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| 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. | 1 there were no similar sales -- there were no sales of |
| 2 MR. CHEN: Okay. Why don't you start in then? | 2 similar detached houses. And the similar detached houses |
| 3 MR. MCPHERSON: Okay. Well, there was a question | 3 are important, that statement is important because the |
| 4 about me or my report making misleading statements about | 4 subject property is a custom built house. It's over 3,000 |
| 5 the detrimental conditions and that is on page 32 of Ms. | 5 square feet. And if you -- so that's important. So then |
| 6 Vandermause's appraisal. And it's in bold, and then | 6 there are no sales. And the two sources of data that I |
| 7 there's some narrative be | 7 used to analyze the estimate of the detrimental condition |
| 8 But the comments about the distance to the -- and the | 8 of the conditional use were the paradata analysis, and that |
| 9 last one, the garbage trucks backing up and alarms sounding | 9 begins on page 43, and then I used sales of properties that |
| 10 and it's located 215 feet from the western boundary, if you | 10 were on New Hampshire Avenue. |
| 11 turn to my page -- my report on page 38, I guess that's | 11 And the concern was with sale number one, sale number |
| 12 Exhibit 115, under site improvements I also reference that | 12 one is located between a Citgo gas station and a |
| 13 it's 215 feet from the rear patio, the garbage | 13 veterinarian clinic. And it is more intense, much more |
| 14 disposal -- or the garbage dumpster. I'm sorry. | 14 intense than the proposed conditional use, but the |
| 15 And then in terms of the parking lot -- or I'm sorry. | 15 difference is, if you look on my page 44, you can look at |
| 16 Instead of the screening -- I mentioned the screening under | 16 the size of the house and the nature of the house. And |
| 17 the view that the subject conditional use will provide. | 17 then if you can look at page number -- let's see. I'm |
| 18 And then if you turn to page 39, this is where I begin my | 18 trying to find it. Page number 23. |
| 19 detrimental conditions analysis at the bottom of the page | 19 MR. CHEN: Is this your report? |
| 20 under visual. | 20 MR. MCPHERSON: Of my report, yes. It shows the |
| 21 I just conclude -- there is going to be buffering, but | 21 entrance to the two-car garage, the size of the house, and |
| 22 I conclude that it will not mitigate all of the | 22 -- |
| 23 illumination of the lights. So, I acknowledge there is | 23 MR. CHEN: Page 20 of your report? |
| 24 buffering. I acknowledge the distance of the garbage <br> 25 dumpster from the property. And I just disagree that it's | 24 MR. MCPHERSON: 23. And that becomes very relevant in 25 my analysis of using the -- |
| 14 | 16 |
| 1 not going to have an affect. Likewise, on the next page, | 1 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait. On your report? |
| 2 the noise. | 2 Mr. MCPHERSON: Yes. |
| 3 MR. CHEN: Is that 40? | 3 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm seeing -- I think I'm |
| 4 MR. MCPHERSON: That's on page 40, top of the page | 4 seeing the subject property. |
| 5 noise. I also acknowledge that there will be fencing, | 5 Mr. MCPHERSON: Yes. Yes. |
| 6 landscaping. But I still think it's going to have an | 6 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. |
| 7 affect. And I guess one of the main reasons that I think | 7 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes, that's what I'm -- |
| 8 that the noise will have an affect, I work in an office | 8 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm sorry. |
| 9 building and I park furthest away from the office building, | 9 MR. MCPHERSON: I'm trying to make a comparison of -- |
| 10 and then on the other side of the office building there is | 10 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes. |
| 11 a dumpster. And one morning I got out of my truck and the | 11 MR. MCPHERSON: -- the sales use and the paradata |
| 12 truck was backing up and it was very, very clear that I | 12 analysis which are much -- |
| 13 could hear the backup noise from the garbage truck. So | 13 MR. CHEN: Let me stop you. |
| 14 even though there is a distance, I still think you will be | 14 Madam Examiner, you just said subject property. You |
| 15 able to hear it. And the location in my office to the | 15 don't really mean subject property. You mean -- |
| 16 garbage dumpster, I have a 100-foot tape. I measured from | 16 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: You're right. I mean Mr. |
| 17 my truck to the tape or to the dumpster and it was 300 | 17 Kosary's property. |
| 18 feet, so I feel there will be an impact. | 18 MR. CHEN: Mr. -- yeah. |
| 19 Let's see. The next section pertained to my estimate | 19 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. I'm sorry. Go ahead. |
| 20 of the after value, and we're still in the after valuation | 20 MR. MCPHERSON: Okay. And so, the reason I'm pointing |
| 21 section of my report. | 21 this out is that the buyers of the properties that were |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Where is that? | 22 used in the paradata analysis have less options. So, when |
| 23 MR. MCPHERSON: That's starting on page 43. | 23 you have less options you're willing to accept more |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. | 24 detrimental conditions. And that's just -- and that's |
| 25 MR. MCPHERSON: At the top of the page I mentioned | 25 called -- I think there's an economic principle called the |


| 17 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 principle of mobility. If you're not socially -- at the | 1 that I should have made an upward adjustment to this sale |
| 2 social wherewithal in terms of salaries to support your | 2 because it was more exposed to adverse conditions as |
| 3 purchase options, they're limited, and you would be much | 3 compared to sale number two which was located to the north. |
| 4 likely to accept and do accept conditions that would be | 4 It was in proximity to a veterinarian clinic but separated |
| 5 detrimenta | 5 by Snyder Lane. And the reason I didn't do that was |
| 6 So then if we go back to my page -- my analysis of the | 6 because that we're looking at entry level housing and the |
| 7 paradata which is back on 40 -- | 7 detrimental impact from adverse conditions are less. |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: 44. | 8 So, if the Kosary house was in the position of sale |
| 9 MR. MCPHERSON: 44 I believe it is. Let's see. | 9 number one the adjustment would have been much greater. It |
| 10 Beginning of 44, and you continue to turn back to page 46, | 10 would have had much more negative impact in my opinion. |
| 11 sale one is the sale located between the Citgo gas station | 11 So , I didn't feel any adjustment was required. But with |
| 12 and the veterinarian clinic and that's the one at issue. | 12 this data, there is limited data. It did give me a data |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait. I don't -- | 13 point of 12 percent adjustment for the negative impact of |
| 14 MR. MCPHERSON: Oh, I'm on page 46 | 14 the subject property being sandwiched between two houses. |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I have page -- okay. So, | 15 I moved to another method which is the survey method which |
| 16 let me just -- | 16 is on the next page. And the survey method actually |
| 17 MR. MCPHERSON: It would be back towards the front of | 17 reflects -- |
| 18 the report. | 18 MR. CHEN: When you say next page, I'm on 47 and I |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. | 19 don't see anything about the survey on page 47. |
| 20 MR. CHEN: Are we on the same pages? | 20 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'mat 46 and I see the |
| 21 MR. MCPHERSON: Not yet. It would be -- | 21 survey question. |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: This is -- we're talking | 22 MR. CHEN: I'm fine. I've got it. |
| 23 your report. | 23 MR. MCPHERSON: Okay. I printed this out as a PDF. |
| 24 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. | 24 Okay. Fine. |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Maybe I don't see the | 25 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Sometimes that does alter |
| 18 | 20 |
| numbers. | 1 the -- I have found that if you print it out as a PDF when |
| MR. MCPHERSON: It's missing page 45. | 2 it's a word document it does alter some things, but I have |
| MR. CHEN: The examiner's report is not -- | 3 the PDF that was submitted. |
| MR. MCPHERSON: It doesn't have page 45 in it. | 4 MR. MCPHERSON: And that's -- yeah. |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Well, does anyone | 5 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So, go ahead. |
| have page -- | 6 MR. MCPHERSON: Okay. So, we interviewed six -- well, |
| MR. CHEN: Yeah. Here. | 7 actually we tried to interview more people, realtors, but |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: You know, this is the one | 8 we were able to have six realtors respond to our survey |
| 9 that was copied, so -- | 9 which was attached to the back of the report. And the |
| 10 MR. CHEN: Why don't you -- here's 45 frommine | 10 respondent -- there was a question concerning two |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Thank you very much. | 11 negative -- or two responses where there was no impact and |
| 12 MR. MCPHERSON: Or actually 46 -- or I think it's 46 | 12 that was survey respondent number one, which is again on |
| 13 in my report. Is it -- that's the page. | 13 page 46, Klaus Burtzmeyer. |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So -- | 14 And that was -- as I previously testified to, this |
| 15 MR. MCPHERSON: I don't know what happened then. | 15 gentleman had a very strong accent. We weren't sure he |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, how could that be? | 16 understood our question. He changed I think his position a |
| 17 Well, you know what, I'm going to just -- I'm going to just | 17 couple times and so as appraisers we have to wait and give |
| 18 go with page -- let's continue. It's page 45. | 18 consideration to data points, and we gave less weight to |
| 19 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. | 19 this respondent. |
| 20 MR. CHEN: Madam, can I just check with you what your | 20 Sale number -- on the next page, Audrey Romano, she |
| 21 page 45 looks like? | 21 was the listing agent for the property at 7500 Needwood, |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Sure. Okay. Continue. | 22 and she indicated it could be zero or it could be five. |
| 23 MR. MCPHERSON: Okay. So, sale number one is the | 23 But if you look on the page it's -- the answer to Ms. |
| 24 property, the detached house located between the Citgo gas | 24 Romano -- |
| 25 station and the veterinarian clinic and the criticism was | 25 MR. CHEN: Is this page 47? |


| 21 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes | 1 the other detrimental conditions that I mentioned. Let's |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: 46 on mine. | 2 |
| 3 MR. MCPHERSON: And 49 in mine so -- but | 3 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, what about Ms. |
| 4 that's -- it's -- if you look at the -- let's | 4 Vandermause's opinion that intensity is based solely on |
|  | 5 building flo |
| 6 the last sentence in that paragraph, the first paragraph | 6 MR. MCPHERSON: No, it's -- well, at least in my |
| 7 begins, Ms. Romano was aware of the proposed daycare | 7 opinion if you have a commercial use it's typically |
| 8 did not give specifics. Then the last sentence said, She | 8 developed much more intensely than a residential use. |
| 9 was emailed a survey pertaining to the impact of the | 9 house you have the home site or the (inaudible) surro |
| 10 proposed daycare on the subject but did not respond | 10 the home site, and then you have a rear yard, a side y |
| 11 phone calls for the intervie | 11 a front yard. With a commercial use you have -- the site |
| 12 So, we would call the per | 12 is taken up mainly by the building, the parking lot, the |
| 13 after they had reviewed the email survey which showed | 13 parking lot lights, the stormwater management, the curb, |
| 14 site plan. So, we couldn't confirm that she act | 14 the gutter. So, it's really comparing two different |
| 15 opened the email and saw the proximity of the proposed | 15 things, two different uses. One commercial, more intense, |
| 16 conditional use to the subject. So, she responded zero to | 16 residential, less intense, and it's reflected I think |
| 17 five percent. So, we gave -- we gave less weight to that | 17 the market and in the price too, the values. |
| 18 person or that response. Then the remaining of the | 18 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 19 responses are from eight percent to 30 percent. And -- | 19 MR. MCPHERSON: Let me just -- if I can just check |
| 20 MR. CHEN: When you say remaining responses -- | 20 make sure |
| 21 MR. MCPHERSON: That's f | 21 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Su |
| 22 MR. CHEN: -- that's even taking out Burtzmey | 22 MR. MCPHERSON: -- I've covered everything. Oh, |
| 23 Romano. You s | 23 last point is the methods that I used to extract the impact |
| 24 | 24 of the detrimental conditions on the subject property, in |
| 25 MR. CHEN: -- four others: Bradley, Helfman, Taylor, | 25 my -- well, it's not appropriate to try to use one |
| 22 | 24 |
| 1 Matisse, and Kirkston; is that correct? | 1 percentage adjustment like a 12 percent and then use the |
| 2 MR. MCPHERSON: Correct | 2 data from the survey method and try to make some middle |
| 3 MR. CHEN: O | 3 adjustment. That's kind of like comparing apples |
| 4 MR. MCPHERSON: And it just happens to fall out th | 4 oranges. You hav |
| 5 the respondents with the opinion of the highest damages | 5 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I don't understand w |
| 6 also were the sales agents that had the highest volume o | 6 you're saying. |
| 7 sales over the past three years. The respondents at the | 7 MR. MCPHERSON: We |
| 8 lower end of the range were indicating zero to no impact | 8 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: The percentage |
| 9 All -- excuse me. We didn't -- I didn't survey Ms. | 9 MR. MCPHERSON: So, in the paradata analysis there was |
| 10 Romano's sales, but Klaus Burtzmeyer, his sales volume was | 10 a 12 percent adjustment. |
| 11 at the lower end of the range | 11 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes. |
| 12 And then there was a question about intensity of use | 12 MR. MCPHERSON: And then in the sales -- in the survey |
| 13 and I believe in change in the neighborhood. My opinio | 13 method there was another range. I think Ms. Vandermaus |
| 14 has been in my report, and it still continues, is that if | 14 said that really if you look at the adjustment I extracted |
| 15 the conditional use site was developed with residential | 15 from the paradata which was 12 percent was more intense and |
| 16 uses, that would be in conformity with the subdivision. | 16 that somehow could be adjusted when comparing the survey |
| 17 mean with the zoning, with the subdivision, with the use | 17 from the realtors, and you really can't do that. You have |
| 18 And conformity tends to increase the value of properties. | 18 to -- you look at each data set and analysis separately and |
| 19 Like Needwood, 7500 Needwood Road, that property | 19 then you can weight them through reconciliation. Maybe you |
| 20 selling at 920 is probably going to have a positive impact. | 20 give less weight to the paradata analysis and you give more |
| 21 It 's going to push -- raise the water level up on prices. | 21 weight to the survey method, but to try to use a percentage |
| 22 So, if the houses were built in place of the proposed | 22 adjustment fromone method to anothe |
| 23 conditional use, it wouldn't be negative. With | 23 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. I understan |
| 24 conditional use that is proposed, you have | 24 MR |
| 25 entrance driveway right off the property line, and you have | 25 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I had a question about her |


| opinion that the neighborhood is changing because it's | 1 there's a fairly decent either side yard or front yard on |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 likely that in-fill development will occur and this could | 2 the house immediately to the north. |
| 3 be developed with another church. Can you comment on that? | 3 MR. MCPHERSON: That's sale number two. |
| 4 MR. MCPHERSON: Sure. So, in real estate change | 4 MR. KLINE: Pardon me? That's sale number two. |
| 5 is -- that's part of the basis of valuation, markets | 5 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes. |
| 6 change. And the subject property is a mature development, | 6 MR. KLINE: Yeah. So, I had trouble -- please explain |
| 7 but if you look at the trends in the subdivision, the | 7 again why you feel that sale number one is a better example |
| 8 been one house that has been constructed and that was at | 8 than sale number two? Why is -- I would think that the |
| 9 Needwood and that sold for $\$ 920,000$, and before that there | 9 spatial relationship or the separation would give a |
| 10 was the church. | 10 different percentage of reduction of value if you want to |
| 11 But to say change is going to impact the value of the | 11 attribute it to the veterinary clinic coming in. And I'll |
| 12 Kosary's house I don't think is valid because a typical | 12 put that into context. When you used the phrase |
| 13 buyer coming into the neighborhood, they're looking at the | 13 socialization it just didn't -- it didn't -- froma land |
| 14 zoning, what's permitted, a house, but you could do a | 14 use point of view didn't make any sense to me. |
| 15 church, but there's no application for a church. It's | 15 MR. MCPHERSON: I'm sorry. It should have been |
| 16 speculative whether it would even take place. And so, the | 16 socioeconom |
| 17 change that they're anticipating is spot developments where | 17 MR. KLINE: Socioeconomic. So, I mean it sounds like |
| 18 you have single family houses developed. | 18 you're saying poor people can't pay as much so they'll live |
| 19 You know, there was a -- closer inside the Beltway, | 19 with a greater amount of imposition on them than what |
| 20 Chevy Chase, Bethesda, you have in-fills where they take | 20 happened on the house to the north. |
| 21 houses and tear them down and then they construct new | 21 MR. MCPHERSON: Well, both houses. The houses front |
| 22 houses, but they're residential houses. And again, | 22 on New Hampshire Avenue. If you ever come down Veirs Mill |
| 23 conformity tends to increase value in a subdivision. So | 23 Road -- |
| 24 even though that potential is there and the change is | 24 MR. KLINE: Sure. |
| 25 there, I think the expectations of a typical buyer would be | 25 MR. MCPHERSON: -- there's a lot of traffic. |
| 26 | 28 |
| for residential use, which was, again, what is the | 1 MR. KLINE: I got the special exception for the vet |
| 2 predominant use in the subdivision. | 2 clinic so I'm familiar with the property. |
| And again, in terms of the number of changes that have | 3 MR. MCPHERSON: Okay. So again, my opinion is |
| en place, it's been a quarter of a century and we've had | 4 that -- and I think it's shown in the marketplace is that |
| Taiwanese Church has been constructed and then 7500 | 5 houses that are priced in this case below 400,000, the |
| 6 Needwood. So, the change, if it is -- which it is | 6 buyers don't have as many options and their income levels |
| 7 happening, I think is slow and would be more likely to be | 7 won't support a higher -- because they can't -- they have |
| 8 developed with a house, which again would be in conformity | 8 fewer options, so they're willing to accept more |
| 9 with the neighborhood. | 9 detrimental conditions. |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Thank you. | 10 For example, if you switch it, if you go to Potomac in |
| 11 Mr. Chen, do you have further questions? | 11 certain areas, there are potential detrimental conditions |
| 12 MR. CHEN: No. | 12 of Potomac that don't exist anywhere else in Montgomery |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Mr. Kline? | 13 County, but in Potomac they do exist. So, each location is |
| 14 MR. KLINE: Mr. McPherson, can I take you back to your | 14 different, and my point is with the New Hampshire Avenue, |
| 15 New Hampshire Avenue para analysis, if I can use that | 15 if you had a buyer -- if you put the Kosary house there, |
| 16 phrase? | 16 either there or -- it would be a significantly |
| 17 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes | 17 more -- higher percentage of a negative value or negative |
| 18 MR. KLINE: All right. Thank you. And understanding | 18 adjustment for detrimental condition. So that's why I |
| 19 I don't have all the paperwork. So, heading from the south | 19 think my 12 percent is an indication of a detrimental |
| 20 to the north we have a gas station. We have so many older | 20 condition. |
| 21 single family detached residents. Then we have a house | 21 MR. KLINE: A lot of what you and Ms. Vandermause |
| 22 that gets converted into a veterinary clinic. Then we have | 22 disagree on is a professional judgment; would you agree |
| 23 a street north of that, Cider Lane. | 23 with that? Her analysis has foundation, yours has |
| 24 MR. MCPHERSON: Lane, | 24 foundation. Would you agree that we're talking a lot about |
| 25 MR. KLINE: And then if I recall from the pictures, | 25 just gut reactions or your professional application of your |


| 29 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 principles? | 1 MR. KLINE: Ms. Vandermause, I think you probably |
| 2 MR. MCPHERSON: Yes, but I'd like to add that my | 2 could tell from my questions that you and Mr. McPherson are |
| 3 analysis includes data that I spent a lot of time | 3 talking a bit over my head, so I'm just going to ask you to |
| 4 researching. Sales, trying to find sales that were | 4 respond to his comments but keeping your responses to what |
| 5 sandwiched. Interviewing realtors. And her analysis is an | 5 he just testified about today. |
| 6 analysis of my data and so that's where I think the | 6 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. Well, since we were on the |
| 7 distinction is | 7 gas station/veterinary clinic example -- |
| 8 MR. KLINE: But it does look surprising to take a look | 8 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: That's the paired sales? |
| 9 at your analysis of the survey document and the two that | 9 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah. Well, yes, that was the |
| 10 say zero or zero to five percent are the two that you | 10 paired sales. We have |
| 11 consider to have the least amount of weight and value. So | 11 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: See, I do assimilate some of |
| 13 MR. MCPHERSON: It's a judgment call that I make every | 13 Or maybe I'm wrong. |
| 14 day in my life as an appraiser. We're tasked with making | 14 MS. VANDERMAUSE: No, 47 was the language barrier. |
| 15 judgment in | 15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, go ahead. |
| 16 part of the reconciliation process. If you have a sale you | 16 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. Well, it's a simple point. |
| 17 have the right to use it or not use it, or if you are going | 17 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yeah. |
| 18 to give more weight to one sale than another, or one value | 18 MS. VANDERMAUSE: The two points. If the buyers in |
| 19 to another, that's a decision you do as an appraiser every | 19 the New Hampshire Avenue house had fewer options then how |
| 20 | 20 is it comparable to the subject? And so why did he use it |
| 21 MR. KLINE: I can see that from an economic point of | 21 as an example? And why did you take the 12 percent |
| 22 view, but to disregard the lowest number because it's | 22 difference in value and apply New Hampshire Avenue and |
| 23 language problem or lack of ability to understand the | 23 apply it unadjusted to the subject? And then it does -- |
| 24 situation strikes me as being a judgment call on your part. | 24 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I thought he did adjust it |
| 25 Could you have not spent more time with the gentleman | 25 MS. VANDERMAUSE: No, he took the 12, brought it over |
| 30 | 32 |
| 1 try and get a better reading? | 1 to his thing, then reconciled. Looked at 12, looked at the |
| 2 MR. MCPHERSON: As I recall we made two or three phone | 2 other data, and came to 10. He put a -- |
| 3 calls and this gentleman had a very strong German acce | 3 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What is -- okay. Go ahead. |
| 4 He was -- the first conversation was different from th | 4 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Remember he had zero to 30 -- |
| 5 second conversation. So again, I feel we made the adequate | 5 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yeah. |
| 6 attempt to get the information from the person. But again, | 6 MS. VANDERMAUSE: -- and he said I go here at ten. |
| 7 I didn't choose these because these were at the lower end | 7 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes. |
| 8 of the range. It's just where they fell. I'm at ten | 8 MS. VANDERMAUSE: And then he took the 12 and he said, |
| 9 percent. I could have easily been at 30 percent. I'm just | 9 you know, based on his selection he came down to ten. So |
| 10 giving you the reason why I'm at 10 percent | 10 first of all, using that gas station was flawed to begin |
| 11 MR. KLINE: Yeah, you explained that | 11 with. I don't know why he used it. And secondly -- |
| 12 MR. MCPHERSON: Okay. | 12 MR. KLINE: I'm sorry. Why is that the case? |
| 13 MR. KLINE: I understand that. I have no further | 13 MR. CHEN: Excuse me. That's beyond -- and that was |
| 14 questions, but I would like to call Ms. Vandermause. | 14 even testified to on Friday. |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: After -- Mr. Chen, redirect? | 15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: That's true. |
| 16 MR. CHEN: No further questions | 16 MR. KLINE: Okay. That's fine. |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: All right. Thank you. | 17 MS. VANDERMAUSE: But to say that it's poor people and |
| 18 Okay. You may be excused. Thank you. I take it we are | 18 they're disadvantaged and that they can live next to a gas |
| 19 having Ms. Vandermause now | 19 station and then say -- I mean I've never used that as an |
| 20 MR. KLINE: Unfortunately, | 20 appraisal technique in my life if you're going to use |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Ms. Vandermause. | 21 comparable sales. So, it just -- it's just not a good |
| 22 MS. VANDERMAUSE: I'm sorry. | 22 sale. He used -- then he put weight on it. It wasn't a |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: You're still under oath, Ms. | 23 good technique. And poor people adjustment is -- |
| 24 Vandermause. | 24 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Socioeconomic I think is the |
| 25 Mr . Kline. |  |


| 33 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah, but, you know, it's saying | 1 MR. CHEN: Objection. That's beyond what Mr. |
| 2 that they can only afford to live next to gas stations, and | 2 McPh [son testified to today. |
| 3 that's not an appraisal technique. We're to reflect the | 3 MS. VANDERMAUSE: No, he did. |
| 4 market and I | 4 MR. KLINE: I think it was the premise of the way she |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, he's saying that is | 5 started her answer |
| 6 part of the market, that they can't afford -- well, I don't | 6 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay |
| 7 want to argue. Go ahead. Keep going. | 7 MR. KLINE: -- is probably (inaudible), so let's |
| 8 MS. VANDERMAUSE: We're looking at a lower priced | 8 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What point that Mr. |
| 9 house, and it's just bad data all around. And then the | 9 McPherson testified to today are you responding to? |
| 10 next thing he said when he was going through the list of | 10 MS. VANDERMAUSE: When he went down -- when we went |
| 11 detrimental conditions and saying we looked at the side | 11 down the list of detrimental conditions and it's this 220 |
| 12 yard and the distance fromthe parking lot and the light | 12 feet from the patio and |
| 13 stuff, and he said that we said no detrimental conditions. | 13 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 14 That is not what we said. We said any development on the | 14 MS. VANDERMAUSE: -- and the garbage truck and his |
| 15 property adjacent to the Kosary house will have some form | 15 thing about measuring the -- |
| 16 of detrimental conditions, something that will take away | 16 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 17 the quiet setting that they have. So, any development | 17 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. When he was talking about |
| 18 whether it's houses or another church or a school, they'll | 18 detrimental conditions, and detrimental conditions are like |
| 19 have some form. But he didn't make -- not make any | 19 losing the quiet peaceful setting. And he said -- his |
| 20 comparison of anything other than a school. So, we | 20 statement said we said there were no detrimental |
| 21 acknowledge the setting is going to change | 21 conditions. That's not what we said. We said any use on |
| 22 And then when he says conformity of single family | 22 the subject property, adjoining the property -- |
| 23 development homes eliminates the quiet and peacefil | 23 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I thought -- okay. Never |
| 24 enjoyment, well if you go to page 22 of his report he says, | 24 mind. |
| 25 Prospective homebuyers of detached homes in the | 25 MS. VANDERMAUSE: -- whether it's houses, a church, or |
| 34 | 36 |
| 1 neighborhood are attracted, it's a market perception, by | 1 the school will change the setting and there will be some |
| 2 the relatively large lots and provide quiet, secluded, and | 2 loss of privacy, noise, cars coming and going. Any use is |
| 3 private settings that are free from disturbances. The | 3 going to take away their open field next door. Does that |
| 4 owner of the subject property (inaudible). So, he says | 4 help? |
| 5 they expect quiet, secluded, and private settings, but | 5 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes. |
| 6 they'll lose that even if you put houses next door. So | 6 MS. VANDERMAUSE: And then I think the last point was |
| 7 that goes to, okay, it's going to change and permitted use | 7 the market survey that Mr. McPherson did, there was some |
| 8 is going to change it. And then we talked about | 8 good data there, and we acknowledge that. His report, it |
| 9 eliminating the low end of the range. | 9 suffered from what any appraiser would have suffered from |
| 10 MR. CHEN: Excuse me. The lady testified "and then we | 10 which is a lack of market data. It's not that he didn't go |
| 11 talked about". She's supposed to be responding to what she | 11 out -- and, you know, he went to look for data that would |
| 12 heard orally from Mr. McPherson. | 12 support the question we need to answer in this hearing, and |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Who do you mean by we? | 13 his market survey was good and he got some good responses, |
| 14 MS. VANDERMAUSE: What did I say? | 14 he documented it well, and when we saw this we said, yes, |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: We talked about. | 15 this is good. |
| 16 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, I thought meant in this hearing | 16 And when we looked at it we took exception to the fact |
| 17 when I testified. | 17 that he eliminated one of the respondents on the basis that |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, okay, can you be more | 18 it was hard to communicate with this agent. But if this |
| 19 -- | 19 agent is an agent in this market and he's dealing |
| 20 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Sure. | 20 effectively and selling and listing homes, I don't think |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- specific about -- are you | 21 that language barrier can hold any weight when you say we |
| 22 saying you testified? | 22 didn't -- I don't think he understood our survey questions, |
| 23 MS. VANDERMAUSE: When I testified in our testimony | 23 so we had to eliminate the zero response. |
| 24 about detrimental conditions and our report said that any | 24 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 25 use -- | 25 MR. KLINE: And he didn't say eliminate, he just said |
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reduced the weight given to it.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah, reduced the weight. Yeah.
    MR. KLINE: Right.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: But they did eliminate -- they
didn't eliminate any numbers at the high end of the range,
only at the lower end of the range.
    MR. KLINE: Was that all you'd like to say?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: I think that -- yeah.
    MR. KLINE: All right. Thank you. Thank you for the
opportunity to provide that rebuttal testimony.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Mr. Chen --
        MR. CHEN:Thank you.
        HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- cross?
        Ms. Vandermause, you have to stay there. It's cross-
examination.
        MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't want to be
7 --
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: That's okay.
    MR. CHEN: Just on that last point. You said that Mr.
McPherson eliminated the realtor who had the communication
issue, right?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
    MR. CHEN: And then you went on to say that that was
    eliminating a low end information, correct?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: I said that, yes.
    MR. CHEN: And that he had not eliminated any of the
high end.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct.
    MR. CHEN: Now his opinion is ten percent; is that
correct?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's correct.
    MR. CHEN: As he testified and as the survey shows,
high end was up in like 30 percent; isn't that correct?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's correct.
    MR. CHEN: So that if you're going to use numbers, he
is 20 points below the high end and ten points above the
low end.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct.
    MR. CHEN: So, if you're talking about how he
interpreted and weighed the responsive information from the
realtors, certainly the end result was a much larger gap
between the high end and the low end; isn't that correct?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's correct.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. And you also stated that any
development is going to hurt the quiet setting and will be
a change on the impact on my client's property, the Kosary
property; isn't that correct?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mr. McPherson said that the market
    wants that kind of setting and they want --
    MR. CHEN: That was not my question. Was your -- my

MR. CHEN: And that he had not eliminated any of the high end.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct.
MR. CHEN: Now his opinion is ten percent; is that
correct?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's correct.
MR. CHEN: As he testified and as the survey shows,
high end was up in like 30 percent; isn't that correct?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's correct.
10 MR. CHEN: So that if you're going to use numbers, he
is 20 points below the high end and ten points above the
low end.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Correct.
MR. CHEN: So, if you're talking about how he
interpreted and weighed the responsive information from the
16 realtors, certainly the end result was a much larger gap
18 MS. VANDERMAUSE The
18 MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's correct.
19 MR. CHEN: Okay. And you also stated that any
20 development is going to hurt the quiet setting and will be
21 a change on the impact on my client's property, the Kosary
22 property; isn't that correct?
23 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Mr. McPherson said that the market
24 wants that kind of setting and they want --
25 MR. CHEN: That was not my question. Was your -- my
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question is your testimony is that any change is going to bring a detrimental impact on the neighboring property; isn't that correct? Do you want me to read it back to you?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes, I -- I remember -- I know what you're saying. But we were -- yes. I mean it's going
to -- if you're counting detrimental, everybody is -- I
think detrimental conditions we're talking in terms of how
big a building is next door, if there's going to be lights and noise and --

MR. CHEN: Right.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: You know, so anything, sure, there's going to be noise and lights and cars coming and going from any use.
MR. CHEN: And it's your opinion that you could have
three houses, detached houses on the subject property
instead of this conditional use; is that right?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: With the absence of an engineering
and a site plan and you look -- I'm trying to reflect the
market. You come in and say, okay, what could be put on
this site and you look around and say it's reasonable for the market to expect.

MR. CHEN: That's not my question, ma'am. You assume
that there's going to be the ability to have three detached
single family dwellings on the subject property.
MR. KLINE: The applicant will stipulate --

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Just a second.
MR. KLINE: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Oh, go ahead. I -MR. KLINE: Well --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I thought --
MR. KLINE: Yeah, it was actually testified by the
civil engineer and --
MR. CHEN: Well, I'm just going by what this witness
is relying upon.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Let him ask the question. MR. KLINE: All right.
MR. CHEN: I mean isn't that your testimony, ma'am?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: You may have to ask the question again.

MR. CHEN: My understanding is you assumed -- and I
know you're not a surveyor.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: And you well may have heard the information
9 from another witness such as the engineer. I understand
20 that and I'm not challenging you. I just want to make it
21 clear that you made the assumption that there would be the
22 possibility of three single family detached dwellings that
23 could be built on the subject property; isn't that correct?
24 MS. VANDERMAUSE: I know what you're saying. Okay.
25 MR. CHEN: It's yes or no.
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    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Sort of.
    MR. CHEN: Excuse me?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes. We described a situation with
    three homes, and it was in terms of the market coming in
and how they weigh what could possibly happen.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: Please, ma'am, I just want to make sure
you're --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I did describe three potential homes
on the property.
MR. CHEN: Yes.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Could be.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay.
MR. CHEN: Now, that would be presumably there for
three families; is that correct?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes.
MR. CHEN: How many children are going to be in this
daycare center?
MR. KLINE: Objection. I don't see the -- I mean
we're talking about the appraisal issues and this is --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, he's basing
her -- he's questioning the assumptions of her opinion that
change will --
MR. CHEN: Come.
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\section*{41}
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm going to let it in.
It's the assumptions underlying her opinions that she just
gave.
    MR. CHEN: Exactly.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Or you're saying she didn't
give that opinion.
    MR. KLINE: I think what --
    MR. CHEN: She did.
    MR. KLINE: The question is leading up to having a
negative effect and I'm not sure she testified that it is a
negative effect. She said it is a change though.
    MR. CHEN: No, that's not --
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: No, she's testifying that it
won't have the negative -- she's rebutting Mr. McPherson's
opinion that it will have a negative effect. So, I'm going
to let him ask it.
    MR. CHEN: Do you understand my question, ma'am? Are
you aware of how many children will be at the commercial
use that is the daycare center?
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: I believe there's 195.
    MR. CHEN: Yes, plus 32 staff.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay.
    MR. CHEN: Now do equate the types of activities that
    would result from that type of use with all those children
    in one large building, or one building, with three

1 residential houses?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I don't think they're the same.
MR. CHEN: In what way are they not the same?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Well, we never -- we said there was
not enough data. That's why we didn't go through paired
sales analysis. Wait a minute. There was not enough data
to weigh in on an exact number. That's why Mr. McPherson
did a survey and we looked at it and there's where the
survey gave you and the survey focused on change due to a
school. So, he never asked the respondents in the survey,
well, what would change with a house, what will change with a church.

MR. CHEN: Excuse me. I'm not asking about --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes, you are.
MR. CHEN: -- him. I'm talking about your testimony.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Don't --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, I'm sorry. I just --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Do not challenge --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: I'm sorry. I apologize. Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- the questions. This is his job.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. I apologize. Go ahead.
MR. CHEN: The simple question was do you equate three
4 residences with three families on that subject property?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And that was -- I asked -- I
did ask that to Mr. McPherson too, why did he agree with
her analysis that three homes would be just as -- no, I
asked him about using only FAR. So --
MR. CHEN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- go ahead.
MR. CHEN: Okay. My question is --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: All right.
MR. CHEN: -- are you equating the activity from three
single family dwellings on the property with a conditional
use for a daycare center for 195 children and 32 staff?
Are you equating the two?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: No.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And I think you said that there is a difference.

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Between houses and a daycare?
MR. CHEN: Yes.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And I presume you also would
therefore mean that there's a difference in the detrimental
impact between the three houses and the daycare center.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Sure. Yeah.
MR. CHEN: What in your opinion are the differences in
the detrimental impact between the two?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: There's not enough data to come with
25 a spot value but --
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    MR. CHEN: Oh, okay.
    MS. VANDERMAUSE: -- the survey focused on the
    daycare, but there was no survey about homes --
MR. CHEN: Okay. IfI may, ma'am--
MS. VANDERMAUSE: -- but in page 22 of Mr. McPherson's
report he stated --
MR. CHEN: Ma'am, my question is not his report. My
question is what is your opinion of the difference in the
detrimental impact between the two uses?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: They're different.
MR. CHEN: Just tell us in your -- what is the
difference, ma'am?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: You want me to come to a spot
number, right?
MR. CHEN: No.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: No.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, you want me to describe the
differences?
MR. CHEN: Yes, ma'am.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, okay.
MR. CHEN: You said there's a difference in
detrimental impact. I would like to know your opinion of
what is the difference in the detrimental impact --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, okay.
MR. CHEN: -- between the two.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, okay.
MR. CHEN: Jesus Christ.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Hey.
MR. CHEN: I apologize.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: No.
MR. CHEN: I sincerely apologize.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I am not going to have this
by either body. Stop. Both of us, I don't want under your
breath responses.
MR. CHEN: Yeah, I apologize.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I don't want that. We treat
each other with civility, and I'm trying to listen to what
she has to say. Now --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. I get it now because I
thought we were staying with --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: You thought that he wanted
you to come up with a valuation on the spot difference.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right, but to describe the
difference between a house sitting on that property as
opposed to a daycare; is that correct?
MR. CHEN: Let me state it again.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay.
MR. CHEN: I apologize. You stated that there was a
difference in the detrimental impact between three
residential houses on the subject property and the daycare
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\section*{MR. CHEN: Oh, okay.}

MS. VANDERMAUSE: -- the survey focused on the
daycare, but there was no survey about homes --
MR. CHEN: Okay. IfI may, ma'am--
MS. VANDERMAUSE: -- but in page 22 of Mr. McPherson's
report he stated --
MR. CHEN: Ma'am, my question is not his report. My
question is what is your opinion of the difference in the
detrimental impact between the two uses?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: They're different.
MR. CHEN: Just tell us in your -- what is the
difference, ma'am?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: You want me to come to a spot
number, right?
MR. CHEN: No.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: No.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, you want me to describe the differences?
MR. CHEN: Yes, ma'am
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, okay.
MR. CHEN: You said there's a difference in
detrimental impact. I would like to know your opinion of
what is the difference in the detrimental impact --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, okay.
MR. CHEN: -- between the two.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Oh, okay.
MR. CHEN: Jesus Christ.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Hey.
MR. CHEN: I apologize.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: No.
MR. CHEN: I sincerely apologize.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I am not going to have this
by either body. Stop. Both of us, I don't want under your
breath responses.
MR. CHEN: Yeah, I apologize.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I don't want that. We treat
each other with civility, and I'm trying to listen to what
she has to say. Now --
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. I get it now because I
thought we were staying with --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: You thought that he wanted
you to come up with a valuation on the spot difference.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right, but to describe the
difference between a house sitting on that property as
opposed to a daycare; is that correct?
MS. VANDERMAUSE. Okay.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay.
MR. CHEN: I apologize. You stated that there was a
difference in the detrimental impact between three
residential houses on the subject property and the daycare
center.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Right.
MR. CHEN: And all I'm asking is what are the different detrimental impacts between the two?

MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay. Well, you'll see that the houses, the market would look at the houses that are now the newest house in the neighborhood, and it's larger in mass and scale. It's two stories. And when we describe the density of building, because that was one of the things we looked at, how big these houses are compared to other uses, the houses will bring more building square footage. So, the house will sit -- one of the houses will sit right 13 up against the property line for the Kosary house.
14 Then if the engineering and the site plan works out 15 there will be three houses, could possibly. The market 6 would say, okay, let's do three houses. So, they'll be 17 larger houses and there will be more square footage of 8 building area and they'll be two stories. And there will be less traffic, but there won't be no traffic. There will still be people coming and going. There won't be a parking lot. So, we all know how houses operate, yards, sometimes a basketball court, swimming pools. So, there's always 3 some activity happens on a house. So, there will be larger 4 houses up against the property.
5 When you go to the daycare and you look at the site,
they've left the back part that a lot of it is up against
the property line for the house, they've left that open.
Part of it is forest conservation. Then you have the parking lot. And then the daycare center is at the front of the site, not up -- you know, not towards the back of the site. And it's a one-story building. But then you have the associated activities with the daycare where twice a day, not twice a day, but I guess mainly twice a day, you could say morning and evening, people are coming and dropping off, picking up their kids. Staff is coming. There will be deliveries and there will be some trash truck. And they'll have their activity which will be different from three houses. So, if--

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, let me stop you. She's describing the differences. Do you have any other questions, or does that end your question or what?

MR. CHEN: I had some follow up but -HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Keep going. MR. CHEN: -- I don't want to interrupt the lady. HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Keep going. MS. VANDERMAUSE: And then just another minor point, the daycare is operating during the day when a lot of people are at work. There's no evenings, no weekends, no 4 holidays. So, you'll have the traffic and the kids playing 5 outside during the day. And a lot can be said for the
houses, people are at work and they all come home. And
there are differences.
MR. CHEN: Are you assuming that each of the three
dwelling units would be up against the property line with
the Kosary property?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: The only thing I could state is that
they would have to follow zoning regulations for rear yard
or front yard setback. I don't know how they're going to
situate the houses, if they're going to turn them away or
10 towards them. So, there will be yard setbacks.
\(11 \quad\) MR. CHEN: Okay. But you did say twice just a few
12 moments ago that they would be right up against the
13 property line.
\(14 \quad\) MS. VANDERMAUSE: IfI said that I didn't mean it. I
15 said they will be opposite. They will have a house where
16 there will be the typical setbacks between residential
17 houses, but you'll see the Kosary house and you'll see a
18 house here. Right now, they don't have that.
19 MR. CHEN: Do you know the setback under the zoning
20 ordinance for the house that would be constructed on the
21 subject property?
22 MS. VANDERMAUSE: I don't have that committed to
23 memory.
24 MR. CHEN: Just it's -- for your information it's 17
25 feet. feet.

\section*{MS. VANDERMAUSE: Is it front or rear?}

MR. CHEN: Side.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Side.
MR. CHEN: Side of the house, yes.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Okay.
MR. CHEN: Do you know how far the driveway for the
conditional use which will be a 20 -foot wide two-way
traffic driveway to service the commercial use, do you know
how far that driveway will be off the property line?
10 MS. VANDERMAUSE: I think it goes along the property line.

MR. CHEN: Yeah. How far off would it be, ma'am?
13 MS. VANDERMAUSE: Along the property line. Okay. I
don't know that. I can't -- okay.
15 MR. CHEN: I'm talking about the distance between the 16 driveway and the property line. You're correct. It does 17 go along it, but there's space between it, and I'm asking 18 do you know how wide that space will be?
19 MS. VANDERMAUSE: I don't remember that but --
20 MR. CHEN: If I told you it's supposed to be 12 feet
21 would that help you refresh your recollection about how far 22 off the driveway it will be?
23 MS. VANDERMAUSE: That's fine. I don't -- yeah.
24 MR. CHEN: So, as I understand your testimony, you've
25 been equating the residential development with the
commercial development in your testimony that you've just
finished all the different activities, correct?
    MR. KLINE: Objection. I don't believe that's the
exclusive. She said there are other uses that could occur
on the property that would have the same effect.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Can you not character -- can
you ask your question without characterizing her testimony?
    MR. CHEN: Okay. It is cross-examination.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I know.
    MR. CHEN: And to Mr. Kline's point, the witness was
utilizing and making the assumption of a daycare center and
that's all I'm doing. You know, she expressly talked about
the daycare center compared to the residences.
    MR. KLINE: She was responding to questions you asked.
In her testimony she did talk about other uses that could
occur on the property.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, I'm going to let him
ask the question.
    MR. CHEN: Well, I'm going to is -- and I'm just about
done. So, as I understand your testimony on the activities
that the Kosary's would have if it was residential
development would be basketball players and maybe a
swimming pool and a play yard for the children of the
house; is that right?
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Of the houses, the new houses?

