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| 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Exhibits | 1 what -- then I'm going to go over -- we have some rules, |
| 2 None marked or presented into evidence. | 2 particular rules for teams hearings and one of them is if |
| 3 | 3 you wish to be recognized there -- if you hover over your |
| 4 | 4 screen in the bottom there is a raise your hand button. |
| 5 | 5 Okay. If you wish to be recognized, use the raise your |
| 6 | 6 hand button and that way I can keep track of who wants to |
| 7 | 7 say something. If you're on the phone you can ask to be |
| 8 | 8 recognized. If you see the raise your hand button go up |
| ${ }^{9}$ | 9 then you need -- whoever is speaking, a witness, if a |
| ${ }^{10}$ | 10 witness is speaking the witness needs to stop speaking |
| 11 | 11 immediately. |
| 12 | 12 The other thing is there is -- because this |
| 13 | 13 is -- to eliminate or to make the transcript |
| $1{ }^{14}$ | 14 clearer -- because this is subject to appeal on the basis |
| 16 | 15 of the record here, to make the transcript clearer you |
| 17 | 16 cannot interrupt, you cannot cross-talk. Cross-talk is |
| 18 | 17 when two -- multiple people are speaking at once. That |
| 19 | 18 makes it very difficult for the court reporter to get a |
| 28 | 19 clear transcript. |
| 21 | 20 All right. With that, the other thing I'm going |
| 22 | 21 to ask is if you speak or wish to be added to the list, we |
| 23 | 22 need your email address. So if you haven't testified |
| 24 | 23 before, please -- in addition to your name and address, |
| 25 | 24 please provide your email address so we can get notices to 25 you quickly. |
| 6 | 8 |
| 1 PROCEEDINGS | 1 Okay. Now is there anyone representing -- yes, |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. This is | 2 Ms. Regelin? |
| 3 the continuation of the public hearing in OZAH case number | 3 NANCY REGELIN: I was just going to ask, there |
| $4 \quad 20-07$ Metro Grounds Management, a conditional use | 4 are a number of phone numbers and I know one of them is Mr. |
| 5 application for a landscape contractor business at 3731 | 5 Utterback but I'm wondering if we could have the other |
| 6 Damascus Road, Brookeville, Maryland. | 6 phone numbers at least identify who they are. |
| $7 \quad$ Will the parties please -- well, Ms. Regelin, | 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. That's |
| 8 would you please identify yourself for the record? | 8 helpful because if you have a phone number we -- even -- we |
| 9 NANCY REGELIN: So good morning. This is Nancy | 9 don't have any email contact information. So I see one |
| 10 Regelin, attorney with Shulman Rogers, here on behalf of | 10 phone number. If there's anyone -- I see three phone |
| 11 the applicant, Metro Grounds. And I do have a number of my | 11 numbers. There is a 461-8790. Can that person identify |
| 12 witnesses here with me today. So you'll see a number of | 12 themselves? Oh, okay. I see a 928-9750. |
| 13 them. John Sekerak, Devin Kennedy, Sergio Rodriguez -- | 13 JANICE QUINN That is me, Ms. Hannan, Janice |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 14 Quinn. |
| 15 NANCY REGELIN: -- Andy Smith. | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. And I |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I understand. | 16 think we do have your email address. I see -- |
| 17 So we don't have to name -- | 17 JANICE QUINN Yes. |
| 18 NANCY REGELIN: Okay. | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I see a |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- them but | 19 703-851 number. |
| 20 thank you. | 20 RICK CONRATH: That's me. This is Rick Conrath. |
| 21 Now just a few words. Is there anyone | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is that one of |
| 22 participating today that was not present at the last | 22 your experts, Ms. Regelin? |
| 23 hearing? | 23 MS. REGELIN: Yes, that's my architect. Thank |
| 24 JACK QUINN: I am, Ms. Hannan. Jack Quinn. | 24 you. |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. This is | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Those |


| 9 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 are all the numbers that I see, phone numbers that I see. | 1 respond. |
| 2 Okay. Now is there anyone representing the opposition? | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I did. I've |
| 3 Well, we have a couple -- Mr. Quinn, let me ask you. Are | 3 read your cases and I guess I am unaware of any case, and |
| 4 you representing | 4 you can address this, I'm unaware of any case that gives a |
| 5 JACK QUINN: No, Your Honor. | 5 person in an area a property right in somebody else's |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So what | 6 zoning. If my experience is -- you know, as you know, as |
| 7 we will do is you will have a chance -- this is an informal | 7 your cases say, there has to be a property right and I |
| 8 hearing. It's quasi-judicial, it's trial-type, and it is | 8 don't -- do you have any cases saying that neighbors have a |
| 9 subject to due process safeguards. Testimony is | 9 property right in somebody else's rezoning? |
| 10 oath. It's subject to cross-examination. So you will have | 10 JACK QUINN: The staffing report as well as the |
| 11 a chance to question the witnesses and you will have a | 11 applicant identified individuals as being affected |
| 12 chance -- we have not finished the applicant's case. So | 12 individuals within the neighborhood. I have a property |
| 13 you will have a chance to testify | 13 right and when action is taken that would affect that |
| 14 questions, please limit them to questions, and your chanc | 14 property right I'm entitled to due process of law. So if |
| 15 will come to testify in the case. | 15 you're asking for a precise case about that I'd be happy to |
| 16 With that, preliminary matters. We have another | 16 look at it further, but the cases we've cited say that when |
| 17 motion to postpone. Mr. Quinn, it's your motion. | 17 your property rights are in fact affected you're entitled |
| 18 JACK QUINN: Yes, Ms. Hannan. I laid out in -- | 18 to notice which is of a type to provide actual notice. So |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can | 19 I'm within the -- |
| 20 you -- wait. I'm going to stop you one second. Can yo | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, just -- |
| 21 turn your video on please? There we go. Thank you | 21 JACK QUINN: I'm within the affected |
| 22 JACK QUINN: All right. | 22 neighborhood. My property value will go down as a result |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: New world. | 23 of this exercise we contend. I think our safety is |
| 24 JACK | 24 affected by what is a catastrophic entrance onto a 40 mile |
| 25 modern age. Ms. Hannan, I had originally filed a motion to | 25 an hour zone by heavy equipment. I think it goes on and |
| 10 | 12 |
| 1 postpone. I renewed that and supplemented it with a filing | 1 on, Ms. Hannan, and -- |
| 2 on Friday. Our position is simple. We believe we are | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I understan |
| 3 individuals who are entitled to due process and we did not | 3 I read your case however, Griffin v. Biermann (ph), that |
| 4 receive direct notification. The only notification that | 4 you cited and it upheld the statutory scheme where actual |
| 5 potentially could be thought of as having been received is | 5 notice wasn't provided and that was a foreclosure case |
| 6 a sign, a relatively small sign, the dimensions are in the | 6 where clearly, clearly the plaintiff had a property right. |
| 7 record, which is on Damascus Road. | 7 So I'm not sure -- let me get this. Let me ask you this. |
| 8 Damascus Road, that location, despite what staff | 8 The cases distinguish between spatial challenges to the |
| 9 recorded it as being, is 40 miles an hour. The sign is | 9 statute and as applied. Which is yours? |
| 10 placed in such a way that one approaching that sign from | 10 JACK QUINN: I think both and I understand that |
| 11 the east heading westbound can only see the side of it. We | 11 the statutory scheme is what it is. The question about |
| 12 absolutely believe that that sign does not constitute | 12 whether or not it comports with due process in this case as |
| 13 notice actually expected to advise people who have a direct | 13 applied or generally is a matter that eventually will be |
| 14 interest in this matter. As a matter of fact, when you're | 14 decided in this case |
| 15 driving at 40 miles an hour you're supposed to keep your | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I get |
| 16 eyes on the road. | 16 it. You're saying you're going to appeal that sign. |
| 17 So I've laid out our grounds. We believe | 17 That's up to you. |
| 18 have no problem with receiving today but our essential | 18 JACK QUINN: No, what I am saying is that you |
| 19 position is we're entitled to a reasonable amount of time | 19 should allow for all types of reasons the people in this |
| 20 to produce experts and to supplement this record for your | 20 affected neighborhood to have the opportunity to |
| 21 consideration. The failure to provide that time we believe | 21 effectively voice their concerns and protect their property |
| 22 adds up to a violation of our due process rights associated | 22 interests. That's what I'm saying. |
| 23 with the protection of our property under the 14th | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I |
| 24 Amendment. So otherwise, I believe I've laid out o | 24 understand. Thank you. Well, I have one more question. |
| 25 grounds. If you have any questions I'd be happy to try to | 25 You were not here for the last hearing. |


| 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 JACK QUINN: That's correct. | 1 from being present. |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So I guess what | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I have no |
| 3 Ms. Regelin said, and I agree with her, is that the | 3 doubt. It's not that at all. It's that -- |
| 4 surrounding area is not din | 4 |
| 5 not define a property right. It is a broad brush measure | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- we've had |
| 6 for determining -- Ms. Regelin, can you explain? | 6 several motions supplemented by your research and that's |
| 7 MS. REGELIN: So we define the neighborhood which | 7 what I mean. I have no doubt that you had several court |
| 8 is the zoning construct in the conditional use permit arena | 8 cases. Are they live now? |
| 9 in order to evaluate compatibility | 9 JACK QUINN: I was actually supposed to have a |
| 10 neighborhood. | 10 jury trial today and they went back to -- |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Exactly. So | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, I did re |
| 12 it's not going through every single property to see whether | 12 that |
| 13 you're affected or not. It's just a broad brush method of | 13 JACK QUINN: -- day three of the court system. |
| 14 determining what area to assess for compatibility purposes | 14 I've been given an opportunity to be heard. I have no |
| 15 So have you addressed that issue? | 15 intention of hesitating to continue to make this record and |
| 16 JACK QUINN: I believe we have addressed the | 16 you'll rule as you think best. |
| 17 issue. What is a sensible interpretation of compatibility? | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That's fine. |
| 18 How could it be said that this application or this | 18 Thank you. I'm going to -- I see two hands up. Keep in |
| 19 conditional use is compatible with the surrounding | 19 mind for those that are going to say something, we are |
| 20 neighborhood when the surrounding neighborhood would incur | 20 governed by unauthorized practice of law. So if you're not |
| 21 all of the violations that in fact we contend we can | 21 an attorney and you're not licensed in Maryland you cannot |
| 22 present if given time? How can it be compatible if that | 22 make legal arguments. If you want to say something non- |
| 23 driveway exit onto a 40 mile an hour roadway with heavy | 23 legal you can, but I'm just trying to protect you from any |
| 24 equipment, how can that be compatible | 24 question. So I'm going to recognize Ms. -- with that, |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, Mr. | 25 think through what you're going to say. I have Mr. Lambert |
| 14 | 16 |
| 1 Quinn, just a second. There's a landscape contractor right | 1 and Mr. O'Toole with their hands up. But the Court has |
| 2 next door. | 2 gotten more strict on unauthorized practice. So that being |
| 3 JACK QUINN: No, there is a landscape contractor | 3 said, I'm going not recognize Ms. Regelin and then if you |
| 4 back behind the woods. | 4 have -- if Mr. Lambert and Mr. O'Toole have something non- |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But it's the | 5 legal to say, that's fine. Okay. Ms. Regelin? |
| 6 same driveway | 6 MS. REGELIN: Good morning. So this is Mr. |
| 7 JACK QUINN: And at that exit -- no, it's not the | 7 Quinn's third request for postponement on almost the same |
| 8 same driveway. It's not at all the same driveway. If | 8 grounds every time and so we're relying in part to the |
| 9 that's what's been presented to you - | 9 written opposition that we've filed in the past. We would |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, it hasn't. | 10 say briefly that we have complied with all of the statutory |
| 11 I'm asking you why is this different. | 11 notice which includes the proper mailing notice to |
| 12 JACK QUINN: I'm not sure what you're saying, Ms. | 12 adjoining and confronting as well as posting four signs on |
| 13 Hannan. | 13 the property. There are two on Damascus Road but they are |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Let me | 14 posted as we're required to do at a certain distance, at a |
| 15 do this. This is the third or fourth -- third iteration of | 15 certain size, et cetera. So we've complied with the |
| 16 this. I understand your argument. I've read your cases. | 16 statutory scheme for providing notice not only to the |
| 17 I'm going to let Ms. Regelin respond and then I'm not going | 17 immediately abutting neighbors but to everyone in the |
| 18 to entertain a postponement again for these same due | 18 surrounding neighborhood, and those signs have been posted |
| 19 process reasons because we have spent a lot of time on | 19 since May 30th of 2020, so five-and-a-half months ago. |
| 20 and if we want to get through the case we have to move on, | 20 OZAH sent out their notice of the hearing on |
| 21 but also it's the same arguments essentially that we'v | 21 September 17th. The planning board sent out notice of |
| 22 been making. I'm going to let Ms. Regelin respond. | 22 their hearing on October 12th. The planning board had a |
| 23 JACK QUINN: May I just finish by saying one | 23 hearing on October 22nd. We did have our hearing last week |
| 24 thing? Ms. Hannan, I would have been present at the la | 24 on November 9th. I do believe that notice was adequate and |
| 25 hearing but I had two court cases that in fact prevented me | 25 so we would ask that you deny this postponement. |


which are commercial businesses, and one citizen. And in
terms of actual notice, I wish I could ask you to close
your eyes and drive westbound on Damascus Road. All you're
going to see if you're paying attention to the roadway is
the single tiny side of this sign and the idea that that
would be reasonably calculated to provide actual notice to
myself or any of the other neighbors whose property is
located on Damascus Road is in my view ludicrous.
And I personally don't think his real estate
contract is proprietary commercial information, not based
upon any standard I've ever heard of and I've done
commercial litigation for 45 years. So that contract
should be in the record. But the viability of that
contract is not the responsibility of myself or other
individuals entitled to this due process protection and it
could have really been cured if in fact the applicant had
seen to direct notice to us. They could have done that
back in June. We could have had the opportunity --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Quinn, where do you live?

JACK QUINN: I live at 3900 Damascus Road.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I'm
going to pull up exhibit I think it's 18 which is a very
large exhibit and it takes a minute to load. Okay. I'm
going to pull up Exhibit 18. Do you see it there?
20
JACK QUINN: Not -- yes, I do.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Actually,
you're not under oath so I'm going to quickly put you under
oath if that's acceptable to you.
JACK QUINN: Okay. Sure.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Please raise
your right hand. Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of
perjury that the statements you're about to make are the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
JACK QUINN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you. And
just kindly state your address. We have your email, so
state your address for the record please.
JACK QUINN: 3900 -- 3900 Damascus Road.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So
viewing this exhibit is there a way that you can identify
your property?
JACK QUINN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Actually, let
me do this. Watch your eyes. This gets pretty crazy.
JACK QUINN: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It's going to
take me a couple of clicks but I'm getting there. Okay.
There we go. So for the record I was rotating the exhibit.
Okay. So on this map can you identify where your property

21

```
is?
JACK QUINN: Yes. Take a look at the site and the upper left-hand corner of that site. The property directly across the street is owned by -- I forget the
lady's name. I'm the one directly north of that. So if
you were to move -- exactly. And --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So --
    JACK QUINN: -- I believe -- I believe, Ms.
Hannan, that that is part of -- that reflects part of my
property. I believe it also includes the property of my
neighbor, Bryan Boughton who has been a part of these
proceedings.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So for
the record it's the property --
    BRYAN BOUGHTON: I'm sorry, Director Hannan.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Just a second.
Just --
    BRYAN BOUGHTON:Well, hold on. I think there's
a mistake. I know this map is confusing. I think Jack is
pointing to the wrong property.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
    BRYAN BOUGHTON: So can I just explain real
quick?
    MS. REGELIN: That's what I was going to say as
well.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So --
JACK QUINN: -- I believe -- I believe, Ms.
Hannan, that that is part of -- that reflects part of my
property. I believe it also includes the property of my
neighbor, Bryan Boughton who has been a part of these
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So for
BRYAN BOUGHTON: I'm sorry, Director Hannan.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Just a second. Just --
BRYAN BOUGHTON: Well, hold on. I think there's a mistake. I know this map is confusing. I think Jack is
pointing to the wrong property.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: So can I just explain real quick?
MS. REGELIN: That's what I was going to say as well.
```

is speaking?
BRYAN BOUGHTON: This is Bryan Boughton, Mr.
Quinn's neighbor.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Mr.
Boughton, if you're going to give facts can you turn your
video on please? I'm going to swear you in. Please raise
your right hand. Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of
perjury that the statements you're about to make are the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
BRYAN BOUGHTON: I do.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Please
state your address -- and we have your email. Please state
your address for the record.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: 4000 Damascus Road.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Brookeville?
BRYAN BOUGHTON: Gaithersburg, Maryland.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Gaithersburg,
Maryland. And what's the Zip?
BRYAN BOUGHTON: 20882.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Now
would you like to clarify what Mr. Quinn was saying?
BRYAN BOUGHTON: Yeah, I think because this map
is a little -- has been rotated and it gets a little
confusing.

## HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So who

 is speaking?BRYAN BOUGHTON: This is Bryan Boughton, Mr.
Quinn's neighbor.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Mr.
Boughton, if you're going to give facts can you turn your
video on please? I'm going to swear you in. Please raise
your right hand. Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of
perjury that the statements you're about to make are the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
BRYAN BOUGHTON: I do.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Please
state your address -- and we have your email. Please state
your address for the record.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: 4000 Damascus Road.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Brookeville?
BRYAN BOUGHTON: Gaithersburg, Maryland.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Gaithersburg,
Maryland. And what's the Zip?
BRYAN BOUGHTON: 20882.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Now would you like to clarify what Mr. Quinn was saying?

BRYAN BOUGHTON: Yeah, I think because this map
is a little -- has been rotated and it gets a little
confusing.
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HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes, it does.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: If you look at the lower left
corner of the site right there and Damascus Road runs along
that bottom line of the site.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: If you continue to the west or
the left along Damascus on the south side of the road the
first lot is a neighbor who was notified. Mr. Quinn is the
next lot to the west.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: And I am the third lot to the west.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank you.

JACK QUINN: And Ms. Hannan, Mr. Boughton is exactly correct.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank
you for that, Mr. Boughton. All right. So final words.
Wait. I have a hand -- I have two hands. I'm going to
recognize Mr. O'Toole and then -- and Mr. Lambert and then
we're going to finish up. Ms. Regelin gets the last say
and then we're going to move to whatever we move to. So
Mr. O'Toole, can you put your hand down now please?
JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Sure.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Kelley, can
you turn your video off please?

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you.
Hey. Wait. Wait. What is -- okay. Okay. I don't know
what that was but -- okay, Mr. O'Toole, I'm going to
recognize you.
JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Ms. Hannan, good morning. You
8 can take this comment as either legal or non-legal. It's
your choice. I am a member of the bar licensed to practice
10 law in Maryland. You were talking before and asking --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I, in fact, did that.

JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Well, that's what --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That's not a
bad thing.
JEFFREY O'TOOLE: That's what many judges say,
Your Honor. Mr. Quinn was addressing the question of
property rights before and you were wondering if there were
property rights and I'm looking at the regulations that
require that the project be compatible with the
neighborhood and you just saw what the neighborhood is.
Why else would there be a standard that the project be
compatible with the neighborhood if the neighborhood didn't
have a standing to discuss the efficacy and the elements
that are going into this project? It makes no sense at all

## CHRIS KELLEY: Yes.



```
about this initially. I asked Mr. Kelley --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Please let me
stop you again. I apologize but I'm going to have to put
you -- if you're going to testify as to facts I'm going to
have to swear you in. So please raise your right hand. Do
you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury that the
statements you're about to make are the truth, the whole
truth, and nothing but the truth?
    DAVE LAMBERT: I do.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go
ahead.
    DAVE LAMBERT:We've been doing some factfinding
around the operation of Metro Grounds and I asked Mr.
Kelley about who the purchaser of the property was and
initially he said it was me, and I asked if there was
personnel and he said yes, and then he corrected himself
and said it was an LLC but he didn't give us a name. And
our factfinding has uncovered a couple of different
companies that we think are affiliated or related or may
even be the same as Metro Grounds: Duffy Development, LLC,
and Consolidated Commercial Services. And if those
entities are involved in the purchase of this property or
this application in any way we have concerns that this is
not a landscaping activity at all. Those companies do not
hold themselves out in any way as landscaping and it does
```

raise some questions about Metro's current operation as a
landscaper.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank
you.
Ms. Regelin, you get to finish up.
Mr. Lambert, can you put your hand down please?
Thank you.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. Nancy Regelin here again. I
think the issue here on the request for postponement should
be pretty straightforward. 90 days is the ultimate
prejudice to this particular applicant and it is Metro
Grounds Management who is applying to be the holder of the
conditional use permit. I don't think it matters who is
the actual -- going to be the actual owner, what entity of
Mr. Kelley's is going to be the owner. The holder of this
conditional use permit is going to be the applicant and
they are going to be bound by all of the requirements if
this is ultimately approved.
I think there is -- you can distinguish between
someone who has standing, which even a taxpayer has
standing, versus who is required to get notice and in this
case I think it's a straightforward statutory scheme that's
been placed into regulation in the zoning ordinance and has
been this way for quite a long time, decades, of who gets
notice plus who gets notice by sign and I think we've
complied -- the applicant has complied with all of that.
So I think when you balance that the applicant
has complied with all the notice requirements and he's going to be ultimately prejudiced if there's a postponement and you combine that with already there has been a number of weeks that have passed and we're getting some indication that the -- certain individuals haven't been able to even secure any kind of opposition expert. So I think on the balance of all that I think it has to be denied again.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right.
This is what I would like to do. I am unaware and nobody
has cited to me that there is a property interest that
requires due process. Under the standard in the case cited
by Mr. Quinn the statutory scheme has to give reasonable
notice or reasonably calculated notice. There are
cases -- in this case individuals did get notice June 5th
through the sign.
So given the legal things, the surrounding area
and land use is, I agree, more of a broad brush approach to
be able to assess the compatibility of the use but it
doesn't convey property rights and it's not -- it's not
even set until, you know, long into the process. So for
that reason I'm going to deny the motion to postpone. I
would like to see the contact.
We are governed by the -- I would like to see the
extension in the record and we can have some follow up
on -- if we get the extension I'm going to allow follow up
to have comments on -- from everybody on whether that is,
you know, sufficient prejudice or whether it somehow gives
an out, and this -- so this is what I'm going to do. We
will proceed with the hearing today. We are going to -- I
don't know -- you had also requested another hearing to
present a noise expert.
MS. REGELIN: So I had assumed based on some of
10 those discussions that went on at the preliminary matter at
11 last meeting that you were giving the community until the
12 30th to present witnesses, and I apologize if I
13 misunderstood that discussion. And so since in the course
14 of the cross-examination noise came up I had secured a
15 rebuttal witness as an expert to present noise and so I was
16 proffering that we would present our noise expert at the
17 same time that the community presented any expert
18 witnesses.
19 But I had also asked that since it was on a
20 constrained time period and I understood that we couldn't
21 meet all of the, you know, rules of when expert witnesses
22 had to be identified that we could foreshorten that as well
23 but at least give the applicant notice of any experts that
24 had been secured by identifying them by a certain date,
25 providing the outline of what their testimony was, and then


```
testimony or questions. That's my job as the hearing
manager. So I don't care who is -- what your strategy is.
I don't care whose strategy is anything. We're going to do
this by what's relevant to the rules of procedure. Now
we're going to move on. I'm not going to recognize anyone
else at this point. We're going to go to Ms. Regelin's
next witness.
    DAVE LAMBERT: Then I want -- then I want it on
the record that there were questions from members of the
opposition on the question of Ms. Regelin's access that I
would consider privileged information from the opposition.
That's what I want on the record. You may move on.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, thank you.
    Ms. Regelin?
    MS. REGELIN: I'd like to call as my first
witness Rick Conrath who is the applicant's expert
architect.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Conrath,
please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly affirm under
penalties of perjury that the statements you're about to
make are the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
truth?
    RICK CONRATH: I do.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Please state
your name, business address, and email for the record.
```

RICK CONRATH: My name is Rick Conrath. I'm a
principal with GTM Architects at 7735 Old Georgetown Road,
Suite 700, in Bethesda, Maryland. My email address is
rconrath@gtmarchitects.com.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you.
Ms. Regelin?
MS. REGELIN: Mr. Conrath, have you submitted
your credentials into the record?
RICK CONRATH: Yes. My resume is Exhibit 40(a).
MS. REGELIN: Can you provide an overview of your
education and experience as an architect for the hearing
examiner please?
RICK CONRATH: Yes, I have a master of landscape
architect from Harvard University, a bachelor of
architecture from the University of Houston. I have
Maryland registration. I'm licensed in other states as
well. And I'm a member of the National Council of
Architectural Restoration Board -- Registration Board. I
have been practicing for over 37 years.
MS. REGELIN: Have you been previously accepted
or testified as an expert in architecture before the
hearing examiner's office or any other board or agency in
the area?
RICK CONRATH: Yes, I have testified as an expert
witness before boards in the D.C. Metro area to include the

Board of Zoning Appeals, the D.C. Advisory Neighborhood
Commission, the Old Georgetown Board, the Commission of
Fine Arts, and the Historic Preservation Review Board.
MS. REGELIN: I would like to offer Mr. Conrath
as an expert witness in the area of architecture.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do I hear any
objections to qualifying Mr. Conrath as an expert in
architecture? Hearing none I'll so qualify him. I
see -- Mr. -- okay. Mr. Quinn? Mr. Quinn?

JACK QUINN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: You have your hand up.

JACK QUINN: Ms. Hannan, I had my hand up before to make a point that the applicant has the burden of proof.
I took it down I think. My little circle with my initials
is not at the bottom of my screen.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, no.
JACK QUINN: So I tried my best.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I just
wanted to check. All right. Thank you.
Go ahead, Ms. Regelin.
JACK QUINN: Is it down now?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No. Are you hovering in the middle of your screen?

JACK QUINN: I am lowering my hand.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yay. You did it. Thank you.

JACK QUINN: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Go ahead, Ms. Regelin.

MS. REGELIN: The architectural elevations and
floorplans are in the record at Exhibit 36 (a) and (b) and
Exhibit 20(b). Were these prepared by you or someone under
your supervision?
RICK CONRATH: Yes, they were prepared in our
office under my direct supervision.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. Can you pull up, Madam
Hearing Examiner, Exhibit 48 ?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Hold on.
Now Exhibit 48 is an illustrative. Is that what
your -- what you want to see?
RICK CONRATH: I'd like to, if possible, see that
first. I think it would be important to set the stage
prior to talking about the elevations and orientation of
the building.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: You should be seeing it now.

RICK CONRATH: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
MS. REGELIN: So can you describe the design

## Conducted on November 16, 2020

| 41 | 43 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 intent of the buildings that you design? | 1 building. The roof will be topped with two painted cupolas |
| 2 RICK CONRATH: Yes, our intent was to design a | 2 reminiscent of those typically found on historic barns. |
| 3 building which would provide the facilities needed by Metro | 3 And the building will also have black metal downlight wall |
| 4 Grounds Management while blending in with the surrounding | 4 sconces adjacent to the doors for exterior lighting. The |
| 5 semi-rural character. The operations and maintenance barn | 5 building's finishes are designed to evoke a barn aesthetic, |
| 6 provides space for the offices, the employee facility, | 6 functional doors and windows are located mostly along the |
| 7 storage, maintenance, and repairs, as well as self-storage, | 7 north and east elevations and these align with the interior |
| 8 and you can see this building is to the lower left as it's | 8 uses of the building and we'll look at that in a second |
| 9 oriented there. Yes. And then the building is 125 feet by | 9 when we get to the floor plan. We can go to the floor |
| 1080 feet. The 80 foot dimension, the storage side, faces | 10 plan, Exhibit 36(a). |
| 11 Damascus Road, and it's 33-feet high to the top of the | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Are you seeing |
| 12 gable, the very peak of the roof. The building has a | 12 it ? |
| 13 peaked roof with a building height consistent with a two- | 13 RICK CONRATH: I am. Thank you. So a minute ago |
| 4 story b | 14 I mentioned about the mezzanine, the second story interior |
| 15 In this case there's actually only a partial | 15 piece, and that's -- if you're looking at item number one, |
| 16 mezzanine inside. So roughly in the front piece there's a | 16 the mezzanine plan above, the areas that are diagonal, |
| 17 second story just above the office area and I can show that | 17 those are crossed out. There's no mezzanine there. The |
| 18 on the plan when we get to that. The building is oriented | 18 only place that a mezzanine would be, that one little front |
| 19 on the side with a short side, 80 feet, facing Damascus | 19 piece there. |
| 20 Road so as to minimize the appearance of the building when | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: On the left |
| 21 viewed from the road. And when we look at the elevations | 21 side of this is -- |
| 22 in a second you'll notice that those all face inward that | 22 RICK CONRATH: On the left-hand side of the |
| 23 we've talked about. | 23 drawing, upper left. |
| 24 The other day | 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go |
| 25 landscaping that you can see to the right of the building, | 25 ahead. |
| 42 | 44 |
| 1 and then the fact that there's a fence that surrounds the | $1 \quad$ RICK CONRATH: If you go down below you'll see |
| 2 entire property and I think that that's | 2 the first floor plan which basically shows the -- all of |
| 3 to -- that I wanted to bring this up. If we could scro | 3 the spaces and their adjacencies to each other. So on the |
| 4 down a bit, I think it's important also to look at the site | 4 far right-hand side, that would be the side facing Damascus |
| 5 section. So if you see the relative height, if you look at | 5 Road, and as you work your way left you'll be going through |
| 6 this, this is a view looking from Damascus Road at the site | 6 the variety of spaces, storage spaces that he'll need, to |
| 7 and you'll notice the landscaping to the left. As you move | 7 the offices on the far left that open up into the parking |
| 8 to the right there's the operations barn. There is an | 8 area. And as I indicated before, on the top side of the |
| 9 entrance gate driveway that's screened. And then there' | 9 floor plan, that's where you have the overhead doors that |
| 10 the landscape berm and buffer. Okay. I think we can go to | 10 face out into the courtyard area. And again, all of this |
| 11 Exhibit 36(d). Okay. | 11 is screened either with fence or wall. |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: You should be | 12 MS. REGELIN: Okay. There's also a proposed |
| 13 seeing it. | 13 future storage building. Can you describe the design |
| 14 RICK CONRATH: Yes, we're seeing it now. Okay. | 14 intent of that building? |
| 15 The exterior of the building was designed to evoke the form | 15 RICK CONRATH: Yes, if you can bring up Exhibit |
| 16 of a barn. The siding as we're proposing, it would | 16 20(c). |
| 17 forest green color. And so what you're looking at here is | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Is this |
| 18 if you look at the east elevation, the overhead doors that | 18 Exhibit 20(c)? Are you seeing that? |
| 19 you see there, those are the doors that face to the inner | 19 RICK CONRATH: Yes, that's Exhibit 20(c). Thank |
| 20 part of the courtyard. If you look to the right is th | 20 you. This building is a 5,000 square foot, 100 by 50 foot |
| 21 south elevation. That's the elevation that you'd see | 21 building, and this future storage building is designed to |
| 22 looking from Damascus Road. | 22 closely match the operations and maintenance barn, |
| 23 As part of all of this that door that you're | 23 basically the same size and shape, but because it's set |
| 24 seeing, a large center door, there are faux windows, faux | 24 back and behind a fencing and landscaping some of the |
| 25 doors there just to sort of help with the detailing of the | 25 details that were added to the larger building have been |

