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our witnesses who explain them also be subject to cross-
examination on those exhibits. I'm not envisioning this to
take more than }15\mathrm{ to }30\mathrm{ minutes at the end of that process.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, it's not entirely
rebuttal because we didn't have the staff report in the case
in chief. What I'd like to do is let the Applicant go
through their -- and there's a lot of new exhibits so I'd
liked the Applicant to go through the updated exhibits and
then, you can put on any -- and you would have the right to
cross-examine that. And then, you have the opportunity to
put on anyone that you would like to put on and then the
Applicant would get a rebuttal to that.
    MS. HARRIS: And Ms. Robeson, the one thing is we
still have direct testimony from our traffic engineer.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Exactly. So I don't
consider this entirely rebuttal so I'd like to stick with it
still as if it's a case-in-chief, but I understand that your
case-in-chief has already been put on and we're looking at
some revisions and so I'd like to handle it, Applicant's
case-in-chief, your testimony, and response and then
Applicant's rebuttal.
    MS. HARRIS:And just to be clear, it was our
intent to put Mr. Kabatt on first with direct testimony
regarding traffic, and then we would commence our rebuttal
case. Then that is when we would introduce in the various
our witnesses who explain them also be subject to cross-
examination on those exhibits. I'm not envisioning this to take more than 15 to 30 minutes at the end of that process.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, it's not entirely rebuttal because we didn't have the staff report in the case in chief. What I'd like to do is let the Applicant go through their -- and there's a lot of new exhibits so I'd liked the Applicant to go through the updated exhibits and then, you can put on any -- and you would have the right to put on anyone that you would like to put on and then the Applicant would get a rebuttal to that.
MS. HARRIS: And Ms. Robeson, the one thing is we still have direct testimony from our traffic engineer.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Exactly. So I don't consider this entirely rebuttal so I'd like to stick with it
still as if it's a case-in-chief, but I understand that your
case-in-chief has already been put on and we're looking at
some revisions and so I'd like to handle it, Applicant's
case-in-chief, your testimony, and response and then
Applicant's rebuttal.
MS. HARRIS: And just to be clear, it was our
intent to put Mr. Kabatt on first with direct testimony
regarding traffic, and then we would commence our rebuttal
case. Then that is when we would introduce in the various
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exhibits so that we submitted. Through the various --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Yeah, I like the -- Mr.
Brown's client and people who oppose at the chance to
understand them and ask the questions on them before they
have to comment, they get a chance to comment. So --
MS. HARRIS: But just to be clear, it was our
intent that our various rebuttal witnesses would be speaking
to this exhibits which would then provide Mr. Brown and his
client an opportunity to fully understand the purpose of
those exhibits.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Exactly.
MS. HARRIS: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: That's what I was
looking for.
MS. HARRIS: Okay. Okay. With that, I guess we
have Mr. Kabatt on the stand?
MS. HARRIS: Correct.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. Kabatt, can you
please raise your right hand?
Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury
that the statements you're about to give are the truth, the
whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
MR. KABATT: I do.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Please state
your name and business address for the record.
exhibits so that we submitted. Through the various --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Yeah, I like the -- Mr.
Brown's client and people who oppose at the chance to
understand them and ask the questions on them before they
have to comment, they get a chance to comment. So --
MS. HARRIS: But just to be clear, it was our
intent that our various rebuttal witnesses would be speaking
to this exhibits which would then provide Mr. Brown and his
client an opportunity to fully understand the purpose of those exhibits.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Exactly.
MS. HARRIS: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: That's what I was looking for.

MS. HARRIS: Okay. Okay. With that, I guess we
have Mr. Kabatt on the stand?
MS. HARRIS: Correct.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. Kabatt, can you
please raise your right hand?
Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury
that the statements you're about to give are the truth, the
whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
MR. KABATT: I do.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Please state your name and business address for the record.
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MR. KABATT: My name is Chris Kabatt. My business address is 1110 Bonaffon Street, Suite 200, Silver Spring, Maryland. And that's -- the company is Wells \& Associates.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Go ahead Ms. Harris.

MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And in picking up on your request for an expeditious hearing Mr. Kabatt has been before you many times. I can walk questions to qualify him as an expert if need be, but if Mr . Brown and you accept the fact that he's an expert in traffic engineering we can get right into the substance.

MR. BROWN: No objection.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: No objection.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. He's so -- he 16 has testified numerous times before OZHA as an expert and so he is so qualified in traffic engineering.

MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Okay. Mr. Kabatt, are you familiar with the zoning ordinance requirement that the
Applicant Heritage Garden Lands, LLC must satisfy which
requires the conditional use application not cause undue harm
with respect to traffic and that there be adequate traffic
capacity to accommodate the use?
MR. KABATT: I do understand that. And I've been familiar with it.

MS. HARRIS: And are you familiar with the conditional use case that's before us today, case number 2201?

MR. KABATT: I am.
MS. HARRIS: And just to make sure we understand your understanding, can you briefly explain what it involves?

MR. KABATT: So the conditional use application involves a redevelopment of this site for 74 independent living units, 45 of those being in cottages and 29 in the 10 Lodge building. And it also includes 73 memory care and 11 assisted living units which includes 96 beds in that 12 facility.
13 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And can you please 14 describe your responsibilities in connection with the 15 conditional use application?
16 MR. KABATT: Sure. We -- our requirements were to 17 complete a local area transportation review and we started 18 that with reviewing the requirements with NNC PPC staff and 19 county staff. And we originally submitted a traffic
20 exemption statement to confirm that ta full traffic study
21 would not be required due to the prior use on the site and
22 the trip generation with both uses. We prepared that
23 statement and we also prepared a supplemental analysis to
24 address neighbors' concerns.
25 However, the Hearing Examiner back in the early

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| part of -- I guess that was January or February ordered |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| process and that's why we're here today in May. We also |  |
| reviewed the plan for safe and adequate access and we determined if there are -- if the use will cause undue harm |  |
|  |  |
| 7 to the neighborhood from the traffic perspective. |  |
|  | when you |
| 9 study is that what is typically called a local area |  |
| 10 transportation review study? |  |
| 11 MR. KABATT: Yes. |  |
| 12 MS. HARRIS: Okay. And have you made a person |  |
| 13 inspection of the property and are you familiar with the 14 surrounding area? |  |
| 15 MR. KABATT: I have and I am. |  |
| 16 MS. HARRIS: And in terms of the number of |  |
| 17 employees what's your understanding with respect to that for 18 this use? |  |
| 19 MR. KABATT: It's my understanding that at any one 20 time there'll be a maximum of 30 employees on site. |  |
|  |  |
| 21 MS. HARRIS: And will -- when you calculate the |  |
| 22 LATR Trip generation does that include the fact that ther |  |
| 23 may be some individual health workers that individual 24 residents may employ. In other words, just sort of generally |  |
|  |  |
| 25 speaking, what's -- when you start talking about trip |  |
|  |  |
| 1 generation what's included in those numbers? |  |
| $2 \quad$ MR. KABATT: The trip generation is all inclusive. |  |
| 3 It includes the resident, any trips they may make themselves. |  |
| 4 It includes vehicle trips and with trips fromemployees, any |  |
| 5 visitors, shuttle services that may be associated with this |  |
| 6 type of use. It also includes the service deliveries, trash |  |
| 7 pickup and such. |  |
| 8 MS. HARRIS: Okay. And can you summarize the |  |
| 9 analysis that was included in the LATR report which w |  |
| 10 Exhibit 134? |  |
| 11 MR. KABATT: Sure. So I'm going to go through the |  |
| 12 transportation study, the local area transportation review |  |
| 13 that is dated March 31, 2022, and that we prepared in |  |
| 14 consultation with the Maryland National Capital Park \& |  |
| 15 Planning Commission staff, SHA staff, and Montgomery County |  |
| 16 DOT staff. As I noted earlier the proposal includes 74 |  |
| 17 independent living units and 96 beds for assisted living and |  |
| 18 memory care. We performed a multimodal adequacy test. There 19 is four of those that are part of an LATR. |  |
|  |  |
| 21 an evaluation of the capacity at surrounding intersections. <br> 22 In this case, based on the trip generation and the number of <br> 23 vehicle trips, the trip generation, we looked at one <br> 24 intersection in each direction of the site. At one <br> 25 significant intersection. We went to the east, we went to |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Falls Road and Democracy Boulevard. We did the intersection of Norton Road and South Glen, and the driveway to the Congregation at the B'nai Tikvah building. We also went down to south to River Road and looked at the intersection of Norton Road with the River. Then we went to the West looking at the intersection of South Glen Road and Glen Road. And
then, in the future we would obviously evaluate the site driveway.

There's another adequacy test it's called the pedestrian system adequacy test, and that looks at pedestrian
level of comfort in the site area and it also looks for ADA
compliance. And there's street lighting involved in that level of comfort as well.

The third test is for the bicyclists, and is
called the bicycle system adequacy test. And that, again,
you look at based on generation a certain radius from the
property. In this case, it's 250 feet, and that's the same
radius for the pedestrian level of comfort, 250 feet. And I
will note that the ADA compliance, they had to look at half of that so that ADA compliance evaluation would be 125 feet.

The fourth adequacy test is the best transit system adequacy, and that looks at the best transit system in the area that based on the site size and trip generation you would look in a 500 foot radius for the bus transit. MS. HARRIS: And I'm going to interrupt you for
just one moment. You had said that you evaluated based on 74
independent living units and 96 beds. The land use report
also noted as an alternative 64 independent living units and
105 beds. Did you also evaluate the project based on that alternative?

MR. KABATT: Yes. And within the LATR study there
is that. We did a trip generation comparison for that
program, the 64 living units and the 105 beds. And we
determined it shows that that program generates the same number of trips as the -- what we evaluated it in the study.

MS. HARRIS: Okay.
MR. KABATT: So it's the same analysis
essentially.
MS. HARRIS: Can you proceed and talk about the existing traffic counts, please?

MR. KABATT: Okay. So we took a series of traffic counts. I'll say even all the way back to 2018 and we'll get into that a little bit later. But as this application came forward last fall, and well it's 2022 already, so in the fall of 2021 in preparation for that and then in preparation for the hearing we took traffic counts in 2021. In December of 2021, and then we also took counts in the winter of 2022.

And at some intersections based on some of the hearing that occurred in February we went out and took 25 some -- I guess it might've been March, but we went out and

| 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 took some additional counts at some of the intersections. | 1 have several land uses within that manual, the independent |
| 2 And basically, we used the most recent counts for the traffic | 2 living land use code we used was 252 which is senior adult |
| 3 study, the transportation study that's in front of you. And | 3 housing, multi family. For the assisted living we used 254, |
| 4 just to give you -- yeah, we took them when schools were in | 4 the land use code and that's for assisted living and those |
| 5 | 5 are both, again, scoped and discussed with transp |
| 6 But the Falls Road Democracy Boulevard, South Glen | 6 staff that the three agencies, and we moved forward with that |
| 7 Road intersection, we took counts in their in March of 2022, | 7 trip generation. |
| 8 March 8th at the Norton Road intersection with South Glen. | $8 \quad$ So the 74 living units and that the 96 beds that |
| 9 That was from January 25th of 2022. Norton and River Road | 9 we determined, generate $50 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$ peak hour person trips and |
| 10 was in March of 2022, March 3rd, and South Glen and Glen Road | 10 p.m. peak hour person trips. And because it's a 50 and 64 |
| 11 we also took on March 3, 2022. And I will note that those | 11 anything 50 or more person trips you would have to do an LATR |
| 12 traffic counts, as we got into late 2021 and then obviously | 12 traffic study. We, as we mentioned earlier, the hearing |
| 13 here in 2022 moving forward Montgomery County and the State | 13 examiner said that there was -- or determined that there was |
| 14 Highway Administration has deemed traffic to be typical. | 14 no credit for the school use that exists on the site today |
| 15 And so there's no policy to adjust those counts | 15 because it has not been in operation. So with the 64 p.m |
| 16 with factors and we move forward with the counts, you know, | 16 trips we had to do an LATR study. And again, that's what we |
| 17 as we collect themat the intersection. It's 2022 and we'r | 17 did here. As Ms. Harris mentioned earlier, there was a |
| 18 moving forward and traffic has normalized and SHA the County | 18 second scenario of the 64 independent living units and 105 |
| 19 has recognized | 19 beds and that again, as I mentioned it generates the same |
| 20 The traffic study, and this gets to the motor | 20 number of person trips, $50 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$ and 64 p.m |
| 21 vehicle test. The intersections are evaluated based on th | 21 Ms. Harris, if you could pull up table 36 from the |
| 22 congestion standards and if | 22 LATR study? |
| 23 standard your intersections are deemed adequate. If you ae | 23 MS. HARRIS: Yes, hold on a second. |
| 24 above that calculation of that congestion standard you would | 24 MR. KABATT: And while she's pulling that up this |
| 25 have to mitigate and do some capacity improvements or trip | 25 table is going to show the capacity analysis results of the |
| 14 | 16 |
| 1 reductions to meet standards. | 1 existing condition, the future background condition which is |
| 2 In the Potomac policy area the conge | 2 existing vehicle trips plus the private school added on top |
| 3 is $1,450 \mathrm{CLV}, 1,450$ critical lane volumes. There is, though, | 3 of that, and then the total future is adding on the Heritage |
| 4 another threshold of 1,350 critical lane volumes and if you | 4 Potomac redevelopment. |
| 5 would hit that threshold you would have to do some additiona | 5 MS. HARRIS: And I would note this is page 34 of |
| 6 analysis at the intersections and do what they call a -- they | 6 the LATR. Go ahead. |
| 7 call it delay based analysis. And I'll get into that a | 7 MR. KABATT: So the -- this shows that the |
| 8 little bit more as we move forward here. | 8 existing condition, the background condition and then the |
| $9 \quad$ For the motor vehicle test we also look at any | 9 total future condition, if we go over to the last two |
| 10 other developments that might be occurring in the area in the | 10 columns, that's all cumulative. And under each of those |
| 11 near future that are either in for process right now or have | 11 conditions there were -- you could see that the critical lane |
| 12 been approved. In consultation with staff we identified one | 12 volume calculations per each of the study intersections they |
| 13 project in this area. And it's in the process right now so | 13 actually all fall below 1,000 . And as mentioned the capacity |
| 14 we'll keep it as a general term, but there is a private | 14 standard is 1,450 and that other threshold I talked about, |
| 15 school in the area that's filed an application for | 15 the additional analysis is 1,350 . |
| 16 increase in student capacity. So we included that as a | 16 So as you can see with the existing counts because |
| 17 pipeline project. And we added those trips associated with | 17 ethe trips added by the private school in the area and then |
| 18 that private school to the road network. And some of those | 18 the trips added by the 74 independent living units and 96 |
| 19 trips, obviously, would go through our study intersection | 19 beds, the intersections would operate well within the |
| 20 We then went and evaluated the 74 living units and | 20 condition standard and they would all pass the motor vehicle |
| 21 the 96 beds and added those vehicle trips to the study | 21 adequacy test. |
| 22 intersections. The trip generation for the residential car | 22 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. |
| 23 community here was based on Institute of Transportation | 23 MR. KABATT: And as I mentioned, however, back |
| 24 Engineers Trip Generation Manual, and that is consistent with | 24 when this application was active back in 2018, 2019, we had |
| 25 the LATR guidelines and with our scoping with staff. They | 25 conducted some traffic counts and we'll refer to that as the |


| 17 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 pre-COVID era. But because of some concerns and to raise | 1 you wen that route, the study intersections all continue to |
| 2 questions -- or to answer questions that may come up, we did | 2 operate below the 1450 congestion standard for Potomac, but |
| 3 to additional analysis in the report, or as part of this | 3 also below the 1350 for that additional analysis, the highest |
| 4 study where we went back and looked at traffic counts that | 4 intersection being at Falls Road and Democracy. This just |
| 5 were collected in 2016 along South Glen Ro | 5 confirms that the use proposed, the independent living unit |
| 6 As I -- you know, I started talking about earlier | 6 and the memory care, the assisted living when not have an |
| 7 we -- that was a different time. You know, it's been over | 7 adverse impact on the intersection, that the analysis we |
| 8 two years now with COVID and people getting back to the | 8 conducted, the current analysis is reinforced the results |
| 9 office, some people continuing to work from home. You know, | 9 even with the conservative analysis we fall below the |
| 10 I'm working from home today. And that's just the reality of | 10 congestion standards and have a finding that the intersection |
| 11 the world we live in in March -- or May of 2022, and when we | 11 is adequate -- will operate adequately. |
| 12 took the counts in March of 2022. Both the state and the | 12 MS. HARRIS: Mr. Kabatt, I want to ask you one |
| 13 county, not only Montgomery County but also, like, Prince | 13 clarifying question. Would a strict interpretation of the |
| 14 George's County they just have moved forward and acknowledge | 14 LATR allow you to take credit for the trips that were |
| 15 that traffic conditions are what they are today, and deemit | 15 generated from the prior school use? |
| 16 as typical. | 16 MR. KABATT: Yes. And that's -- |
| 17 So regardless, we went back and looked at those | 17 MR. BROWN: I object to the question. |
| 18 counts that we took in 2018 and they are higher, the traffic | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, we already |
| 19 counts at the intersections are higher than what we collected | 19 decided it didn't. So I don't know why we're going here. |
| 20 in January or March of this year. I will note that we did | 20 MS. HARRIS: Only to further prove the point that |
| 21 not have a count at River Road and Norton Road from 2018, but | 21 the analysis did not include it and that it was a |
| 22 we did have the counts along South Glen, like, Glen Road, | 22 conservative analysis. I had to follow-up questions to that |
| 23 Norton and Falls Road. | 23 one question. |
| 24 In addition to using those 2018 traffic counts | 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: All right. |
| 25 also looked at a different trip generation rate. There has | 25 MS. HARRIS: So I'm sorry, your answer was that it |
| 18 | 20 |
| 1 been, you know some -- looking at 74 independent living | 1 would allow it; is that correct? |
| 2 units, 45 of those units being in the cottages there' | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well wait. What is |
| 3 some question as to whether that use is more like a single | 3 your -- I don't understand your question because we already |
| 4 family home as opposed to multi-family. And there is a | 4 decided it didn't allow it. Allow what? |
| 5 different trip generation land use code for that single | 5 MS. HARRIS: And I agree with you that the hearing |
| 6 family, so we applied that rate to the 45 units as well. And | 6 examiner had decided it. My question was would -- let me |
| 7 it's slightly higher but as we get through the analysis here | 7 rephrase the question. |
| 8 it's not much of an impact at all. I think it's only a | 8 Would Park and Planning's interpretation of LATR |
| 9 handful of peak hours vehicle trips. | 9 the allow you to consider the prior school use? |
| 10 But using that land use code the 251 land use code | 10 MR. KABATT: Yes. |
| 11 for single-family senior adult housing, the development would | 11 MS. HARRIS: And did you do that? |
| 12 generate 54 a.m peak hour trips, that's four additional, and | 12 MR. KABATT: Not in this study that was submitted |
| 1368 p.m peak hour person trips. And I think before, what did | 13 in March of 2022, we did not take -- there was no credit |
| 14 I say that was, bear with me. The trip generation was 64 | 14 taken for the school use to determine if, obviously, if a |
| 15 with the prior use and now it's 68 so an additional four peak | 15 study was needed or not. But the other part of that is in |
| 16 hour person trips both in the a.m. and the p.m. | 16 that when I mentioned the background pipeline developments |
| 17 Ms. Harris, if you would now up table 38 of the | 17 the interpretation is that that existing -- or that school |
| 18 report. This is the same table as before but with the -- | 18 use that had operated on this site before you would add those |
| 19 showing the results for the capacity analysis and it shows | 19 trips into the analysis to -- as a pipeline project because |
| 20 both the existing total background conditions and total | 20 that was an approved use on the site. We did not do that in |
| 21 future conditions. The existing now includes in the 2018 | 21 this case because of the hearing examiner's interpretation, |
| 22 traffic counts sans the Norton Road, River Road because we | 22 and ruling and finding that that use was not valid and you |
| 23 didn't have one at that time. | 23 would not get credit for it. |
| 24 But as you can see, with the 2018 counts and with | 24 MS. HARRIS: So in your opinion, does that make |
| 25 the slightly higher trip rate for the single family use if | 25 for more conservative analysis? |


| 1 | MR. KABATT: It does in the fact that -- well, if |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | you were able to include it we wouldn't of had to done the |
| 3 | study, but in the a.m. which was the -- the a.m. had the -- |
| 4 | and we'll get into this a little bit later, but some critical |
| 5 | operations at the school would have had a higher trip |
| 6 | generation rate than what the proposed use has. |
| 7 | MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And then, another part, |
| 8 | the additional part of your LATR study was a Vision Zero |
| 9 | study; is that correct? And if so, can you explain what that |
| 10 | entailed in your findings? |
| 11 | MR. KABATT: Sure. Let's get -- so I had |
| 12 | mentioned the four -- I went through the four adequacy tests |
| 13 | that are part of the LATR. There's also a Vision Zero |
| 14 | statement that you include in an LATR and this -- the Vision |
| 15 | Zero statement gets into some of the roadway, the |
| 16 | transportation network safety and part of the review there is |
| 17 | we look at crash analysis, we do a crash analysis in the |
| 18 | area. |
| 19 | And per the guidelines you're supposed to look at |
| 20 | a certain radius based on the size of your project, and in |
| 21 | this case it's 250 feet from the property. And you look at |
| 22 | crash data from the last five years. We did that and it's |
| 23 | fair to say that the County actually has a Vision Zero |
| 24 | webpage and they give you -- you go through the crash data |
| 25 | that's through their GIS, thought their data that is |

recorded. And that's the process you go through.
And we identified that there were two crashes in the last five years within 250 feet of this site. And none of those - either of those crashes were reported as severe or fatal. And with that, that means it was -- you don't -basically you don't have to go any further. I think those crashes were proximate to a site. One actually was in the parking lot of the congregation next to us, and the other one was on South Glen Road but it wasn't a severe or fatal crash.
10 So it was -- we just -- that's the determination
11 they made that it wasn't -- they -- it wasn't anything to --
12 I don't want to say you shouldn't be concerned about it, but 13 it was basically one crash in the area. However, because of 14 some comments that were made by the neighbors in the prior 15 hearings, earlier this year we went beyond what the LATR 16 required and we actually looked at the last seven years to 17 address the concerns and we also expanded the view area of 18 where those crashes might be.
19 And we went out to look at each of the four study 20 intersections that we examined and then also the segments in
21 between. And with that we identified two crashes that were
22 either severe or fatal. And they both occurred on South Glen
23 Road west of the site. One was a severe crash with severe
24 injury and one was, unfortunately, a fatal. So you could
25 look into that. This crash was a little bit more to
determine what they were and they -- both of those crashes were single vehicle crashes. One occurred in the middle of the night and the other during the day. The one that was fatal did involve alcohol.

Moving on, we also look at -- we also evaluate the speeds on certain road segments in the study area. And because of the size of this project we are, for a Vision Zero statement the guidelines instruct you to do one speed study. And that study we did was along South Glen Road, but we did it along the property frontage west of Norton Road.

And that study you collect data for the full 48 hours and which we did in February of 2022 starting at midnight on February 15th. And then that went through the 15 th and the 16 th. We did find that the data does show that there is speeding along South Glen Road in both directions. It's -- the speeding is actually what we measured is the 85 th percentile group and we found that speeding does occur. The posted speed limit is 30 miles an hour and we were looking at speeds that were in excess of 120 percent of the 85th percentile. And so that tells you that, obviously, there is speeding along that road and the County should be looking at some -- they should consider some speed reduction measures and enforcement on South Glen Road.

MS. HARRIS: And then, did you also evaluate vehicle cueing along South Glen Road?

MR. KABATT: We did look at vehicle queuing along South Glen Road. It wasn't part of the motor vehicle evaluation, but we did observe queues on South Glen Road starting back in March of 2019 when we were preparing for the hearings at that time. And then, we also look -- those were personal obvs are observations from associate staff, and then we also look -- we also put out cameras in December 2019 for several days.

We had been out there for essentially two-week period and then reviewed data both for that time in December 2019, and then I went out again in February 2022 to just look at the current situation. So back in March of 2019 we really focused on the morning peak on eastbound South Glen Road because that was identified as the peak along South Glen. And we would essentially we were part of that queue because we had to drive through the intersection with Falls Road and we just wanted -- and then we sat on a side street and just monitored and viewed what the queue would be.

And what we saw was that the queue essentially extended to be somewhere between Lockland Road and Gary Road. And then, that when you were actually in that queue you would, if you were in between those two cross streets you would pass through the Falls Road, Democracy Boulevard intersection within one cycle length. So you may approach that back of queue and the light might be green up at Falls
 queuing occurred at Normandie FarmDrive. But I did not see any queuing that morning go back anywhere near Norton Road and that was February of 2022.

I will go back to the 2019. There was one day that we did see queuing. I eventually saw some for $a$ two to five minute period on a couple of days. There was one day in 2019 from the video that there was a queue that did last longer. It was on the eastbound South Glen Road and was back towards Norton Road and it lasted for about a 30 minute 10 period, and then dissipated. And that, again, occurred around the $8: 30$ area. It was generally from $8: 15$ to $8: 45$. And we concluded that that was an anomaly because we did -on all the other queue observations that we had collected or viewed and watched on whether it be on the camera or the field observations, we did not see a queue like that on any other day.
17 MS. HARRIS: Can I ask you a clarifying question? 18 Excuse me. When you say that the queue lasted for about 30 minutes on that one day, which you thought was an anomaly, does that mean that it took 30 minutes to get to the light or just that there was a queue for 30 minutes? Can you explain that?
MR. KABATT: We saw the vehicles rolling, we call it rolling queue or stopped for essentially a 30 -minute period. Not that one vehicle was stopped for 30 minutes in
that one position. But that cars would come up from the west and they would approached the back of queue and that's what we saw for about a 30 -minute period.

MS. HARRIS: Okay. Thank you.
MR. KABATT: I will note that those queues that we did see that -- on east -- or on South Glen Road, eastbound South Glen Road, they do occur at what would be during a typical morning commuter peak hour. In this case, somewhere around, I guess at $8: 20,8: 30$. I will note that from the shift change that we -- that are anticipated at Heritage
Gardens -- Heritage Potomac there would be a shift that would
change around -- at 7:00 a.m. The nighttime workers
would -- employees would leave at time. And there would be
a, you know, the daytime staff coming on at 7:00 a.m So
even with that or with the folks at the end of the nighttime
6 folks where there would be a minimal staff they wouldn't
necessarily contribute to any peak queue when that was
occurring on eastbound South Glen Road because it was a
different time, 7:00 a.m versus the 8:20 or 8:15 to 8:30.

MS. HARRIS: And in your opinion what's the cause of the queue?

MR. KABATT: Well, queung is caused by your 23 control at an intersection. In this case the queuing extends from the Falls Road intersection which is controlled by a traffic signal. And as many of you are -- drive a vehicle and you drive through a signal controlled intersection, there's times where you're sitting there waiting for the light to turn from red to green and that's your queue, right? You're in a queue.

The state and the county work with transportation and they're like, well we'll go in and talk to them about the timing that is given to certain roadway approaches and to phasing this at the traffic signal. And so in this case we have Falls Road which is a state road and a higher classified 10 street than South Glen Road is. And you know, the county and the state will go through and prioritize who gets an appropriate amount of green time.

So looking at, if there was a queue issue on say 14 South Glen Road the county and state may go in and look at adjustments that could be made to reduce that queue and it 6 could be done through things like more green time or a different phasing scheme. But it's -- Ms. Harris to your 18 question, did it -- the queue results from the control at the intersection and obviously the volume at approaches.

But there is a function of the signal timing and the phasing that can be adjusted to negatively impact the queue or alleviate it.

MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And then, in terms of 4 South Glen Road generally, how would you describe the level 25 of vehicle activity on that road?

| 29 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. KABATT: South Glen Road is a low -- what I | 1 Glen is accommodated adequately at the study intersections |
| 2 would characterize as a low volume street, and that's what | 2 during these peak times it's -- it carries on that during the |
| 3 it's intended to do. It collects -- it essentially collects | 3 lower volume times during other parts of the day that the |
| 4 trips from the cros | 4 study intersections would continue to ope |
| 5 individual properties and distributes it to larger roads lik | 5 operate adequately at those times and therefor |
| 6 Falls Road or Glen Road to the north that takes you up to | 6 undue harm during |
| 7 Falls Road or downward into River Road. | 7 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. A |
| 8 As I said, we took counts in January of 2022 some | 8 describe how vehicles currently enter the property and the |
| 9 additional counts over at Falls Road. But just to give you | 9 proposed access? |
| 10 an idea, on South Glen Road in front of the property, there | 10 MR. KABATT: Yes. The existing driveway is |
| 11 were 76 vehicles were observed traveling westbound during the | 11 immediately adjacent to the driveway for the congregation |
| 12 a.m. peak hours, and that was 7:15 to 8:15, and that's just | 12 B'nai Tikvah facility which is the driveway to the |
| 13 over one car p | 13 congregation is opposite of Norton Road and the -- like I |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I'm sorry, what | 14 said the driveway for Heritage Potomac is immediately |
| 15 What road was that on? | 15 adjacent to that. There is a one inbound lane and on |
| 16 MR. KABATT: That's South can Road west of Norton | 16 outbound lane to the existing sit. |
| 17 Road traveling westbound. So going towards | 17 The driveway for the proposed residential care |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Westbound. | 18 facility would be moved to the western property border and |
| 19 MS. HARRIS: But passing the property but headed | 19 what's nice about that from a transportation perspective is |
| 20 west, is that what you're saying? | 20 that it provides separation from the Norton Road and B'nai |
| 21 MR. KABATT: Yes. | 21 Tikvah driveway. This separation minimizes vehicle turning |
| 22 MS. HARRIS: Okay. And what was it per minute, | 22 complex at the Norton Road intersection and we found, and |
| 23 approximately? | 23 think as Soltesz has said that provided moving the driveway |
| 24 MR. KAB | 24 to the west also improves the sight distances to the east and |
| 25 one car per minute. | 25 the west along South Glen Road. |
| 30 | 32 |
| 1 | 1 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And then do you have any |
| 2 MR. KABATT: Now traveling eastbound as you may | 2 concerns from a safety standpoint for the vehicles on South |
| 3 expect that volume was -- is higher. And we observed 227 | 3 Glen with the additional -- with the addition of the proposed |
| 4 vehicles traveling eastbound towards Norton and then really | 4 use? |
| 5 on towards Falls Road, and that's along the property frontage | 5 MR. KABATT: No, I do not. There is adequate |
| 6 on South Glen. And that's approximately four cars per | 6 capacity on South Glen Road at the site driveway at Norton |
| 7 m | 7 Road and of Falls Road. The proposed use is a low traffic |
| 8 During the p.m peak hour, which we identified | 8 generator. There is sufficient site distance and South Glen |
| 9 be 5:00 to $6: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$, we observed 163 vehicles traveling | 9 Road is not a high incident corridor. But the two severe, |
| 10 westbound on South Glen Road. That's somewhere between 2, | 10 one being fatal, crashes that occurred west of the site |
| $112-1 / 2$ to 3 vehicles per minute traveling westbound. And then | 11 involved a single vehicles, and has been mentioned, |
| 12 eastbound it was a little bit lower. It was 111 vehicles, | 12 unfortunately, the fatal -- there was a fatal one that |
| 13 and that's just under 2 vehicles per minute. | 13 involved alcohol. |
| 14 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. The LATR studies in the | 14 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Now, I'm going to run |
| $15 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$ and p.m peak, but as you know in connection with the | 15 through a series of questions related to the zoning ordinance |
| 16 conditional use there is criteria to show that the use will | 16 criteria found in section 7.31 E 1 F . From a transportation |
| 17 not create undue -- is this accounted for in your analysis? | 17 perspective how would you characterize the residential care |
| 18 And if so, how? It is. So the LATR study looks at the | 18 facilities effect on traffic capacity with respect to its |
| 19 morning peak and the afternoon peak. And the reason we look | 19 impact on public roads as required by the zoning ordinance? |
| 20 at those two separate hours is to -- it identifies the peak, | 20 MR. KABATT: From a transportation perspective the |
| 21 the most intense time of the adjacent road networks. And | 21 residential care facility, it's an overall -- it's a very low |
| 22 those have been identified as your commuter peak times in the | 22 traffic generator. During the adjacent street peak hours the |
| 23 morning and in the afternoon when people are going to schoo | 23 proposed use will add one car, approximately every two |
| 24 and going to work. <br> 25 Since our study concluded that the volume of South | 24 minutes in the a.m. and in the p.m. Would add approximately 25 a car every 1.5 minutes to the road network. |

```
As I testified earlier, each of the studied
intersections will continue to operate well within the congestion standard of 1450 critical lane volumes for the
Potomac policy area. And I would just also know that this application, should it move forward this aside proposal would go to the Planning Board as a preliminary plan subdivision application. And at that time the Planning Board would determine the adequacy of the public facilities as well.
MS. HARRIS: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: But they say you don't need the traffic study. But anyway. Their review -- they
don't go out to see -- if they keep their interpretation is
going to be that you're entitled to the credit from the school and therefore don't need an additional traffic study.
MS. HARRIS: Ms. Robeson, we would be more than comfortable with that condition saying that the LATR, if this
were to move forward would need to be presented in connection with the preliminary plan.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay.
MS. HARRIS: Mr. Kabatt, what are characteristics
associated the traffic inherent characteristics associated
with the residential care facility for accommodating more
than 16 individuals?
MR. KABATT: The inherent characteristics
associated with this facility, and staff identified these as
```
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well, but it would be the one street parking, is it
sufficient to meet the requirements of the use and of the
zoning ordinance. And then also, the vehicular and
pedestrian trips to and from the site by employees, visitors,
residents, deliveries and as I mentioned, service like trash
pickup.
MS. HARRIS: And you mentioned on street parking, is that on site, or is it actually are we talking about parking on the street?