MR. CHEN: Yes.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: It could be. Not guaranteed they
would do that, but that's something you could have.
MR. CHEN: Yes, and I think in your report you even
noted those types of residential activities would be
associated with residential development.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: I'm okay. That's all.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Redirect, Mr. Kline?
MR. KLINE: Thank you. No.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. You may be excused.
MS. VANDERMAUSE: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So are we on Mr. Intriago
now or --
MR. KLINE: Yes. I was going to say it's going to
take us a minute to get Mr. Intriago up here. When you see
him, you will disapprove of the fact that our hearings are
not a contact sport.
Mr. Intriago, can you limp up here please?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, we can take a -- does
he need to arrange --
MR. KLINE: It will take him that long to get up here.
That's right.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, we could take a fiveminute break if you would go off the record.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 53 & 55 \\
\hline 1 (Off the record at 10:46:31 a.m.) & 1 Taylor? \\
\hline 2 COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record. & 2 MR. INTRIAGO: I know Mr. Matt Taylor. Yes, sir. \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Thank you. & 3 MR. CHEN: Were you present when Mr. Taylor -- \\
\hline Mr. Intriago - & 4 MR. INTRIAGO: I was present when Mr. Taylor \\
\hline 5 MR. INTRIAGO: Good morning, ma'am. & 5 was -- yes. \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- welcome back. & 6 MR. CHEN: And he testified that this building was a \\
\hline 7 MR. INTRIAGO: Thank you. & 7 prototypical Primrose building, isn't that right, sir? \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: You're still under oath. & 8 MR. INTRIAGO: Well, if he said so then I guess it is. \\
\hline 9 MR. INTRIAGO: Understood. Yes, ma'am. & 9 MR. CHEN: And I believe Mr. Alt -- were you present \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. I think Mr. Chen & 10 when Mr. Alt testified? \\
\hline 11 up or Mr. -- & 11 MR. INTRIAGO: I was present when Mr. Alt was her \\
\hline 12 Mr. KLINE: I -- & 12 well. \\
\hline 13 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm sorry. Go ahead. & 13 MR. CHEN: And he -- Alt confirmed that, but he also \\
\hline 14 MR. KLINE: Yeah. Jody Kline. I believe that we had & 14 said that the shape of the building has been the same, that \\
\hline 15 completed our examination with Mr. Intriago. & 15 as you just testified it was relocated on the site, turned \\
\hline 16 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: That's what I thought but -- & 16 around maybe and what not, but the building was the \\
\hline 17 MR. KLINE: That your recollection? & 17 Primrose building. \\
\hline 18 MR. CHEN: I don't have a recollection. & 18 MR. INTRIAGO: I'm going to leave that to their \\
\hline 19 MR. KLINE: Right. Exactly. So, yes, I want to say & 19 testimony, but the only thing I can say is that the \\
\hline 20 that we have completed our interrogation of Mr. Intriago & 20 building from where it started back in 2017 -- the building \\
\hline 21 and offer him for cross-examination purposes. & 21 you have right there in front of you on Exhibit 148 is \\
\hline 22 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Thank you. & 22 completely different. And it was not like a cookie cutter \\
\hline 23 MR. CHEN: Mr. Intriago, as I understand it at the & 23 thing because I personally had to request a bunch of \\
\hline 24 time of this assignment you were with the engineering firm 25 that has prepared all the plans in this case; is that & 24 changes to accommodate engineering like water connections, \\
\hline 54 & 56 \\
\hline 1 right? & 1 making it like a house-looking building. We also talked \\
\hline 2 MR. INTRIAGO: Good morning, Mr. Chen. Yes, at the & 2 about having the narrow side of the building facing \\
\hline 3 time of -- I was with Maser Consulting, correct. & 3 Needwood Road, so it doesn't look (inaudible) and \\
\hline 4 MR. CHEN: And you were part of the team, the Maser & 4 MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
\hline 5 team on the assignment; is that right? & 5 MR. INTRIAGO: So, I cannot say one way or the other. \\
\hline 6 MR. INTRIAGO: I would like to say I was leading the & 6 MR. CHEN: Okay. But you accept Mr. Taylor's \\
\hline 7 team. & 7 testimony supported by -- \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: Fine. Thank you very & 8 MR. INTRIAGO: I have \\
\hline 9 testimony has been that the project that we have here is a & 9 MR. CHEN: Excuse me, sir. Supported by Mr. Alt's \\
\hline 10 prototypical Primrose building; is that right, sir? & 10 testimony that this is a prototypical Primrose building? \\
\hline 11 MR. INTRIAGO: That's right and if I may explain my & 11 MR. INTRIAGO: I have no way to contest that, Mr. \\
\hline 12 answer. You're correct with that. So, we started with a & 12 Chen. \\
\hline 13 prototypical building and along the way we got & 13 MR. CHEN: Okay. Do you accept it? \\
\hline 14 recommendations and comments. The building changed a lot & 14 MR. INTRIAGO: I don't know how to tell you that. I \\
\hline 15 of times, like it was flipped, and north, and back and & 15 have no way to contest that. I don't know. \\
\hline 16 forth. So, I don't know if the name for that would be & 16 MR. CHEN: Okay. And are you aware of the Primrose \\
\hline 17 prototypical. We changed it so many times, like the room & 17 program? \\
\hline 18 for my water, my water room, my sewer connection. So, & 18 MR. INTRIAGO: I have worked with Primrose before. \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
19 there's a lot of small changes along the way that happened \\
20 based on feedback from staff and -- so I don't know if I
\end{tabular} & 19 So, I have -- this is the second expert witness testimony I 20 provide for Montgomery County for them, and I have looked \\
\hline 21 can call it prototypical. I'll leave that to Mr. Alt to & 21 for different projects for them in different jurisdictions \\
\hline 22 answer that question, but we started with one that was & 22 all over northern Virginia, Maryland, West Virginia, \\
\hline 23 still prototypical. & 23 hopefully Pittsburg. Yes, sir. \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: Okay. Give me a second. Well, as I & 24 MR. CHEN: And what is the program for this site and \\
\hline 25 understand the testimony from Mr. Taylor -- you know Mr. & 25 this building? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & 57 \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
MR. INTRIAGO: You're going to have to repeat the \\
question or rephrase because I don't understand that \\
question, sir. \\
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, how many children are supposed to \\
be using this facility? \\
MR. INTRIAGO: So, this facility is being proposed as \\
195 children, sir. \\
MR. CHEN: Fine. And how many staff? \\
MR. INTRIAGO: Thirty-two staff. It's 30 staff with \\
10 two administrators, sir. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. And that has been the size, if \\
that's the right word to use, for this facility since day \\
one; is that correct? \\
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, from day one they were actually \\
going around the 200 number, but you would adjust it here \\
and there and it ended up being 195. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
MR. INTRIAGO: But it's -- you know, at the beginning \\
they didn't give me an exact number, so it's usually around \\
that 200, but it ended up being 195 at the end. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
MR. INTRIAGO: That's my understanding, sir. \\
MR. CHEN: And as I understand it, you provide \\
engineering services for that type of facility. \\
5 MR. INTRIAGO: I provide engineering services based on
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
the building that was provided and coordination with staff. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. And the building -- you just used the words "the building that was provided". \\
MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. And who provided that building, sir? \\
MR. INTRIAGO: Back in 2017 we have an original \\
building. As I was saying, that is different from the one \\
we have right here. That was -- we were provided a \\
building in 2017 or ' 16. \\
MR. CHEN: Who? My question is who provided the building? \\
MR. INTRIAGO: I need to -- I need to check if the email was received from Mr. Alt or from Primrose. I don't recall exactly that answer, sir. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. You just don't know at this point. \\
MR. INTRIAGO: At this point I do not know, but it was provided from one of those two people I told you. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. And as I understand your testimony, the facility that is being proposed for the subject \\
0 property has never changed since that initial determination 1 to go with 195 children and 30 staff and two administrators? \\
MR. INTRIAGO: It is approximately that number since 4 the very beginning, sir, yes. \\
25 MR. INTRIAGO: And sir, as far as the engineering
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
services that you provided, were you ever requested to provide those same services for a smaller building?

MR. INTRIAGO: Smaller building. You refer as the size or the amount of students, sir?

MR. CHEN: Both.
MR. INTRIAGO: Neither.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: Just to be clear.
MR. CHEN: Okay. By the way, have you done a survey
of the subject property?
11 MR. INTRIAGO: We have a survey of record. His name 2 is Mr. Ivan Moody and he provided -- I don't recall if it 3 was an actual Alta survey or if it was a boundary and 4 topographic survey, but I have a signed and sealed document 15 by Mr. Moody that he -- that was the basis of my design.
16 He not only provided for the property, but he also provided 17 for the additional on-site improvements that we did.

MR. CHEN: Has that survey, sir, been submitted as 9 part of the application for the conditional use?

MR. INTRIAGO: That's a question you have to ask Mr. Kline.

\section*{MR. CHEN: You don't know?}

MR. INTRIAGO: I provided information to Mr. Kline. I
4 can check for you if you want me to but I --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: He's referring to the
exhibit list.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah, I can check on the exhibit list
if you want me to.
MR. CHEN: If you do it quickly.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I see Mr. Kline --
Are you getting the survey?
MR. KLINE: I have --
MR. INTRIAGO: It's not in that packet. It's right
there.
MR. KLINE: Fine. Okay. I have had that survey here
and if it isn't here then it must -- we must have put it in
the record because remember I made in my speech about 294
versus 254,303 ?
14 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes.
15 MR. KLINE: Okay. And so, I would guess that we put
16 it -- put that into the record because we had that survey
17 to show that.
18 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, that would then be later in the --

MR. CHEN: That would have been Thursday.
MR. INTRIAGO: Mr. Chen, I do not see it on the list. HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I don't -- to be honest, I don't recall it coming in because I was kind of waiting for
24 it, but --
25 MR. KLINE: And by the same token, I wanted to put it


65 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I have 80 -- well, it's probably replaced in 93 , which I think is the most recent version.

MR. CHEN: There is -- if I may, Madam Examiner, you
have exhibit -- I believe it's 103 and what 103 is --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I thought that was -- okay,
go ahead -- the landscaping.
MR. CHEN: If I may, let me just make sure I have this
right. Yes. If you look at your table of exhibits just
for a moment you'll see that 103 is a letter from Sean
Hughes attaching plans with revision dates. Do you see
that?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. That -- so I think Mr. Kline may
want it for confirming. That transmittal was the
most -- it's main purpose is for reading Mr. Hughes' cover
letter to you or to the office was to provide updated
landscape or landscape detail pages, but what he did, and
this is not being critical, he literally gave all the
plans, not just those two plans, so that -- and on page 2
of his letter he explains that and he lists all --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: So, I'm giving you that information because
my understanding is that Exhibit 103 is the most recent
version of all of the plans.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: So, I mean I -- you know, I can see what
you were doing. I was doing the same thing, jumping back
and forth between original docs 93 and [makes noise]. 103,
when you read Mr. Hughes' letter which is very clear, he's
giving updated docs on all the plans.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: Jody, do you want to see this?
MR. KLINE: Okay.
MR. CHEN: So that where I am on this probably -- and
by Mr. Hughes' letter the documents that were part of 93
still remain with that same reference number. It's just
that the two landscape plans were updated, and they have
new exhibit numbers. It's like 103-A and B I think,
something like that.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So, what document do
you want to proceed with?
MR. CHEN: I'd like to have the survey and --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: And if we don't have it right now, we don't
have it, and I think Mr. Kline has offered that they would
get it and have it.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: All right.
MR. CHEN: Pardon me. It's the size. I mean we need
to know that for sure and the survey, if they did a survey,
should be the best evidence of what is the size.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: All right.
MR. CHEN: So that's wrong. So that was my question
and I take it we'll get it at some point.
Mr. Intriago, have you or your firm done anything to determine how many single family detached lots could be subdivided on the subject property?

MR. INTRIAGO: Other than the map I did, sir, about the 40,000 square feet, that's as far as I can --

MR. CHEN: Okay. So that's an eyeball.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, an eyeball.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
MR. CHEN: So, your firm has not done any plans to -MR. INTRIAGO: Any layouts, no, not at all.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And I have just a quick question.
You mentioned you are using the same figure for the amount
18 of impermeable space as the Park and Planning Commission 9 staff; is that right?
20 MR. INTRIAGO: Well, based on what I discussed with
21 the Madam Examiner, the reports say something around 36
2 percent when mine -- it's not going to match my
3 computation. Exact computation is 33.6 .
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: So, I am slightly less what they're
68
making on their staff report.
MR. CHEN: Has Maser prepared any document calculating
the impermeable space area on the subject property?
MR. INTRIAGO: For the existing conditions, sir?
MR. CHEN: No, for after development with the
conditional use.
MR. INTRIAGO: 33.6 percent, sir.
MR. CHEN: My question is has Maser conducted any
calculations reflecting that percentage?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Is there a document reflecting that calculation?

MR. INTRIAGO: Well, what happens in the computations,
14 what we do now is that there is CAD documents that you use
15 for your computations. Basically, you go into CAD, you put
16 in the engineering documents, you prepare hatches, you
17 click, right click, hatch area, you know, and you save that
18 in your files as your backup for your computations. So
19 basically, the engineers that (inaudible) computations
20 under my supervision has those documents in the engineering
21 folders which is the structure of the filing for Maser
22 Consulting.
23 MR. CHEN: Has Maser or you submitted any calculations 24 on any document in this proceeding of the impermeable space 25 area?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 69 & 71 \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Only on the stormwater management report that we submitted to DPS for approval. & 1 approximately that length, yes. It's part new, part 2 replacing existing. \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Well, that was the application that you & 3 MR. CHEN: And amI correct that when you testified \\
\hline bmitted to DPS. & 4 about Carnegie Avenue that the stormwater will enter that \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. & 5 system through a culvert or inlets that are on the property \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Okay. Is that application part of the & 6 or next to the property; is that correct? \\
\hline record? & 7 MR. INTRIAGO: I'm sorry. You need to rephrase that \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: We have the stormwater management plans & 8 question please. \\
\hline record, but the stormwater management report, I'm not & 9 MR. CHEN: How will that, the storm water, enter that \\
\hline MR. CHEN: I gues & 11 MR. INTRIAGO: I think I can show you. I have \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I have Exhibit 17 is the & 12 a -- that exhibit in bigger, in a bigger size, if I may. \\
\hline ormwater management plan unless there's an updated one. & 13 MR. CHEN: Sure. \\
\hline , CUP-8. & 14 MR. INTRIAGO: That will be easier for me to show you \\
\hline MR. CHEN: There's also -- & 15 that way. I tried to do it last time but -- \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So, there must be a -- if & 16 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Is this in the record? \\
\hline part of the CUP series -- & 17 MR. INTRIAGO: I -- \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: No, ma'am The CUP only has like a & 18 MR. KLINE: Yes. \\
\hline mmary of the stormwater management, like an abstract of & 19 MR. INTRIAGO: He's just saying that 93, that was \\
\hline stormwater management plan itself which is only one & 20 called 93, is -- \\
\hline et. & 21 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: 93. Okay. \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. & 22 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. \\
\hline MR. CHEN: AmI correct then in understanding we do & 23 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So that would be 191. \\
\hline not have anything from Maser reflecting either something of its calculations or plan showing the impermeable space area & 24 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, ma'am, but just bigger. Yeah, 25 it's the same thing. You can see it's exactly the same \\
\hline 70 & 72 \\
\hline at it calculated? & 1 thing. \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: That's something you don't even submit & 2 MR. KLINE: It's fine. Yeah. Sure. \\
\hline to DPS, sir. & 3 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, 93 -- yeah. Okay. \\
\hline MR. CHEN: I'm sorry? & 4 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. Let me find it. \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: That is something you don't even submit & 5 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: It's CUP-7, is that what it \\
\hline to DPS. & 6 is? \\
\hline MR. CHEN: I appreciate and understand that. My & 7 MR. INTRIAGO: No, ma'am. It's actually a PROW -- \\
\hline estion -- & 8 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Oh. \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: The question is, is it in & 9 MR. INTRIAGO: I would like to confirm, Mr. Chen, if \\
\hline record? & 10 this is okay for me to show you. I think this is what \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: No. No. & 11 you're referring to actually. So -- \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. & 12 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Did we beat you up, Mr. \\
\hline MR. CHEN: And just for some clarification on the & 13 Intriago? \\
\hline m drain system. We have the exhibit that shows the & 14 MR. INTRIAGO: I'm sorry? \\
\hline rm drain area and the length of the area. & 15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm just noticing your boot. \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir & 16 MR. INTRIAGO: You know, a wise Mexican man -- \\
\hline MR. CHEN: As I recollect your testimony, sir, is - & 17 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. I'm stopping. \\
\hline And I'm referring to Exhibit 191, Madam Examiner and & 18 MR. INTRIAGO: I don't mess with my wife. \\
\hline Mr. Kline. & 19 So, Mr. Chen, right here -- \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I have that. Thank heavens. & 20 MR. KLINE: Wait a minute. Okay. So, you're looking \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Yeah. How long is that piping going to be? & 21 at sheet what? \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: How long? & 22 MR. INTRIAGO: PROW-6. I don't know if this is what \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Yeah, the distance. & 23 you -- \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: It's approximately 1100 feet you're & 24 MR. KLINE: I don't think this is stormwater \\
\hline your -- the expert witness from -- that said -- it's & 25 management. This is not a stormwater plan concept plan -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

    MR. INTRIAGO: No, sir.
    MR. KLINE: No, it's not?
    MR. INTRIAGO:This is part of what I submitted to
    the -- Mr. Ateak (phonetic) and their team which is the
right-of-way team with MCDOT, which I think is, if I may
see that, sir, which is think is that information right
there. It's just this is --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, can we add it as an
exhibit just so we know.
MR. KLINE: I think it would be helpful if you would
see the -- please. Can I go back? I don't want to put in
an exhibit that I'm not sure is relevant.
So, what was the question?
MR. CHEN: I was asking about the storm drain system.
MR. KLINE: The intake.
MR. CHEN: Yes. And --
MR. KLINE: And you did have a board up there that
8 showed basically the drainage areas.
MR. INTRIAGO: It shows the drainage areas.
MR. KLINE: And that's already in the record, so
wouldn't that work?
MR. CHEN: No, I want to put this in that shows --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Nice try.
MR. KLINE:Well, no, I was trying to simplify it. If
you're okay with it that's all I care about.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: No, I'm okay.
MR. CHEN: So, this is an excellent --
MR. KLINE: Okay.
MR. CHEN: Can we put --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So, this would be -- I have
this as }196
MR. KLINE: And you're going to have to give us a name
or a number for that.
MR. INTRIAGO: I call it proposed storm drainage. You
could call it proposed off-site storm drainage. And this
is the one that I was telling the other day that
(inaudible) Mr. Chen and he said that I have to put
coordination with the right-of-way department, but they
said it was acceptable for them at this point.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Did you say off-site storm
drainage?
MR. INTRIAGO: Off-site storm drainage.
MR. KLINE: And the sheet number is what?
MR. INTRIAGO: If you only want to use this one it
will be PROW-6.
MR. KLINE: Okay. I'm -- if Mr. Chen wants others,
that's fine. I think you need to put this up on the board
though so the hearing examiner can understand what you're -
-
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: That would be helpful.

```
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MR. INTRIAGO: That's for the conveyance.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: For the conveyance. MR. INTRIAGO: For the conveyance of the water off-
site.
7 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Right. Off-site. Okay.
MR. KLINE: Sorry to interrupt, but right now you're
talking about a different exhibit than the one that we just
got a number for. So, let's give this a new exhibit number
1 also, and would you please describe what it is?
MR. INTRIAGO: Which sheet, sir? This sheet or the entire set?

MR. KLINE: No, just this sheet.
MR. INTRIAGO: This sheet right here which is PROW-5,
that's the existing storm drain profile. So, it has a plan
view and a profile of the existing storm sewer system that
8 we're connecting to.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What -- I'm sorry. What did
0 you call it?
MR. INTRIAGO: Existing storm drain profile.
MR. KLINE: Sheet number PROW-5.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: 5.
MR. KLINE: So, I ask that these two exhibits be
exhibits in the record please.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Do you want to mark other ones right now, or do you want to wait?

MR. CHEN: I just am interested in this one sheet.
MR. KLINE: Do you want this one here?
MR. INTRIAGO: This existing conditions and profile.
MR. CHEN: Okay. That --
MR. KLINE: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Can you mark it?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, ma'am
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Do you have a pen?
MR. INTRIAGO: I have a --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: We're high tech. MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: 196. Just put 196.
MR. INTRIAGO: Just the number.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Just the number in the corner.

MR. INTRIAGO: Lower right corner?
MR. CHEN: What is this series of documents, sir?
MR. INTRIAGO: Sir, these are the coordination I did
with the right-of-way department which it has computations,
existing profiles, proposed profiles, plan view, drain
divides.
MR. CHEN: And it is showing the --

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: This is for the stormwater
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    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Mr. Chen?
    MR. CHEN: If I may, can we just go to the cover
    sheet?
MR. INTRIAGO: Cover sheet.
MR. CHEN: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Do you want the whole set
in?
MR. KLINE:There's no cover sheet.
MR. CHEN: Well --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I don't want to take too
much more time getting exhibits in.
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, this is the existing drainage
divide proposal, you know, on blow up. You know, the
proposed drainage divides.
MR. KLINE: I'm okay with putting them all in.
MR. INTRIAGO: Existing condition with profile,
proposed condition, profile proposed condition, profile
proposed condition, and computations.
MR. CHEN: Could you please repeat that last word?
MR. INTRIAGO: Computations, sir. All of these was
sent to right-of-way at Montgomery County.
MR. CHEN: And these sheets that you've just
identified and leafed through are for the storm drain
management system?
MR. INTRIAGO: For the conveyance of the storm water
off-site, yes.
MR. CHEN: I think we need to (inaudible).
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So, I'm going to
cross out }196\mathrm{ and 197. If you could bring them up here.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, ma'am.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm going to do 196. How
would you call the whole series, storm drain --
MR. CHEN: Conveyance.
MR. INTRIAGO: I'll use the word off-site, ma'am,
because it's the off-site.
Mr. Kline, can we also put in the record the
correspondence that is accompanying with these plans?
MR. KLINE: Let's do one thing at a time, okay.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So off-site storm
water drainage system plans.
MR. CHEN: Conveyance.
MR. INTRIAGO: Conveyance.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Conveyance.
MR. INTRIAGO: Plan.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So I'm going to make the
PROW-A or A is PROW-1, existing drainage divides. PROW-
2-- B is PROW-2.
MR. INTRIAGO: 2?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait a minute. Yes, PROW-2
is site existing drainage divides. 3C is PROW-3, proposed
77
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drainage divides. D is PROW-4, site proposed drainage
divides. E is PROW-5, existing storm drain profile. F is
PROW-6, proposed storm drainage. G is PROW-7, proposed
storm drain profile. H is PROW-8, proposed storm drain profile. I is PROW-9, conveyance computations. And that's it.

MR. INTRIAGO: Thank you, ma'am.
MR. KLINE: Could I add one more thing to that please? HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Page 15 of the technical staff report and
recommendation has a paragraph dealing with drainage and
refers to communications from the county to Mr. Intriago
which reads, I have done a quick review of the downstream
storm drain analysis you provided. Per your study just
about the entire downstream system will be upgraded. As
such, we can generally accept it with the understanding the
details will be finalized at right-of-way permit stage.
And I'd like to put in the record a copy of the email
confirming that language.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So this will be a new exhibit. Do you object or do you want to see it again?
Here today, gone tomorrow.
MR. KLINE: Well, it's in the staff report. It's just in the staff report.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: It's attached to the staff
report?
MR. CHEN: Is it already in evidence?
MR. KLINE: The text is in the staff report.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Oh, but you're doing the actual document.

MR. KLINE: I'm just letting you know that there was a
real document floating around that was the basis for that.
MR. CHEN: We'll give it -- is it 198 ?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: It will be -- no, 197.
MR. CHEN: Mr. Kline, how would you describe it?
MR. KLINE: It's an email from Mr. Sam Farhadi, F-A-R
--
MR. CHEN: From DPS? He's with DPS?
MR. INTRIAGO: He's with the right-of-way department
in Montgomery County.
MR. CHEN: Oh, okay.
MR. KLINE: Yeah. He's actually DOT.
MR. INTRIAGO: Which is led by Mr. Ateak.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Yeah. So going back to your
question, email from Sam Farhadi, F-A-R-H-A-D-I, of the
Montgomery County Department of Transportation to Mr.
Intriago confirming -- just reiterating --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Email dated October 15th,
2019 from Mr. Farhadi, MCDOT, to Mr. Intriago.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, ma'am. And it's in regards to the
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 81 & 83 \\
\hline 1 previous exhibit. & 1 MR. INTRIAGO: It's my understanding that the \\
\hline 2 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And this will be 197. Just & 2 applicant is going to pay for that, sir. \\
\hline 3 make sure I get that back because that's -- & 3 MR. CHEN: So privately funded. \\
\hline 4 MR. CHEN: On this email, sir, 197, the right-of-way & 4 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. \\
\hline 5 department is saying that literally the entire downstream & 5 MR. CHEN: And is there any treatment to the water \\
\hline 6 system will be upgraded, correct? & 6 that enters this piping system? \\
\hline 7 MR. INTRIAGO: What they're saying in their email is & 7 MR. INTRIAGO: By the time the water enters the system \\
\hline 8 the portion of the system that we are going to be adding & 8 it's already being treated on site for water quality. \\
\hline 9 water to will be upgraded & 9 MR. CHEN: And you talked about that I think. \\
\hline 10 MR. CHEN: Okay. And is that, sir -- when he uses the & 10 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. \\
\hline 11 word system, is he referring to that 1100 feet? & 11 MR. CHEN: What you're saying is that the water \\
\hline 12 MR. INTRIAGO: No, sir. & 12 entering the system will have been treated by virtue of on- \\
\hline 13 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 13 site treatme \\
\hline 14 MR. INTRIAGO: He's referring to approximately half of & 14 MR. INTRIAGO: That is correct. \\
\hline 15 it because half is new, half existing, approximately. & 15 MR. CHEN: Okay. But the piping system itself is just \\
\hline 16 MR. CHEN: Okay. So as I understand the email and & 16 open piping; isn't that right? \\
\hline 17 your testimony is that of the 1100 feet of piping -- is & 17 MR. INTRIAGO: Open piping? \\
\hline 18 that the correct terminology? & 18 MR. CHEN: Plain piping. There's no -- \\
\hline 19 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. & 19 MR. INTRIAGO: It's pipe. \\
\hline 20 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 20 MR. CHEN: Yeah. \\
\hline 21 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, si & 21 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. It's correct, sir. Yes. \\
\hline 22 MR. CHEN: About half of it will be new and for half & 22 MR. CHEN: And where is the entry point on the site? \\
\hline 23 you're going to utilize the existing piping. & 23 That's going to circle back to I guess the first question. \\
\hline 24 MR. INTRIAGO: No, for the other half & 24 Where is the entry point for the water to go into the 1100 ? \\
\hline 25 upsize it. & 25 MR. INTRIAGO: May I use the \\
\hline 82 & 84 \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Okay. So half is new and half is upgraded. & 1 MR. CHEN: Yeah. \\
\hline R. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. & 2 MR. INTRIAGO: All right. So if I may, this is \\
\hline MR. CHEN: And the upgrading portion involves & 3 Exhibit 196, ma'am. \\
\hline 4 enlarging the pipes? & 4 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes. \\
\hline 5 MR. INTRIAGO: The upgrading portion & 5 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. So -- \\
\hline 6 involves -- (inaudible) Mr. Farhadi was we tried to kept & 6 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And it's got the A, B, C, D. \\
\hline 7 the pipe at the same elevation that they were before but, & 7 MR. INTRIAGO: It has. Okay. Oh, perfect. All \\
\hline 8 for example, there is an existing 12-inch pipe that was not & 8 right. I am on Exhibit 196-F. Okay. So on 196-F I can \\
\hline ing to be able to safely convey the water. We're & 9 see here a couple of things. You know, the first thing \\
\hline sizing, for example, to a 15 -inch pipe or to an 18. & 10 that we did here was -- and you referred to Structure A-9. \\
\hline hatever it was, that computation dictates the size it & 11 A-9 is -- \\
\hline eds to be, in the same location approximately. Not same & 12 MR. CHEN: I referred to it? \\
\hline cause that's misleading. In approximately the same & 13 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: No. Just -- \\
\hline cation that was there before. & 14 MR. INTRIAGO: No, if you -- \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: So as I understand your testimony, the & 15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Can you just answer his \\
\hline 161100 -foot length of the storm drain pipes, half will be & 16 question? Where does the water enter the off-site system? \\
\hline ew. & 17 MR. INTRIAGO: It's going to be on A-5. \\
\hline 18 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. & 18 MR. KLINE: Okay. You're going to have to tell us \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: And is that because there were not pipes & 19 where that is on the drawing. \\
\hline 20 there before, brand new? & 20 MR. INTRIAGO: A-5 is almost a little west side of the \\
\hline 21 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. & 21 property. There's a manhole approximately I want to say \\
\hline 22 MR. CHEN: Okay. And the other half will be upgraded & 22 200, 230 feet north from the southwest corner of the site \\
\hline 23 to have larger size pipes. & 23 and there's a proposed manhole in the right-of-way that has \\
\hline 24 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. & 24 been labeled as A-5. \\
\hline 25 MR. CHEN: Okay. And who is going to pay for that? & 25 MR. CHEN: That's the entry point? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 85 & 87 \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. & 1 MR. CHEN: I understood what he was saying actually. \\
\hline 2 MR. CHEN: Could we circle that with red? I've got a & 2 MR. CHEN: You started not to. \\
\hline 3 red felt tip. & 3 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, you know what, you \\
\hline 4 MR. INTRIAGO: I've got blue. So you've got red. & 4 don't count. I do. \\
\hline 5 I'll circle with red. No problem. Right now I'm circling & 5 So can you just tell me what the CM -- just explain -- \\
\hline 6 structure A-5 in red. & 6 Mr. INTRIAGO: Okay. So the whole idea is that \\
\hline 7 MR. CHEN: Okay. Thank you. & 7 there's a pipe -- \\
\hline 8 MR. INTRIAGO: Thank you. & 8 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: If you could back up a \\
\hline 9 MR. CHEN: As I understood your testimony however, you & 9 little. Where is A-9? \\
\hline 10 were saying -- and particularly in conjunction with the & 10 MR. INTRIAGO: So the A-9 is 20 feet north of A-5. \\
\hline 11 testimony of the lady, I think her name is Mitchell who & 11 MR. CHEN: Excuse me. Let me give you a green felt \\
\hline 12 lives at 7500 Needwood, that the puddle that she testified & 12 tip. \\
\hline 13 about in her backyard, she's not going to see because & 13 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. \\
\hline 14 Carnegie Avenue acts as a berm and will be collecting that & 14 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Oh, please. He comes \\
\hline 15 storm water and then funneling down I take it to point -- & 15 prepared. \\
\hline 16 MR. INTRIAGO: A-5. & 16 MR. INTRIAGO: All right. I'm color blind so I don't \\
\hline 17 MR. CHEN: -- A-5; is that right? & 17 see the difference between these two but -- \\
\hline 18 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. Well, I haven't studied her & 18 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So you're marking A-9 \\
\hline 19 backyard so I -- the only thing I can say is what we're & 19 - \\
\hline 20 doing with the water of on-site. If I may refer to the red & 20 MR. INTRIAGO: A-9. \\
\hline 21 circle that we are talking about here, A-5. So right a & 21 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- a grate inlet. \\
\hline 22 little bit north from that A-5, I want to say 20 feet north & 22 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. \\
\hline 23 from A-5, there's a structure that I labeled here as A-9. & 23 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 24 Right. So that structure A-9 basically is a grate inlet. & 24 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. \\
\hline 25 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: A what? & 25 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: In green. \\
\hline 86 & 88 \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: A grate inlet, grate like -- & 1 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. \\
\hline 2 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Oh, a grate, G-R-A-T-E. & 2 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 3 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, grate inlet. & 3 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. \\
\hline 4 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm not -- sorry. No, I'm & 4 MR. CHEN: Continue with your explanation. \\
\hline 5 not familiar with some of these terms. & 5 MR. INTRIAGO: So if you see the dash line to the west \\
\hline 6 MR. INTRIAGO: Oh, okay. So -- & 6 side of that green circle -- yeah, green circle -- that \\
\hline 7 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: A grate inlet. Okay. & 7 dash line that you see crossing the driveway is the \\
\hline 8 MR. INTRIAGO: -- the difference between a grate and a & 8 existent pipe that is called a corrugated metal pipe, CMP, \\
\hline 9 curb inlet, a curb inlet you see everywhere. You see a & 9 that is right now in my professional opinion deficient for \\
\hline 10 curb, six-inch curb, and you see a hole, and sometimes & 10 it's there. I can prove to you that with a ten-year storm \\
\hline 11 those inlets have also like a metal grate. Okay. So when & 11 you can overtop he driveway and cause some problems \\
\hline 12 you don't have the curb and you only have the grate it's & 12 downstream. \\
\hline 13 called a grate inlet. Okay. & 13 But my point with that was we're going to cap that \\
\hline 14 MR. CHEN: Does that grate exist today? & 14 pipe in the right-of-way, put the grate inlet in there, \\
\hline 15 MR. INTRIAGO: No, it does not. The whole idea, my & 15 capture the water that is on the east side of the road that \\
\hline 16 idea was if you can see where the location of that grate & 16 is draining along the east side from north to south, and \\
\hline 17 inlet is located right now is exactly at the location of & 17 then prevent as much as we can the water from our side and \\
\hline 18 the sump where the existing CMP culvert is right now. & 18 everything we can as much as physically possible to capture \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 19 it and take south instead of taking to the west. \\
\hline 20 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Grate. & 20 MR. CHEN: So as I understand your testimony, all of \\
\hline 21 MR. KLINE: Okay. You're going to have to be a little & 21 the storm water that will exit the subject property will be \\
\hline 22 bit more specific because some of the terms are not -- & 22 directed to A-9. \\
\hline 23 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. & 23 MR. INTRIAGO: No. If I may use my (inaudible) \\
\hline 24 MR. KLINE: None of us understand some of the terms. & 24 because I would like to show it. \\
\hline 25 MR. INTRIAGO: Sorry. & 25 MR. CHEN: Sure. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

MR. INTRIAGO: That's why I used the word the
majority. What I can do is testify that the entire
imperviousness is going. If I may refer to -- oh, here it
is. If I may refer to 196-C. If you see on the upper
northwest corner of the site, this is no triangle of green
space that for many reasons, you know, there is an existing
Champion tree that we cannot, but that area is only grass
that is just going to flow where it was flowing before and
we're not going to change the flow pattern of that water.
MR. CHEN: Right.
MR. INTRIAGO: Now I'm going to with my pen -- I don't
know how to identify this, ma'am, but I'm going to circle
the water with the site.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait. Wait. What are you circling?

MR. INTRIAGO: I want to circle the water that's going to go to A-9.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: The flow, the water flow?
MR. INTRIAGO: The branch areas that are going to
flow -- all the conveyance water is going to flow -- all
that area --
MR. KLINE: May I interrupt for a second?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yeah.
MR. KLINE: Don't you have an exhibit that shows the different drainage areas?

MR. INTRIAGO: This is a picture of the different
drainage area. It just -- it doesn't -- for somebody who
is not a trained engineer might not be as evident.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, why don't you just describe it --

MR. INTRIAGO: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- because I can see --
MR. INTRIAGO: All right.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: All right. Go ahead.
MR. INTRIAGO: So basically the drain area on the north side will go -- will cross the Champion tree on the northwest corner of the site, and approximately I want to say 15 feet from the north -- from the city sidewalk the drain pipe has like a ridge that goes all the way to the eastern property line and the drains might go almost parallel to the eastern property line and makes a turn right where you have the radius of the driving aisle, and then after it passes the southernmost parking it stays on the existing drain divide that was there before as existing because that water was -- as I explained in my previous
testimony, the water on the southeast corner was always
going in that direction so we're not touching that.
MR. KLINE: Which direction?
MR. INTRIAGO: Southeast. So the water -- if you follow that -- so all the water contained -- well, if you
go on the western side from the north and the Champion tree
again, so we're taking it almost at the -- it's like a
crowned -- I want to call it a crowned --
MR. CHEN: Berm?
MR. INTRIAGO: Berm you want to call it, but it's the gravel driveway that could act as a berm-ish situation. So it's crowned so it goes right through the middle of that. So half of the water goes back into the grate A-9 that I circled in green and also A-5 and it all goes south.

MR. CHEN: Okay. And A-5 and A-9 are on the subject property?

MR. INTRIAGO: No, those are -- you asked me to 13 tell -- your question was where does the water from the 4 site enter the public right-of-way.

MR. CHEN: Yeah, that's fine.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: But on whose property are the A-5 and the grate?

MR. INTRIAGO: It's in the proposed 45 -foot right-ofway.

MR. CHEN: Of Carnegie Avenue?
MR. INTRIAGO: Of Carnegie Avenue, sir. Yes.
MR. CHEN: Are both A-5 and the grate A-9 in the
4 existing 40 -foot right-of-way for Carnegie Avenue?
5 MR. INTRIAGO: Proposed 45, yes, and existing 40.

Yes, sir.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Now after it enters -- the
stormwater enters the conveyance system at A-5, what happens to it?

MR. INTRIAGO: So may I go to the picture? Okay. All right. Here we go. So this is not -- this is not -- the design for this was going through a lot of coordination from myself with WSSC.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Can you back up just a little bit?

MR. INTRIAGO: Oh, sorry. Sorry. It was coordination
with -- because even though this is not what I would call
conceptual, there's a lot of engineering that was put into
this exhibit, so I decided to also coordinate with WSSC for
separations between their facility so it's a feasible
solution. So right now the proposed solution you see in
front of you has the correct vertical and horizontal
separation from water, sewer lines. The crossing is 45
degrees like WSSC requires. So after it go from A-5 it
goes south to structure A-4.
MR. CHEN: And if I may, on the exhibit you're looking
at right now in red -- let's go -- oh, you've already
marked it. Fine.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: Thank you, sir. Thank you. Go ahead.
```

Continue.
MR. INTRIAGO: All right. So from A-5, so there is an existing pipe, sorry. There's a proposed pipe that goes south into structure A-4, then southwest into A-3, then south into structure A-2, and then crosses the road and connects to structure A-1. Now A-1 is what we call a doghouse manhole which is basically you put a manhole on top of an existing pipe and then from there -- from A-1 south, not south, downstream we have the new upgraded pipe. So at A-1 which is at the intersection at southern port 11 part of the intersection of Deer Point Court and Carnegie Avenue South.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Uh-huh. I see it. MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. That's the connection point between proposed or new and the existing system.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What exhibit is that? MR. INTRIAGO: Sorry. This is --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What 96 ?
MR. INTRIAGO: F.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: F.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Now as I understand your testimony, the portion that will be new piping will be at A-5; is that correct, sir?
MR. INTRIAGO: The portion that the water from the

```
site connects to the public right-of-way is A-5.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Now the piping at that location, is
that -- going south, is that going to be new pipes?
MR. INTRIAGO: That's going to be new pipe, yes.
MR. CHEN: And what are the size of those pipes?
MR. INTRIAGO: I need to go to a different exhibit. MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: All right. So on Exhibit 196-G I have
a proposed profile of the entire system from top to bottom.
So if you're asking me at A-5, from A-5 to A-4 is an 18-
inch RCP pipe at 1.18 percent, 1.10 percent. MR. CHEN: What does the 1.10 percent mean? MR. INTRIAGO: That means that in every 100 feet you go down 1.1 feet. So it's like a --
MR. CHEN: It goes deeper into the ground.
MR. INTRIAGO: Of course the pipes are not 100 feet so it's proportional.

MR. CHEN: That's for gravity flow I take it.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah, that's for gravity flow. Right.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And so we've got an 18-inch pipe for
the new piping that's going to be installed.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah, the minimum for that (inaudible)
is 15 inches, sir, per Montgomery County.
MR. CHEN: Right, and you're going to 18 .
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.

93
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1 labeled existing structure on the -- and I cannot turn that north or south, ma'am-- but on the --

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I get it.
MR. INTRIAGO: Existing structure number eight, which
I would like to say is on the west side of -- one before
the last structure at the west side of Deer Point Court to
existing structure seven, that whole run of pipe is a 15 inch pipe.

MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. The proposed structure that we're connecting in between those two, somewhere in between
with a doghouse manhole. So from-- it will be this first
little pipe. Give me one second. It's an 18 -inch pipe, sir. Here. 18 -inch RCP at 1.82 percent.

MR. CHEN: And so that 15 -inch pipe will be --
MR. INTRIAGO: An 18.
MR. CHEN: -- enlarged to 18 .
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. CHEN: So we've got 18 is new, right?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. CHEN: And this connecting -- how long is the 2 distance of the connecting?
23 MR. INTRIAGO: So from where you put the doghouse
24 manhole to the location of the first structure downstream 25 is 33 feet.
```

    MR. CHEN: And that 33 feet is going to be upgraded to
    or enlarged to --
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, to }18\mathrm{ inch. Yes, sir.
MR. CHEN:And then from that point down to the outlet
--
MR. INTRIAGO: From that point down to the outlet the
pipe goes to }24\mathrm{ inches the whole way with exception of the
last run.
MR. CHEN: What's the --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Currently it does that. Are
you saying --
MR. INTRIAGO: No, not currently. Proposed.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Proposed.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Now let's stick with the 24-inch
size. What is the existing size of the pipe in that area
or that run?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. So the existing pipe of that
area, so it goes -- it's being kept 15. I can walk you
through structure-by-structure, okay. From existing seven
to existing six is 15. From six to five, 15. From five to
four is 15.To four to three is 18. From three to two is
15. And the last pipe was kept the same, not touching the
proposed existing condition, is a 30-inch pipe.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And then what's the last --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Now when you say three to
two, are you talking about -- what are you referring to on
that exhibit?
MR. INTRIAGO: On 196-E I have labeled the plan view
of the existing structures. So they're labeled EX-8, EX-7.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So --
MR. INTRIAGO: I call them existing seven, existing
six.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: It falls a pattern.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I just wanted to -- for the
record. Go ahead.
MR. CHEN: It's locations along the run.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. Going downstream
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: The higher number will be downstream
number going down to the existing --
MR. CHEN: And now take us to the last run. How long
is that going to be, the length of it?
MR. INTRIAGO:The last run?
MR. CHEN: I think you -- yeah, and you said --
MR. INTRIAGO:The last run is a 30-inch pipe and the
length of it is }19.91\mathrm{ feet.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And is that going to stay 30 or --
MR. INTRIAGO: That's going to not be touched, yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. So that -- the pipes piping that is

```
being replaced will go to 24 inches?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
MR. CHEN: What determines the size of the piping that
will be installed in any system, conveyance system?
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, there is the flow going to it,
the type of structures you're connecting to, the slopes of
the pipes. Many different things go into the computation
of the HGL. So the governing factor for here is Montgomery
County has a requirement that the HGL is basically the --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What's HCL?
MR. INTRIAGO: Hydraulic gradient line. HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: The what? MR. INTRIAGO: Hydraulic gradient line? HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Gradient line? MR. INTRIAGO: Gradient line, yes.
MR. KLINE: So you're saying HGL. HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So HGL. MR. INTRIAGO: Like -HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I just want to make sure I understand.

MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Is it also determined by anticipated storm sizes?

MR. INTRIAGO: Of course. Yeah, that's part of the computation, yes.

MR. CHEN: And in this particular case was there any storm particular size that was being contemplated for the piping that is going to be installed?

MR. INTRIAGO: The pipe that is required by Montgomery
County contemplates a ten-year storm, sir, yes.
MR. CHEN: So -- okay. So then we're talking about a
ten-year storm--
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
MR. CHEN: -- capacity, is that the right word --
MR. INTRIAGO: Capacity, yes.
MR. CHEN: -- for the piping.
MR. INTRIAGO: For the piping.
MR. CHEN: And apparently you will be replacing piping
14 that is already in developed subdivisions; is that correct, sir?

MR. INTRIAGO: That is correct, sir. Yes.
MR. CHEN: And what is that going to require?
MR. INTRIAGO: You mean for construction?

\section*{MR. CHEN: Yes.}

MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. So basically we have to do MOT
21 plans for that which is maintenance of traffic so people
22 can be safely navigate through the development while
23 they're doing construction. So that's an engineering plan
24 that will have to be prepared once the plans are finalized, 25 after the plans are finalized.
```

