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MR. HUGHES: Yes, good morning. Thank you, Mr. Hearing
Examiner. Sean Hughes here for Miller, Miller, &
Camby The Applicant, our client, is Mr. Mohamed Matope, and
we had three expert witnesses, John Sekerak from Stantec,
Shariar Etemadi from STS, and Sergio Rodriguez also from
Stantec. Thank you, sir.
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER:Thank you all for being
with us here today. We also have Ms. Nonna Johnson who is
our OZHA staff. She is logged in and available by phone if
anyone is having any trouble with the virtual hearing
process.
    Is there -- I don't see anyone logged in that I don't
recognize, but just for the record, is there anyone who is
participatinghere today who is either not already listed in
the record or would like to testify? If so, please raise
your hand or somehow indicate that you are here to testify
either in support or in opposition of this application.
Seeing none, great. We will move on to the general process
of these hearings.
    As most of you have done this before, there is really
no difference between the virtual hearing platform and a
live hearing. The Applicant will go first. They will be
able to provide an opening statement through counsel.
Counsel will then put on their case in chief. They will go
through their witnesses. Anyone participating who would
```

liketo ask any questions of those witnesses will be able to
after their testimony has concluded. If I have any
questions of the witnesses, I will do that again, after the
testimony has concluded.
There is no opposition that I am aware of. At the
conclusionof counsel's case, will again ask if there is any
member from the public that would like to ask any questions
or otherwise participate in today's hearing. Since there is
no opposition, counsel and the Applicant will be given the
opportunity to provide a closing statement if they so wish
and that will essentially wrap up today. Let me see if I'm
forgetting anything.
Mr. Hughes, were there any other procedural issues or
matters that you wanted to bring up before we start your
case in chief?
MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Mr. Baumgardner. Just one
question. With the exhibit list and items, would they be
considered in the record? Or would you want me during the
hearing or at the end asked that they be moved in
officially?
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: They are technically
part of the record and then we would move to admit them
usually at the end of today's hearing. With no opposition,
I've been through the record. I have reviewed all the
exhibits as they currently are. We can certainly move them

9
in as we go through them if you like. Or we can wait until
the end and then move them into the record formally as a
bulk item. But they are listed with individual exhibit numbers.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you. I will try to remember to ask
you at the end. Sometimes when we get toward the end I am excited to wrap up. But I'm making a note of it. Thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Not a problem. I will
also make a note to bring that up just so that's clear in
the record, that all those exhibits will be moved into the record.

## MR. HUGHES: Thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Having gone through all theexhibits, I can also recommend that they will be part of the record and I have reviewed them. So we don't have to necessarily go through each and every exhibit. You're welcome to be brief in examining your witnesses. We don't needduplicative of testimony or evidence in the record. But you're welcome to go to the witnesses however you see fit. Without any other issues to address, we will open it up to Mr. Hughes to start our case here today.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Again, Sean Miller here from Miller, Miller, \& Camby. One other somewhat procedural item. The affidavit of posting is in
the record, but we can recertify that either through myself or through our witness, but the sign is nice and sturdy and has been at the whole time and we checked on it regularly. Thank you.

So with your permission, I will just do a brief
opening. And Mr. Hearing Examiner, you may have a -- and we will try to be succinct as we can. Montgomery County --
as you are seeing it, it's pretty thorough code
requirements. But we will be fishing there. As you may --
as you alluded to, you may see -- where very happy overall
withthe staff report and the planning Board recommendation.
Wedo believe that they agreed that we meet all the criteria for the conditional use requested.

So we are going to have our witnesses kind of confirm those positions. But we are also going to put, as you may have seen from some of our exhibits, we're going to put a fair amount of effort after we say thank you and hopefully we prove our case, the overall case, we do have some significant concerns about one condition, condition number eight. So we will also that with your permission, I would kind of like to move our witnesses through the conditional use criteria and then kind of have a mini review at the end just a focus on conditional approval recommended number
eight. That's what I would like to do with your permission.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Sure, that would be

| 1 | fine. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | MR. HUGHES: Thank you. So a quick overview of the |
| 3 | application. Yes, if that -- and first of all, we would |
| 4 | think the staff report, they did a good job as they always |
| 5 | do. Very thorough, very nice report. So is that 9221 |
| 6 | Colesville Road in Silver Spring, which is really -- it's |
| 7 | justalmost in between downtown Silver Spring area where the |
| 8 | business district is on Colesville Road and Georgia Avenue |
| 9 | where they meet and you start heading towards the Beltway, |
| 10 | 495. It's almost in the middle of those two areas. So as |
| 11 | you'reheading towards the Beltway, that you kind of get out |
| 12 | of the downtown district. It traditional homes that were |
| 13 | built in the '30s, '40s, and '50s. And it's a little bit |
| 14 | before we get down the hill towards Oswego Creek. |
| 15 | And then a traditional neighborhood. The history of |
| 16 | the property as it was a home doctor's office. Many of us |
| 17 | likemyself grew up going to a doctor's office in a home and |
| 18 | a dental office in the home. From about 1959 on, it was a |
| 19 | -- a doctor had a practice there and then it continued all |
| 20 | theway officially until 2012 when it was revoked. It seems |
| 21 | that, and there is some information in the record about |
| 22 | this, that the doctor passed away in 2007, but revocation |
| 23 | did not occur until 2012. |
| 24 | There was also -- it's in the record as well. I |
| 25 | apologize. But sometime around the mid-'90s or late '80s I |
|  | believe,the doctor's son became a doctor and he also wanted |
| 1 | 14 |
| 12 | to practice there. So at that point at they had to ask for |
| 17 | special exception to have a nonresidential doctor working |
| 19 | there all day that our jobs. The other four really are out |
| 20 | majority of the day. So that's kind of a little bit the |
| 21 | history of the property and what we are requesting. With |
| 22 | that, I would like to call my first witness, the Applicant, |
| 23 | Mr. Mohamed Matope. |
| 24 | HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Mr. Matope, would you |
| 25 | please raise your right hand, please? |
| 15 | there, but it was the two of them essentially. So it's had |
| 5 | a similar use for close to 50 some of the last 60 |
| 6 | something years. |
| 7 | What we are asking for something very similar. Mr. |
| 8 | Matopeand his wife are -- you make up E and M for Elizabeth |
| 9 | and Mohamed, the Applicant, and he is a registered nurse |
| 10 | thatyou will hear about any of the home -- they have a home |
| 11 | health practitioner business and they would like to -- they |
| 12 | recentlypurchased the house and are making some renovations |

MR. MATOPE: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: And sir, do you swear or
affirm under the penalties of perjury that the testimony
you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth and the whole truth?

MR. MATOPE: I do.
Thank you, very much. Mr. Hughes, the floors yours. MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Matope. MR. MATOPE: Good morning.
MR. HUGHES: Can you for the record -- well actually I think they have your name. So I won't do that. Can you tell us a little bit about your educational background?

MR. MATOPE: So my educational background is that I am a registered nurse. I came to this country in 1997. I was an international student. So I went to -- I went ahead and dida degree in nursing and also I have a master of business administration in management areas

MR. HUGHES: Wonderful. Thank you. Can you tell us a little bit about after you got your educational background here, a little bit about your professional background?

MR. MATOPE: So my professional background, I started outas a registered nurse in hospital settings. So I worked inseveral hospitals in the area including Fairfax Hospital.
I worked at Children's National Medical Center in
Washington, D.C. So most of my time as a nurse I worked in
apediatric with pediatric patients. And so far I have been a nurse for over 20 years.

And up until eight years ago after we started out this business, we started this business 10 years ago; years ago I startedgetting busy and I stopped working in the hospitals. But most of my experiences is in hospital settings. So I workedin different areas including ICU, emergency room, and I did traveling medicine too.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you. So your current business, primarily you guys provide medical services to people while they are in their homes? You go to their home; is that correct?

MR. MATOPE: Yes, so two people, yes, home. But most of our employees, they work out in the field. So this is a home care business. Most of our job is done in the patients' houses.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
MR. MATOPE: So they --
MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Sorry about that. And your
nursing license is active; that's correct?
MR. MATOPE: Yes, it's correct.
MR. HUGHES: Okay. And you and your wife own the property at 9221 Colesville Road; correct?

MR. MATOPE: Yes, that's correct.
MR. HUGHES: And who is E\&G investments, LLC?

| 17 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. MATOPE: My wife and I are the participants, the | 1 you make sure that your -- although we know you're not |
| 2 principle of E\&G Investment, LLC. | 2 havinga lot of patients coming there. That's not the plan. |
| 3 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And is it true today you're | 3 But the ones that come your supposed to inform them of your |
| 4 asking permission for you and your wife to operate E \& M as | 4 proper address and where to park. Is that something you |
| 5 noted in the application, the home practitioner's office at | 5 guys plan to do? |
| 6 your property? | 6 MR. MATOPE: Ye |
| 7 MR. MATOPE: Yes. | 7 MR. HUGHES: Okay. And for your standard patients, |
| 8 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Let's see; and so it's correct that | 8 they must have appointments? They can't just walk in? You |
| 9 the plan is for you, your wife, and your children to live | 9 can't have walk-ins? You understand that? |
| 10 there and operate the facility if you are granted approval; | 10 MR. MATOPE: Yes, I do. |
| 11 is that correct | 11 MR. HUGHES: And you also understand it does allow you |
| 12 MR. MATOPE: Yes, that's correct. | 12 -- the code if you're granted approval, doesn't allow you |
| 13 MR. HUGHES: Okay. And is it also true, Mr. Matope, | 13 for emergency appointments that might not have a set time |
| 14 that the business operations will only occur in the | 14 period or outside of normal hours. Do you understand that |
| 15 residence? You will not have any business operations out in | 15 opportunity? |
| 16 the yard or the rest of the property? Is that true? | 16 MR. MATOPE: Yes. |
| 17 MR. MATOPE: Yes, that's true. | 17 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Now in this case, you did go ahead |
| 18 MR. HUGHES: Okay. And the business will be | 18 and -- you filed the County filing fee for this conditional |
| 19 subordinateto the use of the house and the property as your | 19 use for just under \$10,000; is that correct? |
| 20 home for you and your wife and kids, correct? | 20 MR. MATOPE: Ye |
| 21 MR. MATOPE: Yes, that's correct. | 21 MR. HUGHES: Okay. And you've also retained |
| 22 MR. HUGHES: And this particular property is a house, | 22 consultants or experts to help you with this process |
| 23 it's a single-family residence dwelling; is that correct? | 23 includingme and my law firm, the engineering firm, Stantec; |
| 24 MR. MATOPE: Yes, it's correct. | 24 is that correct? To help you with this? |
| 25 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And you are aware that is not | 25 MR. MATOPE: Yes. |
| 18 | 20 |
| 1 permissible to have exterior storage of goods or equipment | 1 MR. HUGHES: And also Mr. Etemadi fromCTS, the traffic |
| 2 for the business? You can't store it outside; is that | 2 engineer? |
| 3 correct? | 3 MR. MATOPE: Yes. |
| 4 MR. MATOPE: Yes. | 4 MR. HUGHES: Okay. You are also working on some |
| 5 MR. HUGHES: And also that you understand and agree | 5 renovations inside for the office side and your residential |
| 6 that the type of equipment or facilities are limited to | 6 side and paying the contractors, and permit fees and such, |
| 7 office and medical equipment in this case, correct? | 7 correct? |
| 8 MR. MATOPE: Yes. | 8 MR. MATOPE: Yes. |
| 9 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And you all plan to -- you | 9 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Very good. And those a lot of the |
| 10 know you must dispose of medical waste according to the | 10 fees and costs you expected; is that true to say? |
| 11 standard of state and federal regulations, correct? | 11 MR. MATOPE: Yes. |
| 12 MR. MATOPE: Yes. | 12 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Now let me ask you just briefly |
| 13 MR. HUGHES: Okay. And one of the things also in the | 13 about condition number 8. Are you aware of this condition |
| 14 code for what you are asking for says that truck deliveries | 14 that has been proposed by the Planning Board and staff? |
| 15 must be limited to kind of the standard residential ones; | 15 MR. MATOPE: Yes, I do. |
| 16 UPS, FedEx, Amazon, et cetera. That's what you will have | 16 MR. HUGHES: Okay. What is your understanding of what |
| 17 and you won't have a large otherwise truck deliveries; is 18 that true? | 17 that would entail for you? What would that involve to you 18 potentially? |
| 19 MR. MATOPE: Yes, that's true. | 19 MR. MATOPE: Yeah, that would involve ripping up the |
| 20 MR. HUGHES: Okay. And should the County need any | 20 sidewalksand replacing with a new sidewalk and that's going |
| 21 additional -- as you move through any other approvals, if | 21 toinvolve moving of the utility poles that is sitting there |
| 22 they need any proof of ownership of the property, you will | 22 right in the middle there, the sidewalks. |
| 23 be willing to provide so, correct? | 23 MR. HUGHES: Did you understand that -- yeah, it's |
| 24 MR. MATOPE: Yes. | 24 quite possible some of the utility poles may have to be |
| 25 MR. HUGHES: One of the thing the code also asks, is | 25 removed as part of that process. Is that your |
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understanding?
    MR. MATOPE: Yes.
    MR. HUGHES: And have you been told by your consultants
or other people that this might be a pretty involved and
lengthy time period and costly one?
    MR. MATOPE: Yes, I was told. They say the cost of
moving the utility pole is between $60,000 to $200,000. And
the cost of replacing the sidewalks is $20,000 to $30,000.
    MR. HUGHES: Okay. Let me switch over -- so if you are
-- if we are fortunate enough to get approval here, what
will this mean to you and your family to have this
conditional use and allow you to have the home business
operate for your medical practice?
        MR. MATOPE: Can you repeat the question again? I'm
sorry.
        MR. HUGHES:Yeah. What will this mean? Will it be
exciting? Or what will this mean to you and your family if
you get approval to operate the business and live in that
residence?
        MR. MATOPE: Yeah, this conditional approval will place
    a lot of burden on our businesses because the cost that we
    are -- there is no part of our planning or budget. We are
    afraid with this now and with what's going on now with the
    pandemic, our revenue has been -- our business has been
    impacted with the Covid and everything else. And with this
```


## understanding?

```
MR. MATOPE: Yes.
MR. HUGHES: And have you been told by your consultants
or other people that this might be a pretty involved and lengthy time period and costly one?
MR. MATOPE: Yes, I was told. They say the cost of moving the utility pole is between \(\$ 60,000\) to \(\$ 200,000\). And the cost of replacing the sidewalks is \(\$ 20,000\) to \(\$ 30,000\). MR. HUGHES: Okay. Let me switch over -- so if you are -- if we are fortunate enough to get approval here, what will this mean to you and your family to have this conditional use and allow you to have the home business operate for your medical practice? MR. MATOPE: Can you repeat the question again? I'm sorry.
MR. HUGHES: Yeah. What will this mean? Will it be exciting? Or what will this mean to you and your family if you get approval to operate the business and live in that residence?
MR. MATOPE: Yeah, this conditional approval will place
a lot of burden on our businesses because the cost that we
are -- there is no part of our planning or budget. We are
afraid with this now and with what's going on now with the
pandemic, our revenue has been -- our business has been
impacted with the Covid and everything else. And with this
```
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extracost the project is really going to bring a big burden
toour business and we will -- it's going to shake us up. I
mean, that's for sure.
    MR. HUGHES: I understand. Thank you. Thank you.
Okay. Mr. Hearing Examiner, I would like to, next witness,
please. Thank you, Mr. Matope.
    MR. MATOPE: Thank you.
    HEARINGEXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Sure. I did have a
couple of quick follow-up questions for Mr. Matope. The
first, do you and your family currently live in the
property, 9221 Colesville Road?
    MR. MATOPE: No, we do not currently live there. The
property is still under renovations, but we are planning to
move.
    HEARINGEXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Okay. And the LLC, E & 
MInvestment LLC, are you and your wife equal members of the
LLC?
    MR. MATOPE: Yes, that's correct.
HEARINGEXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Are there any other members
of the LLC other than yourself and your wife?
    MR. MATOPE: No.
    HEARINGEXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Are there -- is there a
specific area of the home medical services that you -- that
your company will be providing or does provide to folks?
Is it pediatrics or general health? Neurology? Is there a
```

specific area that you are currently focusing on?
MR. MATOPE: Where focused in the home care business.
So home care business, it has so many areas of home care.
But we -- for now, majority of our patients are pediatrics.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Okay. And my last
question is for condition number 8 and the current state of
repair of the existing sidewalk and existing driveway. Can
you just give me an idea? Is it newer concrete or asphalt?
Is it degrading concrete or asphalt? What is the current
state of that sidewalk and then any kind of driveway apron
or the driveway itself?
MR. MATOPE: Yes, the current state of the sidewalk is good. There is no problem. But I think what they are askingus to do is to expand it. Right now I think the size
of the sidewalk is 4 feet. And condition number 8, I think
is asking us to expand it to make it 8 feet. I mean, 5
feet.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Okay. Those were all
the questions I had of this witness. Thank you very much,
sir.

Mr. Hughes, I will leave it up to you for your next witness.

MR. HUGHES: Yes, thank you Mr. Baumgardner. And I
would say to you last questioned him, we will also have a
little more testimony come from Mr. Rodriguez and Mr.

Sekerak. And you can obviously ask them a little bit more
about that. Yes, my next witness would be Mr. Shariar
Etemadi, please.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: And before we get to
that witness, I am seeing that we have a call in. Let me
just unmute the call in and find out if this individual
wanted to ask Mr. Matope any questions. If I can do that.
We do have a call in from a -- well, last four digits 4995.
Are you there? So they are present. They are not unmuting their phone. All right.

Then we will move on. So that was Mr. Etemadi; is that correct?

MR. HUGHES: Yes. And Mr. Baumgardner, I'm sure you
willcheck in again later on with -- if there is a caller or
two. And we certainly welcome their opportunity if they
justwant to listen. But if they change their mind and want
tohave questions of us or anything, we simply would welcome that.

HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Great, thank you.
Mr. Etemadi, can you raise your right hand, please?
And sir, do you swear or affirm under the penalties of
perjury that the testimony you are about to give is the
truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
MR. ETEMADI: I do.

| 25 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Mr. Hughes, the floor is | 1 conclusionof our report is that this proposed establishment |
| 2 yours. | 2 is not going to have any impact on the transportation |
| 3 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Mr. Etemadi, can you identify | 3 system. |
| 4 your business address? | 4 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And thank you Mr. Hearing |
| 5 Mr. ETEMADI: It's 6449 Red Keel, Columbia, Maryland | 5 Examiner. I believe you have Exhibit 25(c) up, which is Mr. |
| $6 \quad 21044$. | 6 Etemadi's report dated 1/13/21. |
| 7 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And what's the name of the | 7 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: That's correct. |
| 8 business? And is it your business? Is that correct? | 8 Mr. HUGHES: Thank you. And Mr. Etemadi, the county |
| 9 MR. ETEMADI: Yes, STS Consulting. | 9 planning staff concurred with your conclusions and your |
| 10 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Mr. Etemadi, have you ever | 10 position that there was no traffic study needed, correct? |
| 11 been qualified as an expert in transportation planning and | 11 MR. ETEMADI: Correct, yes. |
| 12 traffic engineering? | 12 MR. HUGHES: Okay. So in your professional opinion, is |
| 13 Mr. ETEMADI: Yes. | 13 the surrounding transportation network going to be impacted |
| 14 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Mr. Baumgardner, his resume is -- | 14 in any material way by the proposed use here? |
| 15 you kind of alluded to it earlier. As part of Exhibit 36, | 15 MR. ETEMADI: No. |
| 16 our prehearing statement. I would like, with your | 16 MR. HUGHES: Thank you, sir. Mr. Hearing Examiner, I |
| 17 permission, offer him as an expert in those fields of | 17 would like to, next witness who is John Sekerak from |
| 18 transportation planning and traffic engineering. | 18 Stantec. John -- I'm going to have Mr. Sekerak go through a |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: He is so accepted as an | 19 lot of the conditional use criteria. And then he is one |
| 20 expert in traffic engineering and transportation planning. | 20 person that towards the end I would like to recall when I |
| 21 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. | 21 focus on condition approval number 8, sir. |
| 22 Mr. Etemadi, you are asked to review the traffic plan | 22 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Not a problem at all. |
| 23 for this use and provide a traffic report regarding it; is | 23 Mr. Sekerak, would you please raise your right hand? |
| 24 that true? | 24 And sir, do you swear or affirm under penalties of |
| 25 MR. ETEMADI: Yes. | 25 perjurythe testimony you're about to give is the truth, the |
| 26 | 28 |
| 1 MR. HUGHES: And can you just very briefly, because it | 1 whole truth, and nothing but the truth? |
| 2 is minor even for a nonexpert like me. The traffic is | 2 MR. SEKERAK: I do. |
| 3 pretty low. But can you just briefly describe what y | 3 HEARINGEXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, and welcom |
| 4 traffic report -- how you came to it what it says? | 4 Mr . Hughes, the floor is yours. |
| 5 conclusions? | 5 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Mr. Sekerak, can you state for |
| 6 MR. ETEMADI: Yes. We have actually looked at the | 6 the record your work address? |
| 7 number of employees and the patients who are going to be | 7 MR. SEKERAK: I work at the multidisciplinary |
| 8 the site during the peak hours. We actually looked at the | 8 consultancy firm of Stantec at 22440 Century Boulevard in |
| ( $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 9 \\ & 9\end{aligned}$ worst-case scenarios and at the most, we're going to have | 9 Germantown, Maryland. |
| 9 worst-case scenarios and at the most, we're going to have 8 | 10 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And what is your profession? |
| 10 trips during the peak hours or peak periods in the morning, | 11 MR. SEKERAK: I'ma land-use planner certified by the |
| 11 and the same number, 8 trips during the p.m. peak period or | 12 American Institute of Certified Planners. And I'ma |
| 12 peak hours. We are not exactly sure if they're going to be | 13 landscape architect licensed in the State of Maryland among |
| 13 concentrated in one peak hour, but during the peak period. | 14 others. |
| 14 So that is a very low traffic generating site and is | 15 MR. HUGHES: And how long have you been in those fields |
| 15 notrequired to do a local area transportation review, which | 16 as a professional? |
| 16 means that we have to do a traffic study to test the | 17 MR. SEKERAK: 37 years. |
| 17 adequacy of public facilities relating to transportation. | 18 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Mr. Hearing Examiner, his resume is 19 also part of Pre-hearing Statement Exhibit Number 36. I |
| 18 The intersections nearby, there are two of them. We | 20 would like to offer him as an expert in land planning and |
| 19 examined them and basically they are operating within | 21 landscape architecture. |
| 20 congestion standards. We have a very good access to | 22 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: He is so designated as |
| 21 transit, which is basically like 20, 25 minutes' walk to | 23 an expert in land-use planning and landscape architecture. |
| 22 Silver Spring Metro stations. | 24 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Mr. Sekerak, I'm going to |
| 23 We have so many bus services going by the site. The | 25 reference Exhibit 30, the staff report in figure 1, to help |
| 24 site is located at 200 feet away from a bus stop where we 25 have bus services every 20 minutes during the day. So the |  |


| 29 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 you identify some things. But if you also want to identify | 1 a skew? |
| 2 another exhibit, perhaps your site plan which is I believe | 2 MR. SEKERAK: That is -- plan north is straight up. |
| 3 Exhibit 25(a), feel free to let us know. But can you just | 3 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Got it. So let's use |
| 4 tell us a little bit about the general land-use patterns in | 4 that as our base. So if you see the proposed site in blue, |
| 5 area? | 5 that nearby special exception in pink would be I guess |
| 6 MR. SEKERAK: Sure. Generally single-family detached | 6 directly due north. So I guess we can use that as our |
| 7 residences with land-use patterns typically of R60 zone | 7 ba |
| 8 land-use and it includes some institutional uses | 8 MR. HUGHES: Very good. Thank you, sir. Go ahead Mr. |
| 9 Specifically, a major feature is Colesville Road, US Route | 9 Sekerak. Or did you finish answering the question? My |
| 1029 going diagonally past the property. In the opposite | 10 apologies. |
| 11 diagonal area is Sligo Creek, Stream Valley Park and Sligo | 11 MR. SEKERAK: No. Then again, I guess if the church is |
| 12 Creek Parkway are two significant features. | 12 directly due north, then the adjoining dental practice, |
| 13 Regarding those institutional uses I referenced, there | 13 which on this exhibit is shown as S-2122, is to our |
| 14 is a place of worship right across Colesville Road from the | 14 northeast. That has again, a dental practice. It has |
| 15 subject property and another place of worship a little | 15 parking in front of the building. And I understand it has |
| 16 further to the southwest. And at the intersection of Dale | 16 -- it includes a residence |
| 17 Driveand Colesville Road, also the intersection is the Toll | 17 To our southwest, the abutting property is a single- |
| 18 House restaurant. But other than that, this is dominantly | 18 familyresidence and it appears to have an ancillary medical |
| 19 single-family detached residential area. | 19 use also. To the rear of the property are single- |
| 20 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And what is the zoning of the | 20 family detached homes fronting on Kingsbury Drive, the |
| 21 property, sir? | 21 residential street to the interior of the neighborhood. |
| 22 MR. SEKERAK: R60. | 22 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. |
| 23 MR. HUGHES: Okay. And can you tell us just briefly | 23 MR. SEKERAK: On this exhibit, note that the blue |
| 24 about the abutting and confronting properties that you | 24 identifying the property is a little bit too far to the |
| 25 haven't touched on yet? | 25 southwest due to a mapping error in GIS. It actually |
| 30 | 32 |
| 1 MR. SEKERAK: Sure. Across Colesville Road I had | 1 directly abutting the dental practice to our northeast. |
| 2 mentioned the existing place of worship. And next door to | 2 MR. HUGHES: Okay. |
| 3 thatis single-family detached residence. Directly abutting | 3 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: It looks like they are |
| 4 our property to the northeast is a dental practice. | 4 invading their neighbor a little bit. |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: And Mr. Sekerak, I'm | 5 Mr. HUGHES: And thank you, Mr. Sekerak. Can you tell |
| 6 sorry to interrupt you. Can you kind of level set for us | 6 us a little bit about the zoning neighborhood? And |
| 7 the directions? Because it's kind of a weird set up. Can | 7 reference I guess again would be some staff report which I |
| 8 you tell us what you believe the directions are so we can | 8 believe that or Exhibit 30 and figure 2, which I think -- |
| 9 all be on the same page? I think we've had a little bit of | 9 where we just looking at figure 2 or 3? I apologize. Yes. |
| 10 discussions with this with staff and others because of the | 10 Is there anything more you want to tell us about the zoning |
| 11 way things sit here. | 11 neighborhood? |
| 12 MR. SEKERAK: Right. Knowingly it enough it's right on | 12 MR. SEKERAK: Well, it does -- the exhibit that you're |
| 13 the diagonal. So which way is north on the property is | 13 seeing here now defined by the staff, does differ from what |
| 14 somewhatinterpretive. So I'd the happy to describe that to | 14 the Applicant had submitted with our initial application. |
| 15 the Northeast, Southwest. Or if the Hearing Examiner would | 15 There is no substantive difference in terms of it's |
| 16 like to just consider the abutting property to our north of | 16 dominantly single-family detached neighborhood. They are |
| 17 the north, I can work with that. Or if the Colesville Road | 17 not too different in overall size, and shape. But I will |
| 18 intersection is considered to the north of the property, I | 18 describe the differences because it does impact whether or |
| 19 would be happy to do that also. | 19 notsome additional existing special exceptions, conditional |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Is the figure that | 20 uses are or are not within the neighborhood. So I guess I |
| 21 should be displayed now, which is figure 2, the staff | 21 would ask that you bring up Exhibit 12, which is the |
| 22 defined neighborhood -- can you all see that? | 22 Applicants' surrounding neighborhood delineation. |
| 23 MR. HUGHES: Yes. | 23 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Sometimes it takes a |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: All right. Is this | 24 while to load. |
| 25 current figure -- is that based on the compass? Or is that | 25 MR. SEKERAK: So it doesn't seem like we're going to be |


| 33 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 able to toggle between the two exhibits. | 1 that any relationship is lost by the time you travel from |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Here we go. Here we go. | 2 one to the other. |
| 3 Sometimes it works great. Other times it's a little bit | 3 And again, I -- it doesn't change the findings in terms |
| 4 slow to the uptake. All right. This should be on your | 4 ofthe character of the neighborhood. Just as we discusse |
| 5 screen now | 5 are there any other special exceptions or conditional uses |
| 6 MR. SEKERAK: Yes. And if you would like to get th | 6 within the neighborhood is why I'm even wasting your time |
| 7 orientation the same, if you could rotate it | 7 with this discussion. |
| 8 counte | 8 MR. HUGHES: Okay. And we will probably -- we are |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I don't think I have | 9 goingto touch upon that and just a little bit I think, that |
| 10 ability to do that, but I am properly oriented. | 10 second part, or the part you just raised. Let me ask you |
| 11 Mr. SEKERAK: Okay. | 11 bit more about the property. Are there any relevant |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: You can continue your | 12 environmental features for this application or for review |
| 13 testimony. | 13 related to this application? |
| 14 Mr. SEKERAK: Yeah. With the understanding that North | 14 MR. SEKERAK: No. It's -- there are no environmental |
| 15 is to the right of the page. | 15 features such as streams, wetlands, floodplain, et ceter |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Okay | 16 There is one large tree in the rear yard. There are other |
| 17 MR. SEKERAK: I will describe the differences. | 17 trees and shrubs typical of a residence on there. It is |
| 18 MR. HUGHES: And just briefly, Mr. Sekerak, but yes, please | 18 mostly lawn. It slopes gently from the southwest of the |
| 19 point out the key differences | 19 Northeast about 14 feet over the length of the property, |
| 20 MR. SEKERAK: All right. The Applicants' neighborhood | 20 which is only about 6 percent average slope. It has the |
| 21 did include Sligo Park -- a stream Valley Park as part of | 21 existing home, ancillary shed, a carport, and the existing |
| 22 the neighborhood. It is a real contributing element to th | 22 parking place. When it comes to environmental features it |
| 23 character of the neighborhood and it's only a very sm | 23 is very typical of the residences in this are |
| 24 distance away, only one property removed from the subject | 24 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And I'm going to ask, probably |
| 25 property. I used the Parkway itself | 25 just to see if you can just to speed up on one area here. |
| 34 | 36 |
| 1 intersection as a delineating factor. To the southeast I | 1 Talking about the R60 zoning development standard for the |
| 2 included those properties that are on the intersection of | 2 zoning code, that is that I will refer to Exhibit 30, the |
| 3 Dale Drive and Colesville Road, all four quadrants of that | 3 staff report, and page 14 and also on your -- let me back |
| 4 intersection. | 4 that up. It lays out all the standards. And is it fair to |
| MR. HUGHES: Okay | 5 say we meet or exceed all standards under section 59 4.49B? |
| 6 MR. SEKERAK: The restaurant use, the parking port | 6 MR. SEKERAK: Yes, the staff has a nice tabulation of |
| 7 onthe other quadrant of the use. So I didn't want to sever | 7 thatand they are also included on the base of the site plan |
| 8 one use area from some within the neighborhood, some | 8 for conditional use, which was Exhibit 25(a). |
| 9 outside. And I did include the church also at that | 9 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. |
| 10 intersection since that's within line of sight of the | 10 MR. SEKERAK: And both are very consistent with each |
| 11 property. To the east of our property the -- or I'm sorry, | 11 other and they demonstrate that the existing property is in |
| 12 the staff did go further the back into that neighborhood. | 12 conformance with all the development standards of the R60 |
| 13 And I do not mean to quibble there. There is no real | 13 zon |
| 14 defining area. It's just a judgment of how deep into that | 14 MR. HUGHES: Okay. And then I'm going to ask you about |
| 15 neighborhood the thing could extend. | 15 parking requirements for 596.2 .4 , which are also shown up |
| 16 However, on the other side of Colesville Road, staff | 16 onthe same Exhibit 30 of staff report, page 14, and also in |
| 17 hadgone considerably deeper into that neighborhood and I do | 17 your Exhibit 25(a), your site plan. Can you tell us about |
| 18 differwith that. Colesville Road is a six lane, classified | 18 the parking requirements? |
| 19 as a major highway. And that's the type of feature that | 19 MR. SEKERAK: The staff, as you indicated on the |
| 20 providesa delineation for a residential neighborhood. So I | 20 tabulation on page 14 and the tabulation on the face of the |
| 21 only included those properties on the other side that do | 21 siteplan for conditional use, again 25 (a), both come to the |
| 22 front on Colesville Road and within line of sight of the | 22 same conclusion regarding number of spaces required and the |
| 23 property. Those other residences much further back into the | 23 number of spaces provided. There is a slight difference in |
| 24 neighborhood have no relationship to the site in terms of | 24 how they -- we arrived at that. |
| 25 sightor sound. And the circulation around is so circuitous | 25 So for consistency, I would recommend that -- use the |

tabulation on the face of the site plan, which indicates
that one space is required for the home healthcare
practitioner. And then we limit the number of clients on
site at any time to one. That was as we had proposed
initially with the -- in the statement of operations, et
cetera and on the face of the site plan. And that's all
that's necessary for Mr. Matope's practice.
MR. HUGHES: So you are pointing this out -- your
recommendation for the hearing examiner to consider is this
-- the numbers are the same for you and staff, but there is
a slight difference that you are recommended be handled the
way you just stated; is that correct?
MR. SEKERAK: That's correct, 10 required, 10
4 proposed. Let's see. But if the staff recommended
condition and the Planning Board's recommending condition of two parking spaces -- let's see. A parking space for 17 each patient on site at any one time be two, then -- then according to our calculations, we would not be meeting the minimum number of requirements. So we recommend that the condition of approval be amended to be one patient on site at any one time.

MR. HUGHES: And Mr. Matope is proposing a maximum of two a day. Because again, almost all his stuff really is going to be a people's homes and even with that, didn't we propose that it would be one at a time. There will not be
two patients at the same time, correct?
MR. SEKERAK: Correct, a maximum of 10 over the course of a week. But on site at any one time would be limited to one.

MR. HUGHES: Very good. And staff-- overall, staff-well, were there any waivers involved here with the parking?

MR. SEKERAK: Yeah, two waivers are necessary. The sitehas, as we mentioned, an existing parking facility that 9 had served the historical land use for the property for 10 decades. Records indicate that that had accommodated 11 11 spaces. We are showing a configuration that readily accommodates 10 parking spaces. But for those 10 spaces, you can see the -- 3 of the spaces are in tandem, exactly what you are suckling on Exhibit 25(a).

The single-family residence allows for tandem spaces.
Sotwo of those tandem spaces are allowed for the residence.
Weare requesting a waiver to allow two other tandem spaces.
You heard Mr. Matope's testimony, the nature of most of the
employeesare out in the field during the course of the day.
Sowe don't feel that these tandem spaces would be any -- it
would be efficiently used; the few times when they would
need to be used. The employees would be there and be able
to move a vehicle if it became necessary.
MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
MR. SEKERAK: The --

4
5 t

2 MR. SEKERAK: Yeah, it doesn't appear to be new 3 concrete in terms of the, like, coloredness of it. But it certainly -- no need for improvements or replacement or
4 certainly -- no need for improvements or replacement or
5 repair of -- the sidewalk and the driveway are in perfectly 6 good condition.
7 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Is there any bicycle parking 8 required for this project?
9 MR. SEKERAK: No.
10 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Sekerak, based on 11 your professional opinion, does this application conform 12 with the master plan here?
