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should just be able to click on the link.
MS. HANSEN: Oh, you know what -- as I explained to Ms.
Johnson, it was not possible. What happened was your
website, probably during COVID-19, was subsumed beneath the
county council's website.
    And there was no opportunity to follow your
instructions which was click on the link. It took a lot of
effort to actually find the Microsoft. And we had to
download the software. And it's only partially effective.
    MR. GRIGORIAN: I'm not -- yeah, there's a link for me,
underneath meeting access information -- join Microsoft
Teams meeting and a number -- a conference ID for this
meeting.
    But when I click on that link -- I know this is not a
call about technical stuff here, but just for the support of
whether or not we adjourn this meeting for another day. When
I click on that meeting, you know, I get a screen, Microsoft
Teams -- I have Microsoft Teams. Let's try it again now.
Maybe it's working this time.
    MS. HANSEN: If I can add [inaudible] had video, but
didn't really have any audio [inaudible]. The audio is fine.
[inaudible]
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Does anyone -- yeah, I agree
there is a lot of background noise. Does anyone have the
```

audio and visual off [inaudible] their computer mic on?
MS. HANSEN: I have both on, although the visual is not
working.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Now I've got -- I've got Mr.
Grigorian on visual.
MR. GRIGORIAN: How do you know that's me?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Because your name pops up.
I've got Barbara Cox on -- I've got an inspector from VPS on
visual. So the visual is working. Thank you.
MR. GRIGORIAN: Yeah, it's not working. I tried it for
a fourth time. I guess Microsoft Teams must have needed to
be updated. We use Zoom in my office so I guess --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I've got many visuals now.
MR. GRIGORIAN: Yeah, okay. It's all coming through.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. This --
MS. HANSEN: I see my own photograph. I don't see
anyone else now, but something is happening.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I see you, Ms. Hansen. I see
Ms. Freeman as well. So are we good to go?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Now, if you called in, you're
now on video. If you called in, you should turn off your
phone, because that creates background noise. Okay. Are we
okay on that?
little bit about the procedure.

This is an informal hearing. It's -- but there are some formalities. The testimony is under oath and subject to cross examination, which means you -- whoever testifies,
those who don't testify have the opportunity to cross
examine, ask questions of the witness.
Okay. Generally we proceed with the applicant's case
first. And then we have all the exhibits on the website. And
I have them on my screen. So with that, Ms. Freeman, would
you like to proceed?
MS. FREEMAN: Yes, Ma'am [inaudible]
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Oh, I'm sorry. Please raise your right hand.

Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury, that
the statement you're about to make is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MS. FREEMAN: Yes, I do.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Please go ahead.
MS. FREEMAN: Thank you for time this morning. The
purpose of this hearing is to determine my application in
the minor amendment for conditional use. Yes, this is a minor amendment.

This has nothing to do with [inaudible] property [inaudible] conditional use, to which there were no
objections. However, the only change is that the potential
subdivision, there would be a small [inaudible] area
3 available for the horses. I am in compliance --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Excuse me. There's so much 25 background noise that I can't hear Ms. Freeman. Could your

MR. GRIGORIAN: Yeah, I turned my cell phone off. I
lephoned that line in and now I'm on the call.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Court reporter, are you
picking up -- is this better for you?
COURT REPORTER: [inaudible]
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. So now that we have
video, does anyone object to proceeding?
MS. FREEMAN: No.
MS. HANSEN: No.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mr. Grigorian, you have to
answer affirmatively because the court reporter is going to
do a transcript of this.
MR. GRIGORIAN: No objections.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Thank you and I
appreciate everyone for taking the time to work through
this. Now, what we're going to do -- I'm going to explain a
little bit about the procedure.

DEPOS
phone be on?
MS. FREEMAN: No. My phone is not on.
MR. GRIGORIAN: Can everybody else mute or, Lane, can
you remotely mute everyone other that Ms. Freeman, as web
host? Because I agree; I can only hear every other word of
Jenny's testimony.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. I have muted everyone.
MS. FREEMAN: Shall I continue now?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes.
MS. FREEMAN: Okay. I am in -- where I left off. I am
2 in compliance with all requirements of the conditional use.
3 For these reasons, along with the other reasons, stated in
4 the section for opinion decision, of the April 13 , minor
5 amendment approval, that my application for minor amendments
6 was administratively approved in [inaudible].
7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Ms. Freeman, can you speak
8 more slowly, please?
9 MS. FREEMAN: Yes, I will. Sorry. I'll repeat that
0 part. For these reasons, along with the other reasons,
1 stated in section for opinion and decision of April 13 minor
amendment approval, at the time my application for the minor
change in the nature, character, or intensity of the
conditional use to an extent that the total adverse effect
on the surrounding neighborhood could reasonably be expected
when considered in combination with the underlying
conditional use.
During the last four years, since the approval of the
original conditional use, I have not had any complaints from
neighbors, including Dr. Hansen or Mr. Grigorian about the
10 conditional use; that is, until my plans for the
subdivision.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That's what -- until your -just a second.

## MS. FREEMAN: Until the --

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Until your plans for what? MS. FREEMAN: The subdivision. HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. MS. FREEMAN: At that time, the complaints started coming in along the lines of me having an excessive animal
load on the property, manure spreading, forest destruction,
21 migratory bird habitat destruction, reining of the
22 Poolesville aquifer and various other issues that I couldn't
understand the relevance of for this minor amendment request.

I believe that without having to repeat what I wrote in

1 my pre-hearing statement, submitted on May 21, that I have addressed all of the concerns raised, including obtaining a modified nutrient management plan and a new conservation pasture management plan.

It appears to me that the two neighbors in opposition object to the conditional use more because of the subdivision plans than anything having to do with my two rescue horses, my small backyard flock of chickens, or my daughter's pet bunny.

Additionally, I was glad that the conservation plan was completed in time before this hearing. Here I want to draw attention to the high ratings in all categories of the assessment, namely, the health of the pasture, the lack of erosion, soil life quality, etc.; which all support the 3 conclusion that there is no negative impact as to how I am managing this farm and that I can continue to support the animals, the land, water, and trees with the great care that

I have since the day that I moved here.
As I stated before, I have no plans to notably change the number of animals on the property, which has stayed approximately the same for the past three years. Therefore, the environmental health of the property is expected to stay the same.

In other words, in terms of the amount of water the animals drink and the amount of waste they produce, 9

10 everything is managed correctly.
11 Further, I fully agree with staff's finding of Ms. 12 Tesfaye in her May 15th email where she received and 13 reviewed the concerns of Mr. Grigorian and Dr. Hansen and 14 had given her opinion on them. I fully agree with her findings.
16 Particularly, she noted that, quote, "the intended 17 subdivision of the property is a separate procedure that 18 requires a preliminary plan of subdivision, application 19 review process, with a final action and decision to be taken 20 by the Montgomery County planning board," end quote.
21 I also want to make a brief comment about the latest 22 statements from Dr. Hansen and Mr. Grigorian, about not 23 approving the amendment to the conditional use before the 24 subdivision is approved. To this I note a few points.
25 I first would like to note the procedural discrepancy
that both Dr. Hansen and Mr. Grigorian pre-hearing
statements were not brought forward within the 10-day deadline prior to the hearing.

Dr. Hansen's was submitted by 4:00 p.m. on June 18th and Mr. Grigorian, a real estate lawyer, who should be familiar with procedures and associated deadlines, was submitted on Saturday at 2:00 p.m.

Dr. Hansen's submission gave me one day to seek legal counsel and Mr. Grigorian zero days for seeking any legal 10 11 counsel I may have done.
12 I remind everyone present here, that I submitted my
pre-hearing statement 30 days prior to today, according to OZAH rules.
Not only did the late submissions fail to meet rules, but they are ethically unfair, as they bring up a brand new issue of objections to my application and do not allow 18 myself or reviewing parties the time to reflect or seek legal counsel.

Nevertheless, I would like to comment on the issue raised in Dr. Hansen's and Mr. Grigorian's emails about the sequencing of getting the conditional use amendment approved, prior to the subdivision approval.

When I was at the preliminary development review committee meeting for the subdivision, in January of this
year, the planning board required -- required me to get the
conditional use amendment completed before the subdivision process could continue.

Therefore the sequencing issue that Mr. Grigorian and
Dr. Hansen raised at the eleventh hour, that I should first
have the subdivision completed, is in fact the exact
opposite of what I have been required to do.
The sequencing in this manner -- in this matter -- is
that I am required by the authorities, who will make a decision in the subdivision request, to have first obtained 11 12 an amended conditional use before they will make a decision on the subdivision.

So what Mr. Grigorian and Dr. Hansen are arguing is against the explicit requirement by the authorities of the subdivision process. I would also add that there was good logic to the development review committee's request to have 18 the conditional use completed first, which I can elaborate on if you wish.
Therefore, Mr. Grigorian and Dr. Hansen's points about
postponing this decision until after the subdivision puts me
in a catch- 22 . It has been required of me by the county to
complete this conditional use amendment first.
Postponing a decision regarding the conditional use
today could put my subdivision plans in jeopardy, possibly
even derailing the subdivision process, a point I'm sure
that Mr. Grigorian and Dr. Hansen are well aware, and which
is the real motivation for their objection to the
conditional use amendment.
I was told by the development review committee that I
must first get the conditional use approval before the subdivision can move forward.

Mr. Grigorian's additional email last night, at 9:30
p.m. appoints a significant error in his comments. He refers
to various changes to take place on the, quote, "subject
property," end quote. In fact, any development which may
happen some day in the fiture is in fact not on the, quote,
"subject property."
The subject property of today's hearing is solely the four acre lot, as has been stated in the hearing examiner's email on May 18th, points one through three, that, quote, "the minor amendment would apply only to the four acre lot. The new lot would have to come in for a separate conditional use approval," end quote.

The hearing examiner reiterated this point again in her May 20th email where she said, quote, "the amendment would reduce the area of the original conditional use from 7.65 to 4 acres, because it will remove the existing conditional use approval from lot two," end quote.

As stated previously, there will be no other changes to lot one, the actual subject property of the conditional use. All of Mr. Grigorian and Dr. Hansen's concerns about the subdivision will have a chance to be heard at the subdivision hearing in the future. This conditional use amendment request is solely about the conditional use and not the subdivision decision, which will be taken later.

All of us have put a tremendous amount of hard work and
heartache and money and time in preparing for today's hearing.
I hope we can move forward and come to conclusion today. I am happy to answer any questions. This is my
conclusion for my opening statement. Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Thank you. Mr. Grigorian, do
you have any questions of Ms. Freeman?
MR. GRIGORIAN: Do you have any record -- written
record support of the assertion that the conditional use
permit was required by the subdivision authorities?
I was at that hearing and I know that they had passed
whether you had -- excuse me, the amendments of the
conditional use permit was required by the subdivision --
I'm not sure, I guess -- OZAH.
I was at that meeting I think, if it's the one in their
offices a few months ago. I don't remember them requiring
20

| 21 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 you getting the amendment, but I do remember there was the | 1 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You're going to get your |
| 2 mention of, did you get an amendment -- you know, there is a | 2 testimony. You clearly disagree and that's -- but you will |
| 3 conditional use applicable | 3 get a chance to testify. Do you have -- I heard the |
| 4 And secondly, you know, I'd just like, for the record | 4 question, do you have any documentation for the DRC |
| 5 to | 5 comments. |
| 6 the definition of subject | 6 MR. GRIGORIAN: That's |
| 7 a four acre and lot tho. | 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Do you have any -- and she |
| 8 There is no lot one at this juncture, | 8 answered that -- Ms. Freeman, do you have? |
| 9 entire point of my testimony. | 9 MS. FREEMAN: No. I do not have the minutes of the |
| 10 lot two. There's only one | 10 meeting. I assume there are minutes of the meeting. I'm not |
| 11 acres. And when I testify I'll again make the point that the | 11 in possession of them |
| 12 hearing examiner's review of this is all in an abstract | 12 I was at the meeting and yes, this is the same meeting |
| 13 hypothetical. | 13 that Mr. Grigorian attended, as well. And at that meeting I |
| 14 I'd also like to | 14 can say, under oath, that I was told, get the conditional |
| 15 the context of the submitted plans that there's no changes |  |
| 16 to the portion of the existing lot that the conditional use | 16 use first. But I don't have documentation about that. No, I |
| 17 would apply to if approved; that is, the four acres that you | 17 don't. |
| 18 propose to carve out. | 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mr. Grigorian, do you have any |
| 19 The plan should show free and clear -- the plans show | 19 other questions of Ms. Freeman? |
| 20 right-of-way being taken that intrudes right into -- I think | 20 MR. GRIGORIAN: Looking at my notes. Nope. |
| 2140 feet into your lot. The plans show a drainage culvert. | 21 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Thank you very much. Ms. |
| 22 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, when you said -- sorry | $22-$ - Dr. Hansen [inaudible] have any questions of Ms. Freeman? |
| 23 to interrupt. | 23 MS. HANSEN: Yes, please. I do. |
| 24 When you say | 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead. This -- now |
| 25 whole thing? | 25 again, this is your time to ask questions. You will get your |
| 22 | 24 |
| 1 MR. GRIGORIAN: Well, there is no lot two or lot one. | 1 own time to testify. |
| 2 Again, that is a hypothetical. But the whole thing. Again, I | 2 MS. HANSEN: Ms. Freeman, you noted that nothing had |
| 3 don't think this hearing should proceed as if there is a | 3 changed and that there were no complaints by anyone in the |
| 4 subdivision in place. That's the point. There is | 4 community with regard to your conditional use, until you |
| 5 subdivision. | 5 submitted your plan; is that correct? |
| 6 And I would again -- I assume I'll have a chance | 6 MS. FREEMAN: Now I'm a bit nervous of the timing on |
| 7 testify -- the idea that the -- the subdivision process | 7 that. I mean, what I -- my intention to say is that over the |
| 8 requires the conditional use be amended before the | 8 last years, until I began the subdivision process, that |
| 9 subdivision process can go forward is -- I don't recall that | 9 there had been no complaints. |
| 10 being a condition, number one. | 10 I'm not -- I'd have a hard time right now saying the |
| 11 Number two, if they did require it, that was a mistake | 11 date that I submitted the plans and the date that the first |
| 12 on their part and we should not proceed based on an error | 12 complaint started. I can't comment on that under oath. I can |
| 13 that they made in requiring that, because that would be an | 13 say that nobody's complained until I began the subdivision |
| 14 | 14 process. So let me say that, to be precise. |
| 15 incorrect requirement. You can't get a conditional use on a | 15 MS. HANSEN: You noted that you had received a modified |
| 16 hypothetical lot as far as I'm concerned -- as far as I'm |  |
| 17 aware | 17 nutrient management plan. I have not seen that. That would |
| 18 Right now there's one lot. If that lot gets subdivided | 18 normally come to me because I am the repository for county |
| 19 then this application should be submitted. I don't think | 19 documents in the Sugarland Forest Area. Did you submit that |
| 20 that the subdivision process would basically put a | 20 to -- for the record? |
| 21 requirement in to protect the convenient use of a landowner 22 for their pleasure horses. That's not how it works. | 21 MS. FREEMAN: Yes. It's on the OZAH webpage for this 22 hearing |
| 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: This is the time for | 23 MS. HANSEN: Okay. I haven't seen it. So perhaps the |
| 24 ask her questions. | 24 webpage has not been updated. What was the date that you |
| 25 MR. GRIGORIAN: Okay. | 25 submitted the modified nutrient management plan? |

```
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It is on the webpage. I've
seen it. Let me get the exhibit number. If you have a
moment. I need to pull up the exhibit list quickly. Let me
see if I can share [inaudible] with it. What was it called
again?
    MS. HANSEN: A modified nutrient management plan.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. It's Exhibit 53. And so
let's have that --
    MS. HANSEN: Maybe that needs to be refreshed because I
couldn't see it when I checked again this morning at 7:00
a.m.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No, it's there. It's been
there. Okay. Are you able to see it on your screen?
    MS. HANSEN: I would have to go to a different
computer. Oh, are you going to put it up in front of me? It
was not on my yellow page of all of the documents that had
been logged in earlier.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, it is on the website.
    MS. HANSEN: Well, as you probably know, Ms. Johnson
and I have found that there are some deficits associated
with what is on the website under the county council's
imprimatur and then your separate one, which not all of us
can log into. [inaudible]
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Well, it is there.
```

    MS. HANSEN: Oh, no, no. What you're looking at there
    is her soil conservation eight-part submission. That's not
the nutrient management plan, specifically number 590. I've
never seen that. And I don't [inaudible] submitted
separately.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Ms. -- stop talking. Ms.
Freeman, do you want to comment what we're looking at? We're
looking at exhibit --
MS. FREEMAN: Yes. This, in fact you're right -- Dr.
Hansen is actually correct. This is the soil conservation
plan. The nutrient management plan, I submitted that --
actually I remember, it was the same day I submitted my pre-
hearing statement, which would have been, I think -- what
did I say -- May 21st, I think or something.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Am I correct in understanding,
Ms. Freeman, that has not come back?
MS. FREEMAN: I thought it was saved to. Let me just
look on this list --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It's about an inch thick. They
would be sending you a portfolio in a binder. It's about an
inch thick.
MS. FREEMAN: Oh, I have the physical one here. But I
am looking online, because I have the -- here is the -- I
don't know if you can see this, but here is the physical
one. I thought this was online, too. Can you see this? HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Right now I can see nothing but brown circles.

MS. FREEMAN: Let me find --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It is -- this is what I have -

- are you seeing this -- addendum to nutrient management plan?

MS. FREEMAN: Yes, that's it. That's it.
MS. HANSEN: I am now -- let me just have a quick scan on that.

MS. FREEMAN: Yes. This is the correct one that we were discussing.

MS. HANSEN: Thank you very much. I'll have to take a
look at that. I haven't been able to see that, because as I
said, it did not come up on the list of submitted documents
6 so I thought that was an omission. I think it's required
under number nine of her requests, when her original CU was approved in 2016.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, it is on the list of submitted documents. It's 53a.

MS. HANSEN: 53a, thank you. Well, I appreciate that,
because it didn't appear on my obviously not updated list. I
will move on if I may, in questions.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Sure.
MS. HANSEN: Ms. Freeman said that she had consistently
received high ratings in her soil conservation eight-part plan; is that correct?

MS. FREEMAN: Yes.
MS. HANSEN: I did note in looking at each of those eight submissions that you received ones, twos, and threes, rather than consistently receiving fives.

MS. FREEMAN: Yes. I certainly would be happy to talk 8 about that. So -- I have one -- what you call it -- a give-
9 up lot, what you would call it, which is -- in fact, it's an 10 excellent point about my farm.
11 But both of my horses are called easy keepers which 12 means that I need to watch their weight. And so what I have 13 done is established a whole area which has minimal forage 14 where they can still walk around and exercise and have a 15 nice horse life, in order to maintain their healthy weight.
16 So that, if you look at the map in further detail, you 17 would see that the field that has the ones and twos is 18 exactly that give-up lot.
19 And I hope it stays at low forage, enough to prevent 20 erosion, any problems, but certainly low enough forage that 21 the horses cannot get overweight. So yes, you see that 22 correctly and I would like to maintain it at that level.
23 MS. HANSEN: Those are called -- in the department of 24 agriculture's terminology, sacrifice lots.
25 MS. FREEMAN: Okay.

sorry, 4 a and 4 b , is what he calls them.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Can you watch the screen
and when I get to it, tell me -- field information sheet?
MS. FREEMAN: Oh, you're in the nutrient management
plan. Actually we're now back to the first one that you had
up before, which was the conservation -- soil and
conservation plan. It's a different -- whole different
document. It's the one that you had originally, before.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: This one that I'm scrolling now?

MS. FREEMAN: I don't see it -- okay.
MS. HANSEN: Actually, if I could clarify, what I'm
hoping that we will see is that in the eight documents that
were provided under the soil conservation service, where I
saw ones, twos, and threes, instead of what Ms. Freeman is
saying should be fives.
MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. So we're looking at -- you'll see a
chart maybe -- are you able to see --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You need to tell exhibit
numbers. So do you have the exhibit list in front of you?
MS. HANSEN: Oh, yes.
MS. FREEMAN: Let me. Hold on one second. Let me find -

- okay. One second.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Or I can go through [these?].

MS. FREEMAN: I don't -- it's just going to take -- I
don't know.
MS. HANSEN: Try pulling it up on my cell phone.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay, here's -- let me just
say -- just a second. Let's just say here -- do you see the
exhibit list here? Now, 53a is the nutrient plan addendum.
59 is a number of documents from the soil conservation
district.
MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. That's it.
MS. HANSEN: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So which document has this part in it?

MS. FREEMAN: Well, are you able to see my screen and
you can see that it looks like this? Are you able to see that?

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Maryland Pasture Condition Score Worksheet.
MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. And it's like a chart. And there's
all this stuff on the chart. No, it's not that. Well, we'll
need that map.
So that map will be useful later -- that you just
showed. So that will be important in a minute.
MS. HANSEN: You're looking for a very dense map -- I
24 mean chart, two charts, and they have numeric numbers on the
25 right-hand side.
[talking over each other]
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mr. Grigorian, thank you. I
think you have -- could everyone look at the screen and see
if this is what you are looking for.
MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. This is one of them. And there's
one that looks exactly like this, except instead where it
says 4a and 4b, it says 1, 2, 3. But this --
MR. GRIGORIAN: Is that an independent exhibit or is it
in one of the exhibits -- a page number in one of the
exhibits?
MS. FREEMAN: I -- to be honest, I don't know how it
got organized here. I don't know.
MR. GRIGORIAN: And well -- the sacrifice part --
MS. HANSEN: [inaudible]
MR. GRIGORIAN: The sacrifice lot is field 3?
MS. FREEMAN: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: [inaudible] let's do this.
Let's continue with your testimony. And then we will -- I'd
like to kind of get to Ms. -- Dr. Hansen's questions.
So let's go for the questions at the moment. She
already said that she has these pastures -- or Ms. Freeman
has said that the pastures 1, 2, 3 are a sacrifice lot.
MS. FREEMAN: Just 3. Sorry, just 3. Just pasture 3 is
the sacrifice. Yeah.

```
```

```
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Hold on. I'm now going old
```

```
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Hold on. I'm now going old
fashioned and looking at the paper files. I see.
fashioned and looking at the paper files. I see.
    MS. HANSEN: I can't retrieve it from this computer and
    MS. HANSEN: I can't retrieve it from this computer and
this is the only computer that can talk to you folks, but I
this is the only computer that can talk to you folks, but I
have it on my own personal computer.
have it on my own personal computer.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, do you want to help us,
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, do you want to help us,
then?
then?
    MS. HANSEN: If you're willing to give me two or three
    MS. HANSEN: If you're willing to give me two or three
minutes, I'll run over to the same room, to the other
minutes, I'll run over to the same room, to the other
computer and pull it up. I couldn't get it on my phone. It
computer and pull it up. I couldn't get it on my phone. It
must be under old mail.
must be under old mail.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
    MS. HANSEN: Is it okay for me to leave for a second
    MS. HANSEN: Is it okay for me to leave for a second
and I'll run over and get the page numbers for you.
and I'll run over and get the page numbers for you.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes.
    MR. GRIGORIAN: Before you -- I'm looking at 59g. Is
    MR. GRIGORIAN: Before you -- I'm looking at 59g. Is
that the chart we should be looking at, 59g? Is it 59g?
that the chart we should be looking at, 59g? Is it 59g?
Because that is Maryland Pasture Conditions Score Worksheet,
Because that is Maryland Pasture Conditions Score Worksheet,
but it's only for pastures 4a and 4b.
but it's only for pastures 4a and 4b.
MS. HANSEN:There will be at least two charts that
MS. HANSEN:There will be at least two charts that
refer to numbers below four and five.
refer to numbers below four and five.
    MS. FREEMAN: Okay.
    MS. FREEMAN: Okay.
    MR. GRIGORIAN: I don't -- I don't know if this is the
    MR. GRIGORIAN: I don't -- I don't know if this is the
correct chart.
```

correct chart.

```

MS. HANSEN: Okay. May I make an add-on there to my question?

My question was, where do you see numbers below four or five? And I asked that question because they do not refer only to her sacrifice lots.

They refer to the very small square footage of 2.3 acres, which, if you follow the consistent position of the department of agriculture, it -- on the Piedmont in Montgomery County, they have always recommended two full acres per horse, with full feed in the morning and night, as well.

And that's why I wanted to ask what the status was of the pastures that she has, which in aggregate are only 2.3 acres, but when you subtract the areas that are ones, twos, and threes, you really, in terms of effective land, are way below the stocking requirements -- required and asked for by the department of agriculture.

MS. FREEMAN: Is that a question for me? I didn't --
MS. HANSEN: That is a question. I was asking -- and you answered it by saying that it was only a sacrifice paddock and I totally agree with you, because I only have ponies myself that are easy keepers. Their sacrifice lots

24 are bluestone. We don't count them as pasture. We cannot count them as pasture.

So your sacrifice lots have to be deducted from your total pasture, if you meet the department of agriculture requirements, which they have always used going to back to 1992 in all of their hearings that I have attended, they've always said, on the Piedmont, because of our clay, you need a stocking rate of one equine, with breakfast and supper provided, on pasture, two acres.

Now, unfortunately, others lobbied to cut that down and hence the discussion of allowing seven animals on that amount of acreage.

But what I'm trying to get at is that you've made a statement that you uniformly have high ratings, but in reality you have ones, twos, and threes, on all of the scorecards done by Mr. Travis Gorleski.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. She's answered your questions.

MS. HANSEN: Only with regard to her sacrifice areas. She didn't answer it with regard to both charts for the other pasture.

MS. FREEMAN: I think the other pastures are in good quality. I think the numbers on all the other pastures,
except for field 3.
MS. HANSEN: I can't see that second page. What page is

25 that? I'm only looking at right now, half of one sheet for
```

pastures 4a and 4b. I can't even see the bottom half of
that.
MS. FREEMAN: I don't know. I can't help you with the
technical difficulties.
MS. HANSEN: What page are we looking at now?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: This is page -- do you have a
page number in the documents that we're looking at?
MS. HANSEN: Can we go back to the chart, please?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: This is the chart, right here.
MS. HANSEN: Okay. What -- we're looking at 4 and 5?
MS. FREEMAN: 4a and 4b.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Four 4a and 4b, as I read the
chart.
MS. HANSEN: Yes, but we have a second -- that doesn't
encompass all of her fields. She has a 1 and a 2 and a }3
And Ms. Freeman, do you have a 5, as well?
MS. FREEMAN: No.
MS. HANSEN: So you have a 1, 2, and 3. And a 4a, and
4b?
MS. FREEMAN: Correct.
MS. HANSEN: There should be another chart that we need
to look at.
MS. FREEMAN: Yes, it should be there. I don't -- I
mean, I have a hard copy in front of me. I'm sorry I can't
help with where it is there. I don't know how that is.
MS. HANSEN: Well, Ms. Freeman --
MR. GRIGORIAN: Let me just interject here. On the --
on the list of exhibits, on the web, okay, not through this
call, when you click on exhibit 59 , which is email from
Jennifer Freeman, with attachments, I think that's where the
full plan is. And that's where this conditional pasture
conditions score worksheet is to cover the other lots, not
$4 a$ and $4 b$.
I don't know that you can click through that email to
get to those attachments. And I don't think that the county
posted the entire plan. I think that the subsequent exhibits
are actually only select exhibits from the plan, 59a, 59 b.
Is there a link to the entire plan -- the big inch-thick
thing that Jenny held up?
16 MS. HANSEN: Yes, I just found it now. It's June 17th
17 in her email. There are eight separate documents that were
18 forwarded to you, to me, and to the county, but not all of
them are up on the website.
20 And not the second one -- the first two that I'd like
21 to see, where I noted ones, and twos, and threes, that
22 didn't get put up on the website.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
24 MR. GRIGORIAN: So in any event I think the question is
5 -- well, not to interrupt, I don't want to -- Hearing
2 Examiner Hannan, you know, it's up to you to ask the
questions here, but is there a way to share that exhibit so
that Dr. Hansen can cross-examine based on the --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: [inaudible] -- let's do this.
MR. GRIGORIAN: -- based on the other condition score
worksheet?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah, let me do the -- let me
-- let's go off the record. So stay in the meeting, but
let's go off the record for a minute and give me an
opportunity to find -- [inaudible] and then -- so we can go
off for ten minutes and give me the opportunity to find the
exhibit.
MS. HANSEN: Thank you.
MS. FREEMAN: Okay.
(Off the record at 10:32:06 a.m.)
(Back on the record at 10:44:04 a.m.)
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So for a moment, we're back on
the record. I was unable to find it in the one on pastures
$1,2,3$, on the exhibit that was forwarded on June 18th. Did
you find -- Nana said -- Ms. Johnson said that you may have
found where it was?
MS. HANSEN: What I found was that, even though this
map entitled -- let me give you a title of the map -- the
overhead shot. Soil conservation soils map. In reality, if
you look at it, you'll see that there are really only 2.5
pastures.
There is 21 b and there is 21 b , now called field 4 a and
field $4 b$ and I want to clarify with Ms. Freeman, that
instead of the summary document which says she has 8.3 acres
of pasture, in reality, everything is covered by trees, with
the exception of two $--4 a$ and $4 b$ and that only gives point
.78 acres.
You notice. Look at the map with all of the tree
coverage. Yes, but you'll notice that the tree coverage
which is currently existing, doesn't leave anything open for
grabs. You notice that?
It either means that these are going to be removed --
you're looking at something that I don't have. I have a real
overhead photograph, which is page one.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Of what?
MS. HANSEN: The title of the document is, Soil
Conservation Soils Map. It's dated 6/16/20.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. I've got that on the
screen.
MS. HANSEN: Oh, good. Exactly. So the green is the
only thing that is a pasture. So field 4 a , and field 4 b ,
when you add those two together, you get .78 acres. Not even
the general -- [inaudible]
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: [inaudible] your question.
MS. HANSEN: So my question is -- I'm still trying to


screen here.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You can't. You can e-mail it.
MR. GRIGORIAN: I'll email it to you right now, Ms.
Hannan. Hang on. I'mjust going to email it --
MS. HANSEN: Can you email it to all of us?
MR. GRIGORIAN: I can do that too. I'm going to just --
MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, I was trying to find it in my list,
too, but Mr. Greg, if you have that, that's great.
[inaudible] where I have it. [inaudible]
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. I'm just -- I'mjust
looking at the email. Can you see it on my [inaudible]?
[talking over each other]
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Can you see it on my screen
15 now?
MS. FREEMAN: Mm-hmm.
MR. GRIGORIAN: I can see the email on your screen.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Which is it?
MR. GRIGORIAN: Well, there was another email from
Jenny, not this one. There was another email from Jenny,
with only one attachment. Hang on, now I can't --
MS. FREEMAN: That one -- the one that she just had up
mane

46
MR. GRIGORIAN: So I'm e-mailing this to Hannan,
Hansen, Freeman, Tasfaye --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Not Tasfaye, just if you could
email it to Ms. Johnson. Nana Johnson.
MR. GRIGORIAN: Nana, okay. There we go. Okay. I'm
emailing this to everybody right now. Okay. It's a short
attachment, not a big attachment.
It should come through. It's the first attachment in
the gen- -- I'm sorry, I -- I didn't realize that the e-mail
had so many attachments. I was looking at it on a compressed
screen. But it's the first attachment, Conservation Plan 28,
in the email that you were showing us on your screen, Ms.
Hannan.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: There it is.
MS. HANSEN: It hasn't come in to me, yet.
MR. GRIGORIAN: It about to be shared on the screen by
-- by Examiner Hannan. But so -- okay -- this will allow us
to get back on track. [inaudible]
MS. HANSEN: Good to know. Ah, that's what I was
looking for. Yes, yes, yes, yes, yes. So I noted -- I was
asking Ms. Freeman --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Probably before we go forward,
I have to a procedural thing. I am going to add this as an
exhibit, just in case we don't have a copy -- we can't find
the copy that was sent to us. Mr. Grigorian, did you say
this was sent to us?
MR. GRIGORIAN: That was sent to you in the June 18th
email to Nana, to you, to Elsabett, and Carol Rae and myself.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm going to add this as 59 h ,
because I don't see it in 59 .
MR. GRIGORIAN: Okay.
MS. JOHNSON: This is Nana Johnson. Real quick. I'm
sorry about that. But yeah, it wasn't in the attachments for
some reason. So I'm about to put it up right now. Okay.
Thank you.
MR. GRIGORIAN: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead Mr. -- now, where are we? [inaudible]

MS. HANSEN: We were discussing the -- Ms. Freeman had indicated, for the record, that she had consistently gotten
high ratings on the conservation plan and I asked to look at
both of the scores, because I noted, instead of fours and
fives uniformly, I saw a lot of ones, twos, and threes.
And I also noted that instead of the 2.3 acres that were referred to in the plan, in reality, she was really
down to .78 acres, because there's total tree canopy over
everything else, with the exception of a very small part of
the .43 paddock.
So therefore, at the max, she could have 1.21 acres, or more likely, because of the tree canopy, she only has
.78. And I wanted her to explain if that was directly
related to the numbers one, two, and three on the document
we currently have up. Because that's not enough to keep two
horses.
MS. FREEMAN: Okay. So if I understood your question
correctly I --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Just a second. You've got a
chance to respond. Ms. -- Dr. Hansen, do you have any other questions?

MS. HANSEN: Yes, Ma'am. Would you like me to run
through those, too? Or get the response here, first?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No. You have to run through
them all. That's how the procedure works.
MS. HANSEN: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you; would you
rephrase that last comment?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes.
MS. HANSEN: You have to what?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You have to run through
[inaudible].
MS. HANSEN: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And then Ms. Freeman will get
5 a chance to respond.