## 45
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removed. It's simply designed as an outbuilding.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
    MS. REGELIN: Is the height similar to the barn
or is this a lower-type building?
    RICK CONRATH: No, it would be -- it would be
lower. It would be lower and smaller.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: How high is --
    MS. REGELIN: Okay. And --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, I see the
measurement there. It's 25?
    RICK CONRATH: Twenty-five feet. Twenty-five
feet to the peak.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
    MS. REGELIN: Okay. In your opinion is the
architecture and the scale and massing of the barn and the
other structures in the conditional use area compatible
with the character of this agriculturally zoned
neighborhood?
    RICK CONRATH: Yes. I hate to keep doing this
but let's go back to Exhibit 36(b) just in case there's
something to talk about there.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is this what --
    RICK CONRATH: Yes.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you see it?
    RICK CONRATH: Yes, that's it. Thank you. Yes, 10 measurement there. It's 25 ?
feet to the peak. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. MS. REGELIN: Okay. In your opinion is the
architecture and the scale and massing of the barn and the
other structures in the conditional use area compatible
with the character of this agriculturally zoned
neighborhood?
RICK CONRATH: Yes. I hate to keep doing this
but let's go back to Exhibit 36(b) just in case there's
something to talk about there.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is this what --
RICK CONRATH: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you see it?
RICK CONRATH: Yes, that's it. Thank you. Yes,
```

    the scale and massing of the barn and other structures on
    the property are compatible with the character of the area.
    The primary structure, the operations and maintenance barn
    was specifically designed to have a barn aesthetic in its
    form and details. It is also similar in size and shape to
    other similar buildings in the area. Further, the forest
    green color of the barn was selected to help blend the
    building in with the greenery surrounding the property when
    viewed from the adjacent roadways.
    MS. REGELIN: And in your opinion is the
    12 architecture scale and massing of the barn and other
12 structures in the conditional use area compatible with the
character of the nearby Unity residential neighborhood?
RICK CONRATH: Yes, the scale and massing of the
15 barn and other structures on the property are compatible
16 with the character of the nearby residential community.
17 The barn aesthetic of the primary structure blends in well
18 with the surrounding community. The operations and
19 maintenance barn size and shape are comparable to similar
20 buildings that you might see on some of the other
21 properties adjacent nearby. Further, the building has been
22 placed on the property with the short side facing Damascus
23 Road so as to minimize that elevation facing -- it's only
2450 -feet wide so similar to the width of a single-family
25 home.
the property are compatible with the character of the area.
The primary structure, the operations and maintenance barn
was specifically designed to have a barn aesthetic in its
form and details. It is also similar in size and shape to
other similar buildings in the area. Further, the forest
green color of the barn was selected to help blend the
building in with the greenery surrounding the property when
9 viewed from the adjacent roadways.
10 MS. REGELIN: And in your opinion is the 11 architecture scale and massing of the barn and other 12 structures in the conditional use area compatible with the 13 character of the nearby Unity residential neighborhood?
14 RICK CONRATH: Yes, the scale and massing of the 15 barn and other structures on the property are compatible 16 with the character of the nearby residential community.
17 The barn aesthetic of the primary structure blends in well
18 with the surrounding community. The operations and
19 maintenance barn size and shape are comparable to similar
20 buildings that you might see on some of the other
21 properties adjacent nearby. Further, the building has been
22 placed on the property with the short side facing Damascus
23 Road so as to minimize that elevation facing -- it's only
2450 -feet wide so similar to the width of a single-family
25 home.
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MS. REGELIN: Right. And the height of the outbuilding that is on the east side closest to the single family, is that compatible?

RICK CONRATH: Yes, it is. Yeah. So this building here is 33 and then the other one is 25 . This building is 80 feet wide and the other is 50 . So I think that the size of these structures is certainly compatible with other barn-type buildings and then, you know, there are buildings nearby the site that are very similar in size and scale.

MS. REGELIN: So is it your opinion that the architecture in this application is harmonious with and will not alter the character of the surrounding
neighborhood inconsistent with what the master plan
recommends which Mr. Sekerak testified earlier recommends a
6 mix of agricultural and residential uses with permitted conditional uses?

RICK CONRATH: I think this is very consistent
and certainly consistent with the agricultural character of
the neighborhood in the area.
MS. REGELIN: And is it your opinion it will
alter the character of the neighborhood?
RICK CONRATH: No, I think it -- I do not think
4 it would alter. I think it's consistent with.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. That's all the questions we
have for this witness.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right.
Questions for the witness? I see -- okay. Mr. Boughton
and then Mr. Lambert.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: Thank you, Director Hannan. The
first question I have on Exhibit 48 if you can bring that up again.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. I shouldn't have closed out of it. There we go. Okay. You should be seeing it.

BRYAN BOUGHTON: Yes. So if you can scroll down 12 a little bit so we can see the -- yeah, from the street view instead of the overhead. And I brought this up last week, but I just wanted to revisit it to be sure because I actually don't quite understand the phasing but I
understand how the architect has described this view that
will fit in with the neighborhood or won't change it.
18 But again I'm concerned because in this drawing 19 as you see, the building that is there, the operations 20 barn, is part of the screening of the site from the street, 21 and $I$ believe it is my understanding that is not part of 22 phase one and I just wanted to reconfirm that a fencing 23 will be built in place of that before operations begin, and 24 is that the architect's plan was a fence or something built 25 in so that the site would be screened until that building

| 49 | 51 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 is built, when it's built? | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 2 MS. REGELIN: So this is Nancy Regelin. Mr. | 2 BRYAN BOUGHTON: When is this building going to |
| 3 Kelley testified last week that he would fence the entire | 3 be built in the plan, the large operations building? How |
| 4 site including the area which will be replaced with a | 4 long will it be before that's built. |
| 5 perimeter of the operations barn | 5 MS. REGELIN: Again, Mr. Kelley testified to that |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 6 and we can address that as well later, but the architect is |
| 7 MS. REGELIN: So during phase one the entire site | 7 not the appropriate witness. |
| 8 | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah, I do |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I thought -- | 9 agree. And Mr. Boughton, I understand your question and I |
| 10 MS. REGELIN: -- he testified the entire site | 10 do think there was a little bit of confusion last time so |
| 11 would be fenced. | 11 we'll have Mr. Kelley come back and clarify that. |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Can the | 12 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Thank you. |
| 13 architect answer the question or not? | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Any other |
| 14 RICK CONRATH: I can't definitively answer that, | 14 questions, Mr. Kelley? I mean Mr., I'm sorry, Mr. |
| 15 no. It's my understanding, as with everybody else that was | 15 Boughton? |
| 16 on the call las | 16 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Not at this time. |
| 17 have not discussed that with him. | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Let me |
| 18 BRYAN BOUGHTON: So but I guess my question for | 18 go back and see if there's questions. Okay. I have Mr. |
| 19 the architect | 19 Quinn and then Mr. Lambert. Mr. Quinn? |
| 20 view that they | 20 JACK QUINN: Mr. Lambert was first so I'll defer |
| 21 actual view be when the site goes operational? Do we have | 21 to him. |
| 22 a drawing that shows what it will look like? What kind of | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: When I -- okay. |
| 23 planting and fencing will obscure that entire lower | 23 I didn't mean -- go ahead, Mr. Lambert. |
| 24 corner that is a direct view into the lot and the temporary | 24 DAVE LAMBERT: Thank you. Mr. Conrath, who is |
| 25 trailer and other things that do not match the | 25 your client? |
| 50 | 52 |
| 1 neighborhood? | 1 RICK CONRATH: Metro Grounds Management. |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Let me | 2 DAVE LAMBERT: Are you familiar with Duffy |
| 3 just get -- before you answer for the record I need to | 3 Development, LLC? |
| 4 understand what the lower left corner -- on Exhibit 48 | 4 RICK CONRATH: No. |
| 5 there's two reddish trees. Is that what you're talking | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. This is |
| 6 about the lower left corner | 6 beyond the scope of what he testified to. He testified |
| 7 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Yes. | 7 only to the architecture and not to the entity. So you're |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- of the site? | 8 cross-examination has to be within the scope of what he |
| 9 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Yes. | 9 testified to. So do you have questions on the |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay | 10 architecture? |
| 11 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Well, and then continuing around | 11 DAVE LAMBERT: I have questions on who has input |
| 12 up the long side of the building which is also I assume | 12 on this entire plan and that is as relevant as the size of |
| 13 obscuring the lot. | 13 the building and I would say more relevant than the size or |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right | 14 elevation of the building because if Mr. Conrath's firm has |
| 15 MS. REGELIN: So can you -- Hannan, we have an | 15 been engaged by Duffy Development or Consolidated |
| 16 expert who is coming next who is th | 16 Commercial Services, LLC and hasn't really had any |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: A landscape. | 17 substantial interactions with Mr. Kelley then there's I |
| 18 MS. REGELIN: -- site designer and landscape | 18 would say an underlying flaw in this plan. |
| 19 architect. | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Ms. Regelin? |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. Okay. | 20 MS. REGELIN: I believe that GTM indicated -- Mr. |
| 21 It would be better for the landscape architect. I agree. | 21 Conrath indicated his contract is with Metro Grounds |
| 22 Mr. Boughton, can you hold that question for the landscape | 22 Management who is the applicant in this case. |
| 23 architect please? | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 24 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Well, I have one other question. | 24 MS. REGELIN: You can bring Mr. Kelley on to |
| 25 Yes, but I do have one other question for the architect. | 25 answer other questions later but -- |


| 53 | 55 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 DAVE LAMBERT: I've got a couple follow-up | 1 RICK CONRATH: Function and aesthetics |
| 2 questions along those lines. | 2 JACK QUINN: Okay. Did you evaluate as it |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I'm going | 3 relates to the function of the building what types of |
| 4 to overrule them for this witness | 4 operations would be conducted in either of the two main |
| 5 DAVE LAMBERT: How can you overrule them if you | 5 structu |
| 6 haven't heard them? | 6 RICK CONRATH: No, basically as the architect I |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, you said | 7 would be taking the owner's program and taking that program |
| 8 along these lines. | 8 and turning that into the building that you see here. |
| 9 DAVE LAMBERT: Okay. Then overrule me when I ask | 9 JACK QUINN: How detailed would you evaluate the |
| 10 them. | 10 owner's program? |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Very well. Go | 11 RICK CONRATH: The owner would be the person that |
| 12 ahead and ask them. | 12 would tell me how big things needed to be. So to answer |
| 13 DAVE LAMBERT: Mr. Conrath, have you worked | 13 your question, only that far. |
| 14 directly with Mr. Kelley? | 14 JACK QUINN: Okay. Were you told about what |
| 15 RICK CONRATH: Yes, Mr. Kelley directly | 15 would be stored within either of the two main structures? |
| 16 DAVE LAMBERT: Have you worked with anyone else | 16 RICK CONRATH: Not in great detail, no. |
| 17 other than Mr. Kelley? | 17 JACK QUINN: Well -- |
| 18 RICK CONRATH: No. | 18 RICK CONRATH: I'm aware of -- I'm aware that he |
| 19 DAVE LAMBERT: I have no more questions for thi | 19 has certain pieces of equipment. I'm aware that one of the |
| 20 witness? You see, Ms. Hannan, | 20 bays was for salt. But to the extent of everything that |
| 21 think? | 21 went into each one of those rooms, no. |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, | 22 JACK QUINN: Were you told that any equipment |
| 23 I -- you have asked and he answered so -- and they're in | 23 would be stored within either of the two main structures? |
| 24 the record. | 24 RICK CONRATH: Yes. Not specific which equipment |
| 25 Mr. Quinn? | 25 but I was told equipment would be stored. |
| 54 | 56 |
| 1 JACK QUINN: Thank you, | 1 JACK QUINN: Okay. So you weren't told what |
| 2 Mr. Conrath, it's my understanding that this | 2 equipment? |
| 3 project is to be built in phases. Is that your | 3 RICK CONRATH: No. |
| 4 understanding as well? | 4 JACK QUINN: Okay. Were you told that the |
| 5 RICK CONRATH: No | 5 equipment to be stored would be driven into either of the |
| 6 JACK QUINN: You were not advised that the | 6 main structures? |
| 7 project would proceed in phases? It would be - | 7 RICK CONRATH: I don't recall whether h |
| 8 RICK CONRATH: No. | 8 mentioned driven, pushed, or whatever. I would assume that |
| $9$ <br> JACK QUINN: -- built | 9 if it was a motorized piece of equipment, and this would be |
| 10 RICK CONRATH: No, we've never really discussed | 10 my assumption, is that it would be driven through the door, |
| 11 the phasing of the project. The only discussions I've had | 11 but other than that, no, we didn't get into specifics. |
| 12 have been related specifically to the design of the | 12 JACK QUINN: Were you provided with the expected |
| 13 building. | 13 weight that the flooring was to sustain? |
| 14 JACK QUINN: Okay. So I take it then that you | 14 RICK CONRATH: No, that would come at a |
| 15 did not prepare any drawings about what the project would | 15 subsequent phase of the project. |
| 16 look like during any particular phase? | 16 JACK QUINN: Well, that's kind of why I asked you |
| 17 RICK CONRATH: That's correct | 17 about the phasing. What subsequent phase would deal with |
| 18 JACK QUINN: Okay. Now, did you evaluate the | 18 those issues? |
| 19 efficacy of the parking at the site from an architectural | 19 RICK CONRATH: Well, this wouldn't be a phase |
| 20 point of view? | 20 related to construction. This would be a phase related to |
| 21 RICK CONRATH: No, that would not be within the | 21 my scope of work which is we're at the very early concept |
| 22 realm of what I would be evaluating. Like I said, I | 22 stage now. Typically those kinds of questions wouldn't |
| 23 primarily would be evaluating the function of the building. | 23 come about until I got into construction drawing. |
| 24 JACK QUINN: Okay. The function of the building | 24 JACK QUINN: Well, I asked you before if you <br> 25 dealt with issues of function as well as aesthetics. Do |
| 25 or the aesthetics of the building? | 25 dealt with issues of function as well as aesthetics. Do |


| 57 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 you recall that question? | 1 either of the main structures? |
| 2 RICK CONRATH: Yes. | 2 RICK CONRATH: If mentioned at all it would have |
| 3 JACK QUINN: And so tell me what your instruction | 3 been very loose. |
| 4 was or your -- what function issues you actually evaluated. | 4 JACK QUINN: Okay. |
| 5 RICK CONRATH: Well, I'm not evaluating his | 5 MS. REGELIN: This is Nancy Regelin. I believe |
| 6 function. That's up to the client to tell me what his | 6 that was asked and answered. |
| 7 functions are. Basically during the course of our meeting | 7 JACK QUINN: I don't think it was but I'll go on. |
| 8 we would go through a program. He would explain to me how | 8 Where on your plans were construction materials or |
| 9 big the spaces needed to be. So in the end really he's the | 9 landscaping materials to be stored? |
| 10 person that's going to be commenting on function as it | 10 RICK CONRATH: I can't answer that. |
| 11 relates to the space. | 11 JACK QUINN: Was provision made for the storing |
| 12 JACK QUINN: Then would you -- | 12 of landscaping materials? |
| 13 RICK CONRATH: I only -- | 13 RICK CONRATH: I think you'd have to ask the |
| 14 JACK QUINN: I apologize, Mr. Conrath. | 14 owner that, the specific function of each room and what |
| 15 RICK CONRATH: Yeah, so function is really | 15 would be stored in them. |
| 16 predicated upon the client determining where he would like | 16 JACK QUINN: And where was the salt or snow |
| 17 certain things to be, their sizes, and relationships. | 17 removal operations to be stored? |
| 18 JACK QUINN: So if-- when were you contacted, | 18 RICK CONRATH: Well, the salt is stored in the |
| 19 Mr. Conrath? | 19 section on the floor plan that's indicated as salt storage. |
| 20 RICK CONRATH: I don't recall the exact day. | 20 JACK QUINN: Within the floor plan -- within the |
| 21 JACK QUINN: Can you give me an approximation | 21 large barn? |
| 22 please? | 22 RICK CONRATH: Yes, within the large barn there |
| 23 RICK CONRATH: Well, it would have probably been | 23 is a section that's indicated and labeled as salt storage. |
| 24 a year-and-a-half to two years ago. | 24 JACK QUINN: Okay. |
| 25 JACK QUINN: And how long did your evaluation | 25 RICK CONRATH: And my understanding is that's |
| 58 | 60 |
| 1 process take before you came up with your work product? | 1 where he was going to store his salt. |
| 2 RICK CONRATH: Evaluation process, I'm not sure | 2 JACK QUINN: Okay. And were you told how much |
| 3 what you mean by evaluation process. | 3 salt was stored -- to be stored for snow removal |
| 4 JACK QUINN: Well, how long did you work on it | 4 operations? |
| 5 before you came up with this work product? | 5 RICK CONRATH: No |
| 6 RICK CONRATH: Well, I think that what you | 6 JACK QUINN: Did you receive any dimensions about |
| 7 see -- well, first of all, the work product that's on the | 7 how much salt was to be stored? |
| 8 screen right now is not ours. But the work product that | 8 RICK CONRATH: No |
| 9 you have seen represents all of the time that we've spent | 9 JACK QUINN: So whether or not the area for salt |
| 10 on this project up to the current date. That is we | 10 storage is sufficient would be the responsibility of the |
| 11 have -- we met with the client initially, went through a | 11 owner? |
| 12 program and design session, and came up with concepts that | 12 RICK CONRATH: That's correct. |
| 13 were presented to that the client used in the variety of | 13 JACK QUINN: Okay. Do you deal with highway |
| 14 purposes that he would have needed to get this project | 14 safety issues, Mr. Conrath? |
| 15 approved, and during this process there would have been | 15 RICK CONRATH: No, that typically doesn't fall |
| 16 some minor revisions and some back and forth. | 16 within the realm of an architect. |
| 17 JACK QUINN: Can you -- | 17 JACK QUINN: Okay. Can we pull up, Ms. Hannan, |
| 18 RICK CONRATH: But I can't tell you exactly how | 18 Exhibit 48 please? Okay. |
| 19 much time was spent. | 19 Now there's been mention about a berm. Where |
| 20 JACK QUINN: I appreciate that, Mr. Conrath, but | 20 exactly is the berm to be located? |
| 21 your evaluation took many months, did it not? | 21 MS. REGELIN: Again, Nancy Regelin. We do have a |
| 22 RICK CONRATH: Yes | 22 witness which is next who is the landscape architect who |
| 23 JACK QUINN: Okay. And were you -- I apologize | 23 will discuss that. |
| 24 if I'm being repetitive. I don't think I am. Were you | 24 JACK QUINN: I'm interested in this witness' |
| 25 ever told what type of equipment would be stored within | 25 understanding. |
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|  | 61 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, we had a | 1 effort to have this property comport with what he described |
|  | lot of testimony, and I know you weren't here on Monday, | 2 as a semi-rural area. That's the reason. |
|  | about where the berm is going to -- I mean last Monday on | 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, he |
|  | where the berm is going to be located. | 4 testified that the buildings did. He didn't testify that |
| 5 | K QUINN: Well, I wasn't here because | 5 the berm did. But Ms. Regelin, do you want to address this |
| 6 | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, I know why | 6 because we're going to move on because I don't see any |
|  | you weren't here. I guess I'm just saying why are you | 7 reason why we should continue this with the architect when |
|  | asking him this question? | 8 they have another witness who will be coming that can |
| 9 | CK QUINN: Because I'd like to place the berm | 9 address your question |
|  | dr | 10 JACK QUINN: So let -- I'm sorry. Go ahea |
|  | stitute restrictions on sight distance for vehicles | 11 MS. REGELIN: So yes, all of the opinions that we |
|  | entering and exiting the project. I have no idea why | 12 asked of Mr. Conrath were relative to the architecture on |
|  | wouldn't be allowed to ask this witness his understanding | 13 the site and he gave three opinions related to the |
|  | where the berm was to be. | 14 architecture. We do have a landscape architect who was the |
| 5 | MS. REGELIN: And I would object because this is | 15 site designer who is going to testify next and is probably |
|  | the architect who designed two of the buildings and he has | 16 the more appropriate person for you to ask these questions. |
|  | tified to those. We have other witnesses who can | 17 JACK QUINN: Well, let's suppose that an |
|  | ess y | 18 architect thinks that the existence of a berm either |
| 19 | CK QUINN: So if I may respond. Great care was | 19 enhances or it detracts from his effort to place an |
|  | taken by this professional to have the property look | 20 aesthetically pleasing building at this site. |
|  | aesthetically compatible with the area. The existence of | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I don't |
|  | rm m | 22 understand |
|  | e to know if for aesthetic reasons where the berm | 23 JACK QUINN: Well, I -- |
|  | ated. | 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What are you |
| 25 | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, if you | 25 asking him? |
|  | 62 | 64 |
|  | w, you can answer. | 1 JACK QUINN: I'm sorry? |
|  | RICK CONRATH: It's located between the | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I don't |
|  | right -- to the right of the grounds maintenance building | 3 understand what you're asking him to say. |
|  | as indicated on the plan with this row of trees landscaped | 4 JACK QUINN: Okay. He's designing a site, with |
|  | area and it's right center right there in the righ | 5 buildings on that site, with a general layout on that site. |
|  | section. | 6 There is a major berm that in fact is to be located there |
|  | JACK QUINN: Okay. It's the center section, | 7 which I believe anybody would say may impact on his efforts |
|  | Conrath? | 8 to design an aesthetically pleasing site. |
|  | RICK CONRATH: Yeah, that's correct. It's the | 9 MS. REGELIN: So I would object because Mr. |
|  | ter section to the right of | 10 Conrath is the architect and he designed the building. Our |
|  | JACK QUINN: Okay. Is this foliage to be planted | 11 site designer, who is the landscape architect, is the next |
|  | within the berm? | 12 witness and is the more appropriate person to ask those |
|  | RICK CONRATH: That's my understanding, yea | 13 questions. |
|  | JACK QUINN: Well, did you design the berm? | 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm going to |
|  | RICK CONRATH: No. | 15 sustain that objection. |
|  | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Quinn, they | 16 JACK QUINN: Very well, Ms. Hannan. |
|  | have another witness that is the landscape architect who | 17 Sir, have you participated in any effort to send |
|  | will be able to answer these questions | 18 out bids for the construction of these structures? |
|  | QUIN. I-- | 19 RICK CONRATH: No. |
|  | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I don't | 20 JACK QUINN: Are you aware as to whether or not |
|  | understand why we're asking the architect these questions. | 21 any such effort has been undertaken? |
|  | JACK QUINN: Because the architect has testified | 22 RICK CONRATH: I'm not aware of any, no. |
|  | that he designed a property which was compatible with the | 23 JACK QUINN: Is that generally a part of what |
|  | what he said was the semi-rural area and I would assume | 24 architects do, work with -- into the construction phase to |
|  | that this berm, as well as that foliage, was a part of his | 25 the extent -- |
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| 65 | 67 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. | 1 RICK CONRATH: No, I do not. |
| 2 Quinn -- Mr. Quinn, what standard -- what is the relevance | 2 MS. REGELIN: I believe that's also been asked |
| 3 of whether he's participated in bids? They aren't to the | 3 and answered. |
| 4 construction phase yet. | 4 JACK QUINN: Do you what materials have been |
| 5 JACK QUINN: Well, Your Honor, the neighborhood, | 5 suggested for storage in the second building? |
| 6 me, questions whether or not this project will actually be | 6 RICK CONRATH: No. |
| 7 built as it's advertised. There is also quite a bit of | 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: When you say |
| 8 concern about whether or not this applicant will build into | 8 second building are you referring to the smaller building? |
| 9 phase one with a trailer, a trailer, and never proceed to | 9 JACK QUINN: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 10 this glorious photo on Exhibit 48. | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, those are | 11 ahead. |
| 12 for the owner and we can -- because you weren't here we can | 12 RICK CONRATH: No, I do not. |
| 13 bring -- let the applicant bring the owner back, but I'm | 13 JACK QUINN: Okay. Isn't it a function of an |
| 14 not going to go into bids. That's premature in this | 14 architect to evaluate both aesthetics and function? |
| 15 process. | 15 RICK CONRATH: It just depends. I mean that's a |
| 16 JACK QUINN: I don't believe it is but I -- | 16 pretty broad question. It depends on what the client needs |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I think it is. | 17 and in this particular instance, no, it wasn't my job to |
| 18 JACK QUINN: -- respect your ruling, Ms. Hannan. | 18 evaluate his function. My job was basically to transpose |
| 19 I don't think it's premature at all but I respect your | 19 what he needed in the form of a building. |
| 20 ruling. | 20 JACK QUINN: Basically a building or buildings of |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, let me | 21 a certain size that you felt were aesthetically pleasing, |
| 22 just explain the process. The process, there's one | 22 that's what you did? |
| 23 question -- basically he will have to build to what he says | 23 RICK CONRATH: That's correct. That's correct. |
| 24 he's going to do because that's a condition of approval of | 24 JACK QUINN: Nothing further. |
| 25 the site -- the conditional use plan. The question that | 25 RICK CONRATH: That's it. |
| 66 | 68 |
| 1 I'm hearing that I don't think Mr. Conrath can answer is | 1 JACK QUINN: Okay. That's all I have. Thank |
| 2 the phasing and what's going to look like what when. He's | 2 you, Ms. Hannan. |
| 3 already testified he doesn't know. So that's a question | 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you. I |
| 4 that I'm willing to call Mr. Kelley back for | 4 am going to go to Mr. O'Toole please. And then I see Ms. |
| 5 JACK QUINN: Ms. Hannan, different people speak | 5 Caldeira. Caldeira. And Mr. Boughton. So let's go with |
| 6 to the same set of facts. It is common knowledge -- | 6 Mr. O'Toole. |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm not -- we | 7 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Mr. Conrath, good morning. |
| 8 have -- | 8 Sir, without being redundant, is it my understanding that |
| 9 JACK QUINN: May I finish? May I finish? | 9 this building, the major building we're talking about, the |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: We have | 10 main building that you designed, is a 10,000 square foot |
| 11 spent -- no, because we have spent a lot of time on this | 11 building? |
| 12 and I see no reason to continue this and I'm going to | 12 RICK CONRATH: That's correct. |
| 13 sustain Ms. Regelin's objection. Move on please. | 13 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. Now in inquiring |
| 14 JACK QUINN: Mr. Conrath, can you describe the | 14 further with respect to what Mr. Quinn was asking you and |
| 15 fencing that you envision being placed around this | 15 just so I'm clear, were you told or asked to design a |
| 16 property? | 1610,000 square foot building or were you told or directed to |
| 17 RICK CONRATH: I did not design the fencing so I | 17 design a building that holds the following number of items? |
| 18 would have to defer that. | 18 RICK CONRATH: We never discussed designing a |
| 19 JACK QUINN: Do you have an understanding of what | 19 building to hold any number of items. What we discussed |
| 20 fencing is contemplated? | 20 basically was working within a certain size. |
| 21 RICK CONRATH: No, I do not. | 21 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: So somebody told you, either |
| 22 MS. REGELIN: I believe he's -- it's been asked | 22 Mr . Kelley or somebody told you I want a nice looking |
| 23 and answered. | 23 building and it's got to be 10,000 square feet; is that |
| 24 JACK QUINN: Do you know what equipment is being | 24 right? |
| 25 stored in the future storage building? | 25 RICK CONRATH: That's correct. That's correct. |


| 69 | 71 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: So you have no idea or didn't | 1 MS. REGELIN: This is Nancy Regelin. So our next |
| 2 have any idea or take into consideration what was going to | 2 witness is the site designer |
| 3 be put in that building or what would not be able to be fit | 3 KIM CALDEIRA: Oh, okay. |
| 4 into that building? | 4 MS. REGELIN: -- and he will be able to discuss |
| 5 RICK CONRATH: Yeah, it wasn't a -- that wasn't a | 5 the whole site. |
| 6 consideration. | 6 KIM CALDEIRA: Oh, okay. Okay. And also, about |
| 7 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. So you have no idea | 7 the greenhouses. I don't know if that falls under the |
| 8 if all of the equipment that's going to be stored indoors | 8 purview of an architect, but I don't see any designs about |
| 9 would fit or only half of what was going to be stored | 9 the greenhouses. |
| 10 indoors would fit, correct? | 10 MS. REGELIN: That's correct. This architect did |
| 11 RICK CONRATH: That's correct. | 11 not design the greenhou |
| 12 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. I have no more | 12 KIM CALDEIRA: Okay. Thank you. That's all I |
| 13 questions. Thank you. | 13 have. |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you. | 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you. |
| 15 Ms. Caldeira? | 15 Mr. Quinn, I don't know if this is intentional or |
| 16 KIM CALDEIRA: Hi. | 16 not but your hand is still up. |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Hi. | 17 JACK QUINN: Oh, I'm so sorry. |
| 18 KIM CALDEIRA: Hi. | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It's all right. |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Ms. Caldeira, | 19 JACK QUINN: I took it down. |
| 20 would you kindly state your name and address for the record | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you. And |
| 21 and give us your email please? | 21 Mr. -- okay. So now I have Mr. Boughton. Mr. Boughton, do |
| 22 KIM CALDEIRA: Of course. My name is Kim | 22 you want to go ahead please? |
| 23 Caldeira and my address is 3324 Damascus Road, Brookeville, | 23 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Yeah, I just had another |
| 24 Maryland and -- | 24 question for the architect, a couple questions. |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And your -- oh, | 25 So if this CU is approved, what is the timeline |
| 70 | 72 |
| 1 sorry. Go ahead. | 1 for your role in designing and getting this main |
| 2 KIM CALDEIRA: The email is kgmcald@gmail.com. | 2 operational building ready to go for construction to begin? |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank | 3 How long would that normally take and what are the |
| 4 you. | 4 different steps in that process? |
| 5 KIM CALDEIRA: And I just have a -- I think | 5 RICK CONRATH: Well, you asked me about -- your |
| 6 couple of quick questions that I'm just looking -- I'm | 6 question is sort of multi-tiered. I have not discussed the |
| 7 looking at the two -- I'm sorry. I forgot the exhibit | 7 schedule with the client so anything that I'm telling you |
| 8 numbers, but the illustration that you're displaying right | 8 right now would be purely theoretical. I don't know that I |
| 9 now. I guess that's Exhibit 48, and I'm just trying to | 9 could really sort of give you a standard. |
| 10 make that match up with the site plan that -- | 10 BRYAN BOUGHTON: But I think it would be helpful |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I think the | 11 if you could give us a theoretical schedule to help us |
| 12 site plan -- let me -- my recollection is Exhibit 33. Ms. | 12 better understand when this building could be built |
|  | 13 assuming the CU gets approved at some point because that |
| 14 MS. REGELIN: Yes, I think so | 14 is -- one of our questions is how long would it take to |
| 15 KIM CALDEIRA: So my question is that the | 15 have that become part of the view we're seeing from the |
| 16 illustration doesn't show -- it has a lot of, you know, | 16 road. And I know architects usually have several steps and |
| 17 open field. It doesn't show the existing house and I wa | 17 phases so it would be helpful because they also attach |
| 18 just wondering given the concern for aesthetics and the | 18 timelines to those. For a building like this could you |
| 19 quality of the neighborhood what -- why has the existing | 19 explain some of the phases you go through with your client |
| 20 house been omitted? I see on the -- I see that the | 20 and how long each takes? |
| 21 existing shed is supposed to be removed according to the | 21 MS. REGELIN: And I would raise an objection |
| 22 site plan, but could you please shed some light on the | 22 here. I would just ask that the questions remain relevant |
| 23 status of the existing house? | 23 to the scope of work that the architect will be doing and |
| 24 RICK CONRATH: I don't know if I can -- I'm the | 24 not -- |
| 25 one that -- I didn't -- yeah. Yeah. | 25 BRYAN BOUGHTON: And that's what I'm asking. |



```
things so any of my previous experience having worked in
Montgomery County for 30 some years, I'm not sure that that
is applicable at this time.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: Does the operations building
pose any problems for the permitting process that you might
see or is it pretty straightforward?
    RICK CONRATH: No, it -- no, it's a very
straightforward building. I mean this particular building
type is very straightforward and very simple to build and I
think once construction starts on something like this I
don't foresee any issues.
    BRYAN BOUGHTON:So you think from the time that
you're engaged to move forward, by your estimates here
we're looking at four months until they could begin the
construction?
    RICK CONRATH: Something along that -- something
along that line.
    BRYAN BOUGHTON: Okay. Thank you. That's all I
have.
    RICK CONRATH: Okay.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank
you. Seeing no other hands up, Ms. Regelin, do you have
redirect?
    MS. REGELIN: I do not. We can move on.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. We're
RICK CONRATH: No, it -- no, it's a very
```

going to take a five minute --
Mr. Conrath, you can be excused.
We're going to take a five-minute break and we'll
be back. Who is your next witness, Ms. Regelin?
MS. REGELIN: Devin Kennedy, our site designer.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you give me
advance -- do you know what exhibits he's going to testify
to or --
MS. REGELIN: Hold on. I can tell you his --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I can bring
them up more easily if I do that, if I know in advance.
MS. REGELIN: He's going to start with Exhibit
11, the NRI/FSD.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And then what?
MS. REGELIN: Exhibit -- and Devin, you can jump
in at any time. I think it's Exhibit 32, the preliminary
forest conservation plan. Exhibit 12, the site detail
sheet.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I assume
the landscape plan.
MS. REGELIN: He is going to pull up Exhibit 49,
48.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Well, we
can start there. We'll be back at 11:30. Thank you.
[Off the record at 11:25:53 a.m.]
can start there. We'll be back at 11:30.
[Off the record at 11:25:53 a.m.]
.]
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[Back on the record at 11:35:38 a.m.]
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Mr.
Quinn, are you here? And Mr. Boughton?
JACK QUINN: I am here.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Is Mr.
Lambert here?
DAVE LAMBERT: Present. I am present.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. So
we're going to go back on the record.
Ms. Regelin, you're up with your next witness
please. Oh, before I start, Ms. Regelin, I did talk to
staff during the break and they haven't received a copy of
the contract yet. Is that --
MS. REGELIN: Yes, I --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- yet today or
--
MS. REGELIN: Yes. Actually, I just -- while we
were taking the five-minute break I looked at my email and
I saw it so at the next break I will forward it.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, why don't
we do this.
DAVE LAMBERT: Are we talking about the contract
or are we talking about the extension?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you have the
extension and the contract, Ms. Regelin?

MS. REGELIN: I'm opening up my attachment here.
Let me see.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait, there's
some -- I'm sorry. There's some background noise that I
can't understand. For the record, Ms. Regelin is looking
at what's been sent to her vis-à-vis the contract for the
property.
MS. REGELIN: Correct. So it's the addendum and
I will forward it to Nana right now.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you.
JACK QUINN: May we get a copy of the contract as
well?
MS. REGELIN: At this point I'm willing to share
the extension. I think what you -- what you will see on
the extension is that he has provided notice that he's
extended his contingency period through June 26th, 2020 and
he did not terminate the contract so he has to close by the
end of the year, and that's what you'll see in here.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well --
MS. REGELIN: And if not I can send you the
contract.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Let's -- I'm
just letting staff know you're emailing it now.
JACK QUINN: Ms. Hannan, I believe the contract
should be a part of this record. I make that request.
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| 81 | 83 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Ms. Regelin? | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Mr. |
| 2 MS. REGELIN: I don't think the contract is | 2 Kennedy, please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly |
| 3 relevant. I think the extension -- the addendum is very | 3 affirm under penalties of perjury that the statements |
| 4 clear that he is still proceeding to closing and so he has | 4 you're about to make are the truth, the whole truth, and |
| 5 not exercised his contingency to terminate the contract and | 5 nothing but the truth? |
| 6 so I think it's pretty clear on its face that he has to go | 6 DEVIN KENNEDY: I do. |
| 7 -- | 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Go ahead, Ms. |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What we | 8 Regelin. |
| 9 typically do is we do get contracts in the record but they | 9 MS. REGELIN: So please state your name, your |
| 10 redact out things like the rental and, you know, other | 10 company name, title, and address for the record. |
| 11 things. Can he provide the contract with those types of | 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, my name is Devin Kennedy. |
| 12 terms redacted out? | 12 I work with Stantec. I'm a landscape architect and ISA |
| 13 MS. REGELIN: I will ask Mr. Kelley, but we could | 13 certified arborist. My address is 20440 Century Boulevard, |
| 14 redact it but I can't do it in the next five minutes | 14 Suite 240, Germantown, Maryland 20874. |
| 15 because I don't even have the contract right here to email | 15 MS. REGELIN: And have you submitted your |
| 16 you, but I will forward this extension so you can see | 16 credentials into the record? |
| 17 fairly clearly. | 17 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes, my resume is Exhibit 37(b). |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Forward the | 18 MS. REGELIN: And can you quickly provide an |
| 19 extension and we'll get that up on the web. Okay. And | 19 overview of your education and experience as a landscape |
| 20 then see what you can do as far as getting the contract in | 20 architect and arborist. |
| 21 the record. All right? | 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: Sure. I have a Bachelor's of |
| 22 MS. REGELIN: Certainly. | 22 Science from Penn State University in landscape |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you. | 23 contracting. I've been practicing landscape architecture |
| 24 JACK QUINN: Ms. Hannan, I think the -- I think | 24 since 2008. I've been a registered landscape architect |
| 25 the exhibit also be -- should also be all previous | 25 since 2014 and I've been a certified arborist since 2008. |
| 82 | 84 |
| 1 extensions to put it in context. | 1 MS. REGELIN: Have you previously been accepted |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you have | 2 and testified as an expert in landscape architecture before |
| 3 that, Ms. Regelin? | 3 the hearing examiner's office or any other board or agency? |
| 4 MS. REGELIN: I will have to see if there were | 4 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes, I was an expert witness and |
| 5 any prior extensions. | 5 testified for the hearing examiner as a landscape architect |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I thought you | 6 and a certified arborist for a project called Friends House |
| 7 said there was one? | 7 back in 2014. |
| 8 MS. REGELIN: Hold on. I'm the land use | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 9 attorney, not the settlement attorney, so I apologize | 9 MS. REGELIN: I'd like to offer Mr. Kennedy as an |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Well -- | 10 expert in the site design, landscape architecture, and |
| 11 MS. REGELIN: This is not my document. | 11 certified arborist. |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm going to | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do I hear any |
| 13 leave the record open after -- we leave it open anyway, but | 13 objections? Okay. Hearing and seeing none I will so |
| 14 I'm going to leave the record open to get both the contract | 14 qualify him. |
| 15 and the extension in if you can provide that | 15 MS. REGELIN: So Mr. Kennedy, are you familiar |
| 16 MS. REGELIN: Okay. I think this one extension | 16 with the property and have you walked the property? |
| 17 actually clarifies that, but I just forwarded it. | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes, I prepared |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Well, | 18 the natural resources inventory and forest and delineation |
| 19 just double -- just double check please. | 19 for the property which required me to go to the site, to |
| 20 MS. REGELIN: Certainly will do. | 20 walk, observe, and analyze the entire property. I've also |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Now | 21 prepared the preliminary forest conservation plan, and I |
| 22 let's go forward with your next witness. | 22 also designed the site layout for conditional use area as |
| 23 MS. REGELIN: So I'm calling Devin Kennedy, our | 23 well as the landscape and lighting plan. |
| 24 expert in site design and landscape architecture and a | 24 MS. REGELIN: And can you describe the existing |
| 25 certified arborist. | 25 conditions that were found on the property as shown in the |
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NRI/FSG which is Exhibit 11? And is that the frrst exhibit
you'd like to go, Mr. Kennedy?
    DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes, please. Thank you. So this
is -- looking at the natural resources inventory, forest
and delineation, the number is 420192170. This was
prepared by me and approved by Park and Planning on August
12th, 2019. So overall, you know, we've seen the site
several times now, but it's -- the site is 30.94 acres
total. 10.86 of that is forested and 20.08 acres consists
of a sod farm and then a single-family residence. There
are two existing entrances off of Damascus Road: one
entrance for the house and one entrance for the farm
vehicles to service the farmarea.
    The forested area -- the property is located on
the northern third of the tract area. Within this area
there's approximately one acre of wetland. There's also an
old farm pond that exists there. Then there's a perennial
and intermittent stream all with associated environmental
buffers. Generally speaking, the site is flat but there is
a slope. It slopes away fromDamascus Road towards the
forest of the northern end.
    There is a 660 foot PMA setback which is the
Montgomery County Primary Management Area per the
guidelines for environmental management of development. So
you can see that line. It runs from southwest to northeast
NRI/FSG which is Exhibit 11? And is that the first exhibit you'd like to go, Mr. Kennedy?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes, please. Thank you. So this
is -- looking at the natural resources inventory, forest
and delineation, the number is 420192170 . This was
prepared by me and approved by Park and Planning on August
12th, 2019. So overall, you know, we've seen the site several times now, but it's -- the site is 30.94 acres total. 10.86 of that is forested and 20.08 acres consists of a sod farm and then a single-family residence. There are two existing entrances off of Damascus Road: one ance for the house and one entrance for the fam vehicles to service the farm area. The forested area -- the property is located on the northern third of the tract area. Within this area there's approximately one acre of wetland. There's also an old farm pond that exists there. Then there's a perennial and intermittent stream all with associated environmental buffers. Generally speaking, the site is flat but there is a slope. It slopes away from Damascus Road towards the forest of the northern end.
There is a 660 foot PMA setback which is the Montgomery County Primary Management Area per the guidelines for environmental management of development. So you can see that line. It runs from southwest to northeast
```

toward the middle of the property. It's a lighter line.
There you go right there. So --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And when he
says right there, is that labeled on the plan?
DEVIN KENNEDY: It is. It's just a lighter
gradient --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
DEVIN KENNEDY: -- so they'll be easier to see
than the other lines.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Just for the
record I want to make sure. Go ahead.
DEVIN KENNEDY: So we have stream value buffer
setbacks from the stream which are also shown sort of
parallel to the PMA setback there. Yeah, that's a darker
line. So the area from that stream value buffer to the PMA
setback is considered the PMA transition area. So that is
an environmental constraint that exists on the site.
There are no rare or threatened species were
observed or have been recorded on the site per DNR. Our
proposed conditional use area is well outside of any of
these environmental features, the hard features of the
site. So that's generally a description of the site.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. Can you provide an overview
of the preliminary forest conversation plan?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. The preliminary forest
toward the middle of the property. It's a lighter line.
There you go right there. So --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And when he says right there, is that labeled on the plan?

DEVIN KENNEDY: It is. It's just a lighter
gradient --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
DEVIN KENNEDY: -- so they'll be easier to see than the other lines.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Just for the
record I want to make sure. Go ahead.
DEVIN KENNEDY: So we have stream value buffer
setbacks from the stream which are also shown sort of
parallel to the PMA setback there. Yeah, that's a darker
line. So the area from that stream value buffer to the PMA
setback is considered the PMA transition area. So that is
an environmental constraint that exists on the site.
There are no rare or threatened species were
observed or have been recorded on the site per DNR. Our
proposed conditional use area is well outside of any of
site. So that's generally a description of the site.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. Can you provide an overview
of the preliminary forest conversation plan?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. The preliminary forest
conservation plan recorded as CU 20-2007 was approved and
accepted by Park and Planning staff and the planning board on October 22nd, 2020. A copy of the resolution is on record as Exhibit 65. The preliminary forest conservation plan was prepared as a part of this conditional use application. Land use category is agricultural and resource area. This stipulates a 20 percent afforestation and a 50 percent reforestation threshold. This comes out to a 3.74 acre afforestation and a 9.35 acre reforestation, 10 respectively. The breakeven point or the amount of forest 1 that can be removed without mitigation of any kind is 1.51 12 acres per the forest conservation worksheets.
The applicant is proposing to retain all existing 4 forests and actually encumber all forests in a permanent 5 category one conservation easement. Therefore, this 6 project satisfies all regulatory requirements in regards to
17 forest conservation and actually exceeds it by 1.51 acres. 18 A tree variance was granted as part of this application, Exhibit 63 and 65. The variance covers the proposed impacts to three specimen trees. One tree is impacted by the proposed driveway, and two would be impacted by the removal of the existing home and associated outbuildings and driveway.

Park and Planning staff and the planning board have approved of the shown impacts and granted variance for
the trees impacted, specimen trees, on October 22nd, 2020.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm sorry.
What -- Mr. Kennedy, which exhibit is the PSCP?
DEVIN KENNEDY: That is the --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Exhibit 32 for

DEVIN KENNEDY: 32, yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
DEVIN KENNEDY: So you're on it right there.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right.
DEVIN KENNEDY: I believe this is 32. Yeah,
that's correct.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you
describe the area? Is it a category one, did you say,
forest conservation easement?
DEVIN KENNEDY: That's correct. So if you
look -- you might need to zoom in a little bit but, yeah,
where you have your cursor is delineating that dash line.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Does it
go -- but it goes --
DEVIN KENNEDY: No, that's encumbered -- that's
part of the easement. So it just --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
DEVIN KENNEDY: It really just bisects the site
there from --
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|  | 1 Thank you. |
| 2 | 2 DEVIN KENNEDY: Okay. I think -- |
| 3 DEVIN KENNEDY: -- west to east. | 3 MS. REGELIN: I apologize. |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 4 DEVIN KENNEDY: Sorry. |
| 5 DEVIN KENNEDY: So as far as back to the trees, | 5 MS. REGELIN: Are you ready for the next? |
| 6 the variance, the tree variance, the applicant plans on | 6 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes, please. |
| 7 mitigating any impact to these trees by providing tree | 7 MS. REGELIN: Are you ready for the next or |
| 8 protection measures and retaining the specimen trees | 8 you -- okay. |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Where are the | 9 DEVIN KENNEDY: I'll take that now. |
| 10 tree variance trees? | 10 MS. REGELIN: We've heard descriptions of the |
| 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: All right. I think you will have | 11 conditional use site plan from the prior witnesses. Can |
| 12 to actually zoom in a little bit on this one. So if you go | 12 you describe how you designed the site plan and determined |
| 13 to the proposed entrance right at the -- you'll see the | 13 the limits of the conditional use permit area and within |
| 14 Tree 62 I believe is to the left of the entrance there. | 14 the areas of your expertise and the particular constraints |
| 15 Yeah, that's it right there. | 15 and opportunities that there are on the property? |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It has a 70 on | 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. So regarding the |
| 17 it . I don't t | 17 conditional use site plan, I guess first looking into the |
| 18 DEVIN KENNEDY: Oh, 70. Yeah, sorry. Yeah, | 18 project -- |
| 19 that's 70. And then the other two trees are around the | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you want to |
| 20 existing house to the left. | 20 pull that -- this is the exhibit. Is this the exhibit you |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: 62 and 63? | 21 want to pull up? This is Exhibit 33 which is the site |
| 22 DEVIN KENNEDY: It was -- yes. 62 and 63, yeah. | 22 plan. |
| 23 Let me double check that. Yeah. So, sorry. Tree 70 is | 23 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, that's fine. I think that |
| 24 the one near the proposed driveway and then Tree 63 and 66 | 24 will work for what we're talking about here. Can you just |
| 25 are the specimen trees impacted by the -- would be impacted | 25 zoom out a little bit to get a little -- just to reference |
| 90 | 92 |
| 1 by the demolition of the existing house. | 1 the overall site? So as you can see, the entire site is |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank | 230.94 acres. 10.86 of that is forested and will remain |
| 3 you. | 3 forested. So that gave us approximately 20.08 acres to |
| 4 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. | 4 sort of-- of usable siting for the proposed work area. |
| 5 MS. REGELIN: And just to clarify, they're | 5 So we knew that we wanted to come up with a |
| 6 impacted because of the work that will be done to remove | 6 compact design that would include all design elements that |
| 7 the house and the driveway but they are not planned to be | 7 are required for the business operations needed by the |
| 8 removed. | 8 clients. So this also includes -- you know, we wanted to |
| 9 DEVIN KENNEDY: Correct. | 9 limit the amount of impervious area associated with those |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It's in the | 10 uses. The building, parking lots, parking area, work yard, |
| 11 critical root zone, is that it? | 11 and storage were all consolidated into an area between two- |
| 12 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, so anytime there's | 12 and-a-half and three acres. So for the entire site being |
| 13 specimen tree's critical root zone, which is determined by | 1330 acres, the actual operations portion of that 30 acres is |
| 14 the diameter of the tree -- | 14 less than three acres. |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. | 15 So once we came up with the design program as far |
| 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: -- is impacted then you -- yeah, | 16 as including all elements needed for the operations, we |
| 17 you need to request variance for those impacts -- | 17 wanted to locate that specific program with particular |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. | 18 sensitivity to the overall environmental recommendations as |
| 19 DEVIN KENNEDY: -- with planning boards. | 19 described in the guideline for environmental management of |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. But | 20 development in Montgomery County. This meant locating the |
| 21 they're not being taken down. They're going to remain. | 21 designed area outside of all environmental buffers and |
| 22 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. So the applicant would | 22 setbacks, and importantly, under ten percent impervious |
| 23 like to preserve these trees. So we'll be providing | 23 within the transition area that I described earlier as part |
| 24 appropriate protections and mitigation for the impacts. | 24 of the NRI, and also ten percent of the entire site as a |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. | 25 whole. |
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| 1 So that's sort of -- in a design perspective it | 1 employee parking. The entire site design and work area is |
| 2 kind of resulted in -- you know, we wanted to have it set | 2 enclosed by an eight-foot tall board-on-board wooden fence |
| 3 back from the road a decent amount to provide visua | 3 that travels the entire perimeter of the site effectively |
| 4 buffering but also not far back that we were intruding onto | 4 screening anything within -- behind the fence. |
| 5 these environmental recommendations. So that kind of like | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Kennedy, |
| 6 led to where the site is sited on the plan he | 6 |
| 7 So once we found our sweet spot, so to speak, | 7 they were valid questions, about the board-on-board fence. |
| 8 location within the site we then assigned our conditional | 8 Does that include the operation -- I think you call it the |
| 9 use area outside of that operations area. So this | 9 operations building, the large building? Is there going to |
| 10 was ultimately delineated around all elements that | 10 be an eight-foot board-on-board fence in front of that? |
| 11 related specifically to the conditional use. So this | 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: The design is not -- you know, |
| 12 includes the work yard area, the buildings, the parking, | 12 what you're seeing here is not showing the fence around the |
| 13 the fence itself, the screen fence, the driveways, buffer | 13 building itself. So once this building is built there is |
| 14 plantings, septic area, and all of this comes to about 6.43 | 14 no need to have a fence delineated along its footprint. So |
| 15 acre | 15 I understand there was a question earlier regarding if |
| 16 Because of the overall site characteristics and | 16 there would be a fence prior to the main building being |
| 17 where we sited the design program, we were able to provide | 17 built and |
| 18 in my opinion substantial screening of the actual business | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. |
| 19 activities. So getting into more design specifics, we | 19 DEVIN KENNEDY: -- and my understanding is, yes, |
| 20 decided to remove the two existing access points and | 20 that's the plan is to have the perimeter fencing built. So |
| 21 provide one entrance driveway which is proposed at the | 21 effectively the -- |
| 22 point directly across from the park entrance. So this | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So that would |
| 23 connecting driveway is 24 feet wide. It will allow for | 23 be the same perimeter fencing -- is that the eight-foot |
| 24 comfortable two-way for work trucks in | 24 tall board-on-board that would be there? |
| 25 The main -- I'm sorry. I'm sort of going through | 25 DEVIN KENNEDY: Correct. Yeah, it would be the |
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| 1 the design description a little bit so it may help to zoom | 1 same fencing that circulates the entire area. |
| 2 in a little bit on the actual design elements. Maybe a | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now is the |
| 3 little bit more. Okay. That's probably good. So I'm | 3 eight-foot board-on-board on top of the berm? |
| 4 starting here with our entry drive into the site. So it's | 4 DEVIN KENNEDY: No. |
| 5 about a 200-foot driveway length from the Damascus Road | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. What's |
| 6 'til you get to the fence work yard area. | 6 on top of the berm? |
| 7 The main operations and maintenance barn anchors | 7 DEVIN KENNEDY: So the berm itself just runs up |
| 8 that southwest corner of the work area. So this 80 by 125- | 8 to the top of the wall and then from the top of the wall is |
| 9 foot building was oriented north and south to have its | 9 eight foot -- the wall is eight-feet tall and all of your |
| 10 short gable end facing Damascus Road and the broad side, | 10 storage and work yard area is behind here. So the berm |
| 11125 foot long broad side was screening the work yard from a | 11 itself is screening the activities -- |
| 12 western viewpoint | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So -- |
| 13 To the east of the main building is the work yard | 13 DEVIN KENNEDY: -- and then you have your |
| 14 area and a work yard -- work truck parking and trailers. | 14 plantings on top of the berm here. |
| 15 It was a major design priority to screen the entire | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So I'm |
| 16 business operations in the center of the site as it stands | 16 pointing -- there's a thing that says materials bins. |
| 17 The main view from Damascus Road towards the work yard is | 17 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. |
| 18 screened by an eight-foot tall densely planted berm. On | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: There is -- it |
| 19 the northside of this berm is the material storage and work | 19 looks like a wall there, a wall that goes east to an X and |
| 20 yard which has the eight-foot tall wall backing behind it. | 20 then a wall that starts where this hatched line is. So |
| 21 It's completely screened from Damascus Road. All visual | 21 is -- so that's not going to have this fence either; is |
| 22 screening is satisfied. | 22 that what I'm hearing? |
| 23 Along the east edge of the work area are four | 23 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, all of that will be -- |
| 24 greenhouses and one storage building. Along the north edge | 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I just can't |
| 25 is truck parking. The northwest corner contains the | 25 figure it out. |
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| 1 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. So the wall will be eight | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So it's |
| 2 feet the whole way. It is buried by the berm in the front | 2 this? |
| 3 part but as it slopes away to meet grade, you know, the | 3 DEVIN KENNEDY: That's the gate. The one right |
| 4 fence will make up that -- the difference leading up to the | 4 below it is showing the paneling. |
| 5 wall. So as you have the p | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I see. |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 6 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, that's it. But they match |
| 7 DEVIN KENNEDY: -- you can see the fence, you | 7 in design. So -- |
| 8 know, starts at that point of the wall. | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. All |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: The fence is | 9 right. I've just been trying -- I'm not making a judgment |
| 10 this line with the hatching, correct? | 10 one way or the other. I'm just trying to understand |
| 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: That's correct. Yes. | 11 because I thought the community had some good questions |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So -- | 12 from the last time. Okay. Keep going. |
| 13 MS. REGELIN: So Mr. Kennedy, just to be clear, | 13 DEVIN KENNEDY: So yeah, just to reiterate, the |
| 14 that wall is a concrete | 14 fencing, getting out there and screening everything is a |
| 15 DEVIN KENNEDY: That's correct. | 15 major, you know, priority as far as phasing goes. But |
| 16 MS. REGELIN: And in front of it is the berm? | 16 continuing on. So along the east edge we have the |
| 17 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. | 17 greenhouses, the northwest is the employee parking. We did |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I -- so | 18 the -- sorry, I'm just trying to get track on my notes |
| 19 the eight-foot wall here is at grade with the berm? | 19 here. |
| 20 DEVIN KENNEDY: Right. Right. | 20 So outside of that wooden fence that actually |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That's what I | 21 screens the activity, you know, completely there is |
| 22 was trying to figure out | 22 additional buffer plantings in strategic areas on the site |
| 23 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, it -- | 23 to further soften those operations. So effectively, you |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And then you're | 24 know, we're having our major screens but then we're |
| 25 putting landscaping -- okay. I understand. I didn't | 25 actually softening that, you know, with some more |
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| 1 understand that before. | 1 supplemental plantings. |
| 2 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, it's effectively a | 2 The set back from each property line to the |
| 3 retaining wall for the berm. | 3 physical elements of the proposed design are as follows. |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go | 4 From the east property line is 196 feet, from the north |
| 5 ahead. | 5 over 800 feet to the closest item, and then from the west |
| 6 DEVIN KENNEDY: All right. | 6 it's 405 feet, and then from the Damascus Road setback to |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now what's | 7 the wall is 132 feet. |
| 8 going to be there? To your knowledge, if you know, is the | 8 So we have designed the conditional use area to |
| 9 berm being constructed with the temporary operation? | 9 use extensive setbacks, buildings, opaque fencing, |
| 10 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, so the -- yeah, before any | 10 landscape to screen the activity in the work yard and to |
| 11 buildings are built the plan is to, you know, build the | 11 minimize all noise or light spillage. So I think |
| 12 surfaces, the berms, and then, you know, the plantings as | 12 that's -- yeah, that's it for the site plan. Thank you, |
| 13 appropriate in the season. So get all the screen elements | 13 Nancy. |
| 14 into -- onto the site as soon as possible. | 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Ms. Regelin? |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Does that | 15 MS. REGELIN: I apologize. Is there additional |
| 16 include the board-on-board fence? | 16 perimeter existing plantings in the property that would be |
| 17 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes, it does. | 17 in addition to what this applicant introduces onto the |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So the | 18 site? |
| 19 area -- and the -- okay. Okay. I understand. Do you have | 19 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, I was -- yeah, to further |
| 20 a site detail of the board-on-board fence? | 20 reiterate the existing conditions combined with what we're |
| 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, there's an image of it on | 21 proposing, there is buffer -- existing trees and vegetation |
| 22 the detail sheet which I believe is -- let me check one | 22 buffers along both property lines, so on the Howard Chapel |
| 23 second. | 23 side and then also on the east property line. And also |
| 24 MS. REGELIN: It's Exhibit 7. | 24 there's mature trees that are existing around the single- |
| 25 DEVIN KENNEDY: Exhibit 7. Thank you. | 25 family house there that are planning to be retained, and |
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| 1 along the existing driveway as well. So those large | 1 material bins, what is the surface there? |
| 2 evergreen trees will, you know, also act as a buffer to | 2 DEVIN KENNEDY: So yeah, anything that's stored |
| 3 the -- from looking to the southwest portion of the wow | 3 or parked or anything on top of the impervious -- they h |
| ar | 4 gravel which is considered impervious. So any materials or |
| 5 MS. REGELIN: So can you point out like the | 5 vegetation or whatever is desired by the busines |
| 6 grouping of the trees that are on the Howard Chapel side of | 6 operations is sitting on top of an impervious surface |
| 7 the conditional use that are around the existing house? | 7 That's correct |
| 8 DEVIN KENNEDY: Oh, sure. | 8 MS. REGELIN: Okay. And if necessary, |
| 9 MS. REGELIN: Can you tell us or describe us a | 9 material bins, they could replace that since it's already |
| 10 little bit about those? | 10 impervious with asphalt or concrete if they needed to? |
| 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: So the ones -- if you look right | 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, correct. |
| 12 at the driveway entrance for the house there's I think | 12 MS. REGELIN: Can you focus on the design of |
| 13 three -- the existing driveway to the west, the existing | 13 driveway access? |
| 14 entrance there | 14 DEVIN KENNEDY: Sure. The proposed driveway |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Right | 15 access, if we go back to the site plan exhibit, is located |
| 16 here? | 16 directly across from the confronting park entrance. So |
| 17 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. That. So you can see kind | 17 it's located approximately right around the high points of |
| 18 of ghosted in there the tree symbols and then the actu | 18 the Damascus Road which provides adequate sight lines to |
| 19 rough canopy limits shown. So those are all groupings of | 19 both the east and west. It's a flat road. You're at |
| 20 large mature trees. The ones along the driveway ar | 20 roughly the high point elevation wise and there's no |
| 21 evergreen, larger trees. Same with the ones behind the | 21 physical obstructions to view. So it's considered a safe |
| 22 single-family house. So those three, yes. And then the | 22 location around midway through the property. |
| 23 ones around the building itself are deciduous but they'teres | 23 The proposed entrance will be SHA standard apron |
| 24 large shade trees. So I think tha | 24 will be provided and compliant with fire department access |
| 25 is, you know, just adds to the over-the-top -- you know, in | 25 So in regards to turning radius width of that nature. The |
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| 1 my opinion over-buffered area. Or not over-buffered but | 1 connecting driveway is 24 -feet wide and 200-feet long which |
| 2 adequately buffered above and beyond I would say. | 2 connects the Damascus Road to the work area. It is my |
| 3 MS. REGELIN: Okay. How is the project designed | 3 opinion that the access to the conditional use area meets |
| 4 to keep down dust? | 4 the requirements of Montgomery County Code and zoning |
| 5 DEVIN KENNEDY: So the -- our proposed entrance | 5 ordinance and provides for safe and convenient turns into |
| 6 driveway is asphalt and the remaining work yard area and | 6 and out of the property. |
| 7 site is gravel. There's concrete sidewalks and ADA spacing | 7 MS. REGELIN: Was it intentional to design this |
| 8 is also concrete. So just in relation to your question, as | 8 site so that all of the entrances to the barn and the |
| 9 a part of the fire access compliance would be a long-term | 9 future building entered into the yard? |
| 10 maintenance agreement will have to be executed that intends | 10 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, so we -- yeah, the design, |
| 11 to provide access standards appropriate for a fire | 11 back to sort of the original idea, was to have a self- |
| 12 apparatus in perpetuity. So this mean, you know, you | 12 contained work yard area. So as part of that all entrances |
| 13 maintain those drivable surfaces, don't let them get muddy | 13 to the buildings would be facing the interior of the site |
| 14 or, you know, inappropriate for a fire apparatus truck to | 14 area, you know, from fully screened from outside |
| 15 maneuver. | 15 observations. |
| 16 So the gravel itself that's being proposed is | 16 MS. REGELIN: So the one area of the operations |
| 17 number 57 stone which are -- you know, it's a little bit | 17 barn indicates it's for salt storage. That doorway into |
| 18 larger stone, not as dusty as a typical, you know, junk | 18 the yard actually exits onto asphalt, correct? |
| 19 gravel-type stone. But any dust particles that would be | 19 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. Yeah, all -- yeah, all |
| 20 generated by work vehicles within the site, the work yard | 20 entry and exit out of that main building is onto the |
| 21 area, you have over 200 feet of asphalt to kind of disperse | 21 asphalt shown on the plan. |
| 22 any dust from the tires from actually existing the site. | 22 MS. REGELIN: Let's move onto the landscape plan. |
| 23 MS. REGELIN: And is the yard impervious -- | 23 DEVIN KENNEDY: Okay. |
| DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes | 24 MS. REGELIN: Our landscape lighting plan is |
| 25 MS. REGELIN: -- even with the gravel? So the | 25 shown at Exhibit 38 and it's rendered on Exhibit 48. So |