MR. KABATT: I misspoke. It's on-site parking.
MS. HARRIS: Okay. And just to confirm, will there be any parking along South Glen Road if this were approved?

MR. KABATT: Not for this site, no.
MS. HARRIS: Okay. And do you agree with the inherent characteristics identified by staff?

MR. KABATT: I do. And I haven't identified any others.
19 MS. HARRIS: And have you evaluated whether there 20 are any non-inherent defects associated with the proposed
21 residential care facility as it relates to traffic?
22 MR. KABATT: I did consider that and in my
23 professional opinion there are no non-inherent attributes or
24 characteristics related to traffic, site access or parking
25 that would have any adverse impact on the neighborhood and
surrounding area.
MS. HARRIS: And then one other question related to this issue. Subsection $G$ requires a finding that the project will not result in adverse traffic impacts as a result of non-inherent adverse effects alone, or in combination with inherent and non-inherent adverse effects.
In your professional opinion will the project result in any adverse traffic impacts that will harm the surrounding neighborhood?

MR. KABATT: No. In my opinion there would be no non-inherent impacts, or inherent impacts that would adversely impact the surrounding neighborhood.

MS. HARRIS: And do you believe from a traffic 1 standpoint that the use is compatible with the surrounding area?
MR. KABATT: Yes, I do. As mentioned a few times, 7 this proposed residential care community is a low trip generator.

MS. HARRIS: And will vehicular access be safe, adequate, and efficient?

MR. KABATT: Yes. The access would be built
2 further to the west of the intersection of Norton Road and it
would be built to the County standards through its adequate
site distance. And there's available capacity on South Glen
Road at the site driveway and further the crashes are not --
that we had mentioned are in the site vicinity area. Crashes
are not prevalent along this roadway.
MS. HARRIS: And then finally, in your
professional opinion would you conclude that there are
adequate public facilities in terms of road network to
accommodate Heritage Potomac?
MR. KABATT: Yes. The adjacent key intersections and the site driveway would operate well within the Potomac
policy area congestion standard. And that tells you it
indicates that the adjacent road network would adequately
accommodate the proposed Heritage Potomac development.
MS. HARRIS: And is there anything else that you
would like to add?
MR. KABATT: No.
MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Almost an hour precisely to conclude Mr. Kabatt's testimony.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Very good job, Mr.
18 Kabatt. And thank you for explaining in that detail. I did
19 have a question. There was some testimony at the first
20 hearing about people walking on South Glen Road, and I guess
21 my question was where is the nearest transit stop? And what
22 about -- and maybe you're not the one to ask, but what about
23 the safety of people, employees or other people trying to
24 take transit and get to and from the site?
25 MS. HARRIS: And Ms. Robeson, you did, I think,
ask a related question earlier and we are going to put Ms.
Andress back on to explain how that would be handled. But I think --

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Oh, I'm sorry.
MS. HARRIS: -- at this time -- but Mr. Kabatt may
be able to answer part of that question.
MR. KABATT: There is a bus stop along -- there's
a bus line that runs along Falls Road. That's the -- it's
operated by WMATA Metro and it's the T2 line, I believe is
the line. And there are bus stops for the
northbound/southbound Falls Road at the intersection of
Democracy.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay.
MS. HARRIS: Ms. --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I'll wait for the rest
if you -- for the other witness you said if you wish -- if
you think that's a better way to go.
MS. HARRIS: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay.
MS. BAKER: Ms. Robeson. I'm sorry, this is
Renata Baker. Can you -- can I ask Mr. Kabatt how far the bus stop is from the entry point to the facility?

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. I'd -- let me just finish my questions.
MS. BAKER: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And then you -- well,
actually, I'm looking at my questions. Oh, the second question I had was has your traffic study been reviewed and approved by SHA and MCDOT or is that still outstanding?

MR. KABATT: So they are reviewed or under review by MCDOT, Park and Planning transportation staff and SHA and
we did receive a comment letter from the state highway
administration. And they agreed with our conclusions. I
have not seen a staff report from MCDOT.
And Ms. Harris, I haven't seen an official letter
from Park and Planning staff either.
MS. HARRIS: No. And it was our understanding
that Park and Planning wasn't going to issue a memo after
they had completed their review, which I had expected to have
been submitted already. Obviously, they haven't submitted it
yet.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: So MCDOT, is the state
highway one in the record? Has that been submitted as an exhibit?

MS. HARRIS: No, we did not submit it. I had
thought that they would have submitted it directly and I just
checked the exhibit list and it's not there. We'd be more
than glad to submit it, however. If you would. You don't
have to do it right now, but if you -- or if -- you know, we
can -- we have tomorrow or we can submit it -- when do you
think you could submit it?
MS. HARRIS: Chris, I don't know if you forwarded that to me or not, but could you, and then I can submit it during our next break.

MR. KABATT: Sure.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. So right now we're waiting for MCDOT and Park and Planning -- or planning Montgomery County Planning, correct?

MS. HARRIS: Correct.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay.
MS. HARRIS: And just to clarify, Mr. Cabot, MCDOT
will issue its own memo, is that what they typically do?
MR. KABATT: Well it's -- they typically send
their comments along to Park and Planning staff and sometimes
it's informal as part of an email and I think they get it
incorporated into an overall staff report.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, if we could just get some documentation of what Planning and MCDOTs position is that would be helpfil, I think.

MS. HARRIS: We will follow up with staff in terms
of the status of their memo as well.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: All right. I've seen
it a couple of ways. I've seen it as emails, you know, and
I've seen it mentioned in staff reports.
All right. Now, I think we had Ms. Baker. We're
going to -- and I'll just remind people if they wanted to
speak with you please raise your hand.
MR. BROWN: Will I get a shot at cross-
examination?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Oh yes. Yeah, I'm
sorry Mr. Brown. You're correct. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: So is it my turn?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: It is your turn. And
Ms. Baker we'll get to you.
MS. BAKER: Thank you.
MR. BROWN: Good morning Mr. Cabot, I just want to
follow-up briefly on a point raised by the hearing examiner,
and I would direct your attention to section 4 of your
report, which is entitled pedestrian and bicycle and bust
transit system adequacy test. Page 39 and 40. First of all,
if I understand your testimony correctly any employee who is
relying on public transit to get to the facility commuting
coming and going, the closest they can get is on the T 2 bus stop at Democracy and Falls Road, right.

MS. HARRIS: If I could interrupt for a moment.
As I indicated Ms. Andress is going to be submitting
additional testimony with respect to this, which I think will clarify this issue.

MR. BROWN: I'mjust asking --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, let's get --

| 41 | 43 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 determination that it's undesirable. Speed would be a |
| 2 his testimony correctly. | 2 consideration as |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Yeah, and I'd like | 3 MR. BROWN: All right. Now, without quoting the |
| 4 to -- let's get the distance in the record. | 4 entire next paragraph, the gist of it, as I understand it is |
| 5 MR. KABATT: Okay. | 5 that in the area between Falls Road and the entrance to |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Do you know the | 6 facility there is no sidewalk separated from the vehicular |
| 7 distance? | 7 travel lanes and there is also no side path; is that right? |
| 8 MR. BROWN: That was my next question. | 8 MR. KABATT: That's correct. |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Yeah, Mr. Brown -- did | 9 MR. BROWN: And you say, after that, since there |
| 10 you understand Mr. Brown's question, Mr. Kabatt? | 10 are no planned or programmed pedestrian facility projects for |
| 11 Mr. KABATT: I did. I do understand it. And I | 11 South Glen Road it is impracticable to build a side path for |
| 12 don't know the exact distance but the distance between Norton | 12 a short segment. Why would that be impractable? |
| 13 Road, the Norton Road intersection and Falls Road is | 13 MR. KABATT: Well, it's part of the frontage |
| 14 approximately 3,000 feet. So 3000 feet is over a half a | 14 improvements when this was under review by the Planning Board |
| 15 | 15 staff. This application, there is condition -- there is a |
| 16 MR. BROWN: Okay | 16 condition that talks about -- that gets to the point of |
| 17 MR. KABATT: And I | 17 building a sidewalk along the property frontage. And the |
| 18 MR. BROWN: Now, at the bottom of page 39 you | 18 reality is that you may build a sidewalk along our property |
| 19 say -- | 19 frontage but then there's nowhere -- you know, you would be |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Do you have the exhibit | 20 back onto the street once you went in front of the neighbors' |
| 21 number that we're -- the updated traffic study | 21 houses to the east and the west. There's no capital |
| 22 MS. HARRIS: The LATR is submitted as Exhibit 134. | 22 improvement project to build a sidewalk along their |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Thank you. Go ahead, | 23 frontages. |
| 24 I' | 24 MR. BROWN: So the last sentence of this paragraph |
| 25 MR. BROWN: I'm on page 39 at the bottom, it says, | 25 reads as follows. The Applicant will coordinate with |
| 42 | 44 |
| 1 South Glen Road is a non-urban roadway without pedestrian | 1 Planning and MCDOT staff to provide an appropriate mitigation |
| 2 sidewalk or pathway and it has a posted speed limit of 30 | 2 or payment during the preliminary plan of subdivision review. |
| 3 miles an hour. Per the Montgomery Planning Pedestrian level | 3 If-- is there any mitigation other than a sidewalk or a side |
| 4 of comfort methodology version 1.2, Section 5, pathway | 4 path that's possible in this situation? |
| 5 evaluation table, South Glen Road has a score of 4, which is | 5 MR. KABATT: For a pedestrian -- I mean, again, |
| 6 considered undesirable | 6 you could lower speeds. You could work with the county to |
| $7 \quad$ Could you elaborate on that a little bit to give | 7 define traffic common measures that might entice people or to |
| 8 me a sense of what a score of 4 means in relation to other | 8 have them drive at the appropriate speeds, at lower speeds. |
| 9 possible scores? | 9 I mean, that's another option. But I would say the -- for |
| 10 MR. KABATT: So the pedestrian level of comfort | 10 any pedestrian, you know, having the sidewalk separated from |
| 11 looks at the conditions for a pedestrian along the roadway. | 11 the travel lane would be a -- one of the top mitigation |
| 12 A score of 4 or a characteristic of undesirable typically | 12 measures that we would look for. |
| 13 refers to a situation where there's no sidewalk or there may | 13 MR. BROWN: But you also used the word payment in |
| 14 be a sidewalk that's right up against the travel way. In | 14 that sentence. Is that a payment option as an option -- as |
| 15 South Glen Road's case I'd -- the reason is because there is | 15 an alternative to actual mitigation activity? |
| 16 no sidewalk along South Glen. The other characteristic you'd | 16 MR. KABATT: There is and for -- generally for |
| 17 look at are traffic volumes, like how many vehicles would be | 17 what the Planning Board had established that that would be |
| 18 passing, even if there was a sidewalk with no buffer, you | 18 for off site where they permit a payment in lieu of |
| 19 might look at the volume of traffic as well. | 19 construction. And so they determine that, you know, in |
| 20 MR . BROWN: Is concern about that exacerbated by | 20 consultation with DOT or the Applicant or other property |
| 21 the fact that there's excessive speed on South Glen Road in | 21 owners that there's not sufficient right-of-way or there's |
| 22 this area? | 22 other impediments, like utility poles or whatever it may be |
| 23 MR. KABATT: Sorry, that's another variable. | 23 that it might not be feasible to build a sidewalk or even |
| 24 Traffic volume, traffic speed that goes into the | 24 desirable. Some roads just -- you know, the characteristic |
| 25 determination and that score of four and the final | 25 of them and all don't necessarily lend themselves to a |

```
sidewalk. And so all that would be taken into consideration
and a determination would be made if -- you know, if it would
be -- if there's an opportunity to construct a sidewalk
that's what it -- we understand the county desires and you
know are going to want you to build a sidewalk.
    But if it through a process gets determined that
that's not feasible they will accept a payment to be -- that
you would make in lieu of that and that would be -- the
intent is that the fee would be used for a -- towards capital
improvement project or some other pedestrian facility in the
policy area.
    MR. BROWN: Thank you very much, Mr. Kabatt, I
have nothing further.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Ms. Baker, do
you still have a question?
    MS. BAKER: I do. Thank you, but Mr. Brown did
address my safety concerns about the pedestrian safety on the
road, which those of us who live in the neighborhood witness
regularly as being unsafe conditions.
    My further question relates to the traffic study.
I thought I heard Mr. Kabatt mention earlier that he made an
assumption in the study that the traffic patterns have
normalized since his observations or since the observations
that were done in 2019. I'm -- I would like to understand to
what extent any work was done to determine whether that
sidewalk. And so all that would be taken into consideration
and a determination would be made if -- you know, if it would
be -- if there's an opportunity to construct a sidewalk
that's what it -- we understand the county desires and you
know are going to want you to build a sidewalk.
But if it through a process gets determined that
that's not feasible they will accept a payment to be -- that
you would make in lieu of that and that would be -- the
intent is that the fee would be used for a -- towards capital
improvement project or some other pedestrian facility in the
MR. BROWN: Thank you very much, Mr. Kabatt, I have nothing further.
you still have a question?
address my safety concerns about the pedestrian safety on the
road, which those of us who live in the neighborhood witness
My further question relates to the traffic study.
I thought I heard Mr. Kabatt mention earlier that he made an
assumption in the study that the traffic patterns have
normalized since his observations or since the observations
what extent any work was done to determine whether that
```

presumption was, in fact, accurate. And whether you have
contacted any of the large corporations that are within a
five-mile radius to determine whether or not they have yet
required their employees to return to work. And whether they
have plans for -- in the future -- for them to be required to
come back into the office?
MR. KABATT: So the assumption that traffic as
normalized is based on the fact that the state of Maryland --
the State Highway Administration and the County, Montgomery
County and similarly Prince George's County is saying that
they no longer require any kind of adjustment made to traffic
counts. And that is because through monitoring they monitor
traffic along area roadways and interstates and various -- I
guess various roads through the county that traffic has
stabilized.
And while we're not back at traffic volumes that
we might have seen in 2018 transportation folks throughout
the state have determined that we're at a stabilized
situation. And the fact may be no one knows what our world's
going to look like tomorrow or six months from now as far as
transportation and who's going back to work. But I have not
interviewed corporations within a certain mile radius to ask
that question.
I will say from personal experience that Wells \&
Associates is not back to going to the office five days a
presumption was, in fact, accurate. And whether you have contacted any of the large corporations that are within a five-mile radius to determine whether or not they have yet required their employees to return to work. And whether they have plans for -- in the fiture -- for them to be required to come back into the office?

MR. KABATT: So the assumption that traffic as normalized is based on the fact that the state of Maryland -the State Highway Administration and the County, Montgomery
County and similarly Prince George's County is saying that they no longer require any kind of adjustment made to traffic counts. And that is because through monitoring they monitor traffic along area roadways and interstates and various -- I stabilized.
And while we're not back at traffic volumes that 17 we might have seen in 2018 transportation folks throughout 18 the state have determined that we're at a stabilized
19 situation. And the fact may be no one knows what our world's
20 going to look like tomorrow or six months from now as far as
22 interviewed corporations within a certain mile radius to ask
that question.
Associates is not back to going to the office five days a
week. We haven't officially made a policy to get back to five days a week, you know, working 8:00 to 5:00 or the trend in employment at least for our employees is that flexibility is important. My wife also works and she rarely goes into the office. She's been working from home and it's been starting and stopping, we're going to get back into the office and it doesn't materialize so -- I mean, that's from a -- just a personal observation is that there's flexibility in the driving to work. So --

MS. BAKER: Well --
MR. KABATT: I say that because we're in a
12 different world than we were in 2018 and that's the reality and traffic for our people that aren't driving to work, but 4 they're driving at various times and they're driving on various days and not necessarily are the -- you know that 8:00 to 5:00 that we did in 2018.

MS. BAKER: So you're suggesting that the state did a general assumption that things have returned to normal based on traffic patterns and other criteria for the area as a whole and not anything specific with respect to the roads that are actually utilized in connection with this project.
Because you talked about your personal experience, my 23 personal experience is that I actually work on --

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Wait. Wait. Wait. 25 Just a second, Ms. Baker. This is not your turn to testify.

You -- we will get there.
2 MS. BAKER: Okay.
3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: But right now we're
4 just -- we've got to move through the hearing and this is the
5 time for questions.
MS. BAKER: Okay. That's fine. I will stick to
that. I will then move to a different question.
You mentioned also that the Bullis School has
applied to increase traffic to the school. Are you familiar with what time the school actually starts?

MR. KABATT: Not -- I mean, I don't know the exact time, but I believe it's around 8:00 a.m.

MS. BAKER: Okay. And you said your observations, your personal observations that you testified to were done at 8:20 a.m?
6 MR. KABATT: Well, that's when we saw some queuing occurring on eastbound South Glen Road. I will say when I was out there in February the queue that -- I was out there from probably 7:00 a.m to sometime after 8:00. But I did sit on sit --

MS. BAKER: February --
MR. KABATT: -- on South --
MS. BAKER: Of what year? I'm sorry?
MR. KABATT: Of 2022.
25 MS. BAKER: So the recent queuing, not the queuing
1
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done earlier that you studied that was studied?
    MR. KABATT: Well, I was just going to talk to
the -- when I was out there in February.
    MS. BAKER: Okay.
    MR. KABATT: But I can talk to what we saw on
(crosstalk)
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, finish what you
were going to say about February and then if she -- if Ms.
Baker has another question we can get to that.
    MS. BAKER: Okay.
    MR. KABATT: So in February when I was observing
and driving through the intersection of Falls and Democracy
it was around, you know, like I said it was around that 8:00
time frame where there was congestion and some queuing on
South Glen Road. But then I also notices that there was
queuing on Democracy and it was -- you know there was -- that
was the drop off time for Bullis.
    MS. BAKER: Okay.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Anyone else? I have --
are you finished Ms. Baker? No, you're not?
    MS. BAKER: No, I wanted to -- I again, don't want
to testify but I believe he -- there was a suggestion that
the queuing at some point did go past Lockland Road; is that
correct?
MR. KABATT: Yes. We did see some queuing past
```

Lockland Road, but in all the observations that we did we
determined, you know, it was somewhere between Lockland and
Gary where the average, if you will, the queuing would be.
That would be, like, typical queuing on eastbound South Glen
Road.

MS. BAKER: Does any of your study take into consideration the ability for residents to exit their neighborhoods and the increase on that impact to those residential neighborhoods for trying to exit their neighborhoods?

MR. KABATT: We looked at those four intersections I mentioned and went through and they were determined it would be like a key intersection. So the standard practice is to look at some key intersections and then if those intersections operate adequately, you know you -- but I'm not 16 going to make an assumption about a particular roadway, but I guess it gets you a general sense of how traffic operates in the area.

MS. BAKER: So if the traffic patterns back up to Lockland Road and past Lockland Road would it surprise you
that it would take longer for someone to exit their
neighborhood if the traffic is stalled?
MR. KABATT: No, it wouldn't surprise me that
24 it -- if there was a queue on eastbound South Glen that it
25 would take longer than if there was not a queue.
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3 whether that queue back into the neighborhood is increased; is that correct? You said you studied the four main roads, but you don't study any of the impact on the general residents in the neighborhood for actually being able to exit their neighborhood in a timely fashion?

MR. KABATT: No, we didn't look at every cross street. But I will say that the proposed use is a low traffic generator and the vehicles that would be exiting the site during the morning hours would be at approximately 7:00
a.m. and it would be from the overnight staff. So our

13 conclusion is that, you know, and it suggests that this
14 residential care community would not have a significant
impact to queuing along South Glen. The shift change occurs
at a different hour than when the -- or a different time
17 period than when the queuing occurs today. And even in the past?

MS. BAKER: So you looked only at the shift change? You didn't look at the impact from the residents leaving the facility to go to work, go to the grocery store, go to a doctor's appointment, make general ordinary course residential travel?

MR. KABATT: No, we didn't.
MS. BAKER: (Inaudible) that.

MR. KABATT: So the -- I think, as I testified, earlier the trips that we calculate, the vehicle trips that we calculate estimate leaving and entering the site during the a.m. peak hour includes any residents that are driving anywhere, any employees that are coming and going, service, deliveries, any visitors that may be coming and going at that time.

And to further get into it, the -- what our
practice is is that we collect traffic counts for a three hour period in the morning. And we take the highest 60 1 minute period during those three hours. And that is your 2 peak hour. And so you're looking at the peak your following.
13 Now that may occur, and I'm being hypothetical here, but that
14 may occur from 8:00 a.m to 9:00 a.m. at certain
15 intersections.
16 And then, we take the vehicle trips that are 17 generated by, in this case Heritage Potomac, and we take the 18 peak based on studies that have been done from the Institute 19 of Transportation Engineers, we take the peak during that,
20 what is known as the -- those morning hours. And we put
21 those on top of each other. The reality may be that the peak
22 that's generated by this residential care community may occur
23 earlier than 8:00 to 9:00 a.m. because of things like shift
24 change. So we combine it together so we don't miss the 25 peaks.
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So we do an analysis, a theoretical analysis of putting something that may occur earlier on top of the peak which may occur later. And, you know, you might not actually observe that situation in the future. But we study it because that's the most conservative approach.
MS. BAKER: So are you saying, just to make sure I understand that you studied between 7:00 a.m and 9:00 a.m; is that what you're saying? When you say you put them on top of each other?
MR. KABATT: We collected from --
MS. BAKER: All the uses. All of the uses. Is it between 7:00 and 9:00? Or is it between 8:00 and 9:00?
MR. KABATT: We collected traffic counts from 6:30 a.m. until 9:30 a.m and then again from 4:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m
MS. BAKER: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. Kabatt, I think what she's really trying to get to is will there be gaps? We know there's queues, but are there gaps?
MR. KABATT: Yes. I --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And when I say gaps, that means are there gaps that allow traffic to get out onto
the roadway? And my thought is that's where she's really
trying to get to.
MR. KABATT: Uh-huh. Gaps are created in these
```

queues. A queue, you know, if you picture an accordion,
yeah, there's topping and going and when you're towards the
back of the queue you're kind of in this rolling queue and
then when you get up closer to the Falls Road you'll actually
be stopped. And so as the -- you know as traffic that's
stopped gets going and then there is the rolling queue,
that's when there'll be some gaps in traffic.
And there may be -- you know, there may be a
situation where there is a -- it's a segment, like a length
of vehicles that are stopped at Falls Road and then there may
be a gap along South Glen and then another segment of rolling
cars that are further to the west because of that accordion effect. And that's how, you know, and then there's -- that's how side streets get out at certain periods.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, you testified, I think, that - -I'm looking at my notes. That this would -that there were queues -- the queues $76-$ - or one vehicle, like, on South Glen and Norton, there were 76 vehicles westbound at a certain point. One vehicle car per minute. So does that mean, say one vehicle car per minutes, does that allow a gap of enough time for a car to cross two -- you know, a lane and get in the -- whichever way you're going? Whether you have to cross or you don't have to cross.

MR. KABATT: So if you were on the -- I if may interpret that a little bit, but yeah, one car a minute --
approximately one care a minute I would -- yes, I would say
that would throw gaps in traffic that you're able to get out onto the South Glen Road.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Now another thing here
too, the three vehicle per minutes. The queues were two to
three vehicles per minute. Can you tell med, does that give
you any idea of whether there'll be sufficient gaps?
MR. KABATT: Yeah. Again, so two to three
vehicles we're looking at, let's just say three that's one
every 20 seconds, or one vehicle e-- excuse me. If --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Yeah.
MR. KABATT: I'm sorry. You said two to three
vehicles per minute?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: That's what I have written down.

MR. KABATT: Yeah, so three vehicles per minute
would be one vehicle every 20 seconds. So you know, I didn't
do that study, but yes, I would say from my opinion that the
20 seconds would be a sufficient amount of time if you were
at a stop sign and you had 20 seconds to pull out onto a
street. On South Glen Road that would be a sufficient amount of time.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, how many vehicles
are you add -- is this project adding to the existing queue?
Did you look at that?

MR. KABATT: Yes, just bear with me a second. Let me go to my trip generation table.

So during -- in the a.m. we estimate that there
would be 17 vehicle trips leaving the site.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Is that the peak hour or the peak period?

MR. KABATT: And that is the peak hour, and that is actually also with the higher trip generation rate so that would be the most conservative.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: The single family dwelling rate?

MR. KABATT: Yes. And of those 17 vehicles some would go to the west, some would go down Norton Rod, but the majority would go out towards Falls. So we would -- yeah, we would be adding vehicles to the queue. However, as I was mentioning the shift change does occur a lot earlier. Well, it occurs at 7:00 a.m. where we saw the queue occurring more towards the 8:00 hour. So in reality we didn't -- we don't think -- we conclude that there won't be a significant impact on the queue.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: What was your peak hour?

MR. KABATT: So I --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: For this project? 5 MR. KABATT: Yeah, I have it here. Just let me

```
turn to it.
    For the peak hour of Falls Road and South Glen
Road -- excuse me. That's just -- bear with me one second,
I'll find it.
    Oh, here it is. And excuse me, this is the
intersection of South Glen Road and Norton Road.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Uh-huh.
    MR. KABATT: That intersection the peak hour in
the morning is 7:15 to 8:15.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And when were the
queues?
    MR. KABATT: Well, the queues had extended form
Falls Road were around the longer queues that we saw ere
around the 8:20 time period. And then that one --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And when do you -- when
do you expect to have most of the traffic generated by your
facility entering the area where you -- the areas where you
observed the queue?
    MR. KABATT: Well, I mean at that -- for the
morning we would anticipate that it would be at shift change,
would be the highest vehicle trip generation when employees
are -- the overnight employees are leaving and the employees
are coming in the morning.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And that's 7:00 did you
say?
```

MR. KABATT: Yes, that shift change is at 7:00
a.m.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Thank you for
answering those questions.
Ms. Baker, do you have anything else that you'd
like to ask?
MS. BAKER: I don't have further questions, but of
course, because I can't testify about my personal experience
I just want to note that I don't agree with the personal
observations made --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, you can -- no
wait. You can testify. Ms. Baker, you will be able to
testify.
MS. BAKER: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: It's just right now I
have to keep an order so we don't forget anyone.
MS. BAKER: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Right now, we're just
asking Mr. Kabatt questions.
MS. BAKER: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: So you will get a
chance to testify.
Now, I see Patty McGrath's hand up?
MS. McGRATH: Yes. Hi. I just had an information
question about the study. And that is that Mr. Kabatt has a
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1 number for senior adult housing and apparently that's an
industry standard or something like that. What would the
number of -- the base number of trip generations be if it was
not senior adult housing? If it was just adult housing? I
mean --
MR. KABATT: I don't have that answer for you. I
mean, we looked at the use that is being proposed.
MS. McGRATH: Okay. So off the top of your head,
you don't know what the -- the number would be higher
though, right? If it wasn't senior adult housing? If it was
just regular townhouse housing?
MR. KABATT: Yes. A market rate townhouse has a higher trip generation rate than a senior adult housing facility.

MS. McGRATH: Okay. That was just my question. I just -- I mean, there are a lot of numbers in there that you
all -- that an expert knows that they are. I just don't know
where I could even look it up. But thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Thank you Ms. McGrath.
If you could put your hand down and that will help me
track -- and now I have Mr. Brigham? Mr. Brigham, are you there?

MS. BRIGHAM: Actually, it's Marie Brigham.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay.
MS. BRIGHAM: And Mr. Kabatt, my question for you
is if you're sitting -- I don't know if you actually have
been doing this or just people that are in your company. But
if you're sitting at Norton Road, and you want to make a turn onto South Glen, did you observe that in both directions the road is blind. There's a hill in both directions. So you cannot see a car coming from either direction until they're right on top of you.

