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| 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| shuffling. Okay, I'm -- this is a continuation of the | 12020 exchange. And I'm looking at page 101 (inaudible) you |
| 2 public hearing in CU 18-08, an application by a Primrose | 2 didn't want any more exhibits operations. And this is new |
| 3 School for a conditional use to operate a 195 -student | 3 material. I don't think it has any place in the record, |
| 4 daycare center at 7430 Needwood Road. Now, are there any | 4 especially at this late date. It's a year after the whole |
| 5 other preliminary matters? | 5 issue came up about operations where Mr. Mondava was |
| MR. CHEN: Madam Examiner? | 6 examined about it, we now get this. It's new material and |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah. | 7 my client strongly objects to it being in the record and |
| 8 MR. CHEN: This is Bill Chen for the record. | 8 being accepted in the record. |
| 9 Since the last hearing I received Petitioner's supplemental | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Kline? |
| 10 prehearing statement. Have you received that? I received a | 10 MR. KLINE: In Mr. Mondava's preparation for his |
| 11 hard copy on -- I think it was Wednesday. | 11 testimony, he reviewed the record. He gave me the citation |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: The Applicant's | 12 for when the hearing examiner that she thought that material |
| 13 supplemental prehearing statement? | 13 would be valuable to have in the record. We realized that |
| 14 MR. CHEN: Yeah. I got it at 3:00 in the | 14 much later than probably you thought you would see it. And |
| 15 afternoon on 7 April, hard copy from Mr. Kline's office. | 15 so I submitted it to you as early as I could. And I will |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: 7th of April? | 16 just leave it at that. |
| 17 Mr. CHEN: Wednesday. Mr. Kline, you want to | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Well, I'm |
| 18 help us here? | 18 not going to consider it. I'm not going to admit it. I |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I don't see it | 19 had -- you know, I guess I wasn't expecting -- I'm taking |
| 20 in my email. | 20 Mr . Chen's representation because I have even seen it. And |
| 21 Mr. KLINE: Good morning. This is Jody Kline | 21 it's on -- we are on rebuttal. So Mr. Chen has no |
| 22 speaking, the attorney for the Applicant. The material that | 22 opportunity, and I did not -- when I remember -- I remember |
| 23 was sent to both of you was the operational information | 23 asking him a year ago, but I don't think I realized the |
| 24 handbooks dealing with Primrose School that had been | 24 scope and depth of what was in that -- what was in the |
| 25 referenced in earlier hearings that the hearing examiner had | 25 procedural manual. So I'm not going to admit it. All |
| 6 | 8 |
| asked for. I believe it was email to -- maybe accidentally | 1 right. |
| 2 to Ms. Johnson and mailed to your office and hand-delivered | 2 MR. CHEN: And just for the record, I will |
| 3 to Mr. Chen on whatever day you mentioned. I don't think it | 3 mention -- and we will let it go as far as my end. Your |
| 4 as much relevance for what we have left to do, but I just | 4 March 6, 2020 hearing at page 101, you make the observation |
| put it into the record. | 5 that we don't need any more information about operations. I |
| CHEN: Well -- | 6 will let the words speak for themselves, but thank you, |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I don't | 7 Madam Examiner. We abide by your ruling and appreciate it. |
| 8 have it. And it must have -- you know, I don't know why. I | 8 Thank you. |
| 9 won't speculate. | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right. |
| 10 MR. KLINE: Well, I can -- my secretary is | 10 Well, I assume there would be more preliminary matters. |
| 11 upstairs, and I can have her check when it was transmitted | 11 Unfortunately, I should -- is there any possibility of |
| 12 to your office by email. I know it was mailed. | 12 getting Mr. Cook? Because it's now only 15 minutes to go. |
| 13 Mr. COOK: Well, let's hear from Mr. Chen. Mr. | 13 Is there any possibility of getting Mr. Cook on the line |
| 14 Chen, do you have an objection to this? | 14 now? I know we are intruding into his vacation. |
| 15 MR. CHEN: Absolutely, this -- if you can see it | 15 MR. KLINE: Well Mme. hearing examiner, you have |
| 16 on -- I will turn it. It's shared on the camera. It's | 16 a better way of detecting whether he is already online. I |
| 17 about half -- it's over 100 pages. And it purports to be | 17 can certainly -- |
| 18 the handbook, the Primrose School parents' handbook 2021, | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I don't see him. |
| 19 Primrose School safe school plan management addition, the | 19 Mr. KLINE: Don't see him? Okay. I don't know |
| 20 Primrose School preschool bounce learning classroom | 20 why he -- well, all I can do is go upstairs and call him on |
| 21 schedule. And I object to this. Mr. Kline can say, I | 21 his cell phone and ask him if he can (inaudible). I'm sure |
| 22 guess, that he doesn't think it's of much importance, but it | 22 he will arrive by 10:00 if not earlier, but I'm not sure why |
| 23 was important enough that literally at 3:00 p.m. on | 23 he's not here yet. |
| 24 Wednesday before this Friday that a copy was hand-delivered | 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, and that |
| 25 to me and I will refer the hearing examiner to your March 6, | 25 may have been my mistake too. I should have scheduled him |
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percent essentially of the total length of the queue?
    MR. COOK: Right, that's correct. That's the
95th percentile, which is what we use to design things by.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Now if
you move that stopped bar on Carnegie back, your queue --
    MR. COOK: Stop bar on Carnegie?
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, stop bar on
westbound Needwood at the intersection. If you move that
back, that's going to push you queue back; is that correct?
    MR. COOK: That's correct.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Now this queuing
also doesn't take into account the driveways, correct? You
just measure to the intersections?
    MR. COOK: That's correct, from the stop bar to
where the turn lane would end at, yes. The full width turn
lane. That doesn't include the -- now at the end of the
left turn lane you have the full width, which is }12\mathrm{ feet
wide. And then you begin a taper, which is normally -- it
somewhere between 100 and 150 feet or so long. The
dimension that you see here, the 441.9 only represents the
full width portion of the turn lane, not the taper.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay.So
your -- so what about -- what about the through lane? Does
this measure -- is this only for the left-hand turn lane?
Or does this account for queuing on the through lane as
```

well? When I say through lane, I mean the multipurpose,
left, right, and through lane.
MR. COOK: The shared lane?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah.
MR. COOK: No, the 475.3 represents the projected
queue in the shared lane. The 441.9 is what's needed for
just the exclusive left turn lane.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I'm sorry. I'm
writing my note. Now -- so this is gone. So the queue is
calculated based on the volume of traffic movements, I
guess, from that approach through the software program; is
that correct?
MR. COOK: That's correct.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Now, I
don't know if you were here or not for Dr. Kosary's
testimony, that -- I'm moving now to delay.
MR. COOK: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And we're going
to go back to the corrected worksheet. Now Dr. Kosary
testified that the R squared factor, once it is below a
certain number it doesn't account accurately for delay. Do
you have a response to that?
MR. COOK: I'm trying to find what she is
referring to.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Go to
227. Here, I will get it. No, I thought I -- oh. If the

QSR factor -- I'm looking at 227-B. Let me get it for you so you don't have to -- SSS. So you should see it on your screen and is highlighted.
(Exhibit 227-B SSS was introduced.)
MR. COOK: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right.
MR. COOK: That -- and that's not referring to
delay. That strictly talking about queue length.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, it says,
the HCM procedures do not account for this blocking in the computation of delay.

MR. COOK: What that's referring to is if -- if
we find out that the queue storage ratio is greater than
one, what that illustrates is we don't have sufficient
storage space to accommodate the demand. The part about the
delay is just that this exercise is strictly for queuing,
not for delay. And what this is saying is that if it does
appear to be some blockage, what you have to look at and
analyze, if there is -- if that blockage could cause delay,
then they're just letting you know that that's not included
in the delay calculations.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So it does
affect the delay?
MR. COOK: It could if there is a blockage, yes.
16
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Did you
analyze the distance? For instance, we -- now, I didn't get
this exhibit pre-put up, but did you analyze the queuing
versus the driveways like Ms. Rodriguez's driveway?
MR. COOK: We just looked at the queuing required
for the left turn lane. We did not look at individual
driveways for houses.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. So
this -- I'm back at 161-A, that 75 total that I'm circling
on the sheet right now.
MR. COOK: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: That would
account for the queue, that's the total queue, including
what would back up on the through lane?
MR. COOK: I believe you said, and correctly,
that that for 75.3 represents the length of the queue for
the right-hand curb lane westbound along Needwood Road.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Now Dr.
Kosary also testified -- and let me see if I can get this
up -- about the R squared factor here. Do you see the
highlighted section here? Were you here for this testimony?
Or were you off in your travels?
MR. COOK: I believe I -- I do not believe I was
on when she testified to that. We did discuss through some
of my testimony. This deals with the trip generation of the
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| 17 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 daycare center. And I know I testified to that, but I'm not | 1 response? |
| 2 sure I was on the phone with -- when Dr. Kosary was | 2 MR. COOK: Generally, the R squared factor, if it |
| 3 testifying. | 3 is below the .75 , it is used by -- when using the handbook, |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Well, | 4 there are several other factors that must be considered. |
| 5 according to Dr. Kosary, and Dr. Kosary, you correct me if | 5 And unfortunately, like a lot of mathematical formulas and |
| 6 I'm wrong. The trip generation is -- does not -- once the R | 6 things of that nature, it's not always correct. Although |
| 7 squared factor gets below, I think it's . $75-$ is that what | 7 it's the rule of thumb, it's not always correct. The -- you |
| 8 you testified to Dr. Kosary? | 8 know, there is the fitted equation that is a second |
| 9 DR. KOSARY: That's correct. And I testified | 9 (inaudible). |
| 10 that there were two different standards for went to choose | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, what's |
| 11 the average rate over the equation. One was -- | 11 that? Was the fitted -- what your equation? |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Which equation? | 12 MR. COOK: In ITE, they have the two different |
| 13 MS. KOSARY: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I | 13 methods. They have the straight, average rate and then they |
| 14 didn't -- | 14 have the fitted curve, which is a little more -- in other |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, take a | 15 words is not a linear rate like the average rate is that the |
| 16 moment. Take a moment. | 16 Doctor is referring to. But it's based on -- |
| 17 MS. KOSARY: I didn't hear your question because | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, wait. The |
| 18 there was some rustling of paper. | 18 average rate, s that the ITE equation? |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No paper | 19 MR. COOK: They are -- these are both published. |
| 20 rustling. | 20 They're both in the ITE trip generation manual. |
| 21 MS. KOSARY: No paper rustling. | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: That's going to | 22 MR. COOK: There is different -- for every land- |
| 23 be one of our OZHA rules of procedure if we continue on this | 23 use that's contained in the manual, there may be multiple |
| 24 path. | 24 methods of determining what rates are appropriate to use. |
| 25 You testified, I believe, that if the R squared | 25 And the two that the Doctor and I referring to -- |
| 18 | 20 |
| 1 factor is below .75, another methodology other than -- now, | 1 the Doctor is referring to a straight-line rate so that for |
| 2 I'm showing you 227-B. I think it's W -- no, UUU. You | 2 every thousand -- for instance, every thousand square feet |
| 3 testified, I believe, that if it's under . 75 you should use | 3 you generate the same amount of trips for that thousand |
| 4 a different methodology; is that correct? | 4 square feet. The fitted curve is slightly different in that |
| 5 (Exhibit 227-B UUU was introduced.) | 5 it also takes into consideration the size of the project and |
| 6 MS. KOSARY: Correct, the average rate | 6 the number of points that you have. For instance, if you |
| 7 (inaudible). The average rate as opposed to the equation. | 7 only had two or three sample points for a particular land- |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And when you say | 8 use, you would, in all likelihood, use the average rate that |
| 9 equation, are you talking about the McTran software? | 9 the Doctor is referring to. But in the manual, it tells you |
| 10 MS. KOSARY: No, this is the Institute for | 10 that if you have 40 or more points for particular use and -- |
| 11 Traffic Engineers. | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Now, what is a |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible) the | 12 point? |
| 13 rate. | 13 MR. COOK: A point is a sample that was used to |
| 14 MS. KOSARY: The ITE, right. Two different | 14 develop these rates. |
| 15 players. | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Correct. There | 16 MR. COOK: So -- and of course if you have 40, |
| 17 are many players in this. | 17 then you have a much larger cross-section to choose from. |
| 18 MS. KOSARY: Many players in this. | 18 And what you really need to do when you look at the rates -- |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay, now I know | 19 in some cases the average rates are fine. In other cases, |
| 20 I'm switching back. I'm going to allow everybody to ask | 20 the linear equation is much better to use because you have a |
| 21 questions. But now you -- Mr. Cook, did you hear -- you | 21 much higher number of samples. And what we have found for |
| 22 understood that testimony? | 22 some uses is the -- that for instance the linear curve, it |
| 23 MR. COOK: Yes, I understand what the Doctor is | 23 may have -- as an example I guess maybe retail space. For |
| 24 referring to, yes ma'am. | 242,000 square feet of retail space -- and I'm just giving you |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And you have a | 25 numbers as an example. For 2,000 square feet, it may say |


|  | 23 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 you start with 20 trips and then complete the rest of the | 1 observations and you could have an R squared of like .2. |
| 2 equation. Well, that's not appropriate because 2,000 square | 2 You can have an R squared of zero. |
| of retail space is not going to generate 20 trips. So | You can have absolutely no relationship between |
| 4 what you really have to do is while there are guidelines | 4 your data points what's on your X axis versus your Y axis. |
| 5 when to use one versus when to use the other, what it does | 5 It would be a garbage result if you used an equation in that |
| 6 tell you is that you need to look at them, decide which ones | 6 situation. I actually think that the LATR has actually made |
| 7 are the more appropriate ones to use, and to check with | 7 the better call on this in terms of how to choose one over |
| 8 government, in this case, Park and Planning, for their | 8 the other. |
| 9 interpretation so they can tell us what to use. In this | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. All |
| 10 particular case for the daycare center we were told to | 10 right. I'm going to turn over to -- I'm going to turn it |
| 11 the equations. So it's a little difficult to understand, | 11 over to -- I'm sorry, Mr. Kline to ask questions of Mr. |
| 12 but it's not black and white. | 12 C |
| 13 considerations to see which ones are the most appropria | 13 Thank you, Dr. Kosary. |
| 14 for that particular land-use that you are dealing with. | 14 And then Mr. Kline, you will get to ask questions |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: | 15 of Dr. Kosary as well. |
| 16 getting feedback. | 16 MR. KLINE: Thank you. I guess the first thing I |
| 17 MS. KOSARY: You certainly | 17 would ask is probably going to sound very simplistic |
| 18 MR. COOK: Yeah. You're okay now (inaudible) | 18 compared to the level of discussion you just had. But I |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah. | 19 kept thinking that the queue lengths that you were talking |
| 20 MS. KOSARY: Do you want me to reply also? | 20 about related to the -- primarily the dedicated leff turn |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I | 21 lane and that that would go back a certain distance, but |
| 22 MS. KOSARY: I'm going to give you a much sim | 22 that there was capacity to make a left turn by using the |
| 23 answer probably, in a way. As I noted, the ITE manua | 23 middle lane and that would, in fact, either reduce the |
| 24 presents criteria for when to choose an average rate and | 24 length of the queue or was supplement the length of the |
| 25 went to choose the result of the equation. This is nothing | 25 queue. AmI wrong on that? Are these numbers taking into |
| 22 | 24 |
| re than just a straight-line line | 1 account the through, right, and left turn optional left turn |
| 2 know, actually through the data points. And IT is basically | 2 lane? How does that work in? |
| 3 saying that if you have, I believe, more than 20 | 3 Mr. COOK: Im assuming that, Mr. Kline, that |
| 4 observations you should use the linear fit, the fit through | 4 question was for me. |
| 5 the straight-line equation instead of the average rate | 5 MR. KLINE: Yes, sir. I'm sorry. |
| 6 irregardless of what the R squared -- and remember th | 6 MR. COOK: They do take both lanes into |
| 7 squared is nothing more than just a measure of how good the | 7 consideration. The lane that's been in question has been |
| 8 equation fits the data. The LATR however, appears to have a | 8 the exclusive westbound left turn lane, which came up as a |
| 9 different criteria of in that they are saying you use the | 9 result of the queue ratio being over 1 . But those queuing |
| 10 linear -- you use the linear equation results unless your R | 10 figures do reflect the movements in each lane. That's why |
| 11 squared is less than 75 | 11 there is -- on the table that's on your screen right now -- |
| 12 And I disagree with Mr. Cook. There is no | 12 I m assuming the same one mentions the 95 th percentile |
| 13 documentation on the record that Planning told the | 13 queuing. And each column the first queue length represents |
| 14 to use one or the other. If you look at their scope of work | 14 the exclusive left turn lane. The second number represent |
| 15 agreement, which is in Exhibit 63, it's over in appendix A, | 15 the shared 1 |
| 16 the part on trip generation merely tells them that they | 16 MR. KLINE: Okay, thank you. If understood your |
| 17 should be documenting how they made the decision of what | 17 comment about the options that are available to you, in your |
| 18 method to use, whether to use the average rate or whether to | 18 opinion, you use your professional judgment to determine |
| 19 use the straight-line equation res | 19 what was the appropriate trip generation rate for the |
| 20 And I like the latter of -- what's reflected in | 20 proposed use? |
| 21 footnote 12 of using the regression results, the line | 21 MR. COOK: Yes, we do. And every reviewing |
| 22 equation unless your fit is under .75. I actually like | 22 agency that reviews our studies, that's one of the very |
| 23 better than just the ITE saying that if you've got 20 | 23 first things they always review to see if we are making the |
| 24 observations use the regression results regardless of what | right assumptions in their opinions. And we got no brief |
| 25 the R squared is telling you because you could have 500 | 25 back on any of them from any agency that we dealt with. |


| 25 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. KLINE: So apropos of Dr. Kosary's comment, | 1 concern. So by splitting the stop bar, you're not moving |
| 2 your submission to Park and Planning Commission did explain | 2 the stop -- the stopping point for the through traffic. You |
| 3 your rationale for your choice of methods to use to | 3 would just be moving the queue for the leff turn back a |
| 4 determine tip | 4 |
| 5 MR. COOK: We listed the number of trips tha | 5 So there's different ways of handling it, but we |
| 6 were being -- we projected to be generated, which were based | 6 have -- we've not done any design on this yet until after |
| 7 on the equation and they did not oppose that or write back | 7 we've gotten comments back fromeverybody and we know we |
| 8 and say they disagreed. So we can only assume that they | 8 got a project. And then we would approach MCDOT to see |
| 9 felt the | 9 which method they would pr |
| 10 MR. KLINE: Thank you. No further questions, | 10 MR. CHEN: Okay. So as we sit though here today, |
| 11 Cook. | 11 there -- as you say, there has been no valuation of what |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Any cross, Mr | 12 type of a change in the location of the stop bar in either |
| 13 Chen? | 13 lane has been determined; is that an accurate statement? |
| 14 MR. CHEN: Just a couple. Mr. Cook, according to | 14 MR. COOK: We've looked at and considered it, but |
| 15 my understanding of your testimony, the queue for the right | 15 we did not have any formal approval from the government |
| 16 hand straight through right turn lane is 475.3 feet | 16 agency at this po |
| 17 right, sir? | 17 MR. CHEN: Have you submitted anything for |
| 18 MR. COOK: That's correct. Under to | 18 approval by the governmental agency? |
| 19 conditions, y | 19 MR. COOK: We've submitted no design plans or |
| 20 Mr. CHEN: And that's longer than the dedicate | 20 anything of that nature at this time. No, we have not |
| 21 left hand turn lane, correct | 21 because we -- we kind of prepared a -- you know, a list of |
| 22 MR. COOK: That's c | 22 two improvements. One being the relocation of the stop bars |
| 23 MR. CHEN: Now, you've testified | 23 and the other being the flattening of the radius on that |
| 24 way to ad | 24 corner. Once we have an idea that this project will be |
| 25 intersection of Needwood and Redland was to move the stop | 25 moving forward, then we would definitely be going to MCDOT |
| 26 | 28 |
| 1 bar ; is th | 1 to get their approval on which method they would prefer. |
| 2 MR. COOK: That's correct | 2 MR. CHEN: Within the 475.3 feet that would be |
| 3 MR. CHEN: And as I understand your testimony, | 3 the queue for the through lane -- |
| 4 you would be moving the stop bar further east, isn't that, | 4 MR. COOK: Yes. |
| 5 right? Further away from the intersection itself? | 5 MR. CHEN: Do you know how many driveways have |
| 6 MR. COOK: That's correct. | 6 access onto Needwood? I guess it would be driveways on the |
| 7 MR. CHEN: And that consequently -- have you done | 7 northern side of Needwood that would be within that distance |
| 8 any calculation or consideration of how far east the stop | 8 of 475.3 feet? |
| 9 bar would be moved? | 9 MR. COOK: No, I really don't. We never really |
| 10 MR. COOK: We have not done any detailed type of | 10 counted them. |
| 11 design for doing that at this point. It was just a -- you | 11 MR. CHEN: Okay, but certainly -- assuming your |
| 12 know, something that was brought up as a question and we | 12 numbers are accurate and assuming that the queue in that |
| 13 looked at the existing conditions and found out that based | 13 lane is going to be 475.3 feet, anyone exiting a driveway |
| 14 on the turning radius, if we had more room there for a truck | 14 that's within that distance is going to be -- will have to |
| 15 to make it turn at that location, then it would help move | 15 wait until the queue provides space so that the car exiting |
| 16 traffic along at a high rate of speed. But we were | 16 the driveway is able to enter east or westbound Needwood; |
| 17 estimating it to be 10 feet. There is multiple things that | 17 isn't that correct? |
| 18 could -- I mean, we could -- we could move the entire stop | 18 MR. COOK: If there is a queue built up at that |
| 19 bar in both lanes back a given distance, maybe 10 feet. Or | 19 point in time or many times there is traffic moving. There |
| 20 we could just split the stop bar, which I'm sure everyone | 20 is gaps that people can get out and get into the flow |
| 21 has seen at some locations, where the left turn lane stop | 21 traffic. But certainly, if traffic was sitting there for |
| 22 bar is a little further back from the intersection than the | 22475 feet, then people would have to wait for it to open or |
| 23 through lane is. And that's just to give the truc | 23 for traffic to start moving again to create a gap through |
| 24 room to swing. He's not going to interfere with the right 25 and most lane but as the left turn lane where there is a | 24 there. <br> 25 MR CHEN. Were you present -- |


| 29 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, let me | 1 question, sir. There is apparently different types of |
| 2 just interrupt one second. The 475 however, that's the 95th | 2 software that can be used for these calculations. Isn't |
| 3 percentile of the queue, right? | 3 that right, sir |
| 4 MR. COOK: That's correct | 4 MR. COOK: That's corr |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And why do you | 5 MR. CHEN: And is -- and I try to write this |
| 6 use the 95th percentile versus 100 percent of the queue? | 6 down, but I may have gotten it erroneously. What is the |
| 7 MR. COOK: Candidly, I would have to say that | 7 name of the software that The Traffic Group utilized? |
| decision was made long before I was in the business | 8 MR. COOK: It -- for this particular exercise, as |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay | 9 required in Montgomery County, this is the highway capacity |
| 10 MR. COOK: But under any design plans that we | 10 manual softwar |
| 11 usually deve | 11 MR. CHEN: Okay. Now the highway capacity manual |
| 12 they -- and ASHTO (ph.) recommends using the 95th percentile | 12 though, recognizes other software; isn't that correct, sir? |
| 13 queue. | 13 MR. COOK: I'm sorry. Could you repeat that, |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Now 95th | 14 please? |
| 15 percentile is you take 95 percent of 100 linear feet; | 15 MR. CHEN: I apologize. The highway capacity |
| 16 that correct? I just want to make sure I understand | 16 manual also that recognizes other types of software that can |
| 17 Mr. COOK: Now, for -- as an example | 17 be utilized; isn't that correct, |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I knew it | 18 MR. COOK: There are other types of software that |
| 19 wouldn't be that simple. | 19 are available. They are all tools that are at our dispos |
| 20 Mr. COOK: No, it never is. The 400 -- the 95ther | 20 For instance, one that has been mentioned previously in our |
| 21 percentile in the | 21 hearings is the Synchro analysis. And that's another tool |
| 22 talking about here for the curb lane of 475.3 means that the | 22 that you can use to determine the delay and queuing and |
| 23 maximum queue -- and I don't have a calculator right here to | 23 things of that nature. The Synchro model is based on the |
| 24 do the math. | 24 primary assumptions contained in the highway capacity |
| 25 feet, if you look for the maximum queue, would be about 5 | 25 manual. |
| 30 | 32 |
| 1 percent greater than the 475 | 1 MR. CHEN: Okay. Okay. What's then |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So 475 times | 2 difference? |
| 3 1.05? | 3 MR. COOK: The Synchro analysis, at least from |
| 4 Mr. COOK: Correct | 4 what we've been asked to use it for, is primarily used when |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. I'm sorry | 5 you are looking at roadway networks. I would say as an |
| 6 Mr. Chen. Go ahead | 6 example -- maybe it's a bad example, but Maryland 355, |
| 7 MR. CHEN: Mr. Cook, about a year ago when we had | 7 Rockville Pike, where you have a series of traffic signals |
| 8 the pre-Covid hearings, | 8 that are closely spaced together, Synchro, if you looked at |
| 9 residents, including my recollection, residents that wer | 9 it using the highway capacity manual for intersections that |
| 10 entering Needwood from driveways on the northern side of | 10 are that closely spaced, you wouldn't necessarily pick up |
| 11 Needwood, plus other people who were driving east | 11 the true effect of what's happening because of all the |
| 12 westbound on Needwood. There's even one gentleman that had | 12 signals in that area. Synchro is a better tool to use for |
| 13 photographs of cueing or backups. Did you listen to any of | 13 something like that area because the intersections are so |
| 14 that testimony, sir? It was about a year ago | 14 close together and it does a better job of picking up |
| 15 MR. COOK: I did hear some of that testimony | 15 queuing, blockages, overflow, and things of that nature. So |
| 16 yes. | 16 it's usually used in the more tightly space road network as |
| 17 MR. CHEN: And based upon hearing that testimony | 17 opposed to a road such as Needwood where you have a major |
| 18 and the photographs that were reported to evidence, did The | 18 road on each end, but you have a major distance between the |
| 19 Traffic Group do any recalculation or any calculation as a | 19 two and the art really any major, major generators in |
| 20 result of the information supplied by those witnesses a | 20 between the two intersections that would impact the traffic |
| 21 the photographs? | 21 well. |
| 22 Mr. COOK: No, we did not. We continued to | 22 MR. CHEN: So what would be the maj |
| 23 defend on the highway capacity manual software analysis to | 23 intersection east of the intersection of Needwood and |
| 24 compute the queue length | 24 Redland that you're referring to? Would that be Muncaster? |
| 25 MR. CHEN: Well, okay. Let me ask you another | 25 MR. COOK: Yes, sir. |


| 33 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. CHEN: And your testimony is that between the | 1 MR. COOK: I don't recall hearing any testimony |
| 2 intersection of Needwood and Muncaster, and Needwood and | 2 concerning it. |
| 3 Redland there are no major traffic generators that would | 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: But wouldn't you |
| 4 justify utilizing the other type of software? | 4 count too -- because of left and turns, wouldn't you count |
| 5 MR. COOK: Significant generators, yes. | 5 the other driveways on the south side? You've got Tapscott |
| 6 MR. CHEN: Okay. I've no further questions | 6 (ph.) the (inaudible). |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Kline, do | 7 Mr. KLINE: Well, Ms. Robeson, I was asking a |
| 8 you have any redirect? | 8 question in response to a question from Mr. Chen who did ask |
| 9 MR. KLINE: Thank you. Mme. hearing examiner, | 9 about driveways on the north side, which is where the -- |
| 10 could you go to Exhibit 106? The (inaudible)? | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh, I'm sorry. |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Kline, you | 11 I'm sorry. Go ahead. |
| 12 are reverberating. | 12 MR. KLINE: Which is where the queues are |
| 13 MR. KLINE: We are having that problem again. Is | 13 effective. We understand chair reviewer (inaudible), but I |
| 14 that still a problem? | 14 was just answering -- asking for response to a specific |
| 15 MR. COOK: Yes. | 15 question. |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Try it again. | 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I got you. |
| 17 MR. KLINE: Can you hear me now clearly? | 17 MR. KLINE: Fine. No further questions of Mr. |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No. | 18 Cook. |
| 19 MR. KLINE: It seems to be the same problem I had | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right. Mr. |
| 20 yesterday when I was shuffling some papers accidentally. | 20 Kline, are you ready to cross examine Dr. Kosary on her -- |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right. | 21 my -- her answers to my questions? |
| 22 Well, let's go forward and hopefully it will right itself. | 22 Mr. KLINE: I have no questions of Dr. Kosary. |
| 23 Can the court reporter understand what Mr. Kline is saying? | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Mr. Chen? |
| 24 COURT REPORTER: It's not perfect, but I can | 24 Mr. CHEN: Yes. |
| 25 understand what he is saying. | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh, wait a |
| 34 | 36 |
| 1 MR. KLINE: I will speak precisely. Could you | 1 minute. Do you have any redirect or more questions for Dr. |
| 2 please -- okay, fine. Could you please go to page 5 of the | 2 Kosary? |
| 3 staff report? | 3 MR. CHEN: Yes, I hadn't asked any questions of |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay, you | 4 Dr. Kosary. |
| 5 should -- you are there. This is page 5 of Exhibit 106. | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, that's |
| 6 (Exhibit 6 was introduced.) | 6 what I'm saying. Is this -- |
| 7 MR. KLINE: Mr. Cook, do you recognize the | 7 MR. CHEN: I (inaudible) now. |
| 8 subject property outlined in yellow? | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Just go ahead. |
| 9 MR. COOK: Yes, I do. | 9 Whatever -- I'm trying to figure out what we are on. I |
| 10 MR. KLINE: And that would be Carnegie Avenue | 10 guess you are sort of on redirect because I instituted the |
| 11 immediately to the left inside of the west of the subject | 11 questions. |
| 12 property? | 12 MR. CHEN: Thank you. |
| 13 MR. COOK: That's correct. | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So go ahead. |
| 14 MR. KLINE: And between the intersection of | 14 Mr. CHEN: Thank you. Dr. Kosary, what is the |
| 15 Carnegie and Needwood, and Carnegie and Redland, how many | 15 issue with the left-hand turn queue? |
| 16 driveways would be located on the north side of Needwood? | 16 MS. KOSARY: Okay, if we can go to -- I think |
|  | 17 it's -- it's 232, which is the corrected. |
| 18 the scale on the screen, but it looks to me like there would | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: It should -- |
| 19 be -- to the west there would be three residences between | 19 this is 233 -- |
| 20 Carnegie and Redland Road along Needwood on the north side. | 20 (Exhibit 233-A was introduced.) |
| 21 MR. KLINE: And the property on the northeast | 21 MS. KOSARY: 233. |
| 22 quadrant of Redland Road and Needwood Road is the Taiwanese | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: A, page 3. |
| 23 Cultural Center. Do you recall anyone testifying about | 23 MS. KOSARY: Right. Page 3 is a good one to look |
| 24 concerns about access to and from the road from the | 24 at. The problem with the back of queue for the left intern |
| 25 Taiwanese Cultural Center? | 25 link, which was showing 441.9 feet, I remind everybody that |

this lane is only like 425 feet long. So basically, you're
putting more hypothetical cars into it than you probably
have capacity in the lane to do --

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay.
MS. KOSARY: And that's what gets into the queue
storage ratio. Basically, if you go back to 227 SSS --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh, just SS?
MS. KOSARY: SSS. You were there.
MR. CHEN: Three Ss?
MS. KOSARY: Missed my final S. On the third
page where it's discussing the queue storage ratio. This is
basically, like, I think I said before; it's a heads up to
the data analyst. And if we were to go back to 233, we
would see at the very top that the data analyst -- the very
top of the page. If you scroll -- the analyst is -- has the initials MYC. If you went back to Exhibit 63 you would see
I believe the man's name is Mr. Mayan Yen Cheun. He is a PE
and he's a PTOE, which is a professional transportation
operations in here. So this man is credentialed twice.
I'm assuming that Mr. Cheun, he's obviously
demonstrated experience with the hyper capacity manual. He
needs to to get that PTOE. I'm assuming that he's also been
trained by a McTrans the company that produced the software.
And McTrans should have trained him to know that if the
queue storage ratio went above 1 , the highway capacity
manual procedures being implemented by this particular piece
of software were going to be under shooting a number of measures starting with the queue lengths. Your queue length
is obviously going to be shorter than what's being shown in the left hand-turn lane because you only have so much capacity in that left-hand turn lane.

Those cars are really going to be more than
likely pushed into the through lane extending the queue that
you see in the through lane. You should have also been
10 trained to realize that. You're under-shooting the
11 calculations for delay both in the left-hand turn lane and
12 in the through lane. If you pull it up and we're already
13 seeing that these are -- this particular approach, the
14 westbound Needwood approach -- these are a level of service
15 E. These are already, even with the underestimation on this
16 approach, these are numbers showing that this westbound
17 approach at this intersection, which is Redland Needwood is
18 very congested. It's got a level of service D . It's got
19 very long delays at the light. It's got, even with this
20 underestimation on the queues, it's got long queues. Mr .
21 Chuen should've been trained by McTrans in a situation like
this to know that he actually should have been going to a
different piece of software. He should have been going to
McTrans' microsimulation software and Synchro. I mean Mr.
Cook has been mentioning Synchro. Synchro is actually a

1 microsimulation piece of soffware. It analyzes for a large network, large piece of traffic core sim which McTran should have been instructing this person that you go to if you encounter a situation like this is actually what they refer to as more of a microsimulation, more -- probably just looking at an intersection. And this would have just given him a better, more accurate depiction of what was going on both with these queues and with this delay. And hopefuilly that was understandable, and I will leave it at that.

MR. CHEN: I want to take you back to the discussion about the 95th percentile.

MS. KOSARY: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Could you address that and clarify your understanding of the implications of that?

MS. KOSARY: Yeah. And correct me if I make this too complicated. These -- you know, these procedures are based on assumptions of how we think the data is distributed. If you've taken an introductory statistics class, I mean Mr. Chen is laughing. He obviously got forced to take one. They teach you what's referred to as the normal distribution a bell-shaped curve. And you know the curve you can look at what the 50th percentile is, what the 95th percentile, any percentile you want. Queuing gets a little more complicated because it's actually not a
25 normal -- and there's nothing actually normal about what
they call the normal distribution. I actually know it as a
Gaussian distribution. And one of the weaknesses that --
you know when I reviewed the highway capacity manual and
things like that that I saw is that they didn't take it
to -- out to getting to the point where you had standard errors, which are things that are important. They are measures that are important to know if you're going to know -- if you are at the 95th percentile how much further back is the 99th percentile. You need to know what's called a standard error.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Dr. Kosary. I'm
sorry. I couldn't understand. You said if you are at the
95th percentile you have to look at where you are at what percentile. It blanked out on me.

MS. KOSARY: Oh, no. You need to know where you -- you need to know the shape of the distribution, the shape of the curve.

MS. KOSARY: Right, that you're dealing with.
And in this particular interest -- example, it's not normal.
It's actually -- it's a different type of distribution. So
I can't tell you taking it from the 95th to the hundreds
percentile whether we're talking 5 feet or 500 feet.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I understand.

| 41 | 43 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 enough information to make any assumptions whatsoever about | 1 project. The rules in Montgomery County don't allow us to |
| 2 moving from the 95th to the 99th or the 95th to the 100th | 2 do that. So our study was based on the rules that we are |
| 3 how many | 3 given to use. Th |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: But Mr. Cook, |
| 5 MS. KOSARY: We just don't know. We don't have | 5 t |
| 6 enough information | 6 MR. COOK: I'm not sure why you say that unless |
| 7 MR. CHEN: | 7 we were asked. The rules, I believe, state that we are to |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. | 8 use the highway capacity software methodology and that's |
| 9 redirects or | 9 what we used |
| 10 MR. KLINE: I really don't have any question | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Except when the |
| 11 Dr. Kosary. However, I would like to recall Mr. Cook to | 11 R 2 factor is under 75. |
| 12 address what he feels in response to what Dr. Kosary said | 12 Mr. COOK: That's something completely different. |
| 13 since I am afraid, I'm not capable of understanding it | 13 The R2 factor has to do with the trip generation |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Given M | 14 determination for your particular use. That has nothing to |
| 15 Kline's shortcoming | 15 do with |
| 16 th | 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: With the |
| 17 MR. KLINE: Mr. Cook, if you could, just briefly | 17 queuing. |
| 18 provide us with your response to Dr. Kosary's observation | 18 MR. COOK: -- the capacity analysis and the |
| 19 in the context of how you practice transport engineering and | 19 queuing calculations of stuff that we use. They are |
| 20 traffic planning. | 20 complete separate items. |
| 21 MR. COOK: The highway capacity manual is | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Anything |
| 22 document that's used by -- across North | 22 else? |
| 23 really the most desirable way of analyzing intersections, | 23 MR. COOK: The only other thing that I can think |
| 24 particularly signalized intersect | 24 of was the -- a statement was made about the queue length of |
| 25 acknowledged that the Sim traffic and some of the other | 25 the westbound left turn along Needwood Road. In our report |
| 42 | 44 |
| 1 tools that are available are also worthy tools that could b | 1 we showed it as 430 feet. And the 95th percentile queue was |
| 2 used to analyze certain situ | 249 -- I'm sorry -- 441.9 feet, which is a difference of |
| 3 jurisdictions where we have requirements like local area | 3 about 11 feet. And the Doctor had submitted a formula from |
| 4 transportation review, the methodology that we are asked to | 4 McTrans which is correct where you look at the amount of |
| 5 use is what we need to use. It's not something we can pick | 5 storage space versus what's needed based on the analysis. |
| 6 and choose. | 6 And in this particular case the factor on the |
| $7 \quad$ Traffic engineering is not an exa | 7 corrected sheet showed a 1.03 factor. It was really 1.0279 |
| 8 because a lot of it does deal with random arrivals and | 8 or something to that effect. They just round it off. But |
| 9 things of that -- and that's another factor that needs to | 9 basically what -- when you apply that 3 percent for sake of |
| 10 be -- could be entered into some type of formula. We use an | 10 ease, to the 430 feet of storage that's available, that's |
| 11 design distribution quite often to do that. So it's not an | 11 saying that in order to meet the 95 th percentile regulation |
| 12 exact science, but there are other tools, but we are limited | 12 based on the note that was highlighted on the corrected |
| 13 to what we are asked to use. In some cases the Stat | 13 worksheet we would need to lengthen the queue for the left |
| 14 Highway Administration asks us to use one methodology in | 14 turn westbound along Needwood by approximately 11 feet. |
| 15 Montgomery County may ask us to use another different type | 15 And that 11 feet could be gained multiple ways. |
| 16 of methodology. So we end up doing things both ways. We | 16 One clearly, we could lengthen the storage area for the left |
| 17 were only doing what we were required to do by the local | 17 turn lane. There is sufficient width there that it would |
| 18 area transportation review guidelines. | 18 just be a matter of re-striping the left turn lane and |
| 19 And in most cases the answers come out to be the | 19 adding a little bit of length to it so that we would be able |
| 20 same or at least similar. But we're not going to be getting | 20 to get that queue ratio number down below 1 , which is the |
| 21 matches to the second and things of that nature because they | 21 goal that the Doctor has been referring to. |
| 22 are based on different assumptions and what it is you are | 22 The second thing is the -- when you look at th |
| 23 actually measuring. So I understand the difference between | 23 intersection after the fullwidth left turn lane there is a |
| 24 the models and the fact that the Doctor feels that we should | 24 taper area that at its widest point is approximately 12 |
|  |  |


| 45 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 turn lane based on the 1.03 factor. That one car could very | 1 MR. CHEN: Objection. Mr. Cook has not observed |
| 2 easily pull into the hatch area where the taper is without | 2 anything in the field, number one. And number two, this |
| 3 interfering with the through lane traffic, although it's not | 3 goes beyond the scope of the examination. |
| 4 recommended that -- I'm certainly not recommending that a | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah, it does, |
| 5 car do that because the hatching is there for them not to do | 5 Mr. Kline. I had very specific questions. |
| 6 that. So I'm not recommending that. But in the real world | 6 MR. KLINE: All right. I have no further |
| 7 that happens quite often. | 7 questions of Mr. Cook. |
| 8 The third alternative would be not something that | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right, cross |
| 9 we can control, but at many intersections as people approach | 9 exam. Mr. Chen, do you have cross -- that was essentially a |
| 10 when you have dual lanes, they look at the lanes and which | 10 mini rebuttal, but I do want to get more on this issue. So |
| 11 ones are the most congested and which ones are longest. If | 11 Mr . Cook, you're still in the hot seat for a little bit. |
| 12 someone approaches the intersection and sees that the left | 12 MR. COOK: That's fine. |
| 13 turn lane is filled, they can stay in that through lane and | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Chen, do you |
| 14 use that to make the left turn at the next intersection. So | 14 have any questions of Mr. Cook? |
| 15 there is a series of things that just happen on the road | 15 MR. CHEN: Well, just a couple. Number -- Mr. |
| 16 network every day that could make up the difference and get | 16 Cook, let me go to this testimony about the queueing in the |
| 17 that queue ratio down below 1 , which is what the goal is, | 17 left-hand turn lane. Based upon your testimony just now, I |
| 18 and we wouldn't have that particular problem. | 18 take it you are acknowledging that given the amount of space |
| 19 But we are -- essentially, we are discussing the | 19 that is currently out there for that turn, that you would |
| 20 difference for one car. In reality, if we reran the numbers | 20 have to move -- if I'm using the right word -- move the |
| 21 and we did assume that that one extra car went through the | 21 storage lane further eastbound. Isn't that correct, sir? |
| 22 through lane, that would actually reduce the delay by about | 22 Mr. COOK: For some given distance, yes. |
| 23 a 10th of a second at the Redland and Needwood intersection. | 23 Mr. CHEN: Okay. Now that's consistent with Dr. |
| 24 Obviously not something that's noticeable, but it would have | 24 Kosary's testimony about the length of that storage lane, |
| 25 a positive impact, but we have no way of forcing people to | 25 how far back it goes eastbound. Isn't that right, sir? |
| 46 | 48 |
| 1 stay in that lane. I | 1 MR. COOK: Yes. |
| 2 think those are the primary topics that the | 2 MR. CHEN: Now, what happens if there is a lane |
| 3 Doctor talked about. She did make reference to the | 3 that's blocked? |
| 4 training. All of our professional staff undergo training. | 4 MR. COOK: Well, it's just like any -- we cannot |
| 5 They constantly get trained every time there is a new update | 5 design things in anticipation of incidents occurring. |
| 6 to a document such as the highway capacity manual or the | 6 There's just no way of -- |
| 7 ITE. So they are up-to-date with the -- all -- | 7 MR. COOK: Mr. Cook, could you just -- I |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I think | 8 understand that. I'm going to give Mr. Kline redirect. |
| 9 she acknowledged that he was properly credentia | 9 Could you just answer the question, what happens if a lane |
| 10 Mr. COOK: Okay. Then I don't have anything | 10 is blocked? |
| 11 else. | 11 MR. COOK: Okay. If the left turn lane is |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Cross- | 12 blocked, then all the traffic would have to utilize the |
| 13 examination. Are you finished Mr. Kline? | 13 through lane or the shared lane to make the left, through, |
| 14 MR. KLINE: Well, I was going to ask him just a | 14 and right until they were able to open up the other lane |
| 15 couple of supplemental questions to that. And that is, Mr | 15 again. |
| 16 Cook, I bet there have been instances in your career where | 16 MR. CHEN: And your testimony today is that is to |
| 17 you have done everything in accordance with the manuals and | 17 extend further eastbound the storage lane for the left turn |
| 18 the guidelines that you talked about, but the result just | 18 lane. Is that correct, sir? |
| 19 didn't seem right, it didn't seem to match up with what you | 19 MR. COOK: A couple of feet, yes. |
| 20 saw on the field. Am I correct? | 20 MR. CHEN: You don't know today? As you sit |
| 21 MR. COOK: That's correct. | 21 there today, you don't know how much further eastbound you |
| 22 MR. KLINE: Okay. In this instance, do you feel | 22 have to increase that storage lane; isn't that correct? |
| 23 that the results that you have reported are a realistic | 23 MR. COOK: Based on the calculations we did it |
| 24 portrayal of the circumstances that you've observed in the | 24 would be approximately 11 feet. So what we would like to |
| 25 field? | 25 have is approximately 441 feet of storage from wherever the |



Kline?
MR. KLINE: No questions, thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right. That
constitutes Mr. Cook's rebuttal to Dr. Kosary. And unless
anyone has an objection, I hesitate to excuse Mr. Cook
again. If anyone has an objection, I'm going to excuse him
so he can continue to enjoy wherever he is. Okay.
Hearing no objection, I do thank you Mr. Cook for
coming in your time off.
MR. COOK: Okay, thank you very much. It's okay
for me to go? I can stay if it would be -- make people
comfortable.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: It's up to your attorney.

MR. COOK: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Thank you, Mr. Cook.

MR. COOK: Okay, thank you.
MS. KOSARY: Thank you, Mr. Cook.
MR. COOK: Thank you, Doctor.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right. With that, Mr. Kline, do you want to call your next witness?

MR. KLINE: I'm glad to get ready --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: As long as he doesn't mention an equation, I'm okay. Dr. Kosary, I'm
joking really.
MR. KLINE: I was just wondering if maybe -- we been doing this for an hour and a half plus. Mr. Jolley is my next witness. We take a five-minute break in the start with Mr. Jolley at say 11:11?

MR. CHEN: I have no problem with the break.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay, let's take
a five-minute -- or let's just say 11:15. And Mr. Jolley
will be up. Mr. Jolley -- well, I will save that for when
we get back. So we're going to go off the record.
(Off the record, 11:06 a.m., resuming at 11:20
a.m.)

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Thank you. All
right. I believe Mr. Kline that Mr. Jolley is up.
Mr. Jolley, you're still under oath. You don't
have to get sworn again.
MR. KLINE: Would you like him to repeat his name and business address?

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, you can do
that for the court reporter. That helps them, I think.
MR. JOLLEY: My name is Jonathan; J-O-N-A-T-H-A-
N. Jolley; J-O-L-L-E-Y. My business address is 22375

Broderick Drive, Suite 110, Sterling, Virginia 20166.
MR. KLINE: Mr. Jolley, you are the landscape
architect primarily responsible for preparing and

