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| 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| EX H I B I T S C O N T I N U E D 1 2 $\quad$ HEARING EXHIBITS $\quad$ PAGE |  |
| EXHIBITS CONTINUED <br> HEARING EXHIBITS <br> PAGE <br> Exhibit 227-Z7Z Weekday New Trips on Needwood Road <br> for Primrose Derwood 94 <br> Exhibit 227-AAAA OZAH Exhibit 63, Appendix B, Page 15, <br> Map from Scope of Work Agreement 95 <br> Exhibit 227-BBBB Primrose Derwood New Trip Assignment 97 <br> Exhibit 227-CCCC Conditional Uses/Preliminary Plans <br> with Gap Studies <br> 99 <br> 10 Exhibit 227-DDDD Manual of Transportation Engineering <br> Studies, Excerpts on Gaps <br> 100 <br> Exhibit 227-EEEE Traffic Engineering Handbook, <br> Excerpts on Gaps <br> 100 <br> Exhibit 227-GGGG Dowden's Station MDOT SHA Comments <br> on Gap Study <br> 106 <br> Exhibit 227-HHHH Percent Distribution of Gaps by Gap <br> Length <br> 107 <br> Exhibit 227-JJJJ 7430 Needwood, Lancaster Sales <br> Contract and 2006 assessment <br> Exhibit 227-KKKK S-2718 Correspondence Withdrawing Application <br> Exhibit 227-LLLL Addendum to Final Letter to OZAH re <br> 23 S-2718, 12-21-2007 <br> 122 <br> 4 Exhibit 227-MMMM DAIC Search, South Riding <br> 25 EXHIBITS CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE | PROCEEDINGS <br> (Exhibits marked prior to proceedings.) <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. With <br> that, we were on, I think -- is it Dr. Kosary? <br> MR. CHEN: Correct. <br> MS. KOSARY: Right. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: We were on Dr. <br> Kosary. Dr. Kosary -- is there any preliminary matter? <br> MR. KLINE: Nothing from -- <br> MR. CHEN: Not -- <br> MR. KLINE: Go ahead, Bill. <br> MR. CHEN: Not on this end, Madam Examiner. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. <br> MR. KLINE: Nor from the Petitioner. <br> TESTIMONY OF CAROL KOSARY <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So we are <br> -- Dr. Kosary, if you're ready to proceed, you're still <br> under oath. <br> MS. KOSARY: Correct. I understand that. <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. <br> MS. KOSARY: And I understand about the 18 -year-old <br> cats too. I've got one that's 19 . <br> HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. Oh, yours <br> beat out -- <br> MS. KOSARY: Yeah. |


encompasses the upper reaches and headwaters of Rock Creek, a tributary of the Potomac River. Sixty percent of the Upper Rock Creek watershed lies within the master plan area. The remainder, consisting of the northwest branch, lies within the only master plan.

The main streams and their tributaries within this watershed in the Upper Rock Creek Master Plan area are State of Maryland Department of the Environment designated use class three or four, both non-tidal cold water habitats with the difference between the two being use class three will support growth of propagation of trout while use class four will support adult trout.

Almost immediately past the southern boundary of this master plan, south of Maryland 28, Rock Creek and its
watershed deteriorate to a use class one level, suitable
for water contact recreation but incapable of supporting cold water habitat.

Preservation of the Upper Rock Creek headwaters has been a county policy since 1956, with the extension of Rock
Creek Park first established by Congress through the
Capper-Cramton Act in 1935, into the sensitive headwaters
area and the creation of Lakes Needwood and Bernard Frank to mitigate downstream flooding, erosion, and sediment.

The Upper Rock Creek Master Plan is vital to the
health of Rock Creek and has been since the first master
plan for the Upper Rock Creek area was approved in 1968,
over 50 years ago. The Upper Rock Creek Master Plan
basically has had two (indiscernible) goals throughout its three iterations: in 1968, 1985, and 2004.

The first is to protect environmental resources and maintain stream -- and maintain stream quality by keeping
streams, forests, and wetlands in a natural state
throughout the entirety of the master plan area.
The second is to preserve residential character by 10 keeping the residential wedge areas within the master plan 11 at a low density and keeping with the wedges and corridors 12 concept outlined in the general plan.
13 You can find these goals on page 7 of the 2004 14 Upper Rock Creek Master Plan.
15 The residential wedge concept goes back to
16 Montgomery County's General Plan on wedges and corridors
17 approved and adopted in 1964 and updated in 1969 and 1993.
18 The General Plan remains the foundation of planning for
19 Montgomery County to this day.
20 On page 35 of the Upper Rock Creek Master Plan, it
21 states that, quote, the General Plan Refinement places much
22 of Upper Rock Creek in the residential wedge where low
23 densities are recommended to protect environmental
24 resources and to provide housing on large lots. The
25 refinement's environmental objectives include protection
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1 a 24 residential wedge concept which forms one of the two 25 (indiscernible) goals of the Upper Rock Creek Master Plan.

1 The proposed use has a 44-car parking lot. The 13,000-square-foot building has 18 exterior doors, 21 toilets, and 38 sinks, according to the floor plan, which is OZAH Exhibit 79. I do not even know if our sewer network designed in the late 1980s for a low-density residential use can even handle a use with 21 toilets and 38 sinks, especially if they are frequently used. We are a low-density residential wedge neighborhood. We do not have commercial-level infrastructure.
and improvement of water quality and the preservation of sensitive or ecologically unusual areas. These land use and environmental goals and objectives are the backbone of planning in Upper Rock Creek, unquote.

The 1993 General Plan Refinement being referred to confirmed that all one- and two-acre residential zones in Montgomery County were in residential wedge areas. The relevant pages are included in OZAH Exhibit 227, Document EEE, so that's three Es.
(Exhibit 227-EEE introduced.)
MS. KOSARY: 7430 Needwood, zoned RE-1, is in a residential wedge area. As confirmed by the 1993 General Plan Refinement, all one- and two-acre residentially zoned properties within the master plan area are residential wedge, whether they are inside or outside of the watershed, special protection area north of Maryland 115.

The Upper Rock Creek Master Plan further articulates its goal for all residential wedge areas in the master plan area to, quote, Keep the Upper Rock Creek

There will be 15 bright exterior wall-mounted lights around the building. Most homes in our neighborhood generally maintain little or no exterior evening lighting. And, when they do, it is low wattage, rather dim, and does not involve a 360 -degree illumination of a home.

There will be eight 20 -foot-tall pole-mounted lights in the parking lot. There are only five streetlights on Needwood between the Redland-Needwood 8 intersection and this site. There will be more polemounted lights in this parking lot than we have on our street.

The exterior building lights and the parking lot lights are both commercial in nature and incompatible with the low-density residential wedge neighborhood.

The nearly 15,000 square feet of impervious surface playground area is larger than the lot size of many single-

| 17 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 family homes in Montgomery County. This proposed use is | 115 through 17 , of the day two transcript, that, quote, Oh, |
| 2 totally incompatible with the existing Needwood Road | 2 yeah. That's a big project, and it's going to be deep. |
| 3 neighborhood and totally incompatible with the 2004 Upper | 3 Some of these pipes are going to be 8, 9, 10 feet in the |
| 4 Rock Creek Master Plan's goal of preserving residential | 4 ground, unquote. |
| 5 character by keeping the residential wedge areas within the | 5 Can I please get OZAH Exhibit 196-G, which is |
| 6 master plan | 6 |
| 7 devastatingly transformative impact on the low-den | 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm going there. |
| 8 residential wedge character of my neighborhood | 8 MS. KOSARY: I know. I know. You should have some |
| $9 \quad$ As previously mentioned in testimony, the site will | 9 music that you can play while (inaudible). |
| 10 approach 50 percent impervious, not the 33.6 percent | 10 (Laughter.) |
| 11 indicated by Mr. Intriago in his testimony on day four, | 11 MS. KOSARY: Or your cat, your cat can sing, and |
| 12 page 76 , line 25 , or the 36 to 38 percent discussed on page | 12 then I'll bring my cat out |
| 138 of the technical staff report, OZAH Exhibit 106. | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, she -- |
| 14 Although Mr. Intriago testified on day four, page | 14 She already sings. |
| 1577 , lines 12 through 16, that the staff report included the | 15 (Laughter.) |
| 16 artificial turf playground in their calculation | 16 MS. KOSARY: I've got -- I've got cats who talk. |
| 17 imperviousness, you only need to look at figure 6 on page 8 | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Hold on |
| 18 of the technical staff report, OZAH Exhibit 106, to note | 18 one second. I apologize. |
| 19 that the staff report failed to include the nearly 15,000 | 19 MS. KOSARY: No, no, it's nothing to apologize for. |
| 20 square feet of playground area identified by DPS in their | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now are you |
| 21 third stormwater concept denial letter as impervious. You | 21 seeing it now? |
| 22 can find this letter in OZAH Exhibit 115, document S.1. | 22 MS. KOSARY: No. |
| 23 I have no idea where Mr. Intriago came up with this | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I -- I do |
| 2433.6 percent number. He offered no documentation or | 24 apologize. |
| 25 explanation. However, his number is so close to the | 25 MS. KOSARY: Oh, your sheet -- your screen isn't |
| 18 | 20 |
| 1 technical staff's estimate, it is likely that Mr. | 1 sharing. |
| 2 Intriago's estimate of 33.6 percent also does not include | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It should be. |
| 3 the artificial turf playground | 3 MS. KOSARY: Your picture just got bigger. |
| 4 Our expert in land surveying and development, Mr. | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, that's not |
| 5 Russell Reese, however, provided extensive explanation | 5 good. |
| 6 during his day five -- day five testimony, starting on page | 6 (Laughter.) |
| 7 126, and did include the impervious playground in his | 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. How's |
| 8 estimate. You can find his result in OZAH Exhibit 176, | 8 this? |
| 9 document HH, and in OZAH Exhibit 198. | 9 MS. KOSARY: Yay, yes. |
| 10 When Mr. Intriago was asked to review the results | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I apologize. |
| 11 presented in Mr. Reese's exhibits during cross-examination | 11 MS. KOSARY: It's not -- it's not the right |
| 12 by Mr. Chen on day four, page 125, line 23, Mr. Intriago | 12 exhibit. |
| 13 stated that, quote, It seems about accurate, unquote. | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh. This is |
| 14 MR. CHEN: What is Reese's percentage again? | 14 196-D. |
| 15 MS. KOSARY: His was, like, 47.8 percent. | 15 MS. KOSARY: I want 196-G, G as in George. |
| 16 MR. CHEN: Thank you. | 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Ah. Oh, boy. |
| 17 MS. KOSARY: The proposed site cannot handle its | 17 MS. KOSARY: Do you want me just to discuss it? |
| 18 stormwater requirements on site and will require the | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No. I mean, no, |
| 19 construction of about 500 feet of new storm drain and the | 19 I can do this. |
| 20 replacement of about 600 feet of existing storm drain that | 20 MS. KOSARY: Okay. |
| 21 is currently incapable of servicing this proposed site's | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm just saying. |
| 22 stormwater needs. | 22 MS. KOSARY: Yes, that's it. |
| 23 When the opposition's expert in stormwater | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. |
| 24 management, Mr. Vince Berg, was asked whether this was a | 24 MS. KOSARY: Okay. Okay. Before I continue my |
| 25 major infrastructure project, he stated on page 189 , lines |  |



| 25 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 project. The Applicant has also failed to indicate the | 1 development, before homes and roads are built. This is |
| 2 large volume of dirt which will need to be excavated and | 2 just one of the many examples of how this proposed |
| 3 where this dirt will go while work is being done | 3 application is incompatible with what under its master plan |
| 4 If you want to go down one more to OZAH Exhibit | 4 is supposed to be a low-density residential wedge |
| 5 227, document HHH , and rotate it. We need -- we need | 5 neighborhood. |
| 6 rotation. Okay. | 6 This work is also going to constitute a safety |
| 7 | 7 conce |
| 8 MS. KOSARY: This is just a photo of a similar | $8 \quad$ The addition of the stormwater runoff to the |
| 9 large excavator next to a pile of dirt excavated for an | 9 existing storm drain network put in place in the late 1980s |
| 10 additional 16-foot-deep trench for the Shady Grove Westside | 10 to support the low-density residential wedge South Riding |
| 11 sewer upgrade project. | 11 subdivision threatens Crabbs Branch Stream, a use class |
| 12 The Applicant also failed to disclose that | 12 four tributary of Rock Creek. Crabbs Branch is in the |
| 13 trenching at depths of well over 5 feet, so depths require | 13 Upper Rock -- Upper -- Upper Rock Creek main stem watershed |
| 14 a trench box will cross Deer Point Court, Carnegie Avenue, | 14 portion of the Upper Rock Creek watershed, as indicated on |
| 15 Ottenbrook Terrace, and the driveway and front door | 15 page 43 of the master plan. It is in a regular level |
| 16 sidewalk entranceway of the home at 7401 Deer Point Court | 16 watershed protection area, as indicated on page 50 of the |
| 17 and will block access to homeowners whose only access are | 17 master plan. Crabbs Branch joins Rock Creek immediately |
| 18 these roads or this driveway and sidewalk. | 18 downstream fromLake Needwood, making it the headwaters for |
| 19 MR. CHEN: What do you mean by their only access? | 19 the free-flowing portion of Rock Creek. |
| 20 MS. KOSARY: It's the only way they can get to | 20 Mr . Berg testified on day two about the impacts |
| 21 their homes, Bill. And there are no sidewalks. There are | 21 this proposal would have on Crabbs Branch. As he indicated |
| 22 no sidewalks, I will point out, in this neighborhood. So | 22 in his testimony on page 187, lines 8 to 9, Mr. Berg, who |
| 23 people are going to have to -- people who are blocked are | 23 holds a master's in engineering and is a P.E., was the |
| 24 going to have to park, you know, down the street from their | 24 engineer in charge of designing and building the large |
| 25 home, and they're going to have to walk to their home, you | 25 Crabbs Branch upstream stormwater facility. |
| 26 | 28 |
| 1 know, probably on somebody else's lawn. | 1 Mr. Berg observed further on the page in lines 10 |
| 2 The sidewalk along the boundary of 7401 Deer Point | 2 through 15 that the quality of Crabbs Branch, which had |
| 3 Court, between manholes A2 and A3, which is along Carnegie | 3 been good for many years, has recently shown signs of |
| 4 Avenue, is one of those going to a depth of 15 feet. The | 4 decline. When asked what impact this proposed application |
| 5 residents of 7401 Deer Point Court will literally have to | 5 would have on these conditions, starting on line 19, Mr. |
| 6 walk across their lawn to access their home during | 6 Berg stated that it, quote, Will continue that exacerbation |
| 7 construction. | 7 of water quality within the Crabbs Branch stream system, |
| 8 MR. CHEN: How are they going to traverse the | 8 unquote. |
| 9 trench? | 9 When asked by the Hearing Examiner whether even |
| 10 MS. KOSARY: Because -- because of the -- with a -- | 10 with the proposed on-site stormwater facilities the water |
| 11 with a bridge or something, Bill. They're not going to | 11 in Crabbs Branch would get better or worse, Mr. Berg stated |
| 12 It's going to be 15 feet deep. | 12 on page 192, beginning on line 21 , that, quote, Storm |
| 13 And they're literally -- if they can even get to | 13 drains in general always make things worse, unquote. |
| 14 their homes, they're going to have to walk across their | 14 And further, on page 193, beginning on line 5, that |
| 15 lawn to access their home during construction because, | 15 if, quote, You normally have sheet flow and you're putting |
| 16 although -- although they have a Deer Point Court address, | 16 a storm drain and all the water is going into storm drains |
| 17 all their access, including the sidewalk to their front 18 door, is offCarnegie Avenue. | 17 and inlets, and the outfalls very quickly at the outlet, 18 that's going to erode the area around it, and it's going to |
| 19 Many of my neighbors also walk and bike through | 19 increase the peaks within the major stream -- this one |
| 20 this area and will not be able to continue their activities | 20 being Crabbs Branch, unquote. |
| 21 safely given the depth of the required trenching as well as | 21 At least one Planning Department staff member who |
| 22 the movement of large excavating vehicles. | 22 reviewed this application also realized the potential |
| 23 As Mr. Berg noted, this is a big, major | 23 environmental issues. On page 6 of Appendix A of OZAH |
| 24 infrastructure project. This is the type of project which <br> 25 is normally done at the beginning of a subdivision's | 24 Exhibit 63, there's a copy of an email dated August 3rd, 252018 , from Laura Hodgson, that's H-O-D-G-S-O-N the |
| 25 is normally done at the beginning of a subdivision's | 25 2018, from Laura Hodgson, that's H-O-D-G-S-O-N, the |

```
original Area 3 transportation planner to Elsabett Tesfaye,
I'd inform this email notes that the, quote, Applicant must
provide a justification for designing Carnegie Avenue as a
closed section road since it is located in an
environmentally sensitive watershed area, unquote.
    As stated on page 7 of the Upper Rock Creek Master
Plan, quote, Maintaining low densities also contributes to
stream quality by limiting imperviousness, which in turn
reduces the amount of warm water that flows into the
streams and contributes to stream quality, unquote.
    Protection of use class three and four stream
systems is essential to the health of the cold water
habitat. Minimizing imperviousness is one of the best
methods for assuring protection of water resources. This
proposed use is totally incompatible with the 2004 Upper
Rock Creek Master Plan's goal of protecting environmental
resources and maintaining stream quality.
    As part of the review and recommendation, technical
staff from the Montgomery County Planning Department are
required to conduct a rigorous analysis of a proposed
conditional use's compatibility with the pertinent master
plan. To state the technical staff did so in this case
would be about as truthful as stating that the sun rises in
the north.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Can -- I
```

just have one question --
MS. KOSARY: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- or not a
question. Can we eliminate these types of statements? I
understand your feelings --
MS. KOSARY: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- but they're --
they're a little pejorative, so please don't -- if -- I
understand what you're saying, but let's keep it to the
facts. Okay?
MS. KOSARY: Okay. I understand, and I will. You
promptly chastised.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, it's -- well,
whatever.
MS. KOSARY: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
MS. KOSARY: This is my cat coming to sit on my
lap.
(Laughter.)
MS. KOSARY: Okay. This technical staff report
must be contrasted to the review and recommendations for
the Taiwan Cultural Center, which was $\mathrm{S}-2668$, which is also
located in the Upper Rock Creek Master Plan. Portions of
this report are in document OO of Exhibit 176. The Taiwan
Cultural Center is located at 7509 Needwood Road, across
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1 the street and less than 250 feet closest property line to closest property line west of the Primrose site. The Taiwan Cultural Center application was for a very small use.

In terms of the technical staff report for the Taiwan Cultural Center, it was stated on page 10 of the
Hearing Examiner's Report and Recommendations dated November 21st, 2006, that, quote, Staff concludes that the low-intensity uses proposed for the center are in keeping with a primary objective of the master plan: maintaining
residential wedge character of this portion of Montgomery
County. Staff notes that the use of an existing
residential structure with limited external alterations
also contributes to retaining the residential character of
the area, unquote.

On page 19, the hearing examiner stated that she, quote, Finds that the proposed special exception could be considered compatible with the neighborhood only if the level and intensity of the on-site activities are kept at a modest level, in terms of both the frequency of events and the number of people involved, unquote.

And, finally, on page 47, the hearing examiner concluded that, quote, With strict adherence to the recommended conditions of approval, the proposed use would be in harmony with the general character of the
neighborhood. As noted earlier, this conclusion depends
heavily on strict adherence to the recommended conditions of approval, unquote.

The contrasts are stark. On one hand, you have a special exception, now referred to as a conditional use, which was deemed to only be compatible with our neighborhood if the level in intensity of use were modest and that it would take strict adherence to the conditions of approval for the special exception to be in harmony with 10 the general character of our neighborhood. On the other 1 hand, in the case of the Primrose application, you have 12 recommendations for an application which has an extremely high level of use and intensity on a piece of property 4 literally almost next door to the first. Nothing has 15 changed in terms of the master plan or the character of my 16 neighborhood.
17 Let me have a drink of water.
18 MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner, Ms. Kosary had her 9 second COVID shot yesterday.
20 (Crosstalk.)
21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, 2 congratulations, but not congratulations, so --

MS. KOSARY: So if I fall over, you know, just
24 that's what's happened.
25 Moving on to traffic. I have lived in my home

| 33 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 almost 25 years. During this time, before I retired three | 1 maneuvers to get onto Needwood in the morning -- westbound |
| 2 years ago, I worked in Rockville and then in Gaithersburg, | 2 Needwood in the morning. I swear sometimes I think they |
| 3 so I drove to work each morning. I changed my departure | 3 just close their eyes, hit the accelerator, maybe say a |
| 4 time to miss rush-hour traffic in the morning. I became | 4 prayer, and hope for the best. It's probably just a matter |
| 5 one of those people who showed up in the office shortly | 5 of time before Ms. Mitchell at 7500, Ms. Rodriguez at 7505, |
| 6 after 7 | 6 |
| $7 \quad$ Over the years, I have found it really frightening | 7 trying to get off Carnegie onto Needwood have an accident |
| 8 to exit my driveway on occasions during the height of a.m. | 8 in the morning. And I particularly worry about Mr. |
| 9 rush hour and have almost been hit on many occasions | 9 Burroughs because he rides a motorcycle, although he does |
| 10 because eastbound traffic, which is so much -- somew | 10 wear his he |
| 11 lighter than westbound traffic in the morning, tends to | 11 The Master Plan of Highways and Tran |
| 12 speed up the small ridge at Carnegie Avenue. So I can be | 12 identifies Needwood Road as a primary residential street. |
| 13 waiting for well over 20, 30 or more cars to pass in the | 13 On page 28 of document AA, in OZAH Exhibit 115, which was a |
| 14 westbound direction until there is a gap in traffic only to | 14 portion of the technical supplement for this master plan, a |
| 15 have someone come up the slight ridge eastbound with their | 15 primary residential street is defined as, quote, A road |
| 16 foot on | 16 meant primarily for circulation in residential |
| 17 Because of where my driveway sits at the top of the | 17 neighborhoods, although some through traffic is expected, |
| 18 ridge, I can observe all the westbound traffic coming from | 18 unquote. |
| 19 the east and Maryland 115. There's a lot of cut-though | 19 Table 6 on page 32 of the same document indicates |
| 20 traffic on Needwood. By the time you get to this locatio | 20 the percent of through traffic that should be expected on a |
| 21 around my home and around the proposed Primrose location, | 21 primary residential street is no more than 50 percent. |
| 22 any gaps which have been created by the speed bumps further | 22 Above this point, through traffic restrictions -- |
| 23 east have all closed. Also, the cut-through traffic from | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait, I'm sorry, |
| 24 Maryland 115 is equally as heavy from vehicles turning left 25 or right onto westbound Needwood, so there really is not | 24 Dr. Kosary. What did you just -- what was the 50 percent 25 statistic? |
| 34 | 36 |
| 1 much in terms of gaps created by the traffic light cycle. | 1 MS. KOSARY: Fifty percent. |
| 2 Several of my neighbors have submitted some photo | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Of what? |
| 3 evidence, which can be found in OZAH Exhibits 206 and 210, | 3 MS. KOSARY: Table 6 on page 32 of the document |
| 4 and others have -- have testified how difficult it can b | 4 indicates that the percent of through traffic that should |
| 5 turning onto westbound Needwood from their driveways or | 5 be expected on a primary residential street is no more than |
| 6 connecting streets during the morning rush hour because of | 650 percent. |
| 7 the traffic congestion. | 7 MR. CHEN: What's the exhibit number of the |
| 8 I'mobviously speaking pre-pandemic, but now that | 8 document? |
| 9 I'm retired, there are days when I leave the house during | 9 MS. KOSARY: That is -- that is OZAH Exhibit 115, |
| 10 morning rush hour, generally around 8 a.m. I'ma | 10 document AA. |
| 11 Montgomery County master gardener and sit on their board of | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank you. |
| 12 directors, so I frequently -- frequently head over to the | 12 MS. KOSARY: Okay. And above the 50 percent point, |
| 13 fairgrounds in Gaithersburg or the Ag History Park in | 13 the neighborhood could ask for through traffic |
| 14 Derwood for early morning meetings. I also go to the gym | 14 restrictions. |
| 15 to swim. It's not uncommon to find westbound traffic | 15 So the question is whether we have data which we |
| 16 backed up to Dr. English's driveway, although on occasion | 16 can use that can give us an indication as to the amount of |
| 17 I've found westbound traffic backed up past my driveway | 17 through traffic on Needwood Road, and what can the |
| 18 which is two to three car lengths' further east in -- on | 18 Applicant's traffic count data say about through traffic? |
| 19 the other side of Needwood. These backups would've blocked | 19 Can I please get OZAH Exhibit 227, document III. |
| 20 the proposed Primrose driveway. | 20 Great. You didn't need to flip it. |
| 21 You know, I really love my neighborhood, but | 21 (Exhibit 227-III introduced.) |
| 22 really sometimes hate the traffic, especially in the | 22 MS. KOSARY: According to item eight of the |
| 23 morning. | 23 Transportation Impact Study Scope of Work Agreement, which |
| 24 Also I've observed my neighbors who left of the | 24 is on page 9 of Appendix A in OZAH Exhibit 63, the |
| 25 Needwood-Carnegie intersection making some rather dangerous | 25 Applicant collected traffic data, count data, at three |


| 37 | 39 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 locations. The first is the intersection of Needwood Road | 1 intersection, an unmanned solar farm, a small park |
| 2 and Redland Road. The second is at the intersection of | 2 maintenance facility, a small parks department office for |
| 3 Needwood Road and Carnegie Avenue. The third is the | 3 archeologists at Needwood Mansion, as well as a small |
| 4 intersection of Needwood Road and Maryland 115, which is | 4 parking lot with a trailhead of the Westside Trail, and the |
| 5 also referred to as Muncaster Mill Road at this location. | 5 Needwood Golf Cou |
| $6 \quad$ These counts can be found in OZAH Exhibit 63, the | 6 The largest point of diversion, however, which I |
| 7 Applicant's Traffic Impact Analysis document, and Appendix | 7 actually did in pink, so you could see where it was, may |
| 8 A on page 19 for the Redland-Needwood counts, page 22 for | 8 come from complaints from neighbors who live in Grande |
| 9 the Maryland 115-Needwood counts, and page 25 for the | 9 Vista, who have noted that they experience cut-through |
| 10 Needwood-Carnegie counts. | 10 traffic on their street. It should further be noted that |
| 11 For the purpose of this analysis, I'm only going to | 11 this is happening in both directions: vehicles traveling |
| 12 look at the three-hour a.m. and p.m. traffic counts and | 12 on Redland who are using Grande Vista as a cut through to |
| 13 only the counts at the Maryland 115-Needwood intersection | 13 eastbound Needwood and onward to Maryland 115 and veh |
| 14 compared to the Needwood-Carnegie -- compared to the | 14 on westbound Needwood using Grande Vista as a cut through |
| 15 Needwood-Carnegie intersection. As less than 500 feet | 15 to Redland in order to bypass the congestion on Needwood at |
| 16 separates the Needwood-Carnegie and Redland-Needwood | 16 the Redland-Needwood intersection. |
| 17 intersections, these counts should be nearly identical. | 17 Okay. I'm making it difficult on you again because |
| 18 Can I please get OZAH Exhibit 227, document JJJ | 18 I'm going to ask you to go back up to OZAH Exhibit 227, |
| 19 Specifically, we're looking first at document JJJ. 1 | 19 document JJJ |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It's coming. I'm | 20 Keep going. There, almost there. Okay. |
| 21 rotating. | 21 Although through traffic on westbound Needwood |
| 22 MS. KOSARY: I know. I know. Rotation takes | 22 originating fromMaryland 115 is not the $94-90.4$ percent |
| 23 takes a while. | 23 indicated -- that's one line down from the -- the |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. That | 24 highlighted pink line -- I would consider this an upper |
| 25 should be correct. | 25 bound. It is inconceivable to imagine that there is enough |
| 38 | 40 |
| 1 (Exhibit 227-JJJ introduced.) | 1 diverted traffic between Maryland 115 and the Needwood- |
| 2 MS. KOSARY: Okay. That is correct. This first | 2 Carnegie intersection to bring this percent below the 50 |
| 3 table, labeled page 1 and document JJJ. 1 at the bottom, | 3 percent standard in the Master Plan of Highways and |
| 4 looks at through traffic on westbound Needwood for the | 4 Transitways for a road classified as a primary residential |
| 5 three-hour a.m. traffic counts taken between 6:30 and 9:30 | 5 street. |
| 6 a.m. As you can see on line three of the table, which I | 6 Can I please have the next page? |
| 7 have highlighted in yellow, the total count for both right- | $7 \quad$ You see the reverse pattern in the p.m., which is |
| 8 hand and left-hand turns from Maryland 115 onto westbound | 8 labeled page 2 and document JJJ.2. Yep, at the bottom. |
| 9 Needwood during this period was 1,069 vehicles. During the | $9 \quad$ This table is looking at traffic on eastbound |
| 10 same three-hour period, which I have highlighted in pink, | 10 Needwood during the three-hour p.m. traffic period of 4 to |
| 11 we see that 1,183 vehicles were counted westbound on | 117 p.m. Here you observe 1,335 vehicles at the Needwood- |
| 12 Needwood at the Needwood-Carnegie intersection. | 12 Carnegie intersection, highlighted in pink, and 1,142 |
| 13 Okay. I'm going to make this difficult on you <br> 14 because I'm going to ask you to please go to OZAH Exhibit | 13 vehicles from eastbound Needwood turning either right or 14 left onto Maryland 115 highlighted in yellow. Again, it's |
| 15 227, document KKK, which you're going to have to scroll | 15 evident that a high proportion of this traffic turning from |
| 16 through multiple pages to get there. | 16 eastbound Needwood represents through traffic. |
| 17 There you go | 17 Basically, you are just seeing a reverse of what |
| 18 (Exhibit 227-KKK introduced.) | 18 was observed westbound in the a.m. Again the percent of |
| 19 MS. KOSARY: Okay. There are a few places along | 19 through traffic is probably lower than the 85.5 percent |
| 20 Needwood Road between Maryland 115 and the Needwood- | 20 indicated as the upper bound; however, the suspicion is |
| 21 Carnegie intersection where traffic originating from | 21 again that this level does not fall below the 50 percent |
| 22 Maryland 115 could have diverted before it reached the | 22 standard in the master plan. |
| 23 Needwood-Carnegie intersection. There's AlfredHouse, a | 23 Going to the next table, labeled page 3 and |
| 24 small elder care facility with 34 beds and a maximum staff | 24 document JJJ. 3 at the bottom, you actually -- yep. You |
| 25 of 12, on Needwood right after the Maryland 115-Needwood | 25 actually see this in reveres where we also observe a |

```
    potentially high amount of through traffic eastbound,
    vehicles turning from Redland and using Needwood as a cut
    through to locations east of Maryland }115\mathrm{ during the three-
    hour a.m time period.
    And if you go to the next page, and on this -- it's
    -- it's just the same thing in reveres. This is table --
    page 4, labeled document JJJ.4, and again you just see a
    reverse pattern westbound during the p.m time frame.
    All this is consistent with testimony from
neighbors concerning the high amount of through traffic
that we see on Needwood Road.
    These observations are also consistent with
observations made in the Upper Rock Creek Master Plan where
on pages }63\mathrm{ and 64, it states that, quote, A majority of
travel demand will be generated by additional development
outside the Upper Rock Creek master planning area.
Currently, approximately }75\mathrm{ percent of the traffic that
crosses the Upper Rock Creek platting area boundary is
through traffic; only about 25 percent is generated by
local land uses. This ratio is forecast to stay relatively
constant as planned development occurs in both Upper Rock
Creek, the remainder of Montgomery County, and the rest of
the Washington region, unquote.
    Can I please get the next page, which is OZAH
Exhibit 227, document JJJ.5.
    It's actually reasonable to ask whether my
    neighborhood could request some type of through traffic
    mitigation on Needwood under Montgomery County Executive
    Regulation 17-94AM, which provides a means for through
    traffic volume access restrictions in residential areas. A
    copy of this regulation is included in Exhibit 227,
    document JJJ.6.
    Needwood Road, as previously established, is a
master plan two-lane primary residential street. One of
the qualifiers in Executive Regulation 17-94, and as you
can see from the exhibit currently on the screen --
    MR. CHEN: Which -- what's its number?
    MS. KOSARY: What?
    MR. CHEN: What -- what is exhibit number --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, whoops. I --
hold on. It's JJJ. 5.
    MS. KOSARY: And as you can see from the exhibit
currently on the screen, which is marked document JJJ.5,
both the a.m. and p.m. peak two-directional traffic on
Needwood at Carnegie, highlighted in pink, far exceeds the
400 vehicles per hour required for eligibility for this
assessment.
    To my knowledge, there has never been a request for
through traffic mitigation on Needwood. The only
mitigation currently deployed, the speed bumps, were done
to mitigate neighborhood complaints about traffic speed.
And this might be something my neighborhood will decide to pursue after COVID.

On page 11 of the Applicant's Amended Statement of
Justification, which is OZAH Exhibit 62, the Applicant states that the proposed facility is located on a major commuter route.

As the data shows, as the neighborhood has testified and as the Upper Rock Creek Master Plan observes, the proposed facility is on a primary residential street
operating far outside its expected through traffic
standards in both the a.m. and p.m. rush hour.
Moving on. The 2017 Local Area Transportation
14 Review Guidelines -- somebody -- somebody's moving papers in the background.
16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That's me.
17 That's me. Go ahead.
    and analyzed so that queue lengths and waiting times can be
    estimated. Queueing analysis is generally considered a
branch of operations research.
    And before anybody asks, I've taken one graduate
level introduction to operations research methods class --
way, way, way in the -- way, way, way in the past.

The signalized intersection results for the
Redland-Needwood intersection for a.m. and p.m. peak hour
traffic are located on pages 4 to 9 of Appendix B in OZAH
Exhibit 63, the Applicant's Traffic Analysis Report. There
are several companies which have developed software to implement Highway Capacity Manual procedures.

Everything in these worksheets located on pages 4
to 9 of Appendix B in OZAH Exhibit 63 is coming from an application referred to as the HCS7, short for Highway Capacity Software, Release 7, developed by McTrans; that's
M-C, capital T, R-A-N-S, and they're part of the University of Florida's Transportation Institute.

There are data entry errors in all of the
signalized intersection results for the Redland-Needwood
intersection analysis tables. I'm just going to discuss
one page, page 4; however, the errors are the same across
pages 4 through 9 .
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Can -- can
I ask, what -- when you were referring to page 4 , is that
MS. KOSARY: Okay. Was the first time Highway
Capacity Manual delay-based methods were applied to traffic studies of signalized intersections in red and orange
policy areas in Montgomery County. Basically, the Highway
Capacity Manual delay-based methods are a queuing analysis.
And I'm not going to get into a detailed lecture on waing ques. A quang model
and analyzed so that queue lengths and waiting times can be
estimated. Queueing analysis is generally considered a
branch of operations research.
And before anybody asks, I've taken one graduate
level introduction to operations research methods class --
way, way, way in the -- way, way, way in the past.


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 49 & 51 \\
\hline 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. My eyes & 1 southbound Redland traffic counts have been entered in the \\
\hline 2 can't read that. & 2 WB column. And I have placed a note at the bottom of the \\
\hline 3 (Laughter.) & 3 copy of page 4, which is on the screen, explaining this \\
\hline 4 MS. KOSARY: Not even my eyes can read that. & 4 iss \\
\hline 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Let me try -- & 5 MR. CHEN: The bottom line is the wrong data was \\
\hline 6 it's hard to fit on the screen, but -- okay & 6 ent \\
\hline \(7 \quad\) Mr. Kline, can you see that note at the bottom? & 7 MS. KOSARY: Right. The wrong data was -- they \\
\hline 8 Mr. -- & 8 switched -- they switched the directions. Probably not -- \\
\hline 9 MR. KLINE: Sorry & 9 this is actually a profound error \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- Kline. & 10 As mentioned previously, the Applicant describes \\
\hline 11 MR. KLINE: Yes, ma' & 11 the existing road network on page 3 of OZAH Exhibit 63, \\
\hline 12 MS. KOSARY: Okay & 12 which is their -- their traffic study, clearly stating that \\
\hline 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead. & 13 Needwood Road is a two-lane east-west roadway and that \\
\hline 14 MS. KOSARY: Okay. You can find these traffic & 14 Redland Road is a four-lane north-south roadway at its \\
\hline 15 counts on -- on page 19 of Appendix A in OZAH Exhibit 63. & 15 intersection with Needwood. Anyone looking at the results \\
\hline 16 I mean, and this is what's on the screen. And I'v & 16 found on pages 4 to 9 in Appendix B and relying on the \\
\hline 17 highlighted the counts for the a.m. peak hour in yellow. & 17 description of the existing road network is going to come \\
\hline 18 Note at the very top of the table that the Redland Road & 18 away with an incorrect interpretation of the results. \\
\hline 19 traffic is north-south, and the Needwood Road traffic is & 19 MR. CHEN: Because of what? \\
\hline 20 east-west. & 20 MS. KOSARY: Because they're -- the roads are \\
\hline 21 This also -- this also corresponds to the & 21 switched, and east is west -- east is north, and west is \\
\hline 22 description of these roads when the existing road network & 22 south, and up -- down is up \\
\hline 23 is described on page 3 of OZAH Exhibit 63 & 23 MR. CHEN: That's because of their erroneous data? \\
\hline 24 The labels are actually a little confusing, but & 24 MS. KOSARY: That's because of the erroneous data, \\
\hline 25 just note that traffic from the north is southbound & 25 and -- and I'm just going to say that. And I'm speaking \\
\hline 50 & 52 \\
\hline 1 Redland, traffic from the south is northbound Redland, & 1 from the perspective of someone who has peer reviewed many \\
\hline 2 traffic from the east is westbound Redland -- is westbound & 2 papers and quality checked many reports before they're \\
\hline 3 Needwood, and traffic from the west is eastbound Needwood. & 3 released, and this type of check should just be standard \\
\hline 4 And I've -- I've placed the note at the bottom of this & 4 operating procedure. It only takes a few minutes to do \\
\hline 5 document indicating this. & 5 this type of check and, you know, just -- \\
\hline 6 So the results table we looked at previously, that & 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Well, I \\
\hline 7 was OZAH Exhibit 227, document LLL, on that table, for & 7 understand -- \\
\hline 8 example, southbound Redland traffic should be in the column & 8 MS. KOSARY: Yep \\
\hline 9 labeled SB, obviously for southbound. We should have an & 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- that. \\
\hline 10 entry of eight for right-hand turns, 1,228 for through, and & 10 MS. KOSARY: Yep, yep, yep. I'm going to move on. \\
\hline 1119 for left-hand turns. & 11 You know. \\
\hline 12 And if I can please get OZAH Exhibit 227, document & 12 So on page 18 of OZAH Exhibit 63, the Applicant \\
\hline 13 LLL again, so if you'd go up one page. & 13 states that -- \\
\hline 14 So going back to the results table, however, we see & 14 MR. CHEN: Do you want that up? \\
\hline 15 that this data has been entered in the WB column. W -- & 15 MS. KOSARY: -- quote -- no, I don't -- that, \\
\hline 16 MR. CHEN: Is that the correct column? & 16 quote, The results of the analysis also indicate that the \\
\hline 17 MS. KOSARY: No, it's not. WB, as mentioned & 17 95th -- that the 95th percentile queue of eastbound traffic \\
\hline 18 previously, should be the westbound Needwood Road traffic & 18 along Needwood Road will not extend to Carnegie Avenue and \\
\hline 19 counts. & 19 block the intersection which is 500 feet away, unquote. \\
\hline 20 What has happened for all these tables -- page 4 & 20 Number -- \\
\hline 21 through page 9 in Appendix B of OZAH Exhibit \(63-\) is that & 21 MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner, do you have that -- that \\
\hline 22 westbound Needwood traffic counts have been entered in the & 22 page cite? \\
\hline 23 NB column for northbound, eastbound Needwood traffic counts & 23 MS. KOSARY: Page 18 -- \\
\hline 24 have been entered in the SB column, the northbound Redland & 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: 63, page 18. Do \\
\hline 25 traffic counts have been entered in the EB column, and the & 25 you want me to show it or -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 53 & 55 \\
\hline 1 MS. KOSARY: No, no. & 1 MS. KOSARY: Oh, really? What it's showing -- \\
\hline 2 MR. CHEN: No. & 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: (Inaudible) \\
\hline 3 MS. KOSARY: Just -- I'm just giving you the page & 3 looking at? \\
\hline 4 quote. & 4 MS. KOSARY: What -- what -- what is showing on the \\
\hline 5 MR. CHEN: No. & 5 screen is in black -- is in horrible black and white. \\
\hline 6 MS. KOSARY: Now I'm going to give the Applicant & 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Hold on. \\
\hline 7 the benefit of the doubt and assume that they're really & 7 MS. KOSARY: Okay. \\
\hline 8 talking about westbound traffic. If they are really & 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Hold on. \\
\hline 9 talking about eastbound traffic on Needwood, you would need & 9 MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
\hline 10 a backup almost two miles long originating at Maryland 115 & 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: How's that? \\
\hline 11 before you blocked Carnegie. I mean, traffic is bad on & 11 MS. KOSARY: Much better. Yes, yes. \\
\hline 12 Needwood, but it's not this bad & 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So this is the \\
\hline 13 We now know, however, that the results in the & 13 one that you -- can you -- just for the record, can you say \\
\hline 14 westbound columns are the results for southbound Redland. & 14 what this is and why it's different from what we originally \\
\hline 15 Westbound Needwood is in the northbound columns. & 15 had as Exhibit 115-I? \\
\hline 16 Now I want to get OZAH Exhibit 115, document I. & 16 MS. KOSARY: Okay. For some -- \\
\hline 17 Oh. Oh, you've got the wrong one. We -- we -- we & 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Just for the \\
\hline 18 posted better copies online. & 18 record. \\
\hline 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. & 19 MS. KOSARY: Okay. Just for the record, for some \\
\hline 20 MS. KOSARY: With the online exhibit. But we can & 20 reason what you had for Exhibit 115, document I, which we \\
\hline 21 work with this one if you want. It's just -- it just makes & 21 sent in color, somehow it turned into black and white. \\
\hline 22 it really -- the exhibit you've got on screen just -- & 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It was probably \\
\hline 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So 115-I? & 23 just she failed to scan it in -- \\
\hline 24 MS. KOSARY: Yeah, 115-I. There's a better copy of & 24 MS. KOSARY: Yeah, yeah. I think it just -- these \\
\hline 25 this that -- that posted online. & 25 things just don't scan right sometimes. \\
\hline 54 & 56 \\
\hline 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: How's that? Can & 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But this is the \\
\hline 2 you see that? & 2 same exhibit? \\
\hline 3 MS. KOSARY: No, I'm just saying that you've g & 3 MS. KOSARY: This is the same exhibit. It's just a \\
\hline 4 -- we gave Nana a better & 4 color -- it's just the -- the original color version. \\
\hline 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I know. What I'm & 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead. \\
\hline 6 -- what I'm saying is it should be up on your screen. & 6 MS. KOSARY: Okay. From this exhibit, I just want \\
\hline 7 MS. KOSARY: Right. But I'm saying that it's not & 7 you to note that the west -- the westbound left-hand turn \\
\hline 8 what we gave Nana to replace this one with & 8 lane on Needwood is about 425 feet long. Specifically, I \\
\hline 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh. & 9 think it's down as 423 point something, but we're just \\
\hline 10 MS. KOSARY: But I'm going to work with this & 10 going to call it about 425 feet long. And I want you to \\
\hline 11 anyway. & 11 note that this often referred to as a queue storage length. \\
\hline 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay & 12 Beyond this point, you're actually into an area of \\
\hline 13 MS. KOSARY: Okay. & 13 the road referred to as a taper; it's T-A-P-E-R, and it's \\
\hline 14 MR. CHEN: Just for the record, what is -- & 14 the area marked with the yellow lines. \\
\hline 15 MS. KOSARY: Just -- just -- & 15 Now this particular taper helps to split the one \\
\hline 16 MR. CHEN: -- the -- what is the improved depiction & 16 lane of westbound Needwood into two lanes as you approach \\
\hline 17 exhibit number? & 17 the Redland-Needwood intersection. \\
\hline 18 MS. KOSARY: It's -- it's also Exhibit 115, & 18 So I just want you to go to OZAH Exhibit 115, \\
\hline 19 document I. It's just a better -- a better copy of it & 19 document G, which is the next one -- just the next one. \\
\hline 20 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I -- okay. \\
\hline 21 MS. KOSARY: Something seemed to have happened with & 21 Give me a mome \\
\hline 22 this one. I mean, it's in -- it's in color. It's -- it's & 22 MS. KOSARY: Yes. \\
\hline 23 clearer to read. & 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- because I \\
\hline 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: When you say & 24 pulled this from the web, so -- \\
\hline 25 "this one," this -- what I'm looking at is in color. & 25 MS. KOSARY: You should pull the -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 57 & 59 \\
\hline 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What's the -- & 1 understand the -- you're working with technology -- \\
\hline 2 MS. KOSARY: -- you should pull -- you should pull & 2 sometimes good, sometimes bad. We're going to -- \\
\hline 3 the next one from the web also because -- & 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now is this N.2? \\
\hline 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. & 4 MS. KOSARY: NNN -- NNN.1. \\
\hline 5 MS. KOSARY: -- it had -- it has the same issues. & 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. That \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So what & 6 should be this. \\
\hline 7 was the exhibit? & 7 MS. KOSARY: That's that -- that's what we've got. \\
\hline 8 MS. KOSARY: It's 115, document G as in George. & 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 9 Okay. And that's just showing that the distance from & 9 (Exhibit 227-NNN introduced.) \\
\hline 10 Redland to the western edge of Carnegie is about 475 feet & 10 MS. KOSARY: Now, as we've previously mentioned, \\
\hline 11 And if you go to document H , also on the web, thi & 11 just to reorient ourselves, this is page 4 and Appendix B \\
\hline 12 just shows the distance from Redland to the eastern edge of & 12 of OZAH Exhibit 63, which is the Applicant's traffic \\
\hline 13 Carnegie is about 500 feet. & 13 analysis, and these are the signalized intersection results \\
\hline 14 And just note that the difference between these two & 14 for existing traffic at the Redland-Needwood intersection \\
\hline 15 measurements is about 25 feet; it's about a car length. & 15 during the a.m. peak hour. \\
\hline 16 And I just want you to note that all these measurements & 16 The results highlighted in yellow is the length to \\
\hline 17 were from the Google Maps measurement tool, and they're all & 17 the back of the queue for the left-hand turn lane. The \\
\hline 18 taken from the stop bar location at the various points. & 18 result for the left-hand turn lane is 437.7 feet, and I \\
\hline 19 The left-hand turn lane measures up to the last lane divide & 19 want you to note that this is -- is longer than the \\
\hline 20 dashed line. So that indicates where the lane starts. And & 20 approximately 425 -foot length of this lane. \\
\hline 21 the Carnegie measurements just used, basically the limits & 21 So basically what's happening is existing traffic \\
\hline 22 of the gravel lane. & 22 can back up past the queue storage in the left-hand turn \\
\hline 23 Okay. If I can get OZAH Exhibit 227, document NNN. & 23 lane and into that taper area, and if you know anything -- \\
\hline 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is this -- does & 24 if you've observed any tapers while you're -- you're -- \\
\hline 25 this need to be from the web, or -- & 25 you're driving, this taper has a decreasing lane width. \\
\hline 58 & 60 \\
\hline 1 MS. KOSARY: No. It can be anywhere that you want & 1 And I want you to note that this is actually a -- a safety \\
\hline 2 to take it from. & 2 issue. You're actually in a situation where you could be \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is this 115? & 3 -- you'd be forcing cars into a narrower and narrower lane \\
\hline 4 MS. KOSARY: This is \(227-\) NNN. & 4 in this situation. \\
\hline 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Three Ns; & 5 And these results also reinforce what Rosemary \\
\hline 6 correct? & 6 Tapscott Smith testified about on day one, beginning on \\
\hline 7 MS. KOSARY: Three Ns. & 7 page 225, line 9, where she stated, quote, I go out \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. This & 8 Carnegie Avenue almost on a daily basis, sometimes five or \\
\hline 9 should be it. No? & 9 six times a day. Two mornings a week, I go out during rush \\
\hline 10 MS. KOSARY: Your -- your screen's taking a while & 10 hour because I babysit my granddaughters that are on the \\
\hline 11 to react. & 11 side of Shady Grove Road. \\
\hline 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Ah. & 12 There are times sitting on Carnegie Avenue, trying \\
\hline 13 MS. KOSARY: We're still looking at the exhibit & 13 to make a left, I have to wait for two or three changes of \\
\hline 14 before. & 14 the light before I can get a break in the traffic on \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Let me -- & 15 Needwood Road to make that left. Some mornings I actually \\
\hline 16 well, let me do this. & 16 have to block the eastbound traffic on Needwood Road, which \\
\hline 17 MS. KOSARY: Take it from the web? & 17 I know could get me a ticket, but I can't get out unless I \\
\hline 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. & 18 block the traffic and somebody coming westbound is kind \\
\hline 19 MS. KOSARY: Yes. & 19 enough to let me in, unquote. \\
\hline 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: This Teams is a & 20 This also reinforces the testimony of Jennifer \\
\hline 21 little interesting. & 21 Mitchell, Enitza Rodriguez, and Olga Sabran, all of whom \\
\hline 22 MS. KOSARY: Yeah, I know. I know. & 22 live on Needwood Road west of the Needwood-Carnegie \\
\hline 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you give -- & 23 intersection and all of whom testified about traffic backup \\
\hline 24 I'm sorry. I do apologize. & 24 issues on Needwood between the Needwood-Redland \\
\hline 25 MS. KOSARY: I know. No need to apologize. I & 25 intersection and Carnegie Avenue, especially during the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 61 & 63 \\
\hline 1 morning. & 1 Local Area Review Guidelines or the LATR -- do you refer to \\
\hline 2 And can I please have the next page, which is OZAH & 2 it as the LATR or as the L-A-T-R? \\
\hline 3 Exhibit 222 -- 27, document NNN.2, and this second page on & 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: L-A-T-R. \\
\hline 4 the screen is -- & 4 MS. KOSARY: Okay. It's the LATR. I mean, and you \\
\hline 5 MR. CHEN: Is it up? Is it correct? & 5 can find these in document MM of Exhibit 176. \\
\hline 6 MS. KOSARY: It's up. You've got NNN.2. Mr. Chen & 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you want me to \\
\hline 7 is here worried. & 7 pull that exhibit up, or -- \\
\hline 8 Okay. The second page is actually page 8 of & 8 MS. KOSARY: That's just telling you where I'm -- \\
\hline 9 Appendix B in OZAH Exhibit 63, which contains the result of & 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 10 total traffic when the estimates for background traffic an & 10 MS. KOSARY: -- I'm just telling you where I'm \\
\hline 11 the projected Primrose traffic are added to the current & 11 talking from. \\
\hline 12 existing traffic counts. And I've highlighted the length & 12 Now I want to point out that before the 2017 letter \\
\hline 13 to the back of the queue for the left-hand turn lane and & 13 implementation -- LATR implementation, intersection \\
\hline 14 the through lane in yellow. & 14 congestion for all Montgomery County signalized \\
\hline 15 The results of the left-hand turn lane is 441.9 & 15 intersections were evaluated using the critical lane value \\
\hline 16 feet and for the through lane it's 575.3 feet. & 16 level of standard. For the 2017 LATR, intersections \\
\hline 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait. I -- I see & 17 located in red and orange policy areas are now using the \\
\hline 18 that as 475.3. & 18 Highway Capacity Manual delay-based level of service \\
\hline 19 MS. KOSARY: 475.3 & 19 standard. Primrose, Derwood is in the Derwood policy area, \\
\hline 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, I thought you & 20 an orange area, and the 2017 LATR has set an HCM average \\
\hline 21 said 575. & 21 vehicle delay standard for the Derwood policy area of 59 \\
\hline 22 MS. KOSARY: No. & 22 seconds. And you can find all of this on page 13 and page \\
\hline 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead. & 2331 of the 2017 LATR. \\
\hline 24 I'm sorry. & 24 And I want to note -- \\
\hline 25 MS. KOSARY: It's long -- it's long, but it's not & 25 MR. CHEN: L-A-T-R, you mean. \\
\hline 62 & 64 \\
\hline 1 quite that long. & 1 MS. KOSARY: L-A-T-R. I'm sorry. It's always \\
\hline 2 Again the left-hand turn lane is queueing past the & 2 going to be LATR to me. And I just want to note that this \\
\hline 3 length of the -- of the left-hand turn lane and into the & 3 -- these -- we're talking about intersection level \\
\hline 4 taper and probably the through lane. And the through lane & 4 standards here. Okay. \\
\hline 5 results bring it to the edge of the intersection of & \(5 \quad\) Can I have OZAH Exhibit 227, document OOO? OOO, \\
\hline 6 Carnegie and Needwood. & 6 with a lot of pretty colors on it. \\
\hline 7 I just want to say that Rosemary, Jennifer, Enitza, & 7 (Exhibit 227-OOO introduced.) \\
\hline 8 and Olga are already having problems getting onto Needwood & 8 MS. KOSARY: The results of the Redland-Needwood \\
\hline 9 during morning rush. Approve this application and they're & 9 intersection are included in worksheets -- in the \\
\hline 10 going to find it even more difficult. & 10 worksheets on page 4 to 9 in Appendix B of OZAH Exhibit 63. \\
\hline 11 And for some reason the Applicant has resubmitted & 11 You know, I've included a copy of page 4 and have \\
\hline 12 their queueing study in OZAH Exhibit 161-A. In this & 12 highlighted the results in the intersection delay row in \\
\hline 13 queuing study, the Applicant's traffic expert reports the & 13 yellow. That's what you're looking at. And -- \\
\hline 14 queue results for northbound Needwood in their table and & 14 MR. CHEN: What's the exhibit number? \\
\hline 15 westbound Needwood in their text description of this same & 15 MS. KOSARY: That's Exhibit 227, document OOO. \\
\hline 16 table. And, as I mentioned previously, the Applicant & 16 MR. CHEN: Thank you. \\
\hline 17 describes the existing road network on page 3 of OZAH & 17 MS. KOSARY: Can I please have the next exhibit, \\
\hline 18 Exhibit 63 clearly stating that Needwood Road is a two-lane & 18 which is OZAH Exhibit 227, document PPP. \\
\hline 19 east-west roadway and that Redland Road is a four-lane & 19 (Exhibit 227-PPP introduced.) \\
\hline 20 north-south roadway at its intersection with Needwood. & 20 MS. KOSARY: And I just want you to note that the \\
\hline 21 And that's all I'm going to say, and we're going to & 2123.2 seconds in this exhibit corresponds to the 23.2 \\
\hline 22 move along to actually the intersection capacity analysis & 22 seconds on the page before. So that's just -- just telling \\
\hline 23 results, which are presented in Exhibit 13 on page 23 of & 23 you where it came from \\
\hline 24 OZAH Exhibit 63 & 24 This is -- and this is OZAH Exhibit -- this is \\
\hline 25 Now we included some relevant pages from the 2017 & 25 Exhibit 13 of OZAH Exhibit 63, and I've highlighted that in \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 65 & 67 \\
\hline 1 yellow. & 1 break? \\
\hline 2 If you want to go back to OZAH Exhibit 227, & 2 MS. KOSARY: Oh, Mr. Chen needs a comfort break. \\
\hline 3 document OOO. Okay & 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I think we all \\
\hline 4 & 4 need a comfort break. \\
\hline 5 weighted average of approach delay, the delay for each & 5 MR. CHEN: Thank you, (inaudible). \\
\hline 6 intersection -- each of the intersection approaches from & 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Certainly. Is \\
\hline 7 the north, south, east, and west, which I've highlighted in & 7 minutes enough \\
\hline 8 pink. & 8 MR. CHEN: Ten minutes is fine by me. \\
\hline 9 And before any & 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Ten minut \\
\hline 10 a statistician. Obviously I've been through the equations & 10 Okay. So we'll come -- we're going to go off the record, \\
\hline 11 in the Highway Capacity Manual. And I also opened an Excel & 11 and we'll come back at 11:30 \\
\hline 12 spreadsh & 12 (Pause in proceeding \\
\hline 13 result & 13 MS. KOSARY: Okay. \\
\hline 14 So for a simple example, if traffic count & 14 227, document OOO again. Okay. \\
\hline 15 four approaches was 25 vehicles per approach, each approach & 15 Again, I remind you that this is page 4 of Appendix \\
\hline 16 would receive a weight of 0.25 , which is 25 divided by the & 16 B of OZAH Exhibit 63. And I just wanted to on this -- at \\
\hline 17 total of 100. Each of the four approach delays would be & 17 this time to note that besides the estim \\
\hline 18 multiplied by their weight, and the results would be added & 18 approach and intersection delays, the results also give the \\
\hline 19 together to arrive at the intersection delay & 19 associated level of service, which is abbreviated LOS, \\
\hline 20 Approach delay is nothing more than & 20 which I have highlighted in gree \\
\hline 21 average of control delay, the delay for each lane within an & 21 The Highway Capacity Manual level of service table \\
\hline 22 approach, which I've highlighted in bl & 22 -- this is just for your reference -- is document M in OZAH \\
\hline 23 delay should give a relatively good indicatior & 23 Exhibit 115. The Needwood approaches have an LOS of E, \\
\hline 24 going on at an intersection in terms of delay provided all & 24 which equates to unstable flow, intolerable delay, for the \\
\hline & \\
\hline 66 & 68 \\
\hline 1 the Redland-Needwood intersection. & 1 fail to clear, for the eastbound approach across Redland \\
\hline 2 Think of it as a class where half the students are & 2 the Park Overlook neighborhoo \\
\hline 3 getting an A , and the other half are close to failing. The & 3 This data, the results from examining the through \\
\hline 4 class average is around a C & 4 traffic on Needwood originating from Maryland 115, the \\
\hline 5 Can I please have OZAH Exhibit 227, document QQQ & 5 queue backups on Needwood at the Redland-Needwood \\
\hline 6 which should be the next -- right there. & 6 intersection during the a.m. peak hour and the intersection \\
\hline 7 (Exhibit 227-QQQ introduced.) & 7 congestion at the Needwood approaches of the Redland- \\
\hline 8 MS. KOSARY: Okay. As summarized on this table, in & 8 Needwood intersection demonstrate the issues with Needwood \\
\hline 9 the case of the Redland-Needwood intersection, the Redland & 9 Road and reinforce the testimony of the community \\
\hline 10 approaches are more than meeting the LATR congestion & 10 Needwood is a primary residential street operating far \\
\hline 11 standards at 59 seconds for the Derwood policy area, while & 11 outside of which should be expected of a road in this \\
\hline 12 the Needwood approaches are failing the standard. & 12 category. \\
\hline 13 It goes back to my example of the class average & 13 This also corresponds with the observation made \\
\hline 14 when half the class is getting an A and half are failing. & 14 page 76 of the Upper Rock Creek Master Plan, which states \\
\hline 15 The intersection delay results for the Redland-Needwood & 15 that, quote, Travel forecasts indicate that the Upper Rock \\
\hline 16 intersection look okay because the two Redland approaches & 16 Creek Planning Area will become increasingly congested in \\
\hline 17 are the A students; the Needwood approaches are the F & 17 the next 20 years, but a primary goal of this plan is to \\
\hline 18 students. This is just nothing more than numerical & 18 preserve the area's low-density residential character, \\
\hline 19 confirmation of testimony from the neighborhood on the & 19 unquote. \\
\hline 20 congestion they observe on Needwood Road, especially at its & 20 Okay. \\
\hline 21 intersection with Redland. & 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Dr. Kosary, may I \\
\hline 22 (Sotto voce speaking.) & 22 ask a question for a moment? \\
\hline 23 MS. KOSARY: Yeah. Yeah, sure & 23 MS. KOSARY: Oh, sure. Sure. \\
\hline 24 & 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now this -- what \\
\hline 25 going for almost an hour and a half. Can we take a comfort & 25 you're showing here with the approach delay, is that based \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 69 & 71 \\
\hline 1 on the incorrect -- is -- this document, according to you, & 1 okay. Never mind. \\
\hline 2 is based on incorrect numbers? & 2 MS. KOSARY: Okay. \\
\hline 3 MS. KOSARY: You just -- you just -- & 3 (Laughter.) \\
\hline 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Am I & 4 MS. KOSARY: This is a really important issue, \\
\hline 5 understanding your testimony right? & 5 however. So -- you know, so if I -- if I get complicated, \\
\hline 6 MS. KOSARY: Correct numbers, incorrect columns. & 6 I'm going to try not to get complicat \\
\hline 7 So you just need to know -- I mean, and you've got - & 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. \\
\hline 8 that's why you've got the footnote. You just need to know & 8 MS. KOSARY: But let me know, you know, so that we \\
\hline 9 that -- I mean, this is especially important if you knew -- & 9 can -- \\
\hline 10 if you really knew the area and you knew that Needwood was & 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. \\
\hline 11 east-west. You need to know that the Needwood results a & 11 MS. KOSARY: Okay. I want OZAH Exhibit 227, \\
\hline 12 not in the east, the EB and the WB columns, that the dat & 12 document RR \\
\hline 13 was actually transposed, and that the Needwood -- the & 13 MR. CHEN: How many Rs? \\
\hline 14 Needwood Road results are actually in the NB and the SB & 14 MS. KOSARY: RRR, three Rs. Q, R, next one. \\
\hline 15 columns. & 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh. \\
\hline 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. That's & 16 (Exhibit 227-RRR introduced.) \\
\hline 17 that's what I wanted & 17 MS. KOSARY: Okay. Again, just to place us back \\
\hline 18 MS. KOSARY: Yeah. & 18 again. \\
\hline 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- to know. & 19 This is page 4 of Appendix B of OZAH Exhibit 63. \\
\hline 20 Okay. & 20 Now I mentioned the queue storage length, in this case the \\
\hline 21 MS. KOSARY: Right. Besides that & 21 length of the left-hand turn lane, earlier. \\
\hline 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you give me a & 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. \\
\hline 23 moment just to write that down? & 23 MS. KOSARY: There's a result in this table called \\
\hline 24 MS. KOSARY: Sure, sure & 24 the queue storage ratio, and I've highlighted that in \\
\hline 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So the Needwood & 25 yellow. The queue storage ratio is nothing more than the \\
\hline 70 & 72 \\
\hline 1 results are in the NB and SB columns. & 1 back of queue divided by the queue storage length. Again, \\
\hline 2 MS. KOSARY: Right & 2 I know this because I looked up the equation. \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. & 3 In this case, the application is showing a result \\
\hline 4 MS. KOSARY: And you've got a footnote at the & 4 of 0.00 . Well, assuming the back of queue length of 437.7 \\
\hline 5 bottom on that document. & 5 feet, as we have in this case, you would need a left-hand \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. It just -- & 6 turn lane with a length of about 87,500 feet to get a queue \\
\hline MS. KOSARY: Yeah, I know. & 7 storage ratio which rounds to 0.00 . This is about 16.5 \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank you. & 8 miles. The length of Needwood Road from Redland to \\
\hline 9 Go ahead. & 9 Maryland 115 is only about two miles. \\
\hline 10 MS. KOSARY: Now I really debated about getting & 10 And before anybody asks how I arrived at this \\
\hline 11 into this next issue because we're now going to be making & 11 number, I mean, it's -- it's -- it's algebra. It's solving \\
\hline 12 bit of a dumpster dive into methods, but this is a really & 12 for the unknown. \\
\hline 13 important issue. & 13 In fact, looking at all of the results for all the \\
\hline 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Stay away & 14 queue storage ratios on this page, I must wonder whether \\
\hline 15 from pejorative -- & 15 the analyst either turned off this part of the output and \\
\hline 16 MS. KOSARY: Okay. Oh, okay & 16 turned an exceptionally large number in their input for \\
\hline 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: If it's wrong, & 17 queue storage length or even if this part of the software \\
\hline 18 you can tell me why. & 18 is working correctly. Whatever the cause, you're going to \\
\hline 19 MS. KOSARY: Okay. I'm sorry. Dumpster dive, it's & 19 analyze data, you should always ask questions when your \\
\hline 20 a statistical term. & 20 analysis software comes back with a wacky result. That's \\
\hline 21 (Laughter.) & 21 another statistical term. We use that a lot, "wacky \\
\hline 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh. Is it & 22 result." \\
\hline 23 really? & 23 Can I please get OZAH Exhibit 227, document SSS. \\
\hline 24 MS. KOSARY: Yeah, I don't know & 24 (Exhibit 227-SSS introduced.) \\
\hline 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: My kids used -- & 25 MS. KOSARY: Okay. So the queue storage ratio \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{73}

1

16 The queue lengths have been underestimated because 17 the calculations implemented in the software being used 18 cannot handle the spillback of vehicles into other lanes. 19 The delays calculated for the westbound Redland-Needwood 20 intersection approach, which are already above the Derwood 21 policy area standards and already are indicated as the 2 Highway Capacity Manual level of service E, are even worse. 3 And this is for the a.m. westbound Needwood traffic as it 4 currently exists.
25 Rosemary Smith has testified that it can take her
multiple light cycles to get onto westbound Needwood during
1 multiple light cycles to get onto westbound Needwood dur
2 the morning -- so have Jennifer Mitchell and Olga Sabran. 3 The westbound queue on Needwood does not always clear the 4 intersection during a light cycle, which only leads to 5 backing up the westbound queue even more. C.C. English, 6 Alana Chamoun, and I have all testified that we frequently 7 find westbound Needwood backed up far east of the Needwood8 Carnegie intersection, sometimes as far back as the church 9 during -- during the morning, queues which would definitely block the entrance of this proposed daycare center.

Just want to say, as Charles Wheelan, author of Naked Statistics said, quote, Statistics is like a highcaliber weapon: helpful when used correctly and potentially disastrous in the wrong hands, unquote.

Numerous neighbors have testified about the congestion on Needwood Road in the vicinity of the proposed Primrose daycare site, especially during the morning rush hour at the Redland-Needwood intersection. Neighbors have testified about traffic backups on westbound Needwood which extend past Carnegie Avenue and would block the entrance to 1 the proposed Primrose driveway during morning rush hour.
Neighbors have testified about the large amount of cut-
through traffic on Needwood.
You know, I'm sorry, the --
MR. CHEN: IfI may just take you back for a
MR. CHEN: Is that it?
MS. KOSARY: Yes. The back of queue result for the urrent traffic on westbound Needwood --

MR. CHEN: Excuse me. I apologize. What is this exhibit now you're --

MS. KOSARY: This is OZAH Exhibit 227, document RRR, again.

MR. CHEN: Thank you.
MS. KOSARY: The back of queue result for the current traffic on westbound Needwood is 437.7 feet, which I have highlighted in pink. The queue storage length is around 425 feet, as previously discussed from OZAH Exhibit 115 , document I. The queue storage ratio, back of queue divided by queue storage length, is not 0.00 ; it is over
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result, however, is important because as McTrans points out
in their tips and frequently asked questions for the
software application, which is OZAH Exhibit 227, document
SSS, on page 3-- if you just want to go through a couple
of pages.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
MS. KOSARY: On page 3 of this exhibit, which I've
highlighted in yellow --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm writing at
the same time.
MS. KOSARY: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Go ahead.
MS. KOSARY: -- if this is hard for you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Go ahead.
MS. KOSARY: Which -- which I've highlighted in
yellow, it states that if this ratio goes above --
(Technical interruption.)
MS. KOSARY: -- the computation of both delay and
queue length because the calculations do not account for
what is called spillback.
THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. You cut out there for a
second for me.
MS. KOSARY: Oh.
THE REPORTER: Could you -- could you just repeat
the last two sentences just to make sure it's on the
result, however, is important because as McTrans points out
in their tips and frequently asked questions for the
SSS, on page 3 -- if you just want to go through a couple of pages.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
OSARY: On page 3 of this exhibit, which Ive
the same time.
MS. KOSARY: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry --
MS. KOSARY: -- if this is hard for you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Go ahead.
MS. KOSARY: Which -- which I've highlighted in
ption.)
MS. KOSARY: -- the computation of both delay and
queue length because the calculations do not account for
THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. You cut out there for a
second for me.
MS. KOSARY: Oh.
the last two sentences just to make sure it's on the
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record?
    MS. KOSARY: The queue storage ratio result,
however, is important because, as McTrans points out in
their tips and frequently asked questions for the software
application, which is OZAH Exhibit 227, document SSS, on
page 3, which I've highlighted in yellow, it states that if
this ratio goes above one, you should not be using this
software application. It will underestimate the
computation of both delay and queue length because the
calculations do not account for what is called spillback,
when a queue goes past its storage length and starts
interfering with or blocking neighboring lanes.
    McTrans has a different application called CORSIM,
C-O-R-S-I-M, short for Corridor Simulation, which utilizes
microsimulation to compute delay and queue storage
estimates in these situations.
people use software and don't read the frequently asked
questions. Okay.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. All right.
    MS. KOSARY: Can I please -- can I please have OZAH
Exhibit 227, document RRR, again.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
    MS. KOSARY: Q, R.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Q --
record?
MS. KOSARY: The queue storage ratio result,
however, is important because, as McTrans points out in
their tips and frequently asked questions for the software
application, which is OZAH Exhibit 227, document SSS, on
page 3, which I've highlighted in yellow, it states that if
this ratio goes above one, you should not be using this
software application. It will underestimate the
computation of both delay and queue length because the
when a queue goes past its storage length and starts
interfering with or blocking neighboring lanes.
McTrans has a different application called CORSIM,
C-O-R-S-I-M, short for Corridor Simulation, which utilizes
microsimulation to compute delay and queue storage
estimates in these situations.
questions. Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. All right.
1 MS. KOSARY: Can I please -- can I please have OZAH

23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
24 MS. KOSARY: Q, R.

25
minute.
MS. KOSARY: Okay.
MR. CHEN: Your report on the LOS at E --
MS. KOSARY: Right, level of service.
MR. CHEN: Yes. What does that mean based upon the report data? E?

MS. KOSARY: What?
MR. CHEN: Level of service E, what does --
MS. KOSARY: Level of service?
MR. CHEN: Yes. What does that mean?
MS. KOSARY: Level of service, it's kind of a way that the Highway Capacity Manual tries to equate in words what -- what you're observing in delay.

MR. CHEN: And what does -- what does E mean?
MS. KOSARY: Oh, E. I have to -- let me go back, 16 try to find E. E means unstable flow, intolerable delay.

MR. CHEN: Okay. Thank you.
MS. KOSARY: Okay. Okay. I think I've said enough about this part, except for I think that the Applicant has utterly failed to demonstrate that the proposed Primrose daycare center will not have an impact on the already highly congested Needwood Road.

And from this point, I want to move on, and I want to talk about -- on page 9 of the Applicant's Amended Statement of Justification, which can be found in OZAH

Exhibit 62, it was noted that the Board of Appeals approved
-- when the Board of Appeals approved the special exception
for the Taiwan Cultural Center, which was S-2668, they also
granted a parking waiver. Excerpts of this report can be
found in document OO of OZAH Exhibit 176.
In terms of the waiver in the TCC case, the hearing examiner stated on page 42 of the Hearing Examiner's Report and Recommendation, which was dated November 21st, 2006,
9 that the, quote, Need for substantial waivers of the site 10 setback requirements for the driveway and parking lot is 11 neither typical nor to be expected and, therefore, must be considered a non-inherent characteristic.

In the case of the Taiwan Cultural Center, because 14 the use was so small and the hearing examiner did not think
15 that this unusual characteristic rose to the level of being
16 a non-inherent adverse effect -- although the hearing
17 examiner did note on page 22 that, quote, For compatibility
18 purposes, the parking lot should've been built farther from
19 the eastern property line. As a small, non-profit
20 organization, the petitioner is reluctant to move the
21 parking lot and driveway now.
22 The hearing examiner agrees with staff that if all
23 of the proposed conditions of approval are implemented,
24 including the limitations on the number of vehicles and
25 people on site at one time, the parking facility can be
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found to satisfy the zoning ordinance's parking facilities plan objectives.

This finding, however, is dependent on implementation of all of the recommended conditions of approval, and especially the limitations on the number, timing and intensity of events. If the special exception is granted but the petitioner fails to comply with these limitations, more frequent or more intensive use of the parking lot can lead to adverse impacts sufficient to warrant revocation of the special exception, unquote.
To determine the impact in the case of this application, we must ask the question, how many vehicle 3 movements in and out of the Primrose proposed parking lot 4 are there going to be daily? The 2017 LATR provides guidance for estimating the generation of vehicle trips. 16 Pages 39 and 40 of the 2017 LATR state that, quote, 17 Trips projected to be generated by the proposed development 18 and background traffic should be determined in accordance 9 with the latest edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineering, or ITE, Trip Generation Manual and the Trip Generation Handbook, unquote.

According to the 2017 LATR guidance, on page 40, once estimated, the ITE trip generation results are then adjusted using the policy area adjustment factors found in table 1a on pages 53 through 56 . In this case, the
adjustment factor for the other use type in the Deerwood policy area is 94 percent.

And can I please have OZAH Exhibit 227, document TTT.
(Exhibit 227-TTT introduced.)
MS. KOSARY: Okay. You're looking at Exhibit 10 of OZAH Exhibit 63, and the only change that's been made is just the highlighting.

And I just want you to see that according to the 10 estimated vehicle trips generated from the ITE manual and 1 adjusted by the policy area factor, there will be 252 12 vehicle trips, either into or out of the proposed parking facility during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. This is the 14 sum of the 129 vehicle trips for total a.m. peak hour and 123 vehicle trips fro the total p.m. peak hour, both 16 highlighted in yellow. This is the number of trips over 17 two one-hour time periods during a weekday.
18 Page 3 of the Applicant's Amended Statement of Justification, OZAH Exhibit 62 --

MR. CHEN: Do you want that up?
MS. KOSARY: No, I don't. However, it's just referencing it.

However, indicates a much longer drop-off and
24 pickup period: three hours in the a.m. and three hours in 25 the p.m. So these numbers are just for two of those six
hours.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What was the
exhibit that you just referenced for the --
MS. KOSARY: It's page 3 of the Applicant's Amended
Statement of Justification, which is OZAH Exhibit 62 .
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead.
MS. KOSARY: Okay. And again the Applicant's
traffic expert has an issue with their analysis. The ITE
Trip Generation Manual provides two methods for calculating
0 estimated trips: the linear regression equation and the
1 average rate. Both the ITE and the LATR provide criteria
2 selecting which method to use.
The ITE criteria presented in Exhibit 115, document
4 K -- this is just for your reference --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right.
MS. KOSARY: -- states that if there are over 20
6
7

The 2017 LATR criteria should've been used as this
is the required -- this is a required analysis described by
this document making the 2017 LATR the controlling
document.
And I just want to point out that, just for your
reference, this portion of the LATR is OZAH Exhibit 227,
document UUU.
(Exhibit 227-UUU introduced.)
MS. KOSARY: And can I please get OZAH Exhibit 227, document VVV.
(Exhibit 227-VVV introduced.)
MS. KOSARY: Okay. There's actually two pages of
VVV. Oh, you've marked -- actually marked them VVV. 1 and
VVV.2. Okay.
These are the ITETripGen web-based app results for
a.m. and p.m. peak hour trip estimates for a daycare center
of 195 children.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. You've got to tell me what this is again.

MS. KOSARY: Okay. It's the ITETripGen. it's all
one word for your -- for -- for Mr. Jesse.
I-T-E-T-R-I-P-G-E-N.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
MS. KOSARY: It's just the web-based app for this manual.

MR. CHEN: And which exhibit is it?
MS. KOSARY: It's OZAH Exhibit 227, document VVV. 1
for a.m. and VVV. 2 for p.m. Okay.
I've highlighted both R-squareds.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And how have you
-- oh, the 152 and the 137 -- is -- are you saying when
you've highlighted, that's the red?
MS. KOSARY: No. What I've highlighted, that's down at the bottom.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, oh. I'm sorry.

MS. KOSARY: That's fine. That's fine. I've highlighted both R-squareds. And as you can see --

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
MS. KOSARY: -- both -- both are less than 0.75 . So the average rate, not the linear regression equation -equation should've been used for both the a.m. and p.m. estimates. They just used the wrong method.

So after applying the Derwood policy adjustment,
you actually have 143 vehicle trips for total p.m. peak
hour and 145 vehicle trips for total p.m. peak hour or a
total of 288 vehicle trips, movements either into or out of
the proposed parking facility during the a.m. and p.m. peak
hours.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Let me --
let me just ask you, on this Exhibit VVV.1, 227-VVV.1, what
are the red --
MS. KOSARY: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you just go through this? I see the ratio.

MS. KOSARY: Right.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What do the red
--
MS. KOSARY: You've got -- you've got -- notice
that you've got two lines. One is -- one is solid, one is dashed --

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now you're talking about --

MS. KOSARY: The lines --
(Crosstalk.)
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Diagonal lines?
MS. KOSARY: Right. The lines -- the lines going
through the data.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
MS. KOSARY: The straight line and the -- the
dotted line.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I see, yes.
MS. KOSARY: Those are -- those are the fits
through the data.
MR. CHEN: Again, just for the record, what exhibit
```