13 MR. SEKERAK: Yes, the master plan is the 2000 North 14 and West Silver Spring Master Plan adopted in August 2000.
15 The text of the master plan does not specifically address
16 this particular property. It does recommend the R60 zoning
17 for the property and the entire neighborhood; however, it's
18 defined. And it recommends preservation of the existing 19 character of the existing residential neighborhoods. There
20 is some discussion of a specific section on special 20 is some discussion of a specific section on special
21 exceptions,but again, nothing specific about this property.
22 And it really focuses on other locations within the master 23 plan area.
24 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And can you explain whether
25 this conditional use will cause an excessive concentration see, a dimension on the plan is 18 feet from the back of those spaces to the edge of the existing parking. And a typical requirement for two-way traffic for perpendicular spaces would be 20 feet. So we are requesting 18 being sufficient.

With both waivers concerning the very low traffic volumes, very low traffic speeds on such a small parking facility,we feel that the -- utilizing the existing parking is efficient and safe and satisfies the intent of the -that section of the code, 59.6.2.1 ensuring safe, efficient access within a parking facility. Staff recommended approval of the conditions and the Planning Board except of them also.

MR. HUGHES: For both those waivers, correct?
MR. SEKERAK: For both waivers, correct.
MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Let me ask you a quick question just related to a good question the hearing examiner had earlier to Mr. Matope. Can you tell us -wellfirst of all, you've been out on the site several times to visit it; is that correct?

MR. SEKERAK: That's correct.
MR. HUGHES: And can you weigh in on the status of the

MR. HUGHES: Keep going. I'm sorry.
MR. SEKERAK: The second waiver necessary is for the width of the drive aisle behind those spaces is, as you can

PLANET DEPOS

| 41 | 43 |
| :---: | :---: |
| of such uses in the neighborhood? And you could touch upon | 1 certainly doesn't create an oversaturation of special |
| 2 what we alluded to earlier about any relevant specia | 2 exceptions or conditional uses within either of the |
| 3 exceptions, conditional uses, or otherwise in the area. | 3 neighborhoods. |
| 4 MR. SEKERAK: Yes. The staff had initially identified | 4 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And is this use, the |
| 5 | 5 conditional use we're asking for, is this permitted in the |
| R. HUGHES: And Mr. Sekerak, let me see. We should | 6 R60 where this property is? |
| bablygo Exhibit -- staff report, Exhibit 30, and I think | 7 MR. SEKERAK: Yes, permitted with the approval of a |
| item 3. I apologize. We had it up ea | 8 conditional use. |
| ble 2 or 3 in the staff report. Yeah, sorry | 9 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And your professional opinion |
| Mr. Hearing E | 10 Mr . Sekerak, if this application, this use, harmonious with |
| HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Is that right? | 11 the character of the surrounding neighborhood? |
| 12 Mr. SEKERAK: Figure 2, page 4. | 12 MR. SEKERAK: Yes. Medical use has been ancillary to |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I'm trying to find it | 13 thishome and a contributing element to the character of the |
| member | 14 neighborhood since 1959 until very recently. |
| . HUGHES: Yeah, there it is. Yes, thank you. Thank you, | 15 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And will the proposed use |
|  | 16 alter the character of the neighborhood in your opinion? |
| MR. SEKERAK: Okay. So the large pink area identified | 17 MR. SEKERAK: No. Again, a medical use ancillary to |
| oss Colesville Road from the subject property is a place | 18 thehome has been a contributing element to the character of |
| orship. It had a special exception for a daycare at one | 19 the neighborhood for decades. |
| 20 | 20 MR. HUGHES: And from a land-use perspective, will it |
| 21 but it's been long revoked as unnecessary as a daycare | 21 causeany loss of use with peaceful enjoyment or development |
| 22 centerin a place of worship. Also identified the adjoining | 22 of potential surrounding properties? |
|  | 23 MR. SEKERAK: No. The property is being returned to |
| ati | 24 include the ancillary use in the residence it's been for |
| 25 was denied. So there's never been a special exception | 25 decades. And there are no proposed changes to the property |
| 42 |  |
| there. It is operating as a pre-existing nonconforming use. | 1 other than some additional plantings. |
| MR. HUGHES: And Mr. Hearing Examiner, that is I | 2 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Thank you. And I think you -- |
| eve Exhibit 13, is a copy of their nonconforming use | 3 maybe you've already said this. But it's consistent with |
| lic record for Montgomery County, showing they have | 4 the intent and purpose of the area master plan; is that |
| nconforming use for that use there. | 5 correct? |
| EARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Got it. Noted. | 6 MR. SEKERAK: Yes, it maintains the R60 zoning, |
| . HUGHES: Okay. | 7 preserves the existing character of the neighborhood by |
| MR. SEKERAK: The other two special exceptions | 8 returning it to its historical use. |
| icatedon there are for accessory dwelling units. At the | 9 MR. HUGHES: And it's compatible with the terms of use |
| 's what | 10 and design with the surrounding properties? |
|  | 11 MR. SEKERAK: Yes, no proposed changes to the existing |
| hinthe neighborhood that the Applicant had provided with | 12 residential appearance. |
| initial application. Again, I don't mean to quib | 13 MR. HUGHES: Can you tell us a little bit about |
| $v$ far back that direction the neighborhood goes | 14 lighting on the property? |
| use hat would be | 15 MR. SEKERAK: The existing lighting is residential in |
| hborhood, just depending upon how Mr. Baumgardn | 16 nature. It doesn't cause any unreasonable glare onto the |
| fines the neighborhood. | 17 adjoining properties. And no additional lighting is |
| MR. HUGHES: Right. | 18 proposed with this application. |
| R. SEKERAK: The one on the other side of Colesville | 19 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And how about signage? Is |
| d is -- I don't believe that's within the practic | 20 there any signage proposed? |
| ghborhood for use of this benign impact. But those will | 21 MR. SEKERAK: Yeah, there is no existing signage now. |
| e only two existing special exceptions or conditional | 22 The Applicant anticipates a single double-sided sign along |
| ssibly within either of those | 23 Colesville Road. |
| esn't | 24 MR. HUGHES: Okay. |
| $55-$ and since it's in conformance with the master plan, | 25 MR. SEKERAK: That would be reviewed and approved by |


| 45 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 the Montgomery County Sign Review Board. | 1 at the front door of the office use. |
| 2 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Thank you. Does this use | 2 MR. HUGHES: And that -- |
| 3 satisfy any applicable previous approvals on the subject | 3 MR. SEKERAK: So the left-hand corner of that footprint |
| 4 sit | 4 is the reception area. |
| 5 MR. SEKERAK: Yeah, there were no other approvals that | 5 MR. HUGHES: Okay. And that's a requirement for this |
| 6 remain for the site. Again, there was a previous special | 6 code, correct? That there be a waiting room or reception |
| 7 exception, but nothing at this point to be in conformance | 7 area? |
| 8 w | 8 MR. SEKERAK: Co |
| 9 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Okay. I'm going to ask you | 9 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Very good. And is -- let me back |
| 10 little bit about the section related to healthcare | 10 up one second. Okay |
| 11 practitioners. Is there any screening required for this | 11 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Are there any |
| 12 application? | 12 examination rooms on the first floor? So when a patient |
| 13 MR. SEKERAK: Ther | 13 visits will they go into one of the staff offices? |
| 14 MR.HUGHES: Okay. Can you tell us about the maximumamount | 14 MR. SEKERAK: I would need to leave that to Mr. -- I'm |
| 15 of floor area permitted and what's being proposed here? | 15 uncomfortable -- |
| $1720(\mathrm{~b})$. Since is difficult to go between them, I guess I | 17 MR. SEKERAK: Finding on that on that absent Mr. |
| 18 would recommend 20(b). | 18 Matope's input. |
| 19 MR. HUGHES: 20(b), which is the first floor plan from | 19 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Got it. |
| 20 the architect, yes. Mr. Hearing Examiner, if you have 20, | 20 MR. MATOPE: So all the meeting will be taking place at |
| 21 it would b | 21 the conference room there, that is behind the waiting area. |
| 22 certainly can just talk to it if it's not coming up handy | 22 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Okay, thank you. |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Good point. | 23 MR. MATOPE: Yes |
| 24 MR. HUGHES: Yeah. John, can you tell us a little bit | 24 MR. HUGHES: Yeah, for the record, that was Mr. Matope, |
| 25 about that -- well, there it is. Thank you, very much. | 25 Mr . Hearing Examiner. |
| 46 | 48 |
| 1 Can you tell us what the proposed square footage of the | 1 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Thank yo |
| 2 office is? | 2 Mr. HUGHES: Okay. John, Mr. Sekerak, are there any |
| 3 MR. SEKERAK: All right; 20(a) has the calculations on | 3 accessory buildings being used as part of this application? |
| 4 there, but this is a better graphic demonstration of that | 4 MR. SEKERAK: No, there are not. There is an existing |
| 5 So I'm perfectly fine staying with this one. And I can | 5 structure, the storage shed, but it's simply for the |
| 6 describe the numbers. The planned office area you see with | 6 residential -- typical garden shed for the residential use. |
| 7 the crosshatching on the first floor there is 1,195 square | 7 MR. HUGHES: Okay, thank you. And going -- talking |
| 8 feet, which is less than the code maximum of 1,500 square | 8 brieflyabout the parking, can you tell us where the parking |
| 9 feet, and it's less than the code maximum of 33 percent of | 9 is located? |
| 10 theoverall square footage of the house. The house is 5,507 | 10 MR. SEKERAK: Mr. Baumgardner, if you could go back to |
| 11 square feet. This is just that portion of the house is | 11 the site plan, 25(a)? |
| 12 being used for the office use on the first floor. | 12 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Yeah, 25(a), thank you. |
| 13 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And that's also -- and so we are | 13 MR. SEKERAK: So as you can see, there is no additional |
| 14 looking at Exhibit 20(b). | 14 parking being proposed. The existing parking is along the |
| 15 MR. SEKERAK: (b). | 15 south side and to the rear of the existing residence. Some |
| 16 MR. HUGHES: And that's also in staff report Exhibit | 16 of it is just three of the spaces are utilized in the |
| 17 30, not that we need to go there Mr. Hearing Examiner. | 17 existing carport. They are outlined in a |
| 18 Exhibit 30, page 6, figure 4. | 18 dashed line. There is one ADA accessible space with a ramp |
| 19 Is that correct Mr. Sekerak? Is that your | 19 up to the office. Again, it's all existing. We would |
| 20 understanding? | 20 simply be striping it with this configuration. |
| 21 MR. SEKERAK: Yes, it is. And while we have this up | 21 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And under the code, the |
| 22 just to save time later on, because I'm anticipating a | 22 hearing examiner can set the hours of operation, number of |
| 23 question of yours later | 23 patients, et cetera. Can you tell us what's being proposed |
| 24 MR. HUGHES: Ah, there we go. | 24 here or being asked for? |
| 25 MR. SEKERAK: There is a waiting area, a reception area | 25 MR. SEKERAK: That indicated in the statement of |


| 49 | 51 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 justification, which I understand is Exhibit 7. The | 1 simply be, usually done. So regarding the first inherent |
| 2 practitioner use would be between 8:00 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. | 2 proposal is estimated to generate well below the 50 peak |
| 3 Monday through Friday, but with the rare exception of | 3 hour trips necess |
| 4 emergencypatient visits outside of those established hours. | 4 Colesville Road, which is again, a six lane major highway. |
| 5 And as the Applicant discussed earlier, the Applicant | 5 Access to it is readily available without sending any trips |
| 6 anticipates seeing 10 spaces outside per week, but no mor | 6 throughadjoining residential neighborhoods, impacting that. |
| 7 than one patient at any on | 7 With the approval of the requested waivers, there is |
| 8 MR. HUGHES: Thank | 8 adequate parking available on-site. The existing facility |
| 9 of goods planned or proposed | 9 can readily accommodate 10 spaces. The previous special |
| 10 MR. SEKERAK: No. No | 10 exception had accommodated 11, for instance. But we are |
| 11 MR. HUGHES: Okay. And the code also said the | 11 able to accomplish that without any modifications to the |
| 12 examiner may grant a conditional use for major hom | 12 existing -- to the site or the existing parking facility. |
| 13 healthcare practitioner as we are asking for here, on th | 13 MR. HUGHES: Okay. |
| 14 samesite as a low-impact one or no impact if they find they | 14 MR. SEKERAK: And again, that third is -- there are no |
| 15 can work together. But are there any -- what's being | 15 proposed changes to the exterior of the structures or the |
| 16 proposed here, is there anything other than this majo | 16 site other than some additional plantings. They have |
| 17 impact for the home healthcare being | 17 existing plumbing unit. The carport and shed are |
| 18 MR. SEKERAK: Those findings are not applicable. No | 18 proposed to remain as they are toda |
| 19 other conditional use is propos | 19 MR. HUGHES: Thank your |
| 20 MR. HUGHES: Okay. I think you already | 20 MR. SEKERAK: Oh, regarding non-inherent, staff did not |
| 21 but the hearing ex | 21 determine any and I agree. |
| 22 the opportunity | 22 MR. HUGHES: Okay, very good. And I think you ju |
| 23 asking for here if th | 23 mentioned this, but -- and so there is no new structures |
| 24 property. Are there any active approvals, conditional use, | 24 being proposed here; is that correct? |
| 25 or special exception | 25 MR. SEKERAK: That's correct. No site improvements |
| 50 | 52 |
| 1 MR. SEKERAK: There is not | 1 other than some add |
| 2 MR. HUGHES: Okay | 2 residential use. |
| 3 MR. SEKERAK: Nothing | 3 MR. HUGHES: Very good. So essentially, what's being |
| 4 MR. HUGHES: Are there adequate p | 4 proposed to the exterior of this property, if anything? |
| 5 facilities at the location? | 5 MR. SEKERAK: Nothing. |
| 6 MR. SEKERAK: Yes. Well, in terms of | 6 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Thank you. So is it your |
| 7 facilities, the proposed use will have no impact to the | 7 professional overall opinion that the proposed use will be |
| 8 schools in the area. Mr. Etemadi already previously | 8 compatible with the nearby residential properties and you |
| 9 addressed the transportation, but the traffic, vehicular | 9 concur with staff's view on this as well? |
| 10 and pedestrian impacts will be deminimus. Fire rescue, | 10 MR. SEKERAK: I agree on both those points. It has |
| 11 police services are very nearby and it's in water and sewer | 11 been an established part of this community for decades. So |
| 12 category 1 and it's currently served by existing 13 water and sewer services. | 12 it would be very consistent with that. <br> 13 MR. HUGHES: Thank you Mr. Sekerak |
| 14 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And what's your professiona | 14 Mr. Hearing Examiner, with your permission what I would |
| 15 opinion on any non-inherent and inherent conditions from | 15 like to propose now is -- that kind of wraps up so to speak |
| 16 this use and impact they could have? | 16 our case in chief. But as I mentioned before, I do want to |
| 17 MR. SEKERAK: Staff has identified inherent physical | 17 put some time into talking about condition of approval |
| 18 and operational characteristics. And they include one, | 18 proposed number 8 . And with your permission, I would like |
| 19 vehicular trips to and from site. Two, parking for | 19 todo just a very brief opening just to kind of lay the line |
| 20 residential and proposed use. And three, physical changes | 20 of what we're going to talk about, give Mr. Sekerak a short |
| 21 to the site out of character with the surrounding | 21 breath to have a sip of coffee or something. Then I would |
| 22 neighborhood, which is odd since we are not providing or | 22 call Mr. Rodriguez quickly and have Mr. Sekerak up to |
| 23 proposing any physical changes to the site. | 23 finish. |
| 24 But otherwise, I wouldn't disagree with thos | 24 Would that be all right, Mr. Hearing Examiner? |
| 25 inherent characteristics of this type of use. It would | 25 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: That will be |


| 53 | 55 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 did have one quick follow-up question either for counsel or | 1 that first of all, it's a guideline. |
| 2 Mr . Sekerak. The proposed signage, I know that it would | 2 Second of all, it doesn't even apply here. We will |
| 3 haveto go before the Sign Review Board, but is there a sign | 3 show that. It doesn't kick in. It doesn't become the type |
| 4 contemplated right now? Or would that be something th | 4 of highway use where it really is applicable. Yes, thank |
| 5 would occur in the somewhat near futur | 5 you for putting up number 8. I should have started with |
| 6 MR. SEKERAK: I would be happy to address that. With | 6 that. It says the Applicant must widen existing 4 foot |
| 7 conversations with Mr. Matope, we are -- we have identified | 7 sidewalk along Colesville Road to 5 feet, preserving the |
| 8 the location of one sign and we anticipate it to be do | 8 existing 1 foot grass buffer along the site's Colesville |
| $9$ | 9 Road frontage. Another possible theory from staff is it's |
| 10 property line as required and a maximum of 5 feet high a | 10 applicable for the County Master Plan. There may have been |
| 11 required. It would not be illuminated in any way. The code | 11 some discussion also about whether it could be applicable |
| 12 limits to assign on a residential | 12 under subdivision -- section 50, subdivision -- but th |
| 13 feet. That would be woefully ineffective along | 13 not applicable because we are not going to a subdivision. |
| 14 the characteristics of Colesvils | 14 And then under the State Highway guideline, a lot of the |
| 15 anticipating probably a 5 square feet sign. | 15 foc |
| 16 require a waiver by the Sign Review | 16 is on ADA requirements. And the |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Okay. I only ask | 17 sidewalkof 36 inches. Right now this is that 48 inches |
| 18 because we typically include that in our discussio | 18 they are asking for 60 . So it certainly meets the law. |
| 19 proposedoperation of the use with the understanding that | 19 Again, so we believe that condition of approval is a |
| 20 would go before the Sign Review Board anyway. I wanted | 20 draft. It's a recommendation that is discretionary. And |
| 21 make sure that I included that information at a basic | 21 are also going to talk about some precedent that shows even |
| 22 in our report. That was my only | 22 if it was applicable here, which we don't believe it |
| 23 So Mr. Hughes, you can -- I have no problem with going | 23 there is discretion to not utilize it or not make that |
| 24 with your plan to address the condition number 8 . | 24 condition be required |
| 25 can call your next witne | 25 Again, the starting point here, we are kind of stepping |
| 54 | 56 |
| 1 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Thank you Mr. Hearing | 1 back and kind of understand Mr. Matope and some other folks |
| 2 Examiner. Let me just if I could, a brief kind of set the | 2 who are not in land development and even myself quite |
| 3 table and then I would call Mr. Rodrigue | 3 honestly scratch my head. We cannot be doing any less to |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Okay | 4 the exterior of the property here, almost cannot do any |
| 5 MR. HUGHES: So what I could say on this is that we | 5 less. He is modifying the inside of the house. He is not |
| 6 hopefulto show via testimony of our next two witnesses that | 6 expanding the house. He's not adding new structures. He's |
| 7 this condition of approval is -- first of all, we don't | 7 notadding new parking. He is not modifying the entrance or |
| 8 believe it's applicable. But even if it is applicable, we | 8 driveway. He is not putting additional pavement in the |
| 9 are also going to bring in some precedent that shows it can | 9 backyard. He is not touching the sidewalk. He is not |
| 10 be waived, it can be modified and such. So here are where | 10 touching the road. He's not touching the right- |
| 11 the theories where it could potentially come in that has | 11 of-way. |
| 12 kind of come through staff and/or Planning Board. | 12 Now, I will concede we are putting a few trees at the |
| 13 First one is reference to SHA documents, State Highway | 13 back of the property, landscaping, and he is hoping to put |
| 14 Administration documents. And first of all, it is a | 14 upa small sign. But really could almost not do any less to |
| 15 guideline. That's what's on the menu itself. The exhibit | 15 the exterior of the property. And in spite of that, he is |
| 16 is Exhibit 44. And we don't need to pull it up right now. | 16 being asked to have a very, very significant lift here on |
| 17 We will be talking about it some but Exhibit 44 is called | 17 top of the normal expected processes and costs and |
| 18 accessibility policy and guidelines for pedestrian | 18 expenditures for an application for Montgomery County. To |
| 19 facilities along the State Highway. It says policy and | 19 ask him to add 12 inches -- and unfortunately, you can't |
| 20 guidelines. | 20 just add 12 inches. |
| 21 But we will show that it is not applicable in | 21 Although, that would be pretty involved too because |
| 22 situation. By its own definition and does not fit int | 22 there is a ton of -- you'll hear testimony, a ton of review |
| 23 whereit would kind of kick in and be applicable even by its | 23 that needs to go through coordination not really even with |
| 24 owndefinition of when a situational use or modification, if | 24 the County. It's with the State Highway and the utility |
| 25 it would be classified as a modification. So our theories | 25 companies. You can't just add 12 inches of cement, which |

would be a lot cheaper, but still involved.
