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| 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 PROCEEDINGS | 1 that problem on Friday where there was a loud heating unit in |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: -- County Office of | 2 the office that was causing interference. Please do not have |
| 3 Zoning and Administrative Hearings. This is case LMA 143, | 3 |
| 4 th | 4 If you have a phone and a laptop or two separate |
| 5 Avenue located in Montgomery County Maryland. This is an | 5 devices, that can also cause a lot of interference between |
| 6 application to rezone the property from the R60 zone to the | 6 those two devices. We're going to avoid crosstalk |
| 7 CRNF, which is commercial residential neighborhood loading | 7 interrupting folks as they are testifying, that -- we avoid |
| 8 zo | 8 that during regular hearings. We are especially cognizant of |
| 9 If everyone can go on camera if your | 9 that over the virtual hearing format as it becomes difficult |
| 10 ability to do so, we're going to go over some basics of this | 10 for the court reporter to hear what is going on and |
| 11 particular format, some basics of the hearing process we have | 11 becomes difficult for me to hear what is going on. |
| 12 here at OZAH. We will identify the parties of record and | 12 The general process for these hearings is that the |
| 13 anyone else who is logged in so we can | 13 Applicant will go first. They will have the opportunity to |
| 14 mentioned, my name is Derek Baumgardner. I'm the Hearing | 14 present their case in chief. They will call any witnesses |
| 15 Examiner for this particular case, which means that I will | 15 that they would like to call. They will ask that witness |
| 16 listen to you today, taken evidence, write a decision, or in | 16 questions. Other folks who are logged in will have the |
| 17 this case a recommendation, which will then be forwarded to | 17 opportunity to ask that witness questions as well. When that |
| 18 the Montgomery County Council sitting as the district | 18 witness is done, the Applicant will move on to their next |
| 19 council. You may request oral argument if you do not lik | 19 witne |
| 20 that decision, in front of the district council after th | 20 The Applicant will call as many witnesses as they |
| 21 decision is rendered. | 21 like. When they are done they will close their case in chief |
| 22 A couple of basics, we are joined by our court | 22 and then we will turn it on -- turn it over to any other |
| 23 reporter, Austin Costello. We thank him very much for being | 23 parties that would like to call witnesses or ask questions. |
| 24 here. This hearing is also being recorded, which I will 25 start now. You should be seeing a banner roll across the top | 24 Counsel for the Applicant will then have the ability to <br> 25 cross-examine or to ask questions of those witnesses. The |
| 66 | 8 |
| 1 of your screen. We also have the court reporter transcript | 1 community members, other folks, that will be your opportunity |
| 2 which will be available within 10 days after the hearin | 2 to provide direct testimony regarding this applicatio |
| 3 our primary transcript with the Microsoft Teams recording a | 3 So the first phase, we're going to hear fromth |
| 4 a backup. | 4 Applicant and their witnesses. In the second phase, we will |
| 5 A couple of rules about our cases here today | 5 hear from any community members in support or in opposition |
| 6 procedures and how we will be moving forward. But no dout | 6 to this application. That's -- both sides will be given the |
| 7 you can tell we're using a virtual hearing format called | 7 opportunity to give an opening statement if they so wish. In |
| 8 Microsoft Teams. This allows us to have remote or virtua | 8 both sides will be able to give a closing statement if they |
| 9 hearings. There are a couple of things that you should be | 9 so wish. |
| 10 keeping in mind. First, when you are speaking, please speak | 10 In this particular case we have three parties of |
| 11 somewhat slowly and clearly. If you can intensely articulate | 11 record. We have the Applicant, who is represented by Ms. |
| 12 your words, that is very helpful. | 12 Girard. We have the community association who is represent |
| 13 Often times the microphones can have difficulty | 13 by Mr. Shaffer. And then we have Mr. Burdin as well who |
| 14 picking certain things up. Please sit close to your device, | 14 my understanding is representing -- or not representing, will |
| 15 whatever you might be using, so that there is not a lot of | 15 be speaking for himself today. So what I would like to do |
| 16 distance. That can also cause interference or otherwise make | 16 right now is to verify and identify counsel for the two |
| 17 it difficult for everyone to hear what you're saying. If you | 17 parties. And then Mr. Burdin. |
| 18 have any problems with the virtual format we're using or if | 18 And then after that we will identify anyone else |
| 19 you get kicked off or something like that, our phone number | 19 who is interested in testifying here today or tomorrow who is |
| 20 for the office is 240 (inaudible) 7-6663. And again, the | 20 not represented by counsel. So we will start with counsel |
| 21 numbers 240-777-6663 | 21 first and we will start with the Applicant's counsel. And if |
| 22 A couple of ground rules regarding our hearings | 22 you can, identify yourself for the record. Please give us |
| 23 the virtual hearing format. Please mute yourselves when you | 23 your name, your personal address, and your professional email |
| 24 are not speaking or asking a question. Often times | 24 address. |
| 25 background noise, kids, pets, doors, heating units, we had | 25 MS. GIRARD: Sure. Good morning everyone. This |

```
is Erin Girard with Miles & Stockbridge, 11 N. Washington
Street, Suite 700, Rockville, Maryland. Email, it's a long
one, EGirard@MilesStockbridge.com. And I'm here on behalf of
Applicants.
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, Ms. Girard. Can you
introduce who you have with you here today?
    MS. GIRARD: Sure. With me here today is Jack
Lester. He is going to be our first witness with EYA
Development LLC. Then we will call Brenda Bacon. She's with
BL Strathmore LLC, the Applicant, Jeff Amateau from Vika,
Josh Sloan from Vika, Katie Wagner fromGorove/Slade and also
in attendance on our side we have PamLee with EYA, Wyndham
Robertson with EYA, Ken Segarnick with Brandywine. Did I get
everyone on our side? I think that's it for our side.
    MR. MCLAURIN: Jack McLaurin.
    MS. GIRARD: Oh, sorry, Jack. And Jack McLaurin,
we identified as a potential witness. I don't intend to call
him as our case in chief. He is more rebuttal if needed.
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: All right. Thank you, very
much. Mr. Shaffer, are you with this, sir?
    MR. SHAFFER: Yes. Can you hear me okay?
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: Yes, we can.
    MR. SHAFFER: Okay.
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: Can you give us your name, your
professional address, and your professional email address,
```

please?
MR. SHAFFER: David Shaffer, I'm with David
Shaffer Law PLLC at 1629 K Street NW, Washington DC, Suite
300, 20006. My email is David.Shaffer@DavidShafferLaw.coom
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, very much, sir. Can
you identify who you have here today including witnesses?
MR. SHAFFER: I have Ted Edwards, president of the
(inaudible) Parks Association. I have Arthur Rubinstein, a
member of the Association, who lives on Strathmore. And I
may have Vanessa Wright (sic), but I'm not sure if she is
here or not.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Okay. Thank you, very much.
MR. RIBEIRO: Can I just interrupt? Sorry. My
name is not Arthur Rubinstein. My name is Arthur Ribeiro.
MR. SHAFFER: I apologize, Arthur. Names have
never been a strong point of mine.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Noted. Thank you very much,
sir. And now if we can identify any -- so we have Mr. Burdin
as well. Mr. Burdin, are you there sir?
MR. BURDIN: Yes, I am
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Please give us your full name,
your business address, and a good email address for you,
please.
MR. BURDIN: Okay. My name is Douglas Scott
Burdin. I do not have a business address because I'm
retired. I do live on Strathmore right next to the
development. And my email is DBurdin@Verizon.net.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you. And so we can make
sure that things are getting mailed to the right place, what
is your home address, sir? Mr. Burdin, are you still there?
COURT REPORTER: Looks like you may have muted
yourself.
MS. BEHANA: Yeah, Mr. Burdin, you are on mute.
No, take it off one more time. You had it for a second. At
the top of the page there is a microphone next to the camera.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: So I can do it Sara.
MS. BEHANA: Okay.
MR. BURDIN: Okay.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: There you go.
MR. BURDIN: That's good, right?
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Yes, that is good.
MR. BURDIN: Thought I had it. Douglas Scott
Burdin, 5112 Strathmore Avenue, Rockville, Maryland, 20852.
And email is DBurdin@Verizon.net.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Perfect. Thank you, sir. A couple of things I missed earlier in this Microsoft Team's
platform are the icons. If you look at your screen towards
the top towards the right hand side there are several icons.
If you roll your cursor over the first one it kind of looks like two little people I suppose. That is the show
participants tab. If you click on that it should bring a
drop-down box on the right-hand side of your screen which shows the names of folks who are signed in here today.

To the right of that is the show conversation or the chat feature. We do not use the chat feature. That allows you to send messages and things like that. We do not use that for hearings. To the right of that is another icon. If you roll your cursor over it will bring down several emoticon type things. The only one of those that we do use 0 is the virtual raise your hand feature. It looks like a 1 little hand giving you a high-five.
12 If you have a question, if you have an issue, if 13 there is something that you're trying to bring to my 14 attention, you can raise your virtual hand by clicking on 15 that hand. That pops up on my screen and let me know that 16 you have a particular issue. As we have a lot of folks 17 assigning today that will be helpful because there's only so 18 many little windows that I can see at one time during the 19 hearing.
20 And then to the right of that, one, two, three 21 over, or the toggles to be able to control your video, your 22 audio, and then your share screen feature, which most of you
23 will probably not be using the share screen feature today.
24 All right. So turning back over to identifying folks. If
25 there's anyone who would like to testify either today or
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| 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 tomorrow or possibly Wednesday, today who is not represented | 120896. |
| 2 by counsel and it is not the Applicant, Mr. Shaffer, or Mr. | 2 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, Councilwoman. |
| 3 Burdin, please identify yourself for the record and give me | 3 All right. With that, I do want to mention if you |
| 4 your address and a good email address. So now is the time to | 4 see my head down, I am writing notes. Or if you see my head |
| 5 unmute yourself. And again, this is for folks who would like | 5 turned towards war right and my left, I have a second screen. |
| 6 to testify who are not repres | 6 So I'm not off doing other items. I am taking notes longhand |
| 7 MS. WEITZ: Hello. | 7 because that's how I do things, or using a second screen to |
| 8 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Yes, ma'am | 8 look at the exhibits that are referenced during the hearing. |
| 9 MS. WEITZ: Yes. I may want to testify | 9 With that, we're going to begin our hearing. |
| 10 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Okay | 10 Before we start the Applicant's case in chief, are |
| 11 MS. WEITZ: I have some restrictions with my time | 11 there any preliminary matters that we have to do with first |
| 12 today and tomorrow though. My name is Cynthia Weitz and I am | 12 Ms. Girard? |
| 13 a resident at 5305 Strathmore Avenue in Kensington. And I am | 13 MS. GIRARD: Not for me. |
| 14 a member of the Strathmore Place Homeowners Association. | 14 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Mr. Shaffer, were there any |
| 15 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Okay. You have a good email | 15 preliminary matters that we needed to handle first? |
| 16 address, ma'am? | 16 MR. SHAFFER: No, but your face just disappeared |
| 17 MS. WEITZ: Yes, I do. It is WeitzCyn@MSN.com | 17 frommy screen. So is that something everybody is seeing or |
| 18 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, very much. And who | 18 is that just on my computer? |
| 19 also like to testify here today is not represented by | 19 MR. BAUMGARDNER: So depending upon the settin |
| 20 counsel? | 20 you have on Teams, sometimes as a voice-activated setting or |
| 21 MS. | 21 it can be a screen activated setting. There are a number of |
| 22 today. | 22 different formats that each individual person can set up with |
| 23 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Okay | 23 Teams depending on how they would like to view the screen. |
| 24 MS. PREBBLE: My name is Katie | 24 MR. SHAFFER: (Inaudible). |
| 25 president of the Academy of the Holy Cross. We are at 4920 | 25 MR. BAUMGARDNER: It could be that. |
| 14 | 16 |
| 1 S | 1 MR. SHAFFER: All right. I'll sign out and sign |
| 2 initial, last name -- @Academyofth | 2 back on I will do it quickly. |
| 3 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you very much, ma'am. Is | 3 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Mr. Burdin, any preliminary |
| 4 there anyone else who would like to testify here today? I | 4 issues that we need to deal with, si? |
| 5 say virtual hand raised by a Vanessa Lide, Lide. | 5 MR. BURDIN: Not that I'maware of. |
| 6 MS. LIDE: Lide, yes. I'mjust clarifying | 6 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Okay, great. Then we will begin |
| 7 yes, I am here and I will testify with the Garrett Park | 7 our case in chief. I will turn it over to the Applicant's |
| 8 Estates White Flint Park group. | 8 counsel, Ms. Girard, for an opening statement if she so |
| 9 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Okay. Will you be testifying in | 9 desires. And then we will get into our first witness. |
| 10 your own capacity or as with the Association? | 10 One nice thing about the timing of today. I |
| 11 MS. LIDE: I will happily testify with the | 11 intend to break for lunch at 12:00 noon and we will do in our |
| 12 Association (inaudible). | 12 lunch from 12:00 until 1:00 and then reconvene at 1:00 and |
| 13 MR. BAUMGARDNER: | 13 then go probably until 4:30 or so if that works for everyone. |
| 14 MR. SHAFFER: I'll do the direct on her. This is | 14 We will do the same thing tomorrow and if we need a day, the |
| 15 David Shaffer. | 15 same thing on a Wednesday. So again, we will go from now |
| 16 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Understood. Thank you very | 16 until noon and I will break for lunch and then reconvene at |
| 17 much, Mr. Shaffer. Going down the line, we also have Gerilee | 17 1:00. So with that said, I will turn it over to Mr. R to |
| 18 Bennett as wel | 18 start her opening and then her case in chief. |
| 19 MS. BENNETT: Hello, can you | 19 MS. GIRARD: Good morning. Erin Girard again for |
| MR. BAUMGARDNER: Yes, ma'am | 20 the record on behalf of the Applicants EYA Development LLC |
| 21 MS. BENNETT: Great. Yes, my name is Gerill | 21 and BL Strathmore LLC. As noted, this application is for |
| 22 Bennett. I'm in town councilmember represented the town of | 224910 and 4920 Strathmore Avenue in North Bethesda. This |
| 23 Garrett Park and I would like to testify today or tomorrow | 23 application was submitted that's a local map amendment was |
| 24 My email address is CouncilGerilee@GarrettParkMD.gov. And | 24 submitted on August 4, 2021, seeking a rezoning to the CR |
|  |  |


| 1 | $\quad$ After that although is not technically required |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | as part of the application process laid out in the zoning |
| 3 | ordinance there has been a significant amount of outreach |
| 4 | done as part of this application, which Mr. Lester will get |
| 5 | into in his testimony. There also has been a significant |
| 6 | amount of community involvement as noted in section 5 of the |
| 7 | staff report, the staff report being Exhibit 62. |
| 8 | $\quad$ The staff report went to great lengths to explain |
| 9 | the concerns that were raised and how they were addressed. |
| 10 | And the Applicant has been -- Applicants have been very |
| 11 | involved in that as well offering their consultants to |
| 12 | provide additional information to staff and address community |
| 13 | concerns. Everything that we have heard in our various |
| 14 | outreach meetings we have taken care to address one way or |
| 15 | the other. As a result of all these efforts and as a result |
| 16 | of all -- addressing all the technical requirements, staff |
| 17 | recommended approval of the application to the planning |
| 18 | board. |
| 19 | The planning board recently recommended |
| 20 | unanimously that the Hearing Examiner and county council |
| 21 | approved this local map amendment. I just wanted to take a |
| 22 | minute to note the major issue that has emerged in this case |
| 23 | is traffic, which is not unusual. There is a feeling amongst |
| 24 | the community that there can be no way in which this can't |
| 25 | make things worse, that if you're adding this type of density |

it will automatically make things worse.
And while we understand that, as will be explained
by Ms. Wagner, this actually is going -- this application
would actually improve many of the exacerbating issues that
are happening out on those roads today. There is an offset
intersection between this existing school entrance and
Stillwater Drive to the north. There is a lack of
appropriate turning lanes and there is a lack of a signal that will get people through.
10 So in a very real way this application will be 11 improving upon existing conditions that are out there today.

I also wanted to note that on the issue of traffic the
Applicants have gone above and beyond what is typically
14 required for this type of application. As would usually be
the case, as part of this submission there was a traffic
16 study done in accordance with the LATR guidelines. And that
17 actually showed that no mitigation was required as part of 18 the application.
19 However, understanding that there were going to be
20 concerns on behalf of the community and addressing concerns
21 addressed by the State Highway administration and the
22 Montgomery County Department of Transportation that perhaps
23 the memorandum used by the planning board that applies a
24 growth factor to current accounts to account for the
25 pandemic, that that maybe was out reflecting what the actual
conditions would be in the future.
So the Applicants were asked to do a supplemental analysis which they have done, which is in the record. That will be reviewed by Ms. Wagner in her testimony. But that demonstrated a need for some turning lanes in a signal at the new entrance. That is all detailed in that report. That still met with some criticism on behalf of the community that perhaps of the supplemental analysis which used historical counts and it also applied growth factors into the very conservative estimate as to things like the enrollment at the school. It used in the number 600, which I believe it is in the record that they don't intend to go above 400 . But very conservative analysis to do that, the supplemental analysis.

But still there was some concern. So the
Applicants went another step above and beyond and they had
additional counts done in December and those are in the
record as well. They will be explained by Ms. Wagner. But
that showed a consistency with the numbers used in the
supplemental analysis. So we are very confident that the
numbers in the analysis that we are using with regard to
traffic is verifiable, is accurate, and as Ms. Wagner will
explain, will actually improve the situation.
So as detailed in the staff report, as detailed in
our application materials, we feel that this project clearly
complies with all the findings for people contained in
section 59.7.2.1.E of the zoning ordinance. That's all for
my opening statement. I can proceed with my first witness if you're ready.

MR. BAUMGARDNER: That would be great, thank you.
MS. GIRARD: So first we are going to call Jack Lester.

MR. LESTER: (Inaudible).
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Good morning. Okay, that's --
I'mhaving feedback now. Let's see if this fixes it. All
right. Mr. Lester, can you give us your full name, your
business address, and a good email address for you, please?
MR. LESTER: Yes. My name is Jack Lester. My
business address is 4800 Hampton Lane, Suite 300, Bethesda, Maryland, 20814. My email is JLester@EYA.com

Do you swear or affirmunder the penalties of
perjury that the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth?

MR. LESTER: I do.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, very much. Ms.
Girard, the witness is yours.
MS. GIRARD: Thank you. Mr. Lester, what is your
educational and professional background?
MR. LESTER: First Erin, I just want to thank
everyone. I want to thank the Court for making this day
possible, the staff that's done the work to get in here and

| 21 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 all of the participants. Yes, I have a bachelors in science | 1 EYA is 100 person company. We have folks like |
| 2 and finance and economics and a Masters in business | 2 myself who are at the very start of the project through the |
| 3 administration. I've been in the homebuilding and real | 3 site selection and entitlement, but then all the way through. |
| 4 estate development industry for $30-$ - more than 30 years now. | 4 The land development is overseen by EYA people. We serve as |
| 5 I guess 31. 25 of those have been in the DC market. And the | 5 our own general contractor. We sell, market, and warrant the |
| 6 past 17 I've spent at EYA. | 6 homes that we build. So we maintain control of that process |
| 7 MS. GIRARD: And can you review your experience | 7 and through that we are able to mitigate impacts to the |
| 8 with the design and construction of residential projects in | 8 surrounding neighborhood. |
| 9 Montgomery County particularly? | 9 MS. GIRARD: And with that in mind, Mr. Lester, |
| 10 MR. LESTER: Yes, both EYA and we personally have | 10 can you explain why you chose this proposed location for the |
| 11 had a great deal of experience. EYA is a 30-year-old | 11 project? |
| 12 company. We have developed or are in the process of | 12 MR. LESTER: Yes. EYA is very selective in the |
| 13 developing 50 projects. 13 of those projects have been -- | 13 projects that it pursues. As I mentioned, in our $30-$ now |
| 14 are ongoing in Montgomery County. My role over these past 17 | 14 over 30 years, we have built or developed or are in the |
| 15 years of engaged in 10 of those. Most recently I've led our | 15 process of $50-$ develop 50 projects. We select 1 to 2 per |
| 16 work on the Cabin John Village development that's been built, | 16 year and in that we invest our time and our energy and our |
| 17 Grosvenor Heights, which we completed a few years back, and | 17 creative thinking and resources into each of those projects. |
| 18 Westwood Village that is in the design and entitlement base. | 18 Our primary criteria for choosing a site is consistency with |
| 19 All three are mixed-use, for sale townhome communities within | 19 our brand promise and the commitment we make to our |
| 20 a larger existing neighborhoods. | 20 customers. Our tagline, which is more than a marketing |
| 21 The primary focus of our firm is to create best in | 21 tagline, is life within walking distance. And that is the |
| 22 class and, close-in, walkable neighborhoods that positively | 22 first criteria for the sites that we select. |
| 23 impact the folks that live there and the communities in which | 23 This site clearly has that with accessibility to |
| 24 they are being built in a socially responsible way. And we 25 do this through three primary ways. Number one is through | 24 amenities, schools, transit. And so it checks many of the 25 boxes for us. The other key element that appeals to us is |
|  | 25 boxes for us. The other key element that appeals to us is |
| 22 | 24 |
| 1 careful site selection. We choose to build where there is | 1 the idea of creating or being a part of a larger |
| 2 existing infrastructure so that our communities don't | 2 intergenerational community. Our homebuilders often include |
| 3 contribute to sprawl. | 3 and will likely include here, broad demographics ranging from |
| $4 \quad$ We focus on areas that offer access to employment | 4 singles, young families, and empty-nesters. We see this as a |
| 5 centers, amenities, transit, retail, and excellent schools. | 5 unique site to partner with Brandywine and the residents that |
| 6 Next we thoughtfilly plan our communities considering | 6 will reside at their senior living building and the Academy |
| 7 integration and connection to the existing neighborhood. | 7 of the Holy Cross and its students. So a very unique |
| 8 When Hansen encourage these connections through thoughtfiu | 8 opportunity for us to create an intergenerational community. |
| 9 design that encourages walking and biking and creates | 9 (Crosstalk) |
| 10 opportunities for community gathering. Lastly, we develop | 10 MS. GIRARD: You talked about the project. Mr. |
| 11 unique and exceptional architecture for each community that | 11 Baumgardner, I think we -- you were going to pull up the |
| 12 fits within the larger context of the surrounding | 12 exhibits that he references. Or do you want us to? |
| 13 neighborhood. | 13 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Whichever you prefer. I can |
| 14 We have an in-house architect who researches | 14 bring themup or if you have them handy you're welcome to do |
| 15 existing community and designs homes that are appropriate | 15 that as well. Whichever you prefer. |
| 16 within that larger neighborhood context. We typically offer | 16 MS. GIRARD: I don't have it on my screen. So if |
| 17 a variety of housing choices to meet the needs of different | 17 you wouldn't mind pulling up Exhibit 40 (inaudible). |
| 18 buyers including incorporating moderately priced dwelling | 18 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Sure. |
| 19 units that blended seamlessly with the market rate homes that | 19 MS. GIRARD: Zone plan. So that as Jack begins to |
| 20 we build. An hour 30 years we have built in constrained | 20 explain the project we all have a point of reference. |
| 21 sites and places within or adjacent to environmentally and | 21 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Got it. |
| 22 historically sensitive areas. We have a sensitivity and | 22 MS. GIRARD: Thank you. |
| 23 demonstrated ability to mitigate the impact of our | 23 MR. BAUMGARDNER: It should be appearing on your |
| 24 development and construction activities on our neighbors. We | 24 screen shortly. |
| 25 do this because we are fully integrated. | 25 MS. GIRARD: It is. Do you want the more detailed |
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plan? The next sheet? Sheet two of this?
    MR. LESTER: Yeah, a little bit more detail would
be -- yeah.
    MS. GIRARD:There we go. Right there. Yeah.
    MR. LESTER: Super helpful, thank you.
    MS. GIRARD: All right. Continue.
    MR. LESTER: So the entire site is approximately
    15 acres. Roughly half of it is the St. Angela's Hall
    portion and the other half is the Academy of the Holy Cross
portion, maybe a little bit less than half there. AHC will
stay in its current location. That's directly to the south
or at the bottom of the plan. And --
    MR. BAUMGARDNER:Mr. Lester?
    MR. LESTER: Yes.
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: I'm sorry. Just to clarify for
everyone it was logged in, we are looking at Exhibit 40
number which is the flooding zone plan. And we are looking
at page 2 of that plan which details in a grayed out fashion
where the proposed structures are going to be located. On
the right of that plan are a number of proposed binding
elements as well as a number of different -- I'm trying to
zoom in here, if that's still clear. Still loading.
    MS. GIRARD:The development standards I think
it's fair to say are there.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Yes. So just to make that clear
plan? The next sheet? Sheet two of this?
R. LESTER. Yeah, a little bit more detail would be -- yeah.
Yeah.
MS. GIRARD: All right. Continue.
MR. LESTER: So the entire site is approximately
15 acres. Roughly half of it is the St. Angela's Hall
portion and the other half is the Academy of the Holy Cross
stay in its current location. That's directly to the south
or at the bottom of the plan. And -
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Mr. Lester?
MR. LESTER: Yes
MR. BAUMGARDNER: I'm sorry. Just to clarify for everyone it was logged in, we are looking at Exhibit 40 at page 2 of that plan which details in a grayed out fashion where the proposed structures are going to be located. On elements as well as a number of different -- I'm trying to zoom in here, if that's still clear. Still loading.
MS. GIRARD: The development standards I think
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Yes. So just to make that clear
```

for everyone who is watching or listening in that's what we
are looking at, Exhibit 40, the flooding zone plan. I'm
sorry, sir. You can continue.

MR. LESTER: No, thank you. Thank you for saying that. So I was saying, the school as to the bottom or south of the site. At the top is Strathmore Avenue. To the west is the existing community of Symphony Park. And to the east or the right as I'm looking at the plan is the Holy Cross parish and for the down the school. We propose for this site two uses. They are organized around the shared road. So the shared road is right below the ORE and Strathmore.

And that's a relocated primary access point for AHC, the Academy of the Holy Cross. To the right of that is the Brandywine, proposed Brandywine Senior living building. And to the left you're seeing what is represented as strings of townhomes. And right where the cursor is now, nine 17 single-family detached homes fronting on Strathmore. And to 18 better meet the -- match the frontage and relate to the single-family homes across the street.
20 In addition to the homes that you are seeing in
21 the Brandywine building, we will have certs for internal
circulation, sidewalks, a natural trail along the western
portion of the site and several gathering places throughout
the development.
MS. GIRARD: Mr. Lester, can you explain -- I
26
alluded it to it a minute ago. But what outreach and be done with neighbors and other interested parties regarding your proposal for the site?

MR. LESTER: Yeah, thank you Erin. This is an important element for us. We have -- as infill developers, and we are going into communities and old town for instance better 350 years old or Capital Hill where they are 200 years old. And so engagement has been a big part of our work over the last 30 years in the projects we've developed. In this case we engage with communities early and consistently and we've been responsive to their request for information and follow-up for meetings.

Our contact with that's our contract with AHC, the Academy and the sisters, became effective on November 30, 2020. On January 8 of the following year we sent letters to 110 neighbors and civic groups informing them of the decision by AHC and the Academy to sell their property, describing our conceptual plans for the site and providing our names and emails for follow-up in questions.

We offered to hold in person and all my meetings with surrounding community and neighborhood groups and we met with groups who expressed interest. Specifically, we held a Zoom call with the mayor and staff from Garrett Park on January 19, 2021. We had a Zoom call with Garrett Park Estates, Friends of White Flint on February 12 and again on October 4.

We had a Zoom call with representatives of Symphony Park HOA on February 26. We had a Zoom call with the Holy Cross Church and school and their representatives on March 30 and again on November 8. And we've also had several town halls with the Academy of Holy Cross parents and staff and we've made ourselves available and followed up after those meetings if questions were asked of us. At each meeting we shared our most current plans, answered questions, and followed up.

For example, at the Garrett Park Estates, Friends of White Flint as for the supplemental traffic analysis that Erin mentioned we performed, but not required in the LMA application. We promptly provided that information to their representatives. At the same meeting someone described the flooding that occurs on Strathmore during rainstorms. We engaged our engineer Vika to perform an analysis of the existing condition described to us.

We shared the results and indicated that
approximately 1-1/2 acres of the St. Angela property
21 contributes to the existing property and confirm that water would be captured on our site under our proposed plans. We 3 also confirmed that we intended to provide the turn lane on the property from Strathmore without reducing the existing 25 median.

2

storm water and -- including capturing substantial storm water that now leaves the property. The design of our homes and the types of materials were used to construct will be of high quality and compatible with surrounding homes.

MS. GIRARD: And Mr. Lester, in your opinion would
the proposed project adversely affect the character of the surrounding neighborhood?

MR. LESTER: I do not. I believe this development will contribute to the larger region and the character of the neighborhood by offering diverse housing types for intergenerational living, building housing that provides access to jobs, amenities, retail, and transit that leverages the county's investment in infrastructure and contributes to the affordable housing stock.

Not only does the development introduce muchneeded housing for seniors in the context of a
multigenerational community, it also preserves environmentally sensitive areas to conservation easements, improves and enhances pedestrian access and safety and mitigates existing and future traffic congestion.

MS. GIRARD: That's all I have for Mr. Lester.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, Mr. Lester and Ms. Girard. We will start with Mr. Shaffer. Are there any questions for Mr. Lester?
MR. SHAFFER: Just a few, thank you.

MR. BAUMGARDNER: (Inaudible).
MR. SHAFFER: Good morning, Mr. Lester.
MR. LESTER: Good morning Mr. Shaffer.
MR. SHAFFER: So as we understand it, one of the justifications for placing this development in this area was the county's policy of encouraging development (inaudible) development; is that correct?

MR. LESTER: I don't know that the county's policy is what drove us or motivated us to pursue this. I think the things that I had mentioned, it's ability -- of this site's location allows us to deliver on that brand promise, which is making use of existing infrastructure, providing homes in areas that have access to jobs, amenities, schools. Those were the primary reasons for as having an interest in going under contract with the sisters and the Academy.

MR. SHAFFER: Okay. So you didn't consider
transit -- whether this was developed new transit to be
important to your choice of the selected site; is that correct?
MR. LESTER: You know, it is a factor that I 1 think -- I listed a handfiul of factors. I would say not every community that we develop meets every single one. It's kind of a combination of things. So access to modes of transit is typically one of those. In this case it does 25 provide that.

| 33 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. SHAFFER: Some extent you are correct. But | 1 that's okay. |
| 2 isn't it true that your application recognizes that use of | 2 MR. SHAFFER: Okay. |
| 3 Metro will be -- or and public transportation will be minimal | 3 MR. LESTER: And I don't know the answer that now |
| 4 in this | 4 |
| 5 MR. LESTER: You know, I couldn't speak to it. I | 5 MR. BAUMGARDNER: When they are called. |
| 6 think Katie can speak to it. My expectation is that folks | 6 MR. LESTER: Okay. |
| 7 will use Metro given its proximity. I think in our analysis, | 7 MR. SHAFFER: When they are called. Sorry. I |
| 8 o | 8 didn't mean to usurp |
| 9 expect that our residents there will take advantage of it | 9 MR. BAUMGARDNER: That's okay, Mr. Shaffer. I |
| 10 just like many of the school families do take advantage of | 10 don't m |
| 11 the proximity of Metro. | 11 MR. SHAFFER: I don't think I have any further |
| 12 MR. SHAFFER: Well, the people in the retirement | 12 questions at this time of this witness. I will turn it over |
| 13 community aren't going to be taking advantage of Metro | 13 to them. |
| 14 they? In your opinion? | 14 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, sir. Mr. Burdin, any |
| 15 MS. GIRARD: Objection | 15 questions of Mr. Lester? |
| 16 MR. LESTER: I will let Brenda speak to that. | 16 MR. BURDIN: Yeah, just a few. You mentioned the |
| 17 MS. GIRARD: Yeah, that's for Brandywine. Mr. | 17 walk ability of the project. As far as walk ability off the |
| 18 Lester didn't explain any of the operations of the assisted | 18 project, to where are you referencing walk ability? |
| 19 living facility. | 19 MR. LESTER: I just meant it in a general sense |
| 20 MR. SHAFFER: Okay, fair enough. I will address | 20 that by adding sidewalks and crosswalks and traffic lights, |
| 21 that question to that witness. But basically, isn't this in | 21 it encourages walking. Our site design we think encourages |
| 22 the zone in which the county wanted to encourage transit 23 oriented development? | 22 walking. As we've done, I mentioned the 50 times before, 23 where we really endeavored to make that public space, those |
| 24 MR. LESTER: I'm sorry. Say that again. | public roads attractive |
| 25 MR. SHAFFER: Isn't it true that the area that | 25 townhomes we put the garages with their garbage cans and so |
| 34 | 36 |
| 1 your developing is within the zone in which the county wants | 1 forth in the back so that again, it makes that walking |
| 2 to encourage transit oriented development? | 2 experienced more enjoyable. You know, of course this |
| 3 MR. LESTER: You know, honestly, I don't know. I | 3 neighborhood has admitted these such as the schools that are |
| 4 rely on Erin or land-use counsel to tell me what the specific | 4 nearby, music center. So there are, in addition to the trail |
| 5 zones are and recommendations by the county. | 5 we are proposing, there are opportunities to walk. |
| 6 MR. SHAFFER: Okay. But despite the assumptions | 6 MR. BURDIN: Okay. So other than the music center |
| 7 of in the traffic report that you submitted you believe there | 7 and the schools, you're not aware of any other destinations |
| 8 will be some use of Metro arising out of this project. | 8 that these residents are likely to walk too? |
| 9 MR. LESTER: I'm not an expert on it. I'm | 9 MR. LESTER: I mean, not living in the |
| 10 somebody who lives near a Metro myself. And we use it. We | 10 neighborhood, I wouldn't hazard a guess. |
| 11 don't use it exclusively, but we use it. And maybe it's not | 11 MR. BURDIN: Okay. You also mentioned excepting |
| 12 helpful for me to assume that the families living here would | 12 mitigation as a condition of approval when you were talking |
| 13 act like I would. But I'mjust -- I guess a reasonable | 13 about traffic. What specific mitigation are you talking |
| 14 person with Metro nearby I imagine some folks would take | 14 about? |
| 15 advantage of it. | 15 MR. LESTER: Yeah. So I will answer, but maybe |
| 16 MR. SHAFFER: Is there any consideration being | 16 for a more precise answer, Vika can give that. The |
| 17 given to offering shuttle to the Metro for this project? | 17 mitigation is the alignment of Stillwater. Right now it's |
| 18 MR. LESTER: No. No. | 18 kind of disjointed from the existing driveway. Adding a |
| 19 MR. SHAFFER: Is there any consideration being | 19 shared drive that will have a right turn out, so a dedicated |
| 20 given to improving the accessibility of the 5 W bus stop on | 20 turn to get out of the school, and a left turn in, dedicated |
| 21 either side of Strathmore around the intersection of | 21 left turn in, and then signalizing that shared driveway onto |
| 22 Stillwater? | 22 Strathmore. |
| 23 MR. LESTER: I'm going to do for that question, if | 23 MR. BURDIN: Okay. I will let -- other witnesses |
| 24 it's all right, to our engineer. I think I know the answer, 25 but rather the guess I would rather just defer to them if | 24 may expand on that. I think you missed a few. You mentioned 25 the Holy Cross students using Metro as an indication that |
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some of the residence also could use Metro. Do you know
whether your residence will be able to cut through Holy Cross
school and use the pedestrian path that's a lot shorter to
Metro?
    MR. LESTER: No, they would not. They would not
be permitted to cross private property.
    MR. BURDIN: Okay. So your residents would have
to walk to Strathmore, turn west, cut through the path at
Symphony Park, and go past the music center to get the Metro?
    MR. LESTER: I think that's a logical path they
would take.
    MR. BURDIN: Okay. That's all I have for now.
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you. Mr. Lester, based
upon those questions, if you had to, had to guess, how long
of a walk with that be for the majority of the residence in
this area to get to the Metro station?
    MR. LESTER: I wouldn't -- I probably shouldn't
guess.
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: (Inaudible).
    MR. LESTER: I would say it's less than a mile
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: Less than a mile. And you had
mentioned that you live close to this property, sir?
    MR. LESTER: No, I live near a Metro.
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: A separate Metro station?
MR. LESTER: Separate Metro.
```

MR. BAUMGARDNER: And from your knowledge of this area, working on this application, is in a predominantly car driven area? Is it a mix of walking and biking and other means? If you know.

MR. LESTER: I don't know. But my experience is there is going to be a mix. We built a -- I led the efforts on a project in Grosvenor Heights, which is probably, you know, more than a mile. Whenever I visited Grosvenor Heights, because I Metro to the office where I live in -- I live in DC, and Metro to Bethesda, I Metro there. And again, maybe I'm not -- I shouldn't make myself representative of the folks that live there, but Metro is enormously convenient and I've been taking it personally for over 20 years. And my palms sweat when I have the drive to the office. So I can't speak -- you know, I'm not going to be like the 125 families, but I like and use Metro and I seem some the families will use it for some of their trips.

MR. BAUMGARDNER: I understand. Mr. Girard, any questions based upon the questions that have been asked so far?

MS. GIRARD: Just two quick ones. Mr. Lester, have you had any conversations with the Symphony Park Homeowners Association regarding making a connection that would make the connection to Metro a little bit quicker? MR. LESTER: Yes, we did. We reached out to

Symphony Park HOA and asked if we could connect from the natural surface trail we are proposing on the western property line across the Stream Valley buffer, because we would need if their permission because an easement does not exist. When that property was developed a few years back, the county didn't require them to put an easement. So a connection would need their approval for us to make it.

MS. GIRARD: And just to be clear, when you say an easement, for those who may not know, are there walking paths on the Symphony Park property that connect Metro?

MR. LESTER: There is. There is a path system there that runs parallel with our property line just on the other side of the environmentally sensitive area. And we discussed with the county and the county has encouraged us to make a crossing, again, subject to the approval of the Symphony Park Homeowners Association.

MS. GIRARD: And is that something that you intend to continue to pursue through further entitlements should this LMA be approved?

MR. LESTER: Absolutely. We would love to make that connection. And of course we would share in the cost of maintaining the trail on their side of the property, on their property.

MS. GIRARD: And similarly, were this LMA approved
and you move on to preliminary plan, is it your understanding
that sidewalk extensions, bus stops, improvements, bike
lanes, all that would be further studied at the time of
preliminary plan?

MS. GIRARD: That's all I have.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Mr. Shaffer or Mr. Burdin, any questions based upon those questions?

MR. BURDIN: Yeah, I do.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Mr. Burdin, go ahead.
MR. BURDIN: Thank you. This proposed path to the
Symphony Park trail to Metro, do you know what it would entail as far as cutting down trees and spanning the Creek that is there?

MR. LESTER: I believe, Mr. Burdin, that it -- we would identify with the county the location where it would have the least impact to the environmentally sensitive area. I believe we can do that without removing trees. It would require bridging over the stream.

MR. BURDIN: You think you could do it without removing trees? Okay.

MR. LESTER: I think so. I mean, what we would do -- what we've done in these instances is we literally walk in with the county's arborist and their team and we go out with our engineer. We identify the place where it's going to have the least impact. So we haven't identified that. We

```
MR. LESTER: It is.
4 MR. LESTER: It is.
```

24
have not done that specifically. We've walked it. The county has walked it. But we haven't taken the step of identifying where exactly they would approve it.

MR. BURDIN: Do you know if any of your consultants would have a -- an opinion about being able to do this without taking down trees? In which case that would (inaudible).

MR. LESTER: I don't know. Maybe. They might. I don't -- I don't know.

MR. BURDIN: Okay. And as of right now, you have no agreement with Symphony Park, right?

MR. LESTER: Correct, no agreement.
MR. BURDIN: So at this point it's just
speculation whether this will ever come to pass and -- is that correct?

MR. LESTER: Yes. We would absolutely like to do 17 in . If they don't want it, we can't tie into their private 8 property.

MR. BURDIN: For purposes of this decision before 20 the hearing officer and the county and counsel, this is an uncertain event? And you can't guarantee?

MR. LESTER: I can't -- yeah, I can't guarantee that they would be willing to allow us to connect at that location.
MR. BURDIN: Thank you. That's all.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you. Councilwoman
Bennett, you have your virtual hand raised. Did you have a question?

MS. BENNETT: I just wanted to ask Mr. Lester a clarifying question. Did I hear correctly that the traffic signal you're proposing would only be a signal across the entrance road and not across the Strathmore Avenue itself? And if this is going to be detailed and later testimony, then we can wait to hear that.

MR. LESTER: I'm not sure I understand. The signal we are considering under the supplemental analysis would be at the new -- what we called the shared driveway, but the main access and Strathmore. So it would be a signal at that new intersection.

MS. BENNETT: With the signal span across Strathmore Avenue and stop traffic traveling on Strathmore Avenue?

MR. LESTER: Yes, it would, yes. It would be, I
guess the three-way signal. But if I'm wrong, somebody will correct me.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you for clarifing.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: It looks like we have one more question from Mr. Ribeiro.

MR. RIBEIRO: Thank you, very much. I have maybe just a couple of questions. One, will all the homes have two
car garages?
MR. LESTER: We haven't designed all of the homes
yet. So I can't answer at this stage.
MR. RIBEIRO: But is it a plan that you would --
that these homes would have garages?
MR. LESTER: Yes.
MR. RIBEIRO: Okay. And are you planning -- so
the plan that you should right now did not have a connection
to -- and we've been talking about this, a more direct
connection. So you are not planning a more direct connection
to the Metro from this development to go through the schools
property?
MR. LESTER: Correct. Again, we wouldn't -- we can't cross private property just like we can't connect to
the Strathmore Symphony Park private property, we can't build
a connection through AHC and I can't build a connection through your front yard.

MR. RIBEIRO: Right.
MR. LESTER: So you've got to be respectful of property rights.

MR. RIBEIRO: Correct. Okay. And then there are three entrance road comections into this development as you
have planned right now. Did you consider instead of having one or two, with fewer roads induce more use of public transportation into your development?

MR. LESTER: I don't -- I don't know if that -- if it would. I just don't know. I'm not qualified to say.

MR. RIBEIRO: Okay. And then one last question.
Do you think that the future residents of this development
would benefit from not having school traffic going to their
neighborhood every weekday if an entrance were built to the school from Tuckerman Lane?

MR. LESTER: I couldn't say. I mean, we are in a market business, right. So people select and they make big investments on where they want to live and they understand kind of the environment in which they are moving. Probably like everybody on this call kind of knew what you were doing. So I don't know if folks would see that as a benefit or not. But they will absolutely know that there isn't that connection when they choose to buy a home from EYA or move into the Brandywine building.

MR. RIBEIRO: Okay. Thank you, very much.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Ms. Girard, any re-direct?
MS. GIRARD: No, I'm good. Thank you.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: All right. Thank you very much
for your testimony Mr. Lester and for the questions for all.
We will move on to our next witness, Ms. Girard.
MS. GIRARD: Yes. Next I would like to call
4 Brenda Bacon.
25 MR.BAUMGARDNER: You are on mute, ma'am

| 45 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MS. BACON: Good morning. | 1 living, assisted living community. |
| 2 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Good morning. What is your full | 2 And we find that people very much -- first of all, |
| 3 name, your business address, and a good business | 3 our residents love being around children. And so there are |
| 4 address, please? | 4 children in and out the community all the time with them and |
| 5 MS. BACON: My name is Brenda J. Bacon. My -- at | 5 outside with them and engaging in activity. And people, |
| 6 Brandywine Living, 525 Fellowship Road, Mount Laurel, New | 6 usually when they want to take care of their parents when |
| 7 Jersey, 08053. And my email address is | 7 their parents need more assistance, then they bring them |
| 8 BBacon@Brandy | 8 closer to th |
| 9 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, very much. If you | 9 And so it is -- it really fosters the opportunity |
| 10 can, please raise your right hand. | 10 for empty-nesters, for example, to have their grandchildren |
| 11 Do you swear or affirmunder the penalties of | 11 come over and also to have their moms and dads next door. So |
| 12 perjury that the testimony you're about to give is the truth | 12 is a great opportunity. Is almost a perfect situation for |
| 13 the whole tru | 13 just the quality of life that it brings to all of the |
| 14 MS. BACON: I do. | 14 generations. |
| 15 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, very much. Ms. | 15 MS. GIRARD: And can you review your plans for |
| 16 Girard, the witness is yours | 16 this property in particular? |
| 17 MS. GIRARD: Thank you. Ms. Bacon, what is your | 17 MS. BACON: This would be -- Erin, do we have a |
| 18 educational and professional background | 18 picture, a rendering that we could show of the property? |
| 19 MS. BACON: Well, I think of a start with saying | 19 MS. GIRARD: (Inaudible). |
| 20 that for the first 12 years I was in the archdiocese of | 20 MS. BACON: A lot of times -- I don't know who has |
| 21 Washington schools at our Lady Queen of Peace in Elizabeth | 21 the exhibits. |
| 22 Seton High School. I think that my undergraduate degree at | 22 MS. GIRARD: Maybe it's easiest just to reuse the |
| 23 Hampton University in social work. And my MBA from the | 23 floating zone plan that we had on the screen a minute ago. |
| 24 Wharton School, the University of Pennsylvania in healthcare | 24 MS. BACON: Well, yeah. The thing that I think is |
| 25 management. | 25 important is that sometimes people think that senior living |
| 46 | 48 |
| 1 I have spent 30 years in senior living, operating, | 1 communities or nursing homes are institutional settings, and |
| 2 owning assisted living communities. I've also spent time in | 2 this that's our communities are never institutional looking. |
| 3 government in the state of New Jersey and the governor's | 3 They are more residential looking because these are indeed |
| 4 office prior to that. And I've owned my own businesses in | 4 residences for seniors. And so we would -- there is a |
| 5 healthcare, many areas of healthc | 5 Boulevard -- |
| 6 MS. GIRARD: In what jurisdictions does Brandywine | 6 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Ms. Bacon? |
| 7 operate in? | 7 MS. BACON: Yes. |
| 8 MS. BACON: We are in seven states. So | 8 MR. BAUMGARDNER: I'm sorry to interrupt. This is |
| 9 Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, | 9 Exhibit 40, the FZP, the floating zone plan for the record. |
| 10 Maryland, and Virginia. | 10 Thank you, ma'am. You may continue. |
| 11 MS. GIRARD: And this Brandywine in good standing | 11 MS. BACON: Thank you. So you can see where our |
| 12 and all the jurisdictions you mentioned? | 12 community is located. There is going to be a Boulevard |
| 13 MS. BACON: We are. We spent a lot of time on | 13 coming off Strathmore. And the ground that slept in the |
| 14 that. In fact, in New Jersey we are in advanced standing, | 14 back. So we're going to have open space and areas for our |
| 15 which means we far exceed any regulations. And New Jersey is | 15 residents to enjoy the outdoors and also for there to be some |
| 16 the only state that has that, that rates people that way. | 16 passive and active recreational things out there on the, on |
| 17 But we are the top-rated communities in New Jersey. | 17 the property. |
| 18 MS. GIRARD: And Ms. Bacon, can you review why you | 18 MS. GIRARD: And if I could trouble the Hearing |
| 19 chose the proposed location for the construction of a new | 19 Examiner, I apologize, to also pull up 48B. that was it. |
| 20 Brandywine community? | 20 MS. BACON: I saw her for many, yes. |
| 21 MS. BACON: I want to iterate something that Jack | 21 MS. GIRARD: Yeah. |
| 22 said. The opportunity to develop an intergenerational place | 22 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Right here? |
| 23 for people to be is very attractive to us, particularly fo | 23 MS. BACON: No. |
| 24 our seniors, for our parents. We've done two communities | 24 MS. GIRARD: No. The picture, the colored |
| 25 like that before where they were townhouses and then a senior | 25 picture. There we go. |


| 49 | 51 |
| :---: | :---: |
| MS. BACON: Okay. <br> (Crosstalk) <br> MR. BAUMGARDNER: Exhibit 58B, is that correct? <br> MS. GIRARD: Yes. <br> MR. BAUMGARDNER: Okay. There you go. <br> MS. BACON: So you can see the look of our <br> communities is very residential. It's not institutional, and <br> very upscale and I think very fitting for the site. <br> MS. GIRARD: And Ms. Bacon, can you briefly <br> explain the operations of the site and what you expect as far as number of employees, shifts, that kind of thing? <br> MS. BACON: Okay. So the -- there is 24 hour <br> staffing, of course, in a community like this. It is that I would say that most employees that are there, the height of 5 employees would be midday. So say 12:00, 1:00, $2: 00$ because that's when all of our department heads are in, the nursing staff is in, we're serving lunch in our restaurant, and a lot of activities are going on. So that is the height of staffing. Overnight there would be more like a people in the building, 8 to 10 people in the building because we are not -- the dining room is open. The indoor pool is not open and we are not doing a lot of activities that we usually have during the day. The -- it is -- you know, a lot of times when we are talking with neighbors and talking about our 5 communities as we develop them, what appeals I think to | ```purposely tried to design to fit into the neighborhood and, you know, feel comfortable with our neighbors. We have good relationships with our neighbors and all of our communities and we work hard at that. MS. GIRARD: And is there anything else you would like the Hearing Examiner to consider? MS. BACON: No, I'm happy to answer any questions that anyone may have. MS. GIRARD: That's all I have for Ms. Bacon. MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, Mr. -- Ms. Bacon. Mr. Shaffer, you have any questions of Ms. Bacon at this time? MR. SHAFFER: Just a few. Good morning, Ms. Bacon. MS. BACON: Good morning Mr. Shaffer. MR. SHAFFER: The approximate age, according to your materials, I recall the residents will be around 83 to 85 years old; is that correct? MS. BACON: That's correct. MR. SHAFFER: Will most of them be ambulatory? MS. BACON: Mostly, yes. Our residents are ambulatory. Some may use assistive devices, a walker or a self-operating wheelchair. They do not, by definition, need 2424 hour nursing, even though we have nurses on site 24 hours. 25 It is as much a social community as it is healthcare support.``` |
| people partially is that it does allow their parents to live close by. It's no burden on the school system. Our residents rarely drive. Some of them keep their car, but we have transportation that we provide in our cars and a bus that will take them on activities or anything that we want to do. So there is very little traffic or -- and no school impact. <br> MS. GIRARD: Great. And Ms. Bacon, are you <br> familiar with the conditions of approval recommended by the planning board in this review of the application? Again, for your reference that would be on page 2 of Exhibit 62 . <br> MS. BACON: I am. <br> MS. GIRARD: And is the Applicant willing to <br> accept as conditions of approval? <br> MS. BACON: Yes, we are. <br> MS. GIRARD: And in light of your testimony and <br> based on your familiarity with the plans and expert reports <br> 8 submitted with the application, do you believe the proposed <br> 9 development will be compatible with existing and approved <br> adjacent development? <br> MS. BACON: I do. <br> MS. GIRARD: And in your opinion, will the <br> proposed development adversely affect the character of the <br> 24 surrounding neighborhood? <br> 25 MS. BACON: No, I don't think it will at all. We | So once someone needs more care than we can provide onsite they would transition to a rehab center or to skilled nursing. <br> MR. SHAFFER: Understood. So you talked about sort of transportation that you would be providing. A bus or something. Can you describe the transportation in more detail? <br> MS. BACON: Yes, we have a 16 passenger bus that we use. If people are -- if our residents are going out to a play or the Kennedy Center, or in this case, or just on a trip during the day, we transport them. We have a chauffeured limo that we use for residents when they -- we want to take them to the doctor or they're going someplace individually or something like that is going on. So we have two modes of transportation and regular use in our communities. <br> MR. SHAFFER: Okay. Have you any estimate of the number of persons that will be occupying the facility on a regular basis when fully developed? <br> MS. BACON: When fully developed I would estimate 130 to 140. <br> MR. SHAFFER: Okay. And based upon your <br> experience and knowledge of the industry, of a group like <br> that approximately how many would be wanting to go in or out of the development every day whether to go shopping, or |

```
transportation or wherever they would be going? Are they
very mobile, in other words?
MS. BACON: Yes, our residents are usually very mobile. They enjoy being around people. They enjoy going out. We don't do the kind of activities that some people do. We do what we call escapades for life. So we like to have all kinds of choices for people to engage. And certainly being near so many -- so much culture, so much to do in the Washington DC area, we were probably have our residents out a lot. But everybody doesn't want to do everything. So there is also a lot going on in the building.
In our community we have our own movie theater. So there are movies that we show there. Again, we have two or three dining venues. We have an indoor pool. So there is a lot that they can do right within the community, but they do tend to very much want to go out, as we all do.
MR. SHAFFER: So have you made any estimate about the number of Metro access trips that would be generated every day (inaudible)?
MS. BACON: The Metro trips, did you say?
MR. SHAFFER: Metro access, the peri transit service provided by Metro for people with disabilities.
MS. BACON: Oh, yeah. I'm sorry. Very little.
We would not -- we would do the transport ourselves. We would not be bringing in transport from others.
```

MR. SHAFFER: So you would have enough buses and/or chauffeured limousines to --

MS. BACON: Yeah.
MR. SHAFFER: Meet the needs of that size to community?

