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these things happen. I may even have such an exhibit myself.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Now, this will be -- we
had something marked 186, but we didn't admit it. So this
will be 186. What do you call this, Mr. Kline?
    MR. KLINE: I'd say critical root zone analysis Tree
SP-10.
    MR. CHEN: Well, --
    MR. KLINE: Or just study --
    MR. CHEN: -- I think that --
    MR. KLINE: -- study of SP-10.
    MR. CHEN: -- my -- my own concern is the word study or
analysis. I mean, it's a survey --
    MR. KLINE: That would be fine. We'll -- we'll just say
--
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Survey shows --
    MR. KLINE: -- location of Tree SP-10.
    MR. CHEN: That's fine.
    MR. KLINE: Okay.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Now, I did -- on this issue of
how far to go into the PFCP, I did recall last night a
rezoning case I had where there was an approved FCP, but the
Town of Washington Grove presented evidence that the impacts
of the use were going to -- I -- going to impact their town
forest.
So if you want to peruse it, I -- I didn't get the -- I
```

can't remember the case number at the moment, but it was
Town of Washington Grove, but --
MR. CHEN: Is that a NOSA [ph] case number or is that a
circuit court or appellate court?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No. It is an LMA -- well, I'll
get it for you.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I just -- so anyway, go ahead.
MR. KLINE: One other item on [inaudible].
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mm-hmm.
MR. KLINE: Yeah. Sure. The other one goes to my
comment about your recognition of the fact that there seemed
to be some discrepancy between the area of the land area
that's a substitute of the conditional use application.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: The 2.58 versus a 2.94 ?
MR. KLINE: Correct. So what I'd like to put on the
record and shared with Mr. Chen a copy of the original plat
or subdivision from the subject property --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. KLINE: -- and the little -- the line in the middle
is actually Carnegie Avenue and the lot to the -- let's see,
it's Lot 8 .
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: To the -- okay.
MR. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Hey, the north arrow is
pointing up, that's good. [chuckles]
MR. KLINE: So it -- it -- it shows the -- at the time
of planning, it was actually a lot of 3.03 acres in size.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I see --
MR. KLINE: And it's a little hard to read, I -- I
realize that, but it's --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- but what does that say to -

- so where are you getting the --

MR. KLINE: Okay. I'll -- well, I'll -- I'll just
[inaudible].
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You're going to have a witness to testify what the actual area --

MR. KLINE: I'm going to [inaudible].
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Oh -- oh.
MR. KLINE: So -- and whatever, SD- --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, wait, let me do this,
187 is plat number 1713?
MR. CHEN: How about 187A is the plat --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: -- and 187B would be the tax account.
MR. KLINE: SDAT.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Plat of su- -- I'm going
to make 187A the plat of subject property. So anybody that's
trying to peruse the exhibit list --
MR. KLINE: [inaudible]

MR. CHEN: Don't worry about it.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. So that's 187A. And then
187B is the SDAT -- SDAT record for subject property. All
right.
MR. KLINE: And the third part of my submission is a
proffer and that is confirmation by Maser Engineering based
on an ALTA survey that the land area that they say right
here on the -- available now is 2.94 acres and that's what's
shown on all of our drawings. And the discrepanc- --
apparent -- well, all we can assume is the discrepancy --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But you don't have the survey?
MR. KLINE: I could get you the survey, I don't have it
with me today, no, but I will --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, let's get it --
MR. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- because I'd rather -- if --
if --
MR. KLINE: No -- no problem.
MR. CHEN: If it -- if it makes things easy, if -- if
all that that will be is a report of 2.94 acres --
MR. KLINE: It's -- it's --
MR. CHEN: -- solely that, my suggestion is we make
that Exhibit 187C --
MR. KLINE: C to be submitted.
MR. CHEN: -- to be document --
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    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
    MR. CHEN: -- and Madame Examiner, I'll confer with my
clients but I doubt -- I wish I could see it --
    MR. KLINE: Sure.
    MR. CHEN: -- but my -- my thinking would be --
    MR. KLINE: Well, if--
    MR. CHEN: -- if all that's reporting is that --
    MR. KLINE: -- if-- ifI can check, can Maser have
that email to us and [inaudible] --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I just don't want to accept it
as a proffer if it's --
    MR. KLINE: Yeah. I know, no. I'm-- I'm sorry. So if
we may -- if we -- can we get it here today? Could I give
you or Ms. Johnson -- or give themMs. Johnson's email
address and have it sent? So we'll get that for you and
we'll make it C, but it will show 2.94 acres and all we can
assume is that the 2.54 that's in the staff report is a typo
--
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
    MR. KLINE: -- because there is nothing that we've seen
that shows a different number; okay?
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Thank you.
    MR. KLINE: We'll -- we will get that and give --
    MR. CHEN: Put it in the record then.
    MR. KLINE: That was all I had. Thank you.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN:Thank you. Okay. So well, Mr.
    Intriago returns again. You're still under oath, Mr.
    Intriago.
    MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, ma'am. There's no microphone today?
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That is the microphone.
    MR. INTRIAGO:Oh, okay.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It's a better microphone.
    MR. INTRIAGO: Oh.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead.
    MR. KLINE: Mr. Intriago, there have been questions
    raised about the -- the size of the building relative to the
    size of the site. What is -- what is the amount of coverage
    of the building relative to the site area?
    MR. INTRIAGO: The amount of coverage -- percentage-
wise, Mr. Kline? Percentage-wise?
    MR. KLINE: Yes.
    MR. INTRIAGO: If you don't mind, I need to see my
notes here. Give me one second, sir. I -- I should've known
this from the top of my head. So Mr. Kline, we're talking
about 127,000 square feet and then -- so it's about }1
percent --
    MR. KLINE:So it's -- that's -- that's what, the land
area?
    MR. INTRIAGO: For -- for -- yeah. For land area right
    now, it's 127,000 square feet of land area measuring our
survey. We're talking about 13,000. So I want to say a
little bit over }10\mathrm{ percent without doing the exact math in
my head approximately.
    MR. KLINE: Okay. Okay. That's fine.
    MR. INTRIAGO: Sir, sorry for not knowing exact number.
    MR. KLINE: No. No. That's fine. So it -- it's been
said that the -- or been, you know, suggested that the size
of the building is driving the need for a parking waiver on
the east side of the property. Is that true?
    MR. INTRIAGO: Well, there's a couple other factors
that indicate where we are putting the -- the driveway
underneath for this waiver, which I tried to explain
yesterday how the building was placed as -- as further west
4 as possible using that 50-foot building setback going from
west to east.
16
west side. I also [inaudible] a conditional use so it's a
little bit more stringent on the requirement on that side.
    MR. KLINE: Okay. Fromyour design experience, do you
find this to be a constrained site in terms of trying to
make everything fit under the regulations?
    MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
    MR. CHEN: Object--- excuse me, ob- -- objection. The
gentleman is a civil engineer and I -- I have no problems
with that.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: We're getting into an area that I think goes
beyond being a civil engineer in explaining the features on
the site. He's about to express an opinion that I think goes
more to use, if anything, than reporting the engineering
features of the application.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I agree. Maybe you can
rephrase it to deal with just the constraints or factually
as to --
MR. KLINE: We -- we understand. Sorry, didn't --
didn't mean to make it so leading.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah. I know.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Well, Mr. -- did you do a study
comparing the regulations and how they affected the
development potential of the property?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. We did.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Madame Examiner, I'd like to submit 8 this into the record. I provided copies to the opposition
and I'll have Mr. Intriago give you a foundation or
explanation of what is found on this exhibit.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Well, let's mark it as
188. Do you know if you have an objection yet, Mr. Chen?
MR. CHEN: I don't know yet.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
5 MR. CHEN: What -- is there a title for it or --
```

```
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: We can call it -- what -- what
is this, a --
    MR. CHEN: Mr. Kline, you got a title for it?
    MR. KLINE: Yeah. I'd -- I'd say study plan development
constraints.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, let's -- let's just call
it --
    MR. CHEN: Primrose applicant -- excuse me, Primrose --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- let's just -- let's just
call it --
    MR. CHEN: -- constraints.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- my brain is kind --
    MR. CHEN: That's okay.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- let's just call it
depiction of site constraints. How's that?
    MR. CHEN: That's fine.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Mr. Intriago --
    MR. KLINE: Yeah.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- tell us what this is.
    MR. KLINE: Yeah. Mr. Intriago, why don't you -- from
this -- why don't you start from the southern end, the green
on the right-hand side and walk us through the site, tell us
what -- well, actually, I take that back. Le- -- let's --
first of all, just point out to us what -- what the yellow
is.
```

    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, let's do this, let's lay
    the foundation for it first just so we know if we can submit
it --
MR. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- who prepared it --
MR. KLINE: Sure.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- and what it's -- what these
blocks are.
MR. KLINE: Understand. Fine. Mr. Intriago, what's the
base drawing, the -- of the underlying drawing? Where'd that
come from?
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, the underlying drawing is the
condition of this plan that was submitted --
MR. KLINE: Mm-hmm.
MR. INTRIAGO: -- formerly submitted, sir.
MR. KLINE: And the form- -- formulation of the colors
and the dimensional information was provided to you by whom?
MR. INTRIAGO: You mean the -- the -- the colors this -
MR. KLINE: Who -- who -- who prepared the exhibit?
MR. INTRIAGO: It was your office, sir.
MR. KLINE: And who was that in our office?
MR. INTRIAGO: It was Somer -- -- last name --
MR. KLINE: Ms. -- Ms. Cross.
MR. INTRIAGO: Ms. Cross, sorry. Ms. Cross, I'm so
sorry, sir. Yes.
MR. KLINE: Right. And you work with her in -- in terms
of applying all the information that's shown on this and the
information that's shown is reflected on the boxes on the bottom?

MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. MR. KLINE: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: Ms. -- Ms. Cross and I worked together -

- I mean, she put it together, but we coordinated on putting this exhibit together.

MR. KLINE: Ms. Robeson, I believe you're familiar with
Ms. Cross. She's been admitted as an expert --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I am
MR. KLINE: -- planner and -- and is an AICP. HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm waiting to see if there's 6 an objection. Is that sufficient for you, Mr. Chen?

MR. CHEN: No. Is -- I'm not familiar with -- with Ms.
Cross. Is she going to be a witness?
MR. KLINE: I can have her be a witness. Yes.
MR. CHEN: Well, the reason why I'm-- I'm -- I'm not
asking to invite, I'm asking because she's not been
previously disclosed as an expert and I mean, that's -- if
you're wondering where I am on it.
MR. KLINE: The -- sure. The -- the -- the -- the
document is nothing more than a ill- -- illustration of the
proposal and then an overlay of the various regulations in
the zoning ordinance. So the only thing that's sort of
discretionary is that you measure something wrong.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Who measured?
MR. KLINE: Ms. Cross [inaudible].
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Ms. Rice, I can't answer questions from the gallery.

MS. RICE: I have a procedural objection of not being
able to see what you're talking about either on there or [inaudible].

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Do you have a copy for Ms. Rice?

MR. CHEN: Do you want to give her a copy?
MR. KLINE: Sure. Okay. Can we -- can we --
MR. RICE: [inaudible]. Thank you.
MR. CHEN: -- in the -- in the interest of moving
forward, let's -- if I may suggest, let's go forward with
this if as the testimony develops, you know, I have a
further concern about it, I'll raise it and --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well -MR. CHEN: -- and --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. What I was going to do is let it in and give it the weight it deserves subject to -
-
MR. CHEN: Right.
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    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- cross-examination --
    MR. KLINE: That's fine. Sure.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- by Mr. --
    MR. CHEN: Chen.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- Chen. I know your name. I }
know your name, I do.
    MR. KLINE:This -- this -- this is not good, it's only
10:00 in the morning.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I know.
    MR. KLINE: Imagine what it's going to be like at 5:00
o'clock on Friday.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So --
    MR. KLINE: Thank you. Okay.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: All right.
    MR. KLINE: That -- that's -- that's -- that's fine. We
can -- we can get in the details later on. Sure.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So let's -- let's go forward.
    MR. KLINE: So I'll basically try and have you explain
what -- what each of these different colors represents. So
the yellow is intended to be what?
    MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. The yellow is the building
envelope. Basically, we drew, in our conditional use --
    When I say me, meaning when I was working with Mason
    Consulting, me and my -- my team, we drew the building
    setbacks from the north, east, south and west and that's
basically -- that delineates the -- the limits of the yellow
line that Ms. Cross actually painted yellow and she put it
basically the -- on the lower right corner of this exhibit,
she basically copied from the code the setbacks that was
provided on the plans.
        MR. KLINE: So the setback would --
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN:Wait, I don't get that. Are
you tal- -- is the yellow the buildable area? What --
        MR. INTRIAGO: The -- the yellow --
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- under the zoning ordinance
--
    MR. INTRIAGO: Mm-hmm.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- is it the buildable area
that you're talking -- that you're illustrating? Because it
goes down the driveway.
    MR. KLINE: Yeah.
    MR. INTRIAGO: The yellow is the location where you can
actually place a building.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And -- okay.
    MR. CHEN: What about the strip, though? I mean --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah. That's what I didn't
get.
    MR. INTRIAGO: I'm sorry?
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Why does this strip -- I'm
pointing, for the record, there is a yellow strip to the
```


## north or --

MR. KLINE: To --
MR. CHEN: East --
MR. KLINE: -- to top?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- to the east. This yellow
strip down the driveway --
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- extends beyond the building
-- be- -- well beyond the building. Why is that yellow?
MR. INTRIAGO: I would like to explain this, ma'am. So
the -- the problem here is that the colors overlap. So what
happens is that you go from the north, we altered that 50
feet, from the east 17 feet, from the south 35 feet and from
the west 35 feet and that delineates the yellow that is
labeled as the --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So what appears as blue on
this exhibit is actually part of the building envelope?
MR. INTRIAGO: That's overlapping colors. Yes. That's
also -- underneath is yellow there too.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Well, that's not clear
from this, but I'll -- I'll accept that -- so what -- what
is -- what you're saying the building envelope is on this is
a -- the -- a combination of yellow and blue?
MR. INTRIAGO: That is correct. And -- and -- and also
green. If you see -- because it's -- it's overtopping the
red, it's overtopping the blue and it's overtopping the
green.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I see.
MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. It's a -- it's a rec- -- and it's
not a rectangle, it's like a --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: The green is slightly yellow green?

MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. So that's why it doesn't -- you don't notice it --

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: One could say chartreuse? MR. INTRIAGO: Well, I am colorblind. So --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Oh, that's not good. This is not a good exhibit for you.

MR. INTRIAGO: I know, because I go by the -- the
distances, ma'am. I know 50, 35, 17 and 50 [sic].
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. All right.
MR. KLINE: Well, and -- and you should also comment on
what I guess I would call the orange on the left-hand or
northern end. That's part of --
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. KLINE: -- that is part of the buildable envelope where a structure could be located.
24 MR. INTRIAGO: That is correct. So -- so --
25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: If this were a woman's


MR. KLINE: Well --
MR. INTRIAGO: So the --
MR. KLINE: -- go ahead. You do --
MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. Okay.
MR. KLINE: -- you do it the -- you do it the way you want.

MR. INTRIAGO: The -- the reason why I want to do it
this way, Mr. Kline, is because the -- the one that looks, I
guess, like red -- I apologize, I'm colorblind. The one
that's on the north side --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait -- wait --
MR. INTRIAGO: -- the ones on the north side --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- show me where -- this all
looks like red.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It can all be red.
MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. So --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So -- so is that -- you're talking about the whole area?
20 MR. INTRIAGO: The north side. The whole area --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: -- on the -- on the north side is a
reddish color.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes.
MR. INTRIAGO: It's an orangey color. That area, that's
basically on the section of the lower left corner. Ms. Cross
put a section of the code that specifies that all the
parking should be placed --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. When you say lower left,
just -- if it's the northwest corner --
MR. INTRIAGO: Lower -- lower left of the -- of the exhibit.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So you're talking about the label.

MR. INTRIAGO: The labels. Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: We're holding the exhibit so east is north. East is pointing up; correct?

MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. KLINE: Mm-hmm.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So you're talking about the
red -- the label that's coded red now?
MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. Correct.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: So the label that ca- -- that -- that --
that's -- that's labeled red on the -- closer to the
northwest corner of the page -- of the site, that label
basically identifies how we cannot put any parking on the
front of the building. So we basically labeled that area --
say, this area right here is prohibited to have parking.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.

MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. So that leads to the only
available parking that we have for the site is the parking
behind the building that goes to the blue area now, which is
the blue area, if I may show -- it's 34 -foot from the --
give me one second. So if you're looking on the west --
The label is on the middle west side of the page.
That's the blue label. It's [inaudible] parking. So that's
where you have a numerical [inaudible] --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, when you say left, just
tell -- for the record, tell the record the orientation of
this exhibit. East is pointing north. I mean, east is
pointing up. That's your orientation; right?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. East is pointing up. So I said -- I
probably --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Never do a plan -- anyway, for the future --

MR. KLINE: I'll -- I'll -- I'll remember this.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- all plans have to have north up.

MR. KLINE: Yeah. Very good.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. KLINE: [inaudible]
MR. INTRIAGO: So on the lower side of the exhibit or
the west side of the -- to the west side, we have a blue
label that specifies all the parking setbacks in -- in -- on

| 29 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 the -- a blue label | 1 this way, what is the amount of setback required for a |
| 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 2 conditional use in this zone on the east side of the |
| 3 MR. INTRIAGO: All right. So there's a blue rectangle | 3 property? |
| 4 behind the building on the south side of the building that | 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Any conditional use. |
| 5 is shown, that that's the only feasible location with the | 5 MR. INTRIAGO: Any conditional -- |
| 6 parking setbacks that we can technically put parking | 6 MR. KLINE: |
| 7 on the parking constraints, based on the code. | 7 MR. INTRIAGO: -- for any conditional use application, |
| 8 | 8 the zo--- the zoning code says it has to be -- and I |
| 9 partially | 9 refer this section of the code that was written here in my |
| 10 MR. KLINE: And that blue would extend all the way | 10 documents, but it refers -- it has to be twice -- Mr. Kline, |
| 11 to what I'll call the orange | 11 ifyoullallow me one |
| 12 that way, because we've got a building | 12 here in my notes, if I may. |
| 13 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct, sir, because the building | 13 Oh, here it is. Per Section 6--- 59.6.2.2.K.2.B, the |
| 14 the building -- you cannot put parking where the building | 14 minimum site parking setback equals two times the min |
|  | 15 site setback required for a detached house, which is 17 |
| 16 MR. KLINE: And it would be more than parking, it would | 16 times 2 equals 34 feet. For a conditional use, it will only |
| 17 be the p | 17 be 17 feet. |
| 18 MR. INTRIAGO: Corre | 18 MR. KLINE: All right. Thank you. |
| 19 MR. KLINE: -- meaning the drive lanes here | 19 MR. INTRIAGO: Any conditional use, sir. |
| 20 MR. INTRIAGO: Drive route and bas- -- you explained | 20 MR. KLINE: And -- |
| 21 that to me, Mr. Kline, which I was mistaken at the | 21 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Is that a building setback or |
| 22 beginning. Yes. | 22 the parkin |
| 23 site, in labeling a green hatch that also has | 23 MR. INTRIAGO: That's a parking setback, ma'am |
| 24 | 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 25 forest conservation area that Mr. Jolley explained on his | 25 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. |
| 30 | 32 |
| 1 testimon | 1 MR. KLINE: Right. And would you just basically |
| 2 MR. KLIN | 2 through the from the eastern property line and tell us wh |
| 3 finished. So for | 3 is happening within that 34 feet now under this proposa? |
| 4 showing the setbacks where parking could occur on this | 4 MR. INTRIAGO: Under this proposal for those 34 feet |
| 5 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct, sir. For a conditional us | 5 if I may start from the north side -- so like we were |
| 6 application, this blue envelope is the -- the locations | 6 saying, we put the driveway in a safer location, as far as |
| 7 where they can put the parking | 7 east -- as far west as we could, to be able to have ou |
| 8 MR. CHEN: Objection. | 8 fretruck get in and meander around the building and go |
| 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: | 9 the back |
| 10 MR. CHEN: The word used was condition | 10 So in that 34-foot parking setback, we have a 12-fo |
| 11 unqualified. So -- b | 11 buffer -- landscape buffer, we have 6 inches of curb, w |
| 12 parking for the -- a conditional use. What conditional use? | 12 have 20 feet of-- of dr- -- and -- and 21.33 feet of |
| 13 In fact, when you get into conditional uses, what type of | 13 driving aisle -- 20 feet of a driving |
| 14 proposal for a speci | 14 MR. KLINE: Okay. And -- and so let m |
| 15 Now, obviously, we're talking | 15 other way. What amount of encroachment into the 34 -foo |
| 16 conditional use for a daycare fa | 16 setback is shown on this plan? |
| 17 I -- I don't want to quibble about that | 17 MR. INTRIAGO: The amount of encroachment that we ha |
| 18 assume that is what the question is talking about for this | 18 of the driving aisle, it's 22 feet. |
| 19 conditional use, but -- HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, | 19 MR. KLINE: All right. So the varian- -- the parking |
| 20 I'm going to let it -- if -- if it's an objection | 20 waiver request is 22 feet from the 34 required in this tr- - |
| 21 testimony, I'm going to let it in, but you can cross- | 21 - application; is that right? |
| 22 on that. | 22 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. Back down to $10-$ - to 1 |
| 23 MR. CHEN: Okay. Okay | 23 sorry. Yes. |
| 24 MR. KLINE: So where that takes me to then is the | 24 MR. KLINE: Okay. Fine. Would you reiterate what has |
| 25 yellow strip on the right-hand side -- well, let's raise it | 25 been done within the non-paving area from the east edge of |

```
the driveway and to the property line?
    MR. INTRIAGO: From the ea- -- okay. Yes. I can. IfI
may, so on the eastern side, there's a multiple -- there was
multiple coordination with staff of park and planning to
develop this situation. So one of the things that we did
here was -- let's talk about the building buffer.
    So for the building buffer, there's a very specific
zoning code that it specifies what type of planting, what
type of fencing and what type of widths were required; okay?
For -- to -- and the length of which the building has to be
buffered, which is 50 percent of the length of the building
on both sides going north and -- north and -- and -- and
south.
    On the north side, you know, we have to go all the way
to the end of the property line, because 50 percent of the
building is -- it's -- it's less, but that's what's left on
the north and the south side and due to the communication we
had with staff and based on the communications we had with
the property neighbors, we went ahead further that required
on the buffering of the building and we took the -- the
requirements all the way down to the -- to the south to the
forest conservation area.
    MR. CHEN: Can we -- can we have a section number of
the ordinance that he's -- he's talking about?
MR. INTRIAGO:Yes, sir. If I may look at the landscape
```

plan? May I? Let's see --
MR. KLINE: Oh, sorry, Joey.
MR. INTRIAGO: Let me find it right here. I cannot read
that. Okay. It's too small for me to read. I wish -- do we
have the master plan?
MALE: Yeah. It's on the back [inaudible].
MR. KLINE: [inaudible]. Here, hold this for me.
MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. Here it is. On the -- ma'am, I
don't recall which exhibit number is this. It's the CUP-9 of
the --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What is the title?
MR. INTRIAGO: -- the landscape plan.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Try 103 -- 103A and B I think.
MR. INTRIAGO: 103A and -- and -- A and B. So over
there for the building screening, we have Section 6---
6.5.3.
MR. KLINE: 6.5.3.
MR. INTRIAGO: 5.3. So in that section --
MR. CHEN: Is that the only section that we're dealing
with?
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, that's for the -- that's for the -

- that's for the building screen. The other --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, building screen --
MR. INTRIAGO: The zoning -- the --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- is it the perim- --
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1 perimeter -- there's parking lot landscaping and perimeter
landscaping.
MR. INTRIAGO: Mm-hmm.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I think 6.5 .3 is the perimeter
landscaping. Am I incorrect?
MR. INTRIAGO: It's my understanding -- ma'am, I can --
I'd say it needs to really have the section of the code that
the one [inaudible] now is for the screen of the building
itself. So the building screen for their neighbors, not --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That's the perimeter. MR. INTRIAGO: Okay.
MR. CHEN: Well --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I think --
MR. CHEN: -- I think the examiner's correct.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- I just want to make sure --
you're using terms that aren't in the zoning ordinance and I
want to make sure we understand what we're talking about.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, ma'am.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So what is 6.5.3?
MR. KLINE: It -- it -- it reads in the zoning
ordinance screening requirements.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. And what's in -- there's
two types of screening requirements. What's 6.5.3? That's
the perimeter, I believe.
MR. KLINE: Oh -- oh, [inaudible]. Three just says
screening requirements, C says building type and --
MR. INTRIAGO: So it's basically the type of -- if you
go to H, I think it is, if you see the -- the type for a
conditional use application, we have two options to screen
the building. So that's what that section of the code is. If
I -- if I may, Mr. Kline -- may I?
MR. KLINE: Yes.
MR. INTRIAGO: So I can read [inaudible].
MR. KLINE: Read it into the record.
MR. INTRIAGO: So 6.5 is screening requirements. So
it's 6.5.3, screening requirements. 6.5.3.C, screening
requirements by building type and we're talking about number
7, which is general building with non- --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That's -- okay. That's -- all
right. I know where you are. Do you know where we are --
what he's talking about [inaudible] that?
MR. KLINE: Okay. I'm -- I'm just --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I think I know, it's the perimeter.

MR. INTRIAGO: I -- I --
MR. CHEN: Yes. Perimeter [inaudible]. [inaudible]
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Okay. I know what we're
talking about. So --
MR. INTRIAGO: I -- I -- I --
MR. KLINE: Well -- well, let me -- okay. Go ahead.

```
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I don't want to get bogged
down on this.
    MR. KLINE: Right. Right. Right.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So we know we're in 6.5.3.C.
    MR. INTRIAGO: I -- I can use 7, ma'am, I think, after
that.
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Seven, which is the general
building type?
        MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
        MR. INTRIAGO: So in that option, we have either the 8-
foot option or the 12-foot option. What --
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It's the one -- it's the menus
of options?
        MR. INTRIAGO: Mm-hmm.
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
        MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. Option B says -- option --
    sorry, option A it says that we can provide a eight-foot
    wide landscape buffer as long as we provide a four-foot
    fence or wall. You're providing a six-foot fence
    [inaudible].
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Of one side?
        MR. INTRIAGO: On -- on the side that we need to screen
    the building, which is between -- between the building and
    the residential use on the east side.
```

        MR. KLINE: Mr. Intriago, let me just pull you back.
        MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
        MR. KLINE: All -- all -- all I want you to do is
    describe what exists between the curb line and the fence.
MR. INTRIAGO: Okay.
MR. KLINE: Well, not why it's required there.
MR. CHEN: I'll object to that --
MR. KLINE: Why?
MR. CHEN: -- because -- because your landscape
10 architect did -- testified to that.
MR. KLINE: Right.
MR. CHEN: If he's -- if he's just -- just repeat what
the landscape -- landscape architect testified to, what --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, let me -- let me jump
in. Is the point of this the site constraints?
MR. KLINE: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That's the point?
MR. KLINE: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So I'm going to let him
testify as to the site constraints to the best of your
ability.
MR. INTRIAGO: Mm-hmm
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: See, I thought -- well, I'll
let somebody else get to this, because I'm not sure --
MR. KLINE: Mr. Rob- --

1 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN.
site constraints and don't -- you're trying -- okay. You're
getting into the architecture, because that's part of the --
the landscaping, because that's part of the site
constraints?
MR. INTRIAGO: Correct.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. So your interpretation of-- I'm going to let him do it.

MR. CHEN: I -- I think I know where you're going, Madame Examiner --

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm going to get through this.
MR. CHEN: -- and I understand your ruling. Yeah. [laughter]

I -- I -- I'm-- I'm-- I didn't hear that, I'm sorry.
You're -- you're going to allow him to testify?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I am
MR. CHEN: Okay. I -- obviously, I will abide by your 18 ruling. At my end, just because I was about to object again,
9 is if this is what this gentleman's testimony is as to their
0 understanding of the site constraints and how they've
1 implemented them--
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, I was just going there. MR. CHEN: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: We're going to accept it with
5 his understanding, because I'm not 100 percent sure I agree
with his understanding, but --
2 MR. CHEN: Yeah. Yeah. I understand.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- that's -- that's -- he can testify to that, you can cross --
5 MR. CHEN: Yes. I understand.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- and he's presenting them 7 just as a site constraint.

MR. CHEN: I understand and we may quarrel about that
9 and if you recognize that --
10 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Whether it's a site constraint
MR. CHEN: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- whether there actually is a site constraint, you can -- you can quarrel with always --

MR. CHEN: Thank you very much.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- but I'm going to let it in.
MR. CHEN: Thank you. I understand.
MR. INTRIAGO: Thank you, Madame.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Keep going.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. You want me to --
MR. KLINE: Just briefly describe what are the features
2 between the --
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. KLINE: -- east curb line and the fence.
25 MR. INTRIAGO: Well, it's 12 feet of landscape and the

| 41 | 43 |
| :---: | :---: |
| fence. | 1 though, did you? |
| MR. KLINE: That's -- that's -- that's simple enough. | 2 MR. CHEN: Yeah. I think he got it in. |
| Based on what you just said and what you heard Mr. Jolley | MR. KLINE: You did. Okay. So -- so the answer is? |
| say yesterday -- | 4 MR. INTRIAGO: Is yes. |
| MR. INTRIAGO: Mm-hmm. | 5 MR. KLINE: Yes. Okay. |
| MR. KLINE: -- I'm going to ask you whether you believe | 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That was a lot of work. |
| that the st- -- requirements for a parking waiver are | 7 MR. KLINE: Yeah. It's -- it's such a pretty exhibit |
| justified in this situation? | 8 too. Mr. Intriago, so, you know, with -- with -- with what |
| MR. CHEN: Say that -- I didn't -- | 9 we have show- -- I'm sorry, what is shown as the constraints |
| 10 MR. KLINE: Yeah. | 10 on the property -- regulatory constraints on the property |
| 11 MR. CHEN: -- you were walking around -- | 11 are those are applicable to what variety of uses on the |
| 12 MR. KLINE: Yeah. Yeah. Sorry. | 12 property? Would they -- would they be applicable to all |
| 13 MR. CHEN: -- I didn't hear it. | 13 conditional uses? |
| 14 MR. KLINE: Sure. Yeah. The- -- there are -- well, I'll | 14 MR. INTRIAGO: Well, it would be applicable to all |
| 15 do it this way -- | 15 conditional uses but not uses by right. The only change by |
| 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: The justification for a | 16 right would be on the A site, the parking setback would be |
| 17 parking waiver is in 6.2 .10 ; correct? | 17 less stringent, it would go down to 17 feet. |
| 18 MR. KLINE: Correct. That's -- that's exactly where I | 18 MR. KLINE: Okay. What would -- what would happen under |
| 19 was going, thank you. | 19 development of property under its underlying RE--- RE-1 |
| 20 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 20 zoning for residential development? |
| 21 MR. KLINE: All right. So Mr. Intriago -- | 21 MR. INTRIAGO: So under RE-1 zoning, you can actually - |
| 22 MR. INTRIAGO: Mm-hm | 22 - and based on the size of just doing pure math -- based on |
| 23 MR. KLINE: -- Section 6.2.10, reading parking waiver, | 23 the size of the site and you could technically fit 3-3 |
| 24 says that the deciding body may waive the parking | 24 single-family homes there, 40,000-square-foot each, which is |
| 25 requirements provided it meets the standards of 6.2.1.6.2.1 | 25 the minimum requirement for the lots of the homes. |
| 42 | 44 |
| says, "The intent of the vehicle in parking, cueing and | MR. KLINE: Okay. So let me break it down, if someone |
| loading requirements is to ensure that the adequate parking | 2 just wanted to tear down the existing house and build a new |
| is provided in a safe and efficient manner." | 3 house, would access to the property and anything really |
| In your opinion, based on your experience and your | 4 change at all from what's out there today? |
| 5 design experience, does the parking waiver result in a safe | 5 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. |
| and efficient manner for parking and movement on the | 6 Mr. KLINE: What? Why? How? |
| 7 property? | 7 MR. INTRIAGO: Well, I think that we'll have to |
| MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. | 8 probably do a -- a -- a reader [inaudible] of subdivision to |
| MR. CHEN: Object--- okay. I object to the question. I | 9 spit out the three single-family homes. |
| $10-$ I I don't think that this gentleman has proven to come near | 10 MR. KLINE: Well, I'm only talking about -- I -- I - |
| 11 the qualifications to render such an opinion, but in any | 11 want you to just tell me one -- one thing at a time |
| 12 event, it's going to be an opinion, I think, that's going to | 12 MR. INTRIAGO: Uh-huh. |
| 13 be determined by the hearing examiner so that to me, I | 13 MR. KLINE: One house, replacement house -- |
| 14 think, the presentation should be the facts. | 14 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. |
| 15 Now, if-- if you're going to allow this gentleman to | 15 MR. KLINE: -- is it going to change anything on the |
| 16 test- -- that's fine, I-- I understand it and you can take | 16 property? |
| 17 it for the weight that we'll hear it and -- | 17 MR. INTRIAGO: No. I -- sorry, I may not understand |
| 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm going to take it for the | 18 your question, Mr. Kline, I'm sorry. |
| 19 weight -- | 19 MR. KLINE: Okay. You -- you -- you've told us that |
| 20 MR. CHEN: Yeah. I -- | 20 there is an opportunity to have up to three houses on the |
| 21 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- I -- I understand, us- -- | 21 property. |
| 22 usually we have someone -- another expert testify to the | 22 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. |
| 23 safety of this and the efficiency. 'Ill let it in and I'll | 23 MR. KLINE: I'm asking you if somebody only wants to |
| 24 take it for the weight it -- it deserves. All right. | 24 rebuild a new structure on the property. |
| 25 MR. KLINE: I don't think you answered the question, | 25 MR. INTRIAGO: Oh, new structure on the property, just |


| 45 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 like a new family -- sing- -- single-family home? | 1 the limits of the southern end of the parking area |
| 2 MR. KLINE: Yes, sir. Right. | 2 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. Probably it would, basically. |
| 3 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. There would be no problem, they can | 3 MR. KLINE: Yeah. Do me a favor, stand up here at the |
| 4 actually go ahead and get a building permit for that. Yes, | 4 board and just kind of-- [inaudible] here. Where do you |
| 5 sir | 5 think somebody developing the property with three houses |
| 6 MR. KLINE: Okay. So if there were to be two lots | 6 would put the houses and how the driveway to serve them |
| 7 two houses -- | 7 woul |
| MR. INTRIAGO: Mm-hmm | 8 MR. CHEN: This is his opinion; correct? |
| 9 MR. KLINE: -- how would that lay | 9 MR. KLINE: Yeah. Right. |
| 10 change the | 10 MR. CHEN: Okay. |
| 11 MR. INTRIAGO: Well, um, they still -- they -- they | 11 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. So we're talking approximately |
| 12 still will have to probably, you know, put the drawing out | 12 about $600-600$ linear feet of frontage. So more or less |
| 13 that they are trying to access for the safety purposes that | 13 about from north to south. So appro- -- approximately -- |
| 14 we're talking about on the eastern side of the property | 14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Six-hundred? |
| 15 you know, somewhere where the old one was and if you're | 15 MR. INTRIAGO: Six- -- 599, if I -- I -- if I recall |
| 16 going to access a town- -- a -- a single-family in the back, | 16 correctly |
| 17 because that's the logical part of putting it, then y | 17 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It's okay. I don't need an |
| 18 driveway still will have to meander back and try to get to | 18 exact number |
| 19 the same location | 19 MR. INTRIAGO: Oka |
| 20 MR. KLINE: What is the pro- -- the technical -- or I'm | 20 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- I just didn't hear what you |
| 21 sorry, what's the professional term for the lot in the | 21 said [inaudible] |
| 22 that would be created? How would access be obtained to that | 22 MR. INTRIAGO: It's 6--- it's approximately 600, |
| 23 MR. INTRIAGO: The stem, I'm sorry -- stem-- | 23 ma'am. So I'll say, you know -- you know, each single-family |
| 24 MR. KLINE: Keep | 24 home will be approximately 200 feet in width and then -- in |
| 25 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. | 25 order to meet the 40,000 -- |
| 46 | 48 |
| 1 MR. KLINE: Are you -- are you searching for the word | 1 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Please -- no. Just a second. |
| 2 pipestem? | 2 No -- can you keep your voice down? Because I'm having |
| 3 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. Pipestem. Yes. Yes. Yes. Sorry. | 3 trouble hearing the witness. Go ahead. |
| 4 That's the word. | 4 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. So approximately 200 feet width |
| 5 MR. KLINE: So because of where -- well -- well, the | 5 going north-south of each of the lots and at that point, |
| 6 loc- -- the location of the access of the property dictates | 6 based on what we discussed, the driveway most likely will be |
| 7 that there would be a driveway on the east side running | 7 on the -- on the eastern side of -- connected to Needwood |
| 8 along the east side of the property serving both lots? | 8 Road going south and then projecting the driveway -- the 9 shared driveway, this -- the -- it would be going south |
| 9 MR. INTRIAGO: Well, that's what I think will -- will | 9 shared driveway, this -- the -- it would be going south 10 along the eastern property line all the way to sort of the |
| 10 happen, Mr. Kline. That's in my opinion, yes. | 11 last home. It would be at the last 200 feet south of the -- |
| 11 MR. KLINE: Okay. And if the lot were to be -- I'm | 12 of the property. |
| 12 sorry, if the property were to be subdi- -- redeveloped for | 13 MR. KLINE: So you'd have a -- you would have your |
|  | 14 driveway of possibly more length than exists under this |
| 14 MR. INTRIAGO: Mm-hmm. | 15 proposal? |
| 15 MR. KLINE: -- what would be the -- would there be any | 16 MR. INTRIAGO: Probably yes, sir. |
| 16 differences to that? Or where would the drive lane then go? | 17 MR. CHEN: Again, [inaudible] -- |
| 17 MR. INTRIAGO: Well, the driveway will still be on that | 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Excuse -- we can understa |
| 18 east side, we're just going to be further south into the | 19 I can understand it's his opinion. |
| 19 development, because now we're talking about three -- three | 20 MR. CHEN: Fine. Fine. |
| 20 single-family homes there too; you know? It just will be - | 21 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You can punch holes in it. |
| 21 even impacts more to the southern property -- east so | 22 MR. CHEN: Okay. Sure. Yeah. I know, I wouldn't do |
| 22 southeast property, because now that -- it'll be more | 23 that. |
| 23 driveway going south to access the further south residential 24 home. | 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I mean, if you can inaudible]. |
| 25 MR. KLINE: So it -- it would -- would it go as far as | 25 MR. INTRIAGO: I have- -- I haven't done a -- |

specifically sign for a [inaudible] right now, but just
looking at the site and in my opinion and my experience, I
think that would be the case.
MR. KLINE: And would that residential driveway carry
as much traffic as this proposal would?
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, it's different than the traffic,
Mr. Kline. I will leave that to the traffic expert to answer
that question, if I may.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead, Eduardo and have
0 a seat. Let me ask you this question --
1 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
2 MR. KLINE: -- were you ever asked to performa study
3 of development of a property with 12--- around 13,000-
4 square-foot daycare building without a need for a waiver on
5 the east side of the property?
6 MR. CHEN: Objection; this is a document that should've
7 been pre-filed.
8 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I agree. I'm not going to let
9 it in.
$0 \quad$ MR. CHEN: Can we, I guess, mark it for the record or -
1 -
2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What -- you want to proffer,
3 for the record, what it would be and ---
4 MR. CHEN: No. I just ---

MR. CHEN: No. I just want to propos- --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No. I'm asking Mr. Kline.
MR. KLINE: Sure. Well -- well, no. I --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Do you want to proffer what it
would be?
MR. KLINE: Obviously, but -- but let me go back and
explain why you didn't see it earlier, because well, as
you've heard, we've done a multiple number of study plans. I
would have no idea of what we're going to need for this
hearing. So I didn't put them all in in advance.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, I guess my deal is it's
not a comparis- -- this case is not a comparison of what
could be developed versus what's before me. I've had that
argument before and whether three single-family homes is
more or less --
MR. KLINE: Right.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- and issue, I'd like to put
on verbal testimony, but I don't want to get into exhibits
about it, because it's not my criteria.
MR. KLINE: Sure.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: My criteria is does this
proposal meet the standards of approval; okay?
MR. KLINE: I understand. But -- but the -- the
argument against the proposal that's before you is that
you're forcing the driveway over against our property and I
thought I should be able to demonstrate that there are other
ways to develop the property without a driveway on the east
side of the property and -- and want to waiver --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait a minute, other ways to develop the property without a driveway?

MR. KLINE: The -- going back to your offer -- or
proffer, what I was trying to submit was a drawing showing
the -- the exact same proposals that we are proposing with a
driveway on the east side are doable without a driveway on
the east side, but why it is not as pref- -- why it was not
as attractive a setting or -- or accomplishes as much as
with -- with having a waiver.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, you could have a driveway on the east side and still meet the setback. So I guess I'm like -- I -- I -- I think it's apples and oranges 16 and I don't want to get into exhibits that haven't been prefiled when we've known that the waiver is an issue in this case.

So he's testified that the driveway generally would have to be on the east side. That's what I'm hearing him say.

MR. INTRIAGO: Correct.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So I -- I don't see the need -
4 - my -- I'm not going to base my decision on whether
residential use could be less impactfil or just as
impactful.
MR. KLINE: Yeah. And --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Unless you dis- -- you know, if you disagree --

MR. KLINE: No.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- you know, if you disagree
with that, but my question is is this use going to have --
MR. KLINE: Yeah. No. I understand that completely, I'm
just -- but it's been said this is -- the only way you can
develop a property, and that's true, you can develop it
another way, but in our opinion, it is less compatible than
what has been proposed.
So -- so you say you're -- you're taking the waiver
because you're forced to do that because of the size of the
building and I'm saying, no, there's a way you can get this
building to fit on the property and not have to be on the
east side of the property -- have a driveway on the east
side of the property.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, what is your exhibit then?

MR. KLINE: The exhibit is a study of what would it take to put a building on a property of the same size but not have a parking waiver on the east side.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I see. Okay. Why didn't you
prepare this sooner? Because he hasn't had a chance to look