And the new driveway into this -- the new driveway into this proposed development puts their driveway at the top 0 of one of the hills. So -- and the synagogue driveway is still going to be where it's always been. So if you're 12 sitting at Norton you want to make a left-hand turn or a right-hand turn you could conceivably have to be looking at 14 somebody at the new driveway trying to get out. Somebody at the synagogue trying to get out and you're also trying to see 16 if there's a car coming in either direction.
17 So you've got potentially five people sitting 18 there trying to figure out what the other people are doing, 9 if anybody's coming over the hill, and as you noted they are speeding most of the time. So it's to me, a much more 1 dangerous intersection than what anybody has talked about so far today. And I would just like to hear your comments on that because that was not addressed at all.
24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. This is -- let 25 me do this. This is -- I understand your question. I will
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| 1 turn to it. | 1 number for senior adult housing and apparently that's an |
| $2 \quad$ For the peak hour of Falls Road and South Glen | 2 industry standard or something like that. What would the |
| 3 Road -- excuse me. That's just -- bear with me one second, | 3 number of -- the base number of trip generations be if it was |
| 4 I'll find it. | 4 not senior adult housing? If it was just adult housing? I |
| 5 Oh, here it is. And excuse me, this is the | 5 mean -- |
| 6 intersection of South Glen Road and Norton Road. | 6 MR. KABATT: I don't have that answer for you. I |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Uh-huh. | 7 mean, we looked at the use that is being proposed. |
| 8 MR. KABATT: That intersection the peak hour in | 8 MS. McGRATH: Okay. So off the top of your head, |
| 9 the morning is 7:15 to 8:15. | 9 you don't know what the -- the number would be higher |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And when were the | 10 though, right? If it wasn't senior adult housing? If it was |
| 11 queues? | 11 just regular townhouse housing? |
| 12 Mr. KABATT: Well, the queues had extended form | 12 Mr. KABATT: Yes. A market rate townhouse has a |
| 13 Falls Road were around the longer queues that we saw ere | 13 higher trip generation rate than a senior adult housing |
| 14 around the 8:20 time period. And then that one | 14 facility. |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And when do you -- when | 15 MS. McGRATH: Okay. That was just my question. I |
| 16 do you expect to have most of the traffic generated by your | 16 just -- I mean, there are a lot of numbers in there that you |
| 17 facility entering the area where you -- the areas where you | 17 all -- that an expert knows that they are. I just don't know |
| 18 observed the queue? | 18 where I could even look it up. But thank you. |
| 19 Mr. KABATT: Well, I mean at that -- for the | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Thank you Ms. McGrath. |
| 20 morning we would anticipate that it would be at shift change, | 20 If you could put your hand down and that will help me |
| 21 would be the highest vehicle trip generation when employees | 21 track -- and now I have Mr. Brigham? Mr. Brigham, are you |
| 22 are -- the overnight employees are leaving and the employees | 22 there? |
| 23 are coming in the morning. | 23 MS. BRIGHAM: Actually, it's Marie Brigham. |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And that's 7:00 did you | 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. |
| 25 say? | 25 MS. BRIGHAM: And Mr. Kabatt, my question for you |
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| 1 MR. KABATT: Yes, that shift change is at 7:00 | 1 is if you're sitting -- I don't know if you actually have |
| 2 a.m. | 2 been doing this or just people that are in your company. But |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Thank you for | 3 if you're sitting at Norton Road, and you want to make a turn |
| 4 answering those questions. | 4 onto South Glen, did you observe that in both directions the |
| 5 Ms. Baker, do you have anything else that you'd | 5 road is blind. There's a hill in both directions. So you |
| 6 like to ask? | 6 cannot see a car coming from either direction until they're |
| 7 MS. BAKER: I don't have further questions, but of | 7 right on top of you. |
| 8 course, because I can't testify about my personal experience | 8 And the new driveway into this -- the new driveway |
| 9 I just want to note that I don't agree with the personal | 9 into this proposed development puts their driveway at the top |
| 10 observations made -- | 10 of one of the hills. So -- and the synagogue driveway is |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, you can -- no | 11 still going to be where it's always been. So if you're |
| 12 wait. You can testify. Ms. Baker, you will be able to | 12 sitting at Norton you want to make a left-hand turn or a |
| 13 testify. | 13 right-hand turn you could conceivably have to be looking at |
| 14 MS. BAKER: Okay. | 14 somebody at the new driveway trying to get out. Somebody at |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: It's just right now I | 15 the synagogue trying to get out and you're also trying to see |
| 16 have to keep an order so we don't forget anyone. | 16 if there's a car coming in either direction. |
| 17 MS. BAKER: Okay. | 17 So you've got potentially five people sitting |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Right now, we're just | 18 there trying to figure out what the other people are doing, |
| 19 asking Mr. Kabatt questions. | 19 if anybody's coming over the hill, and as you noted they are |
| 20 MS. BAKER: Okay. | 20 speeding most of the time. So it's to me, a much more |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: So you will get a | 21 dangerous intersection than what anybody has talked about so |
| 22 chance to testify. | 22 far today. And I would just like to hear your comments on |
| 23 Now, I see Patty McGrath's hand up? | 23 that because that was not addressed at all. |
| 24 MS. McGRATH: Yes. Hi. I just had an information | 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. This is -- let |
| 25 question about the study. And that is that Mr. Kabatt has a | 25 me do this. This is -- I understand your question. I will |
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let this go, but first of all, I need everybody -- if you
haven't given your email to Nana Johnson -- email and address
to Nana Johnson of this -- well, let me ask, Mary -- Ms.
Brigham, have -- is your name on the list of parties to
receive notification?
    MS. BRIGHAM: Yes it is. And I testified at the
last --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay.
    MS. BRIGHAM: Yeah.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. And I know Ms.
Baker is. So --
    MS. BAKER: That's correct.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: So I'm going to let him
do that, but this is not your time to testify. You've asked
for comments about the intersection of -- that you say sight
distances -- Mr. Kabatt, do you have any comments on that?
    MR. KABATT: So I did observe traffic in the field
when I've been out there. I mentioned I was out there in
February of 2022, but I've been out there on other occasions
over the course of the years. And I will also say that as I
testified earlier, there is a benefit actually in separating
the driveway from the Norton Road intersection to further
west because it reduces those -- it minimizes those conflicts
that you are referring to. You don't have someone coming out
of the congregation driveway then the existing site driveway
```

at the same time you're trying to negotiation a turn from
Norton Road.
And then, as far as the sight distance, I reviewed
the sight distance evaluation that was prepared by Soltesz
and taking the driveway further west of its location where we
have it it actually improves the sight distance for that
driveway to the east and to the west. So with the -- you
know the low volume street of South Glen and the improved
sight distance and moving the driveway to minimize those
potential conflicts, I -- my opinion is that it's actually a
safter condition and a better condition than existing.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Seeing no other
hands raised, are here any other questions?
MS. HARRIS: I just have a few on redirect.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I would -- that's fine.
Go ahead.
MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Mr. Kabatt, when you were
out at the site on the numerous occasions observing vehicles
did you also have an opportunity to review pedestrian
activity, and if so can you provide insights on what you
observed?
MR. KABATT: So my observation and up to the most
recent being February because for memory purposes of my
observation, is I saw one pedestrian when I was out there in
the a.m. period and it appeared and this is just again, my --
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1 what I observed, appeared to be someone out for a morning walk. I also, in reviewing the video which I've done some of the review and my, you know, staff that I work with has done some of the review, we looked for -- you know, we made it a point to look for pedestrians as we did some review leading up to these hearings. And the pedestrian activity is minimal. There are pedestrians. There is -- we did observe people walking along South Glen Road, but by no means was it a steady stream of pedestrians.

We also, when we count -- do the turning movement counts of the intersections we also do pedestrian counts.
And those pedestrian counts show very low pedestrian activity at Norton and Fall -- or Norton and South Glen.

MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And then Ms. Baker testified -- or in her questions of you she expressed concern that the fact that DOT and the State are relying on current ' 22 numbers as not really reflective of what reality may be, if everyone were to return to work five days a week. But in your opinion how -- I believe you did an analysis based on 2019 numbers in order to address that very issue; is that correct, and can you just explain why you think, if you do, that those 2019 observations and analysis would address the concern raised by Ms. Baker?

MR. KABATT: Sure. So we did -- that's why we did that analysis with the traffic counts were actually collected
in 2018 in preparation for that application that was in 2019. But you know, that's what a lot of people will want to jump back to is that pre-COVID time period. And so we did look at those traffic counts and, as I said, they are higher than what we observe today. Today, being the counts we sued for the 2022 study.

However, the analysis shows we went through the same process. But using those higher counts that the intersections would continue to operate within the congestion standard.

MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And then, one final question to clarify something you said. You testified that the a.m peak hour would be 17 trips per the ITE. And then, you said but because our actual shiffs are earlier because ITE includes employees but we know based on Ms. Andress' 16 testimony that our employees are going to be leaving well before that; is that an accurate statement?

MR. KABATT: Yeah. The conclusion is and the thought is that that 17 again, as I explained is the peak 20 generation for this use over -- and then you would apply to
21 the peak of the adjacent street. And those times may, in 22 reality, be at separate times. But we look at a three-hour period in the morning and we take the peak from that three4 hour period and then we put on the peak that these studies 25 throughout -- over the years have shown for facilities like
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| this you put that peak and you know, that may occur at any | 1 construction. But our intent is to get the Lodge started at |
| 2 time during that three hour window. You put those two on top | 2 or near the construction start of the cottages. The first |
| 3 of each other to get the analysis so you're covering the | 3 cottage structure we intend to build is the one along South |
| 4 highest -- you're analyzing the highest number of vehicles at | 4 Glen Road that will serve as the model home. |
| udy | 5 MS. HARRIS: And then, what is your plan for any |
| MS. HARRIS: And that peak of 17 incorporates some | 6 subsequent construction of the cottages? Would they be |
| 7 cetin number of employee vehicles, correct? Because it's an | 7 done -- would you complete of them immediately or is there a |
| generated numb | 8 time period in which they actually get sold and constructed? |
| MR. KABATT: | 9 MR. WORMALD: Yeah, typically, the way we do it is |
| 10 these trip rates, they're an average over studying s | 10 we sell them first and then build them. That's the |
| ss the country. And it doesn't discriminate on the type | 11 typical -- there will be some allowance for spec building or |
| not | 12 building units ahead of time and then selling them either |
| 13 any vehicle entering and exiting the site at that -- you know | 13 during construction or once they're completed. But we much |
| g th | 14 prefer to sell first and then to build. |
| 15 be -- an employee could be the trash truck. It could be a | 15 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. That was my only question |
| ry. | 16 for Mr. Wormald. We just wanted to clarify since we had not |
| 17 MS. HARRIS: Okay. Thank you. I have no further | 17 delivered testimony on phasing and we wanted to respond to |
|  | 18 the suggested memo. |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Seeing no o | 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: All right. Mr. Brown, |
| 20 hands w | 20 do you have any questions? |
| witness, | 21 MR. BROWN: Give me a moment, please. |
| 22 MS. HARRIS: So we're ready for our rebuttal cas | 22 Mr. Wormald, based on your phasing plan, do you |
| 23 So we would start with Mr. Wormald and then Ms. Andres | 23 anticipate the Lodge going into service before all of the |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. We're going to | 24 cottage units are constructed? |
| 25 take a 10-minute break and then we'll come back with Mr. | 25 MR. WORMALD: It should be, yes. So the Lodge is |
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| ormald. | 1 a longer construction timeline, but yeah. The Lodge will |
| HARRIS: Thank you | 2 likely be completed prior to -- now, if we get a huge demand |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: All right. | 3 on the cottages and they're all building simultaneously -- |
| (A recess was taken) | 4 and that could happen as well, where we're building the |
| ARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. Wormald. | 5 entire project all at once. And then it's a race to the |
| HARRIS: Yes. | 6 finish line at that point. But it's hard to predict exactly |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: You're still under oath | 7 what's going to occur. But yeah. |
| 8 from the prior hearing, Mr. Wormald. | 8 MR. BROWN: So after you build the first one of |
| MR. WORMALD: Oka | 9 the cottage units, what would be the plan of attack for |
| MS. HARRIS: So Mr. Wormald, the op | 10 completing the job? Is there a particular order that you |
| mitted a detailed phasing memo as Exhibit 173 regarding | 11 have in mind for the resto $f$ them? |
| ir desired phasing for the project. Can you please | 12 MR. WORMALD: Order of construction for the |
| cribe the intended phasing for Heritage Potomac? | 13 cottages? |
| MR. WORMALD: Sure. Yeah, so it's our intent to | 14 MR. BROWN: For the cottage units |
| struct the project in two phases. The first | 15 MR. WORMALD: Right. So that would be in consult |
| land development with the associated infrastructure and | 16 with our construction team in terms of the optimal sort of |
| second phase is the actual vertical construction for both | 17 path through the project. But typically you're following the |
| dge and the cottage IL units. More specifically in | 18 structures through the project, one after another until you |
| 1 we would do the mass grading, construction of | 19 get to some terminus point. If that makes sense. |
| 20 underground utilities and installation of base road paving | 20 MR. BROWN: Okay. Apart from that -- apart from |
| 21 for the entire project. And the, in phase 2, during or after | 21 what you've described are there any inconsistencies between |
| 22 completion for phase 1, construction on both the Lodge and | 22 the recommended phasing that we proposed and your plans? |
| 23 cottages will commence. As you all know, especially now | 23 MR. WORMALD: I would just stress that we need |
| 24 given supply chain issues there could be some unforeseen | 24 flexibility. I think we have a line of interest in that we |
| 25 event that stalls either the Lodge or the cottage | 25 want to get through the project as quickly as possible just |
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as you guys do. And so that's good. You know, it's in our
interest to build the Lodge, you know, like I said previously
during or after completion of phase 1. So yeah. No, I think
what I stated is what we intend.
    MR. BROWN: Thank you. I have nothing further.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: All right. Ms. Brigham
I think you still have your hand up. Did you have a question
or just forget to put -- did you just forget to put your hand
down?
    MS. BRIGHAM: I couldn't figure out how to put the
hand down. I'm sorry about that.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay.
    MS. BRIGHAM: But I don't have any more question.
    (Off the record discussion; technical issues)
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: So I see a -- okay.
Hold on. Now, I have Patty McGrath's hand up.
    MS. McGRATH: Yes, I had a question. I don't know
if this is the place to ask about the timing of construction
of the moderately priced dwelling units that are part of the
project. Is there any -- it's not possible to tell from
the -- you know, from the construction layouts which of those
units are the MPDUs and are they going to be, like, grouped
together or interspersed or -- I don't -- I just don't know.
    MS. HARRIS:Well, Mr. Wormald did not testify
regarding the MPDUs.
MR. BROWN: Thank you. I have nothing further.
MS. BRIGHAM: I couldn't figure out how to put the hand down. I'm sorry about that.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay.
MS. BRIGHAM: But I don't have any more question.
(Off the record discussion; technical issues)
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: So I see a -- okay.
Hold on. Now, I have Patty McGrath's hand up.
MS. McGRATH: Yes, I had a question. I don't know
if this is the place to ask about the timing of construction
of the moderately priced dwelling units that are part of the
project. Is there any -- it's not possible to tell from
the -- you know, from the construction layouts which of those
together or interspersed or -- I don't -- I just don't know.
MS. HARRIS: Well, Mr. Wormald did not testify regarding the MPDUs.
```

    MS. McGRATH: Okay.
    MS. HARRIS: I can offer a legal answer if the
    hearing examiner will allow me to.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, I thought there
were no MPDUs proposed with this.
MS. HARRIS: So in accordance with the MPDU law in
connection with the cottages there are no MPDUs on site.
They are required to make a contribution at the time of
settlement for each cottage sale. In connection with the
Lodge the MPDU law will be triggered when there are more --
if more than 20 independent living units get constructed --
are contained within the Lodge. And so, assuming that's the
case, the MPDUs will be constructed in the Lodge at the time
the Lodge is constructed, as part and parcel to the Lodge.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Does that answer
your question?
MS. McGRATH: Well, I guess so. I didn't see any
difference in the layouts of the, you know, the cottages and
I was -- and I didn't know if the cottages construction would
have required MPD units to be built as cottages.
MS. HARRIS: No. They are not -- they're -- in
fact the requirement is that they are not provide on-site.
The MPDU law provides that for senior for sale units there
must be -- the way MPDUs are addressed is there is a 3
percent payment made at the time of settlement on each

MS. McGRATH: Okay.
MS. HARRIS: I can offer a legal answer if the
hearing examiner will allow me to.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, I thought there
were no MPDUs proposed with this.
MS. HARRIS: So in accordance with the MPDU law in connection with the cottages there are no MPDUs on site.
They are required to make a contribution at the time of
settlement for each cottage sale. In connection with the
Lodge the MPDU law will be triggered when there are more --
if more than 20 independent living units get constructed --
are contained within the Lodge. And so, assuming that's the
case, the MPDUs will be constructed in the Lodge at the time
the Lodge is constructed, as part and parcel to the Lodge.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Does that answer your question?

MS. McGRATH: Well, I guess so. I didn't see any difference in the layouts of the, you know, the cottages and
I was -- and I didn't know if the cottages construction would
have required MPD units to be built as cottages.
MS. HARRIS: No. They are not -- they're -- in
fact the requirement is that they are not provide on-site.
The MPDU law provides that for senior for sale units there
must be -- the way MPDUs are addressed is there is a 3
5 percent payment made at the time of settlement on each
cottage unit.
MS. McGRATH: Okay. Thank you. That clarifies
it. Thank you very much.
MS. HARRIS: You're welcome.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. I'm just sending
Ms. Harris did send me the SHA letter and I am sending it
right now to everyone along -- I'm forwarding her email with the SHA letter attached.

MS. HARRIS: I thought I sent it to everyone, but
if I didn't my apologies.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, I just read it quickly.

MS. HARRIS: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And then I have a hand up from Mr. Wank? W-A-N-K.

MR. WANK: Yes, that's me, Steve Wank.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay.
MR. WANK: I listened to the testimony by Mr.
Wormald about --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Just a second. I --
have you -- okay. Can you give me your email address? Can
you state your email address and your name and address,
please?
MR. WANK: Sure. My email address is SteveW@NIH.gov.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And your address?
MR. WANK: 10921 Edison Road, Potomac, Maryland 20854.

MS. HARRIS: Ms. Robeson, by point of
clarification if people did not participate in the opposition
case, are they now permitted to cross-examine on rebuttal?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, it is a public
hearing. And I'm going to let it come in and -- and as long
as we can -- you know, I'm not going to delay the earing for it.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON:
MS. HARRIS: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: So go ahead, Mr. Wank.
MR. WANK: Okay. I just have a question regarding
Mr. Wormald's testimony about the phasing. And so my
question, as I understand his (inaudible) is that units will
be built depending on financing and interest. Is there a
time limit, like, could this go on for 10 years if he doesn't
sell his last unit until the 10th year? Or is there some
time limit to when construction will stop?
MR. WORMALD: So yeah. I mean, certainly like all
development projects there are elements of financing and
sales. You know, banks typically require some sort of -- for
the cottages, for example, they won't let you spec the entire
project. You know, they'll typically require some presales.
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| 1 But yeah. In terms of the speed I think you can just say | 1 morning that's fully occupied to double check. The -- and |
| 2 that it should be what would normally be what we're normally | 2 the line staff do come in, our caregiving staff do come in |
| 3 experiencing in other projects, which is a reasonable | 3 between 6:30 and 7:30 as our largest shift change. So that's |
| 4 schedule. You know we're very consistent. We -- all of our | 4 consistent with what we expected and what was testified to. |
| 5 other projects we well very well. We lease up very we | 5 And then what we looked at was assuming that our |
| 6 Construction schedules are predictable, so I would certainly | 6 line staff which is the largest component, we tend to have |
| 7 hope that in this instance we would continue that track | 7 between 40 and 60 percent. I'm sorry, I'm looking down at my |
| 8 record and deliver the project in a timely manne | 8 notes if you see me here. Between 40 and 60 percent that |
| 9 MS. HARRIS: Is there a | 9 either ride share or carpool or change cars, one will come in |
| 10 MR. WANK: So I take it that there's | 10 and then you know a spouse or a roommate will go out. So |
| 11 limit? I mean, you hope but this could go on for 30 years | 11 there's some sort of sharing combination. So about 40 to 60 |
| 12 based on that an | 12 percent of our staff we're expected to drive and so |
| 13 MR. WORMALD: Yeah, typically some | 13 conversely about 40 to 60 percent would take public |
| 14 limit is not necessarily imposed by anyone. I mean, | 14 transportation. Right? So if we look at -- there's two ways |
| 15 obviously that's not in our best interest. But yeah. | 15 we do it at our community. Some is they go and they |
| 16 don't know exactly how to answer that. | 16 aggregate somewhere, maybe at -- you know so that we can pick |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I'm not sure that | 17 people up so they're waiting not more than 15 minutes or so |
| 18 relates to the conditional -- to the criteria for approval of | 18 at a bus |
| 19 this. I mean, what I have to look at is the criteria | 19 So somebody could take a metro from Rockville |
| 20 approval and I'm not sure that the length of time that this | 20 whatever, they could stop at the Potomac shopping and then |
| 21 is built out is part of that criteria for approval | 21 they could get on a bus. So they could, you know maybe they |
| 22 Anyone else? Okay. Mr. Wank, if you could lower | 22 go to the Potomac shopping center. Maybe we pick themup at |
| 23 your hand | 23 the Westfield Mall. Maybe we pick them up, you know anywhere |
| 24 Any redirect, Ms. Harris based on the questions | 24 within a -- so wherever is a -- is the most efficient |
| 25 asked? | 25 aggregating site for them. I don't want to say that it's |
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| 1 MS. HARRIS: No, thank you. | 1 going to be one place or the other. |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Anything else | 2 We've looked at the bus schedules from Sunday and |
| 3 from Mr. Wormald? | 3 Saturday they run about every hour to weekdays which is every |
| 4 All right. Hearing none, Mr. Wormald, you may be | 4 half hour. So we would have different schedules on weekends |
| 5 excused as a witness. I'm sure you'll hang around | 5 and weekdays pending on what the public transportation. And |
| WORMALD: Yeah. | 6 basically it's the T2 bus line. So we would do that. Or |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Go ahead, Ms. | 7 it's the 47 if they're coming down from Rockville maybe to |
| 8 Harris. Your next witness? | 8 the Westfield mall, depending on, maybe on the weekends |
| 9 MS. HARRIS: Is Kelly Cook Andress, the Sage Life | 9 that's a better route for some of our folks. |
| 10 operator who testified previously. | 10 So then we would run our shuttlebus or our -- it' |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Right. Ms. Andress, | 11 not a you know, it's just our bus, our 12 passenger van that |
| 12 you're still under oath | 12 we do to go and pick up at the most reasonable location. I |
| 13 MS. ANDRESS: Yes. I just want to -- I haven | 13 can't say that as a good employer today in a competitive |
| 14 talked on this microphone today and I just wanted to be sur | 14 environment we don't want our folks walking around -- walking |
| 15 you all can hear me? | 15 down the street any more than anybody else and does. We want |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Yes, you're coming | 16 to go and get themand deliver them to our door safely. |
| 17 through very clear | 17 MS. HARRIS: So just to be clear, given that the |
| 18 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. So Ms. Andress, | 18 Potomac shopping center which is one of the option I think |
| 19 question or comments came up previously and then they came up | 19 that you mentioned, is approximately a mile away from the |
| 20 most recently earlier this morning. With respect to how | 20 site, it's your anticipation that a shuttle could, or would, |
| 21 employees who may be relying on public transportation will | 21 bring employees from there to the subject property and that |
| 22 actually get to the subject property. Can you testify as to | 22 there would not be employees walking down South Glen Road is |
| 23 what you anticipate will happen in this situation? | 23 that correct? |
| 24 MS. ANDRESS: Yes. So I do wat to confirm, in | 24 MS. ANDRESS: Correct. Correct. But I'malso not |
| 25 fact, I was intentionally at one of our communities this | 25 commuting that it would be Potomac Village because sometimes |


| 77 | 79 |
| :---: | :---: |
| one a little further away saying this is the associate a lot | 1 something that ensures that employees aren't walking down |
| of time. So that's why we looked at options like the | 2 South Glen Road. So I'll leave it open for now. |
| Westfield, et cetera. So sometimes it's an easier | MS. ANDRESS: Okay. |
| aggregation point that is a little further away. | MS. HARRIS: And I -- I'm sorry. And I had no |
| MS. HARRIS: Understood. | other questions for Ms. Andress. That was the only thing we |
| HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: What about -- which you | 6 wanted to clarify. |
| agreed to a condition saying -- not specifying way or the | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Anyone else have |
| shuttle will go, but that the Applicant will provide | 8 any questions? Mr. Brown, do you have questions? |
| 9 employees using transit with a shuttle to the facility, | MR. BROWN: No questions. |
| 10 something like that? | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Anyone else? Okay. |
| 11 MS. ANDRESS: Well, that there will be a shuttle | 11 Thank you Ms. Andress. You may be excused. |
| 12 option, but you know what I'm reticent to do is to guarantee | 12 MS. ANDRESS: Thank you. |
| 13 that -- you know, we don't miss somebody who is a private - | 13 MS. HARRIS: And our next witness is Mr. Kagan. |
| 14 because people can also hire private aids or whatever. You | 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Now my memory |
| 15 know, yes, we will include a -- | 15 is -- Mr. Kagan, did you testify at the prior hearing? |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Your employes. | 16 MS. HARRIS: You're on mute |
| 17 MS. ANDRESS: -- shuttle option. But I'm -- you | 17 Mr. KAGAN: Sorry. Yes, I did. |
| 18 know I'm -- | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I'm sorry. Okay, |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: No. I -- what I'm -- | 19 you're still under oath. |
| 20 MS. ANDRESS: -- wary of every and all. | 20 MS. HARRIS: So just to refresh, Mr. Kagan's from |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: -- referring to is a | 21 Littman, Frizzel, and Mitchell, and prepared a market |
| 22 condition saying employees aren't going to be traveling on | 22 analysis study. So Mr. Kagan is your report an appraisal? |
| 23 South Glen Road. So -- and I'm not talking about residents' | 23 Mr. KAGAN: No, it is not an appraisal. |
| 24 employees. But I am talking about your employees. | 24 MS. HARRIS: And does it opine on the value of |
| 25 MS. ANDRESS: Well, once again, I don't control | 25 homes surrounding the subject property? |
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| how my people get to work. I'm not -- I don't know why they | MR. KAGEN: No. |
| would want to -- I'm just very wary of every and all | MS. HARRIS: What was the purpose of your report? |
| conditions, like every single employee. I mean, maybe | MR. KAGEN: As stated in the report the purpose |
| 4 they're bike riders. I mean, we have all -- you know I | 4 was to answer the question of whether a senior housing |
| 5 don't --I don't know. I've never had to -- I don't know | 5 development would be detrimental to the economic value of |
| 6 what that would look like. I'm not opposed to the idea of | 6 surrounding residential real estate. |
| it. I'm worried about the details. | MS. HARRIS: And as report attempt to directly |
| MS. HARRIS: But just to be clear, if I could, if | 8 compare homes in the neighborhood -- neighborhoods that were |
| there is such a condition the condition is that the -- that | 9 studied to the home surrounding the subject property? |
| 10 Sage has an obligation to provide the shuttle, not that we | 10 MR. KAGEN: No. Each of the projects studied |
| 11 can control every single person's behavior, correct? Is that | 11 stand alone so for each project we studied we are just |
| 12 correct, Ms. Robeson? | 12 directly comparing sales of the adjacent neighborhood to the |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Correct. | 13 control neighborhood. |
| 14 MS. HARRIS: And maybe we could even include | 14 MS. HARRIS: Okay. And was there any effort to |
| 15 something that stays and they will discourage employees from | 15 make sure that the adjacent and control are similar? |
| 16 walking down the -- on South Glen, but obviously you can't | 16 MR. KAGEN: Yeah. I mean we, as best we could, |
| 17 control an employee's behavior. | 17 ensure the best I could, I tried to make sure that the homes |
| 18 MS. ANDRESS: Correct. | 18 were of similar character, and the differentiating factor was |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Yeah. Let me -- | 19 just their proximity to the senior project. |
| 20 I understand you don't want to be responsible for an | 20 MS. HARRIS: And if one or multiple of the |
| 21 employee. On the other hand, I don't think it's safe for | 21 comparable neighborhoods has home values that are more or |
| 22 employes to be walking, especially after what we heard from | 22 less than values for homes surrounding the subject, what |
| 23 Mr. Kabatt, I don't think it's safe for an employee to be | 23 would that mean, or what does that mean? |
| 24 walking down South Glen Road. So if there's a condition you | 24 MR. KAGEN: In the context of this analysis |
| 25 can think of that's acceptable to you, I would prefer | 25 nothing. Again, I'm not directly comparing homes in the |

neighborhoods surrounding these other projects to the neighborhoods surrounding the subject project. We are just trying to determine if the senior living facilities in these other areas had impacts on the adjacent neighborhoods compared to the control neighborhoods.

MS. HARRIS: Okay. That's helpful. And then why does your -- why doesn't your report focus on home values?

MR. KAGEN: The sale price of the home is dependent on many different factors. You know, generally the number of bedrooms, the layout, number of bathrooms, when it was last renovated, so if we were to focus on sale prices we would have to isolate all these factors for every sale, examine how they impacted the sale price and it just kind of gets to be very impractical to do an analysis that way.

So we focused on appreciation rates. And if a senior living facility had a negative was a negative factor to a buyer's decision we would expect to see lower appreciation rates for homes adjacent to senior living
facilities than in the controlled neighborhoods which are more removed.

MS. HARRIS: And some of the adjacent
neighborhoods cover homes that aren't immediately adjacent to
the senior project. How did you consider the impact of
immediately adjacent homes versus homes in the adjacent
neighborhood but that weren't immediately adjacent?
MR. KAGEN: Well, in my analysis I tried to
examine sales that did share lot lines with the senior living
facilities. However, although homes in the adjacent
neighborhood may not be immediately adjacent to the senior
project, certainly buyers of homes in the adjacent
neighborhood know that that senior project is that they are.
They're aware of the presence of it and if a senior living
facility was viewed as a being a negative factor then you
would expect to see lower appreciation rates even though it's not immediately adjacent.
11 MS. HARRIS: And I think the opponents specifically mentioned the Victory Terrace. I believe that was the project, which is surrounded by woods. How does what you just said relate to that project?

MR. KAGEN: Right. So even though there are woods 16 between the project and the adjacent neighborhoods are the closest homes, again, buyers of those homes would know that that project is that they are and if it was this a negative factor would expect to see an impact on the sales price. And
20 similarly, our project number 3, Sunrise at Fox Hill. Even
21 though it's located on the other side of River Road from our
adjacent neighborhood the entrance to the adjacent
neighborhood, anyone entering that neighborhood would have to drive by the project and they would be aware of its presence. MS. HARRIS: And how does your report consider
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changes in the market over time? Like for example, the most recent appreciation in home prices that occurred in early 2017?

MR. KAGEN: Yeah. So generally we had a similar number of sales are, you know, a good number of sales and both the adjacent neighborhood and the control neighborhood and they occur around the same times. There was a similar number of sales around the same times. The same time periods, so it balances out in the analysis.

MS. HARRIS: And opponents testified of instances where asking price for a home was not what it was sold for and that this was an indication of the impact of an adjacent use. What's the relationship of asking price to value?

MR. KAGEN: Right. So I mean an asking price may 15 be an indication of the seller's expectations, but a seller's expectations can be unrealistic in either direction and the 17 asking price of the home can be used as a marketing tool so there's various reasons that asking prices are what they are. And generally, the most reliable indication of value of similar properties in a similar location is the sales price, or the sales price of similar homes.

MS. HARRIS: And what happens in a weaker market if the asking price is higher than the actual value?

MR. KAGEN: In my experience if an asking price is too high it may turn buyers away. They may view the sellers
as being unrealistic and they're not giving an opportunity or a reasonable basis for negotiation and it can cause a property to sit on the market for an extended period of time.

MS. HARRIS: And I think you just said that in your expert opinion the most reliable factor for determining value is the average adjacent -- of comparable homes in the area. Based on that, what's the average price of a six bedroom home in the 20854 ZIP Code say between January 1, 2019 to June 2019.

MR. KAGEN: Right. The most reliable indication of value is sales prices and the average sales price of a six bedroom home in the 20854 ZIP Code between January 1, 2019 and June 1, 2019 was \$1,547,639.

MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And the adjacent or controlled neighborhood data has a wide range of appreciation values. What does that show?

MR. KAGEN: That shows that other factors such as 8 the number of bedrooms, when the property was last renovated, are really the -- have more of an impact on home values and what buyers focus on purchasing a home.