MS. BACON: Absolutely. Absolutely.
MR. SHAFFER: Okay. I thought when I asked you previously that question that you said a chauffeured limo and a bus. Does that mean more than one?

MS. BACON: One -- there is a 16 passenger bus. So the trip so usually for 16 residents at a time. We have several trips. So there is not a situation where you would be taking 130 people out at one time. They get -- you know, they can decide whether they want to go to this place with that place. And maybe we run the bus twice to -- if there is more than 16 that want to go. Or we would bring in from one of our other communities, either Potomac or Alexandria if we need supplemental assistance. But we would be selfsufficient on that, not depending on County or state resources.

MR. SHAFFER: Okay, thank you.
MS. BACON: Thank you.
MR. SHAFFER: I have no further questions.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, Mr. Shaffer. Mr. Burdin, any questions for Ms. Bacon?

1

1 staff, your housekeepers. They would be there. You know, if you pick the time in the day when you receive most of our team members, it would probably be around noon.

MR. BURDIN: Okay. And what are your visitor hours?

MS. BACON: Families can visit anytime.
MR. BURDIN: Anytime?
MS. BACON: Yeah. You know, we lock the door in most of our communities at 9:00 at night just for security. And we've got somebody at the front desk. But if a family wanted to say, I want to stop by and see mom on my way home or I want to come over and bring mom something, then we accommodate that.

MR. BURDIN: Do you know how many parking spots you have for visitors and residents?
16 MS. BACON: I'm not sure. Perhaps one of our 17 engineers or somebody could answer that for us. But I would 18 tell you that in a community like ours, there are very few, 19 say 10 residents, 10 to 15 residents would have their own 20 cars. And that's been our experience in every new community
21 that we've opened up. Part of the reason that people choose
22 our community is because they don't have to worry about
23 driving anymore. So I think that's an advantage to being
24 there. I don't (inaudible) parking. Most of it is
25 underground. I just don't know the numbers.

| 57 | 59 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. BURDIN: Okay. And are you aware of any | 1 that, or Josh. |
| 2 projections of the use of public transportation? By that I | 2 MS. GIRARD: So -- but that would be an |
| 3 mean the Metro station, the bus line, or the Garrett Park | 3 inappropriate question to ask the traffic consultant. |
| 4 Marta station by your employees? | 4 MR. BURDIN: I'm asking her, does she know. If |
| 5 MS. BACON: I amnot by our employees. I would | 5 she doesn't know, she can say so. |
| 6 say our residents, that would be close to zero. But by our | 6 MS. BACON: I do not know what exhibit it is or |
| 7 team members, I would expect that they would use the Metro. | 7 where it's included in the traffic study. I do know that we |
| 8 Most do use public transportation when coming to our | 8 talked extensively with our entire team about this. |
| 9 communities a | $9 \quad$ MR. BURDIN: Okay. And last qu |
| 10 MR. BURDIN: And are you aware of how long | 10 Essentially the same question about the number of employees. |
| 11 takes to walk from the proposed site of your building to the | 11 Did the traffic consultant ask you, your company, for |
| 12 Grosvenor Metro station? | 12 information about the shifts, the employee shifts, or the |
| 13 MS. BACON: I'm not. | 13 number of employees at different times of the day? |
| 14 MR. BURDIN: Okay. Are you aware how long it | 14 MS. BACON: We -- yes, we have spoken quite a bit |
| 15 takes to walk from your site to the Garrett Park Marta | 15 about that in terms of-- and I -- with our teamabout th |
| 16 station? | 16 in terms of shiff time and the maximum time that we would |
| 17 MS. BACON: No, I have not measured that. I mean, | 17 have most employees there. So we provided that information. |
| 18 I know the area. We've driven it many times, but I've never | 18 I did not personally talk to the traffic consultant. But |
| 19 walked it specifically. So I can't tell you the distance. | 19 members of my team did and certainly we've talked as a |
| 20 MR. BURDIN: Okay. Did the Applicant's traffic | 20 project team about that. |
| 21 consultant ever contact you for information about your -- | 21 MR. BURDIN: Are you saying that you, your |
| 22 contact your company about transit us | 22 company, did provide information about shifts and the number |
| 23 (Crosstalk) | 23 of employees to the traffic consultants? |
| 24 MR. BURDIN: Residents or visitors? | 24 MS. BACON: We provided the information. I did |
| 25 MS. BACON: Yes, we've spent a lot of time as | 25 not talk specifically to the traffic consultants, but yes, we |
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| 1 were looking at that's project estimating. We knew that | 1 provided the information. |
| 2 traffic is a concern of the community. And it would be a | 2 MR. BURDIN: Okay. And do you know if the |
| 3 concern of ours as well. So we did spend a lot of time | 3 information is in the record? |
| 4 talking about that. | 4 MS. BACON: I don't know if it's in the record. |
| 5 MR. BURDIN: But my question specifically is | 5 MR. BURDIN: All right. Thank you. That's all. |
| 6 whether the traffic consultant asked you for information | 6 MR. BAUMGARDNER: I see a virtual and raised by a |
| 7 about the use of transit by your employees, residents, or | 7 Cynthia Weitz. Did you have a question, ma'am? |
| 8 visitors. | 8 MS. WEITZ: I do. Can you hear me? |
| 9 MS. BACON: We've talked to all of o | 9 MS. BACON: Yes. |
| 10 the consultants about that. Are you asking me about a | 10 MS. WEITZ: Okay. Good morning. I'm trying to |
| 11 specific reference? | 11 turn mine -- |
| 12 MR. BURDIN: I'm asking if they requested from you | 12 MS. BACON: I see you. |
| 13 any data you had about transit use by employees, residents, | 13 MS. WEITZ: Okay. Hi, how are you? |
| 14 or visitors? | 14 MS. BACON: Fine, how are you? |
| 15 MS. BACON: Yes | 15 MS. WEITZ: I'm fine. I have a lot of personal |
| 16 MR. BURDIN: And what did you provide them? | 16 experience with senior living. My mother lived very happily |
| 17 MS. BACON: I would have to look at the | 17 until she died suddenly at the age of 96 in a nearby senior |
| 18 information to tell you. Much of what I've told you here is | 18 independent living facility. So I feel like I have a deep |
| 19 that our residents do not use public transportation. We | 19 knowledge of day-to-day, what occurs at such a facility. And |
| 20 provide transportation. Our employees do use public | 20 I am wondering, first of all, if you have spoken, or your |
| 21 transportation mostly, or they carpool. And so probably | 21 company has spoken to emergency services in the area. My |
| 22 minimal use of -- minimal impact on traffic from us. | 22 experience was that my mother had weekly doctor's |
| 23 MR. BURDIN: Okay. If that information you | 23 appointments and I was often in a queue with other family |
| 24 provided on the record anywhere? | 24 members. |
| 25 MS. BACON: Erin I think would have to answer | 25 Usually there were three or four of us outside |


| 1 | every morning when there was an appointment where we were |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | taking our -- and it was usually mothers, sorry men -- two |
| 3 | doctors appointments. And usually each time I either picked |
| 4 | her up or dropped her off. There was an ambulance there and |
| 5 | my mother used to laugh that there was an evidence every |
| 6 | single day at least once outside the building. |
| 7 | $\quad$ And I'm concerned that there are many new senior |
| 8 | living facilities coming up in Kensington. And I'm concerned |
| 9 | about response times now. I'm also concerned because where I |
| 10 | amliving, Strathmore Place, we are a naturally |
| 11 | multigenerational community and at least half of us are |
| 12 | seniors. And as homes are being bought and sold, it's almost |
| 13 | completely split between seniors and younger families. So I |
| 14 | have a concern also that when my husband or I need an |
| 15 | ambulance that they are not going to be able to respond to |
| 16 | us. So could you discuss that? |
| 17 | $\quad$ MS. BACON: Well, I can discuss -- |
| 18 | $\quad$ MR. BAUMGARDNER: Ms. Bacon, before you -- before |
| 19 | you answer, real quick. So this is the opportunity to ask |
| 20 | Ms. Bacon questions about her testimony. If you have |
| 21 | testimony yourself, Ms. Weitz, you can certainly testify |
| 22 | later on in the hearing. Or Mr. Shaffer can call you as a |
| 23 | witness. You're certainly welcome to ask Ms. Bacon a |
| 24 | question about what she has testified to. I just don't want |
| 25 | to get on the road |
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MS. WEITZ: -- I appreciate that. I understand that. So I do have a question. I have been reading about difficulty with senior living maintaining employees and staff retention being an issue. You have a 16 passenger bus. Are you planning to offer your employees, as an incentive, to use that bus for transportation to and from Metro during shift changes?

MS. BACON: I want to answer both of your questions. I will answer the second one is. Absolutely we 10 can transport our residents, our employees during shift 11 change to the Metro station. We do that in other communities if the nearby bus or train is not close. And so we can definitely offer that and are happy to be in your mom living 14 in independent living is a slightly different situation than 15 in assisted living.
16 One of the things that we have is we have a nurse 17 on site 24 hours a day, $24 / 7$ we've got nurses there. So 18 often what happens is when you call in a balance and is a
19 911, you may not, in your own home or in an independent
20 living, have someone there that can evaluate the situation
21 and understand whether it needs to be a 911 call or whether
22 the nurse can call the doctor, their personal position, and
they can figure out what's going on. So we rarely have 911
4 calls in our communities. And that's just because of the
kind of model that we operate in our communities rather than
independent living where they really don't have the staff there that could evaluate a situation.

MS. WEITZ: Okay. Going back to my first question, is that something that you can make a commitment to the nearby community that you would be offering that for your staff? Because I have other questions later for the traffic person. But I think that really I know personally the walk would be difficult from where you are located in and it is partially going to be uphill. Is that --

MS. BACON: I have no -- I have no hesitancy making that commitment that at shift change we will provide transportation from the train station, the Metro.

MS. WEITZ: Thank you.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, ma'am Ms. Girard, any questions based upon the questions that have been asked and thus far?

MS. GIRARD: No, nothing further.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Okay. I had a quick and that's a few quick follow-up questions, Ms. Bacon. This buzz that has been spoke about, the 16 passenger van or bus, or whatever it might be. Is that on a regular schedule was Mark or is that only an as needed or

MS. BACON: Is there at the community all the
4 time. So is the driver. So we set the schedule for it, what it's doing.

MR. BAUMGARDNER: So there's not like an a.m. run to the grocery store and a p.m. run to the grocery store every day? It's special trips?

MS. BACON: Uh-huh.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: That's all I had. Ms. Girard, any questions based upon mine?

MS. GIRARD: No.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: All right. We think Ms. Bacon for her time in her testimony.

MS. BACON: Thank you, very much.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: I see --
MS. BENNETT: May I ask one follow-up question to your question, Mr. Baumgardner?

MR. BAUMGARDNER: Yes, Councilwoman. Go ahead.
MS. BENNETT: Thank you. Ma'am, the bus, will it
be during the day parked in front of the facility in the
circular? Or will it be part in the driveway this is behind the care facility?

MS. BACON: It will be probably parked behind or underneath in the underground parking. It's a beautiful bus, by the way. You will like it. It's a very nice buzz. But it will be part in our -- you know, it would not be normally be part like there in front when we are doing the turnaround.

MS. BENNETT: Okay. And is the underground 5 facility entrance on the front side or in the back at service

```
insurance?
MS. BACON: In the back. In the back.
MS. BENNETT: Okay. So is it fair to say that in
order for the -- that bus would be going -- once it dropped
offor picked up passengers it would go back out onto
Strathmore to get to that service insurance to park either in
that parking lot or underground?
    MS. BACON: I don't believe it would have to, but
I would have to depend on -- when they talk about traffic and
the flow. I don't believe it has to. I think it can turn
around and go right under.
    MS. BENNETT: Yeah, I don't see -- okay. Well, I
don't see a direct interest of the service parking from
the -- that road -- that roadway fromthe front.
    MS. BACON: I believe it would have to enter and
maybe we can pull up the traffic --
    MS. BENNETT: The circulation plan, perhaps?
    MS. BACON: Yes.
    MS. BENNETT:Which is Exhibit 37, I think.
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: Would that be a better question
for the traffic engineer? Or for Ms. Bacon?
    MS. BENNETT:That's fine. I just want to make
sure it's understood how that bus would be moving around
throughout the day at some point.
MS. BACON: Thank you. I think it works better
```

for the --
(Crosstalk)
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Question as well. So we will
make sure that we answer that when the traffic engineer
testifies.
MS. BENNETT: Thank you, I appreciate it.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you. All right. Thank
you, Ms. Bacon. We're going to turn it back over to Ms.
Girard for your next witness. Before you do that, let's take
0 a five-minute break if that's okay with everyone. It's 11:08
a.m. We will reconvene it 11:15 a.m. We will be right back.
2 Thank you all, very much.
13 (Off the record at 11:09 a.m, resuming at
11:15 a.m)
MR. BAUMGARDNER: We're on the record. This is
16 case LMA 143 for the property located at 4910-4920 Strathmore
17 Avenue. The data center with 31st. It is -- sorry -- 11:15
18 a.m. We had finished the direct examination and cross-
19 examination of Ms. Bacon. We are turning it back over to Ms.
20 Girard to call her next witness.
21 MS. GIRARD: Thank you. Next I would like to call
22 Jeff Amateau who hopefilly is going to appear on the screen.
23 There we go.
24 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Good morning, sir. If you
25 could, give us your full name, your business address, and
your email address for the record, please.
MR. AMATEAU: Sure, good morning. My name is
Jeffrey Amateau. I work for Vika Maryland. Office located
at 20251 Century Boulevard, Suite 200 in Germantown,
Maryland. ZIP is 20874. My email is Amateau@Vika.com
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, sir. And if you
could, raise your right hand.
Do you swear or affirm under the penalties of
perjury that the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth?

MR. AMATEAU: I do.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, very much. There
seems to be some kind of rattle in the background. I don't
know if that's from anyone in particular. It might be a
computer issue or a device issue.
MR. AMATEAU: I've been told that my ventilation system was always -- makes a noise. So --

MR. BAUMGARDNER: Got it. It is what it is.
MR. AMATEAU: (Inaudible).
MR. BAUMGARDNER: As long as you know what it is.
And we are certainly not going to make it or not the heat on
a day like today. We will turn the witness over to Ms.
Girard.
MS. GIRARD: Mr. Amateau, what is your occupation?
MR. AMATEAU: I'm a civil engineer.

MS. GIRARD: How long have you been engaged in this occupation?

MR. AMATEAU: I've been working this field since 1988.

MS. GIRARD: Can you review for us your
professional and educational background?
MR. AMATEAU: Yes, I'ma 1988 graduate of the
University of Maryland, College Park, with my bachelor of
science in civil engineering. I'ma licensed professional in
the state of Maryland, Commonwealth of Virginia, and the
District of Columbia.
MS. GIRARD: And can you review your work
experience in the field of civil engineering?
MR. AMATEAU: Yep. After graduating from the
University of Maryland I went to work for Vika as a design
16 engineer. I worked for Vika from 1988 to 1992. I -- in 1992
17 I left Vika for a new position as a project engineer at
Frederick Ward and Associates located in Bel Air, Maryland.
And then in 1994 I left Frederick Ward to go back to Vika for
20 a project manager's position. I've been at Vika since 1994
21 and am currently the director of engineering in the Maryland
22 office.
23 MS. GIRARD: And have you ever qualified as an
24 expert in civil engineering before?
25 MR. AMATEAU: Yes. 2015 I testified for a change

| 69 | 71 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 in zoning case for the (inaudible) Habitat project in | 1 MS. GIRARD: Can you describe for us the existing |
| 2 Montgomery county. And before that I testified for a special | 2 public facilities serving the property? |
| 3 exception for the Potomac Valley nursing home in the city of | 3 MR. AMATEAU: There is an existing large diameter |
| 4 Rockville. | 4 public water main, 12 inch in size on Strathmore Avenue |
| 5 MS. GIRARD: I would like to note that Mr. | 5 service road. There is an existing large diameter public |
| 6 Amateau's resume is Exhibit 49A in the record. And I would | 6 sewer main along the west property boundary. There are |
| 7 like to move his admission as an expert in civil engineering. | 7 existing private storm drains which outfall on-site. There |
| 8 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Mr. Shaffer or Mr. Burdin, any | 8 is an existing gas main on Strathmore Avenue. Communication |
| 9 questions or further voir dire of this witness as an expert | 9 electric appear to be on utility poles on Strathmore Avenue. |
| 10 as a promulgated expert in the field of civil engineering? | 10 And finally the existing site does not have any current storm |
| 11 MR. SHAFFER: No, none for me. This is Dave | 11 water management. |
| 12 Shaffer. | 12 MS. GIRARD: Can you describe for us the |
| 13 MR. BURDIN: No, none for me. Thank you. | 13 conceptual proposed public facilities including storm water |
| 14 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Hearing no objection or further | 14 management? |
| 15 voir dire, I've reviewed the resume and the testimony of Mr. | 15 MR. AMATEAU: Well, as I stated before, we have |
| 16 Amateau. I know that he has appeared before OZAH as an | 16 prepared utility and stormwater plans to support the floating |
| 17 expert in other matters. He is hereby admitted for the | 17 zone plan. These plans will be refined with site-specific |
| 18 purposes of this hearing as an expert in the field of civil | 18 calculations during the further entitlement permit processes, |
| 19 engineering. | 19 which would include a (inaudible) hydraulic planning and |
| 20 MS. GIRARD: Thank you. Mr. Amateau, are you | 20 analysis. We would have to prepare a concept storm water |
| 21 familiar with the property that is the subject of a local map | 21 plan, a site development storm water plan, and the other |
| 22 amendment application, the surrounding area and the | 22 utility plans to support (inaudible) plan and site plan. |
| 23 application itself, LMA143? | 23 MS. GIRARD: And are you aware -- Mr. Lester |
| 24 MR. AMATEAU: Yes. My team has been working on | 24 actually referenced it, that the community had raised |
| 25 the project since the summer of 2020. And during that time | 25 concerns about existing storm water flowing down Strathmore |
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| 1 we have prepared civil engineering concept plans to support | 1 Avenue and pooling at the intersection of Stillwater? |
| 2 the application. | 2 MR. AMATEAU: Yes, I have walked the Stillwater |
| 3 MS. GIRARD: And have you analyzed the suitability | 3 Avenue intersection with the Strathmore Avenue service Road. |
| 4 of the subject property for the proposed single-family and | 4 In that area has a flat grade as it flows west to the |
| 5 residential care facility uses from a civil engineering | 5 drainage as well and then south in the stream |
| 6 standpoint? | 6 MS. GIRARD: And -- |
| 7 MR. AMATEAU: Yes, we've analyzed the storm water | 7 MR. AMATEAU: The majority that I was going to say |
| 8 management, water, sewer, storm drain, and grading. Our | 8 the majority of that drainage area is from the development |
| 9 concepts considered the constraints of the property and we | 9 north of Strathmore Avenue at Stillwater. And as Jack |
| 10 were able to provide the required development standards such | 10 mentioned, there is a small area about 1.8 acres frommy |
| 11 as redundant water collection, proper easement widths, | 11 calculations from the project site that the existing |
| 12 adequate or subtle clearances between utilities, proper sight | 12 condition that flows towards that intersection. However, on |
| 13 distances at the entrances of Strathmore Avenue, and | 13 our current green plan and storm water plan we are |
| 14 providing 100 percent of the storm water management volume | 14 significantly reducing that drainage by picking up that on- |
| 15 based on the current layout. | 15 site water and convening it to on-site stormwater which would |
| 16 MS. GIRARD: Can you describe for us the existing | 16 outfall south of the Strathmore -- Strathmore Avenue. |
| 17 conditions environmental constraints of the property? And | 17 MS. GIRARD: Thank you. |
| 18 how it relates to adjacent properties? | 18 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Would a particular exhibit be |
| 19 MR. AMATEAU: Yes. Well, the existing land use is | 19 helpful on Mr. Amatuau and explaining the outfall and the |
| 20 institutional, consisting of building, private streets, | 20 flow of storm water through the property? |
| 21 parking, athletic fields. Environmentally there are two | 21 MR. AMATEAU: Exhibit 46? Stormwater exhibit? |
| 22 streams on the property. One is along the entire West | 22 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Do you want me to pull that up? |
| 23 boundary flowing from Strathmore Avenue to Cloister Drive. | 23 It should be on your screen shortly. |
| 24 In a smaller stream flowing east to west. Confluence of the | 24 MS. GIRARD: It's there. |
| 25 two streams is about 800 feet north of Cloister Drive. | 25 MR. AMATEAU: It is on my screen, but pretty |



## to left-hand corner. I see Tuckerman Lane.

MR. AMATEAU: Yeah. So we looked at a connection to Cloister, which is south of the Academy. But because of the significant disturbance that would be required on the steep slope -- it's hard to see, but that shaded area is a steep slope -- stream valley buffer and significant (inaudible) on the floodplain and a portion of forced removal, that access is just not feasible.

MS. GIRARD: It's also been suggested by the town of Garrett Park that trucks will be incentivized to back into the loading area for the residential care facility from Strathmore Avenue. Can you address that concern as well?

MR. AMATEAU: A truck turning analysis will be prepared and submitted to MCDOT and MCDPS during the (inaudible) plan and site plan process. So what is showing 6 on the floating zone plan is very conceptual.

MS. GIRARD: Will it be -- when it is designed, would it be designed to have trucks use the Strathmore for that turning movement? Or would that all be accomplished onsite?

MR. AMATEAU: It will be accomplished on-site. I
think that is going to be required by -- during our
entitlement process, from my experience.
MS. GIRARD: Okay. And Mr. Amateau, froman engineering perspective, does the application satisfy the
intent and standards of the proposed zone and meet the applicable requirements of the zoning ordinance?

MR. AMATEAU: Yes, it does satisfy the intent and standards of his own. We looked at existing infrastructure and the development is supported by the existing utility infrastructure (inaudible) anticipated off-site improvements being required. We looked at sight distance with proposed entrances onto Strathmore and the sight distance were more than adequate for both stopping and the intersection sight distances. And we also prepared a concept storm water plan to ensure that the -- what was presented through this floating zone process would capture 100 percent of the required storm water that would be -- that will be required during the entitlement and the permit process.

MS. GIRARD: And on the point of storm water, can you just briefly explain how storm water is reviewed? Both that's at this stage that you mentioned it's conceptual.
Were the local map amendment approved in this were to move forward to preliminary site plan, can you just give us a sense of what level of detail you've looked at now and what level of detail you would look at and those further entitlements?

MR. AMATEAU: So Montgomery County, State of
24 Maryland, requires a three-tier submission process. The
25 first year would be the concept storm water plan. And that

```
typically, a Montgomery County, is submitted with the
preliminary plan. And what we've done so far other than
submitting to the county, who (inaudible) is prepare that
storm water concept plan. So we have done some conceptual
computations. We have made sure that the target rainfall of
1.8 inches is achievable with the current floating zone plan.
Actually on the EYA site we were able to hit 1.88 as it
currently stands and on graded wine we were able to hit 1.80.
Then after preliminary plan (inaudible) plan and site plan
are being submitted separately, we would do a -- prepare a
site development storm water plan which would be submitted to
DPS and park in planning for review. It further enhances the
concept storm water plan but actually brining in and
designing the storm water facilities. And then after that we
would then have to prepare permit document that submit those
through DPS tax to get a permit to construct those
facilities. Does that answer --
    MS. GIRARD: It does. Thank you.
    MR. AMATEAU: Okay.
    MS. GIRARD: I think that's just a helpfil
overview for people not quite familiar with the process. And
Mr. Amateau, from an engineering perspective, with the
proposed rezoning and uses be compatible with existing
adjacent development?
MR. AMATEAU: The project proposes townhouses,
```

single-family, and a care facility. These uses are similar
and compatible to the existing surrounding neighborhood north
of the proposed project, across from Strathmore existing
residential single-family houses I think to the west of the
proposed project or existing residential townhouses. East
and South from the project our schools. So I think it's very
compatible.
MS. GIRARD: Thank you. That's all I have for Mr.
Amateau.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, very much. We will
start with Mr. Shaffer. Any questions for Mr. Amateau?
MR. SHAFFER: Yes. Hello Mr. Amateau.
MR. AMATEAU: Good morning.
MR. SHAFFER: Have you calculated the total amount
of impermeable surfaces that would be created by this
product?
MR. AMATEAU: Yes we have. That was included in
our computation. That was just based on the conceptual plan
that was submitted with the floating zone plan.
MR. SHAFFER: Okay. And using that amount of
impermeable surface that you calculated, did you use that
figure to calculate the estimated storm runoff from that
surface? That degree of surface?
MR. AMATEAU: We will prepare -- that's typically
done when we do a storm drain design. What we've done so far
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is just the storm water calculation on the actual volume
that's required to be managed. And that's as far as we have taken it so far.

MR. SHAFFER: So what is the volume you estimate to be graded?

MR. AMATEAU: I would need to look that up and get back to, which I can. I have the computations on my network.

MR. SHAFFER: When you, when you can't let the total amount of runoff expected from a certain surface area of impermeable surface, how do you calculate the amount of water? In other words, what factor of rainfall or other precipitation do you use to calculate the total volume of water that would be created by that impermeable surface?

MR. AMATEAU: So in the State of Maryland, you 15 base your impervious -- you calculate your impervious area 16 and then you hit your target rainfall. In this case, using 17 the (inaudible) manual of target rainfall that we would have 18 to manage was 1.8 inches (inaudible).

MR. SHAFFER: 1.8 inches for what? Hour? Day? Year?
MR. AMATEAU: It is 1.8 in . per hour over a 24 hour storm event.

MR. SHAFFER: Over a continuous 24 hour span?
MR. AMATEAU: Yet, the 1.8 is the peak of the 24 hour rainstorm.

1 2 you if your assumption is that is 1.8 times 24 hours

MR. AMATEAU: No, that's not I was calculated.
MR. SHAFFER: Okay. Now when -- in using this 1.8 calculation factor that you say is what the county requires you to use, can you tell me whether that 1.8 factor takes into account the climate -- climate change and increased amount of precipitation that we anticipate over the next 10 to 20 to 30 or more years in this area?

MR. AMATEAU: No, I don't know that answer.
MR. SHAFFER: Okay. When is this project due to be completed and fully functioning?

MR. AMATEAU: I don't know.
MR. SHAFFER: Okay. Let's assume this project 15 will be functioning by (inaudible) developer would like it as 16 fast as possible. Let's say by the end of 2024.