are we --
MS. KOSARY: We're on OZAH Exhibit 227. This is
document VVV.1.
MR. CHEN: Thank you.
MS. KOSARY: Those are the fit lines through the --
through the data.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait. What's a
fit line?
MS. KOSARY: Oh, it's -- it's just the line that --
that -- that fits the data.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
MS. KOSARY: Either -- either based on the equation
for what's referred to as the fitted curve or based on the
average rate, which is -- is the dashed line.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So you're
saying the average rate, which is the dashed diagonal line
on this exhibit, should've been used --
MS. KOSARY: Should've been used.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- rather than
the -- what was the other form of --
MS. KOSARY: It's -- it's -- they're referring to
it as fitted curve.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
MS. KOSARY: But it's just -- it's just the result
of running a linear regression.
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    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So what do
the red lines represent?
    MS. KOSARY: Okay. The red -- the one red line
running vertical --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah.
    MS. KOSARY: -- down to the 195 --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes.
    MS. KOSARY: -- that's just indicating, you know,
the -- the number of children, 195.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
    MS. KOSARY: It's just running up so that you can
see where it -- where it intersects, the two lines --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes.
    MS. KOSARY: -- where the two lines --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But just explain
for me, because I'm not a statistician, what are the
horizontal red lines?
    MS. KOSARY: Right. The horizontal red lines are
just the -- the point where the -- the vertical line
intersects.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But what does 152
-- what is that quantity? Number of trips?
    MS. KOSARY: That's number of trips.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
    MS. KOSARY: Versus 137.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Got you. MS. KOSARY: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And let me just
get one other thing. VVV.1, is that the morning peak hour?
MS. KOSARY: VVV. 1 is the morning peak hour.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And then VVV. 2 is the evening peak hour?

MS. KOSARY: Is the evening peak hour.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I see it at the top. I just --

MS. KOSARY: Right. It should be --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- wanted to make sure I understood. Okay.

MS. KOSARY: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you.
MS. KOSARY: You're welcome. Okay. I'm going to
ask for OZAH Exhibit 115, document L as in Larry. Okay.
The question we now have is whether the ITE Trip Generation Manual also includes a way to estimate total weekday site trip -- site traffic. And the answer's
obviously yes. ITE Trip Generation Manual does also
provide a means for estimating total weekday trips and
document L of Exhibit 115, you have the results from the
ITE Trip -- the ITETripGen web-based app for total weekday
trips for a daycare center serving 195 children.

So I'm going to use the average rate because the
R-squared again is less than the 2017 LATR guidance for use
of the linear regression equation. And the results
indicate 798 -- you can see that up there at the top -- 798
daily vehicle trips.
Can I get OZAH Exhibit 227, document XXX.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Whoops. I messed
up.
MS. KOSARY: That's fine.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm sorry. How
many Xs?
MS. KOSARY: Three Xs. Keep going.
(Exhibit 227-XXX introduced.)
MS. KOSARY: Okay.
MR. CHEN: Now what exhibit are we on now?
MS. KOSARY: We're on OZAH Exhibit 227, document
XXX, so that's triple X .
So after taking the ITE estimate of 798 daily
vehicle trips and applying the Derwood other adjustment
factor from the 2017 --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
MS. KOSARY: I liked that.
(Laughter.)
MS. KOSARY: All tables --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm handing my --
there. Go ahead.
MS. KOSARY: All tables should look like that. (Laughter.)
MS. KOSARY: The Derwood other adjustment factor from the 2017 LATR of 94 percent. You find that this use would generate 750 vehicle trips in or out of Primrose's -Primrose's proposed parking facility. And, I remind you, this is a parking facility located 12 feet off of our property line.
10 And I must briefly discuss Mr. Cook's estimate of 800 to 850 vehicle trips and say I was a bit surprised how quickly he gave the response until I remembered that we pre-filed document \(L\) of Exhibit 115, which is the ITE estimate for total daily vehicle trips for a 195-child daycare center. And I'm just assuming that Mr. Cook saw 16 this pre-filing and just got his estimate slightly wrong.

But, in the end, it really doesn't matter. Any way 8 you look at it, this is a very large number of individual 18 trips up and down a driveway 12 feet from our property 0 line. But I'm sure the Applicant's going to jump up and say that, oh, but they're keeping the parking spaces 34 feet away from our property line. All I can say to this is 3 I think the Applicant must live in some other branch of the multiverse. Okay.

MR. CHEN: Pejoratives.
MS. KOSARY: Oh, Mr. Kline [sic] tells me that's
it's pejorative.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Thank you, Mr. -thank you.

MS. KOSARY: Okay. I will -- he can smack me.
He's my lawyer.
(Laughter.)
MS. KOSARY: No. I said previously that I had read the Brandywine decision. That was a -- I've also read
Butler. There was as many as 24 individual vehicle trips
coming or going within 22 feet of Cora Weeks' property line during Melody Butler's busy season.

In that case, the hearing examiner determined that the proximity of Butler's driveway to Weeks' lot, the
narrowness of Butler's lot when compared to its length, the
configuration of the commercial use on the lot and the
closeness of the commercial use to the neighboring
properties all created non-inherent adverse effects which
significantly impacted the peaceful enjoyment, and
potentially the economic value, of the neighboring
properties, and the application was denied.
In Butler, the Maryland Court of Appeals upheld
Montgomery County's authority to deny a special exception
for a conditional use application based on the non-inherent
adverse effect framework.
\begin{tabular}{l|l}
89 & 1
\end{tabular}

MS. KOSARY: Three Ys. Why, why, why?
(Laughter.)
(Exhibit 227-YYY introduced.)
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead.
MS. KOSARY: Okay. Okay. You can take the total
daily trip estimate a few steps further. The first
92
question should be whether we can determine how many of
these are going to be new trips on Needwood Road. This is
-- this is actually really easy to calculate. The
Applicant's Exhibit 10 --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, tell us -are you going to tell us what we're looking at?

MS. KOSARY: Oh. Well, we're looking at -- what are we looking at? We're looking at the Applicant's Exhibit 10 --

