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| 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Right. | 1 (Discussion off the record; technical |
| 2 MR. CHEN: Then he will be finished. At that -- | 2 difficulty.) |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. | 3 MR. CHEN: Can we go off the record for second? |
| 4 MR. CHEN: After Mr. Davis is done, I think we | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah. |
| 5 then get into the matters involving Mr. Cook, if I'm correct | 5 (Off the record at 9:33 a.m., resuming at 9:40 |
| 6 on that. | 6 a.m.) |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah. | 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh, yes. |
| 8 MR. CHEN: I'm going to have something to say | 8 Do you solemnly affirm, under penalties of |
| 9 that point in time. But procedurally as I understand the | 9 perjury that the statements you're about to make are the |
| 10 proceedings today, we will start with Mr. Davis as I've | 10 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? |
| 11 described. | 11 MR. DAVIS: Yes. |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Right. Now Mr. | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Go ahead, Mr. |
| 13 Cook is going to be rebuttal. Just so everyone understands, | 13 Chen. |
| 14 Mr . Cook's testimony is going to be rebutta | 14 MR. CHEN: Thank you. Mr. Davis, could you |
| 15 MR. CHEN: Yes. | 15 please identify yourself for the record? |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah, do I hear | 16 MR. DAVIS: Yes, I'm Joseph R. Davis. |
| 17 an argument? | 17 MR. CHEN: Mr. Davis, I'm showing you -- Madam |
| 18 MR. CHEN: Well, I was going to raise | 18 Examiner, could we have exhibit -- |
| 19 preliminary matter, yes, about Mr. Cook. Technically he has | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: We need his |
| 20 amended the Applicant's traffic report. He's not a rebuttal | 20 business address. |
| 21 witness in the fullest sense. What has happened is that he | 21 MR. CHEN: Oh, yes. |
| 22 has submitted new material to augment, I guess, or amend his | 22 MR. DAVIS: Yes. My home address is 172 Tuckers |
| 23 client's tr | 23 Road, which is in Pawley's Island, South Carolina 29585 is |
| 24 rebuttal witness. | 24 the ZIP. |
| 25 And my clients take the position that they should | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. I hope |
| 6 | 8 |
| 1 be permitted to respond to that new traffic report | 1 you traveled safely. |
| 2 information. And what I was going to offer his we could do | 2 MR. DAVIS: I did. |
| 3 that one of two ways. I would call -- after he would | 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Now go |
| 4 testify about his new information -- | 4 ahead Mr. Chen. |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Who? Mr. Cook? | 5 MR. CHEN: Thank you. Madam Examiner, could you |
| 6 MR. CHEN: Mr. Cook. Mr. Cook would testify | 6 put up Exhibit 115, document A? |
| 7 about his new information from his report. I would recall | 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I am getting |
| 8 Dr. Kosary to testify about that and he could then rebut her | 8 there. 115-A? |
| 9 testimony. | 9 MR. CHEN: Yes. |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I understand. | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Is that a |
| 11 Mr . Kline, do you want to weigh in? | 11 letter? |
| 12 MR. KLINE: I -- yeah, I guess. I mean certainly | 12 MR. CHEN: It's a resume, a CV. |
| 13 the opposition should have an opportunity to critique what | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I have |
| 14 Mr . Cook is going to say. I didn't think it probably was | 14 115-A -- sorry. That was my bad. I went to the wrong one. |
| 15 needed to be done, but if they feel it does then I don't | 15 I have 115-AA. Oh -- |
| 16 object to them having their fair opportunity to say what | 16 MR. CHEN: Single capital A. It should be just a |
| 17 they feel about his changes to his report. So I'm okay with | 17 single capital A. |
| 18 what Mr. Chen has recommended. | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Let me do this. |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right. | 19 MR. CHEN: On the exhibit list and I've got it |
| 20 Thank you. That was easy. All right. With that we will | 20 does have it as 115-A. |
| 21 take Mr. Davis. | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Every time I go |
| 22 MR. CHEN: If I may just switch seats with Mr. | 22 to one -- oh, I -- |
| 23 Davis. | 23 MR. CHEN: (inaudible). |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Can you | 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: That was -- that |
| 25 turn your camera on? I'm not getting your camera. | 25 sigh was not frustration at the parties. It was |

```
frustration -- well, maybe it was just frustration. I don't
know. But let me see what I can do here.
    MR. CHEN:This was filed on November 4 of --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, I have it
up. It's a matter of trying to share it. That is my issue
at the moment. So, okay. Now how -- I -- okay. For some
reason that one -- let's go off the record for just a few
minutes.
    (Off the record at 9:44 a.m., resuming at 9:45
    a.m.)
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: What you're
looking for?
    MR. CHEN: Yes.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh, okay. Go
ahead.
    MR. CHEN: Thank you, very much. Mr. Davis,
could you please give us your occupation?
    MR. DAVIS: Yes, I'm a land planner.
    MR. CHEN: And what is the range of your
experience as a land planner?
    MR. DAVIS: I have expertise in terms of
planning, zoning, subdivision, growth management, which
includes working with the adequate public facilities
ordinance in Montgomery County and other counties as well,
and jurisdictions. I also have experience in terms of
```

regulatory review of various development plans as well as
processing applications that involve public procedures for
land planning and community development. In addition, I
have land planning and management experience in the same
areas.
MR. CHEN: And does that experience involve
review of applications for what are now -- it used to be
called special exceptions, currently called conditional use
applications?
MR. DAVIS: Yes.
MR. CHEN: And how long have you been a land
planner?
MR. DAVIS: 48 years.
MR. CHEN: And direct your attention to Exhibit
115-A. Can you identify it, sir?
(Exhibit 115-A was introduced.)
MR. DAVIS: Yes, this is the amended summary
statement. You want me to wait for?
MR. CHEN: No, 115-A.
MR. DAVIS: Oh, 115-A. Yes, it is my curriculum
vitae, resume.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And does it accurately
summarize your background as a land planner?
MR. DAVIS: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner, I would offer into
evidence Exhibit 115-A if it's not already in evidence.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, I will -- it
is in evidence, but I will accept it anyway. Do you have an objection Mr. Klein?

MR. KLINE: No objection.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay, go ahead Mr. Chen.

MR. CHEN: Thank you. Mr. Davis, have you
testify previously as an expert witness?
MR. DAVIS: Yes, I have.
MR. CHEN: In what area?
MR. DAVIS: In land planning.
MR. CHEN: And recognizing your many years as a land planner, could you summarize what courts, or agencies, tribunals have recognized you as an expert land planner?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, I've been recognized as an
expert in land planning by the Montgomery County Board of
Appeals, Frederick County Board of Appeals, Montgomery
County Circuit Court, Frederick County Circuit Court. I've
also been identified as an expert land planner by number of
hearing examiners in Montgomery County as well.
And basically these are cases that have involved my expert testimony, zoning cases, special exceptions,
conditional use applications, and also a highway
condemnation case that I worked with. I've also been
recognized as an expert in land planning by the Montgomery
County Property Review Board. Do I need to explain in terms of what that board's function --

MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner are you familiar with that agency?

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes, I am
MR. DAVIS: And that was --
MR. CHEN: Just on that agency. Can you just state the area that you were involved in before the agency?

MR. DAVIS: As a land planner.
MR. CHEN: Yes, sir.
MR. DAVIS: Finally I've been recognized by the --

MR. CHEN: Excuse me. I mean before the property review board. What area have you been recognized?

MR. DAVIS: Oh, excuse me. That was for highest and best use analysis. These were -- basically these were highway condemnation case. This one case with the property review board. And I testified as to highest and best use of the property that was involved in condemnation.

MR. CHEN: How often have you testified as an expert relative to highest and best use?

MR. DAVIS: The -- in Montgomery County Circuit
Court I was involved in a case there representing a property
25 owner. And with regard to the one time with the property

```
review board. Then I've been involved in probably, in terms
of highest and best use, probably }30\mathrm{ separate cases
involving State Highway Administration where I provided
analysis for them on highest and best use for properties.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. And have you been recognized as
an expert by the Maryland Attorney General's office?
    MR. DAVIS: Yes, I have. And that was for
purposes of consulting with the State Highway
Administration's general counsel, their legal staff, and
planners, transportation planners. And again, my work there
was highest and best use.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. And during your public-sector
career, what agencies did you advise?
    MR. DAVIS: I was involved in advising planning
boards in both Prince Georges and Montgomery counties about
a variety of planning, zoning, subdivision issues, and
testified with the Montgomery County councils, Prince
Georges County Council. But basically in those situations
we didn't have to be recognized as an expert. I was a staff
member. So I had to basically -- each time I was before
them had to make sure they had confidence in my testimony.
    MR. CHEN: Are you familiar with the requirements
of the Montgomery County Zoning ordinance as well as the
Montgomery County Subdivision Regulations?
    MR. DAVIS: Yes, I am.
```

        MR. CHEN: That would be chapters 59 and 50 of
    the County code?
MR. DAVIS: That's correct.
MR. CHEN: Are you familiar with the requirements
of the zoning regs pertaining to conditional uses including
daycare centers?
MR. DAVIS: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Does your expertise include expressing
your professional opinion as to whether land-use proposal
such as a conditional use complies with the requirements of
County law including in particular to the zoning ordinance?
MR. DAVIS: Yes.
MR. CHEN: And is the evaluation of the land-use
proposal for compliance with governmental development
regulations a normal and usual that's part of your expert --
your experience as a land planner both now and public sector
and private sector?
MR. DAVIS: Correct. Yes, it is.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And Madam Examiner, I would
offer Mr. Davis as an expert witness as a land planner.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Kline, do
you have an objection?
MR. KLINE: No objection.
MR. CHEN: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. I will
qualify him.
MR. CHEN: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: As an expert in
land planning.
MR. CHEN: Thank you. Have you reviewed the
application, Mr. Davis, for the child daycare center that is
being -- that has been filed by the Primrose School
Financing Corporation?
MR. DAVIS: Yes.
MR. CHEN: What type of activity does the
application propose?
MR. DAVIS: This is a child daycare center that
would involve facilities for up to 195 children and there
will also be -- the adult staff would be 32 .
MR. CHEN: Thank you. Madam Examiner, could you
give us now Exhibit 176-VV?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Is it two Vs?
MR. CHEN: Correct.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: For the record,
I'm just scrolling through this exhibit. It's at the very
end. So there we go. Okay, go ahead.
MR. CHEN: Thank you, very much. Mr. Davis --
Did I hear somebody else? Okay.
Mr. Davis, can you please identify Exhibit 176-
VV?
(Exhibit 176-VV was introduced.)
MR. DAVIS: Yes, is the -- my amended summary
statement for my testimony involved in this application.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Madam Examiner, I would offer
Exhibit 176-VV.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Any objection
Mr. Klein?
MR. KLINE: Only to ask in what way does it
deviate I guess from the original one that I can get my
hands on, Exhibit B. Could Mr. Davis kind of explain the
difference between the two? I'm sorry, I just haven't
reviewed VV and had reviewed B.
MR. DAVIS: That's a good question, because this
was submitted a year ago. The other one is a few months
before that. To me it was a minor change. Let me see if I
can just quickly spot it here.
I believe that it was -- if you look at the top
of page 2 and it talks about -- and I will provide
professional planning opinions resulting from review of --
and this is referring to the appraisal reports that were
submitted concerning highest and best use planning and
analysis, also talk about the Maryland Smart Growth program
and nature of infill development, redevelopment from both
the zoning and mess up in perspective.
MR. KLINE: That's adequate. Thank you, very

```
much.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay, it's
accepted. Go ahead, Mr. Chen.
    MR. CHEN: Thank you. MadamExaminer, at this
point I'm going to depart frommy planned presentation to
shift to the traffic related matters that we have exchanged
in the emails over the last several days.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN:That's fine.
    MR. CHEN: Thank you, very much.
    Mr. Davis, have you had an opportunity to
evaluate or review the proposed conditional use that is
before the hearing examiner?
    MR. DAVIS: Yes, I have.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. And particularly with regard to
section 7.3.1.E.1f.1, yes or no on this point?
    MR. DAVIS: Yes.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. And what has your conclusion or
analysis been as to the compliance of the proposed
conditional use with regard to that subsection involving
traffic of public school or public roads?
    MR. DAVIS: Yes, I have --
    MR. CHEN: And limit it to that part of it.
    MR. DAVIS: Just of that part of it, just to
traffic and what my comment would be, that I listened very
closely to the testimony that was provided back -- and this
```

would go back now to March of last year where we had --
where there were community -- residents in the community who
came and testified concerning their problems that they
experience on a day-to-day basis with attempting to exit
their properties in the morning to be able to access
Needwood Road.
MR. CHEN: May I interrupt you for just one
second?
MR. DAVIS: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner, Mr. Klein. That
should be lowercase f then lowercase I. If I said something
else --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Right, I wrote
it that way.
MR. CHEN: Mr. Klein, did you get that? Hello?
MR. KLINE: Is that not the provision talking
about preliminary subdivision plan though?
MR. CHEN: Yes.
MR. DAVIS: It's really dealing --
MR. KLINE: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. Sorry to
interrupt.
MR. DAVIS: This is dealing with the adequate
public facilities ordinance.
MR. KLINE: Yes.
MR. DAVIS: And it deals with the issue of if the
case -- if the application will be going forward based on a preliminary plan, then planning board would make the findings dealing with adequate public facilities. And if the application will not be subject to a later preliminary plan review, then the hearing examiner must make the findings relative to adequate public facilities.

MR. CHEN: And if I may, what is the situation in this particular case?

MR. DAVIS: The planning staff and the planning board have stated that the -- there will be no preliminary plan involved with this in the future. So then therefore it comes under the subsection I or subsection Roman one.

MR. CHEN: It's a lowercase i.
MR. DAVIS: I, yes. It comes under -- this will, under the review authority of the hearing examiner.

MR. CHEN: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. DAVIS: We're talking about the public roads component for it. And I testified about the testimony that was received. And basically the number of persons who spoke what I saw is consistency between the commons that they made that I felt that they had compelling testimony. In addition, I've listened very carefully to the testimony by Ms. Kosary and I also believe that she has identified problems associated with the review that, in my opinion as a planner, caused me to have pause in terms of their ability to demonstrate, the ability of the Applicant to demonstrate that there is a -- that a finding of adequate public facilities can be made as it relates to roads.

MR. CHEN: If I could, well let me just at this point -- would you explain to the Examiner your background I assume in the public sector relative to adequate public facilities?

MR. DAVIS: I was the subdivision supervisor beginning in 1979 and I was $7-1 / 2$ years dealing on a daily basis with the adequate public facilities ordinance. When I 1 was the chief of the development review division for a 12 period of six or so years, I also followed up with it. I was involved each year with the County Council and planning board on the annual growth policy and I was dealing with it on a daily basis with applications primarily subdivision 6 applications in terms of how this should be administered.

In addition, back in the early 2000s, I'm 18 thinking probably around 2002, I had -- I was asked by then 19 chairman of the board of appeals, Don Spence, he's a local 20 attorney, if I would assist the board of appeals in terms of 21 providing them with some additional background in training 2 in the adequate public facilities ordinance.

And I had several sessions. As I recall there
24 were two or three sessions were the board of appeals came 25 down to the park and planning offices in Silver Spring. And

```
I was able to talk with them generally about how the public
facilities ordinance works. We had staff. We had
transportation staff. We had staff fromDPS. All those
were involved in the various facets of it, school staff, to
come in and to help explain to the board of appeals, because
they were quite concerned that this is really fairly recent
for them to have to deal with the adequate public facilities
ordinance.
    MR. CHEN: And when you say you used to work
twice now dealing with the adequate public facilities
ordinance, what was your involvement?And what do you mean
by when you say dealing with?
    MR. DAVIS: You mean in terms of my personal
involvement?
    MR. CHEN: Yes. Yes, sir.
    MR. DAVIS: I would be -- as a packager of
reports, I would be the one who would be receiving the
technical reports dealing with various aspects of it.
    MR. CHEN: Aspects of public facilities?
    MR. DAVIS: Public facilities. And then it would
be my job to build to review, fully understand how they
satisfied the requirements and then make sure that they
were --
    MR. CHEN:When you say satisfy requirements, you
mean --
```

MR. DAVIS: The zoning ordinance requirements
relative to the adequacy of public facilities.
MR. CHEN: Continue.
MR. DAVIS: And then the reports that we would
sent to the planning board or to the hearing examiner if it
was a zoning case, because sometimes that would be adequate
public facilities issues associated with that, to make sure
that at fully addressed the findings that had to be made by
those bodies.
And then as I say, latter when I was a division
chief, I was still involved in it more as a supervisor for
staff and also in terms of training staff, in terms of
planning staff and other staff who were involved in these
different plan review processes, zoning cases, conditional
use cases, and how they should conduct the reviews.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And you've expressed your
observations and opinions relative to this particular
subsection of the zoning ordinance; is that correct?
MR. DAVIS: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Anything more on that?
MR. DAVIS: No, I think I'm done with that one.
MR. CHEN: Okay. I now want to direct your
attention to section -- and I hope I've got these numbers
correct again. It would be 7.3.1.E.1.g.ii. Do you see that
section, sir?

| 21 | 1 |
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of the entrance to the site, looking at egress, ingress,
egress for the property as well as the -- what's happening.
What we were being given as a picture of what's happening on
4 Needwood Road today. And I thought it was quite compelling.

MR. DAVIS: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And do you have an opinion as to whether the proposed conditional use is compliant with that section of the zoning ordinance limiting, at this point, your testimony relative to the subsection ii where it says traffic?

MR. DAVIS: Yes. It is my opinion that this application fails to adequately address the issue of traffic in this case. And again, I base that on the testimony that has been provided by the residents of the area as well as Ms. Kosary. And I believe that it is in fact the burden -proof rests with the Applicant. And I believe there is serious question as to whether or not the Applicant has been able to demonstrate that. For my opinion, I believe that it has not been demonstrated.

MR. CHEN: So your testimony in large part from what you just said is based upon the testimony of area residents including Dr. Kosary?

MR. DAVIS: That is correct. Also I want to make
of the entrance to the site, looking at egress, ingress,
egress for the property as well as the -- what's happening.
What we were being given as a picture of what's happening on MR. CHEN: You accept the veracity of that
testimony? The accuracy of that testimony?
MR. DAVIS: I do because of the number of people who spoke in what I saw as a consistent pattern of discussion of what they saw as a problem for their road.

MR. CHEN: There are also as I recollect, photographs that were submitted by those witnesses. Is that right, sir?

MR. DAVIS: That's correct.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. DAVIS: Now also had opportunity to see in the mornings traffic along Needwood Road. And there's been two occasions -- and now in terms of (inaudible) I would have to really go back and look at my notes. But I was -- I saw the traffic was backing up to Carnegie and I said -- and I just felt that was significant.

MR. CHEN: I have no further questions of Mr.
Davis in this area Madam Examiner.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right. Cross-examination?

MR. KLINE: I couldn't quite hear that, but I
believe you are probably turning it over to me Ms. --
Hearing Examiner.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I was.
MR. KLINE: Yeah. It just got broken up a touch.
5 Mr . Davis, I'm correct that you've put no weight to the
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| to otherwise make a -- make findings and conclusions based | 1 the road to support the anecdotal evidence you heard? |
| on their analysis that the adequate public facilities | 2 MR. DAVIS: I am familiar. The opposition in |
| requirement in so far as roads were handled adequately. | 3 this case did have an engineering firm conduct line of sight |
| In this particular case I think that I was -- as | 4 analysis. And I am aware of that analysis and I was |
| a land planner, I'm very -- I am -- I want to be sure that | 5 (inaudible). |
| you understand that my analysis would go to the entrance | 6 MR. CHEN: Is this the time to testify about |
| location, the proper ingress, egress leaving the site. | 7 that? |
| Certainly I depend on the input from transportation planners | 8 MR. DAVIS: Well, I'mjust trying to remain |
| for that, but I also want to take into account if there is | 9 within the envelope of what I'm supposed to be talking |
| information that says there is a problem there, then the | 10 |
| ds to be a determination, is that a problem or not | 11 MR. CHEN: Yeah, Mr. Kline, to respond to I guess |
| lem. | 12 your question, the question you raised about line of sight |
| And I think that based on my review of the | 13 is going to be part of Mr. Davis's testimony. But -- |
| ication as well is my listing at the public hearings, I | 14 MR. KLINE: Fine, it can be answered later. And |
| eve that there is a problem with the amount of traffic | 15 I will sort of withdraw it and just say, do you have any |
| g Needwood Road. And I believe that there could be | 16 accident data for Needwood Road to support the concerns |
| lems associated with this application if it were to | 17 about volume of traffic, and speed, and danger? |
| rove and develop at the size it has been proposed with | 18 MR. DAVIS: No. |
| number of trips associated with it. | 19 MR. KLINE: Thank you. That's all my cross- |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I have found | 20 examination questions for this part of the testimony. |
| you based it on your personal observations as well | 21 MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner, I've got a very short |
| MR. DAVIS: Uh-huh. | 22 rebuttal. I think it's very short. |
| MR. CHEN: You have to respond. You have to say | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Redirect. |
| or no. You can't uh-huh. | 24 MR. CHEN: Redirect, excuse me. Did I say |
| MR. DAVIS: Yes, personal observations as well. | 25 rebuttal? I apologize. It's redirect. |
| 30 | 32 |
| MR. KLINE: And your personal -- I'm sorry. | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I'm just trying |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Go ahead Mr. | 2 to keep the line straight. |
| Kline. | 3 MR. CHEN: Yeah, I apologize. Mr. Davis, in |
| MR. KLINE: No, go ahead ma'am. Well, I | 4 response to Mr. Kline's inquiry of you about your review of |
|  | 5 the staff report and the DOT information, you said that you |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I'm going | 6 accept it -- I believe you said that you accepted the staff |
| to -- rather than spend more time on it, I'm going to let it | 7 report at that time. I have that in quotes, at that time; |
| in for the weight it deserves. | 8 is that correct? |
| MR. KLINE: And I would only ask for | 9 MR. DAVIS: Correct. That's correct. |
| ification. You made site visits. I gather more than | 10 MR. CHEN: When was that time? |
| nd you did on occasion see traffic backing up to | 11 MR. DAVIS: That time was when I was reviewing |
| rnegie? | 12 the application up to the public hearing. |
| MR. DAVIS: I did. (inaudible). | 13 MR. CHEN: So -- and up to that point you were |
| MR. KLINE: In the testimony that you've heard so | 14 accepting of the staff report? |
| is it not true that the Applicant acknowledges that |  |
| may occur on occasion? | 16 MR. CHEN: Okay. And subsequently you testified |
| MR. DAVIS: I do believe that the Applicant did | 17 about what you've heard during the course of the hearing; is |
| that it can occur on occasions. | 18 that right? |
| MR. KLINE: Okay. | 19 MR. DAVIS: That's correct. |
| MR. DAVIS: And I happened to see it on occasion. | 20 MR. CHEN: As a consequence of hearing that |
| MR. KLINE: Last question, Mr. Davis. Did you | 21 information, Mr. Kline refers to I think part of it as |
| he extra step to -- I heard Mr. Chen's question to you | 22 anecdotal. And I will accept that characterization. Do you |
| the veracity, credibility of the testimony. Did yo | 23 still now this point in time except the staff report? |
| any independent investigation to determine whether there | 24 Mr. DAVIS: No, I don't. |
| any lines of sight or safety issues or volume issues on | 25 MR. CHEN: Why? |
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| MR. DAVIS: Well, because I believe that there <br> were errors in the report when it was prepared and I don't believe that those were spotted by the staff. <br> MR. CHEN: Is that based upon the anecdotal <br> testimony that you heard? <br> MR. DAVIS: I would say that when I heard that anecdotal testimony I became concerned that there is -there may be a traffic issue on Needwood Road that has not yet been recognized. And so that had me not in a quandary 0 so much as thinking the Applicant's burden of proof has to be made and I don't -- I don't see where it's been substantiated. <br> And particularly when I heard the testimony of Ms. Kosary I felt that they are in fact mistakes. Or I don't want to say mistakes. I guess the word would be 16 errors. I believe that Mr. Cook had indicated that in the 17 report. So I would say where I am right now is trying to 8 see how this ends up in terms of once we've figured out how 9 the data and analysis and what it's supposed to be, whether 0 the findings as demonstrated by the Applicant will change or just where this goes. <br> MR. CHEN: Okay. And just one final. Are you <br> aware -- Mr. Kline also posited to you a question asking you 24 to recollect the testimony, I believe it was testimony, of <br> 25 the Applicant about the occasional back up to Carnegie. Is | indicate that the 95th percentile queue of eastbound traffic <br> along Needwood Road will not extend to Carnegie Avenue and <br> block the intersection which is 500 feet away." You see <br> that? <br> MR. DAVIS: Yes. <br> MR. CHEN: Okay. Now that doesn't seem to match <br> with Mr. Kline's question to you. So can you explain the <br> disparity based upon Mr. Kline's questioning? <br> MR. DAVIS: No, other than I don't recall seeing this because this was part of the analysis. <br> MR. CHEN: Okay. <br> MR. DAVIS: To be very blunt about it, I didn't <br> get involved in it because I'm not the transportation <br> planner. <br> MR. CHEN: Okay. <br> MR. DAVIS: But I do recall something being said <br> by one of the Applicant's witnesses that there could be the 8 occasional backup. I can't remember who said it. <br> MR. CHEN: And I said you certainly abide by this <br> excerpt from the Applicant's traffic report; is that correct? <br> MR. DAVIS: I think that that's a definitive <br> statement that they believe based on their review that it will not occur. <br> 25 MR. CHEN: Thank you. I've no further questions. |
| 34 | 36 |
| ```that right? MR. DAVIS: Yes. MR. CHEN: Okay. Let me read something from the staff -- from the report to see if you are familiar with this. MR. KLINE: Mr. Chen, could you please cite a page or something we are looking at? MR. CHEN: It's Exhibit 66. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: 63. MR. CHEN: 63, excuse me. (Exhibit 63 was introduced.) HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Page? MR. CHEN: Page 18. MR. KLINE: And that's the staff report? MR. CHEN: This is your draft report is my understanding. MR. KLINE: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you were told about the staff report. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: That's what I thought too. Okay, go ahead. Page 16 ? MR. CHEN: 18. HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: 18, okay. Go ahead. MR. CHEN: Mr. Davis, the Applicant's report at that page, and I quote, "the results of the analysis also``` | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right. <br> Thank you, Mr. Davis. You may be temporarily excused. <br> MR. DAVIS: Okay. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And Mr. -- is <br> this our time for Mr. Cook? <br> MR. KLINE: Mr. Cook is on the line and I believe <br> that was the arrangement we all agreed upon. So I will call <br> him to rebut previous testimony and anything he heard Mr. <br> Davis's testimony that he feels he would like to comment on. <br> Can you please give me one second to get a little organized <br> here? <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah, we can. <br> Yes. Do you want to take a five-minute break? <br> MR. KLINE: That would be helpful, thank you. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay, let's do <br> that. Let's go off the record for five minutes. We will <br> get back at 10:26. <br> (Off the record at 10:21 a.m., resuming at 10:26 <br> a.m.) <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right. Go ahead. I think Mr. Cook is up. <br> MR. KLINE: Mr. Cook, would you please state your <br> name and address? And remember that your under oath from <br> previous swearing. <br> 25 MR. COOK: Sure. My name is Glenn Cook. Our |



```
of that subject?
    MR. COOK: Sure. The (inaudible) traffic
generated --
    MR. KLINE: Mr. Cook, I've lost you. Is anyone
else having that problem?
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I --
    COURT REPORTER: This is the court reporter. I
was going to say the same thing. I can't hear him here at
all.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: His volume is
turned down very, very low.
    MR. COOK: That any better?
    MR. KLINE: Yeah, can you start over again?
    MR. COOK: Sure.
    MR. KLINE:Thank you.
    MR. COOK:The question was in reference to the
trip generation data that we used for our study. The
Institute of Transportation Engineers trip generation report
as a document that provides data for hundreds of different
types of land uses for a property. And as part of each of
those uses they look at a -- you have options. Some of them
are based on a fitted curve equation and others are based on
strictly trip rates.
    And a lot of them -- to determine which one of
the two you use, while for the most part there are
```

guidelines, but they really have to be examined because they
are not always applicable to one another. But in this
particular case, the requirement tells you you have to have
a certain number of study points that are included in
establishing the equation.
And if you meet that criteria and there is an
equation that's available, then most agencies request that
you use the equation as opposed to the rate. There is
something that refers to the R squared that appears on the
printouts. And you would like that number to be above .70
or .75. It's a reliability factor. But the counties and
particularly Montgomery County who reviewed this project
often ignored that if you have a sufficient number of points
in your study and wants you to use the equation.
In this particular point we prepared a scoping
agreement. And based on discussions back and forth with the
staff we were instructed to use the fitted curve equation as
a basis for the trip generation.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Klein?
MR. KLINE: I'm sorry. Yeah. Mr. Cook,
(inaudible). I'm getting a (inaudible). Is that a problem
for others?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: If anyone has a
device that is on, like a cell phone or something in
addition to this hearing, you should turn it off.

41

1 know

MR. KLINE: Thank you. You are also asked the question -- I'm sorry. There was also a statement that your gap analysis, three quarters of the gaps that you had identified were actually not usable. Would you concur in the comment?

MR. COOK: No, I would not agree with that. The gap study that we performed and was part of the letter -- I
11 don't know the exhibit number. But the gap study that was dated December 17, 2019 breaks it down and shows the number of available gaps that would be present based on the traffic along Needwood Road. And that number of gaps well exceeded the necessary number of gaps to be able to clear out the 16 driveway from the daycare center.

MR. KLINE: Sort of going to that question of the intersection operation. Is there anything in our studies to date that indicates the -- well, how many cars can be cleared through a light? Or maybe as they it a more common way of asking. What is a normal -- what is the -- how many light cycles does it take to clear the intersection for people waiting? Do we have that information?

MR. COOK: That particular number can't be 5 defined. It can be -- the results of the analysis that we

| 45 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 do results in levels of service, which are A through F. | 1 assistance in trying to address that problem? |
| 2 Sort of like school grades. A through D is acceptable. E | 2 MR. COOK: In my opinion there are, yes. |
| 3 through F are undesirable. When you get to the level of | 3 MR. KLINE: All right, thank you. You heard |
| 4 service high Ds low Es, you can expect that not all of the | 4 testimony about the lines of sight study. Would you explain |
| 5 Carson going to necessarily make it through on the first | 5 from a traffic engineering point of view why the driveway |
| 6 cycle of the light. But there is really no way to determine | 6 shown on the Primrose plan is in the optimum location from a |
| 7 exactly how many times that's going to o | 7 traffic engineering point of view? |
| 8 MR. KLINE: The -- your studies show the levels | 8 MR. COOK: Okay. And actually there is a couple |
| 9 of service at the critical intersection at all time periods | 9 of reasons. When we first started this project, of course, |
| 10 to be at least a D and higher in most cas | 10 we were considering using Carnegie Road as the access to the |
| 11 MR. COOK: For the overall intersection delay, | 11 property. And what we found was there was a -- an over |
| 12 yes, that's correct. | 12 vertical to the east along Needwood Road that caused the |
| 13 MR. KLINE: I believe you would've heard the | 13 visibility of cars coming out of Carnegie to be able to see |
| 14 comment that the left-hand turns at the intersection of | 14 the desired distance to oncoming traffic. |
| 15 Needwood and Redland road from westbound Needwo | 15 MR. KLINE: Mr. Cook, can I interrupt you for a |
| 16 southbound Redland are a tight or tough turn. Would you | 16 second? Consistent with the testimony he's making right |
| 17 concur that characterization? | 17 now, Mme. hearing examiner, could you pull up Exhibit KKKK? |
| 18 MR. COOK: Could you repeat the directions again | 18 That was a photograph provided by Ms. English taken from |
| 19 please? | 19 further west -- further east rather, of the driveway. And I |
| 20 MR. KLINE: Yeah, sure. Westbound Needwood to | 20 think it would be good at that as a backdrop for Mr. Cook's |
| 21 southbound Redland was commented -- described as being a | 21 testimony. |
| 22 tough turn because of the curve of the road at that point. | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Is it |
| 23 Would you concur with that observation? | 23 227-KKKK? |
| 24 MR. COOK: I recall hearing testimony | 24 Mr. KLINE: Yes, ma'am |
| 25 right turn from Redland Road onto Needwood Road was | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Four K's? |
| 46 | 48 |
| 1 difficult to make. And I believe that was the testimony | 1 MR. KLINE: Five I believe actually. |
| 2 that we have previously discussed | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Five K's, okay. |
| 3 MR. KLINE: And if I recall, you talked | 3 Wait, I have that here, I'll show you what I have. And I'm |
| 4 measures that could be taken to try and improve that | 4 not sure it's what you want. This is 227 -KKKK. And it's |
| 5 movement. Am I correct? | 5 the letter regarding the landscape plan. |
| 6 MR. COOK: That's correct | 6 MR. KLINE: Sure, it's actually five K's is the |
| 7 MR. KLINE: And could you repeat what those -- | 7 one I'm looking for. So it's one more K. |
| 8 describe what those improvements would be and what the | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh, I apologize. |
| 9 effect would be? | 9 That's OOOO. I'm getting there. I'm getting there. |
| 10 MR. COOK: There's two things that can | 10 Five K's. Do you know which packet of 227 this |
| 11 relatively easily. The first one, the problem with the cars | 11 is in? No, okay. I'll look. |
| 12 turning right from Redland onto Needwood is with a swing | 12 MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner, this is Bill Chen. |
| 13 around the radius, they actually cross over the existing | 13 You might try D. |
| 14 stop bar. So if a car is pulled up and goes beyond that | 14 (Crosstalk) |
| 15 stop bar, it makes it very difficult if not impossible for a | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Is that Ms. |
| 16 car to be able to make that right turn there. So one of the | 16 Kosary? Doctor -- |
| 17 easy, quick fixes would be -- is to move that stop bar back | 17 DR. ENGLISH: This is Dr. English. If you keep |
| 18 a little distance so that there's more space there for cars | 18 going you are getting close. |
| 19 to be able to make that swing to proceed on to Needwood | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh, I'm sorry. |
| 20 Road. The second possibility would be to enlarge the radius | 20 Someday I will get the -- how many letters there are |
| 21 of that corner so the car can make a wider turn there and | 21 straight. I'm looking for the five K's. |
| 22 not need to swing in to the other lanes at the | 22 DR. ENGLISH: Correct. |
| 23 intersections. | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And I'm in the |
| 24 MR. KLINE: So there are solutions that are | 24 four. For the record, I'm scrolling through this exhibit to |
| 25 within the control of the Applicant with the DOTs | 25 find KK -- 227 to find KKKK. |


|  | 49 | 51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DR. ENGLISH: Go slow. It's coming up. Go back, | 1 MR. COOK: Yes. |
|  | back, back. Three more. One more | 2 MR. KLINE: I'm not sure I know the location of |
|  | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. | 3 where the car is parked, but could you explain what one ca |
|  | ENGLISH: Keep going. | 4 see in the distance to the -- in the center of the |
|  | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: This says KKKK. | 5 photograph? |
|  | DR. ENGLISH: Oh, okay. Is that -- I think | 6 MR. COOK: What this picture shows, I believe is |
|  | that's -- | 7 coming out of one of the driveways that is on the south side |
|  | Mr. Kline, do you want the Carnegie? | 8 of Needwood Road adjacent to our property and not on our |
|  | MR. KLINE: No, this is the photograph I was | 9 property. If you look to -- if you imagine you are sitting |
|  | looking for. | 10 in the driver seat and you look to your left, you see the |
| 11 | (Exhibit 227-KKKKK was introduced.) | 11 over vertical in the roadway, which limits or restricts the |
| 12 | DR. ENGLISH: Okay. | 12 available site distance that exists at that location. |
| 13 | Mr. KLINE: Thank you for the help Ms. English. | 13 That's same issue that we had a further to the west. |
|  | Mr. Cook, I'm not sure I know the exact location -- | 14 At this location, if this is in the same |
| 15 | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Kline, there | 15 direction where we were proposing or it was proposed that |
|  | 16 is feedback coming from -- | 16 the driveway be moot, not down as far as his property, but |
|  | MR. KLINE: Okay. I won't touch anything other | 17 in that direction. And what that did was it takes that over |
|  | than my on and off button in. | 18 vertical out of the equation and no longer would restrict |
| 19 | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No. No. There | 19 the site distance. Yeah, it was still restrict the site |
|  | is -- try talking out. | 20 distance to a point, but it would improve the distance that |
|  | MR. KLINE: Mr. Cook - | 21 you can see as well as providing acceptable site distance |
| 22 | (Discussion off the record; technical | 22 the east. |
| 23 | difficulties.) | 23 So the relocation of the driveway to the daycare |
|  | MR. KLINE: I've taken Mr. Frey's advice and | 24 center to the east from its original site entrance location |
|  | reduced the volume on my end. Is that any better? | 25 improves the site distance along Needwood Road in both |
|  | 50 | 52 |
|  | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No. You were | 1 directions. |
|  | coming through fine before | 2 MR. KLINE: Excuse me. Does this photograph |
|  | MR. KLINE: Yeah, I have no idea what the problem | 3 demonstrate the premise that the Primrose driveway is |
|  | is. And we need somebody in my firm to help me because this | 4 located in the optimum position for line of visibility and |
|  | beyond my limited technological abilities. | 5 line of sight? |
|  | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, if people | 6 MR. COOK: Yes, the further to the east it is |
|  | are okay with it, we can continue. | 7 located on our property the greater the site distance in |
|  | MR. KLINE: And tell me what you are hearing so I | 8 both directions along Needwood Road. |
|  | will try to modulate my phrasing better. | 9 MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner, I would like to object |
|  | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I don't know how | 10 and strike that. The Applicant has not done a gap study. |
|  | to describe it. It's like -- it's like a -- I don't know. | 11 There is -- sight distance space, excuse me. Thank you. |
|  | Mr. Cook, you're on -- Mr. Cook, you're on mute. | 12 They have not submitted a sight distance study and |
|  | MR. COOK: Okay. Now I'm okay? | 13 accordingly I object to any testimony about an optimum sight |
|  | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah. | 14 distance. And I moved to strike this testimony. |
|  | Mr. COOK: Okay. I was going to say -- possibly | 15 MR. KLINE: I understand the point and I concede |
|  | 16 suggest that if Mr. Kline, because of the reverb that we're | 16 that there is not been a line of sight study provided by the |
|  | getting, if he talked just a little bit slower and there was | 17 Applicant. But the opposition to provide one. But the |
|  | more time between the words I would be able to understand | 18 point I'm trying to make is this photograph, exhibit KKKK, |
|  | what he is saying I think. | 19 visually demonstrates why the driveway to the Primrose site |
|  | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. | 20 is in the best location. It gives the best line of sight in |
|  | MR. COOK: We can try that. | 21 all directions. |
|  | MR. KLINE: I need to practice for -- to talk to | 22 MR. CHEN: Whatever this photograph shows -- |
|  | my granddaughter on Easter. So this will be good practice. | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah, I will |
|  | Mr. Cook, you're looking at exhibit five Ks. Or | 24 take it in for the weight it deserves, but I am not |
|  | that's on the screen. | 25 convinced that this photograph does what he wishes it to do. |