MR. AMATEAU: Okay.
MR. SHAFFER: And let's just say (inaudible) at 9 least has an estimated life of 50 years. Okay. Now using 20 that kind of example, how would you project the anticipated 21 amount of storm water drainage runoff that will be created 22 when this project is fully developed and into its full operation?
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 required to go to this county. And those submissions will | 1 about? So we are clear -- |
| 2 meet the current design criteria. If the design criteria | 2 MR. AMATEAU: I'm not. I'm not aware that there |
| 3 changes, we would adapt and adjust our storm water designed | 3 was a discussion on a path that would connect the project |
| 4 to meet whatever the county and state rags are at that time. | 4 site to a -- across the stream to the Symphony site. |
| 5 MR. SHAFFER: (Inaudible) County regs, and your | 5 MR. BURDIN: Okay. Did you say that the site |
| 6 professional opinion what would be the best estimate of the | 6 currently has no storm water management? |
| 7 total amount of volume that would be runoff in say 20 years | 7 MR. AMATEAU: That is correct. |
| 8 from now from this development? | 8 MR. BURDIN: Okay. And by that you meant no man- |
| 9 MR. AMATEAU: I couldn't make a guess | 9 made storm water management, right? |
| 10 MR. SHAFFER: You couldn't make a guess? | 10 MR. AMATEAU: None of your traditional underground |
| 11 MR. AMATEAU: No. | 11 storage systems, ponds, other sort of storm water facilities |
| 12 MR. SHAFFER: Do you have a way of calculating | 12 or grid separators that were popular in the 80 s . I mean, |
| 13 that? | 13 there are some storm drain systems that collect water from |
| 14 MR. AMATEAU: No. | 14 the road and outfall on-site, which I did mention in my |
| 15 MR. SHAFFER: Have you looked at any projections | 15 testimony. But as far as actual storm water, I've been to |
| 16 of rainfall for this area over the next 10 to 50 years based | 16 the site three or four times, walked in, and I have not seen |
| 17 upon climate change? | 17 any of those sort of facilities. |
| 18 MR. AMATEAU: No. | 18 MR. BURDIN: Okay. But the extensive grass on the |
| 19 MR. SHAFFER: Have you considered climate change | 19 side, particularly on the field part of it, and the streams |
| 20 in any way in your analysis? | 20 they are, they act as a natural storm water management at |
| 21 MR. AMATEAU: No. | 21 least to some degree; is that fair to say? |
| 22 MR. SHAFFER: Thank you. I have no further | 22 MR. AMATEAU: That is fair to say. |
| 23 questions. | 23 MR. BURDIN: Okay, thank you. And you mentioned |
| 24 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Mr. Burdin, any questions for 25 Mr. Amateau? | 24 that the -- when you're talking about the surrounding area of 25 the site, you mentioned that there are residential care |
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| 1 MR. BURDIN: Yes. Did you hear Mr. Lester mentio | 1 facilities. What's the closest residential care facility to |
| 2 a proposed path from the walking trail of the project to the | 2 the site? |
| 3 Symphony Park trail that ultimately leads to Metro? | 3 MR. AMATEAU: I don't remember saying there are |
| 4 MS. GIRARD: Objection. He's -- and Mr. Amateau | 4 residential facilities. What I did say is that there are |
| 5 did not speak of that connection. He is trying to cross him | 5 single-family so the North. There was townhouses to the West |
| 6 on something that someone else spoke on. We will have a | 6 and to the south and east our school sites. |
| 7 future witness who will speak to that. But I don't think | 7 MR. BURDIN: Well, can the court reporter go back? |
| 8 that Jeff is the appropriate person. | 8 I mean, it's not a big issue -- |
| 9 MR. BURDIN: Well, this witness talked about the | 9 (Crosstalk) |
| 10 idea of a road to Tuckerman and opined that it would be | 10 MR. BURDIN: Said -- he included residential care |
| 11 infeasible. And so I would like to have his opinion, if he | 11 facilities in the nearby vicinity. |
| 12 has one, or if he's looked to know -- whether he has looked | 12 MR. BAUMGARDNER: I don't recall that he made that |
| 13 at this issue of the path that would cut from the proposed | 13 statement, but you can certainly ask again. |
| 14 project to the Symphony Park path. | 14 MR. BURDIN: It's -- that's right. It's not worth |
| 15 MR. BAUMGARDNER: I will allow the question as | 15 it. Thank you. That's all I have. |
| 16 long as it pertains to the engineering issues that are -- | 16 MR. BAUMGARDNER: I had just a couple of quick |
| 17 that have been presented so far. So if the witnesses aware | 17 follow-up questions Mr. Amateau. You said that there was a |
| 18 of that independent of Mr. Lester's testimony, Mr. Amateau | 18 run of volume that was -- that you had calculated somewhere |
| 19 can testify if he is aware of that as a prior possibility | 19 in your calculations, correct? |
| 20 which was investigated. So Mr. Amateau, are you aware of | 20 MR. AMATEAU: Yes. |
| 21 that potential for that cut through with the other path in a | 21 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Can you pull that up for me? If |
| 22 previous induration of this plan? | 22 you have any. Or if Mr. Girard knows or can identify an |
| 23 MR. AMATEAU: I am not. That was something my | 23 exhibit that may have those numbers. Either way is fine with |
| 24 team and I did not look at. | 24 me. |
| 25 MR. BURDIN: Are you aware of what I'm talking | 25 MR. AMATEAU: Erin I don't believe any of those |
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were submitted as backup to the concept storm water. It
would just take me a couple of seconds to pull it up off our
network.
    MS. GIRARD: I agree. I think it was an
underlying collation that went into the exhibit. But I don't
think the information is on the exhibit itself.
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: If you can just take a minute to
pull it up, that would be helpful.
    MR. AMATEAU: Yeah. Okay. I have the Excel
spreadsheet up. I just -- it's covering everybody. So hold
on. I'm going to move it around.
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: All right.
    MR. AMATEAU: So you're looking for the required
volume that needs to be managed on-site?
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: That's correct.
    MR. AMATEAU: So the required volume is 44,491
cubic feet.
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: And you had also mentioned that
you had calculated the proposed impervious surface that would
result if the (inaudible) and the, at this point the concept
plan came to fruition.
    MR. AMATEAU: Yes.
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: Was that that's what was that
total impervious surface?
MR. AMATEAU: }6.81\mathrm{ acres.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: That's correct. cubic feet.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: And you had also mentioned that you had calculated the proposed impervious surface that would
result if the (inaudible) and the, at this point the concept plan came to fruition.
MR. AMATEAU: Yes.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Was that that's what was that total impervious surface?
MR. AMATEAU: 6.81 acres.
```

MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, very much. Ms.
Girard, any questions based upon mine or Mr. Shaffer's or Mr.
Burdin's?
MS. GIRARD: Just quickly, yes. Mr. Amateau,
you've described that you rely on County regulations that are
put out with certain calculations that you need to adhere to.
Would you consider using the county and state prescribed
standards as a best practice?
MR. AMATEAU: Yes, I do.
MS. GIRARD: And in your experience, would be
typical for a civil engineer to go above and beyond that and
make their own independent calculations as to future
conditions?
MR. AMATEAU: (Inaudible) I can't imagine a
situation where someone would do that.
MS. GIRARD: That's all I have.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, Ms. Girard. I do see
two hands raised. We do need to be careful of OZAH's rules
here regarding questions from the public when they are
represented by counsel. So there is a long list of cases
dealing with when counsel is represented in association and
then having other individuals from that association question
witnesses. So I will ask Ms. Weitz and then I will ask Mr.
Ribeiro right now in that order if you have questions of Mr .
Amateau, but again being careful that Mr. Shaffer is

Girard, any questions based upon mine or Mr. Shaffer's or Mr.
Burdin's?
MS. GIRARD: Just quickly, yes. Mr. Amateau, you've described that you rely on County regulations that are put out with certain calculations that you need to adhere to.
Would you consider using the county and state prescribed
standards as a best practice?
MR. AMATEAU: Yes, I do.
MS. GIRARD: And in your experience, would be
typical for a civil engineer to go above and beyond that and
make their own independent calculations as to future
conditions?
4 MR. AMATEAU: (Inaudible) I can't imagine a
situation where someone would do that.
MS. GIRARD: That's all I have.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, Ms. Girard. I do see two hands raised. We do need to be careful of OZAH's rules
19 here regarding questions from the public when they are
20 represented by counsel. So there is a long list of cases
21 dealing with when counsel is represented in association and
22 then having other individuals from that association question
23 witnesses. So I will ask Ms. Weitz and then I wil ask Mr.
24 Ribeiro right now in that order if you have questions of Mr.
25 Amateau, but again being careful that Mr. Shaffer is
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representing the Association. So we need to make sure that if the folks are asking questions from the community they are not representing the Association because I would not be allowed. So with that caveat, with that caution, Ms. Weitz, did you have any questions for Mr. Amateau?

MS. WEITZ: Yes, I did. But first I wanted to clarify that I am not living within the Garrett Park Estates Association. I am in the Strathmore Place Association and that is a separate entity.

MR. BAUMGARDNER: Got it. Understood. MS. WEITZ: Okay. So Mr. Amateau, forgive me, because I feel I am not at all an expert in this. But I would like a little more clarity about the possibility of an entrance for the Academy of the Holy Cross using Tuckerman. And as I understand it, there is already a parking lot and a roadway that is on the Holy Cross property directly across from Tuckerman Lane. And the roadway and Tuckerman roadbed are at approximately the same elevation. And the ravine between the two is approximately 20 to 30 feet lower elevation and might possibly not require any disturbance except for the installation of a single span bridge that would only be slightly longer than the existing Stony Brook community Bridge on Cloister Drive. So I'm just wondering if -- and again, this is as a nonexpert in this. So I'm asking you as the expert. It would seem to me that a
separate entrance for the Academy of the Holy Cross on Tuckerman would benefit not only the Academy, because Tuckerman is a four-lane road that has very low traffic volume which I know personally since I walk within the larger neighborhood frequently. But it would also significantly benefit, I would think, the new community, but the residents of the new homes, as well as the Brandywine facility. And could you talk to the possibility, whether you considered using those existing parking lot and structures there?

MR. AMATEAU: I did not. My study was the connection solely on our project property. It wasn't a study we prepared to go across someone else's property and make that connection. So I don't have an opinion one way or the other if it would work were not work.

MS. WEITZ: So who would be able to determine 16 that? Or could that possibly be included? Because it just appeared to me as a concerned citizen that there might be a huge benefit to all parties with that separate entrance.

MR. BAUMGARDNER: So Ms. Weitz, I do understand your question. But for the purposes of this application we
21 have plans in front of us. And those plans do not include
22 that option. So we really can't ask the civil engineer about
23 plans that have not been requested through the applicant and
24 we can't inquire from a neighboring property owner if there 25 might be another option, if that makes sense.

| 89 | 91 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MS. WEITZ: Even though that neighboring property | 1 record as I believe the witness testified, that they be made |
| 2 owner is the property owner who is selling the current | 2 a part of the record? |
| 3 property? | 3 MR. BAUMGARDNER: So my understanding is that the |
| MR. BAUMGARDNER: It's not | 4 individual calculations were a part of the analysis that |
| MS. WEITZ: Because they are an interest in party. | 5 resulted in the plans that were submitted. Ms. Girard, do |
| 6 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Sure, but it's not under the | 6 you have any objection to making those calculations a |
| 7 subject application. And we -- | 7 separate exhibit to be submitted after the hearing? |
| 8 MS. WEITZ: With that be a question I could ask of | 8 MS. GIRARD: No. I mean, I think they are part of |
| 9 the Academy of the Holy Cross when they testify? | 9 the record by virtue of Mr. Amateau just putting them on the |
| 10 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Not today. You can certainly | 10 record. So that's |
| 11 ask that independent of this hearing. | 11 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Sure |
| 12 MS. WEITZ: Thank you. | 12 MS. GIRARD: I mean, we can certainly provide |
| 13 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Sure. Mr. Ribeiro, again with | 13 those numbers in a separate document if that is what is |
| 14 the qualification that I believe your association is | 14 desir |
| 15 represented by counsel, did you have a separate question? | 15 MR. BAUMGARDNER: That's what's being requested. |
| 16 MR. RIBEIRO: So yes, you're right. I am | 16 I think that's reasonable. I also think it's reasonable that |
| 17 represented. So I apologize for that. My question was | 17 many Excel spreadsheets don't come into evidence because many |
| 18 actually going to be -- I think he's arty answered it. He | 18 folks do not know how to read an Excel spreadsheet. So it's |
| 19 had talked in a statement about looking at the connection to | 19 certainly common that those calculations are done internally |
| 20 Cloister Drive. But I was going to ask my question and said | 20 and then are reproduced in a more readable format. But we |
| 21 he was sort of getting to that as with a connection from the | 21 can certainly have those calculations broken down in a very |
| 22 Academy of Holy Cross to Tuckerman Lane. But if you're | 22 simple, yet brief form to be submitted after the hearing as |
| 23 saying that this is something that cannot be asked right | 23 an additional exhibit. Is that sufficient for you, Mr. |
| 24 now -- I mean, I appreciate that Mr. Amateau has been to the | 24 Shaffer? |
| 25 property several times. I'm not sure if that includes the | 25 MR. SHAFFER: Yes sir, I believe so. I mean, as |
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| 1 Academy's property and if he has seen the -- and he said he | 1 required under the best evidence rule when you give oral |
| 2 reviewed the area around the Cloister Drive connection. So | 2 testimony about the contents of a document that's not |
| 3 in his professional opinion if there is a possibility to make | 3 (inaudible). |
| 4 a similar sort of connection from the parking lot on the | 4 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Sure. Okay. We can do that. I |
| 5 south side of the Academy to Tuckerman Lane, that was going | 5 do see Mr. Edwards virtual hand rates. With the caveat and |
| 6 to be my question. | 6 qualification that I believe that Mr. Edwards is a member of |
| 7 MR. BAUMGARDNER: I understand. I certainly | 7 the Association that is represented by Mr. Shaffer. |
| 8 understand the question. I don't think it's appropriate for | 8 MR. EDWARDS: Yes. Yes. |
| 9 this hearing. But again, that is certainly something that | 9 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Was there -- did you have a |
| 10 can be brought to the applicant's attention that they can | 10 separate question, sir? |
| 11 consider at a later time. But unfortunately, we are | 11 MR. EDWARDS: I just wanted to clarify something. |
| 12 constrained within the parameters of this application. | 12 So I'mactually the only officer present representing the |
| 13 Having done this for many years, there are hundreds of | 13 Garrett Park Estates (inaudible) Citizens' Association which |
| 14 different possibilities for which things can be changed here | 14 Mr . Shaffer represents. So Arthur Ribeiro, he was an ad hoc |
| 15 and there. Unfortunately, for the purposes of this | 15 member of the committee who we've asked to testify. But he |
| 16 application we are simply constrained within the four walls | 16 is not an officer of the committee. So he is not |
| 17 of the documents that we have here. It certainly doesn't | 17 technically, I don't believe, represented by Mr. Shaffer. |
| 18 forestall the community's involvement reach back out to the | 18 Neither is Cynthia or Ms. Lide, Vanessa. So they are all |
| 19 applicant if they have any interest at all and modifying | 19 just sort of members of the community who are here. They are |
| 20 something. So unfortunately we will have to -- we will have | 20 maybe aligned with positions of our Citizens' Association, |
| 21 to leave that there. Again -- | 21 maybe. But I think technically I'm the only person |
| 22 MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Baumgardner, a point of order. | 22 represented by Mr. Shaffer. Correct me if I'm wrong, but |
| 23 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Yes, sir | 23 just wanted to clarify. |
| 24 MR. SHAFFER: (Inaudible). Can I request th | 24 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Understood. Understood. And |
| 25 since these underlying calculations were not submitted to the | 25 for the benefit of the court reporter, just looking on the |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 screen, this is Mr. Kip Edwards. Because the court reporter | 1 for Mr. Amateau. |
| 2 is going to get mad at me because I have not identified you. | 2 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Okay. The time is exactly noon. |
| 3 MR. EDWARDS: My apologies. | 3 It actually just clicked over to 12:01. So we are going to |
| 4 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Will you be testifying later, | 4 take our hour lunch break right now. We will reconvene at |
| 5 sir? Or will you be -- will you be testifying later? | $5 \quad 1: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$ and then we will continue the direct exam of Ms. |
| 6 MR. EDWARDS: Yes, I will be called by Mr. Shaffer | 6 Girard, of Ms. Girard's witnesses from the applicant. Any |
| 7 to speak. | 7 questions, issues, or anything like that before we break and |
| 8 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Got it. Then we will get your | 8 reconvene for 1:00 p.m? All right. Thank you all very |
| 9 information later. I just wanted to make sure that that was | 9 much. We will see you in about an hour. |
| 10 clear for the court reporter | 10 (Off the record at 12:01:33 p.m) |
| 11 MS. GIRARD: Can we request clarification on that? | 11 (Back on the record at 1:01:58 p.m) |
| 12 Because I've never -- I mean, is Mr. Edwards saying that Mr. | 12 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Mr. Costello, are you there? |
| 13 Shaffer and Mr. Edwards are only speaking on behalf of the | 13 COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm here. |
| 14 Board of Directors of the Association (inaudible)? | 14 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Great. So we are -- we're going |
| 15 MR. BAUMGARDNER: And this is what gets very -- | 15 to reconvene this case. This is LMA 143, the rezoning of a |
| 16 and this is what gets very murky about when you have an | 16 property located at $4910(02: 18: 50) 4920$ Strathmore Avenue. |
| 17 association represented by counsel in terms of how a hearing | 17 We have completed a number of witnesses. We are going to |
| 18 is conducted. IfI hear Mr. Edwards correctly, and if I | 18 continue this case here today. It is approximately 1:02 p.m |
| 19 understand how we are proceeding today, Mr. Shaffer is | 19 on January 31. We had left off with Ms. Girard's last |
| 20 represented the Association. And I don't know how that | 20 witness. So we are going to move forward with the applicant' |
| 21 Association is organized. They are all organized | 21 is next witness. You're welcome to proceed whenever you |
| 22 differently. But so that if there are individual members in | $22 \text { wish. }$ |
| 23 the community that wish to testify on their own, they can | 23 MS. GIRARD: Great. Thank you. What next like to |
| 24 certainly do so like any hearing. But if there's going to be | 24 call Josh Sloan. |
| 25 testimony froma director or some other official on behalf of | 25 MR. SLOAN: Good afternoon. |
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| 1 the Association, those questions and that testimony would | 1 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Good afternoon, Mr. Sloan. |
| 2 have to come through counsel and then through that witness | 2 Please give us your full name, your business address, and a |
| 3 vis-à-vis the Association. Does that make sense Ms. Girard? | 3 good business email address, please. |
| 4 MS. GIRARD: It does. I just -- I think your | 4 MR. SLOAN: Joshua Sloan with Vika Maryland at |
| 5 point is well taken from earlier that if Mr. Ribeiro is | 520251 Century Boulevard, Suite 400, Germantown, Maryland, |
| 6 actually a witness that's going to be called by the | 6 20874. Email is Sloan@Vika.com |
| 7 Association, it seems a little strange that he is also being | 7 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Thank you. And can you please |
| 8 allowed to act independently. I don't want to make a big | 8 raise your right hand? |
| 9 stink about it. I'mjust saying it's -- I want to be clear | $9 \quad$ (Oath Administered) |
| 10 as to when Mr. Edwards and Mr. Shaffer speak, who they are | 10 MR. BAUMGARDNER: All right. Ms. Girard, the |
| 11 speaking on behalf of. | 11 witness is yours. |
| 12 MR. BAUMGARDNER: It does get quite murky. The | 12 MS. GIRARD: Thank you. Mr. Sloan, what your |
| 13 reason I allow generally, limited questions from someone like | 13 occupation? |
| 14 Mr . Ribeiro in this particular instance is that it can be on | 14 MR. SLOAN: I'ma landscape architect and planner |
| 15 behalf of personal, self, who happens to live in the | 15 since the last 25 years or so. |
| 16 community who may or may not be a member of an association. | 16 MS. GIRARD: And what your professional and |
| 17 So that's why it's a little bit flexible. But we are not | 17 educational background? |
| 18 going to go down the road of having each individual member | 18 MR. SLOAN: I have a BA in biology and a Masters |
| 19 that's a member of the Association ask questions in addition | 19 of landscape architecture. I've spent about 18 years in |
| 20 to Mr. Shaffer, because then the hearing itself just becomes | 20 private practice in design, construction, and management of |
| 21 unwieldy at that point. That's the clarity on that | 21 landscape architecture and planning projects. And I have |
| 22 particular issue. All right. Any redirect Ms. Girard from | 22 about seven years of public practice experience in develop |
| 23 the testimony fromMr. Amateau? | 23 and review master planning and zoning. |
| 24 MS. GIRARD: No. And I will (inaudible) we will | 24 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Ms. Girard, I'm sorry. I |
| 25 submit the calculations it separately. And that's all I have | 25 neglected to check with Mr. Shaffer to see if he was back |
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with us. Mr. Shaffer, are you there?
    MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I am Are you not seeing me?
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: No, not on my screen, but that's
just because of the way that my screen was. I just wanted to
make sure that -- oh, there you are.
    MR. SHAFFER: Thank you.
    MR. BAUMGARDNER: Yeah. My apologies. Mr. R, you
may continue.
    MS. GIRARD: No problem. I was just going to note
that Mr. Sloan's resume is included in the record at Exhibit
49B. And Mr. Sloan, have you testified before the hearing
examiner before?
    MR. SLOAN: Yes, several times.
    MS. GIRARD: Given his previous admission as an
expert and his resume and his background which you just
reviewed, I would like to move that Josh Sloan be accepted as
an expert in LAN planning and landscape architecture.
    MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Shaffer or Mr. Burdin, any
further voir dire of this expert witness or any objection to
him being admitted in the field of land planning?
    MR. BURDIN: No.
    MR. SHAFFER: Can I just ask what that entails?
Landscape planning? A little more detail about his expertise
and familiarity with the site. And to be frank, it has to do
with the cross bath, whether that falls under his purview or
with us. Mr. Shaffer, are you there?
MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I am. Are you not seeing me?
MR. BAUMGARDNER: No, not on my screen, but that's
just because of the way that my screen was. I just wanted to
make sure that -- oh, there you are.
MR. SHAFFER: Thank you.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Yeah. My apologies. Mr. R, you may continue.
MS. GIRARD: No problem. I was just going to note that Mr. Sloan's resume is included in the record at Exhibit
```
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MR. SLOAN: Yes, several times.
MS. GIRARD: Given his previous admission as an expert and his resume and his background which you just reviewed, I would like to move that Josh Sloan be accepted as an expert in LAN planning and landscape architecture.
MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Shaffer or Mr. Burdin, any
further voir dire of this expert witness or any objection to
him being admitted in the field of land planning?
MR. BURDIN: No.
MR. SHAFFER: Can I just ask what that entails?
Landscape planning? A little more detail about his expertise
and familiarity with the site. And to be frank, it has to do
with the cross bath, whether that falls under his purview or
```

    MR. BAUMGARDNER: Sure. Mr. Sloan, if you can
    expand a little bit in terms of the land planning aspect in
the greater development world, what's included in land
planning and the landscape architecture aspect of the
particular plan.
MR. SLOAN: Okay. I will give it a shot. So land
planning is a pretty broad topic. I'm certified by the
American Institute of certified planners, which is a national
10 organization that ensures those of us in the field are
practicing with of the public interest in mind under a code
of ethics. And that covers everything from land-use planning
and zoning, which is primarily the topic of this case, to
specialties that some folks have an transportation planning,
environmental planning, urban design. My particular focus
being trained as a landscape architect originally is really
on the urban design and environmental aspects of site design.
So that's circulation patterns, block and road layout down to
the specifics of site design elements like sidewalks and
street trees and (inaudible) and recreation facilities. So
pretty broad. I should build a take -- be able to answer
questions that you have on these matters.
MR. BAUMGARDNER: Any further questions about that
particular area of expertise?
MR. SHAFFER: I don't, thank you.

## someone else. <br> someone else.

MR. BAUMGARDNER: Sure. Mr. Sloan, if you can
expand a little bit in terms of the land planning aspect in
the greater development world, what's included in land
planning and the landscape architecture aspect of the particular plan.

MR. SLOAN: Okay. I will give it a shot. So land
planning is a pretty broad topic. I'm certified by the
American Institute of certified planners, which is a national
10 organization that ensures those of us in the field are
11 practicing with of the public interest in mind under a code
12 of ethics. And that covers everything from land-use planning
13 and zoning, which is primarily the topic of this case, to
14 specialties that some folks have an transportation planning,
15 environmental planning, urban design. My particular focus
16 being trained as a landscape architect originally is really
17 on the urban design and environmental aspects of site design.
18 So that's circulation patterns, block and road layout down to
19 the specifics of site design elements like sidewalks and
20 street trees and (inaudible) and recreation facilities. So
21 pretty broad. I should build a take -- be able to answer
22 questions that you have on these matters.
23 MR. BAUMGARDNER: Any further questions about that
24 particular area of expertise?
25 MR. SHAFFER: I don't, thank you.
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MR. BAUMGARDNER: Okay. Mr. Sloan has been admitted by OZAH and other agencies as an expert before. I have reviewed his resume as Exhibit 49B. He is hereby admitted as an expert in land planning and landscape architecture for the purposes of this hearing.

MS. GIRARD: Great. Thank you. Mr. Sloan, are you familiar with the property that is the subject of local map amendment LMA 143 in the area surrounding the property?

MR. SLOAN: Yes, I worked on this project over the past year plus with of the applicant and the design team
I've been on site several times including meetings with the
Maryland Department of Environment to establish boundaries of
wetlands and streams and also did a significant amount of fieldwork with my colleagues on the NRIFSD and also have visited the site, taken Metro there to walk the walk and see what it was like, and also around the neighborhood.

MS. GIRARD: Are you familiar with the approved and adopted North Bethesda Garrett Park master plan and its recommendations with respect to the subject property and the surrounding area?

MR. SLOAN: Yes, I have read the master plan and focused on this particular area and recommendations in particular. It's a 1992 plan. I caught up on that.

MS. GIRARD: And are you familiar with the requirements of the zoning ordinance with respect to local map amendments?

MR. SLOAN: Yes, I have analyzed the standards and requirements of the rezoning under a local map amendment.

MS. GIRARD: Can you review for us the findings and conclusions of your land planning analysis?

MR. SLOAN: Sure. So I will try not to repeat too much of what has been said. But want to offer some amount of detail to this. At first I would like to bring up Exhibit 7, which is the identification plat.

MR. BAUMGARDNER: Sure, one second.
MR. SLOAN: Thank you. So on the screen now as Exhibit 7. This is the identification plat that was created by the survey department at Vika and signed by a professional 4 land surveyor. This shows parcel A , which is the property 15 owned by the Sisters of the Holy Cross. In two pieces are
16 parts of parcel B, which is owned by the Academy of the Holy
17 Cross. The entirety of parcel A and part of parcel B on the
18 northwest corner of the property are subject to this
19 rezoning. Those total approximately 15.3 acres. The
20 remaining parcel B that will be retained by the Academy of
21 the Holy Cross, that is approximately -- I think it's 23.2
22 acres. Oh, it's as it right on there. I didn't look at my
23 notes. So that is not subject to the rezoning, but will be
24 subdivided off at a later date with a preliminary plan.
25 These two parcels, parcel A and parcel B are currently

| 1 | bisected by a private drive that serves the Academy of the |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | holy cross. And that will be relocated. So those are the |
| 3 | subject areas of the application. And if we could bring up |
| 4 | Exhibit 19, I would like to walk through a little bit more on |
| 5 | the existing conditions and environmental aspects of the |
| 6 | property. |
| 7 | MR. BAUMGARDNER: One second while it loads. |
| 8 | MR. SLOAN: Yeah, there's quite a lot of |
| 9 | information on this. So this exhibit that's up now is |
| 10 | Exhibit 19, the approved NRIFSD. This was completed by Vika, |
| 11 | sent to MNCPPC and they have approved it. The NRIFSD, |
| 12 | natural resources inventory and force stand delineation, this |
| 13 | covers the entirety of the property, but the subject of this |
| 14 | application for rezoning. And a piece of property that is |
| 15 | not subject to the rezoning will be later re-subdivided. If |
| 16 | we could go to sheet 2, which I believe is the -- nope, |
| 17 | that's all of our geotechnical analysis and floodplain study |
| 18 | approvals. I don't know if we could actually go to sheet 3. |
| 19 | Sorry. I'mjust going to walk in a little more detail around |
| 20 | the subject site. Starting in the Northwest, which is the |
| 21 | top left of the drawing, there is a culvert that a stream |
| 22 | runs through under Strathmore Avenue. It enters the site and |
| 23 | the northwest corner and travels along the western boundary |
| 24 | of the property all the way down to a culvert the goes under |
| 25 | Cloister, a private right-of-way that serves townhouses to |
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our south. That tributary of Rock Creek is subject to a (inaudible) floodplain as well as a Montgomery County floodplain for which we submitted and had a study approved. It's also the area where there is most of forested land and steep slopes and stream Valley buffers. So as we go around the top of the site on the northern boundary along Strathmore Avenue, there are two large fields just to the east of the -that tributary. Then the bisecting road. And then the SHA 9 building itself and its parking lot. It is in parcel A.
10 About midway down the -- into the southeast of the Sisters'
11 property is a second tributary which comes from the east and
12 then travels to the west, goes under a culvert, which then is
13 piped to an outfall to the primary tributary on the western
14 side of the property. There are no forests associated with
15 this tributary, but there are some wetlands and an associated
16 stream valley buffer. The remainder of the property is
17 occupied by the fields, the building, the parking lot for the
18 Academy. There is some forest in land along the south east
19 and southern border of that property. So that's the general
20 overview of the site and the environmental characteristics in
21 a little more detail. So I think I can move on to a
22 description of the defined neighborhood and the surrounding
23 properties. And I think the easiest thing to do for this is
24 to look at Exhibit 34 of the land -- which is the land
25 planning report, and figure 2 in that land planning report.
01
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MR. BAUMGARDNER: So this is page 5 of Exhibit 34 .
MR. SLOAN: Thank you. So this exhibit shows an aerial view of the property and the surrounding area. To the north Garrett Park Estates, to the east, Garrett Park. To the south is the Metro, some townhouse developments. To the west is Symphony Park. The property that subject to the rezoning is outlined in red. The neighborhood boundary that we established is surrounded in -- is outlined in blue. And it really encompasses many of the single-family detached homes in Garrett Park Estates north of us, about two blocks to the north, the institutional school uses to our east, of course the school to ourselves, which I understand is causing some confusion because it is not actually subject to this application because it's going to be rezoned. And then Symphony Park and the Strathmore Hall to our west. This establishes those areas that are most impacted for testing our compatibility standards. There were some questions brought up when we were at park and planning hearing about the townhouses further to the northwest. And those were not included because they are not directly adjacent. And when we are looking at neighborhood compatibility for issues, that's a separate issue from whether there are any impacts to -- for traffic, schools, or other things. Those have separate tests that are not part of this neighborhood definitions running property tests that we are looking at. So when we looked at
compatibility we are really looking at, does the residential care faciility, the detached homes, and the townhouses, are they compatible with the uses and the general character of the neighborhood directly surrounding us. And I found that we certainly are similar uses and similar size and character to the buildings that are around us. So looking a little bit more at the that's a little more closely at the proposed redevelopment, if we can look at Exhibit 40, that's the floating zone plan, sheet 2 that we were looking at earlier.

MR. BAUMGARDNER: It should be coming up momentarily. So this is Exhibit 40, page 2.

MR. SLOAN: Excellent, thank you. So this exhibit shows the conceptual layout of the building footprints, the street and sidewalk that works, and the -- not many of the details yet, which we will be getting to when we hope to get 6 the site plan design. The residential care building is
proposed on the Sisters' property. It would replace the 8 existing retirement building that is in the northeast corner. 19 A central road is just to the west of that building rumning 20 from Strathmore Avenue in the connecting to the existing 21 driveway at the Acadeny midway down the combined properties. 22 To the west of that central road there are detached homes 23 basing Strathmore Avenue that would be served by an alley in 24 the rear of those homes. That alley then serves internal
25 townhouses. They are front and reloaded townhouses that are
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| 105 | 107 |
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| 1 entirely within the site, not abutting any of the adjacent | 1 the pedestrian circulation system where there are shared use |
| 2 streets were properties. And there is a secondary road that | 2 paths, where there are the proposed natural surface trail, so |
| 3 is along Stillwater, or across fromStillwater Avenue at the | 3 people can see how the circulation network will work for |
| 4 intersection with Strathmore Avenue. This comes down to the | 4 various modes of transportation. So along Strathmore Avenue, |
| 5 site heading south and then cursed to our Central Street. | 5 we are proposing a lawn panel and a shared use path that |
| 6 And then there are other streets and blocks that define that, | 6 would allow for bikers and pedestrians to use Strathmore |
| 7 the internal areas of the site. To the -- or to the east of | 7 Avenue. That's an improvement over the existing sidewalk |
| 8 the residential care building, we have a small service area | 8 that's there today. |
| 9 about where one of the existing driveway access point is for | $9 \quad$ You can see the turning movements at the center |
| 10 the retirement care, retirement building that is on site now. | 10 showing the single lane coming into the site at our primary |
| 11 This will provide about nine parking spaces and access to | 11 access point, Street A, and then we have two lanes exiting |
| 12 service and loading, but no access to a garage. So that wil | 12 the site, one that will allow for left turns and one will |
| 13 only be used for service. | 13 allow for right turns, and as I noted on Strathmore Avenue |
| 14 Of a small service area about where one of the | 14 itself now a three-lane road from the east allowing traffic |
| 15 existing driveway access points is for the retirement | 15 to turn into the site and not block traffic that wants to |
| 16 building that's on site now. This will provide about nine | 16 continue on towards 355 along Strathmore Avenue. |
| 17 parking spaces and access to service and loading but no | 17 And then, the intersection at Stillwater with what |
| 18 access to a garage so that will only be used for service. | 18 we call Street C which is our secondary road on the west side |
| 19 The primary drop off area for the residential care | 19 of the site, that is three way movements at all |
| 20 building is on their western facing side and then their | 20 intersections. So left, right, and straight are allowed at |
| 21 access to the garage is on the south facing side. The | 21 each travel lane. |
| 22 question was brought up earlier about how the bus would pick | 22 So this is the revised plan. It was not the |
| 23 up, drop off and how it would get to the garage. All of that | 23 original plan that we brought to park and planning. |
| 24 is internal so when the garage or when the bus drops people 25 off after an event or an outing they would head south | 24 Originally we did have one primary access point for vehicular 25 traffic and given the amount of use and the types of use that |
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| 1 internal to the site into the garage. | 1 was happening over the day with the school, the residential |
| 2 And we've been designing the building and the | 2 care facility and the residences we worked with park and |
| 3 garage -- great elevation so that we can accommodate that. | 3 planning technical staff to add this second entrance point. |
| 4 We've still got work to do on it, but we've got another phase | 4 It became a secondary entrance point aligned with Stillwater |
| 5 of design to go before we get through entitlement approvals. | 5 to improve the safety conditions so that we were directly |
| 6 So this primary road, we call Street A that runs | 6 aligned and you have a good line of site across the |
| 7 north, south through the center of the site, this is where a | 7 intersection. |
| 8 proposed traffic light would go. This -- there are some | 8 The current access point to the Academy is offset |
| 9 improvements that are just being conceptionally designed | 9 a little bit and not as safe as it could be. We did not make |
| 10 right now to change this intersection from a two-lane road to | 10 this our primary access point for several reasons. One, |
| 11 actually a three-lane road so we would have a dedicated left | 11 because the Academy does require some dedicated queuing area |
| 12 turn. It requires a little bit of widening of a curb and | 12 and so we allowed for that queuing space on Street A as well |
| 13 then some improvements to the bus stop to our north. So | 13 as the parking that would not block any driveways that would |
| 14 that's that primary intersection. | 14 be -- that we would need if we were on our secondary road in |
| 15 I think, if we look for some more details at | 15 front of some of the townhouses. |
| 16 Exhibit 37 of the circulation plan there are a couple of | 16 Another reason is that making that area a primary |
| 17 questions and things that I think we can cover with that. I | 17 intersection point we would actually increase the width of |
| 18 don't think we've looked at this one yet. | 18 our right-of-way and that would push us into a protected |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: So we don't have 30 | 19 environmental buffers and the flood plain and our overall |
| 20 up on the website. I can bring it up if you need it. | 20 goal with our footprint and our roads was to consolidate them |
| 21 Mr. SLOAN: Do we have 37, the revised? | 21 on as little space as possible and protect those |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Yes. | 22 environmental buffers and minimize, or actually remove, any |
| 23 Mr. SLOAN: So I think these were -- yes. | 23 impacts to the flood plain because we know there are concerns |
| 24 Excellent. So Exhibit 37 is the circulation plan and this is | 24 about upstream flooding and we did not want to reduce any |
| 25 a diagrammatic plan that shows vehicular movements, lays out | 25 capacity of the flood plain system that's on this property. |
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| intersection out to that bus stop. Meeting recreational needs of the public, we're going to be providing on-site space for that recreation, but we also have significant park facilities within walking distance. <br> And then, reducing environmental impacts. This is a site without any stormwater management. There are fields and things, but cut and mowed lawn is not the same as providing the kind of forest and habitat that we're proposing as well as the quality managing of the storm water that we're 0 proposing for roads that right now discharge directly into the stream. <br> So technical staff went through the same analysis. <br> We agree with them on those general recommendations. But 14 also the replacement of the retirement community with a 15 residential care facility is basically a one-to-one change 6 in -- or not even change in use but very similar in character. And then, the new residential uses that are 8 proposed near transportation, transit, infrastructure and 9 improving the environmental conditions is a balance that they 0 found was appropriate and we agree with. <br> It's been touched on before about compatibility of these uses and I think the master plan analysis kind of <br> 23 speaks to that as well. These are similar uses, residential <br> 24 uses next to residential uses, building types that are <br> 25 proposed that are similar or nearly identical to what our | is termed in the zoning ordinance, public open space and that is specifically required for the residential care facility type of use. Then, there is a blue hatching that's on several different areas that is showing our proposed common open space which is the type of open space that is required for the townhouse uses. <br> Our public open space is a network area of sort of a little park seating area at our primary entrance near the Strathmore Avenue Street A intersection just to the northwest of this residential care facility. And then, we have two areas that are under design right now that are south of the residential care facility. We're looking at these as a kind of a terraced garden space and then an intergenerational kind of play, flexible open space that will accommodate all three different uses. <br> And we set these up at the hub between the three different types of uses so that they would be accessible and visible to all, and we can start designing them with programming that will benefit everybody. So it's a little <br> 20 bit different than we typically establish our open spaces, <br> 21 but we thought that this was an interesting way to do it and <br> 22 meet the needs of various uses and protect the more important <br> 23 areas of open space, which are the environmental conservation 24 areas. <br> 25 The common open space, this is a little more |
| next door or across fromus. And the heights and massing of those is also very similar. <br> We have not done -- we do a preliminary plan and <br> at that preliminary plan our next phase, there is a 2020 to <br> 2024 growth and infrastructure policy under which we will be doing our schools test. And so that's not done at this time, but we feel we have a way forward on that piece. There are other public facilities. We of course, have the schools nearby, and as staff noted fire and rescue, police, parks and recreation, those are all nearby facilities with adequate capacity, and we agree with them on those topics. <br> So that's a broad overview of a lot of stuff for the application. <br> MS. GIRARD: Thanks, Josh. And you touched on this a little bit, but with regard to the open space, members of the community have questioned the sufficiency of the proposed spaces to serve the development, as well as the lack of design. Can you address those concerns? <br> MR. SLOAN: Yes. I guess we could bring up -- I didn't think about that. We could bring up the Exhibit 41, the revised open space plan. So this is a unique site. And 22 coming up on the screen there is Exhibit 41 and that's the 23 open space exhibit. This shows the building footprints, the 24 road and sidewalk layout, with two distinct areas or types of 25 hatching. There is a red hatching that shows what we -- what | diversified. There are a couple of internal spaces where the townhouses form little park areas that will be primarily lawn seating passive areas where people can play with young children and picnic and throw a frisbee, those kind of things. But our main element for that recreation open space is going to be focused on this natural surface trail that runs within our category 2 easement and to our -- to the west and then the south of the townhomes. <br> This is really going to focus people and get them down and engaged with the natural conservation areas where we are going to be planting different types of habitat species and provide screening to the school. And also maintain no impervious area really, so that we can keep the environmental quality there. So it's very unique in those aspects. It's focused on the environmental open spaces. <br> The design is where it is at this point, is conceptual in nature. We're working out these ideas. Staff has given us good input on the direction, and when we have a site plan design we're going to have the details much more fleshed out for -- at a schematic level so people can give us their feedback on what we're proposing in more detail at that time. <br> MS. GIRARD: One other question on, not really necessarily open space, but there has been a number of 25 comments in the community about the median that separates |

1 this property from the north side of Strathmore Avenue. It's
2 a green strip within the right-of-way. And did you look at
3 what impacts the project may have on that green space?
4
5 now our impacts are limited to the curb and the bus stop area
6
nor improvements to the road that we think we're going to
7
need. That's a conceptual design that is going to need to be
8
fleshed out in much more detail when we sit down with DOT/SHA
9
10 and review agency at preliminary plan phase. We will also
11 connect to in Strathmore Avenue right-of-way and that may
12 cross the median.
13
14 hydro planning analysis that I think Mr. Amateau mentioned
15 with WSSC to locate that or construct it in a manner that
16 will minimize impacts and then any impacts will require a
17 right-of-way plan and a tree and lighting plan for that which
18 would then require mitigation for any tree removals, so that
19 we can reestablish the canopy and the buffer that I
20 understand the community is concerned about.
21
22 on your testimony and your studies, your land planning report
23 included in the record, will the proposed rezoning comply
24 with the intent, standards and requirements of the CRN
25 floating zone?

## MR. SLOAN: Yes. The intents of the master plan,

 the functional plans for the floating zone, they are really to ensure that uses and density are in balance with supporting existing infrastructure. We have water, sewer. We have transportation network, multi-modal. Of course, we'll touch on more detail, the vehicular aspects of that. But we also have paths and -- shared use paths and sidewalks.The CRN floating zone also has the intent to provide flexible standards to integrate development into the land use patterns, the circulation network and the natural resource of the site. And we specifically took advantage of those flexible standards to create a small footprint for our developments for these units to take advantage of our natural resources and actually be able to enhance them with protective easements and engage the users of the property with those natural resources, and then tie into the circulation network with appropriate intersections and circulation by sidewalk and path designs.

We also meet the use density open space and other requirements of the CRN floating zone.
21 MS. GIRARD: Thank you. And in your opinion, does the floating zone plan conform to the recommendations of the
North Bethesda Garret Park Master Plan and other applicable county plans?

MR. SLOAN: Yes, it meets the recommendations of
the plan, the general recommendations that I outlined earlier. It also meets the recommendations of a much more recent plan, the set up plans, the bicycle plan for the county and the master plan of highways and transitways, specifically by our -- with regard to our upgrades to the right of way in Strathmore Avenue.

MS. GIRARD: And in your expert opinion as a land planner, does the LMA further the public interest and satisfy the intent and standards of the proposed zone and other requirements of the zoning ordinance?

MR. SLOAN: Yes. My opinion is that it does serve the public interest in several ways. One, it provides a residential care facility, replacing the vacant building now for our aging population. It provides housing including moderately priced dwelling units at a time when we are facing a housing shortage. It does place density appropriately near transit and other services. And it will significantly improve the environmental conditions of these two tributaries that drain to the Rock Creek by placing conservation easements on them and enhancing the habitat and forest in those areas.

Regarding the zoning standards we still have a lot to detail where we've got a lot to design at our next phase, but we have met the intents and standards and requirements that I went through before, I think, in detail.

MS. GIRARD: And in your expert opinion as a land planner, are the proposed uses suitable for the site and compatible with the existing improved adjacent development?

MR. SLOAN: Yes. For compatibility as I noted we typically look for similarity in uses, in massing and height in the overall pattern of the blocks and street network and we are proposing a very similar and suitably compatible set of uses and land design for the proposal.

MS. GIRARD: Thank you. And finally, in your 10 expert opinion as a land planner, will the proposed development adversely affect the character of the surrounding area?

MR. SLOAN: No. I do not think it will adversely impact the character of the surrounding neighborhood. The uses are similar in nature, around residential, residential care where there was a retirement facility which is also residential use. Building heights and massing are not going to adversely impact the neighborhood. We've got single unit detached units facing single unit detached units, have placed our townhouses within the site even though we're adjacent to townhouses and pretty close to other ones.

And then, the roads, open spaces and block patterns are very similar to those in the area. And so it 4 does not change the character of those neighborhoods in any 5 way.
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| 1 secondary entrance -- | MR. SLOAN: I don't know if there will. |
| 2 MR. SLOAN: Yes. | 2 MR. SHAFFER: I'm sorry. Well, currently are you |
| 3 MR. SHAFFER: That you're putting across from | 3 aware that there is -- to have a cop stationed out there in |
| 4 Stillwater. | 4 the mornings and the evenings just to handle the flow in and |
| 5 MR. SLOAN: Yeah. | 5 out of that school? |
| 6 MR. SHAFFER: Where does that secondary entrance | 6 MR. SLOAN: I am not. That's beyond the purview |
| 7 lead to? | 7 of my analysis. |
| 8 MR. SLOAN: That secondary entrance leads past | 8 MR. SHAFFER: Okay. So you didn't consider |
| 9 detached units on the -- sorry. Front load townhouse units | 9 whether or not some sort of traffic control device, whether |
| 10 on its west, which would be the right as you're traveling | 10 human or mechanical, was needed at the entrance to the |
| 11 south. There is a road, an internal street we call Street B | 11 Academy; is that correct? |
| 12 that turns to the left running to our central spine road. | 12 MS. GIRARD: Can I just object and say, I think |
| 13 And then, this road continues to the south and will curve | 13 this is a better question for the traffic engineer. Josh |
| 14 around and connect to this central street A closer to the | 14 spoke to the entrances in terms of land planning, but he's |
| 15 Academy property. | 15 not qualified to speak to what would trigger the need for a |
| 16 MR. SHAFFER: Okay. So how far from this | 16 signal and all of that. |
| 17 intersection that you're going to create would be the | 17 MR. SHAFFER: Okay. Well, he did speak to the |
| 18 entrance to the school for parents and others to drop off? | 18 traffic so that's why I was going down this line, but I'm |
| 19 MR. SLOAN: So -- | 19 happy to defer that question further to the traffic expert. |
| 20 MR. SHAFFER: How far -- | 20 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Okay. That's fine. |
| 21 MR. SLOAN: -- the secondary road we're calling | 21 MR. SHAFFER: Let me go back to the secondary |
| 22 Street C. | 22 intersection -- the new intersection you're going to create. |
| 23 MR. SHAFFER: Okay. Street C is the entrance to | 23 You mentioned, I believe, that there would be improvements |
| 24 the Holy Cross School; is that correct? | 24 made to the bus stops on those corners; is that correct? |
| 25 MR. SLOAN: No. The entrance to the Holy Cross | 25 MR. SLOAN: Yes. So what we've done so far is |
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| 1 School is from Street A. I mean, you could make -- you could | 1 with this submission we worked with MCDOT who had some |
| 2 be traveling east on Strathmore Avenue, turn right onto our | 2 initial questions on whether or not a left turn lane would be |
| 3 secondary road, Street C, which is directly across from | 3 able to be accommodated as you're traveling west onto our |
| 4 Stillwater, take that down to the central spine road, make | 4 primary road. We did a sketch design conceptual that's shown |
| 5 another right and you could get to the Academy that way. | 5 on the floating zone plan, which will require a shift in the |
| 6 MR. SHAFFER: Okay. So this is basically an | 6 curb that's where the current bus stop is and in addition, |
| 7 alternative entrance to the Academy; is that correct? | 7 repaving the sidewalk and -- to that bus stop there. So that |
| 8 MR. SLOAN: It is an alternative to the Academy, | 8 is proposed right now. That's the improvement that I was |
| 9 but primarily seen as access for the residential townhouses | 9 speaking of. |
| 10 internal to the site. | 10 MR. SHAFFER: Okay. So we are talking about the |
| 11 MR. SHAFFER: Okay. And then, with respect to the | 11 bus -- the 5W bus stop at the corner of Stillwater and |
| 12 entrance to the Academy. How far eastward from this new | 12 Strathmore, correct? |
| 13 intersection will that be? | 13 MR. SLOAN: No. I'm sorry. We're -- I thought we |
| 14 MR. SLOAN: So the -- I'm doing this frommy own | 14 were talking about the new intersection of Street A with |
| 15 screen. I have - | 15 Strathmore. There are no proposed changes to the curb line |
| 16 MR. SHAFFER: I'm not asking you to the precise | 16 or bus stops or any other element of the street at the |
| 17 foot, just give me an idea. | 17 intersection of Stillwater and Strathmore. |
| 18 MR. SLOAN: Let me make sure that I'm scaling | 18 MR. SHAFFER: So you've not considered whether an |
| 19 correctly. I'mnot. So we're approximately from centerline | 19 APS should be installed there or whether the bus stop should |
| 20 of Street C, which is our secondary entrance across from | 20 be brought up to ADA standards; is that correct? |
| 21 Stillwater to the centerline of Street A , which is the | 21 MR. SLOAN: I have not looked at that. We would |
| 22 primary access point, about 350 feet. | 22 typically do that with our traffic planner and engineer at |
| 23 MR. SHAFFER: Okay. Now, will there be any sort | 23 preliminary plan. |
| 24 of traffic control device at Street C, which is the entrance | 24 MR. SHAFFER: Okay. But that -- just to |
| 25 to the Academy? | 25 summarize, that new intersection will be signalized on three- |
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way turning, right?
    MR. SLOAN: I don't believe Street C has a
proposed signal. The proposed signal is at Street A and
Strathmore.
5 MR. SHAFFER:That's -- Street A --
    MR. SLOAN: Is essential.
    MR. SHAFFER: Let me back up. Is there an
intersection going to be created with a light that goes
between Stillwater and your new street?
    MR. SLOAN: There is no intersection with a light
at Stillwater. I hope I'm understanding your correctly, I'm
sorry if I'm not.
    MR. SHAFFER: Okay. Where is the light then?
    MR. SLOAN: The light is proposed at the
intersection of Street A with Strathmore Avenue. That's our
central spine street which is about 350 feet east of
Stillwater Avenue.
    MR. SHAFFER: And I suppose my next few questions
are more for the traffic engineer so I will relinquish the
floor on that for the moment. I pass MS. WAGNER.
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, Mr.
Shaffer.
    Mr. Burdin, did you have any questions for Mr.
Sloan?
    MR. BURDIN: Yes, I did. Sticking with the
```
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MR. SLOAN: I don't believe Street C has a
traffic light and left turn lane and focusing on the left
turn lane, that would require making Strathmore wider at that
point where the left turn lane is, correct?
two of increased pavement at that point.
    MR. BURDIN: Would you then be losing the
shoulder? How can you add a lane with a foot or two?
    MR. SLOAN: Because there's significant paving out
aerial view or something. There's a large shoulder on the
south side of Strathmore Avenue. Then, there is a
significant amount of paving and then most of the north side
is -- would not be impacted at all. I'm trying to think of
what's the best way to visualize this so we could bring it up
16 and describe it for everyone.
17 MR. BURDIN: I guess, maybe, to get to my point is
18 to ask you whether, whatever widening of Strathmore you need
19 to do to put in a -- your 50 or 150 foot left turn lane would
20 that widening only occur on the site project property?
21 MR. SLOAN: No. It would all occur within the
established right-of-way. And that impacts a little bit to
the north as well as the -- to the south.
    MR. BURDIN: So that the green buffer that was
    discussed before between Strathmore and the Strathmore access
road, some of that would be taken up for this adding this left turn lane; is that right?

MR. SLOAN: No. It does not look like it. And as I noted we're at conceptual design here. We wanted to make sure that it was workable within the existing right or way and the impact to that area by the bus stop is minimal. It does not go into the tree stand or anything there.

MR. BURDIN: I'm talking about the north side.
MR. SLOAN: Correct.
MR. BURDIN: Of Strathmore. That's what you're talking about also?

MR. SLOAN: It is.
MR. BURDIN: So just to be clear, there's going to be no impact to that area or there's going to be a potential that what is now either shoulder or grass is going to replaced with a lane?

MR. SLOAN: I don't know if there's a way to get close in on the floating zone plan because there is a couple of feet of impact on that north side from the existing paving. If we can zoom in --

HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I'm pulling up Exhibit 40 , which is the floating zone plan. I'm zooming as much as I can with the resolution that is available here.

MR. SLOAN: So there is -- our current concept is that the -- we hold the paving on the south side, which is a
large shoulder most of it, right now. That will be the through lane going eastbound. The turn lane will then be almost directly centered on the existing paving that turns left. And then, the through lane westbound will be on the north side of the property -- or the north side of the road and there will be, it looks like, about two to three feet of shift in that paving edge to the north, along the queuing distance for that left lane. And then, also at the bus stop itself and then just to the west of the bus stop we tie back into the existing paving.

MR. BURDIN: Okay. I'm not sure -- people that live on that access road were aware that some of that buffer zone would be lost to this addition of lane. So thank you for clarifying that.

Are you familiar with the potential path from the project's west side, the footpath proposed on the project's
west side across the stream to connect with the Symphony Park path that eventually goes to the Grosvenor Metro?
MR. SLOAN: Yes. I worked on some conceptual ideas for how that might work, early on.
21 MR. SLOAN: Okay. And I think you describe the area where that path would be as either most forested or heavily forested and that there are steep slopes there; is that correct?
25 MR. SLOAN: Not precisely. The west property in
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 133 & 135 \\
\hline 1 and the tributary that runs down there in general terms that & 1 most. My wife and I walk a lot of cities a lot of weekends. \\
\hline 2 is the area where the site is most forested and where there & 2 And it took me about 7 to 8 minutes. We're members of the \\
\hline 3 are some steep slopes and flood plains. Where we & 3 SOs, we go down there a lot and I like to walk around. \\
\hline 4 specifically cross if we were allowed that connection would & 4 MR. BURDIN: That's very rapid. Did you walk to \\
\hline 5 not be an area that is forested. Tree cover (inaudible) two & 5 the Garrett Park MART station? \\
\hline 6 different things. & 6 MR. SLOAN: No, I have not. \\
\hline 7 MR. BURDIN: Okay. Where would it, starting from & 7 MR. BURDIN: Okay. And when you walked to the \\
\hline 8 the north -- from Strathmore going south either on your path, & 8 Metro did you start at Strathmore, somewhere in the center of \\
\hline 9 your proposed path or the Symphony Park path, how far down & 9 the site along Strathmore or did you ever walk from the back \\
\hline 10 toward the music center would, you know, approximately, would & 10 of the site \\
\hline 11 that cross path be proposed? & 11 MR. SLOAN: No I -- we actually walk -- we take \\
\hline 12 MR. SLOAN: That I don't know. I think Mr. Lester & 12 the metro down and then walk from the platform, across the \\
\hline 13 was correct. That is the kind of thing that we walk in the & 13 bridge, and then the trail that's in the back along the \\
\hline 14 field and flag. There are a couple of specimen trees, those & 14 stormwater pond to Strathmore Avenue. \\
\hline 15 large diameter trees that I mentioned earlier, you know, & 15 MR. BURDIN: Okay. You mentioned the path for \\
\hline 16 roughly 50,60 feet south of the road. We would want to & 16 pedestrians and bikes along Strathmore that's being proposed. \\
\hline 17 avoid those. There's an area where the stream is constricted & 17 That would end at the east end of the property, correct? \\
\hline 18 and it's -- the width of its bank and the contours seemmost & 18 MR. SLOAN: The east end of the property. Yes. \\
\hline 19 appropriate for some kind of crossing, maybe another 50 feet & 19 We would tie in where the sidewalk goes across the existing \\
\hline 20 beyond that. & 20 bridge. \\
\hline 21 If we were given permission and we got the right & 21 MR. BURDIN: Okay. But going east it would end at \\
\hline 22 approvals, this would require significant approvals from the & 22 your property? \\
\hline 23 state and probably federal because of Army Corps & 23 MR. SLOAN: Well, right now we're working with our \\
\hline 24 requirements, it might go somewhere in there. That looked & 24 neighbor and the floating zone plan shows this just to the 25 east of our property. We do have a conceptual design of a \\
\hline & \\
\hline 134 & 136 \\
\hline 1 you know, not having permission we took it off the table for & 1 shared use path that would get us to the next bus stop to our \\
\hline 2 now and I guess we'll -- the Applicant will catch up with the & 2 east so there have been a lot of improvements there lately \\
\hline 3 homeowners association and see if it's viable in the future. & 3 and we don't want to disturb recently put in constructed \\
\hline 4 MR. BURDIN: Okay. And it would affect your & 4 drainage facilities, and we don't want to disrupt -- there's \\
\hline 5 forest buffers and conservation easements; is that correct? & 5 play equipment even further down and some fencing. So we're \\
\hline 6 It would have to cross them? & 6 looking at that, but we may be able to tie in our shared use \\
\hline 7 MR. SLOAN: The buffers are established. So the & 7 path a little further to our east and directly at our \\
\hline 8 buffers are set just by rule. What happens in those would be & 8 frontage point. \\
\hline 9 an element that would play into the conservation easement, & 9 MR. BURDIN: And what you're looking at, would \\
\hline 10 and that conservation easement can have terms that allow, for & 10 that continue past the bus stop on Strathmore's south side \\
\hline 11 example, natural surface trails or could allow the crossing. & 11 and hook up with the sidewalk in Garret Park near Kenilworth? \\
\hline 12 So we would want that as part of our forest conservation plan & 12 MR. SLOAN: I don't think we've looked that far \\
\hline 13 if we could do it. & 13 down yet, except very conceptually. It's not something \\
\hline 14 MR. BURDIN: Okay. So would it be fair to say & 14 certainly committed to on the floating zone plan that's in \\
\hline 15 that installing this path and getting all the approvals would & 15 front of us. I think that we only went to the next drive. \\
\hline 16 not be a simple matter? It would be somewhat involved; am I & 16 MR. BURDIN: Okay. And as far as you know, \\
\hline 17 hearing you correctly? & 17 there's nothing in place with the Holy Cross Parish and \\
\hline 18 MR. SLOAN: That is fair to say. & 18 school that would allow you to put in this extension of the \\
\hline 19 MR. BURDIN: Okay. All right. Thank you. & 19 path? \\
\hline 20 I think you mentioned that you've walked from the & 20 MR. SLOAN: There's not, no. \\
\hline 21 project site to Metro, the Grosvenor Metro? & 21 MR. BURDIN: So if that extension doesn't happen \\
\hline 22 MR. SLOAN: Correct. & 22 your path ends at the eastern end of your property, there's \\
\hline 23 MR. BURDIN: Did you note how long it took you to & 23 no crosswalk at that point across Strathmore for pedestrians \\
\hline 24 walk? & 24 or bikes to use to get to the Strathmore access road; is that \\
\hline 25 MR. SLOAN: Yes, but I tend to be faster than & 25 correct? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 137 & 139 \\
\hline 1 MR. SLOAN: That is correct. & 1 about what the traffic study says, that's fine. But Josh is \\
\hline 2 MR. BURDIN: Okay. So unless it's extended it & 2 here to talk about compliance with the master plan. \\
\hline 3 wouldn't be much of a benefit to your residents unless they & 3 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Sustained. \\
\hline 4 wanted to walk up and turn around and walk back; do you & 4 MR. BURDIN: I'm -- the intergenerational area at \\
\hline 5 agree, is that fair to say? & 5 the hub that you discussed, would there be playground \\
\hline 6 MR. SLOAN: No. I think the use would allow & 6 equipment there for children, propose \\
\hline 7 you mean going east? & 7 MR. SLOAN: I don't know. I don't know yet, \\
\hline 8 MR. BURDIN: Going east and assuming that & 8 honestly. We're really looking at trying to be -- come up \\
\hline 9 extension does not occur since there's no crosswalk ther & 9 with some innovative ideas that will engage multiple people \\
\hline 10 across Strathmore, that path, at least going east would be of & 10 of different ages and we have not come down on any specific \\
\hline 11 limited benefit? & 11 solution yet. \\
\hline 12 MR. SLOAN: I think that's fair to say. & 12 MR. BURDIN: And do you know of any research or \\
\hline 13 MR. BURDIN: Okay. Thank you. & 13 data that suggest that high school students would use that -- \\
\hline 14 You mentioned that the master plan promotes or & 14 at Holy Cross, which is essentially a commuter school, would \\
\hline 15 encourages housing near transit; is that correct? & 15 use this area? \\
\hline 16 MR. SLOAN: That is. & 16 MR. SLOAN: No, I have not looked at that. \\
\hline 17 MR. BURDIN: Okay. Are you aware that the LATR & 17 MR. BURDIN: And assuming that there is no \\
\hline 18 traffic study concluded that the use of transit by the & 18 playground equipment, same question. Would parents with \\
\hline 19 project would be minimal? & 19 small children be likely to use that area without playground \\
\hline 20 MR. SLOAN: I think I'm going to let the traffic & 20 equipment? \\
\hline 21 engineer and planner speak to that & 21 MR. SLOAN: Quite often we actually use more what \\
\hline 22 MR. BURDIN: Are you aware that they said that? & 22 we call nature based kind of and resource based activity \\
\hline 23 That the report said that? & 23 areas where we're not specifically providing a swing set or a \\
\hline 24 MR. SLOAN: I don't think that's the -- no. I & 24 jungle gym, but we're providing elements along trails and \\
\hline 25 think they're -- & 25 around open spaces that are -- bring out more imagination and \\
\hline 138 & 140 \\
\hline 1 MR. BURDIN: Are you not aware? & 1 creativity. And in kids we like to make sure that they have \\
\hline 2 MR. SLOAN: I -- no, I'm-- I don't' think that's & 2 the ability to do very many -- a number of different things, \\
\hline 3 the way to characterize it. But I'll let them speak to that. & 3 jumping, balancing, running those kind of things. So when we \\
\hline 4 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Sorry. I will & 4 get into the design of recreation areas that's what we look \\
\hline 5 interrupt and I'll say that the -- whatever the report says & 5 at and we do see a lot of engagement with those more artistic \\
\hline 6 will speak to itself. I don't think we need Mr. Sloan's & 6 and unique kind of environments than the typical playground. \\
\hline 7 opinion of what that report might conclude at this point. & 7 Although playgrounds are also used and we have several close \\
\hline 8 But we can certainly -- & 8 by here. \\
\hline 9 MR. BURDIN: Well -- & 9 Mr. BURDIN: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have. \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: -- ask those & 10 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Okay. Thank you, \\
\hline 11 questions of the traffic expert. & 11 Mr . Burdin. We have several hands that are virtually raised. \\
\hline 12 MR. BURDIN: Okay. But he did opine that one of & 12 We'll start with Ms. Weitz's. Did you have a question, \\
\hline 13 the ways that this proposed project is consistent with the & 13 ma'am? \\
\hline 14 master plan is that the project is near transit. So I was & 14 MS. WEITZ: Yes, I do. I have question, Mr. \\
\hline 15 asking him if he is aware that the traffic plan said that & 15 Sloan, you described and we saw on your map the natural \\
\hline 16 that use would be minimal. & 16 surface trail that's going to be built. And I have a \\
\hline 17 MR. SLOAN: The analysis of the traffic study and & 17 question, would you please define for me what a natural \\
\hline 18 whether or not land uses are appropriate given the multi- & 18 surface trail means? \\
\hline 19 modal availability are two different things. And I can speak & 19 MR. SLOAN: Yes. So these are typically compacted \\
\hline 20 to the latter but not the former. & 20 subgrade, which is just a fancy word for dirt. And then wood \\
\hline 21 MR. BURDIN: Okay. Well, if it's near transit and & 21 chips are maintained over those so that they remain pervious \\
\hline 22 nobody's using that transits or the use is minimal it at & 22 and we do some grading to make it a little bit flatter, but \\
\hline 23 least -- & 23 not much. \\
\hline 24 MS. GIRARD: Objection. We've gone over this a & 24 MS. WEITZ: So then, that trail will not be ADA \\
\hline 25 number of times. If he wants to ask the traffic engineer & 25 compliant? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 141 & 143 \\
\hline  & 1 will it be open? Will the community across -- north of \\
\hline 2 is -- not everything -- you don't have to be paved to be ADA & 2 Strathmore, are we going to be allowed to access this trail? \\
\hline 3 complaint, but I am not an ADA expert and would have to ask & 3 Mr. SLOAN: There are no gates proposed. And so \\
\hline 4 someone else to answer that. & 4 it is directly connected to the sidewalk system. I don't \\
\hline 5 MS. WEITZ: Well, I'm reading now from & 5 know if you would count that as open. It's not going to have \\
\hline 6 AmericanTrails.org and they say that trails that comply with & 6 a sign that says for public use but it won't say not to, as \\
\hline 7 accessibilities -- & 7 far as I know right now. \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Ma'am, Ms. Weitz. & 8 MS. WEITZ: Okay. But it will be really \\
\hline 9 MS. WEITZ: Yes? & 9 accessible to those who are able bodied only? \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I'm sorry, but this & 10 MR. SLOAN: Yes, right now, it's wood chip mulch. \\
\hline 11 is the time for questions only so we can't have you reading & 11 MS. WEITZ: Okay. Thank you. \\
\hline 12 documents. & 12 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Ms. Lide, did you \\
\hline 13 MS. WEITZ: Okay. So then, a wood-chipped trail & 13 have a question? I cannot recall if you are a member of the \\
\hline 14 would make it very difficult for a family member who wishes & 14 association or if you're testifying -- or if you're asking a \\
\hline 15 to walk with a Brandywine resident and enjoy the open space. & 15 question for yourself. What was your -- \\
\hline 16 There are hawks, there are bald eagles that have been & 16 MS. LIDE: I'd like to just ask a clarification on \\
\hline 17 observed back in that area, if you have a mother or a loved & 17 this curb, tweaking the curb and losing one or two feet. \\
\hline 18 one with a walker or wheelchair that mulched, or I had a & 18 Just to clarify, can you explain, is there now no shoulder \\
\hline 19 sister-in-law with macular degeneration, that mulched surface & 19 area on the north side of Strathmore? \\
\hline 20 area would not be easily accessible to those people; would & 20 Mr. SLOAN: So there is about three feet, I think, \\
\hline 21 you agree? & 21 of paving between the solid white line and the edge of the \\
\hline 22 Mr. SLOAN: I think that's fair & 22 pavement. And then, there is some grass area and then there \\
\hline 23 MS. WEITZ: So would it be possible, or would you & 23 are utility poles and then there are some plantings. So that \\
\hline 24 consider making this trail accessible to all the residents, & 24 area would be where we would be pushing say two, three feet. \\
\hline 25 both to the Brandywine but also to residents of Garrett Park & \\
\hline 142 & 144 \\
\hline 1 Estates, to residents at Strathmore Place and Symphony Park & 1 three feet leave -- still leave a shoulder on the north side \\
\hline 2 who might have accessibility issues? & 2 of Strathmore? \\
\hline 3 In addition, I don't know if you've ever tried to & 3 MR. SLOAN: No, it does not. \\
\hline 4 push a stroller, but I have seven grandchildren, if you've & 4 MS. LIDE: So there's no shoulder? Okay. Just \\
\hline 5 ever tried to push a stroller for a long distance over a & 5 want to point out that that shoulder currently is used quite \\
\hline 6 mulched trail it can be very tiring, particularly if you're & 6 frequently because the Kensington Fire Department goes along \\
\hline 7 the grandmother. Would you consider making that more & 7 Strathmore Avenue and when traffic is backed up I have some \\
\hline 8 accessible to the community? & 8 photos and like to share later in my testimony, that's how \\
\hline 9 MR. SLOAN: Well, I think it's a very good & 9 cars pull over to let emergency vehicles through. \\
\hline 10 question. Because it's in an environmental buffer park and & 10 And then, the other question I have is why, if you \\
\hline 11 planning typically simply doesn't allow those to be paved. & 11 need that extra space that encroaches on the buffer zone, why \\
\hline 12 But it's worth raising that question with them again and see & 12 can't you take it from the south side? \\
\hline 13 if there's any flexibility, but right now, this is the & 13 MR. SLOAN: We are taking space for the lane on \\
\hline 14 direction we've been given. & 14 the south side, but we also need to align with our horizontal \\
\hline 15 MS. WEITZ: I understand that there are permeable & 15 curvature and that your road alignment west of where we will \\
\hline 16 surfaces, natural surfaces and I am not either a civil & 16 have the signal and used. So we don't want to have a big dip \\
\hline 17 engineer, but I have walked on them that allow water -- they & 17 in the road that moves the centerline significantly from \\
\hline 18 are permeable, but they are also accessible. & 18 where it is now. So we're more or less splitting the \\
\hline 19 MR. SLOAN: You're right. There are and thus far & 19 difference in that northern lane to accommodate it. That's \\
\hline 20 we have not been granted permission to use those in & 20 the general reason. \\
\hline 21 environmental areas without some kind of exception to the & 21 MS. LIDE: Okay. But it also leaves me, \\
\hline 22 environmental guidelines, so I have not used them on a & 22 personally, very confused because in our conversations with \\
\hline 23 project in this kind of situation. But it's a good question & 23 EYA and in our conversations with members of Montgomery \\
\hline 24 for park and planning. & 24 County planning department we've been assured that there is \\
\hline 25 MS. WEITZ: Okay. So then, this surface trail, & 25 no -- any changes are on the south side. That there would be \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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no encroachment on the green buffer zone. And yet today, we just learned something different.