    MR. CHEN: Yeah, the simple point though is fromI
    think point, is it A-9 on, it's all going through an
existing subdivision; is that correct, sir?
MR. INTRIAGO: FromA everything is -- yes.
Everything going from in the right-of-way, in the public
right-of-way through an existing subdivision, yes.
MR. CHEN: And what you've just described --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN:That's A-9 to the outfall?
MR. INTRIAGO: A-9 through the outfall, yes.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yeah.
MR. INTRIAGO: Correct.
MR. CHEN: And so what you're saying is that -- by the
way, how -- distance wise what would be from A-9 to the
outfall? How far would that be?
MR. INTRIAGO: I would say that outfall is
approximately }1100\mathrm{ feet. That's more or less.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: I can -- yeah, more or less.
MR. CHEN: And that's going to require the excavation,
if that's the right word, of the public right-of-way from
A-9 to the outfall?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
MR. CHEN:And you've just described what's going to
happen. There will be construction people out there to
control traffic and things of that --
MR. INTRIAGO: Correct.
MR. CHEN: How long will that take?
MR. INTRIAGO:That's more means of method, sir. That
will be more for a contractor to answer you that question.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Okay. How deep are you going to go?
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, the depth varies and what happens
here is like we're trying -- for example, if you go from
structure A-4 to A-9, that pipe is already buried
approximately }15\mathrm{ feet in there. So what we did was we
10 tried to match the existing invert of the pipe so we don't
have to go any deeper and then so -- and then we just
upsized the pipe from there.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN:How deep was that?
MR. INTRIAGO: Here I think it is -- approximately the
deepest location probably is like 15 feet maybe.
MR. CHEN: And that --
MR. KLINE:And just give it a go. At what point?
MR. INTRIAGO: From existing four to existing three.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Oh, three.
MR. INTRIAGO: From existing four to existing three.
21 Yes, ma'am.
MR. CHEN: It's at 15-feet deep?
MR. INTRIAGO: Like I said, sir, without me measuring
2 4 ~ i t ' s ~ a p p r o x i m a t e l y , ~ l o o k i n g ~ a t ~ t h e ~ p r o f i l e . ~
MR. CHEN: Okay.

```

MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: Are you going to have to take any of the
piping deeper -- the existing piping now, deeper than where they are now?

MR. INTRIAGO: No.
MR. CHEN: So you can go with the depth of the existing piping?

MR. INTRIAGO: So then what happens, the jump in the sizes from one size to two size because the -- you go 15 , 18, 21, 24 --

MR. CHEN: Right.
MR. INTRIAGO: -- but if you can keep the same slopes, you know, keep the same slopes, you just make a bigger pipe 14 and, you know, you don't have to make as steep. If you 15 make it steeper you can go to a smaller pipe, but my intent 16 was to keep the existing or approximately existing invert 17 and just try use just a bigger pipe. We, cusp wise, will not need that much.

MR. CHEN: Fine. So you don't --
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: Okay. So you don't have to go deeper with the --

MR. INTRIAGO: No.
MR. CHEN: -- the new piping that you're going to be installing because you're increasing the size of the pipe.

MR. INTRIAGO: Correct.
MR. CHEN: That's --
MR. INTRIAGO: Correct.
MR. CHEN: And I take it what you've just described is
what SamFarhadi means when he says the entire downstream system will be upgraded.

MR. INTRIAGO: The entire downstream system from where you connect to the outfall, correct.

MR. CHEN: Okay. Is there -- and what you've just described for us is what he means by upgraded?

MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Is there anything else that he is referring
to when he says the entire downstream system will be upgraded?

MR. INTRIAGO: Well, that's why at the end of that email he says I reserve the right to look at the
engineering plans at the end and I might come up with 18 different things, but I have no way to predict what he's going to say.

MR. CHEN: I understand that, but for right now for 1 his email to you of October 15th, 2019, what you have just
described to us is the entire downstream system upgrade?
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, you're asking me to assume. I
can assume that if you want me to, but I'll assume that then.
```

        MR. CHEN: Well, do you know? I mean is there
    anything that is going to be done to the pipe conveyance
    system other than what you've just described?
    MR. INTRIAGO:There could be, sir. Until we submit
    final engineering plans to himI cannot guarantee you
    there's --
    MR. CHEN: I'm not talking about the ultimate -- he's
    got a qualification. I understand.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: But I mean for right now is there anything
else --
MR. INTRIAGO: I don't see anything else happening to
that pipe system, at least right now.
MR. CHEN: Yes, from what you described. That's what
--
MR. INTRIAGO: At this time, yeah.
MR. CHEN: I apologize to interrupt. That is what is
contemplated at this point?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
MR. CHEN: I take it that the 30-inch pipe that you've
identified, that's the only existing pipe that is not being
replaced; is that correct?
MR. INTRIAGO:That is correct, sir. Yes.
MR. CHEN: What does a 30-inch pipe accommodate as far
as storms?
MR. INTRIAGO: I have a computation. I don't have it
here, but the 30-inch pipe, basically I did a study of the
entire subdivision that flows through there, and I'm going
to say without having the numbers in front of me we are
like -- our flow is about one percent of that flow I want
to say. I can provide that. But it's conveying the water
from a bigger system that just --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'mnot sure -- was that
your question?
MR. CHEN: It's close enough.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: AmI correct, sir, in understanding that
the size of the pipe that is proposed, these increased
sizes that you've identified, that determination was made I
assume by you or somebody on your staff or one of your
1 6 contractors as the size of the piping that would be
1 7 required to accommodate the project that is before the
18 hearing examiner; is that right?
19 MR. INTRIAGO: That is correct, sir. It was basically
20 an engineer under my supervision that did it, yes.
MR. CHEN: And apparently the county thus far at
least, the right-of-way division, has accepted that?
MR. INTRIAGO:The right-of-way division reviewed it
and accepted it, yeah.
MR. CHEN: Yeah.

```

MR. CHEN: Well, do you know? I mean is there anything that is going to be done to the pipe conveyance system other than what you've just described?

MR. INTRIAGO: There could be, sir. Until we submit
final engineering plans to himI cannot guarantee you there's --

MR. CHEN: I'm not talking about the ultimate -- he's
got a qualification. I understand.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: But I mean for right now is there anything else --
MR. INTRIAGO: I don't see anything else happening to that pipe system, at least right now.

MR. CHEN: Yes, from what you described. That's what

MR. INTRIAGO: At this time, yeah.
MR. CHEN: I apologize to interrupt. That is what is
contemplated at this point?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
MR. CHEN: I take it that the 30 -inch pipe that you've
identified, that's the only existing pipe that is not being
replaced; is that correct?
MR. INTRIAGO: That is correct, sir. Yes.
MR. CHEN: What does a 30 -inch pipe accommodate as far
as storms?

MR. INTRIAGO: I have a computation. I don't have it
here, but the 30 -inch pipe, basically I did a study of the
entire subdivision that flows through there, and I'm going
to say without having the numbers in front of me we are
like -- our flow is about one percent of that flow I want
to say. I can provide that. But it's conveying the water
from a bigger system that just --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm not sure -- was that
your question?
10 MR. CHEN: It's close enough.
11 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: AmI correct, sir, in understanding that 13 the size of the pipe that is proposed, these increased 14 sizes that you've identified, that determination was made I 15 assume by you or somebody on your staff or one of your 16 contractors as the size of the piping that would be
17 required to accommodate the project that is before the hearing examiner; is that right?

MR. INTRIAGO: That is correct, sir. It was basically
20 an engineer under my supervision that did it, yes.
MR. CHEN: And apparently the county thus far at
least, the right-of-way division, has accepted that?
MR. INTRIAGO: The right-of-way division reviewed it

MR. CHEN: Yeah.

1 MR. INTRIAGO: For now.
MR. CHEN: Yes.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: And just for clarity -- by the way, do you have any computations of the amount of dirt that will have to be excavated?

MR. INTRIAGO: Oh, no, we didn't go that far, sir, no.
Construction for that, we didn't compute that.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And when we talk about the outfall, I take it that is the outfall into Crab's Branch; is that correct?

MR. INTRIAGO: That is correct, sir. It will eventually go into that branch
4 MR. CHEN: Okay. You've just described to us the upgrading that there were of the piping conveyance system;

6 is that right?
MR. INTRIAGO: I think I can call it that way, upgrade, yes.

MR. CHEN: And that's what would be required for the daycare facility?

MR. INTRIAGO: That upgrade would be required to convey the water that will be --

MR. CHEN: Flowing off the site --
MR. INTRIAGO: Correct.
MR. CHEN: -- upon development of the daycare center.

MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. Correct.
MR. CHEN: Okay. What would happen if there were
three detached single-family dwellings constructed on the
site?
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, I haven't done the computations,
sir. I cannot tell you right now. I don't know what
amount of imperviousness those homes are going to have
or -- I do not know.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, how many projects have you done for Primrose?

MR. INTRIAGO: Projects that we are currently going on the permit, I have two of them

MR. CHEN: Okay. And you're a pretty well experienced
civil engineer aren't you, sir?
MR. INTRIAGO: I would like to say that, sir.
MR. CHEN: How long have you been doing this?
MR. INTRIAGO: I've been doing this since -- I
graduated from engineering in 2000, so 20 years.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: In different aspects of engineering of course.

MR. CHEN: Are you implying in your answer that the
stormwater drainage for three detached residential
dwellings is comparable to a daycare center for 195
25 children and 32 staff?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 109 & 111 \\
\hline 1 MR. INTRIAGO: I'm not implying that, sir. I'mjust & 1 MR. INTRIAGO: Sorry, sir. I haven't done the \\
\hline 2 saying that I haven't done the computations for it. & 2 computations. I like to be factual with what I say. \\
\hline 3 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 3 MR. CHEN: How about if it was two lots? \\
\hline 4 MR. INTRIAGO: You know, because somebody could put & 4 MR. INTRIAGO: That pipe system will not be able to \\
\hline 5 more -- you know, could put a basketball court there, could & 5 hold anything. \\
\hline 6 put -- I don't know. I mean if you were asking me about if & 6 MR. CHEN: The existing? \\
\hline 7 they're normally less intense, you know, I could say & 7 MR. INTRIAGO: The existing. \\
\hline 8 probably yes. Normally like single-family homes are & 8 MR. CHEN: Yeah, and as you said, even for two lots \\
\hline 9 between 15 to 20 percent impervious I want to say on & 9 there would have to be \\
\hline 10 average. & 10 MR. INTRIAGO: Even for one lot. \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 11 MR. CHEN: -- one lot there would have to be some kind \\
\hline 12 MR. INTRIAGO: Each one is approximately 33.6 percent. & 12 of upgrade. \\
\hline 13 MR. CHEN: Do you think you can -- & 13 MR. INTRIAGO: Even if the existing development that \\
\hline 14 MR. INTRIAGO: So I do not know. & 14 you have down there, if you want to solve the problem that \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: Do you think you would have to replace the & 15 your neighbor has -- \\
\hline 16 existing pipes with 24 -inch pipes for three single family & 16 MR. CHEN: Right. \\
\hline 17 dwellings? & 17 MR. INTRIAGO:-- if you want to pipe that water sout \\
\hline 18 MR. INTRIAGO: (Inaudible) into that system meaning & 18 \\
\hline 19 like one drop of water -- & 19 MR. CHEN: Right. \\
\hline 20 MR. KLINE: Mr. Intriago & 20 MR. INTRIAGO: -- still need to be upgraded. \\
\hline 21 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Stop. & 21 MR. CHEN: And the distinction though is you would not \\
\hline 22 MR. KLINE: -- you got to define your term & 22 probably have to go to 24 inches. \\
\hline 23 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. Okay. Okay. One drop of water & 23 MR. INTRIAGO: I don't know if that would make a \\
\hline 24 into that existing system will not be able to be & 24 difference but, yes, sir, we have to go to different size \\
\hline 25 accommodated on that system. I try that and then basically & 25 of pipe, still upgrade. \\
\hline 110 & 112 \\
\hline 1 I check -- basically I check the design, and just to try to & 1 MR. CHEN: Yeah, but not that large. \\
\hline 2 put it in perspective, the county has a requirement that & 2 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. \\
\hline 3 the HGL line that we spoke about before has to be one foot & 3 MR. CHEN: Excuse me. \\
\hline 4 above the pipe in the structure. When I did the & 4 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. \\
\hline 5 computations for the existing conditions all of those pipes & 5 MR. CHEN: Your testimony is that with single-family \\
\hline 6 were one foot above the structure. So they were maxed out. & 6 development that the existing pipe conveyance system is at \\
\hline 7 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. I'm sorry. I'm & 7 capacity already. You've got to orally make a -- \\
\hline 8 sorry. Say that again please. & 8 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. So I'm trying to understand the \\
\hline 9 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. So I check the existing system & 9 question. \\
\hline 10 for additional capacity and you have none. Maybe that way & 10 MR. CHEN: Oh, you -- \\
\hline 11 will be easier to explain. & 11 MR. INTRIAGO: That's why I was thinking while you \\
\hline 12 MR. CHEN: So I interpret what you just said to mean & 12 were asking the question. \\
\hline 13 that if three single-family dwellings were constructed on & 13 MR. CHEN: I think we're in sync. \\
\hline 14 the subject property there would still be a need to upgrade & 14 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. \\
\hline 15 the stormwater pipe conveyance system. & 15 MR. CHEN: But does a single-family development \\
\hline 16 MR. INTRIAGO: If the water was taken to that system & 16 require utilizing that stormwater management conveyance \\
\hline 17 it would have to be upgraded. Yes, sir. & 17 system? \\
\hline 18 MR. CHEN: But my question, sir, is -- and I realize & 18 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. Let me go to an exhibit here one \\
\hline 19 that you haven't done any computations but you're a pretty & 19 second so I can show you. \\
\hline 20 well experienced civil engineer. & 20 MR. CHEN: Sure. \\
\hline 21 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. & 21 MR. INTRIAGO: All right. So let me start with \\
\hline 22 MR. CHEN: Are you also saying though that the new & 22 Exhibit 196-B. Basically it is an existing conditions plan \\
\hline 23 piping would have to be 24 inches? & 23 with drainage divides on it. If you wanted to -- one \\
\hline 24 MR. INTRIAGO: No, probably not. I mean without -- & 24 single-family residential home, if you wanted to pipe it \\
\hline 25 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 25 south with the same -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 113 & 115 \\
\hline 1 MR. CHEN: That was not my question. & 1 stormwater that is flowing or flowing onto the subject \\
\hline 2 MR. INTRIAGO: No. & 2 property. And it's your statement to the hearing examiner \\
\hline 3 MR. CHEN: Do you have to put it in -- does a single- & 3 that even with residential development on this site there \\
\hline 4 family & 4 would have to be a system to pick up that stormwater coming \\
\hline 5 MR. INTRIAGO: Y & 5 off the residential development taking it into the pipe \\
\hline 6 MR. CHEN: -- have to utilize & 6 conveyance system. \\
\hline 7 MR. INTRIAGO & 7 MR. INTRIAGO: I'm going to leave that to the \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: -- the existing? Okay & 8 regulators. \\
\hline 9 MR. INTRIAGO: Because the system that you have here & 9 MR. CHEN: The who? \\
\hline 10 that's crossing the culvert that I've been referring over & 10 MR. INTRIAGO: To the regulators, to the people who is \\
\hline 11 and over again that is non-compliant -- the culvert is the & 11 going to review the building plans to approve that. \\
\hline 12 pipe that gets the water from the existing development that & 12 MR. CHEN: oh, okay. \\
\hline 13 we have right now across the driveway into the west that & 13 MR. INTRIAGO: That's not my jurisdiction. \\
\hline 14 eventually shifts flow into the same branch that we have & 14 MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
\hline 15 and the same water shed. That existing pipe is non- & 15 MR. INTRIAGO: I'm just telling you the engineering \\
\hline 16 conforming. So if you develop a house in this developme & 16 part of where of the water is flowing. That's all I'm \\
\hline 17 the water either has to go west through where it was going, & 17 saying. \\
\hline 18 or south into the system that we have here & 18 MR. CHEN: I got you. You're talking -- you're \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: Okay & 19 testimony with regards to -- \\
\hline 20 MR. INTRIAGO: So that's the only two options that we & 20 MR. INTRIAGO: I'm just testifying engineering. \\
\hline 21 have. & 21 MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
\hline 22 MR. CHEN: Okay & 22 MR. INTRIAGO: Like where the water is flowing, it's \\
\hline 23 MR. INTRIAGO: So we -- then there's different & 23 either going west or going south. Now if it's going to be \\
\hline 24 challenges of operating t & 24 required, you have to ask somebody else. \\
\hline 25 MR. CHEN: Okay. So your -- what's your authority for & 25 MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
\hline 114 & 116 \\
\hline 1 your contention, sir, or your testimony that for single- & 1 MR. INTRIAGO: I do know, sir, that when I actually \\
\hline 2 family dwellings they would have to utilize the stormwater & 2 asked about this culvert, that culvert has to be upgraded. \\
\hline 3 pipe conveyance system? & 3 That I do know. \\
\hline 4 MR. INTRIAGO: What's my authority? & 4 MR. CHEN: Okay. Do you know who put that in by the \\
\hline 5 MR. CHEN: Yeah. & 5 way? \\
\hline 6 MR. INTRIAGO: I don't understand the question & 6 MR. INTRIAGO: I have no clue, sir. I'm guessing \\
\hline 7 MR. CHEN: Is there a county regulation or a state & 7 whoever put the driveway. \\
\hline 8 regulation that says that even for a single-family dwelling & 8 MR. CHEN: Okay. All right. It's 12:20. I'm not \\
\hline 9 on the subject property -- one, two, or three -- that & 9 near done. I request a luncheon break at this point. \\
\hline 10 single-family dwellings would have to utilize -- would have & 10 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Can you proffer \\
\hline 11 to utilize the stormwater pipe conveyance system that & 11 what -- are you finished with this topic? \\
\hline 12 you've described? & 12 MR. CHEN: Yes. This -- yes. Yes. Stormwater \\
\hline 13 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. I have no jurisdiction over & 13 management and the piping conveyance system, yes. I do not \\
\hline 14 that. What I'm saying to you is that water is flowing & 14 anticipate asking Mr. Intriago anymore questions about \\
\hline 15 west. & 15 stormwater management. \\
\hline 16 MR. CHEN: Okay & 16 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Your engineering cross- \\
\hline 17 MR. INTRIAGO: So if the water is flowing & 17 examination, you're not nearly done. \\
\hline 18 west -- either it flows west and you capture it and you & 18 MR. CHEN: That's correct. It's other matters. \\
\hline 19 take it south, or it flows west and you have to upgrade the & 19 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 20 system that is there. & 20 MR. CHEN: The gentleman testified on three separat \\
\hline 21 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 21 days. \\
\hline 22 MR. INTRIAGO: So engineering wise I'm telling you & 22 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: That's true. This is true. \\
\hline 23 that those are the options. & 23 All right. With that, can you do an hour for lunch? \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: I understand engineering wise you're & 24 Or court reporter, how late can you stay tonight, sir? \\
\hline 25 describing and I do not question what is happening with & 25 COURT REPORTER: I can stay however long you need me \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

to. So --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN:Thank you. We can go to }
6:00 if no one has a conflict or a life, and I'll let you
take an hour and }15\mathrm{ minutes. All right. So that would be
1:05. Wait. Is that clock -- is it set for daylight --
MR. KLINE:The clock has been changed the time,
correct.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So it's --
MR. CHEN: 12:22.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So let's go and we'll be
back at -- well, now you get an extra two minutes. Let's
be back at 12:10.
MR. CHEN: 12:10.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: 1:10.
MR. INTRIAGO: No, 1:30 you mean, ma'am, I guess.
MR. CHEN: I think you mean -- if we were going for an
hour-and-a-quarter that's like 1:35 I think. That's doing
my math.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I am failing this. I
mean -- now, okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: 1:35. He's right.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: I'malways right.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'll listen to the engineer.
I only take the engineer's word for it. 1:35. All right.

```
    MR. INTRIAGO: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
    (Off the record at 12:23:28 p.m.)
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. We're back on the
record at \(1: 40\). Mr. Intriago is being subjected to
grilling and Mr. Chen, you're up.
    MR. CHEN: Thank you very much. Madam Examiner, my
next exhibit -- excuse me. I'm handing you back Exhibit
197.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Thank you.
    MR. KLINE: Oh, and if I could just interrupt for a
preliminary matter. I did check over lunch break. The
boundary survey and topographic survey was brought in here
Friday by Mr. Jolly, was given to me. I took it back to my
office, left it on the desk of an associate. They sent it
out to the printers this morning.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
    MR. KLINE: Multiple copies will be available. I even
told Mr. Chen I'll even stick them on his windshield like
he does with his transmittals to me this evening, but I'll
be able to bring you a copy tomorrow.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Thank you. The other thing
that occurred to me over the break is I don't think we're
going to finish this case tomorrow. We're lucky to get
through Mr. Intriago today unless you don't have any
witnesses.

MR. CHEN: I'm hoping to be done with Mr. Intriago
quickly.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Well, just -- bring
calendars if we don't get done tomorrow. Okay.
Go ahead, Mr. Chen.
MR. CHEN: Thank you. Madam examiner, I'm going to be
using Exhibit 176-II.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: 176?
MR. CHEN: 176-II. It's part of my client's --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Oh, prehearing submittal? MR. CHEN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. I've got that separately. All right.

MR. KLINE: Can you tell us --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Is this the supplemental?
MR. KLINE: It doesn't tell us enough about what it is.

MR. CHEN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: The supplemental prehearing?
MR. CHEN: We filed a supplemental prehearing back in
February and the whole package was just identified as 176.
MR. KLINE: Sure.
MR. CHEN: Double I is the site distance evaluation.
MR. KLINE: Got you.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm getting there. Okay.

And what document? I'm sorry.
MR. CHEN: Double I.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. I'm there. Okay.
MR. CHEN: Mr. Intriago, I am directing your attention
to Exhibit 176-II. Do you have it there in your hand, sir?
MR. INTRIAGO: I do, sir.
MR. CHEN: Okay. You know what this document is,
don't you?
MR. INTRIAGO: It's a Montgomery County status for
sight distance evaluation, yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And this is a report on it.
MR. INTRIAGO: Sure. Yes.
MR. CHEN: Now did your firm also prepare a line of
sight evaluation?
MR. INTRIAGO: We did, sir.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Is that among the exhibits that you have submitted?

MR. INTRIAGO: No, sir.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: In other words, we didn't prepare this
form for it. We actually prepared the actual physical line
of sight exhibits that show -- that will have to accompany
this exhibit to mark it up.
MR. CHEN: You went out there with a survey crew and 5 measured line of sight.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 121 & 123 \\
\hline 1 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. & 1 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. \\
\hline 2 MR. CHEN: I'm sure you did. But my question is & 2 MR. CHEN: Okay. Do you have any opinion as to \\
\hline 3 apparently with that information you have not however & 3 whether or not these reports on this sight distance \\
\hline 4 submitted a line of sight distance evaluation with the & 4 evaluation is not accurate? \\
\hline 5 county; isn't that right? & 5 MR. INTRIAGO: I have not reviewed this report so I \\
\hline 6 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. It was not required at the & 6 cannot -- I mean I have not been asked to check it. \\
\hline 7 time. Correct. & 7 MR. CHEN: Right. So you have no opinion? \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: Okay. Have you had a chance to look at & 8 MR. INTRIAGO: No opinion, sir. \\
\hline 9 these pages with the information on the pages, sir? & 9 MR. CHEN: That's fine. \\
\hline 10 MR. INTRIAGO: No, sir. This is the first time I see & 10 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Are these submitted by the \\
\hline 11 this. & 11 applicant? \\
\hline 12 MR. CHEN: Why don't you take a few minutes and take a & 12 MR. CHEN: No. \\
\hline 13 look at them and you'll see, Mr. Intriago, that -- just to & 13 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: These are submitted by you? \\
\hline 14 assist you that there is information contained on the & 14 MR. CHEN: My client, yeah. \\
\hline 15 forms, the sight distance evaluation forms, of measurements & 15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. All right. I'm just \\
\hline 16 taken -- sight distance measurements taken at three & 16 \\
\hline 17 different locations. & 17 MR. CHEN: We're going to come -- I just want this to \\
\hline 18 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. Yes, sir & 18 -- \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: Sir, are you familiar with the line of & 19 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm confused so that's why \\
\hline 20 sight measurements that your firm took? & 20 I'm checking. \\
\hline 21 MR. INTRIAGO: I am, yes. & 21 MR. CHEN: No, it was clear on the -- I thought on the \\
\hline 22 MR. CHEN: Okay. And if you would, take a look at the & 22 questioning that these had not been prepared by Maser. \\
\hline 23 second page where it says street driveway number three, lot & 23 That these were different. \\
\hline 248 proposed. Do you see that? & 24 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Oh, I -- \\
\hline 25 MR. INTRIAGO: Street driveway number three, lot 8 & 25 MR. CHEN: Yeah. \\
\hline 122 & 124 \\
\hline 1 proposed, yes. & 1 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. \\
\hline 2 MR. CHEN: Okay. And you see the sight distance in & 2 MR. CHEN: Okay. My next exhibit that I'd like to go \\
\hline 3 feet? To the right it's 800 and to the left it's 681. Do & 3 to, Madam Examiner, is 176-HH. Yeah, it's before -- Jody, \\
\hline 4 you see that, sir? & 4 do you have it? \\
\hline 5 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir & 5 MR. KLINE: You have to tell me what it is. \\
\hline 6 MR. CHEN: Does that match with your recollection to & 6 MR. CHEN: It's the -- \\
\hline 7 the information that your survey -- & 7 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I think it's the first one \\
\hline 8 MR. INTRIAGO: I need to see the information. I & 8 after -- it's the first one after -- \\
\hline 9 can't -- I don't remember exact numbers & 9 MR. KLINE: Oh, the imperviousness. Right. \\
\hline 10 MR. CHEN: I understand. Please, it's not a test & 10 MR. CHEN: And MadamExaminer, I apologize for not \\
\hline 11 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. Yeah. & 11 making it more clear on the previous exhibit. \\
\hline 12 MR. CHEN: Do you have that information readily at & 12 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: It's all right. \\
\hline 13 hand? If you don't, I understand. You may not have it & 13 MR. CHEN: I'll proffer to you that this is another \\
\hline 14 with you today. & 14 exhibit that the -- my clients will be offering. \\
\hline 15 MR. INTRIAGO: Not right here. It might be in one of & 15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 16 those documents. I'm not sure. & 16 MR. CHEN: And what I'd like to do, Examiner, please, \\
\hline 17 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 17 I've got a full size of that exhibit and what I'd like to \\
\hline 18 MR. INTRIAGO: My documents right here. & 18 do is mark it as \(176 \mathrm{HH}-\mathrm{A}\) so that it can be used by the \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: Okay. Take a look at each page, and here's & 19 witnesses and for the benefit of the examiner. \\
\hline 20 the last page. & 20 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, it's a long story but \\
\hline 21 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah & 21 it's easier for my team to just add them to the end and I \\
\hline 22 MR. CHEN: Admittedly I'm going to assume that on the & 22 can say large version of 176-HH. \\
\hline 23 first page you don't have any information about the sight & 23 MR. CHEN: Okay. I have no problem with that. \\
\hline 24 distance that's reported on the first page either; is that & 24 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So this is 198, large \\
\hline 25 right, sir? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

    Mr. Kline, do you have an objection to this?
    MR. KLINE: My understanding is it's a copy of -- a
    large copy of what Mr. Chen has already delivered to me so
I have no objection.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. CHEN: Mr. Intriago, have you seen this exhibit
before, before my handing it to you?
MR. INTRIAGO: No, sir.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Great. Let me, sir, let you take a
look at 198. I think its legibility is probably better
than --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Did you mark it?
COURT REPORTER: Yes, I have.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN:Thank you.
MR. CHEN: Why don't you take a quick moment and
you'll see, sir, on the left-hand side of the exhibit shows
existing conditions and on the right-hand side proposed
1 8 conditions. And I appreciate you haven't had a chance to
1 9 look at this exhibit before just now, but based upon your
2 0 quick review is there anything on that exhibit that jumps
2 1 out at you as not being accurate with any information that
22 you have?
MR. INTRIAGO: It seems about accurate.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Thank you.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. Well, the only thing I could say
is that the sidewalk is not in the -- the sidewalk is not
in the proper.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait. What sidewalk?
MR. INTRIAGO:The sidewalk on the east side of -- on
the west side of exhibit in proposed. That's not --
MR. CHEN: Is that along Carnegie?
MR. INTRIAGO: That's correct, sir.
MR. CHEN: Okay. That's that sidewalk that you
described that goes from the subdivision to the south out
to Needwood Road; isn't that right?
MR. INTRIAGO: That is correct, sir.
MR. CHEN: And that is a sidewalk that is six-feet
wide?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
MR. CHEN: And that Primrose is constructing; isn't
that right?
MR. INTRIAGO: That is correct, sir.
MR. CHEN: That's a part of the conditions for this
conditional use.
MR. INTRIAGO: That is correct, sir.
MR. CHEN: And this sidewalk will be in the right-of-
way for Carnegie; is that right?
MR. INTRIAGO: That is correct, sir.
MR. CHEN: Okay. So what you're saying is that the
sidewalk technically is not within the boundaries of the
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subject property although it is within the right-of-way for Carnegie.

MR. INTRIAGO: Correct.
MR. CHEN: Now when you say the right-of-way for
Carnegie, which right-of-way width are you talking about?
MR. INTRIAGO: Both of them.
MR. CHEN: Which is?
MR. INTRIAGO: 40 and 45 , existing and proposed.
MR. CHEN: So the sidewalk is within the 40 and the 45-foot wide right-of-way for Carnegie?

MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
MR. CHEN: Okay. How about if it's a ten-foot wide? MR. INTRIAGO: Well, I don't know. I need to --
MR. CHEN: Is it still not within the -- let's assume 15 the right-of-way would be 60 feet instead of 80 or 90 . Or 16 excuse me, that's wrong. DOT has -- and you testified 17 about this last week -- in their January 2018 DRC meeting 8 spoke of a ten-foot right-of-way, isn't that correct, sir?

MR. INTRIAGO: That was a comment that was -MR. CHEN: It's in the document, the exhibit that your 1 attorney provided.

MR. INTRIAGO: I'm trying to answer your question.
3 It's just I'm trying to understand what your question is,
4 sir. So --
MR. CHEN: Yeah. Do you want me to get the exhibit?
MR. INTRIAGO: The exhibit is right here.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: At one point was the
sidewalk recommended to be ten-feet wide?
MR. INTRIAGO: No. The --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. I'm going to let you
get to what you want.
MR. CHEN: Sir, do you have those minutes?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: And you'll see that one of the discussion
items from DOT, one of the comments was ten-foot dedication
for Carnegie.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: Now am I correct based upon your earlier
testimony that the sidewalk even with the ten-foot wide
dedication is not within the boundaries of the subject
property; is that correct?
MR. INTRIAGO: Correct.
MR. CHEN: Now we have -- we do not have the survey
that -- of the property yet. Mr. Kline just said we'll get
it. As I understand your testimony, the sidewalk that is
shown would not be within the surveyed area that is of the
subject property prepared by your survey contractor?
MR. INTRIAGO: You're asking about the proposed
sidewalk?
25 MR. CHEN: Yes.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 129 & 131 \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Oh, yeah, the proposed sidewalk would & 1 west in which there's a sweet spot. They're saying there's \\
\hline t be within the & 2 a spot at which your line of sight cannot go any \\
\hline MR. CHEN: The surveyed area. & 3 further -- your driveway cannot go any further west. I \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. & 4 haven't done those computations. I only studied two point, \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Good. Okay & 5 the proposed driveway and Carnegie Avenue. So when I was \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. & 6 asked when will you be able to put the driveway, the only \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Other than that is there any & 7 thing I said was somewhere in the eastern side -- if I said \\
\hline er -- anything else that jumps out at you, sir, th & 8 a number I don't have the backup of that number because I \\
\hline e with inform & 9 haven't done that study. \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: I don't think so, no & 10 MR. CHEN: Okay. Do you deny that you made that \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Okay. & 11 statement when you -- \\
\hline IAGO: No, & 12 MR. INTRIAGO: I'm not saying that. What I'm saying \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Otherwise it looks pretty good to you as & 13 is that I estimated without having done the computation for \\
\hline as what's depicted? & 14 it, that I estimated it could have been 30 feet to the west \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. You want it back? & 15 of the existing driveway. What I meant -- the point that I \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Yeah. And I think I'm done with using it. & 16 tried to convey when I say that is that you have a crest of \\
\hline t's do thi & 17 the hill in that road. So there's a point in which -- the \\
\hline IAGO: & 18 further you go west there's a point in which you actually \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: I think you might use it, right Jody, on & 19 literally are -- like your line of sight is right through \\
\hline direct. & 20 the top of the crest -- \\
\hline No one is going to use it. May I take it? & 21 MR. CHEN: Got you. \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Sure. & 22 MR. INTRIAGO: -- at your distance which is X number \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Thank you, & 23 of feet for \(35-250\) feet of the required line of sight. \\
\hline Madam examiner, I'm going to & 24 MR. CHEN: It would be at the apex of the crest. \\
\hline you so you have it. & 25 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. \\
\hline 130 & 13 \\
\hline Now in your testimony on March 5th, sir, and when & 1 MR. CHEN: And from the east to the top of the apex of \\
\hline ked about the access point -- & 2 the crest, do you know how far that distance is, sir? \\
\hline R. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. & 3 MR. INTRIAGO: I didn't do that analysis, sir. I said \\
\hline R. CHEN: What I have down in my notes is & 4 I did an analysis of Carnegie Avenue and the proposed \\
\hline timony was the access onto Needwood Road from the & 5 driveway. \\
\hline ject property line would be from the east -- the area & 6 MR. CHEN: So your testimony is that the only point of \\
\hline uld be from the eastern property line of the subject & 7 access that could be provided onto Needwood is at the \\
\hline perty to 30 feet west of the existing driveway. Do you & 8 eastern boundary line, correct? \\
\hline all that testimony, sir? & 9 MR. INTRIAGO: No, I said there is a range of distance \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Would your & 10 from the northeast corner to a point that you cannot \\
\hline MR. CHEN: What I have down -- everything is good. & 11 satisfy the sight distance anymore. \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. & 12 MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
\hline MR. CHEN: That the area of the frontage of the & 13 MR. INTRIAGO: I didn't analyze where that point was. \\
\hline bject property onto Needwood as to which access could be & 14 MR. CHEN: Okay. So you don't know how long that \\
\hline ovided consistent with line of sight distances is from & 15 distance is or that -- \\
\hline e eastern boundary line to 30 feet west of the existing & 16 MR. INTRIAGO: I do not know how -- unless if \\
\hline iveway. & 17 you -- if I'm asked to do the analysis I can do it, but I \\
\hline MR. INTRIAGO: Well, what I said was that I haven't & 18 didn't do it at that point. \\
\hline ne that study. That was just a check without -- I only & 19 MR. CHEN: No, I'm not quarreling. I'm just curious \\
\hline lyzed two points, the proposed driveway and the proposed & 20 that your testimony -- leaving aside, sir, for the moment, \\
\hline rnegie Avenue and what I said was that without doing the & 21 your testimony was that it was 30 feet west of the existing \\
\hline mputation just an estimate could be 30, 40 feet to the & 22 driveway and you didn't say it was an estimate. You said \\
\hline s. It could end up being, I don't know, 40 feet, 50 & 23 it was 30 feet. And I accept that today you're saying \\
\hline eet. I'm not -- I haven't done that & 24 that, you know, you hadn't done the backup study and it was \\
\hline So what I said was there's a range going from east to & 25 an estimate. I can appreciate that. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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        MR. INTRIAGO: I can also say that when I said that I
    didn't say exactly 30. I went by 20, 30 feet. I can
    actually read the testimony that I said. I didn't actually
    said exactly }30\mathrm{ feet. I just was estimating at that point
    where I thought it was going to be without doing the actual
    analysis.
        MR. CHEN: Okay.
        MR. INTRIAGO: So if I misspoke, I apologize. I
    haven't done that study to be factual about the distance
    10 from the existing or proposed driveway how far west you
1 1 need to go to do that.
12 MR. CHEN: So I assume therefore that the transcript
} of your testimony would reveal what you said.
MR. INTRIAGO: I assume so. Yes, sir.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And so your testimony also is that
6 you do not know the length of the frontage that has access
onto Needwood meaning from the northeast corner to the
crest -- to the apex of the crest.
MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. I don't --
MR. CHEN: You don't know what that is.
MR. INTRIAGO: No.
MR. CHEN:And you haven't measured it?
MR. INTRIAGO: I haven't done that analysis, no.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Even though you have not undertaken
that measurement or that analysis, nonetheless your
testimony is that the only location at which access onto
Needwood could be provided for this project is at the
northeastern corner?
MR. INTRIAGO: I didn't say that, sir. What I said
was there's a range of distance in which you can
technically provide an access that is closer to the crest
of the road the safer the access is. That's what I said.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
$9 \quad$ MR. INTRIAGO: Because when you're at the crest of
10 your road you have a wider range of -- a line of sight to
1 both directions.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: So that's what I said on my deposition.
MR. CHEN: Then why isn't access for this project at
that point on Needwood?
MR. INTRIAGO: At what point, sir?
MR. CHEN: Close to the apex of the crest.
MR. INTRIAGO: Close to the apex.
MR. CHEN: Yeah.
MR. INTRIAGO: You mean close to where it's maxed out
21 at the --
MR. CHEN: Top --
MR. INTRIAGO: -- at exactly the 255 distance? Well,
4 it's very simple.
MR. CHEN: Whoa, whoa, sorry. I apologize if I'm not

1 using the right terminology. It's my fault. As I
understood your answer a moment ago, you said that the best location would have been at the top of the crest.

MR. INTRIAGO: Correct.
MR. CHEN: You can see both directions. MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: So my question is, that being the case, why isn't access for this project at that location?

MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah, I have the perfect answer for 0 that. So you have to provide (inaudible) multiple things. One of the things that we have to provide was the forest conservation easement. The forest conservation easement. MR. CHEN: Right.
MR. INTRIAGO: So that dictates how -- what the 5 (inaudible) you cannot use. Then you have to provide the 6 parking which is the minimal parking required for
7 operations for Primrose. Then you put your building. Then 18 you also need to have your fire truck and all vehicles have 9 a safe access and meander around the building, so which 0 that's the 25 -foot radius on the inside, 50 -foot radius on 1 the outside. So the further you put that access to the 2 west -- and it will be closer to the existing driveway which is right at the crest of that road which is the 4 safest one, you know, the greater the radius it is to meander the building around the existing building. So you --

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: To meander the what around? MR. INTRIAGO: To meander the trucks --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Trucks.
MR. INTRIAGO: -- and the road against the existing buildings.

MR. CHEN: Not existing building, the proposed --
MR. INTRIAGO: The proposed building. Sorry.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I understood that.
MR. INTRIAGO: And then that being said, so at the
location that we propose the driveway it still meets more
than the minimum requirements for the sight distance and
that's how the driveway was actually designed.
MR. CHEN: Now for locating at that northeast corner;
is that what you're saying?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: The driveway northeast corner, yeah.
MR. CHEN: Is there any forest conservation
considerations that impact that access?
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, that's what I --
MR. CHEN: For this building. Is there any forest
conservation issue that dictates access onto Needwood?
MR. INTRIAGO: I thought I explained it from the
forest because -- I don't understand the question.
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    MR. CHEN:Well, there's -- you've given us some
information about some of the factors that go into
determining access.
    MR. INTRIAGO: It goes into determining, you know,
location of the forest conveyance, conservation, location
of parking, location of building, access to the driveway,
meander, the building, the fire truck meander around the
building.
    MR. CHEN: Yes.
    MR. INTRIAGO: Safe circulation, a bunch of stuff.
    MR. CHEN: And please, sir, I'm only trying to get to
the factors that led to choosing the northeast corner.
    MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. That's all I'm --
    MR. INTRIAGO: No, no, I apologize. I just wasn't
understanding the question. I'm just making sure I
understand. Because you said forest conservation. Was it
a factor? It was a factor with other ones because, like I
said, I have to start --
    MR. CHEN: Got you. Okay.
    MR. INTRIAGO: -- you know, from the bottom up and --
    MR. CHEN: Okay. So for this site is there any forest
conservation factor that dictated the locating of the
access at the northeast point?
    MR. KLINE: Objection. It's the third time he's asked
    the question.
        MR. CHEN: He hasn't answered it.
        HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, I haven't -- I haven't
    understood the answer. What's the relationship between
    locating the access at the northeast corner and the forest
    conservation? Why does the forest conservation matter?
        MR. INTRIAGO: May I show?
        HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Sure.
        MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. I need the forest conservation
    plan that go with that. So on Exhibit }148\mathrm{ we have a
    minimum forest conservation area that we need to meet, . }5
    acres.
        MR. CHEN: That's the minimum. I understand.
        HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: We know that. I just want
        the answer.
        MR. INTRIAGO: So that dictates this area right here.
So that tells me how far to bring this in here.
        HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Right.
        MR. INTRIAGO: Then we have to constrain the parking
within the setbacks and everything else. So that dictates
the parking right here. So that locates the building in
that location. So what I have left to play, the further I
put the access to the --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: West.
        MR. INTRIAGO: -- west the more abrupt the radius and
```

the turning is to go around the building. So everything is pushing up that way for the design. So forest conservation is just part of what played a role into what the building was laid out and how the driveway was located. But that was it. I don't know if that answered the question or not.

MR. CHEN: I'll accept that.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I understand it.
MR. CHEN: Okay. So as I understand your testimony
then of what you've just explained, that access is custom
to that building as shown on Exhibit 148.
MR. INTRIAGO: I want to say yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Now what if the building was smaller?

MR. INTRIAGO: Smaller which direction, sir?
MR. CHEN: Say you lop off the top third, the
16 northernmost third of the proposed building.
MR. INTRIAGO: Like 30 feet, chop of 30 feet of the 8 proposed building?

MR. CHEN: Whatever it is, yeah.
MR. INTRIAGO: All right. Yeah. Definitely. I could 1 have potentially pushed the driveway more to the crest.

MR. CHEN: Okay. I understand that. And that may
3 mean fewer children and fewer staff but knocking off that 4 top 30 feet you could have a different access point.

MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. That sounds correct. May I see
that again please?
MR. CHEN: Now as I also understand the proposal, in
utilizing that access point connecting to the driveway on
the eastern side of the site --
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: -- there's going to be trees removed; inn't
that correct, sir?
MR. INTRIAGO: I need to go to the forest conservation
plan.
MR. CHEN: And I'm just talking about the driveway,
sir. I'm not talking about the area in the southern part
of the site. I'm talking about the driveway.
MR. INTRIAGO: So --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So you're on exhibit -- it's
in the lower corner.
MR. INTRIAGO: Let me find the forest conservation
plan, ma'am. One second.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Is it 93?
MR. INTRIAGO: This should be Exhibit 18-A. HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: 18-A. No, I think there's
a -- am I wrong? I think there's -- I thought there was
(inaudible).
MR. INTRIAGO: All right. Here we go.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait. What exhibit are you
on?
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    MR. INTRIAGO:This exhibit says over here 184 maybe.
Yeah, }184
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. What's it labeled?
    MR. CHEN: Do you mind just turning it around so north
is --
    MR. INTRIAGO: Oh, sorry, yeah.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What's it labeled?
    MR. INTRIAGO: Sorry, ma'am?
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What's the plan labeled?
    MR. INTRIAGO: Plan label is (inaudible) forest
conservation plan sheet, PFCP-4.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Thank you.
    MR. INTRIAGO: So there's been requested to remove two
specimen trees which is what's recommended by Montgomery
    County. It's everything either over 30 inches or
    everything that's considered like a Champion.
    MR. CHEN: Yeah, there's specimens because of that
    connection, yeah.
    MR. INTRIAGO: Right. So now the two specimen trees
    that we have here in reference are SP1 which is in the
    middle of the building --
    MR. CHEN: Right.
    MR. INTRIAGO: -- and we have SP9 which is the one we
    talked about.
    MR. CHEN: Yes.
        MR. INTRIAGO:So those are the only two trees that
    we'll be removing that are regulated by Montgomery County
    Forest Conversation Regulations.
        MR. CHEN: And as I understand your testimony the
    other day and you alluded to it just now, in order to
    install the driveway as shown in that exhibit Tree SP9 has
    to be removed.
        MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. But that's not the access,
    that's the driveway.
        MR. CHEN: I understand that. I said driveway.
        MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
        MR. CHEN: On the driveway it's 20-feet wide; is that
        correct, sir?
        MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
        MR. CHEN: Is that 20-feet curb face to curb --
        MR. INTRIAGO: Face of curb to face of curb. Yes,
        sir.
    18 MR. CHEN: Now how wide is the curb itself?
    MR. INTRIAGO: Six-inches.
    MR. CHEN:So that it's -- the top of the curb is six
    21 inches.
    MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
        MR. CHEN: And then beyond that six inches there is
    the landscaped area.
        MR. INTRIAGO: }12\mathrm{ feet of landscape area to the back
```
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beled?