| 105 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: |
| which exhibit would you like pulled up? | 1 substantial berm which is heavily planted using overstory, |
| DEVIN KENNEDY: I think 48 would be good to show | 2 understory shrubs and evergreens in a naturalized pattern. |
| the rendering. | 3 All proposed plantings are considered native to the region. |
| MS. REGELIN: Okay. Then could you go forward | 4 Just to kind of go back and point out what we're |
| describe the landscape plan in more detail and the | 5 looking at on this rendering, where the greenhouses are |
| ing lot | 6 the northeast corner there, we propose some evergreen |
| KE | 7 trees. So this is to further sort of -- the idea was |
| again, a major design priority was to comply | 8 try to fill in any gaps that might exist as you're |
| our screening requirements listed in the zoni | 9 traveling down the road. So the evergreens are kind of |
| 0 ordinance and, more importantly, to adequately buffer the | 10 located in that transition area where the berm is sloping |
|  |  |
| Along the north edge | 12 you know, further buffer and screen that specific area from |
| 13 we are providing just the eight-foot tall board-on-board | 13 looking northwest from the road. |
| ce, but there's over 800 feet to the property line of | 14 So now continuing on the west edge of the |
| north, to the north, | 15 conditional use area. Again, we're utilizing our eight- |
| 6 actual forest. So we felt that that was an adequate screen | 16 foot tall board-on-board fence to screen the parking area |
| e s | 17 as well as the broad side of the operations barn which |
| 8 The east edge of the conditional use area is | 18 further acts as a screen. And then outside of the building |
| osed by again the eight-foot tall board-on-boa | 19 there we are providing a couple of overstory trees that |
|  | 20 just sort of provide a softening of the façade there which |
| 1 generous dense buffer, a planting of overstory, understory, | 21 is further -- sort of buffers the façade of the building. |
| bs, | 22 So now more into the parking area. So the |
| 3 pattern to further buffer the fence as well. | 23 northwest corner there, that's the employee parking. So |
| addition to that, buffer wise there | 24 we're proposing shade trees around all the employee area. |
| existing strip of vegetation that runs along the property | 25 This is intended to provide the minimum 25 percent canopy |
| 106 | 108 |
| line between the neighbor to the east. So those proposed - | 1 coverage for that parking facility per the zoning ordinance 2 requirements. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is th | 3 So again, we're -- you know, we're balancing |
| row or is that -- what is that vegetation? | 4 multiple elements with regards to screening. You know, we |
| DEVIN KENNEDY: It's like a massing of vegetation | 5 have our large setbacks. So from the west side we're 400 |
| here's some trees in there sort of outside the | 6 feet. We have the existing vegetation along the property |
| ition of what would be a forest but it's just | 7 lines. We have our proposed nursery stock, and then we |
| row -- you know, there are larger trees but it's mostly | 8 also have our buffer plantings in front of the fence and |
| a massing of vegetation. | 9 then we have our eight-foot tall fence. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 10 It is my opinion that the landscape plan for |
| DEVIN KENNEDY: So in addition to all that, you | 11 conditional use area complies with the requirements of the |
| w, we're set back 196 feet. You know, 50 -foot setbacks | 12 Zoning Ordinance 59-3 and 59-6. Planning staff concurred |
| sort of the minimum requirements but we're w | 13 with this in their report as well, Park and Planning. |
| eding | 14 MS. REGELIN: And does the landscaping comply |
|  | 15 with the zoning ordinance for a parking facility? |
| 6 potentially in that transition area as well. So in | 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. Correct. The 25 percent |
| icular from this neighbor, you know, you'll have then | 17 canopy coverage over the parking facility does comply, yes. |
| ing vegetative buffer along | 18 MS. REGELIN: Okay. Can you go and describe the |
| 9 then goes to nursery planting, and then we'll have | 19 details of the lighting on the property as shown on the |
| osed buffer plantings outside the fence, and then you | 20 landscape and lighting plan at Exhibit 38 and the details |
| an eight-foot tall fence. | 21 which are on Exhibit 7? And which exhibit would you like |
| So along that south edge of the property tha | 22 pulled up? |
| Damascus Road, it's also enclosed by the eight-foot | 23 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. So a photometric analysis |
| ence where it meets the berm and then the berm acts | 24 was prepared. The lighting details are shown on Exhibit 7 |
| he screen as we were mentioning before. This is a | 25 but then the photometrics are Exhibit 10. Maybe start with |


over that.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: They're -- I
see now they're labeled on the plan. Some of them are
labeled on the plan.
DEVIN KENNEDY: Uh-huh. So that's the top
elevation facing Damascus Road there and there's only one
above the access door there, side access, to the right side
of the wall there. Yeah. And then I don't believe there's
any on the north or west side.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. So can we move then -- if
everyone is ready we can move onto the signs proposed by
the applicant.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you have an
exhibit for that?
DEVIN KENNEDY: We can see it on the detail
sheet. It's Exhibit 7 has a good image of the road -- the
entry sign. But this is good too as well. That shows it
on the -- that will show the other sign. So that -- yeah,
those are a good mockup. The applicant proposes the
following signs as part of the landscape contract or
conditional use. So for the temporary office trailer there
will be a temporary wall-mounted sign on the field
operations trailer which is 40 square feet. That has no
illumination and this sign will be relocated to the field
operations barn once it's constructed.
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If you want to pull up the elevations we were
just looking at and you can see the outline of where the
sign would go.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: You have to
tell me what -- this here?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. Sorry. Yes. That is the
south elevation number two elevation there. You'll see
above the faux doors -- that's it right there. So that is
the wall-mounted sign field operations building. That's
also 40 square feet, no illumination. It will be the
relocated one from the trailer.
The driveway entrance sign is --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Where is it
going to be when the trailer is there?
DEVIN KENNEDY: It will be located on the trailer
itself. Similar to how it is on this building. It will
just be on the -- one of the sides of the office trailer.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. What side of the office trailer?

DEVIN KENNEDY: I have it -- it would
probably -- I don't know for sure, I'd have to confirm with
the client, but either the approaching façade, the narrow
end, or the -- next to the actual front -- the long end
where you would walk into the trailer. So the south or
west facades.

## HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Will it

be visible from the road?
DEVIN KENNEDY: No.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go
ahead.
DEVIN KENNEDY: And then the last sign, the
second sign, I guess the permanent sign would be the
driveway entrance sign, another 40 square foot sign.
That's the one that's on Exhibit 7, the details. So that's
four feet by ten foot, the actual sign dimension, and it's
mounted on six-by-six posts. There will be no illumination
up lighting associated with this sign either.
A separate sign permit will be pursued. No
waivers are being requested for any of this just, you know,
as part of going forward before we construct these signs.
That's just what we're pursuing. So it is my opinion that
these signs comply with the requirements of Section 59-67
of the zoning ordinance.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Would the
applicant -- if this is approved would the applicant agree
to a condition saying no illumination of the sign?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, we're not proposing
illumination. Yeah, that's not a plan so I assume that
that would be fine for a condition.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Ms.

Regelin?
MS. REGELIN: Mr. Kennedy, the data table showing
all the sign calculations are on exhibit -- the site plan
at Exhibit 33, correct?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah.
MS. REGELIN: So for the hearing examiner, on 33
which was the site plan, all those data tables that we
looked at before for parking and all of that includes the data table for the signage.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, I see.
MS. REGELIN: Mr. Kennedy, is it your opinion
that the application will not cause undue harm to the
neighborhood as a result of non-inherent adverse effects
alone or in combination with inherent or non-inherent
adverse effects with regard to impact such as elimination or dust?

JACK QUINN: I object. That's more than a little leading.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. Can you rephrase, Ms. Regelin?

MS. REGELIN: It is exactly the requirement but
is it your -- what is your opinion regarding the adverse
impact of this application?
DEVIN KENNEDY: It is my opinion that the
application will not cause undue harm to the neighborhood

| 117 | 119 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 due to adverse effects as a result of non-inherent or a | 1 see here? |
| 2 combination of inherent or non-inherent adverse effects | 2 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. So this is I think a handy |
| 3 arising from illumination or dust from the conditional use. | 3 little exhibit here. This is looking -- you know, |
| 4 MS. REGELIN: Okay. At this time I would like to | 4 traveling on Google Street view along Damascus Road. So I |
| 5 just go back and look at Exhibit 49 and then we'll look at | 5 don't have a total context clip but this is |
| 6 the new street view, Mr. Kenne | 6 generally -- you can see the sign for Unity there. So this |
| 7 DEVIN KENNEDY: Okay | 7 is east of the property prior to the Sundown split of |
| 8 MS. REGELIN: But let's look at Exhibit 49 first | 8 Damascus Road going west direction towards our site. So |
| 9 which are the street views that had the corners. | 9 each subsequent photo in this exhibit will represent |
| 10 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes | 10 traveling forward to the next visual line. So sort of |
| 11 MS. REGELIN: And when it's pulled up will you | 11 like, you know, as you go to the next slides you'll see |
| 12 just describe what is shown on this exhibit please? | 12 that we're -- |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It should be | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I don't |
| 14 up. | 14 have another -- oh, I see. I do. Okay. Keep going. |
| 15 DEVIN KENNEDY: So this is just exhibit we wanted | 15 DEVIN KENNEDY: All right. So yeah, so this is |
| 16 to show the existing buffers along the property lines along | 16 just a good context of, you know, whatever, you know, we're |
| 17 Howard Chapel Road and then the east property. So those | 17 talking about with the surrounding area and the |
| 18 letters correspond to the photos that are shown below from | 18 neighborhood and -- |
| 19 that direction. So starting with the first one -- this one | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, tell us |
| 20 you might want to zoom in a little bit just to get a better | 20 where you are on this one. |
| 21 image. So these are in order A, B, C, D as we saw | 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: So this one is again, you know, |
| 22 So from -- this is looking east from Howard | 22 we're approaching the Sundown Road split. So it's |
| 23 Chapel Road. So you can see that there's the existing | 23 just -- we're still sort of outside of our, you know, our |
| 24 tree, vegetation line buffer. So you really can't even see | 24 immediate neighborhood but it's -- you know, we're |
| 25 the property until you get past Howard Chapel Road. | 25 progressing towards the site. So it's just more of like a |
| 118 | 20 |
| 1 And then as we scroll down a little bit more | 1 visual tour of the area as you're approaching our proposed |
| 2 we'll see -- this is from looking on Damascus Road but from | 2 use. So just kind of want to give -- you know, you can see |
| 3 the property out to the west. So you're looking through | 3 the single-family houses to the right that are, you know, |
| 4 the same buffer that was shown in the previous photo, which | 4 closer to the road. You see the farmland on the left |
| 5 you can see the existing vegetation. | 5 So then as you're continuing forward, you know, |
| 6 And then if we keep scrolling down to C, now this | 6 there's just more density of actual residences in this |
| 7 is looking along the east property line from our property | 7 portion of the focus area. So we can continue moving |
| 8 out. So you can see that vegetation line along the | 8 forward west and now we're past that initial residence. |
| 9 property there. And then the next photo will be looking | 9 There's a field on the right; cornfield and agriculture. |
| 10 from that east property line into the property so you can | 10 Same thing on the left. And then we continue to progress |
| 11 see pretty noticeable buffer that's existing currently. | 11 west here. Same deal. We're starting to get closer to the |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is that a | 12 Sundown Road. You know, you can see the -- I'm going to |
| 13 deciduous buffer? | 13 move this up a little bit. You start to see some more |
| 14 DEVIN KENNEDY: Mostly deciduous, yes, but it's | 14 single-family houses on the right. You can keep -- yeah, |
| 15 very dense deciduous. So that just means that you have a | 15 so there's - |
| 16 mix of your taller tree and then your understory and | 16 MS. REGELIN: And I think you can see here, Mr. |
| 17 shrubs. | 17 Kennedy, was there a speed limit as well? |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Ms. | 18 DEVIN KENNEDY: Right. So we're in the 30 mile |
| 19 Regelin? | 19 per hour portion of Damascus Road currently, yes. It says |
| 20 MS. REGELIN: I'm sorry. Exhibit 73. Mr. | 2030 miles per hour. So keep moving forward and now this |
| 21 Kennedy, you asked us to submit Exhibit 73 which is the | 21 is -- you know, we're still sort of in the -- where the |
| 22 street view along Damascus Road - | 22 single-family houses are. There's a road exiting off of |
| 23 DEVIN KENNEDY: Oh, yes | 23 Damascus Road here that extends properties farther back |
| 24 MS. REGELIN: -- as part of what you took into | 24 behind. |
| 25 consideration. Could you just describe what we're going to | 25 MS. REGELIN: Mr. Kennedy, is that the |



|  | 127 |
| :---: | :---: |
| proposed buildings. And then you can also see the single- | 1 greenhouse. |
| family houses on the left side of the road. So these are | 2 KIM CALDEIRA: Okay. So one thing I'm a little |
| 3 just comparable sizes and design characteristics that we're | 3 confused about is whether things in the plan that you have |
| 4 proposi | 4 laid out so nicely, are they -- you know, are they -- to |
| And then so we get a little better view | 5 what extent are the various elements of that plan |
| 6 Continue east where we can still the barn and outbuildings | 6 commitments or definite things that are going to be built |
| 7 and Waredaca structures and then you can see the large, | 7 or just proposed or optional things that they might -- you |
| 8 excuse me, the larger single-family houses on the left and 9 also the, you know, approximate garage to the roads. | 8 know, that might come up such as the proposed -- you know, 9 the proposed nursery plantings, the -- that makes me |
| 10 All right. If we continue there's some more | 10 wonder, well, you know, is the fence just proposed? Is the |
| 11 shots of the same house. And we can see a couple different | 11 main operational building just proposed? You know, can the |
| 12 houses. So these are effectively size wise not much | 12 owner -- you know, will they have discretion about picking |
| 13 different than our proposed barn size wise, you know, as | 13 and choosing which elements of this plan they decide to |
| 14 far as counting the entire footprint. But generally | 14 implement? |
| 15 speaking these are just large buildings that are out there | 15 DEVIN KENNEDY: In that regard, you know, the |
| 16 currently in the area. | 16 main phasing portion that the client is proposing is to |
| 17 So now we're continually getting closer to the | 17 build the operations area, so the surfaces that you need, |
| 18 Waredaca entrance where you can still see the barn | 18 the parking, the berms, the plantings, the fences. All of |
| 19 buildings. Let's keep going. There's existing house | 19 that outside of the buildings 100 percent would be built |
| 20 near -- this is the house out front of Waredaca, the lot | 20 first. So the operations building would be the second |
| 21 between Waredaca and Damascus Roa | 21 phase, the large main building. And then the third story, |
| 22 And we're still moving forward just to get a | 22 or the second building, the third phase would be the |
| 23 view of the large houses. And there's a good shot of their | 23 storage building and then the greenhouses. |
| 24 main building there, Waredaca. So as you can see it's set | 24 KIM CALDEIRA: So is it conceivable that they |
| 25 back similar to ours, probably a little bit farther, | 25 might just stop after phase one? |
| 126 | 128 |
| generally there's no buffering or anything for any of the | DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't think so. I mean I thi |
| buildings. And there's their main entrance. And then | 2 the client has been on record that he -- the reason he |
| e shots | 3 wants to move to the site is so he can build a building |
| he left now and then the single-fam | 4 to -- you know, for his operations. You know, that's the |
| right. And then this is past everything. And then tha | 5 main goal of his. |
| 6 it. | 6 KIM CALDEIRA: Do you have -- and forgive me if |
| MS. REGELIN: Yeah. Okay. So that's all the | 7 this is outside your scope of knowledge on this project, |
| tions | 8 but do you have a sense of why the applicant chose this |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: | 9 site in particular for this function? Like why -- is there |
| 10 Questions for Mr. Kennedy? Mr. Quinn. And I have Ms. | 10 something advantageous about this site for the functions |
| 11 Caldeira. Go ahead, Mr. Kennedy. And Mr. Boughton. | 11 that he is proposing? |
| 12 JACK QUINN: I'd let Ms. Caldeira go first. | 12 DEVIN KENNEDY: I mean I can't speak to all the |
| ER ROBESON HANNAN: Ms. Caldeira, | 13 decisions but in my opinion it's a good candidate because |
| 14 do you have any questions? | 14 of the already existing agricultural area that, you know, |
| 15 KIM Caldelra: Hello. Yes. Thank you. | 15 which has been another desire of his business is to be ab |
| 16 So I wanted to -- I have a variety of questions. | 16 to grow his own crop, you know, grow his own plants so he |
| 17 I asked the previous witness about the greenhouse design. | 17 can use them in his operation. So in that regard, you |
| 18 I was wondering if you have any better -- any addition | 18 know, he already has an existing agricultural area that he |
| 19 information about the greenhouses on this plan, if the | 19 can util |
| 20 a design for how they will be built. | 20 In regards to the environmental setbacks, you |
| 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: There is no set design picked | 21 know, it's -- all of the things -- he has a good amount of |
| 22 out. We have our general footprint that we would -- you | 22 area to work with outside of restrictions and it's a good |
| 23 know, that the client would like to use for a greenhous | 23 flat site for planting. You know there's various reasons |
| 24 but a specific design has not been selected. It would be | 24 why I think it's a good candidate for his use. But yeah, |
| 25 typical greenhouse. You know, one-story, long house-style | 25 that's -- you know, that's definitely factored into his |


| 129 | 131 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 decision I'm sure. | 1 strict environmental setbacks you would start with your |
| 2 KIM CALDEIRA: Because I noticed that the | 2 stream valley buffer which is 150 -foot setback from the |
| 3 business is described as a commercial landscaping and snow | 3 river, from the stream itself, sorry. |
| 4 removal and it just makes me curious why he wouldn't w | 4 KIM CALDEIRA: Okay. |
| 5 to be positioned more proximal to commercial areas if those | 5 DEVIN KENNEDY: So that's the dark line. |
| 6 are his clients. | 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you point |
| 7 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, I can't speak to that. | 7 out where that is? Oh, is see. |
| 8 That would be his preroga | 8 DEVIN KENNEDY: If you look at the stream and the |
| 9 KIM CALDEIRA: Of course. So getting back to the | 9 pond, it's parallel to that 150 feet. It's kind of a |
| 10 PMA setback, one of the earlier exhibits you showed. Why | 10 gloved-shaped -- yeah, that -- there you go. So that would |
| 11 is it okay -- could you please explain why it's okay for | 11 b |
| 12 the site, the construction site to be located inside the | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It's the in |
| 13 PMA setback? | 13 darker line. |
| 14 DEVIN KENNEDY: Sure. Yeah. Do you want to pull | 14 DEVIN KENNEDY: Right, the inner darker line. So |
| 15 up -- the site plan I think would show, Exhibit -- sorry. | 15 that's sort of, you know, the no-no line. You're not doing |
| 16 I keep forgetting which one is whic | 16 any grading or anything sort of activity within that. We |
| 17 KIM CALDEIRA: Okay. So | 17 also have shown on there the septic setback which is 200 |
| 18 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, there it is | 18 feet. So we're showing our reserve area outside of that |
| 19 KIM CALDEIRA: Okay. Yeah. | 19 environmental setback as well. And then -- you know, as |
| 20 DEVIN KENNEDY: So -- | 20 part of the stream valley buffer, you know, you see you |
| 21 KIM CALDEIRA: Yeah. I just wonder what's the | 21 have the wetland in there as well, but the wetland, which |
| 22 impact on the stream and -- you know, because that is part | 22 is a 50 -foot setback, is combined with the stream valley |
| 23 of the headwaters of the Patuxent River and it's not very | 23 buffer to make one buffer. So that's why the shape you're |
| 24 far -- you know, it's all connected. | 24 getting. |
| 25 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. Yeah. You know, | 25 And then the PMA, because we're -- you know, |
| 130 | 132 |
| 1 we're -- we are very much wanting to follow the | 1 because we have a PMA setback on our site there's really |
| 2 recommendations that are setout in environmental | 2 two recommendations regarding impervious area. One is ten |
| 3 guidelines. So the PMA, the primary management area for | 3 percent within that transition area. So you would flood |
| 4 the Patuxent River is laid out in description of the | 4 that whole area between the stream valley buffer and the |
| 5 guidelines and the specific -- in regards to your question | 5 PMA line and your impervious has to be ten -- no, all the |
| 6 regarding the transition area, they state -- you know, they | 6 way to the -- yeah. Your impervious here has to be ten |
| 7 recommend maximum ten percent of that whole area, that | 7 percent of that or less than ten percent of that. And then |
| 8 transition area, be impervious. So we are -- you can tell | 8 also your total impervious area is recommended to be ten |
| 9 we're way outside of any stream valley buffers, wetland | 9 percent of the entire 30 -acre site. So we have |
| 10 buffers, septic setbacks, all your traditional | 10 demonstrated that we are well -- you know, we're under both |
| 11 environmental setbacks. We're very much not impacting in | 11 of those thresholds. |
| 12 any way. And then this additional recommendation is just | 12 KIM CALDEIRA: Okay. Thank you. Do you have |
| 13 less than ten percent impervious in this transition area. | 13 more information about the submerged gravel wetlands that's |
| 14 KIM CALDEIRA: Okay. And would you mind -- and | 14 proposed? |
| 15 I'm sorry if this is redundant with what you already said | 15 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, we'll have our engineer, |
| 16 earlier. | 16 Sergio, who is the design for the -- you know, he'll have |
| 17 DEVIN KENNEDY: S | 17 more detailed information on the actual gravel wetland. So |
| 18 KIM CALDEIRA: Could you point out again on this | 18 I'll defer to him. |
| 19 plan how close are we -- which of the boundary lines would | 19 KIM CALDEIRA: Okay. And information about the |
| 20 apply to further restrictions? In other words, the | 20 soil composition, soil characteristics, and drainage, is |
| 21 area -- I think what you're saying is the area that we are | 21 that for -- |
| 22 in is only subject to the ten percent -- less than ten | 22 DEVIN KENNEDY: He would -- yeah, he has much |
| 23 percent impervious rule, but where -- how close are we to a | 23 more expertise in that regard. |
| 24 line that would have stricter requirements? | 24 KIM CALDEIRA: Okay. Has there been any |
| 25 DEVIN KENNEDY: So I guess in the hierarchy of | 25 assessment of the potential impact on wildlife? I know |

especially this area there's a -- this site is a -- there's
a lot of wildlife traffic. It's a part of a greenway kind
of in a sense between the state park on the river there and
the Rachel Carson Conversation Area, and I just wonder if
there's any -- is anyone taking that into account with this
plan or --
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. It's part of getting a
NRI, natural resources inventory. You have to coordinate
with Maryland DNR regarding any endangered species in the
area and they just do a sort of preliminary record check to
see what's around there and they look at your -- I wouldn't
say it's super detailed look but they'll provide
recommendations for setbacks for anything regarding work
done or proposed disturbances if they feel it's necessary
or if they feel they need to opine on it. So we have a
letter from them that documents that they have no record of
any species on our site specifically or that we just -- our
impacts are not notable enough I guess. We're proposing
any removal to any trees or natural elements of the site
other than our proposed stuff and grading.
KIM CALDEIRA: Okay. Thank you. I think that's
all I have for now. I have -- I think I'll save my other
questions for the other witness coming up. Thank you.
DEVIN KENNEDY: All right. Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you.
Okay. I have Mr. Quinn and then Mr. Boughton.
JACK QUINN: I'll have Mr. Boughton go first if
that works.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Mr.
Boughton, you're up.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: Thank you.
So if we could go back to Exhibit 33. These are
some of the questions that the architect could not answer
that I was told you could.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you see it?
BRYAN BOUGHTON: Actually, I wanted to go to the
color drawing which would be -- hold on.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: 48?
BRYAN BOUGHTON: Yes, that one. Yes, that works.
So part of our concern was about what will shield
the site from view on Damascus Road and of particular
concern to me was the southwest corner there where the
building may or may not be constructed early on in this
project. And it was stated that there would be a board-on-
board fence even though it's not indicated in any plans
that would encapsulate the area where the future building
will go. So my question on that is where would that fence
go and would it impede with the construction of the
building or would they put it farther out around that area?
Where would the fence track?

DEVIN KENNEDY: I guess it might be easier to
look at the site plan actually just because --
BRYAN BOUGHTON: Sure.
DEVIN KENNEDY: -- the roof line is a little bit
tough. So all right. So if we're looking here we see our
ultimate design, right? So your question is what's going
to happen before this building is going to be built and how
do you deal with the building as it's being built. So the
fence will continue along either the footprint of the
building or outside of the footprint and then connect back
to the gate, how it's shown. So you can just imagine the
actual fence running along the footprint. If we need to we
can set it back a little bit farther so they can
still -- all access for construction will be coming from
the inside of the site. So they would be doing all the
installation fromthe center out. So the fence itself
would remain during construction and then subsequently be
connected to the building and once it's up and removed.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: So while that temporary fence is
in place what type of plantings in phase one which you've
proposed that go the entire west side of the site to give
us an idea of how that fence itself will be obscured some?
DEVIN KENNEDY: I think in that section just the
fence itself will act as the screen. We have those -- we
went back and saw those existing larger trees that are
136
outside of the single-family house there. So all of that right there is a good existing buffer as is without anything -- without any fence, you know, as far as just the initial buffer. The fence itself will screen all operations just on its own. It's an opaque fence. I
don't -- you know, I don't have anything proposed for softening that per our landscape plan but I think the existing -- between the setback from the property line, the existing vegetation, and the fence itselfI think adequately serves its job.

BRYAN BOUGHTON: So but around the existing property, can you point again to which of those large trees will be removed?

DEVIN KENNEDY: None.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: Around the house right now, none of those are impacted?
DEVIN KENNEDY: They're impacted but they're proposed to remain.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: Okay. So all -- it looks like
one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight -- all nine
of those will remain?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Correct.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: So none of those will be taken down.
25 DEVIN KENNEDY: Correct.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 BRYAN BOUGHTON: And then why is there no plan | 1 of the screening plants that will go in in that first |
| 2 for vegetation along that long board fence on the west side | 2 phase? |
| 3 that faces Howard Chapel? I know your pictures showed | 3 DEVIN KENNEDY: Correct. |
| 4 quite a green screen from Howard Chapel but if you walk out | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, where is |
| 5 there now you can clearly see through that vegetation. It | 5 your land -- if you want to see exactly -- where is your |
| 6 doesn't last all year long. So I noticed on the other side | 6 landscape plan, Ms. Regelin, the most recent one? |
| 7 you said you had quite a bit of plantings, and if we look | 7 MS. REGELIN: Exhibit 31. |
| 8 at that color drawing again, Exhibit 48 I think it was, you | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So this |
| 9 had some plantings in there but are you eliminating some of | 9 is the details of the landscape plan. This narrows down by |
| 10 those along the house you just mentioned, in the front and | 10 species what's in there. |
| 11 then along the western side? | 11 BRYAN BOUGHTON: So all of these plantings will |
| 12 DEVIN KENNEDY: Those will be planted -- yeah, we | 12 take place in that first phase one? |
| 13 can leave those planted and still construct the building. | 13 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. |
| 14 You know, so those will act as your buffer to the fence. | 14 BRYAN BOUGHTON: And what will be the size of |
| 15 BRYAN BOUGHTON: But what about if the -- | 15 these plantings? We've had an expert tell us that four to |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can I jump in? | 16 six-inch calipers should be the minimum size of any |
| 17 I'm confused. When you build the fence, all right, you're | 17 deciduous trees with heights of six to ten feet at |
| 18 going to -- I thought the condition you're proposing is | 18 planting. Evergreens should be a minimum of eight feet at |
| 19 that you have to build the fence temporarily and all the | 19 planting. Is that the realm we're looking at or are we |
| 20 vegetation within the first planting season. That's what I | 20 looking at smaller? |
| 21 heard you say. | 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: We are -- the thing with the |
| 22 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, that's correct. | 22 planting materials in my opinion -- everybody likes to |
| 23 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Mr. Lambert, you're kind of | 23 plant large things immediately to get the immediate effect |
| 24 talking over us here. I'm sorry, Director Hannan. What | 24 and I understand that and a lot of times it's appropriate. |
| 25 were you saying? | 25 When you're planting new plant material taken from another |
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| 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I guess I'm | 1 site onto your site there's a balance between the size and |
| 2 trying to get this transition straight and I think that's | 2 the viability of the species. So the larger stuff that you |
| 3 what you're doing too. | 3 plant is less likely to survive than smaller stuff so you |
| 4 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Yes. | 4 kind of want to find a good balance where you're going to |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So you're going | 5 get coverage, likelihood of survival, and the actual size |
| 6 to -- I think it would be better if you could get not just | 6 of the tree. So we're proposing two-and-a-half inch |
| 7 the board-on-board fence here but the landscaping around | 7 caliper trees, two to two-and-a-half inch, and then seven |
| 8 this area as well as an initial phase rather than just a | 8 to eight-foot tall evergreen trees. |
| 9 board -- I thought I heard you say a couple of minutes ago | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What kind of |
| 10 it's just going to be a board-on-board and my question is | 10 evergreens are you planting? |
| 11 is it going to be the board-on-board opaque fence or is it | 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: Just American holly are the |
| 12 going to be the board-on-board opaque fence with planting | 12 evergreen trees and then -- yeah, so that's the evergreen. |
| 13 in the first planting season? | 13 BRYAN BOUGHTON: And what are the deciduous trees |
| 14 DEVIN KENNEDY: All the plants -- all the buffer | 14 that are two to two-and-a-half? |
| 15 plantings and parking plantings will be planted with the | 15 DEVIN KENNEDY: We have red maples, we have |
| 16 first planting season. This fence will also be installed | 16 American hornbeam, we have redbuds, yellowwood, sycamore, |
| 17 prior to the building. So those plantings, and the fence, | 17 and northern red oak. |
| 18 and the 400-foot setback from the property line, and the | 18 BRYAN BOUGHTON: And is it your belief that |
| 19 existing vegetation along the property line, and the mature | 19 bigger trees four to six inches in caliper or six to ten |
| 20 trees are all part of the buffering. That's all -- you | 20 feet at the time of planting would be no more effective in |
| 21 know, that's all part of it. | 21 screening this area? |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah, I | 22 DEVIN KENNEDY: Well, I don't -- those |
| 23 just -- I wanted to make sure I understood. | 23 specifications are a little bit non-typical I would say. I |
| 24 BRYAN BOUGHTON: So is this drawing here | 24 mean if you have a four to six-inch tree diameter wise, and |
| 25 representative of the type -- of how many and the placement | 25 you said how tall? |
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| 1 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Six to ten feet. | 1 additional nursery plantings? |
| 2 DEVIN KENNEDY: So a six to ten-foot tree, that's | 2 DEVIN KENNEDY: I mean in regards to the |
| 3 just -- I don't know. You know, that's just like a stump. | 3 specifics it's just -- you know, it's dependent on |
| 4 That's what that -- I don't -- you wouldn't -- I don't | 4 whatever, you know, is -- that he wants to plant. So it |
| 5 think you would order that from a nursery. They wouldn't | 5 could be shrubs, it could be red maples, it could be sod. |
| 6 have that in supply. | 6 The point I was making is just this area isn't just going |
| 7 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Well, that's a minimum size. So | 7 to be bare. There will be at some point in the future |
| 8 you obviously if you have the caliper you could go with a | 8 going forward -- you know, there will be vegetation here. |
| 9 tree much taller. I don't think we would object to taller | 9 It's not just an open field. It's a potential additive to |
| 10 But it sounds like what you're proposing are very young | 10 the already designed buffer. |
| 11 trees, two inch -- | 11 BRYAN BOUGHTON: So a question I have though is |
| 12 DEVIN KENNEDY: No, these are typical -- this is | 12 in the driving tour that we got that you walked us through |
| 13 like a typical -- you know, we're above our minimum size, I | 13 earlier, visible from the road I did not see any eight-foot |
| 14 would say, typical for planting. | 14 board-on-board fences for a large area. So I guess I keep |
| 15 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Above what minimum? I'm sorry. | 15 going back to the fence because in itself I don't -- I find |
| 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: They're just like the two-inch | 16 that changing the neighborhood's look, an eight-foot tall |
| 17 minimum I think is the minimum size required. So we're | 17 impervious fence. There's a lot of horse fences here in |
| 18 about two-and-a-half inch. | 18 this area but I don't see an impervious wall like that. |
| 19 BRYAN BOUGHTON: So these are -- for reference, I | 19 So I think it's very important that we understand |
| 20 don't know, a shade tree that's two-and-a-half inch caliper | 20 that the plantings will conceal not only the operation but |
| 21 is probably around 15 -feet tall. | 21 the board-on-board fence, which is not typical to the |
| 22 DEVIN KENNEDY: Fifteen to twenty feet | 22 neighborhood, not by a majority in any way. So the |
| 23 BRYAN BOUGHTON: And how tall are the evergreens | 23 plantings do make a difference I think. And then the |
| 24 going to be that you're talking about? | 24 seasonal plantings that he may or may not do in the future |
| 25 DEVIN KENNEDY: Seven to eight feet. So | 25 further kind of go to that. They seem very in question. |
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| 1 already -- you know, right at the fence height pretty much. | 1 So my question is this, the board-on-board fence |
| 2 BRYAN BOUGHTON: And you mentioned as part -- as | 2 itself, will that be easily seen for the first several |
| 3 you were explaining the site you said there's -- if we go | 3 years with these plantings that are fairly -- they may be |
| 4 from the inside out there's the board-on-board fence, then | 4 tall but it sounds like they're very narrow, you know, |
| 5 there's these planting | 5 trunks going up to above the fence. So we'll see a big |
| 6 plantings in the outside area would screen it. But when | 6 fence and then green above it or are we going to obscure |
| 7 look at the phase plan, the plantings for the field are not | 7 the fence itself? |
| 8 included in that other than as other. They're not part of | 8 DEVIN KENNEDY: Well, it's -- I mean it depends |
| 9 a phase. So is it more realistic to say that it's only | 9 on where you're looking at the fence. So -- |
| 10 been planned for the fence and the plantings along it as | 10 BRYAN BOUGHTON: So if |
| 11 screen? | 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: Sorry. Go ahead. |
| 12 DEVIN KENNEDY: It's the setback from the | 12 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Yeah. So if I'm on Damascus |
| 13 property. So the, you know, 130 -foot, 400 -foot, 800 -foot | 13 Road near the property to the east and I'm driving west an |
| 14 setbacks. It's the fence that you can't see through. So | 14 I'm looking at where the, you know, the fence turns, the |
| 15 the fence itself is a screen. You can't see through the | 15 fence comes down, it turns, and then it hits a block wall, |
| 16 fence. Then you got the buffer that really just soften th | 16 which I believe you explained the berm would be tapering |
| 17 fence. And then you have existing vegetation on | 17 off there so we'll see some of the block wall. What is |
| 18 both -- both on the perimeter of the property and within | 18 going to block out the fencing and the block wall on |
| 19 the site itself that all act together as design elements | 19 that -- from that view on the right. |
| 20 that function as a screen or a softening. | 20 DEVIN KENNEDY: So that's part of our -- you |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So what I hear | 21 know, we wanted to kind of fill in any potential gaps like |
| 22 him asking -- | 22 you're explaining. So if you look on the planting plan |
| 23 DEVIN KENNEDY: But -- sorry. Go ahead. | 23 here we have a massing of shrubs right at that corner you |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What I hear him | 24 were just describing where the fence meets the wall. So |
| 25 asking It think is, well, is it certain that we'll have the | 25 that will act as sort of buffering the exposed wall at that |
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| 1 point. And also a seven to eight-foot tall evergreen trees | 1 need for any softening. |
| 2 are right in front of the fence. So right when you install | 2 BRYAN BOUGHTON: So I live three houses to the |
| 3 it will be close to the top of the fence. And then as you | 3 left. If you stay right on this line I'll just explain. |
| 4 turn north we -- similarly, we wanted to sort of have | 4 I'm three houses to the west and I can see the existing |
| 5 more naturalized -- instead of just doing a line of trees | 5 house through the vegetation now and I'm on the other side |
| 6 or something, you know, you want to soften it. You don't | 6 of the road. So I guess I'm concerned that that fence will |
| 7 want to just necessarily block it. So that's why it's a | 7 be a very prominent feature because it's on the same line |
| 8 mix of shrubs, understory, and overstory. So it's kind | 8 of sight as that existing house, which is under trees that |
| 9 you know, height variations. You'll have a differen | 9 I can see, all the way to the fence behind which is not |
| 10 cadence. It's not just a uniform sort of block. But al | 10 being -- again, I don't consider a board-on-board fence |
| 11 of these things will soften or in some spots just block th | 11 part of the natural landscape. I think you need to |
| 12 fence completely. | 12 consider some plantings, and maybe it should be a |
| 13 BRYAN BOUGHTON: So | 13 condition, on the outside of that fence and not just inside |
| 14 DEVIN KENNEDY: And also -- sorry, go ahead. | 14 at the parking. What could you put there to screen that |
| 15 BRYAN BOUGHTON: No, no, finish. | 15 fenc |
| 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: I was just going to say bac | 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: It would take some looking into. |
| 17 interfacing with the berm itself, you know, the fence will | 17 I don't want to try to speculate on record here but I |
| 18 be blocked where it berms -- where it meets the berm an | 18 understand your concerns and I will definitely take it into |
| 19 the bermitself is the most prominent sort of feature | 19 |
| 20 right. So that's what you're going to notice. So you | 20 BRYAN BOUGHTON: One question about the sig |
| 21 won't see the fence at that location. It won't be -- yo | 21 mentioned. When the operational building gets built, |
| 22 know, the fence won't be the prominent visual, you know, | 22 assuming it does get built, you mentioned there would be a |
| 23 aspect looking along the front there. You would just see a | 23 sign on the front of it and then a sign I assume on the |
| 24 natural berm hiding everything | 24 posts, what you showed us, out on the street. What would |
| 25 BRYAN BOUGHTON: So when you go to the west side | 25 be the purpose of having two signs facing the street |
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| 1 then, it looks like the tree plantings in the back around | 1 especially if neither is going to be lit? Couldn't the |
| 2 the employee parking are inside the fence and what will | 2 same be accomplished with one sign? |
| 3 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. | 3 DEVIN KENNEDY: Just for reference for people |
| 4 BRYAN BOUGHTON: What will cover the board-on- | 4 traveling. They just know what building to go into. So |
| 5 board fence there so it doesn't stand out as this eight | 5 the entry sign for way finding to the site and then |
| 6 foot impervious wall? | 6 internal directions for the actual building sign. |
| 7 DEVIN KENNEDY: I think on this side where the | 7 BRYAN BOUGHTON: But wouldn't that sign be inside |
| 8 setback we're about 400 feet from the road itself and | 8 the fence line on the building -- |
| 9 setback, you know, probably another 200 feet. You know, | 9 DEVIN KENNEDY: Are you |
| 10 we're talking about the actual parking lot itself. So in | 10 BRYAN BOUGHTON: -- instead of facing the street? |
| 11 regards to that, just the dimension of the setback itself. | 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: Are you talking about the |
| 12 It's just a little bit different situation where we | 12 trailer, for the trailer? |
| 13 don't -- you know, I don't feel like we need to do the same | 13 BRYAN BOUGHTON: No, I'm talking about when this |
| 14 treatment as along where the single-family house is. So | 14 operations building gets built you mentioned -- |
| 15 that's why the fence on its own is effectively acting as a | 15 DEVIN KENNEDY: No. |
| 16 screen in combination with the existing vegetation buffer | 16 BRYAN BOUGHTON: -- above the faux doors |
| 17 along Howard Chapel. | 17 there -- okay. |
| 18 So really to see that façade of that tree outside | 18 DEVIN KENNEDY: It's facing south above -- on th |
| 19 of that parking you would have to -- you know, it would be | 19 gable end. If you want to show the -- |
| 20 very difficult to even see it through -- you know, because | 20 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Right, but it's on the outside |
| 21 as you come around the buffer along the property line, you | 21 of the site. So once you're inside the site it would not |
| 22 look left, you see existing mature trees that are -- and | 22 direct you to where to go. |
| 23 then you see -- the actual fence is back another 300 feet | 23 DEVIN KENNEDY: I think it's like if you're |
| 24 or so. So it's just not something that's -- I don't think, | 24 driving to the site and you enter the main driveway you see |
| 25 you know, it's visually prominent at all so I didn't see a | 25 a building with a sign on it and you know to go to that |
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| building even if you see it from the outside. Do you know | 1 wall that you were referencing. |
| what I mean? | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But I thought I |
| BRYAN BOUGHTON: I would also state as a | 3 asked you earlier whether that wall was at grade with the |
| condition of this getting approved I would think you don't | 4 berm and you said yes. |
| need two signs outside. That would be more appropriate | 5 DEVIN KENNEDY: Oh, it is -- it's just the |
| once you're past the gate and inside. It seems duplicative | 6 corner -- yeah, it's at grade and it slopes down right at |
| to have one at the road and one on the building. And | 7 the end to get -- |
| it -- I think it causes the site to look less like the | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, I see what |
| agricultural/residential area it is | 9 you're saying. Right here. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So are you | 10 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, you can see where the top |
| suggesting signage -- I'm trying to remember the exhibit. | 11 of the walls are -- |
| DEVIN KENNEDY: It was the elevations, | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right here. |
| -- hang on. | 13 DEVIN KENNEDY: Uh-huh. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah, 32. No. | 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah, I'm |
| DEVIN KENNEDY: Exhibit 36(b). | 15 pointing to the east and south jag of the wall. Okay. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Huh? | 16 BRYAN BOUGHTON: And why was eight feet chosen |
| DEVIN KENNEDY: Sorry. Exhibit 36(b). | 17 for the berm? Is that -- |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So you're -- so | 18 DEVIN KENNEDY: Eight feet was considered |
| a possibility could be signage right here. | 19 sufficient enough to, you know, -- |
| BRYAN BOUGHTON: Yes, inside the -- inside the | 20 JACK QUINN: He's gone. He left. |
| working lot instead of with elevation number two there | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait. Mr. |
| where it's facing the road outside the sign -- | 22 Quinn, you're talking over -- can you mute? I see your |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. | 23 hand up and I'll get to you but Mr. Boughton is asking |
| BRYAN BOUGHTON: -- (indiscernible). | 24 questions right now. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And just for | 25 JACK QUINN: Ms. Hannan, I didn't say a word nor |
| 150 | 152 |
| the record because the record doesn't know what here is, | 1 did -- I'm in my office and there isn't a single person |
| I'm pointing to the entrance door on the east elevation | 2 there. So -- |
| shown on Exhibit 36. | 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I'm sorry |
| BRYAN BOUGHTON: Is any of the block wall going | 4 I -- I'm sorry I wrongly accused you. |
| to be exposed where you can see it? And if so, what would | 5 JACK QUINN: I usually am blamed. |
| it look like because some of the temporary landscaper block | 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I just heard |
| walls I've seen are not very appealing. Is that the type | 7 some background talking and I didn't know where it was -- I |
| that's going to be there or is this a poured cement wall? | 8 thought it was you. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: When you say | 9 JEFFERY O'TOOLE: I have to confess it might have |
| block wall, are you talking about the material -- | 10 been O'Toole because he got lost, but he has been found. |
| BRYAN BOUGHTON: Yeah. | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- the wall | 12 ahead, Mr. Boughton. |
| against the berm? | 13 BRYAN BOUGHTON: I was just asking why the height |
| BRYAN BOUGHTON: Yes, at the front of the site. | 14 of the berm in the front is eight feet. Why that height |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I just | 15 was chosen. |
| n't -- | 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: It was just a height that was |
| BRYAN BOUGHTON: Yeah. | 17 appropriate to screen the operations. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Go ahead. | 18 BRYAN BOUGHTON: And is that -- because I notice |
| BRYAN BOUGHTON: And at one corner it was said | 19 that's where the materials will be stored. Is that because |
| berm would taper away and we would see the block wall | 20 those materials won't go above that height? |
| where it joined into the board-on-board fence. | 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: You know, I don't -- I'm not |
| DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, so the intent is to fully | 22 sure. Not likely, yeah. I mean that's generally -- not |
| screen any exposed wall. So we're densely planting the | 23 going to have much stuff stacked higher than eight feet |
| shrubs and evergreen trees around those parts but the wall | 24 typically. |
| most likely would be the concrete block wall, the typical | 25 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Okay. And then what -- the |