```
4 9
    MS. HANSEN: Okay. Is Ms. Freeman's -- next -- next
    question that I need to ask about. She asserted that there
    was a procedural discrepancy and that I had only submitted
    on June 18th additional testimony.
    And I'm going to ask her if she was aware that Ms.
    Johnson sent out an e-mail asking if there was additional
    testimony. When I wrote back to her saying, can we do a
test? And she said, no, we won't do a test, but you can
submit additional testimony by the 18th at 4:00 p.m. Did you
know that Jenny?
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. No.
    MS. HANSEN: Ms. Freeman said that it was ethically
unfair for me to have submitted testimony by 4:00 p.m. on
Thursday the 18th and that she did not have enough time to
evaluate it.
    And I'm asking if she was aware Ms. Johnson gave us
that deadline for submitting additional testimony.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN:Oh, so you can answer that
question.
    MS. FREEMAN: Oh. Well, for that -- yes, I was aware of
Ms. Johnson's email. And I had also reviewed the OZAH
requirements that I understood them. And I may not have
understood correctly so I will happily stand corrected, but
I understood -- what I read that any pre-hearing testimony
from the opposition should be done 10 days prior. So that
was what that came from.
    MS. HANSEN: So then, Ms. Freeman, are you retracting
your comments that this was ethically unfair and it didn't
give you enough time to evaluate it, when it was submitted
by the deadline provided by the hearing examiner's
[inaudible]?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, just a minute.
[inaudible] I can leave the record open since they have met
the deadline required to do a pre-hearing -- [inaudible]
have more time to evaluate. That's what we typically do with
these cases. I just want to make that clear -- all right?
    MS. FREEMAN: Okay.
    MS. HANSEN: I think we have some folks who haven't
muted and there's some background distortion can you do what
you need to do so we don't have that distortion? Because I
didn't hear what you said. I'm sorry.
    MR. GRIGORIAN: Yeah, same. Examiner Hannan, I think
you said you can leave the record open, something?
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN:Yes [inaudible] testimony, she
can request that I leave the record open.
    MS. HANSEN: Who are you referring to, please, when we
referred to she?
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Ms. Freeman.
```
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MS. HANSEN: Okay. Is Ms. Freeman's -- next -- next question that I need to ask about. She asserted that there was a procedural discrepancy and that I had only submitted on June 18th additional testimony.

And I'm going to ask her if she was aware that Ms.
Johnson sent out an e-mail asking if there was additional testimony. When I wrote back to her saying, can we do a
test? And she said, no, we won't do a test, but you can know that Jenny?

EEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. No. MS. HANSEN: Ms. Freeman said that it was ethically unfair for me to have submitted testimony by 4:00 p.m. on Thursday the 18th and that she did not have enough time to evaluate it.

And I'm asking if she was aware Ms. Johnson gave us that deadline for submitting additional testimony.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Oh, so you can answer that question.

MS. FREEMAN: Oh. Well, for that -- yes, I was aware of Ms. Johnson's email. And I had also reviewed the OZAH requirements that I understood them. And I may not have understood correctly so I will happily stand corrected, but I understood -- what I read that any pre-hearing testimony
from the opposition should be done 10 days prior. So that was what that came from.

MS. HANSEN: So then, Ms. Freeman, are you retracting your comments that this was ethically unfair and it didn't
give you enough time to evaluate it, when it was submitted
by the deadline provided by the hearing examiner's
[inaudible]?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, just a minute.
[inaudible] I can leave the record open since they have met
the deadline required to do a pre-hearing -- [inaudible]
have more time to evaluate. That's what we typically do with
these cases. I just want to make that clear -- all right?
MS. FREEMAN: Okay.
MS. HANSEN: I think we have some folks who haven't muted and there's some background distortion can you do what
you need to do so we don't have that distortion? Because I
didn't hear what you said. I'm sorry.
MR. GRIGORIAN: Yeah, same. Examiner Hannan, I think you said you can leave the record open, something?

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes [inaudible] testimony, she can request that I leave the record open.

MS. HANSEN: Who are you referring to, please, when we referred to she?

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Ms. Freeman.
time hearing. The connection wasn't so clear. But I think --
what I understood is that the record was left open? And so
that would --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No, no. If you feel that the
testimony brought a moot point so late in the process, you
have the ability to request the record be kept open for you
to respond to their [inaudible].
MS. FREEMAN: Oh, okay. Do I have to decide that right
now?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No.
DR. HANSEN: Oh, okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But I really don't want to get
into retractions with somebody who's not an attorney. No. Do
you have any other questions, Doc?
DR. HANSEN: Yes. And may I ask for a point of
clarification if the record is going to state that Ms.
Freeman finds this process ethically unfair because Ms.
Johnson gave us an opportunity, Thursday, the 18th at 4:00
p.m. to submit additional testimony, I would like it to be
recorded by the court reporter that I find that disingenuous
at the least or challenging at the most.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Okay. If you please stop
-- stop -- then we'll both -- we will just proceed without
52
your testimony -- can't obey the rules. The rules are, and I
told you this three -- you're asking questions. You don't
cast aspersion.
Two major points that you were invited by Ms. Johnson
to give testimony. You've made your point that you find the
unethical state by Ms. Freeman going too far, but I'm not
going to have name-calling in this hearing.
DR. HANSEN: Thank you for the clarification.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Don't -- don't -- don't
answer. You don't have to answer. You have anything more
questions about this?
DR. HANSEN: Yes. Yes. Ms. Freeman noticed and I'm
asking her a question about one of her statements at the end
of her presentation, where she said that she did not see
earlier testimony by me regarding the 5/20 defendant and the
four-acre.
And I would like to ask if she saw my January 13th
document to the Maryland National Capital Park and Planning
Commission which refers in part to this issue here directly.
And also, did she see what I submitted on May 13th?
MS. FREEMAN: I think I --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, --
MS. FREEMAN: Read all of your emails, I believe. Is
time hearing. The connection wasn't so clear. But I think --
what I understood is that the record was left open? And so that would --

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No, no. If you feel that the
testimony brought a moot point so late in the process, you
have the ability to request the record be kept open for you
to respond to their [inaudible].
MS. FREEMAN: Oh, okay. Do I have to decide that right
now?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No.
DR. HANSEN: Oh, okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But I really don't want to get
into retractions with somebody who's not an attorney. No. Do
you have any other questions, Doc?
DR. HANSEN: Yes. And may I ask for a point of
clarification if the record is going to state that Ms.
Freeman finds this process ethically unfair because Ms.
Johnson gave us an opportunity, Thursday, the 18th at 4:00
p.m. to submit additional testimony, I would like it to be
recorded by the court reporter that I find that disingenuous
at the least or challenging at the most.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Okay. If you please stop
-- stop -- then we'll both -- we will just proceed without
52
your testimony -- can't obey the rules. The rules are, and I
told you this three -- you're asking questions. You don't
cast aspersion.
Two major points that you were invited by Ms. Johnson to give testimony. You've made your point that you find the
unethical state by Ms. Freeman going too far, but I'm not
going to have name-calling in this hearing.
DR. HANSEN: Thank you for the clarification.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Don't -- don't -- don't
10 answer. You don't have to answer. You have anything more
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| :---: | :---: |
| DR. HANSEN: Do you have exhibit -- no, formal | 1 and show you that beautiful pasture. It's beautiful. |
| 2 testimony. Formal testimony on January 13th and -- a 5/15 at | 2 So that would be my only additional remark is that |
| 3 5:12 p.m. | 3 there's absolutely plenty of pasture for the horses. And |
| 4 MS. FREEMAN: I -- I think I read all of your emails. | 4 that was also substantiated by the email from my vet which I |
| 5 Yes. I -- I believe I have. I don't have that in front of | 5 think people may have seen too with show that I have great |
| 6 me, but I think I printed and read everything. | 6 pasture for the animals. |
| 7 DR. HANSEN: Well, excellent. Thank you very much. It - | 7 It's substantiated by the high scores on pastures 1, |
| 8 - it didn't sound as if you had seen either of them. | $8 \quad 2,4 \mathrm{a}$ and 4 b and I have absolutely plenty of -- oh, yeah. |
| 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Miss -- Dr. Hansen, you don't | 9 That shows more open space. That's better. |
| 10 get to make statements like that. Now, this is a respectful | 10 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Now, what I'm looking at is |
| 11 hearing and that's how we're going to keep it. Okay. Any | 11 Exhibit 24, the original staff report. |
| 12 | 12 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. That's a good -- thank you. That's |
| 13 other questions? | 13 good. Because that shows that those -- that there are |
| 14 DR. HANSEN: No further questions from me. | 14 |
| 15 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. I believe Mr. Grigorian | 15 instances -- |
| 16 -- his time to ask questions. Ms. Freeman, this is your time | 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And I have the aerial |
| 17 to respond to what's been said. So the questions you were -- | 17 photograph. |
| 18 have any rebuttals for the counsel? | 18 MS. FREEMAN: Right. And since it's in the winter, you |
| 19 MS. FREEMAN: So this is my time to answer the question | 19 can see clearly that that whole back field, that whole big |
| $20-$ | 20 area, plus the small field in the front is not trees. |
| 21 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Nope. This is any other | 21 Period. It's just not. |
| 22 testimony that you would like to submit in response to their | 22 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. |
| $23-$-- their questions. | 23 MS. FREEMAN: Thank you. |
| 24 MS. FREEMAN: Oh, okay. I think I understand that. The | 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Anything else? Anything else? |
| 25 only additional testimony I would point out is that there | 25 MS. FREEMAN: No. I think that's good for now. Thank |
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| 1 were a number of -- I guess maybe misunderstandings is maybe | 1 you. |
| 2 the word about the -- the acreage of the field pasture | 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Now, Mr. Grigorian, it's |
| 3 that's available for the horses. | 3 your turn; Dr. Hansen's turn to testify. Do you have |
| 4 I can understand how on the maps on one of the maps, | 4 preferences to who would like to go first? |
| 5 the one it's like the shows four, I can understand how she | 5 MR. GRIGORIAN: Well, I'm glad to -- I'm glad to go |
| 6 viewed a decent amount of that to have four pastures. In | 6 ahead here. |
| 7 fact, that simply is not representative of reality because | 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I think you're in alphabetical |
| 8 it has to do with how the, you know, the shadows are. And | 8 order, so I'll do it that way. |
| 9 how this photograph was taken. | 9 Um, you've already been sworn; what would you like to |
| 10 Again, under oath, that field to is hmm-- I'd say it's | 10 say? |
| 1170,80 percent, 75,80 percent pure open sunlight based lush | 11 MR. GRIGORIAN: My testimony is going to be limited to, |
| 12 pasture, which is represented in that -- the -- the ratings | 12 again, the rightness of this application, given the fact |
| 13 | 13 that the subdivision application is pending and that the |
| 14 for that field. So there's four and five and maybe a couple | 14 hearing examiner is on notice of that application. |
| 15 threes. |  |
| 16 There is a small area in the back corner that has some | 16 A perfect example of why this -- the determination of |
| 17 trees, but there's still grass, even including orchard | 17 whether this is a minor or major investment can't be made at |
| 18 grass, which doesn't usually grow that well in a shaded | 18 this time is -- is shown by -- you just brought up a map, |
| 19 area, but it sure is growing there. So there is absolute | 19 showing a big pasture, lovely looking pasture. |
| 20 pasture. | 20 However that pasture -- it will be cut in half or not |
| 21 All of the field too is excellent pasture, even though | 21 quite in half -- it will be reduced substantially by the |
| 22 I can see how that error was made, because my looking at | 22 subdivision as is shown by the aerial photograph that was |
| 23 that picture and it's up on your screen right now, it does | 23 part of the conservation plan soil map that shows, again, |
| 24 look like there's a lot of trees and not much pasture, but | 24 the kind hypothetical split of the -- of the subject |
| 25 that's actually -- I mean, I could walk outside right now | 25 property into two different lots. |


| 57 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 So it's really, you know, there's -- there's many | 1 can't hear, I just can't hear anything. |
| 2 moving parts with respect to that subdivision, and yes; | 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No. I'm -- I -- can you hear |
| 3 okay? We do object to certain elements of the subdivision. I | 3 me now? |
| 4 personally am objecting to the forest bearing designa | 4 |
| 5 And I know that's not the subject of this hearing, howeve | 5 MR. GRIGORIAN: It's a little better. Yep. |
| 6 my testimony -- | 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: How about now? |
| 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Your test- -- just hold on o | 7 MS. FREEMAN: That's g |
| 8 s | 8 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mr. Grigorian, is this better? |
| 9 w | 9 MR. GRIGORIAN: Yep. I apologize; it's better. |
| 10 MR. GRIGORIAN: The -- the -- as I -- I think it's on | 10 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Some of this technology is new |
| 11 of the exhibits, it is one of the exhibits -- exhibit | 11 to me, as well. I realized I had my speaker -- never mind, I |
| 12 okay, let me go to my exhibit list. Hold on. It's exhib | 12 won't go into it, but -- okay, let's try this then. |
| $13 \mathrm{3E}$, the forest conservation plan, | 13 MR. GRIGORIAN: [i |
| 14 subdivision submission | 14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: My question to you is the code |
| 15 Again, a separate hearing, but still evident her | 15 -- the zoning ordinance means that I can only look at the |
|  | 16 changes made to this conditional use |
| 17 that, you know, that plan has shown the numbe | 17 There is going to be a separate process for the |
| 18 that are still in, you know, |  |
| 19 subject to comments, subject to -- to other public hearing | 19 subdivision, which will have the forest conservation plan |
| 20 and therefore subject to potential change by the county, by | 20 and everything else. So my question is looking at th |
| 21 the petitioner, by various other state and -- and county | 21 conditional -- only the conditional use, it's shrinking the |
| 22 agencies that will have an impact upon whether or not th | 22 size of it and reducing the number of courses. So why did -- |
| 23 amendment affects the surrounding | 23 would that have a major impact on the neighborhood? |
| 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, let me ask you something | 24 MR. GRIGORIAN: So the -- the question that you're |
| 25 becaus | 25 answering is will this have a major impact on the |
| 58 | 60 |
| 1 lot two, it's still part of the objective process but it's | 1 neighborhood? And in answering that question, I think your |
| 2 part of my review process just trying to look at conditional | 2 - your process to take into account facts presented to you |
| 3 use request and my -- my review is limited by the size of it | 3 about the property |
| 4 to reduce the number of persons it's going to have an | 4 Those facts include the fact that there is the |
| 5 [inaudible] area. | 5 subdivision process submitted and that, that subdivision |
| 6 MR. GRIGORIAN: I'm not sure if -- if the cour | 6 process is being objected to not only by Ms. Hansen or |
| 7 reporter is going to be able to record all of your | 7 myself, but certainly by other neighbors and interested |
| 8 statements, if you ever have -- your -- your -- you | 8 parties and -- and entities, not just individuals and |
| 9 connection is super-choppy | 9 neighbors. |
| 10 And I'm not sure -- I mean, maybe the court reporter | 10 So you know, therefore it -- it becomes a -- an |
| 11 can hear you better than I can, but I -- I -- I can't | 11 impossibility for you, I think, to answer the question of |
| 12 respond to your comment because I didn't understand it. | 12 what is the impact on the -- the -- on the neighborhood, |
| 13 DR. HANSEN: I can't hear her either. | 13 because of the -- the facts that affect answer are in |
| 14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm sorry | $14$ |
| 15 DR. HANSEN: I can't hear it either. | 15 Did -- as you can hear from Ms. Hansen's testimony -- |
| 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Taking | 16 Dr. Hansen's testimony, you know, there's a lot of changes |
| 17 | 17 happening, a lot of concerns about -- about, you know, |
| 18 MR. GRIGORIAN: Let me, just to be fair, Jenny, were 19 you able to understand? | 18 various aspects of the subdivision. And -- and so, you know, 19 |
| 20 MS. FREEMAN: It was difficult, I'll say | 20 there's just a lot of moving parts here. |
| 21 MR. GRIGORIAN: Okay. So even -- even the petitioner | 21 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And what is the sub- -- what |
| 22 here -- the applicant can't understand the hearing examiner. | 22 are the subdivision issues you're concerned about? |
| 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I apologize. | 23 MR. GRIGORIAN: What are the subdivision what? |
| 24 MR. GRIGORIAN: I don't want to sound like I'm just, | 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What aspects of the |
| 25 you know, a complaining neighbor that like pretending like I | 25 subdivision are you concerned about? |
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        MR. GRIGORIAN: Well, my primary concern for respect to
    the subdivision is the designation of the -- the line in the
    -- in the map you have up there, which I have also on my
    screen -- my separate screen, forest to be cleared that
    affects the hypothetical lot two and the hypothetical lot
    one, including areas, you know, around the paddocks that are
    designated on the aerial photograph.
    The paddocks designated on the aerial photograph are
to be reduced in size. There are other improvements,
including drainage that's going to be added. It's going to
affect drainage to other lots and from other lots -- to
Jenny's lot.
    It's -- it's g- -- it's going to, you know, by nature
    clear a bunch of trees along Sugarland Road, but there's
    another aspect which won't affect this hearing which is that
    Sugarland Road is the designated exceptional rustic road.
    I'm sure everyone on the line is aware there's mixed 90
    rustic roads in the county.
    There's only 19 that are exceptional rustic roads in
    Montgomery County. And this is one of them; one of the
    originals. Dr. Hansen had a big hand in -- in -- in -- in
    actually creating these rustic road designations.
    And, you know, the fact that it's an exceptional rustic
    road has an impact on -- on the subdivision process, even
    though it doesn't bar subdivisions, it's not supposed to
    affect the ability to subdivide. It certainly should affect
    considerations relating to trees, which are a hallmark of
    rustic nature.
    So -- so, you know, again, we're jumping to
    subdivision aspect of this, which I know is not part of this
    hearing. It's just the fact that that's going on affects
    this decisioning.
        I do think it was an error. If -- if the subdivision
        process required the conditional use amendment to be a
        condition proceeded to subdivision, that was the procedural
        error. And we shouldn't just -- the hearing examiner
        shouldn't be making their decision based on procedural error
        by the agency [ph].
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm sorry, I'm writing, why is
        why I'm quiet.
    MR. GRIGORIAN: No worries.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. All right. Anything else
you'd like to say?
MR. GRIGORIAN: The -- give me just a moment. The --
again, the reference -- I think I made this testimony
[inaudible] reference to the subject property being afforded
or hypothetical.
    And I just want the hearing examiner to keep that in
though it doesn't bar subdivisions, it's not supposed to
affect the ability to subdivide. It certainly should affect
considerations relating to trees, which are a hallmark of
rustic nature.
So -- so, you know, again, we're jumping to
subdivision aspect of this, which I know is not part of this
hearing. It's just the fact that that's going on affects
this decisioning.
I do think it was an error. If -- if the subdivision
process required the conditional use amendment to be a
condition proceeded to subdivision, that was the procedural
error. And we shouldn't just -- the hearing examiner
shouldn't be making their decision based on procedural error by the agency \([\mathrm{ph}]\).
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm sorry, I'm writing, why is why I'm quiet.
MR. GRIGORIAN: No worries.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. All right. Anything else you'd like to say?
MR. GRIGORIAN: The -- give me just a moment. The --
again, the reference -- I think I made this testimony
[inaudible] reference to the subject property being afforded
or hypothetical.
25 And I just want the hearing examiner to keep that in
mind that this is not the subdivided lot at this time. It's seven and a quarter acres and the hypothetical question of how this -- how the amendment impacts the surrounding neighborhood and whether it's a major or minor amendment really can't be answered based because, you know, one of the fact that can't be ignored is the subdivision application that's in process. I think that concludes my testimony.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Thank you very much. Ms.
Freeman, do you have any questions for Mr. Grigorian?
MS. FREEMAN: You said -- just a question -- is this my
chance to comment on some of the things that he said, or is
it really just questions?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Just questions.
MS. FREEMAN: Oh, okay. Maybe one question would be if he's aware that and had read my pre-hearing statement, where
I explained about the notation about forest to be clear, and
that in fact, it's a -- it's like a technical thing that
18 when you do the subdivision that you can either put it into
an easement -- a conservation easement, or it has to say
forest to be clear.
So it doesn't actually mean it's going to be clear. So
I just wanted to ask if he had -- was aware of that?
MR. GRIGORIAN: May I respond again? I feel -- I feel-- I apologize if it's the sort of leaking into the
subdivision aspect of this, but I think it's important for the hearing examiner to understand well, why, you know, there are a number of changes that could occur between now and the approval of the subdivision. So bear with us please, Examiner Hannan.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. GRIGORIAN: Yes, I'm aware any of -- of the point you made. I also made a point in writing to you and to your \(9--\) and to your, I guess surveyor that it is acceptable and 10 has happened in previous instances where forest to be 11 cleared is -- instead of being designated as forest to be 2 cleared, put into a forest retention area with the recorded 3 exception of clearing only as needed due to failure of a -14 a sand mound in your case so that auxiliary sand mounds can 15 be used as far as can be cleared only if -- if the auxiliary 16 sand mounds need to be used because of a failure of a sand 17 mound.
18 That is what I was asking of you, you know, in terms of 19 just in the designation, so that the new owner of that lot
20 can't go -- can't by -- by choice just go in and clear all
21 those trees.
22 Not, you know, again, there's a number of issues, not
23
24 only the exceptional rustic road issue, but also those trees
25 and those sand mounds are directly upgrade from your
neighbor, which is designated as Susan Mitchell on this map, but is now a new neighbor because that house trade -changed. And, but that person's -- the owner of that lot left that.

I mean, so you're talking about building sand mounds, very, very close to a wellhead. If you see on the map that's
up on our screen, the -- the 15,001 designation is the house and in front of it, it says EXWELL. You can see sand mound four and five directly upgrade from that well.

Those should be only used in this -- and the forest should only be cleared including the forest on what will become lot one, if your subdivision granted, should only be cleared as needed. In fact, I'm less concerned with the forest on lot one as I am with the forest on lot two.

And, you know, again, to the point of -- simply to the point of is this a major or minor amendment? The -- there were a lot of other ways to draw this subdivision that could have accomplished not only Jenny's goal of having a marketable lot, but also could have satisfied the requirements of the rustic roads program.

This was their first proposed design and -- and has
not been commented on or changed -- the comments on have not been changed since David McKee had submitted on Jenny's
behalf. And I expect that through this process, there's very
good likelihood that this design will change.
So again, speaking to is this issue right for review by
the hearing examiner? I don't think it is because it's based
on a hypothetical lot, which might -- the size of which, the designation of which might change.

The -- you know, the -- the hearing examiner approving
this conditional use amendment, you know, could have a
detrimental impact on the willingness of the -- of the
subdivision process to entertain changes, which is unfair to
those of us who are concerned about the subdivision.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, you're aware that the
subdivision will have a separate hearing.
MR. GRIGORIAN: I'm -- I'm aware of that. But if, as a
basis of that hearing, there's been a conditional use permit
issued by your office. Sorry, there's two wasps just showed
up in my office here.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Oh, my God.
MR. GRIGORIAN: The -- as the conditional -- I'm sorry,
let -- let me just take care of this.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah. You better take care of that.

MR. GRIGORIAN: As the conditional -- if I get stung,
you know, call 911.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Oh, no, it's okay. Go.
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\begin{tabular}{l|l}
5 & 1
\end{tabular}

1
if the conditional use amendment is -- is approved by your
office, then that will be presented as in support of the subdivision and the subdivision in its current form.

Because there's already been an administrative
investment in this layout that we're looking at on our screen. This layout is very, very much at issue. And for a number of reasons, I haven't stated all the reasons here because this is not the subdivision hearing and all the people that will speak at that subdivision hearing are not on this call.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. [inaudible] MR. GRIGORIAN: S- -- sorry?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I just said I'm highly 5 [inaudible] allergic to wasps. So if you need to take care 6 of --

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Any other questions Ms. Freeman?

MS. FREEMAN: No. I have no other questions other than just to -- to say that --

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You get one more -- you get one more chance to follow up and testimony.

MS. FREEMAN: Okay. I won't have any further questions. HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Let's move to Dr. Hansen
-- Dr. Hansen, it's your turn -- now it is your turn to testify.
DR. HANSEN: Thank you. And I apologize for interjecting myself where I shouldn't have earlier.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mm-hmm. Go ahead.
DR. HANSEN: Do you test first if you can hear me,
because I was having difficulty again hearing the last
exchanges?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I -- I can hear you fine. DR. HANSEN: Well, good. First, I'd like to thank you
all for this opportunity to testify. Let me state on the
record that I assume everybody is presenting testimony,
attending this hearing, and participating all in good faith.
I wanted to give you a little bit of background first.
I happen to know this property very well. Not because so
much nar- -- Jenny has been a neighbor, but because we knew
extremely well, two owners ago, Nancy Dunn, who worked first
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. We'll just go off the
record. You take care of the wasps.
(Off the record at 11:27:53 a.m.)
(Back on the record at 11:29:00 a.m)
Back on the record.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 69 & 71 \\
\hline 1 & 1 major amendment. \\
\hline 2 for the Bunting Institute at Hartford, and then was the CFO & 2 And why is this? It's because we don't know about the \\
\hline 3 f & \\
\hline 4 She did a lot of perhaps invisible improvements on & 4 disposition of the forested areas. They have -- and -- and \\
\hline 5 that property and spent a lot of time birding on that & 5 why has that happened? Well, that has happened very \\
\hline 6 property, added the gazebo, did a variety of plantings that & 6 specifically because of action taken by your staff. \\
\hline 7 were intended to reinforce the benefits to the two migra & 7 You will notice the May 15th, email fro \\
\hline 8 bird flyways that cross over the property. & 8 Tesfaye, if I'm pronouncing that correctly, and I apologize \\
\hline \(9 \quad\) And I also should let you know that I'm & 9 if I'm \\
\hline 10 this property and Sugarland because when I was getting my & 10 She indicated that making a choice, essentially, was \\
\hline 11 doctorate at Harvard and was in a blood-soaked boot, I had & 11 precluded by knowledge that your office would not have in \\
\hline 12 to go to the School of Design to eat at the cafeteria every 13 day & \begin{tabular}{l}
12 the sense that she did not require a forest floor review. \\
13 She didn't require a full assessment by the rustic roads,
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 14 And everyone was literally agog hearing about & 14 functional master -- master plan staff, or an opinion by the \\
\hline 15 Montgomery County, Maryland, which had introduced at that & 15 rustic roads advisory committee, because she assumed that \\
\hline 16 point the first in the & 16 she would not need to do any of those things or add a \\
\hline 17 agricultural land in the only country on the planet th & 17 sediment control requirement. \\
\hline 18 actually routinely paved over a prime farm land. I had n & 18 She assumed that there would be continuation of the \\
\hline 19 idea I would ever move to Montgomery County, but it was & 19 prohibition on grading and soil removal because they existed \\
\hline 20 staggering. I heard in those casual conversations at the & 20 in 2016. \\
\hline 21 School of Design cafete & 21 The argument I'm making is that assumption no longer \\
\hline 22 The third bit of background information I want to share & 22 is correct because the map submitted is a map that was \\
\hline 23 with everyone is because I'm a political scientist and & 23 designed or the subdivision, and even worse, that particular \\
\hline 24 student of government, what I have obs & 24 map submission didn't correct all of the inaccuracies that \\
\hline 25 frequent problem on older, wealthier, & 25 we pointed out to the Maryland National Capital Park and \\
\hline 70 & 72 \\
\hline 1 th & 1 Planning Commission \\
\hline & 2 Would you like me to identify the problems with the \\
\hline 3 Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission, & 3 maps and the inaccuracies that have neighbors quite \\
\hline 4 which covers two big counties, plus the district as well a & \\
\hline 5 partial jurisdiction from the state, as well as the state & 5 concerned \\
\hline 6 Maryland are all intimately involved in making decisions and & 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. I -- I -- writing, whic \\
\hline 7 often separately involved in making decisions. & 7 is why I didn't say anything. So when you say what -- what \\
\hline \(8 \quad\) So what I think Mr. Grigorian was emphasizing as a big & 8 map are you referring to? \\
\hline 9 concern is also one jurisdictionally and bureaucratically & 9 DR. HANSEN: What you have up on the screen right now \\
\hline 10 for me as well. And it directly concerns whether or not we & 10 is the original map for the subdivision with one additional \\
\hline 11 have a minor or a major amendment here. & 11 outline, showing a lot one and a lot two, but it's the \\
\hline 12 And after all my reading of all of this testimony and & 12 original map produced by her planners, which was submitted \\
\hline 13 taking into account administrative law, as well as county & 13 for the subdivision. \\
\hline 14 law, as well as state law, as well as law that pertains to & 14 If this is ratified, a map submitted is full \\
\hline 15 jurisdictions that encompass the District of Columbia an & 15 inaccuracies and we were promised to revision to show all of \\
\hline 16 Maryland, it seems to me that your office, even though & 16 the problems corrected with this map. It hasn't happened. So \\
\hline 17 you're trying idly to do this, can't really do what -- make & 17 they did not submit to you an accurate and correct map nor \\
\hline 18 a decision on a minor or a major amendment, and that you can & 18 have they submitted an accurate and correct map to the \\
\hline 19 categorize this Freeman applications either way, leaving you & 19 neighbors. Without that, I don't see how -- \\
\hline 20 with one of three options. & 20 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What are -- what are the \\
\hline 21 Either leaving it on the table, which was the solution & 21 inaccuracies in the map? \\
\hline 22 that I offered in the testimony that I submitted by \(4: 00\) & 22 DR. HANSEN: Oh, let me go to that. You will find them \\
\hline 23 p.m. last Thursday, or have it voluntarily withdrawn by Ms. & 23 in my testimony that I submitted on January 13th to the \\
\hline 24 Freeman or the third option, which may be least attractive & 24 development applications and regulatory coordination \\
\hline 25 to everyone potentially is that you can categorize it as a & 25 division of MNCCP. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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the fact that on Sugarland Road the exceptional part of
Sugarland Road and most of the exceptional rustic roads we
own to the middle of the road and the people on the other
side of the road own to the middle of the road.
There is no prescriptive easement there; there is no
easement of any sort because we predate the county's
formation.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, the- -- t- -- there --
DR. HANSEN: Well, this is [inaudible] --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: [inaudible] public drive on
the road?
DR. HANSEN: I'm sorry; I can't hear you.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I said, does the public drive
on the road?
DR. HANSEN: I'm sorry; would you repeat that again?
MR. GRIGORIAN: The public -- the p- -- the public
drive on the road?
DR. HANSEN:The public drives on the road without us
giving up an easement to the public.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, that's a legal question,
but it's likely that it is [inaudible] easement, but go
ahead.
DR. HANSEN: Okay. So that is the first thing that we
have a presumptive 80-foot easement we're taking; okay?
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    Point number two is this map, which was not updated as
promised, leaves open the possibility of another 40 to 80
foot road taking on the west side -- the Grigorian side,
which has not been accurately delineated by a bold black
box. You should see my testimony -- just pull up --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah. It's -- it's not
required to be, but go ahead.
    DR. HANSEN: Okay. Two C, on my January 13th testimony
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Right.
    DR. HANSEN: Makes the notation that at least four
homes would be adversely affected by this if it was not
corrected. Point number two --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, you're saying they're
adversely affected because of the 80-foot right of way?
16 DR. HANSEN: Yes. Yes.
17 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Let me ask you something,
18 because usually right of ways are established in a master
19 plan.
    20 DR. HANSEN: And in this master plan, Sugarland Road is
21 as narrow as 12 feet and as wide as 15 , depending on where
22 it is.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So where is the 80 -foot right
24 of way coming from?
25 DR. HANSEN: You might well ask. And I'll tell you what
--
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the supposition is in the neighborhood, which is very scary,
that the reason why this has been added on, on the south
side and theoretically would be extended north and south is
because somebody has cooked up the idea of using exceptional
and rustic Sugarland Road and Sugarland Lane as the new
outer beltway. And if you can imagine what that has done to
people's minds --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. So -- all right. Go --
keep going. So -- so --
    DR. HANSEN: So go to January 13th, two -- 2D.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes.
    DR. HANSEN: We have also a taking at Sugarland Forest
Community Church, which is a national and state registered
historic structure, which sits inside -- inside the proposed
15 map.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Now, where are you getting
that?
    DR. HANSEN: It's by extension. If you take this map --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So you're saying if the 80-
foot right of way is extended?
    DR. HANSEN: Yes, ma'am. It sets the precedent for
    doing a massive taking on an exceptional rustic road, which
    by code since 1996 has either been 12 feet wide at its
    narrowest or 15 feet wide as its widest.
    But it surely has never ever had an extra right of way
    80
of 80 feet, which encompass people's houses. But now, if
this were extended, it would take over the Sugarland Forest
Community Church, which you probably know is a beautiful
black church that dates to the period when this area was
settled by freed slaves who came over from the river and had
a handshake purchase agreement with a lady in Philadelphia.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. So your next point?
9 DR. HANSEN: The next point is E -- 2E, there is a 2018
10 septic construction listed in this 80 -foot row, which
11 appears to con- -- contravene established procedures and
12 requirements for such in the Montgomery County and Maryland
13 code.
14 And it was referenced during the preliminary hearing
15 by a state road planner. I was not at the hearing, so I
16 don't know her name, but she noted that, that could not be,
17 nor could there be any fencing within this 80 -foot right of
18 way.
19 And if this is approved and it is extended by
20 precedent, then everybody like me and the Grigorians across
21 the road, anyone with that fence would have to take their
22 fence down and move it. That would be a taking.
23 And I don't know what it is right now. Greg can maybe
24 give us the answer, but the last time I checked, it was \(\$ 27\)
25 a board foot for a three board fence. And the HPT fencing is
25 a board foot for a three board fence. And the HPT fencing is
```

even more expensive. So that would be 2E, the problem with
this map.
Now, if we go on to number three, this BAI map is
incorrect in scale and has thus created a false impression
in many locations of the combination site development, the -