1 of the curb, yes.
MR. CHEN: Yes. Just real quick, and I think you
testified to this so just -- you did it at a different
point --
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: -- in your testimony so it's at a different point in my notes.

MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. CHEN: The building that is being proposed is the 0 building that has always been the proposed building since the assignment; isn't that correct?

MR. KLINE: Objection. He -- objection.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes, go ahead.
MR. KLINE: I think the foundation of the question is incorrect based on his earlier testimony about the 16 iterations of the building.

MR. CHEN: I'll accept that.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: I'll accept that. Yeah, I appreciate that.
You never though gave us the date when this configuration
of the building took hold. Do you remember? Was it in '17
or '18 or --
MR. INTRIAGO: That was -- the shape of the building that we have right now including water rooms and different
things that were changed I think probably is more dated in
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'19. So I'm sorry, there is a lot of history on this
project. I don't have the exact dates. I really have to
go back to my records. But I would say staff were having
comments on the building all the way to the very end.
MR. CHEN: There was testimony from Mr. Wolford.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: You know, Mr. Wolford.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. I do know Mr. Wolford.
MR. CHEN: About the program for this facility. Do
you recall that testimony, sir?
MR. INTRIAGO: Would you please remind my memory? I'm
not sure what you're referring to exactly.
MR. CHEN: He was discussing the size by virtue of
pupils and staff.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. CHEN: And his testimony was that Primrose's
17 program for this site was 195 children, 30 staff, and two
18 administrators and that that had been the constant
19 throughout the beginning of the project. Does that sound
right to you?
MR. INTRIAGO: I would like to say that's
approximately right. Like I said, it was -- all the ones
23 that I've done, I have my experience on, the number have
24 been around the 200 students plus/minus five here and 25 there.

MR. CHEN: Yeah.
MR. INTRIAGO: So it's in the range. That's where we most likely start.

MR. CHEN: Yeah.
MR. INTRIAGO: And the 32 is a common number I heard
also through my experience with Primrose.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: I mean I'm going to leave to that them
or Primrose to actually answer that question.
MR. CHEN: Did Mr. Alt provide the footprint for the building?
MR. INTRIAGO: Most definitely he did. Along the way he provide all the building things that we have and we have records in our inbound folder of all iterations that were at one point here or there or not.

MR. CHEN: So I take it then Mr. Alt would be the better source for information about the building and its size and configuration.

MR. INTRIAGO: I think so. I think that would
be -- I'll defer to Mr. Alt for any questions you might
have on the building itself.
MR. CHEN: Thank you.
Madam examiner, do you have Exhibit 93-D?
Or Mr. Kline, do we have it on the easel?
MR. KLINE: Sure.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, I have it here.
MR. KLINE: That's the conditional use plan so you
better have it.
MR. CHEN: Jody, is it on the easel?
MR. INTRIAGO: I can put it up, sir.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Give me the -- oh, here it
is.
MR. CHEN: It's 93-D.
MR. INTRIAGO: Give me one second.
MR. KLINE: CUP-4 is the (inaudible).
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Thanks. I have it here.
MR. INTRIAGO: Do you want me to put it in here,
ma'am, for everybody else to see?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: So it's understood, Madam Examiner, that
the plan or the drawing on the easel is 93-D.
Mr. Kline, is that right?
MR. KLINE: It looks like it's CUP-4. It should be
the same.
MR. CHEN: Okay. By the way, just one minor question.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Is there a revision date on
it? I have 10/21/19.
MR. CHEN: That sounds right.
MR. INTRIAGO: 10/25/19 for Katherine Nelson's plant screening comments.
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2 received up to 10/16.
MR. INTRIAGO: I think it's -- I think Mr. Chen was
making reference that later there's Exhibit 181 or
something like that.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: 103 ?
MR. INTRIAGO: 10 - something that had these revisions
on it that was after 93.
MR. CHEN: Well, no, you and I -- you and I
communicate well actually. You are correct, sir, that I
was referring to 103 which did include the condition use plan.

MR. INTRIAGO: Correct.
MR. CHEN: You're absolutely correct about that.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah, so this one reflects that.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: That iteration.
MR. CHEN: And what is that exhibit number?
MR. INTRIAGO: That's -- and this should be actually one --

MR. CHEN: The transmittal letter from Mr. Kline's
office did not change those numbers. It kept the old
numbers.
MR. INTRIAGO: I'm not sure.
MR. CHEN: Yeah, let me show you.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: That's what I have. I have
a packet of 93 and then attached of 103 . So A and B.
MR. CHEN: In fairness to Mr. Intriago, he didn't see
it.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, I'm just confused. Just tell me which one to look at.

MR. INTRIAGO: I think you should look at -- well, I
will not say.
MR. CHEN: Mr. Intriago gets it that what happened,
and I mentioned this before the break, in October in a
letter signed by Mr. Hughes the applicant submitted updated
landscape plans which were marked as 103A and 103B.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I have those.
MR. CHEN: Along with that transmittal he resubmitted
all the other documents and they retained their previous
exhibit numbers, 93 , whatever the heck they were.
MR. INTRIAGO: But they were updated actually, sir.
MR. CHEN: Please, I'm not --
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: That's --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. I'm not sure staff got that nuance.

MR. CHEN: You are raising what's got me confused as
well, sir --
25 MR. INTRIAGO: yeah.
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    MR. CHEN: Please, I looked at what you had and it's
not the same as mine.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
    MR. CHEN: So Mr. Kline, I hear what you're saying,
but they have different dates. I'll be glad to show you.
    MR. KLINE: In terms of revisions? So --
    MR. CHEN: The margin notes are different, you know,
where --
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait. I don't know what
you're -- give me -- please, hand me the -- what are the
margin notes that you're discussing?
    MR. CHEN: You go to --
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Because I'm going to have
Mr. Intriago -- you might as well --
    MR. INTRIAGO: Okay.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Whether -- this date?
    MR. CHEN: No, up here.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Oh, the revision date.
    MR. CHEN: If you -- yeah.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN:That's what I call the
revision date.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. Now I -- I was looking at the
condition use plan, but you can go by the one that you're
looking at. And here's mine. This is what I received in
October.
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    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, that's what we have as
    having been received.
MR. CHEN: Right. And now you have another one that's
per Nelson's plan. You know, whatever was done here, and I
understand maybe the landscape plan reflects her changes,
but what I'm saying is we have not seen this cluster of
exhibits and Mr. Kline is saying I'm sure that they are the
same. There's no substantial difference. And I appreciate
he says that, but nonetheless, my client has not seen them.
MR. KLINE: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Mr. -- just a second please.
Mr. Intriago --
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, ma'am.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- are you able -- do you
have the capability of --
MR. INTRIAGO: To tell you what the difference is?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Between all these -- every
plan --
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, ma'am.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- and -- okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: So the difference is --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: No, just --
MR. INTRIAGO: Oh, I'm sorry.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Mr. Kline, do you want to
say something?

1
MR. KLINE: Only to acknowledge what Mr. Chen is saying. What was sent him was changed the 21 st. These plans say the 25 th so apparently there was a subsequent change that was not in the record in which case I believe where we're heading right now makes sense. I understand his point.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Mr. Chen. MR. CHEN: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm going to let him testify
for every plan; CUP-1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, what the
difference is between revision date 10/21/19 and 10/25/19.
Yours have revision date $10 / 21$ written on it. That has 10/21/19, correct?

MR. CHEN: I believe mine --
MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am The one I just looked at, that's what it says.

MR. CHEN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So what I
want -- what I'm asking you to do -- are you ready, Mr.
Chen?
MR. CHEN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'll wait 'til you get a pen and paper.

MR. INTRIAGO: Ma'am, I'm sorry. You said everything
about the landscape plan because that one you have a
revised plan, right?
MR. CHEN: Well, it should be in this group.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Just --
MR. INTRIAGO: Just the one --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Let me ask the questions.
MR. INTRIAGO: Oh, I'm sorry.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Just sit tight. Are you
ready, Mr. Chen?
MR. CHEN: I guess as ready as I'm going to be.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. CUP-1.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, m'am
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Can you tell me the
difference between revision 10/21 and 10/25/2019?
MR. INTRIAGO: The revision date.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. That's the only thing.

MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: CUP-2, same question. MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Are you sure?
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: You're under oath. MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: It was the revision date and the
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 location of the Champion tree. | 1 needs to be labeled on the (inaudible) forest conservation |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait. What do you mean the | 2 plan that was not on the original NRFSD. So on that phone |
| 3 location of the Champion tree? | 3 conversation I had with her she was telling me, you know, |
| 4 Mr. Intriago: The reason why Ms. Nelson call me was | 4 where most likely the location was. Now I surveyed -- no, |
| 5 because she was not agreeing with the location that we have | 5 Mr . Woody surveyed some trees, I don't know what method he |
| 6 | 6 used, on Ms. Kosary's property. All I know is she had this |
| 7 have the Champion tree on the original plans, the plans | 7 reflection. I don't know what methods he used, but |
| 8 that we've been working all along, that was a field | 8 measured those three trees. |
| 9 measured location. Like Mr. Wolford and I went to the | 9 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What three trees? |
| 10 site, we measured, and we located it to the best of our | 10 MR. INTRIAGO: There's three trees that are not shown |
| 11 | 11 |
| 12 Now, subsequently it was surveyed, but we never | 12 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: That are not shown where? |
| 13 actually put this survey location on the final plans. So | 13 MR. INTRIAGO: That are not shown on the northwest |
| 14 after all these -- I guess when I was talking to Ms. Nelson | 14 side of Ms. Kosary's property. Right. There's existing |
| 15 a bunch of people were contesting this plan and she went | 15 three trees in that location that were surveyed. But she |
| 16 back to the site and she checked everything. So one of the | 16 was telling me based on her phone conversation locate this |
| 17 things that she told me about on the phone call was th | 17 SP 10 for me. What happens, I chose the wrong tree based |
| 18 Champion tree is not in the right location. I said you're | 18 on -- I got confused on the phone conversation and I chose |
| 19 right. And I have the survey information. Let me turn off | 19 the tree that was further east. All right. So then -- but |
| 20 the old o | 20 I located that tree. So Ms. Kosary was right in saying the |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. You don't have to go | 21 location that you have your SP 10, that was like literally a |
| 22 in the whole thing. | 22 day before the planning board, is still not in the right |
| 23 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah | 23 location. And I was like -- and then I spoke with Ms. |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So are you saying the only | 24 Nelson after this. I said, you know, Ms. Nelson, I think I |
| 25 difference between revision $10 / 21$ and $10 / 25$ is the location | 25 went over what you said. I know where my mistake was. The |
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| 1 of the Champion tree in the northwest corner of the site? | 116 feet -- |
| 2 MR. INTRIAGO: No. One more thing. | 2 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Did anybody tell the |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. | 3 planning board that that |
| 4 MR. INTRIAGO: And, you know, basically the phon | 4 MR. INTRIAGO: They did. Actually, the planning |
| 5 conversation that I had with her, and I want to make the | 5 board -- Ms. Nelson said -- I think she put a condition |
| 6 caveat here that I made a mistake. Since we were so close | 6 there saying that the final location of this SP10, which |
| 7 to the hearing date she was telling me that the | 7 you have and exhibit in there that we show really the real, |
| 8 location -- she wanted me to add SP10 which is the specimen | 8 real survey location that had to be corrected with the |
| 9 tree that is only -- | 9 final forest conservation plan. So that was a discussion |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Mr. Kosary's property. | 10 that I personally had with Ms. Nelson about the location of |
| 11 MR. INTRIAGO: Mr. Kosary's property, that, you know, | 11 that one single tree. |
| 12 it was not labeled within 100 feet of the NRI and we still | 12 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 13 need to add it to the plan. So based on the phone | 13 MR. INTRIAGO: Which based on the computation that we |
| 14 conversation I had with her, she was saying 16 feet to the | 14 presented as an exhibit, we're only impacting 13 percent |
| 15 south and 30 something feet to the east. So when we're | 15 which is less than the threshold of 30 percent to consider |
| 16 talking about that I misunderstood what she said and I make | 16 a tree to be in danger of coming down. |
| 17 the measurement from the shed 16 feet, 30 something feet. | 17 MR. KLINE: You're getting a little off point. |
| 18 MR. KLINE: Stop. Stop. You've got to show her what | 18 MR. INTRIAGO: I'm sorry. |
| 19 you mean. | 19 MR. KLINE: Just explain what is the change in this |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yeah, put it up there. | 20 plan. |
| 21 MR. INTRIAGO: All right. So I think on the northwest | 21 MR. INTRIAGO: Those two things. |
| 22 corner, I already explained the Champion tree that is now | 22 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So this shows SP10 -- |
| 23 closer to the right-of-way, even in our favor it is easier | 23 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. |
| 24 to get away fromit. The other one was that she was very | 24 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- even though it's in the |
| 25 concerned about after the Kosary family called that SP10 | 25 wrong location. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. Correct. | 1 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And you moved the location | 2 MR. INTRIAGO: (Inaudible). |
| of the Champion tree in the northwest corner. | 3 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: But just tell him what the |
| MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, ma'am. | 4 label -- it's 199 what? |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Let's go to CUP, what | 5 MR. CHEN: I. |
| are we on, 3? | 6 MR. INTRIAGO: 199-I. |
| MR. CHEN: 3. | 7 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And CUP? |
| MR. INTRIAGO: There's nothing here. | 8 MR. INTRIAGO: CUP-9. |
| MR. CHEN: 3. | 9 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. And what are -- these |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Except the revision date? | 10 are what we have. |
| MR. INTRIAGO: Except the revision date, yes. | 11 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah, these are already in the record, |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. And CUP-4? | 12 yes. |
| MR. INTRIAGO: The Champion tree, SP10, revision date. | 13 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. These are 103 -- |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. And SP10 again is the | 14 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. |
| 5 location you thought it was -- | 15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- whatever. |
| MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, it was -- | 16 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- but, no, that's okay, but | 17 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Keep going. |
| 8 that's the only change is putting it on the plan. | 18 MR. INTRIAGO: And then the last sheet is the -- you |
| MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. | 19 have the record, yeah, but I guess you're going to accept |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Keep going. | 20 this one as (inaudible). |
| MR. INTRIAGO: Nothing on this one, on 99-E. | 21 MR. CHEN: What is it? What is it? |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What is that? | 22 MR. INTRIAGO: It's 199-J, CUP-10. |
| MR. CHEN: CUP -- | 23 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And the only change is the |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: CUP-5. | 24 revision date? |
| MR. INTRIAGO: CUP-5, revision -- the revision date | 25 MR. INTRIAGO: The revision date on this one really is |
| 162 | 164 |
| and nothing else on this sheet. | 1 the only change here, yes. |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Keep going. | 2 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So this is our new |
| MR. INTRIAGO: Champion 3 and -- | 3 conditional use plan. |
| MR. CHEN: What document are we on? | 4 MR. INTRIAGO: Like I said, it was a conversation |
| MR. INTRIAGO: This one is F, 99-F. | 5 because Ms. Nelson was really calling me all the way to the |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: CUP-6. | 6 very end. |
| MR. INTRIAGO: CUP-6, yes. This one have the Champion | 7 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Please, we need to get |
| tree but this one doesn't even need to show the SP10 so | 8 going. So -- |
| it's not shown here, and the revision date. | 9 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. | 10 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I understand. So Mr. Chen. |
| 1 MR. INTRIAGO: CUP-7 and Exhibit 99-G has no change. | 11 Mr. CHEN: Yes. I need to look at D which is which is |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. | 12 CUP-4. I believe CUP-4 is the conditional use plan. The |
| 3 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. CUP-8 and 99-H has just the | 13 conditional use plan. |
| 14 Champion tree and the revision date changed. | 14 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: For the record, do you need |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Does it have SP10? | 15 it up to you or -- |
| 6 MR. INTRIAGO: No, ma'am | 16 MR. CHEN: I'd like a five minute break to look at |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Keep going. | 17 that plan to make sure it -- |
| 8 MR. INTRIAGO: Now here the last plan we have the | 18 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: When you say look, do you |
| 9 wrong location of SP10, the location of the -- well, I | 19 want to go off the record? |
| 20 think -- | 20 MR. CHEN: Yes. Yes. |
| 21 MR. CHEN: Pardon me. What exhibit are we on right | 21 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: How long do you want to look |
| 22 now? | 22 at it? |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: CUP-9. | 23 MR. CHEN: Five minutes. |
| 24 MR. INTRIAGO: Sorry, sir, but this is the one that | 24 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 25 you have (inaudible). | 25 MR. CHEN: My examination, please, was based upon what |
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I had.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes.
        MR. CHEN: And I want to make sure that that is
    consistent with what we now have as 199.
        HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN:That's fine.
        MR. KLINE: Your Honor, no objection. I think that's
    fair.
        HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN:We'll go off the record. Do
    you need ten minutes, five minutes?
        MR. CHEN: Ten minutes would work.
        HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN:Ten minutes. We'll be back
at 2:50.
        (Off the record at 2:41:53 p.m.)
        HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Are you ready?
        Okay. The court reporter is ready. All right. We're
continuing with the cross-examination of Mr. Intriago.
        MR. CHEN: Thank you.
        HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Go ahead.
        MR. CHEN: Mr. Intriago --
        MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir.
        MR. CHEN: -- could you please come up to the easel
and I'm directing your attention to CUP-4 which is 199 to
    199-D.
        MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. Sure.
        MR. CHEN: Okay. Sir, directing your attention to the
```

    east side of the property next to my client's property, do
    you see the entry that I am pointing you to?
        MR. INTRIAGO: Eight feet side screening, yes.
        MR. CHEN: And further south, do you see the other
    entry I'm pointing to?
        MR. INTRIAGO: Proposed 12-feet parking buffer.
        MR. CHEN: Okay. So that is not showing 12-foot
    landscaping.
        MR. INTRIAGO: I don't know what you're referring to,
    10 sir. The eight-foot side -- okay. So remember we were
    talking the other day about the building screening, that we
    were having a brief discussion about it?
        MR. CHEN: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
        MR. INTRIAGO: So option \(A\) is 12 feet, option \(B\) is
    eight-foot wide with a four-foot fence. So what we were
    mainly doing there is just depicting the requirement of the
    eight-foot landscape buffer -- screening, sorry, for the
    building based on the zoning code.
        MR. CHEN: Yes, you are -- and by the way, this is not
    --
        HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, wait a minute. Is
        it -- is the screening you're providing eight feet?
        MR. INTRIAGO: It's 12 feet, ma'am. What we're just
    showing there is the requirement. It's the eight foot
    25 require -- let me show. So what Mr. Chen was referring to,
    there's a call out on the CUP plan more or less want to
say -- want to say 200 feet going south along the east
property line that just says eight-foot side screen.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: That's the thing that remember we were
talking about the section where they cut off the screen of the building itself.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes.
MR. INTRIAGO: So that's only depicting the
requirement of the screen. It's like when you show
setbacks for example.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Okay. Keep going. MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Keep asking. MR. CHEN: Sir, this is the conditional use plan.
It's not supposed to show required. It's supposed to show
what will be built, and what you are doing there in
actuality you are relying upon Section 59.6.5.3.C7; isn't
that right?
MR. INTRIAGO: I need to see it, sir. I don't know
off the top of my head.
MR. CHEN: I don't -- the number -- I mean this is what you testified to the other day.

MR. INTRIAGO: If that's what I testified then yes.
MR. CHEN: You're not utilizing the 12-foot wide
landscaping that is down further south for the parking.
You're saying for the building that on that plan you're
just showing screening pursuant to the ordinance section
that I just gave you. Isn't that right?
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, I would like to understand your
question, sir, but what I'm trying to tell you is like for
example if you refer to the same thing about the 30 -foot
parking setback here, I'm not saying that that's a setback.
I'm showing the requirement. So basically --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait. Wait. What I want to
know --
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- is at that point along
the driveway where the label is, how --
MR. INTRIAGO: 12 feet, ma'am. Everything is 12 feet.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait. What is 12 feet? The
landscaping will be 12 feet?
MR. INTRIAGO: The landscape offer from the property
line to the back of the curb is a minimum of 12 feet along
the entire east property line.
MR. CHEN: And that exhibit doesn't say that.
MR. INTRIAGO: The exhibit that you're pointing to is
pointing to a line that is actually eight feet from the
property
MR. CHEN: Where does it say 12-foot landscaping at
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that part where the building is, sir, to the east of the
building?
    MR. INTRIAGO: It's not labeled like that, sir.
    MR. CHEN: No. And it's because -- and you were
candid in your testimony about this the other day that what
you utilized was the section that I just gave you which
provides for screening, building screening. That's what
you were referring to and that is not the same as the 12-
foot wide landscape requirement.
    MR. INTRIAGO: I mean I don't --
    MR. CHEN: I'm just going -- sir, please.
    MR. INTRIAGO:So I'm just trying to understand, sir.
So what I'm trying to tell you is that rather than calling
for ten feet, I'm showing the two liters. One liter that
shows for the property line and the other liter that shows
exactly at the eight-foot separation to show the
requirement.
    MR. CHEN: Are you aware, sir, that this plan in
your -- that you're looking at is supposed to be the
    project, the conditional use as approved so that }12\mathrm{ months
    from now when somebody wants to know what Primrose is
    authorized to do, this is the critical conditional use
    plan. It is not a plan that is supposed to show setbacks
    required under the zoning ordinance. It's not supposed to
    show things that -- it's supposed to be what is to be built
out there so that when my client or somebody else comes in
and says they haven't built it to }12\mathrm{ foot possibly and they
go look at the plan and someone says, well, they don't have
to go to }12\mathrm{ feet, they only have to go to 10-foot
screening. So, you know, there's a problem there, sir,
because this plan is supposed to show what is to be
approved.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Is there a question there?
    MR. CHEN: No. Isn't that correct, sir?
    MR. INTRIAGO: Sure, sir. Yes.
    MR. CHEN: Okay.
    MR. INTRIAGO:This is not a construction document but
that's what I was kind of --
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: No, that's not the point.
The point is it has to show what you're going to put on the
site.
    MR. INTRIAGO: Understood, ma'am. I understand.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN:And we would need amended
plans showing whatever the landscaping is that you want to
put on there. Now is it in the landscaping detail?
    MR. INTRIAGO: I'm going to try to see that there.
One second.
    MR. CHEN: It's in the landscaping. I mean they have
12 foot. That's how we picked up on it, what's going on
when one is showing landscaping one thing, but you look at
```
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these other -- the conditional use plan and it doesn't show it.

MR. KLINE: So you're saying there is no discrepancy in the sense that --

MR. CHEN: No, I'm not saying that. I think there's a major discrepancy between what someone is saying orally and what is supposed to be the approved conditional use plan.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, then I would suggest just resubmitting without the eight foot note.

MR. KLINE: Just to put it in context, ultimately, if
we get lucky enough for you to approve this, there would be
a condition that you would build in accordance with all the
plans in the record. The plans in the record do show a 12-
foot planting strip and he has universally said that's the
case. I think it's an unnecessary note that has to go on
the conditional use plan, but we can do that if necessary,
but the conditional use plan that gets approved is not one
single sheet. It's a bundle of materials.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Right. I just -- I would
prefer it deleted just so -- I know sometimes I get emails
after it's approved saying did you do this, did you do
that, and the fewer of those I think it would be clearer. But you've made your point.

MR. KLINE: No problem. We would be glad to prepare a conditional use plan that shows a 12 -foot planting strip to
his earlier testimony.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: Now just to continue with this because it's
not merely an inconsistency. Depending upon the section
that they are relying upon, there are requirements for the
landscaping and --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, can you bring that out
in your case-in-chief or are you going to --
MR. CHEN: Fine.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I know Mr. Davis knows this
stuff.
MR. CHEN: Yeah. Yeah. Okay. And where I was going
with this thing is exactly where you were going and that
is -- because I'm not -- I don't know what you're going to
do and I'm going on the assumption that you well may grant this.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm going to get through this case.

MR. CHEN: Yes, ma'am. I just want to make sure the
hypothetical that I mentioned a while ago that, you know,
12 months from now somebody comes in and looks at the plans
that they say what is this saying ten foot at this location
and eight foot at this location and I think notwithstanding
Mr. Kline's comments that the plans all should be
consistent.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 is a general building setback; isn't that correct, sir? | 1 tertiary road. |
| 2 MR. INTRIAGO: It's a general building, conditional | 2 MR. CHEN: You're saying that that's what they said |
| 3 use building, yes. | 3 they want. |
| 4 MR. CHEN: Yes. But at that ordinance section is not | 4 MR. INTRIAGO: That's what they said on the meeting of |
| 5 applicable to this plan; isn't that correct? | 5 February 26th. |
| 6 MR. KLINE: Can I interject for just a second, maybe a | 6 MR. CHEN: Okay. |
| 7 clarification? | 7 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes |
| 8 MR. CHEN: No. | 8 MR. CHEN: What's that date again, sir? |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait. He can object. | 9 MR. INTRIAGO: I can see it in my notes. |
| 10 MR. KLINE: I object. I object. The question was a | 10 MR. CHEN: Sure. |
| 11 12-foot building setback, is that a -- are you asking him | 11 MR. INTRIAGO: Give me one second sir, please. |
| 12 is that the side yard setback requirement (inaudible)? | 12 MR. CHEN: No problem. |
| 13 MR. CHEN: No, I'm not. No, I'm not. | 13 MR. INTRIAGO: I know it's here. |
| 14 MR. KLINE: Then why did you say building setback? | 14 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What are we looking for? |
| 15 MR. CHEN: I said because under this proposal the | 15 The tertiary -- the road standard? |
| 16 representation has been that the entire eastern boundary | 16 MR. INTRIAGO: No, Mr. Chen wants me to give him the |
| 17 will have a 12-foot wide landscape setback. | 17 date on which we met that they mentioned that at the |
| 18 MR. KLINE: And he has testified that he will -- that | 18 meeting. |
| 19 that's correct. | 19 MR. CHEN: Yes. His testimony is that -- |
| 20 MR. CHEN: But -- pardon me. The plan does not show | 20 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yeah, I remember. I |
| 21 that. The plan does not show it. That instead of relying | 21 remember they -- |
| 22 upon the section that he acknowledged that they did | 22 MR. INTRIAGO: Oh, God, where is it? I know |
| 23 utilize, he should have been relying upon, sir, 59- | 23 it -- February 26th, 2018. |
| 24 6.5.1.3.A.4 which refers you then to 59-6.29; isn't that | 24 MR. CHEN: Okay. And who was that meeting with, sir? |
| 25 correct, which then is a 12-foot setback for the | 25 MR. INTRIAGO: That was a meeting with staff. You |
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| 1 landscaping. | 1 know, meeting like Park and Planning. I have meeting |
| 2 MR. INTRIAGO: You know, I need to see the section | 2 minutes I can provide, but I don't remember exactly |
| 3 that you're referring to. I -- | 3 everybody who was in the meeting. But we |
| 4 MR. CHEN: Okay. Fine. That's fine if that's your | 4 MR. CHEN: So that February meeting with staff at Park |
| 5 answer. | 5 and Planning, it was their position that Carnegie should be |
| 6 MR. INTRIAGO: If you show it to me so I can read it I | 6 improved to the standards of a tertiary street? |
| 7 | $7 \quad$ MR. INTRIAGO: They said that if the road was going to |
| 8 MR. CHEN: You don't know. | 8 be improved it should have been -- it should be improved to |
| 9 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. | 9 a tertiary road which is a 40-foot right-of-way. 44-foot |
| 10 MR. CHEN: Okay. That's fine. By the way, Carnegie | 10 right-of-way. |
| 11 to the south where it meets up with the subdivision to the | 11 MR. CHEN: Okay. That's the staff at Park and |
| 12 south, what kind of -- you testified that that was a | 12 Planning? |
| 13 tertiary road; is that correct? | 13 MR. INTRIAGO: That's the staff at Park and Planning |
| 14 MR. INTRIAGO: That's not what I said, sir. What I | 14 and MCDOT I think was at the meeting as well. |
| 15 said was that the county suggested if we ever improve that | 15 MR. CHEN: Okay. Let me show you what has been marked |
| 16 road we should go to a tertiary road. That's what I said. | 16 as -- that is in the file |
| 17 MR. CHEN: Well, what tertiary road would it go to? | 17 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. |
| 18 MR. INTRIAGO: It's -- no. It need to be designed to | 18 MR. CHEN: -- already as Exhibit 115-Y. Now I think |
| 19 the standards of a tertiary road. | 19 the first day of the hearing you gave us an exhibit, sir, |
| 20 MR. CHEN: What should be designed to the standards of | 20 that showed the notes that you said that you had received |
| 21 a tertiary -- | 21 from-- |
| 22 MR. INTRIAGO: Carnegie Avenue. | 22 MR. INTRIAGO: Mr. Deepak. |
| 23 MR. CHEN: The improvements -- | 23 MR. CHEN: Yes. |
| 24 MR. INTRIAGO: The improvements to Carnegie Avenue | 24 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. |
| 25 should abide by the standards of a Montgomery County | 25 MR. CHEN: Yes. Looking at what I've just shown you |



with WSSC and, you know, we discussed the location of the sewer line as well.

MR. CHEN: Okay. I have no further questions.
MR. INTRIAGO: I have no approvals though.
MR. CHEN: I have no further questions.

MR. INTRIAGO: Almost done?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Don't -- don't --
MR. INTRIAGO: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: You never know. There's
still rebuttal.
MR. INTRIAGO: I know.

MR. KLINE: When Mr. Alt testified you heard my
question to him, how many prototypes does Primrose have in
MR. INTRIAGO: I think he said like over 400,
something like that.
MR. KLINE: You've indicated you've worked on at least

MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. In Montgomery County, yes.
MR. KLINE: Where is this location?
MR. INTRIAGO: That's on Layhill Road, sir.
MR. KLINE: At the intersection of Layhill Road and -MR. INTRIAGO: And Queensgard Road.

MR. KLINE: All right.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. Southeast corner.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Is the building there anything like s building?
MR. INTRIAGO: No, sir. It's like an L-shaped
building. It's like a -- yeah, like an L.
MR. KLINE: And why was that shape selected for that
site?
MR. INTRIAGO: Well, that project was also a
10 very -- more than contested by --
11 MR. KLINE: Just --
12 MR. INTRIAGO: It was staff, staff comments. Staff 13 comments back and forth until we satisfy every single 14 comment that we have from them. We ended up with an L15 shaped building.
16 MR. KLINE: Can you give me the best exhibit that 17 basically shows the water flow and the stormwater 18 management/storm drain system?
19 MR. INTRIAGO: I think we will have to go to the 20 exhibit that was in the record today.
21 MR. KLINE: All right.
2 MR. INTRIAGO: Here, let me find it. I think, Madam
23 Examiner, you have it in your records. That's the only 24 copy we have. I may be wrong, but --

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I have it?

MR. KLINE: All right. I tell you what --
MR. INTRIAGO: It's the one that have -- oh. That was

MR. KLINE: Don't worry about it.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
MR. KLINE: Let's use Exhibit 148.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Basically what I'd like to do is make sure
everybody understands what you're capturing on the site and what you're prohibiting from going off-site and how you're going to treat it once it's off-site.

MR.
MR. INTRIAGO: Oh, I can use (inaudible).
MR. KLINE: No, if you've got something that you think will work.
MR. INTRIAGO: I've got something that can work. Let one. Mr. Kline, I cannot find that document.

MR. KLINE: Use 148.
MR. INTRIAGO: I think this would be better.
MR. KLINE: All right. So let me -- what do you have?

MR. INTRIAGO: I put Exhibit 199-F in here.
MR. KLINE: And what is it titled?

MR. INTRIAGO: That's a (inaudible) plan.
MR. KLINE: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: CUP-6?
MR. INTRIAGO: CUP-6. So there's a small portion of the northeast corner --

MR. KLINE: No, go ahead. Go ahead. I think you
explained that before.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah, that's what I was trying
to -- that's --
MR. KLINE: You're not picking that up at all?
MR. INTRIAGO: No.
MR. KLINE: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: I basically will be -- the entire
building, the entire playground area --
MR. KLINE: Will the area of disturbance on the site all be collected and taken care of?

MR. INTRIAGO: All the imperviousness of the site will be collected and taken care of.

MR. KLINE: Okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: There's a portion of pervious that
still is going to be forced in, so it's not real deep, that
MR. KLINE: And some of it will go to the east.
MR. INTRIAGO: Correct.
MR. KLINE: And some of it will go --
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. INTRIAGO: Go to the west. | 1 ultimate outflow location, the watershed is big. I do have |
| 2 MR. KLINE: Okay. | 2 a computation. I don't have it with me right now but I |
| 3 MR. INTRIAGO: So to the east and west | 3 want to say it's very |
| 4 MR. KLINE: Would you help me understand I guess? You | 4 MR. KLINE: Just order of -- order of magnitude. |
| 5 said you had two options in terms of how you treat | 5 MR. INTRIAGO: One percent, one to three percent. You |
| 6 everything. Going back to the hypothetical, if there was a | 6 know, I can -- more or le |
| 7 one house development on the property would -- is it yo | 7 MR. KLINE: Okay. |
| 8 opinion that you would still need to correct the culvert | 8 MR. INTRIAGO: I can -- I can go ahead and check my |
| 9 situation that's causing water -- the culvert situation? | 9 computations but I don't remember exact numbers right now. |
| 10 Leave it at th | 10 MR. KLINE: All righ |
| 11 MR. INTRIAGO: All right. So I have no way to predict | 11 MR. INTRIAGO: But we're talking about the ultimate |
| 12 what the reviewer is | 12 location point overall drainage divide. |
| 13 tell you is that water is going fromeast to west, is god | 13 MR. KLINE: So you describe that the applicant will |
| 14 to that existing culvert right now, and if I was | 14 pay to install 1100, or I'm sorry, upgrade or replace 1100 |
| 15 reviewing agency once the water was going to that | 15 linear feet of pipe? |
| 16 it would not hold the water that's there right now | 16 MR. INTRIAGO: That's the intent of the design, sir. |
| 17 engineering wise it's my interpretation that it needs | 17 MR. KLINE: Okay. At the point of outfall what |
| 18 upgraded. It needs to be updated to be able to hold the | 18 happens to the water flushing out of the pipe at that point |
| 19 water. Even with the existing conditions right now that we | 19 in time? |
| 20 have, the water is overtopping that culvert in a ten year | 20 MR. INTRIAGO: It gets dissipated. There's a |
| 21 storm and, you know, overflowing it for lack of a b | 21 dissipator at the bottom I guess. |
| 22 term. It's in bad condition, it's block | 22 MR. CHEN: Say that again |
| 23 the right slope, it's not the right material, many | 23 MR. INTRIAGO: There's a dissipator at the bottom of |
| 24 different things | 24 the outfall. |
| 25 MR. KLINE: So your stormwater manage | 25 MR. KLINE: Well, the question was asked, does your |
| 190 | 19 |
| 1 provide water quality control of everything that you say | 1 piping system, or I'm sorry, was your testimony a complete |
| 2 you're collecting and taking care of? | 2 description of the piping system? |
| $3 \quad \mathrm{MR}$. INTRIAGO: Every -- we are meeting the county and | 3 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, it was. |
| 4 state requirements for water quality on site | 4 MR. KLINE: Okay. So the follow-up question is wh |
| 5 MR. KLINE: And the water intake point that you wrote | 5 more might be expected in terms of getting approval of the |
| 6 down, A5, that's at the lowest point and therefore would | 6 storm drain later on when you actually come into DPS and do |
| 7 maximize the intake? | 7 your DOT proposal? |
| 8 MR. INTRIAGO: | 8 MR. INTRIAGO: Well, they -- you know, if they're |
| 9 MR. KLINE: Where is the intake I think was the | 9 going to look at the entire watershed, see what we're |
| 10 question? | 10 discharging, and see (inaudible) then they might want us to |
| 11 MR. INTRIAGO: Well, the intake is -- we ar | 11 do it to the outfall at that point. |
| 12 collecting the whole site, water from the site using one | 12 MR. KLINE: Can you give me a little bit o |
| 13 single pipe that connects to A-5 which is the structure | 13 clarification what that means? |
| 14 that we meet at in the right-of-way. | 14 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. You know, I don't know, maybe |
| 15 MR. KLINE: Are you confident that the pipe system | 15 there's -- I mean |
| 16 that you described, the various hookups and connections and | 16 MR. KLINE: Okay. Let me do it this way. |
| 17 width of the pipe, whatever the term would be, are adequate | 17 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. |
| 18 to transmit the water that you're collecting from the site? | 18 MR. KLINE: What is the condition of the ground at the |
| 19 MR. INTRIAGO: I am confident that the design that I | 19 outfall itself |
| 20 have done safely conveys water from my project and the rest | 20 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. So there's -- after the |
| 21 of the water that was already collected before. | 21 discharge of the point I'm talking about to the drainage |
| 22 MR. KLINE: Okay. And the amount of water that | 22 pipe we have a water dissipator that's right there and |
| 23 proposed use will add to the pipe system is what percentage | 23 going even further -- |
| 24 of the volume of the system given the drain shed it serves? | 24 MR. KLINE: Could you slow down and repeat that phrase |
| 25 MR. INTRIAGO: Well, if you're considering the | 25 again? |



MR. KLINE: Do you have a sense of what the cost is going to be to build this stormwater system you've talked about?

MR. INTRIAGO: Actually I heard number -- you know, I don't have another cost estimate. I can -- I recall from a different testimony, I think (inaudible) engineer said 230,000 , but I haven't done a cost estimate.

MR. KLINE: Okay. That's all I asked for. Okay. 9 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah.
10 MR. KLINE: If there was to be a one or a two or a 11 three lot residential subdivision on the property would that storm drain pipe be required?
13 MR. INTRIAGO: If you're going to -- if you're going 14 to do a connection, two-point connection going south in the 15 one that I have right here, what I said was that the 16 existing conveyance system is maxed out.
17 MR. KLINE: I understand.
18 MR. INTRIAGO: So it will not accept any further water.
20 MR. KLINE: Right.
21 MR. INTRIAGO: So if you're going to do a connection 22 going south the existing system will need to be upgraded.

MR. KLINE: Okay. If you -- if somebody had the 24 discretion to say I'm not going to build that pipeline then
25 what would the alternative be? You told us what the
alternative is, but what is the repercussions of that alternative?

MR. INTRIAGO: Well, there's a -- well, once again, there's many different repercussions because then you have to deal with the fact that we have to put a pipe, what I call a culvert, that goes underneath the driveway or --

MR. KLINE: Driveway?
MR. INTRIAGO: The driveway that we have on Carnegie Avenue.

MR. KLINE: Well, okay.
MR. INTRIAGO: And then you will have to be
since -- that pipe needs to be lowered because it doesn't have enough cover, it doesn't have the right slope, many 4 different things, and also not the right size. So, the pipe will have to be lowered. What that means you'll have 16 to -- right now it's already on the Taske (phonetic) Family property and there's no easement around it.

So, it's discharging -- there's a pipe into somebody else's property with no easement and basically 0 when somebody comes and upgrade that pipe there's two things. Number one, they will have to extend the pipe further into Ms. Taske's property. And number two, they will have to put an easement around it to be able to maintain it later on, the pipe, because that will be more like a county structure because it have to be built to
county standards when it's crossing Carnegie Avenue.
MR. KLINE: So, if the developer of a one, two, or three lot subdivision was able to get those documents, what is the result of that water flow going to the west? Where does it ultimately end up?

MR. INTRIAGO: It ends up to the same watershed. It's the same branch. It's just --

MR. KLINE: Elaborate on that.
MR. INTRIAGO: All right. So, the water going west,
10 and this I have discussed with DPS.
MR. KLINE: Let me suggest this. Can you go back to 148 underneath?

MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Underneath 148 there's an aerial photograph that gives you a larger perspective.

MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Can you flip up 148 please?
MR. INTRIAGO: Flip up. Oh, all right.
MR. KLINE: Now is that the same orientation as
everything else, sir?
MR. INTRIAGO: No, it's not, sir. This is looking --
MR. KLINE: Okay. So, rotate it so we've got the
north end in the right orientation.
MR. INTRIAGO: All right.
MR. KLINE: All right. So, there's something colored
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| :---: | :---: |
| red. That stands for water. | 1 looks like a cleared place that I believe is (inaudible) |
| MR. INTRIAGO: This is red? | 2 nursery. |
| MR. KLINE: Yes. | 3 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. Nursery. So, the water would |
| 4 MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. (Inaudible). | 4 flow from the subject property of the application through |
| MR. KLINE: You really are color blind, aren't you? | 5 that plain area going west and then go south in between the |
| R. INTRIAGO: Yes | 6 back homes of the subdivision and that -- |
| MR. KLINE: So that's supposed to be the subject | 7 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Back homes of Deer Court. |
| 8 property? | 8 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, correct. That comes on Deer |
| 9 MR. INTRIAGO: That's supposed to be subject prop | 9 Court. And then it keep going south/southwest until it |
| ed | 10 reaches the branch itself. And then that's what I meant to |
| 11 MR. KLINE: All right. Okay. Does the -- going to | 11 say. It was going to get the same watershed just a little |
| 12 the south, does this aerial photograph show the full length | 12 bit more upstream. Which the other option was going to go |
| 13 of the 1100-foot extension if you were to build the storm | 13 down Carnegie Avenue and then into the final outfall into |
| 14 drainpipe you talked about? | 14 the same branch, which I don't see the same branch on here. |
| 15 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. | 15 MR. KLINE: Okay. |
| 16 MR. KLINE: It does show that? | 16 MR. INTRIAGO: Sorry. It's hard to tell where it is |
| 17 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes. | 17 without top. |
| 18 MR. KLINE: Okay. So, then the alternative I was just | 18 MR. KLINE: So, your point is the water gets to the |
| 19 asking you about, if the water was to go to the west what | 19 same place. It comes -- it reenters the stream upstream a |
| 20 happens to it? | 20 bit. |
| 21 MR. INTRIAGO: So, the water going now is flowing to | 21 MR. INTRIAGO: Either upstream or it will be |
| 22 the west. I'm pointing at the almost mid-size of the site. | 22 downstream, yeah. |
| 23 It is Exhibit 149. So, the water will start going west. | 23 MR. KLINE: Okay. Would any approval to replace the |
| 24 There's like a plain meadow there that will kind of shift | 24 culvert, would that basically create an obligation on the |
| 25 flow through the location and I think there might be minor | 25 part of the developer to do any kind of downstream |
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| ells around there, and they start going west and then | 1 improvements at the point of connection? |
| 2 without having topo here it's hard to tell, but then you | 2 MR. INTRIAGO: No. (Inaudible) DPS. DPS says after |
| 3 start going actually south here into the same branch that | 3 it leaves the culvert then it's the same way the water was |
| 4 was there before. | 4 going before. |
| MR. KLINE: When you say here, can just kind of give | 5 MR. KLINE: So, are you saying that if you did the |
| a better orientation -- | 6 1100-foot drainage pipe rather than the alternative |
| R. INTRIAGO: Yes. | 7 correcting the culvert you would have DPS or DOT review |
| 8 MR. KLINE: -- so the hearing examiner can see what | 8 right-of-way approval of the improvements at the point of 9 outfall? |
| MR. INTRIAGO: There's no topographic information |  |
| 10 MR. INTRIAGO: There's no topographic information 11 here. | 10 MR. INTRIAGO: Sorry. Rephrase that question, sir. 11 MR. KLINE: Okay. Fine. Well, the question I'm |
| 12 MR. KLINE: Do you have any landmarks that are on that | 12 trying to get at is which of the two options you have would |
| 13 exhibit? | 13 end up having some public review to see if there was |
| . INTRIAGO: So, there's | 14 additional measures that would be necessary in order to |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: It's flowing west of -- is | 15 improve the condition? |
| t Deer Court there? | 16 MR. INTRIAGO: Both of them. Both of them because |
| 17 MR. INTRIAGO: Deer Court is right here, ma'am. | 17 already that culvert underneath Carnegie Avenue will have |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So, would it flow in | 18 to be reviewed by right-of-way. |
| 19 west of -- go across the Tabscott-Mitchell (phonetic) | 19 MR. KLINE: Yeah. |
| 20 properties, west of Deer Court? | 20 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. So that will definitely have to |
| 21 MR. INTRIAGO: So, you go between the subdivisions and | 21 be reviewed. |
| 22 this development I think. I don't know what this | 22 MR. KLINE: But will there be any approval when it |
| 23 development -- | 23 connects into the creek itself? |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: This development -- okay. | 24 MR. INTRIAGO: I don't think so, sir. |
| 25 MR. KLINE: Just describe what you're looking at. It | 25 MR. KLINE: Are you confident that the stormwater |


| 201 | 203 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 management system that you've talked about and the storm | 1 on the conditional use plan to be accomplished by deeds of |
| 2 drain system will be adequate to handle the amount of | 2 dedication prior to issuance of building permits. Does the |
| 3 imperviousness on the subject property whether it's the | 3 plan that you presented to the hearing examiner comply with |
| 4 number you've come up with or a higher number? | 4 that requirement and what does it show and why does it show |
| 5 MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, sir. I'm confident that the |  |
| 6 (inaudible) that we have pending the final revisions ri | 6 MR. INTRIAGO |
| 7 of-way is adequate to convey the water from (inaudible). | 7 MR. KLINE: All you need is the conditional use plan. |
| 8 MR. KLINE: You asked a question about Exhibit 155 for | 8 MR. INTRIAGO: Ye |
| 9 the (inaudible) for the Development Review Committee of | 9 MR. CHEN: I thought the conditional use plan was -- I |
| 10 January 30th, 2018. | 10 thought the conditional use plan was all the sheets. About |
| 11 submitted by the appli | 11 half hour ago you said -- |
| 12 MR. INTRIAGO: Not this one, sir. | 12 MR. KLINE: Somebody said that, yeah. |
| 13 MR. KLINE: Okay. What was the plan? | 13 MR. INTRIAGO: All right. So the conditional use |
| 14 MR. INTRIAGO: It was a plan in which we had the | 14 plan, Sheet CUP-4, Exhibit 199-D -- |
| 15 was a plan that we ha | 15 MR. KLINE: Right. |
| 16 MR. KLINE: Do you recall the name of the plan that | 16 MR. INTRIAGO: -- shows a five-foot dedication on |
| 17 was submitted? | 17 Carnegie Avenue per staff requirement and comments and |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Did you say the DRC | 18 shows a 15, one-five, foot dedication on Needwood Road per |
| 19 comments? | 19 staff comments. |
| 20 MR. KLINE: Development Review -- 158 | 20 MR. KLINE: And do you have any recollection of why |
| 21 the -- basically agenda | 21 the plan shows five-foot dedication and an earlier |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes. | 22 recommendation, albeit on a different plan by DOT, was a |
| 23 MR. KLINE: -- for the Development Review Committee | 23 ten foot dedication? |
| 24 minutes of January 30th, 2018. | 24 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. | 25 MR. KLINE: Why did they change that? |
| 202 | 204 |
| MR. KLINE: And so it doesn't say on there. I was | 1 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah, we had discussions with Deepak |
| 2 wondering if you remembered what was the subject matter of | 2 about this whole situation and what -- |
| 3 that application? | 3 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: With who? |
| 4 MR. INTRIAGO: Well, it was definitly not the one | 4 MR. INTRIAGO: With Deepak, with MCDOT. |
| 5 that we wanted to -- about the right-of-way. It was the | 5 MR. KLINE: Yeah. May I -- just so your correct, Mr. |
| 6 one that we had all the driveways and the parking to the | 6 Deepak, his name is Deepak Somarajan, D-E-E -- |
| 7 west side and the shared access for Mr. -- yeah, that's | 7 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I think I've seen his name. |
| 8 actually that's the one about the right-of-way and we | 8 MR. KLINE: Right. |
| 9 wanted to have Mr. Tapscott connect to our driveway for | 9 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah, I've seen it |
| 10 safety and abandon that driveway that he had so we can have | 10 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: He's with MCDOT. |
| 11 him use the Primrose property or the Primrose safe access | 11 MR. KLINE: Just like Mr. Ateak is actually Ateak |
| 12 to get out of his house. | 12 Panasheeri (phonetic), but he has a very easy way of |
| 13 MR. KLINE: So this was before you were told by the | 13 spelling it. |
| 14 county that Carnegie could not be used as an exit? | 14 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes. Okay. |
| 15 MR. INTRIAGO: Correct. Correct. Correct. | 15 MR. INTRIAGO: So -- |
| 16 MR. KLINE: But in any event, the requirement for a | 16 MR. KLINE: I'm sorry I interrupted you. |
| 17 ten-foot dedication was not related to the plan that's | 17 MR. INTRIAGO: No, no problem. So, what was I saying? |
| 18 before the planning board. | 18 MR. KLINE: Well, the question is how does this |
| 19 MR. INTRIAGO: No, it was not to this one, sir. No. | 19 plan -- yeah, the plan you were saying -- |
| 20 It's a different plan. | 20 MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah. So, Mr. Deepak and what's the |
| 21 MR. KLINE: The planning board's recommended | 21 name -- I forgot the name of her supervisor. |
| 22 conditions transmitted to the hearing examiner had an | 22 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Just say MCDOT. |
| 23 additional condition over the -- contrary to what the staff | 23 MR. INTRIAGO: MCDOT. MCDOT were there, but we're |
| 24 report had and condition number 12 read, Right-of-way | 24 talking about the tertiary road, 44-foot, hen they said |
| 25 dedications for Needwood Road and Carnegie Avenue as shown | 25 they wanted more. So, at the end of the day we said they |

```
want to get five feet fromus and then if somebody ever
comes to their site get five feet from somebody else. And
then so that's what I recall from the conversation. So, it
was not put the burden all on just one single property. It
was going to be split up with multiple properties.
    MR. KLINE: The plan before the hearing examiner
reflects the intent of the staff and the planning board's
directive for dedication.
    MR. INTRIAGO: Yes, it reflects their direction. Yes.
    MR. KLINE: There was a rather lengthy discussion
about the note about the 12-foot -- actually, read the note
for us dealing with }12\mathrm{ feet. What does that say?
    MR. INTRIAGO: Okay. It says --
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait. What note that's
12 -- the landscaping?
    MR. KLINE: Yeah, I'm going -- for whatever -- yeah,
actually I guess we should use the plan I was talking
about. On the conditional use plan there was a question
about whether -- what was actually being shown. So do you
have the conditional use plan there?
    MR. INTRIAGO: It's right in front of me, sir.
    MR. KLINE: Okay. Fine. Can you read the text
dealing with }12\mathrm{ feet?
    MR. INTRIAGO: Proposed 12-feet parking buffer is the
way it reads.
```

    MR. KLINE: Okay. No further questions.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Thank you.
    Mr. Intriago, you may be excused.
    MR. CHEN: May I?
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes.
    MR. CHEN: Follow up.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What?
    MR. CHEN: I have some follow-up questions if
    permitted.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Recross? No.
MR. INTRIAGO: May I be excused?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: yes.
MR. INTRIAGO: Thank you so much.
MR. KLINE: And literally he needs to go see the
doctor so --
MR. INTRIAGO: Yeah, it's really swollen. It's
hurting now.
MR. KLINE: So, I just wanted you to understand that
he will be back tomorrow if something happens.
MR. INTRIAGO: Yes.
MR. KLINE: We'll meet here again.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Do you have a next
witness?
MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: We've still got two hours.
talking to. Have a seat here. Please raise your right
hand. Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury
that the statements you're about to make are the truth, the
whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I do.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Please state your name and
address for the record and spell your name please.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: My name is Subbarayu Jakkampudi.
S-U-B-B-A-R-A-Y-U --
MR. CHEN: You're going too fast. I'm sorry. S-U-B-B
--
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: A.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: A?
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: A.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: A.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: R.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: R.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: A-Y
MR. CHEN: Y?
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Y.
MR. CHEN: Y.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: U-B-U. That is my first name.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Jakkampudi, J-A-K-K-A-M-P-U-D-I.
MR. CHEN: B what?
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I.
MR. CHEN: D-I.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: B-I.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: D-I, D like dude.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: B like boy.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: No, no.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Oh, D.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: D like David. Right.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Sorry. Okay. You can put
your hand down now.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Oh.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And your address.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: 7425 Needwood Road, Rockville,
Maryland 20855.

```
        HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Is he your witness Mr. Kline
H
    MR. KLINE: He is. He's not somebody that I --
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. I can just ask him.
I didn't want to --
    MR. KLINE: I can set it up -- tee it up for you if
that would be okay.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.That's fine.
    MR. KLINE: Sir, we have met before, correct?
    MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yes.
    MR. KLINE: You hosted a community meeting we had for
the neighbors, correct?
    MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yes.
    MR. KLINE: Okay. You and I have not talked or
prepared for this hearing at all, right?
    MR. JAKKAMPUDI: No.
    MR. KLINE: Okay. Could you help me point out to the
hearing examiner where your property is located?
    MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Oh, my -- right across the street,
right in front of the subject property.
    MR. KLINE: Okay. So right -- so you're in one of
these two houses?
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: On 148, is it just above the
label Needwood Road?
    MR. KLINE: Are you immediately right across?
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    MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I can show it.
    MR. KLINE: Sure. Great. Right here. Okay.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So it's right above
    the label Needwood Road on Exhibit 148.
MR. KLINE: Sir, do you have a position about the
proposed conditional use that's presented here today?
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yeah, I think it is --
MR. KLINE: Can you explain for the hearing examiner
what your position is?
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I agree to the construction.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: You agree?
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I agree.
MR. KLINE: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: With the --
MR. KLINE: And that's a nice simple comment. So why
since you -- you've been here twice. You've heard there's
17 a lot of controversy about it. Why does it not bother you?
18 Why do you think it's a good thing?
19 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I have been living there for the last
2042 years.
21 MR. KLINE: How many years, sir?
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: 42. I built my home in 1978.
MR. KLINE: Okay.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: So 42 years I built my home. After
that Mr. and Ms. English moved to the neighborhood, 1880.
,
1

5 MR. KLINE: There have been people who have testified
6 that the speed of Needwood Road is dangerous as it relates
to cars turning onto the site. Has that been your
8 observation?
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: It's not -- it is not so.
MR. KLINE: Okay. There have been testimony about cars back up from the light at Redland and Needwood and
might go all the way back Carnegie and maybe even in front
of your house. Do you ever observe queues, lines of cars
that back up that far?
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I didn't see anything. For me no
problem whenever I want to go out. No problem
MR. KLINE: Are you concerned that this use will have
any effect on the value of your property?
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: No. The value will increase.
MR. KLINE: MadamHearing Examiner, that's all my
questions.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Do you have any
cross-examination Mr. Chen?
MR. CHEN: Just a couple. What is our next exhibit
number?

MR. KLINE: And explain who Ms. English is.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yeah, Ms. English and Mr. English
were friends of mine.
MR. KLINE: Okay.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: We are neighbors.
MR. KLINE: Okay. That's all. That's good. Keep
speaking. Go ahead. Keep talking.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Oh, so I built my own home in that
time across the street. Mr. Lasko (phonetic), he was a good friend of mine.

MR. KLINE: And Mr. Lasko was the previous owner of the property?
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Owner of the property.
MR. KLINE: Yes, sir.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: He is expired. His children
inherited the property.
MR. KLINE: Okay.
MR. JAKKAMPUDI: So I saw the construction architectural plans. They are very appealing to me. And also building this property I hope -- I wish this will
enhance the values of the neighborhood. It's going to be a
nice structure, landscaping is appealing. So and also, instead of (inaudible). That is a nice thing for the community.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
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MR. KLINE: So are you concerned about the traffic that would be added to the neighborhood?

MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I don't have any concern over the
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| 213 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: 200. | 1 MR. CHEN: And also another person named Srikanth -- I |
| 2 MR. CHEN: Sir, what is Needwood Schools, LLC? | 2 apologize for this misspelling -- mispronunciation. |
| 3 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Needwood Schools, LLC? I have never | 3 Mandava. Do you see that, sir? |
| 4 heard. | 4 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yeah. |
| 5 MR. CHEN: Never heard of it. | 5 MR. CHEN: And who is Mr. Mandava? |
| 6 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: That is the -- | 6 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I know he is the person who is doing |
| 7 MR. CHEN: Excuse me | 7 th |
| 8 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: That is the property they are going | 8 MR. CHEN: Right. And what is the purpose of the |
| 9 to build. | 9 limited liability company? |
| 10 MR. CHEN: The question is, sir, what is Needwood | 10 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Because he wanted to have some |
| 11 Schools, LLC? | 11 address. |
| 12 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: A limited liability company. | 12 MR. CHEN: Say it again, sir? |
| 13 MR. CHEN: Excuse me? | 13 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: He wanted to -- he lives in Virginia. |
| 14 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Limited liability company | 14 He lives in Virginia so he wants to have an address. |
| 15 MR. CHEN: It's a what kind of company, sir? | 15 MR. CHEN: Okay. And you're the -- |
| 16 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: LLC is a limited liability company. | 16 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yeah, I am the resident. |
| 17 That's what I understand. | 17 MR. CHEN: And you're part of it too, aren't you, sir? |
| 18 MR. CHEN: Yeah. And what is your relationship to | 18 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Pardon me? They wanted my address to |
| 19 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I don't have any relationship with | 19 -- |
| 20 that. | 20 MR. CHEN: Excuse me. You're named as a resident |
| 21 MR. CHEN: Okay. And what is Need | 21 agent. |
| 22 LLC? | 22 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yeah. Yeah. |
| 23 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Needwood Developers. That I don't | 23 MR. CHEN: Okay. And he's your friend, right? |
| 24 know. | 24 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yes. |
| 25 MR. CHEN: Okay. Sir, I'm going to show you Exhibit | 25 MR. CHEN: And, you know, all I'm asking is, is this |
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| 1 200. It's some records from the State of Maryland for a | 1 for early education and childcare business; is that right, |
| 2 business called Needwood Schools, LLC. Do you see that | 2 sir? |
| 3 sir ? | 3 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yes. I think so. |
| 4 MR. KLINE: I'm going to | 4 MR. CHEN: Okay. And it shows that the address of |
| 5 anticipatory objection because I think it goes beyond the | 5 this company is your address; isn't that right? |
| 6 scope of what he testified to. If you're trying to | 6 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Right. |
| 7 establish some kind of relationship -- | 7 MR. CHEN: But you don't know anything about this |
| 8 MR. CHEN: I can impeach on cross-examination. | 8 project; is that right, sir? |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: He can impeach on cross. | 9 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I know about the project but I don't |
| 10 MR. KLINE: Okay. Fine. Fine. | 10 know the details of the project. |
| 11 MR. CHEN: Do you see the documents that are Exhibit | 11 MR. CHEN: Yeah. Okay. Let me -- Exhibit -- |
| 12 200? | 12 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, wait. How would you |
| 13 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yes. | 13 describe 200? |
| 14 MR. CHEN: Do you reside at 7425 Needwood Road? | 14 MR. CHEN: It's the -- |
| 15 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yes. | 15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Needwood Schools, LLC -- |
| 16 MR. CHEN: And do you see your name on this document, | 16 MR. CHEN: It's the -- |
| 17 sir ? | 17 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- Articles of -- |
| 18 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: | 18 MR. CHEN: Yeah, it's the -- |
| 19 MR. CHEN: As the resident agent? | 19 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Or SDAT records. |
| 20 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yes. | 20 MR. CHEN: Yeah, that's right. |
| 21 MR. CHEN: Do you see that? | 21 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And then 201 would be |
| 22 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yeah. | 22 Needwood Developers, LLC SDAT records? |
| 23 MR. CHEN: And it says that the authorized persons for | 23 Mr. CHEN: Yes. Sir, do you have 201 in your hand |
| 24 this LLC are you, do you see your name on page two, sir? | 24 there or is that still 200? |
|  | 25 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: It's 200. |
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| MR. CHEN: Here's 201. Take a look at that for a | 1 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: On this? I don't think I have my |
| 2 minute, sir. Have you had a chance, sir, to look at | 2 signature there. |
| 3 Exhibit 201? Have you had a chance, sir, to look at | 3 MR. CHEN: So these are false documents, sir? |
| 4 Exhibit 201? | 4 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I don't know. |
| 5 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yeah, I'mjust looking at record. | 5 MR. CHEN: Okay. But you are the resident agent on |
| 6 MR. CHEN: And this is for a business called Needwood | 6 both of them; isn't that right, sir? |
| 7 Developers, LLC? Is that right, sir? | 7 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I am resident agent on this one I |
| 8 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yeah, this is. | 8 know. |
| 9 MR. CHEN: And its principal place of business is | 9 MR. CHEN: On -- |
| 10 located at 7430 Needwood Road, Rockville. Do you see that, | 10 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: The first one. |
| 11 sir ? | 11 MR. CHEN: Okay. But you don't know about the second |
| 12 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yes. | 12 one? |
| 13 MR. CHEN: Isn't that the address of your home? | 13 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Second one, I don't know. |
| 14 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: 7430 is not my address. | 14 MR. CHEN: Okay. But you do support the project? |
| 15 MR. CHEN: Oh, I apologize. | 15 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I support the project. |
| 16 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: (Inaudible). | 16 MR. CHEN: And you are involved with these two |
| 17 MR. CHEN: Who lives at -- | 17 companies? |
| 18 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: 7430 is the place where they are | 18 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Not involved with the other company. |
| 19 building the -- | 19 MR. CHEN: Okay. Even though the official records of |
| 20 MR. CHEN: That's right. That's the subject property. | 20 the State of Maryland have you as the resident agent? |
| 21 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: That is the subject. | 21 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: How can that be? Because I am not in |
| 22 MR. CHEN: Yes. And you're the resident agent of this | 22 construction company. I don't have construction company. |
| 23 business, aren't you? | 23 MR. CHEN: Okay. I have no further questions of this |
| 24 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I don't -- | 24 witness. |
| 25 MR. CHEN: Well, it says resident agent. It says your | 25 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Mr. Kline? |
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| 1 name on page one and the address is 7425 Needwood. | 1 MR. KLINE: Were you paid any sum of money to agree to |
| 2 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: That is different from this one. | 2 serve as resident agent for one or both of these entities? |
| 3 MR. CHEN: Excuse me? | 3 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: No, I didn't pay -- I didn't get any. |
| 4 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: That is different from this one. | 4 MR. KLINE: Pardon me? |
| 5 MR. CHEN: Yes, sir. It is different. I'm talking | 5 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I didn't get any benefit of this. |
| 6 about 201. | 6 MR. KLINE: Okay. Do you or will you benefit |
| 7 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: 201. | 7 financially in any way from an approval or success of a |
| 8 MR. CHEN: Yeah. And you're the resident agent of | 8 child daycare center on this property? |
| 9 this second business too, the development company, isn't | 9 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I don't get any benefit. |
| 10 that right, sir? | 10 MR. KLINE: So do you agreed to serve as resident |
| 11 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: No, I don't -- | 11 agent for one or both of these entities why? |
| 12 MR. CHEN: It says resident agent. | 12 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: This one I know. |
| 13 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: It says that but -- | 13 MR. KLINE: Okay. |
| 14 MR. CHEN: Oh, you know nothing about this? | 14 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: He can use my address for this. |
| 15 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: I don't know about that. | 15 MR. KLINE: And you say he meaning Mr. Mandava, the |
| 16 MR. CHEN: Okay. And it says it's business is to | 16 franchisee? |
| 17 development real estate to be used for childcare and engage | 17 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yes. Yeah. |
| 18 in any other activities permitted under Maryland law. Do | 18 MR. KLINE: So you did it as a favor for him? |
| 19 you see that? | 19 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yes. |
| 20 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Yes. | 20 MR. KLINE: Okay. No further questions. |
| 21 MR. CHEN: It's on page two. | 21 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: All right. Thank you. Any |
| 22 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Page two. | 22 other questions? |
| 23 MR. CHEN: And it then says that -- on that page it | 23 MR. KLINE: Thank you for taking him out of order. |
| 24 has your signature. This is a printout, but like the other | 24 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: You may be excused. |
| 25 one it has your signature on it, sir. | 25 MR. JAKKAMPUDI: Thank you. |

```
        HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Thank you. So do we have a
next witness?
    MR. KLINE: We have a traffic engineer, Mr. Cook, to
testify.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
    MR. KLINE: Mr. Cook.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Mr. Cook, please raise your
right hand. Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of
perjury that the statements you are about to make are the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
    MR. COOK: I do.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Thank you.
    Go ahead, Mr. Kline.
    MR. KLINE: Mr. Cook, you gave us your name. Could
you give us your business address?
    MR. COOK: Sure. I am senior vice president of The
Traffic Group. Our address is 9900 Franklin Square Drive,
Baltimore, Maryland 21236.
    MR. KLINE: And your profession is what, sir?
    MR. COOK: Traffic engineering and transportation
planning.
    MR. KLINE: Have you ever qualified as an expert in
traffic engineering and transportation planning before the
Hearing Examiner of Montgomery County?
    MR. COOK: Multiple occasions, yes.
```

    MR. KLINE: I believe because of his previous
    qualification I would offer him as an expert.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Is it a transportation
engineer and transportation planner or just engineer?
MR. COOK: Usually they do both.
MR. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: They do both.
MR. COOK: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: May I have voir dire?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Huh?
MR. CHEN: May I have voir dire on that?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Are you an engineer?
MR. COOK: I am not a registered engineer. No, I'm
not.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Oh. Well, then we'll do it
as a transportation planner.
MR. KLINE: I ask that he be qualified as a traffic
engineer and a transportation planner. They are distinct -
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I know, but a traffic
engineer is usually a civil engineer with a PE. But if you
--
MR. KLINE: I'm not sure that's true.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: It isn't? Okay.
MR. KLINE: He's qualified in that category before.
I'm not sure why that's --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Can you explain?
MR. COOK: I can -- I've testified hundreds of times
in every municipal board or state board in the state of
Maryland as an expert in traffic engineering and
transportation planning. I've been in the business 49
years. I have nine registered professional engineers who
are under my direct supervision at the company and I have
never had any problems being accepted as an expert as a
traffic engineer before any hearing.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, we have -- I'm going
to do it as a transportation planner with all respect. I
know your name and I'm sure you're -- but I just feel
uncomfortable. We do have another expert witness that
qualifies only as a transportation planner. To my mind it
doesn't reduce the weight of your testimony.
MR. COOK: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Go ahead.
MR. KLINE: I just want to anticipate, you know, I'll
be asking him some questions that I will say will be
traffic engineering questions. Let's talk about the line
of sight studies and distances for things and how to
basically mark -- change the intersection to improve the
volume of traffic. Those are more traffic engineering
issues than transportation planning issues. So I'd like to
ask you to reconsider your decision.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Everything is --
MR. KLINE: I know, nothing is easy.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Everything is an argument.
Why do you consider yourself a traffic engineer, Mr. Cook?
MR. COOK: Pretty much for the reason Mr. Kline just
mentioned. In my job responsibilities I oversee the
0 traffic engineering design section of our company that's
11 responsible for laying out roadways, conceptual plans,
12 signing and marking, looking at sight distance issues and
geometric design as well as overseeing the transportation
planners who do the traffic impact studies for new
15 developments and things of that nature. So Mr. Kline's
16 description was absolutely correct. My responsibilities,
17 and they have been for the past 49 years, really encompass
18 both disciplines.
19 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Mr. Chen?
20 MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner --
21 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I tell you -- okay. Go
22 ahead. Go ahead.
23 MR. CHEN: With all due respect, and I mean that
24 sincerely, an engineer is an engineer. People in all walks
25 and careers and jobs and all kinds of titles and careers in
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| 1 different fields and areas and I respect that and I am | 1 services that must be undertaken in order for the traffic |
| 2 certainly not about to say that a specific in this case | 2 study to comply with the local area transportation review |
| 3 bachelor's degree in engineering is necessarily going to | 3 guidelines which were approved by the county council in |
| 4 detract from engineering experience but -- and I'm sure | 4 Montgomery County. So we prepared the -- normally the |
| 5 that Mr. Cook has testified many, many times. I don't know | 5 process is you prepare the statement, submit it to the |
| 6 how many times he's been asked whether he has a degree in | 6 staff. They review it. They make any corrections that |
| 7 engineering, but this is your call. | 7 need to be made and then they return it to you with |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, I | 8 comments or with approval. And that's exactly what we did. |
| 9 MR. CHEN: I respect what you're saying. I respect | 9 We submitted it to them. It came back to us. It was |
| 10 the gentleman's credentials for what he has. And you're | 10 accepted and we went out and we undertook our traffic |
| 11 going to hear what you want to hear and you're going to | 11 study. |
| 12 weigh it the way you want to weigh it. But | 12 The first thing we do when we do the traffic study |
| 13 certainly -- certainly when you consider this testimony I | 13 once we visit the site is to conduct intersection turning |
| 14 do ask that you recognize that this gentleman is not an | 14 movement counts at all of the study area intersections and |
| 15 engineer. | 15 those counts in Montgomery County are taken to 6:30 to 9:30 |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, I'm going to recognize | $16 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$ and 4:00 to 7:00 p.m and those hours are very |
| 17 him in the dual -- | 17 applicable for this particular use because they kind of |
| 18 MR. KLINE: Okay. | 18 coincide at the same time. |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- but I am going to give it | 19 When we do those traffic counts today's technology is |
| 20 the weight it deserves as far as engineering versus -- | 20 we use video cameras to record the traffic data. That's |
| 21 MR. KLINE: I have no problem agreeing that he is not | 21 much more accurate plus it provides us with a permanent |
| 22 an engineer. He is a traffic engineer. I was trying to | 22 record in case we ever need to go back and look at it or |
| 23 make that distinction. Okay with that | 23 there's any question about the traffic that we collected. |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: All right. Go ahead. | 24 The next thing we do is -- |
| 25 Traffic engineering. He's an expert in traffic engineering | 25 MR. KLINE: Okay. Before you leave that, there was a |
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| 1 even though not a traffic engineer. That's what I'll say. | 1 comment by a witness at the earlier hearing that your |
| 2 Okay. Go ahead. | 2 counselor conducted during August and only on one day. |
| 3 MR. KLINE: Mr. Cook, was your firm asked to do a | 3 Would you comment on that for your study? |
| 4 traffic impact study about the proposed use on the subject | 4 MR. COOK: Okay. Our counts were conducted on one day |
| 5 property? | 5 which is standard practice in Montgomery County and in fact |
| 6 MR. COOK: Yes, it was. | 6 in the industry across the country. The study was dated in |
| 7 MR. KLINE: And you prepared a study that's an exhibit | 7 August of 2017, but the traffic counts were actually |
| 8 in the record in the case dated August 24th, 2017? | 8 conducted in May of 2017. We know that when we're getting |
| 9 MR. COOK: I believe that's correct. | 9 close to the closing of schools if someone needs a traffic |
| 10 MR. KLINE: I'm not sure I have the number in front of | 10 study we ask them to let us get started and get the counts |
| 11 me , but you've done more than one so you'll have a chance | 11 done so that are counts are being conducted when schools |
| 12 to talk about all of them. | 12 are in session because most government agencies, in fact |
| 13 MR. COOK: Correct. | 13 I'd say the majority of them at this point, will not accept |
| 14 MR. KLINE: So would you please basically walk us | 14 counts if schools are not in session. |
| 15 through the methodology you followed -- actually, one step | 15 MR. KLINE: Go ahead. |
| 16 before that. What background investigation you did about | 16 MR. COOK: So that's why the counts were conducted in |
| 17 the site, then the methodology, and then what your findings | 17 May when school was in session although the date on the |
| 18 and conclusions were. | 18 study was actually August of 2017. |
| 19 MR. COOK: Okay. Prior to starting any traffic impact | 19 MR. KLINE: So the counts were conducted in accordance |
| 20 study or working on any site we always make a field visit | 20 with the LATR guidelines? |
| 21 to the location so we have an understanding of the adjacent | 21 MR. COOK: That's correct. |
| 22 roadways and things of that nature. | 22 MR. KLINE: Local Area Transportation Review |
| 23 The next step for us is to contact the Maryland | 23 Guidelines. |
| 24 National Capital Park and Planning Commission, the | 24 MR. COOK: Yes. |
| 25 transportation staff, in order to come up with a scope of | 25 MR. KLINE: Okay. Go ahead. Please continue. |
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| 1 MR. COOK: Okay. The second thing that we do is part | 1 If the intersections are showing deficiencies then we have |
| 2 of the scoping agreement that we get from Parks and | 2 to recommend improvement which is where the traffic |
| 3 Planning. They normally give us a list of projects which I | 3 engineering improvement comes in. So just improvements |
| 4 received approval in a certain area which we need | 4 that could be made to make that intersection work within |
| 5 include in our study as part of the background condi | 5 the county standards. Again, we've got county standards |
| 6 since that traffic, it will likely be on the r | 6 for different policy areas. Montgomery County is probably |
| 7 by the time our project gets its approval and gets built | 7 one of the most difficult jurisdictions to do a traffic |
| 8 So we take that list and determine how much traffic is | 8 study in because it's different in every policy area within |
| 9 going to be generated by each of those developments | 9 the county. |
| 10 that's determined, one, either by information provid | 10 |
| 11 Park and Planning from the original traffic studies for | 11 to Park and Planning and we got back a couple comments, but |
| 12 those developments when they were approved, or two, | 12 at that point a lot of things changed because we were using |
| 13 calculate those trips by using the standard methodology | 13 originally Carnegie Avenue as -- or Carnegie Street as our |
| 14 contained in the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip | 14 access to our (inaudible) and that was met with some |
| 15 Generation Report which is what the county requires us to | 15 opposition from Park and Planning and that had to change. |
| 16 do | 16 That went back and forth for a period of time and what |
| 17 | 17 ended up happening before those little details were |
| 18 We analyze the network. We combine that with the exis | 18 resolved our counts had become older than a year. So we |
| 19 traffic. We redo our analysis to see what traffic | 19 had to go back and start the process all over again and |
| 20 conditions are going to be in the future before we add our | 20 recount all the intersections and go back through the same |
| 21 traffic onto the road network. The next step then is | 21 steps that we went previously |
| 22 take the traffic -- determine the amount of traffic that's | 22 We did that and what our study showed was that all the |
| 23 going to be generated by the d | 23 study area intersections -- the study area intersections |
| 4 Once again, the local area transportation review | 24 were Redland Road and Needwood Road, and Needwood Road and |
| 25 guidelines published by Park and Planning, they dictate to | 25 our site access, and Muncaster Mill Road and Needwood Road. |
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| 1 us what we use to determine the number of peak hour trips | 1 Again, those were the intersections the county asked us to |
| 2 that are going to be generated by our site. We went | 2 look at and what we found was that all the intersections |
| 3 through that exercise and in the case of a daycare | 3 were projected to operate within the standards established |
| 4 really generate two different types of trips. We genera | 4 by Park and Planning for the particular study or policy |
| 5 what's referred to as new trips which are people that ar | 5 area that we were in. |
| 6 coming to the facility just because the facility is there | 6 MR. KLINE: You did more work |
| 7 okay, and they otherwise wouldn't be in the neighborhood. | 7 MR. COOK: We |
| 8 Then we have what we refer to as bypass trips which are | 8 MR. KLINE: -- after that. So can you give us the |
| 9 trips that are going to be on the road network for one | 9 background of what triggered that additional work and break |
| 10 reason or another, people going to work, going shopping, | 10 it down to the two components. |
| 11 whatever it may be, and choose to drop their children off | 11 MR. COOK: Normally when we do a study to satisfy a |
| 12 at the daycare center as part of that trip. They don't | 12 local area transportation review we're looking at capacity |
| 13 impact the off-site intersections but they do impact the | 13 of the roadway to handle the existing traffic, the |
| 14 actual driveway into the facility. | 14 background traffic, plus the traffic we're going to be |
| 15 So we take those trips that would be generated by the | 15 adding. |
| 16 new use and add them to the background traffic that we did | 16 In this particular case we were in on some of the |
| 17 previously and reanalyze the road network. And there's | 17 community meetings and at the planning board the question |
| 18 different methodologies in Montgomery County depending on | 18 came up about the access and the fact that Needwood Road, |
| 19 what growth policy area you're in as to what methodology | 19 there were some sight line deficiencies if we used Carnegie |
| 20 you use. We have two that we commonly use; the critical | 20 Street as our point of access along with all the other |
| 21 lane volume methodology which we use in certain policy | 21 legal ramifications you've been hearing for the past three |
| 22 areas and then the highway capacity manual methodology. | 22 days. |
| 23 And that's not our choice. That's dictated to us by the | 23 So |
| 24 Growth Policy for Montgomery County. | 24 access location and there were two major concerns and they |
| 25 We do the analysis, see how the intersections work. | 25 were expressed at the planning board and one of them were |
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| 1 the cars queuing up along Needwood Road heading in a | 1 intersection that allow you to get more queuing than you |
| 2 westbound direction going towards Redland Road. And there | 2 might get in just a singl |
| 3 was concern about those cars backing up back beyond the | 3 MR. COOK: All right. In the westbound direction |
| 4 access point or other driveways in the area. | 4 along Needwood Road you really have two lanes approaching |
| 5 The second thing was we were requested to do what's | 5 the intersection and those two lanes extend almost all |
| 6 called a gap study. And a gap study, | 6 way back to Carnegie Avenue. So you have two lanes of |
| 7 | 7 traffic where people can show up. The left-hand most |
| 8 that exist in traffic that cars can use to make a left tur | 8 is a left turn lane exclusively. The right hand lane is a |
| 9 into the site or to make a left turn and a right turn out | 9 combination left through or right lane. The left-turn lane |
| 10 of the site. So we used the same data that we had from | 10 is the predominant movement at that intersection and that |
| 11 traffic counts, because as I said, we videotape them so | 11 where the queues generally come from. |
| 12 had all that information already, and we conducted those | 12 MR. KLINE: Right. So at least at the intersection |
| 13 studies and -- one second. I can refer -- the queuing | 13 it's two lanes westbound and probably one lane receiving |
| 14 study -- based on what we did for the queuing study, | 14 the eastbound traff |
| 15 Carnegie Street we had recorded was about 600 -- | 15 MR. COOK: Correc |
| 16 sorry -- is approximately 486 feet from the stop | 16 MR. KLINE: Okay. |
| 17 Redland Road and based on the queuing study that we did | 17 MR. COOK: So |
| 18 what we found out was that the 75th, I'm sorry, 95 th | 18 MR. KLINE: So your conclusion based on the queuing |
| 19 percentile queue would be less | 19 analysis was? |
| 20 MR. KLINE: Can you just elaborate? What does that | 20 MR. COOK: That there's more than sufficient room |
| 21 mean for us laymen in the r | 21 accept the additional traffic without causing blockage to |
| 22 MR. COOK: Okay. When we design certain things in | 22 Sat -- I keep calling it Satellite -- Carnegie Street would |
| 23 traffic engineering we use percentile. In other words, | 23 ever become a public street and be open to traffic. |
| 24 when I say we're using the 95 th percentile that means that 2595 percent of the time what we come up with will be | 24 The second special study that we did again was what we 25 call a gap study and a gap study is we look at the number |
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| 1 sufficient to handle the projected demand. And in this | 1 of openings in traffic flow that cars can get in and out of |
| 2 particular case our projected demand was 475 feet. So | 2 a roadway. Normally for people coming out of our property |
| 3 the existing distance being 486 feet, that shows that we're | 3 they would have to cross two lanes of traffic. They would |
| 4 right there, that sometimes traffic could back up to | 4 have to have a gap eastbound and westbound. So in order |
| 5 Carnegie Street and our analysis in fact, because it's don | 5 for them to be able to make that maneuver they need at |
| 6 over a period of time, is really looking at an entire hour | 6 least 7.7 seconds is what the Highway Capacity Manual |
| 7 period. What it shows is that 95 percent of the time or | 7 recommends to be a sufficient gap. To make a left turn |
| 8 less we will not extend back to Carnegie. Now relocating | 8 into the site it's 4.1 seconds. So it's about half of |
| 9 our entrance a little further to the east, which you have | 9 that |
| 10 heard discussed earlier today too, it adds about another | 10 So what we did was we used our cameras, counted the |
| 11180 feet to that queue. S | 11 number of gaps that were open. Of course, when you have |
| 12 MR. KLINE: Meaning from Carnegie Road? | 12 one gap you may be able to get a couple cars out because |
| 13 MR. COOK: From Carnegie, yes. So that means that | 13 the gap may be greater than the 7.7 and if it's ten then |
| 14 that 95th percentile would probably go up somewhere into | 14 you can get what's called follow through traffic that can |
| 15100 percent of the time we would not expect to find any | 15 still get out into the same gap. And what we found out, |
| 16 blockages, but things happen at the intersection. There | 16 our conclusion was that in the -- for the left turn from |
| 17 could be an accident or something like that and at some | 17 the daycare onto Needwood Road we would have during the |
| 18 point there could be a blockage, but based on what we're | 18 morning peak hour approximately 353 gaps that were of |
| 19 showing we're guaranteeing that 95 percent of the time it | 19 sufficient size to allow vehicles to come out of the |
| 20 won't extend back past Carnegie and we have a cushion of | 20 driveway. In the evening it was about 285 gaps. Then we |
| 21 about 180 feet more if we need it. | 21 looked at the left turn coming out of the daycare. I'm |
| 22 MR. KLINE: Going back to your comment about th | 22 sorry. I had that reversed. I'm sorry. I apologize. The |
| 23 potential of something -- some problem at the intersection. | 23 left turn from Needwood into the -- out of Needwood onto |
| 24 MR. COOK: Right. | 24 westbound Needwood -- |
| 25 MR. KLINE: Describe the geometrics of the | 25 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait. Wait. I'm confused. |
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    MR. COOK: Okay.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What were the numbers you
just gave me?
    MR. COOK: Okay. 353 --
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And what turning -- and 285.
    MR. COOK: And 285. And --
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What movement was that?
    MR. COOK:That's the northbound left turn out of our
site --
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
    MR. COOK: -- to go westbound on Needwood Road.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
    MR. COOK: Okay. Now the left turn from westbound
Needwood into our facility we found }791\mathrm{ gaps in the morning
and --
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: How many gaps?
    MR. COOK: }791
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
    MR. COOK: And in the evening 778. And those numbers
are greater than the other numbers because you're only
worried about traffic in one direction where the outbound
traffic from our facility you're worried about traffic in
both directions along the roadway.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
    MR. COOK: So we did both of those studies and that's
237
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    uncommon for a study just for local area review but we knew
    the question was going to come up and it had already been
    suggested that we take a look at that so we did those
    additional studies.
    MR. KLINE: If you give me a second I want to just get
    up an exhibit. There was a comment by a witness the other
    day that said that they felt in looking at the traffic
    study that they had focused too much on this intersection
    of Redland Road and Needwood Road. And I'll look at this
    10 to get the right orientation there. And basically ignored
the potential diversion traffic that might occur on what I
wrote down as Grand Vista. Does that name street ring a
bell to you at all? Okay. Well, the point was that at the
intersection of Needwood Road and Redland Road quite offen
it gets congested and so there is --
MR. CHEN: Objection. Are you testifying, sir?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: No, he said the testimony
was.
MR. CHEN: And that's how I understood it but I --
MR. KLINE: Okay. I'll try and clean it up. Fine.
Did you find that the intersection levels at Redland
Road and Needwood Road would cause diversion to Grand Vista
Road that basically people would put traffic on a
residential road that today does not seem to have that much
traffic?

1
MR. COOK: Well, we found the intersection of Needwood
and Redland to be operating at an acceptable level of
service. So for people to cut through the residential
community to avoid that intersection, I'm not that familiar
with the area. I don't drive it during the peak hours to
know whether people would find that to be a shortcut or not. So --

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: What's the COV? MR. COOK: The --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: 13, right at the cusp of 13 ,
right? 13.50 ?
MR. COOK: Actually 13.66 during the morning peak hour.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And that's why you did the
HCM analysis?
MR. COOK: Now that was -- at Redland and Needwood,
Redland and Needwood is in a different policy area where
the Highway Capacity Manual methodology is used. Okay.
The 13.66 that you're referring to was for the intersection
of Muncaster Mill and Needwood which is in the green policy area.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And what's their COV standard?

MR. COOK: 13.50. And --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: But you have 13.66.
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MR. COOK: Yes. And according to the county's
guidelines, your first step in an area that requires
critical lane volume is to do the critical lane volume
methodology first. If you exceed 13.50 then you refer back
to the Highway Capacity Manual methodology --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Right.
MR. COOK: And if you the Highway Capacity Manual
methodology and you're below the accepted threshold for
delay then the intersection is considered to be okay and in
10 our case we are --
11 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So where on your HCM
12 analysis is Maryland -- oh, I see. Maryland 115. So you
13 have a HCM delay of 20.5, total traffic delay of 23.3.
14 MR. COOK: Yes. Yes.
15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
16 MR. KLINE: Going back to the same intersection, there
17 was another witness who talked about I'll say the
18 intersection of Needwood and Redland Road was not a 90
19 degree angle. Let me put it this way. The northbound on
20 Redland to right turn onto Needwood was not a 90 degree
21 turn and she felt that there were some problems with that.
22 I asked you to look into that and would you explain to the
23 hearing examiner what you found and what your
24 recommendation would be?
25 MR. COOK: Sure. In the -- he's referring to the
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northeast corner of the intersection from --
    MR. KLINE: Assume Redland runs north.
    MR. COOK: Redland runs north and south. So if you
were traveling northbound on Redland Road and wanted to
make a right on Needwood Road, their concern was the angle
was skewed a little bit and that some cars or trucks have
trouble making that turn gracefully through there. We went
out and we looked at the intersection and there is in fact
some black tire marks on the curb. So I had no reason to
doubt -- I mean it wasn't solid black, but obviously some
people had done that. And we were asked, you know, what
could we possibly do to try to help that situation a little
bit and there's really two things you could do. The
simplest thing would be the stop bar for Needwood Road
westbound is very close to the intersection with Redland.
So when cars try to make that --
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: For the record, why don't
you explain what a stop bar is. It's the white --
    MR. COOK: Okay.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN:Well, I don't want to do it.
So you tell.
    MR. COOK: Okay. I'm sorry. A stop bar is when you
come to a signalized intersection you'll see a 24-inch wide
white line that goes across the street and the law is that
if you have to stop at that location your vehicle is not
```

supposed to go beyond that stop line. You're supposed to
stop prior to that.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. I'm sorry. Keep
going.
MR. COOK: That's okay. I'm glad you said that.
Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I just want to make
sure -- this will get appealed. I just want to make sure
people know what we're talking about.
MR. COOK: Right.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So go ahead.
MR. COOK: Okay. So on Needwood Road the stop bar is
very close to Redland Road which makes it very tight for
14 people to make that right hand turn there and that's part
15 of the problem. What we found, we ran turning templates
16 for different size vehicles at that intersection and
17 passenger cars can just barely squeak by. Pickup trucks
18 can just barely squeak by. But the larger trucks will hit
19 that curb. And normally the turning radiuses that we use
20 are very conservative in nature. Truck drivers can do
21 better than what they say, but as far as a design tool,
22 that's what we used.
23 So one of the things we suggested was to consider
24 moving the stop bar on Needwood Road back a little further
25 from the intersection so that when a vehicle wants to make
a right turn there they can do it and don't have to worry
about a car sitting there in the left-hand most lane that's got the nose of his car out past the stop line and making it difficult for people to turn.