And the sidewalk was testified to, the sidewalk and
drivewayapron, that they are in fine, functional shape. And
you will hear testimony that it's 4 feet everywhere in this
area, the sidewalks are. So it's just that we're kind of
scratching our heads as to what the benefit is. And
certainly the benefit is minuscule compared to the very
significant amount of time, effort, and cost that this will
bring to Mr. Matope. So with that, I would like to call my
first witness on this topic, Mr. Sergio Rodriguez.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: All right. Let me do
that.
With that, Mr. Rodriguez, can you please raise your
right hand, please?
And sir, do you swear or affirm under the penalties of
perjury that the testimony you're about to give is the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: I do.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, very much.
Mr. Hughes, the floor is yours.
MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Mr. Rodriguez, could you state
your business address please?
MR. RODRIQUEZ: Yes, I work at Stantec at 20440
Century Boulevard, Suite 247 at Germantown, Maryland 20874.
MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And what is your profession,

MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And what is your profession,

Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIQUEZ: I am a civil engineer. I have a
Master's degree in engineering many years ago.
MR. HUGHES: Okay, thank you. And how long have you
been practicing as a civil engineer?
MR. RODRIQUEZ: I've been practicing as a civil
engineer for 18 years, close.
MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And Mr. Hearing Examiner
again, his resume is an Exhibit 36, our prehearing
submission. And I would like to offer him as an expert in
the field of civil engineering.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Has he been admitted in
any OZHA case or any court case in the past?
MR. HUGHES: Good question. I will let him answer. I
don't believe in Montgomery County. I believe in Howard
County somewhat recently he was admitted as an expert. Is
that fair, Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIQUEZ: That is correct, Howard County.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Okay. Having review the
resumewith that information, he is so admitted as an expert
in civil engineering.
MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
Mr. Rodriguez, you heard Mr. Sekerak's description of
-- related to condition of approval number 8 , and the point
of -- potentially expanding the existing functional legal

1 sidewalk from 4 to 5 feet; is that correct?
MR. RODRIQUEZ: Yes, I heard it.
MR. HUGHES: Okay. And based upon your professional --
well, let me back a little bit. Do you deal with
engineering and construction projects that involve highways
and roads and dealing with utility companies and State Highway?
8 MR. RODRIQUEZ: Yes, I do.
MR. HUGHES: Okay. And is that something you've dealt with
10 over the span of your career?
11 MR. RODRIQUEZ: Yes.
12 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Based on your professional 13 experiencein this business, could you tell us what would be
4 involved in such a project from a civil engineering standpoint?
16 MR. RODRIQUEZ: Correct. As you stated before, 17 expanding the sidewalk -- I wouldn't call it expanding. I 18 will just call it reconstruct the sidewalk since as you 19 mentioned before just adding a foot of concrete to the 20 existing sidewalk is not feasible. Structurally it would 1 not work. And in this case, SHA, which is the agency who owns this, will not allow that.

So in order to go through this process, we had to go 4 through a couple of steps. We had to go through survey 25 process. We had to establish our boundary. So we had to get that topographic survey and boundary survey. We had to do additional research for underground utilities every time we are going to dig. So we have to get that information. Then we have to get through SHA, through their approval of the sidewalk we want to put in.

And once the sidewalk and plan preparation is established, we have to go through -- this will include the entrance. The apron entrance will need to be redo also once 9 we remove the sidewalk we have to come up with new 10 standards. Then assuming we would have to go to some 11 waivers request with SHA. We have to go through the 1 foot 12 grass strip waiver which is particular -- it's a 3 foot 13 grass strip which is required.
14 Then a second waiver, we have to request a 48 inch 15 sidewalk at the place where the pole is located, the 16 existing pole is located. Another part of the process, we 17 have to go through DPS. DPS is Department of Permitting 18 Servicesin Montgomery County. They are the actual ones who 19 actually provide the permits. We have to run permits 20 through (indiscernible) control. We have run permits
21 through traffic control plans. We have to do some -22 public improvement easement at the property since the 23 sidewalk is slightly encroaching into the property. So we 24 have to go through a public improvement easement for this 25 property. There's got to be a restoration bond that needs
would go up significantly.
Mr. Rodriguez mentioned the traffic control plan. So while they are reconstructing the driveway apron and possiblyrelocating telephone poles, they would have to shut down the curb lane of US Route 29 during the construction. And during the entire time of the construction phase they would have to be redirecting pedestrians to the other side of US 29 for the entire length between Dale Drive and Sligo Creek Parkway.

Back to -- you know, it sounds so benign; just widen the 4 foot sidewalk to 5 feet. So again, the ADA requirement is 3 feet. They are asking us to -- you know, we've got 4 feet. They are asking us to widen it to 5 feet. So the disconnect there is stark relative to the time, cost, and position not only to the Applicant, but to 16 the traveling public during the construction.

And the involvement of the different agencies being 8 that -- the State Highway Administration primarily, DPS for 9 sediment control, and the utility companies; getting 0 everybody to agree on this thing when it's really not 1 necessary is really quite an imposition. Mr. Rodriguez did 2 mention that the existing survey for the property, just the 23 house location survey for the purposes of the recent 4 purchase of the thing, does indicate that the existing 5 sidewalk already does encroach on the private property
already.
So in order to expand that, we would need to do a public improvement easement so that the future -- so the walking public could walk across the private property and theState Highway Administration could maintain the sidewalk in the future. That public improvement easement would be also encroaching on the public utility easement and that's alwaysfiun for getting all the right required signatures for utility companies in order to further encumber their 10 easement that they enjoy.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And can you remind us; what 12 improvements is Mr. Matope, is he making to the exterior of the property?

MR. SEKERAK: Again, some plantings in the rear of the yard. There is absolutely no impact to the public right-of-way. If he needed to build a new driveway or anything out in the public right-of-way, that's when SHA's jurisdiction would kick in. But at this point, there is -theyhave no jurisdiction for a conditional use application.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Mr. Sekerak, are you aware of any master plan requirements that mandate this existing, functional, legal 4 foot sidewalk be required to be increased to 5 feet for this application?

MR. SEKERAK: No, there is not. I thoroughly analyzed the master plan. I did for the purposes of looking for
1
1
2
to be provided. So there is a lot of steps and agencies
3
that are $\quad$ MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And in your professional
4
opinion, are you able to talk about a rough estimate on the
5
time and cost that could be involved in this?
6
7
7
case scenario through the agencies and the permitting and
8
all the processes it would take, I would say, no less than
9
10 six months. That's our starting point. Costs, as Mr.
11 could come around \$30,000.
$12 \quad$ The relocation of the utility pole, that's the biggest
13 unknown here because that creates a big ripple effect. Once
14 you relocate one pole, you have to see how that is attached
15 to the other poles and you have to redo the wiring of the
16 other poles. That's a lot of -- it can create a big ripple
17 effect in this case. So he mentioned it can be very costly
18 torelocate one utility pole. He mentioned something around
19 \$150,000 to \$200,000. Yeah, it can be in that range.
$20 \quad$ MR. HUGHES: And that's if the poles have to be
21 removed. It is not a definite they would have to be
22 removed. But in your experience, it's definitely a
23 possibility. Is that fair to say?
$24 \quad$ MR. RODRIQUEZ: Yes, definitely it's a possibility.
$25 \quad$ MR. HUGHES: Okay. So is it fair to say in your
professional opinion, this would be a multi-month to a year
process of significant cost to Mr. Matope?
MR. RODRIQUEZ: Yes.
MR. HUGHES: Very good. Thank you, Mr. Rodriguez. With
that I would like to recall Mr. Sekerak, Mr. Hearing
Examiner.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: He is so recalled. And
Mr. Sekerak, just as a reminder, you are still under oath.
MR. SEKERAK: I understand.
MR. HUGHES: Thank you Mr. Sekerak. I think Mr.
Rodriguez did a pretty nice job of laying out all the
potential or what would be involved potentially here with
approval of condition number 8 acting upon it. But are
there any additional details you want to add to that? Or
did that cover in your mind?
MR. SEKERAK: Well, I could talk all day about this.
But he is right. We would need to survey the property. In
order to not move poles, we would need waivers from the
same SHA document that staff had referenced. So if we are
unsuccessful with that -- and that would be for permission
to leave it at 48 inches just within the area of the poles
and leaving the 1 foot grass strip as opposed to 3 foot. If
we are unsuccessful with either one of those neighborhoods,
the costs go up considerably and it involves dealing with
utility companies. The length of the time of the review
to be provided. So there is a lot of steps and agencies
17
19
20
21

| 65 | 67 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 anything that would address something like this. Just like | 1 just described. Both sides of the street, 4 foot wide |
| 2 anymaster plan, there is -- it's replete with references to | 2 sidewalks, 1 foot green strip is the majority of the length. |
| 3 where a good pedestrian circulation is important for master | 3 Itobviously changes where there are driveway aprons such as |
| 4 plan area. And again, that's not unusual for any | 4 thesubject property or the church entrance right ac |
| 5 plan. But nothing about there being insufficient width of | 5 street or in front of the dental practice abutting our |
| 6 the existing sidewalk | 6 property. So it does change in those type |
| $7 \quad$ Curiously, there is one refere | 7 characteristicsas the context -- but for the length of time |
| 8 there may be instances where it would be appropriate | 8 where there are no driveway entrances or the stream |
| 9 reduce the width of pedestrian that for sidewalks, on page | 9 crossing, there is a 4 foot wide sidewalk with a 1 foot |
| 10 73of the master plan. But nothing that would be compelling | 10 green strip. |
| 11 regarding widening existing sidewalks, perfectly good, | 11 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And would you like to -- M |
| 12 | 12 Hearing Examiner, could you please pull up Exhibit 42? |
| 13 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. | 13 think it's five or six |
| 14 the SHA guidebook and a little bit. But are you aware of | 14 And Mr. Sekerak, if you could, just tell us what they |
| 15 anylaw under SHA's authority that requires a sidewalk to go | 15 are roughly, or what they're showing. |
| 16 from 4 to 5 feet? | 16 MR. SEKERAK: Okay. This is near the Sligo Creek |
| 17 MR. SEKERAK: | 17 Parkway intersection where the guard rail is there because |
| 18 MR. HUGHES: Any federal ADA laws that would | 18 it is crossing Sligo Creek itself. And then we just |
| 19 it ? | 19 progress, the photographs are progression going up |
| 20 MR. SEKERAK: No, the minimum width for ADA rout | 20 Colesville Road towards downtown Silver Spring. So this is |
| 21 feet and we've got 4 feet. | 21 the property, the next property, which is right -- the |
| . HUGHES: And it's -- is it true that the sidewalk | 22 dental practice right next door to our site. And again, |
| re 4 feet all in and around this area of Colesville Road | 23 their parking area is the front of the building. It's go |
| 24 where the | 24 two driveway entrances. So that's why you see it expanded |
| 25 MR. SEKERAK: Yes. Yes. The hearing examiner brought | 25 along those lines. |
| 66 | 68 |
| 1 up some photos, recent photos I'd taken of the a | 1 The next photograph will be our property. So 4 foot |
| 2 along the course of Colesville Road all the way from Sligo | 2 widesidewalk, 1 foot of green strip. And then the next one |
| 3 Creek Parkway to Dale Drive indicating that it changes from | 3 would be going further up the hill towards Dale Drive. The |
| 4 --in different locations where it's right behind the curb. | 4 adjoining property, yes, underneath that undergrown - |
| 5 It generally can be characterized as a 4 foot wide sidewalk | 5 itcould use some edging, but that is a 4 foot wide sidewalk |
| 6 with 1 foot of green strip. And that applies on both sides | 6 on the other side of the driveway entrance to the adjoining |
| 7 of Colesville Road in that length of road between Sligo | 7 property. |
| 8 Creek Parkway and Dale Drive. The residential streets | 8 MR. HUGHES: Yes. |
| 9 deeper into the neighborhoods on either side of Colesville | 9 MR. SEKERAK: And the next one is that photograph is up |
| 10 Road have no sidewal | 10 at the Dale Drive intersection. |
| 11 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Let's get into some of those | 11 MR. HUGHES: Very good. Thank you. Are you aware of |
| 12 visuals you reference. If I could ask, Mr. Hearing | 12 |
| 13 Examiner, we're going to look at Exhibits 40 through 42, 40 | 13 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Just for the record, |
| 14 being an aerial photo. I will ask Mr. Sekerak if you could | 14 these are collective Exhibit 42 showing a series of |
| 15 utilize these items to tell us a little bit more about | 15 photographs that were just described by Mr. Sekerak and M |
| 16 existing sidewalk in and around this area of Mr. Matope's | 16 Hughes, of the existing sidewalk along the property and the |
| 17 property. | 17 corridor. |
| 18 So here is 40 Mr . Sekerak. And it's a little dark, | 18 MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Mr. Baumgardner. |
| 19 sent them. My apologies. But if you want to talk to | 19 MR. SEKERAK: And that is consistent with the character |
| 20 And then 41 is the photos of the property and area. And | 20 of the sidewalks on the other side of Colesville Road als |
| 21 then 42 is photos of the sidewalk of the property and the | 21 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Mr. Sekerak, are you aware of |
| 22 area. So Mr. Sekerak, as you so wish, please tell us a | 22 any grand or large-scale plans by the County or the state |
| 23 little bit about the existing sidewalk in and around the | 23 government to expand the sidewalk in this area to 5 feet? |
| 24 area. | 24 MR. SEKERAK: Not that I'm aware of or that were |
| 25 MR. SEKERAK: Along Colesville Road, pretty much as I | 25 identified by SHA, DOT, Park and Planning during their |


| 69 | 71 |
| :---: | :---: |
| review of the application. <br> MR. HUGHES: And in your opinion, is there any pressing need to do so there? <br> MR. SEKERAK: No, 4 foot is a perfectly adequate width for pedestrian circulation in this area; 4 foot is more preferable than the ADA required minimum of 3 feet. 5 feet mightbe nicer, but there is no need or requirement in order for that to happen. <br> MR. HUGHES: Thank you. And what is -- are you aware 0 of SHA's, State Highway Administration's position on Mr. Matope just adding 12 inches to the existing concrete? Is that an option? <br> MR. SEKERAK: That's not practical or feasible from a 4 construction or end-product standpoint. It's not widening theexisting sidewalk. It's replacing the existing sidewalk with a 5 foot wide sidewalk. <br> MR. HUGHES: Is it your understanding that is State <br> Highway Administration's official position on this <br> particular request as well? <br> MR. SEKERAK: Yes, it is. They confirmed that during thePlanning Board hearing. The representative of the State <br> Highway Administration was asked that very question and he agreed that is not a widening, it's a replacement. <br> MR. HUGHES: Okay. <br> MR. SEKERAK: Not that that has a substantive | lift, like you described, especially when you compare it to the very slight incremental improvement that it would provide to the public or certainly to this particular property owner. <br> MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Let me ask you a little bit about the State Highway Administration's booklet titled -it's Exhibit 44 titled Accessibility Policy and Guidelines for Pedestrian Facilities Along State Highways. First of all, you agree it says guideline in the title; is that correct? <br> MR. SEKERAK: Yes, it is guidelines. And let's be 12 clear that none of this is necessary for accessibility 13 accommodations. It would improve -- sure, 5 foot wide 14 sidewalk would provide better accessibility than a 4 foot, 5 similar to a 4 foot provides better accessibility to the 16 minimum 3 foot. But this is not an accessibility 17 requirement. This is just an SHA guideline for new 8 projects, effectively. <br> MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Hearing <br> Examinerfor pulling up Exhibit 44. I would ask if we could please go to page 6 . <br> And Mr. Sekerak, I believe you have a copy with you as well. Can you -- can I refer you to page 6 , the middle of <br> 24 the page were explains the implementation and when ADA <br> 25 accommodations kick in? Can you read us those three |