- the plan for the stormwater management of 6/5/19, as well
as on the administrative subdivision map of 11/18/19, and no
doubt on the other three in the series, which were not -- we
-- we're not provided copies of.
I only got to see three of them, but all three of
those are incorrect in scale. The two BIA renderings
erroneously show, for example, that the Freeman original
house is outside or on the Southeast side of the proposed }8
foot take easement, which in reality, is only }50\mathrm{ feet from
Sugarland Road, which puts it inside the proposed }80\mathrm{ foot
easement.
Now, I'll direct you to my January 13th testimony
again, number four.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Mm-hmm.
DR. HANSEN: In addition, on the rear of the Benning \&
Associates rendering of the proposed Freeman subdivision on
Sugarland Road, which borders the Casey fields on the far
southeast corner of this map, you will find that they have
set up a not currently existing official trail network
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    easement, which also continues on the map to the northeast
    and to the southwest, logically extending it thus to
    Partnership Road on the north and to River Road on the south
    by southwest, which encompasses all of Sugarland Road.
    If this is registered by you making this a minor
amendment, then it's going to be causing a taking on the
rear of each property going northeast and southwest.
    And I can assure you that has already upset the land
management staff of the Casey property right now. And it
would affect all of the neighbors, all of whom have resisted
the use of any openings in the trees, but any other
unauthorized uses of these properties.
    Now, if we continue on to 4 B , the plan -- this has
continued inaccuracy is associated with this plan. The plan
proposal executed by Montgomery County includes creating a
stream buffer along the rear --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm sorry; what number are we
on?
    DR. HANSEN: Oh, we're still in four but we're now up
to point B in four.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead.
    DR. HANSEN: This plan, which was not updated and
    corrected as requested earlier in January, it now proposes
    to create a stream buffer along the rear of every house on
Sugarland Road and exceptional rustic road that borders the
Casey property to the south and east of the property. And to
record it as such, without our consent. I will underline
that.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Where is that?
    DR. HANSEN: It is in the middle of the map on the
right hand side. Do you see the stream buffering --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I see stream buff. Right.
    DR. HANSEN: Stream buffer.
MR. GRIGORIAN: [inaudible]
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But that is not on this either
-- this property.
        MR. GRIGORIAN: Can -- can I speak briefly to
supplement --
        DR. HANSEN: Sure.
        MR. GRIGORIAN: Dr. Hansen's testimony?
        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, just -- I have to keep
it in order, so let's let her finish and Mr. Grigorian, I'll
give you a chance to follow up.
        MR. GRIGORIAN: Okay. Yeah. It's just regarding the
stream buffer indicated that she just --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Okay. Go ahead. So what
-- what is --
    DR. HANSEN: Okay. Let me finish --
        MR. GRIGORIAN: I just want to make a lawyer comment,
    you know, in general because, you know, as to all of the
    things that Dr. Hansen is mentioning; okay?
    T- -- t- -- the plan that we're focused on will be
    recorded and all of these different encumbrances that are
    off of Jenny's property and on the Casey property, on my
    property, pointing towards Dr. Hansen's property, pointing
    towards as shown on the Eader property pointing towards and
    shown on the Mitchell property, which is now -- I don't know
    the names of the new neighbors there. They're all going to
    be of record.
    So when any of us sell our property, convey our
property, finance our property, get title insurance to our
properties, these will all be exceptions to title insurance.
    They're all of record, which is a big part of the
problem here because all of these plans, which are being
essentially cemented in time by being recorded on the
Montgomery County land records are going to affect us. We
have zero say in this. All -- again -- all --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Okay. I -- let me let --
let's get through Dr. Hansen, and then I'll give you an
opportunity to follow up.
    MR. GRIGORIAN: Thank you.
    DR. HANSEN: Thank you, Hearing Examiner. If we
continue on to four -- erroneously mentioned B, it's 4 C .
This trail network taking, should it be recorded by your

hunters, to casual joyriders, to hobbyists, to birders, to
potential crim- -- criminals, as we've had to deal with
before in the car stripping and the drug ring. And those who
are inclined towards property destruction and other nefarious activities.

Now, I -- I need to mention there has been a fair amount of property destruction and nefarious activities. I have had to lock my doors on the east side of my barn. I have had to put signs up saying, be careful you're on 10 camera.
11 I have had to install an alarm system. And my
13 neighbors immediately to the south had a huge amount of 14 expensive ferrying equipment stolen all because there is an 15 il- -- there is an illegal trail network out there now but 16 with this ratification of this map, it would become an 17 official trail network opening it up to everybody.
18 It's bad enough as it is right now when only the 19 insiders know about it. But if this lands on the county
20 maps, I can assure you it's going to be a major transit
21 point.
22 And I have talked enough times about this -- the whole 23 issue with the Caseys that I know they're going to be 24 weighing in on the subdivision. They didn't think they
25 needed to hop in here because they assumed that this would
not be ratified, but it's worrisome.
Let me continue on. Now, on my January 13th testimony, if we go to number five, another reason why this cannot be a minor amendment and must be a major amendment, or it must be something that you would decline, lay it on the table, or Ms. Freeman would re- -- re- -- take it back.

Property owners along Sugarland Road, and other rustic and exceptional rustic roads protected under the rustic road functional master plan have been forced to retain legal counsel to protect their property rights most recently
against commercial entities such as Comcast between 2017
through 2019, who likely would be emboldened by this
14 assertion of a proposed 80 -foot right of way in the public
records, i.e. the land records, which is exactly what Mr.
Grigorian is referring to.
The minute this is passed, this goes right into the land records and it becomes a title issue, and it becomes a sale issue for all of us. And that becomes a taking.

I won't go into the examples because you can take a look at the examples, but I can assure you the minute I
pointed this out to Comcast, which is pretty darn heavy
handed, has a lot of lawyers, in three days they backed off
completely, said, I am so sorry. We agree that you own to
the middle of the road. We apologize for chopping your trees
down and by way, Ms. Freeman's plantings were removed as well, all along Sugarland exceptional, Sugarland rustic, Sugarland Lane, and most of the rest of the rustic road network, a huge amount of unauthorized illegal tree decimation occurred because they hired Asplundh.

And if you know the county's problems with Asplundh, they have cited Asplundh. They have fined Asplundh, the tree trimming company, because most of their people on those trucks are not American citizens.
10 They have no legal right to work in the United States. 11 They cannot read maps. On the county's forestry map, on the 12 Pepco maps that were created by their foresters, we are in 13 red bold not to be touched.
14 They came right through -- and we had to sue Comcast 15 to keep them off our property. And once that happened, many 16 other members of Sugarland Road did the same thing and go 17 right back to Comcast.
18 Comcast folded in each case. They have ratified that 19 we own the road up to the middle of the road and our
20 adjacent property owners on the other side own. And that
21 therefore there was no allowing of a right of way on either 22 side.
23 So if you put this into effect and you ratify this, 24 it's the taking on our property and the usual response to a 25 massive taking like this is a county suit. And I don't think
you want a county suit.
You can avoid a county suit by any of my offended
neighbors, if this is laid on the table, or if it's
considered to be an major amendment that is denied. Because
it precludes any movement further forward, and it
complicates decision making in so many levels of the county,
it's unbelievable.
Now, let's move on to number six, again, on the January
3rd testimony. This particular map unedited, not corrected,
not improved is inconsistent with the parameters of the 1996
Montgomery County rustic roads functional master plan.
I'll just give you the top areas within which it is inconsistent. It sketches out only the main par- -- I'm only
going to sketch out the main parameters of that
inconsistency, but at a hearing -- a subsequent hearing, I
would do all of them.
Please note, I should say first, that I served on the board of historic medley district, which is basically the
whole up county for 12 years, and I was tasked with the
responsibility of documenting correctly all 12 proposed
rustic roads around Poolesville.
We got 11 of those 12 added into the functional master plan. And the only one we didn't, even though it had the characteristics, was Partnership Road because Partnership

Road was needed as a county arterial.
So every 10th of a mile with a baby in tow, I
photographed both sides. I measure the hedgerow habitat and
the forests on both sides. I noted all of the historic
alignments.
I noted all of the historic properties, and I
submitted more than a thousand slides into Maryland National
Capital Park and Planning Commission, and about six inches
of testimony, which I still have in my files here, in case
anybody wants to take a look at it.
11 That's the main reason why these 11 roads were added
and Sugarland -- Sugarland was added as an exceptional
rustic section. However, that means that we have
protections.
We have a huge number of protections. This 80 foot let's look at D we're still in number 6D as in dog. This 80 -
foot right of way with result and commercial in- -- interest
is inconsistent with preserving the hedgerow habitat, which
is a vital component of the rustic and exceptional rustic roads legislation.
21 These are to be preserved because they provide much needed food, shelter, and protection for migratory birds on
the two major east coast flyways from the Arctic to the
Antarctic. No one, no one, no one has discussed this issue.
E, the 80-foot right of way would -- would result in

1 commercial interests is also inconsistent with preserving the reasons that a hedgerow habitat are required. Under story and major forest habitat is needed to protect and nurture the migratory birds on the two flyways and to support the local birds year around.

You probably know that we -- we probably have as many bicyclists on our road as we do birders, who do nothing but go out and do bird counts for all the conservation organizations.
If we went to F , you find also that another reason why 11 this can't be a minor, would have to be a major, or laid on the table or denied, this 80 -foot row with the result in commercial interests is inconsistent with protecting the fragile underground water diversion that is intended to be

16 preserved along these rustic and exceptional rustic roads to
17 filter dangerous chemical runoffs. 19 mentioned in the functional master plan associated with agriculture, and also mentioned in the functional master 1 plan.

These dangerous chemicals that are associated with automobile emissions, particularly lead, which with the beginning of the automobile age, all the way up through -correct me guys if I'm wrong, somewhere in the eighties,
when the EPA started restricting the amount of lead that could be in any type of gasoline.

And other vehicular dangerous chemicals, as well as the county applied hazardous anti-ice chemicals from a hundred year plus of vehicular truck use on this road. So taking away this hedgerow habitat means we don't filter.

Next we move -- just G as in good. The Freeman's subdivision plats by BAI as now, inaccurate, not corrected, does not portray accurately the hedgerow habitat, the mature forest, the intermediate forest, and the secondary forest. Let me repeat this.

This map here does not accurately portray the hedgerow habitat, the mature forest, the champion trees, except in I think three cases, the intermediate forest, and the secondary forest, which currently encompassed some 70
16
17 percent of the Freeman property and which must be preserved
18 as they are the -- now underline this, quote-unquote --
19 they're the second largest segment of protected forest on
the exceptional rustic Sugarland Road.
21 Her property is holding 70 percent of the second
largest segment of protected forest on the exceptional
3 rustic Sugarland Road. And I referenced the county forestry
law --
25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: When you -- wait -- wait,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 93 & 95 \\
\hline 1 pardon my interruption. & 1 I should note, oh, this is where I should -- I should \\
\hline 2 DR. HANSEN: Oh, surely. & 2 note the chaos of the Fuad El-Hibri attempt to turn his \\
\hline 3 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Just make sure I understand & 3 property into a 23-hour-a-day polo farm on Partnership Road \\
\hline 4 something. When you say her property holds 70 percent, are & 4 wiping out -- I'm sorry, my recollection was wrong -- fo \\
\hline 5 you referring to those lots or lot one or lot two? & 5 established forests from the proposed site plan. \\
\hline 6 DR. HANSEN: I'm referring to the entirety. If I was & 6 The community has learned that it is often wise to \\
\hline 7 only referring to the four acre -- if I was referring to the & 7 hire our own independent certified tree experts. I should \\
\hline 8 four acre, it would be about 55 percent. & 8 say, at considerable expense, to measure, map, calibrate, \\
\hline 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. & 9 and \(\log\) in all of the trees on every proposed subdivision \\
\hline 10 DR. HANSEN: And I referenced Montgomery County's & 10 and property plan. \\
\hline 11 forestry law, which I've worked on a great deal as a & 11 This plan is greatly flawed in virtually com- -- in \\
\hline 12 citizen, the state of Maryland forestry law, the mature & 12 removing virtually a completed -- a complete forested area \\
\hline 13 exception, which I assisted in editing on behalf & 13 on both sides of the proposed division line. \\
\hline 14 historic medley district to help ensure that Montgomery & 14 And I, the 80-foot row, that's still on this map, would \\
\hline 15 County met all of the state of Maryland forestry standard & 15 completely decimate the remaining hedgerow habitat along \\
\hline 16 And until there was an effort by a man named Fuad E & 16 Sugarland Road that was not already partially decimated by \\
\hline 17 & 17 Comcast when it came through illegally and did its attack. \\
\hline 18 Hibri, who owns the patent for Cipro, & 18 And by the way, I took several hundred photographs of \\
\hline 19 three entire forests a little further north fromus on & \\
\hline 20 Partnership Road, and somehow managed to ensure that these & 20 the damage that Comcast did on Sugarland and on Hughes. An \\
\hline 21 three forests never showed up on the maps that were & 21 I submitted them to various conservation organizations who \\
\hline 22 submitted to the county & 22 told me that they were going to forward themt \\
\hline 23 We had to hire the lead tree company as an expe & 23 Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission. And \\
\hline 24 witness. And they went out and measured every single tree in & 24 I never heard back from any county p \\
\hline 25 all three of those forests with calipers and then recorded & 25 I invited them to come out and take a look at the \\
\hline 94 & 96 \\
\hline 1 th & 1 egregious damage that was going on on Sugarland Road -- the \\
\hline 2 And shortly thereafter, two members of the county staff & 2 exceptional part of Sugarland Road during nesting season. No \\
\hline 3 were resigned or reassigned or fired. I'm not sure whic & 3 one ever came o \\
\hline 4 but they no longer were working on county forestry. And as a & 4 And that's why I communicated in May with Lesl \\
\hline 5 result within a year, we now had a Montgomery County tree & 5 Seville on this very issue, because I know she has the \\
\hline 6 law. These things happen. & 6 purview to work with the rustic roads commission advisory \\
\hline 7 There is a good ol' boy [sic] assumption out here in & 7 committee, as well as purview to make sure that the 1996 \\
\hline 8 the county that you can take down any tree you want to and & 8 functional master plan for the rustic roads is adhered to. \\
\hline 9 if it's not protected, which is one of the reasons why it's & 9 Now, let me go to it- -- item number seven. The last \\
\hline 10 important to say parenthetically that the two county & 10 reason that I wanted to highlight that strongly suggests \\
\hline 11 planners who talked with Ms. Freeman and encouraged her to & 11 that this is not a minor amendment. \\
\hline 12 protect those trees formally, which she refused to do, back & 12 This is a major amendment, and it is -- it \\
\hline 13 at base along with the inaccuracies of this map, would give & 13 premature, it is inappropriate in consideration right now \\
\hline 14 the community a feeling that something underhanded was going & 14 because of the fundamentally strong impact it would have on \\
\hline 15 on. & 15 the entire neighborhood. But more importantly, the \\
\hline 16 I hope it's only oversight. I hope it's only mistake & 16 fundamental impact it would have on other parts of \\
\hline 17 I hope it's only COVID-19, but the bottom line is this & 17 government who would be considering the subdivision plan. \\
\hline 18 & 18 A, you need to know in advance that Poolesville, this \\
\hline 19 particular map was not updated and corrected. I was assured & 19 whole area of the Piedmont, including where we're sitting \\
\hline 20 that it was going to be done & 20 \\
\hline 21 And then when I saw it appear here again, with four & 21 right now. I'm not sure where you're sitting, but the \\
\hline 22 pages of single space type inaccuracies that needed to be & 22 Piedmont, the Poolesville area and everything north and west \\
\hline 23 fixed, I was flabbergasted -- is the only way to describe & 23 of that is sitting on top of only -- one of only 11 sole \\
\hline 24 it. So if we move on to H , also still in number six of the & 24 source aquifers in the United States. \\
\hline & 25 And as such, it has a very high value in terms of \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 101 & 103 \\
\hline 1 roads & 1 \\
\hline 2 You're also circumscribing those two major flyways. You & 2 one point in my testimony, if you were [inaudible] -- \\
\hline 3 are circumscribing the rights of every single person on that & 3 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Don't -- just don't -- \\
\hline 4 road who's concerned about filtration associated with that & 4 let me make sure I have everybody back, okay? Ms. Freeman, \\
\hline 5 hedgerow habitats and those forests, which right now have no & 5 are you here? \\
\hline 6 protection because Ms. Freeman has elected not to put them & 6 MS. FREEMAN: Y \\
\hline 7 under some sort of set asi & 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Great. Go ahead, Dr. \\
\hline 8 So as a result you're left with a decision tree. If you & 8 Hansen. \\
\hline 9 don't know if Ms. Freeman's property will be approved for a & 9 DR. HANSEN: Okay. Again, this refers to whether or not \\
\hline 10 subdivision, then you can't tell if the impact on the & 10 this is a minor or major amendment. Your colleague -- and I \\
\hline 11 neighbors will be a minor or major impact. & 11 apologize if I'm not pronouncing her last name correctly -- \\
\hline 12 And similarly, if the board hearing -- zoning approves & 12 Elsabett Tesfaye? \\
\hline 13 it as a minor amendment, and she is then allowed to take the & 13 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Tesfaye. \\
\hline 14 trees down without a forest protected zone being asserted, & 14 DR. HANSEN: Tesfaye. Oh, excellent. Thank you. I will \\
\hline 15 without the functional master plan for the exceptional & 15 remember it has two -- two syllables. She said in email to \\
\hline 16 rustic roads findings, which they normally do in cases like & 16 all of us, information that was not included in the official \\
\hline 17 this, or with regard to forest law requirements, then even & 17 report that cam \\
\hline 18 though you might not intend it, you inadvertently prejudice & 18 But she said specifically, quoting her, at the time of \\
\hline 19 the case decision by explicitly ratifying the deforested & 19 the original application in 2016, our environmental staff \\
\hline 20 site plan. & 20 reviewed the environmental conditions on the property, as \\
\hline 21 And at that point you have a serious problem with a & 21 well as the environmental resources, and found that the \\
\hline 22 major amendment that offers physical impact to & 22 proposed equestrian facility to be considered [inaudible] \\
\hline 23 neighbors, and deprives them of their constitutional right & 23 the environment guidelines, period. \\
\hline 24 to protest against the degradation of their environment. 25 & 24 The application did not propose any developed clearing \\
\hline 102 & 104 \\
\hline 1 They would also then ju- -- unjustly be foreclosed in & 1 control permit on the conditional use site. Therefore, \\
\hline 2 any initial means of mobilizing and protesting the damage to & \\
\hline 3 Sugarland Road and exceptional rustic road, and it would & 3 comma, the property was not subject to the forest \\
\hline 4 also prevent all of the neighbors from utilizing all avenues & 4 conservation law as defined in Chapter 22A of the Montgomery \\
\hline 5 otherwise available to protest the county's decisio & 5 County code. \\
\hline \(6 \quad\) So this is an if/then double whammy, if I might put & 6 The proposed amendment which results with a reduction \\
\hline 7 in the vernacular. I'm sure you don't intend to prejudice & 7 of about 15 percent of the pasture area is not likely to \\
\hline 8 all other cases in parts of the bureaucracy that have a & 8 change the findings, so long as there will not be clearing \\
\hline 9 higher level of precedence & 9 or grading activities on the four acre portion of the \\
\hline 10 But in effect that's what you're doing by putting the & 10 property that is subject to the proposed amendment. The \\
\hline 11 cart before the horse. I rest my case & 11 property is not within a special protection area. \\
\hline 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. It is now Ms. Freeman's & 12 The response to that is this. Number one, we're still \\
\hline 13 chance to ask questions. But we're going to take just a & 13 dealing with an inaccurate map for all of the reasons that I \\
\hline 14 five-minute break before we get to that. All right? So yes, & 14 pointed out earlier, four pages I think is single spaced \\
\hline 15 ma'am, were you going to say something? & 15 typed. \\
\hline 16 DR. HANSEN: I was going to say, thank you very much. & 16 And a new map has not been corrected and submitted \\
\hline 17 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. We're going to take -- & 17 with a correct scale. So there's no way for you really to \\
\hline 18 go off the record for five minutes. And we'll be back a & 18 know unfortunately whether or not she is proposing to \\
\hline 19 that time & 19 continue on with the original plan of 2016. \\
\hline 20 (On the record at 12:21:49 p.m.) & 20 And based on what I know about what has happened in the \\
\hline 21 (Back on the record at 12:28:48 p.m.) & 21 community out here, there is a longstanding concept of land \\
\hline 22 Back on the record. Is the court reporter there? Mr. & 22 that is considered R or rural, that will be cleared, can't \\
\hline 23 Utterback? Thank you. Are the parties ready to go back on & 23 be cleared, and often is cleared unless it is protected. And \\
\hline 24 the record? & 24 no one is the wiser until something like this pops up. \\
\hline 25 DR. HANSEN: I am here. And I'm sorry to say I forgot & 25 So theoretically, because your staff has not done a \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 105 & 107 \\
\hline assessment under the [inaudible] conservation law & 1 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead. \\
\hline 2 particularly with regard to safeguarding mature [inaudible] & 2 DR. HANSEN: So retry -- the third try. Okay. The part \\
\hline & 3 that I neglected to mention, and I do apologize because this \\
\hline 4 forests including & 4 has gone on for a while, reinforces the argument that I was \\
\hline 5 As noted in & 5 \\
\hline 6 noted on the site plan that was submitted, which was also & 6 making on January 13th regarding the inaccuracies of the \\
\hline 7 used for you in your zoning CU hearing, leaves open the & 7 subdivision map, which has also been without updating and \\
\hline 8 question of whether or not the safeguards that are built & 8 correction, been used as part of this minor amendment \\
\hline 9 into the & 9 \\
\hline coads have been taken into account and adhered & 10 At that time an additional piece of information came to \\
\hline 11 And I'm thinking particularly about what I alluded to & 11 us on an email from your colleague on \(5 / 15\), in which Ms. \\
\hline 12 earlier with regard to flyways, local property water quality & 12 Tesfaye says, at the time of the original application in \\
\hline 13 filtration, forest preservation & 13 2016, our environmental staff reviewed the environment \\
\hline 14 [inaud & 14 conditions on the property, as well as the environmental \\
\hline 15 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Hello? & 15 resources, and found that the proposed equestrian facility \\
\hline 16 MR. GRIGORIAN: Carol? Carol? Carol? & 16 to be consistent with environmental guidelines, period. \\
\hline 17 [talking over each other] & 17 The application did not propose any development, comma, \\
\hline 18 DR. HANSEN: Oh, I ams & 18 clearing, comma, or grading activities that generate the \\
\hline 19 MR. GRIGORIAN: You muted yourself. You have & 19 need for a sediment control permit on the conditional use \\
\hline 20 for a moment & 20 site, period. Therefore, comma, the property was not subject \\
\hline 21 DR. HANSEN: Okay. Let me go back and -- I'm so sorry, & 21 to the forest conservation law as defined in Chapter 22A of \\
\hline 22 folks. I'm so sorry. What I neglected & 22 the Montgomery County code, period. \\
\hline 23 the difference -- the last difference between a minor and & 23 The proposed amendment which results with a reduction \\
\hline 24 major. & 24 of about 15 percent of the pasture area is not likely to \\
\hline 25 And it concerns again another nuanced aspect of the & 25 change this finding so long that there will not be clearing \\
\hline 106 & 108 \\
\hline st areas which are shown accurately and inaccurately on & 1 or grading on the four-acre portion of the property that is \\
\hline 2 this document which was supposed to have been updated and & 2 the subject of the proposed amendment, period. The property \\
\hline 3 resubmitted to the neighbors as well as you folks. It neve & 3 is not within a special protection area, period. \\
\hline & 4 Well, if we refer back to the inaccuracies that I \\
\hline 5 was. & 5 highlighted in this particular map which was submitted both \\
\hline 6 And because it has so many inaccuracies, it would be & \\
\hline 7 moving forward and would be put into record, which would & 7 to the subdivision and also for your proceedings today; \\
\hline 8 cause innumerable problems as we discussed earlier -- & 8 unless all of the scale measurements are corrected, and \\
\hline 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What is -- why are you saying & 9 unless all of the understory that exists there today shows \\
\hline inaccurate because of forest - & 10 up on the map, unless all of the secondary and tertiary \\
\hline MR. GRIGORIAN: I'm sorry. You're muted again, Carol. & 11 forest as well as the champion trees show up on those maps, \\
\hline 12 Carol, you're muted again. And I have this -- I have this & 12 then without having in law regulatory protections for a set \\
\hline 13 sneaking suspicion that when -- that the muting is happening & 13 aside to protect those forested areas, it could all be taken \\
\hline your end because she does not seem to be touching her & 14 down. \\
\hline mputer. & 15 And that is what happens out here in this country over \\
\hline ANSEN: No. I'm gesticulating with this hand. I'm & 16 and over and over again. And that would of course lead to \\
\hline touching the computer. I think it was -- the reason why & 17 substantial impact of a property owner. It would have a \\
\hline 18 is because [inaudible] & 18 substantial impact on potentially all county residents \\
\hline 19 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait. Can you stop? Dr. & 19 because all county residents benefit from the functional \\
\hline 20 Hansen, can you stop a minute? Can everyone hear Dr. Hansen? & 20 master plan for the protection of the rustic roads. \\
\hline EEMAN: & 21 And if you lived out here as I do, you would know that \\
\hline 22 Mr. GRIGORIAN: We can now. But I think someone is & 22 a very substantial portion of the populace enjoys \\
\hline 23 muting her, uh, randomly, not intentionally. But it's not & 23 exceptional rustic roads, including ours. They're birders, \\
\hline 24 her muting herself. So let's just give it another shot and & 24 they're runners, they're horseback riders, etc., etc., etc. \\
\hline 25 everybody -- & 25 So to continue on, your [inaudible] has been that you \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 109 & 111 \\
\hline have to take into account all of the items under 22A. I & 1 change with respect to the lot that you are focused upon in \\
\hline 2 wanted to reemphasize also because there are four entities & 2 connection with an application that was submitted prior to \\
\hline 3 of government involved here, if you make a decision that's & 3 the application you received, and will be -- \\
\hline 4 minor, prejudice is the case and it preempts action by the & 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I understand. And the record - \\
\hline 5 rustic roads advisory committee, preempts action by the & 5 - I understand that. And the record plot will be recorded. \\
\hline 6 [inaudib & 6 But this will not. \\
\hline 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Dr. Hansen [inaudible] it & 7 This doesn't bind the record plot in any way, shape, \\
\hline 8 doesn't -- mine doesn't have any effect. It doesn't have any & \\
\hline 9 binding effect on what they do in the preliminary plan. & 9 or form. Unfortunately what I think has happened is that Ms. \\
\hline 10 DR. HANSEN: They would be recorded. That's the point. & 10 Freeman has used the subdivision plot as her conditional \\
\hline 11 If this inaccurate map -- & 11 site plan, which is permissible. \\
\hline 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It's not -- this is not & 12 But I think it creates the perception that by approving \\
\hline 13 recorded in the land records. This is not recorded in the & 13 this conditional use, we are approving a trail, we are \\
\hline 14 land records. The record plot will be, but not this. & 14 approving the forest conservation plan, we are doing all of \\
\hline 15 DR. HANSEN: And why do you say that? & 15 those things, and we aren't doing those things. So -- \\
\hline 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Because it's not. & 16 MR. GRIGORIAN: I'm sorry. You cut out, unfortunately. \\
\hline 17 DR. HANSEN: Could Greg weigh in here on this issue? & 17 So can you repeat? \\
\hline 18 I'm not a lawyer, so I don't know. & 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. What I'm saying is that \\
\hline 19 MR. GRIGORIAN: Are we talking about the forest & 19 Ms. Freeman has used the sub- -- subdivision plot for her \\
\hline servation & 20 conditional use plan. \\
\hline 21 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No. I'm talking about the & 21 And I think that that is creating -- I understand what \\
\hline 22 [inaudible] -- & 22 you mean. Both of you have testified that this is just a \\
\hline 23 [talking over each other] & 23 sequential part of the process that makes the approval of \\
\hline 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Dr. Hansen, I'm not going to & 24 the preliminary plan inevitable. \\
\hline 25 tell you again. When I'm talking, you don't talk. & 25 But I think the confusion is created in part because \\
\hline 110 & 112 \\
\hline DR. HANSEN: I'm very sorry. & 1 Ms. Freeman has used the subdivision plan that contains all \\
\hline 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Stop. It's very frustrating. & 2 these items that does not have anything to do with the \\
\hline 3 We need to get through this hearing. The court reporter, as & 3 conditional use. \\
\hline 4 I said at the beginning, can't hear crosstalk. And don't ask & 4 She's used that subdivision plan as her conditional \\
\hline 5 Greg Gregorian what I happen to know for a legal and factual & 5 use plan. That is permissible. I can ask her to resubmit a \\
\hline 6 matter; it's not recorded. A conditional use site plan is & 6 conditional use plan only showing the lot we're working on \\
\hline & 7 and the restrictions imposed by this conditional use plan. \\
\hline 8 not recorded. & 8 But whatever happens with the preliminary plan, nothing \\
\hline 9 MR. GRIGORIAN: Permission to speak? & 9 \\
\hline HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. & 10 in this is legally binding. The conditional use plan does \\
\hline MR. GRIGORIAN: I think that the -- number one, I think & 11 not get reported. \\
\hline 12 that the reason why there was some confusion was because & 12 If Ms. -- if Ms. Freeman is agreeable, I can ask her \\
\hline 13 initially when you said it's not recorded, Dr. Hansen wasn't & 13 to submit a conditional use plan without all the \\
\hline re whether you were referring to the map that shows the & 14 encumbrances shown on the subdivision plan and limit it to \\
\hline est conservation area and all of the rights of way and & 15 lot one, because that's the only property that's going to be \\
\hline 16 everything else that's sort of off of the subject property, & 16 changed. And this may help clarify the issue. \\
\hline 17 the seven and a quarter acre subject property, versus the & 17 MR. GRIGORIAN: Permission to speak? \\
\hline 18 conditional use or mod- -- modified -- proposed to be & 18 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. \\
\hline 19 modified conditional use permit. & 19 DR. HANSEN: [inaudible] going to speak too after Greg. \\
\hline 20 I -- I think we all understand that as part of your & 20 MR. GRIGORIAN: Just to reiterate for the -- well I \\
\hline 21 potential approval of that permit, the map that we're & 21 continue to -- to make the point that there is no lot one at \\
\hline 22 focused on that shows forest to be cleared is not going to & 22 this time. So to submit a revised plan, to submit the \\
\hline 23 be recorded. & 23 current proposed plan, is to submit an idea and not a fact. \\
\hline 24 The only reason why that map is relevant is simply to & 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, what she could do is \\
\hline 25 make the point that there are a number of things that might & 25 eliminate any reference to lot one and just -- she could \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 113 & 115 \\
\hline 1 submit a revised plan for the 7.65 acres with just a line & 1 I -- we don't care about sand mounds, we don't care about \\
\hline 2 denoting where the new conditional use is, instead of & 2 anything, except the fact that she's reducing the four -- \\
\hline 3 showing the trail, the forest conservation area, all of & 3 you know, the 7.65 lot by 3.65 a \\
\hline 4 that, because that's part of the subdivision approva & 4 So I can ask her to submit something without all the \\
\hline 5 process. & 5 subdivision issues, and just submit a new boundary for the \\
\hline 6 And now she -- she doesn't have to do that. I'm just & 6 condi- -- existing conditional use. Because that's all we're \\
\hline 7 suggesting it to clarify that what happens here really does & 7 doing. \\
\hline 8 not -- it's a completely different standard, it's & 8 DR. HANSEN: May I have permission to speak? \\
\hline 9 completely different process, it is not binding. & 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. \\
\hline 10 & 10 DR. HANSEN: Our neighbors immediately to the right who \\
\hline 11 And none of those things shown -- let me go back to & \\
\hline 12 see if I can find what exhibit her conditional use plan is. & 12 own three properties immediately contingent to Ms. Freeman, \\
\hline 13 Well, none of those things, like the offsite stream & 13 the Eaders, have told me that they had noticed that their \\
\hline 14 buffer, and the trail going along the -- I guess it's the & 14 southwest border with her has -- is inaccurate and that it \\
\hline 15 southern part of her property [inaudible] -- & 15 needs to be withdrawn -- redraw \\
\hline 16 MR. GRIGORIAN: May I ask what you're looking at? & 16 And I would respectfully request that if a new drawing \\
\hline 17 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. It's 29. It's actually & 17 is submitted to your office, that it has the corrections \\
\hline 1833 , but 29's a better version of it. Okay. Let me go back & 18 that the Eaders asked to have put on to the official map \\
\hline 19 and see if I can't show you. & 19 because they also are concerned about something being \\
\hline 20 MR. GRIGORIAN: I -- I -- 29A, I have it up on my & 20 recorded that's not. \\
\hline 21 screen. & 21 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I don't know what those \\
\hline 22 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. I can ask her to subm & 22 corrections are. And they're not here to testify. \\
\hline 23 just a plan of -- that four acres that are referred to on & 23 DR. HANSEN: They sent in -- they sent in a message way \\
\hline 24 this plan as lot one. But we -- it doesn't even have to call 25 it lot one. & 24 back in early May. And it would have been attached to that. 25 And I believe they communicated directly with Ms. Freeman as \\
\hline 114 & 116 \\
\hline 1 Or she can -- it might be easier -- you know what & 1 well. \\
\hline 2 would be easier to do, it would be easier to submit the & 2 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: How do you -- how do you spell \\
\hline 3 entire 7.6 acres with a single line down the middle that & 3 their name? \\
\hline 4 corresponds where the new lot lines would be. & 4 DR. HANSEN: E-a-d-e-r. You'll see it's C and E.S. \\
\hline 5 Take all the -- take all the sand mounds off, take all & 5 Eader. That line is incorrect. Ms. Freeman's outline is \\
\hline 6 the subdivision things off. This was her orig- -- let me & 6 incorrect. \\
\hline 7 show you her original conditional use plan that was approved & 7 MS. FREEMAN: Can I say something? Can I -- permission \\
\hline 8 -- well it's in here. This is the original condi- -- & 8 to speak? \\
\hline 9 conditional use. Do you see it? It's in the hearing & 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. \\
\hline 10 examiner's report. & 10 MS. FREEMAN: So I -- I -- I fully agree with the \\
\hline 11 MR. GRIGORIAN: Yes. & 11 hearing examiner's request. I'm more than happy to -- as she \\
\hline 12 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. For some reason this & \\
\hline 13 won't -- hold on one second here, I'm getting there. Okay. & \(13--\) as she just explained to make the map without all the \\
\hline 14 Ugh. & 14 subdivision stuff. \\
\hline 15 MR. GRIGORIAN: Mm-hmm & 15 In fact, this may -- my comment may even help with Dr. \\
\hline 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. This is the original & 16 Hansen's comment now, if we look for example at that \\
\hline 17 conditional use that she submitted. She can take that -- & 17 conservation plan map that we were looking at before, that \\
\hline 18 because what we're really doing is lop -- the only thing & 18 one has like just the borders of the property from I guess \\
\hline 19 this proceeding does is lop off 3.65 acres of what's here. I & 19 some GIS program, you know, not a survey, just an \\
\hline 20 can ask her to resubmit a -- a conditional use plan that & 20 independent GIS thing, I guess. \\
\hline 21 just shows the area reduction without all the other & 21 And then I could just take that map and use that, and \\
\hline 22 subdivision. & 22 then draw the additional things that I should put on for the \\
\hline 23 Everything else on this plan, the exhibit -- \(30-32-\) & 23 conditional use. I'm talking about the map that [inaudible] \\
\hline 24-29-- everything else on exhibit -- most [inaudible] on & 24 -- \\
\hline 25 exhibit 29 is sub- -- all for subdivision. That's all it is. & 25 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Which map are you talking \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