The other thing that could be done, little more
drastic, but would be to increase the radius on that
corner. That's something that could be done. There is
some signal equipment at that intersection that would have
to be relocated, but that's another way that we could solve
the problem at that location.
MR. KLINE: How would you go about implementing either one of those provisions?

MR. COOK: We would have to get in touch with MCDOT
and discuss them with them.
MR. KLINE: So they're both county roads?
MR. COOK: Yes.
MR. KLINE: (Inaudible).
MR. COOK: Yes. The applicant would accept a condition to correct the problem through work coordination with the Department of Transportation to solve that problem?

MR. COOK: That's my understanding.
MR. KLINE: There was testimony about pedestrians on 4 Needwood Road and concern about whether this use might be 5 inconsistent with the Vision Zero Plan of Montgomery

County. Are there any planning documents yet extent on vision Zero that would give us guidance as to how to treat pedestrians?

MR. COOK: Vision Zero is a county-wide programand
they're looking at different areas of the county and they
have a priority listing of roadways now that they would
like to see improvements to help enhance pedestrian safety.
8 Needwood Road is not one of them that's presently on the
9 list, but Needwood Road does have the hiker/biker trail
10 along the frontage of the property. We have sidewalk on
11 the southside of Needwood all the way from Redland up to
12 Muncaster Mill if I'm not mistaken. So there are
13 pedestrian sidewalks and all for safety issues up there.
14 MR. KLINE: The chairman basically said, well, let's
15 check into this. So would you describe what was done to
16 improve the entrance to the road to reduce the conflicts
17 with pedestrians or bikers going past the site?
18 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait. Are you saying the 19 chairman of the planning board?
20 MR. KLINE: The planning board -- the chairman of the
21 planning board is a biker and he was concerned about making
22 sure that the cars coming off the site would not conflict
23 with bikers. So my question Mr. -- what geometric changes
24 were made to the driveway to minimize the conflicts with
25 pedestrians or bikers?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: One of the things that w
did is we want to make sure, and it will be finalized when
we do the plan for that, but is to keep the striping far
enough back from the road so vehicles or bikes can go along
the trail and pedestrians without being encountered by
passenger cars coming out of the daycare.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Along Needwood.
MR. COOK: Along Needwood, yes.
MR. KLINE: Mr. Cook, you heard the line of
questioning about the location of the driveway relative to
the crest of the road.
MR. COOK: Yes.
MR. KLINE: And I guess the question was how much
movement was there? Are there any other factors not
mentioned by Mr. Intriago that would affect where the
driveway could be located relative to Carnegie Avenue?
MR. COOK: Sure. There's a couple other reasons.
One, the county prefers to have at least 200 feet between
any public street and a driveway to provide a clearance
distance in there and that's a good example here because if
Carnegie Street is ever made public and is continued
through to the subdivision to the south, which is something
that we spent a lot of time discussing with this
application, then if that road is open to the public you're
going to have people coming up and turning left and right
downtown Bethesda, and then I'm also working one off
Bethany Lane in Howard County right now.
MR. KLINE: Have you had a chance to familiarize
yourself with basically the circulation of traffic on the
site?
MR. COOK: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Okay. How about explain to us how you
feel this would work.
MR. CHEN: Objection. This is not within his scope at
all. It's not even within the scope of his report and
disclosure report.
4 MR. KLINE: Okay. I'll try it this way. Would you
explain why -- let me rephrase that. Is the circulation
system safe, adequate, and efficient?
MR. CHEN: Objection.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm going to sustain -- or
not sustain it because this is typical for traffic
engineers. I'm going to let it in and I'll listen and give
it the weight it deserves. So now we're going to onsite
circulation, right?
MR. CHEN: I've got to say that it will may be that
there's never been previously a challenge to the expertise
of traffic expert not having a degree. It well may be that

MR. COOK: It's four now. Three in Montgomery County.
The Layhill Road site, this site, Pembroke Road site in downtown Bethesda, and then I'm also working one off Bethany Lane in Howard County right now.

MR. KLINE: Have you had a chance to familiarize
yourself with basically the circulation of traffic on the site?

MR. COOK: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Okay. How about explain to us how you feel this would work.

MR. CHEN: Objection. This is not within his scope at all. It's not even within the scope of his report and
disclosure report.
MR. KLINE: Okay. I'll try it this way. Would you
explain why -- let me rephrase that. Is the circulation
16 system safe, adequate, and efficient?
MR. CHEN: Objection.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm going to sustain -- or
9 not sustain it because this is typical for traffic
engineers. I'm going to let it in and I'll listen and give
it the weight it deserves. So now we're going to onsite circulation, right?

MR. CHEN: I've got to say that it will may be that
there's never been previously a challenge to the expertise
of traffic expert not having a degree. It well may be that
in all your previous cases this type of testimony was
permitted from this type of witness. The fact that it has
normally come in because no one has objected to it does not
mean that it should be accepted in any event and I'll just
acknowledge my objection for the record.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, I'll hear the basis of
his opinion and judge from that. So go ahead Mr. Kline.
MR. KLINE: Sure. Well, and I'll start with a good
example. Maybe it will be applicable to Mr. Chen's
comment.
Mr. Intriago testified that normally you'd like to
probably -- for a daycare center you'd like to have a
driveway of 24 -feet wide to allow the mom and dads just a
little bit of wiggle room. We're down to 20 feet. Do you
feel that that is still safe, adequate, efficient, and can
you extend it to the rest of the lot?
MR. CHEN: Objection. Now he's expressing an opinion
that the 20 -foot width for the driveway is -- I presume
he's going to say safe and adequate. I mean where does
that come into what he's been disclosed as an expert or his
field of expertise?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm going to let it in and
give it the weight it deserves.
MR. KLINE: And I'll make it easier to start off. But
5 what is the county standard for the width of a driveway on
in all your previous cases this type of testimony was
permitted from this type of witness. The fact that it has
normally come in because no one has objected to it does not
mean that it should be accepted in any event and I'll just
acknowledge my objection for the record.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, I'll hear the basis of
his opinion and judge from that. So go ahead Mr. Kline.
MR. KLINE: Sure. Well, and I'll start with a good
example. Maybe it will be applicable to Mr. Chen's
comment.
Mr. Intriago testified that normally you'd like to
probably -- for a daycare center you'd like to have a
driveway of 24 -feet wide to allow the momand dads just a
little bit of wiggle room. We're down to 20 feet. Do you
feel that that is still safe, adequate, efficient, and can
16 you extend it to the rest of the lot?
MR. CHEN: Objection. Now he's expressing an opinion
8 that the 20 -foot width for the driveway is -- I presume
he's going to say safe and adequate. I mean where does
that come into what he's been disclosed as an expert or his
21 field of expertise?
22

25 what is the county standard for the width of a driveway on
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at that intersection.
The closer the driveway to the daycare is to that road is going to make it less safe because people coming out of
the driveway won't have as much time to see vehicles coming
up Carnegie that want to make a right, nor will the
vehicles making the right off of Carnegie have much time
before they encounter the vehicles coming in and out of the
driveway. So having the driveway situated where it is, it
creates a little cushion of safety and separation between the two driveways.

The testimony that we heard earlier today of you can't move it too far to the west because then we get away from
the crest of the hill. So what we try to do when we pick
out a site or a location for a driveway, we try to get to
that maximum spot, and the testimony was that, you know, if
16 you move that further to the west you're going to lose your
line of sight to the east because that's where the crest of
the hill is and that makes the site distance more
restrictive in that direction.
MR. KLINE: The driveway location that's shown on the
Exhibit 148 would operate in the safe manner because it
meets the -- would operate in a safe manner?
MR. COOK: In my opinion it would, yes.
MR. KLINE: How many Primrose schools have you worked on yourself? give it the weight it deserves.
MR. KLINE: And I'll make it easier to start off. But

```
a non-residential development such as this?
    MR. COOK: I believe it's }24\mathrm{ feet on that.
    MR. KLINE: Okay. So do you feel that the layout and
the circulation system that's been laid out and shown on
Exhibit }148\mathrm{ would operate in a safe, adequate, and
efficient manner and if so --
    MR. CHEN: You've got my objection on this. You've
noted?
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yeah, I'll note a continuing
objection.
    MR. CHEN: Thank you.
    HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
    MR. COOK: In my opinion it is and that's for several
reasons. Because of the narrow drive out it keeps the
speed of the vehicles down. People can't fly through the
driveway. As you heard testified to earlier, one of the
things Primrose does is the parents of the children have to
walk the children into the facility. They can't just let
the kids run freely. And the other thing they were doing
was using the parking spaces closest to the building for
the parents for drop off. They're using the furthest away
parking spaces for staff members. So in my opinion they've
done what needs to be done to provide as safe circulation
pattern as you can get.
    MR. KLINE:Section 59.3.4.4.F in the zoning ordinance
```

says is there adequate area for the discharge and pickup of
children as provided? What is your opinion?
MR. CHEN: Same objection.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: This one I have a little
more -- do you know the schedule for -- you just
used -- applied -- when you did your traffic study you just
applied the IT trip generation rates to whatever the peak
hour was based on your counts, correct?
MR. COOK: That's correct.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So do you -- has any
information been provided to you on whether there's a
schedule for the children arriving or not?
MR. COOK: Okay. In the Institute of Transportation
Engineers --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yeah.
MR. COOK: -- when you use -- you use the peak hour of
the roadway.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Right.
MR. COOK: Okay. But the peak hour of the use --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Right.
MR. COOK: -- is what is added on top of the peak hour
of the roadway.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So what did you count the
peak hour of the use?
MR. COOK: The peak hour of the use is what Institute
of Transportation Engineers gives to you.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So you used it based
on the Institute -- the ITE Trip Manual rate.

MR. COOK: Modified to all the special reductions and
increases that --

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Right.
MR. COOK: -- the growth policy requires.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. But not based -- do
you know the actual schedule of the school?
MR. COOK: No, because that varies from school to
school. It's different. There's not a consistent pattern.
You know, just the -- what the Institute of Transportation
Engineers does is, you know, they collect samples from
multiple locations.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Right.
MR. COOK: And I believe for a daycare center, I think
it was like 14 locations that they used as a basis for
developing their numbers.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay.
MR. COOK: But everyone is different.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Now what was your question again?

MR. KLINE: Well, actually maybe I'll go this
direction. But you've worked on enough Primrose schools to
have a sense of what is their general delivery, I'm sorry,
drop-off and pick-up times --
MR. COOK: Yes.
MR. KLINE: -- to how kids --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: No. Yeah, that's beyond -- I've been waiting for the operations guy. I'm not going to get into it at this point. And I don't even know if what he says that the statement of justification is so general that I'm not going to let him go into it at this point. Just continue and I'll give his answers the weight 10 it deserves.

MR. KLINE: Sure. Well, I think I'll just finish it up then.

Mr. Cook, were your traffic studies performed in 4 accordance with the LATR guidelines?
15 MR. COOK: Yes, they were.
16 MR. KLINE: Did your studies conclude that the 17 transportation surrounding the subject property is adequate 18 to accommodate the traffic to be generated for that use?
19 MR. COOK: Yes.
20 MR. KLINE: Did your study indicate that there would
21 be no conflicts with pedestrian or bicyclers on --
22 MR. COOK: We found no apparent conflict.
23 MR. KLINE: Did you determine it safe turning
24 movements to be made on and off the site because of gaps
25 and no turning back that would conflict with the driveways?

| 253 | 255 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. COOK: Yes, we did. | 1 to do it or a pretty extensive -- |
| 2 MR. KLINE: Did you do any studies of speed on the | 2 MR. CHEN: Yeah. |
| 3 road? Did you check that out at all? | 3 MR. COOK: It's a matter of cost and, you know, is the |
| 4 MR. COOK: No, we did not. | 4 cost worth the savings and the safety that you're getting? |
| 5 MR. KLINE: And in your opinion from a transportation | 5 MR. CHEN: Exactly. Exactly. I think you've answered |
| 6 planning point of view is there anything about this | 6 my question. Could have ben done but it's a matter of cost |
| 7 proposal that does not fit in this location because of the | 7 and how much it's going to cost. |
| 8 transportation network? | 8 MR. COOK: Sure. |
| 9 MR. COOK: In my opinion, no. | 9 MR. CHEN: In this case it probably would have been |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Thank you. Mr. Chen? | 10 passed on to the applicant. |
| 11 MR. CHEN: Sir, just for clarification, how many days | 11 MR. COOK: In all likelihood -- in all likelihood |
| 12 was your crew -- and I apologize if that's the incorrect | 12 MCDOT would not approve an access there unless we did agree |
| 13 word, were they on site taking counts? | 13 to pay for it. |
| 14 MR. COOK: What our normal practice is and what the | 14 MR. CHEN: Exactly. Exactly. And as I understand it, |
| 15 county requires -- and this isn't just in Montgomery | 15 you testified that the parents would walk the children into |
| 16 County. This is essentially across the country. In the | 16 the schoo |
| 17 industry we count on one random day. Now what we do on | 17 MR. COOK: That's my understanding, yes. |
| 18 that day if the weather is bad we would not go out. We | 18 MR. CHEN: So that would mean that they would park on |
| 19 would not -- we use cameras, by the way. We used to put | 19 the site for some -- it might be short, but they would |
| 20 people out there years ago but cameras is much easier. If | 20 enter the site, park, get out of the car, walk the child |
| 21 we put the cameras out and it was raining or icy or | 21 into the school, come back, restart the car and leave |
| 22 something like that we would not use the data. We would | 22 again. |
| 23 leave it there 'til another day. But it's common practice | 23 MR. COOK: It's my understanding based on -- and this |
| 24 in the industry to use one day random. It has to be a | 24 gets into the operations, but based on my experience with |
| 25 Tuesday, Wednesday or Thursday during the week unless we're | 25 Primrose and discussions on other projects it normally |
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| 1 doing a shopping center and then we may be asked to look at | 1 takes a parent anywhere from seven to 12 minutes to park |
| 2 Saturdays. But it has to be, again, when schools are in | 2 their car, walk their student in, and then get back out to |
| 3 session. | 3 leave. So, yes. And they require that. A parent cannot |
| 4 MR. CHEN: And in this case it was in May | 4 just drop the kid off. There's no staff there waiting to |
| 5 MR. COOK: Yes. | 5 handle the kids. The parents have to go in and sign the |
| 6 MR. CHEN: What year was that? '18? | 6 child in. |
| 7 MR. COOK: 2017. | 7 MR. CHEN: Can you tell us how many vehicles will |
| 8 MR. CHEN: '17. | 8 enter this property during the day? |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: That's right. | 9 MR. COOK: During the day it would probably be in the |
| 10 MR. CHEN: And you conducted an LATR analysis, | 108 -, 850 range. |
| 11 correct? | 11 MR. CHEN: Okay. And -- |
| 12 MR. COOK: That's correct. | 12 MR. COOK: Now that's -- may I? That's 850 cars going |
| 13 MR. CHEN: And what were the critical intersections? | 13 in and/or coming out. |
| 14 MR. COOK: Muncaster Mill Road which is Maryland Route | 14 MR. CHEN: Yeah. |
| 15115 and Needwood Road. The original study looked at | 15 MR. COOK: Yeah. |
| 16 Needwood Road and Carnegie Avenue or Carnegie Street | 16 MR. CHEN: Yeah. You answered where I was going. |
| 17 because that was going to be our access. And then we | 17 MR. COOK: Okay. |
| 18 looked at Needwood Road and Redland Road. | 18 MR. CHEN: And do you know what would be the a.m peak |
| 19 MR. CHEN: Okay. And by the way, you mentioned | 19 hour for cars -- vehicles entering this property? |
| 20 Carnegie. Coming back to that for a minute and the | 20 MR. COOK: The a.m. peak hour of the road network is |
| 21 problems with Carnegie including the last issues. The line | 21 - |
| 22 of sight issues with Carnegie could have been corrected by | 22 MR. CHEN: That's not my question. And I may have you |
| 23 construction work on Needwood; isn't that correct, sir? | 23 at a disadvantage because you may have already answered it |
| 24 MR. COOK: When you an over vertical curvature in the | 24 for Mr. Kline. You don't really know what would be the |
| 25 roadway, yes. You can mill down the roadway but you have | 25 a.m peak hour or the p.m. peak hour of activity for this |


| 257 | 259 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 particular school; is that a fair statement? | 1 practice. |
| 2 MR. COOK: That's correct. | 2 MR. CHEN: Fine. |
| 3 MR. CHEN: Does the ITE have any factor for deliveries | 3 MR. COOK: You would like to keep as much of a gap in |
| 4 to a site? | 4 there as you can. The more, the better. But normally 200, |
| 5 MR. COOK: No, the deliveries -- when the Institute of | 5250 feet is like the minimum distance I'd like to say. |
| 6 Transportation Engineers establish their trip rates what | 6 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: While he's looking, the 250 |
| 7 they do is -- let's say it's an office building as an | 7 feet is the minimum distance -- minimum what distance? |
| 8 example. They count the driveways and they count anything | 8 MR. COOK: Normally what -- |
| 9 that comes in and out of that driveway and that could be | 9 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: No, I just don't know |
| 10 visitors to the building, it could be employees, it could | 10 the -- I missed the reference you were talking about. It's |
| 11 be deliveries, and they factor them all in. So the answer | 11 not sight distance. |
| 12 is they are taken into consideration as part of the trip | 12 MR. COOK: It's not sight distance. It's spacing |
| 13 generation rates that they establish, but there's no | 13 between public roads and driveways. |
| 14 specific factor that we add to it. | 14 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 15 MR. CHEN: In the figure you just gave us a moment ago | 15 MR. KLINE: Center line to center line. |
| 16 for the number of entries and exiting from the site, were | 16 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 17 you taking into consideration vehicles other than the | 17 MR. CHEN: I have no further questions. |
| 18 parents' vehicles? | 18 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Mr. Kline, redirect? |
| 19 MR. COOK: That would include the staff vehicles and | 19 MR. KLINE: With regard to the question about how to |
| 20 any deliveries that would be made to the school. | 20 correct the line of sight for Carnegie Avenue, you were |
| 1 MR. CHEN: Fine. Thank you, sir. Am I correct in | 21 talking about essentially shaving the -- milling I think |
| 22 understanding that The Traffic Group or your team -- is | 22 was the phrased you used. |
| 23 that the right terminology? Am I using the right term? | 23 MR. COOK: Yes. |
| 24 MR. COOK: The Traffic Group. | 24 MR. KLINE: Was there basically additional work that |
| 25 MR. CHEN: Okay. Did not undertake any line of sight | 25 would be have to be done on the supporting part of the |
| 258 | 260 |
| 1 analysis? Is that a fair statement or an accurate | 1 infrastructure along with the road that would have to be |
| 2 statement? | 2 repaired as well? |
| 3 MR. COOK: That's correct. We did not. | 3 MR. COOK: Yeah, and it would depend on how much needs |
| 4 MR. CHEN: Okay. I know you testified about what | 4 to be shaved off on the road. But yeah, it could impact |
| 5 would be the appropriate line of sight, but again that is | 5 your gutter pans and your curb lines. So that's why it |
| 6 not the same as taking the actual survey number for access | 6 gets so expensive. It's not just shaving off or milling |
| 7 onto the road; is that a fair statement? | 7 off some asphalt. It's the curb work and everything else |
| 8 MR. COOK: I'm sorry. Could you - | 8 that would have to be done to make (inaudible). |
| 9 MR. CHEN: I know. I butchered that. I apologize. | 9 MR. KLINE: Would it likely affect the new bike way |
| 10 Your testimony was that the appropriate length of linear | 10 that's going past the property? |
| 11 space needed for a safe entry access to the road and from | 11 MR. COOK: Without doing any design, I'm not sure. I |
| 12 the road; is that right? | 12 would have to check the grades because you have grades to |
| 13 MR. COOK: As far as the sight line is concerned? | 13 be concerned with for the water runoff and all and we've |
| 14 MR. CHEN: Yes. | 14 never looked at it that closely from a design standpoint. |
| 15 MR. COOK: Yes, correct | 15 MR. KLINE: Okay. You probably used the number before |
| 16 MR. CHEN: Okay. The information that you have just | 16 when you're talking about trips, the intersection trips. |
| 17 given about that, is that based upon any specific analysis | 17 You were talking about 800 to 850 cars coming on and off |
| 18 of this particular site or is that again ITE or | 18 the site. How many of those cars would have been on the |
| 19 information? | 19 road in any event? |
| 20 MR. COOK: I think the only thing that I referred to | 20 MR. COOK: We assume based on ITE -- I don't want to |
| 21 as far as sight lines are concerned or close proximity of a | 21 misspeak here. We assumed approximately 27 percent of the |
| 22 driveway was the distance between Carnegie and the proposed | 22 traffic during the morning peak hour would be people |
| 23 entrance. | 23 stopping by on their way to work or coming home, doing |
| 24 MR. CHEN: Okay. | 24 things. In the evening it's 12 percent. So over the day |
| 25 MR. COOK: And that's just normal engineering | 25 that number fluctuates from hour-to-hour where mid-day it |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 may not be that -- the pass-by rate may not be quite that | 1 buying this property. |
| 2 high but -- | 2 MR. KLINE: And how did you come to a determination |
| 3 MR. KLINE: No further questions. Thank you. | 3 that that was a use that you would like to see installed on |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. You may be excused. | 4 the property? |
| 5 Mr. COOK: Okay. | 5 MR. MANDAVA: It's a RE-1 zone property so the RE- |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Do you have another witness? | 6 regulation is |
| 7 MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am. | $7 \quad$ MR. KLINE: Okay. Well, what I meant to ask you is |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. | 8 why did you decide that you would like to see that kind of |
| 9 MR. KLINE: Mr. Mandava, can you please come up? | 9 use on the property you own? |
| 10 MR. MANDAVA: Yes. | 10 MR. MANDAVA: When we looked at the neighborhood and |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Please raise your right | 11 the demographics of the neighborhood and location and the |
| 12 hand. Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury | 12 kind of development happening in the area including the |
| 13 that your about to make are the truth, the whole truth, and | 13 Shady Grove area, et cetera, we saw that this is a -- there |
| 14 nothing but the truth? | 14 is a lot of potential for a daycare, especially something |
| 15 MR. MANDAVA: I do | 15 which is more structured and which is driven by research |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. | 16 and that sort of products. |
| 17 MR. KLINE: Mr. Mandava, would you please state and | 17 See, there is a need for every kind of daycare right |
| 18 spell your name and give us your address? | 18 froma family daycare -- my kids went to a family daycare |
| 19 MR. MANDAVA: Sure. It's Srikanth Mandava, | 19 and they also went a more institutional kind of daycare. |
| 20 S-R-I-K-A-N-T-H, Mandava is M-A-N-D-A-V-A. And | 20 So every community people would like to have choice. Some |
| 21 address is 12609 Winter Wren Court, that is W-R-E-N, Wren, | 21 people like family daycare, some people like more of a |
| 22 Herndon, Virginia 30171. | 22 center-based care. And I felt like in this particular |
| 23 MR. KLINE: Mr. Mandava, you've been here for all the | 23 area, in the neighborhood, et cetera, there isn't a really |
| 24 hearings? | 24 good access to a good institutional kind of daycare and |
| 25 MR. MANDAVA: That's correct, yeah. | 25 that's what attracted me there. |
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| 1 MR. KLINE: As you can tell, you're a much awaited | 1 MR. KLINE: Okay. You yourself, what do you do for a |
| 2 witness. | 2 living? |
| 3 MR. MANDAVA: Yeah. | 3 MR. MANDAVA: I am an information technology |
| 4 MR. KLINE: Mr. Mandava, operations is a very critical | 4 professional. I'm an associate vice president. I manage |
| 5 part of this application. I'd like you to go ahead and | 5 programs and run big teams and people driving the programs |
| 6 basically kind of explain your relationship with this. At | 6 for companies. |
| 7 present, are you the owner of the subject property? | 7 MR. KLINE: Is your wife a professional? Does she |
| 8 MR. MANDAVA: That's correct. Me and my wife. | 8 work? |
| 9 MR. KLINE: You and your wife. Okay. And you | 9 MR. MANDAVA: She is also a professional. She's a |
| 10 acquired the property for what purpose? | 10 software engineer and both of us are basically working |
| 11 MR. MANDAVA: I saw this property which is a three- | 11 parents. |
| 12 acre beautiful lot, very level kind of ground. It's in a | 12 MR. KLINE: What made the two of you decide you would |
| 13 nice location, very close to the metro in a nice | 13 like to be daycare center operators? |
| 14 residential neighborhood. There's a church nearby. And we | 14 MR. MANDAVA: Well, we've both been working in the IT |
| 15 bought that and it is permitted for institutional use so we | 15 space for more than two decades now and we -- our daughters |
| 16 thought -- | 16 are kind of in their teens and in fact one of them is |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: It is what? | 17 beyond their teens now. So with them being teenagers next |
| 18 MR. MANDAVA: It's permitted for institutional use | 18 year actually, this fall, and we wanted to do something |
| 19 which is church or daycare and things like that. That's | 19 more meaningful, something more passionate, something that |
| 20 what I mean by institutional use. | 20 is more passionate for us, something that is more |
| 21 MR. KLINE: Are you saying that you looked at the | 21 interesting and something that really gives us the |
| 22 zoning ordinance and saw that a child daycare center was -- | 22 satisfaction like we're contributing to the community, |
| 23 MR. MANDAVA: That's correct. Yeah. | 23 bringing our experience, et cetera. |
| 24 MR. KLINE: -- conditional use was okay. | 24 So that's what -- and my wife volunteers for quite a |
| 25 MR. MANDAVA: Yes. So that's what attracted us to | 25 few organizations including CASA and Center for the Abused |


| 265 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Women and Children and things like that. While putting all | 1 and neighbor who testified uncomfortably earlier today. |
| 2 of those interests together and our idea that we need | 2 What's his role and how did he get involved |
| 3 start thinking about our future, do something meaningfi | 3 MR. MANDAVA: Sure. I live in Virginia and I needed |
| 4 really led us to this particular childcare area. | 4 an address as a resident agent in Maryland because these |
| 5 MR. KLINE: How did you come to be affiliated with | 5 entities are incorporated in Maryland. I have asked his |
| 6 Primrose School Franchising Corporation? | 6 permission and like, you know, he accepted to be the |
| 7 MR. MANDAVA: So when we started researching because | 7 resident agent. I understand like, you know, he -- and |
| 8 obviously we wanted to go with -- we wanted to run a school | 8 this was done in in 2016 so I understand like, you know, he |
| 9 that will be really exemplary, something that brings a | 9 forgot about it and he probably forgot about the other |
| 10 of value to wherever we are because that will ultimately | 10 entity because he must have thought it was just one entity. |
| 11 give us the satisfaction. We started researching around | 11 MR. KLINE: So walk us through basically -- I think |
| 12 what's the best way to go about this and we looked | 12 everyone is going to like to have a little better |
| 13 various -- and obviously without having a background | 13 understanding of the two entities you created and what |
| 14 experience in childcare we felt that a franchise is the | 14 their functio |
| 15 best way to go it | 15 MR. MANDAVA: Sure. The Needwood Developers, LLC |
| 16 And we researched, we | 16 entity is to hold the real estate, and this is a standard |
| 17 space, several companies, and we both of us instantly fee | 17 practice I understand. The entity which is running the |
| 18 in love with Primrose. We hadn't seen anything like that | 18 school, operating the school, will be different to the |
| 19 when my kids went to childcare. They went to KinderCare | 19 entity which is holding the real estate. So the Needwood |
| 20 and places like that. But when we looked at Primrose we | 20 Developers, LLC is the entity which is holding the real |
| 21 instantly fell in love. The whole environment and like, | 21 estate, and the Needwood Schools, LLC is the entity which |
| 22 you know, we wish we had sent our kids to something lik | 22 is operating the school. |
| 23 that, is very different and we felt we could see ourselve | 23 MR. KLINE: You and your wife will transfer title to |
| 24 surrounding a center like that with pride and the whole | 24 the land holding entity at some point in time? |
| 25 community will be so proud when something like that comes | 25 MR. MANDAVA: That's correct. We'll be transferring |
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| 1 up in the community. | 1 it to Needwood Developers, LLC. |
| 2 MR. KLINE: Just walk us through basically the | 2 MR. KLINE: Okay. And then what is your relationship |
| 3 structural relationship and the organizational relationship | 3 right now with Primrose Franchising Corporation? |
| 4 that you've set up -- | 4 MR. MANDAVA: Sure. I'm the franchisee, they're the |
| 5 MR. MANDAVA: Sure. | 5 franchisor. |
| 6 MR. KLINE: -- to make this thing - | 6 MR. KLINE: Now you say you're the franchisee. |
| 7 MR. MANDAVA: Sure. Certainly. We have to setup two | 7 MR. MANDAVA: As in we, the Needwood Schools, LLC is |
| 8 entities, again, based on the advice from tax accountant | 8 the franchisee. Yeah. |
| 9 on how this works. So we cannot but just individuals | 9 MR. KLINE: Okay. And what are the relative roles of |
| 10 signing up these things. So we have setup two entities, | 10 the two parties? What does -- what do you do and what does |
| 11 one for the real estate which is Needwood Developers, LLC, | 11 Primrose do just as you're watching this process? |
| 12 and one for the franchise, the school, running the school, | 12 MR. MANDAVA: Certainly. So we are -- we are the |
| 13 which is Needwood Schools, LLC. And me, my wife, and | 13 owner/operator for this school and Primrose is the one, as |
| 14 there's one more person, Burga Kodali, we have the -- | 14 the franchisor, providing the know how and the systems and |
| 15 MR. KLINE: Could you spell that for us please? | 15 all the support whether it's marketing or the technology or |
| 16 MR. MANDAVA: Sure. Burga Kodali is B-U-R-G-A, first | 16 the branding or the staff hiring, and all those things, |
| 17 name, Kodali is K-O-D-A-L-I is the last name. We are the | 17 providing all of those things to us to operate the school. |
| 18 partners in this. I am the managing partner for both | 18 MR. KLINE: I guess I would like you to give us a |
| 19 entities. | 19 little bit more information about their -- Primrose |
| 20 MR. KLINE: And the gentlemen whose name you just | 20 School's role through the phase we're in now and then |
| 21 mentioned is just a passive investor or | 21 through the -- to the point when someone gives you a key |
| 22 MR. MANDAVA: That's correct. He's a passive | 22 and says, here, it's yours. |
| 23 investor. Me and my wife will be the active partners and | 23 MR. MANDAVA: Absolutely. Yes. I forgot that part. |
| 24 the managing partner. | 24 Before you get to operating the school they also help us |
| 25 MR. KLINE: So how about telling us about your friend | 25 through this whole permitting process. Obviously I'm not |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 years. There is a pre-K which is like the four year old's | 1 Now the teachers who are caring for this, they are |
| 2 and the five year old's. And there is Explorers. It's | 2 trained by Primrose. Every teacher will go through a |
| 3 again a terminology by Primrose which is the af | 3 training by Primrose and it's not just one piece of |
| 4 school/before school care kind of age group which is five | 4 training. It is a continuous schedule of training that |
| 5 p | 5 they will go through. In fact, as owner/operators we |
| 6 MR. CHEN: | 6 be going through quite a bit of extensive training |
| 7 are wh | 7 Primrose like, you kn |
| 8 MR. MANDAVA: Are five | 8 something like that, and we'll also be continuously getting |
| 9 | 9 trained in this progr |
| 10 MR. KLINE: You heard p | 10 MR. KLINE: So, the ratio of teachers, instructors, |
| 11 program. Does Primrose today know what they want | 11 whatever the proper term is, to the number of kids vari |
| 12 achieve or do you they say when you start getting signups | $12 \mathrm{ba}$ |
| 13 then we'll determine what the breakdown is going to | 13 MR. MANDAVA |
| 14 What drives it | 14 MR. KLINE: So, I guess what I was leading up to is |
| 15 MR. MANDAVA: | 15 you've committed to not more than 30 employees and two |
| 16 building -- we were talking about the prototypes and | 16 administrators. How do you make sure that your enrollment |
| 17 building, et cetera. There's a lot of method b | 17 doesn't kick you over so you have to have more than 31 |
| 18 because Primrose, it calls itself an early educ | 18 teachers? How do you handle |
| 19 school, right, and not just childcare but early educat | 19 MR. MANDAVA: There are two factors for that. One |
| 20 because they believe based on the scientific research | 20 per regulation you can't exceed the number of staff to |
| 21 percent of a kids learning happens in the first five years | 21 student ratio. And number two, the classrooms are al |
| 22 That is the m. | 22 designed with a certain capacity in mind based on all the |
| 23 philosophy is this balanced learning where you are giving | 23 factors I was talking before. So, you cannot enroll kids |
| 24 play but also you're teaching them during that five years | 24 more than what the classroom can handle and what more than |
|  |  |
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| 1 of how the classrooms are laid | 1 governed by the State of Mar |
| 2 are the toys, things like that | 2 MR. KLINE: Has Primrose indicated to you that there's |
| 3 there is a progression of like, you know, the younger | 3 some sort of a ramp up or build up time? I mean do you |
| 4 Infants are on the left side and then like, you know, the | 4 think the day you'll open you'll have as many as that 195 |
| 5 age keeps growing as you kind of keep going around | 5 kids or how long does it take to get there? |
| 6 clockwise direction. And there are playgrounds aligned to | 6 MR. MANDAVA: I would be very happy to have 195 kid |
| 7 that classrooms because they can just go out of the room | 7 on day one, but it takes -- I believe at least a year-and |
| 8 into the playground and be back, that sort of stuff. And | 8 a-half to get to good size of enrollment and good size |
| 9 then in the community as you like -- let's say you got like | 9 enrollment is considered to be around 80 percent. That's |
| 10 ten infants that started in your school, you want to make | 10 when like the school is operating really well, around 80 |
| 11 sure that there's enough room for these ten infants where | 11 percent. But it takes a while before we get to that and |
| 12 they grow up in the next class as well as as you're go to | 12 I've visited a couple of schools and like, you know, they |
| 13 the twos and threes there's more and more kids coming into | 13 were -- some classrooms were empty and like some classroon |
| 14 the school because some parents prefer like, you know, they | 14 there were just two kids. It takes a while before we get |
| 15 treat and they care for their infants at home or in some | 15 to that poin |
| 16 other place and then they're more comfortable sending them | 16 MR. KLINE: So, what is your specific role and role |
| 17 into a preschool or like, you know, an age group as they | 17 your wife in the day-to-day operation of the school? |
| 18 grow older. | 18 MR. MANDAVA: My wife will be on the ground operating |
| 19 So, all of that is taken into consideration and the | 19 it from like being there in person and of course I'll also |
| 20 program is designed in such a way that the age factor and | 20 be showing up very frequently there, but I will be |
| 21 the learning ability and, like, you know, in which | 21 supporting more with the marketing and the technology and |
| 22 classroom and, like, you know, what ki | 22 the accounting and all the back office things. And of |
| 23 cetera. They have a curriculum that has been designed, a | 23 course, I'll also be there as a backup like for anything |
| 24 very structured curriculum that is provided to each of | 24 and I'll go through all the training |
| 25 these classes. | 25 MR. KLINE: We heard an awful lot of questions about |


| 1 | when are things happening on the property relative to the |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | operation of the school. So, can you basically start us |  |
| 3 | from the beginning of the day and walk us through the day, |  |
| 4 | so we understand the comings and goings and the activities |  |
| 5 | during those time periods? |  |
| 6 | MR. MANDAVA: Sure. Most certainly. And this is also |  |
| 7 | in the application. So, the school is -- the open to staff |  |
| 8 | from 6:00 a.m. in the morning to 6:30 p.m. in the evening, |  |
| 9 | but the students, for the students the operating hours are |  |
| 10 | $6: 30$ a.m. to 6:30 p.m. Now this school has different age |  |
| 11 | groups, so which means -- let's take 30 kids we're |  |
| 12 | expecting. The capacity schedule for 30 kids for the |  |
| 13 | Explorers, that is the after school/before school kids. So |  |
| 14 | -- | 1 |
| 15 | $\quad$ MR. KLINE: So, you're saying that at 6:30 up to 30 |  |
| 16 | students will be arriving? |  |
| 17 | MR. MANDAVA: 6:30 to 6:30 p.m. is the operating |  |
| 18 | hours. The students we expect to be arriving between 6:30 |  |
| 19 | a.m. and 9:30 a.m. Some of the kids might come in early, |  |
| 20 | like especially before the before school care kids |  |
| 21 | because -- the school is like, you know, opening at 8:30 or |  |
| 22 | like -- it doesn't make sense for them to be dropped off at |  |
| 23 | $8: 00$ early. Typically, those kind of kids who are enrolled |  |
| 24 | will come in a little early. And otherwise the period is |  |
| 25 | more like scattered between 7:00 to 9:00 a.m. for the rest |  |

```
MR. KLINE: Every parking space. Clearly you're not talking about all 44. So --
MR. MANDAVA: Not all 44, no.
MR. KLINE: So how many spaces are you talking about
dedicating to staff, administrators, and parents?
MR. MANDAVA: Yeah. That should probably be explained
before we get into this because for 195 kids, we have 30
kids that are after school/before school kids and then
like, you know, there are other age groups, et cetera.
MR. CHEN: I'm sorry. You're going very fast, sir.
Slow down.
MR. MANDAVA: Oh, okay.
MR. CHEN: Give us that last statement again, sir.
MR. MANDAVA: For 195 kids, we have 30 kids are after
school/before school care and other age groups. We
have -- we need a staff --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Now what do you mean school care?
MR. MANDAVA: After --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: You said 6:00 -- the school
is open 6:30 to --
MR. MANDAVA: 6:30 p.m., 6:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yeah, so what is before
school care?
MR. MANDAVA: Yeah, these --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: That means before the public
```

schools open and when -- so I'm trying to get a sense of
when do those children come in, when do they leave?
MR. MANDAVA: So, the before school --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And how do they get transported?

MR. MANDAVA: Yes. The before school care is the kids
who are enrolled in the elementary school, but they need some care before school starts.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Right. I get that part.
MR. MANDAVA: Yeah. Same thing with after school
care. And they will be getting dropped by the parents in
the Primrose School and they will be dropped to the school
on a Primrose School bus.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Wait. They're dropped at the school by their parents.

MR. MANDAVA: No, by the Primrose --
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: You're going to have a school bus?

MR. MANDAVA: That's correct. It's a small school bus.

HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: How many people does it seat?