MR. CHEN: What exhibit number is this? HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Exhibit 63?
MS. KOSARY: Applicant's Exhibit 10, on page 19 of OZAH Exhibit 63.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank you.
MS. KOSARY: And it indicates that the percent of
pass-by trips in the a.m. is 27 percent and in the p.m. is
12 percent, and I've highlighted this in yellow.
These numbers represent what was agreed to in the
Transportation Impact Study Scope of Work Agreement, which
can be found starting on page 8 of Appendix A of OZAH
Exhibit 63. It is item number ten on page 10.
Pass-by trips are vehicle trips that are already on
the road network; they are just making an additional stop
during a trip which had already put them on the road for a
different purpose. Trips that are not pass-by trips are
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 93 & 95 \\
\hline 1 new trips. & 1 Traffic Impact Analysis report can give us some answers. \\
\hline 2 Note that for just the a.m. and p.m. peak hour that & 2 This time we're looking at the Transportation Impact Study \\
\hline 3 there are 203 new trips generated by this proposed use: 95 & 3 Scope of Work Agreement, which can be found starting on \\
\hline 4 in the a.m. hour and 108 in the p.m. hour. I've & 4 page 8 of Appendix A of OZAH Exhibit 63. \\
\hline 5 & 5 MR. CHEN: Is there an exhibit number for this? \\
\hline 6 out of the six total hours the Applicant has indicated that & 6 MS. KOSARY: We haven't gotten there yet. \\
\hline 7 pickup and drop & 7 MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
\hline 8 And, again, as we discussed, you know, & 8 MS. KOSARY: It's an item -- it is item number -- \\
\hline 9 estimate & 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Chen, you're \\
\hline 10 average & 10 moving too fas \\
\hline 11 policy ar & 11 MS. KOSARY: Yes. \\
\hline 12 trips, you're talking 232 new trips generated: 104 in the & 12 MR. CHEN: I apologize. \\
\hline 13 a.m. & 13 MS. KOSARY: I slap him for you. Yes. \\
\hline 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you -- let & 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Go ahead. I'm \\
\hline 15 & 15 sorry. \\
\hline 16 MS. KOSARY: & 16 MS. KOSARY: It's item number 11 on page 10 of \\
\hline 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So using & 17 Exhibit 4 on page 15, and \\
\hline 18 & 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 19 & 19 MS. KOSARY: -- can I please have OZAH Exhibit 227, \\
\hline 20 agreed-to percent of pass-by trips & 20 document AAAA. \\
\hline 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. & 21 (Exhibit 227-AAAA introduced.) \\
\hline 22 & 22 MS. KOSARY: And this is what I was talking about. \\
\hline 23 MS. KOSARY: & 23 This is the map from -- from the Transportation Impa \\
\hline 24 1-0-4, in & 24 Study Scope of Work Agreement. And from this map, it's \\
\hline 25 hour. & 25 Exhibit 4 from the Scope of Work Agreement, you can see \\
\hline & 96 \\
\hline 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. & 1 that the Applicant is estimating that 10 percent of these \\
\hline 2 MS. KOSARY: Okay. Can I have OZAH Exhibit 227, & 2 new trips are going to be coming from the Needwood \\
\hline 3 document & 3 neighborhood itself, 15 percent are going to be coming from \\
\hline 4 (Exhibit 227-ZZZ introduced. & 4 the Needwood-Maryland 115 intersection area, and 75 percent \\
\hline 5 MS. KOSARY: So, basically -- & 5 of these trips -- so that is actually the 40 percent coming \\
\hline 6 MR. CHEN: Is this -- is this ZZZ? & 6 southbound from Redland, the 30 percent coming northbound \\
\hline 7 MS. KOSARY: This is ZZZ, yes. This is OZAH & 7 from Redland and the 5 percent coming from the Park \\
\hline 8 Exhibit 227, document ZZZ. & 8 Overlook community -- 75 percent of the trips are going to \\
\hline 9 So, basically, if you look at how many of the & 9 be coming from the Redland-Needwood intersection area. \\
\hline 10 totals, 750 weekday trips are new trips, you need an & 10 Can I please have OZAH Exhibit 227, document BBBB? \\
\hline 11 assumption as to what percent of these trips -- these 750 & 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I'm going \\
\hline 12 trips are in the a.m. and what are in the p.m., and so for & 12 to -- I need to get that down. 75 percent are coming from \\
\hline 13 this table I'm using a 50-50 split. This would give you & 13 the Redland -- \\
\hline 14274 new trips in the morning and 330 new trips in the & 14 MS. KOSARY: Are coming from the Redland-Needwood \\
\hline 15 afternoon for a total of 604 new trips per day. I must & 15 intersection area -- 40 percent from southbound Redland, 30 \\
\hline 16 tell you, in my opinion, this is a large number of new & 16 percent from northbound Redland, and 5 percent coming in \\
\hline 17 trips on an already congested road and for an area which, & 17 from the east, which is -- this is the Park -- the Park \\
\hline 18 according to its master plan, is supposed to be low density & 18 Overlook Community. \\
\hline 19 residential. & 19 MR. CHEN: That's 75 percent are going to be \\
\hline 20 MR. CHEN: Where does this Exhibit ZZZ come from? & 20 traffic passing through the intersection -- \\
\hline 21 MS. KOSARY: I created & 21 MS. KOSARY: Right. They're going to \\
\hline 22 MR. CHEN: Okay. Thank you. & 22 MR. CHEN: -- of Redland and Needwood \\
\hline 23 MS. KOSARY: Yes. This is my & 23 MS. KOSARY: -- they're going to be passing through \\
\hline 24 The final question is where the 604 new trips are & 24 the intersection of Redland and Needwood. \\
\hline 25 going to be coming from. And, again, the Applicant's & 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Just one minute. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 97 & 99 \\
\hline 1 MS. KOSARY: Oh, all the time you want. & 1 saying. I'm not making any findings. \\
\hline 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, okay. & 2 MS. KOSARY: Oh, I know. I know. \\
\hline 3 (Exhibit 227-BBBB introduced.) & 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. Go ahead. \\
\hline 4 MS. KOSARY: Okay. BBBB. & 4 MS. KOSARY: Okay. Finally, I just have a few \\
\hline 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now can you & 5 comments about the Applicant's gap study, which was \\
\hline 6 describe what BBBB is? & 6 actually done as an afterthought and can be found in OZAH \\
\hline 7 MS. KOSARY: Okay. BBBB is & 7 Exhibit 161-B. \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Did you prepare & 8 Now, on occasions, technical staff and planning or \\
\hline 9 it ? & 9 the Planning Board have asked for a quote/unquote gap study \\
\hline 10 MS. KOSARY: I prepared BBBB. It's just basically & 10 to be conducted for a conditional use or a preliminary plan \\
\hline 11 taking that 604 new trips that we had in OZAH Exhibit 227, & 11 application. The 2017 LATR, however, gives no guidance on \\
\hline 12 document AAAA, and distributing them for the a.m. and the & 12 the methods to be used in conducting a gap study, as it \\
\hline 13 p.m. based on the percents that were coming from -- from & 13 does for intersection capacity analysis where it directs \\
\hline 14 the map. & 14 applicants to utilize the methods presented in the Highway \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. & 15 Capacity Manual or a new trip generation where it directs \\
\hline 16 MS. KOSARY: And it's actually kind of indicated in & 16 applicants to utilize the methods developed by the \\
\hline 17 the labels, so Needwood neighborhood, 10 percent is coming & 17 Institute of Traffic Engineers. \\
\hline 18 from there, Needwood -- Needwood-Maryland 115 intersection, & 18 Can I please get OZAH Exhibit 227, document CCCC, \\
\hline 1915 percent are coming from there, Needwood-Redland & 19 so that's four Cs. \\
\hline 20 intersection area, 75 percent are coming from there. & 20 (Exhibit 227-CCCC introduced.) \\
\hline 21 MR. CHEN: Again, you're taking the percentages & 21 MS. KOSARY: So, actually, I searched -- I went out \\
\hline 22 based upon the report that the Applicant had supplied -- & 22 and I searched the Planning Department's website, and I \\
\hline 23 MS. KOSARY: The -- & 23 found a total of 27 conditional use or preliminary plan \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: -- for their map? & 24 applications where a gap study was included. When I \\
\hline 25 MS. KOSARY: -- Applicant had -- had supplied for & 25 checked the reports for these, however, it really didn't \\
\hline 98 & 100 \\
\hline 1 their map. & 1 surprise me to discover that each gap study appeared to \\
\hline 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So what you're & 2 follow somewhat different methods, depending on the traffic \\
\hline 3 saying is that the bulk of the traffic is coming from & 3 expert, and that it was difficult to determine exactly what \\
\hline 4 Needwood-Redland? & 4 had been done from the documents provided or even from the \\
\hline 5 MS. KOSARY: Yes. So obviously the largest percent & 5 results. \\
\hline 6 of new trips is going to be on that six to seven hundred & 6 However -- this -- this, however, shouldn't have \\
\hline 7 foot section of Needwood Road between the proposed Primrose & 7 been the case. The Manual of Transportation Engineering \\
\hline 8 site and the already congested Needwood-Redland & 8 Studies, second edition, published in November of 2010 by \\
\hline 9 intersection. It's -- these -- of the 640--604 estimated & 9 the Institute of Traffic Engineers includes guidance on \\
\hline 10 new trips per day, 453 are going to occur between the & 10 conducting and presenting the results of a gap study. The \\
\hline 11 proposed Primrose site and the Needwood-Redland & 11 ITE website describes this manual as kind of a how-to guide \\
\hline 12 intersection. It's estimated to be 206 new trips in the & 12 on conducting various studies using standardized study \\
\hline \(13 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}\). and 248 in the p.m. & 13 techniques and current technology. And it has a companion \\
\hline 14 SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) & 14 book, the ITE's Traffic Engineering Handbook, seventh \\
\hline 15 MS. KOSARY: As we pointed out, Needwood at this & 15 edition. \\
\hline 16 location of the Redland-Needwood intersection is already -- & 16 The relevant pages from both the ITE's Manual of \\
\hline 17 already extremely congested, especially in the morning. We & 17 Transportation Engineering Studies, second edition, as well \\
\hline 18 can't handle hundreds of new car trips in this small, & 18 as the ITE's Traffic Engineering Handbook, seventh edition, \\
\hline 19 congested area. & 19 have been entered into record as OZAH Exhibit 227, \\
\hline 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. & 20 documents DDDD, four Ds, and EEEE, four Es. \\
\hline 21 MS. KOSARY: Okay. & 21 (Exhibit 227-DDDD and Exhibit 227-EEEE introduced.) \\
\hline 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm saying okay & 22 MS. KOSARY: Now both studies define a gap as, \\
\hline 23 because I understand -- & 23 quote, The available time in seconds between two successive \\
\hline 24 MS. KOSARY: Yes. & 24 vehicles at the same point in space measured from the rear \\
\hline 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- what you're & 25 bumper of the lead vehicle to the front bumper of the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 101 & 10 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
following vehicle, unquote. \\
So, by definition, a gap is measured within a \\
traffic lane between two successive vehicles. For example, for Needwood Road, a two-lane east-west street, under this definition, you would measure the gaps for the eastbound lane as well as the gaps for the westbound lane. \\
Both documents define a critical gap as, quote, The threshold gap time used to determine whether vehicles, pedestrians, or bicyclists at a minor approach enter or 10 cross the major traffic stream. \\
And traffic flow theory, it is defined as the gap \\
time where a major street traffic is equally as likely to accept or reject a gap, unquote. \\
Drivers differ in their individual reaction to \\
gaps. Critical gap times are developed through what's called a gap acceptance study, and we're not going to get into it because we'd be here for weeks. \\
In terms of collecting gap data, the Manual of \\
Transportation Engineering Studies, second edition, states that, quote, Many electronic counting boards record gap \\
data by grouping the gaps into bins or intervals of two 22 seconds. The results then -- will then consist of the \\
23 number of gaps between zero and two seconds, two and four 24 seconds, and so on. Two-second intervals are crude but 25 acceptable for most gap studies, but larger interval --
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. Okay. I \\
get it. \\
MS. KOSARY: Yeah. Because in the -- in -- in \\
their description of the April 2019 traffic count in OZAH \\
Exhibit 63, the Applicant mentions no other traffic count \\
sites beyond these three locations and reports no other \\
data from any additional sites. \\
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I -- I \\
understand now. I just wanted to make sure I understood. \\
MS. KOSARY: Yeah. \\
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead. \\
MS. KOSARY: As the Applicant states, the gap times \\
used were taken from the Highway Capacity Manual; however, \\
a so-called gap study is not a method defined by the \\
Highway Capacity Manual. \\
The critical gap periods, which were taken from \\
chapter 20 of the latest Highway Capacity Manual, are \\
actually meant to be used in queuing analysist for \\
unsignalized intersections, basically the same type of \\
analysis as that for signalized intersections presented in \\
pages 4 to 9 of OZAH Exhibit B of -- pages 4 through 9 of \\
Appendix B of OZAH Exhibit 63. They're just inputs to an \\
equation that estimates the potential capacity of a road. \\
And before anybody asks how I know, the Applicant \\
included these pages from the Highway Capacity Manual in
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
intervals are generally not useful, unquote. \\
In terms of analysis, both documents state that, quote, The mean gap has only marginal meaning and analysis using gap data. Statistics that describe the shape of the gap distribution, such as percentiles, are more useful, unquote. \\
Back to the Applicant's gap study, which was OZAH \\
Exhibit 161-B, as indicated in their report, the gaps were measured using videos, which were recorded in April of 2019, which was when the Applicant conducted their traffic count studies. \\
So the gaps supposedly measured at the site of the \\
proposed driveway were possibly instead measured at the \\
Needwood-Carnegie intersection, about 180 feet away, one of \\
the three sites where traffic counts were collected for the \\
April 2019 traffic study and one of the sites where the \\
data collection instruments were obviously set up. \\
In their description of the April 2019 traffic \\
count, in OZAH Exhibit 63 -- \\
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait, hold up. \\
What you're saying is, was the video located at the intersection rather than the site driveway? Is that what you're saying? \\
MS. KOSARY: That's what I'm -- that's what I'm questioning.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
their exhibit. \\
And remember that a critical gap is the time when 50 percent of drivers would accept the gap and 50 percent would reject. \\
From the Applicant's report, which is OZAH Exhibit 161-B, I can't determine how the gaps were counted. Did they count gaps in westbound traffic and gaps at eastbound traffic and add them together? \\
They only stated that, quote, The number of gaps 0 that were observed were counted during the peak hours, unquote, on page 1 of the text's description. \\
The only clue is when discussing Exhibit B on the 13 second page of OZAH Exhibit 161-B where it states that, 4 quote, For the purposes of this analysis, we have utilized 15 the total number of vehicles in both directions, unquote. \\
16 This would leave me to believe that the Applicant \\
17 is using the sum of the gaps in westbound traffic and 18 eastbound traffic in their analysis. \\
19 When describing the first table labeled Exhibit A 20 -- we're still again in OZAH Exhibit 161-B -- the Applicant \\
21 states in the text description that they are examining, \\
22 quote, Vehicles turning left and/or right from the proposed \\
23 daycare center to westbound Needwood Road, unquote. \\
24 I must say that this -- this -- this statement left \\
25 me scratching my head. When preparing a report on a data
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 105 & 107 \\
\hline 1 analysis, it should be methods, results, discussion. The & 1 present in the traffic stream directly behind one another, \\
\hline 2 description of your methods must match the presentation of & 2 unquote, and that it was incorrect to assume that a \\
\hline 3 your results, so your discussion of the results can make & 3 following vehicle could use the initial turning veh \\
\hline 4 sense to your reader. If you do not, what in the world is & 4 gap in the assumed follow-up time. \\
\hline 5 your audience supposed to believe? & They further noted that turning vehicles could have \\
\hline \(6 \quad\) Westbound Needwood is the traffic heading in the & 6 an impact on the through travel lanes, which was not \\
\hline 7 direction of Redland Road while eastbound Needwood traffic & 7 accounted for in the analysis. \\
\hline 8 is heading in the direction of Maryland 115. Right-hand & 8 And, finally, they recommended a simulation be \\
\hline 9 turns from the proposed daycare center would be onto & 9 performed to look at potential impacts. \\
\hline 10 eastbound not westbound Needwood. Leff-hand turns from th & 10 Can I please have OZAH Exhibit 227, document H \\
\hline 11 proposed daycare center would cross eastbound Needwood to & 11 Page HHHH. You're still -- I think you want to go dow \\
\hline 12 get onto westbound Needwood. Leff-hand turns out of the & 12 Down, down, down. \\
\hline 13 proposed Primrose daycare center onto westbound Needwood & 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Here \\
\hline 14 are the most complicated maneuver because it requires a & \(14 \mathrm{go}\). \\
\hline 15 simultaneous gap in both eastbound and westbound traffic. & 15 (Exhibit 227-HHHH introduced.) \\
\hline 16 The Applicant's approach to this analysis is & 16 MS. KOSARY: Okay. As both the ITE's Manual of \\
\hline 17 actually a very static look at what is a very dynamic & 17 Transportation Engineering -- \\
\hline 18 system You have a driver's individual decision-making & 18 MR. CHEN: Excuse me. What exhib \\
\hline 19 process as to whether to attempt a gap and what are very & 19 MS. KOSARY: Oh. This is -- this is OZAH Exhibit \\
\hline 20 congested as well as opposing traffic streams. On top of & 20227 , document HHHH, and I -- I -- I graphed this myself. \\
\hline 21 that, you have vehicles attempting to make left-hand turns & 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 22 from westbound Needwood into the daycare center perturbing & 22 MS. KOSARY: As both the ITE's Manual of \\
\hline 23 traffic flow in the westbound lane, and you have vehicles & 23 Transportation Engineering Studies, second edition, as well \\
\hline 24 attempting to make right-hand turns into or out of the & 24 as the ITE's Traffic Engineering Handbook, seventh edition, \\
\hline 25 daycare center perturbing traffic flow in the eastbound & 25 state, quote, Statistics that describe the shape of the gap \\
\hline 106 & 108 \\
\hline lane of Needwood. & distribution, such as percentiles, are useful. \\
\hline 2 This last-minute, tacked-on analysis does not & But gap distribution is quite telling and \\
\hline 3 demonstrate whether drivers will be able to exit the & 3 demonstrates that three quarters of all the observed gaps \\
\hline 4 proposed Primrose daycare center easily and safely, & 4 are unusable in the a.m. They are under the critical gap \\
\hline 5 especially if they want to make a left-hand turn onto & 5 time of 7.1 seconds. And remember that a critical gap \\
\hline 6 westbound Needwood Road, which I should add is the way you & 6 measures the point at which a driver is equally as likely \\
\hline 7 would exit if you wanted to go to the Metro, I-270, or the & 7 to accept or reject a gap. \\
\hline 8 general Rockville area. & HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now can you give \\
\hline 9 I cannot help thinking to myself that you really & 9 me that percentage again? \\
\hline 10 need to run a microsimulation if you want to evaluate & 10 MS. KOSARY: It's -- it's approximately three \\
\hline 11 vehicle movements. & 11 quarters, 75 percent. \\
\hline 12 And it appears that a reviewer at the Maryland & 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Of? \\
\hline 13 State Highway Administration had the same opinion about a & 13 MS. KOSARY: Of all the observed gaps are unusable. \\
\hline 14 similar analysis the traffic group did for the Dowden's & 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 15 Station Preliminary Plan, which can be found in OZAH & 15 MS. KOSARY: It's actually those -- it's actually \\
\hline 16 Exhibit 227, document GGGG. & 16 those that are -- that are highlighted in red. \\
\hline 17 (Exhibit 227-GGGG introduced.) & 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 18 MS. KOSARY: The State's reviewer's comments relate & 18 MR. CHEN: On Exhibit -- \\
\hline 19 to the situation of a driver turning leff from the major & 19 MS. KOSARY: On Exhibit -- OZAH Exhibit 22 \\
\hline 20 road to the facility in question; however, the comments are & 20 docum \\
\hline 21 also highly relevant to the scenario we're discussing. & 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I didn't \\
\hline 22 The reviewer noted of the static analysis presented & 22 -- I see what you're saying. \\
\hline 23 that it was, quote, Impractical that such a large volume of & 23 MS. KOSARY: Yeah, yeah. \\
\hline 24 vehicles could be accommodated. They found it unlikely & 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Because the gap \\
\hline 25 that vehicles desiring to turn, quote, Would always be & \(25-\) - I think he did say this -- the gap would be 7.7 minutes \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 109 & 111 \\
\hline 1 -- or seconds, seconds. & 1 over \$ 100,000. And by Mr. McPherson's estimates, Primrose \\
\hline 2 MS. KOSARY: Attorney Kline has his hand up. & 2 would bring the value of our home below what we paid for \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Kline. & 3 our land and construction almost a quarter of a century \\
\hline 4 Mr. KLINE: Excuse me. No. I -- all I meant to & 4 ago. \\
\hline 5 ask was the preparation, and Dr. Kosary did that at the & 5 In Mr. McPherson's testimony, he described the \\
\hline 6 beginning. I'm sorry if my hand was still up. & 6 issues he had with even locating comparable sites for his \\
\hline 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh. & 7 analysis. \\
\hline 8 MS. KOSARY: This is just another demonstration of & \(8 \quad\) I believe that the fact that approval of this \\
\hline 9 how congested a.m. rush hour is on this stretch of Needwood & 9 conditional use would trap our home between two uses, which \\
\hline 10 Road. It's also just another confirmation as to why so & 10 have both been demonstrated to have a significant negative \\
\hline 11 many testified about having such difficulty (inaudible) & 11 impact on our home value, is an unusual characteristic of \\
\hline 12 connecting roads onto -- or connecting roads onto westbound & 12 the site and rises to a non-inherent adverse effect. \\
\hline 13 Needwood during the morning rush hour. & 13 For most of us, the equity in our homes is the \\
\hline 14 MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner. & 14 largest wealth -- wealth asset we have. For some, it may \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. & 15 represent an asset we can tap to send a child to college, \\
\hline 16 MS. KOSARY: I'm done with traffic. & 16 as Olga Sabran testified to on day two. Our equity might \\
\hline 17 MR. CHEN: This may be a good break point for & 17 be used to help keep a parent in a well-staffed and highly \\
\hline 18 lunch. & 18 rated nursing home. We may depend on it to ensure that in \\
\hline 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. Any & 19 our old age, we can afford assisted living and not become \\
\hline 20 objections, Mr. Kline? & 20 dependent on the State or on our families, or it might be \\
\hline 21 MR. KLINE: No. I think it's a good idea and good & 21 passed on to our descendants or our favorite charities. \\
\hline 22 -- good idea and good timing. & 22 Approval of this application, while creating wealth \\
\hline 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. So we will & 23 for the franchiser Primrose Schools and the franchisee the \\
\hline 24 reconvene at 1:30, and don't forget to mute your microphone & 24 Mandavas and their silent partner Durga Kodali, will cause \\
\hline 25 or your camera during this time because the recording will & 25 undue harm to my family and my neighbors through the \\
\hline 110 & 112 \\
\hline 1 pick it up, whatever is said. Okay? & 1 destruction of our wealth in terms of our home value \\
\hline \(2 \quad\) Okay. With that, we're adjourned. & 2 losses. \\
\hline 3 (Pause in proceedings.) & 3 And this is for findings for approval or a denial \\
\hline 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: We're back on the & 4 of a conditional use under the Montgomery County Zoning \\
\hline 5 record. I believe Dr. Kosary was continuing her direct & 5 Ordinance. It is supposed to ensure that the neighborhood \\
\hline 6 testimony. Dr. Kosary, is there an exhibit -- since we're & 6 is not made to sacrifice economically so that a conditional \\
\hline 7 leaving traffic, is there an exhibit that you would like to & 7 use applicant can profit. \\
\hline 8 have pulled up? & 8 As I mentioned previously, this application is \\
\hline 9 MS. KOSARY: Not yet, but thanks. & 9 within the 2004 Upper Rock Creek Master Plan. As detailed \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. All right. & 10 in the history section of this plan, the first master plan \\
\hline 11 MS. KOSARY: Okay. Are you ready? & 11 for this area was approved in 1968 with subsequent plans \\
\hline 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. You're & 12 approved in 1985 and 2004. All these master plans have the \\
\hline 13 still under oath. & 13 same goals of protecting stream quality and maintaining the \\
\hline 14 MS. KOSARY: I understand that, for the record & 14 low-density residential wedge character of the master plan \\
\hline 15 Okay. You've heard the testimony of our appraiser, & 15 area. \\
\hline 16 Mr. McPherson. & 16 The homes on the south side of Needwood Road \\
\hline 17 I have no doubt whatsoever that approval in & 17 between Redland and Deer Lake Road have been an enclave of \\
\hline 18 construction of the proposed Primrose daycare center would & 18 large lot residences since Derwood Heights was subdivided \\
\hline 19 have a large, significant impact on the current value of my & 19 in 1946 through plat 1713, which is OZAH Exhibit 115, \\
\hline 20 home as well as the homes of my neighbors who also confront & 20 document W as in woman. \\
\hline 21 or abut this site. & 21 The home at the corner of Needwood and Redland, \\
\hline 22 For Paul and I, this would be a double whammy, as & 2216235 Redland, is three acres, not including the \\
\hline 23 Paul has already testified, that the construction of the & 23 dedications to Redland and Needwood which would be required \\
\hline 24 church has negatively impacted our home value. Between the & 24 if it were further subdivided, probably into no more than \\
\hline 25 church and Primrose, our loss would be in the six figures, & 25 two lots due to the geometry of this lot. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 117 & 119 \\
\hline 1 water. & 1 price for 7430, and this is the type of response you get: \\
\hline 2 This enclave, along with the remainder of the homes & 2 a commercial, or in this case industrial use, rather than \\
\hline 3 south of Needwood, are unique in that it is the only & 3 residential. \\
\hline 4 location where RE-1 zoned residences exist within walking & 4 There's a lot of documentation on the DAIC site at \\
\hline 5 distance to a subway station in Montgomery County. The & 5 planning on this application, including the sales contract \\
\hline 6 Shady Grove metro station is also unique among all metro & 6 dated October 26th, 2006, which has been entered as OZAH \\
\hline 7 stations in Montgomery County because it is the only & 7 Exhibit 227, document JJ \\
\hline 8 station that drains to a State of Maryland Department of & 8 (Exhibit 227-JJJJ introduced.) \\
\hline 9 the Environment use class four watershed, Crabbs Branch & 9 MS. KOSARY: Most of this document is heavily \\
\hline 10 Stream & 10 redacted; however, you can make out that after the down \\
\hline 11 As indicated in OZAH Exhibits 204 and 205, this & 11 payment, Mr. Lasko and Ms. Crone were personally going to \\
\hline 12 area is not within a Montgomery County priority funding & 12 hold a 30-year mortgage of at least \$800,000 -- much, much \\
\hline 13 area & 13 higher than the approximately 324,000 this property was \\
\hline 14 Further, in the response to the Montgomery -- to & 14 assessed for as an RE-1 zoned residential property in the \\
\hline 15 the Maryland Department of Planning 'Plan Maryland & 152006 Montgomery County property tax records, as can be seen \\
\hline 16 Initiative," portions of which can be found in OZAH Exhibit & 16 in Exhibit 227, document JJJJ.2. \\
\hline 17 227, document III -- that's three I's -- this area was & 17 And, yes, as Mr. Lasko alluded to, there was large \\
\hline 18 placed in the large lot developed category. This area is & 18 community opposition to Lancaster Landscaping, and I guess \\
\hline 19 not intended for large development or redevelopment. & 19 Paul and I were the adjoining neighbors whose campaign, in \\
\hline 20 So I was surprised that Mr. Lasko and Ms. Crone & 20 Mr . Lasko's opinion, adversely affected public opinion. It \\
\hline 21 showed up to testify. The Applicant is still insistent on & 21 was an outrageous application for a large industrial \\
\hline 22 making an argument that 7430 Needwood cannot be developed & 22 operation, as -- \\
\hline 23 residentially, which really makes no sense and is actually & 23 MR. KLINE: Objection. I move to strike that. The \\
\hline 24 irrelevant because it has nothing to do with the necessary & 24 terms of absurd and ridiculous have been used too many \\
\hline 25 findings for approval or denial for a conditional use. & 25 times. I don't mind her reading from the document and \\
\hline 118 & 120 \\
\hline 1 Ms. Crone states on page 113 of the day five & 1 describing what's in the document, but the \\
\hline 2 transcript, beginning on line 16, that you could divide & 2 characterizations are inappropriate and should not be \\
\hline 37430 residentially with a pipestem off Needwood Road, but & 3 allowed. \\
\hline 4 then you are left with only two buildable residential lots, & 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Any response, Mr. \\
\hline 5 both of approximately 1.4 acres, comparable to all the & 5 Chen? \\
\hline 6 other neighboring residential lots. & 6 MR. CHEN: I appreciate the objection. \\
\hline 7 And the market had demonstrated what someone would & 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I'm going \\
\hline 8 pay for a buildable residential lot in our neighborhood. & 8 to sustain it. \\
\hline 9 We paid \$135,000 for 1.38 acres in 1994. This was \$2,000 & 9 Ms. -- Ms. -- Dr. Kosary, please refrain from \\
\hline 10 over what this piece of property had sold for in 1989 and & 10 characterizing or pejorative adjectives. \\
\hline \(11 \$ 4,000\) less than the asking price. The church paid & 11 MS. KOSARY: Okay. \\
\hline 12 \$325,000 for their 2.44 acres in 2002. Had this lot & 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right? Go \\
\hline 13 developed residentially, it could've been subdivided into & 13 ahead. \\
\hline 14 two lots due to the RE-1 zoning. & 14 MS. KOSARY: Okay. Lancaster Landscaping's letter \\
\hline 15 This just didn't seem to be good enough for Mr. & 15 withdrawing their application is also up on the DAIC site \\
\hline 16 Lasko and Ms. Crone. As Mr. Lasko discussed on page 107 of & 16 and is OZAH -- OZAH Exhibit 227, document KKKK. \\
\hline 17 the day five transcript, he and his sister investigated & 17 (Exhibit 227-KKKK introduced.) \\
\hline 18 whether the zoning could be changed to allow townhouses in & 18 MS. KOSARY: Although the Applicant does mention \\
\hline 19 the middle of an area of RE-1 zoned lots under a master & 19 community opposition, they also, however, allude to their \\
\hline 20 plan where this zoning was part of a long-standing planning & 20 meeting with technical staff, many of whom had evaluated \\
\hline 21 vision for this part of the Upper Rock Creek watershed. & 21 the Taiwan Cultural Center a year previously and found that \\
\hline 22 I can just say, "wow." & 22 it would only be compatible with our neighborhood if it \\
\hline 23 Paul has testified already about Lancaster & 23 were modest, something Lancaster could not claim to be or \\
\hline 24 Landscaping, which was S-2718. & 24 could not achieve even if they decreased their plan by \\
\hline 25 Mr. Lasko and Ms. Crone were asking an outrageous & 25 half. It's apparent from this letter that this meeting did \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 125 & 7 \\
\hline 1 MS. KOSARY: They told them that they didn't have & 1 MS. KOSARY: No, no. \\
\hline 2 to do it because you couldn't remove the impediment. & 2 MR. KLINE: -- is a -- okay. Then correct me \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And this was in & 3 please. \\
\hline 4 the 1987 subdivision of the southern -- & 4 MS. KOSARY: OZAH Exhibit 227, document LLLL, is a \\
\hline 5 MS. KOSARY: Right, of the southern. & 5 letter Paul and I sent when S-2718 was actively being \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Southern & 6 reviewed, reporting what we knew about the blind \\
\hline 7 subdivision & 7 intersection at Carnegie Avenue. It was sent to the OZAH \\
\hline 8 MS. KOSARY: Which Ms. Crone testified to a & 8 office because the hearing examiner was obviously -- this \\
\hline 9 happening in 1983, '84. & 9 was pre-2014, pre-Zoning Ordinance 2014. The Board of \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, right. All & 10 Appeals had authority over approval. The OZAH office, \\
\hline 11 right. K & 11 however, did the review and recommendation. The letter \\
\hline 12 MS. KOSARY: Okay. There's & 12 went to OZAH. When everything was closed down, it came \\
\hline 13 MR. KLINE: May I ask a singular question please? & 13 back to BOA, and it's somewhere in their files. \\
\hline 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. Yes. & 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. But I \\
\hline 15 Mr. KLINE: Exhibit LLLL is a letter from the & 15 guess -- okay. \\
\hline 16 speaker to your office & 16 Mr. Kline, did that answer your question? \\
\hline 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait. Is this & 17 MR. KLINE: Not quite because in the middle -- the \\
\hline 18 227-LLLL? & 18 middle of paragraph one says, We have now learned that at \\
\hline 19 MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am. It was just referenced by & 19 the time the subdivision development -- I'm sorry, \\
\hline 20 Dr. Kosary. & 20 developed connecting Carnegie to Needwood Road was \\
\hline 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Four Ls? & 21 prohibited because this was a blind intersection. \\
\hline 22 Mr. KLINE: Yes, ma'am & 22 And I was wondering -- you -- you've been very good \\
\hline 23 (Sotto voce speaking.) & 23 about everything else. Do you have the paperwork that \\
\hline 24 MS. KOSARY: Yep, I know what that is. And your & 24 supports that statement? \\
\hline 25 question? & 25 MS. KOSARY: No. As I stated, we did a bit of \\
\hline 126 & 128 \\
\hline 1 MR. KLINE: May I ask the question, Madam Examiner? & 1 investigation, basically questioning neighbors who lived in \\
\hline 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Let me get -- & 2 the area while the South Riding subdivision was being \\
\hline 3 just a second. Let me get -- give me a minute to get to & 3 developed about what happened with Carnegie, why wasn't it \\
\hline 4 the document, so I know what everyone's talking about & 4 connected. \\
\hline 5 Okay? I -- I'm on JJJJ. & 5 I mean, we have -- we have people who, like, have \\
\hline \(6 \quad\) Okay. I have the document. You should be seeing & 6 lived in this neighborhood for decades who actually knew \\
\hline 7 -- well, here. You should be seeing the document. & 7 Roger Spencer and Robert Morris, who had had numerous \\
\hline 8 MR. KLINE: Yes. & 8 conversations with them while this was happening, and that \\
\hline 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It's 227-LLLL; & 9 was what was being, you know, reported back to us when we \\
\hline 10 correct? & 10 did -- when we did our -- you know, when we did -- did our \\
\hline 11 MS. KOSARY: Correct. & 11 -- you know, going around, asking the neighborhood, in \\
\hline 12 MR. KLINE: Four -- four Ls, yeah. & 12 terms of what's happening with this road, why wasn't it \\
\hline 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead. & 13 connected. \\
\hline 14 MR. KLINE: Yeah. Dr. Kosary, thank you for & 14 MR. KLINE: So you had not heard that from Mr. \\
\hline 15 letting me interrupt. I just wanted to get a & 15 Spencer nor have you seen any paperwork that supports that \\
\hline 16 clarification. & 16 statement? \\
\hline 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Kline, & 17 MS. KOSARY: As far as I know, these two men might \\
\hline 18 something's wrong with your mic. It's got feedback or & 18 be dead. \\
\hline 19 something. & 19 MR. KLINE: I repeat my question. Other than \\
\hline 20 MR. KLINE: Okay. I'll try not to shift any papers & 20 anecdotal information from residents who bought in this \\
\hline 21 at the same time I speak & 21 subdivision, you don't have any other demonstration of that \\
\hline 22 Dr. Kosary, what I thought I heard you saying & 22 fact; correct? \\
\hline 23 before was that you had seen a letter from the County to & 23 MR. CHEN: Objection to the reference of anecdotal. \\
\hline 24 Mr . Spencer telling him that he didn't have to build a & 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I -- it's \\
\hline 25 road, but Exhibit LLLL -- & 25 sustained. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 129 & 131 \\
\hline 1 Other -- other -- do you want to rephrase the & 1 is that your voice is coming through with this \\
\hline 2 question, Mr. Kline, without the term anecdotal? & 2 reverberation, which makes it extremely difficult to \\
\hline 3 MR. KLINE: Sure. And I don't want to belabor & 3 understand what you're saying. And if that helps you, I \\
\hline 4 this. I just wondered if there was anything in writing & 4 hope it does. \\
\hline 5 that supported that statement. & 5 MR. KLINE: Thank you. Because I'm not hearing \\
\hline 6 MS. KOSARY: No. & 6 that on my end, and it is true I do lean over a little bit, \\
\hline 7 MR. KLINE: Thank you. & 7 and we had two speakers, or I guess intakes, on the desk, \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. & 8 and I'll just try and -- is this a little be \\
\hline 9 MR. KLINE: Sorry to interrupt. & 9 MR. CHEN: No. \\
\hline 10 MS. KOSARY: So where did we leave off? & 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No. \\
\hline 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I think we were & 11 MR. KLINE: I'm -- I'm afraid I don't have any tech \\
\hline 12 leaving off with you testifying that you understood that & 12 people in the building today to help me, so I'll just stop \\
\hline 13 they were not required to improve Carnegie & 13 asking questions. \\
\hline 14 MS. KOSARY: Right. & 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, no, no. \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you want a & 15 Don't do that. We'll move on. And if you can figure it \\
\hline 16 minute to find your place again? & 16 out, that's great. \\
\hline 17 MS. KOSARY: (Inaudible.) & 17 All right, Ms. Kosary. \\
\hline 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I have to say, & 18 MS. KOSARY: All right. And I'm going to move on. \\
\hline 19 I'm -- I was curious when I found out why MCDOT continues & 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 20 to insist on a full right-of-way when -- when the - & 20 MS. KOSARY: And I'm going to say that you've \\
\hline 21 according to the Applicant, why MCDOT continues to insist & 21 already heard from my husband Paul Posey about how we \\
\hline 22 on dedication of Carnegie when they know they can't use it, & 22 bought our property as a vacant lot in 1994. When we \\
\hline 23 but I'm throwing that out there. You don't have to answer & 23 bought in 1994, there was actually no sewer main on the \\
\hline 24 right now. & 24 portion of Needwood from Redland to Deer Lake Road. Excuse \\
\hline 25 Mr. Kline, do you want to -- is your hand raised? & 25 me. All the homes were on septic. Some were also still on \\
\hline 130 & 32 \\
\hline 1 MR. KLINE: It is raised, but I got my question & 1 well, even though the water main was installed in 1967 \\
\hline 2 answered, so I'll remove it. & 2 Our sales contract included a contingency which \\
\hline 3 And I understand your question, and I'll make sure & 3 stated that we would not go through with the purchase if \\
\hline 4 that we address it when we get a chance to speak with them. & 4 WSSC could not confirm that they could extend the sewer \\
\hline 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. While & 5 main, which at that time ended at the Needwood-Deer Lake \\
\hline 6 you're still on the line, for some reason when you speak, & 6 intersection further west to our location. \\
\hline 7 there's a feedback. It's not papers. There's some othe & \(7 \quad\) We asked WSSC to do what is called a preliminary \\
\hline 8 type of feedback. And you may want to turn off your phone & 8 feasibility review. This review provides information on \\
\hline 9 or any other device you have near you because sometimes & 9 the most feasible location for the placement of water and \\
\hline 10 that does that. & 10 sewer lines from a benefit assessment, preliminary \\
\hline 11 MR. KLINE: Thank you. Unfortunately, I guess you & 11 hydraulic analysis, and a construction estimate. After \\
\hline 12 don't know my habit; my phone is never on. So -- & 12 looking at the proposed extension, the design division at \\
\hline 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh. & 13 WSSC informed us that it would be possible to extend the \\
\hline 14 MR. KLINE: -- it's not the phone, but I think it & 14 sewer main. \\
\hline 15 it is me probably just shuffling things, and I'll try and & 15 We bought our property in September of 1994 and \\
\hline 16 be more prudent about it. & 16 made a formal application for extension of the sewer main. \\
\hline 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Go ahead, & 17 Almost simultaneously, there was -- there was a request \\
\hline 18 Ms. Kosary. & 18 from the owner of 7505 Needwood, the current home of Enitza \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: If -- if I may, Madam Examiner. If I -- & 19 Rodriguez, to extend the main east along Needwood from the \\
\hline 20 Mr . Kline, it sounds like your voice -- you're pretty hard & 20 Redland-Needwood intersection. \\
\hline 21 to understand anything you're saying, and it sounds like & 21 WSSC actually combined these two requests, and they \\
\hline 22 your voice is reverberating, and maybe you're speaking too & 22 did them at the same time, and they extended the sewer main \\
\hline 23 close to the mic or something like that. & 23 along Needwood eastbound from the Redland-Needwood \\
\hline 24 MS. KOSARY: Or too far away from the mic & 24 intersection and westbound from the Needwood-Derwood -- \\
\hline 25 MR. CHEN: You know, what's happening, Mr. Kline, & 25 from the Needwood-Deer Lake intersection in 1996. These \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 133 & 135 \\
\hline 1 extensions, however, do not connect. & 1 for over 20 years and will not pay off its front foot \\
\hline 2 (Exhibit 227-NNNN introduced.) & 2 benefit charges until 2041, as you can clearly see here. \\
\hline 3 MS. KOSARY: When finished, all properties along & 3 It's highlighted in yellow. \\
\hline 4 Needwood Road between Redland and Deer Lake abutted the & 4 Three of the four non-abutting properties -- 7501, \\
\hline 5 WSSC sewer main, which WSSC defines in their Developmental & 5 7425, and 7430-- are categorized by Montgomery County as \\
\hline 6 -- excuse me -- in their Dev & 6 septic tier two, sewer category three. Although 7420 \\
\hline 7 is OZAH Exhibit 227, document NNNN, as a property having a & 7 Needwood, which is the home right in front of us not on the \\
\hline 8 sewer main which either extends to a property's boundary or & 8 pipestem, is actually categorized as septic tier one, sewer \\
\hline 9 lies within a prope & 9 category one. They are not considered as abutting under \\
\hline 107430,7425 , and 7420 Needwood. These are pretty much the & 10 WSSC 's definition because the sewer main ends within the \\
\hline 11 properties in the middle of the street & 11 property boundary of our property, 7416 Needwood. It \\
\hline 12 are on the ridge. & 12 actually ends within our pipestem but does not extend to \\
\hline 13 I really have no idea why WSSC did not provide for & 13 our western property boundary with 742 \\
\hline 14 a sewer connection to & 14 And if I can please get the next page, which is -- \\
\hline 15 this w & 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Just give me a \\
\hline 16 financed, as this work is always financed, through WSSC & 16 minute. Okay \\
\hline 17 water and sewer bonds with the prop & 17 MS. KOSARY: Which is -- okay. \\
\hline 18 the impr & 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: For the record, \\
\hline 19 benef & 19 I'm just taking notes \\
\hline 20 connects & 20 MS. KOSARY: Okay \\
\hline 21 Can I please get OZAH Exhibit 227, document OOOO & 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Now we're \\
\hline 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: For the & 22 moving to 227-OOOO. 2 \\
\hline 23 am scr & 23 MS. KOSARY: Okay \\
\hline 24 last docu & 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you describe \\
\hline 25 MS. KOSARY: Oh, you might be on the next -- in the & 25 what this is? \\
\hline 134 & 136 \\
\hline 1 next group & 1 MS. KOSARY: This is the WSSC information -- \\
\hline 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. & 2 property information for 7420 Needwood in terms of their \\
\hline 3 MS. KOSARY: The next group & 3 front foot benefit charges. As you can see, 7420 Needwood \\
\hline 4 (Exhibit 227-OOOO introduced.) & 4 has not been assessed a front foot benefit charge for the \\
\hline 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. This is & 51996 sewer main extension. 7420 Needwood is currently on \\
\hline 6 four -- 2 & 6 septic but connected to WSSC water. As you can see, \\
\hline 7 MS. KOSARY: Righ & 7 they've got information on the front foot benefit charge \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Can you & 8 for water, they've got information on the house connection \\
\hline 9 tell us what this is? & 9 for water, but nothing -- nothing exists for -- for sewer \\
\hline 10 MR. CHEN: Can you put it up on the screen? & 10 on this. \\
\hline 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, I thought it & 11 And if you want to go to OZAH -- the next page, \\
\hline 12 -- sorry. & 12 which is OZAH -- OZAH Exhibit 227, document OOOO.3, and \\
\hline 13 MS. KOSARY: This is actually from the WSS & 13 this is the -- this is the same thing: the WSSC property \\
\hline 14 MR. CHEN: Excuse me. Can you identify the exhibit & 14 information for 7419 Needwood Road, which is -- \\
\hline 15 please? & 15 MR. CHEN: That's the English property? \\
\hline 16 MS. KOSARY: This is OZAH Exhibit 227, documen & 16 MS. KOSARY: That -- which is the English property. \\
\hline 17 OOOO.1. & 17 And I want you to note the difference between 7420 that you \\
\hline 18 MR. CHE & 18 looked at before and 7419. 7419 actually abuts the main \\
\hline 19 M & 19 but shows a suspended sewer front foot benefit charge \\
\hline 20 information off the WSSC website where you can go and look & 20 because this property is currently on septic; it's also \\
\hline 21 -- look at front foot benefit charges and actuall & 21 currently on well. \\
\hline 22 connectio & 22 And if you want to go to the next page, which \\
\hline 23 any -- & 23 OZAH Exhibit 227, document zero, zero -- OOOO.4. \\
\hline 24 information on. & 24 This is actually same thing. WSSC property \\
\hline 257500 Needwood, Ms. Mitchell's home, was suspended & 25 information for our property at 7416 Needwood, which shows \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 137 & 13 \\
\hline ```
obviously that we've got a sewer connection. We've -- you
know, we've got front foot benefit. We've got, you know,
water and sewer. We're obviously connected to the sewer
and the water.
    Can I please have the next page, which is OZAH
Exhibit OOOO.5.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And describe what
this is.
    MS. KOSARY: Okay. This is OZAH Exhibit 227,
document OOOO.5. This is -- this is looking at the front
of our pipestem from Needwood Road. It's actually -- it's
actually taken through Google Maps street view. It's
looking -- you've got the church at 7410 , you've got our
pipestem, you've got 7420 Needwood. Church is to the --
church is to the left, we are in the center, 7420 Needwood
is to the -- is to the right.
    MR. CHEN: So let me interrupt you just for a
moment. You say this photo is from Google?
    MS. KOSARY: Google street views.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. Nonetheless, is this an accurate
depiction of --
    MS. KOSARY: This is very much an accurate
depiction, looks just like it.
    MR. CHEN: Okay.
    MS. KOSARY: Okay. So I just -- I'm putting this
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
Needwood Estates subdivision east of Deer Lake Road and north of Redland Road, which was developed actually in the late 1960s, sewer, as I said, did not exist until we requested it almost 30 years later. So I have no idea whether the sewer main and our water -- our water lateral are at similar depths since water and sewer infrastructure to this portion of Needwood Road was -- was not designed together -- I mean, 30 years between when one went in and the other went in. \\
I just want to point out that it's an open question \\
whether the sewer main was built no further west down Needwood because it would've prevented us from connecting with the water main. This is actually one of the things which a WSSC preliminary feasibility review would tell you; however, the Applicant appears to have never asked WSSC for this review. As I mentioned previously, we made a favorable outcome from this report a contingency when we purchased our property. \\
So I'm a bit surprised that in the technical staff report on page 29, it states that, quote, The subject property, a platted lot, is served by public water and sewer service, unquote. \\
A lot with a sewer category three is not served by public sewer service, rather it's in an area where improvements to or construction of a new community system
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
here because I just want to say that I have no idea why the sewer main was built to this point and was not brought to our boundary line with 7420 Needwood, which is indicated by the dashed yellow lines. \\
The sewer main ends literally feet away, as can be seen marked in -- marked in red. I've put a red box around the end of the main. \\
The red line indicates where the main is running westbound from Deer Lake Road. \\
The dashed red line indicates pretty much where our sewer service lateral to our property line at 7416 is running. You can't see it because we painted it brown, but it's actually running to a -- a wash-out -- you know, clean-out -- a clean-out point at that point when you hit the property line. \\
What I really have to question, however, and it's just a question, is whether the location of the main was driven by the location of our water service lateral, which I've marked in green. You can see where it comes into our water vault. That's marked by the arrow. \\
The dashed green line shows pretty much where our water service lateral is running. \\
And I question this because while the water main \\
was brought through this section of Needwood Road from \\
Redland to Deer Lake in 1967 to provide water for the
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
will be given immediately -- immediate priority and can probably be connected in about two years. And a lot categorized septic tier two, as this lot is categorized, can subdivide and develop on septic. \\
As I've said before, this is a low-density residential neighborhood, served by an 8 -inch sewer main, the smallest WSSC will install. The proposed development has an exceptionally large number of toilets and sinks, far more than any home in the area and far more than the 10 church, toilets, and sinks which I can assure you with 195 children and at least two shifts of 25 to 32 staff members is going to see a much greater daily use than any home in the area or the church. \\
And this is a proposed development which will not 5 be required to go through a preliminary plan where under 16 regulation an applicant must submit verification from WSSC 7 that they have completed a hydraulic planning analysis 8 where WSSC basically comes and evaluates the impact of the 9 proposed development on existing water and sewer systems 0 and determines needed infrastructures and facilities to serve the proposed use. \\
\(22 \quad\) So I'm surprised, given the sewer category, the
23 size of the development and the fact that no preliminary \\
24 plan will be required, that technical staff did not ask to \\
25 see a report or at the very least some documentation from
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

```

been identified, which bears the notation that it's PPPP. }
really should be PPPP.2. Is that correct?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Correct.
MR. CHEN: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And I will change
that. I don't think we went into that level of detail on
the exhibit list, but I -- if we did, I -- I will change it
on -- change it on the exhibit and on the exhibit list.
MR. CHEN: Thank you. Go ahead.
MS. KOSARY: Okay. If WSSC had been reviewing
these plans, believe me they would've also looked at the
over }120\mathrm{ feet of proposed 4-inch sewer lateral connection
that is, like, in the middle of the road.
MR. CHEN: Is that depicted?
MS. KOSARY: That's depicted.
MR. CHEN: Where?
MS. KOSARY: Exactly where the Hearing Examiner is
running her mouse.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, can you
describe -- is it marked by the --
MS. KOSARY: It's marked --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- the line with
the Xs or --
MS. KOSARY: It's -- right. It's marked by the
lines with the limits of disturbance around it and above --

```
the line about at the level of almost at Dr. English's
driveway across the street. It says, Proposed 4 -inch
sanitary, san.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
    MS. KOSARY: That's a proposed 4-inch sewer lateral
connection running down the middle of Needwood Road in
front of 7420 Needwood. WSSC would've looked at this and
told the Applicant that they cannot locate their sewer
lateral in the middle of the street because, basically,
WSSC is responsible for the sewer lateral connection from
the sewer main to the point where it hits your property
line, so they obviously want to minimize the length of this
part of any service -- any sewer service connection.
    Can I please have OZAH Exhibit 227, document NNNN,
so --
    (Sotto voce speaking.)
    MS. KOSARY: Back up. I think you're going to need
the document before this one. I -- it would be --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That was four Ns;
correct?
    MS. KOSARY: Four Ns. I think it would -- it's
going to be the last -- oh, you're -- you're -- go up.
You're right there. Keep going.
    MS. KOSARY: Okay. NNNN. This is OZAH document
227, document NNNN. It is the Washington Suburban Sanitary

Commission 2018 Development Services Code. WSSC would've
referred the Applicant to Section 1102 on page 90, which is the third page of the document on your screen. Okay.

Section 1102.1.1 lays out the requirements and procedures for non-abutting service connections, which 7430 is non-abutting. Under 1102.1.1.a, 7430 Needwood Road would be required to do a sewer main extension from its current terminal point within my -- within my property
boundary to at least their property line because more than
10 two non-abutting properties are left to be served: 7420,
17430 , and 7425 , which is directly across Needwood from 7430.

So there's actually another potential way for both
7420 and 7430 to connect to the sewer main at its current location.

Under Section 1102.2.c, which is on the next page
MR. CHEN: What exhibit number?
MS. KOSARY: We're still -- we're still at OZAH
Exhibit 227 --
MR. CHEN: And the --
MS. KOSARY: -- document NNNN.
MR. CHEN: And what page of that is it?
MS. KOSARY: Page 91.
MR. CHEN: Thank you.

MS. KOSARY: We're on page 91.
MR. CHEN: Thank you.
MS. KOSARY: 7420 Needwood could connect with the
existing main within our property boundary because the
length of the main extension necessary to reach the
property boundary is well under 25 feet. As indicated
previously, they only need feet to do it.
Further, the referenced figure in Section 1102.2.c, which is figure 13 located on page \(105-105\). We're still getting there. Okay.

This indicates that under --
MR. CHEN: What is on the screen?
MS. KOSARY: We're -- this is -- this is figure
11.3 out of the WSSC --

MR. CHEN: 11.3 or 11.13?
MS. KOSARY: 11.13. Excuse me. FromOZAH Exhibit 227, document NNNN. It's out of the WSSC Development
Services handbook or codebook or whatever.
And it indicates that under this scenario, 7430
Needwood could possibly connect to the existing sewer main
1 at its current location; however, they would require a
private easement from 7420 Needwood.
As I said, WSSC does not want to be responsible for 4 these long lateral connections.
25 So I'm extremely surprised that the Applicant is
actually not showing an extension of the sewer main because obviously they -- they -- they would not want to show that without an extension of the main they would need a private easement from 7420. Since the Applicant is not even asking -- has not even asked WSSC to conduct a preliminary feasibility review -- or if they have, they haven't -- they haven't testified about it -- I don't even know if this sewer main could be extended further west.

Now I'm sure that Mr. -- Mr. Intriago would just state that what is presented in these plans is going to be subject to change when the actual construction plans are developed.

This hearing, however, is the end point for approval of this application since it will not be going to preliminary plan stage. And I just want to say it's just not -- it's -- it's -- it's not a lot of legwork to talk to WSSC. It's not a lot of legwork to find this -- this document that -- you know, the Development Services Code document. It's on the website. I found it.

And it's not exceedingly difficult to find a
property's sewer and septic categories. For example, you
can find it through the Zoning Explorer application on the Planning Department's website.

Despite this, when testifying on adequate public facilities during day three -- day three testimony, Mr.

Intriago, the Applicant's engineer, seemed to believe that
7430 Needwood is sewer category one, as seen on page 69,
line 1 , of day three. And perhaps this explains the issues
with this sewer connection lateral.
Can I please have OZAH Exhibit 227, document QQQQ.1.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is this the orientation you want?

MS. KOSARY: That is. Thank you so much.
(Exhibit 227-QQQQ introduced.)
MS. KOSARY: This is not the Applicant's only sewer-related issue.

MR. CHEN: Just for the record --
MS. KOSARY: This -- okay. This --
MR. CHEN: -- clarify the exhibit --
MS. KOSARY: -- is -- this is -- this is --
MR. CHEN: -- what's on the screen.
MS. KOSARY: -- this is OZAH Exhibit 227, document QQQQ.1.

MR. CHEN: Thank you.
MS. KOSARY: If you -- if you roll it up a little
bit, it actually has a title. It's just a cropped and
23 rotated portion of OZAH Exhibit 199-G, on -- you're
24 actually in the South Riding subdivision. At this moment,
25 you are -- you are south of the -- of the site.

MR. CHEN: That's what's being depicted on the --
MS. KOSARY: That's what's being depicted on this exhibit, and you're looking at the storm drain extension, and this is actually a new part of the storm drain extension through this -- through this development.

Note that there is a sewer manhole with a red box.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Is it -- is it
within this red box?
MS. KOSARY: Right. It's within this red box, that
the red box is around it, and there are two sewer -- there
are two 6 -inch sewer lateral connections showing -- shown.
They're -- they're -- they're described as INVIN, both
6-inch lat -- you can see that; right? Everybody can see that?

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. Mr. Kline, can you see it?

MR. KLINE: Well, I see the box. I can't read it, but I -- again, I trust everyone's reading.

MS. KOSARY: Okay. There are two 6-inch sewer
lateral connections shown. One serves the home at 16105
Carnegie Avenue. That is up at the top of the screen to
the right. The other, with the blue oval around it --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Um-hmm.
MS. KOSARY: -- it is depicted as a sewer lateral
to nowhere. It literally is shown ending in the middle of

Carnegie Avenue. It is likely, however, that this is the
sewer connection lateral to 7401 Deer Point Court, as I've
depicted by the blue line.
And back to the red box, just note that both
laterals are at a depth of 456.69 feet and that the
proposed storm drain extension in this location is between
manholes A2 and A3, as indicated on the pink arrows.
MR. CHEN: What does that mean?
MS. KOSARY: That the sewer drain extension is
coming down here between A 2 and A 3 .
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, A3 to the north, south to A2; correct?

MS. KOSARY: Correct.
MR. CHEN: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And then what was
your depth on the manhole -- or the --
MS. KOSARY: 456.69.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And that's a depth underground?

MS. KOSARY: That's the depth underground. Right.
MR. CHEN: How far underground?
MS. KOSARY: Oh. That's -- that's the depth, I
mean. It's not going down 456.69 feet. I mean that is the
depth of, you know, above sea level.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I see.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 157 & 159 \\
\hline 1 indicating that this -- this lateral is probably existing & 1 the vicinity of the Tapscott home. So it's going to be \\
\hline 2 in this area. & 2 closer to the manhole A4 side than to the A5 side. \\
\hline 3 Have we -- are we -- are we done with this part? & 3 MR. CHEN: What is the implication of that? \\
\hline MR. CHEN: If you are. & 4 MS. KOSARY: So it's really a legitimate question \\
\hline 5 MS. KOSARY: Okay. Can I get OZAH Exhibit 227 -- & 5 to ask. Remember that the stub is going to be -- is \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Give me one & 6 currently at 456.69 -- an elevation of 456.69 feet, so it's \\
\hline 7 moment. & 7 just a legitimate question to ask whether this proposed \\
\hline 8 MS. KOSARY: Okay. & 8 storm drain extension would impact the Tapscott's ability \\
\hline 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. I'm & 9 to connect to sewer at this location. \\
\hline 10 sorry. 227 -- & 10 MR. CHEN: Has there been any explanation about \\
\hline 11 MS. KOSARY: QQQQ. 1 again. It's the one that we & 11 that? \\
\hline 12 were on before. & 12 MS. KOSARY: No, there has not. And I want to \\
\hline 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. & 13 point out that it's -- if this application is approved and \\
\hline 14 MS. KOSARY: Okay. QQQQ.1. We're on OZAH Exhibit & 14 built and sometime later the Tapscott family's septic \\
\hline 15 227, document QQQQ, three -- four Qs, QQQQ.1. & 15 fails, they could have issues. \\
\hline 16 Another issue is the 8-inch sewer main stub & 16 MR. CHEN: Because of the development (inaudible) \\
\hline 17 identified by the green arrow. And it's -- it's -- it's & 17 -- \\
\hline 18 discussed in the -- in the box, the red box, also. & 18 MS. KOSARY: Because of the development. Because \\
\hline 19 When the sewer was designed for what became the & 19 -- because the -- the -- the new storm drain -- storm drain \\
\hline 20 South Riding neighborhood, it's obvious that care was taken & 20 piping is going to be running through at a elevation \\
\hline 21 to ensure that the sewer main could eventually be extended & 21 similar to the elevation that their sewer lateral is going \\
\hline 22 just a little further north to the Tapscott property. & 22 to have to -- have to come in at. \\
\hline 23 MR. CHEN: Why do you say that? & 23 MR. CHEN: You mean the storm drain piping from the \\
\hline 24 MS. KOSARY: What? Because they did an 8-inch & 24 proposed conditional use? \\
\hline 25 stub. They did a stub. They didn't just end at a manhole. & 25 MS. KOSARY: From the proposed conditional use. \\
\hline 158 & 160 \\
\hline 1 They -- they included a little bit of a stub so that & 1 That's correct. \\
\hline 2 sometime in the future they -- they could extend the sewer & 2 Can I please have OZAH Exhibit 227, document \\
\hline 3 a little further north to the Tapscott property which is & 3 QQQQ.2. Okay. \\
\hline 4 currently still to this day on septic. The potential & 4 Just want to point out that there's -- there's also \\
\hline 5 extension is illustrated by the green line. & 5 a sewer -- \\
\hline 6 This stub is also at a depth of -- at an elevation & 6 MR. CHEN: What exhibit are we on? \\
\hline 7 of 456.69 feet. & 7 MS. KOSARY: This is -- this is also a crop of OZAH \\
\hline 8 And can I please have OZAH Exhibit 196-G again. & 8 -- of OZAH Exhibit 196-F, which is in this case OZAH \\
\hline 9 Okay. & 9 Exhibit 227, document QQQQ.2, and this is just showing that \\
\hline 10 The portion of the proposed storm drain -- & 10 there is actually a sewer main down Deer Point Court that \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: You're looking at 196 -- & 11 crosses the proposed Primrose storm drain connection, and \\
\hline 12 MS. KOSARY: Oh. You're looking at -- we're now & 12 this time it's between manholes A1 and A2. \\
\hline 13 looking at OZAH Exhibit 196-G. & 13 So if we want to go back to -- \\
\hline 14 Now the portion of the proposed storm drain & 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm sorry. What \\
\hline 15 extension, which would correspond to where a sewer lateral & 15 is this showing? It shows points where they cross -- where \\
\hline 16 connection to the Tapscott property -- & 16 what crosses? \\
\hline 17 MR. CHEN: The green line. & 17 MS. KOSARY: The red lines are just -- are just \\
\hline 18 MS. KOSARY: -- the green line -- would have to & 18 pointing out that this is a sewer main running -- running \\
\hline 19 cross is either going to between manholes A3 to A4, which I & 19 from the top of the page where you have the first -- the \\
\hline 20 want you to note is running at an elevation of 456 to 458 & 20 first red arrow pointing down to that little teeny tiny dot \\
\hline 21 feet, or manholes A4 to A5. They could probably take a & 21 -- \\
\hline 22 lateral through any one of these locations, which is at a & 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. \\
\hline 23 depth of 457 -- & 23 MS. KOSARY: -- under what is EX7, manhole EX7 -- \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: Elevation? & 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Um-hmm. \\
\hline 25 MS. KOSARY: -- an elevation of 457 to 459 feet in & 25 MS. KOSARY: -- and that it's running the length -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 161 & 16 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
it's actually even running -- it's running further down than my arrows even indicate. It's running -- it's actually even running through that -- that -- that -- that little circle. \\
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I see that. Okay. \\
MS. KOSARY: And if I can get OZAH Exhibit 196-G again. And we're talking about manholes A1 to A2. I just want to point out that the sewer main is missing off from 0 OZAH Exhibit 196-G between this point, which is unusual because you've got some other things indicated. You've got, like, where the water -- where the water is running and things like that. They're just missing where the sewer main is running. And it's just going to make it impossible to evaluate the depth of the sewer main in comparison to the depth of the proposed storm drain extension. \\
This is all -- this is all important stuff. \\
The proposed storm drain extension requires that it \\
be built at specific depths and grades. If it is to \\
seamlessly interface with the outfall culvert, if you had \\
to change the depth or grade of one section to avoid previously existing infrastructure, you're talking about potentially having to either redesign the entire storm \\
24 drain network or pieces of the existing infrastructure. \\
25 These potential sewer issues are just another reason why --
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
they -- what they've submitted. \\
MR. CHEN: And is it correct that there has been no explanation as to how these issues that you've identified will be resolved? \\
MS. KOSARY: There have been no -- \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
MS. KOSARY: -- no things. \\
MR. CHEN: Is that the end of this area that you \\
wanted -- \\
MS. KOSARY: Yes. \\
MR. CHEN: -- to go through this? Okay. \\
MS. KOSARY: Yes, there is. \\
MR. CHEN: Move on. \\
MS. KOSARY: I'm moving on. Want to point out \\
5 that, as Paul previously stated, we bought our property for \\
6 the trees and sited our home to avoid having to remove any \\
7 big trees. Since moving into our home in 1997, we've \\
18 actually planted over 50 additional trees. I mean and \\
9 these have included all sorts of different types of trees: \\
deciduous trees, evergreen trees, canopy trees, and \\
understory trees. We -- you know, we've -- we've got \\
what's considered a forest, part of a forest in back of us. \\
We're just planting more trees, and our current \\
24 project is we're actually trying to restore the understory \\
25 in the portion of our property that's actually classified
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 162 & 164 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Are they sewer \\
issues or storm drain issues or both? \\
MS. KOSARY: They're -- they're -- they're both. I \\
mean, it's the chicken or the egg type of thing. \\
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Would this storm \\
drain, do you recall if it's -- no -- is the sewer gravity? \\
MS. KOSARY: The sewer's gravity, but it's -- it's \\
-- it's 8-inch -- it's gravity. You don't -- you know, you \\
don't need to do much of a -- you don't -- you know, you \\
have no idea how -- how quick it's falling, how fast it's \\
falling. It is gravity, yeah. \\
But this is just -- these potential issues, it's \\
just another reason why, as I stated previously, you \\
generally do this type of large infrastructure project at \\
the start of a development, you know, of a subdivision when \\
you can design sewers and water, storm drains and other \\
utilities all together and install them all together. \\
This is just really just piecemeal work. \\
MR. CHEN: Yeah. Have you identified all of them? \\
MS. KOSARY: I've identified all of them. \\
MR. CHEN: And I take it your testimony and the \\
information you are supplying to the Hearing Examiner is \\
based upon the information supplied by the Applicant; is \\
that correct? \\
MS. KOSARY: That's correct. I just looked at what
\end{tabular} & ```
as being part of a forest.
    Can I please have OZAH Exhibit 115, document N and
O.
    And this is a -- and this is --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Give me just one
second. Let me pull O up. Where is O? Well, let's --
    MS. KOSARY: Let's start with N.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. Okay. Can
you see it?
    MS. KOSARY: I can see it. Okay. This is OZAH
Exhibit 115 , that is actually document N that you've got on
the screen, and it's just a cropped down version of OZAH
Exhibit 12.
    I just want to say that I cannot emphasize strongly
enough the devastating impact approval of this application
would have on the tree cover, and I should note that I'm
talking from the perspective of compatibility with the
surrounding neighborhood and the undue harm to our
property.
    Now I prepared these Photoshop images of the before
and after impact. You've got document N on the screen,
OZAH Exhibit 115, document N , which is the before exhibit
-- the before, and if you go to document --
    MR. CHEN: When you say before, what do you mean by
that?
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 165 & 167 \\
\hline 1 MS. KOSARY: I probably just misspoke. Oh, oh. & 1 MS. KOSARY: It's the Applicant's CUP-2. \\
\hline 2 MR. CHEN: No. & 2 MR. CHEN: So you've taken it from information -- \\
\hline 3 MS. KOSARY: Before -- before the lot was cleared. & 3 MS. KOSARY: I've taken it from \\
\hline 4 Before the lot is cleared. It's -- it's the -- & 4 MR. CHEN: -- supplied from the Applicant? \\
\hline 5 MR. CHEN: So it's -- & 5 MS. KOSARY: Right. I've taken -- \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: You mean existing & 6 MR. CHEN: Please. You've taken it from \\
\hline 7 -- existing & 7 information supplied by the Applicant? \\
\hline 8 MS. KOSARY: It's the existing -- & 8 MS. KOSARY: Yes, I have. And I've -- \\
\hline 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- conditions. & 9 MR. CHEN: And you've just identified the exhibit \\
\hline 10 Is that what you mean? & 10 that you utilized? \\
\hline 11 MS. KOSARY: -- conditions. Right. That's what I & 11 MS. KOSARY: I did. \\
\hline 12 mean. & 12 MR. CHEN: All right. \\
\hline 13 Mr. CHEN: Is this -- I -- I -- when you said you & 13 MS. KOSARY: And I want to point out that it's -- \\
\hline 14 Photoshopped this, nonetheless, is this -- & 14 it's actually fairly easy to do because it corresponds to \\
\hline 15 MS. KOSARY: I did not Photoshop document N. & 15 the southwest corner of our home there at the bottom. \\
\hline 16 MR. CHEN: Okay. So it accurately depicts -- & 16 MR. CHEN: Where the retaining coverage or trees -- \\
\hline 17 MS. KOSARY: It accurately & 17 MS. KOSARY: Yeah. \\
\hline 18 MR. CHEN: -- what it purports to show? & 18 MR. CHEN: -- or the forest. \\
\hline 19 MS. KOSARY: -- depicts what it -- what it purports & 19 MS. KOSARY: If you -- right. Everything's removed \\
\hline 20 to show. & 20 up until -- up to that -- that southwest corner. \\
\hline 21 MR. CHEN: Thank you. Do you want to go to another & 21 MR. CHEN: From Needwood south down to that area; \\
\hline 22 exhibit? & 22 is that correct? \\
\hline 23 MS. KOSARY: Yeah, she's looking for O & 23 MS. KOSARY: Right. That's correct. \\
\hline 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: This is what I & 24 MR. CHEN: Okay. And you're basing what is \\
\hline 25 have as O. Can you see the -- oh. I'm getting there. I'm & 25 depicted here on the information supplied by the Applicant \\
\hline 166 & 168 \\
\hline 1 getting there. Okay. & 1 through the exhibit that you've just identified? \\
\hline 2 Can you see that? & 2 MS. KOSARY: Yes, I -- yes, I did. \\
\hline 3 MS. KOSARY: Yes. This is -- & 3 MR. CHEN: Thank you. Go -- you can proceed. \\
\hline 4 MR. CHEN: What -- excuse me. & 4 MS. KOSARY: Okay. The best description, however, \\
\hline 5 MS. KOSARY: This is -- & 5 can be found in Katherine Nelson's report in Appendix B of \\
\hline 6 MR. CHEN: What exhibit number is it? & 6 the attachment section of the technical staff report, which \\
\hline 7 MS. KOSARY: This is OZAH Exhibit 115 -- & 7 is OZAH Exhibit 106-A. \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: O? & 8 MR. CHEN: Who is Ms. Nelson? \\
\hline 9 MS. KOSARY: -- document O. It is the Photoshop & 9 MS. KOSARY: Ms. Nelson is an MNCPPC staff member \\
\hline 10 that I prepared showing the after impact of the tree & 10 and the Area 3 expert on matters related to Chapter 22 \\
\hline 11 removal. & 11 entries. \\
\hline 12 MR. CHEN: Yeah. & 12 On page 4 of her report, Ms. Nelson states that, \\
\hline 13 MS. KOSARY: This is everything that's going to be & 13 quote, Of the seven on-site trees, five, including three \\
\hline 14 removed. & 14 along the eastern property boundary, will be removed, \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: And what is the source of your & 15 substantially altering the nature of the area. The \\
\hline 16 information -- & 16 arrangement of these and other smaller trees along the \\
\hline 17 MS. KOSARY: The source, again -- & 17 property boundary provide them with additional value. \\
\hline 18 MR. CHEN: Excuse me. Let me finish. What is the & 18 Grouped nearly continuously along the eastern and southern \\
\hline 19 source of the information that you have about removal that & 19 property boundaries are numerous large, medium, and small \\
\hline 20 caused you to prepare this document? & 20 trees. In addition, there is a large group of trees \\
\hline 21 MS. KOSARY: That caused me to prepare this? & 21 located along the western property boundary. All of the \\
\hline 22 MR. CHEN: Yeah. & 22 boundary trees in the front three quarters of the site are \\
\hline 23 MS. KOSARY: Basically, it's probably OZAH Exhibit & 23 proposed for removal, unquote. \\
\hline 24 199-B. & 24 And I just want to point out that -- I'm not going \\
\hline 25 MR. CHEN: What is that? & 25 to say that. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 169 & 171 \\
\hline 1 As can be -- it's just another exhibit. There's & 1 MS. KOSARY: This is an Applicant's exhibit. We've \\
\hline 2 also some Google 3D views presented in documents Q. 1 & 2 got a limit of disturbance right up to our western property \\
\hline 3 through Q. 4 of Exhibit 115 that just provide a visual -- a & 3 lin \\
\hline 4 visual representation of what Ms. Nelson is talking about. & 4 I know the impact this can have because we \\
\hline 5 MR. CHEN: Who prepared those documents? & 5 experienced the same thing on our east side when the church \\
\hline 6 MS. KOSARY: I did. They're just -- they're just & 6 started building in the fall of 2010. We suffered damage \\
\hline 7 Google -- they're just, you know, from Google. They're & 7 on our east side because the church's limit of disturbance \\
\hline 8 just north, south, east, and west views of what -- what & 8 went to our property line, so I know what we would be \\
\hline 9 would - & 9 facing on the other side. \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you see them? & 10 In the case of the church's construction, we lost a \\
\hline 11 I'm showing them on your screen. & 11 champion tree, a 28.5 inch red pine, so this was also \\
\hline 12 MS. KOSARY: I -- I -- we do. We see them. Yes. & 12 nearly a specimen-level tree, which for a while had been \\
\hline 13 MR. CHEN: Yeah. Again, these are Google. Do & 13 state champion and was county champion at the time of its \\
\hline 14 these views accurately depict that which they purport to & 14 death. We lost this tree due to a bad root pruning job by \\
\hline 15 show? & 15 a so-called arborist, done without our knowledge or \\
\hline 16 MS. KOSARY: Yes, they certainly do. Okay & 16 permissio \\
\hline 17 As you can see -- & 17 MR. CHEN: On the church property? \\
\hline 18 Mr. CHEN: Yeah. By the way - & 18 MS. KOSARY: No. It was on our property, Bill. \\
\hline 19 MS. KOSARY: What? & 19 MR. CHEN: They came onto your property? \\
\hline 20 MR. CHEN: -- these views, they're from the & 20 MS. KOSARY: Oh. Yeah, they came onto our \\
\hline 21 northeast. & 21 property. \\
\hline 22 MS. KOSARY: One is marked -- they're each. One is & 22 MR. CHEN: With your permission? \\
\hline 23 marked facing the -- well, four views: north, south, east, & 23 MS. KOSARY: No. Without our permission. \\
\hline 24 and west. & 24 And we suffered crown dieback, which is a dying \\
\hline 25 MR. CHEN: Okay, okay. Thank you. & 25 back of branches and branch tips in the upper and outer \\
\hline 170 & 172 \\
\hline 1 MS. KOSARY: As been -- as can be seen in OZAH & 1 portions of the tree crown caused by stressed, to an \\
\hline 2 Exhibit 199-B, if you want to bring that up -- & 2 additional four large canopy trees which were close to the \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. It should & 3 construction limits of the disturbance, including one very \\
\hline 4 be on your screen. & 4 large specimen-level red maple. \\
\hline 5 MR. CHEN: No. & 5 MR. CHEN: What, if any, connection does this -- \\
\hline 6 MS. KOSARY: I'm sure it's coming & 6 this damage that you've described relate to the activity on \\
\hline 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It's -- it's when & 7 the church property? \\
\hline 8 I scroll back and forth, and it -- never mind. Okay. Go & 8 MS. KOSARY: The thing with construction damage to \\
\hline 9 ahead. Now it should be on your screen. & 9 trees is that most people do not realize that the impacted \\
\hline 10 MS. KOSARY: Okay. As -- & 10 tree does not die immediately but instead dies slowly, over \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: What are we looking -- what is th & 11 a number of years. By the time the tree has died or \\
\hline 12 exhibit? & 12 suffered crown dieback, many people do not even know enough \\
\hline 13 MS. KOSARY: We're looking at OZAH Exhibit 199-B. & 13 to associate the tree damage to the construction. \\
\hline 14 As you can -- & 14 We have a large specimen-level tree, SP-10, close \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: What is it -- what is it depicting or & 15 enough to the limits of disturbance on the proposed \\
\hline 16 showing? & 16 Primrose site that Mr. Jolley acknowledged it's going to \\
\hline 17 MS. KOSARY: It's the current -- it's CUP-1. It's & 17 have an impact on its critical root zone. The Applicant \\
\hline 18 the current conditions on the site as depicted, but we're & 18 would not have even acknowledged this tree's existence if \\
\hline 19 looking at OZAH Exhibit 199-B just to show that the & 19 we had not pointed out its omission. \\
\hline 20 Primrose site plan shows a limit of disturbance right up to & 20 Now there are arboricultural industry standards -- \\
\hline 21 our western property line. & 21 industry rules of thumb on construction damage to trees. \\
\hline 22 MR. CHEN: Who's prepared this exhibit? & 22 However, the probability of construction impact on a given \\
\hline 23 MS. KOSARY: The Applicant has prepared it. & 23 tree depends on the species of the tree. \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: Okay. So this is an Applicant's & 24 (Exhibit 227-WWW introduced.) \\
\hline 25 exhibit? & 25 MS. KOSARY: This tree, SB 10, is a silver maple, a \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 173 & 175 \\
\hline 1 species highly susceptible to construction damage, as & 1 I believe the SP trees are specimen-level trees and the ST \\
\hline 2 indicated by the report from Dr. Kim Coder from the & 2 trees are significant trees. They are -- they are below \\
\hline 3 University of Georgia Extension Service found in OZAH & 3 the level of being a specimen-level tree. I believe the \\
\hline 4 Exhibit 227, document WWW, which finds that silver maples & 4 cutoff for a significant tree is a diameter of, I want to \\
\hline 5 have -- have poor tolerance and will have difficulty & 5 say 21 or 22 inches. Specimen trees, I think are above 30 \\
\hline 6 reacting well to construction damage & 6 -- 30-inch-diameter trees. \\
\hline \(7 \quad\) I would not be surprised if this tree will also & 7 MR. CHEN: Okay. \\
\hline 8 suffer crown dieback because I have -- I have observed this & 8 MS. KOSARY: Can I please have OZAH Exhibit 227, \\
\hline 9 in other silver maples which were impacted by & 9 document RRRR. It sounds like talk like a pirate. That's \\
\hline 10 construction. & 10 four Rs. \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: On the other side & 11 (Exhibit 227-RRRR introduced.) \\
\hline 12 MS. KOSARY: On the other side of our property. & 12 MS. KOSARY: Okay. And I just -- stay on RRRR.1. \\
\hline 13 MR. CHEN: Towards the eastern side? & 13 Besides SP-10, we have several smaller trees that \\
\hline 14 MS. KOSARY: Towards the eastern side. And, note, & 14 are literally -- \\
\hline 15 before the Applicant proposes a condition binding the & 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Tell us what \\
\hline 16 Applicant to somehow taking care of my tree, I do not find & 16 we're looking at. \\
\hline 17 it acceptable that if this application is approved the & 17 MS. KOSARY: Okay \\
\hline 18 Applicant would be permitted to damage my property in their & 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Describe what \\
\hline 19 pursuit of profit. & 19 we're looking at \\
\hline 20 And, as Mr. Jolley states on page 85 of day two, & 20 MS. KOSARY: Looking at -- this is RRRR.1. Can I \\
\hline 21 beginning on line 19 , The landscaping strip will need to be & 21 have the -- you've got a label on it. These are just \\
\hline 22 tilled to prepare it for the new landscaping & 22 series of shots that we shot at the property line. \\
\hline 23 This will require more extensive preparations than & 23 MR. CHEN: Do you know when? \\
\hline 24 Mr. Jolley alluded. The area's currently thick with & 24 MS. KOSARY: No, but I can easily find that out. \\
\hline 25 existing trees, which will not only have to be removed but & 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: This is for your \\
\hline 174 & 176 \\
\hline 1 also their stumps as well as any existing large roots. & 1 property. Which -- the eastern or the western property \\
\hline 2 This extensive preparation will cause considerable damage & 2 line? \\
\hline 3 to the critical root zones of all the trees and vegetation & 3 MS. KOSARY: It depends upon which -- which \\
\hline 4 close to the limits of disturbance on our property & 4 direction we're facing. \\
\hline 5 Can I please have OZAH Exhibit 24 & 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Well -- \\
\hline 6 MR. CHEN: Before you leave, the exhibit on the & 6 MS. KOSARY: No, it's the western -- it's our \\
\hline 7 screen right now -- & 7 western property line. Correct. It's our property line. \\
\hline 8 MS. KOSARY: Oh. & 8 It's our western property line with 7430. \\
\hline 9 MR. CHEN: -- what exhibit number is that again? I & 9 MR. KLINE: I -- I assume the cone is on the \\
\hline 10 have forgotten. & 10 property line. \\
\hline 11 MS. KOSARY: That is OZAH Exhibit one hundred and & 11 MS. KOSARY: The cone is on the property line. And \\
\hline 12 -- & 12 depending where we're facing, I've always labeled where \\
\hline 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: 99-B, 199-B. & 137516 is and where \(74-\) where 7416 is and where 7430 is. \\
\hline 14 MS. KOSARY: 199-B. & 14 So you'll see on this it's -- it's facing north at the \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: Oh, thank you. There are a couple -- I & 15 boundary of 7416 and 7430 Needwood, approximately at the \\
\hline 16 see five Xs. Do you know what they are? & 16 corner of 7416,7420 , and 7430 . \\
\hline 17 MS. KOSARY: Yeah. Those are trees that are going & 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 18 to be removed. & 18 MS. KOSARY: Okay. \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: All right. Do you know what the -- what & 19 MR. CHEN: Okay. You don't -- \\
\hline 20 the X signifies? Is it -- is it just an existence of a & 20 MS. KOSARY: Okay. \\
\hline 21 tree? Know & 21 MR. CHEN: Okay. On -- do you have any feel for \\
\hline 22 MS. KOSARY: You can -- you can -- you can -- you & 22 when these photos were taken? \\
\hline 23 can tell -- they're marked either & 23 MS. KOSARY: It was before fall, after spring. \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: Okay. & 24 MR. CHEN: What year? \\
\hline 25 MS. KOSARY: -- with a number. An SP tree is a -- & 25 MS. KOSARY: Probably 2019. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 177 & 179 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MR. CHEN: Okay. Do they accurately depict what \\
they purport to show -- all of those series of photos? \\
MS. KOSARY: Yes, they do. \\
MR. CHEN: Okay. Go ahead. Proceed. \\
MS. KOSARY: Okay. You can see examples on the first four pages of this exhibit, OZAH Exhibit 227, documents RRR. 1 to RRR.4, with arrows pointing to some of these trees. These are -- these trees are not even indicated in any tree inventory because they are not big enough to be a significant or a specimen tree. \\
MR. KLINE: Excuse me, Dr. Kosary. Are you saying \\
the arrows are pointed at trees that don't show up on our field surveys? \\
MS. KOSARY: That is correct. Because they are not big enough to be significant or specimen-level trees. \\
MR. KLINE: Thank you. \\
MS. KOSARY: However, they are tall, decades' old canopy trees, and they will have a significant impact far above 30 percent on their critical root zones. Sorry, these trees are goners. They're dead trees walking. \\
Again, I do not find it acceptable that if this \\
application is approved, the Applicant would be permitted to damage my property. There is no condition that the Applicant could propose to mitigate the actual real damage which will be done to our property that is acceptable to my
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
And, again, I don't find it acceptable that if this \\
application is approved the Applicant would be permitted to damage my property. There is no condition that the Applicant could propose to mitigate the actual real damage which will be done to my property that is acceptable to my husband or me. \\
My neighborhood is a neighborhood of large lots. \\
The proposed Primrose site is a lot of almost three acres. Ours is a lot of over one acre. To be sitting here talking 10 about a limit of disturbance at our property line speaks volumes in terms of the intensity of this proposed development and its utter incompatibility with my neighborhood. \\
I think Paul expressed it best during his testimony when he noted that approval of a waiver for a 12-foot substandard setback is a theft of a 22 -foot perimeter on our property as we will need the additional space to increase our buffer. It's not our fault that the Mandavas failed to do their due diligence when they bought this -when they bought this property. \\
According to Mr. Taylor's day one testimony, on 2 page 20, beginning on line \(1, \mathrm{Mr}\). Mandava's case is a bit 23 unique for Primrose development in that Primrose, the 24 franchiser, is typically the purchaser of a property that 25 is then assigned to a franchisee at closing.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 178 & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
husband or me. \\
I next want to call attention to the last two pages \\
of this exhibit on the screen, OZAH Exhibit 227, documents \\
RRR. 5 and RRR. 6. \\
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: This is RRR -- \\
227-RRR.5, I'm showing you on the screen. \\
MS. KOSARY: Right. And you'll notice that 7416, our property, is to the left; 7430 Needwood is to the right. \\
MR. CHEN: To the -- to the right -- \\
MS. KOSARY: To the right, and -- and -- and -- \\
MR. CHEN: -- when you see that, that -- that's the \\
site; right? \\
MS. KOSARY: And that's the site. It is facing \\
south, and the cone is approximately the -- the property boundary. \\
This is just an example of a large tree on our \\
border. There is just no way that I can see to remove this \\
tree as well as others at our property boundary without \\
trespassing on our property as well as significantly \\
damaging our property. This is going to place us in the \\
same position we were in with the church. We're going to \\
be scrambling to mitigate the damages to our property as \\
well as scrambling to get some sort of additional screening \\
up on our side.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
As Mr. Taylor stated on day one, page 19, beginning \\
on line 9 , Mr. Mandava bought the property prior to signing \\
a franchise agreement. \\
According to Mr. Mandava's day four testimony, page \\
262 , starting at line 14 , he thought that a daycare center \\
was a permitted use when he purchased the property. \\
We should not be made to suffer undue harm because \\
the Mandavas made a bad business decision. \\
Okay. Can I have OZAH Exhibit 73. \\
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Hold on \\
one second. Okay. This should be it. \\
MS. KOSARY: That's it. Yes. Okay. \\
I now want to discuss what started as OZAH Exhibit \\
73 and was updated during the day one hearing as OZAH \\
Exhibit 148 with landscaping, basically several additional \\
parking lot trees from OZAH Exhibits 93-I and 103-A, as \\
well as a rearrangement of two stormwater facilities to \\
allow for the inclusion of a pathway from Carnegie Avenue \\
to the building. \\
These two color renderings show the Applicant's \\
vision of what their proposed landscaping will look at -- \\
look like at some hypothetical time in the future, by the \\
tree spread depicted, which is 30 feet for each tree, which \\
I -- I -- you can get from this exhibit, at least 20 years \\
in the future.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 181 & 183 \\
\hline 1 Look, I'm currently 67. I'm probably going to be & 1 actually has a projected 20-year spread of only 15 to 18 \\
\hline 2 dead by then. & 2 feet in this document, half of what is depicted in OZAH \\
\hline 3 Can I please have OZAH Exhibit 227, document SSSS. & 3 Exhibits 73 and 148. The canopy for the red buds was set \\
\hline 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. You should & 4 at approximately 3 feet. \\
\hline 5 be able to see it. & \(5 \quad\) There are also newly planted shrubs depicted; \\
\hline 6 (Exhibit 227-SSSS introduced.) & 6 however, they're so incredibly small compared to the space \\
\hline 7 MS. KOSARY: I can see it & 7 that with the resolution they're nearly invisible. You \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: Identify the document please. & 8 really have to get up close and squint. Even that -- you \\
\hline 9 MS. KOSARY: This is OZAH Exhibit 227, document & 9 know, to -- to see them. \\
\hline 10 SSSS. & 10 These shrubs are also probably, more than likely \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: Can you identify it please? & 11 just going to end up as deer food. We have a large deer \\
\hline 12 MS. KOSARY: What? & 12 presence because we are so close to Crabbs Branch Stream \\
\hline 13 MR. CHEN: What you -- & 13 Valley Park. And I can attest that they love yews, \\
\hline 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Describe what it & 14 rhododendrons, and inkberry holly because I personally have \\
\hline 15 is. & 15 had them devoured when I tried planting them \\
\hline 16 MS. KOSARY: Oh. This is a Photoshop of what began & 16 I particularly like this document from Virginia \\
\hline 17 as OZAH Exhibit 73. This shows a better depiction of what & 17 Tech because, basically, the trees the Applicant is \\
\hline 18 the so-called landscaping will look like shortly afte & 18 proposing, the trees that will be planted along the \\
\hline 19 planting. This is the landscaping that the technical staff & 19 driveway, as well as the parking lot trees are basically \\
\hline 20 report reviews as more than adequate to screen our home, & 20 going to be street trees, what you would typically find in \\
\hline 21 mitigate it -- mitigate any adverse effects, and support a & 21 an urban setting planted along streets and parking lots. \\
\hline 22 waiver of the required 34 -foot setback -- side yard & 22 Now I think we've all seen street trees. They live \\
\hline 23 setback. & 23 a hard life. Their zones are constrained by asphatt. \\
\hline 24 Just have to say that tissue paper would screen and & 24 Street trees are often under watered and, take it from \\
\hline 25 mitigate better than this so-called solution. & 25 someone who has planted many trees, you must keep them well \\
\hline 182 & 184 \\
\hline 1 MR. CHEN: Well, what have you done -- & 1 watered for the first year or so, which is nearly \\
\hline 2 MS. KOSARY: It's a Photoshop -- & 2 impossible to do when trees are along a driveway or in a \\
\hline 3 MR. CHEN: -- to Photoshop? What do you mean by & 3 parking lot. Because of this, street trees have a high \\
\hline 4 that? Have you added the landscaping? Can you point it & 4 mortality rate and generally go through cycles of \\
\hline 5 out to me? & 5 replanting. They never achieve their full growth potential \\
\hline 6 MS. KOSARY: No. I've actually just reduced the & 6 because their root system is constrained, and their \\
\hline 7 size of all the trees. We're going to get into that. & 7 locations place them under increased environmental stress. \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: Okay. & \(8 \quad\) Basically, the Applicant's OZAH Exhibits 73 and 148 \\
\hline 9 MS. KOSARY: The reference for determining tree & 9 are fiction -- colorful fiction, but fiction nonetheless. \\
\hline 10 spread at planting that I used when creating this Photoshop & 10 The Applicant -- \\
\hline 11 was the "Tree Canopy Spread \& Coverage in Urban Landscapes" & 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Can you stop \\
\hline 12 website from Virginia Tech's Urban Forestry Division. This & 12 there one second? \\
\hline 13 table, submitted as document CC in OZAH Exhibit 115, & 13 MS. KOSARY: Sure. \\
\hline 14 represents data collected by Virginia Tech on trees & 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And I'm just \\
\hline 15 observed in Mid-Atlantic urban environments. & 15 going to turn off my -- \\
\hline 16 This website is part of the Urban Forest 2020 & 16 MS. KOSARY: Oh. \\
\hline 17 effort, which was a joint effort of Virginia Tech, the & 17 MR. CHEN: What's happened? \\
\hline 18 University of Maryland, Virginia State University, and the & 18 MS. KOSARY: I don't know. Attorney Kline has \\
\hline 19 University of West Virginia. & 19 raised his hand. \\
\hline 20 When I created this exhibit in Photoshop, the & 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I -- I had a cat \\
\hline 21 canopy trees depicted -- the silver maples, red maples, and & 21 issue. Sorry. We're all good. \\
\hline 22 the willow oaks -- were all set at a spread of & 22 MS. KOSARY: I locked mine -- I locked mine \\
\hline 23 approximately 7 feet. The upper range at time of planting & 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: (Inaudible.) \\
\hline 24 for the red and silver maples, and I must add very generous & 24 MS. KOSARY: -- I locked mine in the bedroom. \\
\hline 25 for the willow oak which is a much narrower tree, which & 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, she was -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
never mind. I won't go --
MR. KLINE: Madam -- Madam Speaker, I -- or, Hearing Examiner, I didn't prompt the cat to do whatever, but could I -- could I just ask for clarification please? HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. MR. KLINE: Dr. Kosary, just tell me if I understand the drawing, SSSS. I thought what this was was basically what the aerial view of the trees would look at the time of planting to show that they would not be as large and as covering as much as they would after 20 years.
Do I understand that what you've actually drawn up here has changed the spacing and maybe even the species in those trees, or are they just the size, what they'll look like when you plant them -- or when they are planted rather?

