record and certainly if it's among those documents that
were filed with those, we're not going to have an
issue. If they're in, they're in. But if this
gentleman is talking about some other document --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I can't
approve the conditional use without the -- I have the -

- I take it I have the actual approval of the planning
board resolution approving the PFCP in here?
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Madam Examiner, I apologize. I
couldn't find that with an exhibit. Do you have the
resolution of I think it's December 17? IfI may
approach?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. JODY S. KLINE: That was transmitted. WILLIAM J. CHEN: I didn't see it. I mean I -HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I don't see anything dated $12 / 17$ unless it's an E-mail with -6 nope, that's not it.

WILLIAM J. CHEN: It -- may I approach, Madam
Examiner?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. WILLIAM J. CHEN: I was using this for another matter that got resolved. But, I'm handing you the
resolution of Montgomery County Planning Board
approving the preliminary forest conservation including
4 the variance, which was part of that, and it's -- it's
5 a document entitled resolution dated December 17, 2019.

I looked -- at one point, I looked for this document in
your -- OSA file and I could not find it.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I don't see it
there, so.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay, may I?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you have any objection to this?

JODY S. KLINE: Oh no, not at all. No. Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And, but I do
10 have, correct, the actual -- the plan -- the PFC and
plan -- PFC and plan form approved -- that was approved.

WILLIAM J. CHEN: The document that is in the --
the exhibit list is -- predates the planning board
action. So, one of my questions was going to be did
16 you know in October when you submitted the preliminary
forest conservation plan -- and this is not a challenge
-- it's just the documents that we've been taking about
-- these exhibits -- were filed in October and the
hearing of the planning board was not until November,
and their approval by the resolution was not until
December. So, unless somebody knew in October that
that particular document was going to be the finally
approved preliminary forest conservation plan, who
knows what it is. And I defer to Mr. Kline.

| 109 | 111 |
| :---: | :---: |
| JODY S. KLINE: Sure. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: I'm not trying to -- <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I'm going to -- first let me take care of this resolution, because I'm going to add it at the end of the exhibit list because I can't consider -- I can't -- well, you know -- under the code, I have to have an approved PFCP <br> JODY S. KLINE: Yeah. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- before I can decide. So, I'm putting the resolution in as 185 and then I need some confirmation that Exhibit 95-D is the plan that was approved by the planning board. <br> JODY S. KLINE: Can I have -- maybe can I get to this last Mr. Jolley question? Do you -- do you know the preparation date of this plan that you've been testifying to today? <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: [Speaking off mic - inaudible] this was originally dated -- the drawing was originally dated April 3rd of 2019. There was a revision date of 10/2 and a revision date of 10/4/19. I'm sorry -- I'm sorry. My eyes are bad. Revision date one is October 21, 2019. Plans were revised per comments received up until 10/16/19. And then revision number two shown on this page, PFCP-4 was per Katherine -- revisions per | ```saying the resolution will follow -- HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Ahh. JODY S. KLINE: And apparently that's what the problem is. WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah. That's -- in fact, that's where I'm coming from on no resolution. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So, I've got the resolution. WILLIAM J. CHEN: And you've got the staff report at 106. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. WILLIAM J. CHEN: And its attachments. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I see it. WILLIAM J. CHEN: Now, Mr. Davis said -- HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. WILLIAM J. CHEN: -- what you have to be sensitive to are the -- whether you have the attachments. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I have attachment A, but -- WILLIAM J. CHEN: There are several attachments. That's why on your list it says attachments, plural, and what I'm telling you is that even though you may have attachments to Exhibit 106, you have to -- you can't do it right now -- you have to go through it slowly to make sure you have all of the attachments.``` |
| our discussions with Katherine Nelson's plan screening comments and that was revision number two, October 24th of 2019. <br> JODY S. KLINE: So, Ms. Robeson, the preliminary forest conservation plan technical staff report on the PFCP was dated the 25 th of October and was reviewed by the planning board on the 7th of November. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, normally I get that staff report too. I don't have -- I would like that in the record. I see what you're saying. <br> JODY S. KLINE: Yeah. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But I want that -- I would like that in the record too. <br> JODY S. KLINE: Well, I believe that was submitted simultaneously with the planning board's letter -- <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Maybe I have it. <br> JODY S. KLINE: saying -- <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: 106-A. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What does it say? <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: I thought it was in the record too. <br> JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, with a note -HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It may be. JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, I think it is with a note | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: Because some of the attachments <br> have attachments. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Well, <br> let's -- where are we with this witness? <br> JODY S. KLINE: Well, yeah. Frankly, I'm at a <br> point in time where I think I've exhausted the things I <br> wanted to get in about the forest conservation plan. <br> We'd like the opportunity to try to give you a hard <br> number in terms of tree S whatever it is -- T 10 . But I <br> would finish up with just landscaping issues and try <br> and do it because I don't think that's -- well, I'm <br> just trying to just do a real quick job on the <br> landscaping issues. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. <br> JODY S. KLINE: Okay. Mr. Jolley, were you <br> instrumental in the preparation of a landscape plan for <br> the subject property? <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, I was. <br> JODY S. KLINE: Okay. Using a copy of the <br> landscape plan, would you please describe the details <br> of that plan? <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait -- don't, <br> don't. Give me a minute to catch up. No cross talk, <br> just -- I'm just looking. I do have attachment B, the |

JODY S. KLINE: Sure.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: I'm not trying to --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I'm going
to -- first let me take care of this resolution,
because I'm going to add it at the end of the exhibit
list because I can't consider -- I can't -- well, you
know -- under the code, I have to have an approved PFCP

JODY S. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- before I can
decide. So, I'm putting the resolution in as 185 and
then I need some confirmation that Exhibit 95-D is the
plan that was approved by the planning board.
JODY S. KLINE: Can I have -- maybe can I get to
this last Mr. Jolley question? Do you -- do you know
preparation date of this plan that you've been
stifying to today?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: [Speaking off mic - inaudible]
this was originally dated -- the drawing was originally
dated April 3rd of 2019. There was a revision date of
$10 / 2$ and a revision date of $10 / 4 / 19$. I'm sorry -- I'm
sorry. My eyes are bad. Revision date one is October
21, 2019. Plans were revised per comments received up
until 10/16/19. And then revision number two shown on
this page, PFCP-4 was per Katherine -- revisions per
our discussions with Katherine Nelson's plan screening
comments and that was revision number two, October 24th
of 2019.
JODY S. KLINE: So, Ms. Robeson, the preliminary
forest conservation plan technical staff report on the
PFCP was dated the 25th of October and was reviewed by
the planning board on the 7th of November.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, normally I
get that staff report too. I don't have -- I would
like that in the record. I see what you're saying.
JODY S. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But I want that
-- I would like that in the record too.
JODY S. KLINE: Well, I believe that was submitted
simultaneously with the planning board's letter --
.

WILLIAM J. CHEN: 106-A.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What does it
say?
WILLIAM J. CHEN: I thought it was in the record

JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, with a note --
JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, I think it is with a note
saying the resolution will follow --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Ahh. JODY S. KLINE: And apparently that's what the problem is.

WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah. That's -- in fact, that's
where I'm coming from on no resolution.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So, I've
got the resolution.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: And you've got the staff report

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. WILLIAM J. CHEN: And its attachments. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I see it. WILLIAM J. CHEN: Now, Mr. Davis said -HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. WILLIAM J. CHEN: -- what you have to be sensitive
to are the -- whether you have the attachments. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I have attachment A, but --

That's why on your list it says attachments, plural,
and what I'm telling you is that even though you may
have attachments to Exhibit 106, you have to -- you
can't do it right now -- you have to go through it
slowly to make sure you have all of the attachments.
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HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Because some of the attachments
have attachments.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Well, let's -- where are we with this witness?

JODY S. KLINE: Well, yeah. Frankly, I'm at a
point in time where I think I've exhausted the things I
wanted to get in about the forest conservation plan.
We'd like the opportunity to try to give you a hard
number in terms of tree S whatever it is -- T10. But I
would finish up with just landscaping issues and try
and do it because I don't think that's -- well, I'm
just trying to just do a real quick job on the
landscaping issues.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay. Mr. Jolley, were you
instrumental in the preparation of a landscape plan for
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, I was.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay. Using a copy of the
landscape plan, would you please describe the details
of that plan?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait -- don't,
just -- I'm just looking. I do have attachment B, the

| 113 | 115 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 recommendation for the PF -- staff recommendation for | 1 JODY S. KLINE: I actually see submission 103-A. |
| 2 the PFCP. | 2 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. Yes, yes. I'm sorry. |
| 3 JODY S. KLINE: Which number was that? | 3 Sorry, I should know that. |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That's 106-A, | 4 JODY S. KLINE: So, 103-A is the revised |
| 5 attachment B. | 5 landscaping plan CUP-9, which is what we have on the |
| JODY S. KLINE: Oh, okay. Okay. | 6 board here. So, using that exhibit, Mr. Jolley, would |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But what I don't | 7 you please describe the elements of the landscape plan? |
| 8 see, that has an attachment which is the preliminary | 8 Start with your sort of general concept and then take |
| 9 forest conservation plan and the tree variance request | 9 us through the details of the specifics. |
| 10 letter that is not in this exhibit. | 10 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. Starting at the northern |
| 11 WILLIAM J. CHEN: That's exactly -- | 11 end of the property, the - let's start at the northwest |
| 12 JODY S. KLINE: That, I can provide to you now. | 12 corner of the subject site -- Needwood Road and |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, not now. So | 13 Carnegie Avenue. We will have the preservation of the |
| 14 -- so, let's move on unless you want those documents | 14 previously mentioned Red Pine. The tree will remain in |
| 15 before you can cross, or do you already have them? | 15 place and it will be protected throughout the |
| 16 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I'm going to -- look, pardon me. | 16 construction. As you travel easterly along Needwood |
| 17 We want to finish this hearing. | 17 Road towards the entrance to the subject site, you |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, so -- | 18 encounter the driveway to the -- to the rear parking |
| 19 WILLIAM J. CHEN: So -- | 19 lot. As you enter the driveway on the eastern portion |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Let's finish, | 20 of the subject site, travel south, and along the -- |
| 21 but I'm going to need all those attachments in the | 21 from the base of curb along the driveway closest or the |
| 22 record. | 22 easternmost base of curb closed to the Kosery property, |
| 23 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah. | 23 you will have a landscape treatment along the entire |
| 24 JODY S. KLINE: Yes, ma'am. | 24 eastern property line beginning with its intersection |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 25 with Needwood Road following south -- traveling south - |
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| WILLIAM J. CHEN: And as I said, you really have | 1 - all the way down to approximately the location -- |
| 2 to be careful when you go through it -- that's why I | 2 well, actually to the location of the southernmost |
| 3 said you couldn't do it sitting here -- to make sure | 3 point of the proposed parking lot. Can I continue with |
| 4 that as you go through it, you have all the attachments | 4 that section and move westerly? |
| with all their attachments. It took me -- it took me a | 5 JODY S. KLINE: That would be a good way to do it |
| 6 while to figure it out, honestly. | 6 yes. |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 7 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. The proposed treatment of |
| 8 WILLIAM J. CHEN: But that's me. I'm slow. | 8 the -- of the landscaping along this area -- we are |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now, I didn't | 9 going to propose at this time a 6 -foot fence. We are |
| 10 mean to be abrupt. So, keep going Mr. Kline. Let's -- | 10 calling that out as an opaque fence. The specific |
| 11 let's finish this witness. | 11 material can be discussed with the owner and other |
| 12 JODY S. KLINE: So, let me -- let's get a number | 12 parties. |
| 13 for the exhibit that we put up on the board here -- 95, | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And this is on |
| 14 96, 97 -- | 14 the eastern property? |
| 15 WILLIAM J. CHEN: 93. | 15 JONATHAN JOLLEY: The eastern property line. |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is this the | 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, go ahead. |
| 17 landscaping plan? | 17 JONATHAN JOLLEY: So, starting along the eastern |
| 18 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yes. | 18 property line, I'm describing the landscape treatment |
| 19 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Jody, 93-I? | 19 between the eastern property line and the driveway up |
| 20 JODY S. KLINE: What's the number of the plan | 20 along our property, the entrance to the rear. So, that |
| 21 you've got -- what's it called? | 21 area will have a treatment of large shade trees -- |
| 22 JONATHAN JOLLEY: The plan will be depicted as | 22 well, eventually they will be large. They will |
| 23 landscape plan. It is sheet number CUP-9. | 23 proposed at 2 to $2-1 / 2$-inch caliber trees. We are |
| 24 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Is it understood, Mr. Kline, | 24 installing approximately, I believe, it's 15 along that |
| 25 we're talking about 93-I? | 25 area. We will be -- and that's going to be intended to |
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| 1 be an upper story eventually. They are an upper story | 1 the driveway and then the property line -- and I say |
| 2 canopy. Then, we will provide a treatment of lower | 2 that in the context of is there enough depth to |
| 3 story with Red Buds, which are an ornamental tree but | 3 basically support all of the trees and vegetation that |
| 4 native to the area at a rate of 2:1, so approximately | 4 you're talking about? |
| 530 of those. Then, we will have a variety of shrubs | 5 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, I believe there is. We |
| 6 along there. They are also going to be various sizes, | 6 have approximately -- we have actually 12 feet from the |
| 7 but they're all evergreen in nature. So, you will have | 7 eastern property line to the back face of -- the face |
| $8 \quad$ a treatment with evergreen shrubs to help the long-te | 8 |
| 9 buffering year-round instead of a deciduous nature. | 9 JODY S. KLINE: What's the required planning |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Buffering from | 10 strip? |
| 11 what? | 11 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Minimum of 10 |
| 12 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Just from -- mostly my concern | 12 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. |
| 13 is any sort of light trespass as well from [inaudible.] | 13 JONATHAN JOLLEY: It does flare out slightly along |
| 14 So, you have a lower story treatment -- | 14 the entrance. As you enter the northeast corner of the |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I thought the | 15 sight, there is slightly more open space in that area, |
| 16 fence was opaque. | 16 and as you travel south along the eastern property |
| 17 JONATHAN JOLLEY: It is, but it helps. | 17 line, that landscape area does open up as you go -- |
| 18 another level, plus it's beautiful when you have | 18 continue southerly towards the forest area or proposed |
| 19 multilayer treatments of landscaping instead of just | 19 forest on the rear of the property -- the south of the |
| 20 row of trees down the side of the property line | 20 property. |
| 21 Through the parking lot area, we also provide shade | 21 JODY S. KLINE: Are you familiar with the relative |
| 22 tree cover. That shade tree cover is intended to me | 22 grades of the -- the land and particularly the Kosery |
| 23 our parking lot requirements for green area and/or tree | 23 property and say land adjacent to it to the west? |
| 24 canopy coverage, which we meet those expectations or | 24 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Kosery property? |
| 25 requirements. They will have a central -- in our | 25 JODY S. KLINE: Yes, sir. |
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| 1 parking lot as proposed now along the southern portion | 1 JONATHAN JOLLEY: And our property? |
| 2 of the property, south-faced elevation of the building | 2 JODY S. KLINE: Yes. So, what's the relative |
| 3 -- you have a bioretention -- micro-bioretention basin | 3 elevations? |
| 4 that will ultimately have even more specific plantings | 4 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Well, personally speaking, when |
| 5 in -- within those, as they're typically done a little | 5 I was standing along the property line when I last |
| 6 further down the line. As you travel west along the - | 6 visited the property, this in here, it's -- |
| 7 the parking lot traveling towards Carnegie Avenue, we | 7 JODY S. KLINE: This in here being -- |
| 8 do have parking that would be perpendicular to that | 8 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Oh, I'm sorry, sorry |
| 9 right of way along Carnegie, so that would require | 9 Centrally located about 50 percent of the way south as |
| 10 screening to screen that parking lot. We're proposing | 10 you travel from the northeast corner of the subject |
| 11 a variety of large shade trees, and we're also provided | 11 site down the eastern property line, approximately in |
| 12 a continuous hedge row along that entire -- entire | 12 the center of the eastern property line point, you -- |
| 13 frontage to screen that. The remaining areas along -- | 13 the grades are generally gentle and flat across here. |
| 14 around the building will be treated with sod for | 14 There is not -- I don't see -- |
| 15 maintenance and there will be a tree or two and shrub | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Sloping which |
| 16 just scattered about here or there for interest. But | 16 way? |
| 17 the main concentration of the landscaping is around the | 17 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Well, you're sloping -- you're |
| 18 parking lot area and along the rear or easterly | 18 generally sloping northeast towards the west -- |
| 19 property line with the forest area or the area being | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 20 retained for afforestation along the rear of the | 20 JONATHAN JOLLEY: -- and then again over here, and |
| 21 property, and that will be detailed with specific | 21 then this goes down here. Sorry, I keep saying here. |
| 22 species as we go through the process. | 22 Yes, I am familiar with this sorry. |
| 23 JODY S. KLINE: Mr. Jolley, I'm not sure I heard | 23 JODY S. KLINE: My question is going to be, if |
| 24 you said. If you did, I'll just -- what's the width of | 24 you're standing in the backyard of the Kosery property, <br> 25 what will those improvements -- the fence and the trees |
| 25 the planting strip between the easternmost curb line of | 25 what will those improvements -- the fence and the trees |


| 121 | 123 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 -- what effect will it have on the visibility of the | 1 not ultimate. Then, below -- |
| 2 activity -- | 2 JODY S. KLINE: Before you leave that, I think you |
| 3 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. | 3 didn't quite hear her question. |
| 4 JODY S. KLINE: -- on the property to the | 4 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. |
| 5 west? | 5 JODY S. KLINE: I think her question was |
| WILLIAM J. CHEN: The question is asking the | 6 confirmation of the height of the fence. |
| 7 landscape architect his opinion of what the viewshed | 7 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Oh, the height of the fence, I'm |
| 8 would be -- that's my word -- or the view from my | 8 sorry, is $6-$ is proposed at this time 6 feet high. |
| 9 client's property. | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, thank you. |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I think | 10 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. And the -- it's opaque in |
| 11 he's entitled as a landscape architect to look at the | 11 regards to allowing a direct line of sight through -- |
| 12 view -- to give an opinion. You're welcome to cross | 12 through the fence. |
| 13 examine the accuracy of his opinion. So, I'm going to | 13 JODY S. KLINE: And the species of canopy trees |
| 14 let it in. | 14 that you're talking about should reach a height of |
| 15 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. The intent of my -- the | 15 what? |
| 16 landscape treatment along -- | 16 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Oh, well in excess of 50-- 50- |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, not the | 17 plus feet. |
| 18 intent. | 18 JODY S. KLINE: Thank you, go ahead. |
| 19 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Well -- | 19 JONATHAN JOLLEY: With the exception of the |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: The question is | 20 ornamental trees -- the ornament trees. |
| 21 -- | 21 JODY S. KLINE: I understand. |
| 22 JONATHAN JOLLEY: When I use the design intent for | 22 JONATHAN JOLLEY: So, it's just the -- so, you |
| 23 the landscaping, what I'm thinking about is the design | 23 have the vertical element of the fence, larger canopy |
| 24 for that part of the property. | 24 shade trees along the planting area. Within that, I |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 25 mentioned earlier, you have the lower ornamental trees |
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| JODY S. KLINE: What will the effect be? | 1 and then a shrub treatment just for the aesthetics as |
| 2 JONATHAN JOLLEY: The effect will be you will have | 2 well traveling along that property line. |
| 3 a -- you will have a vertical element screen of 6 feet | 3 JODY S. KLINE: The Red Buds could get to what |
| 4 along the entire property line from the point of | 4 possible height? |
| 5 essentially the intersection with Needwood Road south | 5 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Being native, they get larger. |
| 6 all the -- south along the property line -- along the | 6 I mean, you're looking at 15 feet max really in a |
| 7 eastern property line, and it will terminate | 7 condition like this. They're not native, they're not - |
| 8 approximately around where the tree forest preservation | 8 - I mean, they are native, they're not in a forest. |
| 9 area or the forest retention -- afforestation area will | 9 But you'll have a mid-level -- the intent is to have a |
| 10 be proposed. So, you have a vertical element of 6 feet | 10 mid-level screening, so those should eventually exceed |
| 11 height on the western side of that fence on the | 11 the height of the fence. You have the upper level |
| 12 applicant's property -- the subject site. We will then | 12 canopy of the deciduous trees and the lower level of |
| 13 have shade trees, which will eventually provide an | 13 the shrubs in regards to layers of cover. |
| 14 upper canopy visibility or -- or cover as they mature | 14 JODY S. KLINE: and will that pattern of planting |
| 15 with age. | 15 obscure the views of the parking lot and the building |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: How high is this | 16 from the adjacent Kosery property? |
| 17 fence? | 17 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Same objection. |
| 18 JONATHAN JOLLEY: They generally -- 2-1/2 -- 2 - to | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Noted. |
| 19 2-1/2-inch caliber tree. It varies a little bit, | 19 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I would say that the parking lot |
| 20 because obviously they're living. You're looking at | 20 ground plan, if you are -- if you are at the level of |
| 21 approximately 10 -ish -- 10 to 12 feet with -- with for | 21 the parking lot, you will have an obstructed view -- or |
| 22 most nurseries of that size, that's a general nursery | 22 from -- well, actually, I could -- I can't say for a |
| 23 standard. | 23 fact. Obviously, I'm not standing there. I haven't |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 24 been inside the residence. I won't state that. The |
| 25 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Now, that's at time of planting, | 25 intent of the vertical screen -- can I state -- correct |
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| :---: | :---: |
| me if I'm wrong -- the intent of the vertical screen is | 1 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. May I -- may I? |
| to block a direct line of sight from essentially a -- | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. |
| an equal grade as you walk along that eastern property | 3 JODY S. KLINE: It is -- is not a new rendering. |
| line. So, at any point, if you walk along the eastern | 4 The only -- well, it is a new rendering. The only |
| property line, you will have a fence traveling | 5 thing that's different is the sidewalk from Carnegie |
| grade and you will have a 6 -foot height on that. So, | 6 Road sidewalk to the front door of the building, which |
| I'm a 6-foot tall individual, so, essentially I am the | 7 was not available on the previous plan. That's the |
| height of that fence along the entire eastern property | 8 only change to the plan. |
| line. It is meant to obscure the view of that parking | 9 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Which sidewalk? |
| sir, and vehicles within it. Our building is | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, let's get |
| er element, so we could not effectively completely | 11 that -- let's ask him what the differences are. |
| een that view from it with a fence. Did I answer | 12 JODY S. KLINE: Sure, sure. Yeah. So, first of |
| estion or did I go off? | 13 all, how is this created? They want a foundation. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, you did. It | 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: This is only |
| ts confusing. | 15 marked, Mr. Chen. I understand. |
| JODY S. KLINE: This is not an exhibit in the | 16 JODY S. KLINE: So, they want to understand, how |
| ord of the case. | 17 did you put this together? How -- how reliable is what |
| NATHAN JOLLEY: Oh, yes. Great exhibit. | 18 it's showing? |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. You can - | 19 JONATHAN JOLLEY: The -- so, the -- essentially |
| 'll mark it for identification as 186. | 20 the underlaying of this is the boundary survey. We |
| UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: No, that should be | 21 take the site plan generated by the engineers, combine |
| ou did it in November. | 22 the two, we overlay with the rendering. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What? Just | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, let me -- |
| n't -- don't talk. No offense | 24 let me start you |
| JODY S. KLINE: All right. I appreciate the help, | 25 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. |
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| but in fact it's a different exhibit. | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- here, if you |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It is a | 2 can answer. Is a version of this in our record |
| different exhibit. Okay. So, I'm going -- can you | 3 already? Do you know? |
| mark it for identification? Just put 186 on it. And | 4 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, it is -- it in the record. |
| what do you call this, Mr. Kline? | 5 It's in the -- one of the -- |
| WILLIAM J. CHEN: What's the name of the plan? | 6 JODY S. KLINE: I'm checking. |
| JODY S. KLINE: It's a -- it's actually a | 7 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah, I believe -- okay, let him |
| colorized version of CUP-9 landscape plan. So -- | 8 check first. |
| WILLIAM J. CHEN: Rendered by -- | 9 JODY S. KLINE: Yeah. Others have better memory |
| JODY S. KLINE: It's a rendered landscape plan. | 10 of what we put into the record before. |
| Mr. Jolley -- | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, we have |
| WILLIAM J. CHEN: If I may, is this the | 12 some help of one -- what was it -- 148? 148 is |
| propriate to -- | 13 rendered version of site plan -- of landscaping plan |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: We're going to | 14 from 11/22/19. Thank you. |
| him to lay a foundation if that's your question. | 15 JODY S. KLINE: That would have been something Mr. |
| JODY S. KLINE: Yes, that's where I was going to | 16 Wolford would have testified. |
| rt. | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So, have |
| WILLIAM J. CHEN: No, it isn't. Before that -- | 18 you -- have you made alterations to that 148 ? |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh. | 19 JONATHAN JOLLEY: The -- the only alteration -- |
| WILLIAM J. CHEN: I mean, there have been | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Did you make |
| eviously filed renderings that my clients and I have | 21 them? |
| ized and looked at as part of the filing. So, here | 22 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Part of my team made them. |
| are on the second day of hearing, which was three | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, go ahead. |
| nths since the first day of hearing and we now have a | 24 JONATHAN JOLLEY: We -- we added -- there was |
| ew rendering that we have not seen. | 25 discussion to have a -- a connection from the proposed |


shade cover. The darker areas of green with the dashed
line, as you can see here, are the micro-bioretention
basins. Additional landscape screening along the
western -- I'm sorry -- the eastern right of way line
of Carnegie Avenue between the proposed parking lot
that is perpendicular to that right of way. As you
travel further down the site south -- southerly down
the site, you will see a portion of the existing forest
area and the -- the intent is that the remaining area
10 in green will also be along the southern property be
11 added for the additional long-term reforestation of the
12 property. Did I get everything?
JODY S. KLINE: Yeah. That's good enough on that.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay.
JODY S. KLINE: Mr. Jolley, there are provisions
16 in Section 596.4 of the zoning ordinance dealing with
17 general landscaping requirements.
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Um-hum.
JODY S. KLINE: Rather than go through them
20 individually, does the landscaping plan that you have
21 provided satisfy all of the requirements in the zoning
22 ordinance for things like canopy, perimeter planning,
23 coverage -- does it meet all those standards?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, sir.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay. And that's confirmed
by the staff report?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct.
JODY S. KLINE: Is that confirmed by the staff
report?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct. Yes, it is, sir.
JODY S. KLINE: Mr. Jolley, in your opinion as a
landscape architect, are the proposed improvements
8 harmonious with and will not alter the character of the
9 surrounding neighborhood?
10 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I believe they are harmonious 11 with the character of the neighborhood.

JODY S. KLINE: And are the --
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Excuse me. Two issues. This 4 gentleman is a qualified landscape architect. He has 15 not been qualified to express an opinion about 16 compliance with the zoning ordinance provisions, number
17 one. And number two, at what point in time is the 18 question. I mean, is the proposed improvements 19 harmonious with -- we're talking about vegetation. I 20 just -- I just want to know when is -- when is it
21 harmonious? If you're going to allow him to testify to
22 an opinion about compliance with the zoning ordinance
23 on -- on compatibility, that's really my issue. I'm
24 not -- you didn't hear me raise an issue about
25 compliance with landscape requirements. But

```
compatibility is not landscape, you know, compatibility
is a much broader land use, land planning opinion, and
I have a problem with this gentleman rendering an
opinion in that area. And number two, the question as
posited is too indefinite. We just don't know.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Kline.
    JODY S. KLINE: So, is a timing question? In
other words --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I think it's
both.
    JODY S. KLINE: Yeah.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I think he's
saying he can't testify as to -- well, correct me if
I'm wrong.
    WILLIAM J. CHEN: Compatibility.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: He can't testify
as to the compatibility of the neighborhood because
he's not a land planner and hasn't characterized the
neighborhood, et cetera, et cetera.
    JODY S. KLINE: Okay.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: The second
objection that I hear is his -- if he can testify as to
compatibility, is it compatible immediately, is it
compatible 20 years from now. So, let's start with the
compatibility issue first -- whether he can testify.
```

    JODY S. KLINE: Sure.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you have any
    opinion on that?
JODY S. KLINE: It -- it's probably using -- using
the phrase altering the character of the neighborhood
probably is beyond what I should have asked him. It's
probably better is the landscaping plan proposed allow
this use to be compatible with its neighbors.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Same objection.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I do -- I do
think it gets to the same objection. You know, I guess
you could ask does it adequately screen.
JODY S. KLINE: I was just going to say, I could
break it down into components. With the fence, will
there be any light from moving vehicles penetrating the
fence? Will there be any light penetrating the fence?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: No. No, but from the ground
plane of a vehicle, the 6 -foot high opaque fence, the
direct light shine would be obscured from them.
JODY S. KLINE: Will the fence and the proposed
landscaping obscure views of the parking lot itself?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay. And will they obscure views
of vehicles moving along the driveway?
JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes.