MR. SLOAN: Well, whether -- is the green buffer zone from paving to paving regardless If its lawn or plantings or trees? Because where avoiding the plantings, and I know there's definitely some brush and low growing things just east of the bus stop. But there are no trees or anything that would be --

MS. LIDE: There is a tree there, yeah. It's right in front of my house. I mean I'm not sure what the definition of brush, but these are 15 foot high trees that screen houses from the road noise and pollution.

MR. SLOAN: IfEYA and Brandywine allow me, would be happy to go out and walked beside and look at where those -- or potentially the impacts are and see what we can do to -- if a foot makes a difference then we could try to work on that. But we are getting into, we hope, that level of design soon. And I would be happy to look at that with you. Because I don't see where we would be requiring the removal of any trees right now with the shift of that I am talking about.

MS. LIDE: Right, but you are essentially shifting the road traffic one, two, three, feet closer to our homes. That's what it sounds like to me. And that runs counter to what we have been assured at several points by several
people; would you agree there's some disconnect here?
MR. SLOAN: I guess there was, Yes.
MS. LIDE: Okay. Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: And last,
Councilwoman Bennett, did you have a question?
MS. BENNETT: yes, thank you. Mr. Sloan, you mentioned several times that the development is consistent in height and massing to other buildings in the area. Could you give the specific differences between, in particular, the care facility height, which looks to be approximately 50 feet tall with the Holy Cross Church, Garrett Park Elementary school, other existing buildings? Oh, and also, St. Angela Hall. Like, what is the actual difference?

MR. SLOAN: I don't have the specific differences. I don't have that height different.

MS. BENNETT: Well, what's the criteria then for being consistent with height and massing?

MR. SLOAN: So I'm looking at the overall footprints of the buildings for the massing of that. On site, its footprint that it takes up over the site, and in the rooflines that are 3 to 4 stories, depending on where you look on the buildings around us. I did not measure the specific types.

MS. BENNETT: How many stories is St. Angela Hall?
MR. SLOAN: The existing building?
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Would that be okay?
    MS. BENNETT: Yeah, that would be great. Thank
you.
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Okay. Great.
Yeah, the land planning certainly includes structural
elements to a plan, but if there's an architect that will be
better able to answer those types of specific questions that
might be a better witness. I don't want to take your show,
Mr. Sloan, but --
    MR. SLOAN: No, I know my boundaries.
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Yeah. Typically
those questions would be better answered by the architect
that will be in charge of constructing buildings.
    MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Thank you.
    Ms. Girard, any redirect of Mr. Sloan?
    MS. GIRARD: Yes, just a couple of questions.
    Well, one, just building on what was just being
asked, is a residential care facility considered commercial
or residential under the zoning ordinance?
    MR. SLOAN: Sorry, I was writing a note down.
Could you run that by me one more time?
    MS. GIRARD: It was just represented, I believe,
unless I misunderstood that a residential care facility is
commercial. And under the zoning ordinance is it considered
```

    MS. BENNETT: Yeah, it's quite low, isn't it?
    ```
    MS. BENNETT: Yeah, it's quite low, isn't it?
    MR. SLOAN: I didn't think to have that
    MR. SLOAN: I didn't think to have that
information right in front of me so it's going to take me a
information right in front of me so it's going to take me a
second.
second.
    MS. BENNETT: Because where it is, it sits at the
    MS. BENNETT: Because where it is, it sits at the
top of a hill. So with a 50 foot building at the top of the
top of a hill. So with a 50 foot building at the top of the
hill that's going to be quite tall, block the view of the
hill that's going to be quite tall, block the view of the
church, which is a beautiful building, as you drive up that
church, which is a beautiful building, as you drive up that
hill.
hill.
    Also, are there any existing commercial buildings
    Also, are there any existing commercial buildings
that are that close to Strathmore Avenue of that height and
that are that close to Strathmore Avenue of that height and
massing? And are the guidelines for height and massing, do
massing? And are the guidelines for height and massing, do
they have anything to do with the relative distance to the
they have anything to do with the relative distance to the
street? Because to me a building that's right up against, as
street? Because to me a building that's right up against, as
this one is proposed to be, Strathmore Avenue that is 50 feet
this one is proposed to be, Strathmore Avenue that is 50 feet
tall is going to appear much bigger to people on the street,
tall is going to appear much bigger to people on the street,
either pedestrians or drivers than the current ones which are
either pedestrians or drivers than the current ones which are
all set very far back from Strathmore Avenue. So I'm
all set very far back from Strathmore Avenue. So I'm
wondering if there are any guidelines about what constitutes
wondering if there are any guidelines about what constitutes
consistent height and massing.
consistent height and massing.
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Ms. Girard, do you
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Ms. Girard, do you
have an architect who will be testifying?
have an architect who will be testifying?
    MS. GIRARD:That's exactly what we had Jack
    MS. GIRARD:That's exactly what we had Jack
McLaurin on standby for. So we can certainly have him
McLaurin on standby for. So we can certainly have him
address some of these issues.
```

address some of these issues.

```
```

commercial or residential?
MR. SLOAN: It's a residential use.
MS. GIRARD: With regard to the sidewalk and its
potential connection or not connection to the east, how does
park and planning typically look at a sidewalk to nowhere or
a bike path to nowhere? Does it matter that it doesn't
connect in the present?
MR. SLOAN: It does not. Just like way back when
I was looking at Symphony Park on staff, we require frontage
improvements to the standard regardless of whether or not
they connect to east or west, so that at least they can
happen over time.
MS. GIRARD: With regard to the trail, and whether
or not it will be accessible, if there were people that
wanted to push a stroller or take their mother or father for
a walk out of the Brandywine project, are there other places
where they would be able to walk throughout the community
that are accessible?
MR. SLOAN: We have at least two other circulation
routes that they would be able to walk through the community
on different streets.
MS. GIRARD: And while we'll probably be speaking
to Jack more about the architectural issue, I think grading
is more in yours and maybe Jeff's as well, but there was
mention for the existing hill that St. Angela Hall sits on
commercial or residential?
MR. SLOAN: It's a residential use.
MS. GIRARD: With regard to the sidewalk and its potential connection or not connection to the east, how does park and planning typically look at a sidewalk to nowhere or a bike path to nowhere? Does it matter that it doesn't connect in the present?
MR. SLOAN: It does not. Just like way back when I was looking at Symphony Park on staff, we require frontage

```
```

they connect to east or west, so that at least they can
happen over time.
-
or not it will be accessible, if there were people that
wanted to push a stroller or take their mother or father for
where they would be able to walk throughout the community that are accessible?
MR. SLOAN: We have at least two other circulation
routes that they would be able to walk through the community
on different streets.
MS. GIRARD: And while we'll probably be speaking
to Jack more about the architectural issue, I think grading
is more in yours and maybe Jeff's as well, but there was
mention for the existing hill that St. Angela Hall sits on

```
top of. Will the Brandywine project be built on that hill?
Or will there be regrading that's done and perhaps the
renderings would be a good thing to refer to on that?
    MR. SLOAN: It could be. There is definitely
going to be regrading. And it's also partially built into
the hill because of the slope, we can take advantage of to
hide parking below the -- perfect.
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I'm pulling up
what's been marked Exhibit 35, grading and utility.
    MS. GIRARD: Does that help, Josh?
    MR. SLOAN: Yes. So the grading and utility plan
does show some initial ideas about this would sit on the
slope and the building will be up, but not as high as the
current situation because of the slope of the road and the
need for utility easements and things along the road.
    So we're actually going to be building the -- the
slab of the building will actually be built into the grade
and then it will step up. The grade steps up around it until
it gets to Strathmore Avenue. So its highest point is
20 actually going to be at its -- the highest point measured
from grade will actually be at its far south end. That's
where it will -- where it has the least impact on visibility.
And then we're into the hill a story at least on the north
side.

Yeah, you can't zoom in as close as I can. So
top of. Will the Brandywine project be built on that hill?
Or will there be regrading that's done and perhaps the
renderings would be a good thing to refer to on that?
MR. SLOAN: It could be. There is definitely
going to be regrading. And it's also partially built into
the hill because of the slope, we can take advantage of to hide parking below the -- perfect.

HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I'm pulling up what's been marked Exhibit 35 , grading and utility.

MS. GIRARD: Does that help, Josh?
MR. SLOAN: Yes. So the grading and utility plan does show some initial ideas about this would sit on the slope and the building will be up, but not as high as the current situation because of the slope of the road and the need for utility easements and things along the road.
So we're actually going to be building the -- the slab of the building will actually be built into the grade and then it will step up. The grade steps up around it until
19 it gets to Strathmore Avenue. So its highest point is
20 actually going to be at its -- the highest point measured
21 from grade will actually be at its far south end. That's
22 where it will -- where it has the least impact on visibility.
And then we're into the hill a story at least on the north side.
25
that's our initial take on it. We don't have a schematic
design of the building yet beyond conceptual renderings. But
I think you can -- yeah.
MS. GIRARD: Okay. So it's fair to say that efforts have been taken to reduce the appearing of massing along Strathmore for that building?

MR. SLOAN: Certainly. And Jack or someone else can speak to this. Both in the siting of the building, the terracing of the building and also in the rooflines given to the building with pitched roofs, slow down and what not.

MS. GIRARD: And then with -- I'm sorry to jump around, but back to with regard to the natural trail. Are you aware that the Applicant as part of their application proffered as a binding element that it would be subject to an easement to make it available to the public?

MR. SLOAN: I had forgotten that. That's right, yes.
MS. GIRARD: Okay. That's all I had for Mr. Sloan.

HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: All right.
MR. SHAFFER: Excuse me. This is David Shaffer, could I recross on that last point?

HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Yes.
MR. SHAFFER: Are you aware of other permeable means of making trails accessible to people in wheelchairs or using assistive devices?

MR. SLOAN: I am, yes.
MR. SHAFFER: Can you give some them, list some of them for me?

MR. SLOAN: So we've used several. We've used both pervious concrete, pervious asphalt, pervious paver systems. Those are all systems that are built over a gravel bed that can absorb water. They do require maintenance, vacuuming or something quite often.
10 We've also used gravel type stone dust, decomposed 11 granite systems with binders that set the material. We've 2 used all of those. We simply haven't, in my experience, gotten them approved in environmental buffer areas for private development from park and planning yet.
15 MR. SHAFFER: Well, let me give you example. I 16 happen to have worked with the National Park Service on 17 designing accessible trails at the National Park in Anacostia 18 which go through wetlands and the water (indiscernible) 19 \#(03:56:46). And they used compressed pea gravel there, 20 which they felt was compatible with the nature of the area as
21 well as fully accessible to people in wheelchairs if it's
22 simply pounded down every year or so to make it firm, but
23 otherwise permeable. Would that be an alternative that you
24 could use here?
25 MR. SLOAN: If park and planning allowed it we
```

can --
MR. SHAFFER:Well, I was referring to the Park
Service.
MR. SLOAN: -- (inaudible).
MR. SHAFFER: They allowed it. But you don't know
whether the Montgomery County Park and planning would allow
it or not; is that right?
MR. SLOAN: I don't. I have not been able to get
them approved so far, no.
MR. SHAFFER: Okay. Thank you.
HEARINGEXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Great. Thank you
everyone. Thank you Mr. Sloan.
Let's move on to the Applicant's next witness.
MS. GIRARD: Okay. Here's the moment everyone's
been waiting for. I would like to call Katy Wagner.
MS. WAGNER: Hi.
HEARINGEXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Hello, Ms. Wagner,
can you please give us your full name, your business address
and a good business email address for you, please?
MS. WAGNER: Yes. My name is Katherine Lee
Wagner. I ama transportation engineer at Gorove/Slade, 1140
Connecticut Avenue, Suite 600, Washington, DC 2006. And my
email address is KLW@GoroveSlade.com.
HEARINGEXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: And Ms. Wagner, can
you please raise your right hand?

```
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    Do you swear or affirm under the penalties of
perjury that the testimony you're about to give is the truth,
the whole truth?
    MS. WAGNER: Yes
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Ms. Girard, MS.
WAGNER is yours.
    MS. GIRARD: Thank you
    Ms. Wagner, what's your occupation?
    MS. WAGNER: I am a transportation engineer.
    MS. GIRARD: And what's your professional and
educational background?
    MS. WAGNER: I received my bachelor of science in
civil engineering from Gonzaga University, and I have been
working in the transportation engineering field for over 12
years now.
    MS. GIRARD: And have you previously been admitted
as an expert in front of the Hearing Examiner?
    MS. WAGNER: Yes, I have multiple times, French
International School, Landon School, as well as an assisted
living facility on Liberty Mill Road
    MS. GIRARD: So I would note that Ms. Wagner's
resume is Exhibit 49-D in the record, and we move for her
admission as an expert in transportation engineering.
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Mr. Shaffer, and
Mr. Burdin any further voir dire questions about Ms. Wagner's
expertise in the field of transportation engineering?
Seeing or hearing none -- yes?
MR. BURDIN: Oh, I was going to say -- I was
waiting for Mr. Shaffer. I don't have any. This is Doug
Burdin
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Okay. Thank you very much

Ms. Wagner has been admitted as an expert in this
field before me and before other Hearing Examiners within
OZHA in the past. Hearing no objections she is admitted for
the purpose of this hearing as an expert in the field for
transportation engineering.
MS. GIRARD: Thank you.
Ms. Wagner, are you familiar with the property
that's the subject of a local map amendment application, LMA
143 and the surrounding area?
MS. WAGNER: Yes, I am.
MS. GIRARD: And are you familiar with the
County's adequate public facilities ordinance, recently
adopted growth and infrastructure policy, and the local area
transportation and review guidelines for development?
MS. WAGNER: Yes, I am familiar with both those
documents. I would like to mention at this time, that we
scoped our traffic study, our LATR traffic study with
Montgomery County Park and planning, Montgomery County

Department of Transportation and the State Highway
Administration staff in the fall of 2020 while the site was
still governed by the North Bethesda policy area and
therefore subject to the SSP and 2017 LATR traffic study requirements where the site was reviewed as an orange policy area.

The policy area for the site changed to a red policy area, the Grosvenor policy area that was approve as part of the Montgomery County's 2020, 2024 growth and infrastructure policy when that went into effect January 1, 2021.

This -- under the new Grosvenor policy area designation the -- it's important to note that the project would not be subject to a vehicular capacity traffic analysis per the new LATR guidelines that have since been adopted.

MS. GIRARD: And when you say SSP, can you just define what you're referring to?

MS. WAGNER: Subdivision staging policy.
MS. GIRARD: Thank you. And have you analyzed the suitability of the propped development of the subject property from a transportation engineering standpoint?

MS. WAGNER: Yes. I have conducted the traffic analysis as well as I live near the subject property and I drive and run past the site frequently.

MS. GIRARD: Can you review for us the findings
and conclusions of your initial transportation analysis that was completed in accordance with the parking planning guidelines?

MS. WAGNER: Yes. As I mentioned before, we scoped a traffic study with staff in the fall of 2020 . We recognize that this was when the pandemic was going on, as it still currently is, but we submitted the LATR for the proposed development of the site located at 4910 and 4920 Strathmore Ave. in Montgomery County on December 18, 2020. And was further updated to address comments received from staff. This is provided as Exhibit 47.

In this study, the analysis used counts collected in October and November 2020 in accordance with park and planning traffic counts during the pandemic policy update memorandum dated September 17, 2020. This LATR was grandfathered in under the, again, SSP, as the traffic study was scoped and submitted before GIP was formally implemented.

The conclusions of the LATR is that the surrounding network can adequately support traffic generated by the proposed project without any roadway improvements or mitigation.

MS. GIRARD: And was that analysis reviewed by park and planning staff?

MS. WAGNER: Yes, that analysis was reviewed by park and planning staff as well as SHA and MCDOT.

MS. GIRARD: Okay. And as far as Park and planning staff is concerned what was there conclusion regarding that study?

MS. WAGNER: As the study was done to meet their guidelines during -- conducting a traffic study during a pandemic, we included a growth factor to assume that schools were not in session and people were telecommuting. In addition to that, we had to balance traffic volumes along the roadway as well as take into consideration the schools that were in the study area that were not operating at full, our regular capacity. Park and planning staff accepted the conclusions of that original study.

MS. GIRARD: And with regard to the Montgomery county Department of Transportation, and Maryland State Highway Administration he mentioned that they reviewed that study as well?

MS. WAGNER: Yes.
MS. GIRARD: Can you --
MS. WAGNER: Do you want me to just go?
MS. GIRARD: I was just going to ask where you're
going. What were their comments?
MS. WAGNER: Yeah, so Montgomery County DOT as a well as SHA had concerns about the low level of traffic
volumes collected in 2020, even with the adjustment said that
we did apply as required by park and planning and their count
policy.
In response to this, the Applicant team prepared a supplemental analysis using historic traffic counts that were available at study area intersections from 2016 and 2013. This analysis provided a conservative analysis as the traffic counts higher than those used in the LATR analysis. In addition, the analysis not only incorporated counts and that were higher than what we collected in 2020 , but we also applied background growth to bring the counts from 2013 and 2016 up to 2020 rates and these rates were based on historical counts collected by SHA to account for growth year over year in the area.

That's all.
MS. GIRARD: When you mention applying a growth
factor, have you looked at whether there had, in fact, been
growth over the years? Was there any data to suggest that
there had been growth along some of these roads?
MS. WAGNER: Yes, there was some growth on some of
these years. There was also negative growth a lot of years,
but we provided a conservative analysis and applied positive growth along the area roadways.

MS. GIRARD: And what conclusions did you draw from your supplemental analysis?

MS. WAGNER: Based on the supplemental analysis we found that medication was needed at the Strathmore Avenue and
the center site driveway intersection. This was needed in the form of traffic signal, as well as a westbound leff turn lane into the new site, primarily to mitigate the traffic and generated by the school.

In addition, we recognize that the community has raised concerns regarding the delays presented in this LATR as well as the supplemental analysis at the intersection of Strathmore and Stillwater. And I just wanted to address that as I go through some of the findings of our studies. In existing conditions, the delays at this specific intersection, Stillwater, and Strathmore, drastically exceed the congestion standard due to a number of reasons.
Primarily being the offset of the HCA driveway and the Stillwater Avenue intersection. This caused significant vehicular delays. The current driveway only has one outbound lane causing delays due to any vehicle wanting to turn left and needing gaps in traffic in both directions.

And then, a significant delay is decreased because we have taken asway that shift and aligned Stillwater and site driveway intersection as well as shiffed all of the school driveway traffic volumes. So those are the findings.

MS. GIRARD: Okay. And in light of community input questioning the validity of the counts uses in both of those studies, have you completed any additional analysis?

MS. WAGNER: Yes. We collected traffic counts in

\section*{January 31, 2022}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 161 & 163 \\
\hline 1 December of 2021 in response to community concerns that were & 1 westbound left turn lane that allows for vehicles to not \\
\hline 2 raised at meetings as well as received in correspondence to & 2 block through traffic and they are able to shift down to be \\
\hline 3 really have a better understanding of making sure that we & 3 able to turn into the site. And so those significantly \\
\hline 4 conducted a traffic study in the most appropriate manner & 4 reduce the delays. \\
\hline 5 given the ongoing pandemic. And when we collected traffic & 5 MS. GIRARD: And you've been here for the \\
\hline 6 counts the pandemic was not where it is now and there was -- & 6 testimony and I don't want to spend a lot of time on it, as \\
\hline 7 schools were really back in session and we found that traffic & 7 the Hearing Examiner has appropriately pointed out additional \\
\hline 8 counts were -- traffic counts that we collected in 2021 we & 8 connections or alternative connections isn't really within \\
\hline 9 till less than what were used in the supplemental analysis to & 9 the scope of the hearing but with so many members of the \\
\hline 10 address the SHA and MCDOT concerns. & 10 community commenting on it and interested, can you just \\
\hline 11 And that we did collect the counts on a day that & 11 quickly touch froma transportation engineer perspective why \\
\hline 12 was in compliance with the park and planning LATR guidelines. & 12 a connection to Cloister or Tuckerman Lane would not be \\
\hline 13 We have provided these counts in Exhibit 58-C and then the & 13 appropriate? \\
\hline 14 data is summarized in 58-D in an Excel spreadsheet. The & 14 MS. WAGNER: Yes. And again, as -- in addition to \\
\hline 15 traffic counts indicated that they were higher as I indicated & 15 the environmental concerns we also looked at providing the \\
\hline 16 in our supplemental analysis at all but one of our study & 16 connection to Cloister Drive as we have concerns regarding \\
\hline 17 intersections, which was the Kenilworth Avenue and Strathmore & 17 the sight distance and safety at this intersection that the \\
\hline 18 Avenue intersection during the a.m peak hour compared to the & 18 driver would have to be not only provided through a private \\
\hline 19 counts collected in December of 2021 & 19 road, Cloister Drive is not a public road and is owned by \\
\hline 20 The Strathmore Avenue and Kenilworth Avenue & 20 Stoneybrook Home Owners Association. But then, that is along \\
\hline 21 intersection was found to operate well within congestion & 21 a curvature of roadway which would provide safety concerns \\
\hline 22 standards and future conditions with the project, and the & 22 for vehicles turning out of that driveway. \\
\hline 23 slight increase in baseline traffic volumes during the & 23 MS. GIRARD: And as I mentioned I know you've been \\
\hline 24 morning peak hour would not trigger additional mitigation as 25 a result of the proposed development. & 24 watching the testimony here today. Can you address how the 25 traffic studies death with metro -- anticipated metro \\
\hline 162 & 164 \\
\hline 1 I have also received the counts collected by the & 1 ridership? \\
\hline 2 community in video format as well an excel Spreadsheet and & 2 MS. WAGNER: Yes. So I would like to bring up \\
\hline 3 reviewed those. They are indicated that they were submitted & 3 Exhibit 47 and on page 18 of that PDF. And I can either \\
\hline 4 as part of an exhibit but I have not found those as an & 4 share my screen if I need to or someone else can. \\
\hline 5 exhibit. & 5 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: That was page 18? \\
\hline 6 And so I did review the video in comparison to & 6 MS. WAGNER: Yes, please. \\
\hline 7 what the video of our counts showed and that the video & 7 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: It should be on \\
\hline 8 selected by -- recorded by the community was then output in & 8 your screen now. \\
\hline 9 a spreadsheet format and I found that the spreadsheet & 9 MS. WAGNER: Yeah, if you can scroll down just a \\
\hline 10 overexaggerated the number of vehicles traveling along & 10 little bit. Yeah, that mode split factors. \\
\hline 11 Strathmore Avenue. So I -- as well as they are not collected & 11 So when we are doing our traffic studies we have \\
\hline 12 on a typical weekday as required by the park and planning & 12 to scope our traffic studies with Park and planning staff, \\
\hline 13 staff. & 13 with Montgomery County Department of Transportation and SHA. \\
\hline 14 MS. GIRARD: And can you -- and I know you may & 14 They have specific trip generation rates that we use for \\
\hline 15 have touched on this a little bit, but can you just explain & 15 different land uses, and in this case, we use multifamily \\
\hline 16 in a layman's term how the reduction -- I know you mentioned & 16 midrise for the townhouses. We used single-family detached \\
\hline 17 the alignment of the intersection, but as far as installation & 17 housing for the single-family homes, and at then assisted \\
\hline 18 of turn lanes, traffic signals all of that what the & 18 living for the Brandywine facility. So we have to use those \\
\hline 19 supplemental analysis showed -- now those measures & 19 specific rates, we can't just make up trip generation rates. \\
\hline 20 appropriately mitigate and in some cases improve, traffic & 20 And this is based off the Institute of Transportation \\
\hline 21 congestion? & 21 Engineers trip generation manual that has rates provided for \\
\hline 22 MS. WAGNER: Yeah. So really mitigating the & 22 all these uses and based on their evaluation of similar sized \\
\hline 23 impacts at the site driveway are found in the fact that we & 23 uses. \\
\hline 24 are also providing not only a signal to provide gaps for & 24 In addition, in Montgomery County they provide \\
\hline 25 vehicles exiting the school, we have also provided a & 25 mode split factors, and this is shown on table 3. These are \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 165 & 167 \\
\hline 1 base off of Montgomery County's survey of uses with in the & 1 community that were critiquing your study and mentioned that \\
\hline 2 specific policy areas. So as you can see, these are the mode & 2 in some of your maps you had a connection shown through, I \\
\hline 3 split so that we had to use for our trip generation. And & 3 think it was Bangor Drive that actually were not there. I \\
\hline 4 these are -- there's been a number of questions raised about & 4 believe that they are cordoned off and don't actually \\
\hline 5 our traffic study saying that there is going to be a low & 5 connect. Have you looked at that, and does that impact the \\
\hline 6 number of transit use. This is because we have to use these & 6 analysis in your study at all? \\
\hline 7 r & 7 MS. WAGNER: Yes. And you can see that \\
\hline \(8 \quad\) And the reason for this is that it provides a & 8 specifically just represented the in a graphic on page 24 of \\
\hline 9 conservative vehicular analysis that we don't want to & 9 the LATR as well as some of the other figures. It is -- \\
\hline 10 overestimate the number of transit trips because we would be & 10 these are fairly -- they are from GIS which is a database \\
\hline 11 at this hearing talking about how we overestimated the number & 11 where we get graphic so I don't have to draw all the lines on \\
\hline 12 of transit trips, and we have not specifically mitigated & 12 these papers, on all these figures. And so on Bangor Drive \\
\hline 13 vehicular concerns. And so that is why the traffic study & 13 there is, the roadway does not connect them but it does not \\
\hline 14 does say there is a small number of transit trips, it's & 14 change any of our traffic analysis and the way that traffic \\
\hline 15 because these are the mode splits we haver & 15 volumes would have been rerouted, our site volumes would have \\
\hline 16 In addition, we have looked at these uses and & 16 been rerouted. We did not affect any of those traffic \\
\hline 17 number of trips that the calculations and do indicate and we & 17 volumes \\
\hline 18 believe that based on our coordination with Brandywine, & 18 MS. GIRARD: And you had mentioned the adoption of \\
\hline 19 specifically, and the assisted living facility and the number & 19 the new growth and infrastructure policy and the movement of \\
\hline 20 of employees, shifts, visitors, residents, everything that we & 20 this property into a red policy area. I think you mentioned \\
\hline 21 have coordinated with them that these numbers are reasona & 21 that that would not -- would mean in the future there \\
\hline 22 as far as vehicular trips, and that they will be committed to & 22 wouldn't be a -- I don't remember how you characterized it, \\
\hline 23 putting employees on shuttles to and from the Metro, and & 23 but the vehicular analysis component which you can expand on \\
\hline 24 incentivizing the use of transit. & 24 in your response, but also what the growth policy -- we've \\
\hline 25 MS. GIRARD: And similarly, when you were & 25 had conversations today about looking at upgrading bus stops, \\
\hline 166 & 168 \\
\hline 1 originally doing your scoping, when you were going out to do & 1 sidewalks, ADA compliance, is that part of the future studies \\
\hline 2 a study and you had conversations over time with the & 2 that will be required under the growth and infrastructure \\
\hline 3 Brandywine about shifting other shifts, there are a number of & 3 policy? \\
\hline 4 employees, how does that, or does that not impact the & 4 MS. WAGNER: Yes. So as I mentioned the growth \\
\hline 5 information in the traffic study? & 5 and infrastructure policy went into effect January 1, 2021. \\
\hline 6 MS. WAGNER: So it really doesn't impact the & 6 The LATR guidelines did not come out until July 2021 and so \\
\hline 7 information of the traffic study. It really impacts the & 7 we knew that we were moving this project forward and new that \\
\hline 8 operations of their facility. That we have had conversations & 8 a vehicular analysis would not be required if we were to do \\
\hline 9 regarding -- there is a lot of school traffic, and that it & 9 our traffic study under the new GIP guidelines, and LATR \\
\hline 10 probably would be helpful for the overall development & 10 guidelines. And so we knew that traffic was going to be a \\
\hline 11 operations as well as the Brandywine not to have the & 11 concern for the surrounding community and so we didn't want \\
\hline 12 employees coming and going when school is coming in and out, & 12 to solely rely on a policy not requiring a study. We knew \\
\hline 13 that that will cause delays for their staff to be able to get & 13 that we needed to address the community's concerns with our \\
\hline 14 to work on time. And so there is coordination with the & 14 LAT our traffic study as well as our supplemental analysis as \\
\hline 15 internal project team. But it doesn't really affect the & 15 well as our ongoing conversations. And so that is why we \\
\hline 16 traffic analysis, but it does affect the operations as we do & 16 went ahead and did the traffic study under the old \\
\hline 17 need to use these prescribed numbers given to us fromPark & 17 guidelines. And then, I will note that as part of our \\
\hline 18 and planning staff. & 18 preliminary plan approval process we will have to do another \\
\hline 19 MS. GIRARD: And there's nothing that you know, or & 19 traffic study to address the new GIP guidelines, and this \\
\hline 20 is there anything that you know about Brandywine's operations & 20 traffic study will have a much more in-depth review of the \\
\hline 21 that would make you think that the rates dictated by the & 21 pedestrian by the transit and safety surrounding the site, \\
\hline 22 County and ITE would not be applicable here? & 22 and we will be undergoing that study in the future. \\
\hline 23 MS. WAGNER: No, there is not. & 23 MS. GIRARD: Thank you. So based on your \\
\hline 24 MS. GIRARD: There was also testimony, and I & 24 analysis, will the proposed project see the traffic volume \\
\hline 25 believe it's in the record as well from members of the & 25 standard under the planning boards LATR guidelines? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 169 & 171 \\
\hline 1 MS. WAGNER: No. As I stated previously based on & 1 specific period of time? \\
\hline 2 the supplemental analysis the Applicant is committed to & 2 MS. WAGNER: Yeah, so the way it works is in \\
\hline 3 installing a traffic signal and a westbound left turn lane at & 3 Montgomery County we have to include anything that hasn't \\
\hline 4 the Strathmore Avenue and center driveway intersection in & 4 been approved regardless if it won't be built until five \\
\hline 5 coordination with SHA. & 5 years after your development is approved, you have to \\
\hline 6 MS. GIRARD: And from a transportation engineering & 6 consider it in your traffic \\
\hline 7 standpoint, will the proposed use is to be compatible with & \(7 \quad\) MR. SHAFFER: So do you have to co \\
\hline 8 existing and approved adjacent development? & 8 numbers in your traffic study as of today, or only over time? \\
\hline 9 MS. WAGNER: Yes, the project fits with the & 9 MS. WAGNER: When we do our scoping with staff. \\
\hline 10 surrounding uses of the proposed development while also & 10 So as it's fall 2020 we had to include all of those \\
\hline 11 providing pedestrian connections for the existing & 11 developments in our analysis. \\
\hline 12 developments and a traffic signal to reduce existing traffic & 12 MR. SHAFFER: Okay. Now, I was interested in this \\
\hline 13 delays on Strathmore Avenue & 13 COVID adjustment factor. With respect to your most recent \\
\hline 14 MS. GIRARD: That's all I had for Ms. Wagner & 14 supplemental analysis do you apply any COVID adjustment \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Thank you very & 15 factor to that? \\
\hline 16 much. We'll start with Mr. Schaffer. Mr. Schaffer, did you & 16 MS. WAGNER: No because the traffic counts used as \\
\hline 17 have any questions for Ms. Wagner? & 17 the baseline of that traffic study, again, were collected in \\
\hline 18 MR. SHAFFER: Yes. If you can just b & 182013 and 2016 well before any of us adjusted our daily lives \\
\hline 19 (inaudible). & 19 for Covid. And so instead of taking into adjustment a coven \\
\hline 20 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Sure. Take your & 20 factor, we grow those traffic volumes year-over-year to \\
\hline 21 time. You're back on mute, sis & 21 adjust to the build out year of the project. \\
\hline 22 Also, this would be a good time to let everyon & 22 MR. SHAFFER: Okay. So the current -- \\
\hline 23 know, Mr. Schaffer, you are still on mute. If anyone needs & 23 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I'm sorry. I'm \\
\hline 24 break as well, please let me know. It's harder to leave the & 24 going to ask a clarifying question there. So you would take \\
\hline 25 room in a virtual format than it is when you are physically & 25 the counts from 2013 and 2016 and then what factor or what \\
\hline 170 & 172 \\
\hline 1 present. & 1 element, or what aspect would be included to bring those to a \\
\hline 2 Mr. Schaffer, I still can't tell if you're & 2 2021/2022 time period? \\
\hline 3 speaking to an associate or if you're aware that you are & 3 MS. WAGNER: So we look at, I'm seeing if it's \\
\hline 4 still on mute, sir. & 4 detailed in a table. So if you go to Exhibit 50 on page 5 of \\
\hline 5 MR. SHAFFER: Oh, okay. I'm-- sure the keys & 5 that PDF. \\
\hline 6 don't -- on the same screen. & 6 So here we look at traffic volumes along Rockville \\
\hline 7 Can you hear me now? & 7 Pike and Strathmore between 2011 and 2019 really, because \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Yes. Yes, sir. & 8 those that gave us a good sense of kind of what's been \\
\hline 9 MR. SHAFFER: All right. Thank you. I apologize. & 9 happening on both of those roadways since those are primarily \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: No problem. & 10 traveled roads. And as you can see, along Rockville Pike \\
\hline 11 MR. SHAFFER: Your traffic analysis looks at the & 11 we've had negative growth. And so -- and along Strathmore \\
\hline 12 impact of this project on the traffic along Strathmore and & 12 there has been positive growth along Strathmore. So we used \\
\hline 13 Rockville Pike and surrounding roads; is that correct? & 13 a conservative analysis and assumed positive growth along \\
\hline 14 MS. WAGNER: Yes, that is correct. & 14 both roadways and took the average annual growth from 2011 \\
\hline 15 MR. SHAFFER: Does it look at the impact of any & 15 two 2019 along Strathmore as . 2 percent per year and then \\
\hline 16 other developments in this area on those same intersections? & 16 along Rockville Pike, even though it was negative, we still \\
\hline 17 MS. WAGNER: Yes. As detailed on page 21 of the & 17 applied a positive growth. So taking the 2013 volumes we \\
\hline 18 LAT our study, there are 11 -- well, there were 12 background & 18 grew them too, I think 2024, and then along Rockville Pike we \\
\hline 19 and developments considered that are approved within the -- & 19 still took a .1 per year. \\
\hline 20 surrounding our study area. And the 11 of those were & 20 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Understood. Mr. \\
\hline 21 specifically included in our traffic study in both the LATR & 21 Schaffer, does that answer your question? \\
\hline 22 as well as the supplemental analysis. & 22 MR. SHAFFER: I think so. \\
\hline 23 MR. SHAFFER: And for what period of time? In & 23 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Well, it certainly \\
\hline 24 other words, if you've got a development coming 10 years down & 24 helped me. Thank you. \\
\hline 25 the line, is that included, or only ones that were within a & 25 MR. SHAFFER: So what have you considered the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 17 & 175 \\
\hline 1 possibility of cut through traffic through the Stillwater and & 1 to R5W or for us to get over to the other side of \\
\hline 2 surrounding neighborhoods as people come out of the Academy? & 2 Strathmore either coming home on the bus or to walk up to the \\
\hline 3 MS. WAGNER: Yes, we have. And that's & 3 Metro? \\
\hline 4 specifically part of the design and coordination that & \(4 \quad\) (iPad translating) \\
\hline 5 project team has undergone with the Academy as part of this & 5 Sorry about that. My iPad suddenly started \\
\hline 6 project. The Academy will be directed to use, I don' & 6 translating everything I said into English. \\
\hline 7 remember what street. Street see, Erin, the correct Street? & 7 MS. WAGNER: I think it's still going. \\
\hline 8 The middle Street? & 8 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Not a problem, \\
\hline 9 MS. GIRARD: I believe it's A. & 9 we'll take a second. \\
\hline 10 MS. WAGNER: What, what is & 10 MS. WAGNER: Let me know and I can respond to your \\
\hline 11 MS. GIRARD: I believe it's A. & 11 question. \\
\hline 12 MS. WAGNER: So the Academy will b & 12 MR. SHAFFER: I'm sorry. I've got three devices \\
\hline 13 use the street a and not use Street C which connects to & 13 going here to handling a hearing while blind. \\
\hline 14 intersection 2 as shown here. And so they will be directed & 14 I'm sorry, can the court reporter repeat my \\
\hline 15 to not cut through the neighborhood, and again that alignme & 15 question? \\
\hline 16 with the signal will encourage vehicles to turn left, or & 16 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Mr. Cost \\
\hline 17 right out of the site and not be encouraged to cut throug & 17 you with us? \\
\hline 18 the neighborhood & 18 COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir. Stand by. \\
\hline 19 MR. SHAFFER: And how is it that commitment going & 19 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Thank you so muc \\
\hline 20 to be enforced? & 20 (Requested portion played back) \\
\hline 21 MS. WAGNER: We will need to coordinate with & 21 MR. SHAFFER: Oh yes. Okay. With that prompt I \\
\hline 22 school, but my understanding is that they will be directing & 22 think I can start over aga \\
\hline 23 parents and students to not drive through the neighborhood. & 23 So have you considered any steps to increas \\
\hline 24 MR. SHAFFER: Well, I mean a & 24 pedestrian safety at the cross walk between -- at Stillwater \\
\hline 25 nice, but if the light is red up at Rockville Pike and it's & 25 and Strathmore so that people can access public \\
\hline 174 & 176 \\
\hline 1 backed up all the way down Strathmore, as it is sometimes & 1 \\
\hline 2 isn't it going to be awfully convenient for p & 2 the stree \\
\hline 3 right on Stillwater and go around over to Rockville Pike & 3 MS. WAGNER: Yes, we have. That's -- aligning the \\
\hline 4 way? & 4 intersection helps tremendously with that safety improvement \\
\hline 5 MS. WAGNER: We have looked a & 5 that when vehicles are turning northbound and turning left \\
\hline 6 not anticipate that being a & 6 onto Strathmore that now they can see the pedestrians at that \\
\hline \(7 \quad\) MR. SHAFFER: And have you looked at the ab & 7 intersection and they are not 100 feet away from the \\
\hline 8 of people trying to make a left turn on Stillwater duding & 8 pedestrian so they are much more in the visibility of the \\
\hline 9 times when the Academy is letting students in and out? & 9 vehicles. \\
\hline 10 MS. WAGNER: Yes, that is primary. There has been & 10 In addition, they are -- as I ment \\
\hline 11 conversation about what providing only one driveway, and that & 11 previously, we do still have to do a traffic study as p \\
\hline 12 is again why we are providing three & 12 oof our preliminary plan approval process. And with th \\
\hline 13 project. Driveway 4 is for loading and back of & 13 study we have to do a lot of analysis looking \\
\hline 14 activity to not be near a school or residential community & 14 pedestrian/bike transit safety connections through to the \\
\hline 15 Driveway 3 is to provide the traffic signal for the schoo & 15 area and we'll have to look at providing anything -- any \\
\hline 16 traffic and St. Angela's -- and the Brandywine facility and & 16 connections that do to meet ADA standards and so when we look \\
\hline 17 then driveway 2 is to allow residents to have another access & 17 at -- when we do that traffic study we will have to make \\
\hline 18 point, emergency vehicles to have another access point to be & 18 sure everything at that intersection is up to ADA standarc \\
\hline 19 able to turn left and/or right to get out of the developme & 19 So we have not committed to anything at this time, but th \\
\hline 20 when schools are being -- at the pickup and drop off tim & 20 will be part of the preliminary plan approval process. \\
\hline 21 periods. & 21 MR. SHAFFER: Would that include an APS? \\
\hline 22 MR. SHAFFER: Now, for people that are walking & 22 MS. WAGNER: We would have to coordinate that with \\
\hline 23 down to get the 5W at Strathmore and Stillwater ha & 23 SHA and MCDOT that they oftentimes do not want APS at \\
\hline 24 considered the -- any impro & 24 driveways specifically, that it creates confusion for drivers \\
\hline 25 make it easier for the residents of the community go get over & 25 turning at the -- if they were to be turning off of \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Stillwater Avenue that they can't see the flashing pedestrian
telling people to stop walking -- or telling vehicles to stop
walking that they can't, when they're making that right turn,
they can't see that. So that would have to something that's
evaluated during the preliminary plan process with SHA and
MCDOT.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER:And for the purpose
of the record, what is APS?
MR. SHAFFER: Audible pedestrian signal.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Thank you.
MR. SHAFFER: It can either tell you when the
lights have changed or it can tell you when it's safe to
walk. Many times it will activate yellow flashing lights to
indicate to drivers that a person's crossing the street.
Sometimes it flashes red light.
MS. WAGNER:And it will act -- it will be
required as part of the traffic signal at the site driveway
and there are bus stops near there as well, and so we will
have to look at that. We will have to provide APS at that
traffic signal. So that would provide a crossing there. So
that safety concern is also addressed with us providing that
traffic signal.
MR. SHAFFER: Does this include the upgrade of the
bus stops to meet Metro's ADA standards?
MS. WAGNER: That would be required as part of our

```
preliminary plan, traffic study is looking at that and
committing to improving things to standards.
    MR. SHAFFER: Okay. Because you are aware they
are currently not?
    MS. WAGNER: Yes, they are very limited bus
facilities, we recognize that.
    MR. SHAFFER: Yes. I think I'll yield my
questions on this now, to Doug who I think has a much better
understanding since he lives right there. Thank you.
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, Mr.
Shaffer.
    Mr. Burdin, did you have any questions for Ms.
Wagner?
    MR. BURDIN: Yes, I do. Thank you.
    Ms. Wagner could you explain your role in
preparing the LATR, the supplemental analysis in the December
2021 report that you discussed?
    MS. WAGNER: Yes. As I said, I am a traffic
engineer and so my role in preparing these studies is to
review and work with -- I have staff that I work with, and so
I reviewed their models, I review their projections of
traffic volumes, and then write the reports.
    MR. BURDIN: Were you the lead on any role of
these reports?
    MS. WAGNER: Yes, 100 percent the lead role on all
of the reports generated.
MR. BURDIN: Okay. Are there any parts of these reports you're not familiar with or your less comfortable testifying about?

MS. WAGNER: Nope.
MR. BURDIN: Okay. And you're aware that the proximity of the project to mass transit, such as a Metro station is important when the County is deciding whether to make a zoning change; is that right?

MS. WAGNER: Yes.
MR. BURDIN: Okay. You mentioned that you talk with somebody at Brandywine, apparently not MS. WAGNER today,
but -- and got information about shifts and number of
employees; is that correct?
MS. WAGNER: Yes.
MR. BURDIN: Okay. Is any of that information or analysis of that information in any of your reports, or otherwise in the record?

MS. WAGNER: No, that is not.
MR. BURDIN: Okay. The L ATR says that the site is expected to generate a minimal amount of transit trips,
which will not have detrimental impact on the surrounding
transportation network; do you stand by that conclusion?
MS. WAGNER: I already detailed this in my
testimony previously where the trip generation numbers that
are included in the LATR are dictated by County policy. And
so while our traffic study says that there will be a minimal
number of transit trips generated this is to not
underestimate the vehicular impacts and so we would be having
the opposite conversation today.
And so while the traffic study, yes, does indicate
that there is a minimal number of transit trips generated
based on the County policy, we have designed and coordinated
with the project team to encourage the use of public
transportation by staff members at the Brandywine facility as
well as residents having connections to make it easier to
travel to and from the Metro site.
MR. BURDIN: Is any of what you just discussed in your report or otherwise in the record?

MS. WAGNER: Yes, that is -- we looked at that
previously on page 18 of the LATR that that was what we
talked about. We previously pulled that up.
MR. BURDIN: Page 18 of the LATR. Is that the
document page or the PDF page?
MS. WAGNER: The PDF page.
MR. BURDIN: Do you know what the document page is?