1 petition from 15 percent of the households in an area being
proposed for traffic restrictions. The Department of
Transportation will provide a standard application form for
this purpose. Neighborhoods would have submitted written
request for volume restrictions prior to adoption of this
regulation.
And it goes on to say that even if an application
is filed the County still has the right not to implement
those improvements or require meeting those goals if it's
going to have an impact. And I'm trying to find the exact
words here. But it's on more major roadways such as
Muncaster Mill Road or Redland Road which certainly, if cut
through traffic wasn't allowed on Redland road it would have
an impact on other major streets in the area.
So the 50 percent analysis that was done, while
it was done correctly, doesn't automatically mean that the
County is going to come in and restrict movements by putting
in one way streets or barricades and things of that nature,
and that the County has the right to make the determination
that this road serves another purpose other than just to
accommodate the local residents in the area.
MR. KLINE: And in fact, has the County made any
traffic coming or improvements to Needwood consistent with
the executive regulation?
MR. COOK: I don't know if it was related to the
regulation itself, but yes, speed bumps have been built
along a portion of Needwood Road, particularly in the area
that we've been talking about for this application.
MR. KLINE: And is Needwood --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I'm sorry.
Speed bumps on which road?
MR. COOK: On Needwood Road.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And where are
they exactly?
MR. COOK: I don't have a plan that shows the
exact location, but I believe there's 3 to 4 speed bumps
located along the roadway. And common practice is speed
bumps have to be at least 200 feet apart. That's normal
design preference. But they do directly impact the frontage
along these properties that we are talking about from the
church up to Redland Road.
MR. KLINE: Mr. Cook, your comment, or at least
the use of the phrase 200 feet, reminded me that I think I
probably took you out of something else you wanted to say
when I was talking about the location of the driveway to the
Primrose site and pulled you into the 5 K photograph. When I
was asking you why is the driveway in the right location
there had -- a question have been raised about testimony you
presented indicating that it's appropriate to have
driveways -- well, let me rephrase it this way. Separation

2 proposed for traffic restrictions. The Department of
3 Transportation will provide a standard application form for

```
So go ahead.
    MR. KLINE: Thank you. Mr. Cook, there was
testimony classifying on this -- I'm sorry -- noting that
Needwood Road was classified as a primary residential
collector, I think is the term. With that is actual volume
of traffic.And the way that it's being used by the
community is something in excess of what its intended
purpose would be from a transportation classification. Can
you please provide your comments on that observation?
    MR. COOK:Sure. Needwood Road is classified as
a primary residential roadway. There was an exhibit that
was submitted by the opposition in reference to a County
Council bill. It's document JJJ.6.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN:Three Js?
    MR. COOK: Yes
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Is that the
executive regulation?
    MR. COOK: Yes, it is. Yes. Dated 1994.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN:This document?
    (Exhibit 227-JJJ was introduced.)
    MR. COOK: Yes. Yes, ma'am. That's it. And --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And what do you
want to say about it?
    MR. COOK: Okay. This document talks about the
percent of cut through traffic along residential roadways.
So go ahead.
MR. KLINE: Thank you. Mr. Cook, there was testimony classifying on this -- I'm sorry -- noting that
Needwood Road was classified as a primary residential
collector, I think is the term. With that is actual volume
of traffic. And the way that it's being used by the
community is something in excess of what its intended
purpose would be from a transportation classification. Can
you please provide your comments on that observation?
MR. COOK: Sure. Needwood Road is classified as
a primary residential roadway. There was an exhibit that
was submitted by the opposition in reference to a County
Council bill. It's document JJJ.6.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Three Js? MR. COOK: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Is that the
executive regulation?
MR. COOK: Yes, it is. Yes. Dated 1994.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: This document?
(Exhibit 227-JJJ was introduced.)
MR. COOK: Yes. Yes, ma'am. That's it. And --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And what do you
want to say about it?
MR. COOK: Okay. This document talks about the
percent of cut through traffic along residential roadways.
```

And the bill discusses 50 percent or more cut through
traffic means measures should be or could be taken by
government to restrict in some manner the amount of cut
through traffic along that roadway.
In prior testimony volumes were developed to show
that the amount of cut through traffic between Muncaster
Mill Road and Redland Road along Needwood Road likely
exceeded that 50 percent. And we have -- I have no
objections to the calculations that were on the sheet. They
look like they were prepared properly. The problem is
the -- this particular document primarily deals with smaller
residential roadways that don't impact arterial roadways or
higher.
14 The -- in this case, on page 4 of that document,
15 the last paragraph, the -- this program is a program that is
16 to be initiated by the local residents. They have to obtain
17 a certain number of signatures, go to the County, ask the
18 County if this is a feasible solution for them. And the
19 County then can make a decision based on many factors
20 whether other steps need to be taken to regulate through
21 traffic along this roadway.
22 On page 4, the last paragraph states that a
23 request from the neighborhood through traffic volume
24 restrictions may be made by local citizens association which
25 represents a significant number of residents whereby a

And the bill discusses 50 percent or more cut through
traffic means measures should be or could be taken by
government to restrict in some manner the amount of cut
through traffic along that roadway.
In prior testimony volumes were developed to show that the amount of cut through traffic between Muncaster Mill Road and Redland Road along Needwood Road likely exceeded that 50 percent. And we have -- I have no
objections to the calculations that were on the sheet. They 10 look like they were prepared properly. The problem is 11 the -- this particular document primarily deals with smaller residential roadways that don't impact arterial roadways or higher.
14 The -- in this case, on page 4 of that document, 15 the last paragraph, the -- this program is a program that is 16 to be initiated by the local residents. They have to obtain 17 a certain number of signatures, go to the County, ask the 18 County if this is a feasible solution for them. And the 19 County then can make a decision based on many factors 20 whether other steps need to be taken to regulate through
21 traffic along this roadway.
22 On page 4, the last paragraph states that a
23 request from the neighborhood through traffic volume
24 restrictions may be made by local citizens association which
25 represents a significant number of residents whereby a

```
of 200 feet. Can you please explain what you were thinking
when you said that?
    MR. COOK:Well, the local government and
different agencies have different criteria. I was unable to
locate any directly related to Montgomery County, but when
we were originally looking at Carnegie Street as a roadway,
which obviously a great deal of discussion took place with
the County staff about what was going to happen with that
road, we said that we should try to keep the driveway
outside of what they referred to as the influence of an
intersection, because of turning vehicles in and out of that
driveway.
    And I suggested that it was common practice by
many jurisdictions to try to be 200 -- a minimum of 200 feet
away from a corner of an intersection like that. That 200
feet again is just a preference. That's a distance that we
try to keep. But in many cases you can't do that. And as a
point of reference I would say on a corner where you have
gas stations there is no way you can have your driveways }20
feet away from an intersection. So the 200 is just a
general rule of thumb, but it varies based on the existing
conditions in the field.
    MR. KLINE:And is the -- and is the width of the
subject property 200 feet along its frontage on Needwood
Road? If you know.
MR. COOK: I don't know the exact length of it,
but I believe it exceeds 200 feet slightly.
    MR. KLINE:Thank you. Going back to Needwood
Road --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I'm sorry. What
did you say you think exceeds 200 feet, Mr. Cook? A
proposed driveway?
    MR. COOK: The length of the frontage of the
property along Needwood Road.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Go ahead.
    MR. KLINE: So Mr. Cook, I guess my simple wrap
up question on Needwood Road is, it may not be operating in
the manner of a classic primary residential street. But is
the road capable of handling the volume of traffic presently
there or pre-Covid there and with the Primrose facility on
the road?
    MR. COOK: In my opinion yes, it is.
    MR. KLINE: And then, can you try and bring some
clarity to the different --
    MR. CHEN: Pardon me, Mr. Kline. I'm trying to
not interfere with the presentation. But I object to that
last answer that there is no basis that The Traffic Group
has provided in its data for that type of a conclusion.
They have provided intersection analysis, which we have
talked about, but they have not provided any data to support
```

that type of a conclusion.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I think
that's a matter for cross-examination.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. KLINE: Mr. Cook, you heard Mr. Davis's
testimony. And can you help us understand why the empirical
evidence seems to suggest one conclusion and the neighbors,
and I won't even classify them as anecdotal, but the
neighbor's testimony paints a different picture? Why do we
have this gap between the two positions?
MR. COOK: Well, I'm not sure that there is a gap. We have stated that at times the blockage would extend back to Carnegie Street as part of the empirical data that we submitted. That's consistent with what the neighbors say they observe from time to time and that's consistent with Mr. Davis's testimony as I heard it. So I'm not sure there is a difference.

MR. KLINE: There was testimony, I can remember the phrase about Ms. Tapscott having to actually stick her car out into Needwood Road in order to be able to make attorney movement off of Needwood and being concerned about the safety issue. I mean, do we have any evidence of any accident records along that stretch of road to support the safety issue related to the road?

MR. COOK: I do have accident information that I
had obtained from the Maryland database for all accidents
that are reported. And it did not identify any accidents
over a three-year period between the church itself and a
little bit further to the east, actually almost to the golf course and Needwood Road.

MR. KLINE: You said Needwood. Do you mean Redland?

MR. COOK: I mean Redland. Yes, sir. Thank you.
MR. KLINE: All right. So from the stretch
from -- the intersection of Redland and Needwood to
somewhere east of the subject property there is no evidence
of any accidents reported to the State during what time period?

MR. COOK: Over the -- from the past three years.
The only accidents identified was I believe there were two
or three identified at the intersection of Redland and
Needwood, but not along Needwood.
MR. KLINE: Thank you. I have no further questions of Mr. Cook at this time.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I have one. I'm going to take you back to 233 , if I can get it. Okay. This
is your corrected report. I'm looking at page -- let's see
if I'm on the right page.
MR. COOK: Three.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Page 3.

| 61 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: |
| MR. COOK: The bottom. | 1 car plus the gap in a queue? How long? How many feet do |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes. So the | 2 you attribute to each car? |
| 3 intersection delay there, is that -- does that equation | 3 MR. COOK: Normally it's 25 feet. |
| 4 incorporate all approaches? | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. |
| MR. COOK: Yes (inaudible). | 5 MR. COOK: I might add that the results of the |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: You give it a | 6 analysis that are shown on those worksheets, the County is |
| 7 level of service C, all right; correct? | 7 responsible for putting the timing on the traffic signals at |
| 8 MR. COOK: That's what it calculated to be, yes. | 8 signalized intersections in Montgomery County. When we |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. So that's | 9 enter this data, we utilized the timing that was given to us |
| 10 why the result doesn't change. Is that what you are saying? | 10 by Montgomery County. The results that you are referring to |
| 11 Mr. COOK: No, the -- | 11 of the Es and the Fs, the Es along Needwood, but then the As |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Because no | 12 along Redland, timing can be adjusted so that we would add a |
| 13 matter what approach, you are still averaging -- or I -- | 13 little more delay to the Redland Road which would improve |
| 14 what is the equation to get the intersection delay? | 14 the operation of the Needwood Road. |
| 15 MR. COOK: The intersection delay is the average | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I had a |
| 16 of all the vehicles and the amount of delay that they | 16 case once, and is not an easy thing to get MCDOT -- you |
| 17 experience going through the intersection. You don't -- | 17 don't control the timing. That's MCDOT, correct? |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: From every | 18 MR. COOK: That's my -- that was my exact point. |
| 19 approach? | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And they -- |
| 20 Mr. COOK: Yes, ma'am | 20 Mr. COOK: That's where I was going, yes. |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So that's why | 21 Montgomery County and the State Highway administration both, |
| 22 the numbers -- you put the right volumes back, or the right | 22 their priority is always to their major roadway through an |
| 23 delays or the right queues back into the right approaches, | 23 intersection because that's where most of the traffic is. |
| 24 but that's why it doesn't change the overall equation? | 24 So they try to minimize the amount of delay to the heavier |
| 25 Mr. COOK: Well, we put in the volumes on the | 25 movements at the intersection. |
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| 1 right approaches and the software reran the analysis and the | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Right. |
| 2 answer doesn't change. We did not mess with any of the -- | 2 MR. COOK: So that's where I was going when you |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So is the | 3 raised that point. This could be adjusted and we could |
| 4 acceptable level of service all of the -- it includes all of | 4 probably have a lower overall intersection delay and a |
| 5 the approaches? | 5 better approach delay on the minor streets, but that |
| 6 MR. COOK: That's correct. Based on the county | 6 something that's beyond our control, but doesn't mean we |
| 7 local area transportation review guidelines, the standard -- | 7 can't go back to the County at some point and say can you |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: You've got a F | 8 re-examine the timing at this intersection. But that's a |
| 9 and two Es -- | 9 given when we do our analysis to get that from them |
| 10 MR. COOK: Yes. | 10 MR. KLINE: Mr. Cook, isn't it a fact that there |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: On eastbound -- | 11 is somewhere over the executive office building somebody |
| 12 so this looks like if you -- I'm circling the timer results. | 12 sitting in front of a bunch of computer screens with cameras |
| 13 No, I'm sorry. I'm circling movement group results. Okay. | 13 on each of these intersections and has the capability of |
| 14 The first eastbound -- I just want to make sure I understand | 14 adjusting the signal timing at that very point in time if |
| 15 this. The first eastbound, is that Needwood or is that | 15 they observe a problem or if requested to do so? |
| 16 Redland? That's Needwood, correct? | 16 MR. COOK: That is correct. |
| 17 MR. COOK: That's correct. | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah, but |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And westbound is | 18 there's many factors they consider as I understand it. And |
| 19 also Needwood? | 19 maybe I'm wrong. There's many factors that they consider in |
| 20 Mr. COOK: Correct. | 20 that. But I will -- now where in this exhibit |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So without the | 21 (inaudible) -- |
| 22 Redland two approaches Needwood is failing, correct? | 22 MR. KLINE: And before you do that, can I ask one |
| 23 MR. COOK: It has a poor level service delay, | 23 more question, Mr. Cook? Because I think you're changing |
| 24 yes. | 24 subjects. |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: How long is a | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| MR. KLINE: In my question Mr. Cook was going to | 1 MR. COOK: Yes, it is. |
| 2 be, because legitimately we all would like to see the | 2 MR. CHEN: And so we've got a level of service |
| 3 intersection work better. So what's the policy of basically | 3 eastbound on -- I apologize. Can you scroll me down a |
| 4 tolerating -- so excuse me -- having the adequate public | 4 little bit further? Down. You went up. There you go. |
| 5 facilities under LATR guidelines operate in a manner that | 5 Thank you. Okay. Where showing -- what does the L and the |
| 6 allows some impact on one and as much as E to A and E and F | 6 T at the top of the column, sir? |
| 7 to an A on another one. Why is that considered to be an | 7 MR. COOK: The L represents the leff turns. The |
| 8 acceptable public policy? | 8 T represents the through movements. And the R represents |
| 9 MR. CHEN: Objection. You know, we are talking | 9 the right turns at the intersection. |
| 10 about, as I understand it, it's a question asking if there | 10 MR. CHEN: Okay. So as I understand it, the |
| 11 is a public policy or governmental policy on something. | 11 information that is being (inaudible) at this time the level |
| 12 I've seen nothing that this particular witness or The | 12 of service for left turns on eastbound Needwood is E and |
| 13 Traffic Group is in a position to document and articulate | 13 through traffic eastbound on (inaudible) is F. Is that |
| 14 what is government policy. | 14 correct, sir? |
| 15 MR. KLINE: Sure. | 15 MR. COOK: The through and the right turn volume |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: On this one I | 16 is F , yes. |
| 17 would prefer to hear from MCDOT rather than the hearsay. | 17 MR. CHEN: Yes, thank you. And then when we get |
| 18 MR. KLINE: Sure. Then I will just make it very | 18 to westbound just moving further to the right, the level of |
| 19 simple and we can make our own assumptions about why | 19 service is E left bound, E through, and E right turn. Is |
| 20 government does what they do. And that is, does the traffic | 20 that correct, sir? |
| 21 materials we have submitted to the County meet all of the | 21 MR. COOK: Actually the middle column under the |
| 22 County's regulations and comply with APF and other | 22 westbound, the one to your left with the L is a left turn. |
| 23 regulations? | 23 The second column is the left through an (inaudible) |
| 24 MR. CHEN: If he knows | 24 movement because left turns are allowed to be made from the |
| 25 MR. COOK: Yes, they do. | 25 second lane at this intersection. |
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| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. I think | 1 MR. CHEN: Okay, but it's still at LOS E? |
| 2 I've got my -- well, I'm going to let Mr. Chen go. Mr. | 2 MR. COOK: Yes. |
| 3 Chen? | 3 MR. CHEN: And that empirical information I guess |
| 4 MR. CHEN: Let's go back to that page 3 just for | 4 corroborates the testimony that the area residents describe. |
| 5 a minute, Madam Examiner. I'm going to try to jump around | 5 Isn't that right, sir? |
| 6 little bit obviously but try to follow the most recent. Mr. | 6 MR. COOK: Certainly. That level of delay can |
| 7 Cook? | 7 result in queueing, yes. |
| MR. COOK: Yes. | 8 MR. CHEN: And by the way, did you hear any of |
| 9 MR. CHEN: Directing your attention to the bottom | 9 that anecdotal -- that's Mr. Kline's word, but I will accept |
| 10 part of page 3, you see where the Examiner had the line | 10 it . Did you find that any of that anecdotal testimony of |
| 11 level of service LOS? | 11 area residents describing the traffic on Needwood to be |
| 12 Mr. COOK: Yes. | 12 inaccurate in any way? |
| 13 MR. CHEN: And that is, as I understand it, we're | 13 MR. COOK: No, and I believe I've testified that |
| 14 talking about Needwood; is that correct, sir? | 14 we will -- we do have, even with the empirical data, we do |
| 15 MR. COOK: The line that says level of service, | 15 show that at some times there could be a queue back to |
| 16 I'm assuming you are referring to the one that's the third | 16 Carnegie. |
| 17 line up from the shaded area. | 17 MR. CHEN: Well, your traffic report on page 18 |
| 18 MR. CHEN: My bar -- I think it's the third line. | 18 states, "the results of the analysis also indicate that the |
| 19 It says -- thank you. It says level of service, LOS. And | 19 95th percentile queue of eastbound traffic along Needwood |
| 20 then it has two columns. What happened there? | 20 would not extend to Carnegie Avenue and block the |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I did that to | 21 intersection which is 500 feet away." Is that statement |
| it easier to read. | 22 still accurate in light of your testimony today? |
| 23 Mr. CHEN: Okay, thank you very much. As I | 23 MR. COOK: I -- could you read that again, |
| 24 understand it, is talking about eastbound and westbound on | 24 please? |
| 25 Needwood. Is that correct, sir? | 25 MR. CHEN: Sure. It's on page 18 of The Traffic |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Group report. If you have it in you want to get to it -- | 1 MR. COOK: And to observe traffic while they are |
| 2 MR. COOK: Okay, yes. Give me one second please. | 2 there, yes. |
| 3 Okay. | 3 MR. CHEN: Okay. Do you -- I didn't see anything |
| 4 MR. CHEN: And again I quote the sentence. I | 4 in your reports about your personal observations. |
| 5 can't tell you exactly where it is on the page, but it's on | 5 MR. COOK: That's just -- we don't normally |
| 6 that page. | 6 report that unless there is a problem. And if there was a |
| 7 MR. COOK: It's on the top of the page. | 7 problem, we wouldn't've had the cameras in operation. |
| 8 MR. CHEN: It states -- do you see the sentence, | 8 MR. CHEN: Okay. So as I understand it, we're |
| 9 sir | 9 not talking about people who are literally out there sitting |
| 10 MR. COOK: Yes, I do. | 10 to document what is going on on the road. It's people going |
| 11 MR. CHEN: Are you today in any way backing off | 11 up, setting up cameras? |
| 12 that statement? | 12 MR. COOK: Right. They do observe traffic while |
| 13 MR. COOK: The statement on page 18 that I | 13 they are there so that we know that what they see is |
| 14 believe you're referring to say the results of the analysis | 14 consistent with what the video shows. But these are people |
| 15 also indicate that the 95th percentile queue of eastbound | 15 that do this every day and observe traffic at the |
| 16 traffic along Needwood Road, it should really be westbound | 16 intersections. |
| 17 traffic along Needwood Road, will not extend to Carnegie | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, how long |
| 18 Avenue and block the intersection, which is 500 feet away. | 18 are they there I guess is what I'm wondering. |
| 19 I do -- that's consistent with what I've been testifying is | 19 MR. COOK: Normally in order to set up a camera |
| 20 that 95 percent of the time you won't have a queue, but 5 | 20 and sit there and observe, I would -- it varies. |
| 21 percent of the time is what this is saying, traffic could | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: If you know. |
| 22 block Carnegie Avenue. So it's consistent with all my | 22 you know. |
| 23 testimony and our analysis. | 23 MR. COOK: I'm going to say 15 minutes to half |
| 24 MR. CHEN: Okay. And it should be westbound? | 24 hour. |
| 25 MR. COOK: Yes, I'm sorry. | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: How long is the |
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| 1 MR. CHEN: Okay. Now how many times did traffic | 1 camera there? |
| 2 have somebody out along the roadway of Needwood between, for | 2 MR. COOK: The camera is usually there. We take |
| 3 instance the subject property and its intersection with | 3 it out and -- for instance if we were counting on a Tuesday, |
| 4 Redmond to either observe traffic, count traffic, document | 4 we would go out on Monday and install the camera. It would |
| 5 traffic? | 5 be in operation all day Tuesday. Then we would go back out |
| 6 MR. COOK: I would say I don't know the exact | 6 on Wednesday and remove the camera and bring it back to the |
| 7 number, but in all likelihood it would've been 10 occasions. | 7 office. |
| 8 MR. CHEN: Okay. Now as I recollect though, | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. |
| 9 there was testimony that information was taken from cameras | 9 MR. CHEN: And Madam Examiner, I didn't want to |
| 10 that were set up. Is that right, sir? | 10 interrupt you. I'm not done. |
| 11 MR. COOK: That's correct, | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I've got more |
| 12 MR. CHEN: So amI correct when you say 10 | 12 questions, but not on this subject, topic. |
| 13 times, you haven't reported that in any of your reports or | 13 MR. CHEN: So Mr. Cook, I did not see in your |
| 14 evidence. Is that right, sir? | 14 organization's report, traffic group, and reports of |
| 15 MR. COOK: No, we don't report that. But I -- | 15 observations made by any of the staff when they were out |
| 16 the $10-$ since I stated that I didn't know the exact | 16 setting up or maintaining or removing cameras. |
| 17 number, the 10 came from when we go out and install cameras. | 17 MR. COOK: We did not -- we dop not normally note |
| 18 Our technicians observe the intersection to make sure it | 18 that unless there is an incident in the area. |
| 19 works okay. They go back out, pick themup, and we counted | 19 MR. CHEN: Okay. Okay. But as I also understand |
| 20 all these locations in excess of two times. And then I've | 20 there is no dispute about the accuracy of the first-hand |
| 21 made two trips to the intersection myself. So that's how I | 21 testimony given by the area residents. |
| 22 came up with the 10 occasions. | 22 MR. COOK: And what was that testimony consisting |
| 23 MR. CHEN: So those 10 occasions I understand | 23 of? |
| 24 your testimony, when staff were not the set up, or maintain, 25 or remove cameras? | 24 MR. CHEN: Well, you had a fair number of people. <br> 25 You were present during the hearing when people testified |
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where they live and what their experience was on Needwood.
I think there were a couple of people including one I
recollect to add photographs of backups. As I understand it
there is no dispute with any of that testimony. Isn't that
right, sir?
    MR. COOK: No, I believe is consistent with what
we found to some degree.
    MR. CHEN: Okay, but it is firsthand information?
    MR. COOK: I agree.
    MR. CHEN: By the way, you made a reference
during your testimony about the 200 feet situation to County
staff. What you mean by that?
    MR. COOK: I did a -- I research County documents
to that's normally the counties or governing agencies have
standards that they issue for telling you -- giving you
examples in certain areas. You know, how much -- what the
distances between intersections is preferred, how you would
set up a maintenance of traffic area. And we did a -- we
did a research effort on the internet for Montgomery County.
    MR. CHEN:So that's the basis of your
representation about County staff?
    MR. COOK: I'm not sure so much about County
staff other than that the 200 feet I testified is common
among many government agencies, but I did not find any
specific information relating to Montgomery County.
where they live and what their experience was on Needwood.
I think there were a couple of people including one I
recollect to add photographs of backups. As I understand it
there is no dispute with any of that testimony. Isn't that right, sir?
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MR. COOK: I did a -- I research County documents to that's normally the counties or governing agencies have standards that they issue for telling you -- giving you examples in certain areas. You know, how much -- what the distances between intersections is preferred, how you would did a research effort on the internet for Montgomery County.
MR. CHEN: So that's the basis of your
representation about County staff?
MR. COOK: I'm not sure so much about County staff other than that the 200 feet I testified is common specific information relating to Montgomery County.
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MR. CHEN: Thank you. You also testified about
speed bumps. Isn't that right?
MR. COOK: Yes.
MR. CHEN: But on Needwood from the church to the
east to Redlands, the intersection with Redland, how many
speed bumps are there? If you know.
MR. COOK: From the church to the west of
Needwood (inaudible)?
MR. CHEN: Going -- from the church going west to
Redland.
MR. COOK: Okay. I don't know exactly. I
believe it to be three or four.
MR. CHEN: So your testimony today is between the
church and Redland on Needwood, there's 3 to 4 speed bumps?
MR. COOK: There are --
MR. KLINE: Objection, asked and answered.
MR. CHEN: Okay. I'll accept it. That's the
gentleman's testimony. I'll accept it, Mr. Klein. And your
testimony also was that these volume or controls can be
requested by the community, but under all circumstances the
ultimate decision is to be made by the local government
itself. Is that right?
MR. COOK: Yes, by the director of Montgomery
County DOT, yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And with regard to the access
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remember all that?
2 MR. COOK: Yes, I do.
MR. CHEN: And how long has The Traffic Group
been working on this project?
MR. COOK: On this project?
MR. CHEN: Yes.
MR. COOK: Prior to the pandemic, I would say -I don't know the exact date, but probably 2 to 3 years.
9 MR. CHEN: And during that 2 to 3 year period of
10 time, has either The Traffic Group or its client gone to the
11 County requesting any of these government controls that
10 time, has either The Traffic Group or its client gone to the
11 County requesting any of these government controls that 12 you've identified? Specifically the four that you've identified?
14 MR. COOK: We have not gone to the County asking 15 them about them. We have proffered them, if I'm not 16 mistaken, at the planning board hearing. But we don't
17 normally go to the County to get confirmation on making an 18 improvement until we realize we have a legitimate project.
19 So we're not putting the County through enough -- a review 20 effort and analysis effort when we don't even know if our 21 project will be approved or not.
22 MR. CHEN: Okay. So that -- as I understand then 23 your testimony, given the existing conditions, the four 24 areas of, I guess improvements, that's the right word, that 25 could be requested of the government, are the four that
onto Needwood, when you first testified sir, your testimony
was -- I can't give you the page, but I remember this clearly because we got into the Primrose program. Are you still taking the approach or understanding that the location that you have established as best for the application is based upon the Primrose program? Is that correct sir?

MR. COOK: I'm not sure that I related it to the Primrose program. As far as the location of the driveway I do remember testifying that the further the driveway is located to the east along the Primrose property, the better, based on my field observations, the better sight distances. But I don't remember relating it to the Primrose program.

MR. CHEN: Okay. So I take it your testimony 4 today is that regardless of the program that Primrose wishes to have on the subject property, the access point that you are showing is the best access point regardless of the program?

MR. COOK: Based on the number of students that 19 are proposed, yes.
20 MR. CHEN: Okay, thank you. Also, you did 1 mention that there were things that the County could do to improve the circumstance. You just gave us one a little bit ago about speed bumps. You also said that the bar could be moved. You also said that timing could be changed and you also said that the radius could be enlarged. Do you

| 77 | 79 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 you've identified? And again, I don't want to mislead you. | 1 those cars pulling into the yellow tapered areas, if you |
| 2 That's the timing. It's the moving the bar. It's the speed | 2 remember that testimony. |
| 3 bumps and enlarging the | 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Right |
| 4 MR. COOK: They are all remedies that could be | 4 (inaudible). |
| 5 suggested to improve the operation of that area, yes | 5 MR. COOK: Right. And in this area, there are |
| 6 MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner, give me one minute. I | 6 two things here that make this a little different. One, |
| 7 may be close. | 7 that yellow arrow area, to store one or two cars, there is |
| 8 That's all I've got, Madam Examiner. Thank you | 8 sufficient that cars should pull in there. But that's |
| 9 very much, Mr. Cook. | 9 against the law based on the pavement markings on the |
| 10 MR. COOK: Okay, thank you. | 10 roadway. But the biggest factor here is this is not the |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I just had one | 11 only left turn lane. So any car that can't fit into that |
| 12 other question. And I'm going to take you back to Exhibit | 12 lane should stay in the right-hand most lane, which is also |
| 13 233. And there is a line that says queue storage ratio | 13 allowed to make a left turn down at Redlands Road. So he |
| 14 MR. COOK: Yes. | 14 can still be in a turn lane and make that turn. He doesn't |
| 15 MR. CHEN: What exhibit are we on Madam Examiner? | 15 particularly have to be in that lane. But that's one of the |
| 16 I'm sorry. | 16 limitations of the software package. It doesn't identify |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: 233. It should | 17 that when you have joint use lanes like that. |
| 18 be up on the screen. Is it up on the screen? | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Now why is there |
| 19 MR. CHEN: Yes, it is. Yes. | 19 (inaudible) calculation in the right turn lane? Or is it |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. How do | 20 because the through lane is the right turn lane? |
| 21 you figure | 21 MR. COOK: Yes, the second -- the right hand most |
| 22 MR. COOK: The queue storage ratio is a | 22 (inaudible) around -- |
| 23 computation that's made within the functioning of the | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Wait, go ahead. |
| 24 software program. The queue storage ratio essentially tells | 24 You cut out for a minute. |
| 25 you whether you've got sufficient storage space in the left | 25 MR. COOK: Okay. In this particular case there |
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| 1 turn lane to accommodate the number of left turns. What you | 1 is nothing in the right turn lane because the right-hand |
| 2 enter into the program is the length of the full width of | 2 most lane, not the exclusive left turn lane was bound, but |
| 3 storage for the left turn. And then when the program | 3 the lane next to it, you can turn left, go straight, or go |
| 4 determines what the back of the queue is, it determines | 4 right from that lane. And that's called a shared lane. So |
| 5 whether you've got sufficient storage space. In this | 5 when you have a shared lane, they don't show anything in |
| 6 particular case they are saying that we are 1.03 short on | 6 that box with the R because that has to go in with the |
| 7 some occasions during the entire hour that the light is | 7 through lane traffic. Does that work? |
| 8 operating. On this particular cas | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well yeah, I get |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: 1.03 short, what | 9 that. I guess I'm wondering then if I move over (inaudible) |
| 10 does that mean? | 10 ratio to the through lane, that's zero. |
| 11 MR. COOK: In other words, if the -- above | 11 MR. COOK: Correct. |
| 12 that -- | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Can this be that |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Short of what? | 13 I see is a little longer, like 30 feet longer. |
| 14 MR. COOK: Okay. The line above the 1.03 says | 14 MR. COOK: Right. |
| 15 the backup queue, 17.3 vehicles. | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: But it seems to |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Right | 16 me it shouldn't be zero. It should be $90-$-- you know, under |
| 17 MR. COOK: Okay. The queue ratio is saying that | 17 one, maybe. But why is that zero there? |
| 18 and that's equated to the 441.9 feet on the line above that. | 18 MR. COOK: Well, the zero is because that's not a |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible). | 19 storage bay. That's the through lane that extends all the |
| 20 MR. COOK: Okay. Actually we have approximately | 20 way back to Muncaster Mill. So there is unlimited storage |
| 21400 feet, I believe the number was, of full width storage | 21 area in that particular lane. This -- the queue ratio |
| 22 there. So it's a 3 percent increase that on all cycles you | 22 really applies to when you have turn lanes, exclusive turn |
| 23 are going to have enough storage. That 3 percent of the | 23 lanes where you've only got 150 feet say to store left turn |
| 24 time you may have a car that cannot get in to the left turn | 24 traffic. Those cars, when they going to the lane next to |
| 25 lane. And there was testimony the other day in reference to | 25 it, in this case where it's a shared lane, the queuing space |
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examine, we may not get back to Mr. Davis. So my
suggestion, and I think it's consistent with the Hearing
Examiner's, one of her emails, is that we would do Mr. Davis
before we get to the cross-examination. And I'm comfortable
with that.
    MR. CHEN: That was not my understanding at all.
    MR. KLINE: Yeah.
    MR. CHEN: Mr. Davis had made a limited
appearance for traffic.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, let's do
this then. It's up to you if you want Mr. Davis to have to
come back. We can do Dr. Kosary and clear her and get back
in some kind of order. So we can do that, okay?
    MR. KLINE: And while we are on break, maybe
everyone would like to take a look at the Hearing Examiner's
memorandum email of Wednesday the 21st, 9:44. We will
proceed with Mr. Cook's rebuttal testimony. And then Mr.
Davis will continue with his direct testimony on non-traffic
manners. And I'm comfortable deferring the cross-
examination of Dr. Kosary until we dispose of Mr. Davis.
    MR. CHEN: Well, that was just not my
understanding at all. I mean, that -- I don't --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay, it doesn't
matter? I mean, we're going to get everything done. As I
guess I'm wondering why this would be an argument.
```

MR. KLINE: Well, from my point of view, I didn't
mean to make it an argument. I just had done (inaudible).
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, I didn't
mean to use a pejorative.
MR. KLINE: No. No, and I didn't take it that
way. The point I wanted to make is, in my prepping for this
afternoon, I had prepared to basically listen to Mr. Davis's
testimony and cross-examine him. I didn't prepare for my
cross-examination of Dr. Kosary figuring it wasn't likely to
occur today.
MR. CHEN: Well, I don't --
MR. KLINE: And I thought we were doing you a
favor by letting Mr. Davis get this out of the way and go
home.
MR. CHEN: No, he is here, here for the night.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Let's do this.
I'm going to -- you know, I can't see -- I understand what
you are saying. Let's do this. Let's take a lunch break
and Mr. Kline, you can -- we will reconvene when we get back
from lunch.
MR. KLINE: Okay
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So we're going
to go off the record now and we will be back at 1:00
(Off the record at 11;51 a.m., resuming at 1:04
p.m.)
it. I just made an assumption and that obviously was
incorrect, that Mr. Davis would testify. But I don't want
to penalize Mr. Kline, but I will say Mr. Kline, can we be
ready for -- I know you've got a lot of cases because some
of them are in front of me. But -- and Ms. Kosary -- or Mr.
Kline, do you have your camera on?
MR. KLINE: Coming. Madam Hearing Examiner, let
me make it easier for you. Over lunch I said let's all work
to get this over with as efficiently as possible.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Thank you.
MR. KLINE: If Mr. Chen thinks things would roll
better if he did the way he did it as compared to what you
had written, let's just do it that way. And I thought I was
doing Mr. Davis a favor, but if that's not the case, let's
try -- or let me rephrase that. I will let you decide what
you think is the most efficient way of handling it.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I would like --
well, Mr. Chen, is Ms. Kosary ready to go?
MR. CHEN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Is Mr. Davis
going to -- if for some reason we go over, is Mr. Davis
going to be available?

MR. CHEN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Let's go
with Dr. Kosary. You are still under oath.
DR. KOSARY: It's my understanding that I'm here
to give my comments on what the Applicant had --
MR. CHEN: Mr. Cook.
DR. KOSARY: Mr. Cook, what Mr. Cook --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And then cross-
examination.
DR. KOSARY: And cross-examination.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And then cross-
examination. I believe we still have cross-examination on
her main testimony still outstanding. Am I wrong in that?
MR. CHEN: No, you're correct.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. So let's
go.
DR. KOSARY: Okay.
MR. KLINE: Oh, and maybe -- I knew that was
always coming. Maybe I was -- maybe I didn't make myself
clear Bill. So this is a fine process. I'm okay with this.
MR. CHEN: I thought you wanted to waive your
cross-examination of Dr. Kosary.

MR. KLINE: I might end up wanting that to
happen, but we will do it when the Hearing Examiner says is
timely to do it.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. CHEN: Okay. | 1 Underlying these equations are assumptions about the |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right. Go | 2 characteristics of this data (inaudible). |
| 3 ahead. | 3 Some of these assumptions and characteristics are |
| 4 DR. KOSARY: I'm just commenting on Mr. Cook's | 4 mathematically complex. However, one of the most basic is |
| 5 (inaudible). | 5 that each vehicle in the queue remains in its lane. If you |
| 6 MR. KLINE: Understand. Sorry about the | 6 run out of length in the turn lane as has happened in this |
| 7 confusion. | 7 case, the equations are not designed to handle this. It |
| 8 DR. KOSARY: Oh, no, that's fine. We're | 8 violates the assumptions and data characteristics upon |
| 9 confused. But before I begin Madam Examiner, I just want | 9 these equations are built. This makes for a cascading |
| 10 do a quick clarification and correction regarding the speed | 10 series of impacts on the results, some of which are |
| 11 bumps on Needwood. All speed bumps on Needwood are on -- | 11 highlighted in red. |
| 12 are east of the church at 7410 Needwood. There are no speed | 12 I mean, note that there are values that are now |
| 13 bumps on Needwood between the church and Redland. There are | 13 highlighted red on both pages 1 and 3. The software is |
| 14 actually three speed bumps on Needwood and they are between | 14 highlighting these results in red as a heads up to the |
| 15 Deer Lake Road and (inaudible) Drive | 15 endless that there is an issue. Basically, the left-hand |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. | 16 turn lane has a finite capacity. When you reach it, |
| 17 DR. KOSARY: Now just a few comments on | 17 vehicles move into the through lane increasing its queue |
| 18 Applicant's recently submitted correction pages. So th | 18 lane. However, this is not accounted for in the questions. |
| 19 was Exhibit 33. If I could actually also get this up -- ge | 19 This in turn increases control delay. |
| 20 them up on the screen. | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Wait. Say t |
| 21 COURT REPORTER: This is the reporter. I'm sorry | 21 again. I have to ask you to go slow because last time when |
| 22 to interrupt. We just have an (inaudible) I'm sorry. | 22 I looked at my notes, they were kind of crawled all over the |
| 23 Kosary has testified previously. But we just have her spell | 23 place. So I'm going to ask you to speak slowly for my pea |
| 24 her name quickly for the record? | 24 brain so I make sure I understand this, okay |
| 25 DR. KOSARY: Oh, sure. It's Carol; C-A-R-O-L, | 25 DR. KOSARY: (inaudible). Basically the left and |
| 90 | 92 |
| 1 Kosary; K-O | 1 turn lane has a finite capacity. |
| 2 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Right. |
| 3 DR. KOSARY: I just want to say that it should be | 3 DR. KOSARY: And when you reach it, vehicles move |
| 4 noted that these are corrections to OZHA Exhibit 63, | 4 into the through lane. |
| 5 appendix B, pages $4,5,8,9$, and that pages 6 and 7 | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Which |
| 6 actually remain uncorrected. As noted, these corrected | 6 theoretically has an infinite capacity? |
| 7 pages do not make it easy to observe the congestion at | 7 DR. KOSARY: Right, but when you do that you are |
| 8 westbound Needwood at the westbound Needwood approach. | 8 increasing the length of the queue in the through lane. |
| 9 We're not having to do the mental shuffling of the incorrect | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Correct. |
| 10 columns. The results themselves haven't changed. Only the | 10 DR. KOSARY: However, this is an accounted for in |
| 11 columns where they are presented have changed. | 11 the equations. |
| 12 Also, as you noted Madam Examiner, the queue | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And why not? |
| 13 storage ratio result back of -- which is actually the back | 13 Just go down to basics for me |
| 14 of queue divided by the length of the left hand turn lane | 14 DR. KOSARY: Basically because these equations |
| 15 actually has also been updated and is now over 1 . This now | 15 are built on the assumption that the vehicles stay in their |
| 16 reflects the thing on page 1 which of got up on the screen | 16 la |
| 17 that during the a.m. rush hour traffic -- during the a.m | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I don't |
| 18 rush hour traffic can in fact does back up beyond the | 18 understand that. I'm sorry |
| 19 capacity of the left in turn lane for westbound Needwood | 19 DR. KOSARY: Oh, it's - |
| 20 The queue storage ratio also indicates that the | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: What I see is |
| 21 analysis being used cannot accurately analyze the data | 21 that you're getting that there may be part of the backup is |
| 22 This is the purpose of the queue storage ratio. It's a | 22 left bound traffic |
| 23 heads up to the analyst. And I want to say basically at its | 23 DR. KOSARY: Right, it's just not part of the |
| 24 most fundamental level, the analysis on these pages are just | 24 equation. |
| 25 the results of data flowing through a series of equations. | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: What isn't? |


| 93 | 95 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 DR. KOSARY: Moving one vehicle from one lane to | 1 that. It's not adding them to the next lane. |
| 2 the other. | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: It's not adding |
| 3 MR. CHEN: When you say question, what do you | 3 them to the queue that's the through lane? |
| 4 mean? That's what you are not being clear | 4 DR. KOSARY: That's the through lane, correct. |
| 5 DR. KOSARY: | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: But he says -- |
| 6 MR. CHEN: What isn't part of the equation? | 6 what I heard Mr. Cook say, is that the through lane can back |
| 7 DR. KOSARY: These are -- all of these results | 7 up as far as it wants to. |
| 8 are just coming out of a series of equations | 8 DR. KOSARY: It can back up as far as it wants |
| 9 MR. CHEN: Where? | 9 to, but you're actually showing a result of how far it's |
| 10 DR. KOSARY: Within the software. | 10 backing up. |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes, but I don't | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Right. Are you |
| 12 understand. When you say vehicles, what am I missing? What | 12 saying it's backing up more than -- |
| 13 is this missing? | 13 (Crosstalk) |
| 14 I don't get that. | 14 DR. KOSARY: It's backing up more than the 473. |
| 15 DR. KOSARY: Oh, that -- | 15 And the equation can't account for that. |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: When they move | 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And you are |
| 17 to the right turn -- I mean the left turn la | 17 saying the way the equation works it doesn't account for the |
| 18 DR. KOSARY: The equation is assuming that when | 18 storage, the impact on the through right turn lane for cars |
| 19 you're in the left hand turn lane, you're going to stay in | 19 turning left because they can turn left from either lane? |
| 20 the left hand turn lane. | 20 DR. KOSARY: (inaudible) correct. |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And you don't | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible). |
| 22 make a mistake and want to get back in the right hand turn | 22 DR. KOSARY: Yeah, I know. |
| 23 lane? | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. I |
| 24 DR. KOSARY: Right. The equation can't account | 24 understand that. Nowhere in this -- how do you know that |
| 25 for that. That you can't stay in the lane because you've | 25 it's not accounting for that? |
| $94$ | 6 |
| run out of road, so you're going to move into the next lane, thus making that queue actually longer than it actually is. <br> MR. CHEN: What's the basis for your statement that cars and that left lane will move into the next lane over? What do you say that? | 1 DR. KOSARY: Because I know the equation -- |
|  | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible) |
|  | 3 zero. |
|  | $4$ <br> DR. KOSARY: Yeah. |
|  | 5 <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So it's not |
| 6 DR. KOSARY: They've run out of space in the | 6 accounting for what's behind the taper essentially is what |
| 7 lane. | 7 you're saying? |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Wait. Okay | 8 DR. KOSARY: Right, just that the 473 is actually |
| 9 don't know why I'm having such problems with this. And 10 sorry to delay this hearing. But we are talking about the | 9 longer than the 473. |
| 11 westbound approach? | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Now why do you |
| 12 DR. KOSARY: Right. | 11 think the 473 is -- oh, he's just listing the $90-$-- he's |
| 13 HEARINGEXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: On Needwood Road | 12 just missing the 95th percentile in linear feet there? |
| 14 to the intersection of -- so you've got -- is so you've got | 13 <br> DR. KOSARY: Right. |
| 15 a single lane that becomes -- | 14 <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So when he |
| 16 DR. KOSARY: Two lanes. | 15 doesn't count that, he's not counting the overflow of people |
| 17 HEARINGEXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Two lanes, one | 16 waiting to turn left? |
| 18 of which is left turn and one of which is through, left, and | DR KOSARY: Right the overflow of the people |
| 19 right. Okay. What is it in the movement toward the | 17 DR. KOSARY: Right, the overflow of the people |
| 20 intersection, what isn't that equation accounting for? | 18 who couldn't make it into the left hand turn lane who now |
| 21 DR. KOSARY: It isn't accounting for the cars | 19 have to go somewhere else. |
| 22 running out of room to go in the left in turn lane. | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Right. Okay. |
| 23 HEARINGEXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So when they | 21 DR. KOSARY: Okay. |
| 24 exceed -- when they go back to the taper -- | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: That took a long |
| 25 DR. KOSARY: Right. It's not accounting for | 23 time, but I do appreciate it. |
|  | 24 DR. KOSARY: No, and it's really complex. So I |
|  |  |
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is always --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Is there
anything in here that shows the number of cars at the
approach? I guess not. There really isn't. There is
movements.
    DR. KOSARY: You've got -- you've got -- if you
look at back of queue, not the feet, but back of queue,
you're almost on it. It's VBH/LN. Yeah.
    (Crosstalk)
    DR. KOSARY: }17.3\mathrm{ vehicles in the left. 18. --
your cursor is --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN:But that's the
95th percentile. That's not saying what's actually there.
    DR. KOSARY: Right. That's not saying what's
actually there.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Gotcha.
    DR. KOSARY: So remember, this is --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: That saying that
18.6 vehicles would be the 95th percentile?
    DR. KOSARY: Correct.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: But it -- okay.
I got you. I do understand.
    DR. KOSARY: Right.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay.
    DR. KOSARY: And that control delay that
```