```
at it.
```

MR. KLINE: I understand that. Sure. Well, along with a
lot of -- as I said, along with a lot of other study plans
we did, just we didn't -- we didn't submit them all. We've
probably got 10 or 15 alternatives we studied over time.
MR. INTRIAGO: This came up at the November hearing.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, what I'm going to do is
this, if we have -- I'm going to reserve a ruling. I want
you to give it to Mr. Chen and we'll take it up Tuesday if we have to.
MR. KLINE: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But -- but you're proffering
that this shows the same 12,000 -square-foot building with a
driveway on the west side?
MR. KLINE: Correct.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Then I'm going to ask that you give it to Mr. Chen. I'm not going to foreclose it.
Give it to Mr. Chen. I thought it was a comparison of
residential development. So I apologize, I should've let you
proffer. So give it to Mr. Chen, we'll take it up on
Tuesday.
MR. KLINE: That's fine.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So he can testify what he
thinks about development. He can testify now what he thinks
about the development if it were put -- well, why don't we
wait for that until he's had --
MR. KLINE: To do that.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- an opportunity to look at this.

MR. KLINE: Okay. Okay. Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Now, I would like a copy just so I can mark it --

MR. KLINE: Yeah. Right.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- for our records.
MR. KLINE: I understand. Right.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: How would you describe this?
MR. KLINE: Alternative study -- alternate design study
without -- alternate -- alternate designed study.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So this is 189, alternate
design study. And then I'm going to mark on the exhibit list
not yet admitted. Okay. Keep going.
MR. KLINE: Well, Mr. Intriago, what I'd like you to do
is, just because it'll be a subject of discussion at a later
point and time, just give -- give us an overview of what
this Exhibit 189 shows. Do you have a copy of it?
1 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Okay. You may write on it.
MR. INTRIAGO: May I, ma'am?
MR. KLINE: Are you ready to do that?
MR. INTRIAGO: May I?
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1
4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It's -- and he's had a chance
to take a look at it.
MR. KLINE: Yeah. I -- I'd say sure. I -- I understand
that.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: If it comes in.
MR. KLINE: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: If-- if-- if I may, Madame Examiner, I
would request that we receive testimonies to what this is,
because, excuse me, just looking at the document alone does
not tell me what's going on here and I -- I would rather --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You want to lay a foundation
now?
MR. CHEN: I'd like to hear what -- yeah, what this is
all about.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. KLINE: Do you want to do his testimony or just a
proffer to --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. We'll lay -- no. We'll
lay a foundation and then subject to his objection later.
MR. KLINE: I understand. Yeah. That's -- that's --
that's fine.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. KLINE: So Mr. Intriago, were you asked to perform
a study that had 13--- up to a 13,000 -square-foot child
daycare building without a waiver -- parking waiver request
on the east side of the property?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
MR. KLINE: Okay. And what's been marked as Exhibit 189
is a depiction of what that study is?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Could you please walk us through the
details of what you did in analyzing this or explain what
11 this is showing?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. So as -- as it was explained
on the -- on -- what's the number of this exhibit, ma'am?
I'm sorry.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: 189.
MR. KLINE: 188.
MR. INTRIAGO: Oh, 189.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Oh, no. No. 188, I'm sorry.
MR. KLINE: 188. Yeah. Right.
MR. INTRIAGO: 188?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Just a second, Ms. Rice, you
want a copy?
MS. RICE: Yes.
4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: When you all give me copies,
25 can you provide Ms. Rice with a copy?

| 57 | 59 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. KLINE: I -- I brought enough to pass around. I | 1 the 44 parking spaces. That meant that the parking -- the |
| 2 just thought it was more for the people at the table before | 2 parking -- the parking bay got extended. It got much longer. |
|  | 3 In -- and the final thing that that means is that -- |
| 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I -- I -- I -- I totally | 4 due to the fact of that, we had to eliminate the forest |
| 5 understand. And I saw two people walk in. Are those people | 5 conservation area here, because now that buffer will not |
| 6 that want to testify at some point or -- I don't understand | 6 able to meet the minimum requirements of the forest |
| 7 the pointing. | 7 conservation area. |
| 8 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah. We're appraiser | 8 So that forest conservation area goes away, sir, |
| 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. I just wanted to make | 9 because we'll have to find different ways to meet -- to get |
| 10 sure | 10 [inaudible] for either FELU [ph] or -- bands or that would |
| 11 MR. KLINE: | 11 be -- have to be coordinated with -- with -- with park and |
| 12 Yes. | 12 planning for that matter when that -- if -- if -- when that |
| 13 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- it wasn't the community. | 13 situation cam |
| 14 I'm sorry. | 14 MR. KLINE: Does this proposal require a parking |
| 15 MR. KLINE: Yeah. No problem | 15 variance -- or waiver, I'm sorry? Parking waiver |
| 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Go ahead, 188 | 16 MR. INTRIAGO: It would not require a waiver for |
| 17 MR. INTRIAGO: 188. So specifically on Exhibit 188 | 17 parking on the east side -- side of the property. Yes. |
| 18 would like to refer to the yellow portion of the color here | 18 MR. KLINE: Does it require any parking waiver? |
| 19 Basically, what was done was the building -- the -- the | 19 MR. INTRIAGO: Well, Mr. Kline, I see -- I -- we will |
| 20 the -- the same building that we had on the conditional use | 20 have to discuss the situation about the driveway now being |
| 21 application was moved as further east as possible. | 21 the 50-feet building setback on -- on Carnegie Avenue, |
| 22 In other words, this building right now is 18 feet from | 22 |
| 23 the property | 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: There is a prohibition |
| 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: The building on 188? | 24 somewhere that prevents driveways in the front yard, but |
| 25 MR. INTRIAGO: The -- the -- the building | 25 could be wrong. |
| 58 | 60 |
| 1 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: | 1 MR. CHEN: No. You're right. |
| 2 MR. INTRIAGO: -- 1--- or the building on 189, it's -- | 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Maybe -- |
| 3 let me write that down, ma'am, I'm sorry, 189, 188. So the | 3 MR. KLINE: No. I -- I -- I -- |
| 4 building on -- on 189 is 18 feet from the property line. | 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It's in 4.1- -- or maybe |
| 5 That allows me -- the building setback is 17. So I put it | 5 that's drive aisles. Anyway, sorry. |
| 6 one-foot west from it. And then what happens was based on | 6 MR. KLINE: Yeah. No. No. |
| 7 this constraint, I kept, for safety purposes, the driveway | 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'll be quiet. |
| 8 in the same location it is. | 8 MR. KLINE: Yeah. |
| 9 In order to meet the fire -- the fire code turning | 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 10 radius of a firetruck, which is 20 feet on the inside and 50 | 10 MR. KLINE: We -- we approached it that there -- we |
| 11 feet on the outside, the -- the -- we had to take the | 11 would probably still need a waiver simply because it's |
| 12 driveway and meander around the building. That meant that | 12 within the 50-foot front yard setback and there may -- you |
| 13 the building had to be pushed, you know, south some feet for | 13 may be right, there may be an additional restriction against |
| 14 that situ- -- just to get the firetruck in and meander the | 14 that. |
| 15 driveway and get it through the west side of the property. | 15 MR. CHEN: Are we going to hear what waivers would be |
| 16 Immediately after we passed the building we man- -- we | 16 required by Exhibit 189 ? |
| 17 took the -- the -- the driving lane back into the -- | 17 MR. KLINE: Only a parking waiver on the west side of |
| 18 referring back to Exhibit 188, the blue envelope of the | 18 the property. |
| 19 parking, ma'am, if I may refer. So -- so I'm trying to -- if | 19 MR. CHEN: Is this gentleman going to testify about |
| 20 you see the place that -- where we put the parking spaces | 20 what -- what -- what the -- the factual basis -- |
| 21 there on Exhibit 189 it 's all within the blue envelope of | 21 MR. KLINE: Well, I want to |
| 22 Exhibit 188. | 22 MR. CHEN: -- for the parking waiver? |
| 23 So everything is constrained. That -- what that means | 23 MR. KLINE: Yeah. Well, I'm going to go at |
| 24 is we still need to meet the parking requirements for the | 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: If he can. |
| 25 county and the parking requirements from Primrose and keep | 25 MR. KLINE: -- I'm -- I'm -- I'm -- I'm going to go at |
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HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: If he can.
MR. KLINE: -- a different direction --
MR. CHEN: Okay. I'm sorry.
MR. KLINE: -- and that is Mr. Intriago, when you came
up with this plan, why did you not pursue it any further?
What are the deficiencies from your point of view from civil
engineering?
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, there's -- there's -- there's -there's a couple of deficiencies I would like to talk about.
You know, it's a -- it's a little bit -- it would be a
little bit more challenging for [inaudible] water management
even -- even though I think it's doable and then, you know, you are actually extending the amount of imperviousness, which is the goal.

It's not to increase imperviousness, but reducing imperviousness once you try to meander the -- your driveway 8 around the building. So you're probably 150 feet more of -of -- of pavement that, you know, you wouldn't have to happen if we had just straight shot on the east side.

Additionally, I think a -- a big important item for me would be that -- the forest conservation area that we tried to preserve in the beginning. We will not have physical space to provide that easement area, because there are minimum requirements about the -- the width of the forest
and the location of the forest.
So that buffer between our -- our proposal and the
south -- the south side of [inaudible] will be gone. We
still have some good -- some good separation there, but --
but still it would not be the same thing of a -- a -- a
forest and I will leave that more to whoever is an expert on
talking about forests, which I am not.
I only -- I'm only going to give you that -- that tells
me how much area you need and I'll provide it on my plan.
For the quality of the forest and what it means, I would
like you to -- to ask somebody else about that, please.
MR. KLINE: What are the disadvantages of this plan as
it relates to the adjacent property owners to the east?
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, I think there is advantages for
this. We're still going to be able to comply with the
minimum -- and ma'am, I'm sorry if I'm not saying it the
right way, but building screening requirements on the
section that we talked about earlier.
We still will have exceeded all the minimum
requirements for the buffering of the building, but still --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Would exceeded, did you say?
MR. INTRIAGO: We -- we -- we -- we could exceed --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Could --
MR. INTRIAGO: -- with this situation with the
proposal.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah, but --
MR. INTRIAGO: But it's just in my -- in my opinion you would be more intrusive to the neighbors, because the building would be much, much closer to them. Instead of being -- I can measure it right now, 47.51 feet. We're going to be talking about being only 18 feet away.

MR. KLINE: And does the loss of the landscaping have any adverse effect as it relates to what you want to achieve for buffering the property to the east?

MR. INTRIAGO: Correct, sir. You know, that -- that plays a -- a -- a good point to that. You know, we have some -- like I said, we have some good buffers around the 13 southeast and probably south, but it's not the forest area 4 that we were proposing originally to be a -- 1--- if I can 5 recall correctly, it's 143.7 feet of buffer of -- of forest 16 that we had there before. 8 explaining matam the - the constraints of the parking is so narrow, based on Exhibit 188, and on this exhibit, you 0 know, everything has tried to be constrained to that narrowness of that re- -- zoning requirement.

MR. KLINE: Mr. Intriago, I'm trying to maybe pick up a 3 little time here. There are a number of requirements in 4 Section 59.6.2.5 dealing with parking standard- --

MR. CHEN: 6.---
MR. KLINE: I'm sorry, yeah, I'll do that again.
MR. CHEN: [inaudible]
MR. KLINE: 59.6.2.5, which is essentially basically a parking section, vehicle parking design standards. And I'm going to try and do this -- the rest a little bit quicker.
Mr. Intriago, do we satisfy the requirements of 6.2 .5 B , parking design standards in terms of size of spaces, orientation spaces?

MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
10 MR. KLINE: 6.2.5.C, access, how one basically moves 11 through the parking lot, do we satisfy that requirement?
12 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
13 MR. KLINE: 6.2.5.D, marking, what kind of
14 striping/marking will you have on the property?
15 MR. INTRIAGO: I think we have -- we have on the -- on 16 the -- we have directional arrows, stop arrows, crosswalks 17 and ADA striping as well, sir.
18 MR. KLINE: Okay. And -- and will it comply with 19 Section 6.2.5.G, dealing with drive aisles -- with the drive 20 aisles, do all the drive aisles meet or exceed standards for 21 --
22 MR. INTRIAGO: Most of the places the drive aisles meet 23 exactly the minimum requirements. So in case we're trying to 24 minimize the amount of imperviousness --
25 MR. KLINE: Mm-hmm.


MR. INTRIAGO: Oh, I'm sorry, on the east side. I
apologize, sir.
MR. KLINE: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: On the east side of that right-of-way.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Right.
MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. So almost, I want to say, midway
through the sidewalk there's a connection proffer on the
conditional -- on the conditional use by staff that -- in
9 which we have to provide a 3-foot minimum pathway from the 10 public sidewalk to the main entrance.
11 So basically, we -- we -- we provided a pathway that will connect as such to the south side of the -- the south
si- -- the south side sidewalks front in the main entrance of the building just on the south side of the building.
15 So in front -- in front of the building we have a -- an
16 array of sidewalks that either go to the main entrance or go
17 to the east of the property and then back north on the east
18 side of the building, [inaudible] sidewalk, all the way to
19 the right-of-way, which there's some existing eight- --
20 eight-foot trail.
21 And actually, I -- when I visit that trail, that trail
is fairly new, ma'am. It's -- it's -- it's -- it's -- it's
been -- I don't know how many years old, but it's -- it's
very new. So basically, with the direction of staff, in
order to minimize the impact of our development to that
trail, what we --
Originally, we were having a crossing immediately at the -- at the right-of-way on the north side of our -- of our driveway, but then with recommendation of staff, we actually moved that crossing to make a shorter crossing.

We moved it -- the ramp towards the property line and then we have the crossover so we can minimize the -- the -the amount of bicycle, pedestrians or -- bicycle -- bi- -bike riders or pedestrians -- minimize the length that they're crossing on actual a -- a -- a driveway or a paved area.

And then basically, we're connecting to existing eightfoot trail on the north side of the development. Now, talking about internal -- internal sidewalks --

MR. KLINE: Yeah. Do- -- don't bother --
MR. INTRIAGO: Okay.
MR. KLINE: -- because the zoning ordinance really is 18 talking about your -- because you're talking about how to move the children inside the fences; right?

MR. INTRIAGO: Correct.
MR. KLINE: Yeah.
MR. INTRIAGO: But this meets also ADA requirements for
-- and guidelines to connections to right-of-way.
MR. KLINE: Okay. So Section 59.6.2.5.I, we just titled
walkways, this pedestrian cir- -- circulation system
satisfies the requirements of that I Section?
MR. INTRIAGO: In my pro- -- professional opinion, it
does, sir. Yes.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Okay. Tell us where public services are going to be made available on the property. Will we be using public water?

MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. We'll be using public water.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Tell us where the lines are and how
they'll be serving the building.
MR. INTRIAGO: May I use my other plan?
MR. KLINE: Sure.
MR. INTRIAGO: So I'm going to use --
MR. KLINE: And of course, you'll have to tell us what
you've got here.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. I will in a second. I'm going
to use Exhibit 93D, if I may. So Ms. Robeson, I would like
to say also, for the record, that we have been -- we have
been doing a bunch of accommodations with WSSC back and
forth with two or three project managers, but a formal
submission [inaudible] have not been done yet, because we're
not at that stage of engineered documents.
But all the location of the water and sewer connections
have been -- we have had many minutes meeting with
inaudible] location.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What's the -- what's the category?

| 69 | 71 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. INTRIAGO: It's a category one. | 1 6-inch meter volt at the entrance of the driveway that we |
| 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: For water and sewer? | 2 have at the main entrance. That meter volt is locating a |
| 3 MR. INTRIAGO: Water and sewer. Yes. | 3 [inaudible] easement that we have already designed and |
| 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 4 coordinated to make sure that it's fixed and we don't have a |
| 5 MS. RICE: No, it's not. | 5 problem later on. |
| 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Just a second. We cannot -- I | 6 So that's been designed to be able to comply with all |
| 7 won't have interruptions. | 7 the bands and the requirements for WSSC. For the record, |
| 8 MS. RICE: I'm sorry. I'm so sorry | 8 like I said, Mr. Chen, Ms. -- Ms. -- Ms. -- Madame Robeson, |
| 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Your testimony is it's S1W | 9 we have submitted formerly to WSSC. We would redo that at a |
| 10 MR. INTRIAGO: That's my recollection, ma'am, but if | 10 later time, but based on my experience, I would have done |
| 11 if you want to -- | 11 before with the [inaudible]. I -- I -- it's my -- my |
| 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No. Don't -- is -- is that | 12 professional opinion that this meets the requirements. |
| 13 your recollection? | 13 So then just to serve fire as well, sir, so we have |
| 14 MR. INTRIAGO: That made me doubt myself. Yes. | 14 SET's [ph] water line and we have to have a fire hydrant |
| 15 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay | 15 within 100 feet of the FD--- of the fire department |
| 16 MR. INTRIAGO: I don't want to doubt myself. Yeah. | 16 connection, which I coordi- -- coordinated with Mr. Up [ph] |
| 17 That's my recollection -- the recollection, ma'am. Yes. | 17 and there's an MTC at the southeast corner of the building, |
| 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay | 18 which is less than 100 feet from that proposed fire hydrant. |
| 19 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. So if I may, so we have right now | 19 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Do you have a propo |
| 20 -- so as you can depict, on the northeast side of the | 20 an approved fire access plan?' |
| 21 development, I have | 21 MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am. Oh, no. We -- we -- we -- we do |
| 22 MR. CHEN: I apologize, but what is the zoning | 22 not have a letter from Ms. Labaw, we have the plan. |
| 23 ordinance section we're dealing with at -- at this point? | 23 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. |
| 24 MR. KLINE: Well, the general -- | 24 MR. KLINE: Yeah. |
| 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Is this his adequate public | 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Is it approved? |
| 70 | 72 |
| 1 facilities testimony? | 1 MR. INTRIAGO: No |
| 2 MR. KLINE: Yes. Thank you. Yes, ma'am. | 2 MR. KLINE: She has not approved it. Well, let me |
| 3 MR. CHEN: Okay. | 3 rephrase that, she's not commented on it and -- |
| 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So it's 7.3.1 | 4 MR. CHEN: How long has it been pen- -- pending? |
| 5 MR. CHEN: Okay. Yeah. Sorry, we're just -- we're just | 5 MR. KLINE: Huh? |
| 6 trying to figure out where it was coming from. | 6 MR. CHEN: How long has it -- |
| 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead. | 7 MR. KLINE: Well, we filed it [inaudible] -- yeah. We - |
| 8 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. So if I may establish a -- | 8 - at the same time -- we filed at the same time we filed all |
| 9 establish a [inaudible], so on the -- on the -- if you -- if | 9 the other application materials. If you want me to bring it |
| 10 you go to the northeast of the site on Exhibit 93B, I have | 10 out now, I'll do that, but -- |
| 11 an NOB, which is 5 feet wide that will be done for a | 11 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I don't want to -- I'mjust |
| 12 sanitized sewer extension to an existing gravity manhole | 12 trying to get a sense of where your approvals are. |
| 13 that is located at the - at the east side of the driveway | 13 MR. KLINE: There -- there is -- there is a plan -- |
| 14 of the -- of the [inaudible] property. | 14 there is no reference in the staff report and we do not have |
| 15 So there's an existing [inaudible] sewer manhole that - | 15 a letter of approval fromMs. Labaw. |
| 16 - that -- which inverts, works perfectly fine just to -- to | 16 MR. KLINE: Because usually staff requires one before |
| 17 provide a gravity sewer for a property; right? | 17 it gets to us. |
| 18 Now, if I may now for the water, we have a straight | 18 MR. KLINE: They like to have it, but it does- -- they |
| 19 connection -- there's a -- there's an existing 12-inch water | 19 don't always get it. |
| 20 main on the north side of Needwood Road that we are doing a | 20 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Is a copy of the fire access |
| 21 -- a tap and sleeve straight connections with and then we | 21 plan in the record? |
| 22 bring in that -- that line -- that 8 -inch or 6 -inch line, | 22 MR. KLINE: I'm going to have somebody check this when |
| 23 which I think I need to connect with -- with Ms. -- I think | 23 we get -- |
| 24 it's a 6--- 8-inch line for that. | 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No. Okay. It is a long list, I |
| 25 We're bringing it in to a -- to a -- a meter volt -- a | 25 understand. |

MR. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So what I'm going to do is send it to -- see if -- let me put it this way, if it's not
in the record, all right, can you provide it to me with a
copy to all the parties and I will forward it to Ms. Labaw -
-

MR. KLINE: Right.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- and see if I can get an opinion from her?

MR. KLINE: Yeah. Mm-hmm I will do that -- over lunch,
I'll call my office and -- and get it taken care of. We may
not be able to get it today, but we'll -- we will comply
with your requirements.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: Is that -- if-- if I may, the document
6 that's in your hand --
MR. KLINE: Yes.
MR. CHEN: -- is -- is that in the record?
MR. KLINE: We're -- I'm going to have to have somebody
read through this, because I can't do two things at once.
MR. CHEN: But you think it is in the record already?
MR. KLINE: I --
MR. CHEN: Is it pre-filed.
MR. KLINE: -- I --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: If you don't know --
MR. KLINE: Yeah. I [inaudible].
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- we're -- let's wait until
he finds out --
MR. CHEN: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- and we find out --
MR. KLINE: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- and then we'll worry about
what to do about it; okay?
MR. CHEN: Sure.
MR. INTRIAGO: May I continue, ma'am?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah.
MR. INTRIAGO: All right. So going through this on the fire, so there's an existing fire hydrant going out on the -

- the -- the west side of Needwood Road and I actually had
some phone conversations with -- with -- with Ms. -- Ms.
Labaw about it. So we meet the 250 -foot minimum distance to
the location of the driveway and 250 -foot distance to the location of the fire hydrant.
So I -- even though I don't have an approval from Ms. Labaw I have personally through multiple coordination with
her about the location of the fire hydrants and location of
many different things from what we have. Okay. So I -- so I
spoke about fire, I spoke about sewer, I spo- -- talked
about potable water. Anything else you want me to talk about, Mr. Kline?
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1 MR. KLINE: Are you aware of any treatment issues related to the sewer affluent?

MR. INTRIAGO: I'm not aware of that, sir.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Are you aware of any transmission
issues or water pressure issues related to both sewer and water?

MR. INTRIAGO: I'm not aware of that, sir. No.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Fine. The next question I was going
to ask had to do with the fire rescue plan. I'll skip that
and we'll -- I'm trying to also see where the fire plan is.
Would you talk about any perviousness?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
MR. KLINE: Well, recognizing the way it's treated in
the Rock Creek plan. Would you just describe the impervious
levels -- imperviousness levels of the subject property?
MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. So I would like to break it down
in -- in two different ways, Mr. Kline so I can -- can --
can be clear. On -- based on DPS requirements, the
imperviousness of this site itself-- what I mean -- the
word site it means within the constraints of the limits of the property.

It's approximately 33 percent, if I recall correctly --
one second, sir. And that's in my [inaudible] computation
report that I submitted to DPS. 33.6 percent impervious- --
imperviousness within the site and that's assuming a very --
in my professional opinion, I -- I think -- I'm-- I'm --
I'm hoping I'm still agreeing to -- to disagreeing with DPS,
even though I still follow their rules, with my professional
opinion, even including that this turf was considered
impervi- -- impervious for my computation. I did --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, you did consider it impervious?

MR. INTRIAGO: I did. I did.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
10 MR. INTRIAGO: Even though I disagree with them, I -- I
11 think I still have the right to disagree, but I follow the
rules and I considered it imper- -- impervious for my
computation.
14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
15 MR. CHEN: Just so I'm clear, because it's not clear,
16 sir, I apologize, from what you said.
17 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
18 MR. CHEN: Are you saying that the imr -- the play
19 areas were included by you as impervious areas?
20 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. They were. Now,
21 within the limits of the NOB, which is the improvements that
22 we're doing for the whole site all the way down to the -- to
23 the outfall, I have an imperviousness of 51.4 percent, but
24 we think the site -- we think the constraints of the site is 2533.6 percent.

| 77 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| the Rock Creek plan for a maximum imperviousness? |  |
| MR. INTRIAGO: As far as I know, there are not, sir. |  |
| MR. KLINE: All right. Do you have a stormwater |  |
| management concept plan that you would like to pull up as an exhibit and describe the features of that plan, please? |  |
| MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. One second. |  |
| HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I just -- I -- I just have one |  |
| follow-up question on the turf -- the artificial turf. The staff report, which is this document here -- |  |
| MR. INTRIAGO: Mm-hmm. |  |
| HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- it says that the |  |
| application proposes an impervious level of 36 to 38 |  |
| percent. Does that in- -- does the staff -- was the staff |  |
| including the artificial turf? |  |
| MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. |  |
| HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. I just wanted to doublecheck that. I'm sorry, go ahead. |  |
| MR. INTRIAGO: Thank you. |  |
| MR. CHEN: May I have clar- -- just while he's looking |  |
| at his exhibits, can I have clarification, Mr. Kline used |  |
| the word Rock Creek plan. What -- what do you mean by that? |  |
| HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: The master plan? |  |
| MR. KLINE: Right. That's what I meant. Yes. Yes. MR. CHEN: Okay. I just wanted to -- it's the Rock |  |
|  |  |

Creek Master Plan. Rock Creek, I'm sorry. HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Is there an application in for -- at one point, there was a modification of the Taiwanese
Cultural Center pending. Is there an app- -- is that --
where -- what is the status of that application?
MR. KLINE: You're looking at me, but I'll defer to Mr.
Chen who has personal knowledge of that.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: IfI may --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah. MR. CHEN: -- there was a -- as you know, there -obviously, there is a special -- I think it said special exception for the -HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: For the Taiwa- -MR. CHEN: -- Taiwanese Cultural Center. There was an administrative approval of an expansion in '9--- in 2015.
MS. RICE: ' 15.
MR. CHEN: Yeah. 2015. That modification was appealed or subject to judicial review for -- for the circuit court.
20 The circuit court reversed that modification approval and on
21 remand- -- and remanded a fact to the board of appeals to
enter a resolution that the modification had been, by virtue
of judicial decision, reversed.
At that hearing, legal counsel for the Taiwanese
Cultural Center said that they would in the future and they
were planning on refiling for a major modification and
that's in the record that it was to be a --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But to your knowledge, that hasn't be refiled?

MR. CHEN: That has not yet been filed, but it's
supposed -- we're waiting for it. The -- the representation
was that they were going to file for a major modification
and they haven't yet done that.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. I ju- -- and then just
for the record, that property, is that at the corner of
Redland and Needwood?
MR. CHEN: That's correct. Yes.
MR. KLINE: Relatively fa- -- not -- not exactly at the
corner, but it's in that jur- -- quadrant.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Quadrant. Okay.
MR. KLINE: We -- we -- we -- it's shown on the aerial
photograph if you want us to get that [inaudible].
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. I -- that's -- I wanted
to know that. Okay. Go ahead.
MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. So if I may explain -- let me go
to my [inaudible], sorry. Let me start at the city number
here. Mr. Kline, would you please provide me the number
inaudible]? I don't have it here.
MR. KLINE: CUP- -- what -- what CUP [inaudible]?
MR. INTRIAGO: It would be CU- -- no. CSWM9.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, wait -- wait. Can you
80
read the title of it?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. It's called proposed drainage area
map, stormwater master plan.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Hold on one second.
MR. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What -- what's the acronym,
CS- --
MR. INTRIAGO: CSWM9.
MR. KLINE: So I'm in the 90s and I don't see --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I don't either. I think the
last most recent plan is 103.
MR. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Let -- so I guess we should
look at the beginning with the submission materials. There
was a major submission on 6/22.
MR. CHEN: Madame Examiner, have you looked at 93? I --
I -- I -- I don't know, but -HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah. The submission that I've found are $93,94,95$ and 103 and I do not see it.

```
MR. KLINE: So all -- all these were all CUPs
```

[inaudible].
MR. INTRIAGO: This is the stormwater master plan, this
[inaudible].
MR. KLINE: CSW- -- [inaudible]
MR. CHEN: [inaudible]
So just to start a little bit at the beginning, the
current flow of the water is going from east to west and I
want to say without knowing that number exactly in my head
90 percent of the -- of the site actually drains in that
direction.
There's a small area that, on the southeast side, is
actually draining towards Ms. Kosary's property, but we're
not touching that in our plans as stated the way it is,
because that's where the forest conservation [inaudible]
later. Now, the way I think this happened was at one point
during this -- the history of the Carne- -- Carn- --
Carnegie -- Carnegie Avenue right-of-way, somebody pulled
like a --
The water already was, in my -- in my int- -- in my
interpretation, just shift flowing to the west. So at one
point, somebody put a gravel driveway on Carnegie Avenue
where that served like an embankment or a berm for the water
that was coming from the east towards the west.
In an effort to do that, whoever put that driveway they
placed a -- an existing CMP culvert, which is located appro-
-- approximately in the -- at the middle of the site --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Where on Carnegie is the berm?
MR. INTRIAGO: It's not a berm, ma'am, it's -- it's a
driveway.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Oh.

```
```

```
MR. KLINE: [inaudible]
```

```
MR. KLINE: [inaudible]
MR. INTRIAGO: [inaudible]
MR. INTRIAGO: [inaudible]
MR. CHEN: Is it possibly, Mr. Kline, 93H? You want to
MR. CHEN: Is it possibly, Mr. Kline, 93H? You want to
look at that?
look at that?
    MR. INTRIAGO: 93H, stormwater management plan -- no.
    MR. INTRIAGO: 93H, stormwater management plan -- no.
No. No. That's -- I can use mine if you want.
No. No. That's -- I can use mine if you want.
    MR. KLINE: Well, since our fling is sometime in
    MR. KLINE: Well, since our fling is sometime in
October 29th, it does not include the plan you're showing
October 29th, it does not include the plan you're showing
here, I think you should use the plan. So if you can use
here, I think you should use the plan. So if you can use
CUP-8 --
CUP-8 --
    MR. INTRIAGO: I could use that one, sir. Yeah. No
    MR. INTRIAGO: I could use that one, sir. Yeah. No
problem
problem
    MR. KLINE: All right. Just do that.
    MR. KLINE: All right. Just do that.
    MR. INTRIAGO: I -- it's -- it's -- [inaudible]. It's
    MR. INTRIAGO: I -- it's -- it's -- [inaudible]. It's
the same thing, but I can actually use that [inaudible].
the same thing, but I can actually use that [inaudible].
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Thank you.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Thank you.
    MR. INTRIAGO:This matches exactly [inaudible]; okay?
    MR. INTRIAGO:This matches exactly [inaudible]; okay?
So Mr. Kline, H -- 93H?
So Mr. Kline, H -- 93H?
    MR. KLINE: 93H. Correct.
    MR. KLINE: 93H. Correct.
    MR. INTRIAGO: Just for my records, let me write this
    MR. INTRIAGO: Just for my records, let me write this
here. All right. May I? Okay. So this plan that is -- I have
here. All right. May I? Okay. So this plan that is -- I have
right here is labeled Exhibit 93H and the name of it is ESD
right here is labeled Exhibit 93H and the name of it is ESD
stormwater management plan. It's basically a summary of the
stormwater management plan. It's basically a summary of the
plans that I submitted to DPS for stormwater management
plans that I submitted to DPS for stormwater management
concept approval.
```

concept approval.

```
```
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```
18 approximately 1,100 feet of pipe towards the south -- going
south into the subdivision, which is a -- which are public
0 structures into the outflowing through the same watershed
into the same branch.
So per -- right now, if I may say, just to go back a
little bit, so what we did hear was we -- we got the -- the
Montgomery County requirements and standards and the state
requirements and standards and we met the -- it's called the
                                    84
ESD to the MEP, which it means Environmental Site Design to
the Maximum Extent Practical [sic].
    Montgomery County interprets Maximum Extent Practical
[sic], you're meeting the entirety of the code. Whatever the
state code says you need to do you need to provide. So
that's what we did hear. So what happened here is like every
single -- every single -- we've provided three facilities
here that we're proposing, but those facilities cannot drain
more than 20,000 square feet to each of the facilities to
10 meet the state requirements.
    So basically, you can see on micro-bioretention number
12 three, which is approximately on the southwest corner of the
13 building, that facility is draining the entire \(13,000-\)
14 square-foot of building plus a portion of the -- of the
turf. That goes into that one facility.
    The -- the micro-bioretention facility in number two is
17 draining, I want to say, almost the entire portion where we
18 have the parking on the south side of the building going to
that facility.
    And micro-bioretention number one is basically taking
1 from the -- from the main entrance on the north, that whole
driving aisle and sidewalks draining to micro-bi- -- into
micro-bioretention number one.
    With those three facilities, we meet -- we meet the
25 required, as I said, ESD to the MEP and we exceeded and --

MR. INTRIAGO: So it works as a bermkind of. HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I see. Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. So -- so at the middle -- almost
at the middle of the property there's an existing -- on the west property line, there's an existing culvert that was placed in there after the gravel road was placed to be able to convey the water underneath that road into the -- going west into where it was drained.

Now, I can bring a bigger plan to show you, but the -the watershed or the -- the branch where this water is going is -- you know, at the end of the day, it's exactly the same watershed, just that in this case, the water is going west and then around these properties and then back into the -the upper stream of the branch.
What we're proposing is the same branch, the same watershed, just channel the water into a -- into -- like the approximately 1,100 feet of pipe towards the south -- going south into the subdivision, which is a -- which are public structures into the outflowing through the same watershed into the same branch.
So per -- right now, if I may say, just to go back a
little bit, so what we did hear was we -- we got the -- the
Montgomery County requirements and standards and the state requirements and standards and we met the -- it's called the

ESD to the MEP, which it means Environmental Site Design to the Maximum Extent Practical [sic].

Montgomery County interprets Maximum Extent Practical [sic], you're meeting the entirety of the code. Whatever the state code says you need to do you need to provide. So that's what we did hear. So what happened here is like every single -- every single -- we've provided three facilities here that we're proposing, but those facilities cannot drain more than 20,000 square feet to each of the facilities to meet the state requirements.

So basically, you can see on micro-bioretention number three, which is approximately on the southwest corner of the building, that facility is draining the entire \(13,000-\)
square-foot of building plus a portion of the -- of the turf. That goes into that one facility.

The -- the micro-bioretention facility in number two is 17 draining, I want to say, almost the entire portion where we 18 have the parking on the south side of the building going to 19 that facility.

25 required, as I said, ESD to the MEP and we exceeded and --
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 85 & 87 \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: For the one-year storm; right? & 1 MR. INTRIAGO: Sorry. \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: No, ma'am. It's -- it's not really a & 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Go ahead. \\
\hline one-year storm. It's not categorized like that in the -- in & 3 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. So basically, when we meet this \\
\hline -- in the state code. & 4 minimum volume requirement dictated by state and also by \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. & 5 Cou- -- by Montgomery County, the intent of the code -- it \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: It just says that based on the formulas & 6 says -- on the state code says that this property of land is \\
\hline 7 in the state manual, you have to provide a certain amount of & 7 considered to be woods in good conditions and that could -- \\
\hline 8 volume of water quality and the way it -- the way the code & 8 I could phrase that directly from the code. \\
\hline reads specifically is that if you meet the ESD to the MEP, & 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, can -- I'm sorry; I'm \\
\hline ur land -- your piece of land is -- & 10 having a little trouble. \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Mr. Kline, I apologize, could you -- I -- I & 11 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. \\
\hline 12 sincerely apologize. Clearly pronounce -- SE- -- & 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I don't know if it's my \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: S- -- ESD -- & 13 hearing or what. \\
\hline MR. KLINE: ME- -- & 14 MR. INTRIAGO: It's my accent probably. Yeah. Sorry. \\
\hline MR. CHEN: ESD -- & 15 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What is -- what did the -- was \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: ESD, which is Environmental Site Design & 16 the state standard? \\
\hline - & 17 MR. INTRIAGO: The state standard says once -- if you \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Okay. To -- & 18 meet the minimum volume requirements -- \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: -- to the MEP, Maximum Extent Practical & 19 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Right. \\
\hline [sic]. & 20 MR. INTRIAGO: -- for the water quality -- \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Okay. & 21 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Correct. \\
\hline EARING OFFICER HANNAN: Practicable. & 22 MR. INTRIAGO: -- okay -- \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Practicable. & 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Got you. \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But anyway. & 24 MR. INTRIAGO: -- your property of land is determined - \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Practicable. & \(25-\) is considered to be as is -- acting as woods in good \\
\hline 86 & 88 \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Go ahead now. & 1 condition. \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: All right. All right. So -- & 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Woods? \\
\hline MR. KLINE: Be- -- before you lead out, because Ms. & 3 MR. INTRIAGO: Woods. \\
\hline obeson asked a question and basically came up you heard & 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Woods? \\
\hline stimony yesterday from Mr. Berg -- & 5 MR. INTRIAGO: W-o-o-d-s. \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mm-hmm. Yeah. & 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I see. Okay. I get it. \\
\hline MR. KLINE: -- and Mr. Berg's testimony was it was only & 7 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. \\
\hline 8 one-year control and I'd like you to continue to answer & 8 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So -- \\
\hline at. & 9 MR. KLINE: So -- so you're saying that -- that natural \\
\hline 10 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. & 10 condition? \\
\hline 11 MR. KLINE: But the second question, I think, relates & 11 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. So that -- \\
\hline 12 to what you're saying now and that is that no quality & 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- if you ha- -- if-- if you \\
\hline 13 controls are being provided by the applicant and I can -- & 13 fulfill their standards to the maximum -- \\
\hline 14 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. Let me -- I will expound on & 14 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. \\
\hline & 15 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- then you're the same as \\
\hline 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Who said that? & 16 woods; is that what you're saying colloquially? \\
\hline 17 MR. INTRIAGO: I don't -- I don't -- & 17 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. That's the way -- \\
\hline 18 MR. KLINE: That -- that was Mr. Berg's testimony & 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline esterday. I quoted it. & 19 MR. INTRIAGO: -- code is -- right. Specific on Chapter \\
\hline 20 MR. CHEN: That's right. & 205 of the code; all right? So what does that mean? \\
\hline MR. KLINE: No quality controls are being provided by & 21 MR. KLINE: Before you -- before you lead that -- \\
\hline 22 the applicant. & 22 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead -- & 23 MR. KLINE: -- don't you have the phraseology \\
\hline 24 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. So bas- -- & 24 backwards? Isn't that the standard -- \\
\hline 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- Mr. -- & 25 MR. INTRIAGO: That's -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 89 & 91 \\
\hline 1 MR. KLINE: -- that you are -- you are supposed to & 1 him. Clearly it's not been pre-filed, but because it comes \\
\hline 2 achieve & 2 from a government agency, I'm going to let it in. \\
\hline 3 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct & 3 MR. INTRIAGO: So just to [inaudible] -- \\
\hline 4 MR. KLINE: -- the water con- -- quality that would & 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I -- I do have some questions. \\
\hline 5 occur from & 5 When we get through Mr. Intriago, I do have some questions \\
\hline 6 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. & 6 about whether we have all the exhibits in advance for some \\
\hline 7 MR. KLINE: Very good. & 7 things, okay -- \\
\hline 8 MR. INTRIAGO: So when you meet that volume, wher & 8 MR. KLINE: During rebuttal. Yeah. \\
\hline 9 happens -- it's also in the code -- on the -- on the code & 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- but not related to him \\
\hline 10 before 2010, you still need to meet other requirements, like & 10 necessarily -- \\
\hline 11 QB-10 or other types of requirements. & 11 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. \\
\hline 12 With these types of changes after 2010, if you meet & 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- but let's go through this. \\
\hline 13 these requirements, you don't need to attenuate for the 2, & 13 So this is 190. This is DPS letter -- DPS letter stating \\
\hline 14 you don't need to attenuate for the 10 , because it's & 14 stormwater management is -- concept plan is acceptable. \\
\hline 15 considered already woods, in good condition, because the & 15 Okay. Go ahead. \\
\hline 16 requirements are more stringent. So you're providing a lot & 16 MR. INTRIAGO: So -- so to finalize my conclusion that \\
\hline 17 more water quality for -- if -- if -- if I can phrase it a & 17 we're having here, we have a plan that provides all of the \\
\hline 18 different way; all right? & 18 - the state and county requirements for stormwater \\
\hline 19 The only reason why jurisdiction can ask you to do & 19 management, for quality and attenuation, based on the \\
\hline 20 something, even if there's a problem by floating downstream & 20 approval that we received -- based on my experience on what \\
\hline 21 over a 100-year storm is the only reason when I previously & 21 I do with the plans and the approvals and conversations we \\
\hline 22 have done attenuation on this site or if I have not met the & 22 had with DPS and -- and -- and their -- and their staff. \\
\hline 23 minimum water quality volumes on site & 23 MR. KLINE: With regard to quantity, just -- with \\
\hline 24 So the only reasons you provide attenuations are you & 24 regard to quantity, you heard Ms. Mitchell who lives across \\
\hline 25 don't meet the minimum volume, you need to attenuate for & 25 Carnegie Road from the new -- the new residents -- \\
\hline 90 & 92 \\
\hline 1 that, or you meet the minimum volume but the jurisdictions & 1 MR. INTRIAGO: Mm-hmm. \\
\hline 2 have a problem downstream of flooding. Not erosion, not -- & 2 MR. KLINE: -- she was -- was concerned that there was \\
\hline 3 not -- not conveyance, flooding and then they're asking you & 3 water ponding in the back of her property and she was \\
\hline 4 to do additional attenuation on site. & 4 concerned whether our development, because of its \\
\hline 5 In this case, as was proven by the approval that I got & 5 imperviousness, would increase that. Do you expect that your \\
\hline 6 from Montgomery County and the DPS, we had all those & 6 stormwater management system will address that issue? \\
\hline 7 discussions, this plan meets the minimum -- the ESD to the & 7 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. Actually, like I said, the \\
\hline 8 MEP. We got stormwater management approval through DPS and & 8 water right now, on the existing conditions, is being -- is \\
\hline 9 Mr . Etheridge. & 9 -- goes -- goes from east to west, it gets burned by the \\
\hline 10 MR. KLINE: Ms. Robeson, if I could put an exhibit in & 10 gravel driveway and gets collected by an existing, in very \\
\hline 11 the record, letter of November 4th -- & 11 bad shape, CMP that was put in there, concentrated and \\
\hline 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Can you give one to Ms. Rice & 12 [inaudible] -- \\
\hline 13 and -- and Mr. Chen? & 13 MR. KLINE: C- -- CMP? \\
\hline 14 MR. KLINE: Sure & 14 MR. INTRIAGO: CMP. \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: What is the -- the exhibit number? & 15 MR. KLINE: [inaudible] \\
\hline 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It would be 190 I think, hold & 16 MR. INTRIAGO: That's Corrugated Metal Pipe. \\
\hline 17 on. & 17 MR. KLINE: Thank you. \\
\hline 18 MR. C & 18 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. And then it goes to the other -- to \\
\hline 19 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: 190. This is a new exhibit? & 19 the other property and that's causing the problem. Right now \\
\hline 20 MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am. & 20 in my proposed conditions or -- sorry, in my client's \\
\hline 21 MR. CHEN: This -- this is dated November 4? & 21 proposed conditions, we are taking every single drop of \\
\hline 22 MR. KLINE: 2019. Yes. & 22 water that comes from our site that is drained right now to \\
\hline 23 MR. CHEN: It's not been pre-filed? & 23 the we- -- to the -- to the -- to the west, not the portion \\
\hline 24 MR. KLINE: Mm-mm & 24 of water that's going to the east, because that's ex- -- \\
\hline 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. You don't have to ask & 25 standing existing conditions [inaudible] it is. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. MR. KLINE: Yeah.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. I see that one [inaudible]. HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And I will find it. What was
it -- what did you have it labeled as?
MR. KLINE: I -- well, he -- he had it labeled as 93G, but I think that's probably fromhis --

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No, but what was it called, Mr. Chen, do you remember?

MR. CHEN: I think it was just storm drain network rebuild/extension.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Storm-- it's 191 now. MR. CHEN: 191?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. MR. INTRIAGO: Ma'am, and I forg- -- I -- I -- you'll 6 have to forgive me, but I -- my eyes, I'm getting old and I cannot read the structure numbers, but I can walk you through it, if I may.

\section*{HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Mm-hmm} MR. INTRIAGO: So when I stop here -- so we get to that A3. So from A3, so we go south through the one that should be called A2 and then we connect to the one that is called A1 at -- I want to say -- where's north here? Okay. Here. So going south on Carnegie Avenue right when you start heading east to go back to -- so in other words, on A1, which is the first connection going south --
2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mm-hmm
3 MR. INTRIAGO: -- that's the first connection we -4 when we meet the existing -- the existing pipe system So 5 right here at A1; okay? So what -- the analysis was done 6 after that. So the -- that -- that pipe system was designed
7 to serve that subdivision. So it was designed properly for 8 that.
9 So what happened was the existing pipes that we have in 10 the system from-- ma'am, fromA1 all the way to the final 11 outfall at the end of it, that pipe system -- this -- this 12 - this analysis that you're having here, Mr. -- right here 13 is the one that my office and my team put together on how we 14 did change the location of the pipes, but we resized the 15 pipes to be able to convey the water safely without having 16 any problems and meeting the Montgomery County code. 17 Now, we did a bunch of coordination of that redesigning 18 of the storm system -- conveyance system with the right-of19 way, because at one point, we were talking to MCDOT and they 20 said, you know -- you know, they -- MCDOT reviewed the 21 conditional use, they approved the conditional use, but they
22 -- they deferred to right-of-way to keep going with the 23 details of -- of the design of -- because it's their system

4 It's their -- it's their -- it's their storm pipe system.
25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mm-hmm
```

    That's going to be discussed here, which is just the
    forest. We're doing no improvements there. All the water
that's been improved are imper- -- every single drop of
impervious -- impervious of the site is being collected and
channeled through approximately 1,100 feet of pipe going
south into the subdivision to the south. And I can show that
here, if I may. So I have --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So where does it outfall?
MR. INTRIAGO: The outfall, it's -- I can show you as
well, ma'am. May I have one second? So let me start on this
exhibit right here on Exhibit 93H.
It has -- the first public manhole right here is public
manhole A9, which is almost where the previous existing
culvert was and it runs from north to south along the --
along the eastern side of the right-of- -- of Carnegie
Avenue right-of-way to manhole A4 or micropost manhole A4
and then goes south- -- southwest to A3 and then keeps going
south to A2. Let me find [inaudible] to pass the rest.
MR. KLINE: Yeah. While you're -- while you're doing
that, Mr. Intri- --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I think we had an exhibit
yesterday --
MR. KLINE: Yeah. I -- I was going to --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- that showed the whole line.
MR. KLINE: -- I was going to say -- yes. I -- I was
93
That's going to be discussed here, which is just the

```

```

that's been improved are imper- -- every single drop of
impervious -- impervious of the site is being collected and
channeled through approximately 1,100 feet of pipe going
south into the subdivision to the south. And I can show that
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So where does it outfall?
MR. INTRIAGO: The outfall, it's -- I can show you as
well, ma'am. May I have one second? So let me start on this
1 exhibit right here on Exhibit 93 H .
It has -- the first public manhole right here is public
manhole A9, which is almost where the previous existing
along the eastern side of the right-of- -- of Carnegie
Avenue right-of-way to manhole A4 or micropost manhole A4

```
    just waiting for the number. Mr. Berg used Exhibit, we'll
    call it, 93G, but I don't know what you labeled it.
    MR. INTRIAGO: 93G.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No. It --
    MR. KLINE: Mr. Berg did hand out, yeah, the drawing
    yesterday called storm drain network rebuild/extension on
    Carnegie Avenue that he listed as 93G, but that's unrelated,
    I think, to our rec- -- record and I couldn't find it in my
    --
    MR. CHEN: Do you want to renumber it then maybe?
    MR. KLINE: Did you mark --
    MR. INTRIAGO: [inaudible]
    MR. KLINE: -- [inaudible].
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You know what, I updated this
exhibit list. So if I missed it, it's my fault.
    MR. CHEN: Do you have a -- the new number for it?
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, I'm just going to add it
to the end.
    MR. KLINE: Yeah. That's fine.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It's easier for me to track
the incoming --
MR. KLINE: Okay.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- exhibits rather than -- so
4 --
    MR. KLINE: 191 then?
94

to be able to have a conversation in this forum, my team put together a study of what would it take to redesign those
pipes? And we went back and forth with Mr. Tick [ph] and his team at the right-of-way team. The actual person we spoke with was Sam Mujare [ph] and --

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, whose team, WSSC?
MR. INTRIAGO: The -- the -- the -- the right-of-way
team.
MALE: Sir, what's the name of that team?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: He can't answer. The right-of-
way team?
MR. INTRIAGO: Basically, it's a --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: DOT?
MR. INTRIAGO: -- MCD- -- one team is MCDOT, that was

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes.
MR. INTRIAGO: -- and then a different team is the
people who review the right-of-way [inaudible].
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: The utilities. Okay
MR. INTRIAGO: In Montgomery County.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: All right. Okay. That's good nough.
MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. So there they want [inaudible]
responsible to do the final approval of this conveyance
system.
So we went back and forth with the right-of-way team
that is going to be only in this system [inaudible] day with
my design and we have a [inaudible] of information that Mr .
Kline, I -- I -- I think he has in the record, of my
coordination with that team, that they feel that the design
is going in a good direction.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, I haven't seen that. Is that in the record?

MR. KLINE: There -- there is email traffic. I can --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. But you don't --
MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. Right.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- if it's in the record?
MR. KLINE: I'm sure it's not in the record.
MR. INTRIAGO: I don't know.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. He can go ahead. Yes.
MR. INTRIAGO: So we -- I have confirmation that I did
the coordination with the team for the design of this system
and even though he cannot provide an approval at that point
because there's getting a formal submission to them, he
en said that we were going in the right direction,
and just need to do a formal submission of -- formal
ubmission of the engineering plans for final review and approval.

MR. CHEN: If I may, Madame Examiner, the applicant has
offered this morning evidence that either should've been
pre-filed with the application months ago and it's being
amplified by the witness saying what apparently other people
have said.
I understand that your rules of evidence are such that you accept hearsay and you've made a determination
[inaudible] in one of these exhibits because it's been
issued by a government agency, it did not have to be pre-
filed. I take -- I object to that, quite frankly. I don't
think it matters where --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm not saying it has --
doesn't have to be pre-filed, I was saying as far as
foundationally we typically accept those letters. I
understand your position about the failure to pre-file.
MR. CHEN: So it's in and we abide by your ruling, just
be aware of the looseness of the acceptance of evidence when
my clients are putting on evidence.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, you can object --
MR. CHEN: I have, but I mean --
MR. KLINE: Well -- well -- well, I -- I object to the
premise and I believe that everything he testified from is
in the record in terms of the exhibits.
MR. CHEN: We just went -- this --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What about the emails from DOT
100
saying they find it acceptable -- this system acceptable?
MR. KLINE: Sure. Yeah. Did -- did -- yeah. Did not
submit them thinking that email traffic was not something
you wanted to see, but --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, what I want to see -- he
just ha- -- this -- okay. Let's get through --
MR. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- I'm going to -- le- -- gi-
-- let me put it this way, Mr. Chen, I'm giving it the
weight it -- it deserves. Mr. Chen had his expert on
yesterday. He didn't have the benefit of this testimony.
MR. CHEN: That's right.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You know, having to keep
bringing in expert witnesses is expensive for everybody, but
I -- I do want to go over some things. At this point, I'm
going to let his -- the hearsay in that they're saying
you're going in the right direction. That's what his
testimony is. It doesn't say approved.
MR. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'll give it the weight it
deserves, but I need -- I'm asking you to minimize the use
of new exhibits that this is a hotly contested case that's
been pending for a long time. So I'm asking you to minimize
the use of new exhibits.
MR. KLINE: Sure.
```
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            HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: The questions I have -- we
    don't have -- well, I'll just go into them now, but we don't
have -- we typically get a rendering -- or details on
fences. I don't have details on the opaque fence, I don't
have details on the playground fence, I don't have details
on the sign.
The sign isn't in here at all. So, you know -- and I'm
kind of at the point where we can put them in, but the whole
community -- we're midway through the hearing and the whole
community hasn't had a chance to see any of it. So that's
concerning me. And also, I hope -- I really hope there are
no exhibits on operations, because we tend to get far much -

- far much more in advance on operations.
This is unusual at the lack of information on
operations. So I'm telling you I really hope that there are
no exhibits on operations, because I don't want to add them,
because nobody's -- nobody's been able to address them and I
think a lot of the citizens that came in here the other day
have good cause for concern and part of that may be avai- --
ameliorated by your operational statement, but they didn't
ha- --
Nobody had the benefit of it and that's what causes me
concern. I -- I don't know why -- typically, when we get a
daycare like this, I don't know why the lack of detail was
in -- not in the staff report. I don't know. So those are --
I'm telling you my concerns. I definitely want -- want --
I'm asking you to submit a copy of the sign -- or details on
the sign, because I'm assuming --
MR. KLINE: Yeah. I got -- I did --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- you're going to need a
variance in the two fences.
MR. KLINE: -- I -- I'd like to go back and argue about
what Mr. Berg knew when he sat in here yesterday, but I'm
not going to do that.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Because he -- because everything was
in the record that we just testified about and it was
available to you.
MR. CHEN: No.
MR. KLINE: But -- but for the --
MR. CHEN: [inaudible]
MR. KLINE: -- [inaudible] of the letter --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Except for -- except for the
WSSC -- or except for, I'm sorry --
MR. CHEN:With the S.
MR. KLINE: No. What Mr. Chen is --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- the right-of-way team,
whoever that is.
MR. KLINE: Yeah. Right. Right.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Except for their acceptance;
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okay?
MR. KLINE: Right. Yeah. Right.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That's what the argument is.
MR. KLINE: All right.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But I'm going to let it in and
give it the weight --
MR. KLINE: Yes. Sure. Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- it -- it'll deserve.
MR. KLINE: Well, to -- to sort of take care of your
future problems, we did talk about the fence yesterday. We
do have those exhibits. They'll be presented to you this
afternoon. The -- the sign is on the architectural drawings
and is in the record and will be testified [inaudible].
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Oh, it is on the archi- -- I'm
-- then I apologize, I was looking -- usually we get it on
the landscape plan.
MR. KLINE: [inaudible]
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So why it's -- I will take a
look then. Then --
MR. KLINE: Well, just -- just wait until the architect
testifies.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- you know, I've been mean.
MR. KLINE: No. No. No. The -- it was be- -- simply
because it was done in this case by the architect rather
than by the landscape architect.
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HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. KLINE: So [inaudible].
MR. CHEN: And just to -- the statement Mr. Kline just
said that everything that Mr. Berg re- -- relied on was in
the record, that's not an accurate statement. Quite frankly,
Exhibit 190 was not in the record. I have people -- experts
such as Mr. Berg review filings and certainly had my client
-- particularly Mr. Berg, had the benefit of Exhibit 190.
Quite candidly, I -- I don't know what his testimony
would've been now, because he didn't have the benefit of a -
- of a document that's dated November 4, 2019. I mean, he --
he -- he should've had it.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, should've, would've, could've.

MR. CHEN: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: The question is I'm letting it in.

MR. CHEN: Yeah. I know.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: If you need to recall Mr. Berg
-- I know. If you need to recall Mr. Berg, you're welcome --
you can do that.
MR. CHEN: I will tell you right now -- I apologize.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I know what you're go- --
well, go ahead.
MR. CHEN: I -- I contacted Mr. Kline a couple weeks
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 105 & 107 \\
\hline 1 ago about Mr. Berg and we reached an agreement. There's a & 1 process. \\
\hline 2 letter that I sent about -- about that. Mr. Berg is not & 2 MR. CHEN: Let's -- let's -- let's see how we are going \\
\hline 3 available today, Monday or Tuesday. & 3 today, Monday and Tuesday. \\
\hline 4 That's the reason why we reached an agreement to & 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Let's get through Mr. \\
\hline 5 present him on the 5th and I know -- I would be surprised if & 5 Intriago, Mr. Kline. \\
\hline 6 upon seeing at least Exhibit 190 that Mr. Berg would & 6 MR. KLINE: Ye \\
\hline 7 have something to say as a consequence of receiving that & 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I -- I had one question of Mr. \\
\hline 8 informatio & 8 Intriago. \\
\hline 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, what I will do -- you & 9 MR. KLINE: Yes. \\
\hline 10 know, we can do a couple of things. We can -- I don't want & 10 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Stormwater man- -- the pipe -- \\
\hline 11 to -- we can schedule another hearing date or he's welcome & 11 MR. INTRIAGO: Mm-hmm. \\
\hline 12 to submit written commen & 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- the -- the capitol p \\
\hline 13 MR. CHEN: Well, there's a problem with cross- & 13 is it capitol project? \\
\hline 14 examination if there was written comments and certainly, if & 14 MR. INTRIAGO: Ma'am, that -- this project's being \\
\hline 15 I were Mr. Kline, I -- I -- that would not work for -- for & 15 proposed by our client. I will be fine in clarifying. So the \\
\hline 16 & 16 discussion we have with everybody is that the cli- -- my \\
\hline 17 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well & 17 client is the one that will be responsible for those \\
\hline 18 MR. KLINE: The -- & 18 improvements. So it's -- it's a -- it's a -- it's a property \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: But let -- let's & 19 - \\
\hline 20 MR. KLINE: -- the -- the DPS concept approval letter & 20 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes, but -- \\
\hline 21 is confirmation of the plan that was described today, & 21 MR. CHEN: It's a what \\
\hline 22 acceptable to county. So there's no reason why Mr. Berg, & 22 MR. INTRIAGO: It's a -- it's a -- it's a property \\
\hline 23 having looked at that plan, would have any disagree- -- he & 23 improvement that will be for public right-of-way. \\
\hline 24 might disagree with DPS conclusions, but the simple fact of & 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I thought they had to go \\
\hline 25 the matter is it's approved and he -- he had to know that, & 25 through the C- -- C- -- \\
\hline 106 & 108 \\
\hline 1 because, you know, they -- they did their own research. & 1 MR. INTRIAGO: It has to go through right-of-way to be \\
\hline 2 We know that they called everybody else in the county. & 2 approved. \\
\hline 3 So I know that they were aware they had been approved. & 3 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Isn't it -- \\
\hline 4 MR. CHEN: I will say that & 4 MR. INTRIAGO: Sorry. \\
\hline 5 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay & 5 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 6 MR. CHEN: -- if I may be heard. & 6 MR. INTRIAGO: [inaudible] your question. \\
\hline 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah. & 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: All right. Go ahead. \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: We have been tracking DPS on this. My & 8 MR. KLINE: Well, let me -- \\
\hline 9 clients have been tracking -- we -- and we pre-filed & 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Keep testifying. \\
\hline 10 everything that was going through DPS on this issue and we & 10 MR. KLINE: All right. So -- yeah. So the question was \\
\hline 11 pre-filed it. & 11 asked yesterday who's going to pay for the storm drain \\
\hline 12 Now, you know, Mr. Kline can presume what Mr. Berg & 12 improvements for the -- for the -- the length of the 1,100 \\
\hline 13 would say, but he does not know what Mr. Berg would say and & 13 feet? Who's going to pay for that? \\
\hline 14 he has not had the benefit of this document and it should've & 14 MR. INTRIAGO: From my experience from previous \\
\hline 15 been pre-filed months ago so at least months ago Berg & 15 projects and my conversations with [inaudible] project -- \\
\hline 16 would've had the ability to look at it and his testimony may & 16 MR. KLINE: Who's going to pay for it? \\
\hline 17 have been different than he gave yesterday, I don't know. & 17 MR. INTRIAGO: Mr. Srikanth Mandava is the per- \\
\hline 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, I will give you the & 18 person responsible to build the project to the county \\
\hline 19 opportunity to -- to either bring Mr. Berg back or submit & 19 standards at which point county will take ownership of this \\
\hline 20 written comments to which Mr. Kline can respond. Those are & 20 conveyance system as a property improvement. \\
\hline 21 the ways to address it. & 21 MR. KLINE: And what do you expect to hear from the \\
\hline 22 MR. CHEN: Thank you & 22 county when the storm drain system goes in and we have \\
\hline 23 MR. KLINE: Thank you. & 23 outflow at the end of the pipe? What do you expect's going \\
\hline 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And it's up to you. I will & 24 to happen [inaudible]? \\
\hline 25 reconvene a hearing, if you wish, and provide the due & 25 MR. INTRIAGO: Well, there will be a -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 109 & 111 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MR. KLINE: I'll let it go. \\
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: He's an expert, he can testify \\
on hypotheticals. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. Yeah. I understand. \\
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Assuming it's approved. Go ahead. \\
MR. KLINE: Yeah. \\
MR. INTRIAGO: Well -- so once we have the conveyance \\
pipe approved, which technically, I don't see any reason why \\
we wouldn't, we have -- I don't want to be any technical \\
about it here, but it's basically make sure that the amount \\
of water in that pipe is held by the pipe. \\
And I heard yesterday that it -- that we -- basically, \\
what we're doing of the proposal -- the intent of the design \\
that we're doing is keeping this -- the pipe alignment \\
almost exact- -- from the point that we connect to the \\
existing pipe alignment to the outfall, the horizontal \\
alignment of the pipe will be exactly the same. \\
The vertical alignment of the pipe will -- will have \\
minor modifications and the -- the -- the -- the main part \\
of the modifications is that the pipe sizes are going to \\
change to be able to convey the amount of water that we have \\
now safely within the conveyance system. \\
So I -- I anticipate the -- once we submit -- formerly \\
submit this to the right-of-way team they will be ultimately
\end{tabular} & ```
books today that you have to address as part of this
application, if you know of any?
    MR. INTRIAGO: I -- I don't know of any, sir. I'm
sorry; I --
    MR. KLINE: All right. Okay.
    MR. INTRIAGO: -- was hoping to get --
    MR. KLINE: Okay. Does the use satisfy the requirements
of the underlying RE-1 Zone in terms of the stand- -- the
dimensional standards and requirements?
    MR. CHEN: Wait a minute, excuse me, how -- how did you
last word that? You said dimensional?
    MR. KLINE: 59.3.1.6, the use tables in terms of the
development standards in the RE-1 Zone.
    MR. CHEN: Oh, okay.
    MR. KLINE: Does it -- does it say --
    MR. CHEN: Whoa -- whoa -- whoa --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, just a second. Which
section of the code are you getting at?
    MR. KLINE: 59.3.1.6.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: 59.3- -- oh, so you're going
through the limited use standards?
    MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am Well, the -- the conditional
use standards. Yeah.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I mean, conditional use
standards. Okay. So -- okay. So --
``` \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
responsible to -- to take ownership of this system, to \\
maintain the system that, you know, will be some back and forth of the approval process. \\
MR. KLINE: I'm going to do some final questions then; okay? So 7.3.1. Mr. Intriago, are there any previous \\
approvals that render the subject property that must be \\
complied with in conjunction with this redevelopment \\
approval, if you know of any? \\
MR. INTRIAGO: Would you please rephrase that question, Mr. Kline? \\
MR. KLINE: Sure. \\
MR. INTRIAGO: I want to make sure I understand your question. \\
MR. KLINE: Well, just is there any -- any -- as I say, \\
any land development approvals that would con- -- alter the 16 -- what you're proposing to do or have to be addressed as \\
part of our development? \\
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, you might've [inaudible] your \\
question correctly, Mr. Kline. After -- if we successfuilly \\
go through the conditional use, the next step will be to \\
prepare engineering documents and engineering documents of WSSC. \\
MR. KLINE: Okay. \\
MR. INTRIAGO: No. \\
MR. KLINE: Oh, no. Is there anything that's on the
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MR. KLINE: And -- and would also be -- include 7.3 . \\
Yeah. \\
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Because it incorporates this? \\
MR. KLINE: Yeah. Right. \\
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. So go -- go ahead. \\
MR. KLINE: So very simply -- \\
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Let me -- let me just ask your question cl- -- go ahead. \\
MR. KLINE: Yeah. Does the pro- -- proposal meet the development standards of the RE-1 Zone? \\
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. \\
MR. KLINE: Okay. All right. And does the -- well, I \\
want to just -- does the use comply with the standards set \\
forth in Section 3.4.4.F, which for everybody in the room, \\
that is the development standards for a child daycare center of over 30 children. \\
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. \\
MR. KLINE: Okay. All -- is all required parking behind \\
the front building line? \\
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. \\
MR. KLINE: Okay. Is there adequate area for the pickup \\
and discharge of children provided? And you probably -- \\
using Exhibit 148 probably ought to explain your answer. \\
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. I explained that yesterday, \\
but I can do it again.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 113 & 115 \\
\hline MR. KLINE: Just quickly. & 1 services and the -- \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. Quickly. Yes. It does. We have 12 & 2 MR. INTRIAGO: -- we -- we -- what we studied was we -- \\
\hline spaces assigned for that drop-off. There may be the -- the & 3 I created a fi- -- fire lane exhibit that we discussed and \\
\hline one--- there'll be the ones closer to the main entrance on & 4 submitted to me. So \\
\hline 5 the south side of the building and some on the west side of & 5 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No. No. No. Oh, I thought you \\
\hline 6 the parking aisle as well. The rest will be assigned for & 6 were talking about the adequacy of fire stations and police \\
\hline 7 staff and administrator & 7 stations. \\
\hline 8 MR. KLINE: I don't have the code section on this, but & 8 MR. KLINE: Yeah. Do you -- do you -- well, I -- I bel- \\
\hline 9 there is a standard that there has to be enough land area to & 9 -- that actually should've been Mr. Wolford. So I'll -- I'll \\
\hline 10 have at least 500 square feet per child. Do you meet that & 10 just withdraw that question. \\
\hline 11 standard? & 11 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 12 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. We do meet that standard as & 12 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. Yeah. I didn't do that, sir. \\
\hline 13 & 13 MR. KLINE: Is there anything from an engineering point \\
\hline 14 MR. KLINE: Do you exceed that standard? & 14 of view that would have an adverse effect on the use and \\
\hline 15 MR. INTRIAGO: We exceed that standard as well. & 15 peaceful enjoyment of adjoining properties? \\
\hline 16 MR. KLINE: Do you happen to know the [inaudible] & 16 MR. CHEN: Objection. \\
\hline 17 ratio? & 17 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: He's not asking for -- I'm \\
\hline 18 MR. INTRIAGO: I do have the number, if I may. Give 1 & 18 going to let it in, give it the weight it deserves \\
\hline 19 one second. So the net little area after [inaudible] & 19 recognizing that he's not a land planner. \\
\hline 20 location is 1---122,401 square feet divided by 9--- 195 & 20 MR. KLINE: Yeah. Well -- but I think, like Ms. -- \\
\hline 21 students, that's 627-square-foot per child. & 21 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mitchell. \\
\hline 22 MR. KLINE: Right. That's in excess of 500? & 22 MR. KLINE: Ms. Mitchell, thank you. Yeah. There was a \\
\hline 23 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. & 23 question [inaudible] -- \\
\hline 24 MR. KLINE: Thank you. Section 59.7.3.1.E, or the & 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I understand. So I'm -- that's \\
\hline 25 necessary findings for conditional use, from your civil & \\
\hline 114 & 116 \\
\hline engineering point of view, do you believe that the use, as & 1 MR. CHEN: Well, it gets to that point, Ms. Mitchell is \\
\hline 2 you've described today, would be harmonious with and not & \(2-\)-- is a neighbor. She was testifying as a layperson of \\
\hline 3 alter the character of the surrounding neighborhood? & 3 observations and concerns that she had. This area of \\
\hline 4 MR. CHEN: Objection; that's beyond his expert & 4 expertise is an engineer. The question, though, goes beyond \\
\hline expertise. & 5 merely engineering capability for the proposed land use. \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Because -- what? & 6 It goes to an opin- -- a professional opinion as far as \\
\hline 7 MR. CHEN: Among other things, it's beyond his & 7 it's harmony and compatibility within adjacent properties \\
\hline 8 expertise and -- and he's an engineer. I mean, he can't give & 8 and respectfully -- and I -- I understand your ruling, but \\
\hline 9 an opinion that's a [inaudible]. & 9 just for the record, respectfully I believe that that type \\
\hline 10 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: For what it's worth, you have & 10 of information from this type of witnesses is not for -- is \\
\hline 11 Mr . Wolford, I believe, testify to that. So I don't think & 11 not appropriate and he's not qualified to do it and quite \\
\hline 12 he's -- & 12 frank- -- I think -- frankly, I don't think it's even been \\
\hline 13 MR. KLINE: Yeah. & 13 disclosed that he would give this type of an opinion, but I \\
\hline 14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- technically, Mr. Chen is & 14 abide by your ruling. \\
\hline 15 correct. & 15 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I -- I heard what he said as \\
\hline 16 MR. KLINE: Thank you. Will the use be served by & 16 far -- do -- do you want -- \\
\hline 17 adequate public services, such as what you described and & 17 MR. KLINE: I -- I -- \\
\hline 18 others, such as fire, rescue and those services? & 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- I think you caveated it by \\
\hline 19 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. & 19 -- \\
\hline 20 MR. KLINE: Okay. From a -- a civil engineering & 20 MR. KLINE: -- I qualified it as a civil en- -- civil \\
\hline 21 perspective, is -- do you see any potential harm surrounding & 21 engineering -- \\
\hline 22 prop- -- & 22 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- an engineering -- \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait a minute -- & 23 MR. KLINE: -- background. Yes. \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Well -- & 24 MR. CHEN: Yes. Yes. \\
\hline 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- did you study the fire & 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So I'm-- I'm going to let him \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

proceed with those questions and give them the weight they
deserve recognizing that he is not a land planner and has
not characterized the neighborhood and has -- so --
MR. KLINE: Okay. Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- you can continue solely
from your --
MR. KLINE: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- experience as an engineer.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, ma'am. Would you please repeat the
question, Mr. Kline? I forgot.
MR. KLINE: From a civil engineering perspective, do
you envision any adverse effect on surrounding properties?
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, Mr. Kline, as a civil engineer, we
-- my desire is always for the safe and welfare of -- of --
of the public. So I don't foresee any safety problems with
the design I put on this -- on this plan from the safety and
welfare of the -- of the public.
MR. KLINE: That will conclude my questioning of Mr.
Intriago.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. We're going to take a --
what time do you -- how much time do you think we will need
for the two appraisal witnesses?
MR. CHEN: Mr. Kline and I briefly spoke about that
beforehand. We -- I think both of us would like to have both
of those witnesses appear this afternoon and we would like