```
supervising the preparation before preliminary forest
conservation plan for this project?
    MR. JOLLEY: Yes, that's correct.
    MR. KLINE: All right. And was your preliminary
forest conservation plan prepared in accordance with Chapter
22 a, the forest conservation law?
    MR. JOLLEY: Yes, sir.
    MR. KLINE: Okay. And did that preliminary
forest conservation plan receive a recommendation for
approval by the staff of MNCPPC?
    MR. JOLLEY: Yes, they did.
    MR. KLINE: And did the Planning Board approve
that PFCP in conjunction with recommendations on the
4 conditional use that brings us here today?
    MR. JOLLEY: Yes, they did.
MR. KLINE: All right. You've heard testimony
17 expressing concern about trees that are on the Kosary/Posey
property that may be damaged as a result of activity on the
subject property. Are there trees on the Kosary/Posey
property that are not shown on the PFCP?
    MR. JOLLEY: Yes, there are, sir.
    MR. KLINE:And why are they not shown?
    MR. JOLLEY: The trees on the neighboring
property to the east are not shown because the law assumes
that treats with a diameter of 24 or less inches at breast
height, and breast height being defined as \(4-1 / 2\) feet above
the grade where the tree intersects the ground service, are
not significant trees and do not need to be reported as the
possible loss is not deemed as a serious loss of forest.
That is the primary reason trees 24 inches and under are not
included on these as such plans.
    MR. KLINE: Mdm. hearing examiner, could you
please pull up exhibits RRRR 1 through 6, photographs in the
record?
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Which number
exhibit?
    MR. KLINE: I'm going to guess that's 227, but
I'm not sure a recall which batch that is.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: 227 three R's?
    MR. KLINE: Four.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Four R's.
    MR. KLINE: Actually now that I -- I see a note
on here. It may be OZHA Exhibit 115.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay.
    MR. KLINE: Exhibit R-2 is the note that on the
copy I have.
    MR. JOLLEY: That's what I see as well.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I have R-
1. Are you saying -- when you say R-2, is that two R's or
just R.2? Well, let me pull it up. I have photographs of
54
trees. On one second and I will share it with you. For the record, I'm just pulling up Exhibit 115. This is \(115-\mathrm{R}\).
These are photographs of trees on the Kosary property. No, photographs of trees on eastern boundary of 7430 Needwood Road. Is this what you want?

MR. KLINE: There we go.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So it is 115-
R.2.

So go ahead Mr. Kline.
(Exhibit 115-R. 2 was introduced.)
MR. KLINE: I'm sorry. I'm sitting here talking
realizing I'm on mute.
Mr. Jolley, I know you heard the testimony
earlier. So it's your understanding that -- the reason I'm
stopping is I just realized that these photographs don't
have identifier marks. So let's work with them anyway.
The orange cones represent the Posey's/Kosary
estimate of the property line between the property and that
looks reasonable from these photographs, correct?
MR. JOLLEY: Correct.
MR. KLINE: Okay. So on what is shown up there right now as R.2, the subject property is in the left side
of the photograph and the Posey -- Kosary, I'm sorry.
Kosary/Posey property is on the right-hand side of the photograph.

MR. CHEN: If I may, Mr. Kline. I don't think
that's accurate. I think --
MR. KLINE: Okay, thank you.
MR. CHEN: If I may --
MR. KLINE: Thank you, Mr. Chen. I think you
are -- I think what you're about to say, I think it makes
sense that I ought to go and use the later exhibits because
they are better marked. So can we -- can somebody help me
where the RRRR exhibits are found so the hearing examiner
can go to that?
MR. CHEN: I will grab the exhibit.
MR. JOLLEY: 227-D.
MR. CHEN: Mdm. examiner, I think I just heard
227-D. It's in the cluster of exhibits.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, this is
all R. You know what, the tree stuff starts the traffic
stuff. So let me go down here. I used a technical word
there. Okay. I'm on the tree stuff. Well, no it's --
MR. CHEN: Jody, is it four R's or --
MR. KLINE: Four R's.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Four R's?
MR. KLINE: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Bear with me a
moment while I locate the four R's.
MR. KLINE: Mr. Chen was correct. It is in the D

property, correct?

MR. JOLLEY: That is correct, sir.
MR. KLINE: Okay. So would like to have the
hearing examiner just scan through --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Wait, did you
say -- the right-hand sign is Kosary/Posey, correct?
MR. KLINE: That's the designation 7016 indicates
that -- I'm sorry. 7416 indicates it's the Kosary/Posey
property.
MR. JOLLEY: Oh, I'm sorry.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay, yes.
Sometimes people blank out and I can't hear a word. So
okay, thank you.
MR. KLINE: Sure. And Mme. hearing examiner, I
just wanted to have you scan through these with Mr. Jolley
just kind of making an observation about each one and then
he will select a couple to go ahead and get to the further
questions. So could you just kind of scroll through them
please?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So right now I'm showing four -- 227-D RRRR.1, .2, .3.

MR. KLINE: And maybe slow down a little bit
because -- and the reason I would like to slow down a little
bit is because the photograph orientation changes. So
sometimes the Kosary property is on one side and sometimes
it's on the other. So you're right now showing -- I think
it's probably .2 and that one as the subject property on the
left and the Kosary/Posey property on the right. So fine. Go ahead.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, this RRRR. 2
shows Kosary/Posey on the left and subject on the right.
MR. JOLLEY: That's correct.
MR. KLINE: Yeah, let me put my glasses back on.
I will get it right next time. Thank you.
MR. JOLLEY: The next photo reverses again.
Subject property on the right. 7416 on the left. The
subject parcel on the right and 7416 to the left on RRRR.4.
Then the final photograph, subject property to the right on
the screen and 7416 to the left of your screen. That may be
a good -- maybe a good photograph to stay on right now, Jody, if you want to proceed.

MR. KLINE: Mme. hearing examiner, can you go on
recess for a second while I figure out why our fire alarm went off, please?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What is your street address?

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: 7416. Okay.
The fire alarm is off. Are we good to go Mr. Kline?

MALE VOICE: I think he is muted, Madam Examiner.
MR. CHEN: I think he can hear because he's 5 muted.
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MR. KLINE: Yeah, it did stop, but could you give me one second to find out if that is going to happen again.
I know there are people in the building and apparently
that's a problem. But I cannot hear anything that it was
being said. So could you give me two minutes to talk with the fire people?

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah.
MR. KLINE: Thank you.
MR. CHEN: What photo are we on just for the
record? What exhibit number is it?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: This is 227-D and what your looked at what you should be looking at, sorry, is RRRR.5.

MR. CHEN: Okay, thank you very much.
MR. KLINE: Mme. hearing examiner --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Back on the record.

MR. KLINE: My apologies. We do have people in the building today working on our fire alarm system. There was not supposed to be an alarm and that apparently went off
accidently. In might happen again, in which case I will
quickly go on mute. But we can continue, and I apologize
for the delay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay.
MR. KLINE: I think as I was walking out the
```

door, I heard Mr. Chen ask a question. And that was
probably about what page are we looking at. Is that right?
MR. CHEN: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes. And we are
looking at 227-D RRRR.5.
MR. KLINE: All right. Well, let me start by
asking Mr. Jolley this question. There was testimony from
the adjacent property owners that they were concerned that
the activity on our property would have a deleterious effect
on trees on their property. Can you explain how that could
occur and what is the test to determine whether there is a
potential damage to trees on the Kosary/Posey property?
MR. JOLLEY: Yes. So in general, and it's within
the technical tree manual, Montgomery County. When you have
a disturbance of a critical root zone that exceeds 30
percent, that is considered a potentially negative impact on
roots of trees. So as you look -- when you evaluate the
health of the trees and the impacts of the -- of intended
activities within this critical root zones, the root zone is
obviously extremely important to the life and longevity of a
tree. So the 30 percent is the accepted threshold, under 30
it's in a safe threshold. Above 30 you would potentially
have impacts of those trees. As you look at the photos --
and you can scroll through them if you would like, Mme.
hearing examiner.
MR. KLINE: Let me suggest we use RRRR. 4 because that seems to be a good juxtaposition.
MR. JOLLEY: Okay. That you see a long view.
MR. KLINE: Yeah. From these photographs are you able to determine whether the land disturbance on the subject property would have an effect on the critical root zones of the trees that we can see there on the left with the arrow drawn toward it?
MR. JOLLEY: Yeah, so we are looking at RRRR.4. 10 So the tree that has a large arrow pointing to it on 7416, 11 the property line being in alignment with the orange cones 12 within that picture, the development site on 7430 to the 13 right. That tree, although we do not have a calculated 14 critical root zone for that specific tree because it is on 15 the property of the Kosary/Posey residence, I would 16 anticipate that there could be critical root zone impacts 17 along the area of development along our property line. 18 However, there are methods that we would like -19 that will -- we would like to employee. And I like to go 20 through some of those if you don't have a follow-up 21 question.
22 MR. KLINE: No. As a matter fact, what I would
23 like you to do is when you go out and do the land
24 disturbance on the property, would you talk about what could 25 be done within the limits of our property and what other
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1 measures could be taken if we had access to the Kosary/Posey
property to ensure to the greatest extent possible the survivability of any trees on the property at 7416 .

MR. JOLLEY: Sure. So just to begin the -- I
just want to step back and begin the process. Obviously, we
will go through the final -- if the project proceeds, we
will go to the final review and entitlement approvals with
all the departments including the site, the final
landscaping plan, and forest conservation plan. As you move
towards the actual construction phase of the project you
would -- we would request that a preconstruction meeting be held on the property.

During that meeting you would have the owner, representatives from Primrose, the technical professionals including myself. We would request a certified arborist fromPark and Planning to be on-site as well. The limited disturbance would then be staked out. So the limited disturbance Avenue you have seen on the plans presented previously is along the eastern property line between 7430 and 7416.

So the limited disturbance will be staked by a surveyor in the field and flagged so that everyone knows exactly where it will be and where the limit of disturbance line will be just inside of Mr. Vandaugh's (ph.) property. We will have an opportunity to also discuss during that
meeting with Dr. Kosary, Mr. Posey at that meeting should they wish to attend, the health of their trees along the shared property line. So as part of that process if we are allowed onto their property with a certified arborist from Park and Planning, myself, an arborist that will be on the team as well, the construction team, we would be able to walk the border, evaluate the trees.

Then a report could be prepared by myself as well as a certified arborist to look into any trees of concern along that shared property line. So -- and this can happen -- and I would mention it does not have to wait until that point. We would be happy to do this at any time. After the LOD is staked, and we had an opportunity to do this in the field and I would like to do it before, well before we actually do a preconstruction meeting, there are methods if we are permitted by the property owner, Mr. Posey and Dr. Kosary, we would like to employ several methods that are proven methods to help mitigate the stress imposed on trees during construction.

MR. KLINE: Mr. Jolley, would you do me a favor? MR. JOLLEY: Yeah.
MR. KLINE: Would you break down the things you want to talk about by what you could do that under your own control within your own property and then what more could be 5 done if you had access to the adjacent property?
```

65
MR. JOLLEY: Okay. First, we will begin on the eastern property line, western side obviously, on the subject site. We first would have the LOD staked out in the field prior to construction beginning of any earthmoving activities. Trees that are to be removed along -- on the subject site would be marked for removal. At that time, we would be looking at where the earth disturbance is going to occur and how to minimize that.
We would employ extra signage along the entire property line that no equipment is to be stored along that property -- that shared property line in close proximity to the property line. We do not have any overhanging tree branches from the neighboring property damaged. We would identify the area approximately between the proposed curbing of the access drive that travels along the property line. In that area between the proposed curbing and the limited disturbance/property line along -- between the two properties is going to be obviously landscaped. We would employ a root pruning method on our side of the property approximately 1 foot to 18 inches inside of the LOD away
from the neighboring property of 7416 at a -- and that would
occur in advance of the construction. At that -- we can
also -- that's the first step, Jody, that we would do for
our property.
MR. KLINE: Okay. So what is the function or
what is the purpose of the root pruning? Why is that done?
What's the benefit of that?
MR. JOLLEY:The first process of the root
pruning is to begin the process of slowly introducing the
stress and not all at one time. So if you were to just
randomly start disturbing the ground and ripping and pulling
up roots without pruning in advance, there is the potential
for damage to travel further in towards the root -- further
up the root zone path to those trees. So the root pruning
would have -- our first step of preparing the trees for any
stress that could occur during the process of construction.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Now you're
talking about roots of trees that surface above the ground
on the Kosary property. Is that what you're talking about?
MR. JOLLEY: No Madam Examiner. They are roots
that are right below the ground surface or under the ground
on the 7430 properties, on our side of the limited
disturbance --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So these are
trees coming out of the ground on 7430?
MR. JOLLEY:Yes, and trees that would be --
consequently some roots will be pruned of trees that
(inaudible).
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN:These are the
trees that you're preserving on 7430?

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Now you're
talking about roots of trees that surface above the ground
on the Kosary property. Is that what you're talking about?
MR. JOLLEY: No Madam Examiner. They are roots
that are right below the ground surface or under the ground
on the 7430 properties, on our side of the limited
disturbance --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So these are trees coming out of the ground on 7430 ?

MR. JOLLEY: Yes, and trees that would be --
consequently some roots will be pruned of trees that
(inaudible).
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: These are the
trees that you're preserving on 7430 ?
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3 that because I'm not sure that you answered the question the
hearing examiner asked.

5 MR. JOLLEY: Okay. So the --
6 MR. KLINE: Aren't you -- are you not talking about root pruning trees on the Kosary property that extend
8 onto your property?
9 MR. JOLLEY: I'm sorry. Yes, you are correct. I misspoke a little bit there. So we are pruning roots that
11 potential -- that are potentially traveling between the two property lines beyond the limited disturbance onto 7430
13 property. So those trees, those roots could be potentially from trees that are on the Kosary/Posey property, that is correct.
16 MR. KLINE: Thank you. Go ahead. Keep talking 7 about other measures you can take that are within your 18 control within the property boundaries.

MR. JOLLEY: So additional measures that we can
20 employ during construction are along the entire periphery of the construction area along the property line is to restrict
22 any heavy machinery to be stored during the duration of the project. There will be some grading activities between the limited disturbance and the proposed driveway entering the site in which the proposed landscape buffer will be
eventually installed. We would limit any storage of building materials. We would limit any kind related to the building itself or the site elements. We would not want any of those materials stored along that property line to limit any potential damage of trees or the property line along the joint shared use or the joint shared property line.

MR. JOLLEY: (inaudible).
MR. KLINE: Are there any other measures that you can take within the limits of your own property to try and help the trees on the Kosary/Posey property?

MR. JOLLEY: The only other method that we could employee along the limited disturbance where we will be doing the root pruning is we could, without entering the property of 7416 , we could employee some additional mulching between where the -- the area where the roots are pruned between the root prune limits and the limits of disturbance. We could also add additional watering along there that may assist the roots that are pruned along that entire limited disturbance without entering the neighboring property.

MR. KLINE: What measures would you then recommend if you are able to obtain access to the adjacent property and permission of the owners? What techniques 24 would you use to try to help the trees on their property to 25 be preserved?

MR. JOLLEY: That's correct.
MR. KLINE: Mr. Jolley, I think you better repeat that because I'm not sure that you answered the question the hearing examiner asked.

23 p
24

1
2
4
5
6

11

| 69 | 71 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. JOLLEY: Sure. If I was permitted to enter | 1 are areas of lawn along the property underneath some of |
| 2 the property, I would like to meet with Dr. Kosary and Mr. | 2 these trees. Now lawn is a competitive plant for nutrients |
| 3 Posey, walk the subject site, and evaluate all the tre | 3 and water. If permitted to do so, we would outline an area |
| 4 that they are concerned about along the property line | 4 acceptable |
| 5 may have a critical root zone impact during constructio | 5 inch layer of bark mulch. |
| 6 So the first step would be that we would walk the property | 6 moisture that when we are -- during the watering operations |
| 7 together, evaluate the trees, locate them on | 7 it would retain for a longer period of time and provide |
| 8 | 8 blanket of organic material that would prevent the |
| 9 | 9 |
| 10 of the critical root zone for the trees. We would evalu | 10 And then upon the conctur |
| 11 those areas of concern on their property and then we would | 11 could return it to the original condition or to the original |
| 12 develop a plan f | 12 condition to the liking of the property owner. Those are |
| 13 construction. And some of the items that you can include | 13 some of the methods I would employ if permitted, Jody, to |
| 14 a stress reduction program -- the first being | 14 work with the property owne |
| 15 pruning on the subject on our property on the subject | 15 MR. KLINE: Do you have any impression of -- |
| 16 as far away as possible from that LOD that we do, which is | 16 do you have a professional opinion about the likely success |
| 17 approxim | 17 or the benefits that would accrue from these measu |
| 18 We would walk the site after have id | 18 trees on the subject property? On the adjacent property? |
| 19 trees on their property. And I could dis | 19 MR. JOLLEY: Yeah, they're proven methods th |
| 20 methods of permitted to do so on their property that woul | 20 are employed on projects throughout the country. We hav |
|  | 21 I have been involved with thes |
| 22 fertilization well in advance of construction. We coul | 22 not state a specific percentage, but I can state that with a |
| 23 fertilize | 23 proper programand the timing of such, if we are allowed to |
| 24 last the duration of construction and we could develop a 25 plan for that fertilization with a certified arborist and | 24 prune early not doing a -- not during an extremely hot 25 period and we can prepare these roots in advance, the |
| 70 | 72 |
| 1 the approval of Park and Planning. That would help give the | 1 could employ these measures are permitted to do so. |
| 2 plants a boost in health and vigor before constructio | 2 believe it would significantly reduce the amount of stress |
| 3 begins. | 3 impose on the vegetatio |
| 4 We could also employe | 4 MR. KLINE: Is the applicant prepared to accep |
| 5 additional watering throughout construction where it would | 5 the condition of recommended by the -- I'm sorry -- if |
| 6 be our responsibility to keep those trees on the property | 6 determined by the hearing examiner, that before any land |
| 7 well watered during the duration of construction. And we | 7 disturbance occurs, that the applicant will meet on site |
| 8 could outline a schedule to do so and when that would be | 8 with an inspector from the appropriate public agency, Park |
| 9 acceptable to the property owner | 9 and Planning, Montgomery County, and the owners of the |
| 10 An additional measure to reduce the stress | 10 adjacent property if they desire, to determine what measures |
| 11 routinely you'll see -- is called tree crown reduction if | should be employed to maximize protection of the trees |
| 12 they would want to consider this. You could selectively | 12 located on 7416 Needwood? |
| 13 evaluate each tree of concern, evaluate any branches that | 13 MR. JOLLEY: Yes, they are. |
| 14 are of perhaps ill health. If there are any diseased | 14 MR. KLINE: Thank you. Thank you. You heard |
| 15 branches, we could identify that with a certified arbori | 15 testimony from the owners on the property at 7416 that on |
| 16 And you can do selective pruning if they would | 16 of the concerns was the removal of trees on our property |
| 17 allow that, to reduce the overall crown of the canopy. I | 17 would basically open a vista onto the property at 7430 . And |
| 18 want to state that that's not tree topping. That selective | 18 what I was going to ask you is when your landscaping plan is |
| 19 pruning like you would do on a shrub, but you selectively | 19 implemented, what is the view going to be at that point in |
| 20 pruned with an arborist the branches to reduce the overall, | 20 time? And I'm going to ask you in anticipation of a |
| 21 let's say demand of the tree and the plant to consume water. | 21 question you will be asked later at the beginning and at |
|  |  |
| 23 than one third of a tree crown. That's just a sugge | 23 MR. CHEN: Objection. Two objections be well, <br> 24 one basically. This gentleman is a landscape architect. I |
| 24 We could also -- and I believe that this would <br> 25 also help during the watering operations. Areas -- there | 24 one basically. This gentleman is a landscape architect. I 25 don't believe it has been demonstrated to have any |
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| 73 | 75 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 (inaudible) relative to a before and after or after vista | 1 for itself. And number two, does this gentleman have any |
| 2 view of a property. I just don't see -- I'm not detracting | 2 connection with the preparation of this document? Did he |
| 3 from the gentleman's credentials as a landscape architect. | 3 prepare it? And otherwise it speaks for itself. The views |
| 4 But I'm also aware that there are also professionals who are | 4 that will be the views according to the applicant because I |
| 5 qualified to provide for a vista upon development. And I | 5 think this is their document, are -- |
| 6 don't think this gentleman has been demonstrated to hav | 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, this is your |
| 7 those qualifications. | 7 document. This was to show the trees at planting. |
| 8 MR. KLINE: I understand Mr. Chen's comment. And | 8 MR. CHEN: Oh, okay. I'm fine. I apologize for |
| 9 maybe it's because I probably didn't properly phrase the | 9 that. |
| 10 question. There was an exhibit in the record that I'm just | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I believe it's |
| 11 blinking on the number. How about SSSS? Could you pul | 11 yours. 227-D |
| 12 that up Mdm. hearing examiner? | 12 MR. CHEN: I think you are correct. But again, |
| 13 Mr. CHEN: Is that four Ss, Mr. Kline? | 13 if there's any misrepresentation in here, certainly I think |
| 14 Mr. KLINE: Yes, sir. | 14 this gentleman is qualified to say that we've misrepresented |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes, I'm in the | 15 what the landscape plan is for the subject property. But as |
| 16 process of looking for it. Four Ss? | 16 far as what it purports to show, I think the document speaks |
| 17 MR. KLINE: That's correct. | 17 for itself. |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. And I'm | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, what I'm |
| 19 assuming 227? | 19 going to do is get -- I would like to hear his basis for, |
| 20 MR. KLINE: I hope that's correct. | 20 for instance, do you know the topo of the site? |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I believe it | 21 MR. JOLLEY: Yes. Yes, ma'am. |
| 22 would be in -- I believe it would be where we -- in the | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, Mr. Kline, |
| 23 exhibit we are in | 23 you can continue this, but I am a little uncomfortable |
| 24 MR. CHEN: That's our understanding also, Mme. -- | 24 because he's never actually viewed it. So go ahead, but I'm |
| 25 MR. KLINE:You are correct. It should be | 25 going to entertain objections. |
| 74 | 76 |
| 1 indeed. | 1 Mr. KLINE: Sure. Fine. I agree with Mr. Chen |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I'm at the of D. | 2 that the photographs says what it says. So let me talk more |
| 3 Let me see. Give me a moment to -- it might -- the las | 3 about -- |
| 4 exhibit I have in 227 -- wait. Oh, my mistake. Okay | 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah, but it's |
| 5 Depiction of newly planted trees, document SSSS in Exhibit | 5 an aerial view and usually we get -- we actually get, as you |
| 6 227-D. | 6 probably know, views that measure out what they can actually |
| 7 MR. KLINE: It is not showing on the screen yet | 7 see on a diagonal. And this is not that. So it's a two- |
| 8 here for me anyway. | 8 dimensional view. |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I took it off | 9 MR. KLINE: Right. |
| 10 so -- if somebody complained that they got dizzy when I | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: But I will let |
| 11 scrolled. So there. Now it should be on the screen. | 11 it continue and give it the weight it deserves if any. |
| 12 MR. KLINE: Thank you. Thank you | 12 MR. KLINE: Sure. Let me begin by talking about |
| 13 Mr. Jolley, you can see the location of the | 13 what -- the original drawing from which this exhibit has |
| 14 property or the residence on 7416 and the opening to the | 14 been prepared was the landscaping plan. And Mr. Jolley, I'm |
| 15 rear of the property. I guess that's the water feature in | 15 looking at page 18 of the technical staff report, which |
| 16 the backyard that shows up with a little light. And the | 16 cites a section of the zoning ordinance talking about tree |
| 17 concern was that the removal of the trees on the subject | 17 canopy and basically says that each parking lot must |
| 18 basically open up that space for views on the property. | 18 maintain a minimum tree canopy of 25 percent coverage at 20 |
| 19 The question I wanted you to answer was, would | 19 years growth as defined by the Planning Board's trees |
| 20 you please describe what the view from the adjacent property | 20 technical manual. So the underlying exhibit that is here |
| 21 would be in light of the size of the trees and the features | 21 has been altered for purposes of the opposition's position. |
| 22 that you're going to be installing? So what was somebody | 22 Is the drawing that was intended to show the tree canopy |
| 23 from that 7416 be viewing? | 23 along the common property line and parking lot at 20 years |
| 24 Mr. CHEN: Excuse me. I've got to go to places | 24 of growth? Is that correct? |
| 25 on this. Number one, the document, the illustration speaks | 25 MR. JOLLEY: That's correct. |


| 77 | 79 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. KLINE: All right. So that was prepared to | 1 So every 30 feet you have a virtual -- visual, |
| 2 satisfy -- | 2 vertical buffer of approximately 14 feet at planning. Now |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Wait. Hold on. | 3 the trees at planting for the understory are generally going |
| This does not show 20 years of grow | 4 to be between 6 and 8 feet at the time of planting. So they |
| 5 MR. KLINE: That is correct. I'm just trying to | 5 will perhaps be even with the fence at the initial time of |
| 6 demonstrate that the plan that was prepared was intended to | 6 planting, perhaps a little bit above. Depends on how |
| 7 satisfy the requirements of the zoning ordinance. | 7 they -- how each plant is delivered. But generally, you're |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. | 8 looking at a 6-to 8-foot-tall tree for understory and then |
| 9 MR. KLINE: And from that -- and so this drawing | 9 the upper story |
| 10 is intended to show what the trees would be at the time of | 10 So as the intent is, as the -- provide as an |
| 11 planting. And what I wanted you to do is describe th | 11 impact, a visual impact at the time of planting. But over |
| 12 species that you would have planted and how they would be | 12 time that visual barrier -- or not barrier. I should say |
| 13 viewed from the backyard of the adjacent property in light | 13 the visual -- obscure the -- it would obscure the view from |
| 14 of the fence. For instance, how tall will the trees be that | 14 either side of the property through a multilayered system. |
| 15 you propose to plant? | 15 So you have the fence, understory, and upper canopy and as |
| 16 MR. JOLLEY: The trees that we are proposing at | 16 they mature, obviously the get larger, taller, wider. And |
| 17 planting are intended to be 14 feet minimum as required by | 17 so they |
| 18 code at the time of planting. Every tree along the entire | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: But all they're |
| 19 property line would be spaced at 30 feet on center. So you | 19 going to see is the fence and what grows above the fence. |
| 20 would have a -- you have a canopy at the time of | 20 They're not going to see (inaudible). |
| 21 Can I continue or -- oh, I thought -- Mme. | 21 MR. JOLLEY: Yes, correct, Mme. hearing examiner. |
| 22 hearing examiner, I thought you asked a question. Sorry | 22 At the time of planting you would see -- |
| 23 So along the common property line you have the fence that | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, they're |
| 24 and discussed previously. Within the landscape buffer w | 24 not going to see anything about the fence as I understand it |
| 25 will have a canopy tree, which is intended -- which is a | 25 at maturity. They're just going to see the fence, correct? |
| 78 | 80 |
| 1 large tree intended to grow well in excess of 40 to 70 feet | 1 MR. JOLLEY: You have the fence on the eastern |
| 2 over the time period of their maturity. They will be spaced | 2 side. Well, you have the fence on the property line. |
| 3 at approximately 30 feet on center. And in between each | 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Right. And then |
| 4 canopy tree, there will be two understory trees. The intent | 4 what's above it? |
| 5 of this is to have a vertical buffer between the property | 5 MR. JOLLEY: I'm sorry |
| 6 line and -- or between the joint property line to impair | 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: You are not |
| 7 obviously views to the public parking lot and driveway. | 7 doing understory planting on the other side. So what |
| 8 So if you are looking at the fence, and I can't | 8 they're going to see is the white fence -- |
| 9 state it from the Kosary property because I have not | 9 MR. JOLLEY: Correct. |
| 10 in that area shown where the water feature is, you would | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: On the property |
| 11 first see a 6-foot fence starting at grade rising up 6 feet. | 11 line. |
| 12 Every 30 feet on center, as you can see where the trees are | 12 MR. JOLLEY: Correct. |
| 13 placed on that exhibit, you would have approximately 7 feet, | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And then |
| 148 feet of tree. Well, you would see a little bit of the | 14 whatever's above it? |
| 15 tree trunk from the newly planted trees and then the canopy | 15 MR. JOLLEY: Correct. And that's what I was |
| 16 of each tree itself. | 16 stating as the understory trees mature, they will be -- |
| 17 The understory trees are generally trees that are | 17 start to be seen above the fence or up to approximately 15 |
| 18 going to go 15 , maybe 20 feet in height. But the species | 18 feet because they are not large shade trees. The larger |
| 19 that we propose are the Eastern Redbud. You're looking at | 19 shade trees are the ones that will be planted at 14 feet at |
| 20 an average of 15, 18 feet in general. Those would be in | 2030 feet on center that are the larger circles you can see on |
| 21 between each canopy tree and those provide an intermediate | 21 the exhibit. At the initial -- at initial planting you will |
| 22 visual buffer. So you have your solid opaque fence that is | 22 definitely see that at the time of planting. |
| 23 obviously solid, and you can't see through it. You would | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: How are you |
| 24 have the understory canopy maturing and then you would have | 24 going to maintain the Kosary side of the fence? |
| 25 the upper story. | 25 MR. JOLLEY: The Kosary side of the fence, we're |


| 81 | 83 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 not proposing any -- obviously landscaping on their | 1 And by default if we're going to do that, you |
| 2 property. So that won't be -- | 2 would be required to install additional understory trees. |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, I mean the | 3 So instead of the 30 provided now, we would up that to |
| 4 fence itself. | 4 approximately 36 . So exactly 36 as we would double the |
| 5 MR. JOLLEY: Oh, the fence itself? | 5 amount of upper story trees. So the initial planting we |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Are you going to | 6 could have a greater impact vertically with a slightly wider |
| 7 wash it? | 7 canopy at initial planting at a higher number with that |
| 8 MR. JOLLEY: That what I think be an operational | 8 suggestion. |
| 9 question for | 9 Does that answer your question, Jody |
| 10 would assume that the operational control, they would gladly | 10 MR. KLINE: Yeah, thank you. |
| 11 do that. | 11 Mme. hearing examiner, a bit of a diversion for |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Go ahead. | 12 second. You may recall that in our initial presentation the |
| 13 MR. JOLLEY: But I couldn't answer that myself. | 13 applicant's prima facie case, lighting was addressed by Mr. |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. | 14 Ault, the architect, but he is not available for this |
| 15 Mr. KLINE: So Mr. Jolley, at the time of | 15 hearing. I would like to ask Mr. Jolley some questions |
| 16 installation there will be trees that do extend above the | 16 about lighting based on experience that I would question him |
| 17 fence height that will be visible, correct? | 17 about so Mr. Chen can feel comfortable about it. Eventually |
| 18 MR. JOLLEY: That is correct. Those are the | 18 I -- it's a little -- well, I would like to ask him to |
| 19 shade trees that will be planted at a minimum of 14 feet | 19 testify about questions dealing with the lighting that came |
| 20 height every 30 feet. | 20 up based on the experience that he would explain, if I'm |
| 21 MR. KLINE: And eventually, albeit maybe 20 | 21 allowed to ask him to explain that. |
| 22 years, but eventually they will all grow together, and they | 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, we can |
| 23 will block all views of the activity on the subject | 23 a voir dire if you would like to. Mr. Chen, you can |
| 24 property? | 24 challenge |
| 25 MR. JOLLEY: The intent is to block and create a | 25 MR. CHEN: Well, before you get to voir dire I |
| 82 | 84 |
| 1 dense view along the entire periphery, correct. | 1 challenge. |
| 2 MR. KLINE: Could you enhance the, what I will | 2 MR. KLINE: Well, that's why I brought it up |
| 3 call filtered views, or improve the screening capability by | 3 first, because quite simply Mr. Chen would have a valid |
| 4 making any changes to species, planning separation? Any | 4 point that Mr. Jolley was not listed as being an expert in |
| 5 other features like that to give a better bang for the buck | 5 lighting and that's -- I haven't gone back and checked |
| 6 at the beginning? | 6 because I'm sure that's true. But by the same token |
| $7 \quad$ MR. JOLLEY: Yes. The -- we could prov | 7 lighting was such an issue he -- the opposition probably had |
| 8 larger caliper tree at initial planting. Typically, | 8 to anticipate somebody was going to say something about it. |
| 9 nurseries you can get trees that are native species which we | 9 And I would just like to offer Mr. Jolley as that person |
| 10 prefer to use because they are native to the region and they | 10 rather than Mr. Ault. |
| 11 are long-lived. You can get trees on average of 3 to 3-1/2 | 11 MR. CHEN: Well, I appreciate that someone -- Mr. |
| 12 and $3-1 / 2$ to 4 depending on availability at which season | 12 Kline is telling me what I'm going to anticipate, but it |
| 13 they will be installed. But we could increase the planting | 13 doesn't matter. This gentleman is a qualified landscape |
| 14 caliper size, which by default would allow for a higher | 14 architect. He is now being proffered as an expert to |
| 15 ultimate height at planting. | 15 express an opinion on lighting. That's beyond the scope of |
| 16 So depending on the species picked, you could | 16 what has been disclosed and quite frankly there may be an |
| 17 assume with a 3-1/2-- 3 to 3-1/2 inch caliper tree minimum, | 17 issue about his qualifications and that would involve voir |
| 18 you would be in the neighborhood of 15,16 feet, perhaps | 18 dire. |
| 19 even 17 feet depending on the species. One other thing we | 19 But from our point of view it doesn't matter. |
| 20 could do, although I would not suggest creating a spacing | 20 This gentleman has not been identified as a lighting expert |
| 22 density increase of -- instead of providing 15 trees along | 22 simple. (Inaudible) with Mr. Ault but we had a substitute |
| 23 the property line, we could up the -- if we used a 25 foot | 23 witness earlier in this proceeding when somebody else could |
| 24 on center spacing, that would allow us to put approximately | 24 not appear to testify. |
| 25 three additional shade trees along the property line. |  |