highlighted in red. Level of service is highlighted in red.
Approach delay is all highlighted in red. And intersection
delay is highlighted in red. It's basically just a heads up
to the analyst that though those -- those estimates are off.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, let me ask
you something. See this 1.03 here?
DR. KOSARY: Right.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: If that means
the storage is overflowing --
(Crosstalk)
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: -- modify the
impact on the right lane because 3 percent of the time --
DR. KOSARY: No, Mr., Mr. --
MR. CHEN: Cook.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Cook
DR. KOSARY: Cook I don't think assessed that
correctly. It's basically a heads up. It should be a heads
up to the analysts that they are not using the right
software.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, this is I
think is this Synchro?
DR. KOSARY: No, this is HCS. Synchro is
trafficware. I think you're familiar with Synchro from -- I
think the French School is using Synchro
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Right.
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HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh, I see it. I
see it. You're right.
DR. KOSARY: Yeah. And it's from a (inaudible).
It's more -- it's a tool. It's a heads up for the analyst
telling them that they should have been doing a -- actually
looking at a simulation on this using the trans -- this
other tool, the core sim.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay.
DR. KOSARY: You use -- you get issues with
selective tools analysis all the time. And that's basically
all I wanted to say. Mostly just the importance of finding
out why those numbers are highlighted in red.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, that could
be him just highlighting. We didn't ask that.
DR. KOSARY: No, the software did that.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh, the software
did that.
DR. KOSARY: The software did that, yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Because you're
awfully close to the -- okay. So, okay. I have to ask one
more time. I will get this. If it's 1.03 percent, that
means that 95 percent of the time -- oh, I get it.
DR. KOSARY: No, the only thing that the over 1
means is that it's a heads up to the person doing the data
analysis that you should be seriously thinking about using a
different piece of software.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. All
right. Thank you. Now are we moving to the rest of your
cross?
Or that's all you wanted to say about the traffic
study?
DR. KOSARY: Right, that's all I wanted to say
about the traffic study.
MR. CHEN: And I believe at this point, Madame
Examiner, Mr. Klein has the right to recall Mr. Cook to
respond, to rebut what you just earned from Dr. Kosary.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: That's fine.
MR. KLINE: Thank you Mr. Chen. I project that.
I'm actually not sure Mr. Cook is still with us.
So could I ask, are you out there Glenn?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I don't see him
in the meeting.
MR. CHEN: Yeah, I don't see his initials.
MR. KLINE: Right. I anticipated that was the
case. Let me just ask a couple of simple preliminary
questions and then maybe offer a stipulation that we might
be able to agree to, because I sure as heck don't want to
have to go find him and try and get him back.
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            101
it up.
```

So let me just ask a couple of questions. And by the way, amI easier here now than before? HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah. MR. KLINE: Okay, great. I've no idea. I didn't do anything. I don't know what it is. Maybe it was the lunch that did it for me.

MR. CHEN: We will hold it against you. Don't worry about it.

MR. KLINE: Okay. Easy question, Dr. Kosary.
The speed bumps and everything, I knew you would have an answer of how many there were. Where they put there at the instigation of the neighborhood in accordance to that executive regulation that was talked about earlier? Or did the County just do it voluntarily?

DR. KOSARY: Actually I testified about this Mr. Klein.

MR. KLINE: Thank you for refreshing my memory.
DR. KOSARY: And no, they were put there because
we had neighbors who complained about speeding on the road.
During the times when it is not an (inaudible). It is
straight. The road runs faster than it's done for. The County actually came out and did a speed study.

MR. KLINE: Okay. MadamHearing Examiner, can you help me out? Because I want to understand this here. Can you pull up Exhibit 106, which is the technical staff
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report?
```

```
report?
```

(Exhibit 106 was introduced.)
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: You should have

MR. KLINE: I have two attractive faces right
now, and that's all.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh.
DR. KOSARY: Oh, you flatter us, Mr. Klein.
MR. CHEN: He wasn't talking to me.
(Crosstalk)
DR. KOSARY: You were talking about Bill with one
L.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Now you should have it.

MR. KLINE: Thank you. Page 4.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay, this is page 4.

MR. KLINE: Fine.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible).
MR. KLINE: And so the Hearing Examiner's cursor
is going to be very helpful for me to ask the question. So
if I understand what you are saying is, if you go to the
right-hand side, we see Needwood Road running horizontally,
simply across the -- this exhibit. And in the lighter
yellow, that's where you are telling us the speed bumps are
located between there and Muncaster Mill Road?
DR. KOSARY: No, they're actually -- do you see where we are actually -- you've got Needwood Road spelled out?

MR. KLINE: Yes.
DR. KOSARY: You get to the area like where the
Es are N-E-E. That road that cuts through the Es.
MR. KLINE: So between Grand Vista and whatever is the road to the left?

DR. KOSARY: That is Deer Lake. That is Deer Lake Road.

MR. KLINE: Okay.
DR. KOSARY: Next read that intersects to the east is Grand Vista.

MR. KLINE: Oh, okay.
DR. KOSARY: Next road that intersects is -- oh, that's (inaudible).

MR. KLINE: Okay. So --
DR. KOSARY: (inaudible) or whatever.
MR. KLINE: (inaudible). We know where they're located now. And those were there. And I guess I can understand because of the straightness that's shown on the drawing. They were just speeding because there is not as much side friction I guess in those locations?

MR. CHEN: Side friction?

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Side friction?
MR. KLINE: Driveways.
DR. KOSARY: Oh, no. There are numerous
driveways but there is no on street parking anywhere on
Needwood.
MR. KLINE: Okay. What I'm leading up to is I would have thought this would have provided an opportunity to try to get some speed bumps between Deer Park, Deer
Point, whatever it is, and Redland to try to control the traffic within that area. So why didn't that occur?

DR. KOSARY: Because during rush hour, traffic is not very fast in that area. Nobody has complained. It's in that area just because people who lived on that section of the road were the one to complaint, Mr. Kline.

MR. KLINE: Well, Dr. Kosary, you said no one has complained and yet there were a number of witnesses who talked about their concern about getting out of the driveways because of the speed of the traffic on Needwood Road.

DR. KOSARY: No, they testified that they had trouble getting out of their driveways because of the congestion on the road, Mr. Kline.

MR. KLINE: Well, there were people who testified about safety concerns and that's not a congestion issue, that's a speed issue.

```
DR. KOSARY: That is -- no, Mr. Kline. I would disagree with you. That is also a safety concern if you're talking about trying to make gaps that are probably not appropriate for making.
MR. KLINE: The Hearing Examiner will be able to read the record. And I think she will decide which one of us are correct on that.
DR. KOSARY: Okay.
MR. KLINE: Here's what I'm concerned about in terms of the comment about the 1.3 , because -- and if Mr . Chen will allow me, and absence of Mr. Cook, I would like to see if we could reach an agreement about what he said and what Dr. Kosary said. I understood that the 1.3 number meant that 3 percent of the time vehicles who wanted to make a left turn from the dedicated left turn lane would not be able to get into that lane because it was already full.
And I asked a question of him, well then doesn't somebody look at the middle lane and just move into the middle lane because they know they can still make a left turn lane and then and therefore does not effectively increase the capacity of the left turn movements. I understand there is a mathematical issue that the Doctor's pointed out, but is -- can we all agree that it's only 3 percent of the time that the left turn storage lane is filled up and people have to get in the through, right, left
turn lane to go forward? Is that something we can all agree to?
DR. KOSARY: No, Mr. Kline it will --
(inaudible).
MR. KLINE: I'm sorry. I'm really not asking you
a question. I'm asking Mr. Chen and the Hearing Examiner.
MR. CHEN: I --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I would
like to hear -- I'm still struggling with that issue.
That's the issue that I'm shuttling with. So I want to hear Dr. Kosary's response.
MR. KLINE: Sure. And I agree that you should
hear it. I'm just left without having the guy here I need
in order to be able to respond to what she says.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, you know, I don't know what to tell you. This is --
MR. CHEN: We spoke --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: We discussed what was going to happen. We discussed that she was going
back to address these. So I don't know what to tell you.
MR. KLINE: All right. How about if I do it this
way. Can we all agreed that what I just described is what
Mr . Cook said was the importance of the 1.3 number and leave it at that?
MR. CHEN: I don't mean to be difficult, Mr.
```

Kline. Honestly I don't. I just don't know. And I would
defer to Dr. Kosary on that point. And just going back to
something the Hearing Examiner said, I thought Mr. Cook was
told that he would be able to respond to Dr. Kosary's
testimony. I mean, that was before the luncheon break.
(Crosstalk)
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: This is what I
want to know, because I want to get the this. If -- this is
the conundrum I'm in logically, and I want to make sure I
understand. I really do. I'm not trying to be difficult.
I want to make sure I understand your answer. If the left-
hand turn runs out of capacity more than 3 percent, only 3
percent of the time, why doesn't that mean that the overflow
of left-hand turners in the single -- in the through lane is
increased? You follow what I'm saying?
DR. KOSARY: I want to refer you back to OZHA
Exhibit 227, document SSS, which I presented in testimony.
Let me just very simple --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Three S's? DR. KOSARY: Three S's.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Here, let me do
this. Okay.
DR. KOSARY: Right, it's tips and frequently
asked questions for this piece of software.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah.
108
DR. KOSARY: Third page, what is the queue
storage ratio and why it's important.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay.
DR. KOSARY: If the queue storage ratio is equal
or greater than one, blockage will occur. The highway
capacity manual procedures do not account for this blockage in the computation of delay. Queue storage ratio is nothing more than I just said, just a heads up to the data analyst that they need to use a different piece of software.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. I don't
think she agrees with -- so do you agree or not with Mr.
Kline's proposition that it's going to increase the queue
only 3 percent of the time? Do you agree with that or not?
DR. KOSARY: I disagree.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay.
DR. KOSARY: It's only an indicator that you are
in the correct ballpark in terms of whether your software
can handle the data that you are giving it.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right. Mr. Kline?

MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I'm turning the floor back over to you.

MR. KLINE: I have no further questions of Dr.
Kosary on cross-examination of her responses to Mr. Cook's

| 109 | 111 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 testimony. | 1 DR. KOSARY: Between Redmond and Deer Lake. |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right. Go | 2 Remember that that -- these properties were actually |
| 3 ahead. So do you want to proceed with cross-examination of | 3 subdivided back in 1946. They are large, most of them. |
| 4 the rest of her testimony? | 4 Though they are zoned now to R-200, they're basically all |
| 5 MR. KLINE: If that is the Hearing Examiner's | 5 over an acre. You know, Dr. English's home I think is |
| 6 preference, other than after alternatively going to Mr. | 6 almost 2.4 acres or something like that. So although they |
| 7 Davis, sure, I will do that | 7 are R-200, these are (inaudible). |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay, let's | 8 Would that technically qualify as residential |
| 9 proceed while we have Dr. Kosary | 9 wedge? Yeah, but that's a matter of debate. But they are |
| 10 MR. KLINE: Very good. Dr. Kosary, you testified | 10 big. You know, that's up to the master plan to decide. |
| 11 that your residence and the subject property are located in | 11 What they -- as I said, they are basically big lots. They |
| 12 the residential wedge of the Upper Rock Creek | 12 are lots that were subdivided decades ago. Some of them are |
| 13 planning area? | 13 still on septic (inaudible). |
| 14 DR. KOSARY: That is absolutely correct. | 14 MR. KLINE: Madam Hearing Examiner, can I ask |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And you defined | 15 you -- well, let me put it this way. When we did the 2004 |
| 16 your neighborhood as being a low density, | 16 master plan -- delete that question. |
| 17 neighborhoo | 17 You don't disagree that all the zoning north of |
| 18 DR. KOSARY: Yes, I did | 18 Needwood Road east of Redmond Road is R-200 until you get |
| 19 MR. KLINE: Okay. Madam Hearing Examiner, could | 19 over to the far right-hand side of this general area north |
| 20 I take you back to the staff report again? Sam | 20 of your property, agreed? |
| 21 had a minut | 21 DR. KOSARY: That's agreed. |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Are you seeing | 22 MR. KLINE: Okay. Madam Hearing Examiner, could |
| 23 it? | 23 you pull up exhibit number XXXX? Four X's? |
| 24 MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am. I'm okay. | 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: 227-XXXX? |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. | 25 MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am. Thank you. |
| 110 | 112 |
| 1 MR. KLINE: So Dr. Kosary, the subject property | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I'm sorry, Four |
| 2 is outlined in red and what I will call the lower left-hand | 2 X's? |
| 3 corner. | 3 MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am. |
| 4 DR. KOSARY: Yes. | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: That's five. |
| 5 MR. KLINE: Correct. | 5 That's five X's. Okay. Are you seeing it? |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: We are on page 4 | 6 (Exhibit 227-XXXX was introduced.) |
| 7 of the staff report. | 7 MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am. And Ms. English's |
| 8 DR. KOSARY: Yes. | 8 property is what, 7505? |
| 9 MR. KLINE: And the zoning surrounding the | 9 DR. KOSARY: No, she 7419. |
| 10 property on its east, south, and West is RE-1 as shown on | 10 MR. KLINE: 7419, I see. Okay. And that clearly |
| 11 the drawing, correct? | 11 is a large lot, I would agree. But it's immediately to the |
| 12 DR. KOSARY: That is absolutely correct | 12 north or lots that probably are developed in accordance to |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. So north | 13 the underlying R-200 zoning, correct? |
| 14 of Needwood Road this only looks pretty much exclusively at | 14 DR. KOSARY: That's correct. |
| 15 least within the mid-yellow color R-200. Would you call | 15 MR. KLINE: Okay. All right. You made the |
| 16 that a low density, large lot density classification? | 16 comment about that as well, I guess that's it. Do you feel |
| 17 DR. KOSARY: Interesting question. I would for | 17 you are in the residential wedge? |
| 18 parts of it, specifically the area between Needwood and Dear | 18 DR. KOSARY: Mr. Kline, I know that I'm in the |
| 19 Lake. Remember that this -- these are all lots that were | 19 residential wedge. |
| 20 basically subdivided with Deerwood Heights. | 20 MR. KLINE: And you say that because why? |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Now, before | 21 DR. KOSARY: Because that's what the general |
| 22 you -- just one second. Deerwood Lake, is that the first | 22 plan -- that's how the general plan to find the residential |
| 23 intersection east of the subject property with Needwood? | 23 wedge. The general plan (inaudible) 1 and 2 acre parcels in |
| 24 DR. KOSARY: Correct. | 24 the residential wedge. |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. | 25 MR. KLINE: And how does the 2004 master plan |

```
characterize your property?
    DR. KOSARY: You're talking about the Upper Rock
Creek?
    MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am
    DR. KOSARY: As I believe everybody noted
(inaudible) the master plan is actually silent on this.
Though it does -- I take that back. The master plan does
talk about that. I believe is on page 35 of the master
plan. It talks about how much of the Upper Rock Creek
master plan area actually is in the residential wedge as
defined by the general (inaudible) of 1993. And I stated
that that plan does place all }1\mathrm{ and }2\mathrm{ acre properties within
the County within the residential wedge.
    MR. KLINE: Dr. Kosary, apparently this is going
to be easier than I thought it was going to be because
apparently you do have access to the master plan. So can I
draw your attention to the chapter in the Upper Rock Creek
area master plan of July 20, 2004, entitled residential
wedge areas? And that would be page 14.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: This is the
Upper Rock Creek plan?
    MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am.
    DR. KOSARY: Actually, I don't have the Upper
Rock Creek plan in front of me.
```

MR. KLINE: Okay, fine. No, I'm sorry. I
114
thought that's what you were looking at. So on page 14 of
the Upper Rock Creek plan, we have a section titled
residential wedge areas. Do you know if that property has
any reference to any property south of Needwood Road in it;
in that section of the plan? If you know.
DR. KOSARY: I don't know. Ask Mr. (inaudible).
7 MR. KLINE: So the plan does describe land use
recommendations for certain properties in the residential
wedge areas. On page 15 , it talks about the Dunnegan
recommendations for certain properties in the residential
wedge areas. On page 15, it talks about the Dunnegan
10 property, 16 the Casey property.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, wait a
minute. Let me see as oh shoot. I just pulled up the wrong
thing.
We don't have -- do we have where you're reading
15 from in the record, Mr. Kline?
16 MR. KLINE: I don't -- no, the answer is no. I'm
sure that the staff didn't include those sections in their
attachments to the staff report. And I can really just do
this more geographically rather than -- there are
properties --
1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I'd like
for -- if. Hold on.
MR. KLINE: Sure.
HEARING EXAMIN
MR. KLINE: Okay.
for -- if. Hold on.
MR. KLINE: Sure.
HEARING EXAMIN
MR. KLINE: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: One second.
for -- if. Hold on.
MR. KLINE: Sure.
HEARING EXAMIN
MR. KLINE: Okay.
23
24
25
1

| 113 | 115 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 characterize your property? | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Now, let |
| 2 DR. KOSARY: You're talking about the Upper Rock | 2 me see if we can at least get this up. |
| 3 Creek? | 3 Are you seeing the properties? |
| 4 MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am | 4 MR. KLINE: Wonderful. Very good. If you can go |
| 5 DR. KOSARY: As I believe everybody noted | 5 to page 14 that's perfect. |
| 6 (inaudible) the master plan is actually silent on this. | 6 DR. KOSARY: And Mr. Davis also gave me a copy of |
| 7 Though it does -- I take that back. The master plan does | 7 the master plan. |
| 8 talk about that. I believe is on page 35 of the master | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. |
| 9 plan. It talks about how much of the Upper Rock Creek | 9 MR. KLINE: Very good. |
| 10 master plan area actually is in the residential wedge as | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh. |
| 11 defined by the general (inaudible) of 1993. And I stated | 11 MR. KLINE: Perfect. So Dr. Kosary, so you have |
| 12 that that plan does place all 1 and 2 acre properties within | 12 in your hands and you can see on the screen -- |
| 13 the County within the residential wedge. | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Can I just -- |
| 14 MR. KLINE: Dr. Kosary, apparently this is going | 14 before you start crossing. Does anyone -- I pulled this up |
| 15 to be easier than I thought it was going to be because | 15 from the web under the planning department's official |
| 16 apparently you do have access to the master plan. So can I | 16 website. Does anyone have a problem taking judicial -- |
| 17 draw your attention to the chapter in the Upper Rock Creek | 17 official notice of this? That this is the Upper Rock Creek |
| 18 area master plan of July 20, 2004, entitled residential | 18 Master Plan? |
| 19 wedge areas? And that would be page 14. | 19 (Exhibit 243 introduced.) |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: This is the | 20 Mr. KLINE: That was my hope. And what you've |
| 21 Upper Rock Creek plan? | 21 been able to do is make it a lot easier and a lot clearer |
| 22 MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am | 22 for the questions I wanted to ask. |
| 23 DR. KOSARY: Actually, I don't have the Upper | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Chen? |
| 24 Rock Creek plan in front of me. | 24 MR. CHEN: If you say, Madam Examiner that that |
| 25 MR. KLINE: Okay, fine. No, I'm sorry. I | 25 is what this document is that's good enough for me. |
| 114 | 116 |
| 1 thought that's what you were looking at. So on page 14 of | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. |
| 2 the Upper Rock Creek plan, we have a section titled | 2 THE COURT REPORTER: Sorry, this is the court |
| 3 residential wedge areas. Do you know if that property has | 3 reporter. I just wanted to know, is this an exhibit, does |
| 4 any reference to any property south of Needwood Road in it; | 4 it have a number? |
| 5 in that section of the plan? If you know. | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes, we'll have |
| 6 DR. KOSARY: I don't know. Ask Mr. (inaudible). | 6 to add it. It's 234. |
| 7 MR. KLINE: So the plan does describe land use | 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. |
| 8 recommendations for certain properties in the residential | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And I will |
| 9 wedge areas. On page 15 , it talks about the Dunnegan | 9 download what I'm looking at. Right now, I will download it |
| 10 property, 16 the Casey property. | 10 and add it to the exhibit list. |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, wait a | 11 THE COURT REPORTER: Perfect, thanks. |
| 12 minute. Let me see as oh shoot. I just pulled up the wrong | 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Go ahead, |
| 13 thing. | 13 Mr. Kline. |
| 14 We don't have -- do we have where you're reading | 14 MR. KLINE: So now that we have the paper |
| 15 from in the record, Mr. Kline? | 15 documents in front of us, Dr. Kosary, I'll repeat. |
| 16 MR. KLINE: I don't -- no, the answer is no. I'm | 16 Do you know if within the residential wedge areas |
| 17 sure that the staff didn't include those sections in their | 17 there is any reference to your immediate neighborhood south |
| 18 attachments to the staff report. And I can really just do | 18 of Needwood Road? If you know. |
| 19 this more geographically rather than -- there are | 19 DR. KOSARY: No. I mean, I will point out that |
| 20 properties -- | 20 as everybody realizes one of the major things that a master |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I'd like | 21 plan will do -- |
| 22 for -- if. Hold on. | 22 Mr. KLINE: Dr. Kosary, you can -- just a yes or |
| 23 Mr. KLINE: Sure | 23 no is fine. |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: One second. | 24 DR. KOSARY: What? |
| 25 MR. KLINE: Okay. | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: You can answer |


| 117 | 119 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 just yes or no. | 1 question. Let me try and make things easier. |
| 2 DR. KOSARY: To my property specifically? | 2 Can I ask of the Hearing Examiner -- well, if |
| 3 MR. KLINE: Yes, if you know. | 3 were all in agreement of where the plan -- let me rephrase |
| 4 DR. KOSARY: Does it mention my property | 4 that. |
| 5 specifically, no, it does not. | $5 \quad$ There are properties addressed in the residential |
| 6 MR. KLINE: Okay. Thank you. On page 14 it does | 6 wedge area that are listed in the plan and we all agreed |
| 7 describe properties in the residential wedge areas that were | 7 they are north of Muncaster Mill Road, correct? Dr. |
| 8 worthy of reference in the master plan. Page 15, which the | 8 Kosary? |
| 9 Hearing Examiner had up on the screen a minute ago is the | 9 DR. KOSARY: There are actually RA-1 zoned |
| 10 Dunnigan property. Page 16 is the Casey property. Page 21 | 10 properties both north and south of Muncaster Mill Road. |
| 11 is the Freeman property, and page 24 is in the Henry and | 11 Actually -- |
| 12 Fraley properties. Are you familiar with those properties? | 12 Mr. KLINE: Okay. Well, I'm going to go there |
| 13 DR. KOSARY: I am. And as I was trying to get to | 13 but that's not the question I asked. So all the properties |
| 14 before -- | 14 that are addressed in the plan are in -- north of Muncaster |
| 15 MR. KLINE: Are you familiar with those | 15 Mill Road, correct? |
| 16 properties, and the answer is yes, correct? | 16 DR. KOSARY: That's correct. That is because |
| 17 DR. KOSARY: Yes. Yes. | 17 those are still -- those were properties that were |
| 18 MR. KLINE: Okay. Okay. Am I not correct that | 18 undeveloped at the time of the plan. |
| 19 all of those properties are north of Muncaster Mill Road? | 19 Mr. KLINE: Fine. |
| 20 DR. KOSARY: That is correct. | 20 So could I ask the Hearing Examiner to pull up, |
| 21 MR. KLINE: And why do you feel the residential | 21 again, the staff report, Exhibit 106? |
| 22 wedge then extends as far south as your property with zoning | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: You should be |
| 23 of R-200 which is not a low density, large lot zoning? | 23 seeing it. |
| 24 DR. KOSARY: Because my property is not R-200; my | 24 MR. KLINE: And could you draw -- or could you |
| 25 property is RA-1. | 25 please bring up the figure 6 on page 7, please? Actually, |
| 118 | 120 |
| 1 MR. KLINE: Okay. | 1 figure 5. Yeah. Thank you. |
| 2 DR. KOSARY: And as the 1990 general plan | 2 So Dr. Kosary, south of Muncaster Mill Road we |
| 3 requirement stated all other 2 acre residential (inaudible). | 3 have what I'll call enclaves, two enclaves of RE-1 zoning. |
| 4 MR. KLINE: Okay. But isn't -- since obviously, | 4 The exhibit that has been put up here shows a slight -- and |
| 5 you've got some master planning and background, isn't a 2004 | 5 I'm not -- I guess. Oh yeah, I'm sorry. That is from the |
| 6 area master plan -- | 6 staff report. So there is an enclaves south of Needwood |
| 7 MR. CHEN: Mr. Kline -- | 7 Road, and then another one north of the, would you call it |
| 8 MR. KLINE: -- more relevant than a general plan | 8 the Derwood Heights subdivision, north of you? Is that |
| 9 recommendation? Because isn't the 2004 plan the refinement | 9 right? |
| 10 of the principals of the general plan? | 10 DR. KOSARY: Where are you at, Mr. Kline? |
| 11 MR. CHEN: Excuse me -- | 11 MR. KLINE: Well, what I'm doing is I'm trying to |
| 12 DR. KOSARY: I think -- | 12 get an understanding of the zoning pattern as shown on this |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Wait, just a | 13 Exhibit 5. And the cursor appears to be on an enclave of |
| 14 second. Don't answer yet. | 14 our E-1 zoning South -- adjacent to land zone PE-2. With R- |
| 15 Mr. Chen, did you have an objection? | 15200 north of it, and then RE-1 and R-200 further north of |
| 16 MR. CHEN: Yes. He jumped in. Mr. Kline and | 16 it . |
| 17 jumped in before she finished her answer. That's where I | 17 DR. KOSARY: Right. That -- you're looking at |
| 18 jumped in. | 18 the Derwood Heights. |
| 19 MR. KLINE: Yeah well, because her answers don't | 19 MR. KLINE: Yeah. Okay. Thank you. Thanks for |
| 20 always -- what I asked her about. | 20 explaining that. And my point is, or the point I'm trying |
| 21 MR. CHEN: Well, you asked her why wasn't her | 21 to get to is no these appear to be enclaves of E-1 that |
| 22 property included and she started to give you an answer and | 22 preexisted and are distinguishable from the residential |
| 23 then you interrupted and jumped in. | 23 wedge area that is north of Muncaster Mill Road shown in the |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well -- <br> 25 MR. KLINE. Well okay Maybe back at an earlier | 24 much more dominant RE-1 and larger lots north of Muncaster 25 Mill Road? |


| 121 | 123 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. CHEN: I'm going to object to this line of | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: -- examining her |
| 2 questioning because I appreciate it, and I think it's more | 2 on it. |
| 3 directed to a professional land planner. | 3 Mr. KLINE: Fine. I understand. As I say, I |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I agree, Mr. | 4 will try and round off my questions with just factual |
| 5 Kline. I think if you want to ask Mr. Davis about those. | 5 questions. |
| 6 But she is not the expert in this. | 6 Could you give us the master plan, page 63? |
| 7 Mr. KLINE: Okay. All right. Well, I -- she | 7 And I believe you have it now, Dr. Kosary in your |
| 8 hasn't had -- | 8 hands? |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I mean -- | 9 DR. KOSARY: Yes. |
| 10 MR. KLINE: -- she hasn't had a problem | 10 MR. KLINE: Okay. |
| 11 describing where she's located and in terms of using master | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: You should be |
| 12 plan references and I thought it was appropriate for me to | 12 seeing it. |
| 13 be able to question whether those references were well- | 13 Are you seeing it? |
| 14 founded. And I'll do -- | 14 DR. KOSARY: No. |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: But -- | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Now, are you |
| 16 MR. KLINE: -- it one last time and then I'll | 16 seeing it? |
| 17 shut up; if that's okay. | 17 MR. KLINE: Not quite yet. |
| 18 Can I ask you to pull up page 63 of the master | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Hold on. Now, |
| 19 plan? | 19 I'm going to have to get to page -- |
| 20 MR. CHEN: Just for clarification, I think the | 20 MR. KLINE: 63. |
| 21 problem, Mr. Kline, was you were going to certain areas of | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Okay. It |
| 22 types of land areas that have a technical understanding | 22 says Transportation Plan. |
| 23 within the context of both the master plans and also land | 23 MR. KLINE: Could you scroll down to the bottom |
| 24 planning. And I appreciate that and (inaudible 02:59:44) | 24 other page, please? |
| 25 certainly should be able to handle that. I believe, | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Traffic |
| 122 | 124 |
| 1 however, that Dr. Kosary's testimony has always been from | 1 forecasting? |
| 2 the perspective of a layman trying to understand what their | 2 MR. KLINE: And I'm going to ask a question about |
| 3 property is and how it fits into a master plan from that | 3 the bottom paragraph if you want to highlight that or |
| 4 point of view. | 4 increase the font size. Thank you. |
| 5 MR. KLINE: I -- | 5 Dr. Kosary, could you read the first sentence of |
| 6 MR. CHEN: I don't think she's ever tried to | 6 the last paragraph on page 63? |
| 7 present herself as someone with any special knowledge about | 7 DR. KOSARY: You mean the focus of the land-use |
| 8 master plan or terminologies. | 8 recommendations? |
| 9 MR. KLINE: Well, consistent with our -- my | 9 Mr. KLINE: That's correct. |
| 10 agreement with all the rest of us, let's try and move this | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: "In this plan is |
| 11 along. I will try and make my questions much more factual | 11 on the larger portion of land area in the rural policy |
| 12 rather than analyses. | 12 area." |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, it's just | 13 MR. KLINE: Do you know what is the rural policy |
| 14 your putting in things like when everything was subdivided | 14 area boundaries in the Upper Rock Creek Plan? |
| 15 and I just -- you know, I just don't think that -- | 15 DR. KOSARY: Not off the top of my head, no. |
| 16 MR. KLINE: I -- Madam hearing examiner I would | 16 MR. KLINE: Okay. Then I will pursue it anymore |
| 17 have said that if she hadn't given us the history of the | 17 then. |
| 18 subdivision to try and distinguish some of the lots that are | 18 I went off-line there just so you didn't have to |
| 19 the land has been zoned R-200-- I'm sorry, developed R-200 | 19 hear me shuffling paper, but Madam hearing examiner, this is |
| 20 and why they didn't change the zoning on the land that | 20 the last time I think I'm going to have to do this for a |
| 21 apparently had been zoned before it was R-200. | 21 while. Could you take us back to the staff report, Exhibit |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I think | 22 106? |
| 23 that's an issue you can get at in your rebuttal testimony | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: You should be -- |
| 24 rather than -- | 24 this should be it. |
| 25 Mr. KLINE: Okay. All right. | 25 DR. KOSARY: Yes, it is. |



DR. KOSARY: Okay. They took down a lot of trees, changed the slope on a couple of slopes. I will point out that it was within the Shady Grove sector plan where this was done. But yes, they made a bit of a mess. And it was -- you know, it was a big excavation.

MR. KLINE: Well, I -- I mean I -- well, let me ask it this way.

The pictures you showed us, is there something intrinsically, environmentally damaging that occurs when that happens; or is that just a byproduct of a mistake that might happen?

MR. CHEN: If you know.
DR. KOSARY: I don't know.
MR. KLINE: Okay.
DR. KOSARY: I would have to speculate.
MR. KLINE: Okay. So based on the construction you showed us pictures of, are you aware of there being any environmental problems that flowed from that construction?

DR. KOSARY: I have no idea.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Thank you. You also used the examples of where we had conflicts between various utility lines and talked about the construction that might have to occur down in front of 7401 Deerpark Court with the construction of the storm drain. Were you trying to tell us that, again, that that intrinsically causes an environmental
problem, or were you just thinking of the potential
inconvenience to the residents when somebody is digging holes in the street in front of their houses?

DR. KOSARY: I believe, as I expressed it, it's more of a safety issue than anything.

MR. KLINE: Okay. Do you believe that the --
it -- are we -- do we have any knowledge of any construction
that's occurred that you're aware of was not done in
accordance with County regulations?
MR. CHEN: No.
DR. KOSARY: Any related to Primrose or related to anything in my neighborhood?

MR. KLINE: Well, yeah. In the neighborhood. You're -- I presume you're giving examples of where things might happen to implement an approval of Primrose and bad 16 things could happen and I was asking if you had examples of 17 what those bad things might be.
18 DR. KOSARY: No, I think Paul said it best in his testimony.
0 MR. KLINE: I'm --
21 DR. KOSARY: With the issues in terms of the 22 church paving over easements with no correction to that. I 23 mean we've had issues with changing grades that had a pretty 24 significant impact for a while in terms of drainage from our 25 lot to their lot. Issues with construction happened, to my
observation, all the time.
MR. KLINE: Would you not agree that the County when the issue permits they expect that there are conditions associated with the implementation of those permits that should prohibit any adverse effects from occurring?

DR. KOSARY: No, I would disagree with that.
MR. KLINE: And I'm not surprised, but maybe you could explain why.

DR. KOSARY: Well, like I said, we -- you know, we lost a tree. Had damage to other trees. Have had issues with a change in the flow of drainage on our lot. I could enumerate these for a long time, Mr. Kline.

They actually changed the level of the -- the height of the driveway; brought in dirt when they should have been taking out dirt.
16 MR. KLINE: But would you not agree with me that 17 that's a specific user rather than a type of construction inherently causing an adverse effect?

MR. CHEN: Objection. I think the testimony's offered in the context of the personal experience that has happened in this area --

MR. KLINE: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: -- of development. Your question, but I think the way you worded it, is what's -- it goes to whether the impact of County approvals have these types of adverse effects; I thought that's where you were going, which quite candidly, is not a fair question.

MR. KLINE: Sure. I -- and if it came out that way that's not what I meant to say. I was trying to say would you not expect that permits that are issued have regulations applying to the work to be done and conditions of the permit that should prohibit the kind of things that you've had a bad experience with?
9 DR. KOSARY: The bad -- Mr. Kline, the bad
10 experiences that we've had were under those conditions that 11 you just outlined. So no, I would say that in the 12 hypothetical yes, they should be helping to prevent issues 13 on neighboring properties. But no, from what we've experienced another story
15 MR. KLINE: That's a fair answer because I was 16 asking the question hypothetically. Thank you.
17 If I recall correctly, you gave us a bit of a 18 history of the CIP item dealing with the Redland Road 19 extension, and the improvements to the intersection in 20 Needwood?