plantings will not be on the berm. Will they be in front
of it or at the top of it? Will they extend that berm's
height or where are those plantings going to be done?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, they're kind of proposed on
the slope. You don't really want to plant stuff right on
top of a bermjust because of the nature of drying out. So
it's just -- and also having it a little bit lower allows
that canopy to -- you know, the lower portion of the canopy
to act more of a screen, the branching, the lower
branching. So generally, yeah, it's on the slope of the
berm as it heads back down.
may not be for you. Where the proposed septic field is,
will there be the ability to put plantings there once that
is built?
DEVIN KENNEDY: You can use some plantings. I'll
have to default to engineer, but generally speaking you are
allowed to do some types of planting usually. Like sod,
for example, is already out there on top of their existing
septic reserve. But mainly smaller stuff. I don't think
you would want to do like a large tree in there but I don't
know -- I don't know exactly what the limitations are.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: Well, would you be limited right
along that board-on-board fence at the northwest corner
25 going down towards Damascus, putting screening there where
we talked about earlier against that fence?
DEVIN KENNEDY: It depends on what type of -- you
might be -- I don't know exactly the limitations. I can't
really -- there could be. I'm not -- I don't know exactly
what they are, but yeah.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: Okay. That is all I had,
Director Hannan.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you, Mr.
Boughton.
Mr. Quinn, are you ready yet?
JACK QUINN: I've been ready. I was born ready.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now can
you -- yeah, there you go. You got it. Thank you.
JACK QUINN: So Mr. Kennedy, when were you first
approached to perform services in this matter?
DEVIN KENNEDY: I was -- early 2019.
JACK QUINN: And how long did it take for your
firm to perform these professional services?
DEVIN KENNEDY: I'm not sure. I mean we -- what
services are you specifically talking about?
JACK QUINN: Well, you're here testifying as to
the professional efforts that you made. How long did those
efforts take?
DEVIN KENNEDY: I mean it's just from the
beginning we've been doing little, you know, developmental
processes to get to where we are now. So I'd say the whole
time maybe. I don't know. I mean -- yeah.
JACK QUINN: Okay. How long has -- did your firm
prepare Exhibit 31? Is that the exhibit -- or that's 33
that's on the screen. Did your firm prepare that?
DEVIN KENNEDY: I'm sorry. Which exhibit
exactly?
JACK QUINN: I think on my screen it's --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Sorry. Go
ahead.
JACK QUINN: Is it Exhibit 33, Your Honor?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Exhibit 33 is
the site plan.
JACK QUINN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Are you
talking -- okay.
JACK QUINN: It's on my screen. I assume it's on
everyone's screen.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It should be.
JACK QUINN: Okay. Did your firm, Mr. Kennedy,
prepare that exhibit?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes.
JACK QUINN: Okay. And how long has that exhibit
been prepared?
DEVIN KENNEDY: I can't recall exactly.
It's -- you know, developmental process, you kind of go
through different iterations. So, you know, I -- I would
say earlier this year maybe in 2020, like February, March.
JACK QUINN: Okay. And this is meant to reflect
your vision of how this conditional use is sited, correct?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah.
JACK QUINN: Okay. Now is it within your purview
to access the safety conditions existent at the -- for
vehicles entering and leaving the conditional use?
DEVIN KENNEDY: It's a factor in the design, yes.
JACK QUINN: Okay. And do you have any safety
concerns about this driveway entrance onto Damascus Road?
DEVIN KENNEDY: I think where it's sited is an
appropriate location.
JACK QUINN: That really wasn't my question. Do
16 you have any safety concerns --
DEVIN KENNEDY: No.
JACK QUINN: -- about the placement of this
driveway on Damascus Road?
DEVIN KENNEDY: No.
JACK QUINN: Okay. When was the last time you
were out there?
DEVIN KENNEDY: I was out there in -- it was
summertime, in August I think.
JACK QUINN: Of 2020, sir?
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| N KENNEDY: Ye | 1 that? |
| 2 JACK QUINN: Okay. And did you happen to travel | 2 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. |
| 3 eastbound along Damascus Road along the site? | 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. What |
| 4 DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't recall. You know, I | 4 exhibit are you looking at? |
| 5 don't know exactly what direction. I guess I would have | 5 JACK QUINN: It's the one we've been working |
| 6 been coming from east to west. | 6 with, Your Honor, Exhibit 33. |
| 7 JACK QUINN: East to west. Okay. So would you | 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, okay. |
| 8 accept my representation that coming from west to east | 8 JACK QUINN: Okay. |
| 9 about 50 to 100 feet west of the driveway entrance there is | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I see. |
| 10 a speed limit sign of 40 miles per hour? Would you accept | 10 JACK QUINN: Okay. And Exhibit 33 shows this |
| 11 that representation? | 11 drift to the left of Damascus Road. Do you see that? |
| 12 DEVIN KENNEDY: So -- yes, there's a -- so you're | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Just for the |
| 13 saying there's a speed limit sign out there of 40 miles an | 13 record, we don't -- the court reporter doesn't know the |
| 14 hour -- | 14 orientation so we can't use left or right, but I think what |
| 15 JACK QUINN: Yes. | 15 you're saying is the drift to the north. |
| 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: -- on the west side of the | 16 JACK QUINN: Yes, I think that's fair, northeast. |
| 17 property? Yes. | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Northeast. |
| 18 JACK QUINN: Okay. So the speed limit at least | 18 Okay. That's good. Go ahead. |
| 19 for vehicles traveling east is 40 miles an hour at that | 19 JACK QUINN: Thank you. |
| 20 approximate site, correct? | 20 Do you see that drift, sir? |
| 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. | 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. |
| 22 JACK QUINN: Okay. Do you know what it was prior | 22 JACK QUINN: That would diminish sight distance, |
| 23 to the 40 mile per hour speed limit sign? | 23 would it not? |
| 24 DEVIN KENNEDY: Do I know what was prior, the | 24 DEVIN KENNEDY: No. |
| 25 speed limit? | 25 JACK QUINN: By the way, did you see the zoning |
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| 1 JACK QUINN: Speed limit, sir. | 1 sign on any of the photographs you went through traveling |
| 2 DEVIN KENNEDY: Do I know what it was prior? I | 2 eastbound -- or westbound on Damascus Road? |
| 3 am not sure I understand. | 3 DEVIN KENNEDY: The zoning signs? No. |
| 4 JACK QUINN: Well, I think it's -- you're having | 4 JACK QUINN: The zoning hearing signs to alert |
| 5 trouble because it's a bad question. So for vehicles | 5 the world. |
| 6 traveling eastbound on Damascus Road we know the speed | 6 DEVIN KENNEDY: No. |
| 7 limit near the driveway entrance and exit is now 40 miles | 7 JACK QUINN: Did you perform any calculations |
| 8 an hour. How about for vehicles further westbound but | 8 about the rate of acceleration for landscape vehicles from |
| 9 traveling east? Was the speed limit 40 miles an hour or 50 | 9 the driveway onto Damascus Road? |
| 10 miles an hour? | 10 DEVIN KENNEDY: I just -- this is probably more |
| 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't know | 11 appropriate for a traffic engineer. The technical stuff I |
| 12 JACK QUINN: Sir, in your assessment -- | 12 just -- you know, I'm not really -- it's not my -- you |
| 13 MS. REGELIN: Objection because I'm not sure | 13 know, I'm not comfortable answering. |
| 14 that's relevant beyond the frontage of the site. | 14 JACK QUINN: Okay. That's fair enough, Mr. |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I'm going | 15 Kennedy. And you're not possessed of a professional |
| 16 to give him the opportunity because I think there's | 16 opinion that that driveway entrance onto Damascus Road is |
| 17 relevance. I can see relevance coming so I'm going to let | 17 safe, are you? |
| 18 you explore it. I will say there is a transportation | 18 DEVIN KENNEDY: I think the sight lines are more |
| 19 planner but I'm going to let you go forward, Mr. Quinn. | 19 than open. There's no -- there's nothing obscuring your |
| 20 JACK QUINN: Thank you, Ms. Hannan. | 20 vision as you're approaching a relatively straight road |
| 21 Sir, did you measure the sight distance at that | 21 that's flat on the near high point. I don't -- I think |
| 22 driveway? | 22 it's more than adequate sight lines for entering and |
| 23 DEVIN KENNEDY: No. | 23 exiting the site. |
| 24 JACK QUINN: Further eastbound on Damascus Road | 24 JACK QUINN: Okay. So you didn't calculate the |
| 25 the property line or Damascus Road drifts left. Do you see | 25 rate of acceleration for landscape-type vehicles onto |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Damascus Road, correct? | 1 want to, you know, opine on the technical stuff that I have |
| 2 DEVIN KENNEDY: I did not calculate, no. | 2 not -- you know, I have not loo |
| 3 JACK QUINN: And accordingly, you couldn't form | 3 JACK QUINN: Okay. So we can agree you haven't |
| 4 an opinion as to whether or not vehicles entering a 40 mile | 4 looked into those issues personally? |
| 5 an hour zone on Damascus Road could do so safely, correct? | 5 DEVIN KENNEDY: Well, personally -- I don't know |
| 6 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, I have no comment on that. | 6 what these issues are referring -- I mean I guess the |
| 7 I don't know -- yeah, I did not factor that in. | 7 questions you're asking me is what you're saying? |
| 8 JACK QUINN: All right. The most you can say is | 8 JACK QUINN: Yes, sir. |
| 9 there appears to be a straight line sight distance for some | 9 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, I -- I, you know. |
| 10 length at that driveway; is that correct? | 10 JACK QUINN: Can we agree that at least as to |
| 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: I think the sigh | 11 phase one -- well, strike that. Phase one consists of a |
| 12 are adequate and safe for the site. | 12 board fence surrounding a work area dominated by a trailer. |
| 13 JACK QUINN: Okay. And I'm confused. Did you | 13 Is that a fair description of phase one? |
| 14 take those photographs or are those photographs -- do they | 14 DEVIN KENNEDY: I wouldn't use the wor |
| 15 come fromany particular source? | 15 dominating. There's a trailer. |
| 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: What photographs? I'm sorry | 16 JACK QUINN: Okay. What else is there besides |
| 17 JACK QUINN: The photographs you went through | 17 the trailer and this fence? |
| 18 incrementally showing traffic proceeding eastbound and | 18 DEVIN KENNEDY: There would be all the work |
| 19 westbound. | 19 surfaces. So all the asphalt driveway, the gravel, the |
| 20 DEVIN KENNEDY: You're referring to the Exhib | 20 parking, the landscaping, the fence, and the trailer. |
| 2173 I believ | 21 Maybe some sheds as well. |
| 22 JACK QUINN: I think that's right, Mr. Kennedy. | 22 JACK QUINN: Okay. I thought the plantings were |
| 23 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, those are taken from Google | 23 going to be done in the first planting season. |
| 24 Street View. | 24 DEVIN KENNEDY: That's correct. |
| 25 JACK QUINN: Okay. All right. And do you have | 25 JACK QUINN: Okay. And the board fence was going |
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| 1 any sense of when they were taken, what time of day? | 1 to be put up immediately? |
| 2 DEVIN KENNEDY: It was probably midday. Usually | 2 DEVIN KENNEDY: Correct. |
| 3 it's like midday, yeah, typically. | 3 JACK QUINN: Okay. And the -- is it your |
| 4 JACK QUINN: Have you been out to the site either | 4 testimony, sir, that the paving is going to be done |
| 5 early in the morning or later in the afternoon? | 5 immediately? |
| 6 DEVIN KENNEDY: I've been later in the afternoon, | 6 DEVIN KENNEDY: All of this -- all the earth |
| 7 yes. | 7 work, paving, and fencing, this is all part of the same |
| 8 JACK QUINN: Okay. Did you observe the sight | 8 mobilization. I don't know exactly what's first and what's |
| 9 distance issues associated with the setting sun in the west | 9 second but it's all a planned work scope. |
| 10 for vehicles traveling westbound? | 10 JACK QUINN: Okay. A planned work scope. And |
| 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: I did not notice anything | 11 how long does this planned work scope take? |
| 12 particular. | 12 DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't know. |
| 13 JACK QUINN: Okay. Did you notice then -- did | 13 JACK QUINN: Prior to the completion of the |
| 14 you notice the restricted sight distance in the photographs | 14 planned work scope we can at least assume that the |
| 15 that you produced as a part of Exhibit 73? | 15 applicant is going to place a trailer on the property to |
| 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't know what you're | 16 work from, correct? |
| 17 referring to. | 17 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. |
| 18 JACK QUINN: Okay. All right. Are you familiar | 18 JACK QUINN: And is that placement of the trailer |
| 19 with concepts about stopping distance? | 19 compatible with the surrounding area? |
| 20 DEVIN KENNEDY: I -- yeah. Uh-huh. | 20 DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't know. I haven't factored |
| 21 JACK QUINN: Okay. Did you perform an analysis | 21 that in. |
| 22 as to this driveway exit and entrance? | 22 JACK QUINN: Okay. How many times have you been |
| 23 DEVIN KENNEDY: I just think these types of | 23 out to this area, Mr. Kennedy? |
| 24 questions are technical. It's just more -- I would rather | 24 DEVIN KENNEDY: Like four or five times. |
| 25 have the traffic engineer give testimony. I just don't | 25 JACK QUINN: Okay. Did you see any other |


|  | 165 | 167 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | trailers? | 1 JACK QUINN: And then is there to be parking in |
|  | DEVIN KENNEDY: Not that I can recall. | 2 phase one on pavement, do you know? |
|  | JACK QUINN: Okay. Did you see any other big | 3 DEVIN KENNEDY: The parking is on gravel but, |
|  | board fences? | 4 yes, it's proposed. The ADA spaces will be on concrete. |
|  | DEVIN KENNEDY: Probably. I can't recall them. | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm sorry. |
|  | think of a specific example | 6 Just a second. I'm hearing somebody talking and for once |
|  | JACK QUINN: Okay. Can we agree that a trailer | 7 I'm not accusing Mr. O'Toole. Whoever it is, can you mute |
|  | placed within the perimeter surrounded by an eight board | 8 your background noise please? Thank you. Go ahead. I |
|  | fence is not compatible with the surrounding area? | 9 apologize for interrupting. Continue. |
| 10 | DEVIN KENNEDY: No | 10 JACK QUINN: Just for the record, I felt really |
| 11 | JACK QUINN: We can't agree to that? | 11 bad because you accused me, Ms. Hannan, but -- |
| 12 | DEVIN KENNEDY: No. | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Sure. |
| 13 | JACK QUINN: Okay. All right. You don't | 13 JACK QUINN: I'll go on. I also had some trouble |
|  | remember any eight board fences and you didn't see any | 14 hearing Mr. Kennedy. |
|  | ilers but it's compatible. Is that your testimony, Mr. | 15 So this parking, is this parking to be on |
|  | ennedy? | 16 pavement? |
|  | DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, I did not, you know. | 17 DEVIN KENNEDY: The parking is partially asphalt, |
|  | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Mr. | 18 partially concrete, and partially gravel. |
|  | Quinn, he already answered that. | 19 JACK QUINN: Okay. All right. Did you perform |
|  | JACK QUINN: Okay. All right. | 20 any parking analysis? |
|  | Did you view from Howard Chapel Road the extent | 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: Specific to what? |
|  | of the screen? The effectiveness of the screening, Mr | 22 JACK QUINN: Well, whether or not the site would |
|  | Kennedy? | 23 allow for the parking suggested while at the same time |
|  | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait, are you | 24 allowing vehicles to maneuver within this suggested parking |
|  | talk -- can I ask for a clarification? Are you talking | 25 area. Did you analyze that? |
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|  | about the existing vegetation? Is that what you're talking | 1 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. |
|  | about? | 2 JACK QUINN: Okay. And did you prepare something |
|  | JACK QUINN: Yes, Ms. Hannan. | 3 like a study? |
|  | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go | 4 DEVIN KENNEDY: A study? |
|  | ahead. You can answer. | 5 JACK QUINN: Yeah. |
|  | DEVIN KENNEDY: What is the question? I didn't | 6 DEVIN KENNEDY: A parking study or -- |
|  | hear the question. | 7 JACK QUINN: Sure. |
|  | JACK QUINN: Okay. Did you stand on Howard | 8 DEVIN KENNEDY: No. |
|  | Chapel Road and look at the screening provided by the | 9 JACK QUINN: You didn't? |
|  | existing vegetation towards the site? | 10 DEVIN KENNEDY: I'm not sure what you mean by a |
|  | DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. I've been -- walked all | 11 study exactly. |
|  | through that area. | 12 JACK QUINN: Okay. Did you record any |
| 13 | JACK QUINN: Did you take any photographs of the | 13 calculations? |
|  | existing screening? | 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Sorry, Mr. |
|  | DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't have any -- I don't know | 15 Quinn. I'm sorry again. There's someone here that has |
|  | if I did. I might have. I don't have any. I can't | 16 background coming through. If you have a phone, I see a |
|  |  | 17 couple of new phone numbers, can you mute your phone when |
|  | JACK QUINN: Okay. The site calls for the | 18 you're not talking? There. That's much better. So go |
|  | existence of parking, correct? | 19 ahead. I apologize again. Go ahead, Mr. Quinn. |
| 20 | DEVIN KENNEDY: The site calls for the existence | 20 JACK QUINN: Mr. Kennedy, did you perform |
|  | of parking? | 21 calculations in order to assure yourself that vehicles |
| 22 | JACK QUINN: Yes, | 22 could not only fit in a parking space at the site but could |
| 23 | DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. Yes. | 23 also maneuver safely within this site in light of the |
| 24 | JACK QUINN: Okay. | 24 parking requirements? |
| 25 | DEVIN KENNEDY: There is parking proposed. | 25 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, the parking lot has been |


| 169 | 171 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 designed to, you know, provide adequate spacing, turning | 1 JACK QUINN: Okay. And can we agree that |
| 2 radius, and circulation. | 2 aesthetically that's much different than eight-foot board- |
| 3 JACK QUINN: Okay. And are those design | 3 on-board? |
| 4 calculations and analysis a part of the record? | 4 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, it's a different design. |
| 5 DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't know -- I'm not sure if | 5 JACK QUINN: Is it fair to say that most of the |
| 6 you're asking for a specific item or -- it's all part o | 6 properties within a few hundred yards of this site have |
| 7 the site plan and the development standards. | 7 horses? |
| 8 JACK QUINN: Okay. | 8 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, I think so. |
| 9 DEVIN KENNEDY: So it's all located on the site | 9 JACK QUINN: Okay. Have you addressed or |
| 10 plan. | 10 attempted to address any concerns about the safety issues |
| 11 JACK QUINN: Okay. Sometimes different | 11 associated with heavy equipment around horses? |
| 12 professionals use different terms. Did you prepare any | 12 DEVIN KENNEDY: We don't have any horses on our |
| 13 work papers associated with the parking issues in this | 13 site so I don't -- yeah, I -- it wasn't pertinent to the |
| 14 case? And when I say you I mean your firm | 14 design. |
| 15 DEVIN KENNEDY: No, I don't think -- | 15 JACK QUINN: So the answer is no you didn't |
| 16 JACK QUINN: Okay. | 16 consider any safety issues associated with horses in the |
| 17 DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't think so. | 17 vicinity of this heavy equipment, correct? |
| 18 JACK QUINN: All right. So -- | 18 DEVIN KENNEDY: No. |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Quinn, are | 19 JACK QUINN: Now you testified as to a lighting |
| 20 you talking about parking or -- you're talking about | 20 on the site. |
| 21 circulation? | 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. |
| 22 JACK QUINN: I'm talking about parking issues | 22 JACK QUINN: Okay. And there was a suggestion, I |
| 23 which, Your Honor, require analysis of the capacity for | 23 think I heard this, that the lighting during the nighttime |
| 24 circulation. | 24 and exclusive of snow removal times would be limited to the |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. That's | 25 sconces alongside the building? |
| 170 | 172 |
| 1 what I thought. That's -- | 1 DEVIN KENNEDY: Correct. |
| 2 JACK QUINN: Okay. | 2 JACK QUINN: Okay. Now so is there a suggestion |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: We call them | 3 that work would not be ongoing after dark or before |
| 4 circulation plans. I just -- | 4 daylight within the work area of the site? |
| 5 JACK QUINN: Okay | 5 DEVIN KENNEDY: The work will not be conducted |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I just wanted | 6 outside of the hours specified by the applicant. |
| 7 to make sure I understood what you were asking. Go ahead. | 7 JACK QUINN: Yeah, that's not really what I |
| 8 JACK QUINN: Thank you. | 8 asked. I asked if work was going to be done before |
| 9 Now this eight-foot board-on-board fence, the | 9 daylight and after dark. Is that your understanding one |
| 10 photograph that's up, and it's Exhibit 73, do you have that | 10 way or the other? |
| 11 in front of you, Mr. Kennedy? Photograph -- | 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: I assume you're asking if |
| 12 DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't. I don't. I don't have | 12 work -- if they're proposing to do work outside of the work |
| 13 it in front of -- oh, wait. Hold on. Maybe I do. No. | 13 operations hours. Is that what you're saying? |
| 14 JACK QUINN: Okay. | 14 JACK QUINN: Well, focus on these two words if |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is it not on | 15 you would, Mr. Kennedy, daylight and dark. |
| 16 your screen? | 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: Daylight. |
| 17 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. I can see it. Sorry. It | 17 JACK QUINN: Okay. |
| 18 just keeps going in and out. I don't know why. | 18 DEVIN KENNEDY: Daylight and dark. Okay. |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: There. | 19 JACK QUINN: And dark. |
| 20 DEVIN KENNEDY: There we go. | 20 DEVIN KENNEDY: Okay. |
| 21 JACK QUINN: Okay. Now that fencing is the | 21 JACK QUINN: Is there any understanding of work |
| 22 fencing that exists -- that type of fencing, three or four- | 22 being done in that work area when it's dark? |
| 23 board fencing is the type of fencing that exists in this | 23 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, in the morning it will be |
| 24 rural agricultural area, correct? | 24 dark and they will be operating in the mornings. Yeah. |
| 25 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. | 25 So -- but yeah. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 DEVIN KENNEDY: I'm sorry. I just can't -- I | 1 DEVIN KENNEDY: But I don't -- |
| 2 can't -- you know, I understand the point you're making. | 2 JACK QUINN: But you haven't seen anything like |
| 3 You will see lights. That is correct. You will see | 3 that, have you? |
| 4 lights. We are not spilling any light over any property | 4 DEVIN KENNEDY: Not that I can recall but then |
| 5 lines. We are in compliance with all lighting standards | 5 again I haven't driven there, you know. |
| 6 set out in the zoning ordinance. | 6 JACK QUINN: Have you seen any lit work areas in |
| 7 JACK QUINN: S | 7 the surrounding a |
| 8 DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't know who to answer | 8 DEVIN KENNEDY: No. |
| 9 question more than that. | 9 JACK QUINN: You seem to indicate that questions |
| 10 JACK QUINN: Is it a fair statement that you will | 10 with regard to water management would best be answered by |
| 11 see lighting consistent with a work area from distances of | 11 others. Is that a fair statemen |
| 12 3-, 4-, 500 yar | 12 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, a civil engineer |
| 13 DEVIN KENNEDY: I mean I don't really know | 13 JACK QUINN: Okay. |
| 14 because, you know, I just haven't been able to see it | 14 DEVIN KENNEDY: -- would be better directed |
| 15 myself. I mean like we were talking before, there's those | 15 towards |
| 16 existing vegetative buffers along each property line itself | 16 JACK QUINN: Okay. And were you told what type |
| 17 so that alone sort of obscures the site fromer | 17 of nursery stock was being planned? |
| 18 you're directly in front of it in regards to the pole | 18 DEVIN KENNEDY: No. Not specifics, no. |
| 19 lights. So probably -- I mean I don't know for sure, but | 19 JACK QUINN: Okay. And were you told what types |
| 20 yeah. I mean that's -- that's what, you know, my instinct | 20 of nurseries the indoor greenhouses were being planned? |
| 21 is telling me. I don't know. | 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, those will be annuals |
| 22 JACK QUINN: Okay. Mr. Boughton asked you a | 22 typically for a greenhouse |
| 23 question about that jut out of the wall and he asked you a | 23 JACK QUINN: I was thinking more along the type |
| 24 question about whether or not vegetation of six, eight, ten 25 feet might obscure it. He was concerned about that, | 24 of construction. Were you given any information about 25 that? |
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| 1 correct? | 1 DEVIN KENNEDY: Just the dimensions, the |
| 2 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, I believe so. | 2 footprint dimensions. |
| 3 JACK QUINN: Okay. We're talking about 25-foot | 3 JACK QUINN: Okay. And were you told whether or |
| 4 poles with bright lights bright enough to render that work | 4 not these greenhouses would be on slab or pavement? |
| 5 area safe. Do you think Mr. Boughton would see that? | 5 DEVIN KENNEDY: No. |
| 6 DEVIN KENNEDY: Boughton on -- off -- like | 6 JACK QUINN: Okay. So in your calculations |
| 7 through the vegetative hedge along Howard Chapel, right, is | 7 with -- well, let me ask you this. Did you perform |
| 8 that where you're talking about? | 8 calculations about the imperviousness of this site? |
| 9 JACK QUINN: It's $25-$ foot, Mr. Kennedy. Yes, | 9 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. |
| 10 above the six, eight, ten foot screen. | 10 JACK QUINN: And did you include the greenhouse |
| 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: I honestly -- I mean I don't know | 11 area as a part of those calculations? |
| 12 because -- | 12 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. |
| 13 JACK QUINN: Okay. All right. You don't know. | 13 JACK QUINN: And so the assumption was they would |
| 14 DEVIN KENNEDY: I just don't -- I don't know | 14 be on slab? |
| 15 honestly. | 15 DEVIN KENNEDY: Well, it's a building so it's |
| 16 JACK QUINN: Assuming that people in the affected | 16 impervious. It doesn't matter what it's on. |
| 17 area could see these 25 -foot pole lamps, do you believe | 17 JACK QUINN: Okay. All right. Well, that's all |
| 18 that those pole lamps are compatible with the surrounding | 18 I have and thank you very much, Mr. Kennedy |
| 19 area? | 19 DEVIN KENNEDY: All right. Thank you. |
| 20 DEVIN KENNEDY: The lights, I don't know. I | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank |
| 21 don't know. | 21 you. I see -- I don't know who came first. Ms. Caldeira |
| 22 JACK QUINN: Okay. | 22 has already asked questions. I'll go next to Mr. O'Toole |
| 23 DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't think anybody has lights | 23 and then we can follow up with Ms. Caldeira. |
| 24 now. | 24 Mr. O'Toole, go ahead. |
| 25 JACK QUINN: Okay. | 25 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Thank you very much. |


| 181 | 183 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm sorry. | 1 DEVIN KENNEDY: I -- yeah, I don't know. Sorry. |
| 2 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Mr. Kennedy, go ahead. | 2 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: There's been a lot of talk |
| 3 I'm sorry? | 3 about the deciduous trees and the hedge row along Howard |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Quinn -- | 4 Chapel. |
| 5 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: What was that? | 5 Ms. Hannan, would you put on Exhibit 24 please? |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm saying if | 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is this the one |
| 7 Mr. Quinn could put his hand down that would be great. | 7 you want Mr. -- |
| 8 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: He was born with his hand up, | 8 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: It is. It is. Thank you. |
| 9 Your Honor. | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 10 JACK QUINN: I did, Your Honor. | 10 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Mr. Kennedy, are you aware that |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, it's still | 11 the Rustic Roads Advisory Committee weighed in on this |
| 12 showing up. Okay. Keep going Mr. O'Toole. | 12 project? |
| 13 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Okay. Keep going or get | 13 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. |
| 14 started? | 14 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. And is it |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Get -- | 15 fair -- I'm sorry? Is it fair to say that their concern or |
| 16 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. Here we go. | 16 one of their concerns was that Howard Chapel Road, which is |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Get started. | 17 a domestic, or excuse me, a rustic road was sufficiently |
| 18 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Mr. Kennedy, good afternoon, | 18 shielded from this project. Is that fair to say? |
| 19 sir. Just to start where Mr. Quinn was talking about the | 19 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. Yes. Correct. |
| 20 lighting, I just --I only have a few questions leftover | 20 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. In that indented |
| 21 from other questions, but you talked about the lighting | 21 paragraph on Exhibit 24, and I'll read it to you, it says |
| 22 going off at night so when the day is done the lights go | 22 that the proposed landscape contractor's operations will be |
| 23 off and the day is done we're told is 5:30 or so; is that | 23 well removed from Howard Chapel Road and effectively |
| 24 right? | 24 buffered from view by existing roadside hedge row, the |
| 25 DEVIN KENNEDY: I think 6:00. | 25 grade change, and by proposed nursery plantings consistent |
| 182 | 184 |
| 1 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: 6:00. So during the | 1 with the properties existing agricultural use. Do you |
| 2 summertime, the spring the lights won't even be on even to | 2 happen to know what exist -- what proposed plantings |
| 3 begin with by that time, right? | 3 they're talking about there? |
| 4 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, I guess if it's unnecessary | 4 DEVIN KENNEDY: Well, they're referring to the |
| 5 they wouldn't be on, correct. | 5 nursery stock. So that's the specific -- the area that's |
| 6 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: So what we're talking about are | 6 delineated by the lines on the site plan. |
| 7 lights from 5:30 in the morning on; is that right? | 7 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: So the nursery stock is not |
| 8 DEVIN KENNEDY: I believe whenever the operations | 8 going to block any view from Howard Chapel Road to the |
| 9 start would be when they would end and start, at that time. | 9 buildings that are proposed, right? The building stock are |
| 10 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. You talked about | 10 low profile? |
| 11 lights on a -- triggered by motion and I wasn't sure what | 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: I mean it just depends on what it |
| 12 lights you were talking about. What lights are those? | 12 is, you know. When you don't know specifics, you know, I |
| 13 DEVIN KENNEDY: So those would be specific to the | 13 don't know. |
| 14 entrance, the shorter lights, you know, that would frame | 14 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Did I hear you tell Mr. Quinn |
| 15 the entrance at the gates and then one outside of the main | 15 that you haven't been to the property since summertime? |
| 16 entrance to the operations building. | 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. Correct. |
| 17 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Are the sconce lights, the five | 17 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Right. And if I were to tell |
| 18 or six sconce lights on the side of the building, on the | 18 you that the hedge row along -- let me ask you this. Do |
| 19 east side of the building, are those lights on a timer or | 19 you know how wide the hedge row is along Howard Chapel |
| 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: <br> 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't know the specifics. | 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: The hedge row would be -- let's |
| 22 That's more related to building codes so I would have -- I | 22 see. I guess it varies to 25 feet at the narrowest maybe. |
| 23 just don't know the specifics on that exactly. | 23 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Is it fair to say that the |
| 24 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: So as far as you know there | 24 hedge -- the trees along that hedge row are what I would |
| 25 might be some lights on the building all night long, right? | 25 call because I'm not a planter but are sort of garbage |
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| 1 trees? They're not tall. They're not very heavy. They're | 1 that it's not always as easy as putting a berm down is all |
| 2 sort of thin trees that look like they are natural growing. | 2 I'm sayin |
| 3 DEVIN KENNEDY: They're very naturalized. Very | 3 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: You're not testifying that by |
| 4 natu | 4 putting a berm up there you would jeopardize those scrub |
| 5 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: But they're not specimen tre | 5 trees, are you? |
| 6 by any means, are they? | 6 DEVIN KENNEDY: I think I would have to think |
| 7 DEVIN KENNEDY: There's no sp | 7 |
| 8 regards to -- well, there's one specimen tree the | 8 mitigate, but there's a good chance that you would |
| 9 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: And is it fair to say that if | 9 be -- you know, you could impact the existing vegetation by |
| 10 you drive along Howard Chapel this time of year that you're | 10 adding soil to the root zones. |
| 11 not -- the hedge row that's there provides exactly almost | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What if the |
| 12 no sight blockage from Howard Chapel Road to the building | 12 berm were outside -- I'm sorry, Mr. O'Toole. |
| 13 that is existing on the property? | 13 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: No, please, go ahead. Please, 14 yeah. |
| 15 of the hedge row and then the grade change is also a facto | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What if the |
| 16 where it's a big higher so it has like a natural berm along | 16 |
| 17 Howard Chapel which, you know, effectively asks as a buffer | 17 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, I mean we have a berm along |
| 18 existing. | 18 the front, you know, that's outside of the root zone. So |
| 19 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Would you accept that | 19 it's just a matter of how much -- you know, a big part of |
| 20 those -- if I told you | 20 wanting to be able to utilize this area for his plantings |
| 21 Chapel Road today for instance, the building and the site | 21 so you kind of want to balance that with the area where the |
| 22 is in plain view from Howard Chapel Road? Would that be | 22 berm would go. But yeah, I mean you could do a design |
| 23 fair to -- would you accept that? | 23 solution, sure. |
| 24 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, I don't know. I mean I | 24 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Okay. So if we're in agreement |
| 25 just -- | 25 that the Rustic Roads Advisory Committee had in mind the |
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| 1 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Because you haven't been there | 1 protection from the sight line along Howard Chapel we can |
| 2 since the trees were there with the leaves on them | 2 agree that, as the director just told us, if a berm were |
| 3 DEVIN KENNEDY: I haven't been -- I have not been | 3 put up there consistent with what you're talking about that |
| 4 since the leaves -- since the foliage is down but - | 4 would certainly be consistent with the Rustic Roads |
| 5 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Okay. | 5 Advisory Committee's sentiments, right? |
| 6 DEVIN KENNEDY: -- it's very shrubby. I remember | 6 DEVIN KENNEDY: Well, I think the -- you know, |
| 7 there's a lot of understory growth and sort of stuff that's | 7 back to referring to this paragraph. They're indicating |
| 8 like even if you lose foliage it's -- you know, there's | 8 the existing grade and it's effectively acting as a screen |
| 9 dense sticks and branching and stuff like that. But yeah | 9 as is. So the way they're describing it here is the |
| 10 I don't know from experience. I'mjust trying to remember. | 10 existing conditions as today without -- you know, and I do |
| 11 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: From your observation of the | 11 agree that our site and the house, you know, is high |
| 12 plan, the site plan, and from your knowledge of what's to | 12 elevation so it effectively acts as an existing berm |
| 13 the east of these scrubby trees on Howard Chapel, if Ms. | 13 existing now |
| 14 Hannan were to find that as a condition you were required | 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: How high -- how |
| 15 to put in a four, six, eight-foot bermplanted along Howard | 15 much -- oh, I keep jumping in. |
| 16 Chapel, there's nothing about that that would interrupt | 16 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: No, go ahead |
| 17 with the plans that the applicant has now for that | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: How high is th |
| 18 property; is that right? | 18 grade differential there, elevation differential there? |
| 19 DEVIN KENNEDY: I mean only thing I would mention | 19 DEVIN KENNEDY: So it goes -- like if you look at |
| 20 about that is anytime you add additional soil adjacent to | 20 the wetlands -- if you want to go to the site plan we can |
| 21 an existing vegetative buffer you could be impacting those | 21 kind of walk through it a little bit. |
| 22 trees. So if you go out there and you put a bermright | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm going. |
| 23 outside of the existing vegetation then you could actually | 23 Exhibit 30. |
| 24 be damaging that. So you could -- you know, I'm not saying 25 I'mopposed to it or anything. I just want it to be clear | 24 DEVIN KENNEDY: So up on Howard Chapel, you know, <br> 25 once -- so basically the forest is going to start |
| 25 Imopposed to it or anything. I just want it to be clear |  |
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| your -- you know, once you get past the tree line there | 1 lower than the road and there's water down there. There's |
| that's going to be your narrower vegetation. So from there | 2 a lake and -- |
| that's sort of the low point and it looks like on 12 . | 3 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. |
| 4 then from that point up to the house I guess we could say - | 4 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Right? |
|  | 5 DEVIN KENNEDY: That's where the wetland is, |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait. | 6 yeah. |
| Which -- this house, the existing house? | 7 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Right. Right. So as you -- so |
| 8 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. Yeah. Sorry. | 8 the only part you're talking about that's higher grade is |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 9 the first 50 to 85 yards perhaps of Howard Chapel Road, |
| 10 DEVIN KENNEDY: Just to give a point of | 10 correct |
| 11 reference, you know, that people say you can see the house | 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: Well, it's all -- I mean it's |
| 12 from Howard Chapel or whatever. I don't know, you know. | 12 all -- from the wetlands south it's all higher. |
| 13 So it goes -- $20,22-$ so that's like 22 feet higher. | 13 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. But the wetland |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 14 along -- from the north to the south comprises |
| 15 DEVIN KENNEDY: And you can tell like if you look | 15 approximately half of that stretch; isn't that true? |
| 16 at the -- it might be hard to tell but it does really slope | 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: I'm not sure -- I don't know. I |
| 17 up fast right after you get past the wetlands. So that, | 17 think this is kind of -- I'm hard to visualize what you're |
| 18 you know, effectively is -- | 18 kind of describing I guess. |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What's -- do | 19 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Well, I guess I'd ask you to |
| 20 you have an idea of the gra | 20 look at it and if you have -- if you think I'm wrong come |
| 21 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, it's about ten feet from | 21 back and tell Ms. Hannan, but I'm telling you my belief is |
| 22 the road to -- and I'm not -- you know, this is just | 22 that approximately half of that period -- that section |
| 23 generalizations, but 60 -feet setback from the road is about | 23 between the north and the south section of Howard Chapel |
| 24 ten feet at the -- where the wetland is if you're looking | 24 Road, more than half of it as at grade of the road. But |
| 25 toward the house. So you can tell the slope there, you | 25 let's leave that. |
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| know, it does -- it does go up pretty good. I mean it's a | DEVIN KENNEDY: Oh, okay. I guess |
| 2 pretty good -- | JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Correct? |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, do you | DEVIN KENNEDY: I mean I think like if you were |
| 4 have -- I mean do you have the percentage grade? | 4 to look from the house, the existing house, to like due |
| 5 DEVIN KENNEDY: Oh, the percentage -- oh, you're | 5 west. Is that kind of what you're saying, like that |
| 6 going to make me get my calculator out. Hold on. | 6 portion? |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Rise over run. | 7 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Yes. |
| 8 DEVIN KENNEDY: I mean it's much steeper at the | DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. So that's higher. That's |
| 9 road. Let's do it at the steepest point here. So the | 9 higher. |
| 10 steepest point is about 18 percent slope but average over | 10 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Right. That's higher. |
| 11 that run is about six percent or so. | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What's higher, |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. But | 12 the house? |
| 13 you've got a grade in there of 18. | 13 DEVIN KENNEDY: The house is higher, yeah. |
| 14 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, that's like where it kind | 14 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: The house is higher but as you |
| 15 of -- the sharp point where it cuts down to Howard Chapel | 15 go north from the house up it gets decreasing high to the |
| 16 the | 16 point where about halfway up it's at grade with the road, |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Mr. | 17 correct? |
| 18 O'Toole, I apologize for interrupting. Go ahead. | 18 DEVIN KENNEDY: It's all higher elevation. I |
| 19 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: No, it's fine but I'm not | 19 mean at the lowest -- let's see, yeah, maybe four feet. |
| 20 sure -- I'm not sure it's made it any clearer to me. | 20 But it -- you know. |
| 21 Just so that I can be clear, Mr. Kennedy, the | 21 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: I think -- I think |
| 22 higher grade that you're talking about is in the first 100 | 22 DEVIN KENNEDY: It's all about four-foot minimum |
| 23 or 50 yards or so of Howard Chapel Road going north, | 23 sort of height differential. |
| 24 correct? And then as you get further along on Howard | 24 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: You're not saying that the |
| 25 Chapel Road going north the grade gets down actually even | 25 grade is above the road from down by the wetlands, are you? |
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| DEVIN KENNEDY: No. <br> JEFFREY O'TOOLE: The wetlands -- from the <br> wetlands the grade is below the road, correct? <br> DEVIN KENNEDY: Oh, yeah. I was referring <br> to -- I mean because, you know, once you get past the <br> forest it's just forest. So I was just kind of referring <br> from the forest, from the wetland, the low point, on south <br> is kind of what I thought we were talking about. <br> JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. So we've agreed <br> 0 that at least the protection of the Rustic Roads was a <br> consideration of the Rustic Roads Committee so that if <br> 12 there were a condition that put a berm of some sort along <br> 3 the southern half perhaps of Howard Chapel Road that would <br> 4 not do any harm to the applicant's process, correct? <br> DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. I mean I think there -- it <br> 6 seems like -- granted, I can't -- you know, I did not meet <br> 17 with them personally, but just based on the paragraph <br> 18 they're effectively saying as long as existing conditions <br> stay as is then, you know, they don't see that it would 0 impact. <br> JEFFREY O'TOOLE: At some point I think you spoke <br> 22 to Mr. Boughton and said that -- I think it was in response <br> 23 to one of his questions -- that if you're traveling east on <br> 24 Howard Chapel Road and approaching -- or rather east on <br> 25 Damascus Road, traveling east toward Sunshine and the | Would you explain to me what one candlelight <br> amount of light is? <br> DEVIN KENNEDY: A foot candle is the amount of <br> one -- hang on one second. A foot candle -- so it's <br> defined as one foot candle, one lumen per square foot. But <br> I think -- I know I'm missing a portion of that. Hang on. <br> So one candle at a distance of one foot is equal to one <br> lumen incident per square foot. <br> JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Can you tell me what that means 0 in respect to say the five sconce lights on the building? <br> How many foot candles does that represent? <br> DEVIN KENNEDY: They were not included in the photometrics. <br> JEFFREY O'TOOLE: But they are lights that you <br> think may be on all night long? <br> DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't know. <br> JEFFREY O'TOOLE: So those are lights -- so those <br> are lights that are going to be on that were not part of your calculation? <br> DEVIN KENNEDY: Well, I don't know if they're <br> going to be on, and no they weren't included in the calculation. <br> JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. In terms of the <br> 24 plantings, you talked about it's better to plant a smaller <br> 25 two-and-a-half inch caliper tree than a larger tree. |
| property is on your left as you're going east, you said you wouldn't even see the property until you get beyond Howard Chapel Road. Was that your testimony? <br> DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, I think you won't get a direct, you know, visual -- you know, that's like the point where you pass that buffer I guess. You know, there's -- <br> JEFFREY O'TOOLE: But that is only -- that's only during the few months or the half a year when those trees have leaves on them, correct? <br> DEVIN KENNEDY: Well, in my opinion even foliageless forest edge is still a buffer, still acts as a buffer 2 in some way. It doesn't -- it might not directly obscure 13 all visual cues but it's still a buffer. I mean it just 4 depends what the makeup is. But I don't -- yeah, I don't 5 think -- <br> JEFFREY O'TOOLE: With all -- <br> DEVIN KENNEDY: -- just because it's deciduous <br> it's not -- <br> JEFFREY O'TOOLE: With all respect -- with all <br> 0 respect I invite you to come out today when you get done with your testimony -- <br> DEVIN KENNEDY: Sure. <br> JEFFREY O'TOOLE: -- and take a look and see if <br> maybe you'd want to change your testimony in that regard. <br> 25 Indulgence for a minute. | There's nothing that would prevent them from adding trees that are larger than two-and-a-half inches. Let me ask you this, how long does it take for a two-and-a-half inch caliper tree to grow to say a six-inch caliper tree? <br> DEVIN KENNEDY: It depends on the species obviously but generally -- <br> JEFFREY O'TOOLE: You said you're going to plant red maples so let's take a red maple. <br> DEVIN KENNEDY: There's some red maples, yeah. 10 So a red maple would be a good example. You could see a six-inch tree probably within five years. <br> JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. So we're <br> talking -- we're talking at least -- at least five years to get to a size that might start blocking some of this stuff 15 that's being put up in the neighborhood. Is there anything 16 about the plantings that would prohibit them from ramping 17 up, from having Ms. Hannan decide that a small caliper tree 8 is just not enough? <br> DEVIN KENNEDY: I think in the intent of the design here with the plantings a two-and-a-half inch caliper tree would immediately serve a function as far as softening the fence, providing overstory shade for the parking area. I feel -- you know, I don't -- you know, I 24 think they would have an immediate impact for sure. But 25 yeah, I agree with you there's nothing that you can plant |
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| 1 whatever size I guess. You know, there's nothing | 1 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: How far from -- how far from |
| 2 preventing it, you know. | 2 the eastern boundary of the property -- how far do you go |
| 3 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Are there any plans for putting | 3 from the eastern boundary to where the berm s |
| 4 in trees such as Leyland Cy | 4 DEVIN KENNEDY: Maybe like 250 feet. |
| 5 DEVIN KENNEDY: No. | 5 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: So i |
| 6 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Why? | 6 DEVIN KENNEDY: 280 feet, something like that. |
| 7 DEVIN KENNEDY: We don't like tho | 7 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: If one is driving along |
| 8 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: What don't you like of them? | 8 Damascus Road from east to west, the berm -- the land would |
| 9 DEVIN KENNEDY: They've very shallow rooted. | 9 be un-bermed. It would not be covered by a berm for the |
| 10 They can fall over easily. They get pests. They're just | 10 first 250 feet? |
| 11 not -- you know, they're not a good tree to plant in my | 11 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, there's like a -- there |
| 12 opinion. | 12 would be a gap between, you know, once you pass the |
| 13 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. How about a tree | 13 vegetation buffer on the property line -- |
| 14 called -- was it called the green giant? Was that a tree | 14 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Right. |
| 15 yo | 15 DEVIN KENNEDY: -- and then the buffer. But in |
| 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: Arborvitaes? Those are good. I | 16 that gap period there's the American holly evergreens, our |
| 17 like those. Those are good | 17 naturalized plantings, and the shrub masses. |
| 18 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. And they're fast- | 18 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: So it would be along -- it |
| 19 growing trees, aren't they? | 19 would be along Howard |
| 20 DEVIN KENNEDY: Ye | 20 DEVIN KENNEDY: So we -- we factored that int |
| 21 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: How about if the applicant were | 21 the design. |
| 22 to plant a series of arborvitae along Howard Chapel Road? | 22 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: I'm sorry. I overtalked you. |
| 23 DEVIN KENNEDY: In my opinion -- I mean you | 23 Say it again, the last part. |
| 24 can -- the idea is to kind of have a naturalized planting | 24 DEVIN KENNEDY: I was just saying that was a |
| 25 scheme so you want to have semi-irregular groupings, | 25 factor in the design was the actual viewpoint, the view |
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| 1 | 1 shed as you get into the site. So your perspective going |
| 2 forest setting. I don't know if they're appropriate. | 2 forward. |
| 3 They've just very linear and it's almost just like a green | 3 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. So as I'm looking |
| 4 wall. But I mean, again, if you're just trying to block | 4 at the picture that's up now there are -- |
| 5 something you could -- you know, it would function as a | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Sorry. For the |
| 6 screen, yes, but I don't know -- | 6 record, this is Exhibit 48. |
| 7 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: If the neighbors -- if the | 7 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Okay. So Mr. Kennedy, on |
| 8 neighbors were to ask for a condition if this project were | 8 Exhibit 48, if the applicant were to promise to put in a |
| 9 to go through and the neighbors wanted to have a screen put | 9 berm from the eastern boundary all the way along to where |
| 10 along Howard Chapel Road to block the view from along | 10 the berm now is that would more effectively hide this |
| 11 Damascus Road or from along Howard Chapel, there's no | 11 project from those driving along Damascus Road, wouldn't |
| 12 reason that such a screening couldn't be put in -- | 12 it ? |
| 13 DEVIN KENNEDY: No. | 13 DEVIN KENNEDY: I can't -- yeah, it's just we |
| 14 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: -- possibly or just | 14 have to think about drainage as well. So the berm has to |
| 15 DEVIN KENNEDY: I mean you could plant -- yeah, | 15 provide -- we have to allow positive drainage away from the |
| 16 you could plant trees out there. Yes. | 16 Damascus Road there. So we couldn't just do a full berm |
| 17 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. What percentage of | 17 the whole way. That would not -- |
| 18 Damascus Road is being planted or being situated with the | 18 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: And what is it about a berm |
| 19 berm? | 19 that would affect drainage? |
| 20 DEVIN KENNEDY: I don't have -- | 20 DEVIN KENNEDY: It would just block the flow. So |
| 21 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: How much of Damascus -- how | 21 it would just -- you know, you wouldn't be able to get the |
| 22 much of Damascus Road is going to have the berm that we | 22 water back behind -- you know, positive drainage away from |
| 23 talked about | 23 the site. |
| 24 DEVIN KENNEDY: I would say 35 percent roughly, | 24 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: What if there were breaks |
| 25 something like that, 30 percent. | 25 the berm? How about if the berm went for 20 feet and then |
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stopped for ten feet and went -- I guess what I'm trying to
ask you is isn't there a way that the applicant could more
aggressively plant and place a berm along Damascus Road so
that as you come through Sunshine into Unity and all of a
sudden come across what used to be a big field and now
there's a big industrial complex, if there were a berm
effectively along that area wouldn't that assist in
shielding some of the view of this from sight?
    DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah. Sure.
    MS. REGELIN: So this is Nancy Regelin. This
whole line of questioning is what is all possible. That is
not what the applicant is proposing. So he's asking Mr.
Kennedy to in some way speculate. So, you know, I think
this line of questioning goes on farther than it should.
    JACK QUINN: Ms. Regelin, I appreciate that. But
Ms. Hannan, what we're getting at here is that at the end
of this we're going to be asking for some conditions which
are far beyond or somewhat beyond what this applicant has
asked for.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And I think
that's fair. So --
    JEFFREY O'TOOLE: So part of what we're asking
here is what is possible and what you can consider as a
condition even though the applicant did not.
```

    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And that's fair
    so I'm going to let it -- I think in general what I'm
hearing is you want some additional screening along Howard
Chapel and Damascus Road. Is that what I'm hearing from
you?
JEFFREY O'TOOLE: At a minimum. Well said. And
with that --
DEVIN KENNEDY: I just --
JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Go ahead. Go ahead.
DEVIN KENNEDY: No, it's fine. Sorry.
JEFFREY O'TOOLE: And with that, Ms. Hannan, I
have no more questions. Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I'm
going to go to -- I saw someone with their hand up and
now -- it was Ms. -- I don't see it now. It was Ms.
Caldeira that had her hand up. Okay. Let me ask
you -- let me go to redirect. And then I see some phone
numbers here and some names that weren't on before so I'm
going to ask you for your -- who you are and -- just so we
can keep our records correct and know how to contact you.
But first we'll go to redirect from Ms. Regelin.
Go ahead, Ms. Regelin. Wait, I see -- Ms.
Regelin, before you start, I see one person, Danny
Williams, that has a hand raised.
Mr. O'Toole, do you mind putting your hand down
please?
Mr. Williams?
DANNY WILLIAMS: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
DANNY WILLIAMS: Hello.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you have
questions for this witness?
DANNY WILLIAMS: Yes, I do. Sorry. And Kim
Caldeira was actually going to ask them. She had to step
away so I'm going to try and do my best [inaudible -- audio
cut out].
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go
ahead.
DANNY WILLIAMS: The first question was
regarding -- with regard to the submerged gravel wetland.
We did some research into the stormwater management plan
that was done. Do you know what size storm was used for
that, for the person who did that design?
MS. REGELIN: So Nancy Regelin objecting. This
is more appropriate for another witness.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I agree. There
will be an engineer that will testify that can testify as
to stormwater.
DANNY WILLIAMS: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: He's
not -- he's -- his expertise doesn't include that.
DANNY WILLIAMS: That's fine. That's fine.
Understood. Does that also hold true for the perc tests
that were done on the site? Would that be more appropriate
for the other expert?
MS. REGELIN: That's correct.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Typically it
would be. Did you say that's correct, Mr. Regelin?
MS. REGELIN: Yes, that will be addressed by the
civil engineer.
DANNY WILLIAMS: Okay. Then I'll hold my
questions for them. That's all I had.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank
you.
Redirect, Ms. Regelin?
MS. REGELIN: Okay. These may be all over the
board since we had a lot of people ask questions.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I know.
MS. REGELIN: Mr. Kennedy, Mr. Conrath testified
that the height of the operations barn was 33 feet. Is
that higher than the light poles?
DEVIN KENNEDY: The peak, yeah, yeah, it's
higher.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. So the barn is 100 -feet long
and so on the Howard Chapel Road, the west boundary, the
barn itself will obscure for 100 feet the light poles in
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the yard, correct?
    DEVIN KENNEDY:That's correct.
    MS. REGELIN: And lighting is typical in a
landscape contractor yard, correct?
    DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes.
    MS. REGELIN: Okay. So it's inherent to this
use? It's typical in this use?
    DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. Correct. Sorry. Yeah.
    MS. REGELIN: Okay. You show and a number of the
citizens asked about the split-rail fence. The purpose of
a split-rail fence is not to screen a property, correct?
    DEVIN KENNEDY: That is correct.
    MS. REGELIN: Okay. The purpose of the board-on-
board fence is for the purpose of screening the active area
of the landscape contractor use, correct?
    DEVIN KENNEDY:That's correct.
    MS. REGELIN:And is the board-on-board fence
opaque?
    DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes.
    MS. REGELIN: And do you know whether it will be
left natural to weather naturally or will it be painted, do
you know?
    DEVIN KENNEDY: I believe it's just natural. I
can't -- yeah, I think so.
    MS. REGELIN: Okay. Do you know the height of
the yard, correct?
DEVIN KENNEDY: That's correct.
MS. REGELIN: And lighting is typical in a
landscape contractor yard, correct?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. So it's inherent to this
use? It's typical in this use?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. Correct. Sorry. Yeah.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. You show and a number of the a
```

```
DEVIN KENNEDY: That is correct.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. The purpose of the board-onboard fence is for the purpose of screening the active area
of the landscape contractor use, correct?
MS. REGELIN: And is the board-on-board fence opaque?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes.
MS. REGELIN: And do you know whether it will be
21 left natural to weather naturally or will it be painted, do 22 you know?
23 DEVIN KENNEDY: I believe it's just natural. I
24 can't -- yeah, I think so.
25 MS. REGELIN: Okay. Do you know the height of
```