| 89 | 91 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Mr. Chen, do you want to challenge what he has done? | 1 primarily the role of the engineer. But yes, we |
| 2 MR. CHEN: Couple -- I just want to -- give me | 2 specifically coordinated between our two firms, various |
| 3 one or two questions that go to what I've heard during the | 3 elements between our two designs scopes, yes. |
| 4 course of this recent voir dire, if I may. | 4 MR. CHEN: So M |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah. | 5 MR. JOLLEY: That would include review of the |
| 6 MR. KLINE: No objection here. | 6 lighting, the poles, where they're located, what type. |
| 7 MR. CHEN: You said sir, that you coordinated | 7 Although I did not select them personally. |
| 8 with -- and that was your word. | 8 MR. CHEN: Mdm. examiner, I continue my objection |
| 9 MR. JOLLEY: Yeah | 9 to this. I think it's -- not only have we been a little bit |
| 10 MR. CHEN: With Mr. Ault or the applicant on the | 10 mousetrapped I believe by this proposed expert testimony, |
| 11 location of lights so as to I guess not interfere with the | 11 but the gentleman has never even been recognized previously |
| 12 tree cover or crowns of trees. Is that right? | 12 as a lighting expert. And that's his purpose right now. |
| 13 MR. JOLLEY: It's -- oh, were you finished with | 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: This is what I'm |
| 14 your question, sir? | 14 going to do. |
| 15 MR. CHEN: Yeah, go ahead. | 15 MR. KLINE: May I -- |
| 16 MR. JOLLEY: Okay. So during the design process | 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah, go ahead. |
| 17 normally -- obviously an architect, landscape architect, | 17 MR. KLINE: I think I will just -- go ahead. I'm |
| 18 engineer, we are routinely sharing plans back and forth | 18 sorry. |
| 19 through the design process. So we are evaluating each | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, you go |
| 20 other's scope of work. So they will have looked at our | 20 ahead. |
| 21 landscaping plans to help them determine, and we are looking | 21 MR. KLINE: I would say that I have probably |
| 22 at their lighting plans to make sure there are no conflicts | 22 called 50 landscape architects to testify in hearings and |
| 23 where we think the -- both the landscaping and the lighting | 23 they have testified about lighting and we didn't |
| 24 should be located so that they are in ideal locations. | 24 independently classify them as being experts in lighting. |
| 25 So what I was describing, sir, was the | 25 MR. CHEN: Were I in any of those cases? |
| 90 | 92 |
| 1 relationship between the technical professionals during the | 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, that's |
| 2 design process of the documents. | 2 exactly what I'm trying to think through. I do believe that |
| 3 MR. CHEN: How many times have you been | 3 landscape architects -- but I can't remember for sure. And |
| 4 recognized as a lighting expert? | 4 part of my issue with this case is there keeps being these |
| 5 MR. JOLLEY: As a lighting expert, none that I | 5 things that are surprises. |
| 6 could speak of on lighting only. I have provided testimony | 6 MR. CHEN: Yeah. |
| 7 to various boards for my services which did include lighting | 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So I guess -- |
| 8 on a project. | 8 MR. KLINE: Mme. hearing examiner, you have a |
| 9 MR. CHEN: Sure. So -- | 9 case in your office at the present time, the French |
| 10 MR. JOLLEY: That's it. | 10 International School. |
| 11 MR. CHEN: I didn't want to cut you off. I | 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: It's not in my |
| 12 apologize if I did. | 12 office. It's at the Planning Board. |
| 13 MR. JOLLEY: Now, that's fine | 13 Mr. KLINE: All right. |
| 14 MR. CHEN: So as I understand your testimony, | 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Go ahead. |
| 15 it's a normal, I guess practice or activity to coordinate | 15 MR. KLINE: I was trying to give you an example |
| 16 different disciplines that are related to development of | 16 and I think I will just leave it at that. |
| 17 property. And what I understand you've testified to is that | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I tell you |
| 18 you've coordinated with the other professionals on this | 18 what. I'm going to let him testify, but not qualify him as |
| 19 project and that does involve issues of light -- where | 19 an expert in lighting. Because I'm not prepared to make |
| 20 lights will go, where trees will go, I assume were parking | 20 that determination. And he can testify, and I will give it |
| 21 will go even, and any impermeable space, things of that | 21 the weight it deserves, but I'm not going to qualify him as |
| 22 nature. Even the building location; isn't that correct, | 22 an expert in lighting. |
| 23 sir? | 23 Because all I have from you Mr. Kline is that |
| 24 MR. JOLLEY: We -- I didn't specifically -- it | 24 there is a case -- and I have a lot of cases my office and I |
| 25 wasn't about the selection of the location. That was | 25 don't know how many proportionally have had lighting or not |


| 93 | 95 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 or have had landscape architects testify as to lighting or | 1 MR. CHEN: 227-D I'm hearing. |
| 2 whether it's even been an issue. So he can testify. You | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay, you've got |
| 3 will be qualified as a landscape architect. But I'm not | 3 to tell me how many -- I don't know why my brain seems to go |
| 4 going to -- and if there is some kind of inference you can | 4 out. 227-D I have begins with four Os. |
| 5 draw from that, that's fine. But I will let him testify, | 5 MR. CHEN: It should be five. |
| 6 but I'm not going to qualify him as an expert in lighting. | 6 MR. KLINE: But it goes up to five Os. So five |
| $7 \quad$ MR. KLINE: Thank you for your ruling and we will | 7 Cs comes before five Os. |
| 8 keep our questions to pure factual matters. | 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh, I thought |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I hope so | 9 you meant three Cs. I learn as the day goes on in this |
| 10 because -- okay. Go ahead. | 10 hearing, my ability to track the number of letters |
| 11 MR. KLINE: The question I prematurely asked you | 11 decreases. So bear with me. Okay. And I'm on five As, |
| 12 a minute ago Mr. Jolley was, was the lighting plan that was | 12 five Bs. Here we go. Is this what you're looking for? |
| 13 submitted, particularly the photometric plan, comply with | 13 This is 227-D with five Cs. |
| 14 the requirements for the lighting sections of the Montgomery | 14 (Exhibit 227-D CCCCC was introduced.) |
| 15 County zoning ordinance? | 15 MR. CHEN: Could you put it up on the screen, |
| 16 MR. CHEN: Objection. I have a standing -- I | 16 Madam Examiner? |
| 17 guess if the examiner will recognize the standing objection. | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh. Yeah, I |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I figured you | 18 could do that too. Now it should be up. Is everyone seeing |
| 19 had a standing -- I was just going to proffer that you had a | 19 it ? |
| 20 standing objection. | 20 MR. KLINE: Yes. |
| 21 MR. CHEN: Thank you. Now this gentleman is not | 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. |
| 22 going to testify about compliance with the county law. So | 22 Mr. KLINE: Mr. Jolley, you're familiar with this |
| 23 go ahead. I have the -- | 23 exhibit affectionately called the bug exhibit? |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, you know | 24 Mr. JOLLEY: Yes, I am. |
| 25 what? We already have the testimony from the architect. So | 25 MR. KLINE: Okay. Can you just refresh your |
| 94 | 96 |
| 1 I don't see why -- that it does meet the illumination levels | 1 memory on what was presented earlier about what this intends |
| 2 in the cut off. So I don't see why he needs to rehash that. | 2 to show? |
| 3 What is it that you want to address in this rebuttal Mr. | 3 MR. JOLLEY: It is my understanding that this is |
| 4 Kline? | 4 the -- the bug rating in this particular document with five |
| 5 MR. KLINE: Get right to the point then | 5 Cs is to show the potential glare that is potentially |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: But I'm still | 6 viewable surrounding the installation of a light pole. As |
| 7 recognizing Mr. Chen's objection to his expertise. It's | 7 you're looking at this particular pole, for example, they |
| 8 standing. | 8 show what they call a high zone between the angles of 60 and |
| 9 MR. CHEN: Thank you. | 980 and very high zone between 80 and 90. |
| 10 MR. KLINE: Mme. hearing examiner, could you | 10 The -- and if you scroll, I believe it's down to |
| 11 please pull up Exhibit C, five Cs? Five Cs? C, Charlie, | 11 the next exhibit, there was an exhibit that was selected or |
| 12 Charlie, Charlie, Charlie, Charlie? | 12 circled. It's colored actually. If you go little bit |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And what is it? | 13 further down the chain there. Yes, that particular exhibit. |
| 14227 or 115? | 14 So frommy design, when I perform design, the |
| 15 Mr. KLINE: 227. And -- | 15 lighting, if you're looking at this particular document you |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And is it three | 16 have the back of the light fixture to the left, the front of |
| 17 or two Cs? | 17 the light picture with the light throw is traveling is what |
| 18 MR. KLINE: Well, it's five Cs in 227-D | 18 you're looking at on this test report. I believe the red |
| 19 apparently. | 19 and the blue lines where -- were created by Dr. Kosary. So |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. So let me | 20 you are looking at the -- between the 60 and 70 degrees |
| 21 see if I can -- if I have that. Hold on. No, it's got to | 21 angle where the blue arrows are and that is circled for |
| 22 be something 227 -- hold on. | 22 where the most potential light or the potential for glare |
| 23 Hold on. | 23 would be seen between those angles. |
| 24 I think it's -- go ahead Ms. Kosary. Dr. Kosary. | 24 So I look at it froma physical perspective. |
| 25 MS. KOSARY: 227-D. | 25 When you have a specified light pole of 25 feet, or I'm |
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sorry, 20 feet, that light pole would be the closest light
pole. If you would, scroll to the exhibit where the --
there were dimensions from the property line to the two
light poles, please.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I don't know
that is.
    MR. KLINE: Yeah. Mr. --
    (Crosstalk)
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So we look --
just a second. We're looking at 227-D, document five Bs.
    MR. JOLLEY: Am I allowed to continue?
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes.
    MR. JOLLEY: Okay. So after review of those
documents, if I look at these two distances that were shown
on this exhibit, I do agree with the dimensions that are
shown on the exhibit because I compared them against our
skilled documents. They are approximately --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: You do agree?
You do or don't?
    MR. JOLLEY: I do agree with the dimension that
is shown. And it's approximate on this aerial that you have
the closest light pole along the southern parking boundary,
is approximately 135-ish feet from the Kosary residence.
That pole is the standard parking light pole selected by a
project architect. That pole is 20 feet in height. That
sorry, 20 feet, that light pole would be the closest light
there were dimensions from the property line to the two light poles, please.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I don't know that is.
MR. KLINE: Yeah. Mr. --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So we look -just a second. We're looking at 227-D, document five Bs.
MR. JOLLEY: Am I allowed to continue? HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes.
MR. JOLLEY: Okay. So after review of those
documents, if I look at these two distances that were shown
on this exhibit, I do agree with the dimensions that are
shown on the exhibit because I compared them against our
skilled documents. They are approximately You do or don't?
MR. JOLLEY: I do agree with the dimension that
is shown. And it's approximate on this aerial that you have
the closest light pole along the southern parking boundary,
That pole is the standard parking light pole selected by a
project architect. That pole is 20 feet in height. That
```

post, that pole will be installed.
If you look and you compare it against the
grading plan, the grading plan indicates that the
approximate ground elevation in that area of the parking lot
is going to be plus or minus 467.5. So if you extended that
pole of 20 vertical feet, you would then be able to
transpose the higher-level of the angle or potential glare,
which was indicated at -- I did 70 feet. Or 70 degree
angle. I apologize.
So if you were to draw an angled line 70 degree
from the pole, that line would intersect the ground. So
think of it as a triangle. The end of that 70 degree line
13 would intersect the ground at approximately 55 feet east and
14 west and north where that degree of angle of throw from the
15 light would be -- you would be a to see what we will call a
16 direct line of sight or a potential glare. That 55 foot
17 distance, if you're to measure it on the plan from the light
18 that indicated where the arrow is pointing to where it says
19 closest, 135 , the 55 foot distance will take you to
20 approximately the location of just before the curbing being
21 installed along the eastern access driveway.
22 So the point that I'm trying to make is, by
23 looking at the height of the pole and the angle of that
24 particular selected luminaire you could utilize that data to
25 interpolate the distance where you would potentially have a
post, that pole will be installed.
If you look and you compare it against the grading plan, the grading plan indicates that the approximate ground elevation in that area of the parking lot is going to be plus or minus 467.5. So if you extended that
pole of 20 vertical feet, you would then be able to transpose the higher-level of the angle or potential glare, which was indicated at -- I did 70 feet. Or 70 degree angle. I apologize.
10 So if you were to draw an angled line 70 degree 11 from the pole, that line would intersect the ground. So 12 think of it as a triangle. The end of that 70 degree line 13 would intersect the ground at approximately 55 feet east and 14 west and north where that degree of angle of throw from the 5 light would be -- you would be a to see what we will call a 16 direct line of sight or a potential glare. That 55 foot 17 distance, if you're to measure it on the plan from the light 18 that indicated where the arrow is pointing to where it says 20 approximately the location of just before the curbing being 21 installed along the eastern access driveway.
2 So the point that I'm trying to make is, by
23 looking at the height of the pole and the angle of that 24 particular selected luminaire you could utilize that data to 25 interpolate the distance where you would potentially have a
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direct glare issue, not diffused light, but direct glare as an in you can potentially see an LED bulb. So that purport -- not purports -- but the -- I believe that the glare issue is -- should not be a concern from a direct glare point of view from the neighboring property.

And this could also be compared against the illumination plan that in that area, if you look on the lighting plan exhibit, the highest level of illumination on the ground plane in that particular area between the fence and the -- well, we will say before the property line on the western side on the subject site being developed is 0.1 , which is prior to the actual property line. So I believe 3 the illumination levels would indicate a slightly higher 14 result if the direct angle of light was actually being 15 thrown out further in a more direct fashion towards the 16 eastern property line.
17 So I believe it supports my view or analysis of 18 that angle of throw from that particular luminaire.
19 MR. KLINE: Mr. Jolley, and regarding that 20 particular luminaire, does that luminaire have features that 21 basically minimize the amount of glare or eliminate the 22 amount of glare that could be bothersome to the adjoining 23 property owners?
24 MR. JOLLEY: Yes. If you look at the lighting 25 documents on the lighting plans provided, that particular

1 luminaire being used is a -- number one, it's an LED, flat
2 glass type where the bulb isn't hanging below the surface.
3 So you don't have a -- it's not exposed. Let's say it's
4 contained within the housing. Provided within the spec
5 sheet on the lighting page there is an option. There are
6 two options.
7 The first selected is actually selected on the 8 plan already was a backlight shield. So they would be 9 putting a backlight shield along that, which typically 10 wrap -- install on the back in a little bit -- and actually 11 you can install it along the side as well, to reduce that 12 angle of throw even greater to whatever direction you want. 13 So you essentially -- you are placing a hood or a shield, 4 literal, physical shield below the light fixture that would 15 prevent the shine of light towards the eastern property 6 boundary.
17 That could easily be -- number one, it's already 18 specified for backlight. But number two, it can be further 19 enhanced by a side lens -- I'm sorry -- a side backlight 20 restriction as well on the unit.
21 One other particular feature of those lights, if 22 you look on the documents, is that they do have an 23 adjustable arm. So it's -- you could purchase them as a 24 rigid -- as a rigid arm or an arm that flexes. Not flexes, 25 it's adjustable up or down. When you -- if it was up to me,

## Conducted on April 9, 2021

| 101 | 103 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 I would which can be ordered with the lights that are | 1 you're muted. |
| 2 specified. After the lights are installed that -- what | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Chen, you |
| 3 could happen is with a rigid arm, those lights are no longer | 3 are still muted. |
| 4 able to be adjusted in the field. | 4 Mr. CHEN: Thank you. I'm -- you just |
| 5 So when you have the adjustable arm, after they | 5 experienced some of my technological incompetency. Madam |
| 6 are installed you can wait until -- obviously it's dark one | 6 Examiner, it's 12:44. And I would like to break for lunch |
| 7 evening. The neighbors could meet on the subject site, | 7 at this time. I need to think about this testimony. This |
| 8 myself, others. And if need be, we could further adjust | 8 is all new, especially the sighting information. I need a |
| 9 that lens, or the project architect I should say. We could | 9 few minutes or some time while I (inaudible). |
| 10 further adjust that lens in the field to ensure between the | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay, let me ask |
| 11 two measures, that we can reduce the angle of throw for | 11 one more -- let me just quickly ask Mr. Kline. Is your last |
| 12 anything going towards the eastern property line. | 12 witness -- is your last witness Mr. Wolford? |
| 13 So what I'm stating here is that a fine-tuning | 13 MR. KLINE: That is correct, rebuttal testimony |
| 14 ability, and is not a finite, permanent situation for where | 14 from the land planner. |
| 15 that light is going to go the moment you put that pole in | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And that will be |
| 16 the ground and that's it and the neighbors that's the | 16 the last? |
| 17 neighboring property owners, the surrounding property o | 17 MR. KLINE: That would be the only people we |
| 18 would have zero ability to suggest a fine-tuning. You could | 18 would expect to call, yes. |
| 19 even bring a -- we have tools that measure the lighting | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. We could |
| 20 along the ground surface as well. | 20 take an -- we can go off the record for a lunch break until |
| 21 So there are options that you can select that I | 21 1:45. |
| 22 believe would also aid in fine-tuning once the project is | 22 (Crosstalk) |
| 23 constructed to eliminate any possible direct glare to the | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. We are |
| 24 residence next door. | 24 off the record. |
| 25 MR. KLINE: Last question Mr. Jolley. Would you | 25 (Off the record at 12:45 p.m., resuming at 1:47 |
| 102 | 104 |
| 1 please comment on Mr. Davis's testimony that he believed | 1 p.m.) |
| 2 that the applicant had failed to comply with the | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Thank you. We |
| 3 requirements of section 59.6.5.2 of the screening | 3 are back on the record. |
| 4 requirements in the Montgomery County zoning ordinance? | 4 I believe is Mr. Chen's cross-examination of Mr. |
| 5 MR. JOLLEY: Right. If you're looking at -- it's | 5 Jolley. |
| 6 yes, 5.2.5 K 2B I believe. That particular section of the | 6 Mr. CHEN: Mr. Jolley, I want to go to the last |
| 7 zoning ordinance for -- as for the parking design standards, | 7 area that you testified about involving Mr. Davis's |
| 8 stated that the minimum side yard setback equals two times | 8 testimony. |
| 9 the setback requirement for a detached house. That being | 9 MR. JOLLEY: Yes, sir. |
| 10 said, it would have determined that we would have a buffer | 10 MR. CHEN: What was your disagreement with Mr. |
| 11 of 35 feet along the property line. As part of the parking | 11 Davis's testimony? |
| 12 lot waiver that was requested, that was granted to be -- | 12 MR. JOLLEY: My primary discriminate with that |
| 13 that was recommended I should say, by staff and the planning | 13 particular section of the code is that it does state that |
| 14 commission and that was reduced to 12 feet. | 14 you would need to double the setback |
| 15 So therefore, the buffer that would remain as | 15 MR. CHEN: 34 feet? |
| 16 green space between the proposed parking lot and any | 16 MR. JOLLEY: To a great -- yes, correct. |
| 17 adjacent property line would be that determined width. So I | 17 MR. CHEN: I interrupted you. I apologize, sir. |
| 18 disagree with that as it was -- it's the waiver the grant -- | 18 Go ahead, finish. |
| 19 or I'm sorry -- the recommendation approval for the waiver | 19 MR. JOLLEY: No, I -- while looking at that |
| 20 would naturally reduce that buffer because the parking lot | 20 section of the code -- and I pull up -- double check it. |
| 21 would be installed along the periphery. | 21 I'm looking at right now, which is -- we're speaking about |
| 22 MR. KLINE: I have no further questions of Mr. | 22 6.2.5 K 2B, correct? |
| 23 Jolley. | 23 MR. CHEN: Yes. |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Chen? | 24 MR. JOLLEY: Okay. So if you look at that |
| 25 COURT REPORTER: I think he is -- Mr. Chen, | 25 setback, the statement that it states on the code is the |


that would just be too much maintenance.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. JOLLEY: For, you know -- but the requirement for the planting units are based on the per linear footage of the buffer which they say you need the 30 trees -- I'm sorry. The 30 . The two -- one specimen tree planted on center every 30 feet plus the two understory trees as -along the length of that property line.

MR. CHEN: Okay, but just so I'm clear on this. Mr. Davis was saying that 34 feet was required. You acknowledge that as we sit here today, 34 feet is required?

MR. JOLLEY: Yes, it's required based on the code.

MR. CHEN: Thank you. By the way, just a quick
on the -- I'm jumping it, but this is good time. On
exhibit -- I think it was 227-D SSSS, which is entitled
17 depiction of newly planted trees. Do you recall that exhibit, sir?
19 MR. JOLLEY: Yes, sir.
20 MR. CHEN: Okay. That was the exhibit that Dr.
21 Kosary prepared reflecting, as I recall her testimony,
plantings that would be in place upon approval of the
conditional use.
MR. JOLLEY: That's correct. That's my
understanding as well, sir.

MR. CHEN: Has she made any misrepresentations?
MR. JOLLEY: I do not know the scale of the
drawing. I haven't measured it myself. But what I believe is depicted for the locations of the trees, it's very difficult to see the understory trees on this scale, but if there are 15 shade trees shown along the eastern property line, I would state that is correct.

MR. CHEN: Okay, thank you. Now you also said that while your plan reflects that number of trees, as I -but as you also said -- but you can increase the numbers.

MR. JOLLEY: If so desired by the client and acceptable by the County, I believe we could slightly increase them, not dramatically increase. Slightly increase.

MR. CHEN: Right.
MR. JOLLEY: I would not recommend a spacing on center any more than 25 feet.

MR. CHEN: Okay. By the way --
MR. JOLLEY: For shade trees, sir, I was
referring to by the way.
MR. CHEN: Yes, sir. I understand. Now the poles that you testified about and you got into rigid arms and adjustable arms, correct?

MR. JOLLEY: Correct.
MR. CHEN: The current proposal is -- does not

| 109 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 provide for adjustable arms, but you are recommending | 1 that would also help enforce and ensure as minimal |
| 2 adjustable arms. Is that my understanding of your | 2 disturbance as possible to all surrounding neighbors. |
| 3 testimony? | 3 MR. CHEN: Including those neighbors to -- |
| 4 MR. JOLLEY: That is correct, sir. | 4 furthe |
| $5 \quad$ MR. CHEN: And with regard to your testimony | 5 MR. JOLLEY: Further to the west? Yes, because |
| 6 about direct layer, I put that in quotes. You said the | 6 they would be side shielded as well. That's correct. So |
| 7 direct layer issue. Can you point to the testimony of | 7 the west, that's correct. |
| 8 either Dr. Kosary or Mr. Posey or anyone else that said that | 8 MR. CHEN: Now notwithstanding those shields |
| 9 there was going to be a glare problem from the poles? | 9 MR. JOLLEY: Ye |
| 10 MR. JOLLEY: A glare problem? | 10 MR. CHEN: -- my clients will see the poles? |
| 11 MR. CHEN: Yes, sir. | 11 MR. JOLLEY: Yeah. |
| 12 MR. JOLLEY: I can't recall off the top of my | 12 MR. CHEN: They will see the poles during the |
| 13 head, sir, but I believe that the intent of the discussions | 13 day? They will see them at night as well? |
| 14 were that light would cause a disturbance. And by | 14 MR. JOLLEY: It's my understanding that they |
| 15 disturbance, glare by nature, by nature is disturbing to the | 15 should be, that they stated in their testimony that they |
| 16 human eye. | 16 could see the poles next door as well without a complete and |
| 17 MR. CHEN: Would you accept my - | 17 utter wall, a visual barrier that you cannot see through it |
| 18 MR. JOLLEY: It's a little hard to hear. There | 18 in any respect. I believe at some point in the property |
| 19 are some rustling. I apologize | 19 yes, they would be able to see it vertically. They would be |
| 20 Mr. CHEN: No, I'm with you. Mr. -- I think the | 20 able to see a pole. |
| 21 examiner is rustling papers or somebody is. | 21 MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, does this is parking lot |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No, | 22 have to have light poles? |
| 23 I don't rustle anything | 23 MR. JOLLEY: Does it have to have light poles? |
| 24 Mr. CHEN: Okay. Maybe it's Mr. Kline th | 24 MR. CHEN: Yes, sir. |
| 25 MR. JOLLEY: He's the rustler, yeah. Keep going. | 25 MR. JOLLEY: You need to have -- for the code, |
| 110 | 112 |
| 1 I'm sorry. | 1 you do need to provide safe, adequate light, which based on |
| 2 MR. CHEN: As I understand it, sir, the testimony | 2 the staff report, they agreed upon with the levels that were |
| 3 that my clients have offered or made relative to the poles, | 3 provided. And so you do need to have a light source that |
| 4 did not mention glare. They were not talking about glare. | 4 would distribute that light in and even manner and a pole is |
| 5 MR. JOLLEY: Okay | 5 the primary way of doing that with the luminary mounted on |
| 6 MR. CHEN: Now having said that, it's your | 6 an arm. |
| 7 testimony as I understand it that shields could be put on | 7 MR. CHEN: So you are saying -- is your testimony |
| 8 the pole light. Is that correct, sir? | 8 that the requirements for the lighting parking area |
| 9 MR. JOLLEY: Yes, that is an option that you | 9 necessitate a 20 foot pole? |
| 10 could purchase for the luminaires. | 10 MR. JOLLEY: No, I'm not saying that it |
| 11 MR. CHEN: Okay. And I think you testified that | 11 necessitates a 20 -foot pole, sir. I'm stating that that is |
| 12 the shields could be in such a way that there would not be | 12 a primary -- that is the -- that is the primary design or |
| 13 apparently some kind of lighting going to the east toward my | 13 utilization of a light pole and luminaire to distribute the |
| 14 clients' property. Is that right? | 14 light evenly. And a pole, as I do not have a -- as there is |
| 15 MR. JOLLEY: I don't know if it would completely | 15 not a building on either side surrounding the parking lot, |
| 16 eliminate, but it would definitely provide, if you think of | 16 we need to mount -- the light source would need to be |
| 17 it as a physical blocking, sir, a shield is a piece of | 17 mounted. I'm not stating that 20 feet is the only height. |
| 18 equipment that is mounted. When you look at the light, it | 18 MR. CHEN: Okay. Have you done any analysis, |
| 19 reflects -- that LED luminaire has a flat bottom. So on the | 19 sir, to the height that would be required for lighting to |
| 20 sides of the unit you could install what is a -- typically | 20 comply with the code? |
| 21 it's a metallic type of shield that is attached to the | 21 MR. JOLLEY: You can use a variety of pole |
| 22 actual luminair | 22 heights and sources sir, depending on the light that you |
| 23 So what that would do would provide an additional | 23 |
| 24 focusing downward and eliminate less -- in simpler terms, 25 less width of throw, like throw, distance. So I believe | 24 MR. CHEN: What would be the required pole height 25 to comply with the code? |


| 113 | 115 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. JOLLEY: I would go for 15 feet. | 1 MR. JOLLEY: Yeah, the photometric document. I |
| 2 MR. CHEN: Okay. | 2 believe the document I printed out here just for my ease of |
| 3 MR. JOLLEY: I did not design the lighting, sir, | 3 seeing it with my own eyes is labeled Exhibit 94-B, OZHA CU- |
| 4 as I stated earlier. | 4 18-08. It is the plan with all the illumination levels on |
| 5 MR. CHEN: You are testifying as an expert, | 5 it. |
| 6 though. The hearing examiner -- you have been offered in | 6 MR. CHEN: Is it fair to state that those |
| 7 that context and the hearing examiner is allowing you to | 7 properties will also be able to see the luminaires and the |
| 8 testify. | 8 lighting that is being proposed? |
| 9 MR. JOLLEY: Understood. | 9 MR. JOLLEY: Yes, it's fair to state that they |
| 10 MR. CHEN: Any lower? | 10 may be able to see that. Yes, sir. |
| 11 MR. JOLLEY: Is it physically possible? Yes. | 11 MR. CHEN: And with the poles that are being |
| 12 However, the lower you go, the more lights you need to | 12 proposed, you testified that the one pole closest to the |
| 13 install. So you could also have a detrimental effect by | 13 Kosary/Posey property was at an elevation of 467.5; is that |
| 14 having more lights or spread surrounding a property and | 14 correct, sir? |
| 15 with -- let's say within the parking area. And then that | 15 MR. JOLLEY: Yeah, based on the grading plan, the |
| 16 could lead to, could lead to additional -- a light | 16 elevation that's the contours in the area are generally at |
| 17 reflecting or refracting off of the building et cetera, that | 17 that elevation, yes. |
| 18 then travels outward. So the pole method reduces the total | 18 MR. CHEN: And the luminaire would be 20 feet |
| 19 number of lights that need to be installed. | 19 above the elevation? |
| 20 The items that I suggested would help fine tune a | 20 MR. JOLLEY: Correct. |
| 21 final install product in the field. So that could be used | 21 MR. CHEN: So that the total elevation height |
| 22 to work well at night, physically witness and adjust poles | 22 would then be approximately -- I guess if it's 20 feet, |
| 23 that could be potentially disturbing of a neighbor. I'm | 23487.5 (inaudible); is that correct. |
| 24 sorry, not the poles, the luminaires. | 24 MR. JOLLEY: Yes, sir. |
| 25 MR. CHEN: Okay. And I think -- now when you say | 25 MR. CHEN: Now -- |
| 114 | 116 |
| 1 lower lights could cause problems, are you referring to the | 1 MR. JOLLEY: Forgive my jostling. I'm just |
| 2 glare of the building as the problem with lower-level | 2 grabbing the plans here. |
| 3 lights? | 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: As long as you |
| 4 MR. JOLLEY: It could be. If the -- the lower | 4 don't reverberate. |
| 5 you go, the more lights you would need to install to ensure | 5 MR. JOLLEY: Okay, I will try my best. |
| 6 an even distribution of light pattern around the parking | 6 MR. CHEN: There was testimony -- I think you are |
| 7 lot. So you could get -- every service has -- you can | 7 present, that the elevation of the Kosary/Posey patio was at |
| 8 refract off of any service. Obviously white being, as we | 8 468.5. Do you recall that, sir? Or will you accept that |
| 9 all know, the most reflective and black being the most | 9 number? |
| 10 absorbent. So the more light fixtures you have, you could | 10 MR. JOLLEY: Yes, will. I'm also looking at the |
| 11 increase light refraction off of material surrounding the | 11 grading and the grading exhibit page CUP-6. It also has the |
| 12 property. | 12 grading on it; I'm looking at it now. 468.5 is accurate. |
| 13 MR. CHEN: Has your review of the lighting plan | 13 MR. CHEN: So that -- and I think you've already |
| 14 included the implications of the plan for the properties | 14 indicated this. With those elevations standing on the patio |
| 15 further to the west? There is the Tapscott property and | 15 of my clients' property, those poles and the luminaires -- I |
| 16 then there is the Mitchell property I believe. | 16 think you said this -- would be visible? |
| 17 MR. JOLLEY: Yeah, I did look at the plan and the | 17 MR. JOLLEY: Through a filter -- I'm assuming |
| 18 lighting levels, I have it right here, sir. What is your | 18 because I've never stood personally on the patio. |
| 19 specific question? | 19 MR. CHEN: Gotcha. |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Wait, excuse me. | 20 MR. JOLLEY: But I could say I think I can |
| 21 Mr. JOLLEY: Yes. | 21 understand how they could be seen from the patio. That's |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Which plan are | 22 correct. |
| 23 you looking at? | 23 Mr. CHEN: By the way, maybe just -- this is |
| 24 MR. JOLLEY: Oh, I'm sorry. | 24 going to It think what you are about to say about the buffer. |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: The photometric? | 25 You recall a series of photographs that Mr. Klein had you |


| 117 | 119 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 take a look at today? Do you recall those earlier? I don't | 1 MR. JOLLEY: Correct, sir. |
| 2 think we need to print them | 2 MR. CHEN: Additional watering on my clients' |
| 3 MR. JOLLEY: Okay. | 3 property? |
| 4 MR. CHEN: Unless you want them. | 4 MR. JOLLEY: Root pruning is -- the root pruning |
| 5 MR. JOLLEY: No, no, no. I have paper copies as | 5 is only on the subject site on our side of the LOD. I did |
| 6 well. So if -- go ahead. | 6 not -- I don't want to suggest that we would be doing |
| $7 \quad$ MR. CHEN: My understanding is that all of the | 7 additional root pruning again on any part of that -- their |
| 8 trees as shown in those photographs, I think there were four | 8 property. |
| 9 photographs, all of the trees that are shown in those | 9 MR. CHEN: Okay, thank you. Then I had that -- I |
| 10 photographs that are on the subject property, the Primrose | 10 was erroneous because I'm now focusing my inquiry on my |
| 11 property, they are all going to be removed; is that correct, | 11 clients' property. |
| 12 sir ? | 12 MR. JOLLEY: Clients' property, got it. |
| 13 MR. JOLLEY: That is correct, sir. | 13 MR. CHEN: Okay. Then with regard to the trees |
| 14 MR. CHEN: Okay. And I think one of your | 14 that are near the property line of my clients' property, you |
| 15 recommendations would be to do some selective pruning. Is | 15 recommended a course of action would be additional watering? |
| 16 that the right word? Some light pruning of the trees on my | 16 MR. JOLLEY: Correct. |
| 17 clients' property that have their root systems on the | 17 MR. CHEN: A tree crown reduction? You're not |
| 18 Primrose property; is that correct, sir? | 18 talking about topping. You're talking about branches that |
| 19 MR. JOLLEY: That is correct, sir. The root | 19 are in ill health or selective pruning, is that correct, |
| 20 pruning would occur on the subject property being developed. | 20 sir? |
| 21 That is correct. | 21 MR. JOLLEY: Correct, sir. |
| 22 MR. CHEN: And as far as dealing with the trees | 22 MR. CHEN: Okay. |
| 23 that are on my clients' property, that is the only activity | 23 MR. JOLLEY: These are obviously all -- I will |
| 24 that would occur on the Primrose property relative to the | 24 let you finish. |
| 25 trees on my clients' property? | 25 MR. CHEN: Okay. And the third is there are lawn |
| 118 | 120 |
| 1 MR. JOLLEY: True, other than what watering we | 1 areas under the trees, and you would recommend one to two |
| 2 can do -- | 2 inches of bark mulch to be -- to retain the moisture and |
| 3 (Crosstalk) | 3 then return that area to grass later on? |
| 4 MR. CHEN: Yeah, I'm sorry. I will take you | 4 MR. JOLLEY: If so desired by your client. And |
| 5 through that. With regard to the activity on site, on the | 5 the -- so, yes. And not just bark mulch. You could also -- |
| 6 Primrose property, as to the trees on my clients' property | 6 I would actually -- I think -- did I say -- if I said bark, |
| 7 the only activity would be root pruning? | 7 you could use bark, but you could also use double shredded |
| 8 MR. JOLLEY: Well, no. Yeah, it would be root | 8 hardwood, which actually is more attractive, number one. |
| 9 pruning, correct. We would do a physical root pruning. | 9 Number two, it would hold more moisture. Typically, what |
| 10 MR. CHEN: Okay. | 10 you see in front of residential homes is -- double shredded |
| 11 MR. JOLLEY: But I said the -- what we can also | 11 bark mulch is a -- for the appearance, it's more of a |
| 12 do is that where you are root pruning, you will by nature | 12 stringy texture versus bark, as in bark shavings from a pine |
| 13 essentially be creating a slice in the ground. And that we | 13 tree. |
| 14 could also, on the subject site, on the site being developed | 14 MR. CHEN: Okay. |
| 15 on our side of the property west of that property line, you | 15 MR. JOLLEY: I would recommend root -- double |
| 16 can also introduce some additional water to keep those roots | 16 shredded bark mulch. |
| 17 that were pruned as moist as possible that are encroaching | 17 MR. CHEN: Okay. Excuse me, I just dropped my |
| 18 upon that zone. | 18 pen. |
| 19 MR. CHEN: Okay, thank you. And your further | 19 MR. JOLLEY: That's all right. My plans fell |
| 20 testimony was that, with regard to my clients' property -- | 20 down. |
| 21 MR. JOLLEY: Yes. | 21 MR. CHEN: And your testimony was that |
| 22 MR. CHEN: -- you had -- as my notes reflect, and | 22 (inaudible). You got your plans? |
| 23 I think I've got it accurately, but you will certainly tell | 23 MR. JOLLEY: I do for now unless they fall down |
| 24 me if I don't. You had four recommendations. And that was | 24 again. |
| 25 root pruning on my clients' property? | 25 MR. CHEN: And as I -- again, going back to my |

```
    MR. JOLLEY: Because of the program of the
subject property?
    MR. JOLLEY: Because of the program of the
subject property?
MR. JOLLEY: Because of the program of the
```

    MR. CHEN: The program proposed by Primrose?
    MR. JOLLEY: As in the site plan layout of the
    features on the subject site? Or --
MR. CHEN: No, I apologize.
MR. JOLLEY: I'm misunderstanding your question,
sir.
MR. CHEN: I understand. And maybe this is your
answer. There's been extensive testimony that the Primrose
13 program calls for 195 students plus 30 some odd supporting
14 staff. Are you aware of that?
15 MR. JOLLEY: Yes, sir.
16 MR. CHEN: Okay. And is it correct that the
17 waiver that you've identified is required because of that
18 program that is being proposed?
19 MR. JOLLEY: The program was connected to the
20 site design. So yes, I -- it would be -- there would be a
21 connection.
MR. CHEN: Okay. And you were involved in that
MR. JOLLEY: I am.
MR. CHEN: Okay. No further questions.
MR. JOLLEY: Although I'm not -- although I'm not
the engineer. Just so we make that clear.
MR. CHEN: I understand. Thank you very much,
sir. I have no further questions.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Klein?
MR. KLINE: No redirect.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right. Mr.
Klein, call your next and last rebuttal witness, please.
MR. KLINE: Mr. Wolford, are you with us?
MR. WOLFORD: I'm here, sir.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Wolford, you
are still under oath.
MR. WOLFORD: Yes, I am. Thank you.
MR. KLINE: Mr. Wolford, can I have you begin
your testimony with a description of your familiarity with
the State's smart growth priority funding program?
MR. KLINE: Yes, I'm quite familiar with the
program. It came into place in 1997. There were a lot of
reasons for it. It came from the State. It was an
initiative from the governor's office to the state planning
office. And the -- one of the main reasons it came into
place was to better allocate the distribution and use of the
limited financial resources that the State of Maryland has
available to support programs and improvements throughout
25 the State of Maryland. So -- but it does -- and so it's

24 MR. JOLLEY: I am.
MR. CHEN: Okay. No further questions.
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notes, utilizing those three recommendations you have, it
was your opinion that they were proven methods with a proper
program. Meaning, you said pruning early, is what you meant
by proper program. And then it would, quote, significantly
reduce the stress, end quote --
    MR. JOLLEY: Yes, sir.
    MR. CHEN: Of the trees on my clients' property.
Is that --
    MR. JOLLEY: Reduce stress, correct, sir.
    MR. CHEN: Okay. By the way, I know you haven't
been on my clients' property, but do you have any idea how
many trees are in question that are in the situation on my
clients' property?
    MR. JOLLEY: I don't have any exact numbers. I
haven't walked the property, but I have viewed it from the
periphery of the other property. And there are -- there are
several trees on their property along the edge. So I'm
aware, I just haven't walked their specific side of the
property though.
    MR. CHEN: Okay.
    MR. JOLLEY: I would be happy to with them. I
will just offer that.
    MR. CHEN: One moment, Madam Examiner, please.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay.
    Wait, I hear someone whispering.
notes, utilizing those three recommendations you have, it
was your opinion that they were proven methods with a proper
program Meaning, you said pruning early, is what you meant by proper program. And then it would, quote, significantly reduce the stress, end quote --
MR. JOLLEY: Yes, sir.
MR. CHEN: Of the trees on my clients' property.
```

MR. CHEN: Yeah, ignore it.
I also understand that with regard to the waiver,
that the waiver is a consequence of the program that
Primrose has for the daycare center; is that correct, sir?
MR. KLINE: Objection. I think that goes beyond
the direct testimony earlier.
MR. CHEN: Well, he testified about the waiver.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: He did testify
about the waiver.
MR. KLINE: He testified about the waiver, but he
didn't testify about why it was required.
MR. CHEN: So I have to accept that he testified
there is the waiver, but I can ask why a waiver is acquired?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I'm -- you know
what? I'm going to sit --
(Crosstalk)
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Go ahead.
MR. KLINE: I would -- what I was going to say is
Mr. Wolford will certainly get into it. But I will withdraw
my objection. Let's get it over with.
MR. CHEN: Again, sir --
MR JOLIEY: Yes, sir
MR. JOLLEY: Yes, sir.
MR. CHEN: Is it your understanding that the
waiver is required because of the program that Primrose is
proposing for the subject property?
24
25
10

```
done on a statewide basis and lightly coordinated with the
local planning offices. But it's still on a relatively
grand scale and it does not really mean that if you are in a
priority funding area you can proceed with development. And
if you're not in a priority funding area, you cannot proceed
with development.
    MR. CHEN: What -- pardon me. This part I have
to object to. The gentleman as I understand it is now
proposing to tell the hearing examiner what the smart growth
program does. And that's different than explaining his
background with the program. And I object to any opinion
from this witness as to what the smart growth program would
permit or not permit.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Mr. Klein?
    MR. KLINE: Well, I don't disagree with Mr. Chen.
We probably ought to establish a little bit better
foundation for what Mr. Wolford wants to say.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I guess I'm
wondering -- before we go there, I'm wondering why we are
going into the PFA. Is he going to disagree that the PFA
doesn't cover this property?
    MR. KLINE: He will not. He will agree that it
is within the area. He will disagree about its
applicability to this project.
```

    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I thought
    we agreed that is not applicable to this project.
MR. KLINE: Well, why did we spend so much time
talking about it then?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Your witness
spent -- Ms. --
MR. CHEN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible)
brought it up.
MR. KLINE: I'm sorry. Who did?
(Crosstalk)
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Your real estate
appraiser. They have --
(Crosstalk)
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: The applicant --
I mean, the -- Mr. Chen introduced exhibits showing that
this is not in the priority funding area. So I guess I
don't -- is there an argument with that?
MR. KLINE: I would guess that we spent 2, 2-1/2
hours, no, an hour plus listening to Mr. Davis talk about
the applicability of the priority funding area. And I don't
recall there being a comment that this property is not in
it. If is not in it, then I don't know why we heard so much
about it from Mr. Davis. I was calling Mr. Wolford simply
to confirm the fact that it isn't applicable. If we all
agree on that, then we can forget all this testimony.
brough it up.
(Crosstalk)
appraiser. They have --
(Crosstalk)
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: The applicant --
I mean, the -- Mr. Chen introduced exhibits showing that
this is not in the priority funding area. So I guess I
don't -- is there an argument with that?
MR. KLINE: I would guess that we spent 2, 2-1/2
hours, no, an hour plus listening to Mr. Davis talk about
the applicability of the priority funding area. And I don't
it. If is not in it, then I don't know why we heard so much
about it from Mr. Davis. I was calling Mr. Wolford simply
to confirm the fact that it isn't applicable. If we all
agree on that, then we can forget all this testimony.
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because of some of the influence of the priority funding
system. Is there any reason to understand that the priority
funding system would preclude the construction of the storm
drain system proposed by the applicant?