| 141 | 143 |
| :---: | :---: |
| for the site. | 1 for this specific project. |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I know it does, | 2 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct. |
| 3 but he doesn't have the programmatic -- | 3 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. And by project, you mean |
| 4 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I don't know, he didn't answer. | 4 the layout you see in front of you, correct, not the |
| 5 If he doesn't, I appreciate that. All he has to say I | 5 site in general, like for every -- |
| 6 don't know. | 6 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah, okay. I'm talking about - |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. If you | 7 - I just want to make sure I answered your question. |
| now. | 8 WILLIAM J. CHEN: As I understand the building was |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: I'm not an exper | 9 there, the parking that was going to be required, the |
| 10 programmatic elements. | 10 access -- |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, just do you | 11 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct. |
| 12 know how many are proposed here or not? | 12 WILLIAM J. CHEN: You were -- you are providing |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: I believe there are -- I don't | 13 the landscape services for that project. |
| ow. I don't know for an exact answer. So, I have to | 14 JONATHAN JOLLEY: That's correct. |
| 15 state that as a fact. | 15 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And help me for a minute. Is |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 16 that Exhibit 148? |
| 17 WILLIAM J. CHEN: That's fine. | 17 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes. This is Exhibit 148. |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, that's | 18 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Did you prepare that exhibit, |
| . That's fine | 19 sir ? |
| 20 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah. | 20 JONATHAN JOLLEY: No, members of my team prepared |
| EARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Go ahead. | 21 the exhibit. I am not that technically competent to |
| 22 WILLIAM J. CHEN: So, as I understand it, the site | 22 throw together that level of details. It's a |
| 23 that you have been assigned to do the landscaping work | 23 combination of a couple programs. |
| 24 is for this specific project. | 24 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I'm sympathetic to that. Can |
| 25 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct, sir. | 25 you ascribe any particular point in time of growth that |
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| WILLIAM J. CHEN: And that is driven by the size | 1 the landscaping that is depicted on 148 is -- |
| of the building and other requirements for the Primrose | 2 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Are you talking about existing |
| 3 operations. Is that right sir? | 3 vegetation or -- |
| 4 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct, sir. | 4 WILLIAM J. CHEN: No, I mean the landscaping. |
| 5 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. And as I understand your | 5 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Oh, proposed vegetation? |
| 6 testimony -- and please, I don't want to -- this is not | 6 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yes. |
| 7 your area but it ties to landscaping. | 7 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I cannot state that at this time. |
| 8 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. | 8 WILLIAM J. CHEN: But I take it on 148, sir, you |
| 9 WILLIAM J. CHEN: You were not given an assignment | 9 are showing canopies with the trees. Is that right, |
| 10 for any other type of project on this property other | 10 sir? |
| 11 than what Primrose gave you. | 11 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, to show the design along |
| 12 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I have not personally worked on | 12 the edge for proposed trees. That is correct, sir. |
| 13 any other uses, if that's what you mean. Are you | 13 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. By the way, when you were |
| lking about like a -- | 14 testifying about the screen -- |
| 15 WILLIAM J. CHEN: No, I mean on this property. | 15 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, sir. |
| 16 JONATHAN JOLLEY: There were evaluations | 16 WILLIAM J. CHEN: -- have you ever conducted any |
| 17 throughout the project as Mr. Intriago stated earlier - | 17 type of test for this specific project for the |
| here were some evaluations. | 18 screening from the Posey/Kosery property looking west |
| 19 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Right. | 19 at the site? |
| 20 JONATHAN JOLLEY: And he can get into that for | 20 JONATHAN JOLLEY: As if I was physically standing |
| 21 conceptual layouts. | 21 on their property -- |
| 22 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah, but is that in your area? | 22 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yes, yes. |
| JONATHAN JOLLEY: He was focused on that. | 23 JONATHAN JOLLEY: -- and looking in? |
| 24 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay, fine. So, coming back to | 24 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yes. |
| 25 landscaping, the services that you were providing, it's | 25 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I have not physically stepped to |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 encroach upon their property out of respect, but I | 1 higher than the fence, you would have potential views |
| 2 tried to get as close to possible standing right there | 2 that could not be obscured. I'll let, to the lighting, |
| 3 looking in. | 3 the other -- |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Where is there? | 4 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I understand. |
| 5 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Oh, I'm sorry, ma'am, sorry | 5 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. |
| 6 about that. | 6 WILLIAM J. CHEN: So, you're -- please, I'm just |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 7 trying to be clear on what you were testifying about. |
| 8 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Let's say approximately three- | 8 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I completely understand. |
| 9 quarters of the way down the eastern property line | 9 WILLIAM J. CHEN: So, the exception to your |
| 10 abutting property adjacent to the -- just west of the | 10 testimony would be, as I take it, the parking lot |
| 11 existing shed on -- on the property. | 11 lighting that's up on poles. You didn't deal with |
| 12 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Did you, sir, conduct any | 12 that. |
| 13 specific test to measure screening in regards to -- | 13 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I did not, sir. |
| 14 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Physical, in the field tests? | 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Fine. That's fine. And I |
| 15 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Or some kind of test even in an | 15 understand that you also testified that the landscape |
| 16 office? | 16 that you're proposing is compliant with the zoning |
| 17 JONATHAN JOLLEY: There are -- I don't know what | 17 ordinance, and I think it's 59.6.4. Am I -- have I got |
| 18 you mean by test. | 18 that right? |
| 19 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Well, I mean how -- you've | 19 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I'm looking at my |
| 20 testified that the screen -- the fence would screen a | 20 requirements/compliance chart right now. |
| 21 lo | 21 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah, I'm just going -- I'm not |
| 22 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah. | 22 looking at your chart, I'm just going by what your |
| 23 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. Did you do any tests to, | 23 testimony was. |
| 24 you know, figure that out? | 24 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes. |
| 25 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I can't say -- I can't say that | 25 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. And those are the minimum |
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| 1 I tested -- I conducted a test on a specific fence. | 1 requirements. Is that right, sir? |
| 2 But I can state that the -- the -- we are stating the | 2 JONATHAN JOLLEY: That's correct. They're stated |
| 3 use of an opaque fence, of which we have not selected a | 3 minimums. |
| 4 final model. But the design intent of that fence is to | 4 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah, okay. Do you have, sir, |
| 5 specify a fence that will prevent the direct -- well, | 5 the parking lot requirements in your hand under the |
| 6 primarily the direct glare of a vehicle. That would be | 6 zoning ordinance? |
| 7 my primary concern froma -- froma -- as you're | 7 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I do have some reference |
| 8 driving around the rear parking lot area south of the | 8 material from that. |
| 9 building, anyone pointing toward the east with their | 9 WILLIAM J. CHEN: If you go to -- |
| 10 headlights, that would be the aim. Have I tested it | 10 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I don't have the actual copy, so |
| 11 specifically with a light and a car, I have not. | 11 I'm looking at my compliance chart, sir. |
| 12 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. So, you're just going by | 12 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. |
| 13 your experience with fences? | 13 JONATHAN JOLLEY: It's on my landscape sheet. |
| 14 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Experience with fences, yes. | 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I -- I would refer you then to |
| 15 WILLIAM J. CHEN: How about the -- the lighting on | 1559.6 .2 .9 C 1 , which is landscaped area where it talks |
| $16-$ - on the poles in the parking area? | 16 about 10 or more spaces. |
| 17 JONATHAN JOLLEY: That -- | 17 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, sir. |
| 18 JODY S. KLINE: Can I just interrupt for a second? | 18 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Now, do you want me to give you |
| 19 Yeah, I do have a separate witness testifying with the | 19 those again slowly, because I-- |
| 20 lighting fixtures. Maybe that -- | 20 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah, say that again. I don't - |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: To the extent | 21 - I just don't have that whole folder in front of me. |
| 22 you can. | 22 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Sure, 59 -- |
| 23 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. To the extent I can, I | 23 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. |
| 24 have the design for the light -- for the light | 24 WILLIAM J. CHEN: -- point 6, point 2, point 9, 25 point capital C and that -- that section is entitled |
| 25 fixtures. Obviously, if there is an element that is | 25 point capital C, and that -- that section is entitled |
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| parking lot requirements for 10 or more -- <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah, I -- <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: And then you have -- you have then setback is 1,2 , and 3 . <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: And as I understand your testimony, this landscaping plan is compliant with that requirement -- those requirements. <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, sir. I can go through 0 those if you wish. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: No. I -- if you tell me it is, I'm okay. <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: And, as I understand it though, 5 and I think you already answered this, these are the 16 minimum requirements that you've provided. <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: They're -- no. There are portions that we have exceeded the minimum. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: Oh, is that right? Can you tell me what they are? <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: Sir, landscape area, we have a surface parking lot must have a landscape island that 23 are a minimum of 100 square feet -- each comprising a 24 minimum of $5 \%$ of the total area of the surface of the 25 parking lot. So, we -- our landscape islands far | 20 years of growth. <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: That's correct for the -- yes, that's correct. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah, okay. Now, drop down to 3 on the perimeter planting. <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: In that regard, as I understand <br> again your comments or your testimony, you're at the <br> minimum except that it's 12 feet wide? <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes. We have 12 feet wide -- <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: -- from the eastern face of the <br> curb to the property line. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. But otherwise, it's -- <br> 15 it's the minimum. <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: It's 10 feet. It exceeds 10 <br> feet -- I'm speaking about the eastern property line <br> landscape area -- from the eastern property line <br> traveling west toward the site, we will have 12 feet <br> 0 minimum throughout that -- through the north and south <br> 1 access along the eastern property line. There are <br> areas, if you look at where I'm pointing in the <br> northeast corner of the driveway, that have some <br> 24 additional green space and then as you travel south <br> 25 along the existing -- |
| exceed that minimum in their individual elements. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: And as you calculate it for the overall square footage, sir, you would require based on our parking area 1,422 square feet of green space as well. We are providing 1,725 square feet, sir. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: We have a tree canopy <br> requirement as a sub-item. We are required to provide 0 a canopy coverage at 20 years' growth with shade trees that are provided through the site, and you project -- <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: Just on that -- just for one minute. <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: As I understand your earlier <br> 16 testimony -- <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: I didn't want to go too far. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: You described what was being <br> removed, and as I understand it, what you're now doing <br> with the perimeter, you are compliant with the zoning <br> ordinance in that you are replacing the existing trees <br> and forest areas as you described. <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: Um-hum. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: By compliance with subsection 2, <br> which is -- which will provide 25 percent coverage at | WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah, I -- <br> [Simultaneous speakers.] <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: I don't need you to over all that. <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay, that's fine. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: Do you know what the setback area is -- if this was developed with a dwelling? <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: I have not analyzed the <br> residential landscaping components of that, sir. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: I would defer to that. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: That's okay. <br> JODY S. KLINE: Can I ask for clarity -- are you <br> asking about structures or drive lanes or what? <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: No, I was not talking about 16 travel lanes. In residential, you don't have to worry about it. I'm talking about the structure. <br> JODY S. KLINE: Okay. <br> WILLIAM J. CHEN: Do you know what, if any, <br> elevation differential there is between the Kosery <br> property and the subject property? Do you know the elevation differences, if any? <br> JONATHAN JOLLEY: I don't know the specific <br> 4 elevations off the top of my head, no. <br> 25 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. And, as I understand also |
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| 1 your testimony, the 12-foot setback is assuming | 1 JONATHAN JOLLEY: So, she asked us to verify that, |
| 2 approval of a parking waiver. Is that right, sir? | 2 and we did. We did survey that, and it will be shown |
| 3 JONATHAN JOLLEY: That is correct. | 3 on the plan. |
| 4 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And as I also understand, you've | 4 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. |
| 5 done no calculations based on a survey with regard to | 5 JONATHAN JOLLEY: And that it will |
| 6 the impact on tree SP10. | 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: On which plan? |
| 7 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Sir, we have -- we have surveyed | 7 JONATHAN JOLLEY: It will be shown on that exhibit |
| 8 that tree. We have calculated the difference. I did | 8 tomorrow that I will prepare. |
| 9 not have that with me when I testified earlier. We | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, |
| 10 will supply an exhibit | 10 JONATHAN JOLLEY: And them ultimately upon the |
| 11 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Well, it's not my review. | 11 final forest conservation plan. |
| 12 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Well, the court -- everyone's | 12 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Part of my problem is that you |
| 13 review. We have calculated tree SP10. It is | 13 say -- and I picked up this -- you said several times |
| 14 approximately -- right now, without having the plan in | 14 during Mr. Kline's questioning that this issue of SP 10 |
| 15 front of me -- it's 13 percent impact on the critical | 15 will be resolved at final tree forestation plan. |
| 16 root zone for that tree. That will be shown on the | 16 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay |
| 17 exhibit for submission, and if you accept it, that be | 17 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Now, the issue and the way you |
| 18 submitted as early as tomorrow. So, you will have a | 18 explained it is the parties to that will be, I guess, |
| 19 document -- | 19 you or someone on behalf of Primrose -- someone from |
| 20 WILLIAM J. CHEN: We'll have it tomorrow? | 20 the staff of Park and Planning Commission -- |
| 21 JONATHAN JOLLEY: You will have a document on the | 21 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct. |
| 22 record -- | 22 WILLIAM J. CHEN: -- any my client, of course |
| 23 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay | 23 How does that work? I mean, suppose my client is not |
| 24 JONATHAN JOLLEY: -- based on the surveyed | 24 happy with what Primrose proposes or what staff |
| 25 location of the tree. | 25 proposes, and we're out beyond the conditional use |
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| 1 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Gotcha. I mean, I just want to | 1 stage. The applicant chose to submit a preliminary |
| 2 know we're going to have it tomorrow. | 2 forest conservation plan. It had an option. It could |
| 3 JONATHAN JOLLEY: You will have a documen | 3 have filed a final but they filed a preliminary. So, |
| 4 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Don't get me wrong, I don't want | 4 as I understand your testimony that the ultimate |
| 5 it next week or two weeks. | 5 decision relative to SP10 is going to be a process for |
| 6 JONATHAN JOLLEY: No, you will have it -- you w | 6 a final that will involve the staff and Primrose. |
| 7 have it tomorrow. | 7 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes, it would be the process of |
| 8 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And you're also testifying that | 8 going from the preliminary through the final and then |
| 9 the limits of disturbance will go to the eastern | 9 discussions with staff. |
| 10 property line and not beyond it. | 10 WILLIAM J. CHEN: But the preliminary that we have |
| 11 JONATHAN JOLLEY: That is correct, sir. That is - | 11 doesn't show that tree. |
| 12 - that is the plan of development and the limits of | 12 JONATHAN JOLLEY: That's correct. Well, it's been |
| 13 disturbance are along the eastern property line. | $13-$ it will -- we can amend that if they want that. |
| 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Now, when did you first learn | 14 They direct -- the direction was to just include it |
| 15 about tree SP10? | 15 through the process of turning preliminary into a |
| 16 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Tree SP10 was -- I don't know | 16 final. |
| 17 the specific date -- but it was obviously after the | 17 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. I just want clarification |
| 18 original -- | 18 on one thing. When you were talking about tree ST2, |
| 19 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yes | 19 remember that tree? It's a 27 -inch Silver Maple, I |
| 20 JONATHAN JOLLEY: -- conduction or inspection. | 20 believe |
| 21 There were discussions with Katherine Nelson. | 21 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah, ST2? |
| 22 Katherine Nelson also coordinated with others in our -- | 22 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yes. |
| 23 our office and it was discovered that that tree was not | 23 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct. |
| 24 correctly depicted. | 24 WILLIAM J. CHEN: You said that what's going to -- |
| 25 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. | 25 is -- that's in the upper northwest corner? |
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| 1 JONATHAN JOLLEY: ST2 is right here, sir. | 1 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And in your testimony -- the |
| 2 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I'm sorry, upper northwest | 2 early part of your testimony, you use one of the other |
| 3 corner of the subject site, right. That one does | 3 exhibits to show all the existing trees and forested |
| 4 have to be removed as I understand it. Is that right? | 4 area that's on the site, and you talked about coming |
| 5 JONATHAN JOLLEY: In my opinion, it does need to | 5 down the eastern side that there were trees in that |
| 6 be removed. | 6 area culminating in the forest area in the further |
| 7 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Oh, | 7 southeast. |
| 8 JONATHAN JOLLEY: And the -- there was on | 8 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah, right. |
| 9 additional -- one additional element that I didn't | 9 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And what's going to happen is |
| 10 mention earlier. Technically, that tree also falls | 10 that all of that's coming down and instead the -- I |
| 11 within the playground area of -- of the proposed use | 11 guess the Primrose will install the landscaping that |
| 12 and that would | 12 you've proposed to go along that eastern side |
| 13 safety standpoint, so I just want to let you know that. | 13 JONATHAN JOLLEY: That is correct, sir. |
| 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yes, and I put -- you said, and | 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: As I understand your testimony - |
| 15 I put it in quotes in my notes that it had to be | 15 - this is probably the end -- the landscaping |
| 16 removed because it was within the playground area and | 16 assignment that you were given by Primrose provided for |
| 17 there's grading and compaction impact. | 17 that 12-foot setback on the eastern side, correct? |
| 18 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Um-hum. | 18 JONATHAN JOLLEY: The site is laid out by the |
| 19 WILLIAM J. CHEN: With it -- with the building as | 19 engineering when they worked with Primrose and provided |
| 20 located and depicted -- | 20 for that dimensional area to work with, that's correct. |
| 21 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Ye | 21 WILLIAM J. CHEN: So, that -- you were not offered |
| 22 WILLIAM J. CHEN: -- that that was going to be | 22 an option with your assignment to utilize a greater |
| 23 required. Is that right? | 23 setback on the eastern side. It was always the 12 -foot |
| 24 JONATHAN JOLLEY: In my opinio | 24 setback. |
| 25 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. So, if there's a different | 25 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I don't know if previous |
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| 1 building with different location, different | 1 iterations had that or not. I don't believe so, but I |
| 2 configuration, it might not have to come down. | 2 can't say if that's a fact. |
| 3 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Potentially. | 3 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yes, I'm only asking you. |
| 4 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I mean, all I'm trying to be | 4 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I cannot -- yeah, I don't |
| 5 clear about it is -- | 5 believe. |
| 6 JONATHAN JOLLEY: As you see that building, that | 6 WILLIAM J. CHEN: From -- from the assignment |
| 7 is correct in my opinion. | 7 given to you as part of the team -- the Maser team -- |
| 8 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah. I mean, as a landscape | 8 the provision for landscaping on the east was within |
| 9 architect, you're working with what Primrose has given | 9 that 12-foot wide area |
| 10 you as the building. | 10 JONATHAN JOLLEY: That is correct. |
| 11 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct. | 11 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. No other widths were |
| 12 WILLIAM J. CHEN: You told us that, correct? | 12 offered to you or alternatives. |
| 13 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct. | 13 JONATHAN JOLLEY: That's correct. |
| 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And similarly, you also, as I | 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: That's all I've got. |
| 15 understand it, when you were talking about the impacts, | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Did I hear you |
|  | 17 opportunity after Mr. Kline to cross on this question - |
|  | 17 opportunity after Mr. Kline to cross on this question - |
| 18 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Because it's located within the | 18 - did I hear you say you haven't designed the 6 -foot |
| 19 driveway parking area, I think your testimony was the | 19 opaque fence yet? |
| 20 inevitable -- "inevitable fact" is that it has to come | 20 JONATHAN JOLLEY: We haven't selected a final -- a |
|  | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So, is it |
| 22 improvements on site. <br> 23 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct. You are essentially | 23 -- so, it's not shown on the landscape plan? |
| 24 constructing a curb -- a curb line right up to the | 24 JONATHAN JOLLEY: No, it's on a specified -- |
| 25 foundation of that tree. |  |
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| 1 could just go purchase tomorrow. That is usually | 1 fence? |
| 2 worked out with staff as you proceed through. The | 2 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Right. |
| 3 remining engineers, they make engineering approvals. | 3 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Ultimately, I assume the county |
| 4 They may have a specific type -- | 4 can -- they can vote and decide to move forward with |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, what -- I | 5 the model that they're satisfactory with. |
| 6 don't think they're -- but engineering? | 6 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Who? I mean, I assume the fence |
| 7 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Not engineering, but ultimately | 7 is going to be on the Primrose property? |
| 8 I may hear -- | 8 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Oh, yeah, of course. |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: There are no | 9 WILLIAM J. CHEN: So, my clients can say you can't |
| 10 subsequent approvals. There's no site plan here | 10 put something on my property. |
| 11 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Well, we have to go -- we have | 11 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Correct. |
| 12 to go through our -- our detailed site plan | 12 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And if my clients look at what |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, you don't. | 13 is being offered and they -- they don't like what's |
| 14 JONATHAN JOLLEY: The package for engineering. | 14 being offered -- |
| 15 Someone's going to have feedback on the fence, you | 15 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Well, we could certainly work |
| 16 know, so ye | 16 with them and select many, many different options to |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I just -- okay. | 17 work and void that problem, in my opinion. |
| 18 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I could select a model right | 18 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Are you aware of one of the |
| 19 now. Is that -- is that a concern? | 19 recommended conditions of approval for -- |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, I'm not | 20 JONATHAN JOLLEY: For the fence? |
| 21 asking you to select a mode | 21 WILLIAM J. CHEN: -- private fencing, yeah? |
| 22 JODY S. KLINE: Well, I'm going | 22 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Can you read it to me? |
| 23 that. | 23 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Sure. It's condition number 5. |
| 24 [Speaking off mic.] | 24 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm finished. | 25 WILLIAM J. CHEN: A fence must be constructed |
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| 1 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Well, I was confused. And thank | 1 along the eastern property line adjacent to the parking |
| 2 you, Madam Examiner. You're saying that at some point | 2 lot and driveway capable of blocking headlight glare. |
| 3 in time -- at least in your prior testimony, Mr. Kline | 3 Prior to the public hearing with the hearing examiner, |
| 4 may have some more to add -- but at this phase after | 4 the design and materials must be approved by Planning |
| 5 today's hearing, after approval when the staff of Park | 5 Department staff. |
| 6 and Planning and Primrose get together and they meet | 6 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. |
| 7 with my clients and Primrose says we're going to put a | 7 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I mean, that's the condition. |
| 8 6-foot fence up, who gets to approve that fence? | 8 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. |
| 9 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Ultimately, the approval is the | 9 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And respectfully, I don't think |
| 10 county for the final construction documents. | 10 we have that information, and Ithink at a minimum, my |
| 11 WILLIAM J. CHEN: You're saying that the -- | 11 clients are entitled to hear about it -- |
| 12 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Are you talking about the legal | 12 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. |
| 13 entity for approval to construct and break ground? | 13 WILLIAM J. CHEN: -- and comment on it now rather |
| 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Don't get me wrong. Suppose my | 14 than waiting to a post-decision process with the staff |
| 15 clients are sitting in a meeting -- | 15 of Park and Planning. |
| 16 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah. | 16 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. |
| 17 WILLIAM J. CHEN: -- with you and Park and | 17 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I mean, I don't know how you |
| 18 Planning Commission staff and my clients say we don't | 18 deal with that, but that's a recommended condition, and |
| 19 like the fence. What happens -- what happens then? | 19 quite frankly, I think what I just went through with |
| 20 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. You're asking for -- | 20 you -- and you were very cooperative and candid -- the |
| 21 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah, what happens -- what | 21 practical consequences is what you've described unless |
| 22 happens? | 22 this condition is satisfied. |
| 23 JONATHAN JOLLEY: If they do not like -- | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you -- is |
| 24 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah, right. | 24 there a question there? |
| 25 JONATHAN JOLLEY: If your client does not like the | 25 WILLIAM J. CHEN: No, I apologize. |
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| 1 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah, we don't -- today as we | 1 JONATHAN JOLLEY: They tend to be very |
| 2 sit here today, we don't have what's -- we do not have | 2 structurally stable and -- |
| 3 the design and materials that had been approved by the | 3 JODY S. KLINE: Is there any type of wood material |
| 4 staff. We can -- now we know, just a second. I can - | 4 you would recommend? |
| 5 just like that, I can supply additional materials if | 5 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I would just go with pressure- |
| 6 you would wish to review during | 6 treated -- you could either go with pressure-treated |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, that's | 7 pine, and that lasts years and years with the |
| 8 to you. | 8 treatments they have today. |
| 9 JODY S. KLINE: When you're ready, I'll - | 9 JODY S. KLINE: And leave it natural or would you |
| 10 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Well, go ahead. Go right ahead. | 10 pain it or what? |
| 11 JODY S. KLINE: Sure. I think part of the | 11 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I would leave it natural to age |
| 12 conversation Mr. Chen pointed out something very | 12 because the paint can peel. |
| 13 accurate. I thought that we had a specification fenc | 13 JODY S. KLINE: Thanks for answering. I'll keep |
| 14 on the landscaping plans. I realize now that that's | 14 going or do you have some more, Bill? |
| 15 not correct. It's the design specification is on the | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I was -- I |
| 16 drawings. | 16 was asking the questions. |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right, I saw | 17 JODY S. KLINE: I'm sorry. |
| 18 that. | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I started that |
| 19 JODY S. KLINE: So, I had been expecting him to | 19 one. |
| 20 say oh, here's sheet such and such. | 20 JODY S. KLINE: Yeah. |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I'm not | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. I'm |
| 22 even sure -- | 22 going to let you, Mr. Kline -- well, we can go to Mr. |
| 23 JODY S. KLINE: Well, | 23 Chen. Do you have any questions on my questions? |
| 24 trying to solve the problem. | 24 WILLIAM J. CHEN: No, we've been through them. |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes, I know. | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
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| 1 I'm sorry. I just -- yeah. I don't -- | 1 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Thank you very much. |
| 2 JODY S. KLINE: Mr. Jolley, if you can tell it's | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Kline, do |
| 3 expected that we provide more specificity than we have | 3 you have any redirect? |
| 4 so far, so I want you to right now describe what the | 4 JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, just some real quick |
| 5 fence is going to look like and then you'll deliver a | 5 questions. Sort of in order the questions came up -- |
| 6 specification of that fence tomorrow. | 6 you were saying that your design was driven by a factor |
| 7 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Okay. As of right now, it is my | 7 of Primrose's sort of program, but there are other |
| 8 desire -- I can -- will provide a specification | 8 factors that determined your landscaping plans, |
| 9 tomorrow for a 6-foot opaque fence. That style fence | 9 correct? |
| 10 will be a board-on-board style fence that is going to | 10 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Of course. |
| 11 provide a direct line of obscurity so that headlight | 11 JODY S. KLINE: Such as? |
| 12 minimum -- the headlights from vehicles are obscured. | 12 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Such as the requirements within |
| 13 That's my goal for the fence primarily. | 13 the ordinance and also just the general character of |
| 14 JODY S. KLINE: And using your hands, graphically | 14 the property. I like to use -- most of the material |
| 15 show the hearing examiner what board-on-board means. | 15 are native -- I think actually all of them are native - |
| 16 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Board-on-board is you have a -- | 16 - and it's intended to enhance not just only the nature |
| 17 you have a central spine of a fence -- imagine two | 17 of the area, but also complement the reforestation area |
| 18 sticks going horizontally in front of me. On each side | 18 in the rear of the property. But, yes, the program |
| 19 of the fence, you have a -- a slat of specified width, | 19 element by Primrose was not the only directive. |
| 20 and that board offset by on the opposite and this side, | 20 JODY S. KLINE: The comment was made that the |
| 21 and then they will alternate each side, so that you | 21 applicant could have filed a final for his conservation |
| 22 cannot have a direct line of sight through them. But, | 22 plan but opted to file a preliminary for his |
| 23 however, it allows a little bit of air movement, which | 23 conservation plan. Is that the proper sequencing of |
| 24 is good. | 24 the review of a forest conservation plan? |
| 25 JODY S. KLINE: Is there any -- | 25 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Preliminary is always first. |
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| 1 JODY S. KLINE: so, under what circumstances could | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Was that a |
| 2 we have directly filed a final forest conservation plan | 2 double negative? Okay. Mr. -- well, are we -- what |
| 3 to be reviewed as part of the conditional use | 3 witness -- is there a -- okay. |
| 4 application, if you know? | 4 JODY S. KLINE: Well, the agreement was we would |
| 5 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I don't believe you can, and I've | 5 recess our -- our having mr Intriago return and go |
| 6 never been through that before | 6 ahead and have Mr. Chen call his landscape architect. |
| 7 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Take a look at the applicatio | 7 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yeah. Can I just have a moment |
| 8 requirements | 8 to find |
| 9 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I mean, it may be possible. | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. Okay. So, |
| 10 I've never experienced that. | 10 are we having the -- your civil engineer come back or |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It's, you know, | 11 are we having Mr. Chen's landscape architect? |
| 12 that's a legal issue th | 12 JODY S. KLINE: I thought that was the |
| 13 extent you can. | 13 arrangement. |
| 14 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yeah, okay. | 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yes, Vince Berg, I apologize. |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But the code has | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, okay. So, |
| 16 the requ | 16 please raise your right hand -- you're ahead of me -- |
| 17 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. There has been testimony | 17 do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury that |
| 18 from Mr. Wolford, I'm sure, and Mr. Intriago | 18 the statements you're about to make are the truth, the |
| 19 there had been iterations of the design. So, were you | 19 whole truth, and nothing but the truth? |
| 20 asked to | 20 VINCENT BERG: I do. |
| 21 forest conservation would be provided for each of the | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you. Go |
| 22 iterations | 22 ahead, Mr. Chen. |
| 23 JONATHAN JOLLEY: Yes. We have looked with the | 23 WILLIAM J. CHEN: All right. Mr. Berg, would you |
| 24 other desig | 24 please give us your full name and address? |
| 25 We did look at them -- I did look at them for the | 25 VINCENT BERG: Close enough now? Is that good? |
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| 1 purpose of forest conservation area as well. I didn't | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Just a second. |
| 2 detail design every specific conceptual layout to be | 2 He has to get his phone. |
| 3 compatible with the ordinance, but I did have input on | 3 VINCENT BERG: Full name Vincent H. Berg, civil |
| 4 hey, where are we going to put the forest that's | 4 engineer consultant, professional engineer. Address, |
| 5 required on the property. | 5204 Halpine Walk Court, Rockville, Maryland 20851. |
| 6 JODY S. KLINE: And there's a question about the | 6 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Mr. Berg, if I may, let me show |
| 7 trees along the east side of the property | 7 you what's been marked as Exhibit 115-C. Can you |
| 8 existing trees along the east side of the property | 8 identify what this is, sir? |
| 9 What is the quality of that -- that tree line, and what | 9 VINCENT BERG: This is -- this is my resumé. |
| 10 will be the quality after the placement? | 10 JODY S. KLINE: Oh, okay. |
| 11 JONATHAN JOLLEY: I mean generally, the character | 11 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Madam Examiner, you've got a |
| 12 of the trees along the eastern property line, they | 12 copy of this. |
| 13 in good condition. There wasn't anything of majo | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. |
| 14 concern from a safety factor on the trees that we need | 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Mr. Berg, could you please give |
| 15 to remove or we're removing. And then, we will be | 15 the Examiner fairly briefly but expansively your career |
| 16 protecting them along the LOD and supplying new | 16 and your background, sir? |
| 17 landscaping along the eastern property line, which | 17 VINCENT BERG: I have a 45-year career -- 46 years |
| 18 be young and healthy and preserved and enhance it in | 18 actually -- starting off with Montgomery County |
| 19 the long run. | 19 Department of Transportation for several years in their |
| 20 JODY S. KLINE: Thank you. I have no further | 20 design, and then with the Montgomery County Department |
| 21 questions of Mr. Jolley. | 21 of Environmental Protection in their stormwater and |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. Mr. | 22 sediment control section reviewing and approving all of |
| 23 Chen, did you already not need a question based on my | 23 the development plans here in the county. Then, I went |
| 24 question? | 24 to Park and Planning for a year and reviewed and did |
| 25 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I'm satisfied. | 25 planning with the Park and Planning Commission. Then, |
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| 1 I was appointed State Director of Sediment and | 1 JODY S. KLINE: You do not. Well, that sort of |
| 2 Stormwater for the Maryland Department of the | 2 gets me to the point where I find it hard to believe he |
| 3 Environment and that lasted about four years -- four a | 3 can be an expert to critique testimony on forest |
| 4 a half years. Then, I began my own consulting | 4 conservation plan if he does not have the credentials |
| 5 businesses and I did Berg Engineering where I did | 5 to prepare and certify one himself. So, I have no |
| 6 consulting work, and then I began a company calle | 6 problem with Mr. Berg's expertise in stormwater |
| 7 Forestry and Conservation for the last 25 years where | 7 management-related areas, but I don't believe that he's |
| 8 we developed offsite forest mitigation projects, over | 8 qualified to critique the forest conservation, at least |
| 9650 acres of mitigation, for mostly Montgomery County | 9 as an expert, let me put it that way. |
| 10 but for other counties as well. And then, I also had a | 10 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Mr. Berg, my questions don't go |
| 11 stormwater management consulting business that I did | 11 to plan of forest preservation, it goes to forestation |
| 12 also as part of my independent consulting business. | 12 and trees and in that area, as I understand, you have |
| 13 WILLIAM J. CHEN: You've testified as an expert | 13 been recognized as an expert. Is that right? |
| 14 witness. Isn't that right, sir? | 14 VINCENT BERG: I have quite a bit of experience |
| 15 VINCENT BERG: Yes, in courts and in the Board | 1525 years of experience creating forest mitigation |
| 16 Appeals. | 16 banks, also was on the Forestry Board here for the |
| 17 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And how do you generally inform | 17 county for a number of years. So, I've had quite a bit |
| 18 the examiner your areas of expertise that you've been | 18 of experience and also with Park and Planning, we had |
| 19 recognized at? | 19 -- forest conservation was part of the issue. It was |
| 20 VINCENT BERG: Stormwater, sediment control, and | 20 beginning at that time. |
| 21 forestry. | 21 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Now, in this particular case, we |
| 22 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. Madam Examiner, Mr. Kline | 22 have a landscape plan that involves a proposal for |
| 23 can examine him. I offer Mr. Berg as an expert witness | 23 different types of vegetation that would be planted |
| 24 in the areas he's identified. | 24 pursuant to an approval for conditional use. Is that |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you have any | 25 right? |
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| 1 objection, Mr. Kline? | 1 VINCENT BERG: Yes, correct. |
| 2 JODY S. KLINE: Have you ever been qualified as an | 2 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. And I've asked you to |
| 3 expert in -- as a landscape architect before the | 3 review those types of plans. Isn't that correct? |
| 4 hearing examiner? | 4 VINCENT BERG: Yes. |
| 5 VINCENT BERG: No. | 5 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. And it's those types of |
| 6 JODY S. KLINE: When you were qualified as an | 6 plans that you are prepared to offer your comments and |
| 7 expert in forestry, what was the -- what was the | 7 opinions. Is that right? |
| 8 subject of the testimony that -- what was the subject | 8 VINCENT BERG: Correct. |
| 9 of testimony? | 9 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. Have I asked you to |
| 10 VINCENT BERG: That would have been in | 10 review or to offer any opinions about a preliminary |
| 11 relationship to sediment control, stormwater | 11 forest conservation plan which is a totally different |
| 12 management, and planning. | 12 exhibit? |
| 13 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. You are here to critique | 13 VINCENT BERG: No. |
| 14 Mr . Jolley's testimony on the forest conservation plan | 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. |
| 15 and landscaping plan? | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm going to |
| 16 VINCENT BERG: I don't know if that's true or not | 16 accept him as an expert in -- what are you proferring |
| 17 true. | 17 him as, an expert in forestry? |
| 18 JODY S. KLINE: Well, Mr. Chen is going to ask you | 18 WILLIAM J. CHEN: In forestry and stormwater |
| 19 some questions, and presumably they're going to be in | 19 management, yes. |
| 20 response to what Mr. Jolley has been saying, and that's | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'll -- I'll |
| 21 what you'll be talking about? | 21 accept him as an expert in forestry. |
| 22 VINCENT BERG: Yes. | 22 JODY S. KLINE: I'm okay with those areas, yeah. |
| 23 JODY S. KLINE: Do you have the qualifications to | 23 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. We should have had a |
| 24 prepare a forest conservation plan yourself? | 24 conversation about that too. Mr. -- Mr. Berg, you've |
| 25 VINCENT BERG: I do not. | 25 conducted a site visit on this property. Isn't that |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 right, sir? | 1 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. |
| 2 VINCENT BERG: Yes. | 2 VINCENT BERG: But she, very specifically, asked |
| 3 WILLIAM J. CHEN: In fact, you've also been onsite | 3 that SP9 not be removed because it was a significant |
| 4 with staff of the Maryland National Capital Park and | 4 tree that should be preserved. |
| 5 Planning Commission. | 5 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I -- I take it from what you've |
| 6 VINCENT BERG: They were at the same site visit, | 6 just said that apparently you do agree with the report |
| 7 yes. | 7 done by Ms. Nelson. |
| 8 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And you reviewed the application | 8 VINCENT BERG: Yes, and then I think some of the |
| 9 documents that have been filed in this case by Primrose | 9 other trees also should be -- the significant trees |
| 10 as previously identified. | 10 should be preserved also. |
| 11 VINCENT BERG: Yes. | 11 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Fine. |
| 12 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. Have you reviewed the | 12 JODY S. KLINE: Ms. Robeson, I guess I'mjust |
| 13 Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commiss | 13 going to have a register a complaint because the report |
| 14 staff report including the report of its environmental | 14 that we're talking about is on the forest conservation |
| 15 planner? | 15 plan. It is not the technical staff report of the |
| 16 VINCENT BERG: Yes. | 16 conditional use application. And I think that this is |
| 17 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Do you agree with that report? | 17 getting into an area of is this -- why -- well, it's |
| 18 VINCENT BERG: I agree with the planner's | 18 should this tree be saved or not, and I just think |
| 19 statements in the report, which specifically ask for | 19 that's dealing with the waiver issue -- |
| $20 \mathrm{SP9}$ not to be removed. | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I'm not |
| 21 JODY S. KLINE: Objection. I mean, I think this | 21 sure 100 percent where he's going with it. What I'd |
| 22 is the very thing I just said that he wasn't qualified | 22 like to do is just -- we're not going -- I've made it |
| 23 to be testifying about. | 23 clear we're not going to relitigate the variance or |
| 24 WILLIAM J. CHEN: He's talking about a tree. | 24 whatever -- we're not relitigating the PFCP. Now, I'm |
| 25 That's all he's talking about. | 25 going to give him some leeway to tie it to the |
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| 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Well, | 1 conditional use. |
| 2 which report are you -- are you talking about the PFCP | 2 WILLIAM J. CHEN: That's exactly where I'm going. |
| 3 staff report? | 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So, but |
| 4 WILLIAM J. CHEN: There -- there is a Park and | 4 we're not relitigating the PFCP. |
| 5 Planning Commission staff report, yes. But there's | 5 WILLIAM J. CHEN: No, no. But, as I said earlier |
| 6 also -- | 6 today, there's parts of the preliminary forest |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So, the PFCP? | 7 conservation plan that involve trees, and where they |
| 8 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yes, and there's also an | 8 are and what's going to happen to them. |
| 9 environmental staff report that is not limited to the | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, if you can |
| 10 overall preliminary forest conservation plan. That | 10 tie to the criteria -- his evidence to the criteria fo |
| 11 report deals with trees and removal of trees. | 11 a conditional use as opposed to the PFCP, then I'd be |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I will | 12 interested in hearing it. |
| 13 accept it if you keep it away from the criteria for | 13 WILLIAM J. CHEN: That's fine and let me back up |
| 14 approval of the PFCP | 14 on that. You've read the staff report prepared by |
| 15 WILLIAM J. CHEN: We're not even going ther | 15 Katherine Nelson. Is that right? |
| 16 That -- we're not in that area. | 16 VINCENT BERG: Correct. |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 17 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And that report is part of the |
| 18 JODY S. KLINE: So, then I guess you need to | 18 material that has been submitted in support of this |
| 19 repeat the question so I can catch up. | 19 conditional use. Is that your understanding? |
| 20 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. Well, the question was, | 20 VINCENT BERG: That's my understanding. |
| 21 do you agree with the report that was submitted by th | 21 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. And that is the report |
| 22 environmental planner, Ms. Nelson? | 22 that I'm asking your opinion and your comments on. I'm |
| 23 VINCENT BERG: The report that Ms. Nelson wrote | 23 not asking them for any of the standards for a |
| 24 had several specific things she recommended that the | 24 preliminary forest conservation plan. I'm asking about |
| 25 planning board did not accept. | 25 the report that deals with trees and tree removals. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 1 them with. |
| 2 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Sir, please explain to the | 2 VINCENT BERG: Right. They're going to remove |
| 3 Hearing Examiner your opinions and evaluation of that | 3 most of the eastern forest that's on the site now. |
| 4 staff report. Don't go beyond it and stick with trees. | 4 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. And you heard him |
| 5 VINCENT BERG: Katherine Nelson has been there a | 5 describe what the replacement is going to be. Isn't |
| 6 long time. I've known her a long time. We've worked | 6 that right? |
| 7 on many projects together. She had specific | 7 VINCENT BERG: Yes. |
| 8 recommendations in the report that she recommended, and | 8 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. And how long -- if you |
| 9 I concur with her on those recommendations. | 9 have an opinion -- based upon your experience with |
| 10 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Stick with those for the time | 10 forest, afforestation, reforestation, you've testified |
| 11 being. Please explain to the Hearing Examiner those | 11 a lot in 25 years -- do you have opinion as to how long |
| 12 that you concur. | 12 it will take what they are proposing to plan to become |
| 13 VINCENT BERG: She very specifically said SP9 | 13 a forested or treed area that would provide the type of |
| 14 should not be removed and I agree with her. | 14 buffer that exists there today? |
| 15 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Why? | 15 VINCENT BERG: The trees that are going to be |
| 16 VINCENT BERG: It's a significant tree. It's a | 16 planted along the eastern boundary. |
| 17 large -- it's right on the property line -- almost on | 17 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Yes. |
| 18 the property line, it's very close to it -- and it will | 18 VINCENT BERG: Those trees are going to be -- |
| 19 have significant impact to the neighboring property if | 19 they're supposed to be 3-inches in diameter at breast |
| 20 it's removed. | 20 height, but some of the plans show them as $1-1 / 2$ to 3 |
| 21 WILLIAM J. CHEN: In what regard? | 21 inches. So, they should be a minimum of 3 inches and |
| 22 VINCENT BERG: Visual impact, screening, | 22 they will take a while to grow out. It'll be slow for |
| 23 landscaping, it will change the character of the | 23 the first 2-3 years, like because they've been dug up |
| 24 neighborhood and the neighbor property. | 24 and replanted, so they're going to be slow to get |
| 25 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. Now, if I may, you've | 25 established. And then it'll take probably a full 20 |
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| 1 also reviewed Mr. Jolley's landscape plan. Is that | 1 years to really fully canopy out to their full canopy. |
| 2 right, sir? | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm sorry, how |
| 3 VINCENT BERG: I looked at it, yes. | 3 many years? |
| 4 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. And do you agree with the | 4 VINCENT BERG: Twenty. |
| 5 provisions that that plan calls for for the development | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Twenty. |
| 6 of the conditional use? | 6 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Can you -- you also reviewed the |
| 7 VINCENT BERG: Correct. | 7 plans for the storm drain system. Isn't that right, |
| 8 WILLIAM J. CHEN: You've looked at it? | 8 sir? |
| 9 VINCENT BERG: Yes. | 9 VINCENT BERG: Yes. |
| 10 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. Do you agree with what | 10 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Where does that connect to |
| 11 that plan is proposing to do for this site for the | 11 offsite? Where does it go? |
| 12 conditional use? | 12 VINCENT BERG: It connects downstream below the |
| 13 VINCENT BERG: I don't want to comment on the | 13 property, through the existing subdivision. It's |
| 14 landscaping because I'm not the landscape architect, | 14 approximately 1,100 feet of new -- new and replacement |
| 15 but that wouldn't be the way I would have preferred to | 15 storm drains. |
| 16 see the site laid out. | 16 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Can you explain to the Hearing |
| 17 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Looking at the site as it exists | 17 Examiner how that system that's being proposed may or |
| 18 today -- and you've seen it, you've conducted a site | 18 may not have an impact on Crabbs Branch Creek? |
| 19 visit -- how does -- do you have an opinion as to | 19 VINCENT BERG: I can. |
| 20 whether or not that landscape plan in any way is not | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you explain |
| 21 consistent with the existing conditions on the site? | 21 the drainage? I wasn't following you. When you said |
| 22 VINCENT BERG: Did he represent all of the things | 22 it crosses the subdivision, are you talking this lot or |
| 23 correctly? I believe so. | 23 are you talking the subdivision to the south? |
| 24 WILLIAM J. CHEN: No. No, I'm saying compared to | 24 JODY S. KLINE: May I make a suggestion? |
| 25 what's there today and what they're going to replace | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| JODY S. KLINE: There is an exhibit -- I | 1 that's failed and it's now a gully. |
| gratuitously have one here that's a much larger area. | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank you |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 3 for that. |
| JODY S. KLINE: It might give Mr. Berg a little | 4 VINCENT BERG: Impacts to Crabbs Branch will |
| bit better -- | 5 include water quality, increased erosion along Crabbs |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I think it's | 6 Branch, change in temperature down Crabbs Branch, which |
| 7 Exhibit 149 I ended up putting up there with the other | 7 is a class 4 stream. Class 4 streams are basically put |
| 8 one. | 8 and take trout streams. I built the large Crabbs |
| JODY S. KLINE: I believe this was marked as an | 9 Branch facility upstream, which has kept the stream in |
| 10 exhibit at the first hearing -- this is 149 . | 10 pretty good condition until recently. It used to be in |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you just want | 11 very good condition going downstream toward Rock -- |
| 12 to hand 148 back to me, because that's my exhibit. | 12 main stem of Rock Creek, but in recent years, that |
| 13 JODY S. KLINE: I wasn't sure if you were finished | 13 quality has turned from good to not so good to poor. |
| 14 with it. | 14 So, it's gotten worse over the last several years, and |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Well, | 15 I have to account that just to development in the area. |
| 16 just leave it up there for the time being. | 16 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Now how is this proposal going |
| 17 JODY S. KLINE: And do you want me to rearrange | 17 to have any impact on what the conditions you've just |
| 18 this? | 18 described? |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes, if you | 19 VINCENT BERG: Well, this will continue that |
| 20 don't mind. Thank you. | 20 exacerbation of water quality within the Crabbs Branch |
| 21 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And maybe Mr. Kline may be | 21 stream system. |
| 22 using that exhibit and 93-G. | 22 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Does 93-G give the -- the amount |
| 23 [Shuffling through exhibits and speaking off mic.] | 23 of new -- excuse me. As I understand, 93-G is showing |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I have to ask | 24 new piping. Is that right, sir? |
| 25 someone to angle it. | 25 VINCENT BERG: It's about 1,100 feet -- a little |
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| WILLIAM J. CHEN: I'll take care of it. | 1 bit more than 1,100 feet. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: We will actually | 2 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. And does this show the -- |
| hopefully have screens. Now, can you push it a little | 3 I guess no. This is -- this is a public storm drain. |
| 4 toward me and can you see it, Mr. Berg? | 4 Is that right? |
| VINCENT BERG: Just barely. | 5 VINCENT BERG: Public storm drain to public |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you not need | 6 standards, yes. DOT standards. |
|  | 7 WILLIAM J. CHEN: So, for what essentially in |
| VINCENT BERG: I don't -- | 8 layman's description is they're taking the -- the |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, you have the | 9 stormwater rain -- the rain stormwater runoff from this |
| 10 little one. Okay, 93-G. All right. Thank you. | 10 site after development and they're adding it into this |
| 11 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I believe the question was -- | 11 piping, which feeds other communities or other |
| 12 the Examiner's question was asking Mr. Berg -- | 12 subdivisions, taking it down to the Crabbs Branch. |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. | 13 VINCENT BERG: Right. Even though they are |
| 14 WILLIAM J. CHEN: -- to edify her on where the -- | 14 providing stormwater management controls -- |
| 15 the storm drain system is going and describe it. I | 15 WILLIAM J. CHEN: They are onsite, right? |
| 16 think that was your question essentially. | 16 VINCENT BERG: Onsite. They are not providing the |
| 17 VINCENT BERG: Based on 93-G, you can see that it | 17 quantity controls, 2 or 5 or 10-year storms. Drains |
| 18 connects from -- it looks like it's Needwood, Carnegie | 18 are designed for 10-year storms. So, all the high-flow |
| 19 -- Carnegie down -- down Carnegie, down through | 19 intense storms will go off the site and off the |
| 20 Ottenbrook Terrace. | 20 watershed and be collected by the storm drain system |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I see it. | 21 and because they did not have adequate capacity, which |
| 22 VINCENT BERG: Then, back through the backyards of | 22 were originally designed based on master plan zoning |
| 23 several homes and then to an outfall, which then | 23 for the area. So, that would be mostly the 1-acre and |
| 24 discharges, which had been a level spreader, which was | 24 whatever smaller lots in that sub-watershed are there. |
| 25 supposed to sheet flow it down to Crabbs Branch, but | 25 Since this will change that proposed land use from the |
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| residential to something more intense, the size of the | 1 VINCENT BERG: They're only going to be doing |
| pipes was not adequate, and that's why all these -- all | 2 water quality and small storms, less than a 1-year |
| this piping was needed. | 3 storm. |
| WILLIAM J. CHEN: It's replacement piping for this | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That's what I |
| type of use. | 5 couldn't remember, okay. |
| VINCENT BERG: It's replacement -- some | 6 VINCENT BERG: The 1-year storm. |
| replacement and some new. | 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So, it's a 1- |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now, okay. | 8 year storm. Okay. So, then let me ask you. With -- |
| WILLIAM J. CHEN: Did this come within what would | 9 with the -- so, you're saying they're going to put or |
| be called a major infrastructure improvement? | 10 they're going to contribute to a project. Okay, you |
| VINCENT BERG: This is going to be, I would | 11 don't know. |
| estimate, at least \$200,000 worth of improvements here. | 12 VINCENT BERG: I don't know how it's going to get |
| WILLIAM J. CHEN: and that's a major | 13 split. |
| rastructure improvement? | 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. If they |
| VINCENT BERG: Oh yeah, that's a big project, and | 15 did this project -- |
| it's going to be deep. Some of these pips are going to | 16 VINCENT BERG: Yes. |
| be $8,9,10$ feet in the ground. | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- if somebody |
| WILLIAM J. CHEN: I have no further questions. | 18 does it. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I do. Are | 19 VINCENT BERG: Yes. |
| you saying that the applicant is going to pay for a | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Would |
| major construction project to these pipes, or are you | 21 water -- is water quality still going to -- |
| saying that's what needs to be done to get these pipes | 22 VINCENT BERG: Be installed? Yes. |
| working again? | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, no. Is it |
| VINCENT BERG: I don't know who's paying for it. | 24 still doing to degrade Crabbs Branch Creek -- sorry, |
| I -- I'm assuming the applicant. | 25 yes -- if they do this whole project? |
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| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, who's -- | 1 VINCENT BERG: Yeah. This is carrying the larger |
| who's proposing it? | 2 flows. |
| VINCENT BERG: The applicant. | 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: The applicant. | 4 VINCENT BERG: Only the small flows are going to |
| Oh, but you don't know if it's a public works project. | 5 be treated. Larger flows -- the ones that we see in |
| VINCENT BERG: I -- they have a program or they | 6 the streets -- |
| used to have a program to pay part with public funds | 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Outfall to this. |
| and part with private funds. | 8 VINCENT BERG: Yes. They'll discharge to this |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 9 system. So, if you have a 5-year storm or a 10-year |
| VINCENT BERG: They used to have a special capital | 10 storm -- |
| program that would share. | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But my -- my |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But according to | 12 question is, assume this system -- what's shown on here |
| you, that's a partial -- that's not -- they're not | 13 is entirely replaced tomorrow. |
| mpleting the entire program -- the entire | 14 VINCENT BERG: Um-hum. |
| improvement? | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What would |
| VINCENT BERG: They're going to do all 1,100 feet, | 16 happen to the water in Crabbs Branch Creek? Is it |
| yes. It all needs to be -- | 17 going to be better? Is it going to be worse? |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That's shown on | 18 VINCENT BERG: Storm -- |
| his 93-G? | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: With this |
| VINCENT BERG: Yes. | 20 improvement on it. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Onsite | 21 VINCENT BERG: Storm -- storm drains in general |
| orm water. | 22 always make things worse. |
| VINCENT BERG: Yes. | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Treats -- what | 24 VINCENT BERG: Unless there's an awful lot of |
| does it treat to now in terms of storm? | 25 sedimentation going on and you can prevent that by |
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| 1 having storm drains. | 1 building -- I don't know, I'd have to look at it |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 2 closely. But it could avoid and then come back onto a |
| 3 VINCENT BERG: If you had like a gully and then | 3 loop. You will need a lot of traffic control people |
| 4 you put a storm drain in, that could improve it. But | 4 out there during school hours, no question about that. |
| 5 if you normally have sheet flow and you're putting a | 5 You might even have to have a one-way street for part |
| 6 storm drain in and all the water is going into storm | 6 of this operation. |
| 7 drains and inlets, and the outfalls very quickly at the | 7 WILLIAM J. CHEN: But essentially, the building |
| 8 outlet, that's going to erode the area arou | 8 would have to change. |
| 9 it's going to increase the peaks within the major | 9 VINCENT BERG: Yes. Something would have to and |
| 10 stream-- this one being Crabb's Branch. | 10 playgrounds may have to change, the building may have |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I got | 11 to change. |
| 12 you. All right. Sorry, I just want to be clear. | 12 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I have no further questions. |
| 13 WILLIAM J. CHEN: And in this case, the new flow | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Mr Kline. |
| 14 is coming froma non-residential use. Isn't that | 14 JODY S. KLINE: Just a couple quick ones. So, all |
| 15 right? | 15 of your reference to Katherine Nelson's report and your |
| 16 VINCENT BERG: Correct. | 16 concurrence with what her recommendations were, that |
| 17 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Actually, Madam Examiner. | 17 deals with the forest conservation plan not the |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Um-hum. | 18 conditional use application, correct? |
| 19 WILLIAM J. CHEN: There's a question that I forgot | 19 VINCENT BERG: The condition use report that they |
| 20 to ask unrelated to storm water that I forgot to ask | $20-$ - that was brought before the planning board. |
| 21 Mr . Berg. | 21 JODY S. KLINE: So, I'm sitting her looking at the |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, it's still | 22 conditional use report right now, and when it talks |
| 23 your direct. Yeah, I just had a question, so I got in | 23 about environment, there is nothing about what you just |
| 24 the middle. | 24 said. So, Ms. Nelson's comments must be somewhere |
| 25 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I know. You took over my | 25 else. |
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| 1 question. | 1 VINCENT BERG: I'm pretty sure it was that report, |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I did. | 2 but. |
| 3 WILLIAM J. CHEN: I understand. Mr. Berg, you | 3 JODY S. KLINE: If you've got a page that would |
| 4 were present during the testimony this morning relative | 4 help. |
| 5 to -- from both I think the engineer and Mr. Jolley | 5 VINCENT BERG: I have it here somewhere, so let me |
| 6 about the access onto the subject property and the line | 6 just -- yeah, it's the report fromNovember 7th. It |
| 7 of trees on the eastern boundary. Is that right? | 7 says condition -- forest conservation plan preliminary, |
| 8 VINCENT BERG: Correct. | 8 Primrose School. |
| 9 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay. You know, dealing with | 9 JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, you answered my question. |
| 10 those trees again, the testimony that the applicant has | 10 It's on the forest conservation plan not on the |
| 11 offered is that by having access on the eastern side | 11 conditional use application. |
| 12 with the driveway on the eastern side of the boundary, | 12 VINCENT BERG: Okay. All right. |
| 13 there is going to be removal of trees and then | 13 JODY S. KLINE: Agreed? |
| 14 replacement with the trees that you've described. Is | 14 VINCENT BERG: That -- that's the one I read, yes. |
| 15 there a way that that access can be provided on site | 15 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. |
| 16 without having to remove those forested areas on the | 16 VINCENT BERG: I don't have -- is that the Nelson |
| 17 eastern boundary? | 17 report? |
| 18 VINCENT BERG: The answer is yes, but you're going | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. |
| 19 to have to change the layout of the site. | 19 VINCENT BERG: Okay, okay. December -- |
| 20 WILLIAM J. CHEN: In what way, sir? | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, it's the |
| 21 VINCENT BERG: Well, you've got a point for sight | 21 attachment. |
| 22 distance that you have to hit, which is at the end | 22 VINCENT BERG: 11/7/19, title Primrose School, |
| 23 right there at Needwood. A road could come around and | 23 preliminary forest conservation plan, CU 2018-08. |
| 24 avoid the trees. You'll have to move the building -- | 24 JODY S. KLINE: Yeah. |
| 25 the orientation and the size potentially of the | 25 VINCENT BERG: That's the report I read. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 JODY S. KLINE: Which I think we concluded this | 1 questions about the storm drains, I'm going to reserve |
| 2 morning is not in the record, right? | 2 for Mr. Intriago because it's really a civil |
| 3 [Simultaneous speakers.] | 3 engineering issue rather than a landscape issue. |
| 4 JODY S. KLINE: It's an attachment. Okay, okay. | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 5 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Madam Examiner, this is the | 5 WILLIAM J. CHEN: May Mr. Berg be excused? |
| 6 document -- one of the documents that we did talk about | 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, this is |
| 7 this morning that both Mr. Kline and I are not sure is | 7 his -- your chance to cross examination |
| 8 in the record. | 8 JODY S. KLINE: That was |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I have the | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. All |
| 10 attachment B, but then attachment B, which is, I think, | 10 right. I'm just making sure we're all -- |
| 11 supposed to cover -- hold on one second. Attachment B | 11 VINCENT BERG: I'm not coming back. |
| 12 says forest -- it's entitled forest conservation plan | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What, we didn't |
| 13 recommendations | 13 feed you enough or something? |
| 14 JODY S. KLINE: That -- that sounds right | 14 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: There's some snacks |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And -- | 15 the back. |
| 16 JODY S. KLINE: But you don't have the plan, | 16 WILLIAM J. CHEN: He's leaving town. |
| 17 right? | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. All |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But there's an - | 18 right. Are you finished? Do you have any redirect? |
| 19 - I don't have a plan and there is a summary of th | 19 WILLIAM J. CHEN: No, ma'am. |
| 20 variance requests, but there are two additional | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank |
| 21 attachments which are the PF-4 conservation plan itself | 21 you, Mr. Berg, you're excused. |
| 22 and the tree variance request letter. And those | 22 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Can we take a quick break |
| 23 in my records | 23 JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, good |
| 24 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. Mr. Berg, were you present | 24 WILLIAM J. CHEN: -- to get these exhibits |
| 25 when the planning board reviewed the conditional use | 25 straightened out? |
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| 1 application and its report and the forest conservation | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. |
| 2 plan and its report? | 2 [Off the record at 3:13 p.m.] |
| 3 VINCENT BERG: I was not at the -- those hearings. | 3 [On the record at 3:30 p.m.[ |
| 4 JODY S. KLINE: I believe you do know what | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 5 happened though. | 5 understand that we have some people that have come in |
| 6 VINCENT BERG: I understand what happened. | 6 to testify. Is that correct? That wish to testify? |
| 7 JODY S. KLINE: Could you explain to the Hearing | 7 If you wish to testify, can you raise your hand? One, |
| 8 Examiner what happened? | 8 two, three, four. This is -- okay. This is what I'm |
| 9 VINCENT BERG: Ms. Nelson objected to the removal | 9 going to try to do. We can only go until 5 because I |
| 10 of SP9 and then the planning board over her objection | 10 don't have a court reporter after 5. So, we're going - |
| 11 voted to approve the plan. | 11 - we're going to try to get you on at quarter after 4. |
| 12 JODY S. KLINE: And are you aware of any other | 12 Let me just say that we don't have the capability of |
| 13 presentation by staff explaining why they thought Ms. | 13 taking just walk-in -- we do have the capability take |
| 14 Nelson's decision was not the staff position? | 14 walk-in people, but it disrupts the order of hearing |
| 15 VINCENT BERG: I don't know of any other | 15 because we're in the middle of cross examination of |
| 16 discussion. There may have been but I don't know about | 16 expert witnesses. To the extent you can, I'm asking |
| 17 it . | 17 the community if they wish, that you can come for |
| 18 JODY S. KLINE: I understand. Fine, thank you. | 18 testimony, but let us know in advance so that we can |
| 19 And I guess the last question I would say is if the | 19 make sure we can accommodate you on a particular day. |
| 20 trees we were to plant are 2-1/2-inch caliper BBH , the | 20 So , today I have no choice but to cut off at 5 . |
| 21 heights that Mr. Jolley mentioned at installation, | 21 The other thing is that while I have the ability |
| 22 those were reasonable and accurate. Would you agree? | 22 so that we can get through this hearing, which is |
| 23 VINCENT BERG: Yeah. Yes. At installation, yes, | 23 already expected to go through Tuesday, I can exclude |
| 24 those are all pretty accurate, yes. | 24 redundant testimony. In other words, if somebody's |
| 25 JODY S. KLINE: I have no further questions. The | 25 already made your point, I -- there's no need to make |