```
them to be as short as possible because of time constraints.
I will tell you that I have adjusted my questioning, both
cross-examination and direct, to streamline the questioning.
There's written reports from both witnesses --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Right.
    MR. CHEN: -- in the record. So --
    MR. KLINE: I -- I guess, 45 minutes apiece including
cross-examination.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I guess my question is do you
want to follow up after -- it's quarter to 12:00.
    MR. KLINE: Yeah.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: We can break for lunch and
follow up with cross-examination or we can postpone Mr.
Intriago's cross-examination to make sure we get the two
appraisers in.
    MR. CHEN: Good question. I'm thinking that we can get
-- I -- I think we can get all three, meaning finishing --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
    MR. CHEN: -- I -- I mean, the -- the goal from my end
today is to conclude Mr. Intriago's testimony and then both
of the appraisers and probably logically the way to handle
it is have a luncheon break, then pick up with Mr. Intriago
to get that out of the way and we should still, knock on
wood, be early enough in the -- in the day --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.

MR. CHEN: -- to then present it to [inaudible].
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Now, I did check with the court reporter, he can stay later than 5:00.

MR. CHEN: Yes. I under- -- I checked too. I asked that also.

MR. KLINE: I -- I might ask if we might change that a
little bit and the reason I say that is I have a -- a
witness who's come from Ohio and does not -- had not planned
to spend the weekend here.
MR. CHEN: Well --
MR. KLINE: So I want to make sure that I dispose -MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. KLINE: -- of the architect, which I think is
probably a 30 to 45 -minute --
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. KLINE: -- so it's either a matter of --
MR. CHEN: Well, let me ask you this question, Counsel,
if we push Mr. Intriago behind everybody --
MR. KLINE: Right.
MR. CHEN: -- that's acceptable to the -- the applicant to do --

MR. KLINE: Yeah. Yeah.
MR. CHEN: -- I mean, to -- I mean, I -- I'm
4 sympathetic to what Mr. --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So what do you want, the
architect and then the two appraisers?
MR. CHEN: Yeah. Why don't we --
MR. KLINE: I -- I think the appraisers we can knock
off in an hour and a half and that would give us enough
time, I think, for the architect as well and then --
MR. CHEN: Okay. Then we'll bring --
MR. KLINE: -- and then there may -- I think there's
maybe some people going to show up who's going to want to
testify at the end of the day today also.
MR. CHEN: But yeah, are they --
MR. KLINE: I -- I -- I would -- I would --
MR. CHEN: -- are they your -- are they your witnesses that are going to be --

MR. KLINE: -- I just know we're going to have one. I'm just not sure if he's coming back. I -- I would suggest that 16 Mr . Intriago would be the one we would push off.
17 MR. CHEN: Okay.
18 MR. KLINE: I -- I -- I think that hurts you more than 19 me.
20 MR. CHEN: Okay.
21 MR. KLINE: So you have to make that call.
22 MR. CHEN: Look -- look, I -- I understand the problem
23 with witnesses and so- -- someone should not have to stay
24 over the weekend if they weren't planning. So we'll go along
25 with what Mr. Kline is proposing.
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    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. So as I hear it, we're
    going to break for lunch, we'll come back at 1:00 o'clock --
MR. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- or we can come back at --
if you think you have enough time, we can come back at
12:45. Mr. Chen?
MR. CHEN: Let's do 1:00 o'clock.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. 1:00 o'clock. We're
going to excuse Mr. Intriago for the time being, but he
needs to come back for cross-examination. We will take the
two appraisers and then the architect; all right?
MR. CHEN: Yeah. And I --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: Question --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: -- what's the order of the appraisers?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm sorry?
MR. CHEN: What is the order of the testimony of the
two appraisers?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, it would be Mr. Kline's
case in chief first and then we're essentially letting you
take your rebuttal out of order. So it would be Mr. Kline
and then your appraisers --
MR. KLINE: Yes. Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- unless you want something
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different.
    MR. CHEN: Well, the -- the issue that I have is that
you do not have your applicant's experts' report in the
normal courts. What I have read is a response to my experts'
report. So when I've read it and I've rea- -- gone through
it, all that the Primrose appraiser is talking about is the
report --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So you're suggesting that you
go first and then have Mr. Kline's --
    MR. CHEN: Yeah.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- expert respon- -- Mr.
Kline, I leave that to you. Do you have a preference one way
or the other?
    MR. KLINE: Well -- well, I -- I -- I certainly
disagree with characterization of the appraisal, because it
does have two parts, but it's -- whatever is most efficient.
I -- I guess I probably ought to talk to my appraisers and
say -- I'll -- I'll talk to him, but I -- I'm --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, let's do this then,
let's break for lunch until 1:00 o'clock and when you get
back, you can deci- -- you can tell me if you've reached an
agreement on who should go first.
    MR. KLINE: Sure.
    MR. CHEN: Fine.
    MR. KLINE: Yeah.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: All right.
MR. KLINE: That's fine.
MR. CHEN: Excellent.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. With that, we're off the
record until 1:00 o'clock.
(Off the record at 11:48:27 a.m.)
(Back on the record at 1:11:15 p.m.)
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Is the court reporter ready?
And are the parties ready?
MR. KLINE: The petitioner's ready.
MR. CHEN: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: All right. We're back on the
record at 1:15. I believe -- are we starting with the
architect?
MR. CHEN: No.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Is -- no?
MR. CHEN: The -- the plan that Mr. Kline and I have discussed is we're going to go with the appraisers right now --

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. That's fine.
MR. CHEN: -- and we're -- we're trying to get through
as much this afternoon as possible.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. KLINE: And we also did come up with an agreement on the sequence of witnesses.

MR. CHEN: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. KLINE: Mr. -- Mr. Chen's witnesses is going to go
first and my witness will go second.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Great.
MR. CHEN: And if there's anything new comes out and
Mr. Kline's witness I'll recall, but the hope is that that
10 won't happen because I'm going to combine everything in his direct --

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: -- including the criticism
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I see what you're saying.
Okay. Okay. Then you can call your expert.
MR. CHEN: Thank you very much. My next witness would
be Terrence McPherson. No. No. No. No. No. No. No.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That's his? Oh -- oh, coronavirus [inaudible]. I may have another one, but -MR. MCPHERSON: I'm good.
MR. CHEN: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: All right. Please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of 4 perjury that the statements you're about to make are the 25 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline , & 127 \\
\hline MR. MCPHERSON: I do. & 1 value of the property and I decided to use a before and \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Please state -- oh, go ahead, & 2 after analysis, which is a three-step method that's \\
\hline r. Chen. & 3 typically used in partial takings in a condemnation setting. \\
\hline . CHEN: Mr. McPherson, would you please state your & 4 And the first step involves estimating the value of the \\
\hline full name and your office address? & 5 property without the influence of the conditional use and \\
\hline 6 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. It's Terrence W. McPherson. My & 6 then the second step involves estimating the value of the \\
\hline 7 address is 7360 Guilford Drive, Suite 200, Frederick, & 7 property assuming the conditional use is constructed and \\
\hline 8 Maryland 21704. & 8 that's subject to a hypothetical condition and the \\
\hline 9 MR. CHEN: And what is your occupation, & 9 difference between the before and the after, that would be \\
\hline 10 MR. MCPHERSON: I'ma real estate appraiser and & 10 the diminution in value or the adverse effect in this case \\
\hline onsultant & 11 of a conditional use. \\
\hline 12 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 12 MR. CHEN: And you've undertaken that type of an \\
\hline oceeding, isn't that correct? & 13 analysis in the -- in this case? \\
\hline 14 MR. MCPHERSON: I have & 14 MR. MCPHERSON: I have. Yes. \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: And your credentials, as far as your & 15 MR. CHEN: Okay. And you've explained how you did that \\
\hline kground and -- a & 16 in your report; is that right, sir? \\
\hline ort; is that righ & 17 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. I did [inaudible]. \\
\hline 18 MR. MCPHERSON: It is & 18 MR. CHEN: Okay. And based upon utilizing that analysis \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: Madame Examiner, that is Exhibit 115F. I've & 19 and those methodologies, what were your conclusions? \\
\hline some conversations with Mr. Kline. Madame Examiner, I'm & 20 MR. MCPHERSON: In the before situation, before the \\
\hline ring Mr . McPherson as a expert witness as a real esta & 21 conditional use was constructed, I estimated the value of \\
\hline rai & \(22 \$ 650,000\), then in the hypothetical after valuation, assuming \\
\hline 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Do you have any objection? & 23 the conditional use was constructed, that value was \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: No objection. Mr. McPherson's reputation is & \(24 \$ 585,000\). The difference is \(\$ 65,000\), which is attributed to \\
\hline 25 known by both myself and n & 25 the diminution in the economic value of the property due to \\
\hline 126 & 128 \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And you've text- -- testified & 1 the conditional use \\
\hline as an expert in other pro--- trial-type proceedings? & 2 MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, one of the properties in the \\
\hline MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. And -- in -- in those proceedings & 3 immediate vicinity that I believe you addressed was the \\
\hline or in -- be- -- before this board -- not before you but & 4 property located at 7--- at 7500 Needwood Road; is that \\
\hline re another examiner, I've testified at -- at -- with th & 5 correct? \\
\hline 6 department of & 6 MR. MCPHERSON: That's correct. \\
\hline 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay & 7 MR. CHEN: And what was your -- and -- and I think \\
\hline MR. MCPHERSON: -- bankruptcy court -- & 8 you've got some updated information on that? \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You -- you've convinced me. I & 9 MR. MCPHERSON: I do. \\
\hline st wanted to [inaudible]. & 10 MR. CHEN: Okay. Could you explain that property to the \\
\hline ughter] & 11 examiner and -- and then include with it your updated \\
\hline Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Chen & 12 information? \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Thank you. Mr. McPherson, I just referenced & 13 MR. MCPHERSON: Okay. Yes. The -- that property is \\
\hline ur report. You have prepared and filed a report that is in & 14 located at the corner of Carnegie and Needwood. It's a -- \\
\hline record; is that right? & 15 it's a new house. It was recently constructed and it -- it's \\
\hline . MCPHERSON: That's correct & 16 recently sold. Just a little history, the -- the -- the lot \\
\hline 17 MR. CHEN: Okay. Could you please, sir, since the -- & 17 was purchased in September, 2017 prior to the application \\
\hline written document is in the record and -- and & 18 for the conditional use \\
\hline tand that the examiner has reviewed it and I'm sure & 19 The -- Mr. Abebe paid \$280,000. I spoke to Mr. Abebe on \\
\hline could you just, in summary form, sir, give & 20 February 24, 2020. He said that had he known that the \\
\hline 21 orally your -- the gist of your reporting, including your & 21 conditional use was going to be applied for he wouldn't had \\
\hline hodology in what you did and your -- your conclusions? & 22 purchased the -- the lot. He started construction, he said, \\
\hline R. MCPHERSON: Yes. Excuse me. I was asked to estimate & 23 in 2018 -- in January, 2018. He constructed the house and \\
\hline end & 24 listed it with a Terring Wang and I believe it's August -- \\
\hline 25 conditional use would be -- adversely affect the economic & 25 or October, 2018 for \(\$ 1,499,000\). \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

the fact that the -- the houses that have sold -- or that sold were entry-level housing. They were 1,300 square feet and less, they fronted on New Hampshire Avenue, which is a 4-lane divided highway and it relates to the -- the economic principle of mobility.

When you don't have the income levels to -- and the choices of where you can buy, then you're much more willing to accept potentially negative influences, in this case, a Citgo Gas Station. So I -- I thought it was relevant and that's why I used it, plus there weren't -- there just
wasn't that -- there wasn't any data except for this that we could find.
So I adjusted each of the comparable sales and the paired data sales in this case. Sale one was the property between the Citgo and -- and the veterinarian clinic, sale two was to the north and even -- it -- the veterinarian clinic and sale two were separated by a road named Snyder 18 [ph] Road or Snyder Lane, I believe, but it was located 19 across from a church.

So I adjusted for the differences between the two in 1 terms of number of bathrooms, basements, physical features so then at the end of the day at the -- the adjusted price, the only thing that was different between sale one, which is located -- sandwiched between the two nonresidential uses and sale two, which was adjoining the -- or north of the 132
veterinarian clinic and across from the church was the fact that you had a residential property sandwiched and that difference attribu- -- reduced to about 12 percent.

And so again, in this whole process, since we don't have sales, I'm trying to extract a -- an adjustment with a negative impact or the -- the impact of a non- -- of a residential property sandwiched between two nonresidential uses. Then the next method that you -- that is accepted and recognized is it's a survey method.
10 You survey participants in the marketplace that are 11 experienced and have knowledge and in this case, we chose 12 residential and brokers that were active in the area. I sent 13 out an email --
14 MR. CHEN: Yeah.
15 MR. MCPHERSON: -- to obtain the site plan that was 16 proposed, an aerial showing the subject on the plan and a
17 series of questions and then after the realtor had that 18 information, they were called and interviewed to determine 19 what impact, if any, that they thought that the -- the 20 proposed Primrose Daycare would have on the subject 21 property.
22 And we were fortunate enough to have five people 23 respond and that information is summarized on Page 46, 47
24 and I believe it is 48 . And we also, as part of this
25 process, tried to find realtors that were very active in the
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 133 & 13 \\
\hline 1 marketplace. In fact, the five realtors that we ana- -- or & 1 it's -- I think it's probably - -if I can just turn to -- to \\
\hline 2 interviewed for the past three years, they've sold over \$190 & 2 Page 2--- 47, when we interviewed the -- the realtors, no \\
\hline 3 million worth of real estate & 3 of the real estate agents surveyed had encountered a similar \\
\hline 4 So they were seasoned, they were -- these sales were & 4 sized dwelling located between two nonresidential uses. \\
\hline 5 within a two-mile radius of the subject prop--- or the & 5 And so you're talking about five brokers that had sales \\
\hline 6 sales of these individuals that we interviewed, more than & 6 of over \$180 million and to not have a situation \\
\hline 7 t & 7 \\
\hline 8 So we -- we felt that that was a -- would be a good & 8 \\
\hline 9 indication and the responses from the interviews range from & 9 MR. CHEN: Okay \\
\hline 10 no effect, and that was a Mr. Klaus Breitsameter and in th & 10 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: May I just interrupt one \\
\hline 11 case, we felt that was an outlier, because Mr. Breitsame & 11 \\
\hline 12 had a very strong accent and we weren' & 12 MR. CHEN: Go right ahea \\
\hline 13 conveying -- he was understanding what we were asking & 13 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I had a question. I -- I think \\
\hline 14 And then the rest of them ranged from 8 percent to 30 & 14 I remembered you testified, but I remember having a question \\
\hline 15 percent and then the Mr. Abebe's assessment was at 16 to 23 & 15 last night. When you did this survey -- \\
\hline 16 percent, which also falls within that range. & 16 \\
\hline 17 So as a result of the two different methodologies, & 17 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: --- did you -- was it any \\
\hline 18 selected a 10 percent negative impact due to the propose & 18 did you ask themany conditional use or did you forward -- \\
\hline 19 conditional use and I adjusted the value of the property & 19 think you said you forwarded themthis plan. \\
\hline 20 before the take downward 10 percent and that would be a & 20 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. If you -- if you turn to the -- \\
\hline 21 value of \$585,000 reflecting the construction of the daycare & 21 the -- the -- the back of the report, that was the -- \\
\hline 22 and then the difference between these -- these two, the & 22 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm not sure I got there. No, \\
\hline 23 values before and after, of \$65,000 is & 23 I'm teasing, go ahead. \\
\hline 24 conditional use and that was my conclusion. & 24 MR. MCPHERSON: Okay. It's -- it's a cover letter dated \\
\hline 25 MR. CHEN: Now, is that a conservative conclusion? & \\
\hline 134 & 136 \\
\hline 1 M & 1 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay \\
\hline 2 beholder. The -- certainly, I could've been higher, & 2 MR. MCPHERSON: And so that was sent as a PDF in an \\
\hline 3 data -- I could've supported a higher adjustment, but I ju & 3 email and then you -- if you flip the next page, there were \\
\hline 4 didn't have the data that -- I mean, there was so little & 4 some more -- other questions and then if you keep flipping \\
\hline 5 data I felt uncomfortable going any higher than that & 5 the page, you can show -- you can see the site plan. \\
\hline 6 Somebody else could've looked at it and said, you know, & 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. All right. That was my \\
\hline 7 it was -- it was conservative. & 7 question. All right. Thank you. \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: Okay. So you utilized, for your report and & 8 MR. MCPHERSON: And so they -- and not everyone \\
\hline 9 your conclusion, two separate methodologies? & 9 responded. We tried other -- other realtors. We're not \\
\hline 10 MR. MCPHERSON: Correct. & 10 paying them, they're -- it's out of -- we have to, I guess, \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: Okay. Are you comfortable that & 11 schmooze, I don't know -- we have to ask them -- because \\
\hline 12 of those methodologies were appropriate for this type of an & 12 does take time and it took a lot of time to -- first, to \\
\hline 13 analysis? & 13 locate people that were active in this area, realtors -- \\
\hline 14 MR. MCPHERSON: Absolutely. Ye & 14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mm-hmm \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: And you undertook those analyses utilizing & 15 MR. MCPHERSON: -- and the ones that would respond and \\
\hline 16 those methodologies in -- in accordance with normal and & 16 -- and that's -- that's what we did \\
\hline 17 usual standards and evaluation guidelines? & 17 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 18 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. That's -- that's what I would -- & 18 MR. CHEN: Now, the information that you've just \\
\hline 19 that's what I do in any case and I felt that this captured & 19 provided include the 7500 Needwood Road property; is that \\
\hline 20 what I was after, what I was trying to isolate and that was & 20 right? \\
\hline 21 -- they were the best two approaches given the limited data & 21 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. It did \\
\hline 22 There -- there was so little data. & 22 MR. CHEN: And you reported your discussion with the \\
\hline 23 MR. CHEN: And you've explained this through you & 23 former owner of the property? \\
\hline 24 report? & 24 MR. MCPHERSON: Correct. \\
\hline 25 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. I have. And -- and also, it's -- & 25 MR. CHEN: Now, that information you did not have at \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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the time you prepared your written report; is that correct?
MR. MCPHERSON: That's correct. We --
MR. CHEN: So it's not included in your re- -- report?
MR. MCPHERSON: -- that's correct. We got that
information -- as appraisers, you try to find cell phones,
email addresses and we could never make contact with Mr.
Abebe and finally we got a cell phone. He was kind enough to
call me back and then he was kind enough to go through the
interview.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And what was the percentage
discount that you estimated as a result -- your opinion is
as a result of this use? What was the --
MR. MCPHERSON: Ten percent.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Ten percent. Okay.
MR. MCPHERSON: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Now, at the bot- -- I want to be clear, the
Abebe information is not part of your written report?
MR. MCPHERSON: It -- it is not. It is -- this is --
these are the notes that I -- that I took from my
conversation.
MR. CHEN: I understand. Yeah. I -- you testified you
tried, but you -- you didn't --
MR. MCPHERSON: Right.
MR. CHEN: -- when was the connection you've made with
Abebe -- Abebe?
MR. MCPHERSON: February 24th.
MR. CHEN: Okay. It's that recent that you got that
information?
MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. It's -- yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, you have also received some
additional information; isn't that correct, about -- about
the property from the property owners?
MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. It's -- it's involving assessment
appeal.
MR. CHEN: Okay. What --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, about which property,
the --
MR. MCPHERSON: The subject -- subject property.
MR. CHEN: The Kosary property.
MR. MCPHERSON: Kosary.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Kosary. Okay.
MR. MCPHERSON: Well, the subject property.
MR. CHEN: Sorry, I apologize.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I wasn't sure if you were
talking about the Mitchell's or -- okay. The Kosary
property.
MR. CHEN: I apol- -- that was my fault. That was my
fault.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Go ahead.
MR. MCPHERSON: And -- and the other thing I guess I
the time you prepared your written report; is that correct?
MR. MCPHERSON: That's correct. We --
MR. CHEN: So it's not included in your re--- report?
MR. MCPHERSON: -- that's correct. We got that
information -- as appraisers, you try to find cell phones,
email addresses and we could never make contact with Mr .
call me back and then he was kind enough to go through the
interview.
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the property from the property owners?
MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. It's -- it's involving assessment
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MR. CHEN: Okay. What --
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should've put on the data valuation of my report was October 27, 2019.

MR. CHEN: I want to now update on the information that you received from, I think it's M- -- Mr. Posey?

MR. MCPHERSON: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Ma- -- Madame Examiner, just at this
point, Mr. Posey will be testifying and I proffer that the
information that was provided to Mr. McPherson will be
provided through Mr. Posey and subject to full crossexamination.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Well, he can -- he can testify on a hypothetical too. So --

MR. KLINE: Yeah. May I -- may I make a point? HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah. MR. KLINE: Mr. Chen was kind enough to file an -- a supplementary --

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Right.
MR. KLINE: -- pre-hearing statement. I'm surprised to hear that the information from the Abebe conversation and
the Corey [sic] Posey conversation was not included in that,
because this is all new to us and we're -- well -- well, one
of the questions we're asked in preparation has anything
changed, Jody, and I said, no, nothing's changed and we're
hearing new numbers now today.
MR. CHEN: We're not presenting new numbers, we're
relying upon the written report. There's been updated
information that quite candidly, I did not have at the time
we filed the supplemental, but we are relying upon the
written report and what -- we're giving the examiners
ongoing information developed since then.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, I gave Mr. Intriago some leeway.

MR. KLINE: Right. I understand.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So I'm going to give Mr. Chen
some leeway.
MR. KLINE: I -- I am -- I -HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I understand. MR. KLINE: -- I'm trying to make a point. Yeah. HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I -- I do understand your point.

MR. CHEN: And as I said, this information has been re17 -- recently shared by Mr. Posey to Mr. McPherson and Mr.
18 Posey will be testifying hopefully even today, certainly on
19 Monday, providing this information. Okay. Mr. McPherson,
20 what is the information that you've received from Mr. Posey?
MR. MCPHERSON: It was a letter to the State Department
Assessments and Taxation requesting a reduction of the
assessment and the letter indicated was due to the proximity
to the adjoining church and that -- there was a subsequent
25 reassessment notice that was sent out that resulted in a 7.1
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infill development on the subject property and then there was a partial review of my appraisal.

MR. CHEN: Okay. Let -- let me just stop you just briefly. On the neighborhood?

MR. MCPHERSON: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Was there a difference in neighborhoods that was being considered by the two reports?

MR. MCPHERSON: I'm not -- I -- I think -- I'm not
totally sure, but I -- I -- I think the neighborhood that
was considered was the Rock Creek Area Master Plan
boundaries and mine were more condensed. I cut my boundary
off at Redland Road, because on the west side, it's more
multifamily intensive development.
And so I -- my boundaries were Redland Road to the est, Rock Creek Regional Park to the east, Needwood Golf Course, Crabbs Branch and Crabbs Branch Way to the south and

MR. CHEN: Okay. Okay. And go -- I interrupted you, now continue.

MR. MCPHERSON: Okay. Good. And then I also mentioned in my neighborhood that there was some limited infill in ---- in my immediate neighborhood.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. MCPHERSON: Okay. And so on Pages 9, 18 and 23, it was mentioned that I didn't really address where the subject
property fit in terms of location and infill. I didn't
expand greatly on it, but I did mention on Page 17 there's
been limited recent building the immediate area due to the
available established nature of the area, but I think we all
agree it's an established subdivision.
It's just the impact of the infill that -- that -- that
may be the reason for the -- for the disagreement.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What page is that?
MR. MCPHERSON: On my report, it's Page 17 and then on
Ms. Vandermause's, it's on Page 9, 18 and 23, I believe.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. MCPHERSON: And so it was mentioned that -- and I'm
not sure what page, but that there had been some infill
development. There had been infill development gradually
taking place in the neighborhood.
MR. CHEN: Is that by -- by Merit?
MR. MCPHERSON: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. And that -- it mentioned that
20 after the subject house at depose Kasory property was built
21 in 1996, that there had been a gradual change of infill, but
22 I looked in the immediate area and the only ones I could
23 find in 24 years or -- or quarter of a century there were 2

25 One was 7500 Needwood, which is the new devel- -- new
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 145 & 147 \\
\hline house and the other is the Taiwanese Presby- -- Presbyterian & 1 redevelopment for -- for a subdivision. \\
\hline Church to the east. & 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Okay. So you said 25 years, quarter of a century? & 3 MR. MCPHERSON: Okay. That's -- that's it. And you can 4 see that there's a -- there's a red bullet and that's the \\
\hline MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. & 5 subject. \\
\hline MR. CHEN: What is -- what are you -- how do you get to & 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. \\
\hline that? & 7 MR. MCPHERSON: Right above that, that is the -- \\
\hline MR. MCPHERSON: Well, the house was -- was built in & 8 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: O \\
\hline 1996. Today -- & 9 MR. MCPHERSON: -- this -- the house that is older and \\
\hline HEN: Whose house? & 10 would be potentially redeveloped. So the -- I -- I just \\
\hline 1 MR. MCPHERSON: The Kosary's, the subject property -- & 11 think it needs to be made clear that infill development can \\
\hline 2 MR. CHEN: Okay. So -- okay. & 12 take two ways. It can be residential, it can be commercial \\
\hline 3 MR. MCPHERSON: -- 7416 Needwood. & 13 or nonresidential. The change in the neighborhood and infill \\
\hline 4 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 14 development with residential uses actually en- -- can \\
\hline 5 MR. MCPHERSON: And today is 2020. So -- & 15 enhance the values of the property. \\
\hline 6 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 16 It's -- it's -- it's conformity. And so even though a \\
\hline 7 MR. MCPHERSON: -- it's 24 years. & 17 house may be built in front of -- of the Kosary's and \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: Okay. So it's your testimony that based upon & 18 redeveloped with a house there's no way can compare that to \\
\hline 9 your research, at least, during the intervening 25 years, as & 19 a daycare or -- or a -- or a -- a nonresidential use. So I - \\
\hline 0 far as any, quote, "infill development," it's been the 2 & 20 - I just thought that needed to -- to be made -- made clear. \\
\hline 21 properties that you've just identified? & 21 MR. CHEN: Are we in the right location for that \\
\hline 22 MR. MCPHERSON: It's -- right. It's -- they're very & 22 property? \\
\hline 23 limited, which is consistent with what I'd said. And then on & 23 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. \\
\hline \[
24 \text { Page } 23 \text {-- }
\] & 24 MR. CHEN: Because you're saying north -- I mean, I'm \\
\hline 5 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Of which report? & 25 not -- \\
\hline 146 & 148 \\
\hline MR. MCPHERSON: Of the Merit report. & 1 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. If you -- if you look at the \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. & 2 the red bullet or the red dot -- \\
\hline MR. MCPHERSON: Let's see here, it's the se & 3 MR. CHEN: Yes. \\
\hline paragraph on the bottom. It -- it mentions that development & 4 MR. MCPHERSON: -- that's the subject and then the \\
\hline -- east of Redland Road was primarily residential & 5 property immediately -- \\
\hline subdivisions of detached houses and it highlights the areas & 6 MR. CHEN: Okay. Got you. Okay. Got you. \\
\hline of -- of discussion and then the last paragraph said 16 of & 7 MR. MCPHERSON: -- to the other one. Yeah. So that \\
\hline the 24 properties highlighted in yellow are improvement & 8 would -- that would be the -- it's -- it's a little bungalow \\
\hline homes built between 1933 and 1965. & 9 type house that's -- that's -- that's older. \\
\hline These homes are susceptible to redevelopment, case in & 10 MR. CHEN: And so in that regard, the potential is for \\
\hline point and it mentions the -- the -- the property to the west & 11 redevelopment with another residential property? Is that -- \\
\hline 2 of the subject and - & 12 MR. MCPHERSON: If -- if it would be residential, that \\
\hline MR. CHEN: 75- -- 7500? & 13 would actually be an enhancement in value I think as \\
\hline MR. MCPHERSON: 7500 Needwood, I'm sorry, yes. & 14 compared to -- \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Okay. & 15 MR. CHEN: Given the nature of the property as it \\
\hline R. MCPHERSON: And so I guess that - & 16 currently exists? \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, I -- I'm looking at 7420 & 17 MR. MCPHERSON: Right. Well, the -- the whole \\
\hline 8 Needwood. These homes are susceptible to redevelopment, a & 18 neighborhood is -- is residential, it's RE, it's large lots \\
\hline 9 case in point. Is the dwelling just to the north of the & 19 and -- and that's one thing I -- I didn't touch on, but all \\
\hline 0 subject prop- -- & 20 of the realtors that we interviewed said that this \\
\hline 1 MR. MCPHERSON: Okay. Well, that is the ove & 21 neighborhood is attractive because it has large lots, it's \\
\hline 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- am I on the wrong page? & 22 closer to the Metro, it's close to major roads and they felt \\
\hline 33 MR. MCPHERSON: No. You're right and that's -- that's a & 23 that the typical buyer liked the privacy of their backyard. \\
\hline 4 good point. The -- the -- the property to the north of the & 24 And so the adverse effect by the conditional use would \\
\hline 5 subject is an older house that would be of potential for & 25 really have that negative impact on -- on the economic value \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
redevelopment for -- for a subdivision.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. MCPHERSON: Okay. That's -- that's it. And you can
see that there's a -- there's a red bullet and that's the subject.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes.
MR. MCPHERSON: Right above that, that is the -HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Oh.
MR. MCPHERSON: -- this -- the house that is older and
would be potentially redeveloped. So the -- I -- I just
think it needs to be made clear that infill development can
take two ways. It can be residential, it can be commercial
or nonresidential. The change in the neighborhood and infill
development with residential uses actually en- -- can
6 It's -- it's -- it's conformity. And so even though a
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MR. CHEN: Yes.
MR. MCPHERSON: -- that's the subject and then the property immediately --

MR. CHEN: Okay. Got you. Okay. Got you.
MR. MCPHERSON: -- to the other one. Yeah. So that
would -- that would be the -- it's -- it's a little bungalow type house that's -- that's -- that's older.
10 MR. CHEN: And so in that regard, the potential is for 11 redevelopment with another residential property? Is that --
12 MR. MCPHERSON: If -- if it would be residential, that 3 would actually be an enhancement in value I think as 14 compared to --
15 MR. CHEN: Given the nature of the property as it 16 currently exists?
17 MR. MCPHERSON: Right. Well, the -- the whole 18 neighborhood is -- is residential, it's RE, it's large lots and -- and that's one thing I -- I didn't touch on, but all of the realtors that we interviewed said that this nighborhood is attractive because it has large lots, it's that the typical buyer liked the privacy of their backyard.
24 And so the adverse effect by the conditional use would 25 really have that negative impact on - on the economic value
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 149 & 151 \\
\hline 1 of the -- of the property -- the fair market value. So let's & 1 MR. CHEN: In your opinion? \\
\hline 2 see -- okay. On Page 25 and 26, that's the 7500 Needwood on & 2 MR. MCPHERSON: In my opinion. Everything I'm saying is \\
\hline 3 Page 25. & 3 my opinion. Yes. And so let's see, then on Page 28, it \\
\hline 4 HEARING OFFICER & 4 mentions that -- apologize, let's see, lose my place. On \\
\hline 5 MR. MCPHERSON: And then at the bottom, it -- it -- it & 5 okay. Then on -- on -- on Page 29, it mentions on the next \\
\hline 6 mentions that -- of -- of 25, it mentions that the -- that & 6 page down at the bottom of the page, last paragraph on the \\
\hline 7 the property is susceptible to change and I think later & 7 bottom, "On the next page is an illustration of a single- \\
\hline 8 - & 8 family detached dwelling stacked on a long parcel served by \\
\hline 9 detached house, which is j & 9 a common driveway." \\
\hline 10 resident & 10 And if you turn to Page 30, it shows a configuration of \\
\hline 11 So it's -- it is in conformity with the neighborhood & 11 pre-lots that are stacked, but the main difference, which \\
\hline 12 So that -- that would not be an issue and I think that's & 12 this is significantly different than the subject, it's not \\
\hline 13 addressed on Page 26, yes, that the -- the height is -- of a & 13 sandwiched between two uses, also the distance -- I have \\
\hline 14 modern 2-story house is typically 2-- 30 to 35 feet, which & 14 this -- I measured the distance from the parking lot to the \\
\hline 15 is larger or -- or taller than -- than the -- than the & 15 -- to the edge of the property line and to the west, it's \\
\hline 16 existing house in the neighborhood and -- but my point is & 16 about 6--- let's see, 6- \\
\hline 17 that it's residential, it's -- it's consistent, it's with -- & 17 Oh, I'm-- I'm sorry, it's 94 feet from the edge of the \\
\hline 18 with the neighborho & 18 -- the -- the drive lane or the parking area to the property \\
\hline 19 Then on Page 27, there was some discussions about the & 19 line and that's the -- you can see that's the panhandle lot \\
\hline 20 impact of the church next door and how that -- that & 20 and then there's also another parking area would be, I \\
\hline 21 permitted use and -- and could -- could also be fit on the & 21 guess, to the south and that's about 1--- or 8--- 68 feet \\
\hline 22 school site -- the -- the daycare site, but what's no & 22 from the property lin \\
\hline 23 mentioned is that the intensity of the use for the Primros & 23 So it's completely different than the 12 feet that the \\
\hline 24 Daycare as compared to the church hours the perimeter of the & 24 drive aisle will be on the subject property. It's -- it's 25 significantly set back So I -- I don't think that's a good \\
\hline & \\
\hline 150 & 152 \\
\hline 1 week 6:00 to 6:30 p.m, the church operates on weekends and & 1 comparis \\
\hline 2 then a couple days in the evening. So it's -- it's -- it's & 2 MR. CHEN: And by the way, these pipestem lots are re- \\
\hline 3 significantly different in terms of -- of intensity of use & 3 -- it's residential development; isn't that right? \\
\hline 4 MR. CHEN: At least in your opinion? & 4 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. It's -- yes. It's all residential, \\
\hline 5 MR. MCPHERSON: In my opinion it is, yes. And then & 5 there's no sa--- there's no commercial use of sandwiching \\
\hline 6 let's see, there's one other -- on Page 29 -- & 6 the properties. That's correct. Then beginning on Page 31, \\
\hline 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Can I ask you on 27 & 7 there was a -- there was a discussion with a det-- \\
\hline 8 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. & 8 detrimental conditions description, which is -- is part of \\
\hline 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- is that photograph -- are & 9 my analysis or my appraisal. It was mentioned that -- that - \\
\hline 10 you standing on the Kosary -- no, you're standing on the & 10-let's see, just a second. \\
\hline 11 church property? & 11 I had my pages on -- I had my pages messed up, I'm \\
\hline 12 MR. MCPHERSON: That was - & 12 sorry. On Page 32, it mentions that on the third paragraph \\
\hline 13 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: At the bottom of 27. & 13 from the bottom, the second sentence, some of the changed \\
\hline 14 MR. MCPHERSON: -- that was the other appraiser's -- & 14 conditions that this person has pointed out for the planned \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: That's in the Merit report. & 15 daycare can also be experienced as a re--- result of new \\
\hline 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Oh, yes. Okay. I'm sorry. I & 16 home development on adjacent properties. \\
\hline 17 apologize. & 17 Recreational amenities like tennis, basketball courts, \\
\hline 18 MR. CHEN: You were about to get into another area. & 18 swimming pools, detached garages, accessory buildings, \\
\hline 19 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. Okay. The -- on Page 29, it's & 19 including accessory dwellings can be added to the noise and \\
\hline 20 mentioned even single-family development and detached & 20 illumination that will cause change -- a setting -- and a \\
\hline 21 development on perimeter site will have its impacts on the & 21 setting for the owners of the subject property. \\
\hline 22 environment of the subject, but the impacts would be & 22 And so there's a significant difference between \\
\hline 23 consistent with the -- if it's residential detached would be & 23 residential uses as compared -- compare- -- compared to \\
\hline 24 consistent with the neighborhood, which would tend to & 24 nonresidential commercial uses. For example, the \\
\hline 25 enhance the value of -- of -- of the property, not -- & 25 recreational amenities, you may have three to six children \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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playing basketball in the driveway or playing in the tennis
courts in the backyard or swimming pool.
At Primrose, we're going to have }195\mathrm{ kids -- children
of various ages. The noise, you could have six -- three to
six children for a school bus drop-off or playing in the
front yard or on their driveway versus }195\mathrm{ children that'll
be dropped off, picked up in the morning and in the evening,
plus you have }32\mathrm{ employees.
In terms of illumination, the -- a detached house would
typically have a streetlight, maybe a wall-mounted fixture
as compared to commercial-grade 20-foot high -- high lamp L-
-- LED fixtures.
MR. CHEN: That would be conditional use fixtures?
MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. That -- that -- assuming the
conditional use is approved.
MR. CHEN: Right.
MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. A resi- -- dri- -- a residential
driveway, I think it's }17\mathrm{ feet off the property line,
probably hold 1 to 3 cars. Here we have a commercial
driveway }12\mathrm{ feet off the property line --
MR. CHEN: Twenty feet wide?
MR. MCPHERSON: Twenty feet wide. Yeah.
MR. CHEN: Right.
MR. MCPHERSON: And that's going to handle }195\mathrm{ children
in the morning and in the evening and have 32 employees. So
I -- I don't think it's a -- it's a correct comparison.
There will be change, but the change will be much less of an
impact for a -- from a residential standpoint.
Let's see, then in my appraisal report, there was an
issue with my analysis of the FAR and --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm sorry, which report?
MR. MCPHERSON: In my -- in my appraisal.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah.
MR. MCPHERSON: And we can actually start -- what I
typically do in -- in -- when I analyze a property, I look
at the usable area. So if you look at Page 20 in my
appraisal report, I took out the panhandle driveway, because
it's not usable and I looked at the usable land area, which
is 1.2 acres for the subject.
And then if you turn back on Page 38, the floor to area
ratio, if you exclude the conservation easement on the
subject, it's 1.24 acres. If you include the conservation
area and in other words, use the whole land area, it -- it's
lowered to 1.10 and that's -- that's an interpreta- -- I
mean, that's the way I normally analyze properties of usable
area, but that was a -- that was a criticism.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: 1.10?
MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. Or excuse me,.10. I'm sorry,.10.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, I could've heard wrong,
that's why I asked.
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MR. MCPHERSON: And then on Page 35 of the Merit
appraisal, I had referenced in this analysis on -- in my
report that I made a comparison to the Candlewood Elementary
School and the FAR of that property and that FAR was, I
believe, .06 and I used that to compare the intensity of
development and -- but if you look at --
Actually, it's a good picture. If you look on Page 35,
you can see how the -- the parking lot areas are set back
much further than the -- and the drives than the }12\mathrm{ feet
proposed for the subject. Okay. And then one other point
that was made, and -- and again, I addressed this partially
when I was --
I'm referring now to Page -- beginning of Page 9- -- 37
of the Merit appraisal and continuing to Page 41 and the
issue, which is -- is valid, is that the -- the -- one of
the paired sales that I used, sale number 1, to use my
extraction was the -- was -- was a Cit- -- a Citgo Gas
18 Station -- a service station and that is a more intense use,
but again, as I mentioned, I felt that the -- these
properties were entry level, they were 45 percent smaller
than the subject, they were fronting on a 4-lane state
highway and I just didn't feel that another adjustment was
required to sale 1 because of the exposure to the Citgo and
I guess that's more of a reason people disagree, I guess, or
my interpretation.
1 5 6
1 And let's see, on Page 41, it's the second paragraph
below the results of my survey. It's -- it's interesting
observation made on Page 46 of Mr. McPherson's report. None
of the surveyed real estate agents had encountered a similar
sized detached house located between two nonresidential
units.
I interpret that as -- as very telling, because it
appears that the zoning in place today, for whatever reason,
there -- there are very few, if any, houses of this size
custom designed that are sandwiched between two
nonresidential uses.
As an aside, we obtained a list of churches from the
Montgomery County and went around using Google maps to try
to locate situations that were similar and -- and we
couldn't find them. So I -- I -- I -- I think it's -- it's
telling.
MR. CHEN: And that's, again, coming back to why you
utilized two different methodologies?
MR. MCPHERSON: That's correct. That's correct. And
then on 42, there's a disagreement. I thought that you
2 1 should give less weight to Mr. Klaus Breitsameter, because I
22 thought it was an outlier. Ms. -- Ms. Vandermause didn't
23 think that was the case.
24 And then lastly, on Page 43, at the last paragraph, she
25 -- it was concluded that the -- the 10 percent change in
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 157 & 59 \\
\hline 1 value for the subject, speaking of me now, as a result of & 1 evidence of any sales in this area of \(\$ 1.1\) million or \(\$ 1.2\) \\
\hline 2 the development of the Primrose School without discussing & 2 million? \\
\hline 3 the lack of market data or weaknesses in that data leads to & 3 MR. MCPHERSON: No. \\
\hline 4 a misleading conclusion that the estimate of the price & 4 MR. KLINE: Okay. All right. In your conversation with \\
\hline 5 change is well supported by market data and the performance & 5 Mr. Posey, have you been provided any information indicating \\
\hline 6 of the market data concluded. & 6 the basis for the reduction in the assessment granted by the \\
\hline 7 The only way I can respond to that, I -- I thought I & 7 State Department Assessments and Taxation? \\
\hline 8 had adequately disclosed in the report there wasn't any & 8 MR. MCPHERSON: Fromthe assessor? \\
\hline 9 data. We used the best data that we had. We used two sources & 9 MR. KLINE: Yes. Thank you. \\
\hline 10 and in my professional experience, I -- I couldn't have don & 10 MR. MCPHERSON: No. I have not. \\
\hline 11 anything else different. There was no other data source & 11 MR. KLINE: Okay. Well, will we be hearing that from \\
\hline 12 that I could find. & 12 Mr. Posey? \\
\hline 13 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: All right. [inaudible]. M & 13 MR. MCPHERSON: Yeah. \\
\hline 14 Kline -- are you finished? & 14 MR. CHEN: He -- he will be testifying about that -- \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: I was just going to -- I -- I was just going & 15 about the reduction in the assessment. Yeah. \\
\hline 16 to say -- & 16 MR. KLINE: Yeah. Will he be getting us something from \\
\hline 17 HEARING OFFICER HANNA & 17 the assessor that will explain why they reduced it? \\
\hline 18 MR. CHEN: -- the bottom line is that you are trying to & 18 MR. CHEN: I haven't looked at -- it's been a while \\
\hline 19 deal with a situation where there's an attenuative effect of & 19 since I've looked at it, but my recollection, there's a \\
\hline 20 two nonresidential uses sandwiching the residential use; & 20 letter and there is then a -- a reduction notice or -- and \\
\hline 21 that right? & 21 an -- and an assessment. I believe that's what he has. \\
\hline 22 MR. MCPHERSON: That's correct. That -- I mean, that & 22 MR. KLINE: Okay. Okay. If I recall correctly, you -- \\
\hline 23 was the problemtrying to find comparable data in the after & 23 you came in to join us for all of our fun this morning; \\
\hline 24 valuation. & 24 correct? \\
\hline 25 MR. CHEN: I have no further questions. & 25 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. \\
\hline 158 & 160 \\
\hline 1 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mr. Kline. & 1 MR. KLINE: Okay. So you heard Mr. Intriago talk about \\
\hline 2 MR. KLINE: Mr. McPherson, you've obviously visited the & 2 what the three-lot residential single-family detached \\
\hline 3 site? & 3 dwelling unit layout would look like? \\
\hline 4 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes & 4 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. \\
\hline 5 MR. KLINE: Yeah. Do you do residential appraiser & 5 MR. KLINE: Okay. And it looks kind of like that \\
\hline 6 or appraisals for acquisition situations? & 6 Baileys Lane example what you had here. So you agree that \\
\hline \(7 \quad\) MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. I recently finished two. One was - & 7 those lots would end up being developed with pipestems to \\
\hline 8 - both was for the -- & 8 Needwood Road and have a driveway running along the east \\
\hline 9 MR. KLINE: Just -- yes or & 9 side of the property? \\
\hline 10 MR. MCPHERSON: Oh, yes & 10 MR. MCPHERSON: No. I -- I can't say that. I -- I -- I \\
\hline 11 MR. KLINE: Okay. [inaudible]. Well, what -- what -- & 11 don't know what the -- is it possible but would it actually \\
\hline 12 what is your feel for what's sort of average price range of & 12 be approved? I don't know. That's speculation on my part. \\
\hline 13 the residences in this neighborhood? & 13 MR. KLINE: Right. Right. So let me put it this -- this \\
\hline 14 MR. MCPHERSON: The -- let me look up -- I think the & 14 way then, so if it was developed that way like in accordance \\
\hline 15 survey -- just a second, let me get that. I think -- if you & 15 to the Baileys Lane example, you would end up having a \\
\hline 16 turn to Page 29, the -- the median price is -- is provided & 16 driveway adjacent to the Corey property just as is proposed \\
\hline 17 of the comparable properties, \(\$ 495,000\). In the prior 6 & 17 on this plan; correct? \\
\hline 18 months, it was \(\$ 535,000\) and then \(\$ 465,000\). & 18 MR. MCPHERSON: No. It -- it's different. The -- that \\
\hline 19 MR. KLINE: What -- what I'm-- what I'm leading up to & 19 would be a residential -- if Baileys Lane was residential \\
\hline 20 is a -- is a question about the information that was relayed & 20 This is more commercial in nature and the intensity of use \\
\hline 21 to you by Mr. Abebe -- I'm sorry, about -- fromMr. Wang's & 21 of the lane is -- is -- is totally different, because on the \\
\hline 22 observations via Mr. Abebe. Do you feel this is a million- & 22 Baileys Lane, you have 1 house, 2 houses or -- or 3, \\
\hline 23 dollar neighborhood? & 23 whatever it is, but here you're going to have 195 kids in \\
\hline 24 MR. MCPHERSON: & 24 the morning and evening and then you're going to have 32 \\
\hline 25 MR. KLINE: Okay. Is there any -- so there's no & 25 employees. So it's -- it's -- it's much different. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