MS. KOSARY: The spacing has not changed. The species have not changed. Only the size of the trees have changed.

MR. KLINE: Fine. Thank you. I appreciate that.
MR. CHEN: Are these the size trees that are proposed to be installed though?

MS. KOSARY: Yes, they are. They're proposing 2.5 inches at diameter at time of planting, and this is what the database that I was using was saying at the upper limit for this size of tree, what size they would be at planting.

MR. CHEN: So -- so on SSSS, this exhibit on the
screen right now, this is at the time of planting?
MS. KOSARY: This is at the time of planting.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Assuming the conditional use is
approved.
MS. KOSARY: Assuming the conditional use is
approved.
MR. CHEN: Thank you.
MS. KOSARY: The Applicant's proposed trees are
actually going to be dependent on my property in terms of
their need for unconstrained land to extend their root
system. These trees are probably going to be planted
midpoint in the 12 -foot-wide planting strip, so it's going
to be 6 feet from the curb and 6 feet from the --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm sorry. Can
you -- can you stop speaking for a minute? I'm going to go
off the camera. I'm -- I'm not terminating the hearing. I
just need to take care of something.
MR. CHEN: Are we taking a break?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, we can take -- that might be the best thing.

MR. KLINE: Good -- good idea.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: We can take a
ten-minute break and come back at 3:40. All right?
(Discussion off the record.)
(Pause in proceedings.)

\section*{185 \\ 85}

1 2 record
3 I believe it's up to you. The ball is in your
court, Dr. Kosary.
MS. KOSARY: Yes. Okay. I was talking about the
Applicant's proposed trees and how they're going to be
dependent on my property in terms of their need for
unconstrained land to extend their root system. Excuse me.
These trees will probably be planted midpoint in the 12 -
foot-wide planting strip, so 6 feet from the curb and 6
feet from our property line.
Now the rule of thumb on calculating the critical
root zone for a tree is that for every inch of tree
diameter, the critical root zone radius increases by 1.5 feet.

The proposed trees are indicated to be 2.5 inches in diameter at planting. A tree of this size would have a critical root zone with a radius of 3.75 feet. A tree with a 4-inch diameter would have a critical root zone with a radius of 6 feet and would be up against the asphalt limits of the drive aisle. At this point, the only place these
roots can spread is back towards my property. So I'm going
to have issues with anything I plant on our side as
potential screening because of the roots, particularly from
the silver maples which are a very shallow-rooted tree.

You cannot plant anything around a silver maple, including the red buds and the shrubs that are shown on this plan, and expect it to live a long life. You do not deliberately plant a silver maple, especially in a narrow 12 -foot planting strip. They're brittle and weak-wooded, constantly drop limbs, and are highly susceptible to storm damage. As mentioned, the root zone is shallow. And if these trees are planted too close to a sidewalk or a concrete curb, they can cause upheaval. There are actually 10 jurisdictions that prohibit planting silver maples as 11 street trees.
12 Most of these jurisdictions that -- most of these 13 are jurisdictions that planted silver maples because of 14 their fast growth rate in the 1950 s, ' 60 s , and ' 70 s , in an 15 attempt to quickly replace elms lost to Dutch Elm Disease. 16 They soon -- they soon learned their lesson.
17 Montgomery County does not include silver maples on 18 their list of approved street trees.
19 Moving on. I do not have to speculate about how 20 approval of this application would impact my life in terms 21 of the impacts from the parking facility. I'm already
22 living it on the other side of our property, and this is
23 with a fence, with vegetation far more extensive than
24 anything which could ever be provided on the Primrose side,
25 and a parking facility which is 17 feet off our property
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 189 & 191 \\
\hline 1 line, which is what is required for a permitted use. & 1 MS. KOSARY: It's over -- it's -- it's over my view \\
\hline 2 And I cannot emphasize enough that the 12-foot & 2 perspective. I mean, I'm going to get -- I'm going to get \\
\hline 3 setback the Applicant is offering is less than what would & 3 to \\
\hline 4 be required of a parking facility for a permitted use in & 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I'll -- \\
\hline 5 the RE- & \\
\hline 6 It's one thing that I can't work in my yard w & 6 MS. KOSARY: Okay. The real surprising issue about \\
\hline 7 the noise of cars entering and exiting, doors slamming, & 7 the church parking lot, however, is the glare of the parked \\
\hline 8 people talking, and the beep, beep or honk, honk as people & 8 cars on a sunny day. This is very evident from the church \\
\hline 9 lock and unlock their doors. We're talking about hearing & 9 parking lot, even from inside my home. And, like I said, \\
\hline 10 all of this noise despite a fence and landscaping. & 10 I'm not talking about from the second floor because \\
\hline 11 it's just high school physics. Sound travels in waves, not & 11 home is one story. Now I'm going to have the same thing on \\
\hline 12 straight lines. Sound & 12 the other side. The glare will be a problem, not \\
\hline 13 & 13 \\
\hline 14 I also cannot keep the windows open on Sundays & 14 And it's not just going to be the glare of the \\
\hline 15 because of the noise. And, as I mentioned previously, w & 15 parked cars on a sunny day. It's going to be the glare \\
\hline 16 have some rather nice custom windows. It's surprising how & 16 from the parking lot lights and the exterior mounted lights \\
\hline 17 noisy a parking lot can get, and now I'm going to have & 17 which will face our property \\
\hline 18 noisy parking lots seven days a week. I mean, so much & 18 The photometric data results from OZAH Exhibis \\
\hline 19 the use and p & 19 94-A and -B actually do not address issues such as this \\
\hline 20 I can currently see cars traveling up and & 20 Lighting installations are typically tested by measuring \\
\hline 21 Carnegie Avenue. Even with a 6-foot fence at our prop & 21 foot-candles per square meter on the ground. This is \\
\hline 22 line, I would still see cars traveling up and down Carnegie & 22 useful for determining the efficiency and evenness of \\
\hline 23 Avenue. My view perspective from the first floor of my & 23 lighting install \\
\hline 24 home would be & 24 This method, however, does not consider the hut \\
\hline 25 From OZAH Exhibit 199-B -- & 25 biological response to the point source. It's not the \\
\hline 190 & 192 \\
\hline MR. CHEN: By the way, you're a single-story home & 1 light which hits the ground as measured by the photometric \\
\hline 2 -- & 2 estimates; it's the light that hits your eyes. It is the \\
\hline 3 MS. KOSARY: We're a single-story home. I thin & 3 parking lot lights and the exterior lights which hit your \\
\hline 4 we've mentioned & 4 eyes, and these are LED lights which are much more intense \\
\hline 5 MR. CHEN: Yeah, I & 5 light source and -- than the -- than the traditional high- \\
\hline 6 MS. KOSARY: -- on multiple occasions -- multipl & 6 pressure sodium vapor lights which until recently were what \\
\hline 7 & 7 was commonly found in parking lots. \\
\hline 8 From OZAH Exhibit 199-B, you'll notice & 8 And it's going to be just as bad on the other side. \\
\hline 9 SP-9 is located ju & 9 The Mitchell's family home at 7500 Needwood and the \\
\hline 10 slated for removal because of the proposed Primrose drive & 10 Tapscott -- and Mrs. Tapscott's home on Carnegie, they're \\
\hline & 11 going to have the same view of the parking lot lights and \\
\hline 12 In the & 12 will also be looking at exterior wall-mounted lights on the \\
\hline 13 trees, I can see SP-9 from several of my kitchen and all of & 13 building. \\
\hline 14 my dining room windows on the west side of my home. & 14 When asked whether the proposed plantings and fence \\
\hline 15 fact, at & 15 would obscure the view of the parking lot and cars from our \\
\hline 16 and looking out the window. I can see tree SP-9. If I can & 16 home, in his testimony on day four, beginning on line 19 of \\
\hline 17 see tree SP-9, I will also & 17 page 124, Mr. Jolley, the Applicant's expert in landscape \\
\hline 18 Primrose parking lot but also the drive lane leading in & 18 architecture, stated that the intent of his design in terms \\
\hline 19 this parking & 19 of screening of cars, car headlights, and the parking lot \\
\hline \(20 \quad\) Any tree at our property boundary which would have & 20 was to obscure from a ground-level perspective. \\
\hline 21 screened my view is either being removed by the Applica & 21 This is -- this was also stated by Mr. Alt on day \\
\hline 22 or killed on our side due to construction disturbance. & 22 three at the beginning of page 280 and the beginning of \\
\hline 23 The real surprising -- & 23 page 281. \\
\hline 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, what about & 24 The only \\
\hline 25 -- what about the fence? & 25 called landscape buffer would mitigate the glare from car \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 193 & 195 \\
\hline 1 headlights and screen us from the parking lots is if we & 1 Thank you. \\
\hline 2 lived in a tent at ground level. & 2 MS. KOSARY: Okay. Now Montgomery County Planning \\
\hline 3 MR. CHEN: Why is that & 3 has actually been making use of the Model Lighting \\
\hline 4 MS. KOSARY: Our home is one story; however, it's & 4 Ordinance in setting conditions on lighting for site plans \\
\hline 5 not built on a slab at ground level. It's built on a full & 5 and conditional uses since at least 2014. A search for \\
\hline 6 basement. Our first floor is 3 feet above -- off the & 6 their website yields numerous instances where adherence to \\
\hline 7 ground level, which is pretty typical for a home with & 7 Model Lighting Ordinance recommendations for exterior \\
\hline 8 full basement. Our eye-level view from our home & 8 lighting were included as conditions from various site \\
\hline 9 first floor will extend over the height and sightline of & 9 plans and conditional uses. \\
\hline 10 the fence. We will see the parking lot lights, especially & 10 I want to point out, Madam Examiner, that you may \\
\hline 11 in the fall and winter, because the trees being planted are & 11 have last seen the use of the Model Lighting Ordinance as \\
\hline 12 deciduous -- just the time of year when the parking lot & 12 part of a recommended condition on CU 2017-15, which was \\
\hline 13 lights will be on for the maximum amount of tim & 13 Dr. Boyd's Veterinary Resort on Rockville Pike. Yes. \\
\hline 14 We will see and hear the people and the cars coming & 14 Now the Model Lighting Ordinance utilizes five \\
\hline 15 and going throughout the parking lot, the same as we do & 15 lighting zones to classify land use and its appropriate \\
\hline 16 with the church. And the glare from car headlights will & 16 lighting levels. Lighting zones were originally developed \\
\hline 17 shine through our windows. The glare from car headlight & 17 by the International Commission on Illumination and \\
\hline 18 from the church parking lot also shine into our windows. & 18 introduced in the U.S. by the IESNA in 1999. \\
\hline 19 Light travels in a straight line; however, lighting & 19 You can find all of the lighting zone \\
\hline 20 fixtures, such as car headlights, have a dispersion & 20 classifications and descriptions on page 7 of the User's \\
\hline 21 pattern & 21 Guide, which, as I said, was OZAH Exhibit 176, document NN \\
\hline 22 The church's use of the parking lot in the evening & 22 The Model Lighting Ordinance would classify my \\
\hline 23 is rare. Primrose's use will be five days a week, yea & 23 neighborhood as lighting zone one or LZ-1 for short. This \\
\hline 24 round, from the hours of \(6 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}\). until at least 9 p.m., when & 24 zone is the default for large density -- for low-density \\
\hline 25 the cleaning staff leaves, hours during a significant part & 25 residential areas in single- and two-family homes. The \\
\hline 194 & 96 \\
\hline 1 of the year which will either start in darkness, end in & 1 concept for the Model Lighting Ordinance is to set limits \\
\hline 2 darkness, or start and end in darkness & 2 on the total amount of non-residential artificial nighttime \\
\hline Now if you asked me what my neighborhood was like & 3 light allowed for a site within a given lighting zone. \\
\hline 4 at night, I would tell you that it's dark, about what would & 4 The light is measured in lumens, described on page \\
\hline 5 be expected in a low-density residential wedge & 537 of the User's Guide as, quote, The unit of measure used \\
\hline 6 large lots. The amount of lighting being proposed by \(t\) & 6 to quantify the amount of light produced by a lamp or \\
\hline 7 application is not at all compatible with my neighborhoo & 7 emitted by a luminaire, unquote. \\
\hline 8 So, as I previously mentioned, I'm a mathematical & \(8 \quad\) The amount of allowable lumens within a lighting \\
\hline 9 statistician, and I wondered whether I could quantify & 9 zone for non-residential lighting can be calculated using \\
\hline 10 incompatibility. That's what we do; we quantify thing & 10 one of two methods: prescriptive or performance. \\
\hline 11 And I decided to turn to the Model Lighting & 11 The prescriptive method is applied -- is applicable \\
\hline 12 Ordinance. For those who do not know, the Model Lighting & 12 to most sites as stated on page 11 of the User's Guide, \\
\hline 13 Ordinance is a joint effort between the Illuminating & 13 contains precise and easily verifiable requirements and is \\
\hline 14 Engineering Society of North America, or the IESNA, and th & 14 extremely easy to calculate. It does not require th \\
\hline 15 International Dark-Sky Association, or the IDSA. The Model & 15 services of a lighting professional to use this method. \\
\hline 16 Lighting Ordinance and User's Guide are documents NN & 16 Under the prescriptive method, allowable lumens for \\
\hline 17 Exhibit 176. Going forward, I'm going to refer to & 17 a non-residential use can be calculated using either the \\
\hline 18 document as -- as & 18 number of parking spaces, if there are ten or less, or the \\
\hline 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I'm sorry. Which & 19 square feet of hardscape. Since this application is \\
\hline 20 exhibit was this? & 20 calling for more than ten parking spaces, the total allowed \\
\hline 21 MS. KOSARY: It's document NN of Exhibit 176 & 21 lumens per site for non-residential lighting would use the \\
\hline 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Thank you. & 22 hardscape method of -- the hardscape area method. \\
\hline 23 MR. CHEN: Three & 23 As noted on page 11 of the User's Guide, this lumen \\
\hline 24 & 24 allowance provides the basic level of lighting for parking \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I've got it. & 25 lots, doors, and other sensitive areas that is consistent \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 197 & 199 \\
\hline 1 within any given -- a given lighting zone & And can I please have OZAH Exhibit 94-A. \\
\hline \(2 \quad\) These levels are periodically reviewed by the IESNA & 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. You should \\
\hline 3 and the ISD -- the IDSA experts and are evidence based, & 3 be seeing it. \\
\hline 4 utilizing the increasing body of research on the impacts of & 4 MS. KOSARY: Yes, I am. Thank you. \\
\hline 5 outdoor nighttime lighting. & 5 So, on the screen, we're looking at - \\
\hline 6 The base allowance of lumen & 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: If you can red \\
\hline 7 hardscape for non-residential outdoor lighting can be found & 7 it is another matter, but \\
\hline 8 in table B as in boy on page 24 of the User's Guide for & 8 MS. KOSARY: Yeah. \\
\hline 9 each of the five lighting zones defined by Model -- defined & 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- let me see \\
\hline 10 by the Model Lighting Or & 10 I can -- let me try this. Oka \\
\hline 11 Page 35 of & 11 MS. KOSARY: \\
\hline 12 quote, Improvements to the site, including parking lots & 12 MR. CHEN: And, again, what is the exhibit numbe \\
\hline 13 drives, entrances, curbs, ramps, stairs, steps, medians, & 13 on this? \\
\hline 14 walkways, and non-vegetated landscaping that is 10 feet or & 14 MS. KOSARY: This is -- this is -- on the screen, \\
\hline 15 less in width. Materials may include concrete, asphalt, & 15 we're looking at OZAH Ex \\
\hline 16 stone, gravel, etcetera, unquote & 16 MR. CHEN: Photometric plan \\
\hline 17 The area included as hardscape does not include the & 17 MS. KOSARY: It's photometric. \\
\hline 18 building footprint. In this case, the playground would & 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: This is 94-B. \\
\hline 19 also not be included obviously. Because although it is a & 19 MS. KOSARY: Oh, I need 94-A. \\
\hline 20 impervious surface, & 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Hold on. \\
\hline 21 hardscape on page 35 of the User's Guide & 21 Oh, wait. Hold on. I'm sorry. Okay. Now -- I'll be \\
\hline 22 Now the square feet of hardscape for this proposed & 22 right there. I'm not -- okay. I think I have it. \\
\hline 23 use is actually easy to calculate. The project data box on & 23 MS. KOSARY: Okay \\
\hline 24 Exhibit 199-D as in David indicates that the prop & 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: This one. Okay. \\
\hline 25121,798 square feet after subtracting the Needwood and & 25 Yes, this is -- now this is B again. \\
\hline 198 & 200 \\
\hline 1 Carnegie dedications. Document HH of OZAH Exhibit 176 at & 1 MS. KOSARY: That's B again. \\
\hline 2 OZAH Exhibit 198 indicates 47.8 percent impervious. OZAH & 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Hold on \\
\hline 3 Exhibit 199-D indicates that the building footprint is & 3 one second. Okay. Now this \\
\hline 413,000 square feet and the playground is 14,960 squa & 4 MS. KOSARY: (Inaudible.) \\
\hline 5 feet. & 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- should be it. \\
\hline 6 Taken together, the lot area square feet at 121,798 & 6 Whoops. This should be -- this is 94-A. \\
\hline 7 times the percent impervious of 47.8 percent gives 58,220 & 7 MS. KOSARY: Right. \\
\hline 8 square feet of impervious surface. Subtracting the & 8 MR. CHEN: On the screen \\
\hline 9 building footprint of 13,000 square feet and the playground & 9 MS. KOSARY: So what's on the screen now is OZAH \\
\hline 10 footprint of 14,960 square feet gives a total hardscape & 10 Exhibit 94-A. \\
\hline 11 area of approximately 30,260 feet. & 11 So our question is, what is the Primros \\
\hline 12 For the purpose of the analysis that I am doing, & 12 application proposing for lighting on this site? \\
\hline 13 I'mactually going to round this up to 35,000 square feet, & 13 If you go to the table at the bottom of th \\
\hline 14 which, believe me, is -- is generous rounding and to the & 14 exhibit, you will note 15 Cree XSPW series wall pack \\
\hline 15 Applicant's advantage. & 15 luminaires, eight Cree OSQ series area luminaires, and 15 \\
\hline 16 This is basically the square footage & 16 Eaton Streetwork utility lights. \\
\hline 17 parking lot, driveway, walkways, and sidewalks. & 17 MR. CHEN: You following, Madam Examiner? \\
\hline 18 Turning to page \(\mathrm{B}-\) table B , turning to table B on & 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. My cursor \\
\hline 19 page 24 of the User's Guide, we find that for lighting zone & 19 should be on the \\
\hline 20 one, the base allowance is 1.25 lumens per square foot of & 20 MS. KOSARY: Her cursor has be \\
\hline 21 hardscape. This application does not qualify for any of & 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- the schedule \\
\hline 22 the additional allowances specified in this table, so the & 22 of lighting in the lower left of 94-B. \\
\hline 23 total allowed lumens for non-residential outdoor lighting & 23 MS. KOSARY: Yes. The 15 Cree wall pack luminaires \\
\hline 24 for this site at the current hardscape square footage is & 24 are exterior wall-mounted light fixtures which will be \\
\hline 25 43,750 lumens. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Cree area luminaires will light the parking light. The
five Eaton utility lights will be installed on the western boundary of the property inside of the Applicant's property line along Carnegie Avenue.

As indicated on the table on OZAH Exhibit 94-A, the 15 building wall-mounted light fixtures are 2,673 lumens each, bringing their total to 40,095 lumens. The eight parking lot fixtures are 8,950 lumens each, bringing their total to 71,600 lumens.

Can I please get OZAH Exhibit 227, document TTTT.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Three Ts?
MS. KOSARY: Four Ts.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Four Ts.
MS. KOSARY: Four Ts. Q, R, S -- okay.
(Exhibit 227-TTTT introduced.)
MR. CHEN: What's the exhibit on the screen now?
MS. KOSARY: This is OZAH Exhibit 227, document
TTTT, so --
MR. CHEN: Are you sure? I can't --
MS. KOSARY: -- that's four Ts.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MS. KOSARY: Yes, that's correct. The per unit
lumens for the Eaton utility lights is impossible to gauge
from the table presented in OZAH Exhibit 94-A. For this, you need to turn to what should've been OZAH Exhibit 94-D.

I see -- I actually crossed that out at the top.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait. Is this a new exhibit that you're --

MS. KOSARY: Yeah, that --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- introducing?
MS. KOSARY: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Or --
MS. KOSARY: It was --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: (Inaudible.)
MS. KOSARY: -- it was actually -- it was actually
entered into record at the same time --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
MS. KOSARY: -- as OZAH --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Why is -- okay.
Why is 94-D crossed off?
MS. KOSARY: Because when I was labeling these for myself initially many years ago --

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay.

MS. KOSARY: I actually thought it was 94-D because
I had it. It was entered into record at the same time as
OZAH Exhibits 94-A through -C, and it just seems to have
been missed in the exhibit list.
MR. CHEN: So what -- what do we have on the screen?
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MS. KOSARY: We have what I'm now calling Exhibit

227, document TTTT.
MR. CHEN: Is that correct, Madam Examiner?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. And --
okay. So what -- which of the lamps shown on the --
MS. KOSARY: These are the --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- (inaudible).
MS. KOSARY: These are the Eaton utility lights
which are --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes.
MS. KOSARY: -- going to be along Carnegie Avenue.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
MS. KOSARY: Okay.
MR. CHEN: And what is this exhibit?
MS. KOSARY: This is OZAH Exhibit 227 --
MR. CHEN: Other than just --
MS. KOSARY: Oh, oh. This is -- this is just the -- the specification sheet for -- for those lights, for the
Eaton Streetworks -- the Eaton Streetworks lights that are
going to go along Carnegie Avenue.
MR. CHEN: Thank you. Go ahead.
MS. KOSARY: Okay. I want you to note -- that is
indicated back on the table in OZAH Exhibit 94-A.
This light fixture will utilize light engine A6,
will be symmetric, and \(4,000 \mathrm{~K}\).
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If -- I think if you want to pull this exhibit --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait. What are
-- I don't -- I'm -- 4,000K of what? Can you point me to --

MS. KOSARY: Okay. I think -- I think I've got it
-- do I have it highlighted?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I don't -- oh.
MS. KOSARY: Yes, yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: You want this
one. I'm sorry. I missed that.
MS. KOSARY: It's just the second page.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
MS. KOSARY: There are lots of different
configurations, and I'm telling you that the configuration
for this light is -- what did I say? Light engine A6.
It's symmetric, and it's \(4,000 \mathrm{~K}\).
MR. CHEN: So this is --
MS. KOSARY: So --
MR. CHEN: -- this is the light proposed by the Applicant?

MS. KOSARY: This is the light proposed by the Applicant. Each light fixture, therefore, will be 5,951 lumens, bringing their total to 29,755 lumens.

I also just want to make a note that OZAH Exhibit
94-A only shows the location of four of these five
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 205 & 207 \\
\hline 1 streetlights along Carnegie -- & 1 141; correct? \\
\hline 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Um-hmm. & 2 MS. KOSARY: Correct. \\
\hline 3 MS. KOSARY: -- and they seem to have possibly & 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Then you divided \\
\hline 4 misplaced the light, and it looks like it might actually be & 4 that by the hard square feet of hardscape; correct? \\
\hline 5 -- accidentally have been put within the building footprint & 5 MS. KOSARY: (Inaudible.) Right. If you go down \\
\hline 6 in the eas & 6 to where the asterisk \\
\hline 7 they're & 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. \\
\hline 8 moment. & 8 MS. KOSARY: -- in this table, it's (inaudible). \\
\hline 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And -- and are & 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Where is the \\
\hline 10 you talk & 10 asterisk \\
\hline 11 94? & 11 MS. KOSARY: Oh. \\
\hline 12 MS. KOSARY: 94-A. Right & 12 MR. CHEN: Where is the asterisk? \\
\hline 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay & 13 MS. KOSARY: The asterisk is side -- \\
\hline 14 MS. KOSARY: Okay. & 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: (Inaudible.) \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: Is the record clear on this? & 15 MS. KOSARY: -- side allowed total lumen -- side \\
\hline 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. & 16 allowed total initial luminaires, lumens. Site \\
\hline 17 MS. KOSARY: Yeah & 17 description, it's low-density residential. Lighting zone, \\
\hline 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I am. & 18 we're at LZ-1. Hardscape area: 35,000. Allowed lumens \\
\hline 19 MS. KOS & 19 per square foot of hardscape, which was taken from table B \\
\hline 20 Now can I please have OZAH Exhibit 227, documen & 20 of the User's Guide, of 1.25, and the site allowed total \\
\hline 21 UUUU. & 21 initial lumens, which is just lumens times the square feet \\
\hline 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Just one moment. & 22 of -- of hardscape area. \\
\hline 23 Okay. & 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oka \\
\hline 24 (Exhib & 24 MS. KOSARY: So lighting zone one is indicated -- \\
\hline 25 MS. KOSARY: Okay. This is a table that I put & 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: The difference \\
\hline 206 & 208 \\
\hline 1 together. & 1 versus total allowed would be the difference between what \\
\hline 2 MR. CHEN: What is this exhibit number & 2 the user manual states is an acceptable level and th \\
\hline 3 MS. KOSARY: This OZAH Exhibit 227, document UUUU & 3 actual lumens that are going to be on this site. Is that a \\
\hline 4 so it's four U's. & \\
\hline 5 MR. CHEN: Thank & 5 MS. KOSARY: That is -- that is correct. It would \\
\hline 6 MS. KOSARY: It's an exhibit I put togethe & 6 be the -- \\
\hline 7 basically putting in a table everything that for the past & 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: According to your \\
\hline 8 few minutes I talked about verbally. & 8 research? \\
\hline 9 So note that this brings the total lumen & 9 MS. KOSARY: According to my research \\
\hline 10 141,450. & 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. Okay. \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: Could you just explain the methodology & 11 MS. KOSARY: I want to point out in fact that this \\
\hline 12 shown on the table? & 12 comes to 5.46 -- \\
\hline 13 MS. KOSARY: Okay. It's -- it's actually basically & 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What comes -- \\
\hline 14 just a simple Excel spreadsheet. You have the lamp & 14 MS. KOSARY: -- which is -- \\
\hline 15 descriptions that we were talking about, the quantity of & 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- to 5.46? \\
\hline 16 the lamps, and the -- the -- the luminaire lumens, as & 16 MS. KOSARY: The number of lumens per square foot, \\
\hline 17 discussed & 17 which is the line actual lumens per square feet of \\
\hline 18 initial luminaire lu & 18 hardscape, so actually 5.46. It's just that 141,450 \\
\hline 19 And I just want you to note that this is almos & 19 divided by the 35,000. \\
\hline 20100,000 lumens more than indicated for the total allo & 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 21 lumens per site for non-residential outdoor lighting & 21 MS. KOSARY: And I just want to point out that 5.46 \\
\hline 22 lighting zone one under the Model Lighting Ordinance & 22 lumens per square foot of hardscape is actually at the \\
\hline 23 recommendations & 23 level of LZ-3, as indicated on page B -- table B of page \\
\hline 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Just stop & \[
24 \quad 24 .
\] \\
\hline 25 a minute. You got to total initial luminaire lumens of & 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What -- what is \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 209 & 211 \\
\hline 1 it? & 1 along the driveway or the path from Carnegie Avenue to the \\
\hline 2 MS. KOSARY: It's the table B of page 34 -- page & 2 front entrance. The driveway entrance is not lit. \\
\hline 3 24. Table B, page 24 in the User's Guide, which was OZAH & 3 And I'm concerned that there is enough -- not \\
\hline 4 Exhibit 227 -- & 4 enough lights in the parking lot for pedestrians to make \\
\hline 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What -- what zone & 5 their way from several of the parking spaces on the east \\
\hline 6 would that be in? & 6 and the south side around the large stormwater facility in \\
\hline 7 MS. KOSARY: That's LZ-3, lighting zone three. & 7 the middle while trying -- while trying to get to the front \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. And what & 8 door, especially if they're accompanied by small children. \\
\hline 9 is lighting zone three? & 9 Any additional lighting will bring the lumen total \\
\hline 10 MS. KOSARY: Lighting zone three, which yo & 10 for this site even higher. The characteristics that drive \\
\hline 11 go to page 7 of the User's Guide - & 11 good parking lot lighting, good path lighting and \\
\hline 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah. & 12 pedestrian safety, particularly pedestrians with small \\
\hline 13 MS. KOSARY: -- to find lighting zone three, it's & 13 children, for a large 195-child daycare center, it is \\
\hline 14 the default zone for business districts in a large city, & 14 incongruent with compatibility in a single-family zoned \\
\hline 15 including business zone districts and commercial mixed-use & 15 residential neighborhood. This is a commercial-level \\
\hline 16 areas. & 16 establishment with commercial-level lighting requirements. \\
\hline 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. & 17 Finally, I can't leave LED lighting without \\
\hline 18 MS. KOSARY: Okay. And before -- because I know & 18 mentioning the B-U-G, or BUG, rating of the five Eaton \\
\hline 19 I'm going to get asked this -- before anybody asks about & 19 Streetwork lights proposed for the sidewalk along Carnegie \\
\hline 20 how we light the exterior of our home, let me say that the & 20 Avenue and the eight Cree OSQ area luminaires as well as \\
\hline 21 only lights that we have on every evening are the seven & 21 the Kelvins proposed for all the luminaires specified. \\
\hline 22 1-watt LED uplights in our water feature and two strings of & 22 BUG is defined on page 34. \\
\hline 23 Christmas lights on the evergreen next to this feature. & 23 MR. CHEN: What exhibit? \\
\hline 24 These lights are timed to go on around dusk and turn of & 24 MS. KOSARY: Of the User's Guide, which was OZAH \\
\hline 25 around 10 p.m. In total, the seven LED lights produce 420 & 25 Exhibit -- \\
\hline 210 & 212 \\
\hline 1 lumens, which is about equivalent to one 40-watt & 1 MR. CHEN: Yeah. \\
\hline 2 incandescent light bulb. & 2 MS. KOSARY: -- 226 -- 227 -- what was that? \\
\hline 3 Beyond this, we only turn on our exterior lights if & 3 Document NNN? \\
\hline 4 we're expecting visitors or deliveries after dark. So I & 4 As a quote, Luminaire classification system that \\
\hline 5 have to say, if you come over and we're not expecting you, & 5 classifies backlight, uplight, and glare, unquote. \\
\hline 6 I suggest you bring a flashlight. And this is rather & 6 Can I please have OZAH Exhibit 227, document TTTT, \\
\hline 7 typical, pretty much of how my neighbors light -- light & 7 again. \\
\hline 8 their homes at night in our area. It is just really dark & 8 Okay. According to the specification sheet for the \\
\hline 9 here. & 9 Eaton Streetwork lights, it rates at U5 for uplight, which \\
\hline 10 Honestly, the goal of parking lot lighting is & 10 is indicated on page 27 of the User's Guide as measuring \\
\hline 11 safety. Parking lots that are not well lit can be & 11 light emitted above the 90 degree or horizontal plane. \\
\hline 12 dangerous for pedestrians. In fact, I don't think that & 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now where -- \\
\hline 13 there is enough lighting in this parking facility. I live & 13 where are you reading from this -- \\
\hline 14 in a home that has a similar driveway. It is long, and & 14 MS. KOSARY: It's going to be the second page, and \\
\hline 15 after sunset it is extremely dark. And I only have one & 15 it's also highlighted in -- \\
\hline 16 car, not the multiple of cars which will be traveling up & 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, BUG rating. \\
\hline 17 and down this driveway in the dark during many months of & 17 I see. \\
\hline 18 the year. So I strongly suspect that if this application & 18 MS. KOSARY: BUG rating, BUG rating. It's a U5. \\
\hline 19 is approved, after operations begin, the Applicant will be & 19 Basically, this fixture is directing most of its \\
\hline 20 coming back requesting modifications to add lighting along & 20 illumination above the horizontal plane. This results in \\
\hline 21 the driveway because of safety concerns. & 21 what is referred to as sky glow. \\
\hline 22 I also do not see any provisions for path lighting & 22 Table C-2 on page 28 of the User's Guide indicates \\
\hline 23 from the parking lot to the front entrance, which from OZAH & 23 that the maximum allowable uplight in the LZ-1 zone is a \\
\hline 24 Exhibit 94-A appears to be totally in the dark. There's no & 24 U1. Nowhere in this table is a U5 even permissible. \\
\hline 25 path lighting on the sidewalk leading in fromNeedwood Road & 25 Can I please have OZAH Exhibit 94-A. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 213 & 215 \\
\hline 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. You should & 1 MS. KOSARY: (Inaudible.) \\
\hline 2 be able to see it. & 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- it's nothing \\
\hline 3 MS. KOSARY: Yes, we can. & 3 -- I'll -- I'll -- \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Is that -- it's 93. & 4 MS. KOSARY: Yeah. \\
\hline 5 MS. KOSARY: This is -- on the screen, we have OZAH & 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- ask the other \\
\hline 6 Exhibit 94-A. If you look at OZAH Exhibit 94-A, you'll see & 6 parties to this as well just to say which property line are \\
\hline 7 that this luminaire -- these luminaires, all these four, if & 7 we talking about. \\
\hline 8 you can find just one of them, the & 8 So go ahead. I'm sorry I -- I digressed. \\
\hline 9 There's one almost -- you -- you almost were on it, I & 9 MS. KOSARY: Okay. Okay. So I want to go to OZAH \\
\hline 10 believe. Right there. & 10 Exhibit 227, document CCCCC, so that's five Cs. \\
\hline 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And when -- when & 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Five Cs. \\
\hline 12 -- for the record, we're pointing at a point that is in the & 12 MS. KOSARY: Five Cs. \\
\hline 13 same line as the northern edge of the parking area on the & 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: And this is what? \\
\hline 14 perimeter of the property. & 14 This is 94-A, so I have to go to -- I'm sorry. Which \\
\hline 15 MS. KOSARY: Okay. If you look at OZAH Exhibit & 15 number? \\
\hline 16 94-A, you'll observe that these luminaires put out very few & 16 MS. KOSARY: Five Cs. \\
\hline 17 foot-candles even right next to the fixture. & 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: But 227-CCCCC? \\
\hline 18 MR. CHEN: Fiction? & 18 MS. KOSARY: 227-CCCCC. \\
\hline 19 MS. KOSARY: Of the light fixture, the Eaton & 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No. \\
\hline 20 Streetwork light fixture. It's basically wasted light. & 20 MS. KOSARY: I think it's going to be the last. \\
\hline 21 And I can only speculate that this fixture was selected & 21 No, five Cs. \\
\hline 22 because it's the only one which would generate the 0.1 & 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I know. Okay. \\
\hline 23 foot-candles or less at the Mitchell's and Tapscott's & 23 This is -- oh, five Cs. \\
\hline 24 property lines as required by the zoning -- & 24 MS. KOSARY: Five Cs. \\
\hline 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: (Inaudible) -- & 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Sorry. \\
\hline 214 & 216 \\
\hline MS. KOSARY: -- (inaudible). & 1 Well, this is the last one I have. \\
\hline 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- and I'm & 2 MS. KOSARY: Yes. \\
\hline 3 looking at this photometric plan, it says 1.4. & 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So there's four. \\
\hline 4 MS. KOSARY: Yeah, that's not very much. If you go & 4 Now we're in five. \\
\hline 5 and, like, look at -- like at -- & 5 MS. KOSARY: There. Okay. \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, I know. But & 6 (Exhibit 227-CCCCC introduced.) \\
\hline 7 it -- I thought the standard was 0.1 at the -- & 7 MS. KOSARY: This is OZAH Exhibit 227, document \\
\hline 8 MS. KOSARY: Right, at the property line. But if & 8 CCCCC, so five Cs. This pertains to the G rating in the \\
\hline 9 you look at the property line of, like, where the Mitchells & 9 BUG. The G rating in the BUG rating system stands for \\
\hline 10 are, which would be down where -- where -- probably where & 10 glare. And I just included this because it's just a nice \\
\hline 11 you're at the 0.1 -- & 11 illustration of what is going on in terms of glare from a \\
\hline 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. & 12 street or parking lot light. \\
\hline 13 MS. KOSARY: Right. Like I said, it's only my & 13 Page 27 of the User's Guide notes that glare can be \\
\hline 14 speculation that -- that maybe possibly this was the only & 14 annoying or visually disabling. \\
\hline 15 one they could find, the only luminaire they could find & 15 The G rating looks at the amount of front light and \\
\hline 16 that would generate that few foot-candles at the property & 16 backlight in what they refer to as the high zone -- you see \\
\hline 17 line. I don't know why you would be putting a light that & 17 that marked on this illustration -- which is basically the \\
\hline 18 has just that much punch in -- & 18 amount of light at the 60 - to 80 -degree angle, and the very \\
\hline 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Where is the & 19 high zone, which is the light at the 80 to 90 percent \\
\hline 20 property line on this exhibit after dedication? & 20 angle. As you can see, the high zone -- the high zone \\
\hline 21 MS. KOSARY: Well, the property line for the & 21 basically is -- is basically your viewer perspective -- \\
\hline 22 Mitchells and the Tapscotts, I -- & 22 your pedestrian on the ground perspective and your viewer \\
\hline 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I've never & 23 perspective from, like, first floor -- first floors of \\
\hline 24 heard of using the property line of the neighbor's & 24 houses. \\
\hline 25 property. I've always heard -- well, anyway -- & 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: All right. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

MS. KOSARY: Table C-3 from page 28 of the User's
Guide indicates that the maximum allowable glare in the LZ-1 zone is a G1.

And if I can please get OZAH Exhibit 227, document TTTT. Right. Back to the Eaton.

According to the specification sheet which was discussed previously, the Eaton Streetwork lights have a
glare rating of G3, as highlighted on the exhibit under BUG rating.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right.
MS. KOSARY: It's B2, U5, G3.
Table 3 from page 28 of the User's Guide indicates
that the maximum allowable glare in the LZ-1 zone is
actually just a G1.
Just remind you that these -- these are the lights
that are going to be shining on the Mitchells and the Tapscotts.

Now according to the table in OZAH Exhibit 94-A, the Cree OSQ series area luminaires, these are the eight
parking lot lights, are actually type four, medium, with
backlight shield, B input, hard designator, 5,700 Kelvin.
And can I please get OZAH Exhibit 227, document DDDDD, so that's five Ds.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Wait a minute. I'm -- five Ds?

MS. KOSARY: Five Ds.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Let me --
MS. KOSARY: Oh. One up. Okay.
(Exhibit 227-DDDDD introduced.)
MR. CHEN: What exhibit is this (inaudible)?
MS. KOSARY: This is OZAH Exhibit 227, document
DDDDD, so that's five Ds. And this -- this exhibit is the
specification sheet for this luminaire.
MR. CHEN: Which luminaire?
MS. KOSARY: The Cree OSQ series area -- area luminaire, which are the eight parking lot lights.

As indicated, these luminaires rate a G2. It's
under their -- their column for BUG rating. It's -- it's highlighted in yellow.

As previously stated, table C-3 from page 28 of the User's Guide indicates that the maximum allowable glare in the LZ-1 zone is a -- is a G1. The manufacturer's results on the BUG assessment identified as the CESTL -- it's up -you've got it right there. You're pointing -- you're
pointing to it. Short -- that's short for Cree Engineering
Services Testing Laboratory. Test report is located above
the specifications for this luminaire.
And if I can get the next page.
(Exhibit 227-EEEEE introduced.)
MS. KOSARY: We've got OZAH Exhibit 227, document

EEEEE, which is nothing more than a large version of the test report for the Cree OSQ series area luminaires. So I just made a larger, more enhanced version to make it easier to view and interpret this -- this report.

MR. CHEN: You haven't changed it?
MS. KOSARY: I haven't changed it, no, except for putting some labels on it and -- and enhancing some stuff, like in different colors, to make it easier.

So when you conduct a test like this, the luminaire
10 would be sitting exactly in the middle of the circle where the green line comes down and the 90-degree line comes across.

It's actually minimal, but I'll say from my
4 experience with the church parking lights, I can tell you
that it's going to be annoying and even more so than the
church because we will be looking at these lights five days a week for at least parts of the year.

It's the front-facing light dispersion, everything to the left of the vertical green line, between the 90degree horizontal -- the front-facing light dispersion below the 90 -degree horizontal plane, which is the real issue. These results indicate that it is the front-facing high zone results in the 60 -to-70-degree -- degree range -that's the area marked out by the red -- peaking at approximately 65 degrees. This is eye-view range for people both on the ground and in the first story of a home.

From my experience with the church parking lot lights, it's going to be like having car headlights pointing at you from across a parking lot only at a higher point of view.

Can I please get OZAH Exhibit -- OZAH Exhibit 94-A again.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: One second.
MS. KOSARY: And, actually, we might not even need this. Just called for it because it has that box with the specifications.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh.
MS. KOSARY: So we -- but you've got on the screen
OZAH Exhibit 94-A, and I just want to point out that the
specified luminaries are either 4,000 Kelvin for the Eaton

\section*{or 5,700 Kelvin for both Cree models.}

And LED light emissions are characterized by their correlated color temperature, or CCT, index. The Kelvin scale reflects the equivalent color of a heated metal subjected to that temperature. The CCT associated with daylight light levels is equivalent to around 6,500 Kelvin. High-pressure sodium lighting has a CCT of around 2,100 Kelvin. Basically, the higher the Kelvin score, the higher the percent of light emitted in the blue range.