about using as a base?
MS. FREEMAN: The one with -- from the soil
conservation plan, the conservation plan map, the one that -
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But you need to use one. You
could take -- I assume the subdivision plan, which is I
think 59 --
MR. GRIGORIAN: 59 is forest conservation [inaudible]
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That's correct.
MS. FREEMAN: [inaudible] concerned because people are
having concerns about the accuracy --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Let me just -- let me just
tell you something. You can use the boundaries of -- that
are in 29.
MS. FREEMAN: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay? And have your engineer
remove everything to do with the subdivision.
MS. FREEMAN: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay? Take out the -- just put
the lot line with your existing structures, your stable, the
com- -- everything that's on your original conditional use
plan, and take everything else off.
MS. FREEMAN: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And I think that might clarify
what you -- what this proceeding is about. Because this

```
proceeding is not about the right of way, it's not about the
trail easement, it's not about the stream buffers; it's not
about the clearing on lot two.
    So your engineer can just use the property lines as the
boundaries, and place your existing structures and your
compost, your compost has to be on there, your compost
location. If you look at 33 A , can you see this up on my
screen?
    MS. FREEMAN: Yes.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: See, the red is where you mark
the compost location.
    MS. FREEMAN: Mm-hmm.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: My suggestion would be just
mark your existing structures. You can put the well there
and the new septic, whatever you had on your original
conditional use, and leave all the sand mounds, and the
forest retention, and the trail easement. Because I think
what is misleading people is they think that by approving
this, all these other things go into effect, and they really
don't.
    MS. FREEMAN: Got it. That's clear.
    MR. GRIGORIAN: Can I speak?
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes.
    MR. GRIGORIAN: I understand why you feel like some
117
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 117 & 119 \\
\hline 1 about using as a base? & 1 people on the line are misled to believe that by approving \\
\hline 2 MS. FREEMAN: The one with -- from the soil & 2 this, all of the other things are de facto improved. But \\
\hline 3 conservation plan, the conservation plan map, the one that - & 3 such is not the case with me. I've got a couple points. \\
\hline 4 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But you need to use one. You & 4 Number one, the reason why the subdivision process and \\
\hline 5 could take -- I assume the subdivision plan, which is I & 5 the subdivision application as submitted matters is simply \\
\hline 6 think 59 -- & 6 because it speaks to the idea that we can't -- one can't -- \\
\hline 7 MR. GRIGORIAN: 59 is forest conservation [inaudible] & 7 no one can know whether or not the approval of the amendment \\
\hline 8 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That's correct. & 8 to the conditional use permit will have a significant impact \\
\hline 9 MS. FREEMAN: [inaudible] concerned because people are & 9 or not. \\
\hline 10 having concerns about the accuracy -- & 10 Because the subdivision plan is in motion and there are \\
\hline 11 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Let me just -- let me just & 11 things that have to change and may change based on the -- \\
\hline 12 tell you something. You can use the boundaries of -- that & 12 that -- that separate independent process, not the least of \\
\hline 13 & 13 which is the drawing that you're asking her to, you know, \\
\hline 14 are in 29. & 14 resubmit, the line, that line down, quote, down the middle. \\
\hline 15 MS. FREEMAN: Okay. & 15 \\
\hline 16 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay? And have your engineer & 16 My second point is, if you ask her to submit a new \\
\hline 17 remove everything to do with the subdivision. & 17 drawing that's just showing what is now designated as lot \\
\hline 18 MS. FREEMAN: Okay. & 18 one, but a portion of the 7.25 acres or .26 acres, is she \\
\hline 19 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay? Take out the -- just put & 19 also going to agree to not allow horses across that line \\
\hline 20 the lot line with your existing structures, your & 20 into the open paddock that's on the other lot, the quote lot \\
\hline 21 com- -- everything that's on your original conditional use & 21 two, which is the hypothetical lot two, which then will not \\
\hline 22 plan, and take everything else off. & 22 have a conditional use permit? \\
\hline 23 MS. FREEMAN: Okay. & 23 Because the conditional use permit is modified. It's \\
\hline 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And I think that might clarify & 24 only going to apply to lot one. It's open pasture, marry -- \\
\hline 25 what you -- what this proceeding is about. Because this & 25 you know, that -- that -- that -- that crosses over lot one, \\
\hline 118 & 120 \\
\hline 1 proceeding is not about the right of way, it's not about the & 1 this hypothetical line into lot two. \\
\hline 2 trail easement, it's not about the stream buffers; it's not & 2 So is there going to be another fence built there? Or \\
\hline 3 about the clearing on lot two & 3 is she going to be violating rules governing conditional use \\
\hline 4 So your engineer can just use the property lines as the & 4 permits as to this hypothetical lot two when a horse wanders \\
\hline 5 boundaries, and place your existing structures and your & 5 across the invisible line. So this is again, speaks to my \\
\hline 6 compost, your compost has to be on there, your compost & 6 point, that it -- it -- \\
\hline 7 location. If you look at 33 A , can you see this up on my & 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, let me let her have a \\
\hline 8 screen? & 8 chance to answer that. \\
\hline 9 MS. FREEMAN: Yes. & 9 MS. FREEMAN: Well, first of all, for the horses going \\
\hline 10 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: See, the red is where you mark & 10 across, A, I very easily in half a day can put up another \\
\hline 11 the compost location. & 11 fence and take off-- that --that 0.34 acres is nothing -- \\
\hline 12 MS. FREEMAN: Mm-hmm. & 12 if I need to. \\
\hline 13 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: My suggestion would be just & 13 Or B, I already have a fence down the middle. And as I \\
\hline 14 & 14 said, I have so much pasture. I have too much pasture for \\
\hline 15 mark your existing structures. You can put the well there & 15 these horses right now. I never honestly need to use that \\
\hline 16 and the new septic, whatever you had on your original & 16 \\
\hline 17 conditional use, and leave all the sand mounds, and the & 17 field. \\
\hline 18 forest retention, and the trail easement. Because I think & 18 So I can either -- which is even more if I don't need \\
\hline 19 what is misleading people is they think that by approving & 19 it. But I can put up a fence if I want to. So it's a \\
\hline 20 this, all these other things go into effect, and they really & 20 complete possibility. I don't need the horses to be on that \\
\hline 21 don't. & 210.34 acres at all. Don't need them on there. \\
\hline 22 MS. FREEMAN: Got it. That's clear. & 22 MR. GRIGORIAN: Just to be -- just to be clear, I want \\
\hline 23 MR. GRIGORIAN: Can I speak? & 23 to -- I want to -- I'm looking at things on my screen. We're \\
\hline 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. & 24 talking about -- we're talking about lot -- or field -- \\
\hline 25 MR. GRIGORIAN: I understand why you feel like some & 25 field 4B? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

```
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        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes.
        DR. HANSEN: If you go back to Ms. Freeman's submission
    to you folks originally when she asked to have this amended,
you will notice that she very specifically uses this as a
means of conditioning the approval of her future
subdivision. I would refer you to page two on her 16-15 CU
application and your staff approval for it.
And if I may quote her, on January 21, 2020, OZAH
received a request from the applicant, Ms. Jennifer Freeman,
to amend the approved conditional use to accommodate a minor
subdivision of the property into two lots.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, that's -- she's just
saying why she's doing it. That's -- that's not [inaudible]
if it happens and she doesn't get the conditional use
approval, unless I make the -- I can make the conditional
use -- the reduced conditional use approval, conditioned on
the -- sorry -- on the approved subdivision. And then
they'll take effect simultaneously.
DR. HANSEN: I would suggest that that would be an
improvement. And I think the more clarity you can offer on
the definition the better, because of the way she has
written the definition --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, what definition? I don't
understand that.

```

\section*{HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes.}
```

DR. HANSEN: If you go back to Ms. Freeman's submission
to you folks originally when she asked to have this amended,
you will notice that she very specifically uses this as a
means of conditioning the approval of her future
subdivision. I would refer you to page two on her 16-15 CU
application and your staff approval for it.
And if I may quote her, on January 21,2020 , OZAH
received a request from the applicant, Ms. Jennifer Freeman,
to amend the approved conditional use to accommodate a minor
subdivision of the property into two lots.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, that's -- she's just
saying why she's doing it. That's -- that's not [inaudible]
if it happens and she doesn't get the conditional use
approval, unless I make the -- I can make the conditional
use -- the reduced conditional use approval, conditioned on
the -- sorry -- on the approved subdivision. And then
they'll take effect simultaneously.
DR. HANSEN: I would suggest that that would be an
improvement. And I think the more clarity you can offer on
the definition the better, because of the way she has
written the definition --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, what definition? I don't
understand that.
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    DR. HANSEN: Well, if I understand you correctly, you
said that you could make as the condition the approval of
this amendment co-terminus with an approval of the
subdivision? Is that what you said?
    MR. GRIGORIAN: Conditioned upon, I believe.
    DR. HANSEN: Conditioned upon --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, let me think about -- I
didn't intend that. I meant to say -- let me ponder that,
okay? I'm not 100 percent sure I can do that. But what would
happen is if she didn't get the subdivision, then she would
get this whole 7.65 acres back subject to the original
conditions.
    DR. HANSEN: Well, that's what I was hoping, if I might
speak again, that's what I was hoping would occur. Because
that doesn't hurt Ms. Freeman at all. She loses no money.
She loses no flexibility on ultimately marketing her
property for sale if she elects to do that. She loses no
muddying of the waters if she proceeds with the subdivision.
    But it also leaves unfettered all of the options on the
table for the neighbors without even a faint implication
that a decision here preempts -- the legal term is preempts
22
space, preempts the space that should be, [inaudible] --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, that's not the legal
term, but that's okay.
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DR. HANSEN: Other members -- other members of the bureaucracy at other levels between the county, Maryland
National Capital Park and Planning, and the state of
Maryland.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Let me see if Ms. Freeman is
following this. Ms. Freeman --
    MS. FREEMAN: Mm-hmm. I -- I think I -- my only concern
is that when I was at -- like I mentioned in my initial
statement, is when I was at that development review
committee, they said that I had to have --
    MR. GRIGORIAN: Can you repeat, Jenny? I -- I didn't --
you cut out.
    MS. FREEMAN: I was saying that when I was at the
development review committee meeting, I was told by those
people that I needed to have this conditional use first
before the subdivision can continue the process. So my only
concern, and it might not be a concern, I don't know, but my
only concern is that it depends how it's worded, I think
what we've just been discussed.
    But if it's worded in a way somehow that -- that it's
like it is approved, so that the subdivision can take --
process can take place. I -- I'm -- I'm okay with that.
Because I just want to make sure that somehow that it's --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, you're kind of in a
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catch -- I have to say that you're kind of in a catch-22.
    MR. GRIGORIAN: Exactly.
    MS. FREEMAN: Yeah.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So I think what [inaudible] we
    don't have any [inaudible] --
    MS. FREEMAN: I can't -- I'm sorry, I can't hear -- I
can't hear you.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It doesn't matter. It doesn't
matter. It -- I think the word, a condition, say this is
approved -- this is approved -- I'm thinking, that's why I'm
quiet. Think you could word a condition saying because the
purpose of this is to accommodate the subdivision, it's
approved.
    But the original -- the subdivision is not approved,
then it reverts to the original approved conditional use. It
disappears essentially.
    MS. FREEMAN: Mm-hmm. I understand that.
    MR. GRIGORIAN: So has -- has the hearing examiner ever
had a springing approval such as the one you're creating
here?
    I don't -- I don't know that that's appropriate, an
approval tied to the subdivision. I also think it requires
as part of this [inaudible] subdivision [inaudible]
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You're fading out.