            161
    MR. KLINE: And in terms of intensity of --
MR. MCPHERSON: Yes.
MR. KLINE: -- frequency -- I understand. There would
be no requirement, though, would there, to basically have to
have a fence to screen it?
MR. MCPHERSON: It would be like any other residential
subdivision, neighbors sometimes put themup, neighbors
sometimes don't put themup.
MR. KLINE: Well --
MR. MCPHERSON: It would be their -- it would be their
determination.
MR. KLINE: -- or landscaping?
MR. MCPHERSON: No, because it wouldn't be -- it would
be residential. It would be a -- a use that conforms to the
neighborhood. So you wouldn't need that buffer and you
wouldn't need that landscaping. There would be a use that
fits right in.
MR. KLINE: Yeah. But -- but a lot of it's still they
could plant trees so we can't see our neighbor's houses;
correct?
MR. MCPHERSON: Some do.
MR. KLINE: Last thing, and I -- I know -- I know you
corrected yourself but I'd like you to say it again, the FAR
-- or question I asked Mr. Intriago, coverage of the --
basically, the subject property for the conditional use is
how much?
MR. MCPHERSON: I think it's .101, but I'll verify
that.
MR. KLINE: Okay. That's fine. That's --
MR. MCPHERSON: Okay.
MR. KLINE: -- I just want to make sure -- not the 10.1
that accidentally --
MR. MCPHERSON: Right. [inaudible]
MR. KLINE: Thank you. No -- no further questions.
MR. CHEN: Just a follow-up. That driveway that Mr.
Kline was talking about, for the conditional use, that's a
20-foot wide concrete curb to curb driveway; inn't that
correct?
MR. MCPHERSON: Right. It's commercial grade. Correct.
MR. CHEN: Okay. It's not a residential driveway with
three pipestem lots?
MR. MCPHERSON: No. That's correct.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You were finished. Your cro- -

- your cross-exam?
MR. KLINE: Yeah. I was finished and I have no further
--
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. KLINE: --- questions.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: Yeah. I think I was just saying it won't be
20 feet wide either -- or no -- no requirement for 20 feet?
MR. MCPHERSON: Yeah. It would be residential.
MR. CHEN: Yeah. Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Anything else? All right. You
may be excused. Thank you.
MR. MCPHERSON: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mr. Kline, is it time -- oh,
okay.
MR. KLINE: If you want to use that [inaudible], go
ahead and use it. Be careful.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: When you get settled, please
raise your right hand. Do you solemnly affirmunder
penalties of perjury that the statements you are about to
make are the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
truth?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I do.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: All right. Go ahead, Mr.
Kline.
MR. KLINE: Ms. Vandermause, would you please state
your name and spell your name and give us your business
address?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. It's Elizabeth Vandermause, V-
a-n-d-e-r-m-a-u-s-e, address is 2409 Hannon, H-a-n-n-o-n,
Court, Ellicott City, 21042.
MR. KLINE: And you are an employee of what
1 6 4
corporation?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Merit Real Estate Analysis.
MR. KLINE: And your profession is what?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Commercial real estate appraiser and
consultant.
MR. KLINE: Have you ever qualified --
MR. CHEN: I'm sorry; I didn't hear that last part.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Real estate appraiser and consultant.
MR. CHEN: And consultant. Thank you.
10 MR. KLINE: Have you ever qualified as an expert in
1 appraisal work and real estate consulting work before a
similar board or a court of law?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Could you just give a couple examples?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, department -- department of
1 6 justice for Metro and Ramada cases and for -- well, I have
17 it down here.
18 MR. KLINE: Well, it's okay. Just --
1 9 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, U.S. [inaudible] Court, Maryland
2 0 State Court and I did an arbitration case in the State of
21 Maryland.
22 MR. KLINE: Okay. Ms. Robeson, the résumé ofMs.
2 3 Vandermause is in the record. I'd like to offer her as an
24 expert in appraisal and real estate consulting.
25 MR. CHEN: I have no problems with accepting this

```
```

witness as a real estate appraiser.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'll -- I'll accept her as a
real estate appraiser. Okay.
MR. KLINE: Ms. Vandermause, you were asked to prepare
a -- a report on this -- on -- related to this matter that's
been put in the record as Exhibit 163A; am I correct?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Would you please describe your --
your [inaudible] -- basically, your methodology of analysis
and your findings and your conclusions?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. Well, we were asked -- we
looked at the intended use of the appraisal and the intended
users and we had to answer the question will development of
the property cause change to the neighborhood or undue harm?
So we did some res- --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN:Well, wait, change to the
neighborhood and undue harm?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, undue harm too.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN:Well, I thought your testimony
is whether it -- because you're --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: We focused on --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- focused on real estate
values; correct?
MS. VANDERMAUSE:Yeah. Maybe I -- no. So it's -- does
it change the neighborhood? We had to see how it changed.

```
Does it impact the property next door, the one that was the
subject property that we were focusing on?
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: All right.
    MR. KLINE: All right. May I -- I've still got -- well,
what I asked her to do was answer the zoning ordinance
requirements, undue -- adverse undue effect based on real --
economic value.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Based on economic value. So
your --
    MR. KLINE: Economic val- -- well --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- your questions are going to
relate to the economic value of the properties?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah.
    MR. KLINE: -- well -- well, my -- my questions are
actually related to her critique of the appraisal that was
given to you that covered that subject.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
    MR. KLINE: All right.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: All right. Go ahead.
    MR. KLINE: Okay.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I just needed to clarify that.
    MR. KLINE: Yeah. No. I understand.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: I'm sorry, but --
    MR. KLINE: I mean, we -- we -- we're trying to keep it
within the zoning ordinance parameters.
    MR. KLINE: Sorry, Ms. Vandermause.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's okay.
    MR. KLINE: [inaudible]
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, we -- we broke our analysis
into two parts and we -- we put a little bit more focus on
the neighborhood and surrounding development, because
location makes a big difference when valuing real estate and
then we also did a partial review of the McPherson report.
    And so we have a handout. So they are pages from our
report so you don't have to flip through our entire report
and that'll highlight the points that we're trying to make.
    MR. CHEN: Should -- should we mark this as 163- --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I think we're higher than
that.
    MR. CHEN: Well, I -- well, I apologize, I was --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I -- Mr. Chen --
    MR. CHEN: -- I was going by --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- I'm go- -- I'm just going
to add it to the end; okay?
    MR. CHEN: Okay. Okay.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I understand your logical
mind, but that's for many reasons.
    MR. KLINE: Right, but I did want to stress not a new
exhibit, just excerpts on the report. Could we -- this be
ade an exhibit if you need to do so?
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. I need to know
[inaudible] the number we're on.
    MR. KLINE: We're up to about 191 I think. This would
be 192, I believe.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. So 192 will be -- how do
you -- excerpts of Merit appraisal review report.
    MR. KLINE: Excellent. All right. Go ahead, Mr. -- do
you have any -- I assume you have no objection?
    MR. CHEN: No. Not with an excerpt.
        MR. KLINE: It's all in --
        MR. CHEN: Yeah. I'm sure it is.
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead, Ms. Van- --
        MS. VANDERMAUSE: So the -- just real quickly, the --
the quick overview of the neighborhoods. The subject
property is not in a planned subdivision. It's a location
that's prime for develop- -- redevelopment. Buyers and
sellers coming to the market can already see the
neighborhood redevelopment.
    It's a location that's going to experience more than
it's already started and you can look at that infill is
going to take place. So if you go to Page 23 of the handout
and you can see that we highlighted this little pocket of
lots that have -- that don't represent a -- it's a prime
infill location for redevelopment.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline 1 & The houses in this -- the average age of these houses \\
2 & are almost 60 years old and that includes averaging the new \\
3 & house on 7500 Needwood into that and the -- and the subject \\
4 & property. So buyers and sellers who come to this \\
5 & neighborhood are going to look and see there are going to be \\
6 & changes in this neighborhood. \\
7 & And we also made a point that if you look at the \\
8 & assessment records, the land value is outpacing the value of \\
9 & the improvements and that's another indication that \\
10 & redevelopment is going to be taking place. So the changes in \\
11 the neighborhood and what buyers and sellers will see is \\
12 already there. \\
\(13 \quad\) They're going to come and say if I buy this house -- \\
14 & the subject property house, it's not going to stay the same. \\
15 Something's going to be built on the property next to it. \\
16 & And we looked at -- and the other point I want to make is \\
17 that the -- all the elements are in place. It's -- it's near \\
18 & the Metro, it's near bus lines, it's near the Intercounty \\
19 & Connector. \\
\(20 \quad\) There's no doubt -- and it -- it takes over time -- \\
21 & and, you know, the State of Maryland has supported smart \\
22 growth and they want infill where there's infrastructure \\
23 taking place. That's why these sites are -- are prime and I \\
24 understand the county like -- accepts that philosophy that \\
25 infill is important.
\end{tabular}

So what are -- if you -- you -- we've brought up the
fact -- if you go to Page 24 of the handout, that's an example. That was the house we were talking about that sits in front of the subject property. It's an old house. It's not going to stay very long. And if you go to -- and you can see the changes already taking place.

If you go to Page \(26-\) - and this is what you should expect in terms of permitted uses. Well, just one of the permitted uses is a new home and that's significantly larger 10 than the houses in the neighborhood already. So it's going 11 to -- it's going to bring change. Another permitted use --

You know -- and we did talk about, you know -- when we 13 talked about how the subject -- or the Primrose site could 14 be developed, it is very possible that three houses of this 15 mass in size could be constructed on the property and it 16 will change the setting for the subject. It'll -- they won't 17 have the secluded setting that they have now, because the lot next door is built -- is not built on and there's no stopping change, it's going to happen.
20 And so permitted uses would possibly -- it's -- it's 21 conceivable that three houses could be stacked on that long narrow lot. And in addition, a -- a church -- another church is a permitted use, which will be another -- they could be 4 sandwiched and there's no -- you know, it's permitted. If another church wanted to buy the property, that
would change the setting for the subject. So that's the point. The market would know that. So when it comes to point. The market would know that. So when it com
impacting the value of the subject, it's already there.
It doesn't matter if it's a -- if it -- you know, as long as the permitted -- you know, the su- -- the daycare center's a conditional use; it's not a denied use and it's not out of the question somebody would consider that a not out of the question somebody would consider that a
conditional use might happen. So they'll -- they'll take it and we call it baked into the cake. So impact on property 10 values in the area is already --
11 MR. CHEN: What's the phrase?
MR. KLINE: Could you rephra- -- yeah. You better rephrase that for us.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: What, baked into the cake? MR. CHEN: Well, I -- just say it slowly.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, baked into the cake.
MR. CHEN: Baked into the cake?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: You know how it's already there? It's 9 -- you know, buyers coming to that market --

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But what -- what -- what's baked into the cake?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: The changes, how -- if you're coming to that neighborhood and you're a buyer, you're going to see this area's changing, it's not going to stay the same. That lot that's next to their house is going to be developed.

There's going to be -- they're going to lose their private setting.

They're going to be much bigger houses, because we've already seen what land values are worth when they see that house at 7500 Needwood Road. That's a large two-story house and it's 57--- is it 5,400 square feet? It's significantly larger than any house, it is larger than any house in the neighborhood and three houses, if you put three houses of similar size on that Primrose site, that's going to end up 0 being the highest density of development out of a possible 11 church or a daycare center. So if changing the character of 12 the subject setting and losing their privacy and having more density, that's going to happen whether it's a daycare or a church or three houses.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, one of my criteria is it doesn't change the character. But you're saying it will.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: How -- the market -- if the market says I want to buy the subject property and I want it to be open space forever, it's not going to be. They're not going to buy it under that condition. So whatever the buyers look at and say if the property next door was built on, I don't want to buy it, or I don't care. Those are the -- it can go anywhere from and McPherson's survey said exactly that. Zero -- more people said zero than any other number by building on the site next door. Now they happen to --
would change the setting for the subject. So thes to
11
12
13
18
19
2
2
2
2
25

\section*{PL}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 173 & 175 \\
\hline 1 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait. Wait. More people what? & 1 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Where right next door? This \\
\hline 2 MS. VANDERMAUSE: In the responses that in the & 2 property? \\
\hline 3 McPherson's survey. & 3 MS. VANDERMAUSE: The Primrose site. There's already \\
\hline 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah. & 4 there's somebody interested in buying it and it's already an \\
\hline 5 MS. VANDERMAUSE: -- the agents. & 5 indication of change coming. That's why we're here. It's \\
\hline 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes & 6 coming. So you can't stop the change. You know, you look at \\
\hline 7 MS. VANDERMAUSE: More agents -- more agents responded & 7 the permitted uses and a church could come in there and buy \\
\hline 8 zero, no impact all the way up to 30 percent and the & 8 it and it's permitted us \\
\hline 9 responses that he got which are on page 42 of the handout. & 9 Residential development can come in and we've seen the \\
\hline 10 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So, what you're saying is the & 10 newest -- they've almost broke the million-dollar barrier in \\
\hline 11 neighborhood's going to change. And this is -- this & 11 that neighborhood. So they're bring -- coming in with \\
\hline 12 conditional use is consistent with the change that's going & 12 bigger, more expensive homes, which helps the neighborhood \\
\hline 13 to happen. Is that what you're saying? & 13 that won't impact -- negatively impact the subject property. \\
\hline 14 MS. VANDERMAUSE: It's one of the things that the & 14 It should help the subject property and a daycare of all the \\
\hline 15 market would take into consideration. It's not a denied use; & 15 -- between -- if a church is developed next door in the site \\
\hline 16 a church could happen in the same -- it would -- buyer & 16 similar to the density to the church that's already there in \\
\hline 17 would look at it the same way. Is that site going to be & 17 the neighborhood, that's a little bit more intense use than \\
\hline 18 built on? Does that explain it or no? & 18 this proposed daycare center \\
\hline 19 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I get it & 19 And this daycare center leaves the back part of their \\
\hline 20 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. Yeah, so if we're talking about & 20 lot open, so they won't be like a -- if you did residential \\
\hline 21 the buyers think they're going to buy the house -- th & 21 house, there'd be a house right there next to their house, \\
\hline 22 subject property and it's going to stay -- the house lo & 22 but a daycare leaves the forest conservation area, and open \\
\hline 23 next door is going to stay the same, that's not going to & 23 parking lot. So the \\
\hline 24 happen. & 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But that -- I don't think my \\
\hline 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So you're saying that no & 25 criteria is that change is going to happen. That's where I'm \\
\hline 174 & 176 \\
\hline 1 matter what Mr. Kosary does -- & 1 getting lost. \\
\hline 2 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay, I get it. & 2 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. \\
\hline 3 MR. KLINE: Ms. Vandermause, actually, I'd like you to & 3 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Where is that criteria in the \\
\hline 4 answer the question that didn't get asked because I know you & 4 zoning ordinance for me to determine based on -- \\
\hline 5 have an opinion about that. Sitting there, what's going to & 5 MS. VANDERMAUSE: You're saying if the site is \\
\hline 6 happen around them? & 6 developed next door, that it's going to impact -- \\
\hline 7 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Sitting? & 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Which -- the subject site. \\
\hline 8 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: If you're the co-owner, what & 8 MS. VANDERMAUSE: If the Kosary property, yeah -- \\
\hline 9 is going to happen from a market standpoint only surrounding & 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: If the subject site is \\
\hline 10 the Kosary property. AmI saying Kosary right? & 10 developed. \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: Yes, but I'mobjecting to the question. If & 11 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay, so if the property next door \\
\hline 12 you're going to ask the question, you ought to ask where is & 12 you were talking about being sandwiched between two special \\
\hline 13 it going to happen? I mean this is -- you have one lot here & 13 purpose uses, okay. \\
\hline 14 that's being the subject of a conditional use. If there's & 14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay? \\
\hline 15 going to be change in this neighborhood, I think that is & 15 MS. VANDERMAUSE: So, you have a Kosary house has a \\
\hline 16 your question or Mr. Kline's question, where? You know, & 16 nice open lot next to them \\
\hline 17 that's the question where is that going to happen? & 17 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You're saying that the \\
\hline 18 MS. VANDERMAUSE: In the neighborhood & 18 Kosary's are not going to be looking at what exists on the \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: Yeah. & 19 property now in the future. \\
\hline 20 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well it gradually that area, that & 20 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct. It's not going to stay \\
\hline 21 highlighted area that we said, is going to start -- the & 21 vacant. \\
\hline 22 houses are going to be torn down and start being rebuilt & 22 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But we don't know what it's \\
\hline 23 But the house -- the and here's a prime example right next & 23 going to be developed with. It could be developed with this; \\
\hline 24 door to him. There's already somebody who wants to come and & 24 it could be developed with three single family detached \\
\hline 25 build on it. That's an indication -- & 25 homes. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|lc|}
\hline 1 & MS. VANDERMAUSE: And that's the point. When the market \\
2 & comes in to say, how much would I be willing to buy the \\
3 & Kosary house for? That's why I said there's -- the market's \\
4 & smart enough to know that vacant lot is not going to stay \\
5 & vacant forever. Here's an example -- \\
6 & HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So, you're -- all you're \\
7 & saying then is that Mr. Kosary doesn't have a right to \\
8 & expect that there won't be any change. That's what you're \\
9 & saying. \\
10 & MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right. \\
11 & HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay, I get that. \\
12 & MS. VANDERMAUSE: It's like, you know, we had \\
13 & somebody's family bought a condo with an ocean view ten \\
14 & years ago knowing they would -- that ocean view was not \\
15 & going to stay. They got to enjoy it for 10 years and there \\
16 & were going to be high rise zoning -- \\
17 & HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But the question I have to \\
18 & answer is is this use going to reduce his property value? \\
19 & That's my question. \\
20 & MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. \\
21 & HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I mean yeah, the neighborhood \\
22 & could change any way from Sunday. Is it going to reduce his \\
23 & property value? Am I missing something? \\
24 & MR. KLINE: Well, no but at some point, the way you \\
25 & phrase the question, maybe you need Mr. Chen and I because I \\
\hline & \\
1 & think you phrase it different than the way I thought it was. \\
2 & IfI will -- if you'll entertain me for a second. If there \\
3 & are -- if there's basically some inherent defect -- when the \\
4 & County Council decided we would allow child day care centers \\
5 & to go to residential neighborhoods, there may be some \\
6 & economic effect. I think the question is would this use \\
7 & create a more undue effect than what it would have \\
8 & automatically have happened? \\
9 & HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I see. \\
10 & MR. KLINE: I'mand then I may be wrong with my -- \\
11 & HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, I'm going to let her \\
12 & testify and mull it over and you'll have cross. I do \\
13 & understand what you're saying and I'll let you cross and go \\
14 & ahead. \\
15 & MS. VANDERMAUSE: This might make it a little bit \\
16 & clearer. Ifthe Kosary house was in a plain -- \\
17 & HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I think I get it. \\
22 & Mubdivision and everything was already set around it, then \\
23 & most buyers would come into the market and say, oh I'm \\
24 & protected; I'm buying a house in a subdivision and the area \\
25 & is not going to change so they would -- they would be fine \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

MS. VANDERMAUSE: And that's the point. When the market comes in to say, how much would I be willing to buy the
Kosary house for? That's why I said there's -- the market's
smart enough to know that vacant lot is not going to stay
vacant forever. Here's an example --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So, you're -- all you're
saying then is that Mr. Kosary doesn't have a right to
expect that there won't be any change. That's what you're
aying.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay, I get that.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: It's like, you know, we had
somebody's family bought a condo with an ocean view ten
going to stay. They got to enjoy it for 10 years and there
were going to be high rise zoning --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But the question I have to
answer is is this use going to reduce his property value?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I mean yeah, the neighborhood
could change any way from Sunday. Is it going to reduce his

MR. KLINE: Well, no but at some point, the way you phrase the question, maybe you need Mr. Chen and I because I
think you phrase it different than the way I thought it was.
If I will -- if you'll entertain me for a second. If there
are -- if there's basically some inherent defect -- when the
County Council decided we would allow child day care centers
to go to residential neighborhoods, there may be some
economic effect. I think the question is would this use
create a more undue effect than what it would have
automatically have happened?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I see.
MR. KLINE: I'm and then I may be wrong with my --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, I'm going to let her
testify and mull it over and you'll have cross. I do
understand what you're saying and I'll let you cross and go
ahead.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: This might make it a little bit

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I think I get it.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay

I'm not going to stop you.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, if it was in a planned
mon
protected; I'm buying a house in a subdivision and the area
25 is not going to change so they would -- they would be fine
to know what's going to happen in the future
When they come into this neighborhood and see the
Kosary house and all this vacant land and old houses and
spree development already starting to take place, they're going to say I'm going to factor into what I'm willing to pay for the Kosary house that change is going to happen. And it doesn't matter if they know for sure, but they could step back and look in the neighborhood and it's already there.

There's already a church. There's already a new home
and one of the other permitted uses is another church so and
three new homes possibly. So any buyer comes in, that's when
I said it's already there. Change and impact on value -- if
there's going to be an impact on value, it's there because
they are not in a protected setting. They're in a pocket --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So you're saying unrelated to this particular plane --

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: There is a decrease in value
because of the potential for a conditional use or an intense use.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: There could be a change. It's not going to be the same so when a buyer comes in and says --

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So, have you -- have you estimated that decrease in value that he's already experiencing?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, it doesn't necessarily have to be a decrease.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, can you -- well can you tell me one way or the other?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay, Well, here you go. You say
okay, I'm moving to this area and I don't know what's going
to be built on the site and [inaudible] on the Primrose
site. But right at 7500 Needwood, there is a house that
almost made a million dollars and that's going to help the
value of the Kosary site. So there's change. The market's
will say, okay it could be million-dollar home; it's going
to be fine.
It could be a church. Then they have to weigh well a
church could impact the value and some people are not going
to want to live next to a church. Other people would say
it's okay and McPherson's survey actually is exactly the way
the market -- you would expect the market to respond. Some
people said no to the school; it's not going to change all
the way up to 30 percent. And you would -- when you go in
and say buyers will buy --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: All the way up to 30 percent -

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Thirty percent negative change. Okay?
And when you ask the agents who are familiar with market and
even the agent who sold the property. the 7500 , said zero to
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 181 & 183 \\
\hline negative five that the school would impact. So, the survey - & 1 property, the Kosary house, the highest density of \\
\hline you would expect to get a wide range and that's exactly & 2 development that will change if the setting there is single \\
\hline hat the market -- you're going to get buyers that are going & 3 family detached homes because they're bigger, taller; they \\
\hline to say no impact, some impact, you know, maybe higher than & 4 could be up to 30 feet high for a modern house. In that \\
\hline ercent that & 5 [inaudible] that we showed you the example of the new house. \\
\hline that's already going to & 6 The least impact, the least density of development fr \\
\hline something's going to change on that site. They happen to say & 7 these three examples is a daycare and it leaves that side \\
\hline more often than & 8 yard open. So the market would take that into consideratio \\
\hline this table that we set out on page in the handout. & 9 When the agents reported no impact to 30 , that's a really \\
\hline 10 HEARING OFFICER HANNA & 10 good indication, but more people were willing to say no \\
\hline 1 with that because what if you have a daycare center that was & 11 impact than any other number. \\
\hline 12 -- it wouldn't happen but say you had a daycare center that & 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay, I understand what you're \\
\hline 3 was a quarter of this size. Would his property be devalued & 13 saying. \\
\hline much? & 14 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. Sorry. Now, when we get to his \\
\hline 5 MS. VANDERMAUSE: You can't -- but you know is -- & 15 paired sales analysis, Mr. McPherson said there was a 10 \\
\hline 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But you're saying because it & 16 percent overall decrease in value when he did his analysis. \\
\hline 7 unknown, that devaluation has already occurred & 17 And that's an important thing to point out. If we go to the \\
\hline 18 MS. VANDERMAUSE: No, I'm not saying it's devalued. The & 18 handout on page 37, here's another way he was trying to \\
\hline 9 market is saying when they come into the neighborhood, th & 19 support decrease in value by being sandwiched between two \\
\hline 20 neighborhood is already experienced change and any number of & 20 uses. So, 37 shows where the Citgo station is and then you \\
\hline . And the market is smart enough to know & 21 go from that -- 15545 New Hampshire is the house that's \\
\hline 22 that if I'm going to buy that house, that lot next door & 22 sandwiched between the veterinary clinic and the gas \\
\hline 3 not going to stay vacant. & 23 station. Then you have a side yard, you see the clinic, the \\
\hline 4 And if they go down looking to see permitted uses are & 24 Cloverleaf Animal Clinic \\
\hline 25 going to change the private setting that they have, a church & 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, which page are you on? \\
\hline 182 & 184 \\
\hline nother church could change it and they have to weigh & 1 MR. KLINE: Thirty-seven \\
\hline ther they're going to be willing to buy the Kosary house & 2 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Thirty-seven. See the aerial photo? \\
\hline knowing what the future could bring. That's alread & 3 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline there. & 4 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. So here's what I'm going to get \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But say they do know what the & 5 to on this one so we can follow through. He said 12.1 \\
\hline ure brings. Say it brings & 6 percent difference in price from somebody willing to buy a \\
\hline VANDERMAUSE: Okay & 7 house not -- that was a negative impact, the one that was \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What does this do to the & 8 sandwiched between a gas station and a veterinary clinic. \\
\hline property? & 9 That's what the market reflected that there was a 12 percent \\
\hline S. VANDERMAUSE: McPherson -- Mr. McPherson's had his & 10 decrease. And when he took this example of the gas station, \\
\hline 11 survey which said that if you go to page -- the table that & 11 the house, the animal clinic and then the other house, flip \\
\hline 12 we had here, this one on page 42 in the handout. You can see & 12 the page and here's the -- here's the problem with this \\
\hline 33 these were the respondents. These were the responses. They & 13 analysis. \\
\hline 14 said zero, zero, zero and every agent gave two responses of & 14 First of all, the top picture on page 38 you can see \\
\hline 15 range. And this next chart after that table said this is th & 15 where the animal clinic is and the house that sandwiched at \\
\hline 6 number of responses & 16 sale number one, and on the bottom page, it shows sale \\
\hline 17 Three people were willing or said zero. Two people said & 17 number one and it shows the house located next to the gas \\
\hline 8 negative five percent, one person said negative eight, & 18 station. The house located next to the gas station is \\
\hline 9 another person said negative 10 , another person said & 19 exposed all the way open up to the operation and the \\
\hline 20 negative 12 , one person said one and a half percent, minus & 20 lighting and the noise at the gas station. There's no \\
\hline 21 15; one person said 20 and one person said 30 . More people & 21 buffer. And that house sold for less than when you flip the \\
\hline 22 said zero to five percent would change the value of the & 22 page and you go to the house that -- there's the veterinary \\
\hline 23 Kosary property. Okay? & 23 clinic on the right. \\
\hline 24 So when you look at & 24 And then there's that other house all the way to the \\
\hline 25 you're looking at density of development as an impact on the & 25 leff. And that house that sits on the leff, that sold for \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 185 & 187 \\
\hline 1 more that was only next to the veterinary clinic, not & 1 thing. [inaudible] we started to do the before and after, \\
\hline 2 sandwiched between. But he had not made any adjustment that & 2 not only did you have to find sales of houses outside of a \\
\hline 3 the house that was at the end there had a side yard buffer; & 3 planned subdivision that were next to a special-purpose use. \\
\hline 4 it had a street between them and had another sid & \\
\hline 5 buffer. And if he had made adjustments for those buffers & 5 You had to find sales in the after situation sandwiched \\
\hline 6 that would have changed the percentage difference that the & 6 between two special purpose uses that have sold. You can't \\
\hline 7 house that was sandwiched would have been le & 7 just find the situation where things are next -- the \\
\hline 8 So it would have be & 8 properties have to sell. They didn't exist and that was \\
\hline 9 you have to just step back and say okay, how can you compa & 9 confirmed by our initial research of the markets. They \\
\hline 10 a 12 percent decrease in price of a gas station to a daycare & 10 weren't there. Mr. McPherson found the same thing. They \\
\hline 11 center? And that chart on page 40 runs through how a gas & 11 weren't there. That's a good way -- the before and after is \\
\hline 12 station does a much more intense use and it has adverse & 12 a good approach, but the data wasn't ther \\
\hline 13 effects compared to the Primrose Daycare C & 13 The paired sales analysis which he did \\
\hline 14 down. The chart says hours of operation, the gas station is & 14 station, only said 12 percent and it makes no sense to try \\
\hline 15 more intense use. The days of operation, the gas station is & 15 to compare a 10 percent when he notched it back down to 10 , \\
\hline 16 more intense use than a daycare center. The exterio & 16 it makes -- he's used a gas station which is much worse. So \\
\hline 17 lighting it could be similar because they're going to have & 17 we're saying if a gas station went next to the subject \\
\hline 18 commercial lighting, traffic noise gas station's goin & 18 property, the impact would be 12 percent. How can you \\
\hline 19 have more; the hours of operation, the constant traffic & 19 compare a daycare to a gas station? It's got to be less. \\
\hline 20 that's -- the gas station's wor & 20 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So you're saying simply by \\
\hline 21 The noise between a daycare center like the playground & 21 virtue of the fact that he has a redevelopment -- Mr. Kosary \\
\hline 22 and the repair bays and the noise in the gas station is & 22 has a redevelopable lot next to him, his property is \\
\hline 23 going to be worse. There's fumes froma gas station. There's & 23 deval \\
\hline 24 hazardous conditions in the gas station and the approximate 25 distances of different things like the dumpsters and the & 24 MS. VANDERMAUSE: No, it's going to change and even Mr. 25 McPherson said and we have that house at 7500 Needwood, \\
\hline 186 & 188 \\
\hline 1 driveway. The gas station wins every time; it's worse. So & 1 people are going to come and say they almost broke the \\
\hline 2 when you took his adjustment and use this 12 percent as one & 2 million dollar barrier. So that could be a case for th \\
\hline 3 of his ranges and then took the survey that he did from the & 3 development on the house property next door and they will \\
\hline 4 agents and just went right in the middle, not taking in & 4 have three houses stacked up. One house will be right there, \\
\hline 5 consideration -- it wasn't a bell-shaped curve. Bell shap & 5 no fencing, driveway opposite his house. \\
\hline 6 curve says preponderance of responses are usually at the top & 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, I just, you know, I'm \\
\hline 7 of the curve and he said more people are willing to say & 7 having a little problem. I'm going to let Mr. Chen take \\
\hline 8 this. & 8 over. I'm having a little problem, you know. your example \\
\hline 9 Well in this case more people are willing to say zero & 9 that the gas station isn't the same as the daycare. I'm \\
\hline 10 Take the gas station. He said it was 12 . So what you're & 10 having a little problem getting to three residential homes \\
\hline 11 saying is if there was a gas station next to the subject & 11 are the same as a 200-person daycare. \\
\hline 12 property on the Primrose site, the market says that for two & 12 MS. VANDERMAUSE: It goes right back to the survey, two \\
\hline 13 percent difference from what the McPherson adjusts for, & 13 things. Some people said no change with the data. \\
\hline 14 they'd be willing to settle for a gas station next door that & 14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I see it. \\
\hline 15 sits with no buffer right up against the property line to & 15 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay, so that's well, that's within \\
\hline 16 Kosary house with all the activity. & 16 the range of whether the market is going to react. \\
\hline 17 That's exactly what that analysis says. The market only & 17 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 18 applied a 12 percent discount. And that makes no sense. You & 18 MS. VANDERMAUSE: And the other thing why I kept \\
\hline 19 can't support a negative adjustment with this example. It & 19 bringing up the neighborhood and they say well it's already \\
\hline 20 was only 12 . So it's like it's got to be less for a daycare & 20 baked into the cake; they can't keep the setting. The market \\
\hline 21 center. & 21 is going to recognize the changes coming to the area. Some \\
\hline 22 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So, where are your & 22 people may love to be next to a million-dollar house. \\
\hline 23 comparables? & 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I know, I understand that. \\
\hline 24 MS. VANDERMAUSE: I didn't need them bec & 24 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. \\
\hline 25 McPherson said that when we went -- we all both did the same & 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I do get that. I'm done with \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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my questions. Mr. Chen?
MR. CHEN: Let me just go to page 41 that from Mr.
McPherson's report that you use. Do you got -- do you have
it ma'am?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: His --
MR. CHEN: It's in your handout.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, my handout. That's from our --
MR. CHEN: It's 41 in the McPherson report. It's part
of your handout. You got page 41?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: This is from our report in the
handout.
MR. CHEN: Page 41 is from your report?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah. The results of McPherson's
survey or real estate agents --
MR. CHEN: Oh, okay. Let's look at it --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, which exhibit are we on?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: It's in the handout.
MR. CHEN: We're on exhibit 192, page 41.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: Now, you have here a list of six real estate
agents, right?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct.
MR. CHEN: Let's go to the top of, Klaus Breitsameter.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: And I apologize to the gentleman. He says
there's no impact, right?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's what he reported.
MR.CHEN: Okay, and Mr. McPherson recognized that; he
addressed it. Okay. The next one down, Stephen Bradley, what
is his response?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: He said negative five percent for
homes under \$550, negative eight for homes over \$550.
MR. CHEN: So that gentleman, that real estate agent
says it is an adverse impact.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Then you drop down to Theresa Helfman-
Taylor.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: And what was her position?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: She said it was borderline
unmarketable from- \$75,000 to minus \$100,000.
MR. CHEN: So she's negative also?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN:Then below that you have Michael Matese with
Long and Foster. What is -- where is he?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: He's minus }20\mathrm{ to minus }30\mathrm{ and he said
over --
MR. CHEN: Yeah, and the market 70, 75 percent
[inaudible]
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
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1 MR. CHEN: So, that's a negative also, right? The next
one down is Robert Kerxton. Do you see that?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct.
MR. CHEN: And what's his position?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Minus 10 to minus 15.
MR.CHEN: Okay, and then the final one is Audrey Romano
who apparently is the agent on the 7500 lot, right?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: And what was her position?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: She said zero to minus five.
MR. CHEN: To five. So I'mjust getting clarification
because you said in your testimony, ma'am, that only one of
these realtors who were surveyed said that there was an
14 adverse impact. Whereas I think it's just the opposite, that
15 --
16

22 on this blue one?
23 MR. CHEN: Yes.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: This is what they reported.
MR. CHEN: Yes.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right. And they reported three people
-- three responses when they both -- all gave a range, three
people said zero.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: And then you can see one person says
zero to five, five to eight, 10 to 15 to --
MR. CHEN: This is a chart?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What page is that chart on?
MR. KLINE: This is after page 42 and Ms. MS.
Vandermause, why do you have 12 -- apparently 12 people listed there?

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah, that's a question and
that's where I'm --
MR. KLINE: And only six people mentioned.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Let Mr. Chen finish his questions.

MR. CHEN: I'mjust trying to get some clarity, please.
8 So, the chart -- you made the chart? That's not Mr.
McPherson chart?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: It's his data.
MR. CHEN: What do you mean by that?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: It's the results of this survey.
MR. CHEN: And is that the same as what you're
4 reporting on page 41 ?
25 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 193 & 195 \\
\hline 1 MR. CHEN: Okay, I can't tell you if the chart's & 1 yards -- 200 feet -- \\
\hline 2 correct, but let's just go back to 41 & 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Are we talking about the \\
\hline 3 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay & 3 Candlewood? \\
\hline 4 MR. CHEN: As I understand your testimony, of the -- & 4 MR. KLINE: No. She said -- \\
\hline 5 MR. KLINE: Excuse me a second. Are we doing -- are we & 5 MS. VANDERMAUSE: No, no -- \\
\hline 6 doing cross-examination - & 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm sorry. I'm lost. \\
\hline 7 MR. CHEN: Yeah & 7 MR. KLINE: You're on page 32 of her repot \\
\hline 8 MR. KLINE: -- or are you asking for clarification? & 8 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay, I'm sorry. \\
\hline 9 MR. CHEN: Same -- the same thing. & 9 MS. VANDERMAUSE: I'm sorry too. \\
\hline 10 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, we're on cross. & 10 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Tell me what real document \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: Yeah. & 11 we're on. \\
\hline 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Did you -- wait, I thought I & 12 MS. VANDERMAUSE: All right. Well, we had finished the \\
\hline 13 asked you, did you have any more questions & 13 \\
\hline 14 MR. CHEN: Yeah. & 14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Are we in your report? \\
\hline 15 MR. KLINE: I would -- actually, I haven't asked any & 15 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes. \\
\hline 16 questions at all. She was actually just testifying. & 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Tell me what page? \\
\hline 17 MR. CHEN: But you were asked if you had any more & 17 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, in my report we talked about \\
\hline 18 questions and you said no & 18 the adverse conditions that McPherson had reported. \\
\hline 19 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Let's stop. Do you have & 19 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. And is that addressed in \\
\hline 20 questions? & 20 your report or is that what you want to testify to? \\
\hline 21 MR. KLINE: I only want to have & 21 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, I was going to testify in more \\
\hline 22 testimony & 22 detail. \\
\hline 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Are you finished & 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay, so \\
\hline 24 MR. KLINE: I don't believe -- I don't believe & 24 MS. VANDERMAUSE: He was talking -- \\
\hline 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, I cut her off. I said I & 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- in his report, where are \\
\hline 194 & 196 \\
\hline 1 didn't need to hear anymore when she started explaining and & 1 the adverse conditions? Is that the Citgo station? \\
\hline 2 I apologize if I was too dense to get it. Are you finished & 2 MS. VANDERMAUSE: We can go to my report. The \(30-\) - no, \\
\hline 3 saying what you wanted to say? & 3 the Citgo station is just an example of how -- \\
\hline 4 MR. KLINE: I think you were still working on th & 4 MR. KLINE: Give us -- give us a page. \\
\hline 5 comparison of the McPherson report. & 5 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, okay. Well, let's go to -- to my \\
\hline 6 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah. Well we were talking about & 6 report, we talked about detriment conditions description. \\
\hline 7 I went launched into the gas station. & 7 MR. KLINE: Page? \\
\hline 8 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. & 8 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Thirty-one, and then on page 32, it \\
\hline 9 MS. VANDERMAUSE: The comparison and then I talked & 9 talks about the driveway, the drop-off area, the lighting, \\
\hline 10 about the -- he did talk about detrimental conditions. He & 10 the dumpster. \\
\hline 11 had a section about that that we went over in his & 11 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I see. Okay, now I have the \\
\hline 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And where is that on 192? & 12 context. I understand. \\
\hline 13 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay, you've got to go to your & 13 MS. VANDERMAUSE: All right, so we're finished with the \\
\hline 14 handout which will make it -- let's see. Oh, we were talking & 14 gas station. The point I wanted to make here is that's a \\
\hline 15 about -- the detrimental conditions, I was just going to & 15 much harsher use so you should expect that if somebody would \\
\hline 16 summarize for you verbally because he talked about like the & 16 buy gas -- property next door to a gas station and pay 12 \\
\hline 17 location of the driveway. Well, he talked about where the & 17 percent less, because he applied, then it should be far \\
\hline 18 driveway was in relationship to the property line boundary & 18 less, the market would say a daycare center with the back of \\
\hline 19 and he was exaggerating the detrimental conditions a little & 19 the property still open, it's not going to be the same as a \\
\hline 20 bit because the driveway is up against a property line, but & 20 gas station. And when he picked his 10 percent, that's very \\
\hline 21 the actual -- there's a landscape buffer and then there's - & 21 close to the impact of the gas station. So the market would \\
\hline 22 the house is actually 85 feet from the driveway, not against & 22 save that's way too big of a deduction because a gas station \\
\hline 23 a property line. So we're talking about how the school & 23 is significantly different than a daycare center. So that's \\
\hline 24 driveway impacts the house. It's 85 feet away from the house & 24 how I ended that \\
\hline 25 and the drop-off area is like 70 yards. It's actually 70 & 25 MR. CHEN: Are we on your detrimental -- I don't see a \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline gas station on this list. I thought you were listing -- & 1 daycare being next to the Kosary house and 12 percent to be \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: No, no, that was Mr. McPherson's & 2 next to a gas station, then the market would say, oh well \\
\hline example. I just wanted to sum up where I left off. & 3 for two percent difference \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Well -- & 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I understand. \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: She's saying -- & 5 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay, so that's what the gas station \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: Before we got into detrimental & 6 was. So, it's got to be below 10. It just has to be. \\
\hline nditions. & 7 MR. CHEN: What's your number? \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, I don't know. Okay & 8 MS. VANDERMAUSE: I don't -- \\
\hline p. T & 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You can -- she can -- she's \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. & 10 testifying as to her opinion and it may not be what you want \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'll take a deep breath. & 11 but \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. & 12 MR. CHEN: No, I just want a -- \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Go back and tell us exactly -- & 13 MS. VANDERMAUSE: An appraiser doesn't do magic. You \\
\hline en you're talking about these discounts, give us the & 14 don't have to come up with a number; you reflect the market. \\
\hline kground of what you're talking about and where in your & 15 You see what the buyers and sellers do -- \\
\hline ort it is. If you want to add to something & 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, stop. \\
\hline ort, & 17 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay, let's -- let's -- before I & 18 MR. CHEN: I apologize. \\
\hline nch -- okay -- & 19 MS. VANDERMAUSE: I'm sorry. \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Or do you have anothe & 20 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Are we on cross- examination \\
\hline nt to & 21 or not. \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, I think we were going through & 22 MR. KLINE: I think it's still over here. \\
\hline se pictures of where the gas station was and we were & 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So, are there points and you \\
\hline ing through comparables & 24 can -- are there points you haven't covered? \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And you were saying -- and it & 25 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes, okay. So we finished with the \\
\hline 198 & 200 \\
\hline was your testimony I believe that this is more -- that this & 1 paired sales analysis and now we're talking about the \\
\hline is less intense than the gas station. & 2 detrimental conditions and the point we want - \\
\hline S. VANDERMAUSE: Correct & 3 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Now, I don't understand that. \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So if the gas station -- your & 4 What -- where is that in your report? \\
\hline stimony I believe is so, if the gas station is 12 percent, & 5 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay, in my report, it's on page 32. \\
\hline is discount should be less. & 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay, I do -- I did understand \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: For the daycare, correct. & 7 it. Okay, these are the detrimental conditions. \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: For the daycare, okay. & 8 MR. CHEN: And you're comparing them with the \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: And to add to that, we have that & 9 McPherson's which are on page 38 of the McPherson report, \\
\hline ble where we're talking about how -- how many ways a gas & 10 right? \\
\hline tion is different from a daycare center. & 11 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Or I can do -- \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. & 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mr. Chen, don't object. This \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: And it -- the gas station was much & 13 is her testimony. Are you -- or you can object, just don't \\
\hline ore intense and adverse effects than the daycare. So if & 14 jump in there. \\
\hline u're willing to say the market pays 12 percent less to be & 15 MR. CHEN: I apologize, but when she, you know, we've \\
\hline & 16 been covering -- jumping around but I -- \\
\hline usive use than being next to a daycare center that & 17 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah, but I just don't want \\
\hline erates part of the day, five days a week, no evenings, no & 18 her to be badgered even though I'm badgering her. \\
\hline eekends, no holidays and it's a school, the market's not & 19 MR. CHEN: I apologize if I seem to be badgering but \\
\hline ing to apply the same discount as they would as a gas & 20 the lady when she first hit on this subject, she referred to \\
\hline tion. & 21 the McPherson report and I was just giving her the page \\
\hline So it's got to be below 12. And then I took it one & 22 number. \\
\hline step further when he finally concluded that the & 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay, all right. \\
\hline rall adverse effect of the day care center is 10 percent, & 24 MS. VANDERMAUSE: No, that's fine. \\
\hline hen you say, oh, so if he said it's 10 percent for the & 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay, Ms. Rice. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

that's a little bit less of an exaggeration.
That's the case where it's going to be. It's not going
to be that bad, as bad as he described, and the same with
the parking lot and the lights are in proximity to the
subject patio and fountains. Well, he's next to the patio
and fountains, but the parking lot is 85 feet from the
house. And then the dumpster pad faces the subject but the
dumpster pad is 71 yards from the rear patio. And then he
said there's excess noise, light and infringement on owners'
peaceful enjoyment because of the garbage trucks coming up.
1 How often is that going to happen?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, we don't know because
that testimony hasn't given.
4 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Just and it's 71 yards. That's three-
quarters of a football field away from the house.
6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. I have an objection.
7 What?
MR. KLINE: Let's just get it done. I want cross-
examination, please.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No, we're on --
MR. KLINE: I know; I want cross-examination at the
appropriate time.
when you're talking about detrimental conditions, when you
read his report, he exaggerated and we added a little more
detail to what's really going on.
MR. CHEN: I want to --
MR. KLINE: Object to my questions, not her testimony.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What --
MR. CHEN: I apologize.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You know what? We're going to
take a five-minute break.
(Off the record at 3:01:15 p.m.)
(Back on the record at 3:14:52 p.m.)
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Is the court reporter ready?
Yes. I see he's nodding yes. For the person who asked for an
extra copy of the appraisal report, I had a copy made for you.

It should be coming. Please be respectful -- we are
short-staffed as you may have noticed. Please be respectful
of our staff. We cannot as I said we're down 50 percent of
our staff. If you want to see copies, I suggest that you
familiarize yourself in advance with exhibits that have been
submitted and if there's anything you want copies of, you
can make an appointment and come in and they'll get the
copies for you. Okay? We don't have the capability right now
24 to ad hoc fulfill these requests. Okay, we were -- we're
continuing with Ms. Vander -- is it moose or hoose?
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MS. VANDERMAUSE: It's Moss, like M-o-s-s.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay, Vandermause. So, you are
-- we are -- you have described to us the differences in
what the -- or you have gone -- have you finished going
through where you disagree with Mr. McPherson's report of
the adverse impacts?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: There's one more point I want to make.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay, let's go.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: And I think this exhibit is probably
something --
MR. KLINE: So, you're referring to Exhibit 148 on the board here?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Is that what that is?
MR. KLINE: That's number 148, yeah.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: 148 , right. Okay, so when we talk
about and we ran down the list, the driveway, the lighting,
the garbage truck, okay, no matter what is built --
MR. KLINE: Be specific when you're putting your finger
on stuff so that the record will reflect that, please.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: No matter what is built and I'm pointing to the Primrose School site --

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: On Exhibit 148, right? MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right. There's going to be
detrimental -- if you'd like to call them detrimental
conditions from any development. It's not exclusive to the daycare center.

Ms. ROBESON: Okay.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: And with the daycare center, some of
the advantages if you want to point out the things that are different like --

MR. CHEN: Objection, this was not in her report. This
whole area was not in her report. She attached -- the report was a critique of the McPherson report. There was nothing in her report about the advantages to having --

MS. VANDERMAUSE: I can phrase that differently, okay? HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Would you proffer what you would say? Would you proffer? Do you know what she's going to say?

MR. CHEN: I think it comes best from her, yes. HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What are you getting ready to say?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay, we're talking about detrimental conditions and that's going to change the Kosary's setting
for their house. And the daycare center, you could go to
page -- the very front page --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Just give me an idea of what point you want to make.

MR. KLINE: Elizabeth, Betty, stop. Let me try after
all, if I may. Okay. It just takes -- the question is going
```

to be a little involved setting up. Ms. Vandermause, I like
the Hearing Examiner's question about if this is only a one-
quarter size or a 50 -student daycare center, would the
impact be the same as if it was a 200 --
MR. CHEN: Objection. This is not a land planner at
all. This is the --
MR. KLINE: No --
MR. CHEN: This is way beyond the report.
MR. KLINE: Well, it seems to me I'm asking her in
terms of valuation does the intensity of the use which is
basically a standard as it appears in both of the appraisal
reports, does the intensification of use have an effect on
the reduction in the price?
MR. CHEN: That's not an accurate statement. What --
what was and while you were referred to before the break to
pages 32 of Ms. Vandermause's report and page --
MR. KLINE: Thirty-eight --
MR. CHEN: -- 38 of the first --
MR. KLINE: -- of the redevelopment.
MR. CHEN: Excuse me, excuse me, of the McPherson
report, the very first item, she tracked them. She listed
them on page 32 of her report. She left out because that's
my cross examination, she left out intensity of use. So when
she went and gave you supposedly the detrimental use impacts
that she was criticizing McPherson for, she left out the top

```
one which was intensity use. So, I'm objecting at this point
for any attempt by Mr. Kline to rehabilitate his client and
her report. She left it out.
    MR. KLINE: I didn't consider the question to be a
rehabilitation. I considered it to be basically a
clarification.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, I tell you what. This is
what I would like to do.
    MR. KLINE: Sure.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I would like to hear her
testimony -- I would like some response from Mr. McPherson
if he's able to make a response. Normally this -- you would
not have gone first, you tried to anticipate, you know, what
she was going to say. I'd like just a brief -- a brief
roundup if he can do that. You would normally have that
opportunity because I would be interested in what he would
like to say. So I'm going to let her continue to testify and
you can cross, but I would like to recall Mr. McPherson.
    MR. KLINE: That's fine.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay, let's go. What were you
going to say?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, we addressed on page 34 of our
report, which is not in the handout but we did have a
section on intensity of development. And it says the
proposed Primrose School has a floor area ratio of .101,
to be a little involved setting up. Ms. Vandermause, I like
1 13,000 square foot building with a 128,156 square foot land
calculates the FAR of the proposed Primrose School, not a
.101 but rather .124 and he explained that because he deducted the forest conservation area which was in error. He shouldn't have done it and that came up with the higher density of development by excluding that open space land. So the Primrose School is going to be only a .101 FAR when you include the forest conservation area and then that reduces the impact on the Primrose School development if you include -- if you include the forest conservation area, there's less of an impact than he stated. So when you're saying he overstated the detrimental conditions.
14 And the part of the forest conservation area in this 15 use with a daycare center provides a non-developable area 16 consistent with about half of the common property line between the subject and the Primrose School.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Where are you -- where are you?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Page 34.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Thirty-four?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Of my report.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Of your report.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: So that's where we address intensity of development.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: So, then on page 35, Mr. McPherson
talk about the Candlewood Elementary School, which is 11.78
acres site and he tries to compare the Candlewood Elementary
School to the Primrose site and that is a far less intense
use, but it's a -- it's a totally different animal. It's --
it's not a -- it's a . 06 FAR. So, he was trying to make a
case that's a less intense use. But the Primrose -- so the
Primrose School is .101 is slightly less than the FAR of the
Presbyterian Church that's east of the subject which is an
FAR of .108. And the new single-family detached dwelling at
700 Needwood Road is -- if you put three similarly sized
houses on the Primrose School site, you would have a much
larger density of development, intense development than what
they're proposing for the daycare center and those
developments --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait a minute, wait a minute. MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Five houses on this -MR. KLINE: Three houses.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Three houses.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Three houses, you would have
more intense -- is that what you're saying?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes, because they're going to be taller --

price range of the house was. I've had one agent said oh my
God, I'm going to use this in my sales meeting with my agents, because it shows the relationship.

So even though the seller wanted more for that house, he did a very brave thing by trying to break the milliondollar barrier but now he has and now the market -- that gains acceptance and it's going to encourage, you know, not scare other people off. So that's the point with that. So with a daycare they will have that open space. The church would be more intense use. It presents some other -- some of the similar things but it's going to be -- just look at the property that's already there, the church next door. So that was the point I wanted to make when I was going up to the exhibit to just to point out and we can do it with my hand out.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No, it's fine. I understand. MS. VANDERMAUSE: All right, so that's --
MR. KLINE: Are you finished?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, I want to just wrap up.
MR. KLINE: Yeah.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. So, like our final conclusions?
MR. KLINE: Yes.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. And the market survey that Mr. McPherson did provides the best support.

MR. CHEN: I apologize.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, I'm sorry. The market survey provides the best support.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, the market survey -MS. VANDERMAUSE: [inaudible] Mr. McPherson when he
interviewed those agents and got that wide range of
responses. And his range was what we talked about was like
no change at all was within range of the survey up to a
negative 30 percent. And that's an accurate reflection of
the market. You're going to see people who are going to --
like they're going to weigh these different things. Some
people are going to like the contemporary-style home. Some
aren't; some want to be in a protected --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What contemporary --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: The Kosary house is a contemporary
house. So, we're talking about the impact of value on that
house, right?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. So we're going to -- Mr.
McPherson said it was a minus 10 percent value deduction on
the Kosary house.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay, keep going. MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay, when the market comes in and we're trying to explain why he got such a wide range in the
survey, it's exactly what you would expect to get because
some people are going to like the contemporary house, some
```