21 DR. KOSARY: Yes.

23 say that your contention is that those intersection permits
24 were inadequate to correct the problems that were there? Or
25 has time and increase in traffic caused problems out there,



```
The channel that the water is weaving, you know, through the
park on its way down to the stream is probably at my
shoulder level in some places. That's a lot of water.
That's a lot of erosion.
MR. CHEN: You also were questioned by Mr. Kline about the noise from children. Do you recall that testimony?
DR. KOSARY: Yes.
MR. CHEN: How, he was asking you about the noise being, I think, he was saying 75 percent blocked by the proposed school structure; do you recall that?
DR. KOSARY: I recall that.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And the play area for the children, is actually, as he implied, on the other side of the proposed building from your property; isn't that right?
DR. KOSARY: That's correct.
MR. CHEN: Now, the next residential property further to the west on the south side of Needwood -- across the street from Carney. What house -- is that 7500 --
DR. KOSARY: That's 7500 Needwood.
MR. CHEN: Okay. IS there anything between that property and the play area of the proposed school?
DR. KOSARY: No.
MR. CHEN: So it's straight open area?
DR. KOSARY: A straight open area.
```

MR. CHEN: And I think you mentioned that during
the course of your direct testimony; is that right?
DR. KOSARY: That's correct.
MR. CHEN: And you were presenting that in the
context of the overall implications or impact of the
activity on your neighborhood, including your neighbors.
DR. KOSARY: Including my neighbors. Especially my neighbors.

MR. CHEN: One second; I think I'm about done. If I may.

By the way, Doctor, you were asked a whole series
of questions about the "surrounding area." Do you remember that?

DR. KOSARY: I remember that.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And you explained to MR. Kline
what your understanding of what was meant by that term; is
that correct?
DR. KOSARY: That's correct.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And that was from your point of view as a layman; isn't that right?

DR. KOSARY: That's correct.
MR. CHEN: Okay. I have no further questions.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right.
DR. KOSARY: All right.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Dr. Kosary, you
may be excused
DR. KOSARY: Thank you very much.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible).
Mr. Chen, are you ready to call your next witness?
MR. CHEN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Go ahead.
MR. CHEN: That would be Mr. Davis.
MR. DAVIS: Yes, just get my file.
MR. CHEN: If Mr. Davis can please assume the hot
seat and get set up.
As a preliminary matter, Madam Examiner, I
think -- I just want confirmation that I moved into evidence
at the submission by Mr. Davis, Exhibit 176 VV; is that
correct?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay, Mr. Davis, you're still under oath.

MR. DAVIS: All right.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And you're still qualified as an expert.

MR. DAVIS: Thank goodness.
MR. CHEN: Mr. Davis, can you please, in your
opinion, at least, explain why the water the physical and
operational characteristics of a day care center the size
that is before the Examiner in this proceeding and have any type of an inherent, adverse effect on the neighborhood.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. Basically, the physical characteristics for a child day care center, this would be the large, over 30 children center would be -- the physical characteristics would be things like the buildings that are proposed, the playground equipment that would be utilized, the number of children. You have the on-site access area, the driveway. You have the loading and unloading areas for the children. You have parking spaces. So it's basically -- and maneuvering areas, you can't forget that. And the idea is that we are looking about the physical things that are on this property that could potentially 4 represent an inherent adverse effect on neighboring properties.
16 MR. CHEN: Okay. And is the identity analysis of 17 inherent and non-inherent adverse effects associated with a 18 conditional use at a -- at any particular location a 19 required component of review of the conditional use application?

MR. DAVIS: Yes. But you had asked me about the physical?

MR. CHEN: Yes.
MR. DAVIS: And also the operational characteristics.
MR. CHEN: Yes.
MR. DAVIS: So I wanted to talk a little bit
about operational characteristics as well.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. DAVIS: The operational characteristics would
be things like the noise that's generated from on-site activities and were talking about a child day care center. Daily hours of operation, you've got the number of employees that you have through the day, you have vehicular trips that are entering during the peak hours and also during the day.
You have the on-site vehicular activity within the site where people have to park sign in to the -- for the day go back to the car, leave the site. Come back later in the day, the afternoon or early evening and pick up the child, but the child in the car and leave. So those are -each of those represent an operation that needs to be considered. And really, I think any other physical -excuse me -- any other operational characteristics that would be associated with the use.
So I'm contrasting, there are things that are physical, there's things that are operational.
MR. CHEN: And I know you've answered this, but just in summary, these are the physical and operational characteristics of the type of conditional use that were dealing with in this particular case that need to be
evaluated, correct?
MR. DAVIS: Correct.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And why is the analysis of each
one of these aspects of significance in the approval
process?
MR. DAVIS: Okay. First of all you have -- I've described, these were the inherent effects associated with the use.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Did you describe lighting?
MR. DAVIS: I did not. But lighting could be --
I would say that that would be an operational characteristic because of its --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay.
MR. DAVIS: That's a good point, thank you.
There are the -- these were identified as being
inherent aspects of the use. And this means that it's
normal to the use so there should not be an expectation that
a conditional use application that has these inherent
adverse effects would be a problem for the community.
However, we have the non-inherent adverse
effects. And again, it would be -- the non-inherent adverse
effects would be those things that go beyond what would be
considered normal for the conditional use and these are
things that result in more adverse effects on the community.
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And the County Council in terms of the legislation that they have enacted associated with the conditional uses have noted that the inherent adverse effects are those things that are considered acceptable. It's almost like legislatively predetermined, I suppose.

The non-inherent adverse effects are those things that have to be analyzed to determine if it reaches such a level of adverse effect that it is -- should be denied. And that's the distinction.

MR. CHEN: And it's written as a zoning ordinance?
MR. DAVIS: That's correct. The definition section of the zoning ordinance is section 1.4 does have the definition of inherent adverse effect and it also has 5 definition of a non-inherent adverse effect. And I would 6 just note those for the record, 59 , section 59.1.4.2, a
definition of an inherent adverse effects are adverse 8 effects created by physical or operational characteristics 9 of a conditional use necessarily associated with a particular use regardless of its physical size or scale of operation.

And then, the definition of non-inherent adverse effects are those adverse effects created by physical or operational characteristics of a conditional use not necessarily associated with a particular use or created by an unusual characteristic of the site.

MR. CHEN: I'm going to kind of jump around a
little bit on what thought I was going to be asking you I
hope you're nimble enough, and I think you are. I want to talk about Exhibit 105, item Z.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Item C, did you say?

MR. CHEN: Z as in zoo or zebra. For the record, this is ZTA 99004.

MR. DAVIS: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Do you want me to pull it up or no?

MR. CHEN: Could you pull it up, please?.
MR. DAVIS: Do you need it? I'm --
MR. CHEN: Well, if you don't need it, I you
don't need it. I think the Examiner or Mr. Kline may need
it.
MR. DAVIS: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Hold on. Wait a minute. 11 --

MR. CHEN: It's 115-Z; 1 Z.
MR. DAVIS: Well, I'm not sure.
MR. CHEN: I think we filed it in November of --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh, I'm sure you filed it. I'm just not seeing it downloaded. Oh, there it
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 149 & 15 \\
\hline 1 is. & \(1 \quad\) Another key feature of this legislation was the \\
\hline 2 Mr. CHEN: I thought it was. I thought it was. & 2 fact that this is where the provision was adde \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, the ZZ & 3 zoning ordinance and that a special exception, in order to \\
\hline 4 stuff is -- okay. That's -- I'm getting there. I'm getting & 4 be approved, would have to be found to be consistent with \\
\hline 5 there. & 5 the area master plan. So this was a fairly significant \\
\hline 6 MR. CHEN: Mr. Davis just asked, maybe & 6 legislation, at least in terms of my view at the time for \\
\hline 7 downloaded or put online? & 7 the review of special exceptions in the County. \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, I think & 8 MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, as I understand it, the \\
\hline 9 MR. CHEN: I thought it was. & 9 analysis that's involved that has resulted from the adoption \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, I know it & 10 of this legislation as law, local law, has it been the \\
\hline 11 was submit & 11 consideration of unusual characteristics of the site; is \\
\hline 12 No, that's your summary statement. & 12 that cor \\
\hline 13 MR. CHEN: I know I submitted it and I know I - I & 13 MR. DAVIS: That's correct. In the definition of \\
\hline 14 believe I also gave a copy to Mr. Kline. & 14 the non-inherent adverse effect and there is the phrase \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I'm sorry. Z, & 15 unusual characteristics is used. I'll just read it one \\
\hline 16 just one Z? & 16 more time. "Adverse effects created by physical or \\
\hline 17 MR. CHEN: Yes. & 17 operational characteristics of the conditional use not \\
\hline 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Here we & 18 necessarily associated with a particular use not necessarily \\
\hline 19 go. & 19 associated with a particular use or created by an unusual \\
\hline 20 MR.. CHEN: Yes, that's it. Thank you & 20 characteristic of the \\
\hline 21 Mr. Davis, I'd like you to explain to & 21 MR. CHEN: So the analysis involves a \\
\hline 22 Examiner what is this legislation and your involvement with & 22 determination or a recognition, certainly, of both non- \\
\hline 23 this legislation? & 23 inherent adverse effects and inherent adverse effects, \\
\hline 24 MR. DAVIS: All right. In 1999 a district & 24 correct? \\
\hline 25 counsel had -- was very concerned about situations with & 25 MR. DAVIS: That's correct. \\
\hline 150 & 152 \\
\hline 1 conditional uses and I think this goes back to the Mossberg & 1 MR. CHEN: And what is the interplay under the \\
\hline 2 case back in about 1996; remember there was a fire at a -- & 2 law of these different types of characteristics? \\
\hline 3 it was a junkyard. I'm pretty sure it was just a junkyard & 3 MR. DAVIS: Well, if it's found that there are \\
\hline 4 but there was a big tire fire there. And I think there was & 4 non-inherent adverse effects that is a basis for denial of \\
\hline 5 a lot of concern because they were not able to -- the & 5 the application. In fact, it could be a combination of \\
\hline 6 Council felt that the board of appeals was not able to deal & 6 inherent adverse effects and non-inherent adverse effects \\
\hline 7 with that quite at the level that it should have been in & 7 that could lead to a recommendation -- or excuse me a \\
\hline 8 terms of recognizing that there were aspects of that use & 8 decision for denial by -- in this case with a conditional \\
\hline 9 that were just clearly not acceptable. & 9 use, the hearing examiner -- it was special exceptions at \\
\hline 10 And so the Council instructed the planning board & 10 that time, and I just don't recall if the hearing examiner \\
\hline 11 to work with the County attorney in terms of developing & 11 was actually involved in that type of special exception back \\
\hline 12 legislation that would help address that problem, and also & 12 in 1999. \\
\hline 13 facilitate what the Council felt was more significant review & 13 MR. CHEN: And this analysis has become one of \\
\hline 14 of special exceptions to incorporate the notions of inherent & 14 the major aspects proposals for what are now known today as \\
\hline 15 adverse effects and non-inherent adverse effects. Another & 15 conditional uses? \\
\hline 16 feature that was really very important -- & 16 MR. DAVIS: That's correct. \\
\hline 17 MR. CHEN: Your involvement in this legislation? & 17 MR. CHEN: I mean there are other aspects, master \\
\hline 18 MR. DAVIS: Yes. At that time I was the chief of & 18 plans, compatibility, we understand that. \\
\hline 19 development review and this was a significant text & 19 MR. DAVIS: Yes. \\
\hline 20 amendment. I remember working with the Chairman, Bill & 20 MR. CHEN: But this is an aspect, a component of \\
\hline 21 Hussman, board members were very interested in it. Dennis & 21 the zoning ordinance and that is involved in the necessary \\
\hline 22 Canavan was our lead staff for working with this & 22 findings of the hearing examiner? \\
\hline 23 legislation, and I do recall it as being something that was & 23 MR. DAVIS: And for all intents and purposes it \\
\hline 24 very important for the County, very important for special & 24 was fully carried over in 2014 with the new County zoning \\
\hline & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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zoning ordinance at that time but basically the concepts and
principles associated with Text Amendment 99004 still are in
effect today.
MR. CHEN: Fine. Now, have you read the
technical staff report that's been prepared by the staff of
the Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission
in October of'19?
MR. DAVIS: Yes, I have.
MR. CHEN: This is, Madam Examiner, and you've
heard, I know, Mr. Klein has raised is Exhibit }1\mathrm{ of 6.
Okay.Now, the technical staff reports and
recommends approval; is that correct?
MR. DAVIS:That's correct.
MR. CHEN: And it also recommends approval of a
parking waiver that is provided for under the zoning
ordinance, right?
MR. DAVIS: That's correct.
MR. CHEN: And what is that waiver?
MR. DAVIS: The waiver is in terms of the
section, this is a waiver of the parking setback for a
conditional uses, a side yard setback, it's section
6.2.5.K.2.B of the zoning ordinance.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you one
question.
And Madam Examiner, and Mr. Klein, I'm going to
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    ask one question but since you know Mr. Davis, I'm going to
    circle back to this later on. But for right now do you --
    because we are in the -- starting out with addressing the
    technical staff report. Do you agree with this technical
    staff report?
    MR. DAVIS: No, I do not.
    MR. CHEN: Why is that? Explain that to you --
and I know you're going to do it in a lot of other different
areas that are addressed in the staff report further on in
10 your testimony. But as an introduction, please explain
11 where you're coming from to the Hearing Examiner.
    MR. DAVIS: All right. I feel that one of the --
13 the biggest issue involved in this case from my perspective
14 is in the request for the waiver of the side yard setback 34
15 feet for conditional use in the RE-1 zone down to a waiver
16 request to take it down to 12 feet.
17 And I believe that this is a big issue because we
18 have developed one family residential properties located
19 along the east -- the other side of the eastern boundary of
20 the subject site. And these properties adjoin, they abut,
21 and they're going to be very much affected by this
22 conditional use.
23 MR. CHEN: Are you in agreement or do you
24 acknowledge of the existence or nonexistence of the staff's
25 analysis of the associated impact of this waiver on the
adjacent, nearby properties?
MR. DAVIS: Yes. I believe that the staff report does not fully analyze the issue of inherent uses, noninherent uses. And that, to me, is problematic because I think that this is really the nuts and bolts in terms of when you're viewing a conditional use plan you need to determine what about that use is going to represent potentially a non-inherent, adverse effect? The request for this waiver, I don't believe that there's actually a basis for the waiver. But the effect is going to be this noninherent adverse effect. Primarily because they're going to -- the setback area is actually going to be too small, I believe, to provide for an appropriate area of transition 4 between this conditional use and the adjacent residential properties.
16 More to the point, the location of the driveway 17 only 12 feet from the property line involves removal of 18 existing trees and I believe it's been noted that one of 19 them is a specimen tree that would be removed from what 20 would be the driveway area.
21 Also, I believe it's important to note that for 22 the east property line the limit of disturbance provided by 3 this plan is at the property line. So where you may have 4 trees on the properties on the east of that line, you may have trees that are right up against the property and these are some mature trees, their roots are going to be affected by this proposed project.

And so we have a removal of trees on site, the potential effect of trees dying out on the west side of the adjacent properties. I feel that that's going to have a negative effect adjacent properties.

MR. CHEN: Indeed, in this particular case that there's been a tree variance approved by the planning board; isn't that correct?

MR. DAVIS: That's right. Yes.
MR. CHEN: And that's for a tree that was required to be removed to accommodate the driveway for the setback; is that right, sir?

MR. DAVIS: That's true. Yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, are there other issues
that you have with the staff report?
MR. DAVIS: Yes. I think that there's an issue
18 with the staff report. I don't believe it adequately
9 analyzes all of the zoning requirements applicable to this use.
MR. CHEN: Does it recognize the appropriate master plan?

MR. DAVIS: There's issues with that. Well, 4 first, and I believe Ms. Kosary had testified to this 25 earlier. The Applicant's submission, their justification
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 157 & 159 \\
\hline 1 within the Gaithersburg master plan area. The staff, and &  \\
\hline 2 their review and in preparation for their technical staff & 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. \\
\hline 3 report they describe the subject site is being located & 3 MR. DAVIS: The areas west of Derwood Road is in \\
\hline 4 within the Upper Rock Creek Master Plan area. But then & 4 the Shady Grove Master Plan area. So the western part of \\
\hline 5 describe the area north of Needwood Road as it being in the & 5 the surrounding area is defined by staff and it was \\
\hline 6 Gaithersburg master plan area. & 6 recognized as well by the Applicant, includes the area \\
\hline 7 So that was problematic. But the staff on, I & 7 that's west of Derwood Road. \\
\hline 8 believe it was page 8 of the technical staff report did have & 8 The Applicant's surrounding area was actually \\
\hline 9 a statement in there -- let me turn to it. & 9 larger. I believe it went up to Muncaster Mill Road \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Where did they & 10 originally. But basically, I think it was -- and down to -- \\
\hline 11 describe it as being in the Gaithersburg area? & 11 it also went down to Gude Road. East Gude Road. \\
\hline 12 MR. DAVIS: That was on page 2 -- let me pull & 12 But at the hearing they said that they agreed \\
\hline 13 up -- I have the staff report here. & 13 with the surrounding area that staff had recommended. And \\
\hline 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I should have it & 14 that's -- yes, that's so the drawing on page 3. And that \\
\hline 15 on the screen. & 15 shows the surrounding area staff has recommended. \\
\hline 16 MR. DAVIS: Yes, I can see it. But I only see & 16 MR. CHEN: Do you want to get into it now? \\
\hline 17 the half a page. I have a copy here that's actually marked & 17 MR. DAVIS: Sure. \\
\hline 18 so I can find it quicker. & 18 MR. CHEN: Okay. Go right ahead. \\
\hline 19 And now lost my place in my head. What are we & 19 MR. DAVIS: In my examination of area which I \\
\hline 20 looking for here? & 20 thought would be appropriate to consider for surrounding \\
\hline 21 MR. CHEN: The reference in the staff report & 21 area, I actually felt that the area west of Redland Road \\
\hline 22 MR. DAVIS: Oh yes. & 22 should not be included in the surrounding area because it's \\
\hline 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: To Gaithersburg. & 23 different. It's different zones, there some PD zones, \\
\hline 24 MR. DAVIS: To Gaithersburg. I apologize for & 24 things like that. It's a different character of development \\
\hline 25 that. & 25 than what we find on the east side of Redland Road. I did \\
\hline 158 & 160 \\
\hline 1 Okay. On page 6 they identify that the property & 1 agree with the rest of the surrounding area recommended by \\
\hline 2 is located within the 2004 Of her Rock Creek. Master Plan & 2 staff which extends up to -- and there's the Rock Creek \\
\hline 3 area. Okay. & 3 Regional Park, there's the -- I forget the name of the \\
\hline 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: On page 4 they & 4 stream on the north side but Mill Stream, I believe it is. \\
\hline 5 say within the defined neighborhood, the area north of & 5 At any rate, there's that. You have the Needwood Golf \\
\hline 6 Needwood Road is within the Gaithersburg Master -- & 6 Course that's sort of to the east. \\
\hline 7 MR. DAVIS: That's -- yeah, that's where I & 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: What was that \\
\hline 8 thought it -- yes, that's it. Thank you, ma'am. & 8 Mill Creek? Is it Mill Creek? \\
\hline 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I did a word & 9 MR. DAVIS: Mill Creek. That's it, ma'am, thank \\
\hline 10 search. & 10 you. And then it goes down to Crabbs Branch on the south. \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: Yes. That is where it was shown & 11 But I do believe that the area east of Derwood Road is of a \\
\hline 12 Now, I believe though that in terms of the staff's analysis & 12 different nature than the area across the street. \\
\hline 13 of the plan they didn't analyze it in the context of the & 13 MR. CHEN: What's the road you're saying? \\
\hline 14 Upper Rock Creek Master Plan. & 14 MR. DAVIS: Der -- Redland Road. \\
\hline 15 MR. DAVIS: But just pointing out a little bit of & 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Redland. \\
\hline 16 history with this that was somewhat confusing, particularly & 16 MR. DAVIS: Redland Road is different. I also \\
\hline 17 in the early going of the review of the Application; I'd & 17 think it's important to note that Redland Road even though \\
\hline 18 like to take you - & 18 it's a primary residential road it does function at a higher \\
\hline 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, let me ask & 19 level but it is, you know -- so I think that in effect for \\
\hline 20 you something. Is the area north of Needwood Road in the & 20 the -- it represents a reasonable western boundary for the \\
\hline 21 Gaithersburg Master Plan or is it in the 2004 -- & \[
21 \text { area. }
\] \\
\hline 22 MR. DAVIS: 2004 Upper Rock Creek Master Plan. & 22 And so that's what I have used as the analysis \\
\hline 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So north of & 23 area that I wanted to look at. \\
\hline 24 Needwood Road is also in the 2004 Upper Rock Creek Master & 24 MR. CHEN: While we're on the staff report, I'd \\
\hline 25 Plan? & 25 like you to go to page 30 . \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 161 & 163 \\
\hline 1 MR. DAVIS: All right. & 1 MR. DAVIS: No, actually I don't. \\
\hline 2 MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner, can you go to page 30? & 2 MR. CHEN: Could you please explain to the \\
\hline 3 MR. DAVIS: Okay. & 3 Hearing Examiner where you depart from the position of the \\
\hline 4 MR. CHEN: Are you there, Madam Examiner? I'm & 4 sta \\
\hline 5 off the -- & 5 MR. DAVIS: Okay. When they're talking about the \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I should be. & 6 location of the driveway is restricted by sight distance, \\
\hline 7 There's page 30. & 7 located at its only viable location I believe that to be \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: Okay. Thank you. & 8 incorrect. The - there was a, as you know from prior \\
\hline 9 There's a reference on that page towards the & 9 testimony, my clients had Maddox and Associates do an actual \\
\hline 10 bottom of a landscape contractor operation. Do you see & 10 sight distance analysis in accordance with the Montgomery \\
\hline 11 that? & 11 County department of transportation's procedures for \\
\hline 12 MR. DAVIS: Yes, I do. It's the bottom & 12 determining acceptable sight distance for access points \\
\hline 13 paragraph? & 13 along this site. \\
\hline 14 MR. CHEN: Yes. Is that a -- what is your & 14 And in fact, that analysis shows that there's \\
\hline 15 understanding of what that is referencing to? & 15 approximately 135 feet of frontage along Needwood road as \\
\hline 16 MR. DAVIS: Well, actually I think that may have & 16 measured from the northeast corner of the subject site. And \\
\hline 17 been either -- either maybe a -- they used a template froma & 17 that that is -- that area has the minimum required sight \\
\hline 18 previous report or something like that. Because this is not & 18 distance of, in this case, 250 feet each way based on it \\
\hline 19 a landscape contractor operation -- & 19 being a primary Road with a 35 mph speed limit. This \\
\hline 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I agree. & 20 analysis that was done is identified as document AAA in \\
\hline 21 MR. DAVIS: -- application. Or somehow a typo. & 21 the -- and this is a blank document. \\
\hline 22 But that's all I think I need to say about that other than & 22 And then, there's some -- a couple of photographs \\
\hline 23 the -- there is a -- there's context to the paragraph. & 23 showing sight distance. This would be DDD.1, DDD.2, DDD. 3 \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: Well, that's where I'm going to. & 24 and then finally, there's document CCC, and this is, I \\
\hline 25 The -- & 25 believe 227 is the CCC document 2. And this shows actually \\
\hline 162 & 164 \\
\hline 1 MR. DAVIS: All righ & 1 three points that were -- that Maddox and Associates an \\
\hline 2 MR. CHEN: I'd like you to get into that. & 2 engineering company, looked at for sight distance. \\
\hline 3 MR. DAVIS: All right. & 3 The first location that they have is the -- this \\
\hline 4 MR. CHEN: It's a context and continuing on, the & 4 is the location that's at about \(135-\)-- it actually shows on \\
\hline 5 bottom part of page 30, going on over to 31. & 5 here 136.6 feet -- \\
\hline 6 MR. DAVIS: Yeah. Let me read this paragraph for & 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Let me -- \\
\hline 7 you. "The scale of the proposed daycare center building, & 7 let me -- I'm sorry. Let me pull that up. What was the \\
\hline 8 proposed access point, the internal vehicular circulation & 8 exhibit? \\
\hline 9 system and the onsite parking area are operation & 9 MR. DAVIS: It was CCC. The number is -- doesn't \\
\hline 10 characteristic associated with -- and I believe that what & 10 show. \\
\hline 11 they meant to say here was the proposed daycare center use. & 11 MR. CHEN: Is it on the first page possibly? \\
\hline 12 Staff, however, considers that fact that the & 12 MR. DAVIS: No. I have another page here. \\
\hline 13 location of proposed driveway is restricted by site distance & 13 Mr. CHEN: Okay. \\
\hline 14 ad is located at the only viable access point on the & 14 MR. DAVIS: With -- I apologize. I have a lot of \\
\hline 15 property's frontage on Needwood Road to be a non-inherent & 15 papers here. \\
\hline 16 characteristic. However, staff believes that this non & 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. That's \\
\hline 17 inherent characteristic does not rise to the level that & 17 CCC . \\
\hline 18 warrants a denial. As noted, the application is requesting & 18 MR. DAVIS: Here we go. It's just out of my reach \\
\hline 19 a waiver from the side yard setback requirement of parking & 19 over here on the right. \\
\hline 20 facilities to allow the proposed driveway." & 20 MR. CHEN: Is it 227? Is it the response at 227? \\
\hline 21 MR. CHEN: Now, I want you to address that. & 21 Mr. DAVIS: That's what I had got. No, it shows \\
\hline 22 We're talking low bit earlier about a setback waiver ae & 22 here as -- no, that's a different Maddox. Here we go. 227- \\
\hline 23 zoning requirements that are applicable to this type ,of an & 23 AAA. \\
\hline 24 analysis. Do you agree with staff's analysis in that & 24 Yes, I think we're there. Okay. This is the \\
\hline 25 regard? & 25 blank form AAA, and you see on the form this is the standard \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 165 & 167 \\
\hline 1 Montgomery County form that is utilized for. And in the & 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: This is CB-2. \\
\hline 2 middle of the page it has guidelines and it talks about & 2 MR. DAVIS: Yeah. This is -- okay. This is 2 . \\
\hline 3 classification for posted speed. And they have & 3 All right. I made a mistake. The first one I described to \\
\hline 4 classification that is for primary road, 35 mile an hour & 4 you is actually the westernmost location where you can have \\
\hline 5 speed limit. And the guidelines are a required & 5 safe sight distance, the 250 feet, to the right; 581 feet to \\
\hline 6 distance in each direction of 250 & 6 the left. The existing driveway, I had mistaken driveway 2 \\
\hline \(7 \quad\) What now, that at a point -- okay I get -- let's & 7 driveway 1 . The driveway 2 is the existing driveway for the \\
\hline 8 go to the next page which is the as excuse me CCC. Yet, we & 8 existing site. And from the existing driveway you have \\
\hline 9 have the photographs taken here. This is taken from -- it & 984 -- excuse me -- 840 feet of site distance to the right, \\
\hline 10 appears to be the existing driveway for the subject prope & 10 and you have 639 feet to the left. \\
\hline 11 to access the existing home that's on the site. And & 11 \\
\hline 12 showing that there's over 600 feet of site distance as & 12 about \(100-\) I would have to look that up. It should show \\
\hline 13 you're looking to the west towards Redland Road. & 13 it on a drawing. Do we have CUP-4 handy? \\
\hline 14 Next. And that's sideways but -- there we go. & 14 MR. CHEN: Which exhibit? \\
\hline 15 This is now looking east from that same point and this & 15 MR. DAVIS: The CUP-4. \\
\hline 16 demonstrates that is about 350 feet -- approximately 350 & 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: That might be \\
\hline 17 feet site distance. And also, it talks about 280 fee & 17 199? No. \\
\hline 18 MR. CHEN: And that's from the point of the & 18 MR. DAVIS: 199(d). 199(d) as in David \\
\hline 19 access? & 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I thought this \\
\hline 20 MR. DAVIS: The exis & 20 was the storm dra \\
\hline 21 MR. CHEN: The existing dr & 21 Mr. DAVIS: Oh. \\
\hline 22 MR. DAVIS: Yes, for the subject property & 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: That's 93, but \\
\hline 23 So this is at a point about 136 feet west of the & 23 that's not the most current. I'm trying to remember the \\
\hline 24 property line. That's from the northeast corner of the & 24 most curre \\
\hline 25 subject site. So let's go to CCC. Okay. This is it. So & 25 MR. DAVIS: Yes. \\
\hline 166 & 168 \\
\hline 1 this is looking at the first street. The first measurement & 1 MR. CHEN \\
\hline 2 is taken from the site where the pictures -- the & 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. How's \\
\hline 3 photographs -- the previous photographs were shown. & 3 that? \\
\hline \(4 \quad\) This is the existing driveway for the existing & 4 MR. DAVIS: Yes. That works. Of course, now I \\
\hline 5 home located on the subject property. It shows the & 5 have to read it. You have a -- there's a drawing in the \\
\hline 6 distance, the site distance to the right is 250 feet. This & 6 kitchen. \\
\hline 7 coincides with the minimum allowable site -- or minimum & 7 MR. CHEN: We (inaudible) \\
\hline 8 required site distance. The distance to the left, which is & 8 MR. DAVIS: Oh good. \\
\hline 9 looking towards Redland Road is 581 feet, which certainly & 9 MR. CHEN: 199(d) right \\
\hline 10 meets the minimum requirement. & 10 Mr. DAVIS: Yeah. \\
\hline 11 Then, if you look at the second driveway, & 11 This is actually to the -- all right to th \\
\hline 12 driveway number two which is just to the right, so back on & 12 existing driveway, the existing driveway -- this is the plan \\
\hline 13 that previous page. Yes. CCC. & 13 so it doesn't show it. It's showing the proposed driveway \\
\hline 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh, CCC? & 14 but doesn't show the existing driveway. The existing \\
\hline 15 MR. DAVIS: Yes. CCC, document 2. That's it. & 15 driveway and I'm just based off my recollection at the \\
\hline 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Wait, that's & 16 moment is somewhere around 100 feet. It's between 85 and \\
\hline 17 CCC -- let me see what the -- this one. Let me switch it, & 17100 feet from the northeast corner of the property. \\
\hline 18 rotate it. & 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Wait. This -- \\
\hline 19 MR. DAVIS: All right. & 19 does this show it? Here's the house -- \\
\hline 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: There. & 20 MR. DAVIS: Yes, it does. Yes, it does show it. \\
\hline 21 MR. DAVIS: All right. Go back one page. & 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Now, what I'm \\
\hline 22 MR. CHEN: You have your -- you've got the right & 22 looking at is 199(b) \\
\hline 23 access coordinates on your exhibits. & 23 (Exhibit 199(b) introduced.) \\
\hline 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: This one? & 24 Mr. DAVIS: Okay. Yes, that looks like that's \\
\hline 25 MR. DAVIS: I believe so. & 25 the -- deals with their tree plan. Yeah. Let me see then \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 169 & 171 \\
\hline 1 if I can pick up a point on that and then I can measure from & 1 MR. DAVIS: I don't believe so. It was a little \\
\hline 2 that. Be right back. & 2 bit confusing trying to figure out what a non-inherent \\
\hline 3 All right. Now there's 209.7 feet of frontage on & 3 characteristic was versus a unusual characteristic which is \\
\hline 4 the property and the existing driveway appears to be on the & 4 defined in the definition for a non-inherent adverse effect. \\
\hline 5 order of about, oh, 110 feet. & 5 Mr. CHEN: Well, do you have an opinion as to \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: From which & 6 whether or not there are any unusual characteristics about \\
\hline 7 boundary? & 7 this site? \\
\hline 8 MR. DAVIS: From the east boundary, it would all & 8 MR. DAVIS: In my opinion, I don't think there's \\
\hline 9 be on the order of about 85 to 100 feet. That's about a & 9 a non-inherent characteristic. I do not believe that there \\
\hline 10 close as I can pin it down at this point. & 10 is an unusual characteristic. I believe that there is \\
\hline 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So somewhere in & 11 adequate frontage along Needwood Road to accommodate a \\
\hline 12 here? & 12 conditional use that could also meet the setback standard \\
\hline 13 Mr. DAVIS: Yes. Yes. Exactly. & 13 along the east side which is 34 feet by virtue of the \\
\hline 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And is that the & 14 setback standards for conditional uses for parking setbacks. \\
\hline 15 existing driveway? & 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. So you're \\
\hline 16 Mr. DAVIS: Yes. & 16 saying there's no site -- there's no physical non -- there's \\
\hline 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. And which & 17 nothing on the property that's unusual? \\
\hline 18 site measurements match up with the existing driveway? Did & 18 MR. DAVIS: Not that -- in my opinion. \\
\hline 19 you get that exhibit? & 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: It'd have to - \\
\hline 20 MR. DAVIS: The -- basically the street driveway & 20 I'm guessing where you're going rather it's unusual from the \\
\hline 21 number 2 that's shown on document CCC. & 21 design of the proposed facility? \\
\hline 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. That's & 22 MR. CHEN: You mean consequently from the design \\
\hline 23 what I wanted. & 23 of the facility? \\
\hline 24 MR. DAVIS: And that shows a site distance of 840 & 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah. What did \\
\hline 25 feet to the right and 639 feet to the left. & 25 you mean by there's no non-inherent -- were you saying \\
\hline 170 & 172 \\
\hline 1 Okay. And then on the next page of the document & 1 physical characteristic of the property? \\
\hline 2 CCC -- okay, one more page. That one, yes. That is from & 2 MR. DAVIS: This was saying -- in my view there \\
\hline 3 the proposed driveway location. And that shows 800 feet of & 3 was no non-inherent characteristic. \\
\hline 4 site distance to the east along Needwood Road looking to the & 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. \\
\hline 5 east and the site distance looking west towards Redland Road & 5 MR. CHEN: Now that terminology has been used in \\
\hline 6 is 681 feet. So basically, what we're looking at is rather & 6 other applications; isn't that right, sir? \\
\hline 7 than saying that the only location for access is in that & 7 MR. DAVIS: That's true. There's two \\
\hline 8 northeast corner of the property as proposed on the plan, is & 8 applications I'm aware of that the term has been used. \\
\hline 9 actually on the order of 136 feet of frontage along Needwood & 9 MR. CHEN: Okay. I want you to explain then to \\
\hline 10 Road as measured from the northeast property corner, where & 10 the Hearing Examiner, please. \\
\hline 11 acceptable sight distance could be found. & 11 MR. DAVIS: Okay. The first case I looked at \\
\hline 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. And did & 12 where there is -- actually the term non-inherent \\
\hline 13 you say 136 ? & 13 characteristic appears is in a nearby special exception \\
\hline 14 MR. DAVIS: 136 feet, I believe is that number & 14 case. It's the Taiwanese Cultural Center application which \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. & 15 is located on the northeast corner of Redland Road and \\
\hline 16 MR. CHEN: Now, just while we're -- are you done & 16 Needwood Road. That particular special exception has been \\
\hline 17 with that aspect of the -- & 17 discussed previously in testimony by people in the \\
\hline 18 MR. DAVIS: Yes. & 18 neighborhood. The number for that -- and I'm sort of out of \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: Okay. In the staff report they & 19 position all my notes -- is I believe it was SE -- excused \\
\hline 20 utilized a term that I want you to focus on. The use the & 20 me -- S-2668. \\
\hline 21 term, "non-inherent characteristic." All right & 21 And in that particular case there was an \\
\hline 22 MR. DAVIS: Yes. That's correct & 22 interesting before they went in for their conditional use \\
\hline 23 Mr. CHEN: Okay. Do you have an opinion as to & 23 case. So this was, in effect, a violation, and to fix the \\
\hline 24 whether or not there are any such non-inherent -- or the & 24 violation they submitted an application for a special \\
\hline 25 application of this terminology to the subject application? & 25 exception approval. And I believe it was a private club \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 17 & 17 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
organization, or something like that. \\
But they had the -- the use was in place, there \\
was already a driveway located on the property providing \\
ingress and egress. And that -- and at that time it was built under the standards for R-200 zoning and it was on the order of about oh, 8 feet. And it was not done under a conditional use setback requirement. \\
So the hearing examiner -- \\
MR. CHEN: How did it relate to the minimum side \\
0 yard setback? \\
MR. DAVIS: The minimum side yard setback was \\
the -- is in the R-200 zone so as I recall it is 12 feet; 2 \\
13 two times 12 should have been 24 feet for the setback with \\
4 the conditional use. \\
But as I say, this was an existing characteristic \\
on the site. The hearing examiner, as I read that report, and it's been a little time since I read it, but the hearing examiner was -- expressed concern. I think it was something that she analyzed very closely because the -- because it was there. But yet, she recognized that it was a waiver being requested that in effect represented not meeting the requirements -- the normal setback requirement in the zone. \\
A waiver of the exact same setback provision that \\
4 we are dealing with today was requested in that case. And \\
25 the hearing examiner basically decided that that was -- and
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Needwood Road and Redland. So that's the first case. \\
The second is actually another Primrose case \\
which is the Primrose at Layhill. This was a 2018 \\
conditional use and the number of that one is CU17-16. \\
There we have a situation where there was fairly significant amount of wetlands that were located on that property. It was desired that the access should be to Queens Guard Road -- or Queens Guard Drive which is located on the north side of the property. \\
But with the wetlands they were forced to try and relocate the driveway farther to what would be the east side of the property. And they also needed a waiver from the same setback provision for the side yard setback for a 4 conditional use adjacent to one family zoning. So the 5 hearing examiner in that case also identified it as being a 16 non-inherent characteristic. And had felt that the facts in 17 the case were such that the adjacent use was not a residential use. In fact, it's the MidCounty Recreation Center. \\
And it was parking -- the actual use on the \\
adjacent property was part of the parking lot for the \\
MidCounty Center. So the hearing examiner in that case felt \\
that this -- what was called a non-inherent characteristic \\
24 was okay because it was not adjacent to a residential home \\
25 or residential use and so the waiver was approved as part of
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
she used the words, she used the words that it was a noninherent characteristic. She felt that it was a very close call because -- but she felt that it was a small conditional use. It was already there. She had conditions associated with the approval that removed some parking associated with the driveway along that easement -- property. I think it was some parking between the existing house that's now the Taiwanese Cultural Center and the adjoining property which is residentially developed. \\
MR. CHEN: This is a physical feature? \\
MR. DAVIS: Yes. As being a -- it's a physical \\
feature. This would be something I would have called an unusual characteristic. The fact that it was called non14 inherent characteristic I find interesting. But I think it 5 was something that was being considered as does this unusual 16 characteristic rise to the level of being an non-inherent 17 characteristic? And I believe that the decision by the 8 hearing examiner reflected that this was going to be a close 19 call but she felt that the activity on the site was sufficiently low that it would not -- she felt it would not rise to a non-inherent adverse effect. \\
In fact, there was a condition for some \\
additional landscaping to be provided along that property \\
24 line with the adjacent neighbors. And again, this is \\
25 located to the north side of Needwood Road at the corner of
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
that application. \\
So those are two examples that I've seen but \\
what's been sort of a little bit of a dilemma for me is why \\
not call it an unusual characteristic rather than a non- \\
inherent characteristic? Because I believe the analysis \\
would demonstrate either it's non-inherent which means it \\
probably should be denied, or that it would be in effect \\
inherent or just an unusual characteristic that doesn't rise \\
to that level. \\
So I don't know if it's a term enough to issue I \\
have it in my head or if there's some additional meaning \\
associated with the term non-inherent characteristic because \\
I don't believe that term should be used in this case in 4 this case. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, but the term non-inherent characteristics was used in both of those hearing examiner cases? \\
MR. DAVIS: Correct. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. And you just said you don't \\
think it's applicable in this case? \\
MR. DAVIS: I do not. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. Explain why. \\
MR. DAVIS: Because I believe that in this case \\
24 the Applicants could locate the driveway farther to the west \\
25 at a point where they have fully acceptable sight distance
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 177 & 179 \\
\hline 1 for entry 12 Needwood Road, and the driveway could be & 1 MR. CHEN: With regard to the factual \\
\hline 2 extended south 34 feet from the east property line and meet & 2 circumstances present in that case as it might be relevant \\
\hline 3 what would be the minimum side yard setback requirement. & 3 in this particular case, are there parallels that you are \\
\hline 4 And in doing this you would remove the need, I believe, for & 4 able to identify and discuss? \\
\hline 5 removal of all of the trees that were talking about that & 5 MR. DAVIS: Between Butler -- \\
\hline 6 were affected by the current driveway location. & 6 MR. CHEN: Yes. \\
\hline 7 That's the tree variance issue. And in addition, & 7 MR. DAVIS: -- and this conditional use? \\
\hline 8 it would provide for the zoning ordinance required minimum & 8 MR. CHEN: Yes. \\
\hline 9 side yard setback so that at least the neighbors would have & 9 MR. DAVIS: I think that the main one that comes \\
\hline 10 the assurance of a minimum of 34 feet. I'm not saying 34 & 10 to mind was that the issue of the driveway in the Butler \\
\hline 11 feet because this is an application in which you have to & 11 case was deemed to be too close to the adjacent property \\
\hline 12 find compatibility with the surrounding uses. Therefore, it & 12 such that the activity level of trucks coming in and out and \\
\hline 13 could potentially be more depending upon just what the & 13 vehicles coming in and out would have been a nuisance \\
\hline 14 situation is. & 14 throughout the day for the adjacent property owner. \\
\hline 15 But certainly, we would not have to entertain a & 15 MR. CHEN: This is an unfair question, but I'm \\
\hline 16 waiver for this. And so adversely affect the neighboring & 16 going to ask it anyway. Do you remember the number of trips \\
\hline 17 uses. In my opinion, the granting of a waiver to allow the & 17 that they were talking about in that case? \\
\hline 18 driveway only 12 feet from the east property line will & 18 MR. DAVIS: No, I don't. \\
\hline 19 result in an incompatible situation for the adjacent land & 19 MR. CHEN: That's not fair. By the way, just a \\
\hline 20 uses and I believe it is a -- it would be a non-inherent & 20 cleanup question. You mentioned that there has been a tree \\
\hline 21 adverse effect to the community. & 21 variance approval in this case? \\
\hline 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: In the course of & 22 MR. DAVIS: Correct. \\
\hline 23 your travels through the cases, are you familiar with & 23 MR. CHEN: The staff considered that; is that \\
\hline 24 Montgomery County vs. Butler? & 24 right? \\
\hline 25 MR. DAVIS: Yes. & 25 MR. DAVIS: The staff and Park and planning had \\
\hline 178 & 180 \\
\hline 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: That one is -- & 1 considered that variance request as part of the preliminary \\
\hline 2 where does that -- that's one where the driveway was & 2 forest conservation plan that would accompany the \\
\hline 3 along -- of a landscape contractor was along the side of a & 3 conditional use when it went to the planning board. \\
\hline 4 long and narrow property, and \(22-\)-- some short distance to a & 4 MR. CHEN: What was the staff position? \\
\hline 5 dwelling. & 5 MR. DAVIS: The environmental planning \\
\hline 6 MR. DAVIS: Yes. & 6 coordinator who reviewed the application -- \\
\hline 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Do you feel & 7 MR. CHEN: Who was that? \\
\hline 8 that -- that's the case that for some reason keeps springing & 8 Mr. DAVIS: Katherine Nelson. \\
\hline 9 to my mind here. Can you just comment on that or -- & 9 Mr. CHEN: Okay. \\
\hline 10 MR. CHEN: Yes. That was about to happen but go & 10 MR. DAVIS: She felt that the Applicant had not \\
\hline 11 ahead. Get into it now. & 11 justified in the granting of the variance in accordance with \\
\hline 12 MR. DAVIS: First of all, I didn't know about the & 12 requirements of chapter 22 . I believe 22 A , which is the \\
\hline 13 Butler case until probably 2016, 2017 because I was not in & 13 forest conservation law. And she had recommended that that \\
\hline 14 the world of zoning in 2006 when that came about. I was in & 14 variance not be granted. \\
\hline 15 Wheaton as the County's redevelopment director. So I was & 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Before \\
\hline 16 immersed in urban issues. & 16 you go further, Mr. Klein has his hand up. \\
\hline 17 But when I saw that case, the court case for that & 17 MR. KLINE: I have (inaudible). I have \\
\hline 18 I wasn't rather -- I was surprised, but I was also happy & 18 previously suggested to (inaudible) analysis of the \\
\hline 19 because this basically said that the County did it right. & 19 independent staff members because all that matters is the \\
\hline 20 The zoning text amendment 99004 and that this became a very & 20 staff report. And I don't believe it's relevant to go into \\
\hline 21 significant case for the -- it established -- it gave it & 21 individual staff person's opinions. What matters is what \\
\hline 22 such strong credibility in terms of being legal and being an & 22 the staff ultimately recommended and what the planning board \\
\hline 23 important aspect of the review. & 23 sent to you. \\
\hline 24 So yes, I was pleasantly surprised when I was & 24 MR. CHEN: I think I understood the objection. \\
\hline 25 made aware of the particular case. & 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Klein, just \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