1 it again. We have the transcript -- we put the
2 transcripts of all our hearings on the website. There
3 is one up there from November 22nd with everybody's
4 testimony about traffic -- a lot of the concern was
5 traffic. So, we want to hear from you, but I also --
6 part of my job is to get through all the testimony we
7 need to make a decision in the case. So, to the extent
possible, if you talk to people that want to testify,
please tell us so we can notify the attorneys in
10 advance and we can plan our witnesses in advance. All
11 right? That would make things much easier.
$12 \quad$ Now, with that, Mr. Chen, do you -- you want -- we
13 have the engineer --
$14 \quad$ JODY S. KLINE: Continuance.
15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- continuance,
16 okay. We're going to continue with the expert in
17 engineering until 4:15. I will let those four who
18 raised their hand come in and testify. Please let us
19 know beforehand in the future when you're able to come
20 so we can work with the parties to get through the
21 hearing more quickly. Thanks. Okay, Mr. Intriago.
22 And please, you know, look at what people have
23 already testified to on the web. This transcript won't
24 be out by the time we finish our hearing, but you can
25 definitely see the transcript from November 22nd. All
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right, Mr. Intriago, you're still under oath.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, ma'am.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. Go ahead.

JODY S. KLINE: Mr. Intriago, we kind of left you
at a point where I was, as I said, having you describe
the lay of the land and with that testimony as
foundation and to some extent folding in Mr. Jolley's
comments, I'd like you just to kind of explain as you
looked as the civil engineer of the property, what the
land was telling you how it should be developed -- how
you should organize the different functions on the
property. So, could you please explain using whatever
exhibit -- tell us what exhibit you're going to use.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Well, I am going to use your
-- the last rendered plan. I also would like to use
the exhibit, I think, Mr. Kline, tell me if this is in
the record. The one that you prepared that showed the
area where the parking is along the front -- the
rendering that you put together.
JODY S. KLINE: Well, we'll use it later.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So, we're going
back to 148, I believe, which is on the back -- unroll
that and you'll -- no, no.

JODY S. KLINE: Would you make sure that that's angled enough.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can I -- I can't
see it. Can you -- thank you.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: All right. Okay? HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can the audience
see it?

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: I will try to stay out of the way. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: All right. So, this site plan -- this conditional use plan that we have in front of us which is Exhibit -HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: 148. EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: -- 148, it's the process of
many different iterations that we went through on this
project. I've been involved with this project since
2017 in which we've had many different meetings,
concepts, layouts, that we have, you know, now that we
have come to this. So, first of all, one of the things
I would like to talk about was the -- let me start from
the north. Starting from the north, at one point, we
have -- let's talk about the access. So, we had many
multiple discussions about the location of the access -

- where it should be, where it shouldn't be, where it's
safe to meet all the standards. So, basically, one of
the iterations that was the one that we did back in
January of 2018 that we presented, that was the comment
that Mr. Kline submitted to the record for the date
that Mr. Chen, you were asking for the date. The date
of that -- those comments was January 25, 2018.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: Thank you.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: So, um --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That was the comments on the conceptual plan?

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: That is correct.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: The DOT
comments?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Concept plan. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: 183. EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: That is correct. WILLIAM J. CHEN: Those were the notes that -those were the DOT notes?

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: All right, January --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What was the date?

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: January 25th, and the
submission, I think, if I recall correctly, is January 4th of ' 18 was when we formally submitted the -- the conceptual plan. It's an online submission in which you put the existing conditions, the concept plan, and a couple other documents as justification by Mr. Kline that he put together and back in January of 2018, that's when we submitted it. Two weeks later, we got comments from all the -- all the parties involved, Fire, DPS, including MC DOT, which is the letter that 10 you're referring to. Unfortunately, he never put a date on the actual document. But I have on my records a reference that we received that on the 25 th of January of 2018.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: That's this document? EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. That document right there. If you --

WILLIAM J. CHEN: Just for the record, that's Exhibit 183.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Thank you, sir. If you read 20 through it, he makes reference to what's highlighted in 21 yellow that he's making reference to the concept plan 22 submission. That's the one I'm making reference to.
23 So , on that concept, we had -- we had a driveway
24 slightly to the -- slightly to the west of the
25 property, which is the one that we're trying to -- all

1 the parking was on the west side, and we're trying to
2 get an access -- a shared access, for lack of a better
3 term -- so Mr. Tapscott could access Mr. Shrikan's
4 property to get in and out through the driveway on a 5 safe location that we're going to put on the -- on the 6 west side of the property. Right. That didn't -- that 7 didn't -- was not favorable to staff, so we went back 8 again to the drawing board and we came with this 9 concept of which we had all the parking in the back. I 10 don't want to go -- there's been so many of them -- but 11 at one point, we have a configuration just like the one 12 on the exhibit that we have in front of you, but with 13 access directly, you see there is access directly to 14 Carnegie Avenue. Once again, safety purposes, Carnegie 15 Avenue doesn't comply with safety purposes, and then we 16 keep -- we -- even though we tried so many different 17 things, we kept going back to let's put the access on 18 the highest point of the hill -- the crest of the hill 19 -- which is the safest location and that way, you know,
20 the people that are using this facility will be able to
21 see east and west and not being in an unsafe situation
22 with line of sight.
23 So, going like that, if you can see, the original
24 intent was to try to keep it as away as possible from
25 the -- the road that we have here -- the access drive
lane that we have here that is 20 -feet wide, which is the minimum driveway width in order to be able to have two-way access. So, that's why it's in the entrance a little bit.

Now, I cannot put it any further west because I still need to get a fire truck to get in and meander through that entrance.

JODY S. KLINE: Mr. Intriago.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
JODY S. KLINE: Primrose's normal design standards for internal driveway would be how much?

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: I'm sorry?
JODY S. KLINE: Primrose's normal standards for driveway would normally be more than 20 feet.
15 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Oh, yes sir. Normally the 6 normal concept that they have is 24 feet -- 24 feet wide.

JODY S. KLINE: Okay.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: The reason who is we have moms with kids in the back and they're, you know, helping them and driving at the same time. So, they try to have wider -- wider roads to be able to maneuver. So, that's the way it was explained to me. 4 And I told them unfortunately, no can do. We need to make it as small as possible to minimize the -- the footprint of the road, and that was a comment that we had through the process with Park and Planning. So, that's how it ended up being 20 feet wide, and that's how it ended up being, you know, as far as possible as I could to at least try to comply with the northeast corner of the property and try to go back as much as I can with the 34 -foot separation.

JODY S. KLINE: So, to how much extent were you able to increase the landscaping strip on the east side by shrinking the road?
11 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: I would say originally it 12 was 10 feet and then we were able to increase it to 12 .

JODY S. KLINE: The strip was --
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: The strip, yes.
JODY S. KLINE: -- 10 feet.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Now, I just want to make 7 sure that I -- I expand on something that Mr. Jolley 18 was saying. We have a couple of things about landscape 19 buffers. We have a perimeter planting, which is the 10 20 feet that Mr. Jolley was talking about, which is the 21 perimeter of planting for the parking, which is right 22 there in the extent where you have the parking area, 23 okay? And we also have the building screening. The 24 building screening, there are two -- there's two ways 25 to comply with building screening for this type of use.

side -- it's actually a little bit further -- closer to the right of way.

JODY S. KLINE: Which is not shown on 128.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Which is not shown because
by the time we had this -- this exhibit, it was not
built. All right. So, in my personal practice, what I
used to do, I tend to put the building a foot or foot
8 and a half away from the building setback because you
9 always have -- Mr. Ault always puts these building
10 finishes that end up being 4 inches, and then all of a
11 sudden, I'm not compliant. This building that you see
12 in front of you on this exhibit or on the conditional 13 use plan, it's 1.5 feet further from the building
14 setback. So, I cannot take the building any closer to 15 Carnegie Avenue. I can push it north and south as need 16 be, but not any closer to Carnegie Avenue.
17 JODY S. KLINE: And, Mr. Intriago, and you say 18 building setback -- do you mean building setback or do
19 you mean the effective building line along the street
20 determined by the other structure?
21 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yeah. So -- so, on Carnegie
22 Avenue -- you go to the code, I don't recall the
23 section of the code right now, I can look it up for
24 you, sir -- but there's a -- based on avoiding right of
25 way, there's a 50 -- five zero -- foot building setback
for that -- for the principle structure.
JODY S. KLINE: Well, let me stop you for a
second. I'm asking yo on the Needwood Road frontage, I
thought I understood you to say you set the building to
line up with the church and house to the left.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: This is a combination of
things. Yes, that's considered when we put that
building. But also, as I was saying at the beginning,
we still need to meander the driveway, get it in,
0 there's a couple other things considered when we
11 actually put the building. But that was one of the
considerations we had, okay?
13 JODY S. KLINE: Okay.
14 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: So, if I may continue, going
15 back to the -- to the location of the building. So, I
16 have -- I'm hoping I established that that's the
7 further I can put the building towards the west. So,
18 after that, I got -- I was given a building footprint
19 by -- by Primrose, which is the one I'm using, and then
20 on the eastern side of the building, I have a narrow
21 sidewalk -- I think it's 5-foot wide -- that will take
22 the children from their classes to their individual
23 playground areas -- they are based by age -- and then,
24 we have -- I don't know if you can see it here -- after
25 that sidewalk to the west -- to the east of it, it's

1 like a 4-foot landscape buffer, where I'm putting a 2 safety fence -- I call it safety fence because it's 3 preventing anybody or the kids to run out or stuff like
4 that, and there's also a standard that Mr. Ault can 5 provide for the requirement of that fence. And then I 6 have a 6-foot wide sidewalk that is just out right 7 there. It's used to provide many different things --
8 ADA access to the main road, which is Needwood Road, 9 and that complies with the minimum width span that 10 Montgomery County has. So, I have taken from -- I have 11 explained from east to west what I have -- sorry -12 from west to east how we laid out that building. Then,
13 after that, we have your 20 -foot driveway and after 14 that, we ended up having the 12 feet of buffer that, 15 you know, we ended up having on that side.
16 Continuing to my explanation -- with my 17 explanation, going south, we put all the parking stalls 18 on the back side of the building to comply with code 19 and we complied also -- something that was very much 20 considered and Mr. Jolley already explained was on the
21 southeast portion of the site, we had an existing
22 forest conservation -- an existing forest stand to be
23 proper. So, Mr. Jolley, his direction was to grow from
24 that forest stand and put the requirement of our
25 required forest preservation on the southern portion of
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| 213 | 215 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 the property. That will also serve as a buffer between | 1 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: -- that'd we'd like to -- |
| 2 this development and the development from the south, | 2 oh, why did you do this before planning board -- why |
| 3 which is the subdivision on the south. So, we're | 3 did you do this before planning board? So, one of the |
| 4 talking about 143 feet between the property line and | 4 things we did was -- that we were asked was to put that |
| 5 the back of the curb for the first parking stall that | 5 access. What I explained to Mr. Kline and I explained |
| 6 we have in here. So, that's a -- that's -- all that | 6 to staff that the access on the -- that goes from the |
| 7 will be forest area. I'm not a landscape | 7 sidewalk that runs north-south on Carnegie Avenue to |
| 8 cannot talk about when or how that will be executed | 8 the main sidewalk that is in front of the main entrance |
| 9 That's why I have Mr. Jolley as the professional | 9 to the building, I could provide that -- that access. |
| 10 helping me with that site. | 10 The problem they had with that, it was not ADA |
| 11 So, other things I would like to talk about here. | 11 compliant, because a separation of elevation between |
| 12 At the end -- not at the end -- I think towards the end | 12 the sidewalk and the main building was not allowing me |
| 13 of before planning board things that Mr. -- Mr. Kline | 13 that, especially having all the matching facilities. |
| 14 was talking about, there's -- there's a proffer or | 14 Even further than that, I also explained to them that |
| 15 conditional approval that required the applicant to | 15 per ADA guidelines, we only need to provide one access |
| 16 provide a sidewalk along the east side of Carnegie | 16 to a right of way, which we were compliant with the |
| 17 Avenue. So, we went ahead and provided that. One of | 17 sidewalk along the east side of the road. They |
| 18 the things that was required there is that they wanted | 18 accepted that. We don't -- they -- they actually |
| 19 to have an access from Carnegie Avenue to the main | 19 emphasized saying we don't care if it's not ADA, we |
| 20 entrance of the building. What we explained to staff | 20 just would like to have a -- a trail. It was like a |
| 21 was that was not something that would be useable - | 21 mulch trail. You remember the -- the type of trail |
| 22 JODY S. KLINE: And before you go a little further | 22 that we talked about. It's shown on this exhibit, 23 which is 148 |
| 24 But where is actually the front door? | 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. |
| 25 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: The front door is actually | 25 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: -- that goes from the |
| 214 | 216 |
| 1 facing south. That's the main door. | 1 sidewalk to -- the sidewalk on Carnegie Avenue to the |
| 2 JODY S. KLINE: Could you -- could you point that | 2 sidewalk in front of the main entrance of the building. |
| 3 out? | 3 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. So, the -- so, condition |
| 4 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. It's the -- it's | 4 number 4 in the staff recommendation says a minimum 3- |
| 5 -- if you look at the building, the south face of the | 5 foot wide gravel or natural surface pathway must be |
| 6 building is the main access of the building. The -- | 6 constructed running from Carnegie Avenue to the main |
| 7 it's my understanding -- I'm not an architect -- but | 7 building entrance on the south side of the building. |
| 8 based on the discussion and the meetings I was a part | 8 This sidewalk satisfies that? |
| 9 of, Mr. Ault worked very closely with staff to change | 9 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Correct. |
| 10 the architectural façade of the building on the front | 10 JODY S. KLINE: It wasn't on the original plan, as |
| 11 so it would look like it would be more like a | 11 you said, because -- |
| 12 residential look to it. Once again, I am not an expert | 12 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: It was discussed at the end |
| 13 on that side. That's only what I heard that they | 13 -- towards the end of the planning board and then that |
| 14 worked with staff -- architect staff -- on that regard. | 14 was what happened. |
| 15 So, can I talk about the access here? | 15 JODY S. KLINE: All right. Ms. Tapscott, at the |
| 16 JODY S. KLINE: Please do. | 16 hearing we had here before, said well, what's going to |
| 17 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes. So, after we submitted | 17 happen is people are going to park on Carnegie Street |
| 18 the condition plan -- use plan and everything went | 18 and then they're going to basically walk their kids up |
| 19 through, towards the end, we always -- we were getting | 19 there. Is that why that sidewalk was put in? |
| 20 kind of mad comments at the end. So, one of -- | 20 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Actually, no. That sidewalk |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: You were getting | 21 was not put in there for that. Actually -- we actually |
| 22 what comments? | 22 didn't want the sidewalk because -- the reason why the |
| 23 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Comments or final renditions | 23 sidewalk was there is because, of course, a lot of |
| 24 at the end -- | 24 people here know the neighborhood, there is an access - |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh. | 25 - an existing access -- not an access -- there's an |


| 1 | opening in the fence. There's a fence on the south |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | side of the property. So, there's an opening in the |
| 3 | fence that people use to cross from the south |
| 4 | subdivision just to walk north on Carnegie Avenue. So, |
| 5 | what happens is staff said, you know, provide us with a |
| 6 | sidewalk. My position was if or when you come and |
| 7 | redevelop that -- that road, you know, that sidewalk |
| 8 | might not want to be in the location that we have it in |
| 9 | there. It might not serve you any purpose, because the |
| 10 | tertiary road section, you know, has specific |
| 11 | dimensions on where to put your sidewalk, and I -- I |
| 12 | don't believe that that will be -- you might have to |
| 13 | reassess that if or when you build that road to the |
| 14 | right standards. So, that was my position. They said |
| 15 | we still want it, and we said you got it. |
| 16 | So, we don't think -- we don't believe -- Primrose |
| 17 | does not believe, based on my conversation with them, |
| 18 | that most none of their customers -- not customers -- |
| 19 | of their parents will kind of walk from south -- I |
| 20 | don't think -- from -- walk on that sidewalk to get |
| 21 | their people -- their kids to -- to school. Most |
| 22 | likely, they're going to drive, get in here, drop them |
| 23 | off, and move on. |
| 24 | JODY S. KLINE: Condition number 3 talks about a |
| 25 | 6 -foot sidewalk along Carnegie Avenue. That was a |

staff recommendation because they wanted to what?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Continue the -- the existing
walk, which is -- there's no walk there right now.
4 It's just a gravel road. So, people are just using the
gravel road to walk.
JODY S. KLINE: Right.
7 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: So, they just want a 8 sidewalk, I guess.
9 JODY S. KLINE: So, for the Hearing Examiner, 10 would you describe the package of improvements that 11 you're talking about making on Carnegie Road as it 12 relates to the potential possible future development as 13 a public street?
14 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes. And -- and, yes. I 15 mean, that road, as discussed previously, has a lot of 16 challenges to make it a public road. The major 17 challenge that we discussed is the line of sight for 18 safety. So, if you're asking about my professional 19 opinion, if that road is going to -- that right of way
20 is ever going to be a Montgomery County road, I -- I
21 doubt it unless they solve the bigger problem. I think
22 it's more like a planning problem than anything else,
23 because that road was put in the wrong location or the
24 right of way was put in the wrong location to rephrase
25 it correctly.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And your
dedication that they're requiring is 5 feet?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, so --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And then, what's
the -- how short are you on the setback on the other
side?

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Setback in what regards,
Madam Examiner?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: On the -- on the
east side. You're -- you don't comply with the setback
for parking by how much?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Correct.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: How much?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: So, on that one, we don't
comply by 22 feet.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Hum, okay. Go
ahead.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: So, I can go now talking

| 1 |
| :--- |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 2 |
| about -- now that I explained from west to east, I can |
| 3 |
| we located parking and everything else. There is no |
| 4 |
| other way -- there is no other location that, you know, |
| 5 |
| so putting the building all the way to the west, that |
| 6 |
| was the only logical location for my 20-foot driveway. |
| 7 |
| And then as quick as I could after the building, we |
| 8 |
| tried to push the parking stalls completely outside of |
| 9 |
| that setback. One of the things that I did was, the |
| 10 |
| 11 |

1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I got it. He's going to have some kind of façade.

JODY S. KLINE: The building will not exceed. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Will not exceed thirteen thousand square feet.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
JODY S. KLINE: Around the building or at least to
the north and west, there's a slightly different green
shade. Would you explain what that is.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. So, this is not my area of expertise. What I-- what I do know is that
there is a minimum area of requirement. State code
requires minimum area per child to be able to provide
outdoor play. So, there is one, two, three -- I think
16 there's four different age groups in this playground
area that depending on where the kids are in their
classrooms, they go directly out into their playground.
So, that's the playground area that Mr. Ault can
probably expand on that how they calculated or designed that.

JODY S. KLINE: Okay. So, the line that wraps 23 around the darker green is -- what does that line represent?

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: That is the safety fence
that they put around so that the kids would be safe inside that playground.

JODY S. KLINE: And do you know the details of that fence or will Mr. Ault describe that?

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Mr. Ault can describe it better, but I think it's a vinyl fence.

JODY S. KLINE: Okay. But that -EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Vinyl siding --
JODY S. KLINE: -- green area --
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: He will explain it better.
1 Sorry, let me retract that. He will explain that.
12 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. That green area will be 13 broken up into four separate play areas.

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
JODY S. KLINE: Okay. And within those play 16 areas, what features will there be for the benefit of 7 the children?
18 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Well, I think -- it's my 19 understand that there are like, you know, Mr. Kline, I 20 -- I know there's playground things. I just don't know 21 what they are. I didn't design them.
22 JODY S. KLINE: Age appropriate?
23 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: They are age appropriate.
24 That's what I know. But I did not design them. Mr.
25 Ault did the design for that area.