| difference in the cost or length of time. The cost and the imposition of this exaction is not the amount of concrete itself. The substantive costs are the length of time of the approvals and such. <br> MR. HUGHES: And if the County and State are not needing or planningto make some grant improvements here, are you aware of any reason why it should occur here? <br> MR. SEKERAK: No. The other areas along here would not anticipateany other sidewalks being improved anytime soon. I mean, this is R60, predominantly residential area that is extremelystable land-use, R60 residential. You wouldn't be anticipatingany redevelopment of this area where additional right-of-way improvements would be -could be performed. This would probably, for all intents and purposes in the foreseeable future, be the only 5 foot segment of sidewalk in the neighborhood. <br> MR. HUGHES: It would kind of be an island by itself, is that fair to say? <br> MR. SEKERAK: Yes. Yes. <br> MR. HUGHES: Okay. So is it your professional opinion <br> that condition of approval 8 is a very heavy liff for Mr . <br> Matopeand it's not required under federal, state, or county law? <br> MR. SEKERAK: That's correct. I don't see any <br> requirements for it. But also, it is -- is a very heavy | sentences under implementation section? Third to last paragraph on that page. <br> MR. SEKERAK: Yeah. <br> MR. HUGHES: Take your time. <br> MR. SEKERAK: This is the applicability section of the -- of this document. "So SHA understands the need to include ADA accommodations in our projects. We also understand what to provide regarding ADA elements such as curb cuts, sidewalk ramps, and typical surfaces. The challenge is to know when to provide the accommodations and the scope of the accommodations." So again, this -- <br> MR. HUGHES: So it's fair to say it states it's a <br> challenge to know when to provide the ADA accommodations? <br> MR. SEKERAK: Yes, that often is the case. And then it <br> goes into detail about the types of projects that would <br> require it. It's not difficult in this case because there is no project. There are no improvements. There are no impacts to the public right-of-way. So it's clear this is inapplicable in this case. <br> MR. HUGHES: So along those lines, could you just <br> quickly read the first two sentences under the "when" paragraph there on the same page? <br> MR. SEKERAK: "The ADA standards for accessible design uses the termalteration as a mechanism that would initiate theneed to provide ADA compliance. Alteration is described |


| 73 | $75$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 as a change that affects or could affect accessibility to | 1 MR. SEKERAK: 'Level 1 is considered to be repair in |
| 2 theusability of a facility. This includes roadways, parks, | 2 kind or in place. These projects include routine |
| 3 and ride lots, rest area buildings, or a part of a | 3 maintenance or repair work that generally do not impact, |
| 4 facility." | 4 disturbed, or modify pedestrian usability. Resurfacing |
| 5 MR. HUGHES: So it seems that the termalteration is a | 5 projects are not considered routine maintenance and do not |
| 6 key to whether an ADA accommodation for a project kicks in? | 6 fall under Level |
| 7 MR. SEKERAK: Yeah, that's the purpose of their | 7 MR. HUGHES: Is it your understanding, is Level 1 -- |
| 8 description in this section of the documen | 8 would it require what we are being asked to do here? |
| 9 MR. HUGHES: Okay | 9 MR. SEKERAK: It provides more examples of types of |
| 10 MR. SEKERAK: It | 10 projects that would not require the types of improvement |
| 11 MR. HUGHES: Are we altering anything here? | 11 that we are asked to be doing here. |
| 12 MR. SEKERAK: We are no | 12 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. |
| 13 MR. HUGHES: Are we touching the right-of-way? | 13 MR. SEKERAK: So for instance, if for whatever reason |
| 14 MR. SEKERAK: We are not. | 14 we needed to replace some sidewalk, it puts into some |
| 15 MR. HUGHES: Are we touching the road? | 15 context of when we would then need to replace the entire |
| 16 MR. SEKERAK: We are not. | 16 portionof sidewalk under the standards of these guidelines. |
| 17 MR. HUGHES: Are we touching the sidewalk? | 17 MR. HUGHES: Exactly. Could you read the first bullet |
| 18 MR. SEKERAK: We are not | 18 there on that page? Still on page 8. |
| 19 MR. HUGHES: How about the driveway? | 19 MR. SEKERAK: "The repair of drainage pipes or inlets |
| 20 MR. SEKERAK: We are not. | 20 that result in a small portion of a sidewalk being removed |
| 21 MR. HUGHES: The parking area? | 21 and replaced. This type of work will require only that the |
| 22 MR. SEKERAK: We are not | 22 sidewalk be repaired in kind and no additional |
| 23 MR. HUGHES: Okay. In your opinion, could we be doing | 23 installation/upgrade of sidewalk or curb ramps would be |
| 24 any less outside on this property? | 24 required. This would not be considered Level 1 if a |
| 25 MR. SEKERAK: We could not to the public right-of-wa | 25 significantly length greater than 100 feet of sidewalk is |
| 74 | 76 |
| 1 Absolutely nothing. | 1 removed." And we're proposing -- |
| 2 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. I'm going to ask you just I | 2 MR. HUGHES: So -- |
| 3 think -- well, maybe one or two more things quickly. | 3 MR. SEKERAK: -- to remove zero. |
| 4 And I will try to speed up, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Are | 4 MR. HUGHES: Yeah, right. So it essentially says you can |
| 5 you able to read please, the second to last sentence in the | 5 remove up to 100 feet and still not to put in additional |
| 6 last paragraph still on that same page 6 | 6 upgrades. And we are doing 0 feet; is that correct? |
| 7 that starts with, "basic repair or maintenance"? | 7 MR. SEKERAK: That's correct. |
| 8 MR. SEKERAK: "Basic repair or maintenance activities | 8 MR. HUGHES: Okay, thank you. I think this is the last |
| 9 such as repairing a pothole, restriping a roadway in kind, | 9 one. I apologize. Could you read the second bullet to us? |
| 10 repairing guard rail, or even a spot sidewalk repair | 10 The one just underneath the one you just read? |
| 11 typically would not trigger ADA compliance." | 11 MR. SEKERAK: "Utility repairs or relocations that |
| 12 MR. HUGHES: All right. So those wouldn't even | 12 result in a small portion less than 100 feet of sidewalk |
| 13 require ADA compliance, correct? And we are doing a lot | 13 beingremoved and replaced would require only repair in kind |
| 14 less than those. Is that fair to say? | 14 and would not trigger any new installation or upgrades to |
| 15 MR. SEKERAK: Right. It's describing examples of | 15 existing sidewalk or curb ramps." |
| 16 minimal projects that still at that level would not require | 16 MR. HUGHES: Okay. So there again, if you're doing in |
| 18 MR. HUGHES: Okay, thank you. | 18 would not trigger any new installation or upgrade to |
| 19 Mr. Hearing Examiner, could I please ask that you move | 19 existing sidewalk, correct? |
| 20 up two pages in this item to page 8? It's still Exhibit | 20 MR. SEKERAK: That's correct. |
| 21 44, SHA guideline book it. I'm summarizing what it's | 21 MR. HUGHES: And we are -- again, we are doing not even |
| 22 called. | 221 foot. We are doing 0 feet here, correct? |
| 23 Mr. Sekerak, towards the bottom of page 8 under the | 23 MR. SEKERAK: That's correct. |
| 24 section entitled, "Level 1," could you read the first two 25 sentences there that starts with, "Level 1 is considered"? | 24 MR. HUGHES: Okay. So is it your professional opinion, 25 since we're doing no exterior alterations, that |
| 25 sentences there that starts with, Level 1 is considered? | 25 since we re doing no exterior alterations, that |


| 77 | 79 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 this doesn't even fall within the SHA guideline book? | 1 existing site has no sidewalk of any width. It just has no |
| 2 MR. SEKERAK: That's correct. Our discussion of the | 2 sidewalk. And the discussion in the staff report is very |
| 3 bookbegan with what I consider the applicability section of | 3 specific on |
| 4 t | 4 |
| 5 proposal, this application. And then it describes where | 5 MR. SEKERAK: Bottom of pag |
| 6 eventhose projects that the document would be applicable to | 6 MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. |
| 7 would still not require improvements such as replacing a | 7 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Su |
| 8 foot,perfectly good 4 foot wide sidewalk with a 5 foot wide | 8 MR. SEKERAK: Where they recognize that there is no |
| $9$ | 9 existing sidewalk in there. The advising agency said, he |
| 10 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. I guess I can understand | 10 wouldn't it be great if we had a sidewalk. Park and |
| 11 SHA and/or planning staff might -- and/or the Board might | 11 Planning staff made a the |
| 12 want to ask for wider sidewalks. But are you aware of any | 12 MR. HUGHES: Mr. Sekerak, which part on page 14? Are |
| 13 legal reasoning behind such a re | 13 we looking at the last paragraph or two; is that corre |
| 14 MR. SEKERAK: I am not. There are no -- I'm not aware | 14 MR. SEKERAK: The last paragraph, correct. |
| 15 of any and none have | 15 MR. HUGHES: Yeah, thank you. |
| 16 MR. HUGHES: All right. Okay. Thank you. I would | 16 MR. SEKERAK: And staff concluded that staff |
| 17 like to shift to come to the last subject area that I'm | 17 support the recommendation of installing a 5 foot sidewalk |
| 18 going to ask you to talk about, | 18 because the Applicant's expense outweighs the public |
| 19 So I think -- let me step back for a second. Our | 19 benefit. And again, this is where there are impacts to the |
| 20 position | 20 right-of-way, whether it's no sidewalk, and they choose not |
| 21 apply. But let's say for a s | 21 to impose that exaction on that Appli |
| 22 believed that it did apply. Are you aware of any count | 22 There are other similar types of examples of this, but |
| 23 precedent that supports the position to not requir | 23 this was the one that caught my eye because the |
| 24 expanding sidewalks any recent county precedents on this 25 topic? | 24 specific discussion in the staff report recognizing it and 25 stating that the costs are not proportional to the benefit. |
| 78 | 80 |
| 1 MR. SEKERAK: Yes. Let me see. What are the ex | 1 Andin that case, the benefit would have been significant as |
| 2 MR. HUGHES: Exhibit 34. Mr. -- I don't know if we need to | 2 opposedto the minor incremental benefit that is being asked |
| 3 goto it, but it is Exhibit 34 which is CU case 2016-07. | 3 of this Applica |
| 4 that what you're talking about, Mr. Sekerak? | 4 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. An |
| 5 MR. SEKERAK: Yes, it is. | 5 casethe Planning Board accepted staff's opinion and did not |
| 6 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Can you tell us a little bit about | 6 require the sidewalk construction? |
| 7 thatone and why it might be interesting to talk about here | 7 MR. SEKERAK: That's correct. |
| 8 MR. SEKERAK: Sure. The exhibits include both the | 8 MR. HUGHES: And is it also your understanding th |
| 9 hearing examiner's report and the staff report. | 9 the OZHA decision also agreed by not requiring that |
| 10 just one example of the - | 10 position? |
| 11 MR. HUGHES: And I apologize. Let me interrupt. I'm | 11 MR. SEKERAK: That's correct. |
| 12 sorry. I should have set it up better. So Exhibit 34(b) | 12 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Are there any other relevant recent |
| 13 is the staff report and I think that's something that | 13 casesthat you want to briefly touch upon on this same topic |
| 14 perhaps you might lead to shortly; is that correct? | 14 area? |
| 15 MR. SEKERAK: Sounds good. Yes, please | 15 MR. SEKERAK: There are others. I don't know if the |
| 16 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Continue. My apologies. | 16 hearing examiner would like me to go into details |
| 17 MR. SEKERAK: No worries. This is an example of | 17 MR. HUGHES: Okay, fair enough. You want to just |
| 18 conditional use application. In this case it's for child | 18 reference the exhibit numbers and/or the cases? |
| 19 daycare facility. It's a change of use from strictly | 19 MR. SEKERAK: Let me |
| 20 being an existing residents to a day care facility. | 20 MR. HUGHES: Maybe I could help out. |
| 21 upshot is that this is a project that | 21 MR. SEKERAK: Yeah, Exhibit 35(a), 35(b), 37(a), 37(b), |
| 22 improvements in order to accommodate the proposed use. Most | 22 and Exhibit 39 are all examples of -- well, in som |
| 23 substantively and includes improvements within the public | 23 where there was -- there |
| 24 right-of-way. So they are impacting the public | 24 improvements, whether there was existing sidewalk that was |
|  | 25 only4 feet wide or no existing sidewalk. It was just there |


loggedin, but in case my eyes are deceiving me, I will open it up to any other members of the community that are logged in or who have called in here today to either offer their owntestimony or to ask any questions of our three witnesses here today.

Going once, going twice, seeing no hands raised or any other indication that the public would like to testify or ask any questions of these witnesses for this application, the testimony evidence is now closed.
Mr. Hughes, you're welcome to get your brief closing at this time.

MR. HUGHES: And so I don't forget, Mr. Hearing Examiner, before I do that but I asked that all the exhibits be moved in, please?

HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Absolutely. All exhibits in this case are hereby admitted into evidence.
They are listed on OZHA's webpage. They are Exhibits 1
through 44 including a couple of sub exhibits, 34(a), (b),
et cetera. So Exhibits 1 through 44 are hereby admitted into evidence.
(Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 44 were admitted into evidence.)
MR. HUGHES: Thank you, very much. Yeah, so a very
brief closing. Thank you for the time. I appreciate the
assistance with the exhibits. So overall here is again, Mr.

Matope is very appreciative and excited of the planning
staff report and the Planning Board support of his
application. We were, as I said at the Planning Board
hearing, the staff was fantastic. Their communication was
amazing. They were very responsive to us. They did a very
thorough report. We were very pleased with everything in it except for one item, which obviously everyone is aware of whichwe spent a lot of time on our hearing today, which was conditional approval number 8 .

So we would ask that Mr. Hearing Examiner, you please grant the approval as is, except for we would ask that you strongly consider the removal of condition of approval number 8 for all the significant reasons we went through today, which first what we believe it doesn't apply. And evenif it did apply, we believe there is an opportunity and precedent that it could be removed.

So we would certainly welcome any follow-up questions, butwe appreciate the time and we would ask that the hearing examiner hopefully approve this application and hopefully would remove condition of approval number 8. With that, I will close out.

HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Thank you all very much for your time and your testimony. This concludes this
hearing on conditional use application 2103 to use the
premises 9221 Colesville Road in Silver Spring, Maryland as
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```
a home health practitioner major impact.
        OZHA will issue our report and decision within 30 days
from the date of this hearing. Once that decision is
issued, any party will have }10\mathrm{ days to appeal that decision
to the Board of Appeals. Any conclusory remarks or follow-
up items that we need to address today?
    MR. HUGHES: No, not from me I don't believe, Mr.
Hearing Examiner. Thank you.
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: All right. Thank you
all very much for your time and attention. And have a good
rest of your day.
    MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
        (The recording was concluded.)