aren't. Some want to be in a subdivision, some people like
the fact that it's not in a planned subdivision.
Some people are going to like the energy efficient
items that are in the subject property. There's going to be
-- people are going to like that area because it's close to
the metro, main highways and commercial development, but
then the Kosary house -- they're unable to buffer their
house from development on the surrounding parcels. They just
don't have enough and that's there no matter what.
So we already talked about what -- the possibilities of
permitted uses that go on the site that's going to impact
the value of the Kosary house, some people are going to like
it; some aren't.
So, the existing church parking lot, the wooded buffer
to the South is a wooded buffer is a single family detached
subdivision. That's the planned subdivisions to the south of
the Kosary house. They have an existing wooded buffer there;
that's going to stay.
And then the forest conservation easement along half of
the property border on the Primrose site and the parking lot
along half of the property border behind the six foot high
fence and landscaping. So that's what they'll get with the
daycare center, open space and fencing and change is coming
to the properties in that area, especially on, you know,
when we know it's going to be on the properties that are

```
adjacent.
    And because the subject cannot buffer itself against
these changes, the environment for the subject will change.
And some changes could create some detrimental conditions
for the subject and the market will react in terms of price
or marketing time or a combination of both.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: I think I'm done.
    MR. KLINE: Any argo of the land use bar that people
are up here at the table, not the front, density is both
activity as well as volume and property.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Do you have an objection?
    MR. CHEN: Yes, she's already testified what it is. She
said it was three units, the use.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What's your question without
the explanation? What are you asking?
    MR. KLINE: My question is the same question I asked a
minute ago and that is will a buyer pay the same amount of
money for the Kosary house if it is adjacent to a 50 -student
daycare center or a 200 student daycare center?
    MR. CHEN: I object. It's not in her report at all.
This came up a half hour a go.
    MR. KLINE: I believe that --
    MS. ROBESO: I think I asked it.
    MR. KLINE: Well, the question -- I'm plagiarizing your
question. But the reason I think it's legitimate is because
there are factors that are stated in both of the reports as to what affect the value of -- the diminution of value and I
think that's one thing that we all think is reflective of how intense is the use is going on.

MR. CHEN: No, that's not accurate because if you're going to allow the hypothetical give the square footage of the building, give the number of vehicles coming in addition to the students, give the amount of staff and everything else.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I see what you're saying, and
I'm not prepared with a scenario like that. So, I agree. I
do agree. He's saying that there's so many things that go
into it that just reducing the square footage is probably
not a good comparison.
MR. KLINE: You mean reducing the pupils. Well, I could
give you the hypothetical of all those other, but it's more
trouble than it's worth.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay, thank you.
MR. KLINE: Thank you. That's all I have to say. That
is the end of our examination.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Thank you. Mr. Chen?
MR. CHEN: Thank you very much. As I understand your
last testimony, ma'am, you said the market is exactly what
to expect from a daycare center. Is that -- is that your
testimony?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: You said the market survey?
MR. CHEN: Yes. You agree with it? The survey we're talking about.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah, I say that you would get a wide range of responses.

MR. CHEN: Yes, and of those like we did question. I
don't have to go through it again. But of the six realtors,
five of the realtors said there would be a negative impact and you acknowledge that. Isn't that correct?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes, that's correct.
MR. CHEN: Okay. I mean they went from a range -- there
was one that said nothing. Do you remember? No impact.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, he said no impact at all. So when you count his, it's a zero, zero.

MR. CHEN: I said --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Just answer his questions, okay?

MR. CHEN: One said no impact, isn't that correct?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: But the other five all went fromzero to
five I think was the next guy and the others were up to
\(\$ 30,000\), 30 percent. Isn't that correct? Let me show you --
24 your --
25 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah, I know but --
```
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```
    MR. CHEN: Okay, then of all the rest the range went
from zero to five percent which was Romano who sold the
7500-address property, right?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct. Yeah. Yeah. Yeah. Yeah.
    MR. CHEN: And it goes up to 20 percent to 30 percent
is Matis [ph]. It goes up to 75 to 100,000 by ca- -- health
retailer. It goes from fi- -- 5 percent under -- for houses
under 550 to 8 percent for houses over 550. Isn't that
correct?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. So that of the five of the six surveys
say there's a negative impact. Yes or no?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: No.
    MR. CHEN: How do you get -- how do you get no? Tell me
how you get to no.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Because the -- the last one on the
chart said there could be a negative -- I mean, a zero
impact. So that would be --
    MR. CHEN: No. It doesn't say that.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Zero to five.
    MR. CHEN: Yeah. It doesn't say could. It says zero to
5 percent.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, that's what zero means.
    MR. CHEN: Oh. You're just limiting it at zero. Suppose
you limit it at five.
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    1
    2
    3 t
taking your number.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah. [Inaudible].
    MR. CHEN: Assuming it's a five --
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: There's -- there's -- if you take all
the responses, there's three zeros. If you have -- everybody
gave a range --
    MR. CHEN: Where are the -- where's -- where are the
three zeros?
    MR. KLINE: May I -- may I -- may I [inaudible]?
    MR. CHEN: No. Witness. Identify the three zeros.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. If-- if we're saying everybody
14 said a range of that -- of -- of negative impact, the first
15 guy said zero. So that's zero, zero.
    MR. CHEN: That's --
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: No impact at all.
    MR. CHEN: That's one.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. And then the last guy said
0 zero, but negative five.
    MR. CHEN: Okay.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: And that's the person -- the only
3 person who sold a house in that neighborhood.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. That's --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, that's not what he's
asking.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. Well, if we're going to be
consistent with the data.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No. I know. Get --
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: All right.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: This is not --
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. That's fine.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You need to an- -- just answer
his questions.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: All right.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Just focus on that.
        MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay.
        MR. CHEN: Am I correct that five out of six are
indicating a negative impact?
        MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah.
        MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, you list -- by the way, you're a
commercial realtor. Is that right?
        MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, I do --
        MR. CHEN: Your testimony says you're a real- -- a
commercial realtor. Apprais- -- I apologize.
        MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, I'm a -- I'm a real estate
appraiser and I do ---
        MR. CHEN: Well --
        MS. VANDERMAUSE: -- a -- a wide range, which can
include residential.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, I did. I --
MR. CHEN: If it's at five. Assume -- we're -- we're taking your number.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah. [Inaudible].
MR. CHEN: Assuming it's a five --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: There's -- there's -- if you take all
the responses, there's three zeros. If you have -- everybody
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 225 & 227 \\
\hline MR. CHEN: And most of your work that you've listed is & 1 examiner is he? \\
\hline for railroads. Is that correct? & 2 MS. VANDERMAUSE: He -- he corrected the -- the \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: No. & 3 deficiencies. \\
\hline MR. CHEN: No. Railroads are not most of your practice. & 4 MR. CHEN: You -- he corrected the deficien- -- \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: No. & 5 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, the -- the -- the overstatement \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Okay. When's the last time you did a real & 6 of the -- of the FAR by not including the forest \\
\hline 7 estate appraisal other than this space? You didn't do an & 7 conservation. \\
\hline 8 appraisal, but -- & 8 MR. CHEN: But he explained it in his written report. \\
\hline SS. VANDERMAUSE: I'm in the middle of some now. & 9 It's noted. \\
\hline 10 MR. CHEN: Okay. You -- when's the last time you did & 10 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah. \\
\hline 11 it? & 11 MR. CHEN: So where's the deficiency? \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: Last month. & 12 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, I'll take that word back. He -- \\
\hline 13 MR. CHEN: Okay. Where was it? & 13 he -- \\
\hline 14 MS. VANDERMAUSE: I know I'm active in both Prince & 14 MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
\hline 15 George and Montgomery County. & 15 MS. VANDERMAUSE: -- explained that. \\
\hline 16 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 16 MR. CHEN: Now, the -- on -- on your handout -- \\
\hline 17 MS. VANDERMAUSE: I'mupdating something in Montgomery & 17 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct. \\
\hline 18 County now. & 18 MR. CHEN: -- page 24, you have this photograph. Do -- \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: Okay. Okay. Let's & 19 do you see that \\
\hline 20 them involving appraisal [inaudible] this situation where & 20 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mm-hmm. That's the 7420. That's the \\
\hline 21 it's a -- a residentially zoned property next to a church & 21 house in front of the [inaudible]. \\
\hline 22 and on the other side, it's a hunk of land -- a parse of & 22 MR. CHEN: Is that the house that -- that's the house \\
\hline 23 land that is being proposed for a daycare center? & 23 in front of [inaudible]. \\
\hline 24 MS. VANDERMAUSE: No. & 24 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right. \\
\hline 25 MR. CHEN: Okay. And by the way, I think you were here & 25 MR. CHEN: And this would be subject to redevelopment \\
\hline 226 & 228 \\
\hline en Mr. McPherson testified; is that right? & 1 with a bigger house. \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct. & 2 MS. VANDERMAUSE: It's -- it's likely. \\
\hline MR. CHEN: And you did hear his testimony that he & 3 MR. CHEN: Okay. [Inaudible]. \\
\hline 4 explained the Ci- -- CITGO station and how difficult it was & 4 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mm-hmm. \\
\hline get comparables. You heard that. & 5 MR. CHEN: So your testimony is that three residential \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: I agree with th & 6 lots with development current standards that you say they \\
\hline 7 MR. CHEN: And -- yes. And he fully disclosed all that & 7 want big houses comparable to 7,500 and is your testimony \\
\hline 8 in his testimony -- & 8 that that development on the Primrose site -- \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right. & 9 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Uh-huh. \\
\hline 10 MR. CHEN: -- to the hearing examiner; didn't he? & 10 MR. CHEN: -- is more intense than the conditional use \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah. & 11 of Primrose is proposing. \\
\hline 12 MR. CHEN: Okay. In addition to that, he also pointed & 12 MS. VANDERMAUSE: If you're talking about FAR, size of \\
\hline 13 out specifically the FAR issue; isn't that correct? & 13 the -- the total building. \\
\hline MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's correct. & 14 MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: And he fully explained that; didn't he? & 15 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Three houses and -- and as opposed to \\
\hline 16 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes. & 16 a one story daycare center to a two story house, that the \\
\hline 17 MR. CHEN: And he disclosed how -- the differences by - & 17 dense -- the intensity of development, there's going to be \\
\hline with the tree, including the conservation area and not; & 18 more mass. \\
\hline n't that correct? & 19 MR. CHEN: Okay. So when you use the word intensity, \\
\hline 20 MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's correct. & 20 you're talking about size of the building. \\
\hline 21 MR. CHEN: Okay. So that -- in both his written report, & 21 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Part of it. Yes. \\
\hline 22 he noted the differential with the FAR and in his testimony & 22 MR. CHEN: What's the other part of it other than the \\
\hline 23 today unprompted, he explained it; isn't that right? & 23 size? \\
\hline 24 MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's right. & 24 MS. VANDERMAUSE: The height. \\
\hline 25 MR. CHEN: So he -- he's not misleading the hearing & 25 MR. CHEN: That's part of -- okay. The height. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 229 & 231 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. What else? \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: And how it -- there will be no open space. If they're going to lay out three houses, there won't be any open space left at the back of the site. \\
MR. CHEN: Well, let's talk about that for a minute. \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. \\
MR. CHEN: You do acknowledge, don't you, that on residentially developed land -- \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right. \\
MR. CHEN: -- on lots -- separate lots -- pipes, \\
[inaudible], or otherwise -- \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mm-hmm \\
MR. CHEN: -- that there's open space; isn't that correct? \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Not always, but there -- there can be some parts of the land that's not developed. \\
MR. CHEN: Yeah. How about a front lawn, a side lawn, the backyard, is that open space? \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's -- not -- well, if you're going to say open space, non-developable can never be developed. \\
MR. CHEN: It's okay. \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, it's -- \\
MR. CHEN: A lawn is open.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
on the Primrose site developed residential use how much \\
would be in front lawn side, rear yard? \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I can't answer that -- \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: -- without a site plan. \\
MR. CHEN: I appreciate your candor. Okay. That's fine. \\
Now, with the residential development of three lots, do \\
you know what kind of driveway will be installed for \\
residential? \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Are you talking about the surface -the hard surface? \\
MR. CHEN: I'mjust talking about do you know what kind of driveway would be installed for the two pipe stem and the regular residential lot? \\
MR. KLINE: Not so much objection, just a \\
clarification. We're talking the width of the roads, \\
materials of the road in -- in more details? \\
MR. CHEN: Do you -- do you know how wide they would be and material that would be used? \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, for a residential use where there's cars and delivery trucks going down -- \\
MR. CHEN: A residential -- a residential driveway. \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: It's usually less than commercial. \\
They don't have it as wide. And the -- the building \\
standards are typically less for residential use than a
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MS. VANDERMAUSE: It's -- it's outside the footprint of the building. Yes. \\
MR. CHEN: Yes. \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: You're going to have lawns -- \\
MR. CHEN: And this -- \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: -- and side yards and front yards and backyards. Sure. \\
MR. CHEN: Thank you. And in this case, the land planner yesterday testified that the southern portion of this site, aside from the forest area, which would be open, it's going to have grassland. Do you remember that test- -you weren't here for that test- -- are you aware that the land planner has noted that a substantial percentage of the site -- the Primrose site -- \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mm-hmm \\
MR. CHEN: -- the southern portion is not only going to be forested, but it's going to be grass? \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mm-hmm \\
MR. CHEN: And that's open space, right? \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes. \\
MR. CHEN: Are you aware of how much of the percentage of the Primrose site is an open space? \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I don't have that number. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. and are you aware of any -- on three pe- -- pipes -- I guess two pipe stem, but one regular lot
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
commercial use. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. Are you aware that in this particular case that the Primrose is recognized to be a commercial use? \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I'd say it's -- it's -- yeah. It's non-residential. \\
MR. CHEN: Yeah. It's a -- their testimony -- I -- \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah. \\
MR. CHEN: I don't want to take advantage of -- \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: No. That's -- that's -- \\
MR. CHEN: Their testimony says it's res- -- it's \\
commercial. \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Sure. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. And they're using a commercial \\
driveway. \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I would hope so. Yeah. \\
MR. CHEN: It's going to be 20 feet wide with a curb. \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right. \\
MR. CHEN: A curb -- the curb will be right up to 10 \\
feet of the -- excuse me -- 12 feet of the property line \\
with the [inaudible] property. \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. \\
MR. CHEN: Do you know how many vehicles will be using \\
that driveway on a daily basis? \\
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I would say if the po- -- you take \\
the -- I'm sure it has a -- the study -- I wasn't in on
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
on the Primrose site developed residential use how much
would be in front lawn side, rear yard?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I can't answer that -MR. CHEN: Okay. MS. VANDERMAUSE: -- without a site plan. MR. CHEN: I appreciate your candor. Okay. That's fine. Now, with the residential development of three lots, do you know what kind of driveway will be installed for residential?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Are you talking about the surface -the hard surface?
MR. CHEN: I'mjust talking about do you know what kind of driveway would be installed for the two pipe stem and the regular residential lot?

MR. KLINE: Not so much objection, just a
clarification. We're talking the width of the roads,
materials of the road in -- in more details?
MR. CHEN: Do you -- do you know how wide they would be and material that would be used?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, for a residential use where
MR. CHEN: A residential -- a residential driveway.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: It's usually less than commercial.
They don't have it as wide. And the -- the building
standards are typically less for residential use than a
commercial use.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Are you aware that in this particular
case that the Primrose is recognized to be a commercial use?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I'd say it's -- it's -- yeah. It's
non-residential.
MR. CHEN: Yeah. It's a -- their testimony -- I -MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: I don't want to take advantage of --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: No. That's -- that's --
MR. CHEN: Their testimony says it's res- -- it's
commercial.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Sure.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And they're using a commercial
driveway.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I would hope so. Yeah. MR. CHEN: It's going to be 20 feet wide with a curb. MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: A curb -- the curb will be right up to 10
feet of the -- excuse me -- 12 feet of the property line
with the [inaudible] property.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay.
MR. CHEN: Do you know how many vehicles will be using that driveway on a daily basis?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: I would say if the po- -- you take the -- I'm sure it has a -- the study -- I wasn't in on
```

that.
MR. CHEN: You -- I just said did you know?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: It's going to be a combination of
people driving and walking to the school. So I don't know.
It's going to be more than a house.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well -- okay.
MR. CHEN: Okay. So you do know that the use of that
commercial driveway for the Primrose application will be
more than for three detached dwelling units on the same
site.
11 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes.
12 MR. CHEN: Okay. And certainly, in theory, each of the
1 3 three dwelling units on the site would not have 32
14 administrators.
15 MS. VANDERMAUSE: I hope not. Yeah.
16 MR. CHEN: Okay. I'm just trying to get to --
17 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah.
18 MR. CHEN: You know, your -- [inaudible] your test- --
19 did some comparison --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right. No. That's fine.
MR. CHEN: -- you know, you're talking about intensity
and bulk and -- and FAR. I understand that and I appreciate
it.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mm-hmm.
MR. CHEN: But by the same token, there's other
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components of that.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
    MR. CHEN: And they are -- have not been mentioned by
    you in talking about comparison with the Primrose and I'm
    just trying to explore that --
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's fine.
    MR. CHEN: -- see where you are. Okay. So when you --
when you said at one point that 7,500 needed was higher
density, you were talking about the height and the size.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's a big house. Yes.
    MR. CHEN: Yes. Going back to your -- it's not in your
handout. It's here. Your report has, on page -- did you take
13 my copy? On page 32 of your report, you -- are you there?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah. It's on here.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. You -- you list detriments, correct?
And you track the detriments listed by Mr. McPherson; is
17 that correct?
18 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct.
19 MR. CHEN: Okay. Do you have with you the McPherson
20 report?
21 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes.
22 MR. CHEN: And -- thank you. Will you go to page 38
23 man- -- man- -- do you have that -- both exhibits as well?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay.
    MR. CHEN: Now, on page 32 of your report, you have
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1
five what I characterize as mini paragraphs in bold headings.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mm-hmm
MR. CHEN: Okay? Do you see that?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes.
MR. CHEN: When I look at those and I look at page 38
of Mr. McPherson's report under conclusion and analysis, he's got the same six that you have in bold; is that right?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: He has six.
MR. CHEN: Yeah. And --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: And I have five.
MR. CHEN: Yes. You're listing -- yeah. I -- I -you're listing five and you're using -- amI correct? It
looks identical lan- -- language that -- that he is using.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah. Because we were reviewing his 6 report.
17 MR. CHEN: You compare it. Yeah. Your -- your five
listed detriment areas on page 32 of your report are
identical to five of the six that McPherson has on page 38.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, my report is a re- -- is a
review of McPherson's report. So it restates what he considered a detriment.

MR. CHEN: Yeah. You're using the same identical 4 listings as -- as he is.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct. Yeah.

MR. CHEN: Now, couple of things. You are also in
regular type af- -- under each one of those bold headings,
you provide information; isn't that right?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: And if you look at page 38 of the McPherson
report, there's other language further up, including under paragraph of site improvements.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
that's accurate compared to your analysis that you're
showing on page 32 ?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: He talks about the width of the
MR. CHEN: Mm-hmm
MS. VANDERMAUSE: And the paved area.
MR. CHEN: He's giving the site improvements.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: Yeah.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: And the conditional use indicator.
MR. CHEN: Yeah. Do you [inaudible] with any of that information?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, he's reporting what he sees at 3 the site plan, how they're going to develop 22 foot wide
4 driveway. I don't have any basis to say that's wrong.
25 MR. CHEN: Okay. But assuming he is taking information
5
```

off the application, you're not disagreeing with any of it.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: Just out of curiosity, his first listed area
under conclusion and analysis is intensity of development.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct.
MR. CHEN: I -- I don't see that on your page 32. In
fact, I don't see any paragraph that has that same bold
heading. Am I missing -- could be. I -- I -- I'm not saying
I'mnot.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, on page 34, we go into -- we
just separated it from his list. That's where we wanted to
make that point.
MR. CHEN: Oh. Okay. Okay. That's fine. And then that's
-- and your first issue on that is the FAR issue.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And he's reported that already. We
know that. And he's even discussed it today.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mm-hmm
MR. CHEN: Okay. Do you know what the setback is for a
detached house driveway? I apologize. A de- -- a setback for
a detached house.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: No. Not --
MR. CHEN: Side yard [inaudible].
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Not a site plan.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Oh. You use a terminfill --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: -- is that right?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mm-hmm
MR. CHEN: And you -- you also talk about Maryland
Smart Growth --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: -- Policy. Is -- isn't that how you combine
-- your -- your -- your discretion, I saw, was utilizing
infill in the context of Maryland Smart Growth Policy; is
that right?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: It has -- yes. Some of the --
MR. CHEN: Do you know the requirements for the
Maryland Smart Growth Policy?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: No.
MR. CHEN: Do you know anything about priority funding
areas?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I know some areas where they want to
promote redevelopment. And they put -- make it priority
funding.
MR. CHEN: And that's under the Smart Growth Policy?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I don't know.
MR. CHEN: You don't know. Do you know if this site is
within a Maryland Priority Funding Area?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I don't know.
MR. CHEN: When you were preparing your report and
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discussing infill and the relationship with Smart Growth
Policy, things of that nature, did you have occasion to do
any research as to the relationship of the Primrose site to that Smart Growth Policy or priority funding areas?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, could actually -- I called the
county, the planners, and I was asking them about how
Montgomery County handles smart growth. And I said, I know
the state of Maryland was promoting building where if a
structure exists, it'll keep spreading development further
and further out. And that that was some way that you could
control the sprawl.
And they said that Montgomery County does not have that
in their -- I don't know what the right doc- -- in their
thing. But they say if they agree with the smart growth and
they try to promote smart growth, but there's nothing official in there.

MR. CHEN: Are you aware that part of Montgomery County is under that program within priority funding areas?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: No.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Is it fair to say that it is your professional opinion that this is an area where growth is coming and things are going to change?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, what appraisers do is reflect the market. We don't need to have an opinion. We can see that change is coming. And all you have to do is go to the
neighborhood and -- and see change is coming. And all you
have to do is look at the -- the life cycle of the neighborhood.

And if we want to go back to what Mr. McPherson said in his report, which was the focus of our report here, is that he said it's a desirable area. It's -- it's got transit.
It's growing. And that's exactly what you see when you go to the neighborhood.

MR. CHEN: So -- and -- and I've -- I have here in 10 quotes that there's going to be change in this area.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: That's -- that's what I have.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mm-hmm.
MR. CHEN: And that's your statement, there's going to be change.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, it's --
MR. CHEN: Is that --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: It's there.
MR. CHEN: Yeah. You're saying that's happening.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mm-hmm.
MR. CHEN: It's -- it's not a matter of -- are you
2 saying that's not a matter of opinion, it's a matter of fact 3 that this is an -- an area where there's going to be change?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: It has -- it has all the elements 25 that attract change.
```

    MR. CHEN: Okay. And do you -- you recognize that
    dwelling units and churches are permitted uses.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct.
    MR. CHEN: Is that correct?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mm-hmm
    MR. CHEN: And are you saying that if there's going to
    be change, right --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mm-hmm
MR. CHEN: -- that therefore, conditional uses should
just be accepted comparable to permitted uses?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Conditional uses are not denied. They
require another layer of scrutiny. Is that the right word?
Or research to -- to determine what the impact will be. And
you're concerned about what happens to property values.
MR. CHEN: So those increased scrutiny and requirements
--
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: -- are -- are part of the zoning law. Is
that your understanding?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I know you're looking at it
differently than a permitted use.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Now, don't -- just tell him--
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. Ask him -- yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- what is -- is it your
under- -- is that your understanding?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Can you ask again, please?
MR. CHEN: Yes. Are those requirements standards that -
- I think you used the word requirements or scrutiny -- are
part of the zoning ordinance?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Are you aware of what are the
standards and requirements for a daycare conditional use and
the zoning ordinance?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: No.
MR. KLINE: Objection. Objection in the sense that I --
I've only asked her to testify about the economic valuation.
She doesn't have a need, as part of her testimony, to know
the rest of the standards for conditional use [inaudible].
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I -- I understand the
distinction. I'll let it in, but I understand that.
MR. CHEN: I -- I'm only going here because the witness
talked about permitted uses and what were permitted uses.
You know, that came up in her testimony, particularly as
dwelling units and churches.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. Okay.
MR. CHEN: And I think we -- did you answer the last --
did she answer the last question? I think I heard an answer,
but I don't know whether -- Mr. Kline got into it.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Why don't you restate your
question, please?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Thank you.
MR. CHEN: Are you familiar with the scrutiny or the
standards for conditional uses for a child daycare facility
in the zoning ordinance?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: No.
MR. CHEN: Okay. That's all I got.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That's all?
MR. CHEN: Well, hang on. Yeah. Yeah. We're going
[inaudible].
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Redirect?
MR. KLINE: No, thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. You may be excused. All
right. I'd like to -- where are we on time?
MR. KLINE: Going on 4:00.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: How about -- what is your
architect?
MR. KLINE: I'd definitely like to dispose Mr. Alt
today so he can go home for the --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: We don't want to use the word
dispose.
MR. KLINE: Complete his testimony.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. KLINE: And I -- I'm -- I estimate it probably an
hour duration with cross-examination.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Do you want to -- is Mr.
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McPherson available Monday? Or do you want to try and get
him on now?
MR. CHEN: We -- we can't [inaudible]. Mr. McPherson
just told me he can make himself available on Monday.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Thank you.
MR. CHEN: So I defer to you, Mr. Kline. [Inaudible].
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Thank you. I would like to get
that witness out. I do want -- I would like you to come back
and just comment on what Ms. Vandermause said. If you can't,
please let me know. And we do have a court reporter that
said he can stay as long as we need him.
MR. CHEN: Why don't -- we're going to start at 9:30 on
Monday?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Why -- why don't we do this? Let's go take a
break for Mr. --
MR. KLINE: Alt.
MR. CHEN: -- Mr. Alt. And if we finish him today and
there's still time today, maybe Mr. McPherson can come back.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Sounds good.
MR. CHEN: If we don't, we'll do it Monday.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Let's -- let's go with Mr.
Alt.
MR. KLINE: Mr. Alt, will you come on up to the front
here when you're ready?

```
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN:The architectural plans, are
they 93? [Inaudible].
    MR. KLINE: That sounds about right.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I think I [inaudible]. Let me
just get them in advance so I don't have to -- well, let's
let him go and I will find them.
    MR. KLINE: Okay. Will you bear with us one moment?
    MR. CHEN: Yes.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes.
    MR. CHEN: Can we take maybe a five-minute break?
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. We'll go off the record.
Mr. Kline, we're going off the record for five minutes.
    (Off the record at 4:01:02 p.m.)
(Back on the record at 4:15:13 p.m.)
    Okay. We're back on the record. Mr. Alt, please raise
your right hand. Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of
perjury that the statement you're about to make is the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
    MR. ALT: I do.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Thank you. Mr. Kline.
    MR. KLINE: Mr. Alt, would you please state and spell
your name and give us your business address?
    MR. ALT: First name James, J-a-m-e-s; last name A-l-t,
Alt. 2440 Dayton-Xenia Road, spelled with an X, Xenia, Suite
B, Beavercreek, one word, Ohio 45434.
    MR. KLINE: And you're affiliated with what company?
    MR. ALT: The name of the firm is ALT Architecture,
Inc.
    MR. KLINE: All right. And what is your profession,
sir?
    MR. ALT: I'm an architect and land planner.
    MR. KLINE: How long have you been doing this, Mr. Alt?
    MR. ALT: We're in the midst of our -- wrapping up our
40th year.
    MR. KLINE: Have you ever been approved as an expert in
the field of architecture before a board commission or some
-- or a court of law?
    MR. ALT: Yes. Many times. Many jurisdictions.
    MR. KLINE: I'd like to offer a copy of Mr. Alt's
resume, which is already in the record. And based on what it
says and his years of experience, offer him as an expert in
the field of architecture.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mr. --
    MR. CHEN: [Inaudible].
    MR. KLINE: It would be an attachment for greater
submission. I'll have to check it out.
    MR. CHEN: 111C782.
    MR. KLINE: 11111? Oh. 111. I'm sorry.
    MR. CHEN: Yeah. 111C.
    MR. KLINE: Yeah. That's correct. Yeah.
        MR. KLINE: I wasn't going to ask, but I can tell you -
    MR. CHEN: What is that secondary -- real quick while
you're looking at that. Early childhood education and --
        MR. ALT: Early childhood education, daycare. And
assisted living for seniors. So at both ends of the --
        MR. CHEN: Yeah.
        MR. ALT: -- demographic. And Maryland, it's }18371\mathrm{ is
my registration.
        MR. KLINE: Thank you. Mr. Alt, I -- I'd -- I'd like to
start with -- because you've heard a number of questions
asked about is this building proposed by Primrose
prototypical -- can you answer that question? Is this a
prototypical Primrose School building?
    MR. ALT: Yes. It is.
    MR. KLINE: Okay. How many prototypical Primrose School
buildings are there?
```
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MR. ALT: About 400. It changes almost each day.
MR. KLINE: Okay. And when this site was brought to
your attention, how did you conclude -- which of your
"prototypical designs" you would use on this site and why?
MR. ALT: The information that's provided to me by
PSFC, Primrose School Franchising Company -- or Corporation
includes a variety of data that they have assembled along
with their efforts on behalf of the applicant, Mr. Mandava
and his family. And it includes instructions on how many
15 classrooms, where the site has finally been settled upon to
16 propose.
17 And on that basis then, we examine the documents that 18 are provided to us by corporate. And that typically will
include guidance on what kind of a building to explore.
Let's put it that way. A 12 classroom. Looking at the site,
it's likely to be a single story and so forth.
MR. KLINE: Okay. You mentioned classroom. Is that sort of the general program for Primrose School?
24 MR. ALT: Yes.
25 MR. KLINE: Okay. Does that drive -- does that result