| 225 | 227 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. What is the material -- the | 1 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: One second. I don't |
| 2 surface material of that green area? | 2 remember that by -- let me-- I'm going to try to find |
| 3 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: That material is -- is a | 3 the exhibit that is in the record for the conditional |
| 4 turf. So, basically you have a layer that's a turf. | 4 use plan that has my computation in there. |
| 5 There will be a layer of sand, then a layer of rock. | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, let me ask |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: When you say | 6 you this. Is it based on the metric in 16.4 of the |
| 7 turf, are you talking grass turf of -- | 7 county code? Is that -- |
| 8 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: No, it's artificial turf. | 8 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, ma'am. That sounds |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Artificial turf. | 9 accurate. I just need to find my conditional use plan. |
| 10 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yeah. And we provided | 10 [Shuffling through exhibits.] |
| 11 detail to DPS when we submitted the management plan. | 11 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: I think this is Exhibit 93-D |
| 12 So, because we were under the impression that that | 12 -- D -- 93-D, ma'am, 93-D like in David. I know you |
| 13 could be considered pervious, DPS disagreed with us. | 13 like it to be facing north, but I can read better this |
| 14 We provided them with detail. They still disagreed | 14 way. So, the parking complications were based on 3 per |
| 15 with us. So, we assumed -- from my computations, I | 151,000 square foot of floor area. That came out to be |
| 16 assume that that was -- that was impervious land or | 1639 spaces -- 3 per 1,000 -- 13,000 square feet, 39 |
| 17 cover. | 17 spaces. |
| 18 JODY S. KLINE: I'd like to take you to the | 18 JODY S. KLINE: And you said you're providing how |
| 19 parking area -- the parking lot itself. | 19 many? |
| 20 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. | 20 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Forty-four. |
| 21 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. So, the -- the size of the | 21 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. Do you have any ADA spaces? |
| 22 spaces are how much -- parking spaces -- each space? | 22 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: I have two, sir. |
| 23 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: $8-1 / 2$ by 18 | 23 JODY S. KLINE: Where will they be located? |
| 24 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. And how many parking spaces | 24 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: They'll be in the -- at the |
| 25 are you showing? | 25 closest proximity to the -- to the main door -- on the |
| 226 | 228 |
| 1 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Forty-four. | 1 -- facing the south side of the building. |
| 2 JODY S. KLINE: Forty-four. And that number was | 2 JODY S. KLINE: And they're marked on that plan? |
| 3 selected because why? | 3 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: They're marked on the |
| 4 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: That's the -- it was my -- | 4 conditional use plan, Exhibit 93-D, CUP-4. |
| 5 per my coordination with Primrose, that's their magical | 5 JODY S. KLINE: Do we have a dumpster in the |
| 6 number operation-wise for them even though the | 6 parking lot somewhere? |
| 7 requirement for the site is 39 . | 7 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: We do, sir. |
| 8 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. So, give me a little bit | 8 JODY S. KLINE: Whereabouts is that? |
| 9 more explanation of why Primrose things 44 is adequate. | 9 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: So, the dumpster would be |
| 10 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Well, 44 -- basically, they | 10 located on the southwest corner of the park -- or the |
| 11 have many -- I don't know how many years of experience | 11 parking bay, and it's right -- right to the east of |
| 12 has Primrose doing these things all over the nation -- | 12 stormwater management facility number two -- to the |
| 13 but the way it was explained to me is based on the | 13 southeast of stormwater management facility number two. |
| 14 number of employees and, you know, that they have | 14 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. Are -- are any of the |
| 15 onsite plus their internal calculation of the time that | 15 parking spaces that I will call long-term parking -- |
| 16 it takes for parents to drop off their kid and get out | 16 for instance, staff who wouldn't be dropping a child |
| 17 of the parking stall. So, there was a computation -- | 17 off for something? Are those sized any differently and |
| 18 it was like 30 plus the 12 or 14 that they require for | 18 in any event, where is the staff parking to be located? |
| 19 that operation is the number -- the 44 parking spaces. | 19 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Well, the -- they're not |
| 20 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. You -- you started off by | 20 sized any differently, but it's the understanding -- as |
| 21 saying the required number is 39 , and that's based on | 21 far as I know operation-wise, staff parks as away as |
| 22 what formula? | 22 possible from the main entrance, allowing parents to -- |
| 23 EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: That's based on -- may I | 23 to park and do the drop-off operation as close as |
| 24 look at the formula? | 24 possible from the main entrance. |
| 25 JODY S. KLINE: Yeah, sure. | 25 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. Do we have bicycle parking? |
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        EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, we do, sir. So, based
    on code, we need to provide three bicycle parking, and
    again, based on code, we -- }85\mathrm{ percent of that parking
    has to be permanent parking. So, basically }85\mathrm{ percent
    of 3 -- is 3. So, we're providing 3 long-termbike
    lockers at the southeast corner of the main building as
    shown on Exhibit 93-D. It's labeled on the plan as
    well.
    JODY S. KLINE: Within the parking lot -- and
    probably use the rendered version -- }148\mathrm{ would be best
    -- would you just explain where the pedestrian movement
    will occur within the parking lot as people are going
    and walking to the building.
    EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: So, the -- so, the intent of
    the parking lot, like I said, would reserve the closest
    12 parking spaces for parents. So, it's my
    interpretation that the }12\mathrm{ would be the ones that right
    -- facing the south side of the building and some like
    immediately to the -- to the west of them until they
    meet the }12\mathrm{ spaces, and there's no exact numbers as I
    understand, Mr. Kline. That's just a -- a -- an
    operation standard number that I've been given by -- by
    Primrose.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: The 12 -- is
that for staff or --
    EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: No, that would be for
parents, ma'am.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN:Twelve for
parents, okay.
    JODY S. KLINE:Well and explain how you got to
the 12 in terms of 44 less staff.
    EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO:Yeah, so it's basically 30-
- it's 30 employees plus 2 administrative is 32 plus 12
is at 44. So, that's how they -- we came up with that
computation or that's how Primrose came up with that
computation, not us -- I'm not that smart.
    JODY S. KLINE: Ms. Robeson, I'm at kind of a
point where I -- my next question or my next sort of
discussion would get into some deeper stuff that I
really would have trouble stopping in ten minutes. So,
I'm almost to a point where I think I've exhausted the
kind of trifling stuff that we can talk about right
now.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Chen, do you
have an objection if we take the --
    WILLIAM J. CHEN: No, let the folks testify.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. All
right. Hearing that, I see -- are there still four
people who wish to testify? Five? Oh, six. Okay.
All right. Mr. Intriago, can you move -- oh, you're
```

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes, we do, sir. So, based on code, we need to provide three bicycle parking, and again, based on code, we -- 85 percent of that parking has to be permanent parking. So, basically 85 percent of 3 -- is 3 . So, we're providing 3 long-termbike lockers at the southeast corner of the main building as shown on Exhibit 93-D. It's labeled on the plan as well.

JODY S. KLINE: Within the parking lot -- and probably use the rendered version -- 148 would be best
-- would you just explain where the pedestrian movement
will occur within the parking lot as people are going
and walking to the building.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: So, the -- so, the intent of
the parking lot, like I said, would reserve the closest
12 parking spaces for parents. So, it's my
interpretation that the 12 would be the ones that right
-- facing the south side of the building and some like
immediately to the -- to the west of them until they
meet the 12 spaces, and there's no exact numbers as I
understand, Mr. Kline. That's just a -- a -- an
operation standard number that I've been given by -- by
Primrose.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: The 12 -- is that for staff or --

EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: No, that would be for
parents, ma'am.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Twelve for
parents, okay.
JODY S. KLINE: Well and explain how you got to
the 12 in terms of 44 less staff.
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yeah, so it's basically 30 -

- it's 30 employees plus 2 administrative is 32 plus 12
is at 44. So, that's how they -- we came up with that
computation or that's how Primrose came up with that
computation, not us -- I'm not that smart.
JODY S. KLINE: Ms. Robeson, I'm at kind of a
point where I -- my next question or my next sort of
discussion would get into some deeper stuff that I
really would have trouble stopping in ten minutes. So,
kind of trifling stuff that we can talk about right
now.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Chen, do you have an objection if we take the --

WILLIAM J. CHEN: No, let the folks testify.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. All
right. Hearing that, I see -- are there still four
people who wish to testify? Five? Oh, six. Okay.
All right. Mr. Intriago, can you move -- oh, you're
doing that. I need you to take your -- are those
yours?
EDUARDO J. INTRIAGO: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. Just leave
it there -- just leave it there. Okay. Would the
first person -- we have until 5:00. I lose the court
reporter at 5:00. Could -- would the first person,
sorry, who wishes to testify please come forward, okay?
Yes, right there where he's setting up that microphone.
Okay. Do you solemnly -- can you raise your right
hand, please? Do you solemnly affirm under penalties
of perjury that the statements you're about to make are
the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
ALANA CHAMOUN: I do.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Please state
your name and address for the record.
ALANA CHAMOUN: Alana Shamoun. I live on 5713
Lake Christopher Drive, Derwood, Maryland.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you spell
Shamoun?
ALANA CHAMOUN: Yes. It's C-H-A-M as in Mike-O-U-
N as in Nancy.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. And just
one word for all the witnesses that are testifying, you
will get notices about this case. There is a sign-in

1 sheet right outside the door. Please put an E-mail address on the sign-in sheet or you will not get the notices, okay? So, please make sure it's clearly written on that sign-in sheet. Okay, Ms. Chamoun. What would you like to say?

ALANA CHAMOUN: Thank you for letting me kind of barge in and talk. I don't have a -- I don't have many 8 prepared remarks, so I hope to be brief. But I'm 9 hoping that my perspective is helpful to you. So, I 10 live on Lake Christopher, which is on the other side of 11 Needwood Park. My mother and sister-in-law live on 127309 Needwood Road, which is essentially not directly 13 across from Primrose, but pretty close to it. We also 14 have a condo that we own in the Park Overlook 15 community, also on Needwood Road, but across Redland, 16 and we rent that condo to my brother-in-law. We 17 purposely bought our house on Lake Christopher knowing 18 that our house would be here, my mother-in-law's house 19 would be there, and the Shady Grove Metro would be just 20 after it. So, I have three -- three children -- three
21 girls, 7,3 , and 1 , and the 7 -year-old attends
22 elementary school at Candlewood in the neighborhood.
23 She catches the bus actually at Lake -- excuse me -- on
24 Needwood Road at my mother-in-law's house because the
25 two younger girls go to my mother-in-law's in-home

| 233 | 235 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 daycare. So, again, I'm dropping off them at the | 1 there. |
| 2 daycare, I'm dropping of my daughter so she can catch | 2 And again, listening to what the gentleman said |
| 3 the bus, and then off I go down to Shady Gro | 3 about the parking spots. Having -- if I'm not mistaken |
| 4 So, I'm kind of talking about my mother and | $4-12$ or so parking spaces are intended for the parents |
| 5 sister-in-law's perspective as daycare operators. They | 5 for pickup and drop-off, for such a large center, I |
| 6 have an at-home daycare. They have a total of 12 | 6 would be extremely concerned that those parking spaces |
| 7 students from ages like 6 weeks up to age 5 | 7 are not numerous enough. Again, speaking from my |
| 8 essentially. From their perspective as a daycare | 8 experience at my mother-in-law's in-home daycare, |
| 9 operator, it actually -- the Primrose -- the Primrose | 9 again, 12 students total, period, she has a circular |
| 10 development would almost be a benefit to them, because | 10 driveway that, you know, the parents come, you park |
| 11 to have such a large center across the street, you have | 11 the very end, the parents come right behind you, and |
| 12 a constant stream of 195 parents who are obviously | 12 then you're essentially -- during morning hours and |
| 13 interested in a daycare facility, and for them to see | 13 evening hours, that parking -- that driveway is |
| 14 my mother-in-law's daycare that's much smaller, home- | 14 constantly filled with at least 2 cars, 3 cars, 4 cars, |
| 15 based, from my perspective, $I$ think that would be 16 pretty -- pretty, you know, pretty enticing to see that | 15 as many as 4 or 6 cars, and that's for a total of 12 <br> 16 kids. So, you're expanding the number of 12 kids to |
| 17 daycare center versus a larger center, but so, we would | 17195 and you're only giving them 12 spaces? Where a |
| 18 almost be in favor of this development. But, as | 18 those people going to go when they're waiting, because |
| 19 residents of Needwood Road and people whose children | 19 everyone comes and picks up their kid around 5:00, |
| 20 catch the bus on this road, play on this road, I drive <br> 21 on that road every single day, the -- such a large | 20 5:30, 6:00, especially if you're coming from the Metro. <br> 21 If you want to get to downtown DC like I do, I have to |
| 22 center is actually something that we're concerned about | 22 drop off my kids by -- well, I should drop them off by |
| 23 because we think it would really add an unhealthy, | $237: 45$, but that often doesn't happen until 8 or 8:15. |
| 24 dangerous level of congestion and traffic to what | 24 So, you know, everybody -- in order to get to the |
| 25 frankly is already full of cars and congestion and | 25 Metro, you've got to be -- you've got to -- you've got |
| 234 | 236 |
| 1 traffic constantly. I don't know if there's a way for | 1 to drop off your kid at essentially the same time. And |
| 2 me to present photographs from my cell phone of me | 2 again, all of these parents -- where are they going to |
| 3 sitting in traffic just on Monday backed up almost to | 3 drive? Are they going to drive around the |
| 4 my mother-in-law's house, but essentially to the | 4 neighborhood? Are they going to go through? Are they |
| 5 Taiwanese church waiting to turn left onto Redland from | 5 going to sit and park on the side of the road because I |
| 6 -- yeah, onto Redland from Needwood Road at 8:30 on any | 6 see a lot of grassy area -- probably. It just adds yet |
| 7 old Monday morning. I mean, that scene happens all the | 7 again more congestion, more danger frankly for people |
| 8 time, and I would think that adding such a large center | 8 who live in the area who are walking to and from the |
| $9--200-$ well, 195 children -- I think just adds to | 9 Metro. I read the traffic study, and I thought that it |
| 10 that, especially, you know, thinking about if you're | 10 -- well, I didn't think it -- it truly only focused on |
| 11 going to make a left onto the Primrose property from | 11 Needwood Road -- the stoplight that's over here at |
| 12 Needwood Road, you know, that left is -- you've got to | 12 Redland Road and the stoplight that's down by |
| 13 wait for oncoming traffic. It's just going to add more | 13 Moncaster. But it didn't speak at all about the cut- |
| 14 -- more traffic. | 14 through neighborhood. There's a -- there's a road, |
| 15 And then, you know, just kind of listening to | 15 Grande Vista Drive, I think, it was silent on the |
| 16 I've only been here for the last 30 minutes, but I've | 16 effects of this daycare on that road, and I know that |
| 17 just kind of listened to the gentleman speak earlier | 17 if I'm trying to make a left-hand turn onto Needwood |
| 18 about the entrance that the plans include for the -- | 18 Road from Redland during morning rush hour morning or |
| 19 for the development. Again, I feel like the -- that | 19 evening rush hour, that -- that left-hand turn is |
| 20 entrance, because of the limitations of the area around | 20 extremely hard to make, and I often will turn instead - |
| 21 it and the setbacks, it's already a hard left or even a | 21 - instead of turning onto to Needwood Road, I will |
| 22 hard righthand turn to make. So, in order to make that | 22 often turn onto Grande Vista, and I have to imagine |
| 23 turn, I feel like again, you're going to have to slow | 23 that if I'm a parent who's now bringing my children -- |
| 24 down even further, which it just has this domino effect | 24 my child to this very large daycare center, that's |
| 25 to the -- to the traffic that is already -- already | 25 going to add to more people like me who are going to be |


| 237 | 239 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 making those turns and cutting through Grande Vista | 1 concern you have about that? |
| 2 and, you know, that's a problem because the people who | 2 ALANA CHAMOUN: So, my concern is that anybody who |
| 3 live along Grande Vista, most of them don't -- who have | 3 is making -- who's attempting to make a left-hand turn |
| 4 children who attend Candlewood Elementary -- most of | 4 from Redland Road onto Needwood Road. |
| 5 them are not eligible for the bus service, so those | 5 JODY S. KLINE: So, this movement and make a left. |
| 6 kids are walking along Grande Vista up to a mile, and | 6 ALANA CHAMOUN: That's a stoplight, and there is |
| 7 if you're adding yet more through traffic of people who | 7 no -- |
| 8 are in a rush trying to get to work, often like I am, | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now, when you |
| 9 you know, again, that just adds yet more traffic | 9 say that, you're talking about the intersection of |
| 10 congestion and just unnecessary danger where a center | 10 Redland and Needwood. |
| 11 like this belongs in Montgomery County for sure -- we | 11 ALANA CHAMOUN: Thank you, yes. The intersection |
| 12 all know that we need -- we need more daycare -- but I | 12 of Redland and Needwood does not have a designated left |
| 13 just don't see how it could be wedged into what is | 13 turn arrow. So, if you're making a left-hand turn, |
| 14 supposed to be a low-density residential neighborhood. | 14 you're waiting for the oncoming traffic on Redland |
| 15 And I think -- I don't think I have anything else. | 15 before you can make that turn. And in rush hour -- in |
| 16 Okay. | 16 the mornings and the evenings -- that's very hard to |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. Any | 17 do. And so, what I often do is instead of making a |
| 18 questions Mr. Chen, Mr. Kline? | 18 left onto Needwood, I will make a left onto Grande |
| 19 JODY S. KLINE: Does your mom's operation have a | 19 Vista and then make a right onto Needwood if I have to |
| 20 set time for arrival for the kids? Are they all | 20 go pick up the girls or, you know, left if I'm going |
| 21 supposed to be there at $8: 30$ or is it come when -- | 21 home. |
| 22 ALANA CHAMOUN: They open at 7:30 and they have to | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 23 be picked up by 5:30. | 23 JODY S. KLINE: So, you know, that just kind of |
| 24 JODY S. KLINE: Sure. How about | 24 adds traffic to this road then. |
| 25 ALANA CHAMOUN: But there's no -- there's not like | 25 ALANA CHAMOUN: Yes. |
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| 1 a program start and a program end. | 1 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. |
| 2 JODY S. KLINE: Okay, fine. Simply because I | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Grande Vista. |
| 3 don't know where Grande Vista is, I'm hoping you can | 3 ALANA CHAMOUN: Grande Vista. |
| 4 give me a little bit of orientation based on this | 4 JODY S. KLINE: Okay, thank you. |
| 5 exhibit which is, I think, 149. Can you see this from | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Chen? All |
| 6 there? We've got Redland here and Needwood here, and | 6 right. Thank you. You may be excused. Okay. Who |
| 7 here's the subject property. So, this would be | 7 would like to testify next? Okay, please come forward. |
| 8 Carnegie on this side. | 8 And make sure you sign in on the sign-in sheet with |
| 9 ALANA CHAMOUN: Yes. | 9 your name, address, and E-mail. Right up here, please. |
| 10 JODY S. KLINE: Where -- where is that road you | 10 Please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly affirm |
| 11 were talking about? | 11 under penalties of perjury that the statements you're |
| 12 ALANA CHAMOUN: I'll just get up and show you. | 12 about to make are the truth, the whole truth, and |
| 13 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. | 13 nothing but the truth? |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 14 JENNIFER MITCHELL: Yes, I do. |
| 15 JODY S. KLINE: Okay. So, you pointed to a road | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Please |
| 16 that's south of the subject property, perpendicular -- | 16 state your name and address for the record. |
| 17 almost perpendicular to Needwood Road. I guess where | 17 JENNIFER MITCHELL: My name is Jennifer Mitchell, |
| 18 I've got my pencil right now. | 18 and I live at 7500 Needwood Road. |
| 19 ALANA CHAMOUN: Yes. | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you spell -- |
| 20 JODY S. KLINE: And it -- it basically -- okay, | 20 it Mitchell M-I-T-C-H-E-L-L? |
| 21 does it connect to Redland? Is that what the bypass | 21 JENNIFER MITCHELL: C-H-E-L-L, correct. |
| 22 effect is? | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. And what |
| 23 ALANA CHAMOUN: Yes. It will eventually connect | 23 was your address? |
| 24 to Redland. I guess that's more. | 24 JENNIFER MITCHELL: 7500 -- seven five zero zero. |
| 25 JODY S. KLINE: So -- so -- and what was the | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead, |
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| Ms. Mitchell. <br> JENNIFER MITCHELL: So, I'll just point out that I <br> am the house on the lot directly to the west of the <br> proposed development on the other side of Carnegie <br> Avenue that's not shown on any of the pictures. That's <br> where our home is. So, it was -- <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Here, I'm <br> going to - do you want this? Do you want to mark 148 ? <br> Can you give an approximate location of where your <br> house is? <br> JENNIFER MITCHELL: Sure. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: On what -- she's <br> marking 148 in blue pen. <br> JENNIFER MITCHELL: So, there's a telephone pole <br> here -- that's our property -- our property corner, and <br> our driveway is right next to the -- right next to <br> that. So, our property is like that and like this. <br> So, that's our -- can you see all that? <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, thank you. <br> JENNIFER MITCHELL: So, we purchased the home in <br> September 2019, but it had been on the market since <br> October 2018. So, they broke ground sometime in 2018 - <br> - I'm not sure when. And when we purchased the home -- <br> we moved from northeast DC and we moved to Montgomery <br> County. I've lived in DC and Montgomery County | houses all around in the neighborhood. So, I'll note that the site drawing takes off all the trees that are currently on the west side of the property to provide room for the playgrounds but does not put up any screening at all. So, our view from our bedroom, our kitchen, our living room, our dining room, our kids' playroom, and our walkout basement is now going to be the back of a commercial building that's three-sized the time -- the size of our house, and we have a 0 decent-sized house. So, we'd be looking at the back of the building and playgrounds, and I believe that's 12 their generators and HVAC units, which will be the 13 direct view from our bedroom and our kitchen and all of 4 our living area, and HVAC units and generators run all 5 the time and at all hours. In addition, the lighting 6 for a commercial building -- the artificial lighting is 7 really going to be problematic. Right now, we have our 18 shades up, we can see the stars at night. But, this is 19 going to be like living in the city again. This is not 20 -- commercial lighting is not appropriate for this <br> 21 neighborhood, certainly not like this close to other 22 people's houses and, as mentioned by the landscape 23 expert or the individual that was presenting the <br> 24 landscape plan, it's going to take 20 years for these <br> 25 to mature, and that will only block our view of the |
| throughout my life. We have young kids, 5 and 2 , so schools were a concern and just getting a larger home, more for your money. So, we decided to move out of DC and we found this home and we loved it because it was walking distance from the Metro and it was lovely and beautiful, and it had a country feel. It was very quiet streets, big open backyard, probably way more than we need. So, we purchased the home and we moved in probably October of this past year. So, we are 10 opposed to the development of the site as this 19511 student daycare as it's currently laid out for a number 2 of reasons, and I'll try not to duplicate what others have said, but it's going to have an impact on the 14 peaceful use and enjoyment of our property and other 5 surrounding properties. It's significantly going to 16 increase traffic on an already congested road, as 17 others have mentioned. It's going to dramatically 8 change the character of the neighborhood -- the 9 residential neighborhood, and it will have an adverse 0 impact on our property value and of property values of other homes in the area -- obviously the ones closer to 2 the property more so, but it is going to decrease the value of the surrounding properties. <br> I'll try to focus mainly on our -- the impacts on 25 us, but it's going to have similar impacts on the | parking lot a little bit. It's not going to block our <br> view of the facility at all. <br> So, that's what we're going to see all day long <br> and all evening long. We're going to have the lights. <br> We're going to have to sleep with our windows shut, our <br> curtains shut, get blackout shades. I mean, just the <br> thought of it is -- is distressing. And we'll have to <br> spend our own money to minimize these impacts because <br> they haven't done anything on our side, I guess because <br> our house wasn't there at the time, I don't know. <br> In addition to the light pollution, there's going <br> to be noise pollution from the increased traffic <br> starting at 6:30 in the morning when staff starts to <br> 14 arrive, deliveries, trash trucks, noise on the road, <br> and again, the HVAC and generator units that will run <br> 16 in the evenings and even when schools are out of <br> session. So, it's really going to have an impact. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What's your <br> position -- have you read their plans for the number of children outside at one time? <br> JENNIFER MITCHELL: I haven't. I don't know how <br> 2 many children are allowed to be out at once -- one time <br> based on their plan. It's not having a few children <br> out that bothers me, it's the overall noise -- people <br> coming and going, car doors shutting all morning long |
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| 1 because you can't get out of a car without shutting the | 1 past our house, kind of turns into two lanes right at |
| 2 door, so that's going to be starting at 6:30 in th | 2 the light. So, there have been some times where we've |
| 3 morning. And -- | 3 pulled out and a car comes over the hill, but I'm able |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Have you | 4 to go kind of -- the window of cars coming into |
| 5 presented -- are we still waiting for the operations | 5 oncoming traffic to get to that lane. So, it is |
| 6 person? | 6 dangerous even at that part of the inte |
| 7 JODY S. KLINE: You are | 7 the current amount of traffic. And because people, I |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead. | 8 mean, to be honest, I would do it if I was dropping off |
| 9 JENNIFER MITCHELL: So, in addition, my property - | 9 my kid and was in a rush and had to run them over to |
| 10 - our property -- the Primrose development site slopes | 10 school and there was a long time because I've taken my |
| 11 down to Carnegie Avenue and then it also slopes down | 11 kids to daycare for years and parked in neighborhoods |
| 12 even further onto our property. So, it's a pretty | 12 to do so, I would park on the gravel road. But that's |
| 13 steep decline -- net decline. And we already have | 13 going to further, you know, impact the issue of getting |
| 14 standing water in our backyard at various, you know, | 14 out of my driveway or getting out of frankly any -- any |
| 15 areas when it's a heavy rain and literally running | 15 of the driveways along Needwood Road in both |
| 16 water coming down when it's a significant storm, and | 16 directions. So, I'm really concerned about the impact |
| 17 I'm concerned that they have not adequately addressed | 17 it's going to have on the -- on the safely entering and |
| 18 that issue. Based on the amount of impervious surface | 18 exiting in addition to just increased traffic in |
| 19 that they're adding with the driveway and the parking | 19 general. My son also gets picked up right in front of |
| 20 lot and I know that the fake turf or whatever it's | 20 our house, and other kids do, and I've walked him to |
| 21 called -- I don't know the right name -- it gets some | 21 school at Candlewood on numerous times, and it is hard |
| 22 drainage, but it's not the same drainage as grass would | 22 to cross Needwood Road. There's not crosswalks to |
| 23 get and the building. So, I'm very concerned about | 23 cross Needwood Road. So, you just have to wait for |
| 24 that -- how that's going to impact the surrounding | 24 someone to be nice and kind of let you pass. But with |
| 25 homes and the runoff onto Needwood Road, and I just | 25 an extra 195 cars, you're going to be waiting even |
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| 1 think that's going to deteriorate the gravel road. So, | 1 longer to do it safely. So, I'm really concerned about |
| 2 they're putting in the walkway, which I just learned | 2 the impact on us getting out but also the neighbors |
| 3 about, which is nice. We walk in the gravel road all | 3 generally and people walking and biking along the path. |
| 4 the time. But then, the water's just going to roll of | 4 I think that this just -- as I mentioned, we moved |
| 5 the sidewalk onto the gravel road and just further | 5 out from DC and there are things I loved about living |
| 6 deteriorate it, and there are some people use the | 6 in DC, but we moved out to be more country, more rural, |
| 7 gravel road to access the property behind ours | 7 but still walking distance from the Metro. But we |
| 8 With respect to traffic, trying not to duplicate | 8 specifically chose it because it was a residential |
| 9 what others said, people are absolutely going to park | 9 neighborhood and having this facility next to us, three |
| 10 along Carnegie Avenue to drop off their kids and along | 10 times the size of our house with all this lighting, |
| 11 Deer Park, the next street down because when people | 11 even if they make it, you know, put pretty siding on |
| 12 come in and out, it's really difficult to get out. So, | 12 it, it's still going to be this huge monstrosity next |
| 13 I understand why they moved the entrance because of | 13 to our house. Maybe not monstrosity -- it's still |
| 14 line of sight. But, to get out of Carnegie Avenue or | 14 going to be this huge commercial building next to our |
| 15 our driveway, we literally have to gun it to be safe | 15 house with no screening or landscaping blocking the 16 view from the main road or from our house. So, we |
| 16 only because if it's open, it's open for a second, but 17 then someone's coming over the hill. So, even if we | 16 view from the main road or from our house. So, we <br> 17 definitely have concerns about the impact on the |