    the trailer that's going to be brought onto the site
    temporarily? Is it single story?
        DEVIN KENNEDY: It's single story trailer,
    correct.
        MS. REGELIN: Okay. And the trailer is wholly
    within the screened fencing, correct?
DEVIN KENNEDY: That's correct.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. Is it easy to find -- is it
as easy to find larger caliper trees than the two to two-
and-a-half inch caliper trees?
11 DEVIN KENNEDY: It's much harder to find larger
caliper trees.
MS. REGELIN: And that's because you actually
have to relocate it from someplace where it's matured for a
long time?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, it just depends on the
stock, yeah, and what they have available. But typically
18 it's not any high requested item so the availability is
much less.
20 MS. REGELIN: Okay. Could you give us a distance
21 of how far the berm when it actually hits grade is to when
you hit Damascus Road? Like how much -- how far set back
is the berm?
DEVIN KENNEDY: To like the bottom of the berm?
MS. REGELIN: Yes.
the trailer that's going to be brought onto the site
temporarily? Is it single story?
DEVIN KENNEDY: It's single story trailer,
correct.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. And the trailer is wholly
within the screened fencing, correct?
DEVIN KENNEDY: That's correct.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. Is it easy to find -- is it
as easy to find larger caliper trees than the two to two-

DEVIN KENNEDY: It's much harder to find larger caliper trees.
13 MS. REGELIN: And that's because you actually 14 have to relocate it from someplace where it's matured for a 15 long time?
16 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, it just depends on the 17 stock, yeah, and what they have available. But typically 18 it's not any high requested item so the availability is 19 much less.
20 MS. REGELIN: Okay. Could you give us a distance
22 you hit Damascus Road? Like how much -- how far set back
23 is the berm?

MS. REGELIN: Yes.

DEVIN KENNEDY: Or -- okay. So the berm is about
100 feet from the road at the -- sort of the toe, the toe slope.

MS. REGELIN: Okay. And did you measure the distance from the driveway to the west to Howard Chapel
Road and to the east to the property line?
DEVIN KENNEDY: So from the driveway center to the west property line of Howard Chapel is 535 feet. And then from -- to the east it is 620 -ish feet. That's approximate.

MS. REGELIN: Okay. And the parking facility layout, that's been designed by county code; the size of 3 the spaces, the width of the drive lanes between, correct?

DEVIN KENNEDY: Correct.
MS. REGELIN: And that's been reviewed and Park 6 and Planning has approved it?

DEVIN KENNEDY: Correct.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. The internal circulation was also tested for a fire engine, correct?

DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes.
MS. REGELIN: And did the Department of Permitting Services Fire Safety agree that the fire access internal was adequate?

DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes.
MS. REGELIN: And that would have tested a full
fire truck?
DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes, that's their -- yeah, that's correct.

MS. REGELIN: Okay. And so that's larger than most of the vehicles because that's a commercial --

DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, that's going to be --
MS. REGELIN: -- safety vehicle.
DEVIN KENNEDY: -- a deciding factor for far and away above anything that would be on this property in terms 10 of circulation, turning radius, and stuff like that.

MS. REGELIN: Okay. So the berm that is in front 12 of the material bins along Damascus Road, you testified that the trees and the shrubs there would be along the 14 slope. So what is the effective height basically on the 5 day of planting for the screening that is on, you know, the 6 combination of the berm to the top of the landscaping? 7 What would your estimate be?
18 DEVIN KENNEDY: So I guess like -- I'm sorry.
19 Would you mind just sort of re-asking?
20 MS. REGELIN: When you -- since you're doing 21 plantings on the berm the plantings actually have 22 additional height because you testified that you wanted to 23 put the trees on the slope so that the canopy of the trees 24 was actually at about the level of the concrete wall.
25 DEVIN KENNEDY: Uh-huh.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MS. REGELIN: So, but what you didn't testify to | 1 is -- we're alternating. He's Pramod Chandewar. |
| 2 was when you added that like what do you think the height | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 3 would be of the landscape screening -- | 3 JENNIFER CHANDEWAR: And my first name is |
| 4 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yeah, I got you. So we have a -- | 4 Jennifer. And our address is 3601 Sundown Road, 20833. |
| 5 MS. REGELIN: -- from grade -- | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. And an |
| VIN KENNEDY: Yeal | 6 email addr |
| 7 MS. REGELIN: -- to the top of the canopy? | 7 JENNIFER CHANDEWAR: Sure. Pgchande, that's |
| DEVIN KENNEDY: So from grade to top of | 8 C-H-A-N-D-E, at Gmail dot com. pgchande@gmail.com. |
| 9 canopy -- granted, this is naturalized planting but it's a | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank |
| 10 mix of understory shrubs, overstory. You'll have effective | 10 you very much. |
| 11 screen from grade up to 20 feet I would say. So you'll | 11 JENNIFER CHANDEWAR: You're welcome. |
| 12 just -- you know, varying throughout the plantings but | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you. |
| 13 generally that's the range of strata that's being covered. | 13 Anyone else that has not given their name, address, and |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is that at | 14 email to us so we can continue to contact you? |
| 15 maturity? | 15 KIM CALDEIRA: This is Kim Caldeira. I just |
| 16 DEVIN KENNEDY: That would be both at maturity | 16 wanted to identify the phone number because I had to leave |
| 17 and plant -- well, when you -- your initial planting | $17 \mathrm{my} \mathrm{--} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{had} \mathrm{to} \mathrm{leave} \mathrm{my} \mathrm{workstation} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{so} \mathrm{now} \mathrm{I'm}$ |
| 18 everything is thinner obviously but that strata is still | 18 just -- I called in. So if you see a strange phone number |
| 19 represented but over time it will just fill in more and | 19 that's -- I'm the number ending 1392. |
| 20 greater. | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 21 you, Ms. Caldeira. |
| 22 MS. REGELIN: And the planting of a berm along | 22 KIM CALDEIRA: Of course. |
| 23 Howard Chapel Road, would that take land out of | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. |
| 24 agricultural use? | 24 With that we're going to take a 15 -minute break. Thank |
| 25 DEVIN KENNEDY: Yes. | 25 you. We'll be back at 3:15. Thank you. |
| 210 | 212 |
| MS. REGELIN: Okay. I think that will be enough. | 1 [Off the record at $2: 56: 25 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.] |
| That will be enough for my cross, for my -- | 2 [Back on the record at 3:16:26 p.m.] |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you. Is |
| MS. REGELIN: -- rebuttal testimony. | 4 everyone else ready? Or let me ask this, is there |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: For your | 5 any -- well, no, I can't do that either. Hearing none, Ms. |
| 6 redirect. Is there any recross? Okay. Seeing none what | 6 Regelin, do you want to call your next witness? |
| 7 I'd like to do is take a 15 -minute break, but before I go I | 7 MS. REGELIN: Yes, I'm going to call Andy Smith, |
| 8 just -- if there is anyone on this -- in this | 8 our traffic engineer. |
| 9 meeting/hearing that -- whether it's a phone number, | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Mr. |
| 10 whether you're calling in, can you please identify yourself | 10 Smith, please put your video on please. |
| 11 with your name, address, and email if you haven't done so | 11 ANDY SMITH: I'm here. |
| 12 already before? I see a -- because we have -- for certain | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you put |
| 13 things we need to know who you are and how to get you. I | 13 your video on? There you go. |
| 14 see a Pramod Chandewar. Mr. Chandewar, are you with the | 14 ANDY SMITH: Yes, video should be on. Sorry. |
| 15 applicant's team or are you an individual? | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It is. Okay. |
| 16 JENNIFER CHANDEWAR: We're homeowners, yes. | 16 Please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly affirm under |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Do you | 17 penalties of perjury that the statements you're about to |
| 18 mind giving me your name and address and email please? | 18 make are the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the |
| 19 JENNIFER CHANDEWAR: Yes, through the tag feature | 19 truth? |
| 20 or do you want me to just say? | 20 ANDY SMITH: I do. |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No. | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right, Ms. |
| 22 JENNIFER CHANDEWAR: Okay. | 22 Regelin. |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Speak it on the | 23 MS. REGELIN: Mr. Smith, can you please state |
| 24 record please. | 24 your name, your company name, your title, and address for |
| 25 JENNIFER CHANDEWAR: Okay. My husband | 25 the record? |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 ANDY SMITH: My name is Andy Smith of the firm | 1 Transportation, and the Maryland State Highway |
| 2 Kimley-Horn \& Associates. I'm a project manager and our | 2 Administration or SHA as I'll refer to them. |
| 3 office is located 11400 Commerce Park Drive, Suite 400, | 3 MS. REGELIN: And they have been accepted at each |
| 4 Reston, Virginia 20191. | 4 of those agencies, correct? |
| 5 MS. REGELIN: Have you submitted your credentials | 5 ANDY SMITH: Th |
| 6 into the recor | 6 MS. REGELIN: Okay. Did you prepare an initial |
| 7 ANDY SMITH: Y | 7 traffic scoping for approval by Park and Planning staff? |
| 8 MS. REGELIN: Can you quickly provide an overview | 8 ANDY SMITH: Yes. The initial traffic scoping |
| 9 of your education and experience as a traffic engineer? | 9 form was prepared according to the county guidelines, the |
| 10 ANDY SMITH: Sure. I have a Bachelor's of | 10 LATR guidelines. The trip generation was prepared as a |
| 11 Science in Civil Eng | 11 part of the scoping form and it indicated that the impact |
| 12 Virginia. I'ma licensed professional engineer in Maryland | 12 during the peak hours was less than a requirement for a |
| 13 and D.C. and Virginia. And since 2009 I've worked as a | 13 full traffic study, which that requirement threshold is |
| 14 traffic engineer at Kimley-Horn preparing traffic impact | 14 generating 50 peak-hour person trips. Only a traffic |
| 15 analyses, operations analyses, and traffic engineering | 15 statement is required for those types of developments, but |
| 16 design, and I first got my license in 2014. | 16 after discussions with Park and Planning staff and the |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 17 client we decided it would be beneficial to prepare the |
| 18 MS. REGELIN: Have you been previously accepted | 18 full LATR traffic study for this location in order to full |
| 19 or testified as an expert in traffic engineering before the | 19 analyze the peak hour impacts for the application and |
| 20 hearing examiner's office or any other board or agency in | 20 conditional use permit. The assumptions that were included |
| 21 the area | 21 in scoping were agreed upon by Park and Planning staff. |
| 22 ANDY SMITH: Yes, I've testified at public | 22 MS. REGELIN: Okay. Did you do the traffic |
| 23 hearings for Montgomery County Planning Board and the Board | 23 counts before Covid? |
| 24 of Appeals for Montgomery County as well as other county | 24 ANDY SMITH: Yes, the traffic counts were |
| 25 boards and commissions in the D.C. area. | 25 prepared in June -- or collected, I should say, June 6th of |
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| 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 1 2019. School was in session at this time. |
| 2 MS. REGELIN: So at this time we'd like to offer | 2 MS. REGELIN: Okay. And how did you come up with |
| 3 up Mr. Smith as an expert witness in the area of traffic | 3 a trip generation rate for this use? |
| 4 engineering. | $4 \quad$ ANDY SMITH: So because the peak hour trip |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Do I | 5 generation rate for a landscape contracting facility is |
| 6 hear any objections? Okay. Hearing and seeing none I'll | 6 unique from the other land uses and unique from the |
| 7 so -- is his specialty traffic engineering as opposed to | 7 Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation |
| 8 transportation planning? | 8 Manual which is what we typically use to estimate trip |
| 9 ANDY SMITH: I use them interchangeably. In this | 9 generation we felt that it was more characterized based on |
| 10 case they can be used interchangeably. | 10 shift schedule, employee commuting tendencies, and the |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But you are a | 11 operations plan for the facility. So with this we observed |
| 12 civil? | 12 operations at the applicant's existing site to determine |
|  | 13 peak hour -- |
| 14 transportation is a part of that. So I do not specialize | 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can I interrupt |
| 15 in civil engineering. | 15 for a second? Does the ITE manual have a trip generation |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Okay. | 16 rate for this use? |
| 17 Go ahead, Ms. Regelin. | 17 ANDY SMITH: No, it does not. |
| 18 MS. REGELIN: Mr. Smith, did you prepare | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Peak rate? All |
| 19 traffic analysis for the proposed conditional use permit? | 19 right. Go ahead. |
| 20 ANDY SMITH: Yes. The report is on the record as | 20 ANDY SMITH: Sure. The observations showed that |
| 21 Exhibit 6 . So Ms. Hannan, if you can pull that up I will | 21 there was heavy use of carpooling for the commuting to and |
| 22 be referring to it later. This report has -- this repor | 22 from the facility, and also a high occupancy of crews |
| 23 has been accepted and reviewed by staff from three | 23 within the work trucks headed to and from their job sites. |
| 24 different agencies. That would include Park and Planning | 24 We also saw that there were staggered arrivals throughout |
| 25 staff, MCDOT which is Montgomery County Department of | 25 the afternoon as those crews did finish up for the day. |

    We did see that crews arrived and left for the
    job sites before -- excuse me -- came back -- before the
a.m. peak hour and came back early enough in the afternoon
to be trips that happened well outside of the p.m. peak
hour as well. And we did prepare an observations
memorandum that we submitted to Park and Planning staff and
they agreed upon that trip generation methodology.
MS. REGELIN: So what intersections were studied?
ANDY SMITH: So the part of this -- and again,
the agreed upon scoping with Park and Planning staff we
observed the -- or excuse me. We studied the intersections
of Georgia Avenue and New Hampshire Avenue on the east side
of Damascus Road, on the west side Damascus Road and
Sundown Road, and Damascus Road and Howard Chapel Road, and
finally, you know, the actual site entrance was the -- it's
not an existing intersection as it is proposed to be laid
out but that was also looked at as a part of the study.
MS. REGELIN: So on -- to the hearing examiner,
if we bring up page 17 of the exhibit that you're on it
should indicate that it's Figure 9.
ANDY SMITH: That's the one.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. All right. So those three
intersections are identified as number one, number two, and
number three on this Figure 9, page 17 of Exhibit 6?
ANDY SMITH: That's correct.

MS. REGELIN: Okay. How did you determine the
distribution of traffic from the site through those
intersections?

ANDY SMITH: So the distribution of traffic was developed based on likely travel paths from the conditional
use to or from either the workers' homes, so commuting
trips, or to and from the job site trips. So that was
really an estimation of access and how you would move
around the area. The distribution was, again, a part of
the scoping form that was submitted to Park and Planning
staff and was agreed upon by that staff.

MS. REGELIN: Okay. And what are the a.m and p.m peak hours for each of those intersections?

ANDY SMITH: So in Montgomery County we look at a.m and p.m peak hours of individual intersections. They don't all have to be the same. The a.m -- for Georgia
Avenue and Damascus Road the a.m peak hour is $7: 15$ to 8:15 and the p.m peak hour is $4: 45$ to $5: 45$ p.m Damascus Road and Sundown Road, the a.m peak hour was 7:00 to 8:00 a.m and 5:00 to $6: 00$ p.m for the p.m peak hour. And for
Damascus Road and Howard Chapel Road, the a.m peak hour was $7: 15$ to $8: 15$ a.m and the p.m peak hour was $5: 15$ to 6:15 p.m

MS. REGELIN: Okay. Per the LATR guidelines what other transportation data did you collect and study?
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1 a.m. peak period showed that there were four to five
percent of the vehicles are heavy vehicles running through
the study -- excuse me -- the study area, and in the p.m.
peak period it was a little bit lower at two percent heavy vehicles.

MS. REGELIN: So can you provide an overview of the impact on the intersection?

ANDY SMITH: Absolutely. Generally we found minimal impacts to peak hour operations and it's important to reiterate this because a lot of the (indiscernible) do not occur during the peak hours so that they intentionally do not add traffic during the worst part of the day. At the intersection of Damascus Road and Howard Chapel Road
there negligible impact. There was no increase to the
a.m. -- critical a.m. volume or CLV as the term of art that
we use, and an increase of one CLV from 373 to 374 CLVs
during the p.m. peak hour. I should note that --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Could you hold on second? Did you say --

ANDY SMITH: Sure.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- 373,873? 3,000 ?

ANDY SMITH: No, I may have misspoke. I
apologize. It was from -- it increased with one CLV from 373 to 374 .

| 22 | 223 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 1 and there's very few that last later into the evening |
| 2 ANDY SMITH: And if you pull up Exhibit 6 I will | 2 before heading h |
| 3 direct you to the table that has all of these values which | 3 And the capacity analysis, which is one of the |
| 4 is on page 20 I believe. Just one second. Yes, page 20 | 4 main portions of what we analyze as a part of any |
| 5 There you go. That's th | 5 study for motor vehicle adequacy, found that the area |
| 6 So that was at the intersection of Damascus Ro | 6 intersections will operate similarly during the a.m and |
| 7 and Howard Chapel Road. I should note that the critica | 7 p.m. peak hours as they would without the conditional use. |
| 8 lane volume standard or the CLV standard in this area | 8 They would operate similarly as existing with the |
| 9 1,350. S | 9 conditional use in place. So the peak hour impact to area |
| 10 At the intersection of Damascus Road and Sundow | 10 intersections is negligible and all intersections will |
| 11 Road we also found negligible impact. The a.m and p.m. | 11 operate within the delay standard for this policy area with |
| 12 CLVs increased by just three and two, respectively. And | 12 the exception of Georgia Avenue and Damascus Road, whi |
| 13 again, those final numbers ended up being 743 CLVs in | 13 already explained exceeds that congestion standard already. |
| 14 a.m. peak hour and 869 CLVs in the p.m. peak hour | 14 And finally I would just note -- I apologize. I |
| 15 When we looked at Georgia Avenue, that | 15 would just note that the roadway network can accommodate |
| 16 intersection exceeds the CLV standard under existing | 16 the conditional use and adequate public facilities for |
| 17 conditions. So the LA | 17 traffic are present and that the roadways can safely and |
| 18 detailed Highway Capacity Manual or HCM analysis per | 18 efficiently handle the minor impact of the traffic during |
| 19 and we did that using the Synchro soffware. We found that | 19 the a.m. and p.m peak hours. |
| 20 the existing intersection exceeds th | 20 MS. REGELIN: Did you prepare any supplement |
| 21 during both the a.m and p.m peak hours under existing | 21 analysis? |
| 22 conditions without any traffic from our site. That delay | 22 ANDY SMITH: Yes. Park and Planning staff asked |
| 23 standard is 41 seconds in this rural area I should note. | 23 us to review the intersection of Georgia Avenue an |
| 24 And then when we analyzed the impact of adding th | 24 Damascus Road. I mentioned that there was minimal impact |
| 25 conditional use's site traffic we found that the site would | 25 but there was some impact there of .4 seconds in the |
| 222 | 224 |
| 1 have a minimal impact on the area roadway network as | 1 morning |
| 2 evidenced by increases of less than one second of average | 2 performed a supplemental analysis to determine if minor |
| 3 delay in both peak hours. So it was .4 seconds in the a.m. | 3 signal timing adjustments could mitigate that impact and |
| 4 peak hour and .8 seconds in the p.m. peak hour. Thus we | 4 the supplemental report is in the record as Exhibit 38 |
| 5 found that the development will have minimal impact to peak | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And could they? |
| 6 hour operations. | 6 ANDY SMITH: Yes. Ms. Hannan, to answer your |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 7 question, the conclusion of that report was that the . 4 |
| 8 MS. REGELIN: So is that your conclusions of your | 8 seconds and .8 seconds of delay respectively for the a.m. |
| 9 report for the traffic impact analysis of this conditional | 9 and p.m. peak hours could be eliminated by just minor |
| 10 use permit | 10 signal timing adjustments, yes. |
| 11 ANDY SMITH: Yes, in a general sense that's one | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And is the |
| 12 of the conclusions of our report. There were several. One | 12 state going to do it, make those signal timing adjustments? |
| 13 was that the observations of existing travel patterns for | 13 ANDY SMITH: Because signal timing adjustments do |
| 14 the applicant's current operations showed that a majority | 14 have impacts -- so we found that the overall intersection |
| 15 of vehicle trips occur outside of the a.m. and p.m. peak | 15 could be brought down to the pre-development levels -- |
| 16 hours. Another point was that a high vehicle -- there's a | 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. |
| 17 high vehicle occupancy for both commuting trips, so getting | 17 ANDY SMITH: -- but those decisions are always up |
| 18 those crews coming to work in their personal vehicles and | 18 to the DOT. They are the controllers of signal timings. |
| 19 leaving in their personal vehicles. | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. Okay. |
| 20 But also in addition to that, not surprisingly, | 20 MS. REGELIN: There is another landscape |
| 21 for the job site trips where you have the larger crew cabs | 21 contractor in the area, Greenskeeper, who has an entrance |
| 22 as has been testified earlier. Not today necessarily but | 22 several hundred feet east of Sundown Road. Are |
| 23 probably last Monday. Vehicles arrive in a staggered way | 23 those -- are their trips in your traffic count? |
| 24 throughout the early afternoon and crews based on our | 24 ANDY SMITH: So because that land use is already |
| 25 observations tend to head home prior to the p.m. peak hour | 25 in operation those counts were -- or the trips from that |


| 225 | 227 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 development are included in our existing counts for the | 1 MS. REGELIN: Right. |
| 2 intersectio | 2 ANDY SMITH: And as shown in the exhibit that you |
| 3 MS. REGELIN: Okay. So is it your opinion that | 3 have pulled up here, this entrance has good sight distance |
| 4 this application will not have an adverse impact on the | 4 in both directions for turns from this site given the flat |
| 5 local roadways and intersections? | 5 nature and being located right near the middle of the |
| 6 ANDY SMITH: Yes. It is my opin | 6 property. And this has been mentioned a couple times |
| 7 application will not have adverse impacts on the locas | 7 before in testimony, but the speed limits along the |
| 8 roadways and intersections. The reason being is that the | 8 frontage are 30 miles per hour in the westbound |
| 9 characteristics of the roadway will operate very similar in | 9 So as you cross the site from the east to the west it's 30 |
| 10 the same way that they do currently | 10 miles per hour and it's not posted as 40 miles per hour |
| 11 MS. REGELIN: Is it your opinion that this | 11 until just beyond Howard Chapel Road. And it is 40 miles |
| 12 application meets the adequate public facilities test for | 12 per hour in the eastbound direction, so as you head from |
| 13 transportation at this location? | 13 west to east. So in the -- the speed limits essentially |
| 14 ANDY SMITH: Yes, it does meet the adequate | 14 will allow for safe deceleration and turns into the |
| 15 public facilities test for motor vehicles per the LATR | 15 entrance and also safe exits and turns from the site |
| 16 guidelines. | 16 MS. REGELIN: Have you reviewed any traffic |
| 17 MS. REGELIN: | 17 |
| 18 application will not cause undue harm to the neighborhood | 18 ANDY SMITH: We have looked at traffic data that |
| 19 as a result of a non-inherent adverse effect due to traffic | 19 is available from Montgomery County and the repository that |
| 20 or traffic related sa | 20 Montgomery County has for data. We found that there -- in |
| 21 ANDY SMITH: It's m | 21 the past five years -- there was some earlier testimony, I |
| 22 inherent characteristics with this application that would | 22 don't believe it was a part of this hearing but it may have |
| 23 cause harm to the neighborhood | 23 been a part of the planning board hearing, anecdotally |
| 24 MS. REGELIN: Is it your opinion that access is | 24 about several deaths. We did not find that there were |
| 25 safe and convenient and will not adversely impact traffic | 25 crash fatalities in this area. There was one fatality, I |
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| 1 congestion? | 1 believe it was in 2015, and that was located just west of |
| 2 ANDY SMITH: Yes. And Ms. Hannan, if you can | 2 Griffith Road to the west but none in the direct vicinity |
| 3 please show Exhibit 73. That's the one with a lot | 3 of our site, thank goodness. And that there was one |
| 4 of -- the Google Street View pictures. And if you could go | 4 bicycle accident that was located at Howard Chapel Road. |
| 5 to I guess slide or page 16 of that one. | 5 That's not every accident that could have occurred. Some |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Are they | 6 accidents are not recorded. We can't look at data that's |
| 7 numbered? | 7 not recorded. And we were unable to look at the maps for |
| 8 ANDY SMITH: It would | 8 every crash type. We looked at those fatal crashes and we |
| 9 the -- if you typed in the sixteenth page of the PDF | 9 looked at bicycle and pedestrian crashes and that's what we |
| 10 should show, but I don't believe it's numbered, no. Yeah, | 10 found. |
| 11 [inaudible - audio cut out]. | 11 MS. REGELIN: Is it your opinion that the on-site |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That one? | 12 circulation is safe? |
| 13 ANDY SMITH: So I do believe it is safe and | 13 ANDY SMITH: Yes. The on-site circulation in my |
| 14 convenient, will not adversely impact traffic congestion | 14 opinion is safe. One thing that helps that is the distance |
| 15 during the peak hours. It's appropriate that the access is | 15 between Damascus Road and the conditional use gate which |
| 16 on Damascus Road which is a state maintained | 16 approximately 138 feet. So that allows for vehicles to cue |
| 17 Maryland -- state maintained highway, as well all know, | 17 as they're entering the site if they have to stop at the |
| 18 Maryland Route 650. It's also appropriate that we don't | 18 gate for some reason before entering. There's plenty of |
| 19 have any access provided on Howard Chapel Road because | 19 room for vehicles to stop there and get out of the roadway. |
| 20 that's designated as a rustic road. | 20 This includes any deliveries from third-party suppliers |
| 21 The alignment of the new conditional use entrance | 21 that may occasionally come through including a full-sized |
| 22 with Unity Park entrance meets best practices | 22 semi-trailer if that ever happened. |
| 23 transportation safety since you don't have vehicles | 23 And, you know, vehicles can turn down th |
| 24 overlapping and stopping at different locations very close | 24 driveway completely out of the travel lanes on Damascus |
| 25 to each other. | 25 Road even if the gate is closed. The driveway is a |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 standard -- meets standard widths and radii and the apron | 1 case. So I deliberately drove through the area to do some |
| 2 is appropriately sized for access for all proposed | 2 additional review on the way to somewhere else. |
| 3 vehicles. Sometimes you'll see older entrances that don't | 3 JACK QUINN: Okay. So you passed by the area, |
| 4 meet those standards. This does meet the standard. And | 4 correct? |
| 5 on-site circulation is adequate and safe. I believe, you | 5 ANDY SMITH: That's correct. |
| 6 know, it was mentioned that this has been reviewed for fire | 6 JACK QUINN: Okay. And had you passed by the |
| 7 circulation so in my opinion the on-site circulation is | 7 area befo |
| 8 s | 8 ANDY SMITH: Ye |
| 9 MS. REGELIN: So is there any aspect of this | 9 JACK QUINN: Okay. Had you ever investigated the |
| 10 particular property that having the conditional use located | 10 site while being present on the premises? |
| 11 here creates more of a traffic impact? | 11 ANDY SMITH: Not on the premises, no. |
| 12 ANDY SMITH: No, there are no special aspects of | 12 JACK QUINN: Okay. Where did you investigate the |
| 13 this particular property that create more of a traffic | 13 site from? |
| 14 impact for this use than elsewhere in the zone. So it's my | 14 ANDY SMITH: From the roadways. |
| 15 opinion that this location and -- the location of this | 15 JACK QUINN: By passing by? |
| 16 property and the location of the conditional use area set | 16 ANDY SMITH: That's correct. |
| 17 back significantly from the roadway, you know, within the | 17 JACK QUINN: Okay. So you never really did an |
| 18 much larger property with direct access to the state route | 18 investigation or an analysis of the traffic safety issues |
| 19 and with the entrance located midway along a very flat | 19 associated with landscaping vehicles entering onto Damascus |
| 20 unobstructed frontage, you know, this all kind of works | 20 Road, correct? |
| 21 together to lessen the impact of -- or lessen the traffic | 21 ANDY SMITH: No. As a part of the LATR studies |
| 22 impacts inherent to this conditional use. | 22 we typically don't look at how vehicles -- we look sort of |
| 23 MS. REGELIN: Okay. And is it your opinion that | 23 from a -- I'll call it a qualitative nature of whether an |
| 24 cars can turn safely in and out at -- between the 30 and 40 | 24 entrance is safe and how it's located within the roadway |
| 25 mile per hour range that's in the front of this site? | 25 network, but typically -- under the current LATR guidelines |
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| ANDY SMITH: Yes. | 1 there is no safety analysis that is included with that. |
| 2 MS. REGELIN: Okay. We'll -- at this time that | 2 JACK QUINN: Okay. And that's why your rather |
| 3 will be the end of my questions and we can make him | 3 lengthy report had no information with regard to the safety |
| 4 available for cross. | 4 features of the planned driveway as it intersected with |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. Any | 5 Damascus Road, correct? |
| 6 questions for Mr. Smith? I thought I saw someone's hand up | 6 ANDY SMITH: It did not have any quantitative |
| 7 briefly. | 7 safety analysis since that was not called for as a part of |
| 8 JACK QUINN: You saw mine at least, Ms. Hannan | 8 the LATR guidelines -- |
| 9 Jack Quinn. | 9 JACK QUINN: Okay. |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. | 10 ANDY SMITH: -- and was not commented on by SHA, |
| 11 JACK QUINN: Okay. Mr. Smith, you mentioned | 11 DOT, or Park and Planning. |
| 12 several times that we did this, we did that. I assum | 12 JACK QUINN: Okay. And that's what you did. You |
| 13 you're talking about work performed within your firm? | 13 engaged in an analysis of the traffic impact of this |
| 14 ANDY SMITH: I can't say that every time I said | 14 location, correct? |
| 15 "we", but I would be using the term "we" to determine -- to | 15 ANDY SMITH: That is correct. |
| 16 describe Kimley-Horn's -- | 16 JACK QUINN: Okay. And you never really did a |
| 17 JACK QUINN: Have you ever been to the site? | 17 safety analysis except this qualitative analysis of driving |
| 18 ANDY SMITH: Yes. | 18 by, correct? |
| 19 JACK QUINN: And when were you last at the site? | 19 ANDY SMITH: We did also look at the existing |
| 20 ANDY SMITH: I was last at the site two weekends | 20 crash patterns as I mentioned earlier in the testimony and |
| 21 ago , so I believe that was the 6th | 21 the crash history. Typically in the traffic industry for |
| 22 JACK QUINN: Was that in connection -- | 22 these types of development studies, even is a crash |
| 23 ANDY SMITH: Of November. | 23 analysis is included we do not project or try to |
| 24 JACK QUINN: -- with this? | 24 investigate how many additional crashes may be caused |
| 25 ANDY SMITH: I made it in connection with this | 25 because that really isn't as good of a science for |


| 233 | 235 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 development projects on how many crashes will be caused if | 1 there are crew, excuse me, crew trucks which are |
| 2 a development goes in. | 2 essentially pickup trucks that carry the trailers that go |
| 3 JACK QUINN: Okay. | 3 |
| 4 ANDY SMITH: Again, what we try to do is look at | 4 JACK QUINN: Okay. |
| 5 the qualitative safety of an entrance which is, you know, | 5 ANDY SMITH: I believe that there are a few other |
| 6 what I commented on as part of this testimony and it may | 6 types of vehicles on site that were described in earlier |
| 7 not have been explicit in the study but it is something | 7 |
| 8 that we looked at | 8 JACK QUINN: Well, you'd want to know the rates |
| 9 JACK QUINN: Okay. So let me ask you this, what | 9 of acceleration for those vehicles to analyze the safety |
| 10 in the world are you referring to as a qualitative analysis | 10 features of that intersection, would you not? |
| 11 of the safety features of this intersection? | 11 ANDY SMITH: Actually the rate of acceleration of |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can I interrup | 12 a vehicle is not explicit in the sight |
| 13 one minute? Which intersection? Are you talking about the | 13 calculations. So the actual variable that is used is time |
| 14 driveway, Mr. Quinn? | 14 gap. So it is the gap of time that a vehicle needs or |
| 15 JACK QUINN: Yes, I am. | 15 perceives as being needed to enter the roadway, and the |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go | 16 time gap extends as you move into larger vehicles. So |
| 17 ahead. | 17 AASHTO, which is -- I want to make sure to read this |
| 18 ANDY SMITH: So what I would call the qualitative | 18 because I don't want to get it wrong, especially not under |
| 19 analysis would be what I mentioned just a moment ago in my | 19 oath. Pardon me for a second. I just call it AASHTO as a |
| 20 testimony. It's looking at the various factors that go | 20 traffic engineer. |
| 21 into -- that I as a traffic engineer see as potential | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. |
| 22 safety issues and that's what I found through that analysis | 22 JACK QUINN: I'm familiar with AASHTO |
| 23 was already included in my testimony | 23 ANDY SMITH: Okay. So American Association of |
| 24 JACK QUINN: So the only factors I heard you | 24 State Highway and Transportation Officials for everyone |
| 25 consider are the accident frequency in the general area, | 25 else who is maybe less aware. The green book, as it is |
| 234 | 236 |
| 1 the drive-by that you engaged in perhaps on more than one | 1 most -- as it is lovingly called by our industry, has three |
| 2 occasion, and the speed limits, correct? | 2 different types of vehicles. So there are passenger |
| 3 ANDY SMITH: Sight distance as well. | 3 vehicles. There are single unit trucks and there are |
| 4 JACK QUINN: Okay. And what is the sight | 4 combination trucks, and they all have different time gaps. |
| 5 distance at that intersection? | 5 So the time gap is really where that acceleration is -- I |
| 6 ANDY SMITH: So I don't have the exact distance | 6 would say it's baked into that variable. But you do not |
| 7 for that location. As I said, it was more qualitative. | 7 need to know the acceleration of vehicles. You simply have |
| 8 What I can tell you is the required sight distance for | 8 to categorize as one of those three types. |
| 9 maneuvers for vehicles coming out of that intersection. I | 9 JACK QUINN: Okay. And let me ask you a |
| 10 can step through that if you'd like but I don't know if | 10 question. You're under oath. The first time you |
| 11 that's the question that you asked. | 11 considered the safety features was following the planning |
| 12 JACK QUINN: Okay. What -- did you ever measure | 12 board hearing, correct? |
| 13 the sight distance? | 13 ANDY SMITH: No, because we really need to think |
| 14 ANDY SMITH: No, I did not measure sight | 14 about that as a part of LATR study because -- |
| 15 distance. That was -- the way that I assessed sight | 15 JACK QUINN: Why didn't you -- |
| 16 distance was through -- | 16 ANDY SMITH: -- if you make the statement |
| 17 JACK QUINN: Driving by? | 17 that -- I'm sorry? |
| 18 ANDY SMITH: -- using Google Earth. By driving | 18 JACK QUINN: No, I interrupted you. I apologize. |
| 19 by and using Google Earth and making a professional opinion | 19 ANDY SMITH: I was just saying we need to -- if |
| 21 JACK QUINN: Okay. Do you know what kind of | 21 the roadway intersections will operate safely and |
| 22 vehicles are employed by this landscaper? | 22 efficiently we do have to put some thought into whether |
| 23 ANDY SMITH: Yes. So there's personal vehicles. | 23 they actually will operate safely and efficiently. |
| 24 Obviously, crews have to get there. There are manager | 24 JACK QUINN: Okay. What calculations did you |
| 25 trucks. So that's pickup trucks for managers. And then | 25 make? |


| 237 | 239 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 ANDY SMITH: There are no calculations that were | ANDY SMITH: The current observations, no I spent |
| 2 a part of the LATR study that had to do with safety. | 2 several hours on site watching for the trip generation |
| 3 JACK QUINN: What memo was prepared about the | 3 purposes. |
| 4 safety features of -- or lack of safety features of that | 4 JACK QUINN: When did this occur? |
| 5 intersection? | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Just a second. |
| 6 ANDY SMITH: There are no -- there's nothing | 6 What site are you referring to, Mr. Smith? |
| 7 within a memo that's a part of this -- of the exhibits for | 7 ANDY SMITH: The applicant's current site where |
| 8 this testimony that document that | 8 current operations are occurring. |
| 9 JACK QUINN: Okay. | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go |
| 10 ANDY SMITH: Even the crash data that I did look | 10 ahead, Mr. O'Toole. |
| 11 up is not a part of -- it's not a part of the LATR | 11 JACK QUINN: Okay. Quinn. |
| 12 guidelines or LATR study I should say, but it is | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm sorry. |
| 13 included -- it's data that is readily available from | 13 JACK QUINN: That's okay. |
| 14 Montgomery County. | 14 So you spent a couple hours on site? |
| 15 JACK QUINN: That's the record of other | 15 ANDY SMITH: That's correct. |
| 16 accidents? | 16 JACK QUINN: Okay. And the site is the current |
| 17 ANDY SMITH: That's correct. | 17 operations, right? |
| 18 JACK QUINN: Okay. So no form of mathematical | 18 ANDY SMITH: That's correct. Yes. |
| 19 analysis was performed with regard to this intersection, | 19 JACK QUINN: And when did that happen? |
| 20 correct? | 20 ANDY SMITH: That occurred winter of this year. |
| 21 ANDY SMITH: Nothing that is an exhibit, no. | 21 Let me see if I can pull that information up. |
| 22 JACK QUINN: Okay. And are you suggesting, sir, | 22 JACK QUINN: It was actually January 21st, 2019, |
| 23 it was performed? | 23 correct? |
| 24 ANDY SMITH: Since you actually asked about it I | 24 ANDY SMITH: That is incorrect. 2020. |
| 25 did make sure to pull what the required -- or what I would | 25 JACK QUINN: January 21st, 2020? |
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| 1 perceive as the required sight distance would be needed but | 1 ANDY SMITH: I believe that is correct. |
| 2 that has not been reviewed by anyone else so it is -- I | 2 JACK QUINN: Okay. And that was -- you were |
| 3 would hesitate to use it as absolute testimony in this | 3 present personally on the site? |
| 4 case. | 4 ANDY SMITH: Yes. |
| 5 JACK QUINN: And you didn't measure the sight | 5 JACK QUINN: Okay. And what was the weather like |
| 6 distance? | 6 that day? |
| 7 ANDY SMITH: No. Not as a part of the LATR | 7 ANDY SMITH: It was cold. I would perceive it as |
| 8 study, no. | 8 cold. |
| 9 JACK QUINN: Did you measure it for any reason? | 9 JACK QUINN: Okay. It was below freezing, was it |
| 10 ANDY SMITH: No, I did not measure it. I | 10 not? |
| 11 assessed the sight distance qualitatively to be able to say | 11 ANDY SMITH: I don't recall if it was below |
| 12 that I believe that that's a safe entrance. | 12 freezing. |
| 13 JACK QUINN: Did you inquire of the owner what | 13 JACK QUINN: And the weather records indicate it |
| 14 types of vehicles -- the entire constellation of types of 15 vehicles might enter that roadway? | 14 was well below freezing and there was no precipitation on 15 the ground. Do you recall that? |
| 16 ANDY SMITH: I did not inquire that. I made my | 16 ANDY SMITH: I do not recall. I don't recall any |
| 17 own assessment as to the fact that I knew the crew trucks | 17 precipitation on the ground. |
| 18 that would be using that entrance. And as stated, you | 18 JACK QUINN: Okay. And did you -- what did you |
| 19 could have deliveries of larger trucks going to that | 19 do to determine that in this below freezing weather there |
| 20 entrance. | 20 was a normal workforce on site on Brooke Road? |
| 21 JACK QUINN: Do you even know what kinds of | 21 ANDY SMITH: I had to -- I relied on the |
| 22 vehicles this contractor possesses? | 22 information from the owner. |
| 23 ANDY SMITH: Yes, I have observed the current | 23 JACK QUINN: And did your -- |
| 24 operations of the site. | 24 ANDY SMITH: But I did -- |
| 25 JACK QUINN: Okay. And driving by? | 25 JACK QUINN: I'm sorry. |