MS. HARRIS: And your report focuses on a number of different projects. What's the relevance of these projects?

MR. KAGEN: So one, I mean, I can only -- if I
could manufacture the perfect comp, it would be the exact
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same situation as what we have. But I can only examine what
exists. So I tried to pick projects in the Potomac area and
I picked three along River Road and in the Brightview project
which was right next door. But as mentioned, some of those
who projects had some factors like more wooded areas between
the project and the adjacent homes so I went a little outside
of the Potomac area to North Bethesda and looked at the
Grosvenor property which, you know, that facility has no
buffer between it and the adjacent homes.
    So I thought it was a good one to examine. So I
tried to do as many as I practically could and tried to pick
areas that had similar affluence and home prices rather than
going to more far flung areas of the county or other
counties.
    MS. HARRIS: If you had had the time to conduct
the analysis that you did on every single senior care
facility in the county, in your expert opinion what do you
think that you would have found?
    MR. BROWN: Objection.
    MR. KAGEN: I believe I would have found similar
results. I don't think you know we would --
    MR. BROWN: Objection.
    MR. KAGEN: -- have seen a wide range of
appreciation rates and higher appreciation rates would be
attributed to properties that were recently renovated. Lower
same situation as what we have. But I can only examine what exists. So I tried to pick projects in the Potomac area and I picked three along River Road and in the Brightview project which was right next door. But as mentioned, some of those who projects had some factors like more wooded areas between the project and the adjacent homes so I went a little outside of the Potomac area to North Bethesda and looked at the Grosvenor property which, you know, that facility has no buffer between it and the adjacent homes.
So I thought it was a good one to examine. So I tried to do as many as I practically could and tried to pick areas that had similar affluence and home prices rather than going to more far flung areas of the county or other counties.
MS. HARRIS: If you had had the time to conduct the analysis that you did on every single senior care
facility in the county, in your expert opinion what do you think that you would have found?
MR. BROWN: Objection.
MR. KAGEN: I believe I would have found similar results. I don't think you know we would --
MR. BROWN: Objection.
MR. KAGEN: -- have seen a wide range of
appreciation rates and higher appreciation rates would be
attributed to properties that were recently renovated. Lower
```

appreciation rates to properties that maybe needed to be
renovated but hadn't been renovated in a while. And we would
have seen, I believe similar results.
MS. HARRIS: And then the --
MR. BROWN: I object to the question and the
answer as completely speculative.
MS. HARRIS: The question was based on his
expert --
MR. BROWN: It's not based on his study.
MS. HARRIS: -- opinion and the analysis that he
has conducted on --
MR. BROWN: His expert opinion is based on the
study that he did to, not on some speculative other
situation.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I'll sustain that. He
didn't study the other homes. So go ahead.
MS. HARRIS: So in general can you remind the
hearing examiner what the conclusion was of your report?
MR. KAGEN: Yes. For the projects we did study
there was no significant difference in the appreciation rate
of the adjacent neighborhoods and the control neighborhoods.
And this indicates that the presence of a senior project does
not have an impact on adjacent home prices.
MS. HARRIS: Thank you. I have no further
questions for Mr. Kagen.
appreciation rates to properties that maybe needed to be
renovated but hadn't been renovated in a while. And we would have seen, I believe similar results.

MS. HARRIS: And then the --
MR. BROWN: I object to the question and the answer as completely speculative.

MS. HARRIS: The question was based on his expert --

MR. BROWN: It's not based on his study.
MS. HARRIS: -- opinion and the analysis that he
has conducted on --
MR. BROWN: His expert opinion is based on the study that he did to, not on some speculative other situation.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I'll sustain that. He didn't study the other homes. So go ahead.
MS. HARRIS: So in general can you remind the 18 hearing examiner what the conclusion was of your report?

MR. KAGEN: Yes. For the projects we did study
there was no significant difference in the appreciation rate
of the adjacent neighborhoods and the control neighborhoods.
not have an impact on adjacent home prices.
MS. HARRIS: Thank you. I have no further
questions for Mr. Kagen.
1

25 make up data. So we looked at a wide range of facilities.
1 Some that had more area of buffer -- you know, buffer and trees and projects in the Potomac area and then we looked at 3 the Grosvenor property which has more of a direct impact on
4 adjacent homes because there's no buffer. It's right there 5 directly across the street from any houses there. And again, we saw no difference in appreciation rates.
7 MR. BROWN: I have nothing further.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Ms. Baker?
MS. BAKER: Yeah, so effectively what you're
10 saying is that you're not testifying that there could not be 11 an impact on an individual house located next to the 12 facility, you're making assumptions and generalizations as to 13 the impact on an area compared to other facilities that you 14 have built. You've done no study of the actual impact to any 15 of the homes that's around this property and the nature of 16 the property in this particular area; is that correct?
17 MR. KAGEN: That's not true. So we looked at 18 sales of properties that shared a lot line with these 19 facilities and we dug -- and I dug deeper into those sales 20 and then determined -- or tried to determine if there was any
21 explanation to the -- or if we could determine if the senior 22 living facility had any impact. And we couldn't.
23 For example, 10827 Lockland Road which is located
24 nearby shares a lot line with the Brightview facility and
25 that property or that house sold at a significant discount to
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Anybody have crossxamination?

MR. BROWN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Ms. Baker. Oh, no. Mr. Brown, go ahead and then I'll get to Ms. Baker.

MR. BROWN: Is it Kagens, is that your last name,
MS. HARRIS: Kagen.
MR. BROWN: Kagen, I'm sorry.
MR. KAGEN: That's all right.
MR. BROWN: Mr. Kagen, if your analysis was accurate and correct it would suggest that with respect to the senior living communities that you studied that there is
no adverse impact on appreciation rates between the
localities around those facilities and nearby control group properties, correct?

MR. KAGEN: That's correct.
MR. BROWN: Why does that say anything about what

MR. KAGEN: Well, I can't --
MR. BROWN: Well, how can you generalize?
MR. KAGEN: I can only study what exists. I can't
88
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the original asking price. I think the original asking price
was, like, $2.6 million and it sold just around $2 million.
And I talked to the seller's broker for that property and it
turned out that the buyer was fromCalifornia, bought the
property sight unseen and so they didn't even consider that
it was next to the -- or there was no impact from the senior
living facility from that perspective.
    But in talking to --
    MS. BAKER: I'm sorry. So you're saying --
10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Just a second. Ms.
Baker, you need to let him finish, please.
MS. BAKER: I just wanted to clarify who he talked
to. Again.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, you can ask
that --
    MR. KAGEN: Marcia -- I'm sorry, it was Marcia
    Schuman with Washington Pine Properties. She was --
    MS. BAKER: No, I'm sorry, whose agent?What
agent?
MR. KAGEN: It was the seller's agent, Marcia
Schuman with Washington Pine Properties.
    MS. BAKER: No the buyer's agent?That's all I
wanted to know.
    MR. KAGEN: The seller's agent.
    MS. BAKER: Right. Okay.
```

    MR. KAGEN: And she mentioned that the difference
    in the asking price and the sale price and why that stayed on
    the market, I believe it was like, 100 days or a little over
    that was it needed significant renovations. That the house
    needed a all-new kitchen, all new bathrooms and then just
    updates throughout and it turned away a lot of buyers.
    MS. BAKER: And the Brightview facility was
    already built at the time; is that correct?
MR. KAGEN: It was -- I believe it was under
10 construction or had just finished being built around the time
11 of that sale.
12 MS. BAKER: It was already built.
13 MR. KAGEN: Okay.
14 MS. HARRIS: And just to clarify. It's not
15 Brightview, it's Brandywine, to correct that.
16 MS. BAKER: Thank you.
17 MR. KAGEN: Oh, I'm sorry, my mistake.
18 MS. BAKER: And the other properties that you
19 looked at, the other facilities that you looked at you said
20 are located on River Road and on Falls Road. Did you
21 consider in your analysis that those roads have multiple bus
22 stops and other commercial facilities on the existing road?
23 And multiple traffic lights versus a facility that's being
24 built in the middle of a residential community that has no
25 commercial facilities, nor bus stops?
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1 MR. KAGEN: Well, the -- again, I can't
manufacture where the facilities are located.

MS. BAKER: I'm not asking you to manufacture, I'm just asking you did you consider the commercial nature of the neighborhood where the facilities that you used as your subject facilities are more commercial that this neighborhood. Did you consider that as a factor in determining whether it has an impact on the value of the residents in this neighborhood.

MR. KAGEN: Well, I would say generally the 11 locations are pretty similar. So I don't have my report in front of me. We just switched offices and I don't have access to my database, so I don't know exactly what the order 14 of the projects that I had. But I remember one was just off River Road and it was in the middle of a similar development, you know, two-acre lots, multi-million dollar homes. It was the one with the -- you know, surrounded by the woods. You know, and that had no commercial facilities right next door.

The Grosvenor facility also was -- is in the middle of a residential development and I don't believe there's a -- I believe there's a community facility next door but not any significant commercial next door that would change the character of that neighborhood.

MS. BAKER: So is it your testimony then, that
25 River Road and Falls Road are major commuter -- major
commuter roads and that likewise South Glen Road is?

2 MR. KAGEN: I'm not testifying to the character of 3 the roads.
4 MS. BAKER: So would a facility and value be -5 I'msorry. Would the value of a house be impacted whether it 6 was on a major road versus one that's on a country 7 residential road?

MR. KAGEN: I don't believe I'm testifying to the value -- I mean -- of --

MS. BAKER: I'm just trying to understand what factors you considered when you decided that the residential houses surrounding this property would not experience any decline in value. I mean, from my perspective and for most buyers' perspective whether you are going to be located near a commercial facility is something that you take into consideration when you purchase a home. Do you agree with that, that people generally take that into consideration as one of the elements?

MR. KAGEN: I think there's a number of elements and I think --

MS. BAKER: I agree.
MR. KAGEN: -- as I attested to --
MS. BAKER: I agree and isn't on -- I agree
2

```
facility; is that typically an element that someone would
consider?
    MR. KAGEN: I think it is an element they would
consider, but as I testified to previously, I -- this is
going to be an attractive development, a high quality
development based on the plans and renderings that I've seen
and I do not believe it will have an impact on home prices
for adjacent properties.
    MS. BAKER: And I understand that's your opinion,
but in fact, two houses on Lockland Road sold for under their
asking price.
    THE COURT REPORTER:That's just -- just --
    MS. HARRIS:And that's testimony.
    MS. BAKER: Well --
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Just save your --
    MS. BAKER:Well, okay. I'm sorry. I don't know
how to question without -- I don't know how to counter
what --
    MR. KAGEN:Well, Ms. Baker, if I could what I
testified to was the asking price is not a very good
indication of a home's value. Sale prices are the best
indication of a home's value.
    MS. BAKER:All right. Okay. So --
    MR. KAGEN: But you can -- they can ask for --
MS. BAKER: -- I'm talking about a sale price.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Ms. Baker, please don't
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Don't interrupt and
don't talk over.
6 MR. KAGEN: So an asking price, you know, could be
what -- you know, the value of a home could be \(\$ 1.5\) million,
but the asking price could be \(\$ 2\) million. And because of
what -- you know, the value of a home could be \(\$ 1.5\) million,
but the asking price could be \(\$ 2\) million. And because of
9 that it could sit on the market for a while and it might
0 never sell. Or the asking -- or in situations that I've seen
1 nearby a property will remain on the market for a while and
2 the asking price will slowly come down until it is more in
1 nearby a property will remain on the market for a while and
2 the asking price will slowly come down until it is more in
3 line with what similar houses are selling for.
MS. BAKER: So do people typically use an agent to
5 help them value their property? Does an agent have an
6 interest in a property selling quickly?
MR. KAGEN: I mean, they do but again --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Ms. Baker, I understand
where you're going but an agent also has an interest in
getting a higher price. So --
MS. BAKER: I understand that but there's a
balance between it and it's typically not -- not so far off
with the market condition is that they are --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well then, that --
MS. BAKER: -- (inaudible) a price four times.
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facility for seniors versus just, to use an example, a
commercial shopping center?
MR. KAGEN: Yes. I -- you know, obviously if it
was a commercial shopping center there is different
considerations. The traffic, you know who the tenants are
that -- how the project looks it's -- there's lot of factors.
MS. HARRIS: Okay. Thank you. No other questions
for Mr. --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. I just see
one -- Mr. Wank is your hand up?
MR. WANK: Yeah, my hand's up.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Quickly, can you
ask your question?
MR. WANK: Yes. I'll ask it quickly, thank you.
Mr. Kagen, I just have some questions about the analysis and
the design of your analysis. I'd like to know how many homes
you looked at that were actually sharing a property line
versus how many were removed from the property line. I'd
like to know how you chose which areas to look at as opposed
to all the areas. Was there a bias to your sampling? And
then, I'd like to know your statistical analysis based on
prices so that you can actually give me a value so that
you -- allows you to come to your conclusion that there was
no difference.
MS. HARRIS: I don't --
facility for seniors versus just, to use an example, a
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MR. WANK: So I guess what I'm questioning you is, is the methods of your analysis as opposed to your opinion
and expertise.
    MS. HARRIS: Ms. Robeson, I'm going to object to
that; that it's outside the scope of his rebuttal testimony.
Mr. Kagen testified on direct. He was cross-examined by all
participants at that time. It's not fair nor -- and it's
outside the rules of procedure to go back and allow now
questioning based on his direct testimony.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I agree. It is beyond
the scope of Mr. Kagen's rebuttal testimony.
    Mr. Wank, that was gone into in detail the last
time and at this point it's just narrowed to the scope of
what he testified to today. So I'm going to sustain that.
    Any other questions? Okay. Seeing none -- Ms.
Harris, do you have any other questions?
    MS. HARRIS: Not for Mr. Kagen. No, thank you.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Mr. Kagen you
may be excused. Ms. Harris, how many more witnesses to you
have on the rebuttal part?
MS. HARRIS: Five.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Well, it looks
like we're going not have to use tomorrow as well, unless --
how long do you think you're going to take because I do hear
there's people want -- opposition, people in opposition want
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MS. HARRIS: Ms. Robeson, I'm going to object to
to speak. How long do you take -- think for this case?
MS. HARRIS: We may be able to get through our
rebuttal testimony today. you know, I'll remind you that
while our direct rebuttal isn't taking that long, it seems
like the cross-examination takes as long as the direct rebuttal.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I understand.
MS. HARRIS: So if not today, then you know, by
11:00 tomorrow. But if -- so I mean, what I would suggest is
can we take just a half an hour lunch and then plow back in?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: We can do that. That's
what I was thinking. So with that, Mr. Brown, do you have an
objection to taking -- to being back at 1:00?
MR. BROWN: No objection.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: All right. With that
we're going to be back at 1:00, and we'll proceed with Ms.
Harris's next witness. Thank you.
MS. HARRIS: Thank you.
(Off the record at 12:30 p.m., resuming at 1:04
p.m.)

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Thank you. Okay. Ms.
Harris, do you want to call your next witness?
MS. HARRIS: Yes. It's Dennis Swihart, the architect.

MR. SWIHART: And I'm here.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Mr. Swihart
you're still under oath.
MR. SWIHART: Yes. Okay.
MS. HARRIS: So Mr. Swihart, let's begin with --
and discuss a couple of aspects of the cottage IL units.
There was testimony regarding the footprint of IL cottage
structures. Can you please clarify what these footprints
are? And in order to do that and in order to do that I'm going to full up Exhibit 139

MR. SWIHART: Yeah, that's great. Alright so I put together an exhibit to clarify this some more. It's a
comparison between so that our footprints with the directly
13 adjacent neighbors. And the cottage units in structures are
14 either duplexes or triplexes. The duplexes on the right and
5 triplexes on the left. And duplexes are approximately 107
feet by 74 feet and triplexes approximately 160 feet by 74 feet.

25 structures next to the neighbors so while it's not equivalent
it's generally compatible. There are many homes in the area that are much larger than this as well.

MS. HARRIS: And Mr. Magan testified that each IL cottage unit would contained approximately 6,000 square feet of area and noted that some of the homes in the area are only 2,500 to 3,000 square feet. What is the gross floor area of the cottage ILs?

MR. SWIHART: Well, yeah, I did an exhibit for that as well. If you could pull up the floor plans it would have some more dimensions on them.

MS. HARRIS: This is Exhibit 140.
MR. SWIHART: Okay. So the total square footage is 3,300 square feet. These are -- just from my notes, these
are -- it's the identical floor plan for all of the units in
the project. We have a different look to each unit, but the
inside is the same. So it's very -- and 3,300 square feet
it's very comparable to the 2,500 to 3,000 noted by Mr .
Magan. Although I would say that while the older homes in
this neighborhood are on the range of 2,500 to 3,000 the
newer homes are much larger, many in the 8,000 to 9,000
square foot range.
The cottage units will include the same space that you expect to see in any high-end independent living unit. In this case we have and open floor plan that includes the spaces that you would expect to see, such as kitchen, dining 102
room, living room, master bedroom and bath, power room and a
separate library or flex office space on the first floor.
The second floor there are two bedrooms for visiting relatives and guests. It is not uncommon for independent living units in projects -- in other projects to include two and three bedroom units as well. Additionally, the size of the independent living cottages is appropriate to meet the market. This particular market we are serving which is anticipated -- the anticipated independent living occupant 10 has downsized from a much larger Potomac home. These homes
11 can be as much as 9,000 square feet or more on multiple
floors. So at 3,300 square feet the cottage, the independent living cottage would be a comfortable downsize.

We found this market segment wants and typically 15 need the first floor master bedroom setup and plenty of open 16 space. With a shared bath and a small lounge area, the 17 upstairs provides appropriate accommodations for additional 18 family members and out of town guests. There will also be an 19 unfinished cellar that is directly under the main level floor 20 plan. And based on our experience about 90 percent of the
21 buyers are going not want that. We expect it will be used
22 mostly for storage because they're downsizing, but some of
23 the other uses could be use it as a play space for visiting
24 grandkids and for exercise or whatever hobbies that they're
25 used to doing. If buyers have a specific request such as a
rec room we could accommodate that as well as an option.
MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Then moving on to the elevations in response to testimony you prepared elevations of the cottage unis and I'm going to pull that up and then if you could walk the hearing examiner through that, that would be helpful. This is Exhibit 141.

MR. SWIHART: Okay. So as I mentioned in my last -- these are representative images of one of the units. And we actually have, according to my testimony we actually have five possible elevations for the triplex and two for the duplex. And then, finally, several color palates for -we'll have like, muted earth tones. It will have -- the whole community will have a lots of variety to it. So this is just one example to kind of show some dimensions and those things.
In front of you you also have some dimensions
illustrating the building heights. I don't know if you can
zoom in on that, Ms. Harris? Maybe on the right there. So
this -- so based on the zoning code the way that you measure
height is to the middle of the gable. Just a second here. I
lost my train of thought here. Oh yeah, based on the zoning code, you measure to the middle point of the gable. The elevations show the triplex to be just under 32 feet tall and 4 the duplex to be at 26 feet. But per the zoning code the way you also measure it, it's based on the grade calculated as
the average grade where the building is facing South Glen Road. So you know, that makes it easy if you're facing South Glen Road, but we have some other orientations and some lot specific grading that changed that elevation a little bit, the height of it just a little bit.

For that reason and to be conservative we stated in the application that the cottage units will not exceed 40 feet on the conditional use plan. But most of the buildings are much closer to 32 feet or even less in some cases.

Now, the side and rear elevations basically have 11 the same style as the front. We did continue the water table around the end since those areas are also going to be fairly visible. And at the rear each cottage -- let me find the rear. Yeah, there we go. Each cottage has two dormers, a screened in porch and an uncovered deck. And that's screened 6 in porch is the part that I didn't show on the footprint right there, by the way.

So the side elevation is showing, you can see there's a light fixture on either side of the garage door and in the back here if you're looking at -- that's -- there's
also a sconce at each deck. So you kind of have to look
carefully but on the sides you'll see -- we just have a light
fixture on each side of the garage door so that they can see when they come in.
25 Not showing, there's also a light in the recessed
,
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\hline 105 & 107 \\
\hline 1 entryway at the front of the unit. That's just up in the & 1 Now, if you could just move over to the gym and \\
\hline 2 ceiling. You know, you won't see that. And in addition to & 2 I'll go through those fixtures. Zoom in maybe on the \\
\hline 3 stone th & 3 entryway of the gym, kind of. Yeah, that's good. That's \\
\hline 4 system with a cementious coating which is similar to stucco I & 4 good. So the D is a recessed downlight just like the \\
\hline 5 appearance. And the low maintenance composite trim and & 5 entryway to the -- its' essentially the same light as the \\
\hline 6 decking also will be used. & 6 entryway on the cottages. I should note this so that nobody \\
\hline 7 MS. HARRIS: And I would note for the hearing & 7 gets confused. The A fixtures are the pole lights and Danny \\
\hline 8 examiner, we're going to go through lighting in more deta & 8 Park is going to talk about those. So those are more sight \\
\hline 9 I know you had some questions about that. But that is a & 9 lighting. The B fixture is another sconce just like on the \\
\hline 10 little later in Mr. Swihart's testimony and then also in Mr & 10 rear deck of the cottage \\
\hline 11 Park. & 11 And so that's for the -- the B fixtures serve \\
\hline 12 Moving on to the Lodge for a moment. Can you & 12 sort of a rear sort of emergency exit door. And the, if you \\
\hline 13 clarify what the Lodge size is and breakdown the uses within & 13 go up to the service area, actually zoom back in there. Now, \\
\hline 15 MR. SWIHART: Sure. The Lodge is just under & 15 those B fixture, that's another sort of essentially a hinged \\
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\hline 21 scale. The building is (indiscernible) to serve all three & 21 So if you could zoom out just a little bit more, \\
\hline 22 resident types and still be economically viable. By & 22 Pat, I want to see kind of this whole side of the building. \\
\hline 23 comparison, Brandywine, which is all assisted living a & 23 So the H fixtures are very similar to that. Those are also \\
\hline 24 memory care is 135,000 square feet and Spectrum will be & 24 in the ceilings and that's just a bigger covered patio space \\
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\hline 25 concealed from the neighbors just by their orientation. & 25 way to see the source of light from there. You'll be able to \\
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see some light emanating from it, but that's it.
I think if we go back into the courtyard area?
Just zoom in a little bit there. Again the F fixtures are
some -- at the property -- the E fixture are a recessed light
that goes into the ceiling of the porte-cochere on each side
so if you enter in you're going to drive under this roof
structure and that will light up your path. And then, the G
fixtures are just really more of a decorative fixture. It's
actually the same line of fixtures that we have on the
cottage garages but it's bigger even though the lighting is
actually the same -- it's the same lamp inside of it.
And I believe that's all of them. And the other
ones Danny Park can talk to those, the site lighting fixtures
for landscape elements out there. So I guess I won't get
into those.
MS. HARRIS: And are there specifications with
respect to these -- this lighting and if so can you go
through those?
MR. SWIHART: Yeah, sure. Can you pull up the
spec sheet?
MS. HARRIS: Is this --
MR. SWIHART: Yeah, I need you to please zoom in
on the A fixture there on the upper left. Well, yeah, I
want -- I want to discuss that one, but we'll start with --
the A fixture is the pole light that Daniel's going to get
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into. But you've got a B fixture, if we could start there.
That's fine, there it is. Yeah.
So that's the fixture we're proposing for the rear deck at the cottages. And one thing I should mention all these fixtures are -- they're correct for -- they have the bases already design and they'll set the requirements for the lighting, the type of lighting we need, the intensity of light, the way the light's shielded, but there is a
possibility that this light is used just for aesthetic
improvements during the construction documents phase. They
could be revised to a slightly different fixture. But they should have the same characteristics of lighting. Just a caveat there.

But you can see it's fully shielded, the light is -- it's actually an LED fixture and typically on those LED fixtures and especially in this case the lamp element is actually way up in the top part of the fixture. So you can imagine that that cuts off the path of light coming out of the fixture and limits it pretty much just to the deck area.
20 So if you can scan over to C. That is -- yeah.
21 That's the light that's proposed for either side of the
22 garage doors. It is a -- again, it's an LED fixture with the
23 element up in the sort of the solid part at the top. It has
24 a - and that -- it has a frosted globe on it that further
25 cuts down any sort of glare. It will just be a nice glow.

But because that element is up in the top you won't get a bright spot of light because you're not going to be looking
for you to see the actual element, it will just be a reflection on the frosted glazing.

And then, the last one on the cottages is the D fixture. And I mean, it's fairly standard. You know, it's a recessed can. It only produces 500 lumens of light which is the measure of how much light emanates from the lamp. And this, to give a sense, we met with a lighting consultant who sort of helped us pick out all these to make sure that given the concerns from the neighbors and everything that these were going to be low glare fixtures and low impact. He advised us to stick with 1000 lumens or less at the cottages. This would be appropriate for, like a residential, rule neighborhood. This one happens to be 500 lumens. As a point 6 of comparison, if you go into a typical hotel entry, after you enter your door into your room there is a light fixture right there. That is typically, according to our lighting designer is typically about 800 to 1000 lumens. So that will give us a reference point of what we're talking about.

Let's see. That's all the fixtures at the cottages.

MS. HARRIS: How about -- I'm sorry. How about the Lodge lighting?

MR. SWIHART: Yeah, right. So if you go to E

1 fixture that's the light -- yeah, right there. That's another recessed can light. It's going to go in the ceiling at the porte-cocheres. In this case, at the Lodge the lighting designer said 1500 lumens would be appropriate. And this is about 1500 lumens. There's going to be four of them-- no more than four of them there. And you will see the light source because it's up in that ceiling and then the lamp element itself is actually recessed in the fixture. So -- and also, frankly, just from the orientation of the 0 whole building you're just never going to see the source of 11 light. You'll see the reflection on the ground and, you 2 know, maybe the columns that are next to it. But that's how you'll notice the light.

And then, if you go to the F, those are the little 15 downlights that are going to be in the balcony ceilings.
16 These are only 550 lumens. Again, it's a recessed light so 17 you're not going to be able to see the source, it's up in the 18 ceiling and it shouldn't be an issue. And then the G, as I
19 mentioned, -- right there. That's the Jeep fixture, it's the
20 same light as you saw for the cottages at the garages. This
21 is the entrance, a decorative entrance light next to the
22 front entry doors to the Lodge. And it's got the same
23 characteristics. It's got a frosted glass globe, it's got
24 the recessed LED lamp up in the top of it so you don't see 25 the actual source of light. And its output is 1000 lumens.
```

Let's see. And then lastly we should get to the H fixture, which is inside the covered terrace areas. Yeah so the H fixture is similar to the balconies. It's slightly -it's a slightly bigger fixture but has a frosted diffuser so these are in the ceilings of the covered shared balconies.
MS. HARRIS: That's like --
MR. SWIHART: On the upper floors.
MS. HARRIS: Is that H ?
MR. SWIHART: Yeah, it should be H. Are we on?
MS. HARRIS: Yeah. But I'm not sure that I'm showing H .
MR. SWIHART: It looks like H.
MS. HARRIS: Oh it is, sorry.
MR. SWIHART: That's it. Yeah.
MS. HARRIS: Okay.
MR. SWIHART: And that is it. Yeah, so it's just another can. It's like a can, it's a narrower sort of light but it's got a frosted glass so you won't see the impact of the actual source. So that's kind of all the fixtures. I would note that the terraces, patios, and balconies are unlikely to get used much when it's cold out, especially at night. So that, you know, when the trees are bare of leaves there's just not going to be as much light out there. And that should minimize the problem. At other times during the summer and spring and most of the fall foliage on the trees

```

\section*{113}
will significantly reduce any impact from these lights.
So by incorporating the recommendations from our lighting consultant we managed to eliminate all the glare issues throughout the project. We did find out later we added some vegetative screens but it's not really needed for glare control. That's like an extra measure, and our light levels are also fairly low to begin with. But with the vegetative screening yeah, it would reduce any actual light as you know, we don't have any glare issues but it would also cut down just the amount of light that reaches the neighboring properties. So lighting shouldn't be an issue.

MS. HARRIS: And I will note again, Mr. Park will walk through the actual photometric which incorporates all the lighting. So with that, I have no other questions for Mr. Swihart.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Mr. Brown, do you have questions?

MR. BROWN: Yes, a few. Mr. Swihart, I want to direct your attention first to Exhibit 139.

MR. SWIHART: Okay. Just a moment.
MS. HARRIS: So just to be clear, Mr. Brown, is that our exhibit or your exhibit?

MR. BROWN: That's your 139.
MS. HARRIS: Oh ours. So it's 139. Okay. It's on the screen if that's helpful.
1
1

MR. BROWN: As I understand it, Mr. Swihart, this is intended to be an apples to apples comparison of building footprints as between the Heritage Potomac cottage units and neighboring homes; is that right?

MR. SWIHART: Yeah, and as I mentioned it's a little bit off because I forgot to include the screened porches.

MR. BROWN: I'd like to go now at Exhibit 170, which is labeled clarifications of this exhibit.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Is that your exhibit, Mr. Brown?

MR. BROWN: Yes. Yes, it is.
MS. HARRIS: Do you want me to put that up, Ms. Robeson or?

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I can do it.
MS. HARRIS: Okay.
MR. BROWN: I'm sorry. I thought these were all available

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: They're on the website.
I just didn't have them up because Ms. Harris was sharing
them. 170? I see it.
MR. BROWN: That's it. Do you disagree with the clarifications made on this exhibit, Mr. Swihart?

MR. SWIHART: No. They're accurate. The decks aren't included though on either one of these.

1 MR. BROWN: Did you do the calculations to show what the difference was between your apples to apples and ours?

MR. BROWN: I did. It's 225 -- hold on a second and I'll get it in front of me. So once you add in the porches, the screened porches, the duplex footprint was 5800 square feet and the tribe exist 8750 square feet. So let's see, so comparing the \(10--\) yeah, I've got them right here. So comparing to 10609 South Glen our -- that footprint is 7520 square feet, ours is 8750 . 10821 Edison, that footprint 1 is 6834 square feet and ours is 8750 . 10811 Edison is 6782 square feet without adding any front porch or anything that was missed on your diagram, versus the 5800 at our duplex. And then 10921 Edison is 4592 square feet and our duplex is 5800.
,
\[
18 \text { comparison of the building heights of the cottage units to }
\]
```