| 18 don't see a car, like we step on the gas to get out of | 18 overall residential feel of the neighborhood, and it |
| 19 the driveway. So, we're -- we're already doing that | 19 just doesn't blend in with the neighborhood. There's a |
| 20 during rush hour, but to be safe, you know, we move | 20 few churches and other home businesses, home daycare, a |
| 21 when we get out of our driveway, and with 195 at least <br> 22 extra cars during the day during rush hour, that's | 21 landscaping company on the other side of me, but 22 they're tucked back behind homes, you know, people's |
| 23 going to continue to happen, and that's after we wait a | 23 homes and tucked away. Even the tractor trailers on |
| 24 cycle or two to get out of our driveway during rush | 24 Needwood, you can't see them from behind. It's tucked |
| 25 hour. Now, we're a little lucky because Needwood, just | 25 away. You don't see it. So, I just think this is a |

## huge change from the residential nature of the neighborhood overall. <br> And I am very concerned about the impact it's going to have on the value of our property based on the way it's set up, the increased traffic, the -- just being able to be outside and enjoy our property, no screening at all, and just the overall change. We did call the number on the sign before we purchased the home and were informed that interested parties would 0 receive notice of the hearing 30-45 days before the hearing date, which was scheduled for November, but since we weren't interested parties, we weren't 13 entitled to any other information. And this was before 14 we purchased, because I was also trying to see if they 15 would waive my son and let him -- he has a September 16 birthday, and I wanted to make sure they would waive 17 him in the school before we put an offer on the house. 18 So, this was before we had a final offer signed. But I <br> 19 thought, there's no way they're going to approve this <br> 20 huge 195-kid daycare like in between all these homes, <br> 21 so I did take a risk. But if this is approved, it will <br> 22 have a -- we'll be immediately upside down in our <br> 23 property, and it's going to be worth far less than we <br> 24 paid for it. So, for all those reasons and in addition <br> 25 to the other statements and comments that others have

made, we think that the development as proposed should
be -- should not be approved.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you. Mr. Kline?

JODY S. KLINE: Refresh my memory, you said you
settled when?
JENNIFER MITCHELL: We settled the end of -- I
think it was the last day of September.
JODY S. KLINE: Of 2019?
JENNIFER MITCHELL: Um-hum.
JODY S. KLINE: Yeah. But you had seen the signs
on the property.
JENNIFER MITCHELL: Um-hum.
JODY S. KLINE: You got the number off it.
JENNIFER MITCHELL: Yep.
JODY S. KLINE: I was going to ask you the
question, you must have made a decision that if it does
get approved that we can live with it, but I'm
gathering with your comment that's not true. You just
took a bet and said we don't think it will get
approved, therefore --
JENNIFER MITCHELL: Well, we weren't -- we didn't
know the nature and extent of it, and I realize that a
certain amount of that falls on us. But I did call the
number on the sign that was posted, and that was the
information specifically that I was told. We were not
-- interested parties would receive notice. And I
don't think -- now -- what I've learned now -- well,
certainly I think anyone who is entitled to drive by,
there's a notice posted for a reason. It could have
been a little more helpful and I think in the spirit of
the county helping the applicant work through the
process and being objective, who is not a local
resident, I don't feel that I was -- we were afforded
the same assistance and help.
JODY S. KLINE: No further questions.
WILLIAM J. CHEN: I take it this was during the
period of time that the Offices of the Zoning
Administrative Hearings was being relocated from the
county office building to this location. Is that
right?
JENNIFER MITCHELL: I have learned that since. WILLIAM J. CHEN: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Well, you
should just -- for the record -- you shouldn't -- I
don't know what was said. But our files are open to
the public and the applications are posted on the
website and our files are open to the public to -- for
anyone who wants to come review them. But, okay. Any
other questions? All right. Thank you.

JENNIFER MITCHELL: Thanks.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Next -
who would like to come next? Okay, come on up. Oh, he
just got in there. I'm trying to get everybody in as I
can. Please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly
affirm under penalties of perjury that the statements
you are about to make are the truth, the whole truth,
and nothing but the truth?
JAMES DEMPSEY: I do.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Please state
your name and address for the record.
JAMES DEMPSEY: My name is James Dempsey, and my
address is 7500 Quincewood Court, Derwood, Maryland.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And just spell
Dempsey for the court reporter, please.
JAMES DEMPSEY: D-E-M-P-S-E-Y.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay, thank you. WILLIAM J. CHEN: What street was that, sir? JAMES DEMPSEY: Quincewood Court. That's in the
Park Overlook development. I'm right on the other side
of the Redland/Needwood intersection.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
JAMES DEMPSEY: So, I very much appreciate the
opportunity to speak, and I want to echo some of the
sentiments but not repeat some of the previous
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| 1 speakers. So, I'll try to keep my comments to two | 1 the property -- I didn't hear much discussion of the |
| 2 specific areas both on this property and partially | 2 food services or food preparation aside from hearing |
| 3 affecting the precedent that I'm concerned about on the | 3 that it's possible that it was going to be a delivered |
| 4 property. | 4 service and not so much an onsite kitchen. I feel like |
| 5 My personal position is I'm not in favor of the | 5 that decision would have been made in order to account |
| 6 development of this particular property. I think the | 6 for the lack of available space on the property. And |
| 7 traffic issues have been fairly well documented. On | 7 granted, that's just an opinion, but the concern is, |
| 8 thing that I was specifically curious about i | 8 you know, we're trying to be ecologically minded, and |
| 9 Montgomery County is they're promoting a new vision | 9 if we're going to have a lot of deliveries of what can |
| 10 zero project that is supposed to end traffic safety | 10 only be presumed as disposable food portions for a |
| 11 deaths to pedestrians by the year 2030. I didn't see | 11 workday or a school day that is going to start at 6 |
| 12 anything in the literature that I saw online in any of | 12 a.m. and go to $6 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. roughly, that seems like multiple |
| 13 the documents that addressed whether or not thos | 13 food opportunities per child per day. So, it seems |
| 14 recommendations or those concepts had been addressed | 14 like that's -- that's something that would need to be |
| 15 and, you know, I apologize if I don't have -- if I | 15 mitigated and I'm not sure if the plaintiff has |
| 16 haven't read everything that's been posted or seen all | 16 addressed th |
| 17 of the testimony thus far. But I did have that general | 17 The trash collection and the fire truck discussion |
| 18 observation. The -- the off-street customer parking, I | 18 that the gentleman has who was explaining the property |
| 19 think notionally it's about 10 spots is the | 19 did seem like it would have been awkward and difficul |
| 20 understanding. I do think that there is going to be a | 20 to get that much access down that narrow pathway, and I |
| 21 concentration, and that's unfortunate, for the drop-off | 21 was kind of drawn to the number of compromises that |
| 22 and pickup of children. I think from what I've seen on | 22 were made due to just the nature of the property |
| 23 the earlier documentation provided by the plaintiffs, | 23 itself, and there are certain things that are unable to |
| 24 that they indicated that it was roughly rated at about | 24 be changed. But due to all those compromises, it |
| 25150 pickups and drop-offs in the morning with 125 in | 25 seemed like it was already a design that was not |
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| 1 the evening. I'm sure the plaintiffs can probably | 1 optimal for the property, and it was almost an |
| 2 speak to the exact numbers. But based on that, if you | 2 acknowledgement by the proposer. |
| 3 average 6-10 minutes per family drop-off an pickup, | 3 So, the -- the other thing is as I'm going through |
| 4 you've already kind of exceeded those numbers for sure | 4 Montgomery County zoning documentation, I see there are |
| 5 on the morning period of time and then in the evening, | 5 a lot of different categories of zoning that you could |
| 6 it seems like it's still pretty close, and that's | 6 apply to a particular site, and this was, I believe, |
| 7 before the property is even opened. So, it strikes me | 7 already RE1 zone. I've looked for opportunities to buy |
| 8 as you've already taken a property and developed it to | 8 in this area before and was struck with the challenges |
| 9 its maximum potential on day one of the opening, which | 9 and the thoroughness that is needed to go from RE1 to |
| 10 doesn't apply a lot of opportunity for growth or | 10 maybe even a slightly larger property to put a duplex, |
| 11 adjustment once the operation is -- is underway. So, | 11 a triplex, some sort of multifamily townhouse and |
| 13 clarification. | 13 neighborhood and what the surrounding facilities have |
| 14 So, the access issue, I did kind of have a | 14 that it was quite a leap to go from RE1 zoned property |
| 15 question about the -- the fence construction that was | 15 to a commercial daycare larger than 30 and, you know, |
| 16 mentioned that was going to be on the east side of the | 16 that's certainly within the rights of the applicant to |
| 17 property. It sounded like that was partially put in | 17 elect for that, but within the character of the |
| 18 place to mitigate the effective headlights for traffic | 18 neighborhood, within, you know, a lot of the -- |
| 19 both turning on and off of the property. The issue I | 19 residents of Needwood pointed out, it was quite an |
| 20 kind of had with that is if it's going to be a board- | 20 impact and quite a difference to the community. |
| 21 on-board type of style as -- as discussed, it strikes | 21 I also noted that 13,000 square feet for that |
| 22 me as that sort of works against the light mitigation | 22 property puts it roughly five times the average |
| 23 issue of those headlights. So, I don't know if that - | 23 structure that's in that neighborhood in that area. |
| 24 that can be addressed as well. | 24 You know, I think you have a couple of examples, |
| 25 I also kind of thought the ecological impact of | 25 there's a community center a little further closer to - |
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| 1 - to Redland, there is the cultural center that's off | 1 CHARLES AMMERMAN: A-M-M-E-R-M-A-N. The address |
| 2 of there. The differences there are the amount of time | 2 is 16132 Deer Lake Road. |
| 3 that those facilities are utilized, and that's not a | 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead, |
| 4 five days a week continual working process with both | 4 Mr . Ammern |
| 5 cleaning services, delivery, trash collection, | 5 CHARLES AMMERMAN: So, my wife and I and our two |
| 6 potential for emergency vehicles, all those things also | 6 kids moved to the neighborhood in 2013. It took us two |
| 7 weighed in on top of those areas. | 7 years to find our house that I've claimed that I will |
| 8 So, that is on the property itself that I kind of | 8 never move from because I love it that much. And we |
| 9 had questions about and didn't feel like the | 9 found this neighborhood. It took us so long because we |
| 10 documentation that I saw adequately described it | 10 were looking for a place that was in the country, a |
| 11 The other aspect I had was if this is okay and | 11 place that had a neighborhood -- that was in a |
| 12 this is approved, does this mean that a property like | 12 neighborhood, a place that our kids would be able to |
| 13 this could be developed for other similar use | 13 safely ride their bicycles around, and a place that was |
| 14 properties in that general area, and where -- what | 14 in close proximity to the normal conveniences, |
| 15 would be the point of demarcation between this type of | 15 especially Metro, because our two kids are both in high |
| 16 property as a daycare, which is noble in its intention, | 16 school. My son actually takes the Metro to high school |
| 17 I understand that, it's trying to meet a need. But at | 17 each day. So, although we drop him off in the morning, |
| 18 the same time, why is that different than the same | 18 it's not uncommon for him to walk back home from the |
| 19 rights that a convenience store or a nail salon or a | 19 bus stop on Redland Road. So, he walks down Needwood |
| 20 fast food place or, you know, a UPS store or maybe an | 20 to get to Deer Lake. We were thrilled, because both of |
| 21 insurance agency, something like that -- why couldn't | 21 our kids enjoy riding their bicycles around the |
| 22 those be afforded the same opportunity as a property | 22 neighborhood. They ride throughout. They use |
| 23 like this? | 23 Carnegie, actually. They do cut through that area |
| 24 So, that overall covers the concerns that I had. | 24 where the fence is not, but they use that as a loop. |
| 25 I feel like there's a lot there, but at the same time, | 25 They go down Carnegie and down Needwood, and then back |
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| 1 you know, I recognize that coming into this process | 1 onto Deer Lake. They also go up Needwood with the |
| 2 after, maybe some of that has been covered, and I look | 2 friends on their bicycles or walking to go to the new |
| 3 forward to being able to read more about it. That's | 3 Starbucks that's on Crabbs Branch Way over by the |
| 4 all I have. | 4 Metro. |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you. | 5 So, we are not in favor of the daycare center |
| 6 Questions? | 6 principally for two reasons. One, safety. Pedestrian |
| 7 JODY S. KLINE: No, thank you. | 7 and bicycle safety is a major concern of ours. The |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Questions, Mr. | 8 projected volume of vehicles entering and exiting the |
| 9 Chen? | 9 facility during peak hours, which would be consistent |
| 10 WILLIAM J. CHEN: No. No, thank you. | 10 with the time in which both our son who goes -- uses |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank | 11 the Metro and our neighbors who walk to the Metro -- |
| 12 you. You can be excused. Let me get this gentleman | 12 during that time the Primrose projects 180 vehicles |
| 13 who's been trying to testify. Do you still want -- I | 13 entering into the site and 173 vehicles exiting the |
| 14 assume you still want to testify? Okay. Why don't you | 14 site in the evening hours. Personally, I have trouble |
| 15 come forward? We may only have time for one more | 15 piecing together those numbers. There's a -- if you |
| 16 person, but we'll do our best. Please raise your right | 16 deduct 32 employees from that number, they are not |
| 17 hand. Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of | 17 nearly at capacity for their number of children that |
| 18 perjury that the statements you're about to make are | 18 would be actually going there unless you have large |
| 19 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? | 19 groups of families coming in. Nonetheless, it's a |
| 20 CHARLES AMMERMAN: I do. | 20 large volume of vehicles going in and out of what is |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Please state | 21 originally a residential drive. That also is 180 |
| 22 your name and address for the record. | 22 vehicles that are at danger of hitting pedestrians |
| 23 CHARLES AMMERMAN: Charles Ammerman. | 23 making their way to the Metro in the morning and |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you spell | 24 returning home in the evening, especially when during |
| 25 Ammerman please for the court reporter? | 25 the winter season when the lighting isn't as good. |


| 261 | 263 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Similarly, with bicyclists, the same danger is at play. <br> The other aspect that's of significant concern to us is the reason we moved here -- the atmosphere of the neighborhood. We didn't move to -- we moved from Gaithersburg -- from where the Rio is now developed because it was building up -- it was being commercialized. There is logically a sign that's proposed to advertise the site, but just generally, the volume of vehicles going in and out of what was 0 intended to be a residence for people, is not 1 consistent with the atmosphere that we bought into and 12 that our neighbors bought into. There -- so, we feel 13 that it will have an adverse effect on the atmosphere. 14 We feel it will have a negative impact on the safety 15 for pedestrians and bicyclists going up and down 16 Needwood. <br> 17 Lastly, there was a traffic study. There were two 18 traffic studies that were conducted. I've gone through 19 them. Both traffic studies were conducted on a single 20 day. The initial traffic study was conducted in <br> 21 August, which was not conducive for normal traffic 22 patterns for the summertime. The second traffic study 23 was conducted on a Tuesday in May 2017 -- granted the 24 traffic on the roadways has changed since then -- but 25 that traffic study was conducted on one day. And the | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you spell <br> your last name for the court reporter? <br> OLGA SABRAN: S-A-B-R-A-N. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. <br> OLGA SABRAN: And address is 7501 Needwood Road, <br> Derwood, Maryland 20855. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead. <br> OLGA SABRAN: I and my family live right across <br> the planned facility -- right across Carnegie avenue. <br> I'm supporting all the points that were made and I will <br> try to not duplicate them. I have others to make. So, <br> the increased traffic, yes, for the residents, <br> especially kids and elderly is a big concern because of <br> a very dangerous situation that it is right now, as <br> Jennifer Mitchell mentioned, that we have to literally <br> dash through the road, which is difficult for me to do <br> in my shape, but almost undoable for our parents who <br> have bad knees and hips and they are helping with the <br> childcare for my son and they are regularly there. And <br> it is already a challenge to go for a walk in the <br> neighborhood to cross the road, and they need to do <br> that for a walk every day to continue staying more or <br> less healthy. So, it is already a challenge in the <br> current situation, and we see that with the property <br> that is, you know, 200-plus kids will be an amazingly |
| basis for those results from that one day are being <br> implied to five days of operation, fifty-two weeks a <br> year, and we're very uncomfortable with that. I <br> wouldn't go and predict the weather based on one day <br> for the rest of the year. And we don't believe that <br> that is sufficient for approving a facility such as <br> this to illustrate it's safe, and to illustrate it's <br> not going to have an adverse on the atmosphere of the <br> neighborhood. And that's the end of my testimony. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. <br> CHARLES AMMERMAN: Thank you. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you. Any questions? <br> JODY S. KLINE: I don't believe so. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Chen? Okay. <br> Thank you. You can be excused. And does anyone have <br> testimony they feel they can fit in in ten minutes? <br> Yes, come forward. Please raise your right hand. Do <br> you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury that the <br> statements you're about to make are the truth, the <br> whole truth, and nothing but the truth? <br> OLGA SABRAN: I do. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Please <br> state your name and address for the record. <br> OLGA SABRAN: Olga Sabran. |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { need to continue to play safely in our neighborhood. } \\
& \text { Just a few days ago, there was another terrible traffic } \\
& \text { accident at the death trap intersection. } \\
& \text { Our family and the family of Jennifer Mitchell, } \\
& \text { who testified earlier from the adjacent property, have } \\
& \text { young kids who will be directly in danger. And when we } \\
& \text { were buying our property, we also could not even } \\
& \text { imaging that to happen. } \\
& \text { In the current traffic situation, we already have } \\
& \text { quite a trouble pulling out from our driveway onto the } \\
& \text { Needwood Road, which is crowded in both directions in } \\
& 12 \text { the morning hours, especially, and in the evening. But } \\
& 13 \text { in the morning, we are dropping off our kids at school } \\
& 14 \text { in Candlewood School, and the Grande Vista Road that } \\
& 15 \text { was mentioned here is quite heavily used by residents } \\
& 16 \text { for that purpose -- to pick up and drop off the kids } \\
& 17 \text { and to drive to Candlewood Elementary School, we need } \\
& 18 \text { to turn left onto the Needwood Road from our house, } \\
& 19 \text { right across Carnegie Avenue, which is in the very } \\
& 20 \text { close proximity from the planned Primrose Child Care } \\
& 21 \text { main entrance driveway, which you can imagine how much } \\
& 22 \text { it will impact our difficulty to pull out from our } \\
& 23 \text { driveway already and how much it will -- having that } \\
& 24 \text { facility, how much it will slow down the traffic with } \\
& 25 \text { all the delivery trucks, supplies delivered, trash }
\end{aligned}
$$

removal, service vehicles, et cetera and all the other
traffic increase, not talking about parents dropping
off and picking up kids.
And also -- thank you -- also working two jobs, we
already cannot afford much unfortunately, and we rely a
lot on equity of our home for our child -- child's
future, college funds, and everything, and we cannot
allow a decrease in our property value, which will
absolutely definitely happen and it will probably
affect us equally in different situations with our
neighbors right adjacent and across who did not -- it
is just not fair. We made our plans to purchase that
property, not ever imaging that commercial property can
just change the profile or our neighborhood so
dramatically.
And also, I want to mention the sign issue a
little bit that we felt was not properly handled, that
the signs were placed along Carnegie Avenue, that does
not have much traffic, like not many people saw the
sign, and I absolutely believe in that -- that it was
almost a miracle that we saw the sign and heard the
same conversation from the neighbors. So, we would
appreciate if the awareness of that is raised and it is
transparent. Thank you so much for taking this.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Are you saying
that both signs face Carnegie?
OLGA SABRAN: All the signs that I -- that our
family saw and that I heard neighbors saw was placed
along Carnegie Road and far away close to that hole in the fence that the gentleman mentioned at some point, so very hidden like in the --

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But you didn't
see a sign on Needwood?
OLGA SABRAN: No, there was no sign on Needwood.
So, that's -- I think that's a very important concern
that I've heard that people have. So, that is
important to take into account. I'd appreciate it.
So, about the environment and infrastructure.
Also, rivers around the property and flooding in the
garage and basement is already a big trouble. So, with
all what I've studied and heard with the facility in
place, it will be quite a big issue and much worse than it is.

So, with the -- so, most of us here have
experienced dropping off and picking up our kids from
school and know how much time it takes and how much
congestion it -- it makes, even, you know, with much
smaller number of kids. And 12 parking spots for 200-
plus kids are definitely not enough, and I envision
lots of festivities and events and cars that will park
up and down Needwood Road during rush hour, which
frankly, I cannot even imagine. It is such a difficult
situation already on Needwood Road, which my family
wanted to take to Montgomery County and try to resolve
with more of maybe even a light stop, maybe, you know,
some measures in place for safety, because it is not
safe for elderly, it is not safe for kids.
And another point that I would like to finish with
that this large well-founded commercial out-of-state
franchiser who is planning to build this property
positioned this as a community service, and I think
that if it was meant to serve the Needwood Road
neighborhood community, this multibillionaire dollar
firm would take into account hundreds of objections
raised by the community. I would really appreciate
that. Thank you so much.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you. Just
a second. Do you have any questions, Mr. Kline or Mr.
Chen?
JODY S. KLINE: No, ma'am.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Chen? You
may be excused.
OLGA SABRAN: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you.
Okay. With that, I'm going to have to call a close to

| testimony. If -- if you would like, you can let us | 1 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER |
| :---: | :---: |
| know you're coming in advance and we can let the | 2 I, Collins Ukegbu, the officer |
| attorneys know and if you want to come back, let us | 3 before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, |
| know, and we can try and schedule time for you to -- if | 4 do hereby certify that said proceedings were |
| you want to add to the testimony you've heard. Let us | 5 electronically recorded by me; and that I am |
| know, and we can try. That will give us some advance | 6 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by |
| notice. It also allows us -- it's too late for me to | 7 any of the parties to this case and have no |
| get a court reporter tomorrow that might go passed | 8 interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome. |
| 5:30. I can't do that tomorrow. So, if you can let |  |
| our office know, coordinate with those you can so we | 10 |
| can -- we know of a time we might be able to fit more |  |
| people in, okay? | 12 |
| 13 All right. So, with that, I had a couple of | 13 Collins Ukegbu, AAERT CER, Court Reporter |
| 4 things for Mr. Kline, but I'll go through them tomorrow | 14 For the State of Maryland |
| morning, because he really does have to go. Yes, Mr. | 15 |
| Chen? | 16 |
| 17 WILLIAM J. CHEN: Just one last thing, do you want | 17 |
| 18 to announce to the assemblage that you are starting | 18 |
| tomorrow at 9:30? | 19 |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, yes. We are | 20 |
| starting tomorrow at 9:30. I do appreciate there are a | 21 |
| lot of the same letters coming in from different | 22 |
| individuals. It's a form letter. Zoning is what they | 23 |
| call -- we cannot base our decision on the number of | 24 |
| people who object. That's not legal for us to do. I | 25 |
| 270 | 272 |
| understand that. But the same form letter from | 1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER |
| hundreds of people, it -- it doesn't help us assess the | 2 I, Pamela Flutie, do hereby certify that the |
| case and it takes a lot. We have two staff people, and | 3 foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of |
| we're short on them right now. So, if you feel the | 4 the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were |
| need to send a form letter, of course I'm not going to | 5 transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio |
| stop you. But I am saying to you, we cannot base our | 6 recording and supporting information; and that I am |
| decision solely on the basis that many, many -- on the | 7 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of |
| number of people who oppose it. We can only look at | 8 the parties to this case and have no interest, |
| the criteria in the zoning ordinance, which are in | 9 financial or otherwise, in its outcome. |
| 10 Section 593 -- 7.3.1 and also there are some criteria | 10 |
| 1 in 593.3.F. So, those are -- if you go online and look | 11 |
| 2 at the staff report in this case -- the planning staff | $12 \rightarrow 4$ |
| port -- that might be the easiest thing. You can | 13 |
| 4 google it under the application number. That staff | 14 Pamela A. Flutie |
| 5 report will list all the criteria and that is our | 15 |
| 6 criteria. Okay. With that, I'm going to have to call | 16 |
| 17 -- we're going to continue this case to tomorrow at | 17 |
| 8 9:30 and we're going to adjourn it right now. We're | 18 |
| 9 off the record. Thank you. | 19 |
| 0 [Whereupon the hearing was concluded.] | 20 |
| 1 [Off the record at 5:03 p.m.] | 21 |
|  | 22 |
|  | 23 |
|  | 24 |
|  | 25 |
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| 153:14, 184:14 \|april | $259: 13, ~ 259: 21, ~$ $267: 14$ | 251:10 | 66:23, 67:1, |
| $109 \cdot 20$ | 267:14 | associated | 203:8 |
| 109:20 | arrangement $46: 22,171: 13$ | 15:21, 15:23, | audio |
| arborist 100:25 | 46:22, 171:13 arrival | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 12, \quad 16: 13, \\ & 17: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $272: 5$ |
| architect | 237:20 | assume | $261: 21$ |
| 12:19, 13:1, | arrive | 163:3, 163:6, | ault |
| 59:7, 61:13, | $244: 14$ | 192:12, 225:16, | 209:7, 210:9, |
| 61:18, 61:20, | arrow | 258:14 | 212:4, 214:9, |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 61: 22, & 61: 24, \\ 63: 13, & 73: 17, \end{array}$ | $14: 19, \quad 239: 13$ | assumed | $223: 19,224: 4,$ |
| 63:13, 73:17, $74: 1,121: 7$, | artificial | $225: 15$ | $224: 5, \quad 224: 25$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 74: 1,121: 7, \\ & 121: 11, \quad 134: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 225:8, 225:9, | assuming | aung |
| 121:11, $134: 14, ~ 139: 16$, | 243:16 ascribe | $\begin{aligned} & 153: 1,189: 25 \\ & \text { assumption } \end{aligned}$ | 22:3, 44:20 authority |
|  | 143:25 | 75:23 | 7:23 |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { available } \\ & 13: 7, \quad 40: 4, \\ & 102: 20, \quad 102: 24, \\ & 106: 23, \quad 127: 7, \\ & 255: 6 \\ & \text { avenue } \\ & 22: 13, \quad 22: 20, \\ & 22: 23, \quad 22: 24, \\ & 32: 9, \quad 36: 14, \\ & 36: 19, \quad 37: 15, \\ & 37: 17, \quad 37: 19, \\ & 41: 4, \quad 42: 13, \\ & 42: 25, \quad 44: 10, \\ & 44: 15, \quad 45: 10, \\ & 45: 17, \quad 46: 7, \\ & 64: 9, \quad 65: 9, \\ & 70: 16, \quad 71: 25, \\ & 84: 6, \quad 84: 9, \\ & 84: 19, \quad 87: 2, \\ & 115: 13, \quad 118: 7, \\ & 129: 1, \quad 132: 10, \\ & 133: 5, \quad 206: 14, \\ & 206: 15, \quad 209: 16, \\ & 210: 15, \quad 210: 16, \\ & 210: 22, \quad 213: 17, \\ & 213: 19, \quad 215: 7, \\ & 216: 1, \quad 216: 6, \\ & 217: 4, \quad 217: 25, \\ & 241: 5,245: 11, \\ & 246: 10, \quad 246: 14, \\ & 263: 9, \quad 265: 19, \\ & 266: 18 \\ & \text { average } \\ & 254: 3, \quad 256: 22 \\ & \text { avoid, } \\ & 46: 11, \quad 194: 24, \\ & 195: 2 \\ & \text { avoiding } \\ & 210: 24 \\ & \text { aware } \\ & 163: 18, \quad 198: 12 \\ & \text { awareness } \\ & 266: 23 \\ & \text { away } \\ & 38: 3, \quad 45: 22, \\ & 178: 13, \quad 206: 24, \\ & 210: 8, \quad 219: 22, \\ & 228: 21, \quad 248: 23, \end{aligned}$ | 248:25, 267:4 <br> awful <br> 192:24 <br> awkward <br> 255:19 <br> B <br> b <br> 3:8, 5:15, <br> 29:13 <br> b-r-o-d-e-r-i-c-k <br> 61:8 <br> back | 245:14 <br> backyards <br> 186:22 <br> bad <br> 25:19, 109:22, <br> 263:18 <br> baldwin <br> 15:17 <br> banks <br> 175:16 <br> barely <br> 186:5 <br> barge <br> 232:7 <br> base <br> 14:4, 77:1, <br> 115:21, 115:22, <br> 269:24, 270:6 <br> based <br> 21:13, 79:24, <br> 96:13, 150:4, <br> 153:5, 153:24, <br> 170:23, 183:9, <br> 186:17, 188:22, <br> 209:13, 210:24, <br> 211:23, 214:8, <br> 217:17, 221:2, <br> 226:13, 226:21, <br> 226:23, 227:6, <br> 227:14, 229:1, <br> 229:3, 233:15, <br> 238:4, 244:23, <br> 245:18, 249:4, <br> 254:2, 262:4 <br> basement <br> 243:7, 267:15 <br> basically <br> 12:16, 19:13, <br> 21:18, 37:7, <br> 43:25, 44:19, <br> 49:10, 119:3, <br> 138:9, 187:7, <br> 204:3, 209:19, <br> 216:18, 225:4, <br> 226:10, 229:4, <br> 230:7, 238:20 <br> basin <br> 118:3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```253:14, 253:16, 255:5, 255:19, 258:2, 258:13 before 2:12, 6:2, 16:2, 17:12, 18:9, 20:1, 43:10, 43:11, 52:4, 52:25, 61:14, 62:2, 67:13, 72:24, 81:21, 103:11, 103:24, 104:4, 109:10, 113:15, 123:2, 126:18, 128:10, 132:15, 137:5, 169:6, 174:3, 195:20, 213:13, 213:22, 215:2, 215:3, 216:16, 239:15, 249:8, 249:10, 249:13, 249:17, 249:18, 254:7, 256:8, 271:3 beforehand 201:19 began 173:4, 173:6 begin 20:1, 63:16 beginning 16:7, 16:25, 17:11, 28:1, 44:4, 52:9, 64:16, 115:24, 137:21, 175:20, 211:8 behalf 55:23, 102:6, 155:19 behind 59:22, 138:2, 235:11, 246:7, 248:22, 248:24 being 6:19, 39:19, 71:19, 81:6,``` |  |  | 66:7, 66:19, 66:20, 70:14, 97:23, 122:19, 166:23, 175:14, 175:17, 185:5, 188:1, 207:4, 210:1, 226:8, 238:4, 244:1, 266:17 <br> black $64: 2, \quad 81: 18$ $132: 2$ <br> blackout <br> 244:6 <br> blend <br> 248:19 <br> block <br> 19:21, 125:2, <br> 243:25, 244:1 <br> blocking <br> 164:2, 248:15 <br> blocks <br> 22:22 <br> blood <br> 60:2 <br> blue <br> 241:13 <br> blueprint <br> 70:7 <br> board $\begin{aligned} & 8: 9,19: 15, \\ & 43: 21, \quad 54: 14, \\ & 54: 16, \quad 88: 14, \\ & 107: 6,107: 22, \\ & 108: 14,108: 20, \\ & 109: 13,110: 7, \\ & 114: 13,115: 6, \\ & 166: 20,173: 15, \\ & 175: 16,178: 25, \\ & 195: 20,197: 25, \\ & 198: 10, \quad 206: 8, \\ & 213: 13, \quad 215: 2, \\ & 215: 3,216: 13, \\ & 254: 20 \\ & \text { board's } \\ & 110: 15 \\ & \text { board-on-board } \\ & 166: 10,166: 15, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 166:16 | breast | 137:2, 137:3, | 173:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| boost | 183:19 | 140:9, 140:10, | businesses |