so you know, your voice is reverberating again. I don't
know why. But I like the term that Mr. Cook used. But
that's what it's doing. So maybe it will disappear on its
own.
MR. KLINE: Hope; fully I won't have to object
again. I have previously indicated that I don't think you
analyze individual staff person's opinions on matters, you
look at the cumulative staff report.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN:Well, I think
that's a matter -- I'm not -- I'm going to let it in because
we let hearsay testimony from government officials in but
it's a matter for cross-examination.
MR. CHEN: Thank you, Madam Examiner. I mean,
and I won't responded to Mr. Klein, to his objection.
But the planning --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: But I want to
follow up with Mr. Davis. So in your opinion, are you
saying that the driveway is a non-inherent operational
characteristic, or a physical characteristic?
MR. DAVIS: I'm saying that it is a physical
characteristic since it is a physical construction on the
site.
MR. CHEN: How about the traffic going up and
down it every day from the parents and the staff and the
down it every day from the parents and the staff and the site.
MR. CHEN: How about the traffic going up and
so you know, your voice is reverberating again. I don't
know why. But I like the term that Mr. Cook used. But that's what it's doing. So maybe it will disappear on its
MR. KLINE: Hope; fully I won't have to object
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I think

```
the total trip activity, which I believe Mr. Posey described
as a -- I'm trying to remember the term he used in his
testimony. I think it was total movement, total trip --
the total trip activity, which I believe Mr. Posey describ
as a -- I'm trying to remember the term he used in his
testimony. I think it was total movement, total trip --
the total trip activity, which I believe Mr. Posey describ
as a -- I'm trying to remember the term he used in his
testimony. I think it was total movement, total trip --
total vehicle movements I believe it was.
    And that number --
    MR. CHEN: Mr. Cook did not dispute that; is that
right? Or they were close, the numbers were very close?
MR. DAVIS: The numbers were close.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: It was like 850
or something?
    MR. CHEN: Yes.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: 830 or --
    MR. DAVIS: Yes. And I think Mr. Posey's was
slightly under Mr. Cook's number.
15 MR. CHEN: Correct.
16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay.
17 MR. DAVIS: What it is, it's a measure of when a
18 parent comes or when someone is coming to drop a child off
19 in the morning they drive onto the property, they come down
20 the driveway, they go in and they parked the car. Turn off
21 the engine, the child is taken from the car, the child is
22 taken into the child day care center and then a few minutes
23 later after they've signed in and done all that they have to
24 do the person will come back out get in the vehicle leave
23 do the person will come back out get in the vehicle leave
25 the site. That's two vehicle movements.

\section*{182}
1

1 Then later in the day when they come back the two more. They arrived at the site, parked the car, turn off the engine, go into the center, pick up the child, come back to the car, get in, drive away. So that's a lot of activity during the day when were on the order of somewhere between 700 and 800 trips, depending on either Mr. Cook's number or Mr. Posey's number.

MR. CHEN: By the way, it also -- your description just talks about parents and pupils. There -the testimony of the Applicant is that there was going to be staff coming in plus deliveries.
MR. KLINE: Mr. Chen, there is a question mark at 13 the end of that statement; isn't there?
14 MR. CHEN: Yes. Do you recall that additional 15 traffic?
16 MR. DAVIS: I don't recall that number but yes, 17 there will be additional traffic coming through the day 18 including where you have employees who arrive. They have 19 shifts for the employees, if you remember the testimony by 20 the applicant concerning the operational characteristics of 21 it , there will be some delivery trucks. I don't remember 22 the number though to be honest with you. I think my mind 23 was boggled by the 700 number. But there will be other 4 trips that are occurring; deliveries and things like that that come to the site.

1 MR. CHEN: So that's an operational aspect?
MR. DAVIS: That's correct. Yes, that's an operational aspect of the use.

MR. CHEN: Okay. And then going certainly back
to the Hearing Examiner's question to you; is it your
opinion that the only adverse impact, non-inherent, is a
physical presence of the driveway only?
MR. DAVIS: I believe that it could be taken into account there could be physical characteristics, operational 10 characteristics that would come into play here and I would
11 argue that you've got a physical characteristic and then
12 there's an operational characteristic as well that I think 13 are -- tend to be -- I view them as being all excessive.

MR. CHEN: You mean based on the physical --

16 MR. DAVIS: And the parking in the parking area, 17 but the parking area is s-- that's not the issue of the 18 encroachment into the -- it's the parking area driveway.
19 Now, I compare that to what would represent a
20 full residential development on this property, which I
21 believe would be between two and three one-family
22 residential homes. The property is on the order of, I
23 believe 2.94 acres and the minimum lot size in the RE-1 zone
24 is 40,000 square feet. So it would depend on the amount of
25 actual dedication because you're dealing with net lot area
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 185 & 187 \\
\hline 1 for the creation of these residential lots. & 1 that on the exhibit list? \\
\hline 2 You'd be talking about three residences and the & 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Why did they \\
\hline 3 trips associated with three homes through the course of a & 3 eliminate the storm water management concept approval? \\
\hline 4 day would be much, much lower than it would be for 700 & 4 MR. DAVIS: I believe that they were -- \\
\hline 5 trips. So I would just see this as an important activity & 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, you know. \\
\hline 6 issue or just -- let's just call it its' an operational & 6 I shouldn't ask that. Why would they not get a storm water \\
\hline 7 characteristic of this use that is, I think, a problem. & 7 concept approval? \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner, are we done with what & 8 MR. DAVIS: They have subsequently, I believe it \\
\hline 9 your question was? & 9 was when it was at the early part just before the hearings \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes. & 10 began they did get concept approval. \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: Okay. Thank you & 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I see. \\
\hline 12 Mr. Davis, we had talked about the staff report. & 12 Mr. CHEN: By the way, Madam Examiner, maybe Mr. \\
\hline 13 The planning board also made a recommendation in this cas & 13 Kline can double check me, but I think that Mr. Davis was \\
\hline 14 as well? & 14 referring to Exhibit 106-A. \\
\hline 15 MR. DAVIS: That's correct. & 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes. It's -- \\
\hline 16 MR. CHEN: Okay. Do you remember what that was? & 16 oh, I didn't share this. I'm looking at it. There you go. \\
\hline 17 MR. DAVIS: Yes, they had recommended approval of & 17 MR. CHEN: Is that the right one? \\
\hline 18 the application with the condition that had been proposed by & 18 MR. DAVIS: That's with the report and then there \\
\hline 19 staff. & 19 was a handout sheet when it went to the planning board that \\
\hline 20 MR. CHEN: Do you agree with the planning board & 20 deleted the number 12 shown there. \\
\hline 21 recommendations? & 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. \\
\hline 22 MR. DAVIS: No, I don't agree with the & 22 MR. DAVIS: And it replaced it with the -- \\
\hline 23 recommendation. & 23 Mr. CHEN: Okay. So we got the right numbers? \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: Why is that? & 24 MR. DAVIS: Yes. \\
\hline 25 MR. DAVIS: Because I believe that -- and I did & 25 MR. CHEN: Okay. And I'm just going on my \\
\hline 186 & 188 \\
\hline 1 watch the planning board meeting on their website. I & 1 outline. The description of the affected neighborhood, I \\
\hline 2 watched it live. And my feeling was that they were & 2 think we covered that; is that right Mr. Davis? Did we \\
\hline 3 accepting the recommendations with -- from the staff not & 3 cover that completely? \\
\hline 4 really asking a lot of questions in terms of adverse & 4 MR. DAVIS: Yes. I believe we did. I did \\
\hline 5 effects, things like that. I just came away after hearing & 5 mention -- I just want to make sure that I did mention for \\
\hline 6 the meeting concerned that the planning board had pretty & 6 the record that Redland Road is a dividing line between the \\
\hline 7 much merely accepted the recommendations of staff. & 7 upper Rock Creek Master Plan area which is to the east of \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 8 Redland Road and the Shady Grove Master Plan which is to the \\
\hline 9 MR. DAVIS: Now, there was one recommendation & 9 west side. And I think that it's important in a case like \\
\hline 10 that was a change and that was -- if you look at the -- now, & 10 this where you would have a surrounding area that has -- \\
\hline 11 I don't know if it's with the first page of the technical & 11 that's based on the same planning principles, the same \\
\hline 12 staff report which is -- it was a handout, planning board & 12 guidelines, the same objectives. And I believe that that \\
\hline 13 meeting. It was a revision to the conditions and what it & 13 would rightly be the 2004 Upper Rock Creek Master Plan. \\
\hline 14 did was -- okay, it deleted what had been condition number & 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So do you \\
\hline 1512 in the technical staff report which was the applicant & 15 disagree with the characterization of the area that's -- how \\
\hline 16 must obtain a storm water management plan concept approval & 16 would you characterize the area? \\
\hline 17 prior to the public hearing before the hearing examiner and & 17 MR. DAVIS: I believe that the area to the east \\
\hline 18 replaced with right-of-way dedications for Needwood Road, & 18 side is a rather interesting low density residential area. \\
\hline 19 Carnegie Avenue and as shown on the conditional use plan to & 19 The -- as was noted previously the area to the south of \\
\hline 20 be accomplished by deeds of dedication prior to issuance of & 20 Needwood Road is in the RE-1 zone, which is a, in effect, a \\
\hline 21 a budling permit. & 21 one-acre zone, 40,000 square foot minimum lot. \\
\hline 22 So that was the only change and that was actually & 22 The north side of the road the existing \\
\hline 23 something that had been recommended by staff & 23 subdivisions there as Ms. Kosary had previously testified \\
\hline 24 & 24 are -- have been there for some time, probably going back \\
\hline 25 MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner, I'm trying to find & 25 into the '50s, and '60s, in the R-200 zone. They're built \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 189 & 191 \\
\hline 1 on larger lots. In the sense that the R-200 zone is & 1 protection of the water shed area and that's important. I \\
\hline 2 basically half-acre lots, 20,000 square feet and some of & 2 want to get -- I actually have notes that I wanted to \\
\hline 3 these lots are as big as 2 acres. Some of them are 1 acr & 3 utilize later on on the master plan. And let me see if I \\
\hline 4 I , at one po & 4 can find them be \\
\hline 5 it and I was -- I was not totally surprised because being an & 5 m \\
\hline 6 older subdivision a lot of times you would have that type of & 6 Okay. The -- I'll begin our little journey here \\
\hline 7 situation where you would have larger lots in the community. & 7 on page 7 of the paster plan. \\
\hline 8 So -- and you have park land to the & 8 MR. CHEN: Those sheets that you've got that \\
\hline 9 had the golf course to the east. You had Crabbs Branch & 9 you're looking at are part of the master plan? \\
\hline 10 to the side and then Redland Road. I saw that as being & 10 MR. DAVIS: Yeah, these are part of the plan. \\
\hline 11 basically a large lot area & 11 Mr. CHEN: Okay \\
\hline 12 standpoint of being a residential wedge I don't & 12 Mr. DAVIS: But I have sheets that I had \\
\hline 13 it's precluded from being in a residential wedge by virtue & 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Now, can you see \\
\hline 14 of the R-200 or the RE zoning. I think that with regard to & 14 it because I have it up on the screen. \\
\hline 15 the Upper Rock Creek area the primary concern of the plan & 15 MR. DAVIS: You do. And I have some underlying \\
\hline 16 was for protection of the Upper Rock Creek. And the & 16 text I want to refer \\
\hline 17 watershed, the upper area of & 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. \\
\hline 18 I know that the master plan h & 18 MR. DAVIS: I have it. I wanted to begin with \\
\hline 19 emphasis on the area north of Muncaster Mill Road in & 19 page 7. It talks about the plan concept. \\
\hline 20 of having additional safeguards. There is a -- & 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay \\
\hline 21 provided for a special protection area designation for it. & 21 Mr. DAVIS: And in the first -- I'll wait. Yeah, \\
\hline 22 There's a cap on impervious surface associated with it. But & 22 here we go. Okay. At the top of the page in the first \\
\hline 23 I would note that in this p & 23 paragraph, tha \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: When you say this plan, & 24 There's a second sentence that says, North \\
\hline 25 talking? & 25 Muncaster Mill Road Rock Creek has been classified as a use \\
\hline 190 & 192 \\
\hline MR. DAVIS: I'm talking about the 2004 Upper Rock & 13 watershed, the highest classification in the state. All \\
\hline 2 Creek Master Plan. I believe that there are recommendations & 2 right. Now, keep in mind there's also class 4 streams \\
\hline 3 that are applicable to this site and this area at the south & 3 within the Upper Rock Creek watershed area and there's also \\
\hline 4 end of the planning area & 4 significant recommendations associated for those. It notes \\
\hline 5 MR. CHEN: Could you get into that, please? & 5 in the next sentence, A primary goal -- actually it's the \\
\hline 6 Identifying those parts of the plan that would be applicable & 6 third sentence. A primary goal of this plan is to protect \\
\hline 7 south of Needwood? & 7 environmental resources, maintain stream quality by keeping \\
\hline 8 MR. DAVIS: I can do that. Let me see. I have & 8 streams, forests, and wetlands in a natural state. Okay. \\
\hline 9 to pull out another stack of paper & \(9 \quad\) And as Dr. Kosary had previously testified she \\
\hline 10 MR. CHEN: I understand. I asked because Mr & 10 had mentioned it in light of the 1993 general plan of \\
\hline 11 Kline is going to ask you about thi & 11 refinement. Okay. Of equal importance -- this is the \\
\hline 12 MR. DAVIS: All right. The master plan, we gave & 12 second paragraph on page 7 -- is preserving residential \\
\hline 13 it an exhibit number today. I think it was like 23 & 13 character. The generally low density nature of the Upper \\
\hline 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: 23 & 14 Rock Creek watershed is in keeping with the wedges and \\
\hline 15 MR. DAVIS: Yes. Now, I'm going to identify some & 15 corridors concept outlined in the general plan. Much of the \\
\hline 16 pages in the plan that I found that I thought were key to & 16 watershed is in the residential wedge an area envisioned by \\
\hline 17 the review of this application. Ms. Kosary when she had & 17 the general plan refinement to maintain quality by limiting \\
\hline 18 her -- began her testimony & 18 imperviousness which in turn reduces the amount of warm \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: You mean Dr. Kosary? & 19 water that flows into the streams and contributes to stream \\
\hline 20 MR. DAVIS: Dr. Kosary, excuse me. Last week, I & 20 quality. Keeping the existing residential densities is the \\
\hline 21 thought she gave a very good explanation of the plan history & 21 second basic concept for this plan. \\
\hline 22 in terms of what the plans through say from the 1960s & 22 In my view -- \\
\hline 23 forward have recommended for this area. And I think that & 23 MR. CHEN: What page is that on? \\
\hline 24 the -- and I think it is a very interesting plan, strong & 24 MR. DAVIS: That is page 7. \\
\hline 25 emphasis on environmental protection. Strong emphasis on & 25 MR. CHEN: Thank you. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 201 & \[
203
\] \\
\hline 1 outdoor play area, which is a surfaced area, and it counts & 1 could adversely affect the stream. \\
\hline 2 as impervious surface. The figure that Mr. Reese came up & 2 MR. CHEN: And that impacts one of the main goals \\
\hline 3 with was on the order of 48 percent. So almost 50 percent & 3 of the master plan, for this drainage area \\
\hline 4 imperviousness. Now, in terms of the existing levels of & 4 \\
\hline 5 imperviousness he measured RE-1 lots to the south of & 5 MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
\hline 6 Needwood Road. He also looked at R-200 lots on the north & 6 MR. DAVIS: Okay. \\
\hline 7 side of Needwood Road. In the technical staff report the & 7 MR. CHEN: Next pag \\
\hline 8 staff had identified that on a Countywide average the -- in & \(8 \quad\) MR. DAVIS: On page 49 would be the next page in \\
\hline 9 the RE-1 zone there was typically a & 9 the master plan. \\
\hline 10 imperviousness and this is for areas served by sewer & 10 Okay. Under water sheds and stream valleys the \\
\hline 11 What Mr. Reese did was to examine the subject & 11 paragraph, the second paragraph that begins at Rock Creek \\
\hline 12 area, properties in the immediate vicinity of the subject & 12 North Branch there's a sentence there at the end of the \\
\hline 13 site and he determined that the level of imperviousness & 13 paragraph which talks about use 4 streams. And it says, \\
\hline 14 somewhere around 15 percent. So he found a number of & 144 includes cold and warm waters that have the potential for \\
\hline 15 existing imperviousness less than the average for the County & 15 or are capable of holding or supporting adult trout for put \\
\hline 16 which the staff had used. He also found a hi & 16 and take fishing. These streams are managed as special \\
\hline 17 imperviousness number associated with the proposed proje & 17 fisheries by periodic stocking, seasonal catching \\
\hline 18 on the order of almost 50 percent at, I believe it was 47.7, & 18 In the County there has been attempts -- well, \\
\hline 1948 percent. So this is a significant change in the level of & 19 though I guess, the 40 years that I've been involved in the \\
\hline 20 imperviousness in this area. & 20 County with trying to protect these types of streams because \\
\hline 21 And the master plan is saying that for n & 21 they do have good habitat. Paint Branch comes to mind down \\
\hline 22 development what would be good from the standpoint of 23 helping to protect -- & 22 it the lower part of the County as one that that is -- \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: What page are you on in the master & 24 stream to try and deal with development around \\
\hline 25 plan? & 25 So below, so and this particular paragraph notes, \\
\hline 202 & 204 \\
\hline 1 MR. DAVIS: This is -- & 1 Rock Creek and North Branch are use 3 streams above \\
\hline 2 MR. CHEN: We don't need it on the screen Madam & 2 Muncaster Mill Road. And below Muncaster Mill Road are use \\
\hline 3 Examiner, but - & 34 streams. The use 3 streams are natural trout waters \\
\hline 4 MR. DAVIS: This was on page 48 that I had read. & 4 waters that are capable of supporting natural trout \\
\hline 5 In the residential zones all types of development, & 5 populations including propagation and their associated food \\
\hline 6 residential, institutional, or special exceptions should be & 6 organisms. \\
\hline 7 regulated to achieve the same relatively low levels of & \(7 \quad\) So these levels -- use 3 streams are identified \\
\hline 8 imperviousness. And this was dealing with, I believe, the & 8 in the plan as being streams of this quality are relatively \\
\hline 9 existing character. So we have this proposed development is & 9 rare in the County; use 3 areas, one of six such areas. And \\
\hline 10 much higher in impervious surface than is the existing & 10 that area is part of the special protection area. So I \\
\hline 11 development there today. & 11 would argue that this master plan has application in the \\
\hline 12 MR. CHEN: And how does that compare to the & 12 subject area with its goals, subjectives, recommendation \\
\hline 13 master plan? & 13 because it recognizes that the use 4 streams also need to be \\
\hline 14 MR. DAVIS: Well, the master plan doesn't give & 14 protected. \\
\hline 15 you a number. Now in the & 15 May not -- maybe not at the same high level with \\
\hline 16 MR. CHEN: I know that. I know that & 16 more restrictive imperviousness and other aspects of \\
\hline 17 MR. DAVIS: Okay. B & 17 development, emphasis on some clustering and things like \\
\hline 18 MR. CHEN: Explain what the master plan is & 18 that. But still, I think it's very important at this \\
\hline 19 saying. & 19 location and something that can't be ignore. \\
\hline 20 MR. DAVIS: The master plan is saying that wit & 20 The next page is page 50 which is a map. Yes. \\
\hline 21 new development if you can maintain a similar level of & 21 And this shows Upper Rock Creek watershed management \\
\hline 22 impervious & 22 categories and there's water shed preservation area whis \\
\hline 23 rather than & 23 again, you're looking at primarily the area associated \\
\hline 24 amount of storm water that has to drain towards, in this & 24 land north of Muncaster Mill Road and you have the area in \\
\hline 25 case, Crabbs Branch and which will be a warmer water and & 25 blue which is a watershed protection area called Regular \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

reference to this area?
MR. DAVIS: To this conditional use.
MR. CHEN: Area?
MR. DAVIS: Yes. All right. Then moving on to page 61.

All right. And the first bullet under
recommendations. Design new development and redevelopment
to meet appropriate noise guidelines and ordinances to
prevent conditions that may create local noise impacts. And
I just point that out because it's demonstrating that in
terms of the character of the area, I think the master plan
is trying to -- wants to, in effect, protect the existing
character of the residential neighborhoods in terms of being
protected from what would be noise sources that could create conflict.

I'm not a noise analyst so I'm not going to -- I
didn't evaluate any noise associated with the site. I just note that if the master plan questioned it.

All right. On page 69 of the plan.
There is -- this is dealing with the Redland Road classification. And Redland Road has been previously testified to is a primary residential street and it extends from Muncaster Mill Road to the plan boundary at Crabbs Branch Way. Okay. The -- it has a 70 foot right-of-way which is normal for a primary residential road. Then under it is a little two-line sentence there -- or two sentences.

This two mile section of Redland Road operates more as an arterial roadway than as a primary residential roadway. And due to several factors. I note that in this plan they are recognizing that in some ways Redland Road functions as an arterial roadway. But yet, they felt that with the recommendations contained in the plan they would like to maintain the character of the area with the continuation of the primary road but noting that there is -it has some stress associated with it.

Now, there's a -- on page -- there's a
recommendation in the plan and I guess I'm not on the right
page for that. But the plan recommended that there would be need for some improvements to Redland Road. Maybe that was -- yes, page 70. Here we are.

Under adjacent land uses it says adjacent land use on Redland Road is inconsistent with the residential road classification. And they're talking about some of the uses. Three houses of worship, Shady Grove Presbyterian Church, Derwood Alliance Church, Ingelsia Alianza Derwood.
And the second done is commercial frontage between Muncaster Mill Road and Roslyn Avenue.

And then they note, 40 single family residential
residences along it. So they see this as kind of a
25 transitional situation. But they recommended an improvement
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 209 & 211 \\
\hline 1 in the next paragraph the planned intersection capacity & 1 Cultural Center which also seems to have, in terms of its \\
\hline 2 improvement. And it was noted that the intersection of & 2 current size and activity levels, there was testimony \\
\hline 3 Redland Road and Needwood Road is forecast to exceed the & 3 concerning the effects of it, but it's not a 9 to 5 all day \\
\hline 4 Derwood policy area congestion stand & 4 kind of operation, as I understand it. \\
\hline 5 Now, keep in mind, this was from-- reflective & 5 So I just think that we need to be aware of the \\
\hline 6 & 6 fact that Needwood Road potentially could become, in this \\
\hline 7 & 7 sort of transition, where is it a primary road; is it some \\
\hline 8 what they did was to add some lanes to, in effect, improve & 8 sort of a larger scale connector road, an arterial road? \\
\hline 9 the approaches on Redland Road both coming from the no & 9 You know, what would it become? So I point that out as \\
\hline 10 and to & 10 being an issue in the master plan \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: Were tho & 11 That's all I wanted to say about the master plan. \\
\hline 12 earlier tod & 12 I think in terms of summary of it; I think that this master \\
\hline 13 MR & 13 plan definitely includes goals, objectives, recommendat \\
\hline 14 today. And those were -- that was an important improvem & 14 policies for the entire planning area. But I do understand \\
\hline 15 to try and & 15 that the area north of Muncaster Mill Road has had a greater \\
\hline 16 Now, I bring this up because Needwood Road is also a primary & 16 deal of attention paid to it because of the very sensitive \\
\hline 17 residentia & 17 nature of the area from an environmental perspective and for \\
\hline 18 masters plan the & 18 safeguarding the upper area of the Rock Creek watershed. \\
\hline 19 Redland Road & 19 MR. CHEN: Does that attention in any way \\
\hline 20 & 20 derogate from the application of the master plan and its \\
\hline 21 here would be that if we have a redevelopment of propert & 21 goals and objectives to the area that has been identified, \\
\hline 22 or new development on properties that in essence, & 22 at least in this proceeding, as a surrounding area with \\
\hline 23 the travel & 23 proposed conditional uses to be located? \\
\hline 24 & 24 MR. DAVIS: No. I believe that this is \\
\hline 25 intersection insofar as the Needwood Road legs of that. & 25 appropriate. I think that what has happened is that they've \\
\hline 210 & 212 \\
\hline Now, that being said, & 1 identified the class 3, class 4 areas and \\
\hline 2 uses along Needwood Road. You have the -- some u & 2 class 4 stream, I believe that there's sufficient guidance \\
\hline 3 occurred after the master plan. You have the Taiwanes & 3 in the master plan to be able to review and consider how new \\
\hline 4 Cultural Center which I've already talked about at the & 4 development will be -- what effects it could have on the \\
\hline 5 corner of Needwood Road, the northeast corner of Ne & 5 area and it needs to be carefully considered. \\
\hline 6 and Redland & 6 MR. CHEN: Including drainage? \\
\hline 7 approved at that location & 7 MR. DAVIS: Including drainage, yes. \\
\hline \(8 \quad\) You also have the Taiwanese Presbyterian Church & 8 MR. CHEN: And you've gone through for the last \\
\hline 9 which has also been noted in the hearing which is & 9 bit of time the sections and parts of the master plan that \\
\hline diately adjacent to the Kosary/Posey property & 10 are your examples of that application? \\
\hline heir east side. So the Kosary/Posey property is & 11 MR. DAVIS: Yes. \\
\hline 12 actually the wedge between that church and the subject & 12 MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
\hline 13 property. & 13 MR. DAVIS: There's one other drawing. And maybe \\
\hline 14 And then another conditional use is a small & 14 this might be a time to bring it in. \\
\hline care center that's & 15 MR. CHEN: Please. \\
\hline 16 the church, I believe, on the north side of Needwood Road. & 16 MR. DAVIS: Are you ready for it? \\
\hline 17 And I think that's a facility for -- I think it's up to 12 & 17 MR. CHEN: No, go right ahead. Let's deal with \\
\hline 18 children. & 18 it. \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: Mr. Posey testified about & 19 MR. DAVIS: And this I belief is \\
\hline 20 MR. DAVIS: Yes, he did testify about & 20 MR. CHEN: Let me give you a question on that. \\
\hline 21 Now, so within the area you've had a couple of & 21 Mr . Davis, there was some discussion a year ago with regard \\
\hline 22 different uses coming in that in effect, have had effect. & 22 to a priority funding; is that correct, sir? \\
\hline 23 In terms of how this road is utilized. One, a permitted use & 23 MR. DAVIS: That's correct. \\
\hline 24 being the church with most & 24 MR. CHEN: You were present for that testimony? \\
\hline 25 occurring on weekends. And then, you have the Taiwanese & 25 MR. DAVIS: Yes. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 213 & 215 \\
\hline 1 MR. CHEN: And you've done some research on that & 1 and Needwood Road. \\
\hline 2 point; is that correct, sir? & 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. For the \\
\hline 3 MR. DAVIS: Well, what I have done is try and & 3 record. I need you to describe it. I can describe it as \\
\hline 4 determine that the area involved with this application, ho & 4 we've seen this on some other exhibits where there's lots \\
\hline 5 does that -- what is its status in terms of priority funding & 5 that are shaped like wedges where Morton's Towing is. \\
\hline 6 areas of Montgomery County. & 6 MR. DAVIS: Ye \\
\hline 7 MR. CHEN: Okay. What were your findings? & 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And the \\
\hline 8 MR. DAVIS: Well, and first of all, I want to & 8 Taiwanese Cultural Center is across the street. Okay. Go \\
\hline 9 check if this was -- this was entered as an exhibit but & 9 ahead. (inaudible) and the rest is in the priority -- or in \\
\hline 10 don't have that exhibit number - & 10 yellow. \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: Who - & 11 MR. DAVIS: Yes. The area in yellow represents \\
\hline 12 MR. DAVIS: -- on it. & 12 more priority funding area. The area that we're discussing \\
\hline 13 MR. CHEN: There's on -- Madam Examiner, give us & 13 where you identified the land uses and where the subject \\
\hline 14 one minutes. & 14 site is located the south side of Needwood Road extending \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right. & 15 all the way to Redland Road and extending south down to \\
\hline 16 MR. DAVIS: I think it may have been in the batch & 16 Crabbs Branch. \\
\hline 17 that it was just a number but it didn't have -- & 17 Then, it extends east incorporating the \\
\hline 18 MR. CHEN: 204 or 205. & 18 Enterprise Golf Course; I've lost a lot of golf balls. And \\
\hline 19 MR. DAVIS: Yes. Here is a list. This was a & 19 to Rock Creek Park. That area is (inaudible) priority one \\
\hline 20 list that I -- 204 is the exhibit number and it's the County & 20 areas. \\
\hline 21 wide priority funding map and it's a color print. I think & 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So the dark \\
\hline 22 that -- yes. That's the map. So it is an exhibit. Good. & 22 are you saying the darker yellow is -- the yellows are \\
\hline 23 All right. & 23 priority funding areas? And the grays are not? \\
\hline 24 (Exhibit 204 was introduced.) & 24 MR. DAVIS: Correct. \\
\hline 25 MR. DAVIS: Okay. I will just point to it on my & 25 MR. CHEN: What is the application to the subject \\
\hline 214 & 216 \\
\hline 1 screen but that's not going to help anybody. I thought we & 1 property? \\
\hline 2 did have a larger scale & 2 MR. DAVIS: The subject property and the other \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I think you do. & 3 properties on the south side of Needwood Road are not \\
\hline 4 MR. DAVIS: The blowup is 205 & 4 included in a priority funding area. And this has \\
\hline 5 Mr. CHEN: Is there a 205, Madam Examiner? & 5 significance from the standpoint of the state's Smart Growth \\
\hline 6 MR. DAVIS: If you can't get that exhibit I & 6 program. And I call this the carrot and the stick approach \\
\hline 7 could -- & 7 that the state has utilized. And that is that they're \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, I got it. & 8 discouraging public infrastructure projects located in the \\
\hline 9 Give me one moment to rotate it. Okay. So let me blow this & 9 non-priority funding areas. In other words, they want to \\
\hline 10 one up. & 10 see infrastructure improvements concentrated in the priority \\
\hline 11 MR. DAVIS: And rotate it, yes & 11 funding areas which represent appropriate growth areas in \\
\hline 12 Okay. We're fine. & 12 the County. \\
\hline 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: This way? & 13 So you know, the fact that this is -- has a \\
\hline 14 MR. DAVIS: No, no. Where you had it was fine. & 14 subdivision within it it's an RE-1 zoned area and I would \\
\hline 15 Yes. & 15 say that from the perspective of the state this is an area \\
\hline 16 (Exhibit 205 was introduced.) & 16 where they would not be encouraging public capital \\
\hline 17 MR. DAVIS: All right. You see where there's & 17 improvement projects that would in effect be utilized to \\
\hline 18 the -- let me see, I'm trying to guide you. Redland Road is & 18 increase development. \\
\hline 19 just to the -- yes. You're pointing to Redland Road and if & 19 MR. CHEN: How did this issue arise during the \\
\hline 20 you move -- & 20 course of the hearing presentation a year ago? \\
\hline 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Here, or over -- & 21 MR. DAVIS: Well, there had been two appraisal \\
\hline 22 MR. DAVIS: No, come back. Right in -- if you & 22 reports that had been submitted. The first the opposition \\
\hline 23 come straight north you're going to come up to the Crabbs -- & 23 had submitted a report called the McPherson report and then \\
\hline 24 not Crabbs Branch. Yes. Go directly to your east. That's & 24 the Applicant submitted their own report which I call the \\
\hline & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