1 catch -- I have to say that you're kind of in a catch-22.
MR. GRIGORIAN: Exactly.
MS. FREEMAN: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So I think what [inaudible] we
don't have any [inaudible] --
MS. FREEMAN: I can't -- I'm sorry, I can't hear -- I
can't hear you.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: It doesn't matter. It doesn't
matter. It -- I think the word, a condition, say this is
approved -- this is approved -- I'm thinking, that's why I'm
quiet. Think you could word a condition saying because the
purpose of this is to accommodate the subdivision, it's
approved.
But the original -- the subdivision is not approved,
then it reverts to the original approved conditional use. It
disappears essentially.
MS. FREEMAN: Mm-hmm. I understand that.
MR. GRIGORIAN: So has -- has the hearing examiner ever
had a springing approval such as the one you're creating
here?
I don't -- I don't know that that's appropriate, an
approval tied to the subdivision. I also think it requires
as part of this [inaudible] subdivision [inaudible]
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You're fading out.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 129 & 131 \\
\hline 1 [talking over each other] & 1 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. \\
\hline 2 MR. GRIGORIAN: I said [inaudible] it also requires as & 2 DR. HANSEN: Something like this, if it were worded to \\
\hline 3 part of the subdivision process that gives consideration to, & 3 leave open the possibility that this subdivision might not \\
\hline 4 y & 4 be approved, would be potentially worrisome to some of the \\
\hline 5 [inaudible] upon the hearing examiner having to revisit, you & 5 neighbors. \\
\hline 6 know -- & 6 Is there a reason -- could you explain to me why you \\
\hline 7 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No. It doesn't. No. It doesn & 7 cannot just leave it on the table until the time is right, \\
\hline 8 because -- & 8 when it could be taken up again with no time limits \\
\hline 9 implem & 9 associated with it? That would seem to me the most logic \\
\hline 10 sequential nature of permits. Because there's -- most & 10 way. \\
\hline 11 projects have many permits after the zoning level. & 11 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But that -- but that ignores \\
\hline 12 We say this may not be implemented until such time that & 12 the catch-22 she's in. In other words, if I leave the \\
\hline 13 the subdivision approval, you know, until the planning boar & 13 conditional use on the table, then she's never going to get \\
\hline 14 gets -- until -- I'm sorry -- until the planning boa & 14 her subdivision plan approved. And that -- that -- she's \\
\hline 15 approves the subdivision plans. So the old plan stays & 15 never going to get it heard \\
\hline 16 effect. But you approve the new plan. But then you say it & 16 MR. GRIGORIAN: May I -- may I -- may I [inaudible] \\
\hline 17 -- it's not implemented. & 17 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And -- and that [inaudible] \\
\hline 18 And we do that quite often with various permits. We'll & 18 impermissible under planning \\
\hline 19 say you, you know, prior to issuance of whatever permit, you & 19 [talking over each other] \\
\hline 20 know, you have to do this, and this, and this. So we could & 20 DR. HANSEN: May I speak ma'am on that? I -- I have \\
\hline 21 do something like this & 21 potential solution. Could we check the hearing record for \\
\hline 22 Mr. GRIGORIAN: May -- may I -- may I speak? & 22 the hearing that I did not attend, but Mr. Grigorian did \\
\hline 23 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Or -- or anoth & 23 \\
\hline 24 submit & 24 He doesn't recall any requirement like that. And \\
\hline 25 & 25 remember distinctly having an extensive conversation with \\
\hline 130 & 32 \\
\hline 1 existing improvements and not all the & 1 \\
\hline 2 MR. GRIGORIAN: May I speak? & 2 him immediately after the event in January. He did not \\
\hline 3 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm sorry? & 3 mention it to me either \\
\hline 4 MR. GRIGORIAN: May I speak? & 4 Could we get a copy of that hearing record and find out \\
\hline 5 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes. & 5 if they did indeed formally request it? Because if they \\
\hline 6 MR. GRIGORIAN: That doesn't get to the question of & 6 didn't and it was just a -- an incorrect recollection by Ms. \\
\hline 7 whether or not this is a major or minor amendment. Again, & 7 Freeman, then maybe the whole point is moot and you don't \\
\hline 8 you can't answer that question while the subdivision process & 8 need to worry about it. \\
\hline 9 and all of these other impacts upon the property and the & 9 MR. GRIGORIAN: May I make [inaudible] in addition to \\
\hline 10 surrounding property, including the proposed new lot and the & 10 that? Even if they had -- \\
\hline 11 -- the Sugarland Road, the trees, the runoff, and & 11 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah. Go ahead. \\
\hline 12 everything, is in process. So the question again that & 12 MR. GRIGORIAN: Yeah. Sorry. Even if they had told Ms. \\
\hline 13 that -- & 13 Freeman that it was a requirement for her to get the \\
\hline 14 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I -- I understand your & 14 conditional use permit amended before they proceed with the \\
\hline 15 argument. Legally it's -- A, it's speculative because we & 15 subdivision, that would have been inappropriate. \\
\hline 16 don't even know if a subdivision plan is going to be & 16 Why would they be concerning themselves regarding the \\
\hline 17 approved. & 17 legality of her horses and her basically pets on -- on the \\
\hline 18 This has no binding on the subdivision plan. And, you & 18 remaining lot one, as part of their consideration of a \\
\hline 19 know, I don't know which road -- they're going to have some & 19 subdivision. They wouldn't care, nor should they care, I \\
\hline 20 statement in the [inaudible] plan approval. & 20 think - \\
\hline 21 So it -- it's a -- it's a separate process. And I would & 21 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Because it's a zoning -- it's \\
\hline 22 be [inaudible] to have her submit a revised plan without all & 22 a -- it's a zoning violation. Because it's not the same \\
\hline 23 the [inaudible] and everything else showing in the first & 23 conditional use. She -- she can't have a subdivided \\
\hline 24 plan -- & 24 that's not reflected on the conditional use, the original \\
\hline 25 DR. HANSEN: May I speak? & 25 conditional use approval. That, you know, I haven't seen, I \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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wasn't privy to the meeting. But my guess is that that is
what they think is. Actually I do see -- well so --
MR. GRIGORIAN: I'm sorry; actually what? Hello?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah. I was going to say
something and then I changed my mind. So you know, those are
my suggestions. I mean I can make a decision on the plan
that's in front of me. And I -- I have heard your concerns.
I understand why you're concerned. So --
DR. HANSEN: May I speak once more before you conclude?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yeah. Yes. Sure.
DR. HANSEN: Is there a downside to asking that their
record be evaluated and a copy of it submitted? I know a lot
of things can be [inaudible] --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Wait, what record? I just need
to understand you. What record?
DR. HANSEN: Oh, the record of the first meeting where
this all was discussed, which I did not attend, but Mr.
Grigorian did and Ms. Freeman did. And they both have
completely different recollections on what she was asked to
do and what she was required to do.
If we could just check that record and get a copy of it
for all parties, it might make your dilemma a little bit
easier.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN:Well, what I can do -- what I

```
can do is leave the records open and ask staff, because it
may have been a verbal conversation rather than a, you know
-- the DRC minutes are [inaudible] many times.
    So I can ask staff to forward it and ask whether she
was requested to get the conditional use before the
subdivision.
    DR. HANSEN: I think that would be such a big help. And
we would be greatly appreciative if you would be so kind.
    MS. FREEMAN: Can I also say something?
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes, Ms. Freeman.
    MS. FREEMAN: I just wanted to say that I think Ms. --
Dr. Hansen just made a one small error that -- in that she
said that Mr. Grigorian and I were saying opposite things.
In fact we weren't.
    Mr. Grigorian's point is if in fact what I said is
true, which I was requested to get the conditional use, he
said then that was an error on the side of the DRC.
19 So I don't believe that he's saying right now that that
20 was never said at the meeting. I think he's saying that if
21 it was said, it was an error on the DRC, not [inaudible]
MR. GRIGORIAN: I think that's -- that's fair --
    [talking over each other]
    MR. GRIGORIAN: I don't recall it being said. But even
25 if it was said, that it shouldn't have been -- that -- that
would be an inappropriate condition precedent to the subdivision, to have them involved in your basically personal animals.

And I understand Examiner Hannan's point that the
subdivision with those animals on the site would have
rendered the remaining lot in violation of sub-zoning
without a conditional use permit.
    I -- I get that. But at the same time those animals
could be removed from the lot. You know, you could be
selling both lots.
    Would they require you to have a conditional use permit
if you were subdividing into two lots and selling both lots
to a developer, if a developer were hypothetically speaking
subsidizing, underwriting this whole process that you've
undertaken? No. So I mean, I just think it was --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, you know, just -- just I
    don't want to speculate anymore. I can -- I can leave the
record open to give staff a chance to respond in writing.
And I can give each of you a -- a chance to respond to
staff's comments.
    DR. HANSEN: Permission to speak?
    DR. HANSEN: Permission to speak?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes.
    DR. HANSEN: Would that leave open the option for us,
or you, or you and then for us, to see what the record
actually says?
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    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, I can re- -- request the
minutes of the DRC meeting.
    DR. HANSEN: Oh, that would be so helpful.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But then I did not catch what
you said about the DRC -- we -- what's your source of your
understanding that you had to proceed with the --
    MR. GRIGORIAN: Everybody froze up again --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: -- conditional use -- hello?
    MS. FREEMAN: I can hear you.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Ms. Freeman?
    MS. FREEMAN: Yes. I hear you. When I was at the DRC
meeting, it was verbally told to me. I don't recall the
14 person's name. So maybe, yes, in the minutes it should be
15 there, I -- I suspect. But I --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No. Well, [inaudible]
    MR. GRIGORIAN: May -- may I --
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: No. Let me finish. It may or
may not. Because I've seen many, many DRC minutes. And they
may not. Because I'
    MS. FREEMAN: Uh-huh.
    HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So --
    MR. GRIGORIAN: May I speak?
    MS. FREEMAN: Wait, let me -- okay. But I also wanted
    MS. FREEMAN: Wait, let me -- okay. But I also wanted
5 to reply. Because I think she was asking me a question. But
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 137 & 139 \\
\hline 1 I just wanted to say that I-- it was, you know, at the & 1 Another issue that was brought up, again extraneous to \\
\hline 2 meeting, it was absolutely clearly told to me. & 2 this hearing, was the fact that her active septic field is \\
\hline 3 I said, I'm sure I -- I said immediately I will go & 3 in that right of way, that Dr. Hansen's been referring to. \\
\hline 4 ahead and start this process. And there was som & 4 The -- the -- the newly built septic field, part of that \\
\hline 5 & 5 right of way. And that was another problem. Notes were \\
\hline 6 conversation about that & 6 taken. You know, I'm not sure how that'll get resol \\
\hline 7 And yes, Mr. Grigorian wa & \\
\hline 8 believe he -- he remembers that conversation. And no, I -- I & 8 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That's really my -- my job is \\
\hline 9 can accept his point to say he doesn't agree with what the & 9 to -- I can look -- this is what I can look at. I can look \\
\hline 10 DRC said. & 10 at the existing conditions of use, and whether -- and I can \\
\hline 11 I have no comment on that. I'm not any type of lawyer & 11 only consider the amendments. It's not a full-fledged \\
\hline 12 at all. So that's fine. He can disag & 12 hearing again. \\
\hline 13 But I -- I really ask that he state -- I mean, you & 13 I can look at the existing conditional use and I can \\
\hline 14 know, that was said, that that was said. & 14 look at what the amendments do. That's what I can look \\
\hline 15 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. I guess [inaudible] & 15 under the zoning ordinance. I am willing to g \\
\hline 16 MR. GRIGORIAN: I will -- I will -- I will tell you -- & 16 clarification from staff regarding the need to conditional \\
\hline 17 now I will tell you in some greater detail what I remember & 17 use resolved before the subdivision. \\
\hline 18 the discussion being & 18 And I can leave -- leave the record open to -- to \\
\hline 19 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But it -- it -- let me just & 19 solicit their opinions and their information, and g \\
\hline 20 get it in writing from staff. Then we don't have to go & 20 comments. And then that will allow you to have the chance to \\
\hline 21 through hearsay of what -- who said what. I can jus & 21 respond to those comments. \\
\hline 22 [inaudible] the position from staff. & 22 Because rehashing what, you know, I can see you each \\
\hline 23 MS. FREEMAN: Okay. & 23 have different perspectives on the DRC meetings. I wasn't \\
\hline 24 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And so let's -- let's do that. 25 Okay? And that'll be -- & 24 there. And it's hearsay. So I'm willing to leave the record 25 open. \\
\hline 138 & 25 \\
\hline 1 MR. GRIGORIAN: That -- that's fine. But I would like & 1 We can proceed and I can try and get clarification \\
\hline 2 to enter into the record since I am under oath what & 2 from staff whether the change to this is necessary -- you \\
\hline 3 what I recall to the b & 3 know, that the conditional use is necessary, prior to the \\
\hline 4 conversation being. & 4 subdivision approval. \\
\hline \(5 \quad\) There was a free-flowing conversation at one point & 5 MR. GRIGORIAN: [inaudible] \\
\hline & 6 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And then I can leave it open. \\
\hline 7 between the applicant, Ms. Freeman, and -- and I guess it & 7 Let me go -- let me just go to my calendar. \\
\hline 8 Park and Planning. & \\
\hline 9 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Yes? & 9 MR. GRIGORIAN: Examiner Hannan, I have to drop in \\
\hline 10 MR. GRIGORIAN: The topic of the existing conditional & 10 three minutes. I apologize. I'm not sure what -- I didn't \\
\hline 11 use permit came up. It seemed to me that that was a new & 11 expect this to go on as long. Is there -- is there some way \\
\hline 12 topic, one -- one that had not yet been considered by Park & 12 to review the \\
\hline 13 and Planning. And it was -- appeared to me to be a eureka & 13 HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I can send you -- I can send \\
\hline 14 moment for Ms. Freeman and her -- and her advisor, someone & 14 you -- I'm going to leave the record open -- let's see, \\
\hline 15 with David McKey's office, a gentleman I don't remember his & 15 today's the 22nd. I'm going to leave the record open for \\
\hline 16 name. & 16 July -- through -- I'm going to give staff -- it's not that \\
\hline 17 And that they noted down, oh yeah, well thats & 17 difficult a question -- I'm going to give staff one week to \\
\hline 18 problem Yeah, we need to deal with this conditional use & 18 respond. \\
\hline 19 permit, amending it to accommodate smaller lot. It was not & 19 I'm going to send them an email today. I'm going to \\
\hline 20 presented, again to the best of my recollection, as a & 20 give staff one week to respond. So that would be the 29th. \\
\hline 21 condition precedent to the subdivision hearing. & 21 And then you all can comment by Friday the 3rd. And \\
\hline 22 It was presented as one of the many other issues, one & 22 then Ms. Freeman typically gets the final say, so she can \\
\hline 23 of the many issues that -- that needs to be addressed for & 23 comment -- Ms. Freeman, do you think you could comment by \\
\hline 24 this -- for this subdivision to be successful. And -- and -- & 24 Tuesday, 7/7? \\
\hline 25 but not a condition precedent. & 25 MS. FREEMAN: Yes. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```
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        HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. So I'm going to -- I'm
    going to send staff an email today saying, what's the story
--
MR. GRIGORIAN: Asking for the minutes?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm sorry?
MR. GRIGORIAN: Asking for the minutes? I don't know
that I'm eligible to request the minutes of that hearing. It
was not -- I was not -- I was able to be a -- to appear at
the hearing just to hear -- watch the hearing and listen.
But I'm -- I was not able to speak. So I don't know
that I am able to, you know, have standing to --
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, what I'm more concerned
about is whether staff is actually saying approve this
subdivision or approve the conditional use first. So what --
whatever was said at the DRC hearing, I want to know right
now, forget the DRC hearing, what their position is as far
as what she needs to do, preliminary plan [inaudible]
MS. FREEMAN: Ma'am --
MR. GRIGORIAN: Thank you everyone. I'm -- I'm going to
drop. Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Yes, Ms. Freeman?
DR. HANSEN: Yes. Would you also -- would that give Ms.
Freeman enough time to make the engineering drawings redone
so that they don't complicate the matter, but instead just
show a clear boundary? Would it be able to do that by the
deadline of July 3rd?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That she may need some time.
Ms. Freeman [inaudible] right now?
MS. FREEMAN: Well, I -- I don't have that software on
my computer. So I would have to get my planners to do that,
I guess.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN:Well, why don't you do this?
Check with your planners since you can do that.
MS. FREEMAN: Mm-hmm.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Get a time frame and email me
with the time frame. And if you need more time, we can leave
it open. But you -- what you need -- you got a pen and
pencil?
MS. FREEMAN: Yes. Mm-hmm.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What you need is the
boundaries of the }7.65\mathrm{ acres.
MS. FREEMAN: Mm-hmm.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You need a line showing what
is [inaudible] dividing line, the -- we'll call it the
conditional -- where you want the conditional use to stop.
MS. FREEMAN: Uh-huh.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: And then you need to show all
existing structures on the property, which you already have.

HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. So I'm going to -- I'm

MR. GRIGORIAN: Asking for the minutes?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: I'm sorry?
MR. GRIGORIAN: Asking for the minutes? I don't know
was not -- I was not -- I was able to be a -- to appear at
the hearing just to hear -- watch the hearing and listen.
But Im -- I was not able to speak. So I don't know
that I am able to, you know, have standing to -
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, what I'm more concerned
共

whatever was said at the DRC hearing, I want to know right
now, forget the DRC hearing, what their position is as far
what she needs to do, preliminary plan [inaudible]
M. FREEMAN. Ma'am --

MR. GRIGORIAN: Thank you everyone. I'm -- I'm going to
drop. Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. Yes, Ms. Freeman?
DR. HANSEN: Yes. Would you also -- would that give Ms.
so that they don't complicate the matter, but instead just
show a clear boundary? Would it be able to do that by the
deadline of July 3rd?
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: That she may need some time.
Ms. Freeman [inaudible] right now?
MS. FREEMAN: Well, I -- I don't have that software on
my computer. So I would have to get my planners to do that,
I guess.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Well, why don't you do this?
Check with your planners since you can do that.

MS. FREEMAN: Mm-hmm.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Get a time frame and email me
with the time frame. And if you need more time, we can leave
it open. But you -- what you need -- you got a pen and
pencil?
MS. FREEMAN: Yes. Mm-hmm.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: What you need is the
boundaries of the 7.65 acres.
MS. FREEMAN: Mm-hmm.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You need a line showing what
is [inaudible] dividing line, the -- we'll call it the
conditional -- where you want the conditional use to stop.
MS. FREEMAN: Uh-huh.
existing structures on the property, which you already have.

And you need to know where the -- show where the compost is.
MS. FREEMAN: Mm-hmm. Yeah. It shouldn't be a problem.
I'm sure they can do that in time. But [inaudible]
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: But just if you need more
time, email me and let me know.
MS. FREEMAN: Okay. That sounds good. Thank you. HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay?
MS. FREEMAN: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: So with that, with that, we are going to adjourn leaving the record open till the 7th,
right now till $7 / 7$.
Staff, I'm going to email staff [inaudible] needs the
conditional use for [inaudible] subdivision [inaudible] to
that from Mr. Grigorian [inaudible] Dr. Hansen by 6/29, from
[inaudible]
I mean, I'm sorry. Let me do this again. I'm going to ask staff for their [inaudible] 6/29. I'm going to ask
Grigorian and Dr. Hansen their comments by $7 / 3$. I'm going to
ask Ms. Freeman for her comments by $7 / 7$. I'malso going to
see if Ms. Freeman can submit a conditional use. And I will
call [inaudible]
MS. FREEMAN: Would you repeat the last -- I heard the
24 -- the conditional use plan, and then I missed a word.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Conditional use plan
[inaudible] subdivision, which is the [inaudible] the trail,
the sand mounds --
MS. FREEMAN: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: If you want to know what you
need, look back [inaudible] approval, your original
conditional use site plan.
MS. FREEMAN: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: You got to show all the
existing structures on the lot.
MS. FREEMAN: Mm-hmm. Sounds good. No problem. HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay? So try to get those this
way.
MS. FREEMAN: Okay. Thank you.
[talking over each other]
DR. HANSEN: This was very helpful. HEARING OFFICER HANNAN: Okay. We're off the record. (Off the record at 1:34:03 p.m.)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 127:16 | 49:24, 72:16, | 35:24, 35:25 | 6:13, 7:5, 11:7, |
| continued | 78:13, 82:24, | counted | 11:9, 11:15, |
| 75:2, 82:15 | 89:9, 92:8, | 41:3 | 42:17, 51:22, |
| continues | 94:19, 104:16, | counties | 58:6, 58:10, |
| 82:1 | 108:8 | 69:25, 70:4 | 102:22, 110:3, |
| contravene | correction | country | 145:14, 145:24 |
| 80:11 | 107:8 | 69:17, 108:15 | cover |
| control | corrections | counts | 38:8 |
| 30:15, 71:17, | 75:21, 115:17, | 91:8 | coverage |
| 104:1, 107:19 | 115:22 | county | $40: 11$ |
| conundrum | correctly | $1: 2,2: 3,2: 6,$ | covered |
| 99:20 | 16:10, 28:22, | 3:2, 9:6, 16:20, | 40:7 |
| convenient | 48:9, 49:24, | 18:22, 24:18, | covers |
| 22:21 | 71:8, 89:21, | 25:22, 35:9, | 15:16, 43:18, |
| conversation | 103:11, 126:1 | 38:11, 38:18, | 44:2, 70:4, |
| 131:25, 134:2, | correlate | 57:20, 57:21, | 100:12 |
| 137:6, 137:8, | 43:20 | 61:18, 61:21, | covid |
| 138:4, 138:5 | corresponds | 69:15, 69:19, | 9:5, 94:17 |
| conversations | 114:4 | 70:13, 73:10, | cox |
| 69:20 | could | 76:14, 80:12, | 10:8 |
| convey | 12:25, 14:3, | 82:16, 84:17, | create |
| 84:11 | 18:3, 18:25, | 86:19, 88:25, | $82: 25, \quad 124: 21$ |
| cooked | 29:25, 31:12, | 89:1, 89:2, | created |
| 79:4 | 34:3, 41:8, | 89:6, 89:11, | 81:4, 88:12, |
| coordination | 44:17, 46:3, | 89:20, 90:1, | 111:25 |
| 72:24 | 48:2, 54:25, | 92:4, 92:23, | creates |
| copies | 64:3, 65:17, | 93:15, 93:22, | 10:23, 111:12 |
| 81:10 | 65:19, 66:7, | 94:2, 94:4, | creating |
| copy | $\begin{array}{ll} 80: 16, & 80: 17, \\ 85: 18, & 92: 2, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 5, \quad 94: 8, \\ & 94: 10, \quad 95: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $61: 23,82: 16,$ |
| $37: 24,46: 25,$ | 108:13, 109:17, | $99: 22, \quad 100: 4,$ | $111: 21,128: 19$ |
| 47:1, 132:4, $133: 13,133: 22$ | $112: 24,112: 25$ | $100: 13, \quad 100: 18$ | \|lrim |
| corner | 116:21, 117:5, | 100:19, 100:23, | criminals |
| 54:16, 81:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 126: 2, ~ 128: 11, \\ & 129: 20, ~ 131: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 104: 5, \quad 107: 22, \\ & 108: 18, \quad 108: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $86: 2$ |
| correct | $\begin{aligned} & 131: 8,131: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $127: 2$ | cross |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23: 6, \quad 24: 5, \\ & 26: 10, \quad 26: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 131: 8, & 131: 21, \\ 132: 4, & 133: 22, \end{array}$ | county's | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 5,4: 6,4: 9, \\ & 11: 24,11: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| 27:11, 28:2, | 135:9, 140:23 | 77:6, 88:6, | $69: 8$ |
| 29:10, 29:16, | couldn't $14: 22, \quad 25: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 11, \quad 93: 10, \\ & 102: 5 \end{aligned}$ | cross-examine |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 29: 17, & 33: 25, \\ 37: 20, & 44: 8, \end{array}$ | $33: 10, \quad 76: 10$ | couple | $39: 4$ |
| 71:22, $71: 24$, | council's | 54:14, 119:3 | $119: 25$ |
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| crosstalk | 19:13, 26:12, | declines | design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:9, 110:4 | 69:13, 120:10, | 99:13, 99:16 | 65:21, 66:1, |
| cu | 121:24 | deducted | 69:12, 69:21 |
| 1:6, 27:17, | days | 29:1, 36:1 | designated |
| 105:7, 125:6 | 7:3, 17:9, | defendant | 61:7, 61:8, |
| culvert | 17:13, 50:1, | 52:16 | 61:16, 64:11, |
| 21:21 | 87:23 | deficits | 65:1, 119:17 |
| current | de | 25:21 | designation |
| 67:4, 112:23 | 119:2, 124:17 | defined | 44:3, 57:4, |
| currently | deadline | 104:4, 107:21 | 61:2, 64:19, |
| 6:19, 40:12, | 17:3, 49:18, | definition | 65:7, 66:5 |
| 48:6, 81:25, | $50: 6,50: 11$, | 21:6, 125:22, | designations |