MR. WOLFORD: No, because the applicant is a private individual doing a private development and proposing
128
to do self-funded private money to improve a storm drain system to serve his property.

MR. KLINE: And we do not -- we do not believe that there is any state policy that would preclude us from doing that, correct?

MR. WOLFORD: Correct. Property outside the priority funding area can proceed with development. It just can't ask for state funds to support any development unless it's in the public benefit.

MR. KLINE: And what --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Which they assume it isn't in the public benefit.

MR. WOLFORD: In this case it is not. This project is for private use funded by private money.

MR. KLINE: Mr. Chen, I see lots of gesticulations. So I guess I just want to make sure we are all in agreement on this because I thought this was what your premise was for the questions the other day. I
remember you asking my -- Mr. Pease, can you actually get a
permit to build what you want to build in light of the fact of the situation.

MR. KLINE: Well, are you done then with Mr.
Wolford?
MR. KLINE: No, sir. And maybe it was more of a 5 rhetorical question. I just thought maybe you had a

Mr. Chen. I can't remember. There is an exhibit in here that (inaudible) something.

Well Mr. Chen, do you agree or disagree that this is not in the priority funding area?

MR. CHEN: You accurately described our evidence on this point. We don't -- we do not contend that it is in the smart growth area. In fact, my recollection is both through -- I think was mainly through Mr. Davis. We just offered the state document that showed that.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes. So I don't think there is a need to establish that is not in the
priority funding area.
MR. KLINE: Then I would ask Mr. Wolford some simple questions about the -- that would be relative to the -- I will rephrase that. I'm going to go in a different direction in.

Mr. Wolford, there was questions raised about the
because of some of the influence of the priority funding
funding system would preclude the construction of the storm
drain system proposed by the applicant?

2
3

| 129 | 131 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 question about that's with your own clients. But if you're | 1 that. Why are we going -- you proffer what you want to say. |
| 2 okay with where we are going, that's fine. | 2 MR. KLINE: Okay. I would -- my proper would be |
| 3 MR. CHEN: No, I'm going to cross-examine on this | 3 there was testimony that there was an adverse environmental |
| 4 subject, but -- | 4 impact as a result of the existing storm drain system, |
| 5 MR. KLINE: Fine. | 5 agreed? |
| 6 MR. CHEN: (inaudible) time I will cross-examine. | 6 MR. KLINE: But that wasn't Mr. Davis. That was |
| 7 MR. KLINE: Okay, fine. I'll just direct your | 7 Mr. Berg. |
| 8 attention to the storm drain system itself. And I don't | 8 MR. KLINE: Well, I'm calling -- |
| 9 want you to repeat anything that Mr. Pease got into. But | 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible) |
| 10 you -- have you visited the property to observe the outfall | 10 Doctor Kosary. |
| 11 situation at the -- where the storm drain basically | 11 MR. KLINE: Okay. Well, I'm calling a rebuttal |
| 12 outfalls? | 12 witness -- |
| 13 MR. WOLFORD: (inaudible) yes, I have been. | 13 (Crosstalk) |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Just a second. | 14 MR. KLINE: Pardon me? |
| 15 We have an objection. Mr. Chen, do you have an objection? | 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: As a land |
| 16 MR. CHEN: Well, I think this goes -- I'm not | 16 planner. |
| 17 sure what this is even responsive to or whether this | 17 MR. KLINE: Right. Right. Well, here's what I |
| 18 gentleman -- because he is recognized as a land planner | 18 want to -- I believe that the -- |
| 19 (inaudible) credential to get into the subject area. I do | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: But proffer what |
| 20 recollect Mr. Pease testified about the subject I think he | 20 you want to say. |
| 21 was qualified as being able to testify about it. So I will | 21 MR. KLINE: Are you asking me to proffer it |
| 22 cross-examine him about it. | 22 again? Is that what you said? |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Why are we going | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah. |
| 24 into the storm drain system, Mr. Klein? | 24 MR. KLINE: Yeah, sure. The proper would be |
| 25 MR. KLINE: Well ultimately it will result in | 25 this. My -- the testimony of the record says that there is |
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| 1 something related to the master plan. But I wanted Mr. | 1 an adverse environmental condition created by the existing |
| 2 Wolford to basically explain what would be the benefits of | 2 storm drain system. |
| 3 being able to build a storm drain system. What would happen | 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And? |
| 4 from a massive planning point of view? | 4 MR. KLINE: Allowing the applicant to construct, |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I don't even | 5 basically approving the conditional use that would allow the |
| 6 understand that. | 6 system to be constructed would eliminate that adverse |
| 7 MR. CHEN: Yeah (inaudible) sense. | 7 environmental situation. The upper rock Creek master plan |
| 8 MR. KLINE: Okay. | 8 that we are so much about is predicated on trying to improve |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I mean, what -- | 9 the environmental setting of the situation. I thought what |
| 10 whether you -- I mean, it would be -- I can th | 10 we were being told before is that the county is not going to |
| 11 benefits, but I don't know what that has to do with the | 11 go out and fix it. So for private developers doing that, |
| 12 master plan. | 12 then that is something that is effectuating the goals of the |
| 13 MR. CHEN: That's my point. | 13 master plan. And I just was going to have Mr. Wolford |
| 14 MR. KLINE: Okay. What I mean, I will either | 14 basically explain how he thought that was basically |
| 15 proffer it or I will get to it. However you want to do it. | 15 satisfied the requirements of the master plan for trying to |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, let's get | 16 improve the environmental situation. |
| 17 to -- or let's just go forward. | 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, what you |
| 18 MR. KLINE: Okay. Okay. Mr. Wolford, is there | 18 can say is, if it doesn't prove that there is testimony, but |
| 19 an environmental, a deleterious environmental impact at the | 19 is not Mr. Wolford's testimony and is not Mr. Davis's |
| 20 outfall where it basically water is pouring out an insigh | 20 testimony. You can certainly ask him if there is -- if this |
| 21 into the parkland and creating environmental problems? | 21 fixes erosion and that kind of stuff, does the capital |
| 22 MR. CHEN: Objection. This man has not been | 22 improvement comply with the master -- with whatever you want |
| 23 presented, was not revealed as an environmental expert. He | 23 to call it, the (inaudible). |
| 24 is a land planner. | 24 MR. KLINE: Okay. All right. And I'm glad to do |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I agree with | 25 that but let me just say you used a phrase that suggests to |

I will. Oh. Do you have a page number before I share it, Mr. Kline?

MR. KLINE: I'm sorry. It would be page 3, figure 2.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. I'm
sorry. For some reason it's not -- well, that's not what I
want either. If you can, hold on one second. I don't know why it's not coming up. I may have to close Google. Okay.
There we go. Now you should be seen it.
MR. KLINE: Thank you. Mr. Wolford, you've heard the testimony fromMr. Davis about the pros and cons of the area outlined in red called the surrounding neighborhood. From-- as a land planner, what are your comments with regard to whether that's a valid surrounding neighborhood for purposes of this application?

MR. WOLFORD: This is the surrounding
neighborhood that was determined by the staff at the Maryland national capital of park and planning commission.
And I -- this varies from what we had in the statement of justification. I was a little broader than this. But after reviewing what they had determined that what they felt was the neighborhood for the project, I agree that this is it and that the red line represented on this exhibit is what it needs to be.

MR. KLINE: And you understood Mr. Davis is
questioning about whether that was valid as it particularly related to the land area west of Redland Road?

MR. WOLFORD: Yes, I did. But I think that area needs to be included in the neighborhood for one or two factors. The first is that immediately to the upper part of the page or north of this neighborhood is the intercounty connector, which is an interstate freeway type classification Road, which I think increases and impacts the area of the neighborhood. On the upper right left and corner is Mid-County Highway, which also impacts it and creates a need for it to be on the west side of Redland Road. And then in the lower left-hand corner of this exhibit is the Metro station which we all know has huge impacts on surrounding communities, especially one like this that's within walking distance of the Shady Grove Metro station. So I think the red line on here is well supported by the neighborhood itself and those additional outside the neighborhood factors.

MR. KLINE: Thank you. Would you characterize the area --

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, but just a second. The test for the neighborhood is not the influence of outside factors on the neighborhood, is it?

MR. WOLFORD: I think in the case where there is
a Metro station within walking distance to the side, I think
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me that there is still a misunderstanding about what the
program -- because there is no capital improvement program.
That would be --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible).
    MR. KLINE: Okay, thank you. Okay, great. Mr.
Wolford, just so that your following comments have some
credibility, would you please explain your background in
terms of knowledge of the environment?
    MR. WOLFORD: I --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Wait a minute.
1 Wait a minute. I am not going here.
    MR. KLINE: Okay. Okay. I thought you --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible).
    MR. KLINE: I thought I heard you say, ask him,
does this improve it. I thought you would want to know why
he thinks it would improve it.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Right. Well,
just assumed that it would resolve environmental issues
based on the testimony, hypothetically based on the
testimony thus far, assuming it resolved environmental
issues, would it comply with the master plan.
    MR. KLINE: Mr. Wolford, would you explain why
you believe construction of a new storm drain system would
eliminate an environmental problem that exists?
    MR. CHEN:(inaudible) my objection will abide by
me that there is still a misunderstanding about what the That would be --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible).
the hearing examiner's ruling.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. At this
point I'm going to let it in and give it the weight it
deserves. But I will tell you I don't like hearing of new
expertise on rebuttal. (inaudible) environmental expert.
If you want to ask him hypothetically if this project
resolves some of the existing environmental issues that
comply with the master plan, and I bet you he says yes. Mr.
Wolford, do you say yes to that?
    MR. WOLFORD: Yes, I do.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right.
    MR. KLINE: And keeping it in the land-use
planning ground then, please explain why it addresses the
goals of the upper rock Creek master plan.
    MR. WOLFORD: Because one of the main goals of
the upper rock Creek master plan is to maintain high --
maintain existing high-quality and manage the impacts of
human activity on the planning area and the natural
resources.
    MR. KLINE: Thank you. Give me one second,
please.
    MR. WOLFORD: Yep.
    MR. KLINE: Mdm. hearing examiner, could you call
up Exhibit 106, which is the staff report?
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes, I'm sorry.
```

that that has an influence on what the neighborhood is. And
that's why I think the neighborhood on the east side of
Redland Road needs to be included in the neighborhood for
this property.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right.
MR. KLINE: Mr. Wolford, you said on the east
side of Redland Road.
MR. WOLFORD: West side, I'm sorry.
MR. KLINE: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: We are all
getting east, west, north, and south -- it started with the
orientation of the CUP. Anyway, go ahead.
MR. WOLFORD:This goes back over year, doesn't
it?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes.
MR. WOLFORD: Sorry?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Go ahead.
MR. KLINE: Mr. Wolford, would you characterize
the area outlined in red as being a low-density large lot
area?
MR. WOLFORD: No, I would not. And when I was
reported -- so I did quite a bit of additional research.
And I looked at the neighborhood north of Needwood Road and
east of Redwood Road. And that's a development that was
subdivided and recorded in 1964 1965. To have to assume
that it was constructed soon after that. In that
neighborhood there are 302 recorded lots. And it's in the
R-200 zone, single-family detached. And all those lots that
I looked at in there, the square footage for them is all
well below 20,000 square feet and there is a substantial
number of lots in there. The straight, square, or
rectangular lots on the roads, not at the end of the cul-de-
sacs, were the (inaudible) in the 11,000 to 12,000 square
9 feet range. So I don't think the R-200 zoned property and
1 0 that 3 0 2 recorded lots north of Needwood Road would classify
1 1 as a low-density, large lot, single-family detached
1 2 neighborhood. Then Jody, the other thing I did, was I
1 3 looked at the lots that are immediately east and west of the
1 4 property south of Needwood Road. And I did the same
1 5 exercise with them. So there is to ages to that
16 neighborhood. There is the age of the right or the east,
1 7 and those -- that's the Deer Lane Road and the Deer Lake
1 8 Lane section. That was subdivided and developed in 1983 and
1 9 1 9 8 4 . ~ A n d ~ t h o s e ~ l o t s ~ a r e ~ m o s t l y ~ i n ~ t h e ~ l o w ~ 2 0 , 0 0 0 ~ s q u a r e ~
20 feet range. There are a few at the end of cul-de-sacs or on
21 outward signs of (inaudible) where the square footage goes
22 larger. But there again, they are in the 20 to 25,000
2 3 square feet range and I don't think that's -- would be
24 considered a low-density, large lot subdivision. And then
25 the last group that I looked at is grouped as directly to
the south --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Excuse me.
MR. WOLFORD: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Are you talking
about the -- I'm sorry to interrupt.
MR. WOLFORD: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Are you talking
about the RE1 south of Needwood?
MR. WOLFORD: Yes. So the --
(Crosstalk)
MR. WOLFORD: So the first group is to the right.
And if you want, I will help you get your cursor there if
you want to see what I'm talking about. It's -- no, it's
inside that box or that loop road that goes around there.
Yes, that. All those lots. And it's about }62\mathrm{ of them were
recorded in }1983\mathrm{ and 1984. And those, even though they are
in the RE1 zone, they are in the 20, 21,000 to 25,000 square
feet range, which I would not consider as low-density, large
lot. And then Madam Examiner, I'm -- the last subdivision I
looked at --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Wait.
MR. WOLFORD: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Just a second.
I need to break for five minutes, okay?
MR. WOLFORD: Sounds great.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay, five
minutes.
(Off the record)
(Back on the record)
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Now we were
talking about --
MR. WOLFORD: The neighborhood. And yet the
exhibit up of the neighborhood.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And I'm going to
get it up again.
MR. WOLFORD: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN:There you go. I
do apologize for interrupting your flow.
MR. WOLFORD: No, that's okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So (inaudible).
MR. WOLFORD: I have five kids. I'm used to lots
of interruptions.
(Crosstalk)
MR. WOLFORD: The RE1 neighborhood south of
Needwood Road is really -- was really primarily -- yes, that
part was developed and is pretty much 21,000 25,000 square
feet lots. So I don't think that's characterized as large
lot single. And then the other portion is the loop in the
two -- the three cul-de-sac (inaudible) of the development
immediately south of the subject site. Yeah, that's Deer
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as an impervious area. And he was -- and Mr. Wolford is
intended to be a rebuttal witness to all of the witnesses
before, not just Mr. Davis, who did talk about it. But it
goes back to Mr. Reid we are trying to rebut as well.
Marie's, I'm sorry. So yes, he has a very --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible).
MR. KLINE: Sorry. I would like to proffer --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I will let him
go into -- I'm going to let him go into it. It's -- but --
well, go ahead.
\(\quad\) MR. KLINE: Mr. Wolford, would --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible).
\(\quad\) MR. KLINE: Mr. Wolford, would you explain why
3
4 the applicant and parking planning staff did not include the
5 play areas in the -- that the impervious in this area
6 calculation?
7 MR. WOLFORD: Yes, it's a matter of the nature of
8 the product that is used in the services that are placed in
9 the play area. There is a decent amount of the play area,
0 which is just gravel averse subgrade, which is where all the
1 mechanical units are contained. That should be pervious
2 because there is no pavement there. There is a small amount
23 of walkway that comes round that is impervious. There will
4 be natural grass areas inside the play area, which are
25 pervious. And then the way that the artificial surface is
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constructed under the play area, which is to keep the mud
down and keep the children say, if a synthetic turf that has
a (inaudible) polypropylene backing on it that is impervious
over top of a one or 2 inch layer of what's called poly
green padding, which is a proprietary product which is made
up of larger pieces of rubber that are -- and found that are
put together that are also pervious. And then is placed
over a minimum 6 inch clean gravel layer over the subgrade.
So -- and all those products are made and designed to
facilitate and promote the vertical movement of water
through them to be stored in the gravel layer under the play
area, which then leads to infiltration, groundwater
recharge, and the cooling of the water before it gets
released in the storm water management.
15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I'm sorry to
interrupt. I remember your witness saying -- and I don't
remember who it was saying that the state of Maryland
calculated it as impervious, counted it as impervious. So
now you are saying is not impervious?
MR. WOLFORD: I can't -- I did not attend all of
the testimony. I can't remember that.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible).
MR. KLINE: While Mr. Wolford, would you explain
why you seem to know so much about it and why you understand that to be the case?

MR. WOLFORD: Well, we designed the first pervious pavement parking lot in Montgomery County. It's 550 cars at the national Cancer Institute at the Johns Hopkins campus. And that exact science that was used to create the concrete, which in this case is the synthetic grass surface and the rubber mat area, passes water through into a groundwater recharge storage facility, which is clean gravel. So it's -- that's what we done in that technology which is repeated everywhere. It's even used on the eightfoot side trail on our side of Needwood road or the south side of Needwood Road. And so this is the same size. And I apologize for not having attended or heard all of the previous testimony. I'm just going by what I know is the surface material in the specifications for what's used. So there is natural grass out there. There is this particular material out there and there is gravel under the air 7 condenser (inaudible) all of which are pervious materials. So there -- that's possibly why there is a difference in what was in the staff report and what was then put into the record by the opponents as -- and I've heard numbers up to 47 percent. And then there was justification around that why that was not a percentage of impervious this that was conducive to be compatible with the neighborhood. I think that's where I was headed.

MR. KLINE: With the approval by the County of a
concept storm water management plan showing what we have
show before, would that tell us anything about what the
county would accept --
(Crosstalk)
MR. CHEN: Objection. That's speculative. It's
purely speculative.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I'm going to
sustain it. I -- do you have an approved storm water -- I
think you do have an approved -- do you have an approved to
storm water concept plan?
MR. WOLFORD: Yes, we do, approved by the County of the Department of permitting services.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: You know -- and
what does that say? Does that consider imperviousness?
MR. WOLFORD: It's a concept scale, so it's done
on a relative grand scale to show that we have the capacity
of room and location on the property to handle the rough
calculations for imperviousness.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And so is the
playground included in that?
MR. WOLFORD: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible).
MR. WOLFORD: Some of the playground is in some
of it is impervious and some of it is pervious in that
calculation.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: And then how
much is impervious and that calculation?
MR. WOLFORD: I don't have the calculations in front of me exactly at this moment.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I really think
your engineer testified -- well, okay. So you're saying
some is in some isn't, but we don't know how much.
MR. WOLFORD: Correct. We will know as we move
through the design. And whatever is pervious will be
counted as pervious and whatever is impervious will be
counted as impervious. And that's in the technical design
at the next stage of the process.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: So what did
planning take into account?
MR. WOLFORD: I think they did the same thing
that we did, which account some of it is lawn, some of it as --

MR. CHEN: Objection. I think?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Do you know?
MR. WOLFORD: We have a number for the
imperviousness of this site and it matches the same number
that is in the staff report. I don't know how they got
their number.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay.
MR. KLINE: Mdm. hearing examiner, could you
please put up CUP4 and/or 5, which I think is Exhibit 199-D
or E?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: This is 199-D.
Do you need to see the label?
MR. KLINE: Not necessarily, but I do need to see the picture.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Oh. Let me get it larger so you can see better.

MR. KLINE: That would be fine. Mr. Wolford, you
said -- I'm sorry. You heard the question asked a few minutes ago of Mr. Jolley, was the layout of the property driven by the primrose program. And I didn't object, but I would like you to explain the nuances of why the layout is what it is.

MR. CHEN: Objection. This is -- we've -- the engineer testified about this. This is -- heat -- yes, he already testified about this once before.

MR. KLINE: Yeah, and that's why have rebuttal witnesses to go back --

MR. CHEN: Now, this is -- he already testified to this.

MR. KLINE: Yeah, but that's why you call a rebuttal witness, because you had witnesses that testified after and I want to try to clarify.

MR. CHEN: I had no witnesses that disputed the
testimony presented by Mr. Wolford, the engineer, and your applicant that the program was driving the size of the building and the location. We've accepted that. We haven't challenged that.

MR. KLINE: Well, that's my point. You can't ask the question the way you did and basically address the whole thing. I want Mr. Wolford to explain why -- all of the factors that work with this site.

MR. CHEN: He can't. I object to repeating direct testimony from the same witness.
11 MR. KLINE: Yeah, and that's why I have rebuttal 12 witnesses to go back and --

MR. CHEN: No, he already testified to this.
MR. KLINE: Yeah, but that's why you call a 5 rebuttal witness because you had witnesses who testified 16 after and I want to try and clarify.
17 MR. CHEN: I had no witnesses that disputed the 18 testimony presented by Mr. Walford, the engineer and your Applicant that the program was driving the size of the building and the location. We've accepted that. We've not 1 challenged that.

MR. KLINE: Well, that's my point is there -- you 3 can't ask the question the way you did and basically address 4 the whole thing. I want Mr. Walford to explain why -- all of the factors that work with this site.

MR. CHEN: He can't -- I object to repeating direct testimony from the same witness.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: (inaudible)
there are two, because I remember in parsing through this in
detail both through Mr. Entriago and he can -- okay -- I'm going to let him summarize it.

Go ahead. What drives the site layout?
MR. WOLFORD: Primarily the shape of the lot.
The lot is roughly 600 feet deep and a little over 200 feet
wide, so it is -- it's elongated and when you -- and you
have two public right-of-ways adjacent to this which
establish the front yard setback off of Carnegie and the
front yard setback off of Needwood Road. When you put that
together with the initiatives that Park and Planning has to
pull the buildings to the front of the site and put the
driveway down the side of the site and put the rear -- the
parking in the rear, you end up with this configuration.
We had -- we've probably done 18 or 20 --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: No see --
MR. WOLFORD: Yes ma'am.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: We've been through all the iterations.

MR. WOLFORD: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I have typed through six days of hearings of the iterations. Now,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 153 & 155 \\
\hline 1 there's lots swings you can put on what drives this site to & 1 testified about contradicts Mr. Reese; isn't that right? \\
\hline 2 zoning. And in fact, there's many factors that drive it. & 2 MR. WOLFORD: Correct. \\
\hline 3 So is it -- so you're saying it's the long narrow lot and & 3 MR. CHEN: Okay. So, as we sit here today as far \\
\hline 4 what else are you saying? & 4 as Mr. Reese is concerned, you do not have any information \\
\hline 5 MR. WOLFORD: The requirement -- & 5 to contradict him? \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Requesting the & 6 MR. WOLFORD: I don't have knowledge to \\
\hline 7 design requirements and that fact that it's two right-of & 7 contradict him. \\
\hline 8 way -- two front yards. & 8 MR. CHEN: Yeah, because you want present, and \\
\hline 9 MR. WOLFORD: Correct. & 9 you haven't read the transcript? \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. We did go & 10 MR. WOLFORD: Correct. We already established \\
\hline 11 through -- I mean I typed it -- & 11 that. \\
\hline 12 MR. KLINE: And you're right. Maybe I don't need & 12 MR. CHEN: And he testified a year ago, right? \\
\hline 13 to go through that if you picked the nuances. But earlier I & 13 MR. WOLFORD: Yes. \\
\hline 14 felt that the question asked of Mr. Jolley did not pick up & 14 MR. CHEN: And in the ensuing 12 months you did \\
\hline 15 those nuances and if the Hearing Examiner is familiar with & 15 not read a transcript of his testimony? \\
\hline 16 them that's fine. & 16 MR. WOLFORD: No, I did not. \\
\hline 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I -- & 17 MR. CHEN: Okay. You testified that the area \\
\hline 18 there's about -- there's several different theories on & 18 north of Muncastor is the primary focus of the Rock Creek \\
\hline 19 what's driving the site design. And I haven't made a & 19 Master Plan; amI correct in that, sir? \\
\hline 20 decision, but I do know them all & 20 MR. WOLFORD: No. \\
\hline 21 MR. KLINE: Then I think we ought to wrap it up. & 21 MR. CHEN: Okay. What is -- how would you -- \\
\hline 22 I'll finish my questions with Mr. Wolford. & 22 MR. WOLFORD: Jody's question was how does what's \\
\hline 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Go ahead. & 23 north of Needwood Road in the Upper Rock Creek Master Plan \\
\hline 24 MR. KLINE: I'm sorry. I am finished with Mr. & 24 differ from what is south of Needwood Road -- or of \\
\hline 25 Wolford. & 25 Muncastor Mill Road. \\
\hline 154 & 156 \\
\hline 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. And that's & 1 MR. CHEN: Okay. And where is that difference \\
\hline 2 your last witness? & 2 articulated in the plan? \\
\hline 3 MR. KLINE: That is correct. & 3 MR. WOLFORD: If you want to go on a break for \\
\hline 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Oh yeah. & 4 about 15 minutes, I'll find it and pointed exactly out to \\
\hline 5 Mr. Chen, you get cross. & 5 you. \\
\hline 6 MR. CHEN: Thank you. & 6 MR. CHEN: Yeah, let's do that. \\
\hline 7 Mr. Wolford, were you present when Mr. Reese & 7 MR. WOLFORD: Okay. That would be great. \\
\hline 8 testified? & 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: We have it -- \\
\hline 9 MR. WOLFORD: No, I was not. & 9 I'll get the plan up, when we get back on you can find the \\
\hline 10 MR. CHEN: Have you read his testimony? & 10 page I can go to the page. \\
\hline 11 MR. WOLFORD: No, I have not. & 11 MR. WOLFORD: That sounds fantastic. Thank you. \\
\hline 12 MR. CHEN: Do you have any disagreement with & 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yes, going off. \\
\hline 13 anything that Mr. Reese testified about? & 13 Let's go off the record for five minutes. We'll come back \\
\hline 14 MR. WOLFORD: I'm not sure because I don't know & 14 at 3:10. \\
\hline 15 what he testified to. & 15 (Off the record, 3:05 p.m., resuming at 3:15 \\
\hline 16 MR. CHEN: Okay. So you cannot challenge anything & 16 p.m.) \\
\hline 17 that Mr. Reese testified about? & 17 MR. CHEN: Okay. We are back to Mr. Wolford of \\
\hline 18 MR. WOLFORD: Now, if you point out something & 18 finding a reference in the master plan that the state said \\
\hline 19 specific that's the point that he made -- & 19 that the area south of Muncastor will be treated less \\
\hline 20 MR. CHEN: No, sir, this is cross-examination. As & 20 stringently than the area north. Less stringent is Mr. \\
\hline 21 it sits today, you cannot dispute anything that Mr. Reese & 21 Wolford's words. \\
\hline 22 testified about? & 22 MR. WOLFORD: Yeah, I think the best place to go \\
\hline 23 MR. WOLFORD: Other than the testimony I've & 23 for that is -- I mean the environmental section, the \\
\hline 24 provided, you're correct. & 24 environmental resource portion plan starts on page 39. But I \\
\hline 25 MR. CHEN: But you don't know if what you & 25 think, not knowing the next couple of questions, Mr. Chen, I \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 157 & 159 \\
\hline 1 think page 49, watersheds and stream valleys is probably the & 1 Go ahead, sir. I'm sorry. \\
\hline 2 reference I was making. & 2 MR. WOLFORD: I'm referring to the text on page \\
\hline 3 MR. CHEN: Okay. Let's go to page 49 then, sir. & 3 49, and the map on page 50. \\
\hline 4 Madam Examiner, can you pop page 49? & 4 MR. CHEN: Okay. All right. Okay. \\
\hline 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. I'm & 5 MR. WOLFORD: So it says, Rock Creek and North \\
\hline 6 there. & 6 Branch are use 3 streams above Muncaster Mill Road. \\
\hline 7 MR. CHEN: Thank you. & 7 MR. CHEN: Yeah. \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Are we on the & 8 MR. WOLFORD: And below Muncaster Mill Road are \\
\hline 9 right place here? & 9 use 4 stream \\
\hline 10 MR. WOLFORD: Yeah, that's perfect. So the & 10 MR. CHEN: So based upon that sentence here \\
\hline 11 second paragraph says Rock Creek and North Branch are use 3 & 11 saying that the master plan is less stringent in the area \\
\hline 12 streams above Muncaster Mill Road and below Muncaster Mill & 12 south of Needham Road for development than the area no \\
\hline 13 Road are use number 4 streams. And then when you flip to & 13 MR. WOLFORD: In a combination with all the text \\
\hline 14 the next page, to the map you can see that the -- what's & 14 on the environment that starts on page 39 this -- in the \\
\hline 15 shown as blue on your print is where the sites are located & 15 five minutes I had encapsulates pretty much what the master \\
\hline 16 which is just a watershed protection area regular level. & 16 plan is saying is that because of the classification of the \\
\hline 17 And the pink area below that which is the remainder of the & 17 streams which determines their ability to support habitat \\
\hline 18 Crabbs Branch is just in a water management area which means & 18 there is a difference in what happens in one portion of Rock \\
\hline 19 that it's already substantially developed and they're just & 19 Creek from what happens in another portion of Crabbs Branch. \\
\hline 20 managing the water in the watershed. And the rest of it, & 20 MR. CHEN: Okay. Okay, Mr. Davis testified on \\
\hline 21 which is everything to the right and above Muncaster Mill is & 21 Tuesday, correct? And Friday, Friday and Tuesday. Were \\
\hline 22 in watershed restoration and other classes of protection -- & 22 you, I guess, online to hear that testimony, sir? \\
\hline 23 special protection area, watershed protection & 23 MR. WOLFORD: Yes. \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: Okay. I'm looking, sir per you & 24 MR. CHEN: And as I recollect, during the course \\
\hline 25 testimony where you said south -- the southern area is less & 25 of his testimony Mr. Davis took the hearing examiner through \\
\hline 158 & 160 \\
\hline 1 stringent than the northern area. Those are your words. & 1 a number of pages in the master plan. I think, probably 10 \\
\hline 2 MR. WOLFORD: Yes. Was that a question? & 2 or 12 specific parts of the master plan, to support his \\
\hline 3 MR. CHEN: Where does the plan use those words, & 3 relative to compliance with that master plan, going right to \\
\hline \[
4 \text { sir? }
\] & 4 this very point that we're talking about, in large part. \\
\hline 5 MR. WOLFORD: It & 5 Did you hear that testimony, sir? \\
\hline 6 MR. CHEN: Even, if I may, by looking at page 49 & 6 MR. WOLFORD: Yes, I did. \\
\hline 7 and page 50 the area that were dealing with where the & 7 MR. CHEN: Okay. And have you gone to the \\
\hline 8 subject property is located, admittedly, is not in the & 8 sections of the plan that Mr. Davis referenced during his \\
\hline 9 watershed preservation area and Mr. Davis was a very plain & 9 testimony? \\
\hline 10 about that, but even your own map that you pointed us to has & 10 MR. WOLFORD: I followed along with him in the \\
\hline 11 this area in a watershed protection area, regular level. & 11 master plan as he provided his testimony. \\
\hline 12 MR. WOLFORD: Jody's question was, is there a & 12 MR. CHEN: Okay. Where did you disagree with the \\
\hline 13 difference between above Muncaster Mill Road and below. I & 13 testimony that he provided? \\
\hline 14 was taking that in general terms. What I should have stated & 14 MR. WOLFORD: The only place that I disagree is \\
\hline 15 was in the extreme lower right corner of the planning area, & 15 where I just pointed out, and the fact that we are in a \\
\hline 16 which is shown on map 49, which is the Crabbs Branch & 16 particular area that is different from other parts of the \\
\hline 17 watershed it is the urban watershed management and watershed & 17 master plan. \\
\hline 18 protection -- & 18 MR. CHEN: Okay. And he made that -- he also \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: I -- & 19 recognized that too, didn't he, sir? \\
\hline 20 MR. WOLFORD: -- which is different from the rest & 20 MR. WOLFORD: Yes. \\
\hline 21 of the drainage area. & 21 MR. CHEN: Let me, if I may, sir, go back to your \\
\hline 22 MR. CHEN: Excuse me, sir. I don't see map 49. & 22 testimony about the surrounding area. You mentioned that \\
\hline 23 She & 23 the area west of Redland should be included and you \\
\hline 24 MR. WOLFORD: The map & 24 understand that that's a different planning area? You do \\
\hline 25 MR. CHEN: Okay. Okay year on page 50, that map. & 25 understand that don't you, sir? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 161 & 163 \\
\hline 1 MR. WOLFORD: Yes. & 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. \\
\hline 2 MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, you mentioned that there & 2 Mr. CHEN: I think we were just on it, EEE, three \\
\hline 3 were three factors that persuade you that the area west of & 3 Es. \\
\hline 4 Redland should be included in the surrounding area, do you & 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. I have to \\
\hline 5 remember that sir? & 5 go -- this is 227-B. Hold on. \\
\hline 6 MR. WOLFORD: No, I did not say that. I said & 6 Three Cs? \\
\hline 7 that there are other factors just outside the neighborhood & 7 Mr. CHEN: Es. Es. \\
\hline 8 that is defined which are major factors that influence this & 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I will get this. \\
\hline 9 particular neighborhood. I agreed with the red outline that & 9 Okay. There we have it. \\
\hline 10 the staff had for the neighborhood. I agreed that the land & 10 MR. CHEN: Could you roll to the next page? \\
\hline 11 west of Redland Road should be included in the neighborhood & 11 There's a page with some graphs -- thank you. \\
\hline 12 then I pointed out that there are several factor & 12 Sir, can you see the exhibit? \\
\hline 13 immediately outside the neighborhood which are major factors & 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I can make it \\
\hline 14 which influence what happens in the neighborhood. & 14 larger, just one second. \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: If you would, sir; why does the area & 15 Mr. WOLFORD: As soon Mr. Chen tells me which \\
\hline 16 west of Redland on that -- within that red outline -- & 16 chart, he wants to be on -- \\
\hline 17 Mdm examiner, I apologize. Could you take us to & 17 MR. CHEN: You've got it in front of you right \\
\hline 18 that map? I think it's page 7, is it, of the staff report? & 18 now, sir. Can you see it? \\
\hline 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I think it's & 19 MR. WOLFORD: Which of the two charts? Left-hand \\
\hline 20 three. & 20 side, right-hand side? \\
\hline 21 MR. CHEN: Yeah, thank you. & 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Here. Let me \\
\hline 22 Sir, can you tell the Examiner why the area west & 22 try this. \\
\hline 23 of Redland should be included in the surrounding area? & 23 MR. CHEN: You know if you can -- I just want him \\
\hline 24 MR. WOLFORD: Because it's contiguous to this & 24 to read the highlighted sections. \\
\hline 25 particular neighborhood. It is within a very short walk of & 25 Can you read that, sir? \\
\hline 162 & 164 \\
\hline 1 this particular neighborhood, and what happens with the site & 1 MR. WOLFORD: Yes. \\
\hline 2 is impacts what happens north of Needwood Road and impacts & 2 MR. CHEN: Can you read the two highlighted \\
\hline 3 what's west of Redland Road, and vice versa. & 3 sections? \\
\hline 4 MR. CHEN: Okay. That's your testimony, correct, & 4 MR. WOLFORD: Can you tell me what the source of \\
\hline 5 sir? & 5 this document is? \\
\hline 6 MR. WOLFORD: Yes. & 6 MR. CHEN: Yes. Sure. It's the general plan, \\
\hline 7 MR. CHEN: Hello? & 7 refinement goals and objectives for Montgomery County. \\
\hline 8 MR. WOLFORD: Yeah. & 8 MR. WOLFORD: Okay. \\
\hline 9 MR. CHEN: Okay. And you also mentioned several & 9 MR. KLINE: And Mr. Chen, please note that it \\
\hline 10 little neighborhoods in the outlined, the red outlined area & 10 says draft. I'm not objecting, but it's not the final \\
\hline 11 that were east of Redland -- & 11 version. \\
\hline 12 MR. WOLFORD: Correct. & 12 MR. CHEN: Is there any difference? Mara is \\
\hline 13 MR. CHEN: Did you take into account -- can you & 13 saying there is no difference. This is off the web right \\
\hline 14 identify the subdivisions that were developed under cluster & 14 now. \\
\hline 15 subdivision provisions of the County Code? & 15 MR. WOLFORD: MadamExaminer, can you -- the part \\
\hline 16 MR. WOLFORD: No. I just looked at the record & 16 that's in the lower left-hand that's highlighted, can you \\
\hline 17 plats to see what the lot sizes were because I wanted to & 17 enlarge that a little bit for me, please? \\
\hline 18 find out was this a predominantly large lot -- hold on, I'll & 18 Thank you. \\
\hline 19 look up my notes here -- a low density, large lot in the & 19 Mr. Chen, you ready for me to read to you? \\
\hline 20 neighborhood. And when I looked at the lot size, I & 20 MR. CHEN: Yes, sir. \\
\hline 21 disagreed that this was a large lot neighborhood. & 21 MR. WOLFORD: Okay. "Area master plans and \\
\hline 22 MR. CHEN: Okay. Could we go to Exhibit 227, & 22 functional plans since 1969 have further defined the wedge. \\
\hline 23 document EEE, Madam Examiner? & 23 All master plans since 1969 have considered areas zoned for \\
\hline 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Three E? & 24 lots of 1 and 2 acres as the residential wedge." \\
\hline 25 MR. CHEN: Yes. & 25 Are you ready for the other one? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 165 & 167 \\
\hline 1 MR. CHEN: Yes, sir. & 1 references, too, correct? \\
\hline 2 MR. WOLFORD: Okay. "The residential wedge is & 2 MR. WOLFORD: Correct. \\
\hline 3 now characterized by single family detached houses on lots & 3 MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, are you aware that the \\
\hline 4 of 1 and 2 acres. Houses on large lots existing before the & 4 storm drainage plan that currently exists that you \\
\hline 5 adoption of the general plan, of course, but the location, & 5 referenced early on in your testimony is a public facility? \\
\hline 6 zoning and quantity of such housing has become more clearly & 6 Are you aware of that, sir? \\
\hline 7 defined in the intervening period." & \(7 \quad\) MR. WOLFORD: I didn't get to testify about the \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: Do you have any problems with these & 8 storm drain system. You said that was Mr. Pease that did \\
\hline 9 provisions of the general plan, sir? & 9 that. \\
\hline 10 THE WITNESS. No, I don't, but it doesn't & 10 MR. CHEN: Excuse me, sir. At the very begiming \\
\hline 11 specifically address what size lots are within the & 11 of your testimony Mr. Kline asked you, the ability to \\
\hline 12 neighborhood. & 12 construct the storm water management system. Do you \\
\hline 13 MR. CHEN: Okay. And can we now go to the staff & 13 remember that testimony, sir? \\
\hline 14 report, Madam Examiner? & 14 MR. WOLFORD: Yes. \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Just a second. & 15 MR. CHEN: Okay. And my question to you is, are \\
\hline 16 I've run out of space. & 16 you aware that that system is a public facility? \\
\hline 17 Mr. KLINE: That's number 106. & 17 MR. WOLFORD: Yes. That was privately \\
\hline 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Yeah. I'm & 18 constructed with the development and it is -- and then it's \\
\hline 19 getting there. Okay. Let me pull it up separately. Okay. & 19 in the public right-of-way and turned over to the public. \\
\hline 20 It should be up. & 20 MR. CHEN: Excuse me. It's a public facility as \\
\hline 21 MR. CHEN: Thank you. Could you go to page 6, & 21 we sit here today; isn't that right, sir? \\
\hline 22 please? Thank you. & 22 MR. WOLFORD: Correct. \\
\hline 23 Sir, there's a paragraph that starts at the very & 23 MR. CHEN: Okay. Is there any agreement between \\
\hline 24 bottom of 6, page 6 of the staff report and continues over & 24 Primrose and Montgomery County that would authorize Primrose \\
\hline 25 and concludes at the top of page 8. Intervening on page 7 & 25 to replace a public facility such as this specific one? \\
\hline 166 & 168 \\
\hline 1 there's a figure, but I'm directing you to the text of that & 1 MR. WOLFORD: The replacement and upgrade of that \\
\hline 2 one paragraph. Could you take a moment and read it out & 2 public facility in the adjacent development is part of the \\
\hline 3 loud? & 3 storm water concept approval granted for the project from \\
\hline 4 MR. WOLFORD: The paragraph that starts, "the & 4 the Department of Public Services, DPS, at Montgomery \\
\hline 52004 Upper Rock Creek Master Plan"? & 5 County. \\
\hline 6 MR. CHEN: Thank you, yes sir. & 6 MR. CHEN: Is there an agreement to authorize the \\
\hline 7 MR. WOLFORD: 'The 2004 Upper Rock Creek Area & 7 replacement of a public facility by a private developer in \\
\hline 8 Master Plan confirms the site for the RE-1 zone. The master & 8 this case? \\
\hline 9 plan does not provide explicit recommendations for the & 9 MR. WOLFORD: Not yet. \\
\hline 10 property, and it does not identify specific areas that are & 10 MR. CHEN: I use -- \\
\hline 11 deemed suitable for the proposed use." & 11 MR. WOLFORD: Not yet. \\
\hline 12 MR. CHEN: Keep going. & 12 MR. CHEN: Not yet. \\
\hline 13 MR. WOLFORD: And then it skips page 7, and then & 13 MR. WOLFORD: But they have seen the plans and \\
\hline 14 picks back up at the top of page 8. "The master plan also & 14 they agreed to the plans. \\
\hline 15 recommends keeping the residential wedge areas at a low & 15 MR. CHEN: I am not disputing that they like what \\
\hline 16 density that is compatible with the existing community. On & 16 the plan or would call for. My only question is it's a \\
\hline 17 page 12 the plan encourages community design that is & 17 public facility, correct, sir? \\
\hline 18 compatible with adjacent development protects views from & 18 MR. WOLFORD: Yes. \\
\hline 19 local roads, offers harmonious road design and maintains & 19 MR. CHEN: And that there is no, as we sit here \\
\hline 20 open space. & 20 today, there is no agreement by which Montgomery County has \\
\hline 21 MR. CHEN: Do you agree with that statement in & 21 authorized Primrose to upgrade that public facility? \\
\hline 22 the staff report? & 22 MR. WOLFORD: Correct, we are not to that point \\
\hline 23 MR. WOLFORD: 100 percent, yes. & 23 yet. \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: Okay. And you also heard Mr. Davis's & 24 MR. CHEN: Okay. And you are aware that the \\
\hline 25 testimony about the parts of the master plan that he & 25 Montgomery County zoning ordinance has a requirement for \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 169 & 171 \\
\hline 1 compliance with adequate public facilities? & 1 MR. WOLFORD: "The synthetic turf area of the \\
\hline 2 MR. WOLFORD: Correct. & 2 site must be considered an impervious surface and not \\
\hline MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, Madam Examiner, could we & 3 permeable." \\
\hline 4 go to Exhibit 115-S, document S.1? & 4 MR. CHEN: How does that sentence, sir, match \\
\hline 5 (Exhibit 115-S, document S.1, was introduced.) & 5 with your testimony about the playground areas? \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Are you seeing & 6 MR. WOLFORD: At the time that that -- and I \\
\hline 7 it yet? & 7 don't know where in the process the storm water concept \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: No. & 8 letter was. I don't know if this is one of the earlier \\
\hline 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Can you & 9 reviews or if this is later in the stage, or if this is the \\
\hline 10 see it now? & 10 final approval letter. I don't think it is because it says \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: Yes, thank you very much. & 11 it was denied, or unacceptable at that point in time. So I \\
\hline 12 Sir, you want to -- have you seen this document & 12 have no idea where this was in the process because I wasn \\
\hline 13 before today? & 13 the engineer that did the project or testified about this. \\
\hline 14 MR. WOLFORD: Probably. But I have not read it & 14 My point is that at the point that this was done, \\
\hline 15 and refresh my memory on it for quite a bit. & 15 there were details about the play area including the gravel \\
\hline 16 Mr. CHEN: Okay. Why don't you take a minute to & 16 area, the natural irrigated turf, and the type of synthetic \\
\hline 17 read it to refresh your recollection? & 17 turf that were going to be used that were not known to the \\
\hline 18 MR. WOLFORD: Okay. & 18 engineer and were not known to DPS. \\
\hline 19 MR. CHEN: Have you read it, sir? & 19 MR. CHEN: Yet so -- \\
\hline 20 MR. WOLFORD: Yes. & 20 MR. WOLFORD: So that's why they made that \\
\hline 21 MR. CHEN: In this document is from the Counties & 21 statement. \\
\hline 22 Department of Permitting Services; is that correct? & 22 MR. CHEN: Well, okay. Has your client provided \\
\hline 23 MR. WOLFORD: Yes. & 23 any update to this statement? \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: And it's May 31, 2019? & 24 MR. WOLFORD: It's not appropriate that we would \\
\hline 25 MR. WOLFORD: Correct. & 25 do that at this point in time. We have an approved storm \\
\hline 170 & 172 \\
\hline MR. CHEN: And it does address impervious & 1 water concept which is what is a requirement of the Planning \\
\hline 2 surface; isn't that right? & 2 Board to go to this stage to ask for approval of conditional \\
\hline 3 MR. WOLFORD: Correct. & 3 use. If we are successful here, the next step we would do \\
\hline 4 MR. CHEN: And can you read the second sentence & 4 would be to go to technical plans with DPS and then get into \\
\hline 5 in the paragraph number 1 ? & 5 it with them on the details and specifics of all of the \\
\hline 6 MR. WOLFORD: "A downstream storm drain & 6 types of drainage and underdrain impervious surface, \\
\hline 7 analysis" -- & 7 impervious surface and groundwater recharge. \\
\hline 8 MR. CHEN: No, it's the second sentence in & 8 MR. CHEN: But we are, at this point, before the \\
\hline 9 paragraph 1. & 9 Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings on the \\
\hline 10 MR. WOLFORD: Oh, I'm sorry. & 10 application for the conditional use; isn't that correct? \\
\hline 11 MR. CHEN: No problem. & 11 MR. WOLFORD: That's correct. And we have an \\
\hline 12 MR. WOLFORD: "The storm water management concept & 12 approved storm water concept plan. \\
\hline 13 proposes to meet required stormwater management goals via & 13 MR. CHEN: And this document, this Exhibit 115-S, \\
\hline 14 three microbio retention facilities." Is that correct? & 14 document S .1 is the latest information that's contained in \\
\hline 15 MR. CHEN: I apologize, sir. There is a & 15 the record on the application? \\
\hline 16 paragraph 1. Do you see that in that letter -- is in the & 16 MR. WOLFORD: I'd have to take your word for it. \\
\hline 17 middle -- & 17 I know that the storm water concept plan is approved, but \\
\hline 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Number. Number & 18 this doesn't look like an approval letter. \\
\hline 191. & 19 MR. CHEN: Okay. And now, the storm water \\
\hline 20 Mr. WOLFORD: Oh. Okay. I thought he meant the & 20 concept plan, you keep coming back to that. Is that an \\
\hline 21 first paragraph. I'm sorry & 21 exhibit in this proceeding? \\
\hline 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: I know. I did & 22 MR. WOLFORD: I don't know. Mr. Entriago was the \\
\hline 23 too. & 23 engineer that testified, and you have an engineer that \\
\hline 24 MR. CHEN: My fault. Could you read the second & 24 testified, and you had an engineer that had opposition \\
\hline 25 sentence is there, sir? & 25 testimony to it. You'd have to check with either of them. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

MR. CHEN: And is that plan, assuming it's in the record, do you know whether that plan addresses impermeable space and the calculation for impermeable space?

MR. WOLFORD: I don't think that it does because at the point where that was done, we did not have the specifics of the amount of turf area, gravel area, or the type of material in the subgrade under the play surface area.

MR. CHEN: Oh --
MR. WOLFORD: Those are all technical portions of the approval process that come out later in the process.

MR. CHEN: Oh, you mean they're inappropriate for consideration by the hearing examiner?

MR. WOLFORD: No. What is appropriate for consideration by the hearing examiner is that there is a storm water concept plan approved. And at that point in time, the specifics of the design, detailed design, that come out if we get to the next stage in the process will be dealt with by DPS.

MR. CHEN: Are you aware of the provisions in the
Upper Rock Creek Master Plan that addresses impermeable space?

MR. WOLFORD: I am
MR. CHEN: Okay. And accordingly, you would agree, therefore, that the calculation consideration of
impermeable area is a front for consideration of the hearing examiner in conjunction with compliance with the master plan; isn't that right?

MR. WOLFORD: That's correct.
MR. CHEN: And in this particular case, again limiting ourselves to the record as it exists today, do we have any information relative to the calculation of impermeable area that is more recent than Exhibit 115-S, document S.1?

MR. WOLFORD: You have the testimony and documents that were put into the record by Mr. Entriago, the engineer on the project.

MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, if I may just shift back for a moment. Are you aware of a conditional use for an organization called the Taiwan Cultural Center which is located at 7509 Needwood Road?
17 MR. WOLFORD: I know that they are there, and I 18 know that there is a conditional use. I have not read the opinion of the conditional use, or the testimony that was provided.
MR. CHEN: Okay. So it's not going to make any questions about the content of the hearing examiner's report and decision in that case; is that a fair statement?
MR. WOLFORD: That's a fair statement.
MR. CHEN: I do assume, however, that to the
extent that in that conditional use application that if the hearing examiner determined -- let me strike that.

The Taiwanese Cultural Center is right down the
road from the subject site; isn't that right, sir? It's
down at the --
MR. WOLFORD: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay.
MR. WOLFORD: The intersection of Needwood Road and Redland Road, correct.

MR. CHEN: Very close, would you agree with that?
MR. WOLFORD: Yes.
MR. CHEN: Okay. Now, I assume that if the
hearing examiner in that case and made a determination that
the neighborhood area was a large lot, low density
residential area, I assume you would disagree with that conclusion?

MR. WOLFORD: I'm not having been involved with the case or read the case, based upon you saying that I would have to disagree with it.

MR. CHEN: Okay. I don't have any further questions.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right.
Redirect, Mr. Kline?
MR. KLINE: Mr. Wolford, what is the agreement
that was referenced by both you and Mr. Chen that follows
the conceptual storm water management plan that will
hopefully allow for reconstruction of the storm drainage
system? What is that document?
MR. WOLFORD: There's a storm water concept
approved, and part of that storm water concept shows the
reconstruction of the storm drain out through the abutting neighborhood to the south to Crabbs Branch.

MR. KLINE: Well, I guess the question I'm asking is is there actually a document, contract, or is it just nothing more than submissions and plans approved by DPS?

MR. WOLFORD: It's calculations and plans,
drainage area maps, hydrology, and hydraulic calculations,
and then profiles of the storm drain system that were
submitted to DPS, reviewed, comments addressed, and then
approved.
MR. KLINE: I have no further questions of Mr .
Wolford.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: All right.
Anything else, Mr. Kline?
MR. KLINE: No, ma'am.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Wolford. You may be excused.

MR. WOLFORD: Thank you so much. Have a great day, everybody.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Same to you.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 177 & 179 \\
\hline 1 All right. Mr. Kline, and Mr. Chen, how -- I & 1 memory is better. \\
\hline 2 guess the question is, this is a lot of material to do & 2 Does the 13th work for you, Mr. Kline? \\
\hline 3 closing statements today. How do you want to proceed? & 3 MR. KLINE: I do have DRC at 9:30, and thinking I \\
\hline 4 MR. KLINE: Well, thanks for letting me go first, & 4 was going to be open; I made an appointment to see a \\
\hline 5 Mr. Chen. & 5 urologist that day also. That can be canceled, obviously. \\
\hline 6 I have consistently said there's eight -- this is & 6 But I can't get out of the DRC at 9:30. \\
\hline 710 days. So I've consistently said I'd like a little bit & 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, I don't \\
\hline 8 time to be able to do a cogent presentation for closing & 8 want to -- I could to 1:00 on the 13th and that would -- \\
\hline 9 argument. Thinking that you were quite interested in trying & 9 MR. KLINE: Yep. And actually that's when my \\
\hline 10 to get rid of this today, I came in early this morning, and & 10 appointment occurs so -- the DRC is never long. So if -- I \\
\hline 11 over lunch hour kind of pare down my closing argument. In & 11 should be out of that by 10:30. So anywhere between 10:30 \\
\hline 12 an ideal world, I would ask maybe if you could save Mr. & 12 and 1:00? \\
\hline 13 Chen, Mr. Kline, I'll give you each 45 minutes and let sit & 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Well, what I'm \\
\hline 14 down and do this next Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday, & 14 hearing is you need two hours, right? \\
\hline 15 whatever and do it then. Because I -- this case seems to & 15 MR. KLINE: Yep. I don't -- I wouldn't have \\
\hline 16 have worn me out so that every time we finish at 4 o'clock & 16 asked you for more than an hour. I would've said 45, and \\
\hline 17 at the end of the day I'm just really worn out. I just & 17 maybe a little bit of wrap up at the end also. But yes, I \\
\hline 18 don't think I do my client as a good a job as I would like & 18 can do my part within an hour. \\
\hline 19 to do with a little bit more time. & 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: How are you on \\
\hline 20 MR. CHEN: I don't disagree with Mr. Kline. & 20 the -- wait a minute, I'm just trying to figure out what's \\
\hline 21 think probably 45 minutes even on his side is awfully short. & 21 on my calendar here. Okay. So you're thinking 11:00? \\
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\hline 24 is that if you let it go too long and wait for the & 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON HANAN: Okay. Let's do \\
\hline 25 transcript read six dates of hearings. While it's in your & 25 that. And does that give you enough time, Mr. Kline, to get \\
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\hline 102:15, 102:20, & 148:18, 149:3, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 179: 6, \quad 181: 16 \\
& \text { canby }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 46: 16, ~ 88: 1 \\
& \text { carnegie }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 105:5, 106:5, & 176:11, 176:12 & \[
2: 4
\] & \[
13: 5,13: 6,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 106: 13, \quad 106: 22, \\
& 107: 5, \quad 116: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & calculator
\[
29: 23
\] & canceled & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 13: 5, \quad 13: 6, \\
& 34: 10, \quad 34: 15,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline collect & 166:18 & concerns & connected \\
\hline 50:2 & competitive & 34:24, 72:16 & 105:12, 123:19 \\
\hline colored & 71:2 & concluded & connection \\
\hline 96:12 & complained & 182:1 & 75:2, 123:21 \\
\hline column & 74:10 & concludes & connector \\
\hline 11:19, 24:13 & complete & 165:25 & 136:7 \\
\hline combination & 21:1, 43:20, & conclusion & cons \\
\hline 159:13 & 111:16 & 71:10, 175:16 & 135:11 \\
\hline come & completely & concrete & consequence \\
\hline 9:8, 9:18, & 43:12, 110:15, & 147:5 & 122:3 \\
\hline 42:19, 156:13, & 143:5 & condenser & consequently \\
\hline 173:11, 173:18 & compliance & 147:17 & 26:7, 66:22 \\
\hline comes & 93:22, 160:3, & condition & conservation \\
\hline 95:7, 145:23 & 169:1, 174:2 & 71:11, 71:12, & 53:2, 53:5, \\
\hline comfortable & complicated & 72:5, 132:1 & 53:6, 53:9, 63:9 \\
\hline 51:12, 83:17 & 39:16, 39:24 & conditional & consider \\
\hline coming & comply & 5:3, 53:14, & 7:18, 70:12, \\
\hline 10:5, 51:9, & 88:20, 93:13, & 106:1, 107:23, & 139:18, 148:14 \\
\hline 66:20, 135:8, & 102:2, 112:20, & 132:5, 172:2, & consideration \\
\hline 172:20 & 112:25, 132:22, & 172:10, 174:14, & 20:5, 24:7, \\
\hline comment & 133:21, 134:8 & 174:18, 174:19, & 26:8, 173:13, \\
\hline 24:17, 25:1, & computation & 175:1 & 173:15, 173:25, \\
\hline 73:8, 102:1, & 15:12 & conditions & 174:1 \\
\hline 126:21 & compute & 25:19, 26:13 & considerations \\
\hline comments & 30:24 & conducive & \[
21: 13
\] \\
\hline 27:7, 133:6, & computer & 147:23 & considered \\
\hline 135:13, 176:14, & 9:21 & conduct & 19:4, 27:14, \\
\hline 177:22 & computes & 50:2, 50:8 & 61:16, 138:24, \\
\hline commission & 12:3 & conducted & 164:23, 171:2 \\
\hline 25:2, 102:14, & concept & 1:9 & consistent \\
\hline 135:18 & 148:1, 148:10, & cone & 47:23 \\
\hline committee & 148:15, 168:3, & 57:18 & consistently \\
\hline 179:23, 180:9 & 170:12, 171:7, & cones & 177:6, 177:7 \\
\hline common & 172:1, 172:12, & 55:17, 62:11 & constantly \\
\hline 76:23, 77:23 & \[
172: 17,172: 20,
\] & configuration & 46:5 \\
\hline communities & \[
173: 16, \quad 176: 4,
\] & 152:17 & constitutes \\
\hline 136:14 & 176:5 & confirm & 51:4 \\
\hline community & conceptual & 126:24 & construct \\
\hline 166:16, 166:17 & 176:1 & confirms & 127:19, 132:4, \\
\hline company & concern & 166:8 & 167:12 \\
\hline 37:23 & 27:1, 53:17, & conflicts & constructed \\
\hline compare & 64:9, 69:11, & \[
89: 22
\] & 101:23, 132:6, \\
\hline \[
98: 2
\] & 70:13, 74:17, & conformance & \[
138: 1,146: 1,
\] \\
\hline compared & 99:4 & 88:12 & \[
167: 18
\] \\
\hline 23:18, 97:16, & concerned & congested & construction \\
\hline 99:6 & 61:8, 69:4, & 38:18, 45:11 & 63:10, 64:6, \\
\hline compatible & 155:4 & conjunction & 64:19, 65:4, \\
\hline 147:23, 166:16, & concerning
\[
35: 2
\] & 53:13, 174:2 & 65:22, 66:11, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 67:20, 67:21, & conviviality & 31:9, 42:15, & credentialed \\
\hline 69:5, 69:13, & 181:25 & 43:1, 61:14, & 37:19, 46:9 \\
\hline 69:21, 69:22, & cook's & 72:9, 86:7, & credentials \\
\hline 69:24, 70:2, & 51:4 & 88:21, 93:15, & 73:3 \\
\hline 70:5, 70:7, & cooling & 93:22, 102:4, & credibility \\
\hline 71:10, 127:22, & 146:13 & 108:12, 132:10, & 133:7 \\
\hline 133:23 & coordinate & 147:2, 147:25, & creek \\
\hline consume & 88:4, 88:8, & 148:3, 148:11, & 132:7, 134:14, \\
\hline 70:21 & 88:17, 90:15 & 162:15, 164:7, & 134:16, 141:25, \\
\hline contained & coordinated & 167:24, 168:5, & 143:4, 143:14, \\
\hline 19:23, 31:24, & 89:7, 90:18, & 168:20, 168:25 & 155:18, 155:23, \\
\hline 50:12, 100:4, & 91:2, 125:1 & couple & 157:11, 159:5, \\
\hline 145:21, 172:14 & copies & 25:14, 46:15, & 159:19, 166:5, \\
\hline contend & 117:5 & 47:15, 48:19, & 166:7, 173:21 \\
\hline 127:7 & copy & 58:16, 89:2, & criteria \\
\hline content & 5:11, 5:15, & 141:14, 156:25 & 21:24, 22:9 \\
\hline \[
174: 22
\] & 6:24, 54:21 & course & critical \\
\hline context & core & \[
20: 16, \quad 89: 4
\] & 61:15, 61:19, \\
\hline 41:19, 113:7 & 39:2 & 119:15, 159:24, & 62:6, 62:14, \\
\hline contiguous & corner & 165:5 & 62:16, 69:5, \\
\hline 161:24 & 27:24, 136:10, & court & 69:10 \\
\hline continuation & 136:12, 158:15 & 4:10, 4:17, & critique \\
\hline 5:1, 142:11 & corrected & 33:23, 33:24, & 86:1 \\
\hline continue & 14:19, 36:17, & 52:20, 102:25, & cross \\
\hline 9:20, 17:23, & \[
44: 7,44: 12
\] & 141:1, 181:4, & 25:12, 35:20, \\
\hline 51:7, 60:22, & \[
105: 24
\] & 181:6, 181:8, & \[
41: 9,46: 12
\] \\
\hline 75:23, 76:11, & correctly & 181:11, 181:13 & \[
47: 8,47: 9,
\] \\
\hline 77:21, 91:8, & 16:15, 144:11 & cover
\[
89: 12,125: 21
\] & \[
154: 5
\] \\
\hline \[
97: 11
\] & couldn't & 89:12, 125:21 coverage & cross-examination \\
\hline continued
\(30: 22\) & 40:12, 81:13 & \[
76: 18
\] & 104:4, 154:20 \\
\hline continues & counsel & crabbs & cross-examine
\[
129: 3,129: 6,
\] \\
\hline 165:24 & count & 157:18, 158:16, & \[
129: 22
\] \\
\hline contours & \[
35: 4, \quad 50: 2,
\] & 159:19, 176:7 & cross-section \\
\hline 115:16 & \[
50: 7, \quad 144: 10
\] & crabs & 20:17 \\
\hline contract & counted & \[
143: 8
\] & crosstalk \\
\hline 176:9 & 28:10, 146:18, & create & 97:8, 103:22, \\
\hline contradict & 149:10, 149:11 & 28:23, 81:25, & 118:3, 122:16, \\
\hline 155:5, 155:7 & counties & 147:5 & 126:10, 126:13, \\
\hline contradicts & \[
169: 21
\] & created
\[
96: 19, \quad 132: 1
\] & \[
131: 13,139: 10 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline 155:1 & country & \begin{tabular}{l}
96:19, 132:1 \\
creates
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 140: 18, \quad 141: 19, \\
& 148: 4
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline control & \[
71: 20
\] &  & \[
148: 4
\] \\
\hline \[
45: 9,64: 24
\]
\[
67: 18,81: 10
\] & counts & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 136:11 } \\
& \text { creating }
\end{aligned}
\] & crown \\
\hline 67:18, 81:10 conversation & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 12: 21, \quad 50: 1, \\
& 50: 8, \quad 50: 9,
\end{aligned}
\] & creating
\[
82: 20,118: 13,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
70: 11, & 70: 17, \\
70: 23, & 119: 17
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 12:8 & \[
50: 11
\] & 130:21 & crowns \\
\hline convinced & county & credential & 89:12 \\
\hline 87:6 & 1:2, 2:18, & 129:19 & cs \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
94:18, 95:7,
95:9, 95:13,
96:5, 163:6
cu
1:5, 5:2, 115:3
cueing
30:13
cul-de
138:7
cul-de-sac
140:24, 141:5
cul-de-sacs
138:20
cultural
34:23, 34:25,
174:15, 175:3
cup
137:12
cup-6
116:11
cup4
150:1
curb
16:17, 29:22
curbing
65:14, 65:16,
98:20, 105:8
current
11:1, 108:25
currently
47:19, 167:4
cursor
139:12
curve
19:14, 20:4,
20:22, 39:21,
39:22, 40:17
cut
90:11, 94:2
cutting
49:11
```