```

appraisal reports. So I did review the two appraisal
reports and I note, and I wanted to look at some of the --
because I did a comparison of the two reports in terms of
what their approaches were and what they were trying to do.
Again, my purpose wasn't to determine the value
so much as just did they properly reflect what could be done
with the Kosary/Posey property. Was it at its maximum
development? Could there be additional development? Could
there be redevelopment? That's where its' at.
MR. CHEN: How does that --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN:Wait. Of the
Kosary/Posey property, or the subject property?
MR. DAVIS: No the -- this was for purposes of
making the --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN:To value their
property?
MR. DAVIS: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay.
MR. DAVIS: In terms of economic value.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Right. Okay.
MR. CHEN: And that was both reports, correct,
sir?
MR. DAVIS: That's correct. And --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Right. I
remember.

```

MR. CHEN: And how does your comparison of the two reports help the Hearing Examiner?

MR. DAVIS: Okay. Basically I concluded that the McPherson report which concluded that highest and best use for the Kosary/Posey property was one single family home and in fact, it is developed. So that was looked upon as the -as what they saw as highest and best use.

The merit application looked at the potential for redevelopment of Kosary/Posey property. And -- but really it's maxed out in terms of residential development. So it was -- I think that it was viewed as the one unit would be sort highest and best use; it could be a new unit. It could be added on, whatever. So that, I think got into some valuation with that, but.

The McPherson report though concluded that there wasn't a lot of data, comparison data for determining values of situations where you have a detached home with two nonresidential or two commercial -- you know, two -- an institutional use, commercial use on either side of it. And the merit report basically said that that was a constraint that really prevents a determination of economic value associated with the subject application for example, being built. The McPherson report noted that there was not a lot of data but yet, they were able to kind of put together what they thought the effect would be.

\section*{221}

1
But I think that one of the -- what is important here is that the -- my conclusion in looking at the two reports is that the McPherson report probably would not find much in the way of comparative data simply because having a residential use wedged between two commercial uses is not really a good planning situation. And I would argue that in Montgomery County it's something that's been discouraged for many years. Not to say it doesn't happen.

Even, you know, probably where you would see it more would be in an urban area, like Bethesda, Silver Spring where you have, you know, hold out properties in a block. But I think that's a different situation. So at any rate, I felt that the merit report also then went into a discussion describing the area surrounding the area along Needwood Road, the areas north and south of Needwood Road as basically being an area that could be considered a redevelopment area.

And then it sort of went into some explanation basically suggesting that this was part -- this could be considered part of the state's emphasis for smart growth development. And my conclusion based on my experience and I went through the state's program for certification for concerned with smart growth development at the University of Maryland, that this is not an area that is considered appropriate for infill development. And the priority
funding area map, I think, kind of demonstrates that it's not.

The next --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And why do you
say that? Why do you think it's not appropriate for infill development?

MR. DAVIS: I believe that in terms of infill
development I think it's appropriate that you could have
some additional in accordance with the requirements of the
RE-1 zone. Could be permitted uses. Could even be some
conditional uses. But I think it has to be scaled at a
level that doesn't require additional infrastructure improvement.

And in this case, we know there's going to be a
necessary additional infrastructure improvement. And the
most notable would be the extension of the -- redo of the
storm drain system in the existing South Riding Subdivision
that's located immediately south of the property.
The Applicant has identified and shown how the existing draining pipes can be upgraded in terms of taking
out the current pipes, adding bigger pipe so it can
accommodate the storm drainage associated with the
conditional use. But this raises a lot of other issues rather than solving issues.

It's -- the Applicant and their testimony said
\begin{tabular}{|ll|}
\hline 1 & that they would be responsible for the cost of doing this \\
2 & project. However, I believe that the costs that were \\
3 & utilized wee sort of proforma estimates of how many linear \\
4 & feet of pipe. \\
5 & MR. CHEN: You mean about the testimony that was \\
6 & received? \\
7 & MR. DAVIS: Yes. \\
8 & MR. DAVIS: In terms of there was an estimate of \\
9 & cost and I think it was somewhere around a couple of hundred \\
10 & thousand dollars. And I think that grossly underestimates \\
11 & what needs to be done. I'd point out that you have to go in \\
12 & and replace the pipes in the existing neighborhood. Now, \\
13 & this is going not have a very strange effect on that \\
14 & neighborhood because these -- the drawings that have been \\
15 & submitted the Applicant have shown that there's going to be \\
16 & fairly deep excavation. \\
17 & MR. CHEN: How deep? \\
18 & MR. DAVIS: On the order of 12 feet in some \\
19 & locations. And I believe that this will have an effect. \\
20 & The pictures that were shown by Mrs. -- by Dr. Kosary I \\
21 & think were intended to show that with the depth of these \\
22 trenches they're going to have to make sure that they \\
23 & support the sides for the safety of the workers. And when \\
24 & you do that, you dig -- it's a bigger trench certainly than \\
25 & the pipe, you're going to have spill over into adjacent
\end{tabular}
    properties on either side. It's going to create a fairly
    significant disruption in the existing neighborhood.
    We don't know the cost of it. The -- I don't
know if they need to purchase easements on individual
properties to do this. I don't think it's something you
could just do in a standard you know, 5 foot utility
easement either side of the street. The problem about this
is that usually that type of infrastructure you would put in
9 at the beginning of the project. So the subdivision when it
10 was built back in the 1980 s did -- you know they sized it
11 appropriately for their development.
12 Now there have been, additions in terms of new
13 development that has been brought into that pipe system.
14 The -- there was testimony concerning the Taiwanese
15 Presbyterian Church, that they have tied into the storm
16 drain and not -- there's questions as to whether it was done
17 properly, not done properly and there's certainly signs of
18 strain for the system in terms of some erosion as it's down
19 near closer to the stream.
20 So this, to me, is on the order of a major
21 infrastructure improvement. I'm not aware of anything in
22 the capital improvements program for it. Keep in mind, it's
23 not in a priority funding area so normally the County would
24 not be looking to do significant infrastructure in this
25 particular area. So it raises a very significant issue as
to whether or not this represents a piece of public
infrastructure -- it's publicly owned infrastructure -- that should be allowed to occur when we don't know really the full extent of what it's going to be, the full cost, the full sizing and all that goes with it.

There's a concept approval I understand from the County. I have not really reviewed that in detail because that's not -- I'm not an engineer. But I'm just stating that based on the record that we have in terms of the testimony and what's been proposed I see this as being a fairly significant infrastructure project.

MR. CHEN: Does this go back to your experience
in -- as not merely a planner but also the services you
provided in providing analysis of highest and best use?
MR. DAVIS: No, not really.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. DAVIS: We didn't have to do that. That was more to issues of --

MR. CHEN: Okay.

MR. CHEN: But it goes to your background with

MR. DAVIS: In subdivision we would see, you know, as plans would come in, even at the time of zoning you
would see plans would come in and they're planning for the
major infrastructure that would be onsite that would be the
developer's responsibility and how it ties into existing
infrastructure in the nearby community to be affected. And
this -- this is sort of a major deal, in my opinion.
MR. CHEN: Have we finished one area?
MR. DAVIS: I believe so.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Madam Examiner, we're not close to done.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay.
MR. CHEN: We're at -- I apologize. I don't mean
to be glib. But we're at 20 of 5 right now.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah.
MR. CHEN: This is, based on what I just heard
from Mr. Davis this is an appropriate, I think, break point
in his subject matter of his testimony. You know, and I
would suggest that this would be the appropriate time to
call it a day.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Kline, do you have an objection to calling it a day?

MR. KLINE: No. No, ma'am. There's a whole lot of maybe not so humorous responses, but no. I think we're
all reached a level of absorption and exhaustion and I've
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still got some Easter eggs I've got to color.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Kline, have
a nice time. Everyone, if you're celebrating over the
holiday, have a wonderful time.
I will adjourn this hearing until Tuesday, April
6th at 9:30.
Or is there anything we need to discuss before we
adjourn for today?
Okay. Hearing none, enjoy your weekends and I
will --
(The recording was concluded at 4:42 p.m.)
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\hline 47:10, 47:13, & 175:15, 175:17, & 230:12 & 75:24, 90:10, \\
\hline 49:8, 57:6, & 175:22, 176:13, & celebrating & 90:11, 129:2, \\
\hline 59:13, 68:16, & 176:14, 176:20, & 229:3 & 131:13 \\
\hline 68:20, 69:17, & 176:23, 178:8, & cell & changes \\
\hline 69:22, 81:12, & 178:13, 178:17, & 42:24 & 6:17, 39:17, \\
\hline 186:19 & 178:21, 178:25, & center & 152:25 \\
\hline carney & 179:2, 179:3, & 4:4, 15:6, & changing \\
\hline 141:19 & 179:11, 179:17, & 15:12, 44:16, & 64:23, 130:23 \\
\hline carol & 179:21, 185:13, & 51:4, 51:24, & channel \\
\hline 2:9, 3:5, 4:12, & 188:9, 202:25, & 143:25, 144:4, & 141:1 \\
\hline 89:25 & 224:14, 230:7 & 144:5, 145:7, & chapter \\
\hline carolina & cases & 162:7, 162:11, & 113:17, 180:12 \\
\hline 7:23, 84:24 & 11:22, 11:23, & 172:14, 174:8, & chapters \\
\hline carried & 13:2, 22:14, & 175:19, 175:22, & \[
14: 1
\] \\
\hline 152:24 & 22:15, 43:16, & 182:22, 183:3, & character \\
\hline carrot & 45:10, 57:17, & 196:6, 210:4, & 159:24, 192:13, \\
\hline 216:6 & 87:7, 127:17, & 210:15, 211:1, & 194:9, 194:12, \\
\hline cars & 176:17, 177:23, & 215:8 & 194:13, 194:20, \\
\hline 44:19, 46:11, & 220:19 & centers & 194:22, 195:16, \\
\hline 46:18, 47:13, & casey & 14:6 & 202:9, 207:11, \\
\hline 79:1, 79:7, & 114:10, 117:10 & central & 207:13, 208:8, \\
\hline 79:8, 80:24, & catching & 205:3 & 218:9 \\
\hline 94:4, 94:21, & 203:17 & certain & characteristic \\
\hline 95:18, 97:3, & categories & 40:23, 42:4, & 146:12, 148:1, \\
\hline 133:12 & 204:22 & 54:17, 73:16, & 151:20, 162:10, \\
\hline carson & category & 114:8, 121:21 & 162:16, 162:17, \\
\hline 45:5 & 194:1 & certainly & 170:21, 171:3, \\
\hline cascading & cause & 6:12, 26:20, & 171:9, 171:10, \\
\hline 91:9 & 37:24, 128:16 & 29:8, 35:19, & 172:1, 172:3, \\
\hline case & caused & 43:23, 55:12, & 172:13, 173:15, \\
\hline 1:5, 4:3, 4:9, & 19:25, 47:12, & 68:6, 121:25, & 174:2, 174:13, \\
\hline 11:25, 12:18, & 132:25 & 151:22, 166:9, & 174:14, 174:16, \\
\hline 12:24, 19:1, & causes & 177:15, 184:4, & 174:17, 175:16, \\
\hline 19:8, 22:6, & 129:25 & 225:24, 226:17 & 175:23, 176:4, \\
\hline 23:9, 29:4, & causing & certificate & 176:5, 176:8, \\
\hline \(31: 3,37: 7\), & 131:18, 133:16 & 230:1 & 176:12, 181:19, \\
\hline 42:3, 54:14, & cb-2 & certification & 181:21, 184:11, \\
\hline 63:16, 78:6, & 167:1 & 223:22 & 184:12, 185:7 \\
\hline 78:8, 79:25, & cc & certify & characteristics \\
\hline 80:25, 87:17, & 2:9 & 230:2 & 91:2, 91:3, \\
\hline 91:7, 100:22, & ccc & chairman & 91:8, 143:25, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 127: 15, \quad 145: 25, \\
& 150: 2, \quad 152: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
163: 24, \quad 163: 25
\] & 20:19, 150:20 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 144: 4, \quad 144: 6, \\
& 144: 25, \quad 145: 3,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 150:2, 152:8, & 164:9, 164:17, & change
\[
16: 15,33: 20,
\] & 144:25, 145:3, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & ```
circling
62:12, 62:13
circuit
11:19, 12:23,
220:12
circulation
162:8
circumstance
75:22
circumstances
74:20, 179:2
cite
34:6
citizens
54:24
clarification
30:10, 89:10,
121:20
clarity
58:19
class
192:2, 193:3,
194:1, 195:4,
212:1, 212:2
classic
58:13
classification
53:8, 110:16,
165:3, 165:4,
192:1, 207:21,
208:18
classified
53:4, 53:10,
191:25, 194:1
classify
59:8
classifying
53:3
cleanup
43:17, 179:20
clear
23:20, 44:15,
44:22, 85:12,
88:20, 93:4
cleared
44:20
clearer
115:21
``` & ```
clearly
75:3, 112:10,
150:9
client
76:10, 220:18
client's
5:23
clients
5:25, 163:9,
220:8
close
48:18, 77:7,
99:22, 137:18,
169:10, 174:2,
174:18, 179:11,
182:7, 182:8,
228:10
closed
134:17, 135:2
closely
17:25, 173:19
closer
226:19
club
172:25
clustering
204:17
code
14:2
coincides
166:7
cold
203:14
collector
53:5
color
110:15, 213:21,
229:1
column
67:6, 67:21,
67:23
columns
39:25, 66:20,
90:10, 90:11
combination
152: 5
come
21:5, 26:23,
``` & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 55: 17, \quad 83: 7, \\
& 85: 12, \quad 135: 18, \\
& 145: 13, \quad 182: 19, \\
& 182: 24, \quad 183: 1, \\
& 183: 3, \quad 183: 25, \\
& 184: 10, \quad 214: 22, \\
& 214: 23, \quad 220: 1, \\
& 220: 3, \quad 228: 2, \\
& 228: 3 \\
& \text { comes } \\
& 19: 12, \quad 19: 14, \\
& 179: 9, \quad 182: 18, \\
& 203: 21 \\
& \text { comfortable } \\
& 85: 4, \quad 85: 19 \\
& \text { coming } \\
& 47: 13, \quad 49: 1, \\
& 49: 16, \quad 50: 2, \\
& 51: 7, \quad 55: 23, \\
& 84: 11, \quad 87: 10, \\
& 88: 19, \quad 93: 8, \\
& 134: 22, \quad 154: 11, \\
& 179: 12, \quad 179: 13, \\
& 182: 18, \quad 183: 11, \\
& 183: 17, \quad 209: 9, \\
& 210: 22 \\
& \text { comment } \\
& 17: 24, \quad 36: 9, \\
& 44: 8, \quad 45: 14, \\
& 56: 17, \quad 105: 10, \\
& 112: 16, \\
& \text { commented } \\
& 45: 21 \\
& \text { commenting } \\
& 89: 4 \\
& \text { comments } \\
& 26: 16, \quad 37: 12, \\
& 40: 3, \quad 53: 9, \\
& 88: 5, \quad 89: 17 \\
& \text { commercial } \\
& 208: 21, \quad 222: 18, \\
& 222: 19, ~ 223: 5 \\
& \text { commission } \\
& 27: 4,153: 6, \\
& 219: 7 \\
& \text { committee } \\
& 26: 17 \\
& \text { common } \\
& 37: 17, \quad 44: 20,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(55: 23, \quad 59: 14\),
\(59: 15,69: 19\),
\(69: 22,71: 14\),
\(73: 6,85: 2\),
\(122: 9,151: 4\),
\(194: 13,206: 21\)
consisting
\(72: 22\)
constraint
\(222: 21\)
construction
\(129: 16,129: 18\),
\(129: 22,129: 24\),
\(130: 7,130: 25\),
\(131: 17,181: 21\)
consulting
\(13: 8\)
contained
\(37: 25,43: 14\),
\(193: 9, \quad 208: 7\),
\(217: 6\)
contention
\(132: 23\)
context
\(5: 23, \quad 121: 23\),
\(125: 7,131: 20\),
\(142: 5,158: 13\),
\(161: 23,162: 4\),
\(218: 5\)
continuation
\(208: 9\)
continue
\(22: 3,50: 7\),
\(85: 18\)
continuing
\(162: 4\)
contractor
\(161: 10, ~ 161: 19\),
\(178: 3\)
contrasting
\(145: 20\)
contribute
\(194: 22\)
contributes
\(192: 19\)
control
\(46: 25,63: 17\),
\(64: 6,91: 19\), & \begin{tabular}{l}
97:25, 104:9, 227:25 \\
controls \\
74:19, 76:11 \\
conundrum
107:9 \\
conversations \\
138:9, 138:11 \\
convinced \\
52:25 \\
cook's \\
5:14, 27:18, \\
47:20, 85:17, \\
89:4, 108:25, \\
182:14, 183:6 \\
coordinates \\
166:23 \\
coordinator \\
180:6 \\
copy \\
115:6, 149:14,
\[
157: 17
\] \\
core \\
99:9 \\
corner \\
46:21, 57:15, \\
57:18, 110:3, \\
136:9, 163:16, \\
165:24, 168:17, \\
170:8, 170:10, \\
172:15, 174:25, \\
210:5 \\
corporation
\[
15: 8
\] \\
corrected
\[
39: 14,40: 16
\]
\[
60: 22, \quad 90: 6
\] \\
correction \\
81:23, 89:10,
\[
89: 18, \quad 130: 22
\] \\
corrections
\[
90: 4
\] \\
correctly \\
28:22, 39:3, \\
55:16, 98:17, \\
132:17 \\
corridors \\
192:15
\end{tabular} &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & ```
courts
11:14, 220:12
cover
188:3
covered
188:2
crabbs
140:6, 140:17,
160:10, 189:9,
194:1, 195:3,
198:10, 202:25,
205:5, 205:6,
205:24, 207:23,
214:23, 214:24,
215:16
crabs
196:10
crawled
91:22
create
207:9, 207:14,
226:1
created
133:5, 147:18,
147:23, 147:25,
151:16, 151:19
creating
209:23
creation
185:1
credibility
30:23, 178:22
creek
109:12, 113:3,
113:9, 113:17,
113:21, 113:24,
114:2, 115:17,
124:14, 126:24,
157:4, 158:2,
158:14, 158:22,
158:24, 160:2,
160:8, 160:9,
188:7, 188:13,
189:15, 189:16,
190:2, 191:25,
192:3, 192:14,
193:11, 198:9,
203:11, 204:1,
``` & ```
204:21, 205:14,
206:4, 211:18,
215:19
criteria
42:6, 57:4
critical
45:9
critique
6:13, 28:1,
40:25
critiqued
28:6
critiquing
37:24
cross
31:19, 46:13,
84:25, 85:19,
88:8, 88:11,
100:6
cross-examination
24:19, 59:3,
84:23, 85:4,
86:9, 88:10,
88:12, 88:22,
108:25, 109:3,
181:12, 206:11
cross-examine
84:19, 86:8
cross-examined
138:23
crosses
126:4, 126:8
crossing
115:14
crosstalk
48:14, 84:9,
95:13, \(97: 9\),
98:10, 102:10,
107: 6
cu
1:5, 4:3, 175:4
cultural
172:14, 174:8,
210:4, 211:1,
215:8
culvert
140:13, 140:18,
140:19
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
cumulative \\
181:8 \\
cup-4 \\
167:13, 167:15 \\
current \\
140:16, 167:23, \\
167:24, 177:6, \\
211:2, 224:21 \\
currently
\[
10: 8
\] \\
curriculum
10:20 \\
cursor
97:11, 102:20,
\[
120: 13,198: 3
\] \\
curt
\[
126: 16
\] \\
curve \\
41:22, 42:17, 45:22 \\
cut \\
53:25, 54:1, \\
54:3, 54:6, \\
55:12, 79:24 \\
cuts \\
103:7 \\
cv \\
8:12 \\
cycle \\
45:6, 81:22 \\
cycles \\
44:22, 78:22 \\
d \\
3:10, 167:18, \\
168:1, 168:9 \\
daily \\
20:9, 20:15, \\
145:8 \\
damage \\
131:10 \\
damaging
129:9 \\
danger \\
31:17 \\
dark \\
215:21
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline darker & deaden & deep & deliveries \\
\hline 215:22 & 137:15 & 139:22, 225:16, & 183:11, 183:24 \\
\hline data & deal & 225:17 & delivery \\
\hline 31:16, 33:19, & 21:7, 57:7, & deer & 183:21 \\
\hline 39:4, 41:17, & 150:6, 203:24, & 89:15, 103:10, & demonstrate \\
\hline 41:19, 58:23, & 211:16, 212:17, & 104:8, 111:1 & 20:1, 23:14, \\
\hline 58:25, 59:13, & 228:7 & deerpark & 52:3, 176:6 \\
\hline 63:9, 68:14, & dealing & 129:23 & demonstrated \\
\hline 90:21, 90:25, & 18:19, 18:22, & deerwood & 23:15, 33:20 \\
\hline 91:2, 91:8, & 19:3, 20:9, & 110:20, 110:22 & demonstrates \\
\hline 100:1, 108:8, & 20:14, 21:10, & defer & 52:19, 165:16, \\
\hline 108:18, 222:16, & 21:12, 21:18, & 107:2 & 224:1 \\
\hline 222:24, 223:4 & 37:18, 132:18, & deferring & demonstrating \\
\hline database & 145:25, 173:24, & 85:19 & 207:10 \\
\hline 60:1 & 184:25, 196:12, & deficiencies & denial \\
\hline date & 202:8, 205:16, & 28:2 & 152:4, 152:8, \\
\hline 44:19, 76:8 & 207:20, 218:23 & defined & 162:18 \\
\hline dated & deals & 44:25, 109:15, & denied \\
\hline 44:12, 53:18 & 18:25, 54:11, & 113:11, 125:10, & 147:8, 176:7 \\
\hline david & 168:25 & 125:25, 158:5, & dennis \\
\hline 167:18 & dear & 159:5, 171:4 & \[
150: 21
\] \\
\hline davis's & 110:18 & definitely & densities \\
\hline 28:11, 31:13, & debate & 140:24, 211:13 & 192:20, 194:14, \\
\hline 36:9, 59:5, & 111:9 & definition & \[
206: 20,206: 22
\] \\
\hline 59:16, 86:7 & decades & 147:12, 147:14, & density \\
\hline day & 111:12 & 147:15, 147:17, & 109:16, 110:16, \\
\hline 71:15, 72:5, & december & 147:22, 151:13, & 117:23, 125:19, \\
\hline 78:25, 143:25, & 44:12 & 171:4 & 126:3, 126:19, \\
\hline 144:4, 145:7, & decide & definitive & 126:21, 188:18, \\
\hline 145:9, 145:10, & 87:18, 105:6, & 35:22 & 192:13, 193:16, \\
\hline 145:13, 145:14, & 111:10, 127:19 & degree & 194:15, 218:9 \\
\hline 179:14, 181:24, & decided & 73:7 & depart \\
\hline 182:22, 183:1, & 127:18, 173:25 & delay & 17:5, 163:3 \\
\hline 183:5, 183:17, & decision & 40:11, 45:11, & department \\
\hline 185:4, 211:3, & 54:19, 74:21, & 61:3, 61:14, & \[
25: 2,26: 14,
\] \\
\hline 228:20, 228:22 & 127:14, 127:20, & \[
61: 15,61: 16,
\] & \[
26: 15,26: 24
\] \\
\hline day-to-day & 128:2, 128:6, & \[
62: 23,63: 13,
\] & \[
55: 2, \quad 163: 11
\] \\
\hline 18:4 & \[
152: 8, \quad 174: 17
\] & \[
63: 24,64: 4,
\] & department's \\
\hline daycare & dedicated & 64:5, 68:6, & 115:15 \\
\hline \[
4: 4, \quad 14: 6,
\] & 81:18, 82:6, & 91:19, 94:10, & depend \\
\hline \[
15: 6, \quad 15: 12,
\] & 105:15 & 97:25, 98:2, & 29:8, 184:24 \\
\hline 44:16, 51:23, & dedication & 98:3, 108:7 & depending \\
\hline 162:7, 162:11, & 184:25, 186:20 & delays & 177:13, 183:6 \\
\hline \[
210: 15
\] & dedications & 61:23 & depends \\
\hline days & 186:18 & delete & \[
82: 3
\] \\
\hline \[
17: 7
\] & deeds & \[
111: 16
\] & depiction \\
\hline ddd & \[
186: 20
\] & deleted & 200:13 \\
\hline 163:23 & deemed & 186:14, 187:20 & depth \\
\hline & 179:11 & & 225:21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline der & 151:22, 222:21 & developments & 38:25, 39:1, \\
\hline 160:14 & determine & 195:18 & 39:12, 51:15, \\
\hline derogate & 30:24, 41:24, & deviate & 51:17, 137:17, \\
\hline 211:20 & 45:6, 147:7, & 16:9 & 165:6 \\
\hline derwood & 155:7, 213:4, & device & directions \\
\hline 120:8, 120:18, & 219:17, 220:10, & 42:24 & 39:5, 39:7, \\
\hline 159:3, 159:7, & 221:5 & diagonal & 45:18, 52:1, \\
\hline 160:11, 208:20, & determined & 205:18 & 52:8, 52:21 \\
\hline 209:4 & 201:13 & difference & directly \\
\hline describe & determines & 16:11, 39:2, & 38:4, 56:14, \\
\hline 39:17, 46:8, & 78:4 & \[
39: 8,59: 17
\] & 57:5, 198:10, \\
\hline 50:11, 68:4, & determining & different & 214:24 \\
\hline 114:7, 117:7, & 163:12, 218:22, & 22:14, 28:4, & director \\
\hline 125:16, 146:9, & 222:16 & \(37: 16,39: 25\), & \[
74: 23, \quad 178: 15
\] \\
\hline 157:3, 157:5, & develop & 41:19, 57:4, & directs \\
\hline 157:11, 215:3 & 29:18 & 58:19, 59:9, & 193:15 \\
\hline described & developable & 79:6, 100:3, & dirt \\
\hline 5:11, 39:3, & 196:18 & 108:9, 138:25, & 131:14, 131:15 \\
\hline 43:9, 45:21, & developed & 139:1, 152:2, & disagree \\
\hline 106:22, 146:7, & 54:5, 112:12, & 154:8, 159:23, & 105:2, 108:14, \\
\hline 167:3, 182:1, & 122:19, 154:18, & 159:24, 160:12, & 111:17, 131:6, \\
\hline 200:7 & 174:9, 222:6 & 160:16, 164:22, & 188:15 \\
\hline describing & developer's & 210:22, 220:19, & disagreement \\
\hline 68:11, 121:11, & \[
228: 5
\] & 223:12 & 81:11 \\
\hline 223:14 & developing & difficult & disappear \\
\hline description & 150:11, 219:21 & \[
46: 1, \quad 46: 15,
\] & \[
181: 3
\] \\
\hline 140:2, 183:9, & development & 106:25, 107:10 & discouraged \\
\hline 188:1 & 10:1, 10:3, & difficulties & 223:7 \\
\hline deserves & 14:14, 16:23, & 49:23 & discouraging \\
\hline 30:8, 52:24 & 20:11, 26:17, & difficulty & 216:8 \\
\hline design & 131:23, 150:19, & 7:2 & discuss \\
\hline 56:14, 171:21, & 159:24, 184:20, & dig & \[
179: 4, \quad 229: 7
\] \\
\hline 171:22, 194:17, & 193:15, 194:13, & \[
225: 24
\] & discussed \\
\hline 194:19, 207:7 & \[
198: 20,201: 22,
\] & digging & 46:2, 106:18, \\
\hline designated & \[
202: 5, \quad 202: 9
\] & \[
130: 2
\] & 106:19, 172:17 \\
\hline 196:25 & 202:11, 202:21, & dilemma & discusses \\
\hline designation & 203:24, 204:17, & 176:3 & 54:1 \\
\hline 189:21, 196:22, & 207:7, 209:22, & direct & discussing \\
\hline 205:23 & 212:4, 216:18, & 10:14, 22:22, & 215:12 \\
\hline designed & 217:2, 217:11, & 27:6, 85:18, & discussion \\
\hline \[
91: 7, \quad 133: 21
\] & 217:24, 217:25, & \[
138: 22, \quad 142: 2,
\] & \[
7: 1, \quad 24: 4,
\] \\
\hline desired & 219:19, 221:8, & 193:19, 206:23 & \[
49: 22,57: 7,
\] \\
\hline 47:14, 175:7 & 222:10, 223:21, & directed & \[
212: 21,216: 25,
\] \\
\hline detached & 223:23, 223:25, & \[
121: 3
\] & \[
218: 9,220: 25,
\] \\
\hline 222:17 & 224:6, 224:8, & directing & \[
223: 13
\] \\
\hline detail & 226:11, 226:13 & \[
27: 7, \quad 66: 9
\] & discussions \\
\hline \[
227: 7
\] & developmental & direction & 42:16, 209:18 \\
\hline determination & \[
196: 18
\] & 38:19, 38:24, & disparity \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  &  & ```
efficiently
87:12
effort
73:19, 76:20
eggs
229:1
egress
23:21, 23:22,
29:7, 173:4
either
70:4, 76:10,
95:19, 161:17,
176:6, 183:6,
199:16, 222:19,
226:1, 226:7
elaborate
127:16
eliminate
187:3
else
15:23, 18:12,
26:23, 41:5,
56:19, 96:19,
138:11
email
4:20, 4:24,
27:6, 27:17,
85:16
emails
17:7, 85:3
emphasis
189:19, 190:25,
194:16, 204:17,
223:20
emphasize
139:17, 193:13,
218:21
empirical
28:3, 59:6,
59:13, 68:3,
68:14
employed
230:6
employees
145:8, 183:18,
183:19
enacted
147:2
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline enclave & enlarging & equation & estimate \\
\hline 120:13 & 77:3 & 41:22, 42:5, & 225:8 \\
\hline enclaves & enough & 42:7, 42:8, & estimates \\
\hline 120:3, 120:6, & 76:19, 78:23, & 42:14, 42:17, & 98:4, 225:3 \\
\hline 120:21 & 81:19, 81:20, & 43:12, 43:15, & evaluate \\
\hline encourages & 115:25, 133:19, & 43:25, 51:18, & 17:11, 207:17, \\
\hline 194:12, 194:15 & 135:17, 137:4, & 61:3, 61:14, & 217:13 \\
\hline encouraging & 137:6, 148:4, & 61:24, 92:24, & evaluated \\
\hline 216:16 & 176:10 & 93:6, 93:18, & 146:1 \\
\hline encroachment & ensure & 93:24, 94:20, & evaluation \\
\hline \[
184: 18
\] & \[
193: 18
\] & \[
95: 15, \quad 95: 17
\] & \[
14: 13,40: 16,
\] \\
\hline end & ensuring & \[
96: 1
\] & \[
200: 22
\] \\
\hline \[
15: 21,49: 25
\] & \[
198: 6
\] & equations & even \\
\hline 88:23, 183:13, & enter & \[
90: 25, \quad 91: 1,
\] & 43:5, 55:7, \\
\hline 190:4, 203:12, & 63:9, 78:2 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 91: 7, \quad 91: 9, \\
& 92: 11 .
\end{aligned}
\] & 59:8, 68:14, \\
\hline 220:4, 220:5 & entered & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 92: 11, \quad 92: 14, \\
& 93: 8
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
76: 20, \quad 126: 25,
\] \\
\hline endless & \[
213: 9
\] & \[
93: 8
\] & \[
134: 25,140: 15,
\] \\
\hline \[
91: 15
\] & entering & equipment & \[
160: 17, \quad 223: 9,
\] \\
\hline ends & \[
145: 10
\] & \[
128: 15,135: 5,
\] & \[
224: 10, \quad 228: 2
\] \\
\hline \[
33: 18
\] & enterprise & \[
135: 9,144: 7
\] & evening \\
\hline engine & \[
215: 18
\] & erosion
\[
140: 22, \quad 140: 25
\] & \[
145: 14
\] ever \\
\hline \[
182: 21,183: 3
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
entertain \\
177.15
\end{tabular} & \[
140: 22,140: 25 \text {, }
\]
\[
141: 4, \quad 226: 18
\] & ever \\
\hline engineer
\[
227: 8
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 177:15 } \\
& \text { entire }
\end{aligned}
\] & error & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 43: 7, \quad 122: 6, \\
& 128: 9, \quad 135: 15,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline engineering & 78:7, 211:14 & 38:17 & 135:17 \\
\hline 31:3, 37:5, & entitled & errors & every \\
\hline 37:21, 47:5, & 113:18 & \(33: 2,33: 16\) & 8:21, 61:18, \\
\hline 47:7, 164:2, & entrance & es 45 & 71:15, 181:24 \\
\hline 199:13 & 23:21, 29:6, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
45: 4, & 62: 9, \\
63: 11, & 103: 7
\end{array}\right.
\] & everybody \\
\hline engineers & 51:24 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 63:11, } 103: 7 \\
& \text { especially }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 81: 20, \quad 113: 5, \\
& 116: 20
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
40: 23,41: 18,
\]
\[
43: 14
\] & entry
\(177: 1\) & \[
142: 7, \quad 198: 7
\] & everyone \\
\hline english & enumerate & esquire & 5:13, 85:15, \\
\hline 2:9, 4:13, & \[
131: 12
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
2: 3,2: 10
\] \\
essence
\end{tabular} & \[
229: 3
\] \\
\hline 47:18, 48:17, & envelope & \begin{tabular}{l}
essence \\
209:22
\end{tabular} & everything \\
\hline \(48: 22,49: 1\),
\(49: 4,49: 6\), & \[
31: 9
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
209: 22
\] \\
essentially
\end{tabular} & \[
40: 9,85: 24
\] \\
\hline \(49: 4,49: 6\),
\(49: 12, ~ 49: 13\) & environmental & essentially & 101:10, 122:14 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 49:12, } 49: 13 \\
& \text { english's }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 128: 12, \\
& 129: 25, \\
& 180: 5,
\end{aligned}
\] & 77:24, 96:6 established & \begin{tabular}{l}
evidence \\
11:1, 11:3,
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 111:5, 112:7 & 190:25, 192:7, & 38:7, 40:21, & 31:1, 59:7, \\
\hline enjoy & \[
193: 5,211: 17
\] & 75:5, 178:21, & 59:22, 60:11, \\
\hline 229:9 & environmentally & \[
218: 4
\] & \[
70: 14, \quad 143: 12
\] \\
\hline enjoyment & 129:9 & establishes & exact \\
\hline 217:10 & envisioned & \[
193: 16
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 49: 14, \quad 55: 10, \\
& 56: 11, \quad 58: 1 .
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline enlarge & \[
192: 16
\] & establishing
\[
42: 5
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
56: 11, & 58: 1, \\
63: 18, & 70: 6,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 46: 20 \\
& \text { enlarged }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { equal } \\
& \text { 108:4, 192:11 }
\end{aligned}
\] & estate & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 63: 18,70: 6, \\
& 70: 16,76: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 75:25 & equated & 133:23, 134:1 & 173:23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on April 2, 2021
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline exactly & excess & 48:24, 49:11, & 207:12, 224:17, \\
\hline 45:7, 56:9, & 53:7, 70:20 & 50:24, 52:18, & 224:20, 225:12, \\
\hline 69:5, 74:11, & excessive & 53:11, 53:20, & 226:2, 228:5 \\
\hline 82:8, 169:13 & 184:13 & 64:20, 77:12, & exists \\
\hline examination & exchange & 77:15, 89:19, & 51:12 \\
\hline 27:6, 31:20, & 27:17 & 90:4, 101:25, & exit \\
\hline 85:20, 88:9, & exchanged & 102:2, 102:24, & 18:4 \\
\hline 88:12, 159:19 & 17:6 & 107:17, 109:20, & expect \\
\hline examine & exchanges & 109:21, 111:23, & 45:4, 131:3, \\
\hline 85:1, 201:11 & 4:20 & 112:6, 115:19, & 132:5 \\
\hline examined & exclusive & 116:3, 116:10, & expectation \\
\hline 42:1 & 80:2, 80:22 & 119:21, 120:4, & 146:18 \\
\hline examiner's & exclusively & 120:13, 124:21, & experience \\
\hline 81:16, 84:22, & 110:14 & 125:1, 143:13, & 9:20, 9:25, \\
\hline 85:3, 85:15, & excuse & 148:5, 153:10, & 10:4, 10:6, \\
\hline 102:20, 109:5, & 4:18, 12:14, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 164: 8, \quad 167: 14, \\
& 168: 23, \quad 169: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & 14:16, 18:4, \\
\hline \(184: 5\)
examiners & 12:16, 27:5, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 168: 23, ~ 169: 19, \\
& 187: 1, \quad 187: 14,
\end{aligned}
\] & 61:17, \(73: 1\),
\(131: 20, ~ 132: 8\), \\
\hline examiners
\(11: 21\) & \(31: 24, ~ 34: 10\),
\(52: 2,52: 11\), & \[
190: 13,199: 3,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
131: 20, & 132: 8, \\
218: 22, & 223: 21,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline examining & 65:4, 84:17, & 199:6, 199:7, & \[
227: 12
\] \\
\hline 123:1 & 118:11, 145:18, & 199:9, 199:10, & experienced \\
\hline example & 152:7, 165:8, & 200:10, 213:9, & 132:14 \\
\hline 222:23 & 167:9, 190:20, & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
213: 10, & 213: 20, \\
213: 22, & 213: 24
\end{array}
\] & experiences \\
\hline examples & 196:19, 197:25, & \[
214: 6,214: 16,
\] & 132:10 \\
\hline 73:16, 129:21, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
199: 8, \quad 205: 20,
\end{array}
\] & \[
217: 18,217: 20
\] & expert \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 130: 14, \quad 130: 16, \\
& 176: 2, \quad 212: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & 205:24 excused & exhibits & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 11: 9, \quad 11: 15, \\
& 11: 17, \quad 11: 20
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline excavation & 36:2, 82:22, & 166:23, 199:11, & 11:23, 12:1, \\
\hline 129:5, 225:16 & 143:1, 172:19 & \begin{tabular}{l}
215: 4 \\
existence
\end{tabular} & 12:22, 13:6, \\
\hline exceed & executive & \[
154: 24
\] & 13:19, 14:15, \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
94: 24, \quad 209: 3
\] \\
exceeded
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3: 11, \quad 53: 17, \\
& 55: 24, \quad 64: 11,
\end{aligned}
\] & existing & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 14: 20, \quad 15: 3, \\
& 37: 8, \quad 121: 6,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
44: 14, \quad 54: 8
\] & 101:13 & 40:7, 46:13, & 127:9, 143:21 \\
\hline exceeds & exhaustion & 57:21, 76:23, & expertise \\
\hline 58:2, 58:6 & 228:25 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
155: 18, & 165: 10, \\
165: 11, & 165: 20,
\end{array}
\] & 9:21, 14:8, \\
\hline except & exhibit & \[
165: 21,166: 4,
\] & \[
28: 17
\] \\
\hline 32:23 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3: 9, \quad 3: 10, \\
& 3: 11, \\
& 3: 12,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
167: 6,167: 7,
\] & explain
\[
12: 2,16: 10,
\] \\
\hline exception
150:25, 151:3, & \[
\begin{array}{|ll}
3: 11, & 3: 12, \\
3: 13, & 7: 18, \\
3: 6,
\end{array}
\] & \[
167: 8, \quad 168: 12,
\] & 20:5, 21:5, \\
\hline \(150: 25, ~ 151: 3\),
\(152: 11, ~ 172: 13\), & 8:19,
\(100: 14\), & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
168: 14, & 169: 4, \\
169: 15, & 169: 18
\end{array}
\] & 28:3, 35:7, \\
\hline \[
172: 16,172: 25
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
10: 16, & 11: 1, \\
15: 16, & 15: 20
\end{array}
\] & \[
173: 15,174: 7
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 38: 13, \quad 47: 4, \\
& 51: 3, \quad 57: 1,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] \\
\hline 198:21
exceptions & \[
15: 24, \quad 16: 1
\] & 188:22, 192:20, & \[
131: 8,134: 12,
\] \\
\hline 10:8, 11:23, & 16:5, 16:10, & 194:16, 194:18,
\[
194: 20,195: 18
\] & 139:4, 140:9, \\
\hline 150:14, 151:7, & 34:8, 34:11, & \[
200: 11, \quad 200: 13,
\] & 143:24, 149:21, \\
\hline 152:9, 202:6 & 39:17, 39:19, & 201:4, 201:15, & 154:7, 154:10, \\
\hline excerpt & \[
40: 17, \quad 40: 19,
\] & \[
202: 9,202: 10,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 163: 2, \quad 172: 9, \\
& 176: 22, \quad 202: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS

\section*{Transcript of Hearing}

Conducted on April 2, 2021
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 217:3 & 21:7, 21:10, & family & 80:13, 80:23, \\
\hline explained & 21:19, 21:20, & 154:18, 175:14, & 96:12, 97:7, \\
\hline 142:15 & 22:2, 22:7, & 208:23, 222:5 & 154:15, 154:16, \\
\hline explaining & 25:4, 29:2, & far & 155:17, 163:15, \\
\hline 120:20 & 65:5, 162:20 & 29:3, 30:15, & 163:18, 164:5, \\
\hline explanation & facility & 51:16, 75:8, & 165:6, 165:12, \\
\hline 190:21, 223:18 & 25:15, 58:15, & 95:7, 95:8, & 165:16, 165:17, \\
\hline expressed & 171:21, 171:23, & 95:9, 111:19, & 165:23, 166:6, \\
\hline 22:16, 130:4, & 210:17 & 117:22, 134:21, & 166:9, 167:5, \\
\hline 173:18 & fact & 220:4 & 167:9, 167:10, \\
\hline expressing & 23:11, 27:6, & farther & 168:16, 168:17, \\
\hline 14:8 & 33:14, 55:22, & 175:11, 176:24, & 169:3, 169:5, \\
\hline expressly & 64:10, 90:18, & 210:15 & 169:9, 169:25, \\
\hline 27:17, 27:19 & 127:18, 151:2, & fast & 170:3, 170:6, \\
\hline extend & 152:5, 162:12, & 104:12 & 170:9, 170:14, \\
\hline 35:2, 44:1, & 163:14, 174:13, & faster & 171:13, 173:6, \\
\hline 44:2, 59:12, & 174:22, 175:18, & 101:21 & 173:12, 173:13, \\
\hline 68:20, 69:17, & 211:6, 216:13, & favor & 177:2, 177:10, \\
\hline 193:14 & 218:3, 222:6 & 86:13, 87:17 & 177:11, 177:18, \\
\hline extended & factor & feasible & 184:24, 189:2, \\
\hline 177:2 & 42:11, 79:10 & 54:18 & 225:4, 225:18 \\
\hline extending & factors & feature & felt \\
\hline 197:19, 215:14, & 39:10, 54:19, & 137:2, 150:16, & 19:21, 24:15, \\
\hline 215:15 & 64:18, 64:19, & 151:1, 174:10, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
25: 10, & 25: 13, \\
33: 14, & 150: 6,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline extends & 208:4 & 174:12 & 33:14, 150:6,
\[
150: 13, \quad 159: 21
\] \\
\hline 80:19, 117:22, & facts & feedback & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 150: 13, \quad 159: 21, \\
& 174: 2, \quad 174: 3,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 160:2, 207:22, & \[
175: 16
\] & \[
49: 16
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 174: 2,174: 3, \\
& 174: 19,174: 20,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
215: 17
\] \\
extension
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { factual } \\
& \text { 122:11, 123:4, }
\end{aligned}
\] & feel
\[
6: 15,6: 17
\] & \[
175: 16,175: 22,
\] \\
\hline 132:19, 224:16 & 179:1 & \(\begin{array}{ll}6: 15, & 6: 17, \\ 28: 3, & 40: 18,\end{array}\) & 180:10, 208:6, \\
\hline extent & failing & 112:16, 117:21, & 223:13 \\
\hline 194:6, 227:4 & 62:22 & 126:1, 154:12, & fence
\[
134: 25,136: 22
\] \\
\hline extra & fails & 156:5, 178:7, & fenced \\
\hline 30:22 & 23:8
fair & 218:5 & 136:18 \\
\hline F & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fair } \\
& 6: 16, \quad 72: 24,
\end{aligned}
\] & feeling & few \\
\hline faces & \[
132: 2,132: 15,
\] & feels & 9:7, 16:14, \\
\hline 102:5 & 179:19 & 36:9 & 89:17, 134:24, \\
\hline facets & fairly & feet & 182:22 \\
\hline 21:4 & 21:6, 151:5, & \[
35: 3,56: 13,
\] & fff \\
\hline facilitate & \[
175: 5,225: 16,
\] & \[
56: 18, \quad 57: 1,
\] & 128:14 \\
\hline \[
150: 13
\] & \[
226: 1, \quad 227: 11
\] & \[
57: 14, \quad 57: 16,
\] & field \\
\hline facilities & familiar & \[
57: 20,57: 24,
\] & 57:22, 75:11 \\
\hline 9:23, 15:13, & 12:4, 13:22, & \[
58: 2,58: 6,
\] & fields \\
\hline 18:23, 19:3, & 14:4, 31:2, & 63:1, 63:3, & 37:8 \\
\hline 19:6, 20:3, & 34:4, 98:23, & \[
68: 21,69: 18,
\] & figure \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{lr}
20: 7, & 20: 10, \\
20: 22 . & 21: 2
\end{array}
\] & 117:12, 117:15, & \[
73: 11, \quad 73: 23,
\] & 77:21, 119:25, \\
\hline 20:22, 21:2, & \[
177: 23
\] & 78:18, 78:21, & 120:1, 125:4, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on April 2, 2021
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 128:19, 136:3, & 123:3, 128:7, & five-minute & foregoing \\
\hline 171:2, 197:6, & 136:5, 136:13, & 36:13, 138:2 & 230:2 \\
\hline 201:2, 219:10, & 138:12, 153:4, & fix & forest \\
\hline 219:11 & 214:12, 214:14 & 172:23 & 180:2, 180:13, \\
\hline figured & finish & fixes & 196:1, 196:17, \\
\hline 33:18 & 84:12, 218:18 & 46:17 & 196:20, 196:22, \\
\hline figures & finished & flatter & 196:23 \\
\hline 37:24 & 5:2, 118:17, & 102:8 & forestation \\
\hline figuring & 228:8 & floor & 197:10 \\
\hline 86:9 & finite & 108:23 & forested \\
\hline file & 91:16, 92:1 & flow & 196:25, 197:2, \\
\hline 143:8 & fire & 131:11 & 197:3, 197:5 \\
\hline filed & 150:2, 150:4 & flowed & forests \\
\hline 9:3, 15:7, & firm & 129:18 & 192:8, 193:15 \\
\hline 55:8, 148:23, & 31:3, 50:4 & flowing & forget \\
\hline 148:25 & first & 90:25 & 27:8, 135:12, \\
\hline filled & 45:5, 46:11, & flows & 144:11, 160:3 \\
\hline 105:25 & 47:9, 62:14, & 192:19 & form \\
\hline final & 62:15, 75:1, & focus & 55:3, 164:25, \\
\hline 33:22 & 110:22, 124:5, & 124:7, 170:20 & 165:1 \\
\hline finally & 146:6, 156:24, & focused & forth \\
\hline 12:12, 163:24 & 164:3, 164:11, & 206:15 & 42:16 \\
\hline financial & 166:1, 167:3, & foe & forward \\
\hline 230:8 & 172:11, 175:1, & 219:21 & 19:1, 106:1, \\
\hline financing & 178:12, 186:11, & follow & \[
190: 23
\] \\
\hline 15:8 & 191:21, 191:22, & 66:6, 107:15, & found \\
\hline find & 206:18, 207:6, & 181:17 & 29:20, 38:13, \\
\hline 27:25, 40:13, & 213:8, 216:22 & followed & 39:7, 39:12, \\
\hline 48:25, 55:10, & first-hand & 20:12 & 47:11, 73:7, \\
\hline 68:10, 73:24, & 72:20 & following & 135:17, 151:4, \\
\hline 100:25, 112:22, & firsthand & \[
193: 12
\] & 152:3, 170:11, \\
\hline 157:18, 159:25, & 73:8 & font & 190:16, 200:14, \\
\hline 174:14, 177:12, & fisheries & 124:4 & 200:23, 201:14, \\
\hline 186:25, 191:4, & 203:17 & food & 201:16 \\
\hline \[
223: 3
\] & fishing & 181:25, 204:5 & founded \\
\hline finding & 203:16 & foot & \[
121: 14
\] \\
\hline 20:2, 99:13 & fit & 128:15, 137:11, & four \\
\hline findings & 79:11 & 139:14, 139:22, & 39:15, 47:25, \\
\hline 19:3, 19:6, & fits & 188:21, 207:24, & 48:24, 74:12, \\
\hline 22:8, 29:1, & 122:3 & 226:6 & 76:12, 76:23, \\
\hline 33:20, 152:22, & fitted & footprint & 76:25, 111:23, \\
\hline 213:7 & 41:22, 42:17 & 136:14 & 112:1 \\
\hline fine & five & forced & fragmentation \\
\hline 17:8, 31:14, & 36:16, 48:1, & \[
175: 10
\] & 196:19 \\
\hline 50:2, 88:20, & 48:2, 48:6, & forecast & fraley \\
\hline 89:8, 100:14, & \[
48: 10,48: 21
\] & 209:3 & \[
117: 12
\] \\
\hline \[
102: 18,113: 25
\] & \[
50: 24, \quad 112: 4,
\] & forecasting & franklin \\
\hline 116:23, 119:19, & 112:5, 194:11 & \[
124: 1
\] & 37:1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline frederick & fundamental & 128:8, 132:17, & 118:22, 123:6, \\
\hline 11:18, 11:19 & 90:24 & 149:14, 178:21, & 136:22, 137:25, \\
\hline freeman & funding & 190:12, 190:21 & 202:14, 212:20, \\
\hline 117:11 & 212:22, 213:5, & general & 213:13, 214:9, \\
\hline french & 213:21, 215:12, & 13:9, 57:21, & 219:4 \\
\hline 98:24 & 215:23, 216:4, & 111:19, 112:21, & given \\
\hline frequency & 216:9, 216:11, & 112:22, 112:23, & 23:23, 63:9, \\
\hline 81:13 & 218:6, 224:1, & 113:11, 118:2, & 64:9, 72:21, \\
\hline frequently & 226:23 & 118:8, 118:10, & 76:23, 122:17 \\
\hline 107:23 & further & 192:10, 192:15, & gives \\
\hline frey's & 24:16, 35:25, & 192:17 & 52:20, 128:2 \\
\hline 49:24 & 47:19, 51:13, & general's & giving \\
\hline friction & 52:6, 60:4, & 13:6 & 73:15, 108:18, \\
\hline 103:24, 103:25, & 60:18, 67:4, & generally & 130:14 \\
\hline 104:1 & 67:18, 75:9, & 21:1, 25:8, & glenn \\
\hline friday & 82:9, 108:24, & 192:13, 197:18, & 36:25, 100:17 \\
\hline 1:10 & 120:15, 137:21, & 210:24 & glib \\
\hline front & 141:18, 142:22, & generated & 228:14 \\
\hline 64:12, 87:8, & 154:9, 180:16, & 41:3, 145:6 & go \\
\hline 113:24, 116:15, & 194:12 & generation & \(7: 3,7: 12,8: 3\), \\
\hline 129:23, 130:3 & future & 40:24, 41:17, & 8:21, 9:7, 9:14, \\
\hline frontage & 19:11 & 41:18, 42:18, & 11:6, 15:21, \\
\hline 56:14, 57:24, & G & 43:15 & 17:3, 18:1, \\
\hline 58:8, 162:15, & gaithersburg & gentleman's & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
19: 16, & 24: 13, \\
26: 25, & 27: 16
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 163:15, 169:3, & 157:1, 157:6, & \[
74: 18
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 26: 25, \quad 27: 16, \\
& 29: 6, \quad 30: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 170:9, 171:11, & 157:11, 157:23, & geographic
\[
196: 11,196: 12
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
29: 6, & 30: 2, \\
30: 4, & 34: 20,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 208:21 & 157:24, 158:6, & 196:11, 196:12
geographically & \[
34: 22, \quad 36: 16,
\] \\
\hline frustration & 158:21 & geographically & \[
36: 20,49: 1,
\] \\
\hline 8:25, 9:1 & gap & 114:19 & \[
53: 1, \quad 54: 17,
\] \\
\hline fs & 27:2, 27:8, & georges \({ }^{\text {13:15, 13:18 }}\) & \[
58: 10,64: 7,
\] \\
\hline 63:11 & 27:14, 27:21, & 13:15, 13:18 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 58: 10,64: 7, \\
& 66: 2,66: 4,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline full & 37:16, 37:24, & getting & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
66: 2, & 66: 4, \\
67: 4, & 70: 17,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 78:2, 78:21, & 38:3, 38:8, & 6:25, 8:7, & 67:4, 70:17,
\[
70: 19 . \quad 72: 4
\] \\
\hline 105:16, 184:20, & 44:6, 44:10, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 28: 17, \quad 42: 21, \\
& 48: 9.48: 18 .
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 70: 19, \quad 72: 4, \\
& 72: 5,76: 17,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 227:4, 227:5 & 44:11, 52:10, & \[
\begin{array}{rr}
48: 9, & 48: 18, \\
50: 17 & 92: 21
\end{array}
\] & \[
79: 23, \quad 80: 3
\] \\
\hline fullest & 59:10, 59:12, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 50: 17, \quad 92: 21, \\
& 104: 17, \quad 104: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
80: 6, \quad 82: 3,
\] \\
\hline \(5: 21\)
fully & 63:1
gaps & \[
125: 20,134: 8
\] & 82:4, 83:18, \\
\hline fully & gaps
\(38: 3,38: 6\), & 140:18, 140:19, & 84:14, 84:24, \\
\hline \(21: 21, ~ 22: 8\),
\(152.24, ~ 155.3\), & \(\begin{array}{ll}38: 3, & 38: 6, \\ 44: 6, & 44: 13,\end{array}\) & \[
149: 4,199: 23
\] & 86:13, 86:23, \\
\hline \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
152: 24, & 155: 3, \\
176: 25, & 181: 5
\end{array}\right.
\] & 44:6, 44:13,
\[
44: 14, \quad 44: 15,
\] & \(149: 4,199: 23\)
g9g & 87:21, 87:24, \\
\hline function & \[
105: 3
\] & \[
128: 14
\] & 88:2, 88:16, \\
\hline 12:3, 160:18 & gas & give & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 89: 2, \quad 91: 21, \\
& 92: 13, \quad 94: 22,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { functionality } \\
& \text { 209:24 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \(57: 19\)
gather & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
9: 17, & 15: 16 \\
26: 3, & 36: 10,
\end{array}
\] & \[
94: 24, \quad 96: 19,
\] \\
\hline functioning & 30:10 & 61:6, 69:2, & 100:25, 102:22, \\
\hline \[
77: 23
\] & gave & \[
75: 2, \quad 77: 6,
\] & 106:1, 109:2,
\[
115: 4, \quad 116: 12,
\] \\
\hline functions & 75:22, 115:6, & 82:25, 88:5, & 115:4, 116:12, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
goodness \\
143:22 \\
gotcha \\
97:16, 195:7 \\
governing \\
73:14 \\
government \\
43:11, 54:3, \\
57:3, 65:14, \\
65:20, 73:24, \\
74:21, 76:11, \\
76:25, 181:11 \\
governmental \\
14:14, 65:11 \\
grades \\
45:2, 130:23 \\
grand \\
103:8, 103:14 \\
granddaughter \\
50:23 \\
granted \\
180:14 \\
granting \\
177:17, 180:11 \\
grays \\
215:23 \\
great \\
57:7, 101:4, \\
128:7, 200:5 \\
greater \\
52:7, 108:5, \\
211:15 \\
green \\
196:7, 196:8 \\
grossly \\
225:10 \\
group \\
58:22, 62:13, \\
65:13, 69:1, \\
72:14, 76:3, \\
76:10 \\
grove \\
129:3, 159:4, \\
188:8, 208:19 \\
grow \\
197:12 \\
growth \\
9:22, 16:22,
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{c}{ H } \\
habitat \\
\(203: 21\) \\
half \\
\(71: 23, \quad 126: 18\), \\
\(126: 19, \quad 126: 20\), \\
\(157: 17\) \\
half-acre \\
\(189: 2\)
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 98:3, 98:17, & 50:4, 59:6, & 137:7, 204:15 & homes \\
\hline 99:6, 100:1, & 101:24, 139:17, & higher & 137:19, 184:22, \\
\hline 108:8 & 150:12, 214:1, & 45:10, 54:13, & 185:3 \\
\hline headwaters & 220:10, 222:2 & 160:18, 201:16, & honest \\
\hline 198:7, 198:8, & helpful & 202:10 & 183:22 \\
\hline 198:9 & 36:14, 102:21 & highest & honestly \\
\hline headways & helping & 12:16, 12:19, & 107:1 \\
\hline 38:3 & 132:12, 201:23 & 12:22, 13:2, & hope \\
\hline health & helps & 13:4, 13:11, & 7:25, 22:23, \\
\hline 203:23 & 191:4 & 16:21, 192:1, & 115:20, 148:4, \\
\hline hear & henry & 220:11, 222:4, & 181:5 \\
\hline 5:16, 15:23, & 117:11 & 222:7, 222:12, & horizontally \\
\hline 24:20, 25:18, & here & 227:14 & 102:23 \\
\hline 25:21, 41:8, & 9:2, 16:16, & highlight & hot \\
\hline 43:3, 65:17, & 36:11, 41:8, & \[
124: 3
\] & 143:9 \\
\hline 68:8, 106:9, & 48:3, 55:11, & highlighted & hour \\
\hline 106:10, 106:13, & 79:6, 79:10, & 91:11, 91:13, & 71:24, 78:7, \\
\hline 124:19 & 83:12, 86:15, & 98:1, 98:2, & 83:11, 90:17, \\
\hline heard & 88:4, 97:3, & 98:3, 99:14 & 90:18, 104:11, \\
\hline 28:12, 30:14, & 98:6, 101:2, & highlighting & 165:4 \\
\hline 30:22, 31:1, & 101:24, 106:13, & 91:14, 99:16 & hours \\
\hline 32:17, 33:5, & 107:21, 120:4, & highway & 145:8, 145:10 \\
\hline 33:6, 33:13, & 134:19, 149:18, & 11:24, 12:18, & house \\
\hline 36:8, 40:24, & 157:13, 157:17, & 13:3, 13:8, & \[
135: 2,137: 1,
\] \\
\hline 43:18, 45:13, & 157:20, 162:11, & \[
37: 18, \quad 38: 5,
\] & \[
137: 5,141: 19,
\] \\
\hline 47:3, 59:5, & 164:5, 164:12, & \[
63: 21, \quad 108: 5
\] & \[
168: 19,174: 7
\] \\
\hline 59:16, 95:6, & 164:15, 164:18, & \[
220: 9
\] & housecleaning \\
\hline 153:10, 228:16 & 164:19, 164:22, & history & 127:12 \\
\hline hearings & 165:9, 169:12, & \[
122: 17,132: 18,
\] & households \\
\hline 1:1, 29:14, & \[
178: 9, \quad 184: 10,
\] & \[
158: 16, \quad 190: 21
\] & 55:1 \\
\hline \[
187: 9
\] & 191:6, 191:22, & hold & houses \\
\hline hearsay & 196:9, 197:23, & 101:7, 114:22, & 127:1, 130:3, \\
\hline 65:17, 181:11 & 199:8, 208:15, & 123:18, 148:19, & \[
139: 21,208: 19
\] \\
\hline heavier & 209:21, 213:19, & \[
223: 11
\] & how's \\
\hline 63:24 & 214:21, 223:2 & holding & \[
168: 2
\] \\
\hline heck & here's & \[
203: 15
\] & however \\
\hline \[
100: 24
\] & 105:9, 168:19 & hole & 91:4, 91:18, \\
\hline height & hereby & \[
128: 15
\] & 92:10, 122:1, \\
\hline \[
131: 14
\] & \[
230: 2
\] & holes & 146:21, 162:12, \\
\hline heights & heritage & 130:3 & 162:16, 225:2 \\
\hline 110:20, 120:8, & \[
193: 14
\] & holiday & huge \\
\hline 120:18 & herself & 229:4 & \[
137: 11
\] \\
\hline held & 122:7 & home & humorous \\
\hline 26:17 & hh & 7:22, 86:14, & 228:24 \\
\hline hello & 199:9, 199:10, & 111:5, 134:16, & hundred \\
\hline 18:15 & 199:17, 199:20, & \[
165: 11, \quad 166: 5
\] & 225:9 \\
\hline help & \[
199: 22
\] & \[
175: 24,222: 5
\] & hundreds \\
\hline 21:5, 49:13, & high
\[
45: 4,126: 2,
\] & \[
222: 17
\] & 41:19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 226:4 & initiated & interplay & 199:10, 213:24, \\
\hline infill & 54:16 & 152:1 & 214:16 \\
\hline 16:23, 217:23, & input & interrupt & introduction \\
\hline 223:25, 224:5, & 28:20, 29:8 & 18:7, 18:21, & 154:10, 219:22 \\
\hline 224:7 & inquiry & 47:15, 72:10, & investigation \\
\hline infinite & 32:4 & 89:22 & 30:24 \\
\hline 92:6 & inside & interrupted & involve \\
\hline influence & 134:16, 135:2 & 118:23 & 10:2, 10:6, \\
\hline 57:10 & insofar & intersection & 15:13 \\
\hline influenced & 209:25 & 35:3, 40:11, & involved \\
\hline 125:18 & install & 44:18, 44:22, & 11:22, 12:9, \\
\hline information & 70:17, 72:4 & 45:9, 45:11, & 12:20, 12:24, \\
\hline 6:2, 6:4, 6:7, & instance & 45:14, 57:11, & 13:1, 13:14, \\
\hline 29:10, 32:5, & 70:3, 72:3 & 57:15, 57:20, & 16:3, 19:11, \\
\hline 32:21, 38:4, & instigation & 58:24, 60:10, & 20:13, 21:4, \\
\hline 44:23, 59:25, & 101:12 & 60:16, 61:3, & 22:11, 22:13, \\
\hline 67:11, 68:3, & institute & 61:14, 61:15, & 26:12, 35:13, \\
\hline 70:9, 73:8, & 40:23, 41:18, & 61:17, 63:23, & 39:10, 151:9, \\
\hline 73:25, 82:16, & 43:14 & 63:25, 64:4, & 152:11, 152:21, \\
\hline 199:1 & institutional & 64:8, 65:3, & 154:13, 203:19, \\
\hline infrastructure & 198:20, 202:6, & 67:9, 67:25, & 213:4, 218:22, \\
\hline 139:10, 139:19, & 222:19 & 68:21, 69:18, & 219:4, 220:11 \\
\hline 216:8, 216:10, & instructed & 70:3, 70:18, & involvement \\
\hline \[
224: 12,224: 15,
\] & \[
42: 17, \quad 150: 10
\] & 70:21, 74:5, & 21:11, 21:14, \\
\hline \[
226: 8,226: 21,
\] & integration & \[
81: 20, \quad 94: 14,
\] & \[
149: 22, \quad 150: 17
\] \\
\hline \[
226: 24,227: 2,
\] & \[
194: 16
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 94: 20, \quad 98: 2, \\
& 110: 23, \quad 132: 19
\end{aligned}
\] & involves \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 227: 11, \quad 228: 4, \\
& 228: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & intended & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
110: 23, & 132: 19 \\
132: 23 & 133: 4
\end{array}
\] & \[
151: 21, \quad 155: 17
\] \\
\hline \[
228: 6
\] & \[
53: 7,225: 21
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
132: 23, & 133: 4, \\
133: 13, & 133: 19
\end{array}\right.
\] & involving \\
\hline ingelsia & intentionally & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 133: 13, \\
& 133: 19, \\
& 133: 20, \\
& 133: 25,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
5: 5,13: 3,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 208:20 } \\
& \text { ingress }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
25: 24
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 133: 20, ~ 133: 25, \\
& 209: 1, \quad 209: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 17: 19 \\
& \text { island }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline ingress & intents & \[
209: 7,209: 25
\] & island
\[
7: 23
\] \\
\hline \[
173: 4
\] & 152:23 & \[
214: 25
\] & issuance \\
\hline inherent & \[
230: 7
\] & intersections & 186:20 \\
\hline \(144: 2, ~ 144: 14\),
\(144: 17,146: 7\), & interested & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
38: 23, & 40: 20, \\
46: 23, & 63: 8,
\end{array}
\] & issue \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{lll}
144: 17, & 146: 7, \\
146: 17, & 146: 19,
\end{array}
\] & \[
150: 21
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|ll}
46: 23, & 63: 8, \\
64: 13, & 71: 16,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9: 5, \quad 18: 25, \\
& 23: 8, \quad 26: 12,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
147: 3,147: 14
\] & interesting & \[
73: 17
\] & \[
27: 18, \quad 33: 8,
\] \\
\hline 147:17, 150:14, & 134:14, 172:22, & intersects & 51:13, 59:22, \\
\hline \[
151: 23,152: 6,
\] & 174:14, 188:18, & \[
103: 13,103: 16
\] & \[
59: 24, \quad 73: 15,
\] \\
\hline \[
155: 3, \quad 155: 4
\] & \[
190: 24
\] & intrinsically & \[
91: 15,104: 24,
\] \\
\hline 155:11, 162:17, & interestingly & 129:9, 129:25 & 104:25, 105:22, \\
\hline 174:2, 174:14, & \[
137: 4, \quad 137: 6
\] & introduced & 106:9, 106:10, \\
\hline 176:5, 176:8 & interfere & \[
10: 16,16: 1,
\]
\[
34: 11,39: 19
\] & \[
122: 23,130: 5,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { inherently } \\
& \text { 131:18 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 58:21 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 34: 11, \\
& 49: 11, \\
& 43: 19, \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 131: 3, \quad 133: 11, \\
& 137: 20, \quad 154: 13,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline initials & internal
\[
\text { 162: } 8
\] & \[
102: 2,112: 6,
\] & \[
154: 17,155: 3
\] \\
\hline 100:20 & internet & 115:19, 168:23, & 156:17, 176:10, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 177:7, 179:10, & js & 38:23, 85:13, & lake \\
\hline 184:17, 185:6, & 53:14 & 91:22, 127:11, & 89:15, 103:10, \\
\hline 211:10, 216:19, & judicial & 132:7, 134:6, & 103:11, 110:19, \\
\hline 226:25 & 115:16 & 139:9, 148:2, & 110:22, 111:1, \\
\hline issued & july & 197:18, 208:24, & 196:6, 196:8, \\
\hline 132:5 & 113:18 & 211:4, 222:24, & 205:2, 205:4, \\
\hline issues & jump & 224:1 & 205:17, 205:25 \\
\hline 4:16, 13:16, & 66:5, 148:2 & kitchen & land \\
\hline 22:7, 26:10, & jumped & 168:6 & 9:18, 9:20, \\
\hline 26:20, 28:25, & 118:16, 118:17, & kk & 10:3, 10:4, \\
\hline 30:25, 99:11, & 118:18, 118:23 & 48:25 & 10:11, 10:23, \\
\hline 130:21, 130:23, & junkyard & kkkk & 11:12, 11:14, \\
\hline 130:25, 131:10, & 150:3 & 47:17, 47:23, & 11:15, 11:17, \\
\hline 132:12, 133:5, & jurisdictions & 48:4, 48:25, & 11:20, 12:1, \\
\hline 156:15, 156:23, & 9:25, 57:14, & 49:5, 52:18 & 12:10, 14:16, \\
\hline 178:16, 217:6, & 227:21 & kkkkk & 14:20, 15:4, \\
\hline 224:23, 224:24, & justification & 3:10, 49:11 & 29:5, 41:20, \\
\hline 227:18 & 156:25 & klein & 114:7, 120:14, \\
\hline it'd & justified & 11:4, 16:7, & 121:3, 121:22, \\
\hline 171:19 & 180:11 & \[
18: 10,18: 15,
\] & 121:23, 122:19, \\
\hline item & K & 26:5, 42:19, & 122:20, 124:11, \\
\hline 132:18, 148:5,
148:6 & k's & 74:18, 81:8,
\[
100: 12,101: 16
\] & 204:24, 205:23, \\
\hline itself & 47:25, 48:2, & 102:8, 153:10, & 208:16, 210:1, \\
\hline \[
56: 1,60: 3
\] & 48:6, 48:10, & \[
153: 25,180: 16,
\] & 215:13, 219:19 \\
\hline \[
74: 22, \quad 125: 22
\] & 48:21 & \[
180: 25, \quad 181: 14
\] & land-use \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{127:15} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{```
k-o-s-a-r-y
90:1
katherine
```} & kline's & 14:9, 14:13, \\
\hline & & 32:4, 35:7, & 124:7 \\
\hline january & 180:8 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 35: 8, \quad 68: 9, \\
& 108: 12
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
landscape \\
48:5, 136:3
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 26:18 & keep & knew & 161:10, 161:19, \\
\hline \[
\text { jうj } \begin{aligned}
& \text { jј: } \\
& 3: 11, ~ 53: 13, ~
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
32: 2,48: 17,
\] & \[
88: 18,101: 10
\] & \[
178: 3
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{|l}
3: 11, \quad 53: 13, \\
53: 20
\end{array}
\] & \[
49: 4, \quad 57: 9,
\] & knowledge & landscaping \\
\hline job & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
57: 17, & 192: 2, \\
209: 5, & 226: 22
\end{array}
\] & 122:7, 130:7 & 174:23 \\
\hline \[
1: 23,21: 21,
\] & keeping & known & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lane } \\
& 39 \cdot 9.67 \cdot 25 .
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
25: 12,28: 22
\] & 192:7, 192:14, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 152: 14 \\
& \text { knows }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
39: 9, & 67: 25, \\
78: 1, & 78: 25,
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline jody & \[
192: 20
\] & knows
\[
65: 24
\] & \[
79: 11, \quad 79: 12
\] \\
\hline 2:3, 4:8 & keeps & kosary's & \[
79: 14,79: 15,
\] \\
\hline \[
133: 15
\] & 178:8 & 37:12, 37:23, & 79:19, 79:20, \\
\hline joint & key 15190.16 & 40:25, 84:22, & 80:1, 80:2, \\
\hline 79:17 & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 151: 1, \quad 190: 16, \\
& 220: 8
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & 84:25, 106:11, & 80:3, 80:4, \\
\hline joseph & kids & 107:4, 122:1 & 80:5, 80:7, 80:10, 80:19 \\
\hline 3:3, 7:16 & 134:9, 134:15, & & \[
80: 21, \quad 80: 24,
\] \\
\hline journey & \[
134: 20,134: 24
\] & 50:24 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
0: 21, & 81: 1,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 191:6 & \[
135: 4, \quad 137: 18
\] & L & 81:17, 81:19, \\
\hline jr & kind & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { l's } \\
& 84: 6,84:
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
81: 24,82: 5,
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & ```
later
19:4, 31:14,
145:13, 154:2,
182:23, 183:1,
191:3
latr
27:3, 65:5
latter
22:10
law
14:11, 79:9,
151:10, 152:2,
180:13
layhill
175:3
layman
122:2, 142:20
laytonsville
197:20
lead
150:22, 152:7
leading
28:2, 104:6
learn
128:10
learned
128:9
least
45:10, 56:13,
56:17, 110:15,
115:2, 143:24,
151:6, 177:9,
211:22
leave
106:23, 145:13,
145:15, 182:24
leaving
29:7
left
51:10, 67:7,
67:12, 67:19,
67:22, 67:23,
67:24, 77:25,
78:1, 78:3,
78:24, 79:11,
79:13, 80:2,
80:3, 80:23,
81:17, 81:18,
``` &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline likely & little & 51:12, 51:14, & 49:10, 50:24, \\
\hline 54:7, 86:9 & 36:10, 46:18, & 51:24, 52:20, & 57:6, 60:22, \\
\hline limit & 50:17, 60:4, & 56:11, 56:20, & 99:8, 114:1, \\
\hline 17:22, 155:22, & 63:13, 66:6, & 56:22, 75:4, & 116:9, 120:17, \\
\hline 163:19, 165:5 & 67:4, 75:22, & 75:8, 144:18, & 126:15, 139:13, \\
\hline limitations & 79:6, 80:13, & 155:16, 162:13, & 144:12, 157:20, \\
\hline 79:16 & 136:4, 136:7, & 163:6, 163:7, & 165:13, 165:15, \\
\hline limited & 145:2, 148:3, & 164:3, 164:4, & 166:1, 166:9, \\
\hline 50:5, 85:8 & 158:15, 171:1, & 167:4, 167:11, & 168:22, 170:4, \\
\hline limiting & 173:17, 176:3, & 170:3, 170:7, & 170:5, 170:6, \\
\hline 23:4, 192:17 & 191:6, 195:23, & 177:6, 204:19, & 187:16, 191:9, \\
\hline limits & 208:1, 210:15 & 210:7 & 204:23, 223:2, \\
\hline 38:3, 51:11, & live & locations & 226:24 \\
\hline 195:13 & 73:1, 186:2 & 70:20, 103:24, & looks \\
\hline line & lived & 225:19 & 62:12, 110:14, \\
\hline 31:3, 31:12, & 104:13 & logically & 125:17, 168:24 \\
\hline 32:2, 36:6, & ln & 107:9 & los \\
\hline 40:9, 40:10, & 97:8 & long & 66:11, 66:19, \\
\hline 52:4, 52:5, & loading & 10:11, 62:25, & 68:1 \\
\hline 52:16, 52:20, & 144:9 & 63:1, 71:17, & losing \\
\hline 66:10, 66:15, & local & 71:25, 76:3, & 218:24 \\
\hline 66:17, 66:18, & 20:19, 54:16, & 96:22, 131:12, & lost \\
\hline 77:13, 78:14, & 54:24, 55:21, & 178:4, 220:21 & 25:16, 41:4, \\
\hline 78:18, 121:1, & 57:3, 62:7, & longer & 131:10, 157:19, \\
\hline 137:13, 155:17, & 74:21, 151:10, & 51:18, 80:13, & 215:18 \\
\hline 155:22, 155:23, & 194:20, 207:9 & 94:2, 96:9 & lot \\
\hline 155:24, 165:24, & localized & look & 41:24, 87:7, \\
\hline 174:24, 177:2, & 134:7 & 16:17, 24:13, & 109:16, 110:16, \\
\hline 177:18, 188:6 & locate & 40:9, 40:12, & 112:11, 115:21, \\
\hline linear & 57:5, 176:24 & 41:21, 48:11, & 117:23, 125:19, \\
\hline 43:7, 43:12, & located & 51:9, 51:10, & 126:3, 127:21, \\
\hline 96:12, 225:3 & 4:4, 37:1, & 54:10, 85:15, & 129:1, 130:25, \\
\hline lines & 52:4, 52:7, & 97:7, 105:18, & 131:11, 133:23, \\
\hline 30:25, 47:4, & 56:12, 75:10, & 138:3, 160:23, & 137:18, 141:3, \\
\hline 129:22, 139:15 & 103:1, 103:21, & 166:11, 167:12, & 141:4, 150:5, \\
\hline list & 109:11, 121:11, & 181:8, 186:10, & 152:25, 154:8, \\
\hline 8:19, 116:10, & 127:1, 154:18, & 205:2, 220:3, & 164:14, 175:21, \\
\hline 187:1, 213:19, & 157:3, 158:2, & 221:2 & 183:4, 184:23, \\
\hline 213:20 & 162:14, 163:7, & looked & 184:25, 186:4, \\
\hline listed & 166:5, 172:15, & 38:13, 38:22, & 188:21, 189:4, \\
\hline 119:6 & 173:3, 174:25, & 91:22, 164:2, & 189:6, 189:11, \\
\hline listen & 175:6, 175:8, & 172:11, 201:6, & 193:17, 215:18, \\
\hline 86:7 & 196:5, 210:15, & 210:1, 218:5, & 222:16, 222:24, \\
\hline listened & 211:23, 215:14, & 222:6, 222:8 & 224:23, 228:23 \\
\hline 17:24, 19:22 & 216:8, 224:18 & looking & lots \\
\hline listing & location & 9:12, 23:20, & 110:19, 111:11, \\
\hline \[
29: 14, \quad 96: 11
\] & 29:7, 47:6, & 23:21, 34:7, & 111:12, 112:12, \\
\hline literally & 49:14, 51:2, & 48:7, 48:21, & 120:24, 122:18, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 133:12, 185:1, & 18:10, 24:17, & maintaining & man \\
\hline 189:1, 189:2, & 31:21, 48:12, & 72:16 & 196:1 \\
\hline 189:3, 189:7, & 52:9, 66:5, & maintains & managed \\
\hline 201:5, 201:6, & 72:9, 77:6, & 194:14 & 203:16 \\
\hline 215:4 & 77:8, 77:15, & maintenance & management \\
\hline low & 87:10, 89:9, & 73:18 & 9:22, 10:4, \\
\hline 41:11, 45:4, & 90:12, 101:23, & major & 186:16, 187:3, \\
\hline 109:16, 110:16, & 109:19, 111:14, & 25:7, 39:9, & 204:21, 227:20 \\
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\hline 29:12, 29:15, & 75:3, 75:6, & 219:12, 220:11, & 217:13, 219:10, \\
\hline 41:5, 42:21, & 75:8, 75:12, & 223:11, 226:1, & 219:12, 219:22, \\
\hline 46:11, 47:1, & 75:14, 75:17, & 226:5 & 221:7, 221:12, \\
\hline 50:3, 54:10, & 77:24, 78:2, & property & 221:16, 222:5, \\
\hline 64:15, 71:6, & 78:3, 216:6, & 12:2, 12:14, & 222:9, \(224: 18\) \\
\hline 71:7, 115:16, & 223:22, 226:22 & 12:18, 12:20, & property's \\
\hline 121:10, 121:21, & prohibit & 12:24, 12:25, & 162:15 \\
\hline 128:16, 130:1, & 131:5, 132:7 & 23:22, 41:20, & proposal \\
\hline 133:16, 134:13, & project & 47:11, 51:8, & 14:9, 14:14, \\
\hline 146:20, 150:12, & 25:3, 42:12, & 51:9, 51:16, & 196:13 \\
\hline 185:7, 226:7 & 47:9, 76:4, & 52:7, 57:24, & proposals \\
\hline problematic & 76:5, 76:18, & 58:9, 60:11, & 152:14 \\
\hline 155:4, 157:7 & 76:21, 100:15, & \(70: 3,75: 10\), & propose \\
\hline problems & 139:10, 139:19, & 75:15, 109:11, & 15:11 \\
\hline 18:3, 19:24, & 156:2, 201:17, & 110:1, 110:10, & proposed \\
\hline 29:17, 94:9, & 219:13, 225:2, & 110:23, 111:20, & 17:11, 17:18, \\
\hline 129:18, 132:24, & 226:9, 227:11 & 112:8, 113:1, & 23:3, 29:18, \\
\hline 132:25, 209:24 & projects & 114:3, 114:4, & 51:15, 55:2, \\
\hline procedurally & 216:8, 216:17 & 114:10, 117:2, & 58:7, 75:19, \\
\hline 5:9 & proof & 117:4, 117:10, & 125:18, 136:15, \\
\hline procedures & 23:12, 33:10 & 117:11, 117:22, & 141:11, 141:15, \\
\hline 10:2, 108:6, & propagation & 117:24, 117:25, & 141:22, 144:7, \\
\hline 163:11, 219:18 & 204:5 & 118:22, 122:3, & 156:2, 162:7, \\
\hline proceed & proper & 139:2, 139:3, & 162:8, 162:11, \\
\hline 46:19, 85:17, & 29:7 & 140:3, 141:15, & 162:13, 162:20, \\
\hline 109:3, 109:9 & properly & 141:17, 141:22, & 168:13, 170:3, \\
\hline proceeding & 28:22, 39:16, & 144:13, 155:17, & 170:8, 171:21, \\
\hline 144:1, 211:22 & 54:10, 221:6, & 155:22, 155:23, & 185:18, 200:12, \\
\hline proceedings & 226:17 & 155:25, 158:1, & 201:17, 202:9, \\
\hline 5:10, 220:12, & properties & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 165: 10, ~ 165: 22, \\
& 165: 24, \quad 166: 5,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
211: 23,219: 13,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 230: 4 \\
& \text { process }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
13: 4, \quad 18: 5,
\]
\[
56: 15,111: 2
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 165: 24, ~ 166: 5, \\
& 168: 17, ~ 169: 4,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
227: 10
\] \\
proposing
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 88:20, 146:5, & 56:15,
\(113: 12, ~ 114: 8\), & 170:8, 170:10, & 51:15, 127:25, \\
\hline 150:25 & 114:20, 115:3, & 171:17, 172:1, & 217:4 \\
\hline processes & 117:7, 117:12, & 173:3, 174:6, & proposition \\
\hline 22:14 & 117:16, 117:19, & \begin{tabular}{l}
174:8, 174:23, \\
175:6, 175:9,
\end{tabular} & \[
108: 12
\] \\
\hline processing & 119:5, 119:10, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 175: 6, \quad 175: 9, \\
& 175: 12, \quad 175: 21,
\end{aligned}
\] & protect \\
\hline \[
10: 2
\] & \[
119: 13,119: 17
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 175: 12,175: 21, \\
& 177: 2,177: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lr}
192: 6, \quad 196: 17, \\
201 \cdot 23.203 \cdot 20
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline profession & \[
132: 13,144: 15,
\] & \[