| 92:15, 98:18, | 142:2 | 125:23, 125:24 | 61:23 |
| 121:16 | deadlines | deforestation | designed |
| cut | 17:6 | $105: 5$ | 71:23 |
| 36:8, 56:20, | deal | deforested | desires |
| 98:3, 111:16, | 67:19, 86:2, | 101:19 | 100:22 |
| 121:12, 127:12 | 93:11, 138:18 | degradation | destruction |
| D | dealing | 101:24 | 14:20, 14:21, |
| damage | 104:13 | deleted | $86: 4, \quad 86: 7$ |
| 95:20, 96:1, | deceased $97: 14$ | $44: 21$ | detail $28: 16, \quad 137: 17$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 102: 2 \\ & \text { damo } \end{aligned}$ | decent | delineated $78: 4$ | determination |
| 98:13 | $54: 6$ | denied | $56: 16$ |
| dangerous | decide | 89:4, 91:12 | determine |
| 91:17, 91:22, | 51:9 | denoting | 12:16 |
| 92:3 | decimate | 113:2 | detrimental |
| dangerously | $95: 15$ | dense | 66:8 |
| $85: 4,85: 22$ | decimated | 32:23 | developed |
| darn | 95:16 | deny | 103:24 |
| 87:22 | decimation | $85: 3$ | developer |
| data | $88: 5$ | department | $135: 13$ |
| 30:25 | decision | 28:23, 35:8, | development |
| date | 6:25, 7:3, | 35:17, 36:2 | 17:24, 18:17, |
| 7:3, 24:11, | 13:14, 13:21, | depending | 19:5, 19:11, |
| 24:24 | 16:19, 18:10, | 78:21 | 72:24, 81:5, |
| dated | 18:12, 18:21, | depends | 107:17, 127:9, |
| $40: 19, \quad 43: 16$ | 18:24, 20:7, | 127:18 | 127:14 |
| dates | 62:13, 70:18, | deprives | devices |
| $80: 4$ | 85:1, 89:6, | 101:23 | 99:2 |
| daughter's | 101:8, 101:19, | derailing | diesel |
| $15: 10$ | 102:5, 109:3, | $19: 1$ | 97:18 |
| david | 126:21, 133:7 | describe | difference |
| 65:23, 138:15 | decisioning | 94:23 | 105:23 |
| day | 62:8 | described | different |
| $17: 2,17: 8 \text {, }$ | decisions | 6:19 | 25:14, 30:3, |
|  | decline | description | $\begin{array}{ll} 31: 7, & 56: 25, \\ 84 \cdot 4 & 112 \cdot 8 \end{array}$ |
|  | \|decline $87: 5$ | 5:2 | 84:4, 113:8, |
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| ```82:24, 104:14, 105:12, 105:22, 106:8 early 115:24 easement 63:19, 74:2, 74:9, 76:24, 77:5, 77:6, 77:20, 77:22, 77:25, 81:15, 81:17, 82:1, 118:2, 118:18 easier 114:1, 114:2, 133:24 easily 120:10, 121:24 east 74:2, 74:24, 75:13, 83:2, 86:8, 90:23 easy 28:11, 35:22 eat 69:12 edge 75:23, 76:4 editing 93:13 effect 14:2, 88:23, 102:10, 109:8, 109:9, 118:20, 125:18, 129:16 effective 9:10, 35:15 effort 8:18, 9:9, 93:16, 97:7 egregious 96:1 eight 28:5, 29:9, 31:13, 38:17, 44:19, 44:23 eight-part 26:2, 28:1``` | ```eighties 91:25 eighty 75:22 either 8:21, 40:14, 44:22, 53:8, 58:13, 58:15, 63:18, 70:19, 70:21, 75:4, 79:23, 83:11, 88:21, 99:13, 120:18, 132:3 el 93:16 el-hibri 95:2 elaborate 18:18, 21:14 elected 101:6 electronically 145:17 elects 126:17 elements 57:3 eleventh 18:5 eligible 141:7 eliminate 112:25 elsabett 47:4, 71:7, 103:12 else 7:21, 8:6, 8:13, 8:23, 10:17, 13:3, 47:25, 55:24, 59:20, 62:18, 110:16, 114:23, 114:24, 117:22, 130:23 email 5:11, 16:12, 19:8, 19:17,``` |  | ```118:4 engineering 141:24 enjoys 108:22 enough 28:19, 28:20, 48:6, 49:15, 50:5, 86:18, 86:22, 97:8, 141:24 ensure 93:14, 93:20 enter 138:2 entered 73:1 entertain 66:9 entire 21:9, 38:12, 38:14, 44:2, 74:10, 93:19, 96:15, 100:13, 114:3 entirety 93:6 entities 60:8, 87:11, 109:2 entitled 39:24, 43:14 environment 101:24, 103:23 environmental 16:5, 103:19, 103:20, 103:21, 107:13, 107:14, 107:16 epa 92:1 equestrian 1:5, 6:16, 103:22, 107:15 equine 36:6, 121:8 equine-assisted 98:2``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| exists | 103:22, 107:15 | 28:10, 69:18, | 139:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99:5, 108:9 | fact | 95:3, 97:9 | fields |
| expect | 15:11, 18:6, | fashioned | 30:23, 30:25, |
| 65:25, 140:11 | 19:11, 19:13, | 33:2 | 37:15, 41:2, |
| expected | 26:9, 28:9, | feed | 41:13, 42:5, |
| 14:3, 16:5 | 54:7, 56:12, | 35:10 | 81:23 |
| expense | 60:4, 61:24, | feel | files |
| 95:8 | 62:7, 63:6, | 51:5, 63:24, | 33:2, 45:25, |
| expensive | 63:17, 65:13, | 118:25 | 90:9 |
| 81:1, 86:14 | 74:8, 76:3, | feeling | filled |
| expert | 77:1, 99:5, | 94:14 | 100:9 |
| 93:23 | 112:23, 115:2, | feet | filter |
| experts | 116:15, 123:11, | 21:21, 74:4, | 91:17, 92:6 |
| 95:7 | 134:15, 134:16, | 75:23, 75:25, | filtration |
| explain | 139:2 | $76: 2,76: 3$, | 101:4, 105:13 |
| 11:20, 48:4, | facto | 76:4, 78:21, | final |
| $131: 6$ | 119:2, 124:17 | 79:23, 79:24, | 16:19, 140:22 |
| explained | factors | $80: 1, \quad 81: 15$ | finally |
| 9:2, 63:16, | 98:10 | fence | 98:15 |
| 116:13 | facts | 80:21, 80:22, | finance |
| explicit | 60:2, 60:4, | 80:25, 120:2, | 84:12 |
| 18:15 | 60:13 | 120:11, 120:13, | financial |
| explicitly | factual | 120:19, 121:13, | 145:20, 146:21 |
| $101: 19$ | 110:5 | 121:23, 121:25, | find |
| extended | fading | 122:1, 122:15 | 9:9, 27:4, |
| 79:3, 79:20, | 128:25 | fencing | $31: 23,39: 11$, |
| 80:2, 80:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fail } \\ & 17: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $80: 17, \quad 80: 25$ | $39: 12,39: 19,$ |
| $97: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:15 } \\ & \text { failure } \end{aligned}$ | ferrying | $39: 21,41: 2,$ |
| extending | failure | $86: 14$ | 42:13, 45:8, |
| 82:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 13,64: 16 \\ & \text { faint } \end{aligned}$ | few | $45: 24, ~ 46: 25, ~$ $51: 22,52: 5$, |
| extends | faint | 16:24, 20:25 | 51:22, 52:5, |
| 97:20 | 126:20 | field | 72:22, 74:8, |
| extension | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fair } \\ & 58: 18 . \quad 86: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 17, \quad 30: 8, \\ & 31: 3, \quad 34: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 75: 24, & 81: 24, \\ 91: 10, & 97: 8, \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 74: 22,79: 18 \\ & \text { extensive } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 58: 18, \quad 86: 6, \\ & 134: 22 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 31:3, 34:15, $36: 22,40: 4,$ | $113: 12,132: 4$ |
| 131:25 | faith | 40:5, 40:23, | finding |
| extent | 68:21 | 41:5, 41:22, | $16: 11, \quad 30: 6,$ |
| 14:2 | false | $\begin{array}{ll} 43: 21, & 43: 22, \\ 43: 24, & 44: 1, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:25 } \\ & \text { findinas } \end{aligned}$ |
| extra | $81: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 24, \quad 44: 1, \\ & 54: 2,54: 10, \end{aligned}$ | findings |
| 79:25 | $\text { \|lamiliar } \begin{aligned} & \text { fa:6, 69:9 } \end{aligned}$ | $54: 14, \quad 54: 21,$ | 16:15, 101:16, |
| extraneous $139: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 6,69: 9 \\ & \text { far } \end{aligned}$ | $55: 19, \quad 55: 20,$ | finds |
| 139:1 extremely | 22:16, 52:6, | 97:17, 120:17, | 51:19 |
| 68:25 | 64:15, 81:23, | 120:24, 120:25, | fine |
| exwell | 97:20, 97:22, | $\begin{array}{ll} 121: 2, & 121: 3, \\ 121 \cdot 6 & 121: 7 \end{array}$ | 9:22, 68:17, |
| 65:8 | 121:20, 123:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 121: 6, \quad 121: 7, \\ & 121: 10, \quad 121: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 123: 9, \quad 137: 12, \\ & 138: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| F | farm | 121:14, 139:2, | fined |
| facility 1:5, 6:17, | 15:13, 15:24, |  | 88:7 |
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| finish | 91:4, 101:2, | 57:13, 59:19, | 20:11, 22:9, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83:18, 83:24, | 105:12 | 61:4, 63:16, | 42:21, 46:23, |
| 136:18 | focused | 63:20, 64:10, | 89:5, 95:22, |
| fired | 84:3, 110:22, | 64:11, 64:12, | 106:7, 134:5 |
| 94:3 | 111:1 | 65:10, 65:11, | forwarded |
| first | folded | 65:14, 71:12, | 38:18, 39:20 |
| 12:3, 16:25, | 88:18 | 79:12, 80:2, | found |
| 18:5, 18:10, | folks | 91:3, 92:10, | 25:21, 38:16, |
| 18:18, 18:23, | 33:4, 50:15, | 92:13, 92:14, | 39:22, 39:23, |
| 19:6, 23:16, | 100:1, 105:22, | 92:15, 92:19, | 103:21, 107:15 |
| 24:11, 31:5, | 106:3, 122:12, | 92:22, 101:14, | four |
| 38:20, 41:15, | 125:3 | 101:17, 104:3, | 14:6, 15:16, |
| 44:20, 46:9, | follow | 105:13, 106:1, | 19:16, 19:18, |
| 46:12, 48:14, | 7:7, 9:7, 35:7, | 106:10, 107:21, | 21:7, 21:17, |
| 56:4, 65:21, | 68:6, 83:19, | 108:11, 109:19, | 33:22, 35:3, |
| 68:14, 68:18, | 84:21 | 110:15, 110:22, | 37:12, 54:5, |
| 68:22, 68:25, | following | 111:14, 113:3, | 54:6, 54:14, |
| 69:16, 74:1, | 127: 6 | 117:7, 118:18 | 65:9, 78:11, |
| 74:10, 76:23, | food | forested | 81:19, 82:20, |
| 77:24, 89:18, | 90:22 | 71:4, 95:12, | 82:21, 84:24, |
| 120:9, 127:15, | foot | 108:13 | 93:7, 93:8, |
| 130:23, 133:17, | 74:2, 74:15, | foresters | 94:21, 95:4, |
| 141:15 | 74:18, 74:22, | 88:12 | 100:3, 104:9, |
| five | 76:7, 76:24, | forestry | 104:14, 109:2, |
| 33:22, 35:4, | 77:25, 78:3, | 88:11, 92:23, | 113:23, 115:2, |
| 54:14, 65:9, | 78:15, 78:23, | 93:11, 93:12, | 123:25, 124:7 |
| 87:3, 102:18 | 79:20, 80:10, | 93:15, 94:4 | four-acre |
| five-minute | 80:17, 80:25, | forests | 52:17, 108:1 |
| 102:14 | 81:15, 81:16, | 90:4, 93:19, | fours |
| fives | 87:14, 90:15, | 93:21, 93:25, | 29:8, 47:20 |
| 28:6, 29:8, | 90:17, 90:25, | 95:5, 101:5, | fourth |
| $31: 16,47: 21$ | 91:12, 95:14 | 105:4 | 10:11 |
| fix | footage | forget | fragile |
| 74:11 | 35:6 | 141:17 | 91:14 |
| fixed | footings | forgot | frame |
| 75:8, 75:9, | 98:23 | 102:25 | 142:12, 142:13 |
| 94:23 | forage | form | free |
| flabbergasted | 28:13, 28:19, | 67:4, 111:9 | 21:19, 85:17 |
| 94:23 | 28:20, 29:2 | formal | free-flowing |
| flawed | forced | 53:1, 53:2 | 138:5 |
| 95:11 | 87:9 | formalities | freed |
| flexibility | foreclosed | 11:23 | 80:5 |
| 126:16 | 102:1 | formally | freeman's |
| flock | foregoing | 94:12, 132:5 | 49:1, 74:7, |
| 15:9 | 145:16, 146:15 | formation | 74:8, 88:1, |
| floor | forest | 77:8 | 92:7, 101:9, |
| 71:12 | 14:20, 24:19, | forward | 102:12, 116:5, |
| flyways | 57:4, 57:8, | 17:2, 19:7, | 125:2 |
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| 10:19, 15:13, | gregory | handshake | health |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18:16, 36:20, | 3:16, 4:8 | 80:6 | 15:21, 16:5 |
| 40:22, 46:20, | grigorian's | hang | healthy |
| 55:12, 55:13, | 17:21, 19:8, | 42:13, 42:16, | 28:15 |
| 55:25, 59:7, | 134:16 | 45:4, 45:21 | hear |
| 66:1, 68:18, | grigorians | hannan's | 12:25, 13:5, |
| 68:21, 75:7, | 80:20 | 135:4 | 48:17, 50:18, |
| 92:7, 94:7, | grow | hansen's | 58:11, 58:13, |
| 97:15, 143:6, | 54:18 | 17:4, 17:8, | 58:15, 59:1, |
| 144:10 | growing | 17:21, 18:20, | 59:2, 60:15, |
| gorleski | 54:19 | 20:3, 34:19, | 68:14, 68:17, |
| 36:14, 43:17 | guess | 56:3, 60:15, | 77:13, 106:20, |
| gotten | $8: 14, \quad 10: 11,$ | 60:16, 83:16, | 110:4, 128:6, |
| 47:18 | $10: 12, \quad 20: 23,$ | 84:6, 116:16, | 128:7, 136:10, |
| governing | $54: 1,64: 9,$ | $139: 3$ | 136:12, 141:10 |
| 120:3 | 113:14, 116:18, | happen | heard |
| government | 116:20, 123:1, | $19: 13,68: 23,$ | $20: 4, \quad 23: 3,$ |
| 69:24, 96:17, | 133:1, 137:15, | 73:17, 94:6, | $69: 20, \quad 95: 24,$ |
| 109:3 | 138:7, 142:7 | 110:5, 126:10 | 131:15, 133:8, |
| grabs | guidelines | happened | 143:23 |
| 40:13 | 103:23, 107:16 | 9:4, 64:10, | hearings |
| grade | guys | 71:5, 72:16, | 1:1, 2:4, 3:3, |
| 99:11 | 91:25 | 88:15, 98:4, | 36:4 |
| grading | H | 104:20, 111:9, | hearsay |
| 71:19, 103:25, | habitat | $123: 3$ | $137: 21,139: 24$ |
| $\text { \|los:9, 107:18, } \begin{aligned} & 108: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $14: 21,90: 3,$ | happening | heartache $20: 9$ |
| \| 108:1 | $90: 18, \quad 91: 2,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 17, \quad 60: 17, \\ & 106: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { \| } 20: 9$ |
| granted <br> 65:12 | 91:3, 92:6, | 106:13 <br> happens | heavy $87: 22$ |
| 65:12 grass | 92:9, 92:13, | happens 108:15, 112:8, | hedgerow |
| $54: 17, \quad 54: 18$ | 95:15 <br> habitats | $113: 7,125: 14$ | 90:3, 90:18, |
| great | 101:5 | happily | 91:2, 92:6, |
| 15:25, 45:9, | half | 49:24 | 92:9, 92:12, |
| $55: 5,93: 11,$ | $36: 25, \quad 37: 1$ | happy | $95: 15,101: 5$ |
| greater | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 20, \quad 56: 21, \\ & 75: 7, \quad 75: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 3, ~ 20: 12, \\ & 28: 7, ~ 41: 9, \end{aligned}$ | held $2: 1,38: 15$ |
| 137:17 | $76: 11,120: 10,$ | 116:11 | hello |
| greatly | $121: 24$ | hard | $6: 3,6: 12,$ |
| 95:11, 134:9 green | hallmark | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 8, \quad 24: 10, \\ & 37: 24, \quad 42: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 7: 23, & 105: 15, \\ 133: 4, & 136: 9 \end{array}\right.$ |
| green $40: 22$ | $62: 3$ <br> hand | $51: 1$ | help |
| greg | $12: 9,42: 24,$ | hartford | 7:6, 33:6, |
| 8:8, 43:12, | $61: 22,83: 7$ | $69: 2$ | $37: 3,38: 1,$ |
| $44: 1,44: 17,$ | $106: 16, \quad 123: 16$ | harvard <br> $60 \cdot 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 14, \quad 93: 14, \\ & 112: 16, \quad 116: 15 . \end{aligned}$ |
| $45: 9, \quad 80: 23,$ <br> 109:17 110.5 | handed | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 69:11 } \\ \text { hazardous } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112: 16, \quad 116: 15, \\ & 134: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 109: 17, \quad 110: 5, \\ & 112: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $87: 23$ | hazardous $92: 4$ | 134:8 <br> helpful |
| gregorian | 76:5 | head | 136:3, 144:16 |
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| hence | highlight | horses | hunters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36:9 | 96:10 | 12:23, 15:9, | 86:1 |
| here | highlighted | 22:22, 28:11, | hunting |
| 7:6, 7:15, | 108:5 | 28:21, 48:7, | 85:8 |
| 9:16, 15:19, | highly | 54:3, 55:3, | hurt |
| 16:1, 17:12, | 67:14 | 98:1, 119:19, | 126:15 |
| 26:23, 26:24, | hire | 120:9, 120:15, | hypothetical |
| 26:25, 32:5, | 93:23, 95:7 | 120:20, 121:15, | 21:13, 22:2, |
| 32:6, 34:12, | hired | 121:21, 122:3, | 22:16, 56:24, |
| 37:9, 38:3, | 88:5 | 124:2, 132:17 | 61:5, 62:24, |
| 39:3, 42:1, | historic | host | 63:2, 66:4, |
| 43:13, 45:1, | 79:14, 89:19, | 13:5 | 119:21, 120:1, |
| 48:14, 52:20, | 90:4, 90:6, | hour | 120:4, 124:7 |
| 56:6, 57:15, | 93:14 | 18:5 | hypothetically |
| 58:22, 60:20, | hit | hour-a-day | 135:13 |
| 66:16, 67:8, | 97:6 | 95:3 | I |
| 69:24, 70:11, | hmm | hours | id |
| $\begin{aligned} & 84: 15, \quad 86: 25, \\ & 90: 9, \quad 92: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $54: 10$ | $121: 24$ | $9: 13$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 90: 9, & 92: 12, \\ 94: 7, & 94: 21, \end{array}$ | hobbyists | house | idea |
| $100: 20,102: 25 \text {, }$ | 86:1 | 30:1, 30:2, | 22:7, 30:16, |
| $103: 5,104: 21,$ | hold $31.23,33.1$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 65: 2, & 65: 7, \\ 74: 8, & 74: 10 \end{array}$ | 69:19, 79:4, |
| 108:15, 108:21, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 31: 23, \quad 33: 1, \\ & 57: 7, \quad 57: 12, \end{aligned}\right.$ | $74: 8,74: 10$, $74: 17,74: 18$, | $\begin{aligned} & 112: 23,119: 6 \\ & 122: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 109:3, 109:17, | $114: 13$ | 74:20, 74:22, | identified |
| $113: 7,114: 8$, $114: 13,114: 19$, | holding | 74:24, 75:7, | 99:2 |
| $114: 13,114: 19$, $115: 22, ~ 123: 16$, | 92:21 | 75:14, 75:24, | identify |
| $123: 23,126: 21,$ | holds | $75: 25,76: 1,$ $76: 4, \quad 76: 6,$ | 7:13, 72:2 |
| 128:20 | 93:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 4, \quad 76: 6, \\ & 81: 14, \quad 82: 25 \end{aligned}$ | idly |
| here's | homes $78: 12$ | $97: 8, \quad 98: 12,$ | $70: 17$ |
| 32:4 | honest | 98:16 | $63: 6$ |
| hereby $145: 16,146: 15$ | 34:11 | houses <br> $75 \cdot 3,75 \cdot 13$ | ignores |
| herself | honestly | $75: 16, \quad 80: 1$ | 131:11 |
| 97:25, 106:24 | 120:15 <br> hop | hover | il |
| hibri | $86: 25$ | $11: 2$ | 86:15 <br> illegal |
| 93:18 | hope | however | $85: 8, \quad 85: 9,$ |
| high $15: 20,28: 1$, | $20: 11,28: 19,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 21, \quad 56: 20, \\ & 57: 5.90: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $85: 15, \quad 85: 25$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15: 20, ~ 28: 1, \\ & 29: 7,29: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $94: 16, \quad 94: 17$ |  | 86:15, 88:4 |
| $29: 11,36: 12$ | 97:6 | $80: 25$ | illegally |
| 47:19, 55:7, | hoping | huge | 95:17 |
| 96:25, 98:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 13, ~ 126: 13, \\ & 126: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $86: 13,88: 4$ | imagine |
| 98:19 | horse | 90:15, 97:7 | $79: 6$ |
| higher | $28: 15,35: 10,$ | hughes | immediately $30: 1, \quad 30: 2,$ |
| 100:19, 102:9 <br> highest | 102:11, 120:4 | $95: 20$ hundred | $76: 5,86: 13,$ |
| 98:6 | $108: 24$ | 92:5, 95:18 | 115:10, 115:12, |
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| 132:2, 137:3 | inaccurate | independent | insurance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| impact | 73:24, 76:9, | 34:8, 95:7, | 84:12, 84:13 |
| 15:23, 57:22, | 92:8, 104:13, | 116:20, 119:12 | intend |
| 59:23, 59:25, | 106:10, 109:11, | indicated | 101:18, 102:7, |
| 60:12, 61:25, | 115:14 | 44:9, 47:18, | 126:8 |
| 66:8, 96:14, | inaccurately | 71:10, 83:21 | intended |
| 96:16, 101:10, | 106:1 | individual | 16:16, 69:7, |
| 101:11, 101:22, | inadvertently | 100:15, 100:18 | 91:14 |
| 108:17, 108:18, | 101:18 | individuals | intensity |
| 119:8 | inappropriate | 60:8 | 14:1 |
| impacts | 96:13, 132:15, | inevitable | intention |
| 63:3, 130:9 | 135:1 | 111:24 | 24:7 |
| impermissible | inch | informal | intentionally |
| 131:18 | 26:20, 26:22 | 11:22, 76:22 | 106:23 |
| implemented | inch-thick | information | interest |
| 129:9, 129:12, | 38:14 | 9:12, 31:3, | 90:17, 145:19, |
| 129:17 | inches | 69:22, 103:16, | 146:21 |
| implication | 90:8 | 107:10, 139:19, | interested |
| 124:21, 126:20 | inclined | 146:19 | 60:7 |
| important | 86:4 | initial | interests |
| 32:22, 64:1, | include | 102:2, 127:8 | 91:1, 91:13 |
| 94:10 | 60:4 | initially | interject |
| importantly | included | 110:13 | 38:3 |
| 96:15 | 15:14, 45:23, | initiated | interjecting |
| imposed | 103:16 | 7:3 | 68:12 |
| 112:7 | includes | innumerable | intermediate |
| impossibility | 82:16 | 106:8 | 92:10, 92:14 |
| 60:11 | including | inordinately | interrupt |
| impression | 14:9, 15:2, | 85:4, 85:22 | 7:8, 21:23, |
| 81:4 | 54:17, 61:6, | inside | $38: 25$ |
| imprimatur | 61:10, 65:11, | 74:9, 79:14, | interruption |
| 25:23 | 96:19, 105:4, | 81:16 | 93:1 |
| improved | 108:23, 130:10 | insiders | intimately |
| 89:10, 119:2 | incompatible | 86:19 | 70:6 |
| improvement | 98:25 | inspector | introduced |
| 125:20 | inconsistency | 10:8 | 69:15 |
| improvements | 89:16 | install | intrudes |
| 61:9, 69:4, | inconsistent | 86:11 | 21:20 |
| 130:1 | 89:10, 89:13, | instances | invalidate |
| inaccuracies | 90:18, 91:1, | 55:15, 64:10 | 124:10 |
| 71:24, 72:3, | 91:13 | instead | invalidated |
| 72:15, 72:21, | incorrect | 31:15, 34:6, | 124:8 |
| 73:20, 73:22, | 22:15, 81:4, | 40:6, 47:20, | investment |
| 94:13, 94:22, | 81:12, 116:5, | 47:22, 64:11, | 56:17, 67:6 |
| 106:6, 107:6, | 116:6, 132:6 | 113:2, 141:25 | invisible |
| 108:4 | incorrectly | institute | 69:4, 120:5 |
| inaccuracy | 7:25, 75:17 | 69:2 | invited |
| 82:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { indeed } \\ & 132: 5 \end{aligned}$ | instructions 9:8 | 52:4, 95:25 |
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| involved | jenny's | 53:11, 62:25, | largest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70:6, 70:7, | 13:7, 44:11, | 79:9, 83:17, | 92:19, 92:22 |
| 100:5, 109:3, | 61:12, 65:18, | 88:15 | last |
| 135:2 | 65:23, 75:24, | keepers | 8:3, 14:6, |
| issuance | 84:5 | 28:11, 35:22 | 19:8, 24:8, |
| 129:19 | jeopardy | kept | 48:18, 68:15, |
| issue | 18:25 | 51:7 | 70:23, 80:24, |
| 17:17, 17:20, | job | kids | 85:13, 96:9, |
| 18:4, 52:20, | 1:23, 139:8 | 75:12 | 103:11, 105:23, |
| 64:24, 66:2, | johnson | kind | 143:23 |
| 67:7, 86:23, | 3:21, 8:19, | 34:19, 42:13, | late |
| 87:18, 87:19, | 9:4, 25:20, | 44:6, 56:24, | 17:15, 51:6 |
| 90:24, 96:5, | 39:21, 46:4, | 127:25, 128:1, | later |
| 109:17, 112:16, | 47:10, 49:6, | 134:9 | 20:7, 32:21 |
| 123:16, 139:1 | 49:17, 51:20, | kinds | latest |
| issued | 52:4, 73:1 | 91:18 | 16:21 |
| 66:15 | johnson's | knew | law |
| issues | 49:22 | 68:24 | 70:13, 70:14, |
| 14:22, 60:22, | join | knock | 92:24, 93:11, |
| 64:22, 115:5, | 8:15, 8:16, | 121:25 | 93:12, 94:6, |
| 124:23, 138:22, | 9:12 | knowing | 101:17, 104:4, |
| 138:23 | joyriders | 99:5 | 107:21, 108:12, |
| item | 86:1 | knowledge | 131:18 |
| 96:9 <br> items | $\begin{aligned} & \text { joyriding } \\ & 85: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 71:11 | laws <br> 105:1 <br> lawyer |
|  |  | L |  |
| 109:1, 112:2 | ju | lack |  |
| J | 102:1 | 15:21 | 17:5, 83:25, |
| january | july $140 \cdot 16,142.2$ | lady | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:18, 137:11 } \\ & \text { lawvers } \end{aligned}$ |
| 15:14, 17:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 140: 16, \quad 142: 2 \\ & \text { jumping } \end{aligned}$ | $80: 6$ | lawyers $87: 23$ |
| $52: 18, \quad 53: 2,$ | jumping $62: 5$ | laid | $\begin{array}{\|l} 87: 23 \\ \text { lay } \end{array}$ |
| 72:23, 73:6, | 62:5 <br> juncture | 89:3, 91:11 | $85: 2, \quad 87: 5$ |
| 75:21, 76:20, | juncture | land | laying |
| 78:8, 79:10, | 21:8 | 6:18, 15:25, | $73: 15$ |
| 81:18, 82:24, | June $1: 13,17: 4$, | 35:15, 69:17, | layout |
| 87:2, 89:8, | $\begin{array}{lll}1: 13, & 17: 4, \\ 38: 16, & 39: 20,\end{array}$ | 69:18, 82:8, | $67: 6, \quad 67: 7$ |
| 94:25, 107:6, | $38: 16,39: 20$, | 84:17, 87:15, | lead |
| 125:8, 132:2 jennifer | $41: 25,42: 1$, $43: 8, ~ 43: 16$, | 87:18, 104:21, | $91: 23, \quad 92: 1$ |
| 3:6, 4:4, 4:7, | 44:11, $44: 16$, | $109: 13, ~ 109: 14$ landowner | $93: 23, ~ 108: 16 ~$ |
| 6:15, 7:14, | 47:3, 49:4 | 22:21 | leaded |
| 7:18, 38:6, | jurisdiction | lands | 97:19 |
| 125:9 | 70:5, 100:8 | 86:19 | leakage |
| jenny | jurisdictionally | lane | 98:9 |
| 38:15, 42:3, | 70:9 | 13:3, 79:5, | leaking |
| 45:20, 49:11, | jurisdictions | $85: 20, \quad 85: 24,$ | 63:25, 97:17, |
| 58:18, 68:24, | 70:15 | 88:3, 98:8 | 97:19, 98:11, |
| 127:11 | K | large | 99:12 |
|  | keep $42: 13,48: 6,$ | 69:25 | learned $95: 6$ |
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| least | 32:5, 34:17, | list | logical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33:20, 51:23, | 34:18, 34:20, | 25:3, 26:19, | 131:9 |
| 70:24, 78:11, | 39:5, 39:9, | 27:15, 27:19, | logically |
| 97:18, 119:12 | 39:10, 59:12, | 27:22, 31:21, | 82:2 |
| leave | 68:8, 83:18, | 32:6, 38:4, | long |
| 33:13, 40:12, | 84:20, 89:8, | 45:8, 57:12 | 104:8, 107:25, |
| $50: 10,50: 20$, | 90:16, 106:24, | listed | 140:11 |
| 50:22, 118:17, | 124:5, 137:24, | 44:12, 80:10 | longer |
| 131:3, 131:7, | 140:14 | listen | 71:21, 94:4 |
| 131:12, 134:1, | level | 6:24, 141:10 | longstanding |
| 135:17, 135:23, | 28:22, 100:19, | literally | 104:21 |
| 139:18, 139:24, | 102:9, 129:11 | 69:14 | look |
| 140:6, 140:14, | levels | little | 26:19, 27:14, |
| 140:15, 142:13 | 89:6, 127:2 | 11:21, 51:1, | 28:16, 29:24, |
| leaves | library | 59:5, 68:22, | 29:25, 34:3, |
| 78:2, 105:7, | 98:2 | 93:19, 133:23 | $37: 22, \quad 40: 1$ |
| 126:19 | life | lived | 40:10, 41:25, |
| leaving | 15:22, 28:15, | 108:21 | 47:19, 54:24, |
| 70:19, 70:21, | $98: 3$ | lives | 58:2, 59:15, |
| 143:10 | likelihood | 57:25 | 87:21, 90:10, |
| lee | 66:1 | livestock | 90:16, 95:25, |
| 2:15, 145:15, | likely | 121:8 | 116:16, 118:7, |
| 145:24 | 48:3, 77:22, | living | 123:22, 124:2, |
| left | 87:12, 98:24, | 75:4, 75:5, | 139:9, 139:13, |
| 13:11, 51:3, | 104:7, 107:24 | 76:3 | 139:14, 144:5 |
| 65:4, 75:3, | limit | load | looking |
| 97:4, 101:8, | 112:14 | 14:20 | 23:20, 26:1, |
| 121:14, 121:15, | limited | loam | $26: 7,26: 8,$ |
| 123:25 | 56:11, 58:3 | $44: 6$ | $26: 24,28: 4$ |
| legal | limits | lobbied | $30: 20, \quad 31: 17,$ |
| $17: 8, \quad 17: 9,$ | 131:8 | 36:8 | $32: 23, \quad 33: 2,$ |
| 17:19, 77:21, | line | local | $33: 16,33: 17$, |
| 87:9, 88:10, | 11:6, 61:2, | 91:5, 105:12 | 34:4, 36:25, |
| 110:5, 126:21, | 61:17, 94:17, | located | 37:5, 37:7, |
| 126:24 | 95:13, 113:1, | 76:2, 76:3 | 37:10, 40:15, |
| legality | 114:3, 116:5, | location | 42:5, 42:8, |
| 132:17 | 117:20, 119:1, | 29:20, 118:7, | 43:9, 43:11, |
| legally | 119:14, 119:19, | 118:11 | 43:13, 43:14, |
| 99:9, 112:10, | 120:1, 120:5, | locations | 45:12, 45:24, |
| 124:23, 130:15 | 122:8, 122:9, | 81:5 | 46:11, 46:21, |
| legislation | 122:13, 123:13, | lock | 54:22, 55:10, |
| 90:20 | 142:20, 142:21 | 86:8 | 56:19, 59:20, |
| leslie | lines | log | $67: 6,73: 24,$ |
| $96: 4$ | $14: 19,114: 4$ | $25: 24, \quad 95: 9$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 100: 14, & 100: 23, \\ 113: 16 . & 116: 17 . \end{array}$ |
| less | $\text { 118: } 4$ | logged | 113:16, 116:17, |
| 65:13, 124:7 | link | $25: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120: 23 \\ & \text { looks } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { let's } \\ & \text { 9:19, 25:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 1, \quad 9: 8, \quad 9: 11, \\ & 9: 15, \quad 38: 14 \end{aligned}$ | logic | $32: 14,34: 6$ |
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| lop | 135:6, 135:9, | 86:20, 87:4, | manure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114:18, 114:19 | 138:19, 144:9 | 89:4, 90:23, | 14:20 |
| lose | lots | 91:3, 91:11, | many |
| 75:4 | 15:15, 28:24, | 96:12, 97:12, | 10:13, 42:12, |
| loses | $35: 5,35: 22$, | 101:2, 101:11, | 43:13, 46:11, |
| 126:15, 126:16, | 36:1, 38:8, | 101:22, 103:10, | 57:1, 81:5, |
| 126:17 | 56:25, 61:11, | 105:24, 123:17, | 88:15, 89:6, |
| lost | 93:5, 125:11, | 130:7 | 91:6, 98:1, |
| 75:13 | 135:10, 135:12 | make | 98:2, 98:10, |
| lot | lovely | 12:11, 16:21, | 99:6, 106:6, |
| 8:17, 9:8, | 56:19 | 18:9, 18:12, | 122:7, 122:23, |
| 9:25, 15:16, | low | 21:11, 30:10, | 129:11, 134:4, |
| 19:16, 19:18, | 28:19, 28:20, | $35: 1,43: 1$, | 136:19, 138:22, |
| 19:19, 19:25, | 41:16, 41:17 | 50:13, 53:10, | 138:23 |
| 20:2, 21:6, | lower | 70:17, 83:25, | map |
| 21:7, 21:8, | 100:7 | 85:1, 93:3, | 5:11, 28:16, |
| 21:9, 21:10, | lush | 96:7, 103:4, | 29:25, 32:20, |
| 21:16, 21:21, | 54:11 | 109:3, 110:25, | 32:21, 32:23, |
| 21:24, 22:1, | lynn | 112:21, 116:13, | 39:24, 39:25, |
| 22:16, 22:18, | 1:11, 3:3, 6:22 | 122:14, 122:24, | 40:10, 40:19, |
| 28:9, 28:18, | M | $123: 2,123: 7$ | 43:19, 43:21, |
| 30:9, 34:15, | ma 'am | 125:15, 126:2, | 43:23, 56:18, |
| $34: 23,47: 21$, | $12: 7,48: 13,$ | 127:24, 132:9, | 56:23, 61:3, |
| 54:24, 58:1, | $79: 21,102: 15,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 133: 7, \quad 133: 23, \\ & 141: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 65:1, 65:6, |
| $\begin{array}{ll}60: 16, & 60: 17, \\ 60: 20, & 61: 5,\end{array}$ | $131: 20,141: 19$ | 141:24 <br> makes | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 22, \quad 71: 24, \\ & 72: 8, \quad 72: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| $61: 12,63: 1$ | made ${ }^{\text {a }}$, 36.11 , | 78:11, 111:23 | $72: 12,72: 14,$ |
| 64:19, 65:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 13, \quad 36: 11, \\ & 52: 5, \quad 54: 22, \end{aligned}$ | making | $72: 16,72: 17$, |
| 65:12, 65:14, | $56: 17,59: 16 \text {, }$ | 62:13, 70:6, | $72: 18,72: 21$, |
| 65:17, 65:19, | $62: 22,64: 8,$ | 70:7, 71:10, | 73:9, 73:23, |
| 66:4, 69:4, | $\begin{array}{ll} 62: 22, & 64: 8, \\ 75: 20, & 134: 13 \end{array}$ | 71:21, 73:13, | 74:14, 74:23, |
| 69:5, 72:11, | mail | 82:5, 89:6, | 75:2, 75:14, |
| 85:8, 85:15, |  | 107: 6 | 76:1, 76:12, |
| 87:23, 93:5, |  | man | $76: 23,76: 25$, |
| 99:8, 111:1, | $89 \cdot 13.89 \cdot 15$ | 93:16 | $78: 1,79: 15$, |
| 112:6, 112:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 89: 13, \quad 89: 15, \\ & 90: 11 \end{aligned}$ | managed | 79:18, 81:2, |