| D |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{d}$ |
| $56: 12, \quad 56: 14$, |
| $57: 3,58: 20$, |
| $60: 11, \quad 61: 5$, |
| $74: 6, \quad 75: 11$, |\&  \& ``

122:4
days
152:25, 177:7
deal
42:8
dealing
5:24, 21:14,
40:19, 83:19,
117:22, 143:11,
143:16, 158:7
deals
16:25
dealt
24:25, 173:19
decent
145:19
decide
21:6
decision
22:17, 29:8,
153:20, 174:23
decreases
95:11
dedicated
23:20, 25:20
deemed
54:4, 166:11
deep
152:9
deer
138:17, 140:25
default
82:14, 83:1
defend
30:23
defer
9:12
defined
54:1, 76:19,
161:8, 164:22,
165:7
definitely
27:25, 80:22,
110:16
degree
98:8, 98:10,
98:12, 98:14
degrees
96:20

``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
delay \\
14:16, 14:21, \\
15:9, 15:12, \\
15:17, 15:18, \\
15:20, 15:22, \\
15:24, 31:22, \\
38:11, 39:8, \\
45:22, 60:23 \\
delays \\
38:19 \\
deleterious \\
61:9, 130:19 \\
delivered \\
79:7 \\
demand \\
15:16, 70:21 \\
demonstrate \\
77:6 \\
demonstrated \\
37:21, 72:25, \\
73: 6 \\
denied \\
171:11 \\
dense
\[
82: 1
\] \\
density
\[
82: 22,142: 6
\]
\[
143: 10,162: 19,
\]
\[
166: 16, \quad 175: 14
\] \\
department
\[
148: 12,168: 4
\]
\[
169: 22
\] \\
departments
\[
63: 8
\] \\
depending
\[
82: 12,82: 16,
\]
\[
82: 19,112: 22
\] \\
depends \\
79:6 \\
depicted \\
108:4 \\
depiction \\
39:7, 74:5, \\
107:17 \\
depth \\
7:24 \\
describe \\
74:20, 77:11
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline described & detecting & device & 89:4 \\
\hline 127:6 & 8:16 & 4:13 & direct \\
\hline describing & determination & diagonal & 98:16, 99:1, \\
\hline 89:25 & 12:18, 43:14, & 57:23, 76:7 & 99:4, 99:14, \\
\hline description & 92:20, 175:13 & dialogue & 99:15, 101:23, \\
\hline 124:15 & determine & 87:8 & 109:6, 109:7, \\
\hline deserves & 24:18, 25:4, & diameter & 122:6, 129:7, \\
\hline 76:11, 92:21, & 31:22, 50:6, & 53:25 & 151:10, 152:2 \\
\hline 134:4 & 61:11, 62:5, & differ & directing \\
\hline design & 72:10, 89:21 & 155:24 & 166:1 \\
\hline 13:3, 26:11, & determined & difference & direction \\
\hline 27:6, 27:19, & 12:1, 27:13, & 32:2, 42:23, & 100:12, 127:17, \\
\hline 29:10, 42:11, & 72:6, 102:10, & 44:2, 45:16, & 178:4 \\
\hline 48:5, 88:10, & 102:17, 135:17, & 45:20, 147:18, & directly \\
\hline 89:16, 89:19, & 135:21, 175:2 & 156:1, 158:13, & 138:25 \\
\hline 90:2, 96:14, & determines & 159:18, 164:12, & disagree \\
\hline 102:7, 112:12, & 159:17 & 164:13 & 22:12, 102:18, \\
\hline 113:3, 123:20, & determining & different & 105:14, 105:17, \\
\hline 123:23, 149:9, & 19:24 & 17:10, 18:4, & 125:15, 125:20, \\
\hline 149:11, 153:7, & detracting & 18:14, 19:12, & 125:23, 127:4, \\
\hline 153:19, 166:17, & 73:2 & 19:22, 20:4, & 160:12, 160:14, \\
\hline 166:19, 173:17, & detrimental & 22:9, 27:5, & 175:15, 175:19, \\
\hline 180:9 & 113:13 & 31:1, 38:23, & 177:20 \\
\hline designated & develop & 40:21, 42:15, & disagreed \\
\hline 142:3 & 20:14, 29:11, & 42:22, 42:25, & 25:8, 162:21 \\
\hline designation & 69:12, 69:24 & 43:12, 90:16, & disagreement \\
\hline 58:6 & developed & 125:10, 127:16, & 104:10, 154:12 \\
\hline designed & 99:11, 117:20, & 143:5, 153:18, & disciplines \\
\hline 146:9, 147:1 & 118:14, 138:18, & 158:20, 160:16, & 90:16 \\
\hline designs & 140:21, 157:19, & 160:24 & disclosed \\
\hline 91:3 & 162:14 & difficult & 84:16, 85:11 \\
\hline desirable & developer & 21:11, 34:17, & discriminate \\
\hline 41:23 & \[
168: 7
\] & 108:5 & 104:12 \\
\hline desire & developers & difficulties & discuss \\
\hline \[
72: 10
\] & \[
132: 11
\] & \[
25: 24
\] & 16:24, 63:25, \\
\hline desired & development & diffused & 69:19 \\
\hline 108:11, 120:4 & 62:12, 62:17, & 99:1 & discussed \\
\hline detached & 73:5, 90:16, & dimension & 77:24, 105:11 \\
\hline 102:9, 105:2, & 125:4, 125:6, & 13:20, 97:20 & discussing \\
\hline 138:3, 138:11, & 127:25, 128:7, & dimensional & 37:11, 45:19 \\
\hline 165:3 & 128:8, 137:24, & 76:8 & discussion \\
\hline detail & 140:24, 141:9, & dimensions & 23:18, 39:11 \\
\hline \[
152: 5
\] & 141:11, 142:6, & 97:3, 97:15 & discussions \\
\hline detailed & 143:10, 159:12, & dire & 109:13 \\
\hline 26:10, 69:9, & 166:18, 167:18, & \[
83: 23,83: 25,
\] & diseased \\
\hline 173:17 & \[
168: 2,179: 23
\] & \[
84: 18,85: 13,
\] & 70:14 \\
\hline details & developments & 85:17, 87:5, & disparity \\
\hline 171:15, 172:5 & 11:9 & 87:7, 88:23, & 144:2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline disposal & 19:25, 20:1, & 148:15, 152:18, & 128:1, 129:8, \\
\hline 31:19 & 20:9, 41:24, & 171:14, 173:5 & 129:11, 129:24, \\
\hline dispute & 42:24, 44:3, & door & 130:3, 131:4, \\
\hline 154:21 & 44:21, 46:3, & 61:1, 101:24, & 132:2, 133:23, \\
\hline disputed & 51:20, 131:10 & 111:16 & 167:8, 170:6, \\
\hline 150:25, 151:17 & doctor's & double & 176:6, 176:13 \\
\hline disputing & 180:2 & 11:8, 83:4, & drainage \\
\hline 168:15 & document & 104:14, 104:20, & 143:4, 158:21, \\
\hline distance & 3:14, 3:15, & 120:7, 120:10, & 167:4, 172:6, \\
\hline 16:2, 23:21, & 3:16, 3:17, & 120:15 & 176:2, 176:12 \\
\hline 26:19, 28:7, & 41:22, 46:6, & down & dramatically \\
\hline 28:14, 32:18, & 74:5, 74:25, & 31:6, 44:20, & 108:13 \\
\hline 47:22, 98:17, & 75:2, 75:5, & 45:17, 56:17, & draw \\
\hline 98:19, 98:25, & 75:7, 75:16, & 58:21, 58:22, & 93:5, 98:10 \\
\hline 110:25, 136:15, & 96:4, 96:15, & 64:22, 96:10, & drawing \\
\hline 136:25 & 97:10, 115:1, & 96:13, 100:25, & 76:13, 76:22, \\
\hline distances & 115:2, 127:10, & 120:20, 120:23, & 77:9, 108:3 \\
\hline 97:14 & 162:23, 164:5, & 146:2, 152:16, & drawn \\
\hline distinguishing & 169:4, 169:5, & 175:3, 175:5, & \[
62: 8
\] \\
\hline 142:15, 142:25 & \[
169: 12,169: 21,
\] & 177:11, 177:14 & drc \\
\hline distribute & 172:13, 172:14, & downstream & \[
179: 3,179: 6,
\] \\
\hline 112:4, 112:13 & 174:9, 176:3, & \[
170: 6
\] & 179:10 \\
\hline distributed & \[
176: 9
\] & downward & drive \\
\hline 39:18 & documentation & \[
110: 24
\] & 52:23, 65:15, \\
\hline distribution & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 22: 13 \\
& \text { documenting }
\end{aligned}
\] & dps & 153:2 \\
\hline 39:21, 40:1, & documenting & 168:4, 171:18, & driven \\
\hline \[
40: 2, \quad 40: 16,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 22: 17 \\
& \text { documents }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
172: 4,173: 19,
\] & \[
150: 12
\] \\
\hline 40:21, 42:11, & \begin{tabular}{l}
documents \\
87:22, 90:2,
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 176: 10,176: 14 \\
& d r
\end{aligned}
\] & drives \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
114: 6, \quad 124: 22
\] \\
disturbance
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
87: 22, & 90: 2, \\
97: 14, & 97: 17,
\end{array}
\] & dr & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 152:7, } 153: 1 \\
& \text { driveway }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 61:15, 62:5, & 99:25, 100:22, & 16:18, 17:2, & 16:4, 28:13, \\
\hline 62:24, 63:17, & 174:11 & 17:5, 17:8, & 28:16, 67:24, \\
\hline 63:18, 63:21, & doing 42.16, & \(\begin{array}{rrr}17: 9, & 23: 13, \\ 23: 15, & 25: 1,\end{array}\) & 78:7, 98:21, \\
\hline 63:23, 65:7, & 26:11, 42:16, & 23:15, 25:1, & 105:12, 106:13, \\
\hline 65:17, 66:18, & 42:17, 50:5, & \[
35: 20,35: 22,
\] & 152:16 \\
\hline 67:12, 67:24, & 52:3, 68:14, & \[
36: 1,36: 4,
\] & driveways \\
\hline 68:13, 68:17, & \(71: 24, ~ 80: 7, ~\)
\(88: 10, ~ 112: 5\), & \(36: 14,40: 11\), & 13:12, 16:4, \\
\hline 68:20, 72:7, & 88:10, 112:5, & 41:11, 41:12, & 16:7, 28:5, \\
\hline 109:14, 109:15, & 119:6, 127:25, & 41:18, 47:23, & 28:6, 30:10, \\
\hline 111:2 & \[
128: 5,132: 11
\] & \[
51: 4,51: 25,
\] & 34:16, 35:5, \\
\hline disturbing & done & 64:1, 64:17, & \[
35: 9
\] \\
\hline 66:6, 109:15, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
26: 7, & 26: 10, \\
27: 6, & 46: 17
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 69: 2, \quad 86: 17, \\
& 94: 24, \quad 96: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & driving \\
\hline 113:23 diversion & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 27: 6, \quad 46: 17, \\
& 62: 25, \quad 64: 25,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 94: 24, \quad 96: 19, \\
& 107: 20, \quad 109: 8
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
30: 11, & 143: 2, \\
151: 2, & 151: 19,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 83:11 & 66:1, 88:2, & draft & 153:19 \\
\hline dizzy & 89:1, 112:18, & \[
164: 10
\] & dropped \\
\hline \[
74: 10
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
125: 1, & 128: 22, \\
141: 2, & 147: 8,
\end{array}
\] & drain
127:19, 127:23, & \[
120: 17
\] \\
\hline doctor & 141:2, 147:8, & 127:19, 127:23, & dual
\[
45: 10
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline duration & 28:16, 30:11, & eliminate & engineering \\
\hline 67:22, 69:24, & 32:23, 53:24, & 99:21, 101:23, & 29:11, 41:19, \\
\hline 70:7 & 57:21, 98:13, & 110:16, 110:24, & 42:7 \\
\hline during & 110:13, 137:2, & 132:6, 133:24 & engineers \\
\hline 63:13, 63:25, & 137:6, 137:11, & elongated & 18:11 \\
\hline 64:19, 66:11, & 137:24, 138:13, & 152:10 & english \\
\hline 67:20, 67:22, & 138:16, 162:11 & else & 2:9 \\
\hline 69:5, 69:12, & eastbound & 43:22, 46:11, & enhance \\
\hline 69:21, 70:7, & 47:21, 47:25, & 84:23, 109:8, & 82:2 \\
\hline 70:25, 71:6, & 48:17, 48:21 & 153:4, 176:19 & enhanced \\
\hline 71:24, 89:3, & eastern & email & 100:19 \\
\hline 89:16, 90:1, & 55:4, 63:19, & 5:20, 6:1, 6:12 & enjoy \\
\hline 111:12, 159:24, & 65:2, 78:19, & employ & 51:7 \\
\hline \[
160: 8
\] & 80:1, 98:21, & 64:17, 65:9, & enlarge \\
\hline duties & \[
99: 16, \quad 100: 15,
\] & 65:19, 67:20, & 164:17 \\
\hline \[
87: 14
\] & \[
101: 12,108: 6
\] & 71:13, 72:1 & enough \\
\hline E & edge & employed & 6:23, 41:1, \\
\hline each & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 121: 17 \\
& \text { educational }
\end{aligned}
\] & 71:20, 72:11, & 41:6, 180:25 \\
\hline 24:10, 24:13, & educational
178:1 & 183:6 & ensanguine \\
\hline 32:18, 58:15, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 178: 1 \\
& \text { eee }
\end{aligned}
\] & employee
\[
62: 19, \quad 68: 13
\] & \[
178: 11
\] \\
\hline 70:13, 76:17, & \[
162: 23,163: 2
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
62: 19, & 68: 13, \\
68: 15, & 70: 4
\end{array}
\] & ensuing \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 78: 3, \quad 78: 16, \\
& 78: 21, \quad 79: 7,
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
162:23, 163:2 \\
effect
\end{tabular} & 68:15, 70:4 encapsulates & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 155: 14 \\
& \text { ensure }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 89:19, 177:13 & 32:11, 44:8, & 159:15 & 63:2, 101:10, \\
\hline earlier & 61:9, 62:6, & encounter & 111:1, 114:5 \\
\hline 5:25, 8:22, & 113:13 & 39:4 & enter \\
\hline 9:17, 55:14, & effective & encourages & 28:16, 69:1 \\
\hline 84:23, 96:1, & 35:13 & 166:17 & entered \\
\hline 105:11, 113:4, & effects & encroaching & \[
12: 4,42: 10
\] \\
\hline \[
117: 1,122: 6
\] & 88:15 & 118:17 & entering \\
\hline 153:13, 171:8 & effectuating & end & 30:10, 67:24, \\
\hline early & 132:12 & 8:3, 11:20, & 68:14, 68:20 \\
\hline 7:15, 71:24, & eight & 13:15, 13:16, & entertain \\
\hline 121:3, 167:5, & 147:9, 177:6 & 32:18, 42:16, & 75:25 \\
\hline 177:10, 180:3 & either & 98:12, 121:5, & entire \\
\hline earth & 23:23, 27:12, & 138:7, 138:20, & 26:18, 65:9, \\
\hline 65:7 & 79:14, 86:5, & 141:5, 152:17, & 67:20, 68:19, \\
\hline earthmoving & 109:8, 112:15, & 177:17, 179:17 & 77:18, 82:1, \\
\hline 65:4 & 130:14, 135:7, & enforce & 106:25 \\
\hline ease & 172:25 & 111:1 & entitled \\
\hline \[
44: 10,115: 2
\] & elements & engineer & \[
107: 16
\] \\
\hline easiest & 68:3, 91:3 & \[
89: 18, \quad 91: 1,
\] & entitlement \\
\hline 180:12 & elevation & \[
124: 2, \quad 143: 25,
\] & 63:7 \\
\hline easily & 98:4, 115:13, & 149:6, 150:16, & entriago \\
\hline 45:2, 100:17 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
115: 16, & 115: 17, \\
115: 19 . & 115: 21 .
\end{array}
\] & \[
151: 1, \quad 151: 18,
\] & \[
85: 2,152: 5,
\] \\
\hline east & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 115: 19, \quad 115: 21, \\
& 116: 7
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
171: 13, & 171: 18, \\
172: 23 . & 172: 24
\end{array}\right.
\] & \[
172: 22, \quad 174: 11
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{26:4, 26:8,} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 116: 7 \\
& \text { elevations }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 172: 23,172: 24,
\end{aligned}
\] & environment \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
elevations \\
116:14
\end{tabular} & 174:12 & 133:8, 159:14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
environmental
130:19, 130:21,
130:23, 131:3,
132:1, 132:7,
132:9, 132:16,
133:18, 133:20,
133:24, 134:5,
134:7, 141:25,
156:23, 156:24
equals
102:8, 105:1
equation
17:11, 17:12,
18:7, 18:9,
19:8, 19:11,
19:18, 20:20,
21:2, 21:25,
22:5, 22:8,
22:10, 22:19,
22:22, 23:5,
25:7, 51:25
equations
21:11
equipment
65:10, 69:8,
110:18
erosion
132:21
erroneous
119:10
erroneously
31:6
error
40:10
errors
40:6
es
163:3, 163:7
especially
7:4, 103:8,
136:14
esquire
2:3, 2:10
essentially
13:1, 45:19,
47:9, 100:13,
105:9, 118:13,
142:15
``` & ```
establish
125:16, 127:12,
152:12
established
155:10
estate
126:11
estimate
55:18
estimating
26:17
et
88:16, 113:17
evaluate
61:17, 64:7,
69:3, 69:7,
69:10, 70:13
evaluating
89:19
even
7:20, 30:12,
38:15, 38:19,
79:5, 82:19,
90:21, 90:22,
91:11, 93:2,
100:12, 101:19,
112:4, 114:6,
129:17, 130:5,
139:16, 142:9,
147:9, 158:6,
158:10, 177:21,
178:11, 181:5
evening
101:7
evenly
112:14
eventually
68:1, 81:21,
81:22, 83:17
every
19:22, 20:2,
24:21, 45:16,
46:5, 77:18,
78:12, 79:1,
81:20, 107:7,
114:7, 177:16
everybody
18:20, 27:7,
``` & ```
36:25, 176:24
everyone
10:13, 26:20,
63:22, 95:18,
181:14
everything
46:17, 143:3,
157:21
everywhere
147:9
evidence
30:18, 127:6
exact
42:7, 42:12,
121:14, 147:4
exactly
63:23, 83:4,
87:16, 92:2,
149:4, 156:4
exam
47:9
examination
46:13, 47:3
examine
35:20
examined
7:6
examiner's
134:1, 174:22
example
20:23, 20:25,
29:17, 32:6,
40:20, 92:15,
96:7
exceeds
61:15
except
43:10, 141:4
excess
78:1
exchange
7:1
exclusive
14:7, 24:8,
24:14
excuse
4:12, 51:5,
51:6, 74:24,
``` &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 134:17, 141:9, & 144:8 & fact & fault \\
\hline 165:4, 166:16 & explicit & 23:23, 42:24, & 170:24 \\
\hline exists & 166:9 & 62:22, 105:14, & favor \\
\hline 133:24, 167:4, & exposed & 126:24, 127:8, & 64:20 \\
\hline 174:6 & 100:3 & 128:20, 153:2, & feature \\
\hline exiting & express & 153:7, 160:15 & 74:15, 78:10, \\
\hline 28:13, 28:15 & 84:15, 84:21 & factor & 100:21 \\
\hline expect & expressing & 14:20, 15:2, & features \\
\hline 103:18 & 53:17 & 16:20, 17:7, & 74:21, 82:5, \\
\hline expecting & extend & 18:1, 19:2, & 99:20, 123:7, \\
\hline 7:19 & 48:17, 67:7, & 42:9, 43:11, & 143:1 \\
\hline experience & 81:16 & 43:13, 44:6, & feedback \\
\hline 37:21, 83:16, & extended & 44:7, 45:1 & 4:9, 4:11, \\
\hline 83:20 & 98:5 & factors & 21:16 \\
\hline experienced & extending & 19:4, 136:5, & feel \\
\hline 103:5 & 38:8 & \[
136: 18,136: 23,
\] & \[
46: 22,50: 22 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline experiences & extension & 142:16, 142:25, & 83:17, 141:24 \\
\hline 177:25 & 49:19, 50:16 & 151:8, 151:25, & feels \\
\hline experiencing & extensive & 153:2, 161:3, & 41:12, 42:24 \\
\hline 177:23 & 123:12 & 161:7, 161:8, & feet \\
\hline expert & extent & 161:12, 161:13 & 10:23, 12:21, \\
\hline 84:4, 84:14, & 63:2, 175:1 & factual & 12:22, 13:17, \\
\hline 84:20, 85:8, & extra & 93:8 & 13:19, 20:2, \\
\hline 85:9, 90:4, & 26:23, 45:21, & failed & 20:4, 20:24, \\
\hline 90:5, 91:10, & 65:9 & 102:2 & 20:25, 21:3, \\
\hline 91:12, 92:19, & extreme & fair & 25:16, 26:17, \\
\hline 92:22, 93:6, & 158:15 & 115:6, 115:9, & 26:19, 28:2, \\
\hline 113:5, 130:23, & extremely & 174:23, 174:24 & 28:8, 28:13, \\
\hline 134:5 & 61:20, 71:24, & fall & 28:22, 29:15, \\
\hline expertise & 87:22 & 120:23 & 29:25, 36:25, \\
\hline 85:5, 87:12, & eye & familiar & 37:1, 40:23, \\
\hline 94:7, 134:5, & 109:16 & 87:22, 95:22, & 41:3, 44:1, \\
\hline 143:23 & eyes & 124:17, 153:15 & 44:2, 44:3, \\
\hline experts & 115:3 & familiarity & 44:10, 44:14, \\
\hline 91:24 & F & 124:15 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
44: 15, & 44: 25, \\
48: 19, & 48: 24
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline explain
\(10: 22,25: 2\), & facie & 165:3 & 48:25, 54:1, \\
\hline 61:10, 83:20, & 83:13 & fantastic & \(77: 17,77: 19\), \\
\hline 83:21, 130:2, & facilitate & 156:11 & 78:1, 78:3, \\
\hline 132:14, 133:7, & \begin{tabular}{l}
146:10 \\
facilities
\end{tabular} & far & 78:11, 78:12, \\
\hline 133:22, 134:13, &  & 8:3, 26:8, & 78:13, 78:14, \\
\hline 141:23, 143:17, & facility & \[
47: 25, \quad 69: 16,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 78: 18, \quad 78: 20, \\
& 79: 1, \quad 79: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \(144: 24,145: 13\),
\(146: 23,150: 13\), & \[
147: 7,167: 5,
\] & \[
\text { 75:16, } 117: 22,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
79: 1, & 79: 2, \\
79: 4, & 80: 18,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \(146: 23,150: 13\),
\(151: 7,151: 24\) & \[
167: 16,167: 20,
\] & 133:20, 155:3
fascinating & \[
80: 19,80: 20,
\] \\
\hline explaining & 167:25, 168:2, & 178:2 & 81:19, 81:20, \\
\hline 125:10 & 168:7, 168:17, & fashion & 82:18, 82:19, \\
\hline explanation & 168:21 & 99:15 & 96:25, 97:1, \\
\hline 144:1, 144:7, & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fire } \\
& 59: 17, \quad 59: 22, \\
& 60: 6, \quad 60: 19 \\
& \text { firm } \\
& 29: 11, \quad 88: 5 \\
& \text { firms } \\
& 91: 2 \\
& \text { first } \\
& 4: 6, \quad 10: 17, \\
& 23: 16, \quad 24: 13, \\
& 24: 23, \quad 65: 1, \\
& 65: 3, \quad 65: 23, \\
& 66: 3, \quad 66: 10, \\
& 69: 6, \quad 69: 14, \\
& 69: 21, \quad 78: 11, \\
& 84: 3, \quad 86: 9, \\
& 100: 7, \quad 136: 5, \\
& 139: 11, \quad 143: 21, \\
& 144: 12, \quad 147: 1, \\
& 170: 21, \quad 177: 4 \\
& \text { fit } \\
& 22: 1, \quad 22: 4, \\
& 22: 22, \quad 141: 23 \\
& \text { fits } \\
& 22: 8, \quad 141: 24 \\
& \text { fitted } \\
& 19: 8, \quad 19: 11, \\
& 19: 14, \quad 20: 4 \\
& \text { five } \\
& 94: 11, \quad 94: 18, \\
& 95: 5, \quad 95: 6, \\
& 95: 7, \quad 95: 11, \\
& 95: 12, \quad 95: 13, \\
& 96: 4, \quad 97: 10, \\
& 139: 24, \quad 140: 1, \\
& 140: 16, \quad 156: 13, \\
& 159: 15 \\
& \text { five-minute } \\
& 52: 4, \quad 52: 8 \\
& \text { fix } \\
& 132: 11 \\
& \text { fixes } \\
& 132: 21 \\
& \text { fixture } \\
& 96: 16, \quad 100: 14 \\
& \text { fixtures } \\
& 85: 22, \quad 86: 14, \\
& 88: 6, \quad 88: 14, \\
& \text { lis }
\end{aligned}
\] & ```
114:10
flagged
63:22
flat
100:1, 110:19
flattening
27:23, 49:11
flexes
100:24
flexible
180:7
flip
157:13
floated
178:14
flow
28:20, 140:13
flows
143:7
focus
142:17, 142:23,
155:18
focusing
110:24, 119:10
follow-up
62:20
followed
160:10
following
133:6
follows
175:25
foot
65:20, 69:17,
82:23, 98:16,
98:19, 112:9,
112:11, 147:10
footage
107:4, 138:4,
138:21
footnote
22:21
forced
39:19
forcing
45:25
foregoing
183:2
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline forest & 149:4, 152:12, & gaussian & 92:15, 92:20, \\
\hline 53:1, 53:5, & 152:13, 152:15, & 40:2 & 134:3, 134:20, \\
\hline 53:6, 53:9, & 153:8, 163:17, & gave & 177:13, 180:25 \\
\hline 54:4, 63:9 & 174:1 & 7:11 & given \\
\hline forget & full & general & 26:19, 39:6, \\
\hline 126:25 & 13:15, 13:17, & 61:13, 78:20, & 41:14, 43:3, \\
\hline forgive & 13:21, 143:25 & 158:14, 164:6, & 47:18, 47:22 \\
\hline 116:1 & fullwidth & 165:5, 165:9 & gives \\
\hline formal & 44:23 & generally & 11:11 \\
\hline 27:15 & function & 19:2, 78:17, & giving \\
\hline formula & 65:25 & 79:3, 79:7, & 20:24 \\
\hline 42:10, 44:3 & functional & 115:16 & glad \\
\hline formulas & 164:22 & generate & 51:23, 85:7, \\
\hline 19:5 & funded & 20:3, 21:3 & 85:18, 132:24, \\
\hline formulation & 128:14 & generated & 178:6 \\
\hline 11:14 & funding & 11:21, 25:6 & gladly \\
\hline forth & 124:16, 125:4, & generation & 81:10 \\
\hline 88:20, 89:18 & 125:5, 126:16, & 16:25, 17:6, & glare \\
\hline forward & 126:20, 127:5, & 19:20, 22:16, & 86:3, 86:9, \\
\hline 27:25, 33:22, & 127:13, 127:20, & 24:19, 25:4, & 86:24, 96:5, \\
\hline 130:17 & 127:22, 128:7 & 43:13 & 96:22, 98:7, \\
\hline found & funds & generators & 98:16, 99:1, \\
\hline 20:21, 26:13, & 128:8 & 32:19, 33:3, & 99:4, 99:5, \\
\hline 56:9, 146:6, & further & 33:5 & 99:21, 99:22, \\
\hline 178:23 & 25:10, 26:4, & gentleman & 101:23, 109:9, \\
\hline foundation & 26:5, 26:22, & 30:12, 72:24, & 109:10, 109:15, \\
\hline 125:17 & 27:4, 33:6, & 73:6, 75:1, & 110:4, 114:2 \\
\hline four & 35:17, 40:8, & 75:14, 84:13, & glass \\
\hline 54:15, 54:16, & 41:7, 47:6, & 84:20, 91:11, & 100:2 \\
\hline 56:19, 56:20, & 47:21, 48:17, & 93:21, 125:8, & glasses \\
\hline 56:21, 56:24, & 48:21, 58:16, & 129:18 & 59:7 \\
\hline 58:20, 73:13, & 66:8, 96:13, & gentleman's & glenn \\
\hline 73:16, 95:4, & 99:15, 100:18, & 73:3 & 3:3 \\
\hline 117:8, 118:24 & 101:8, 101:10, & gesticulations & goal \\
\hline frankly & 102:22, 111:4, & 128:16 & 44:21, 45:17 \\
\hline 9:12, 84:16 & 111:5, 114:15, & getting & goals \\
\hline freeway & 118:19, 123:25, & 4:9, 4:11, & 132:12, 134:14, \\
\hline 136:7 & \(124: 4,164: 22\),
\(175: 20, ~ 176: 16\) & 8:12, 8:13, & 134:15, 141:25, \\
\hline french & \[
\frac{175: 20, \quad 176: 16}{G}
\] & 21:16, 40:5, & \[
164: 7,170: 13
\] \\
\hline 92:9 & G & 42:20, 137:11, & goes \\
\hline frey & gained & \[
165: 19,180: 17
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
47: 3, & 47: 25, \\
95: 6 . & 95: 9 .
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 2:18 & 44:15 & \begin{tabular}{l}
give \\
21.22. 26.23
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 95: 6, \quad 95: 9, \\
& 122: 5, \quad 129: 16 .
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline friday & gap & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 21: 22, \quad 26: 23, \\
& 43: 5,48: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 122: 5, \quad 129: 16, \\
& 137: 13, \quad 138: 21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1:10, 6:24, & 28:23 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
43: 5, & 48: 8, \\
60: 1, & 60: 5,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 137: 13, \\
& 138: 21, \\
& 139: 14, \\
& 145: 4
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 159:21 & gaps & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
60: 1, & 60: 5, \\
70: 1 . & 74: 3
\end{array}
\] & going \\
\hline fridays & 28:20 & \[
76: 11,82: 5
\] & \[
7: 18,7: 25
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
178:8 \\
front
\end{tabular} & garbage
\[
23: 5
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 76: 11, ~ 82: 5, \\
& 87: 24, ~ 89: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
9: 15, \quad 13: 9
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on April 9, 2021


PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on April 9, 2021
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
48:9, 159:18,
159:19, 161:14,
162:1, 162:2
happy
64:12, 121:21
hard
5:11, 5:15,
109:18
hardwood
120:8
harmonious
166:19
hatch
45:2
hatching
45:5
hcm
15:11
head
109:13
headed
147:24
heads
37:12
health
61:18, 64:2,
70:2, 70:14,
119:19
hear
6:13, 17:17,
18:21, 30:15,
33:17, 58:11,
59:24, 60:4,
75:19, 109:18,
121:25, 159:22,
160:5
heard
53:16, 55:13,
56:13, 61:1,
72:14, 87:8,
89:3, 126:22,
133:14, 135:10,
147:12, 147:20,
150:10, 166:24,
180:20
hearings
1:1, 5:25,
30:8, 31:21,
``` & ```
91:22, 152:25,
172:9, 178:8,
178:25
heat
150:16
heavy
67:22
height
54:1, 78:18,
81:17, 81:20,
82:15, 97:25,
98:23, 112:17,
112:19, 112:24,
115:21
heights
112:22
held
63:12
hello
162:7
help
5:18, 26:15,
56:8, 64:18,
68:11, 68:24,
69:21, 70:1,
70:25, 89:21,
111:1, 113:20,
139:12
helps
52:20
here
5:18, 8:23,
10:23, 13:20,
14:15, 15:1,
16:20, 16:21,
27:10, 29:22,
29:23, 37:19,
53:14, 54:18,
55:11, 56:17,
57:3, 74:8,
75:13, 76:20,
89:6, 95:12,
101:13, 105:25,
107:11, 114:18,
115:2, 116:2,
124:10, 127:2,