| 241 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 ANDY SMITH: No. No. Go ahead. | 1 ANDY SMITH: That's correct. |
| 2 JACK QUINN: Did the owner provide any of that | 2 JACK QUINN: Okay. And these traffic counts that |
| 3 information in writing? | 3 you referenced, Mr. Smith, they were during peak hours? |
| 4 ANDY SMITH: No. | 4 ANDY SMITH: Which traffic counts? The on-site |
| 5 JACK QUINN: What did the owner tell you? | 5 observations or the - |
| 6 ANDY SMITH: That it was a normal workday | 6 JACK QUINN: On Damascus Road. |
| 7 JACK QUINN: Okay. And he was paying a full | 7 ANDY SMITH: I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you. |
| 8 staff on a day in which it was below freezing with no | 8 Oh, Damascus Road. They were during the peak periods from |
| 9 precipitation on the ground. Did he tell you that? | 9 6:30 a.m to 9:30 a.m and from $4: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$ to 7:00 p.m |
| 10 ANDY SMITH: He made no mention that I can reca | 10 JACK QUINN: Okay. AmI correct you didn't |
| 11 about the actual number of specific staff on site. | 11 measure the traffic volume during any other periods of |
| 12 JACK QUINN: Okay. And how many were there? | 12 time? |
| 13 ANDY SMITH: I don't have that number. | 13 ANDY SMITH: We measured as the standard is for |
| 14 JACK QUINN: Okay. Was there other people on | 14 an LATR study for those periods. |
| 15 that site on Brooke Road? | 15 JACK QUINN: Okay. So you assume the peak vo |
| 16 ANDY SMITH: Can you specify what you mean by | 16 times were 6:30 to, what did you say, 7:45? |
| 17 that? | 17 ANDY SMITH: The peak hour for the traffic counts |
| 18 JACK QUINN: Well, they're -- I'm told, Mr | 18 on Damascus Road? |
| 19 Smith, that there are other businesses operated on that | 19 <br> JACK QUINN: Yes, sir |
| 20 site. Were there other businesses in operation on that | 20 ANDY SMITH: We assumed that the peak |
| 21 site? | 21 hour -- excuse me. That the peak hour would occur during a |
| 22 ANDY SMITH: It's hard for me to tell. When | 22 peak period that was sometime between 6:30 and 9:30 a.m. |
| 23 drove in I did see vehicles that were -- appeared to be | 23 JACK QUINN: Okay. And just so we're clear, you |
| 24 coming from other splits of the driveway than the Metro | 24 didn't do any traffic counts, reference any traffic counts |
| 25 site. | 25 prior to that time? |
| 242 | 244 |
| 1 JACK QUINN: So how did you determine the | 1 ANDY SMITH: That is correct. |
| 2 individuals that were supposedly associated with Metro were | 2 JACK QUINN: Okay. And would that be the case |
| 3 in fact Metro employees? | 3 with regard to the afternoon hours as well, sir? |
| 4 ANDY SMITH: Because they headed into the Metro | 4 ANDY SMITH: The afternoon hours, typically we |
| 5 site. | 5 look at 4:00-- I should say we, the traffic industry, |
| 6 JACK QUINN: Okay. And describe the Metro site | 6 anyone who is doing a LATR study looks at the hours of 4:00 |
| 7 for me on Brooke Road. | 7 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. unless there's some other circumstance |
| 8 ANDY SMITH: It's hard for me to describe. It is | 8 that would -- there would be a reason to look at peaks at |
| 9 off Brooke Road. It is a long pipe stem. There are some | 9 another time. But the commuter peak hours in Montgomery |
| 10 exhibits that we have gone over previously in testimony | 10 County typically fall within those peak periods and what we |
| 11 that show what the site looks like. It's kind of difficult | 11 found is at each one of the three intersections the crest |
| 12 for me to describe without the aid of those and I don't | 12 or the peak, the total -- the highest volumes for any hour |
| 13 have the exhibits -- I don't know which exhibits off-hand | 13 within that period did occur centrally within the period. |
| 14 but -- | 14 So it wasn't at the very beginning of the period, it wasn't |
| 15 JACK QUINN: Did Metro have a trailer there? | 15 at the very end of the period, which would indicate to me |
| ANDY SMITH: Yes | 16 that the peak hours do occur during the peak period. |
| 17 JACK QUINN: Okay. And were these individuals | 17 JACK QUINN: So you did your own traffic counts? |
| 18 going in and out of that trailer? | 18 ANDY SMITH: That was a subcontractor that |
| 19 ANDY SMITH: I do not recall. I was close | 19 provided the traffic count data. |
| 20 toward the entrance so I could see as vehicles entered and | 20 JACK QUINN: And for what period of time? |
| 21 existed. | 21 ANDY SMITH: The counts were collected on June |
| 22 JACK QUINN: Okay. All right. So your | 22 6th. I'm not sure what else the question you might be |
| 23 assessment, because you couldn't assume normal traffic | 23 asking is. |
| 24 volume, your assessment was predicated on a visit on | 24 JACK QUINN: Okay. And is the traffic count |
| 25 January 21 st, 2020. That's what it's based on, right? | 25 subcontractor report a part of your report, sir? |



## Saturday.

JACK QUINN: Okay. Would it surprise you that they go by by the dozens every hour?

ANDY SMITH: If you're telling me that that's what happens I have to assume that, but I don't know if surprise has anything to do with it.

JACK QUINN: Okay. These construction
vehicle -- or landscaping vehicles are wide by nature, correct?

ANDY SMITH: The landscaping trucks? JACK QUINN: Yeah. ANDY SMITH: I can't speak as to the 3 actual -- the width of them. I know that they are not CD -- you don't need a CDL license to drive them which 15 means that they -- they are limited by the weight of the 16 vehicle, but they are I believe F250s, F350s which is a pickup truck that you could see on any -- you know, in 18 anyone's front yard or any shopping center driving along 9 the way.
20 JACK QUINN: Why didn't you take a look at the 1 bicycle traffic on Saturdays?

ANDY SMITH: Looking at Saturday counts is typically not a part of LATR studies. The reason being is one of the biggest factors that we look at motor vehicle adequacy, we're looking at the capacity of intersections to
handle traffic during the a.m. and the p.m. peak hours
which are typically the worst travel -- the highest I
should say, or worst depending on if you're in it, but the
highest traffic volumes through an intersection and
typically weekends do not carry those types of traffic
volumes nearly as high as the weekdays.

JACK QUINN: I see. Because really what you did was traffic counting, not focus on safety, correct?

ANDY SMITH: We prepared an LATR traffic study.
JACK QUINN: Is it true, sir, that not only isn't there any bicycle lanes for bicyclists on Saturday or any other time but there is also no shoulder?

ANDY SMITH: That is correct.
JACK QUINN: Ms. Hannan, those are all the questions I have.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Ms. Caldeira?

KIM CALDEIRA: Thank you. Yes. I -- sorry, I raised my hand a while ago. Just jogging my memory.
Right. Okay. So I was thinking -- I was curious about the
impact on the traffic congestion during non-peak hours
because the -- it's already, as you mentioned, you know,
the Georgia Avenue and 650 intersection is already, you
know, exceeding the capacity. I mean I don't know the
right words but you mentioned something in your report
about that.
ANDY SMITH: Sure. Sure.
KIM CALDEIRA: And, you know, I know from
personal experience -- my personal experience traveling through that intersection in the afternoon hours, long before rush hour, it can be backed up very far to where you're sitting and waiting in traffic for, you know, maybe 10,15 minutes you might be waiting just to get to that light. It can be quite absurd. Even as early as $4: 00$ 10 p.m., at least when we're not in a pandemic. So, you know, 11 did you look at -- did you look at the impacts at other hours? I mean I understand that it's procedure for you to 13 focus on the so-called peak hours but given the traffic 14 patterns of this type of business being earlier in the 15 afternoon, I think you talked about that, shouldn't we also 16 be looking at the impacts in the hours when the business is 17 likely to be doing their peak travel? Does that -- sorry, 18 that was a long question. I hope that was understood. 19 ANDY SMITH: No, I think I understood your 20 question. I think it's still appropriate to look at the 21 a.m. and p.m. commuter peak hours in this case because 22 those are the worst periods of travel. You are not going 23 to get heavier delays than you would see at the a.m. or the 24 p.m. peak hour, even if we're looking at an hour before 25 that, for instance, and there is some additional traffic
from this use. It's not going to be any worse than it is 2 capacity wise than in the peak hours.

But I'll also say that while the number of trips 4 generated by this use are typically outside of the p.m 5 peak hours, it is not a -- given the occupancy of vehicles 6 it's not exceedingly large amount of traffic that is coming 7 in and out of the site. For instance, in the morning at 8 5:30 you have -- the shift has 30 crew members. Given the 9 tendency for carpooling that may be, and this is an 10 estimate, but it's somewhere between, you know, 10 to 14 11 vehicles and they're arriving over the course of -- you 12 know, anybody would know who's gone to their job that
13 starts at a certain time some people arrive 20 minutes, 14 some people arrive five minutes late. So if you think
15 about that, that's 12 vehicles arriving over a period of 16 maybe 15,20 minutes which ends up being, you know, about a 17 little less than maybe a vehicle a minute on average.
18 Now there are -- you know, you do start shift so 19 maybe it's a little bit more clumped than that, but that's
20 kind of trying to give you context of how many vehicles
21 occur outside of the p.m. peak hour as well. When we get
22 to 6:00 that's when the managers show up and they typically
23 drive themselves so there's no carpooling there. So let's
24 say that's six managers, six vehicles inbound in the
25 morning, and then you have a shiff of 20 crew members and
3

PLANET DEPOS

| 253 | 255 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 that's somewhere between six and eight, you know, | 1 And I'll try to explain this and I apologize if |
| 2 additional vehicles driving in, commuter vehicles I should | 2 my point doesn't come across but I'm going t use |
| 3 say. And then again another 30 minutes later is w | 3 hypothetical terms as far as traffic is concerned. If you |
| 4 next shift starts. So that's another eight or so vehicles | 4 have 700 vehicles going through an intersection during the |
| 5 that we would estimate. Over the course of those 90 | 5 peak hour, some of those are commercial vehicles. As our |
| 6 minutes you're really seeing one vehicle -- if you do the | 6 data showed, there are heavy vehicles that travel on this |
| 7 math, you're seeing one vehicle every two-and-a-half | 7 road already, but some of those are already commercial |
| 8 | 8 vehicles. The a.m. peak hour has 700 vehicles entering an |
| 9 So it's not -- while we didn't study the impact | 9 intersection. Let's say the hour before the a.m. peak hour |
| 10 as a part of the LATR analysis, we did look at that and | 10 there's only 555 vehicles entering that intersection. |
| 11 that's kind of a sense of how many vehicles that you woul | 11 So when you add the ten trucks from the site |
| 12 see during those periods. But at the same time | 12 you're still going to have significantly less volume than |
| 13 outside the p.m. peak - or outside of the a.m. or p.m. | 13 during the peak hour and that's why we analyze the peak |
| 14 peak hours you're not going to see the higher volumes th | 14 hour rather than other hours for this. And that's |
| 15 you would see during those peak hours. So it's st | 15 hypothetical as far as the numbers are concerned. I |
| 16 appropriate to study just the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for | 16 can't -- I do have the traffic count data with me but it's |
| 17 capacity reasons. | 17 kind of hard for me to pull that as a part of the example |
| 18 KIM CALDEIRA: So I'm not sure I follow | 18 here |
| 19 everything you're saying. Thank you for explaining | 19 KIM CALDEIRA: Thank you. |
| 20 but I -- it still to me stands to reason -- and more so | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I'm |
| 21 than thinking about employees arriving and leaving the | 21 going to just -- for one moment I'm going to -- we're going |
| 22 worksite for the day I'm thinking about the trucks and all | 22 to go on a five second recess. Just a second. |
| 23 of the trips that they're taking | 23 I apologize. Go ahead. Is that the end of your |
| 24 during the -- you know, throughout the day and the impact | 24 questions, Ms. Caldeira |
| 25 of that on traffic at that intersection which is already | 25 KIM CALDEIRA: Yes, I'm -- that's all I have. |
| 254 | 256 |
| 1 congested. Have you accounted for that traffic, | 1 Thank you. |
| 2 trucks, the volume of -- the number of trips that trucks | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I |
| 3 are taking on and off the property throughout the day? | 3 see -- Mr. Quinn, is your hand supposed to be up |
| 4 What can we estimate about that given the number of truck | 4 or -- well, I don't know if he's -- |
| 5 they are planning to have and the nature of the work? | 5 JACK QUINN: No. |
| 6 ANDY SMITH: So to give an idea of the number of | 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you. I'm |
| 7 trucks that we would expect to leave the site in the | 7 just -- and Ms. Caldeira, would you kindly put yours down |
| 8 morning -- and again, these trucks aren't going in and out | 8 please? Okay. And that leaves us with Mr. Lambert. Mr. |
| 9 of the site over the course of the day. They're leaving | 9 Lambert, go ahead. |
| 10 once in the morning and coming back once in the evening for | 10 DAVE LAMBERT: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Smith. You |
| 11 most daily operations I would say. | 11 said you visited the site of the current operations of |
| 12 So if we look at 5:30, those 30 crew membe | 12 Metro Grounds; is that right? |
| 13 they're coming in. If they -- if there's three to a truck | ANDY SMITH: That is correct. |
| 14 that would equate to ten trucks leaving sometime shortly | 14 DAVE LAMBERT: How were you able to distinguish |
| 15 after 5:30, and they do try to stagger the arrival but also | 15 their trucks and their equipment from the other sites that |
| 16 try to leave pretty much as soon as possible to avoid | 16 are there? Their markings seem to us to be a bit confused, |
| 17 traffic wherever they're going for the day. So at 5:30 you | 17 sometimes inaccurate. Also, the locations of their |
| 18 would have ten crew trucks leaving for that shift. For the | 18 property doesn't seem to be clear. |
| 19 6:00 shift you have 20 crew members, assuming three people | 19 ANDY SMITH: From what I recall the Metro Grounds |
| 20 in a crew truck. And again, it could be anywhere from two | 20 site does have a gate around the area and the trucks are |
| 21 to four, possibly more, but assuming three on average crew | 21 all parked within that gate. So the crew drivers would |
| 22 members in a truck, you'd have seven vehicles leaving for | 22 either leave through that gate or enter back through that |
| 23 that next shift that started at 6:00. And then for the | 23 |
| 24 final shift that started at 6:30, you'd have 20 crew | 24 DAVE LAMBERT: You don't recall it as being sort |
| 25 members that would leave in seven trucks. | 25 of one main road that goes into several open areas where it |

 which truck is associated with which operation?

ANDY SMITH: So the pipe stem that leads to several different operations, as you said, there is a pipe stem that does lead to several but there is a gated off area for Metro Grounds.

DAVE LAMBERT: And so your counts then were counts of trucks and equipment that were going in and out of theirs.

ANDY SMITH: That's correct.
DAVE LAMBERT: And you don't know if any of the other trucks that might be associated with either Duffy, or
Consolidated Commercial, or any of the other operations
that are on that space were actually -- had any relation to
Metro Grounds' operations?
ANDY SMITH: No, I cannot comment to that.
DAVE LAMBERT: Okay. Did your report include any examination of the affect that traffic on the road would have for animals and people who were handling animals, arriving animals? As you know, this is a rural area with horse riders, other livestock in the area. There's cattle and sheep and goats here.

ANDY SMITH: Yes, I know that from everyone else's testimony. I thank them for that. What can I say is that the conditions of the roadways will not change
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based on this conditional use. So as I mentioned a couple
of times, there is already -- there are already vehicles on
the road before the -- basically throughout that p.m. peak
period. It's not like there's no volume at all. And there
is a four to five percent heavy vehicles on Damascus Road
currently as shown by or evidenced by our traffic counts.
DAVE LAMBERT: And for trailers, livestock trailers, horse trailers coming out, did your report address how the neighborhood and others that have access to 10 Damascus Road will be affected by the proposed use?
11 ANDY SMITH: I'm sorry. There was a bit of an 12 audio issue at the very beginning of your question. I'm sorry. Can you repeat it?
14 DAVE LAMBERT: Oh, the question is did your 15 report examine the effect that the increased traffic will 16 have on the existing landowners with access to Damascus 17 Road who are pulling out with livestock trailers or horse 18 trailers in light of the increased traffic that we'll see 19 from a commercial operation on this road?
20 ANDY SMITH: I did not analyze every individual 21 driveway. We analyzed the study area intersections and the
22 driveway for the conditional use and what we found was that
23 there was a negligible impact during the peak hours and
24 that is what we found. I don't want to speculate how it
25 would affect each individual driveway because I didn't
study that so I don't feel comfortable saying.
DAVE LAMBERT: And the day you examined this is January 21st?

ANDY SMITH: That is the day that we observed the
Metro Grounds current operations. The traffic counts were performed on June 6th, 2019.

DAVE LAMBERT: I see. And how would you describe looking -- the day in which you looked at the applicant's current facility in terms of -- okay. Sure. No further questions.

ANDY SMITH: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I
see -- I think -- okay. Mr. O'Toole?
JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Thank you.
I'm going to demonstrate some traffic study ignorance and please bear with me. My questions to you, Mr. Smith, are really just I think I don't understand what you did and tell me if this is what you did. You studied
the traffic in and out of the Brooke Road present site for
Metro Grounds back in January, on a cold day in January.
And then you applied those numbers of the people coming and
going from the Metro Grounds present site to the traffic
counts that you did a year ago for Damascus Road and
Sundown, Damascus Road and Howard Chapel, and Georgia and New Hampshire; is that correct?
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ANDY SMITH: I believe -- I might describe it a
little bit differently but I think the essence of what
you're saying is correct. What we do with any traffic
study where we look at a development or any LATR study, so
I'll use the same terminology, we count the existing
traffic volume so we have a baseline for what is currently
out within the site. And there is requirements for the
existing counts, when those can be done, you know, when in
the week they can be done, that type of thing. What we do after that --

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait. Hold on.
You're talking about you do existing traffic counts at the
proposed property --
ANDY SMITH: At the study intersections.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- not
the -- wherever the -- I can't remember where the existing
site is. But when you say existing site are you referring
to you do traffic counts at the application site that he's
applying to use; is that correct?
ANDY SMITH: Yeah, I apologize for the confusion.
I'll try to specify exactly. I'll use the term --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That would be great.

ANDY SMITH: I'll use the term Brooke Road site existing --
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number of employees there were still so very few trips
during the a.m and p.m peak hours. What trips there were
we projected onto the a.m and p.m. peak hours if the site
were to be located where the conditional use is located.
    JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Okay. Would you stop right
there for a second? You said there were so few trips. You
mean so few trips on January 21st of 2020; is that right?
    ANDY SMITH: That's correct.
    JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Right.
    ANDY SMITH: When the landscape contracting use
was in normal operations, yes.
    JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Right. Now why wouldn't we
have a -- isn't normal operations for what we classically
think as a contractor when they're bringing in mulch and
they're bringing in other equipment and plants and products
and wrapped up sod and using 70 people? How many people
were employed at the Brooke Road site on January 21st? Is
that a figure you know?
    ANDY SMITH: From the way I understand it the
number of employed was }70\mathrm{ crew employees and six managers
and that --
    JEFFREY O'TOOLE: And that number was -- that
number is consistent all year long even in the wintertime?
    ANDY SMITH: I can't -- you'd have to ask the
applicant that.
```

    JEFFREY O'TOOLE: I'm asking you. I mean you did
    the --
ANDY SMITH: I don't know the answer.
JEFFREY O'TOOLE: You did the site check and you
went in and you drove into this long road and you went to
the Brooke Road site, right?
ANDY SMITH: Uh-huh.
JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Did anybody tell you how many
employees were working on that day?
ANDY SMITH: No.
JEFFREY O'TOOLE: I have no more questions.
Thank you.
ANDY SMITH: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Mr.
Boughton? Thank you.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: I just wanted to try and see if
I could clarify a fact. You did this study at the Brooke
Road site on what date?
ANDY SMITH: In all this time I still haven't had
time to go back and double check that number. Just one
moment please. Tuesday, January 21st, 2020.
BRYAN BOUGHTON: And when did you do an analysis
of the traffic at the proposed site?
ANDY SMITH: The traffic counts were collected on
June 6th, 2019.
2651
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        BRYAN BOUGHTON: Okay. That's -- I just wanted
to clarify those things. Thank you.
        HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Ms.
Caldeira, is your hand up?
    KIM CALDEIRA: Yes, it is.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. We've
    given you several -- I'll let you ask final questions but
    after that I have to cut it off.
        KIM CALDEIRA: I understand. I'm sorry I
neglected to ask this earlier. I wanted to ask about the
road speeds. Did you do any -- did you collect any data
about actual road speeds -- well, did you do anything about
road speeds?
    ANDY SMITH: We did not collect speed data as a
part of the data collection for this.
    KIM CALDEIRA: How much of your safety assessment
was contingent on the posted speed limits?
    ANDY SMITH: It was qualitative and what we can
say is that the sight distance requirements, which is not a
part of the LATR study. If I am -- I don't believe that
I'm mistaken in this that the sight distance triangles and
the requirements that are necessary for those come as a
later part of the plans and are actually reviewed by Park
and Planning at a later date, but they are -- the way I
understand it that they are based off of the speed limits.
```

1 KIM CALDEIRA: And so in a situation where there are a lot -- there's a lot of traffic exceeding the speed limit, would that tend to undermine your -- the safety conclusion? I mean for traffic that's trying to come out onto the road and the speeds are -- around here the speeds are very much higher than the posted speed limit and I'm just wondering if we can do anything to take that into account.
9 ANDY SMITH: Well, I would say that the violation 10 of speed limits is not something that is going to be -- the 11 conditions of the roadways are not changed by -- in my 12 assessment are not changed by this use. If speeding is an 13 existing issue then that is an issue of enforcement. 14 That's maybe up to SHA as they're the owners of Damascus 5 Road and they maintain Damascus Road.
16 I mean I did notice that there is a -- for Howard 17 Chapel Road, you know, as a part of my looking at this 18 intersection and determining safety, there is a warning 19 sign for Howard Chapel Road in one direction and not the 20 other. So SHA may decide that an intersection warning sign
21 would be appropriate for the westbound direction. I don't
22 know the history of that particular intersection and
23 whether that sign was there ten years ago or not, but it
24 seemed like in looking at that that sign could be missing.
25 So that's something that could be added, you know, given

| 269 | 271 |
| :---: | :---: |
| that that is a location where we've been told there are | 1 ANDY SMITH: It's not heavily wooded. So -- |
| 2 horses crossing, there are bicyclists crossing that | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Let me just ask |
| 3 that's -- that's something again that -- | 3 you. So you were able to -- how do you measure it from |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, what's | 4 Google Earth? |
| warning sign for? | 5 ANDY SMITH: Google Earth allows you to right- |
| ANDY SMITH: Those types of warning signs | 6 click and measure a distance from one point to another. |
| 7 typically just show a -- it's a yellow sign with a black | 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. And then |
| 8 cross that shows that an intersection is upcoming. | 8 from there you take the speed and the type of vehicle for |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, like -- oh, | 9 the gap? |
| 10 an inter -- okay. | 10 ANDY SMITH: Correct. So for instance, |
| 11 ANDY SMITH: But again, our -- the conditional | 11 calculating the sight distance that's required for a driver |
| 12 use is not changing the conditions of the roadway so if | 12 looking to the left, because the speed limit is 30 miles |
| 13 there's a speeding issue that's really not something that | 13 per hour you would plug 30 miles per hour into a |
| 14 is caused by this site | 14 calculation which also -- basically you -- I'm getting a |
| 15 KIM CALDEIRA: So I think -- correct me if I'm | 15 little bit deep into the weeds here, but there is a |
| 16 wrong, are you saying that the calculations regarding sight | 16 calculation where you input the speed. There's a |
| 17 distance and so on that would be dependent on speed, those | 17 conversion factor to go from miles per hour into feet per |
| 18 would be things that would come up later in the process; is | 18 second, and then you multiply that by the time gap and that |
| 19 that right? | 19 time gap, for instance if it were a single unit truck, is |
| 20 ANDY SMITH: I believe so and the site engineer | 20 nine-and-a-half seconds. |
| 21 may be better to ask that question. | 21 So the sight distance looking to your left ends |
| 22 KIM CALDEIRA: I'm sorry. The -- | 22 up being 418.95 feet, so about 420 feet that you would need |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Just a second. | 23 to see along the roadway to safely make the maneuver out |
| 24 We've had cases where an engineer comes in with the sheet | 24 into the roadway. The sight distance to the right needs to |
| 25 from SHA and says sight distance is adequate because SHA | 25 be a little bit longer because you have a higher speed |
| 270 | 272 |
| uires this and this is the sight distance. | 1 limit along that direction. So you'd use the same equation |
| ANDY SMITH: And again, that's more tied to the | 2 but 40 miles per hour. So for that same -- so for all the |
| 3 site design which is not something that I get into. | 3 same conditions but looking to the right, that sight |
| 4 certainly can run calculations and figure out what that -- | 4 distance required would be approximately $550-560$ feet to |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Usually it's an | 5 the right. |
| neer but -- | 6 And when I say qualitative, what I did was I |
| ANDY SMITH: Correct. | 7 looked at Google. I measured from the location of the |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- you don't | 8 proposed site entrance, drew a sight triangle based on |
| 9 have that -- that. | 9 those distances, and found that there were no continuous |
| ANDY SMITH: I don't have that. I have -- I | 10 obstruction -- you know, there may be one tree which we |
| again, numbers that -- | 11 want to keep on the site but it doesn't block a driver |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: You keep saying | 12 trying to exit the site from seeing vehicles that are |
| 13 you have a qualitative -- you did a qualitative analysis. | 13 oncoming in either direction. |
| n't understand that. What does that mean? | 14 And I will also note that intersection sight |
| 15 ANDY SMITH: I'm saying that basically -- all I | 15 distance or the sight distance for someone turning from the |
| 16 can say definitively is that in my opinion the sight | 16 entrance like onto Damascus Road is always longer than |
| 17 distance is appropriate. Having had the chance to look at | 17 stopping sight distance. Stopping sight distance is for a |
| 18 Google Earth and measure out what would be the required | 18 driver on Damascus Road to have enough time to stop before |
| 19 sight distance, measure that out on the roadway using | 19 they see somebody pulling out. |
| 20 Google Maps, and looking at street view of the ends of what | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. Okay. |
| 21 would be the sight triangle for the entrance it appears to | 21 I'm going to let Ms. Regelin redirect and then we'll have |
| -- I mean as we saw from the exhibits, it is a flat area | 22 recross. Ms. Regelin, how many more witnesses do you have? |
| 23 where you have good visibility despite the fact that you | 23 MS. REGELIN: So we have the civil engineer that |
| e a curve on the east side of the site | 24 will go through the stormwater and the septic and the |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well -- | 25 environmental. So that's Mr. Rodriguez. And then of |
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course we'd like to bring in the noise expert. So that
would be the end. I do want to make sure we have enough
time to discuss, you know, how we're going to move forward
from today so if we could leave enough time for that. So
perhaps if I do my redirect of Mr. Smith maybe we can move
onto what is the next date.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Now I
have to go back and check OZAH's rules. It's my
recollection that you have to give -- well, there's no
restriction -- well, let's finish this witness and then
we'll get to the other matters. So do your redirect of Mr.
Smith and they'll be recross and then we'll go to the other
outstanding issues.
    MS. REGELIN: Okay. Mr. Smith, when you do trip
generation rate for a particular use, the owner testified
that he only has 30 trucks, so did you take that into
consideration to -- you know, as a double check to make
sure that your trip generation rate doesn't exceed the
number of vehicles that a user actually has?
    ANDY SMITH: I'm sorry. What was the question
with that?
    MS. REGELIN: So when you do your trip generation
rate and you're figuring it out and you're averaging the
number of people in a crew cab, et cetera, there is an
ultimate number which is the number of trucks that a user
course we'd like to bring in the noise expert. So that
time to discuss, you know, how we're going to move forward
from today so if we could leave enough time for that. So
of Mr. Smith maybe we can move
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Now I
have to go back and check OZAH's rules. It's my
號
we'll get to the other matters. So do your redirect of Mr.
outstanding issues.
MS. REGELIN: Okay. Mr. Smith, when you do trip
generation rate for a particular use, the owner testified
that he only has 30 trucks, so did you take that into
sure that your trip generation rate doesn't exceed the
ANDY SMITH: I'm sorry. What was the question
with that?
MS. REGELIN: So when you do your trip generation
number of people in a crew cab, et cetera, there is an
ultimate number which is the number of trucks that a user
```

has on site, which Mr. Kelley has testified is 30 . So do
you balance your trip generation rate or do a double check
to make sure that it's in line with the total number of
trucks that could be on the site that could be leaving?
ANDY SMITH: That's correct. Again, the trip
generation that we used for the LATR study
was -- considering that this use has a lot of -- most of
its trips outside of the peak hours, it doesn't
necessarily -- it's not the limiting factor for peak hour
trips for the LATR study. But looking at how many trips
are occurring throughout the day, yes, that is a limiting
factor.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Because
what -- because incoming arrivals in the morning isn't
controlled by the number of vehicles that the owner has.
Now trips in the day and return trips might be but not the
a.m. peak.
ANDY SMITH: Not the inbound a.m. trips or the
outbound p.m. trips.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Just
making sure. Keep going.
MS. REGELIN: In your explanation of the sight
distance, the qualitative one that you did with regard to
speed and what it would have to be, are the numbers that
you gave for looking right and looking left, they're within
has on site, which Mr. Kelley has testified is 30 . So do
you balance your trip generation rate or do a double check
to make sure that it's in line with the total number of
trucks that could be on the site that could be leaving?
ANDY SMITH: That's correct. Again, the trip
generation that we used for the LATR study
was -- considering that this use has a lot of -- most of
its trips outside of the peak hours, it doesn't
necessarily -- it's not the limiting factor for peak hour
trips for the LATR study. But looking at how many trips
are occurring throughout the day, yes, that is a limiting
factor.
what -- because incoming arrivals in the morning isn't
controlled by the number of vehicles that the owner has.
a.m. peak.

ANDY SMITH: Not the inbound a.m. trips or the outbound p.m. trips.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Just making sure. Keep going.

MS. REGELIN: In your explanation of the sight
distance, the qualitative one that you did with regard to
you gave for looking right and looking left, they're within
the distance from the driveway to the property lines in each direction, correct?

ANDY SMITH: That's --
MS. REGELIN: Like there's enough distance there
along the frontage, correct?
ANDY SMITH: That's correct. If I were to look at what I would consider the worst case scenario for sight distance or basically meaning the slowest type of vehicle or the largest type of vehicle for sight distance, the proposed entrance appears to have sight distance that meets those requirements, yes.

MS. REGELIN: Okay. In your distribution of traffic did you limit the amount of traffic going down Howard Chapel Road?

ANDY SMITH: Specifically it was not limited down
Howard Chapel Road and the reason why that did not show up
is because there's so few trips during the peak hours that
none of the trips that do occur during the peak hours were
assigned to Howard Chapel Road. That being said, you know,
when we observed the area we did find that Howard Chapel
Road, particularly in between Sundown Road and Damascus
Road is very narrow and does not have a center line and
would not be appropriate for crew vehicles with trailers.
If we had had enough --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you tell us
where that area is again?
ANDY SMITH: Sure.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: If I pulled up
exhibit --
ANDY SMITH: If I can find it in my file here.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: If I pull up
Exhibit 48 if I still have it up here. Okay.
ANDY SMITH: I can tell you where it is
on -- with that one or within the study as well, but you
may be pulling up a map for the area.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Study 6. Okay.

ANDY SMITH: Exhibit 6. And really any of the
figures will show the area except for the first one is a
little too zoomed out I would say. So page 5, for
instance, will show you Howard Chapel Road.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So where
is it narrow, too narrow?
ANDY SMITH: I can't see the example if you're
showing it to everyone.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, okay. All
right. Okay. Can you see it now?
ANDY SMITH: Yes. So the number three in the
map, on either end of that is Howard Chapel Road.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 ANDY SMITH: And it was mentioned in earlier | 1 you saying that you measured sight distance using Google |
| 2 testimony that Howard Chapel Road for other drivers tends | 2 Maps or Google Earth, whatever it is? |
| 3 to be a cut through if you want to head west down Sundown | 3 ANDY SMITH: Yes. |
| 4 Road, but we recognize that that is not appropriate for | 4 JACK QUINN: Okay. And when did you do that, |
| 5 crew vehicles with trailers so we would not assign trips | 5 sir? |
| 6 down Howard Chapel Road as a part of the analysis if we had | 6 ANDY SMITH: I pulled that information together |
| 7 enough trips that the distribution would be spread amongst | 7 to be able to talk to it today |
| 8 several roadways through the area | 8 JACK QUINN: When did you do that analysi |
| 9 MS. REGELIN: And Mr. Smith, we had a discussion | 9 ANDY SMITH: So the qualitative analysis, so |
| 10 that the applicant is willing to keep his heavy trucks | 10 fully -- let me put it this way because I'm starting to mix |
| 11 which are anything other than the personal vehicles, off of | 11 actual data and equations with what initially is what I did |
| 12 Howard Chapel Road, correct? | 12 which was the qualitative analysis. So before today I |
| 13 ANDY SMITH: That is -- that is the way I | 13 looked at the -- I evaluated the sight distance in a fairly |
| 14 understand it, yes. | 14 qualitative way and looked at the sight lines -- not |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well -- | 15 looking at -- not measuring the -- not measuring distances |
| 16 MS. REGELIN: As a condition. | 16 along Damascus Road but looking at the sight lines and |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Well, | 17 basing on my judgment that the sight lines were clear we |
| 18 you need to tell me what -- you don't have to do it now | 18 beyond what would be needed for appropriate sight distance. |
| 19 because we're not going to finish tonight, but if you want | 19 Today I wanted to -- in order to give a little |
| 20 a condition like that I need to know what -- exactly what | 20 bit more backing to that I wanted to explain the sight |
| 21 trucks you're saying shouldn't be on Howard Chapel Road. | 21 distance equation since we brought -- you had asked about |
| 22 MS. REGELIN: Yeah, I bring this up right now | 22 acceleration and I wanted to discuss the actual equation |
| 23 because the traffic engineer is here but you indicated you | 23 that go into sight distance. But I-- and given a -- I am |
| 24 also wanted to bring Mr. Kelley back and I thought I would | 24 able to tell you that given sort of the most conservative |
| 25 discuss conditions -- | 25 scenario what those -- the sight distance requirements |
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| 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. All | 1 would be based on my assessment, but I don't want to call |
| 2 right. | 2 it a qualitative analysis because it has not been |
| 3 MS. REGELIN: -- when I have Mr. Kelley | 3 submitted. I'm only looking at Google Earth. That is not |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. | 4 perfectly exact. It gives you a great idea. I love using |
| 5 MS. REGELIN: Okay. I think no further | 5 it as a tool but I don't consider it necessarily the |
| 6 questions. | 6 required engineering to say quantitatively that the sight |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Any recross? | 7 distance is there. That stage has not come yet and that's |
| 8 Okay. Just a second. I have Mr. Boughton and Mr. Quinn. | 8 typically done by the engineer, not the traffic engineer. |
| 9 JACK QUINN: Go ahead, Bryan. | 9 JACK QUINN: Okay. The site engineer? |
| 10 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Yeah, I just wanted to bring up | 10 ANDY SMITH: Correct. |
| 11 the point the engineer was just making about Howard Chapel | 11 JACK QUINN: Okay. I thought he suggested you're |
| 12 not being wide enough for these vehicles and I'm just | 12 the person who is supposed to evaluate that and that's not |
| 13 asking you, Director Hannan, how that works because what | 13 true? |
| 14 would -- as a condition could we actually limit their | 14 ANDY SMITH: As a part of what I -- my role on |
| 15 vehicles using that because it is very narrow. Even two | 15 this team is for the traffic engineering expert witness |
| 16 cars cannot pass. | 16 testimony and the preparation of the LATR study, |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. The | 17 coordination with the various agencies, but my role is not |
| 18 answer is yes. | 18 to look at the sight distance that would eventually be |
| 19 BRYAN BOUGHTON: Okay. So that's something we | 19 required as a part of whatever plan or -- I'm not doing |
| 20 can prepare for the next meeting? | 20 any -- I'm not preparing any of the plans. |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. | 21 JACK QUINN: Okay. So most professional |
| 22 Mr. Quinn? | 22 testimony is given to a reasonable degree of professional |
| JACK QUINN: So Mr. Smith, I asked you on | 23 certainty or probability. You're not giving that kind of |
| 24 multiple occasions about your qualitative analysis and I | 24 testimony today. |
| 25 just want to be clear about what you're now saying. Are | 25 ANDY SMITH: I will say I have prepared sight |

```
distance exhibits in the past many times. I'm very
familiar with sight distance requirements and the
equations, and that's what I can say to that.
JACK QUINN: Okay. You gave us sight distances
for various speeds. You did not give us the sight distance
that's available at that driveway, correct?
ANDY SMITH: That is correct, yes.
JACK QUINN: Okay. And I want to get back to
that in a moment, but the exhibit that's on the screen as
Exhibit 6, do you see that sir?
ANDY SMITH: I see Exhibit 6, yes.
JACK QUINN: Yes. Okay. And we talked about
exhibit -- the section from Howard Chapel Road from
Damascus Road to Sundown Road and now we all agree that's
not a possible route for construction vehicle or
landscaping vehicles, correct?
ANDY SMITH: For landscaping vehicles with trailers.
JACK QUINN: Okay.
ANDY SMITH: It does not in my assessment seem
appropriate for that and I believe the applicant agrees.
That is a public road where any member of the public can
drive down, however. That's what I would say about that.
JACK QUINN: Okay. Number two reflects the
intersection of Damascus Road and Sundown Road. Do you see
```

that?
ANDY SMITH: Yes.
JACK QUINN: Okay. And Sundown Road might be
considered the main artery from this area to western
Montgomery County?
ANDY SMITH: It is a route that does lead to western Montgomery County.

JACK QUINN: Okay.
ANDY SMITH: Or at least points west to some of 10 those more populated areas, yes.
JACK QUINN: Okay. And that intersection is fairly close to being a switchback, is it not?

ANDY SMITH: It is.
JACK QUINN: Okay.
ANDY SMITH: It is a very steep right turn for
vehicles headed eastbound, correct.
JACK QUINN: And it's impossible for landscaping 8 vehicles pulling a landscaping sled to make that turn
19 without turning into ongoing traffic on Sundown Road, isn't
20 it?
21 ANDY SMITH: I would agree that for landscaping
22 trucks with the trailers that would be not an impossible
23 turn but not one that I would recommend making certainly if
24 any vehicles are in the area therefore any landscaping
25 trucks would need to either head west on Damascus Road and
turn down Laytonsville I believe to get -- to point west,
or would have to go to Georgia Avenue and make a right turn
for those landscaping vehicles with the trailers. Personal
vehicles would be able to make that turn just like any other.

JACK QUINN: Yeah. So it's impossible at that intersection without going into the I guess northeast lane of traffic on Sundown Road, correct?

ANDY SMITH: I don't know about impossible but I would say it's improbable for sure.

JACK QUINN: Okay. All right. Can we pull up
Exhibit 73 which are these Google views please?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm coming.
Okay. They should be up.
JACK QUINN: Okay. Now first of all, I really
would like a direct answer to my question. What time of
today is it that you came up with your sight distance calculations?

ANDY SMITH: I don't know that I can give you an
exact time of today, but when sight distance -- when one of
the other engineers or one of the other experts was being
questioned I wanted to make sure that I had the information
to be able to give a little bit more -- I would say a
little bit more backing to what I'm saying about the sight
distance calculations.

JACK QUINN: Okay. So all these safety -- all
this -- this is a safety observation and professional
opinion derived today, correct?
ANDY SMITH: That is not correct, no.
JACK QUINN: Sir, on the picture that is on the
screen, that's a picture of traffic heading west on
Damascus Road. Do you see that?
ANDY SMITH: Yes.
JACK QUINN: And would you consider there to be
sight distance obstructions in that photograph?
ANDY SMITH: Certainly for drivers -- maybe from
one of the driveways on the other side of the road.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, first of
all, for the record -- just a second. For the record I
need some way -- okay. This is page 16 of this report, and
I need to be -- just so the record knows, what are we
looking at? Is that the site driveway?
ANDY SMITH: That is not the site driveway. That
is -- the left side of the image is the south side of
Damascus Road.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
ANDY SMITH: The proposed driveway would be located on the right side of Damascus Road or the north
side of Damascus Road.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Now you
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can continue.
    JACK QUINN: Okay. Any obstruction to sight
vision?
    ANDY SMITH: For the proposed -- I'll only speak
to the proposed entrance location and from this image and
having gone through street view along here and driven
through there, the sight distance to the proposed entrance
does not appear to be obstructed by the -- as I mentioned,
there appear to be a couple of trees on site and those
would not block sight distance for --
    JACK QUINN: Okay.
    ANDY SMITH: -- people exiting.
    JACK QUINN: Okay. How about for vehicles
heading eastbound? Wouldn't the driveway be behind those
trees?
    ANDY SMITH: I don't believe that there is an
image in this exhibit to give us context to what you're
saying because I believe most of them are in the westbound
direction.
    JACK QUINN:All right. You never evaluated the
sight distance for this particular site, did you?
    ANDY SMITH: A full quantitative sight distance
evaluation was not a part of the LATR study and it is not
prepared as a part of this.
have.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. Ms.
Regelin, you get final redirect and then we're going to
finish.
    JACK QUINN:And I'd ask that she not lead the
witness which she's been doing all day.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right.
    MS. REGELIN: So this page is page 18?
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: }16\mathrm{ I thought it
was. Here, let me go back. I just closed out of it. So
that's not it. Here it is. Do you have it up now?
    MS. REGELIN: Yes.
    So this shows the proposed intersection with
Unity Park confronting it?
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, wait. He
just asked -- why don't you just ask him what this shows?
I don't know what it shows. I assume the property is on
the right, but have the witness tell us what it shows.
    ANDY SMITH: Can you ask the question again
please?
    MS. REGELIN: So this page 16, what is this photo
showing? Could you describe the conditions that we're
seeing?
    ANDY SMITH:This shows the entrance to Unity
Park on the left and it shows Damascus Road going down the
```

JACK QUINN: Okay. Any obstruction to sight vision?

ANDY SMITH: For the proposed -- I'll only speak to the proposed entrance location and from this image and having gone through street view along here and driven through there, the sight distance to the proposed entrance

ANDY SMITH: I don't believe that there is an image in this exhibit to give us context to what you're saying because I believe most of them are in the westbound direction.
JACK QUINN: All right. You never evaluated the sight distance for this particular site, did you?

ANDY SMITH: A full quantitative sight distance evaluation was not a part of the LATR study and it is not prepared as a part of this.

JACK QUINN: I didn't think so. That's all I
have.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. Ms.
Regelin, you get final redirect and then we're going to finish.

JACK QUINN: And I'd ask that she not lead the witness which she's been doing all day.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right.
MS. REGELIN: So this page is page 18 ?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: 16 I thought it
was. Here, let me go back. I just closed out of it. So
that's not it. Here it is. Do you have it up now?
MS. REGELIN: Yes.
So this shows the proposed intersection with
Unity Park confronting it?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, wait. He
just asked -- why don't you just ask him what this shows?
I don't know what it shows. I assume the property is on
the right, but have the witness tell us what it shows.
ANDY SMITH: Can you ask the question again please?