MR. MANDAVA: I believe -- I'm not very sure about the
capacity of that. It is a small size van you see around
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| which fits in a regular car parking spot. Can I get back | 1 MR. MANDAVA: Yeah, it stays on the property. Yeah. |
| you on that one? I don't know exactly. | 2 MR. KLINE: And the driver of that vehicle is a |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, okay. So, you've got | 3 teacher or is that a specially designated person? |
| bus picking up children in the area. Do you know the | 4 MR. MANDAVA: It's a teacher I believe and -- |
| ins of | 5 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Do you know |
| CHEN: Radius sounds righ | 6 MR. MANDAVA: I do not know for sure. |
| MR. MANDAVA: Typically, about three miles or less. | 7 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. What I want you to do |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So, you pickup | 8 -- |
| se -- what if -- | 9 MR. KLINE: But you will know tomorrow morning. |
| IANDAVA: No, we do not -- we pick them up from | 10 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Just a second. |
| school, drop them at Primrose for the after school | 11 MR. MANDAVA: Tomorrow. Yeah. |
|  | 12 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I want you to be accurate. |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: That's the after school. | 13 If you do not know, say you do not know. |
| MR. MANDAVA: Correct. | 14 MR. MANDAVA: Sure. |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. Where -- how do you | 15 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Do not expand, do not guess. |
| y get -- I'm still in before school care | 16 MR. MANDAVA: Okay. Yeah. Fair enough, ma'am. |
| MR. MANDAVA: In the before school care the parents | 17 Understood. |
| p the kids. | 18 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Because all of this -- you |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Parents drop them. | 19 know, typically, to be honest, all of this is usually in a |
| MR. MANDAVA: Yes. | 20 detailed statement of operations ahead of time so we know |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And then they get to school | 21 exactly -- what we're trying to find out is exactly how is |
|  | 22 this thing going to operate and how is it going to -- our |
| MR. MANDAVA: Yeah. | 23 job -- my job is to see how it's going to impact the |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: | 24 surrounding area. So, okay. So, we've got 30 elementary |
| MR. KLINE: Yeah, let's start at 6:30 and work -- | 25 school children coming in somewhere between 6:30 a.m. and |
| 282 | 284 |
| MR. MANDAVA: Yeah. Okay. | 1 leaving we don't know when. Okay. Keep going. Do we have |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yeah. That's just how my | 2 other kids coming in at 6:30 a.m.? |
| nd works. | 3 MR. KLINE: And let me ask this. Do you anticipate |
| MR. MANDAVA: No worries. Yeah. | 4 that all of your up to 30 staff people or 32 staff people |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So, they can't get to | 5 will be there at 6:30 or do they come in over time? |
| imrose until 6:30 | 6 MR. MANDAVA: There will be shifts. I was actually |
| MR. MANDAVA: Correct | 7 talking about the number of staff required. Actually, let |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. And then when do they | 8 me clarify that. For the 195 kids at full and 100 percent |
| taken away to -- are these all elementary school kid | 9 enrollment the number of teachers required is 25 plus and |
| or -- | 10 assistant director and a director. So that's 25 plus two, |
| MR. MANDAVA: Yes, elementary school kids. Yeah. |  |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So, it's 30 elementary -- at | 12 MR. KLINE: So why did you ask for 30 plus two? |
| capacity it's 30 elementary school children -- | 13 MR. MANDAVA: So, there will be a cook, and just to |
| MR. MANDAVA: That's correct. Yes. | 14 add some buffer to that, that's why we asked for 30 plus |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- get there at 6:30 or -- | 15 two, 32. |
| MR. MANDAVA: Or after. Or after. | 16 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So, you're saying you think |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So when -- no, just a | 17 you'll only have 27 staff there plus a cook? |
| cond. So, when do they have to leave to get to | 18 MR. MANDAVA: Plus a cook. |
| mentary schools? | 19 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So, what are the |
| MR. MANDAVA: That will depend on when their school is | 20 shifts of your 27 staff? |
| ting for that. | 21 MR. MANDAVA: It will depend on the pattern of how |
| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Do you know when that is? | 22 kids are coming in, et cetera, but otherwise as a general |
| MR. MANDAVA: I do not know. | 23 guideline one shift will be from morning to the afternoon. |
| MR. KLINE: And this bus is maintained where, on the | 24 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, what does that mean? |
| property or -- | 25 MR. MANDAVA: Oh, so from 6:00 a.m. to say 12 , to noon |
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| 1 or 2:00 p.m., and there will be another shift starting in | 1 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 2 the afternoon and going all the way to closing, that is | 2 MR. MANDAVA: Part of the attraction of a center-based |
| 3 6:30 p.m. | 3 care like this is the extended hours of care we provide. |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So, coming in when in the | 4 So, if somebody has got a long commute they might drop the |
| 5 afternoon? | 5 kids very early and then like pickup very late. So, from |
| 6 MR. MANDAVA: They could be coming in at noon or 2:00 | 6 6:30 a.m. any of the kids are open to come in. |
| 7 p.m. and it will -- it will depend how we finally draft the | 7 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: So, you don't -- you know, |
| 8 schedules. | 8 when we do conditional use approvals we typically have |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: See -- all right. Keep | 9 information on how many kids come in at intervals of 15 |
| 10 going. | 10 minutes, but you don't have that. |
| 11 MR. KLINE: So, I'm trying to get a feel for what's | 11 MR. MANDAVA: I don't have that information, but I can |
| 12 the next flush of arrivals after the -- | 12 |
| 13 MR. MANDAVA: Sure | 13 MR. KLINE: Well, let me go back to a comment you |
| 14 MR. KLINE: -- 30 or initial first. | 14 made. You said earlier that people register in. If |
| 15 MR. MANDAVA: So -- | 15 Primrose has 400 schools they must be able to say between |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: But that means -- okay. So, | 16 9:15 and 9:30 we normally expect X amount of -- we did not |
| 17 you need 27, forget the cook for a moment. | 17 have this problem and we did Layhill Road so we must have |
| 18 MR. MANDAVA: Right. | 18 had that information available at that time so we should be |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: You need 27 coming in in the | 19 able to go get it. |
| 20 morning and leaving in the afternoon and 27 more coming in | 20 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. |
| 21 in the evening, in the afternoon, and leaving in the | 21 MR. MANDAVA: Yeah, Primrose will have that |
| 22 evening, correct? | 22 information. Yeah. |
| 23 MR. MANDAVA: That's -- yeah. That's right, yes, | 23 MR. KLINE: But because I'm thinking -- I keep |
| 24 unless somebody is working more than 12 hours which is not | 24 thinking there's a parabola of -- |
| 25 the case. | 25 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: No, that's what I'm trying |
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| HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And when does the cook come | 1 to figure out. |
| 2 in? | 2 MR. KLINE: I understand. Right. |
| 3 MR. MANDAVA: The cook will come in around 8:00 a.m. | 3 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And I'm trying to get the |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: And leave? Now if you don't | 4 peak activity level -- |
| 5 know, tell me. | 5 MR. KLINE: Right. |
| 6 MR. MANDAVA: I do not know | 6 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- of the school. |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: If you're guessing, I don't | 7 MR. KLINE: And I'd like to know what that is relative |
| 8 want a guess. I want to know | 8 to what Mr. Cook said is the peak hour of the roads. |
| 9 MR. MANDAVA: Cook I do not know | 9 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Exactly. |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. So, we've | 10 MR. KLINE: Right. I understand. So, you right now |
| 11 got -- we've started at 6:00 with staff, some staff, 27 | 11 do not know kind of over the 12-hour day what are those |
| 12 staff and maybe a cook. We're progressing down through the | 12 flows coming in. |
| 13 bus leaving here to take them to the elementary school, but | 13 MR. MANDAVA: That's correct. The graph of like, you |
| 14 we're not sure when. What about -- okay. So, what else | 14 know, the volume of people coming in, et cetera, I do not |
| 15 about people coming and toing, sorry, coming and going, | 15 have that specification. |
| 16 apologize. Coming and going from the site | 16 MR. KLINE: Well, then without the specific sort of |
| 17 MR. MANDAVA: The people coming and going from the | 17 numbers just at least keep talking. Could we say it's |
| 18 site? | 18 spread -- within the three hours it's spread -- |
| 19 MR. KLINE: My phrase is what's the next flush of cars | 19 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, I knew that. His |
| 20 arriving? | 20 statement says that so -- |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Or do -- or does it start | 21 MR. KLINE: But I was going to say equally. In other |
| 22 concurrent with the 6:30? In other words, you have before | 22 words, is it one-third per hour or something like that? |
| 23 care kid coming in at 6:30. Do you also have kids that are | 23 HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm sorry. I didn't let you |
| 24 going to stay all day coming in at 6:30? Yes? | 24 finish. |
| 25 MR. MANDAVA: Yes. | 25 MR. KLINE: Do you have a sense of that or what is |
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| get everybody outside under proper weather conditions. <br> MR. MANDAVA: Right. <br> MR. KLINE: Are meals all served at the same time? <br> MR. MANDAVA: I do not know that. <br> MR. KLINE: Explain -- you heard Mr. Alt the other day. Can you give us a little bit more detail about how the food is prepared, delivered, cleaned up? <br> MR. MANDAVA: The meals are all -- as Mr. Alt has specified, it's a warming kitchen. It's not a cooking 0 kitchen in the sense that there's no fumes or anything that's coming out. It's a warming kitchen I think. It's warmed and served. And what are the other details you would need around that beyond -- <br> MR. KLINE: Well, the delivery of the meals to each classroom, how do you accommodate that? I mean is the 6 cook -- the cook hand delivering? <br> MR. MANDAVA: Yeah. The cook will heat up the food and take it on a trolley to the classroom and provide it to the kids of that classroom, yeah, to the teachers. <br> MR. KLINE: And how long a period -- going back to my question, are meals served to all students at the same time or -- <br> MR. MANDAVA: I do not have an exact answer but I 24 just -- I do not believe so because of just the logistics 25 and all that. | latest research and things like that. There is a schedule they publish to every class and age group, et cetera. <br> MR. KLINE: So, at what point in time do the children start to depart? <br> MR. MANDAVA: My understanding is, again, from 3:30 p.m. onwards is when people start, 3:30 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. is when like majority of the pickups happen. And there is a -- and also the educational program also ends by 5:00 p.m. The academic activities, things like that. So then 0 like there's a few kids who are, like, you know, remaining after 5:00 p.m. which will get picked up between 5:00 p.m. and 6:30 p.m. <br> MR. KLINE: And those are -- I'm sorry. Does that involve the bus? No. There's no bus on that. That's 5 parents picking up. <br> MR. MANDAVA: That's correct, yeah. All the drop-offs to the school, Primrose School, and pickup from the Primrose School is by the parents. <br> MR. KLINE: Okay. So is there a separate bus run of 0 kids from after school, I'm sorry, from the public school to be delivered and then -- <br> MR. MANDAVA: Correct. <br> MR. KLINE: And that's how many? <br> MR. MANDAVA: 30 kids. <br> MR. KLINE: Okay. And what -- is there -- |
| MR. KLINE: Yeah. Okay. So, luncheon period could be of an extend -- how long a duration do you think would be devoted to lunch? <br> MR. MANDAVA: In my training I heard 45 minutes. <br> MR. KLINE: Okay. So, is there ever a situation where <br> you basically have all the kids in one room at one time for <br> a sit down or start of school or anything or do they just <br> stay in their own classrooms and their designated play areas? <br> MR. MANDAVA: I do not believe -- <br> HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, don't say it if you <br> don't know. If you think you know, say it. <br> MR. MANDAVA: There isn't a size of the -- there are infants who will not be moved to the rooms, like the other common rooms, and there is a -- from what I know that is not the case. <br> MR. KLINE: All right. Yeah. And do the floor plans show any common rooms or something like that? <br> MR. MANDAVA: There isn't a common room in the floor plan, yeah. It's all classrooms. <br> MR. KLINE: All right. So fair to say the same <br> sequence of activities occurs in the afternoon after lunch? <br> Maybe nap times? <br> MR. MANDAVA: Yeah, I just do not have the specificity <br> of the program. The programalso gets updated based on the | MR. CHEN: Pardon me. I apologize. <br> MR. KLINE: Go ahead. <br> MR. CHEN: I didn't understand what you were saying. <br> MR. KLINE: Yeah. Sure. My question was do we have <br> children coming after their normal public school activities <br> and at what time? <br> MR. MANDAVA: It's again depending on when the school <br> is closing, (inaudible) school is closing. <br> MR. KLINE: You mean public schools. <br> MR. MANDAVA: Public schools, yes. And again, based <br> on my own personal experience, like, you know, those times <br> change every year. So, whenever they are done with their <br> classes that's when they get picked up. <br> MR. CHEN: They what? <br> MR. MANDAVA: Whenever they are done with their school <br> day, that's when they get picked up. <br> MR. CHEN: Yeah. <br> MR. KLINE: And then they could remain until as late <br> as $6: 30$ when their parents pick them up. <br> MR. MANDAVA: They can, yeah. <br> MR. KLINE: And are those fixed hours or are those <br> just a range in which the parents are likely to pick them up? <br> MR. MANDAVA: The pickup there, allowed to pick up until the Primrose School closes. |
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| ask that question earlier about is the drop off and pickup space adequate for what's going on. You've got 44 parking spaces. <br> MR. MANDAVA: Yeah. <br> MR. KLINE: Please explain how you plan to allocate those parking spaces. <br> MR. MANDAVA: Sure. 44 parking spaces. We said like a maximum of 30 plus two staff, 32 . In reality it might be slightly less than that because it's 25 teachers, two administrators, a cook. So, each spot can accommodate in an hour, taking a ten minute turnaround time -- <br> MR. KLINE: You jumped ahead a little bit. <br> MR. MANDAVA: Yeah. <br> MR. KLINE: So, you got up to 30 plus two spaces. <br> MR. MANDAVA: 32, yeah. <br> MR. KLINE: Possibly a little bit more than that. <br> MR. MANDAVA: Yeah. <br> MR. KLINE: But if we just use that as a worst case scenario that means you have 12 spaces you're allocating for parent drop-off and pickup? <br> MR. MANDAVA: That's correct. 12 spaces. <br> MR. KLINE: Are they marked that way so that nobody -HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I'm sorry. How many spaces? MR. MANDAVA: 12. <br> HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: 12. | ```of the count and I just want to make sure that 5/9/2017 is the correct date of the count. MR. COOK: The actual dates, as I mentioned in my testimony, we had to go back and make some changes and the counts that we used originally -- HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Because they expired. MR. COOK: -- were more than a year old. HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Right. MR. COOK: So, for the most recent -- the final report that was done, those counts were dated in April of 2019. HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Now where -- does that date show up anywhere in Exhibit 63? MR. KLINE: Well, it was the last traffic impact analysis. MR. COOK: I thought there was only one day when there were counts. HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Let me ask -- keep going. Does the date of the count show up? MR. COOK: Yes, the date of the counts are in the appendix to the report. HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Oh, I see it. I see 4/24/2019. MR. COOK: Yes. HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Is that the most recent count?``` |
| MR. MANDAVA: Right. <br> MR. KLINE: That's the difference between 44 provided and 32 -- up to 32 committed. <br> MR. MANDAVA: Yeah. <br> MR. KLINE: So, will they be signed and marked so that they'll be reserved for parents with drop off and pick up? <br> MR. MANDAVA: Most certainly we can do that, yeah. <br> HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Mr. Kline, I really apologize. I had one -- I'm looking through -- I had a question on the traffic study. You thought you were done. No, it's really simple and can I just have -- <br> MR. KLINE: Sure. Oh, not a problem at all. <br> HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: -- Mr. Cook -- <br> MR. KLINE: Because he doesn't want to come back. <br> HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: I know. That's why I'm like I better catch him. I was trying not to interrupt but I -- <br> Mr. Cook, can you come up one second? I just want to verify something in the traffic study which is the date of the most recent traffic counts. Was it 5/9/2017? <br> MR. COOK: I think it was May. <br> HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: No, I know. I want to know if it's 5/9/2017. <br> MR. COOK: Because I'm looking in Exhibit 29 which was your first and it doesn't -- I looked at Exhibit 63 and I didn't see the date of the count, but 29 does have the date | MR. COOK: Yes, they are. Yes. <br> HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. I'm sorry. <br> MR. COOK: That's okay. <br> HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: That's all I wanted to <br> double check. <br> MR. CHEN: Okay. Excuse me. AmI entitled to quick follow up? <br> HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes. Yes. <br> MR. CHEN: I asked you, sir, how many times your team 10 was out there counting, and you gave us the May 17 date. <br> HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Is this a question? <br> MR. CHEN: Yes. Is there any -- you said there was <br> only one day that your team was out there doing counts. <br> MR. COOK: At that point we were talking about the <br> original study that we -- <br> HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Are you picking himup? <br> COURT REPORTER: I am, yes. <br> HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. <br> MR. COOK: But you asked me that question. We were <br> talking about the original traffic study that we did. <br> MR. CHEN: Maybe you were, I wasn't. <br> MR. COOK: Well, that's all we had talked about at <br> that point in time actually. <br> HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Well, just -- <br> MR. COOK: And we counted one day. But later in my |

```
testimony I mentioned that we had to go back and start over
again because the counts were more than a year old.
    MR. CHEN: So how many -- I don't care about anything
other than how many days was there a team out there
making -- getting counts?
        MR. COOK: There were cameras placed out there in 2017
and then again in 2019.
        MR. CHEN: So, there were two days when cameras
recorded traffic?
        MR. COOK: Correct.
        MR. CHEN: Okay. So that all of your data is based
upon two days of videotape or camera recordings?
        MR. COOK: We used the two -- for the gap study and
queuing study that we discussed --
        MR. CHEN: Yes.
        MR. COOK: -- we used the 2019 data because that was
the most recent because the 2017 was obsolete because it
was more than a year old.
        MR. CHEN: Okay. So, for all of the data that your
organization has provided, it's been based on those two
dates?
    MR. COOK: Yes.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. And the original study utilized the
May }17\mathrm{ counts.
        MR. COOK: Correct.
again because the counts were more than a year old.
MR. CHEN: So how many -- I don't care about anything
other than how many days was there a team out there
making -- getting counts?
MR. COOK: There were cameras placed out there in 2017
and then again in 2019.
MR. CHEN: So, there were two days when cameras
recorded traffic?
MR. COOK: Correct.
MR. CHEN: Okay. So that all of your data is based upon two days of videotape or camera recordings?
MR. COOK: We used the two -- for the gap study and MR. CHEN: Yes. MR. COOK: -- we used the 2019 data because that was
the most recent because the 2017 was obsolete because it
MR. CHEN: Okay. So, for all of the data that your
organization has provided, it's been based on those two
dates?
MR. COOK: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And the original study utilized the
May 17 counts.
```

        MR. CHEN: But the subsequent study or gap study was
    based upon the April 19 counts?
MR. COOK: Correct.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Are you done, Mr. Chen?
MR. CHEN: yes.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Any redirect?
MR. KLINE: And both of those practices were in
accordance with the LATR guidelines that was
established -- that this normal practice one day of
counting is adequate to comply with the LATR guidelines?
MR. COOK: That's correct.
MR. KLINE: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. I think you can be
excused now. I apologize for that.
MR. KLINE: Would you like to consider extending that
to all of us?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes, I'm thinking this might
be a good -- since I interrupted the flow, this might be a
good time just to end and we'll continue this case to
tomorrow at 9:30 a.m.
MR. KLINE: Thank you.
MR. CHEN: Thank you, Madam Examiner. Are we
concluding then? We're done?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: For today, yeah.
(Off the record 5:53 p.m.)
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MR. CHEN: But the subsequent study or gap study was
based upon the April 19 counts?
MR. COOK: Correct. HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Are you done, Mr. Chen? MR. CHEN: yes. HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Any redirect? MR. KLINE: And both of those practices were in
accordance with the LATR guidelines that was
established -- that this normal practice one day of
counting is adequate to comply with the LATR guidelines?
MR. COOK: That's correct.
MR. KLINE: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Okay. I think you can be
excused now. I apologize for that.
MR. KLINE: Would you like to consider extending that
to all of us?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: Yes, I'm thinking this might
be a good -- since I interrupted the flow, this might be a
good time just to end and we'll continue this case to