133:11, 136:16,
155:3, 157:9,
``` & ```
159:10, 162:19,
163:21, 167:21,
168:19, 172:3,
178:5, 179:21,
180:3
here's
131:17
hereby
183:2
hesitate
51:5
high
26:16, 96:8,
96:9, 134:16,
143:9
high-quality
134:17
higher
20:21, 82:14,
83:7, 99:13
higher-level
98:7
highest
99:8
highlighted
15:4, 16:21,
44:12, 163:24,
164:2, 164:16
highway
12:2, 12:19,
30:23, 31:9,
31:11, 31:15,
31:24, 32:9,
37:25, 40:3,
41:21, 42:14,
43:8, 46:6,
49:22, 136:10
hold
77:3, 94:21,
94:22, 94:23,
120:9, 135:7,
162:18, 163:5
homes
120:10
hood
100:13
hope
10:9, 73:20,
``` & \begin{tabular}{l} 
93:9 \\
hopefully \\
\(33: 22, \quad 39: 8\), \\
\(176: 2\) \\
hopes \\
\(178: 3\) \\
hopkins \\
\(147: 4\) \\
hot \\
\(47: 11, \quad 71: 24\) \\
hour \\
\(52: 3, \quad 126: 19\), \\
\(177: 11, \quad 178: 5\), \\
\(179: 16, \quad 179: 18\) \\
hours \\
\(50: 7, \quad 126: 19\), \\
\(178: 9, \quad 179: 14\) \\
house \\
\(102: 9, \quad 105: 2\) \\
houses \\
\(16: 7, \quad 165: 3\), \\
\(165: 4\) \\
housing \\
\(100: 4, \quad 165: 6\) \\
however \\
\(22: 8, \quad 29: 2\), \\
\(41: 11, \quad 62: 18\), \\
\(113: 12, \quad 130: 15\), \\
\(174: 25\) \\
huge \\
\(136: 13\) \\
human \\
\(109: 16, \quad 134: 18\) \\
hundreds \\
\(40: 22, \quad 88: 2\) \\
hydraulic \\
\(176: 12\) \\
hydrology \\
\(176: 12\) \\
hyper \\
\(37: 21\) \\
hypothetical \\
\(37: 2\) \\
hypothetically \\
\(133: 19, \quad 134: 6\) \\
\hline \\
idea \\
\(27: 24, \quad 121: 11\), \\
I \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 20:6, 20:22, & 146:16 & irregardless & 71:13, 83:9, \\
\hline 31:20, 46:22, & interrupted & 22:6 & 138:12 \\
\hline 75:20, 77:14 & 104:17 & irrigated & jody's \\
\hline instances & interrupting & 171:16 & 155:22, 158:12 \\
\hline 46:16 & 140:13 & ish & johns \\
\hline instead & interruptions & 97:23 & 147:3 \\
\hline 22:5, 82:22, & 140:17 & issue & johnson \\
\hline 83:3, 85:2 & intersect & 7:5, 36:15, & 6:2 \\
\hline institute & 98:11, 98:13 & 47:10, 84:7, & joined \\
\hline 18:10, 147:3 & intersection & 84:17, 86:24, & 9:19 \\
\hline instituted & 13:8, 25:25, & 92:4, 93:2, & joint \\
\hline 36:10 & 26:5, 26:22, & 99:1, 99:4, & 68:6, 78:6 \\
\hline instructing & 32:23, 33:2, & 109:7, 143:13, & joking \\
\hline 39:3 & 34:14, 38:17, & 143:16 & 52:1 \\
\hline intended & 39:6, 44:23, & issues & jonathan \\
\hline 61:18, 76:22, & 45:12, 45:14, & 25:24, 87:6, & 3:5, 52:21 \\
\hline 77:6, 77:10, & 45:23, 175:8 & 90:19, 133:18, & jostling \\
\hline \(77: 17,77: 25\), & intersections & 133:21, 134:7 & 116:1 \\
\hline 78:1, 145:2 & 13:13, 32:9, & ite & jr \\
\hline intends & 32:13, 32:20, & 18:14, 19:12, & 2:10 \\
\hline 96:1 & 41:23, 41:24, & 19:18, 19:20, & judgment \\
\hline intent & 45:9, 50:3 & 21:23, 22:23, & 24:18 \\
\hline 78:4, 79:10, & intersects & \[
46: 7
\] & jumping \\
\hline 81:25, 109:13 & 54:2 & items & \[
107: 15
\] \\
\hline intercounty & interstate & \[
12: 15,43: 20,
\] & jurisdictions \\
\hline 136:6 & \[
136: 7
\] & \[
69: 13, \quad 113: 20
\] & 42:3 \\
\hline interest & intervening & iterations & justification \\
\hline \[
40: 20, \quad 183: 7
\] & \[
165: 7,165: 25
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 152: 22, ~ \\
& i+\infty 2: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
135: 20,147: 21
\] \\
\hline interested & introduce & itself & justify \\
\hline 177:9 & 118:16 & 26:5, 33:22, & \[
33: 4
\] \\
\hline interfere & introduced & 68:3, 75:1, & juxtaposition \\
\hline 26:24, 89:11 & 12:9, 15:5, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 75: 3, \quad 75: 17, \\
& 78 \cdot 16 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
62: 2
\] \\
\hline interfering & \(18: 5, ~ 34: 6\),
\(36: 20, ~ 55: 10, ~\) & 78:16, 81:4,
\[
81: 5,129: 8
\] & K \\
\hline interject & \[
57: 9, \quad 95: 14,
\] & \[
136: 17
\] & keep \\
\hline 180:6 & 126:15, 169:5 & J & 67:16, 70:6, \\
\hline intermediate & introducing & j-o-1-1-e-y & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 93: 8,105: 15, \\
& 109: 25,118: 16,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 78:21 & \[
66: 4
\] & 52:22 & 146:1, 146:2, \\
\hline intern & introductory
\[
39: 18
\] & j-o-n-a-t-h-a & 166:12, 172:20 \\
\hline 36:24 & 39:18 & 52:21 & keeping \\
\hline international & intruding
\[
8: 14
\] & job & \[
134: 12,166: 15
\] \\
\hline 86:4, 92:10 & \begin{tabular}{l}
8:14 \\
involve
\end{tabular} & \[
1: 23,32: 14,
\] & keeps \\
\hline interpolate & \[
84 \cdot 17 \cdot 90 \cdot 19
\] & 177:18 & 92:4 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
98: 25
\] \\
interpretatio
\end{tabular} & involved & jody & kept \\
\hline 21:9 & 71:21, 123:22, & \[
5: 21, \quad 56: 19,
\] & 23:19 \\
\hline interrupt & 175:17 & 59:15, 65:23, & 140:16 \\
\hline 29:2, 139:5, & involving & & 140.16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
kind
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 27: 21, \quad 58: 15, \\
& 58: 17, \quad 68: 2, \\
& 93: 4, \quad 110: 13, \\
& 132: 21, \quad 141: 7, \\
& 177: 11 \\
& \text { klein } \\
& 116: 25, \quad 124: 5, \\
& 124: 8, \quad 125: 14, \\
& 129: 24, \quad 142: 20 \\
& \text { kline's } \\
& 5: 15, \quad 41: 15
\end{aligned}
\]
kline:you
\[
73: 25
\] \\
knew \\
29:18 \\
know
\end{tabular} &  &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline larger & learning & 44:19, 47:24, & light \\
\hline 20:17, 79:16, & 6:20 & 107:8, 178:10 & 38:19, 74:16, \\
\hline 80:18, 80:20, & least & lengthen & 74:21, 77:13, \\
\hline 82:8, 138:22, & 32:3, 42:20, & 44:13, 44:16 & 85:22, 88:5, \\
\hline 141:4, 146:6, & 85:12 & lengths & 88:6, 90:19, \\
\hline 150:8, 163:14 & leave & 23:19, 30:24, & 96:6, 96:16, \\
\hline last & 7:16, 39:9, & 38:3 & 96:17, 96:22, \\
\hline 5:9, 49:4, & 92:16 & lens & 96:25, 97:1, \\
\hline 69:24, 74:3, & led & 100:19, 101:9, & 97:4, 97:22, \\
\hline 101:25, 103:11, & 99:2, 100:1, & 101:10 & 97:24, 98:15, \\
\hline 103:12, 103:16, & 110:19 & less & 98:17, 99:1, \\
\hline 104:6, 124:8, & leeway & 22:11, 53:25, & 99:14, 100:14, \\
\hline 138:25, 139:19, & 85:13 & 106:16, 110:24, & 100:15, 101:15, \\
\hline 143:11, 154:2 & left & 110:25, 142:12, & 109:14, 110:8, \\
\hline late & 4:16, 6:4, & 143:8, 156:19, & 110:18, 111:22, \\
\hline 7:4, 180:7 & 12:16, 13:17, & 156:20, 157:25, & 111:23, 112:1, \\
\hline later & 14:2, 14:7, & 159:11 & 112:3, 112:4, \\
\hline 7:14, 56:7, & 16:6, \(23: 20\), & let's & 112:13, 112:14, \\
\hline 72:21, 120:3, & 23:22, 24:1, & 6:13, 33:22, & 112:16, 112:22, \\
\hline 171:9, 173:11 & 24:8, 24:14, & 52:7, 52:8, & 113:16, 114:6, \\
\hline latest & 25:21, 26:21, & 55:16, 70:21, & 114:10, 114:11, \\
\hline 172:14 & 26:25, 27:3, & 88:25, 100:3, & 117:16, 128:20 \\
\hline latr & 34:11, 35:4, & 113:15, 122:20, & lighting \\
\hline 11:14, 22:8, & 36:24, 38:5, & 130:16, 130:17, & 83:13, 83:16, \\
\hline 23:6 & 43:25, 44:13, & 156:6, 156:13, & 83:19, 84:5, \\
\hline latter & 44:16, 44:18, & 157:3, 180:20, & 84:7, 84:15, \\
\hline 22:20 & 44:23, 44:25, & 180:24 & 84:20, 84:21, \\
\hline laughing & 45:12, 45:14, & letter & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 86: 5, \quad 86: 14, \\
& 87: 10, \quad 87: 12
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 39:19 & 48:11, 48:13, & 170:16, 171:8, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
87: 10, & 87: 12, \\
87: 13, & 87: 15,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline law & 48:17, 49:1, & \[
171: 10,172: 18
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 87: 13, \quad 87: 15, \\
& 87: 18, \quad 88: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 53:6, 53:24, & \(55: 22,59: 2\),
\(59: 5,59 \cdot 10\), & \begin{tabular}{l}
letters \\
95:10
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 87: 18, \\
& 88: 10, \\
& 88: 15,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 93:22 & \(59: 5,59: 10\),
\(59: 11, ~ 59: 13\), & 95:10
letting & \[
88: 18, \quad 88: 20,
\] \\
\hline lawn 71.2 & 59:11, 59:13,
62:7, \(96: 16\), & letting
\[
15: 21, \quad 177: 4
\] & \[
89: 22,89: 23,
\] \\
\hline \[
106: 25,119: 25
\] & 136:9, 181:16 & \(15: 21, ~ 177: 4\)
level & 90:4, 90:5, \\
\hline 149:16 & left-hand & 23:18, 38:14, & 90:6, \(90: 7\), \\
\hline layer & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 13: 24, \quad 36: 15, \\
& 38: 6, ~
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
38: 18, \quad 99: 8,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 91: 6, \quad 91: 12, \\
& 91: 23, \quad 91: 24,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \(71: 5,109: 6\),
\(109: 7,146: 4\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 38: 6, \quad 38: 11, \\
& 47: 17, \quad 49: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 144: 14, \quad 157: 16, \\
& 158: 11
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
92: 19, \quad 92: 22,
\] \\
\hline 146:8, \(146: 11\) & 50:16, 136:12, & levels & 92:25, 93:1, \\
\hline layout & 163:19, 164:16 & 86:10, 87:20, & 93:6, 93:12, \\
\hline 123:6, 150:11, & length & 94:1, 99:13, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 93: 14, \quad 96: 15, \\
& 99: 8, \quad 99: 24,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 150:13, 152:7 & \(11: 24, ~ 12: 1, ~\)
\(12: 3,12: 18\), & 112:2, 114:18, & \[
99: 25,100: 5,
\] \\
\hline lead & \(12: 3, ~ 12: 18\),
\(12: 24, ~ 13: 1\), & 115:4 & 101:19, 110:13, \\
\hline 113:16 & \(12: 24, ~ 13: 1\),
\(15: 9, ~ 16: 16\), & licensed
\(87: 13,87: 23\) & 112:8, 112:19, \\
\hline 146:12 & 23:24, 24:13, & life & 113:3, 114:13, \\
\hline learn & 38:3, 43:24, & 61:20 & 114:18, 115:8 \\
\hline 95:9 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline lightly & 82:25, 97:3, & 179:17 & 16:6, 20:18, \\
\hline 125:1 & 98:10, 98:11, & local & 21:6, 22:14, \\
\hline lights & 98:12, 98:16, & 42:3, 42:17, & 29:25, 36:23, \\
\hline 85:7, 86:2, & 99:10, 99:12, & 86:6, 125:2, & 39:22, 40:13, \\
\hline 88:11, 89:11, & 99:16, 101:12, & 166:19 & 44:4, 44:22, \\
\hline 90:20, 100:21, & 102:11, 102:17, & locate & 45:10, 61:17, \\
\hline 101:1, 101:2, & 106:13, 107:8, & 56:24, 69:7, & 61:23, 64:9, \\
\hline 101:3, 113:12, & 108:7, 118:15, & 87:18 & 85:17, 96:24, \\
\hline 113:14, 113:19, & 119:14, 135:23, & located & 97:9, 97:14, \\
\hline 114:1, 114:3, & 136:16 & 34:16, 72:12, & 98:2, 99:7, \\
\hline 114:5 & linear & 89:24, 91:6, & 99:24, 100:22, \\
\hline likelihood & 10:23, 19:15, & 157:15, 158:8, & 104:24, 110:18, \\
\hline 20:8 & 20:20, 20:22, & 174:16 & 114:17, 117:1, \\
\hline likely & 22:1, 22:4, & location & 162:19, 172:18 \\
\hline 38:8, 71:16 & 22:10, 22:21, & 26:15, 27:12, & looked \\
\hline liking & 29:15, 107:4 & 57:18, 74:13, & 16:5, 26:13, \\
\hline 71:12 & lines & 88:6, 88:11, & 27:14, 32:8, \\
\hline limit & 67:12, 96:19 & 89:11, 90:22, & 60:12, 89:20, \\
\hline 63:23, 68:1, & link & 90:25, 98:20, & 137:23, 138:4, \\
\hline 68:2, 68:4 & 36:25 & 148:17, 151:3, & 138:13, 138:25, \\
\hline limited & list & 151:20, 165:5 & 139:20, 162:16, \\
\hline 42:12, 63:16, & 27:21, 85:4 & locations & 162:20 \\
\hline 63:17, 63:21, & listed & 26:21, 57:21, & looking \\
\hline 65:16, 66:17, & 25:5, 84:4 & 87:17, 88:14, & 7:1, 15:2, \\
\hline 67:12, 67:24, & listen & 88:16, 89:24, & \(32: 5,39: 6\), \\
\hline 68:13, 68:19, & 30:13 & 108: 4 & 57:8, 57:17, \\
\hline 85:21, 124:23, & listening & lod & 60:12, 61:2, \\
\hline 178:19 & 126:19 & 64:13, 65:3, & 61:5, 62:9, \\
\hline limiting & literal & 65:20, 69:16, & 65:7, 73:16, \\
\hline 174:6 & 100:14 & 119:5 & 76:15, 78:8, \\
\hline limits & literally & long & 78:19, 79:8, \\
\hline 62:25, 68:10, & 6:23 & 13:19, 29:8, & 89:21, 95:12, \\
\hline 68:17 & little & \(37: 1,38: 19\), & 96:7, 96:15, \\
\hline line & 19:14, 21:11, & 38:20, 51:24, & 96:18, 96:20, \\
\hline 8:13, 55:18, & 26:22, 27:4, & 62:3, 116:3, & 97:10, 98:23, \\
\hline 57:18, 57:22, & 34:17, 39:24, & 153:3, 178:24, & 102:5, 104:19, \\
\hline 62:11, 62:17, & 44:19, 47:11, & 179:10 & 104:21, 114:23, \\
\hline 63:19, 63:24, & 58:21, 58:22, & long-lived & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
116: 10, & 116: 12, \\
141: 22, & 157: 24,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 64:3, 64:10, & 67:10, \(74: 16\), & \[
82: 11
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 141: 22, \quad 157: 24, \\
& 158: 6
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 65:2, 65:10, & 75:23, 78:14, & longer & looks \\
\hline 65:11, 65:12, & 79:6, 83:18, & 25:20, 71:7, & looks
\[
34: 18,55: 19
\] \\
\hline 65:15, 65:17, & 85:13, 87:4, & 101:3 & loop \\
\hline 67:21, 68:4, & 91:9, 96:12, & longest & \[
9: 17,139: 14,
\] \\
\hline 68:5, 68:6, & 100:10, 105:9, & 45:11 & 9:17, 139:14,
\[
140: 23
\] \\
\hline 69:4, 76:23, & 109:18, 125:16, & longevity & loss \\
\hline \(77: 19, \quad 77: 23\),
\(78: 6,80: 2\), & \(135: 20, ~ 152: 9\),
\(162: 10, ~ 164: 17\), & 61:20
look & 54:4 \\
\hline 80:11, 82:23, & 177:7, 177:19, & 12:14, 15:19, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lot } \\
& 9: 7,12: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & ```
112:13, 115:18
luminaires
110:10, 113:24,
115:7, 116:15
luminary
112:5
lunch
103:6, 103:20,
177:11, 178:5
lynn
1:8
```

                            M
    ma'am
10:3, 11:3,
12:12, 12:22,
18:24, 75:21,
141:18, 152:20,
176:20, 181:2
machinery
67:22
madam
4:2, 4:19, 5:6,
8:7, 10:15,
59:23, 66:15,
95:16, 103:5,
121:23, 139:19,
157:4, 162:23,
164:15, 165:14,
169:3
made
22:17, 23:6,
29:8, 43:24,
108:1, 110:3,
146:5, 146:9,
153:19, 154:19,
160:18, 171:20,
175:13, 179:4
magnitude
87:25
mailed
6:2, 6:12
main
124:21, 134:15
mainly
127:9
maintain

76:18, 80:24, \& | 134:16, 134:17 maintains |
| :--- |
| 166:19 |
| maintenance |
| 107:1 |
| major |
| 32:17, 32:18, |
| 32:19, 32:22, |
| 33:3, 161:8, |
| 161:13 |
| make |
| 4:16, 8:4, 9:7, $23: 22,26: 15$ $29: 16, \quad 39: 15$ |
| 41:1, 45:14, |
| 45:16, 46:3, |
| 48:13, 51:11, |
| 89:22, 92:19, |
| 98:22, 124:2, |
| 128:16, 163:13, |
| 174:21, 181:6 |
| makes |
| 56:6 |
| making |
| 24:23, 58:15, |
| 82:4, 157:2 |
| male $59: 23$ |
| man $37: 19, \quad 130: 22$ |
| man's |
| 37:17 |
| manage |
| 134:17 |
| management $\begin{aligned} & 6: 19, \quad 146: 14, \\ & 148: 1, \quad 157: 18, \\ & 158: 17, \quad 167: 12, \\ & 170: 12, \quad 170: 13, \\ & 176: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| managing $157: 20$ |
| manner $\text { 112: } 4$ |
| manual $\begin{aligned} & 7: 25, \quad 19: 20, \\ & 19: 23, \quad 20: 9, \\ & 21: 23, \quad 30: 23, \end{aligned}$ | \& ```

31:10, 31:11,
31:16, 31:25,
32:9, 37:21,
38:1, 40:3,
41:21, 46:6,
49:23, 61:14,
76:20, 86:18,
87:2
manuals
46:17
many
18:17, 18:18,
28:5, 28:19,
34:15, 41:3,
44:25, 45:9,
90:3, 92:25,
95:3, 121:12,
153:2
map
69:7, 157:14,
158:10, 158:16,
158:22, 158:24,
158:25, 159:3,
161:18
maps
176:12
mara
164:12
march
6:25, 8:4
marie's
145:5
marked
56:8, 65:6
marks
55:16
maryland
1:2, 2:6, 2:14,
32:6, 124:23,
124:25, 135:18,
144:10, 146:17
massive
130:4
master
130:1, 130:12,
132:7, 132:13,
132:15, 132:22,
133:21, 134:8,

``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 134:14, 134:16, & mayan & 76:6, 98:17, & 68:12, 70:22, \\
\hline 141:25, 142:5, & 37:17 & 101:19 & 113:18 \\
\hline 142:17, 142:21, & maybe & measured & methodology \\
\hline 143:12, 155:19, & 6:1, 20:23, & 108:3 & 18:1, 18:4, \\
\hline 155:23, 156:18, & 26:19, 32:6, & measures & 42:4, 42:14, \\
\hline 159:11, 159:15, & 52:2, 58:21, & 38:3, 40:7, & 42:16, 43:8, \\
\hline 160:1, 160:2, & 59:14, 73:9, & 63:1, 67:17, & 49:22 \\
\hline 160:3, 160:11, & 78:18, 81:21, & 67:19, 68:9, & methods \\
\hline 160:17, 164:21, & 85:15, 109:24, & 68:21, 71:17, & 19:13, 19:24, \\
\hline 164:23, 166:5, & 116:23, 123:11, & 72:1, 72:10, & 25:3, 62:18, \\
\hline 166:8, 166:14, & 128:24, 128:25, & 101:11 & 64:16, 64:17, \\
\hline 166:25, 173:21, & 153:12, 177:12, & measuring & 64:18, 69:20, \\
\hline 174:2 & 178:3, 179:17 & 42:23 & 71:13, 71:19, \\
\hline mat & mccabe & mechanical & 121:2 \\
\hline 147:6 & 2:11 & 145:21 & metro \\
\hline match & mcdot & meet & 136:13, 136:15, \\
\hline 46:19, 171:4 & 27:8, 27:25 & 44:11, 69:2, & 136:25 \\
\hline matches & metran & 72:7, 94:1, & microbio \\
\hline \[
42: 21,149: 21
\] & 18:9, 39:2 & 101:7, 141:7, & 170:14 \\
\hline material & mctrans & 170:13 & microsimulation \\
\hline 5:22, 7:3, 7:6, & 37:23, 37:24, & meeting & 38:24, 39:1, \\
\hline 7:12, 71:8, & 38:21, 38:24, & 63:11, 63:13, & 39:5 \\
\hline 114:11, 147:14, & 44:4 & 64:1, 64:15, & mid-county \\
\hline 147:16, 173:7, & mdm & 142:17, 179:23, & 136:10 \\
\hline 177:2 & 54:7, 56:13, & 180:8 & middle \\
\hline materials & 73:12, 91:8, & memory & 23:23, 57:19, \\
\hline 68:2, 68:4, & 134:23, 149:25, & 96:1, 169:15, & 170:17 \\
\hline 105:10, 147:17 & 161:17, 181:22 & 179:1 & midway \\
\hline math & mean & mental & 12:14 \\
\hline \[
29: 24
\] & 9:6, 11:1, & 178:21 & midweek \\
\hline mathematical & \[
14: 1,26: 18,
\] & mention & 178:8 \\
\hline 19:5 & 38:24, 39:19, & 8:3, 51:25, & might \\
\hline matter & 81:3, 86:9, & 64:11, 110:4 & 60:21, 74:3, \\
\hline 4:4, 44:18, & 125:3, 126:15, & mentioned & 180:13 \\
\hline 62:22, 84:13, & 130:9, 130:10, & 6:3, 31:20, & mill \\
\hline 84:19, 145:17 & 130:14, 153:11, & 49:5, 160:22, & 142:18, 142:23, \\
\hline matters & 156:23, 173:12, & 161:2, 162:9 & \[
143: 4, \quad 143: 7,
\] \\
\hline \[
5: 5, \quad 8: 10, \quad 93: 8
\] & \[
178: 23
\] & mentioning & \[
155: 25, \quad 157: 12
\] \\
\hline mature & meaning & \[
38: 25
\] & \[
157: 21, \quad 158: 13
\] \\
\hline \[
79: 16, \quad 80: 16
\] & \[
121: 3
\] & mentions & \[
159: 6, \quad 159: 8
\] \\
\hline maturing & means & \[
24: 12
\] & miller \\
\hline 78:24 & 29:22, 157:18 & merely & \[
2: 4
\] \\
\hline maturity & meant & 22:16 & mine \\
\hline 72:22, 78:2, & 95:9, 121:3, & metallic & 144:13 \\
\hline 79:25 & 170:20 & 110:21 & mini \\
\hline maximize & measure & method & 47:10 \\
\hline \[
72: 11
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 13: 13, \quad 13: 24, \\
& 22: 7.70: 10 .
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
22: 18,27: 9
\] & minimal \\
\hline maximum & 22:7, 70:10, & 28:1, 65:19, & 105:1, 111:1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on April 9, 2021


PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on April 9, 2021
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline multiple & 42:21, 90:22, & 38:14, 38:17, & 45:16 \\
\hline 19:23, 26:17, & 109:15, 118:12, & 43:25, 44:14, & networks \\
\hline 44:15 & 145:17 & 45:23, 49:8, & 32:5 \\
\hline multipurpose & near & 55:4, 72:12, & never \\
\hline 14:1 & 87:4, 119:14 & 137:23, 138:10, & 28:9, 29:20, \\
\hline muncaster & necessarily & 138:14, 139:8, & 70:22, 75:24, \\
\hline 32:24, 33:2, & 32:10, 150:5 & 140:20, 141:9, & 91:11, 116:18, \\
\hline 157:12, 157:21, & necessary & 141:10, 147:10, & 179:10 \\
\hline 158:13, 159:6, & 50:22 & 147:11, 152:13, & new \\
\hline 159:8 & necessitate & 155:23, 155:24, & 7:2, 7:6, 46:5, \\
\hline muncastor & 112:9 & 162:2, 174:16, & 85:9, 103:8, \\
\hline 155:18, 155:25, & necessitates & 175:8 & 133:23, 134:4 \\
\hline 156:19 & 112:11 & negative & newly \\
\hline must & need & 61:16 & 74:5, 78:15, \\
\hline 6:8, 19:4, & 8:5, 20:18, & neighbor & 107:17 \\
\hline 76:17, 171:2 & 21:6, 40:9, & 113:23 & news \\
\hline mute & 40:15, 40:16, & neighborhood & 143:5, 143:12 \\
\hline 4:22, 55:12, & 42:5, 44:13, & 82:18, 135:12, & next \\
\hline 60:22 & 54:3, 87:9, & 135:14, 135:17, & \[
9: 3, \quad 45: 14
\] \\
\hline muted & 101:8, 103:7, & 135:22, 136:4, & 51:22, 52:4, \\
\hline 59:23, 59:25, & 103:8, 104:14, & 136:6, 136:9, & 59:8, 59:9, \\
\hline 103:1, 103:3 & 107:5, 111:25, & 136:17, 136:18, & 96:11, 101:24, \\
\hline myc & 112:1, 112:3, & 136:22, 136:23, & 111:16, 124:8, \\
\hline 37:16 & 112:16, 113:12, & 137:1, 137:2, & 149:12, 156:25, \\
\hline myself & 113:19, 114:5, & 137:3, 137:23, & 157:14, 163:10, \\
\hline 63:15, 64:5, & 117:2, 127:12, & 138:2, 138:12, & 172:3, 173:18, \\
\hline 64:8, 81:13, & 136:11, 139:24, & 138:16, 140:7, & 177:14 \\
\hline \[
101: 8, \quad 105: 24,
\] & 150:4, 150:5, & 140:8, 140:19, & night \\
\hline \[
108: 3, \quad 180: 17
\] & \[
153: 12, \quad 179: 14
\] & 142:4, 142:10, & 111:13, 113:22 \\
\hline N & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
needed
\[
14: 6,44: 5
\] \\
needham
\end{tabular}} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 147: 23, \quad 161: 7, \\
& 161: 9,161: 10,
\end{aligned}
\] & none \\
\hline name & & \[
161: 11,161: 13,
\] & 90:5, 143:15 normal \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 31: 7, \quad 37: 17, \\
& 52: 17, \quad 52: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{159:12} & \[
161: 14,161: 25,
\] & 39:21, 39:25, \\
\hline narrow & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 162: 1, \quad 162: 20, \\
& 162: 21, \quad 165: 12,
\end{aligned}
\] & 40:1, \(40: 20\), \\
\hline 153:3 & 10:18, 37:22, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 162: 21, \\
& 1765: 12, \\
& 175: 14, \\
& 176: 7
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 90: 15,181: 24 \\
& \text { normally }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline national & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 42: 9, \quad 94: 2, \\
& 135: 24, \quad 136: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & neighborhoods & \[
13: 18, \quad 89: 17
\] \\
\hline native & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 137: 3 \\
& \text { needwood }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \[
162: 10
\] & north \\
\hline \[
82: 9,82: 10
\] & & I---
\[
53: 23,65: 13,
\] & 34:16, 34:20, \\
\hline natural & 5:4, 10:22, & \[
65: 21,68: 20,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 35: 9, \quad 41: 22, \\
& 98 \cdot 14,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
134: 18, \quad 145: 24,
\] & 25:25, 28:6, & \[
99: 5, \quad 101: 17
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 98: 14, ~ 136: 6, \\
& 137: 11,137: 23,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
147: 15,171: 16
\] & 28:7, 28:16, & neighbors & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 138:10, } 141: 9,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline | naturally
102:20 & \(30: 10,30: 11\), & 101:7, 101:16, & 142:7, 142:23, \\
\hline nature & 30:12, 32:17, & 111:2, 111:3 & \[
143: 14,155: 18 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline \[
19: 6.27: 20
\] & 32:23, 33:2, & neither & 155:23, 156:20, \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(19: 6,27: 20\),
\(31: 23, ~ 32: 15\),} & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 183: 6 \\
& \text { network }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
157: 11, \quad 159: 5,
\] \\
\hline & \[
34: 20,34: 22,
\] & \[
32: 16,39: 2,
\] & 159:12, 162:2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
northeast
34:21
northern
28:7, 30:10,
158:1
note
14:9, 44:12,
54:17, 54:20,
164:9
noted
21:23, 88:19
notes
118:22, 121:1,
162:19
nothing
21:25, 22:7,
39:25, 43:14,
176:10
noticeable
45:24
notwithstanding
111:8
nuances
150:13, 153:13,
153:15
number
14:21, 20:6,
20:21, 24:14,
25:5, 38:2,
44:20, 47:2,
47:15, 49:17,
54:10, 60:10,
73:11, 74:25,
75:1, 83:7,
95:10, 100:1,
100:17, 100:18,
108:9, 113:19,
116:9, 120:8,
120:9, 135:1,
138:6, 149:20,
149:21, 149:23,
157:13, 160:1,
165:17, 170:5,
170:18
numbers
10:23, 20:25,
23:25, 28:12,
\(38: 16,45: 20\),
``` & ```
108:10, 121:14,
147:20
nurseries
82:9
nutrients
71:2
```

0
-' clock
177:16
oath
52:15, 124:12
object
6:21, 85:9,
125:8, 125:11,
142:22, 150:12,
151:9, 152:1
objecting
164:10
objection
6:14, 47:1,
51:5, 51:6,
51:8, 72:23,
89:6, 91:8,
93:16, 93:17,
93:20, 94:7,
122:5, 122:20,
129:15, 130:22,
133:25, 143:20,
148:5, 149:18,
150:15
objections
72:23, 75:25
objectives
164:7
objects
7:7
obscure
79:13
observation
8:4, 58:15
observations
22:4, 22:24,
23:1, 41:18
observe
129:10
observed
46:24, 47:1 \& ```
obtain
68:22
obviously
37:20, 38:4,
39:19, 45:24,
57:17, 61:20,
63:5, 65:2,
65:18, 78:7,
78:23, 79:16,
81:1, 88:12,
89:17, 101:6,
106:16, 114:8,
119:23, 179:5
occur
61:11, 65:8,
65:22, 66:11,
117:20, 117:24
occurred
178:11
occurring
48:5
occurs
72:7, 179:10
odd
123:13
Offer
84:9, 121:22
offered
110:3, 113:6,
127:10
offers
166:19
office
1:1, 5:15, 6:2,
6:12, 92:9,
92:12, 92:24,
124:20, 124:21,
172:9, 180:10
offices
125:2
often
42:11, 45:7
oh
4:12, 15:1,
17:13, 35:10,
35:25, 37:7,
40:15, 40:18,
57:3, 58:9,