```
    MR. ALT: Oh.
    MR. KLINE: -- the examiner's [inaudible].
    MR. ALT: Sorry, ma'am.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mm-hmm. I can see now. Thank
```

you.
MR. ALT: One -- one of the overarching controls is
that depending upon the age of the -- of the children --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mm-hmm.
MR. ALT: -- below 30 months or above 30 months and
whether or not the building is going to have certain
features, like fire suppression and so on. And this is
embedded into the regulations with childhood services at the
state level and at the building code at the national level
that classrooms have to have direct access to the outside.
Not into a hallway, but directly to the outside.
And so what you see the wing -- the wings that are
shown here and the axes that show reflect that. So
fundamentally, this is a building that's a corridor with
classrooms on either side. And keep everything oriented,
give or take the same way.
Needle is up, north. [Inaudible] is to the left or
west. The driveway access as it now is to the right or east.
The parking lot and the main entrance face south. And
there's been months and years of [inaudible] associated with
that. And I'll -- and I'll provide you with a response to
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that in a moment.
MR. KLINE: Mr. Alt, before you go any further --
MR. ALT: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Am I -- am I looking at sheet A10?
MR. ALT: A1.0.
MR. KLINE: Okay. So that would be exhibit 79 in the
record.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Thank you.
MR. ALT: And this is an exploded version of the floor
0 plan. And it's oriented the same way as on the site plan. So
1 Needwood is to the top or the north. The main entrance is to
the bottom or the south. This wing is to the west or the
left.
MR. KLINE: Yeah. Yeah. I'm sorry. [Inaudible].
MR. ALT: Okay.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Yeah.
MR. ALT: And the long axis is north-south and the bulk
18 of these classrooms are facing east or to the driveway
19 access.
20 And so you have a main entrance, which the half of --
21 so much of this very definitely reflects the input that
22 we've received over the last four years from various
23 reviewing entities. The main entrance is facing away from
24 the road on Needwood, facing to the south where the parking
25 field is. Parking field being away from Needwood.

| 253 | 255 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Main entrance through a foyer and an airlock. <br> Reception, administration, and then -- <br> MR. KLINE: Could -- could you just -- lower right hand corner or something -- <br> MR. ALT: Oh. I'm sorry. <br> MR. KLINE: -- when you're pointing at something. Yeah. <br> MR. ALT: Yeah. To the south, inside the south main <br> entrance to the bottom of the page is the foyer reception <br> administration. And then because mom typically is carrying <br> 10 at a ca- -- in a car carrier an infant, infant classrooms <br> are immediately inside the front door. <br> And then as you proceed up north up the spine of the building, the ages generally increase up through 60 months. <br> And depending upon the time of day and the program, it may go slightly beyond that. <br> So there's a central corridor running north and south. <br> And a corridor running east and west at -- near the north 18 end or the top of the -- top of plan. And the same thing at 9 about the midpoint that comes to another -- another wing of classrooms. <br> MR. KLINE: Mm-hmm. Yeah. Since I have you here and there was a question that came up the other day about the dining and ecological factors. Maybe using this -- tell us about where we do our food [inaudible] preparation and how 25 it's distributed. | small children, not to mention the decision makers that control their lives, their parents. And therefore, this is a softly contemporary, forgive my use of the terms, but it's not bright yellows and bright greens and bright oranges and loud noise and all kinds of things like that. <br> It's a warm, soft, comforting environment on the inside. And oh. By the way, that's how the outside will look when -- when we get to that. <br> MR. KLINE: Okay. Thank you. Keep going. Is it appr- -0 timely to go to the 148 , the site plan? It's underneath there, I think. <br> MR. ALT: Go back to that. Okay. <br> MR. KLINE: I -- I guess I want to take you back there <br> 14 -- I guess sort of my question's about why it is and where <br> 15 it's located. Can you explain basically the location of the <br> 16 building and its orientation of the building? <br> MR. ALT: I'll explain orientation and then that can <br> 8 take us to the exterior elevations. The way it's set up <br> 9 right now, I'm -- I'm a mom and I'm typically -- <br> 0 prototypically -- forgive the sexism. We're driving down the <br> 1 road and we make either a right or left turn into the <br> 2 driveway at the intersection of our curb cut and Needwood <br> 3 Road across the top of the sheet the way it's oriented now. <br> The north end. <br> 25 Proceed down the drive into the parking field. Get out |
| MR. ALT: Okay. There is no cooking. There is no <br> exhaust with odor. Food arrives refrigerated or frozen and <br> is warmed in a warming kitchen with a microwave or a <br> convention oven. Or it's served at room temperature. And <br> that occurs in a core near the intersection of the main <br> north-south corridor and the first east-west corridor. <br> And so along with that is fairly substantial <br> refrigeration and freezer capacity so that in a -Mr . <br> Mandava, the -- the franchise owner will be able to speak to <br> the nuances of that at a later date. We don't receive food <br> service deliveries daily. They're on a -- on an extended schedule. <br> MR. KLINE: Okay. And we warm themup and then transfer <br> it on dollies or something to the classrooms? <br> MR. ALT: Yes. <br> MR. KLINE: Okay. Fine. Thank you. Is there anything <br> else with the inside of the building you'd like to mention or -- <br> MR. ALT: Well, I can expand a little bit on that and <br> then get to -- that'll take me to the outside of the building. <br> MR. KLINE: Yeah. Sure. Go ahead. <br> MR. ALT: Madam Examiner, the -- the whole point of <br> this is to create an -- a warm, friendly, and -- and -- and <br> inviting and reassuring and comforting environment for very | of the car and more often almost than not, there are multiple children being brought. One is such a success that mom and dad decide the second and then -- and even the third. And again, Mr. Mandava, the fran- -- the FO can explain that at greater detail. <br> So although there may be a -- a total of 195 population and we get in -- and Mr. Man- -- Mandava can get into that in much greater detail later, very commonly it's a toddler and -- and an infant in a baby carrier going into the 10 school. And the rules are that you don't drop them to the front door. You take them to the class and then hand them off to the instructor. <br> MR. KLINE: Okay. <br> MR. ALT: So in the parking field, out of the car, up 15 to the front door, in the front door, and then you -- and 16 then you check in. You get through security. And then take 17 your children to your classrooms. And that's, by the way, 8 one of the reasons why the infant carrier is right inside 19 the front door. Mom can hand off and then proceed with a toddler or the slightly older to the second classroom. <br> So all of a sudden, the number of vehicles coming onto the property is perhaps less than has been speculated about. <br> Then let's see. We can talk about -- there's -- you can 4 see the building -- the gray mass for the building. The dark green area around that is a turf, which is, in this |


| 257 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 particular case, is all artificial turf. Heaven forbid | 1 toward -- very hard to preserve, enhance the residential |
| 2 children should come home with a little bit of mud on them. | 2 character of Needwood Road. And we were even directed to the |
| 3 So al- -- almost always or very, very, very frequently now, | 3 -- to take a look at the church and see the way it gabled |
| 4 our Primroses are all ar- -- artificial turf. Which, despite | 4 facing Needwood. |
| 5 the state of Maryland, is about 99 percent permeable. | 5 And so in addition to that, we -- we -- we even -- and |
| 6 But Mr. Intriago, I can sympathize for him-- with him | 6 I'll show you on the elevations. We modified the elevation |
| 7 because the state rules require it to be treated as | 7 of -- north elevation of the building that is closest to |
| 8 impermeable, but it is truly permeable. It's an artificial | 8 Needwood, number one, to add a layered gable. So we have a |
| 9 turf. Under which is a drainage course. Under that, an | 9 gable and a gab |
| couse | 10 And then we -- and then we also brought the building |
| 11 And then it's fenced. The perimeter of it is fenced. | 11 in the course of putting the parking south of the -- of the |
| 12 And I have details. A six foot what we call a full rod iron, | 12 -- of the building. Brought the building up so that the |
| 13 which is either a steel or aluminum vinylized spindle, which | 13 rhythm of the street was ottered [ph] by taking a look at |
| 14 is probably one of the most heavily regulated e | 14 the church, taking a look at the -- the mansions across the |
| 15 the whole property because the CPSC, Consumer Product Safety | 15 street. Forgive my term. So that we -- we're catching the |
| 16 Commission, in the state of Maryland and Primrose all have | 16 same kind of spacing front yards, massing, and so on. And so |
| 17 progressively more strict rules about the spacing of those | 17 that's how the building came to be ran that way. |
| 18 spindles of either -- either being at a certain minimum so | 18 MR. KLINE: And was it your intention to try and give |
| 19 that a child's head can't go through or so wide that their | 19 it a front -- front -- front look also as if it was the |
| 20 whole body could go through. And that is to six feet. And | 20 front door, even though it isn't the front door? |
| 21 that's the perimeter. And then -- and I have a detail for | 21 MR. ALT: That's a fair statement. Yes. It is. We did - |
| 22 | 22 - we did work at that scaling, the combinations of brick and |
| 23 And then within that -- | 23 so on. |
| 24 MR. KLINE: And before you go -- I -- actually, can I | 24 MR. KLINE: You can -- you can remind of that when you |
| 25 take you back a little bit? | 25 get to the elevations. |
| 258 | 260 |
| MR. ALT: Sure. | 1 MR. ALT: Okay. |
| 2 MR. KLINE: I -- I guess I'd like to talk a little bit | 2 MR. KLINE: But why don't you -- why don't you go back |
| 3 more about the building. I -- I think we've heard enough | 3 to the use of the playground area? And you heard the hearing |
| 4 about why it's set where it is from the east side of the | 4 examiner say she's interested in seeing what those fence |
| 5 property because of the driveways and everything. And that | 5 look like. |
| 6 the -- the wing on the building in the midsection there, | 6 MR. ALT: Okay. Now, something else to keep in mind is |
| 7 that's set on -- what is that set on? Let me put it that | 7 -- and -- and I know, Mr. Intriago shared math on that. But |
| 8 way. | 8 a point of fact, we never have all the children on the |
| 9 MR. ALT: Set on -- | 9 playground at once. |
| 10 MR. KLINE: On the -- on the 50 yard foot front yard | 10 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I think I -- I do know -- I |
| setback. | 11 know that. |
| 12 MR. ALT: I would prefer to let -- | 12 MR. ALT: Okay. It's a rotating schedule. And oh. By |
| 13 MR. KLINE: Okay. | 13 the way, an order -- we have the advantage of all the |
| 14 MR. ALT: -- prior testimony | 14 classrooms having an exterior door. So you don't have the |
| 15 MR. KLINE: Sure | 15 chaos or the -- the chatter, chatter, chatter of lo--- |
| 16 MR. ALT: -- because I -- | 16 moving a classroom of children through the halls. They're |
| 17 MR. KLINE: [Inaudible]. | 17 able to go directly outside. |
| 18 MR. ALT: -- I don't have the in- | 18 And in addition to that, to honor the state of |
| 19 MR. KLINE: Fine. | 19 Maryland's rules for early childhood education, we can't mix |
| 20 MR. ALT: Now, examiner, this building has been l | 20 certain age groups so there's no bullying. Wouldn't be any |
| 21 right, up, down, flipped, flipped over the past four years. | 21 anyway. But -- so as to avoid any conflicts between age |
| 22 MR. KLINE: Explain then why you have what I guess I'll | 22 groups. |
| 23 call a narrow end facing Needwood Road. | 23 So we have sub-divided the playground based upon |
| 24 MR. ALT: Well, we received re- -- really well regard - | 24 anticipated occupant loads from the classrooms anticipating |
| 25 - well received, well appreciated input that we worked | 25 the age groups. |


elevations.
MR. ALT: And I see the flow to metrics.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. It's not in the CUP;
correct? Not in the conditional use permit.
MR. ALT: I don't think so.
MR. KLINE: Now, I -- I would have expected it would
have been part of the submission on June the 27th along with
all the other materials, but I just don't see it broken down
there.
MR. ALT: I see all the flow to metrics.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I have AS1, site signage plan.
That's exhibit 26.
MR. ALT: This is AS2.0 that does not include the signage.

Mr. KLINE. Well, we -- raher than wasting your time,
and I'll try to find it later on?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, we can. Mr. Chen, do you
have a objection proceeding that way? That's what I was
trying to avoid. Oh. Wait. I'm getting -- no. Let me just go
rough -- finish going through this.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: A4?
MR. ALT: No. That's the exterior elevations for the building.

MR. KLINE: Well, we may have to proceed as if it's a
new exhibit. So --
MR. ALT: So Ms. Robeson, [inaudible].
MR. CHEN: 193?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Exhibit 19- -- oh. No. I think
we have 193. It's Ms. Vandermause excerpt.
MR. CHEN: No. 192 is her excerpt. I've got it.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Oh. You're right. Okay. MR. KLINE: So --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So this will be 193.
MR. KLINE: And okay. Maybe this is the trick. Maybe it
says site details. Maybe that's what it is. I think I did
see that.
I can't find it, ma'am. Could I ask if exhibit AS2.0,
titled site details, be made an exhibit in the record of the case?

MR. CHEN: That's what we were just talking about. HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. MR. CHEN: 193.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So that'll be 192 -- three.
MR. ALT: 193. Would you like to label this?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes, please.
MR. ALT: 193
numbers again, Mr. Kline? The AS -- I just can't see it.

| 265 | 267 |
| :---: | :---: |
| MR. KLINE: 2.0. AS2.0. | 1 MR. KLINE: And it's 78. I doubt that what we've -- oh. |
| HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 2 We did give you [inaudible]. Okay. Great. |
| MR. KLINE: Correct. | 3 MR. ALT: Yeah. [Inaudible]. |
| 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. And that's the site | 4 MR. CHEN: So 78 are the card ones or the non-card |
| 5 details. | 5 ones? |
| MR. ALT: Yes. On that sheet are the -- are probab- -- | 6 MR. KLINE: They are -- they are rendered. Yes. |
| 7 previously may have been included in other exhibits for the | 7 MR. CHEN: So the -- call it new number then? |
| mpster enclosure, which we provided to Maser, Mr. | 8 MR. KLINE: No. |
| 9 Intriago. And so you may discover that elsewhere on another | 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I couldn't -- I couldn't hear |
| 10 exhibit. | 10 you. |
| 11 It also includes sample elevation specification for the | 11 MR. CHEN: I -- I was asking is -- this is 78, is 78 |
| 12 six foot perimeter fence and gates. Black and vinylized | 12 rendered? |
| 13 annonized [ph] aluminum | 13 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: This -- yes. This is my 78 |
| 14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Can you point out which -- | 14 that's in the file. |
| 15 okay. | 15 MR. CHEN: Okay. |
| 16 MR. ALT: The bottom left hand | 16 MR. ALT: And I'm hoping [inaudible] that's exactly |
| 17 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Oh. Where it's labeled | 17 what I have. |
| 18 ornamental fence? | 18 MR. KLINE: And did you want to make a correction, if |
| 19 MR. ALT: Correct. Ornamental fence, ornamental gate. | 19 that's the right term, on the descriptions? |
| 20 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 20 MR. ALT: Would you like me to number this the same as |
| 21 MR. KLINE: And it's Primrose's policy practice to have | 21 that one is numbered? |
| 22 see-through fences on the perimeter of their property? | 22 MR. KLINE: Not a bad idea. |
| 23 MR. ALT: Yes. And a quick sidebar. Security being | 23 MR. CHEN: That's exhibit 78, right? |
| 24 every -- paramount. Also that -- and again, Mr. Mandava will | 24 MR. KLINE: Seventy-eight. |
| 25 get into this, but many, many, many of the children in our | 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, wait. Are you going to |
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| e are -- have court orders for non-custodial and also to | 1 mark on it? |
| 2 allow multi-generational pickup -- drop-off and pick up. | 2 MR. ALT: May I? Or shall I not? |
| Grandpa, grandma, and so on. And therefore, occasionally, we | 3 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well -- |
| e a conflict and therefore we want to be able to see if a | 4 MR. KLINE: It's already in the record. We don't need |
| 5 non-custodial or non-qualified adult is on the perimeter. | 5 to mark it. Agreed? |
| HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. |
| MR. ALT: Therefore the -- we desired to have the fence | 7 MR. KLINE: Yeah. |
| 8 not exactly transparent, but not opaque. | 8 MR. ALT: Okay. |
| HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 9 MR. CHEN: Well, if it's going to be in the record for |
| 10 MR. KLINE: And then to repeat your testimony, that's | 10 post-testimony, then the document of record -- |
| 11 the perimeter fencing. And then interior, you have a four | 11 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You can mark this one. |
| ot fence of what material? | 12 MR. ALT: And what is the number, 78? |
| MR. ALT: Vinylized chain link. | 13 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Seventy-eight. |
| 14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Is that on that plan? | 14 MR. CHEN: It's already marked. That should be already |
| MR. ALT: No. It's not. | 15 marked. |
| 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It's already marked. |
| 17 MR. ALT: I was assuming that everyone on the planet | 17 MR. ALT: That's [inaudible] see it. Ah. Okay. |
| 18 knows what chain link looks like. Vinylized, black, chain | 18 MR. CHEN: But you make a correction to it? |
| link, four foot high. | 19 MR. ALT: With an official stamp. |
| 20 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 20 MR. KLINE: He's -- he's going to explain the |
| MR. KLINE: Is it time to move to the elevations | 21 descriptions of the elevations. |
| hemselves? | 22 MR. ALT: I'm going to offer the opportunity for a |
| MR. ALT: Sure. | 23 correction. |
| MR. KLINE: This number I do have. | 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead. |
| 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Seventy-eight? | 25 MR. ALT: As I commented earlier, this building has |


| 269 | 271 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 gone up and down, left and right, and been flipped. Meaning, | 1 was we original and an ear- -- not originally but in an |
| 2 north, south, east, west, and mirrored. And not keeping up | 2 earlier version and in many of the prototypes we had |
| 3 with the changes on one of the sheets and referencing the | 3 irregular spaced gables on this elevation. Now the east |
| 4 site, it's really evident -- | 4 elevation. I got it right. |
| 5 MR. KLINE: I'll hold it | 5 And I was asked -- it was asked rather aggressively, |
| 6 MR. ALT: Okay. One of -- one of the characterizations | 6 couldn't you make it more symmetrical? And so that's what we |
| 7 -- one of the characterizations of the building that we made | 7 have here. And it was a little bit of a chore because we do |
| 8 on behalf of site -- me--- me--- introducing site | 8 design from the inside out. And so the classrooms reflect |
| 9 specificity and responding to input that we were receiving | 9 where we need to have windows. |
| 10 fromMr. Kline and the AHJs and fromMaser, we mirrored the | 10 So we adjusted the window locations a little bit in |
| 11 building and we added a series of additional gables on the | 11 order to make the east elevation more symmetrical. |
| 12 east elevation. And in the course of mirroring the building | 12 MR. KLINE: And by symmetrical -- maybe using middle |
| 13 in doing that, we didn't catch up with the labeling on the | 13 gable as an example of what they've asked you to try and |
| 14 sheet that you have in front of you, M | 14 |
| 15 And so -- actually, I called your attention to the | 15 MR. ALT: Well, we have a -- we now have a nice |
| 16 multiple gables that were added and they are on the east | 16 rhythmic structure where we have a center gable. And then we |
| 17 facing elevation. And on this sheet, it says west | 17 alternated with the brick and the stone on the -- on the - |
| 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay | 18 the next two to the right left and then went back to the |
| 19 MR. ALT: So it's really e | 19 stone on the outward ones. And so it's very nicely |
| 20 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, why don't we correct | 20 symmetrical. |
| 21 that? Is -- is the east elevation really west? | 21 MR. KLINE: Okay. Now, and -- probably that's a good |
| 22 MR. ALT: Yes. West is really east and east is really | 22 opportunity to segue into what kind of materials are you |
| 23 west. The south is south and the north is not | 23 showing here? And describe the materials in the palette. |
| 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm really glad to hear that. | 24 MR. ALT: Some of our competitors like bright yellow, |
| 25 So do you want -- do you have an objection if he relabels | 25 bright red, and so forth. We find as a part of the |
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| 1 exhibits -- | 1 contextualization of our concept that because we aren't out |
| 2 MR. CHEN: No. I think it has to be for accuracy. | 2 on a -- we prefer not to locate on a state highway where |
| 3 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So why don't you | 3 there's high speed traffic and parents are in that |
| 4 MR. ALT: On your plan? | 4 [inaudible] to make left and -- left and right turns. |
| 5 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah. | 5 Despite whatever characterizations made about Needwood, |
| 6 MR. CHEN: That's -- that's the one in the file. | 6 we prefer to be in a transitional area. And this is by all - |
| 7 MR. ALT: Okay | 7 - by all means a transitional neighborhood. And therefore, |
| 8 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And just cross -- all you have | 8 we're trying to honor the neighbors by going to earth tones. |
| 9 to do is cross the east and west and write the correct | 9 And witness -- like I said, we've had -- we have over 400 of |
| 10 direction. | 10 these. And counting around the country again and again and |
| 11 MR. ALT: This is every client's fantasy. Oh. Couldn't | 11 again in the more than 500 times I've made this presentation |
| 12 we just try it the other way? Because it's all in the | 12 in 40 years not just for Primrose, we are urged -- required |
| 13 computer, right? | 13 to get to earth tones as a palette. And therefore, what you |
| 14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay | 14 see here is really close to what we're proposing. |
| 15 MR. KLINE: Mr. Alt? | 15 We have brick and stone. And we're very selective about |
| 16 MR. ALT: Mm-hmm. | 16 that. I mean, we drive our suppliers crazy. The stone is of |
| 17 MR. KLINE: I think the word you were trying to think | 17 an irregular product. It's a -- in a fixed product that's |
| 18 of was I reported to you staff and [inaudible] commission | 18 not a -- a true stone. And so we have quite a flexibility on |
| 19 said could you reconsider the symmetry of the building. And | 19 the color. And the brick. |
| 20 so would you describe what was the -- the essence of th | 20 And we work very hard with -- and even -- and if we use |
| 21 comment and how you approach that and adopted it -- adapted | 21 the same brick specification in different parts of the |
| 22 it into the plan? | 22 country, the industry doesn't ship that brick from Texas to |
| 23 MR. ALT: Everyone's an architect. And so -- and -- and | 23 Maryland. We have local quarries for some of the product for |
| 24 well intentio | 24 some of the materials that go into the brick. And -- and so |
| 25 paraphrasing what Mr. Kline just said. And so what we did | 25 there's always going to be a -- a very slight color |
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| 1 variation. | 1 MR. ALT: -- there's kind of a shadowed area right here |
| 2 But it's a -- it's a medium dark tone clay to brown red | 2 and now I'm going to jump back to the site plan for -- for a |
| 3 brick and stone with highlights in that color palette. Beige | 3 moment and I can also show it on the -- on the -- |
| 4 and -- and so forth for the trims. | 4 MR. KLINE: Site detail |
| 5 MR. KLINE: The zoning ordinance requires that a | 5 MR. ALT: On the site details. Yes. |
| 6 conditional use in a residential zone have a residential | 6 MR. KLINE: So, returning to Exhibit 148 -- |
| 7 flavor. Can you, using the elevations, describe why you | 7 MR. ALT: It's -- I'm pointing to a -- a -- -- a -- an |
| 8 believe that's been achieved with this outlay -- plan? | 8 indentation between two wings facing west, and on that slab, |
| 9 MR. ALT: The -- first of all, it's a gabled roof. It's | 9 there's a slab right there, sit the condensers for the |
| 10 a shingled roof. And even the shingles are reflective of | 10 units. And, oh by the way, they're not [utterance] tick, |
| 11 that -- of that palette, which is very -- I mean, there | 11 tick, tick kind of technology anymore, I think you know. |
| 12 might be one or two houses in this neighborhood that has a | 12 These condensers are almost silent. They're a very low hum. |
| 13 standing [inaudible] metal roof, but it's not typical. It's | 13 And there will be approximately eight, nine, or ten of them |
| 14 got to be a shingle. | 14 depending on the mechanical design for this particular area. |
| 15 And it isn't going to be a painted on five year | 15 And the -- the furnaces themselves are horizontal units and |
| 16 shingle. It's going to be a -- a very heavy, shadow [ph] | 16 they're in the attic. So, the only part of the mechanical |
| 17 line, timber line, 40 year or 50 year shingle. Very high | 17 system, heating and air conditioning system, you see are the |
| 18 quality. And that's reasonably accurately portrayed here on | 18 condensers and they're fenced. And they're fenced with a |
| 19 the color elevations. So that's number one. <br> 20 It's a gable -- it's a -- it's a -- a ridge line that | 19 solid fence and laboratory [??] -- <br> 20 MR. KLINE: Yeah, site details. They -- we marked -- |
| 21 tries to reflect probably 95 or 98 percent of the residences | 21 MR. ALT: -- well, actually -- |
| 22 in the neighborhood. Not the flat roofs that are more commo | 22 MR. KLINE: -- Exhibit 193. |
| 23 to the commercial structures. | 23 MR. ALT: Oh. |
| 24 The brick, the detailing, the use of articulating the | 24 MR. KLINE: Oh, oh. |
| 25 vents in the -- in the gables with a -- with a -- with a | 25 MR. ALT: Well, we can do that or we can -- |
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| 1 brick detailing overhangs awnings over the windows, shingles | 1 MR. KLINE: Well, let's -- let's not do that one yet. |
| 2 -- or I'm sorry. I just went blank. The term on -- on either | 2 Let's -- |
| 3 -- the enclosures on either side of the windows. Even the | 3 MR. ALT: Oh, yeah. All right. Site details. |
| 4 doors themselves that are -- that are coloring, their | 4 MR. KLINE: This was Exhibit 9--- 1-190-- -3? Is |
| 5 detailing, the [inaudible] pattern of the doors being a | 5 that right? |
| 6 half-light, not a full slab of glass. In general a -- a -- | 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. |
| 7 an American vernacular residential -- if you go back all | 7 MR. ALT: Actually, this is going to be the -- the best |
| 8 these details pop up on Barnes. We have s- -- multiple | 8 -- |
| 9 generations of this -- this vocabulary and we honor that. | 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No, that's the site signage |
| 10 MR. KLINE: There -- you heard testimony yesterday | 10 plan. |
| 11 about -- from Miss Mitchell who's concerned about being | 11 MR. ALT: Right, well it does |
| 12 across the road and was concerned more -- she came up more | 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That's -- that's different. |
| 13 in the context of noise, but HVAC equipment of generators, I | 13 MR. KLINE: Yeah, you just got to flip over. No, we're |
| 14 don't see anything on the exterior of the building, so do | 14 okay. You -- |
| 15 you have any mechanical equipment that would detract from | 15 MR. ALT: Actually, the best version of that fence is |
| 16 the residential quality you just described? | 16 this col- -- is -- is this colored. |
| 17 MR. ALT: Actually, we -- it's here. It's in -- it's in | 17 MR. KLINE: Okay. Oh, I -- I thought you were talking |
| 18 this shadow area. On the - | 18 about how you were going to screen the HVAC units. |
| 19 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm sorry; I couldn't see | 19 MR. ALT: Yes, sir. |
| 20 where you pointed to. | 20 MR. KLINE: Oh. |
| 21 MR. ALT: Yeah, right. I'm -- I'mpointing at the west | 21 MR. ALT: It's using the exact same -- |
| 22 elevation-- | 22 MR. KLINE: So you're using the same thing, okay. Then |
| 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 23 the question came up yesterday that we didn't have -- have |
| 24 MR. ALT: -- between the two primary gables -- | 24 any exhibits showing the fence to be installed along the |
| 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Now I see it. Okay. | 25 perimeter of the east property line to screen the adjacent - |
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| 1 | 1 MR. ALT: At four feet. |
| 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I see -- | 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Four feet. |
| 3 MR. KLINE: -- properties. | 3 MR. ALT: And it's a -- it's a heavy-duty commercial |
| 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- okay. | 4 grade extruded vinyl fence, solid vinyl fence system |
| 5 MR. ALT: You remember that. | 5 MR. KLINE: Does it have any noise attenuation |
| 6 MR. KLINE: Mr. Alt's firm was able to generate that | 6 qualities? |
| 7 and send it to us and if you can give me a couple copies | 7 MR. ALT: I can attest to it but I'm not a sound |
| 8 because, apparently, it's going to be used for the screening | 8 engineer, acoustics engineer. |
| 9 of the mechanical equipment as well? | 9 MR. CHEN [??]: Well -- |
| 10 MR. CHEN: These are next exhibits? | 10 MR. ALT: But it -- it does -- it directs the sound |
| 11 MR. KLINE: Yeah. Yes. | 11 outward. |
| 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: We'll mark it as 194. What is | 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Just -- just -- just a second. |
| 13 this -- the technical specifications for the -- | 13 Do you have an objection? |
| 14 MR. ALT: Yes. And there's a very attractive color -- | 14 MR. KLINE: I think he just -- just disclosed that he's |
| 15 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 15 not confident to test [inaudible]. |
| 16 MR. ALT: -- photograph -- | 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah. We'll -- |
| 17 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Just -- | 17 MR. ALT: For some attenuation -- |
| 18 MR. ALT: -- there -- | 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That -- |
| 19 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- hold on one second. So, | 19 MR. ALT: -- that's correct. |
| 20 this is 194 technical specifications for privacy fence. | 20 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- just a second. |
| 21 MR. KLINE: It says extruded privacy fence on | 21 MR. ALT: Apologies. |
| 22 - okay. | 22 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. We're not going to let |
| 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, I don't know what that | 23 him go there, go ahead. |
| 24 means, so -- | 24 MR. KLINE: Fine. Um, when we had testimony yesterday |
| 25 MR. KLINE: Okay. | 25 of the description of the fence it was going to be along the |
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| 1 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- I just -- it said -- | 1 east property line, was opaque board on board fence. |
| 2 MR. CHEN: Pr- -- | 2 Subsequently, we spoke with you and you had a different |
| 3 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- privacy. | 3 suggestion, and I'd like you to explain why you believe what |
| 4 MR. CHEN: That -- that word -- that's -- that's for -- | 4 you have shown now as Exhibit 194 is a preferred -- well, |
| 5 it's a -- so it's a privacy fence. | 5 why -- why you -- why you recommending we use 194 ? |
| 6 MR. KLINE: Right. | 6 MR. ALT: What Mr. Jolley, the landscape architect with |
| 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. | 7 Maser suggested at the time was what col- -- colloquially we |
| 8 MR. KLINE: Mr. Alt -- let -- let's just finish on the | 8 are -- we know as what -- a good neighbor fence, which is an |
| 9 -- the mechanical equipment first and then I-- I got a | 9 alternating vertical slat fence which -- and again, I can't |
| 10 question for you about that. | 10 get into the acoustic nature of it, but if you -- if you |
| 11 MR. ALT: Okay. The condenser furnace, we refer to it, | 11 shine headlights at an angle you go through the fen- -- you |
| 12 occupies an area -- that I mentioned to you and it's | 12 can go through the fence. This is a -- this is a soli |
| 13 convenient to use the site plan again -- tucked in between | 13 fence. |
| 14 these two wings; the two west facing wings of the building | 14 MR. KLINE: So, does that mean it's not opaque? And I |
| 15 and enclosed in a privacy fence. The condensers themselves | 15 ask that question -- |
| 16 are about 30 to 42 inches high. They're ganged for | 16 MR. ALT: Well, it is |
| 17 efficiency and they're screened and enclosed by the fence | 17 MR. KLINE: -- [inaudible], it is - |
| 18 exhibit that you have in your hand only that one -- that one | 18 MR. ALT: -- opaque. |
| 19 happens to be six feet high for the east perimeter screening | 19 MR. KLINE: -- is it opaque |
| 20 of the building from the property to the east, which we can | 20 MR. ALT: It is opaque. |
| 21 talk about later, but that is a -- the same version, the | 21 MR. KLINE: Okay. |
| 22 same fence system that we were -- we commonly typically use | 22 MR. ALT: It's a solid fence, opaque. |
| 23 in a white or a beige or a clay or color to be determined to | 23 MR. KLINE: Okay. So, it -- it would be capable of |
| 24 screen the condensers | 24 allowing no light from vehicle cars to shine through the |
| 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That's six feet high? | 25 glass? |
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| 1 MR. ALT: Up to its height. | 1 MR. ALT: Devices to -- to climb on. There aren't any |
| 2 MR. KLINE: Okay. | 2 bells or gongs or any -- any noise making. We've progressed |
| 3 MR. CHEN: How about below? | 3 a ways -- we don't torture our children quit so much any |
| 4 MR. ALT: Say again, I'm -- I'm sorry, Mr. Lynn. | 4 more with those -- those devices that spin and make you barf |
| 5 MR. CHEN: How far off the ground is it? | 5 and so on -- and we don't do that. So -- no, I apologize |
| 6 MR. ALT: Four inches. Convenient for lawn care. | 6 that had -- that -- that has not been determined yet. |
| 7 MR. KLINE: Could I take you back to the elevations, | 7 MR. KLINE: Yeah. As |
| 8 please? | 8 MR. ALT: And |
| 9 MR. ALT: Okay | 9 MR. KLINE: -- determined by the mix of children, the |
| 10 MR. KLINE: The height of the building is how much? | 10 ages category |
| 11 MR. ALT: I have that written down. The land examiner | 11 MR. ALT: That -- that's correc |
| 12 zoning documents have different ways of treating the heigh | 12 MR. KLINE: Yeah. |
| 13 of the building. Some say to mid-point of the slope, some | 13 MR. ALT: And budget. I mean, we're looking at -- we |
| 14 say to the ridge. I don't know the rule here, so what I'm | 14 are looking at easily $\$ 300,000$ worth of equipment, so it -- |
| 15 going to give you is the ridge -- | 15 it's going to be fairly -- and there's soccer opportunities |
| 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, that's marked on Exhibit | 16 and things of that natur |
| 17 78, right? You've got the ridge and -- | 17 MR. KLINE: Going back to the building and talk- |
| 18 MR. ALT: Oh, ye | 18 talking about the height of the building, how -- how does it |
| 19 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- up at th | 19 relate to other buildings around it, its residential neigh- |
| 20 MR. ALT: Twenty-two feet nine inches. | $20-$ the residential neighborhood? |
| 21 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, that's n | 21 MR. ALT: Well, we're a single-story building. |
| 22 had . | 22 MR. KLINE: Mm-hmm. |
| 23 MR. ALT: Well this - | 23 MR. ALT: And, so, bearing -- the bearing height of the |
| 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I have 21 f | 24 trusses of the ro--- roof trusses is right at about eight |
| 25 MR. ALT: Twenty-one feet 7 inches on the | 25 feet, give or take an inch or two. And I've given you the |
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| NG OFFICER HANNAN: Oh -- oh -- | 1 ridge heights and because the main access of the building is |
| 2 MR. ALT: -- on the wing. The primary access of the -- | 2 the widest element, that's why our -- our ridge is at 20-- |
| 3 of the building is 22,9 ; and then, yeah, you have two | 3 what did we say -- 22, 9. Anyway, it's a single-story |
| 4 different heights for the wings. One is -- well they vary, | 4 building, whereas our -- our newest neighbor, is at least -- |
| 518,$10 ; 19$ feet; 22,9 . But the - the long access to the | 5 and I'm -- I'm estimating now, 10 feet higher. |
| 6 building, that ridge, the highest point is 22,9 | 6 MR. KLINE: That being the property where |
| 7 MR. KLINE: Before I -- before I move on with more | 7 MR. ALT: To the immediate west. |
| 8 questions about the building, I -- I should have asked you | 8 MR. KLINE: Okay |
| 9 about the play lot areas. Will there be any children's | 9 MR. ALT: The new residence to the immediate west. |
| 10 equipment in those areas and if so, what -- and if so, when | 10 MR. KLINE: Okay. The staff report on page 31 and 32, |
| 11 will that decision be made? | 11 has a paragraph titled two-story building option and the |
| 12 MR. ALT: There is age specific playground equipment | 12 staff asked us to consider a two-story option in order to - |
| 13 provided on a -- on a national contract by Little Tikes. The | 13 MR. CHEN: What page are you on? |
| 14 decision on what is -- that's going to consist of has not | 14 MR. KLINE: I'm on page 31 and 32 of the staff -- |
| 15 been made. However, from the point of view of color, it's | 15 technical staff report. And the paragraph is titled two- |
| 16 absolutely all going to be earth tones. | 16 story building option. Would you explain why the staff asked |
| 17 MR. KLINE: Could you give kind of examples within the | 17 us to consider a two-story option and how you evaluated that |
| 18 range of the ages? Do we -- do we have a swing set for the | 18 proposal? |
| 19 six months old's? | 19 MR. ALT: The staff request was well intentioned. What |
| 20 MR. ALT: There are -- yes, there are -- the | 20 they were looking at was an opportunity to help us a little |
| 21 swing sets. There are interactive devices for toddler | 21 bit on site coverage. And we looked at it very carefully. |
| 22 There are the normal prototypical -- I rel | 22 The point being that if we're at, give or take just under |
| 23 familiarity with typi | 2313,000 square feet for a single story, a two-story is not |
| 24 there's a basketball net scaled for five and six year old's. | 24 going to be one-half of th |
| 25 MR. KLINE: Mm-hmm. | 25 What ends up happening with the State of Maryland |


| 28 | 28 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 rules, we have to introduce a number of things on the second | 1 are two elements to this of greatest interest, one is a foot |
| 2 floor for security and for management and for child care. | 2 candle or a lum- -- general illumination level and the other |
| 3 So, and then -- then, of course, in -- in addit- -- in | 3 is glare. We have two sources of illumination other than |
| 4 addition to that we have two stair cores, we have an | 4 what comes through the windows on the outside of the |
| 5 | 5 building. One is the sconces that are at each exit door |
| 6 additional resource rooms on the second floor | 6 the outside, seven feet up from the ground. The other is the |
| 7 We end up with a food delivery issue and so forth, so, | 7 parking lot lighting which is 20 feet up. |
| 8 the -- the total bulk of the building ends up increasing | 8 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mm-hm |
| 9 from about 29 feet to another give or take 12 feet, so now | 9 MR. ALT: Both fixtures are a Cree, C- |
| 10 we're well above in terms of bulk, the mas- -- and massing | 10 in -- in the spec data sheets are included in -- in our |
| 11 of -- well above the neighbor and neighbors across the | 11 submittals, in -- in the exhibits |
| 12 street. And we've increased the total built square feet of | 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Right. |
| 13 the building well north of 14,000 sq--- square feet total, | 13 MR. ALT: Both honor those rules. By that I mean, |
| 14 approaching 15,000 square feet by the time we add in all | 14 there's no direct illumination horizontally, it all goes to |
| 15 those additional featur | 15 the ground. Second, the actual luminaire glare opportunity |
| 16 In addition to that, we're limited as to what age | 16 is shielded, so you -- if you're -- if you're standing -- if |
| 17 children we can put on the second floor of the building, | 17 you're standing under the -- under the par- -- under the |
| 18 generally, no child under the age of 30 months. In addition | 18 parking lot lighting looking up at 20 feet up, you're going |
| 19 to that, we have a management issue. The -- the cost of the | 19 to be able to see the luminaire. But if you're at the |
| 20 building, the cost of the operation of the building does | 20 perimeter of the site, you cannot see the luminaire. So, we |
| 21 slightly increase. We -- we feel and -- and then we -- | 21 have a 0.1 or $0.0-$ I think we have one spot looking at the |
| 22 because we don't have every classroom with an extra door | 22 |
| 23 straight to the outside, we have to conduct the children | 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Ye |
| 24 that are on the second floor in a less -- well, we -- what I | 24 MR. ALT: -- photometrics where it was 0.1. |
| 25 would deem to be a less than satisfactory, certainly les | 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- I wondered that. Is it on |
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| 1 than saf- -- not the safest environment, we have to conduct | 1 the western side? |
| 2 them through the core -- through the | 2 MR. ALT: On the eastern side. |
| 3 stairwells or to the elevators to get to the ground floor | 3 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I get mixed up, eastern and |
| 4 get to the outside for play. | 4 western. Can you -- can you turn that |
| 5 So, we -- we gave it a lot of consideration. We thought | 5 MR. ALT: Sure. |
| 6 very carefully about it. And, again, the franchise owner, | 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- so north and south. |
| 7 Mr . Mandava can speak to that more thoroughly than I from an | 7 MR. ALT: North is up. |
| 8 operational standpoint. | 8 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: North is up. Okay, where is |
| 9 MR. KLINE: Mr. Alt, your firm prepared a land- -- a | 9 that spot? It's -- is it -- so, it's -- you're saying it's |
| 10 lighting plan for the proposed use; is that correct? And if | 10 zero all around except for one spot where it's 0.1 . Is that |
| 11 so, could you pull out the photometric plan for us. This | 11 what you're saying? |
| 12 should be Exhibit -- | 12 MR. ALT: Foot candles, yes. |
| 13 MR. CHEN: 94A and B | 13 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And where is that one spot? |
| 14 MR. ALT: That's correct | 14 MR. ALT: It's directly adjacent -- get my glasses, |
| 15 MR. CHEN: Which one is up there, though? | 15 directly adjacent to one of the light poles. And we were - |
| 16 MR. ALT: Looks like 94A. | 16 we were studying it and if push -- well that's -- here we go |
| 17 MR. KLINE: Yeah. | 17 right here. |
| 18 [talking in background, inaudible] | 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 19 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes, PMA1 | 19 MR. KLINE: Can you identify kind of the location where |
| 20 MR. ALT: So, A is up. | 20 that is? |
| 21 MR. KLINE: And -- yeah. Right. So, would you just | 21 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Approximately. |
| 22 describe first of all the fixtures that are pr--- proposed | 22 MR. ALT: East -- east boundary. Twenty-five feet south |
| 23 to be used, what light spread qualities or features will be | 23 of the main entrance to the building on the west -- on the |
| 24 included in those? | 24 east property line. And immediately beneath one of the |
| 25 MR. ALT: Madam Examiner, as you probably know, there | 25 parking lot poles. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 1 MR. KLINE: Yeah -- |
| 2 MR. KLINE: So, there should be no lights spreading off | 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- there -- |
| 3 the property on to a surrounding prop- -- a residential | 3 MR. KLINE: -- which one [inaudible]. |
| 4 properties? | 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- you're talking about |
| 5 MR. ALT: Yes. Especially, if you consider the fact | 5 Exhib |
| 6 that we have a six-foot fence right at that location as | 6 MR. ALT: Needwood Road about 25 |
| 7 w | 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: |
| 8 MR. KLINE: Okay. You -- you have been instructed by | 8 MR. KLINE: She needs the num- -- she needs the number. |
| 9 the applicant to install cut-offs with the lights as well. | 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- 90- |
| 10 MR. ALT: Absolutely. Yeah. | 10 MR. ALT: Oh. |
| 11 MR. KLINE: Okay. And -- | 11 MR. KLINE: Yeah. |
| 12 MR. ALT: The -- all the -- all illum- -- all | 12 MR. CHEN: 4A. |
| 13 illuminate sources are LED now and so we can -- we can | 13 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: 94A. |
| 14 really dial them to make them that way, make them absolutely | 14 MR. ALT: And I have L |
| 15 aschemetric so they fit into the property illumination goes | 15 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So, on 94A, there's a black |
| 16 like this. As you come down to the vertical, it diminishes | 16 mark showing the sig- -- locations assigned. |
| 17 to zero. | 17 MR. ALT: Of the monument sign. |
| 18 MR. KLINE: And I probably didn't phrase my question | 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 19 properly, you've been instructed to have cutoffs so the | 19 MR. KLINE: So, that is -- looks like it's to the left |
| 20 lights will go off when the property is not in use? | 20 of the entrance driveway? |
| 21 MR. ALT: We're absolutely prepared. We've already -- | 21 MR. ALT: To the west of the entrance -- |
| 22 we've already discussed this with a firm--- with the owner. | 22 MR. KLINE: Okay. |
| 23 We're absolutely prepared to accept any reasonable | 23 MR. ALT: -- it looks to be about 20 feet west of the |
| 24 requirement. | 24 primary curb cut. Curb cut on the -- I'm showing my -- my |
| 25 We do that all the time. In this community, in this | 25 finger is describing where it occurs off Needwood. |
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| 1 neighborhood, we feel -- we're willing to accept 9:00 | 1 MR. KLINE: Okay |
| 2 o'clock by the time the cleaning staff have left, that all | 2 MR. ALT: At about 20 feet south of the right-away |
| 3 parking lot pole lights are off. 9:00 o'clock. | 3 line. |
| 4 We would also be in a position to discuss anything that | 4 MR. KLINE: Do you have a -- an elevation of the -- |
| 5 you might want to do with the -- with the lights at the | 5 what the sign would look like? It's on Exhibit 26 on the |
| 6 doors. | 6 left-hand side you have a monument sign. Can you give us the |
| 7 Those could go down to 90 percent and soft glow if | 7 details and materials, the size, etcetera? |
| 8 nothing else just to identify where the doors are. There's | 8 MR. ALT: Okay. Short answers are, it's not digital. |
| 9 enough ambient light in the neighborhood that that -- that | 9 It's fixed signage, fixed letters. All colors on the sign |
| 10 shouldn't be a problem | 10 match the building. |
| 11 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 11 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Is it illuminated? |
| 12 MR. KLINE: Mr. Alt, Exhibit 26 in the record of-- | 12 MR. ALT: We would like to have it illuminated from th |
| 13 hearing examiner had it up there a minute ago, is the | 13 ground with a -- an approved light source that does not |
| 14 signage plan, there's two pieces of signs. There's a -- a | 14 interfere with traffic. Kind of, typically, if you'll |
| 15 logo or an icon on the building but I think the hearing | 15 forgive me, rather than aiming it perpendicular to the face |
| 16 examiner's more interested in the monument sign. Where would | 16 of the sign, we direct -- we come forward just a little bit |
| 17 it be located? | 17 and then direct it so that it's just slightly away from the |
| 18 MR. CHEN: What exhibit are we on? | 18 traffic. |
| 19 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Twenty-six. | 19 MR. KLINE: No internal illumination though? |
| 20 MR. KLINE: Twenty-six. | 20 MR. ALT: No internal illumination. No digital |
| 21 MR. ALT: Well, we can stay with this one for the | 21 illumination. No chi- -- no changing the sign and it'll take |
| 22 moment, if that's all right. There's a very convenient black | $22-$ and the corp- -- the corporate standard is generally is |
| 23 rectangle there. Now, I can go to other -- | 23 that the text is as -- exactly as shown or -- or the name |
| 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, you're saying -- | 24 may change but it -- right now it -- it says Primrose School |
| 25 MR. ALT: -- sheets. | 25 of Derwood. Maybe it'll become Shady Grove, maybe it'll |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 become something else as we a- -- as we -- if we obtain | 1 question, sir, how many buildings did you compare it to? |
| 2 approval and then there's a tag across the bottom that says | 2 MR. ALT: How many buildings in the -- this building |
| 3 the -- the leader in early education and care | 3 and this neighborho |
| 4 And the -- once again, the material is stone or brick | 4 MR. CHEN: Well, yeah. You -- it says -- expressed an |
| 5 mimic the building. And this detail -- and it's our | 5 opinion, as I understood it, that the design -- |
| 6 understanding that we have a variance path to approval of | 6 MR. ALT: |
| 7 this, but seven feet high, ten feet wide for the structure | 7 MR. CHEN: -- is compatible with the building to the |
| 8 with a medallion of the logo in the center of it. With the | 8 neighborhood. What neighborhood and what building? |
| 9 height of the -- the height of the text for the signage | 9 MR. ALT: I did two things. One, I -- before I came |
| 10 would be two foot eight plus one foot ten; three feet, four | 10 here, I drove the site on Google and then I came to the site |
| 11 foot six to the top of the panel that contains the text and | 11 and drove the site and compared the -- the scale and the |
| 12 then the logo | 12 detailing of the building that I and my staff prepared to |
| wo faces perpend | 13 the most immediate -- let -- let -- I'm going to say, one to |
| 14 MR. KLINE: And since it exceeds two square feet, which | 14 two blocks in both directions on -- east and west on |
| 15 is permitted to the residential zone, it will require | 15 Needwood. I then made note of the fact that there were |
| 16 variance from the Sign Review Board, correct? Which | 16 similarly detailed -- that there was a particular |
| 17 MR. ALT: That's correct | 17 vernacular, a particular color of finish, a particular |
| 18 MR. KLINE: -- you're prepared to accept a condition to | 18 combination of finishes and detailing present on a number of |
| 19 seek that and obtain it and provide a copy of the decision | 19 the residences across the street. At that time, the |
| 20 of the Hearing Examiner? | 20 residents immediately to the west across Curgee [ph] wasn't |
| 21 MR. ALT: Yes. | 21 there. |
| 22 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 22 MR. CHEN: So, when did you drive the site? |
| 23 MR. KLINE: Mr. Alt, just to wrap up, does the building | 23 MR. ALT: I'd have to look at my logs, but it was in |
| 24 incorporate residential features to give it a residential | 24 May -- April or May of '19. And then I was also here for the |
| 25 appearance and feel? | 25 first edition of this hearing. |
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| MR. ALT: Yes. | 1 MR. CHEN: Yes. We -- we drove -- the question was when |
| 2 MR. KLINE: And is the proposed building harmonious | 2 did you drive the site? |
| 3 with and will -- harmonious with its surrounding residential | 3 MR. ALT: April or -- April -- it was April or May of |
| 4 neighbors? | 4 '19. |
| MR. CHEN: Objec | 5 MR. CHEN: And as I understand it, you drove on |
| 6 MR. ALT: My professional opinion -- | 6 Needwood for one to two blocks? |
| HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Just a second. | 7 MR. ALT: Yes. I also spent a fair amount of it a--- |
| 8 MR. ALT: Sorry. | 8 atten--- I gave quite a bit of attention to the church |
| HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Um - | 9 because in prior communication, I had been asked to look at |
| 10 MR. ALT: [inaudible] | 10 the church. Those were staff comments forwarded to m |
| 11 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- can you ask this a | 11 through Mr. Intriago. |
| 12 different -- I know what he's -- I'm assuming he's objecting | 12 MR. CHEN: And the church is on Needwood so that was |
| 13 because the land planner usually testifies to this, not the | 13 within that -- |
| 14 -- an architect. He can say that, you know, doesn't incorp- | 14 MR. ALT: East. |
| I don't know. | 15 MR. CHEN: -- driving -- |
| 16 MR. KLINE: Well, may- -- maybe the mistake is I'm | 16 MR. ALT: About that, yes. |
| 7 trying to use the words of the security ordinance. | 17 MR. CHEN: Okay. |
| 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I do think that. | 18 MR. ALT: To get there I had -- |
| 19 MR. KLINE: Yeah. Do you believe that the design of the | 19 MR. CHEN: [inaudible] -- |
| 20 building is compatible with the surrounding neighborhood | 20 MR. ALT: -- to drive greater lengths on Needwood. Yes. |
| 21 from a design -- architectural point of view? | 21 MR. CHEN: And when did you drive the site on Google? |
| 22 MR. ALT: Yes. | 22 MR. ALT: Back in '17 in -- 2017 when we first got |
| 23 MR. KLINE: No further questions. | 23 notified of the project. |
| 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: All right. Mr. Chen. | 24 MR. CHEN: And -- and did you -- what was the area that |
| 25 MR. CHEN: Well, it's that -- this is the last | 25 you drove that -- |

become something else as we a- -- as we -- if we obtain approval and then there's a tag across the bottom that says the -- the leader in early education and care.

And the -- once again, the material is stone or brick mimic the building. And this detail -- and it's our understanding that we have a variance path to approval of this, but seven feet high, ten feet wide for the structure with a medallion of the logo in the center of it. With the height of the -- the height of the text for the signage our左 hen the logo would be above that. And that would be two fa--- two faces perpendicular to Needwood, so two sides. MR. KLINE: And since it exceeds two square feet, which is permitted to the residential zone, it will require variance from the Sign Review Board, correct? Which -MR. ALT: That's correct -MR. KLINE: -- you're prepared to accept a condition to seek that and obtain it and provide a copy of the decision of the Hearing Examiner?

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. KLINE: Mr. Alt, just to wrap up, does the building incorporate residential features to give it a residential appearance and feel?

MR. ALT: Yes.
MR. KLINE: And is the proposed building harmonious with and will -- harmonious with its surrounding residential neighbors?

MR. CHEN: Objection.
MR. ALT: My professional opinion --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Just a second.
MR. ALT: Sorry.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Um --
MR. ALT: [inaudible]
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- can you ask this a different -- I know what he's -- I'm assuming he's objecting because the land planner usually testifies to this, not the an architect. He can say that, you know, doesn't incorpont know.

MR. KLINE: Well, may- -- maybe the mistake is Im OR
MR. KLINE: Yeah. Do you believe that the design of the
building is compatible with the surrounding neighborhood
from a design -- architectural point of view?
MR. ALT: Yes.
MR. KLINE: No further questions.

MR. CHEN: Well, it's that -- this is the last
question, sir, how many buildings did you compare it to?
MR. ALT: How many buildings in the -- this building and this neighborhood?

MR. CHEN: Well, yeah. You -- it says -- expressed an opinion, as I understood it, that the design --

MR. ALT: I --
MR. CHEN: -- is compatible with the building to the neighborhood. What neighborhood and what building?

MR. ALT: I did two things. One, I -- before I came here, I drove the site on Google and then I came to the site and drove the site and compared the -- the scale and the detailing of the building that I and my staff prepared to the most immediate -- let -- let -- I'm going to say, one to two blocks in both directions on -- east and west on Needwood. I then made note of the fact that there were 16 similarly detailed -- that there was a particular 17 vernacular, a particular color of finish, a particular 18 combination of finishes and detailing present on a number of the residences across the street. At that time, the residents immediately to the west across Curgee [ph] wasn't

MR. CHEN: So, when did you drive the site?
MR. ALT: I'd have to look at my logs, but it was in May -- April or May of '19. And then I was also here for the first edition of this hearing.

MR. CHEN: Yes. We -- we drove -- the question was when did you drive the site?

MR. ALT: April or -- April -- it was April or May of '19.

MR. CHEN: And as I understand it, you drove on
Needwood for one to two blocks?
MR. ALT: Yes. I also spent a fair amount of it a- --
atten- -- I gave quite a bit of attention to the church
because in prior communication, I had been asked to look at the church. Those were staff comments forwarded to me through Mr. Intriago.

MR. CHEN: And the church is on Needwood so that was within that --

MR. ALT: East.
MR. CHEN: -- driving --
MR. ALT: About that, yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. ALT: To get there I had --
MR. CHEN: [inaudible] --
MR. ALT: -- to drive greater lengths on Needwood. Yes.
MR. CHEN: And when did you drive the site on Google?
MR. ALT: Back in '17 in -- 2017 when we first got notified of the project.

MR. CHEN: And -- and did you -- what was the area that you drove that --
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| MR. ALT: The same | 1 MR. KLINE: Could you take a step back, Jim. |
| MR. CHEN: Okay. When you talked about building height, | 2 MR. ALT: Oops, sorry. Proposed six-foot-high opaque |
| 3 you've -- you've not been out and done any actual | 3 screening fence is notated from as close to Needwood as |
| 4 measurements. I think you said the outside, 7500 Needwood | 4 traffic engineering will allow, south to the southern-most |
| 5 was 20 feet higher than the building height compared to the | 5 point of the parking field on the plan. |
| 6 neighbors. Is that right? | 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 7 MR. ALT: I did not -- no. If you do the math, I didn't | 7 MR. ALT: Approximately where the conservation area |
| 8 -- I didn't use the term 20 feet. | 8 begins, forest countr- -- conservation area begins. |
| 9 MR. CHEN: Oh, okay. Higher, you said -- I thought you | 9 MR. CHEN: And how tall will the top of this fence be |
| 10 said it was 20 feet higher. 7500, now, I'm doing the -- | 10 from the ground? |
| IR. ALT: Okay. | 11 MR. ALT: To give you an exact to the inch measurement, |
| MR. CHEN: -- address | 12 I will have to look at the sheet details and examine it and |
| MR. ALT: -- that's | 13 decide but the -- the posts with that neural at the top of |
| R. CHEN: Yes. | 14 each post, I'm going to say will be a minimum of six feet to |
| R. ALT: -- residence to the immediate west -- | 15 that point. |
| 16 MR. CHEN: Yeah. | 16 MR. CHEN: Okay. So, the top of the fence will be six |
| 17 MR. ALT: -- it's -- | 17 feet off the ground -- |
| 18 MR. CHEN: Yeah. | 18 MR. ALT: Minimum. |
| 19 MR. ALT: -- I did an estimate not ph- -- physically | 19 MR. CHEN: -- within inch -- with minim- -- within |
| me | 20 inches difference |
| 21 MR. CHEN: | 21 MR. ALT: Correct. |
| 22 MR. ALT: -- I observed in my opinion that it would be | 22 MR. CHEN: Give or take in some inches. |
| 23 at least 12 feet taller, a minimum of 12 feet taller than | 23 MR. ALT: Correct. |
| 24 the ridge of our building as proposed. | 24 MR. CHEN: And it's all ready -- and it will be four |
| 25 MR. CHEN: And is the ridge the exterior portion of the | 25 inches to begin with, the fence part, not the post, the |
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| 1 roof? | 1 fence will be four inches off the ground. |
| 2 [Whispering: It's the top.] | 2 MR. ALT: Yes. |
| 3 MR. ALT: Yes, the very top m | 3 MR. CHEN: And what is the elevation of the backyard of |
| 4 MR. CHEN: Yeah. And as I understand it, the -- as of | 4 the Kosary property? And what is the elevation of the |
| 5 your testimony, the opaque fence on the east side is now | 5 driveway? |
| 6 going to be the type of fence that is shown on Exhibit 194, | 6 MR. ALT: I don't know. |
| 7 correct? | 7 MR. CHEN: Has anybody conducted any measurements of |
| 8 MR. ALT: Yes. | 8 those two elevations? |
| 9 MR. CHEN: Color? | 9 MR. ALT: I would -- I don't know. |
| 10 MR. ALT: It -- clay. Matching as nearly as possible | 10 MR. CHEN: Okay. And as I understand it, you have one |
| 11 the brick -- | 1120 -foot high pole that is on the eastern boundary line and |
| 12 MR. CHEN: [inaudible] | 12 you identified the location of that; is that right, sir, on |
| 13 MR. ALT: -- on the building. | 13 the photometric you -- you identified it? |
| 14 MR. CHEN: And for what portion of the eastern boundary | 14 MR. ALT: There may be more than that. I'll have to |
| 15 will it go? Will it go from Needwood to the very end of the | 15 take a look. My memory is not clear, the number of-- that |
| 16 property to the south or -- | 16 fixture -- |
| 17 MR. ALT: I would need | 17 MR. CHEN: The 20 foot one. |
| 18 MR. CHEN: -- [inaudible] | 18 MR. ALT: -- on the -- on the west -- I'm sorry, on the |
| 19 MR. ALT: -- to refer to Mr. Intriago's site plan to | 19 east property line that Cree on the property line -- |
| 20 determine that. | 20 MR. CHEN: When you say Cree, what do you mean by that, |
| 21 MR. CHEN: Okay. | 21 sir ? |
| 22 [talking in background, inaudible] | 22 MR. ALT: That's the manufacturer. |
| 23 MR. CHEN: And Mr. Lynn, I'm referring to -- Madam | 23 MR. CHEN: It -- it's a -- it's a type then of pole? |
| 24 Examiner, I'm referring to Exhibit -- and it looks like 93D. | 24 MR. ALT: It's a manufacturer name -- manufacturer's |
| 25 And -- | 25 name. |
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MR. CHEN: Yes. You're identifying the manufacture of the pole in question.
MR. ALT: Pole and -- and -- and --
MR. CHEN: And -- and the light.
MR. ALT: -- yeah --
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. ALT: -- it's three --
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. ALT: Yes. And that is -- that's in the exhibits.
And I'm having difficulty reading it.
MR. CHEN: Want my reading glasses? I -- I'm -- I'm -I'm sympathetic, that's meant as a symp -- sympathetic --
MR. ALT: Okay. They're very difficult to pick out.
There are -- the reason I'm taking the time, Mr. Lynn, is
because you said one.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mr. Chen.
MR. ALT: Mr. Chen,
MR. CHEN: Yes. Lynn is a different [inaudible].
MR. ALT: I thought you said one and I thought there
were two.
MR. CHEN: Well, why don't we do it this way. Do you
know where the 20 -foot Cree lights are to be located on the site?
MR. ALT: That's exactly what I'm trying to determine.
MR. CHEN: Is there possibly another exhibit that shows
```

the location --
MR. ALT: It may be highlighted on a different exhibit.
Madam Examiner, do they have a -- does the version you have
in your possession, do they have highlights on them?
MR. CHEN: It's in our --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Of the photometric study?
MR. ALT: Of -- of the pole locations?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: They should be on the CUP, the
conditional use plan.
MR. ALT: Not that I saw. They're really tricky to pick
out [inaudible].
[talking over each other, inaudible]
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I -- it -- I don't see it on
here. I'm looking at CUP and I don't see it anywhere.
[talking over each other, inaudible]
MR. CHEN: Does -- does Mr. Intriago know where they're
located.
MR. ALT: Mr. Intriago, if you don't object just
helping me locate --
MR. CHEN: Yeah, I'm -- I'm not really objecting, I'm -

- I'm -- I'm trying to get to it. Does Mr. Intriago know
where they are located?
MR. ALT: I believe. So, we're -- we're attempting
together with the --
MR. CHEN: Well, then -- just -- I'm trying to save
time. I'm just trying -- I know you got to get a plane, Mr.
Kline has to get downtown, I'm actually trying to help --
MR. ALT: Yes.
MR. CHEN: -- so, and Mis- -- since Mr. Intriago's
coming back, it --
MR. ALT: I could defer to him; we've located six of the eight.

MR. CHEN: That's -- so long as Mr. Intriago is capable of identifying the location and to talk about what these --
10 well, let me even try some more on that. When you say
they're 20 -foot high, sir, what -- what -- where does that
height go to? Does it go to the pole, does it go to a
fixture? Where's it go?
MR. ALT: In the exhibits there is a detail that shows
that the 20 foot is to the height -- the top of the f- --
16 the top of the luminaire itself.
MR. CHEN: When you -- and when you say luminaire, you're talking about the actual lighting fixture, right --

MR. ALT: The height.
MR. CHEN: -- at the top of the pole.
MR. ALT: Right.
MR. CHEN: Okay. So, that to the top of the fixture is 320 feet from the ground?

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes, Mr. Kline. MR. KLINE: Well, I'm -- I'm -- there -- there is a
exhibit, light pole and lamp details, they -- Exhibit 77,
that's not photometric planned and it may have a -- it
either has the details we thought -- but maybe it actually
shows a location --
MR. CHEN: Which -- please --
MR. KLINE: -- I'm waiting for --
MR. ALT: It shows exactly 20 feet --
MALE: 77.
[talking over each other, inaudible]
MR. ALT: Sorry, Madam Examiner, it's 20 feet exactly
at the top of the head --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What -- do you know what the exhibit --

MR. KLINE: Okay, never -- never -- never mind, he just
found it. It's PM2 and it -- it only shows the details, but it doesn't show the location.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. KLINE: Thank you.
MR. ALT: But -- but it does have a full elevation of
the pole and the fixture.
MR. CHEN: Is -- is PM2, is that an Alt exhibit or is
that a Maser exhibit?
MR. ALT: That's an Alt exhibit.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And what does -- and what exhibit is
that? Is that 77 , Mr. Kline?

| 305 | 307 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. KLINE: Correct. | 1 [talking over each other, inaudible] |
| 2 MR. CHEN: Okay. And what does 77 -- Exhibit 77 show? | 2 MALE: But -- but you could eat them as well. |
| 3 MR. ALT: It shows as -- an elevation of the pole and | 3 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I just want to -- |
| 4 the head. | 4 [talking over each other, inaudible] |
| 5 MR. CHEN: Could -- | 5 MR. CHEN: Okay. So, each one of those doors will have |
| 6 MR. ALT: -- and the pedestal that it mounts to. | 6 one of these sconces. And the rear -- I take it the rear -- |
| 7 MR. KLINE: It's titled light pole and lamp detail | 7 what I call the rear or the main entry at -- façade of the |
| 8 sheet, PM2. | 8 building -- |
| 9 MR. CHEN: And is that just the 20-foot lights? Okay. | 9 MR. ALT: Yes. |
| 10 And -- and that's -- therefore exhibit will have the | 10 MR. CHEN: -- will that -- will that doorway entrance |
| 11 information that -- I think you've already answered about | 11 have one of these sconces? |
| 12 and Mr. Intriago can give us the location of -- of them. | 12 MR. ALT: Yes. |
| 13 MR. ALT: A companion sheet to that exhibit shows the | 13 MR. CHEN: How many? |
| 14 photometrics that indicates the location of the heads of the | 14 MR. ALT: One on each side of the entrance. [inaudible] |
| 15 poles, yes. | 15 elevation, you'll have to, once again, help me out on the -- |
| 16 MR. CHEN: Fine. Okay. Now, you -- you also used the | 16 MALE: What exhibit [inaudible]. |
| 17 term scones [sic]. | 17 MR. ALT: On Exhibit Number -- |
| 18 MR. ALT: Sconces. | 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, what -- there's some -- |
| 19 MR. CHEN: Sconces. I -- I -- how do you spell that, | 19 light exhibits around 77. And I don't know, they have PM- -- |
| 20 sir? | 20 PM1A and PM1B and PM2, is that what you're looking for or |
| 21 MR. ALT: S-c-o-n-c-e. | 21 |
| 22 MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, and they're seven foot up, you | 22 MR. ALT: There is -- this requires a bit of an |
| 23 said. | 23 explanation. Please be patient with me. The entrance and |
| 24 MR. ALT: Yes, that's correct | 24 there are two entrances. I am pointing at foyer or the |
| 25 MR. CHEN: And are these lights that go next to the | 25 vestibule -- |
| 306 | 308 |
| 1 doors? | 1 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: On what exhibit, the floor |
| 2 MR. ALT: Correct. | 2 plan? |
| 3 MR. CHEN: Exterior doors? | 3 MR. ALT: Yes. |
| 4 MR. ALT: Yes. | 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. So, that is -- |
| 5 MR. CHEN: All exterior doors? | 5 MR. KLINE: 79. |
| 6 MR. ALT: Yes. | 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 7 MR. CHEN: And how many exterior doors are there as -- | 7 MR. ALT: There is one door on the vestibule -- |
| 8 particularly on the east side of the building? How many | 8 MR. CHEN: Facing east. |
| 9 doors are there? | 9 MR. ALT: That faces east and then we'll have one |
| 10 MR. ALT: The east elevation being across the top of | 10 light. One sconces -- scone. And I'm pointing at it on sheet |
| 11 the page -- | 11 A 1.0 at the vestibule door that faces east on the floor |
| 12 MR. CHEN: What exhibit are you on, sir? | 12 plan. |
| 13 MR. ALT: A1.0, you'll have to -- tell me what the | 13 MR. CHEN: And -- |
| 14 [inaudible]. | 14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But there will also be sconces |
| 15 MR. KLINE: Go ahead, I'll -- I'll get the number for | 15 -- sconce lights on the exterior on the other exit doors. Is |
| 16 you. | 16 that what you're saying? |
| 17 MR. CHEN: Okay. | 17 MR. ALT: Yes. |
| 18 MR. ALT: There are one, two, three, four, five, six | 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. On the east side. |
| 19 exterior doors facing east. | 19 MR. ALT: Mr. Chen asked me -- |
| 20 MR. CHEN: And I take it, how many scones [sic] will | 20 MR. CHEN: C-h-e-n. |
| 21 each door have? | 21 MR. ALT: Chen? |
| 22 MR. ALT: One. | 22 MR. CHEN: Yes. |
| 23 MR. CHEN: Okay. | 23 MR. ALT: Asked me which -- asked me about the doors |
| 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Sconces. | 24 facing east. |
| 25 MR. CHEN: Sconces. | 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Right. Okay. |
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        MR. CHEN: Now, are those doors solid, sir?
        MR. ALT: They're what we call a half-light; bottom
panel half of the door is solid, top half is safety glass.
        MR. CHEN: So you can see in and see out. And how many
windows are on the east side, totally aside from the doors?
        MR. ALT: I'd like to show you the color elevation
first. That might help.
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I think that's }78
        MR. ALT: The color elevation, Exhibit --
        [talking in background, inaudible]
        MR. KLINE: Don't forget that. I appreciate that. Thank
you for helping me. [inaudible].
        MR. ALT: -- Sheet A4.0, Madam Examiner.
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That's }78
        MR. CHEN: You're looking at the elevations, correct,
sir?
        MR. ALT: I'm looking at Sheet A4.0 exterior elevations
in color, east -- east elevation facing the property to the
east
    MR. KLINE: And that's on the bot- -- the bottom
elevation.
        MR. ALT: Bottom elevation and I'm reassured to know we
    have correctly illustrated each one of the classroom doors
    is technically called a half-light and then there are one,
    two, three, four, five, six windows facing east.
    MR. CHEN: By the way, are the sconces shown in that
elevation?
    MR. ALT: Yes, they are.
    MR. CHEN: Good. And do those windows, are they going
to have curtains on there?
    MR. ALT: Yes, they will. Keeping in mind that school
is over at 6:00 and there will be a cleaning staff in the
building for a couple of hours thereafter.
    MR. CHEN: And -- and then what happens?
    MR. ALT: The lights all go off.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. And you talked about the lights -- the
exterior lights going off.
    MR. ALT: Correct.
    MR. CHEN: And --
    MR. ALT: And we offered --
    MR. CHEN: I understand --
    MR. ALT: -- 9 feet -- 9:00 o'clock.
    MR. CHEN: And that I -- I interpret that in your
testimony to mean all -- all exterior lights would go off.
    MR. ALT: All exterior lights.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. Are you aware of any vandalism issues
in this community?
    MR. ALT: I thought very carefully before I responded
    to that and made that offer to Madam-- Madam Examiner and I
    discussed it with the -- the owner. And, yes, in the past at
other locations we -- we -- we have elected to ask for later
hours.
    MR. CHEN: Right.
    MR. ALT: And in this case, we felt upon reflection
that 9:00 o'clock was a good time, given a six-foot fence
that 9:00 o'clock would be a safe time.
    MR. CHEN: Did you do any inquiry as to that type of
problem in this particular neighborhood?
    MR. ALT: No.
    MR. CHEN:Are you aware of the location of the
    Taiwanese Cultural Center down the road?
    MR. ALT:What I refer to as the church?
    MR. CHEN: No. Taiwan- --
    MR. ALT: No, I'm not familiar with the Taiwanese
Cultural Center.
    MR. CHEN:There -- there's a church immediate- --
immediately on the eastern side of the Kosary property.
8 You're on the west side of it, but further down Needwood
9 there is a -- another special exception slash conditional
use for an organization called the Taiwanese Cultural
Center.
    MR. ALT: I became aware of it only today.
    MR. CHEN: Oh, okay. Okay.
    [talking in background, inaudible]
    MR. CHEN: Oh, yes, okay. Is there a gate at the end of
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the driveway at Needwood?
    MR. ALT: For the -- for the street? For our driveway,
no.
    MR. CHEN: Is there any way to bar, I guess that's the
right word, motor vehicles from entering this property after
business hours?
    MR. ALT: I'm sure there is.
    MR. CHEN: Is there any proposed?
    MR. ALT: No.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. As I understand your testimony, sir,
this particular building is the -- is one of the Primrose
prototypical buildings, correct?
    MR. ALT: Yes.
    MR. CHEN: And it has 400 prototypical buildings.
    MR. ALT: Approximately --
    MR. CHEN: Approximately.
    MR. ALT: -- }400\mathrm{ and growing.
    MR. CHEN: And this particular prototypical building,
is for a particular child populous; is that right sir?
    MR. ALT: A prototypical child populous, yes.
    MR. CHEN: In this case, it's }195\mathrm{ children.
    MR. ALT: Yes.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. I mean, I -- I'm not -- I'm not
    4 forgetting your testimony about maybe there'll be two
    25 children in a family, so it would be one vehicle trip for
```