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| 16:19, 20:13, | accommodated | 44:1, 44:17, | affects |
| 24:2, 24:23, | 38:10, 51:10 | 48:13, 51:16, | 73:1 |
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|  | 28:22, 30:5, | 1:8, 6:25, | benign |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 4: 10, & 4: 14, \\ 4: 23, & 4: 25, \end{array}$ | $30: 20, \quad 30: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 20, \quad 10: 14, \\ & 13: 14, \\ & 24: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $42: 21,63: 10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4: 23,4: 25,5: 6, \\ & 45: 17,45: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $31: 3,32: 3,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 14, \quad 24: 4, \\ & 52: 16,53: 3 . \end{aligned}$ | best |
| $45: 19, \quad 45: 21$ | 32:23, 33:2, | 52:16, 53:3, | 86:5 |
| $45: 19,45: 21$, $46: 14, ~ 46: 15$, | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 9, \\ & 33: 16, \end{aligned} \quad 41: 11,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 20, \\ & 59: 19, \\ & 53: 16, \end{aligned}$ | better |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on March 15, 2021

| 71:15, 78:12 | both | bulk | calling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| between | 8:19, 36:10, | 11:3, 14:19 | 8:25 |
| 9:21, 13:7, | 36:21, 39:9, | bullet | camby |
| 21:7, 33:1, | 39:17, 39:18, | 75:17, 76:9 | 9:3, 11:24 |
| 45:17, 49:2, | 52:10, 66:6, | burden | came |
| 63:8, 66:7, | 67:1, 78:8 | 21:21, 22:1 | 15:14, 26:4 |
| 81:13 | bottom | bus | can't |
| bicycle | 74:23, 79:5 | 26:23, 26:24, | 18:2, 19:8, |
| 40:7 | boulevard | 26:25 | 19:9, 56:19, |
| big | 28:8, 57:24 | business | 56:25 |
| 22:1, 61:13, | boundary | 13:8, 14:11, | canby |
| 61:16 | 59:25, 60:1 | 14:15, 15:16, | 2:4 |
| biggest | breath | 16:4, 16:9, | cannot |
| 61:12 | 52:21 | 16:15, 17:14, | 56:3, 56:4 |
| bit | brief | 17:15, 17:18, | care |
| 13:13, 14:20, | 11:18, 12:5, | 18:2, 21:12, | 16:15, 23:2, |
| 15:12, 15:19, | 52:19, 54:2, | 21:18, 21:24, | 23:3, 78:20 |
| 15:20, 24:1, | 82:11, 83:10, | 22:2, 23:2, | career |
| 29:4, 30:9, | 83:24 | 23:3, 25:4, | 59:10 |
| 31:24, 32:4, | briefly | 25:8, 57:22, | carport |
| 32:6, 33:3, | 20:12, 26:1, | 59:13 | 35:21, 48:17, |
| 35:9, 35:11, | 26:3, 29:23, | businesses | 51:17 |
| 44:13, 45:10, | 33:18, 80:13, | 21:21 | case |
| 45:24, 59:4, | 82:20 | busy | 1:4, 6:4, 6:9, |
| 65:14, 66:15, | brieflyabout | 16:5 | 9:24, 10:6, |
| 66:23, 71:5, | 48:8 | buttons | 10:15, 11:22, |
| 78: 6 | bring | $7: 10$ | $12: 18,18: 7,$ |
| blue | 7:8, 10:14, | butwe | 19:17, 52:16, |
| 31:4, 31:23 | 11:10, 22:1, | 84:18 | 58:13, 59:21, |
| board | 32:21, 54:9, | C | 61:7, 61:17, |
| 4:20, 6:11, | 57:9, 82:20 | C | 72:14, 72:16, |
| 12:11, 20:14, | brought | $4: 15, \quad 27: 5$ | 72:19, 78:3, |
| 39:15, 45:1, | 65:25, 77:15 | calculations | 78:18, 80:1, |
| $53: 3, ~ 53: 16$, $53: 20, ~ 54: 12$, | budget | $37: 18, \quad 46: 3$ | 81:9, 83:1, |
| 53:20, 54:12, | $21: 22$ | call | $83: 16, \quad 86: 7$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 69: 21, \quad 77: 11, \\ & 80: 5, \quad 84: 2, \end{aligned}$ | buffer | $7: 14, \quad 14: 22$ | cases |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 80: 5, & 84: 2, \\ 84: 3, & 85: 5 \end{array}$ | $55: 8$ | $24: 5,24: 6,$ | $80: 18, \quad 80: 22$ |
| 84:3, 85:5 board's | bugher | 24:8, 52:22, | casesthat |
| $37: 15$ | 1:25, 86:2, | 53:25, 54:3, | $80: 13$ |
| bond bond | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 13 \\ & \text { build } \end{aligned}$ | 57:9, 59:17, | casethe $80: 5$ |
| 60:25 | 64:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59:18, } 82: 8 \\ & \text { called } \end{aligned}$ | category |
| book | building | $54: 17,74: 22,$ | $50: 12$ |
| bookbegan | 31:15, 67:23 buildings | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 3 \\ & \text { caller } \end{aligned}$ | caught <br> 79:23 |
| 77:3 booklet | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 3,73: 3 \\ & \text { built } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 15, \quad 82: 21, \\ & 82: 22 \end{aligned}$ | cause $40: 25, \quad 44: 16$ |
| 71:6 | 13:13 |  | causeany $43: 21$ |
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| cdlt | 53:14 | clients | 36:21, 54:11, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86:13 | characteristicsas | 37:3 | 54:12, 60:9, |
| cement | 67:7 | close | 61:11, 77:17 |
| 56:25 | characterized | 14:5, 58:7, | comes |
| center | 66:5 | 84:21 | 35:22 |
| 15:24, 41:5, | chat | closed | coming |
| 78:25 | 8:7, 8:9 | 83:9 | 19:2, 45:22 |
| centerin | cheaper | closing | communication |
| 41:22 | 57:1 | 3:8, 10:10, | 84:4 |
| century | checked | 82:11, 83:10, | community |
| $28: 8,57: 24$ | 12:3 | 83:24 | 6:20, 7:2, |
| certainly | checklist | code | 52:11, 83:2 |
| 6:19, 10:25, | 3:15 | 12:8, 18:14, | companies |
| 24:16, 40:4, | chief | 18:25, 19:12, | 56:25, 59:6, |
| 42:24, 43:1, | 9:24, 10:15, | 36:2, 39:13, | 62:25, 63:19, |
| 45:22, 55:18, | 52:16 | 46:8, 46:9, | 64:9 |
| 57:7, 71:3, | child | 47:6, 48:21, | company |
| 82:4, 82:7, | 8:3, 78:18, | 49:11, 53:11 | 22:24 |
| 84:17 | 78:25 | coffee | compare |
| certificate | children | 52:21 | 71:1 |
| 86:1 | 7:25, 17:9 | colesville | compared |
| certified | children's | 6:6, 13:6, | 57:7 |
| 4:12, 28:11, | $15: 24$ | 13:8, 16:23, | compass |
| $28: 12$ | choose | 22:11, 29:9, | $30: 25$ |
| certify | 79:20 | 29:14, 29:17, | compatible |
| 86:2 | chose | 30:1, 30:17, | 44:9, 52:8 |
| cetera | 81:2 | 34:3, $34: 16$, | compelling |
| 18:16, 35:15, | church | 34:18, 34:22, | $65: 10$ |
| 37:6, 48:23, | $31: 11,34: 9,$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 41: 5, & 41: 18, \\ 42 \cdot 19 & 44 \cdot 23 \end{array}$ | compliance |
| 83:19 | $67: 4$ | $42: 19,44: 23,$ | $72: 25,74: 11,$ |
| challenge | circuitous | 51:4, 53:14, | $74: 13$ |
| 72:10, 72:13 | $34: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 7, \quad 55: 8, \\ & 65: 23, \quad 66: 2 \end{aligned}$ | concede |
| change | circulation | $65: 23,66: 2,$ | $56: 12$ |
| $24: 17, \quad 35: 3$ | $34: 25,65: 3,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 7, \quad 66: 9, \\ & 66: 25, \quad 67: 20, \end{aligned}$ | concentrated |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:6, } 73: 1, \\ & 78: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $69: 5$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 66: 25, & 67: 20, \\ 68: 20, & 84: 25 \end{array}$ | $26: 13$ |
| changes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { civil } \\ & 58: 2, \end{aligned}$ | collective | concentration $40: 25$ |
| 43:25, 44:11, | $58: 6,58: 11,$ | $68: 14$ | concerning |
| $50: 20, ~ 50: 23$, 51.15, $66: 3$, | $58: 21, \quad 59: 14$ | coloredness $40: 3$ | $39: 9$ |
| $51: 15,66: 3$, $67: 3$ | classified | 40:3 <br> columbia | concerns |
| 67:3 character | $34: 18, \quad 54: 25$ | $25: 5$ | $12: 19$ |
| 33:23, 35:4, | 11:10, 71:12, | column | 10:2, 10:4, |
| 40:19, 43:11, | $72: 18$ | $7: 9$ | $79: 16, \quad 85: 13$ |
| 43:13, 43:16, | click | combined | concludes |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 43: 18, & 44: 7, \\ 50: 21, & 68: 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 8 \\ & \text { client } \end{aligned}$ | $4: 21$ <br> come | 84:23 |
| characteristics | 9:3 | 19:3, 23:25, | 36:22, 81:25, |
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| ```12:7, 18:20, 19:18, 27:8, 42:4, 45:1, 55:10, 56:18, 56:24, 58:15, 58:16, 58:18, 60:18, 68:22, 70:5, 70:22, 77:22, 77:24 couple 7:1, 22:9, 59:24, 83:18 course 38:2, 38:19, 66:2 court 6:15, 7:20, 8:19, 58:13 cover 62:15 covid 21:25 create 43:1, 61:16 creates 61:13 creek 13:14, 29:11, 29:12, 63:9, 66:3, 66:8, 67:16, 67:18 criteria 12:12, 12:22, 27:19 crosses 82:16 crosshatching 46:7 crossing 67:9, 67:18 crosstalk 8:15 cs1 4:9 cts 20:1 cu \(1: 4,4: 8,5: 7\),``` | ```6:4, 78:3 curb 63:5, 66:4, 72:9, 75:23, 76:15 curiously 65:7 current 16:9, 23:6, 23:9, 23:12, 30:25 currently 6:22, 10:25, 22:10, 22:12, 23:1, 50:12 cuts 72:9``` <br> D <br> d <br> 4:16 <br> dale <br> 29:16, 34:3, <br> 63:8, 66:3, <br> 66:8, 68:3, <br> 68:10 <br> dark <br> 66:18 <br> dashed <br> 48:18 <br> date $6: 12,85: 3$ <br> dated 27:6 <br> day <br> 14:18, 14:19, <br> 14:20, 26:25, <br> 37:23, $38: 19$, <br> 62:16, 78:20, <br> 85:11 <br> daycare <br> 41:5, 41:19, <br> 41:21, 78:19, <br> 78:25, 81:7 <br> days <br> 6:11, 85:2, <br> 85:4 <br> deal <br> 59:4 | dealing $\begin{aligned} & 8: 4,59: 6, \\ & 62: 24 \\ & \text { dealt } \\ & 59: 9 \end{aligned}$ <br> decades $38: 10,43: 19,$ $43: 25,52: 11$ <br> deceiving $83: 1$ <br> decision $6: 10,6: 12,$ $80: 9,85: 2 \text {, }$ $85: 3,85: 4$ <br> deep $34: 14$ <br> deeper $34: 17,66: 9$ <br> defined $30: 22, \quad 32: 13,$ $40: 18$ <br> defines $42: 17$ <br> defining $34: 14$ <br> definite $61: 21$ <br> definitely <br> 61:22, 61:24 <br> definition <br> 54:22 <br> degrading <br> 23:9 <br> degree <br> 15:16, 58:3 <br> degrees $33: 8$ <br> delineating 34:1 <br> delineation $32: 22,34: 20$ <br> deliveries <br> 18:14, 18:17 <br> deminimus <br> 50:10 <br> demonstrate <br> 36:11 <br> demonstration $46: 4$ | denied <br> 41:25 <br> dental $\begin{aligned} & 13: 18, \quad 30: 4, \\ & 31: 12, \quad 31: 14, \\ & 32: 1, \quad 41: 23, \\ & 67: 5, \quad 67: 22 \end{aligned}$ <br> department <br> 60:17 <br> depending <br> 42:16 <br> derek $6: 8$ <br> describe $\begin{aligned} & 26: 3, \quad 30: 14, \\ & 32: 18, \quad 33: 17, \\ & 46: 6 \end{aligned}$ <br> described $67: 1,68: 15,$ $71: 1, \quad 72: 25$ <br> describes $77: 5$ <br> describing $74: 15$ <br> description $3: 13,4: 3,5: 3,$ $58: 23,73: 8$ <br> design <br> 44:10, 72:23 <br> designated $28: 22$ <br> detached $\begin{aligned} & 29: 6, \quad 29: 19, \\ & 30: 3, \quad 31: 20, \\ & 32: 16 \\ & \text { detail } \\ & 72: 15 \\ & \text { details } \\ & 3: 21,62: 14, \\ & 80: 16 \end{aligned}$ <br> determine <br> 51:21 <br> development <br> 36:1, 36:12, <br> 43:21, 56:2 <br> diagonal <br> 29:11, 30:13 <br> diagonally <br> 29:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| dida | discussions | double-sided | each |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:16 | 30:10 | 44:22 | 11:17, 36:10, |
| differ | dismiss | doubt | 37:17 |
| 32:13 | 1:7 | 6:22 | earlier |
| difference | displayed | down | 7:19, 25:15, |
| 9:21, 32:15, | 30:21 | 13:14, 63:5 | 39:21, 41:2, |
| 36:23, 37:11, | dispose | downtown | 41:8, 49:5 |
| 70:1 | 18:10 | 13:7, 13:12, | easement |
| differences | distance | 67:20 | 60:22, 60:24, |
| 32:18, 33:17, | 33:24 | dps | 64:3, 64:6, |
| 33:19 | district | 60:17, 63:18 | 64:7, 64:10 |
| different | 13:8, 13:12 | draft | east |
| 16:7, 32:17, | disturb | 55:20 | 34:11, 42:11 |
| 63:17, 66:4 | 8:1 | drainage | edge |
| differwith | disturbed | 75:19 | 39:5 |
| 34:18 | 75:4 | drawing | edging |
| difficult | doctor | 4:13 | 68:5 |
| 45:17, 72:16 | 13:19, 13:22, | drive | educational |
| dig | 14:1, 14:3 | 31:20, 34:3, | 15:12, 15:13, |
| 60:3, 65:13 | doctor's | 39:3, 63:8, | 15:19 |
| digits | 13:16, 13:17, | 66:3, 66:8, | effect |
| 24:8, 82:21 | 14:1 | 68:3, 68:10 | 61:13, 61:17 |
| dimension | document | driveand | effectively |
| $39: 4$ | 62:19, 72:6, | 29:17 | 71:18 |
| direction | 73:8, 77:4, 77:6 | driveway | efficient |
| 42:14 | documents | 23:7, 23:10, | 39:12, 39:13 |
| directions | 54:13, 54:14 | 23:11, 40:1, | efficiently |
| 30:7, 30:8 | doing | 40:5, 56:8, | 38:21 |
| directly | 56:3, 73:23, | 63:3, 64:16, | effort |
| 30:3, 31:6, | 74:13, 75:11, | 67:3, 67:8, | 12:17, 57:8 |
| $31: 12,32: 1$ | 76:6, 76:16, | 67:24, 68:6, | eight |
| disabled | $76: 21,76: 22$, | 73:19, 82:17 | $12: 20, \quad 12: 24,$ |
| $8: 8$ | $76: 25$ | drivewayapron | $16: 3$ |
| disagree | dominantly | $57: 3$ | either |
| 50:24 | 29:18, 32:16 | due | 9:14, 9:17, |
| disconnect | done | 31:6, 31:12, | 12:1, 42:23, |
| 63:14 | 9:20, 16:15, | 31:25 | 43:2, 53:1, |
| discretion | 51:1 | during | 62:23, 66:9, |
| 55:23 | donewith | 7:12, 10:18, | 83:3 |
| discretionary | 82:10 | 26:8, 26:10, | element |
| 55:20 | door | 26:11, 26:13, | 33:22, 43:13, |
| discussed | 30:2, 47:1, | 26:25, 38:19, | 43:18 |
| 35:4, 49:5 | 67:22 | 63:5, 63:6, | elements |
| discussion | doors | 63:16, 68:25, | 72:8 |
| 35:7, 40:20, | 7:25 | $69: 20$ | elizabeth |
| 53:18, 55:11, | dot | dwelling $17: 23,42: 9$ | $14: 8$ |
| $77: 2, \quad 79: 2$ $79: 24, \quad 81: 1$ | $68: 25$ <br> double | $\frac{17: 23,42: 9}{E}$ | else <br> $21: 25,82: 25$ |
|  | 53:8 | e\&g <br> 16:25, 17:2 |  |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on March 15, 2021


PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on March 15, 2021