Now in 2017, the American Medical Association adopted the guidelines presented in a report by the Council on Science \& Public Health on the human and environmental effects of LED community lighting.
What brought this issue to a head was that many of the initial installations of LED street lighting used 4,000
Kelvin luminaires, which emitted a large amount of blue
light, although it appears white to the naked eye, and created a worse nighttime glare than conventional highpressure sodium lighting. Many residents in these early adopter areas complained of the prison atmosphere created by this high-intensity, blue-rich lighting.

Noting the impact LED lights, which emit high levels of blue-rich lights, had both in terms of disability glare and on the circadian cycle of humans, animals, and insects, the AMA has recommended that LED lighting in
residential neighborhoods not exceed 3,000 Kelvin.
And note that the proposed Cree parking lot lights and building lights have an even higher Kelvin output than the streetlights in the AMA report -- that the -- that the
-- that this report was examining. The AMA examined 4,000-
Kelvin lights. These lights are 57 Kelvin. Daylight is 6,500 Kelvin.

This parking lot is being lit for pedestrian safety; it is not being lit for neighborhood compatibility.
As I have said previously, this is a commercial -- this is
commercial lighting designed for a commercial
establishment. It does not belong in a residential
neighborhood. It is highly incompatible. And I know this
from experience. I'm not just spouting off. I have a
commercial-level parking lot on the other side of my home.
I'm not speculating, I'm just giving you the facts. Okay.
Can I have OZAH Exhibit 227, document VVVV. That's four Vs.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Four Vs?
MS. KOSARY: Four Vs. Oh.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Whoops.
MS. KOSARY: Yes. Okay.
(Exhibit 227-VVVV introduced.)
MR. CHEN: And what is the exhibit on the screen?
MS. KOSARY: This is -- this is OZAH Exhibit 227,

\section*{221}

\section*{document VVVV.}

MR. CHEN: No.
MS. KOSARY: It's other proposed Primrose Schools
in northern Virginia.
MR. CHEN: Excuse me. Could -- Madam Examiner,
could you scroll it up a little bit, so I can see the
document? No, down. I'm sorry. It's WW.
MS. KOSARY: No, it's VVVV.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: No, it's VV -it's four Vs.

MS. KOSARY: It's four Vs.
MR. CHEN: Oh, okay.
MS. KOSARY: Mr. Chen.
MR. CHEN: Two Ws.
MS. KOSARY: Mr. Chen.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Here. Did this help?

MR. CHEN: Okay. Don't take another shot at me. You really think (inaudible).

MS. KOSARY: I'm just going to suggest that he needs to -- it's time for his -- his eye exam.

MR. CHEN: Yeah, right.
MS. KOSARY: Primrose Schools has been aggressively
expanding into the Maryland/Virginia suburbs of Washington,
224
DC, more successfully to date I would say in Virginia than
in Maryland. There are currently seven franchisee owned
and operated Primrose schools in the northern Virginia suburbs.

You can see from this exhibit all are in areas
zoned commercial or some version of their jurisdiction's
mixed-use type zoning. None are in single-family
residential zones.
On day one under questioning regarding Primrose
sites which are similar in character to the Needwood Road
site, on page 23, beginning on line 13, Mr. Taylor stated,
quote, You know, a close example of this would be we have a
school in Gambrills, which is very similar in neighborhood
makeup, unquote.
Can I have OZAH Exhibit 176, document QQ.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: I am scrolling
7 through Exhibit 176 to get to QQ just so the record
understands that.
MS. KOSARY: Okay. Here we go.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Oh, no. That means I have to do it --

MS. KOSARY: Oh.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Hold on, hold on, hold on. This is \(176-\mathrm{QQ}\) ?

MS. KOSARY: Yeah. We can just, like, stand on our
needs to -- it's time for his -- his eye exam.

18
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 225 & 227 \\
\hline 1 heads. & 1 area is not restricted, unquote. \\
\hline 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: What happened, & 2 Primrose Bethesda is in the Battery Lane district \\
\hline 3 for the record, was that it did not rotate. I could not & 3 of the Bethesda Master Plan, on its southern boundary with \\
\hline 4 rotate it. I'm sure there's a way, but I was not able to & 4 the Woodmont Triangle district. Most of the Battery Lane \\
\hline 5 so -- going to another source & 5 district is in the CR zone with a very small enclave of \\
\hline 6 Okay. Now you should have it oriented correctly. & 6 single-family homes zoned R-60. There are a range of \\
\hline 7 MS. KOSARY: Thank you. Okay. On the screen, & 7 housing types included -- including single-family homes and \\
\hline 8 we're looking at OZAH Exhibit 176, document QQ. It's a & 8 low-to-high-rise multi-family buildings. \\
\hline 9 it's a Google street v & 9 The Planning Board recently approved a sketch plan \\
\hline 10 It's located at 670 Crain Highway, which is also called & 10 to replace six aging mid-rise and garden apartment \\
\hline 11 Maryland 3. & 11 buildings on Battery Lane that currently have a total of \\
\hline 12 It's in Anne Arundel County on property zoned C-3, & 12477 apartments with six new high-rise buildings containing \\
\hline 13 defined by the Anne Arundel County Zoning Ordinance as, & 13 a total of 1,530 units and building heights of 120 feet or \\
\hline 14 quote, General commercial districts. This district is & 14 higher. The closest of these new buildings is about 350 \\
\hline 15 generally intended for larger arterial strip commercial & 15 feet fromPrimrose Bethesda. \\
\hline 16 development and shopping centers that offer a wide range of & 16 Also, Primrose Bethesda is 100 -- is 1,000 feet \\
\hline 17 commercial uses that serve a broad market area. Thes & 17 from the southern border of the NIH campus, 1,000 feet from \\
\hline 18 commercial uses typically & 18 the Bethesda Harris Teeter, and 800 feet from the large \\
\hline 19 requirements and are located along major roadways, unquote. & 19 Bethesda-Chevy Chase Rescue Squad facility. \\
\hline 20 Primrose Gambrills is across the street from a & 20 For Mr. Taylor to compare this urban, mixed-use \\
\hline 21 Jiffy Lube, Mid-Atlantic Auto Repair, and Gambrills Auto & 21 location to my neighborhood is -- is preposterous. \\
\hline 22 Spa . It is 700 fe & 22 On page 24 of day one, beginning on line 11, Mr. \\
\hline 23 Wawa. & 23 Taylor stated, quote, So Layhill Road, again, is not \\
\hline 24 Going by Mr. Taylor's comparison, I never realized & 24 dissimilar to this site but very similar in that it's on a \\
\hline 25 I lived in such a commercially intense neighborhood. & 25 main road, Layhill Road. It's a very similar site to what \\
\hline 226 & 228 \\
\hline 1 If my neighbors and I had only known, I'm sure we & 1 we're trying to get accomplished here. It's actually very, \\
\hline 2 could've entertained ourselves for hours watching the & 2 very similar, unquote. \\
\hline 3 Carvana vending machine dispense autos while sipping our & 3 Can I please have OZAH Exhibit 176, document SS. \\
\hline 4 super size slushies from Wawa. & 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: You should be \\
\hline 5 On page 23 of day one testimony, beginning on line & 5 looking at it. \\
\hline 6 18, Mr. Taylor further stated that, quote, I'm also working & 6 MS. KOSARY: Looking at it. On the screen, we're \\
\hline 7 on a project that's under construction in Bethesda, which & 7 looking at OZAH Exhibit 176, document SS. The Primrose \\
\hline 8 is more of an urban market but surrounded primarily by & 8 Layhill site, which was CU 2017-16, is located at 14041 \\
\hline 9 residential properties as well, unquote & 9 Layhill Road in the R-20 -- R-200 zone in an area covered \\
\hline 10 Can I have OZAH Exhibit 176, document RRR & 10 under the 1994 Aspen Hill Master Plan. \\
\hline 11 This is -- on the screen, you're looking at OZAH & 11 A Google area view can be found in OZAH Exhibit \\
\hline 12 Exhibit 176, document RR. & 12 176, document SS. This site abuts the Mid-County \\
\hline 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Just RR; right? & 13 Recreation Center, which abuts the Layhill Village Local \\
\hline 14 MS. KOSARY: This -- this -- & 14 Park. \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Not three Rs? & 15 Unlike the Upper Rock Creek Master Plan, the Aspen \\
\hline 16 MS. KOSARY: Right. One -- two Rs. & 16 Hill Master Plan provides strong and pertinent \\
\hline 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. & 17 recommendations about the importance of providing child \\
\hline 18 MS. KOSARY: Primrose Bethesda is located at 8101 & 18 daycare facilities within the master plan area. Layhill \\
\hline 19 Glenbrook Road. A Google area view can be found, like I & 19 Road is a four-lane divided state highway categorized in \\
\hline 20 said, in Exhibit 176, docum & 20 the Master Plan of Highways and Transitways as a major \\
\hline 21 It is zoned CR, defined by Montgomery County Zoning & 21 highway. Needwood Road is a two-lane road categorized in \\
\hline 22 Ordinance as, quote, Commercial residential. The CR zone & 22 the same master plan as a primary residential street. \\
\hline 23 is intended for larger downtown, mixed-use, and pedestrian- & 23 Like the Needwood Road site, the Primrose Layhill \\
\hline 24 oriented areas in close proximity to transit options such & 24 site is a corner lot. Like the Needwood site, Primrose \\
\hline 25 as Metro, light rail, and bus. Retail tenant gross floor & 25 Layhill required a waiver of the minimum parking side yard \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 229 & 231 \\
\hline 1 setback. In the case of Primrose Layhill, however, the & 1 MR. KLINE: Absolutely. And the staff's report -- \\
\hline 2 proposed parking facility abutted the existing parking & 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now just -- just \\
\hline 3 facility at the Mid-County R & 3 a secon \\
\hline 4 case, the proposed parking facility abuts my home and the & 4 MR. KLINE: -- represents the discussion. \\
\hline 5 home of my neighbor at 7420 Needwo & 5 Mr. CHEN: No. \\
\hline 6 In the case of the Primrose Layhill park & 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Just a second \\
\hline 7 I refer you to page 47 of the Hearing Examiner's Report & 7 I'm going to let it in, as I have with the other \\
\hline 8 Decision for OZAH Case 2017-16, w & 8 generally let hearsay testimony from government officials \\
\hline 9 document TT of OZAH Exhibit 176, which quotes the technical & 9 in as long -- do not characterize it. Just say what you \\
\hline 10 staff report analysis that, quote, The relatively larg & 10 believe they said, and I'll give it the weight it deserves. \\
\hline 11 side parking setback typically required serves -- s & 11 MS. KOSARY: Okay. When several of us met with \\
\hline 12 buffer a single-family residence that may be & 12 technical staff on July 16th, 2019, we asked whether staff \\
\hline 13 adjacent to a parking lot associated with a condition & 13 had discussed reducing the size of the development by \\
\hline 14 use. Since the property to the east is institutional in & 14 decreasing the number of children, leading to a decrease in \\
\hline 15 nature and developed with a similar parking lot, the & 15 the size of the building, play yard, and parking facility. \\
\hline 16 foot parking setback is & 16 We were told by staff that, yes, this issue had \\
\hline 17 And page 48, where the hearing examiner agrees & 17 been brought up with the Applicant; however, the Applicant \\
\hline 18 that, quote, Granting the requested side yard parking & 18 refused to reduce the size of the development for economic \\
\hline 19 setback waiver would not undercut that intent because the & 19 reasons and would pursue a waiver of the parking setback \\
\hline 20 east side of the proposed parking lot abuts the existing & 20 instead. \\
\hline 21 parking lot at the Mid-County Recreation Center, which need & 21 We actually requested that staff note this in their \\
\hline 22 not be buffered in the same way that single-family & 22 report. Staff stated that they would provide such a \\
\hline 23 residences must be buffered to ensure compatibility, & 23 comment in the technical staff report; however, they never \\
\hline 24 unquote & 24 did. \\
\hline 25 Contrast this to the technical staff report for & 25 I'm going to say that things became a little -- a \\
\hline 230 & 232 \\
\hline 1 this proposed use, where on page 16 of Exhibit 106 & 1 little bit confrontational during this meeting when Mr . \\
\hline 2 technical staff state that they support a waiver of the & 2 Weaver, Area 3 Division Chief, repeatedly pressed our party \\
\hline 3 required side yard setback, and further state, without any & 3 on what side yard setback would be acceptable to us, and we \\
\hline 4 analysis supporting their conclusion, that potenti & 4 repeatedly replied that it would be at least 34 feet, the \\
\hline 5 impacts on adjoining properties can be minimized an & 5 minimum required under the zoning ordinance, because this \\
\hline 6 mitigated to what in actuality is no more than a & 6 was what was needed to buffer a single-family residence \\
\hline 7 combination of the minimum requirements for parking & 7 from a large parking lot of a conditional use, that it was \\
\hline 8 facility perimeter plantings and building perimeter & 8 a question of compatibility, particularly since we were \\
\hline 9 landscaping under Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance. & 9 talking about buffering a parking facility which was going \\
\hline 10 Staff repeatedly referred to these minimum & 10 to see the large amount of back-and-forth traffic every day \\
\hline 11 plantings as a buffer; they are not. & 11 that this proposed use would generate. \\
\hline 12 When several of us met with technical staff on July & 12 At no point during this discussion was the allege \\
\hline 13 16th, 2019, we asked whether staff had discussed & 13 sight distance issue along Needwood Road and it being the \\
\hline 14 MR. KLINE: Objection. The staff report speaks for & 14 reason for the location of the access driveway and the need \\
\hline 15 itself. & 15 for the waiver mentioned by any of the staff. Had it been, \\
\hline 16 MR. CHEN: This does not have anything to do with & 16 we would've probably informed the staff that they were \\
\hline 17 the staff report. It talks & 17 mistaken about the sight distance issues and that they \\
\hline 18 MR. KLINE: Talking to the staff, whatever came out & 18 probably should insist on documentation from the Applicant. \\
\hline 19 of that is -- the staff's opinion is expressed in the staff & 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. Well, \\
\hline 20 report, and I don't believe what was discussed at the time & 20 that's getting a little speculative. Just -- you know, I'm \\
\hline 21 of the meeting is relevant. & 21 not sure I want to go that far afield. \\
\hline 22 MR. CHEN: It is relevant. It goes to the staff's & 22 MS. KOSARY: Okay. \\
\hline 23 position on issues raised by the community. The community & 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So I'm -- if -- \\
\hline 24 has a right to meet with the staff and present their 25 concerns. (Inaudible) -- & \begin{tabular}{l}
24 you know, we have -- what you've said is that the Applicant \\
25 didn't want -- you know, wanted to keep the size for
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