178: 4, \quad 179: 11,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 201: 23, ~ 203: 20, \\
& 207: 12
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
37:3 \\
professional
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 154: 18, \quad 154: 20, \\
& 155: 1, \quad 155: 15,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
179: 14,182: 19,
\] & protected \\
\hline 14:9, 16:19, & 155:24, 156:5, & 184:20, 184:22,
196:22, 196:24, & 204:14, 207:14 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 121: 3 \\
& \text { proffered }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 156: 6, \quad 193: 17 \\
& 196: 18, \quad 196: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
197: 2, \quad 197: 4
\] & protection
189:16, 189:21, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  & ```
192:17, 192:20,
195:3, 204:8
quandary
33:9
quarters
44:6
queens
175:7, 175:8
```

question
16:13, 23:13,
26:3, 26:13,
27:24, 30:21,
30:22, 31:12,
33:23, 35:7,
37:11, 38:2,
41:16, 43:5,
43:18, 44:5,
44:17, 56:23,
58:12, 64:23,
65:1, 65:10,
77:12, 93:3,
101:9, 102:21,
105:17, 106:6,
110:17, 111:16,
119:1, 119:13,
121:13, 124:2,
126:7, 126:12,
131:23, 132:2,
132:16, 134:6,
135:22, 136:23,
153:24, 154:1,
179:15, 179:20,
183:12, 184:5,
185:9, 212:20
questioned
141:5, 207:18
questioning
35:8, 121:2
questions
$24: 16, \quad 31: 20$,
$35: 25, \quad 43: 6$,
$43: 18$,
$72: 19$,
$72: 12$,
$81: 41: 31$
$81: 8$,
$82: 9$,
$82: 11$,
$100: 23$,
100181

$107: 24$, \& | 115:22, 122:11, |
| :--- |
| 123:4, 123:5, |
| 127:6, 137:21, |
| 138:21, 142:12, |
| 142:22, 186:4, $226: 16$ |
| queue |
| 35:1, 63:1, |
| 68:15, 68:19, |
| 69:15, 69:20, |
| 77:13, 77:21, |
| $77: 22,77: 24$, |
| 78:4, 78:15, |
| 78:17, 80:21, |
| 90:12, $90: 14$, |
| $90: 20,90: 22$, |
| 91:5, 91:17, |
| 92:8, 94:2, |
| 95:3, $97: 7$, |
| 108:1, 108:4, 108:7, 108:12 queueing |
| 68:7 |
| queues |
| 44:2, 61:23 |
| queuing |
| 37:14, 80:25 |
| quick |
| 46:17, 89:10 |
| quicker |
| 157:18 |
| quickly |
| 16:16, 89:24 |
| quite |
| 21:6, 23:24, |
| 24:20, 123:17, |
| 132:2, 150:7 |
| quote |
| 34:25, 69:4 |
| quotes |
| 32:7 |
| r |
| 110:15, 111:4, |
| 111:7, 111:18, |
| $112: 13,117: 23$, $120: 14,120: 15$, | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}
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| ```122:19, 122:21, 127:1, 173:5, 173:12, 188:25, 189:1, 189:14, 201: 6 r- 117:24 ra-1 117:25, 119:9 radius 46:13, 46:20, 75:25, 77:3 raise 5:18, 25:7 raised 31:12, 56:23, 64:3, 153:10 raises 224:23, 226:25 ran 134:1 range 9:19 rare 204:9 rate 42:8, 84:25, 160:5, 223:12 rates 40:24, 41:23 rather 30:7, 47:19, 65:17, 114:19, 122:12, 122:24, 131:17, 170:6, 171:20, 176:4, 178:18, 188:18, 202:23, 224:24 ratio 77:13, 77:21, \(77: 22,77: 24\), \(78: 17,80: 10\), 80:21, 82:6, 90:13, 90:20, 90:22, 108:2, 108:4, 108:7 re-1 110:10, 120:3,``` |  | ```140:11, 145:17, 150:16, 155:5, 186:4, 218:13, 219:1, 222:9, 222:21, 223:6, 227:3, 227:7, 227:15 reason 9:7, 27:1, 87:24, 178:8 reasonable 160:20 reasons 47:9 rebut 6:8, 36:8, 100:13 rebuttal 5:13, 5:14, 5:20, 5:24, 31:22, 31:25, 85:17, 122:23, 127:9 recall 6:7, 20:23, 35:9, 35:16, 37:13, 43:20, 45:24, 46:3, 100:12, 132:17, 141:6, 141:11, 141:12, 150:23, 152:10, 173:12, 183:14, 183:16, 198:23 received 19:19, 225:6 receiving 21:17 recent 21:6, 66:6, 199:21 recently 89:18 recess 138:7 recognition 151:22 recognize 156:21``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & 134: 4, \quad 159: 21, \\ & 159: 25, \quad 160: 14, \\ & 160: 15, \quad 160: 16, \\ & 160: 17, \quad 165: 13, \\ & 166: 9, \quad 170: 5, \\ & 172: 15, \quad 175: 1, \\ & 188: 6, \quad 188: 8, \\ & 189: 10, \quad 207: 20, \\ & 207: 21, \quad 208: 2, \\ & 208: 5, \quad 208: 14, \\ & 208: 17, \quad 209: 3, \\ & 209: 9, \quad 209: 15, \\ & 209: 19, \quad 210: 6, \\ & 214: 18, \quad 214: 19, \\ & 214: 25, \quad 215: 15 \\ & \text { redlands } \\ & 74: 5, \quad 79: 13 \\ & \text { redmond } \\ & 70: 4, \quad 111: 1, \\ & 111: 18 \\ & \text { redo } \\ & 224: 16 \\ & \text { reduce } \\ & 82: 6, \quad 209: 15 \\ & \text { reduced } \\ & 49: 25 \\ & \text { reduces } \\ & 192: 18 \\ & \text { reese } \\ & 200: 7, \quad 201: 2, \\ & 201: 11 \\ & \text { reese's } \\ & 199: 4 \\ & \text { refer } \\ & 107: 16, \quad 133: 15, \\ & 191: 16 \\ & \text { reference } \\ & 38: 8, ~ 41: 16, \\ & 53: 12, ~ 57: 18, \\ & 73: 10, ~ 78: 25, \\ & 114: 4, ~ 116: 17, \\ & 117: 8, ~ 157: 21, \\ & 161: 9, ~ 207: 1 \\ & \text { referenced } \\ & 209: 11, \quad 209: 13 \\ & \text { references } \\ & 121: 12, \quad 121: 13 \\ & \text { referencing } \\ & 161: 15, \quad 206: 11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```referred 28:1, 38:19, 57:10 referring 16:20, 38:16, 63:10, 66:16, 69:14, 187:14 refers 32:21, 42:9 refinement 118:9, 192:11, 192:17 reflect 39:22, 221:6 reflected 174:18 reflective 209:5 reflects 39:23, 90:16 refreshing 101:17 regard 12:25, 17:14, 17:19, 26:14, 74:25, 162:25, 179:1, 189:14, 212:21 regarding 48:5, 89:10 regardless 75:14, 75:16, 147:20 regional 160:3 regression 43:8 regs 14:5 regular 204:25 regulate 54:20 regulated 198:21, 202:7 regulation 3:11, 27:3, 53:17, 55:6,``` | ```55:24, 56:1, 101:13 regulations 13:24, 14:15, 27:3, 65:22, 65:23, 130:9, 132:6 regulatory 10:1 relate 173:9 related 17:6, 55:25, 57:5, 59:24, 75:7, 130:11, 230:6 relates 20:3, 137:5 relating 73:25, 75:12 relative 12:22, 19:6, 20:6, 22:2, 22:17, 23:5, 27:14, 136:6, 217:4, 217:18 relatively 46:11, 198:21, 202:7, 204:8 relevance 128:20 relevant 118:8, 179:2, 180:20 reliability 42:11 relied 28:20 relocate 175:11 relocation 51:23 remain 31:8, 90:6 remaining 193:17 remains 91:5``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| residentially | 128:13, 146:25, | $158: 17,178: 23,$ | $38: 23,139: 20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $174: 9$ | $177: 19$ | $190: 17, \quad 212: 3,$ | $139: 23, \quad 194: 20$ |
| residents | resulted | 220:4, 221:1 | roadway |
| 18:2, 23:10, | 151:9 | reviewed | 39:1, 51:11, |
| 23:18, 54:16, | resulting | 15:5, 16:12, | 53:11, 54:4, |
| 54:25, 55:21, | 16:19 | 26:9, 28:23, | 54:21, 56:12, |
| 68:4, 68:11, | results | 42:12, 180:6, | 57:6, 63:22, |
| 72:21, 130:2 | 34:25, 39:10, | 227:7 | 70:2, 79:10, |
| resources | 40:12, 43:24, | reviewing | 208:3, 208:4, |
| 192:7, 193:14, | 44:25, 45:1, | 25:8, 28:16, | 208:6 |
| 196:1, 198:7 | 62:12, 62:13, | 32:11 | roadways |
| respond | $63: 5,63: 10$, | reviews | 38:19, 39:6, |
| 6:1, 28:14, | 68:18, 69:14, | 22:15 | 53:25, 54:12, |
| 29:23, 31:11, | 90:10, 90:25, | revised | 55:11 |
| 40:25, 100:13, | 91:10, 91:14, | 3:9, 39:15, | rock |
| 106:14, 107:4 | 93:7 | $39: 22,39: 25,$ | 109:12, 113:2, |
| responded | resume | 40:17 | 113:9, 113:17, |
| 27:18, 181:14 | 8:12, 10:21 | revision | 113:21, 113:24, |
| response | resuming | 186:13 | 114:2, 115:17, |
| 32:4, 81:3, | 7:5, 9:9, | revisions | 124:14, 126:24, |
| 106:11, 164:20 | 36:18, 86:24 | 40:5, 40:19 | 157:4, 158:2, |
| responses | retain | rhymes | 158:14, 158:22, |
| 108:25, 228:24 | 194:20 | 84:8 | 158:24, 160:2, |
| responsibility | retired | ride | 188:7, 188:13, |
| 228:5 | 220:7 | 220:5 | 189:15, 189:16, |
| responsible | reverb | ridges | 190:1, 191:25, |
| 63:7, 225:1 | 50:16 | 194:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 192: 3, \\ & 193: 11, \\ & 198: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
| rest | reverberating | riding | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 193: 11, & 198: 9, \\ 203: 11, & 204: 1, \end{array}\right.$ |
| $100: 5, \quad 109: 4$ | $181: 1$ | $140: 15, \quad 224: 17$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 203: 11, & 204: 1, \\ 204: 21, & 205: 14, \end{array}\right.$ |
| $122: 10, \quad 160: 1,$ | reversed | right-hand | $\begin{aligned} & 204: 21, ~ 205: 14, \\ & 206: 4, ~ 211: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| $193: 6, \quad 215: 9$ | $39: 5$ | $79: 12, \quad 80: 1$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 206: 4, & 211: 18, \\ 215: 19 \end{array}\right.$ |
| restrict $51: 18,51: 19$ | reversing | $82: 5,102: 23,$ | rockville |
| $51: 18, ~ 51: 19$, $54: 3,55: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 12 \\ & \text { review } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111: 19, \quad 133: 8, \\ & 136: 8, \quad 200: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $2: 6,2: 14$ |
| restricted | review 10:1, 10:7, | right-of-way | roll |
| 162:13, 163:6 | 12:2, 12:15, | 186:18, 207:24 | $87: 14, \quad 136: 7$ |
| restrictions | 12:19, 13:1, | rightly | roman $19: 12$ |
| 54:24, 55:2, | 16:19, 17:11, | 188:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 12 \\ & \text { room } \end{aligned}$ |
| $55: 5$ | 19:5, 19:15, | rigor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { room } \\ & 94: 22, \quad 133: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| restrictive | 19:24, 20:11, | $28: 24$ | 94:22, 133:19 roots |
| 204:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 21, \\ & 26: 17, \\ & 28: 14, \end{aligned}$ | rise $162: 17 \cdot 174: 16$ | $156: 1$ |
| restricts $51: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 11, \\ & 28: 19, \end{aligned} 28: 15,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 162: 17, \quad 174: 16, \\ & 174: 21, \quad 176: 8 \end{aligned}$ | rosemary |
| rests | $32: 4,35: 23$, | rising | 84:8 |
| 23:12 | 62:7, 76:19, | 197:4 | roslyn |
| result | 144:19, 150:13, | roads | $208: 22$ |
| $61: 10,68: 7,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150: 19, \quad 150: 25, \\ & 151: 7, \quad 157: 2 . \end{aligned}$ | $17: 20,19: 17,$ | rotate $166: 18,214: 9,$ |
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| 214:11 | 57:9, 60:6, | 59:14, 64:7, | 106:14, 123:22, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rotated | 75:23, 75:24, | 69:14, 70:6, | 128:6, 191:24, |
| 39:8, 39:9 | 75:25, 84:22, | 70:12, 71:23, | 196:6, 203:13, |
| round | 87:11, 104:15, | 76:7, 80:23, | 206:20, 208:16 |
| 123: 4 | 105:12, 105:13, | 81:10, 87:3, | scale |
| rule | 106:23, 107:3, | 87:6, 90:3, | 147:20, 162:7, |
| 57:21 | 108:8, 111:11, | 90:23, 91:20, | 211:8, 214:2 |
| run | 122:17, 130:18, | 93:3, 93:12, | scaled |
| 38:23, 91:6, | 131:9, 134:23, | 93:13, 94:5, | 224:11 |
| 94:1, 94:6 | 135:2, 159:12, | 95:6, 99:13, | school |
| running | 176:19, 178:19, | 100:7, 100:9, | 1:4, 2:2, 4:4, |
| 94:22, 102:23, | 210:1, 222:20, | 112:20, 115:24, | 4:10, 15:7, |
| 134:18, 140:14 | 224:25, 230:4 | 123:3, 126:11, | 17:20, 21:4, |
| runs | same | 132:4, 132:11, | 45:2, 98:24, |
| 101:21, 107:12 | 10:4, 39:12, | 132:23, 137:14, | 137:7, 141:11, |
| rural | 40:9, 40:14, | 137:16, 146:12, | 141:22, 181:25, |
| 124:11, 124:13 | 41:8, 51:13, | 148:7, 158:5, | 219:14 |
| rush | 51:14, 109:20, | 161:22, 162:11, | scope |
| $90: 17, \quad 90: 18$ | 165:15, 173:23, | 170:13, 173:15, | 27:6, 27:11 |
| $104: 11$ | 175:13, 188:11, | 189:24, 190:22, | scoping |
| S | 188:12, 194:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 193: 19, \quad 194: 8, \\ & 195: 3, \quad 197: 8, \end{aligned}$ | 42:15 |
| S | 198:21, 202:7, | 206:25, 211:11, | $39: 21,50: 25$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 133: 21, \quad 172: 20, \\ & 188: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $204: 15$ | $216: 15, \quad 220: 16$ | $77: 18, \quad 89: 20 \text {, }$ |
| $188: 25$ | satisfied | $220: 18,223: 8,$ | $90: 16, \quad 115: 12,$ |
| S's 107:19, 107:20 | $21: 22$ | $224: 5$ | $117: 9, \quad 157: 15$ |
| 107:19, 107:20 | satisfy | saying | 191:14, 198:1, |
| s- | $21: 24$ | $50: 19,61: 10,$ | 202:2, 214:1, |
| $184: 17$ | save | $69: 21,78: 6,$ | 217:19 |
| safe | $197: 11$ | $78: 17,82: 2,$ | screens |
| $167: 5$ | saw | $86: 18, \quad 95: 12$ | 64:12 |
| safeguarding | $\text { 19:20, } 24: 3,$ | $95: 17, \quad 96: 7,$ | scribbles |
| $211: 18$ | 24:4, $24: 14$, | $97: 13, \quad 97: 14,$ | 138:4 |
| safeguards | 25:14, 26:15, | 97:18, 102:22, | scroll |
| $189: 20$ | 178:17, 189:10, | 107:15, 126:15, | 67:3, 123:23, |
| safely | 222:7 | 141:10, 160:13, | 136:4 |
| $8: 1$ | say | $170: 7,171: 16$ | scrolling |
| safety | $5: 8, \quad 6: 14,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 171: 25, & 172: 2, \\ 177: 10, & 181: 18 \end{array}$ | $15: 20,48: 24$ |
| 30:25, 59:22, | $6: 16,21: 9,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 177: 10, & 181: 18, \\ 181: 20, & 201: 21 . \end{array}$ | se |
| 59:24, 104:24, $105: 2,130: 5$, | $21: 12,21: 24,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 181: 20, & 201: 21, \\ 202: 19, & 202: 20 \end{array}$ | $172: 19$ |
| $105: 2,130: 5$, $225: 23$ | 22:10, 29:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 202: 19, \quad 202: 20, \\ & 215: 22 \end{aligned}$ | search |
| 225:23 | 30:18, 31:15, | 215:22 | 158:10 |
| said 23.17 | $31: 24,33: 6$, | says | seasonal |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18: 11, \quad 23: 17, \\ & 24: 14, \quad 32: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $33: 15, ~ 33: 17$, $37: 13, ~ 41: 8$, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 23: 6, \quad 29: 10, \\ & 40: 10, \quad 49: 5, \end{aligned}\right.$ | 203:17 |
| $32: 6,35: 16,$ | $\begin{array}{lll}37: 13, & 41: 8, \\ 50: 15, & 53: 23\end{array}$ | $66: 15,66: 19,$ | seat |
| $35: 18,35: 19$, | 55:7, 56:19, | 77:13, 78:14, | 51:10, 143:10 |
| 43:20, 57:2, | 57:18, 58:6, | 88:24, 95:5, | seats $6: 22$ |
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| second | 135:19, 136:12, | 192:5, 192:6, | 173:23, 175:13, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:3, 18:8, | 136:14, 138:16, | 198:17, 203:12, | 177:3, 177:9 |
| $36: 10,40: 25$, | 138:18, 140:20, | 206:19, 208:1 | setbacks |
| 46:20, 47:16, | 157:15, 157:16, | sentences | 171:14 |
| 67:23, 67:25, | 161:10, 164:25, | 208:1 | setting |
| 69:2, 79:21, | 166:17, 168:25, | separate | 71:11, 72:16 |
| 82:5, 110:22, | 185:5, 187:11, | 13:2 | seven |
| 114:24, 118:14, | 190:8, 191:3, | separation | 220:22 |
| 142:9, 166:11, | 191:13, 196:6, | 56:25, 197:1, | several |
| 175:2, 191:24, | 197:18, 197:25, | 197:3 | 17:7, 20:23, |
| 192:12, 192:21, | 198:3, 205:19, | septic | 133:9, 208:4 |
| 203:11, 208:21 | 205:23, 206:20, | 111:13 | sewer |
| section | 208:24, 209:17, | series | 201:10 |
| 17:15, 22:23, | 214:17, 214:18, | 90:25, 91:10, | shaded |
| 22:25, 23:4, | 216:10, 223:9, | 93:8, 139:25, | 66:17, 136:17 |
| 104:13, 114:2, | 227:10, 228:1, | 142:11 | shady |
| 114:5, 147:13, | 228:3 | serious | 129:3, 159:4, |
| 147:16, 153:20, | seeing | 23:13 | 188:8, 208:19 |
| 153:21, 208:2, | 35:9, 109:22, | seriously | shall |
| 217:6 | 112:5, 115:3, | 100:2 | 194:8 |
| sections | 119:23, 123:12, | served | shaped |
| 114:17, 212:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 123: 13, \quad 123: 16, \\ & 148: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 201:10 | 215:5 |
| sector | 148:25 | serves | share |
| 14:16, 14:17, | seem | 55:20 | 9:5, 187:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20: 6, \quad 129: 3 \\ & \text { see } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 6, \quad 218: 3 \\ & \text { seems } \end{aligned}$ | service | shared |
| 9:2, 16:15, | 59:7, 80:15, | 45:1, 45:4, | 80:4, 80:5, |
| 22:24, 24:10, | 81:10, 81:13, | $\begin{array}{ll} 45: 9, & 61: 7, \\ 62: 4, & 62: 23, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 25 \\ & \text { shed } \end{aligned}$ |
| 25:5, 25:14, | 211:1 | 66:11, 66:15, | 191:1, 204:22 |
| 26:10, 26:11, | seen | 66:19, 67:2, | sheds |
| 30:11, 30:20, | 65:12, 176:2, | 67:12, 67:19, | 203:10 |
| $33: 11, ~ 33: 18, ~$ $34: 4,35: 3$, | $215: 4$ | 98:1, 220:10 | sheet |
| $34: 4$, $39: 24$, $30: 10$, | selective $99: 12$ | services | 39:20, 40:10, |
| $39: 24, ~ 40: 10$, $47: 13,51: 4$, | $99: 12$ send | 227:13 | 54:9, 187:19 |
| 51:10, 51:21, |  | sessions | sheets |
| $51: 10, ~ 51: 21$, $60: 22, ~ 65: 2$, | $137: 17$ sense | 20:23, 20:24 | 39:4, 39:14, |
| 66:10, 69:8, | 5:21, 189:1 | set | 39:15, 39:18, |
| 71:3, 71:13, | sensitive | $71: 19, \quad 73: 18,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 22, \quad 39: 25, \\ & 40: 4, \quad 40: 17, \end{aligned}$ |
| $72: 13,80: 13$, | 193:5, 193:16, | $143: 10$ | $191: 8,191: 12$ |
| 82:2, 82:10, | 194:5, 194:24, | setback | shift |
| 86:17, 92:20, | 211:16 | 153:20, 153:21, | 17:6 |
| 98:6, 99:3, | sent | 154:14, 155:12, | shifts |
| 99:4, 100:18, | 4:24, 22:5, | 156:13, 162:19, | 183:19 |
| $103: 2, \quad 105: 12,$ | $180: 23$ sentence | 162:22, 171:12, | shoot |
| $112: 10,114: 12,$ | sentence | 171:14, 173:7, | 114:12 |
| $115: 2,115: 12,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69: 4, \quad 69: 8, \\ & 124: 5, \quad 191: \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 173: 10, & 173: 11, \\ 173.13 & 173.22 \end{array}$ | short |
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|  |  | ```196:24, 200:11, 200:13, 201:7, 210:11, 210:16, 215:14, 216:3, 222:19, 226:1, 226:7 sides 133:25, 225:23 sideways 165:14 sigh 8:25 sight 30:25, 31:3, 31:12, 47:4, 52:5, 52:11, 52:12, 52:13, 52:16, 52:20, 75:11, 163:6, 163:10, 163:12, 163:17, 163:23, 164:2, 165:5, 167:5, 170:11, 176:25 sign 145:12 signal 64:14 signalized 63:8 signals 63:7 signature-bi6ds 230:10 signatures 54:17 signed 182:23 significance 125:6, 146:4, 195:9, 216:5 significant 24:15, 54:25, 130:24, 150:13, 150:19, 151:5, 175:5, 178:21, 192:4, 194:21, 197:7, 201:19,``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```sizing 227:5 slight 120:4 slightly 58:2, 182:14 slope 129:2 slopes 129:2 slow 49:1, 91:21 slower 50:17 slowly 91:23 small 155:12, 174:3, 197:1, 210:14, 217:9 smaller 54:11 smart 16:22, 216:5, 217:1, 218:3, 223:20, 223:23 software 62:1, 77:24, 79:16, 82:17, 91:13, 93:10, 98:19, 99:17, 99:18, 99:20, 100:3, 107:24, 108:9, 108:17 solemnly 7:8 solution 54:18 solutions 46:24 solving 224:24 some 9:6, 20:21, 38:11, 41:21, 54:3, 58:18, 64:7, 65:6, 68:15, 73:7,``` |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | $172: 16, \quad 172: 24$, $189: 21, \quad 195: 9$, $195: 22, \quad 197: 17$, $197: 21, \quad 198: 20$, $202: 6, \quad 203: 16$, 204:10 specific $73: 25, \quad 131: 17$, $195: 14$ specifically $76: 12, \quad 110: 18$, $117: 2, \quad 117: 5$ specimen $155: 19$ speculate $129: 15$ speed $31: 17, \quad 56: 1$, $56: 6, \quad 56: 11$, $56: 12, \quad 74: 2$, $74: 6, \quad 74: 14$, $75: 23, \quad 77: 2$, $89: 10, \quad 89: 11$, $89: 12, \quad 89: 14$, $101: 10, \quad 101: 22$, $102: 25$, $104: 8$, $104: 18$, $163: 19$, $165: 3$, $165: 5$, speeding $100: 9$ $101: 19$, spell $89: 23: 23$ spelled $103: 3$ spence $20: 19$ spend $30: 7$ spill $225: 25$ spoke $19: 19$, $106: 17$ spot $16: 16$ spotted $33: 3$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```88:13, 100:16, 105:19, 106:9, 111:13, 119:17, 143:18, 143:20, 153:2, 204:18, 229:1 stipulation 28:10, 100:23 stocking 203:17 stop 46:14, 46:15, 46:17 storage 77:13, 77:21, 77:22, 77:24, 77:25, 78:3, 78:5, 78:21, 78:23, 80:19, 80:20, 90:13, 90:20, 90:22, 95:18, 98:9, 105:24, 108:2, 108:4, 108:7 store 79:7, 80:23 storm 129:24, 139:11, 140:13, 167:20, 186:16, 187:3, 187:6, 202:24, 224:17, 224:22, 226:15 story 132:14 straight 32:2, 48:21, 80:3, 101:21, 137:13, 141:24, 141:25, 214:23 straightness 103:22 strain 226:18 strange 225:13 stream 140:6, 141:2,``` |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```218:20 talks 16:18, 53:24, 113:9, 114:9, 165:2, 165:17, 183:9, 191:19, 203:13 taper 94:24, 96:6 tapered 79:1 tapscott 59:19 technical 3:12, 7:1, 21:18, 25:1, 25:5, 25:14, 26:10, 28:13, 28:19, 28:20, 49:22, 101:25, 121:22, 153:5, 153:11, 154:4, 157:2, 157:8, 186:11, 186:15, 200:16, 201:7 technically 5:19, 111:8 technicians 70:18 technological 50:5 tecler 2:11 tell 50:8, 69:5, 106:16, 106:20, 129:24 telling 73:15, 99:7, 102:25 tells 42:3, 77:24 template 161:17 temporarily 36:2 tend 184:13``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 32:1, 33:17, | turned | 59:1, 131:17, | 21:21, 27:11, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47:1, 52:18, | 40:9, 41:11, | 139:20, 144:2, | 29:6, 50:18, |
| 55:10, 58:20, | 134:23 | 145:24, 152:11, | 52:15, 59:6, |
| 105:3, 107:10, | turners | 162:23, 189:6, | 62:14, 64:18, |
| 117:13, 120:11, | 107:14 | 217:23, 226:8 | 65:10, 66:13, |
| 120:20, 122:2, | turning | types | 66:24, 67:10, |
| 127:3, 128:19, | 24:21, 25:18, | 41:20, 121:22, | 70:23, 71:8, |
| 129:24, 132:4, | 46:12, 57:11, | 131:25, 152:2, | 72:19, 73:3, |
| 133:24, 167:23, | 95:19, 108:22, | 198:19, 202:5, | $76: 22,86: 17$, |
| 171:2, 182:2, | 133:11 | 203:20 | 89:6, 91:24, |
| 186:25, 197:11, | turns | typically | 92:18, 93:12, |
| 203:20, 207:12, | 45:14, 67:7, | 201:9 | 95:24, 97:22, |
| 214:18, 221:4 | 67:9, 67:12, | typo | 101:24, 102:22, |
| tuckers | 67:24, 78:1, | 161:21 | 103:22, 105:22, |
| 7:22 | 133:6, 133:8, | U | 107:10, 107:11, |
| tuesday | 134:3 | uh-huh | 122:2, 123:3, |
| 72:3, 72:5, | twice | $29: 22,29: 24$ | 125:6, 125:9, |
| 229:5 | 21:10 | ultimate | 125:11, 126:6, |
| turn | two | 74:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 127: 10, ~ 127: 14, \\ & 128: 1, \quad 128: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| $4: 23,6: 25$, $42: 25, ~ 45: 16$, | 6:3, 15:17, | ultimately | $\begin{aligned} & 128: 1, \quad 128: 10, \\ & 136: 18, \quad 151: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| $42: 25,45: 16$, $45: 22,45: 25$, | 16:11, 20:24, | $180: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & 136: 18, ~ 151: 8, \\ & 152: 18, \\ & 190: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| $45: 22,45: 25$, $46: 16, ~ 46: 21$, | $\begin{array}{ll} 24: 12, & 39: 5, \\ 41: 25, & 43: 22, \end{array}$ | unable | 211:4, 211:14, |
| 67:15, 67:19, | 46:10, 59:10, | 57:4 | 217:21, 227:6 |
| 67:22, 78:1, | 60:15, 62:9, | uncorrected | understanding |
| 78:3, 78:24, | 62:22, 66:20, | under | $4: 19,34: 16,$ |
| 79:11, 79:13, | 70:20, 70:21, | $7: 8, \quad 19: 12,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 4, \quad 85: 6, \\ & 85: 22, \quad 88: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 79:14, 79:19, | 79:6, 79:7, | $19: 14,19: 15,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 22, \quad 88: 4, \\ & 120: 12, \quad 121: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| $79: 20,80: 1$, $80: 2,80: 3$, | 81:9, 81:24, | 36:23, 65:5, | 120:12, 121:22, |
| $80: 2, ~ 80: 3$, $80: 22, ~ 80: 23$, | 84:6, 84:7, | 67:21, 74:20, | 142:16, 161:15, $196: 25$ |
| $80: 22, ~ 80: 23$, $81: 18, ~ 81: 21$, | 94:16, 94:17, | 80:16, 88:3, | understands |
| $81: 18, ~ 81: 21$, $81: 22, ~ 82: 6$, | 102:5, 120:3, | 115:15, 132:10, | $5: 13$ |
| $81: 22, ~ 82: 6$, $82: 7, ~ 90: 14$, | 126:4, 126:8, | 143:18, 152:1, | 5:13 understood |
| 82:7, $90: 14$, $90: 19, ~ 91: 6$, | 138:20, 166:12, | 153:15, 173:5, | understood |
| $90: 19, ~ 91: 6$, $91: 16, ~ 91: 19$, | 172:7, 173:13, | $173: 6,182: 14$ | 105:13, 180:24 |
| $91: 16, ~ 91: 19$, $92: 1, ~ 93: 17$, | 176:2, 182:25, | 203:10, 207:6, | undesirable |
| $92: 1, ~ 93: 17, ~$ $93: 19, ~ 93: 20$, | 183:2, 184:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 207: 25, \quad 208: 16 \end{aligned}$ | 45:3 |
| $93: 19, ~ 93: 20, ~$ $93: 22, ~ 94: 18$, | 198:16, 208:1, | undercut | undeveloped |
| $93: 22, ~ 94: 18, ~$ $94: 22, ~ 95: 18$, | 208:2, 216:21, | $140: 25$ | 119:18, 193:17 |
| 94:22, 95:18, | 217:5, 217:12, | underestimates | unfair |
| 95:19, 96:16, | 218:21, 219:2, | 225:10 | 179:15 |
| 96:18, 105:15, | 221:1, 221:3, | underlying | unit |
| 105:20, 105:21, | 222:2, 222:17, | $91: 1.112: 13$ | 222:11, 222:12 |
| 105:24, 106:1, | 222:18, 223:2, | 91:1, 112:13, | units |
| 107:12, 133:6, | 223:5 | understand | 219:10, 219:11 |
| 134:3, 157:9, | two-line | understand | university |
| 182:20, 183:2, | 208:1 | 4:15, 4:18, | 223:23 |
| 192:18 | type | 5:9, 6:10, | unless |
|  | 15:10, 58:23, |  | 71:6, 72:18 |
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| unlimited | 41:21, 147:2, | 179:21, 180:1, | 47:7, 86:1, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80:20, 81:1 | 150:1, 152:15, | 180:11, 180:14 | 122:4, 142:20, |
| unloading | 153:21, 155:3, | varies | 151:6, 172:2, |
| 144:9 | 155:4, 171:14, | 57:21, 71:20 | 184:13, 192:22 |
| until | 177:12, 177:17, | variety | viewed |
| 76:18, 85:20, | 177:20, 208:16, | 13:16 | 222:11 |
| 111:18, 178:13, | 208:19, 210:2, | various | viewing |
| 229:5 | 210:22, 211:23, | 4:20, 10:1, | 155: 6 |
| unusual | 215:13, 223:5, | 21:4, 21:18, | views |
| 148:1, 151:11, | 224:10, 224:11 | 128:14, 129:21, | 194:21 |
| 151:15, 151:19, | using | 220:12 | violates |
| 171:3, 171:6, | 47:10, 98:18, | vbh | 91:8 |
| 171:10, 171:17, | 98:24, 99:8, | 97:8 | violation |
| 171:20, 174:13, | 100:2, 121:11, | vegetation | 135:19, 172:23, |
| 174:15, 176:4, | 125:14 | 135:1 | 172:24 |
| 176:8 | usual | vehicle | virtually |
| updated | 14:15 | 91:5, 93:1, | $1: 9$ |
| $90: 15$ | usually | $182: 4,182: 24,$ | virtue |
| updating | $72: 2,226: 8$ | 182:25 | 171:13, 189:13, |
| 139:12 | utility | vehicles | 217:15, 218:2 |
| upgraded | 129:21, 226:6 | 57:11, 61:16, | visibility |
| 224:20 | utilize | 78:15, 91:17, | 47:13, 52:4 |
| upland | 191:3, 219:20, | 92:3, 92:15, | visits |
| 196:19 | 220:6, 220:10 | 93:12, 93:13, | 30:10 |
| upper | utilized | 97:10, 97:19, | vista |
| $109: 12, \quad 113: 2,$ | $63: 9,144: 7$ | $105: 14,179: 13$ | $103: 8, \quad 103: 14$ |
| 113:9, 113:17, | $165: 1,170: 20,$ | vehicular | visual |
| 113:21, 113:23, | $210: 23,216: 7,$ | 145:9, 145:11, | $139: 10$ |
| 114:2, 115:17, | 216:17, 225:3 | 162:8. | visually |
| 124:14, 126:23, | V | veracity | 52:19 |
| 136:8, 136:10, | valley | 23:25, 30:23 | vitae |
| 157:4, 158:14, | $140: 6, \quad 196: 20$ | verify | 10:21 |
| 158:22, 158:24, | valleys | 25:12 | vital |
| 188:7, 188:13, | 193:15, 194:15, | version | $203: 23$ |
| 189:15, 189:16, | $203: 10$ | $26: 22$ | voice |
| 189:17, 190:1, | valuation | versus | 181:1 |
| 192:3, 192:13, | $222: 14$ | $171: 3$ | volume |
| 193:11, 204:21, | valuations | vertical | 30:25, 31:17, |
| $205: 14,206: 4,$ | 219:21 | 47:12, 51:11, | $41: 10,49: 25$ |
| 211:18 | value | 51:18 | $53: 5,54: 23,$ |
| urban | 217:10, 218:22, | viable | $55: 5,58: 14,$ |
| 178:16, 223:10 usable | $\begin{aligned} & 221: 5, \quad 221: 15, \\ & 221: 19, \quad 222: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 162:14, 163:7 } \\ & \text { vicinity } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 15, \quad 74: 19 \\ & \text { volumes } \end{aligned}$ |
| $44: 7$ | values | $201: 12$ | 39:9, 39:24, |
| user | 91:12, 222:16 | video | 40:7, 40:8, |
| $131: 17$ | variance | 71:14 | 54:5, 61:22, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { uses } \\ & \text { 14:5, } 41: 20, \end{aligned}$ | 156:8, 177:7, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { view } \\ & 28: 13,47: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:25 } \\ & \text { voluntarily } \end{aligned}$ |
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|  |  | 216:14 <br> without <br> 62:21, 106:13 <br> witness <br> 5:21, 5:24, <br> 11:9, 14:20, <br> 65:12, 138:11, <br> 143:4 <br> witnesses <br> 24:6, 35:17, <br> 104:16 <br> wonderful <br> 115:4, 229:4 <br> wondering <br> 71:18, 80:9, <br> 85:25 <br> word <br> 33:15, 68:9, <br> 76:24, 158:9 <br> worded <br> 131:24 <br> words <br> 50:18, 55:11, <br> 78:11, 174:1, <br> 216:9 <br> work <br> 13:10, 21:9, <br> 40:20, 65:3, <br> 80:7, 87:11, <br> 132:6, 150:11, <br> 219:21, 219:23, <br> 220:6 <br> worked <br> 11:25, 220:19 <br> workers <br> 225:23 <br> working $9: 23,76: 4,$ <br> 150:20, 150:22 <br> works <br> 21:2, 70:19, <br> 83:8, 95:17, <br> 168:4 <br> worksheet <br> 38:16 <br> worksheets $63: 6$ <br> world <br> 134:19, 178:14 | ```worry 101:8 worship 208:19 worthy 117:8 would've 45:13, 70:7 wouldn't 137:1 wouldn't've 71:7 wrap 58:11 wrap-up 127:5 written 55:4, 87:16, 147:10 wrong 8:14, 37:12, 64:19, 84:17, 88:13, 114:12 wrote 18:13, 38:18, 128:14 \}\begin{array}{l}{\hline\multicolumn{y}{c}\mathbf{X}}\\{\hline\mathbf{x's}}\\{111:23, 112:2,}\\{112:5}\\{\mathbf{xxxx}}\\{3:13, 111:23,}\\{111:24, 112:6}\\{\hline\mathbf{Y}}\\{\hline} yard 153:21, 154:14, 162:19, 173:10, 173:11, 175:13, 177:3, 177:9 yeah 5:7, 5:16, 6:12, 7:4, 18:9, 24:24, 25:17, 27:22, 31:11, 32:3, 36:12, 41:13, 42:20,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 136 | 195 | 112:13, 117:23, | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 165:23, 170:9, | 15:13 | 117:24, 120:15, | 178:4, 180:12 |
| 170:13, 170:14 | 1960 | 122:19, 122:21, | 227 |
| 136.6 | 190:22 | 127:1, 173:5, | 3:10, 3:11, |
| 164:5 | 1979 | 173:12, 188:25, | 3:13, 47:23, |
| 14 | 20:9 | 189:1, 189:14, | 48:4, 48:10, |
| 113:19, 114:1, | 198 | 201:6 | 48:25, 49:11, |
| 115:5, 117:6 | 199:9, 199:15, | 2000 | 53:20, 107:17, |
| 143 | 199:16 | 20:17 | 111:24, 112:6, |
| 3:3 | 1980 | 2002 | 163:25, 164:20, |
| 15 | 226:10 | 20:18 | 164:22, 199:7 |
| 55:1, 71:23, | 199 | 2004 | 23 |
| 83:11, 114:9, | 167:17, 167:18, | 111:15, 112:25, | 190:13, 201:9 |
| 117:8, 128:15, | $168: 1,168: 9,$ | 113:18, 118:5, | 230 |
| 139:14, 139:22, | 168:22, 168:23 | 118:9, 158:2, | 1:24 |
| 201:14 | 1990 | 158:21, 158:22, | 233 |
| 150 | 118:2 | 158:24, 188:13, | 3:9, 39:17, |
| 80:23 | 1993 | 190:1, 209:17 | 39:19, 40:17, |
| 16 | 113:11, 192:10 | 2006 | 60:21, 77:13, |
| 34:20, 114:10, | 1994 | 178:14 | 77:17 |
| 117:10, 175:4 | 53:18 | 2007 | 234 |
| 161 | 1996 | 220:7 | 116:6, 190:14 |
| 230:12 | 150:2 | 2014 | 24 |
| 17 | $1999$ | 152:24 | 117:11, 167:6, |
| 44:12, 136:2, | 149:24, 152:12 | 2016 | 173:13 |
| 175:4 | 2 | 178:13 | 243 |
| $17.3$ |  | 2017 | $115: 19$ |
| $78: 15, \quad 97: 10$ | $\text { 138: } 6$ | $178: 13$ | $25$ |
| $172$ | $2.4$ | $2018$ | 63:3, 138:6 |
| 7:22 | $111: 6$ | 26:18, 175:3 | $250$ |
| 176 | $2.94$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & 44: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $163: 18, \quad 165: 6,$ $166: 6 . \quad 167: 5$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15: 16, \quad 15: 24, \\ & 16: 1, \quad 16: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 184: 23 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 12 \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 166: 6, \quad 167: 5 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| 143:13, 199:9, | $113: 18,137: 11$ | 1:10 | 36:17, 36:18, |
| 199:10, 199:16, | $228: 14$ | $204$ | $126: 18$ |
| 199:17, 199:20, | $20,000$ | $213: 18,213: 20,$ | $2668$ |
| 199:22 | 189:2 | 213:24 | 172:20 |
| 18 | 200 | 205 | 279 |
| 4:3, 34:13, | $2: 5.2: 12$ | 213:18, 214:4, | 2:15 |
| 34:21, 34:22, | $56: 13,56: 18,$ | 214:5, 214:16 | 280 |
| 68:17, 68:25, | $57: 1, \quad 57: 14$ | $20850$ | 165:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 69: 13, \quad 97: 10 \\ & 18.6 \end{aligned}$ | 57:15, 57:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 6, \quad 2: 14 \\ & 209.7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $97: 19$ | 57:20, 57:24, | 209.7 | $1: 11$ 29585 |
| 19 | $73: 11, \quad 73: 23,$ | 21 | 7:23 |
| 1:5, 153:7 | 110:15, 111:4, | 36:18, 85:16, | 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1946 \\ & 111: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 111:7, 111:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 117: 10 \\ & 21234 \end{aligned}$ | $30$ |
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| 80:13, 144:5, | 121:24 | 5 k | 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160:25, 161:2, | 441.9 | 56:21 | 136:23, 136:25, |
| 161:7, 162:5, | 78:18 | 6 | 141:10 |
| 220:19, 229:6 | 45 | 6.2 | 7500 |
| 300 | 9:9 | 153:22 | 141:19, 141:20 |
| 2:13 | 46 | 60 | 7505 |
| 301 | 83:7 | 133:21, 188:25 | 112: 8 |
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