| ```examining 11:18 example 78:10, 78:17 examples 74:15, 75:9, 79:22, 80:22 exceed 36:5 except 39:15, 84:7, 84:11 exception 14:3, 31:5, 41:19, 41:23, 41:25, 45:7, 49:3, 49:25, 51:10 exceptions 32:19, 35:5, 40:21, 41:3, 42:8, 42:22, 43:2 excessive 40:25 excited 11:7, 84:1 exciting 21:17 exemption 4:11, 4:15 exhibit 3:12, 3:13, 3:15, 3:16, 3:17, 3:18, 3:19, 3:20, 3:21, 3:22, 3:23, 3:24, 3:25, 4:3, 4:4, \(4: 5,4: 6,4: 7\), \(4: 8,4: 9,4: 10\), 4:11, 4:12, 4:13, 4:14, 4:15, 4:16, 4:17, 4:18, 4:19, 4:20, 4:21, 4:22, \(4: 23,4: 24\),``` | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 25, \quad 5: 3, \quad 5: 4, \\ & 5: 5, \quad 5: 6,5: 7, \\ & 5: 8,5: 9, \quad 5: 10, \\ & 5: 11, \quad 5: 12, \\ & 10: 17, \quad 11: 3, \\ & 11: 17, \quad 25: 15, \\ & 27: 5, \quad 28: 19, \\ & 28: 25, \quad 29: 2, \\ & 29: 3, \quad 31: 13, \\ & 31: 23, \quad 32: 8, \\ & 32: 12, \quad 32: 21, \\ & 36: 2, \quad 36: 8, \\ & 36: 16, \quad 36: 17, \\ & 38: 14, \quad 41: 7, \\ & 42: 3, \quad 45: 16, \\ & 46: 14, \quad 46: 16, \\ & 46: 18, \quad 49: 1, \\ & 54: 15, \quad 54: 16, \\ & 54: 17, \quad 58: 9, \\ & 67: 12, \quad 68: 14, \\ & 71: 7, \quad 71: 20, \\ & 74: 20, \quad 78: 2, \\ & 78: 3, \quad 78: 12, \\ & 80: 18, \quad 80: 21, \\ & 80: 22, \quad 81: 7 \\ & \text { exhibits } \\ & 10: 25, \quad 11: 11, \\ & 12: 16, \quad 33: 1, \\ & 66: 13, \quad 78: 1, \\ & 78: 8, \quad 83: 13, \\ & 83: 16, \quad 83: 17, \\ & 83: 18, \quad 83: 19, \\ & 83: 21, \quad 83: 25 \\ & \text { existing } \\ & 4: 5, \quad 23: 7, \\ & 30: 2, \quad 32: 19, \\ & 35: 21, \quad 36: 11, \\ & 38: 8, \quad 39: 5, \\ & 39: 11, \quad 40: 18, \\ & 40: 19, \quad 42: 22, \\ & 44: 7, \quad 44: 11, \\ & 44: 15, \quad 44: 21, \\ & 48: 4, \quad 48: 14, \\ & 48: 15, \quad 48: 17, \\ & 48: 19, \quad 50: 12, \\ & 51: 8, \quad 51: 12, \\ & 51: 17, \quad 55: 6, \\ & 55: 8, \quad 58: 25, \end{aligned}$ |  | 56:15, $64: 12$, <br> $76: 25$ <br> extracost <br> $22: 1$ <br> extremelystable <br> $70: 11$ <br> eye <br> $79: 23$ <br> eyes <br> $83: 1$ <br>  <br> face <br> $36: 20, \quad 37: 1$, <br> $37: 6$ <br> faced <br> $53: 9$ <br> facilities <br> $18: 6, \quad 26: 17$, <br> $50: 5, \quad 50: 7$, <br> $54: 19, \quad 71: 8$ <br> facility <br> $17: 10, \quad 38: 8$, <br> $39: 11, \quad 39: 14$, <br> $51: 8, \quad 51: 12$, <br> $73: 2, \quad 73: 4$, <br> $78: 19, \quad 78: 20$ <br> facilitythat <br> $81: 17$ <br> factor <br> $34: 1$ <br> facts <br> $81: 9$ <br> fair <br> $12: 17, \quad 36: 4$, <br> $58: 17, \quad 61: 23$, <br> $61: 25, \quad 70: 18$, <br> $72: 12, \quad 74: 14$, <br> $80: 17, \quad 81: 21$ <br> fairfax <br> $15: 23$ <br> fall <br> $75: 6, \quad 77: 1$ <br> familiar <br> $81: 8$ <br> family <br> $21: 11, \quad 21: 17$, <br> $22: 10, \quad 31: 20$ <br> $l$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| familyresidence | 76:22, 80:25, | 54:14, 55:1, | 69:16, 70:15, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31:18 | 82:14, 82:16 | 57:10, 71:8, | 71:13, 71:14, |
| fantastic | few | 72:21, 74:24, | 71:15, 71:16, |
| 84:4 | 38:21, 56:12 | 75:17, 84:14 | 76:22, 77:8, |
| far | field | fishing | 79:17 |
| 16:1, 31:24, | 14:19, 16:14, | 12:9 | footage |
| 42:14 | 38:19, 58:11 | fit | 46:1, 46:10 |
| fc | fields | 11:20, 54:22 | footprint |
| 4:8 | 25:17, 28:15 | five | 47:3 |
| feasible | figure | 67:13 | foregoing |
| 59:20, 69:13 | 28:25, 30:20, | floodplain | 86:2 |
| feature | 30:21, 30:25, | 35:15 | foreseeable |
| 7:4, 7:17, 8:7, | 32:8, 32:9, | floor | 70:15 |
| 8:10, 29:9, | 41:12, 46:18 | 25:1, 28:4, | forget |
| 34:19 | filed | 45:15, 45:19, | 41:20, 83:12 |
| features | 19:18 | 45:21, 46:7, | forgetting |
| 7:1, 29:12, | filing | 46:12, 47:12, | 10:12 |
| 35:12, 35:15, | 19:18 | 57:20 | formally |
| 35:22 | final | floors | 11:2 |
| federal | 4:20 | 15:7 | fortunate |
| 18:11, 65:18, | financial | focus | 14:14, 21:10 |
| 70:22 | 86:8 | 12:23, 27:21, | four |
| fedex | find | 55:15 | 14:18, 24:8, |
| 18:16 | 24:6, 41:13, | focused | $34: 3,82: 21$ |
| fee | 49:14 | 23:2 | free |
| 19:18 | finding | focuses | 29:3 |
| feel | 47:17 | 40:22 | friday |
| 29:3, 38:20, | findings | focusing | 49:3 |
| 39:11 | 35:3, 49:18 | 23:1 | front |
| fees | fine | folks | 31:15, 34:22, |
| 20:6, 20:10 | 13:1, 46:5, | 6:20, 8:14, | 47:1, 67:5, |
| feet | 52:25, 57:3 | 22:24, 56:1 | $67: 23$ |
| 23:15, 23:16, | finish | follow | frontage |
| 23:17, 26:24, | 31:9, 52:23 | 82:18, 85:5 | $55: 9$ |
| 35:19, 39:4, | finished | follow-up | fronting |
| 39:7, 46:8, | 8:18 | 22:9, 53:1, | 31:20 |
| 46:9, 46:11, | fire | 53:22, 82:14, | functional |
| 53:9, 53:10, | 4:14, 50:10 | 84:17 | 57:3, 58:25, |
| 53:13, 53:15, | firm | foot | 64:22, 65:12 |
| 55:7, 57:4, | 2:4, 19:23, | 55:6, 55:8, | further |
| 59:1, 63:11, | 28:8 | 59:19, 60:11, | 29:16, 34:12, |
| 63:12, 63:13, | first | 60:12, 62:22, | 34:23, 64:9, |
| 63:14, 64:23, | 9:22, 13:3, | 63:11, 64:22, | 68:3 |
| 65:16, 65:21, | 14:22, 22:10, | 66:5, 66:6, | future |
| 65:23, 68:23, | 45:19, 45:21, | 67:1, 67:2, | $53: 5,64: 3,$ |
| 69:6, 75:25, | $46: 7,46: 12,$ | $67: 9, \quad 68: 1$ | $64: 6, \quad 70: 15$ |
| $76: 5,76: 6$, | 47:12, 51:1, | 68:2, 68:5, | G |
| 76:12, 76:17, | 54:7, 54:13, | 69:4, 69:5, | gail $4: 19$ |
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| garden | 63:1, 65:15, | government | hand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48:6 | 71:21, 80:16, | 68:23 | 7:4, 7:11, |
| general | 81:5 | grand | 8:10, 9:16, |
| 9:18, 22:25, | goes | 68:22 | 14:25, 24:21, |
| 29:4 | 8:3, 42:14, | grant | 27:23, 57:14 |
| generally | 72:15 | 49:12, 49:22, | handled |
| 29:6, 66:5, | going | 70:6, 84:11 | 37:11 |
| 75:3 | 12:14, 12:15, | granted | hands |
| generate | 12:16, 13:17, | 17:10, 19:12 | 83: 6 |
| 51:2 | 20:20, 21:23, | graphic | handy |
| generating | 22:1, 22:2, | 46:4 | 45:22 |
| 26:14 | 26:7, 26:9, | grass | happen |
| gently | 26:12, 26:23, | 55:8, 60:12, | 69:8 |
| 35:18 | 27:2, 27:13, | 60:13, 62:22 | happy |
| george | 27:18, 28:24, | great | $12: 10, \quad 30: 14$ |
| $3: 22$ | 29:10, 32:25, | $9: 18, \quad 24: 20$ | $30: 19, \quad 53: 6$ |
| georgia | 35:24, 36:14, | 33:3, 79:10 | harmonious |
| 13:8 | 37:24, 39:1, | greater | 43:10 |
| germantown | 45:9, 48:7, | 75:25 | haveto |
| $28: 9, \quad 57: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 20, \quad 53: 23, \\ & 54: 9,55: 13, \end{aligned}$ | green | $53: 3$ |
| getting | 54:9, 55:13, $55: 21,60: 3$ | $66: 6,67: 2,$ | havinga |
| $63: 19,64: 8$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 55: 21, & 60: 3, \\ 65: 13, & 66: 13, \end{array}$ | $67: 10,68: 2$ | $19: 2$ |
| gis | $\begin{array}{ll} 65: 13, & 66: 13, \\ 67: 19, & 68: 3, \end{array}$ | grew | head |
| 31:25 | $74: 2,77: 18$ | 13:17 | 56:3 |
| give |  | ground | heading |
| $15: 4, \quad 23: 8,$ | goingto | $7: 22$ | $13: 9$ |
| $24: 23,27: 25,$ | $35: 9$ | guard | heads <br> 57. 6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 49: 21, \quad 52: 20, \\ & 57: 16 \end{aligned}$ | gone | 67:17, 74:10 guess | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57: } 6 \\ & \text { health } \end{aligned}$ |
| given | 11:14 good | $31: 5,31: 6,$ | $6: 5, \quad 14: 11$ |
| $8: 17, \quad 10: 9$ | $6: 15,6: 16,$ | 31:11, 32:7, | $22: 25, \quad 85: 1$ |
| glare | $9: 1,13: 4,15: 8 \text {, }$ | 32:20, 45:17, | healthcare |
| 44:16 | $15: 9, \quad 20: 9$ | $77: 10$ guidebook | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 2, ~ 45: 10, \\ & 49: 13, 49: 17\end{aligned}$ |
| go $7: 14, \quad 9: 22,$ | 23:13, 26:20, | guidebook $65: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 13,49: 17 \\ & \text { hear } \end{aligned}$ |
| 9:14, $9: 24, ~ 11: 1$, | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 8, \quad 38: 5, \\ & 39: 20, \quad 40: 6, \end{aligned}$ | guideline | $14: 10,56: 22,$ |
| 11:17, 11:20, | $45: 23,47: 9$ | $54: 15, \quad 55: 1$ | $57: 4$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 16: 11, & 19: 17, \\ 27: 18, & 31: 8 \end{array}$ | $51: 22,52: 3,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 55: 14, & 71: 9, \\ 71: 17, & 74: 21 \end{array}$ | heard $38 \cdot 18 . \quad 58 \cdot 23$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 27: 18, ~ 31: 8, \\ 33: 2, \end{array} 34: 12,$ | $58: 14, \quad 62: 4,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 17, \quad 74: 21, \\ & 77: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 18,58: 23, \\ & 59: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 45:17, 46:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 3, \quad 65: 11, \\ & 68: 11, \quad 77: 8, \end{aligned}$ | guidelines | heardthem |
| 46:24, 47:13, | $78: 15, \quad 85: 10$ | $5: 13,54: 18,$ | $8: 1$ |
| 48:10, 53:3, | goods | $54: 20,71: 7,$ | hearings |
| 53:20, 56:23, | $18: 1, \quad 49: 9$ | 71:11, 75:16 | 1:1, 6:23, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 59: 23, \quad 59: 24, \\ & 60: 7, \quad 60: 10, \end{aligned}$ | goto | guys $16: 10, \quad 19: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 22, \quad 7: 23, \quad 9: 19 \\ & \text { heavy } \end{aligned}$ |
| 60:11, 60:17, |  | H | 70:21, 70:25 |
| 60:24, 62:24, | $7: 15$ | hadgone 34:17 | help $19: 22,19: 24,$ |
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| 28:25, 80:20 | hoa | 40:17 | improved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| here | 3:19 | html | 70:9 |
| 6:18, 7:21, | home | 7:15 | improvement |
| 9:2, 9:8, 9:16, | 6:5, 13:16, | I | 60:22, 60:24, |
| 11:22, 11:24, | 13:17, 13:18, | icu | 64:3, 64:6, 71:2 |
| 14:16, 15:20, | 14:10, 16:11, | 16:7 | improvements |
| 21:10, 27:14, | 16:13, 16:15, | id | 40:4, 51:25, |
| 30:11, 32:13, | 17:5, 17:20, | 3:13, 4:3, 5:3 | 64:12, 70:6, |
| 33:2, 35:25, | 21:12, 22:23, | idea | 70:13, 72:17, |
| 38:6, 40:12, | 23:2, 23:3, | 23:8 | $74: 17,75: 10$, |
| 45:15, 48:24, | 35:21, 37:2, | identified | 77:7, 78:22, |
| 49:9, 49:13, | 49:12, 49:17, | $6: 14,41: 4,$ | 78:23, 80:24, |
| 49:16, 49:17, | 85:1 | $41: 17, \quad 41: 22$ | 81:2 |
| 49:23, 51:24, | homes | $41: 24, \quad 50: 17$ | inapplicable |
| 54:10, 55:2, | 13:12, 16:11, | $53: 7,68: 25$ | $72: 19$ |
| 55:22, 55:25, | $31: 20,37: 24$ | identify | inch |
| 56:4, 56:16, | honestly | 8:22, 8:24, | 60:14 |
| 61:13, 62:12, | 56:3 | 25:3, 29:1 | inches |
| 66:18, 70:6, | hopefully | identifying | 55:17, 56:19, |
| 70:7, 70:8, | 6:24, 12:17, | 31:24 | 56:20, 56:25, |
| 73:11, 75:8, | 84:19 | illuminated | 62:21, 69:11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 75: 11, \quad 76: 22, \\ & 78: 7, \quad 81: 13, \end{aligned}$ | hopefulto | 53:11 | include |
| 82:15, 82:23, | 54:6 | image | 33:21, 34:9, |
| 83:3, 83:5, | hoping | 4:4 | $\begin{array}{lll}43: 24, & 50: 18, \\ 53: 18, & 60: 7,\end{array}$ |
| 83:25 | hospital | impact | $72: 7, \quad 75: 2,$ |
| hereby | 15:22, 15:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 2, \quad 32: 18, \\ & 42: 21, \quad 49: 14, \end{aligned}$ | 78:8, 78:21 |
| 83:16, 83:19, | $16: 6$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 42: 21, & 49: 14, \\ 49: 17, & 50: 7, \end{array}$ | included |
| 86:2 | hospitals | $\begin{array}{ll} 49: 17, & 50: 7, \\ 50: 16, & 64: 15, \end{array}$ | 34:2, 34:21, |
| hey 79.9 | 15:23, 16:5 | $75: 3, \quad 85: 1$ | 36:7, 53:21 |
| 79:9 high | hour | impacted | includes |
| high $53: 10$ | 26:13, 51:3 | 21:25, 27:13, | 29:8, 31:16, |
| $53: 10$ highway | hours | $81: 19$ | 73:2, 78:23 |
| highway $34: 19,51: 4$, | 19:14, 26:8, | impacting | including |
| $34: 19,51: 4$, 54:13, $54: 19$, | 26:10, 26:12, | $51: 6,78: 24$ | 15:23, 16:7, |
| $54: 13,54: 19$, $55: 4,55: 14$, | 48:22, 49:4 | impacts | 82:16, 83:18 |
| $55: 4,55: 14$, $56: 24,59: 7$, | house 17.19 | $50: 10,72: 18,$ | includingme |
| $56: 24$, $63: 18, ~ 64: 5$, | 14:12, 17:19, | 79:19 | 19:23 |
| $69: 10, \quad 69: 18,$ | 17:22, 29:18, | implementation | increased |
| $69: 22,71: 6$ | 46:10, 46:11, | 71:24, 72:1 | 64:23 |
| highways | 56:5, 56:6, | important | incremental |
| 59:5, 71:8 | houses | 65:3 | indicate |
| hill | $16: 16$ | impose | 9:16, 38:10, |
| 13:14, 68:3 | howard | imposition | 63:24 |
| historical | 58:15, 58:18 |  | indicated |
| $\text { \|38:9, } 44: 8$ <br> history | however | improve | 36:19, 48:25 |
| 13:15, 14:21 | 11:20, 34:16, | $71: 13$ | indicatedon $42: 9$ |
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| land-use | lead | lift | 21:18, 22:10, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28:11, 28:23, | 78:14 | 56:16, 70:21, | 22:12 |
| 29:4, 29:7, | leave | 71:1 | living |
| 29:8, 43:20, | 23:21, 47:14, | lighting | 8:2 |
| 70:11 | 62:21 | 44:14, 44:15, | 11c |
| landscape | leaving | 44:17 | 1:4, 16:25, |
| 4:7, 28:13, | 62:22 | likemyself | 17:2, 22:15, |
| 28:21, 28:23 | left | 13:17 | 22:16, 22:17, |
| landscaping | 82:11 | liketo | 22:20 |
| 56:13 | left-hand | 10:1 | $11 p$ |
| lane | 47:3 | limit | 2:2 |
| 34:18, 51:4, | legal | 8:14, 37:3 | load |
| 63:5 | 58:25, 64:22, | limited | 32:24 |
| large | 77:13 | 18:6, 18:15, | local |
| 14:19, 18:17, | length | 38:3 | 26:15 |
| 33:25, 35:16, | 35:19, 62:25, | limits | located |
| 41:17 | 63:8, 66:7, | 53:12 | 6:5, 6:6, 7:8, |
| large-scale | 67:2, 67:7, | line | 26:24, 48:9, |
| 68:22 | 70:1, 70:3, | 34:10, 34:22, | 60:15, 60:16 |
| last | 75:25 | 48:18, 52:19, | location |
| 14:5, 23:5, | lengthy | 53:10 | 50:5, 53:8, |
| 23:24, 24:8, | 21:5 | linear | 63:23 |
| 72:1, 74:5, | less | 82:14 | locations |
| 74:6, 76:8, | 46:8, 46:9, | lines | 40:22, 66:4 |
| 77:17, 79:13, | 56:3, 56:5, | 67:25, 72:20 | logged |
| 79:14, 82:21 | 56:14, 61:8, | list | 6:19, 9:9, |
| late | 73:24, 74:14, | 6:13, 10:17 | 9:12, 83:2 |
| 13:25 | 76:12 | listed | loggedin |
| later | let's | 9:14, 11:3, | 83:1 |
| 24:15, 46:22, | 17:8, 31:3, | 83:17 | long |
| 46:23 | $37: 14,37: 16$, | listen | 28:15, 41:21, |
| latr | 66:11, 71:11, | 6:9, $24: 17$ | 58:4 |
| 51:3 | 77:21 | little | look |
| law | letter | 13:13, 14:20, | $66: 13$ |
| 2:4, 4:8, | $3: 17,3: 18,$ | $15: 12,15: 19,$ | looked |
| $19: 23, \quad 55: 16,$ | $4: 11,4: 14, \quad 4: 19$ | $15: 20,23: 25,$ | 26:6, 26:8 |
| $55: 18,70: 23,$ | level | 24:1, 29:4, | looking |
| $81: 23$ | 30:6, 53:21, | 29:15, 30:9, | 6:13, 32:9, |
| lawn | 74:16, 74:24, | 31:24, 32:4, | 46:14, 61:6, |
| 35:18 | 74:25, 75:1, | 32:6, 33:3, | 64:25, 79:13 |
| laws | 75:6, 75:7, | 35:9, 44:13, | looks |
| 65:18 | 75:24 | 45:10, 45:24, | 32:3 |
| lay | license | 59:4, 65:14, | lopez |
| 52:19 | 16:20 | 66:15, 66:18, | 4:24, 4:25, |
| laying | licensed | 66:23, 71:5, | 81:7 |
| 62:11 | 28:13 | 78:6 | loss |
| lays | licensee | live | $43: 21$ |
| 36:4 | 3:21 | 9:22, 17:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lost } \\ & 35: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