19 . lid

``` surrounding neighborhood properties, did you?
20 MR. SWIHART: I think our land planner did that,
21 but no I don't have that in front of me. I know that we are
2 comparable or less to a typical two-story house in the neighborhood.

MR. BROWN: Let's go to Exhibit 140. That's your 5 exhibit.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 121 & 123 \\
\hline 1 additional -- I the expectation is that these are designed & 1 MR. SWIHART: No, I just looked at building \\
\hline 2 for people that will have regular family visits in the & 2 mounted lighting. \\
\hline 3 ordinary course; is that corr & 3 MS. BAKER: Do you think that it's a significant \\
\hline 4 MR. SWIHART: Yeah, just like every other & 4 increase compared to two neighborhood streets and that \\
\hline 5 would. Yes, that's correct. & 5 actually line this property? Based on the housing that you \\
\hline 6 MS. BAKER: Right. & 6 observed in the neighborho \\
\hline 7 assisted living senior unit if you're going to have multip & 7 MR. SWIHART: Well, there are more units. I \\
\hline 8 visits from family & 8 \\
\hline 9 MR. SWIHART: Well, these are the independ & 9 MS. BAKER: And each unit has capacity for six \\
\hline 10 living cottages that were talking about & 10 garages in each of the triplex units, plus the parking for \\
\hline 11 MS. BAKER: Right. & 11 the commercial high rise facility where you likewise have \\
\hline 12 MR. SWIHART: So that's not assisted living or & 12 staff, resident said that likely have cars, or at lea \\
\hline 13 memory. & 13 family members and others at visiting, correct? \\
\hline 14 MS. BAKER: Okay. & 14 MR. SWIHART: I think those are the inherent \\
\hline 15 MS. HARRIS: And I'm going to object to the & 15 characteristics of this type of project. \\
\hline 16 characterization. I don't think the witness ever said that & 16 MS. BAKER: Okay. And where is the measurement of \\
\hline 17 other family members would be visiting regularly, that was & 17 the light from all those sources considered? Not just \\
\hline 18 characte & 18 outdoor lighting and the fact that it's down facing because \\
\hline 19 MS. BAKER: O & 19 none of those other additional lighting is down facing. \\
\hline 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, he said that the & 20 MR. SWIHART: Which additional lighting are you \\
\hline 21 three bedrooms upstairs were for visitors and guests that & 21 talking about, the cars? \\
\hline 22 & 22 MS. BAKER: Headlights and the windows from the \\
\hline 23 MS. HARRIS: That is correct. That's corre & 23 actual residence and the high rise facility. They obviously \\
\hline 24 MS. BAKER: And that's what I was addressing. & 24 will have lighting that transmits through the -- I mean, I \\
\hline 25 That's the assumption that's being made in the design of & 25 can see my neighbors lighting I can see a headlight on the \\
\hline 12 & 12 \\
\hline 1 these units is that there will, in fact need to be space for & 1 property now. When the police -- the police regularly \\
\hline 2 family members to visit and spend the night. That's at the & 2 this property and monitor the activity on the property \\
\hline 3 assumption. I'm assuming in the architectural design of this & 3 because there's often cars that are back there that shouldn't \\
\hline 4 unit. & 4 be back there. I can see as soon as they pull into the \\
\hline 5 MR. SWIHART: Y & 5 development that the police are there. So my assumption is \\
\hline 6 upstairs. Yeah, that's right. & 6 that if there's 150 cars I'm going to see 150 cars headlights \\
\hline 7 MS. BAKER: Okay. In the lighting plan you & 7 on the property. Where is that measurement considered? \\
\hline 8 addressed, it appeared to me, the outdoor lighting. I don't & 8 MR. SWIHART: I've never, ever seen any sort of \\
\hline 9 know who addresses the lighting interior lighting that would & 9 photometric or anything that calculates that number. So we \\
\hline 10 be emitting from the high rise and from the units & 10 \\
\hline 11 collectively? Is that you, is that someone else? & 11 MS. BAKER: Okay. \\
\hline 12 MR. SWIHART: Well, I don't think it's usually & 12 MR. SWIHART: You can -- I, you can look at how \\
\hline 13 requirement to get into that at this stage. But yeah, it's & 13 many cars you think are going to be there. We've gone \\
\hline 14 going to be like any other building. The glass is going to & 14 through the traffic study, and I think it's all sort of the \\
\hline 15 be sort of a lower visible light transmission and that makes & 15 same subject matter. \\
\hline 16 a difference. But that cuts down on glare, so because of the & 16 MS. BAKER: Well, it's not. I mean, something \\
\hline 17 current energy codes you have to tent the glass to some & 17 because there's nothing back there right now that -- \\
\hline 18 degree so that's going to cut down. Like a clear glass I & 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. You \\
\hline 19 think is 85 percent transmissible light and these will & 19 (inaudible) -- \\
\hline 20 probably be around 45 percent & 20 MS. BAKER: I mean, it's 100 headlights. \\
\hline 21 MS. BAKER: And did you take into consideration in & 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: That's a disagreeme \\
\hline 22 your lighting plan headlights from cars from the multiple & 22 MS. BAKER: Yeah. Okay \\
\hline 23 units and the different types of vehicles that will be & 23 Thank you. You answered my question \\
\hline 24 parking on the premises, and the number of cars that will be & 24 MR. SWIHART: Sure. Thanks \\
\hline 25 parking on the premises? Coming and going at nighttime? & 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Anyone else? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 129 & \\
\hline 1 MS. HARRIS: And can you identify any of those & 1 I just mentioned that the county needs to expand its \\
\hline 2 documents specifically? & 2 resources and its tools to be able to respond to that growing \\
\hline 3 MS. PREKA & 3 need for changing housing demand among older adults. They \\
\hline 4 it was a report prepared for Park and Planning entitled & 4 specifically recommend, and this is a quote, to amend the \\
\hline 5 meeting the housing needs of older adults in Montgomery & 5 county zoning ordinance to allow for more diverse housing \\
\hline 6 County. And the Montgomery County planning department, the & 6 types in a wider range of residential zones and explor \\
\hline 7 Departme & 7 \\
\hline 8 Services, and the Department of Housing and Community Affairs & 8 types such as form based codes and zoning overlays. And \\
\hline 9 all participated in the committee on aging -- excuse me & 9 that's quoted from page 12 of that report. \\
\hline 10 community -- commission on aging contributed to the report. & 10 The county, they -- the report asks th \\
\hline 11 MS. HARRIS: And can you identify in that report & 11 county consider ways to facilitate the development of \\
\hline 12 any specific recommendations as they & 12 attached housing through the zoning code. There are lar \\
\hline 13 about the need for senior hou & 13 concentrations of residents over 65 in the -- excuse me. Let \\
\hline 14 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes, we've submitted these as & 14 me slow down a little bit. Our large concentrations of \\
\hline 15 exhibit, but they identified the need for senior housing & 15 seniors in -- over 65 in the Potomac subregion. And it's \\
\hline 16 growing significantly, & 16 noted that most of those older adults want to stay in the \\
\hline 17 critical for helping older adults age in & 17 county \\
\hline 18 housing occupied by older adults cannot accommodate mobility & 18 T \\
\hline 19 issues that often come with aging. They identify that the & 19 population expected between 2015 and 2040 are in the 74 to \\
\hline 20 county needs -- & \(2084-75\) to 84 -year-old population and the population of 85 \\
\hline 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: (Inaudible) can I & 21 and older which will increase by 122 percent. And this is \\
\hline 22 interrupt for a second? Why aren't there MPDUs in the & 22 the age group that is most likely to desire this type \\
\hline 23 cottages? Because I've read some of those reports. And & 23 housing as this project is proposing. \\
\hline 24 don't they need moderately pric & 24 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Is there any \\
\hline 25 MS. PREKAJSKI: I believe that Ms. Harris & 25 documentation or policy actions by the county that also \\
\hline 130 & 132 \\
\hline 1 explained that a little bit earlier and that the for sale & 1 support the recommendation about senior housing, the need for \\
\hline 2 units do not have to provide them on the site, that they can & 2 senior housing in Potomac? \\
\hline 3 pay 3 percent of the sales price of the for-sale unit which & 3 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes, along with those \\
\hline 4 will go to a housing fund that will go towards providing & 4 recommendations, the County Counsel did adopt a zoning text \\
\hline 5 affordable housing throughout the county. & 5 amendment that allowed for more types of housing and was \\
\hline 6 (Crosstalk) & 6 actually adopted to allow this proposed use. It was adopted \\
\hline 7 MS. HARRIS: If I could clarify & 7 by the County Counsel unanimously and it was made known to \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: But that's not in & 8 the County Counsel that senior living would be proposed on \\
\hline 9 Potomac, correct? & 9 this site. And they were very aware of that. They discussed \\
\hline 10 MS. PREKAJSKI: No, not necessarily. The housing & 10 it in the committee sessions and the council sessions. And \\
\hline 11 initiative fund can be used -- it could be used in Potomac, & 11 they noted that the lifestyle and the demographics are \\
\hline 12 if that's what your question is. They could build -- the & 12 changing in this area and that housing types need to respond \\
\hline 13 county could put that towards building affordable housing for & 13 to that. \\
\hline 14 seniors in the Potomac subregion. & 14 So -- excuse me. So ZTA provides for that. They \\
\hline 15 MS. HARRIS: And I would just, if I could, clarify & 15 provide for different types of housing to address different \\
\hline 16 the law. But it's not that it could -- that they have the & 16 levels need within the single care community. And the older \\
\hline 17 option of paying the 3 percent. The law requires that in & 17 population of the baby boom, they noted that the county \\
\hline 18 those instances. That's how it's going to be addressed with & 18 really needs to be ready to address that. \\
\hline 19 the payment of the 3 percen & 19 MS. HARRIS: Okay. So those are the policy \\
\hline 20 Continuing, Ms. Prekajski, any other relevant & 20 reasons. But I believe you -- what's the second reason? You \\
\hline 21 provisions in the -- that document which was submitted as & 21 said there were two reasons -- \\
\hline 22 Exhibit 135 that are -- that you want to highlight? & 22 MS. PREKAJSKI: Actually. It's -- as the housing \\
\hline 23 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes. So the unmet housing needs & 23 the report stated, by 2040, 46,000 more seniors between the \\
\hline 24 for seniors, the report noted that the senior's desire to & 24 ages of 75 and 84 will be in the area. And the 85 plus group 25 will as I mentioned earlier, will be increased by 122 \\
\hline 25 downsize into smaller homes, that -- and that the -- I think & 25 will, as I mentioned earlier, will be increased by 122 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 133 & 135 \\
\hline percent. So -- and the census tract information that's & 1 even if half of the parcel is unusable for environmental \\
\hline 2 available supports that notion as well because in 2000, the & 2 reasons, there is a benefit to keeping that area completely \\
\hline 2000, which was two years prior to the master plan, 9.9 & 3 open and concentrating the density on the other half of the \\
\hline cent of the population in the subregion was over 65 years & 4 parcel. The overall density should be calculated on the \\
\hline ge & 5 whole parcel. It's the conc \\
\hline In 2019, that figure had risen to 19.8 percent. & 6 To only calculate it based on the developable area \\
\hline is a 104 percent increase. And that was in 2019. & 7 and completely disregard the value of the area left open and \\
\hline 's before 2020 even, of their goal. So this data just & 8 unbuilt and the benefits that it conveys, it's -- that's -- \\
\hline reflects that current increase in the population and the & 9 doesn't -- that doesn't add up. The undeveloped area is \\
\hline 0 change in the demographics. And so it would be my conclusion & 10 often dedicated to parks or \\
\hline the master plan language is even more relevant now than & 11 scenic views and protection of environmental qualities. So \\
\hline at the time & 12 all of that is integral to the overall development and are \\
\hline RRIS: And can you & 13 each important parts of that development. \\
\hline gion & 14 And secondly, it's just -- that would go against \\
\hline & 15 the -- contrary to what the zoning ordinance says. The \\
\hline 16 MS. PREKAJSKI: Sure. At the time that this w & 16 zoning ordinance indicates zoning is measured on the gross \\
\hline en, they anticipated a need of 750. And only 342 have & 17 tract area, not on the net tract area. \\
\hline developed since then, since that master p & 18 MS. HARRIS: Okay. Well, even though that's your \\
\hline 9 written. & 19 position, did you nonetheless calculate it excluding those \\
\hline MS. HARRIS: And was there a year by which they & 20 environmental areas? And if so, can you reveal what was \\
\hline d that 750 to occur, to be developed & 21 shown or what you concluded? \\
\hline MS. PREKAJSKI: Yeah, by 2020. And here we are in & 22 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes, we did. Given the concern of \\
\hline and we've only got less than half of that. & 23 the neighbors, we thought well, let's look at that. And so \\
\hline 24 is even greater to address the housing needs of the older & 24 we took -- we -- I'm sorry. I'm looking through my figures \\
\hline 25 population and to be able to age in plac & 25 here. So the property is 30.6 acres in total tract area. \\
\hline 134 & 13 \\
\hline neighborhoods that they grew up in. & 1 There are 13.67 acres of the property that are devoted to \\
\hline MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Anything else on that & 2 stream valley buffer and Deadhome (ph.) priority forest \\
\hline fore I moved to the next topic? & 3 priority for us that is located outside of the stream Valley \\
\hline MS. PREKAJSKI: Yeah, I would mention that of & 4 buffer. The remaining buildable area is 16.93 acres. So -- \\
\hline that & 5 MS. HARRIS: And what -- so what would the density \\
\hline e of them are independent living. There are all assisted & 6 be based on that 16.93 acres? \\
\hline g. So this addresses a very different need. Although & 7 MS. PREKAJSKI: Well, for the zoning ordinance, \\
\hline is assisted living in this community as well. So if & 8 the maximum independent living density is 15 units per acre. \\
\hline need to progress to that, they can. But the independent & 9 So based on 16.93 acres, 250 independent living units would \\
\hline jus is - hone maw & 10 be allowed. The project is only proposing 74 independent \\
\hline S. HARRIS: Thank you. Let's move on & 11 living units. So it's considerably less. That's only 29 \\
\hline of density. Youp & 12 percent, 29.24 percent of the allowable density. The area \\
\hline ect's density for the independent living units was 16 & 13 that would be required to build 74 units would only be 4.93 \\
\hline ent of what was allowed and that the assisted living and & 14 a \\
\hline mory care unit beds were 10.3 percent of what was allowed. & 15 For the assisted living and memory care units, we \\
\hline have that correc & 16 subtracted out 4.93 acres that would be required for the \\
\hline MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes. & 17 independent living units, and 12 acres is remaining. The \\
\hline MS. HARRIS: And then there was testimony from the & 18 assisted living and memory care requirement is \(20-1200\) \\
\hline 9 opponents that those portions of the property that are & 19 square feet per bed, which would allow for 435 beds. Now \\
\hline uded & 20 only 96 beds are proposed. 96 of the 435 allowed equates to \\
\hline e density calculation. As a land planner, do you agree & 2122 percent of the allowable density on the remaining portion \\
\hline this approach? & 22 of the site. The area required for 96 beds is 2.64 acres of \\
\hline MS. PREKAJSKI: No, actually. First of all, & 23 land. \\
\hline is intended to control the overall -- density of the & 24 MS. HARRIS: So what would the total land area -- \\
\hline rall parcel in the development that occurs upon it. So & 25 what total land area would be needed to accommodate the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 137 & 139 \\
\hline 1 proposed project? & 1 MS. HARRIS: And would you say this is atypical \\
\hline 2 MS. PREKAJSKI: A total of 7.67 acres would be & 2 for the area? I mean, do you have any sense of how it \\
\hline 3 required to build the number of units that the Applicant is & 3 relates to the area? \\
\hline 4 proposing. And that's 25 percent of the tract area or 45 & 4 MS. PREKAJSKI: We looked at that. And in the \\
\hline 5 percent of the usable area of 16.93 after you subtract out & 5 area in the RA2 zone, there is a wide range of -- and \\
\hline 6 the other stream valley, & 6 sometimes is more, sometimes it's less. The zone requires \\
\hline \(7 \quad\) MS. HARRIS: Thank you. & 7 physically a minimum of 70 feet between rear yard to rear of \\
\hline 8 purposes with respect to density and green area, how does & 8 the buildings to -- if you take 35 foot rear yard setback \\
\hline 9 this project compare to other projects in the vicinity? And & 9 required on both properties. But certainly within the \\
\hline 10 do you -- & 10 surrounding area and in close proximity to the property there \\
\hline 11 (Technical issus) & 11 are examples of comparable back of house to back of house \\
\hline 12 MS. HARRIS: So I believe the witness & 12 differences between the homes. The closest setback at \\
\hline 13 testifying about the relative green area of the various & 13 Heritage to another off-site structure is 154 feet. And just \\
\hline 14 projects. & 14 in the proximity of the property, at a quick glance we \\
\hline 15 MS. PREKAJSKI: Right. So you can see in the & 15 identified three or four other examples where the rear to \\
\hline 16 exhibit that's Heritage Potomac on the top. And then we & 16 rear setback is less than 154 feet. And for the record, I'll \\
\hline 17 compare that to Brandywine on the left and Spectru & 17 just identify them. They are 10817 South Glen Road as it \\
\hline 18 middle, and Two Artis senior living on the right. & 18 backs to 10825 South Glen Road. 10901 South Glen Road \\
\hline 19 FAR , which is the floor area ratio, which is the ratio of the & 19 backing to 11001 South Glen Road. And 10835 South Glen Road \\
\hline 20 building area to the site area, FAR based on Heritage's 30.6 & 20 to 10837 South Glen Road as well as 10210 Norton Road to \\
\hline 21 acres, was 0.21 FAR and Brandywine is .77 FAR. Spectrum & 2110610 Barnwood Lane. And those are just in the immediate \\
\hline 22.59 FAR and Artis is .21 FAR just from the exhibits, & 22 vicinity. We didn't go beyond that. \\
\hline 23 (inaudible) considerably less & 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: What was the setbacks \\
\hline 24 surrounding co & 24 on those? What were your comparing? \\
\hline 25 MS. HARRIS: And what about percentage of green & 25 MS. PREKAJSKI: So those are some properties that \\
\hline 138 & 140 \\
\hline & 1 have even less setback between the back of the house and \\
\hline 2 MS. PREKAJSKI: Percentage of green area, so & 2 like for instance, comparing the backs of the triplexes to \\
\hline 3 Heritage Potomac has 76 percent green area whereas Brandywine & 3 the closest house on Edison Lane or on Dobbins Drive. So \\
\hline 4 has 53 percent, Spectrum 65 percent, and Artis 77 percent. & 4 those addresses are even less than what we are proposing on \\
\hline 5 So we are one of the top in terms of the area of gree & 5 the Heritage Potomac prop \\
\hline 6 dedicated throughout the & 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And you are propos \\
\hline 7 MS. HARRIS: And moving on to setbacks -- let & 754 to 400? Did I get that one right? \\
\hline 8 take that -- let me take this off the screen, I guess. You & 8 MS. PREKAJSKI: 154 to over 40 \\
\hline 9 previously testified what was required and provided -- I & 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. \\
\hline 10 sorry. You previously testified what the required and what & 10 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. That's helpful. Moving \\
\hline 11 the provided setbacks were for the independent living & 11 on to compatibility. There was a lot of testimony from the \\
\hline 12 cottages along western property line. Can you briefly remin & 12 opponents that the proposed conditional use is not compatible \\
\hline 13 us what the testimony was? & 13 with the surrounding area. After listening to that \\
\hline 14 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes. The required setback on that & 14 testimony, do you have any further observations with respect \\
\hline 15 property line is 20 feet. And the setbacks provided range & 15 to this issue? \\
\hline 16 from 31 to 36 feet, which is 50 percent more than what is & 16 MS. PREKAJSKI: Well, the zoning ordinance states \\
\hline 17 required. I know that the required setbacks are the setback & 17 that the compliance with the development standards does not \\
\hline 18 that the Council just adopted in approving that legislation & 18 create a presumption that the use is compatible with nearby \\
\hline 19 for the senior care community. & 19 properties. It cannot be dismissed that indeed in all \\
\hline 20 MS. HARRIS: And what's the distance if yo & 20 respects this project does comply with all of the relevant \\
\hline 21 measured it from structure to structure? So the structure on & 21 standards for setbacks and compatibility. So where the \\
\hline 22 the subject versus the & 22 development standards present a maximum such as in lot \\
\hline 23 MS. PREKAJSKI: T & 23 coverage, we are well under the maximum by 11.6 percent. And \\
\hline 24 Heritage Gardens -- or excuse me -- Heritage Potomac project, 25 it ranges from approximately 154 feet to more than 400 feet. & 24 where the development standards present a minimum such as 25 like in setbacks, we exceed the minimum by \(1-1 / 2\) times. So \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 141 & 143 \\
\hline 1 I've already testified to this point with respect to all of & 1 MS. HARRIS: Yeah. How do I do that? I forget. \\
\hline 2 the development standards. So I won't reiterate that all & 2 Oh, allow. \\
\hline 3 here. But in case of the height of the cottages as well, & 3 MS. PREKAJSKI: Okay. Can you see this cursor \\
\hline 4 they could go up to 50 feet. And we are limiting the cottage & 4 moving now? \\
\hline 5 heights 40 feet, mostly in the mid-30 foot range, but never & 5 MS. HA \\
\hline 6 to exceed 40 & 6 MS. PREKAJSKI: Okay. All right. So for \\
\hline 7 MS. HARRIS: And I want to -- I think your -- what & 7 instance, here is the subject property. And this zoning \\
\hline 8 you just testified to -- can you speak to the exhibit that I & 8 around it is all RE2. But right adjacent to it, if you look \\
\hline 9 just put up on the screen real briefly? I believe this is & 9 over here, this is -- this zoning right here is all R200. \\
\hline 10 Exhibit 137. & 10 You can see this on the certified zoning map as well. But \\
\hline 11 MS. PREKAJSKI: Right. So on the left is what's & 11 just wanted to show you more area that is included outside of \\
\hline 12 permitted. And this is a diagram that's taken straight out & 12 that. But this whole area here is R200 and it's adjacent to \\
\hline 13 of the zoning ordinance and on the right -- and that's -- 50 & 13 RE2 zones like right here, right here. So it was never \\
\hline 14 feet would be allowed. And you can see that that allows for, & 14 deemed incompatible even if the homes were the size lots of \\
\hline 15 like, three stories and a maximum of 50 feet. On the right & 15 R200 next to an RE2. So that's what I'm going -- trying to \\
\hline 16 is what is proposed at Heritage Potomac, which is a maximum & 16 explain, is that that relationship is not really \\
\hline 17 of 40 . This is maximum 38 here, but most of them are in that & 17 incompatible. It's just a little bit different. \\
\hline 1838 foot range. It just depends on the measurement standards & 18 MS. HARRIS: So can you zoom in on that map and \\
\hline 19 as described previously by Mr. Swihart. But the visual & 19 show a relationship on -- of the existing RE2 to R200 which \\
\hline 20 impact and actual measured height, the product at Potomac -- & 20 you would say is comparable to what's being proposed on the \\
\hline 21 Heritage Potomac is visually much less. & 21 subject as it relates to the surrounding area? \\
\hline 22 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And so you testified for & 22 MS. PREKAJSKI: Okay. Just a minute. Let's see \\
\hline 23 a moment about the development standards but I want to talk & 23 if I can zoom. Oops. \\
\hline 24 about the relationship of the project the surrounding & 24 MS. HARRIS: If you can't zoom, I can. But can \\
\hline 25 What's your comment or your observation with respect to the & 25 you not make it bigger? \\
\hline 142 & 144 \\
\hline 1 compatibility of the project to the surrounding area? & 1 MS. PREKAJSKI: I apologize. I'm not doing this \\
\hline 2 MS. PREKAJSKI: Well, there was testimony that the & 2 correctly. \\
\hline 3 physical layout of the project was not compatible with the & 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Go to the -- go to the \\
\hline 4 surrounding RE2 area. And the physical layout of Heritage & 4 top where -- yeah. Does that help? \\
\hline 5 Potomac could be somewhat compared to what you would see in a & 5 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yeah. So maybe I can do this \\
\hline 6 R200 zone. Each structure is on an area that is & 6 again. So for instance, here is some here. You can see \\
\hline 7 approximately 16,000 square feet to 22,000 square feet in & 7 these are the homes that are in R200 zone. And those are \\
\hline 8 size. In as in the case of the neighborhood and the subject & 8 backing up to RE2 lots here. And again, if you -- if we -- \\
\hline 9 property and the other neighborhoods, it has been deemed & 9 oops. Maybe I can use the hand. \\
\hline 10 compatible to have R200 zone property immediately adjacent to & 10 MS. HARRIS: Here, I can do it. There? \\
\hline 11 R200. I mean, excuse me, RE2 zoned property. & 11 MS. PREKAJSKI: Right. So here, if you can see, \\
\hline 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Wait. I'm confused & 12 these homes that are facing, I guess that is Sorrel Avenue. \\
\hline 13 about what your point is. & 13 They are backing to homes that are on -- oh, gosh. I don't \\
\hline 14 MS. PREKAJSKI: W & 14 know the name of that other street. But here -- the Sorrel \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: You are saying that & 15 and this street here, that is Lonistoga Way. These -- this \\
\hline 16 there is other areas -- that this is comparable to R200 & 16 road here. So these are all R200 lots and they are backing \\
\hline 17 zoning and R200 adjoins RE2 in other parts of Potomac; is & 17 up to these homes here on Bent Cross Drive. \\
\hline 18 that what you're saying? & 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: What? I couldn't hear \\
\hline 19 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes. & 19 the name. \\
\hline 20 MS. HARRIS: Do you -- Ms. Prekajski, I'm going to & 20 MS. PREKAJSKI: I apologize. That is Bent Cross \\
\hline 21 bring up Exhibit 70, which is our community area map. I & 21 Drive. \\
\hline 22 think it may be helpful if you can speak to that point using & 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. \\
\hline 23 this exhibit. & 23 MS. HARRIS: So in your -- when the County goes \\
\hline 24 MS. PREKAJSKI: Sure. So maybe if I could grab & 24 to zone and they come up with a zoning map and they put R200 \\
\hline 25 the control here. Let's see; can I request control? & 25 next to RE2, is your -- did you testify that there is an \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 145 & 147 \\
\hline 1 implicit compatibility? Are they zoning in a compatible & 1 triplex -- \\
\hline 2 manner? Is that your point? & 2 MS. PREKAJSKI: Right. So here is -- \\
\hline 3 MS. PREKAJSKI: Right. I would say they are & 3 MS. HARRIS: Oh, like one and a half backing up to \\
\hline 4 compatible. And compatible doesn't mean the same. It just & 4 a home? \\
\hline 5 means -- it doesn't mean comparable, like they are the same & 5 MS. PREKAJSKI: Right. So that's -- what we were \\
\hline 6 size. It means compatible in that they can coexist in a & 6 trying to show is a similar situation in terms of massing, \\
\hline 7 fruitfiul and healthy manner and -- & 7 backing up to the RE2 lots. And I think that relationship is \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, if that's -- if I & 8 similar to what we are proposing here and that there is homes \\
\hline 9 say, just because we have this zoning adjoining, why have a & 9 that are may be relatively closer together than the RE2 homes \\
\hline 10 conditional use? & 10 that it backs to so that -- and that relationship is \\
\hline 11 MS. PREKAJSKI: Well, I -- the conditional use & 11 compatible and that that has been done elsewhere in the \\
\hline 12 is & 12 Potomac subregion just right across the street basically. \\
\hline 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I just have difficulty & 13 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Okay, we can move on from \\
\hline 14 with the concept because, except that says -- A, I have & 14 that point. Did you consider what this property would look \\
\hline 15 difficulty in because R200 permits townhouses. & 15 like if it were developed -- if it were developed with \\
\hline 16 MS. PREKAJSKI: No. No, these are not townhouses. & 16 single-family residences? \\
\hline 17 Those are single-family detached homes. And the point of the & 17 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes, we did. We looked at that. \\
\hline 18 comparison is really to show that, although -- there was some & 18 And basically, if you had -- the most efficient layout if you \\
\hline 19 concern that, well, you're putting homes close to, and & 19 were to develop it with single-family homes, would be a spine \\
\hline 20 backing up to the R200-- RE2 zoned homes that have the & 20 road through the middle of the property and then have 2 acre \\
\hline 21 larger lots. And we are saying we are providing homes on -- & 21 lots on either side of the road. Because of the width of the \\
\hline 22 well, they are not lots per se in the same traditional & 22 property, the lot configuration would probably be wider lots \\
\hline 23 standard, but they are backing up to RE2 lots. And that that & 23 and less deep than other RE2 lots given the linear nature of \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
24 relationship is something that is -- it's compatible. \\
25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well isn't the real
\end{tabular} & 24 the lots and the 50 foot front yard setback, which would push 25 the homes closer -- you know, farther away from the road. \\
\hline 146 & 148 \\
\hline 1 issue the massing of the triplex? To me, what I heard from & 1 And that's not unlike the triplex structures. The homes \\
\hline 2 the citizens is the issue is the massing, not the fact that & 2 would likely be built to the 35 foot rear setback line, thus \\
\hline 3 every home is 300 to \(3000-\) each individual unit. It's the & 3 providing a comparable set back to what is being proposed at \\
\hline 4 massing of the triplex and the duplex units with not very & 4 Heritage Potomac. All in all, we would not anticipate a \\
\hline 5 much -- not as comparable space between them as in the & 5 dramatic difference between the relationship of the homes \\
\hline 6 adjoining neighborhood. That was very poorly written, but & 6 along Edison to either potential single-family homes or the \\
\hline 7 that -- or said, but that's kind of the issue I am hearing. & 7 proposed triplexes except that the heights of the single- \\
\hline 8 So I just can't -- I just -- you know, just because -- I & 8 family homes could likely be the allowable 50 feet in height \\
\hline 9 don't even know why this is similar to R200 except to say & 9 or three stories, higher than what is proposed at Heritage, \\
\hline 10 smaller lots in some cases are compatible with bigger lots. & 10 which is one and a half to two stories. So we have an \\
\hline 11 MS. PREKAJSKI: Well -- & 11 exhibit that shows that potential layout if I could show \\
\hline 12 MS. HARRIS: Let me just ask Ms. Prekajski one & 12 that. Or, you know -- that would demonstrate what I'm \\
\hline 13 last question on this and then we will move on. & 13 talking about here. \\
\hline 14 MS. PREKAJSKI: Okay. & 14 MS. HARRIS: And Ms. Robeson, I would note that we \\
\hline 15 MS. HARRIS: And it's going to the comment the & 15 had not thought about preparing this exhibit when we \\
\hline 16 hearing examiner just made about the massing. If you look & 16 submitted our exhibits. So we wanted to ask permission to \\
\hline 17 at -- can you see my cursor? & 17 show this exhibit before we do so. Otherwise, we'll have to \\
\hline 18 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes. & 18 just rely on Ms. Prekajski's verbal description. \\
\hline 19 MS. HARRIS: So from a perception standpoint, you & 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. Brown, do you have \\
\hline 20 have an RE2 home here and it backs up to four R200 homes & 20 an objection? \\
\hline 21 along the back. From an experience and impact standpoint, in & 21 MR. BROWN: Yes. \\
\hline 22 your professional opinion, is there a -- is there any & 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: All right. Ms. \\
\hline 23 significant difference between that relationship and the & 23 Prekajski -- \\
\hline 24 relationship that we have on the subject where you have a & 24 MR. BROWN: I haven't seen this. I'm not prepared \\
\hline 25 triplex -- let's go to the subject -- sorry. You have a & 25 on this. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 14 & 15 \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Yeah. & 1 not have all \\
\hline 2 MS. HARRIS: Understood. And we -- that's the & 2 average. And in section CC below this, we can -- maybe, \\
\hline 3 reason we asked permission before we showed it. Okay. We & 3 let's see. I need to have -- could you -- okay. I wonder if \\
\hline 4 will move on. The zoning hearing examiner specifically a & 4 you could raise the section up a little bit more towards the \\
\hline 5 the question of how the topography played into the visibilit & 5 top of the page because my controls are covering it up \\
\hline 6 of the proposed residential care facilities -- facility & 6 Okay. And then zoom out a little bit. Okay, perfect. So \\
\hline 7 the properties to the west. Was this taken into account & 7 you can see that the Lodge, which is taller than the \\
\hline 8 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes. Could you call up please & 8 cottages -- oops -- is sunken down below -- much below \\
\hline 9 Exhibit 143. It's sections rendered. These are cross & 9 ground level of the house on the left. And as such, doesn't \\
\hline 10 sections th & 10 appear to be that tall. It looks almost the same height \\
\hline 11 demonstrates what the impact of the & 11 the house on the adjacent property because it's been sunk \\
\hline 12 visibility of the homes & 12 down into the topography. And then (inaudible). \\
\hline 13 MS. HARRIS: & 13 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESO \\
\hline 14 MS. PREKAJSKI: Okay. & 14 that shows what Mr. Magan is going to see of the triplexes \\
\hline 15 topography along Edison Road an & 15 fromhis hou \\
\hline 16 that of the subject property & 16 MS. HARRIS: Mr. Park is going to testify to th \\
\hline 17 standpoint, this is much more preferable than if the subje & 17 \\
\hline 18 property were higher. If the subject property were & 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay \\
\hline 19 much more the structures would be & 19 MS. PREKAJSKI: He has some very specific graphics \\
\hline 20 be -- appear taller than they are simply because the grade & 20 to show that. And to the right-hand side of this sectio \\
\hline 21 higher. & 21 too, if you pan over to the right you can -- oh, I'm sorry \\
\hline 22 HEARING EXAMINER ROBE & 22 I thought it went further than that. My apologies. Okay. \\
\hline 23 mind orienting me to what I'm looking & 23 So did you have any other questions on that topography? \\
\hline 24 & 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: No. \\
\hline 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: (Inaudible) & 25 MS. PREKAJSKI: Oka \\
\hline 150 & 152 \\
\hline 1 MS. PREKAJSKI: Section A is -- this is a cross & 1 MS. HARRIS: And the only question I had is how \\
\hline 2 section from-- this right here is South Glen Roa & 2 does this relate to the issue of Brandywine with the \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Wait. What right & 3 topography? \\
\hline 4 where? The & 4 MS. PREKAJSKI: Brandywine actually \\
\hline 5 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yeah, I apolo & 5 opposite. Brandywine, the building is on an elevation that's \\
\hline 6 describe it. In between the lines in the middle of the & 6 higher than the surrounding residents. So in that case the \\
\hline 7 drawing is the right-of-way for South G & 7 grade is higher than the adjacent property and the building \\
\hline 8 on the diagram is the house across the street facing the & 8 appears much taller and much larger. And for this reason \\
\hline 9 subject property. And to the right are the homes that ar & 9 actually, the western corner of that building was reduced \\
\hline 10 facing South Glen Road & 10 from three stories to two stories because it has that impact. \\
\hline 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay & MS. HARRIS: Was it just the western portion that \\
\hline 12 MS. PREKAJSKI: Then if you go to section BB where & 12 was redu \\
\hline 13 the impact is of even greater concern by the neighbors & 13 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes, just that corner. The whole \\
\hline 14 understandably, this line on the left-hand side of the & 14 building was kept at the three stories. But in deference to \\
\hline 15 drawing is the property line of the subject property. Ou & 15 the neighbor's view, they reduced one corner of the building. \\
\hline 16 subject property is between that line and this -- the line & 16 MS. HARRIS: Okay, thank you. Let's move to \\
\hline 17 about two thirds of the way over towards the right. And you & 17 the -- let me get this off the screen and let's move to the \\
\hline 18 can see at the left-hand side of that property line, the & 18 issue of appropriateness of the location. There was \\
\hline 19 relative height of the triplexes to the adjacent home, whic & 19 testimony that this use should not be placed in a low-density \\
\hline 20 we estimated to be about 35 feet. Now because our topography & 20 zone and that the site is not appropriate for this use. As \\
\hline 21 is lower, it doesn't appear to be any higher than that unit. & 21 an expert in land planning, what is your opinion about this? \\
\hline 22 And similarly, on the right hand side you can & 22 MS. PREKAJSKI: I would disagree with that for a \\
\hline 23 relative height -- and you can carry these lines over if you & 23 number of reasons. First, the property is zoned RE2 and the \\
\hline 24 zoomed in, but it is relative to the adjacent homes, which & 24 use is an allowed use in the zone. Second, the vector plan \\
\hline 25 actually, some of these are much taller. But since we did & 25 on page 38 provides senior housing is appropriate throughout \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 157 & 159 \\
\hline 1 decided -- really, I'm giving you a hard time. & 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And it doesn't mean by \\
\hline 2 MS. PREKAJSKI: That's okay. & 2 any chance I've decided. I just -- it doesn't mean I'm \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I'm giving you a hard & 3 against it. It doesn't mean I'm for it. I really am just \\
\hline 4 time. But my real concern is Mr. Magan looking at the & 4 pressing you a little bit on it, okay? \\
\hline 5 triplex that are 20 feet from the prope & 5 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes. Well, I'm happy to provide \\
\hline 6 mass of the triplex is quite large and they are quite close & 6 anything you need in terms of clarificatio \\
\hline 7 to the property line. & 7 MS. HARRIS: So Ms. Prekajski, just to finish up \\
\hline 8 MS. PREKAJSKI: And the - & 8 here, is there anything else that you would like to add in \\
\hline 9 & 9 terms of the project's general conformance with the master \\
\hline 10 anything s & 10 plan or its compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood \\
\hline 11 exhibit with the R200 that has a relationship of an 8000 & 11 that you haven't already stated \\
\hline 12 well, I forget the square footage of the triplex, but an 8000 & 12 MS. PREKAJSKI: Well, I would just say in \\
\hline 13 square feet structure 20 feet from the property line but I'm & 13 conclusion, that my professional opinion is that the proposed \\
\hline 14 just sayin & 14 development is an appropriate use of the property. And it \\
\hline 15 MS. HARRIS: Okay. Just for the record Ms & 15 comports with the goals of the master plan and other \\
\hline 16 Prekajski, can you identify the minimum setback of the & 16 subsequent policy documents prescribed by the county. It \\
\hline 17 triplexes? & 17 meets a really growing need for housing for elderly in \\
\hline 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I know what the minim & 18 Potomac and proposes the type of housing that will allow \\
\hline 19 setback & 19 seniors to age in place yet avail themselves of a wide range \\
\hline 20 MS. HARRIS: No, but you said several times & 20 of services as they age. \\
\hline 21 we're providing a 20 foot setback. And in fact, that's not & 21 The units provide low maintenance, accessibl \\
\hline 22 correct. And so I just want make sure that the record is & 22 environment with indoor and outdoor amenities for health and \\
\hline 23 (inaudible & 23 wellness. And in addition, the project spaces close \\
\hline 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Oh, I'm sorry. & 24 neighborhood retail and services of Potomac Village, which \\
\hline 25 the -- what setback are you providing? & 25 will be important for the new residents. The design of the \\
\hline 158 & 160 \\
\hline 1 MS. PREKAJSKI: Most of the homes are an average & 1 community and the architectural style of the buildings fits \\
\hline 2 of about 35 feet away from the property line and the ver & 2 in well with the estate style homes of the Potomac area. And \\
\hline 3 very close as well is 30 feet. So it's significantly mor & 3 the low-density preservation of natural features a \\
\hline 4 th & 4 significant landscape plantings provide a setting that is \\
\hline 5 (Crosstalk) & 5 very compatible with the surrounding neighborhood. \\
\hline 6 MS. PREKAJSKI: And as we stated also earlie & 6 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. \\
\hline 7 building to building w & 7 MS. PREKAJSKI: And that's my conclusio \\
\hline 8 point. Relative & 8 MS. HARRIS: I didn't mean to cut you off. \\
\hline 9 that's over 400 feet away. So, you know -- and so we have & 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: All right. I see a \\
\hline 10 been -- tried to be sensitive to that relationship. And & 10 hand. Mr. Brown, do you have any questions? Mr. Brown? \\
\hline 11 we've -- the houses are farther from the property line. They & 11 MR. BROWN: Yes, I have a number of questions. \\
\hline 12 are -- and they will be significant screening as well, which & 12 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Go ahead. \\
\hline 13 as I said Daniel Park will testify to you later. & 13 MR. BROWN: Ms. Prekajski, you just said that \\
\hline 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, I'm sorry to, you & 14 there is a real and growing need for more senior housing. Do \\
\hline 15 know, go into all this. I just -- I'm -- so anyway. Keep & 15 you have any evidence that there is a real and growing need \\
\hline 16 going -- & 16 in the 75 and over set for holding onto real estate where \\
\hline 17 MS. PREKAJSKI: Is there anything I can do to & 17 they own the property and are responsible for taxes and \\
\hline 18 clarify any of the points that you're saying are & 18 maintenance? \\
\hline 19 incompatible? & 19 MS. PREKAJSKI: Well, I would answer that a couple \\
\hline 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: No. I would like to & 20 of ways. One, in terms of the maintenance, the maintenance \\
\hline 21 see Mr. Park's & 21 is taken care of here by the management company. Secondly, \\
\hline 22 MS. PREKAJSKI: He is a landscape architect. I'm & 22 if you recall, the representative from Sage Life expressed \\
\hline 23 not sure it will show up on the cross-sections, but I think & 23 that this is the age that people are looking for independent \\
\hline 24 you will be able to describe it much better detail in his & 24 living and assisted living depending on what their \\
\hline 25 exhibits as well. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 161 & 163 \\
\hline 1 What this provides is an opportunity to still & 1 But I think that's something that they would certainly be \\
\hline 2 continue to own real estate, because not all seniors want & 2 happy to help you with if you \\
\hline 3 necessarily be in a congregant setting like in an apartment & 3 MR. BROWN: Let me put the question this way. You \\
\hline 4 or in an assisted living facility. They would prefer, i & 4 mentioned the housing needs survey that the county did, I \\
\hline 5 my -- and this is my anecdotal experience. If they can stay & 5 believe it was in 2018, and you excerpted portions of it as \\
\hline 6 in the home and still be able to get around, they prefer & 6 an exhibit in this case, right? \\
\hline & 7 \\
\hline 8 So I think -- and the facts and figu & 8 MR. BROWN: Is there anything in that document \\
\hline 9 been presented in terms of what -- how many units have been & 9 that says that there is a real and growing need among people \\
\hline 10 provided that allow for this & 10 in the 75 and over set to switch from where they are living \\
\hline 11 people that will need them & 11 to a new place where they continue the burdens of \(h\) \\
\hline 12 evidence together supports that yes, there is a growing need & 12 ownership? \\
\hline 13 for that and for the assisted living as well. I know there & 13 MS. PREKAJSKI: It doesn't state that specifically \\
\hline 14 are many people that live into their 90 s or whatever, and & 14 I don't believe, but it does state that there is a growing \\
\hline 15 they are still getting up and getting around. And they don't & 15 need for people in that -- for housing in that age group, and \\
\hline 16 necessarily need a congregant setting where they are, you & 16 that -- that they -- there is a growing need for both \\
\hline 17 & 17 independent living and assisted living in that age group \\
\hline 18 MR. BROWN: People & 18 And -- \\
\hline 19 MS. PREKAJSKI: -- have to have services. But & 19 MR. BROWN: But the evid \\
\hline 20 here they can avail themselves of the services and still stay & 20 MS. PREKAJSKI: I'm sorry but -- \\
\hline 21 in place, but they can have the -- maybe a physical therapist & 21 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. Brown, I'm having \\
\hline 22 come to their house or something like that, so it provides & 22 trouble \\
\hline 23 like a positive place for them to live out their -- the rest & 23 MS. PREKAJSKI: I'm sorry, \\
\hline 24 of their days & 24 MR. BROWN: I don't understand your point. \\
\hline 25 MR. BROWN: Isn't the motivation for people who & 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. Brown, I'm having \\
\hline 162 & 164 \\
\hline 1 want to age in place that they want to stay in the community & 1 trouble hearing you. Can you \\
\hline 2 in the house that they've lived in for 10, 20, 30 or 40 years & 2 MR. BROWN: I'm sorry \\
\hline 3 among people that they know? & 3 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: It's all right. \\
\hline 4 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yeah, often times, yes. & 4 MR. BROWN: Did you finish your answer? \\
\hline 5 sometimes those -- the mobility issues that come along with & 5 MS. PREKAJSKI: I'm sorry. I forgot where I was. \\
\hline 6 aging prevent that. If their bedroom is on the top floor and & 6 I just -- I think that the report -- I don't think it says \\
\hline 7 the kitchen is on the middle for and their laundry's on the & 7 specifically, yes, there is a lot of people that want to move \\
\hline 8 first floor, that's very -- for someone who has mobility & 8 out of their house and go to a different house. But it does \\
\hline 9 issues, that's a very dangerous situation as well as & 9 say specifically that there are people that would like to age \\
\hline 10 inconvenient. So while they would prefer to stand in their & 10 in place, however there mobility issues prevent him from \\
\hline 11 own home -- if they could stay in their own neighborhood, & 11 being able to do that. \\
\hline 12 that's kind of the next best thing. And that's what we are & 12 So yes, they would prefer to stay at home. But a \\
\hline 13 aiming for here. & 13 second choice would be to go to a facility, to move into \\
\hline 14 MR. BROWN: Are you telling me that, for example, & 14 either a home ownership or a rental relationship, whateve \\
\hline 15 if the dishwasher in my -- if I were living in one of these & 15 they choose to do. I know there are -- this is the only \\
\hline 16 units in the dishwasher went on the fritz, all I would need & 16 senior living community where it's a purchase arrangement. \\
\hline 17 to do is call somebody at the Lodge they're going to dispatch & 17 And I know some people choose that and some people don't \\
\hline 18 a repairman? I don't have a choice as to who services my & 18 And we're just providing options, that's all. They have the \\
\hline 19 appliances? Am I responsible for that repair? What are the & 19 option to do either one. \\
\hline 20 terms? & 20 MR. BROWN: Let's go over -- we talked about some \\
\hline 21 MS. PREKAJSKI: No, sir. I didn't say that & 21 of the possible zoning configurations for this property. You \\
\hline 22 think as the owner of the unit, you always have the choice to & 22 mentioned what the property might look like under residential \\
\hline 23 dispatch whoever you want. However, if you need help in & 23 development as RE2 property. Let me ask you to take a look \\
\hline 24 doing that, I think that -- and I can't speak to everything & 24 at Exhibit 142, the current site plan. \\
\hline 25 that Sage Life does. I don't want to go outside of my arena. & 25 MS. PREKAJSKI: Okay. Can someone bring that up? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 165 & 167 \\
\hline 1 MS. HARRIS: I can bring that up. Hold on. Do & 1 just supposed you go with the current plan to have a large \\
\hline 2 you see it? & 2 number of cottage units of the type that you are proposing, \\
\hline 3 MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes, thank you & 3 but you eliminate the loop and you eliminate nine units, \\
\hline 4 MR. BROWN: As a thought experiment of the type & 4 wouldn't it be the case that if you eliminated nine units \\
\hline 5 that you have been doing, imagine that this loop in the & 5 that are inside the loop, that you could setback -- you could \\
\hline 6 middle of this project were eliminated and there were a & 6 setback the houses much further from the surrounding \\
\hline 7 single spine road all the way back to the Lodge. & 7 properties? \\
\hline 8 MS. PREKAJSKI: Right & 8 MS. PREKAJSKI: Well, as I said, you could set \\
\hline 9 MR. BROWN: That would eliminate the nine duplexes & 9 themback to some degree, probably at least 35 feet. \\
\hline 10 in the middle effectively, correct? & 10 However, if you have a spine road through the middle, your \\
\hline 11 MS. PREKAJSKI: I'm sorry. I'm trying to fix my & 11 front of your home will be -- have to be by requirement, \\
\hline 12 screen. Yes. & 12 farther away from the front street as is shown on this plan \\
\hline 13 MR. BROWN: That would be 18 -- that would be a & 13 because \\
\hline 14 loss of 18 units, right? & 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, wait -- \\
\hline 15 MS. PREKAJSKI: Wait could you -- I don't know why & 15 MS. HARRIS: Let me \\
\hline 16 that's -- I'm sorry. My control band is going right to the & 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I think the scenario \\
\hline 17 middle of that exhibit. I apologize for the inconvenience. & 17 is, if you go -- what about with this development, what about \\
\hline 18 MR. BROWN: Well, it's a very simple -- it's a & 18 just -- forget the single-family homes. Use this conditional \\
\hline 19 very simple hypothetical. Would just eliminating the loop in & 19 use. And you eliminated those nine units and you just did a \\
\hline 20 the middle and eliminating nine duplexes or 18 units -- & 20 spine road, right through those units, you could bring -- you \\
\hline 21 MS. PREKAJSKI: Right, yes. So -- & 21 could bring those units on the western and southern edges \\
\hline 22 MR. BROWN: In that scenario & 22 further from the property line. \\
\hline 23 MS. HARRIS: Wait, can I ask for clarification on & 23 MS. PREKAJSKI: That is true. And with the \\
\hline 24 that question? Where are the -- I don't see nine duplexes 25 you would eliminate the housing within that loop. & 24 exception of maybe closer to South Glen Road where the 25 property narrows significantly, yes, you are right. That \\
\hline 25 you would eliminate the housing within that loop. & 25 property narrows significantly, yes, you are right. That \\
\hline 166 & 68 \\
\hline 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I think you're & 1 would allow for larger rear yards. \\
\hline 2 eliminating three triplexes or nine units. & 2 MR. BROWN: Now let me explore one other \\
\hline 3 MS. HARRIS: Yes. & 3 possibility with you. Would you agree that with this \\
\hline 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I'm sorry. Is that & 4 property having, I think you said something like 13 acres \\
\hline 5 nine units? & 5 that's largely undevelopable, that this property would be \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I think it's just the & 6 probably a good candidate for rezoning to RE2C? \\
\hline 7 units in the loop. & 7 MS. PREKAJSKI: Well, I -- you could try to rezone \\
\hline 8 MS. PREKAJSKI: Right. So in the center there -- & 8 it to RE2C. I don't know that there's anything that would \\
\hline 9 I have a paper copy here that I can -- and now I can see this & 9 prevent that but I haven't studied that. \\
\hline 10 little bit better on the screen as well. So in the center & 10 MR. BROWN: Well then don't you -- \\
\hline 11 there are three triplexes as has been stated. So that's nine & 11 MS. PREKAJSKI: In that case there would be a \\
\hline 12 units. & 12 whole different set of requirements for the RE2C and we woul \\
\hline 13 MR. BROWN: Okay. If you eliminated those nine & 13 have to look at what your yield would be then. \\
\hline 14 units and just had a single spine drive, would you not be & 14 MR. BROWN: Well, the yield would be a function of \\
\hline 15 able to move in the house is considerably further away from & 15 the acreage. And if you're bound by the number of acres at \\
\hline 16 the boundary lines on either side? & 1630 , and the number of units at 1 per 2 acres, you could \\
\hline 17 MS. PREKAJSKI: You could move them in. However, & 17 probably approach somewhere between 10 and 15 units on the \\
\hline 18 there would be a 50 foot front yard setback on each of those. & 18 property, correct? \\
\hline 19 So while this -- this doesn't have that 50 foot front yard & 19 MS. PREKAJSKI: I haven't -- I don't -- I don't \\
\hline 20 setback. If you were to go with a standard method RE2, & 20 know. I haven't done that count. I'm going to say that's \\
\hline 21 then -- well, you could pull those houses a little bit & 21 possible. However, if we look at an RE2, the most we looked \\
\hline 22 farther away from the other RE2 lots. You would also have a & 22 at we could at most get 11. If you had smaller lots in an \\
\hline 2350 foot setback in the front. So that -- you're not going to & 23 RE2C, you might be able to get 15 I don't know. And I'm \\
\hline 24 pick up as much area as it would appear. & 24 reluctant to make -- opine on any of this, not having done \\
\hline 25 MR. BROWN: Let me restate my question. Let's & 25 that. And just to point out that the purpose of this \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 169 & 171 \\
\hline 1 application is not to develop it with more single-family & 1 is no description -- there's no drawing showing what those \\
\hline 2 homes, but to provide for senior living. And in order to & 2 boundaries are of those lots in the record. But they will \\
\hline 3 make this work for senior living, you kind of need both & 3 have to be shown at I guess at the subdivision -- at the time \\
\hline 4 components of the Lodge and the cottages. & 4 of subdivision because it delineates property, real property \\
\hline MR. BROWN: I understand. Let's move to Exhibit & 5 owned by the holder of the -- the owner of the building \\
\hline 6 143. I would like to focus on the bottom row, if you would & 6 rather than the developer of the property \\
\hline 7 please, section CC. & \(7 \quad\) So there has to be some kind of a delineation \\
\hline 8 & 8 \\
\hline 9 MR. BROWN: First of all, in the fine pr & 9 though it's not a record plat. My question is this. I tried \\
\hline 10 between the building and the bold vertical black line, it & 10 to do a rough comparison of the lot coverage of one of these \\
\hline 11 says reforestation planning area with supplemental screening. & 11 cottage ownership lots as compared to the lot coverage \\
\hline 12 I gather that this area is going to be completely regraded & 12 requirement in the RE2 zone. And I don't come anywhere n \\
\hline 13 and then replanted; is that right? & 1325 percent. It looks to me like it's more like 90 percent. \\
\hline 14 MS. PREKAJSKI: I want to make sure I am getting & 14 Do you agree with that number? \\
\hline 15 the appropriate place where that section is taken. So if you & 15 MS. PREKAJSKI: Well, I haven't done that \\
\hline 16 will, bear with me. So much of that area, although not all, & 16 computation. Though I would say we have -- that the -- \\
\hline 17 but much of that area will be regraded and replanted, yes & 17 again, the lot coverage is based on the record lot, not on \\
\hline 18 MR. BROWN: So how many years will it be before & 18 the ownership lot. And that lot coverage -- \\
\hline 19 the trees look like they are depicted in this diagram? & 19 MR. BROWN: That lot that you're talking about \\
\hline 20 MS. PREKAJSKI: We have very detailed testimony on & 20 will be the entire parcel minus the ownership lots of money \\
\hline 21 that from the landscape architect. I'm here to testify as a & 21 is the common area, the Lodge lot, right? \\
\hline 22 planner. And I would prefer that he be able to address that & 22 MS. PREKAJSKI: The \\
\hline 23 with you more specific & 23 MR. BROWN: If you -- is going to be a huge \\
\hline 24 MR. BROWN: Well, let me ask you a planner & 24 number. \\
\hline 25 question then. If you will look to the left side of this & 25 MS. PREKAJSKI: If you were to compute it on that \\
\hline 170 & 172 \\
\hline 1 diagram you will see a house. And I'm wondering if a person & 1 basis, yes, the number would be a lot larger. The way it is \\
\hline 2 standing up on the second floor that house and looking out at & 2 computed in this circumstance is on the record lot. And the \\
\hline 3 this building is going to see three full floors at least & 3 percentage is 13.4 percent of the entire lot is covered by \\
\hline 4 until these plants get going. & 4 buildings. And so -- and that's -- when you are looking at \\
\hline 5 MS. PREKAJSKI: No, they will -- they are -- they & 5 the property, you're not seeing property lines. You are \\
\hline 6 will not be able to see the full three floors. They -- the & 6 seeing lot coverage and open area. And we have used the \\
\hline 7 plants at the very least are -- most of the screening plants & 7 methodology that is required by the zoning ordinance in order \\
\hline 8 are planted at 10 foot height so that takes -- that's one & 8 to measure this lot coverage. \\
\hline 9 floor that they won't see. And then as these grow to their & \(9 \quad\) But you're right, if you computed it that way it \\
\hline 10 mature height, and again, I think you're going to be very & 10 would be a bigger number. But yeah, that's just how it's -- \\
\hline 11 pleasantly surprised by the amount of screening that will be & 11 the legal way that it has to be. \\
\hline 12 provided there. & 12 MR. BROWN: I understand all too well. Thank you, \\
\hline 14 you will never be able to see any part of this building. You & 13 very much. No more questions. \\
\hline 14 you will never be able to see any part of this building. You 15 will. And that's not a criteria for approval. But I think & \begin{tabular}{l}
14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I see a hand up from \\
15 Ms. Janet. However, I can't see the last name.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 16 that the planting that is proposed in addition to the way & 16 MR. BROWN: I'm sorry? \\
\hline 17 that the building is set into the slope will go a long way to & 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Is she here? I see \\
\hline 18 making it compatible with the adjacent property. Not to & 18 hand up from a Ms. Janet Hyper -- I cannot see the last name \\
\hline 19 mention also, that that's -- the house is a significant & 19 on my screen. Is she here? Okay. Now I don't see any hand. \\
\hline 20 distance away from that other building. So I guess that's & 20 All right. Do you have any -- now I see a hand from Susan \\
\hline & \\
\hline & 22 MS. HARRIS: I thought that Ms. Lee was \\
\hline 23 about. In the RE2 zone, the lot coverage requirement is 25 & 23 represented by Mr. Brown. \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
24 percent. In this particular case, there's going to be \\
25 something called cottage ownership lots. And I have -- there
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
24 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: She is. Ms. Lee, I \\
25 would like to hear -- you are represented by Mr. Brown and
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 25 something called cottage ownership lots. And I have -- there & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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you should be asking questions through them.
MS. LEE: Okay, that's fine. Thank you, very
much. I will.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. And the Ms.
Harris, do you have any questions based on Mr. Brown's
questions?
MS. HARRIS: I just have one question. And it
goes back to the relationship of a single family development
on the site as it relates to Mr. Magan's property. And while
we can't show the exhibit that was prepared, I would like to
ask the witness if she could describe what the view, the
likely view would be from Mr. Magan's house to the proposed
single family development on the site immediately to his
east.
MR. BROWN: I object to the question. We are not
dealing with single family development here.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I thought Mr. Park was
going to address that.
MS. HARRIS:Well, I think this is a relevant
question. Just as Mr. Brown has raised a hypothetical about
eliminating nine units on the site, I think I'm perfectly
entitled to ask a question of what -- because it goes to
compatibility. And if -- what would an RE2 development look
like on this property and how does that compare to what we
are proposing? And is one more compatible than the other? I