economic reasons, which is perfectly legitimate. So if
there's anything else you want to go through -- but I
think, you know, what somebody may have done if they had
known something, that's a little bit one step too far.
MS. KOSARY: Okay. I understand.
Can I go on mute for a second?
MR. CHEN:Although it's not attempting to
represent what a third party would've done. It's
representing what the witness here would've done had the
staff raised the issue. But we abide by the Examiner's
ruling.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yeah.
MS. KOSARY: Could I go on mute for a second?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Yes. For the
record, the -- Dr. Kosary, the witness, is on mute, but we
are not off the record.
(Sotto voce speaking.)
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Dr. Kosary, are
you back on?
MS. KOSARY: I'm back.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
MS. KOSARY: And -- and I just -- for the record, I
just consulted with my lawyer about whether I could say
what I was going to say next.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.

```
    (Laughter.)
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So go ahead, say
it, and --
    MS. KOSARY: Okay.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- we'll see what
happens.
    MR. CHEN: Candidly, Madam Examiner, my client was
concerned about abiding by your instructions.
    MS. KOSARY: Right.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay.
    MS. KOSARY: Okay. Mr. Weaver finally concluded
his -- his back and forth with us by stating that he
thought that this application had, quote, Merit, unquote,
and he was committed to seeing it approved.
    And I know the staff member, Mr. Boyd, indicated
that he thought the Applicant had done about as good as
they could.
    And I want to say that we also tried to get the
young man who was reviewing the traffic analysis to discuss
how this data would relate to the number of cars which
would enter and exit the proposed site in a day and how
that would relate to how many cars would be impacting our
home in the -- in the inadequate proposed setback, and this
didn't go -- this discussion didn't go very far because he
kept continually wanting to go back to discussing how many
vehicles were going to be on the road, not how many -- not how many vehicles were going to be back and forth on the -on the driveway 12 feet from our home.

So, finally, I'm going to wrap up here.
As early as the Development Review Committee meeting of January 30 th, 2018, the minutes for which you can find in document Y of OZAH Exhibit 115, you have technical staff stating that, quote, The use proposed appears to be too intense for the property and in the subject area, not harmonious with the character of the surrounding area, and does not appear to be compatible with the character of the residential neighborhood.

And, I'm just asking, you know, how staff can 4 ignore these negative observations and recommend approval 5 without any explanation why these negatives no longer apply 16 or have been overcome?

In conclusion, I would state that Primrose Schools' 18 conditional use application CU 2018-08 is incompatible with 9 both primary goals of the Upper Rock Creek Master Plan. 0 Its size and scale of use and need for a waiver of its 1 required side yard setback will create a number of non2 inherent adverse effects on our home and the homes of our 3 neighbors. This large, highly intensive use will have a 4 devastating impact on my neighborhood due to its utter lack of compatibility as well as the impact it will have on the
already congested Needwood Road.
Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, thank you very much.

All right. I assume -- Mr. Kline.
MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am.
MR. CHEN: Let's have cross-examination.
(Laughter.)
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: You can do it in
a half an hour.
(Laughter.)
MR. KLINE: Well, I wasn't sure why you were asking
-- calling me out, but --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well --
MR. KLINE: -- in the past I had asked for a little
recess to kind of organize myself. I'm far beyond that
now. I'm going to have trouble just remembering the notes
I took from a week or so ago. And I guess I'd have to say
I'm a bit tired. It's been a fascinating afternoon -- day,
but I'm just not ready to start on it because I wouldn't
make barely a dent in the situation.
MR. CHEN: We have no -- we have no problem with
Mr. Kline's (inaudible).
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I believe the next date that we had spoken about was April 2nd.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 237 & 239 \\
\hline 1 MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner. & 1 that. \\
\hline 2 MR. KLINE: Yes, ma'am. & 2 (Laughter \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Now -- go ahead, & 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: So I could -- \\
\hline 4 Mr. Chen. & 4 let's go with this. I could do the 6th, 7th, or 9th to add \\
\hline 5 MS. KOSARY: Do you want to trade places? & 5 a day. And why don't you get back to me when -- when you \\
\hline 6 MR. CHEN: Thank you. As I understand my notes at & 6 get everything -- when you get a chance to check with your \\
\hline 7 least and -- and the Examiner's inform & 7 witnesses and your calenda \\
\hline 8 April 2nd and April 5, I believ & 8 MR. KLINE: Thank you. I should be able to get \\
\hline 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Right. & 9 back to you tomorrow -- tomorrow. \\
\hline 10 MR. CHEN: I think you need another day. & 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That's fine. \\
\hline 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: How many more & 11 MR. CHEN: If I may. I know -- isn't the 7th Board \\
\hline 12 witnesses & 12 of Appeals day, Wednesday. I think it's -- \\
\hline 13 MR. CHEN: Mr. -- Mr. Davis. But I'm -- it's not & 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: It's what? I'm \\
\hline 14 Mr. Davis I'm thinking of. I'm thinking that Mr. Kline -- & 14 sorry. \\
\hline 15 I don't want -- & 15 MR. CHEN: On the 7th is a work session or \\
\hline 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Do you have & 16 proceeding of the Board of Appea \\
\hline 17 rebuttal? & 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: That's correct. \\
\hline 18 MR. CHEN: Yes. And I expect Mr. Kline will have & 18 That is the Board of Appeals meeting day. \\
\hline 19 extensive cross-examination of, you know, Dr. Kosary, and & 19 MR. CHEN: I am on their agenda for that day. \\
\hline 20 I'm sure of Mr. Davis, and I could be mistaken, and I'm not & 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. How about \\
\hline 21 trying & 21 this. I'll give \\
\hline 22 I'm not -- but I'm just thinking that it's going to be very & 22 MR. CHEN: But -- but the other two dates, you know \\
\hline 23 difficult to wrap everything up over the next two days of & 23 -- \\
\hline 24 hearing on the 2 nd and 5th. And better we look for a date & 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Let's try -- I \\
\hline 25 now or -- I don't have my calendar with me, but if the & 25 can do the 6th, the 9th, and the -- oh, I've got a rezoning \\
\hline 238 & 240 \\
\hline 1 Examiner can maybe give us some dates, you know, in the & 1 hearing on the 12th. I can do the 6th and the 9th. Let's \\
\hline 2 next day or so by an email of, you know, what would work. & 2 see whether one of those two -- or I could do the 13th. \\
\hline 3 The sooner the better, as far as I'm concerned & 3 MR. CHEN: Let's -- let's try -- (inaudible) \\
\hline 4 suspect Mr. Kline may feel the same. & 4 something's niggling at me, but I'll get back to you as \\
\hline 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Well, I don't & 5 well tomorrow. \\
\hline 6 want this & 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. \\
\hline 7 MR. CHEN: Yeah & 7 MR. KLINE: And -- and if I -- and if -- Ms. \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: -- continuing & 8 Robeson Hannan, if I can just add this. From my point of \\
\hline 9 much further than where we are now. Let me just look on my & 9 view, I'd like to have a hearing far enough away that I can \\
\hline 10 -- what I'm doing now is shifting to another compute & 10 get the transcripts of the hearing because I know that my \\
\hline 11 that's actually on my computer. & 11 consultants are listening to all this, but if they' \\
\hline 12 Do I have any takers on -- oh, I can't do tha & 12 having as much trouble writing everything down as I am, you \\
\hline 13 Well, we could go back-to-back days. I could do it on the & 13 just absolutely have to have the transcript to be able to \\
\hline 146 th or the 7th. I cannot do it on the 8 or the 9 & 14 track all this, and I'd like to make it far enough out that \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: I can do it on the 6th, I believe. The & 15 -- ten days from today so that people can digest that and \\
\hline 16 7th, I've got a conflict & 16 be better prepared than what their notes tell them. \\
\hline 17 (Sotto voce speaking.) & 17 MR. CHEN: Well, I mean, if it was day -- back to \\
\hline 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Mr. Kline. & 18 back, you would have no time. \\
\hline 19 MR. KLINE: Excuse me. I'm sorry. I'm embarrassed & 19 MR. KLINE: And I understand that. \\
\hline 20 to say I'm working in the basement, and my calendar is up & 20 MR. CHEN: And I -- as it is -- \\
\hline 21 in my office, and I believe that I have something on one of & 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Okay. I have an \\
\hline 22 those days, but I -- I could either & 22 idea, and I don't usually do this because it's not the \\
\hline 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANNAN: Then let's do & 23 official record, I can send the Microsoft Teams recording. \\
\hline 24 this. Actually, I can move something & 24 MR. CHEN: Fine by me. \\
\hline 25 is my second dose of vaccine, and there's no way I'm moving & 25 MR. KLINE: Yeah. Yeah. I just -- we just want to \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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73:18, 74:9 \\
compute \\
74:15 \\
computer \\
238:10, 238:11 concept
14:12, 14:15,
\[
15: 24,17: 21
\]
196:1 \\
concern
27:7 \\
concerned
\[
155: 25,211: 3,
\]
\[
234: 8,238: 3
\] \\
concerning
\[
41: 10
\] \\
concerns
\[
210: 21,230: 25
\]
concluded \\
31:23, 234:11 concludes \\
31:8 \\
conclusion
\[
32: 1, \quad 230: 4
\]
\[
235: 17
\] \\
concrete
\[
188: 9,197: 15
\] \\
condition
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 121: 16, \\
& 121: 17, \\
& 123: 12, \\
& 177: 23,15, \\
& 195: 12
\end{aligned}
\] \\
conditional
6:8, 29:21,
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
32:5, 90:24,
99:10, 99:23,
111:9, 112:4,
112:6, 117:25,
159:24, 159:25,
186:3, 186:5,
195:5, 195:9,
229:13, 232:7,
235:18
conditions
28:5, 31:24,
32:2, 32:8,
78:23, 79:4,
165:9, 165:11,
170:18, 195:4,
195:8
conduct
29:20, 149:5,
219:9
conducted
1:11, 99:10,
102:10
conducting
99:12, 100:10,
100:12
cone
176:9, 176:11,
178:15
configuration
90:16, 204:14
configurations
204:14
confirm
132:4
confirmation
66:19, 109:10
confirmed
15:6, 15:12,
65:12
conflict
238:16
conforms
12:1
confront
110:20
confrontational
232:1
confused
11:6
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
confusing 49:24 congested 67:25, 68:16, 77:22, 94:17, 98:8, 98:17, 98:19, 105:20, 109:9, 236:1 congestion
\[
34: 7,39: 15,
\]
\[
63: 14,66: 10,
\]
\[
66: 20,68: 7,
\]
\[
76: 16
\] \\
congratulations 32:22 \\
congress 13:20 connect
133:1, 142:25,
147:14, 148:3,
\[
148: 20,159: 9
\] connected
121:16, 122:6,
\[
128: 4,128: 13,
\]
\[
136: 6,137: 3,
\]
140:2 \\
connecting
\[
34: 6,109: 12,
\]
\[
127: 20,139: 12
\] \\
connection
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 121: 12, \quad 133: 14, \\
& 134: 22, \quad 136: 8, \\
& 137: 1, \quad 141: 14, \\
& 145: 12, \quad 146: 6, \\
& 146: 10, \quad 146: 13, \\
& 150: 4, \quad 152: 2, \\
& 154: 12, \quad 154: 22, \\
& 155: 7, \quad 155: 13, \\
& 155: 25, \quad 156: 3, \\
& 158: 16, \quad 160: 11, \\
& 172: 5 \\
& \text { connections } \\
& 147: 5, \quad 148: 24, \\
& 151: 11, \quad 151: 20 \\
& \text { connects } \\
& 133: 20 \\
& \text { consider } \\
& 39: 24,191: 24
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} & ```
considerable
174:2
considered
31:18, 44:2,
78:12, 135:9,
163:22
considers
23:9
consist
101:22
consistent
41:9, 41:12,
116:20, 196:25
consisting
13:4
constant
41:21
constantly
188:6
constitute
27:6
constrained
183:23, 184:6
constraint
122:8, 123:11
construct
24:11
constructed
43:25
construction
7:11, 18:19,
23:10, 24:15,
24:20, 26:7,
26:15, 110:18,
110:23, 111:3,
132:11, 139:25,
141:2, 149:11,
155:24, 171:10,
172:3, 172:8,
172:13, 172:21,
172:22, 173:1,
173:6, 173:10,
190:22, 226:7
consultants
240:11
consulted
233:23
contact
13:16
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
containing
\[
227: 12
\] \\
contains \\
61:9, 196:13 \\
content \\
141:1 \\
contingency \\
132:2, 139:17 \\
continually \\
234:25 \\
continue \\
20:24, 26:20, \\
28:6, 144:21, \\
241:25, 242:16 \\
continued \\
4:25, 5:25,
\[
6: 25
\] \\
continues
129:19, 129:21 \\
continuing
110:5, 238:8 \\
continuously
\[
168: 18
\] \\
contract
6:19, 119:5,
\[
132: 2
\] \\
contrast
\[
229: 25
\] \\
contrasted
\[
30: 21
\] \\
contrasts
\[
32: 4
\] \\
contributes
29:7, 29:10,
\[
31: 14
\] \\
control
\[
65: 21,67: 17
\] \\
controlling
\[
82: 3
\] \\
conventional \\
221:18 \\
conversations \\
128:8, 141:11 \\
converted \\
115:11, 116:8 \\
cook \\
89:15 \\
cook's \\
89:10
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline copies & corridors & 104:10 & 155:6, 155:11, \\
\hline 53:18 & 14:11, 14:16 & counting & 155:21, 160:10, \\
\hline copy & corsim & 101:20 & 187:4, 243:1, \\
\hline 28:24, 42:6, & 74:13 & counts & 243:11 \\
\hline 51:3, 53:24, & could & 4:19, 4:20, & cover \\
\hline 54:19, 64:11 & 10:15, 11:7, & 4:22, 4:24, 5:4, & 164:16 \\
\hline cora & 12:11, 31:17, & 37:6, 37:8, & coverage \\
\hline 90:11 & 36:13, 38:22, & \(37: 9,37: 10\), & 167:16, 182:11 \\
\hline corner & 39:7, 42:2, & \(37: 12,37: 13\), & covered \\
\hline 112:21, 113:12, & 46:4, 46:5, & 37:17, 38:5, & 228:9 \\
\hline 167:15, 167:20, & 47:16, 60:2, & 48:18, 48:21, & covering \\
\hline 176:16, 205:6, & 60:17, 66:24, & 49:15, 49:17, & 185:10 \\
\hline 228:24 & 73:24, 106:24, & 50:19, 50:22, & covid \\
\hline correct & 107:3, 107:5, & 50:23, 50:25, & 24:5, 32:19, \\
\hline 8:5, 8:19, & 118:2, 118:18, & 51:1, 61:12, & 43:3, 242:7 \\
\hline 9:11, 12:12, & 120:23, 120:24, & 65:14, 102:15 & cr \\
\hline 37:25, 38:2, & 121:8, 121:25, & county & 226:21, 226:22, \\
\hline 47:3, 47:6, & 132:4, 142:21, & 1:2, 3:3, & 227:5 \\
\hline 50:16, 58:6, & 148:3, 148:20, & 12:20, 13:19, & crabbs \\
\hline 61:5, 69:6, & 149:8, 157:21, & 14:19, 15:7, & 12:21, 22:15, \\
\hline 114:20, 126:10, & 158:2, 158:21, & 17:1, 29:19, & 27:11, 27:12, \\
\hline 126:11, 127:2, & 159:15, 177:24, & \(31: 12,34: 11\), & 27:17, 27:21, \\
\hline 128:22, 144:15, & 179:4, 185:4, & 41:22, 42:3, & 27:25, 28:2, \\
\hline 145:2, 145:3, & 188:24, 194:9, & 43:21, 63:14, & 28:7, 28:11, \\
\hline 146:20, 152:12, & 206:11, 214:15, & 112:4, 117:5, & 28:20, 116:23, \\
\hline 152:13, 156:13, & 223:5, 223:6, & 117:7, 117:12, & 117:9, 183:12 \\
\hline 160:1, 162:24, & 225:3, 233:13, & 119:15, 121:14, & crain \\
\hline 162:25, 163:2, & 233:23, 234:17, & 122:11, 123:9, & 225:10 \\
\hline 167:22, 167:23, & 237:20, 238:13, & 123:15, 123:16, & create \\
\hline 169:21, 176:7, & 238:22, 239:3, & 123:20, 124:3, & 235:21 \\
\hline 177:14, 201:22, & 239:4, 240:2, & 124:15, 126:23, & created \\
\hline 203:3, 207:1, & 242:18 & 135:5, 171:13, & 33:22, 34:1, \\
\hline 207:2, 207:4, & could've & 188:17, 195:2, & 90:18, 94:21, \\
\hline 208:5, 239:17, & 118:13, 226:2 & 225:12, 225:13, & 113:15, 113:17, \\
\hline 244:3 & couldn't & 226:21, 230:9 & 114:25, 115:1, \\
\hline correctly & 125:2 & county's & \[
115: 7,115: 8
\] \\
\hline 72:18, 76:13, & council & \[
12: 23,14: 16,
\] & 116:17, 182:20, \\
\hline 225:6 & 221:11 & \[
90: 23
\] & 221:18, 221:20 \\
\hline correlated & council's & couple & creating \\
\hline 221:3 & 123:16 & 9:4, 9:9, 23:5, & 111:22, 182:10 \\
\hline correspond & counsel & 73:4, 174:15 & creation \\
\hline 158:15 & 243:5, 244:7 & course & 13:22 \\
\hline correspondence & count & 39:5 & cree \\
\hline 6:20 & 36:18, 36:25, & court & \[
7: 20,7: 23,
\] \\
\hline corresponds & 38:7, 102:11, & 25:14, 25:16, & 200:14, 200:15, \\
\hline 49:21, 64:21, & 102:19, 103:4, & 26:3, 26:5, & \[
200: 23,201: 1,
\] \\
\hline \[
68: 13, \quad 167: 14
\] & 103:5, 104:7 & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
26: 16, & 90: 22, \\
152: 2, & 154: 23 .
\end{array}\right.
\] & 211:20, 217:19, \\
\hline corridor & counted & 152:2, 154:23, & 218:10, 218:20, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 227: 22, \quad 232: 10, \\
& 234: 21, \quad 236: 19, \\
& 237: 10, \quad 238: 2, \\
& 239: 5, \quad 239: 12, \\
& 239: 18, \quad 239: 19, \\
& 240: 17 \\
& \text { daycare } \\
& 15: 22, \quad 76: 10, \\
& 76: 17, \quad 77: 21, \\
& 82: 16, \quad 87: 25, \\
& 89: 15, \quad 104: 23, \\
& 105: 9, \quad 105: 11, \\
& 105: 13, \quad 105: 22, \\
& 105: 25, \quad 106: 4, \\
& 110: 18, \quad 180: 5, \\
& 211: 13, \quad 228: 18 \\
& \text { daylight } \\
& 221: 6, \quad 222: 6 \\
& \text { days } \\
& 34: 9, \quad 189: 18, \\
& 193: 23, \quad 220: 1, \\
& 237: 23, \quad 238: 13, \\
& 238: 22, \quad 240: 15, \\
& 241: 4, \quad 241: 10 \\
& \text { dc } \\
& 224: 1 \\
& \text { dddd } \\
& 6: 10, \quad 100: 20, \\
& 100: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & ```
decision
90:9, 123:16,
180:8, 229:8
decision-making
105:18
decline
28:4
decrease
231:14
decreased
120:24
decreasing
59:25, 231:14
dedication
129:22, 214:20
dedications
112:23, 115:5,
198:1
deemed
32:6
deep
19:2, 24:8,
26:12
deeper
21:19
deer
25:14, 25:16,
26:2, 26:5,
26:16, 112:17,
131:24, 133:4,
138:9, 138:25,
139:1, 152:2,
154:22, 155:6,
155:9, 155:10,
155:11, 155:21,
160:10, 183:11
deerwood
80:1
default
195:24, 209:14
define
100:22, 101:7
defined
12:14, 35:15,
101:11, 103:14,
197:9, 211:22,
225:13, 226:21
defines
133:5, 197:11
``` & ```
definitely
76:9
definition
101:2, 101:5,
135:10, 197:20
degree
16:14, 212:11,
216:18, 219:11,
219:15, 219:17,
220:5, 220:6,
220:8
degrees
220:10
delay
5:8, 5:11,
63:21, 64:12,
65:4, 65:5,
65:19, 65:20,
65:21, 65:23,
65:24, 66:15,
67:24, 68:25,
73:18, 74:9,
74:15, 77:13,
77:16, 241:5
delay-based
43:19, 43:22,
63:18
delays
65:17, 65:25,
67:18, 75:19
deleted
144:7
deliberately
188:4
deliveries
210:4
demand
41:15, 48:17
demonstrate
68:8, 77:20,
106:3
demonstrated
111:10, 118:7
demonstrates
108:3
demonstration
109:8, 128:21
denial
17:21, 112:3,
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 117:25 & 137:21, 137:23, & description & devastating \\
\hline denied & 181:17 & 12:13, 49:22, & 164:15, 235:24 \\
\hline 90:21 & depicts & 51:17, 62:15, & devastatingly \\
\hline densities & 165:16, 165:19 & 102:18, 103:4, & 17:7 \\
\hline 14:23, 29:7 & deployed & 104:11, 104:21, & develop \\
\hline density & 42:25 & 105:2, 168:4, & 124:2, 140:4 \\
\hline 14:11, 17:6, & depot & 207:17 & developed \\
\hline 94:18, 195:24 & 12:21 & descriptions & 44:11, 44:16, \\
\hline dent & depth & 195:20, 206:15 & 99:16, 101:15, \\
\hline 236:21 & 21:19, 21:25, & deserves & 116:14, 117:18, \\
\hline deny & 23:12, 23:14, & 231:10 & 117:22, 118:13, \\
\hline 90:23 & 24:14, 26:4, & design & 122:7, 122:17, \\
\hline department & 26:21, 152:5, & 132:12, 162:16, & 127:20, 128:3, \\
\hline 12:20, 12:22, & 152:16, 152:19, & \[
192: 18
\] & 139:2, 149:12, \\
\hline 13:8, 28:21, & \[
152: 20,152: 22,
\] & designated & 195:16, 229:15 \\
\hline 29:19, 39:2, & \[
152: 24,153: 13,
\] & \[
13: 8
\] & developer \\
\hline 117:8, 117:15, & \[
154: 12, \quad 154: 14,
\] & designator & \[
121: 16
\] \\
\hline 121:14, 123:20, & 155:5, 158:6, & 217:21 & developers \\
\hline 124:15 & 158:23, 161:15, & designed & 122:9 \\
\hline department's & 161:16, 161:21 & 16:5, 133:15, & developing \\
\hline 99:22, 149:23 & depths & 139:7, 157:19, & 121:24 \\
\hline departure & 25:13, 139:6, & 222:11 & development \\
\hline 33:3 & 161:19 & designing & 7:3, 18:4, \\
\hline depend & derwood & 27:24, 29:3 & 24:3, 27:1, \\
\hline \[
111: 18
\] & 5:22, 6:4, 6:7, & desiring & 41:15, 41:21, \\
\hline dependent & 7:18, 12:24, & 106:25 & \[
79: 17, \quad 116: 15,
\] \\
\hline \[
79: 3,111: 20,
\] & \[
34: 14, \quad 63: 19,
\] & desk & 117:19, 123:24, \\
\hline 186:9, 187:7 & \[
63: 21, \quad 66: 11,
\] & \[
131: 7
\] & \[
124: 5, \quad 124: 7,
\] \\
\hline depending & 75:20, 83:19, & despite & 124:13, 127:19, \\
\hline 100:2, 176:12 & 88:19, 89:4, & 149:24, 189:10 & 133:6, 140:7, \\
\hline depends & 112:18, 116:17 & destruction & 140:14, 140:19, \\
\hline 32:1, 172:23, & descendants & 112:1 & 140:23, 147:1, \\
\hline \[
176: 3
\] & 111:21 & detail & 148:17, 149:18, \\
\hline depict & describe & 145:6 & 151:5, 159:16, \\
\hline 169:14, 177:1 & 97:6, 102:4, & detailed & 159:18, 162:15, \\
\hline depicted & 107:25, 114:5, & 43:23, 112:9 & 179:12, 179:23, \\
\hline 9:17, 145:14, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 135: 24, ~ 137: 7, \\
& 144 \cdot 1
\end{aligned}
\] & deteriorate & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
225: 16, & 231: 13, \\
231: 18, & 235: 5
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 145:15, 151:1, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 144: 1, \quad 145: 20, \\
& 175: 18, \quad 181: 14
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
13: 15
\] & 231:18, 235:5 developmental \\
\hline 151:2, 151:24, & described & determine & \[
133: 5
\] \\
\hline \(152: 3,154: 24\),
\(154: 25,167: 25\), & \[
49: 23, \quad 82: 2
\] & 79:11, 92:1, & device \\
\hline \(154: 25,167: 25\),
\(170: 18,180: 23\), & \[
111: 5,151: 12,
\] & 100:3, 101:8, & \[
130: 9
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 170: 18, ~ 180: 23, \\
& 182: 21, ~ 183: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
156: 18,172: 6,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 104: 6 \\
& \text { determined }
\end{aligned}
\] & devoured \\
\hline 183:5 & \[
196: 4
\] & \[
79: 18, \quad 90: 13
\] & \[
183: 15
\] \\
\hline depicting & \[
51: 10,62: 17,
\] & determines & diagonal \\
\hline 170:15 depiction & \[
100: 11
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 140: 20 \\
& \text { determining }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
84:16, 85:16 \\
diagram
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 7:15, 54:16, & describing
\[
\text { 104:19, } 120: 1
\] & \[
182: 9,191: 22
\] & 156:3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline diameter & direction & dispense & divide \\
\hline 175:4, 185:22, & 33:14, 105:7, & 226:3 & 57:19, 118:2 \\
\hline 187:14, 187:17, & 105:8, 176:4 & dispersion & divided \\
\hline 187:19 & directions & 193:20, 220:3, & 65:16, 72:1, \\
\hline die & 39:11, 51:8, & 220:5 & 75:14, 207:3, \\
\hline 172:10 & 104:15 & disruptive & 208:19, 228:19 \\
\hline dieback & directly & 123:14 & division \\
\hline 171:24, 172:12, & 107:1, 147:11, & dissimilar & 132:12, 182:12, \\
\hline 173:8 & 241:1 & 227:24 & 232:2 \\
\hline died & directors & distance & documentation \\
\hline 172:11 & 34:12 & 4:10, 9:12, & 17:24, 119:4, \\
\hline dies & directs & 9:13, 10:2, & 123:21, 140:25, \\
\hline 172:10 & 99:13, 99:15 & 10:4, 10:8, & 141:7, 232:18 \\
\hline differ & dirt & 10:10, 10:16, & documents \\
\hline 101:14 & 25:2, 25:3, & 10:17, 10:20, & 100:4, 100:20, \\
\hline difference & 25:9 & 10:24, 57:9, & 101:7, 102:2, \\
\hline 13:10, 57:14, & disability & 57:12, 117:5, & 169:2, 169:5, \\
\hline 136:17, 207:25, & 221:23 & 122:8, 123:11, & 177:7, 178:3, \\
\hline 208:1 & disabling & 232:13, 232:17 & 194:16 \\
\hline different & 216:14 & distances & doing \\
\hline 55:14, 74:13, & disastrous & 10:17 & 143:10, 198:12, \\
\hline 92:25, 100:2, & 76:14 & distributing & 238:10 \\
\hline 163:19, 204:13, & disclose & 97:12 & done \\
\hline 219:8 & 25:12 & distribution & 22:18, 25:3, \\
\hline difficult & discover & 6:16, 102:5, & 26:25, 42:25, \\
\hline 34:4, 38:13, & 100:1 & 108:1, 108:2 & 99:6, 100:4, \\
\hline 39:17, 62:10, & discuss & district & 109:16, 157:3, \\
\hline 100:3, 131:2, & 20:17, 44:21, & 225:14, 227:2, & 171:15, 177:25, \\
\hline 149:20, 237:23 & 89:10, 180:13, & 227:4, 227:5 & 179:5, 182:1, \\
\hline difficulty & 234:19 & districts & 233:3, 233:8, \\
\hline 109:11, 173:5 & discussed & 209:14, 209:15, & 233:9, 234:16 \\
\hline digest & 17:12, 75:12, & 225:14 & door \\
\hline 240:15 & 93:8, 118:16, & disturbance & 25:15, 26:18, \\
\hline digging & 157:18, 206:17, & 24:12, 24:13, & 32:14, 211:8 \\
\hline 153:16 & 217:7, 230:13, & 24:17, 24:18, & doors \\
\hline digressed & 230:20, 231:13 & 145:25, 170:20, & 16:2, 189:7, \\
\hline 215:8 & discussing & 171:2, 171:7, & 189:9, 196:25 \\
\hline diligence & 12:5, 48:10, & 172:3, 172:15, & dose \\
\hline 179:19 & 104:12, 106:21, & 174:4, 179:10, & \[
238: 25
\] \\
\hline dim & 234:25 & \[
190: 22
\] & dot \\
\hline 16:13 & discussion & dive & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 122:11, } 160: 20 \\
& \text { dotted }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline dining & 20:25, 105:1, & \[
70: 12, \quad 70: 19
\] & dotted \\
\hline 190:14 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
105: 3, & 186: 24 \\
231: 4, & 232: 12
\end{array}
\] & diversion
\[
39: 6
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 84: 21 \\
& \text { double }
\end{aligned}\right.
\] \\
\hline dinning & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 231: 4, \quad 232: 12, \\
& 234: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
39:6 \\
divert
\end{tabular} & double
\[
110: 22
\] \\
\hline direct & discussions & 4:22 & doubt \\
\hline 110:5 & 141:5, 141:8 & diverted & \[
53: 7, \quad 110: 17
\] \\
\hline directing & disease & \(38: 22,40: 1\) & dowden's \\
\hline 212:19 & 188:15 & & 6:14, 106:14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & ```
100:21
eeeee
7:22, 218:24,
219:1
effect
78:16, 90:25,
91:13, 111:12
effects
90:18, 181:21,
221:13, 235:22
efficiency
191:22
effort
182:17, 194:13
egg
162:4
eight
16:15, 36:22,
50:10, 200:15,
200:25, 201:7,
211:20, 217:19,
218:11
either
40:13, 72:15,
80:12, 83:22,
85:12, 113:3,
113:4, 124:24,
133:8, 144:20,
153:24, 158:19,
161:23, 174:23,
190:21, 194:1,
196:17, 220:25,
238:22
elder
38:24
electronic
101:20
electronically
243:4
elevation
153:1, 153:2,
153:15, 153:19,
154:14, 154:16,
154:20, 154:21,
155:18, 158:6,
158:20, 158:24,
158:25, 159:6,
159:20, 159:21
``` & ```
elevations
155:2
eligibility
42:21
eliminate
30:4
elm
188:15
elms
188:15
elsabett
29:1
else
127:23, 233:2
else's
26:1
email
28:24, 29:2,
238:2
embarrassed
238:19
emissions
221:2
emit
221:22
emitted
196:7, 212:11,
221:9, 221:16
emphasize
164:14, 189:2
employed
243:5, 244:7
employees
141:6
enclave
112:17, 116:20,
117:2, 227:5
encompasses
13:1
end
8:12, 45:17,
89:17, 131:6,
138:7, 149:13,
157:25, 163:8,
183:11, 194:1,
194:2
ended
132:5
``` & ```
ending
151:25
ends
122:6, 135:10,
135:12, 138:5
engine
203:24, 204:15
engineer
27:24, 150:1
engineer's
46:8, 47:3
engineering
6:10, 6:12,
27:23, 79:20,
100:7, 100:14,
100:17, 100:18,
101:19, 107:17,
107:23, 107:24,
194:14, 218:20
engineers
24:9, 99:17,
100:9
english
3:14, 76:5,
136:15, 136:16
english's
34:16, 146:1
enhanced
219:3
enhancing
219:7
enitza
60:21, 62:7,
132:18
enjoyment
90:19, 91:10,
189:19
enough
39:25, 47:18,
60:19, 67:7,
77:18, 118:15,
164:15, 172:12,
172:15, 177:10,
177:15, 189:2,
210:13, 211:3,
211:4, 240:9,
240:14
ensure
111:18, 112:5,
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline ```
157:21, 229:23
enter
101:9, 134:23,
234:21
entered
50:15, 50:22,
50:24, 50:25,
51:1, 51:6,
100:19, 119:6,
202:11, 202:21
entering
189:7
entertained
226:2
entire
45:24, 161:23
entirely
124:19
entirety
14:8
entrance
76:10, 76:20,
121:18, 210:23,
211:2
entrances
197:13
entranceway
25:16
entries
168:11
entry
44:19, 50:10
environment
13:8, 117:9
environmental
14:5, 14:23,
14:25, 15:3,
28:23, 29:16,
184:7, 221:12
environmentally
29:5
environments
182:15
equally
33:24, 101:12,
108:6
equate
77:12
``` & ```
equates
67:24
equation
5:19, 72:2,
81:10, 81:18,
81:19, 81:22,
81:23, 83:16,
83:17, 85:12,
88:3, 103:23
equations
65:10
equity
111:13, 111:16
equivalent
210:1, 221:4,
221:6
erode
28:18
erosion
13:23
erroneous
51:23, 51:24
error
51:9
errors
44:19, 44:22
es
15:9, 100:20
especially
16:7, 34:22,
60:25, 66:20,
69:9, 76:17,
79:5, 98:17,
106:5, 188:4,
193:10, 211:8
esquire
3:8, 3:15
essential
29:12
established
12:7, 13:20,
42:8
establishing
9:24
establishment
211:16, 222:12
estates
139:1
``` & ```
estimate
18:1, 18:2,
18:8, 81:17,
81:25, 87:19,
88:18, 89:10,
89:14, 89:16,
91:25, 132:11
estimated
44:2, 67:17,
79:23, 80:10,
81:10, 98:9,
98:12
estimates
61:10, 74:16,
82:16, 83:18,
93:9, 103:23,
111:1, 192:2
estimating
79:15, 87:22,
96:1
etcetera
197:16
evaluate
106:10, 161:15
evaluated
63:15, 120:20
evaluates
140:18
even
12:11, 16:4,
16:6, 21:19,
26:13, 28:9,
48:1, 49:4,
62:10, 72:17,
75:22, 76:5,
100:4, 111:6,
120:24, 121:10,
132:1, 149:4,
149:5, 149:7,
156:25, 161:1,
161:2, 161:3,
172:12, 172:18,
177:8, 183:8,
185:12, 189:21,
191:9, 211:10,
212:24, 213:17,
219:25, 220:19,
222:3
``` & evening
\(16: 12, \quad 87: 7\),
\(87: 8, \quad 193: 22\),
\(209: 21\)
evenness
\(191: 22\)
events
\(31: 20, \quad 79: 6\)
eventually
\(157: 21\)
ever
\(122: 19, \quad 123: 18\),
\(188: 24\)
evergreen
\(163: 20, \quad 209: 23\)
every
\(187: 13, \quad 209: 21\),
\(232: 10\)
everybody
\(143: 3, \quad 151: 13\)
everyone's
\(126: 4, \quad 151: 18\)
everything
\(44: 13, \quad 127: 12\),
\(127: 23, \quad 166: 13\),
\(206: 7, \quad 219: 16\),
\(219: 21, \quad 220: 3\),
\(237: 23, \quad 239: 6\),
\(240: 12\)
everything's
\(167: 19\)
eviidence
\(34: 3, \quad 197: 3\)
evident
\(40: 15, \quad 141: 15\),
\(191: 8\)
ex2
\(21: 22, \quad 22: 15\),
\(23: 14\)
ex3
\(21: 11, \quad 21: 12\),
\(21: 18, \quad 22: 12\),
\(22: 15\)
ex 4
\(21: 11, \quad 21: 13\),
\(21: 18, \quad 22: 12\)
ex7
\(160: 23\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline eyes & failed & 188:14 & 145:12, 148:6, \\
\hline 35:3, 47:17, & 17:19, 24:14, & father & 148:7, 152:5, \\
\hline 48:1, 49:1, & 25:1, 25:12, & 122:21, 123:2 & 152:23, 153:24, \\
\hline 49:4, 143:9, & 55:23, 77:20, & fault & 154:13, 154:19, \\
\hline 192:2, 192:4 & 179:19 & 179:18 & 158:7, 158:21, \\
\hline F & failing & favorable & 158:25, 159:6, \\
\hline £ & 66:3, 66:12, & 139:17 & 180:23, 182:23, \\
\hline 7:7, 144:3, & 66:14 & favorite & 183:2, 183:4, \\
\hline 144:14, 144:17, & fails & 111:21 & 186:13, 187:10, \\
\hline 160:8 & 79:7, 159:15 & feasibility & 187:11, 187:15, \\
\hline face & fairgrounds & 132:8, 139:14, & 187:18, 187:20, \\
\hline 191:17 & 34:13 & 149:6 & 188:25, 189:5, \\
\hline facilities & fairly & feasible & 193:6, 196:19, \\
\hline 28:10, 79:1, & 122:25, 167:14 & 132:9 & 197:14, 197:22, \\
\hline \[
140: 20,149: 25,
\] & fall & feature & 197:25, 198:4, \\
\hline 180:17, 228:18 & 32:23, 40:21, & 9:14, 10:5, & 198:5, 198:6, \\
\hline facility & 171:6, 176:23, & 10:25, 209:22, & 198:8, 198:9, \\
\hline 27:25, 38:24, & 190:12, 193:11 & 209:23 & 198:10, 198:11, \\
\hline 39:2, 43:6, & falling & feedback & 198:13, 207:4, \\
\hline 43:10, 78:25, & 162:10, 162:11 & 126:18, 130:7, & 207:21, 208:17, \\
\hline 80:13, 83:23, & families & 130:8 & 225:22, 227:13, \\
\hline 89:7, 89:8, & 111:20 & feel & 227:15, 227:16, \\
\hline 106:20, 188:21, & family & 176:21, 238:4 & 227:17, 227:18, \\
\hline 188:25, 189:4, & 17:1, 111:25, & feelings & 232:4, 235:3 \\
\hline 210:13, 211:6, & 115:2, 124:18, & 30:5 & fence \\
\hline 227:19, 229:2, & 192:9 & feet & 88:23, 189:10, \\
\hline 229:3, 229:4, & family's & 10:4, 10:6, & 89:21, 189:24, \\
\hline 230:8, 231:15, & 159:14 & 10:9, 10:11, & 90:25, 192:14, \\
\hline 232:9 & fan & 10:20, 10:22, & 2:24, 193:10 \\
\hline facing & 155:25 & 11:1, 16:24, & ew \\
\hline 169:23, 171:9, & far & 17:20, 18:19, & 38:19, 52:4, \\
\hline 176:4, 176:12, & 42:20, 43:11, & 18:20, 19:3, & \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { 91:25, 99:4, } \\ \text { 206:8, } & \end{array}\) \\
\hline 176:14, 178:14 & 68:10, 76:7, & 21:19, 22:1, & 206:8, 213:16, \\
\hline fact & 76:8, 128:17, & 22:5, 23:11, & 214:16 \\
\hline 11:5, 12:16, & 130:24, 140:8, & 23:15, 24:7, & \\
\hline 72:13, 111:8, & 140:9, 152:21, & 24:12, 25:13, & 23:20 \\
\hline 128:22, 140:23, & 177:18, 188:23, & 26:4, 26:12, & ffi \\
\hline 190:15, 208:11, & 232:21, 233:4, & 31:1, 37:15, & 4:15, 22:20, \\
\hline 210:12 & 234:24, 236:16, & 52:19, 56:8, & 23:2, 23:7, \\
\hline factor & 238:3, 240:9, & 56:10, 57:10, & 23:21, 23:22 \\
\hline 80:1, 80:11, & 240:14 & 57:13, 57:15, & fiction \\
\hline 88:20, 89:4 & farm & 59:18, 61:16, & 184:9, 213:18 \\
\hline factors & 39:1 & 72:5, 72:6, & field \\
\hline 79:24 & farther & 75:10, 75:12, & 177:13 \\
\hline facts & 78:18 & 89:8, 89:19, & fifty \\
\hline 30:10, 222:16 & fascinating & 89:22, 90:11, & 36:1 \\
\hline fail & 236:19 & 91:2, 102:14, & figure \\
\hline 68:1 & fast & 116:19, 138:5, & 17:17, 131:15, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 148:8, 148:9, & finish & 201:6, 201:8 & foot-candles \\
\hline 148:13, 155:23 & 166:18 & flashlight & 191:21, 213:17, \\
\hline figures & finished & 210:6 & 213:23, 214:16 \\
\hline 110:25 & 133:3 & fleet & foot-deep \\
\hline filed & first & 12:23 & 25:10 \\
\hline 121:21 & 13:20, 13:25, & flip & foot-tall \\
\hline files & 14:5, 23:3, & 36:20 & 16:15 \\
\hline 122:1, 127:13 & 32:14, 37:1, & flooding & foot-wide \\
\hline final & 37:19, 38:2, & 13:23 & 186:12, 187:10 \\
\hline 6:22, 21:21, & 43:18, 91:25, & floor & footage \\
\hline 22:14, 94:24 & 104:19, 112:10, & 16:3, 113:21, & 198:16, 198:24 \\
\hline finally & 143:9, 160:19, & 189:23, 191:10, & footnote \\
\hline 31:22, 99:4, & 160:20, 177:6, & 193:6, 193:9, & 47:4, 47:10, \\
\hline 107:8, 116:11, & 184:1, 189:23, & 216:23, 226:25 & 47:17, 47:20, \\
\hline 211:17, 234:11, & 193:6, 193:9, & floors & 69:8, 70:4, \\
\hline 235:4 & 216:23, 220:11 & 216:23 & 81:21 \\
\hline financed & fit & florida's & footprint \\
\hline 133:16 & 49:6, 81:19, & 44:18 & 197:18, 198:3, \\
\hline financial & 81:20, 85:5, & flow & 198:9, 198:10, \\
\hline 243:6, 244:8 & 85:8 & 28:15, 67:24, & 205:5 \\
\hline find & fits & 67:25, 77:16, & forced \\
\hline 14:13, 17:22, & 84:23, 85:10 & 101:11, 105:23, & 67:25 \\
\hline 18:8, 34:15, & fitted & 105:25 & forcing \\
\hline 48:21, 48:25, & 85:13, 85:22 & flows & 60:3 \\
\hline 49:14, 62:10, & five & 29:9 & forecast \\
\hline 63:5, 63:22, & 10:1, 16:16, & follow & 41:20 \\
\hline 76:7, 77:16, & 18:6, 60:8, & 100:2 & forecasts \\
\hline 89:5, 121:25, & 118:1, 118:17, & follow-up & 68:15 \\
\hline 123:19, 129:16, & 122:22, 124:12, & 107:4 & foregoing \\
\hline 149:17, 149:20, & 168:13, 174:16, & following & 243:2, 244:3 \\
\hline 149:22, 173:16, & 193:23, 195:14, & 4:25, 5:25, & forest \\
\hline 175:24, 177:21, & 197:9, 201:2, & 6:25, 101:1, & 163:22, 164:1, \\
\hline 179:1, 183:20, & 204:25, 205:7, & 107:3, 200:17 & 167:18, 182:16 \\
\hline 195:19, 198:19, & 211:18, 215:10, & food & forestry \\
\hline 209:13, 213:8, & 215:11, 215:12, & 183:11 & 182:12 \\
\hline 214:15, 235:7 & 215:16, 215:21, & foot & forests \\
\hline finding & 215:23, 215:24, & 7:5, 33:16, & 14:7 \\
\hline 79:3 & 216:4, 216:8, & 47:22, 59:20, & forget \\
\hline findings & 217:23, 217:25, & 98:7, 133:18, & 109:24 \\
\hline \[
99: 1, \quad 112: 3,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
218: 1, & 218: 7, \\
200: 1
\end{array}
\] & 134:21, 135:1, & forgot \\
\hline \[
117: 25
\] & \[
220: 1
\] & 136:3, 136:4, & 21:14, 205:10 \\
\hline finds & fixture & 136:7, 136:19, & forgotten \\
\hline \[
31: 17,173: 4
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
203: 24, & 204: 22, \\
212: 19, & 213: 17,
\end{array}
\] & 137:2, 179:15, & \[
174: 10
\] \\
\hline fine & 212:19, 213:17,
213:19, 213:20, & \[
179: 16,181: 22
\] & form \\
\hline 21:9, 67:8, & 213:19, 213:20, & 188:5, 189:2, & 85:20 \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
213:21 \\
fixtures
\end{tabular} & \[
197: 6,198: 20,
\] & formal \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 185: 18, \quad 239: 10, \\
& 240: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & fixtures
193:20, 200:24, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
207: 19, & 208: 16, \\
208: 22, & 229: 16
\end{array}
\] & 132:16, 141:6 \\
\hline 240.24 & 193:20, 200:21, & 208:22, 229:16 & forms \\
\hline & & & 15:24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline forth & 228:19 & fs & gap \\
\hline 170:8, 234:12, & frame & 22:22, 22:23, & 6:9, 6:15, \\
\hline 235:2 & 41:8, 124:4, & 23:1 & 6:16, 33:14, \\
\hline forward & 124:19 & full & 99:5, 99:9, \\
\hline 194:17 & framework & 129:20, 184:5, & 99:12, 99:24, \\
\hline found & 90:25 & 193:5, 193:8 & 100:1, 100:10, \\
\hline 33:7, 34:3, & franchise & funding & 100:22, 101:2, \\
\hline 34:17, 37:6, & 180:3 & 117:12 & 101:7, 101:8, \\
\hline 51:16, 77:25, & franchisee & further & 101:11, 101:13, \\
\hline 78:5, 79:1, & 111:23, 179:25, & 15:17, 28:1, & 101:15, 101:16, \\
\hline 79:24, 92:20, & 224:2 & 28:14, 33:22, & 101:18, 101:20, \\
\hline 95:3, 99:6, & franchiser & 34:18, 39:10, & 101:25, 102:3, \\
\hline 99:23, 106:15, & 111:23, 179:24 & 91:25, 107:5, & 102:4, 102:5, \\
\hline 106:24, 117:16, & frank & 112:24, 116:16, & 102:7, 103:12, \\
\hline 120:21, 121:11, & 13:22 & 116:24, 117:14, & 103:14, 103:16, \\
\hline 129:19, 149:19, & free-flowing & 121:8, 132:6, & 104:2, 104:3, \\
\hline 168:5, 173:3, & 27:19 & 139:11, 141:10, & 105:15, 105:19, \\
\hline 192:7, 197:7, & frequency & 148:8, 149:8, & 107:4, 107:25, \\
\hline 226:19, 228:11, & 31:20 & 157:22, 158:3, & 108:2, 108:4, \\
\hline 229:8 & frequent & 161:1, 226:6, & 108:5, 108:7, \\
\hline foundation & 79:8 & \[
230: 3, \quad 238: 9
\] & 108:24, 108:25 \\
\hline 14:18 & frequently & future & gaps \\
\hline four & 5:15, 16:7, & 158:2, 180:22, & 6:11, 6:13, \\
\hline 13:9, 13:12, & \[
34: 12, \quad 73: 2
\] & 180:25 & \(6: 16,33: 22\), \\
\hline 17:11, 17:14, & \[
74: 4,74: 18,
\] & G & 34:1, 101:5, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 18: 12, \\
& 29: 11, \\
& 27: 12,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
76: 6
\] & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 101: 6, \quad 101: 15, \\
& 101: 21, \quad 101: 23,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 29: 11, \\
& 65: 17, \\
& 65: 15, \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & friday & 19:5, 20:15, & \[
102: 8, \quad 102: 12,
\] \\
\hline \[
100: 20,101: 23,
\] & 242:2, \(242: 4\),
242:16 & 23:17, \(24: 10\),
\(150: 23, ~ 154: 4\), & 104:6, 104:7, \\
\hline 116:25, 117:9, & frightening & \[
154: 8, \quad 155: 1
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
104: 9, & 104: 17, \\
108: 3, & 108: 13
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 122: 3, \quad 124: 8, \\
& 125: 21, \quad 126: 12,
\end{aligned}
\] & 33:7 & 158:8, 158:13, & garage \\
\hline \[
134: 6,135: 4,
\] & fro & 161:7, 161:10 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { yataye } \\
& 116: 9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
141: 16,142: 20,
\] & 80:15 & g1 & garden \\
\hline 146:19, 146:21, & front
\[
7: 5,25: 15
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 217: 3, \quad 217: 14 \\
& 218: 17
\end{aligned}
\] & 227:10 \\
\hline 157:15, 169:23, & \[
26: 17,100: 25,
\] & |g2 & gardener \\
\hline 172:2, 175:10, & \[
115: 18,133: 18 \text {, }
\] & 218:12 & 34:11 \\
\hline 177:6, 180:4, & 134:21, 135:1, & g3 & gauge \\
\hline 192:16, 200:25, & \[
135: 7,136: 3
\] & \[
217: 8, \quad 217: 11
\] & 201:23 \\
\hline 201:12, 201:13, & \[
136: 4, \quad 136: 7
\] & ga & gave \\
\hline 201:14, 201:20, & \[
136: 19, \quad 137: 2,
\] & \[
7: 10
\] & 54:4, 54:8, \\
\hline \(204: 25, ~ 205: 7, ~\)
\(206: 4,213: 7\), & \[
137: 10, \quad 146: 7
\] & gaithersburg & \[
89: 12
\] \\
\hline 206:4, 213:7, & 168:22, 210:23, & 11:24, 12:15, & general \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 216: 3,217: 20, \\
& 222: 18,222: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & 211:2, 211:7, & 33:2, 34:13 & \[
4: 13,14: 12,
\] \\
\hline \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
222: 18, & 222: 19, \\
222: 20, & 223: 10,
\end{array}\right.
\] & 216:15, 219:17 & gambrills & 14:16, 14:18, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 222: 20 \\
& 223: 11
\end{aligned}
\] & front-facing & 224:13, 225:9, & \[
14: 21,15: 5 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline four-lane & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 220: 3, \quad 220: 5, \\
& 220: 7
\end{aligned}
\] & 225:20, 225:21 & \[
31: 25,32: 10,
\] \\
\hline 51:14, 62:19, & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
106:8, 225:14 generally \\
16:12, 34:10, 44:2, 102:1, 162:14, 184:4, 225:15, 231:8 generate
\[
89: 6, \quad 213: 22,
\]
\[
214: 16, \quad 232: 11
\]
generated \\
41:15, 41:19, \\
79:17, 80:10,
\[
93: 3, \quad 93: 12
\] \\
generation
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 5: 18, \quad 79: 15, \\
& 79: 20, \\
& 79: 21, \\
& 79: 23, \\
& 87: 19, \\
& 99: 97: 21, \\
& 99: 15
\end{aligned}
\] \\
generous
\[
182: 24, \quad 198: 14
\]
geometry \\
112:25 \\
george
\[
20: 15, \quad 57: 8
\] \\
georgia \\
173:3 \\
getting
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 10: 14, \quad 47: 12, \\
& 62: 8, \quad 66: 3, \\
& 66: 14, \quad 70: 10, \\
& 91: 15, \quad 148: 10, \\
& 165: 25, \quad 166: 1, \\
& 232: 20, \quad 242: 7
\end{aligned}
\] \\
ggg
\[
4: 16,24: 21
\]
\[
24: 23
\] \\
gggg
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 6: 14, \quad 106: 16, \\
& 106: 17 \\
& \text { give } \\
& 10: 16, \quad 10: 17, \\
& 36: 16, \quad 53: 6, \\
& 56: 21, \quad 58: 23, \\
& 65: 23, \quad 67: 18, \\
& 69: 22, \quad 93: 15, \\
& 94: 13, \quad 95: 1, \\
& 108: 8, \quad 115: 14,
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} &  &  & \(127: 22, \quad 184: 21\),
\(186: 21, \quad 211: 11\),
\(234: 16, \quad 241: 21\)
goodness
81:19
google
\(4: 10, \quad 4: 18\),
\(4: 21, \quad 57: 17\),
\(137: 12, \quad 137: 18\),
\(137: 19, \quad 169: 2\),
\(169: 7, \quad 169: 13\),
\(225: 9, \quad 226: 19\),
\(228: 11\)
gotten
\(45: 7, \quad 47: 20\),
\(95: 6\)
government
\(231: 8\)
grade
\(123: 10, \quad 161: 21\)
grades
\(161: 19\)
grading
\(144: 3\)
graduate
\(44: 4\)
granddaughters
\(60: 10\)
grande
\(39: 8, \quad 39: 12\),
\(39: 14\)
granted
\(78: 4, \quad 79: 7\)
granting
\(229: 18\)
graphed
\(107: 20\)
gravel
\(57: 22, \quad 197: 16\)
gravity
\(162: 6, \quad 162: 7\),
\(162: 8, \quad 162: 11\)
great
\(11: 17, \quad 36: 20\),
\(131: 16\)
greater
\(23: 11, \quad 23: 15\),
\(140: 12\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline green & 197:11, 197:21, & 54:21, 115:9, & headlights \\
\hline 67:20, 138:19, & 198:19, 207:20, & 128:3, 184:17, & 192:19, 193:1, \\
\hline 138:21, 157:17, & 209:3, 209:11, & 225:2 & 193:16, 193:17, \\
\hline 158:5, 158:17, & 211:24, 212:10, & happening & 193:20, 220:13 \\
\hline 158:18, 219:11, & 212:22, 216:13, & 39:11, 59:21, & heads \\
\hline 219:22, 220:4 & 217:2, 217:12, & 125:9, 128:8, & 225:1 \\
\hline greer & 218:16 & 128:12, 130:25 & headwaters \\
\hline 2:9, 243:2, & guidelines & happens & 13:1, 13:18, \\
\hline 243:11 & 5:19, 43:14, & 234:6 & 13:21, 27:18 \\
\hline gross & 63:1, 221:11 & happy & health \\
\hline 226:25 & gut & 241:17 & 13:25, 23:9, \\
\hline ground & 113:20 & hard & 29:12, 221:12 \\
\hline 19:4, 153:25, & gym & 49:6, 73:13, & hear \\
\hline 191:21, 192:1, & 24:5, 34:14 & 130:20, 183:23, & 143:10, 193:14, \\
\hline 193:2, 193:5, & H & 207:4, 217:21 & \[
241: 1
\] \\
\hline 193:7, 216:22, & h-o-d-g-s-o-n & hardscape & heard \\
\hline 220:11 & 28:25 & 196:19, 196:22, & 110:15, 126:22, \\
\hline ground-level & habit & 197:7, 197:11, & 128:14, 131:21, \\
\hline 192:20 & 130:12 & 197:17, 197:21, & 214:24, 214:25 \\
\hline group & habitat & 197:22, 198:10, & hearings \\
\hline 23:3, 106:14, & 13:17, 29:13 & 198:21, 198:24, & \[
1: 1,3: 4, \quad 123: 1
\] \\
\hline 134:1, 134:3, & habitats & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 207: 4, \quad 207: 18, \\
& 207: 19, \quad 207: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & hearsay \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
168: 20
\] \\
grouped
\end{tabular} & 13:9 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
207: 19, & 207: 22, \\
208: 18, & 208: 22
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 231: 8 \\
& \text { heated }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
168: 18
\] & half & harm & heated \\
\hline grouping & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 66: 2, \quad 66: 3, \\
& 66: 14, \quad 66: 25,
\end{aligned}
\] & 111:25, 164:18, & heavily \\
\hline 101:21 & \[
120: 25,183: 2,
\] & 180:7 & 32:2, 119:9 \\
\hline grove & 236:10 & harmonious & heavy \\
\hline 12:8, 12:9, & hand & 235:10 & 33:24 \\
\hline 12:18, 24:2, & \[
32: 4, \quad 32: 11,
\] & harmony & height \\
\hline 24:4, 25:10, & \[
38: 8, \quad 109: 2 \text {, }
\] & 31:25, 32:9 & 33:8, 193:9 \\
\hline 60:11, 117:6 & 109:6, 129:25, & harris & heights \\
\hline growth & \[
184: 19
\] & \[
227: 18
\] & \[
112: 18,116: 18,
\] \\
\hline 13:11, 184:5, & handbook & hate & \[
227: 13
\] \\
\hline 188:14 & 6:12, 79:21, & \[
34: 22
\] & held \\
\hline guess & 100:14, 100:18, & hazardous & 2:1 \\
\hline 119:18, 127:15, & 107:24, 148:18 & 23:10 & helmet \\
\hline 130:11, 131:7, & handing & hcm & 35:10 \\
\hline 236:18 & 88:25 & 63:20 & help \\
\hline guidance & handle & hcs & 11:13, 106:9, \\
\hline 79:15, 79:22, & \[
16: 6,18: 17,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5: 15 \\
& h
\end{aligned}
\] & 111:17, 131:12, \\
\hline 88:2, 99:11, & \[
75: 18, \quad 98: 18
\] & hcs 7 & \[
223: 17
\] \\
\hline \[
100: 9
\] & hands & \[
44: 15
\] & helpful \\
\hline guide & \[
76: 14
\] & head & 76:13, 241:2 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
100: 11, & 194: 16, \\
194: 18, & 195: 21,
\end{array}
\] & happen & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 34: 12, \quad 104: 25, \\
& 221: 14
\end{aligned}
\] & helps \\
\hline \(194: 18,195: 21\),
\(196: 5,196: 12\), & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 156: 21 \\
& \text { happened }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
221:14 \\
heading
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 56: 15, \quad 131: 3 \\
& \text { here }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 196:23, 197:8, & 32:24, 50:20, & 105:6, 105:8 & 40:11, 47:22, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline housing & i-t-e-t-r-i-p-g-- & immediately & \[
71: 4, \quad 73: 1,
\] \\
\hline 14:24, 15:20, & \[
e-n
\] & 13:13, 27:17, & 74:3, 155:4, \\
\hline 227:7 & 82:22 & 140:1, 172:10 & 161:17 \\
\hline how's & idea & impact & impossible \\
\hline 20:7, 54:1, & 17:23, 109:21, & 12:5, 17:7, & 161:14, 184:2, \\
\hline 55:10 & 109:22, 115:14, & 28:4, 36:23, & 201:23 \\
\hline how-to & 115:15, 133:13, & 37:7, 77:21, & impractical \\
\hline 100:11 & 138:1, 139:4, & 79:11, 91:9, & 106:23 \\
\hline however & 156:22, 162:10, & 92:19, 95:1, & improve \\
\hline 17:25, 18:5, & 186:21, 240:22, & 95:2, 95:23, & 129:13 \\
\hline 39:6, 40:20, & 241:23 & 107:6, 110:19, & improved \\
\hline 44:22, 50:14, & identical & 111:11, 140:18, & 54:16 \\
\hline 53:13, 71:5, & 37:17 & 159:8, 164:15, & improvement \\
\hline \[
73: 1,74: 3
\] & identified & 164:21, 166:10, & \[
15: 1, \quad 123: 17
\] \\
\hline 79:3, 80:21, & 17:20, 145:1, & 171:4, 172:17, & improvements \\
\hline 80:23, 81:21, & 157:17, 162:19, & \[
172: 22,177: 18 \text {, }
\] & 123:18, 124:17, \\
\hline 99:11, 99:25, & 162:20, 163:3, & 188:20, 221:22, & 133:18, 139:25, \\
\hline 100:6, 103:13, & 167:9, 168:1, & 235:24, 235:25 & 197:12 \\
\hline 106:20, 119:10, & 218:18 & impacted & inaccessible \\
\hline 120:19, 127:11, & identifies & 90:19, 110:24, & 142:25, 143:3, \\
\hline 133:1, 138:16, & 35:12 & 172:9, 173:9 & 143:5, 144:6, \\
\hline 139:15, 148:21, & identify & impacting & 144:14 \\
\hline 149:13, 152:1, & 134:14, 181:8, & 234:22 & inadequate \\
\hline 155:24, 168:4, & 181:11 & impacts & 234:23 \\
\hline 172:22, 177:17, & idsa & 27:20, 79:9, & inappropriate \\
\hline 183:6, 191:7, & 194:15, 197:3 & 107:9, 188:21, & \[
120: 2
\] \\
\hline 191:24, 193:4, & iesna & 197:4, 230:5 & incandescent \\
\hline 193:19, 229:1, & 194:14, 195:18, & impediment & \[
210: 2
\] \\
\hline 231:17, 231:23 & \[
197: 2
\] & \[
125: 2
\] & incapable \\
\hline human & ignore & impervious & \[
13: 16, \quad 18: 21
\] \\
\hline 191:24, 221:12 & 235:14 & 16:24, 17:10, & inch \\
\hline humans & iii & 17:21, 18:7, & \[
171: 11,187: 13
\] \\
\hline 221:24 & 4:18, 36:19, & 197:20, 198:2, & inch-diameter \\
\hline hundred & \[
36: 21, \quad 117: 17
\] & 198:7, 198:8 & \[
175: 6
\] \\
\hline 98:6, 174:11 & illuminating & imperviousness & inches \\
\hline hundreds & \[
194: 13
\] & 17:17, 29:8, & 175:5, 185:22, \\
\hline \[
98: 18
\] & illumination & \[
29: 13
\] & 187:16 \\
\hline husband & 16:14, 195:17, & implement & include \\
\hline 124:1, 131:21, & 212:20 & \[
44: 12
\] & \[
14: 25, \quad 17: 19
\] \\
\hline 178:1, 179:6 & illustrated & implementation & \[
18: 2,18: 7,
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{hydraulic
\[
132: 11,140: 17
\]} & 158:5 & 63:13, 79:4 & 188:17, 197:15, \\
\hline & illustration & implemented & 197:17 \\
\hline 180:22 & \begin{tabular}{l}
216:11, 216:17 \\
images
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 75:17, } 78: 23 \\
& \text { implication }
\end{aligned}
\] & included
\[
15: 8,17: 15,
\] \\
\hline I & 164:20 & 159:3 & 42:6, 62:25, \\
\hline i's & imagine & importance
228:17 & \[
64: 9,64: 11,
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{117:17} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 39: 25 \\
& \text { immediate }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
228:17 \\
important
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 99: 24, \quad 103: 25, \\
& 113: 21, \quad 132: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & 140:1 & 69:9, 70:13, & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 158:1, 163:19, & indicate & information & insistent \\
\hline 195:8, 197:17, & 12:13, 25:1, & 24:8, 124:6, & 117:21 \\
\hline 197:19, 216:10, & 52:16, 68:15, & 128:20, 132:8, & install \\
\hline 227:7 & 88:4, 161:2, & 134:20, 134:24, & 23:12, 140:7, \\
\hline includes & 220:7 & 136:1, 136:2, & 162:17 \\
\hline 87:19, 100:9 & indicated & 136:7, 136:8, & installations \\
\hline including & 17:11, 21:20, & 136:14, 136:25, & 191:20, 191:23, \\
\hline 26:17, 78:24, & 27:14, 27:16, & 155:4, 156:12, & 221:15 \\
\hline 112:22, 119:5, & 27:21, 39:23, & 156:24, 162:22, & installed \\
\hline 168:13, 172:3, & 40:20, 75:21, & 162:23, 166:16, & 132:1, 185:20, \\
\hline 188:2, 197:12, & 93:6, 97:16, & 166:19, 167:2, & 200:25, 201:2 \\
\hline 209:15, 227:7 & 102:8, 117:11, & 167:7, 167:25, & instances \\
\hline inclusion & 138:3, 148:6, & 237:7, 244:6 & 24:18, 195:6 \\
\hline 180:18 & 152:7, 161:11, & informed & instead \\
\hline incompatibility & 173:2, 177:9, & 132:13, 232:16 & \[
102: 13,172: 10,
\] \\
\hline 179:12, 194:10 & 187:16, 201:5, & infrastructure & \[
231: 20
\] \\
\hline incompatible & 203:23, 206:20, & 16:9, 18:25, & institute \\
\hline 16:22, 17:2, & 207:24, 208:23, & 26:24, 139:6, & 44:18, 79:19, \\
\hline 17:3, 27:3, & 212:10, 218:12, & \[
161: 22,161: 24,
\] & 99:17, 100:9 \\
\hline 29:15, 222:13, & \[
234: 15
\] & \[
162: 14
\] & institutional \\
\hline 235:18 & indicates & infrastructures & 229:14 \\
\hline inconceivable & 24:10, 35:19, & 140:20 & instructions \\
\hline 39:25 & 36:4, 57:20, & inherent & 234:8 \\
\hline incongruent & 80:23, 92:15, & 235:22 & instruments \\
\hline 15:23, 211:14 & 138:8, 138:10, & initial & 102:17 \\
\hline inconsistent & 148:11, 148:19, & 107:3, 206:18, & intakes \\
\hline 12:3 & 197:24, 198:2, & 206:25, 207:16, & \[
131: 7
\] \\
\hline incorrect & 198:3, 212:22, & \[
207: 21, \quad 221: 15
\] & intended \\
\hline 9:11, 51:18, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 217: 2, \quad 217: 12, \\
& 218: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & initially & 117:19, 225:15, \\
\hline \[
69: 1, \quad 69: 2,
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
218:16 \\
indicating
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 202: 17 \\
& \text { initiative }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
226: 23
\] \\
\hline \[
69: 6,93: 9,
\] & indicating
\[
50: 5,86: 8,
\] & initiative & intense \\
\hline \(107: 2\)
increase & \[
157: 1
\] & 117:16 & 91:4, 192:4, \\
\hline increase
28:19, 179:18 & indication & inkberry & 225:25, 235:9 \\
\hline 28:19, 179:18
increased & \[
36: 16,65: 23,
\] & 183:14 & intensity \\
\hline increased & 141:8 & inlets & 31:19, 32:7, \\
\hline \(184: 7\)
increases & individual & 28:17 & 32:13, 79:6, \\
\hline increases & \[
89: 18, \quad 90: 10,
\] & input & 91:12, 179:11 \\
\hline 187:14
increasing & 91:1, 101:14, & \[
72: 16, \quad 217: 21
\] & intensive \\
\hline increasing
197:4 & 105:18 & inputs & 79:8, 235:23 \\
\hline increasingly & industrial & insects & \[
91: 8
\] \\
\hline 68:16 & 119:2, 119:21 & 221:25 & intent \\
\hline incredibly & industry & inside & 192:18, 229:19 \\
\hline \[
183: 6
\] & 172:20, 172:21 inform & \[
15: 15,143: 5
\] & interest \\
\hline independently & inform
\[
29: 2
\] & \[
191: 9, \quad 201: 3
\] & 243:6, 244:8 \\
\hline \(116: 15\)
index & informal & insist & interesting \\
\hline index & \[
141: 5
\] & 121:15, 129:20, & 58:21 \\
\hline 221:3 & 141:5 & 129:21, 232:18 & interface \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline interfering & 114:7, 114:10, & 120:17, 122:4, & 161:25, 162:2, \\
\hline 74:12 & 122:9, 122:18, & 124:9, 133:2, & 162:12, 163:3, \\
\hline international & 122:19, 122:20, & 134:4, 141:7, & 187:23, 191:19, \\
\hline 194:15, 195:17 & 123:7, 123:12, & 142:18, 150:10, & 230:23, 232:17 \\
\hline interpret & 123:23, 127:7, & 172:24, 175:11, & ite \\
\hline 219:4 & 127:21, 132:6, & 181:6, 195:18, & 5:19, 5:20, \\
\hline interpretation & 132:20, 132:24, & 201:15, 205:24, & 79:20, 79:23, \\
\hline 51:18 & 132:25, 156:3 & 216:6, 218:4, & 80:10, 81:8, \\
\hline interrupt & intersections & 218:24, 222:23 & 81:11, 81:13, \\
\hline 46:4, 126:15, & 37:17, 43:20, & introducing & 87:18, 87:21, \\
\hline 129:9, 137:17 & 63:15, 63:16, & 202:5 & 87:24, 88:18, \\
\hline interruption & 103:19, 103:20 & introduction & 89:13, 100:11 \\
\hline 73:17 & intersects & 44:5 & ite's \\
\hline intersection & 86:12, 86:20, & inventory & 100:14, 100:16, \\
\hline 5:4, 5:8, 5:9, & 154:23 & 177:9 & 100:18, 107:16, \\
\hline 5:11, 16:18, & interval & investigated & 107:22, 107:24 \\
\hline 24:1, 34:25, & 101:25 & 118:17 & item \\
\hline 37:1, 37:2, & intervals & investigation & 36:22, 92:21, \\
\hline 37:4, 37:13, & 101:21, 101:24, & 128:1 & 95:8, 95:16 \\
\hline \(37: 15,38: 12\), & 102:1 & invin & iterations \\
\hline 38:21, 38:23, & intolerable & 151:12 & 14:4 \\
\hline 39:1, 39:16, & 67:24, 77:16 & invisible & itetripgen \\
\hline 40:2, 40:12, & intriago & 183:7 & 82:15, 82:20, \\
\hline 44:7, 44:8, & 17:11, 17:14, & involve & 87:24 \\
\hline 44:20, 44:21, & 17:23, 18:10, & 16:14 & itself \\
\hline 48:16, 48:19, & 18:12, 21:3, & involved & 96:3, 230:15 \\
\hline 51:15, 52:19, & 141:4, 141:7, & 31:21 & J \\
\hline 56:17, 59:13, & 141:11, 141:13, & irrelevant & january \\
\hline 59:14, 60:23, & 149:9, 150:1 & 117:24 & 235:6 \\
\hline 60:25, 62:5, & intriago's & isd & jennifer \\
\hline 62:20, 62:22, & 18:2, 141:9, & 197:3 & 60:20, 62:7, \\
\hline 63:13, 64:3, & 141:15 & issue & \[
76: 2
\] \\
\hline 64:9, 64:12, & introduced & 51:4, 60:2, & jesse \\
\hline \(65: 4, ~ 65: 6, ~\)
\(65: 19,65: 22\), & 9:16, 10:13, & \[
70: 11,70: 13,
\] & 2:9, 82:21, \\
\hline 65:19, 65:22, & 15:10, 23:7, & \[
71: 4, \quad 81: 8,
\] & 243:2, 243:11 \\
\hline 65:24, 66:1, & 24:23, 25:7, & 122:10, 122:18, & jiffy \\
\hline 66:9, 66:15, & \[
36: 21,38: 1,
\] & 122:19, 123:23, & 225:21 \\
\hline 66:16, 66:21, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
38: 18, & 45: 25, \\
48: 24 & 59 \cdot 9
\end{array}
\] & 150:12, 157:16, & jji \\
\hline 67:18, 68:6, & 48:24, 59:9, & 184:21, 191:6, & 4:20, 37:18, \\
\hline \(68: 8,75: 20\),
\(76: 4,76: 8\), & 64:7, 64:19, & 220:7, 221:14, & 37:19, 38:1, \\
\hline \(76: 4, \quad 76: 8\),
\(76: 18, ~ 96: 4\), & 66:7, 71:16, & 231:16, 232:13, & 38:3, 39:19, \\
\hline \(76: 18, ~ 96: 4\),
\(96: 9, ~ 96: 15\), & 72:24, 80:5, & 233:10 & 40:8, 40:24, \\
\hline \(96: 9, ~ 96: 15\),
\(96: 20, ~ 96: 24, ~\) & \(82: 8,82: 11\),
\(88: 13, ~ 91: 22\), & issues & 41:7, 41:25, \\
\hline \(96: 20, ~ 96: 24, ~\)
97 & 88:13, \(91: 22\),
\(94: 4, ~ 95: 21\), & \(28: 23,57: 5\),
\(60: 24,68: 8\), & 42:7, 42:16, \\
\hline 98:9, 98:12, & 97:3, 99:20, & \begin{tabular}{ll} 
60:24, \\
\(111: 68: 8\), \\
\hline \(122: 8\),
\end{tabular} & 42:18 \\
\hline 98:16, 99:13, & 100:21, 106:17, & 123:21, 141:13, & jjij \\
\hline 102:14, 102:22, & 107:15, 119:8, & 150:3, 159:15, & 6:18, 119:7, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 119:8, 119:16, & kelvin & 128:14, 128:19, & \[
76: 24,86: 8,
\] \\
\hline 126:5 & 217:21, 220:25, & 129:2, 129:3, & 91:13, 93:8, \\
\hline job & 221:1, 221:3, & 129:7, 129:9, & 99:2, 103:24, \\
\hline 1:23, 171:14 & 221:6, 221:8, & 129:25, 130:1, & 125:24, 126:4, \\
\hline jody & 221:16, 222:1, & 130:11, 130:14, & 128:9, 128:10, \\
\hline 3:8 & 222:3, 222:6, & 130:20, 130:25, & 128:11, 128:17, \\
\hline johnson & 222:7 & 131:5, 131:11, & 129:22, 130:12, \\
\hline 3:21 & kelvins & 143:7, 143:9, & 130:25, 137:2, \\
\hline joins & 211:21 & 143:13, 143:17, & 138:13, 142:21, \\
\hline 27:17 & kept & 151:15, 151:17, & 149:7, 149:18, \\
\hline joint & 31:19, 234:25 & 176:9, 177:11, & 152:24, 154:2, \\
\hline 182:17, 194:13 & kids & 177:16, 184:18, & 154:24, 155:4, \\
\hline jolley & 70:25 & 185:2, 185:6, & 155:15, 162:8, \\
\hline 172:16, 173:20, & killed & 185:18, 186:21, & \[
162: 9,162: 15
\] \\
\hline 173:24, 192:17 & 190:22 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 230: 14, \quad 230: 18, \\
& 231: 1, \quad 231: 4,
\end{aligned}
\] & 163:21, 169:7, \\
\hline jr
\(3.15, ~\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{kim} \\
& 7: 10, \quad 173: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
236: 5,236: 6,
\] & \[
172: 12,174: 16 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline july & | kind & 236:12, 236:15, & \[
174: 19, \quad 174: 21,
\] \\
\hline 124:10, 230:12, & 60:18, 77:11, & 237:2, 237:14, & 175:23, 183:9, \\
\hline 231:12 & 97:16, 100:11, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 237: 18, \quad 237: 21, \\
& 238: 4, \quad 238: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
184: 18, & 190: 5, \\
194: 12, & 209: 18,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline jump & 236:16 & \[
238: 19,239: 8
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 194: 12, \quad 209: 18, \\
& 214: 6, \quad 214: 17,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 89:20 & kitchen & \[
240: 7,240: 19,
\] & \[
215: 22,222: 13,
\] \\
\hline jurisdiction's
\[
224: 6
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll}
9: 13, & 10: 3, \\
10: 24, & 190: 13
\end{array}\right.
\] & 240:25, 241:8, & 224:12, 232:20, \\
\hline jurisdictions & \[
\mathbf{k k k}
\] & \[
241: 12,241: 15,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 232: 24, ~ 232: 25, \\
& 233 \cdot 3,234 \cdot 15
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
188: 10,188: 12
\] & \[
4: 21, \quad 38: 15
\] & \[
242: 9,242: 20
\] & 235:13, 237:19, \\
\hline justification & kkkk & kline's & 238:1, 238:2, \\
\hline 11:21, 11:22, & 6:20, 120:16, & 236:23 & \[
239: 11,239: 22,
\] \\
\hline \[
29: 3,43: 5
\] & 120:17 & knew
\[
69: 9, \quad 69: 10
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
240:10 \\
knowledge
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 77: 25, \quad 80: 19, \\
& 81: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & kline
\(3: 8,8: 9,8: 11\), & \[
122: 10,122: 17,
\] & \[
42: 23,171: 15
\] \\
\hline K & 8:14, 46:4, & \begin{tabular}{l}
127:6, 128:6 \\
know
\end{tabular} & known
\[
122: 23,226: 1,
\] \\
\hline katherine & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 46: 12,47: 1, \\
& 47: 6,47: 16,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
9: 21,16: 4
\] & \[
233: 4
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 168: 5 \\
& \text { keep }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
47: 24,48: 1
\] & \[
19: 8,21: 7,
\] & kodali \\
\hline \[
15: 19, \quad 30: 9,
\] & 49:7, 49:9, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
25: 24, & 26: 1, \\
32: 23, & 34: 21
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 111: 24 \\
& \text { kosarv's }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 39:20, 88:12, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 49: 10, \quad 49: 11, \\
& 90: 1, \quad 109: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
37: 22,52: 5,
\] & \[
143: 14
\] \\
\hline \[
111: 17,114: 22,
\] & 109:3, 109:4, & \[
52: 11, \quad 53: 13,
\] & L \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 116: 4, \quad 125: 11, \\
& 146: 23,183: 25,
\end{aligned}
\] & 109:20, 109:21, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 54: 5, \quad 58: 22, \\
& 58: 25, \quad 59: 23,
\end{aligned}
\] & 1-a-t-r \\
\hline 189:14, 232:25 & 119:23, 125:13, & \[
60: 17, \quad 64: 11,
\] & 63:2, 63:3, \\
\hline keeping & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
125: 15, & 125: 19, \\
125: 22, & 126: 1,
\end{array}
\] & \[
65: 9,69: 7,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 63: 25, \quad 64: 1 \\
& \text { label }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 14:6, 14:10, & \[
126: 8, \quad 126: 12
\] & \[
69: 8,69: 11
\] & \[
175: 21,219: 18
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 14: 11, \quad 17: 5, \\
& 31: 9, \quad 89: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & 126:14, 126:17, & \[
69: 19, \quad 70: 7,
\] & labeled \\
\hline keeps & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
126: 20, & 127: 2, \\
127: 16, & 127: 17
\end{array}
\] & \[
71: 8, \quad 72: 2
\] & 38:3, 40:8, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 40:23, 41:7, & lane & 113:16, 115:1, & latr \\
\hline 48:17, 50:9, & 56:8, 56:16, & 115:7, 116:17, & 5:19, 63:1, \\
\hline 104:19, 176:12 & 57:19, 57:20, & 116:18, 219:3, & 63:2, 63:4, \\
\hline labeling & 57:22, 59:17, & 225:15, 225:18, & 63:13, 63:16, \\
\hline 202:16 & 59:18, 59:20, & 226:23 & 63:20, 63:23, \\
\hline labels & 59:23, 59:25, & largest & 64:2, 66:10, \\
\hline 49:24, 97:17, & 60:3, 61:13, & 39:6, 98:5, & 79:14, 79:16, \\
\hline 219:7 & 61:14, 61:15, & 111:14 & 79:22, 81:11, \\
\hline laboratory & 61:16, 62:2, & larry & 81:21, 82:1, \\
\hline 218:21 & 62:3, 62:4, & 87:17 & 82:3, 82:6, \\
\hline lack & 63:15, 65:21, & lasko & 88:2, 89:5, \\
\hline 235:24 & 71:21, 72:6, & 117:20, 118:16, & 99:11 \\
\hline lake & 101:3, 101:6, & 118:25, 119:11, & laughter \\
\hline 27:18, 112:17, & 105:23, 106:1, & 119:17, 122:21, & 9:6, 11:18, \\
\hline 116:24, 131:24, & 190:11, 190:18, & 123:2, 123:4 & 19:10, 19:15, \\
\hline 132:5, 132:25, & 227:2, 227:4, & lasko's & 20:6, 30:19, \\
\hline 133:4, 138:9, & 227:11 & 119:20 & 49:3, 70:21, \\
\hline 138:25, 139:1 & lanes & last & 71:3, 88:23, \\
\hline lakes & 56:16, 74:12, & 57:19, 73:25, & 89:3, 90:7, \\
\hline 13:22 & 75:18, 107:6 & 133:24, 146:22, & 91:21, 223:20, \\
\hline lamp & lap & 178:2, 195:11, & 234:1, 236:8, \\
\hline 196:6, 206:14 & \[
30: 18
\] & 215:20, 216:1 & 236:11, 239:2 \\
\hline lamps & large & last-minute & laura \\
\hline 203:5, 206:16 & 7:22, 14:24, & 106:2 & 28:25 \\
\hline lancaster & 24:15, 24:24, & lat & law \\
\hline 6:18, 118:23, & 25:2, 25:9, & 151:13 & 3:16 \\
\hline 119:18, 120:14, & 26:22, 27:24, & late & lawn \\
\hline 120:23, 121:20 & 72:16, 76:22, & 16:5, 27:9, & 26:1, 26:6, \\
\hline land & 89:18, 91:4, & 113:22, 139:3 & 26:15 \\
\hline 15:2, 18:4, & 91:8, 94:16, & later & lawyer \\
\hline 41:20, 111:3, & 106:23, 110:19, & 139:4, 159:14 & 90:6, 233:23 \\
\hline 121:3, 123:3, & 112:18, 116:9, & lateral & layhill \\
\hline 186:10, 187:8, & 116:22, 117:18, & 138:11, 138:18, & 227:23, 227:25, \\
\hline 195:15, 225:18 & 117:19, 119:17, & 138:22, 139:5, & 228:8, 228:9, \\
\hline landscape & 119:21, 140:8, & 145:12, 146:5, & 228:13, 228:18, \\
\hline 192:17, 192:25 & 162:14, 168:19, & 146:9, 146:10, & 228:23, 228:25, \\
\hline landscapes & 168:20, 172:2, & 148:24, 150:4, & 229:1, 229:6 \\
\hline 182:11 & 172:4, 172:14, & 151:11, 151:20, & lays \\