| 112:21, 112:25, | maintain | 16:10, 93:20 | 81:3, 81:7, |
| 113:24, 113:25, | maintain $15: 12, \quad 28: 15$ | management | 81:24, 82:1, |
| 114:4, 115:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 12, \quad 28: 15, \\ & 28: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $5: 7,5: 8,15: 3,$ | 83:6, 86:16, |
| 117:20, 118:3, |  | $15: 4, \quad 15: 13,$ | 88:11, 89:9, |
| 119:17, 119:20, |  | 15:14, 24:17, | 92:12, 94:13, |
| 119:21, 119:24, | $\begin{array}{lr} 52: 4, & 56: 17, \\ 59: 23 . & 59: 25 \end{array}$ | $24: 25,25: 6,$ | 94:19, 95:8, |
| 119:25, 120:1, | $59: 23,59: 25,$ | $26: 3,26: 11,$ | 95:14, 104:13, |
| 120:4, 120:24, | $\begin{array}{ll} 63: 4, & 65: 16, \\ 70: 11 . & 70: 18 \end{array}$ | $27: 6, \quad 31: 4$ | 104:16, 107:7, |
| 122:7, 123:12, | 70:11, 70:18, | 81:6, 82:9, 85:6 | 108:5, 108:10, |
| 124:6, 124:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 1, \quad 73: 7, \\ & 73: 15, \quad 75: 12 \end{aligned}$ | managing | 109:11, 110:14, |
| $130: 10,132: 18$ | 73:15, 75:12, $75: 15,85: 2$ | $15: 24$ | 110:21, 110:24, |
| 132:23, 133:13, | 75:15, 85:2, | manner | 115:18, 116:13, |
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| 116:17, 116:21, | 110:6, 128:8, | meeting | migratory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116:23, 116:25, | 128:9, 141:25 | 9:12, 9:13, | 14:21, 69:7, |
| 117:3 | matters | 9:14, 9:17, | 90:22, 91:4 |
| maps | 119:5 | 9:18, 17:25, | mile |
| 54:4, 72:3, | mature | 20:24, 23:10, | 90:2 |
| 86:20, 88:11, | 92:9, 92:13, | 23:12, 23:13, | mind |
| 88:12, 93:21, | 93:12, 105:2 | 39:9, 127:14, | 59:11, 63:1, |
| 108:11 | max | 133:1, 133:17, | 133:6 |
| mark | 48:2 | 134:20, 136:2, | minds |
| 118:10, 118:15 | maybe | 136:13, 137:2 | 79:7 |
| marketable | 9:20, 25:9, | meetings | mine |
| 65:19 | 31:18, 41:8, | 139:23 | 109:8 |
| marketing | 54:1, 54:14, | meets | minimal |
| 126:16 | 58:10, 63:14, | 123:3 | 28:13 |
| markets | 80:23, 132:7, | members | minor |
| 85:12 | 136:14 | 88:16, 94:2, | 6:16, 12:17, |
| marry | mckee | 127:1 | 12:18, 13:14, |
| 119:24 | 65:23 | mention | 13:15, 13:21, |
| maryland | mckey's | 21:2, 86:6, | 13:22, 13:25, |
| 1:2, 1:12, 2:5, | 138:15 | 107:3, 132:3 | 14:23, 19:18, |
| 2:16, 3:8, 3:13, | md | mentioned | 56:17, 63:4, |
| 3:18, 5:10, | 2:8 | 84:24, 91:19, | 65:16, 70:11, |
| 6:17, 7:20, | mean | 91:20, 127:8 | 70:18, 73:7, |
| 8:10, 30:21, | 24:7, 32:24, | mentioning | 73:15, 74:23, |
| $32: 16,33: 18$, | 37:24, 43:8, | 84:2 | 76:12, 82:5, |
| 43:14, 44:9, | 54:25, 58:10, | message | 85:1, 87:4, |
| 52:19, 69:15, | $63: 21,65: 5$ | 115:23 | 91:11, 96:11, |
| $70: 3,70: 6$, | 111:22, 121:23, | met | 100:21, 101:11, |
| $70: 16, \quad 71: 25,$ | 133:7, 135:15, | 50:10, 93:15 | $101: 13,103: 10 \text {, }$ |
| $73: 11, \quad 80: 12,$ | 137:13, 143:17 | mic | $105: 23, \quad 107: 8$ |
| $90: 7, \quad 93: 12,$ | means | 10:1 | $109: 4, \quad 122: 25,$ |
| $93: 15, \quad 95: 23,$ | 6:23, 7:10, | microsoft | $123: 17,125: 10,$ |
| 99:21, 100:10, | 11:24, 28:12, | 7:5, 9:9, 9:12, | 130:7 |
| 127:2, 127:4 | 40:14, 59:15, | 9:18, 9:19, | minus |
| massive | 90:13, 92:6, | 10:11 | 74:25 |
| 79:22, 88:25 | 102:2, 125:5 | middle | minute |
| master | meant | 8:1, 11:2, | 32:22, 39:10, |
| 71:14, 78:18, | 126:8 | 77:3, 77:4, | 50:8, 87:17, |
| 78:20, 87:9, | measure | 83:6, 87:25, | 87:21, 106:20 |
| 89:11, 89:23, | 74:21, 90:3, | 88:19, 114:3, | minutes |
| 91:19, 91:20, | 95:8 | 119:14, 120:13 | 23:9, 23:10, |
| 96:8, 100:12, | measured | might | 33:9, 39:12, |
| 100:17, 100:25, | $93: 24$ | $66: 4,66: 5$ | 67:19, 102:18, |
| 101:15, 105:9, | measurements | $78: 25, \quad 101: 18$ | $134: 4, \quad 136: 2,$ |
| $108: 20$ | 108:8 | $102: 6,110: 25 \text {, }$ | $136: 14,136: 19,$ |
| match | medley | 114:1, 117:24, | $140: 10, \quad 141: 4,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 41: 2 \\ & \text { matter } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89: 19, \quad 93: 14 \\ & \text { meet } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126: 13, \quad 127: 17, \\ & 131: 3, \quad 133: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141: 6,141: 7 \\ & \text { misleading } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6:15, 18:8, | 17:15, 36:2 |  | 118:19 |
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| misled | 16:20, 35:9, | 89:8, 92:7, | 146:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119:1 | 61:21, 69:15, | 94:24, 100:8 | name |
| miss | 69:19, 80:12, | moved | 6:22, 7:9, |
| 53:9, 75:24, | 82:16, 84:17, | 16:1, 74:20, | 7:14, 7:16, |
| 98:17 | 89:11, 93:10, | 76:1 | $7: 18,7: 23$, |
| missed | 93:14, 94:5, | movement | $7: 24,8: 1,8: 3$, |
| 121:22, 143:24 | 104:4, 107:22 | 89:5 | 10:7, 80:16, |
| mistake | months | moving | 103:11, 116:3, |
| 22:11 | 20:25 | 57:2, 60:20, | 136:14, 138:16 |
| mistakes | moot | 106:7, 122:7, | name-calling |
| 94:16 | 51:6, 132:7 | 122:23 | 52:7 |
| misunderstandings | more | much | named |
| 54:1 | 13:18, 15:6, | 12:24, 23:21, | 93:16 |
| mitchell | 48:3, 50:12, | 27:13, 53:7, | namely |
| 65:1, 84:8 | $52: 10,55: 9,$ | $54: 24,63: 8,$ | $15: 21$ |
| mixed | $68: 5,68: 6,$ | $67: 7,68: 24$ | names |
| 61:17 | 81:1, 90:7, | $74: 3, \quad 90: 21$ | $84: 9$ |
| mm-hmm | 96:15, 116:11, | $102: 16, \quad 120: 14$ | nana |
| $6: 10,45: 16$, | 120:18, 125:20, | muddying | 3:21, 39:21, |
| 68:13, 81:20, | 133:10, 141:13, | 126:18 | 46:4, 46:5, |
| 114:15, 118:12, | 142:13, 143:4 | multiple | 47:4, 47:10, |
| 127:7, 128:17, | morning | 97:9, 98:13 | 73:1 |
| 142:11, 142:16, | 6:4, 6:5, 8:18, | must | nancy |
| 142:19, 143:2, | 12:15, 25:10, | 10:11, 19:6, | 8:4, 8:5, |
| 144:10 | 35:10 | 33:11, 87:4, | 68:25, 97:14 |
| mnccp | most | 92:17 | nar |
| $72: 25,73: 6$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} 51: 23, & 77: 2, \\ 87: 10, & 88: 3 \end{array}\right.$ | mute | $68: 24$ |
| $76: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 10, \quad 88: 3, \\ & 88: 8, \quad 97: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 3, \quad 13: 4 \\ & 67: 17 \end{aligned}$ | narrow |
| mobilizing 102:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 8, \quad 97: 9, \\ & 114: 24, \quad 129: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 17 \\ & \text { muted } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 21 \\ & \text { narrowest } \end{aligned}$ |
| mod | 131:9 | 11:2, 13:8, | $79: 24$ |
| 110:18 | motion | 50:16, 105:19, | national |
| modified | 60:14, 119:10, | 106:11, 106:12 | 52:19, 70:3, |
| $5: 7,5: 8,15: 3,$ | $\text { 122: } 8$ | muting | 71:25, 73:11, |
| $24: 15,24: 25$ | motivation | 106:13, 106:23, | 79:13, 90:7, |
| $25: 6, \quad 110: 18,$ | 19:3 <br> mound | $\begin{aligned} & 106: 24 \\ & \text { muri ad } \end{aligned}$ | $95: 23, \quad 99: 21$ |
| 110:19, 119:23 moment | $64: 14,64: 17,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { myriad } \\ & 124: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100: 10, \quad 127: 3 \\ & \text { nature } \end{aligned}$ |
| 25:3, 34:20, | 65:8 | myself | 14:1, 61:13, |
| 39:18, 62:20, | mounds | 17:18, 35:22, | $62: 4, \quad 129: 10$ |
| 67:18, 105:20, | $\begin{array}{ll} 64: 14, & 64: 16, \\ 64: 25, & 65: 5, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 5, \quad 60: 7, \\ & 68: 12 \end{aligned}$ | nd $140: 15$ |
| $123: 22,138: 14$ monday | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 25, \\ & 114: 5, \\ & 115: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{68: 12}{}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140: 15 \\ & \text { nearly } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1:13 money | move | na $95: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97: 16 \\ & \text { necessarily } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20: 9,126: 15 \\ & \text { montgomery } \\ & 1: 2,2: 3,3: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 7, \quad 20: 11, \\ & 27: 23, \\ & 68: 8, \\ & 69: 19, \\ & 80: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { naaden } \\ & 1: 25,146: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122: 9 \\ & \text { necessary } \\ & 123: 4, \quad 123: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
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| ```140:2, 140:3 need 8:25, 25:3, 28:12, 29:24, 31:19, 32:20, 36:5, 37:21, 45:24, 49:2, 50:17, 64:16, 67:15, 71:16, 76:18, 86:6, 96:18, 97:11, 107:19, 110:3, 117:4, 120:12, 120:15, 120:18, 120:20, 120:21, 132:8, 133:15, 138:18, 139:16, 142:3, 142:13, 142:14, 142:17, 142:20, 142:24, 143:1, 143:4, 144:5 needed 10:11, 64:13, 65:13, 86:25, 90:1, 90:22, 91:3, 94:22, 127:15 needs 25:9, 100:12, 100:14, 100:22, 103:25, 115:15, 138:23, 141:18, 143:13 nefarious 86:5, 86:7 negative 15:23 neglected 105:22, 107:3 neighbor 58:25, 65:1, 65:2, 68:24 neighborhood 14:3, 57:23, 59:23, 60:1, 60:12, 63:4, 79:1, 96:15,``` | 100:16 <br> neighbors <br> 14:9, 15:5, <br> 60:7, 60:9, <br> 72:3, 72:19, <br> 75:12, 82:11, <br> 84:9, 86:13, <br> 89:3, 97:11, <br> 101:11, 101:23, <br> 102:4, 106:3, <br> 115:10, 126:20, <br> 131:5 <br> neither <br> 42:22, 145:18, 146:19 <br> nervous $24: 6$ <br> nesting $96: 2$ <br> network $\begin{aligned} & 81: 25, \quad 84: 25, \\ & 86: 15, \quad 86: 17, \\ & 88: 4 \\ & \text { never } \\ & 26: 4, \quad 59: 11, \\ & 75: 8, \quad 75: 20, \\ & 79: 25, \quad 93: 21, \\ & 95: 24, \quad 98: 4, \\ & 106: 3, \quad 120: 15, \\ & 131: 13, \quad 131: 15, \\ & 134: 20 \end{aligned}$ <br> nevertheless $17: 20$ <br> new $\begin{aligned} & 15: 3, \quad 17: 16, \\ & 19: 19, \quad 59: 10, \\ & 64: 19, \quad 65: 2, \\ & 79: 5, \quad 84: 9, \\ & 99: 14, \quad 104: 16, \\ & 113: 2, \quad 114: 4, \\ & 115: 5, \quad 115: 16, \\ & 118: 16, \quad 119: 16, \\ & 129: 16, \quad 130: 10, \\ & 138: 11 \\ & \text { newly } \\ & 139: 4 \\ & \text { next } \\ & 49: 1, \quad 76: 6, \end{aligned}$ | ```80:7, 80:9, 92:7 nice 28:15 night 19:8, 35:10 nine 27:17 nobody's 24:13 noise 9:25, 10:23, 12:25 none 8:14, 113:11, 113:13 nope 23:20, 53:21 normally 24:18, 101:16 north 30:2, 76:5, 79:3, 82:3, 93:19, 96:22, 99:13, 99:15 northeast 82:1, 82:7 notably 16:2 notary 2:15 notation 63:16, 78:11 note 7:24, 16:24, 16:25, 28:4, 89:18, 95:1, 95:2, 99:1, 105:22 noted 16:16, 24:2, 24:15, 29:12, 38:21, 46:21, 47:20, 47:22, 80:16, 90:4, 90:6, 105:5, 105:6, 138:17 notes 23:20, 139:5``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nothing } \\ & 12: 12, \quad 12: 19, \\ & 15: 11, \quad 24: 2, \\ & 27: 2, \quad 43: 2, \\ & 91: 7, \quad 112: 8, \\ & 120: 11, \quad 124: 16 \\ & \text { notice } \\ & 40: 10, \quad 40: 11, \\ & 40: 13, \quad 56: 14, \\ & 71: 7, \quad 125: 4 \\ & \text { noticed } \\ & 52: 13, \quad 115: 13 \\ & \text { notion } \\ & 21: 14 \\ & \text { nuanced } \\ & 105: 25 \\ & \text { number } \\ & 6: 15, \quad 9: 13, \\ & 16: 3, \quad 22: 10, \\ & 22: 11, \quad 25: 2, \\ & 26: 3, \quad 27: 17, \\ & 29: 12, \quad 30: 8, \\ & 30: 9, \quad 32: 7, \\ & 34: 9, \quad 37: 7, \\ & 43: 19, \quad 54: 1, \\ & 57: 17, \quad 58: 4, \\ & 59: 22, \quad 64: 3, \\ & 64: 22, \quad 67: 8, \\ & 73: 22, \quad 78: 1, \\ & 78: 13, \quad 81: 3, \\ & 81: 19, \\ & 82: 18, \\ & 87: 3, \quad 89: 8, \\ & 90: 15, \quad 90: 16, \\ & 94: 24, \quad 96: 9, \\ & 104: 12, \quad 110: 11, \\ & 110: 25, \quad 119: 4, \\ & 124: 2 \\ & \text { numbered } \\ & 43: 18 \\ & \text { numbers } \\ & 31: 21, \quad 32: 24, \\ & 33: 14, \quad 33: 22, \\ & 35: 3, \quad 36: 21, \\ & 41: 15, \quad 48: 5 \\ & \text { numeric } \\ & 32: 24 \\ & \text { nurture } \\ & 91: 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & 130: 1, \quad 130: 9, \\ & 131: 12, \quad 131: 19, \\ & 134: 23, \quad 138: 22, \\ & 144: 15 \\ & \text { others } \\ & 36: 8 \\ & \text { otherwise } \\ & 102: 5, \quad 122: 3, \\ & 145: 20, \quad 146: 21 \\ & \text { out } \\ & 21: 18, \quad 44: 23, \\ & 49: 6, \quad 53: 25, \\ & 71: 25, \quad 75: 19, \\ & 75: 21, \quad 75: 23, \\ & 85: 4, \quad 85: 8, \\ & 85: 9, \quad 85: 10, \\ & 86: 15, \quad 87: 22, \\ & 89: 13, \quad 89: 15, \\ & 91: 8, \quad 93: 24, \\ & 94: 7, \quad 95: 4, \\ & 95: 25, \quad 96: 3, \\ & 104: 14, \quad 104: 21, \\ & 108: 15, \quad 108: 21, \\ & 111: 16, \quad 117: 19, \\ & 123: 13, \\ & 123: 20, \\ & 127: 12, \\ & 128: 25, \\ & 132: 4 \\ & \text { outcome } \\ & 145: 20, \quad 146: 21 \\ & \text { outer } \\ & 79: 6 \\ & \text { 13: } \end{aligned}$ | 134:23, 144:15 overgrown <br> 85:16 <br> overhead <br> 39:25, 40:16 overlapping 99:21, 99:22, $99: 24$ <br> oversight <br> 94:16 <br> overweight \|28:21 <br> own $\text { 10:16, } 24: 1 \text {, }$ $33: 5,77: 3,$ $77: 4,87: 24,$ $88: 19,88: 20,$ $95: 7,115: 12$ <br> owned 99:7 <br> owner $64: 19,65: 3,$ $97: 15,108: 17$ <br> owners $\begin{array}{\|ll} 68: 25, & 87: 7, \\ 88: 20, & 99: 14 \end{array}$ <br> owns <br> 93:18 <br> ozah <br> 3:21, 17:14, <br> 20:23, 24:21, <br> 49:22, 125:8 <br> P <br> 77:17 <br> paddock <br> 35:21, 48:1, <br> 119:20 <br> paddocks <br> 61:6, 61:8 <br> page $\begin{aligned} & 4: 2, \quad 5: 2, \\ & 25: 16, \quad 30: 14, \\ & 33: 14, \quad 34: 9, \\ & 36: 23, \quad 37: 5, \\ & 37: 6, \quad 37: 7, \\ & 40: 16, \quad 73: 23, \end{aligned}$ | ```125:6 pages 1:24, 94:22, 104:14 paper 33:2 par 89:13 parameters 89:10, 89:15 parcel 6:20 pardon 93:1 parenthetically 76:25, 94:10 park 52:19, 70:3, 71:25, 73:11, 90:8, 95:23, 99:22, 100:10, 127:3, 138:8, 138:12 part 13:20, 22:12, 32:12, \(34: 13\), 47:25, 52:20, 56:23, 57:13, 58:1, 58:2, 62:6, 73:6, 75:24, 76:14, 77:1, 84:14, 96:2, 98:20, 100:7, 100:9, 107:2, 107:8, 110:20, 111:23, 111:25, 113:4, 113:15, 128:23, 129:3, 132:18, 139:4 partial 70:5 partially 9:10, 74:25, 95:16 participating 68:21 particular 71:23, 73:7,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 89:9, 94:19, | 34:23, 35:13, | permissible | 13:1, 13:2, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108:5 | 36:20, 36:21, | 111:11, 112:5 | $32: 3,33: 10$, |
| particularly | 37:1, 39:19, | permission | 44:20 |
| 15:13, 16:16, | 40:3, 41:3, | 110:9, 112:17, | photograph |
| 91:23, 105:2, | 43:18, 44:14, | 115:8, 116:7, | 10:16, 40:16, |
| 105:11 | 54:6, 55:7 | 135:21 | $54: 9,55: 17$, |
| parties | patent | permit | 56:22, 61:7, |
| 6:8, 7:8, 7:12, | 93:18 | 20:19, 20:22, | 61:8 |
| 17:18, 60:8, | paved | 66:14, 104:1, | photographed |
| 102:23, 133:23, | 69:18 | 107:19, 110:19, | 90:3 |
| 145:19, 146:20 | pen | 110:21, 119:8, | photographs |
| partnership | 142:14 | 119:22, 119:23, | 95:18 |
| 82:3, 85:18, | penalties | 121:10, 129:19, | physical |
| 89:25, 93:20, | 12:10, 42:25 | 132:14, 135:7, | 26:23, 26:25, |
| 95:3, 98:7 | pencil | $135: 11, \quad 138: 11,$ | $101: 22$ |
| parts | 142:15 | 138:19 | physically |
| 57:2, 60:20, | pending | permits | 76:2, 76:3 |
| 96:16, 99:6, | 56:13 | 120:4, 121:7, | picking |
| 100:3, 102:8, | people | 129:10, 129:11, | 11:8 |
| 122:7, 122:23 | 7:10, 8:12, | 129:18 | picture |
| party | 41:8, 55:5, | person | 54:23, 100:2, |
| 3:10, 3:15 | 67:10, 77:3, | 101:3 | 100:3 |
| passed | 85:6, 85:18, | person's | piece |
| 20:20, 87:17 | $88: 8, \quad 117: 9,$ | 65:3, 136:14 | 98:25, 107:10 |
| passing | $118: 19,119: 1,$ | personal | piedmont |
| 97:3 | 122:22, 127:15 | 33:5, 135:3 | 35:8, 36:5, |
| past | people's | personally | 96:19, 96:22 |
| 16:4 | 79:7, 80:1 | 57:4, 99:7 | place |
| pasture | рерсо | persons | 19:10, 22:4, |
| 5:10, 15:4, | 88:12 | 58:4 | 30:5, 98:6, |
| 15:21, 30:21, | percent | perspectives | 118:5, 127:22 |
| $32: 16,33: 18$, | 54:11, 74:9, | 139:23 | places |
| 34:24, 35:24, | 92:17, 92:21, | pertains | $97: 9$ |
| 35:25, 36:2, | 93:4, 93:8, | $70: 14$ | planet |
| 36:7, 36:19, | 99:11, 104:7, | pet | $69: 17$ |
| 38:7, 40:7, | 107:24, 126:9 | $15: 10$ | planner |
| 40:23, 41:3, | perception | petitioner | 80:15, 95:24 |
| $43: 14, \quad 43: 19$ | 111:12 | 57:21, 58:21 | planners |
| 43:21, 43:22, | perfect | petroleum | 72:12, 94:11, |
| 43:24, 44:9, | 56:16 | 97:13, 98:10 | 99:24, 142:6, |
| 54:2, 54:12, | perhaps | pets | 142:9 |
| 54:20, 54:21, | 24:23, 69:4 | 132:17 | planning |
| 54:24, 55:1, | period | ph | $16: 20, \quad 18: 1,$ |
| 55:3, 55:6, | 55:21, 80:4, | 7:3, 62:14 | $52: 19,70: 3,$ |
| 56:19, 56:20, | $103: 23,107: 16,$ | philadelphia | $72: 1, \quad 73: 12$ |
| 104:7, 107:24, | $107: 20,107: 22 \text {, }$ | $80: 6$ | $90: 8, \quad 95: 23,$ |
| $119: 24,120: 14$ | $108: 2,108: 3$ | phone | $99: 22,100: 11,$ |
| pastures $33: 19, \quad 34: 21,$ | perjury | 10:23, 11:5, | 127:3, 129:13, |
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| pretty | 12:6, 22:3, | 75:21, 78:2 | 125:11, 126:17, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87:22 | 22:12, 51:25, | pronouncing | 130:9, 130:10, |
| prevent | 132:14, 136:7, | 71:8, 103:11 | 142:25 |
| 28:19, 102:4 | 140:1 | properties | proposal |
| previous | proceeded | 75:4, 82:13, | 82:16 |
| 7:25, 64:10, | 62:11 | 84:13, 85:5, | propose |
| 97:15 | proceeding | 85:19, 85:23, | 21:18, 103:24, |
| previously | 11:11, 114:19, | 90:6, 115:12 | 107:17 |
| 20:1 | 117:25, 118:1 | property | proposed |
| primary | proceedings | 6:19, 12:19, | 65:21, 73:24, |
| 61:1 | 4:3, 108:7, | 14:20, 15:11, | 74:6, 74:9, |
| prime | 145:16, 145:17, | 16:3, 16:5, | 79:14, 81:14, |
| 69:18 | 146:17 | 16:17, 19:11, | 81:16, 81:22, |
| printed | proceeds | 19:14, 19:15, | 87:14, 89:21, |
| 53:6 | 126:18 | 20:2, 21:5, | 95:5, 95:9, |
| prior | process | 21:6, 56:25, | 95:13, 103:22, |
| 17:3, 17:13, | 16:19, 18:3, | 60:3, 62:23, | 104:6, 104:10, |
| 17:23, 50:1, | $18: 16,19: 1,$ | $68: 23,69: 5,$ | 105:5, 107:15, |
| 111:2, 129:19, | 22:7, 22:9, | 69:6, 69:8, | 107:23, 108:2, |
| 140:3 | 22:20, 24:8, | 69:10, 74:7, | 110:18, 112:23, |
| privy | 24:14, 51:6, | 76:17, 82:7, | 121:9, 121:18, |
| 133:1 | 51:19, 58:1, | 82:9, 83:2, | 130:10 |
| probably | 58:2, 59:17, | 83:12, 84:5, | proposes |
| 9:5, 25:20, | 60:2, 60:5, | 84:6, 84:7, | 82:24 |
| 46:23, 80:3, | 60:6, 61:25, | 84:8, 84:11, | proposing |
| 91:6 | 62:10, 63:7, | 84:12, 85:25, | 104:18 |
| problem | 65:25, 66:9, | 86:4, 86:7, | protect |
| 69:25, 74:1, | 99:21, 111:23, | 87:7, 87:10, | 22:21, 87:10, |
| $75: 12,76: 7,$ | 113:5, 113:9, | 88:15, 88:20, | 91:3, 94:12, |
| 76:23, 81:1, | 119:4, 119:12, | $\begin{array}{ll} 88: 24, & 92: 17, \\ 92: 21, & 93: 4, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108: 13 \\ & \text { protected } \end{aligned}$ |
| 84:15, 97:20, | $\begin{aligned} & 123: 12, \\ & 127: 16, \\ & 127: 22, \\ & 129: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 92: 21, ~ 93: 4, \\ & 95: 3, \\ & 95: 10, \end{aligned}\right.$ | protected <br> 87.8, 92.19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 101: 21, ~ 138: 18, \\ & 139: 5,143: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $130: 8, \quad 130: 12,$ | $97: 13, \quad 97: 15,$ | $92: 22, \quad 94: 9,$ |
| 144:10 | 130:21, 135:14, | 97:16, 98:6, | 101:14, 104:23 |
| problems | 137: 4 | 98:9, 98:25, | protecting |
| 28:20, 72:2, | produce | $\begin{array}{ll} 99: 3, & 99: 5, \\ 99: 7, & 99: 9, \end{array}$ | $91: 13$ |
| $72: 16,73: 10$, | 16:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 7, \quad 99: 9, \\ & 99: 10, \quad 99: 14, \end{aligned}$ | protection |
| $88: 6,106: 8$ procedural | produced $72: 12$ | $99: 15, \quad 99: 18,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 22, \quad 101: 6, \\ & 104: 11, \quad 108: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| $16: 25,46: 24$ | program | $\begin{aligned} & 100: 6, \quad 101: 9, \\ & 103: 20, \quad 104: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 108:20 |
| 49:3, 62:11, | 65:20, 69:16, | $\begin{array}{ll} 103: 20, & 104: 3, \\ 104: 10, & 104: 11, \end{array}$ | protections |
| 62:13 | 116:19 <br> prohibition | 105:12, 107:14, | $\begin{array}{ll} 90: 14, & 90: 15, \\ 108: 12 & \end{array}$ |
| procedure | 71:19 | 107:20, 108:1, | protest |
| $48: 16$ | projects | $108: 2,108: 17,$ | $101: 24,102: 5$ |
| procedures | $129: 11$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 110: 16, & 110: 17, \\ 112: 15, & 113: 15, \end{array}$ | protesting |
| 17:6, 80:11 | promised | 116:18, 118:4, | 102:2 |
| proceed | 72:15, 73:16, | 116:18, 118:4, | provide |
| 6:8, 12:2, |  |  | 90:21 |
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| ```67:8, 91:2, 94:9, 104:13 reassigned 94:3 rebuttal 4:7 rebuttals 53:18 recall 22:9, 131:24, 134:24, 136:13, 138:3 received 15:15, 16:12, 24:15, 28:1, 28:5, 29:7, 29:11, 30:3, 111:3, 125:9 receiving 28:6 recently 87:10 recognize 76:25 recognized 99:1 recollection 95:4, 132:6, 138:3, 138:20 recollections 133:20 recommended 35:9 reconvene 122:12 record 6:2, 7:6, 7:12, 7:13, 7:24, 20:17, 20:18, 21:4, 24:20, 39:9, 39:10, 39:16, 39:17, 39:19, 47:18, 50:10, 50:20, 50:22, 51:3, 51:7, 51:18, 58:7, 67:21, 67:22, 67:23,``` | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 24, \quad 68: 20, \\ & 73: 1, \quad 73: 2, \\ & 73: 3, \quad 73: 4, \\ & 73: 17, \quad 83: 3, \\ & 84: 10, \quad 84: 14, \\ & 102: 18, \quad 102: 20, \\ & 102: 21, \quad 102: 22, \\ & 102: 24, \quad 106: 7, \\ & 109: 14, \quad 111: 4, \\ & 111: 5, \quad 111: 7, \\ & 131: 21, \quad 132: 4, \\ & 133: 13, \quad 133: 15, \\ & 133: 16, \quad 133: 17, \\ & 133: 22, \quad 135: 18, \\ & 135: 24, \quad 138: 2, \\ & 139: 18, \quad 139: 24, \\ & 140: 14, \quad 140: 15, \\ & 143: 10, \quad 144: 17, \\ & 144: 18, \quad 146: 16 \\ & \text { recorded } \\ & 51: 22, \quad 64: 12, \\ & 84: 4, \quad 84: 16, \\ & 84: 25, \quad 85: 3, \\ & 85: 21, \quad 93: 25, \\ & 109: 10, \quad 109: 13, \\ & 110: 6, \quad 110: 8, \\ & 110: 13, \quad 110: 23, \\ & 111: 5, \quad 115: 20, \\ & 145: 17, \quad 146: 16 \\ & \text { recorder } \\ & 42: 18 \\ & \text { recording } \\ & 146: 18 \\ & \text { records } \\ & 73: 5, \quad 84: 17, \\ & 87: 15, \quad 87: 18, \\ & 109: 13, \quad 109: 14, \\ & 134: 1 \\ & \text { red } \\ & 88: 13, \quad 118: 10 \\ & \text { redirect } \\ & 99: 9 \\ & \text { redone } \\ & 141: 24 \\ & \text { redraw } \\ & 75: 22 \\ & \text { redrawn } \\ & 75: 14, \quad 115: 15 \end{aligned}$ | reduce <br> 19:23, 58:4, <br> 121:10 <br> reduced <br> 56:21, 61:9, <br> 125:16 <br> reducing <br> 59:22, 115:2, <br> 123:21 <br> reduction <br> 104:6, 107:23, <br> 114:21 <br> reemphasize <br> 109:2 <br> refer <br> 29:18, 33:22, <br> 35:4, 35:6, <br> 108:4, 125:6 <br> reference <br> 21:5, 21:6, <br> 30:14, 42:9, <br> 62:22, 62:23, <br> 112:25 <br> referenced <br> 80:14, 92:23, <br> 93:10 <br> references <br> 43:19 <br> referred <br> 29:13, 47:23, <br> 50:24, 113:23 <br> referring <br> 30:17, 50:23, <br> 72:8, 87:16, <br> 93:5, 93:6, <br> 93:7, 110:14, <br> 139:3 <br> refers <br> 19:9, 52:20, <br> 103:9 <br> reflect <br> 17:18 <br> reflected $132: 24$ <br> refreshed <br> 25:9 <br> refused <br> 94:12 | ```regard 24:4, 36:17, 36:18, 101:17, 105:2, 105:12 regarding 18:24, 21:5, 52:16, 83:20, 107:6, 132:16, 139:16 registered 79:13, 82:5 regulatory 72:24, 108:12 rehashing 139:22 reinforce 69:7 reinforces 107:4 reining 14:21 reiterate 13:25, 112:20 reiterated 19:21 related 48:5, 85:16, 145:18, 146:19 relating 62:3 relevance 14:23 relevant 110:24, 121:11 remaining 95:15, 124:6, 132:18, 135:6 remark 55:2 remedial 99:2, 99:8 remember 20:25, 21:1, 26:12, 103:15, 131:25, 137:17, 138:15 remembers 137:8``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| remind | represented | residual | retain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:12 | 54:12 | 97:3 | $87: 9$ |
| remotely | request | resigned | retention |
| 7:5, 13:4 | 7:2, 14:24, | 94:3 | 64:12, 118:18 |
| removal | 18:10, 18:17, | resisted | retracting |
| 71:19 | 20:6, 50:22, | 82:11 | 50:3 |
| remove | 51:7, 58:3, | resolved | retractions |
| 19:24, 117:17 | 107:9, 115:16, | 139:6, 139:17 | 51:15 |
| removed | 116:11, 125:9, | resources | retrieve |
| 40:14, 88:1, | 132:5, 136:1, | 98:24, 103:21, | 33:3, 44:20 |
| 135:9 | 141:7 | 107:15 | retry |
| removing | requested | respect | 107:2 |
| 95:12 | 82:24, 134:6, | 57:2, 61:1, | revealed |
| rendered | 134:17 | 111:1 | 97:10 |
| 135:6 | requests | respectful | reverts |
| rendering | 27:17 | 53:10 | 128:15 |
| 81:22 | require | respectfully | review |
| renderings | 22:11, 71:12, | 115:16 | $16: 19,17: 24$ |
| $81: 12$ | 71:13, 135:11 | respond | 18:17, 19:5, |
| renovate | required | 48:11, 48:25, | 21:12, 58:2, |
| 98:16 | 18:1, 18:7, | 51:8, 53:17, | 58:3, 66:2, |
| rental | $\begin{array}{rrr} 18: 9, & 18: 22, \\ 20: 19 & 20 \cdot 22 \end{array}$ | 58:12, 63:24, | 71:12, 127:9, |
| 75:4 | $\begin{array}{ll} 20: 19, & 20: 22, \end{array}$ | 135:18, 135:19, | $\text { 127:14, } 140: 12$ |
| repeat | $\begin{array}{ll} 27: 16, & 35: 16, \\ 50 \cdot 11 & 62 \cdot 10 \end{array}$ | 139:21, 140:18, | reviewed |
| 13:19, 14:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 11, ~ 62: 10, \\ & 78 \cdot 7 . \end{aligned}$ | 140:20 | $16: 13,49: 22,$ |
| 43:10, 77:16, | 78:7, 91:2, | response | $103: 20,107: 13$ |
| $92: 11,111: 17,$ | $124: 10,133: 21$ | 48:14, 53:22, | reviewing |
| $127: 11,143: 23$ | requirement | $76: 22, \quad 88: 24,$ | $17: 18$ |
| rephrase | $\begin{array}{ll} 18: 15, & 22: 15, \\ 20.21 & 71 \cdot 17 \end{array}$ | $104: 12$ | revised |
| $48: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 21, \quad 71: 17, \\ & 131: 24, \end{aligned}$ | responsibility | 112:22, 113:1, |
| reply | 131:24, 132:13 requirements | $\text { \| } 89: 21$ <br> rest | $\begin{aligned} & 130: 22 \\ & \text { revision } \end{aligned}$ |
| $136: 25$ report | $13: 12,35: 16,$ | $88: 3, \quad 102: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { revision } \\ & 72: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5:3, 30:17, | $36: 3,49: 23,$ | restricting | revisit |
| 55:11, 103:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 20, \quad 80: 12, \\ & 101: 17, \quad 123: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $92: 1$ | $129: 5$ |
| $114: 10$ | $\text { \|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \begin{aligned} & 123: 4,17, \\ & 123: 5 \end{aligned}$ | restrictions | rewind |
| reported | requires | 112:7 | 105:19 |
| 112:11 | $16: 18, \quad 22: 8,$ | resubmit | riders |
| reporter | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 18,22: 8, \\ & 128: 22,129: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112: 5, \quad 114: 20, \\ & 119: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 108:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 12, \quad 6: 13, \\ & 7: 6, \quad 11: 7,11: 9, \end{aligned}$ | requiring | $119: 14$ <br> resubmitted | $\begin{aligned} & \text { right } \\ & \text { 12:9, 21:20, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $11: 15,51: 22 \text {, }$ | 20:25, 22:13 | 75:9, 106:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 9, \quad 21: \angle 0, \\ & 22: 18, \quad 24: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| 58:7, 58:10, | rescue | result | 26:9, 27:2, |
| 102:22, 110:3, | 15:9 <br> reside | $90: 17, \quad 90: 25$ | $29: 24,36: 25 \text {, }$ |
| 145:14, 145:24 | reside $8: 5$ | $91: 12,94: 5,$ | $37: 9,41: 10,$ |
| repository <br> 24:18 | residents | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 5,101: 8 \\ & \text { results } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 11, \\ & 42: 24, \\ & 45: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| representative | 108:18, 108:19 | 104:6, 107:23 | 46:7, 47:12, |
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| 50:13, 51:9, | 61:14, 61:16, | routinely | 34:13, 34:15, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54:23, 54:25, | 61:23, 61:25, | 69:18 | 34:23, 34:25, |
| 55:18, 62:18, | 64:24, 73:10, | row | 35:5, 35:20, |
| 66:2, 67:25, | 74:3, 74:21, | 74:18, 80:10, | 35:22, 36:1, |
| 72:9, 73:24, | $74: 24,75: 13$, | 91:12, 95:14 | 36:17 |
| 74:4, 74:19, | 76:4, 77:1, | rules | safe |
| 75:3, 76:8, | 77:2, 77:3, | 17:14, 17:15, | 97:24 |
| 78:10, 78:15, | 77:4, 77:12, | 52:1, 120:3 | safeguarding |
| 78:18, 78:23, | 77:15, 77:18, | run | 105:2 |
| 79:8, 79:20, | 77:19, 78:3, | 33:9, 33:14, | safeguards |
| 79:25, 80:17, | 78:20, 79:5, | 48:13, 48:15, | 105:8 |
| 80:23, 82:9, | 79:22, 80:15, | 48:21 | safety |