| 100:18 | bricks | 142:2, 143:8, | 173:5, 248:20 |
| both | 100:4 | 146:9, 157:19, | buy |
| 11:5, 36:4, | brief | 158:1, 158:6, | 256:7 |
| 130:12, 131:16, | 6:7, 6:13, | 158:10, 194:24, | buying |
| 131:20, 135:10, | 232:8 | 195:1, 195:7, | 265:7 |
| 138:24, 194:5, | briefly | 195:10, 208:23, | bypass |
| 197:7, 209:18, | 172:15 | 208:24, 208:25, | 238:21 |
| 247:15, 253:2, | bring | 209:13, 209:18, | C |
| 254:19, 257:4, | 14:5 | 209:23, 210:7, | C |
| 259:15, 259:20, | bringing | $210: 8, \quad 210: 9$ | $172: 7$ |
| 260:10, 261:19, | 236:23 | $\begin{array}{ll} 210: 11, & 210: 13, \\ 210: 14 . & 210: 18 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 265: 11, \quad 267: 1 \\ & \text { bothers } \end{aligned}$ | broader | $\begin{array}{lll} 210: 14, & 210: 18, \\ 210: 19, & 210: 25, \end{array}$ | 231:21 |
| $244: 24$ | 135:2 | $211: 4,211: 8,$ | c-h-e-l-1 |
| bottles | 61:8 | 211:11, 211:15, | 240:21 |
| 60:5 | broke | 211:17, 211:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c1 } \\ & 148: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| bottom | 241:22 | $\begin{array}{ll} 211: 20, & 212: 12, \\ 212: 18, & 213: 20, \end{array}$ | cafeteria |
| 30:25 | broken | $\begin{aligned} & 212: 18, ~ 213: 20, \\ & 214: 5,214: 6, \end{aligned}$ | 59:22 |
| bought 232:17, 261:11, | 224:13 | $214: 10, \quad 215: 9$ | calculate |
| $261: 12$ | broome | 215:12, 216:2, | 150:3 |
| boundaries | \|brother-in-law | $\begin{array}{ll} 216: 7, & 221: 5 \\ 221: 7, & 222: 1 \end{array}$ | calculated $98: 17,153: 8 \text {, }$ |
| 80:20 | 232:16 | $\begin{array}{ll} 221: 7, & 222: 1, \\ 222: 6, & 223: 3, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 17,153: 8, \\ & 153: 13, \quad 223: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| boundary | brought | $\begin{array}{ll} \angle 2 \angle: 6, & \angle 23: 3, \\ 223: 8, & 228: 1, \end{array}$ | calculation |
| 127:20, 183:16, <br> 194:7, 194:12, | 47:20, 75:4, 195:20 | 229:6, 229:13, | 77:5, 95:16, |
| $194: 17$ | $195: 20$ buds | 229:18, 243:8, | 95:21, 226:15 |
| box | $117: 3, \quad 124: 3$ | 243:11, 243:16, | calculations |
| 30:11 | buffer ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 245: 23, & 248: 14, \\ 251: 15, & 261: 6 \end{array}$ | 153:5 |
| branch | 132:23, 183:14, | built | 11:2, 56:5 |
| 184:18, 186:25, $187: 4,187: 6,$ | 209:4, 209:11, | 187:8, 210:6 | caliber |
| 187:9, 187:20, | 212:1, 212:14, | bunch | 116:23, 122:19 |
| 188:12, 191:24, | 213:1 | 42:16 | caliper |
| 192:16, 193:10, | 117:9, 117:10 | bundle | $72: 11,198: 20$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 260: 3, \quad 264: 4 \\ & 264: 9 \end{aligned}$ | buffers | bus | 12:10, 12:14, |
| break | 208:19 | 232:23, 233:3, | 12:18, 13:21, |
| 53:25, 59:9, | build $\begin{aligned} & \text { 217:13, } 268\end{aligned}$ | 233:20, 237:5, | 13:24, 23:16, |
| 59:12, 60:1, | 217:13, 268 <br> building | 259:19 | 59:7, 60:2, |
| 60:8, 60:10, | building | business | 60:3, 103:2, |
| 86:16, 103:7, | 48:13, 81:20, | 15:9, 15:14, | 103:7, 126:5, |
| 103:22, 103:24, | 82:23, 83:2, | 15:15, 15:16, | 171:6, 212:2, |
| 136:14, 139:3, | 83:19, 100:3, $100: 9,118: 2$, | 33:9, 60:15, | 228:15, 249:8, |
| 162:13, 199:22 | $\begin{array}{ll}100: 9, & 118: 2, \\ 118: 14, ~ 124: 15, ~\end{array}$ | 61:3, 61:6, | 250:24, 268:25, |
| breaks | $125: 10,127: 6$ | 61:7, 173:11, | 269:24, 270:16 |
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| certify | channels | 244:22, 244:23, | class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 175:5, 271:4, | 105:23 | 253:22, 260:17 | 187:7 |
| 272:2 | character | choice | classes |
| cetera | 31:17, 134:8, | 200:20 | 211:22 |
| 74:3, 100:7, | 134:11, 136:5, | chose | classrooms |
| 135:19, 266:1 | 168:13, 170:11, | 156:1, 248:8 | 223:18 |
| ch1 | 181:23, 242:18, | christopher | cleaning |
| 68:25 | 256:12, 256:17 | 231:18, 232:10, | 257:5 |
| chair | characterize | 232:17 | clear |
| $74: 25$ | 93:23, 94:2 | chuckling | 28:25, 31:4, |
| challenge | characterized | 56:13 | 32:4, 57:8, |
| 6:22, 108:17, | 135:18 | church | 57:14, 81:2, |
| 218:17, 263:20, | charles | 209:24, 211:5, | 94:6, 147:7, |
| 263:23 | 3:23, 4:11, | 234:5 | 158:5, 179:23, |
| challenges | 258:20, 258:23, | churches | 193:12 |
| 218:16, 256:8 | 259:1, 259:5, | 248:20 | clearer |
| chamoun | 262:11 | circle | 32:4 |
| 3:20, 4:8, | chart | 90:2 | clearly |
| 231:14, 231:17, | 147:20, 147:22, | circled | 232:3 |
| 231:21, 232:4, | 148:11 | 35:17 | client |
| 232:6, 237:22, | check | circuit | 12:2, 39:20, |
| 237:25, 238:9, | 17:20, 18:2, | 106:11 | 44:13, 105:4, |
| 238:12, 238:19, | 56:5, 128:8 | circular | 155:22, 155:23, |
| 238:23, 239:2, | checked | 235:9 | 162:25 |
| 239:6, 239:11, | 75:9 | circulating | client's |
| 239:25, 240:3 | checking | 45:14 | 121:9 |
| champion | 128: 6 | circulation | clients |
| 69:16, 69:19, | chen's | 80:7 | 9:5, 10:8, |
| 132:12 | 11:10, 12:20, | circumstances | 55:23, 59:18, |
| chance | 35:22, 101:11, | 169:1 | 102:6, 102:10, |
| $17: 20,199: 7$ | 137:4, 171:11 | city | $126: 21,162: 7$ |
| change | cherry | 243:19 | $162: 15,162: 18,$ |
| 8:18, 76:2, | 64:2, 81:18 | civil | $163: 9,163: 12,$ |
| $76: 3,76: 4$, | child | 12:10, 15:20, | $164: 11$ |
| 76:5, 90:4, | 5:5, 223:14, | 15:23, 18:8, | close |
| 127:8, 129:4, | 228:16, 236:24, | 18:22, 18:23, | 19:24, 34:8, |
| 129:8, 181:23, | 255:13, 264:19, | 19:4, 19:11, | 38:23, 41:25, |
| 187:6, 188:25, | 265:20, 266:6 | 171:10, 172:3, | 42:15, 145:2, |
| 194:19, 195:8, | child's | 199:2, 202:10 | 171:25, 181:18, |
| 195:10, 195:11, | 266:6 | claimed | 228:23, 232:13, |
| 214:9, 242:18, | childcare | 259:7 | 243:21, 254:6, |
| 249:1, 249:7, | 263:19 | clarification | 259:14, 264:15, |
| 266:14 | children | 8:12, 156:17, | 265:20, 267:4, |
| changed | 5:6, 140:19, | $254: 13$ | 268:25 |
| 14:13, 23:1, | 211:22, 224:17, | clarify | closed |
| 129:10, 255:24, | $232: 20,233: 19,$ | $99: 9$ | $115: 22$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 261: 24 \\ & \text { changes } \end{aligned}$ | 234:9, 236:23, | clarity | closely <br> 195.2, 214.9 |
| changes $23: 9,130: 23$ | 237:4, 244:20, | $152: 13$ | 195:2, 214:9 |
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| ```closer 33:10, 37:9, 89:24, 89:25, 90:2, 90:14, 90:16, \(90: 24\), 210:1, 210:14, 210:16, 242:21, 256:25 closest 115:21, 227:25, 229:15 cmp 25:19 cob 63:3 code 109:7, 169:15, 209:2, 210:22, 210:23, 212:18, 221:16, 221:17, 221:18, 221:19, 221:21, 223:13, 227:7, 229:2, 229:3 collected 188:20 collection 255:17, 257:5 college 266:7 collins 2:12, 271:2, 271:13 color 72:11, 132:19 colored 130:14 colorized 126:8 combination 143:23, 211:6 combine 44:8, 127:21 come 7:5, 9:21, 11:1, 14:2, 14:9, 40:9, 53:11, 59:12,``` |  | 249:25, 253:1 commercial $\begin{aligned} & 243: 8, \\ & 243: 243: 16, \\ & 248: 14, \\ & 256: 15, \\ & 268: 9 \end{aligned}$ <br> commercialized 261:7 <br> commission <br> 30:23, 43:12, <br> 43:24, 49:17, <br> 56:22, 155:20, <br> 162:18, 172:25, <br> 177:5, 177:13, <br> 178:5 <br> committee <br> 43:10, 49:16, <br> 56:6 <br> common <br> 10:8 <br> communities <br> 188:11 <br> community <br> 200:17, 232:15, <br> 256:20, 256:25, <br> 268:11, 268:13, <br> 268:15 <br> commute <br> 17:2 <br> compaction $\begin{aligned} & 83: 11, \quad 84: 25, \\ & 92: 24, \quad 98: 4, \\ & 98: 5, \quad 157: 17 \end{aligned}$ <br> company <br> 173:6, 248:21 <br> compared <br> 53:7, 182:24 <br> compatibility <br> 134:23, 135:1, <br> 135:15, 135:17, <br> 135:23, 135:25 <br> compatible <br> 135:23, 135:24, <br> 136:8, 170:3 <br> competent <br> 143:21 <br> complaint <br> 179:13 | complement 168:17 completed $8: 21,129: 5,$ $138: 21$ <br> completely $70: 9,125: 11,$ $147: 8,219: 25,$ $221: 8$ <br> completing $190: 14$ <br> compliance $134: 16,134: 22,$ $134: 25,147: 20$ $148: 11,150: 24$ <br> compliant 23:17, 147:16, $149: 7,150: 20 \text {, }$ 210:11, 215:11, $215: 16$ <br> complicate $219: 2$ <br> complications <br> 227:14 <br> complied <br> 212:19 <br> complies <br> 212:9 <br> comply <br> 206:15, 208:5, <br> 208:25, 212:18, <br> 220:17, 220:22 <br> complying <br> 43:23 <br> components <br> 41:14, 136:14, <br> 152:9 <br> comprising 149:23 <br> compromises 255:21, 255:24 computation <br> 226:17, 227:4, 230:10, 230:11 computations 225:15 <br> concentration <br> 118:17, 253:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| concept | concurrence | confident | 104:10, 107:23, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:19, 43:5, | 195:16 | 65:20 | 108:17, 108:24, |
| 49:17, 50:17, | condition | configuration | 110:5, 112:8, |
| 51:24, 52:8, | 28:15, 47:12, | 158:2, 206:11 | 113:9, 140:6, |
| 52:11, 52:22, | 100:22, 101:9, | confirmation | 155:11, 156:2, |
| 52:24, 53:3, | 124:7, 163:23, | 109:12, 123:6 | 168:21, 168:23, |
| 53:7, 56:7, | 164:7, 164:18, | confirmed | 168:24, 169:2, |
| 57:25, 58:7, | 164:22, 170:13, | 133:25, 134:3 | 169:21, 170:1, |
| 115:8, 204:16, | 187:10, 187:11, | confuse | 173:7, 174:14, |
| 205:4, 205:21, | 195:19, 196:7, | 56:20 | 174:24, 175:4, |
| 205:23, 206:9, | 214:18, 216:3, | confused | 175:8, 175:19, |
| 207:16 | 217:24, 222:8 | 162:1 | 176:11, 178:10, |
| concepts | conditional | confusing | 179:14, 180:7, |
| 44:1, 203:21, | 5:4, 6:23, | 125:15 | 180:24, 195:17, |
| 253:14 | 8:19, 47:13, | confusion | 196:7, 196:10, |
| conceptual | 53:5, 53:24, | 28:20, 75:19 | 196:23, 197:12, |
| 142:21, 169:24, | 58:21, 100:22, | congested | 197:21, 198:1, |
| 170:2, 204:12, | 107:4, 139:25, | $242: 16$ | $212: 22$ |
| 205:3 | 155:25, 169:3, | congestion | consider |
| concern | 175:24, 179:16, | 233:24, 233:25, | 65:1, 109:6 |
| 7:8, 7:25, | 180:1, 180:11, | 236:7, 237:10, | considerable |
| 35:22, 85:1, | 180:19, 182:6, | 267:22 | 67:7 |
| 98:4, 117:12, | 182:12, 195:18, | connect | considerations |
| 146:7, 161:19, | 195:22, 196:11, | $86: 23,184: 10,$ | 211:12 |
| 170:14, 201:4, | 197:25, 203:14, | $238: 21,238: 23$ | considered |
| 209:18, 239:1, | 210:12, 213:15, | connected | 52:22, 56:6, |
| $\begin{array}{ll}239: 2, ~ 242: 2, ~ \\ 255: 7, & 260: 7,\end{array}$ | 222:16, 222:18, | 22:15, 65:4 | 64:13, 211:7, |
| $\begin{array}{ll}255: 7, & 260: 7, \\ 261: 2, & 63.13,\end{array}$ | 227:3, 227:9, | connecting | 211:10, 212:20, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 261: 2, \quad 263: 13, \\ & 267: 10 \end{aligned}$ | conditions | 32:16 | 225:13 <br> consistent |
| concerned | 14:16, 19:16, | connection | 44:22, 101:5, |
| 6:21, 88:5, | 29:1, 64:7, | $128: 25,129: 3$ | $182: 21,260: 9,$ |
| 92:17, 100:4, | 163:19, 182:21, | $129: 25, \quad 130: 7$ | $261: 11$ |
| 233:22, 235:6, | 187:17, 205:4 | connects | consists |
| 245:17, 245:23, | Condo | 184:12, 186:18 | 64:9 |
| 247:16, 248:1, | 232:14, 232:16 | consequences | constant |
| 249:3, 253:3 | conducive | $164: 21$ | 233:12 |
| concerns | 261:21 | conservation | constantly |
| 86:1, 248:17, 257:24 | conduct $33: 3,145: 12$ | $6: 24,7: 14$ | 85:1, 234:1, |
| concluded | 33:3, 145:12 conducted | 8:3, 42:19, | 235:14 construct |
| 103:24, 197:1, | 144:16, 146:1, | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 14, \quad 68: 9, \\ & 69: 9, \quad 72: 14, \end{aligned}$ | 83:21, 85:14, |
| 270:20 | 176:25, 182:18, | $72: 15,73: 3$ | 99:22, 162:13 |
| concluding | $261: 18,261: 19,$ | $73: 9,73: 11$ | constructed |
| 10:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 261: 20, \quad 261: 23, \\ & 261: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $73: 13,74: 10,$ | $163: 25,216: 6$ |
| conclusion $40: 3, ~ 97: 16$ | conduction | 74:15, 74:18, | constructing |
| concur | 154:20 | $99: 25, \quad 100: 23$ | construction |
| 181:9, 181:12 |  | 99:25, 100:23, | 83:17, 84:13, |
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| 85:23, 85:25, 92:24, 92:25, 99:4, 99:13, 99:17, 99:18, 100:2, 100:8, 115:16, 162:10, 189:21, 254:15 consultant 172: 4 consultants 15:24 consulting 16:3, 16:14, 61:7, 61:11, 173:4, 173:6, 173:11, 173:12 contained 7:13, 87:7 context $58: 18, \quad 119: 2$ <br> contiguous $78: 11$ <br> continual $257: 4$ <br> continuance <br> 201:14, 201:15 continuation <br> 5:3, 71:6 <br> continue $\begin{aligned} & 59: 2, \quad 76: 20, \\ & 83: 24, \quad 89: 10, \\ & 116: 3,119: 18, \\ & 187: 19, \quad 201: 16, \\ & 211: 14,218: 2, \\ & 246: 23,263: 22, \\ & 265: 1,270: 17 \\ & \text { continuing } \\ & 51: 12, \quad 64: 24, \\ & 212: 16 \\ & \text { continuous } \\ & 71: 17, \quad 118: 12 \\ & \text { contour } \\ & 34: 11 \\ & \text { contribute } \\ & 191: 10 \\ & \text { control } \\ & 93: 17,172: 22, \\ & 173: 20,174: 11, \end{aligned}$ | ```195:3 controls 188:14, 188:17 convene 103:18, 103:19 convenience 257:19 conveniences 259:14 conversation 7:5, 45:25, 60:23, 72:8, 165:12, 176:24, 217:17, 266:22 conversations 89:19 cooperative 164:20 coordinate 9:2, 269:10 coordinated 154:22 coordinating 11:7 coordination 100:24, 226:5 copies 29:19 copy 17:14, 17:16, 19:16, 30:22, 50:25, 67:3, 112:20, 148:10, 172:12 corner 22:1, 22:2, 22:21, 25:15, 25:23, 30:17, 30:21, 31:2, 31:19, 32:6, 49:11, 64:18, 64:25, 65:8, 68:23, 69:7, 71:2, 71:7, 71:24, 78:3, 78:10, 78:12, 84:8, 86:20, 87:4, 92:10,``` |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 45:13, 49:15, | 165:3, 165:15, | 261:5 | 246:12, 255:19, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51:24, 75:12, | 168:6, 169:19, | development | 263:16, 268:2 |
| 164:5, 172:19, | 169:24, 170:2, | 33:5, 42:3, | difficulty |
| 172:20, 173:2 | 172:20, 207:10, | 49:16, 56:6, | 265:22 |
| depending | 224:21, 224:24, | 70:9, 71:21, | dig |
| 8:18, 10:12, | 224:25, 255:25 | 87:7, 138:10, | 54:24, 55:21 |
| 12:23, 98:16, | designated | 154:12, 172:23, | digress |
| 223:17 | 77:10, 239:12 | 182:5, 187:15, | 59:3 |
| depicted | designed | 188:10, 213:2, | diligently |
| 83:20, 114:22, | 160:18, 188:18, | 218:12, 233:10, | 93:1 |
| 132:18, 144:1, | 188:22, 223:20 | 233:18, 234:19, | dimensional |
| 154:24, 157:20 | designs | 241:4, 242:10, | 159:20 |
| depiction | 169:22 | 245:10, 250:1, | dimensions |
| 65:22, 132:11 | desire | 252:20, 253:6 | 217:11 |
| depth | 166:8 | diameter | dining |
| 119:2 | destruction | 67:9, 69:1, | $243: 6$ |
| derwood | 82:22 | 81:19, 83:7, | direct |
| 62:16, 231:18, | detail | 94:17, 94:23, | 103:23, 104:23, |
| 252:13, 263:6 | 6:12, 170:2, | 95:17, 96:2, | $123: 11,125: 2 \text {, }$ |
| describe | 209:6, 209:7, | 96:4, 96:6, | 136:19, 146:5, |
| 33:16, 98:13, | 209:8, 225:11, | 96:14, 183:19 | 146:6, 156:14, |
| 112:21, 115:7, | 225:14 | dictates | $166: 11,166: 22,$ |
| 166:4, 183:5, | detailed | 40:11 | 193:23, 243:13 |
| 186:15, 202:6, | 118:21, 161:12 | difference | direction |
| 218:10, 224:4, | details | 153:8, 256:20 | 80:2, 80:3, |
| 224:5 | 12:4, 105:16, | differences | 156:14, 212:23 |
| described | 112:21, 115:9, | 127:11, 152:22, | directions |
| $132: 6,138: 9,$ | $140: 24,143: 22 \text {, }$ | $257: 2$ | $86: 19,247: 16,$ |
| $150: 18, \quad 150: 22,$ | $224: 3$ | different | $265: 11$ |
| $164: 21,187: 18,$ | deteriorate | $11: 14,16: 3,$ | directive |
| 194:14, 257:10 | 246:1, 246:6 | $38: 21,42: 8,$ | 168:19 |
| describing | determinants | 43:25, 48:2, | directly |
| $116: 18$ | $74: 14$ | $53: 3, \quad 126: 1,$ | $32: 18,32: 20,$ |
| description | determination | 126:3, 127:5, | $34: 3, \quad 40: 9,$ |
| 4:17, 50:14, | $41: 11, \quad 102: 22$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 157: 25, & 158: 1, \\ 163 \cdot 16 & 175 \cdot 23 \end{array}$ | $83: 2, \quad 90: 23$ |
| 188:8 | determine | $\begin{array}{ll} 163: 16, & 175: 23, \\ 176: 11, & 202: 12 \end{array}$ | $98: 5, \quad 169: 2,$ |
| descriptions | 33:4, 36:6, | 176:11, 202:12, | 206:13, 223:18, |
| $46: 18$ <br> deserves | $92: 19,100: 14$ determined | $\begin{aligned} & 203: 18, ~ 203: 20, \\ & 206: 16, ~ 212: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $232: 12, \quad 241: 3,$ |
| 48:24, 49:4 | determined $168: 8,210: 20$ | 223:9, 223:16, | director |
| design | detrimental | 256:5, 257:18, | $173: 1$ |
| 39:22, 39:23, | $82: 21$ | 266:10, 269:22 | disagreed |
| 70:9, 71:8, | detrimentally | differential $152: 20$ | 225:13, 225:14 |
| 78:14, 79:16, | $92: 18$ | 152:20 <br> differently | discharge |
| 121:22, 121:23, | developed | differently 228:17, 228:20 | $192: 8$ |
| $132: 22, ~ 140: 7$, $144: 11, ~ 146: 4$, | 21:10, 152:7, | 228:17, 228:20 <br> difficult | discharges |
| $144: 11, ~ 146: 4$, $146: 24, ~ 164: 4$, | $\begin{array}{ll} 173: 8, & 202: 11, \\ 254: 8, & 257: 13, \end{array}$ | 130:20, 132:20, | $\begin{aligned} & 186: 24 \\ & \text { disclosing } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | $54: 21,54: 24,$ |
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|  | ```226:16, 235:22, 236:1, 246:10, 259:17, 265:16 drop-off 228:23, 235:5, 253:21, 254:3 drop-offs 253:25 dropping 228:16, 233:1, 233:2, 247:8, 265:13, 266:2, 267:20 drops 36:2 due 255:22, 255:24 dug 183:23 dumpster 228:5, 228:9 duplex 256:10 duplicate 242:12, 246:8, 263:11 during 6:22, 16:11, 33:7, 85:25, 93:13, 100:2, 105:4, 155:14, 165:6, 194:4, 195:4, 235:12, 236:18, 246:20, 246:22, 246:24, 251:12, 260:9, 260:12, 260:24, 268:1 dwelling 152:7```E <br> $\mathbf{e - d} \mathbf{- u}-\mathbf{a - r}-\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{o}$ <br> $15: 12$ <br> e-mail <br> $47: 10, \quad 107: 15$, <br> $232: 1, \quad 240: 9$ <br> e-mails <br> $49: 2,55: 21$ |  | ```132:17, 132:22 eastern 25:11, 27:13, 32:10, 33:11, 34:7, 36:14, 37:4, 40:10, 64:20, 65:3, 65:25, 67:6, 67:22, 67:24, 70:21, 71:1, 71:7, 80:2, 80:20, 81:7, 81:16, 82:16, 82:17, 84:19, 85:7, 96:25, 97:23, 98:20, 99:23, 115:19, 115:24, 116:14, 116:15, 116:17, 116:19, 119:7, 119:16, 120:11, 120:12, 122:7, 125:3, 125:4, 125:8, 133:4, 137:12, 145:9, 151:12, 151:17, 151:18, 151:21, 154:9, 154:13, 159:5, 159:12, 159:17, 159:23, 164:1, 170:12, 170:17, 183:3, 183:16, 194:7, 194:11, 194:12, 194:17, 211:20 easternmost 115:22, 118:25, 221:11 eat 60:4 echo 252:24 ecological 254:25 ecologically 255:8 edge 71:14, 78:16,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```79:18, 144:12, 219:20 edify 186:14 effect 121:1, 122:1, 122:2, 234:24, 238:22, 261:13 effective 137:6, 210:19, 254:18 effectively 23:13, 125:11 effects 236:16 efficient 9:22 effort 7:12, 10:24 eight 87:15 eighteen 28:2 either 5:25, 7:2, 36:3, 167:6, 209:1 elaborate 22:16 elderly 263:13, 268:7 elect 256:17 electronically 271:5 element 122:3, 122:10, 123:23, 125:11, 146:25, 157:9, 168:19 elementary 232:22, 237:4, 265:17 elements 115:7, 129:10, 138:2, 141:10, 150:1 elevation 118:2, 152:20,``` | ```152:22, 215:11 elevations 33:17, 120:3, 152:24 eligible 237:5 eliminate 42:18 else 5:23, 11:8, 13:8, 16:19, 35:17, 138:24, 195:25, 218:22, 221:3, 237:15 else's 84:16 elsewhere 62:9 emergency 257: 6 emphasized 215:19 employed 61:10, 271:6, 272:7 employee 49:14 employees 226:14, 230:8, 260:16 encounter 64:5, 115:18 encroach 145:1 encroachment 84:16, 84:22, 85:16, 92:23, 94:9, 97:8, 98:3, 102:23 encroachments 79:21 end 22:18, 22:20, 33:9, 39:18, 42:5, 42:11, 63:20, 70:15, 70:17, 77:8, 79:18, 91:20,``` |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```218:2, 219:17, 219:20, 219:22, 219:23, 220:6, 220:7 exists 182:17, 183:14 exiting 247:18, 260:8, 260:13 expand 208:17, 223:20 expanding 235:16 expansively 172:15 expect 12:25, 53:18, 93:10, 137:9 expectations 117:24 expected 56:25, 74:16, 166:3, 200:23 expecting 165:19 expects 47:11 expedited 13:5 experience 146:13, 146:14, 175:14, 175:15, 175:18, 183:9, 226:11, 235:8 experienced 169:10, 267:20 expert 12:20, 18:8, 18:22, 19:3, 19:7, 19:11, 62:2, 141:9, 173:13, 173:23, 174:3, 174:7, 175:3, 175:9, 175:13, 176:16, 176:17, 176:21, 200:16, 201:16, 214:12, 243:23``` |  | ```face-to-face 59:8 facilities 139:4, 215:13, 256:13, 257:3 facility 81:9, 83:13, 83:21, 187:9, 206:20, 228:12, 228:13, 233:13, 244:2, 248:9, 260:9, 262:6, 263:9, 265:24, 267:16 facing 214:1, 227:13, 228:1, 229:18 fact 33:6, 71:4, 85:9, 102:19, 111:5, 124:23, 126:1, 141:15, 158:20, 160:2, 177:3 factor 96:9, 168:6, 170:14 factors 168:8 factually 97:13 failed 187:1 fair 66:25, 266:12 fairly 11:20, 172:15, 253:7 fake 245:20 falls 157:10, 250:24 familiar 17:7, 17:9, 51:19, 119:21, 120:22 familiarize 19:13``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| families | 84:22, 97:24, | female | 265:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 260:19 | 97:25, 98:1, | 125:21 | field |
| family | 106:1, 234:19, | fence | 19:4, 35:18, |
| 21:25, 22:1, | 234:23, 242:6, | 22:21, 23:25, | 35:19, 65:22, |
| 25:22, 33:24, | 248:18, 251:9, | 24:1, 116:9, | 90:11, 145:14 |
| 42:2, 44:20, | 255:4, 257:9, | 116:10, 117:16, | fifteen |
| 254:3, 263:8, | 257:25, 261:12, | 120:25, 122:11, | 6:13, 45:7, |
| 265:4, 267:3, | 261:14, 262:17, | 122:17, 123:6, | 45:8, 139:5 |
| 268:3 | 270:4 | 123:7, 123:12, | fifty-two |
| far | feet | 123:23, 124:11, | 262:2 |
| 7:23, 41:23, | 23:9, 23:10, | 125:5, 125:8, | figuratively |
| 97:17, 149:25, | 24:20, 24:21, | 125:12, 136:14, | 59:6 |
| 150:17, 166:4, | 26:11, 26:20, | 136:16, 136:18, | figure |
| 208:4, 228:21, | 27:1, 27:2, | 136:20, 137:23, | 95:10, 114:6, |
| 249:23, 253:17, | 27:7, 27:8, | 145:20, 146:1, | 145:24 |
| 267:4 | 27:11, 27:13, | 146:3, 146:4, | file |
| fast | 36:12, 37:5, | 146:5, 147:1, | 54:10, 55:14, |
| 257:20 | $37: 6,38: 10$, | 160:19, 160:25, | 56:1, 108:2, |
| faster | 39:19, 44:17, | 161:15, 162:8, | 168:22 |
| 36:17 | 45:24, 47:4, | 162:19, 163:1, | filed |
| favor | 47:6, 47:13, | 163:6, 163:20, | 6:20, 29:13, |
| 233:18, 253:5, | 53:11, 53:13, | 163:25, 165:13, | 90:8, 106:23, |
| 260:5 | 65:15, 94:17, | 166:5, 166:6, | 106:25, 108:19, |
| favorable | 119:6, 122:3, | 166:9, 166:10, | 126:21, 156:3, |
| 206:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 122: 10,122: 21, \\ & 123: 8,123: 17 . \end{aligned}$ | 166:13, 166:17, | 168:21, 169:2, |
| façade | $\begin{array}{ll} 123: 8, & 123: 17, \\ 124: 6, & 137: 03 \end{array}$ | 166:19, 209:2, | 177:9 |
| 214:10, 223:2 | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} 124: 6, & 137: 23, \\ 149: 23 . & 150: 5 \end{array}\right.$ | 209:5, 212:2, | files |
| feasible | $\begin{aligned} & 149: 23, \quad 150: 5, \\ & 150: 6 . \end{aligned}$ | 212:5, 217:1, | 251:21, 251:23 |
| 83:22 | 150:6, 151:9, | 217:3, 223:25, | filing |
| feature | $\begin{array}{lll} 151: 10, & 151: 16, \\ 151: 17, & 151: 19 \end{array}$ | $224: 4, ~ 224: 6$, $254.15, ~ 259.24$, | $126: 22$ |
| 71:3, 82:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 151: 17, \\ & 184: 14, \\ & 187: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 254: 15, \quad 259: 24 \\ & 267: 5 \end{aligned}$ | filled |
| 82:13 | 188:1, 189:17, | fenced | 235:14 |
| features $21: 17,63: 14$, | $190: 16, \quad 207: 1,$ | 79:21 | final |
| $63: 19,129: 11$ | 207:14, 207:16, | fences | $\begin{array}{ll} 72: 7, & 90: 12, \\ 93: 9, & 93: 12, \end{array}$ |
| 132:5, $224: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 208: 3, \quad 208: 12, \\ & 208: 15, \quad 208: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 146:13, 146:14 | 93:13, 98:16, |
| february | $209: 2,209: 3$ | fencing $84: 14,85: 15,$ | 99:25, 100:23, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 39: 14, \quad 45: 20, \\ & 50: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 209:5, 210:13, | $84: 14, ~ 85: 15$, $85: 20, ~ 86: 24$, | $\begin{aligned} & 146: 4, \quad 155: 11, \\ & 155: 15, \quad 156: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| feed | 212:14, 213:4, | 86:25, 99:20, | 156:6, 156:8, |
| 199:13 |  | 100:1, 163:21 | 156:16, 160:20, |
| feedback | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 221: 12, & 222: 3, \\ 222: 11, & 222: 14 \end{array}\right.$ | fending | 160:21, 162:10, |
| 161:15 | $222: 16,222: 20 \text {, }$ | fertilization | 168:21, 169:2, |
| feeds | $\begin{array}{ll} 222: 23, & 223: 6, \end{array}$ | fertilization $100: 18$ | 214:23, 249:18 |
| 188:11 | $227: 16,256: 21$ | $100: 18$ festivities | finally |
| feel | felt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { festivities } \\ & 267: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $108: 23$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 12: 16, & 48: 9, \\ 60: 25, & 69: 12 \end{array}$ | 89:25, 266:17 | few | financial $271: 8, \quad 272: 9$ |
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| find | 46:23, 47:9, | folder | 175:16, 176:17, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47:15, 48:24, | 47:21, 50:24, | 148:21 | 176:18, 176:21 |
| 56:5, 76:9, | 61:5, 73:9, | folding | forgive |
| 89:16, 95:14, | 74:13, 74:21, | 202:8 | 95:15 |
| 107:8, 108:2, | 76:21, 86:17, | folks | forgot |
| 171:8, 175:2, | 98:18, 109:4, | 19:14, 230:21 | 193:19, 193:20 |
| 227:2, 227:9, | 126:24, 127:12, | follow | form |
| 259:7 | 128:8, 135:25, | 12:25, 111:1 | 75:12, 108:11, |
| finding | 137:16, 154:14, | following | 269:23, 270:1, |
| 29:18 | 168:25, 183:23, | 33:22, 49:6, | 270:5 |
| fine | 185:10, 203:22, | 115:25, 184:21 | formal |
| 21:2, 21:9, | 213:5, 231:6, | food | 42:25, 43:14, |
| 21:16, 22:8, | 231:7 | 255:2, 255:10, | 52:8, 52:15 |
| 25:7, 34:19, | fit | $255: 13,257: 20$ | formally |
| 37:13, 43:14, | 45:22, 262:17, | foot | $205: 2$ |
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|  | ```functions 202:12 funds 190:7, 190:8, 266:7 further 54:6, 56:15, 56:19, 63:25, 64:24, 69:13, 81:15, 84:22, 85:10, 92:18, 100:11, 118:6, 133:7, 159:6, 170:20, 189:18, 195:12, 198:25, 207:5, 210:1, 210:13, 211:17, 213:22, 215:14, 234:24, 245:12, 246:5, 247:13, 251:11, 254:12, 256:25 future 33:5, 201:19, 218:12, 219:4, 266:7``` <br> G <br> 9 <br> 185:22, 186:10, <br> 186:17, 187:22, <br> 187:23, 190:19 <br> gaithersburg <br> 261:5 <br> garage <br> 267:15 <br> gas <br> 246:18 <br> gathering $250: 19$ <br> gave <br> 142:11 <br> general $\begin{aligned} & 63: 18, \quad 100: 3, \\ & 102: 6, \quad 115: 8, \\ & 122: 22, \quad 133: 17, \\ & 143: 5,168: 13, \\ & 192: 21, \quad 247: 19, \end{aligned}$ |  | 61:3, 66:10, 66:24, 75:15, 87:12, 87:14, 112:9, 112:24, <br> 121:12, 131:10, <br> 131:20, 137:6, <br> 139:5, 140:12, <br> 148:18, 160:16, <br> 171:24, 172:14, <br> 179:25, 185:4, <br> 187:22, 221:23, <br> 226:8, 238:4, <br> 241:9, 269:6 <br> given <br> 51:20, 92:21, <br> 142:9, 158:9, <br> 159:16, 160:7, <br> 211:18, 229:22 <br> gives <br> 70:5 <br> giving <br> 219:6, 235:17 <br> glad <br> 101:25 <br> glare <br> 146:6, 164:2 <br> goal <br> 166:13 <br> goes $\begin{aligned} & 10: 6, \quad 22: 22, \\ & 25: 21, \quad 25: 23, \\ & 98: 19, \quad 120: 21, \\ & 175: 11, \quad 215: 6, \\ & 215: 25, \quad 260: 10 \\ & \text { gone } \\ & 261: 18 \\ & \text { good } \\ & 5: 13, \quad 5: 19, \\ & 8: 12, \quad 8: 21, \\ & 9: 22, \quad 15: 1, \\ & 16: 5, \quad 42: 15, \\ & 63: 12, \quad 66: 22, \\ & 74: 2, \quad 88: 18, \\ & 93: 7, \quad 104: 7, \\ & 116: 5, \quad 133: 13, \\ & 166: 24, \quad 170: 13, \\ & 171: 25, \quad 187: 10, \\ & 187: 11, \quad 187: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Administrative Hearing - Day 2
Conducted on March 5, 2020

| 199:23, 260:25 google <br> 270:14 <br> gotcha <br> 154:1 <br> gotten <br> 187:14 <br> government <br> 46:19, 46:21 <br> government's <br> 46:25 <br> grab <br> 95:13 <br> grade <br> 33:17, 125:3, <br> 125: 6 <br> grades <br> 119:22, 120:13 grading $82: 21,83: 11 \text {, }$ $85: 8, \quad 157: 17$ <br> grande $\begin{aligned} & 236: 15, \quad 236: 22, \\ & 237: 1, \quad 237: 3, \\ & 237: 6, \quad 238: 3, \\ & 239: 18, \quad 240: 2, \\ & 240: 3, \quad 265: 14 \\ & \text { granted } \\ & 255: 7, \quad 261: 23 \\ & \text { graphically } \\ & 166: 14 \end{aligned}$ <br> grass <br> 225:7, 245:22 grassland 64:13 grasslands 63:24, 64:24 grassy 236:6 <br> gratuitously 185:2 <br> gravel $\begin{aligned} & 39: 4, \quad 129: 2, \\ & 129: 7, \\ & 218: 4, \\ & 218: 5, \\ & 246: 1, \\ & 246: 5, \\ & 246: 3, \\ & 247: 12 \end{aligned}$ | great <br> 125:18 <br> greater $37: 10, \quad 65: 4$ $159: 22$ <br> green $\begin{aligned} & 117: 23, \\ & 133: 132: 19, \\ & 150: 5, \\ & 151: 104, \\ & 223: 9, \\ & 2243: 23, \\ & 225: 2 \end{aligned}, 224: 12,$ <br> ground <br> 124:20, 136:17, <br> 162:13, 189:17, $241: 22$ <br> groups <br> 223:16, 260:19 grove $232: 19, \quad 233: 3$ $264: 14$ <br> grow <br> 183:22, 212:23 <br> grows <br> 71:16, 138:1 growth $\begin{aligned} & 16: 6,137: 15, \\ & 137: 20, \quad 143: 25, \\ & 150: 10,151: 1, \\ & 254: 10 \\ & \text { guess } \\ & 16: 24, \quad 47: 18, \\ & 51: 10, \quad 62: 18, \\ & 101: 10, \quad 102: 18, \\ & 136: 11, \quad 139: 3, \\ & 139: 7,155: 18, \\ & 159: 11, \quad 178: 18, \\ & 179: 12, \quad 188: 3, \\ & 198: 19, \quad 218: 8, \\ & 238: 17, \quad 238: 24, \\ & 244: 9 \\ & \text { guidance } \\ & 56: 21, \quad 70: 5 \\ & \text { guidelines } \\ & 215: 15 \\ & \text { gully } \\ & 187: 1, \quad 193: 3 \\ & \text { gun } \\ & 246: 15 \end{aligned}$ |  | hard <br> 42:4, 112:9, <br> 175:2, 219:19, <br> 234:21, 234:22, <br> 236:20, 239:16, <br> 247:21 <br> hardship <br> 138:6 <br> harmonious <br> 134:8, 134:10, <br> 134:19, 134:21 <br> hatched <br> 35:14 <br> head <br> 20:24, 75:1, <br> 152:24 <br> headlight <br> 164:2, 166:11 <br> headlights <br> 146:10, 166:12, <br> 254:18, 254:23 <br> health <br> 137:17 <br> healthy <br> 170:18, 263:23 <br> hear <br> 88:8, 123:3, <br> 134:24, 135:22, <br> 160:15, 160:18, <br> 161:8, 164:11, <br> 201:5, 255:1 <br> heard <br> 19:12, 51:9, <br> 88:25, 100:20, <br> 118:23, 131:1, <br> 183:4, 214:13, <br> 266:21, 267:3, <br> 267:11, 267:16, <br> 269:5 <br> hearings <br> 1:1, 3:3, 18:9, <br> 198:3, 201:2, <br> 251:14 <br> hearsay <br> 48:22, 48:23, <br> 51:9 <br> heavily <br> 265:15 |
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| 69:22, 73:23, | 114:12, 115:11, | 85:6, 85:10, | $\begin{aligned} & 100: 11, ~ 170: 22, \\ & 170: 9, \quad 170: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
| 77:25, 100:10, | 127:10, 127:11, | 92:23, 95:7, | $170: 17,181: 17$ |
| 103:23, 137:10, | $\begin{array}{ll} 135: 24, & 145: 8, \\ 203: 25, & 206: 17 \end{array}$ | 98:3, 98:19 limitations | 181:18, 194:6, |
| 162:3, 175:8, |  | limitations | 206:22, 209:24, |
| 189:12, 208:5, | letter $6.16,110 \cdot 15$, | 234:20 | 210:19, 211:5, |
| 219:7, 223:8, | 6:16, 110:15, | limited | $213: 4,218: 17,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 235: 14, \quad 246: 21 \\ & \text { leave } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113: 10, ~ 197: 22, \\ & 205: 9,269: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ll} 65: 7, & 79: 22, \\ 87: 6, & 87: 10, \end{array}$ | 219:10, 219:24, |
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| 223:22, 223:23, | locate | logistics | 43:22, 54:18, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 246:14 | 71:12 | 80:5 | 55:14, 56:14, |
| lines | located | logo | 62:22, 64:15, |
| 35:14, 73:16, | 5:6, 18:16, | 92:11 | 73:1, 74:8, |
| 220:1, 220:4 | 21:19, 31:17, | long | 74:9, 81:12, |
| lining | 32:22, 35:2, | 10:15, 19:21, | 112:25, 122:20, |
| 39:23 | $35: 5,37: 1$, | 19:23, 30:2, | 124:6, 130:4, |
| list | 48:14, 63:25, | 79:19, 80:1, | 130:13, 131:25, |
| 108:14, 109:6, | 64:8, 64:15, | 131:9, 137:5, | 137:12, 144:18, |
| 111:21, 270:15 | 64:22, 66:3, | 137:8, 170:19, | 144:23, 145:3, |
| listened | 66:5, 67:6, | 181:6, 183:8, | 147:19, 147:22, |
| 234:17 | 69:4, 83:12, | 183:11, 244:3, | 148:11, 182:17, |
| listening | 83:19, 87:19, | 244:4, 244:25, | 195:21, 219:21, |
| 234:15, 235:2 | 90:12, 120:9, | 247:10, 259:9 | 243:10, 259:10 |
| literally | 157:20, 158:18, | long-term | looks |
| 59:6, 97:2, | 221:3, 222:1, | 84:24, 117:8, | 35:14, 186:18 |
| 245:15, 246:15, | 227:23, 228:10, | 133:11, 228:15, | loop |
| 263:15 | 228:18 | 229:5 | 195:3, 259:24 |
| literature | location | longer | loosening |
| 253:12 | 4:21, 33:13, | 24:24, 25:3, | 100:17 |
| little | $34: 12,36: 16$, | 248:1 | lose |
| 11:15, 25:14, | 36:20, 37:4, | look | 94:11, 231:6 |
| 48:25, 49:7, | 41:22, 81:20, | 29:22, 50:25, | loss |
| 54:1, 60:5, | 89:20, 89:22, | 70:16, 74:21, | 72:11 |
| 66:7, 66:19, | 90:25, 91:7, | 77:9, 81:19, | lot |
| 66:20, 70:14, | 91:22, 91:25, | 113:16, 121:11, | 37:24, 40:20, |
| 76:19, 97:23, | 102:20, 116:1, | 129:23, 129:24, | 41:16, 42:20, |
| 118:5, 122:19, | 116:2, 153:25, | 130:12, 132:7, | 42:22, 75:3, |
| 166:23, 185:4, | 158:1, 204:1, | 137:23, 151:22, | 75:10, 81:10, |
| 186:3, 186:10, | 206:5, 206:19, | 163:12, 166:5, | 100:9, 115:19, |
| 187:25, 207:4, | 209:12, 211:15, | 169:7, 169:16, | 116:3, 117:21, |
| 210:1, 213:22, | 217:8, 218:23, | 169:25, 195:1, | 117:23, 118:1, |
| 226:8, 238:4, | 218:24, 221:4, | 201:22, 210:23, | 118:7, 118:10, |
| 244:1, 246:25, | 221:6, 241:9, | 214:5, 214:11, | 118:18, 124:15, |
| 251:6, 256:25, | 251:15 | 214:12, 226:24, | 124:19, 124:21, |
| 266:17 | locations | 258:2, 270:8, | 125:10, 129:2, |
| live | 36:9, 36:10, | 270:11 | 132:24, 132:25, |
| 231:17, 232:10, | 36:25 | looked | 133:5, 136:21, |
| 232:11, 236:8, | lockers | 41:17, 56:1, | 145:21, 146:8, |
| 237:3, 240:18, | $229: 6$ | 97:20, 106:22, | 147:10, 148:5, |
| 250:18, 263:8 | lod | 108:1, 126:22, | 149:1, 149:22, |
| lived | 170:16 | 169:23, 182:3, | 149:25, 164:2, |
| 241:25 | logical | 182:8, 202:10, | 183:11, 184:22, |
| living | 221:6 | 256:7 | 192:24, 195:3, |
| 122:20, 243:6, | logically | looking | 201:4, 216:23, |
| 243:14, 243:19, | 261:7 | 7:24, 29:5, | 218:15, 225:19, |
| 248:5 | logistical | 36:21, 41:8, | 228:6, 229:9, |
| local | 83:21 | 41:20, 43:19, | 229:12, 229:15, |
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| 236:6, 241:3, | 202:2, 227:8, | majority | 228:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 244:1, 245:20, | 227:12, 230:2, | 25:17, 132:14 | management-relat- |
| 254:10, 255:9, | 268:20 | make | ed |
| 256:5, 256:18, | mad | 7:25, 15:3, | 175:7 |
| 257:25, 266:6, | 214:20 | 31:4, 39:10, | maneuver |
| 269:22, 270:3 | madam | 41:6, 42:24, | 207:23 |
| lots | 59:18, 74:25, | 52:13, 60:19, | manufacturer |
| 21:12, 21:15, | 107:7, 107:17, | 73:1, 85:18, | 160:21 |
| 188:24, 267:25 | 162:2, 172:11, | 87:18, 91:21, | manufacturer's |
| loud | 173:22, 193:17, | 93:6, 102:21, | 160:25 |
| 78:23 | 197:5, 209:9, | 111:25, 114:3, | many |
| love | 220:15 | 128:20, 130:19, | 21:12, 38:20, |
| 259:8 | made | 130:20, 143:7, | 42:8, 58:13, |
| loved | 29:14, 41:12, | 161:3, 171:18, | 86:3, 86:9, |
| 242:4, 248:5 | 46:15, 46:16, | 184:24, 192:22, | 141:12, 163:16, |
| lovely | 49:21, 78:9, | 200:19, 200:25, | 181:7, 184:3, |
| 242:5 | 78:14, 94:6, | 201:7, 201:11, | 203:18, 203:20, |
| low | 128:18, 128:22, | 203:3, 207:25, | $203: 25,206: 10,$ |
| 60:2 | 129:8, 168:20, | 208:16, 218:16, | $206: 16,212: 7,$ |