```
think it's relevant.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, you can ask
without -- you can ask what he might see with an RE2
development.
    MS. HARRIS: I think that's what it -- that was my
question.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay.
    MS. HARRIS: Okay. Ms. Prekajski, if the adjacent
property were developed to RE2, a single family development,
what would be the minimum setback required along the west --
the shared western property line?
    MS. PREKAJSKI: It would be a 35 foot setback,
which is exactly what we are proposing here.
    MS. HARRIS: And what would be the side yard
setbacks on that lot?
    MS. PREKAJSKI: I don't have the zoning ordinance
with me now, but my recollection is 8 feet on each side with
a total of 15 feet as total.
    MS. HARRIS: So then presumably a proper -- a
building -- a house could be constructed? And I think you
said because of the shapes of the lots, they would be more
linear and they could be developed close to 8 feet to
either -- side to side; is that correct?
    MS. PREKAJSKI: Right. You could wind up having
    16 feet between each unit if you put them side by side.
175

MS. HARRIS: And what is the maximum height that would be permitted in RE2?

MS. PREKAJSKI: That would be 50 feet.
MS. HARRIS: And is there a landscape buffer requirement in the RE2 under single family development?

MS. PREKAJSKI: Are you saying RE2 or R200?
MS. HARRIS: RE2.
MS. PREKAJSKI: Okay. All right. So, I'm sorry. Can you restate the question?

MS. HARRIS: What -- with the -- with the
landscape buffer between the -- if this property were
developed as RE2, would the landscape buffer between the subject and the properties along Edison Road be as robust as what the Applicant is proposing?

MS. PREKAJSKI: If it -- I'm looking now at the RE -- I have to look at -- I would say I need to look at the development standards, specifically a standard development of RE2.

MS. HARRIS: All right. Then I don't want to waste the time doing that. But let me just ask one follow-up question. So from the perception of Mr. Magan's back deck looking over to this property at an RE2 development, what would he be seeing, assuming for a second that there is no landscaping between the properties no matter what? Would what he would be seen under a single family development differ significantly from what the Applicant is proposing?

MS. PREKAJSKI: I think it would --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, how can she answer that if she doesn't know the landscaping?

MS. HARRIS: But I said just for sake of --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay.
MS. HARRIS: Even seeing the landscaping, just
assume there is no landscaping there.
MS. PREKAJSKI: Well, I think as a -- I think you're asking the question from a massing standpoint.

MS. HARRIS: Yes.
MS. PREKAJSKI: And the proximity of those homes to his rear yard. And I think it would be very similar. You may have houses that are the same size as the duplex perhaps, or if it was RE2 zoning you would probably have homes about the same size as the triplexes as was demonstrated by Dennis Swihart.