```
two. I understand that. But in this case, Primrose is
seeking permission to have a }195\mathrm{ children.
    MR. ALT: In order to gain a better understanding of
that, I recommend that you ask that question of the
operator.
    MR. CHEN: Okay.
    MR. ALT: Mr. Mandava.
    MR. CHEN: I-- I'm not going down that road.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN:Well, I'm not sure what your
question is.
        MR. CHEN: I -- I just want to make su- -- I -- if you
bear with me at this point --
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
        MR. CHEN: -- it comes back to testimony fromMr.
Taylor who's from--
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Matthew Taylor --
        MR. ALT: Matt Taylor. Yeah.
        MR. CHEN: And are there any other prototypical
buildings for }195\mathrm{ children and }32\mathrm{ staff?
        MR. ALT: Yes.
        MR. CHEN: Are they -- is there any reason why any of
those were not chosen for this particular site?
        MR. ALT: I don't know.
        MR. CHEN: Okay. So, you were given this particular
    prototypical building from, I guess, Primrose?
        MR. ALT: Yes.
        MR. CHEN: And told this is what we want on this site?
        MR. ALT: Yes.
        MR. CHEN:And your testimony tonight, as I understand
    it, only pertains to the building itself and the lighting
    that you've identified; is that right, sir?
        MR. ALT: Yes.
        [talking in background, inaudible]
        MR. CHEN: I -- I -- I apologize, sir, just -- I had to
    get some clarification. Is it your testimony that I guess
    1 1 \text { it's a condition of the conditional use approval that the}
    applicant will turn off all exterior lights at a given time?
        MR. ALT: Yes. Mr. Chen that is not a difficult thing
    4 to agree to. It's a common feature of this kind of a -- a
    15 review, a body -- a- -- an authority having jurisdiction to
    agree to something of this nature.
    MR. CHEN: What if the police did not want lights
    turned off because of a problem with the people do --
    getting on to sites and doing inappropriate things?
        MR. ALT: I'm aware --
        MR. KLINE: Excuse me --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It's been --
        MR. KLINE: -- not that I'm objecting --
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- [inaudible] --
        MR. KLINE:-- but I don't think he can answer that.
```
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18 turned off because of a problem with the people do --
19 getting on to sites and doing inappropriate things?
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1

22 MR. CHEN: I know. Or Montgomery County.

| 317 | 319 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 attesting to those. | 1 MR. ALT: There's always -- there's always a |
| 2 I am merely telling you, and this is not a catch, I'm | 2 clarification of that. |
| 3 merely telling you that we are illuminating the site and the | 3 MR. CHEN: Okay. |
| 4 building with those two fixtures, that's it. Oh -- | 4 MR. ALT: Mr. Chen. |
| 5 MR. CHEN: I'm not -- | 5 MR. CHEN: Chen, C-h-e-n. |
| 6 MR. ALT: -- by the way -- | 6 MR. ALT: The fire light safety code requires if all |
| 7 MR. CHEN: -- I'm -- I'm - | 7 the lights in here were turn- -- suddenly turned off, they |
| 8 MR. ALT: -- Madam Examiner asked about whether or not | 8 wouldn't all go off. |
| 9 the monument sign was going to be illuminated, so you can | 9 MR. CHEN: Fine. |
| 10 see where I'm headed, I'm -- | 10 MR. ALT: It's called night lights and they're intended |
| 11 MR. CHEN: No, I'm not - | 11 for me, who I'm-- I'mhuddled under the desk because I |
| 12 MR. ALT: -- racking my brain trying to figure out -- | 12 wondered what happened and I pop my head up and I make my |
| 13 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, I'm -- okay. How many | 13 way to the exit via one or two lights in this room and one |
| 14 lights? Do we have the number of how many 20 -foot poles? | 14 periodically every 50 feet going down the hall -- |
| 15 MR. ALT: Yes, we do. It's on the drawings. | 15 MR. CHEN: Aww -- |
| 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay | 16 MR. ALT: -- that are now operating on battery -- |
| 17 MR. ALT: I think it's eight. | 17 MR. CHEN: -- got you. |
| 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. | 18 MR. ALT: -- so there's never a short answer to that |
| 19 [talking in background, inaudible] | 19 kind of a question. |
| 20 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And we have the testimony on | 20 MR. CHEN: Okay. |
| 21 the sconces -- | 21 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, don't use this facility |
| 22 MR. ALT: Twenty. | 22 as your answer [inaudible]. |
| 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- and then we have the | 23 [laughing] |
| 24 testimony of the lights. | 24 MR. ALT: So, I'd still be under the desk. |
| 25 MR. ALT: For the monument sign. | 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: We had a fire drill here the |
| 318 | 320 |
| 1 [whispering in background, inaudible] | 1 other drill and -- |
| 2 MR. ALT: Two. | 2 [talking in background, inaudible] |
| 3 MR. CHEN: So, I just so -- | 3 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- they couldn't find the fire |
| 4 MR. ALT: And you can just tell me where you're headed | 4 panel. Go ahead. |
| 5 with this, I might be able to answer it. | 5 MR. CHEN: So, as I understand your testimony -- |
| 6 MR. CHEN: I'm-- I'm trying to get some clarity and | 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It wasn't a drill. Go ahead. |
| 7 I'm not challenging, so please, I just -- | 7 MR. CHEN: -- as I understand your testimony that there |
| 8 MR. ALT: Sure. | 8 will be some kind of lighting inside the building 24/7 of |
| 9 MR. CHEN: -- so, as I understand your testimony, the | 9 some sort. |
| 10 only exterior lights on this property will be the 20 foot -- | 10 MR. ALT: Yes. |
| 11 the lights on 20-foot poles and the sconces next to the | 11 MR. CHEN: Okay. |
| 12 doors. | 12 MR. ALT: It's called night lighting. |
| 13 MR. ALT: Yes. | 13 MR. CHEN: Okay. And -- and it's for the purpose that |
| 14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, he also just said the | 14 you've just described that if something happens at 2:00 |
| 15 illumination of the sign. | 15 o'clock in the morning and somebody needs to be in there, |
| 16 MR. CHEN: And the -- that -- but the sign's not part | 16 there's some lighting. |
| 17 of the building. So -- so, the -- I'm talking about the | 17 But the police have to go to the site at 10:00 o'clock |
| 18 building right now. Any other lights would be interior | $18 \mathrm{a}-$-- p.m, there's lighting there. |
| 19 lights, correct, sir? | 19 MR. ALT: Yes. |
| 20 MR. ALT: Yes. | 20 MR. CHEN: Can you tell us where that'll be -- |
| 21 MR. CHEN: And as I understand your testimony, and I | 21 MR. ALT: No. |
| 22 know you're not operatience [ph] -- operations person, but | 22 MR. CHEN: -- and what it will be? Okay. |
| 23 you did get into it, that after the maintenance crew were to | 23 MR. ALT: I can't. |
| 24 leave by 9:00 o'clock, all interior lights are turned off | 24 MR. CHEN: I'm-- I'm-- I appreciate that. I'm not |
| 25 also. | 25 trying to put you in a box, I -- but -- and I appreciate |



## Conducted on March 6, 2020

325
We're off the record. Thank you. If you could -- you don't
have to stay on the record. If you could -- if you --
(Off the record at 6:00 p.m.)
proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the
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| criteria | CSW | $255: 22, \quad 291: 24$ | 172:11, 172:13, |
|  | $80: 24$ | cut-offs |  |
| $172: 15,175: 25 \text {, }$ | cswm9 | 289:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 175: 19, \quad 175: 22, \\ & 181: 8, \quad 181: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| 176:3 | 79:25, 80:8 | cutoffs | $181: 12,183: 7,$ |
| critical | cu | 289:19 | $185: 10,185: 13,$ |
| 6:3, 8:15, | $1: 6,7: 10$, $79: 25,130.10$ | cycle | $185: 16,185: 21,$ |
| 8:16, 8:17, 9:5 criticism | cueing | 240:2 | 186:20, 187:19, |
| 124:13, 154:21 | 42:1 | D | 188:9, 188:11, |
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| ```198:7, 198:8, 198:11, 198:14, 198:17, 199:1, 203:18, 208:2, 208:4, 208:20, 209:3, 211:15, 212:15, 213:8, 213:23, 213:24, 215:9, 217:23, 218:20, 219:25, 221:22, 225:23, 228:16, 242:7, 243:3, 247:18 days 149:25, 150:2, 185:15, 198:18, 214:1 dayton-xenia 245:24 de 237:20 deal 16:8, 50:11, 157:19 dealing 34:19, 63:24, 64:19, 69:23 deci 122:21 decide 256:3, 299:13 decided 127:1, 178:4 deciding 41:24 decision 51:24, 78:23, 255:1, 282:11, 282:14, 293:19 decline 141:1 decrease 179:18, 179:24, 180:2, 183:16, 183:19, 184:10, 185:10 deducted 211:5``` | deduction <br> 196:22, 216:19 <br> deem <br> 285:25 <br> deep <br> 197:9, 197:11 <br> deepak <br> 97:16 <br> defect <br> 178:3 <br> defer <br> 78:6, 244:6, <br> 303: 6 <br> deferred <br> 96:22 <br> deficien <br> 227: 4 <br> deficiencies <br> 61:7, 61:10, <br> 227:3 <br> deficiency <br> 227:11 <br> definitely <br> 102:1, 243:17, 252:21 <br> definitive <br> 316:3 <br> delineates <br> 22:1, 23:15 <br> deliveries <br> 254:11 <br> delivery <br> 231:21, 285:7 <br> demographic $247: 21$ <br> demonstrate 51:1 <br> denied $\begin{aligned} & 171: 6,173: 15, \\ & 241: 11 \\ & \text { dense } \\ & 194: 2, \quad 228: 17 \\ & \text { density } \\ & 172: 10,172: 13, \\ & 175: 16,182: 25, \\ & 183: 1,183: 6, \\ & 211: 7, \quad 212: 14, \\ & 214: 5, \quad 218: 10, \end{aligned}$ | ```234:9 department 71:15, 126:6, 140:21, 141:19, 159:7, 164:15, 250:21 departments 315:11 depending 250:23, 251:7, 253:14, 275:14 depict 69:20 depiction 6:6, 17:15, 56:7 depose 144:20 derwood 292:25, 315:20 describe 38:4, 40:21, 54:11, 75:14, 77:6, 165:8, 249:16, 270:20, 271:23, 273:7, 286:22 described 105:21, 114:2, 114:17, 204:1, 204:21, 205:3, 207:3, 274:16, 320:14 describing 291:25 description 6:2, 142:25, 152:8, 196:6, 279:25 descriptions 267:19, 268:21 deserve 103:8, 117:2 deserves 20:23, 42:24, 100:10, 100:21, 115:18 design 6:7, 15:19,``` |  |
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| 292:7, 299:12, | 176:24, 229:9, | 127:9, 127:24, | diminution |
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| drawing <br> 18:10, 18:12, <br> 45:12, 51:7, <br> 94:5 <br> drawings 12:9, 103:12, <br> 317:15 <br> drew <br> 21:22, 21:24 <br> dri <br> 153:17 <br> drill <br> 319:25, 320:1, <br> 320:6 <br> drive $\begin{aligned} & 29: 19, \quad 29: 20, \\ & 46: 16, \quad 60: 5, \\ & 64: 19, \quad 64: 20, \\ & 64: 22, \quad 125: 7, \\ & 151: 18, \quad 151: 24, \\ & 248: 25, \quad 255: 25, \\ & 272: 16, \quad 295: 22, \\ & 296: 2, \quad 296: 20, \\ & 296: 21, \quad 323: 1 \end{aligned}$ <br> drives <br> 155:9, 261:21 <br> driveway <br> 15:11, 15:16, <br> 22:15, 23:7, <br> $32: 6,33: 1$, <br> 45:18, 46:7, <br> $46: 17,46: 23$, <br> 47:6, 48:6, <br> 48:8, 48:9, <br> 48:14, 49:4, <br> 50:25, 51:2, <br> 51:5, 51:9, <br> 51:14, 51:19, <br> 52:17, 53:14, <br> $58: 7,58: 12$, <br> 58:15, 59:20, <br> 61:17, 67:4, <br> 67:10, 70:13, <br> $71: 1,74: 17$, <br> 82:16, 82:19, <br> 82:24, 92:10, <br> 151:9, 153:1, <br> 153:6, 153:18, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 205: 8, \quad 262: 11, \\ & 262: 14, \quad 265: 8 \\ & \text { dumpsters } \\ & 185: 25, \quad 204: 4 \\ & \text { duration } \\ & 243: 24 \\ & \text { during } \\ & 82: 11, \quad 91: 8, \\ & 145: 19 \\ & \text { dwelling } \\ & 130: 10, \quad 135: 4, \\ & 146: 19, \quad 151: 8, \\ & 160: 3, \quad 212: 11, \\ & 233: 9, \quad 233: 13, \\ & 241: 2,242: 19 \\ & \text { dwellings } \\ & 152: 19 \end{aligned}$ $33: 2$ <br> each <br> 21:19, 43:24, <br> 47:23, 48:5, <br> 84:9, 129:7, <br> 130:2, 131:13, <br> 233:12, 236:2, <br> 248:6, 287:5, <br> 299:14, 302:12, <br> 302:15, 304:9, <br> 306:21, 307:1, <br> 307:4, 307:5, <br> 307:14, 309:23 <br> ear <br> 271:1 <br> earlier <br> 50:7, 62:18, <br> 268:25, 271:2 <br> early <br> 118:24, 247:8, <br> 247:17, 247:18, <br> 249:2, 250:21, <br> 260:19, 293:3 <br> earth <br> 272:8, 272:13, <br> 282:16 <br> easel <br> 201:8, 202:5, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```309:5, 309:18, 309:19, 309:25, 322:1, 322:2, 322:19 east-west 254:6 eastern 32:2, 33:3, 45:14, 48:7, 48:10, 93:15, 288:2, 288:3, 298:14, 300:11, 311:17 easy 12:19 eat 307:2 ecological 253:23 economic 126:25, 127:25, 131:4, 148:25, 166:7, 166:8, 166:10, 166:12, 178:6, 242:11 edge 32:25, 151:15, 151:17 edition 295:25 eduardo 4:5, 49:9, 249:20 education 247:8, 247:17, 247:18, 249:2, 250:22, 260:19, 293:3 effect 63:8, 115:14, 117:12, 127:10, 133:10, 148:24, 157:19, 166:6, 178:6, 178:7, 198:24, 209:12 effects 185:13, 198:14,``` | ```203:25, 204:2 efficiency 42:23, 278:17 efficient 42:3, 42:6, 122:16, 217:3 effort 82:19 efforts 248:13 eight 66:19, 67:12, 182:18, 190:7, 192:6, 275:13, 283:24, 293:10, 303:7, 317:17 eight-foot 37:18, 66:20 either 7:15, 20:9, 37:11, 59:10, 66:16, 80:10, 99:2, 106:19, 119:16, 163:1, 202:5, 251:19, 255:21, 257:13, 257:18, 274:2, 274:3, 304:3 elected 311:1 electronically 326:1 element 284:2 elementary 155:3, 212:3, 212:4 elements 169:17, 240:24, 257:14, 262:17, 287:1 elevation 259:6, 259:7, 265:11, 269:12, 269:17, 269:21, 271:3, 271:4, 271:11, 274:22, 292:4, 300:3,``` | ```300:4, 304:19, 305:3, 306:10, 307:15, 309:6, 309:9, 309:18, 309:21, 309:22, 310:2, 322:17 elevations 255:18, 259:6, 259:25, 262:14, 262:17, 262:24, 263:1, 263:24, 266:21, 268:21, 273:7, 273:19, 281:7, 300:8, 309:15, 309:17 elevator 285:5 elevators 286:3 eliminate 59:4 elizabeth 4:8, 163:22, 208:24 ellicott 163:24 elmet 8:14 else 38:24, 62:11, 74:24, 106:2, 134:6, 157:11, 163:4, 219:10, 229:2, 254:17, 260:6, 290:8, 293:1 elsewhere 265:9 email 13:9, 13:14, 98:10, 100:3, 132:13, 136:3, 137:6 emails 99:25 embankment 82:17 embedded 251:12``` | ```emergency 321:10 employed 129:24, 326:2, 327:4 employee 163:25 employees 153:8, 153:25, 160:25 en 116:20, 147:14 enclosed 278:15, 278:17 enclosure 262:15, 265:8 enclosures 274:3 encountered 135:3, 156:4 encourage 215:7 encroachment 8:15, 32:15, 32:17 end 17:21, 24:20, 33:15, 39:18, 47:1, 83:11, 94:18, 96:11, 108:23, 118:19, 120:9, 131:22, 160:7, 160:15, 167:20, 172:9, 185:3, 219:21, 253:18, 255:24, 258:23, 285:7, 298:15, 311:25 ended 196:24, 214:18 ends 213:24, 247:19, 284:25, 285:8 energy 217:3 engineer 15:24, 16:3, 114:8, 116:4,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```117:8, 117:13, 279:8 engineered 68:21 engineering 12:6, 16:5, 61:8, 98:24, 110:21, 114:1, 114:20, 115:13, 116:5, 116:21, 116:22, 117:11, 249:19, 299:4 engineers 8:12 english 3:15, 8:6 enhance 147:15, 150:25, 259:1 enhancement 148:13 enjoy 177:15 enjoyment 115:15, 205:10 enough 41:2, 57:1, 97:23, 113:9, 118:24, 120:4, 121:5, 132:22, 137:7, 137:8, 139:15, 177:4, 181:21, 217:9, 258:3, 290:9 enrollment 261:2 ensure 42:2 ensuring 65:4 enter 78:22 entering 312:5 entertain 178:2 entire 84:13, 84:17,``` | ```167:11 entirety 84:4 entities 252:23 entrance 66:10, 66:13, 66:16, 71:1, 71:2, 84:21, 113:4, 251:23, 252:11, 252:20, 252:23, 253:1, 253:8, 288:23, 291:20, 291:21, 307:10, 307:14, 307:23, 321:2 entrances 307:24 entry 155:20, 307:7 entry-level 131:2 envelope 21:22, 23:18, 23:23, 24:22, 30:6, 58:18, 58:21 environment 150:22, 218:3, 254:25, 255:6, 286:1 environmental 84:1, 85:16 envision 117:12 envisioned 214:20 equaled 141:20 equals 31:14, 31:16 equipment 274:13, 274:15, 277:9, 278:9, 282:10, 282:12, 283:14, 285:5 equivalent 141:2``` | ```equivalents 261:6 erosion 90:2 error 211:5 esd 81:22, 84:1, 84:25, 85:9, 85:13, 85:15, 85:16, 90:7 especially 217:24, 289:5 esquire 3:7, 3:16, 4:4 essence 270:20 essentially 64:3, 121:21 establish 70:8, 70:9 established 144:4, 144:5 establishment 221:22 estate 6:10, 125:10, 125:21, 133:3, 135:3, 141:24, 142:10, 156:4, 164:2, 164:4, 164:8, 164:11, 164:24, 165:1, 165:3, 165:22, 167:8, 189:14, 189:20, 190:8, 224:21, 225:7 estimate 126:23, 157:4, 243:23, 297:19 estimated 127:21, 137:11, 179:24 estimating 127:4, 127:6, 284:5 etcetera 292:7``` | ```etheridge 90:9 evaluated 284:17 evaluation 134:17 even 9:1, 46:21, 61:13, 74:19, 76:3, 76:4, 76:10, 89:20, 98:19, 116:12, 131:16, 140:18, 147:16, 150:20, 180:25, 187:24, 200:18, 214:23, 215:4, 237:17, 256:3, 259:2, 259:5, 259:20, 272:20, 273:10, 274:3, 303:10 evening 150:2, 153:7, 153:25, 160:24 evenings 198:18, 214:1 event 42:12, 321:8 ever 49:12, 164:6, 164:10, 246:10 every 84:6, 84:7, 92:21, 93:3, 182:14, 186:1, 265:24, 270:11, 285:22, 319:14 everybody 100:14, 106:2, 107:16, 112:14, 119:18, 223:7, 223:13 everyone 136:8, 266:17 everyone's 65:5, 270:23 everything 15:21, 58:23,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  | ```202:6, 264:6, 264:7 excerpts 167:25, 168:7 excess 113:22, 205:9 exclude 154:16 excluding 211:7 exclusive 208:1 excuse 15:23, 17:8, 48:18, 55:12, 111:10, 121:9, 126:23, 130:21, 154:23, 193:5, 209:20, 232:19, 314:21 excused 163:5, 243:12, 322:23 exhaust 254:2 exhibits 6:1, 50:18, 51:16, 77:21, 91:6, 94:23, 99:8, 99:23, 100:22, 100:24, 101:12, 101:16, 103:11, 206:20, 234:23, 265:7, 270:1, 276:24, 277:10, 287:11, 301:9, 303:14, 307:19 exist 187:8 existing 44:2, 45:10, 65:22, 66:19, 67:12, 70:12, 70:15, 70:19, 74:13, 82:20, 83:4, 83:5, 92:8, 92:10,``` | ```92:25, 93:13, 96:4, 96:9, 109:17, 149:16, 217:14, 217:17 exists 38:4, 48:14, 148:16, 176:18, 239:9 exit 287:5, 308:15, 319:13 expand 144:2, 254:19 expansion 78:16 expect 92:5, 108:21, 170:8, 177:8, 180:17, 181:2, 196:15, 214:15, 216:24, 219:25 expect's 108:23 expected 263:6 expensive 100:14, 175:12 experience 15:19, 42:4, 42:5, 49:2, 71:10, 91:20, 108:14, 117:8, 157:10, 168:20, 246:16 experienced 132:11, 152:15, 181:20, 315:9 experiencing 179:25 expert 19:12, 19:22, 42:22, 49:7, 62:6, 83:17, 100:10, 100:14, 109:2, 114:4, 122:11, 124:15, 125:21, 126:2, 164:10, 164:24,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 246:10, 246:16, | extend | faces | 283:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 247:3 | 29:10 | 205:7, 293:13, | faith |
| expertise | extended | 308:9, 308:11 | 316:12 |
| 114:5, 114:8, | 59:2, 254:11 | facil | falls |
| 116:4 | extending | 31:22 | 133:16, 141:8 |
| experts | 61:14 | facilities | familiar |
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| 173:18, 216:23, | 260:14, 262:15, | 252:24, 258:23, | 183:3, 213:11, |
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| ```managers 68:19 mandava 108:17, 248:13, 254:9, 256:4, 256:7, 265:24, 286:7, 313:7 manhole 70:12, 70:15, 93:12, 93:13, 93:16 manner 42:3, 42:6 mansions 259:14 manual 85:7 manufacture 301:1 manufacturer 300:22, 300:24 manufacturer's 300:24 many 66:23, 68:23, 74:22, 167:23, 198:10, 219:13, 232:22, 246:13, 248:4, 248:14, 265:25, 271:2, 295:1, 295:2, 306:7, 306:8, 306:20, 307:13, 309:4, 317:13, 317:14 map 80:3 maps 156:13 march 1:13, 324:24, 324:25, 327:10 mark 16:21, 49:20, 54:7, 54:15, 94:11, 167:13, 268:1, 268:5, 268:11, 277:12,``` |  | $257: 5, \quad 257: 16$, $272: 23, \quad 284: 25$ maryland's $250: 21, \quad 260: 19$ mas $285: 10$ maser $12: 6, \quad 13: 8$, $249: 19, \quad 265: 8$, $269: 10, \quad 280: 7$, $304: 22$ mason $21: 23$ mass $170: 15, \quad 214: 5$, $228: 18, \quad 256: 24$ massing $259: 16, \quad 285: 10$ master $34: 5, \quad 77: 23$, $78: 1, \quad 80: 3$, $80: 22, \quad 143: 10$ match $292: 10$ matched $262: 15$ matches $81: 17$ matching $298: 10$ material $231: 19, \quad 266: 12$, $293: 4$ materials $72: 9,80: 14$, $231: 17, \quad 263: 8$, $271: 22, \quad 271: 23$, $272: 24, \quad 292: 7$ matese $190: 19$ math $15: 2,43: 22$, $260: 7, \quad 297: 7$ matis $222: 6$ matt $313: 17$ matter $59: 12, \quad 105: 25$, | $119: 16, \quad 165: 5$, $171: 4,174: 1$, $179: 7, \quad 207: 18$, $207: 21, \quad 214: 20$, $217: 9, \quad 240: 21$, $240: 22, \quad 315: 24$ matters $8: 8, \quad 99: 11$ matthew $313: 16$ maximum $77: 2, \quad 84: 2$, $84: 3, \quad 85: 19$, $88: 13, \quad 261: 3$ maybe $16: 7, \quad 25: 4$, $60: 2, \quad 60: 4$, $63: 22, \quad 94: 10$, $120: 8$, $153: 10$, $165: 24, \quad 177: 25$, $181: 4, \quad 244: 19$, $245: 10, \quad 253: 23$, $264: 11$, $264: 12$, $271: 12$, $292: 25$, $294: 16$, $312: 24,3$, mcd $97: 15$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  | ```317:2, 317:3 merit 6:10, 141:24, 142:10, 142:13, 144:16, 146:1, 150:15, 155:1, 155:14, 164:2, 168:7 messed 152:11 met 83:25, 89:22 metal 92:16, 273:13 meter 70:25, 71:1, 71:2 method 127:2, 132:8, 132:9 methodologies 127:19, 129:23, 130:5, 133:17, 134:9, 134:12, 134:16, 156:18 methodology 126:22, 130:2, 165:9 metrics 263:2, 263:10 metro 148:22, 164:16, 169:18, 217:6 michael 2:15, 190:19, 325:24, 326:9 micro-bi 84:22 micro-bioretenti- on 84:11, 84:16, 84:20, 84:23 microphone 14:4, 14:5, 14:7 micropost 93:16 microwave 254:3``` | ```mid-point 281:13 middle 10:20, 28:6, 82:21, 83:3, 83:4, 186:4, 225:9, 271:12 midpoint 253:19 midsection 258: 6 midst 246:8 midway 66:6, 101:9 might 105:24, 119:6, 171:8, 178:15, 250:25, 273:12, 290:5, 309:7, 318:5 might've 110:18 mill 143:17 miller 3:8, 8:1 million 133:3, 135:6, 158:22, 159:1, 159:2, 180:9, 188:2, 214:17, 215:5 million-dollar 175:10, 180:11, 188:22 mimic 293:5 mind 14:17, 167:23, 260:6, \(304: 14\), 310: 6 mine 81:6, 143:11 mini 235:1 minim 299:19``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| $\begin{aligned} & 21: 8, \quad 99: 2, \\ & 153: 7, \quad 153: 25, \\ & 159: 23, \quad 160: 24, \\ & 320: 15, \quad 323: 22 \\ & \text { moss } \\ & 207: 1 \\ & \text { most } \\ & 48: 6, \quad 64: 22, \\ & 80: 11, \quad 122: 16, \\ & 178: 23, \quad 225: 1, \\ & 225: 4, \quad 257: 14, \\ & 295: 13, \quad 298: 3 \\ & \text { motor } \\ & 312: 5 \\ & \text { mountaineers } \\ & 323: 14 \\ & \text { mounted } \\ & 315: 12 \\ & \text { mounts } \\ & 305: 6 \\ & \text { move } \\ & 67: 19, \quad 203: 2, \\ & 266: 21, \quad 282: 7 \\ & \text { moved } \\ & 57: 21, \quad 67: 5, \\ & 67: 6 \\ & \text { movement } \\ & 42: 6, \quad 65: 5, \\ & 65: 6,65: 11 \\ & \text { movements } \\ & 65: 10 \\ & \text { moves } \\ & 64: 10 \\ & \text { moving } \\ & 20: 16, \\ & 180: 6, \\ & \text { mtc } \\ & 7130: 6,16 \\ & \text { much } \\ & 40: 15, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| neighborhood | neural | 199:2, 203:2, | 156:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114:3, 117:3, | 299:13 | 205:5, 215:12, | nonresidential |
| 142:25, 143:4, | never | 220:22, 225:21, | 130:19, 131:24, |
| 143:9, 143:21, | 28:15, 137:6, | 271:18, 277:10, | 132:7, 135:4, |
| 143:22, 144:15, | 229:21, 260:8, | 305:25, 318:11, | 147:13, 147:19, |
| 147:13, 148:18, | 304:14, 319:18 | 321:5 | 152:24, 156:5, |
| 148:21, 149:11, | new | nice | 156:11, 157:20 |
| 149:16, 149:18, | 8:21, 44:2, | 176:16, 271:15 | normal |
| 150:24, 158:13, | 44:24, 44:25, | nicely | 122:4, 134:16, |
| 158:23, 161:15, | 45:1, 66:22, | 271:19 | 282:22 |
| 165:14, 165:17, | 66:24, 90:19, | night | normally |
| 165:25, 167:7, | 91:25, 94:16, | 8:12, 9:20, | 154:20, 210:12, |
| 168:19, 169:5, | 100:22, 100:24, | 135:15, 319:10, | 210:15 |
| 169:6, 169:11, | 124:8, 128:15, | 320:12, 321:2 | north |
| 170:10, 171:23, | 130:21, 131:3, | nine | 10:25, 21:25, |
| 172:8, 174:15, | 139:21, 139:24, | 275:13, 281:20 | 23:1, 23:13, |
| 174:18, 175:11, | 139:25, 144:25, | nob | 26:10, 26:12, |
| 175:12, 175:17, | 152:15, 167:24, | 69:21, 70:11, | 26:20, 26:22, |
| 177:21, 179:2, | 169:2, 170:9, | 76:21 | 27:12, 28:11, |
| 179:8, 181:19, | 179:9, 179:11, | nobody | 28:19, 32:5, |
| 181:20, 188:19, | 183:5, 183:21, | 101:22 | $33: 12,33: 14$, |
| 223:23, 240:1, | 212:11, 214:8, | nobody's | 33:17, 47:13, |
| 240:3, 240:8, | 264:2, 267:7, | 101:17 | 65:12, 65:13, |
| $250: 1, \quad 272: 7$ | 284:9, 297:13 | nodding | 66:17, 67:3, |
| $273: 12,273: 22,$ | newest | 206:13 | 67:13, 70:20, |
| 283:20, 290:1, | 175:10, 284:4 | noise | 84:21, 93:14, |
| 290:9, 294:20, | next | 152:19, 153:4, | 95:23, 131:16, |
| 295:3, 295:8, | 75:8, 110:20, | $184: 20, \quad 185: 18,$ | 131:25, 143:17, |
| 311:8 | 124:16, 132:8, | $185: 21,185: 22,$ | 146:19, 146:24, |
| neighborhood's | 136:3, 149:20, | $204: 22, \quad 205: 9$ | 147:24, 251:21, |
| 173:11 | 151:5, 151:7, | $255: 5,274: 13$ | 252:11, 253:12, |
| neighborhoods | 166:1, 169:15, | $279: 5, \quad 283: 2$ | 253:16, 253:17, |
| 143:6, 168:15, | 170:18, 171:25, | non | 255:24, 259:7, |
| 178:5 | 172:21, 172:25, | 36:13, 132:6 | 269:2, 269:23, |
| neighbors | 173:23, 174:23, | non-card | 285:13, 288:6, |
| 33:19, 35:9, | 175:1, 175:15, | $267: 4$ | $288: 7, \quad 288: 8$ |
| 63:3, 65:2, | $175: 21, \quad 176: 6$ | non-custodial | north-south |
| 161:7, 272:8, | $176: 11, \quad 176: 16$ | $266: 1,266: 5$ | $48: 5,252: 17,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 285: 11, \\ & 294: 4, \end{aligned}$ | 180:15, 181:22, | non-developable | $254: 6$ |
| $\text { \| } 297: 6$ <br> neither | 182:15, 184:17, | $211: 15,229: 21$ | northeast |
| $326: 2,327: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 184: 18, ~ 185: 1, \\ & 186: 11, \\ & 186: 14, \end{aligned}$ | non-paving | 69:20, 70:10 northern |
| net | $187: 3,187: 7,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 25 \\ & \text { non-qualified } \end{aligned}$ | $24: 20,249: 10$ |
| 3:22, 113:19, | 187:17, 187:22, | $266: 5$ | northwest |
| 282:24 | 188:3, 188:22, | non-residential | 27:5, 27:21 |
| network | 190:4, 191:1, | $232: 5$ | nosa |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 9, \quad 94: 6, \\ & 95: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 196: 16, ~ 198: 16, \\ & 198: 17, \\ & 199: 1, \end{aligned}$ | none | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 3 \\ & \text { notary } \end{aligned}$ |
| 95:10 | 198.17, 199.1, | 7:16, 135:2, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { notary } \\ & 2: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
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| notated | 113:18, 129:10, | 202:18, 206:5, | occurred |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 299:3 | 131:21, 142:12, | 218:21, 302:18 | 181:17 |
| notched | 155:16, 168:3, | objecting | occurs |
| 187:15 | 172:24, 181:20, | 174:11, 210:1, | 254:5, 291:25 |
| note | 182:16, 183:11, | 294:12, 302:20, | ocean |
| 295:15 | 184:16, 184:17, | 314:23 | 177:13, 177:14 |
| noted | 199:7, 199:14, | objection | october |
| 98:22, 226:22, | 200:22, 203:15, | 8:20, 15:23, | 81:8, 128:25, |
| 227:9, 230:13 | 207:15, 219:8, | 16:22, 19:16, | 135:25, 139:1 |
| notes | 223:3, 230:23, | 20:8, 30:8, | odor |
| 14:18, 31:12, | $247: 12, \quad 247: 24,$ | $30: 20,49: 16,$ | $254: 2$ |
| $137: 19$ | $250: 5, \quad 250: 6,$ | $55: 22,114: 4,$ | offer |
| nothing | $256: 21,259: 8 \text {, }$ | $115: 16, \quad 125: 23,$ | $51: 6,164: 23,$ |
| 13:20, 19:25, | 261:24, 266:24, | $125: 24, \quad 168: 9,$ | 246:14, 246:16, |
| 124:25, 163:14, | $267: 7,267: 20,$ | $205: 16, \quad 208: 7,$ | 268:22, 310:24, |
| 208:9, 220:13, | $268: 12, \quad 273: 19,$ | $209: 5,218: 12,$ | $315: 5$ |
| 239:15, 245:18, | $285: 1, \quad 291: 8,$ | $231: 15,242: 10,$ | offered |
| 290:8 | 295:18, 300:15, | 263:19, 269:25, | $99: 2, \quad 310: 15$ |
| nothing's | $\begin{array}{ll} 306: 15, & 307: 17, \\ 316: 20, & 317: 14, \end{array}$ | $279: 13,294: 5$ | offering |
| 139:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 316: 20,317: 14, \\ & 322: 7 \end{aligned}$ | observation | $125: 21,316: 12$ |
| notice 140.25 | numbered | $156: 3$ <br> observations | office |
| 24:10, 140:25, | $267: 21$ | observations $116: 3, \quad 158: 22$ | $1: 1,2: 3,2: 6,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 141: 17, \quad 159: 20 \\ & 202: 24 \end{aligned}$ | numbers | observe | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 2, \quad 18: 21, \\ & 18: 22, \quad 73: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| noticed | 95:17, 139:24, | 315:19 | 96:13, 125:5 |
| 206:17 | 139:25, $264: 25$ | observed | offices |
| notified | numerical | 297:22 | 3:17 |
| 296:23 | 28:8 | obtain | official |
| november | 0 | 132:15, 293:1, | 239:16, 268:19 |
| 53:6, 90:11, | - 'clock | $293: 19$ <br> obtained | officially |
| 90:21, 104:11, | $\begin{array}{ll} 21: 11, & 121: 2, \\ 121: 7, & 121: 8 . \end{array}$ | obtained $45: 22,156: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 8 \\ & \text { offsite } \end{aligned}$ |
| 323:16 <br> nuances | $\begin{aligned} & 121: 7,121: 8, \\ & 122: 20,123: 5, \end{aligned}$ | obviously | offsite $65: 11,65: 12$ |
| 254:10 | 290:2, 290:3, | 30:15, 39:17, | often |
| num | 310:17, 311:5, | 50:6, 78:12, | 181:8, 205:11, |
| 291:8 | 311:6, 318:24, | 158:2 | 256:1 |
| number | 320:15, 320:17 | occasion | oh |
| 10:1, 10:3, | oath | 239:2 | 7:9, 11:14, |
| 11:17, 13:21, | 14:2 | occasionally | 14:6, 14:8, |
| 15:5, 33:23, | ob | 266:3 | 24:13, 31:13, |
| 34:9, 36:12, | 15:23 | occupant | $34: 2,35: 25$, |
| 47:18, 50:8, | object | 260:24 | 44:25, 56:17, |
| 56:13, 63:23, | 8:21, 15:23, | occupation | 56:18, 66:1, |
| 79:21, 79:22, | 38:7, 39:18, | 125:9 | 71:21, 82:25, |
| 82:3, 84:11, | 42:9, 99:10, | occupies | 103:14, 110:25, |
| 84:16, 84:20, | 99:19, 99:21, | 278:12 | 111:14, 111:20, |
| 84:23, 90:15, | 200:12, 200:13, | occur | $115: 5, \quad 124: 18,$ |
| 94:1, 94:16, |  | 30:4, 89:5 | 125:2, 147:8, |
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|  |  |  | ```opposite 188:5, 191:14 opposition 16:18 option 37:11, 37:12, 37:17, 37:18, 284:11, 284:12, 284:16, 284:17 options 36:4, 37:14 orally 126:21 orange 24:19, 29:11 oranges 51:15, 255:4 orangey 26:25 order 7:17, 47:25, 58:9, 66:25, 97:1, 121:16, 121:18, 121:22, 260:13, 271:11, 284:12, 313:3 orders 266:1 ordinance 7:11, 20:2, 22:10, 33:24, 35:16, 35:21, 67:17, 69:23, 166:5, 166:25, 176:4, 242:4, 242:8, 243:4, 273:5, 294:17 organization 311:20 organize 323:24 orientation 28:10, 28:12, 64:8, 255:16, 255:17 oriented 251:19, 252:10,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```par 287:17 paragraph 146:4, 146:7, 151:6, 152:12, 156:1, 156:24, 236:7, 237:7, 284:11, 284:15 paragraphs 235:1 parameters 166:25 paramount 265:24 paraphrasing 270:25 parcel 151:8 parcels 217:8 parents 255:2, 272:3 park 33:4, 59:11, 143:15, 143:17 parking 15:8, 27:3, 27:22, 27:24, 28:2, 28:7, 28:25, 29:6, 29:7, 29:14, 29:16, 29:17, 30:4, 30:7, 30:12, 31:14, 31:22, 31:23, 32:10, 32:19, 35:1, 41:7, 41:17, 41:23, 41:24, 42:1, 42:2, 42:5, 42:6, 43:16, 47:1, 52:23, 56:3, 58:19, 58:20, 58:24, 58:25, 59:1, 59:2, 59:14, 59:15, 59:17, 59:18, 60:17,``` |  | ```312:18, 312:19, 313:22, 313:24 particularly 104:8, 242:18, 306:8 parties 7:5, 73:5, 123:9, 201:2, 201:7, 326:3, 327:4 parts 122:16, 142:24, 167:6, 229:17, 262:21, 272:21 pass 57:1, 93:18 passed 58:16 past 133:2, 258:21, 310:25, 315:9 path 293: 6 pathway 66:9, 66:11 patient 307:23 patio 205:5, 205:8 pattern 274:5 paul 3:15, 8:5 paved 67:10, 236:15 pavement 61:19 pawela 2:15, 7:4, 325:24, 326:9 pay 108:11, 108:13, 108:16, 179:6, 196:16, 214:14, 214:15, 218:18 paying 136:10 pays 198:15``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Administrative Hearing - Day 3
Conducted on March 6, 2020

| pdf | 33:15, 39:25, | perhaps | personal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 136:2 | 75:22, 75:24, | 256:22 | 78:7 |
| pe | 76:23, 76:25, | perim | personally |
| 230:25 | 77:14, 82:4, | 34:25 | 74:20 |
| peaceful | 129:21, 132:3, | perimeter | perspective |
| 115:15, 205:10 | 133:14, 133:15, | 35:1, 35:4, | 114:21, 117:11 |
| peak | 133:16, 133:18, | 35:10, 35:24, | pertains |
| 322:6 | 133:20, 137:13, | 36:19, 36:21, | 314:5 |
| pedestal | 137:14, 141:1, | 149:24, 149:25, | peruse |
| 305:6 | 141:11, 141:20, | 150:21, 257:11, | 9:25, 11:24 |
| pedestrian | 155:20, 156:25, | 257:21, 261:15, | perviousness |
| 65:4, 65:6, | 173:8, 180:19, | 265:12, 265:22, | 75:11 |
| 65:11, 67:25 | 180:21, 180:23, | 266:5, 266:11, | petitioner |
| pedestrians | 181:5, 182:18, | 276:25, 278:19, | $8: 1$ |
| 67:8, 67:9 | 182:20, 182:22, | 287:20 | petitioner's |
| pen | 183:16, 184:6, | periodically | $123: 10$ |
| $72: 4$ | $\begin{array}{lr} 184: 9, & 185: 8, \\ 185 \cdot 1 & 186 \cdot 2 \end{array}$ | 319:14, 321:9 | pfcp |
| penalties | 185:10, 186:2, | perjury | 9:20 |
| $124: 23,163: 13,$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 186: 13, & 186: 18, \\ 187: 14, & 187: 15, \end{array}$ | 124:24, 163:13, | ph |
| $245: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 187: 14, ~ 187: 15, \\ & 187: 18, ~ 190: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 245: 17 \\ & \text { permeable } \end{aligned}$ | 8:11, 8:14, |
| pending | $190: 23,196: 17$ | permeable $257: 5, \quad 257: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 3, \quad 59: 10, \\ & 71: 14, \quad 71: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 72: 4, \quad 78: 4, \\ & 100: 23, \quad 129: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 196:20, 198:5, | 257:5, $257: 8$ permission | 71:14, 71:16, $97: 4, \quad 97: 6,$ |
| people | $\begin{array}{ll} 198: 15, & 198: 24, \\ 198: 25, & 199: 1, \end{array}$ | 313:2 | $131: 18,222: 6,$ |
| 57:2, 57:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 198: 25, ~ \\ & 199: 3,206: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { permit } \\ & 45: 4,263: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 259:13, 265:13, |
| $97: 19, \quad 99: 4$ $104: 6, \quad 120: 8$ | $214: 18,216: 8,$ | 45:4, 263:4 permitted | $\begin{array}{ll} 273: 16, & 295: 20, \\ 297: 19, & 318: 22 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 104: 6, \quad 120: 8, \\ & 132: 22, \quad 136: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $216: 19,220: 23,$ | $149: 21,170: 8 \text {, }$ | 297:19, 318:22 <br> phase |
| 141:8, 155:24, | $\begin{array}{ll} 222: 2, & 222: 5, \\ 222: 7, & 222: 8 \end{array}$ | 170:9, 170:11, | 203:2 |
| 172:24, 173:1, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 222: 1,222: 8, \\ & 222: 22,257: 5, \end{aligned}\right.$ | 170:20, 170:23, | philosophy |
| 180:14, 180:15, | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} 222: 22, & 251: 5, \\ 273: 21, & 290: 7 \end{array}\right.$ | 170:24, 171:5, | 169:24 |
| 180:18, 182:17, | percentage | 175:7, 175:8, <br> 179:10, 181:24 | phone |
| $182: 21,183: 10$, $186: 7,186: 9$, | 14:14, 137:10, | $217: 11,241: 2,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 11, \quad 3: 20, \\ & 74: 15, \quad 137: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 188:1, 188:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 185: 6, \quad 230: 13, \\ & 230: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 241:10, 241:21, | phones |
| 188:22, 192:1, | percentage-wise | 242:17, 293:15 | $137: 5$ |
| 192:3, 192:10, | $14: 15$ | perpendicular | photo |
| 192:14, 201:23, | perfect | $292: 15,293: 13$ | 184:2 |
| 202:20, 202:21, | $25: 19$ | perpetrator | photograph |
| 215:8, 216:9, | perfectly | 315:13 | 79:17, 150:9, |
| 216:11, 216:25, | $70: 16, \quad 214: 17$ | person | 227:18, 277:16 |
| 217:1, 217:3, | perform | 97:5, 108:18, | photometric |
| 217:5, 217:12, | perform <br> 49.12, 56:1 | 152:14, 182:18, | 286:11, 300:13, |
| 218:9, 233:4, |  | 182:19, 182:20, | 302:6, 304:2 |
| 314:18, 315:19 | performance $\text { 157: } 5$ | 182:21, 188:11, | photometrics |
| percent | performed | 192:5, 206:13, | 287:24, 305:14 |
| 8:16, 14:21, | performed $249: 24$ | 223:22, 223:23, | phrase |
| 15:2, 33:11, | 249:24 | $318: 22,324: 4$ | 87:8, 89:17, |
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|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | 305:15, 317:14, 318:11 <br> police <br> 115:6, 314:17, <br> $315: 10,315: 24$, <br> 320:17 <br> policy <br> 238:7, 238:9, <br> 238:13, 238:20, <br> 239:2, 239:4, <br> 265:21 <br> ponding <br> 92:3 <br> pool <br> 153:2 <br> pools <br> 152:18 <br> pop <br> 274:8, 319:12 <br> population <br> 256:6 <br> populous <br> 312:19, 312:20 <br> portion <br> 57:18, 84:14, <br> 84:17, 92:23, <br> 230:9, 230:16, <br> 297:25, 298:14 <br> portray <br> 204:6 <br> portrayed <br> 273:18 <br> posey $\begin{aligned} & 3: 15, \quad 8: 5, \\ & 139: 4, \quad 139: 7, \\ & 139: 9, \quad 139: 20, \\ & 140: 17, \quad 140: 18, \\ & 140: 20, \quad 159: 5, \\ & 159: 12 \\ & \text { position } \\ & 99: 15, \quad 190: 14, \\ & 191: 4, \quad 191: 9, \\ & 290: 4 \end{aligned}$ <br> possession <br> 302:4 <br> possibilities <br> 217:10 <br> possible <br> 15:14, 57:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 118: 1, \quad 123: 22, \\ & 142: 7,160: 11, \\ & 170: 14, \quad 172: 10, \\ & 298: 10 \\ & \text { possibly } \\ & 48: 14, \quad 81: 3, \\ & 170: 20, \quad 179: 11, \\ & 301: 25 \\ & \text { post } \\ & 299: 14, \quad 299: 25 \\ & \text { post-testimony } \\ & 268: 10 \\ & \text { postpone } \\ & 118: 13 \\ & \text { posts } \\ & 299: 13 \\ & \text { potable } \\ & 74: 24 \\ & \text { potential } \\ & 16: 15, \quad 114: 21, \\ & 129: 11, \quad 146: 25, \\ & 148: 10, \quad 179: 19 \\ & \text { potentially } \\ & 131: 8, \quad 147: 10 \\ & \text { pr } \\ & 278: 2, \quad 286: 22 \\ & \text { practicable } \\ & 85: 22, \quad 85: 23, \\ & 85: 25 \\ & \text { practical } \\ & 84: 2, \quad 84: 3, \\ & 85: 19 \\ & \text { practice } \\ & 225: 4, \quad 247: 7, \\ & 247: 10, \quad 265: 21 \\ & \text { pre } \\ & 51: 16, \quad 99: 9 \\ & \text { pre-file } \\ & 99: 15 \\ & \text { pre-filed } \\ & 49: 17, \quad 73: 23, \\ & 90: 23, ~ 91: 1, \\ & 99: 3, \quad 99: 13, \\ & 106: 9, \quad 106: 11, \\ & 106: 15 \\ & \text { pre-hearing } \\ & 139: 18 \\ & \text { pre-10ts } \\ & 151: 11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { precisely } \\ & 270: 24 \\ & \text { predict } \\ & 316: 23 \\ & \text { pref } \\ & 51: 10 \\ & \text { prefer } \\ & 258: 12, \quad 272: 2, \\ & 272: 6 \\ & \text { preferably } \\ & 130: 10 \\ & \text { preference } \\ & 122: 12 \\ & \text { preferred } \\ & 8: 24, \quad 280: 4 \\ & \text { preliminary } \\ & 8: 8 \\ & \text { premise } \\ & 99: 22 \\ & \text { preparation } \\ & 139: 22, \quad 253: 24 \\ & \text { prepare } \\ & 52: 25, \quad 110: 21, \\ & 165: 4 \\ & \text { prepared } \\ & 8: 13, \quad 18: 5, \\ & 18: 20, \quad 126: 14, \\ & 137: 1, \quad 141: 24, \\ & 219: 12, \quad 286: 9, \\ & 289: 21, \quad 289: 23, \\ & 293: 18, \quad 295: 12, \\ & 322: 9 \\ & \text { preparing } \\ & 238: 25 \\ & \text { preponderance } \\ & 186: 6 \\ & \text { presby } \\ & 145: 1 \\ & \text { presbyterian } \\ & 145: 1, \quad 212: 10 \\ & \text { present } \\ & 4: 3,105: 5, \\ & 119: 1, \quad 295: 18 \\ & \text { presentation } \\ & 42: 14, \quad 272: 11 \\ & \text { presented } \\ & 9: 22, \quad 103: 11 \\ & \text { presenting } \\ & 40: 6, \quad 139: 25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| presents | 228:8, 228:11, | 129:20, 135:1, | proffer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 215:10 | 230:14, 230:22, | 153:19, 207:10, | 12:6, 13:11, |
| preserve | 231:1, 232:3, | 219:14, 243:23, | 49:22, 50:4, |
| 61:23, 259:1 | 233:8, 234:4, | 257:14, 271:21, | 51:7, 53:20, |
| pressure | 239:3, 247:25, | 273:21, 286:25, | 55:20, 66:7, |
| 75:5 | 248:2, 248:4, | 289:18 | 139:7, 208:12, |
| presume | 248:11, 248:23, | problem | 208:13 |
| 106:12 | 257:16, 272:12, | 12:18, 23:12, | proffering |
| pretty | 292:24, 312:11, | 45:3, 57:15, | 53:12 |
| 43:7 | 313:1, 313:25 | 71:5, 81:12, | program |
| prevents | primrose's | 89:20, 90:2, | 239:18, 248:23, |
| 59:24 | 265:21 | 92:19, 105:13, | 253:14 |
| previous | primroses | 120:22, 130:13, | progressed |
| 83:17, 93:13, | 257:4 | 157:23, 181:10, | 283:2 |
| 108:14, 110:5 | prince | 184:12, 188:7, | progressively |
| previously | 225:14 | 188:8, 188:10, | 257:17 |
| 19:22, 89:21, | principle | 290:10, 311:8, | prohibited |
| $262: 18,265: 7$ | 131:5, 250:20 | 314:18 | 27:24 |
| price | prior | problems | prohibition |
| 129:1, 129:2, | 128:17, 158:17, | 15:24, 96:16, | 59:23 |
| $129: 22,131: 22 \text {, }$ | 249:20, 258:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 103: 10, \quad 117: 15, \\ & 164: 25 \end{aligned}$ | project |
| $157: 4, \quad 158: 12,$ | $296: 9$ | $164: 25$ <br> procedural | $68: 19, \quad 107: 13,$ |
| $158: 16,184: 6,$ | priority | procedural | 108:15, 108:18, |
| $185: 10,209: 13,$ | 238:15, 238:18, | 20:8 | 249:21, 249:22, |
| 214:12, 214:18, | 238:23, 239:4, | proceed | 296:23 |
| 215:1, 218:5 | 239:18 | 7:16, 117:1, | project's |
| primarily | privacy | 253:12, 255:25, | 107:14 |
| $146: 5$ | $6: 12,148: 23,$ | 256:19, 264:1 | projecting |
| primary | $172: 12,277: 20,$ | proceeding | $48: 8$ |
| 274:24, 282:2, | $277: 21, \quad 278: 3,$ | $125: 13,263: 19$ | projects |
| 291:24 | $278: 5, \quad 278: 15$ | proceedings | $108: 15, \quad 144: 24$ |
| prime | private | $5: 3,126: 2,$ | promote |
| $168: 17,168: 24,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 172: 1,181: 25 \\ & \text { pro } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 126: 3, & 325: 25, \\ 326: 1, & 327: 1 \end{array}\right.$ | $238: 18, \quad 239: 15$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 169:23, 174:23 } \\ & \text { primrose } \end{aligned}$ | pro $45: 20,68: 2,$ | process | promoting $239: 8$ |
| 1:5, 17:8, | 107:12, 112:9, | 107:1, 110:3, | pronounce |
| 58:25, 122:6, | 126:2 | 132:4, 132:25 | 85:12 |
| $132: 20,149: 23,$ | probab | product | prop |