MS. REGELIN: So this page 16, what is this photo
showing? Could you describe the conditions that we're
seeing?
ANDY SMITH: This shows the entrance to Unity
Park on the left and it shows Damascus Road going down the

```
center of the image with the subject property to the right
of the page.
    MS. REGELIN: Okay. And there is a tree shown on
    this property, correct?
    ANDY SMITH: That's correct.
    MS. REGELIN: And is the distance of the tree to
    the pavement of Damascus Road sufficient to provide clear
    sight distance in either direction?
    ANDY SMITH: Yes, it appears to be as sight
distance is measured -- typically measured fourteen-and-a-
half feet back from the edge of the travel way.
    MS. REGELIN: And that tree is more than
fourteen-and-a-half feet back?
    ANDY SMITH: It appears to be. You could ask the
landscape architect for -- to measure that on the plans
but, yes, it appears to be.
    MS. REGELIN: Okay. No further questions.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right.
With that we're going to move on.
    Thank you, Mr. Smith. You can be excused.
    ANDY SMITH: Thank you.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So now we will
    move onto scheduling. I had a question of Ms. Regelin. I
    don't recall saying for sure that you could have -- well,
    let's find a date to continue this and then we'll talk
                                    288
about the noise expert. All right? So I'm just looking at
my calendar, and we could do something later this week.
Tomorrow?
    JACK QUINN: I am not available tomorrow. I also
think I made my position clear with regard to needing
additional time to retain experts and fully participate in
this hearing.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I understand.
I think I've made my position clear too, but I do -- if you
want you can make a continuing objection if you'd like to
do that.
    JACK QUINN: Yeah, that probably would be a good
idea, Ms. Hannan.
    JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Ms. Hannan, this is Jeff
O'Toole. Being as somebody who is working full time
elsewhere, I'm not available the rest of this week as it
turns out, but Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday of next week
I am available.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, what we
could do is this, Ms. Regelin. We could reserve Monday,
Tuesday, and Wednesday, OZAH can, and that way we can make
sure and finish it up. Wait. I think your -- you may be -
    MS. REGELIN: Yeah. There's two things that
needs to happen. One is for us to complete Mr. Rodriguez
```
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| 289 | 291 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 and bringing back Mr. Kelley, but we do need to also give | 1 MS. REGELIN: So next Monday is the 23rd which is |
| 2 time for all of the opposition to speak. | 2 the date. That potentially is the first day that we would |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. And | 3 have -- because today is already the 16th. So that would |
| 4 that's why I'm saying we need three days. We need one or | 4 be Monday, the 23rd. |
| 5 two -- at least two days. | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I mean the |
| 6 MS. REGELIN: Yeah, so I would -- where I was | 6 alternative is to have another hearing. Who was it that |
| 7 getting to is I would agree that I'd like to reserve as | 7 couldn't make it this week? I apologize. Was it Mr. |
| 8 many days as possible and start at the earliest day and to | 8 O'Toole? |
| 9 continue until we're finished. | 9 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Correct. |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So right now I | 10 JACK QUINN: I also have scheduling problems |
| 11 can look at the 23rd. Let me -- I have to get my reading | 11 towards the end of the week. |
| 12 glasses. The 23rd, the 24th, and the 25th. | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Towards the end |
| 13 JACK QUINN: I'm available. | 13 of the week. |
| 14 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: When I said that I was | 14 BRYAN BOUGHTON: And Mr. Boughton is not |
| 15 available on those days, I'm available probably on one of | 15 available for the rest of this week. |
| 16 those days. I just can't take three days or even two full | 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So Ms. |
| 17 days in a three-day week. | 17 Regelin, can you contact this expert and see if he can get |
| 18 MS. REGELIN: So we can arrange for Mr. O'Toole | 18 it? Let us know as soon as he can get it. |
| 19 to give his testimony on whatever day he's available that | 19 MS. REGELIN: Yes. As soon as this is over he is |
| 20 we're meeting. | 20 waiting for me to call so I will get a date when we could |
| 21 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: All right. | 21 have something in writing. |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, then I'll | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. And -- |
| 23 reserve those three days and then if particular witnesses | 23 MS. REGELIN: Because I did identify them last |
| 24 want to testify on particular days then I would like, if | 24 week as a rebuttal witness but -- |
| 25 you have the schedule, please email Nana Johnson of our | 25 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Didn't we discuss at one time, |
| 290 | 292 |
| 1 office with a copy to Ms. -- to all the parties. Okay. So | 1 Ms. Hannan, about having a hearing on the 30th of November? |
| 2 that's what we'll do. I'll set aside those three days. | 2 HEARINGEXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: We did. |
| 3 Now, the noise expert. The noise expert, this | 3 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Well, how about if we did it on |
| 4 is -- our rules say you have to file the report I think at | 4 the 30th and we just tried to make sure we wrapped |
| 5 least ten days in advance if he has a written report, and | 5 everything up on that one day? |
| 6 you have to notify everybody. Now there's no -- there's | 6 MS. REGELIN: So I'm not comfortable with that. |
| 6 you have to notify everybody. Now there's no -- there's | 7 I would like to go forward and get my case done in chief |
| 7 not that restriction on rebuttal. And I always say this to | 8 and get as many opposition witnesses to finish -- |
| 8 those in opposition, you can get his testimony upfront. | 9 HEARINGEXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, let's -- |
| 9 You can waive the restriction on having it in the pre- | 10 MS. REGELIN: -- on the early part of the 23rd, |
| 10 hearing statement, but she does under the rules get to have | 11 24th. |
| 11 it on rebuttal. | 12 HEARINGEXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, let's go |
| 12 So that's -- if she goes -- if she gives it after | 13 back to what I think it was Mr. O'Toole said. What I can |
| 13 whoever is next, the engineer is next, if she gives it | 14 do is do the 23rd, the 24th, and the 30th, and that way it |
| 14 after the engineer is next then you have time to digest it | 15 will be on rebuttal to the opposition. I'd like to get the |
| 15 before the completion of your case, but she does have the | 16 opposition's testimony in also. I want to make sure we get |
| 16 right alternatively to do it on rebuttal and you have the | 17 everybody in. So we could do it that way. She could put |
| 17 right to cross-examine her on it. | 18 the report in on rebuttal but get it to us -- if he can get <br> 19 it to us on the 23 rd that would be helpful. |
| 18 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: Well, how long -- can we ask | 20 MS. REGELIN: Okay. |
| 19 how long it would take her to get that report to us? | 21 HEARINGEXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now whenever we |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Ms. Regelin? | 22 get through the opposition we've got the civil for Ms. |
| 21 MS. REGELIN: We were attempting to have it ready | 23 Regelin, then we've got those in opposition, then her |
| 22 by Monday and I can find out if I can get anything earlier | 24 rebuttal will start and what I'd like you all to do is if |
| 23 than that. | 25 you have proposed conditions, get them in the record this |
| 24 JEFFREY O'TOOLE: By Monday, this Monday or next |  |
| 25 time we have a Monday? |  |

week, if you can. If you can't it's okay because part of what we need to do is get together with Mr. Kelley and see what he'll agree to and what he won't.

Now Ms. Regelin, I think -- what I think would help in the record is I have a landscape plan for the ultimate product, okay. I don't have a landscape plan for temporary use and people have a lot of questions about the temporary use and I understand that. So I think -- and plus, if GTS has to enforce the temporary use or even if you go to preliminary plan they may want to see it. So I
would think it would be cool of you to have a landscape --
MS. REGELIN: For phase one, yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- for phase
one and that way we all know what we're talking about. And
I think there were legitimate issues raised to the extent
you can look at the septic field. I mean I know you can't
plant corn on it but I don't know what else you can't
plant, but if you can check and see if there's any
additional screening outside that fence, that would be
helpful. Any additional screening along Howard Chapel
Road, that would be helpful. Any other traffic
considerations you would consider. Let me just go through
my list. I've been jotting down notes.
Oh, I have a question and this is for your -- I
may -- it may be for your landscape architect, but my
question was we've had cases in dark sky areas before
about -- out in the ag preserve and there is this cutoff
light, cutoff fixture that they call dark sky cutoff
fixtures. Now whether it's just a regular cutoff fixture
or not, have you explored that? Okay.
MS. REGELIN: Yeah, I believe that Mr. Kennedy's
testimony was that actually on these pole lights are what's
called full cutoffs. So all of the light is directed down.
There is no light that is directed up.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. And is
that on your landscape -- do you have the actual fixtures
on your landscape plan?
MS. REGELIN: I will double check but I believe that they are on the site detail plan.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, just double check that you've got --

MS. REGELIN: Yeah, we'll double check.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And I'm not saying at all what I'm going to decide. I'm just -- I'm
just exploring things at this moment. Okay. That I think
is all of my outstanding issues. So with that what we'll
do is we'll have -- finish Ms. Regelin's case-in-chief on
the 23 rd and then follow up with testimony from the
citizens, those who want to testify, and then we will
have -- I don't know when we'll fit Mr. Kelley in but we
will definitely have the sound person on the 30th. All
right? So with --
JACK QUINN: Yes, ma'am
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So with
that -- with that we will adjourn this hearing until
Monday, November 23rd at 9:30 via remote teams. We have
figured out we do have a hearing on Friday, this coming
Friday, but we do have the capability of putting two
meeting invites up. We did explore that. So when you go
to -- on OZAH's website, when you go to public hearing and
remote access that link you're going to see two hearings underneath. Now they'll be titled so you'll know this is 20-07, but I just want you to be aware there's going to be 4 two hearings when you click on that link. So just stay with this link for the 20-07 hearing, all right, but we can do two at the same time. So this one is going to stay up.