MS. HARRIS: Well --
MS. PREKAJSKI: That the --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: If you've got 2 acre zoning -- I don't want to go down the road -- it's not my comparison compare it to RE2. I want a compatible -- what I feel comfortable is a compatible relationship. And without really doing a scoping out -- I know Mr. Brown asked that 25 question. I was more interested in his question about the
```

development as is with just the spine road going through.
But all I'm-- so I don't think we need to go down -- nobody
has done any kind of survey of what they could get out of
this property in the RE2 zone. I don't see any point -- if
you feel that massing wise, it would have the same
relationship, you can, you know, go ahead and opine to that
given that nobody has even looked at the -- looked at the
site from that relationship, from an RE2 perspective.
MS. HARRIS: So Ms. -- let me just bring this to a close by -- and I think you already said this. But for my
massing standpoint, with the development under single family
be comparable to what is being proposed currently?
MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes, it would. There would be a
front yard setback of 50 feet. There would be a side
setback, if it was in the RE2, of 17 feet.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: It would be a 2 acre
lot, right?
MS. PREKAJSKI: Right, for a 2 acre lot. The rear
setback would be }35\mathrm{ feet, which is the same as what we are
proposing. And the size of the building would be similar to
what we are proposing because we based the architecture on
similar buildings in the neighborhood. (inaudible) answer
the question.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: If it's a 2 acre lot,
why would somebody site their house in the minimum setback
unless for some reason there is an odd lot?
MS. PREKAJSKI: Well, I -- what I described
earlier was if there was a spine road down the middle and it
was a 2 acre subdivision, the one thing that you don't see on
this layout is that these -- the houses would have to be
setback at least 50 feet from the road. So that pushes them
more towards the back. And so you want --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: But you can't tell me
right now if there will be 35 feet or 50 feet?
MS. PREKAJSKI: No, I'm sorry, I can't. I haven't
done --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. I think we need
to move on. I get what you're saying.
MS. HARRIS: And you will be glad to know that the
end of Ms. Prekajkki's testimony.
MS. PREKAJSKI: Thank you.
MS. HARRIS: Could we take a five-minute break?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I was going to suggest
MS. HARRIS: Could we take a five-minute break?
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I was going to suggest
a 10 minute break.
0 MS. HARRIS: Okay.
1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Because I actually got
a phone call while we had lunch break and I haven't eaten
lunch. So I want to take a 10 minute break and go eat lunch
and we will be back at $3: 24$. How's that?
MS. PREKAJSKI: Good. Is this witness dismissed?
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| 1 | development as is with just the spine road going through. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | But all I'm-- so I don't think we need to go down -- nobody |
| 3 | has done any kind of survey of what they could get out of |
| 4 | this property in the RE2 zone. I don't see any point -- if |
| 5 | you feel that massing wise, it would have the same |
| 6 | relationship, you can, you know, go ahead and opine to that |
| 7 | given that nobody has even looked at the -- looked at the |
| 8 | site from that relationship, from an RE2 perspective. |
| 9 | MS. HARRIS: So Ms. -- let me just bring this to a |
| 10 | close by -- and I think you already said this. But for my |
| 11 | massing standpoint, with the development under single family |
| 12 | be comparable to what is being proposed currently? |
| 13 | MS. PREKAJSKI: Yes, it would. There would be a |
| 14 | front yard setback of 50 feet. There would be a side |
| 15 | setback, if it was in the RE2, of 17 feet. |
| 16 | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: It would be a 2 acre |
| 17 | lot, right? |
| 18 | $\quad$ MS. PREKAJSKI: Right, for a 2 acre lot. The rear |
| 19 | setback would be 35 feet, which is the same as what we are |
| 20 | proposing. And the size of the building would be similar to |
| 21 | what we are proposing because we based the architecture on |
| 22 | similar buildings in the neighborhood. (inaudible) answer |
| 23 | the question. |
| 24 | HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: If it's a 2 acre lot, |
| 25 | why would somebody site their house in the minimum setback |

1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Yeah, you may be
excused. Thank you.
MS. PREKAJSKI: Thank you, very much.
(A recess was taken)
MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Our next witness is Tim
Stemann.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay.
MS. HARRIS: And I --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. --
MS. HARRIS: And I think I may have mispronounced
that as well.
MR. STEMANN: No, you got that. You got that.
That was great.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. Stemann, were you a
witness at the first hearing?
MR. STEMANN: Yes, I was.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I'm sorry. You are
still under oath.
MR. STEMANN: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And Ms. Harris, are you
still sharing your screen?
MS. HARRIS: Oh, I am. Thank you. Thank you. So
Mr. Stemann, has the department of permitting services
approved a storm water management concept for the proposed
development?

MR. STEMANN: Yes, they have. That was approved 2 on March 1st of this year.

MS. HARRIS: And I would note that that's Exhibit
154, for the record. And what was the purpose of the storm 5 water management concept and the county's review thereof?
6 MR. STEMANN: Okay. So the county code for storm 7 water, which is section 1920, it states the primary goal of 8 the county is to maintain, after development, as nearly as 9 possible, the predevelopment runoff characteristics and to 10 reduce stream channel erosion, pollution, siltation, and 11 sedimentation and local flooding by implementing environmental site design to the maximum extent practicable.
So what that all means is that the storm water
excused. Thank you.
MS. PREKAJSKI: Thank you, very much.
(A recess was taken)
MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Our next witness is Tim
Stemann.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay.
MS. HARRIS: And I --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. --
MS. HARRIS: And I think I may have mispronounced
that as well.

That was great.
witness at the first hearing?
MR. STEMANN: Yes, I was.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I'm sorry. You are still under oath.

MR. STEMANN: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And Ms. Harris, are you
still sharing your screen?
MS. HARRIS: Oh, I am. Thank you. Thank you. So
Mr. Stemann, has the department of permitting services
approved a storm water management concept for the proposed development?



36,000 square feet of impervious within the stream valley buffer. And then --

MS. HARRIS: Turning to the next page, or the next sheet.

MR. STEMANN: Right. And this highlights the area of impervious that will be removed, which is 30,000 square feet. Everything excluding the gym will be removed from the 7 stream valley buffer.

MS. HARRIS: So just to clarify, when we came to this sheet, there was an orange color that came up.

MR. STEMANN: Right.
MS. HARRIS: Those orange colors of the impervious that's been removed from the stream valley buffer; is that correct?

MR. STEMANN: Correct, the orange area which is about 30,000 square feet.
MS. HARRIS: Okay. And then moving to the last 8 page. What does that show?
19 MR. STEMANN: So the orange on here to both the
20 new impervious in the stream valley buffer, which is our
21 access road to the Lodge from the front of the site. We also
22 noted in blue, the sanitary connection, since that is a
23 construction that will occur within the stream valley buffer.
But that area will return to its current state, which is
vegetated. So it's not a new impervious area, but there is
some development within that blue area. And so --
MS. HARRIS: And so (indiscernible). Sorry. Keep going.

MR. STEMANN: So the total impervious then to be added to the stream valley buffer is 7000 square feet. And if you will note, we were removing 30,000 . Though it is a net gain. And I would also state that moving the road to this location is also an improvement because the current roadway alignment is not only deep within the stream valley buffer, but also impacts the floodplain in that area.

MS. HARRIS: So in your professional opinion, relocating the road from its current location, which I'm showing on -- by my cursor along the southern -- I'm sorry -the eastern boundary of the property to more of the center property, is denoted in orange. In your professional opinion, that the environmental benefits in common is that correct?
18 MR. STEMANN: Right, correct. That's a better route for that that roadway.
20 MS. HARRIS: Okay, thank you. Turning to the NRI,
21 so was the NRI signed as directed by the zoning and hearing examiner?

MR. STEMANN: Yes, it was. We coordinated that.
And that was sent to Park and Planning on March 7th. It was
signed by -- his name is slipping my mind at the moment. But
the gentleman who prepared -- John Markovich, who prepared the NRI originally.

MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And the zoning hearing examiner inquired as to whether the trees on the adjacent property, and we are talking about the western property line now, should be included in the NRI. Did you review this?

MR. STEMANN: We did. They weren't included in the original, but we did do a site visit, a couple of site visits to verify. There are some bigger trees along that property line, but they are not quite big enough to qualify for what would be shown on the NRI.

MS. HARRIS: Okay. And then finally, and this was coming from another inquiry that the zoning hearing examiner had, what is the width with South Glen Road?

MR. STEMANN: South Glen Road, we measured it along the property line or along our practice. It varies
from about 20.5 to 21.5 feet through that area. So that's
the width required by fire department access. And that was
as noted on the approved fire department access plan that
was -- we noted it as 20 , but it is actually greater than that in some locations.

MS. HARRIS: Thank you. I have no further rebuttal questions for Mr. Stemann.

HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I just had one.
(inaudible) there are trees on -- not on the - - along that
common property line but not on the subject property that are
going to have their critical root zone -- I think we
discussed two of them and they were only -- the critical root zone wasn't that impacted. But did you find additional trees when you went back out?

MR. STEMANN: There are some trees --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: (inaudible) 174 or
something. There, I can share it real quick. Let me just
make sure I'm in the right place. New NRI FSD, it would
be -- I'm sorry, I'm not seeing it. I guess what I'm asking
is are there additional trees that you found Ms. Ruth Soames
are going to be impacted or not?
MR. STEMANN: No. No. The NRA did not change.
We had assigned but there were no additional trees added to
that document.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: What about Mr. Magan's
testimony that there was a tree that wasn't shown on the NRI?
MR. STEMANN: Right. Those are the trees we
looked at. They weren't large enough to qualify to be shown
on that NRI based on their size. They are in that area. I
think will speak to that at a later document, or at a later
testimony. I think there may be some documentation to on
those trees so we'll discuss that a little bit further. Our
landscape architect will discuss that.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. All right.


| 193 | 195 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. STEMANN: Correct, right. That would be the | 1 so the first stages to kind of like prep for the major |
| 2 case for new construction. | 2 construction and then the construction would be the Lodge, |
| 3 MS. HARRIS: And to your knowledge is there any | 3 hopefully being finish before some of the clustered cottages, |
| 4 requirement within those environmental regulations about | 4 or whatever. Okay. |
| 5 removing previous link existing improvements and that were | 5 So my question is are there storm water special |
| 6 previously permitted? | 6 temporary storm water measures going into effect and which |
| 7 MR. STEMANN: Not to my knowledge, no. I don't | 7 exhibit are they in, for the construction and removal of all |
| 8 believe so. | 8 the green things that are keeping the place from washing away |
| 9 MS. LEE: How about the area around that building? | 9 in a big pile of mud? |
| 10 So I think there's going to be new parking lot, maybe? The | 10 Mr. STEMANN: All right. That was not part of the |
| 11 limit to disturbance are included within the stream valley | 11 storm water concept process but when we continue the process |
| 12 buffer that surround it. That don't have anything to do | 12 with DPS for permitting we will be required to provide a |
| 13 with, you know, the infrastructure. The road are -- | 13 erosion and sediment control plan which will address |
| 14 MR. STEMANN: Right. There's no new parking | 14 construction grading and to protect the streamways from any |
| 15 associated with the gym. The existing parking there is being | 15 runoff during that part of the process. |
| 16 removed. There is an area around the gym that will be | 16 MS. McGRATH: Okay. All right. Just a different |
| 17 maintained for the storm water facility and I think | 17 stage. |
| 18 there's -- we're providing some green space around there | 18 MR. STEMANN: Right. |
| 19 which ends up not in a conservation area, but it is a green | 19 MS. McGRATH: Thank you. |
| 20 space around the gym | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And you said, clear the |
| 21 MS. HARRIS: And Mr. Stemann, can you explain in | 21 entire side but I'm sure they aren't clearing the entire |
| 22 little bit more detail why you wouldn't subject the area | 22 site. |
| 23 immediate that's used for maintenance immediately around the | 23 MS. McGRATH: No. I mean the site that's going to |
| 24 existing structure to the forest conservation easement? | 24 be developed. |
| 25 MR. STEMANN: Well, in order to access the | 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I know. |
| 194 | 196 |
| 1 building and there is also a desire to have the ability for | 1 MS. McGRATH: Okay. Thank you. |
| 2 residents to use some of that area around the gym weather for | 2 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Thank you. Okay. Ms. |
| 3 walking or accessing around that site. That's the desire to | 3 Harris, do you have any questions based on those question? |
| 4 leave some of that area available. | 4 MS. HARRIS: I do not. Thank you. |
| 5 MS. HARRIS: But no additional impervious area is | 5 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Mr. Stemann, you |
| 6 being constructed? | 6 may be excused as a witness. |
| 7 MR. STEMANN: No, not associated with the gym. | 7 MR. STEMANN: All right. Thank you very much. |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: All right. Ms. Lee, I | 8 MS. HARRIS: And our next rebuttal witness is Mr. |
| 9 think you have your answer. Did you have any other | 9 Park. |
| 10 questions? And then I -- Ms. McGrath has had her hand up for | 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Oh, the man of the |
| 11 quite some time. So Ms. Lee - | 11 hour. |
| 12 MS. LEE: Okay. Only that I can a | 12 MR. PARK: Really billed it. |
| 13 those questions of the folks who are going to discuss the | 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And we shall see. |
| 14 forest conservation and the status of the gymnasium, and I | 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. Park, you're still |
| 15 think that's going to be two other people, later. | 15 under oath. |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. | 16 MR. PARK: Yes, ma'am. If I may just have a |
| 17 MS. LEE: Thank you. | 17 second pull up the document here. |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Ms. McGrath, do you | 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Sure. |
| 19 have a question? | 19 MS. HARRIS: So Mr. Park, I didn't know whether |
| 20 MS. McGRATH: Yes, I do. Thank you. I | 20 you wanted me to control the screen or whether you want to |
| 21 wondered -- from what Mr. Wormald described as the process of | 21 pull them up on your own. Whichever is easier for you. And |
| 22 the development, it sounded like the first stage was to sort | 22 your own mute. |
| 23 of clear cut the whole site and lay it out for the | 23 MR. PARK: Yes, Ms. Harris, I would prefer if you |
| 24 development for the street, for the roads, and because the | 24 can control the screen. |
| 25 grading is going to change for the Lodge and so forth. And | 25 MS. HARRIS: Okay. Okay so let's begin with -- |


| 197 | 199 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I'm sorry. Before you | 1 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And now, I'm going to |
| 2 start, Ms. McGrath, if you're still here can you put your | 2 pull up Exhibit 151. And then, if you could walk through in |
| 3 hand down? Because I never know if it's a new question | 3 more detail what those changes were that would be helpful. |
| 4 Thank you. Okay. Go ahead | 4 MR. PARK: Yes. First I would like to note t |
| 5 MS. McGRATH: My apologies. | 5 we have included the three additional offsite trees on this |
| 6 MS. HARRIS: Okay. So let's begin with the | 6 exhibit. They are labeled N1, N2, and N3 on Mr. Magan's |
| 7 landscaping went to this is going to be the bulk of you | 7 property. As Mr. Stemann testified, these were not required |
| 8 testimony, obviously. Which areas of the subject property | 8 on the NRFSD as they are not of a significant or a specimen |
| 9 have changed as it relates to the landscapi | 9 tree size. However, we do show them here to demonstrat |
| 10 previous testimony? And with respect to that question, | 10 their approximate CRZ disturbances are less than 15 percent. |
| 11 pulling up Exhibit 144 | 11 In fact, all of the surveyed trees on the adjacent |
| 12 MR. PARK: Yes, Ms. Harris, if I may just have | 12 properties along the western property line have CRZ |
| 13 another second I got my mice mixed up here. Okay. Yes, | 13 disturbances of less than 30 percen |
| 14 there are two areas that have been revis | 14 MS. HARRIS: And what's the significance of less |
| 15 neighbo | 15 than 30 percent? |
| 16 Those areas include in the area at the western property line | 16 MR. PARK: Thirty percent or less CRZ disturbance |
| 17 and in the forest conservation area at the northern portio | 17 typically will equate to a high survival rate |
| 18 of the site which include both the western property line | 18 most likely these trees they will all survive construction. |
| 19 well as a portion of the eastern property lin | 19 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Okay. So what did you do |
| 20 MS. HARRIS: And there was testimony during th | 20 after hearing feedback from the neighbors, if you could |
| 21 hearing regarding the adequacy of the landscaping, | 21 elaborate? |
| 22 particularly | 22 MR. PARK: Yes, Ms. Harris. We modified o |
| 23 describe the | 23 landscape screening to provide a green wall of giant gre |
| 24 Mr. PARK: Yes, Ms. | 24 arborvitae and cryptomeria to provide a dense, uniform and |
| 25 reiterate what was originally provided was in conformance | 25 above adequate screening, above what the zoning ordinance |
| 198 | 200 |
| 1 w | 1 requires. These trees will be 10 foot in height, spaced at 6 |
| 2 cottage unit setbacks were 50 percent greater than what wa | 2 foot on center. They will be matching, of specimen quality, |
| 3 required. It was our opinion that this was adequate. We | 3 and be hand selected and tagged by a landscape architect. |
| 4 were not relying on the landscaping on the adjace | 4 This means that they will be of the highest quality |
| 5 properties, just pointing out the landscape buffers provided | 5 standards. And based on field observations around the |
| 6 were not existing in a vacuum | 6 property and walking the site It's my professional opinio |
| 7 However, we have contacted all of the property | 7 that the plant material selected will do very well on this |
| 8 owners on the western property line and met with eight of the | 8 s |
| 9 six owners who responded to our outreach. And to clarify, | 9 Where the landscape buffer transitions -- so th |
| 10 one of those losses is owned by Southland School as a rental | 10 lot 1, Lot 3, and Lot 4 have the green wall treatment. |
| 11 property so it was not included. | 11 However, as the cryptomeria turns and there's more space |
| 12 MS. HARRIS: I just have a clarify the qu | 12 there we are allowing for a more integrated integration of |
| 13 something you just said. You said you contacted eight of the | 13 shrub and more attractive material. Although they are also |
| 14 six. I assume you meant six of the eight? | 14 very effective in doing the same screening, as you will see |
| 15 MR. PARK: Yes. Sorry about that. Six of the | 15 on the southern portion. |
| 16 eight owners, correct | 16 MS. HARRIS: And then, what about revisions at the |
| 17 MS. HARRIS: O | 17 forest conservation area at the northern portion of the site? |
| 18 MR. PARK: Some of the property owners expressed | 18 I think I may need a new exhibit. Is the |
| 19 that the Applicant team reduce views of structures an | 19 MS. HARRIS: Yes, Ms. Harris, It's Exhibit 15 |
| 20 lights. I'd like to point out that this is not a requirement | 20 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Before you go to that, |
| 21 of conditional use or requirements per the zoning ordinance, | 21 did you say six feet on center for the green wall? |
| 22 but we did take into great consideration the feedback we got | 22 MR. PARK: Correct. |
| 23 again, just from a personal perspective I did -- I really did | 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. |
| 24 appreciate the honest feedback and we tried to do our best to | 24 MS. HARRIS: So Mr. Park are there more than one |
| 25 accommodate them. | 25 page for Exhibit -- |



| 205 | 207 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 tall, or how quick they grow. I mean, at 3 years they're | 1 this picture you can, on this picture, see the surface |
| 2 already 10 feet, so you know. So please note that these | 2 parking lot. Will that be removed |
| 3 trees are 10 foot tall when going in and the giant green | 3 MR. PARKS: Yes, it will. |
| 4 arborvitae is a -- it's a specifically cultivated to screen | 4 MS. HARRIS: And then, I believe the landscape |
| 5 very, very quickly. And I can go into a little bit more of | 5 plan that you showed a moment ago showed that there will be |
| 6 the details on why this tree is effective. You know, it has | 6 some supplemental plantings in this area; is that correct? |
| 7 more of a central leader versus some other arborvitae, but | 7 MR. PARKS: Yes, there will be. |
| 8 allows them to be spaced very closely together without | 8 MS. HARRIS: And what will -- briefly, can you -- |
| 9 competing much and it really does a great job of screening, | 9 what will those consist of? |
| 10 that's the intent of the materia | 10 MR. PARKS: 3 inch caliper canopy trees. I think |
| 11 MS. HARRIS: And Mr. Magan at one point testified | 11 it is anywhere between five and 8 foot ornamental and |
| 12 that he would sit on his back and watch the cars go in a | 12 evergreen trees and some shrubs as well. |
| 13 out of the Temple driveway. Once these are planted, at the | 13 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And then, what's the |
| 14 time of planting would he be able to see those cars from the | 14 maintenance proposed for all these trees? |
| 15 existing property at the religious institution to the east of | 15 MR. PARKS: Right, Ms. Harris, so all the tree |
| 16 the subject? | 16 buffers will be planted on a show a property and maintain |
| 17 MR. PARKS: No. These are dense evergreen trees | 17 by the HOA and landscape inspections typically are conducted |
| 18 which are full from top to bottom. And I will provide a | 18 twice a year there was some concern from neighbors about deer |
| 19 continuous visual barrier and Mr. Magan will most likely not | 19 protection and et cetera and deer protection mesh or |
| 20 see the view of the cars driving to the synagogue, nor will | 20 repellent spray will be applied throughout the year and |
| 21 he see the vehicles on the subject property either | 21 replaced as needed by a licensed landscape contractor. |
| 22 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And moving to the eastern | 22 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Moving on to the priority |
| 23 side for a moment, we heard from Ms. Baker that she had | 23 forest. The Potomac subregion forest analysis technical |
| 24 concern about visibility from her property. Did you give any | 24 appendix provides that -- and I'm quoting. Attempts should |
| 25 consideration to that? Yes. I think it might be helpful if | 25 be made to save, end quote, all the priority forest stands. |
| 206 | 208 |
| 1 we go to Exhibit 146. And we did reach out to Ms. Baker, I | 1 What efforts have been taken in connection with the |
| 2 believe on two occasions. There was some correspondence but | 2 conditional use? |
| 3 I think there was no more after that but we did want to meet | 3 MR. PARKS: Right, Ms. Harris. So I just want to |
| 4 with Ms. Baker obviously. But if you look at this image | 4 emphasize that this is not a requirement, it says attempts |
| $5 \quad 146$-- | 5 should be made. And as I have previously testified we have |
| 6 MS. HARRIS: Oh, sorry. I didn't -- it's on my | 6 made such attempts. And although I probably wasn't as clear |
| 7 screen but no one else | 7 as I should have been, so I'd like to just go through the |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Now, she had to leave. | 8 four things that we did. One is clustering the development |
| 9 She said she would be here tomorrow. So you know, I don't | 9 as far as the land area away from the stream valley buffer. |
| 10 know to what extent, but she did send me a chat so she will | 10 Two, adjusting the form and orientation of the building. |
| 11 be here tomorrow and maybe Mr. Park would summarize very | 11 Three, limiting grading by tucking the foundation walls into |
| 12 briefly this testimony again for her | 12 grade. And four, selectively only removing damaged forest, |
| 13 MS. HARRIS: That's fine. And this will take | 13 primarily damaged by invasive plants as you can see in the |
| 14 probably one minute so we'd be happy -- S -- let him | 14 image to the right there. |
| 15 completed now but we'd be happy to reiterate it tomorrow. | 15 When we were on sight, you know, we -- I had a |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Sure. | 16 discussion with Ms. Brigham about the damage and we both |
| 17 MR. PARKS: Yes. And this is a photo taken near | 17 agreed that it is an issue. All these attempts were |
| 18 the property line because we didn't engage Ms. Baker in the | 18 contemplated to minimize the impact on the priority forest. |
| 19 field we took a shot from right at the property line and w | 19 MS. HARRIS: And do you think your efforts will be |
| 20 confirmed the existence of a healthy forest between Ms | 20 successful? Or have been successful? |
| 21 Baker's property and the remaining Jim structure. Which is | 21 MR. PARKS: Yes, they will be once the invasives |
| 22 more than 360 feet away at the closest point to the lot line | 22 are out of there. But the project is only eliminating six |
| 23 and you can see here you can hardly see the gym structure | 23 percent of the priority forest, and the portion which is |
| 24 even in winter | 24 severely damaged by invasive plants the invasive species here |
| 25 MS. HARRIS: And then, Mr. Park while we're on | 25 you know, in particular silk grass, honeysuckle, multiflora |


| 209 | 211 |
| :---: | :---: |
| rose account for 39 percent coverage of the herbaceous layer of the forest floor, as you can see here. <br> MS. HARRIS: And you say see here, so I'm -- we're looking at Exhibit 147? Yes. <br> MR. PARKS: Yes. <br> MS. HARRIS: And so you're referring to these two <br> photos; is that correct in terms of the impact of the invasives? <br> MR. PARKS: Yes. I'm referring to the photos <br> which show the severe invasives on the ground and crawling up the trees and vines as well. <br> MS. HARRIS: Okay. Thank you. <br> MR. PARKS: I just want to clarify that there are <br> seven variance trees in the forest stand and a majority of <br> them are in poor and fair condition. And it makes sense because they're damaged from invasives. Because the forest <br> is so damaged here removing it would not create edge <br> 8 regression which is where the change in the edge condition <br> impacts the interior forest habitat. And so that's not <br> really a concern that typically the forest is an interior <br> forest and it's high quality there is a change in the edge it <br> may have an effect but as you can see here that's not the <br> case. So my professional opinion it's better to remove the <br> invasives and dying trees and replace with new healthy trees. <br> 25 MS. HARRIS: So you testified that you're | 1 1 2 any regulatory or statutory requirement to -- for that 3 additional 2.1 acre planting; is that correct? 4 $\quad$ MR. PARKS: That's correct. |
| removing, even with those best efforts and you've got it narrowed down to just eliminating .58 of the priority forest. Are you doing anything to address the fact that the .58 of the forest will be removed? <br> MR. PARKS: Yes, Ms. Harris. If you can flip over to the next slide. So this is what we were talking about, the 6 percent. And then, what we're putting back, again 3 inch caliper trees, 6 to 8 foot tall ornamentals, evergreens. And so yes, while not required we are replanting the whole . 58 acres of priority forest adjacent to the priority forest to remain. These plants will include the list of plants I went over. And if you go to the next slide, Ms. Harris? <br> MS. HARRIS: Well, before we do I just want to clarify for the hearing examiner. So the darker green on this screen, that equals .58 of new forest that will be 6 planted adjacent to the priority forest; is that right? <br> MR. PARKS: Correct. <br> MS. HARRIS: All right. Thank you. And now, moving to the next slide. <br> MR. PARKS: Right. Yes, Ms. Harris, we can see <br> that. So this next slide shows that you know, while we are 2 not required we are voluntarily planting an additional 2.10 <br> 23 acres of supplemental plantings. And in my opinion, this is 24 an overall environmental benefit and good stewardship of the 25 land. | landscape lights which will illuminate piers that run along the front fence and pier arrangement there. And then, the only other area as far as sight lighting is at the Lodge building. <br> Right. So you can see there that there's another <br> J fixture of the sign light at the Lode fixture -- or at the Lodge sign. And then, I believe there are six landscape lights that will gently illuminate the trees toward the rear of the Lodge courtyard area. Um -- <br> MS. HARRIS: Okay. And -- go ahead. I'm sorry. <br> MR. PARKS: Yes. No, no. So I just wanted to <br> point out and Mr. Swihart did that the proposed light <br> fixtures, we did look at them closely so the pole lights and <br> 14 that we swapped out have a, more of a horizontal lens and a <br> cutoff roof which projects that light downward. The lens is <br> 16 frosty to diffuse the light source, reduce glare, and <br> 7 increase visual cover. So I just wanted to point that out as well. <br> 19 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And was a new photometric <br> 20 done based on all the exterior lighting that both of you just <br> 21 testified to and incorporating the lighting that Mr. Swihart <br> 22 testified to? <br> 23 MR. PARKS: Yes. The new photometric is in the <br> 24 format of Park and Planning so it's black and white, but this <br> 25 is the same exhibit. But yes, a new photometric was revised |


like if it were developed for single-family residential?
MR. PARKS: Right. So you know, instead of a private driveway we would have at least one public street on the property, which would probably have taller lights.
Public roads standards you're probably looking at 14 to 16 foot high poles versus the 12 foot poles in a residential. The private street allows us to have that flexibility of the shorter poles. A second, I think a single-family development would not have to provide, nor would we anticipate providing the level of landscaping buffer that is being proposed on the property.

Also, houses can be 50 feet tall with a full three stories under a by right development scenario. Just as a 4 visual inspection of the lights in the surrounding 15 neighborhood. Ms. Harris, if you can pull up the next 16 exhibit.
17 MS. HARRIS: Go ahead. So can you explain what 8 this exhibit is showing.

MR. PARKS: Ms. Harris, I'm not --
MS. HARRIS: I'm sorry. I'm not showing it.
Sorry. Okay. So the -- can you explain what this exhibit's showing? And this is Exhibit 149.

MR. PARKS: Yes. You know, we just did a quick visual inspection of the surrounding neighborhood to get a sense of the light levels that would exist under a by right development scenario and this is pretty typical of what you see. But this is what we found in the surrounding neighborhood. So there's obviously taller pole lights, some architectural highlighted -- highlights with accent up lights on -- things of that nature.

MS. HARRIS: Thank you. So all -- in sum with respect to the lighting, is it is it your opinion that the lighting is compatible with -- the proposed lighting is compatible with the surrounding neighborhood?
10 MR. PARKS: Yes, it is. Not only for the fact 11 that it's zero at the property lie per the ZO, but -- per the 12 zoning ordinance, but the Applicant has taken measures to 13 reduce the impact of lighting with (indiscernible). The 14 landscape screening changes. You know, lighting is an 15 inherent characteristic of a residential care facility. And 16 no matter what's developed on the property there's going to 17 be lighting. You know, existing residents can look out the 18 window today and see lighting in other resident's home to 19 day. So I mean, you know, to conclude let's say in our case 20 we went above and beyond the regulatory requirements to
21 mitigate the visual impacts of the proposes lighting and we 22 did this after listening the neighbors testimonies, onsite 23 meetings, had discussion with other consultants.
24 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And is there anything 25 else that you would like to add with respect to either
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landscape or lighting that would be helpful to the hearing
examiner?
    MR. PARKS: Not at this time. Thank you, Ms.
Harris, Ms. Robeson.
    MS. HARRIS: Thank you. That concludes Mr. Park's
testimony.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. Brown? I think --
    MR. BROWN: Ms. Lee may have a question or two
about the priority forest testimony and then I think Mr.
Magan may have a question about his particular property which
was discussed extensively.
    MS. LEE: I do have a question if I could about
the priority forest? But if Rick would like to go first, I
know he's a busy -- and he's actually -- he's working on some
projects. But I guess the first thing is that its' very
clear in the master plan that there were certain areas that
were to be protected. And you've indicated that you agree
with that.
    Isn't it true that the master plan provisions in
Montgomery County are binding, not just advisory?
    MS. HARRIS: I'm going to object to that. That's
a question of law the landscape architect can't necessarily
answer.
    HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Agreed.
    MS. LEE:That's fine. So did you -- when you
    read back through the details of how those forest areas we
    determined is it the case that much science went into when
    you look back through the exhibits of how they were to be
    defined and which forest actually were selected?
    MR. PARKS: As far as the master plan?
    MS. LEE: Yes. I mean, the background documents
from the master plan have extensive environmental studies
that were done.
    MR. PARKS: Yes, we did look at that document,
10 yes.

11 MS. LEE: For example the piece that you're going 12 to be clear cutting is on a steep slope, is that correct, 13 part of it? It's over 25 percent slope.
14 MR. PARKS: I'd have to revisit that or I'd have 15 to see a document. We can pull it up but I'd have to look at
16 that document for the relationship of that particular forest
17 stand to this steep slopes.
18 MS. LEE: I would say look at -- I think maybe 19 specimen trees say 136,140 , I think they're right on the 25
20 percent slope. And steep slopes riparian buffers were
21 exactly some of the scientific issues that the planning staff
22 and the science folks in the county were trying to address.
23 So you've indicated there's lots of stilt grass, we all have
24 to deal with that. But you're basically going to clear cut
25 every tree that's on the stand; is that correct? In this
1 better approach than to preserve it.
    MS. LEE: Well, let me ask you, so stilt grass
those are the invasives we're talking about and then in
addition -- in addition to the regular trees there is -- I
just wanted to list the specimen trees that are going to be
removed.

So these are protected under the forest
conservation statute so it's tree 127 , a black cherry 32
inches circumference. Number N28 another black cherry 34
inches. 129 a black cherry 46 inches. 136 a yellow poplar
39 inches. 139 a yellow poplar 40 inches. 140 a yellow
poplar 38. 141 another poplar 32. And also 138 a sycamore
that's on the edge of 40 inches. I'mjust pointing out that
it's basically going to be clear cut and I'm not sure what
you're going to be planting some things outside where the
designated area was but I have -- I think -- what's going to
be where it's -- the rest of it's going to be --
section that you are taking over and you will be invading -you're going to be clear cutting all the trees off that portion.

MR. PARKS: We will be removing a portion of the priority forest that has been damaged by invasive plants and this area is outside of the stream valley buffer.

MS. LEE: But it's the one, but it's within the area that clearly designated in the master plan to be protected?

MR. PARKS: No. It says all attempts should be made and the Applicant team believes that we've made substantial attempts and we believe that Park and Planning 3 staff concurs with that in their approval of the FSD and 4 their approval of the forest conservation plan, as well.

MS. LEE: But geographically or intrinsically or 16 math wise, it is exactly where the line has been drawn. Now, 17 I understand you're saying that you've made all attempts to 18 try to preserve it, but in fact, you're going to be clear 9 cutting a portion of the area that was designated in the maps 0 in the master plan.

MR. PARKS: We are removing a portion of the
2 forest that is damaged by invasives and we are restoring that
3 to a better condition. And I think most environmental 4 professionals would agree that in this particular case given 5 the 39 percent of invasive cover on the forest that's a
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better approach than to preserve it.
MS. LEE: Well, let me ask you, so stilt grass
those are the invasives we're talking about and then in
addition -- in addition to the regular trees there is -- I
just wanted to list the specimen trees that are going to be removed.
conservation statute so it's tree 127 , a black cherry 32
inches circumference. Number N28 another black cherry 34
inches. 129 a black cherry 46 inches. 136 a yellow poplar
39 inches. 139 a yellow poplar 40 inches. 140 a yellow
poplar 38. 141 another poplar 32. And also 138 a sycamore
that's on the edge of 40 inches. I'mjust pointing out that
it's basically going to be clear cut and I'm not sure what
you're going to be planting some things outside where the
designated area was but I have -- I think -- what's going to
be where it's -- the rest of it's going to be --
It's not as if you're planting in the spot where
the forest was. You're going to be building the Lodge there.
I just wanted to clarify that.
MS. HARRIS: IfI could, I didn't hear a question
there. And I think that we need to remember that this is --
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Yeah, I didn't either.
MS. LEE: So I just want to clarify, you said that
you'd be replacing -- you'd be redoing the forest, replacing
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 221 & 22 \\
\hline 1 that forest and could you please clarify that area is going & 1 MS. HARRIS: I know -- you know, I need to check \\
\hline 2 to be built on? That is it's going to be part of the Lodge & 2 our -- my notes. I don't recall if we did or not, or we were \\
\hline 3 or is it going not be a forest? & 3 assuming that -- \\
\hline MR. PARKS: The area that we are removing we a & 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: That (inaudible). \\
\hline 5 planting a majority of that area with 3 inch caliper trees, & 5 MS. HARRIS: -- would do it. I just can't -- I \\
\hline 6 ever -- the list that I went over in my testimony in order to & 6 need to refresh my memory \\
\hline 7 remove -- well, after we remove invasives that are killed & 7 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: It's okay. You know, I \\
\hline 8 that are putting the cherry tree that you mentioned in fair & 8 will send it tomorrow and just say this is what was -- this \\
\hline 9 condition, 46 cherries in poor condition, the poplar is in & 9 is what we discussed and please review. I did just get -- \\
\hline 10 fair condition and I do agree with you that there is one tree & 10 MS. HARRIS: Actually I know recall, Ms. Robeson, \\
\hline 11 there that is in fair condition but it's going to exacerbate & 11 I did discuss it with staff and they said, well, they \\
\hline 12 as it goes. So to remove the invasives in this area and to & 12 needed -- I can't give it to them and have them comment on \\
\hline 13 put back a majority of the priority forest with new plantings & 13 it. IT needs to come from you for them to comment on it \\
\hline 14 adjacent to the area that we're removing will not prevent & 14 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: All right. \\
\hline 15 will not create fragmentation, Ms. Lee, and it & 15 MS. HARRIS: So I think they're waiting for you to \\
\hline 16 professional opinion that in years to come this will become a & 16 forward it. \\
\hline 17 better condition environmentally. & 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. I didn't realiz \\
\hline 18 MS. LEE: But just to clarif & 18 that. Okay. So I will forward -- what exhibits should I \\
\hline 19 solely because you're going to be building the Lodge? That's & 19 forward to them? Just the plan? The plan and the \\
\hline 20 where you need to build the Lodge, correct? If you weren't & 20 supplemental -- does your landscape plan include the \\
\hline 21 building the & 21 supplemental plantings? \\
\hline 22 clearcutting it? & 22 MS. HARRIS: Let me see. Yes, they do. So I can, \\
\hline 23 MR. PARKS: Right. The Lodge is in this location. & 23 after this \\
\hline 24 We've made all attempts. In other words we looked at the & 24 HEARING EXAMINER ROB \\
\hline 25 geometry and we have minimized to all extents practical & 25 MS. HARRIS: And then, I think that supplemental \\
\hline 222 & 224 \\
\hline 1 engineering wise to minimize it. But yeah, the Lodge & 1 landscape plan which was Exhibit -- was it 151? I th \\
\hline 2 going into an area of the priority forest, which you're & 2 would be -- I would say, unless Mr. Park disagrees, 144, 150 \\
\hline 3 correct, a very small portion of it, 6 percent, right. We're & 3 and 151. Do you agree with that Mr. Park? \\
\hline 4 talking about and we can pull up the exhibit again, a very & 4 MR. PARKS: Yes, Ms. Harris. So it's really going \\
\hline 5 small percent. And we think -- and Park and Planning staff & 5 to be Exhibit 144 A through J. As far as the other exhibits \\
\hline 6 believes that it's appropriate in this situation & 6 they were created for -- as exhibits for the hearing examiner \\
\hline 7 MS. LEE: But the Lodge could be built in a way & 7 and the -- for the record. But yeah, they should all align \\
\hline 8 that you wouldn't have to impact any of the priority forest. & 8 so if you want to transmit more that will -- \\
\hline 9 You have 30 acres on site, correct? & 9 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. I'malso, since \\
\hline 10 MR. PARKS: Well, I think it's a win/win in this & 10 the lighting plan was technically different, I'm going to \\
\hline 11 situation because you're removing the invasives and we are & 11 send that back to them with the photometric study. And I \\
\hline 12 creating a better condition. So we did take that into & 12 just got from them in an email, but I know that they sent it \\
\hline 13 consideration as & 13 to the group that their report on the -- or recommendation on \\
\hline 14 MS. LEE: I just don't think stilt & 14 the traffic study. I haven't read it. it just came in to me \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, I (crosstalk ) & 15 two minutes ago. So you should be getting a copy of that. \\
\hline 16 but you can express your disagreement, you know, that's one & 16 So I will forward the landscape plan and the \\
\hline 17 thing for tomorrow, but today is questions & 17 revised lighting plans to them for comments. \\
\hline 18 MS. LEE: Gotcha. Thank you & 18 MS. HARRIS: Okay. \\
\hline 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And I don't see any & 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: And where are we at \\
\hline 20 other questions. Anyone else have any questions? Well I had & 20 this point? Ms. Harris, were you going to have \\
\hline 21 a follow-up question & 21 MS. HARRIS: We have one more witness which we \\
\hline 22 Have you sent this & 22 would be able to get done today. \\
\hline 23 the zoni & 23 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Oh, wait. I'm sorry. \\
\hline 24 changes and plans to Park and Planning for a comment. Have & 24 I have Rick Magan's hand up. \\
\hline 25 you submitted these revisions to Planning or no? & 25 Mr. Magan, do you have a question for Mr. Park? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 225 & 227 \\
\hline 1 MR. MAGAN: Yes, I do, Ms. Robeson. & 1 long as the specifications for the soils and the condition of \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Go ahead. & 2 the material which I will personally tag myself I think that \\
\hline R. MAGAN: Mr. Park, I appreciate the time coming & 3 will be the most important to establishing that look good \\
\hline 4 out to the house and discussing the bordering issues with the & 4 that you have there. \\
\hline 5 neighbors. I just had a couple of quick questions. Do you & 5 As for any deer resistance I think it's -- I think \\
\hline 6 realize that the plants that are in that photo that you show & 6 that it is a maintenance issue whether it be dear spray if \\
\hline on Exhibit 168 are planted in alternating rows? So in other & 7 they -- you know if the deer get really hungry they will chew \\
\hline 8 words you have a row of plants and then right behind it you & 8 on it. But we have measures in place as far as maintenance \\
\hline 9 have another row in between existing plants with a deer fence & 9 and we believe that this material will do very well here. \\
\hline 10 in between them? & 10 MR. MAGAN: You mentioned that the Association \\
\hline 11 MR. PARKS: Mr. Magan are you referring to the & 11 would be responsible for maintaining it. Are we going to \\
\hline 12 example on Edison? & 12 have something in writing that states that, that they number \\
\hline 13 MR. MAGAN: Yes. No, actually South Glen. It w & 13 one, protect it against deer, continually not just the first \\
\hline 14 the photo of the arborvitae with your person on the right- & 14 year it's planted, but ongoing. And that they replace any \\
\hline 15 hand side with a pole next to him. & 15 trees that die, or they treat any trees that get infected and \\
\hline 16 MR. PARKS: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. He is a fellow & 16 replace them when we don't have the proper coverage betve \\
\hline 17 that worked for a landscape contractor that yes, we did & 17 our property and the new development? \\
\hline 18 examine & 18 MR. PARK: Mr. Magan, I think that's a question \\
\hline 19 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I think I am showing it & 19 for the Applicant. But as far as I understand these HOAs are \\
\hline 20 now. & 20 operated by professional that would engage a licensed \\
\hline 21 MR. MAGAN: Yeah, that'd be great. & 21 landscape contractor and there would be a contract in place \\
\hline 22 MR. PARKS: Right. So just to clarify, Mr. Magan, & 22 for that maintenance to occur on a regular scheduled. As far \\
\hline 23 that is a single row of trees. They are not staggered. They & 23 as a mechanism that will give you, sir, more comfort that is \\
\hline 24 are spaced 6 foot on center. We did observe -- we went in & 24 something I think is open for dialogue. \\
\hline 25 there, we observed where the trunks are. But to my & 25 MR. MAGAN: The elevation drawings that you had \\
\hline 226 & 228 \\
\hline 1 understanding and based on my field observation those a & 1 shown before show a pretty good coverage. This photo that \\
\hline 2 spaced 6 foot on center in a single row. & 2 you show on the lower right is about 11 years' worth of \\
\hline 3 MR. MAGAN: I can tell you when they were planted, & 3 growth. Do you agree that the coverage would not be as \\
\hline 4 they were planted in alternate rows and the deer ate them up & 4 immediate and long-lasting and maintenance free and effective \\
\hline 5 and they ended up going and back and putting in a deer fence & 5 as a 10 foot high stone wall? \\
\hline 6 in between the trees. The other thing that you didn't point & 6 MR. PARK: I think we're comparing apples and \\
\hline 7 out but I think we should be aware of is that that one of the & 7 oranges and you know, as far as a screen I believe that this \\
\hline 8 main reasons that the deer don't continue to eat those is & 8 material as spaced, 6 foot on center will be touching on day \\
\hline 9 because they have, I think a 6 or 8 foot iron fence right & 9 1. You're right that they would have -- there would be some \\
\hline 10 behind the row of arborvitae so the deer don't have a path to & 10 period of growth which we illustrated on how they would grow \\
\hline 11 get through and have a very difficult time getting to the & 11 together. But you know, as far as an effective screen, a \\
\hline 12 rear side. & 12 visual barrier, absolutely I believe this will achieve that \\
\hline 13 Are you also aware that those plants were planted & 13 visual barrier and Ithink this will be more compatible than \\
\hline 14 in 2011 nearly 11 years ago? & 14 a 10 foot stone wall. \\
\hline 15 MR. PARKS: I guess I'll address of the comment & 15 MR. MAGAN: And that's really all I had. Thank \\
\hline 16 about the fencing in the deer. So as far as I understand the & 16 you. \\
\hline 17 giant green arborvitae there is probably one of the most deer & 17 MR. PARK: Thank you, sir. \\
\hline 18 resistant plants available and they're very popular for that & 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. Park, or maybe I \\
\hline 19 region for that reason & 19 should ask Ms. Harris. Is there a language that we can \\
\hline 20 MR. MAGAN: (inaudible) true & 20 impose as a condition of the special exception that the \\
\hline 21 MR. PARKS: Right. And there is space behind that & 21 homeowner's association will maintain and replace as \\
\hline 22 fence out there so if the deer wanted to they could go & 22 necessary the landscaping? \\
\hline 23 through there. But you know it's kind of one of those things & 23 MS. HARRIS: I think that's fairly standard \\
\hline 24 where, in my opinion, I think these trees will do very well & 24 practice and while I haven't conferred with the Applicant I \\
\hline 25 here as long as they are planted at 6 foot on center. As & 25 think that would be acceptable and one of the things I was \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


I've got you. Now, did you appear at the first hearing?
MR. KLEBASKO: I did not.
MS. HARRIS: HE didn't.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay.
Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury
that the statements you're about to give are the truth, the
whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
MR. KLEBASKO: I do.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Thank you. Go ahead, Ms. Harris.

MS. HARRIS: So let me first comment that Mr.
Klebasko's resume is in the record but I will still
nonetheless walk you through his credentials.
Mr. Klebasko, can you please state your full name
and primary occupation for the record?
MR. KLEBASKO: Yes. Michael Klebasko environmental consultant

MS. HARRIS: And can you describe your
professional and educational background?
MR. KLEBASKO: Yes. I have a degree in biology
from St. Mary's College of Maryland. I have a Masters degree
from the University of the Maryland College Park in the
Marine Estuary and Environmental Sciences.
I worked for two years as a biologist for the U.S.
Fish and Wildlife Service at Annapolis as an environmental
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consultant for the last 29 years.
MS. HARRIS: So (inaudible) you been in the in environmental science field?

MR. KLEBASKO: About 31 years.
MS. HARRIS: And who is a current employer and that can you please provide the address?

MR. KLEBASKO: Wetland Studies and Solutions Inc., 1131 Benfield Boulevard, Suite L, Millersville, Maryland 21108.

MS. HARRIS: What is your current position and -I'm sorry. What is your current position and responsibilities?

MR. KLEBASKO: I'm manager of the Maryland 14 environmental sciences section in the Maryland office. In 15 addition to performing wetland delineation and natural 16 resource inventories I also work in obtaining environmental 17 permits and for providing expert testimony at federal, state, 18 and local hearings. I also manage three environmental 9 scientists.
20 MS. HARRIS: And do you have any licenses or 21 certificates?
22 MR. KLEBASKO: Yes. I'm a certified wetland 23 delineators by the Corps of Engineers. I'm a designated 24 professional wetlands scientists by the Society of Wetland
25 Scientists, and a qualified professional per the State Forest
```