``` &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
178:15 \\
ones \\
21:6, 21:7, \\
21:13, 45:11, \\
80:19, 88:9 \\
online \\
8:16, 159:22 \\
only \\
8:12, 13:20, \\
13:24, 20:7, \\
25:8, 37:1, \\
38:5, 42:17, \\
43:23, 68:12, \\
90:6, 91:9, \\
103:17, 112:17, \\
117:23, 118:7, \\
119:5, 160:14, \\
168:16 \\
opaque \\
78:22 \\
open \\
28:22, 48:14, \\
72:17, 74:18, \\
143:6, 166:20, \\
179:4 \\
opening \\
74:14 \\
operate \\
5:3 \\
operational \\
5:23, 81:8, \\
81:10 \\
operations \\
7:2, 7:5, 8:5, \\
37:19, 70:25, \\
71: 6 \\
opinion \\
24:18, 71:16, \\
84:15, 121:2, \\
125:11, 174:19 \\
opinions \\
24:24, 84:21 \\
opponents \\
147:20 \\
opportunity \\
7:22, 63:25, \\
64:13 \\
oppose \\
25:7
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
opposed \\
18:7, 32:17 \\
opposite \\
177:23 \\
opposition \\
84:7, 85:5, \\
172:24 \\
opposition's \\
76:21 \\
option
\[
43: 5,100: 5,
\]
|110:9 \\
optional \\
24:1 \\
options \\
24:17, 100:6, \\
101:21 \\
orange \\
55:17, 62:11 \\
order \\
44:11, 87:25 \\
ordered \\
101:1 \\
ordinance \\
76:16, 77:7, \\
86:5, 88:13, \\
88:21, 93:15, \\
102:4, 102:7, \\
168:25 \\
organic \\
71:8 \\
organization \\
174:15 \\
orientation \\
57:15, 58:23, \\
137:12 \\
original \\
71:11, 76:13 \\
os \\
95:4, 95:6, \\
95:7 \\
other
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 4: 13, \quad 5: 5, \\
& 11: 9, \quad 18: 1, \\
& 19: 4, \quad 19: 14, \\
& 20: 19, \quad 21: 5, \\
& 21: 12, \quad 22: 14, \\
& 23: 8, \quad 27: 23,
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 70:9, 71:4, & 166:2, 166:4, & 21:10, 21:14, & people \\
\hline 71:12, 71:14, & 170:5, 170:9, & 25:9, 31:8, & 28:20, 28:22, \\
\hline 81:9 & 170:16, 170:21 & \(38: 1,38: 13\), & 30:11, 45:9, \\
\hline owners & parcel & 40:20, 42:25, & 45:25, 51:11, \\
\hline 61:8, 68:23, & 59:11 & 43:14, 44:6, & 58:11, 60:3, \\
\hline 72:9, 72:15, & pardon & 45:18, 96:4, & 60:6, 60:18, \\
\hline 99:23, 101:17 & 125:7, 131:14 & 96:7, 96:13, & 103:17 \\
\hline ozha & pare & 96:15, 98:24, & percent \\
\hline 17:23, 54:18, & 177:11 & 99:9, 99:18, & 13:1, 29:6, \\
\hline 115:3 & parents & 99:20, 99:25, & 29:15, 30:1, \\
\hline P & 6:18 & 100:21, 102:6, & 44:9, 61:16, \\
\hline package & park & 104:13, 143:24, & 61:21, 76:18, \\
\hline 57:1 & 21:8, 25:2, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 147: 15, \quad 160: 16, \\
& 161: 9,161: 25,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 147: 21,166: 23 \\
& \text { norcontann }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline padding & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 63: 16, \quad 64: 5, \\
& 70: 1, \quad 72: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
162: 1, \quad 174: 5
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
percentage \\
71:22, 147:22
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 146:5 & \[
87: 5,135: 18,
\] & particularly & percentile \\
\hline page \(3: 2,3: 9,7: 1\), & 141:1, 152:14 & 41:24, 49:14, & 12:16, 13:3, \\
\hline \[
8: 4,34: 2,34: 5,
\] & parking & 93:13, 136:1 & 24:12, 29:3, \\
\hline \[
36: 22,36: 23,
\] & 76:17, 76:23, & parties & 29:6, 29:12, \\
\hline \(37: 11,37: 15\), & 78:7, 90:20, & 183:7 & 29:15, 29:21, \\
\hline 50:10, 61:2, & 97:22, 97:24, & \begin{tabular}{l}
parts \\
160:2
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
39: 11, & 39: 22, \\
39: 23 . & 40: 8 .
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 76:15, 100:5, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 98: 4, \quad 102: 7, \\
& 102: 11, \quad 102: 16 .
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
160: 2, & 160: 16 \\
166: 25
\end{array}\right.
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 39: 23, \quad 40: 8, \\
& 40: 9, \quad 40: 13,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] \\
\hline \(116: 11, ~ 135: 1\),
\(135: 3,136: 6\), & \[
102: 20,105: 1,
\] & passes & \[
40: 14, \quad 40: 23,
\] \\
\hline 141:14, 156:10, & 105:3, 105:10, & 147:6 & \[
44: 1, \quad 44: 11
\] \\
\hline 156:24, 157:1, & 105:12, 105:19, & path & perfect
\[
33: 24,157: 10
\] \\
\hline 157:3, 157:4, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 105: 23, \quad 106: 17, \\
& 111: 21 . \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
17:24, 66:9 \\
patience
\end{tabular} & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
33: 24, & 157: 10 \\
181: 8
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline 157:14, 158:6, & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
111: 21, & 112: 8, \\
112: 15 . & 113: 15
\end{array}
\] & patience 181:23 & \begin{tabular}{l}
181:8 \\
perform
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 158:7, 158:24, & 112:15, 113:15, & \[
181: 23
\] & perform \\
\hline 158:25, 159:2, & \[
114: 6,144: 25,
\] & patio & \[
96: 14
\] \\
\hline 159:3, 159:14, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 145: 14, \quad 147: 2, \\
& 152: 17
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 116: 7, \quad 116: 14, \\
& 116: 18, \quad 116: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & perhaps
\[
70: 14, \quad 79: 5
\] \\
\hline 161:18, 163:10, & \begin{tabular}{l}
152:17 \\
parkland
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 116:18, 116:21 } \\
& \text { pattern }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 70: 14, \quad 79: 5, \\
& 79: 6, \quad 82: 18
\end{aligned}\right.
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 163: 11, ~ 165: 21, \\
& 165: 24, ~ 165: 25,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
130: 21
\] & \[
114: 6
\] & period \\
\hline 166:13, 166:14, & parsing & paul & 71:7, 71:9, \\
\hline 166:17 & 152:4 & 2:9 & 71:25, 78:2, \\
\hline pages & part & pavement & 165:7 \\
\hline 1:24, 6:17, & 15:16, 22:16, & 145:22, 147:2 & periphery \\
\hline 141:14, 160:1 & 57:1, 64:3, & paving & 67:20, 82:1, \\
\hline paper & 87:14, 92:4, & 105:8 & 102:21, 121:16 \\
\hline 4:25, 17:18, & 102:11, 119:7, & pd2 & permanent \\
\hline 17:19, 17:21, & 125:7, 136:5, & 142:8 & 101:14 \\
\hline 117:5 & 140:21, 141:6, & pe & permeable \\
\hline papers & 160:4, 164:15, & 37:17 & 171:3 \\
\hline 4:21, 33:20, & 168:2, 176:5, & pease & permission \\
\hline 109:21 & \[
179: 18
\] & 128:19, 129:9, & \[
68: 23,70: 4
\] \\
\hline paragraph & particular
20:7, 20:10, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 129: 20,167: 8 \\
& \text { Den }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 81: 9 \\
& \text { permit }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 157:11, 165:23, & 20.7, 20.10, & pen
\[
120: 18
\] & \[
125: 13,128: 20
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline permitted & 30:21, 54:8, & 124:18, 124:22, & 166:8, 166:9, \\
\hline 64:16, 69:1, & 54:25, 55:3, & 156:22, 157:9, & 166:14, 166:17, \\
\hline 69:20, 71:3, & 55:4, 55:15, & 160:14 & 166:25, 167:4, \\
\hline 71:13, 72:1 & 55:19, 62:4, & placed & 168:16, 172:12, \\
\hline permitting & 76:2, 116:25, & 78:13, 88:14, & 172:17, 172:20, \\
\hline 148:12, 169:22 & 117:8, 117:9, & 145:18, 146:7 & 173:1, 173:2, \\
\hline person & 117:10 & places & 173:16, 173:21, \\
\hline 39:3, 84:9 & photometric & 74:24 & 174:3, 176:1 \\
\hline personally & 86:10, 88:19, & placing & plane \\
\hline 91:7, 116:18 & 93:13, 114:25, & 100:13 & 99:9 \\
\hline perspective & 115:1 & plain & planner \\
\hline 96:24 & photos & 158:9 & 103:14, 129:18, \\
\hline persuade & 3:10, 61:23 & plan & 130:24, 131:16, \\
\hline 161:3 & phrase & 6:19, 53:2, & 135:13, 143:23 \\
\hline pervious & 73:9, 132:25 & 53:5, 53:9, & planning \\
\hline 144:9, 144:10, & physical & 63:9, 69:12, & 21:8, 22:13, \\
\hline 144:22, 145:21, & 96:24, 100:14, & 69:25, 72:18, & 25:2, 41:20, \\
\hline 145:25, 146:7, & 110:17, 118:9 & 75:15, 76:14, & 53:12, 63:16, \\
\hline 147:2, 147:17, & physically & 77:6, 86:10, & 64:5, 70:1, \\
\hline 148:24, 149:9, & 105:4, 113:11, & 87:15, 87:16, & 72:9, 76:19, \\
\hline 149:10 & 113:22 & 88:18, 88:20, & 79:2, 82:4, \\
\hline pestor & pick & 93:12, 93:13, & 92:12, 102:13, \\
\hline 85:1 & 32:10, 42:5, & 98:3, 98:17, & 124:20, 125:2, \\
\hline petitioner's & 153:14 & 99:7, 99:8, & 130:4, 134:13, \\
\hline 5:9 & picked & 100:8, 106:6, & 134:18, 135:18, \\
\hline pfa & 82:16, 153:13 & 106:23, 108:9, & 144:25, 145:14, \\
\hline 125:20 & picking & 114:13, 114:14, & 149:14, 152:14, \\
\hline pfop & 32:14 & 114:17, 114:22, & 158:15, 160:24, \\
\hline 53:13, 53:20 & picks & 115:4, 115:15, & 172:1 \\
\hline ph & 166:14 & 123:6, 130:1, & plans \\
\hline 29:12, 35:6, & picture & \(\begin{array}{ll}130: 12, & 132: 7, \\ 132: 13, & 132: 15,\end{array}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 27: 19, \quad 29: 10, \\
& 54: 6, \quad 63: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 63:24, 85:1 & 62:12, 96:17, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 132: 13, \\
& 133: 15, \\
& 133: 21, \\
& 134: 8
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
54: 6, & 63: 18, \\
88: 3, & 89: 18,
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline phase & 150:6 & \[
134: 14, \quad 134: 16,
\] & \[
89: 21,89: 22,
\] \\
\hline 63:10 & pie & \[
141: 25,142: 5
\] & \[
99: 25,116: 2,
\] \\
\hline phasing & 141:4 & \[
142: 17,142: 21,
\] & \[
120: 19, \quad 120: 22,
\] \\
\hline 49:13 & piece & \[
143: 2,143: 12,
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
120: 19, & 120: 22, \\
164: 21, & 164: 22,
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline phone & \[
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
38: 1, & 38: 23, \\
39: 1, & 39: 2,
\end{array}\right.
\] & 143:13, 148:1, & 164:23, 168:13, \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
2: 7, & 2: 15 \\
4: 13, & 4: 16
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 39: 1, \quad 39: 2, \\
& 110: 17
\end{aligned}
\] & 148:10, 155:19, & 168:14, 172:4, \\
\hline \[
8: 21, \quad 17: 2
\] & pieces & 155:23, 156:2, & 176:10, 176:11 \\
\hline photo & 146:6 & 156:9, 156:18, & plant \\
\hline 59:9, 60:9 & pike & 156:24, 158:3, & 70:21, 71:2, \\
\hline photograph & 32:7 & \begin{tabular}{l}
159:11, 159:16, \\
160:1, 160:2,
\end{tabular} & \[
105: 5,142: 22
\] \\
\hline 55:23, 55:25, & pine & 160:3, 160:8, & planted \\
\hline 57:15, 57:19, & 120:12 & 160:11, 160:17, & 74:5, 77:12, \\
\hline \[
58: 23,59: 12,
\]
\[
59: 14
\] & 157:17 & 164:6, 165:5, & 78:15, 80:19, \\
\hline photographs & place & 165:9, 166:5, & 81:19, 107:6, \\
\hline 30:13, 30:18, & 7:3, 107:22, & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline ```
107:17
planting
75:7, 77:11,
77:17, 77:18,
79:3, 79:4,
79:6, 79:11,
79:22, 80:7,
80:21, 80:22,
82:8, 82:13,
82:15, 83:5,
83:7, 105:10,
105:17, 106:5,
106:15, 107:4
plantings
106:14, 107:22
plants
70:2, 106:9
plats
162:17
play
143:17, 145:15,
145:19, 145:24,
146:1, 146:11,
171:15, 173:7
players
18:15, 18:17,
18:18
playground
144:21, 148:20,
148:23, 171:5
please
9:17, 9:20,
31:14, 34:2,
54:8, 58:18,
59:18, 74:20,
87:25, 94:11,
97:4, 102:1,
121:23, 124:8,
133:7, 134:13,
134:21, 150:1,
164:9, 164:17,
165:22
plus
30:11, 52:3,
98:5, 107:7,
123:13, 126:19
point
20:12, 20:13,
``` &  & ```
policy
128:4
poly
146:4
polypropylene
146:3
pop
157:4
portion
13:21, 140:23,
143:7, 156:24,
159:18, 159:19
portions
173:10
portrayal
46:24
posey
2:9, 53:17,
53:19, 55:23,
55:24, 57:24,
58:5, 58:7,
59:2, 59:5,
61:12, 62:15,
63:1, 64:1,
64:16, 67:14,
68:11, 69:3,
109:8, 115:13,
116:7
posey's
55:17
position
76:21
positive
45:25
possibility
8:11, 8:13,
178:14
possible
54:4, 63:2,
69:16, 101:23,
111:2, 113:11,
118:17, 143:9
possibly
49:13, 147:18
post
98:1
potential
61:12, 66:7,
``` & ```
67:11, 68:5,
96:5, 96:22,
98:7, 98:16
potentially
61:16, 61:22,
67:11, 67:13,
96:5, 98:25,
99:2, 113:23
pouring
130:20
practice
41:19, 90:15
pre-covid
30:8
pre-put
16:3
precisely
34:1
preclude
127:22, 128:4
preconstruction
63:11, 64:15
predicated
132:8
predominantly
162:18
prefer
27:9, 28:1,
82:10
preference
9:11
prehearing
5:10, 5:13,
85:4
preliminary
4:4, 5:5, 8:10,
53:1, 53:4, 53:8
prematurely
93:11
premise
128:18
preparation
7:10, 53:1,
75:2
prepare
71:25, 75:3
prepared
27:21, 53:5,
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 64:8, 72:4, & 112:5, 112:12, & 60:4, 109:9, & 130:15, 131:1, \\
\hline 76:14, 77:1, & 142:17, 142:22, & 109:10, 114:2, & 131:19, 131:21, \\
\hline 77:6, 92:19, & 155:18 & 133:24, 170:11, & 145:7 \\
\hline 107:21, 144:24 & primrose & 181:3 & proffered \\
\hline preparing & 1:4, 2:2, 5:2, & problems & 84:14 \\
\hline 52:25, 66:10 & 5:24, 6:18, & 114:1, 130:21, & proffering \\
\hline preschool & 6:19, 6:20, & 165:8 & 85:16 \\
\hline 6:20 & 63:14, 117:10, & procedural & profiles \\
\hline present & 117:18, 117:24, & 7:25 & 176:13 \\
\hline 2:17, 28:25, & 118:6, 122:4, & procedure & program \\
\hline 92:9, 116:7, & 122:24, 123:5, & 17:23 & 12:2, 14:11, \\
\hline 154:7, 155:8 & 123:12, 150:12, & procedures & 69:14, 71:23, \\
\hline presentation & 167:24, 168:21 & 15:11, 38:1, & 121:3, 121:4, \\
\hline 83:12, 177:8 & print & 39:16 & 122:3, 122:24, \\
\hline presented & 117:2, 157:15 & proceed & 123:1, 123:3, \\
\hline 63:18, 96:1, & printed & 59:15, 125:4, & 123:5, 123:13, \\
\hline 130:23, 143:24, & 115:2 & 125:5, 128:7, & 123:18, 123:19, \\
\hline 151:1, 151:18 & prior & 177:3 & 124:16, 124:18, \\
\hline presents & 65:4, 99:12 & proceeding & 125:10, 125:11, \\
\hline 21:24 & priority & 84:23, 172:21 & 125:12, 133:2, \\
\hline preservation & 124:16, 125:4, & proceedings & 150:12, 151:2, \\
\hline 143:6, 158:9 & 125:5, 126:16, & 183:4 & 151:19 \\
\hline preserved & 126:20, 127:5, & proceeds & programs \\
\hline 68:25 & 127:13, 127:20, & 63:6 & 71:21, 124:24 \\
\hline preserving & 127:21, 128:7 & process & project \\
\hline 66:25 & private & 63:5, 64:3, & 20:5, 27:8, \\
\hline pressure & 127:25, 128:1, & 66:3, 66:4, & 27:24, 43:1, \\
\hline \[
180: 14
\] & 128:14, 132:11, & \[
66: 11, \quad 69: 12,
\] & 53:2, 63:6, \\
\hline pretty & \[
168: 7
\] & \[
73: 16, \quad 89: 16,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
63: 10, & 67: 23, \\
87 \cdot 17 & 88 \cdot 9
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 140:21, 159:15 & privately & \[
89: 19, \quad 90: 2,
\] & \[
87: 17, \quad 88: 9,
\] \\
\hline prevent & \[
167: 17
\] & \[
149: 12,171: 7,
\] & \[
90: 8, \quad 90: 19,
\] \\
\hline 71:8, 100:15 & probably & 171:12, 173:11, & \[
97: 25,101: 9,
\] \\
\hline previous & 7:14, 9:6, & 173:18 & 101:22, 125:24, \\
\hline 12:17, 147:13 & 10:6, 10:18, & produced & 126:1, 128:14, \\
\hline previously & 21:23, 23:17, & 37:23 & 134:6, 135:22, \\
\hline 31:20, 49:18, & \(37: 2,39: 5\), & product & 168:3, 171:13, \\
\hline 63:19, 77:24, & 59:1, 61:2, & 113:21, 145:18, & 174:12 \\
\hline 91:11 & 73:9, 76:6, & 146:5 & projected \\
\hline prima & 84:7, 91:21, & products & 11:18, 11:20, \\
\hline 83:13 & 106:24, 125:16, & 146:9 & 12:3, 14:5, 25:6 \\
\hline primarily & 152:18, 157:1, & professional & projects \\
\hline 23:20, 32:4, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 160: 1, \quad 169: 14, \\
& 177: 21, \quad 180: 11,
\end{aligned}
\] & 24:18, 37:18, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 71: 20, \quad 87: 15 \\
& \text { promote }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 52:25, 88:9, & \[
180: 17
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
46:4, 71:16 \\
professionals
\end{tabular} & \[
146: 10
\] \\
\hline \(91: 1,140: 20\),
\(143: 7,152: 8\) & problem & professionals
\[
63: 14,73: 4,
\] & proper \\
\hline primary & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
33: 13, & 33: 14, \\
33: 19, & 36: 24
\end{array}
\] & 90:1, 90:18 & \[
71: 23,121: 2,
\] \\
\hline \[
31: 24,46: 2,
\]
\[
54: 5,104: 12
\] & \[
45: 18, \quad 52: 6
\] & proffer
\[
85: 15,93: 19
\] & \[
131: 24,142: 23
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 109:12, 116:8, & 70:22, 72:5, & 36:10, 48:8, & referred \\
\hline 116:25, 117:1, & 102:13, 105:16, & 50:25, 124:6, & 39:20 \\
\hline 126:21 & 119:15 & 175:23 & referring \\
\hline receive & recommending & redirects & 14:24, 15:8, \\
\hline 53:9 & 45:4, 45:6, & 41:9 & 15:13, 18:24, \\
\hline received & 106:6, 109:1 & redland & 19:16, 19:25, \\
\hline 5:9, 5:10, & recommends & 25:25, 32:24, & 20:1, 20:9, \\
\hline 11:10 & 29:12, 166:15 & \(33: 3,34: 15\), & 32:24, 44:21, \\
\hline recent & reconstruction & 34:20, 34:22, & 57:24, 108:20, \\
\hline 89:4, 174:8 & 176:2, 176:6 & 38:17, 45:23, & 114:1, 159:2 \\
\hline recess & reconvene & 49:8, 136:2, & refinement \\
\hline \[
59: 17
\] & 178:19 & 136:11, 137:3, & 164:7 \\
\hline recharge & record & 137:7, 160:23, & reflect \\
\hline 146:13, 147:7, & 5:8, 6:5, 7:3, & \[
161: 4,161: 11,
\] & \[
11: 17, \quad 24: 10,
\] \\
\hline 172:7 & 7:7, 7:8, 7:11, & \[
161: 16,161: 23,
\] & \[
118: 22
\] \\
\hline recognize & 7:13, 8:2, 9:16, & \[
162: 3,162: 11,
\] & reflected \\
\hline 34:7, 93:17 & 9:19, 9:24, & 175:9 & 22:20 \\
\hline recognized & 22:13, 52:10, & redmond & reflecting \\
\hline 90:4, 91:11, & 52:11, 54:9, & 142:8 & 107:21, 113:17 \\
\hline 129:18, 160:19 & 55:2, 57:8, & reduce & reflective \\
\hline recognizes & 60:10, 60:17, & 23:23, 45:22, & 114:9 \\
\hline \[
31: 12,31: 16
\] & 73:10, 86:1, & 69:21, 70:10, & reflects \\
\hline recognizing & 103:20, 103:24, & \(70: 17,70: 20\), & 108:9, 110:19 \\
\hline \[
94: 7
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
103: 25, & 104: 3, \\
131: 25, & 140: 3
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 72: 2, \quad 100: 11, \\
& 101: 11, \quad 102: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & refract \\
\hline recollect & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 131: 25,140: 3, \\
& 140: 4,147: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 101: 11, ~ 102: 20, \\
& 121: 5,121: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & 114:8 \\
\hline \[
129: 20,159: 24
\]
recollecting & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 140: 4, \quad 147: 20, \\
& 156: 13, \quad 156: 15,
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
121:5, 121:9 \\
reduced
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
refracting \\
113:17
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 144:11 & 162:16, 172:15, & 102:14, 105:13 & refraction \\
\hline recollection & 173:2, 174:6, & reduces & \[
114: 11
\] \\
\hline 30:9, 49:6, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 174: 11, ~ 181: 20, \\
& 183: 3
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 113: 18 \\
& \text { reduction }
\end{aligned}
\] & refresh \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 127: 8, \quad 144: 8, \\
& 144: 13, \quad 169: 17,
\end{aligned}
\] & recorded & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { reduction } \\
& 69: 12, \quad 69: 14,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 95: 25, \quad 169: 15, \\
& 169: 17
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
178: 21
\] & 137:25, 138:2, & 70:11, 119:17 & regard \\
\hline recommend & 138:10, 139:16, & redwood & 109:5, 118:5, \\
\hline 68:22, 82:21, & 141:2, 183:3 recording & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 137: 24 \\
& \text { reese }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
118: 20,119: 13
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
108: 16, & 120: 1, \\
120 \cdot 15
\end{array}
\] & 182:1, 183:5 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { reese } \\
& \text { 154:7, 154:13, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
122: 2,135: 14
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
120: 15
\] \\
recommendation
\end{tabular} & rectangular & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 154: 7, \quad 154: 13, \\
& 154: 17, \quad 154: 21,
\end{aligned}
\] & regarding
\[
99: 19
\] \\
\hline 53:9, 102:19, & 138:7 & 155:1, 155:4 & regardless \\
\hline 105:21, 143:2, & red
\[
96: 18, \quad 135: 12
\] & refer & 22:24 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
143: 9
\] \\
recommendations
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 96: 18, \quad 135: 12, \\
& 135: 23, \quad 136: 16,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6: 25, \quad 39: 4 \\
& \text { reference }
\end{aligned}
\] & region \\
\hline recommendations
\(53: 13,117: 15\), & 137:19, 142:2, & 46:3, 156:18, & \begin{tabular}{l}
82:10 \\
regional
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 118:24, 121:1, & 161:9, 161:16, & 157:2 & \[
87: 5
\] \\
\hline 142:22, 143:6, & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
162: 10
\] \\
redbud
\end{tabular} & referenced & regression \\
\hline 166:9 & redbud
\[
78: 19
\] & \[
5: 25,160: 8,
\] & 22:21, 22:24 \\
\hline recommended & \begin{tabular}{l}
78:19 \\
redirect
\end{tabular} & \[
167: 5,175: 25
\] & regular \\
\hline 45:4, 49:22, & \[
33: 8,36: 1,
\] & \[
167: 1
\] & 86:5, 157:16, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
158:11
regulation
44:11
rehash
94:2
reid
145:4
related
23:20, 68:2,
88:6, 90:16,
130:1, 136:2,
183:6
relates
143:13
relationship
23:3, 90:1
relative
73:1, 110:3,
117:24, 127:15,
142:16, 148:16,
160:3, 174:7
relatively
125:2
released
146:14
relevance
6:4
relocation
27:22
remain
102:15
remainder
157:17
remember
7:22, 22:6,
49:10, 49:11,
49:14, 92:3,
127:2, 128:19,
144:6, 144:13,
144:16, 146:16,
146:17, 146:21,
152:4, 161:5,
167:13, 181:17
remind
36:25
removal
65:6, 72:16,
74:17
``` & ```
removed
65:5, 117:11
repeat
31:13, 52:17,
67:2, 129:9,
142:19
repeated
147:9
repeating
151:9, 152:1
rephrase
127:16
replace
167:25
replacement
168:1, 168:7
reply
21:20
report
34:3, 43:25,
64:8, 76:15,
96:18, 112:2,
134:24, 147:19,
149:22, 161:18,
165:14, 165:24,
166:22, 174:22
reported
30:18, 46:23,
54:3, 137:22
reporter
4:10, 4:17,
33:23, 33:24,
52:20, 102:25,
181:5, 181:6,
181:8, 181:11,
181:13
represent
11:20, 55:17,
57:20
representation
7:20
representatives
63:14
represented
135:23
represents
13:20, 14:5,
16:16, 24:13,
``` & ```
24:14
request
63:11, 63:15,
105:10
requested
102:12
requesting
153: 6
require
144:25
required
16:5, 31:9,
42:17, 50:23,
77:17, 83:2,
107:10, 107:11,
107:12, 112:19,
112:24, 122:11,
122:24, 123:17,
170:13
requirement
102:9, 107:3,
143:8, 153:5,
168:25, 172:1
requirements
42:3, 77:7,
88:13, 93:14,
102:3, 102:4,
112:8, 132:15,
143:5, 153:7
reran
45:20
research
137:22
residence
62:15, 74:14,
97:23, 101:24
residences
34:19
residential
120:10, 164:24,
165:2, 166:15,
175:15
residents
30:9
resolve
133:18
resolved
133:20
``` & ```
resolves
134:7
resource
156:24
resources
124:23, 134:19
respect
111:18
respective
57:16, 57:21
respondent
144:2
response
14:22, 19:1,
35:8, 35:14,
41:12, 41:18
responsibility
70:6
responsible
52:25
responsive
129:17
rest
21:1, 157:20,
158:20
restoration
157:22
restrict
67:21
restriction
100:20
result
21:25, 23:5,
24:9, 30:20,
46:18, 49:12,
53:18, 99:14,
129:25, 131:4
results
22:10, 22:19,
22:21, 22:24,
46:23
resuming
9:24, 52:11,
103:25, 156:15
retail
20:23, 20:24,
21:3
retain
71:5, 71:7,
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on April 9, 2021
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
120:2
retention
170:14
return
71:11, 120:3
revealed
130:23
reverberate
116:4
reverberating
33:12
reverses
59:9
review
24:23, 42:4,
42:18, 63:7,
86:14, 91:5,
97:13, 114:13,
179:23
reviewed
7:11, 40:3,
176:14
reviewer
35:13
reviewing
24:21, 135:21
reviews
24:22, 171:9
rhetorical
128:25
rid
177:10
riding
142:10
right
4:20, 8:1, 8:9,
9:2, 13:2, 14:2,
15:7, 16:10,
18:14, 23:10,
24:1, 24:11,
24:24, 25:15,
25:16, 25:17,
26:5, 26:24,
29:3, 29:23,
31:3, 33:21,
33:22, 35:19,
36:23, 40:19,
46:19, 47:6,
``` &  & ```
108:22
ripping
66:6
rising
78:11
road
5:4, 11:2,
11:11, 16:17,
32:16, 32:17,
32:18, 34:20,
34:22, 34:24,
43:25, 45:15,
55:5, 136:2,
136:8, 136:12,
137:3, 137:7,
137:23, 137:24,
138:10, 138:14,
138:17, 139:14,
140:20, 141:9,
141:10, 142:8,
142:18, 142:24,
143:4, 147:10,
147:11, 152:13,
155:23, 155:24,
155:25, 157:12,
157:13, 158:13,
159:6, 159:8,
159:12, 161:11,
162:2, 162:3,
166:19, 174:16,
175:4, 175:8,
175:9
roads
138:7, 166:19
roadway
32:5
rock
132:7, 134:14,
134:16, 141:25,
143:4, 143:14,
155:18, 155:23,
157:11, 159:5,
159:18, 166:5,
166:7, 173:21
rockville
2:6, 2:14, 32:7
rodriguez's
16:4
``` & ```
role
91:1
roll
163:10
room
26:14, 26:24,
105:9, 106:14,
148:17
root
61:15, 61:19,
62:6, 62:14,
62:16, 65:19,
66:1, 66:3,
66:8, 66:9,
67:7, 68:14,
68:17, 69:5,
69:10, 69:14,
117:17, 117:19,
118:7, 118:8,
118:9, 118:12,
118:25, 119:4,
119:7, 120:15
roots
61:17, 66:7,
66:13, 66:15,
66:22, 67:10,
67:13, 68:16,
68:19, 71:25,
118:16
rough
148:17
roughly
12:22, 152:9
round
44:8, 145:23
routine
87:14
routinely
70:11, 87:23,
87:24, 88:8,
89:18
rrrr
3:17, 54:8,
56:9, 57:8,
57:9, 57:14,
58:20, 59:4,
59:11, 60:13,
\(61: 5,62: 1,62: 9\)
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline rubber & same & \[
161: 6,177: 25
\] & scopes \\
\hline \[
146: 6, \quad 147: 6
\] & \[
11: 13,20: 3,
\] & saying & \[
91: 3
\] \\
\hline rule & 24:12, 33:19, & 15:18, 22:3, & scott \\
\hline 19:7 & 42:20, 84:6, & 22:9, 22:23, & 3:6 \\
\hline rules & 138:14, 147:11, & 29:24, 33:23, & screen \\
\hline 17:23, 43:1, & 149:15, 149:21, & 33:25, 36:6, & 10:9, 15:4, \\
\hline 43:2, 43:5, 43:7 & 151:10, 152:2, & 44:11, 54:24, & 24:11, 34:18, \\
\hline ruling & 176:25, 177:23 & 105:15, 106:8, & 59:13, 74:7, \\
\hline 8:7, 93:7, & sample & 106:9, 106:16, & 74:11, 95:15 \\
\hline 134:1 & 20:7, 20:13 & 107:10, 112:7, & screening \\
\hline run & samples & 112:10, 146:16, & 82:3, 102:3 \\
\hline 87:19, 165:16 & 20:21 & 146:17, 146:19, & scroll \\
\hline rustle & sarah & 149:6, 153:3, & 37:15, 57:13, \\
\hline 109:23 & 181:6 & 153:4, 159:11, & 58:17, 61:24, \\
\hline rustler & satisfied & 159:16, 164:13, & 96:10, 97:2 \\
\hline 109:25 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{132:15 satisfy} & 175:18, 179:24 & scrolled \\
\hline rustling & & says & 74:11 \\
\hline 17:18, 17:20, & 77:2, 77:7 & 12:16, 15:10, & season \\
\hline 17:21, 109:19, & save & 76:2, 76:17, & 82:12 \\
\hline 109:21 & 52:9, 177:12 & 98:18, 131:25, & seat \\
\hline S & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{saw
\[
40: 4, \quad 46: 20
\]} & 134:8, 142:21, & 47:11 \\
\hline S \(3.11,169.4\) & & \[
164: 10, \quad 171: 10
\] & second
\[
9: 1, \quad 19: 8,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3: 11, \quad 169: 4, \\
& 169: 5, \quad 172: 13,
\end{aligned}
\] & 4:11, 6:21, & scale & \[
24: 14,29: 2,
\] \\
\hline 169:5, 172:13,
\[
174: 8
\] & 14:1, 18:8, & 34:18, 108:2, & 42:21, 44:22, \\
\hline sacs & 20:25, 25:8, & \(108: 5,125: 3\),
\(148 \cdot 15,148 \cdot 16\) & 45:23, 55:1, \\
\hline 138:8 & 27:11, 29:7, & 148:15, 148:16 & 59:17, 60:2, \\
\hline safe & 32:5, 43:6, & Scan 58.58 & 83:12, 86:20, \\
\hline \[
6: 19,61: 22
\] & 52:5, 52:8, & \(58: 3,58: 14\)
schedule & 97:10, 129:14, \\
\hline \[
112: 1
\] & 54:24, 56:6, & schedule & 134:20, 135:7, \\
\hline said & 58:5, 70:21, & 6:21, 70:8 & 136:22, 139:23, \\
\hline \[
16: 15, \quad 37: 12,
\] & \[
75: 14, \quad 79: 12
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { scheduled } \\
& 8: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & 157:11, 163:14, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 40: 12, \quad 41: 12, \\
& 60: 5, \quad 89: 7,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 84: 8, \quad 85: 4, \\
& 85: 16, \quad 87: 1,
\end{aligned}
\] & scheme & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 165: 15, \quad 170: 4, \\
& 170: 8, \quad 170: 24
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
102: 10,108: 8,
\] & 87:24, 88:1, & 141:24 & secretary \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
108: 10, & 109: 6,
\end{array}
\] & 91:21, 99:10, & school & 6:10 \\
\hline 109:8, 110:6, & 100:3, 101:9, & 1:4, 2:2, 5:3, & section \\
\hline 116:16, 118:11, & 102:13, 107:5, & 5:24, 6:18, & 16:21, 76:16, \\
\hline 120:6, 121:3, & 113:15, 113:25, & 6:19, 6:20, & 102:3, 102:6, \\
\hline 131:22, 137:6, & 116:20, 116:24, & 92:10 & 104:13, 104:20, \\
\hline 150:10, 156:18, & 120:6, 122:18, & science & \[
138: 18,156: 23
\] \\
\hline 157:25, 161:6, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
125: 17, & 131: 1, \\
131: 20, & 132: 18
\end{array}
\] & \[
42: 7,42: 12 \text {, }
\]
\[
147: 4
\] & sections \\
\hline 167:8, 177:6, & 131:20, 132:18, &  & 93:14, 160:8, \\
\hline 177:7, 179:16, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 132: 25, ~ 133: 14, \\
& 134: 9, \quad 142: 14,
\end{aligned}
\] & scope
\[
7: 24,22: 14,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 163: 24,164: 3 \\
& \text { see }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 183: 4 \\
& \text { sake }
\end{aligned}
\] & 142:16, 143:1, & 47:3, 84:15, & 5:19, 6:15, \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{44:9} & 144:21, 144:23, & 85:21, 88:7, & 7:14, 8:18, \\
\hline & 146:2, 148:14, & 88:10, 89:20 & 8:19, 9:3, 9:16, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
87:2, 87:21, \\
96:23, 116:21, \\
135:9, 168:13,
\[
169: 12
\] \\
sees
\[
45: 12
\] \\
select \\
58:16, 91:7, 101:21 \\
selected
\[
96: 11,97: 24
\]
\[
98: 24, \quad 100: 7
\] \\
selection
\[
88: 5, \quad 90: 25
\] \\
selective \\
70:16, 70:18, 117:15, 119:19 selectively 70:12, 70:19 self-funded 128:1 \\
sense \\
9:7, 56:7, \\
130:7, 180:17 \\
sent \\
5:23 \\
sentence \\
159:10, 170:4, \\
170:8, 170:25, \\
171: 4 \\
separate \\
43:20 \\
separately \\
165:19 \\
separation \\
82:4 \\
series \\
32:7, 45:15, \\
116:25 \\
serious \\
54:4 \\
serve \\
128:2 \\
service \\
38:14, 38:18, \\
54:2, 114:7, \\
114:8 \\
services \\
90:7, 145:18,
\end{tabular} & ```
148:12, 168:4,
169:22
set
9:6, 88:20,
105:4, 178:19
setback
102:8, 102:9,
104:14, 104:25,
105:1, 105:2,
106:1, 106:20,
152:12, 152:13
setting
132:9
several
11:9, 19:4,
64:17, 69:19,
121:17, 153:18,
161:12, 162:9
shade
80:18, 80:19,
81:19, 82:21,
82:25, 108:6,
108:19
shady
136:15
shape
40:16, 40:17,
152: 8
shaped
141:5
share
55:1, 135:1,
177:25
shared
6:16, 14:3,
14:6, 24:15,
48:13, 64:3,
64:10, 65:11,
68:6
sharing
89:18
shavings
120:12
sheet
16:10, 44:7,
100:5
shield
100:8, 100:9,
``` & ```
100:13, 100:14,
110:17, 110:21
shielded
111:6
shields
110:7, 110:12,
111:8
shift
174:13
shine
100:15
shooting
38:2
short
161:25, 177:21
shortcomings
41:15
shorter
38:4, 82:21
should
8:11, 8:25,