| 153:3, 157:2, | $265: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 257: 15, \quad 272: 17, \\ & 272: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 114:22, 133:5, |
| 170:13, 172:9, | probably | 272:23 <br> profession | 146:20, 289:3 |
| 175:3, 180:7, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 28: 14, & 44: 8, \\ 45: 12, & 47: 2 \end{array}\right.$ | profession <br> 164:3, 246:4 | properly |
| $185: 13$, $207: 22, ~ 210: 25, ~$ | $\begin{array}{lll}45: 12, & 47: 2, \\ 48: 16, & 53: 5,\end{array}$ | 164:3, 246:4 <br> professional | 96:7, 289:19 |
| 207:22, 210:25, | $48: 16, ~ 53: 5$, $60: 11$, $61: 18$, | $45: 21, \quad 68: 2,$ | properties |
| $211: 3,211: 8$, $211: 10, ~ 211: 17$, | $60: 11, ~ 61: 18$, $63: 13, ~ 87: 14$, | $45: 21$, $71: 12$, $76: 1$, | 83:13, 115:15, |
| 211:10, 211:17, 212:5, 212:8, | 63:13, $87: 14$, $95: 7,112: 22$, | $71: 12, ~$ $76: 3,116: 6$, | 116:7, 117:12, |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 212: 5, & 212: 8, \\ 212: 9, & 212: 13, \end{array}$ | 112:23, 118:21, | 157:10, 239:21, | 128:2, 130:16, |
| 213:3, 217:20, | 119:14, 122:17, | 294:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 145: 21, \quad 146: 8, \\ & 152: 6, \quad 152: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
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| 154:20, 155:20, | prototypical | 93:12, 107:23, | 92:11, 96:13, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 158:17, 166:12, | 248:1, 248:2, | 114:17, 117:15, | 97:2, 100:9, |
| 187:8, 217:24, | 248:4, 248:9, | 117:17, 202:8, | 101:8, 117:16, |
| 217:25, 277:3, | 282:22, 312:12, | 202:15, 202:19, | 139:1, 160:13, |
| 289:4 | 312:14, 312:18, | 315:11 | 161:7, 161:8, |
| propo | 312:20, 313:18, | pull | 165:6, 167:6, |
| 71:19 | 313:25 | 38:1, 77:5, | 172:8, 202:11, |
| propos | prototypically | 286:11, 322:16 | 212:12, 213:4, |
| 50:1 | 255:20 | pulled | 213:14, 238:18, |
| proposal | proven | 82:12, 142:14 | 248:20, 258:7, |
| 20:1, 30:14, | 42:10, 90:5 | punch | 261:1, 285:17, |
| 32:3, 32:4, | provide | 48:21 | 320:25 |
| 48:15, 49:5, | 37:18, 37:19, | pupils | putting |
| 50:22, 50:24, | 56:25, 61:24, | 219:16 | 15:11, 19:9, |
| 59:14, 62:2, | 62:9, 66:9, | purchase | 45:17, 99:18, |
| 62:25, 109:14, | 70:17, 73:4, | 129:11 | 207:19, 259:11 |
| 112:9, 284:18 | 79:22, 84:5, | purchased | Q |
| proposals | 85:7, 89:24, | 128:17, 128:22 | qb |
| 51:8 | 98:19, 106:25, | pure | 89:11 |
| propose | 236:3, 251:25, | 43:22 | quadrant |
| 248:16 | 293:19 | purpose | 79:14, 79:15 |
| proposed | provided | 176:13, 187:6, | qualifications |
| 29:24, 52:12, | 16:18, 18:17, | 320:13 | $42: 11$ |
| 71:18, 80:2, | 22:5, 41:25, | purposes | qualified |
| 92:20, 92:21, | 42:3, 66:11, | 45:13, 58:7 | 116:11, 116:20, |
| 107:15, 116:5, | $84: 7,86: 13,$ | pursuant | 164:6, 164:10 |
| 126:24, 130:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 21, \\ & 112: 22, \\ & 136: 19 . \\ & 139: 8 . \end{aligned}$ | 2:15, 316:8 | qualities |
| $132: 16, ~ 132: 20$, $133: 18$, $155: 10$, | $\begin{aligned} & 136: 19, \quad 139: 8, \\ & 139: 9, \quad 158: 16, \end{aligned}$ | pursue | $279: 6, \quad 286: 23$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 133: 18, & 155: 10, \\ 160: 16, & 175: 18, \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 139: 9, & 158: 16, \\ 159: 5, & 248: 10, \end{array}$ | $61: 6$ | quality |
| $\begin{aligned} & 160: 16, \\ & 2175: 25, \\ & 211: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 159: 5, \quad 248: 10, \\ & 248: 18, \quad 249: 4, \end{aligned}$ | pursuing | 62:10, 85:8, |
| 221:23, 225:23, | $\left[\begin{array}{l} 248: 18, \\ 265: 8, \end{array} 282: 13,\right.$ | 249:15 | 86:12, 86:21, |
| 247:25, 286:10, | provides | push $\text { 119:18, } 120: 16$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 87: 20, & 89: 4, \\ 89: 17, & 89: 23, \end{array}$ |
| 286:22, 294:2, | 91:17, 211:15, | $288: 16$ | 91:19, 273:18, |
| 297:24, 299:2, | 215:24, 216:2 | pushed | 274:16 |
| 312:8 proposes | providing | 58:13 | quantify |
| proposes $77: 13$ | $37: 20,89: 16,$ | put | 129:16 |
| proposing | proximity | 10:16, 13:24, | quantity |
| 51:8, 63:14, | 140:23, 205:4 | $\begin{array}{\|ll} 19: 9, & 22: 2, \\ 27: 2, & 27: 22, \end{array}$ | 91:23, 91:24 quarrel |
| 83:15, 84:8, | psfc | 29:6, 29:14, | 40:8, 40:14 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 110: 16, & 120: 25 \\ 212: 15, & 228: 11, \end{array}$ | 248:11 | 30:7, 32:6, | quarries |
| $272: 14$ |  | 45:12, 47:6, | 272:23 |
| protected | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 16,65: 16, \\ & 65: 17, \quad 65: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $50: 10, ~ 50: 17, ~$ $52: 22,53: 25$, | quarter |
| $178: 24,179: 14$ | $66: 10,68: 4,$ | $58: 5,58: 20,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118: 10, \quad 144: 23, \\ & 145: 3,181: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| 216:12 <br> prototypes | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 6, \quad 68: 7, \\ & 69: 25, \quad 83: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 3, \quad 82: 16, \\ & 82: 19, \quad 90: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 209:3 |
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|  | 117:1, 132:17, $\qquad$ 136:4, 139:22, 157:25, 162:9, 162:23, 166:11, 166:14, 189:1, 192:16, 193:13, 193:16, 193:18, 193:20, 206:5, 220:17, 221:4, 224:9, 247:24, 282:8, 294:23, 322:14, 322:16 quibble $30: 17$ <br> quick <br> 168:15, 247:16, 250:18, 265:23, 322:16 <br> quicker <br> 64:5 <br> quickly <br> 113:1, 113:2, <br> 168:14, 322:17 <br> quiet <br> 60:7 <br> quit <br> 283:3 <br> quite <br> 8:21, 99:10, <br> 104:5, 104:9, <br> 116:11, 140:2, <br> 202:17, 202:20, <br> 261:3, 272:18, <br> 296:8 <br> quote <br> 145:20 <br> quoted <br> 86:19 <br> quotes <br> 240:10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| $183: 9, \quad 201: 1$, $204: 18,206: 3$, $258: 24, \quad 269: 4$, $269: 19, \quad 269: 21$, $269: 22, \quad 269: 24$, $272: 14, \quad 289: 14$, $302: 10, \quad 302: 20$, $316: 2, \quad 323: 8$ realtor $129: 8, \quad 132: 17$, $224: 17, \quad 224: 20$ realtors $132: 25, \quad 133: 1$, $135: 2, \quad 136: 9$, $136: 13, \quad 141: 9$, $148: 20, \quad 191: 13$, $220: 8, \quad 220: 9$, $221: 18$ rear $205: 8, \quad 231: 2$, $307: 6, \quad 307: 7$ reason $19: 20, \quad 26: 7$, $89: 19, \quad 89: 21$, $105: 4, \quad 105: 22$, $109: 9, \quad 119: 7$, $144: 7, \quad 155: 24$, $156: 8, \quad 219: 1$, $301: 14, \quad 313: 21$ reasonable $289: 23$ reasonably $273: 18$ reasons $89: 24$, $256: 18$ reassessment $140: 25$ reassured $309: 22$ reassuring $254: 25$ rebuild $6: 9$, $94: 6$, rebuilt res $174: 22$ rebuttal $91: 8, \quad 121: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rec } \\ & 24: 5, \quad 94: 8 \\ & \text { recall } \\ & 9: 20, \quad 34: 9, \\ & 47: 15, \quad 63: 15, \\ & 75: 22, \quad 104: 19, \\ & 104: 20,124: 9, \\ & 159: 22, \quad 210: 18, \\ & 247: 12 \\ & \text { receive } \\ & 55: 11, \quad 141: 23, \\ & 254: 10 \\ & \text { received } \\ & 91: 20, \quad 97: 16, \\ & 138: 5,139: 4, \\ & 140: 20, \quad 21: 18, \\ & 252: 22, \quad 258: 24, \\ & 258: 25 \\ & \text { receiving } \\ & 105: 7, \quad 269: 9 \\ & \text { recent } \\ & 80: 11, \quad 138: 2, \\ & 144: 3 \\ & \text { recently } \\ & 128: 15, \quad 128: 16, \\ & 140: 17, \quad 158: 7 \\ & \text { reception } \\ & 253: 2, \quad 253: 8 \\ & \text { recognition } \\ & 10: 12 \\ & \text { recognize } \\ & 40: 9,188: 21, \\ & 241: 1 \\ & \text { recognized } \\ & 132: 9, \quad 190: 3, \\ & 232: 3 \\ & \text { recognizing } \\ & 75: 13, \quad 115: 19, \\ & 117: 2 \\ & \text { recollection } \\ & 65: 5,69: 10, \\ & 69: 13,69: 17, \\ & 159: 19 \\ & \text { recommend } \\ & 313: 4 \\ & \text { recommendation } \\ & 67: 4 \\ & \text { recommending } \\ & 280: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| reduction | refrigeration | relevant | 237:16, 270:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140:22, 141:1, | 254:8 | 131:9 | reporter |
| 141:14, 141:20, | regard | relied | 7:3, 119:3, |
| 159:6, 159:15, | 91:23, 91:24, | 104:4 | 123:8, 206:12, |
| 159:20, 209:13 | 148:10, 258:24 | relisted | 244:10, 325:23, |
| refer | regional | 129:3 | 326:9 |
| 31:9, 57:18, | 143:15 | rely | reporting |
| 58:19, 278:11, | registration | 282:22 | 13:7, 16:5, |
| 298:19, 311:12 | 247:22 | relying | 126:21, 192:24, |
| reference | regular | 140:1, 140:3 | 236:22 |
| 72:14 | 230:25, 231:14, | remand | reports |
| referenced | 236:2 | 78:21 | 118:4, 143:7, |
| 126:13, 155:2 | regulated | remanded | 202:7, 202:12, |
| references | 257:14, 322:4 | 78:21 | 202:17, 202:19, |
| 149:8 | regulation | remember | 209:12, 219:2 |
| referencing | 322:5 | 10:1, 28:17, | represent |
| 269:3 | regulations | 95:9, 135:14, | 8:5, 168:24 |
| referred | 15:21, 16:14, | 220:13, 230:11, | representation |
| 200:20, 209:15, | 20:1, 251:12 | 277:5 | 79:6 |
| 262:18 | regulatory | remembered | representing |
| referring | 43:10 | 135:14 | 8:1 |
| 58:18, 65:9, | rehabilitate | remind | represents |
| 155:13, 207:12, | 210:2, 221:9 | 259:24 | 21:19, 25:21, |
| 298:23, 298:24 | rehabilitation | render | 25:22 |
| refers | 210:5 | 42:11, 110:6, | reputation |
| 31:10 | reiterate | 126:24 | $125: 24$ |
| refiled | 32:24 | rendered | request |
| 79:4 | relabels | 267:6, 267:12 | 32:20, 55:11, |
| refiling | 269:25 | rendering | 56:3, 141:5, |
| 79:1 | relate | 101:3 | 141:16, 284:19, |
| reflect | 166:12, 283:19 | renumber | $316: 8,316: 14$ |
| 199:14, 207:20, | related | 94:10 | requesting |
| 239:23, 249:9, | 75:2, 75:5, | repair | $140: 22$ |
| 251:17, 271:8, | 91:9, 165:5, | 185:22 | requests |
| 273:21 | 166:15, 326:2, | repeat | $206: 24$ |
| reflected | 327:3 | 38:12, 117:9, | require |
| 19:4, 184:9 | relates | 266:10 | 59:14, 59:16, |
| reflecting | 62:13, 63:8, | rephra | 59:18, 213:10, |
| 133:21 | 86:11, 131:4 | 171:12 | 241:12, 257:7, |
| reflection | relationship | rephrase | 293:15 |
| $216: 8,311: 4$ <br> reflective | 194:18, 214:11, | 16:8, 72:3, | required |
| reflective | 215:3, 239:1, | $110: 9, \quad 171: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 1, \quad 31: 15, \\ & 32: 20, \quad 33: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 219: 4, \quad 273: 10 \\ & \text { reflects } \end{aligned}$ | $239: 3$ relative | replacement $44: 13$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} 32: 20, & 33: 9, \\ 33: 19, & 38: 6, \end{array}\right.$ |
| 221:17, 252:21 | 14:11, 14:13 | reported | 60:16, 84:25, |
| refresh | relatively | 136:22, 183:9, | $112: 18,155: 23,$ |
| $65: 5$ | 79:13 | $190: 2,191: 24,$ | $272: 12$ <br> requirement |
| refrigerated $254: 2$ | relayed <br> 158:20 | 192:1, 195:18, | $15: 18,43: 25$ |
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|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { respond } \\ & 106: 20, ~ 132: 23, \\ & 136: 15, \quad 157: 7, \\ & 180: 17 \\ & \text { responded } \\ & 136: 9,173: 7, \\ & 214: 21, \quad 310: 23 \\ & \text { respondents } \\ & 182: 13 \\ & \text { responding } \\ & 269: 9 \\ & \text { response } \\ & 122: 4, \quad 190: 5, \\ & 210: 11, \quad 210: 12, \\ & 249: 24, \quad 251: 25 \\ & \text { responses } \\ & 129: 10, \quad 133: 9, \\ & 173: 2, \quad 173: 9, \\ & 182: 13, \quad 182: 14, \\ & 182: 16, \quad 186: 6, \\ & 192: 2, \quad 216: 6, \\ & 220: 6, \quad 223: 7 \\ & \text { responsible } \\ & 97: 25, \quad 107: 17, \\ & 108: 18, \quad 110: 1 \\ & \text { rest } \\ & 64: 5, \quad 93: 18, \\ & 113: 6, \quad 133: 14, \\ & 222: 1, \quad 242: 13 \\ & \text { restate } \\ & 242: 24 \\ & \text { restates } \\ & 235: 21 \\ & \text { restriction } \\ & 60: 13 \\ & \text { result } \\ & 42: 5, \quad 133: 17, \\ & 137: 11, \quad 137: 12, \\ & 152: 15, \quad 157: 1, \\ & 248: 25, \quad 250: 22 \\ & \text { resulted } \\ & 140: 25 \\ & \text { results } \\ & 156: 2, \quad 189: 13, \\ & 192: 22 \\ & \text { resume } \\ & 246: 15 \\ & \text { retailer } \\ & 222: 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | returning $275: 6$ returns $14: 2$ reversed $78: 20, \quad 78: 23$ review $6: 10, \quad 78: 19$, $97: 19, \quad 98: 24$, $104: 7, \quad 142: 24$, $143: 2, \quad 167: 9$, $168: 7, \quad 235: 21$, $293: 16, \quad 314: 15$ reviewed $96: 20, \quad 126: 19$ reviewing $203: 10, \quad 235: 15$, $252: 23$ rezoning $9: 21$ rhythm $259: 13$ rhythmic $271: 16$ rice $4: 6, \quad 20: 6$, $20: 8, \quad 20: 12$, $20: 15, \quad 56: 21$, $56: 23, \quad 56: 25$, $69: 5, \quad 69: 8$, $78: 17, \quad 90: 12$, $200: 25, \quad 201: 1$, $201: 7, \quad 201: 10$, $201: 12, \quad 201: 17$, $201: 21, \quad 202: 1$, $202: 4, \quad 202: 9$, $202: 13, \quad 202: 16$ riders $67: 9$ ridge $273: 20, \quad 281: 14$, $281: 15, \quad 281: 17$, $282: 6, \quad 284: 1$, $284: 2, \quad 297: 24$, $297: 25$ right-away $292: 2$ right-hand $17: 22, \quad 30: 25$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```right-of 93:15, 96:18, 97:11 right-of-way 65:16, 65:17, 65:18, 65:22, 65:23, 65:24, 65:25, 66:4, 66:19, 67:3, 67:23, 82:12, 93:16, 96:22, 97:5, 97:8, 97:19, 98:2, 102:22, 107:23, 108:1, 109:25 rise 177:16 ro 283:24 road 7:12, 48:8, 70:20, 74:14, 83:6, 83:7, 91:25, 128:4, 131:17, 131:18, 136:19, 143:12, 143:14, 146:5, 160:8, 172:5, 212:12, 231:17, 245:24, 252:24, 255:21, 255:23, 258:23, 259:2, 274:12, 291:6, 311:11, 313:8 roads 148:22, 231:16 rob 38:25 robert 191:2 robeso 218:24 robeson 1:11, 3:4, 7:13, 19:11, 68:16, 71:8, 86:4, 90:10, 164:22, 208:3,``` | ```264:3 robin 4:6 rock 75:14, 77:2, 77:22, 77:25, 78:1, 143:10, 143:15 rockville 1:12, 2:8, 3:10, 3:19, 7:12 rod 257:12, 262:18 romano 191:6, 222:2 roof 273:9, 273:10, 273:13, 283:24, 298:1 roofs 273:22 room 2:7, 112:14, 254:4, 285:5, 319:13 rooms 285:6 root 6:3, 8:15, 8:16, 8:17, 9:5 rotating 260:12 roundup 210:15 route 29:20 rule 281:14 rules 76:3, 76:12, 99:6, 256:10, 257:7, 257:17, 260:19, 285:1, 287:13 ruling 39:12, 39:18, 53:8, 99:16, 116:8, 116:14``` | ```running 46:7, 160:8, 253:16, 253:17 runs 93:14, 185:11 résumé 164:22``` S s 85:13, 274:8 s-c-o-n-c-e 305:21 s1w1 69:9 sa 152:5 saf 286:1 safe 42:3, 42:5, 117:14, 311:6 safely 96:15, 109:23 safer 32: 6 safest 286:1 safety 42:23, 45:13, 58:7, 117:15, 117:16, 257:15, 309:3, 315:11, 319: 6 sale 129:22, 130:21, 131:14, 131:15, 131:17, 131:23, 131:25, 155:16, 155:23, 184:16 sales 130:9, 130:13, 130:14, 130:17, 131:13, 131:14, 132:5, 133:4, 133:6, 135:5, 155:16, 159:1, 183:15, 187:2, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```98:8, 172:4, 175:9, 214:21, 214:22 sees 236:22 segue 271:22 selected 133:18, 262:16 selective 272:15 sell 187:8 seller 214:20, 215:4 sellers 168:18, 169:4, 169:11, 199:15 send 73:3, 277:7 seniors 247:19 sense 72:12, 186:18, 187:14, 242:10, 324:19 sent 13:15, 105:2, 132:12, 136:2, 140:25, 141:5 sentence 152:13 separate 134:9, 229:11 separated 131:17, 237:11 separation 62:4 sepia 25:1 september 128:17 sequence 123:25 series 132:17, 269:11 serve 47:6, 71:13,``` | 96:7 <br> served 82:17, 114:16, $151: 8, \quad 254: 4$ <br> service <br> 155:18, 254:11 <br> services <br> 68:4, 114:17, <br> 114:18, 115:1, <br> 251:12 <br> serving <br> 46:8, 68:9 <br> set <br> 7:4, 112:13, <br> 130:12, 151:25, <br> 155:8, 178:22, <br> 181:9, 255:18, <br> 258:4, 258:7, <br> 258:9, 282:18 <br> set's <br> 71:14 <br> setback <br> 15:14, 22:6, <br> 31:1, 31:14, <br> $31: 15,31: 21$, <br> $31: 23,32: 10$, <br> $32: 16,43: 16$, <br> 51:14, 58:5, <br> 59:21, 60:12, <br> 237:19, 237:20, <br> 258:11 <br> setbacks <br> 21:25, 22:4, <br> 28:25, 29:6, <br> 30:4 <br> sets <br> 282:21 <br> setting <br> 51:11, 127:3, <br> 152:20, 152:21, <br> 170:16, 170:17, <br> 171:1, 172:2, <br> 172:12, 179:14, <br> 181:25, 183:2, <br> 188:20, 208:19, <br> 209:1 <br> settle <br> 186:14 | settled $163: 11, \quad 248: 15$ seven $37: 7, \quad 287: 6$, $293: 7, \quad 305: 22$, $322: 20$ seventy-eight $266: 25, \quad 267: 24$, $268: 13$ seventy-nine $261: 23, \quad 262: 4$ several $261: 25$ sewer $68: 22, \quad 69: 2$, $69: 3, \quad 70: 12$, $70: 15, \quad 70: 17$, $74: 23, \quad 75: 2$, $75: 5$ sexism $255: 20$ shaded $8: 14$ shading $25: 13, \quad 25: 16$, $25: 17$ shadow $273: 16, \quad 274: 18$ shadowed $275: 1$ shady $292: 25$ shall $268: 2$ shape $92: 11, \quad 186: 5$ shared $10: 17, \quad 48: 9$, $140: 17, \quad 260: 7$ sharing $201: 23$ sheet $252: 4, \quad 255: 23$, $262: 13, \quad 265: 6$, $269: 14, \quad 269: 17$, $299: 12, \quad 305: 8$, $305: 13, \quad 308: 10$, $309: 13, \quad 309: 17$ | ```sheets 261:25, 269:3, 287:10, 290:25 shell 250:13 sheriffs 315:23 shielded 287:16 shift 82:15 shine 280:11, 280:24, 315:18 shines 315:12 shingle 273:14, 273:16, 273:17 shingled 273:10 shingles 273:10, 274:1 ship 272:22 short 118:1, 292:8, 319:18 short-staffed 206:17 shorter 67:5 shorthand 249:5 shot 61:20 should 24:18, 27:3, 42:14, 51:1, 80:13, 81:9, 95:21, 118:23, 120:23, 122:22, 156:21, 167:13, 170:7, 175:14, 196:15, 196:17, 198:6, 202:20, 206:16, 241:9, 257:2, 268:14,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  |  | ```silent 275:12 similar 65:4, 130:16, 135:3, 156:4, 156:14, 164:12, 172:9, 175:16, 185:17, 215:11, 321:1 similarly 212:12, 295:16 simple 41:2, 105:24, 225:19 simply 60:11, 103:23, 112:6, 187:20 since 81:7, 97:1, 126:17, 132:4, 140:5, 159:19, 253:21, 262:11, 293:14, 303:4 sincerely 85:12 sing 45:1 single 84:7, 92:21, 93:3, 151:7, 176:24, 183:2, 213:11, 217:15, 248:21, 284:23 single-family 43:24, 44:9, 45:1, 45:16, 46:20, 47:23, 50:14, 150:20, 160:2, 212:11, 213:4 single-story 283:21, 284:3 sir 14:18, 15:5, 15:22, 16:16, 18:15, 18:21, 19:1, 19:6, 21:21, 29:13,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 30:5, 31:19, | situ | sizes | 131:1, 131:2, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31:25, 32:22, | 58:14 | 109:21 | 133:2, 180:25, |
| $33: 25,40: 20$, | situation | sketch | 184:21, 184:25, |
| 44:5, 44:14, | 33:5, 41:8, | 8:13 | 187:6, 214:16, |
| 44:22, 45:2, | 59:13, 59:20, | skip | 222:2, 223:23 |
| 45:5, 48:16, | 62:24, 127:20, | 75:9 | solely |
| 49:11, 56:5, | 130:10, 135:6, | slab | 12:22, 117:5 |
| 56:8, 56:12, | 157:19, 187:5, | 274:6, 275:8, | solemnly |
| 59:8, 63:10, | 187:7, 225:20, | 275:9 | 124:23, 163:12, |
| 64:9, 64:12, | 321:11 | slash | 245:16 |
| 64:17, 65:7, | situations | 311:19 | solid |
| 65:18, 66:2, | 156:14, 158:6 | slat | 275:19, 279:4, |
| 68:3, 68:7, | six | 280:9 | 280:12, 280:22, |
| 68:15, 71:13, | 47:15, 152:25, | sleeve | 309:1, 309:3 |
| 75:3, 75:7, | 153:4, 153:5, | 70:21 | some |
| 75:12, 75:23, | 189:20, 192:14, | slight | 10:13, 29:24, |
| 76:16, 76:17, | 204:12, 217:21, | $272: 25$ | 57:6, 58:13, |
| 76:20, 77:3, | 220:8, 221:18, | slightly | $62: 4,63: 11,$ |
| 77:7, 81:11, | 222:11, 224:13, | 24:7, 212:9, | $63: 12, \quad 66: 19$ |
| 92:7, 97:10, | 235:8, 235:9, | $253: 15,256: 20,$ | $74: 15, \quad 91: 4$ |
| 111:3, 112:11, | 235:19, 257:12, | 285:21, 292:17 | 91:5, 91:6, |
| 112:17, 112:20, | 257:20, 265:12, | slope | 100:15, 110:2, |
| 112:24, 113:12, | 278:19, 278:25, | 281:13 | 110:4, 113:5, |
| 113:23, 114:19, | 282:19, 282:24, | slowly | 120:8, 125:20, |
| 115:12, 125:9, | 293:11, 299:14, | 171:15 | 128:8, 136:4, |
| 126:17, 126:20, | 299:16, 303:6, | small | 138:5, 140:6, |
| 127:16, 141:25, | 306:18, 309:25 | $34: 4,82: 6,$ | 140:10, 143:21, |
| 142:3, 246:5, | six-foot | $255: 1$ | 144:13, 149:19, |
| 276:19, 295:1, | 37:20, 65:19, | smaller | 152:13, 161:21, |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 300: 12, & 300: 21, \\ 303: 11, & 305: 20, \end{array}$ | 289:6, 311:5 | 155:20 | 165:15, 177:24, |
| $306: 12,309: 1,$ | six-foot-high | smart | 178:3, 178:5, |
| 309:16, 312:10, | 29 | 169:21, 177:4, |  |
| 312:19, 314:6, | six-hundred | 181:21, 238:5, |  |
| 314:9, 316:19, | size | 238:9, 238:13, | 201:4, 208:4, |
| 318:19, 321:17, | $11: 3,14: 11,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 238: 20, ~ 239: 1, \\ & 239: 4, \quad 239: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 210:11, 215:10, |
| 322:12, 323:20 | 14:12, 15:7, | 239:14, 239:15 | 216:10, 216:11, |
| sit | 43:22, 43:23, | snyder | 216:12, 216:25, |
| 275:9 | 52:14, 52:22, |  | 217:1, 217:3, |
| sited 249:13, 249:25 | 64:7, 156:9, | soccer | 217:12, 217:13, |
| 249:13, 249:25 sites | 170:15, 172:9, | 283:15 | 218:4, 225:9, |
| sites 169:23, 314:19 | 181:13, 209:3, | soft | 229:17, 233:19, |
| $169: 23,314: 19$ sits | 228:12, 228:20, | 255:6, 290:7 | 238:11, 238:17, |
| 170:3, 184:25, | 228:23, 234:9, 292:7 | softly | 271:24, 27 |
| 186:15 | sized | 255:3 | 272:24, 279:17, |
| sitting | $135: 4,156: 5,$ | sold | 281:13, 299:22, |
| 174:5, 174:7 | 212:12, 249:14 | 128:16, 129:4, | 303:10, 307:18, |
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|  |  |  | spaces <br> 58:20, 59:1, <br> 64:7, 64:8, <br> 113:3 <br> spacing <br> 257:17, 259:16 <br> speak <br> 254:9, 286:7 <br> speaking <br> 157:1, 214:4, <br> 315:20 <br> spec <br> 287:10 <br> special <br> 78:12, 176:12, <br> 187:6, 311:19 <br> special-purpose <br> 187:3 <br> specialty <br> 247:6 <br> specific <br> 30:14, 33:7, <br> 88:19, 207:19, <br> 282:12 <br> specifically <br> 49:1, 57:17, <br> 85:9, 226:13 <br> specification <br> 265:11, 272:21 <br> specifications <br> 6:12, 261:15, <br> 277:13, 277:20 <br> specificity <br> 269:9 <br> specifies <br> 27:2, 28:25, <br> 33: 8 <br> speculated <br> 256:22 <br> speculation <br> 160:12 <br> speed <br> 272:3 <br> spell <br> 163:20, 245:21, <br> 305:19 <br> spelled <br> 245:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| $121: 10, \quad 121: 22$, $133: 20, \quad 136: 12$, $147: 12, \quad 168: 22$, $171: 8, \quad 173: 15$, $179: 4, \quad 183: 8$, $186: 10, \quad 188: 7$, $197: 9, \quad 197: 11$, $206: 9, \quad 213: 3$, $223: 6, \quad 227: 12$, $232: 8, \quad 232: 24$, $234: 12, \quad 244: 15$, $245: 10, \quad 251: 20$, $254: 20, \quad 255: 13$, $255: 18, \quad 256: 11$, $256: 16, \quad 257: 25$, $259: 3, \quad 281: 7$, $283: 25, \quad 284: 22$, $285: 9, \quad 292: 21$, $299: 1, \quad 299: 22$, $300: 15, \quad 306: 20$, $307: 6, \quad 315: 16$, $316: 13$ taken $73: 11, \quad 202: 20$, $325: 25$ takes $30: 24, \quad 169: 20$, $208: 25$ |  | ```303:18, 304:9, 307:1, 307:4, 309:10, 311:24, 314:8, 317:19, 318:17, 320:2 talks 196:9, 236:12 tall 299:9 taller 149:15, 183:3, 212:25, 297:23 tap 70:21 tax 11:20 taxation 140:22, 141:19, 159:7 taylor 190:12, 313:15, 313:16, 313:17 team 21:24, 96:13, 97:2, 97:5, 97:7, 97:9, 97:10, 97:12, 97:15, 97:18, 98:2, 98:6, 98:18, 102:22, 109:25 tear 44:2 teasing 135:23 technical 6:12, 45:20, 109:10, 277:13, 277:20, 284:15 technically 29:6, 43:23, 109:9, 114:14, 221:7, 309:24 technology 275:11 tell 17:19, 17:22, 28:10, 32:2,``` | ```44:11, 55:13, 68:4, 68:8, 68:13, 104:22, 118:2, 122:21, 180:4, 193:1, 195:10, 195:16, 197:13, 203:8, 205:23, 210:7, 222:14, 241:22, 247:14, 253:23, 306:13, 318:4, 320:20 telling 101:15, 102:1, 156:7, 156:16, 317:2, 317:3 tells 62:8 temperature 254:4 ten 137:13, 137:14, 177:13, 275:13, 293:7, 293:10 tend 101:12, 150:24 tennis 152:17, 153:1 tenth 321:19 term 45:21, 237:25, 259:15, 267:19, 274:2, 297:8, 305:17 terms 15:20, 19:2, 35:16, 64:7, 99:23, 111:8, 111:12, 131:21, 144:1, 150:3, 153:9, 161:1, 170:8, 209:10, 218:5, 255:3, 285:10 terrence 4:7, 124:17, 125:6``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| terring | 121:18, 145:18, | 202:13, 219:19, | 187:7, 188:13, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128:24, 129:18 | 165:19, 191:12, | 219:20, 219:22, | 208:5, 215:11, |
| terry | 193:4, 193:22, | 219:23, 230:8, | 216:10, 219:13, |
| 129:17 | 198:1, 198:5, | 234:22, 243:1, | 236:1, 239:2, |
| test | 200:13, 203:3, | 243:11, 244:5, | 239:22, 250:19, |
| 42:16, 230:11, | 205:13, 206:5, | 244:7, 245:20, | 255:5, 283:16, |
| 230:12, 233:18, | 210:11, 219:24, | 247:23, 251:4, | 285:1, 295:9, |
| 279:15 | 220:1, 224:19, | 252:8, 254:16, | 314:19, 323:24 |
| testified | 226:3, 226:8, | 255:9, 281:18, | thinking |
| 7:15, 38:10, | 226:22, 228:5, | 304:18, 309:11, | 13:5, 100:3, |
| 38:13, 51:19, | 228:7, 232:6, | 322:22, 322:25, | 118:16 |
| 65:15, 99:22, | 232:10, 242:12, | 323:14, 325:1 | thinks |
| 102:12, 103:13, | 242:18, 243:21, | themselves | 53:24, 203:25 |
| 126:1, 126:5, | 249:20, 258:14, | 266:22, 274:4, | third |
| 135:14, 137:21, | 266:10, 274:10, | 275:15, 278:15 | 12:5, 152:12, |
| 218:13, 226:1, | 279:24, 298:5, | theory | 256:4 |
| 230:9 | 310:19, 312:10, | 233:12 | thirty |
| testifies | 312:24, 313:14, | thereafter | 180:23 |
| 103:21, 294:13 | 314:4, 314:10, | 310:8 | thirty-eight |
| testify | 317:20, 317:24, | therefore | 209:17 |
| 7:14, 11:12, | 318:9, 318:21, | 241:9, 255:2, | thirty-four |
| $38: 20,39: 1$, | 320:5, 320:7 | 266:3, 266:4, | $211: 21$ |
| 39:15, 40:4, | texas | 266:7, 272:7, | thirty-one |
| 42:22, 53:23, | 272:22 | 272:13, 305:10, | 196:8 |
| 53:24, 57:6, | text | 315:14 | thirty-seven |
| 60:19, 109:2, | 126:1, 292:23, | theresa | 184:1, 184:2 |
| 114:11, 120:9, | 293:9, 293:11 | 190:11 | thoroughly |
| 139:12, 178:12, | th | they'd | $286: 7$ |
| 195:20, 195:21, | 81:8, 138:1, | 186:14 | thought |
| 210:17, 242:11 | 246:9, 263:7 | thing | 38:23, 51:1, |
| testifying | thank | 20:2, 44:11, | 53:18, 57:2, |
| 108:9, 116:2, | 7:5, 8:7, | 59:3, 62:5, | 107:24, 115:5, |
| 139:7, 140:18, | $13: 22,13: 25,$ | 81:15, 131:23, | 129:14, 130:25, |
| 159:14, 193:16, | $14: 1, ~ 20: 15, ~$ <br> 21.13, <br> 5.19, | 138:25, 148:19, | 131:9, 132:19, |
| 199:10 | 21:13, 25:19, | 161:22, 183:17, | 147:20, 156:20, |
| testimonies | 31:18, 40:15, | 187:1, 187:10, | 156:22, 157:7, |
| 55:11 | $40: 17$, $410: 18$, $49: 9$, | 188:18, 193:9, | 165:19, 178:1, |
| testimony | 41:19, 49:9, | 215:5, 219:4, | 193:12, 197:1, |
| 5:4, 5:6, 5:9, | 70:2, 77:19, | 239:14, 250:17, | 276:17, 286:5, |
| 5:11, 7:19, | 81:16, 92:17, <br> 106.22. 106.23 | 253:18, 276:22, | 297:9, 301:19, |
| 20:18, 30:1, | 106:22, 106:23, | 314:13 | 304:3, 310:23 |
| 30:21, 39:19, | 113:24, 114:16, | things | three |
| 50:18, 55:19, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 115: 22, & 117: 4 \\ 124: 16, & 124: 21 \end{array}\right.$ | $9: 1, ~ 12: 19, ~$ | 35:25, 44:9, |
| 69:9, 70:1, | $\begin{array}{ll} 124: 16, & 124: 21, \\ 126: 13 . & 136: 7 . \end{array}$ | 33:5, 73:20, | 44:20, 46:13, |
| 86:5, 86:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 126: 13, \\ & 159: 96: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 74:22, 91:7, | 46:19, 47:5, |
| 86:18, 100:11, | $\begin{array}{ll} 159: 9, & 162: 9, \\ 163: 5 & 163: 6 \end{array}$ | 100:15, 105:10, | $50: 14,65: 6$, |
| 100:18, 104:9, | $\begin{array}{ll} 163: 5, & 163: 6, \\ 164: 9, & 167: 1 \end{array}$ | 114:7, 173:14, | $68: 19,84: 7$ |
| 106:16, 118:20, | 164:9, 167:1, | 181:21, 185:25, | 84:12, 84:24, |
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|  | ```280:11, 280:12, 280:24, 286:2, 287:4, 296:11 tick 97:4, 275:10, 275:11 tikes 282:13 timber 273:17 time 11:2, 44:11, 53:5, 54:19, 63:23, 71:10, 72:8, 100:23, 117:21, 118:1, 120:5, 121:5, 121:9, 136:12, 137:1, 140:2, 163:7, 169:20, 186:1, 202:21, 205:22, 218:6, 221:3, 225:6, 225:10, 243:13, 244:19, 253:14, 263:15, 266:21, 280:7, 285:14, 289:25, 290:2, 295:19, 301:14, 303:1, 311:5, 311:6, 314:12, 315:16 timely 255:10 times 31:14, 31:16, 246:13, 272:11 title 16:25, 17:3, 34:11, 80:1 titled 67:24, 264:15, 284:11, 284:15, 305:7 today 7:14, 12:13, 13:13, 14:4, 44:4, 73:12,``` |  | ```303:16, 303:20, 303:22, 304:11, 306:10, 309:3, 322:20 top-level 322:18 torn 174:22 torture 283:3 total 8:16, 214:12, 214:18, 228:13, 256:6, 285:8, 285:12, 285:13 totally 57:4, 143:9, 160:21, 212:6, 309:5 touch 148:19 touching 82:8 toward 259:1 towards 67:6, 82:7, 82:18, 83:18 town 9:22, 9:23, 10:2, 45:16 tr 32:20 track 94:20, 234:16 tracked 209:21 tracking 106:8, 106:9 traditional 149:9 traffic 49:5, 49:6, 49:7, 98:10, 100:3, 185:18, 185:19, 250:1, 272:3, 292:14, 292:18, 299:4``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| trail | trip | 270:17, 272:8, | 36:4, 45:6, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66:20, 66:21, | 312:25 | 294:17, 301:24, | 45:7, 57:5, |
| 67:1, 67:13 | trips | 302:21, 302:25, | 68:19, 73:20, |
| transcribed | 323:15, 323:17 | 303:1, 303:2, | 75:17, 84:16, |
| 1:25, 327:1 | trouble | 317:12, 318:6, | 102:6, 117:22, |
| transcriber | 48:3, 87:10, | 320:25, 323:23, | 118:14, 120:1, |
| 326:23 | 219:18 | 324:18 | 121:11, 121:19, |
| transcript | truck | tucked | 122:16, 129:23, |
| 5:3, 326:25 | 207:18 | 278:13 | 130:14, 130:15, |
| transfer | trucks | tuesday | 130:19, 130:20, |
| 254:13 | 204:3, 205:10, | 53:9, 53:21, | 131:16, 131:17, |
| transit | 231:21 | 105:3, 107:3 | 131:20, 131:24, |
| 240:6 | true | turf | 131:25, 132:7, |
| transitional | 15:9, 52:10, | 76:4, 77:9, | 133:17, 133:22, |
| 272:6, 272:7 | 272:18, 326:25 | 77:15, 84:15, | 134:9, 134:21, |
| transmission | truly | 256:25, 257:1, | 135:4, 142:24, |
| 75:4 | 257:8 | 257:4, 257:9 | 143:7, 147:12, |
| transparent | trusses | turn | 151:13, 156:5, |
| 266:8 | 283:24 | 135:1, 135:20, | 156:10, 156:18, |
| treated | truth | 151:10, 154:15, | 157:9, 157:20, |
| 75:13, 257:7 | $124: 25,163: 14,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 158: 16, \quad 255: 21, \\ & 288: 4, \quad 314: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 158: 7, \quad 167: 6, \\ & 176: 12, \quad 182: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
| treating | $163: 15, \quad 245: 18$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 288: 4, & 314: 12, \\ 316: 5, & 316: 12, \end{array}$ | $182: 17,183: 19,$ |
| $281: 12$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{try} \\ & 21: 18, \quad 34: 13, \end{aligned}\right.$ | $319: 7$ | 186:12, 187:6, |
| treatment $75: 1$ | $45: 18,61: 17,$ | turned | 188:12, 199:3, |
| tree | 64:5, 137:5, | 314:18, 316:9, | 213:5, 228:16, |
| 8:11, 8:14, | 156:13, 187:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 318: 24, \quad 319: 7, \\ & 322: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 230: 25, & 231: 13, \\ 271: 18, & 273: 12, \end{array}$ |
| 9:5, 9:16, | $208: 24, \quad 239: 15,$ | 322:10 turning | $274: 24,275: 8,$ |
| $226: 18$ trees | $\begin{aligned} & 244: 1, \quad 259: 18, \\ & 263: 17, \quad 270: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $58: 9$ | 278:14, 282:3, |
| $161: 19$ | 271:13, 303:10, | turns | 283:25, 284:15, |
| trend | $315: 6,315: 8$, | 272:4 | $\begin{array}{ll} 285: 4, & 287: 1, \\ 287: 3, & 290: 14, \end{array}$ |
| 214:9 | $323: 23$ | twenty $153: 21, \quad 153: 22$ | $293: 10,293: 12,$ |
| trial-type | trying <br> 11:24, 15:20 | 153:21, 153:22, | 293:13, 293:14, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 126: 2 \\ & \text { trick } \end{aligned}$ | $39: 2,45: 13,$ | twenty-five | 295:9, 295:14, |
| 264:11 | 51:7, 58:19, | 288:22 | 296:6, 300:8, |
| tricky | 63:22, 64:23, | twenty-one | $\begin{array}{ll} 301: 20, & 306: 18, \\ 307: 24, & 309: 25, \end{array}$ |
| 302:10 | 70:6, 72:12, | 281:25 | $312: 24, \quad 313$ |
| tried | 75:10, 123:21, | twenty-six | $316: 21, \quad 317: 4,$ |
| 15:12, 61:22, | $\begin{array}{ll} 130: 17, & 132: 5, \\ 134: 20 & 140: 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 290: 19, \quad 290: 20 \\ & \text { twenty-two } \end{aligned}$ | 318:2, 319:13, |
| 63:20, 132:25, | $\begin{array}{ll} 134: 20, & 140: 13, \\ 157: 18, & 157: 23, \end{array}$ | twenty-two \|281:20 | $322: 16,323: 15$, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 136: 9, \quad 137: 22, \\ & 210: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $166: 24,167: 12,$ | twice | $\begin{aligned} & 323: 17, \quad 324: 2 \\ & \text { two-mile } \end{aligned}$ |
| tries | 183:18, 192:17, | 31:10 | 133:5, 133:7 |
| 212:4, 273:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 212: 7, \quad 215: 5, \\ & 216: 23, \quad 233: 16, \end{aligned}$ | two | two-story |
| trims | $\begin{aligned} & 216: 23, ~ 233: 16, \\ & 234: 5, \quad 263: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 22, \quad 8: 8, \\ & 31: 14, \quad 35: 23, \end{aligned}$ | 172:5, 284:11, |
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| usual | 179:18, 179:24, | verbally | visited |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134:17 | 180:10, 180:14, | 194:16 | 158:2 |
| usually | 182:22, 183:16, | verify | vocabulary |
| 42:22, 72:16, | 183:19, 214:12, | 162:2 | 274:9 |
| 103:15, 186:6, | 216:15, 216:19, | vernacular | voice |
| 231:23, 294:13 | 217:12, 219:3 | 249:17, 274:7, | 48:2 |
| utilities | valued | 295:17 | volt |
| 97:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 130: 7 \\ & \text { values } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { vernacular's } \\ & \text { 249:18 } \end{aligned}$ | $70: 25, \quad 71: 1$$71: 2$ |
| utilized |  |  |  |
| 130:3, 134:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 133: 23, \\ & 165: 23, \\ & 171: 15, \end{aligned}$ | version | volume |
| 156:18 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 252: 9, \quad 271: 2, \\ & 276: 15, \quad 278: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $85: 8, \quad 87: 4,$ |
| utilizing | $\begin{aligned} & 165: 23, \quad 171: 10, \\ & 172: 4, \quad 214: 11, \end{aligned}$ |  | $89: 25, \quad 90: 1$ |
| 127:18, 134:15, | $241: 14$ | $302: 3$ |  |
| 221:14, 238:8 | valuing | versus | 218:11 |
| utterance | 167:8 | 10:15, 50:13, | volumes |
| 275:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { van } \\ & 168: 13 \end{aligned}$ |  | 89:23 |
| V |  | vertical | W |
| $\mathrm{v}$ | vandalism <br> 310:21 | 109:19, 280:9, | w-0-0-d-s |
| 163:22 | $310: 21$ vander | $\begin{array}{\|l} 289: 16 \\ \text { vestibule } \end{array}$ | 88:5 |
| vacant | $206: 25$ | $307: 25,308: 7,$ | wait |
| 176:21, 177:4, | vandermause's | $308: 11$ | 7:2, 11:16, |
| $177: 5,179: 3$, $181: 23$ | 144:10, 209:16 | veterinarian | 22:7, 26:11, |
| val | varian | 130:23, 131:15, | 51:4, 54:1, |
| 166:10 | 32:19 | 131:16, 132:1 | 55:1, 74:2, |
| valid | variance $59: 15,102: 6$, | veterinary | 76:6, 79:26, |
| 155:15 | $\begin{array}{ll} 59: 15, & 102: 6, \\ 293: 6, & 293: 16 \end{array}$ | $184: 22, \quad 185: 1$ | 87:9, 97:7, |
| valley | $293: 6, \quad 293: 16$ |  | 98:8, 103:20, |
| 143:17 | variation273:1 | via | 111:10, 111:17, |
| valuation |  | 158:22, 319:13 | 114:23, 138:11, |
| 127:22, 130:7, | 273:1 | vicinity | 142:15, 146:17, |
| 139:1, 157:24, | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { variety } \\ \text { 43:11, 248:12, } \end{array}$ | 128:3 | 165:16, 173:1, |
| 209:10, 242:11 | 249:11, 249:23 | view61:7, 114:1, | 183:25, 189:16, |
| value | various |  | 193:12, 199:16, |
| 126:24, 127:1, |  | 115:14, 177:13, | 201:9, 212:17, |
| 127:4, 127:6, |  | $177: 14, \quad 282: 15,$ | $267: 25,317: 13$ |
| 127:10, 127:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 249: 24, \quad 252: 22, \\ & 261: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 294:21 |  |
| 127:23, 127:25, | vary | vinyl | waiting |
| 133:19, 133:21, | 282: | 279:4 | 19:15, 79:6 |
| $141: 1,141: 2,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 1, \quad 64: 4, \\ & 280: 24, \quad 312: 25 \end{aligned}$ | vinylized | 94:1, 304:6 |
| 148:13, 148:25, |  | 257:13, 261:13, | waive |
| 149:1, 150:25, |  |  | 41:24 |
| 157:1, 166:7, | vehicles | virginia | waiver |
| 166:8, 166:12, | $\begin{aligned} & 219: 8, \quad 232: 22, \\ & 256: 21, \quad 312: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | 15:8, 15:12, |
| 169:8, 171:3, |  | 323:1 | 32:20, 41:7, |
| 177:18, 177:23, | vents | virtue | 41:17, 41:23, |
| 179:12, 179:13, | $273: 25$ verbal | $78: 22,187: 21$ | 42:5, 49:14, |
|  | 50:18 | 66:21 |  |
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| $\begin{aligned} & 58: 6, \quad 58: 15, \\ & 60: 17, \quad 65: 22, \\ & 65: 25, \quad 74: 14, \\ & 82: 2, \quad 82: 15, \\ & 82: 18, \quad 83: 5, \\ & 83: 8, \quad 83: 12, \\ & 92: 9, \quad 92: 23, \\ & 113: 5, \quad 143: 12, \\ & 143: 15, \quad 146: 11, \\ & 151: 15, \quad 251: 22, \\ & 252: 12, \quad 253: 17, \\ & 269: 2, \quad 269: 17, \\ & 269: 21, \quad 269: 22, \\ & 269: 23, \quad 270: 9, \\ & 274: 21, \quad 275: 8, \\ & 278: 14, \quad 284: 7, \\ & 284: 9, \quad 288: 23, \\ & 291: 21, \quad 291: 23, \\ & 295: 14, \quad 295: 20, \\ & 297: 15, \quad 300: 18, \\ & 311: 18, \quad 323: 1 \\ & \text { western } \\ & 249: 9, \quad 288: 1, \\ & 288: 4 \\ & \text { wha } \\ & 316: 23 \\ & \text { whatever } \\ & 11: 15, \quad 84: 4, \\ & 122: 16, \quad 156: 8, \\ & 160: 23, \quad 172: 20, \\ & 203: 5, \quad 261: 20, \\ & 272: 5 \\ & \text { wheeling } \\ & 323: 1, \quad 323: 5 \\ & \text { when's } \\ & 225: 6, \quad 225: 10 \\ & \text { whenever } \\ & 323: 16 \\ & \text { where'd } \\ & 18: 10 \\ & \text { whereas } \\ & 191: 14, \quad 284: 4 \\ & \text { whether } \\ & 40: 10, \quad 40: 13, \\ & 41: 6, \quad 50: 14, \\ & 51: 24, \quad 91: 6, \\ & 92: 4,126: 24, \\ & 165: 20, \quad 172: 13, \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 231:5, 323:16 <br> witness <br> 4:5, 4:7, 4:8, <br> 4:9, 11:11, <br> 19:18, 19:19, <br> 48:3, 83:17, <br> 99:4, 119:8, <br> 124:4, 124:9, <br> 124:16, 125:21, <br> 165:1, 223:12, <br> 242:16, 244:8, <br> 247:3, 272:9, <br> 324:5 <br> witnesses <br> 57:11, 100:14, <br> 116:10, 117:22, <br> 117:25, 118:4, <br> 120:12, 120:23, <br> 123:25, 124:3, <br> 324:3 <br> wjc@cwtm <br> 3:22 <br> wolford <br> 114:11, 115:9 <br> woman's <br> 24:25 <br> wondered $\begin{aligned} & 287: 25, \quad 319: 12, \\ & 324: 15 \end{aligned}$ <br> wondering $19: 23,142: 21$ <br> wood <br> 118:24 <br> wooded <br> 217:14, 217:15, <br> 217:17 <br> woods $\begin{aligned} & 87: 7, \quad 87: 25, \\ & 88: 2, \quad 88: 3, \\ & 88: 4, \quad 88: 16, \\ & 89: 15 \\ & \text { word } \\ & 9: 11, \quad 30: 10, \\ & 46: 1,46: 4, \\ & 75: 20,77: 22, \\ & 111: 11,227: 12, \\ & 228: 19, \quad 241: 12, \\ & 242: 3, \quad 243: 19, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```245:25, 249:18, 270:17, 278:4, 312:5 words 57:22, 95:25, 154:18, 294:17 work 19:2, 43:6, 47:7, 105:15, 164:11, 225:1, 250:19, 259:22, 272:20, 324:9 worked 19:8, 249:18, 258:25 working 21:23, 45:24, 194:4 works 70:16, 83:1, 214:22 worry 12:1, 74:7 worse 185:20, 185:23, 186:1, 187:16 worth 114:10, 133:3, 172:4, 214:11, 214:16, 219:18, 283:14 would've 8:24, 104:10, 104:13, 106:16, 129:20, 262:1 wouldn't 48:22, 61:19, 109:10, 128:21, 161:13, 161:15, 161:16, 181:12, 260:20 wouldn't 319:8 wrap 215:19, 293:23 wrapping 246:8 write 54:22, 58:3,``` |  |  | ```zone 6:3, 8:15, 8:17, 9:5, 31:2, 111:8, 111:13, 112:10, 273:6, 293:15 zoned 225:21 zoning 1:1, 2:3, 3:2, 7:11, 20:2, 22:10, 31:8, 33:8, 34:24, 35:16, 35:20, 43:20, 43:21, 63:21, 67:17, 69:22, 156:8, 166:5, 166:25, 176:4, 177:16, 241:18, 242:4, 242:8, 243:4, 273:5, 281:12 \begin{tabular}{c} \hline\(\$\) \\ \hline\(\$ 1,100,000\) \\ \(129: 2, \quad 129: 20\) \end{tabular} \$1,200,000 129:21 \$1,499,000 128:25 \$1.1 159:1 \$1. 2 159:1 \$100,000 190:16 \$180 135: 6 \$190 133: 2 \$280,000 128:19, 214:14, 214:18 \$30,000 220:23 \$300, 000 283:14 \$465,000 158:18``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| \$495,000 | . 5 | 121:8, 122:20, | 123:6, 123:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 158:17 | 63:24, 64:3, | 123:5, 123:13, | 11.78 |
| \$535,000 | 64:6, 64:10, | 151:21, 276:4 | 212:3 |
| 158:18 | 64:13, 64:19, | 1,100 | 111 |
| \$550 | 67:24 | 83:18, 93:5, | 246:22, 246:23, |
| 190:7 | . 5195 | 108:12 | 246:24 |
| \$585,000 | 3:21 | 1,300 | 11111 |
| 127:24, 133:21 | . 5212 | 131:2 | 246:23 |
| \$65,000 | 3:11 | 1.0 | 115 |
| 127:24, 133:23 | . 6 | 252:5, 306:13, | 125:19 |
| \$650,000 | 111:12, 111:19 | 308:11 | 118 |
| 127:22 | . 6044 | 1.10 | 5:5 |
| \$75,000 | 3:12 | 154:19, 154:22 | 12 |
| 190:16 | . 9500 | 1.2 | 32:10, 32:22, |
| \$900,000 | 3:20 | 154:14 | 37:12, 40:25, |
| 214:16, 214:17 | 0 | 1.24 | 49:13, 70:19, |
| \$920,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 287: 21 \\ & 0.1 \\ & 287: 21, \quad 287: 24, \\ & 288: 10 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 154: 17 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | 113:2, 118:10, |
| 129:5 |  |  | 121:6, 132:3, |
| \$995,000 |  | 8:11, 8:14, | 151:23, 153:20, |
| 129:4 |  | 9:6, 9:10, 9:16, | $155: 9,182: 20,$ |
|  |  | $14: 20,15: 2,$ | 184:9, 185:8, <br> 185:10, 186:2 |
| $.06$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 21: 8, & 32: 22, \\ 53: 5, & 89: 11, \end{array}\right.$ | $186: 10,186: 18,$ |
| $155: 5,212: 7$ | $21: 8, ~ 21: 10$, $118: 10,119: 3$, | 89:14, 133:18, | $186: 20,187: 14,$ |
| 41.25, 70.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 118: 10, \quad 119: 3, \\ & 121: 2, \quad 121: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 133:20, 156:25, | 187:18, 192:10, |
| 41:25, 70:4, | $121: 2, ~ 121: 7, ~$ $121: 8, ~ 122: 20, ~$ | 177:15, 182:19, | 196:16, 198:5, |
| 110:5, 111:12, | $121: 8$, $123: 5,146: 13$, | 183:15, 187:15, | 198:15, 198:22, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 111: 19,113: 24 \\ & .10 \end{aligned}$ | $123: 5, ~ 146: 13$, $150: 1, ~ 243: 14$, | 191:5, 192:6, | 199:1, 232:19, |
| 41:17, 41:23, | 290:1, 290:3, | 196:20, 198:24, | 248:20, 285:9, |
| $154: 23$ | 310:7, 310:17, | 198:25, 199:6, | 297:23, 327:10 |
| . 101 | 311:5, 311:6, | 204:10, 204:11, | 12,000 |
| 162:2, 210:25, | 318:24, 320:14, | 204:17, 216:19, | 53:13 |
| 211:2, 211:4, | 320:17, 325:3 | 232:18, 252:4, | 12.1 |
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