MS. WAGNER: 11.
MR. BURDIN: Hopefully, I have it.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I can pull it up,
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & 181 & 183 \\
\hline & just one second. & 1 MS. WAGNER: Because we needed to follow the \\
\hline & MR. BURDIN: Okay. I don't have it printed. & 2 guidelines and doing traffic study per their guidelines, and \\
\hline & Thank you. & 3 we need to follow what we had scoped with MCDOT and SHA and \\
\hline & HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I believe that this & 4 County staff, and there are very prescribed rates that we \\
\hline & is the page that means that Ms. Wagner was testifying about & 5 have to \\
\hline & MR. BURDIN: Okay. On that page, can you point & 6 MR. BURDIN: So to the extent you have stated that \\
\hline & out specifically how your conversations with Brandywine about & 7 transits use is expected to be minimal that undermines any \\
\hline & citizens and employees as reflected & 8 reliance on any county or master plan policy goal of putting \\
\hline & MS. WAGNER: In this report? As I previo & 9 housing near transit? Do you agree with that, is that fair \\
\hline & 0 said, we didn't include anything about our conversations with & 10 to say? \\
\hline & 1 Brandywine in the document. & 11 MS. GIRARD: Objection. We keep trying to \\
\hline & 12 MR. BURDIN: I'm sorry. I thought you just said & 12 conflate the master plan and the traffic study. Katie -- Ms. \\
\hline & 3 you did? & 13 Wagner is an expert in transportation engineering. We're \\
\hline & 4 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Mr. Burdin, her & 14 talking about her report. She is not here to testify about \\
\hline & 5 testimony wasn't that those conversations were not included & 15 county policies that regard the master plan. \\
\hline & 6 but that the -- in the trip generation summary the statement & 16 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I'll sustain the \\
\hline & 7 and the characterization that there will be minimal an & 17 objection. What I'm getting from her testimony and from when \\
\hline & 8 transit is used, Ms. Wagner had stated that the source of & 18 I reviewed the report last night prior to the hearing was \\
\hline & 9 that statement, or that thought was because of the minimum & 19 that there is a conservative estimate of the transit trips \\
\hline & 0 percentage required by the County is a factor in the trip & 20 built into the analysis because of the numbers from the \\
\hline & 1 generation summary. Ms. Wagner can correct me if I am & 21 county. If those numbers are not correct, because in \\
\hline & 2 but that was my understanding of her testimony & 22 actuality more people use transit, that's a good thing for \\
\hline & 3 & 23 everybody \\
\hline & 4 Mr. BURDIN: Okay. I understand that. What & 24 But instead of erring on the side of thinking \\
\hline & 5 trying to get at is you mentioned conversations with & 25 there's going to be more transit, we err on the side of \\
\hline & 182 & 184 \\
\hline & Brandywine about employees and shifts. I thought to suggest & 1 thinking that there's going to be less transit because the \\
\hline & that the numbers you got in this report might be a little & 2 different mitigation strategies that are common amongst \\
\hline & low; is that correct or incorrect? & 3 traffic experts and traffic planners mostly go towards \\
\hline & MS. WAGNER: No. The conversations we had with & 4 vehicular traffic. \\
\hline & Brandywine are to make sure that our calculations and our & 5 So again, this is what I've gathered from Ms \\
\hline & trip generation seemed reasonable with what they are & 6 Wagner's testimony today. I don't know if -- the question \\
\hline & anticipating and are not underestimating or overestimating & 7 regarding the master plan really fits in here, Mr. Burdin. \\
\hline & based on the prescribed rate that we are required to use by & 8 If you can ask your question another way perhaps, that \\
\hline & staff. And this so that is what we know detail every -- we & 9 might get better to the point of what you're trying to make. \\
\hline & 0 don't detail all of the specifics in our traffic study with & 10 MR. BURDIN: Okay. I previously asked her \\
\hline & 1 regards to employment data because we have to use the & 11 proximity of the project to mass transit, such as the metro \\
\hline & 2 specific rates. And so we didn't want to -- that we & 12 is relevant to what you're going to make a recommendation on \\
\hline & 3 anticipate more people using transit than our traffic study & 13 or what the County is going to decide about the zoning change \\
\hline & 4 indicates. But we don't want to underestimate the number of & 14 and she said, yes it is. So what I'm trying to understand is \\
\hline & 5 vehicle trips generated by the project, and so we are not & 15 that -- and other people have testified about the proximity \\
\hline & 6 mitigating those impacts. We don't need to do anything to & 16 to transit and being the root of the zoning change. \\
\hline & 7 mitigate transit impacts, that's seen as a plus, that more & 17 But the statement in the report is that transit \\
\hline & 8 people are using transit than are driving. & 18 use is expected to be manual, and there's nothing in the \\
\hline & 9 MR. BURDIN: So I understand, if yo & 19 report that says that that's wrong. And that this policy, \\
\hline & 0 conversations with Brandywine indicated that this county & 20 whether it's in the master plan, or whatever, is going to be \\
\hline & 1 required assessment that you did use in their parameters, & 21 served by this project. And that's what I'm trying to I keep \\
\hline & 2 wasn't grossly underestimated anticipated transit trips, & 22 getting objected to and said it's not relevant and she's not \\
\hline & 3 would you have mentioned that in your report? & 23 an expert, well who is? \\
\hline & 4 MS. WAGNER: Not necessarily, no. & 24 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I can understand \\
\hline & 5 MR. BURDIN: Why would you put that in there? & 25 your point. And I note your point, but I don't think that \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 185 & 187 \\
\hline 1 this witness can comment on the master planning process going & 1 MS. WAGNER: Into the background conditions \\
\hline 2 forward if that makes sense. And I don't think this hearing & 2 that -- existing conditions look at just -- I've -- sorry. I \\
\hline 3 would be the best avenue in which to accomplish that. I'm & 3 think actually, existing conditions we had the count \\
\hline 4 thinking of what other witness might be of value. But I & 4 adjustment factor as well as the school traffic. And then, \\
\hline 5 think we can move on from this point, but I do understand & 5 we look at volumes with those adjustments already considered. \\
\hline 6 your point, sir. & 6 So the existing conditions take into consideration the \\
\hline 7 MR. BURDIN: Okay. & 7 school. \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: But I think we can & 8 MR. BURDIN: And what were you adjusting? Your \\
\hline 9 move on with any other questions that you have at this point. & 92020 counts? \\
\hline 10 Mr. BURDIN: Okay. Turning to the LATR, you & 10 MS. WAGNER: I'm going to refer to the Exhibit so \\
\hline 11 concluded that all the intersections in the study were & 11 that way we can -- if you go to page 25. \\
\hline 12 operating within the congestion standards; is that right & 12 MR. BURDIN: Again, PDF or document? \\
\hline 13 MS. WAGNER: Yes. & 13 MS. WAGNER: It's on the screen, it's page 18 of \\
\hline 14 Mr. BURDIN: Okay. The -- and this is Exhibit 47, & 14 the document. \\
\hline 15 Table 7 shows the delays at the six intersections and the & 15 So on the top of the little picture, scroll up \\
\hline 16 existing background and the future conditions for a.m. peak & 16 just a little bit. It says adjusted existing peak hour \\
\hline 17 hours; have I got that right? & 17 traffic volumes includes the following. Peak hour traffic \\
\hline 18 MS. WAGNER: Yep. & 18 volumes collected in the fall of 2020, removal of existing \\
\hline 19 Mr. BURDIN: Okay. Currently the intersection at & 19 school volumes captured in the 2020 counts. That takes into \\
\hline 20 Strathmore and Stillwater includes the entrance to the Holy & 20 consideration that the school was not operating normally. We \\
\hline 21 Cross Academy, right? & 21 just removed virtual operations, whatever was happening at \\
\hline 22 MS. WAGNER: It's page 37 & 22 the school, and then we added in the COVID-19 policy \\
\hline 23 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Thank you. & 23 adjustment as well as projected school volumes with a full \\
\hline 24 MS. WAGNER: You're welcome & 24 enrollment of 600 students \\
\hline 25 MR. BURDIN: I may be using the document pages. & 25 MR. BURDIN: Okay. And then -- but didn't you \\
\hline 186 & 188 \\
\hline 1 MS. WAGNER: He's just pulling themup. You said & 1 make all these adjustments to historical traffic will counts \\
\hline 2 Table 7 so I just wanted to make sure we had the -- we're all & 2 counts from 2013 and 2005? \\
\hline 3 on the same page. Sorry, can you repeat your question? & 3 MS. WAGNER: No. We have two different studies. \\
\hline \(4 \quad\) MR. BURDIN: Sure. Currently the intersection at & 4 So this is the LAT our study that was done in accordance with \\
\hline 5 Strathmore and Stillwater, which is reflected on the chart & 5 Montgomery County's policies, and then we have an LATR \\
\hline 6 includes the entrance to the Holy Cross Academy, right? & 6 traffic study -- or we have the supplemental analysis that \\
\hline 7 MS. WAGNER: Yes, it does. & 7 was done in accordance with park and planning's -- the \\
\hline 8 MR. BURDIN: Okay. And for the counts that you & 8 supplemental analysis was done to address MCDOT and SHA \\
\hline 9 used in the LATR for this intersection if you had used a & 9 comments. \\
\hline 10 count during 2020, during the pandemic when the Academy was & 10 MR. BURDIN: Okay. Yeah. I'm not confusing it \\
\hline 11 not in session in person meaning those students and no or few & 11 with the supplemental analysis. My understanding is since \\
\hline 12 staff that would grossly undercount the anticipated traffic & 12 you concluded that the counts and even adjusting the 2020 \\
\hline 13 at that intersection even with the County's 1.07 adjustment & 13 counts for the school would not be at all accurate because \\
\hline 14 factor; is that fair to say? & 14 the school was not in session, that you went back and used \\
\hline 15 MS. WAGNER: No. That is not correct. AS I & 152005 and 2013 actual counts for that intersection at \\
\hline 16 indicated previously we included background developments, as & 16 Strathmore and Stillwater, which is also the school entrance, \\
\hline 17 well as taking into consideration schools that are not in & 17 and you adjusted those to the current time and to an \\
\hline 18 session -- that were not fully in operation. And so we did & 18 enrollment of 600? \\
\hline 19 add in, in addition to the count policy, background & 19 MS. WAGNER: Yes. Yes, now I see what your \\
\hline 20 developments, we also added in the schools traffic assuming & 20 question is. Yes, and that is provided on page 20 where we \\
\hline 21 full approved enrollment, which is 600 students and the & 21 calculated trip generation rates for previous driveway counts \\
\hline 22 school does not have any desire to reach that full enrollment & 22 done for the school to project out what a 600 enrollment and \\
\hline 23 at this time. And so we did add in those fully 600 students & 23 traffic volumes would be. \\
\hline 24 assuming full enrollment. & 24 MR. BURDIN: Okay. so you actually used \\
\hline 25 MR. BURDIN: Okay. You added them into what? & 25 historical counts even though you suggest elsewhere those are \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 189 & 191 \\
\hline 1 not proper for this particular intersection. I understand it & 1 by the school is going to be shifted from Strathmore and \\
\hline 2 totally. I think it was the right thing to do, but you used & 2 Stillwater under existing and background to Strathmore center \\
\hline 3 historical counts for that intersection, right? Strathmore & 3 entrance in the fiture conditions, right? \\
\hline 4 and Stillwater. & 4 MS. WAGNER: Yes, that is correct. \\
\hline 5 MS. WAGNER: We used historical counts to & 5 MR. BURDIN: Okay. So from the perspective of \\
\hline 6 calculate the trip generation for the school. We did not use & 6 trying to understand what happens to this delay that's \\
\hline 7 historical counts for that intersectio & 7 indicated for existing and future versus -- I mean, existing \\
\hline 8 MR. BURDIN: Okay. In using your Table 7 shows & 8 and background versus fiture, those are the intersections to \\
\hline 9 for the Strathmore Stillwater intersection a delay of 445.2 & 9 look at? \\
\hline 10 seconds for the a.m. existing conditions; is that correct? & 10 MS. WAGNER: Again, like I said, it's not apples \\
\hline 11 MS. WAGNER: Yes. & 11 to apples but that is why there is a reduction in delay at \\
\hline 12 MR. BURDIN: And for the background at the same & 12 the Strathmore and Stillwater intersection. \\
\hline 13 intersection and time period is 449.9? & 13 MR. BURDIN: Okay. And the future conditions \\
\hline 14 MS. WAGNER: Yes. & 14 includes the traffic added that by the proposed development, \\
\hline 15 MR. BURDIN: And the LATR contributes that & 15 including the homes and residential care facility; is that \\
\hline 16 existing delay to a significant number of westbound left & 16 right? \\
\hline 17 turning traffic turning into the school and northbound left & 17 MS. WAGNER: Yes. \\
\hline 18 turning traffic turning out of the school. I think you said & 18 MR. BURDIN: Okay. So if the delay, or congestion \\
\hline 19 that before, right? & 19 between the existing conditions and future conditions was \\
\hline 20 MS. WAGNER: Yes. & 20 reduced by about 40 times; is that right? \\
\hline 21 MR. BURDIN: Okay. And, as I think you've said in & 21 MS. WAGNER: Yes. \\
\hline 22 the proposed future conditions the entrance as shifted to the & 22 MR. BURDIN: And explain the reduction in delay \\
\hline 23 center road so that the entrance and exit for the school is & 23 the LATR mentions the alignment of the western most project \\
\hline 24 now the center drive entrance, right? & 24 road with Stillwater which eliminates a slight offset and \\
\hline 25 MS. WAGNER: That's correct. & 25 moving the school traffic to the proposed center entrance. \\
\hline 190 & 192 \\
\hline 1 MR. BURDIN: Okay. So in terms of the Academy & 1 This is a part of your explanation for the reduction in \\
\hline 2 traffic between existing background and as compared to future & 2 delay, is that right? \\
\hline 3 conditions your report compares the delays to the existing & 3 MS. WAGNER: Yes, in addition to other analysis \\
\hline 4 Strathmore Stillwater intersection with the future Strathmore & 4 parameters. \\
\hline 5 center entrance; is that right? & 5 MR. BURDIN: Okay. You also point to adding a \\
\hline 6 MS. WAGNER: We have all of the intersections in & 6 left turn lane out of the proposed school entrance so that \\
\hline 7 here. None of them go away. & 7 there would be a single lane for traffic turning right into \\
\hline 8 MR. BURDIN: Right. But for purposes of & 8 the school and separate right and left turns out of the \\
\hline 9 understanding this delay at Strathmore and Stillwater which & 9 school, did I get that right? \\
\hline 10 is in large part, or in some part caused by the school & 10 MS. WAGNER: I didn't think that there was a right \\
\hline 11 entrance and exit, and the new entrance and exit to the & 11 turn lane into the school. \\
\hline 12 school the -- on the chart the relevant things to compare and & \(12 \mathrm{MR} . \mathrm{BURDIN}\) : I'm sorry, not a separate lane but \\
\hline 13 look at are the 445.2 and 449.9 number and for the future & 13 cars on Strathmore would turn right into the school and into \\
\hline 14 conditions the 10.7 number for the Strathmore center site & 14 the lane? \\
\hline 15 driveway? & 15 MS. WAGNER: Yes. \\
\hline 16 MS. WAGNER: No, you can't compare apples to & 16 MR. BURDIN: I'mjust trying to establish \\
\hline 17 apples because the Stillwater Strathmore intersection in & 17 this -- the other mitigating -- or other factor you \\
\hline 18 background and existing conditions is an offset intersection. & 18 mentioned, there's three lanes coming out of the school; is \\
\hline 19 And it's a four-way intersection whereas, in the future & 19 that right? Without worrying about -- \\
\hline 20 condition it's only a T-intersection, and so the nature of an & 20 MS. WAGNER: There's two lanes coming out of the \\
\hline 21 offset four-way intersection compared to a T-intersection & 21 proposed driveway and one -- it's two lanes out and one lane \\
\hline 22 they are not apples to apples comparison when we run our & 22 in so that's (inaudible) intersection. \\
\hline 23 traffic models. & 23 MR. BURDIN: Yeah. Okay. Three. Right, right, \\
\hline 24 MR. BURDIN: Okay. But your report says that the & 24 that's what I was trying to get at. And as analyzed in the \\
\hline 25 school traffic -- that the traffic and congestion generated & 25 LATR are there any other ground changes affecting the delays \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 193 & 195 \\
\hline 1 at the center school entrance? Are those three things & 1 want to say primarily yes, but there are small tweaks that \\
\hline 2 capture what's -- explaining the delay? & 2 happen, it's called progression factor where it's kind of \\
\hline 3 MS. WAGNER: So in our models we have to include & 3 taking into the movement of cars along a corridor. So while \\
\hline 4 other parameters to account for on the ground conditions. & 4 no, theoretically, but there is a small part that is affected \\
\hline 5 These include peak hour factors heavy vehicle percentages. & 5 it. \\
\hline 6 I'm trying to think of anything else. And those go into our & 6 MR. BURDIN: Okay. But for the most part it's not \\
\hline 7 models as we -- that they are from our traffic counts that we & 7 going to solve that problem? \\
\hline 8 collect and so we have to put those into our models. And so & 8 MS. WAGNER: Cor \\
\hline 9 those are seeing in our -- in the reports included in the & 9 MR. BURDIN: Okay. So again, in layperson's \\
\hline 10 appendix of our traffic study. And so those also affect the & 10 terms, to the best of your ability, can you explain what \\
\hline 11 analysis results. & 11 happens to all the cars and congestion that's reflected Table \\
\hline 12 MR. BURDIN: Okay. But nothing else on the & 127 in the line about Strathmore and Stillwater and the \\
\hline 13 ground? & 13 entrance, you know 445 seconds of delay that gets down to \\
\hline 14 MS. WAGNER: Not that I am & 14 seconds? That's very hard to understand. Like, what happen \\
\hline 15 MR. BURDIN: Counting, yeah. Okay. In the sort & 15 to all those cars? How are things moving so much more \\
\hline 16 of layperson's terms, is it fair to say that congestion or & 16 efficiently the delay is almost eliminated. \\
\hline 17 delay is caused by too many cars with too few places to go on & 17 MS. WAGNER: I think that this is best shown in \\
\hline 18 the roads? I know you're an expert and that's not very & 18 Figure 31 -- or on page 31 of the LATR. Again, it's Exhibit \\
\hline 19 technical, but I'm trying to understand & 19 47. Where delay is calculated at the intersection, and its' \\
\hline 20 MS. WAGNER: Yes. & 20 again that there are, little picture number 2 for \\
\hline 21 MR. BURDIN: Okay. Now, can you explain, again, & 21 intersection 2 , where you can see there are a lot of vehicle \\
\hline 22 in a layperson's terms how the alignment of the Stillwater & 22 turning movements at that intersection being removed. And \\
\hline 23 and the western entrance of the site is going to reduce the & 23 when you, again, have only a single lane exiting the site, \\
\hline 24 delay and congestion? & 24 that all of the cars turning right are behind all the cars \\
\hline 25 MS. WAGNER: They are (inaudible) that are & 25 turning left. And so just think about how much longer that \\
\hline 194 & 196 \\
\hline 1 considered in our models. I do not do these as hand & 1 makes -- if you have a right turn movement that's more \\
\hline 2 calculations, these are (inaudible) traffic software that we & 2 readily available when vehicles are turning right into the \\
\hline 3 use where we input all these parameters and the calculation & 3 site, vehicles can turn right out of the site. \\
\hline 4 is done in the software. And so I can't really explain those & 4 Again, that drastically redes the delay in having \\
\hline 5 in layperson's terms because the manuals to do those & 5 those two movements able to happen at the new intersection \\
\hline 6 calculations are hundreds and hundreds of pages long. But it & 6 And then, any -- again, the -- providing the westbound left \\
\hline 7 has to do with the amount of reaction time, the delays caused & 7 turn lane that you have 111 cars in the morning peak hour \\
\hline 8 by turning left and then there's somebody turning right and & 8 trying to turn into the site and then they're blocking all of \\
\hline 9 so you're not quite have the same ability to turn at the -- & 9 those cars behind them. And so -- \\
\hline 10 to be at the intersection at the same time. & 10 MR. BURDIN: I'm sorry, are you talking about on \\
\hline 11 MR. BURDIN: But that -- well, first of all, the & 11 Strathmore, the left turn lane? \\
\hline 12 numbers of people using Stillwater, either coming out of it & 12 MS. WAGNER: Yes. Yeah. \\
\hline 13 or turning into it were pretty low. Is that fair to say? & 13 MR. BURDIN: Okay. Well, there is none in this \\
\hline 14 MS. WAGNER: Yes. Yep. & 14 analysis, isn't that right? \\
\hline 15 MR. BURDIN: Okay. And in this realignment of and & 15 MS. WAGNER: Right, but you were asking why the \\
\hline 16 the western entrance will not affect, or improve people on & 16 delay is reduced so drastically and I'm trying to explain \\
\hline 17 Strathmore heading west, turning left into the Academy and & 17 that. \\
\hline 18 the center entrance, right? & 18 MR. BURDIN: Yeah, but you're pointing to a left \\
\hline 19 MS. WAGNER: Can you say your question again? & 19 turn lane on Strathmore that doesn't exist in this analysis. \\
\hline 20 MR. BURDIN: Sure. The realignment of Stillwater & 20 That's only in the supplemental analysis; isn't that right? \\
\hline 21 and the western entrance into the proposed development will & 21 MS. WAGNER: Okay. Then I'll explain why. So \\
\hline 22 not address the identified problem of cars on Strathmore & 22 I'll take away that one. \\
\hline 23 heading West, turning left into the center entrance? & 23 MR. BURDIN: Okay. \\
\hline 24 MS. WAGNER: No, but our models do take into & 24 MS. WAGNER: Other reasons why the delay got \\
\hline 25 consideration other intersections in the network. And so I & 25 reduced so drastically at this intersection. And it's -- and \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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same intersection in a.m peak was 77.7 seconds with no
signalization, right?

MS. WAGNER: Yep.
MR. BURDIN: Okay. And for this intersection, the
supplemental analysis used, the 2013 historical counts and adjusted them in various ways including for 600 students; isn't that right?

MS. WAGNER: Yes, that is correct.
MR. BURDIN: So the difference for this
intersection between the LATR and the supplemental was any supplemental you did not use the 2005 counts, right?

MS. WAGNER: No, we used the -- because the 2005 counts were to take into consideration the 600 students. And the 2013 counts, I think that we -- sorry, I just need to refresh my memory.

MR. BURDIN: Sure.
MS. WAGNER: So we did the same. So on page 10 of the supplemental analysis we also removes the 2013 driveway
counts because in 2013 the school was not at full enrollment, and again, we wanted to kind of like a background project we needed to make sure to assume all of the traffic that is approved on the roadway network. And so that's why we removed, again, the 2013 school driveway counts and added a full 600 enrollment to the roadway network.

MR. BURDIN: Are you saying you did not rely on
the 2013 historical counts as a starting point?
MS. WAGNER: No, I am not saying that. We had 2013 and 2016 counts not at every intersection. We had 2013 -- and this is detailed on page 4 of the supplemental analysis. We had 2013 counts at Stillwater and Strathmore. And then we had 2016 counts at Rockville Pike and Strathmore.

And so we need to be able to have full enrollment of the school on the roadway network at all of the study intersections, and so that's where we took away the 2013 turning movements for the school and added in the full 600 students enrollment onto the roadway network intersections. So we did take in -- so while we did use the 2013 counts at Strathmore and Stillwater, we still removed the school traffic volumes and added in the full enrollment.

MR. BURDIN: Okay. So you essentially adjusted them as if that 2013 counts as if there were 600 students?

MS. WAGNER: Yes. Yeah.
MR. BURDIN: Okay. And that similar to what you did in the LATR with the 2005 and 2013 counts; is that right?

MS. WAGNER: Yeah, we did, And I think the table is the exact same that was in the LATR that's in the supplemental analysis.

MR. BURDIN: Okay. And you used the same modeling program between the LATR and the supplemental analysis?

MS. WAGNER: We used Synchro, The traffic soffware
```

then it's the conflicting movements with the southbound
vehicles is again why the delay is reduced so drastically.
MR. BURDIN: So the extra lane coming out of the
entrance, maybe that's what you were meaning to refer to will
help. That's understandable. But --
MS. WAGNER: And the southbound conflicting
vehicles, yes.
MR. BURDIN: Okay. Are you suggesting cars
leaving the Holy Cross center -- the existing exit out of
Holy Cross Academy would take advantage of cars turning right
into the Academy to make a left turn? Did you say that or
did I mishear?
MS. WAGNER: In the future, when it gets shifted.
If a car's turning right in they can turn -- if a car is
turning right in then both cars can -- where if a car is
turning right in, a car can turn right out. Then whereas,
when there is only a single lane they are stuck behind the
left turning vehicle.
MR. BURDIN: Okay. I thought you said the cars
could turn -- take advantage of that car on Strathmore going
is turning into the Academy entrance, cars coming out of the
entrance could turn left and headed west on Strathmore.
Okay. You did not say that.
MS. WAGNER: No, I didn't mean to. If I did I
apologize.
then it's the conflicting movements with the southbound vehicles is again why the delay is reduced so drastically.
MR. BURDIN: So the extra lane coming out of the
entrance, maybe that's what you were meaning to refer to will
help. That's understandable. But --
MS. WAGNER: And the southbound conflicting vehicles, yes.
MR. BURDIN: Okay. Are you suggesting cars leaving the Holy Cross center -- the existing exit out of into the Academy to make a left turn? Did you say that or did I mishear?
MS. WAGNER: In the future, when it gets shifted.
If a car's turning right in they can turn -- if a car is
turning right in then both cars can -- where if a car is
when there is only a single lane they are stuck behind the
left turning vehicle.
MR. BURDIN: Okay. I thought you said the cars
could turn -- take advantage of that car on Strathmore going
entrance could turn leff and headed west on Strathmore.
Okay. You did not say that.
MS. WAGNER: No, I didn't mean to. IfI did I apologize.

```
    MR. BURDIN: Yes, that sounds very dangerous.
    MS. WAGNER: Yeah.
    MR. BURDIN: Okay. Is there anything else you
have to explain this 40 times at reduction between the
existing and future?
    MS. WAGNER: Not that I can think of.
    MR. BURDIN: Okay. Now, turning to the
supplemental analysis it uses different accounts and
adjustment factors for all the intersections than the
0 original LATR did; is that correct?
    MS. WAGNER: Yes.
    MR. BURDIN: And it has a table similar to Table 7
in the LTR that also contains the existing background and
future delays for the a.m. and p.m. for all the
intersections; is that right?
16 MS. WAGNER: Yes. And that is Exhibit 50, and
page 26 .
    MR. BURDIN: Okay. And that analysis resulted in
existing condition delays of 224.2 seconds at the Strathmore
Stillwater for the a.m. peak; is that right?
    MS. WAGNER: Yes.
    MR. BURDIN: Okay. And that's unsignalized,
right, that we're talking about right now?
    MS. WAGNER: Yes.
    MR. BURDIN: Okay. And then the future for that
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 201 & 203 \\
\hline 1 in both of our models, but we did have different parameters & 1 and input parameters into our models as prescribed in the \\
\hline 2 that we had to use in our models to take into consideration & 2 LATR guidelines. And when we don't' have typical traffic \\
\hline 3 the different counts that were collected and used as the & 3 volumes to base our parameters on that we are going to input \\
\hline & 4 into our models we can't just make things up. And that is, \\
\hline 5 MR. BURDIN: But the difference between the counts & 5 again, why we did our supplemental analysis to make sure that \\
\hline 6 was that's between the two was, in one you used 2005 and '13, & 6 we had baseline volumes that reflected typical travel \\
\hline 7 and the other you only used 2013, right? & 7 patterns. \\
\hline 8 MS. WAGNER: No. No, you're getting -- it's kind & 8 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: So Mr. Burdin, \\
\hline 9 of confusion because the school counts were done in 2005 and & 9 we're going to one more question on this topic and then we're \\
\hline 102013 and the historical counts at the traffic intersections & 10 going to have to move on. \\
\hline 11 were done in 2016 and 2013. So LATR used 2020 traffic & 11 MR. BURDIN: Okay. Well, let me turn to the \\
\hline 12 volumes, supplemental analysis used 2013 and 2016 volumes & 12 traffic signal. The Applicant has said they are committed to \\
\hline 13 both studies used the 2005, 2013 school trip rates for 600 & 13 installing a traffic signal and left turn lane westbound on \\
\hline 14 students. & 14 Strathmore at the future center entrance. But those can only \\
\hline 15 MR. BURDIN: Okay. So the -- what's a little & 15 happen if approved by the Maryland SHA; is that correct? \\
\hline 16 strange to me and hopefilly you can explain it is the LATR & 16 MS. WAGNER: Yes. And we have received \\
\hline 17 had higher existing and background delays at the Strathmore & 17 correspondence that they support the traffic signal. \\
\hline 18 Stillwater intersection in the a.m. and the supplemental & 18 MR. BURDIN: What correspondence are those? \\
\hline 19 analysis had a lower existing and background delays but the & 19 MS. WAGNER: It's detailed in the staff report. I \\
\hline 20 LATR had lower future conditions and the supplemental had & 20 don't know exactly which exhibit that is. But the staff \\
\hline 21 higher future conditions. That seems very confusing to me. & 21 report has email correspondence from both SHA and MCDOT as \\
\hline 22 One starts higher and ends up lower and one starts lower and & 22 well as our SHA approval letter \\
\hline 23 ends up higher. & 23 MR. BURDIN: So are you saying that there's -- \\
\hline 24 MS. WAGNER: And I can see where that's confusing & 24 that the traffic light, left turn lane is a sure thing based \\
\hline 25 and it goes into those parameters that I was explaining & 25 on these emails? \\
\hline 202 & 204 \\
\hline 1 previously that because the counts were 2020 didn't reflect & 1 MS. WAGNER: I cannot say a sure thing, but we \\
\hline 2 really condition and part of the reason why did that & 2 have support formSHA and MCDOT for a traffic signal and tut \\
\hline 3 supplemental analysis was to make sure into consideration & 3 lane. \\
\hline 4 typical traffic roadway patterns and those are what those & 4 MR. BURDIN: Support but not approval at this \\
\hline 5 parameters adjusted. At the end of the day both studies say & 5 time? \\
\hline 6 that the Stillwater Strathmore intersection is really bad in & 6 MS. WAGNER: I don't know what the full - \\
\hline 7 existing and background conditions. & 7 don't' know exactly what the language says in both of those, \\
\hline 8 And that that's why there's hundreds of seconds of & 8 but I'm going to stick with support as the word. \\
\hline 9 delay and staff recognizes that and then, it will get a lot & \(9 \quad\) MR. BURDIN: Okay. Are you aware that on days \\
\hline 10 better when you align the intersection and remove the school & 10 that Holy Cross Academy has in person classes a police \\
\hline 11 volumes to the center driveway. And again, the supplemental & 11 officer directs traffic at the entrance during the morning \\
\hline 12 analysis says because there is higher volumes that the delay & 12 opening and afternoon dismissal times? \\
\hline 13 needs to be mitigated at the school driveway and why we have & 13 MS. WAGNER: Yes, I am aware of that and the \\
\hline 14 proposed both a traffic signal and the westbound left turn & 14 school is committed to keeping the police officer even with \\
\hline 15 lane. & 15 the traffic signal. They recognize that that that is a vital \\
\hline 16 MR. BURDIN: Let me try a different way. Can you & 16 part of getting vehicles in and out vehicles in and out of \\
\hline 17 explain why the reduction in delay reflected in the LATR is & 17 the school, and to help with pedestrian safety at that \\
\hline 18 the 40 times reduction and what I think are similar & 18 intersection. \\
\hline 19 explanations for why the delay is reduced in the supplemental & 19 MR. BURDIN: Does your analysis mention, or \\
\hline 20 analysis. How is -- again, how does one start higher and end & 20 account for our analyzing the existence of the police officer \\
\hline & \\
\hline 22 MS. WAGNER: Because the parameters were not & 22 MS. WAGNER: No, we cannot put a police officer \\
\hline 23 typical and that is why we did the supplemental analysis. & 23 managing traffic into our Synchro models, unfortunately. \\
\hline 24 And that is why we didn't have a baseline of what the & 24 MR. BURDIN: Okay. \\
\hline 25 parameters should be, but we still have to follow policies & 25 MS. WAGNER: It's only helps -- it would only help \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