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| lot | 26:23, 58:3 | 23:2, 23:12, | members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:2, 20:9, | map | 24:7, 37:22, | 22:16, 22:19, |
| 21:21, 27:19, | $3: 23,4: 4,4: 12$ | 39:21, 47:20, | 83:2 |
| 55:14, 57:1, | mapping | 47:23, 47:24, | mention |
| 61:1, 61:16, | 31:25 | 53:7, 56:1, | 63:22 |
| 74:13, 84:8 | march | 57:9, 61:10, | mentioned |
| lots | 1:10, 86:14 | 62:2, 64:12, | 7:19, 30:2, |
| 73:3 | maryland | 69:11, 84:1 | 38:8, 51:23, |
| low | 1:2, 1:9, 2:7, | matope's | 52:16, 59:19, |
| 26:3, 26:14, | $6: 6,6: 7,25: 5$, | 37:7, 38:18, | 61:10, 61:17, |
| 39:9, 39:10 | 28:9, 28:13, | 47:18, 66:16 | 61:18, 63:2 |
| low-impact | 57:24, 84:25 | matopeand | menu |
| 49:14 | master | 14:8, 70:22 | 54:15 |
| 1 ynn | 15:16, 40:12, | matters | methods |
| 1:8 | 40:13, 40:14, | 10:14 | 7:21 |
| M | 40:15, 40:22, | maximum | metro |
| made | $\begin{array}{ll} 42: 25, & 44: 4, \\ 55: 10, & 64: 21 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 37: 22, & 38: 2, \\ 45: 14, & 46: 8 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 22 \\ & \text { microsoft } \end{aligned}$ |
| $79: 11$ <br> maintain | $64: 25,65: 3$ | $46: 9, \quad 53: 10$ | $6: 24,7: 13,8: 8$ |
| $64: 5$ | 65:4, 65:10 | maybe | mid-'s |
| maintains | master's | 44:3, 74:3, | 13:25 |
| $44: 6$ | 58:3 | 80:20 | middle |
| maintenance | material | mean | 7:5, 7:7, |
| $74: 7,74: 8 \text {, }$ | $27: 14$ | 21:11, 21:16, | $13: 10,20: 22$ |
| $75: 3, \quad 75: 5$ | matope | 21:17, 22:3, | $71: 23$ |
| major | 3:4, 9:3, | 23:16, 34:13, | might |
| $29: 9,34: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 23, \quad 14: 24, \\ & 15: 1, \quad 15: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 13, \quad 70: 10 \\ & \text { means } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 8: 11, & 19: 13, \\ 21: 4, & 77: 11, \end{array}\right.$ |
| $49: 12,49: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 1, \\ & 15: 8, \\ & 15: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $6: 9,26: 16$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 21: 4, & 77: 11, \\ 78: 7, & 78: 14 \end{array}\right.$ |
| majority | 15:13, 15:21, | mechanism | mightbe |
| $14: 20,23: 4$ | 16:13, 16:18, | 72:24 | 69:7 |
| $67: 2$ | 16:21, 16:24, | medical | miller |
| make | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 1, \quad 17: 7, \\ & 17: 12, \quad 17: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 24, \quad 16: 10, \\ & 18: 7,18: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $2: 4,9: 2,11: 24$ |
| $11: 10,14: 8,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 12, ~ 17: 13, \\ & 17: 17, ~ 17: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 7, \quad 18: 10, \\ & 21: 13, \quad 22: 23, \end{aligned}$ | mind $7: 1,24: 17,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19: 1, \quad 23: 16, \\ & 53: 21, \quad 55: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $17: 24,18: 4,$ | $31: 18,43: 12 \text {, }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 1, \quad 24: 17, \\ & 62: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $70: 6, \quad 82: 25$ | 18:8, 18:12, | 43:17 | mini |
| making | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 19, \quad 18: 24, \\ & 19: 6.19: 10 . \end{aligned}$ | medicine | 12:22 |
| $11: 7,14: 12,$ | 19:6, 19:10, $19: 16, \quad 19: 20,$ | \| 16:8 | minimal |
| 64:12 | $19: 25, \quad 20: 3$ | meet $12: 12,13: 9$ | 74:16 |
| management | $20: 8, \quad 20: 11,$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 12: 12,13: 9, \\ & 36: 5 \end{aligned}\right.$ | minimum |
| 15:17 mandate | $20: 15, \quad 20: 19,$ | meeting | $\begin{array}{ll} 37: 19, & 53: 9, \\ 55: 16, & 65: 20, \end{array}$ |
| $64: 21$ | 21:2, 21:6, | 37:18, 47:20 | 69:6, 71:16 |
| mandatory | 21:14, 21:20, 22:6, 22:7, | meets <br> 55:18 | minor |
| $81: 23$ | $22: 9,22: 12,$ | member | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 2, \quad 80: 2 \\ & \text { minuscule } \end{aligned}$ |
| many 13:16, 23:3, | 22:18, 22:21, | $7: 3,10: 7$ | $57: 7$ |
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| minutes | 16:15, 26:9, | nature | 42:12, 42:14, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:21, 26:25 | 38:18, 78:22 | 38:18, 44:16 | 42:16, 42:17, |
| minvestment | mostly | near | 42:21, 43:11, |
| 22:16 | 35:18 | 53:5, 67:16 | 43:14, 43:16, |
| modification | motion | nearby | 43:19, 44:7, |
| $54: 24, \quad 54: 25$ <br> modifications | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1: 7, \quad 4: 18 \\ & \text { move } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 18, ~ 31: 5, \\ & 50: 11, \end{aligned}$ | 50:22, 70:16 neighborhoods |
| 51:11 | 9:18, 10:22, | necessarily | 40:19, 42:24, |
| modified | 10:25, 11:2, | 11:17 | 43:3, 51:6, |
| 54:10 | 12:21, 18:21, | necessary | 62:23, 66:9 |
| modify | 22:14, 24:12, | 37:7, 38:7, | neither |
| 75:4 | 38:23, 62:18, | 38:23, 39:2, | 86:6 |
| modifying | 74:19 | 51:3, 63:21, | network |
| 56:5, 56:7 | moved | $71: 12, \quad 82: 3$ | $27: 13, \quad 81: 18$ |
| mohamed | $10: 19,11: 11,$ | need | neurology |
| 3:4, 9:3, 14:9, | $83: 14$ | $18: 20, \quad 18: 22,$ | 22:25 |
| 14:23 | moving | 38:22, 40:4, | never |
| molly | 14:13, 20:21, | 46:17, 47:14, | 41:25 |
| 1:25, 86:2, | 21:7 | 54:16, 60:8, | new |
| 86:13 | much | 62:17, 62:18, | 20:20, 40:2, |
| monday | 6:17, 15:7, | 64:2, 69:3, | 51:23, 56:6, |
| 1:10, 49:3 | 23:19, 34:23, | 69:7, 72:6, | 56:7, 60:9, |
| money | 45:25, 57:19, | 75:15, 78:2, | 64:16, 71:17, |
| 81:16 | 66:25, 82:5, | 85:6 | 76:14, 76:18 |
| monroe | 83:23, 84:22, | needduplicative | newer |
| $2: 5$ | $85: 10$ | $11: 19$ | 23:8 |
| montgomery | multi-month | needed | next |
| $1: 2,6: 3,6: 7,$ | $62: 1$ | $27: 10,64: 16,$ | $22: 5,23: 21,$ |
| $12: 7,42: 4,$ | multidisciplinary | 75:14 | 24:2, 27:17, |
| 45:1, 56:18, | 28:7 | needing | 30:2, 53:25, |
| 58:15, 60:18 | music | 70:5 | 54:6, 67:21, |
| montgomerycounty- | 7:25 | needs | 67:22, 68:1, |
| md | must | 7:12, 56:23, | 68:2, 68:9 |
| 7:15 | 18:10, 18:15, | 60:25 | nexus |
| months | 19:8, 55:6 | neighbor | 81:13, 81:15, |
| 61:9 | mute | $32: 4$ | 81:20, 82:3 |
| more | $82: 21$ | neighborhood | nice |
| 23:25, 24:1, | muteyourselves | 3:24, 13:15, | 12:2, 13:5, |
| 32:10, 35:11, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 7: 24 \\ & \text { myself } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 22, \quad 31: 21, \\ & 32: 6, \quad 32: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 6, \quad 62: 11 \\ & \text { nicer } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 49: 6, & 66: 15, \\ 69: 5, & 74: 3, \end{array} 75: l$ | myself $7: 4,8: 7,8: 9,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 6, \quad 32: 11, \\ & 32: 16, \quad 32: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $69: 7$ |
| morning | 8:19, 12:1, $56: 2$ | 32:22, 33:20, | nlao |
| 6:15, 6:16, | N | $33: 22,33: 23$, | 3:19 |
| 8:14, 9:1, 15:8, |  | 34:8, 34:12, $34: 15, \quad 34: 17$ | noise <br> 7.25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15: 9, \quad 26: 10 \\ & \text { most } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 8, \quad 15: 11, \\ & 25: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $34: 20,34: 24$ | 7:25 non-inherent |
| $9: 20, \quad 15: 25$ | national | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 4, \quad 35: 6, \\ & 40: 17, \quad 41: 1 . \end{aligned}$ | $50: 15, \quad 51: 20$ <br> nonconforming |
| 16:6, 16:13, | 15:24 | 40:17, 41:1, | nonconforming $3: 25,42: 1,$ |
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| open | oriented | 19:14, 34:9, | 75:18, 79:4, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:21, 83:1 | 33:10 | 49:4, 49:6, | 79:5, 79:12 |
| opening | oswego | 73:24 | pages |
| 3:3, 9:23, | 13:14 | outweighs | 1:24, 74:20 |
| 12:6, 52:19 | other | 79:18 | pandemic |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```statement 3:20, 4:16, 9:23, 10:10, 25:16, 28:19, 37:5, 48:25 statements 3:3, 3:8 states 72:12 stating 79:25 stations 26:22 status 39:25 staying 46:5 step 77:19 stepping 55:25 steps 59:24, 61:1 still 22:13, 57:1, 62:8, 74:6, 74:16, 74:20, 75:18, 76:5, 77:7, 82:21 stop 26:24 stopped 16:5 storage 18:1, 48:5 store 18:2 straight 31:2 stream 29:11, 33:21, 67:8 streams 35:15 street 31:21, 67:1, 67:5 streets 66:8``` | ```strictly 78:19 strip 60:12, 60:13, 62:22, 66:6, 67:2, 67:10, 68:2 striping 48:20 strongly 84:12 structurally 59:20 structure 48:5 structures 51:15, 51:23, 56:6 sts 9:5, 25:9 student 15:15 study 4:11, 4:15, 26:16, 27:10 stuff 37:23 sturdy 12:2 sub 83:18 subdivision 5:5, 5:6, 55:12, 55:13 subject 5:8, 5:9, 29:15, 33:24, 41:18, 42:24, 45:3, 77:17 submission 5:4, 58:10 submitted 32:14 subordinateto 17:19 substantive 32:15, 69:25, 70:3``` | substantively <br> 78:23 <br> succinct <br> 12:7 <br> suckling <br> 38:14 <br> sufficient <br> 39:8, 81:19 <br> suite <br> 2:6, 57:24 <br> summarizing <br> 74:21 <br> support <br> 9:17, 79:17, 84:2 <br> supports <br> 77:23 <br> supposed <br> 19:3 <br> sure $\begin{aligned} & 12: 25, \quad 19: 1, \\ & 22: 3, \quad 22: 8, \\ & 24: 14, \quad 26: 12, \\ & 29: 6, \quad 30: 1, \\ & 53: 21, \quad 71: 13, \\ & 78: 8, \quad 79: 7 \end{aligned}$ <br> surfaces $72: 9$ <br> surrounding $27: 13,32: 22 \text {, }$ $43: 11,43: 22 \text {, }$ $44: 10,50: 21$ <br> survey $\begin{array}{ll} 59: 24, & 60: 1, \\ 62: 17, & 63: 22, \\ 63: 23 & \end{array}$ <br> swear $\begin{aligned} & 15: 2, \quad 24: 22, \\ & 27: 24, \quad 57: 15 \end{aligned}$ <br> switch <br> 21:9 <br> system$27: 3$$7: \quad \mathrm{T}$ <br> tab <br> $7: 7, \quad 7: 8$ <br> table <br> $3: 16, \quad 41: 9$, | ```54:3 tabulation 36:6, 36:20, 37:1 take 6:10, 61:8, 72: 4 taken 66:1 takes 32:23 taking 47:20 talk 45:22, 52:20, 55:21, 61:4, 62:16, 66:19, 77:18, 78:7 talked 77:20 talking 36:1, 48:7, 52:17, 54:17, 78:4 tandem 38:13, 38:15, \(38: 16,38: 17\), 38:20 teams 6:24, 7:13, 8:8, 8:9 technically 10:21 telephone 63:4 tell 15:12, 15:18, 29:4, 29:23, \(30: 8,32: 5\), \(32: 10,36: 17\), 39:21, 44:13, 45:14, 45:24, 46:1, 48:8, 48:23, 59:13, 66:15, 66:22, 67:14, 78:6, 82:12 term 72:24, 73:5``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on March 15, 2021


PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on March 15, 2021


PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on March 15, 2021


PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on March 15, 2021


PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on March 15, 2021

| 36:16, 36:20, | 20850 | 36:2, 36:16, | 83:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79:4, 79:5, | 2:7 | 41:7, 46:17, | 46 |
| 79:12 | 20874 | 46:18, 49:2, | 4:9, 4:10 |
| 15 | 57:24 | 85:2 | 48 |
| 1:10, 3:4, 4:4 | $21$ | 301 | 55:17, 60:14, |
| 16 | 1:4, 4:11, 5:7, | 2:8 | 62:21 |
| 4:5 | 6:2, 6:4, 27:6 | 33 | 49 |
| 160 | 2103 | 3:24, 46:9 | 3:20 |
| 3:22 | 84:24 | 34 | 495 |
| 161 | 21044 | 4:22, 4:23, | 13:10 |
| 86:13 | 25:6 | 78:2, 78:3, | 4995 |
| 17 | 2122 | 78:12, 83:18 | 24:8, 82:22 |
| 4:6 | 31:13, 41:24 | 35 | 5 |
| 18 | 22 | 4:24, 4:25, | 5 |
| 4:7, 39:4, | 4:12 | 80:21, 81:7 | 49:2 |
| 39:7, 58:7 | 22440 | 36 | 5,507 |
| 19 | 28:8 | 5:4, 25:15, | 46:10 |
| 4:8 | 225 | 28:19, 55:17, | 50 |
| 1959 | 82:16 | $58: 9$ | $13: 13,14: 5,$ |
| 13:18, 43:14 | 23 | $360496$ | $51: 2,55: 12$ |
| $1997$ | 86:14 | $1: 23$ | $55$ |
| $15: 14$ | 240 | 37 | 5:13 |
| 2 | 7:14 | 5:5, 5:6, | 58 |
| 20 | 247 | $28: 17,80: 21$ | 3:7 |
| $4: 9,4: 10,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 24 \\ & \mathbf{2 5} \end{aligned}$ | $5: 7, \quad 80: 22$ | $59$ |
| $16: 2, ~ 26: 21, ~$ $26: 25,39: 7$, | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 3: 5,4: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{5: 7,80: 22}{4}$ | $36: 5, \quad 36: 15$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll}26: 25, & 39: 7, \\ 45: 16, & 45: 17,\end{array}$ | $3: 5,4: 13,$ |  | $59.6$ |
| $45: 16, ~ 45: 17$, $45: 18, ~ 45: 19$, | 4:14, 4:15, | 4.49 | 39:13 |
| $45: 18, ~ 45: 19$, $45: 20,45: 21$, | $4: 16, ~ 5: 4$, $26: 21, ~ 27: 5, ~$ | 36:5 | 6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 45: 20, \quad 45: 21, \\ & 46: 3, \quad 46: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 21, \quad 27: 5, \\ & 29: 3,36: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $5: 8,13: 13,$ | 6.2 |
| 200 | $36: 17,36: 21$, | 66:13, 66:18 | 36:15 |
| 2:5, 26:24 | 38:14, 48:11, |  | $60$ |
| 2000 | 48:12 | 5:9, 66:20 |  |
| 40:13, 40:14 | 27 | 4139 | $\begin{array}{ll} 29: 22, & 36: 1, \\ 36: 12, & 40: 16 \end{array}$ |
| 2007 | 4:15, 4:17 | 2:8 | 36:12, 40:16, $43: 6,44: 6,$ |
| 13:22 | $28$ | $42$ | $55: 18, \quad 70: 10,$ |
| 2012 | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 6, \quad 4: 18 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $3: 25,5: 10,$ | $70: 11, \quad 82: 11$ |
| 13:20, 13:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 4: 13.4: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $66: 13, \quad 66: 21$ | $63$ |
| 2016 | $4: 13,4: 19,$ | $67: 12,68: 14$ | $3: 6$ |
| 78:3 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 4: 20, & 29: 10, \\ 63: 5, & 63: 8 \end{array}\right.$ | $43$ | $6449$ |
| 2018 | $63: 5,63: 8$ | $5: 11$ | $25: 5$ |
| 3:23 | 3 | 44 | 6663 |
| 2021 | 30 | 5:12, 54:16, | $7: 14$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1: 10, \quad 86: 14 \\ & 20440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1: 11, & 4: 20, \\ 4: 21, & 13: 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 17, \quad 71: 7, \\ & 71: 20, \quad 74: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $67$ |
| 20440 | 4:21, $28: 25,32: 8$, | 83:18, 83:19, | 5:8, 5:9 |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on March 15, 2021


PLANET DEPOS