\hline landscaping & 174:1, 178:17, & 151:24, 152:2, & \[
147: 4
\] \\
\hline 118:24, 119:18, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 179: 7, \quad 183: 11, \\
& 185: 10, \quad 194: 6,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 154: 17, \quad 154: 22, \\
& 155: 5, \quad 155: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
lead \\
79:9, 100:25
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 121:20, 173:21, & 195:24, 209:14, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 155: 5, \quad 155: 16, \\
& 155: 20, \quad 156: 16 .
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
79: 9, \quad 100: 25
\] \\
leading
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 173:22, 180:15, & 211:6, 211:13, & \(155: 20,156: 16\),
\(157: 1,158: 15\), & leading
\[
190: 18, \quad 210: 25
\] \\
\hline \(180: 21, ~ 181: 18\),
\(181: 19, ~ 182: 4\), & 219:1, 221:16, & \(157: 1,158: 15\),
\(158: 22, ~ 159: 21\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 190: 18, \quad 210: 25, \\
& 231: 14
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 189:10, 197:14, & 227:18, 229:10, & laterals & leads \\
\hline \[
230: 9
\] & 232:7, 232:10, & 152:5 & 76:4 \\
\hline landscaping's & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
235: 23
\] \\
larger
\end{tabular} & latest & lean \\
\hline 120:14 & larger
\[
16: 25,101: 25 \text {, }
\] & 79:19, 103:17 & 131: 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 221:23, 222:2, & 123:6, 124:1, & 188:18, 202:23 & local \\
\hline 222:3, 222:6 & 124:11, 130:6, & listening & 41:20, 43:13, \\
\hline liked & 133:9, 138:3, & 240:11 & 63:1, 228:13 \\
\hline 88:22 & 138:8, 138:10, & lit & locate \\
\hline likely & 138:11, 138:15, & 210:11, 211:2, & 146:8 \\
\hline 18:1, 101:12, & 138:21, 141:4, & 222:8, 222:9 & located \\
\hline 108:6, 152:1, & 141:17, 144:5, & literally & 12:6, 12:15, \\
\hline 183:10 & 145:22, 146:1, & 26:5, 26:13, & 22:15, 29:4, \\
\hline limbs & 146:12, 147:9, & 32:14, 138:5, & 30:23, 30:25, \\
\hline 188: 6 & 150:3, 152:3, & 151:25, 175:14 & 43:6, 44:9, \\
\hline limit & 158:5, 158:17, & little & 44:13, 63:17, \\
\hline 24:11, 170:20, & 158:18, 170:21, & 11:4, 16:12, & 89:8, 102:21, \\
\hline 171:2, 171:7, & 171:3, 171:8, & 21:13, 21:16, & 148:9, 168:21, \\
\hline 179:10, 185:23 & 173:21, 175:22, & 22:4, 30:8, & 190:9, 218:21, \\
\hline limitations & 176:2, 176:7, & 49:24, 58:21, & 225:10, 225:19, \\
\hline 78:24, 79:5, & 176:8, 176:10, & 121:11, 121:21, & 226:18, 228:8, \\
\hline 79:8 & 176:11, 179:10, & 123:6, 131:6, & 229:12 \\
\hline limited & 179:22, 180:2, & 131:8, 141:2, & locating \\
\hline 31:13 & 180:5, 187:11, & 150:21, 157:22, & 111:6 \\
\hline limiting & 189:1, 189:22, & 158:1, 158:3, & location \\
\hline \[
29: 8
\] & 192:16, 193:19, & \[
160: 20, \quad 161: 4,
\] & \[
4: 11,7: 6
\] \\
\hline limits & 201:4, 208:17, & 223:6, 231:25, & \[
23: 25,33: 20,
\] \\
\hline 24:13, 24:16, & 213:13, 214:8, & 232:1, 232:20, & 33:21, 37:5, \\
\hline 24:18, 57:21, & 214:9, 214:17, & 233:4, 236:15 & 57:18, 98:16, \\
\hline 145:25, 172:3, & 214:20, 214:21, & live & 117:4, 123:8, \\
\hline \[
172: 15,174: 4
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
214:24, 215:6, \\
219:11, 219:17,
\end{tabular} & \[
39: 8, \quad 60: 22
\] & \[
132: 6,132: 9,
\] \\
\hline \[
187: 20, \quad 196: 1
\] & 219:11, 219:17,
219:22, 220:4, & \[
89: 23,183: 22,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
138: 17, & 138: 18, \\
147 \cdot 15 & 148 \cdot 21
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline line & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
219: 22, & 220: 4, \\
224: 11, & 226: 5,
\end{array}
\] & \[
188: 3, \quad 210: 13
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 147: 15, \quad 148: 21, \\
& 152: 6 . \quad 156: 21 .
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
9: 12,9: 14,
\] & 224:11, 226:5,
\[
227: 22
\] & lived & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
152: 6, & 156: 21, \\
159 \cdot 9 & 204 \cdot 25
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \[
10: 3, \quad 10: 5
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
227: 22
\] \\
linear
\end{tabular} & \[
32: 25,121: 22,
\] & 159:9, 204:25, \\
\hline \[
10: 9, \quad 10: 10
\] & linear & \[
128: 1,128: 6,
\] & 227:21, 232:14 \\
\hline \[
10: 18, \quad 10: 20
\] & 81:10, 81:17, & \[
193: 2,225: 25
\] & locations \\
\hline 10:21, 10:25, & \[
81: 22,83: 16 \text {, }
\] & living & 9:17, 10:12, \\
\hline 17:12, 18:12, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 85: 25, \quad 88: 3 \\
& \text { lines }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
111: 19,188: 22
\] & 10:18, 23:17, \\
\hline 28:5, 28:12, & \[
17: 15,18: 25,
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \text { llc } \\
& 3: 16 . \quad 21: 3
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 37: 1, \quad 41: 3, \\
& 103: 6, \quad 158: 22,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 28: 14, \quad 31: 1, \\
& 31: 2,38: 6,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
24: 19,27: 22,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3: 16, \quad 21: 3 \\
& \text { 1ll }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 103: 6,158: 22, \\
& 184: 7
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 39:23, 39:24, & 28:1, 43:25, & 4:23, 45:11, & lock \\
\hline 51:5, 57:20, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
56: 14, & 84: 10, \\
84: 14, & 84: 16,
\end{array}
\] & 45:21, 45:22, & 189:9 \\
\hline 60:7, 78:19, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 84: 14, \\
& 84: 16, \\
& 84: 17, \\
& 85: 5,
\end{aligned}
\] & 45:25, 48:8, & locked \\
\hline \(84: 20,84: 21\),
\(85: 8,85: 9\), & \[
86: 2,86: 12,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 48: 11, \quad 50: 7, \\
& 50: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
184:22, 184:24 \\
long
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 85:14, 85:16, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 86: 14, ~ 86: 17, \\
& 86 \cdot 18
\end{aligned}
\] & 1111 & 53:10, 56:8, \\
\hline 86:3, 86:19, & 86:18, 132:10,
138:4, 145:25, & 6:22, 122:3, & 56:10, 61:25, \\
\hline 89:9, 89:20, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 138: 4, \quad 145: 25, \\
& 160: 17, \quad 189: 12,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
122: 4, \quad 125: 15
\] & 62:1, 148:24, \\
\hline 89:22, 90:11, & 160:17, 189:12, & \[
125: 18, \quad 126: 9,
\] & 188:3, 210:14, \\
\hline \[
91: 2, \quad 118: 2,
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
213:24 \\
list
\end{tabular} & \[
126: 25,127: 4
\] & \[
231: 9, \quad 241: 5
\] \\
\hline 121:4, 123:5, & \begin{tabular}{l}
list \\
145:7, 145:8,
\end{tabular} & loading & long-standing \\
\hline & 145:7, 145:8, & 114:1 & 116:21, 118:20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ma'am & maintaining & mandavas & 119:24, 120:20, \\
\hline 49:11, 125:19, & 29:7, 29:17, & 111:24, 179:18, & 172:12, 183:25, \\
\hline 125:22, 236:6, & 31:10, 112:13 & 180:8 & 202:17, 210:17, \\
\hline 237:2 & maintains & maneuver & 221:14, 221:19, \\
\hline machine & 12:23 & 105:14 & 234:22, 234:25, \\
\hline 226:3 & maintenance & maneuvers & 235:1, 235:2, \\
\hline madam & 12:20, 39:2 & 35:1 & 237:11 \\
\hline 8:12, 9:8, & major & manhole & map \\
\hline 32:18, 52:21, & 18:25, 26:23, & 142:24, 143:2, & 6:6, 95:23, \\
\hline 66:24, 109:14, & 28:19, 43:6, & 144:7, 151:6, & 95:24, 97:14, \\
\hline 126:1, 130:19, & 101:10, 101:12, & 152:16, 157:25, & 97:24, 98:1 \\
\hline 144:24, 185:2, & 106:19, 123:13, & 159:2, 160:23 & maple \\
\hline 195:10, 200:17, & 225:19, 228:20 & manholes & 172:4, 172:25, \\
\hline 203:3, 223:5, & majority & 21:11, 21:18, & 188:1, 188:4 \\
\hline 234:7, 237:1 & 41:14, 116:16 & 21:22, 23:14, & maples \\
\hline made & make & 26:3, 152:7, & 173:4, 173:9, \\
\hline 41:13, 68:13, & 28:13, 38:13, & 154:3, 154:12, & 182:21, 182:24, \\
\hline 80:7, 112:6, & 45:23, 60:13, & 158:19, 158:21, & 187:25, 188:10, \\
\hline 115:5, 121:12, & 60:15, 73:25, & 160:12, 161:8 & 188:13, 188:17 \\
\hline 123:18, 132:16, & 87:12, 103:9, & mansion & maps \\
\hline 139:16, 141:7, & 105:3, 105:21, & 39:3 & 4:10, 4:18, \\
\hline 180:7, 180:8, & 105:24, 106:5, & manual & 4:21, 57:17, \\
\hline 219:3 & 119:10, 121:17, & 6:10, 43:19, & 137:12 \\
\hline main & 123:10, 130:3, & 43:22, 44:12, & march \\
\hline 7:6, 13:6, & 161:14, 204:24, & 63:18, 65:11, & 1:12 \\
\hline 24:3, 27:13, & 211:4, 219:3, & 67:21, 75:22, & marginal \\
\hline 131:23, 132:1, & 219:8, 236:21, & 77:12, 79:20, & 102:3 \\
\hline 132:5, 132:14, & 240:14, 241:8 & 80:10, 81:9, & marked \\
\hline 132:16, 132:19, & makes & 82:25, 87:19, & 8:2, 19:6, \\
\hline 132:22, 133:5, & 53:21, 117:23, & 87:21, 99:15, & 21:1, 21:12, \\
\hline 133:8, 135:10, & 121:9, 123:6, & 100:7, 100:11, & 42:18, 56:14, \\
\hline 136:5, 136:18, & 124:3, 131:2 & 100:16, 101:18, & 82:13, 138:6, \\
\hline 138:2, 138:5, & makeup & 103:13, 103:15, & \[
138: 19,138: 20,
\] \\
\hline 138:7, 138:8, & 224:14 & 103:17, 103:25, & \[
142: 25, \quad 143: 2,
\] \\
\hline 138:17, 138:23, & making & 107:16, 107:22, & \[
145: 20, \quad 145: 21
\] \\
\hline 139:5, 139:11, & 27:18, 34:25, & 208:2 & 145:24, 169:22, \\
\hline 139:13, 140:6, & 39:17, 70:11, & manufacturer's & 169:23, 174:23, \\
\hline 146:11, 147:7, & 82:3, 92:23, & 218:17 & 216:17, 220:9 \\
\hline 147:14, 148:4, & 99:1, 117:22, & many & market \\
\hline 148:5, 148:20, & 124:13, 195:3 & 16:25, 22:22, & 118:7, 225:17, \\
\hline 149:1, 149:3, & man & 24:12, 26:19, & 226:8 \\
\hline 149:8, 157:16, & 234:19 & 27:2, 28:3, & maryland \\
\hline 157:21, 160:10, & management & 33:9, 52:1, & 1:2, 2:10, \\
\hline 160:18, 161:9, & 18:24 & 52:2, 71:13, & 13:8, 13:14, \\
\hline 161:14, 161:15, & mandava & 74:17, 79:12, & 15:16, 33:19, \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
227: 25
\] \\
maintain
\end{tabular} & 180:2 & \[
88: 11, \quad 90: 10,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
33: 24, & 37: 4, \\
27.0 & 27.12
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 14:6, 16:12 & mandava's
\(179: 22,180: 4\) & 101:20, 109:11, & \[
38: 8,38: 20,
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & materials
\(197: 15\)
mathematical
\(43: 24, \quad 194: 8\)
matter
\(8: 8, \quad 35: 4\),
\(89: 17, \quad 199: 7\)
matters
\(168: 10\)
maximum
\(38: 24, \quad 193: 13\),
\(212: 23, \quad 217: 2\),
\(217: 13, \quad 218: 16\)
maybe
\(35: 3, \quad 130: 22\),
\(185: 12, \quad 214: 14\),
\(238: 1\),
mcdot
\(124: 24, \quad 129: 19\),
\(129: 21\)
mcpherson
\(110: 16\)
mcpherson's
\(111: 1, \quad 111: 5\)
mctrans
\(44: 16, \quad 73: 1\),
\(74: 3, \quad 74: 13\)
md
\(3: 11, \quad 3: 18\)
mdot
\(6: 14\)
mean
\(20: 18, \quad 25: 19\),
\(49: 16\),
\(53: 11\),
\(63: 25, \quad 63: 4\),
\(69: 9, \quad 72: 11\),
\(77: 5, \quad 77: 10\),
\(77: 14, \quad 102: 3\),
\(128: 5, \quad 139: 8\),
\(152: 8, \quad 152: 23\),
\(154: 14, \quad 159: 23\),
\(162: 4, \quad 163: 18\),
\(164: 24, \quad 165: 6\),
\(165: 10, \quad 165: 12\),
\(182: 3, \quad 189: 18\),
\(191: 2, \quad 240: 17\),
\(241: 1\) & ```
meaning
102:3
means
42:4, 77:16,
87:22, 144:11,
144:12, 144:13,
224:21
meant
35:16, 103:18,
109:4
measure
101:5, 196:5
measured
    100:24, 101:2,
102:9, 102:12,
102:13, 192:1,
196:4
measurement
57:17
measurements
9:9, 57:15,
57:16, 57:21
measures
57:19, 81:19,
108:6
measuring
191:20, 212:10
medians
197:13
medical
221:10
medium
168:19, 189:13,
217:20
meet
197:20, 230:24
meeting
66:10, 120:20,
120:25, 230:21,
232:1, 235:6,
239:18
meetings
34:14
megan
1:25, 244:2,
244:15
melody
90:12
``` & member
\(28: 21, ~ 168: 9\),
\(234: 15\)
members
\(140: 11\)
men
\(128: 17\)
mention
\(24: 15, \quad 81: 24\),
\(120: 18, \quad 121: 9\)
mentioned
\(17: 9, \quad 50: 17\),
\(51: 10, \quad 59: 10\),
\(62: 16, \quad 71: 20\),
\(112: 8, \quad 121: 10\),
\(122: 24, \quad 139: 16\),
\(188: 7, \quad 189: 15\),
\(190: 4, \quad 194: 8\),
\(232: 15\)
mentioning
\(211: 18\)
mentions
\(103: 5\)
merit
\(234: 13\)
messed
\(88: 7\)
messy
\(141: 2\)
met
\(230: 12, \quad 231: 11\)
metadata
\(144: 7\)
metal
\(221: 4\)
meter
\(7: 6, \quad 191: 21\)
method
\(81: 12, \quad 83: 18\),
\(103: 14, \quad 191: 24\),
\(196: 11, \quad 196: 15\),
\(196: 16, \quad 196: 22\)
methodology
\(206: 11\)
methods
\(29: 14, \quad 43: 19\),
\(43: 22, \quad 43: 24\),
\(44: 5, \quad 70: 12\), \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
81:9, 99:12,
99:14, 99:16,
100:2, 105:1,
105:2, 196:10
metro
23:25, 24:2,
106:7, 117:6,
226:25
mic
126:18, 130:23,
130:24
microphone
109:24
microsimulation
74:15, 106:10
microsoft
240:23, 242:17
mid-atlantic
182:15, 225:21
mid-county
228:12, 229:3,
229:21
mid-rise
227:10
middle
12:21, 118:19,
127:17, 127:18,
133:11, 145:13,
146:6, 146:9,
151:25, 211:7,
219:10
midpoint
154:18, 156:6,
156:10, 186:12,
187:9
might
43:2, 111:16,
111:20, 128:17,
133:25, 186:20,
205:4, 220:19
mile
9:3
miles
53:10, 72:8,
72:9
mill
37:5, 116:25
miller
3:9
``` & mind
\(71: 1, \quad 119: 25\),
\(170: 8, \quad 185: 1\)
mine
\(9: 1, \quad 184: 22\),
\(184: 24\)
minimal
\(219: 23\)
minimize
\(146: 12\)
minimized
\(230: 5\)
minimizing
\(29: 13\)
minimum
\(116: 18, \quad 228: 25\),
\(230: 7, \quad 230: 10\),
\(232: 5\)
minor
\(101: 9\)
minute
\(77: 1, \quad 96: 25\),
\(113: 25, \quad 126: 3\),
\(129: 16, \quad 135: 16\),
\(186: 15, \quad 206: 25\),
\(217: 24\)
minutes
\(52: 4, \quad 67: 7\),
\(67: 8,67: 9\),
\(108: 25, \quad 206: 8\),
\(235: 6\)
misplaced
\(205: 4\)
miss
\(33: 4\)
missed
\(202: 23, \quad 204: 10\)
missing
\(124: 21, \quad 156: 13\),
\(156: 15, ~ 161: 9\),
\(161: 13, ~ 205: 19\)
misspoke
\(165: 1\)
mistake
\(143: 24\)
mistaken
\(232: 17, \quad 237: 20\)
mitchell
\(35: 5, \quad 60: 21\),, & \begin{tabular}{l}
76:2 mitchell's
134:25, 192:9,
\[
213: 23
\] \\
mitchells
191:13, 205:6,
\[
214: 9,214: 22
\]
\[
217: 16
\] \\
mitigate
\[
13: 23,43: 1
\]
\[
177: 24, \quad 178: 23
\]
179:4, 181:21,
\[
181: 25,192: 25
\] \\
mitigated
\[
230: 6
\] \\
mitigation
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 42: 3, \quad 42: 24, \\
& 42: 25 \\
& \text { mixed-use } \\
& 209: 15, \quad 224: 7, \\
& 226: 23, \quad 227: 20
\end{aligned}
\] \\
mm
\[
63: 5
\] \\
mmm
\[
5: 3,48: 22
\]
\[
48: 24
\] \\
mmmm
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 6: 24, \quad 124: 8, \\
& 124: 9 \\
& \text { mncppc } \\
& 168: 9 \\
& \text { model } \\
& 7: 17, \quad 43: 25, \\
& 194: 11, \quad 194: 12, \\
& 194: 15, \quad 195: 3, \\
& 195: 7, \quad 195: 11, \\
& 195: 14, \quad 195: 22, \\
& 196: 1, \quad 197: 9, \\
& 197: 10, \quad 206: 22
\end{aligned}
\]
models \\
221:1 \\
modest
\[
31: 20,32: 7
\]
\[
120: 23
\] \\
modifications
\[
210: 20
\] \\
moment
\[
56: 21,68: 22,
\]
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 194: 21, \quad 194: 24, \\
& 195: 21 \\
& \text { nnn } \\
& 5: 5,57: 23, \\
& 58: 4, \quad 59: 4, \\
& 59: 9, \quad 61: 3, \\
& 61: 6, \quad 212: 3 \\
& \text { nnnn } \\
& 7: 3, \quad 133: 2, \\
& 133: 7, \quad 133: 23, \\
& 146: 14, \quad 146: 24, \\
& 146: 25, \quad 147: 22, \\
& 148: 17 \\
& \text { noise } \\
& 189: 7, \quad 189: 10, \\
& 189: 15 \\
& \text { noisy } \\
& 189: 17, \quad 189: 18 \\
& \text { non } \\
& 235: 21 \\
& \text { non-abutting } \\
& 7: 4,135: 4, \\
& 147: 5, \quad 147: 6, \\
& 147: 10 \\
& \text { non-inherent } \\
& 78: 12, \quad 78: 16, \\
& 90: 18, \quad 90: 24, \\
& 91: 13, \quad 111: 12 \\
& \text { non-profit } \\
& 78: 19 \\
& \text { non-residential } \\
& 196: 2, \quad 196: 9, \\
& 196: 17, \quad 196: 21, \\
& 197: 7, \quad 198: 23, \\
& 206: 21 \\
& \text { non-tidal } \\
& 13: 9 \\
& \text { non-vegetated } \\
& 197: 14 \\
& \text { none } \\
& 224: 7 \\
& \text { nonetheless } \\
& 137: 20, \quad 165: 14, \\
& 184: 9 \\
& \text { normally } \\
& 26: 25, \quad 28: 15 \\
& \text { north } \\
& 12: 14, \quad 12: 16, \\
& \text { and }
\end{aligned}
\] &  \\
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\end{tabular}
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\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
154:7, 166:6, 172:11, 174:9, 174:25, 196:18, 199:12, 205:10, 206:2, 208:16, 215:15, 231:14, 234:20, 235:21 numbers
\[
69: 2,69: 6,
\]
\[
80: 25, \quad 92: 18
\] \\
numerical \\
66:18 \\
numerous
\(\qquad\) \\
76:15, 128:7, 168:19, 195:6 nursing
\[
111: 18
\] \\
oak
\[
182: 25
\] \\
oaks
\[
182: 22
\] \\
oath
\[
8: 18, \quad 110: 13
\] \\
objection
\[
119: 23,120: 6
\]
\[
128: 23, \quad 230: 14
\] \\
objections
\[
109: 20
\] \\
objective
\[
31: 10
\] \\
objectives
\[
14: 25, \quad 15: 3
\] 79:2 \\
obscure
\[
192: 15,192: 20
\] \\
observation
\[
68: 13
\] \\
observations \\
41:12, 41:13, 235:14 \\
observe
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
21: 10, & 33: 18 \\
40: 11, & 40: 25 \\
66: 20, & 213: 16
\end{array}
\] \\
observed
\[
28: 1,34: 24
\]
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
40:18, 59:24, 104:10, 108:3, 108:13, 173:8, 182:15 \\
observes 43:9 \\
observing 77:13 \\
obtained 24:8 obvious 157:20 obviously 12:22, 34:8, 50:9, 65:10, 87:21, 98:5, 102:17, 124:13, 127:8, 137:1, 137:3, 146:12, 149:2, 197:19 occasion 34:16 \\
occasions
\[
33: 8,33: 9
\]
\[
99: 8, \quad 190: 6
\] \\
occupational
\[
23: 8
\] \\
occur
\[
93: 7, \quad 98: 10
\] \\
occurs \\
41:21 \\
october \\
119: 6 \\
offer \\
225:16 \\
offered \\
17:24 \\
offering 189:3 \\
office \\
\(1: 1,3: 3,4: 11\), 10:10, 10:21, 33:5, 39:2, \\
125:16, 127:8, 127:10, 238:21 officer 243:2 \\
offices
\[
3: 16
\]
\end{tabular} &  \\
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\hline  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 65:3, 67:14 } \\
& \text { oooo } \\
& 7: 5, \quad 133: 21, \\
& 134: 4, \quad 134: 6, \\
& 134: 17, \quad 135: 22, \\
& 136: 12, \quad 136: 23, \\
& 137: 6, \quad 137: 10 \\
& \text { open } \\
& 45: 13, \quad 139: 10, \\
& 189: 14 \\
& \text { opened } \\
& 65: 11, \quad 121: 6 \\
& \text { operate } \\
& 24: 16 \\
& \text { operated } \\
& 224: 3 \\
& \text { operating } \\
& 43: 11, \quad 52: 4, \\
& 68: 10 \\
& \text { operation } \\
& 119: 22 \\
& \text { operations } \\
& 23: 10, \quad 44: 3, \\
& 44: 5, \quad 210: 19 \\
& \text { opinion } \\
& 94: 16, \quad 106: 13, \\
& 119: 20, \quad 230: 19 \\
& \text { opposing } \\
& 105: 20 \\
& \text { opposite } \\
& 124: 19 \\
& \text { opposition } \\
& 119: 18, \quad 120: 19 \\
& \text { opposition's } \\
& 18: 23 \\
& \text { options } \\
& 226: 24 \\
& \text { orange } \\
& 43: 20, \quad 63: 17, \\
& 63: 20 \\
& \text { order } \\
& 22: 17, \quad 39: 15 \\
& \text { ordinance } \\
& 7: 17, ~ 112: 5, \\
& 127: 9, \quad 194: 12, \\
& 194: 13, \quad 194: 16, \\
& 195: 4, \quad 195: 7, \\
& 195: 11, \quad 195: 14,
\end{aligned}
\] &  &  \\
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\hline paying & 17:10, 17:12, & period & 182:2, 182:3, \\
\hline 133:17 & 17:24, 18:2, & 38:9, 38:10, & 182:10, 182:20 \\
\hline payment & 18:15, 35:20, & 40:10, 41:4, & photoshopped \\
\hline 119:11 & 35:21, 35:24, & 80:24 & 165:14 \\
\hline peaceful & \(36: 1,36: 4\), & periodically & physics \\
\hline 90:19, 91:10, & 36:6, 36:12, & 197:2 & 189:11 \\
\hline 189:19 & 39:22, 40:2, & periods & pick \\
\hline peak & 40:3, 40:18, & 80:17, 103:16 & 110:1 \\
\hline 5:20, 42:19, & 40:19, 40:21, & permissible & pickup \\
\hline 44:8, 48:16, & 41:17, 41:19, & 212:24 & 80:24, 93:7 \\
\hline 48:19, 49:17, & 80:2, 89:5, & permission & picture \\
\hline 59:15, 68:6, & 92:15, 92:16, & 171:16, 171:22, & 20:3 \\
\hline 80:13, 80:14, & 92:17, 93:11, & 171:23 & piece \\
\hline 80:15, 82:16, & 93:20, 94:11, & permitted & 32:13, 118:10, \\
\hline 83:20, 83:21, & 96:1, 96:3, & 173:18, 177:22, & 134:23, 155:4 \\
\hline 83:23, 87:4, & 96:4, 96:5, & 179:2, 180:6, & piecemeal \\
\hline 87:5, 87:7, & 96:6, 96:7, & 189:1, 189:4 & 162:18 \\
\hline 87:8, 93:2, & 96:8, 96:12, & personally & pieces \\
\hline 93:13, 93:24, & 96:15, 96:16, & \[
119: 11,183: 14
\] & \[
161: 24
\] \\
\hline 104:10 & 96:19, 97:17, & perspective & pike \\
\hline peaking & \[
97: 19, \quad 97: 20,
\] & 52:1, 164:17, & 195:13 \\
\hline \[
220: 9
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 98: 5,104: 3, \\
& 108: 11.177: 19
\end{aligned}
\] & 189:23, 191:2, & pile \\
\hline peaks & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 108: 11, ~ 177: 19, \\
& 198: 2, ~ 198: 7,
\end{aligned}
\] & 192:20, 216:21, & \[
25: 9
\] \\
\hline \[
28: 19
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 198: 2, \quad 198: 7, \\
& 216: 19, \quad 221: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
216: 22, \quad 216: 23
\] & pine \\
\hline pedestrian & 216:19, 221:9 percentage & pertains & 171:11 \\
\hline \[
211: 12,216: 22,
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
percentage \\
18:14, 108:9
\end{tabular} & \[
216: 8
\] & pink \\
\hline \[
222: 8,226: 23
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
18:14, 108:9 \\
percentages
\end{tabular} & pertinent & 38:10, 39:7, \\
\hline pedestrians & percentages
\[
97: 21
\] & 29:21, 228:16 & 39:24, 40:12, \\
\hline 101:9, 210:12, & 97:21 & perturbing & 42:20, 65:8, \\
\hline \[
211: 4,211: 12
\] & percentile
\[
52: 17
\] & \[
105: 22,105: 25
\] & \[
75: 11, \quad 93: 5,
\] \\
\hline peer & percentiles & petitioner & \[
152: 7
\] \\
\hline \[
52: 1
\] & \[
102: 5, \quad 108: 1
\] & \[
8: 14,78: 20
\] & pipe \\
\hline pejorative
\(30: 8,70: 15\), & percents & 79:7, 241:8 & 115:25 \\
\hline 30:8, 70:15,
90:2,
120:10, & \[
97: 13
\] & phone & pipes \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 90: 2, \quad 120: 10, \\
& 123: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & perfect & \(130: 8,130: 12\),
\(130: 14\) & \[
19: 3
\] \\
\hline pejoratives & 81:20 & photo & \[
116: 2, \quad 116: 3
\] \\
\hline 89:25 & perfectly & 23:18, 25:8, & 118:3, 135:8, \\
\hline people & 233:1 & 34:2, 137:18 & 135:12, 137:11, \\
\hline \[
25: 23,31: 21,
\] & performance & photometric & 137:14 \\
\hline \[
33: 5,74: 18,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 196: 10 \\
& \text { performed }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
191: 18,192: 1,
\] & piping \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 78: 25, \quad 128: 5, \\
& 131: 12, \quad 172: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & performed
\[
107: 9
\] & 199:16, 199:17, & \[
159: 20, \quad 159: 23
\] \\
\hline 131:12, 172:9,
172:12, 189:8, & perhaps & 214:3 & pirate \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{ll}172: 12, & 189: 8, \\ 193: 14, & 220: 11,\end{array}\) & perhaps & photos & 175:9 \\
\hline 193:14, 220:11,
\[
240: 15
\] & perimeter & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 176: 22,177: 2 \\
& \text { photoshop }
\end{aligned}
\] & place
27:9, 71:17, \\
\hline percent
\[
6: 16,13: 2 \text {, }
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 179: 16, \quad 213: 14, \\
& 230: 8
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 164: 20, \quad 165: 15, \\
& 166: 9, \quad 181: 16,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 129: 16, \quad 178: 21, \\
& 184: 7, \quad 187: 21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
pruning \\
171:14 \\
ps \\
142:20 \\
public \\
2:10, 119:20, \\
123:1, 139:21, \\
139:24, 149:24, \\
221:12 \\
published \\
100:8 \\
pull \\
9:22, 56:25, \\
57:2, 63:7, \\
164:6, 204:1 \\
pulled \\
56:24, 110:8 \\
pulling \\
47:22 \\
punch \\
214:18 \\
purchase \\
132:3 \\
purchased \\
139:18, 180:6 \\
purchaser \\
179:24 \\
purport \\
169:14, 177:2 \\
purports \\
165:18, 165:19 \\
purpose \\
37:11, 92:25, \\
198:12 \\
purposes \\
12:3, 78:18, 104:14 \\
pursuant \\
2:9 \\
pursue \\
43:3, 231:19 \\
pursuit \\
173:19 \\
put
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 27: 9, \quad 47: 4, \\
& 47: 9, \quad 92: 24, \\
& 123: 4, \quad 134: 10, \\
& 138: 6, \quad 205: 5,
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 205: 25, \quad 206: 6, \\
& 213: 16, \quad 237: 21 \\
& \text { putting } \\
& 28: 15, \quad 137: 25, \\
& 206: 7, \quad 214: 17, \\
& 219: 7
\end{aligned}
\] \\
qq \\
224:15, 224:17, \\
224:24, 225:8 \\
qqq \\
5:11, 66:5, \\
66:7 \\
qqqq
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 7: 8, \quad 150: 6, \\
& 150: 10, \quad 150: 19, \\
& 157: 11, \quad 157: 14, \\
& 157: 15, \quad 160: 3, \\
& 160: 9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
qs \\
157:15 \\
qualifiers \\
42:10 \\
qualify \\
198:21 \\
quality \\
14:6, 15:1, \\
28:2, 28:7, \\
29:8, 29:10, \\
29:17, 52:2, \\
112:13 \\
quantify \\
194:9, 194:10, \\
196:6 \\
quantity \\
86:22, 206:15 \\
quarter \\
111:3 \\
quarters
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 108: 3, \quad 108: 11, \\
& 168: 22 \\
& \text { question } \\
& 30: 1, \quad 30: 4, \\
& 36: 15, \quad 68: 22, \\
& 79: 12, \quad 87: 18, \\
& 92: 1, \quad 94: 24, \\
& 106: 20, \quad 122: 2,
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
125:13, 125:25, \\
126:1, 127:16, \\
128:19, 129:2, \\
130:1, 130:3, \\
138:16, 138:17, \\
138:23, 139:10, \\
159:4, 159:7, \\
200:11, 232:8 \\
questioning
\[
102: 25,128: 1,
\]
224:9 \\
questions
\[
5: 16,72: 19,
\]
\[
73: 2,74: 4,
\] \\
74:19, 123:23, 131:13 \\
queue \\
5:13, 44:1, \\
52:17, 56:11, \\
59:17, 59:22, \\
61:13, 62:14, \\
68:5, 71:20, \\
\(71: 24,71: 25\), \\
\(72: 1,72: 4\), \\
72:6, 72:14, \\
72:17, 72:25, \\
73:19, 74:2, \\
74:9, 74:11, \\
74:15, 75:2, \\
75:9, 75:11, \\
\(75: 13,75: 14\), \\
75:16, 76:3, 76:5 \\
queueing \\
44:2, 62:2, 62:12 \\
queues
\[
43: 25,67: 25,
\]
\[
76: 9
\] \\
queuing
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 43: 22, \quad 43: 24, \\
& 43: 25, \quad 46: 8, \\
& 62: 13,103: 18 \\
& \text { quick } \\
& 162: 10 \\
& \text { quickly } \\
& 28: 17, \quad 89: 12, \\
& 188: 15
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} & quite
\(21: 14, \quad 62: 1\),
\(108: 2, \quad 127: 17\)
quote
\(12: 1, \quad 12: 14\),
\(14: 21, \quad 15: 19\),
\(18: 13, \quad 19: 1\),
\(28: 6, \quad 28: 12\),
\(28: 15, \quad 29: 2\),
\(29: 7, \quad 31: 8\),
\(31: 17, \quad 31: 23\),
\(35: 15, \quad 41: 14\),
\(52: 15, \quad 52: 16\),
\(53: 4, \quad 60: 7\),
\(68: 15, \quad 76: 12\),
\(78: 9, \quad 78: 17\),
\(79: 16, \quad 99: 9\),
\(100: 23, \quad 101: 7\),
\(101: 20, \quad 102: 3\),
\(104: 9, \quad 104: 14\),
\(104: 22, \quad 106: 23\),
\(106: 25, \quad 107: 25\),
\(139: 20, \quad 168: 13\),
\(196: 5, \quad 197: 12\),
\(212: 4, \quad 224: 12\),
\(225: 14, \quad 226: 6\),
\(226: 22, \quad 227: 23\),
\(229: 10\),
\(229: 18\),
\(234: 13\),
quates
quates
\(229: 9\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { raised } \\
& 129: 25, \quad 130: 1, \\
& 184: 19, \quad 230: 23, \\
& 233: 10 \\
& \text { ramps } \\
& 197: 13 \\
& \text { range } \\
& 182: 23, \quad 220: 8, \\
& 220: 10, \quad 221: 9, \\
& 225: 16, \quad 227: 6 \\
& \text { rare } \\
& 193: 23 \\
& \text { rate } \\
& 81: 11, \quad 83: 16, \\
& 85: 14, \quad 85: 16, \\
& 88: 1, \quad 93: 10, \\
& 93: 18, \quad 184: 4, \\
& 188: 14, \quad 218: 12 \\
& \text { rated } \\
& 111: 18 \\
& \text { rates } \\
& 212: 9 \\
& \text { rather } \\
& 16: 13, \quad 34: 25, \\
& 85: 19, \quad 102: 22, \\
& 116: 15, \quad 119: 2, \\
& 139: 24, \quad 185: 14, \\
& 189: 16, \quad 210: 6 \\
& \text { rating } \\
& 7: 19, \quad 211: 18, \\
& 212: 16, \quad 212: 18, \\
& 216: 8, \quad 216: 9, \\
& 216: 15, \quad 217: 8, \\
& 217: 9, \quad 218: 13 \\
& \text { ratio } \\
& 5: 14, \quad 41: 20, \\
& 71: 24, \quad 71: 25, \\
& 72: 7, \quad 72: 25, \\
& 73: 16, \quad 74: 2, \\
& 74: 7, \quad 75: 13, \\
& 84: 5 \\
& \text { ratios } \\
& 72: 14 \\
& \text { re-1 } \\
& 15: 11, \quad 116: 14, \\
& 116: 18, \quad 116: 20, \\
& 116: 23, \quad 117: 4, \\
& 118: 14, \quad 118: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & ```
119:14, 189:5
re-2
116:23
reach
21:18, 148:5
reached
38:22
reaches
13:1
react
58:11
reacting
173:6
reaction
101:14
read
47:17, 47:18,
47:20, 47:25,
49:2, 49:4,
54:23, 74:18,
90:8, 90:9,
143:13, 144:5,
151:17, 199:6
reader
105:4
reading
22:8, 22:10,
119:25, 151:18,
212:13
ready
8:17, 110:11,
236:20
real
123:14, 123:21,
177:24, 179:4,
190:23, 191:6,
220:6
realize
172:9
realized
28:22, 225:24
really
33:7, 33:25,
34:21, 34:22,
53:7, 53:8,
53:22, 55:1,
69:10, 70:10,
70:12, 70:23,
``` & ```
71:4, 89:17,
92:3, 99:25,
106:9, 117:23,
133:13, 138:16,
145:2, 154:21,
155:3, 155:4,
155:14, 155:15,
159:4, 162:18,
183:8, 210:8,
223:19, 241:4,
241:13, 242:8
rear
100:24
rearrangement
180:17
reason
55:20, 62:11,
130:6, 161:25,
162:13, 232:14
reasonable
42:1
reasons
231:19, 233:1
rebuild
21:22, 22:14
rebuttal
237:17
recall
162:6
receive
65:16
recently
28:3, 192:6,
227:9
recess
236:16
recognize
242:9
recollection
122:25
recommend
235:14
recommendation
29:18, 78:8,
127:11
recommendations
12:2, 30:21,
31:7, 32:12,
``` & \(195: 7, \quad 206: 23\),
\(228: 17\)
recommended
\(14: 23, \quad 31: 24\),
\(32: 2, \quad 79: 4\),
\(107: 8, \quad 195: 12\),
\(221: 25\)
recommends
\(121: 5\)
reconvene
\(109: 24\)
record
\(54: 14, \quad 55: 13\),
\(55: 18, \quad 55: 19\),
\(67: 10, \quad 74: 1\),
\(84: 25, \quad 100: 19\),
\(101: 20, \quad 110: 5\),
\(110: 14, \quad 133: 22\),
\(135: 18, \quad 144: 24\),
\(150: 13, \quad 186: 24\),
\(187: 2, \quad 202: 11\),
\(202: 21, \quad 205: 15\),
\(213: 12, \quad 224: 17\),
\(225: 3, \quad 233: 15\),
\(233: 16, \quad 233: 22\),
\(240: 23, \quad 242: 23\),
\(244: 3\)
recorded
\(102: 9, \quad 243: 4\),
\(244: 4\)
recording
\(109: 25, \quad 240: 23\),
\(241: 6, \quad 241: 18\),
\(242: 17, \quad 244: 6\)
records
\(116: 10, \quad 119: 15\),
\(123: 22\)
recreation
\(13: 16, \quad 228: 13\),
\(229: 3, \quad 229: 21\)
red
\(43: 20, \quad 63: 17\),
\(83: 7, \quad 84: 2\),
\(84: 7, \quad 86: 2\),
\(86: 3, \quad 86: 17\),
\(86: 18, \quad 108: 16\),
\(121: 2, \quad 138: 6\),
\(138: 8, \quad 138: 10\),, \\
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\hline  & ```
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82:6, 128:23,
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212:21, 230:10
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228:25, 229:11,
230:3, 232:5,
235:21
requirements
18:18, 78:10,
147:4, 196:13,
211:16, 225:19,
230:7
requires
23:10, 91:4,
105:14, 161:18
rescue
227:19
research
44:3, 44:5,
197:4, 208:8,
208:9
residence
229:12, 232:6
residences
112:18, 117:4,
``` &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline rounds & rush & same & 233:24, 234:2, \\
\hline 72:7 & 33:9, 34:6, & 35:19, 38:10, & 234:18, 236:18, \\
\hline route & 34:10, 43:12, & 41:6, 44:22, & 238:20 \\
\hline 23:25, 43:7 & 60:9, 62:9, & 56:2, 56:3, & saying \\
\hline row & 76:17, 76:21, & 57:5, 62:15, & 20:21, 30:9, \\
\hline 48:17, 48:18, & 109:9, 109:13 & 73:10, 100:24, & 54:3, 54:6, \\
\hline 64:12 & rush-hour & 103:19, 106:13, & 54:7, 83:6, \\
\hline rr & 33:4 & 112:13, 124:19, & 85:16, 98:3, \\
\hline 226:12, 226:13, & russell & 126:21, 132:22, & 98:22, 99:1, \\
\hline 226:16, 226:20 & 18:5 & 136:13, 136:24, & 102:21, 102:23, \\
\hline rrr & S & 144:22, 155:5, & 108:22, 124:24, \\
\hline 5:13, 71:12, & s & 171:5, 178:22, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 126: 22,130: 21, \\
& 131: 3.143: 15 .
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 71:14, 71:16, & \[
6: 20,6: 23,
\] & 191:11, 192:11, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
131: 3, & 143: 15,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 74:22, 75:7, & \[
30: 22, \quad 78: 3
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
193: 15, & 202: 11, \\
202: 21, & 213: 13 .
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
144: 4, \quad 177: 11, \\
185: 23 &
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 177:7, 178:4, & \[
118: 24,127: 5,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 202: 21, ~ 213: 13, \\
& 228: 22, \\
& 229: 22,
\end{aligned}
\] & 185:23 \\
\hline 178:5, 178:6, & \[
188: 14
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 228: 22, ~ 229: 22, \\
& 238: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
says \\
127:18, 146:2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 226:10 & \[
s-d-a-t
\] & |238:4 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 127: 18, \quad 146: 2, \\
& 214: 3
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline rrrr & 113:2 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{san} \\
& 146: 3
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 214: 3 \\
& \text { sb }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 7:13, 175:9, & s-dat & \[
\text { | } 146: 3
\]
sandy & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{s b} \\
& 50: 9,50: 24,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 175: 11, \quad 175: 12, \\
& 175: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
113: 1
\] & sandy
\[
12: 9
\] & \[
69: 14, \quad 70: 1
\] \\
\hline rs & sabran & sanitary & \[
172: 25
\] \\
\hline 71:13, 71:14, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 60: 21, \quad 76: 2, \\
& 111: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & 146:3, 146:25 & scale \\
\hline 175:10, 226:15, & sacrifice & satisfy & \[
221: 4, \quad 235: 20
\] \\
\hline 226:16 & \[
112: 6
\] & \(79: 1\)
saw & scan
\[
55: 23, \quad 55: 25
\] \\
\hline rule & safely & \begin{tabular}{l}
saw \\
89.15
\end{tabular} & 55:23, 55:25 scenario \\
\hline \[
187: 12
\]
rules & 26:21, 106:4 & say & \[
106: 21, \quad 148: 19
\] \\
\hline 172:21 & safety & 11:4, 35:3, & schedule \\
\hline ruling & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
23: 8, & 27: 6, \\
60: 1, & 210: 11
\end{array}
\] & 36:18, 51:25, & 200:21 \\
\hline 233:11 & 210:21, 211:12, & 54:24, 55:13, & school \\
\hline run & \[
222: 9
\] & 62:7, 62:21, & 1:4, 2:1, \\
\hline 106:10 & said & 74:17, 76:11, & 189:11, 224:13 \\
\hline running & \[
45: 4,61: 21
\] & 89:11, 89:21, & schools \\
\hline 85:25, 86:4, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 43: 4, \\
& 76: 12, \\
& 77: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] & 89:22, 104:24, & 7:18, 111:23, \\
\hline 86:11, 138:8, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 0:12, } \\
& 90: 8,110: 1,
\end{aligned}
\] & 108:25, 113:6, & 223:3, 223:24, \\
\hline 138:12, 138:13, & \[
139: 3,140: 5,
\] & 116:6, 118:22, & 224:3, 235:17 \\
\hline 138:22, 145:18, & \[
148: 23,153: 12 \text {, }
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
123: 19, & 129: 18, \\
131: 20, & 137: 18
\end{array}
\] & science \\
\hline 146:6, 155:16, & \[
154: 14,165: 13,
\] & 131:20, 137:18,
\[
138: 1, \quad 149: 15
\] & \[
221: 12
\] \\
\hline 158:20, 159:20, & 191:9, 195:21, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 138: 1, \quad 149: 15, \\
& 155: 22, \quad 157: 23,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & scope \\
\hline 160:18, 160:25, & \[
214: 13,222: 10,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
155: 22, & 157: 23, \\
164: 14, & 164: 24
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6: 6, \quad 12: 5, \\
& 36: 23, \quad 92: 19
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 161:1, 161:2, & \[
226: 20,231: 10,
\] & 164:14, 164:24,
168:25, 175:5, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 36: 23, \quad 92: 19, \\
& 95: 3.95: 24 .
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 161:3, 161:12, & \[
232: 24,243: 3,
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
168: 25, & 175: 5, \\
181: 24, & 204: 15,
\end{array}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 95: 3, \quad 95: 24, \\
& 95: 25
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \(161: 14, ~ 219: 17, ~\)
\(219: 22\) & \[
244: 4
\] & 181:24, 204:15,
|209:20, 210:5, & \[
95: 25
\] \\
\hline \(219: 22\)
runoff & sales & 215:6, 219:23, & score \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { runoff } \\
& 27: 8
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
6: 18,119: 5
\] & \[
224: 1,231: 9
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
221:8 \\
scrambling
\end{tabular} \\
\hline runs & 132:2 & 231:25, 233:23, & \[
178: 23,178: 24
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
scratching \\
104:25 \\
screen \\
screen's \\
58:10 \\
screened
\[
190: 21
\] \\
screening
\[
91: 7,178: 24,
\]
\[
187: 24, \quad 192: 19
\] \\
scroll \\
38:15, 170:8, 223:6
scrolling \\
133:23, 224:16 \\
sdat \\
113:1, 113:4, \\
113:18, 115:2, \\
115:11, 116:7 \\
sea \\
152:24 \\
seamlessly \\
161:20 \\
search
\[
6: 24,195: 5
\] \\
searched
\[
99: 21, \quad 99: 22
\]
\end{tabular} & ```
season
90:12
second
14:9, 19:18,
32:19, 37:2,
61:3, 61:8,
73:22, 100:8,
100:17, 101:19,
104:13, 107:23,
113:21, 114:13,
126:3, 164:6,
180:11, 184:12,
191:10, 200:3,
204:11, 212:14,
220:18, 231:3,
231:6, 233:6,
233:13, 238:25
seconds
63:22, 64:21,
64:22, 66:11,
100:23, 101:22,
101:23, 101:24,
108:5, 109:1
section
29:4, 98:7,
112:10, 138:24,
147:2, 147:4,
147:16, 148:8,
161:21, 168:6
sections
21:11
sector
12:8, 12:10,
12:18
sediment
13:23
see
11:15, 38:6,
38:11, 39:7,
40:7, 40:25,
41:7, 41:11,
42:11, 42:17,
45:24, 46:7,
48:17, 49:7,
50:14, 54:2,
61:17, 80:9,
83:13, 84:5,
84:22, 86:12,
``` &  & ```
183:22, 195:11
selected
213:21
selecting
81:12
semblance
91:7
send
111:15, 240:23,
241:5, 241:17,
242:17
sense
105:4, 117:23,
123:6, 124:3
sensitive
13:21, 15:2,
29:5, 196:25
sent
55:21, 122:1,
127:5, 127:7
sentences
73:25
separates
37:16
september
132:15
septic
131:25, 135:6,
135:8, 136:6,
136:20, 140:3,
140:4, 149:21,
158:4, 159:14
series
7:20, 7:23,
175:22, 177:2,
200:14, 200:15,
217:19, 218:10,
219:2, 219:13
serve
140:21, 225:17
served
139:21, 139:23,
140:6, 147:10
serves
151:20, 229:11
service
63:18, 67:19,
67:21, 75:22,
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 77:4, 77:8, & sewer & sewers & 223:18, 242:7 \\
\hline 77:9, 77:11, & 7:5, 16:4, & 162:16 & shots \\
\hline 138:11, 138:18, & 24:3, 25:11, & sha & 175:22 \\
\hline 138:22, 139:22, & 131:23, 132:4, & 6:14 & should \\
\hline 139:24, 146:13, & 132:10, 132:14, & shady & 19:8, 20:2, \\
\hline 147:5, 173:3 & 132:16, 132:22, & 12:8, 12:18, & 23:2, 35:20, \\
\hline services & 133:5, 133:8, & 24:2, 24:3, & 36:4, 37:17, \\
\hline 7:3, 133:6, & 133:14, 133:17, & 25:10, 60:11, & 37:25, 39:10, \\
\hline 147:1, 148:18, & 134:22, 135:6, & 117:6 & 50:8, 50:9, \\
\hline 149:18, 196:15, & 135:8, 135:10, & shallow & 50:18, 52:3, \\
\hline 218:21 & 136:5, 136:9, & 188:7 & 54:6, 56:25, \\
\hline servicing & 136:19, 137:1, & shallow-rooted & 57:2, 58:9, \\
\hline 18:21 & 137:3, 138:2, & 187:25 & 59:6, 65:23, \\
\hline serving & 138:5, 138:11, & shape & 66:6, 68:11, \\
\hline 87:25 & 139:3, 139:5, & \[
102: 4, \quad 107: 25
\] & 72:19, 74:7, \\
\hline session & 139:6, 139:11, & sharing & 79:18, 81:18, \\
\hline 239:15 & 139:22, 139:23, & 20:1 & \[
81: 23,87: 11,
\] \\
\hline set & 139:24, 140:6, & sheet & \[
89: 2, \quad 92: 1,
\] \\
\hline 63:20, 102:17, & 140:19, 140:22, & 7:21, 19:25, & 105:1, 106:6, \\
\hline 182:22, 183:3, & 141:14, 142:24, & 28:15, 203:18, & 113:19, 114:1, \\
\hline 196:1 & 143:2, 144:7, & 212:8, 217:6, & 115:9, 120:2, \\
\hline setback & 145:12, 146:5, & 218:8 & 122:5, 126:6, \\
\hline 78:10, 91:5, & \(146: 8,146: 10\),
\(146: 11, ~ 146: 13\), & shield & 126:7, 143:24, \\
\hline 179:16, 181:22, & \(146: 11, ~ 146: 13\),
\(147: 7,147: 14\), & 217:21 & 145:2, 164:16, \\
\hline 181:23, 189:3, & 147:7, 147:14, & shielded & 170:3, 170:9, \\
\hline 229:1, 229:11, & 148:20, 149:1, & 219:15, 219:20 & 180:7, 180:11, \\
\hline 229:16, 229:19, & 149:8, 149:21, & shift & 181:4, 199:2, \\
\hline 230:3, 231:19, & 150:2, 150:4, & 126:20 & 200:5, 200:6, \\
\hline 232:3, 234:23, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 151: 6, \quad 151: 10, \\
& 151: 11, \quad 151: 19
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & shifting & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 200: 19, \quad 213: 1, \\
& 225: 6, \quad 228: 4,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{|l}
235: 21 \\
\text { setting }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 151: 11, ~ 151: 19, \\
& 151: 24, ~ 152: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
238: 10
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 225: 6, \\
& 232: 18, \\
& 239: 4,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 183:21, 195:4 & 152:9, 153:19, & shifts
\[
140: 11
\] & should've \\
\hline seven & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
153: 24, & 154: 17, \\
154: 22, & 155: 5,
\end{array}
\] & shine & \[
78: 18, \quad 82: 1,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 98: 6, \quad 115: 14, \\
& 168: 13, \quad 189: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 154: 22, ~ 155: 5, \\
& 155: 7, \quad 155: 13,
\end{aligned}
\] & 193:17, 193:18 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 83: 17, \\
& 85: 18, \\
& 85: 17,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 209:21, 209:25, & 155:20, 155:24, & shining & 201:25 \\
\hline 224:2 & 157:16, 157:19, & shoehorned & shouldn't \\
\hline seventh & 157:21, 158:2, & \[
91: 5
\] & 100:6 \\
\hline 100:14, 100:18, & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
158: 15, & 159: 9, \\
159: 21, & 160: 5,
\end{array}
\] & shopping & show
\[
52: 25,149: 2
\] \\
\hline 107:24 several & \[
160: 10,160: 18,
\] & \[
225: 16
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 52: 25, \quad 149: 2, \\
& 165: 18, \quad 165: 20,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 24:7, 24:17, & 161:9, 161:13, & short & 169:15, 170:19, \\
\hline 24:19, 34:2, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 161: 15, \quad 161: 25, \\
& 162: 1 . \quad 162: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
195: 23,218: 20
\] & 177:2, 177:12, \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
44: 11, & 121: 22, \\
141: 5, & 175: 13,
\end{array}
\] & sewer's & shortly & 180:20, 185:9 showed \\
\hline 180:15, 190:13, & \[
162: 7
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 33: 5, \quad 113: 22, \\
& 181: 18
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
33: 5, \quad 117: 21
\] \\
\hline \[
211: 5, \quad 230: 12,
\] & \[
150: 12
\] & shot & showing \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline sixty & so-called & 173:16 & 204:10, 215:8, \\
\hline 13:2 & 103:14, 171:15, & someone & 215:14, 215:25, \\
\hline size & 181:18, 181:25 & 33:15, 52:1, & 223:7, 238:19, \\
\hline 16:25, 116:18, & society & 118:7, 183:25 & 239:14, 242:11, \\
\hline 140:23, 174:21, & 194:14 & something & 242:14 \\
\hline 182:7, 185:13, & sodium & 23:16, 26:11, & sort \\
\hline 185:16, 185:19, & 192:6, 221:7, & 43:2, 54:21, & 178:24 \\
\hline 185:24, 187:17, & 221:19 & 56:9, 120:23, & sorts \\
\hline 226:4, 231:13, & software & 124:18, 124:21, & 163:19 \\
\hline 231:15, 231:18, & 44:11, 44:16, & 126:19, 130:23, & sotto \\
\hline 232:25, 235:20 & 72:17, 72:20, & 153:8, 155:23, & 23:4, 66:22, \\
\hline sketch & 73:3, 74:4, & 186:17, 233:4, & 125:23, 146:16, \\
\hline 227:9 & 74:8, 74:18, & 238:21, 238:24, & 233:17, 238:17, \\
\hline sky & 75:17 & 241:1 & 242:3, 242:13 \\
\hline 212:21 & solar & something's & sound \\
\hline slab & 39:1 & 126:18, 240:4 & 189:11, 189:12, \\
\hline 193:5 & sold & sometime & 189:13 \\
\hline slamming & 118:10 & 158:2, 159:14 & sounds \\
\hline 189:7 & solid & sometimes & 130:20, 130:21, \\
\hline slap & 84:10 & 34:22, 35:2, & 175:9 \\
\hline 95:13 & solution & 55:25, 59:2, & source \\
\hline slated & 181:25 & 60:8, 76:8, & 166:15, 166:17, \\
\hline 190:10 & solving & 130:9 & 166:19, 191:25, \\
\hline slight & 72:11 & somewhat & 192:5, 225:5 \\
\hline 33:15 & some & 33:10, 100:2 & south \\
\hline slightly & 7:14, 19:3, & somewhere & \[
6: 24,7: 8,
\] \\
\hline 89:16, 116:13 & 19:8, 34:2, & 121:25, 127:13 & \[
13: 14,23: 13,
\] \\
\hline slowly & 34:25, 35:17, & soon & \[
27: 10, \quad 50: 1
\] \\
\hline 172:10 & 42:2, 55:16, & 188:16 & 51:22, 65:7, \\
\hline slushies & 55:19, 60:15, & sooner & 112:16, 113:12, \\
\hline 226:4 & 62:11, 62:25, & 238:3 & 117:3, 121:7, \\
\hline smack & 89:23, 95:1, & sorry & 121:12, 121:24, \\
\hline \[
90: 5
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 111: 14, \quad 123: 21, \\
& 130: 6,130: 7,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
10: 15, & 22: 24, \\
35: 23 & 45: 8
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
122: 6, & 124: 4, \\
124: 7, & 128: 2,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
small \\
\(31 \cdot 3\) 33.12
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 130: 6, \quad 130: 7, \\
& 131: 25, \quad 140: 25,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 35: 23, \quad 45: 8, \\
& 46: 4, \quad 49: 9,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
150: 24, \quad 150: 25,
\] \\
\hline \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l|l}
31: 3, & 33: 12, \\
38: 24, & 39: 1,
\end{array}\right.
\] & \[
155: 2,161: 11,
\] & \[
58: 24,61: 24,
\] & \[
152: 12,157: 20,
\] \\
\hline 39:2, 39:3, & 169:2, 177:7, & 64:1, 70:19, & 167:21, 169:8, \\
\hline 78:14, 78:19, & 178:24, 180:22, & 73:6, 73:11, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 169: 23, ~ 178: 15, \\
& 211: 6
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 98:18, 168:19, & \(189: 16, ~ 219: 7\),
\(224: 6,238: 1\), & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
73: 21, & 74: 23, \\
76: 24, & 83: 11,
\end{array}
\] & southbound \\
\hline 183:6, 211:8, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 224: 6, \quad 238: 1, \\
& 241: 11
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
88: 10, \quad 88: 21,
\] & \[
49: 25,50: 8,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 211: 12, \quad 227: 5 \\
& \text { smaller }
\end{aligned}
\] & somebody & \[
95: 15,109: 6,
\] & \[
50: 9,51: 1,
\] \\
\hline 168:16, 175:13 & 26:1, 43:14, & \[
127: 19,129: 9,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 53: 14, \quad 96: 6, \\
& 96: 15
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline smallest & 60:18, 233:3 & \[
134: 12, \quad 142: 2,
\] & \[
96: 15
\] \\
\hline 140:7 & somebody's & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
157: 10, & 160: 14, \\
177: 19, & 184: 21 .
\end{array}
\] & southern
\[
13: 13, \quad 122: 13
\] \\
\hline smith & \[
43: 14
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
177: 19, & 184: 21, \\
186: 14, & 194: 19
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 13: 13, \quad 122: 13, \\
& 122: 16, \quad 122: 17,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 35:6, 60:6, & somehow & 186:14, 194:19,
199:21, 202:18, & \[
122: 16,122: 17,
\]
\[
124: 24, \quad 125: 4,
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline starting & 81:21, 101:19, & 113:24, 131:12, & 29:17, 101:10, \\
\hline 18:6, 28:5, & 103:12, 104:13, & 184:11, 186:15, & 107:1, 112:13, \\
\hline 92:20, 95:3, & 104:21, 118:1, & 206:24 & 117:10, 183:12 \\
\hline 123:6, 123:25, & 139:20, 168:12, & storage & streams \\
\hline 141:16, 180:5 & 173:20, 208:2 & 5:14, 56:11, & 13:6, 14:7, \\
\hline starts & static & 59:22, 71:20, & 29:10, 105:20 \\
\hline 57:20, 74:11 & 105:17, 106:22 & 71:24, 71:25, & street \\
\hline state & stating & 72:1, 72:7, & 3:10, 3:17, \\
\hline 2:10, 13:8, & 29:23, 51:12, & 72:14, 72:17, & 16:20, 25:24, \\
\hline 14:7, 29:22, & 62:18, 124:1, & 72:25, 74:2, & \(31: 1,35: 12\), \\
\hline 79:16, 102:2, & 234:12, 235:8 & 74:11, 74:15, & 35:15, 35:21, \\
\hline 106:13, 107:25, & station & 75:11, 75:13, & 36:5, 39:10, \\
\hline 111:20, 117:8, & 6:14, 24:2, & 75:14 & 40:5, 42:9, \\
\hline 149:10, 171:13, & 106:15, 117:5, & storm & 43:10, 68:10, \\
\hline 182:18, 228:19, & 117:6, 117:8 & 7:8, 18:19, & 101:4, 101:12, \\
\hline 230:2, 230:3, & stations & 18:20, 21:1, & 133:11, 137:12, \\
\hline 235:17 & 117:7 & 23:13, 27:9, & 137:19, 146:2, \\
\hline state's & statistic & 28:12, 28:16, & 146:9, 183:20, \\
\hline 106:18 & 35:25 & 151:3, 151:4, & 183:22, 183:24, \\
\hline stated & statistical & 152:6, 154:9, & 184:3, 188:11, \\
\hline 18:13, 18:25, & 70:20, 72:21 & 154:11, 154:23, & 188:18, 216:12, \\
\hline 28:6, 28:11, & statistician & 155:6, 155:19, & 221:15, 225:9, \\
\hline 29:6, 31:6, & 65:10, 86:16, & 155:20, 158:10, & 225:20, 228:22 \\
\hline 31:16, 60:7, & 194:9 & 158:14, 159:8, & streetlights \\
\hline 78:7, 104:9, & statistics & 159:19, 159:23, & 16:17, 205:1, \\
\hline 127:25, 132:3, & 76:12, 102:4, & 160:11, 161:16, & 222:4 \\
\hline 141:4, 162:13, & \[
107: 25
\] & 161:18, 161:23, & streets \\
\hline 163:15, 180:1, & stay & 162:2, 162:5, & \[
34: 6, \quad 183: 21
\] \\
\hline 189:5, 192:18, & 41:20, 70:14, & \[
162: 16,188: 6
\] & streetwork \\
\hline 192:21, 196:12, & 175:12 & stormwater & 200:16, 211:19, \\
\hline 218:15, 224:11, & stem & 12:21, 17:21, & 212:9, 213:20, \\
\hline 226:6, 227:23, & 27:13 & 18:18, 18:22, & 217:7 \\
\hline 231:22 & step & \(18: 23, ~ 27: 8\),
\(27: 25\),
\(28: 10\), & streetworks \\
\hline statement & \[
233: 4
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lr}
27: 25, & 28: 10, \\
180: 17, & 211: 6
\end{array}
\] & 7:16, 203:19 \\
\hline 11:20, 11:22, & steps & \[
180: 17,211: 6
\] & stress \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 43: 4, \quad 47: 6, \\
& 77: 25, \quad 80: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
91: 25,197: 13
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { story } \\
& \text { 191:11, 193:4, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 184: 7 \\
& \text { stressed }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 81:5, 104:24, & still & \[
220: 11
\] & \[
172: 1
\] \\
\hline 121:8, 127:24, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8: 17, \quad 58: 13, \\
& 104: 20,107: 11,
\end{aligned}
\] & straight & stretch \\
\hline \[
128: 16, \quad 129: 5
\]
statements & \[
109: 6, \quad 110: 13,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 84: 20, \quad 189: 12 \\
& 193: 19
\end{aligned}
\] & 109:9 \\
\hline \[
30: 4
\] & 117:21, 130:6, & strange & strict
\[
31: 23, \quad 32: 2
\] \\
\hline states & \(131: 25, ~ 144: 7\),
\(147: 19,148: 9\), & 121:11 & 31:23, 32:2,
\[
32: 8
\] \\
\hline 11:23, 11:25, & 158:4, 189:22 & stream & strike \\
\hline 14:21, 41:14, & stone & 14:6, 22:16, & 119:23 \\
\hline 43:6, 52:13, & 197:16 & 27:11, 28:7, & strings \\
\hline \[
68: 14,73: 16,
\] & stop & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
28: 19, & 29: 8, \\
29: 10, & 29: 11
\end{array}\right.
\] & 209:22 \\
\hline 74:6, 81:16, & 57:18, 92:23, & 29:10, 29:11, & strip \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 187:10, 188:5, & 121:13, 121:17, & suffer & supposed \\
\hline 225:15 & 121:19, 122:7, & 173:8, 180:7 & 27:4, 94:18, \\
\hline strong & 122:13, 122:17, & suffered & 105:5, 112:5 \\
\hline 228:16 & 123:1, 124:25, & 171:6, 171:24, & supposedly \\
\hline strongly & 125:4, 125:7, & 172:12 & 102:12 \\
\hline 164:14, 210:18 & 127:19, 128:2, & sufficient & sure \\
\hline structure & 128:21, 139:1, & 79:9 & 9:5, 66:23, \\
\hline 31:13, 115:11, & 150:24, 162:15 & suggest & 68:23, 69:24, \\
\hline 116:7, 116:8 & subdivision's & 210:6, 223:21 & 73:25, 87:13, \\
\hline stub & 26:25 & suitable & 89:20, 103:9, \\
\hline 157:16, 157:25, & subdivisions & 13:15 & 129:3, 130:3, \\
\hline 158:1, 158:6, & 116:16 & suite & 149:9, 155:8, \\
\hline 159:5 & subject & 3:17 & 155:22, 170:6, \\
\hline students & 10:24, 115:4, & sum & 184:13, 201:19, \\
\hline 66:2, 66:17, & 139:20, 149:11, & 80:14, 104:17 & 225:4, 226:1, \\
\hline 66:18, 93:7 & 235:10 & summarized & 232:21, 236:12, \\
\hline studies & subjected & 66:8 & 237:20, 241:5 \\
\hline \[
6: 9, \quad 6: 11
\] & 221:5 & sun & surface \\
\hline \[
43: 20, \quad 100: 8,
\] & submarines & \[
29: 23
\] & \[
16: 24,153: 25 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline 100:12, 100:17, & \[
12: 23
\] & sundays & 197:20, 198:8 \\
\hline 100:22, 101:19, & submission & 189:14 & surprise \\
\hline 101:25, 102:11, & 141:6 & sunny & 100:1, 122:5 \\
\hline 107:23 & submit & 191:8, 191:15 & surprised \\
\hline study & 140:16 & sunset & 89:11, 117:20, \\
\hline 6:15, 12:5, & submitted & 210:15 & 122:23, 139:19, \\
\hline 36:23, 43:24, & 34:2, 47:2, & super & \[
140: 22,148: 25,
\] \\
\hline 46:9, 51:12, & \[
163: 1,182: 13
\] & \[
226: 4
\] & 173:7 \\
\hline 62:12, 62:13, & subsequent & supplement & surprises \\
\hline \[
92: 19, \quad 95: 2,
\] & \[
112: 11
\] & \[
35: 14
\] & \[
141: 3
\] \\
\hline \[
95: 24, \quad 99: 5
\] & substandard & supplemental & surprising \\
\hline \[
99: 9,99: 12
\] & 179:16 & \[
4: 13
\] & \[
189: 16,190: 23,
\] \\
\hline 99:24, 100:1, & substantial & supplied & 191:6 \\
\hline 100:10, 100:12, & 22:17, 78:9 & \[
97: 22,97: 25,
\] & surrounded \\
\hline 101:16, 102:7, & substantially & \[
156: 12,156: 23,
\] & \[
226: 8
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 102:16, 103:14 } \\
& \text { stuff }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
12: 1, \quad 168: 15
\] \\
subtracting
\end{tabular} & \[
162: 23,167: 4
\] & surrounding
\[
164: 18,235: 11
\] \\
\hline \[
161: 17,219: 7
\] & subtracting & \[
167: 7, \quad 167: 25
\] & 164:18, 235:11 \\
\hline stumps & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 197: 25, \quad 198: 8 \\
& \text { suburban }
\end{aligned}
\] & supplying 162:22 & surveying
18:4 \\
\hline 174:1 & 146:25 & support & surveys \\
\hline Subdivide & suburbs & 13:11, 13:12, & 177:13 \\
\hline 140:4 subdivided & \(223: 25,224: 4\)
subwatershed & 27:10, 181:21, & susceptible \\
\hline 112:18, 112:24, & subwatershed
116:23, 116:25 & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
230: 2 \\
\text { supported }
\end{array}
\] & suspect \\
\hline \[
118: 13
\] & subway & \[
129: 5
\] & \[
210: 18,238: 4
\] \\
\hline subdivision & \[
117: 5
\] & supporting & suspended \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
27: 11, & 113: 16, \\
115: 1, & 115: 7
\end{array}
\] & successfully & \[
13: 16, \quad 230: 4,
\] & 133:19, 134:25, \\
\hline \(115: 1, ~ 115: 7\),
\(116: 17,121: 7\), & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 224: 1 \\
& \text { successive }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
244: 6
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 136: 19 \\
& \text { suspicion }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 116.17, 121.7, & successive & supports & suspicion
\[
40: 20
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline sustain & 212:24, 217:1, & 63:11, 64:3, & 241:6, 241:17, \\
\hline 120:8 & 217:12, 217:18, & 84:13, 93:12, & 242:17 \\
\hline sustained & 218:15 & 95:22, 126:4, & tech \\
\hline 128:25 & tables & 154:17, 161:8, & 131:11, 182:14, \\
\hline swap & 44:21, 50:20, & 161:22, 164:17, & 182:17, 183:17 \\
\hline 123:3 & 88:24, 89:2 & 169:4, 179:9, & tech's \\
\hline swear & tacked-on & 187:5, 189:8, & 182:12 \\
\hline 35:2 & 106:2 & 189:9, 191:10, & technical \\
\hline swim & taiwan & 205:10, 206:15, & 12:11, 12:12, \\
\hline 34:15 & 30:22, 30:24, & 215:7, 219:21, & 17:13, 17:18, \\
\hline switched & \(31: 3,31: 6\), & 230:18, 232:9, & 18:1, 29:18, \\
\hline 51:8, 51:21 & \(78: 3,78: 13\), & 241:14 & 29:22, 30:20, \\
\hline symbol & 120:21 & talks & 31:5, 35:14, \\
\hline 213:8 & take & 230:17 & 73:17, 99:8, \\
\hline symbols & 32:8, 46:6, & tall & 120:20, 139:19, \\
\hline 21:14 & 58:2, 58:17, & 177:17 & 140:24, 168:6, \\
\hline symmetric & \[
66: 25,75: 25,
\] & tap & 181:19, 229:9, \\
\hline 203:25, 204:16 & 76:25, 91:24, & 111:15 & 229:25, 230:2, \\
\hline system & 158:21, 162:21, & taper & 230:12, 231:12, \\
\hline 28:7, 105:18, & 183:24, 186:17, & 56:13, 56:15, & 231:23, 235:8 \\
\hline \[
139: 25,184: 6,
\] & 186:19, 186:22, & 59:23, 59:25, & techniques \\
\hline 186:11, 187:8, & 223:18 & 62:4 & 100:13 \\
\hline 212:4, 216:9 & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 23: 19, \quad 23: 24, \\
& 38: 5, \quad 44: 4, \\
& 57: 18, \quad 103: 13, \\
& 103: 16, \quad 137: 12,
\end{aligned}
\]} & tapers & technology \\
\hline systems & & \[
59: 24
\] & \[
59: 1, \quad 100: 13
\] \\
\hline \[
29: 12,140: 19
\] & & tapscott & teeny \\
\hline T & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 60: 6, \quad 114: 24, \\
& 115: 2, \quad 157: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
160: 20
\] \\
teeter
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{t-a-p-e-r
\(56: 13\)
table} & \(107: 16, ~ 137: 12\),
\(157: 20, ~ 167: 2\), & \[
158: 3, \quad 158: 16,
\] & 227:18 \\
\hline & 167:3, 167:5, & 159:1, 159:14, & tell \\
\hline & 167:6, 176:22, & 192:10 & 21:24, 46:6, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 35: 19, \quad 36: 3, \\
& 38 \cdot 38 \cdot 6
\end{aligned}
\] & 198:6, 207:19, & tapscott's & \[
70: 18, \quad 82: 19
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
38: 3, & 38: 6, \\
40: 9, & 40: 23,
\end{array}
\] & \[
243: 3
\] & 159:8, 192:10, & \[
92: 5, \quad 92: 6,
\] \\
\hline  & takers & 213:23 & 94:16, 134:9, \\
\hline \[
50: 6, \quad 50: 7,
\] & 238:12 & tapscotts & 139:14, 174:23, \\
\hline \[
50: 14, \quad 62: 14,
\] & takes & 191:13, 214:22, & 175:15, 185:6, \\
\hline \[
62: 16,66: 8,
\] & 37:22, 37:23, & 217:17 & 194:4, 219:24, \\
\hline 67:21, 71:23, & 52: 4 & tax & 240:16 \\
\hline 79:25, 94:13, & taking & 119:15 & telling \\
\hline 104:19, 182:13, & 58:10, 88:18, & taylor & 63:8, 63:10, \\
\hline 197:8, 198:18, & 97:11, 97:21, & 180:1, 224:11, & 64:22, 108:2, \\
\hline 198:22, 200:13, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
135: 19, & 143: 14, \\
173: 16, & 186: 18
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 226: 6, \quad 227: 20, \\
& 227: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
124: 16, & 124: 18, \\
126: 24, & 204: 14
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 201:5, 201:24, & talk & taylor's & tells \\
\hline 203:23, 205:25, & 19:16, 77:24, & 179:21, 225:24 & 90:1 \\
\hline \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
206: 7, & 206: 12, \\
207: 8, & 207: 19 .
\end{array}\right.
\] & 149:16, 175:9 & tcc & temperature \\
\hline 208:23, 209:2, & talked & 78: 6 & 221:3, 221:5 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 209: 3,212: 22,
\end{aligned}
\] & 206:8 & teams & ten \\
\hline 209.3, 212.22, & talking & 58:20, 240:23, & 67:8, 67:9, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
92:21, 196:18,
196:20, 240:15,
241:4, 241:10
ten-minute
186:23
tenant
226:25
tends
33:11
tent
193:2
term
70:20, 72:21,
129:2, 143:5
terminal
147:8
terminating
186:16
terms
31:5, 31:20,
32:15, 34:1,
65:24, 78:6,
101:18, 102:2,
112:1, 119:24,
128:12, 136:2,
179:11, 186:9,
187:7, 188:20,
192:18, 216:11,
219:15, 219:20,
221:23
terrace
25:15
tesfaye
29:1
test
7:22, 218:21,
219:2, 219:9
tested
191:20
testified
17:14, 27:20,
34:4, 43:9,
60:6, 60:23,
75:25, 76:6,
76:15, 76:19,
76:22, 109:11,
110:23, 111:16,
118:23, 121:3,
``` &  &  & ```
215:20, 223:19,
233:3, 237:10,
239:12, 242:2
thinking
106:9, 237:14,
237:22
thinks
24:11
third
17:21, 37:3,
147:3, 233:8,
241:24, 242:19
thought
12:8, 61:20,
126:22, 134:11,
180:5, 185:7,
202:20, 214:7,
234:13, 234:16
threatens
27:11
three
13:9, 13:10,
14:4, 15:9,
22:23, 23:1,
29:11, 33:1,
34:18, 36:25,
38:6, 41:3,
58:5, 58:7,
60:13, 71:14,
80:24, 88:12,
91:16, 91:18,
91:20, 102:15,
103:6, 108:3,
108:10, 112:22,
117:17, 135:4,
135:6, 139:23,
141:4, 141:11,
149:25, 150:3,
157:15, 168:13,
168:22, 179:8,
192:22, 194:23,
201:11, 209:7,
209:9, 209:10,
209:13, 226:15,
241:16
three-hour
37:12, 38:5,
38:10, 40:10
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  & ```
74:4, 171:25
tired
236:19
tissue
181:24
title
144:1, 150:22
to--degree
220:8
today
131:12, 240:15
today's
241:7
together
65:19, 104:8,
139:8, 162:17,
198:6, 206:1,
206:6
toilets
16:3, 16:6,
140:8, 140:10
told
47:9, 124:2,
124:24, 125:1,
146:8, 231:16
tolerance
7:11, 173:5
tomorrow
239:9, 240:5,
242:17
took
236:18
tool
57:17
top
21:12, 33:17,
48:17, 49:18,
87:10, 88:4,
94:3, 105:20,
151:21, 153:3,
153:5, 153:7,
160:19, 189:24,
202:1
total
38:7, 61:10,
65:17, 80:14,
80:15, 83:20,
83:21, 83:22,
``` & \(87: 19, \quad 87: 22\),
\(87: 24, \quad 89: 14\),
\(91: 24, \quad 93: 6\),
\(93: 23, \quad 94: 15\),
\(99: 23, \quad 104: 15\),
\(116: 13, \quad 196: 2\),
\(196: 20, \quad 198: 10\),
\(198: 23, \quad 201: 7\),
\(201: 9, \quad 204: 23\),
\(206: 9, \quad 206: 17\),
\(206: 20, \quad 206: 25\),
\(207: 15, \quad 207: 16\),
\(207: 20, \quad 208: 1\),
\(209: 25, \quad 211: 9\),
\(227: 11, \quad 227: 13\)
totally
\(17: 2, \quad 17: 3\),
\(29: 15, \quad 210: 24\),
\(219: 20\)
totals
\(94: 10\)
towards
\(173: 13, \quad 173: 14\),
\(187: 22\)
townhouses
\(118: 18\)
track
\(240: 14\)
trade
\(237: 5\)
traditional
\(192: 5\)
trail
\(39: 4\)
trailhead
\(39: 4\)
transcribed
\(1: 25,244: 5\)
transcriber
\(244: 1\)
transcript
\(4: 2,19: 1\),
\(118: 2, \quad 118: 17\),
\(121: 4,240: 13\),
\(244: 3\)
transcripts
\(240: 10, \quad 241: 4\)
transformative
\(17: 7\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline transit & tree's & tributary & true \\
\hline 226:24 & 172:18 & 13:2, 27:12 & 131:6, 244:3 \\
\hline transitways & trees & tried & trust \\
\hline 35:11, 40:4, & 7:14, 7:15, & 124:1, 183:15, & 151:18 \\
\hline 228:20 & 22:17, 163:16, & 234:18 & truth \\
\hline transportation & 163:17, 163:18, & tries & 121:8 \\
\hline 6:10, 12:5, & 163:19, 163:20, & 77:12 & truthful \\
\hline 29:1, 36:23, & 163:21, 163:23, & trip & 29:23 \\
\hline 43:13, 44:18, & 167:16, 168:13, & 5:17, 6:7, & try \\
\hline 79:19, 92:19, & 168:16, 168:20, & 79:20, 79:23, & 49:5, 71:6, \\
\hline 95:2, 95:23, & 168:22, 172:2, & 81:9, 82:16, & 77:16, 126:20, \\
\hline 100:7, 100:17, & 172:9, 172:21, & 87:18, 87:20, & 130:15, 131:8, \\
\hline 101:19, 107:17, & 173:25, 174:3, & 87:21, 87:24, & 199:10, 239:24, \\
\hline 107:23, 121:15, & 174:17, 175:1, & 91:25, 92:24, & 240:3, 242:17 \\
\hline 123:21, 124:16 & 175:2, 175:5, & 99:15 & trying \\
\hline transposed & 175:6, 175:13, & triple & 35:7, 60:12, \\
\hline 69:13 & 177:8, 177:12, & 88:17 & 123:3, 142:13, \\
\hline trap & 177:15, 177:18, & trips & 163:24, 211:7, \\
\hline 111:9 & 177:20, 180:16, & 5:21, 5:24, & 228:1, 237:21 \\
\hline travel & 182:7, 182:14, & 6:3, 79:15, & ts \\
\hline 41:15, 68:15, & 182:21, 183:17, & 79:17, 80:10, & 201:11, 201:12, \\
\hline 107:6, 189:13 & 183:18, 183:19, & 80:12, 80:14, & 201:13, 201:14, \\
\hline traveling & 183:20, 183:22, & 80:15, 80:16, & 201:20 \\
\hline 39:11, 189:20, & 183:24, 183:25, & 81:10, 83:20, & tt \\
\hline 189:22, 210:16 & 184:2, 184:3, & 83:21, 83:22, & 229:9 \\
\hline travels & 185:8, 185:13, & 86:22, 86:23, & ttt \\
\hline \[
189: 11,193: 19
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 185: 16, \quad 185: 19, \\
& 186: 8, \quad 186: 11,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 87: 22, \quad 87: 25, \\
& 88: 5, \quad 88: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
5: 17, \quad 80: 4
\] \\
\hline traverse & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
186: 8, & 186: 11, \\
187: 6, & 187: 9,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
88: 5, & 88: 19, \\
89: 6, & 89: 11,
\end{array}
\] & \[
80: 5
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 26: 8 \\
& \text { tree }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 187: 6, \quad 187: 9, \\
& 187: 16, \quad 188: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|lll}
89: 6, & 89: 11, \\
89: 14, & 89: 19,
\end{array}
\] & tttt \\
\hline 7:11, 164:16, & 188:11, 188:18, & 90:10, 92:2, & 201:15, 201:18, \\
\hline 166:10, 171:11, & 190:13, 193:11 & 92:16, 92:22, & 203:2, 212:6, \\
\hline 171:12, 171:14, & trench
\[
4: 15,4: 17,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 92: 25, \quad 93: 1, \\
& 93: 3, \quad 93: 12,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
217: 5
\] \\
\hline 172:1, 172:10, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4: 15, \quad 4: 17, \\
& 23: 11, \\
& 23: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 93: 3, \quad 93: 12, \\
& 93: 20, \\
& 93: 23,
\end{aligned}
\] & turf \\
\hline 172:11, 172:13, & \[
24: 7,24: 14
\] & 93:20, 93:23, & 17:16, 18:3 \\
\hline 172:14, 172:23, & \[
25: 10, \quad 25: 14,
\] & 94:10, 94:11, & turn \\
\hline 172:25, 173:7, & \[
26: 9
\] & 94:12, 94:14, & 11:8, 29:8, \\
\hline 173:16, 174:21, & trenching & 94:15, 94:17, & 56:7, 57:19, \\
\hline 174:25, 175:3, & \[
23: 9,23: 11,
\] & 94:24, 96:2, & 59:17, 59:18, \\
\hline 175:4, 177:9, & \[
23: 12,25: 13,
\] & 96:5, 96:8, & 59:22, 61:13, \\
\hline 177:10, 178:17, & & 97:11, 98:6, & 61:15, 62:2, \\
\hline 178:19, 180:23, & \begin{tabular}{l}
26:21 \\
trespassing
\end{tabular} & 98:10, \(98: 12\), & 62:3, 71:21, \\
\hline 182:9, 182:11, & trespassing & \[
98: 18
\] & 72:6, 106:5, \\
\hline 182:25, 185:24, & \[
178: 20
\] & trouble & 106:25, 130:8, \\
\hline 187:13, 187:17, & triangle & 236:17, 240:12 & 184:15, 194:11, \\
\hline 187:18, 187:25, & 227:4 & trout & 201:25, 209:24, \\
\hline 190:8, 190:16, & tributaries & 13:11, 13:12 & 210:3 \\
\hline 190:17, 190:20 & 13:6 & trucks & turned \\
\hline & & 91:1 & 55:21, 72:15, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & ```
two-lane
42:9, 51:13,
62:18, 101:4,
228:21
two-second
101:24
type
26:24, 42:2,
52:3, 52:5,
80:1, 103:19,
119:1, 130:8,
162:4, 162:14,
217:20, 224:7
types
30:4, 163:19,
227:7
typical
78:11, 193:7,
210:7
typically
179:24, 183:20,
191:20, 225:18,
229:11
```