our analysis results.
MR. BURDIN: Okay. I think I've covered
everything else with other witnesses. I thank you, Mr.
Baumgardner for indulging me a little bit there.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Sure. Thank you
all very much. We have a couple of questions. Again, were
going to make sure that we keep these to questions of MS.
WAGNER. If you are represented by counsel, please let your
attorney asked those questions. We'll start with Ms. Lide,
who has her virtual hand raised. Do you have any questions
for Ms. Wagner at this time, ma'am?
Ms. Lide, are you there? We'll come back to you.
Ms. Weitz, do you have any questions for Ms. Wagner at this
time?
MS. WEITZ: I'm trying to --
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: You were un-muted
for a hot second, and now you are muted again. So I can
unmute you.
MS. WEITZ: Oh, please.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Just give me one
second. All right, you are unmuted.
MS. WEITZ: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: What was your
question?
MS. WEITZ: I keep when I'm -- for some reason I'm

```
having an lot of problems with muting and un-muting. Thank
you very much.
    I have some questions for Ms. Sloan -- not Ms.
Sloan, Ms. Wagner. Excuse me. Mr. Sloan testified that the
Academy of the holy cross traffic required dedicated
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Sounds like
there's --
    MS. WEITZ: I was getting an echo. I don't know
why.
    HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Let's try your
question again, ma'am
    MS. WEITZ: Yes, Mr. Sloan testified that the
Academy of the Holy Cross required traffic -- required
dedicated queuing space. And he then described that you were
creating a queue out of the new main entrance which I believe
is road A, correct? That's going to be two lanes out?
    MS. WAGNER: Yes.
    MS. WEITZ: And then a new left turn lane heading
west, correct?
    MS. WAGNER: Yes, that's correct.
    MS. WEITZ: So I'm extremely concerned because I
feel there is an elephant in the room that has not been
mentioned once and that is the significant traffic heading
east from Rockville Pike that is heading towards the
Connecticut Avenue corridor in addition to the Academy of the
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And when they built Symphony Park which is just a
short distance from Rockville Pike, they included a right
turn lane so that those residents of Symphony Park who were
heading into their community to not have to queue up.
They're out of the queue on Strathmore Avenue.
Now, I live in Strathmore Place and I don't know,
Mr. Baumgardner if you would like me to clarify where that
is, if you know where that is?
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I can pull up an exhibit real quick.

MS. WEITZ: Well, I have something. Can you share my screen, or do I have to do that?

HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I would prefer not to at this time because we're trying to ask Ms. Wagner questions about both the report and then --

MS. WEITZ: Okay. So we are townhomes that are
north of Strathmore, our access -- and we are west of
Rockville Pike. We're right at the corner of Strathmore and
Rockville Pike. Our only exit out of the community is via
Jolly Way and if we wish to go either south on the Pike or
east toward the Connecticut Avenue corridor we need to go
onto Strathmore Avenue.
And as -- and I'm sorry I don't remember the
attorney for the developer's name, as she mentioned the maps
in the area were incorrect as neither Bangor Road nor the
Strathmore access road cut through so we have no access to
Stillwater at all. We have to exit onto Strathmore Avenue.
Now, every morning my husband and I walk during the school
drop off times. Traffic is backed up every single morning,
sometimes it goes --
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Ms. Weitz?
MS. WEITZ: Yes?
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I'm very sorry to interrupt you but we do need a question for Ms. Wagner.

MS. WEITZ: Okay. So my question is, why is there
no right turn queuing on laying which I believe would
significantly reduce the traffic heading east that occurs
every day and even with the lights there are people also --
heavy through traffic so that people wishing to make a right
turn into the Academy of the Holy Cross, or into the
community are not going to be able to make those right turn lanes because through traffic will be stopped at the light.

MS. WAGNER: Yes. And I understand your concern.
We are in a place in the community -- or in the county where
we need to balance the traffic impacts as well as pedestrian,
bike, and transit safety. When you provide a right turn lane
you have a through lane and then a right turn lane, and so
you've increased the distance that pedestrians have to cross
the street. And so we have to balance the two. We have to
balance how long a person crossing a street needs to be in a roadway where vehicles are traveling and how much that reduces the delay. And so we can work with staff further on that, but right turn lanes are not encouraged because of that, because it increases the time and distance pedestrians have to be in the vehicular travel way. So that is why a right turn lane has not been included as part of this proposed project.

MS. WEITZ: Well, if you look at Symphony Park the -- there is a pedestrian walkway that is right after their right turn lane on the --

MS. WAGNER: And I know where it is --
MS. WEITZ: The east side.
MS. WAGNER: Yes.
MS. WEITZ: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: And again, that project was approved that you know that there's -- you hear on the news all the time of number of pedestrian incidents that we have in the county and so it's really trying to reduce vehicular travel speeds. That project was approved before there was this commitment to pedestrian safety and Vision Zero and so that is why one development may, two blocks away, have a right turn lane and then this one does not have a right turn lane.

MS. WEITZ: So then the figures that Mr. -- Doug presented where it showed the intersection at Stillwater and

Strathmore being many, many times over the allowed amount of
traffic, are you saying that all of that traffic is due to westbound lane -- westbound traffic which will be mitigated with that one left turn lane? Are you negating the traffic that's backing up eastbound?

MS. WAGNER: No. We have taken that fully into consideration but when you consider all -- you consider a right turn lane has a much easier time. They don't have to -- when you're looking at a left turn they have to wait for gaps in the eastbound traffic. And so that's why by providing that left turn lane it allows the through movements to go through but the right turn lane that is rarely blocked by any other movement.

So they have the right of way to turn right and so they are not going to significantly reduce delays. And again, that's why a right turn lane is not always proposed is because it again, increases the pedestrian distance that they have to cross and the benefit for reducing delays is not significant.
20 MS. WEITZ: But what happens with all the cars
21 that are going through? Then those cars cannot make that
right turn because they're blocked by (inaudible).
MS. WAGNER: Everybody's just going through or
turning right and so there's very few -- there's no delays.
MS. WEITZ: But when there is now going to be a
traffic light they cannot make a right turn on red so the traffic is going to back up during the traffic light just like it does now when we have the policeman there and he blocks the traffic so there are left turn lanes and the traffic backs up onto Rockville Pike. It will be the same condition but with a traffic light.

MS. WAGNER: But we have relocated where the traffic is coming out of. We have provided gaps with having a traffic signal as well as two outbound lanes and the 0 westbound left turn lane. So it's completely -- it's not the same situation, it's --

MS. WEITZ: Well, it is --
MS. WAGNER: -- (inaudible) the traffic realigned the intersection and provided additional turning lanes.

MS. WEITZ: I'm having difficulty understanding 6 it. As I see it, you have replaced the traffic policeman 17 with a traffic light at the new road A. And when the traffic 18 going east, which is significant is stopped at a red light, 9 correct, just as they are currently stopped by the policeman, 0 and you have traffic that's going to be turning left into the new road A and traffic that's going forward that's fine for westbound traffic will be moving.

But eastbound traffic is going to continue to be 4 backed up. It will continue to back up on Stillwater. It will continue to -- and maybe instead of going onto Rockville

Pike will continue to block our exit out of Jolly Way and, by
the way, Symphony Park Drive so that we have difficult times
exiting, trying to go east.
MS. GIRARD: Objection. That was more -- I don't
even think there was a question. We're getting into
testimony at this point as to her opinion.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I would agree. Do you have any other follow up questions?

MS. WEITZ: Yes. You said that there's going to
continue to be a policeman, is that going to be at Stillwater or at the new traffic light at Road A?

MS. WAGNER: At Street A, as parents and students will be directed to use that as the primary access point for the school.

MS. WEITZ: Then the new realignment of Stillwater and Road C, they're going to be directly across from each other. How does that become safter if you then have two cars, one going from Stillwater east onto Strathmore, one wanting to go west or one wanting to go right and they are directly across to each other? I don't see how that's going to be any better. How does that help the traffic?

MS. WAGNER: Because the vehicles will be aligned and not offset and as well as the massive reduction in vehicles at that intersection. And so that provides reduced delays as well as a safter intersection alignment.
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\section*{MS. WEITZ: So when traffic backs up at the light at Road A going east it would appear it would be incentive for Academy of the Holy Cross parents to make a right turn onto Road C, correct?}

MS. WAGNER: No. The parents and the students and the staff will be directed to not use Street C.

MS. WEITZ: Will there be signage saying residents only during school hours?

MS. WAGNER: I am not aware of signage. I think that this will be kind of-- that this will likely be a condition of, you're allowed to drive to school, or things like that and that you have to sign. That is typically what schools do.

MS. WEITZ: Okay. And is there any mitigation effort you can think of so that the intersections of Flanders and Strathmore, Stillwater and Strathmore, Jolly Way and Symphony Park Drive can safely exit onto Strathmore Avenue? as right now the intersections are frequently blocked during school pick up, drop off and peak hours of rush hour?

MS. WAGNER: As we've indicated, the mitigation that is proposed is the westbound left turn lane and the traffic signal, as well as shifting the school driveway traffic to a different intersection. And so that will significantly reduce the delays and improve conditions at these Stillwater and Strathmore intersection, To be able to
enter and exit off of Stillwater.
MS. WEITZ: Okay. But not when people continually
block our entranceways, if you will, lock the box.
MS. GIRARD: Again, that's a comment, not a
question.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: I would agree.
MS. GIRARD: Thank you.
MS. WEITZ: Okay. Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, ma'am.
Mr. Ribeiro, very briefly.
MR. RIBEIRO: Thank you, Mr. Baumgardner. I have
tried to be parsimonious with my questions so I appreciate your allowing me to ask a question.

Ms. Wagner, you mentioned that you had done a -you hadn't really done the study, but you looked at the exit onto Cloister Drive from the school and that you -- if I
remember what you said correctly, you said it was -- there
was not enough of a space and it's a private drive, and also
because of the curvature of the street that you couldn't
really -- it would not be a very safe exit; is that correct?
MS. WAGNER: Yes.
MR. RIBEIRO: But did you analyze the potential
foreign exit not onto Cloister, but directly onto Tuckerman
Lane? And in particular, given as you said, that there is
the curvature of the road, Tuckerman Lane is a four lane
road. You could direct traffic in such a way that there is no -- that you cannot cross the street to make a turn, right? You could direct traffic that way during peak hours so that one does not take a left turn across traffic, for example? Did you make that analysis?

MS. WAGNER: We had multiple discussions with staff, and again, if you don't connect to Cloister then you put the access directly on the curvature and so then you have three closely space driveways, you have a median, you're going through wetlands, and those were a number of considerations why that exercise was not -- or that site plan was not developed further is that there was a number of significant challenges but did not present that is a viable option.

16 is wre Ribeiro: Oky. And is when there is a peak traffic here on Strathmore Avenue, and as a resident here on the corner of Stillwater and 18 Strathmore Avenue, sometimes I have to go east on Strathmore Avenue during peak times period I have trouble getting on -there is no way. Like you are waiting for a long time. So how does one exit this neighborhood during peak hours and go east on Strathmore when there is peak traffic?

MS. WAGNER: Yeah. so the traffic signal will be coordinated with the Rockville Pike and Strathmore intersection so that will provide some again, we're moving the school traffic from that intersection and then the signal coordination will provide gaps in traffic to be able to exit Stillwater and travel east.

MR. RIBEIRO: I hope you're right. I have no further questions.

HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, Sir. Councilwoman Bennett, Did you have any follow up questions for Ms. Wagner?

MS. BENNETT: Yes, thank you. Some were answered already, but I was still curious whether Ms. Wagner, you considered the potential impact of delivery truck that would be trying to turn left at the entrance that is before the light, and how that might backup traffic behind it? And whether consolidating could potentially reduce blockages because those trucks could turn at the light?

MS. WAGNER: So the three driveways were provided again, in discussions and planning meetings with staff, and each provides a necessary means for why each driveway has been suggested. Trucks will not be backing into that loading access driveway, so there is not that concern. They will do all their maneuvers on site. Again, if they are trying to turn northbound left of the site there will be those gaps in traffic because of the traffic signal, so it will be easier for them to turn left out of that site.

And again, we also wanted to separate that back of
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 217 & 219 \\
\hline house loading, trash operations from the residential & 1 be had as far as the preliminary plan approval process. We \\
\hline 2 community. And so that is why they are provided back of & 2 are required to look at quite a distance away from our site \\
\hline 3 & 3 based on the number of trips that we are generating. But at \\
\hline MS. BENNETT: So I think you misunderstood what I & 4 this time, the way that the facilities our plan is that they \\
\hline ant when I said backing up behind the trucks; if the truck & 5 can cross north at our traffic signal, walk along the service \\
\hline 6 is trying to move westbound and is turning left, but there is & 6 road of Strathmore Avenue and then cross back down at \\
\hline 7 no left lane for the trucks at that point, then any traffic & 7 Flanders. \\
\hline 8 behind them is going to be stopped while they wait for a & 8 MS. BENNETT: Okay. I did not understand what you \\
\hline 9 break in traffic, right? & 9 meant by goat path, so I think that was the part that \\
\hline 10 MS. WAGNER: Yes, but there will be very minimal & 10 confused me. I still don't know what a goat path is. \\
\hline 11 number of trucks that will be actually coming from that & 11 MS. WAGNER: It's like when you have a Pedestrian \\
\hline 12 direction. And if you look at 355, trucks are more likely to & 12 path that is not paved or anything, it's just because so many \\
\hline 13 be coming from 355 making a right into the site. In & 13 people have walked on it, that's why you call it a little \\
\hline 14 addition, there will be gaps and traffic for a vehicle to & 14 goat path. \\
\hline 15 turn left it because of the adjacent new traffic signal. & 15 MS. BENNETT: Okay. so the students would cross \\
\hline 16 MS. BENNETT: Okay. And then the last question I & 16 from the South side to the north side, walk east, and then \\
\hline 17 had was will elementary age school children be taking the bus & 17 cross a back over Strathmore? So they would have to cross \\
\hline 18 to get to Garrett Park Elementary from the development, or & 18 Strathmore twice? \\
\hline 19 will they be walking? & 19 MS. WAGNER: At this time, yes. But again, as I \\
\hline 20 MS. WAGNER: I do not know that, but my guess is & 20 said, we are still in discussion with park and planning staff \\
\hline 21 that they will be walking. & 21 as far as the pedestrian facilities that will be needed to be \\
\hline 22 MS. BENNETT: Okay. And what is the safe pathway & 22 improved as part of the preliminary plan Approval process. \\
\hline 23 for them to walk? & 23 MS. BENNETT: Thank you. I appreciate the \\
\hline 24 MS. WAGNER: There will be a sidewalk provided & 24 clarification. \\
\hline 25 the edge of the site. There is a -- in addition to also, you & 25 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Thank you all very \\
\hline 218 & 220 \\
\hline w the traffic signal provides a northbound -- a north & 1 much For your questions. Ms. Girard, I'm assuming you have \\
\hline 2 connection to Stillwater Avenue and the service road. And so & 2 redirect for Ms. Wagner, would you like to take that up now, \\
\hline 3 they will be able to walk along the service road sidewalks & 3 or would you like to take that up tomorrow morning? \\
\hline 4 and then use the crosswalk at Flanders, in addition to the -- & 4 MS. GIRARD: I really only have one question so I \\
\hline 5 sorry my -- in addition to, like, the little goat path that & 5 think it makes to just do it now. \\
\hline goes across the church driveway. & 6 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Absolutely. \\
\hline 7 MR. RIBEIRO: I think you meant not at Stillwater, & 7 MS. GIRARD: With regard to the right turn lane \\
\hline 8 I think you meant at the main entrance. & 8 into the site, you mentioned a Vision Zero pedestrian safety \\
\hline MS. WAGNER: Right. & 9 concerns. Also, based on your analysis is that warranted? \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: There is no -- & 10 Is that necessary in order to mitigate traffic impacts? \\
\hline 11 MS. WAGNER: At the main entrance at the new & 11 MS. WAGNER: I'm just double checking. No. We are \\
\hline 12 signal. Thank you very much, sorry. & 12 within the LATR Montgomery County guidelines without the \\
\hline 13 MR. RIBEIRO: I'm sorry to interrupt. & 13 right turn lane. \\
\hline 14 MS. BENNETT: So you're suggesting they would stay & 14 MS. GIRARD: That's all I had. \\
\hline 15 on the southbound side of Strathmore the whole time, even & 15 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Okay. Ms. Wagner, \\
\hline 16 thought there would not be a pathway or sidewalk between the & 16 thank you very much for your testimony. \\
\hline 17 church and Kenilworth Avenue? & 17 I think we are at a good place to stop. I'm glad \\
\hline 18 MS. WAGNER: No. What I said is they will -- at & 18 we got through the transportation witness today. That was \\
\hline 19 the new site -- and again, we are still in discussions with & 19 very helpful. We will pick things back up tomorrow morning. \\
\hline 20 park and planning staff regarding sidewalk infrastructure & 20 I appreciate everyone's testimony and questions period this \\
\hline 21 that will -- that we have to with our updated traffic study & 21 is actually going a lot more smoothly than I had anticipated. \\
\hline 22 we do have to look at pedestrian, bike, and transit & 22 So we are moving quicker and we are moving more efficiently \\
\hline 23 facilities in the area, and we are required to make & 23 than I thought at the start. \\
\hline 24 improvements where they are lacking. So further discussions & 24 So I am hopeful that we can get through everything \\
\hline 25 regarding pedestrian facilities along Strathmore are still to & 25 else tomorrow and we will not need Wednesday. But we will \\
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\hline & 221 & 223 \\
\hline & pick things up tomorrow morning. & 1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER \\
\hline 2 & Are there any questions or follow up that we need & 2 I, Molly Bugher, do hereby certify that the \\
\hline 3 & to address today before we close? & 3 foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the \\
\hline 4 & MS. GIRARD: I just wanted to make a comment for & 4 recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed \\
\hline & your planning purposes. So tomorrow morning we will plan to & 5 to the best of my ability from the audio recording as \\
\hline & call the architect, and he would be the last -- well, we & 6 provided; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor \\
\hline & anticipate he'd be our last case in chief witness. & 7 employed by and of the parties to this case and have no \\
\hline 8 & HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Okay. Thank you & 8 interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome. \\
\hline & very much. & \\
\hline 10 & MR. SHAFFER: I'm going to check -- this is David & 10 Nher \\
\hline & Shaffer. As a technical matter, will you be using the same & 11 lety Buguar \\
\hline 12 & link as for today or will there be a new link? & 12 Molly Bugher, CDLT-161 \\
\hline 13 & HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: It will be the same & 13 Date: February 9, 2022 \\
\hline & link. So we will not change the page at all from today until & 14 \\
\hline & tomorrow. It will be the same link. I typically log on & 15 \\
\hline & 16 about an hour early just to make sure everything is working & 16 \\
\hline 17 & 77 correctly, links work, exhibits work, all that kind of stuff. & 17 \\
\hline 18 & But it will be the same page, same link. & 18 \\
\hline 19 & 9 MR. SHAFFER: Thank you. & 19 \\
\hline 20 & HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: Great. & 20 \\
\hline 21 & Mr. Burdin, anything? & 21 \\
\hline 22 & MR. BURDIN: No, I don't have anything, thank you. & 22 \\
\hline 23 & 3 HEARING EXAMINER BAUMGARDNER: All right. Well, & 23 \\
\hline & 4 thank you all very much. & 24 \\
\hline 25 & Enjoy your afternoon and we'll see many of you or & 25 \\
\hline & 222 & \\
\hline & most of you back here tomorrow morning at 9:30. (The recording was concluded.) & \\
\hline 3 & & \\
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\hline 5 & & \\
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\hline 68:13, 68:24, & 163:16, 163:19, & 4:23 & 23:19, 63:4, \\
\hline 69:7, 69:10, & 214:16, 214:23, & colored & 63:11, 173:19, \\
\hline 69:18, 70:1, & 215:7 & 48:24 & 209:21 \\
\hline 70:5, 86:11, & close & columbia & committed \\
\hline 88:22, 142:16, & 6:14, 7:21, & 68:11 & 29:5, 30:2, \\
\hline 154:13 & 37:22, 50:2, & com & 136:14, 165:22, \\
\hline clarification & 57:6, 62:12, & 9:3, 13:17, & 169:2, 176:19, \\
\hline 93:11, 143:16, & 73:1, 120:21, & \[
20: 14,45: 8,
\] & \[
203: 12, \quad 204: 14
\] \\
\hline 219:24 & 131:18, 140:7, & \[
67: 5, \quad 96: 6,
\] & committee \\
\hline clarify & \[
147: 11, \quad 150: 25,
\] & \[
153: 23
\] & \[
92: 15,92: 16
\] \\
\hline 25:15, 87:7, & \[
221: 3
\] & combination & committing \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{rrr}92: 11, & 92: 23, \\ 143: 18, & 207: 8\end{array}\) & close-in & 32:23 & \[
178: 2
\] \\
\hline 143:18, 207:8 & 21:22 & \begin{tabular}{l}
combined \\
104:21
\end{tabular} & common
\[
91: 19, \quad 115: 4,
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 115:25, 184:2 commonwealth 68:10 communication 71:8 communities
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 21: 19,21: 23, \\
& 22: 2,22: 6, \\
& 27: 6,27: 10, \\
& 29: 6,46: 2, \\
& 46: 17,46: 24, \\
& 48: 1,48: 2, \\
& 49: 7,49: 25, \\
& 51: 3,52: 16, \\
& 54: 17,56: 9, \\
& 57: 9,62: 11, \\
& 62: 24,62: 25
\end{aligned}
\]
community
\[
8: 1,8: 5,8: 12,
\]
\[
17: 6,17: 12,
\]
\[
17: 24,18: 20,
\]
19:7, 22:10,
\[
22: 11,22: 15,
\]
\[
24: 2,24: 8,
\]
\[
26: 7,27: 21,
\]
\[
29: 8,30: 20,
\]
\[
31: 17,32: 22 \text {, }
\]
\[
33: 13,46: 20 \text {, }
\]
\[
47: 1,47: 4,
\]
\[
48: 12,49: 13,
\]
\[
51: 25,53: 12,
\]
\[
53: 15,54: 5,
\]
\[
56: 18,56: 20,
\]
\[
56: 22,58: 2,
\]
\[
61: 11,63: 5 \text {, }
\]
\[
63: 23,71: 24,
\]
\[
74: 13,74: 14,
\]
\[
87: 2,87: 23,
\]
\[
88: 6, \quad 92: 19,
\]
\[
93: 23,94: 16,
\]
\[
113: 14,114: 16,
\]
\[
116: 25,117: 20,
\]
\[
142: 8,143: 1,
\]
\[
149: 17,149: 20,
\]
\[
160: 5,160: 22,
\]
\[
161: 1,162: 2,
\]
\[
162: 8,163: 10,
\]
167:1, 168:11, & \begin{tabular}{l}
174:14, 174:25, 207:5, 207:20, 208:17, 208:20, 217:2 \\
community's 90:18, 168:13 commuter 139:14 compacted 140:19 company \\
21:12, 23:1, \\
57:22, 59:11, \\
59:22, 60:21 \\
compare \\
190:12, 190:16 compared
161:18, 190:2,
\[
190: 21
\] \\
compares
\[
190: 3
\] \\
comparison
\[
162: 6,190: 22
\] \\
compatibility
103:17, 103:21,
\[
104: 1,113: 21
\]
120:4 \\
compatible
\[
30: 15, \quad 30: 17
\]
\[
31: 4,50: 19,
\]
\[
77: 23,78: 2
\] \\
78:7, 104:3, \\
120:3, 120:7, \\
152:20, 169:7 \\
complaint \\
141:3 \\
completed \\
21:17, 80:12, \\
95:17, 101:10, \\
157:2, 160:24 \\
completely \\
61:13, 211:10 \\
compliance \\
139:2, 161:12, \\
168:1 \\
compliant \\
140:25 \\
complies
\[
19: 25
\]
\end{tabular} & ```
comply
117:23, 141:6
component
167:23
compressed
152:19
computation
78:18
computations
77:5, 79:7
computer
15:18, 67:15
concept
70:1, 71:20,
76:10, 76:25,
77:4, 77:13,
85:1, 85:20,
131:24
conceptionally
106:9
concepts
70:9
conceptual
27:18, 71:13,
75:16, 76:17,
77:4, 78:18,
104:13, 116:17,
117:7, 128:4,
131:4, 132:19,
135:25, 151:2
conceptually
136:13
concern
19:14, 58:2,
58:3, 61:14,
75:12, 168:11,
174:6, 177:21,
208:19, 216:20
concerned
61:7, 61:8,
61:9, 88:17,
117:20, 158:2,
206:21
concerns
17:9, 17:13,
18:20, 29:10,
71:25, 108:23,
114:18, 158:23,
``` &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline control & 33:1, 41:12, & 55:8, 56:17, & 186:10, 186:19, \\
\hline 12:21, 23:6, & 41:15, 42:20, & 61:16, 63:2, & 187:3 \\
\hline 126:24, 127:9 & 43:13, 43:21, & 66:25, 67:7, & counter \\
\hline convenient & 49:3, 51:18, & 73:4, 73:12, & 145:24 \\
\hline 38:12, 174:2 & 51:19, 83:7, & 88:8, 88:16, & counting \\
\hline convening & 84:19, 85:15, & 89:8, 101:3, & 193:15 \\
\hline 72:15 & 92:22, 121:10, & 101:16, 101:18, & counts \\
\hline conversation & 121:11, 124:14, & 108:9, 109:14, & 19:9, 19:16, \\
\hline 12:4, 174:11, & 124:22, 125:24, & 110:9, 114:19, & 157:12, 157:14, \\
\hline 180:5 & 126:7, 127:11, & 114:20, 124:23, & 159:3, 159:6, \\
\hline conversations & 127:24, 128:12, & 126:1, 126:5, & 159:7, 159:9, \\
\hline 38:22, 144:22, & 128:20, 130:3, & 130:10, 130:15, & 159:11, 160:23, \\
\hline 144:23, 166:2, & 131:9, 132:24, & 134:11, 134:13, & 160:25, 161:6, \\
\hline 166:8, 167:25, & 133:13, 134:5, & 145:16, 146:8, & 161:8, 161:11, \\
\hline 168:15, 181:7, & 134:22, 135:17, & 148:22, 150:4, & 161:13, 161:15, \\
\hline 181:10, 181:15, & 136:25, 137:1, & 151:22, 152:24, & 161:19, 162:1, \\
\hline 181:25, 182:4, & 137:15, 170:13, & 178:15, 197:20, & 162:7, 171:16, \\
\hline 182:20 & 170:14, 173:7, & 197:22, 215:1, & 171:25, 186:8, \\
\hline conveying & 179:14, 181:21, & 215:3, 216:14, & 187:9, 187:19, \\
\hline 73:11 & 181:23, 182:3, & 216:15 & 188:1, 188:2, \\
\hline conveys & 183:21, 186:15, & couldn't & 188:12, 188:13, \\
\hline \[
73: 24
\] & 189:10, 189:25, & 33:5, 44:8, & 188:15, 188:21, \\
\hline coom & 191:4, 195:8, & 81:9, 81:10, & 188:25, 189:3, \\
\hline 10:4 & \(\begin{array}{ll}198: 10, & 199: 8, \\ 203: 15, & 206: 16,\end{array}\) & 214:19 & 189:5, 189:7, \\
\hline coordinate & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
203: 15, & 206: 16, \\
206: 19, & 206: 20
\end{array}
\] & council & 193:7, 199:5, \\
\hline 173:21, 176:22 & 206:19, 206:20, & 5:18, 5:19, & 199:11, 199:13, \\
\hline coordinated & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
211: 19, & 213: 4 \\
214: 20, & 223: 3
\end{array}\right.
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
5: 20,17: 20
\] \\
councilgerilee@g-
\end{tabular} & 199:14, 199:19, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 165: 21, \quad 180: 8 \\
& 215: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & correctly & councilgerilee@garrettparkmd & \[
200: 3, \quad 200: 5
\] \\
\hline coordination & 42:5, 93:18, & 14:24 & 200:6, 200:12, \\
\hline 165:18, 166:14, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 126: 19, \\
& 134: 17, \\
& 1214: 17,
\end{aligned}
\] & councilmember
\[
14: 22
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 200: 16, \quad 200: 19, \\
& 201: 3, \quad 201: 5,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 169: 5, \quad 173: 4 \\
& 216: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
221: 17
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
14:22 \\
councilwoman
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 201: 3, ~ 201: 5, \\
& 201: 9, ~ 201: 10,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline cop & correspondence & 15:2, 42:1, & 202:1 \\
\hline \[
127: 3
\] & 161:2, 203:17, & 64:14, 146:5, & county \\
\hline cordoned & 203:18, 203:21 & 216:7 & 1:2, 2:2, 5:2, \\
\hline \[
167: 4
\] & corridor & counsel & 5:5, 5:18, \\
\hline corner & 195:3, 206:25, & 7:24, 8:16, & 17:20, 18:22, \\
\hline \[
75: 1, \quad 100: 18,
\] & 207:22 & 8:20, 8:21, & 21:9, 21:14, \\
\hline 101:23, 104:18, & cost & 13:2, 13:6, & 29:9, 29:16, \\
\hline 128:11, 207:19, & 39:21 & 13:20, 16:8, & 29:17, 30:23, \\
\hline 128:11, \({ }^{\text {215:17 }}\) & costello & 34:4, 41:20, & \(33: 22,34: 1\), \\
\hline corners & 2:21, 5:23, & 86:20, 86:21, & \(34: 5,39: 6\), \\
\hline 127:24 & 95:12, 175:16 & 89:15, 93:17, & \[
39: 14,40: 15,
\] \\
\hline corps & \[
15: 25,37: 1,
\] & 94:2, 205:8,
\[
223: 6
\] & \[
54: 19,69: 2,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 133: 23 \\
& \text { correct }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
39: 1,40: 19,
\] & count & \[
76: 23,77: 1
\] \\
\hline \[
32: 7,32: 19,
\] & 47:18, 48:18, & 143:5, 158:25, & \[
77: 3, \quad 80: 5
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 81:1, 81:4, & covering & 87:14, 87:16, & curious \\
\hline 81:5, 86:5, & 85:10 & 88:1, 89:9, & 141:1, 216:10 \\
\hline 86:7, 102:2, & covers & 89:22, 97:25, & current \\
\hline 118:24, 119:4, & 98:12, 101:13 & 100:15, 100:17, & 18:24, 25:11, \\
\hline 124:6, 144:24, & covid & 100:21, 101:2, & 28:9, 70:15, \\
\hline 153:6, 155:25, & 171:13, 171:14, & 117:12, 125:24, & 71:10, 72:13, \\
\hline 157:9, 158:14, & 171:19, 187:22 & 125:25, 133:4, & 77:6, 81:2, \\
\hline 158:22, 164:13, & cr & 133:11, 134:6, & 89:2, 108:8, \\
\hline 164:24, 166:22, & 16:24 & 136:17, 139:14, & 128:6, 131:24, \\
\hline 171:3, 179:8, & create & 146:11, 175:24, & 147:17, 150:14, \\
\hline 180:1, 180:8, & 21:21, 24:8, & 185:21, 186:6, & 160:15, 171:22, \\
\hline 181:20, 182:20, & 112:5, 118:12, & 197:9, 197:10, & 188:17 \\
\hline 183:4, 183:8, & 125:17, 127:22 & 204:10, 206:5, & currently \\
\hline 183:15, 183:21, & created & 206:13, 207:1, & 68:21, 77:8, \\
\hline 184:13, 208:20, & 78:15, 79:13, & 208:16, 208:24, & 83:6, 100:25, \\
\hline 209:19, 220:12 & 80:21, 100:12, & 210:18, 213:3, & 127:2, 144:5, \\
\hline county's & 129:8 & 215:2, 219:5, & 157:7, 178:4, \\
\hline 31:13, 32:6, & creates & 219:6, 219:15, & 185:19, 186:4, \\
\hline 32:8, 40:23, & 22:9, 176:24 & 219:17 & 211:19 \\
\hline 155:19, 156:9, & creating & cross-examine & cursed \\
\hline 165:1, 186:13, & \[
24: 1, \quad 206: 15
\] & 7:25 & \[
105: 5
\] \\
\hline 188:5 & creative & crossing & cursor \\
\hline couple & 23:17 & \[
39: 15,133: 19,
\] & 11:24, 12:8, \\
\hline 5:22, 6:5, 6:9, & creativity & 134:11, 177:14, & 26:16, 73:4 \\
\hline 6:22, 11:21, & 140:1 & 177:20, 209:1 & curvature \\
\hline 42:25, 84:16, & creek & crosstalk & 144:15, 163:21, \\
\hline 85:2, 106:16, & \[
40: 12,102: 1,
\] & \(7: 6, ~ 24: 9\),
\(49: 2\),
77:23 & \[
214: 19,214: 25
\] \\
\hline 109:9, 110:12, & \[
119: 19
\] & 49:2, 57:23, & \[
215: 8
\] \\
\hline 111:18, 112:13, & criteria & 66:2, 84:9 & curve \\
\hline 116:1, 131:18, & \[
23: 18,23: 22 \text {, }
\] & crosswalk & \[
125: 13
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 133: 14, \quad 148: 17, \\
& 205: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
81: 2,146: 16
\] & \[
30: 20, \quad 112: 25
\] & customers \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
205:6 \\
course
\end{tabular} & criticism & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 136: 23,137: 9, \\
& 218: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & 23:20 \\
\hline 36:2, 39:21, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 19:7 } \\
& \text { critiquing }
\end{aligned}
\] & crosswalks & \[
37: 2,37: 8,
\] \\
\hline 49:13, 103:12, & \[
167: 1
\] & 35:20 & \[
82: 13,82: 21,
\] \\
\hline 114:8, 118:5 & crn & crt & 113:7, 173:1, \\
\hline court
\[
2: 21,5: 22
\] & 16:25, 117:24, & 16:25 & 173:15, 173:17, \\
\hline \[
6: 1,7: 10,11: 6,
\] & 118:8, 118:20 & cubic & 208:2 \\
\hline \[
20: 24,84: 7,
\] & crnf & 85:17 & cutting \\
\hline \[
92: 25, \quad 93: 1 \text {, }
\] & 5:7 & 53.8 & 40:1 \\
\hline \[
93: 10, \quad 95: 13,
\] & cross & 53:8 & cynthia \\
\hline \[
175: 14,175: 18
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 13: 25, \quad 24: 7, \\
& 25: 9, \quad 26: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] & 101:21, 101:24, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3: 3, \quad 13: 12, \\
& 60: 7, \quad 92: 18
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline coven & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 26: 13, \quad 28: 4,
\end{aligned}
\] & 102:12 & D \\
\hline cover & 28:6, 29:1, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \text { curb } \\
& 106:
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \\
\hline \[
106: 17,133: 5
\] covered & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 36: 25, \quad 37: 2, \\
& 37: 6, \quad 43: 14, \\
& 66: 18, \quad 82: 5,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 128: 6, \quad 128: 15, \\
& 143: 17
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 154: 22, \quad 161: 14 \\
& \text { dads } \\
& 47: 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline daily & december & 189:16, 190:9, & depending \\
\hline 171:18 & \[
19: 16, \quad 157: 9
\] & 191:6, 191:11, & 15:19, 15:23, \\
\hline dangerous & 161:1, 161:19, & 191:18, 191:22, & 54:19, 55:5, \\
\hline 198:1 & 178:16 & 192:2, 193:2, & 146:21 \\
\hline data & decide & 193:17, 193:24, & depends \\
\hline 58:13, 66:17, & 54:14, 184:13 & 195:13, 195:16, & 55:3 \\
\hline 139:13, 159:16, & deciding & 195:19, 196:4, & derek \\
\hline 161:14, 182:11 & 179:8 & 196:16, 196:24, & 1:11, 2:4, 5:14 \\
\hline database & decision & 197:2, 202:9, & describe \\
\hline 167:10 & 5:16, 5:20, & 202:12, 202:17, & 52:6, 70:16, \\
\hline date & 5:21, 27:16, & 202:19, 209:3 & 71:1, 71:12, \\
\hline 100:24, 223:13 & 41:19 & delays & 130:16, 132:21 \\
\hline dated & deck & 160:6, 160:10, & described \\
\hline 157:15 & 111:16 & 160:15, 160:16, & 28:15, 28:18, \\
\hline dave & decomposed & 163:4, 166:13, & 86:5, 140:15, \\
\hline 69:11 & 152:10 & 169:13, 185:15, & 206:14 \\
\hline david & decreased & 190:3, 192:25, & describing \\
\hline 2:15, 2:16, & 160:18 & 194:7, 198:14, & 27:17 \\
\hline \[
10: 2, \quad 10: 4,
\] & dedicated & 198:19, 201:17, & description \\
\hline \[
14: 15,151: 21,
\] & 36:19, 36:20, & 201:19, 210:15, & 4:13, 102:22 \\
\hline \[
221: 10
\] & 106:11, 108:11, & 210:18, 210:24, & design \\
\hline day & \[
206: 5, \quad 206: 14
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 212:25, 213:24 } \\
& \text { delineation }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
21: 8,21: 18,
\] \\
\hline 1:9, 16:14, & deep & 101:12, 109:25 & \[
\begin{array}{rr}
22: 9, & 31: 2, \\
35 \cdot 21 & 51 \cdot 1
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
20: 24, & 49: 23, \\
52.11 & 52.25
\end{array}
\] & \[
60: 18
\] & deliver & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
35: 21, & 51: 1, \\
68: 15, & 78: 25 .
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \(52: 11, ~ 52: 25\),
\(53: 19,55: 12\), & defer & \[
32: 11
\] & \[
68: 15,78: 25,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 53: 19, \quad 55: 12, \\
& 56: 2, \quad 59: 13,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 34: 25, \quad 127: 19 \\
& \text { define }
\end{aligned}
\] & delivering & \[
98: 15, \quad 98: 17,
\] \\
\hline 61:6, 62:17, & 105:6, 140:17, & 29:8 & 98:19, 99:10, \\
\hline 64:3, 64:16, & 156:17 & delivery & 104:16, 106:5, \\
\hline 65:24, 67:22, & defined & 216:11 & 114:18, 115:11, \\
\hline 79:19, 108:1, & 102:22 & demographics & 116:16, 116:19, \\
\hline 161:11, 202:5, & definitely & 24:3 & 117:7, 119:23, \\
\hline 208:14 & 62:13, 123:21, & demonstrated & 120:8, 122:17, \\
\hline day-to-day & 124:9, 145:6, & \[
19: 5,22: 23
\] & \[
\begin{array}{rr}
128: 4, & 131: 4, \\
135 \cdot 25 & 140 \cdot 4
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \(60: 19\)
days & 150:4
definition & densities
\[
30: 19
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
135: 25, & 140: 4, \\
145: 18, & 151: 2,
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline days 602040 & definition & density & \[
173: 4
\] \\
\hline dburdin@verizon & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 51:23, 145:11 } \\
& \text { definitions }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
17: 25,118: 3,
\] & designation \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 11: 2,11: 19 \\
& \text { dc }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 103: 24 \\
& \text { degeneration }
\end{aligned}
\] & 118:19, 119:16 department & 156:13 designed \\
\hline 2:18, 10:3, & 141:19 & 18:22, 49:16, & 43:2, 75:17, \\
\hline 21:5, 38:10, & degree & 99:12, 100:13, & 75:18, 81:3, \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
53: 9, \quad 153: 22
\] \\
deal
\end{tabular} & \[
45: 22,78: 23,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 110: 1, \quad 121: 9, \\
& 121: 11, \quad 144: 6,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 106:9, 180:8 } \\
& \text { designing }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 16:4, 21:11 & delaware & 144:24, 156:1, & 77:14, 106:2, \\
\hline dealing & 46:9 & 158:14, 164:13 & 115:18, 152:17 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 86: 21 \\
& \text { death }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
delay \\
160:18
\end{tabular} & depend
\[
65: 9
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { designs } \\
& 22: 15,118: 18
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline desire & 49:25, 96:22 & 170:19, 171:11, & 73:2, 141:14, \\
\hline 186:22 & developed & 186:16, 186:20 & 212:2 \\
\hline desired & 21:12, 23:14, & device & difficulty \\
\hline 91:14 & 27:9, 32:17, & 6:14, 67:15, & 6:13, 62:3, \\
\hline desires & 39:5, 52:19, & 126:24, 127:9 & 211:15 \\
\hline 16:9 & 52:20, 80:22, & devices & dining \\
\hline desk & 215:12 & 7:3, 7:5, 7:6, & 49:21, 53:14, \\
\hline 56:10 & developer & 51:22, 152:1, & 55:10, 55:11, \\
\hline despite & 80:15 & 175:12 & 55:14, 55:25 \\
\hline 34:6 & developer's & diagrammatic & dinner \\
\hline destinations & 207:25 & 106:25 & 55:12 \\
\hline 36:7 & developers & diameter & dip \\
\hline detached & 27:5 & 71:3, 71:5, & 144:16 \\
\hline 26:17, 103:9, & developing & 111:24, 111:25, & dire \\
\hline 104:2, 104:22, & 21:13, 29:19, & 133:15 & 69:9, 69:15, \\
\hline 120:19, 125:9, & 34:1 & dictated & 97:19, 154:25 \\
\hline 164:16 & development & 166:21, 180:1 & direct \\
\hline detail & 1:5, 9:9, 11:2, & died & 8:2, 14:14, \\
\hline 25:2, 52:7, & 16:20, 21:4, & 60:17 & 43:9, 43:10, \\
\hline 76:20, 76:21, & 21:16, 22:24, & difference & 65:13, 66:18, \\
\hline 97:23, 100:8, & 23:4, 25:23, & 144:19, 145:16, & 95:5, 109:7, \\
\hline 101:19, 102:21, & 26:24, 30:15, & 146:13, 199:9, & 215:1, 215:3 \\
\hline 109:15, 112:10, & 30:16, 31:8, & 201:5 & directed \\
\hline 116:21, 117:8, & 31:15, 32:5, & differences & 173:6, 173:12, \\
\hline 118:6, 119:23, & 32:6, 32:7, & 146:9, 146:14 & 173:14, 212:13, \\
\hline 119:25, 122:19, & 33:4, 33:23, & different & 213:6 \\
\hline 182:9, 182:10 & \[
34: 2,43: 11,
\] & 15:22, 22:17, & directing \\
\hline detailed & \[
43: 22,43: 25
\] & 25:21, 55:5, & 173:22 \\
\hline 19:6, 19:23, & 44:4, 50:19, & 59:13, 62:14, & direction \\
\hline 24:25, 42:8, & 50:20, 50:23, & 80:25, 90:14, & 116:18, 142:14, \\
\hline 110:11, 170:17, & 52:25, 70:10, & 109:8, 115:4, & 217:12 \\
\hline 172:4, 179:24, & 71:21, 72:8, & 115:15, 115:17, & directions \\
\hline 200:4, 203:19 & 76:5, 77:11, & 115:20, 116:11, & 160:17 \\
\hline details & 77:24, 81:8, & 123:3, 133:6, & directive \\
\hline 25:18, 104:15, & 98:4, 114:17, & 138:19, 139:10, & 173:24 \\
\hline 106:15, 111:7, & 118:9, 120:3, & 140:2, 145:2, & directly \\
\hline 116:19 & 120:11, 146:7, & 146:15, 149:21, & \[
25: 11,87: 16
\] \\
\hline determination & 152:14, 155:21, & 164:15, 184:2, & \[
103: 20,104: 4
\] \\
\hline 121:14, 122:16 & 156:20, 157:8, & 188:3, 198:8, & \[
108: 5,113: 10,
\] \\
\hline determine & 161:25, 166:10, & 201:1, 201:3, & \[
126: 3,132: 3,
\] \\
\hline 88:15 & 169:8, 169:10, & 202:16, 213:23 & 136:7, 143:4, \\
\hline determining & 170:24, 171:5, & differently & \[
212: 16,212: 20,
\] \\
\hline \[
122: 11
\] & 174:19, 191:14, & \[
93: 22
\] & 214:23, 215:8 \\
\hline detrimental & 194:21, 209:22, & differs & director \\
\hline \[
179: 22
\] & 217:18 & \[
55: 16
\] & 68:21, 93:25 \\
\hline develop & developments & difficult & directors \\
\hline & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 103: 5, \quad 118: 13, \\
& 169: 12, \quad 170: 16,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \[
6: 17,7: 9
\] & \[
93: 14
\] \\
\hline \[
32: 22,46: 22 \text {, }
\] & 169:12, 170:16, & 7:11, 63:8, & directs \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline dirt & diversified & 142:3, 203:2, & 123:2, 123:9, \\
\hline 140:20 & 116:1 & 203:20, 204:7 & 136:4 \\
\hline disabilities & diverted & door & drains \\
\hline 53:22 & 74:2 & 47:11, 56:8, & 71:7 \\
\hline disappeared & doctor & 114:1 & drastically \\
\hline 15:16 & 52:13, 62:22 & doors & 160:11, 196:4, \\
\hline discharge & doctor's & 6:25 & 196:16, 196:25, \\
\hline 113:10 & 60:22 & dot & 197:2 \\
\hline disconnect & doctors & 117:8, 158:22 & draw \\
\hline 146:1 & 61:3 & double & 159:22, 167:11 \\
\hline discuss & document & 220:11 & drawing \\
\hline 61:16, 61:17 & 77:15, 91:13, & doubt & 101:21 \\
\hline discussed & 92:2, 180:19, & 6:6 & drive \\
\hline 39:14, 130:25, & 180:21, 181:11, & doug & 18:7, 36:19, \\
\hline 139:5, 178:17, & 185:25, 187:12, & 2:22, 155:4, & 38:14, 50:3, \\
\hline 180:13 & 187:14 & 178:8, 209:24 & 70:23, 70:25, \\
\hline discussion & documents & douglas & 74:15, 87:23, \\
\hline 83:3, 219:20 & 90:17, 141:12, & 10:24, 11:17 & 89:20, 90:2, \\
\hline discussions & 155:23 & down & 101:1, 136:15, \\
\hline 215:6, 216:17, & doesn't & 12:8, 14:17, & 147:8, 156:24, \\
\hline 218:19, 218:24 & 142:11 & 15:4, 26:9, & 163:16, 163:19, \\
\hline disjointed & doing & 40:12, 41:6, & 167:3, 167:12, \\
\hline 36:18 & 15:6, 44:12, & 71:25, 91:21, & 173:23, 189:24, \\
\hline dismissal & 49:22, 55:5, & 94:18, 98:18, & 212:2, 213:11, \\
\hline 204:12 & 55:17, 63:25, & 101:24, 102:10, & 213:17, 214:16, \\
\hline disrupt & 64:23, 111:13, & 104:21, 105:4, & 214:18 \\
\hline 136:4 & 114:6, 126:14, & 116:10, 117:8, & driven \\
\hline distance & 164:11, 166:1, & 126:4, 127:18, & \[
38: 3,57: 18
\] \\
\hline 6:16, 23:21, & 183:2 & 133:1, 133:9, & driver \\
\hline 57:19, 76:7, & done & 135:3, 135:12, & 63:24, 163:18 \\
\hline 76:8, 113:4, & 7:18, 7:21, & 136:5, 136:13, & drivers \\
\hline 132:8, 142:5, & 17:4, 18:16, & 139:10, 148:21, & 147:17, 176:24, \\
\hline 147:13, 163:17, & 19:3, 19:16, & 151:10, 152:22, & 177:14 \\
\hline 207:3, 208:24, & 20:25, 27:1, & 163:2, 164:9, & driveway \\
\hline 209:5, 210:17, & 35:22, 40:22, & 170:24, 174:1, & 36:18, 36:21, \\
\hline 219:2 & 41:1, 46:24, & 174:23, 195:13, & 42:12, 64:17, \\
\hline distances & 77:2, 77:4, & 219:6 & 104:21, 105:9, \\
\hline 70:13, 76:10 & \(78: 25,90: 13\), & dps & 105:15, 160:1, \\
\hline distinct & 91:19, 114:3, & 77:12, 77:16 & 160:13, 160:15, \\
\hline 114:24 & 114:6, 127:25, & drain & 160:20, 160:21, \\
\hline district & 150:2, 158:4, & 70:8, 73:3, & 162:23, 163:22, \\
\hline 5:18, 5:20, & 188:4, 188:7, & 73:5, 73:12, & \[
169: 4,174: 11,
\] \\
\hline 68:11 & 188:8, 188:22, & 73:23, 78:25, & 174:13, 174:15, \\
\hline disturb & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 194: 4, \quad 201: 9, \\
& 201: 11, \quad 214: 14,
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
83: 13,119: 19
\] \\
drainage
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 174: 17, \quad 177: 17, \\
& 188: 21, \quad 190: 15,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 136:3 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 201:11, 214:14, } \\
& 214: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & drainage
\(72: 5,72: 8\), & \[
192: 21,199: 18 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline disturbance & \[
\operatorname{don}^{\prime} t
\] & \[
72: 14, \quad 80: 21,
\] & 199:23, 202:11, \\
\hline diverse & 138:2, 138:6, & 121:25, 122:6, & 202:13, 213:22, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 216:18, 216:20, & 23:17, 28:8, & 149:11, 206:24, & 151:5 \\
\hline 218:6 & 61:3, 94:18, & 207:22, 208:13, & egirard@milessto- \\
\hline driveways & 107:21, 212:16, & 209:13, 211:18, & ckbridge \\
\hline 108:13, 174:12, & 212:20, 216:18 & 212:3, 212:18, & 9:3 \\
\hline 176:24, 215:9, & eagles & 213:2, 215:18, & either \\