10:21, 15:3,
18:3, 22:4,
22:17, 34:5,
36:18, 37:24,
38:9, 38:22,
38:23, 39:2,
42:24, 60:12,
64:1, 72:11,
73:25, 74:11,
79:12, 85:6,
89:24, 95:5,
95:18, 99:4,
101:9, 102:13,
111:15, 135:9,
141:14, 141:17,
145:21, 158:14,
160:23, 161:4,
161:11, 161:23,
165:20, 179:11
should've
38:21
shouldn't
144:22
show
75:7, 75:16,
76:22, 77:4,
77:10, 96:2,
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & ```
137:2, 137:7,
137:8, 142:8,
147:10, 147:11,
152:16, 163:20,
177:21, 177:23
sides
110:20
sight
98:16
sighting
103:8
sign
58:5
signage
65:9
signal
49:12, 49:14,
50:6
signalization
49:7
signalized
41:24
signals
32:7, 32:12
signature-mig2k
183:10
significant
33:5, 54:3
significantly
72:2, 121:4
signs
138:21
sim
39:2, 41:25
similar
42:20, 87:16
simple
29:19, 84:22,
127:15
simpler
21:22, 110:24
simplistic
23:17
simply
84:3, 126:23
since
5:9, 41:13,
144:18, 164:22,
``` &  & ```
165:1, 165:9,
165:23, 166:6,
167:6, 167:10,
167:13, 167:21,
168:17, 169:12,
169:19, 170:15,
170:25, 171:4,
175:4
sit
27:10, 48:20,
105:25, 107:11,
122:15, 155:3,
167:21, 168:19,
177:13
site
62:12, 63:8,
65:3, 65:6,
67:25, 68:3,
69:3, 69:15,
69:18, 71:14,
72:7, 75:20,
87:18, 99:11,
101:7, 118:5,
118:14, 119:5,
123:6, 123:7,
123:20, 123:23,
140:25, 142:1,
142:2, 149:21,
151:8, 151:25,
152:7, 152:15,
152:16, 153:1,
153:19, 162:1,
166:8, 171:2,
175:4
sites
157:15
sits
154:21
sitting
28:21, 55:11
situation
23:6, 38:21,
39:4, 101:14,
105:18, 121:12,
128:21, 129:11,
132:7, 132:9,
132:16
situations
42:2
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline six & 50:23 & somewhere & 142:10, 143:7, \\
\hline 152:25, 178:25 & software's & 13:19 & 147:10, 155:24, \\
\hline size & 50:14 & soon & 156:19, 157:25, \\
\hline 20:5, 74:21, & solid & 138:1, 163:15, & 159:12, 176:7 \\
\hline 82:14, 105:2, & 78:22, 78:23 & 178:7 & southern \\
\hline 147:11, 151:2, & some & sorry & 97:22, 157:25 \\
\hline 151:19, 162:20, & 10:17, 15:19, & 4:15, 14:8, & space \\
\hline 165:11 & 16:24, 17:18, & 17:13, 23:11, & 15:16, 20:23, \\
\hline sizes & 20:19, 20:22, & 24:5, 30:5, & 20:24, 21:3, \\
\hline 142:4, 142:12, & 26:21, 30:15, & 31:13, 35:10, & 28:15, 32:16, \\
\hline 162:17 & 33:20, 41:25, & 35:11, 40:12, & 44:5, 47:18, \\
\hline skilled & 42:10, 42:13, & 44:2, 55:11, & 74:18, 90:21, \\
\hline 97:17 & 47:22, 50:5, & 55:23, 57:3, & 102:16, 106:10, \\
\hline skips & 50:13, 62:20, & 58:7, 58:9, & 106:11, 106:12, \\
\hline 166:13 & 66:22, 67:23, & 60:13, 67:9, & 106:15, 143:6, \\
\hline sky & 68:15, 69:13, & 68:7, 72:5, & 165:16, 166:20, \\
\hline 86:4, 86:18, & 71:1, 71:13, & 77:22, 80:5, & 173:3, 173:22 \\
\hline 87:2, 87:4 & 83:15, 87:22, & 86:6, 91:18, & spaced \\
\hline slice & 93:4, 103:5, & 97:1, 100:19, & 32:8, 32:10, \\
\hline 118:13 & 103:9, 106:24, & 102:19, 105:15, & 77:19, 78:2 \\
\hline slightly & 109:19, 110:13, & 105:21, 107:6, & spacing \\
\hline 20:4, 83:6, & 111:18, 117:15, & 110:1, 113:24, & 82:20, 82:24, \\
\hline 99:13, 108:12, & 117:16, 118:16, & 114:24, 118:4, & 108:16 \\
\hline 108:13 & 123:13, 127:14, & 126:9, 134:25, & speak \\
\hline slow & 127:20, 133:6, & 135:3, 135:6, & 8:6, 34:1, \\
\hline 58:21, 58:22 & 134:7, 135:6, & 137:8, 137:16, & 88:11, 90:6 \\
\hline slow-release & 148:23, 148:24, & 139:5, 145:5, & speaker \\
\hline 69:23 & 149:7, 149:16, & 145:7, 146:15, & 59:19 \\
\hline slowly & \[
163: 11
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 150: 10, \quad 153: 24, \\
& 159: 1, \quad 170: 10,
\end{aligned}
\] & speaking \\
\hline 66:4 & somebody
\[
56: 8,74: 10,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 159: 1, \quad 170: 10, \\
& 170: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
5: 22, \quad 104: 21
\] \\
\hline small & \[
74: 22,84: 8 \text {, }
\] & sort & speaks \\
\hline 145:22 & \[
84: 23, \quad 85: 6,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sort } \\
& 36: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & 74:25, 75:3, \\
\hline smaller & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 84:23, } 85: 6, \\
& 109: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & sound & \(75: 16\)
spec \\
\hline 142:7 & someone & 23:17 & \[
100: 4
\] \\
\hline 124:16, 125:9, & 45:12, 84:11, & sounds & special \\
\hline 125:12, 127:8 & \begin{tabular}{l}
121:25 \\
something
\end{tabular} & \[
4: 17,139: 25,
\] & \[
50: 5, \quad 157: 23
\] \\
\hline software & \[
26: 12,32: 13,
\] & source & \begin{tabular}{l}
species \\
77:12, 78:18
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(12: 2, ~ 12: 19\),
\(14: 11, ~ 18: 9\), & \[
42: 5,43: 12,
\] & 112:3, 112:16, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 77: 12, \quad 78: 18, \\
& 82: 4, \quad 82: 9,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
30: 23, \quad 31: 2,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 44: 8, \quad 45: 8, \\
& 45: 24, \quad 84: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
144: 18, \quad 164: 4
\] & \[
82: 16, \quad 82: 19
\] \\
\hline \(31: 7,31: 10\), & 94:22, 127:3, & sources
\[
112: 22
\] & specific \\
\hline \(31: 12,31: 16\), & \[
130: 1, \quad 132: 12,
\] & \(112: 22\)
south & 35:14, 47:5, \\
\hline 31:18, 33:4, & \[
144: 21,154: 18
\] & south \(35: 5,137: 11\), & 62:14,
\(114: 22,19,121: 18\), \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
37: 23, & 38: 2, \\
38: 23, & 38: 24
\end{array}
\] & sometimes & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 35: 5,137: 11, \\
& 138: 14,139: 1,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 114: 19, ~ 121: 18, \\
& 154: 19, \\
& 160: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
39: 1,43: 8,
\] & \[
58: 11, \quad 58: 24
\] & \[
139: 8, \quad 140: 19,
\] & 166:10, 167:25 \\
\hline 50:14, 50:20, & somewhat & 140:25, 141:10, & specifically \\
\hline & 144:9 & & 90:24, 91:2, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
176:4, 176:5,
176:6, 176:13
stormwater
170:13
story
78:25, 79:9,
83:5
straight
19:13, 25:16,
138:6
straight-line
20:1, 22:1,
22:5, 22:19
stream
157:1
streams
157:12, 157:13,
159:6, 159:9,
159:17
street
2:5, 2:12,
59:19
stress
64:18, 66:5,
66:11, 69:12,
69:14, 69:21,
\(70: 10,72: 2\),
121:5, 121:9
strictly
15:9, 15:17
strike
175:2
stringent
143:8, 156:20,
158:1, 159:11
stringently
156:20
stringy
120:12
strongly
7:7
student
5:3
students
123:13
studies
24:22
study
\(3: 13\), 10:10,
``` &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
93:13, 176:14 substantial \\
138:5 \\
substantially \\
142:6, 157:19 \\
substitute \\
84:22 \\
success \\
71:16 \\
successful \\
172:3 \\
sufficient \\
15:15, 44:17, \\
106:5, 106:22 \\
suggest \\
62:1, 82:20, \\
101:18, 119:6 \\
suggested \\
113:20 \\
suggestion \\
70:23, 83:8 \\
suggests \\
132:25 \\
suitable
166:11 \\
suite \\
2:13, 52:23 \\
summarize \\
152: 6 \\
summary \\
50:11 \\
supervising \\
53:1 \\
supplement \\
23:24 \\
supplemental \\
5:9, 5:13, \\
46:15 \\
supplied \\
30:20 \\
support \\
124:24, 128:8, \\
159:17, 160:2 \\
supported \\
105:11, 136:16 \\
supporting \\
123:13 \\
supports \\
99:17
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { supposed } \\
& 60: 20 \\
& \text { sure } \\
& 4: 16, \quad 8: 21, \\
& 8: 22,17: 2, \\
& 26: 20,29: 16, \\
& 43: 6,54: 13, \\
& 58: 13,63: 4, \\
& 67: 3,69: 1, \\
& 76: 1,76: 12, \\
& 84: 6,85: 8, \\
& 85: 19,89: 22, \\
& 90: 9,92: 3, \\
& 128: 16,129: 17, \\
& 131: 24,154: 14, \\
& 164: 6,178: 1, \\
& 179: 22,180: 10, \\
& 181: 6 \\
& \text { surface } \\
& 66: 13,66: 16, \\
& 87: 21,100: 2, \\
& 101: 20,145: 25, \\
& 147: 6,147: 14, \\
& 170: 2,171: 2, \\
& 172: 6,172: 7, \\
& 173: 7 \\
& \text { surprised } \\
& 85: 6 \\
& \text { surprises } \\
& 92: 5 \\
& \text { surrounding } \\
& 96: 6,101: 17, \\
& 111: 2,112: 15, \\
& 113: 14,114: 11, \\
& 135: 12,135: 14, \\
& 135: 16,136: 14, \\
& 160: 22,161: 4, \\
& 161: 23 \\
& \text { survey } \\
& 69: 8 \\
& \text { surveyor } \\
& 63: 22 \\
& \text { survivability } \\
& 63: 3 \\
& \text { sustain } \\
& 148: 8 \\
& \text { swing } \\
& 26: 24
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline swings & 118:4, 149:14, & 149:11, 172:4, & 151:13, 151:15, \\
\hline 153:1 & 161:17, 162:13, & 173:10 & 154:8, 154:13, \\
\hline switching & 166:2, 169:16, & technician & 154:15, 154:17, \\
\hline 18:20 & 172:16 & 2:18 & 154:22, 155:1, \\
\hline sworn & taken & techniques & 155:12, 155:17, \\
\hline 52:16 & 39:18, 63:1 & 68:23 & 159:20, 171:13, \\
\hline sympathetic & takes & technological & 172:23, 172:24 \\
\hline 177:22 & 20:5 & 103:5 & testify \\
\hline synchro & taking & technology & 83:19, 84:21, \\
\hline 31:21, 31:23, & 7:19, 23:25, & 147:8 & 84:24, 87:3, \\
\hline \(32: 3,32: 8\), & 40:22, 158:14 & tecler & 91:22, 92:18, \\
\hline 32:12, 38:24, & talk & 2:11 & 92:20, 93:1, \\
\hline 38:25 & 60:5, 62:24, & tell & 93:2, 93:5, \\
\hline synthetic & 64:23, 76:2, & 21:6, 21:9, & 93:22, 113:8, \\
\hline 146:2, 147:5, & 126:19, 145:3 & 40:22, 57:15, & 122:8, 122:11, \\
\hline 171:1, 171:16 & talked & 92:17, 95:3, & 129:21, 167:7 \\
\hline system & 46:3, 46:18 & 118:23, 125:9, & testifying \\
\hline 60:19, 79:14, & talking & 134:4, 148:2, & 17:3, 34:23, \\
\hline 127:19, 127:21, & 15:9, 18:9, & 161:22, 164:4 & 85:6, 113:5 \\
\hline 127:22, 127:23, & 23:19, 29:22, & telling & testimony \\
\hline 128:2, 129:8, & 40:23, 41:3, & 22:25, 84:12 & 3:3, 3:4, 3:5, \\
\hline 129:24, 130:3, & 49:15, 55:11, & tells & 3:6, 7:11, \\
\hline 131:4, 132:2, & 66:13, 66:14, & 20:9, 22:16, & 14:16, 16:21, \\
\hline 132:6, 133:23, & 67:6, 67:16, & 163:15 & 16:25, 18:22, \\
\hline 167:8, 167:12, & \(76: 12,76: 16\), & terms & 25:15, 26:3, \\
\hline 167:16, 176:3, & 110:4, 119:18, & 23:7, 88:5, & 30:8, 30:14, \\
\hline 176:13 & 126:3, 139:4, & 110:24, 133:8, & \(30: 15,30: 17\), \\
\hline systems & \[
139: 7, \quad 139: 13,
\] & \[
158: 14
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 33: 1, \quad 35: 1, \\
& 47: 16, \quad 47: 17
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
117: 17
\] & \[
140: 6, \quad 160: 4
\] & terrace & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
47: 16, & 47: 17, \\
47: 24, & 48: 16,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline T & talks & 141:1 & \[
47: 24,48: 16,
\]
\[
49: 9,49: 17,
\] \\
\hline table & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
143: 12 \\
\text { tall }
\end{array}
\] & test
\[
61: 11, \quad 96: 18,
\] & 49:23, 50:18, \\
\hline taiwan & 77:14 & 136:22 & 53:16, 55:13, \\
\hline 174:15 & taller & testified & 61:7, 72:15, \\
\hline taiwanese & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
79: 16
\] \\
taper
\end{tabular} & 14:20, 16:19, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 86: 1, \quad 90: 6, \\
& 90: 14, \quad 91: 10,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 34:22, 34:25, & taper
\[
13: 18,13: 21,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 16: 24, \quad 17: 1, \\
& 17: 8, \quad 17: 9,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
93: 25,102: 1,
\] \\
\hline take & 44:24, 45:2 & 17:25, 18:3, & 103:7, 103:13, \\
\hline 10:23, 13:12, & tapscott & 25:23, 49:4, & 104:8, 104:11, \\
\hline \[
17: 15,17: 16,
\] & 35:5, 114:15 & 85:1, \(90: 17\), & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 106: 1, \quad 106: 4, \\
& 107: 21, \quad 109: 3,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 24:6, 29:15, & teach & 91:23, 104:7, & \[
109: 5,109: 7
\] \\
\hline \[
39: 10,39: 20,
\] & 39:20 & 108:22, 110:11, &  \\
\hline 40:4, 47:18, & team & 115:12, 122:7, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
110: 2, & 110: 7, \\
111: 15, & 112: 7
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 50:15, 52:4, & 64:6 & 122:10, 122:12, & \[
116: 6, \quad 118: 20,
\] \\
\hline 52:7, 67:17, & technical & 123:23, 129:20, & \[
120: 21, \quad 122: 6,
\] \\
\hline 68:10, 98:19, & 56:17, 61:14, & 143:22, 149:6, & \[
123: 12,124: 15
\] \\
\hline 103:20, 117:1, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
63: 14, & 76: 15, \\
76 \cdot 20, & 90 \cdot 1
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
150: 16, & 150: 17, \\
150: 20, & 150: 23,
\end{array}
\] & 126:25, 131:3, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 58:14, 58:17, & times & topping & transcribed \\
\hline 61:24, 62:20, & 28:19, 30:2, & 70:18, 119:18 & 1:25, 183:4 \\
\hline 63:6, 78:23, & 90:3, 102:8, & total & transcriber \\
\hline 79:14, 89:19, & 105:1 & 11:19, 11:23, & 183:1 \\
\hline 92:2, 111:17, & timing & 11:24, 12:18, & transcript \\
\hline 116:17, 118:5, & 49:13, 49:14, & 12:24, 12:25, & 155:9, 155:15, \\
\hline 127:9, 142:4, & 71:23 & 13:1, 16:9, & 178:25, 183:3 \\
\hline 146:11, 147:6, & title & 16:13, 25:18, & transmitted \\
\hline 149:9, 152:4, & 10:17 & 29:21, 113:18, & 6:11 \\
\hline 152:5, 152:22, & tmi & 115:21 & transport \\
\hline 152:25, 153:11, & 180:19 & toward & 41:19 \\
\hline 153:13, 159:25, & today & 62:8, 110:13 & transportation \\
\hline 176:6 & 11:2, 11:4, & towards & 37:18, 42:4, \\
\hline throughout & 27:10, 48:16, & 63:10, 66:8, & 42:18 \\
\hline 70:5, 71:20, & 48:20, 48:21, & 99:15, 100:15, & transpose \\
\hline 124:24 & 49:16, 49:18, & 101:12 & \[
98: 7
\] \\
\hline throw & 53:14, 60:19, & townhouses & travel \\
\hline 96:17, 98:14, & 105:25, 107:11, & 142:9 & 66:8 \\
\hline 99:18, 100:12, & 117:1, 154:21, & track & traveling \\
\hline 101:11, 110:25 & 155:3, 167:21, & 95:10 & 67:11, 96:17 \\
\hline thrown & 168:20, 169:13, & traffic & travels \\
\hline 99:15 & 174:6, 177:3, & 11:1, 11:6, & 16:22, 65:15, \\
\hline thumb & 177:10 & 11:7, 11:8, & 113:18 \\
\hline 19:7 & together & 11:9, 11:11, & treated \\
\hline thursday & 32:8, 32:14, & 11:12, 11:14, & \[
156: 19
\] \\
\hline 50:4 & 69:7, 81:22, & 11:18, 11:20, & treats \\
\hline tightly & 146:7, 152:14 & 14:10, 18:11, & 53:25 \\
\hline 32:16 & token & 26:16, 27:2, & tree \\
\hline time & 84:6 & 28:19, 28:21, & 3:10, 54:2, \\
\hline 27:20, 28:19, & told & 28:23, 30:19, & 56:16, 56:18, \\
\hline 46:5, 51:9, & 21:10, 22:13, & \(31: 7,32: 7\), & 61:14, 61:21, \\
\hline 59:8, 64:12, & 132:10 & 32:20, 33:3, & 62:10, 62:13, \\
\hline 65:6, 66:5, & took & 39:2, 41:20, & 62:14, 65:12, \\
\hline 71:7, 71:9, & 74:9, 159:25 & 41:25, 42:7, & 70:11, 70:13, \\
\hline 72:20, 77:10, & tool & 45:3, 48:12, & \(70: 18,70: 21\), \\
\hline \(77: 18,77: 20\), & 31:21, 32:12 & 50:1, 50:2, & \[
70: 23,76: 16,
\] \\
\hline 78:2, 79:4, & tools & 50:12, 50:19, & \[
76: 18,76: 22,
\] \\
\hline 79:5, 79:11, & 31:19, 42:1, & 56:16 & \[
77: 18, \quad 77: 25,
\] \\
\hline 79:12, 79:22, & 42:12, 101:19 & trail & \[
78: 1,78: 4,
\] \\
\hline 80:22, 81:15, & top & 147:10 & \[
78: 14,78: 15,
\] \\
\hline 92:9, 103:7, & 37:14, 37:15, & train & \[
78: 16,78: 21,
\] \\
\hline 103:9, 107:15, & 109:12, 146:4, & \[
144: 9
\] & \[
79: 8,82: 8,
\] \\
\hline 126:2, 129:6, & \[
165: 25,166: 14
\] & trained & \[
82: 17,88: 13,
\] \\
\hline 171:6, 171:11, & topic & \[
37: 23, \quad 37: 24,
\] & 88:16, 89:12, \\
\hline 171:25, 173:17, & \[
12: 7,12: 8
\] & 38:10, 38:21, & 107:6, 119:17, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 177: 8, \quad 177: 16, \\
& 177: 19, \quad 178: 10,
\end{aligned}
\] & topics & \[
46: 5
\] & \[
120: 13
\] \\
\hline 177:19, 178:10,
180:7, 180:25 & 46:2 & training & trees \\
\hline 180:7, 180:25 & topo
\[
75: 20
\] & 46:4 & 53:17, 53:19, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
turned
\[
4: 13,167: 19
\]
turning
\[
26: 14
\] \\
turns
\[
35: 4,49: 2
\] \\
twice \\
37:19 \\
two
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
26:3, 29:16,
33:23, 33:25,
35:13, 40:12,
40:24, 42:23,
48:8, 49:16,
73:8, 79:24,
85:3, 90:14,
90:17, 106:4,
108:21, 110:2,
110:7, 116:21,
122:2, 123:11,
124:3, 125:8,
127:21, 130:6,
146:24, 160:24,
160:25
understandable
39:9
understanding
25:15, 39:14,
41:13, 55:14,
73:24, 96:3,
107:25, 109:2,
111:14, 117:7,
122:23
understood
18:22, 24:16,
113:9, 135:25
understory
78:4, 78:17,
78:24, 79:3,
79:8, 79:15,
80:7, 80:16,
83:2, 107:7,
108:5
unfortunately
8:11, 9:15,
19:5
unidentified
59:19
unit
100:20, 110:20
units
107:4, 145:21
unless
22:10, 22:22,
43:6, 50:5,
51:4, 117:4,
120:23, 128:8
``` &  &  & ```
valid
84:3, 86:18,
135:14, 136:1
valleys
157:1
valuable
7:13
valuation
27:11
vandaugh's
63:24
varies
135:19
variety
112:21
various
90:7, 91:2
vegetation
72:3
versa
162:3
version
164:11
versus
16:4, 21:5,
23:4, 29:6,
44:5, 120:12
vertical
78:5, 79:2,
98:6, 146:10
vertically
83:6, 111:19
via
170:13
vice
162:3
view
62:3, 72:19,
73:2, 74:20,
76:5, 76:8,
79:13, 82:1,
84:19, 99:5,
99:17, 130:4
viewable
96:6
viewed
75:24, 77:13,
121:15
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline viewing & 58:4, 64:11, & 128:16, 128:20, & \[
158: 9,158: 11,
\] \\
\hline 74:23 & 74:4, 77:3, & 129:9, 130:15, & 158:17 \\
\hline views & 88:22, 88:23, & 131:1, 131:18, & watersheds \\
\hline 74:18, 75:3, & 101:6, 114:20, & 131:20, 132:22, & 157:1 \\
\hline 75:4, 76:6, & 121:25, 133:10, & 133:15, 134:6, & way \\
\hline 78:7, 81:23, & 133:11, 139:21, & 135:7, 139:12, & 8:16, 9:6, 9:9, \\
\hline 82:3, 166:18 & 178:24, 179:20 & 139:13, 141:20, & 9:18, 21:23, \\
\hline vigor & waiver & 142:14, 150:24, & 25:24, 41:23, \\
\hline 70:2 & 102:12, 102:18, & 151:7, 151:16, & 45:25, 48:6, \\
\hline virginia & 102:19, 105:10, & 151:24, 155:8, & 50:13, 107:14, \\
\hline 52:23 & 105:14, 105:19, & 156:3, 163:23, & 108:18, 108:20, \\
\hline virtual & 105:23, 106:2, & 169:12, 177:3, & 110:12, 112:5, \\
\hline 79:1 & 106:16, 122:2, & 179:8, 180:21 & 116:23, 121:10, \\
\hline virtually & 122:3, 122:7, & wanted & 145:25, 151:6, \\
\hline \[
1: 9
\] & 122:9, 122:10, & 57:12, 58:14, & 151:23, 153:8 \\
\hline visibility & 122:13, 122:24, & 74:19, 77:11, & ways \\
\hline 86:2 & \[
123: 17
\] & \[
130: 1, \quad 162: 17
\] & \[
27: 5,42: 16
\] \\
\hline visible & walford & wants & \[
44: 15
\] \\
\hline 81:17, 116:16 & \[
151: 18, \quad 151: 24
\] & \[
125: 17,163: 16
\] & we'll \\
\hline visited & walk & warranted & 156:13 \\
\hline 129:10 & 64:7, 69:3, & 50:6 & we 're \\
\hline visiting & 69:6, 69:18, & wash & 9:15, 14:18, \\
\hline \[
180: 16
\] & 161:25 & 81:7 & 29:21, 38:12, \\
\hline vista & walked & water & 40:23, 42:20, \\
\hline 72:17, 73:1, & 121:15, 121:18 & 70:21, 71:3, & \[
52: 10, \quad 80: 25
\] \\
\hline 73:5 & walking & 74:15, 78:10, & 83:1, 97:10, \\
\hline visual & 60:25, 136:15, & 118:16, 130:20, & \[
104: 21, \quad 160: 4
\] \\
\hline 78:22, 79:1, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 136: 25 \\
& \text { walkwav }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 146: 10, \\
& 146: 14, \\
& 147: 6,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 181:15, } 181: 20 \\
& \text { we've }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
79: 11,79: 12,
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
walkway \\
145.23
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 146: 14, \quad 147: 6, \\
& 148: 1,148: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] & we've \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 79: 13, \quad 111: 17 \\
& \text { voice }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 145: 23 \\
& \text { wall }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 148: 1, \quad 148: 8, \\
& 148: 10, \quad 157: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 27: 6, \quad 27: 7, \\
& 27: 14, \quad 27: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 59:23 & 111:17 & 157:20, 167:12, & 32:4, 75:14, \\
\hline voir & walsh & 168:3, 170:12, & 150:15, 151:3, \\
\hline \[
83: 23,83: 25
\] & 2:11 & 171:7, 172:1, & 151:20, 152:18, \\
\hline \[
84: 17, \quad 85: 13,
\] & want & 172:12, 172:17, & 152:21, 178:8 \\
\hline \[
85: 17,87: 5
\] & 5:17, 7:2, & 172:19, 173:16, & weaknesses \\
\hline 87:7, 88:23, & 10:22, 21:20, & 176:1, 176:4, & 40:2 \\
\hline 89:4 & 29:16, 39:10, & 176:5 & web \\
\hline volume & 39:23, 47:10, & watered
\[
70: 7
\] & \[
164: 13
\] \\
\hline 14:10 & \(51: 22, ~ 55: 5\),
\(59: 15, ~ 63: 5\), & 70:7 watering & wedge
\[
\text { 164:22, } 164: 24,
\] \\
\hline volumes & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 59: 15, ~ 63: 5, \\
& 64: 23, ~ 68: 3,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
68: 18,70: 5,
\] & 164:22, 164:24,
\[
165: 2,166: 15
\] \\
\hline 12:4, 29:21 & \(64: 23\),
\(70: 12\),
\(70: 18\),
\(80: 14\), & \[
70: 25,71: 6,
\] & wednesday \\
\hline W & 85:14, 85:15, & \[
118: 1,119: 2,
\] & \[
5: 11, \quad 5: 17,
\] \\
\hline & \[
89: 1,89: 2,
\] & \[
119: 15
\] & \[
6: 24, \quad 50: 4,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
19: 17, & 21: 15, \\
28: 15, & 28: 22
\end{array}
\] & \[
90: 11, \quad 94: 3,
\] & watershed & \[
177: 14
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
28: 15, & 28: 22, \\
35: 25 & 57: 3
\end{array}
\] & \[
100: 12,104: 6,
\] & \[
157: 16,157: 20,
\] & weekday \\
\hline 35:25, 57:3, & 117:4, 119:6, & 157:22, 157:23, & 50:3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
weight
76:11, 92:21,
134:3
well-deserved
10:6
went
17:10, 21:25,
37:16, 37:25,
45:21, 59:18,
60:20, 142:3
west
34:11, 34:19,
57:21, 98:14,
111:4, 111:5,
111:7, 114:15,
118:15, 136:2,
136:11, 137:8,
137:11, 138:13,
142:7, 160:23,
161:3, 161:11,
161:16, 161:22,
162:3
westbound
10:18, 10:22,
13:8, 16:17,
24:8, 28:16,
30:12, 38:14,
38:16, 43:25,
44:14
western
65:2, 99:11
whatever
6:3, 36:9,
49:17, 100:12,
132:22, 149:9,
149:10, 177:15
whatever's
80:14
whatsoever
41:1
wheaton
180:9, 181:1
wherever
48:25, 51:7
whether
8:16, 22:18,
40:23, 50:6,
61:11, 62:5,
``` &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
worn \\
177:16, 177:17 \\
worthy \\
42:1 \\
would've \\
179:16 \\
wouldn't
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 9: 7, \quad 29: 19, \\
& 32: 10, \quad 35: 3, \\
& 35: 4, \quad 45: 18, \\
& 105: 7, \quad 179: 15, \\
& 180: 14 \\
& \text { wrap } \\
& 100: 10, \quad 153: 21, \\
& 179: 17 \\
& \text { write } \\
& 25: 7, \quad 31: 5 \\
& \text { writing } \\
& 14: 9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
wrong \\
yard
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 102: 8, \quad 152: 12, \\
& 152: 13 \\
& \text { yards } \\
& 153: 8 \\
& \text { yeah } \\
& 5: 7, \quad 5: 14, \\
& 14: 4, \quad 21: 18, \\
& 21: 19, \quad 39: 15, \\
& 40: 25, \quad 47: 4, \\
& 56: 22, \quad 59: 7, \\
& 60: 1, \quad 60: 7, \\
& 61: 3, \quad 62: 4, \\
& 62: 9, \quad 64: 21, \\
& 71: 19, \quad 76: 4, \\
& 83: 10, \quad 85: 19, \\
& 86: 9, \quad 87: 11, \\
& 89: 5, \quad 89: 9, \\
& 89: 15, \quad 91: 16, \\
& 92: 6, \quad 95: 17, \\
& 97: 7, \quad 109: 25, \\
& 111: 11, \quad 114: 17, \\
& 115: 1, \quad 115: 15, \\
& 118: 4, \quad 118: 8, \\
& 122: 1, \quad 130: 7,
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 131:23, 131:24, & 93:15, 102:4, & 08 & 169:5, 172:13, \\
\hline 140:25, 142:21, & 102:7, 153:2, & 5:2, 115:4 & 174:8 \\
\hline 150:18, 150:22, & 165:6, 168:25, & 09 & 116 \\
\hline 151:11, 151:14, & 172:9 & 1:5 & 12:24 \\
\hline 154:4, 155:8, & . & 1 & 12 \\
\hline 156:6, 156:22, & . 1 & 1 & 3:12, 13:17, \\
\hline 157:10, 159:7, & 3:11, 57:13, & 103:21, 103:25, & 22:21, 44:24, \\
\hline 161:21, 162:8, & 57:14, 58:20, & \[
179: 8,179: 12
\] & 50:7, 102:14, \\
\hline 165:18, 178:16, & \[
169: 4,169: 5,
\] & \[
180: 3
\] & 103:6, 103:25, \\
\hline 181:2 & 172:14, 174:9 & 1.0279 & 105:13, 106:18, \\
\hline year & . 2 & 44:7 & 155:14, 160:2, \\
\hline 7:4, 7:23, & 23:1, 54:25, & 1.03 & 166:17, 180:4 \\
\hline 30:7, 30:14, & 55:8, 55:10, & 44:7, 45:1 & 12,000 \\
\hline 137:13, 155:12, & 55:22, 57:8, & 1.05 & 138:8 \\
\hline 158:25 & 57:9, 58:20, & \[
30: 3
\] & 124 \\
\hline Years & 59:1, 59:4, & 10 & 3:6 \\
\hline 76:19, 76:23, & 102:3 & 3:3, 3:13, & \\
\hline 77:4, 81:22, & . 3 & \[
8: 22,9: 7,9: 16,
\] & 178:13, 178:23, \\
\hline 88:2 & 58:20 & \[
26: 17,26: 19,
\] & 179:2, 179:8, \\
\hline yellow & . 4 & 45:23, 156:14, & 181:18, 181:20 \\
\hline 34:8 & 59:11, 62:1, & 160:1, 177:7, & 133 \\
\hline yen & 62: 9 & 179:11, 179:23, & 3:12 \\
\hline 37:17 & . 5 & 179:24, 180:4 & 135 \\
\hline yep & 60:13, 61:5, & 100 & 97:23, 98:19 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 134: 22, ~ 179: 9, \\
& 179: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & 102:3, 102:6, & 6:17, 13:19, & 14 \\
\hline 179:15 & 104:22 & 29:6, 29:15, & 77:17, 79:2, \\
\hline yesterday
\[
9: 2, \quad 33: 20
\] & . 75 & \[
41: 2,166: 23
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 80: 19, \quad 81: 19 \\
& 15
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Z & 18:3, 19:3, & 7:1, 8:4 & 3:14, 8:12, \\
\hline zero & 22:11, 22:22 & 106 & 52:8, 78:18, \\
\hline 23:2, 101:18 & 0 & \(33: 10,34: 5\), & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
78: 20, & 80: 17, \\
82: 18, & 82: 22 .
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline zone & 0.1 & 134:24, 141:14, &  \\
\hline 61:15, 61:19, & 99:11 & 165:17 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
108: 6, & 113: 1, \\
156: 4, & 156: 15
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 62:14, 62:16, & 00 & \[
11
\] & \[
180: 3
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 66: 9, \quad 69: 5, \\
& 69: 10, \\
& 96: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] & 5:14, 6:23, & \[
44: 3,44: 14,
\] & \[
150
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 69: 10, \quad 96: 8, \\
& 96: 9 .
\end{aligned}
\] & 8:22, 9:16, & \[
44: 15, \quad 48: 24,
\] & \[
13: 19
\] \\
\hline \[
138: 3,139: 17
\] & 50:4, 50:5, & 52:5, 52:8, & 16 \\
\hline 141:7, 141:10, & 179:8, 179:12, & 11,000
11,0: & 82:18 \\
\hline 166:8 & 179:21, 180:3, & 138:8 & 161 \\
\hline zoned & \(180: 10, ~ 180: 20\),
\(180: 21, ~ 181: 18\), & 110 & 3:13, 10:12, \\
\hline 138:9, 164:23 & \[
181: 20
\] & 52:23 & 16:9, 183:12 \\
\hline zones & \[
05
\] & 115 & 169 \\
\hline 61:19, 62:7 & 156:15 & \(3: 10,3: 11\) & 3:11 \\
\hline zoning & 06 & 54:18, 55:2, & 17 \\
\hline 1:1, 76:16, & 52:11 & 55:7, 55:10, & 3:4, 82:19 \\
\hline 77:7, 88:21, & & 94:14, 169:4, & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 65:20, 76:15, & 138:3, 138:9, & 25 & 34 \\
\hline 78:20, 115:4, & 141:9, 152:9 & 12:21, 12:22, & 104:15, 105:3, \\
\hline 152:18 & 2004 & 76:18, 82:23, & 106:2, 106:5, \\
\hline 183 & 166:5, 166:7 & 96:25, 108:17 & 106:21, 107:10, \\
\hline 1:24 & 20166 & 25,000 & 107:11 \\
\hline 19 & 52:23 & 138:22, 139:17, & 35 \\
\hline 1:5 & 2019 & 140:21 & 102:11, 105:3, \\
\hline 195 & 169:24 & 279 & 105: 6 \\
\hline 5:3, 123:13 & 2020 & 2:15 & 355 \\
\hline 1964 & 7:1, 8:4 & 28 & 32: 6 \\
\hline 137:25 & 2021 & 141:2 & 36 \\
\hline 1965 & 1:10, 6:18 & 2b & 3:18, 83:4 \\
\hline 137:25 & 20850 & 102:6, 104:22 & 366221 \\
\hline 1969 & 2:6, 2:14 & 3 & 1:23 \\
\hline 164:22, 164:23 & 21,000 & 3 & \\
\hline 1983 & 139:17, 140:21 & \[
5: 14,6: 23,
\] & \[
9: 24, \quad 156: 24
\] \\
\hline \[
138: 18,139: 16
\] & \[
22
\] & \[
156: 14,156: 15,
\] & \[
159: 14
\] \\
\hline \[
1984
\] & \[
53: 6
\] & \[
180: 10,180: 20,
\] & \[
4
\] \\
\hline \[
138: 19, \quad 139: 16
\] & \[
22375
\] & 180:21, 181:18, & 4 \\
\hline \[
1988
\] & \[
52: 22
\] & 181:20 & 50: 4 \\
\hline \[
141: 3
\] & 227 & 3-1 & 4-1 \\
\hline 199 & 3:14, 3:15, & 82:11, 82:12, & 54:1 \\
\hline 150:1, 150:3 & 3:16, 3:17, & 82:17 & 40 \\
\hline 1997 & 15:1, 15:2, & \[
30
\] & \[
20: 10, \quad 20: 16,
\] \\
\hline 124:18 & 15:5, 18:2, & \[
1: 11,9: 1,
\] & \[
78: 1
\] \\
\hline 2 & 18:5, 37:6, & \[
50: 4,61: 15,
\] & \[
40,000
\] \\
\hline \[
2,000
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
54: 12, & 54: 14, \\
56: 12, & 56: 14,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
61: 21, & 61: 22, \\
77: 19, & 78: 3,
\end{array}
\] & \[
141: 6, \quad 142: 12
\]
\[
400
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 20: 24, \quad 20: 25, \\
& 21 \cdot 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
57: 3, \quad 57: 8,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
77: 19, & 78: 3, \\
78: 12, & 79: 1,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 400 \\
& 29: 20
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
2-1
\] & 57:9, 58:20, & 80:20, 81:20, & 41 \\
\hline \[
126: 18
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
60: 11, & 61: 5, \\
73 \cdot 19 & 74 \cdot 4
\end{array}
\] & 83:3, 107:5, & \[
3: 3
\] \\
\hline \[
20
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 73: 19, \quad 74: 4, \\
& 74: 6, \quad 75: 11,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & 107:6, 107:7, & \[
425
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
21: 1, & 21: 3, \\
20.3 & 22.02
\end{array}
\] & \[
94: 14,94: 15,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 123: 13, ~ 179: 3, \\
& 179: 6, \quad 179: 11,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 37: 1 \\
& 430
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 22: 3, \quad 22: 23, \\
& 52: 11, \quad 76: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
94: 18, \quad 94: 22,
\] & \[
179: 23,179: 24,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 430 \\
& 44: 1, \quad 44: 10
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
76: 23,77: 4
\] & \[
94: 25, \quad 95: 1,
\]
\[
95: 4.95 \cdot 13 .
\] & \[
180: 4, \quad 180: 8,
\] & \[
44
\] \\
\hline 78:18, 81:21, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 95: 4, \quad 95: 13, \\
& 95: 14, \quad 97: 10,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
180: 9, \quad 181: 2 \\
30,000 &
\end{array}\right.
\] & 103: 6 \\
\hline 88:2, 97:1, & 107:16, 162:22, & \[
141: 4,141: 8
\] & 441 \\
\hline 97:25, 98:6, & \[
163: 5
\] & 141:4, 141:8 & 12:25, 48:25 \\
\hline 112:9, 112:11, & 232 & 300 & 441.9 \\
\hline 112:17, 115:18, & \[
36: 17
\] & \(2: 13\)
301 & 12:16, 13:20, \\
\hline 115:22, 138:22, & 233 & \[
2: 7,2: 15
\] & \[
14: 6,36: 25
\] \\
\hline 139:17, 141:4,
\[
152: 18
\] & 3:18, 12:6, & \[
302
\] & \[
44: 2
\] \\
\hline \[
20,000
\] & \(12: 9\),
\(36: 20,19\),
\(36: 21\) & 138:2, 138:10 & 103:21, 103:25, \\
\hline 138:5, 138:19 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 36: 20, \quad 36: 21, \\
& 37: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
31
\] & 177:13, 177:21, \\
\hline \[
200
\] & \[
24
\] & 169:24 & 179:16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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