| low-density | 179:22, 200:25, | 219:3, 219:8, | $225: 24,226: 11,$ |
| 237:14 | 250:1, 250:17, | 231:12, 232:3, | 227:19, 232:7, |
| lower | 255:5, 255:22, | 234:11, 234:22, | 235:15, 244:22, |
| 30:17, 31:2, | 263:10, 266:12 | 236:17, 236:20, | 264:25, 266:19, |
| 78:7, 78:9, | magical | 239:3, 239:5, | 270:7 |
| 92:10, 117:2, | 226:5 | 239:15, 239:18, | map |
| 117:14, 123:25, | main | 239:19, 240:8, | 64:15 |
| 124:12 | 118:17, 187:12, | 240:12, 248:11, | maple |
| lucky | 212:8, 213:19, | 249:16, 252:7, | 64:1, 64:23, |
| 246:25 | 214:1, 214:6, | 258:18, 262:20, | 67:8, 67:9, |
| lunch | 215:8, 215:12, | $\begin{aligned} & 263: 11 \\ & \text { makes } \end{aligned}$ | 67:21, 67:22, |
| 10:1, 60:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 216: 2, \quad 216: 6, \\ & 227: 25 . \end{aligned} 228: 22$ | makes $205: 20,267: 22$ | $82: 25,83: 7$ |
| 60:10, 103:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 227: 25, ~ 228: 22, \\ & 228: 24, ~ 229: 6, \end{aligned}$ | making | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89:17, } 156: 19 \\ & \text { marah } \end{aligned}$ |
| $103: 19,103: 24$, $104: 5,139: 3$, | $248: 16, \quad 265: 21$ | $7: 23,49: 15,$ | march $1: 11$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 104: 5, \quad 139: 3, \\ & 139: 5 \end{aligned}$ | mainly | $199: 10,205: 21,$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 1: 11 \\ \text { mark } \end{array}$ |
| lynn | $242: 24$ | $205: 22, \quad 218: 11,$ | 30:16, 30:25, |
| 3:4, 5:7 | maintain | $\begin{aligned} & 237: 1, \\ & 239: 3, \\ & 239: 13 . \\ & 239: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 50:10, 50:11, |
| M | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 137: 17 \\ & \text { maintained } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 239: 13,239: 17, \\ & 260: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 90:19, 91:2, |
| m-a-s-e-r | 38:15 | male | $92: 11, \quad 125: 20,$ |
| m-i-t-c-h-e-l-l | maintenance | 106:7, 106:20, | 126:4, 131:13, |
| 240:20 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 37: 21, & 39: 5, \\ 118: 15 & \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 199:14 } \\ & \text { mana } \end{aligned}$ | $241: 8$ <br> marked |
| ma'am | major | 55:9, 55:10 | $4: 21,51: 22,$ |
| 13:20, 14:17, | 63:19, 170:13, | management | 57:17, 68:2, |
| $63: 1, \quad 113: 24,$ | 189:10, 189:13, | $\text { 173:11, } 174: 12,$ | 91:21, 91:24, |
| 145:5, 199:19, | 189:21, 193:9, | $\begin{array}{lll} 176: 19, & 188: 14, \\ 225 \cdot 11, & 228 \cdot 12 \end{array}$ | 127:15, 172:7, |
| 145.5, 199.19, | 218:16, 260:7 | 225:11, 228:12, | 185:9, 228:2, |
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| 228:3 | matures | meaning | members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| market | 71:16 | 52:15 | 143:20 |
| 241:21 | maturity | means | memory |
| marking | 137:6 | 21:6, 36:19, | 18:15, 89:23, |
| 51:6, 91:3, | max | 40:8, 139:17, | 128:9, 250:5 |
| 91:10, 241:13 | 124:6 | 166:15 | mention |
| maryland | maximum | meant | 87:18, 157:10, |
| 1:2, 1:10, | 95:7, 254:9 | 99:9, 125:9, | 266:16 |
| 2:13, 3:9, 3:15, | maybe | 268:12 | mentioned |
| 5:7, 73:14, | 7:5, 68:2, | measure | 78:2, 82:15, |
| 172:5, 173:2, | 92:1, 95:9, | 36:20, 96:2, | 87:2, 87:24, |
| 177:4, 177:13, | 101:20, 109:14, | 145:13 | 98:17, 115:14, |
| 231:18, 252:13, | 110:16, 146:20, | measured | 123:25, 198:21, |
| 263:6, 271:14 | 185:21, 248:13, | 68:21, 69:12 | 242:17, 243:22, |
| maser | 256:10, 257:20, | measurement | 248:4, 254:16, |
| 16:3, 16:13, | 258:2, 268:5 | 96:16 | 263:15, 265:15, |
| 17:5, 61:6, | mc | measures | 267:5 |
| 61:11, 139:22, | 25:20, 40:21, | 85:15, 92:21, | meredith |
| 139:25, 160:7 | 40:23, 42:22, | 98:3, 98:11, | 54:10 |
| mass | 46:1, 49:2, | 98:14, 100:17, | met |
| 96:17 | 205:9 | 268:6 | 36:15 |
| master | md | medium | metric |
| 188:22 | 2:5 | 77:10 | 227:6 |
| match | mean | meet | metro |
| 71:5 | 13:4, 31:23, | 11:16, 23:19, | 232:19, 235:20, |
| matching | 38:19, 38:20, | 24:10, 25:20, | 235:25, 236:9, |
| 44:19, 44:21, | $38: 21, \quad 44: 12,$ | $36: 22, \quad 37: 1$ | $242: 5, \quad 248: 7$ |
| $215: 13$ | $77: 22, \quad 88: 5$ | $37: 11, \quad 38: 16,$ | $259: 15, \quad 259: 16$ |
| material | $90: 7, \quad 94: 3,$ | $39: 4, \quad 39: 18,$ | $260: 4, \quad 260: 11$ |
| 116:11, 148:8, | 97:15, 99:13, | 41:5, 42:14, | $260: 23,264: 15$ |
| 167:3, 168:14, | 106:20, 107:13, | 44:15, 44:18, | mia |
| 180:18, 225:1, | 114:10, 124:6, | 117:22, 117:24, | 22:3 |
| 225:2, 225:3 | 124:8, 126:20, | 133:23, 162:6, | mic |
| materials | 134:18, 138:16, | 204:3, 229:20, | 19:24, 20:2, |
| 85:4, 85:23, | 142:13, 142:15, | 257:17, 264:19 | 28:5, 28:18, |
| 99:18, 100:2, | 143:3, 144:4, | meeting | 109:18, 129:17, |
| 100:3, 164:4, | 145:18, 145:19, | 38:23, 39:14, | 131:19, 161:24, |
| $165: 3, \quad 165: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 154: 1, \quad 155: 23, \\ & 158: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $41: 6, \quad 50: 1$ | $185: 23$ |
| matter $6: 6,6: 8,7: 22$, | $163: 6,164: 7,$ | meetings | 81:8 |
| 8:22, 47:6, | 164:17, 169:9, | 40:23, 46:16, | micro-bioretenti- |
| 47:19, 56:20, | 170:11, 177:21, | 203:20, 214:8 |  |
| 67:21, 106:13, | 209:22, 210:18, | meets | 118:3, 133:2 |
| 107:21 | 210:19, 218:15, | 33:13, 44:6, | microphone |
| matters | 234:7, 244:6, | 69:21 | 231:9 |
| 4:3, 6:2, 6:3, | 247:8, 257:12 | member | mid |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7: 12, \quad 8: 1,47: 25 \\ & \text { mature } \end{aligned}$ | meander $207: 6,211: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 13, \quad 54: 15, \\ & 140: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 24 \\ & \text { mid-level } \end{aligned}$ |
| 122:14, 243:25 |  |  | 124:9, 124:10 |
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| ```middle 25:17, 26:22, 27:5, 35:13, 193:24, 200:15 midway 35:10, 35:11 might 72:19, 78:23, 84:4, 94:11, 98:14, 158:2, 185:4, 195:5, 217:8, 217:9, 217:12, 269:8, 269:11, 270:13 mike-o-u 231:21 mile 237:6 miller 5:15 mind 56:14, 56:15, 59:10, 185:20 minded 255:8 mine 85:1 minimal 85:13 minimize 207:25, 244:8 minimum 24:10, 36:22, 38:17, 39:18, 41:5, 41:9, 42:14, 119:11, 147:25, 149:16, 149:18, 149:23, 149:24, 150:1, 151:9, 151:15, 151:20, 164:10, 166:12, 183:21, 207:2, 212:9, 216:4, 223:13, 223:14 minimums 148:3 minute 46:10, 50:14,``` | ```59:12, 92:9, 102:17, 112:24, 143:15, 150:13 minutes 6:13, 12:14, 48:13, 51:16, 57:21, 103:16, 139:5, 230:15, 234:16, 254:3, 262:17 miracle 266:21 missed 105:8 mistake 78:10 mistaken 67:11, 100:10, 235:3 mitchell 3:21, 4:9, 240:14, 240:17, 240:20, 240:21, 240:24, 241:1, 241:2, 241:11, 241:14, 241:20, 244:21, 245:9, 250:7, 250:10, 250:13, 250:15, 250:22, 251:17, 252:1, 263:15, 265:4 mitigate 72:6, 93:2, 254:18 mitigated 84:23, 255:15 mitigation 100:17, 173:8, 173:9, 175:15, 254:22 mnc 105:23 model 146:4, 161:18, 161:21, 163:5 modify 68:12``` | ```mom's 237:19 moment 171:7 moms 207:20 moncaster 236:13 monday 234:3, 234:7 money 242:3, 244:8 monroe 3:8, 3:14, 5:15 monstrosity 248:12, 248:13 montgomery 1:2, 3:2, 18:10, 22:11, 24:10, 33:13, 38:15, 38:17, 38:24, 39:3, 49:14, 62:6, 107:22, 172:18, 172:20, 173:9, 212:10, 218:20, 237:11, 241:24, 241:25, 253:9, 256:4, 264:20, 268:4 months 126:24 more 32:25, 36:12, 49:7, 66:10, 66:21, 93:23, 103:22, 118:4, 119:15, 148:16, 149:1, 162:4, 166:3, 167:14, 188:1, 189:1, 201:21, 207:14, 214:11, 218:22, 226:9, 234:13, 234:14, 236:7, 236:25, 237:7, 237:9, 237:12, 238:24, 242:3,``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & 164: 4, \quad 195: 24, \\ & 216: 5, \quad 250: 17 \\ & \text { myself } \\ & 66: 4 \end{aligned}$ N <br> nail $257: 19$ <br> name $5: 7,5: 14,$ $5: 20, \quad 13: 22$ $15: 9, \quad 15: 11$ $15: 12, \quad 20: 19$ $20: 21,43: 9$ $60: 15,61: 3$ $61: 4,61: 5,$ $126: 6,171: 24,$ $172: 3,231: 16$ $240: 9, \quad 240: 16$ $240: 17,245: 21$ $252: 11,252: 12$ $258: 22,262: 24$ $263: 2$ <br> named <br> 22:12 <br> nancy $231: 22$ <br> napkins $60: 6$ <br> narrow $80: 1,80: 2$ <br> 211:20, 255:20 <br> nation <br> 226:12 <br> national <br> 62:13, 177:4, <br> 177:13 <br> native <br> 117:4, 124:5, <br> 124:7, 124:8, <br> 168:15 <br> natural <br> 27:16, 27:18, 65:21, 167:9, 167:11, 216:5 nature <br> 8:1, 46:17, <br> 55:3, 117:7, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```243:21, 248:9, 248:18, 248:19, 249:2, 256:13, 256:18, 256:23, 259:6, 259:9, 259:11, 259:12, 259:22, 261:4, 262:9, 263:21, 265:1, 266:14, 268:13 neighborhoods 247:11 neighboring 65:5, 78:3, 84:5, 100:12, 181:19 neighbors 136:8, 248:2, 260:11, 261:12, 266:11, 266:22, 267:3 neither 271:6, 272:7 nelson 66:2, 69:14, 90:11, 154:21, 154:22, 178:22, 178:23, 179:7, 180:15, 181:5, 196:16, 198:9 nelson's 110:1, 195:15, 195:24, 198:14 net 77:8, 245:13 network 37:18 never 55:12, 56:14, 57:12, 85:1, 169:6, 169:10, 205:10, 259:8, 264:2 new 36:10, 87:16, 126:25, 127:3, 127:4, 130:21, 130:22, 137:18,``` |  | 64:16, 71:23, 81:16, 151:20, 203:24, 209:19, 210:15, 217:4, 223:9, 227:13 north-south <br> 215:7 <br> northeast <br> 22:2, 64:18, 70:13, 119:14, 120:10, 120:18, 151:23, 208:5, 241:24 <br> northerly <br> 81:12 <br> northern <br> 22:23, 70:17, <br> 71:22, 71:24, 80:1, 115:10 northwest <br> 68:23, 69:5, 69:6, 86:19, 115:11, 132:9, 156:25, 157:2 norway <br> 64:9, 64:10, 81:15 <br> notary 2:13 <br> note <br> 22:25, 46:11, <br> 51:11, 54:8, <br> 110:23, 110:25, 243:1 <br> noted <br> 51:4, 63:20, 70:20, 124:18, 256:21 <br> notes <br> 49:10, 50:14, <br> 57:21, 89:16, <br> 95:22, 157:15, <br> 204:19, 204:20 <br> nothing $\begin{aligned} & 15: 4, \quad 60: 20, \\ & 98: 18, \quad 98: 23, \\ & 98: 24, \quad 99: 11, \\ & 101: 18, \quad 171: 19, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 114:20, 114:23, | objections | 160:12, 163:13, | 171:15, 172:10, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134:16, 134:17, | 268:14 | 163:14, 194:11 | 186:9, 189:15, |
| 135:4, 163:23, | objective | office | 190:5, 207:15, |
| 175:17, 216:4, | 251:8 | 1:1, 3:2, 18:9, | 214:25, 215:2, |
| 217:24, 226:2, | obligation | 145:16, 154:23, | 230:24, 230:25, |
| 226:6, 226:14, | 65:12 | 251:15, 269:10 | 252:3, 264:10, |
| 226:19, 226:21, | obscure | officer | 269:20 |
| 228:12, 228:13, | 124:15, 125:9, | 271:2 | old |
| 229:22, 235:16, | 136:21, 136:23, | offices | 221:17, 234:7 |
| 242:11, 244:19, | 137:1, 138:2 | 5:15, 251:13 | olga |
| 249:8, 250:14, | obscured | official | 3:24, 4:12, |
| 250:25, 255:21, | 136:19, 147:2, | 131:7 | 262:22, 262:25, |
| 260:16, 260:17, | 166:12 | officially | 263:3, 263:5, |
| 267:23, 269:24, | obscurity | 264:6 | 263:8, $264: 9$, |
| 270:8, 270:14 | 166:11 | offset | 264:11, 264:14, |
| numbers | observation | 72:10, 166:20 | 264:18, 264:23, |
| 8:25, 229:20, | 6:7, 253:18 | offsite | 267:2, 267:9, |
| 254:2, 254:4, | obstructed | $67: 7, \quad 77: 2$ | 268:23 |
| 260:15 | 124:21 | $77: 3, \quad 77: 7,$ | on-board |
| numerical | obtained | 78:12, 84:2, | 254:21 |
| 74:13, 86:3 | 32:13 | 85:10, 87:8, | once |
| numerous | obviously | 87:23, 87:25, | 36:16, 85:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 235: 7, \quad 247: 21 \\ & \text { nurseries } \end{aligned}$ | 70:17, 82:25, | $173: 8, \quad 184: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 8, \quad 206: 14, \\ & 214: 12, \quad 244: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| 122:22 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 84: 16, \quad 87: 6, \\ & 90: 1, \quad 92: 23, \end{aligned}\right.$ | $235: 23,236: 20,$ | $254: 11$ |
| nursery | 97:1, 98:17, | 236:22, 237:8, | oncoming |
| 122:22 | 122:20, 124:23, | 239:17 | 234:13, 239:14, |
| 0 | 138:1, 146:25, |  | 247:5 |
| oath | 154:17, 233:12, | $8: 23,23: 8 \text {, }$ | one $7: 15, \quad 9: 4$ |
| $139: 20, \quad 202: 1$ | 242:21 | $\begin{array}{ll} 24: 8, & 30: 21, \\ 37: 25 . & 46: 7 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 15, \quad 9: 4, \\ & 17: 23, \quad 18: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| object | occur | $37: 25,46: 7$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 17: 23, & 18: 18, \\ 27: 21, & 29: 21, \end{array}$ |
| 46:21, 50:7, | $55: 15, \quad 229: 12$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 49: 22, & 55: 7, \\ 60: 16, & 63: 1, \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 27: 21, & 29: 21, \\ 32: 16, & 32: 24, \end{array}$ |
| 61:15, 82:9, | occurred $57 \cdot 13$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 60: 16, & 63: 1, \\ 73: 25, & 76: 6, \end{array}$ | $36: 9,36: 10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 269: 25 \\ & \text { objected } \end{aligned}$ | 57:13 october | $80: 25,88: 17,$ | 38:25, 39:1, |
| 198:9 | 108:16, 108:19, | 91:18, 92:3, | 39:13, 41:17, |
| objection | 108:22, 109:22, | 94:18, 95:12, | 41:24, 42:5, |
| 30:6, 46:12, | 110:2, 110:6, | $96: 10, \quad 101: 14,$ | $44: 1,45: 12,$ $45: 24, \quad 48: 1$ |
| $51: 1$, $51:$ $51: 9$, | $241: 22, ~ 242: 9$ off-street | $\begin{aligned} & 103: 4, \quad 105: 5, \\ & 105: 12, \quad 108: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 45: 24, & 48: 1, \\ 52: 18, & 55: 19, \end{array}$ |
| 51:12, 57:16, | Off-street | $113: 6,120: 8,$ | $67: 3,68: 20,$ |
| 91:10, 102:6, | 253:18 | $123: 7, \quad 123: 16,$ | $72: 4, \quad 72: 22$ |
| 108:7, 124:17, | offense | $125: 18, \quad 126: 19,$ | $73: 1, \quad 73: 23,$ |
| 135:22, 136:9, | 125:24 | $130: 5, \quad 131: 17,$ | $76: 8,83: 2,$ |
| 136:11, 138:12, | offer | $140: 24,144: 5,$ | $84: 17, \quad 86: 6,$ |
| 140:21, 174:1, | $\begin{array}{ll} 173: 23, & 176: 6, \\ 176 \cdot 10 & 249 \cdot 17 \end{array}$ | $145: 5,149: 19,$ | 88:6, 88:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 177: 21,198: 10, \\ & 230: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 176: 10,249: 17, \\ & 249: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 155:9, 157:7, | 89:7, 99:18, |
|  | offered | 163:8, 165:20, | 102:12, 108:1, |
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|  | ```127:4, 127:8, 127:14, 128:19, 129:4, 129:8, 160:3, 168:16, 168:19, 191:1, 192:4, 200:9, 214:13, 215:15, 221:6, 221:13, 221:19, 234:16, 235:17, 236:10, 243:25, 246:16, 255:10, 258:15, 270:8 onsite 86:17, 86:18, 177:3, 188:15, 188:16, 190:21, 226:15, 255:4 opaque 116:10, 117:16, 123:10, 136:18, 146:3, 160:19, 166:9, 209:1 open 35:18, 63:23, 64:11, 70:16, 70:18, 70:24, 119:15, 119:17, 237:22, 242:7, 246:16, 251:21, 251:23 opened 254:7 opening 217:1, 217:2, 254:9 operation 195:6, 226:19, 228:23, 229:22, 237:19, 254:11, 262:2 operation-wise 226:6, 228:21 operations 83:11, 83:21, 85:8, 85:25, 99:22, 142:3, 245:5``` | operator $233: 9$ operators 233:5 opinion $71: 15, \quad 83: 23$, $85: 18, \quad 94: 15$, $98: 7, \quad 98: 8$, $121: 7, \quad 121: 12$, $121: 13, \quad 134: 6$, $134: 15, \quad 134: 22$, $135: 2, \quad 135: 4$, $136: 3, \quad 157: 5$, $157: 24, \quad 158: 7$, $163: 17, \quad 180: 22$, $182: 19, \quad 183: 9$, $183: 11, \quad 218: 19$, $219: 11, \quad 255: 7$ opinions $176: 7, \quad 176: 10$, $181: 3$ opportunities $71: 21, \quad 255: 13$, $256: 7$ opportunity $6: 20, \quad 16: 5$, $16: 6, \quad 16: 18$, $17: 3, \quad 33: 2$, $33: 3, \quad 66: 10$, $112: 9, \quad 160: 17$, $252: 24, \quad 254: 10$, $257: 22$ oppose $270: 8$ opposed $180: 11, \quad 242: 10$ opposite $84: 8, \quad 87: 4$, $166: 20$ opposition $9: 12$ opted $168: 22$ optimal $256: 1$ optimum $35: 2, \quad 35: 5$ option $42: 23, \quad 129: 19$, | ```156:2, 159:22 options 163:16 order 9:13, 12:8, 168:5, 200:14, 207:2, 234:22, 235:24, 255:5 ordinance 5:4, 11:12, 133:16, 133:22, 134:16, 134:22, 147:17, 148:6, 150:21, 168:13, 170:3, 270:9 organize 202:12 orientation 194:25, 238:4 original 29:5, 29:6, 30:10, 129:20, 154:18, 206:23, 216:10 originally 11:10, 44:1, 109:19, 188:22, 208:11, 260:21 ornament 123:20 ornamental 117:3, 123:20, 123:25, 132:13 osa 108:2 other 6:3, 7:18, 19:14, 22:9, 37:14, 39:20, 42:12, 43:23, 53:12, 56:14, 60:1, 64:1, 73:19, 73:20, 83:25, 96:25, 104:8, 107:2, 116:11, 129:7, 135:8, 142:2, 142:10, 142:13,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 249: 2, \quad 249: 7, \\ & 257: 24 \end{aligned}$ <br> overcome $219: 10$ <br> overestimated <br> 7:3 <br> overlay <br> 79:23, 127:22 overlook $232: 14,252: 20$ <br> own <br> 48:1, 173:4, 232:14, 244:8 <br> owned $\begin{aligned} & 21: 25, \quad 22: 2, \\ & 25: 21, \quad 33: 23 \\ & \text { owner } \\ & 44: 13, \quad 67: 10, \\ & 89: 19, \quad 93: 14, \\ & 93: 15, \quad 93: 18, \\ & 98: 1, \quad 98: 9, \\ & 101: 1, \quad 101: 12, \\ & 101: 21, \quad 116: 11 \\ & \text { Owners } \\ & 84: 5 \end{aligned}$ P <br> package <br> 161:14, 218:10 <br> packet <br> 59:8 <br> page <br> 4:2, 29:21, 109:25, 196:3 <br> pages <br> 1:24 <br> paid <br> 249:24 <br> pain <br> 167:10 <br> paint <br> 167:12 <br> pamela $1: 25,272: 2,$ <br> 272:14 <br> paper <br> 56:21 <br> paperwork <br> 47:14, 47:17, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```partial 190:13 partially 253:2, 254:17 participate 169:20 particular 45:23, 48:7, 54:18, 68:13, 69:14, 69:15, 71:5, 74:17, 83:1, 83:23, 84:11, 91:10, 100:7, 108:23, 143:25, 175:21, 200:19, 253:6, 256:6 particularly 46:20, 49:1, 119:22 parties 5:11, 6:4, 59:16, 116:12, 155:18, 201:20, 205:8, 249:9, 249:12, 251:2, 271:7, 272:8 parts 86:16, 180:6 party 6:3 pass 247:24 passed 269:8 past 58:9, 98:19, 242:9, 247:1 pat 22:24 path 248:3 pathway 129:3, 129:7, 216:5, 255:20 pattern 124:14 patterns 80:7, 261:22``` |  | $246: 6, \quad 246: 9$, $246: 11, \quad 247: 7$, $248: 3, \quad 261: 10$, $266: 19, \quad 267: 11$, $269: 12, \quad 269: 25$, $270: 2, \quad 270: 3$, $270: 8$ people's $243: 22, \quad 248: 22$ percent $32: 4, \quad 41: 19$, $69: 22, \quad 77: 11$, $77: 22, \quad 94: 13$, $94: 21, \quad 95: 6$, $96: 19, \quad 97: 9$, $97: 25, \quad 102: 22$, $120: 9, \quad 150: 25$, $153: 15, \quad 179: 21$, $229: 3, \quad 229: 4$ perfect $78: 15$ perform $98: 2$ performing $63: 17$ perimeter $133: 22, \quad 150: 20$, $151: 5, \quad 208: 19$, $208: 21$ period $235: 9, \quad 251: 13$, $254: 5$ perjury $15: 3$, $171: 17, \quad 231: 12$, $240: 11$, $252: 6$, $258: 18, \quad 262: 19$ permanent $229: 4$, permitted $99: 6, \quad 99: 20$ perpendicular $118: 8, \quad 133: 6$, $238: 16, \quad 238: 17$ person $231: 6, \quad 231: 7$, $245: 6, \quad 258: 16$ personal $83: 22, \quad 210: 6$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 253:5 | phrase | placed | 16:16, 17:8, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| personally | 136:5 | 266:18, 267:3 | 17:10, 27:17, |
| 120:4, 142:12, | physical | placement | 39:21, 41:16, |
| 260:14 | 145:14 | 170:10 | 42:4, 53:20, |
| perspective | physically | plaintiff | 109:23, 165:14, |
| 63:13, 76:24, | 144:20, 144:25 | 7:24, 255:15 | 168:8, 172:23, |
| 80:8, 232:9, | pick | plaintiffs | 176:3, 176:6, |
| 233:5, 233:8, | 19:25, 239:20, | 253:23, 254:1 | 183:20, 184:7, |
| 233:15 | 265:16 | plane | 234:18, 244:19, |
| pervious | picked | 136:18 | 266:12 |
| 225:13 | 155:13, 237:23, | planned | plant |
| peter | 247:19 | 263:9, 265:20 | 198:20 |
| 81:11 | picking | planner | planted |
| petitioner | 266:3, 267:20 | 135:18, 177:15, | 137:22, 175:23, |
| 5:16, 7:12 | picks | 178:22 | 183:16 |
| petitioner's | 235:19 | planner's | planting |
| 6:5 | pickup | 177:18 | 118:25, 122:25, |
| petitioners | 235:5, 253:22, | planning | 123:24, 124:14, |
| 10:7 | 254:3 | 8:9, 10:23, | 151:5, 208:19, |
| pf | pickups | 16:4, 30:23, | 208:21 |
| 113:1 | 253:25 | 39:15, 42:9, | plantings |
| pf-4 | pictures | 42:22, 43:7, | 72:7, 72:10, |
| 197:21 | 241:5 | 43:12, 46:1, | $\begin{aligned} & 118: 4 \\ & \text { nlat } \end{aligned}$ |
| pfe | piece | 47:14, 49:1, | plat <br> 37:22, 38:6 |
| 91:23, 108:10, | 78:5 | 49:2, 49:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 22, \quad 38: 6, \\ & 38: 7, \quad 38: 9,75: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $108: 11$ | piecing | $52: 16,54: 4,$ | $38: 7,38: 9,75: 9$ |
| pfop | $260: 15$ | $54: 13,54: 16,$ | platted |
| 8:18, $90: 7$, | pine | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 22, \quad 56: 25, \\ & 70: 7, \quad 88: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 20: 14, & 20: 16, \\ 20: 17, & 20: 25, \end{array}$ |
| 90:8, 91:21, | $68: 22, \quad 69: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 7, \quad 88: 14, \\ & 100: 25, \quad 107: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 20: 17, & 20: 25, \\ 37: 22 \end{array}$ |
| $91: 24, \quad 93: 6$, $106: 15,107: 6$, | $86: 20,115: 14,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 100: 25, & 107: 5, \\ 107: 22, & 108: 14, \end{array}$ | play |
| $106: 15, ~ 107: 6$, $109: 7,110: 6$, | 167:7 | 108:20, 109:13, | $223: 15,224: 13,$ |
| $109: 7,110: 6$, $113: 2, ~ 178: 2$, | pipes <br> 189:2, | $110: 7,110: 15,$ | $224: 15, \quad 233: 20,$ |
| 178:7, 178:14, | 189:22 | 119:9, 133:22, | 261:1, 265:1 |
| 179:24, 180:4, | piping | 135:2, 155:20, | playground |
| 180:11 | 187:24, 188:11, | 162:6, 162:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 12, \quad 157: 11, \\ & 157: 16, \quad 211: 23 . \end{aligned}$ |
| pfip-4 | 189:3, 189:4 | 164:4, 164:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 157: 16, ~ 211: 23, \\ & 223: 16, ~ 223: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4:21, 74:7, | pips | $\begin{array}{ll} 172: 24, & 172: 25, \\ 174: 12, & 175: 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 223: 16, & 223: 18, \\ 223: 19, & 224: 2, \end{array}$ |
| 74:10, 92:4, | $189: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 174: 12,175: 18, \\ & 177: 5 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 223: 19, \quad 224: 2, \\ & 224: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 92:7, 109:25 | pittsburgh | $\begin{array}{ll} 177: 5, & 177: 13, \\ 178: 5, & 178: 25 . \end{array}$ | 224:20 |
| phase | 15:17, 17:2 | 178:5, 178:25, | playgrounds |
| 162:4 | place | $\begin{aligned} & 195: 20, ~ 197: 25, \\ & 198: 10, ~ 208: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 195: 10, \quad 243: 4, \\ & 243: 11 \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| phone 172:2, $234: 2$ | $7: 1, ~ 37: 8$, $115: 15, ~ 254: 18$, | 213:13, 215:2, | playlot |
| phonetic | $\begin{aligned} & 115: 15, ~ 254: 18, \\ & 257: 20, ~ 259: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 215:3, 216:13, | 83:15 |
| 22:3, 25:22, | $259: 11, \quad 259: 12,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 218: 22, \quad 268: 10, \\ & 270: 12 \end{aligned}$ | playroom $243: 7$ |
| 33:23, 55:9 photographs | $\begin{aligned} & 259: 13,267: 17, \\ & 268: 6 \end{aligned}$ | plans | pleadings |
| 234:2 |  | 16:1, 16:12, | 6:12 |
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| ```presently 77:19 preservation 28:15, 77:20, 115:13, 122:8, 175:11, 212:25 preserve 85:6 preserved 79:14, 170:18, 179:4, 179:10 preserving 69:17, 86:18 pressure 167:5 pressure-treated 167:6 presumably 174:19 presumed 255:10 pretty 187:10, 196:1, 198:24, 232:13, 233:16, 245:12, 248:11, 254:6 prevalent 89:18 prevent 100:9, 146:5, 192:25 preventing 212:3 previous 127:7, 159:25, 252:25 previously 16:11, 87:3, 89:12, 115:14, 126:21, 177:10, 218:15 primarily 64:9, 82:15, 140:4, 146:6, 166:13 primary 66:23, 71:12, 84:25, 98:4,``` | ```146:7 primrose 1:6, 4:20, 5:17, 18:16, 50:17, 51:25, 58:7, 62:15, 140:10, 140:16, 142:2, 142:11, 155:19, 155:24, 156:6, 157:12, 158:9, 159:11, 159:16, 159:19, 162:6, 162:7, 163:7, 168:19, 177:9, 196:8, 196:22, 211:19, 217:16, 226:5, 226:9, 226:12, 229:23, 230:10, 232:13, 233:9, 234:11, 245:10, 260:12, 264:19, 265:20 primrose's 168:7, 207:10, 207:13 primroses 209:8 principally 260:6 principle 211:1 prior 19:2, 140:8, 162:3, 164:3 private 84:16, 84:22, 87:6, 93:18, 99:19, 163:21, 190:8 probably 11:14, 12:14, 12:24, 27:12, 32:25, 37:5, 43:21, 48:1, 89:3, 100:25, 103:15, 103:16, 136:4, 136:6,``` |  | $\begin{aligned} & 218: 18 \\ & \text { professionals } \\ & 73: 19, \quad 73: 20 \\ & \text { proffer } \\ & 48: 10, \quad 48: 11, \\ & 51: 15, \quad 57: 20, \\ & 213: 14 \\ & \text { profile } \\ & 266: 14 \\ & \text { program } \\ & 101: 6, \quad 140: 16, \\ & 168: 7, \quad 168: 18, \\ & 190: 6, \quad 190: 7, \\ & 190: 11, \quad 190: 14, \\ & 238: 1 \\ & \text { programmatic } \\ & 141: 3, \quad 141: 10 \\ & \text { programs } \\ & 143: 23 \\ & \text { progress } \\ & 33: 8 \\ & \text { project } \\ & 18: 17, \quad 44: 4, \\ & 52: 9, \quad 52: 18, \\ & 74: 21, \quad 74: 22, \\ & 77: 4, \quad 141: 24, \\ & 142: 10, \quad 142: 17, \\ & 143: 1, \quad 143: 3, \\ & 143: 13, \quad 144: 17, \\ & 150: 11, \quad 189: 15, \\ & 189: 21, \quad 190: 5, \\ & 191: 10, \quad 191: 15, \\ & 191: 25, \quad 203: 19, \\ & 253: 10 \\ & \text { projected } \\ & 260: 8 \\ & \text { projects } \\ & 96: 16, \quad 173: 8, \\ & 181: 7, \quad 260: 12 \\ & \text { promoting } \\ & 253: 9 \\ & \text { proper } \\ & 7: 1,33: 4, \\ & 36: 6, \quad 168: 23, \\ & 212: 23 \\ & \text { properly } \\ & 29: 13, \quad 266: 17 \\ & \text { properties } \\ & 21: 24, \quad 22: 4, \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  | $182: 5$ proximity $65: 14, \quad 227: 25$, $259: 14, \quad 265: 20$ public $2: 13, \quad 5: 3$, $40: 4, \quad 164: 3$, $188: 3, \quad 188: 5$, $190: 5, \quad 190: 7$, $218: 13, \quad 218: 16$, $219: 3, \quad 251: 22$, $251: 23$ pull $265: 22$ pulled $247: 3$ pulling $265: 10$ purchase $161: 1, \quad 266: 12$ purchased $241: 20, \quad 241: 23$, $242: 8, \quad 249: 8$, $249: 14$ purpose $44: 11, \quad 70: 4$, $71: 9, \quad 71: 10$, $170: 1, \quad 217: 9$, $265: 16$ purposely $232: 17$ purposes $64: 14, \quad 132: 14$, $206: 14, \quad 206: 15$ pursuant $2: 12, \quad 5: 4$, $175: 24$ push $186: 3, \quad 210: 15$, $221: 8$ put $12: 22, \quad 14: 3$, $16: 25, \quad 19: 15$, $27: 20, \quad 30: 25$, $36: 18, \quad 37: 8$, $41: 20, \quad 42: 4$, $42: 17, \quad 44: 4$, $48: 13, \quad 54: 17$, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | 250:17, 254:15 questioning 51:16, 155:14 questions 11:11, 31:6, 37:24, 49:6, 54:6, 56:15, 104:9, 108:15, 167:16, 167:23, 168:5, 170:21, 174:19, 175:10, 189:18, 195:12, 198:25, 199:1, 237:18, 251:11, 251:25, 257:9, 258:6, 258:8, 262:13, 268:18 quick <br> 31:5, 95:13, 112:13, 168:4, 195:14, 199:22, 221:7 quickly 193:7, 201:21 quiet 242:7 quincewood 252:13, 252:19 quite $\qquad$ 89:20, 106:22, 123:3, 164:19, 175:14, 175:17, 256:14, 256:19, 256:20, 264:6, 265:10, 265:15, 267:17 <br> quote <br> 222: 4 <br> quotes <br> 157:15 <br> R <br> rain <br> 188:9, 245:15 <br> raise <br> 15:1, 60:17, <br> 134:24, 171:16, | ```200:7, 231:10, 240:10, 252:5, 258:16, 262:18 raised 201:18, 266:23, 268:15 range 33:10, 137:7 rare 69:20, 70:1, 70:2 rarely 129:4 rate 117: 4 rated 253:24 rather 9:17, 76:15, 91:19, 102:23, 133:19, 139:5, 164:13, 199:3 re-1 21:5, 21:9 re1 256:7, 256:9, 256:14 reach 123:14 reached 59:5 read 6:12, 6:17, 163:22, 180:14, 196:14, 196:25, 205:19, 221:16, 227:13, 236:9, 244:19, 253:16, 258:3 reading 59:13 ready 59:17, 59:18, 165:9 real 95:13, 112:13, 168:4 realize 165:14, 250:23``` | really $41: 8, \quad 87: 20$, $101: 12, \quad 114: 1$, $124: 6, \quad 134: 23$, $137: 6,184: 1$, $199: 2, \quad 230: 15$, $233: 23, \quad 243: 17$, $244: 17, \quad 246: 12$, $247: 16, \quad 248: 1$, $268: 15, \quad 269: 15$ rear $71: 6, \quad 71: 13$, $78: 24, \quad 79: 18$, $115: 18, \quad 116: 20$, $118: 18, \quad 118: 20$, $119: 19, \quad 146: 8$, $168: 18$ rearrange $185: 17$ reason $47: 7, \quad 47: 8$, $56: 13, \quad 58: 13$, $58: 19, \quad 83: 1$, $207: 19, \quad 216: 22$, $251: 5, \quad 261: 3$ reasonable $198: 22$ reasons $242: 12, \quad 249: 24$, $260: 6$ reassess $217: 13$ rebuttal $12: 23$ recall $43: 8, \quad 44: 17$, $53: 21, \quad 205: 1$, $210: 22$ receive $249: 10, \quad 251: 2$ received $51: 23, \quad 109: 23$, $205: 12$ recent $187: 12$ recently $187: 10, \quad 264: 24$ recess $59: 7, \quad 171: 5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| recognize | 197:2, 197:8, | reflects | relevant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 258:1 | 200:2, 200:3, | 140:16 | 11:18, 48:3, |
| recognized | 202:18, 204:6, | reforestation | 63:14 |
| 173:19, 175:13 | 205:17, 220:2, | 77:19, 133:11, | reliable |
| recommend | 227:3, 231:16, | 168:17, 183:10 | 127:17 |
| 88:11, 88:13, | 240:16, 251:20, | refresh | relitigate |
| 100:16, 167:4 | 252:11, 258:22, | 18:12, 250:5 | 179:23 |
| recommendation | 262:24, 270:19, | refreshed | relitigating |
| 53:12, 58:6, | 270:21, 272:3 | 17:12 | 179:24, 180:4 |
| 113:1, 216:4, | recorded | regard | relocated |
| 218:1 | 271:5, 272:4 | 85:11, 97:21, | 251:14 |
| recommendations | recording | 138:4, 151:7, | relocation |
| 4:19, 50:17, | 60:25, 272:6 | 153:5, 181:21, | 129:11 |
| 57:22, 181:8, | records | 214:14 | rely |
| 181:9, 195:16, | 197:23, 205:11 | regarding | 91:7, 131:6, |
| 197:13, 253:14 | rectangle-sized | 38:12, 51:1 | 266:5 |
| recommended | 21:21 | regards | remain |
| 89:4, 163:19, | red | 70:10, 70:11, | 115:14 |
| 164:18, 178:24, | 68:3, 68:22, | 70:18, 71:4, | remaining |
| 181:8 | 68:25, 86:20, | 71:16, 76:23, | 118:13, 133:9 |
| record | 115:14, 117:3, | 80:1, 80:6, | remarks |
| 5:12, 5:13, | 124:3 | 80:9, 83:17, | 232:8 |
| 11:23, 14:7, | redevelop | 84:2, 84:23, | remember |
| 14:14, 17:19, | 217:7 | 123:11, 124:13, | 20:19, 42:13, |
| 26:14, 28:20, | redirect | 145:13, 220:14 | 43:9, 156:19, |
| 28:21, 28:22, | 168:3, 199:18 | register | 191:5, 213:23, |
| 29:11, 29:14, | redland | 179:13 | 215:21, 227:2 |
| 30:7, 31:4, | 232:15, 234:5, | regularly | remining |
| 32:3, 46:24, | 234:6, 236:12, | 263:19 | 161:3 |
| 47:3, 47:22, | 236:18, 238:6, | reiterate | removal |
| 48:12, 49:21, | 238:21, 238:24, | 63:8 | $80: 11, \quad 81: 11,$ |
| 54:8, 54:17, | 239:4, 239:10, | rejected |  |
| 54:25, 55:16, | 239:12, 239:14, | 43:15, 44:2 | $82: 14,83: 1,$ |
| 55:22, 57:10, | 252:21, 257:1, | related | $83: 8,83: 10,$ |
| 58:6, 59:14, | 259:19, 264:3, | 17:5, 271:6, | 104:11, 105:17, |
| 59:15, 67:4, | 264:8, 264:9, | $272: 7$ | $105: 25,106: 2 \text {, }$ |
| 73:7, 75:9, | 264:16 | relates | 178:11, 194:13, |
| 81:2, 81:6, | redundant | $33: 17,218: 12$ |  |
| 90:4, 90:7, | 200:24 | relationship |  |
| 102:14, 102:15, | refer | $174: 11$ | 180:25 |
| 106:10, 106:11, | $148: 14$ | relative | remove |
| 106:12, 106:16, | reference | 8:2, 119:21, | 81:17, 85:13, |
| $\begin{array}{ll}106: 24, & 110: 10, \\ 110: 13, & 110: 21,\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}17: 21, & 148: 7, \\ 195: 15, & 205: 12\end{array}$ | 120:2, 156:5, | 170:15, 183:2, |
| 110:13, 110:21, | 195:15, 205:12, | 194:4 | 194:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 113: 22, \quad 125: 17, \\ & 128: 2, \quad 128: 4, \end{aligned}$ | 205:20, 205:21, | relatively | removed |
| $128: 2,128: 4$, $128: 10,139: 13$, | $\begin{aligned} & 205: 22 \\ & \text { referring } \end{aligned}$ | $70: 1, ~ 70: 18$, $70: 24, ~ 80: 2$ | $81: 25,82: 25$ $83: 6, \quad 86: 4,$ |
| 139:14, 153:22, | 205:10 | relevance | $86: 7,150: 19$ |
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| ```157:4, 157:6, 157:16, 177:20, 179:3, 181:14, 181:20 removing 80:12, 80:23, 86:5, 170:15 rendered 126:9, 126:10, 128:13, 202:16, 229:10 rendering 126:25, 127:3, 127:4, 127:22, 135:3, 202:20 renderings 126:21 renditions 214:23 rent 232:16 repeat 178:19, 252:25 rephrase 38:22, 209:21, 218:24 replace 182:25 replaced 192:13 replacement 183:5, 184:14, 189:4, 189:6, 189:7, 194:14 replacing 150:21 replanted 183:24 report 75:6, 89:6, 106:15, 110:5, 110:9, 111:9, 130:14, 134:1, 134:4, 177:14, 177:17, 177:19, 178:2, 178:3, 178:5, 178:9, 178:11, 178:21,``` | ```178:23, 179:6, 179:13, 179:15, 180:14, 180:17, 180:21, 180:25, 181:4, 181:8, 195:15, 195:19, 195:22, 196:1, 196:6, 196:17, 196:25, 198:1, 198:2, 270:12, 270:13, 270:15 reporter 20:1, 31:2, 95:18, 200:10, 231:7, 252:15, 258:25, 263:2, 269:8, 271:1, 271:13 represent 5:16, 5:20, 182:22, 223:24 represented 5:25, 35:13 request 6:21, 104:25, 105:24, 113:9, 197:22 requested 39:16 requests 197:20 require 85:14, 104:16, 118:9, 150:4, 209:17, 226:18 required 8:20, 8:25, 24:11, 36:22, 41:10, 73:10, 76:24, 119:9, 140:25, 143:9, 150:9, 157:23, 170:5, 212:25, 213:15, 213:18, 226:21 requirement 48:12, 77:12, 77:17, 149:8,``` | ```150:9, 169:16, 209:17, 212:5, 212:24, 223:13, 226:7 requirements 37:1, 37:12, 43:23, 56:25, 77:10, 117:23, 117:25, 133:17, 133:21, 134:25, 142:2, 147:20, 148:1, 148:5, 149:1, 149:8, 149:16, 168:12, 169:8, 209:4, 221:2 requires 73:15, 223:14 requiring 220:9 research 69:15 reserve 199:1, 229:15 reserved 132:12 residence 32:19, 32:21, 99:19, 124:24, 261:10 resident 251:9 residential 21:7, 21:15, 22:7, 26:10, 27:4, 65:11, 72:3, 77:11, 84:9, 152:9, 152:16, 189:1, 214:12, 237:14, 242:19, 248:8, 248:18, 249:1, 260:21 residents 233:19, 256:19, 263:12, 265:15 resolution 4:22, 107:6,``` | ```107:9, 107:22, 107:25, 108:21, 109:4, 109:11, 111:1, 111:6, 111:8 resolve 76:14, 268:4 resolved 107:21, 155:15 resource 27:19, 65:21 resources 27:16, 62:13 respect 145:1, 246:8 respectfully 58:12, 164:9 respond 47:24 response 47:2, 174:20 responsible 62:12 rest 262:5 restricting 92:22 restrictions 33:20 result 77:12 results 262:1 resume 17:15 resumé 172:9 retained 78:25, 118:20 retention 89:4, 122:9 retired 19:8 retract 224:11 return 171:5 returning 260:24``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| review | roadways | running | 212:2, 218:18, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:19, 6:23, | 261:24 | 85:2, 216:6, | 223:25, 253:10, |
| 17:4, 49:16, | robeson's | 245:15 | 260:6, 260:7, |
| 49:17, 50:17, | 89:1 | runoff | 261:14, 268:6 |
| 56:6, 58:7, | robustness | 188:9, 245:25 | said |
| 70:10, 153:10, | 100:19 | runs | 8:3, 12:23, |
| 153:11, 153:13, | rock | 22:13, 215:7 | 22:22, 24:25, |
| 165:6, 168:24, | 187:11, 187:12, | rural | 38:8, 38:11, |
| 176:3, 176:10, | 225:5 | 248:6 | 38:22, 40:24, |
| 251:24 | rockville | rush | 42:23, 45:3, |
| reviewed | 1:10, 2:5, 3:9, | 236:18, 236:19, | 51:3, 51:5, |
| 110:6, 169:3, | $3: 15,5: 7,5: 16$, | 237:8, 239:15, | 51:6, 53:18, |
| 172:24, 177:8, | 172:5 | 246:20, 246:22, | 56:23, 71:19, |
| 177:12, 182:1, | role | 246:24, 247:9, | 76:25, 85:5, |
| 184:6, 197:25 | 16:1, 16:15, | 268:1 | 100:20, 111:14, |
| reviewing | 140:2 | S | 114:1, 114:3, |
| 172:22 | roll | $s-a-b-r-a-n$ | $118: 24$, $156: 24$, 157 |
| revise | 246:4 | $263: 3$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 156: 24, & 157: 14, \\ 177: 22, & 179: 6, \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} 93: 6 \\ \text { revised } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { room } \\ & 19: 14 . \quad 63: 5 \end{aligned}$ | sabran | $\begin{aligned} & 177: 22, \quad 179: 6, \\ & 180: 5, \quad 181: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| $72: 22,109: 23,$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 19: 14, \\ & 222: 11, \end{aligned} 243\right.: 4,$ | 3:24, 4:12, | $184: 21, \quad 195: 24,$ |