\hline 216:16 & 141:16 & 215:22, 216:3, & 12:25, 34:21, \\
\hline driving & earlier & 219:16 & 54:17, 61:3, \\
\hline 56:23, 182:18 & 11:21, 94:5, & eastbound & 65:6, 84:23, \\
\hline drop & 104:9, 105:22, & 132:2, 210:5, & 121:20, 131:15, \\
\hline 105:19, 105:23, & 119:2, 133:15 & 210:10, 211:23 & 132:22, 133:8, \\
\hline 125:18, 174:20, & early & eastern & 142:16, 147:17, \\
\hline 208:5, 213:19 & 27:10, 74:18, & 136:22 & 164:3, 175:2, \\
\hline drop-down & 122:15, 132:20, & eastward & 176:1, 177:11, \\
\hline \[
12: 2
\] & \[
221: 16
\] & 126:12 & 194:12, 207:21 \\
\hline dropped & easement & echo & electric \\
\hline 61:4, 65:4 & 39:4, 39:6, & 206:8 & 71:9 \\
\hline drops & 39:9, 70:11, & economics & element \\
\hline 105:24 & 116:7, 121:19, & 21:2 & 23:25, 27:5, \\
\hline drove & 121:20, 134:9, & edge & 116:5, 128:16, \\
\hline 32:9 & 134:10, 151:15 & 132:7, 143:21, & 134:9, 151:14, \\
\hline duding & easements & 217:25 & 172:1 \\
\hline 174:8 & 31:18, 110:8, & edges & elementary \\
\hline due & 110:15, 110:16, & 111:17 & 146:11, 217:17, \\
\hline 80:11, 160:12, & 110:17, 110:22, & educational & 217:18 \\
\hline 160:16, 210:2 & 111:2, 111:12, & \[
20: 22,45: 18,
\] & elements \\
\hline during & 118:15, 119:20, & \[
68: 6, \quad 96: 17
\] & 25:21, 98:19, \\
\hline 7:8, 12:18, & 134:5, 150:15 & 154:11 & 139:24, 148:6 \\
\hline 15:8, 28:16, & easier & edwards & elephant \\
\hline 49:23, 52:11, & 174:25, 180:11, & 3:2, 10:7, & 206:22 \\
\hline 62:6, 62:10, & 210:8, 216:23 & 92:5, 92:6, & elevation \\
\hline 64:16, 69:25, & easiest & 92:8, 92:11, & 87:18, 87:20, \\
\hline 71:18, 75:14, & 47:22, 102:23 & 93:1, 93:3, & 106:3 \\
\hline 75:22, 76:14, & easily & 93:6, 93:12, & eliminated \\
\hline 157:14, 158:5, & 141:20 & 93:13, 93:18, & 195:16 \\
\hline 161:18, 161:23, & east & 94:10 & eliminates \\
\hline 177:5, 186:10, & 26:7, 70:24, & effect & 191:24 \\
\hline 204:11, 208:4, & 78:5, 84:6, & 122:5, 156:10, & elizabeth \\
\hline 211:2, 213:8, & 102:7, 102:11, & 168:5 & 45:21 \\
\hline 213:18, 215:3, & 102:18, 103:4, & effective & else \\
\hline 215:19, 215:21 & 103:11, 105:7, & 27:14 & 5:13, 8:18, \\
\hline dust & 107:14, 110:14, & efficient & 14:4, 51:5, \\
\hline 152:10 & 123:18, 126:2, & 112:23 & 82:6, 98:1, \\
\hline dwelling & 129:16, 135:17, & efficiently & 141:4, 151:7, \\
\hline 22:18, 119:15 & 135:18, 135:21, & 195:16, 220:22 & 164:4, 193:6, \\
\hline E & 135:25, 136:2, & effort & 193:12, 198:3, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { each } \\
& 15: 22,22: 11,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
136: 7, & 137: 7, \\
137: 8, & 137: 10, \\
145: 7, & 149: 4,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 213: 15 \\
& \text { efforts } \\
& 17: 15, \quad 38: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 205: 3, \quad 220: 25 \\
& \text { else's } \\
& 88: 12
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline elsewhere & 34:2, 173:16, & 128:22, 129:19, & 109:6, 118:3, \\
\hline 188:25 & 180:9 & 137:21, 138:25, & 123:19 \\
\hline email & encouraged & 142:17, 153:21, & ensures \\
\hline 8:23, 9:2, & 39:14, 173:17, & 154:9, 163:11, & 98:10 \\
\hline 9:25, 10:4, & 209:4 & 178:19 & entail \\
\hline 10:22, 11:2, & encourages & engineering & 40:12 \\
\hline 11:19, 13:4, & 22:9, 35:21, & 68:9, 68:13, & entails \\
\hline 13:15, 14:1, & 137:15 & 68:21, 68:24, & 97:22 \\
\hline 14:24, 20:11, & encouraging & 69:7, 69:10, & enter \\
\hline 20:14, 45:3, & 32:6 & 69:19, 70:1, & 65:15, 214:1 \\
\hline 45:7, 67:1, & encroaches & 70:5, 75:25, & enters \\
\hline 67:5, 96:3, & 144:11 & 77:22, 82:16, & 101:22 \\
\hline 96:6, 153:19, & encroachment & 154:13, 154:14, & entire \\
\hline 153:23, 203:21 & 145:1 & 154:23, 155:1, & 25:7, 59:8, \\
\hline emails & end & 155:12, 156:21, & 70:22 \\
\hline 27:19, 203:25 & 80:16, 135:17, & 169:6, 183:13 & entirely \\
\hline emerged & 135:18, 135:21, & engineers & 105:1 \\
\hline 17:22 & 136:22, 150:21, & 56:17, 122:2, & entirety \\
\hline emergency & 202:5, 202:20 & 122:18, 164:21 & 100:17, 101:13 \\
\hline 60:21, 144:9, & endeavored & english & entitlement \\
\hline 174:18 & 35:23 & 175:6 & 21:18, 23:3, \\
\hline emoticon & ends & enhance & 71:18, 75:23, \\
\hline \[
12: 9
\] & 136:22, 201:22, & \[
118: 14
\] & \[
76: 14, \quad 106: 5
\] \\
\hline employed & \[
201: 23
\] & enhancement & entitlements \\
\hline \[
223: 7
\] & energy & \[
110: 7
\] & \[
39: 18, \quad 76: 22
\] \\
\hline employee & \[
23: 16
\] & enhances & entity \\
\hline \[
55: 14, \quad 59: 12
\] & enforced & \[
31: 19,77: 12
\] & \[
87: 9
\] \\
\hline employees & \[
173: 20
\] & enhancing & entrance \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{ll}49: 11, & 49: 14, \\ 49: 15, & 55: 2,\end{array}\) & engage & |le:20 & 18:6, 19:6, \\
\hline 49:15, 55:2, & 27:10, 53:7, & enjoy & 42:7, 43:22, \\
\hline \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 55: 20, \quad 57: 4, \\
& 57: 5,58: 7,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & 118:15, 139:9 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 48: 15, \quad 53: 4, \\
& 141: 15, \quad 221: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & 44:6, 64:25, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 57: 5, \quad 58: 7, \\
& 58: 13, \quad 58: 20,
\end{aligned}
\] & engaged
\(21: 15, ~ 28: 17\), & \(141: 15,221: 25\)
enjoyable & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 73: 23, \quad 87: 14, \\
& 88: 1, \quad 88: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 59:10, 59:13, & 29:1, 68:1, & 36:2 & 108:3, 108:4, \\
\hline 59:17, 59:23, & 116:10 & enormously & 115:8, 124:12, \\
\hline 62:3, 62:5, & engagement & 38:12 & 124:13, 124:21, \\
\hline 62:10, 165:20, & 27:8, 110:25, & enough & 124:23, 125:1, \\
\hline 165:23, 166:4, & 140:5 & 33:20, 54:1, & 125:6, 125:8, \\
\hline 166:12, 179:14, & engaging & 214:18 & 125:18, 125:23, \\
\hline 181:8, 182:1 & 29:14, 47:5 & enrollment & 125:25, 126:7, \\
\hline employment & engineer & 19:10, 186:21, & 126:12, 126:20, \\
\hline 22:4, 182:11 & \[
28: 17,29: 15,
\] & 186:22, 186:24, & 126:24, 127:10, \\
\hline empty-nesters & 29:22, 33:8, & 187:24, 188:18, & 185:20, 186:6, \\
\hline 24:4, 47:10 & 34:24, 40:24, & 188:22, 199:19, & 188:16, 189:22, \\
\hline encompasses & 65:21, 66:4, & 199:24, 200:7, & 189:23, 189:24, \\
\hline 103:9 & \[
67: 25,68: 16,
\] & 200:11, 200:14 & 190:5, 190:11, \\
\hline encourage & \[
68: 17, \quad 86: 11,
\] & ensure & 191:3, 191:25, \\
\hline 22:8, 33:22, & 88:22, 127:13, & 76:11, 109:2, & 192:6, 193:1, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline  & ```
84:25, 173:7
err
183:25
erring
183:24
escapades
53:6
especially
7:8, 112:19
esquire
2:7, 2:15
essential
129:6
essentially
59:10, 139:14,
145:22, 200:15
establish
99:12, 115:20,
192:16
established
103:8, 109:1,
110:2, 110:19,
111:11, 130:22,
134:7
establishes
103:16
establishment
110:5
estate
21:4
estates
2:13, 14:8,
27:25, 28:11,
87:7, 92:13,
103:4, 103:10,
142:1
estimate
19:10, 52:17,
52:20, 53:17,
79:4, 81:6,
183:19
estimated
78:22, 80:19
estimating
58:1
ethics
98:12
evaluate
62:20, 63:2
``` &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { everything } \\
& 17: 13, \quad 53: 10, \\
& 98: 12, \quad 110: 3, \\
& 141: 2, \quad 165: 20, \\
& 175: 6, \quad 176: 18, \\
& 205: 3, \quad 220: 24, \\
& 221: 16 \\
& \text { evidence } \\
& 5: 16, \quad 61: 5, \\
& 91: 17, \quad 92: 1 \\
& \text { exacerbating } \\
& 18: 4 \\
& \text { exact } \\
& 200: 21 \\
& \text { exactly } \\
& 41: 3, \quad 95: 2, \\
& 147: 23, \quad 203: 20, \\
& 204: 7 \\
& \text { exam } \\
& 95: 5 \\
& \text { examination } \\
& 66: 18, \quad 66: 19 \\
& \text { examiner } \\
& 1: 11, \quad 5: 2, \\
& 5: 15, \\
& 48: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline explained & 98:20, 113:4, & 151:4, 183:9, & 219:21 \\
\hline 18:2, 19:17 & 114:8, 114:10, & 186:14, 193:16, & fashion \\
\hline explaining & 136:4, 155:19, & 194:13 & 25:18 \\
\hline 72:19, 193:2, & 178:6, 218:23, & fairly & fast \\
\hline 201:25 & 218:25, 219:4, & 167:10 & 80:16 \\
\hline explanation & 219:21 & fall & faster \\
\hline 192:1 & facility & 156:2, 157:5, & 134:25 \\
\hline explanations & 33:19, 52:18, & 171:10, 187:18 & father \\
\hline 202:19 & 60:18, 60:19, & falling & 149:15 \\
\hline expressed & 64:16, 64:18, & 74:1 & feasible \\
\hline 27:22 & 64:25, 70:5, & falls & 75:8 \\
\hline extended & 73:2, 75:11, & 97:25 & feature \\
\hline 137:2 & 78:1, 84:1, & familiar & 12:5, 12:10, \\
\hline extension & 88:7, 104:2, & 30:4, 30:8, & 12:22, 12:23 \\
\hline 136:18, 136:21, & 108:2, 113:15, & 50:9, 69:21, & february \\
\hline \[
137: 9
\] & 115:2, 115:10, & 77:21, 99:7, & \[
27: 25,28: 3
\] \\
\hline extensions & 115:12, 119:13, & 99:17, 99:24, & 223:13 \\
\hline 40:1 & 120:16, 146:10, & 132:15, 155:14, & fed \\
\hline extensive & 148:19, 148:24, & 155:18, 155:22, & 123:17 \\
\hline 83:18, 124:7 & 154:20, 164:18, & 179:3 & federal \\
\hline extensively & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
165: 19, & 166: 8, \\
174: 16, & 180: 10
\end{array}\right.
\] & familiarity & \[
133: 23
\] \\
\hline \[
59: 8
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 174: 16, ~ 180: 10, \\
& 191: 15
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 30: 13, \quad 50: 17, \\
& 97.21
\end{aligned}
\] & feedback \\
\hline extent
\[
29: 12,33: 1,
\] & facing & \begin{tabular}{l}
97:24 \\
families
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 20:9, 109:17, } \\
& 116: 21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
183: 6
\] & 105:20, 105:21, & 24:4, 33:10, & feel \\
\hline extra & \[
119: 15,120: 19
\] & \(34: 12,38: 15\), & 19:24, 51:2, \\
\hline 111:14, 144:11, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fact } \\
& 29: 17, ~ 46: 14,
\end{aligned}
\] & 38:16, \(56: 6\), & 60:18, 87:12, \\
\hline \[
197: 3
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 29: 17, \quad 46: 14, \\
& 159: 15, \quad 162: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 61:13, } 73: 25 \\
& \text { family }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
114: 7, \quad 206: 22
\] \\
\hline extremely & factor & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { family } \\
& 56: 10,60: 23,
\end{aligned}
\] & feeling \\
\hline 206:21 & \[
18: 24,32: 20,
\] & 56:10, 60:23, & 17:23 \\
\hline eya & \[
\text { 79:11, } 80: 5
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
141:14 \\
fancy
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
feet \\
70.25, 85.17
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1: 5, \quad 9: 8, \quad 9: 12, \\
& 9: 13, \quad 16: 20,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
80: 6,158: 6,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fancy } \\
& 140: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
70: 25, & 85: 17, \\
87: 19, & 111: 24,
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline 21:6, 21:10, & 159:15, 171:13, & far & \[
126: 22,129: 16 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline \[
21: 11,23: 1
\] & \(171: 15, ~ 171: 20\),
\(171: 25, ~ 181: 20\), & 35:17, 38:20, & \[
131: 19,132: 6,
\] \\
\hline \[
23: 4,23: 12,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
171: 25, & 181: 20, \\
186: 14, & 187: 4,
\end{array}
\] & 40:12, 46:15, & \[
133: 16,133: 19,
\] \\
\hline 44:15, 77:7, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
186: 14, & 187: 4, \\
192: 17 . & 195: 2
\end{array}\right.
\] & 49:10, 63:16, & 143:17, 143:20, \\
\hline 144:23, 145:13 & factors & 77:2, 78:25, & 143:24, 144:1, \\
\hline F & factors
\(19: 9,32: 21\), & 79:2, 79:3, & 145:23, 146:10, \\
\hline face & 164:10, 164:25, & \[
125: 16,125: 20,
\] & fellowship \\
\hline 15:16
facilities & 193:5, 198:9 & 126:12, 127:25, & \[
45: 6
\] \\
\hline facilities & fair & 133:9, 136:12, & felt \\
\hline 61:8,
\(71: 13,2\),
71014 & 25:24, 33:20, & 136:16, 142:19, & \[
152: 20
\] \\
\hline 71:13, 77:14, & 65:3, 83:21, & 143:7, 147:18, & fencing \\
\hline \[
77: 17, \quad 83: 11,
\] & \[
83: 22,134: 14,
\] & \[
150: 21,153: 9,
\] & \[
136: 5
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 83: 17, \quad 84: 1, \\
& 84: 4, \quad 84: 11,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
134: 18, & 137: 5, \\
137: 12, & 141: 22
\end{array}
\] & \[
158: 1,162: 17
\] & few \\
\hline 84.4, 84.11, & 137:12, 141:22, & 165:22, 219:1, & 21:17, 31:25, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
111:1, 111:6,
111:21, 113:8,
119:20, 121:16,
123:21, 134:5,
134:12
forestall
90:18
forested
102:4, 132:22,
132:23, 133:2,
133:5
forests
102:14, 110:6
forgive
87:11
forgotten
151:16
form
91:22, 116:2,
160:2, 204:2
formally
157:17
format
5:11, 6:7,
6:18, 6:23, 7:9,
91:20, 162:2,
162:9, 169:25
formats
15:22
former
138:20
forming
124:2
forth
36:1
forward
6:6, 76:19,
95:20, 114:7,
168:7, 185:2,
211:21
forwarded
5:17
fosters
47:9
found
104:4, 113:20,
159:25, 161:7,
161:21, 162:4,
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
162:9, 162:23 \\
four \\
55:7, 60:25, \\
83:16, \(90: 16\), \\
214:25 \\
four-lane \\
88:3 \\
four-way \\
190:19, 190:21 \\
frank \\
97:24 \\
frederick \\
68:18, 68:19 \\
french \\
154:18 \\
frequently \\
88:5, 144:6, \\
156:24, 213:18 \\
friday \\
7:1 \\
friends \\
27:25, 28:11 \\
frisbee \\
116:4 \\
front \\
5:20, 43:17, \\
56:10, 64:16, \\
64:23, 64:25, \\
65:14, 73:24, \\
88:21, 104:25, \\
108:15, 125:9, \\
136:15, 145:10, \\
147:3, 154:17 \\
frontage
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 26: 18,29: 3, \\
& 136: 8,149: 9 \\
& \text { fronting } \\
& 26: 17 \\
& \text { fruition } \\
& 85: 21 \\
& \text { full } \\
& 10: 21,20: 10, \\
& 45: 2,55: 23, \\
& 66: 25,80: 22, \\
& 96: 2,153: 18, \\
& 158: 10,186: 21, \\
& 186: 22,186: 24, \\
& 187: 23,199: 19,
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} & \[
160: 17,162: 24
\] & \(210: 10, \quad 211: 8\),
\(216: 2, \quad 216: 22\),
\(217: 14\)
garage
\(105: 12, \quad 105: 18\),
\(105: 21, \quad 105: 23\),
\(105: 24, \quad 106: 1\),
\(106: 3\)
garages
\(35: 25, \quad 43: 1\),
\(43: 5\)
garbage
\(35: 25\)
garden
\(115: 13\)
garret
\(2: 13, \quad 118: 23\),
\(136: 11\)
garrett
\(14: 7, \quad 14: 23\),
\(14: 25, \quad 27: 23\),
\(27: 24, \quad 28: 11\),
\(57: 3, \quad 57: 15\),
\(75: 10, \quad 87: 7\),
\(92: 13, \quad 99: 18\),
\(103: 4, \quad 103: 10\),
\(135: 5, \quad 141: 25\),
\(146: 11, \quad 217: 18\)
gas
\(71: 8\)
gates
\(143: 3\)
gathered
\(184: 5\)
gathering
\(22: 10, \quad 26: 23\)
gave
\(172: 8\)
general
\(7: 12, \quad 23: 5\),
\(35: 19, ~ 102: 19\),
\(104: 3, ~ 109: 10\),
\(113: 13, ~ 119: 1\),
\(123: 13, ~ 133: 1\),
\(144: 20\)
generally
\(94: 13\)
generate
\(179: 21\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline generated & 116:20, 126:17, & 183:8 & grad \\
\hline 53:18, 123:4, & 146:9, 152:3, & goat & 112:16 \\
\hline 123:9, 157:19, & 152:15, 153:18, & 218:5, 219:9, & grade \\
\hline 160:4, 179:1, & 154:2, 205:20 & 219:10, 219:14 & 72:4, 150:17, \\
\hline 180:3, 180:7, & given & goes & 150:18, 150:21 \\
\hline 182:15, 190:25 & 8:6, 33:7, & 101:24, 102:12, & graded \\
\hline generating & 34:17, 34:20, & 129:8, 132:18, & 77:8, 79:5, \\
\hline 219:3 & 55:7, 97:14, & 135:19, 144:6, & 109:1 \\
\hline generation & 107:25, 116:18, & 201:25, 208:6, & grading \\
\hline 164:14, 164:19, & 133:21, 138:18, & 218:6 & 70:8, 140:22, \\
\hline 164:21, 165:3, & 142:14, 151:9, & gone & 149:23, 150:9, \\
\hline 179:25, 181:16, & 161:5, 166:17, & 18:13, 121:8, & 150:11 \\
\hline 181:21, 182:6, & 214:24 & 138:24 & graduate \\
\hline 188:21, 189:6 & giving & gonzaga & 68:7 \\
\hline generations & 12:11 & 154:13 & graduating \\
\hline 47:14 & glad & good & 68:14 \\
\hline geotechnical & 220:17 & 8:25, 10:22, & grandchildren \\
\hline \[
101: 17
\] & go & 11:15, 11:16, & 47:10, 142:4 \\
\hline gerilee & \[
5: 9, \quad 5: 10,
\] & 13:4, 13:15, & grandfathered \\
\hline 2:24, 14:17 & 7:13, 11:14, & 16:19, 20:8, & 157:16 \\
\hline gerille & 16:13, 16:15, & 20:11, 32:2, & grandmother \\
\hline 14:21 & 19:12, 25:4, & 32:3, 44:19, & 142:7 \\
\hline germantown & 37:9, 40:9, & 45:1, 45:2, & granite \\
\hline 67:4, 96:5 & 40:23, 43:11, & 45:3, 46:11, & \[
152: 11
\] \\
\hline getting & 48:25, 49:5, & 51:2, 51:13, & granted \\
\hline 11:4, 89:21, & 52:24, 52:25, & 51:15, 60:10, & \[
142: 20
\] \\
\hline 104:15, 109:16, & 53:16, 54:14, & \(66: 24\),
\(73: 18\),
\(78: 13\), & graphic \\
\hline 134:15, 145:17, & 54:16, 64:14, 65:5, 65:11, & 73:18, 78:13,
\[
95: 25, \quad 96: 1,
\] & \[
167: 8, \quad 167: 11
\] \\
\hline \(183: 17,184: 22\),
\(201: 8,204: 16\), & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 65: 5, \quad 65: 11, \\
& 66: 23, \quad 68: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l|l|}
95: 25, \quad 96: 1, \\
96: 3, \quad 108: 6,
\end{array}\right.
\] & grass \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
201: 8, & 204: 16, \\
206: 8, & 212: 5,
\end{array}
\] & \[
81: 1,84: 7,
\] & \[
109: 2,109: 3,
\] & 83:18, 131:15, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 206: 8, \quad 212: 5, \\
& 215: 19
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
86: 11, \quad 88: 12,
\] & 116:18, 121:7, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 143: 22 \\
& \text { gravel }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline gip & 94:18, 101:16, & 130:10, 142:9, & \[
152: 7,152: 10,
\] \\
\hline 157:17, 168:9, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 101: 18, \quad 102: 5, \\
& 106: 5,106: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
142: 23, & 150: 3, \\
153: 19, & 169: 22
\end{array}
\] & \[
152: 19
\] \\
\hline \(168: 19\)
girard's & 112:9, 127:21, & \[
172: 8,183: 22,
\] & gray \\
\hline 95:6, 95:19 & 131:7, 133:24, & 220:17 & 73:12 grayed \\
\hline gis & 135:3, 145:14, & gorove & | grayed
\[
25: 18
\] \\
\hline 167:10 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 152: 18, \quad 158: 19, \\
& 160: 9, \quad 172: 4,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
9: 11, \quad 153: 21
\] & great \\
\hline give & \[
174: 3,174: 25
\] & gotten & 14:21, 16:6, \\
\hline 8:7, 8:8, 8:22, & \[
184: 3, \quad 187: 11,
\] & 152:13
gov & 17:8, 20:4, \\
\hline 9:24, 10:21, & \[
190: 7,193: 6,
\] & \[
14: 24
\] & 21:11, 47:12, \\
\hline \(13: 3, ~ 20: 10\),
\(20: 16, ~ 36: 16\), & 193:17, 207:21, & governed & \[
50: 8, \quad 95: 14,
\] \\
\hline 45:12, 66:25, & 207:22, 210:12, & 156:3 &  \\
\hline 67:9, 73:18, & \[
\begin{array}{lr}
212: 3, & 212: 19, \\
215: 18, & 215: 21
\end{array}
\] & government & \[
148: 4, \quad 153: 11,
\] \\
\hline 76:19, 92:1, & 215:18, 215:21 & 46:3 & \[
221: 20
\] \\
\hline 96:2, 98:7, & 108:20, 117:13, & governor's 46:3 & greater \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
green \\
72:13, 73:7, 117:2, 117:3, 130:24, 145:1, 145:3 \\
grew \\
172:18 \\
grid \\
83:12 \\
grocery \\
64:2 \\
grossly \\
182:22, 186:12 \\
grosvenor \\
21:17, 38:7,
\[
38: 8,57: 12,
\] \\
132:18, 134:21, 156:8, 156:12 ground
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 6: 22, \quad 48: 13, \\
& 111: 25, \quad 192: 25, \\
& 193: 4, \quad 193: 13
\end{aligned}
\] \\
group
\[
14: 8, \quad 52: 23
\] \\
groups \\
27:16, 27:21, 27:22 \\
grow \\
171:20 \\
growing \\
145:6 \\
growth
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 18: 24, \quad 19: 9, \\
& 114: 5, \\
& 156: 20, \\
& 156: 9, \\
& 159: 9, \\
& 159: 11, \\
& 159: 14, \\
& 159: 17, \\
& 159: 16, \\
& 159: 19, \\
& 16759: 21, \\
& 167: 19, \\
& 168: 2, \\
& 168: 24, \\
& 172: 11, \\
& 172: 13, \\
& 172: 12, \\
& 172: 17
\end{aligned}
\] \\
guarantee \\
41:21, 41:22 \\
guess
\[
21: 5,34: 13
\]
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 34: 25, \quad 36: 10, \\
& 37: 14, \quad 37: 18, \\
& 42: 19, \quad 81: 9, \\
& 81: 10, \quad 114: 19, \\
& 130: 17, \quad 134: 2, \\
& 146: 2, \quad 217: 20 \\
& \text { guidelines } \\
& 18: 16,110: 3, \\
& 112: 2,142: 22, \\
& 147: 12, \quad 147: 19, \\
& 155: 21, \quad 156: 15, \\
& 157: 3,158: 5, \\
& 161: 12, \quad 168: 6, \\
& 168: 9,168: 10, \\
& 168: 17, \quad 168: 19, \\
& 168: 25, \quad 183: 2, \\
& 203: 2, \quad 220: 12 \\
& \text { guiding } \\
& 109: 10 \\
& \text { gup } \\
& 130: 10 \\
& \text { gym } \\
& 139: 24
\end{aligned}
\] \\
h
\[
1: 6
\] \\
habitat \\
69:1, 110:19, \\
110:23, 113:8, \\
116:11, 119:20 \\
half \\
25:8, 25:9, \\
25:10, 61:11 \\
hall \\
25:8, 103:15, \\
146:13, 146:24, \\
149:25 \\
halls \\
28:6 \\
hampton \\
20:13, 45:23 \\
hand \\
11:23, 12:10, \\
12:11, 12:14, \\
12:15, 14:5, \\
42:2, 45:10, \\
67:7, 92:5,
\end{tabular} & \(96: 8, \quad 153: 25\),
\(194: 1, \quad 205: 10\)
handful
\(32: 21\)
handle
\(15: 15, \quad 127: 4\)
handling
\(175: 13\)
hands
\(86: 18, \quad 140: 11\)
handy
\(24: 14\)
hang
\(109: 20\)
hansen
\(22: 8\)
happen
\(136: 21, \quad 149: 12\),
\(152: 16, \quad 195: 2\),
\(196: 5, \quad 203: 15\)
happening
\(18: 5, \quad 108: 1\),
\(172: 9, \quad 187: 21\)
happens
\(62: 18, \quad 94: 15\),
\(134: 8, \quad 191: 6\),
\(195: 11, \quad 195: 14\),
\(210: 20\)
happily
\(14: 11, \quad 60: 16\)
happy
\(51: 7, \quad 62: 13\),
\(127: 19, \quad 145: 14\),
\(145: 18\)
hard
\(51: 4, \quad 75: 5\),
\(195: 14\)
harder
\(169: 24\)
hatching
\(114: 25, \quad 115: 3\)
hawks
\(141: 16\)
hazard
\(36: 10\)
hna
\(160: 13\)
head
\(15: 4, \quad 105: 25\), &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline human & 50:7, 58:22, & improvement & 180:1, 181:15, \\
\hline 127:10 & 120:14, 120:18, & 107:7, 124:9, & 186:16, 193:9, \\
\hline hundreds & 121:15, 122:9, & 128:8, 176:4 & 207:3, 209:7 \\
\hline 90:13, 194:6, & 122:12, 124:10, & improvements & includes \\
\hline 202:8 & 131:6, 131:14, & 40:1, 76:6, & 89:25, 148:5, \\
\hline husband & 131:19, 150:22, & 106:9, 106:13, & 185:20, 186:6 \\
\hline 61:14, 208:4 & 166:4, 166:6, & 117:6, 127:23, & 187:17, 191:14 \\
\hline hydraulic & 167:5, 170:12, & 136:2, 149:10, & including \\
\hline 71:19 & 170:15, 179:22, & 157:20, 174:24, & 10:6, 22:18, \\
\hline hydro & 216:11 & 218:24 & 31:1, 71:13, \\
\hline 117:14 & impacted & improves & 99:11, 119:14, \\
\hline I & 103:16, 130:14 & 31:19 & 191:15, 199:6 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{icon} & impacting & improving & incorporate \\
\hline & 123:12 & 18:11, 34:20, & 30:25 \\
\hline 12:7 icons & impacts & 113:19, 178:2 & incorporated \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{11:22, 11:23} & 23:7, 29:6, & in-depth & 159:7 \\
\hline & 103:22, 108:23, & 168:20 & incorporating \\
\hline idea 73.19 & 111:20, 113:5, & in-house & 22:18, 30:20 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 24: 1, \quad 73: 19, \\
& 82: 10, \quad 126: 17
\end{aligned}
\] & 117:3, 117:5, & 22:14 & incorrect \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ideally} & \(117: 16, ~ 121: 22\),
\(122: 16, ~ 130: 22\), & inappropriate & 182:3, 208:1 \\
\hline & 122:16, 130:22, & 59:3 & increase \\
\hline 29:4 & \(133: 25, ~ 145: 15\),
\(162: 23,166: 7\), & incentive & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 108: 17, \quad 161: 23, \\
& 175: 23
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 116:17, 132:20, & \(162: 23,166: 7\),
\(180: 4,182: 16\), & \begin{tabular}{l}
62:5, 213:2 \\
incentivized
\end{tabular} & increased \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 139:9, 150:12 } \\
& \text { identical }
\end{aligned}
\] & 182:17, 208:21, & 75:10 & 80:7, 111:18, \\
\hline \[
113: 25
\] & 220:10 & incentivizing & 130:5, 208:24 \\
\hline identification & impermeable & 165:24 & increases \\
\hline 100:9, 100:12 & 78:15, 78:21, & inch & 209:5, 210:17 \\
\hline identified & 79:10, 79:13, & 71:4, 111:24 & increasing \\
\hline 9:17, 40:25, & 12 & inches & 112:18 \\
\hline 93:2, 194:22 & impervious \({ }^{\text {79:15, 85:19, }}\) & 77:6, 79:18, & indeed \\
\hline identify & \(79: 15, ~ 85: 19\),
\(85: 24, ~ 116: 13\), & 79:19, 111:25 & 48:3 \\
\hline 5:12, 8:16, & 123:12, 123:16 & incidents & independent \\
\hline 8:18, 8:22, & implemented & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 209:18 } \\
& \text { include }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
60: 18, & 62: 14, \\
62: 19, & 63: 1 .
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 10:6, 10:18, & 157:17 & \[
24: 2,24: 3
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
02: 19, & 63: 1, \\
82: 18, & 86: 12,
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline 13:3, 13:21, & important & \[
30: 22,71: 19,
\] & \[
89: 11
\] \\
\hline \[
84: 22
\] & 27:5, 29:12, & 88:21, 171:3, & independently \\
\hline identifying & 32:18, 47:25, & 171:10, 176:21, & 94:8 \\
\hline 12:24, 41:3 & \(115: 22, ~ 156: 13\),
\(179: 8\) & 177:23, 181:10, & indicate \\
\hline imagination & 179:8 & 193:3, 193:5 & 165:17, 177:14, \\
\hline 139:25 & improve & included & 180: 6 \\
\hline imagine & \[
108: 5,119: 18,
\] & 59:7, 78:17, & indicated \\
\hline \[
34: 14,86: 14
\] & \[
162: 20,194: 16
\] & 84:10, 88:16, & 28:19, 161:15, \\
\hline impact & 213:24 & 97:10, 98:4, & 162:3, 182:20, \\
\hline 21:23, 22:23, & improved & 103:20, 117:23, & 186:16, 191:7, \\
\hline 40:16, 40:25, & 120:3, 219:22 & 158:6, 170:21,
170:25, 172:1, & \begin{tabular}{l}
213:20 \\
indicates
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & & & 182:14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline indication & 157:1 & intent & 109:7, 113:1, \\
\hline 36:25 & inline & 76:1, 76:3, & 115:9, 125:17, \\
\hline individual & 123:16 & 117:24, 118:8, & 126:13, 127:22, \\
\hline 15:22, 91:4, & innovative & 119:9 & 128:14, 128:17, \\
\hline 93:22, 94:18 & 139:9 & intents & 128:25, 129:8, \\
\hline individually & input & 118:1, 119:24 & 129:10, 129:15, \\
\hline 52:14 & 116:18, 160:23, & interest & 160:1, 160:7, \\
\hline individuals & 194:3, 203:1, & 27:22, 32:14, & 160:11, 160:14, \\
\hline 86:22 & 203:3 & 65:13, 89:5, & 160:20, 161:18, \\
\hline indoor & inquire & 90:19, 98:11, & 161:21, 162:17, \\
\hline 49:21, 53:14 & 88:24 & 119:8, 119:12, & 163:17, 169:4, \\
\hline induce & installation & 223:8 & 173:14, 176:4, \\
\hline 43:24 & 87:21, 162:17 & interested & 176:7, 176:18, \\
\hline indulging & installed & 8:19, 27:2, & 185:19, 186:4, \\
\hline \[
205: 4
\] & 128:19 & 163:10, 171:12 & 186:9, 186:13, \\
\hline induration & installing & interesting & 188:15, 189:1, \\
\hline \[
82: 22
\] & 134:15, 169:3, & 115:21 & 189:3, 189:7, \\
\hline industry & \[
203: 13
\] & interference & 189:9, 189:13, \\
\hline 21:4, 52:23 & instance & 6:16, 7:2, 7:5 & \[
\begin{array}{lr}
190: 4, \quad 190: 17, \\
190 \cdot 18 & 190 \cdot 19
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline infeasible & 27:6, 94:14 & intergenerational & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
190: 18, & 190: 19, \\
190: 21, & 191: 12,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \[
82: 11
\] & instances & 24:2, 24:8, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 190: 21, ~ 191: 12, \\
& 192: 22, \\
& 194: 10,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] \\
\hline infill & 40:22 & 31:11, 46:22, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 192: 22, ~ 194: 10, \\
& 195: 19, ~ 195: 21,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
27: 5
\] & instead & 115:13, 139:4
internal & \[
195: 22, \quad 196: 5,
\] \\
\hline information & 43:23, 171:19, & internal
\(26: 21,104: 24\), & 196:25, 199:1, \\
\hline 17:12, 27:11, & 183:24, 211:25
institute & 26:21,
\(105: 7,104: 24\),
105: & 199:4, 199:10, \\
\hline \(28: 14,57: 21\),
\(58: 6,58: 18\), & institute
\[
98: 9, \quad 164: 20
\] & \(105: 7,105: 24\),
\(106: 1, ~ 116: 1\), & 200:3, 201:18, \\
\hline \(58: 6,58: 18\),
\(58: 23,59: 12\), & instituting & 125:11, 126:10, & 202:6, 202:10, \\
\hline 59:17, 59:22, & 124:6 & 166:15 & 204:18, 209:25, \\
\hline 59:24, 60:1, & institutional & internally & 211:14, 212:24, \\
\hline 60:3, 85:6, & 48:1, 48:2, & 91:19 & \[
213: 25,215: 25
\] \\
\hline 93:9, 101:9, & 49:7, 70:20, & international & \[
216: 1
\] \\
\hline 147:3, 166:5, & 103:11 & \[
154: 19
\] & intersections \\
\hline 166:7, 179:13, & insurance & interrupt & 107:20, 118:17, \\
\hline 179:16, 179:17 & 65:1, 65:6 & 10:13, 48:8, & 159:4, 161:17, \\
\hline informing & integrate & 138:5, 208:10, & \[
170: 16,185: 11,
\] \\
\hline 27:16 & 118:9 & 218:13 & 185:15, 190:6, \\
\hline infrastructure & integrated & interrupting & 191:8, 194:25, \\
\hline 22:2, \(31: 13\),
\(32: 12, ~ 76: 4\), & 22:25 & intersection & 198:9, 198:15, \\
\hline 32:12, 76:4, & integration & intersection & 200:9, 200:11, \\
\hline \(76: 6,113: 18\),
\(114: 5,118: 4\), & 22:7 & 18:6, 34:21, & 201:10, 213:15, \\
\hline \(114: 5,118: 4\),
\(155: 20,156: 10\), & intend & \[
42: 14,72: 1 \text {, }
\] & 213:18 \\
\hline \(155: 20,156: 10\),
\(167: 19, ~ 168: 2\), & 9:17, 16:11, & \[
73: 11,74: 3,
\] & introduce \\
\hline 168:5, 218:20 & 19:12, \({ }^{\text {intended }}\) & 74:21, 76:9, & 9:6, 31:15 \\
\hline initial & 28:23 & 105:4, 106:10, & inventory \\
\hline 14:2, 128:2, & intensely & 106:14, 107:17, & invest \\
\hline 150:12, 151:1, & 6:11 & 108:7, 108:17, & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline investigated & 27:24, 95:19, & katie & 172:8, 195:2, \\
\hline 82:20 & 156:10, 168:5 & 4:10, 9:11, & 199:20, 201:8, \\
\hline investment & jeff & 13:24, 33:6, & 213:10, 221:17 \\
\hline 31:13 & 4:8, 9:10, & 183:12 & kinds \\
\hline investments & 66:22, 74:6, & katy & 53:7 \\
\hline 44:10 & 82:8 & 3:4, 153:15 & kip \\
\hline involved & jeff's & keep & 3:2, 93:1 \\
\hline 17:11, 134:16 & 149:24 & 50:3, 111:16, & klw@goroveslade \\
\hline involvement & jeffrey & 116:13, 183:11, & 153:23 \\
\hline 17:6, 90:18 & 67:3 & 184:21, 205:7, & knew \\
\hline ipad & jersey & 205:25 & 44:12, 58:1, \\
\hline 175:4, 175:5 & 45:7, 46:3, & keeping & 168:7, 168:10, \\
\hline isolated & 46:9, 46:14, & 6:10, 110:2, & 168:12 \\
\hline 123:18 & 46:15, 46:17 & 204:14 & know \\
\hline issue & jlester@eya & ken & 12:15, 32:8, \\
\hline 12:12, 12:16, & 20:14 & 9:13 & 32:20, 33:5, \\
\hline 17:22, 18:12, & job & kenilworth & 34:3, 34:24, \\
\hline 62:4, 67:15, & 1:23 & 136:11, 161:17, & 35:3, 36:2, \\
\hline 82:13, 84:8, & jobs & 161:20, 218:17 & 37:1, 38:4, \\
\hline 94:22, 103:22, & 31:12, 32:13 & kennedy & 38:5, 38:8, \\
\hline 149:23 & john & 52:10 & 38:15, 39:9, \\
\hline issues & 21:16 & kensington & 40:11, 41:4, \\
\hline 16:4, 18:4, & joined & 13:13, 61:8, & 41:8, 41:9, \\
\hline 29:13, 29:14, & 5:22 & 144:6 & 44:1, 44:2, \\
\hline 82:16, 95:7, & jolly & kept & 44:13, 44:14, \\
\hline 103:21, 142:2, & 207:21, 212:1, & 112:18 & 47:20, 49:23, \\
\hline 147:25 & 213:16 & key & 51:2, 54:13, \\
\hline ite & josh & 23:25 & \(55: 24,56: 1\), \\
\hline 166:22 & 4:9, 9:11, & keys & 56:8, 56:14, \\
\hline items & 59:1, 95:24, & 170:5 & 56:25, 57:18, \\
\hline 15: 6 & 97:16, 114:14, & kicked & 59:4, 59:5, \\
\hline iterate & 127:13, 139:1, & 6:19 & \(59: 6,59: 7\),
\(60: 2, ~ 60: 4\), \\
\hline 46:21 & 150:10 & kids & \(60: 2, ~ 60: 4\),
\(63: 7,64: 22\), \\
\hline itself & joshua & 6:25, 140:1 & 63:7, 64:22, \\
\hline 42:7, 69:23, & 96:4 & kind & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
67: 14, & 67: 20, \\
69: 16, & 80: 10,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 85:6, 94:20, & july & \[
11: 24, \quad 32: 23,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
69: 16, & 80: 10, \\
80: 13, & 80: 25,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
102: 9, & 107: 14, \\
132: 9, & 138: 6
\end{array}
\] & 168:6
jump & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
36: 18, & 44: 11, \\
44: 12, & 49: 11 .
\end{array}
\] & \[
82: 12,88: 4,
\] \\
\hline J J & 151:11 & 53:5, 62:25, & 91:18, 93:20, \\
\hline jack & jumping & \[
67: 13, \quad 80: 20,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 101: 18, ~ 108: 23, \\
& 123: 24, ~ 127: 1,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
4: 6, \quad 9: 7, \quad 9: 15
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
140:3 \\
jungle
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 111: 7, \quad 111: 9, \\
& 111: 10, \quad 111: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
130: 9,131: 17,
\] \\
\hline \(9: 16, ~ 20: 5\),
\(20: 12, ~ 24: 19\), & 139:24 & 113:8, 113:22, & 133:10, 133:12, \\
\hline 46:21, 72:9, & jurisdictions & 115:12, 115:13, & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
133: 15, & 134: 1, \\
136: 16, & 139: 7
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 147:23, 149:23, & \[
46: 6,46: 12
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 116: 4, \quad 133: 13, \\
& 133: 19, \quad 139: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 139: 12,142: 3,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
151: 7
\] & justifications 32:5 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 133: 19, ~ 139: 22, \\
& 140: 3, \quad 140: 6,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
143: 5, \quad 143: 7
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1:13, 27:15,} & K & 142:21, 142:23, & 145:6, 148:10, \\
\hline & katherine
\[
153: 20
\] & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 153:5, 162:14, & landon & language & 189:15, 191:23, \\
\hline 162:16, 163:23, & 154:19 & 204:7 & 192:25, 195:18, \\
\hline 166:19, 166:20, & landscape & laptop & 198:10, 199:10, \\
\hline 169:23, 169:24, & 96:14, 96:19, & 7:4 & 200:19, 200:21, \\
\hline 175:10, 180:21, & 96:21, 97:17, & large & 200:24, 201:11, \\
\hline 182:9, 184:6, & 97:23, 98:5, & 71:3, 71:5, & 201:16, 201:20, \\
\hline 193:18, 195:13, & 98:16, 99:4 & 102:7, 130:11, & 202:17, 203:2, \\
\hline 203:20, 204:6, & lane & 132:1, 133:15, & 220:12 \\
\hline 204:7, 206:8, & 20:13, 28:23, & 190:10 & latter \\
\hline 207:7, 207:9, & 44:7, 75:1, & larger & 138:20 \\
\hline 209:12, 209:17, & 87:17, 89:22, & 21:20, 22:12, & laugh \\
\hline 217:20, 218:1, & 90:5, 107:10, & 22:16, 24:1, & 61:5 \\
\hline 219:10 & 107:21, 128:2, & 31:9, 88:4, & laurel \\
\hline knowledge & 130:1, 130:2, & 111:25 & 45:6 \\
\hline 38:1, 52:23, & 130:3, 130:7, & last & law \\
\hline 60:19 & 130:19, 131:2, & 14:2, 27:9, & 2:16, 10:3 \\
\hline knows & 131:16, 132:2, & 44:3, 59:9, & lawn \\
\hline 84:22 & 132:4, 132:8, & 95:19, 96:15, & 107:5, 113:7, \\
\hline kprebble & 132:13, 144:13, & 112:13, 146:4, & 116:2, 121:18, \\
\hline 14:1 & 144:19, 160:3, & 151:22, 183:18, & 121:22, 145:4 \\
\hline L & 160:16, 163:1, & 215:15, 217:16, & laying \\
\hline lack & \[
163: 12,169: 3,
\] & 221:6, 221:7 & 208:12 \\
\hline \[
18: 7,18: 8 \text {, }
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lr}
192: 6, \quad 192: 7, \\
192: 11 . & 192: 12
\end{array}
\] & lastly & layman's \\
\hline \[
114: 17,122: 5
\] & 192:11, 192:12, & \[
22: 10, \quad 29: 1
\] & \[
162: 16
\] \\
\hline lacking & 192:14, 192:21, & lat & layout \\
\hline 218:24 & \[
195: 23,196: 7
\] & \[
168: 14,170: 18,
\] & \[
70: 15, \quad 98: 18,
\] \\
\hline lady & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 196: 11, \quad 196: 19, \\
& 197: 3, \quad 197: 17,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
188: 4
\] & 104:13, 109:11, \\
\hline \[
45: 21
\] & 202:15, 203:13, & lately & \[
114: 24
\] \\
\hline laid & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 202:15, 203:13, } \\
& \text { 203:24, 204:3, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 136: 2 \\
& \text { later }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { layperson's } \\
& \text { 193:16, 193:22, }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \(17: 2\)
lan & \[
206: 18, \quad 207: 4,
\] & later
\[
42: 8,61: 22,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 193: 16, \quad 193: 22, \\
& 194: 5, \quad 195: 9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
97: 17
\] & \[
208: 22,208: 23,
\] & 63:6, 90:11, & lays \\
\hline land & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 209: 7, \quad 209: 11, \\
& 209: 23, \quad 210: 3,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
93: 4, & 93: 5, \\
93: 9, & 100: 24,
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
106: 25
\] \\
lead
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 23: 4, \quad 70: 19, \\
& 97: 20, \quad 98: 3,
\end{aligned}
\] & 210:4, 210:8, & \[
101: 15,144: 8
\] & \[
124: 22, \quad 125: 7,
\] \\
\hline \[
98: 4, \quad 98: 7
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
210: 11, & 210: 12, \\
210: 16, & 211: 10 .
\end{array}
\] & latr & 178:23, 178:25 \\
\hline 99:4, 100:5, & 213:21, 214:24, & 4:19, 18:16, & leads \\
\hline 100:14, 102:4, & 214:25, 217:7, & \[
137: 17, \quad 155: 24,
\] & \[
82: 3, \quad 125: 8
\] \\
\hline 102:18, 102:24, & 220:7, 220:13' & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
156: 4, & 156: 15, \\
157: 7, & 157: 15,
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
learned \\
145:2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
102: 25,117: 22,
\] & lanes
lat & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 157: 7,157: 15, \\
& 157: 18, \quad 159: 6,
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
145:2 \\
least
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 120:1, 120:8, & 18:8, 19:5, & 160:6, 161:12, & \[
29: 3, \quad 40: 16
\] \\
\hline \[
120: 10, \quad 127: 14,
\] & 40:2, 107:11, & 167:9, 168:6, & \(40: 25,61: 6\), \\
\hline 138:18, 148:5, & 162:18, 192:18, & 168:9, 168:25, & 61:11, 80:19, \\
\hline 164:15 & 192:20, 192:21, & 170:21, 178:16, & 83:21, 137:10, \\
\hline land-use & 206:16, 208:18, & 180:1, 180:16, & 138:23, 149:11, \\
\hline 34:4, 98:12 & \[
211: 9,211: 14
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 180: 18, \quad 185: 10, \\
& 186: 9, \quad 188: 5,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 149: 19, \quad 150: 22, \\
& 150: 23
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline leave & less & lester's & lighting \\
\hline 90:21, 109:21, & 25:10, 37:20, & 82:18 & 117:17 \\
\hline 144:1, 169:24 & 37:21, 144:18, & let's & lights \\
\hline leaves & 161:9, 179:3, & 20:9, 66:9, & 35:20, 177:12, \\
\hline 31:2, 144:21 & 184:1 & 80:14, 80:16, & 177:13, 208:14 \\
\hline leaving & lesser & 80:18, 153:13, & likable \\
\hline 197:9 & 29:12 & 206:10 & 30:19 \\
\hline led & lester & letter & likely \\
\hline 21:15, 38:6 & 4:6, 9:8, 17:4, & 203:22 & 24:3, 36:8, \\
\hline lee & 20:6, 20:7, & letters & 139:19, 213:10, \\
\hline 9:12, 153:20 & 20:10, 20:12, & 27:15 & 217:12 \\
\hline left & 20:18, 20:21, & letting & limited \\
\hline 15:5, 26:15, & 20:23, 21:10, & 174:9 & 94:13, 117:5, \\
\hline \(36: 20,36: 21\), & 23:9, 23:12, & level & 137:11, 178:5 \\
\hline 68:17, 68:19, & 25:2, 25:5, & 76:20, 76:21, & limo \\
\hline 73:25, 95:19, & 25:7, 25:13, & 116:20, 145:17, & 52:12, 54:8 \\
\hline 101:21, 106:11, & 25:14, 26:4, & 158:23 & limousines \\
\hline 107:12, 107:20, & 26:25, 27:4, & leverages & 54:2 \\
\hline 110:18, 125:12, & 30:4, 30:8, & 31:12 & line \\
\hline 128:2, 130:1, & \(30: 11,30: 12\), & liberty & 14:17, 39:3, \\
\hline 130:3, 130:19, & \(31: 5,31: 8\), & 154:20 & 39:12, 57:3, \\
\hline 131:2, 132:4, & 31:21, 31:22, & licensed & 108:6, 127:18, \\
\hline 132:8, 160:2, & 31:24, 32:2, & 68:9 & 128:15, 143:21, \\
\hline 160:16, 163:1, & \(32: 3,32: 8\), & lide & 170:25, 195:12 \\
\hline 169:3, 173:16, & 32:20, 33:5, & 2:23, 14:5, & lines \\
\hline 174:8, 174:19, & \(33: 16,33: 18\), & \[
14: 6,14: 11
\] & 167:11 \\
\hline 176:5, 189:16, & 33:24, 34:3, & \[
92: 18,143: 12,
\] & link \\
\hline 189:17, 192:6, & 34:9, 34:18, & \[
143: 16,143: 25,
\] & \[
221: 12,221: 14,
\] \\
\hline 192:8, 194:8, & \[
34: 23,35: 3
\] & \[
144: 4,144: 21,
\] & \[
221: 15,221: 18
\] \\
\hline 194:17, 194:23, & \[
35: 6, \quad 35: 15,
\] & \[
145: 9,145: 22,
\] & links \\
\hline 195:25, 196:6, & \[
35: 19,36: 9,
\] & \[
146: 3, \quad 205: 9,
\] & 221:17 \\
\hline 196:11, 196:18, & \[
36: 15,37: 5
\] & \[
205: 12
\] & list \\
\hline 197:11, 197:18, & \[
37: 10, \quad 37: 13,
\] & life & \[
86: 20,152: 3
\] \\
\hline 197:22, 202:14, & \[
37: 17, \quad 37: 20
\] & 23:21, 47:13, & listed \\
\hline 203:13, 203:24, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 37: 23, \quad 37: 25, \\
& 38: 5, \quad 38: 21 .
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
53: 6, \quad 80: 19
\] & \[
32: 21
\] \\
\hline 206:18, 210:4, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
38: 5, & 38: 21, \\
38: 25, & 39: 11,
\end{array}
\] & light & listen \\
\hline 210:9, 210:11,
211:4, 211:10, & \[
39: 20,40: 4
\] & 30:12, 50:16, & 5:16 \\
\hline 211:4, 211:10,
211:20, 213:21, & \[
40: 14, \quad 40: 21
\] & 106:8, 129:8, & listening \\
\hline 215:4, 216:12, & 41:8, 41:12, & 129:10, 129:13, & 26:1 \\
\hline 216:22, 216:24, & 41:16, 41:22, & \[
160: 22,173: 25
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { literally } \\
& \text { 40:22 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 217:6, 217:7, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 42: 4, \quad 42: 10, \\
& 42: 18, \quad 43: 2,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & 177:15, 203:24, & little \\
\hline \(217: 15\)
left-hand & \(42: 18,43: 2\),
\(43: 6,43: 13\), & 208:18, 211:1, & 11:25, 12:11, \\
\hline 75:1 & 43:19, 44:1, & 211:2, 211:6, & 12:18, 25:2, \\
\hline legally & 44:8, 44:21, & 212:11, 213:1, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
25: 10, & 33: 8, \\
38: 24 . & 50: 6 .
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline 124:17 & \[
71: 23,82: 1
\] & 216:13, 216:15 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
38: 24, & 50: 6, \\
53: 23, & 87: 13,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline lengths & 133:12 & lighted & \[
94: 7, \quad 94: 17,
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 147:1, 158:23, & maintenance & 38:23, 63:11, & 163:9, 177:13, \\
\hline 165:5, 182:3, & 152:8 & 91:6, 108:16, & 193:17, 210:1, \\
\hline 194:13 & major & 109:2, 130:2, & 219:12, 221:25 \\
\hline lower & 17:22 & 141:24, 142:7, & map \\
\hline 74:25, 87:19, & majority & 151:25, 161:3, & 16:23, 17:21, \\
\hline 122:23, 201:19, & 37:15, 72:7, & 177:3, 217:13 & 69:21, 76:18, \\
\hline 201:20, 201:22, & 72:8 & man & 99:8, 100:1, \\
\hline 202:21 & make & 83:8 & 100:3, 140:15, \\
\hline ltr & 6:16, 11:3, & manage & 155:15 \\
\hline 198:13 & 17:25, 18:1, & 79:18 & maps \\
\hline lunch & 23:19, 25:25, & managed & 167:2, 207:25 \\
\hline 16:11, 16:12, & 35:23, 38:11, & 79:2, 85:14 & march \\
\hline 16:16, 49:17, & 38:24, 39:7, & management & 28:5 \\
\hline 95:4 & 39:15, 39:20, & 45:25, 55:14, & margaret \\
\hline M & 44:9, 63:4, & \(70: 8,70: 14\), & 109:17, 109:18, \\
\hline ma'am & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
65: 22, & 66: 4, \\
67: 21 . & 81: 9 .
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
71: 11, & 71: 14, \\
73: 10 . & 83: 6 .
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 109:20 } \\
& \text { mark }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
13: 8,13: 16,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
67: 21, & 81: 9, \\
81: 10, & 86: 12,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 73: 10, \quad 83: 6, \\
& 83: 9, \quad 83: 20,
\end{aligned}
\] & mark
\[
63: 21
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 14: 3, \quad 14: 20, \\
& 44: 25, \quad 48: 10,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
87: 1, \quad 88: 12,
\] & \[
96: 20,113: 6,
\] & marked \\
\hline 60:7, 63:14, & 90:3, 93:9, & 122:3, 122:6, & 73:7, 150:9 \\
\hline 64:15, 140:13, & 94:3, 94:8, & 122:8, 122:13 & market \\
\hline 141:8, 205:11, & 97:5, 108:9, & manager's & 21:5, 22:19, \\
\hline 206:11, 214:9 & 126:1, 126:4, & 68:20 & 23:5, 44:9 \\
\hline macular & 126:18, 131:4, & managers & marketing \\
\hline 141:19 & \(\begin{array}{ll}140: 1, & 140: 22, \\ 141: 14, & 151: 15\end{array}\) & 55:6, 55:25 & 23:20 \\
\hline mad & 141:14, 151:15, & managing & mart \\
\hline 93:2 & 152:22, 164:19, & 30:25, 113:9, & 135:5 \\
\hline made & 166:21, 174:8, & 204:23 & marta \\
\hline \[
28: 7,53: 17
\] & 174:25, 176:17, & maneuvers & 57:4, 57:15 \\
\hline \[
77: 5, \quad 83: 9,
\] & 179:9, 180:11, & 216:21 & maryland \\
\hline 84:12, 91:1, & \[
\begin{array}{lr}
182: 5, & 184: 9, \\
184: 186: 18
\end{array}
\] & manner & 1:2, 1:12, 5:5, \\
\hline \[
121: 13, \quad 127: 24
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 184: 12, \quad 186: 2, \\
& 188: 1, \quad 197: 11,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 117: 15,161: 4 \\
& \text { manual }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9: 2, \quad 11: 18, \\
& 14: 25, \quad 20: 14,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
11 \cdot 4
\] & 199:21, 202:3, & 79:17, 164:21, & \[
46: 10, \quad 67: 3
\] \\
\hline mailing & 203:4, 203:5, & \[
184: 18
\] & 67:5, 68:8, \\
\hline 14:25 & 205:7, 208:15, & manuals & 68:10, 68:15, \\
\hline main &  & 194:5 & 68:18, 68:21, \\
\hline 42:13, 71:4, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
211: 1, & 213: 3, \\
215: 2, & 215: 5,
\end{array}
\] & many & 76:24, 79:14, \\
\hline 71:6, 71:8, & 215:2, \(215: 5\), & 7:20, 12:18, & 96:4, 96:5, \\
\hline 116:5, 206:15, &  & 18:4, 23:24, & 99:12, 121:9, \\
\hline 218:8, 218:11 & 221:16 & 29:8, 33:10, & 121:11, 158:14, \\
\hline maintain & makes 67.17 & 46:5, 48:20, & 203:15 \\
\hline \[
23: 6, \quad 110: 4
\] &  & 52:24, 53:8, & mass \\
\hline \[
116: 12
\] & |88:25, 145:16, & 55:20, 56:14, & 179:7, 184:11 \\
\hline maintained & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
185: 2, & 196: 1, \\
220: 5
\end{array}
\] & 57:18, 61:7, & massing \\
\hline \[
140: 21
\] & 220:5 & 90:13, 91:17, & 114:1, 120:5, \\
\hline maintaining & making & 103:9, 104:14, & 120:17, 146:8, \\
\hline \[
39: 22,62: 3
\] & 20:24, 32:12, & 140:2, 146:24, & 146:17, 146:19, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing - Day 1
January 31, 2022


PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing - Day 1
January 31, 2022


PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing - Day 1
January 31, 2022
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
32:3, 45:1,
45:2, 51:13,
51:15, 60:10,
61:1, 66:24,
67:2, 78:13,
122:14, 161:24,
196:7, 204:11,
208:4, 208:5,
220:3, 220:19,
221:1, 221:5,
222:1
mornings
127:4
most
12:22, 21:15,
28:9, 49:14,
51:20, 55:19,
56:2, 56:9,
56:24, 57:8,
59:17, 102:4,
103:16, 130:13,
132:1, 132:22,
133:2, 133:18,
133:25, 135:1,
161:4, 171:13,
191:23, 195:6,
222:1
mostly
51:21, 58:21,
123:17, 184:3
mother
60:16, 60:22,
61:5, 141:17,
149:15
mothers
61:2
motivated
32:9
mount
45:6
move
7:18, 39:25,
44:15, 44:22,
69:7, 76:18,
85:11, 95:20,
97:16, 102:21,
153:13, 154:22,
185:5, 185:9,
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
203:10, 217:6 \\
moved \\
74:14 \\
movement
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 75: 19, \\
& 195: 3, \\
& 196: 19, \\
& 210: 13
\end{aligned}
\] \\
movements
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 106: 25, \quad 107: 9, \\
& 107: 19, \quad 195: 22, \\
& 196: 5, \quad 197: 1, \\
& 200: 10, \quad 210: 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
moves \\
144:17 \\
movie \\
53:12 \\
movies \\