 \&  \& ```
units
227:13
university
44:17, 173:3,
182:18, 182:19
unknown
72:12
unless
60:17, 81:23,
123:7
unlike
228:15
unlikely
106:24
unlock
189:9
unmanned
39:1
unnamed
141:5
unquote
12:3, 12:16,
15:4, 15:21,
18:13, 19:4,
28:8, 28:13,
28:20, 29:5,
29:10, 31:15,
31:21, 32:3,
35:18, 41:23,
52:19, 60:19,
68:19, 76:14,
79:10, 79:21,
99:9, 101:1,
101:13, 102:1,
102:6, 104:11,
104:15, 104:23,
107:2, 139:22,
168:23, 196:7,
197:16, 212:5,
224:14, 225:19,
226:9, 227:1,
228:2, 229:16,
229:24, 234:13
unsignalized
103:19
unstable
67:24, 77:16
until
33:14, 89:12,

``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 83:22, 88:5, & videos & 125:23, 146:16, & 230:2, 231:19, \\
\hline 88:19, 89:6, & 102:9 & 233:17, 238:17, & 232:15, 235:20 \\
\hline 89:11, 89:14, & view & 242:3, 242:13 & waivers \\
\hline 90:10, 91:1, & 137:12, 185:8, & voice & 78:9 \\
\hline 92:22, 100:25, & 189:23, 190:21, & 130:20, 130:22, & walk \\
\hline 101:1, 106:11, & 191:1, 192:11, & 131:1 & 25:25, 26:6, \\
\hline 107:3 & 192:15, 193:8, & volume & 26:14, 26:19 \\
\hline vehicle's & 219:4, 220:15, & 25:2, 42:5, & walking \\
\hline 107:3 & 225:9, 226:19, & 106:23 & 24:6, 117:4, \\
\hline vehicles & 228:11, 240:9 & volumes & 177:20 \\
\hline 24:15, 26:22, & viewable & 179:11 & walks \\
\hline 33:24, 38:9, & 144:7 & vs & 24:9 \\
\hline 38:11, 39:11, & viewer & 222:18, 222:19, & walkways \\
\hline 39:13, 40:11, & 216:21, 216:22 & 222:20, 223:10, & 197:14, 198:17 \\
\hline 40:13, 41:2, & viewing & 223:11 & wall \\
\hline 42:21, 65:15, & 143:5 & vv & 200:14, 200:23 \\
\hline 75:18, 78:24, & views & 223:9 & wall-mounted \\
\hline 100:24, 101:3, & 137:19, 169:2, & VVV & 16:10, 192:12, \\
\hline 101:8, 104:15, & 169:8, 169:14, & 5:20, 82:10, & 200:24, 201:6 \\
\hline 104:22, 105:21, & 169:20, 169:23 & 82:11, 82:13, & walls \\
\hline 105:23, 106:24, & village & 82:14, 83:2, & 189:12 \\
\hline 106:25, 107:5, & 228:13 & 83:3, 84:1, & want \\
\hline 235:1, 235:2 & vince & 85:3, 87:4, & \[
9: 22,10: 6 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline vending & \[
18: 24
\] & 87:5, 87:6 & \[
20: 15, \quad 20: 17,
\] \\
\hline 226:3 & virginia & vVVV & 20:25, 21:21, \\
\hline verbally & \[
7: 24,182: 12,
\] & \[
7: 24,222: 17,
\] & 25:4, 45:10, \\
\hline \[
206: 8
\] & 182:14, 182:17, & 222:23, 223:1, & 52:14, 52:25, \\
\hline verifiable & 182:18, 182:19, & 223:8 & \(53: 16,53: 21\), \\
\hline 196:13 & 183:16, 223:4, & W & 56:6, 56:10, \\
\hline verification & \[
223: 25, \quad 224: 1
\] & wacky & \[
56: 18, \quad 57: 16,
\] \\
\hline \[
140: 16
\] & \[
224: 3
\] & \[
72: 20,72: 21
\] & \[
58: 1, \quad 59: 19,
\] \\
\hline version & virtually & wait & \[
60: 1,62: 7
\] \\
\hline \[
7: 22,56: 4,
\] & \[
1: 11,2: 2
\] & \[
21: 23,35: 23,
\] & \[
63: 6,63: 12,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 164: 12, \quad 219: 1, \\
& 219 \cdot 3
\end{aligned}
\] & vision & \[
60: 13,61: 17,
\] & \[
63: 24,64: 2,
\] \\
\hline \[
219: 3,224: 6
\] & 116:21, 118:21, & 85:7, 102:20, & \[
64: 20, \quad 65: 2
\] \\
\hline versus & 180:21 & 124:20, 125:17, & 71:11, 73:4, \\
\hline 86:25, 208:1 & visitors & 199:21, 202:2, & 74:17, 76:11, \\
\hline vertical & 210:4 & 204:2, 217:24, & 77:23, 80:9, \\
\hline 86:4, 86:19, & vista & \[
241: 10
\] & \[
80: 20,82: 5,
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
220: 4 \\
vertically
\end{tabular} & \(39: 9, ~ 39: 12, ~\) & waiting & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 97: 1, \quad 106: 5, \\
& 106: 10, \quad 107: 11,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline vertically
\(219: 22\) & 39:14 & 33:13, 43:25, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 106: 10,107: 11, ~ \\
& 129 \cdot 129.3
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline veterinary & visual & 44:1 &  \\
\hline veterinary
195:13 & 169:3, 169:4 & waiver &  \\
\hline vicini & visually & 78:4, 78:6, & 136:17, 136:22, \\
\hline 11: & 216:14 & 91:5, 179:15, & 138:1, 139:10 \\
\hline 159:1 & 13:24 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 181: 22, \quad 228: 25, \\
& 229: 6, \quad 229: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & 144:8, 146:12, \\
\hline video & voce & & 148:23, 149:2, \\
\hline 102:21 & 23:4, 66:22, & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
web \\
56:24, 57:3, \\
57:11, 57:25, \\
58:17 \\
web-based \\
82:15, 82:24, \\
87:24 \\
website \\
99:22, 100:11, \\
134:20, 149:19, \\
149:23, 182:12, \\
182:16, 195:6 \\
wedge \\
4:14, 14:10, \\
14:15, 14:22, \\
15:7, 15:12, \\
15:15, 15:18, \\
15:24, 16:8, \\
16:23, 17:5, \\
17:8, 27:4, \\
27:10, 31:11, \\
112:14, 194:5 \\
wedges \\
14:11, 14:16 \\
wednesday
\[
239: 12
\] \\
week \\
24:7, 60:9, \\
189:18, 193:23, \\
220:2, 236:18 \\
weekday \\
5:21, 6:3, \\
80:17, 87:20, \\
87:22, 87:24, \\
94:10 \\
weeks \\
\(90: 11, \quad 90: 14\),
\(101: 17, \quad 241: 14\), \\
241:17 \\
weight \\
65:16, 65:18, \\
231:10 \\
weighted \\
65:5, 65:20 \\
welcome \\
87:16 \\
well-staffed \\
111:17
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline went & 39:4 & window & word \\
\hline 99:21, 113:20, & wetlands & 10:24, 190:16 & \[
82: 21,143: 14
\] \\
\hline 127:12, 139:8, & 14:7 & windows & words \\
\hline 139:9, 145:6, & whammy & 189:14, 189:16, & 77:12 \\
\hline 171:8 & 110:22 & 190:14, 193:17, & work \\
\hline west & whatever & 193:18 & 6:6, 12:6, \\
\hline 12:18, 31:2, & 30:14, 72:18, & winter & 25:3, 27:6, \\
\hline 50:3, 51:21, & 110:1, 148:18, & 190:12, 193:11 & 33:3, 36:23, \\
\hline 56:7, 60:22, & 185:3, 230:18 & withdrawing & 53:21, 54:10, \\
\hline 65:7, 91:6, & whatsoever & 6:20, 120:15 & 92:19, 94:23, \\
\hline 132:6, 139:11, & 110:17 & within & 95:3, 95:24, \\
\hline 149:8, 169:8, & wheelan & 13:3, 13:5, & 95:25, 121:21, \\
\hline 169:24, 182:19, & 76:11 & 13:6, 14:10, & 133:15, 133:16, \\
\hline 190:14 & whenever & 15:14, 17:5, & 162:18, 189:6, \\
\hline westbound & 123:23 & 22:15, 23:13, & 238:2, 239:15, \\
\hline 33:11, 33:14, & wherever & 24:11, 28:7, & 241:8 \\
\hline 33:18, 33:25, & 121:25 & 28:19, 65:21, & worked \\
\hline 34:5, 34:15, & whether & 90:11, 91:2, & 33:2, 122:22 \\
\hline \(34: 17,35: 1\), & 15:15, 18:24, & 101:2, 112:9, & working \\
\hline 38:4, 38:8, & 28:9, 36:15, & 117:4, 117:12, & 59:1, 72:18, \\
\hline 38:11, 39:14, & 42:1, 72:14, & 133:9, 135:10, & 226:6, 238:20 \\
\hline 39:21, 40:18, & 87:18, 92:1, & 135:12, 147:8, & worksheets \\
\hline 41:8, 50:2, & 101:8, 105:19, & 148:4, 151:8, & 44:13, 64:9, \\
\hline 50:18, 50:22, & 106:3, 118:18, & 151:9, 196:3, & 64:10 \\
\hline 53:8, 53:14, & 123:18, 138:17, & 196:8, 197:1, & world \\
\hline 53:15, 56:7, & 139:5, 139:11, & 205:5, 228:18 & 105:4 \\
\hline 56:16, 60:18, & \[
154: 22,155: 19,
\] & without & worried \\
\hline 62:15, 67:25, & \[
159: 7,192: 14
\] & \[
81: 24, \quad 129: 2,
\] & \[
61: 7
\] \\
\hline \(75: 3,75: 10\), & \[
194: 9, \quad 230: 13,
\] & \[
149: 3, \quad 171: 15
\] & worry \\
\hline 75:19, 75:23, & 231:12, 233:23, & \[
171: 23,178: 19
\] & \[
35: 8
\] \\
\hline 76:1, 76:3, & \[
240: 2
\] & \[
189: 6,211: 17,
\] & worse \\
\hline \[
76: 5,76: 7,
\] & white & 230:3, 235:15 & 28:11, 28:13, \\
\hline \[
76: 19, \quad 101: 6,
\] & 55:5, 55:21, & witness & 75:22, 221:18 \\
\hline \[
104: 7, \quad 104: 17
\] & 221:17 & 233:9, 233:15 & would've \\
\hline 104:23, 105:6, & whoops & witnesses & 34:19, 121:17, \\
\hline 105:10, 105:12, & 42:15, 88:7, & 237:12, 239:7 & 122:23, 139:12, \\
\hline 105:13, 105:15, & 142:10, 200:6, & wolford & \[
142: 24,145: 11,
\] \\
\hline 105:22, 105:23, & \[
222: 21
\] & 121:3 & \[
146: 7,147: 1
\] \\
\hline 106:6, 109:12, & wide & woman & \[
232: 16,233: 8 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline \[
132: 24,138: 9
\] & 225:16 & 112:20 & 233:9 \\
\hline western & width & wonder & wouldn't \\
\hline \[
57: 10,135: 13,
\] & 24:12, 24:13, & 72:14 & 236:20 \\
\hline 168:21, 170:21, & 59:25, 197:15 & wondered & wow \\
\hline \(171: 2, ~ 176: 1\),
\(176: 6, ~ 176: 7\), & widthwise & 129:4, 194:9 & 118:22 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{ll}176: 6, & 176: 7, \\ 176: 8, & 201: 2\end{array}\) & 10:7 & wondering & wrap \\
\hline westside & william & 127:22 & 235:4, 237:23 \\
\hline 24:4, 25:10, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3: 15,3: 16 \\
& \text { willow }
\end{aligned}
\] & woodmont
227:4 & | write \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline writing & 88:13, 88:17 & 183:1, 184:1, & zone \\
\hline 21:24, 73:9, & Y & 193:12, 193:23, & 116:20, 172:17, \\
\hline 129:4, 240:12 & yard & 194:1, 210:18, & 187:13, 187:14, \\
\hline wrong & 181:22, 189:6, & 220:2 & 187:18, 187:19, \\
\hline 12:4, 51:5, & 228:25, 229:18, & year-old & 188:7, 189:5, \\
\hline 51:7, 53:17, & 230:3, 231:15, & 8:21 & 195:19, 195:23, \\
\hline \(70: 17,76: 14\), & 232:3, 235:21 & years & 195:24, 196:3, \\
\hline 83:18, 89:16, & yay & 14:2, 28:3, & 196:9, 197:1, \\
\hline 126:18 & 20:9 & 33:1, 33:2, & 198:19, 206:22, \\
\hline ws & yeah & 33:7, 68:17, & 207:17, 207:24, \\
\hline 223:14 & 8:23, 8:25, & 135:1, 139:4, & 209:5, 209:7, \\
\hline wssc & \[
9: 2,9: 7,19: 2,
\] & 139:8, 140:2, & 209:9, 209:10, \\
\hline 7:3, 132:4, & \[
21: 6,21: 9,
\] & 172:11, 180:24, & 209:13, 209:14, \\
\hline 132:7, 132:13, & \[
\begin{array}{ll} 
\\
22: 7, & 23: 3,
\end{array}
\] & 185:10, 202:17 & 209:15, 212:23, \\
\hline 132:21, 133:5, & 30:2, \(30: 15\), & yellow & 216:16, 216:19, \\
\hline 133:13, 133:16, & 45:10, 46:21, & 38:7, 40:14, & 216:20, 217:3, \\
\hline 134:13, 134:20, & 48:12, 53:24, & 47:1, 48:20, & 217:13, 218:17, \\
\hline 136:1, 136:6, & 55:24, 58:22, & 49:17, 56:14, & 220:8, 226:22, \\
\hline 136:13, 136:24, & 66:23, 69:18, & 59:16, 61:14, & 227:5, 228:9 \\
\hline 139:14, 139:15, & 70:6, 70:7, & 64:13, 65:1, & zoned \\
\hline 140:7, 140:16, & 70:24, 71:7, & 71:25, 73:8, & 15:11, 15:13, \\
\hline 140:18, 141:1, & 86:5, 99:3, & 73:16, 74:6, & 116:14, 117:4, \\
\hline 141:6, 141:8, & 103:1, 103:3, & 80:16, 92:17, & 118:19, 119:14, \\
\hline 141:9, 141:11, & 103:10, 108:23, & 135:3, 138:4, & 211:14, 224:6, \\
\hline 142:23, 145:10, & 125:14, 126:12, & 218:14 & 225:12, 226:21, \\
\hline 146:7, 146:10, & 126:14, 144:12, & yep & 227: 6 \\
\hline 147:1, 148:14, & 152:14, 153:13, & 40:8, 40:24, & zones \\
\hline 148:17, 148:23, & 153:14, 153:16, & 52:8, 52:10, & 15:6, 174:3, \\
\hline 149:5, 149:17 & 155:14, 157:13, & 125:24, 142:5 & 177:19, 183:23, \\
\hline wssc's & 162:11, 162:19, & yesterday & 195:15, 195:16, \\
\hline 135:10 & 164:8, 165:23, & 32:19 & 197:9, 224:8 \\
\hline wunsch & 166:12, 166:22, & yews & zoning \\
\hline 1:25, 244:2, & 167:17, 169:13, & 183:13 & 1:1, 3:3, 79:1, \\
\hline 244:15 & 169:18, 171:20, & yields & 112:4, 118:14, \\
\hline ww & 174:17, 190:5, & 195:6 & 118:18, 118:20, \\
\hline 223:7 & 199:8, 202:4, & young & 149:22, 213:24, \\
\hline www & 202:6, 205:17, & 234:19 & 224:7, 225:13, \\
\hline 7:10, 172:24, & 207:7, 208:10, & ys & 226:21, 230:9, \\
\hline 173:4 & 209:12, 212:1, & 91:17, 91:18, & 232:5 \\
\hline X & 214:4, 215:4, & 91:20 & zz \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x s} \\
& 88: 11, \quad 88: 12, \\
& 145: 23, \quad 174: 16
\end{aligned}
\]} & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
223: 23, & 224: 25, \\
233: 12 . & 238: 7 .
\end{array}
\] & YY & 94:3
\(\mathbf{z z z}\) \\
\hline & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
233: 12, & 238: 7, \\
240: 25, & 241: 15,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4: 10,10: 12, \\
& 10: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
6: 3,94: 3,
\] \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 240: 25, ~ 241: 15, \\
& 241: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
10:13 \\
yyy
\end{tabular} & \[
94: 4, \quad 94: 6,
\] \\
\hline xspw & 241:20 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& Y Y Y \\
& 5: 23, ~ 91: 16,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
94: 7,94: 8,
\] \\
\hline 200:14
\(\mathbf{x x x}\) & \[
91: 2,119: 12,
\] & \[
91: 22
\] & \[
94: 20
\] \\
\hline xxx & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{120:21, 176:24,} & Z & \$ \\
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{5:21, 88:6,} & & zero & \$100,000 \\
\hline & & 101:23, 136:23 & 111:1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \$135,000 & . 6 & 1.35 & 11.3 \\
\hline 118:9 & 42:7, 178:4 & 113:15 & 148:14, 148:15 \\
\hline \$2,000 & 0 & 1.38 & 110 \\
\hline 118:9 & 0.00 & 115:6, 118:9 & 123:5 \\
\hline \$325,000 & 72:4, 72:7, & 1.4 & 1102 \\
\hline 118:12 & 75:14 & 118:5, 214:3 & 147:2 \\
\hline \$4,000 & 0.1 & 1.42 & 1102.1 \\
\hline 118:11 & 213:22, 214:7, & 113:14 & 147:4, 147:6 \\
\hline \$800,000 & 214:11 & 1.5 & 1102.2 \\
\hline 119:12 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
0.2
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 187: 14 \\
& 1.75
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 147: 16,148: 8 \\
& 111
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline . 1 & 0.25 & \[
114: 23
\] & 123:6, 124:12 \\
\hline 17:22, 37:19, & 65:16 & 10 & 112 \\
\hline 38:3, 39:19, & 0.75 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{ll}
4: 12, & 11: 21, \\
19: 3, & 24: 12,
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 122: 22,123: 25 \\
& 113
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline 48:7, 59:4, & 81:24, 83:15 & & \\
\hline 82:13, 83:2, & 0.95 & 28:1, 31:6, & 118:1 \\
\hline 84:1, 85:3, & 115:6 & 80:6, 92:4, & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \\
\hline 87:4, 87:5, & 08 & 92:9, 92:12, & \\
\hline 133:21, 134:6, & 1:5, 235:18 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 92: 21, \quad 95: 16, \\
& 96: 1, \quad 97: 17,
\end{aligned}
\]} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 7: 13, \\
& 17: 22, \\
& 15: 16, \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 134:17, 141:19, & 1 & & 33:24, 35:13, \\
\hline \(142: 5,142: 7\),
\(142: 10,142: 20\), & 1 & \(153: 24, ~ 172: 14\),
\(172: 25,175: 13\), & 37:9, 37:13, \\
\hline 143:6, 145:1, & 1:24, 109:24 & 172:25, 175:13, & 38:8, 38:20, \\
\hline 147:4, 147:6, & \[
1,000
\] & 100 ( & 38:22, 38:25, \\
\hline 150:6, 150:19, & 227:16, 227:17 & 6:11, 6:13, & 39:13, 39:22, \\
\hline 157:11, 157:14, & 1,069 & 65:17, 227:16 & 40:1, 40:14, \\
\hline 157:15, 169:2, & 38:9 & 100,000 & 41:3, 53:10, \\
\hline \[
175: 12,175: 20,
\] & 1,142 & 206:20 & 53:16, 53:23, \\
\hline \[
177: 7
\] & 40:12 & 103 & 53:24, 54:18, \\
\hline . 2 & 1,183 & 180:16 & 55:15, 55:20, \\
\hline 40:8, 59:3, & 38:11 & 104 & \[
56: 18,57: 8 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline 61:3, 61:6, & 1,228 & 93:12, 93:23 & \[
58: 3,67: 23,
\] \\
\hline 82:14, 83:3, & 50:10 & 105 & 68:4, 72:9, \\
\hline 87:6, 119:16, & 1,335 & 148:9 & 75:13, 81:13, \\
\hline 135:22, 143:19, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 40: 11 \\
& 1.530
\end{aligned}
\] & 106 & 87:17, 87:23, \\
\hline 145:2, 160:3, & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
227: 13
\]} & 6:15, 12:13, & 89:13, 96:4, \\
\hline 160:9 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 17: 13, \\
& 168: 7, \\
& 17: 18, \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\]} & 97:18, 105:8, \\
\hline . 3 & 1-0-4 & & 112:19, 164:2, \\
\hline 40:24, 136:12 & 93:24 & 107 & 164:11, 164:22, \\
\hline . 4 & 1-2-8 & 6:17, 118:16 & 166:7, 169:3, \\
\hline 41:7, 136:23, & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{93:24
\(1-\mathrm{b}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{108
\(93: 4\)} & 182:13, 235:7 \\
\hline 169:3, 177:7 & & & 117 \\
\hline \[
.5
\] & 174:13 & 11 & 121: 4 \\
\hline 41:25, 42:16, & 1-watt & 43:4, 67:11, & 119 \\
\hline 42:18, 137:6, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 209: 22 \\
& 1.25
\end{aligned}
\] & 95:16, 196:12, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6: 19 \\
& 119862440
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 137: 10,178: 4 \\
& 178: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{198:20, 207:20} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 242: 23 \\
& 11.13 \\
& 148: 15, \quad 148: 16
\end{aligned}
\]} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 119862440 \\
& 124: 7
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 178.6 & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 124: 7 \\
& 12 \\
& 6: 23, \quad 15: 21,
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 17:15, 38:25, & 141,450 & 16235 & 192:16 \\
\hline 81:21, 89:8, & 206:10, 206:18, & 112:22, 113:8, & 192 \\
\hline 89:19, 91:2, & 208:18 & 114:6, 114:19 & 28:12 \\
\hline 92:17, 153:24, & 142 & 17 & 193 \\
\hline 164:13, 179:15, & 7:7 & 19:1, 42:4, & 28:14 \\
\hline 186:12, 187:9, & 143 & 42:10, 141:5, & 1935 \\
\hline 188:5, 189:2, & 83:20 & 141:17, 188:25, & 13:21 \\
\hline 235:3, 240:1 & 145 & 189:5 & 1943 \\
\hline 120 & 83:21 & 1713 & 115:10, 116:7 \\
\hline 145:12, 227:13 & 148 & 112:19 & 1946 \\
\hline 121,798 & 114:4, 180:15, & 172 & 112:19, 113:17, \\
\hline 197:25, 198:6 & 183:3, 184:8 & 7:12 & 115:1, 115:8, \\
\hline 122 & 149 & 175 & 116:17 \\
\hline 6:23 & 114:4 & 7:14 & 195 \\
\hline 123 & 15 & 176 & 82:17, 86:6, \\
\hline 80:15 & 4:14, 6:5, & 18:8, 30:24, & 86:9, 87:25, \\
\hline 124 & 16:10, 19:1, & 63:5, 78:5, & 89:14, 140:10, \\
\hline 6:24, 192:17 & 21:19, 22:1, & 194:17, 194:21, & 211:13 \\
\hline 125 & 26:4, 26:12, & 195:21, 198:1, & 1950 \\
\hline 18:12 & 28:2, 67:6, & 224:15, 224:17, & 113:17, 113:18, \\
\hline 126 & 95:17, 96:3, & 224:24, 225:8, & 188:14 \\
\hline 18:7 & 97:19, 183:1, & 226:10, 226:12, & 1952 \\
\hline 128 & 195:12, 200:14, & 226:20, 228:3, & 113:19 \\
\hline 93:13, 93:24 & 200:15, 200:23, & 228:7, 228:12, & 1954 \\
\hline 129 & 201:6 & 229:9 & 115:3 \\
\hline 80:14 & 15,000 & 18 & 1956 \\
\hline 13 & 16:24, 17:19 & 1:5, 8:21, & 13:19 \\
\hline 62:23, 63:22, & 150 & 16:2, 52:12, & 196 \\
\hline 64:25, 148:9, & 7:9 & 52:23, 52:24, & 19:5, 20:14, \\
\hline 224:11, 240:2 & 152 & 124:1, 124:11, & 20:15, 21:1, \\
\hline 13,000 & 83:6, 86:21 & 183:1, 226:6 & 154:4, 154:8, \\
\hline 16:2, 198:4, & 16 & 180 & 155:1, 158:8, \\
\hline 198:9 & 17:15, 24:7, & 102:14 & 158:11, 158:13, \\
\hline 133 & 25:10, 116:13, & 181 & 160:8, 161:7, \\
\hline 7:4 & 118:2, 176:13, & 7:15 & 161:10 \\
\hline 134 & 228:8, 229:8, & 184 & 1960 \\
\hline 7:6 & 230:1, 230:13, & 141:16 & 139:3 \\
\hline 137 & 231:12 & 187 & 1964 \\
\hline 83:6, 86:25 & 16.5 & 27:22 & 14:17 \\
\hline 14 & 72:7 & 189 & 1967 \\
\hline 180:5 & 161 & 18:25 & 132:1, 138:25 \\
\hline 14,960 & 62:12, 99:7, & 19 & 1968 \\
\hline 198:4, 198:10 & 102:8, 104:6, & 5:3, 5:17, & 14:1, 14:4, \\
\hline 140 & 104:13, 104:20 & 5:23, 8:22, & 112:11 \\
\hline 93:23 & 16105 & 28:5, 31:16, & 1969 \\
\hline 14041 & 151:20 & 37:8, 49:15, & 14:17 \\
\hline 228:8 & 16200 & 50:11, 92:12, & 198 \\
\hline 141 & 114:24 & 173:21, 180:1, & 18:9, 198:2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1980 & 2.44 & 235:6, 235:18 & 226:5 \\
\hline 16:5, 27:9 & 116:12, 118:12 & 2019 & 23.2 \\
\hline 1983 & 2.5 & 102:10, 102:16, & 64:21 \\
\hline 124:11, 125:9 & 185:21, 187:16 & 102:18, 103:4, & 232 \\
\hline 1985 & 2.8 & 176:25, 230:13, & 93:12, 93:23 \\
\hline 11:24, 14:4, & 115: 4 & 231:12 & 24 \\
\hline 112:12 & 20 & 2020 & 4:16, 90:10, \\
\hline 1986 & 16:15, 33:13, & 23:19, 23:25, & 174:5, 197:8, \\
\hline 115:9, 124:2 & 68:17, 81:16, & 24:6, 182:16 & 198:19, 208:24, \\
\hline 1987 & 103:17, 135:1, & 2021 & 209:3, 227:22, \\
\hline 115:12, 116:9, & 179:22, 180:24, & 1:12, 244:16 & 229:15 \\
\hline 121:13, 124:10, & 183:1, 185:10, & 203 & 244 \\
\hline 125: 4 & 228:9 & 93:3 & 1:24 \\
\hline 1989 & 200 & 204 & 248 \\
\hline 113:5, 118:10 & 3:10, 3:17, & 117:11 & 98:13 \\
\hline 199 & 228:9 & 2041 & 25 \\
\hline 7:7, 10:2, & 2002 & 135:2 & 1:12, 4:17, \\
\hline 23:17, 24:10, & 118:12 & 205 & 17:12, 33:1, \\
\hline 142:21, 142:23, & 2004 & 7:18, 117:11 & 37:9, 41:19, \\
\hline 144:3, 144:14, & 12:25, 14:4, & 206 & 57:15, 65:15, \\
\hline 144:17, 150:23, & 14:13, 17:3, & 34:3, 98:12 & 65:16, 123:6, \\
\hline 166:24, 170:2, & 29:15, 112:9, & 20850 & 140:11, 148:6 \\
\hline 170:13, 170:19, & 112:12 & 3:11, 3:18 & 250 \\
\hline 174:13, 174:14, & 2006 & 21 & 31:1 \\
\hline 189:25, 190:8, & 6:19, 31:8, & 6:23, 16:2, & 252 \\
\hline 197:24, 198:3 & 78:8, 119:6, & 16:6, 28:12, & 80:11 \\
\hline 1990 & 119:15 & 31:8, 78:8, & 26 \\
\hline 113:22 & \[
2007
\] & 122:2, 175:5 & 23:23, 119:6 \\
\hline 1993 & 6:23, 121:21, & 210 & 262 \\
\hline 4:13, 14:17, & 122:2 & 34:3 & 180:5 \\
\hline 15:5, 15:12 & 201 & 216 & 2668 \\
\hline 1994 & 7:16 & 7:19 & 30:22, 78:3 \\
\hline 118:9, 131:22, & 2010 & 218 & 27 \\
\hline 131:23, 132:15, & 100:8, 171:6 & 7:21, 7:23 & 61:3, 92:16, \\
\hline 228:10 & 2014 & 22 处 & 99:23, 212:10, \\
\hline 1996 & 127:9, 195:5 & 37:8, 78:17, & \[
216: 13
\] \\
\hline 132:25, 136:5 & \[
2017
\] & 90:11, 168:10, & \[
270
\] \\
\hline 1997 & 43:13, 62:25, & 175:5, 179:16 & 106:7 \\
\hline 163:17 & 63:12, 63:16, & 222 & 2718 \\
\hline 1999 & 63:20, 63:23, & 7:24, 61:3 & 6:20, 6:23, \\
\hline 195:18 & 79:14, 79:16, & 225 & 118:24, 127:5 \\
\hline 1 a & 79:22, 81:21, & 60:7 & 274 \\
\hline 79:25 & 82:1, 82:3, & 226 & 94:14 \\
\hline 2 & 88:2, 88:20, & 212:2 & 276 \\
\hline 2,100 & 89:5, 99:11, & 23 & 22:19, 23:22 \\
\hline 221:7 & 195:12, 221:10,
\[
228: 8,229: 8
\] & \[
4: 15,5: 9
\] & \[
279
\] \\
\hline \[
2,673
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 228: 8,229: 8 \\
& 2018
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 10: 5, \quad 18: 12, \\
& 62: 23, \quad 224: 11,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
3: 19 \\
28
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 201.6 & 28:25, 147:1, & & 13:14, 35:13, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5212 & 41:14, 44:10, & 71,600 & 133:10, 135:5, \\
\hline 3:12 & 44:14, 46:11, & 201:9 & 147:5, 147:6, \\
\hline 53 & 46:20, 48:15, & 72 & 147:11, 147:12, \\
\hline 79:25 & 49:15, 49:23, & 5:16 & 147:14, 148:19, \\
\hline 56 & 50:21, 51:11, & 73 & 150:2, 176:8, \\
\hline 79:25 & 52:12, 52:24, & 180:9, 180:14, & 176:13, 176:15, \\
\hline 57 & 59:12, 61:9, & 181:17, 183:3, & 176:16, 178:8 \\
\hline 222: 6 & 62:18, 62:24, & 184:8 & 75 \\
\hline 575 & 64:10, 64:25, & 74 & 10:4, 10:9, \\
\hline 61:21 & 67:16, 71:19, & 176:13 & 10:20, 11:1, \\
\hline 575.3 & 80:7, 92:11, & 7401 & 41:17, 96:4, \\
\hline 61:16 & 92:13, 92:21, & 25:16, 26:2, & 96:8, 96:12, \\
\hline 58,220 & 95:4, 102:19, & 26:5, 152:2, & 96:19, 97:20, \\
\hline 198:7 & 103:5, 103:22 & 154:22, 155:6, & 108:11 \\
\hline 59 & 64 & 155:11, 155:21 & 750 \\
\hline 5:6, 63:21, & 5:8, 5:10, & 7410 & 89:6, 91:1, \\
\hline 66:11 & 41:14 & 116:11, 137:13 & 94:10, 94:11 \\
\hline 5th & 640 & 7412 ( & 7500 \\
\hline 237:24 & 98:9 & 113:14, 113:18 & 35:5, 113:23, \\
\hline 6 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 65 \\
& 220: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & 7416 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 114: 23,134: 25, \\
& 192: 9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 6 & 66 & \(4: 8,115: 6\),
\(135: 11,136: 25\), & \[
7501
\] \\
\hline 38:5 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 5: 12 \\
& 67
\end{aligned}
\]} & \(135: 11, ~ 136: 25\),
\(138: 11, ~ 176: 13\), & 133:9, 135:4 \\
\hline 6,500 & & 176:15, 176:16, & \[
7505
\] \\
\hline \[
221: 6, \quad 222: 7
\] & \[
181: 1
\] & 178:7 & 35:5, 132:18 \\
\hline 6-foot & 670 & 7419 & 7508 \\
\hline 189:21, 192:24 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(225: 10\)
68} & 136:14, 136:18 & 35:6, 113:15, \\
\hline 6-inch & & 7420 & 113:19, 113:20, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 151: 11, \quad 151: 13, \\
& 151: 19
\end{aligned}
\] & 68
\(141: 4\) & \[
115: 5,115: 10,
\] & 114:13 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
60
\]} & \(141: 4\)
69 & \[
115: 16,115: 17
\] & 7509 \\
\hline & 69
\(150: 2\) & 116:7, 133:10, & 30:25 \\
\hline 188:14, 216:18, & \(150: 2\)
6 th & 135:6, 135:13, & 7512 \\
\hline \[
220: 8,227: 6
\] & 6th \({ }^{\text {238.14, } 238 \cdot 15}\) & 136:2, 136:3, & 35:6, 113:18 \\
\hline \[
600
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 238: 14, \quad 238: 15, \\
& 239: 4, \quad 239: 25,
\end{aligned}
\] & 136:5, 136:17, & 7516 \\
\hline 18:20 & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 239: 4,239: 25 \\
& 240: 1
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & 137:14, 137:15, & 176:13 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{94:15, 94:24,} & 7 & 138:3, 146:7, & 76 \\
\hline & 7.1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 147: 10, ~ 147: 14, \\
& 148: 3,148: 22,
\end{aligned}
\] & 17:12, 68:14
\[
762
\] \\
\hline 62 处 & 108:5 & 149:4, 176:16, & 3:12 \\
\hline 11:23, 11:25, & 7.7 & 190:9, 229:5 & 77 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 43: 5, \quad 78: 1, \\
& 80: 19, \quad 81: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & 70 & \[
7425
\] & 17:15 \\
\hline \[
63
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{141:10, 188:14,} & 133:10, 135:5, & 79 \\
\hline 4:23, 5:3, 5:5, & & 147:11 & 16:4 \\
\hline 5:7, 5:9, 5:13, & 220:8 & 7430 & 798 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 5: 17, \quad 5: 23,6: 5, \\
& 12: 7, \quad 28: 24,
\end{aligned}
\]} & 225:22 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6: 18, \quad 12: 24, \\
& 15: 11, \quad 115: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 88: 4, \quad 88: 18 \\
& 7+h
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & \[
71
\] & \[
117: 22,118: 3
\] & \[
238: 14,238: 16,
\] \\
\hline 36:24, 37:6, & 5:14, 141:10 & 119:1, 123:24, & 239:4, 239:11, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 239:15 & 94 & \\
\hline 8 & 6:4, 39:22, & \\
\hline 8,950 & 42:4, 42:10, & \\
\hline 201:8 & 80:2, 89:5, & \\
\hline 8-inch & 191:19, 199:1, & \\
\hline 140:6, 157:16, & 199:15, 199:18, & \\
\hline 157:24, 162:8 & 199:19, 200:6, & \\
\hline 80 & 200:10, 200:22, & \\
\hline 5:18, 10:11, & 201:5, 201:24, & \\
\hline 10:22, 216:18, & 201:25, 202:15, & \\
\hline 216:19 & 202:20, 202:22, & \\
\hline 800 & 203:23, 204:25, & \\
\hline 89:11, 227:18 & 205:11, 205:12, & \\
\hline 8101 & 210:24, 212:25, & \\
\hline 226:18 & 213:6, 213:16, & \\
\hline 82 & 215:14, 217:18, & \\
\hline 5:19, 5:20 & 220:16, 220:24 & \\
\hline 84 & 95 & \\
\hline 124:11, 125:9 & 6:6, 52:17, & \\
\hline 85 & 93:3 & \\
\hline 173:20 & 9500 & \\
\hline 85.5 & 3:19 & \\
\hline 40:19 & & \\
\hline 850 & 6:7 & \\
\hline 89:11 & 99 & \\
\hline 87,500 & 6:9, 174:13 & \\
\hline 72:6 & & \\
\hline 88 & 124:10, 238:14, & \\
\hline 5:22 & 238:24, 239:4, & \\
\hline 8th & 239: & \\
\hline 238:24 & & \\
\hline 9 & & \\
\hline 9 & & \\
\hline 1:13, 38:5, & & \\
\hline 242:1, 242:16 & & \\
\hline 90 & & \\
\hline 147:2, 212:11, & & \\
\hline 216:19, 219:11, & & \\
\hline 219:15, 219:16, & & \\
\hline 220:4, 220:6 & & \\
\hline 90.4 & & \\
\hline 39:22 & & \\
\hline 91 & & \\
\hline 5:24, 147:24, & & \\
\hline 148:1 & & \\
\hline 93 & & \\
\hline 180:16, 213:4 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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