| $115: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 222: 11,243: 4 \\ & 243: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 262:22, 262:25, | 202:6, 213:23, |
| revision | root | $\begin{array}{lll} 263: 3, & 263: 5, \\ 263: 8, & 264: 9, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 216: 11, \quad 216: 16, \\ & 217: 5, \quad 217: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
| 109:20, 109:21, | $82: 21,84: 4,$ | $264: 11,264: 14,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 217: 5, \quad 217: 14, \\ & 217: 15, \quad 227: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| 109:22, 109:24, 110:2 | $84: 20, \quad 85: 5$ | $264: 18,264: 23,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 217: 15, & 227: 18, \\ 229: 15, & 235: 2, \end{array}$ |
| revisions | $\begin{array}{ll} 85: 11, & 86: 13, \\ 86: 22, & 90: 1, \end{array}$ | $267: 2, \quad 267: 9,$ | $242: 13,246: 9,$ |
| 109:25 | 92:20, 94:9, | 268:23 | 250:5, 250:20, |
| revisited | 95:14, 95:16, | 70:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 251: 21, \quad 271: 4, \\ & 272: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 89:14 | $96: 16, \quad 96: 20,$ | safe | salon |
| ride | $97: 8, \quad 105: 24,$ | 41:1, 41:2, | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { salon } \\ 257: 19 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 259:13, } 259: 22 \\ & \text { riding } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:18, 153:16 } \\ & \text { roughly } \end{aligned}$ | 41:6, 41:23, | same |
| $259: 21$ | 253:24, 255:12, | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} 204: 3, & 206: 5 \\ 224: 1, & 246: 15, \end{array}\right.$ | 46:2, 63:6, |
| righthand | $256: 22$ | $246: 20,262: 7,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124: 17, \\ & 132: 15, \\ & 136: 91, \end{aligned}$ |
| 234:22 | roundabout | $268: 7$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 132: 15, & 136: 9, \\ 136: 11, & 138: 14 \end{array}$ |
| rights 257.19 | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 7, \quad 42: 14, \\ & 40 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | safely | $\begin{aligned} & 136: 11, ~ 138: 14, \\ & 177: 6,207: 21, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 256:16, } 257: 19 \\ & \text { rio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 17 \\ & \text { row } \end{aligned}$ | 247:17, 248:1, | $\begin{aligned} & 136: 1, \quad 245: 22, \\ & 236 \end{aligned}$ |
| 261:5 | 117:20, 118:12 | 259:13, 265:1 safer | 251:10, 257:18, |
| risk | rule | $33: 12,37: 8,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 257: 22, ~ 257: 25, \\ & 261 \cdot 1.266 \cdot 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 84:23, 249:21 | 8:13 | 43:22 | $269: 22, \quad 270: 1$ |
| rivers | ruling | safest | sand |
| 267:14 | $7: 23$ | 206:19 | $225: 5$ |
| roads | run | safety | satisfactory |
| 207:22 <br> roadway | $\begin{array}{lll} 170: 19, & 212: 3, \\ 243: 14, & 244: 15, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 157: 13, \quad 170: 14, \\ & 206: 14, \quad 206: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $101: 20,163: 5$ |
| 38:5 | 247:9 | 206.14, 206.15, | satisfied |
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| satisfies | 162:2, 162:11, | 124:10, 133:3, | 55:21, 62:25, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 216:8 | 168:6, 174:20, | 137:21, 138:2, | 66:14, 66:19, |
| satisfy | 182:24, 189:20, | 138:17, 144:18, | 66:20, 69:8, |
| 133:21 | 189:22, 191:9, | 145:13, 181:22, | 79:24, 80:3, |
| save | 208:18, 211:8, | 208:23, 208:24, | 81:13, 87:15, |
| 51:15, 83:23, | 215:19, 226:21, | 208:25, 243:5, | 103:5, 107:13, |
| 94:10 | 266:25, 270:6 | 248:15, 249:7 | 107:14, 108:3, |
| saved | says | screens | 110:10, 111:13, |
| 179:18 | 30:19, 30:20, | 186:3 | 113:8, 115:1, |
| saw | 47:10, 47:15, | season | 120:14, 130:2, |
| 165:17, 253:12, | 111:21, 162:7, | 260:25 | 130:3, 130:5, |
| 257:10, 266:19, | 196:7, 197:12, | seat | 130:7, 132:24, |
| 266:21, 267:3 | 216:4, 221:19, | 14:2 | 133:2, 133:8, |
| say | 222:12, 222:16 | second | 137:11, 137:19, |
| 7:12, 8:18, | scattered | 17:23, 27:21, | 143:4, 155:9, |
| 18:8, 19:12, | 118:16 | 67:17, 74:24, | 158:6, 182:16, |
| 23:5, 26:17, | scenario | 93:20, 99:1, | 186:4, 186:17, |
| 28:1, 28:23, | 83:18 | 102:12, 126:23, | 186:21, 192:5, |
| 30:18, 38:21, | scene | 135:21, 146:18, | 201:25, 203:6, |
| 44:3, 47:22, | 234:7 | 165:4, 172:1, | 203:9, 206:13, |
| 48:17, 48:21, | schedule | 197:11, 211:3, | 206:21, 206:23, |
| 50:16, 58:13, | 269:4 | 227:1, 246:16, | 209:25, 210:11, |
| 58:25, 70:1, | scheduled | 261:22, 268:18 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 211: 24, ~ 219: 23, \\ & 220: 3.230: 23 . \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| 77:16, 90:6, | 249:11 | section | $\begin{array}{lr} 222: 3, & 230: 23, \\ 233: 13 . & 233: 16 \end{array}$ |
| 94:3, 94:21, | school | 11:12, 11:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 233: 13, \quad 233: 16, \\ & 236: 6, \quad 237: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| 98:22, 110:20, | $1: 6,2: 3,4: 20$, | 116:4, 133:16, | $\begin{array}{ll} 236: 6, & 237: 13, \\ 238: 5, & 241: 18 \end{array}$ |
| 119:1, 119:23, | 5:17, 50:18, | 148:25, 172:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 238: 5, \\ & 243: 18, \\ & 243: \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 124:19, 124:22, | 51:25, 58:7, | 210:23, 217:10, |  |
| 136:13, 141:5, | 62:15, 195:4, | 270:10 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 246: 18, & 248: 24, \\ 248 \cdot 25 & 249 \cdot 14 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 145:8, 145:25, | 196:8, 196:22, | sections | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 248: 25, & 249: 14, \\ 253: 11, & 256: 4 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 148:20, 155:13, | 217:21, 232:22, | 39:3 | 253:11, 256:4, |
| 160:2, 160:16, | 247:10, 247:21, | sectors | 263:24, 267:8 |
| 160:18, 162:18, | 249:17, 255:11, | 11:14 | seeing |
| 163:9, 165:20, | 259:16, 265:13, | securing | $6: 1,41: 8$ |
| 198:19, 200:12, | 265:14, 265:17, | 100:6 | seeking |
| 208:11, 210:17, | 267:21 | sediment | 8:9 |
| 211:4, 219:23, | schools | 172:22, 173:1, | seem |
| 225:6, 232:5, | 242:2, 244:16 | 173:20, 174:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 255: 19 \\ & \text { seemed } \end{aligned}$ |
| 239:9 | screen | sedimentation | seemed |
| saying | 59:22, 118:10, | 192:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 255: 25, \quad 256: 12 \\ & \text { seems } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10:2, 11:10, | 118:13, 122:3, | see | seems |
| 11:15, 35:23, | 124:25, 125:1, | 14:8, 14:20, | 140:22, 254:6, |
| 45:25, 49:2, | 125:12, 132:17, | 14:21, 14:22, | 255:12, 255:13 |
| 56:17, 99:3, | 136:12, 137:21, | 17:20, 21:17, | seen |
| 101:15, 101:24, | 144:14, 145:20, | 23:12, 28:3, | 52:3, 53:17, |
| 110:10, 110:17, | 209:1 | 29:1, 29:17, | 56:22, 126:25, |
| 111:1, 120:21, | screening | 29:25, 34:11, | 182:18, 250:11, |
| 129:7, 135:13, | 110:1, 118:10, | $48: 15,49: 11$, | 253:16, 253:22 |
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| select | session | shape | show |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63:24, 161:18, | 244:17 | 24:16, 25:20, | 25:16, 31:15, |
| 161:21, 163:16 | set | 27:7, 263:17 | 31:16, 36:11, |
| selected | 11:10, 95:17, | share | 36:24, 56:21, |
| 146:3, 160:20, | 211:4, 237:20, | 190:11, 209:9 | 75:13, 91:22, |
| 226:3 | 249:5 | shared | 91:25, 132:21, |
| self-evident | setback | 206:2 | 138:9, 144:11, |
| 11:20 | 149:4, 152:6, | shave | 156:11, 159:3, |
| send | 153:1, 159:17, | 95:5 | 166:15, 172:6, |
| 55:13, 270:5 | 159:23, 159:24, | she'd | 183:20, 188:2, |
| sends | 209:17, 209:23, | 89:3 | 222:18, 238:12 |
| 49:1 | 210:8, 210:14, | shed | showed |
| sense | 210:18, 210:25, | 90:21, 90:22, | 202:18 |
| 78:15, 86:3, | 220:12, 220:14, | 145:11 | showing |
| 132:7, 209:23 | 220:17, 221:9, | sheet | 53:25, 54:19, |
| sensitive | 221:14 | 25:23, 26:2, | 127:18, 130:22, |
| 111:16 | setbacks | 28:4, 28:9, | 144:9, 187:23, |
| sent | 234:21 | $28: 23,29: 6,$ | 219:14, 220:1, |
| 89:21 | setting | 30:12, 92:1, | 225:25 |
| sentiments | 231:9 | 92:5, 114:23, | shown |
| 252:25 | settled | 148:13, 165:20, | 22:18, 31:7, |
| separate | 250:6, 250:7 | 186:25, 193:5, | 38:9, 63:14, |
| 106:13, 146:19, | seven | 232:1, 232:2, | 65:21, 68:8, |
| 224:13 | 11:14, 222:4, | 232:4, 240:8 | 73:8, 81:8, |
| separately | 240:24 | sheets | 109:24, 129:16, |
| 60:5 | several | 131:16 | 129:18, 130:12, |
| separation | 65:9, 73:16, | shine | 153:16, 155:2, |
| 208:7, 215:11 | $80: 10, ~ 84: 7$, $84.10, ~ 111: 20, ~$ | $136: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 155: 7, \quad 160: 23, \\ & 190: 18, \quad 192: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
| september | $84: 10,111: 20,$ $155: 13.172: 19$ | short | $\begin{aligned} & 190: 18, ~ 192: 12, \\ & 210: 3,210: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 241: 21,249: 15 \\ & 250: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 155: 13, & 172: 19, \\ 178: 24, & 186: 23, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 220: 12, \quad 270: 4 \\ & \text { should } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 210: 3, \quad 210: 4, \\ & 215: 22, \quad 229: 7, \end{aligned}$ |
| sequencing | 187:14 | 14:14, 27:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 241: 5 \\ & \text { shows } \end{aligned}$ |
| 168:23 | severely | 28:12, 29:13, | shows $68: 17$ |
| serve | 97:1 | 29:17, $46: 24$, | shrikan's |
| 213:1, 217:9, | shade $\text { 116:21, } 117: 21$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 47: 22, & 72: 13, \\ 87: 15, & 106: 9 . \end{array}$ | $206: 3$ |
| $268: 12$ serves | $116: 21, ~ 117: 21$, $117: 22, ~ 118: 11$, | 87:15, 106:9, $115: 3,123: 14$, | shrinking |
| 18:15, 71:9, | 122:13, 123:24, | 124:10, 125:21, | 208:10 |
| 71:10, 89:23 | 132:25, 133:1, | 136:6, 176:23, | shrub |
| service | 137:11, 150:10, | $179: 4, \quad 179: 9,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118: 15,124: 1 \\ & \text { shrubbery } \end{aligned}$ |
| 237:5, 255:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 223: 10 \\ & \text { charoc } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 179: 10, & 179: 18, \\ 181: 14 . & 183: 21 \end{array}$ | shrubbery $132: 21$ |
| $266: 1,268: 11$ <br> services | 132:19, 243:18, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 181: 14, & 183: 21, \\ 202: 11, & 202: 12, \end{array}\right.$ | shrubs |
| 140:4, 140:7, | 244:6 | 204:2, 235:22, | 117:5, 117:8, |
| 142:25, 143:13, | shady | $\begin{aligned} & 250: 1, \quad 250: 2, \\ & 251: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124: 13 \\ & \text { shuffling } \end{aligned}$ |
| $255: 2, \quad 257: 5$ <br> serving | $\begin{aligned} & 232: 19, \quad 233: 3, \\ & 264: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 251:20 shouldn't | shuffling $185: 23,227: 10$ |
| 39:7 | shamoun 231:17, 231:20 | 204:2, 251:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { shut } \\ & 244: 5,244: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
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|  |  | ```179:3, 179:9, 181:16, 181:19, 245:16, 261:2 significantly 98:6, 242:15 signs 250:11, 266:18, 267:1, 267:2 silent 236:15 silver 64:1, 64:23, 67:8, 67:9, 67:21, 67:22, 82:24, 83:6, 89:17, 156:19 similar 18:17, 242:25, 257:13 similarly 158:14, 261:1 simply 11:15, 47:20, 104:25, 132:2, 238:2 simultaneous 91:12, 152:2, 197:3 simultaneously 110:15 since 17:2, 17:10, 17:11, 42:13, 90:8, 126:24, 129:12, 188:25, 203:19, 241:21, 249:12, 251:17, 261:24 single 233:21, 261:19 single-family 21:12, 21:14, 22:7 sister-in-law 232:11 sister-in-law's 233:5 sit 14:24, 101:18,``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```sitting 56:14, 114:3, 162:15, 195:21, 234:3 situation 45:23, 206:21, 263:14, 263:24, 265:9, 268:3 situations 266:10 six 11:14, 27:1, 230:24 sixth 11:3 size 20:5, 20:7, 21:13, 67:7, 68:22, 74:14, 122:22, 142:1, 189:1, 194:25, 222:1, 222:6, 225:21, 243:9, 248:10 sized 228:17, 228:20 sizes 117: 6 sky 137:24 slat 166:19 sleep 244:5 slide 9:5, 10:14, 11:19 sliding 9:12 slightly 90:16, 90:24, 119:13, 119:15, 205:24, 209:20, 223:9, 256:10 slopes 245:10, 245:11 sloping 70:12, 70:13,``` | ```70:25, 120:15, 120:17, 120:18 slow 114:8, 183:22, 183:24, 234:23, 265:24 slowly 82:22, 111:25, 148:19 small 63:5, 65:1, 86:21, 191:2, 192:4, 207:25 smaller 188:24, 233:14, 267:23 smart 230:11 snacks 59:23, 59:24, 60:4, 103:12, 199:14 snap 75:2 sod 118:14 soil 85:13 soils 31:13, 100:18 solely 270:7 solemnly 15:2, 60:17, 171:17, 231:10, 231:11, 240:10, 252:5, 258:17, 262:19 solution 101:20 solve 41:25, 165:24, 218:21 somarajan 49:14 some 11:20, 12:17, 12:23, 14:4,``` |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```southerly 80:2, 84:6, 119:18, 133:7 southern 22:14, 22:17, 22:20, 22:24, 70:15, 71:14, 71:18, 78:16, 78:24, 79:16, 79:17, 79:18, 118:1, 133:10, 212:25 southernmost 65:6, 116:2 southward 87:1 southwest 22:21, 25:22, 31:19, 65:8, 70:13, 84:7, 84:8, 87:4, 228:10 sp 4:21, 66:3, 67:9, 80:17, 81:11, 82:24, 89:12, 89:14, 89:15, 89:16, 91:22, 91:25, 97:4, 138:5, 153:6, 153:13, 154:15, 154:16, 155:14, 156:5 sp1 83:4, 86:8, 96:24, 105:25 sp5 87:3 sp6 87:3 sp7 87:3 sp9 64:23, 80:11, 82:15, 86:5, 86:8, 88:21, 89:8, 90:23, 96:25, 105:25,``` |  | ```116:10, 118:4, 118:21, 141:24, 143:1, 144:17, 145:13, 146:1, 152:23, 154:17, 161:4, 170:2, 178:24, 181:7, 217:10, 253:2 specifically 72:11, 146:11, 177:19, 179:2, 181:13, 248:8, 251:1, 253:8 specification 165:13, 165:15, 166:6, 166:8 specificity 166:3 specifics 115:9, 140:13 specified 160:24, 160:25, 166:19, 222:9 specify 146:5 specimen 63:24, 64:1, 64:22, 65:10, 69:13, 72:2, 72:5, 80:11, 80:23, 81:11, 84:7, 84:10, 86:3, 86:6, 86:7, 88:23, 104:11 specimens 70:19, 80:24 speculating 102:23 speed 11:19 spell 15:9, 60:15, 61:3, 231:19, 240:19, 252:14, 258:24, 263:1 spend 30:3, 244:8``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Administrative Hearing - Day 2
Conducted on March 5, 2020

| spine | staff | stand | 255:11 |
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| 166:17 | 54:4, 57:1, | $32: 1,32: 6$, | 167:18, 226:20 |
| spirit | 66:2, 67:10, | 62:23, 65:1, | starting |
| 251:6 | 68:23, 70:8, | 65:2, 70:20, | 81:6, 115:10, |
| split | $75: 6,76: 8$, | 71:1, 78:4, | 116:17, 132:8, |
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| 26:11, 27:3, | $155: 20, \quad 155: 24$ | $39: 5,39: 18,$ | $74: 20, \quad 75: 12,$ |
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| st8 | stalls | 63:20, 74:12, | status |
| 87:3 |  | 81:1, 85:20, | 20:13, 37:18 |
| stable | 221:18, 221:21 | 86:19, 115:8, | stay |
| 167:2 | 221:18, 221:21 <br> stance | 115:11, 126:17, | 203:10 |
| stacking | stance $52: 15, \quad 77: 5$ | 127:24, 135:24, | staying |
| 100:4 | 52:15, 77:5 | 203:23, 238:1, | 263:22 |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Administrative Hearing - Day 2
Conducted on March 5, 2020
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| $\begin{aligned} & 258: 14 \\ & \text { stop } \end{aligned}$ | 31:6, 187:7, | style | submittal |
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| tasker | terms | 140:9, 140:22, | 258:5, 258:7, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| tell | 147:15, 153:9, | $\begin{array}{lll}16: 24, & 110: 2, \\ 110: 6, & 204: 25,\end{array}$ | 221:13, 253:8, |
| 21:18, 25:7, | 173:13, 183:10, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 110: 6, \quad 204: 25, \\ & 205: 12 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $256: 3, \quad 269: 17,$ |
| 26:5, 36:7, | $201: 23,265: 5$ | 205:12 <br> thank | $270: 13$ things |
| 47:25, 63:12, | testify | thank | things |
| 73:8, 102:9, | 5:23, 9:10, | 6:10, 8:23, | 11:20, 38:21, |
| 130:16, 149:11, | 10:23, 12:19, | 11:7, 11:25, | 43:25, 46:16, |
| 149:19, 166:2, | 12:21, 75:14, | 13:18, 14:19, | 62:13, 105:8, |
| 201:9, 202:14, | 134:21, 135:13, | 20:3, 54:21, | 112:7, 133:22, |
| 202:17 | 135:16, 135:22, | 61:25, 66:20, | 178:24, 182:22, |
| telling | 135:25, 200:6, | 66:21, 69:24, | 192:22, 201:11, |
| 24:23, 42:13, | 200:7, 201:8, | 74:5, 75:17, | 203:22, 206:17, |
| 45:20, 53:15, | 201:18, 230:21, | 75:21, 75:25, | 208:18, 211:7, |
| 111:22, 202:11 | 230:24, 231:8, | 89:9, 92:15, | 211:10, 212:7, |
| temperature | 240:7, 258:13, | 95:11, 96:22, | 213:11, 213:13, |
| 187:6 | 258:14 | 104:7, 108:8, | 213:18, 215:4, |
| ten | testifying | 123:9, 123:18, | 221:9, 224:20, |
| 137:19, 230:15, | 46:15, 109:17, | $128: 14, ~ 138: 24$, $139: 12,139: 18$, | 226:9, 226:12, |
| $262: 17$ | $144: 14, \quad 146: 19,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 139: 12, \quad 139: 18, \\ & 162: 1, \quad 168: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 248: 5, & 255: 23, \\ 257: 6, & 269: 14 \end{array}$ |
| tend | $\begin{aligned} & 147: 7, \quad 154: 8, \\ & 177: 23, \quad 231: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 162: 1,168: 1, \\ & 170: 20,171: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 257: 6, \quad 269: 14 \\ & \text { think } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 80: 4, & 167: 1, \\ 210: 7 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 177: 23,231: 24 \\ & \text { testimony } \end{aligned}$ | $185: 20,186: 10,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { think } \\ & 6: 15,6: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| tends | 4:4, 4:5, 4:6, | $\text { 187:2, } 198: 18,$ | 7:1, 7:5, 9:22, |
| 25:17 tenth | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 7, \quad 4: 8,4: 9, \\ & 4: 10,4: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 199: 20, ~ 203: 6, \\ & 204: 9, \quad 205: 19, \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 15, \quad 11: 18, \\ & 11: 20, \\ & 13: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 43:21 | $4: 12,8: 16$, | 232:6, 239:11, | 22:24, 28:13, |
| term | 10:5, 12:14, | 240:4, 240:6, | 39:6, 44:17, |
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|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```213:12, 214:19, 216:13, 264:14 town 199:16 townhouse 256:11 tract 77:9, 77:22 tractor 248:23 tractors 85:1 traditional 64:6 traditionally 64:12 traffic 86:25, 195:3, 201:4, 201:5, 233:24, 234:1, 234:3, 234:13, 234:14, 234:25, 236:9, 237:7, 237:9, 239:14, 239:24, 242:16, 244:12, 246:8, 247:5, 247:7, 247:18, 249:5, 253:7, 253:10, 254:18, 261:17, 261:18, 261:19, 261:20, 261:21, 261:22, 261:24, 261:25, 263:12, 264:1, 264:21, 265:2, 265:9, 265:24, 266:2, 266:19 trail 215:20, 215:21 trailers 248:23 trails 95:7 trained 73:18 training 73:21``` | ```transcribed 1:25, 272:5 transcriber 272:1 transcript 201:1, 201:23, 201:25, 272:3 transcripts 201:2 transfer 72:14 transmitted 107:12 transparent 266:24 transportation 41:12, 45:13, 49:15, 51:24, 172:19 trap 264:5, 265:3 trash 244:14, 255:17, 257:5, 265:25 travel 64:3, 65:7, 70:23, 81:12, 84:6, 87:1, 90:23, 98:21, 115:16, 115:20, 118:6, 119:16, 120:10, 132:24, 133:7, 151:24, 152:16 traveling 64:19, 64:23, 80:21, 81:15, 115:25, 118:7, 124:2, 125:5, 151:19 treat 190:25 treated 118:14, 167:6, 192:5 treatment 115:23, 116:7, 116:18, 116:21,``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```194:7, 194:10, 194:13, 194:14, 194:24, 198:20, 243:2 trespass 117:13 tried 43:22, 59:5, 145:2, 206:16, 221: 8 trifling 230:17 trip 66:4 triplex 256:11 trouble 230:15, 260:14, 265:10, 267:15 trout 187:8 truck 23:18, 24:13, 207:6, 255:17 trucks 244:14, 265:25 true 174:16, 174:17, 250:19, 272:3 truly 236:10 trunk 96:5, 96:6, 97:3 truth 15:4, 60:19, 60:20, 171:18, 171:19, 231:13, 240:12, 240:13, 252:7, 252:8, 258:19, 262:20, 262:21 try 7:3, 10:14, 11:15, 41:18, 41:21, 44:8, 46:2, 47:20, \(47: 25,65: 16\),``` |  | 239:15, 265:18 turnaround <br> 23:14, 24:5, 42:11 <br> turned <br> 41:24, 42:5, 187:13 turning 156:15, 236:21, 254:19 turns <br> 237:1, 247:1 tweaks <br> 140:13 <br> twelve <br> 222:2, 222:3, <br> 230:3 <br> twenty <br> 184:4, 184:5 <br> twinbrook <br> 2:4 <br> two <br> 10:14, 21:24, <br> 32:11, 36:9, <br> 45:4, 72:4, <br> 86:6, 86:16, <br> 109:24, 110:2, <br> 118:15, 127:22, <br> 131:16, 134:13, <br> 134:17, 135:4, <br> 154:5, 166:17, <br> 197:20, 200:8, <br> 205:7, 208:24, <br> 209:14, 213:23, <br> 220:1, 220:3, <br> 223:15, 227:22, <br> 228:12, 228:13, <br> 232:25, 246:24, <br> 247:1, 253:1, <br> 259:5, 259:6, <br> 259:15, 260:6, <br> 261:17, 266:4, <br> 270:3 <br> two-way <br> 207:3 <br> two-week <br> 16:25 <br> twui <br> 22:3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { upper } \\ & 30: 19, \quad 30: 20, \\ & 49: 11, \quad 52: 2, \\ & 117: 1, \quad 122: 14, \\ & 124: 11, \quad 138: 1, \\ & 156: 25, \quad 157: 2 \\ & \text { ups } \\ & 257: 20 \\ & \text { upside } \\ & 249: 22 \\ & \text { upstream } \\ & 187: 9 \\ & \text { use } \\ & 5: 4, \\ & 8: 19, \quad 14: 10, \\ & 40: 4, \\ & 42: 21, \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { uses } \\ & 142: 13, \quad 260: 10 \\ & \text { using } \\ & 11: 13, \quad 21: 16, \\ & 41: 7, \quad 42: 20, \\ & 73: 6,74: 2, \\ & 107: 20,112: 20, \\ & 115: 6,136: 4, \\ & 166: 14,185: 22, \\ & 202: 13, \quad 206: 20, \\ & 211: 19, \quad 218: 4 \\ & \text { usually } \\ & 84: 25,161: 1 \\ & \text { utilize } \\ & 74: 18, \quad 140: 16, \\ & 159: 22 \\ & \text { utilized } \\ & 126: 22, \quad 257: 3 \\ & \text { utilizing } \\ & 74: 19 \end{aligned}$V <br> valid <br> $75: 24$ <br> validity <br> $6: 23, \quad 8: 8$ <br> value <br> $242: 20, \quad 242: 23$, <br> $249: 4, \quad 266: 8$ <br> values <br> $242: 20$ <br> variance <br> $88: 12, \quad 88: 13$, <br> $104: 16, \quad 105: 24$, <br> $107: 24, \quad 113: 9$, <br> $179: 23, \quad 197: 20$, <br> $197: 22$ <br> varies <br> $122: 19$ <br> variety <br> $117: 5, \quad 118: 11$ <br> various <br> $117: 6, \quad 245: 14$ <br> vegetation <br> $71: 4, \quad 100: 12$, <br> $119: 3, \quad 130: 22$, <br> $130: 23, \quad 134: 19$, <br> $137: 25$, <br> $138: 1$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```144:3, 144:5, 175:23 vegetative 31:16, 137:21 vehicle 136:18, 146:6 vehicles 85:3, 85:24, 100:7, 125:10, 136:15, 136:24, 166:12, 257:6, 260:8, 260:12, 260:13, 260:20, 260:22, 261:9, 266:1 verification 58:11 verified 90:11 verify 155:1 version 126:8, 128:2, 128:13, 130:4, 130:14, 229:10 versus 47:6, 56:23, 233:17 vertical 122:3, 122:10, 123:23, 124:25, 125:1 via 73:15, 79:20, 90:12 viable 42:23 view 19:22, 71:9, 121:8, 121:12, 124:21, 125:9, 125:12, 243:5, 243:13, 243:25, 244:2, 248:16 views 124:15, 136:21, 136:23, 137:1, 147:1``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Administrative Hearing - Day 2
Conducted on March 5, 2020

|  |  |  | $244: 4, \quad 244: 5$, $246: 19, \quad 246: 25$, $255: 8,255: 9$, $262: 3,270: 4$, $270: 17,270: 18$ we've $7: 5, \quad 9: 1$, $10: 22, \quad 59: 5$, $98: 9, \quad 106: 22$, $108: 18, \quad 140: 8$, $167: 24, \quad 181: 6$, $203: 20, \quad 209: 10$, $238: 6, \quad 247: 2$ weather $262: 4$ web $201: 23$ website $201: 2, \quad 251: 23$ wedged $237: 13$ week $154: 5, \quad 257: 4$ weekend $17: 2$ weeks $154: 5, \quad 205: 7$, $233: 7, \quad 262: 2$ weighed $257: 7$ weight $48: 23, \quad 49: 4$ welcome $121: 12$ well-founded $268: 9$ wellington $54: 11, \quad 57: 5$ went $17: 12, \quad 39: 21$, $43: 21, \quad 43: 25$, $44: 4, \quad 75: 9$, $89: 13, \quad 164: 19$, $172: 23, \quad 203: 18$, $206: 7, \quad 209: 2$, $213: 17, \quad 214: 18$ weren't $249: 12, \quad 250: 22$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| west | 102:22, 111:17, | 230:24 | 121:8, 231:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22:9, 25:13, | 135:25, 182:20, | wishes | words |
| 25:18, 25:24, | 253:13 | 50:7, 231:8 | 7:18, 42:12, |
| 26:2, 26:3, | whispering | withdraw | 135:8, 200:24 |
| 27:6, 27:13, | 129:17, 131:19 | 8:14, 35:21 | work |
| 34:12, 36:17, | white | within | 8:16, 17:4, |
| 37:5, 37:6, | 132:3 | 6:13, 7:13, | 19:20, 43:17, |
| 41:20, 44:7, | whoever | 64:21, 65:15, | 66:16, 75:23, |
| 46:3, 46:5, | 41:7 | 83:12, 87:7, | 79:15, 85:17, |
| 65:8, 98:21, | whole | 92:24, 118:5, | 90:18, 93:1, |
| 118:6, 119:23, | 13:14, 15:4, | 123:24, 125:10, | 93:8, 98:8, |
| 120:18, 121:5, | 42:12, 60:19, | 132:25, 157:11, | 140:5, 141:23, |
| 144:18, 145:10, | 148:21, 171:19, | 157:16, 158:18, | 155:23, 159:20, |
| 151:19, 205:24, | 191:25, 231:13, | 160:8, 168:12, | 163:15, 163:17, |
| 206:1, 206:6, | 240:12, 252:7, | 187:20, 189:9, | 173:6, 201:20, |
| 206:21, 207:5, | 258:19, 262:21 | 193:9, 224:15, | 237:8, 251:7 |
| 209:25, 211:17, | wide | 229:9, 229:12, | workday |
| 211:25, 212:11, | 24:24, 25:5, | 256:16, 256:17, | 255:11 |
| 212:12, 220:2, | 151:9, 151:10, | 256:18 | worked |
| 221:1, 221:5, | 160:9, 207:1, | without | 42:4, 98:9, |
| 223:9, 229:19, | 207:17, 208:3, | 12:1, 37:3, | 99:25, 142:12, |
| 241:3, 243:3 | 211:21, 212:6, | 55:11, 84:21, | 159:19, 161:2, |
| westerly | 216:5 | 103:2, 107:4, | 181:6, 214:9, |
| 80:3, 83:4, | wider | 153:14, 194:16, | 214:14 |
| 90:2, 116:4 | 207:22 | 245:1 | workers |
| western | width | witness | 99:18 |
| 27:6, 32:8, | 23:1, 23:6, | 9:5, 9:12, | working |
| 64:8, 70:22, | 24:19, 24:20, | 12:20, 13:25, | 16:24, 17:1, |
| 84:2, 122:11, | 38:8, 44:19, | 14:21, 103:3, | 63:22, 92:19, |
| 133:4, 220:2, | 118:24, 166:19, | 112:5, 114:11, | 98:1, 158:9, |
| 220:4 | 207:2, 212:9, | 131:2, 146:19, | 189:23, 257:4, |
| wetland | 219:8, 219:10 | 171:3, 173:14, | 266:4 |
| 31:9 | widths | 173:23 | works |
| whatever | 160:11 | witnesses | 57:8, 90:22, |
| 46:23, 74:14, | wife | 8:25, 9:2, | 190:5, 254:22 |
| 89:11, 91:11, | 259:5 | 10:14, 11:11, | worksheet |
| 92:21, 97:5, | wiggle | 12:8, 46:18, | 74:18, 74:19, |
| 112:10, 179:24, | 222:11 | 48:1, 200:16, | 74:20 |
| 188:24, 202:13, | window | 201:10, 231:24 | worry |
| 245:20 | 247:4 | wolford | 20:23, 20:24, |
| whatsoever | windows | 128:16, 169:18 | 104:5, 152:16 |
| 100:15 | 244:5 | wondered | worse |
| whereabouts | winter | 102:25 | 187:14, 192:17, |
| 228:8 | 260:25 | wood | 192:22, 267:17 |
| whereupon | wish | 167:3 | worth |
| 270:20 | $51: 12,149: 10,$ | woodshed | 189:12, 249:23 |
| whether | $165: 6, \quad 200: 6,$ | $27: 11, \quad 27: 14$ | wouldn't |
| 50:7, 94:10, | 200:7, 200:17, | word | 59:9, 91:4, |
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| 92:17, 94:13, | Yo | . 2 | 188:1, 190:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101:16, 182:15, | 211:3 | 148:15 | 1,200 |
| 228:16, 262:4 | young |  | 26:10, 27:3, |
| wrapped | 170:18, 242:1, | 5:5, 147:17 | 27:8 |
| 60:5 | 265:6 | . 9 | 1,422 |
| wraps | younger | 148:15 | 150:5 |
| 223:22 | 232:25 | 0 | 1,725 |
| write | yourself | 0.07 | 150:6 |
| 92:14, 101:25 | 174:24 | 79:7 | 1-1 |
| writing | Z | 0.15 | 183:20 |
| 102:8 | zero | 77:7 | 1-acre |
| written | 83:16, 210:25, | 0.26 | 188:23 |
| 95:21, 232:4 | 240:24, 253:10, | 77:6 | 1-year |
| wrong | 264:20 | 0.5 | 191:2, 191:6 |
| 125:1, 135:14, | zone | $79: 5, \quad 79: 7$ | 1.5 |
| 154:4, 162:14, | 21:9, 84:4, | $0.54$ | 96:9, 210:13 |
| 218:23, 218:24 | 84:20, 85:5, | 78:18, 78:23, | 1/7/19 |
| wrote 178:23 | 86:13, 86:22, | 79:7, 79:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 196: 22 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| Y | 90:1, 92:20, | 0.6 | 4:21, 10:9, |
| year | 94:25, 95:15, | 0.61 | 10:24, 12:18, |
| 16:8, 18:14, | 96:17, 96:20, | $77: 12, \quad 77: 15,$ | 14:11, 28:21, |
| 31:11, 137:13, | 97:8, 105:25, | $77: 25, \quad 78: 1$ | $28: 22,45: 14,$ |
| 137:15, 137:16, | 153:16, 256:7 | 78:18, 79:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 4, \quad 47: 6, \\ & 47: 10, \quad 47: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 172:17, 172:24, | zoned | $0.7$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 47: 10, & 47: 11, \\ 47: 15, & 47: 23, \end{array}$ |
| 188:17, 188:18, | 256:14 | $78: 1,78: 11,$ | $48: 2, \quad 48: 11$ |
| 191:8, 192:9, | zones | $78: 13, \quad 78: 25$ |  |
| 242:9, $253: 11$, | $85: 11$ | $0.78$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 53: 10, & 53: 11, \\ 53: 13, & 56: 23, \end{array}$ |
| 262:3, 262:5 | zoning | 79:5, 79:6 | $58: 20, \quad 59: 12$ |
| year-round | 1:1, 3:2, 5:4, | 0/4/19 | $59: 14, \quad 59: 15,$ |
| 117:9 | 11:12, 18:9, | 109:21 | $66: 3,67: 9,$ |
| years | 21:3, 21:11, | $00$ | $73: 3, \quad 89: 11,$ |
| 135:24, 137:15, | 62:6, 133:16, $133: 21,134: 16$, | 139:8, 231:6, | 89:12, 89:14, |
| $137: 19,150: 10$, $151: 1,167: 7$, | $133: 21, ~ 134: 16$, $134: 22, ~ 147: 16$, | $231: 7,235: 19$, $235: 20$ | 89:15, 89:16, |
| 172:17, 172:19, | 148:6, 150:20, | $\begin{aligned} & 235: 20 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | 91:11, 91:22, |
| 173:3, 173:4, | 188:22, 251:13, | 139:14, 270:21 | 91:25, 97:4, |
| 173:7, 175:15, | 256:4, 256:5, | 08 | 109:21, 109:24, |
| 175:17, 183:11, | 269:23, 270:9 | 1:5, 5:4, | $\begin{array}{ll} 112: 10, & 119: 11, \\ 122: 21, & 137: 10 . \end{array}$ |
| 183:23, 184:1, | \$ | 196:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 122:21, } 137: 10, \\ & 137.1138 .5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 184:3, 187:12, | \$200,000 | 1 | 148:16, 149:1, |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 187: 14, & 226: 11, \\ 243 \cdot 24 & 247 \cdot 11 \end{array}$ | 189:12 | 1 | 151:16, 153:6, |
| 259:7 | $\square \cdot$ | 191:7 | 153:13, 154:15, |
| yellow | . 1 | 1,000 | 154:16, 155:14, |
| 205:21 | 270:10 | 227:15, 227:16 | 156:5, 188:17, |
| yep |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,100 \\ & 184: 14, \quad 187: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 188: 18, \quad 189: 17, \\ & 192: 9, \quad 208: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
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| 208:15, 208:19, | 226:18 | 31:5, 62:20, | 20:10, 74:23, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 253:19, 254:3 | 143 | 62:22, 205:2, | 75:2, 75:23 |
| 100 | 213:4 | 225:23 | 20 |
| 31:11, 32:3, | 148 | 180 | 27:12, 37:5, |
| 65:15, 149:23, | 125:22, 128:12, | 260:12, 260:21 | 77:11, 77:22, |
| 179:21 | 128:18, 129:13, | 183 | 135:24, 150:10, |
| 103 | 129:16, 129:22, | 4:19, 50:11, | 151:1, 183:25, |
| 115:1, 115:4 | 130:3, 130:12, | 51:23, 56:3, | 207:1, 207:14, |
| 106 | 130:18, 131:6, | 57:18, 204:17, | 208:3, 212:13, |
| 110:18, 111:10, | 131:7, 131:25, | 205:18 | 221:6, 243:24 |
| 111:23, 113:4 | 132:4, 143:16, | 184 | 200 |
| 11 | 143:17, 144:1, | 4:21, 91:21, | 3:8, 3:14, |
| 12:18, 59:12, | 144:8, 185:12, | 92:9, 92:11, | 5:15, 234:9, |
| 59:13, 102:14, | 202:24, 203:16, | 92:12, 92:13, | 263:25, 267:23 |
| 102:15, 128:14 | 203:17, 215:23, | 92:14 | 2013 |
| 110 | 220:3, 229:10, | 185 | 259:6 |
| 61:8 | 241:8, 241:13 | 4:22, 109:11 | 20166 |
| 111 | 149 | 186 | 61:9 |
| 18:3, 18:5 | 185:7, 185:10, | 4:23, 125:20, | 2017 |
| 115 | 238:5 | 126:4, 131:5 | 203:20, 261:23 |
| 172:7 | 15 | 19 | 2018 |
| 12 | 44:17, 116:24, | 14:11, 69:1, | 196:23, 204:5, |
| 104:3, 104:4, | 124:6, 201:17, | 73:3, 109:24, | 204:8, 205:6, |
| 107:15, 119:6, | 235:23 | $128: 14$ | $205: 13,241: 22$ |
| 122:21, 137:10, | 150 | 193 | $2019$ |
| 139:1, 139:13, | 253:25 | 140:19 | 107:25, 109:20, |
| 151:9, 151:10, | 15205 | 195 | 109:23, 110:3, |
| 151:19, 153:1, | 15:18 | 5:5, 233:12, | 241:21, 250:9 |
| 159:17, 159:23, | 16 | 234:9, 235:17, | 202 |
| 160:9, 208:12, | 73:3, 109:24 | 242:10, 246:21, | 4:7 |
| 212:14, 226:18, | 16.4 | 247:25, 249:20 | 2020 |
| 229:16, 229:17, | 227: 6 | 2 | 1:11 |
| 229:20, 229:24, | $16132$ |  | $2030$ |
| 230:6, 230:8, | $259: 2$ | 122:18, 139:8, | 253:11, 264:21 |
| 233:6, 235:4, | $17$ | 139:14 ${ }^{\text {122.18, }}$ | 204 |
| 235:9, 235:15, | 107:9, 107:15, | 2-1 | 172:5 |
| 235:16, 235:17, | 107:25 | 116:23, 122:18, | 208 |
| 267:23 | 171 | 122:19, 198:20 | 24:20 |
| 125 | 4:6 | 2-3 | 20850 |
| 253:25 | 1713 | 183:23 | 3:9, 3:15 |
| 128 | 38:7 | 2-inch | $20851$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 210: 3 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $173$ | 116:23, 122:19, | 2:5, 172:5 |
| $13$ | 260:13 | 198:20 | 20855 |
| $28: 21,28: 22,$ | 18 | 2.54 | 263: 6 |
| $153: 15, \quad 200: 2$ | 1:5, 5:4, 28:3, | 75:6 | 21 |
| 13,000 | 28:12, 28:25, | 2.94 | 109:23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 227: 16, \quad 256: 21 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 29: 13, & 30: 11, \\ 30: 16, & 31: 1, \end{array}$ | 20:8, 20:9, | $22$ |
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| 201:25, 220:22 | 37:6, 94:13, | 226:9, 226:10, | 596.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22375 | 94:21, 95:5, | 226:19, 230:6, | 133:16 |
| 61:7 | 96:19, 97:9, | 230:9 | 6 |
| 229 | 97:25, 102:22, | 45 | 6 |
| 24:20, 25:2 | 103:16, 104:3, | 82:24, 172:17, | $137: 22,235: 20,$ |
| 231 | 104:4, 117:5, | 235:23, 249:10 | $244: 13,245: 2,$ |
| 4:8 | 140:19, 140:20, | 46 | $254: 3$ |
| 24 | 200:3, 226:18, | 59:14, 172:17 | 6-foot |
| 68:22, 110:2, | 230:7, 230:8, | 474 | 116:9, 125:6, |
| 207:16 | 234:6, 234:16, | 34:11 | 125:7, 136:18, |
| 240 | 235:20, 237:21, | 49 | 160:18, 162:8, |
| 4:9 | 237:22, 237:23, | 1:12 | 166:9, 212:6, |
| 25 | 244:13, 245:2, | 4th | 217:25 |
| 110:6, 150:25, | 249:10, 256:15, | 205:2 | 6.2 |
| 173:7, 175:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 269: 9, \quad 269: 19, \\ & 269: 21, \quad 270: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 11:16 |
| 183:11, 204:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 269: 21,270: 18 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 60 |
| 204:25, 205:12 | $3: 14, \quad 27: 11$ | 47:22, 48:2, | 4:5, 23:10 |
| 252 $4: 10$ | $32$ | 231:6, 231:7, | 600 |
| 4:10 258 |  | 235:19, 235:20, | 24:21, 25:2, |
| 258 $4: 11$ | $333$ | 237:23, 269:9, | 25:5 |
| 4:11 26 | 15:17 | 270:21 | 650 |
| 26 $39: 14$ | 15:17 | 5-foot | 173:9 |
| $39: 14$ 262 | 208:7, 221:12 | 45:17, 53:18, | 7 |
| 262 $4: 12$ | $37$ | 211:21, 219:6 | 7 |
| 27 | 67:9, 67:22, | $103: 7,103: 22$ | 235:23, 237:22 |
| 16:24, 81:15, | 89:17 | 5-year | 7-year-old |
| 81:18, 83:7, | 39 | 192:9 | 232:21 |
| 156:19 | 226:7, 226:21, | 50 | 7.3 |
| 272 | 227:16 | 41:19, 120 | 270:10 |
| 1:24 | 3rd | $123: 16, ~ 209: 17$ | 7309 |
| 291787 | 109:20 | $210: 25$ | 232:12 |
| 1:23 | 4 | 54 | 74 |
| 2:1 | 4 | 102:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 271: 11 \\ & 7430 \end{aligned}$ |
| 117:4 | 201:17 | 55 | $1430$ |
| 3 | 4-foot | 102:15 | 75 |
| 3 | 39:17, 209:1, | 5713 | 69:22 |
| 200:2, 200:3, | \|212:1 | 231:17 | 7500 |
| 216:4 | $40$ | $59$ | $240: 18, \quad 240: 24,$ |
| 3-inches | 22:12, 23:9, | $59: 15, \quad 148: 22$ | $252: 13$ |
| 183:19 | 39:1, 139:1, | $59.6$ | $7501$ |
| $3.05$ | $\begin{aligned} & 139: 13 \\ & 40000 \end{aligned}$ | $147: 17, \quad 148: 15$ | $263: 5$ |
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