And the last housekeeping itemI can think of is 18 if there is anybody on the call that please send us your email and address so we know how to send you notices.
Okay. We really need that. What we've found is we have to have you send that in. All right. So with that, we'll
adjourn to Monday, November 23rd at 9:30. Thank you very much.
[Whereupon the hearing was adjourned.]
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|  | ```46:9, 46:21, 186:20 adjoining 16:12, 18:21 adjourn 295:5, 295:22 adjourned 4:16, 295:24 adjustments 224:3, 224:10, 224:12, 224:13 administration 215:2 administrative 1:1 adopted 36:24 advance 78:7, 78:11, 290:5 advantageous 128:10 adverse 116:13, 116:15, 116:22, 117:1, 117:2, 225:4, 225:7, 225:19 adversely 225:25, 226:14 advertised 65:7 advise 10:13 advised 54:6 advisory 39:1, 183:11, 187:25, 188:5 aesthetic 43:5, 46:4, 46:17, 61:23 aesthetically 61:21, 63:20, 64:8, 67:21, 171:2 aesthetics 54:25, 55:1, 56:25, 67:14,``` |  |  |
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| 217:7, 217:10, 218:11 agreement <br> 2:7, 27:1, <br> 28:5, 28:6, <br> 28:12, 102:10, 187:24 <br> agrees <br> 281:21 <br> agricultural <br> 47:16, 47:19, <br> 87:6, 121:18, <br> 122:16, 123:9, <br> 124:18, 128:14, <br> 128:18, 149:9, <br> 170:24, 184:1, <br> 209:24 <br> agriculturally <br> 45:17 <br> agriculture <br> 120:9 <br> ahead $\begin{aligned} & 27: 14, \quad 29: 11, \\ & 39: 21, ~ 40: 4, \\ & 43: 25, \quad 51: 23, \\ & 53: 12, \quad 63: 10, \\ & 67: 11, \quad 70: 1, \\ & 71: 22, \quad 74: 16, \\ & 75: 7, \quad 83: 7, \\ & 86: 11, \quad 98: 5, \\ & 110: 15, \quad 115: 5, \\ & 126: 11, \quad 142: 23, \\ & 144: 11, \quad 145: 14, \\ & 150: 18, \quad 152: 12, \\ & 155: 10, \quad 159: 18, \\ & 166: 5, \quad 167: 8, \\ & 168: 19, \quad 170: 7, \\ & 180: 24, \quad 181: 2, \\ & 187: 13, \quad 188: 16, \\ & 190: 18, \quad 202: 8, \\ & 202: 21, \quad 203: 12, \\ & 214: 17, \quad 216: 19, \\ & 233: 17, \quad 239: 10, \\ & 241: 1, \quad 255: 23, \\ & 256: 9, \\ & 262: 23, \\ & 278: 9 \\ & \text { aid } \\ & 242: 12 \end{aligned}$ | ```alert 160:4 align 43:7 aligned 122:21 alignment 226:21 allow 12:19, 32:2, 93:23, 167:23, 200:15, 227:14 allowed 61:13, 153:18 allowing 167:24 allows 153:7, 228:16, 271:5 almost 16:7, 75:18, 185:11, 198:3 alone 116:14, 177:17 along 23:3, 23:7, 25:7, 43:6, 53:2, 53:8, 77:16, 77:17, 94:23, 94:24, 95:14, 99:16, 100:22, 101:1, 101:20, 105:12, 105:25, 106:18, 106:22, 108:6, 110:1, 117:16, 118:7, 118:8, 118:22, 119:4, 123:24, 135:9, 135:12, 137:2, 137:10, 137:11, 138:19, 142:10, 145:23, 146:14, 146:17, 146:21, 153:24, 157:3, 177:16, 178:7, 179:23, 183:3, 184:18, 184:19,``` |  | $\begin{aligned} & 110: 22, \quad 114: 10, \\ & 123: 15, \quad 124: 9, \\ & 124: 11, \quad 125: 1, \\ & 125: 9, \quad 131: 17, \\ & 132: 8, \quad 138: 16, \\ & 145: 1, \quad 145: 14, \\ & 149: 3, \quad 153: 7, \\ & 167: 13, \quad 168: 23, \\ & 185: 15, \quad 204: 2, \\ & 207: 19, \quad 216: 22, \\ & 216: 24, \quad 217: 17, \\ & 219: 8, \quad 219: 23, \\ & 221: 11, \quad 222: 20, \\ & 226: 18, \quad 227: 15, \\ & 232: 19, \quad 250: 12, \\ & 251: 15, \quad 252: 3, \\ & 254: 15, \quad 256: 17, \\ & 271: 14, \quad 272: 14, \\ & 277: 24, \quad 288: 4, \\ & 289: 1, \quad 291: 10, \\ & 292: 16 \\ & \text { alter } \\ & 47: 13, \quad 47: 22, \\ & 47: 24 \\ & \text { alternating } \\ & 211: 1 \\ & \text { alternative } \\ & 291: 6 \\ & \text { alternatively } \\ & 290: 16 \\ & \text { always } \\ & 187: 1, \quad 224: 17, \\ & 272: 16, \quad 290: 7 \\ & \text { amendment } \\ & 10: 24 \\ & \text { american } \\ & 140: 11, \quad 140: 16, \\ & 199: 16, \quad 235: 23 \\ & \text { among } \\ & 245: 25 \\ & \text { amongst } \\ & 277: 7 \\ & \text { amount } \\ & 10: 19, \\ & 92: 97,10, \\ & 128: 21, \quad 195: 2, \\ & 195: 3, \quad 252: 6, \\ & 275: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| $103: 10, \quad 104: 18$, $104: 21, \quad 163: 19$, $167: 17$ assess $13: 14, \quad 31: 20$ assessed $234: 15, \quad 238: 11$ assessment $132: 25, \quad 158: 12$, $238: 17, \quad 242: 23$, $242: 24, \quad 267: 16$, $268: 12, \quad 280: 1$, $281: 20$ assign $277: 5$ assigned $93: 8, \quad 275: 19$ assist $201: 7$ associated $10: 22, \quad 85: 18$, $87: 22, \quad 92: 9$, $115: 12, \quad 162: 9$, $169: 13, \quad 171: 11$, $171: 16, \quad 231: 19$, $242: 2, \quad 248: 14$, $257: 2, \quad 257: 12$ associates $213: 2$ association $235: 23$ assume $50: 12$, |  | ```averaging 273:23 avoid 254:16 aware 35:2, 55:18, 55:19, 64:20, 64:22, 111:1, 183:10, 235:25, 295:13 away 85:20, 97:3, 150:20, 200:15, 200:22, 203:9, 208:9``` <br> B <br> b <br> 40:7, 40:8, <br> 45:20, 83:17, <br> 112:9, 149:15, <br> 149:17 <br> bachelor <br> 38:14 <br> bachelor's <br> 83:21, 213:10 <br> back $\begin{aligned} & 14: 4, \quad 15: 10, \\ & 19: 18, \quad 34: 22, \\ & 44: 24, \quad 45: 20, \\ & 51: 11, \quad 51: 18, \\ & 58: 16, \quad 65: 13, \\ & 66: 4, \quad 73: 9, \\ & 78: 4, \quad 78: 24, \\ & 79: 1, \quad 79: 9, \\ & 84: 7, \quad 89: 5, \\ & 93: 3, \quad 93: 4, \\ & 100: 2, \quad 103: 15, \\ & 104: 11, \quad 105: 8, \\ & 106: 12, \quad 107: 4, \\ & 111: 5, \quad 117: 5, \\ & 120: 23, \quad 122: 25, \\ & 123: 21, \quad 123: 25, \\ & 124: 5, \quad 124: 16, \\ & 125: 25, \quad 126: 3, \\ & 129: 9, \quad 134: 7, \\ & 135: 10, \quad 135: 13, \\ & 135: 25, \quad 143: 15, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  | ```145:18 besides 163:16 best 15:16, 39:18, 179:10, 203:9, 226:22, 248:20, 297:5 bethesda 38:3 better 50:21, 72:12, 117:20, 121:7, 123:10, 125:5, 126:18, 138:6, 168:18, 179:14, 195:24, 269:21 between 12:8, 30:19, 62:2, 74:23, 75:13, 92:11, 106:1, 112:19, 125:21, 132:4, 133:3, 136:8, 140:1, 191:23, 199:12, 207:13, 219:21, 228:15, 229:24, 243:22, 247:9, 247:12, 247:14, 252:10, 253:1, 275:21 beyond 33:3, 52:6, 102:2, 158:14, 194:2, 201:18, 227:11, 279:18 bicycle 219:5, 219:8, 219:10, 219:12, 219:18, 228:4, 228:9, 249:21, 250:11 bicycles 219:21 bicyclists 248:22, 250:11, 269:2 bid 73:21``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| blending | 142:4, 143:14, | brightness | 22:11, 22:15, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41:4 | 143:21, 144:1, | 174:11 | 22:17, 22:20, |
| blends | 147:10, 150:21, | bring | 22:23, 23:2, |
| 46:17 | 153:24, 170:9, | 36:10, 42:3, | 23:6, 23:11, |
| blip | 205:17 | $44: 15,48: 6$, | 48:5, 48:11, |
| 28:22 | boards | 52:24, 65:13, | 49:18, 50:7, |
| block | 38:25, 90:19, | 73:9, 78:10, | 50:9, 50:11, |
| 144:15, 144:17, | 213:25 | 217:19, 273:1, | 50:24, 51:2, |
| 144:18, 145:7, | book | 277:22, 277:24, | 51:12, 51:16, |
| 145:10, 145:11, | 235:25 | 278:10 | 71:23, 72:10, |
| 150:4, 150:6, | born | bringing | $72: 25,73: 16$, |
| 150:10, 150:20, | 154:11, 181:8 | 265:14, 265:15, | 75:5, 75:7, |
| 150:25, 184:8, | both | 289:1 | 75:16, 76:9, |
| 198:4, 198:10, | 12:10, 36:23, | broad | 76:15, 77:4, |
| 200:20, 272:11, | 67:14, 82:14, | 13:5, 13:13, | 77:12, 77:18, |
| 285:10 | 100:22, 103:19, | 31:19, 67:16, | 134:6, 134:11, |
| blockage | 132:10, 142:18, | 94:10, 94:11, | 134:14, 135:3, |
| 185:12 | 209:16, 221:21, | 107:17 | 135:19, 136:11, |
| blocked | 222:3, 222:17, | broker | 136:15, 136:19, |
| 145:18 | 227:4, 245:24, | 18:5 | 136:23, 137:1, |
| blocking | 262:24 | brooke | 137:15, 137:23, |
| 196:14 | bottom | 240:20, 241:15, | 138:4, 138:24, |
| board | 7:4, 23:4, | 242:7, 242:9, | 139:11, 139:14, |
| 16:21, 16:22, | 25:7, 39:16, | 245:17, 246:7, | $140: 13, \quad 140: 18 \text {, }$ |
| $38: 18,38: 22$, | 206:24 | 246:8, 246:10, | 141:1, 141:7, $141: 15,141: 19,$ |
| 39:1, 39:2, | boulevard | $246: 22,259: 19,$ | $141: 23,142: 2,$ |
| 39:3, 84:3, | $83: 13$ | $260: 24,261: 1,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141: 23, ~ 142: 2, \\ & 143: 11, ~ 144: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| 87:2, 87:24, | bound | $261: 3,261: 5,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 143: 11, ~ \\ & 144: 10, \\ & 144: 12, \\ & 145: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| 134:20, 137:2, | $30: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 261: 13, \quad 262: 10, \\ & 263: 5, \quad 263: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144: 12, ~ \\ & 145: 13, \\ & 145: 15, \\ & 145: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| $138: 9,146: 5$, $163: 12, ~ 163: 25, ~$ | boundary | $\begin{array}{ll} 263: 5, & 263: 20 \\ 264: 1, & 264: 14 \end{array}$ | $146: 4,147: 2 \text {, }$ |
| $163: 12,163: 25$, $165: 4,165: 8$, | $130: 19, ~ 199: 2$, $199: 3,200: 9$, | $\begin{aligned} & 264: 1, \quad 264: 14, \\ & 264: 19, \quad 264: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $147: 20,148: 7$ |
| $165: 4,165: 8$, $165: 14, ~ 170: 23$, | $199: 3,200: 9$, 204:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 264: 19, \\ & 265: 17,264: 22, \\ & 266: 6, \end{aligned}$ | 148:10, 148:13, |
| 171:2, 204:16, | branching | 266:17 | 148:16, 148:20, |
| 205:14, 213:20, | 153:9, 153:10, | brookeville | 149:3, 149:20, |
| 213:23, 227:23, | 186:9 | 6:6, 22:16, | 149:24, 150:4, |
| 236:12 | break | 69:23 | 150:11, 150:14, |
| board-on | 78:3, 79:12, | brought | 150:17, 150:19, |
| 134:19, 146:4, | 79:18, 79:19, | 35:8, 48:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 151: 16, ~ 152: 13, \\ & 152: 18, ~ 152: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| 205:13 | 210:7, 211:24 | 206:1, 224:15, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 152: 18, & 152: 25, \\ 153: 12, & 153: 23, \end{array}\right.$ |
| board-on-board | breakeven | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 279:21 } \\ & \text { hrıch } \end{aligned}$ | 154:6, 266:16, |
| 95:2, 95:7, 95:10, $95: 24$, | $87: 10$ | brush | $266: 22,267: 1,$ |
| $95: 10, ~ 95: 24$, $96: 3, ~ 98: 16$, | breaks | $13: 5,13: 13,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 260: 22, \quad 261: 1, \\ & 278: 9,278: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| $96: 3, ~ 98: 16$, $98: 20, ~ 105: 13$, | $200: 24$ | $31: 19$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 218: 9, & 218: 10, \\ 278: 19, & 291: 14 \end{array}\right.$ |
| $98: 20,105: 13$, $105: 19,107: 16$, | briefly | bryan |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 105: 19, \quad 107: 16, \\ & 138: 7, \quad 138: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:10, } 230: 7 \\ & \text { bright } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 11, \quad 21: 11, \\ & 21: 15, \quad 21: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 42:10, 86:12, |
| 138:11, 138:12, | 175:6, 178:4 | 21:22, 22:3, | 86:15, 93:13, |
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|  | 184:9 <br> built <br> 48:23, 48:24, <br> 49:1, 51:3, <br> 51:4, 54:3, <br> 54:9, 65:7, <br> 72:12, 73:6, <br> 73:7, 76:10, <br> 95:13, 95:17, <br> 95:20, 98:11, <br> 126:20, 127:6, <br> 127:19, 135:7, <br> 135:8, 147:21, <br> 147:22, 148:14, <br> 153:15 <br> bulk <br> 74:20 <br> bunch <br> 173:6, 173:7, <br> 246:10 <br> burden <br> 34:19, 39:14 <br> buried <br> 97:2 <br> business $\begin{aligned} & 6: 5, \quad 37: 25, \\ & 92: 7, \quad 93: 18, \\ & 94: 16, \quad 103: 5, \\ & 128: 15, \quad 129: 3, \\ & 173: 12, \quad 173: 15, \\ & 176: 1, \quad 251: 14, \\ & 251: 16 \end{aligned}$ <br> businesses $19: 1,241: 19$ $241: 20$ <br> button $\qquad$ buyer's <br> 28:14 <br> C <br> 44:16, 44:18, 44:19 <br> c-h-a-n-d-e <br> 211:8 <br> cab <br> 273:24 |  | $255: 25, \quad 256: 7$, $267: 4, \quad 267: 5$, $267: 9, \quad 267: 16$, $268: 1, \quad 269: 15$, $269: 22$ calendar $288: 2$ caliper $140: 7, \quad 140: 19$, $141: 8, \quad 141: 20$, $195: 25, \quad 196: 4$, $196: 17, \quad 196: 21$, $206: 9, \quad 206: 10$, $206: 12$ calipers $139: 16$ call $35: 1, \quad 37: 15$, $49: 16, \quad 66: 4$, $95: 8, \quad 170: 3$, $184: 25, \quad 212: 6$, $212: 7, \quad 221: 17$, $231: 23, \quad 233: 18$, $235: 19, \quad 280: 1$, $291: 20, \quad 294: 3$, $295: 18$ called $84: 6, \quad 197: 14$, $211: 18, \quad 219: 3$, $232: 7, \quad 236: 1$, $261: 18, \quad 261: 25$, $294: 8$ calling $82: 23, \quad 210: 10$ calls $166: 18, \quad 166: 20$ came $32: 14, \quad 58: 1$, $58: 5, \quad 58: 12$, $92: 15, \quad 180: 21$, $217: 2, \quad 217: 3$, $246: 16, \quad 247: 2$, $247: 4, \quad 248: 7$, $283: 17$ camera $27: 2$ can't $17: 20, \quad 18: 15$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```37:3, 61:19 carpooling 216:21, 245:21, 245:25, 252:9, 252:23 carry 235:2, 250:5 cars 121:25, 219:5, 229:24, 263:14, 278:16 carson 133:4 case 1:4, 6:3, 9:12, 9:15, 11:3, 11:4, 11:15, 12:3, 12:5, 12:12, 12:14, 14:20, 26:13, 28:9, 28:16, 30:22, 31:13, 31:16, 33:5, 33:12, 33:13, 33:16, 33:19, 34:3, 34:6, 34:15, 34:17, 41:15, 45:20, 52:22, 169:14, 214:10, 231:1, 238:4, 244:2, 251:21, 275:7, 290:15, 292:7, 296:6, 297:8 case-in-chief 294:22 cases 11:3, 11:7, 11:8, 11:16, 12:8, 14:16, 14:25, 15:8, 31:16, 269:24, 294:1 catastrophic 11:24 categorize 236:8 category 87:6, 87:15,``` |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 84:11, } \\ & \text { certify } \\ & 296: 3, \quad 297: 2 \\ & \text { cetera } \\ & 16: 15, \quad 273: 24 \\ & \text { challenges } \\ & 12: 8 \\ & \text { chance } \\ & 9: 7, \quad 9: 11, \\ & 9: 12, \quad 9: 13, \\ & 9: 14, \quad 187: 8, \\ & 270: 17 \\ & \text { chandewar } \\ & 3: 18, \quad 210: 14, \\ & 210: 16, \quad 210: 19, \\ & 210: 22, \quad 210: 25, \\ & 211: 1, \quad 211: 3, \\ & 211: 7, \quad 211: 11 \\ & \text { change } \\ & 48: 17, \quad 183: 25, \\ & 185: 15, \quad 194: 24, \\ & 257: 25 \\ & \text { changed } \\ & 268: 11, \quad 268: 12 \\ & \text { changes } \\ & 74: 21 \\ & \text { changing } \\ & 143: 16, \quad 269: 12 \\ & \text { chapel } \\ & 100: 22, \quad 101: 6, \\ & 110: 2, \quad 117: 17, \\ & 117: 23, \quad 117: 25, \\ & 124: 14, \quad 124: 15, \\ & 124: 22, \quad 137: 3, \\ & 137: 4, \quad 146: 17, \\ & 165: 21, \quad 166: 9, \\ & 176: 6, \quad 176: 16, \\ & 178: 7, \quad 183: 4, \\ & 183: 16, \quad 183: 23, \\ & 184: 8, \quad 184: 19, \\ & 185: 10, \quad 185: 12, \\ & 185: 17, \quad 185: 21, \\ & 185: 22, \quad 186: 13, \\ & 186: 16, \quad 188: 1, \\ & 188: 24, \quad 189: 12, \\ & 190: 15, \quad 190: 23, \\ & 190: 25, \quad 191: 9, \\ & 191: 23, \quad 193: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 193:24, 194:3, | chris | 288:5, 288:9 | closing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 197:22, 198:10, | 24:2 | clearer | 26:6, 26:19, |
| 198:11, 202:3, | circle | 7:14, 7:15, | 26:23, 28:8, |
| 204:24, 207:5, | 39:15, 105:8 | 190:20 | 81:4 |
| 207:8, 209:23, | circulates | clearly | clumped |
| 217:14, 218:21, | 96:1 | 12:6, 81:17, | 252:19 |
| 219:16, 220:13, | circulation | 137:5 | clv |
| 221:7, 226:19, | 169:2, 169:21, | click | 220:15, 220:16, |
| 227:11, 228:4, | 169:24, 170:4, | 271:6, 295:14 | 220:24, 221:8, |
| 259:24, 268:17, | 207:18, 208:10, | clicks | 221:16 |
| 268:19, 275:14, | 228:12, 228:13, | 20:23 | clvs |
| 275:16, 275:19, | 229:5, 229:7 | client | 220:16, 221:12, |
| 275:20, 276:16, | circumstance | 51:25, 57:6, | $221: 13,221: 14$ |
| 276:24, 277:2, | 244:7 | 57:16, 58:11, | code |
| 277:6, 277:12, | cited | 58:13, 67:16, | 104:4, 110:18, |
| 277:21, 278:11, | 11:16, 12:4, | 72:7, 72:19, | 110:25, 207:12 |
| 281:13, 293:20 | 31:12, 31:13 | 73:17, 73:20, | codes |
| character | citizen | 114:22, 126:23, | 182:22 |
| 41:5, 45:17, | 19:1 | 127:16, 128:2, | cold |
| 46:2, 46:13, | citizens | 215:17 | 240:7, 240:8, |
| 46:16, 47:13, | 205:10, 294:24 | clients | 259:20, 263:9 |
| 47:19, 47:22, | civil | 92:8, 129:6 | collect |
| $121: 13,122: 7$ | 179:12, 204:9, | clip | 218:25, 219:4, |
| characteristics | 213:11, 214:12, | 119:5 | 248:25, 267:11, |
| 93:16, 125:3, | 214:13, 214:15, | close | 267:14 |
| 132:20, 175:20, | 272:23, 292:22 | 17:7, 17:14, | collected |
| 225:9, 225:22 | clarification | 19:2, 25:1, | 215:25, 219:2, |
| characterized | 165:25 | 26:5, 33:18, | 244:21, 266:24 |
| 216:9 | clarifies | 36:10, 80:17, | collection |
| check | $82: 17$ | 121:6, 121:15, | 267:15 |
| 39:20, 82:19, | clarify | 122:2, 130:19, | color |
| 89:23, 98:22, | $22: 22,51: 11,$ | $130: 23,145: 3$ | $42: 17,46: 7$ |
| 133:10, 266:4, | $90: 5, \quad 266: 17,$ | $226: 24,246: 18$ | 134:12, 137:8 |
| 266:20, 273:8, | 267:2 | 246:21, 282:12 | com |
|  | classical | closed | 3:7, 38:4, |
|  | 214:13 | 48:9, 76:25, | 70:2, 211:8 |
| chief | classically | 228:25, 286:10 | combination |
| 292:7 | 265:13 | closely | 116:14, 117:2, |
| choice | classify | 44:22 | 146:16, 185:14, |
| $24: 9,26: 15,$ | 74:2 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { closer } \\ \text { 120:4, } & \\ \text { 120:11 }\end{array}$ | 208:16, 236:4 |
| $26: 16$ | clear | $\begin{aligned} & 120: 4, \quad 120: 11, \\ & 121: 6, \\ & 121: 19 \end{aligned}$ | combine |
| choosing | $81: 4,81: 6,$ | 121:23, 122:3, | combined |
| 127:13 <br> chose | $\begin{array}{ll} 97: 13, & 112: 22, \\ 175: 2, & 186: 25, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122: 6, \quad 125: 17, \\ & 242: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $100: 20, \quad 131: 22$ |
| 128: 8 | 190:21, 243:23, | closest | $9: 15, \quad 33: 22,$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { chosen } \\ \text { 151:16, } & \\ 152: 15\end{array}$ | 256:18, 278:25, | $47: 2, \quad 100: 5$ | 51:11, 56:14, |
| 151:16, 152:15 | 279:17, 287:7, | 122:9 | 56:23, 76:5, |
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & 255: 7, \quad 257: 13 \\ & 258: 19 \end{aligned}$ <br> commission \|39:2 <br> commissions $213: 25$ <br> commitments $\text { 127: } 6$ <br> committee 183:11, 187:25, 193:11 <br> committee's 188:5 <br> common $66: 6,173: 7,$ $245: 25$ <br> communication $34: 25, \quad 35: 5$ <br> communications $25: 21$ <br> community $32: 11,32: 17,$ $33: 7,33: 22,$ $33: 25,34: 1$ $34: 4,34: 14,$ $34: 23, \quad 35: 20,$ $36: 3,36: 8,$ $46: 16,46: 18,$ $99: 11$ <br> community's $35: 2,73: 4$ <br> commute $246: 1,246: 5$ <br> commuter <br> 244:9, 251:21, <br> 253:2 <br> commuters $246: 1,246: 5$ <br> commuting <br> 216:10, 216:21, <br> 218:6, 222:17 <br> compact $92: 6$ <br> companies $29: 19,29: 24$ $\begin{aligned} & 83: 10,121: 3, \\ & 212: 24, \quad 263: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 263:22 comparable 46:19, 125:3 compare 261:20 compared 124:23 compatibility 13:9, 13:14, 13:17, 25:3, 25:4, 25:5, 25:6, 25:12, 31:20, 35:19 compatible $13: 19,13: 22$ $13: 24,24: 20,$ $24: 23,25: 10,$ $25: 11,45: 16$ $46: 2,46: 12,$ $46: 15,47: 3$ $47: 7,61: 21,$ $62: 23, \quad 164: 19,$ $165: 9,165: 15,$ $178: 18$ <br> complete $288: 25$ <br> completely $94: 21, \quad 99: 21,$ $145: 12,228: 24$ <br> completion $\text { 164:13, } 290: 15$ <br> complex $201: 6$ <br> compliance 102:9, 177:5 compliant $103: 24$ <br> complied $16: 10,16: 15,$ $31: 1,31: 3$ <br> complies <br> 108:11, 111:10 comply $\qquad$ 108:17, 115:17 comport 63:1 comports 12:12 | composition 132:20 comprises 191:14 conceal 143:20 conceivable 127:24 concept 56:21, 74:3 concepts 58:12, 162:19 concern $36: 6,65: 8$ $70: 18, \quad 73: 4$ $134: 15, \quad 134: 17$ $183: 15$ <br> concerned $33: 10,48: 18,$ $147: 6, \quad 177: 25$ $255: 3, \quad 255: 15$ <br> concerns $\begin{aligned} & 12: 21, \quad 29: 23, \\ & 34: 2, \quad 147: 18, \\ & 156: 12, \quad 156: 16, \\ & 171: 10, \quad 183: 16 \end{aligned}$ <br> conclusion $224: 7,236: 20$ $268: 4$ <br> conclusions $222: 8, \quad 222: 12$ <br> concrete $\begin{array}{ll} 97: 14, & 102: 7, \\ 102: 8, & 103: 10, \\ 150: 25, & 167: 4, \\ 167: 18, & 208: 24 \end{array}$ <br> concurred 108:12 <br> condition $\begin{aligned} & 28: 8, \quad 28: 10, \\ & 28: 11, \quad 65: 24, \\ & 115: 21, \quad 115: 24, \\ & 137: 18, \quad 147: 13, \\ & 149: 4, \quad 186: 14, \\ & 193: 12, \quad 198: 8, \\ & 201: 24, \quad 277: 16, \\ & 277: 20, \quad 278: 14 \end{aligned}$ <br> conditional $6: 4,13: 8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | confusing 21:19, 22:25 confusion $51: 10,260: 20$ 263:2 congested 254:1 congestion $223: 13,226: 1$ $226: 14, \quad 250: 21$ <br> connect <br> 135:10 <br> connected <br> 129:24, 135:18 connecting 93:23, 104:1 connection $230: 22, \quad 230: 25$ <br> connects 104:2 <br> conrath's 52:14 conservation 78:17, 84:21, 87:1, 87:4, 87:12, 87:15, 87:17, 88:15 conservative 279:24 consider <br> 37:11, 147:10, 147:12, 171:16, 201:23, 233:25, 275:7, 280:5, 284:9, 293:22 consideration 10:21, 69:2, 69:6, 118:25, 193:11, 273:17 considerations 293:22 <br> considered $86: 16, \quad 103: 4$ $103: 21, \quad 107: 3$ $109: 22,151: 18$ $236: 11, \quad 282: 4$ <br> considering <br> 274:7 | ```consist 173:22 consistent 41:13, 47:18, 47:19, 47:24, 177:11, 183:25, 188:3, 188:4, 265:23 consists 85:9, 163:11 consolidated 29:21, 52:15, 92:11, 257:13 constellation 238:14 constitute 10:12, 61:11 constrained 32:20 constraint 86:17 constraints 91:14 construct 13:8, 115:15, 137:13 constructed 98:9, 113:25, 134:18, 261:23 construction 56:20, 56:23, 59:8, 64:18, 64:24, 65:4, 72:2, 74:5, 74:6, 74:9, 74:14, 74:24, 75:19, 77:10, 77:15, 129:12, 134:23, 135:14, 135:17, 179:24, 249:7, 281:15 contact 8:9, 31:24, 202:19, 211:14, 291:17 contacted 25:22, 26:1, 57:18``` | ```contained 104:12 contains 94:25 contemplated 66:20 contend 11:23, 13:21 contention 28:6 context 82:1, 119:5, 119:16, 252:20, 285:17 contingency 80:16, 81:5 contingent 267:17 continually 125:17 continuance 122:17 continuation 6:3 continue 15:15, 23:6, 34:6, 63:7, 66:12, 120:7, 120:10, 121:17, 124:13, 124:19, 125:6, 125:10, 135:9, 167:9, 211:14, 285:1, 287:25, 289:9 continuing 50:11, 99:16, 107:14, 120:5, 124:20, 288:10 continuous 272:9 contract 17:4, 17:10, 19:10, 19:12, 19:14, 26:4, 26:8, 27:1, 28:11, 28:13, 52:21, 79:13, 79:22, 79:25,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | cross-examine <br> 33:8, 33:14, 290:17 <br> cross-talk <br> 7:16 <br> crossed <br> 43:17 <br> crossing 269:2 <br> Cu <br> 1:5, 71:25, 72:13, 87:1 cue $228: 16$ <br> cues $194: 13$ <br> cupolas <br> 43:1 <br> cured <br> 19:16 <br> curious <br> 129:4, 250:20 <br> current <br> 30:1, 58:10, <br> 222:14, 231:25, <br> 238:23, 239:1, <br> 239:7, 239:8, <br> 239:16, 256:11, <br> 259:5, 259:9, <br> 263:25 <br> currently <br> 118:11, 120:19, <br> 125:16, 225:10, <br> 258:6, 260:6 <br> cursor <br> 88:18 <br> curve <br> 270:24 <br> cut <br> 28:16, 203:10, <br> 226:11, 267:8, <br> 277:3 <br> cutoff <br> 109:22, 110:13, <br> 294:2, 294:3, <br> 294:4 <br> cutoffs <br> 294:8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { field } \\ & 70: 17, \quad 113: 22, \\ & 113: 24, \quad 114: 9, \\ & 120: 9, \quad 142: 7, \\ & 143: 9, \quad 153: 13, \\ & 201: 5, \quad 293: 16 \\ & \text { fifteen } \\ & 141: 22 \\ & \text { figure } \\ & 96: 25, \quad 97: 22, \\ & 217: 20, \quad 217: 24, \\ & 263: 18, \quad 265: 18, \\ & 270: 4 \\ & \text { figured } \\ & 34: 24, \quad 295: 7 \\ & \text { figures } \\ & 276: 14 \\ & \text { figuring } \\ & 273: 23 \\ & \text { file } \\ & 276: 5, \quad 290: 4 \\ & \text { filed } \\ & 9: 25, \quad 16: 9 \\ & \text { filing } \\ & 10: 1, \quad 74: 25 \\ & \text { fill } \\ & 107: 8, \quad 144: 21, \\ & 209: 19 \\ & \text { final } \\ & 23: 18, ~ 26: 6, \\ & 26: 7, \quad 26: 9, \\ & 26: 14, \quad 221: 13, \\ & 254: 24, \quad 267: 7, \\ & 286: 3 \\ & \text { finalizing } \\ & 74: 5 \\ & \text { finally } \\ & 217: 15, \quad 223: 14, \\ & 262: 6 \\ & \text { financial } \\ & 296: 6, \quad 297: 8 \\ & \text { find } \\ & 140: 4, \quad 143: 15, \\ & 174: 23, \quad 186: 14, \\ & 206: 8, \quad 206: 9, \\ & 206: 11, \quad 227: 24, \\ & 275: 20, \quad 276: 5, \\ & 287: 25, \quad 290: 22 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 213: 16, \quad 236: 10, \\ & 263: 24, \quad 276: 14, \\ & 283: 15, \quad 284: 13, \\ & 291: 2 \\ & \text { fit } \\ & 48: 17, \quad 69: 3, \\ & 69: 9, \quad 69: 10, \\ & 168: 22, \quad 294: 25 \\ & \text { fits } \\ & 73: 18 \\ & \text { five } \\ & 78: 1, \quad 81: 14, \\ & 136: 20, \quad 164: 24, \\ & 182: 17, \quad 195: 10, \\ & 196: 11, \quad 196: 13, \\ & 220: 1, \quad 227: 21, \\ & 252: 14, \quad 255: 22, \\ & 258: 5 \\ & \text { five-and-a-half } \\ & 16: 19 \\ & \text { five-minute } \\ & 78: 3, \quad 79: 18 \\ & \text { fixture } \\ & 294: 3, \quad 294: 4 \\ & \text { fixtures } \\ & 109: 21, \quad 294: 4, \\ & 294: 11 \\ & \text { flat } \\ & 85: 19, \quad 103: 19, \\ & 128: 23, \quad 160: 21, \\ & 227: 4, \quad 229: 19, \\ & 270: 22 \\ & \text { flaw } \\ & 52: 18 \\ & \text { flood } \\ & 132: 3 \\ & \text { floor } \\ & 3: 4, \quad 43: 9, \\ & 44: 2,44: 9, \\ & 59: 19,59: 20 \\ & \text { flooring } \\ & 56: 13 \\ & \text { floorplans } \\ & 40: 7 \\ & \text { flow } \\ & 200: 20 \\ & \text { focus } \\ & 103: 12, \quad 120: 7, \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 172:14, 250:8, | foresee | 122:24, 125:22, | 295:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 251:13 | 76:3, 77:11 | 143:8, 158:19, | friends |
| foliage | foreshorten | 200:2, 273:3, | 84:6 |
| 62:11, 62:25, | 32:22 | 292:7 | front |
| 186:4, 186:8, | forest | forwarded | 41:16, 43:18, |
| 194:10 | 42:17, 46:6, | 82:17 | 95:10, 97:2, |
| folks | 78:17, 84:18, | found | 97:16, 108:8, |
| 75:19 | 84:21, 85:4, | 43:2, 84:25, | 114:23, 125:20, |
| follow | 85:21, 86:24, | 93:7, 152:10, | 137:10, 145:2, |
| 32:1, 32:2, | 86:25, 87:4, | 220:8, 221:11, | 145:23, 147:23, |
| 130:1, 180:23, | 87:10, 87:12, | 221:19, 221:25, | 150:14, 152:14, |
| 253:18, 294:23 | 87:17, 88:15, | 222:5, 223:5, | 153:1, 170:11, |
| follow-up | 105:16, 106:7, | 224:14, 227:20, | 170:13, 177:18, |
| 53:1 | 188:25, 193:6, | 228:10, 233:22, | 187:18, 208:11, |
| following | 193:7, 194:11, | 244:11, 258:22, | 229:25, 249:18 |
| 68:17, 113:20, | 198:2 | 258:24, 262:2, | frontage |
| 236:11 | forested | 264:25, 272:9, | 110:1, 158:14, |
| follows | 85:9, 85:14, | 295:20 | 227:8, 229:20, |
| 100:3 | 92:2, 92:3 | four | 275:5 |
| foot | forests | 16:12, 77:14, | fs |
| 41:10, 44:20, | 87:14 | 94:23, 115:10, | 249:16 |
| 68:10, 68:16, | forget | 136:20, 139:15, | fsd |
| 85:22, 94:5, | 21:4 | 140:19, 140:24, | 78:13 |
| 94:9, 94:11, | forgetting | 164:24, 170:22, | fsg |
| 96:9, 106:12, | 129:16 | 186:15, 192:19, | 85:1 |
| 107:16, 109:16, | forgive | 220:1, 254:21, | full |
| 109:25, 110:1, | 128:6 | 258:5, 263:19 | 109:22, 200:16, |
| 115:8, 115:10, | forgot | four-foot | 207:25, 215:13, |
| $131: 2,131: 22 \text {, }$ | 70:7, 245:10 | $192: 22$ | 215:18, 241:7, |
| 138:18, 142:13, | form | fourteen-and-a | $285: 22,288: 15,$ |
| 146:6, 174:12, | 42:15, 46:5, | $287: 10$ | 289:16, 294:8 |
| 175:18, 178:3, | 67:19, 121:4, | fourteen-and-a-h- | full-sized |
| 178:9, 178:10, | 161:3, 175:12, | alf | 228:21 |
| 178:17, 195:3, | 215:9, 215:11, | 287:13 | fully |
| 195:4, 195:5, | 218:10, 237:18 | fourth | 73:6, 73:7, |
| 195:7, 195:8, | former | 14:15 | 104:14, 150:22, |
| 195:11 | 35:6 | frame | 279:10, 288:6 |
| footprint | forth | 25:23, 74:9, | function |
| 74:22, 95:14, | 34:23, 58:16 | 75:9, 76:8, | 54:23, 54:24, |
| 125:14, 126:22, | forward | 182:14 | 55:1, 55:3, |
| 135:9, 135:10, | 73:24, 74:4, | freezing | $56: 25,57: 4,$ |
| 135:12, 180:2 | 77:13, 79:19, | 240:9, 240:12, | $57: 6,57: 10,$ |
| forecast | 80:9, 81:16, | 240:14, 240:19, | 57:15, 59:14, |
| 76:1 | 81:18, 82:22, | 241:8, 247:23 | 67:13, 67:14, |
| foreclosure | 105:4, 115:15, | frequency | 67:18, 75:2, |
| 12:5 | 119:10, 120:5, | 233:25 | 128:9, 142:20, |
| foregoing | 120:8, 120:20, | friday | 196:21, 198:5 |
| 296:3, 297:3 | 121:17, 122:8, | 10:2, 295:7, | functional $43: 6$ |
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|  | green <br> 42:17, 46:7, <br> 137:4, 144:6, <br> 197:14, 198:3, <br> 235:25 <br> greenery <br> 46:8 <br> greenhouse $\begin{array}{ll} 126: 17, & 126: 23, \\ 126: 25, & 127: 1, \\ 179: 22, & 180: 10 \end{array}$ <br> greenhouses <br> 71:7, 71:9, <br> 71:11, 94:24, <br> 99:17, 107:5, <br> 126:19, 127:23, <br> 179:20, 180:4 <br> greenskeeper <br> 121:1, 121:3, <br> 224:21 <br> greenway <br> 133:2 <br> griffin <br> 12:3 <br> griffith <br> 228:2 <br> ground $\begin{aligned} & 175: 18, \quad 240: 15, \\ & 240: 17,241: 9, \\ & 261: 6 \end{aligned}$ <br> grounds $\begin{aligned} & 1: 6,6: 4,6: 11, \\ & 10: 17, \quad 10: 25, \\ & 16: 8,29: 13, \\ & 29: 20, \quad 30: 12, \\ & 41: 4, \quad 52: 1, \\ & 52: 21,62: 3, \\ & 256: 12,256: 19, \\ & 257: 6,257: 15, \\ & 259: 5,259: 20, \\ & 259: 22 \\ & \text { grouping } \\ & 101: 6 \end{aligned}$ <br> groupings <br> 101:19, 197:25 <br> grove <br> 263:20 <br> grow <br> 128:16, 196:4 | growing <br> 185:2, 197:19 <br> growth <br> 186:7 <br> gtm <br> 38:2, 52:20 <br> gts <br> 293:9 <br> guarantees <br> 73:7 <br> guess <br> half $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:9, 191:15, } \\ & 191: 22,191: 24, \\ & 193: 13,194: 8, \\ & 287: 11 \\ & \text { halfway } \\ & 192: 16 \\ & \text { hampshire } \\ & 217: 12, \quad 259: 25 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 193:14, 225:18, | 213:23, 295:11, | 7:15, 12:25, | hesitate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 225:23 | 295:14 | 25:6, 25:8, | 238:3 |
| harmonious | heat | 25:10, 27:7, | hesitating |
| 47:12 | 263:10 | 28:9, 30:8, | 15:15 |
| harvard | heavier | 30:9, 34:21, | hey |
| 38:14 | 251:23 | 35:1, 42:17, | 24:4 |
| hatched | heavily | 47:5, 55:8, | hi |
| 96:20 | 107:1, 271:1 | 61:2, 61:5, | $69: 16,69: 17$ |
| hatching | heavy | 61:7, 65:12, | $69: 18$ |
| 97:10 | 11:25, 13:23, | 72:22, 77:13, | hide |
| hate | 171:11, 171:17, | 79:3, 79:4, | 200:10 |
| 45:19 | 185:1, 216:21, | 79:6, 80:1, | hiding |
| hcm | 219:2, 220:2, | 80:18, 81:15, | 145:24 |
| 221:18 | 220:4, 255:6, | 91:24, 93:6, | hierarchy |
| he'll | 258:5, 277:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 4, \quad 95: 12, \\ & 96: 10, \quad 96: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 130:25 |
| $44: 6, \quad 132: 16,$ | hedge | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 10, \quad 96: 14, \\ & 97: 5, \quad 97: 19, \end{aligned}$ | high |
| $293: 3$ <br> head | $\begin{array}{ll} 106: 3, & 178: 7, \\ 183: 3, & 183: 24 \end{array}$ | $99: 19,101: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 11, \quad 45: 7, \\ & 103: 17, \quad 103: 20, \end{aligned}$ |
| 18:16, 222:25, | 184:18, 184:19, | 114:5, 119:1, | $160: 21,188: 11,$ |
| 227:12, 247:6, | 184:21, 184:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 119: 3, \quad 120: 11, \\ & 120: 16, \quad 120: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $188: 14,188: 17,$ |
| 277:3, 282:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 185:11, 185:15 } \\ & \text { height } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120: 16, ~ 120: 23, \\ & 122: 19, ~ 124: 14, \end{aligned}$ | 192:15, 206:18, |
| headed $216: 23,242: 4$, | height $41: 13, ~ 42: 5$, | 124:15, 124:22, | $\begin{array}{ll} 216: 22, & 222: 16, \\ 222: 17, & 250: 6 \end{array}$ |
| 282:16 | 45:3, 47:1, | 132:6, 135:5, | higher |
| heading | 74:20, 109:20, | $137: 24, ~ 138: 7, ~$ $138: 24,143: 8$, | 152:23, 175:15, |
| 10:11, 223:2, | 142:1, 145:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 138: 24, \\ & 143: 17, \\ & 144: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 185:16, 189:13, |
| 284:6, 285:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 152: 13, \\ & 152: 16, \\ & 152: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $147: 17,149: 19,$ | 190:22, 191:8, |
| heads 153:11 | 153:3, 192:23, | 150:1, 151:9, | 192:9, 192:10, |
| headwaters | 204:19, 205:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 151: 12, \\ & 154: 21, \\ & 168: 15, \\ & 174: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 192:11, 192:13, |
| 129:23 | 208:14, 208:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 168: 15, \\ & 181: 16, \\ & 188: 91, \end{aligned}$ | 192:14, 192:18, |
| hear | $209: 2,264: 18$ | $190: 9,196: 20,$ | 204:20, 204:22, |
| $34: 14,39: 6,$ $76: 19, \quad 84: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { heights } \\ & 139: 17,198: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 201:16, 201:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 253: 14, \quad 268: 6, \\ & 271: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| $142: 21,142: 24$ | hello | 202:17, 212:11, | highest |
| 166:7, 175:12, | 126:15, 203:4 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 219: 11, & 227: 3, \\ 229: 11, & 255: 18, \end{array}\right.$ | 244:12, 250:2, |
| 184:14, 214:6, | help | $257: 22,263: 13,$ | 250:4 |
| 243:7 | $\begin{array}{ll}25: 23, & 34: 21, \\ 42: 25, & 46: 7,\end{array}$ | $264: 8,268: 5,$ | highway |
| heard 19.11 | $\begin{array}{ll}42: 25, & 46: 7, \\ 72: 11, & 94: 1,\end{array}$ | $271: 15,276: 5$ | $60: 13, \quad 215: 1,$ |
| 15:14, 19:11, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 72: 11, & 94: 1, \\ 293: 5 \end{array}\right.$ | 276:7, 277:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 221: 18, \quad 226: 17 \\ & 235: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| $26: 24, ~ 34: 2$, $53: 6, ~ 91: 10$, | helpful | 285:6, 286:10, | himself |
| 137:21, 138:9, | 8:8, 72:10, | 286:11 | 29:16 |
| 152:6, 171:23, | 72:17, 292:19, | $263: 1$ | historic |
| 233:24, 245:23 | 293:20, 293:21 | hereby | 39:3, 43:2 |
| hearings | helps | $296: 3, \quad 297: 2$ | history |
| $1: 1,7: 2$, $33: 12,35: 3$, | $\begin{aligned} & 228: 14 \\ & \text { here } \end{aligned}$ | hereunto | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 2, \quad 232: 21, \\ & 268: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 33:12, 35:3, | $6: 10,6: 12,$ | 296:8 | 268:22 |
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| ```135:22, 189:20, 197:24, 247:6, 254:6, 263:8, 280:4, 288:13 identified 11:11, 32:22, 217:23 identify 6:8, 8:6, 8:11, 20:16, 20:25, 210:10, 211:16, 291:23 identifying 32:24 ignorance 259:16, 263:1 illuminate 175:7, 176:13 illuminated 176:24 illumination 109:13, 113:24, 114:10, 115:11, 115:21, 115:23, 117:3, 175:17, 175:22 illustration 70:8, 70:16 illustrative 40:15 image 98:21, 113:16, 117:21, 284:19, 285:5, 285:17, 287:1 imagine 135:11 immediate 119:24, 139:23, 196:24 immediately 7:11, 16:17, 139:23, 164:1, 164:5, 196:21 impact 64:7, 89:7, 116:15, 116:23, 129:22, 132:25,``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| iteration | 74:4, 136:10, | 181:13, 188:15, | 267:16, 268:1, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:15 | 216:23, 217:2, | 202:19, 270:12, | 269:15, 269:22 |
| iterations | 218:7, 222:21, | 272:11, 274:21, | kimley-horn |
| 156:2 | 252:12 | 277:10 | 213:2, 213:14 |
| itself | jogging | keeping | kimley-horn's |
| 76:9, 93:13, | 250:19 | 122:1 | 230:16 |
| 95:13, 96:7, | john | keeps | kind |
| 96:11, 101:23, | 6:13 | 170:18 | 31:8, 49:22, |
| 102:16, 114:16, | johnson | kelley | 56:16, 87:11, |
| 124:3, 131:3, | 3:10, 289:25 | 17:3, 17:6, | 93:2, 93:5, |
| 135:16, 135:22, | joined | 23:25, 24:2, | 101:17, 102:21, |
| 135:24, 136:4, | 25:17, 150:21 | 28:7, 29:1, | 107:4, 107:9, |
| 136:9, 142:15, | jotting | 29:14, 33:17, | 109:4, 120:2, |
| 142:19, 143:15, | 293:23 | 49:3, 51:5, | 121:12, 123:7, |
| 144:2, 144:7, | judges | 51:11, 51:14, | 131:9, 133:2, |
| 145:17, 145:19, | 24:16 | 52:17, 52:24, | 137:23, 140:4, |
| 146:8, 146:10, | judgment | 53:14, 53:15, | 140:9, 143:25, |
| 146:11, 177:16, | 27:18, 99:9, | 53:17, 66:4, | 144:21, 145:8, |
| 204:25 | 279:17 | 68:22, 73:9, | 153:4, 156:1, |
| J | jump | 81:13, 274:1, | 187:21, 188:21, |
| jag | 78:15, 137:16 | 277:24, 278:3, | 190:14, 191:17, |
| $151: 15$ | jumping | $289: 1,293: 2,$ | 191:18, 192:5, |
| janice | 188:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 294: 25 \\ & \text { kellev's } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lr} 193: 6, & 193: 8, \\ 197 \cdot 24 & 229 \cdot 20 \end{array}\right.$ |
|  | june | kelley's | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 197: 24, & 229: 20, \\ 234: 21, & 242: 11, \end{array}\right.$ |
| 3:14, 8:13, | $18: 15,19: 18,$ | $30: 15$ |  |
| 8:17 | $31: 16,80: 16$, | kennedy's $1294: 6$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 248: 21, & 252: 20, \\ 253: 11, & 255: 17, \end{array}\right.$ |
| $239: 22,239: 25,$ | 215:25, 244:21, | $\text { \| } 294: 6$ <br> kgmcald@gmail | $\begin{aligned} & 253: 11,255: 17, \\ & 280: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 242: 25, \quad 245: 18, \\ & 259: 3, \quad 259: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 259: 6, \quad 264: 18, \\ & 266: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $70: 2$ | kindly |
| $259: 3,259: 20,$ | 266:25 | kim | 20:12, 69:20, |
|  | 102:18 | 3:16, 69:16, | 256:7 |
| $265: 7,265: 17$, $266: 21$ | jury | 69:18, 69:22, | kinds $56.22, ~ 238.21 ~$ |
| jeff | 15:10 | $\begin{array}{ll}70: 2, ~ 70: 5, ~ \\ 70: 15, & 71.3\end{array}$ | $56: 22,238: 21$ <br> knew |
| 288:14 | jut | $70: 15,71: 3,$ $71: 6,71: 12,$ | $92: 5, \quad 238: 17$ |
| jeffery | 177:23 | $126: 15,127: 2,$ | knowing |
| 152:9 | K | $127: 24,128: 6$ | 33:18 |
| jennifer | keep | 129:2, 129:9, | knowledge |
| 3:18, 210:16, | 7:6, 10:15, | 129:17, 129:19, | 66:6, 98:8, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 210:25, 211:3, } \\ & 211: 4, \quad 211: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 15:18, 33:15, | 129:21, 130:14, | 128:7, 186:12 |
|  | 45:19, 99:12, | 130:18, 131:4, | knows |
| 211:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 102: 4, \quad 118: 6, \\ & 119: 14, \quad 120: 14, \end{aligned}$ | 132:12, 132:19, | 284:16 |
| jeopardize | 120:20, 121:11, | $132: 24,133: 21,$ | L |
|  | $121: 22,122: 4,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 203: 7,211: 15, \\ & 211: 22, ~ 250: 18, \end{aligned}$ | labeled |
| job $1: 23,37: 1$, | 122:8, 123:11, | $251: 3,253: 18,$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} 59: 23, & 86: 4, \\ 113: 3, & 113: 4 \end{array}\right.$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1: 23, \quad 37: 1, \\ & 67: 17, \quad 67: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 125: 19, & 129: 16, \\ 143: 14 . & 181: 12 \end{array}$ | $255: 19,255: 25,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:3, 113:4 } \\ & \text { lack } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 143.14, 181.12, | 267:5, 267:9, | 237:4 |
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| lady's | 14:3, 38:13, | 282:17, 282:18, | late |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21:5 | 42:10, 50:17, | 282:21, 282:24, | 33:23, 252:14 |
| laid | 50:18, 50:21, | 283:3 | later |
| 9:18, 10:17, | 50:22, 60:22, | lane | 26:24, 51:6, |
| 10:24, 127:4, | 62:17, 63:14, | 221:8, 283:7 | 52:25, 162:5, |
| 130:4, 217:16 | 64:11, 78:20, | lanes | 162:6, 214:22, |
| lake | 82:24, 83:12, | 207:13, 228:24, | 223:1, 253:3, |
| 191:2 | 83:19, 83:22, | 250:11 | 267:23, 267:24, |
| lambert | 83:23, 83:24, | large | 269:18, 288:2 |
| 3:12, 15:25, | 84:2, 84:5, | 19:24, 42:24, | latr |
| 16:4, 23:20, | 84:10, 84:23, | 51:3, 59:21, | 215:10, 215:18, |
| 27:5, 27:6, | 100:10, 104:22, | 59:22, 95:9, | 218:24, 219:1, |
| 27:9, 27:12, | 104:24, 105:5, | 101:1, 101:20, | 219:3, 221:17, |
| 27:15, 28:3, | 108:10, 108:20, | 101:24, 108:5, | 223:4, 225:15, |
| 28:19, 28:24, | 113:20, 121:3, | 121:25, 123:13, | 231:21, 231:25, |
| 29:9, 29:12, | 121:16, 136:7, | 125:7, 125:15, | 232:8, 236:14, |
| 30:6, 35:25, | 139:6, 139:9, | 125:23, 127:21, | 237:2, 237:11, |
| 36:2, 37:8, | 147:11, 160:8, | 136:12, 139:23, | 237:12, 238:7, |
| 48:4, 51:19, | 183:22, 205:4, | 143:14, 153:21, | 243:14, 244:6, |
| 51:20, 51:23, | 205:15, 209:3, | 252:6 | 245:15, 249:23, |
| 51:24, 52:2, | 216:5, 224:20, | larger | 250:9, 253:10, |
| 52:11, 53:1, | 261:12, 265:10, | 44:25, 101:21, | 260:4, 261:7, |
| 53:5, 53:9, | 287:15, 293:5, | 102:18, 106:8, | 261:14, 267:20, |
| 53:13, 53:16, | 293:6, 293:11, | 124:17, 125:8, | 274:6, 274:10, |
| 53:19, 79:6, | 293:25, 294:11, | 135:25, 140:2, | 280:16, 285:23 |
| 79:7, 79:22, | 294:12 | 195:25, 196:2, | law |
| 137:23, 256:8, | landscape-type | 206:9, 206:11, | 11:14, 13:4, |
| 256:9, 256:10, | $160: 25$ | 208:4, 222:21, | 15:20, 24:10, |
| 256:14, 256:24, | landscaped | 229:18, 235:16, | 26:13 |
| 257:7, 257:11, | 62: 4 | 238:19 | layer |
| 257:17, 258:7, | landscaper | largest | 262:9 |
| 258:14, 259:2, | 30:2, 150:6, | 275:9 | layout |
| 259:7 | 234:22 | last | 64:5, 84:22, |
| lamps | landscapers | 6:22, 12:25, | 207:12 |
| 178:17, 178:18 | 264:7 | 14:24, 16:23, | laytonsville |
| land | landscaping | 17:4, 23:21, | 283:1 |
| 13:4, 31:19, | 29:24, 29:25, | 25:24, 26:2, | lead |
| 82:8, 87:6, | 41:25, 42:7, | 26:3, 26:21, | 257:5, 282:6, |
| 124:17, 139:5, | 44:24, 59:9, | 32:11, 48:13, | 286:5 |
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| 65:12, 65:13, | 215:16, 215:21, | 138:21, 142:2, | 261:9, 268:22, |
| 75:13, 127:12, | 217:6, 217:10, | 142:8, 144:20, | 273:15, 285:21, |
| 238:13, 240:22, | 218:10, 223:22, | 147:11, 162:15, | 289:23, 289:24 |
| 241:2, 241:5, | 226:22, 232:11, | 164:7, 169:4, | particularly |
| $273: 15,274: 15$ | $267: 23, \quad 286: 14,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169: 6, \quad 180: 11, \\ & 187: 19, \quad 191: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $275: 21$ |
| owner's | $286: 25$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 187: 19, & 191: 8, \\ 195: 18, & 199: 23, \end{array}$ | particulars |
| 55:7, 55:10 | parked | $201: 22.214: 14$ | 28:12 |
| owners | 103:3, 256:21 | $215 \cdot 1$ | parties |
| 268:14 | parking | $\begin{aligned} & 215: 11, \\ & 217: 17, \\ & 218: 9, \end{aligned}$ | 6:7, 290:1, |
| ozah | 44:7, 54:19, | $219: 3,219: 15,$ | $296: 6,297: 7$ |
| $3: 10,6: 3$, $16: 20, ~ 288: 21$ | $92: 10, ~ 93: 12, ~$ $94: 14, ~ 94: 25$, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 219: 3, \quad 219: 15, \\ & 220: 12, \quad 223: 4, \end{aligned}\right.$ | parts <br> 150.24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16: 20, \quad 288: 21 \\ & \text { ozah's } \end{aligned}$ | 94:14, 94:25, $95: 1, \quad 99: 17,$ | $227: 22, \quad 227: 23,$ | 150:24 |
| 273:8, 295:10 | 105:6, 107:16, | 231:21, 232:7, | 3:11, 3:12, |
| P | 107:22, 107:23, | 233:6, 236:14, | 3:13, 3:14, |
| page | 108:1, 108:15, 108:17, 109:14, | $237: 11,238: 7$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 3: 15, & 3: 16, \\ 3: 17, & 3: 18 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4: 2,217: 19, \\ & 217: 24,221: 4, \end{aligned}$ | 109:19, 116:8, | $\begin{array}{ll} 244: 25, & 245: 10, \\ 245: 15, & 249: 23 \end{array}$ | pass |
| 226:5, 226:8, | 121:25, 127:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 245: 15, ~ 249: 23, \\ & 253: 10, \\ & 255: 17, \end{aligned}$ | 194:6, 199:12, |
| 226:9, 276:15, | 138:15, 146:2, $146: 10,146: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 261: 4,264: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $278: 16$ |
| 284:15, 286:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 146: 10, ~ 146: 19, \\ & 147: 14, ~ 163: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $267: 15,267: 20,$ | passed |
| 286:21, 287:2 | $166: 19,166: 21$ | 267:23, 268:17, | 31:6, 231:3, |
| pages | $166: 25, \quad 167: 1$ | 277:6, 280:14, | 231: 6 <br> passenger |
| $1: 24$ | 167:3, 167:15, | 280:19, 285:23, | $236: 2$ |
| 43:1, 205:21 | 167:17, 167:20, | $\begin{aligned} & 285: 24,292: 10, \\ & 293: 1 \end{aligned}$ | passing |
| pandemic | $\begin{aligned} & 167: 23, \quad 167: 24, \\ & 168: 6, \quad 168: 22, \end{aligned}$ | partial | 231:15 |
| 251:10 | 168:24, 168:25, | 41:15 | past |
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|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  |  | $177: 18, \quad 178: 17$, $178: 18, \quad 294: 7$ poles $178: 4, \quad 204: 20$, $204: 25$ policy $223: 11$ pond $85: 17, \quad 131: 9$ populated $282: 10$ portion $92: 13, \quad 101: 3$, $120: 7, \quad 120: 19$, $121: 13, \quad 124: 16$, $127: 16, \quad 153: 8$, $192: 6, \quad 195: 6$, $246: 18, \quad 246: 22$ portions $223: 4$ pose $77: 5$ posed $73: 12$ position $10: 2, \quad 10: 19$, $288: 5, \quad 288: 9$ positioned $129: 5$ positive $200: 15, \quad 200: 22$ possessed $160: 15$ possesses $238: 22$ possibility $149: 19$ possible $33: 8, \quad 33: 9$, $40: 17, \quad 98: 14$, $201: 11, \quad 201: 23$, $254: 16, \quad 281: 15$, $289: 8$ possibly $198: 14, \quad 254: 21$ posted $16: 14, \quad 16: 18$, $227: 10, \quad 267: 17$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 268:6 | prejudice | 264:6 | probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| posting | 17:2, 17:3, | presented | 280:23 |
| 16:12 | 17:5, 17:6, | 5:2, 14:9, | probably |
| postpone | 30:11, 32:4, | 32:17, 58:13 | 26:25, 57:23, |
| 9:17, 10:1, | 36:16 | preservation | 63:15, 74:8, |
| 31:23 | prejudiced | 39:3 | 75:25, 94:3, |
| postponement | 31:4 | preserve | 114:21, 125:25, |
| 14:18, 16:7, | preliminary | 90:23, 294:2 | 141:21, 146:9, |
| 16:25, 25:17, | 9:16, 32:10, | presumably | 160:10, 162:2, |
| 30:9, 31:4, | 78:16, 84:21, | 263:15 | 165:5, 177:19, |
| 36:12 | 86:24, 86:25, | pretty | 196:11, 222:23, |
| posts | 87:4, 133:10, | 20:20, 30:10, | 288:12, 289:15 |
| 115:11, 147:24 | 261:15, 293:10 | 49:19, 67:16, | problem |
| potential | premature | 77:6, 81:6, | 10:18 |
| 132:25, 143:9, | 65:14, 65:19 | 118:11, 124:23, | problems |
| 144:21, 233:21 | premises | 142:1, 190:1, | 77:5, 291:10 |
| potentially | 231:10, 231:11, | 190:2, 254:16 | procedure |
| 10:5, 34:8, | 247:22 | prevent | 36:24, 37:4, |
| 106:16, 291:2 | preparation | 196:1 | 251:12 |
| potomac | 280:16 | prevented | proceed |
| 3:4, 3:5 | prepare | 14:25 | 26:13, 32:6, |
| poured | 54:15, 155:4, | preventing | 33:24, 34:2, |
| 150:8 | 155:5, 155:21, | 197:2 | 54:7, 65:9 |
| practice | 168:2, 169:12, | previous | proceeding |
| 15:20, 16:2, | 214:18, 215:6, | 77:1, 81:25, | 34:17, 81:4, |
| 24:9 | 215:17, 217:5, | 118:4, 126:17 | $161: 18$ |
| practices | 223:20, 278:20 | previously | proceedings |
| 226:22 | prepared | 38:20, 84:1, | 21:12, 296:4, |
| practicing | 40:8, 40:10, | 110:7, 213:18, | 297:4 |
| 38:19, 83:23 | 84:17, 84:21, | 242:10 | process |
| pramod | 85:6, 87:5, | primarily | 9:9, 10:3, |
| 210:14, 211:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 108: 24, \quad 155: 24, \\ & 215: 9 . \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $54: 23$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 10: 22, & 11: 14, \\ 10 \cdot 12 & 14: 19 \end{array}$ |
| pre | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 215: 9, & 215: 10, \\ 215: 25, & 219: 8, \end{array}\right.$ | primary | $\begin{array}{ll} 12: 12, & 14: 19, \\ 19: 15, & 25: 5, \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 290:9 } \\ & \text { pre-covid } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 215: 25, \quad 219: 8, \\ & 237: 3, \quad 250: 9, \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 3, \quad 46: 17, \\ & 85: 23, \quad 130: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 19: 15, & 25: 5, \\ 27: 25, & 31: 13, \end{array}$ |
| 219:6 | 280:25, 285:24 | principal | $31: 22, \quad 36: 22,$ |
| pre-development | preparing <br> 213.14, 280.20 | $38: 2$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 58: 1, & 58: 2, \\ 58: 3, & 58: 15 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 224: 15 \\ & \text { precipitatior } \end{aligned}$ | 213:14, 280:20 prerogative | prior $40: 19,82:$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 3, \quad 58: 15, \\ & 65: 15, \quad 65: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| 240:14, 240:17, | 129:8 | 91:11, 95:16, | $72: 4,73: 24$, |
| 241:9 | present | 119:7, 138:17, | $75: 1,75: 4$, |
| precise | 6:22, 13:22, | 157:22, 157:24, | 75:17, 75:18, |
| 11:15 | $14: 24, ~ 15: 1$, $32: 8,32: 12$, | 158:2, 164:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 20, \quad 75: 22, \\ & 76: 15, \quad 77: 5, \end{aligned}$ |
| predicated | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 8, \quad 32: 12, \\ & 32: 15, \quad 32: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 222: 25,243: 25 \\ & \text { oriority } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 15, \quad 77: 5, \\ & 156: 1, \quad 193: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
| $57: 16, \quad 242: 24$ <br> prefer | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 15, \quad 32: 16, \\ & 79: 7, \quad 223: 17, \\ & 231: 10, \quad 240: 3, \end{aligned}$ | priority <br> 94:15, 99:15, | 261:16, 269:18 processes |
| $34: 14$ <br> prefers | 259:19, 259:22, | privileged | $155: 1$ |
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| 205:13, 205:14 purposes 13:14, 58:14, 124:18, 239:3 pursuant 2:7 pursued 115:13 pursuing 115:16 purview 71:8, 156:7 pushed 56:8 put Q <br> qualify <br> 39:8, 84:14 <br> qualifying 39:7 <br> qualitative $\begin{aligned} & 231: 23, \quad 232: 17, \\ & 233: 5,233: 10, \\ & 233: 18, \quad 234: 7, \\ & 267: 18, \quad 270: 13, \\ & 272: 6, \quad 274: 23, \\ & 278: 24, \quad 279: 9, \\ & 279: 12,279: 14, \end{aligned}$ | 280:2 qualitatively 238:11 quality 70:19 quantitative 232:6, 285:22 quantitatively 280:6 <br> quasi-judicial 9:8 <br> question 9:11, 12:11, 12:24, 15:24, 24:17, 25:3, 34:21, 37:10, 48:6, 49:13, 49:18, 50:22, 50:24, 50:25, 51:9, 55:13, 57:1, 61:8, 63:9, 63:22, 65:23, 65:25, 66:3, 67:16, 70:15, 71:24, 72:6, 73:12, 73:14, 75:16, 95:15, 102:8, 130:5, 134:22, 135:6, 138:10, 143:11, 143:25, 144:1, 147:20, 153:12, 156:15, 158:5, 166:6, 166:7, 174:24, 175:13, 175:16, 177:9, 177:23, 177:24, 203:13, 224:7, 234:11, 236:10, 244:22, 245:11, 247:25, 251:18, 251:20, 258:12, 258:14, 262:20, 269:21, 273:20, 283:16, 286:19, 287:23, 293:24, 294:1 questioned 28:7, 283:22 | questioning 201:11, 201:14 questions | ```radii 229:1 radius 103:25, 169:2, 208:10 raise 7:4, 7:5, 7:8, 20:6, 22:7, 29:5, 30:1, 37:19, 72:21, 83:2, 212:16 raised 202:23, 250:19, 293:15 ramping 196:16 range 76:17, 209:13, 229:25 rare 86:18 rate 160:8, 160:25, 216:3, 216:5, 216:16, 216:18, 235:11, 273:15, 273:18, 273:23, 274:2 rates 235:8 rather 33:23, 138:8, 162:24, 193:24, 232:2, 255:14, 263:21 ratting 36:7 rconrath@gtmarch- itects 38:4 rd 289:11, 289:12, 291:1, 291:4, 292:10, 292:14, 292:19, 294:23, 295:6, 295:22 re-asking 208:19``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| re-cross | 139:19 | recess | 167:10, 168:12, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:14 | rear | 255:22 | 169:4, 200:6, |
| re-direct | 109:25 | recognize | 210:24, 212:1, |
| 4:9, 4:13 | reason | 15:24, 16:3, | 212:2, 212:25, |
| reach | 25:4, 31:23, | 23:20, 24:6, | 213:6, 214:20, |
| 122:13 | 42:2, 63:2, | 36:2, 37:5, | 224:4, 237:15, |
| reached | 63:7, 66:12, | 277:4 | 284:14, 284:16, |
| 18:6, 121:10 | 111:9, 128:2, | recognized | 292:25, 293:5, |
| read | 198:12, 225:8, | 7:3, 7:5, 7:8 | 297:3 |
| 11:3, 12:3, | 228:18, 238:9, | recollection | recorded |
| 14:16, 15:11, | 244:8, 249:23, | 70:12, 273:9 | 10:9, 86:19, |
| 183:21, 235:17 | 253:20, 275:16 | recommend | 87:1, 228:6, |
| readily | reasonable | 130:7, 282:23 | 228:7, 296:4, |
| 237:13 | 10:19, 31:14, | recommendation | 297:4 |
| reading | 247:23, 280:22 | 130:12 | recording |
| 289:11 | reasonably | recommendations | 297:6 |
| readings | 19:6, 31:15 | 92:18, 93:5, | records |
| 110:3 | reasons | 130:2, 132:2, | 202:19, 240:13 |
| ready | 12:19, 14:19, | 133:13 | recross |
| 72:2, 91:5, | 61:23, 128:23, | recommended | 210:6, 272:22, |
| 91:7, 113:11, | 253:17 | 132:8 | 273:12, 278:7 |
| 154:10, 154:11, | rebuttal | recommends | red |
| 212:4, 290:21 | 32:15, 210:4, | 47:15 | 140:15, 140:17, |
| real | 290:7, 290:11, | reconfirm | 143:5, 196:8, |
| 19:9, 21:22, | 290:16, 291:24, | 48:22 | 196:9, 196:10 |
| 121:19 | 292:15, 292:18, | record | redact |
| realistic | 292:24 | 6:8, 7:15, | $81: 10, \quad 81: 14$ |
| 142:9 | recall | 10:7, 10:20, | redacted |
| really | 18:7, 56:7, | 15:15, 17:22, | $81: 12$ |
| 19:16, 52:16, | 57:1, 57:20, | 18:14, 18:22, | redbuds |
| 54:10, 57:9, | 155:25, 157:4, | 18:24, 19:13, | 140:16 |
| 57:15, 72:9, | 165:2, 165:5, | 20:13, 20:24, | reddish |
| 88:24, 117:24, | 166:17, 179:4, | 21:14, 22:14, | 50:5 |
| 132:1, 142:16, | 240:11, 240:15, | 32:1, 35:12, | redirect |
| 146:18, 153:5, | 240:16, 241:10, | 37:9, 37:12, | 77:23, 202:16, |
| 154:4, 156:15, | 242:19, 247:5, | 37:25, 38:8, | 202:20, 204:14, |
| 160:12, 167:10, | 248:9, 248:18, | $40: 7,50: 3$, | 210:6, 272:21, |
| 172:7, 175:16, | 256:19, 256:24, | 53:24, 69:20, | 273:5, 273:11, |
| 177:13, 189:16, | 287:24 | 78:25, 79:1, | 286:3 |
| 218:8, 219:25, | receive | 79:9, 80:5, | redundant |
| 231:17, 232:16, | $10: 4, \quad 60: 6$ | $80: 25, \quad 81: 9,$ | $68: 8, \quad 130: 15$ |
| 232:25, 236:5, | received | $81: 21, \quad 82: 13,$ | refer |
| 236:13, 250:7, | 10:5, 17:24, | 82:14, 83:10, | 215:2 |
| 253:6, 259:17, | 18:25, 79:12 | 83:16, 86:11, | reference |
| 269:13, 276:13, | receiving | 87:4, 112:19, | 91:25, 111:18, |
| 283:15, 295:20 | 10:18 | 128:2, 133:10, | 141:19, 148:3, |
| realm | recent | 133:16, 147:17, | 189:11, 243:24 |
| 54:22, 60:16, | 139:6 | 150:1, 159:13, | referenced |
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| ```referencing 151:1 referring 67:8, 161:20, 162:17, 163:6, 184:4, 188:7, 193:4, 193:6, 214:22, 233:10, 239:6, 260:17, 261:5 refers 176:19 reflect 156:4 reflects 21:9, 281:24 reforestation 87:8, 87:9 regard 116:15, 127:15, 128:17, 132:23, 179:10, 194:24, 203:14, 232:3, 237:19, 244:3, 274:23, 288:5 regarding 91:16, 95:15, 116:22, 130:6, 132:2, 133:9, 133:13, 174:16, 203:14, 269:16 regards 87:16, 103:25, 108:4, 109:8, 128:20, 130:5, 143:2, 146:11, 177:18, 185:8 regelin's 37:6, 37:10, 66:13, 294:22 region 107:3 registered 83:24 registration 38:16, 38:18 regular 294:4``` | ```regulation 30:23 regulations 24:19 regulatory 87:16 reiterate 99:13, 100:20, 220:10 related 29:19, 54:12, 56:20, 63:13, 76:5, 76:6, 93:11, 182:22, 225:20, 296:5, 297:7 relates 55:3, 57:11, 76:7 relation 102:8, 257:14 relationships 57:17 relative 42:5, 63:12, 122:12 relatively 10:6, 160:20 relevance 65:2, 158:17 relevant 36:4, 37:4, 52:12, 52:13, 72:22, 81:3, 158:14 relied 240:21 relocate 206:14 relocated 113:24, 114:11 rely 245:4 relying 16:8, 27:17 remain 72:22, 90:21, 92:2, 110:17,``` |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { replace } \\ & 103: 9 \\ & \text { replaced } \\ & 49: 4 \\ & \text { report } \\ & 11: 10, \quad 33: 17, \\ & 108: 13, \quad 214: 20, \\ & 214: 22, \quad 222: 9, \\ & 222: 12, \quad 224: 4, \\ & 224: 7, \quad 232: 3, \\ & 244: 25, \quad 245: 2, \\ & 250: 25, \quad 257: 17, \\ & 258: 8, \quad 258: 15, \\ & 284: 15, \quad 290: 4, \\ & 290: 5, \quad 290: 19, \\ & 292: 18 \\ & \text { reporter } \\ & 7: 18, \quad 159: 13, \\ & 296: 1 \\ & \text { repository } \\ & 227: 19 \\ & \text { represent } \\ & 49: 20, \quad 119: 9, \\ & 195: 11 \\ & \text { representation } \\ & 157: 8, \quad 157: 11 \\ & \text { representative } \\ & 138: 25 \\ & \text { represented } \\ & 209: 19 \\ & \text { representing } \\ & 8: 1,9: 2, \quad 9: 4 \\ & \text { represents } \\ & 58: 9, \quad 263: 9 \\ & \text { request } \\ & 16: 7, \quad 30: 9, \\ & 80: 25, \quad 90: 17, \\ & 248: 14 \\ & \text { requested } \\ & 32: 7,115: 14, \\ & 206: 18 \\ & \text { require } \\ & 24: 20, \quad 169: 23 \\ & \text { required } \\ & 16: 14, \quad 28: 9, \\ & 30: 21, \quad 84: 19, \\ & 92: 7, \quad 141: 17, \\ & 186: 14, \quad 215: 15, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```194:20, 195:10 respectively 87:10, 221:12, 224:8 respond 11:1, 14:17, 14:22, 34:1, 61:19 response 34:20, 193:22 responsibility 19:14, 60:10 rest 34:3, 288:16, 291:15 reston 213:4 restoration 38:18 restricted 162:14 restriction 273:10, 290:7, 290:9 restrictions 61:11, 128:22, 130:20 result 11:22, 116:13, 117:1, 225:19 resulted 93:2 results 219:7 resume 38:9, 83:17, 213:7 retain 87:13, 288:6 retained 100:25 retaining 89:8, 98:3 return 274:16 review 36:4, 39:3, 75:3, 223:23,``` | ```231:2 reviewed 207:15, 214:23, 227:16, 229:6, 238:2, 267:23 revisions 58:16 revisit 48:14 rezoning 11:9 riders 257:21 right-hand 44:4 rights 10:22, 11:17, 24:18, 24:19, 28:14, 31:21 rise 190:7 risk 26:18 river 129:23, 130:4, 131:3, 133:3 roads 110:2, 125:9, 125:21, 183:11, 187:25, 188:4, 193:10, 193:11, 264:20 roadside 183:24 roadway 13:23, 19:4, 222:1, 223:15, 225:9, 228:19, 229:17, 231:24, 235:15, 236:21, 238:15, 269:12, 270:19, 271:23, 271:24 roadways 46:9, 223:17, 225:5, 225:8, 231:14, 257:25, 268:11, 277:8``` | ```rodriguez 6:13, 272:25, 288:25 rogers 3:3, 6:10 role 72:1, 280:14, 280:17 roof 41:12, 41:13, 43:1, 135:4 room 26:24, 59:14, 228:19 rooms 55:21 root 90:11, 90:13, 187:10, 187:16, 187:18 rooted 197:9 rotated 22:24 rotating 20:24 rough 101:19 roughly 41:16, 103:20, 124:24, 198:24 round 112:19 route 226:18, 229:18, 281:15, 282:6 row 62:4, 106:4, 183:3, 183:24, 184:18, 184:19, 184:21, 184:24, 185:11, 185:15 rule 15:16, 35:6, 130:23 rules 7:1, 7:2, \(32: 21,36: 24\),``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 37:4, 273:8, | 232:1, 232:3, | 218:16, 225:10, | 150:9, 151:25, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 290:4, 290:10 | 232:7, 232:17, | 253:12, 260:5, | 155:1, 156:3, |
| ruling | 233:5, 233:11, | 264:2, 272:1, | 158:18, 161:8, |
| 36:9, 36:12, | 233:22, 235:9, | 272:2, 272:3, | 169:14, 171:5, |
| 65:18, 65:20 | 236:11, 237:2, | 295:16 | 175:12, 176:21, |
| run | 237:4, 250:8, | satisfied | 183:15, 183:18, |
| 176:1, 190:7, | 267:16, 268:3, | 94:22, 109:15 | 184:23, 185:9, |
| 190:11, 270:4 | 268:18, 284:1, | satisfies | 185:14, 189:4, |
| running | 284:2 | 87:16 | 189:11, 195:10, |
| 135:12, 220:2 | said | saturday | 196:4, 198:24, |
| runs | 13:3, 13:18, | 248:19, 248:23, | 199:23, 204:7, |
| 23:3, 85:25, | 16:3, 26:22, | 249:1, 249:22, | 209:11, 210:20, |
| 96:7, 105:25 | 28:7, 28:21, | 250:11 | 215:25, 219:14, |
| rural | 29:15, 29:16, | saturdays | 220:19, 230:14, |
| 170:24, 219:25, | 29:17, 36:5, | 248:15, 249:21 | 236:6, 237:12, |
| 221:23, 257:20 | 53:7, 54:22, | save | 238:11, 243:16, |
| rush | 62:24, 82:7, | 133:22 | 244:5, 245:7, |
| 251: 6 | 130:15, 137:7, | saw | 246:19, 247:25, |
| rustic | 140:25, 142:3, | 24:21, 79:19, | 250:3, 252:3, |
| 183:11, 183:17, | 150:19, 151:4, | 117:21, 123:19, | 252:24, 253:3, |
| 187:25, 188:4, | 193:22, 194:1, | 135:25, 202:13, | 254:11, 255:9, |
| 193:10, 193:11, | 196:7, 202:5, | 216:24, 219:15, | 257:24, 260:17, |
| 226:20 | 230:14, 234:7, | 219:23, 230:6, | 261:5, 262:25, |
| S | $\begin{aligned} & 256: 11, \quad 257: 4, \\ & 265: 6 . \quad 275: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 230:8, 246:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 264: 15, \quad 267: 19, \\ & 268: 9, \quad 270: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| $\begin{array}{ll} 197: 1, & 248: 22, \\ 279: 2, & 280: 19 \\ 289: 19 & \end{array}$ <br> whenever 111:1, 182:8, 292:21 <br> whereof 296:8 <br> whereupon $295: 24$ <br> wherever $254: 17,260: 16$ <br> whether 12:12, 13:12, $28: 10,32: 3,$ $32: 4,33: 4,$ $33: 5,36: 12,$ $56: 7,60: 9,$ $64: 20,65: 3,$ $65: 6,65: 8 \text {, }$ 127:3, 151:3, $161: 4,167: 22 \text {, }$ $177: 24,180: 3 \text {, }$ $205: 20,210: 9,$ $210: 10,231: 23,$ 236:22, 246:13, 263:18, 268:23, $294: 4$ <br> whoever 7:9, 167:7, $290: 13$ <br> whole $\begin{aligned} & 20: 9, \quad 22: 10, \\ & 29: 7, \quad 37: 21, \\ & 71: 5, ~ 83: 4, \\ & 92: 25, \quad 97: 2, \\ & 130: 7, \quad 132: 4, \\ & 155: 1, \quad 200: 17, \\ & 201: 11, \quad 212: 18 \\ & \text { wholly } \\ & 206: 5 \\ & \text { wide } \\ & 46: 24, ~ 47: 6, \\ & 93: 23, \\ & 104: 1, \\ & 184: 19, \quad 249: 8, \\ & 278: 12 \\ & \text { width } \\ & 46: 24, \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
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| $\begin{aligned} & 176: 24, \quad 177: 11, \\ & 178: 4, \quad 179: 6, \\ & 216: 23,219: 20, \\ & 222: 18,230: 13, \\ & 245: 21,254: 5, \\ & 263: 13 \\ & \text { workday } \\ & 241: 6 \\ & \text { worked } \\ & 53: 13, \quad 53: 16, \\ & 77: 1, \quad 213: 13 \\ & \text { workers } \\ & 218: 6,246: 1 \\ & \text { workforce } \\ & 240: 20 \\ & \text { working } \\ & 27: 7,68: 20, \\ & 149: 21, \quad 159: 5, \\ & 263: 4,263: 9, \\ & 266: 9,288: 15 \\ & \text { works } \\ & 134: 3,134: 14, \\ & 229: 20,248: 15, \\ & 278: 13 \\ & \text { worksheets } \\ & 87: 12 \\ & \text { worksite } \\ & 253: 22 \end{aligned}$ <br> workstation <br> 211:17 <br> world $9: 23,160: 5,$ $233: 10$ <br> worse $75: 25,252: 1$ <br> worst $\begin{aligned} & 220: 12, \quad 250: 2, \\ & 250: 3, \quad 251: 22, \\ & 275: 7 \\ & \text { wouldn't } \\ & 56: 19, \quad 56: 22, \\ & 61: 13,129: 4, \\ & 133: 11, \quad 141: 4, \\ & 141: 5, \quad 148: 7, \\ & 163: 14, \quad 173: 1, \\ & 173: 4, \quad 182: 5, \\ & 194: 2, \quad 200: 11, \\ & 200: 21, \quad 201: 7, \end{aligned}$ | ```263:12, 264:16, 265:12 wouldn't 285:14 wow 27:13 wrapped 265:16, 292:4 writing 241:3, 291:21 written 16:9, 25:16, 33:1, 290:5 wrong 21:20, 174:23, 191:20, 235:18, 269:16 wrongly 152: 4``` Y <br> yaa <br> 3:10 <br> yard <br> 92:10, 93:12, <br> 94:6, 94:11, <br> 94:13, 94:14, <br> 94:17, 94:20, <br> 96:10, 100:10, <br> 102:6, 102:20, <br> 102:23, 104:9, <br> 104:12, 104:18, <br> 109:19, 110:7, <br> 176:13, 176:17, <br> 205:1, 205:4, <br> 249:18 <br> yards <br> 109:14, 171:6, <br> 173:20, 176:21, <br> 176:22, 177:12, <br> 190:23, 191:9 <br> yay <br> 40:1 <br> year $\begin{aligned} & 80: 18, \quad 137: 6, \\ & 156: 3, \\ & 185: 10, \\ & 194: 8, \\ & 259: 239: 20, \\ & 265: 23 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
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