53:13 \\
moving
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 6: 6, \quad 44: 11, \\
& 65: 23, \quad 168: 7, \\
& 191: 25, \quad 195: 15, \\
& 211: 22, \quad 215: 25, \\
& 220: 22 \\
& \text { mowed, } \\
& 113: 7, \quad 121: 18, \\
& 121: 22 \\
& \text { much } \\
& 5: 23, \quad 9: 20, \\
& 10: 5, \quad 10: 12, \\
& 10: 17, \quad 13: 18, \\
& 14: 3, \quad 14: 17, \\
& 20: 19, \quad 31: 15, \\
& 42: 24, \quad 44: 17, \\
& 44: 20, \quad 45: 9, \\
& 45: 15, \quad 47: 2, \\
& 51: 25, \quad 53: 8, \\
& 53: 16, \quad 58: 18, \\
& 64: 10, \quad 66: 12, \\
& 67: 12, \quad 78: 10, \\
& 86: 1, \quad 95: 9, \\
& 100: 7, \quad 116: 19, \\
& 117: 8, \quad 119: 2, \\
& 121: 4, \quad 131: 23, \\
& 137: 3, \\
& 140: 23, \\
& 147: 16, \\
& 168: 20, \\
& 165: 7, \\
& 175: 19, \\
& 176: 8,
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  &  &  \\
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\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing - Day 1
January 31, 2022


PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing - Day 1
January 31, 2022
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 130:20, 136:15, & opined & organized & 179:18, 180:14, \\
\hline 141:11, 143:9, & 82:10 & 26:10, 93:21 & 223:8 \\
\hline 159:7, 160:15, & opinion & oriented & ourselves \\
\hline 162:24, 163:18, & 31:5, 33:14, & 33:23, 34:2 & 28:7, 53:24, \\
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\hline open & 112:21 & 36:6, 36:7, & 54:13, 62:23, \\
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\hline 48:14, 49:21, & 7:13, 7:17, & 39:13, 53:2, & \[
86: 6, \quad 90: 18,
\] \\
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\hline 12:8, 12:21, & 46:4, 100:15, & panel & 115:8, 116:2, \\
\hline 12:24, 16:7, & 100:16, 163:19 & 107:5 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 118: 23, \quad 132: 17, \\
& 133: 9,135: 5
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\hline 7:8, 52:15, & 75:8, 112:1, & 183:18, 184:17, & 117:16, 117:18, \\
\hline 52:19, 63:21, & 145:20, 187:18 & 184:19, 190:3, & 128:5, 130:2, \\
\hline 158:11 & removals & 190:24, 203:19, & 133:22, 149:9, \\
\hline regulation & 112:3, 117:18 & 203:21, 207:16 & 152:8 \\
\hline 124:6 & remove & reporter & required \\
\hline regulations & 108:22, 202:10 & 2:21, 5:23, & 17:1, 18:14, \\
\hline 46:15, 86:5 & removed & 6:1, 7:10, 11:6, & 18:17, 28:13, \\
\hline rehab & 187:21, 195:22, & 84:7, 92:25, & 29:21, 30:1, \\
\hline 52:2 & 199:23, 200:13 & 93:1, 93:10, & 70:10, 75:4, \\
\hline relate & removes & 95:13, 175:14, & 75:22, 76:7, \\
\hline 26:18 & 199:18 & 175:18 & \(76: 13,79: 2\), \\
\hline related & removing & reports & 81:1, 85:13, \\
\hline 29:11, 223:6 & 40:17, 40:20, & 30:14, 50:17, & 85:16, 92:1, \\
\hline relates & 111:22 & 178:22, 178:24, & 115:2, 115:5, \\
\hline 70:18 & rendered & 179:1, 179:3, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 158: 25, \quad 162: 12, \\
& 168: 2 . \quad 68: 8 .
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline relationships & \[
5: 21
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
179: 17,193: 9
\] \\
representative
\end{tabular} & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 168: 2, \quad 168: 8, \\
& 177: 17, \quad 177: 25,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] \\
\hline 51:3 & rendering & representative
\[
38: 11
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 177: 17, \quad 177: 25, \\
& 181: 20, \\
& 182: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline relative & \[
4: 23, \quad 47: 18
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
38: 11
\] \\
representatives
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 181: 20, ~ 182: 8, \\
& 182: 21, ~ 206: 5,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 147:13 & renderings & \[
28: 2,28: 4,
\] & \[
206: 13,218: 23,
\] \\
\hline relevant & 150:3, 151:2 & \[
28: 15
\] & 219:2 \\
\hline \[
190: 12
\] & \[
128: 7
\] & represented & requirement \\
\hline reliance & repeat & 8:11, 8:12, & 111:13, 123:14 \\
\hline 123:13, 183:8 & 100:6, 124:24, & 8:20, 13:1, & requirements \\
\hline relinquish & 175:14, 186:3 & 13:6, 13:19, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 17: 16, \quad 29: 16, \\
& 76: 2, \quad 99: 25,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 129:19 & rephrase & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
14: 22, & 26: 15, \\
86: 20, & 86: 21,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 76: 2, \quad 99: 25, \\
& 100: 3, \quad 117: 24
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline reloaded & 122:10 & \[
89: 15,89: 17,
\] & \[
118: 20,119: 10,
\] \\
\hline \[
104: 25
\] & replace & \[
92: 7, \quad 92: 17,
\] & 119:24, 133:24, \\
\hline relocated & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 104: 17 \\
& \text { replaced }
\end{aligned}
\] & 92:22, 93:17, & \(119.24, ~ 133.24\),
\(156: 5\) \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
26: 12, & 101: 2, \\
211: 7 &
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
replaced \\
131:16, 211:16
\end{tabular} & 93:20, 148:23, & requires \\
\hline rely & replacement & 167:8, 205:8 & 76:24, 80:5, \\
\hline 34:4, 86:5, & 112:4, 113:14 & \[
8: 14, \quad 87: 1,
\] & requiring \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
168: 12,199: 25
\] \\
remain
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
replacing \\
119:13
\end{tabular} & \[
87: 3, \quad 92: 12
\] & \[
145: 19,168: 12
\] \\
\hline 140:21 & replanted & represents & rerouted \\
\hline remainder & 110:18 & 92:14 & 167:15, 167:16 \\
\hline 102:16 & replanting & reproduced & rescue \\
\hline remaining & 121:21, 124:8 & \begin{tabular}{l}
91:20 \\
request
\end{tabular} & 109:7, 114:9 research \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
100: 20
\] \\
remember
\end{tabular} & report & \[
5: 19,27: 11,
\] & 139:12 \\
\hline remember & 17:7, 17:8, & 90:24, 93:11 & researches \\
\hline 84:3, 167:22, & 19:6, 19:23, & requested & 22:14 \\
\hline 173:7, 207:24, & 34:7, 102:25, & 58:12, 88:23, & reside \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
214:17 \\
remote
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 117: 22, \quad 137: 23, \\
& 138: 5,138: 7,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
91: 15, \quad 175: 20
\] & \[
24: 6
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6: 8 \\
& \text { remotely }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 178: 17, \quad 180: 14, \\
& 181: 9, \quad 182: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] & require
\[
39: 6,40: 18,
\] & residence
\[
37: 1,37: 2,
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & ```
resources
23:17, 54:20,
101:12, 109:24,
118:14, 118:16
respect
99:19, 99:25,
126:11, 171:13
respectful
43:19
respond
61:15, 175:10
response
61:9, 159:2,
161:1, 167:24
responsible
21:24
responsive
27:11
rest
55:14
restaurant
49:17
restrictions
13:11
result
17:15, 85:20,
161:25
resulted
91:5, 198:18
results
28:19, 29:20,
29:23, 193:11,
205:1
resume
4:20, 4:21,
69:6, 69:15,
97:10, 97:15,
99:3, 154:22
resuming
66:13
retail
22:5, 31:12
retained
100:20
retention
62:4
retired
11:1
``` & ```
retirement
33:12, 104:18,
105:10, 105:15,
113:14, 120:16
reuse
47:22
review
21:7, 30:6,
46:18, 47:15,
50:10, 68:5,
68:12, 74:9,
77:12, 96:23,
100:4, 117:9,
155:21, 156:25,
162:6, 168:20,
178:20, 178:21
reviewed
19:4, 29:17,
69:15, 76:16,
90:2, 97:16,
99:3, 156:5,
157:22, 157:24,
158:15, 162:3,
178:21, 183:18
revise
123:14
revised
4:17, 106:21,
107:22, 114:21
rezone
5:6
rezoned
103:14
rezoning
5:4, 16:24,
77:23, 95:15,
100:3, 100:19,
100:23, 101:14,
101:15, 103:7,
112:12, 117:23
ribeiro
2:25, 10:13,
10:14, 42:23,
42:24, 43:4,
43:7, 43:18,
43:21, 44:3,
44:17, 86:24,
89:13, 89:16,
``` &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline roadbed & rooftops & 108:5, 163:17, & 140:15 \\
\hline 87:17 & 122:21 & 163:21, 168:21, & say \\
\hline roads & room & 174:24, 175:24, & 14:5, 25:24, \\
\hline 18:5, 35:24, & 7:3, 49:21, & 176:4, 176:14, & 32:21, 33:24, \\
\hline 43:24, 108:20, & 169:25, 206:22 & 177:21, 204:17, & 37:20, 39:8, \\
\hline 109:11, 111:15, & root & 208:22, 209:21, & 44:2, 44:8, \\
\hline 113:10, 120:22, & 184:16 & 220:8 & 49:14, 49:15, \\
\hline 159:17, 170:13, & roughly & safter & 53:20, 55:22, \\
\hline 172:10, 193:18 & 25:8, 133:16 & 212:17, 212:25 & 56:11, 56:19, \\
\hline roadside & round & said & 57:6, 59:5, \\
\hline \[
73: 10
\] & \[
111: 5,112: 9
\] & \[
16: 17,46: 22,
\] & \[
65: 3, \quad 72: 7,
\] \\
\hline roadway & routes & \[
54: 8, \quad 80: 1,
\] & \[
80: 5, \quad 80: 16,
\] \\
\hline 65:14, 73:24, & \[
149: 20
\] & \[
84: 10, \quad 84: 17
\] & \[
80: 18, \quad 81: 7,
\] \\
\hline 87:16, 87:17, & rubinstein & \[
89: 20, \quad 90: 1,
\] & \[
83: 5,83: 21,
\] \\
\hline 157:20, 158:9, & 10:8, 10:14 & \[
100: 7, \quad 137: 22,
\] & \[
83: 22,84: 4,
\] \\
\hline 163:21, 167:13, & rule & \[
137: 23,138: 15,
\] & \[
123: 1, \quad 127: 12,
\] \\
\hline 199:22, 199:24, & 92:1, 134:8 & 158:24, 175:6, & 134:14, 134:18, \\
\hline 200:8, 200:11, & rules & 178:18, 181:10, & 137:5, 137:12, \\
\hline 202:4, 209:2 & 6:5, 6:22, & 181:12, 184:14, & 138:5, 141:6, \\
\hline roadways & \[
86: 18
\] & 184:22, 186:1, & 143:6, 143:24, \\
\hline 159:21, 172:9, & run & 189:18, 189:21, & 151:4, 155:3, \\
\hline 172:14 & \[
54: 15,64: 1
\] & 191:10, 197:19, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 156: 16, ~ 165: 14, \\
& 183: 10, \\
& 186: 14
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline robertson & \[
64: 2,84: 18,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
203: 12, & 212: 9, \\
214: 17, & 214: 24
\end{array}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 183: 10, \\
& 193: 16, \\
& 194: 13,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] \\
\hline 9:13 & \[
148: 22,156: 24,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 214: 17, \quad 214: 24, \\
& 217: 5, \quad 218: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 193: 16, ~ 194: 13, \\
& 194: 19, ~ 195: 1,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline rock 1 119.19 & 190:22 & \[
219: 20,223: 4
\] & 197:11, 197:23, \\
\hline rockville & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { running } \\
& \text { 103:24, 104:19, }
\end{aligned}
\] & sale & \[
202: 5,204: 1
\] \\
\hline 1:12, 2:10, & \[
125: 12, \quad 140: 3
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
21: 19
\] \\
same
\end{tabular} & saying
\[
6: 17,26: 4,
\] \\
\hline 9:2, 11:18, & runoff & same
7:3, 16:14, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll}
6: 17, & 26: 4, \\
26: 5, & 45: 19,
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline 69:4, 170:13,
\(172: 6,172: 10\), & 78:22, 79:9, & & \[
59: 21,84: 3,
\] \\
\hline \(172: 6,172: 10\),
\(172: 16, ~ 172: 18\), & 80:21, 81:7, & \[
59: 10, \quad 87: 18,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
3: 21, & 93: 3, \\
89: 23, & 93: 12,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 172: 16, ~ 172: 18, \\
& 173: 25,174: 3,
\end{aligned}
\] & \(122: 21, ~ 122: 22\)
runs & 113:7, 113:12, & 94:9, 123:25, \\
\hline 200:6, 206:24, & \[
39: 12,
\] & 139:18, 170:6, & 165:5, 199:25, \\
\hline 207:3, 207:19, & \[
106: 6, \quad 110: 13,
\] & 170:16, 186:3, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
200: 2, & 203: 23, \\
210: 2, & 213: 7
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline 207:20, 211:5, & \[
116: 7,133: 1,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
189: 12, & 194: 9, \\
194: 10, & 199: 1 .
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 210: 2,213: 7 \\
& \text { says }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 211:25, 215:24 & \[
145: 24
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
194: 10, & 199: 1, \\
199: 17, & 200: 21,
\end{array}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { says } \\
& 138: 5,139: 1,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline role & rush & 200:23, 211:5, & \[
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
138: 5, & 139: 1, \\
143: 6, & 179: 20,
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 21: 14, \quad 178: 15, \\
& 178: 19, \quad 178: 23,
\end{aligned}
\] & 213:19 & 211:11, 221:11, & 180:2, 184:19, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 178: 19, \\
& 178: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & S & 221:13, 221:15, & 187:16, 190:24, \\
\hline roll & safe & 221:18 & 202:12, 204:7 \\
\hline 5:25, 11:24, & 29:2, 108:9, & sara & scale \\
\hline 12:8 & 112:23, 177:12, & 11:11 & 73:3 \\
\hline rooflines & 214:20, 217:22 & satisfy & scaling \\
\hline 146:21, 151:9 & safely & 75:25, 76:3, & 126:18 \\
\hline roofs & \[
176: 1,213: 17
\] & 119:8 & schaffer \\
\hline 151:10 & safety & saw & 169:16, 169:23, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing - Day 1
January 31, 2022
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & ```
214:16, 216:1,
217:17
schools
22:5, 23:24,
32:13, 36:3,
36:7, 43:11,
45:21, 78:6,
103:23, 114:6,
114:8, 158:6,
158:9, 161:7,
174:20, 186:17,
186:20, 213:13
science
21:1, 68:9,
154:12
scope
29:16, 163:9,
164:12
scoped
155:24, 157:5,
157:17, 183:3
scoping
166:1, 171:9
scott
10:24, 11:17
screen
6:1, 11:22,
12:2, 12:15,
12:22, 12:23,
15:5, 15:7,
15:17, 15:21,
15:23, 24:16,
24:24, 47:23,
66:22, 72:23,
72:25, 73:20,
73:21, 93:1,
97:3, 97:4,
100:11, 114:22,
126:15, 145:12,
164:4, 164:8,
170:6, 187:13,
207:13
screening
116:12
scroll
164:9, 187:15
seamlessly
22:19
``` &  & \(176: 6, \quad 177: 1\),
\(177: 4, \quad 188: 19\),
\(195: 21, \quad 201: 24\),
\(211: 16, \quad 212: 20\),
\(221: 25\)
seeing
\(5: 25, \quad 15: 17\),
\(26: 15, \quad 26: 20\),
\(97: 2, \quad 155: 2\),
\(172: 3, \quad 193: 9\)
seeking
\(16: 24\)
seem
\(38: 16, \quad 87: 25\),
\(133: 18\)
seemed
\(182: 6\)
seems
\(67: 13, \quad 94: 7\),
\(201: 21\)
seen
\(83: 16, \quad 90: 1\),
\(126: 9, \quad 182: 17\)
segarnick
\(9: 13\)
select
\(23: 15, \quad 23: 22\),
\(44: 9\)
selected
\(32: 18, \quad 162: 8\)
selection
\(22: 1, \quad 23: 3\)
selective
\(23: 12\)
self
\(54: 18, \quad 94: 15\)
self-operating
\(51: 23\)
sell
\(23: 5, \quad 27: 17\)
selling
\(89: 2\)
send
\(12: 6\)
senior
\(24: 6, \quad 26: 14\),
\(30: 18, \quad 46: 1\),
\(46: 25, \quad 47: 25\), \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline slight & solve & 164:4 & sort \\
\hline 161:23, 191:24 & 74:3, 195:7 & someplace & 52:5, 83:11, \\
\hline slightly & some & 52:13 & 83:17, 89:21, \\
\hline 62:14, 87:22 & 5:10, 5:11, & something & 90:4, 92:19, \\
\hline sloan's & 13:11, 19:5, & 6:19, 12:13, & 115:7, 126:23, \\
\hline 97:10, 138:6 & 19:7, 19:14, & 15:17, 39:17, & 127:9, 193:15 \\
\hline sloan@vika & 33:1, 34:8, & 46:21, 52:6, & sos \\
\hline 96:6 & 34:14, 37:1, & 52:14, 56:12, & 135:3 \\
\hline slope & 38:16, 38:17, & 63:4, 82:6, & sounds \\
\hline 75:5, 75:6, & 48:15, 50:3, & 82:23, 89:23, & 145:24, 198:1, \\
\hline 150:6, 150:13, & 51:22, 53:5, & 90:9, 90:20, & 206:6 \\
\hline 150:14 & 65:24, 67:13, & 92:11, 123:25, & source \\
\hline slopes & 77:4, 83:13, & 130:11, 136:13, & 181:18 \\
\hline 102:5, 132:23, & 83:21, 93:25, & 145:2, 152:9, & south \\
\hline 133:3 & 98:14, 100:7, & 177:4, 207:12 & 25:11, 26:5, \\
\hline slow & 102:15, 102:18, & sometimes & 72:5, 72:16, \\
\hline 151:10 & 103:5, 103:13, & 15:20, 47:25, & 74:1, 74:14, \\
\hline slower & 103:17, 106:8, & 174:1, 177:15, & 75:3, 78:6, \\
\hline 122:23 & 106:13, 106:15, & 208:6, 215:18 & 84:6, 90:5, \\
\hline slowly & 108:11, 108:15, & somewhat & 102:1, 102:18, \\
\hline 6:11 & 110:24, 111:16, & 6:11, 134:16 & 103:5, 105:5, \\
\hline small & 112:17, 124:17, & somewhere & 105:21, 105:25, \\
\hline 72:10, 73:1, & 127:9, 128:1, & 84:18, 133:24, & 106:7, 115:11, \\
\hline 105:8, 105:14, & 131:1, 132:12, & 135:8 & 116:8, 125:11, \\
\hline 118:12, 139:19, & 132:19, 133:3, & soon & 125:13, 130:12, \\
\hline \[
165: 14,195: 1,
\] & 133:19, 136:5, & 145:18 & 130:23, 131:25, \\
\hline 195:4 & 139:9, 140:22, & sorry & 133:8, 133:16, \\
\hline smaller & 142:21, 143:22, & 9:16, 10:13, & 136:10, 144:12, \\
\hline 70:24 & 143:23, 144:7, & 16:25, 25:15, & 144:14, 144:25, \\
\hline smoothly & 145:6, 146:1, & 26:3, 33:24, & 150:21, 207:21, \\
\hline \[
220: 21
\] & 147:25, 150:12, & 35:7, 48:8, & 219:16 \\
\hline social & 152:3, 159:17, & 53:23, 61:2, & southbound \\
\hline 45:23, 51:25 & 159:18, 160:9, & 66:17, 96:24, & 197:1, 197:6, \\
\hline socially & \[
162: 20,167: 2 \text {, }
\] & 101:19, 109:18, & \[
218: 15
\] \\
\hline 21:24 & \[
205: 25, \quad 206: 3
\] & 109:21, 122:10, & \[
102: 10
\] \\
\hline software
\[
194: 2,194: 4,
\] & 215:25, 216:9 & 125:9, 127:2, & southern \\
\hline \[
200: 25
\] & somebody & 128:13, 129:12, & 102:19 \\
\hline soil & 34:10, 42:19, & 138:4, 141:10, & space \\
\hline 112:5 & \[
56: 17,179: 12,
\] & \(148: 21, ~ 151: 11\),
\(171: 23, ~ 175: 5\), & 4:17, 30:22, \\
\hline sold & \[
194: 8
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
171: 23, & 175: 5, \\
175: 12, & 175: 14,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 35: 23, \quad 48: 14, \\
& 108: 12, \quad 108: 21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
61: 12
\] & someone & \[
181: 12,186: 3,
\] & \[
112: 5,113: 3,
\] \\
\hline solely
\[
88: 11,168: 12
\] & \[
28: 15, \quad 52: 1,
\] & 187:2, 192:12, & \[
114: 15,114: 21
\] \\
\hline solid & 62:20, 82:6, & 196:10, 199:14, & 114:23, 115:1, \\
\hline \[
143: 21
\] & 86:15, 88:12, & 207:24, 208:9, & 115:5, 115:7, \\
\hline solution & \[
94: 13,98: 1,
\] & 218:5, 218:12, & 115:13, 115:14, \\
\hline 139:11 & 141:4, 151:7, & 218:13 & 115:23, 115:25, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
specifically \\
27:22, 41:1, \\
57:19, 58:5, \\
59:25, 115:2, \\
118:11, 119:5, \\
123:14, 133:4, \\
139:23, 165:12, \\
165:19, 167:8, \\
170:21, 173:4, \\
176:24, 181:7 \\
specifics \\
98:19, 182:10 \\
specimen \\
111:23, 133:14 \\
speculation \\
41:14 \\
speeds \\
209:20 \\
spend \\
58:3, 163:6 \\
spent \\
21:6, 46:1, \\
46:2, 46:13, \\
57:25, 96:19 \\
spine \\
125:12, 126:4, \\
129:16 \\
split \\
61:13, 164:10, \\
164:25, 165:3 \\
splits \\
165:15 \\
splitting \\
144:18 \\
spoke
\[
63: 20,82: 6
\] \\
127:14 \\
spoken \\
59:14, 60:20, \\
60:21 \\
spots \\
56:14 \\
sprawl \\
22:3 \\
spreadsheet \\
85:10, 91:18, \\
161:14, 162:2, \\
162:9
\end{tabular} &  & ```
179:23
standard
149:10, 160:12,
168:25
standards
25:23, 30:23,
70:10, 76:1,
76:4, 86:8,
100:2, 103:17,
117:24, 118:9,
118:12, 119:9,
119:22, 119:24,
128:20, 161:22,
176:16, 176:18,
177:24, 178:2,
185:12
standby
147:24
standing
46:11, 46:14
standpoint
70:6, 156:21,
169:7
stands
77:8
start
5:25, 8:20,
8:21, 15:10,
16:18, 23:2,
31:23, 45:19,
78:11, 115:18,
117:4, 135:8,
140:12, 169:16,
175:22, 202:20,
205:9, 220:23
started
175:5
starting
101:20, 133:7,
200:1
starts
201:22
state
18:21, 29:23,
46:3, 46:16,
54:19, 68:10,
76:23, 79:14,
81:4, 86:7,
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
110:18, 112:2,
124:6, 133:23,
156:1, 158:14
stated
71:15, 80:24,
169:1, 181:18,
183:6
statement
8:7, 8:8, 16:8,
20:2, 84:13,
89:19, 181:16,
181:19, 184:17
statements
4:3
states
46:8
station
37:16, 37:24,
57:3, 57:4,
57:12, 57:16,
62:11, 63:12,
135:5, 179:8
stationed
127:3
stay
25:11, 218:14
steep
75:5, 75:6,
102:5, 132:23,
133:3
step
19:15, 41:2,
150:18
steps
150:18, 175:23
stick
204:8
sticking
129:25
still
11:5, 19:7,
19:14, 25:22,
106:4, 112:16,
119:22, 144:1,
156:3, 157:7,
169:23, 170:2,
170:4, 172:16,
172:19, 175:7,
``` &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
123:9 \\
stormwater \\
4:18, 71:16, \\
72:15, 72:21, \\
113:6, 121:25, \\
122:3, 122:6, \\
122:8, 122:13, \\
123:20, 135:14 \\
story \\
150:23 \\
straight \\
107:20, 124:13 \\
strange \\
94:7, 201:16 \\
strategies \\
122:17, 122:20, \\
184:2 \\
strategy \\
112:8, 122:3, \\
123:15, 123:22 \\
strathmore's \\
136:10 \\
stream \\
39:3, 40:18, \\
70:24, 72:5, \\
\(74: 1,75: 6\), \\
83:4, 101:21, \\
102:5, 102:16, \\
110:20, 111:14, \\
113:11, 122:25, \\
123:17, 124:8, \\
132:17, 133:17 \\
streams \\
\(70: 22, \quad 70: 25\),
\(83: 19, \quad 99: 13\)
street
\(2: 9, \quad 2: 17, \quad 9: 2\),
\(10: 3, \quad 26: 19\),
\(98: 20, \quad 104: 14\),
\(105: 5, \quad 106: 6\),
\(107: 11, \quad 107: 18\),
\(108: 12, \quad 115: 9\),
\(120: 6, \quad 125: 11\),
\(125: 14, \quad 125: 22\),
\(125: 23, \quad 126: 1\),
\(126: 3, \quad 126: 20\),
\(126: 21, \quad 126: 24\),
\(128: 14, \quad 128: 16\),
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 101: 15, \quad 101: 20, \\
& 102: 1, \quad 103: 6, \\
& 103: 13, \quad 151: 14, \\
& 155: 15, \quad 156: 4, \\
& 156: 14, \quad 156: 20, \\
& 156: 23 \\
& \text { submission } \\
& 18: 15, \quad 76: 24, \\
& 128: 1 \\
& \text { submissions } \\
& 80: 25, \quad 81: 1 \\
& \text { submit } \\
& 77: 15, \quad 94: 25 \\
& \text { submittal } \\
& 111: 7 \\
& \text { submitted } \\
& 16: 23, \quad 16: 24, \\
& 30: 14, \quad 34: 7, \\
& 50: 18, \quad 75: 14, \\
& 77: 1, \quad 77: 10, \\
& 77: 11, \quad 78: 19, \\
& 85: 1, \quad 90: 25, \\
& 91: 5, \quad 91: 7, \\
& 91: 22, \quad 102: 3, \\
& 157: 7, \quad 157: 17, \\
& 162: 3 \\
& \text { submitting } \\
& 77: 3 \\
& \text { substantial } \\
& 31: 1 \\
& \text { subtle } \\
& 70: 12 \\
& \text { suddenly } \\
& 60: 17, \quad 175: 5 \\
& \text { sufficiency } \\
& 114: 16 \\
& \text { sufficient } \\
& 54: 19, \quad 91: 23, \\
& 112: 5 \\
& \text { suggest } \\
& 139: 13, \quad 159: 16, \\
& 182: 1, \quad 188: 25 \\
& \text { suggested } \\
& 74: 13, \quad 75: 9, \\
& 216: 19 \\
& \text { suggesting } \\
& 197: 8, \quad 218: 14 \\
& \text { suitability } \\
& 70: 3, ~ 156: 20 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & ```
suitable
120:2
suitably
120:7
suite
2:9, 2:17, 9:2,
10:3, 20:13,
67:4, 96:5,
153:22
summarize
128:25
summarized
161:14
summary
181:16, 181:21
summer
69:25
super
25:5
supplemental
19:2, 19:8,
19:13, 19:19,
28:12, 29:22,
42:11, 54:18,
159:3, 159:23,
159:24, 160:7,
161:9, 161:16,
162:19, 168:14,
169:2, 170:22,
171:14, 178:16,
188:6, 188:8,
188:11, 196:20,
198:8, 199:5,
199:10, 199:11,
199:18, 200:4,
200:22, 200:24,
201:12, 201:18,
201:20, 202:3,
202:11, 202:19,
202:23, 203:5
support
8:5, 51:25,
55:24, 70:1,
71:16, 71:22,
157:19, 203:17,
204:2, 204:4,
204:8
supported
76:5
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline supporting & 103:3, 104:4, & tab & 217:17 \\
\hline 118:4 & 120:11, 120:14, & 12:1 & talk \\
\hline suppose & 155:16, 157:19, & table & 59:18, 59:25, \\
\hline 11:25, 129:18 & 168:11, 168:21, & 134:1, 164:25, & 65:9, 88:8, \\
\hline sure & 169:10, 170:13, & 172:4, 185:15, & 139:2, 179:11 \\
\hline 8:25, 9:7, & 170:20, 173:2, & 186:2, 189:8, & talked \\
\hline 10:10, 11:4, & 179:22 & 195:11, 198:12, & 24:10, 52:4, \\
\hline 24:18, 42:10, & survey & 200:20 & 58:9, 59:8, \\
\hline 56:16, 65:23, & 100:13, 165:1 & tagline & 59:19, 82:9, \\
\hline 66:4, 67:2, & surveyor & 23:20, 23:21 & 89:19, 180:17 \\
\hline 77:5, 87:1, & 100:14 & take & talking \\
\hline 89:6, 89:13, & sustain & 11:9, 17:21, & 36:12, 36:13, \\
\hline 89:25, 91:11, & 183:16 & 33:9, 33:10, & 43:9, 49:24, \\
\hline 92:4, 93:9, & sustained & \(34: 14,37: 11\), & 58:4, 82:25, \\
\hline 97:5, 98:2, & 139:3 & 47:6, 50:5, & 83:24, 124:25, \\
\hline 100:6, 100:10, & swear & 52:13, 66:9, & 128:10, 128:14, \\
\hline 126:18, 131:5, & 20:15, 45:11, & 85:2, 85:7, & 131:8, 131:11, \\
\hline 132:11, 140:1, & 67:8, 154:1 & 95:4, 98:21, & 145:21, 165:11, \\
\hline 145:10, 161:3, & sweat & 118:13, 126:4, & 183:14, 196:10, \\
\hline 169:20, 170:5, & 38:14 & 135:11, 144:12, & 198:23 \\
\hline 176:18, 182:5, & swing & 147:3, 148:8, & tall \\
\hline 186:2, 186:4, & 139:23 & 149:15, 150:6, & 146:11, 147:7, \\
\hline 194:20, 199:16, & symphony & 151:1, 158:9, & 147:16 \\
\hline 199:21, 202:3, & 26:7, 28:3, & 169:20, 171:24, & target \\
\hline 203:5, 203:24, & 37:9, 38:22, & 174:2, 175:9, & 77:5, 79:16, \\
\hline 204:1, 205:5, & 39:1, 39:10, & 187:6, 194:24, & 79:17 \\
\hline 205:7, 221:16 & 39:16, 40:11, & 196:22, 197:10, & tax \\
\hline surface & 41:11, 43:15, & 197:20, 199:13, & 77:16 \\
\hline 39:2, 78:21, & \[
82: 3,82: 14
\] & 200:12, 201:2, & team \\
\hline 78:23, 79:9, & \[
83: 4, \quad 103: 6,
\] & \[
215: 4, \quad 220: 2,
\] & \[
40: 23, \quad 56: 3,
\] \\
\hline 79:10, 79:13, & \[
103: 15,132: 17,
\] & \[
220: 3
\] & \[
57: 7,59: 8,
\] \\
\hline 85:19, 85:24, & 133:9, 142:1, & taken & 59:15, 59:19, \\
\hline 107:2, 116:6, & 149:9, 207:2, & 5:16, 17:14, & 59:20, 69:24, \\
\hline \[
134: 11,140: 16,
\] & 207:4, 209:9, & 41:2, 79:3, & 82:24, 99:10, \\
\hline 140:18, 141:19, & 212:2, 213:17 & 94:5, 99:15, & 159:2, 166:15, \\
\hline 142:25 & synchro & 131:1, 151:5, & 173:5, 180:9 \\
\hline surfaces & 200:25, 204:23 & 160:19, 210:6 & team's \\
\hline 78:15, 123:5, & system & takes & 11:21 \\
\hline 142:16 & 39:11, 50:2, & 57:11, 57:15, & teams \\
\hline surrounded & 67:17, 73:12, & 80:6, 146:20, & 6:3, 6:8, \\
\hline 103:8 & 73:23, 107:1, & 187:19 & 15:20, 15:23 \\
\hline surrounding & 108:25, 122:22, & taking & technical \\
\hline 22:12, 23:8, & \[
143: 4
\] & 15:6, 33:13, & \[
17: 16, \quad 108: 3,
\] \\
\hline 27:21, 31:4, & systems & 38:13, 41:6, & 113:12, 193:19, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 31: 7, \quad 50: 24, \\
& 69: 22 . \\
& 78: 2 .
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
83: 11, \quad 83: 13,
\] & \[
54: 13,61: 2,
\] & \[
221: 11
\] \\
\hline \[
69: 22,78: 2
\] & \[
152: 7, \quad 152: 11
\] & \[
112: 6,144: 13,
\] & technically \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
83: 24, & 99: 8, \\
99 \cdot 20 & 102 \cdot 22
\end{array}
\] & T & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
171: 19, & 172: 17, \\
186 \cdot 17 & 195: 3
\end{array}
\] & 17:1, 92:17, \\
\hline 99:20, 102:22, & t-intersection
\[
190: 20, \quad 190: 21
\] & 186:17, 195:3, & 92:21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ted & 82:19, 89:9, & things & three-tier \\
\hline 10:7 & 92:15, 93:23, & 6:9, 6:14, & 76:24 \\
\hline telecommuting & 183:14 & 11:4, 11:21, & three-way \\
\hline 158:7 & testifying & 12:6, 12:9, & 42:19 \\
\hline telephonically & 7:7, 8:19, & 15:7, 17:25, & through \\
\hline 2:20, 3:1 & 14:9, 93:4, & 18:1, 19:10, & 18:9, 21:25, \\
\hline tell & 93:5, 143:14, & \(32: 10,32: 23\), & 22:8, 23:2, \\
\hline 6:7, 34:4, & 147:22, 179:4, & 48:16, 62:16, & 23:3, 23:7, \\
\hline 56:18, 57:19, & 181:5 & 90:14, 103:23, & 29:3, 37:2, \\
\hline 58:18, 80:6, & testimony & 106:17, 110:12, & 37:8, 39:18, \\
\hline 170:2, 177:11, & 4:5, 8:2, 17:5, & 111:10, 113:7, & 43:11, 43:16, \\
\hline 177:12 & 19:4, 20:16, & 116:5, 133:6, & 43:17, 72:20, \\
\hline telling & 30:13, 42:8, & 138:19, 140:2, & 76:11, 77:16, \\
\hline 177:2 & 44:21, 45:12, & 140:3, 145:7, & 82:21, 88:23, \\
\hline tend & 50:16, 61:20, & 150:15, 178:2, & 94:2, 101:4, \\
\hline 53:16, 134:25 & 61:21, 64:9, & 190:12, 193:1, & 101:22, 106:5, \\
\hline term & 67:9, 69:15, & 195:15, 203:4, & 106:7, 109:8, \\
\hline 162:16 & 82:18, 83:15, & 213:11, 220:19, & 110:1, 110:7, \\
\hline termed & 92:2, 93:25, & 221:1 & 112:12, 113:12, \\
\hline 115:1 & 94:1, 94:23, & thinking & 119:25, 132:2, \\
\hline terms & 117:22, 124:11, & 23:17, 183:24, & 132:4, 144:9, \\
\hline 55:10, 59:15, & 144:8, 154:2, & 184:1, 185:4 & 149:20, 152:18, \\
\hline 59:16, 93:17, & \[
163: 6, \quad 163: 24,
\] & thought & \[
160: 9,163: 2 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline 98:3, 127:14, & \[
166: 24,179: 25
\] & \[
11: 17,54: 7,
\] & \[
163: 18,167: 2,
\] \\
\hline 133:1, 134:10, & 181:15, 181:22, & 115:21, 128:13, & \[
173: 1,173: 15,
\] \\
\hline 190:1, 193:16, & 183:17, 184:6, & 181:12, 181:19, & 173:17, 173:23, \\
\hline 193:22, 194:5, & 212:6, 220:16, & 182:1, 197:19, & 176:14, 208:2, \\
\hline 195:10 & 220:20 & 218:16, 220:23 & 208:15, 208:18, \\
\hline terraced & testing & thoughtful & 208:23, 210:11, \\
\hline 115:13 & 103:16 & 22:8 & 210:12, 210:21, \\
\hline terracing & tests & thoughtfully & 210:23, 215:10, \\
\hline 151:9 & 103:23, 103:25 & 22: 6 & 220:18, 220:24 \\
\hline test & thanks & three & throughout \\
\hline \[
114: 6
\] & \[
114: 14
\] & \[
8: 10,12: 20,
\] & \[
26: 23,65: 24,
\] \\
\hline testified & theater & \[
21: 19,21: 25,
\] & \[
149: 17
\] \\
\hline 61:24, 68:25, & 53:12 & 43:22, 53:14, & throw \\
\hline 69:2, 91:1, & theoretically & 55:7, 60:25, & 116:4 \\
\hline 97:11, 123:5, & 195:4 & 80:25, 83:16, & tie \\
\hline 184:15, 206:4, & therefore & 107:19, 109:5, & 41:17, 118:16, \\
\hline 206:12 & 156:4 & 115:14, 115:16, & 132:9, 135:19, \\
\hline testifies & thing & 128:25, 132:6, & 136:6 \\
\hline 66:5 & 16:10, 16:14, & 143:20, 143:24, & till \\
\hline testify & 16:15, 47:24, & 144:1, 145:23, & 161:9 \\
\hline 12:25, 13:6, & 49:11, 102:23, & 174:12, 175:12, & time \\
\hline 13:9, 13:19, & 130:9, 133:13, & 192:18, 192:23, & 11:9, 12:18, \\
\hline 13:21, 14:4, & 150:3, 183:22, & 193:1, 215:9, & 13:4, 13:11, \\
\hline 14:7, 14:11, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 189: 2, \quad 203: 24, \\
& 204: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
216: 16
\] \\
three-lane
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 23: 16, \quad 35: 12, \\
& 40 \cdot 2,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 14:23, 61:21, & 204:1 & 106:11, 107:14 & 40:2, 46:2, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8: 15, \quad 8: 19, \quad 9: 6, \\
& 9: 7,10: 6,12: 3, \\
& 12: 17, \quad 12: 23, \\
& 12: 25, \quad 13: 1, \\
& 13: 12, \quad 13: 19, \\
& 13: 22, \quad 14: 4, \\
& 14: 23, \quad 16: 10, \\
& 18: 5, \quad 18: 11, \\
& 67: 22, \quad 89: 10, \\
& 93: 19, \quad 95: 18, \\
& 107: 8, \quad 109: 3, \\
& 145: 1, \quad 163: 24, \\
& 167: 25, \quad 171: 8, \\
& 179: 12, \quad 180: 5, \\
& 184: 6, \quad 220: 18, \\
& 221: 3, \quad 221: 12, \\
& 221: 14 \\
& \text { toggles } \\
& 12: 21 \\
& \text { told } \\
& 58: 18, \quad 67: 16 \\
& \text { tomorrow } \\
& 8: 19, \quad 13: 1, \\
& 13: 12, \quad 14: 23, \\
& 16: 14, \quad 220: 3, \\
& 220: 19, \quad 220: 25, \\
& 221: 1, \\
& 221: 5, \\
& 221: 15, \quad 222: 1 \\
& \text { took, } \\
& 118: 11, \\
& 134: 23, \\
& 134: 1, \\
& 172: 14, \\
& 172: 19, \\
& 200: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & ```
79:12, 81:7,
85:24, 100:19
totally
73:17, 189:2
touch
118:6, 163:11
touched
113:21, 114:14,
162:15
toward
133:10, 207:22
towards
11:22, 11:23,
15:5, 72:12,
107:16, 184:3,
206:24
town
14:22, 27:6,
28:6, 75:9
townhome
21:19
townhomes
26:16, 35:25,
116:8, 207:17
townhouse
103:5, 115:6,
125:9
townhouses
46:25, 77:25,
78:5, 84:5,
101:25, 103:19,
104:2, 104:25,
108:15, 116:2,
120:20, 120:21,
124:22, 126:9,
164:16
traditional
83:10
trail
26:22, 30:21,
36:4, 39:2,
39:22, 40:11,
82:2, 82:3,
107:2, 112:24,
116:6, 135:13,
140:16, 140:18,
140:24, 141:13,
141:24, 142:6,
``` &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline  &  &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 107: 25, \quad 110: 15, \\
& 111: 12,113: 24, \\
& 114: 24,115: 17, \\
& 116: 11,146: 23, \\
& 148: 7 \\
& \text { typical } \\
& 86: 11,140: 6, \\
& 162: 12,202: 4, \\
& 202: 23,203: 2, \\
& 203: 6 \\
& \text { typically } \\
& 18: 13,22: 16, \\
& 32: 24, \quad 77: 1, \\
& 78: 24,115: 20, \\
& 120: 5,122: 24, \\
& 128: 22,140: 19, \\
& 142: 11,148: 11, \\
& 149: 5,213: 12, \\
& 221: 15
\end{aligned}
\]
U \\
uh-huh \\
64:4 \\
ultimately \\
82:3 \\
un-muted \\
205:16 \\
un-muting \\
206:1 \\
unanimously
\[
17: 20
\] \\
uncertain \\
41:21 \\
under
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 20: 15, \quad 28: 22, \\
& 29: 21, \quad 32: 15, \\
& 42: 11, \quad 45: 11, \\
& 65: 11, \quad 67: 8, \\
& 89: 6,92: 1, \\
& 97: 25, \quad 98: 11, \\
& 100: 3,101: 22, \\
& 101: 24,102: 12, \\
& 112: 1,114: 5, \\
& 115: 11,148: 20, \\
& 148: 25,154: 1, \\
& 156: 12,157: 16, \\
& 168: 2,168: 9, \\
& 168: 16,168: 25,
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} & ```
191:2
undercount
186:12
underestimate
180:4, 182:14
underestimated
182:22
underestimating
182:7
undergoing
168:22
undergone
173:5
undergraduate
45:22
underground
56:25, 64:20,
64:24, 65:7,
83:10
underlying
85:5, 90:25
undermines
183:7
underneath
64:20
understand
18:2, 29:6,
29:13, 32:4,
38:18, 42:10,
44:10, 62:1,
62:21, 73:17,
87:15, 88:19,
90:7, 90:8,
93:19, 103:12,
117:20, 122:7,
124:12, 124:20,
142:15, 181:24,
182:19, 184:14,
184:24, 185:5,
189:1, 191:6,
193:19, 195:14,
208:19, 219:8
understandable
197:5
understanding
8:14, 18:19,
39:25, 91:3,
129:11, 161:3,
``` & \(173: 22, \quad 178: 9\),
\(181: 22, \quad 188: 11\),
\(190: 9, \quad 211: 15\)
understood
\(14: 16, \quad 52: 4\),
\(65: 23, \quad 87: 10\),
\(92: 24, \quad 172: 20\)
unfortunately
90:11, \(90: 15\),
\(90: 20, \quad 204: 23\)
unique
\(22: 11, \quad 24: 5\),
\(24: 7, \quad 114: 21\),
\(116: 14, \quad 140: 6\)
unit
\(7: 1, \quad 120: 18\),
\(120: 19\)
units
\(6: 25, \quad 22: 19\),
\(118: 13, \quad 119: 15\),
\(120: 19, \quad 125: 9\)
university
\(45: 23, \quad 45: 24\),
\(68: 8, \quad 68: 15\),
\(154: 13\)
unless
\(74: 10, \quad 137: 2\),
\(137: 3, \quad 148: 24\)
unmute
\(13: 5, \quad 205: 18\)
unmuted
\(205: 21\)
unsignalized
\(198: 22\)
until
\(16: 12, \quad 16: 13\),
\(16: 16, \quad 60: 17\),
\(150: 18, \quad 168: 6\),
\(171: 4, \quad 221: 14\)
unusual
\(17: 23\)
unwieldy
\(94: 21\)
upcoming
\(112: 11\)
update
\(157: 14\)
updated
\(157: 10, \quad 218: 21\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 49: 22, \quad 53: 3, \\
& 54: 11, \quad 60: 25, \\
& 61: 2, \quad 61: 3 \\
& \text { usurp } \\
& 35: 8 \\
& \text { utilities } \\
& 70: 12, \quad 117: 10 \\
& \text { utility } \\
& 4: 14, \quad 71: 9, \\
& 71: 16, \quad 71: 22, \\
& 73: 13, \quad 76: 5, \\
& 143: 23, \quad 150: 9, \\
& 150: 11, \quad 150: 15 \\
& \hline \\
& \hline \text { vacant } \\
& 119: 13 \\
& \text { vacuuming } \\
& 152: 9 \\
& \text { validity } \\
& 160: 23 \\
& \text { valley } \\
& 39: 3, \quad 69: 3, \\
& 75: 6, \quad 102: 5, \\
& 102: 16, \quad 111: 14 \\
& \text { value } \\
& 185: 4 \\
& \text { van } \\
& 63: 20 \\
& \text { vanessa } \\
& 2: 23, \quad 10: 10, \\
& 14: 5, ~ 92: 18 \\
& \text { variance } \\
& 111: 2, \quad 111: 10, \\
& 111: 22, \quad 112: 1 \\
& \text { variety } \\
& 22: 17, \quad 112: 19 \\
& \text { various } \\
& 17: 13, \quad 107: 4, \\
& 115: 22, \quad 199: 6 \\
& \text { vehicle } \\
& 160: 16, \quad 182: 15, \\
& 193: 5, \quad 195: 21, \\
& 197: 18, ~ 217: 14 \\
& \text { vehicles } \\
& 144: 9, \quad 162: 10, \\
& 162: 25, \quad 163: 1, \\
& 163: 22, \quad 173: 16,
\end{aligned}
\] &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
virtual
6:7, 6:8, 6:18,
6:23, 7:9,
12:10, 12:14,
14:5, 42:2,
60:6, 92:5,
169:25, 187:21,
205:10
virtually
140:11
virtue
91:9
vis-à-vis
94:3
visibility
74:16, 150:22,
176:8
visible
115:18
vision
209:21, 220:8
visit
56:6
visited
38:8, 99:15
visitor
56:4
visitors
56:15, 57:24,
58:8, 58:14,
165:20
visual
73:16
visualize
130:15
vital
204:15
voice-activated
15:20
voir
69:9, 69:15,
97:19, 154:25
volume
70:14, 79:1,
79:4, 79:12,
81:7, 84:18,
85:14, 85:16,
88:4, 112:5,
``` &  &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline  &  &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 55:16, 63:21, & wife & 82:9, 91:1, & 116:17, 122:18, \\
\hline 81:4, 130:18, & 135:1 & 94:2, 94:6, & 135:23, 154:14, \\
\hline 138:5, 184:20, & willing & 95:20, 95:21, & 221:16 \\
\hline 187:21 & 30:9, 41:23, & 96:11, 97:19, & works \\
\hline wheelchair & 50:13 & 148:8, 153:13, & 16:13, 65:25, \\
\hline 51:23, 141:18 & windows & 185:1, 185:4, & 104:14, 171:2 \\
\hline wheelchairs & 12:18 & 220:18, 221:7 & world \\
\hline 151:25, 152:21 & wine & witnesses & 98:4 \\
\hline whenever & 77:8 & 7:14, 7:20, & worry \\
\hline 38:8, 95:21 & wish & 7:23, 7:25, 8:4, & 56:22 \\
\hline whereas & 8:7, 8:9, & 10:6, 36:23, & worrying \\
\hline 190:19, 197:16 & 93:23, 95:22, & 82:17, 86:23, & 192:19 \\
\hline wherever & 207:21 & 95:6, 95:17, & worse \\
\hline \[
29: 5, \quad 53: 1
\] & wishes & \[
205: 3
\] & \[
17: 25, \quad 18: 1
\] \\
\hline whether & \[
141: 14
\] & wondering & worth \\
\hline 32:17, 37:2, & wishing & 60:20, 87:23, & 84:14, 142:12 \\
\hline 41:14, 52:25, & 208:15 & 147:19 & wouldn't \\
\hline 54:14, 58:6, & within & wood & 24:17, 36:10, \\
\hline 62:21, 80:6, & 6:2, 21:19, & 140:20, 143:10 & 37:17, 43:13, \\
\hline 82:12, 88:8, & 22:12, 22:16, & wood-chipped & 167:22 \\
\hline 97:25, 103:22, & 22:21, 23:21, & 141:13 & wouldn't \\
\hline 121:14, 127:9, & 34:1, 53:15, & word & 137:3 \\
\hline 128:2, 128:18, & 87:7, 88:4, & 140:20, 204:8 & wright \\
\hline 128:19, 130:18, & 90:12, 90:16, & words & 10:10 \\
\hline 138:18, 145:3, & 105:1, 113:4, & 6:12, 53:2, & write \\
\hline 149:10, 149:13, & 116:7, 117:2, & 79:11, 170:24 & \[
5: 16, \quad 178: 22
\] \\
\hline 153:6, 159:15, & 120:20, 130:21, & work & writing \\
\hline 179:8, 184:20, & \[
131: 5,155: 9,
\] & \[
20: 25, \quad 21: 16,
\] & 15:4, 148:21 \\
\hline \[
216: 10, \quad 216: 14
\] & 161:21, 163:8, & \[
27: 8, \quad 29: 7
\] & wrong \\
\hline whichever & 170:19, 170:25, & 45:23, 51:4, & 42:19, 92:22, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 24: 13, \quad 24: 15 \\
& \text { white }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 185: 12, \quad 220: 12 \\
& \text { without }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 55: 4, \quad 55: 8, \\
& 67: 3, \quad 68: 12,
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
181: 21,184: 19
\] \\
wssc
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 2:13, 14:8, & 28:24, 40:17, & 68:15, 88:14, & 117:15 \\
\hline 27:25, 28:12, & 40:19, 41:6, & 106:4, 107:3, & wyndham \\
\hline 143:21 & 111:6, 113:6, & 122:2, 122:3, & \[
9: 12
\] \\
\hline whole & 139:19, 142:21, & 132:20, 145:17, & Y \\
\hline \[
20: 17, \quad 45: 13,
\] & \[
157: 20,192: 19,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 166: 14, \quad 178: 20, \\
& 209 \cdot 3
\end{aligned}
\] & yard \\
\hline \[
67: 10, \quad 154: 3,
\] & \[
220: 12
\] & \[
209: 3,221: 17
\]
workable & \[
43: 17
\] \\
\hline 218:15 widening & witness
\(7: 15,7: 17\), & workable
\[
131: 5
\] & yeah \\
\hline \[
106: 12,130: 18,
\] & \[
7: 18,7: 19,9: 8,
\] & worked & 11:8, 25:2, \\
\hline \[
130: 20
\] & \[
9: 17,16: 9 \text {, }
\] & 68:16, 99:9, & 25:3, 25:4, \\
\hline wider & 20:2, 20:20, & 108:2, 128:1, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 27: 4, \quad 33: 17, \\
& 35: 16, \quad 36: 15
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
130: 2
\] & \[
33: 21, \quad 35: 12,
\] & \[
132: 19,152: 16
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 35: 16, ~ 36: 15, \\
& 40: 8,41: 22,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline width & \[
44: 22,45: 16,
\] & working & \[
47: 24,48: 21,
\] \\
\hline 108:17, 133:18 & \[
61: 23,66: 9,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
29: 5, & 38: 2, \\
57: 9 & 68: 3
\end{array}
\] & \[
53: 23, \quad 54: 3
\] \\
\hline widths & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 66: 20, \quad 67: 22, \\
& 69: 9, \quad 82: 7,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 57: 9, \quad 68: 3, \\
& 69: 24, \quad 112: 11
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
56: 8,58: 9
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
65:12, 75:2,
85:9, 97:7,
101:8, 124:15,
125:5, 145:9,
147:1, 148:2,
148:5, 148:11,
150:25, 151:3,
158:22, 162:22,
164:9, 164:10,
171:2, 188:10,
192:23, 193:15,
196:12, 196:18,
198:2, 200:17,
200:20, 215:23
year
23:16, 27:15,
76:25, 79:20,
99:10, 152:22,
159:11, 159:12,
171:21, 172:15,
172:19
year-old
21:11
year-over-year
171:20
years
21:4, 21:15,
21:17, 22:20,
23:14, 27:7,
27:9, 38:13,
39:5, 45:20,
46:1, 51:18,
80:9, 80:19,
81:7, 81:16,
90:13, 96:15,
96:19, 96:22,
154:15, 159:16,
159:19, 170:24,
171:5
yellow
177:13
yep
68:14, 185:18,
194:14, 199:3
yield
178:7
York
46:9
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
young \\
24:4, 116:3 \\
younger \\
61:13 \\
yourself
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 8: 22,11: 7, \\
& 13: 3,13: 5, \\
& 61: 21,143: 15
\end{aligned}
\] \\
yourselves \\
6:23
\end{tabular} &  & ```
79:18, 79:19,
79:21, 79:24,
80:2, 80:4, 80:6
1.80
77:8
1.88
77:7
10
6:2, 21:15,
49:20, 55:19,
56:19, 80:8,
81:16, 170:24,
195:13, 199:17
10.7
190:14
100
23:1, 70:14,
76:12, 176:7,
178:25
109
4:22
11
2:9, 9:1,
66:10, 66:11,
66:13, 66:14,
66:17, 170:18,
170:20, 180:23
110
27:16
111
196:7
114
4:17
1140
153:21
12
16:11, 16:12,
27:25, 45:20,
49:15, 71:4,
95:3, 95:10,
154:14, 170:18
125
38:15
13
21:13, 201:6
130
52:21, 54:13
140
52:21
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 143 & 112:15 & 201:11, 201:12 & 23.2 \\
\hline 1:6, 5:3, & 1994 & 2017 & 100:21 \\
\hline 66:16, 69:23, & 68:19, 68:20 & 156:4 & 24 \\
\hline 95:15, 99:8, & 1: & 2019 & 4:16, 49:12, \\
\hline 155:16 & 95:11 & 172:7, 172:15 & 51:24, 62:17, \\
\hline 1490 & 2 & 202 & 79:21, 79:23, \\
\hline 2:19 & 2 & 2:19 & 79:24, 80:2, \\
\hline 15 & 49:15, 55:9 & 2020 & 167:8 \\
\hline 25:8, 56:19, & 20 & 27:15, 69:25, & 240 \\
\hline 66:11, 66:14, & 4:6, 38:13, & 114:4, 156:2, & 6:20, 6:21 \\
\hline 66:17, 145:11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4: 0, \\
& 80: 9, \\
& 81: 7,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
156: 9, \quad 157: 5,
\] & \[
25
\] \\
\hline 15.3 & \[
87: 19,188: 20
\] & \[
157: 9, \quad 157: 13,
\] & \[
21: 5, \quad 96: 15,
\] \\
\hline 100:19 & 200 & \[
157: 15,158: 24,
\] & \[
121: 21,124: 6,
\] \\
\hline 150 & 27:7, 67:4 & 159:8, 159:10, & 187:11 \\
\hline 130:19 & 2000 & 171:10, 186:10, & 26 \\
\hline 153 & 2:18 & 187:9, 187:18, & 28:3, 198:17 \\
\hline 4:10 & 20006 & 187:19, 188:12, & 3 \\
\hline 154 & 10:4 & 201:11, 202:1 & 3 \\
\hline 4:21 & \[
2005
\] & \[
\text { | } 2021
\] & 55:8, 55:9 \\
\hline 16 & 188:2, 188:15, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 16: 24, \quad 27: 24, \\
& 156: 11 . \\
& 161: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & 3.0 \\
\hline \(4: 4,52: 8\),
\(54: 10,54: 11\), & 199:11, 199:12, & |156:11, 161:1,
\[
161: 8,161: 19,
\] & \[
111: 11
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
54: 10, & 54: 11, \\
54: 16, & 62: 4,
\end{array}
\] & 200:19, 201:6, & 161:8, 161:19,
\[
168: 5,168: 6,
\] & \[
30
\] \\
\hline \(54: 16,62: 4\),
\(63: 20\) & 201:9, 201:13 & \[
172: 2,178: 17
\] & 16:13, 21:4, \\
\hline 1600 & 2006 & 2022 & 21:11, 22:20, \\
\hline 2:11 & 2011 & 1:13, 172:2, & \[
27: 9, \quad 27: 14,
\] \\
\hline 161 & 172:7, 172:14 & \(223: 13\)
2024 & 28:5, 46:1, \\
\hline 223:12 & \[
2013
\] & 2024 & 80:9, 87:19, \\
\hline 1629 & 159:4, 159:9, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
80: 16, & 114: 5, \\
156 \cdot 9, & 172 \cdot 18
\end{array}
\] & 106:19, 111:24, \\
\hline \(2: 17,10: 3\)
164 & 171:18, 171:25, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
156: 9, & 172: 18 \\
20251 &
\end{array}
\] & 111:25, 222:1 \\
\hline 164 & \[
172: 17,188: 2,
\] & \[
67: 4, \quad 96: 5
\] & 300 \\
\hline 4:19
17 & 188:15, 199:5, & \[
20814
\] & \[
2: 17, \quad 10: 4
\] \\
\hline 17 & 199:14, 199:18, & \[
20: 14
\] & \[
20: 13
\] \\
\hline 21:6, 21:14, & 199:19, 199:23, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 20: 14 \\
& 20850
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
301
\] \\
\hline 157:15 & \[
200: 1, \quad 200: 3
\] & |20850 & \[
2: 11
\] \\
\hline 18 & 200:4, 200:5, & \[
\text { | } 2: 10
\]
\[
20852
\] & 31 \\
\hline 95:16, 96:19, & 200:9, 200:12, & \[
\text { | } 20852
\] & 1:13, 21:5, \\
\hline 157:9, 164:3, & 200:16, 200:19, & 11:18 & 66:17, 95:19, \\
\hline 164:5, 180:16, & 201:7, 201:10, & \[
20874
\] & 195:18 \\
\hline 180:18, 187:13 & 201:11, 201:12, & 67:5, 96:6 & 33 \\
\hline 19 & 201:13 & 20896 & 95:10 \\
\hline 27:24, 74:19, & 2015 & 15:1 & 34 \\
\hline 101:4, 101:10, & 68:25 & 21 & 102:24, 103:1 \\
\hline 187:22 & 2016 & 170:17 & \[
35
\] \\
\hline 1988 & 159:4, 159:10, & 223 & 4:14, 55:22, \\
\hline 68:4, 68:7, & \[
171: 18,171: 25 \text {, }
\] & \[
1: 24
\] & \[
73: 13,73: 21,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 68: 16 \\
& 1992
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
200: 3, \quad 200: 6
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 224.2 \\
& 198: 19
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
150: 9
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 350 & 195:19 & 5w & 80 \\
\hline 27:7, 126:22, & 48 & 34:20, 128:11, & \(83: 12\) \\
\hline 129:16 & 48:19 & 174:23 & 800 \\
\hline 355 & 4800 & 6 & 70:25 \\
\hline 107:16, 217:12, & 20:13 & 6 & 83 \\
\hline 217:13 & 49 & 55:8, 55:9 & 51:17 \\
\hline 37 & 4:20, 4:21, & 6.81 & 84 \\
\hline 1:14, 4:15, & 4:23, 69:6, & 85:25 & 14:25 \\
\hline 65:19, 106:16, & 97:11, 99:3, & 60 & 85 \\
\hline 106:21, 106:24, & 154:22 & 5:6, 133:16 & 51:18 \\
\hline 185:22 & 4910 & 600 & 9 \\
\hline 4 & 5:4, 16:22, & 19:11, 153:22, & 9 \\
\hline 4 & 66:16, 95:16, & 186:21, 186:23, & 1:14, 55:15, \\
\hline 16:13, 55:13 & \(157: 8\)
4920 & \[
187: 24, \quad 188: 18,
\] & \[
56: 9, \quad 222: 1
\] \\
\hline 40 & \[
5 \cdot 4 \quad 13 \cdot 25
\] & \[
188: 22,199: 6,
\] & \[
911
\] \\
\hline 4:16, 24:17, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5: 4,13: 25, \\
& 16: 22,66: 16,
\end{aligned}
\] & 199:13, 199:24, & \[
62: 19,62: 21,
\] \\
\hline \[
25: 16, \quad 26: 2
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
16: 22, & 66: 16, \\
95: 16, & 157: 8
\end{array}
\] & \[
200: 10, \quad 200: 16,
\] & \[
62: 23
\] \\
\hline 48:9, 55:22, & \[
\frac{95: 16,157: 8}{5}
\] & \[
201: 13
\] & \[
95
\] \\
\hline 104:8, 104:11, & 5 & 62 & \[
4: 9
\] \\
\hline 112:4, 131:22, & 5 & 17:7, 30:7, & 96 \\
\hline 191:20, 198:4, & 55:16 & 50:11 & 60:17 \\
\hline 202:18 & 50 & 65 & @ \\
\hline 400 & 21:13, 23:15, & 4:15 & @academyofthehol- \\
\hline 19:12, 96:5 & 35:22, 80:19, & 6663 & ycross \\
\hline 41 & 81:16, 95:16, & 6:20, 6:21 & \[
14: 2
\] \\
\hline 4:17, 114:20, & 130:19, 133:16, & 67 & \\
\hline 114:22 & 133:19, 146:10, & 4:8 & \\
\hline 4229 & 147:6, 147:15, & 69 & \\
\hline 2:10 & 172:4, 198:16 & 4:20 & \\
\hline 429670 & 508 & 7 & \\
\hline 1:23 & 2:19 & 7 & \\
\hline 44,491 & 5112 & \[
6: 20,55: 8 \text {, }
\] & \\
\hline 85:16 & 11:18 & \[
55: 9
\] & \\
\hline 445 & \[
52
\] & 700 & \\
\hline \[
195: 13
\] & \[
4: 22,109: 14
\] & 2:9, 9:2 & \\
\hline \[
445.2
\] & \[
525
\] & 72 & \\
\hline 189:9, 190:13 & 45:6 & 4:18 & \\
\hline 449.9 & 5305 & 73 & \\
\hline 189:13, 190:13 & 13:13 & 4:14 & \\
\hline 45 & 56 & 762 & \\
\hline 4:7 & 152:19 & 2:11 & \\
\hline 46 & \[
58
\] & 77.7 & \\
\hline 4:18, 72:21, & 4:23, 49:3, & 199:1 & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 73: 7, \quad 152: 19 \\
& 47
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 95:11, 161:13, } \\
& 161: 14
\end{aligned}
\] & 777 & \\
\hline \[
4: 19,157: 11,
\] & \[
59.7
\] & 6:21 & \\
\hline \[
164: 3,185: 14,
\] & 20:1 & 8 & \\
\hline 161:3, 185:11, & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8 \\
& 55: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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