MR. KLINE: Thank you.
MR. CHEN: Thank you, Madam Examiner. Are we
concluding then? We're done?
HEARING EXAMINER HANNAN: For today, yeah.
(Off the record $5: 53$ p.m.)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & 283: 20, \quad 290: 16, \\ & 296: 2, \quad 301: 12 \\ & \text { aisle } \\ & 90: 17 \\ & \text { alarms } \\ & 13: 9 \\ & \text { albeit } \\ & 203: 22 \\ & \text { aligned } \\ & 274: 6 \\ & \text { alleviate } \\ & 193: 17 \\ & \text { allocate } \\ & 301: 5 \\ & \text { allocating } \\ & 301: 19 \\ & \text { allow } \\ & 9: 23, \quad 235: 1, \\ & 236: 19, \quad 248: 13 \\ & \text { allowed } \\ & 290: 9, \quad 296: 24 \\ & \text { alluded } \\ & 142: 5 \\ & \text { almost } \\ & 84: 20, \quad 90: 15, \\ & 91: 2, \quad 185: 7, \\ & 197: 22, \quad 235: 5 \\ & \text { along } \\ & 50: 10, \quad 50: 13, \\ & 50: 17, \quad 54: 13, \\ & 54: 19, \quad 88: 16, \\ & 98: 12, \quad 126: 6, \\ & 145: 12, \quad 148: 14, \\ & 149: 11, \quad 157: 8, \\ & 167: 2, \quad 168: 13, \\ & 168: 19, \quad 173: 16, \\ & 232: 20, \quad 233: 1, \\ & 233: 7, \quad 235: 4, \\ & 237: 23, \quad 244: 10, \\ & 245: 4, \quad 245: 7, \\ & 245: 8, \quad 260: 1 \\ & \text { already } \\ & 9: 1,73: 20, \\ & 80: 2, \quad 83: 8, \\ & 92: 22, \quad 100: 14, \\ & 102: 8, \quad 112: 7, \\ & 125: 3,150: 18, \\ & 158: 22, \quad 163: 11, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```195:9, 196:1, 199:13, 200:17, 202:25, 203:17, 231:13, 235:6, 245:16, 254:16, 259:20 average 109:10, 278:18 avoid 239:4 awaited 262:1 aware 21:7, 42:18, 56:16, 169:18 away 14:9, 33:16, 36:3, 49:9, 158:24, 246:12, 249:21, 282:9 awful 276:25``` <br> B <br> b $\begin{aligned} & 3: 9, \quad 5: 5, \quad 5: 17, \\ & 112: 22,151: 5 \\ & \text { b-i } \\ & 208: 14 \\ & \text { b-u-r-g-a } \\ & 266: 16 \\ & \text { bachelor's } \\ & 225: 3 \\ & \text { back } \\ & 11: 8, \quad 12: 12, \\ & 12: 13,17: 6, \\ & 17: 7,17: 10, \\ & 17: 17,20: 9, \\ & 26: 14, \quad 39: 3, \\ & 48: 1,48: 5, \\ & 53: 2,53: 6, \\ & 54: 15,55: 20, \\ & 58: 6,63: 6, \\ & 66: 3,73: 11, \\ & 80: 19,81: 3, \\ & 83: 23,87: 8, \\ & 91: 8,92: 9, \\ & 117: 11, \quad 117: 12, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 14:5 | 283:21 | five | 114:19, 288:12 |
| few | findings | 8:20, 20:22, | fluctuates |
| 49:11, 121:12, | 226:17 | 21:17, 29:10, | 260:25 |
| 264:25, 295:10 | fine | 52:24, 97:20, | flush |
| fewer | 8:18, 12:6, | 144:24, 164:16, | 285:12, 286:19 |
| 28:8, 31:19, | 19:22, 19:24, | 164:23, 165:9, | flushing |
| 139:23, 171:22 | 32:16, 50:23, | 205:1, 205:2, | 191:18 |
| field | 54:8, 57:8, | 273:2, 273:4, | fly |
| 36:3, 157:8, | 60:10, 72:2, | 273:8, 273:21, | 249:15 |
| 226:20, 248:21 | 74:22, 91:15, | 273:22, 273:24, | focused |
| fields | 92:23, 103:19, | 291:15 | 43:9, 45:2, |
| 225:1 | 123:9, 165:5, | five-foot | 238:8 |
| figure | 172:9, 178:4, | 203:16, 203:21 | folder |
| 67:17, 149:22, | 178:10, 182:2, | fixed | 61:14, 145:14 |
| 151:25, 152:4, | 200:11, 205:22, | 296:21, 297:10, | folders |
| 257:15, 288:1, | 209:8, 214:10, | 297:15, 298:10 | 68:21 |
| 291:14 | 238:20, 257:21, | flawed | follow |
| file | 259:2, 270:6 | 32:10 | 48:17, 49:7, |
| 61:21, 150:18, | finish | flip | 90:25, 175:15, |
| 180:16, 270:13 | 118:23, 252:11, | 95:11, 196:17, | 176:12, 176:15, |
| filed | 288:24 | 196:18 | 206:6, 236:14, |
| 10:24, 119:20 | finished | flipped | 304:7 |
| files | 51:2, 116:11, | 54:15 | follow-up |
| 68:18 | 152:6 | floating | 192:4, 206:8 |
| filing | fire | 80:7 | followed |
| 68:21, 271:2 | 135:18, 137:7 | floor | $226: 15$ |
| filings | firm | $23: 5,294: 17,$ | food |
| 149:25, 152:1 | 53:24, 67:5, | $294: 19$ | 289:13, 293:7, |
| final | 67:14, 120:13, | flow | 293:17 |
| 105:5, 157:13, | 121:20, 226:3 first | 89:8, 89:9, | foot |
| 160:6, 160:9, | first | 89:18, 89:20, | 14:16, 50:7, |
| 199:13, 201:6, | 7:9, 8:3, 8:13, | 94:18, 94:19, | 82:16, 91:19, |
| 303:9 | 21:6, 30:4, | 99:5, 106:5, | 91:24, 110:3, |
| finalized | 32:10, 83:23, | 113:14, 186:17, | 110:6, 127:10, |
| 79:17, 100:24, | 84:9, 95:12, | 196:4, 197:25, | 135:20, 166:7, |
| 100:25, 245:2 | 96:12, 96:24, | 198:18, 199:4, | 166:24, 167:25, |
| finally | $\begin{array}{ll} 121: 10, & 122: 23, \\ 122: 24 . & 124: 7 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lc} 233: 7, & 236: 1, \\ 278: 15 & 306 \cdot 18 \end{array}$ | $168: 7,168: 25,$ |
| $285: 7$ | 122:24, 124:7, | 278:15, 306:18 | 169:9, 170:2, |
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| 170:4, 170:24, | 233:18, 236:15, | 50:1, 55:21, | 235:25, 236:4, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 171:9, 171:14, | 237:14, 239:1, | 92:17, 106:4, | 236:7, 236:12, |
| 171:25, 172:22, | 240:23, 242:15, | 205:21, 209:20, | 236:13, 236:15, |
| 172:23, 173:16, | 252:22 | 212:12, 226:10 | 259:3, 305:13, |
| 175:1, 175:12, | foundation | frontage | 306:1 |
| 176:17, 177:11, | 28:23, 28:24, | 130:13, 133:16, | gaps |
| 177:17, 177:25, | 63:23, 143:14 | 244:10 | 233:7, 236:11, |
| 180:9, 197:13, | four | full | 236:18, 236:20, |
| 200:6, 203:18, | 21:21, 21:24, | 124:17, 197:12, | 237:14, 237:16, |
| 203:23, 204:24, | 21:25, 97:21, | 282:13, 284:8 | 252:24 |
| 205:11, 248:18 | 102:18, 102:20, | fully | garage |
| footage | 247:1, 272:25, | 184:19 | 15:21 |
| 47:11, 47:17 | 273:1, 299:19 | fumes | garbage |
| footprint | four-foot | 293:10 | 13:9, 13:13, |
| 145:10 | 166:15 | function | 13:14, 13:24, |
| forced | franchise | 267:14, 297:21 | 14:13, 14:16, |
| 188:21 | 265:14, 266:12 | funded | 35:14 |
| foregoing | franchisee | 83:3 | gas |
| 307:3, 308:3 | 220:16, 268:4, | funneling | 15:12, 17:11, |
| forest | 268:6, 268:8 | 85:15 | 18:24, 26:20, |
| 48:3, 135:11, | franchising | further | $31: 7,32: 10$, |
| 135:12, 136:19, | 265:6, 268:3 | 26:11, 30:13, | $32: 18,33: 2$ |
| 136:22, 136:25, | franchisor | 30:16, 131:3, | gave |
| 137:5, 137:17, | 268:5, 268:14 | 131:18, 135:21, | 20:18, 21:17, |
| 137:22, 138:5, | franklin | 138:22, 159:19, | 42:3, 43:9, |
| 138:6, 138:9, | 221:17 | 166:4, 168:1, | 61:5, 62:20, |
| 138:11, 139:2, | frankly | 185:3, 185:5, | 65:19, 143:20, |
| 140:8, 140:16, | 11:13 | 192:23, 193:24, | 152:25, 168:4, |
| 141:10, 142:3, | free | 194:18, 195:22, | 169:6, 180:19, |
| 159:1, 160:9 | 34:3 | 206:1, 219:23, | 221:14, 237:3, |
| forget | freely | 220:20, 234:9, | 257:15, 304:10 |
| 285:17 | 249:19 | 242:24, 246:16, | general |
| forgive | frequently | 259:17, 261:3 | $177: 1, \quad 177: 2,$ |
| 62:3 | 276:20 | furthest | $251: 25,252: 8,$ |
| forgot | friday | 14:9, 249:21 | 284:22 |
| 204:21, 267:9, | 8:7, 9:24, | future | generally |
| 268:23 | $12: 22,12: 25$ | 12:1, 229:20, | 79:16, 235:11 |
| form | $32: 14,62: 20,$ | 265:3 | generate |
| 33:15, 33:19, | 118:13 | G | 230:4 |
| 61:5, 120:21 | friend |  | generated |
| forms $121: 15$ | 211:10, 215:23, | 5:10, 5:24, | $\begin{array}{ll} 229: 9, & 229: 23, \\ 230: 2, & 230: 15, \end{array}$ |
|  | 266:25 | 94:8, 151:16, | $252: 18$ |
|  | friends | 162:11 | generation |
| $\begin{aligned} & 54: 16, \quad 66: 4, \\ & 186: 13, \quad 231: 16 \end{aligned}$ | 211:3 | g-r-a-t-e | generation $229: 15,250: 7,$ |
| forward | 17:17, 23:11, | gap | 257:13 |
| 11:19 | $27: 1,27: 21,$ | 38:16, 233:6, | generic |
| found | 48:4, 49:8, | 38.16, 233:6, | 269:16 |
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|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 22, \quad 28: 1, \\ & 36: 13, \quad 54: 13, \\ & 63: 13, \quad 76: 10, \\ & 84: 6, \quad 85: 2, \\ & 85: 4, \quad 94: 20, \\ & 96: 19, \quad 105: 8, \\ & 109: 22, \quad 112: 7, \\ & 115: 18, \quad 119: 12, \\ & 119: 24, \quad 124: 17, \\ & 131: 21, \quad 137: 20, \\ & 148: 22, \quad 148: 23, \\ & 158: 18, \quad 159: 18, \\ & 175: 19, \quad 187: 15, \\ & 187: 17, \quad 196: 22, \\ & 206: 25, \quad 231: 5, \\ & 231: 11, \quad 243: 3, \\ & 247: 23, \quad 249: 7, \\ & 274: 9, \quad 281: 3, \\ & 283: 24, \quad 286: 11, \\ & 287: 4, \quad 301: 2, \\ & 301: 14 \\ & \text { governed } \\ & 276: 1 \\ & \text { governing } \\ & 99: 8 \\ & \text { government } \\ & 228: 12 \\ & \text { gracefully } \\ & 241: 7 \\ & \text { grade } \\ & 297: 5 \\ & \text { grades } \\ & 260: 12 \\ & \text { gradient } \\ & 99: 11, \quad 99: 13, \\ & 99: 14, \quad 99: 15 \\ & \text { grading } \\ & 5: 23,5: 24, \\ & 151: 15, \quad 151: 16 \\ & \text { graduated } \\ & 108: 18 \\ & \text { graduation } \\ & 298: 19, ~ 298: 23, \\ & 299: 23, \quad 300: 13 \\ & \text { grand } \\ & 238: 12, \quad 238: 22 \\ & \text { grant } \\ & 172: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| granted | groups | guy | hadn't |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9:23, 184:9 | 272:17, 277:11, | 252:5 | 132:24, 265:18 |
| graph | 279:9, 279:15, | H | half |
| 288:13 | 290:1, 292:5, | h | 81:14, 81:15, |
| grass | 292:11, 292:12, | 5:11, 6:3, | 81:22, 81:24, |
| 89:7 | 292:14, 297:19 | 151:17, 162:13 | 82:1, 82:16, |
| grate | grove | had | 82:22, 91:8, |
| 85:24, 86:1, | 263:13 | 8:11, 9:4, | 95:4, 203:11, |
| 86:2, 86:3, | grow | 10:14, 10:20, | 236:8 |
| 86:7, 86:8, | 274:12, 274:18 | 10:25, 19:10, | hampshire |
| 86:11, 86:12, | growing | 20:15, 21:13, | 15:10, 26:15, |
| 86:13, 86:14, | 274:5 | 22:6, 24:25, | 27:22, 28:14, |
| 86:16, 86:20, | growth | 26:4, 27:6, | 31:19, 31:22 |
| 87:21, 88:14, | 230:19, 230:24, | $28: 15, \quad 30: 3$ | hand |
| 91:8, 91:18, | 251:7 | $31: 19, \quad 32: 4,$ | 62:8, 62:9, |
| 91:23 | guarantee | $36: 23,37: 20,$ | 120:5, 122:13, |
| gravel | 105:5 | $38: 1,48: 17,$ | 152:21, 153:10, |
| 91:6 | guaranteed | $53: 14,55: 23$, | $207: 13, ~ 208: 21, ~$ |
| gravity | 52:2 | 60:10, 60:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 216: 23, ~ 221: 8, \\ & 235: 8, ~ 242: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 94:18, $94: 19$ great | guaranteeing | $62: 7,64: 7$, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l\|l} 235: 8, \quad 242: 14, \\ 261: 12, \quad 293: 16, \end{array}\right.$ |
| great 125:9, 210:2 | 234:19 | 121:8, 123:22, | $307: 8$ |
| greater | guess <br> 13:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 18, \quad 144: 18, \\ & 147: 7, \quad 152: 20, \end{aligned}$ | handing |
| 19:9, 27:19, | 48:8, 55:8, | 153:1, 158:5, | 118:7, 125:7 |
| 135:24, 236:13, | 60:15, 62:20, | 158:14, 159:3, | handle |
| 237:20 | 69:11, 83:23, | 159:6, 160:8, | 11:16, 201:2, |
| green | 117:15, 156:9, | 160:10, 165:1, | 232:13, 234:1, |
| 87:11, 87:25, | 157:14, 163:19, | 180:20, 181:1, | 256:5, 275:18, |
| 88:6, 89:5, | 189:4, 191:21, | 182:15, 183:22, | 275:24, 275:25 |
| 91:9, 239:20 | 205:17, 245:13, | 184:3, 184:21, | handled |
| grilling | 268:18, 272:2, | 189:5, 194:23, | 272:2 |
| 118:5 | 275:14, 283:15, | 201:14, 201:15, | hands |
| ground | 286:8 | 202:6, 202:10, | 64:7 |
| 94:15, 192:18, | guessing | 202:22, 202:24, | happen |
| 262:12, 276:18 | 116:6, 286:7 | 204:1, 209:11, | 41:5, 101:24, |
| group | guidance | 217:2, 217:3, | 108:2, 234:16, |
| 156:2, 221:17, | 244:2, 271:15 | 223:11, 231:15, | 295:7, 299:3 |
| 257:22, 257:24, | guideline | 231:18, 231:19, | happened |
| 273:4, 274:17, | 284:23 | 232:23, 233:10, | 18:15, 27:20, |
| 289:11, 289:18, | guidelines | 233:12, 233:15, | 54:19, 148:9 |
| 289:19, 289:21, | 227:3, 228:20, | 236:22, 238:2, | happening |
| 291:16, 292:3, | 228:23, 229:25, | 238:8, 241:9, | 26:7, 105:12, |
| 295:2, 298:6, | 240:2, 252:14, | 241:11, 247:5, | 114:25, 176:9, |
| 299:18, 299:19, | 306:8, 306:10 | 265:22, 270:8, | 231:17, 263:12, |
| 299:22, 299:24, | gut | 287:18, 302:9, | 277:1 |
| 300:2 | 28:25 | 303:4, 304:22, | happens |
| groupings | gutter | 305:1 | $22: 4,47: 23$ |
| 297:11, 297:16 | 23:14, 260:5 |  | 68:13, 92:4, |
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| $\begin{aligned} & 102: 6, \quad 103: 8, \\ & 159: 17, \quad 191: 18, \\ & 197: 20, \quad 206: 19, \\ & 273: 21, \quad 298: 4 \end{aligned}$ <br> happy <br> 276:6 <br> hard $\begin{aligned} & 36: 18, \quad 198: 2, \\ & 199: 16 \end{aligned}$ <br> has <br> 10:22, 11:12, <br> 22:14, 25:7, <br> 25:8, 26:5, <br> 28:23, 46:13, <br> 53:25, 54:9, <br> 55:14, 57:11, <br> 58:20, 59:18, <br> 66:21, 67:14, <br> 68:2, 68:8, <br> 68:20, 68:23, <br> 69:18, 75:22, <br> $76: 16,79: 11$, <br> 84:7, 84:23, <br> 90:14, 92:17, <br> 99:9, 106:22, <br> 110:2, 110:3, <br> 111:15, 113:17, <br> 116:2, 117:3, <br> 117:6, 125:3, <br> 127:16, 133:16, <br> 142:6, 143:10, <br> 150:2, 151:22, <br> 152:2, 152:19, <br> 152:20, 154:9, <br> 155:12, 162:11, <br> 162:13, 170:15, <br> 171:14, 171:15, <br> 177:16, 177:18, <br> 180:15, 184:17, <br> 184:25, 204:12, <br> 212:7, 218:24, <br> 218:25, 225:5, <br> 225:6, 225:10, <br> 248:2, 248:3, <br> 250:10, 253:24, <br> 254:2, 272:2, <br> 274:23, 276:2, <br> 277:10, 287:4, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```162:18, 164:1, 168:8, 174:8, 176:11, 179:13, 181:8, 186:22, 187:24, 194:15, 198:2, 198:3, 198:5, 198:11, 198:17, 199:14, 206:21, 207:7, 207:8, 207:12, 210:2, 210:6, 210:16, 245:20, 260:21, 261:23, 268:22, 269:11, 286:13, 297:6, 297:23 here's 18:10, 122:19, 153:24, 217:1 hereby 307:3, 308:2 hereunto 307:8 herndon 261:22 hey 46:3 hgl 99:8, 99:9, 99:16, 99:17, 110:3 hh 5:15, 124:3, 124:22 hh-a 124:18 high 37:5, 38:2, 38:8, 38:11, 38:17, 75:13, 261:2 higher 28:7, 28:17, 98:15, 201:4 highest 22:5, 22:6, 291:21, 291:22 highlight 297:20``` |  | 144:16, 152:20, 155:6, 172:1, <br> 179:19, 202:12, <br> 204:6, 204:7, <br> 211:15, 222:1, <br> 243:3, 247:11, <br> 247:12, 248:7, <br> 248:20, 252:9, <br> 267:2, 267:5, <br> 288:19 <br> history <br> 9:17, 144:1 <br> hit <br> 242:18 <br> hold <br> 36:21, 111:5, <br> 143:21, 189:16, <br> 189:18, 267:16 <br> holding <br> 267:19, 267:20, <br> 267:24 <br> hole <br> 86:10 <br> holidays <br> 48:24 <br> home <br> 23:9, 23:10, <br> 49:1, 112:24, <br> 210:22, 210:24, <br> 211:8, 217:13, <br> 260:23, 274:15 <br> homebuyers <br> 33:25 <br> homes <br> 33:23, 33:25, <br> 36:20, 41:4, <br> 41:9, 44:2, <br> 45:3, 108:7, <br> 109:8, 199:6, <br> 199:7 <br> honest <br> 60:22, 283:19 <br> honor <br> 165:6 <br> hookup <br> 182:5, 182:20 <br> hookups <br> 190:16 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 47:12, 47:13, | I | ignored | 271:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47:23, 48:2, | i'll | 238:10 | imposition |
| 49:15, 49:17, | 27:11, 54:21, | ii | 27:19 |
| 49:18, 49:20, | 78:9, 85:5, | 119:7, 119:9, | improve |
| 50:4, 51:24, | 104:24, 117:3, | 120:5 | 178:15, 200:15, |
| 113:16, 189:7, | 117:24, 118:18, | illumination | 223:25, 244:16 |
| 202:12, 212:13 | 118:19, 124:13, | 13:23 | improved |
| house-looking | 139:6, 143:17, | illustrated | 180:6, 180:8 |
| 56:1 | 143:19, 145:20, | 175:6 | improvement |
| houses | 153:5, 155:22, | immediately | 231:2, 231:3 |
| 15:2, 19:14, | 207:9, 223:21, | 27:2, 174:24, | improvements |
| 22:22, 25:18, | 226:1, 238:9, | 209:25 | 13:12, 59:17, |
| 25:21, 25:22, | 238:20, 240:17, | impact | 178:23, 178:24, |
| 27:21, 28:5, | 247:14, 247:20, | 14:18, 19:7, | 200:1, 200:8, |
| 33:18, 34:6, | 248:4, 248:6, | 19:10, 19:13, | 231:3, $244: 7$ |
| 35:25, 39:15, | 248:8, 248:24, | 20:11, 21:9, | in-fill |
| 43:1, 44:15, | 249:9, 251:23, | 22:8, 22:20, | 25:2 |
| 44:20, 46:25, | 252:9, 252:11, | 23:23, 25:11, | in-fills |
| 47:6, 47:10, | 276:19, 276:23, | 38:21, 39:2, | 25:20 |
| 47:11, 47:12, | 276:24, 292:23 | 44:20, 44:23, | inbound |
| 47:15, 47:16, | i've | 45:9, 45:22, | 145:14 |
| 47:17, 47:21, | 10:21, 12:8, | 45:23, 46:24, | inch |
| 47:24, 48:13, | 19:22, 23:22, | 136:20, 193:22, | 82:8, 82:10, |
| 49:1, 49:9, | 32:19, 85:2, | 224:14, 226:4, | 94:11, 94:20, |
| 49:17, 51:25, | 85:4, 108:17, | 226:19, 230:13, | 96:8, 96:13, |
| 209:22 | 113:10, 119:12, | 260:4, 283:23, | 96:14, 96:15, |
| housing | 124:17, 144:23, | 303:13 | 97:3, 97:14, |
| 19:6 | 151:23, 180:25, | impacting | 97:23, 98:21, |
| howard | 187:17, 204:7, | 160:14 | 105:20, 105:24, |
| 247:4 | 204:9, 223:5, | impacts | 106:2, 109:16, |
| however | 223:8, 247:23, | 47: 4 | 183:19, 193:2, |
| 85:9, 116:25, | 252:5, 276:12 | impeach | 241:23 |
| 121:3 | icy | 214:8, 214:9 | inches |
| hughes | 253:21 | impermeable | 94:23, 97:7, |
| 65:11, 65:16, | idea | 67:18, 68:3, | 99:1, 110:23, |
| 66:5, 66:11, | 86:15, 86:16, | 68:24, 69:25 | 111:22, 141:15, |
| 148:11 | 87:6, 265:2, | impervious | 142:21, 142:23 |
| huh | 290:1 | 109:9 | include |
| 222:11 | identified | imperviousness | 147:11, 229:5, |
| hundreds | 10:25, 11:1, | 89:3, 108:7, | 257:19 |
| 223:5 | 11:9, 77:23, | 124:9, 188:17, | includes |
| hurt | 105:21, 106:14, | 201:3 | 29:3 |
| 38:20 | 119:21, 150:2 | implementing | including |
| hurting | identify | 243:11 | 143:24, 254:21, |
| 206:17 | 7:14, 89:12 | implying | 263:12, 264:25 |
| hydraulic | identifying | 108:22, 109:1 | income |
| 99:11, 99:13 | 9:20 | important | 28:6 |
| hypothetical |  | 15:3, 15:5, | inconsistency |
| 172:20, 189:6 |  |  | 172: 4 |
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|  | ```issue 17:12, 37:21, 136:23 issued 269:22 issues 41:21, 224:2, 224:12, 244:13, 254:21, 254:22, 269:15 ite 251:3, 257:3, 258:18, 260:20 items 128:10, 184:22 iteration 147:17 iterations 143:16, 145:14 its 69:25, 125:10, 145:17, 185:16, 217:9, 229:7, 298:1, 307:7, 308:9 itself 69:20, 83:15, 142:18, 145:21, 149:5, 167:7, 192:19, 199:10, 200:23, 273:18 it's 260:6 ivan 59:12 i'm \(62: 5,70: 4\) j 6:6, 151:18, 163:22 j-a-k-k-a-m-p-u-- d-i 208:10 jakkampudi 4:7, 207:16, 207:19, 207:23,``` |  | 201:24 <br> jesus <br> $46: 2$ <br> job <br> $1: 23, \quad 43: 21$, <br> $224: 9, \quad 283: 23$ <br> jobs <br> $224: 25$ <br> jody <br> $3: 7, \quad 7: 16$, <br> $53: 14, \quad 66: 8$, <br> $124: 3, \quad 129: 19$, <br> $146: 4$ <br> jolly <br> $62: 19, \quad 118: 13$ <br> jr <br> $3: 16, \quad 3: 17$ <br> jskline@millerca- <br> nby <br> $3: 13$ <br> judge <br> $248: 7$ <br> judgment <br> $28: 22, \quad 29: 12$, <br> $29: 13, \quad 29: 15$, <br> $29: 24$ <br> jump <br> $103: 8$ <br> jumped <br> $301: 12$ <br> jumping <br> $66: 3, \quad 270: 6$ <br> jumps <br> $125: 20, \quad 129: 8$ <br> jurisdiction <br> $114: 13, \quad 115: 13$ <br> jurisdictions <br> $56: 21, \quad 231: 7$ <br> justification <br> $252: 7$ <br>  <br> k-o-d-a-1-i <br> $266: 17$ <br> katherine <br> $146: 24$ <br> keep <br> $12: 2, \quad 33: 7$, <br> 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & 290: 10, \quad 291: 15, \\ & 291: 18, \quad 293: 19, \\ & 294: 6, \quad 295: 10, \\ & 295: 20, \quad 295: 24 \end{aligned}$ <br> kind <br> 24:3, 38:24, 60:23, 111:11, 170:13, 178:12, 193:22, 197:24, 198:5, 199:25, 213:15, 214:7, 227:17, 262:6, 262:12, 263:8, 263:12, 263:17, 263:19, 263:24, 264:16, 269:12, 271:5, 272:16, 272:17, 273:4, 273:9, 274:5, 274:22, 277:23, 288:11, 289:11, 289:24, 297:3, 298: 6 <br> kindercare $265: 19$ <br> kinds $224: 25$ <br> kirkston 22:1 <br> kitchen 293:9, 293:10, 293:11 <br> klaus $20: 13,22: 10$ <br> kline's $10: 22,51: 10,$ 147:21, 172:24, <br> 224:15 <br> knew <br> 238:1, 288:19 knocking 139:23 knowledge 150:7, 150:8 knows 172:10 kodali 266:14, 266:16, |  | $142: 25, \quad 148: 12$, $151: 18, \quad 151: 19$, $154: 5, \quad 155: 25$, $166: 17, \quad 168: 18$, $169: 9, \quad 177: 17$ landscaped $142: 24$ landscaping $14: 6, \quad 65: 7$, $166: 8, \quad 168: 1$, $168: 17, \quad 168: 25$, $170: 19, \quad 170: 20$, $170: 23, \quad 170: 25$, $172: 6, \quad 178: 1$, $205: 15, \quad 211: 22$ lane $19: 5, \quad 26: 23$, $26: 24, \quad 230: 21$, $235: 2, \quad 235: 7$, $235: 8, \quad 235: 9$, $235: 13, \quad 240: 3$, $243: 2, \quad 247: 4$ lanes $235: 4, \quad 235: 5$, $235: 6, \quad 235: 13$, $236: 3$ $l$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 77:19, 96:6, | 105:13, 106:22, | 82:16, 98:18, | 78:13, 92:22, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97:8, 97:22, | 185:19, 235:12, | 98:22, 133:16, | 97:14, 117:10, |
| 97:24, 98:17, | 236:6, 245:18, | 197:12, 258:10 | 117:11, 127:14, |
| 98:19, 98:21, | 276:7, 288:17 | lengthy | 129:17, 150:6, |
| 122:20, 127:17, | leave | 205:10 | 150:24, 161:5, |
| 149:2, 149:12, | 54:21, 55:18, | less | 182:5, 187:6, |
| 150:7, 150:21, | 115:7, 145:8, | 16:22, 16:23, | 223:23, 244:14, |
| 162:18, 163:18, | 189:10, 207:5, | 19:7, 20:18, | 257:7, 274:9, |
| 210:19, 254:21, | 227:25, 253:23, | 21:17, 23:16, | 277:11, 281:25 |
| 266:17, 278:11, | 255:21, 256:3, | 24:20, 47:19, | letter |
| 279:13, 303:13 | 278:22, 280:3, | 67:25, 101:16, | 65:10, 65:17, |
| late | 282:18, 286:4, | 101:18, 109:7, | 65:21, 66:5, |
| 116:24, 287:5, | 297:7 | 160:15, 167:1, | 66:11, 147:21, |
| 296:18 | leaves | 191:6, 233:19, | 148:11 |
| later | 200:3 | 234:8, 246:3, | letters |
| 60:19, 147:4, | leaving | 281:7, 299:7, | 64:20, 181:9 |
| 192:6, 195:24, | 132:20, 284:1, | 299:9, 301:9 | letting |
| 304:25 | 285:20, 285:21, | let | 80:6 |
| latest | 286:13 | 7:9, 12:4, | level |
| 295:1 | led | 16:13, 17:16, | 19:6, 22:21, |
| latr | 80:18, 137:12, | 23:19, 40:10, | 239:2, 262:12, |
| 228:20, 252:14, | 265:4 | 42:1, 42:16, | 271:11, 271:13, |
| 254:10, 306:8, | lee | 46:21, 48:14, | 288:4 |
| 306:10 | 2:15, 307:2, | 51:17, 64:22, | levels |
| law | 307:12 | 65:8, 72:4, | 28:6, 238:21 |
| 3:17, 218:18, | left | 87:11, 112:18, | liability |
| 241:24 | 8:7, 48:1, | 112:21, 117:3, | 213:12, 213:14, |
| layhill | 48:2, 95:24, | $125: 9,128: 5,$ | 213:16, 215:9 |
| 185:23, 185:24, | 118:14, 122:3, | 140:16, 147:25, | liable |
| 247:2, 287:17 | 138:22, 233:8, | 155:9, 156:5, | 270:8 |
| laying | 233:9, 235:8, | 157:19, 166:25, | license |
| 224:11 | 235:9, 236:7, | 180:15, 186:22, | 269:8, 269:17, |
| laymen | 236:16, 236:21, | 187:17, 187:22, | 269:18, 269:20, |
| 233:21 | 236:23, 237:8, | 192:16, 196:11, | 269:21, 270:14, |
| layout | 237:13, 245:25, | 216:11, 228:10, | 275:25 |
| 249:3 | 274:4 | 240:19, 247:15, | licensing |
| layouts | left-hand | 247:20, 248:22, | 271:1, 271:12, |
| $67: 15$ | 125:16, 235:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 249: 18,252: 8, \\ & 272 \cdot 7.284 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | $271: 22,272: 15$ |
| leading | $243: 2$ | 272:7, 284:3, | life |
| 42:9, 54:6, | left-turn | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 284: 7, \quad 287: 13, \\ & 288: 23, \quad 290: 23, \end{aligned}\right.$ | $29: 14,32: 20,$ |
| 275:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 235: 9 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $300: 25, \quad 303: 17$ | 117:3 |
| leafed | legal | $300: 25,303: 17$ \|let's | light |
| 77:23 | $7: 20,12: 9,$ | let's $\text { 11:18, } 14: 19,$ | $33: 12,212: 11$ |
| learning | $232: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 18, \\ & 15: 17, \\ & 15: 9, \end{aligned}$ | lights |
| $\begin{aligned} & 273: 21,273: 23, \\ & 274: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { legibility } \\ & \text { 125:10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 17, ~ 17: 9, \\ & 18: 18, ~ 21: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 23, \quad 23: 13, \\ & 39: 8, \quad 39: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| least | length | 23:1, 35:7, | likelihood |
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| likely | 259:20 | 215:14, 217:17 | 159:23, 160:6, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 26:7, 145:3, | 191:15, 258:10 | 210:19, 264:2 | 160:25, 161:2, |
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|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 104: 5, \quad 104: 10, \\ & 173: 2, \quad 192: 13, \\ & 195: 15, \quad 233: 24, \\ & 234: 13, \quad 270: 3, \\ & 277: 11, \quad 280: 1, \\ & 285: 16, \quad 301: 19 \\ & \text { meant } \\ & 34: 16, \quad 131: 15, \\ & 199: 10, \quad 263: 7 \\ & \text { measured } \\ & 14: 16, \quad 120: 25, \\ & 133: 22, \quad 157: 9, \\ & 157: 10, \quad 159: 8 \\ & \text { measurement } \\ & 133: 25, \quad 158: 17 \\ & \text { measurements } \\ & 121: 15, \quad 121: 16, \\ & 121: 20 \\ & \text { measures } \\ & 193: 17, \quad 200: 14 \\ & \text { measuring } \\ & 35: 15, \quad 102: 23 \\ & \text { meet } \\ & 138: 11, \quad 190: 14, \\ & 206: 21, \quad 271: 12 \\ & \text { meeting } \\ & 127: 17, \quad 179: 4, \\ & 179: 18, \quad 179: 24, \\ & 179: 25, \quad 180: 1, \\ & 180: 3, \quad 180: 4, \\ & 180: 14, \quad 181: 5, \\ & 181: 12, \quad 181: 17, \\ & 190: 3, \quad 209: 11 \\ & \text { meetings } \\ & 232: 17 \\ & \text { meets } \\ & 136: 11, \quad 178: 11, \\ & 246: 22 \\ & \text { members } \\ & 249: 22 \\ & \text { memory } \\ & 49: 23, \quad 95: 11, \\ & 144: 11 \\ & \text { mentioned } \\ & 13: 16, \quad 14: 25, \\ & 23: 1, \\ & 148: 10,172: 20, \\ & 179: 17, \quad 224: 9, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```270:9, 277:19, 287:4, 298:8, 299:4, 299:19, 300:10, 301:8, 306:17, 306:18 miles 281:7 mill 27:22, 231:25, 239:20, 244:12, 254:14, 254:25 miller 3:8 milling 259:21, 260:6 mind 35:24, 141:4, 223:17, 275:22, 282:3, 299:5 mine 18:10, 21:2, 21:3, 67:22, 153:2, 153:24, 155:14, 211:3, 211:10 minimal 135:16 minimize 244:24 minimum 94:22, 136:12, 138:11, 138:13, 168:19, 259:5, 259:7 minor 48:21, 146:20, 197:25 minus 144:24 minute 12:9, 12:10, 43:6, 52:16, 52:25, 61:2, 61:3, 72:20, 78:24, 164:16, 166:21, 174:10, 217:2, 254:20, 289:1, 292:13,``` | ```301:11 minutes 117:4, 117:11, 121:12, 128:7, 164:23, 165:9, 165:10, 165:11, 180:2, 181:14, 181:18, 181:23, 201:24, 256:1, 278:19, 278:20, 278:21, 287:10, 289:16, 290:20, 292:2, 292:15, 292:16, 294:4 misleading 13:4, 82:13 mispronunciation 215:2 missed 259:10, 297:24 missing 18:2 misspeak 260:21 misspelling 215:2 misspoke 133:8 mistake 158:6, 159:25, 183:10 mistaken 183:7, 244:12 misunderstood 158:16 mitchell 85:11 mitigate 13:22 mitigation 193:22 mixed 63:13 mobility 17:1 modified 251:4 mom 248:13``` |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```277:6, 302:7, 302:19, 303:9, 303:24, 305:17 mot 100:20 motion 9:22 move 11:19, 246:12, 246:16 moved 19:15, 161:2, 210:25, 294:14 movement 227:14, 235:10, 237:7, 245:14 movements 252:24 moving 242:24 much 15:13, 16:12, 17:3, 18:11, 19:9, 19:10, 23:8, 27:18, 38:16, 54:8, 77:11, 88:17, 88:18, 103:18, 118:6, 174:4, 193:24, 206:13, 224:8, 227:21, 229:8, 238:8, 238:24, 245:13, 246:4, 246:6, 253:20, 255:7, 259:3, 260:3, 262:1 multiple 118:17, 135:10, 151:25, 205:5, 221:25, 251:14 muncaster 231:25, 239:20, 244:12, 254:14 municipal 223: 6 must 60:11, 69:16,``` | ```227:1, 267:10, 287:15, 287:17 myriad 173:9 myself 92:8, 182:25, 183:12``` N name 7:16, 7:19, 54:16, 59:11, 74:7, 85:11, 201:16, 204:6, 204:7, 204:21, 207:17, 207:18, 207:19, 208:8, 214:16, 214:24, 218:1, 221:14, 223:15, 238:12, 261:18, 266:17, 266:20, 269:9, 269:25 named 215:1, 215:20 names 184:1 nap 289:13, 294:23 narrative 13:7 narrow 56:2, 249:14 national 226:24 nature 15:16, 224:15, 226:22, 242:20, 272:7, 300:9, 300:10 navigate 100:22 near 116:9, 173:18, 173:19 nearby 262:14 nearly 116:17 | ```necessarily 225:3 necessary 9:11, 171:16, 200:14 need 9:22, 36:12, 52:21, 58:12, 66:24, 71:7, 74:22, 78:2, 81:24, 94:6, 95:11, 103:18, 110:14, 111:20, 116:25, 122:8, 127:13, 133:11, 135:18, 138:9, 138:11, 140:8, 149:14, 158:13, 162:8, 164:7, 164:11, 164:14, 165:9, 167:20, 170:18, 178:2, 178:18, 182:17, 189:8, 194:22, 203:7, 227:7, 227:22, 229:4, 234:21, 236:5, 263:17, 265:2, 279:16, 280:8, 285:17, 285:19, 293:13, 297:6, 297:23 needed 12:6, 258:11, 267:3 needs 9:9, 82:12, 159:1, 173:10, 189:17, 189:18, 195:12, 206:14, 228:9, 249:23, 260:3 needwood 6:7, 6:8, 7:12, 20:21, 22:19, 25:9, 26:6, 56:3, 85:12, 126:10, 130:5,``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```96:19, 103:24, 110:22, 164:2, 224:14, 230:5, 230:16, 232:23, 260:9 newest 47:7 next 14:1, 14:19, 19:16, 19:18, 20:20, 32:18, 33:2, 33:10, 34:6, 36:3, 39:8, 71:6, 118:7, 124:2, 129:24, 166:1, 206:22, 212:24, 221:2, 226:23, 227:24, 229:21, 264:17, 274:12, 285:12, 286:19 nice 73:23, 210:15, 211:22, 211:23, 262:13 night 300:20, 300:23 nine 223:9 nobody 301:22 noise 14:2, 14:5, 14:8, 14:13, 36:2, 39:9, 39:12, 66:4 non 113:15 non-compliant 113:11 non-residential 249:1 non-rough 291:14 none 9:3, 86:24, 110:10 nonetheless 133:25, 154:9``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { noon } \\ & 284: 25, \quad 285: 6 \\ & \text { nor } \\ & 246: 5, \quad 307: 5, \\ & 308: 7 \\ & \text { normal } \\ & 9: 5, \quad 10: 7, \\ & 10: 24, \quad 11: 2, \\ & 253: 14, \quad 258: 25, \\ & 296: 5, \quad 306: 9 \\ & \text { normally } \\ & 10: 10, \quad 10: 14, \\ & 109: 7, \quad 109: 8, \\ & 175: 11, \quad 227: 4, \\ & 229: 3, \quad 232: 11, \\ & 236: 2, \quad 242: 19, \\ & 248: 3, \quad 248: 11, \\ & 255: 25, \quad 259: 4, \\ & 259: 8, \quad 272: 9, \\ & 287: 16, \quad 292: 22 \\ & \text { north } \\ & 19: 3, \quad 26: 20, \\ & 26: 23, \quad 27: 2, \\ & 27: 20, \quad 54: 15, \\ & 84: 22, \quad 85: 22, \\ & 87: 10, \quad 88: 16, \\ & 90: 11, \quad 90: 13, \\ & 91: 1, \quad 96: 2, \\ & 141: 4, \quad 196: 23, \\ & 241: 2, \quad 241: 3 \\ & \text { northbound } \\ & 237: 8, \quad 240: 19, \\ & 241: 4 \\ & \text { northeast } \\ & 132: 10, \quad 133: 17, \\ & 136: 14, \quad 136: 18, \\ & 137: 12, \quad 137: 24, \\ & 138: 5, \quad 188: 5, \\ & 241: 1 \\ & \text { northeastern } \\ & 134: 3, \quad 182: 6 \\ & \text { northern } \\ & 56: 22 \\ & \text { northernmost } \\ & 139: 16 \\ & \text { northwest } \\ & 89: 5, \quad 90: 12, \\ & 158: 1, \quad 158: 21, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```objection 9:16, 35:1, 41:20, 51:3, 125:1, 125:4, 137:25, 143:12, 165:6, 181:22, 214:5, 238:16, 247:11, 247:17, 248:5, 248:17, 249:7, 249:10, 250:3 obligation 199:24 observation 212:8 observe 212:13 obsolete 305:17 obviously 150:19, 241:10, 265:8, 265:13, 268:25, 298:6, 299:16 occasions 221:25 occur 25:2, 51:4, 51:16, 238:11 occurred 118:22 occurs 294:22 october 80:23, 104:21, 148:10, 151:13, 152:21, 153:25 of-way 201:7 off 12:11, 22:25, 48:10, 50:9, 50:12, 50:22, 52:25, 53:1, 76:5, 107:23, 115:5, 118:2, 139:15, 139:23, 150:21, 150:24,``` | ```151:2, 151:3, 157:19, 160:17, 164:19, 165:8, 165:13, 167:6, 167:21, 173:10, 230:11, 244:22, 246:6, 247:3, 248:24, 249:21, 252:24, 256:4, 260:4, 260:6, 260:7, 260:17, 277:22, 297:14, 301:1, 302:6, 306:25 off-site 62:16, 74:10, 74:15, 74:17, 76:7, 78:1, 78:9, 78:10, 78:14, 84:16, 187:10, 187:11, 230:13, 300:19 offer 53:21, 168:18, 222:2 offered 66:21 offering 124:14 office 1:1, 2:3, 2:6, 3:2, 14:8, 14:9, 14:10, 14:15, 65:17, 118:14, 147:22, 257:7, 269:9, 276:22 officer 307:2 offices 3:17 official 219:19 often 238:14 oh 9:15, 9:21, 16:6, 17:14, 23:22, 34:16,``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```open 36:3, 48:2, 83:16, 83:17, 235:23, 236:11, 245:24, 276:4, 277:7, 279:21, 280:2, 287:6 opened 21:15 opening 277:21 openings 236:1 operate 47:21, 232:3, 246:21, 246:22, 249:5, 268:17, 269:23, 272:18, 283:22 operating 48:22, 113:24, 239:2, 267:18, 267:22, 268:24, 276:10, 276:18, 277:9, 277:17, 278:12 operation 276:17, 277:2 operations 135:17, 252:5, 255:24, 262:4, 283:20, 297:25 operative 150:20 operator 268:13, 269:15, 270:12 operators 264:13, 270:18, 275:5, 278:14 opinion 19:10, 22:5, 22:13, 23:4, 23:7, 25:1, 28:3, 38:4, 39:14, 41:23, 42:6, 42:15, 44:22, 45:8,``` | ```45:22, 88:9, 123:2, 123:7, 123:8, 189:8, 246:23, 248:7, 248:17, 249:13, 249:22, 250:2, 253:5, 253:9 opinions 42:2 opportunity 37:10 opposed 46:20 opposite 49:15 opposition 11:3, 11:4, 11:10, 231:15 option 166:14, 176:22, 176:25, 199:12 options 16:22, 16:23, 17:3, 28:6, 28:8, 31:19, 113:20, 114:23, 189:5, 193:25, 200:12 orally 34:12, 112:7, 171:6 oranges 24:4 order 11:22, 142:5, 191:4, 200:14, 220:23, 226:25, 227:1, 236:4 ordinance 49:20, 168:3, 169:24, 177:4, 249:25, 262:22 organization 305:20 organizational 266:3 organizations 264:25``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| otherwise | 118:15, 120:24, | outflow | packet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 129:13, 230:7, | 125:21, 126:9, | 191:1, 193:10 | 60:8, 148:2, |
| 277:24, 284:22, | 129:8, 134:20, | outlet | 150:10, 150:12, |
| 307:7, 308:8 | 139:4, 149:22, | 97:4, 97:6 | 150:13, 150:14 |
| ought | 152:1, 152:4, | outside | pad |
| 10:16 | 167:1, 170:1, | 48:25, 135:21, | 12:9 |
| our | 172:7, 175:19, | 290:5, 290:10, | page |
| 8:13, 10:10, | 191:18, 194:16, | 291:3, 292:25, | 4:2, 5:3, 6:2, |
| 10:11, 20:8, | 202:12, 207:9, | 293:1, 298:11 | 13:5, 13:11, |
| 20:16, 34:23, | 209:17, 212:16, | over | 13:18, 13:19, |
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| 10:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 11, \quad 96: 14 \\ & \text { re-1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 263: 23, \quad 264: 21, \\ & 265: 4, \quad 265: 9, \end{aligned}$ | 182:12, 229:4 |
| R |  |  | receiving |
| radius | $263: 5$ reaches | $\begin{aligned} & 276: 10, \quad 298: 12, \\ & 302: 8, \quad 302: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $235: 13$ <br> recent |
| $90: 17, \quad 135: 20$ | reaches 199:10 | realtor | recent |
| $\begin{aligned} & 135: 24, ~ 138: 25, \\ & 243: 6,281: 5, \end{aligned}$ | 199:10 reactions | 37:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 2, \quad 65: 24, \\ & 149: 8, \quad 149: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| 281:6 | $28: 25$read | realtors | 150:1, 302:19, |
| radiuses |  | 20:7, 20:8, | 303:9, 303:24, |
| 242:19 | 39:3, 66:5, | $24: 17,29: 5$ $38: 16$ | 305:17 |
| raining | $\begin{aligned} & 133: 3, \quad 151: 3, \\ & 174: 25, \quad 178: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $38: 16$ reanalyze | recess |
| 253:21 |  | $230: 17$ | 12:9 |
| raise | $202: 24, \quad 205: 11$ |  | recognize $8: 25, \quad 225: 14$ |
| 22:21, 207:12, | readily | rear $13: 13,23: 10$ | $8: 25,225: 14,$ |
| 221:7, 261:11 | 122:12 | $13: 13,23: 10$ | recollect |
| raising | reading | reason | 70:17 |
| 148:23 | 30:1, 65:16, | 11:13, 16:20, | recollection |
| 232:21 |  | 19:5, 30:10, | 8:6, 50:21, |
| ramp | reads | $157: 4, \quad 224: 8$ | $53: 17,53: 18,$ |
| 276:3 | 79:13, 205:25 ready | $230: 10, \quad 241: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:4, 122:6, } \\ & 183: 11,203: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| ran | ready | reasonable | recommend |
| \|242:15 <br> random | $7: 8,8: 12,$ | 39:20 | 231:2 |
| $253: 17, \quad 253: 24$ | 155:19, 156:8, | reasons | recommendation |
| range | 156:9, 165:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 7, \quad 89: 6, \\ & 245: 17,249: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 79:11, 203:22, |
| $22: 8, \quad 22: 11,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 165:15 } \\ & \text { roci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 245: 17, \quad 249: 14 \\ & \text { rebuttal } \end{aligned}$ | 240:24 recommendations |
| 24:13, 30:8, | real | $8: 8,10: 12 \text {, }$ | 54:14, 181:25 |
| $34: 9, ~ 37: 5, ~$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 4, \quad 80: 7, \\ & 143: 2, \quad 151: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 11:5, 11:6, | recommended |
| $37: 6,130: 25$, $132: 9,134: 5$, | 143:2, 151:10, | 11:23, 11:25, | 128:3, 141:14, |
| 134:10, 145:2, | $266: 11, \quad 267: 16,$ | 12:1, 12:4, | 202:21 |
| 256:10, 296:22 |  | 12:5, 12:8, | recommending $290: 15$ |
| rate <br> 251:3, 261:1, | reality | rebutting | recommends |
| 251: $278: 22,278: 24$, | $301: 8$ | 42:14 recall | 236:7 |
| 278:25 |  | $9: 20,26: 25$ | reconciled |
| rates | $110: 18$ | $30: 2, \quad 58: 14,$ | \|32:1 |
| 250:7, 257:6, | 149:16 | $59: 12,60: 23,$ | $24: 19,29: 15$ |
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| ```29:16 reconsider 224:3 record \(7: 1,7: 2,7: 15\), \(7: 16,10: 21\), 12:11, 12:12, 12:14, 52:25, 53:1, 53:2, 59:11, 60:12, 60:16, 61:1, 69:7, 69:9, 70:10, 71:16, \(73: 20,76: 25\), \(78: 11,79: 18\), 98:11, 118:2, 118:4, 149:15, 150:3, 150:23, 150:25, 151:2, 155:4, 163:11, 163:19, 164:14, 164:19, 165:8, 165:13, 171:13, 183:10, 183:12, 186:20, 187:3, 207:18, 217:5, 226:8, 227:20, 227:22, 241:17, 248:5, 306:25, 308:3 recorded 233:15, 305:9, 307:4, 308:4 recording 308:6 recordings 305:12 records 6:7, 6:8, 144:3, 145:14, 186:23, 214:1, 216:19, 216:22, 219:19 recount 231:20 recross 206:10 red 85:2, 85:3,``` |  | ```72:11, 81:11, 81:14, 98:1, 104:12, 113:10, 144:12, 147:11, 166:9, 166:25, 169:8, 178:3, 239:19, 240:25, 269:19 refers 79:12, 177:24 reflect 33:3, 39:18, 181:4, 181:19 reflected 23:16 reflecting 68:9, 68:11, 69:24 reflection 159:7 reflects 19:17, 147:15, 154:5, 205:7, 205:9 refresh 50:21 regard 259:19 regards 80:25, 115:19 register 287:14 registered 222:15, 223:9 regular 281:1, 289:4, 298:8 regulated 142:2 regulation 114:7, 114:8, 263:6, 270:23, 275:20 regulations 49:7, 142:3, 272:1 regulators 115:8, 115:10``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reiterating } \\ & 80: 22 \\ & \text { relate } \\ & 278: 6 \\ & \text { related } \\ & 202: 17, \quad 307: 5, \\ & 308: 7 \\ & \text { relates } \\ & 212: 6 \\ & \text { relationship } \\ & 27: 9,138: 4, \\ & 213: 18, \quad 213: 19, \\ & 214: 7, \quad 26: 6, \\ & 266: 3,268: 2 \\ & \text { relative } \\ & 245: 10,245: 16, \\ & 268: 9,277: 1, \\ & 288: 7 \\ & \text { relatively } \\ & 34: 2 \\ & \text { relevant } \\ & 15: 24, \quad 73: 12, \\ & 297: 25 \\ & \text { relocated } \\ & 55: 15,243: 9 \\ & \text { relocating } \\ & 234: 8 \\ & \text { relocation } \\ & 63: 8 \\ & \text { relying } \\ & 40: 9, \quad 167: 18, \\ & 172: 5,177: 21, \\ & 177: 23 \\ & \text { remain } \\ & 66: 12,296: 18 \\ & \text { remaining } \\ & 21: 18,21: 20, \\ & 295: 10 \\ & \text { remember } \\ & 32: 4,39: 4, \\ & 50: 19,60: 12, \\ & 122: 9,143: 21, \\ & 166: 10,167: 5, \\ & 179: 20,179: 21, \\ & 180: 2, \quad 181: 17, \\ & 183: 6,191: 9 \\ & \text { remembered } \\ & 202: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```remind 144:11 remove 141:13 removed 140:6, 142:7 removes 175:21 removing 142:2 repaired 260:2 repeat 57:1, 77:19, 130:10, 192:24 repercussions 195:1, 195:4 rephrase 57:2, 64:22, 71:7, 200:10, 247:15 replace 109:15, 191:14, 199:23 replaced 65:2, 95:7, 99:1, 105:22 replacing 71:2, 95:8, 100:13, 173:2 report 9:25, 10:2, 10:9, 13:4, 13:11, 14:21, 15:19, 15:20, 15:23, 16:1, 17:18, 17:23, 18:3, 18:13, 20:9, 22:14, 31:12, 33:24, 34:24, 36:8, 45:6, 45:7, 52:4, 68:1, 69:2, 69:9, 79:10, 79:23, 79:24, 80:1, 80:3, 120:11, 123:5, 202:24,``` | ```229:15, 232:23, 247:12, 247:13, 303:9, 303:20 reported 122:24 reporter 7:3, 12:12, 53:2, 116:24, 116:25, 125:13, 165:15, 304:17, 307:1 reports 67:21, 123:3, 181:5 representation 177:16 represented 9:2 representing 7:17 request 55:23, 116:9 requested 59:1, 141:13, 233:5 require 100:17, 101:19, 112:16, 166:25, 173:25, 256:3 required 19:11, 100:4, 106:17, 107:19, 107:21, 115:24, 121:6, 131:23, 135:16, 167:16, 169:24, 194:12, 284:7, 284:9 requirement 99:9, 110:2, 166:16, 166:24, 167:10, 168:9, 169:9, 169:17, 174:18, 177:12, 202:16, 203:4, 203:17 requirements 136:12, 172:5, 190:4, 271:1,``` | 271:12 <br> requires <br> 92:19, 229:15, <br> 240:2, 251:7, <br> 253:15, 271:22 <br> research <br> 263:15, 273:20, <br> 295:1 <br> researched <br> 265:16 <br> researching <br> 29:4, 265:7, <br> 265:11 <br> reserve <br> 9:7, 104:16 <br> reserved <br> 302: 6 <br> reside <br> 214:14 <br> residences <br> 43:24, 51:13 <br> resident <br> 207:2, 214:19, <br> 215:16, 215:20, <br> 217:22, 217:25, <br> 218:8, 218:12, <br> 219:5, 219:7, <br> 219:20, 220:2, <br> 220:10, 267:4, <br> 267:7 <br> residential <br> 22:15, 23:8, <br> 23:16, 25:22, <br> 26:1, 43:1, <br> 46:25, 49:16, <br> 50:25, 51:21, <br> 52:5, 52:6, <br> 108:23, 112:24, <br> 115:3, 115:5, <br> 184:6, 194:11, <br> 238:24, 239:3, <br> 262:14 <br> residents <br> 26:21 <br> resolved <br> 231:18 <br> respect <br> 223:14, 224:23, | ```225:1, 225:9 respectfully 11:12 respond 8:16, 10:16, 20:8, 21:10, 31:4 responded 9:9, 21:16 respondent 20:10, 20:12, 20:19 respondents 22:5, 22:7, 36:17, 43:10 responding 34:11, 35:9, 51:14 response 8:13, 12:23, 21:18, 36:23 responses 20:11, 21:19, 21:20, 31:4, 36:13, 46:9 responsibilities 224:9, 224:16 responsible 224:11 responsive 38:15 rest 190:20, 248:16, 277:25 restart 255:21 restrictive 246:19 resubmitted 148:14 resubmitting 171:9 result 38:16, 42:24, 196:4 retained 148:15 return 227:7``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| reveal | right-hand | 233:17, 234:12, | 54:18, 64:8, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 133:13 | 125:17 | 235:4, 236:17, | 207:5, 233:21, |
| reverse | right-of | 237:11, 238:9, | 235:20, 248:14, |
| 292:25 | 91:19, 126:21 | 238:14, 238:22, | 274:7, 274:11, |
| reversed | right-of-way | 238:23, 238:24, | 294:6, 294:19 |
| 236:22 | 73:5, 74:13, | 240:18, 241:4, | rooms |
| review | 75:22, 77:21, | 241:5, 241:14, | 143:24, 294:14, |
| 79:13, 115:11, | 79:17, 80:14, | 242:12, 242:13, | 294:15, 294:18 |
| 125:20, 200:7, | 81:4, 84:23, | 242:24, 243:24, | rotate |
| 200:13, 201:9, | 88:14, 91:14, | 244:8, 244:9, | 196:22 |
| 201:10, 201:20, | 91:24, 94:1, | 244:16, 245:4, | roughly |
| 201:23, 227:2, | 101:5, 101:6, | 245:11, 245:24, | 291:12, 291:14, |
| 227:6, 228:22, | 101:20, 106:22, | 246:2, 247:2, | 292:4, 292:14 |
| 229:24, 231:10, | 106:23, 127:1, | 253:3, 254:14, | route |
| 232:12, 238:1 | 127:4, 127:5, | 254:15, 254:16, | 254:14 |
| reviewed | 127:10, 127:15, | 254:18, 256:20, | ruling |
| 21:13, 106:23, | 127:18, 158:23, | 258:7, 258:11, | 11:18 |
| 123:5, 200:18, | 180:9, 180:10, | 258:12, 260:1, | run |
| 200:21 | 190:14, 193:14, | 260:4, 260:19, | $96: 7,97: 8,$ |
| reviewer | 193:20, 200:8, | 278:6, 287:17 | $97: 16, \quad 98: 12,$ |
| 189:12 | 200:18, 202:5, | roads | $98: 17, \quad 98: 19,$ |
| reviewing | 202:8, 202:24 | 243:15, 259:13, | 98:21, 174:20, |
| 189:15 | ring | 288:8 | 249:19, 264:5, |
| revise | 238:12 | roadway | 265:8, 295:19 |
| 232:23 | road | 232:13, 233:7, | running |
| revised | 7:12, 22:19, | 236:2, 237:23, | 175:6, 266:12, |
| 5:16, 5:18, | 27:23, 56:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 250: 17, \quad 250: 22, \\ & 254 \cdot 25 \end{aligned}$ | $267: 17$ |
| $5: 20, \quad 5: 21,$ | 88:15, 93:5, | 254:25 | runoff |
| $5: 22,5: 23,$ | 126:10, 130:5, | roadways | $260: 13$ |
| 5:24, 6:4, 6:5, | 131:17, 134:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 224: 11, \quad 226: 22, \\ & 244: 6 \end{aligned}$ | runs |
| 6:6, 149:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 134: 10, ~ 135: 23, \\ & 136: 5,178: 13, \end{aligned}$ | robeson | $174: 22,175: 3$ |
| 150:7, 156:1 revision | $178: 16,178: 17,$ | $1: 10, \quad 3: 4$ | $\frac{241: 2,241: 3}{S}$ |
| 65:11, 146:21, | 178:19, 179:1, | rockville | $s-r-i-k-a-n-t-h$ |
| 149:2, 152:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 179: 15, \quad 180: 7, \\ & 180: 9, \quad 181: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1: 11, & 2: 8, \\ 3: 10, & 3: 19 \end{array}$ | $261: 20$ |
| 153:18, 153:21, | 181:21, 184:21, | $208: 24,217:$ | s-u-b-b |
| $\begin{array}{lll}155: 11, & 155: 12, \\ 156: 13, & 156: 14,\end{array}$ |  | role | 207:21 |
| $156: 13, ~ 156: 14$, $156: 25, ~ 157: 25$, | $185: 25,202: 25,$ | $139: 3,267: 2$ | s-u-b-b-a-r-a-y-u |
| $156: 25,157: 25$, $161: 10, ~ 161: 11$, | 203:18, 204:24, | $268: 20,276: 16$ | 207:20 |
| $161: 10, ~ 161: 11$, $161: 13, ~ 161: 25$, | $208: 24,209: 24,$ | roles | s1 |
| $161: 13,161: 25$, $162: 9,162: 14$, |  | $268: 9$ | 183:11 |
| $162: 9, ~ 162: 14$, $163: 24, ~ 163: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 210: 4, \quad 212: 6, \\ & 214: 14, \quad 217: 10, \end{aligned}$ | romano | safe |
| revisions | $229: 6,229: 17,$ | $20: 20, \quad 20: 24,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135: 19, \quad 137: 10, \\ & 202: 11, \quad 246: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 147:7, 149:9, | $\begin{array}{ll}229: 21, & 230: 9, \\ 230: 17, & 231: 24,\end{array}$ | 21:7, 21:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 202: 11,246: 3, \\ & 246: 21,246: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| 153:6, 201:6 | 230:17, 231:24, 231:25, 232:18, | romano's | $247: 16, \quad 248: 15,$ |
| ridge <br> 90:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 231: 25,232: 18, \\ & 233: 1,233: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $22: 10$ | $248: 19,249: 5$ |
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| ```249:23, 252:23, 258:11, 271:9 safely 82:9, 100:22, 190:20 safer 134:7 safest 135:24 safety 202:10, 244:7, 244:13, 246:9, 255:4 salaries 17:2 sale 15:11, 17:11, 18:23, 19:1, 19:3, 19:8, 20:20, 27:3, 27:4, 27:7, 27:8, 29:16, 29:18, 32:22 sales 15:1, 15:6, 15:9, 16:11, 22:6, 22:7, 22:10, 24:12, 29:4, 31:8, \(31: 10,32: 21\), 43: 6 sam 5:13, 80:11, 80:20, 104:5 same 17:20, 43:2, 43:3, 51:5, 55:14, 59:2, 60:25, 62:15, 64:1, 64:12, 66:3, 66:12, 67:17, 71:25, 82:7, 82:12, 82:13, 97:22, 103:12, 103:13, 112:25, 113:14, 113:15, 146:19, 153:2, 154:8,``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```signing 224:12, 266:10 signups 273:12 similar 15:1, 15:2 simple 31:16, 43:23, 101:1, 134:24, 173:11, 210:15, 302:11 simplest 241:14 simplify 73:24 since 9:4, 9:9, 31:6, 57:12, 58:20, 58:23, 108:17, 143:10, 158:6, 195:12, 210:16, 229:6, 269:14, 306:18 sincerely 46:6, 224:24 single 25:18, 26:21, 33:22, 39:24, 40:22, 44:9, 67:6, 109:16, 113:3, 114:1, 160:11, 171:18, 186:13, 190:13, 205:4, 235:2 single-family 108:3, 109:8, 110:13, 112:5, 112:15, 112:24, 114:8, 114:10 sit 47:12, 156:7, 294:7 site 5:4, 5:5, 5:7, 13:12, 21:14, 22:15, 23:9, 23:10, 23:11, 39:18, 39:20,``` |  | $142: 20, \quad 142: 23$, $272: 10, \quad 272: 12$, $275: 7, \quad 278: 23$, $298: 25, \quad 299: 19$ six-feet $126: 12$ six-inch $86: 10$ six-inches $142: 19$ size $15: 16, \quad 15: 21$, $57: 11, \quad 59: 4$, $66: 24, \quad 67: 1$, $71: 12, \quad 82: 11$, $82: 23, \quad 94: 5$, $95: 6, \quad 95: 7$, $97: 15, \quad 99: 3$, $100: 2, \quad 103: 9$, $103: 25, \quad 106: 13$, $106: 16, \quad 111: 24$, $124: 17, \quad 144: 13$, $145: 18, \quad 195: 14$, $197: 10, \quad 236: 19$, $242: 16, \quad 274: 1$, $276: 8, \quad 280: 25$, $294: 13$ sizes $99: 23, \quad 103: 9$, $106: 14$ skewed $241: 6$ slightly $67: 25, \quad 301: 9$ slope $189: 23, \quad 195: 13$ slopes $99: 6, \quad 103: 12$, $103: 13$ slow $26: 7, \quad 192: 24$, $279: 11$ small $54: 19, \quad 188: 4$, $280: 20, \quad 280: 25$ smaller $59: 2$, $63: 3$, $63: 9$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| southside | specificity | srikanth | standpoint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 244:11 | 294:24 | 215:1, 261:19 | 260:14 |
| southwest | specifics | st | stands |
| 84:22, 93:4, | 21:8 | 155:2 | 197:1 |
| 199:9 | specified | staff | start |
| sp | 293:9 | 42:21, 44:10, | 8:12, 13:2, |
| 63:8, 63:10, | specimen | 48:10, 54:20, | 112:21, 137:19, |
| 158:8, 158:25, | 141:14, 141:19, | 57:8, 57:9, | 145:3, 197:23, |
| 159:17, 159:21, | 158:8 | 58:1, 58:21, | 198:1, 198:3, |
| 160:6, 160:22, | specimens | 67:19, 68:1, | 231:19, 248:8, |
| 161:13, 161:14, | 141:17 | 79:10, 79:23, | 248:24, 265:3, |
| 162:8, 162:15, | speculative | 79:24, 79:25, | 270:25, 273:12, |
| 162:19 | 25:16 | 80:3, 106:15, | 277:2, 281:25, |
| sp1 | speech | 108:25, 139:23, | 286:21, 289:4, |
| 141:20 | 60:12 | 144:3, 144:14, | 294:7, 295:4, |
| sp9 | speed | 144:17, 148:21, | 295:6, 305:1 |
| 141:23, 142:6 | 10:8, 212:6, | 149:15, 179:25, | started |
| space | 249:15, 253:2 | $180: 4, \quad 180: 11,$ | $35: 5, \quad 54: 12,$ |
| 50:17, 50:18, | spell | 180:13, 184:11, | 54:22, 55:20, |
| 67:18, 68:3, | 207:18, 261:18, | 184:16, 186:12, | 87:2, 228:10, |
| 68:24, 69:25, | 266:15 | 202:23, 203:17, | 265:7, 265:11, |
| 89:6, 258:11, | spelling | 203:19, 205:7, | 274:10, 286:11 |
| 264:15, 265:17, | 204:13 | 226:25, 227:6, | starting |
| 279:1, 301:2 | spent | 249:22, 256:4, | 12:14, 14:23, |
| spaces | 29:3, 29:25, | 257:19, 268:16, | 226:19, 282:21, |
| 249:20, 249:22, | 151:25, 152:4, | 271:23, 275:20, | 285:1 |
| 279:4, 301:3, | 245:23 | 277:7, 279:5, | starts |
| 301:6, 301:7, | split | $\begin{aligned} & 279: 16, \quad 284: 4, \\ & 284 \cdot 7 \quad 284 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | $280: 9$ |
| 301:14, 301:19, | $205: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 284: 7, \quad 284: 17, \\ & 284: 20, \quad 286: 11, \end{aligned}$ | state |
| $301: 21,301: 23$ spacing | spoke | $\begin{aligned} & 284: 20, ~ 286: 11, \\ & 286: 12,301: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 2: 16, & 46: 21, \\ 49: 6, & 114: 7, \end{array}\right.$ |
| 259:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 24, ~ 110: 3, \\ & 127: 18, ~ 159: 23, \end{aligned}$ | staffed | 190:4, 207:17, |
| spatial | $300: 12$ | 271:12 | 214:1, 219:20, |
| 27:9 | sport | stage | 223:6, 261:17, |
| speaking | 52:18 | 79:17 | 269:15, 269:21, |
| 211:7 | spot | stages | 269:23, 270:14, |
| special | 25:17, 44:25, | 182:17 | 270:20, 272:1, |
| 28:1, 235:24, | 45:13, 46:17, | stand $61: 20,61: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 272: 15, \quad 276: 1, \\ & 307: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| $251: 4$ specially | 131:1, 131:2, | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 20,61: 21 \\ & \text { standard } \end{aligned}$ | 307:13 <br> stated |
| specially $283: 3$ | 246:15, 278:22, | 179:15, 228:5, | $38: 19,45: 6,$ |
| specific | spread | 229:13, 239:23, | 46:23 |
| 8:17, 34:21, | 288:18 | 248:25, 267:16 | statement |
| 86:22, 225:2, | square | standards | 9:20, 10:25, |
| 257:14, 258:17, | $15: 5,47: 11,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 178: 19, & 178: 20, \\ 178.25 & 180.6 \end{array}$ | $15: 3,35: 20,$ |
| $276: 16, ~ 288: 16$ specification | 47:17, 67:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 178: 25, \quad 180: 6, \\ & 196: 1, \quad 231: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 115: 2, & 131: 11, \\ 227: 5, & 252: 7, \end{array}\right.$ |
| specification $288: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129: 9, \quad 221: 17 \\ & \text { squeak } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 196: 1,231: 5, \\ & 232: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 227: 5, & 252: 7, \\ 257: 1, & 258: 1, \end{array}\right.$ |
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