| 83:7, 83:8, | 80:21, 81:16, | runners | 97:1 |
| 85:21, 86:18, | 81:23, 82:3, | 108:24 | said |
| 87:14, 87:17, | 82:4, 83:1, | runoff | 7:6, 19:22, |
| 88:10, 88:14, | 85:18, 85:23, | 130:11 | 21:22, 27:15, |
| 88:17, 88:21, | 85:24, 87:7, | runoffs | 27:25, 29:7, |
| 90:17, 90:25, | 87:8, 87:25, | 91:17 | 29:11, 34:21, |
| 96:13, 96:21, | 88:3, 88:16, | rural | $34: 23,36: 5,$ |
| 100:14, 101:5, | 88:19, 89:25, | $104: 22$ | $39: 21, \quad 49: 9,$ |
| 101:23, 102:14, | 90:1, 91:7, | rustic | $49: 13,50: 18,$ |
| 115:10, 118:1, | 92:5, 92:20, | 61:16, 61:18, | $50: 20,52: 15,$ |
| 120:15, 122:23, | $92: 23,93: 20,$ | 61:19, 61:23, | 53:17, 63:10, |
| 131:7, 134:19, | $95: 3, \quad 95: 16,$ | 61:24, 62:4, | 63:11, 67:14, |
| 139:3, 139:5, | $96: 1, \quad 96: 2,$ | 64:24, 65:20, | 77:14, 87:24, |
| 141:16, 142:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 7, \quad 101: 4, \\ & 102 \cdot 3 \quad 124 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ | 71:13, 71:15, | 103:15, 103:18, |
| $143: 12$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lr} 102: 3, & 124: 15, \\ 130: 11, & 130: 19 \end{array}\right.$ | $74: 3, \quad 77: 2,$ | 110:4, 110:13, |
| right-hand $32: 25$ | roads | 79:5, 79:22, | $120: 14,121: 13,$ |
| right-of-way | roads | 83:1, 85:23, | 126:2, 126:4, |
| 21:20 | 65:20, 71:13, | $88: 2, \quad 88: 3,$ | $134: 14, ~ 134: 16, ~$ |
| rightness | 71:15, 77:2, | 89:11, 89:22, | 134:18, 134:20, |
| 56:12 | 87:8, 89:11, | $90: 13, \quad 90: 19,$ | 134:21, 134:24, |
| rights | $\begin{array}{ll} 89: 22, & 90: 11, \\ 90: 20, & 91: 16 . \end{array}$ | $91: 16,92: 20 \text {, }$ | $134: 25, \quad 136: 6$ |
| 87:10, 101:3, | $90: 20, \quad 91: 16,$ | $92: 23, \quad 96: 6,$ | $137: 3,137: 10,$ |
| 110:15 | 96:6, 96:8, | 96:8, 100:25, | 137:14, 137:21, |
| ring | 100:25, 101:1, | 101:16, 102:3, | 141:16, 145:17, |
| 86:3 | 101:16, 105:10, | 105:10, 108:20, | 146:17 |
| rings | 108:20, 108:23, | 108:23, 109:5 | sale |
| 85:10 | 109:5 <br> robeson | rusting | 87:19, 126:17 |
| rise |  | 98:14 | sam |
| 98: 6 river | rockville | S | $8: 4$ |
| 80:5, 82:3 | 1:12, 2:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{s} \\ & 67: 13.113: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $7: 11,16: 4$ |
| 3.7 3. |  | sacrifice | $16: 6,23: 12,$ |
| $3: 17,6: 17,$ | 75:5, 76:3 | 28:24, 29:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 12, \\ & 50: 19, \\ & 58: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
| 7:19, 8:5, 8:9, | rooms | 29:23, 30:9, | 132:22, 135:8 |
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| 25:23, 38:17, | shaded | 55:1, 55:5, | signs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57:15, 59:17, | 54:18 | 72:15, 81:13, | 86:9 |
| 61:4, 66:12, | shadows | 108:11, 113:19, | similar |
| 119:12, 130:21 | 54:8 | 114:7, 142:1, | 30:24 |
| separately | shale | 142:24, 143:1, | similarly |
| 26:5, 70:7 | 97:4 | 144:8 | 101:12 |
| separates | shall | showed | simply |
| 121:13 | 13:9 | 32:22, 66:15, | 54:7, 65:15, |
| september | shape | 93:21 | 110:24, 119:5 |
| 6:21 | 111:7 | showing | simultaneously |
| septic | share | 46:13, 56:19, | 125:18 |
| 80:10, 97:17, | 25:4, 39:3, | 72:11, 112:6, | since |
| 98:24, 99:15, | 44:25, 69:22 | 113:3, 119:17, | 14:6, 15:14, |
| 118:16, 139:2, | shared | 130:23, 142:20 | 16:1, 50:10, |
| 139:4 | 43:25, 46:17 | shown | 55:18, 65:23, |
| sequencing | sheet | 56:18, 56:22, | 79:23, 85:13, |
| 17:22, 18:4, | 30:22, 31:3, | 57:17, 75:17, | 138:2, 142:9 |
| 18:8 | 36:25 | 84:7, 84:8, | single |
| sequential | shelter | 106:1, 112:14, | 74:24, 93:24, |
| 111:23, 129:10 | 90:22 | 113:11 | 94:22, 100:18, |
| series | short | shows | 101:3, 104:14, |
| 81:8 | 46:7, 98:3 | 29:25, 54:5, | 114:3 |
| serious | shortly | 55:9, 55:13, | sink |
| 73:10, 101:21 | 94:2 | 56:23, 73:23, | 97:5 |
| serve | shot | 108:9, 110:14, | sit |
| 97:8 | 39:25, 106:24 | $110: 22,114: 21$ | $98: 6$ |
| served | should | shrinking | site |
| 89:18 | 7:24, 9:1, | 59:21 | 81:5, 95:5, |
| service | 10:22, 11:3, | sic | 101:20, 104:1, |
| $29: 13, \quad 31: 14$ | $17: 5,18: 5,$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 7: 6, \quad 94: 7 \\ \text { side } \end{array}$ |  |
| set | $21: 19,22: 3,$ | side | $110: 6, \quad 111: 11,$ |
| 81:25, 101:7, | $22: 12,22: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 25, \quad 74: 2, \\ & 74: 6, \quad 74: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $135: 5,144: 6$ <br> sits |
| $\begin{aligned} & 108: 12 \\ & \text { set-aside } \end{aligned}$ | $31: 16$, $37: 21$, $37: 23,17$, | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 6, \quad 74: 24, \\ & 75: 13, \quad 76: 10, \end{aligned}$ | sits $74: 17,79: 14$ |
| 69:16 | 45:23, 46:9, | 76:11, 77:4, | sitting |
| sets | 50:1, 62:2, | $78: 3,79: 3$, | 96:19, 96:21, |
| 79:21 | 65:10, 65:11, | \|81:14, 83:7, $86: 8, \quad 88: 20,$ | $96: 23$ |
| settled | 65:12, 69:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 8, \quad 88: 20, \\ & 88: 22, \quad 134: 18 \end{aligned}$ | situation |
| 80:5 | $76: 25$, $84: 25$, $89: 18$, | sides | $73: 14$ |
| seven | 84:25, 89:18, | $90: 3, \quad 90: 4$ | six |
| 21:10, 36:9, | 95:1, 95:7, | $95: 13$ | 89:8, 90:8, |
| 44:23, 63:2, | 116:22, 126:23, | signature-mig2k | 94:24, 121:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 96: 9, \quad 110: 17 \\ & \text { several } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 132: 19, ~ 136: 14 \\ & \text { shouldn't } \end{aligned}$ | $146: 22$ | size <br> 58.3, 59.22 |
| 30:3, 95:18, | 8:25, 62:12, | signature-p1kal | 61:9, 66:4, |
| 97:12 | 62:13, 68:12, | 145:21 | 123:21 |
| seville | 134:25, 143:2 | significant | sketch |
| 96:5 | show | 19:9, 119:8 | 89:15 |
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| sketches | 59:10, 63:11, | 130:3, 132:12, | speaks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89:13 | 92:15, 101:7, | 133:4, 141:5, | 119:6, 120:5, |
| slaves | 110:12, 114:12, | 143:17 | 122:6 |
| 80:5 | 116:19, 118:25, | sort | special |
| slides | 130:19, 131:4, | 63:25, 77:6, | 104:11, 108:3 |
| 90:7 | 137:4, 137:17, | 101:7, 110:16 | specifically |
| slowly | 140:11, 142:3 | sound | 26:3, 71:6, |
| 13:18 | somebody | 53:8, 58:24 | 103:18, 125:4 |
| small | 51:15, 79:4 | sounds | speculate |
| 12:22, 15:9, | somebody's | 143:6, 144:10 | 135:17 |
| 35:6, 47:25, | 74:20 | source | speculative |
| 54:16, 55:20, | somehow | 96:24, 97:1, | 130:15 |
| 134:13 | 93:20, 127:20, | 97:25, 136:6 | spell |
| smaller | 127:24 | south | 7:9, 116:2 |
| 74:3, 124:1, | someone | 30:1, 79:2, | spelled |
| 124:11, 138:19 | 106:22, 138:14 | 79:3, 82:3, | 7:24 |
| sneaking | something | 83:2, 86:13, | spent |
| 106:13 | 10:17, 26:14, | 99:16 | 8:17, 69:5 |
| software | 40:15, 50:20, | southeast | spills |
| 8:21, 8:25, | 57:24, 78:17, | 81:14, 81:24 | 97:12 |
| 9:10, 142:5 | 87:5, 93:4, | southern | split |
| soil | 94:14, 99:4, | 113:15 | 56:24, 76:10 |
| 5:9, 15:22, | 102:15, 104:24, | southwest | spreading |
| $26: 2,26: 10,$ | 115:4, 115:19, | 82:2, 82:4, | $14: 20$ |
| 28:1, 29:12, | 116:7, 117:12, | 82:7, 115:14 | springing |
| 29:13, 31:6, | 124:19, 129:21, | space | $128: 19$ |
| $31: 14,32: 7$, | 131:2, 133:6, | 55:9, 94:22, | square |
| 39:25, 40:18, | 134:10 <br> somewhere | $100: 8, \quad 100: 9,$ | $35: 6$ |
| 44:6, 56:23, | somewhere 91:25 | $126: 23$ | st |
| 71:19, 117:2 | $91: 25$ | spaced | $26: 14$ |
| soils | Sorry | $104: 14$ | stable |
| $39: 25,40: 19$ sole | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 18, \quad 12: 8, \\ & 13: 19, \quad 21: 22, \end{aligned}$ | speak | $117: 20$ |
| sole $96: 23, \quad 97: 1$ | $31: 1,34: 24,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 13: 17, & 67: 10, \\ 83: 13, & 110: 9, \end{array}$ | stackable |
| solely | $37: 24,41: 19,$ | 112:17, 112:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 17 \\ & \text { staff } \end{aligned}$ |
| 19:15, 20:6 | 43:13, $46: 10$, | 115:8, 116:8, | 3:21, 5:3, |
| solemnly | 47:11, 48:17, | 118:23, 126:14, | 55:11, 71:6, |
| 12:10, 42:25 | 50:18, 58:14, $62: 15,66: 15$ | $129: 22, \quad 130: 2,$ | $71: 14,82: 9,$ |
| solicit | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 15, \\ & 66: 18, \\ & 67: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130: 4, \quad 130: 25, \\ & 131: 20, \quad 133: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $94: 2,103: 19,$ |
| 139:19 solution | $77: 13,77: 16 \text {, }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 131: 20, ~ 133: 10, \\ & 135: 21, ~ 136: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 104: 25, \quad 107: 13, \\ & 125: 7, \quad 134: 1, \end{aligned}$ |
| 70:21, 131:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 18, \quad 87: 24, \\ & 95: 4, \quad 102: 25, \end{aligned}$ | 141:11 | $134: 5, \quad 135: 18$ |
| some | 95:4, 102:25, 105:18, 105:21 | speaker | $137: 20,137: 22 \text {, }$ |
| 7:7, 8:12, | $\begin{array}{ll} 105: 18, & 105: 21, \\ 105: 22, & 106: 11, \end{array}$ | 59:11 | $139: 16,140: 2,$ |
| 8:21, 11:22, | 110:1, 111:16, | speaking | 140:16, 140:17, |
| 19:13, 25:21, | $122: 22,125: 17,$ | 7:10, 7:11, | 140:20, 141:2, |
| 47:12, 50:15, | 128:6, 129:14, | $66: 2,123: 15,$ | 141:14, 143:13, |
| 50:16, 54:16, |  | 135:13 | 143:18 |
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| staff's | stay | student | 129:24, 130:22, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:11, 135:20 | 16:5, 39:9 | 69:24 | 143:21 |
| staggering | stayed | stuff | submitted |
| 69:20 | 16:3 | 9:16, 32:19, | 15:1, 17:4, |
| stand | stays | 116:14, 130:1 | 17:7, 17:12, |
| 49:24 | 28:19, 129:15 | stung | 21:15, 22:19, |
| standard | steps | 66:22 | 24:5, 24:11, |
| 113:8 | 15:12 | sub | 24:25, 26:4, |
| standards | still | 60:21, 111:19, | 26:11, 26:12, |
| 93:15 | 28:14, 40:27, | 114:25 | 27:15, 27:20, |
| standing | 54:17, 57:15, | sub-zoning | 29:9, 44:19, |
| 99:6, 141:12 | 57:18, 58:1, | 135:6 | 49:3, 49:14, |
| stands | 82:20, 90:9, | subdivide | 50:5, 52:21, |
| 85:21 | 90:16, 94:24, | 62:2 | 60:5, 65:23, |
| start | 95:14, 98:18, | subdivided | 70:22, 71:22, |
| $137: 4$ | 104:12 | 22:18, 63:1, | $72: 12,72: 14$, |
| started | stocking | $132: 23$ | 72:18, 72:23, |
| 14:18, 24:12, | 35:16, 36:6 | subdividing | $73: 16, \quad 73: 17$ |
| 92:1 | stolen | $135: 12$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 75: 14, & 90: 7, \\ 93: 22, & 95: 21 \end{array}\right.$ |
| state | 86:14 | subdivisions | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 93: 22, & 95: 21, \\ 104: 16, & 105: 6, \end{array}\right.$ |
| 2:16, 7:9, | stop | $62: 1$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 104: 16, ~ 105: 6, \\ & 108: 5, \quad 111: 2, \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| 7:16, 51:18, | $26: 6, ~ 42: 17$, $51: 24,51: 25$, | subject | $114: 17,115: 17,$ |
| 52:6, 57:21, | 51:24, 51:25, $106: 19,106: 20$, | 11:23, 15:16, | 119:5, 133:13 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 68: 19, & 70: 5, \\ 70: 14, & 73: 11, \end{array}$ | $106: 19,106: 20$, $110: 2,142: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 10, \\ & 19: 15, \\ & 190: 14, \end{aligned}$ | submitting |
| 79:13, 80:15, | stormwater | 21:5, 21:6, | 49:18 |
| 93:12, 93:15, | 81:6 | 56:24, 57:5, | subsequent |
| 99:24, 100:4, | story | 57:18, 57:19, | 38:12, 89:16 subsidiary |
| 127:3, 129:8, | 91:3, 141:2 | 57:20, 62:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { subsidiary } \\ & \text { 100:7 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 137:13 | straight | $104: 3, \quad 104: 10,$ | subsidizing |
| stated | 42:13 | $107: 20, \quad 108: 2$ | subsidizing $135: 14$ |
| 13:13, 13:21, | stream | $110: 16,110: 17$ | 135:14 <br> substantial |
| 16:2, 19:16, | $82: 17,82: 25,$ | $126: 11$ | substantial 108:17, 108:18, |
| 20:1, 67:8 <br> statement | $83: 7$, $83: 93,8$, $83: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { submission } \\ & 17: 8,26: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $108: 22$ |
| 12:11, 15:1, | 113:13, 118:2 | 71:24, 94:25, | substantially |
| 17:13, 20:14, | stripping | 125:2 | 56:21 |
| 26:13, 36:12, | 85:10, 86:3 | submissions | substantiated |
| 63:15, 127:9, | strong | 17:15, 28:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 4, \quad 55: 7 \\ & \text { subsumed } \end{aligned}$ |
| 130:20 | 96:14 | $57: 14$ | subsumed $9: 5,74: 25$ |
| statements | strongly | submit | 9:5, 74:25, |
| 16:22, 17:2, | 96:10 | 24:19, 49:10, | 75:1 <br> subterranean |
| 43:1, 52:14, | structure | 51:21, 53:22, | subterranean <br> 98:9, 99:9 |
| $53: 10,58: 8$ states | $79: 14$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 72: 17, \quad 112: 13, \\ & 112: 22, \quad 112: 23 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 98:9, } 99: 9 \\ & \text { subtract } \end{aligned}$ |
| $88: 10, \quad 96: 24$ | structures | $\text { 113:1, } 113: 22 \text {, }$ | 35:14 |
| status | 118:15, 142:25, | 114:2, 115:4, | successful |
| 35:12 | 144:9 | 115:5, 119:16, | 138:24 |
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| sue | supplement | system | 80:22, 82:6, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 88:14 | 83:14 | 86:11 | 84:25, 87:19, |
| sugarland | support | T | 88:24, 88:25, |
| 3:7, 3:12, | 9:16, 15:22, | t | 92: 6 |
| 3:17, 6:17, | 15:24, 20:18, | 77:9, 84:3 | talk |
| 7:19, 8:5, 8:9, | 67:3, 91:5, | table | 28:7, 33:4, |
| 24:19, 61:14, | 121:15, 121:21 | 70:21, 73:15, | 109:25 |
| 61:16, 69:10, | supporting | 85:2, 87:5, | talked |
| 74:2, 77:1, | 146:18 | 89:3, 91:12, | 86:22, 94:11 |
| 77:2, 78:20, | supposed | 98:5, 98:18, | talking |
| 79:5, 79:12, | 62:1, 74:11, | 126:20, 131:7, | 26:6, 34:1, |
| 80:2, 81:16, | 75:8, 106:2, | 131:13 | 42:14, 42:17, |
| 81:23, 82:4, | 123:16 | take | 45:13, 65:5, |
| 83:1, 85:20, | supposition | 6:24, 19:10, | 73:4, 91:18, |
| 85:23, 85:24, | 79:1 | 27:13, 32:1, | 105:17, 109:19, |
| 87:7, 88:2, | sure | 60:2, 66:19, | 109:21, 109:23, |
| 88:3, 88:16, | 19:1, 20:23, | 66:20, 67:15, | 109:25, 116:23, |
| 90:12, 92:20, | 27:24, 44:3, | 67:21, 79:18, | 116:25, 120:24, |
| 92:23, 95:16, | 44:25, 54:19, | 80:2, 80:21, | 122:19, 122:21, |
| 95:20, 96:1, | 58:6, 58:10, | 81:15, 87:6, | 129:1, 131:19, |
| 96:2, 98:8, | 61:17, 83:15, | 87:20, 90:10, | 134:23, 144:15 |
| 102:3, 124:15, | 93:3, 94:3, | 93:18, 94:8, | tank |
| 130:11 | 96:7, 96:21, | 95:25, 99:4, | 97:18 |
| suggest | 102:7, 103:4, | 100:20, 101:13, | tanks |
| 125:19 | 110:14, 123:7, | 102:13, 102:17, | 97:19 |
| suggesting | 126:9, 127:24, | 109:1, 114:5, | tape |
| 113:7 | 133:11, 137:3, | 114:17, 116:21, | 75:23 |
| suggestion | 139:6, 140:10, | 117:5, 117:19, | tasfaye |
| 118:13, 123:7 | 143:3 | 117:22, 120:11, | $46: 2, \quad 46: 3$ |
| suggestions | surely | 125:18, 127:21, | tasked |
| 133:7 | 79:25, 93:2 | 127:22 | 89:20 |
| suggests | surrounding | taken | teams |
| 96:10 | 14:3, 57:23, | 15:12, 16:19, | 7:5, 9:13, |
| suit | 63:3, 130:10 | 20:7, 21:20, | 9:19, 10:11 |
| 88:25, 89:1, | survey | 54:9, 71:6, | technical |
| 89:2 | 76:17, 116:19 | 75:25, 97:7, | 6:6, 9:16, |
| summary | surveyor | 105:10, 108:13, | 37:4, 63:17 |
| 40:6, 136:20 | 64:9 | 131:8, 139:6, | technically |
| sunday | susan | 145:16 | 41:3, 123:3 |
| 8:18 | 65:1 | takes | technology |
| sunlight | suspect | 100:14, 121:23 | 59:10 |
| 54:11 | 136:15 | taking | telephoned |
| super | suspicion | 11:19, 58:16, | 11:6 |
| 121:23 | 106:13 | 70:13, 74:2, | tell |
| super-choppy | sworn | 74:4, 76:24, | 31:3, 31:19, |
| 58:9 | 56:9 | 77:25, 78:3, | 42:9, 73:20, |
| supper | syllables | 79:12, 79:22, | 73:21, 73:22, |
| 36:6 | 103:15 |  | 78:25, 101:10, |
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| 109:25, 117:12, | 63:7, 68:6, | thereafter | 88:25, 92:14, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 137:16, 137:17 | 68:20, 70:12, | 94:2 | 98:3, 104:14, |
| ten | 70:22, 72:23, | therefore | 106:17, 106:22, |
| 7:3, 39:12 | 73:6, 76:20, | 16:4, 18:4, | 110:11, 110:20, |
| term | $78: 5,78: 8$, | 18:20, 48:2, | 111:9, 111:12, |
| 126:21, 126:25 | 81:18, 83:16, | 57:20, 60:10, | 111:21, 111:25, |
| terminology | 87:2, 89:9, | 88:21, 104:1, | 117:6, 117:24, |
| 28:24 | 90:9, 103:2, | 107:20 | 118:18, 118:19, |
| terms | 122:13 | thick | 121:22, 122:24, |
| 16:7, 29:2, | th | 26:20, 26:22 | 122:25, 123:15, |
| 35:15, 44:8, | 6:21, 16:12, | thing | 125:20, 126:7, |
| 64:18, 96:25, | 17:4, 19:17, | 21:25, 22:2, | 127:7, 127:18, |
| 98:3 | 19:22, 38:16, | 38:15, 40:23, | 128:4, 128:9, |
| tertiary | 39:20, 42:1, | 44:7, 46:24, | 128:11, 128:22, |
| 108:10 | 42:3, 43:8, | 63:17, 74:11, | 132:20, 133:3, |
| tesfaye | 43:16, 43:17, | 77:24, 88:16, | 134:8, 134:12, |
| 16:12, 71:8, | 44:11, 47:3, | 114:18, 116:20 | 134:20, 134:22, |
| 103:12, 103:13, | 49:4, 49:10, | things | 135:15, 136:25, |
| 103:14, 107:12 | 49:15, 51:20, | 42:12, 63:11, | 140:23 |
| test | 52:18, 52:21, | 71:16, 84:2, | thinking |
| 49:9, 57:7, | 53:2, 71:7, | 94:6, 110:25, | 105:11, 128:10 |
| $68: 14$ | 72:23, 73:6, | 111:15, 113:11, | third |
| testified | 76:20, 78:8, | 113:13, 114:6, | 69:22, 70:24, |
| 111:22 | 79:10, 81:18, | 116:22, 118:20, | $107: 2,123: 17$ |
| testifies | $87: 2, ~ 90: 2, ~$ $94.25, ~ 107: 6$, | 119:2, 119:11, | thought |
| 11:24 | 94:25, 107:6, | 120:23, 122:7, | 26:17, 27:1, |
| testify | $140: 20$ <br> thank | $133: 14,134: 14$ <br> think | $27: 16, \quad 100: 7$ <br> thousand |
| 8:7, 8:13, | $8: 11,10: 9,$ | $11: 2,20: 24,$ | $90: 7$ |
| 11:25, 21:11, $22: 7,23: 3$ | $11: 18, \quad 12: 15$ | $21: 20,22: 3$ | three |
| 24:1, 56:3, | 20:14, 20:15, | 22:19, 26:13, | 16:4, 19:17, |
| 68:10, 68:19, | $23: 21, ~ 27: 13$, $27: 21, ~$ | 26:14, 27:16, | $33: 8,41: 16$, |
| 115:22 | 27:21, 34:2, | 29:15, 29:17, | 41:17, 43:18, |
| testimony | $\begin{array}{ll}39: 14, & 43: 6, \\ 47: 13, & 52: 8,\end{array}$ | $30: 20,34: 3$ | $43: 24,48: 5,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4: 4, \quad 4: 8, \quad 4: 10, \\ & 6: 24,11: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $53: 7,55: 12,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 20, \quad 36: 21, \\ & 38: 6, \quad 38: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 2, \quad 70: 20, \\ & 80: 25, \quad 81: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| 13:7, 21:9, | $55: 23,55: 25$ | $38: 12,38: 24,$ | $81: 8,81: 11,$ |
| 23:2, 34:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 63: 8, \quad 68: 11, \\ & 68: 18, \quad 84: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $44: 2, ~ 44: 5$, $50: 15,50: 19$, | $\begin{array}{lll} 87: 23, & 92: 14, \\ 93: 19, & 93: 21, \end{array}$ |
| $49: 4,49: 7$, $49: 10, ~ 49: 14$, | 84:23, 102:16, | $50: 15,50: 19$, $51: 2,52: 22$, | $93: 25, \quad 99: 17,$ |
| 49:18, 49:25, | 102:23, 103:14, | 53:4, 53:6, | 115:12, 121:15, |
| 50:21, 51:6, | 141:20, 141:21, | 53:24, 55:5, | 121:21, 140:10 |
| 51:21, 52:1, | 143:6, 144:14 | 55:25, 56:7, | threes |
| 52:5, 52:16, | thee | 57:10, 60:1, | 28:5, 29:9, |
| 53:2, 53:22, | 100:23 | 60:11, 62:9, | 30:3, 31:15, |
| 53:25, 56:11, | themselves | 62:22, 63:7, | 35:15, 36:13, |
| 57:6, 60:15, | 7:13, 132:16 | 64:1, 66:3, | 38:21, 41:17, |
| 60:16, 62:22, | theoretically <br> 79:3, 104:25 | 70:8, 86:24, | 42:10, 44:24, |
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|  | ```title 39:24, 40:18, 84:12, 84:13, 87:18 titled 43:24 today 6:24, 7:4, 8:7, 8:13, 17:13, 18:25, 20:12, 108:7, 108:9, 140:19, 141:2 today's 19:15, 20:9, 140:15 together 40:24 told 19:5, 23:14, 52:2, 95:22, 97:22, 115:13, 127:14, 132:12, 136:13, 137:2 took 9:8, 95:18, 97:24 top 89:12, 96:23, 98:6 topic 138:10, 138:12 total 14:2, 29:2, 36:2, 47:24 totally 35:21 touched 88:13 touching 106:14, 106:17 tow 90:2 towards 84:6, 84:7, 86:4 track 46:19 trade 65:2``` | ```trail 81:25, 84:25, 86:15, 86:17, 111:13, 113:3, 113:14, 118:2, 118:18, 144:1 trails 85:16 transcribed 1:25, 146:17 transcriber 146:14 transcript 4:3, 11:16, 146:16 transit 86:20 travis 36:14, 43:17 treated 97:5 tree 40:10, 40:11, 47:24, 48:3, 88:4, 88:7, 93:12, 93:23, 93:24, 94:5, 94:8, 95:7, 101:8 trees 15:25, 40:7, 54:17, 54:24, 55:20, 61:14, 62:3, 64:21, 64:24, 82:12, 85:17, 87:25, 92:13, 94:12, 95:9, 101:14, 105:4, 108:11, 130:11 tremendous 20:8 trespassers 85:25 tried 8:16, 10:10, 44:20, 93:18 trimming 88:8``` | ```trouble 98:20 truck 92:5 trucks 88:9 true 134:17, 146:16 truth 12:11, 12:12, 43:2 try 9:19, 32:3, 45:24, 59:12, 107:2, 140:1, 144:11 trying 30:10, 36:11, 40:27, 45:8, 58:2, 70:17, 124:19 tuesday 140:24 turn 10:22, 56:3, 67:17, 68:9, 95:2 turned 11:5 two 15:5, 15:7, 19:25, 21:7, 21:10, 21:24, 22:1, 22:11, 30:23, 32:24, 33:8, 33:20, 35:9, 36:7, 38:20, 40:8, 40:24, 41:16, 43:18, 48:5, 48:6, 52:4, 56:25, 58:1, 61:5, 65:14, 66:15, 67:18, 67:19, 68:25, 69:7, 70:4, 72:11, 73:23, 74:25, 75:3,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 78:1, 78:8, | under | unedited | upgrade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78:13, 79:10, | 6:18, 11:23, | 89:9 | 64:25, 65:9 |
| 81:12, 90:23, | 12:10, 23:14, | unethical | uploaded |
| 91:4, 91:18, | 24:12, 25:22, | 52: 6 | 41:8, 41:21 |
| 93:5, 94:2, | 27:17, 31:14, | unfair | upset |
| 94:10, 97:18, | 33:11, 42:22, | 17:16, 49:14, | 82:8 |
| 98:1, 101:2, | 42:25, 54:10, | 50:4, 51:19, | useful |
| 103:15, 118:3, | 87:8, 91:2, | 66:9 | 32:21 |
| 119:21, 120:1, | 101:7, 105:1, | unfettered | uses |
| 120:4, 125:6, | 109:1, 131:18, | 126:19 | 82:13, 125:4 |
| 125:11, 135:12 | 138:2, 139:15 | unfortunately | using |
| twos | underground | 8:17, 8:20, | 79:4, 98:18, |
| 28:5, 28:17, | 91:14, 97:18 | 36:8, 41:24, | 117:1 |
| 29:9, 29:16, | underhanded | 97:14, 104:18, | usual |
| 29:21, 30:3, | 94:14 | 111:9, 111:16 | $88: 24$ |
| 30:5, 31:15, | underline | uniformly | usually |
| 35:14, 36:13, | 83:3, 92:18 underlying | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 12,47: 21 \\ & \text { united } \end{aligned}$ | $54: 18,78: 18$ |
| 38:21, 42:10, |  |  | utilizing |
| $44: 24,47: 21$ | 14:4 underneath | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 10, \quad 96: 24 \\ & \text { unjustly } \end{aligned}$ | 102:4 |
| type |  |  | utterback |
| 44:5, 92:2, | $9: 12$ | $102: 1$ | 2:15, 102:23, |
| 94:22, 137:11 |  | unless ${ }^{\text {104:23, 108:8, }}$ | 145:15, 145:24 |
| typed | $8: 22,14: 23,$ |  | V |
| $104: 15$ typically | $41: 21,53: 24,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 104: 23, \quad 108: 8, \\ & 108: 9, \quad 108: 10, \end{aligned}$ | value |
| 50:12, 140:22 | 58:12, 58:19, | unmuted | $96: 25$ |
| U | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 22, \quad 64: 2, \\ & 93: 3, \quad 110: 20, \end{aligned}$ | 11 | $69: 6$ |
| ugh |  | unnamed | various |
| $\begin{aligned} & 114: 14 \\ & \text { uh } \end{aligned}$ | $111: 4,111: 5,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 6 \\ & \text { unstable } \end{aligned}$ | $14: 22,19: 10,$ |
| uh $106: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111: 21, \\ & 123: 11, \\ & 124: 15, \end{aligned}$ | unstable$98: 23$ | 57:21, 60:18, |
| uh-huh | $124: 18,125: 25,$ |  | 95:21, 98:11, |
| 136:21, 142:23 | 126:1, 128:17, |  | vehicular |
| ultimately | 130:14, 133:9, | $14: 14, \quad 14: 15,$ | $92: 3, \quad 92: 5$ |
| 126:16 | 133:16, 135:4 understanding | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 14, ~ 14: 15, \\ & 18: 21, ~ 24: 4, \end{aligned}$ | verbal |
| 56:9 | $26: 15,136: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 16, \quad 104: 24, \\ & 129: 12, \quad 129: 13, \end{aligned}$ | 134:2 |
| unable | understood |  | verbally |
| 39:19, 97:16 | $48: 8,49: 23,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129: 12, ~ 129: 13, \\ & 129: 14, ~ 131: 7 \end{aligned}$ | vernacular |
| unapproved | $49: 24,49: 25$ | untimely | $102: 7$ |
| 122:4 | $51: 3$ | $123: 18$ | version |
| unauthorized | understory <br> 108.9 | updated | 113:18 |
| $82: 13,88: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108: 9 \\ & \text { undertaken } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 10: 12, & 24: 24, \\ 27: 22, & 78: 1, \end{array}$ | versus |
| 89:7 | 135:15 | 27:22, 78:1, | 73:7, 73:15, |
| unclear | underwriting | 82:23, 94:19, $106: 2$ |  |
| 43:19, 51:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:14 } \\ & \text { undivided } \end{aligned}$ | updating | 55:4 |
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| via | 135:17, 141:16, | 144:13 | went |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:5, 8:15 | 142:22, 144:4 | ways | 75:2, 91:10, |
| video | wanted | 65:17, 78:18, | 93:24, 123:16 |
| 8:15, 8:16, | 35:12, 48:4, | 99:17 | weren't |
| 8:20, 9:21, | 63:23, 68:22, | we'll | 134:15 |
| 10:22, 11:11 | 96:10, 109:2, | 32:19, 51:25, | west |
| viewed | 134:12, 136:24, | 67:20, 102:18, | 74:6, 78:3, |
| 54:6 | 137:1 | 129:18, 142:21 | 96:22, 99:16 |
| violating | wants | we're | wet |
| 120:3 | 90:10 | 11:20, 26:7, | 98:23 |
| violation | washer | $31: 5,31: 17$, | whammy |
| 132:22, 135:6 | 98:17 | 37:7, 37:10, | 102: 6 |
| virtually | wasps | 39:18, 43:6, | whatever |
| 95:11, 95:12 | 66:15, 67:15, | 45:23, 53:11, | $112: 8,118: 16,$ |
| visit | 67:18, 67:21 | 62:5, 67:6, | $129: 19,141: 16$ |
| 124:2 | waste | 77:25, 81:10, | whereas |
| visual | 16:8, 99:17 | 82:20, 84:3, | 100:13 |
| 10:1, 10:2, | watch | 90:16, 96:19, | whether |
| 10:5, 10:9 | 28:12, 31:2, | 97:3, 102:13, | 9:17, 20:21, |
| visuals | 141:10 | 102:17, 104:12, | 56:17, 57:22, |
| 10:13 | water | 110:21, 112:6, | 63:4, 70:10, |
| vital | 15:25, 16:7, | 114:18, 115:6, | 85:16, 103:9, |
| 90:19 | 91:14, 97:3, | 120:23, 120:24, | 104:18, 105:8, |
| voluntarily | 97:6, 97:25, | 144:17 | 110:14, 119:7, |
| 70:23 | 98:5, 98:18, | we've | 123:17, 130:7, |
| vps | 98:24, 99:6, | 86:2, 127:19 weal thier | 134:5, 139:10, |
| 10:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 9, \quad 99: 12, \\ & 99: 17 \quad 105: 12 \end{aligned}$ | wealthier $69: 25$ | $140: 2,141: 14$ |
| W |  | web | whoever $11: 24$ |
| wait <br> 29.14, 92.25 | 126:18 | 13:4, 38:4 | whole |
| 106:19, 125:24, | way | webpage | 12:11, 21:25, |
| 133:15, 136:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 15, \quad 39: 3, \\ & 56: 8, \quad 70: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 21, \quad 24: 24, \\ & 25: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 22: 2, & 28: 13, \\ 31: 7, & 43: 7 \end{array}\right.$ |
| walk | $74: 4,74: 19,$ | website | $55: 19,86: 22,$ |
| 28:14, 54:25 <br> wanders | 75:3, 76:8, | 9:5, 9:6, 12:3, | 89:20, 96:19, |
| $120: 4$ | 78:15, 78:24, | 25:19, 25:22, | 99:19, 100:7, |
| 120:4 want | 79:20, 79:25, | 38:19, 38:22 | 126:11, 132:7, |
| want $15: 19,16: 21$, | 80:18, 87:14, | week | 135:14 |
| $15: 19, ~ 16: 21$, $26: 7,33: 6$, | 88:1, 88:21, | 140:17, 140:20 | wide |
| $26: 7, ~ 33: 6$, $38: 25, ~ 40: 5$, | 90:17, 90:25, | weigh | 78:21, 79:23, |
| $\begin{array}{ll}38: 25, & 40: 5, \\ 42: 21, & 50: 13,\end{array}$ | 91:24, 94:23, | 109:17 | 79:24 |
| $42: 21, ~ 50: 13$, $51: 14, ~ 58: 24$, | 95:18, 98:7, | weighing | widest |
| 51:14, 58:24, 62:25, 69:22, | 100:5, 104:17, | 86:24 | 79:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 62: 25, \quad 69: 22, \\ & 83: 25, \quad 89: 1, \end{aligned}$ | 110:15, 111:7, | weight | wildlife |
| $94: 8, \quad 120: 19,$ | 115:23, 118:1, | 28:12, 28:15 | 69:3 |
| $120: 22,120: 23,$ | $125: 22, ~ 127: 20, ~$ $131: 10, ~ 139: 3$, | wellhead | willing |
| 123:6, 127:24, | 131:10, 139:3, 139:5, 140:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 6 \\ & \text { wells } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 8,139: 15, \\ & 139: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
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| willingness | words | 10:10, 10:14, | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66:8 | 16:7, 131:12 | 11:5, 31:17, | . 17 |
| windows | work | 32:9, 32:18, | 43:24 |
| 43:13 | 11:19, 20:8, | 34:5, 34:25, | . 26 |
| winter | 88:10, 96:6 | 39:8, 42:1, | 119:18 |
| 55:18 | worked | 42:7, 45:8, | . 43 |
| wiping | 68:25, 93:11 | 47:11, 50:19, | 43:21, 48:1 |
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