Conservation Act.
MS. HARRIS: The Montgomery County Office of
Zoning and hearing -- Administrative Hearings or another
comparable administrative body?
MR. KLEBASKO: Yes.
MS. HARRIS: And were you qualified as an expert
in the field of environmental science for that testimony?
MR. KLEBASKO: I was.
MS. HARRIS: And I would like to qualify -- I
would like to offer Mr. Klebasko as an expert in the field of
environmental science.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Mr. Brown, do
you have an objection?
MR. BROWN: No objection.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. He is so
qualified.
MS. HARRIS: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Go ahead.
MS. HARRIS: Okay. So first, can you please
explain what you are asked to do with respect to this matter?
MR. KLEBASKO: Yes. There's a portion of the
existing gym structure that is partially located within the
stream valley buffer on the site. I understand that there
was testimony given previously that leaving the building in
place was -- would negatively impact the existing stream and

```
it should be removed. I was asked to evaluate the existing
conditions and to assess the impact of retaining the building
as well as to provide potential mitigation strategies and
options.
    MS. HARRIS: And was that gym structure a part of
(inaudible) building permit?
    MR. KLEBASKO: It was. And to partially mitigate
that impact the county required the establishment of a 1.13
acre forest conservation easement along the northern property
line.
11 MS. HARRIS: And how common is it for the county
ever, except maybe in this instance, allow for impervious
areas to be located within the stream valley buffer?
    MR. KLEBASKO: Well, the county's general position
is that impervious areas should be outside of the stream
valley buffer, but I don't think it's a legal requirement and
exceptions certainly are made. Most particularly for
utilities and other infrastructure. On occasion, they do
allow encroachments of four structures. This site being an
example of one years ago, when it was originally permitted.
    You know, they required some forest conservation
and in other cases they probably required tree planting to
help offset or mitigate the impacts impervious in the stream
valley buffer. In this case the difference is the building
is existing. And that clearly proves that they are -- they
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1 can be allowed.
. MS HARR
MS. HARRIS: And so given that this was
conducted -- I mean constructed for someone to have validly
issued a permit, are you surprised that this is even a topic
of discussion at this point?
    MR. KLEBASKO: I am surprised. The building is
existing. It's there by right.
    MS. HARRIS: And is the building located within
the floodplain or is it just within the stream valley buffer,
if you can zero in on where it is located.
    MR. KLEBASKO: Well, the building is located
within approximately 5489 square feet of the building are
located in the stream valley buffer. However, the structure
4 is not located in a 100 year floodplain or the floodplain
15 buffer, and it does not appear to be a flood hazard. There
6 is no evidence and that the presence of the structure has
7 caused the stream to migrate or has in any way negatively
8 impacted the stream.
    The project overall includes a lot of mitigation
0 as well as of the reduction of approximately 61 percent of
existing impervious cover within the stream valley buffer.
That equates to approximately \(1 / 2\) acre of impervious net loss
from the stream valley buffer.
    MS. HARRIS: So if you are going to propose any
    5 mitigation for this existing building is there anything that
comes to mind that you would propose?
    MR. KLEBASKO: Well, as I said, the building
comprises about 5489 square feet of area within the stream
valley buffer and that occupies about .12 percent of the
total stream valley buffer on site. A very, very small
percentage. We worked with the Applicant and suggested an
additional mitigation measure that wasn't originally
proposed, and that was to provide an additional 5489 square
feet of riparian plantings within the existing grass open
10 area between the building and the existing stream. As shown
11 on, I believe it's Exhibit 161, the SVB supplemental planting
12 exhibit, it shows the -- and I don't know, Ms. Harris, are
13 you able to bring that up?
14 MS. HARRIS: Hold on one moment. It's coming.
15 MR. KLEBASKO: Thank you. You can see it?
                    MS. HARRIS: Yes.
                    MR. KLEBASKO: The orange colored areas is the
18 existing impervious or the gym structure in the stream valley
19 buffer. The greenish area immediately to the -- I guess the
20 east of that building, that's north. There it goes. That's
21 the offset that we have recommended they put additional
22 riparian plantings. Those would be woody trees, understory
23 and shrub species throughout the area. That is a mostly open
24 mowed lawn in its current condition. The plants we'd be
25 looking to put in there would be native tree species only,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 237 & 239 \\
\hline 1 such as red maple, sweet gum, various species of oaks, papaw, & 1 MR. KLEBASKO: -- what may be planted there. It's \\
\hline 2 ironwood, northern spice bush and (indiscernible). & 2 not exactly what will be planted there. But I wanted to give \\
\hline 3 MS. HARRIS: And what would be the effect or & 3 an example of the types of species that will be there. \\
\hline 4 impact of such plantings? & 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: The reason I asked -- \\
\hline 5 MR. KLEBASKO: Well, it would be to expand the & 5 \\
\hline 6 existing forest which is along the stream channel to the East & 6 MS. HARRIS: It may have been Mr. Klebasko. \\
\hline 7 to create a larger course to the stream buffer that currently & 7 Because he just muted himself so if it's \\
\hline 8 exists there today. There's a lot of benefits by doing that. & 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Oh. Yeah. Okay. I \\
\hline 9 The trees will root, they will help to stabilize the soil and & 9 don't know whether we need to have specific plan for that \\
\hline 10 prevent future stream bank migration toward the building. & 10 area as part of the conditional use, so let me just mull on \\
\hline 11 They will improve natural infiltration, they'll help loosen & 11 that. But I'm going to turn it over to Mr. Brown and see if \\
\hline 12 the soil and improve infiltration, reduce overland runoff, & 12 he has any questions. \\
\hline 13 and velocity. They will also increase shade and help & 13 MR. BROWN: I must again defer to Ms. Lee on the \\
\hline 14 moderate stream temperatures and keep them cooler. So & 14 environmenta \\
\hline 15 those benefit are a plus to the environment by doing that. & 15 MS. LEE: I just have a couple. You indicated \\
\hline 16 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. And what's the condition & 16 that the current building does not sit in the flood plain \\
\hline 17 of the stream channel? & 17 buffer or the flood plain, but I wonder if you could use -- \\
\hline 18 MR. KLEBASKO: Well, the existing stream channel & 18 using that exhibit there could -- just pan down a bit to the \\
\hline 19 exists a typical pattern of erosion and incision similar to & 19 left hand corner as you're looking at the building now, if \\
\hline 20 other urban streams in this area & 20 you could look at the -- I think you can do it with this \\
\hline 21 MS. HARRIS: Is it a threat to the building? & 21 exhibit and not have to go back to the colored buffers in \\
\hline 22 MR. KLEBASKO: It doesn't appear to be. The gym & 22 Exhibit 74. \\
\hline 23 is located outside the 100 year floodplain and the associated & 23 If you could point out exactly where the flood \\
\hline 24 floodplain buffer. There's at least 20 feet of space minimum & 24 plant buffer is with regard to the corner that has the \\
\hline 25 between the building and the existing streambank. That's a & 25 number -- LOD, the limits of -- there. \\
\hline 238 & 240 \\
\hline 1 lot of area. It's a relatively smaller drainage area at that & 1 So how close would you say that is? Is it inches, \\
\hline 2 point. It would have to move along way to get toward that & 2 or even a foot from the building? \\
\hline 3 building to become a problem. & 3 MR. KLEBASKO: Well, you would have to look at the \\
\hline 4 MS. HARRIS: And then, to conclude (inaudible) the & 4 scale. But if you're referring to the flood plain. Well, it \\
\hline 5 site evaluated the proposal, what's-- what in your -- sorry. & 5 looks very -- oh, you're saying the flood plain buffer. \\
\hline 6 What are you overall professional observations regarding this & 6 MS. LEE: Yes. \\
\hline 7 proposed use as it relates to the stream and the gym building & 7 MR. KLEBASKO: I was looking at the flood plain. \\
\hline 8 within the stream valley buffer? & 8 Its' fairly close. \\
\hline 9 MR. KLEBASKO: Well, I feel that the proposed & 9 MS. LEE: Okay. And then looking at the flood \\
\hline 10 additional plantings as well as the impervious are that's to & 10 plain, (inaudible) oh, excuse me. The flood plain itself if \\
\hline 11 be removed from the stream valley buffer in the other areas & 11 you just go down that line you see the FP. So you can see \\
\hline 12 of the site will improve the stream valley buffer over the & 12 that the flood plain is within the limits of the disturbance \\
\hline 13 existing conditions. And I don't feel that the current & 13 at that point and then -- is that -- is that clear? And I \\
\hline 14 stream bank is moving at a rate that poses a threat to the & 14 just want to confirm that the flood plain is within the \\
\hline 15 existing gym building. & 15 limits of disturbance at that point. \\
\hline 16 MS. HARRIS: Thank you. I have no other questions & 16 MR. KLEBASKO: Yeah, it's in the limits of the \\
\hline 17 for Mr. Klebasko. & 17 flood plain so that they remove the existing parking lot, \\
\hline 18 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: All right. Do you have & 18 which is an environmental benefit. \\
\hline 19 an exhibit showing exactly what's going to be -- I can't read & 19 MS. LEE: Okay. And then further down on the \\
\hline 20 the exhibit on the screen. Does that show - & 20 same, on the same map, just a little bit down if you can just \\
\hline 21 MR. KLEBASKO: Yes. That lists all the various & 21 scroll down a little bit, following the flood plain is it \\
\hline 22 and the overstory, the understory and shrubs. And I just & 22 also the case that the limits of disturbance include the \\
\hline 23 want to mention that that's a native list of plants that is & 23 flood plain a bit further down? So you'll see as you follow \\
\hline 24 typical of -- & 24 down here and you go across the -- I think it's going to be \\
\hline 25 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Could be. & 25 some sort of a pathway or a trail, so would you confirm that \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 241 & 243 \\
\hline in fact the limits of the flood plain -- the limits of & 1 Manchkin. He hasn't appeared as a witness yet, but he's the \\
\hline 2 disturbance are within the flood plain? & 2 person whose been doing the resource inventory. So he did -- \\
\hline 3 MR. KLEBASKO: Yes, I also confirmed that's the & 3 MS. HARRIS: Wait. Wait. That's a non-- \\
\hline 4 existing driveway to get into the site, which I believe is & 4 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: I \\
\hline 5 proposed to be removed. That's why it's within the limits of & 5 MS. HARRIS: (inaudible) on that. \\
\hline 6 disturbance of the flood plain. Again, as an environmental & 6 MS. LEE: Excuse me. I just wanted to know -- so \\
\hline 7 improvement by removing existing impervious cover. & 7 you haven't done anything with regard to delineation of the \\
\hline 8 MS. LEE: Okay. And then, I wonder if you could & 8 wetlands buffer or worked with him in any way on the -- those \\
\hline up and I'm sorry that I can't help you do it, but it's & 9 documents that he's presented to us? \\
\hline 10 Exhibit 94G. & 10 MR. KLEBASKO: I had no involvement with the \\
\hline 11 So these -- I just want to confirm is this a & 11 preparation of the NRI plan. \\
\hline 12 condition that you -- you indicated you've been down there & 12 MS. LEE: Okay. Okay. That's it. And then, I \\
\hline 13 recently. Is this a condition that you found as well with & 13 think just one -- just so the last question is that based on \\
\hline 14 regard to the stream and how close it is to the building? & 14 your analysis of it you've made the determination that the \\
\hline 15 MR. KLEBASKO: Yes. That looks -- & 15 stream channel is relatively stable and does not appear to \\
\hline 16 MS. LEE: Okay. And maybe go down just a little. & 16 pose a risk for the gymbuilding foundation for the \\
\hline 17 MR. KLEBASKO: -- relative to what I saw. & 17 foreseeable future. And I wonder if you further define what \\
\hline 18 MS. LEE: Yeah, and this is the condition of the & 18 you, as a technical person, defines as the foreseeable \\
\hline 19 stream as it goes? Yeah, that's it. And so -- and then & 19 future? \\
\hline 20 those are the wetlands. So just to go back -- well one -- so & 20 MR. KLEBASKO: Well, for me foreseeable is 20 plus \\
\hline 21 this is the gymnasium. I'm sorry. Thank you so much for & 21 years. \\
\hline 22 & 22 MS. LEE: Okay. And so it's -- so it's to last 20 \\
\hline 23 So those are the wetlands. Did you do any & 23 years? \\
\hline 24 analysis with regard to the non-titled wetlands and the & 24 MR. KLEBASKO: I don't see that stream migrating \\
\hline 25 buffer there? & 25 to that building in the next 20 years or beyond. I'll -- \\
\hline 242 & 244 \\
\hline MS. HARRIS: And I'm going to object to that & 1 it's going to take a long while, if it ever moves over as far \\
\hline because that is outside the scope of what he testified to. & 2 as the building. It's got a long way to go. \\
\hline MS. LEE: Okay. & 3 MS. LEE: How far would you say it is from the \\
\hline MS. HARRIS: He did not say anything about that. & 4 building right now? \\
\hline MS. LEE: So he did not. He did not. Okay. He & 5 MR. KLEBASKO: I believe it testified it was about \\
\hline didn't do anything with regard to the wetlands. & 620 feet minimum right now. \\
\hline And so just looking at the -- & 7 MS. LEE: Thank you, that's all I have. \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Well, wait. Wait. & 8 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Okay. Any other \\
\hline MS. LEE: Oh, excuse me. & 9 questions? \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Mr. Klebasko, did you & 10 MS. HARRIS: No. \\
\hline 11 look at the wetlands buffer and the wetlands? & 11 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Hearing none, Ms. \\
\hline 12 MR. KLEBASKO: Which wetlands? Where are we & 12 Harris, do you have any questions on redirect? \\
\hline 13 talking about? There are no wetlands in that photo. & 13 MS. HARRIS: I do not. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. \\
\hline 14 MS. LEE: The photo before, sorry. Sorry. Just & 14 Klebasko. \\
\hline ear that -- & 15 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: Thank you Mr. Klebasko. \\
\hline 16 MR. KLEBASKO: I walked down and saw that area, & 16 MR. KLEBASKO: Thank you. \\
\hline 17 yes. & 17 HEARING EXAMINER ROBESON: You may be excused. \\
\hline 18 MS. LEE: But you didn't make any -- did you make & 18 So what we will do is adjourn this hearing to -- \\
\hline 19 any conclusions with regard to the -- & 19 or continue this hearing, not adjourn this hearing, to \\
\hline 20 MS. HARRIS: Objection. & 20 tomorrow, June 1st at 9:30. And we will begin with the \\
\hline 21 Mr. KLEBASKO: -- wetlands? & 21 evidence of those in opposition to this -- the case and we \\
\hline 22 MR. KLEBASKO: There was no reason for me to make & 22 will follow with Ms. Harris's rebuttal and the opposition may \\
\hline clusion other than when I was there I noticed it was -- & 23 testify on the traffic report or if they have comments or \\
\hline 24 they are dry. & 24 concerns of the rebuttal. \\
\hline 25 MS. LEE: And so Mr. -- I think his name is & 25 With that, we're going to adjourn. I will try to \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Conducted on May 31, 2022
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 245 & 247 \\
\hline send these exhibits off to Planning. And I will -- I will go & 1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER \\
\hline back and check what they just sent me on traffic and make & 2 I, Molly Bugher, do hereby certify that the \\
\hline re everybody has been copies. & 3 foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the \\
\hline MR. BROWN: Ms. Robeson, one -- & 4 recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER ROBERSON: Okay. With that -- & 5 to the best of my ability from the audio recording as \\
\hline MR. BROWN: -- question. & 6 provided; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER ROBERSON: Yes? & 7 employed by and of the parties to this case and have no \\
\hline MR. BROWN: A quick question. & 8 interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome. \\
\hline MS. HARRIS: And I have a quick question as well. & 9 \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER ROBERSON: Yeah. & 10 Melly Buatur \\
\hline MR. BROWN: What do you envision by way of closing & 11 Hy \\
\hline ument? And who gets to say what when? & 12 Molly Bugher, CDLT-161 \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER ROBERSON: Well -- & 13 Date: June 9, 2022 \\
\hline MS. HARRIS: Believe it or not, that was going to & 14 \\
\hline my question, so Mr. Brown and I are on the same page. & 15 \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER ROBERSON: Okay. What we're & 16 \\
\hline ing to do is Ms. Harris gets the first closing, Mr. Brown & 17 \\
\hline get your closing and then Ms. Harris gets a rebuttal. I & 18 \\
\hline -- I don't know how long I hadn't thought of assigning & 19 \\
\hline es. Usually they're about 15 to 20 minutes, the two sides & 20 \\
\hline then rebuttal is shorter. If you want more than that you & 21 \\
\hline let me know. & 22 \\
\hline MR. BROWN: Sounds about right. & 23 \\
\hline HEARING EXAMINER ROBERSON: Okay. So with that we & 24 \\
\hline will continue this case to tomorrow, June 1st with the same & 25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
link that's on our website.
Thank you very much.
MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Bye.
MS. LEE: And the time? Was it 9:00?
(Off the record at 5:12 p.m.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline A & 36:11, 103:1, & 183:25, 210:10, & 134:23, 150:25, \\
\hline ability & 127:13, 129:18, & 210:23, 222:9 & 152:4, 152:9, \\
\hline 50:7, 194:1, & 136:25, 156:20, & across & 178:21, 187:20, \\
\hline 247:5 & 198:25 & 65:11, 88:5, & 190:2, 204:13, \\
\hline able & accommodated & 147:12, 150:8, & 204:17, 217:14, \\
\hline 21:2, 37:6, & 31:1 & 240:24 & 218:4, 223:10, \\
\hline 51:6, 55:2, & accommodating & act & 225:13, 229:2 \\
\hline 58:12, 99:2, & 33:22 & 233:1 & ada \\
\hline 108:25, 112:17, & accommodations & actions & 11:11, 11:19, \\
\hline 119:6, 131:2, & 102:17 & 131:25 & 11:20 \\
\hline 133:25, 156:20, & accordance & active & add \\
\hline 158:24, 161:6, & 70:6 & 16:24 & 20:18, 32:23, \\
\hline 164:11, 166:15, & according & activities & 32:24, 36:13, \\
\hline 168:23, 169:22, & 103:9, 111:18 & 190:10 & 55:24, 100:22, \\
\hline 170:6, 170:14, & accordion & activity & 116:5, 135:9, \\
\hline 190:25, 205:14, & 54:1, 54:12 & 28:25, 44:15, & 159:8, 216:25, \\
\hline 211:19, 224:22, & account & 62:20, 63:6, & 229:17 \\
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221:22
``` & ```
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219:8, 234:25
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close
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145:19, 156:12,
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40:18, 82:17,
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code
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84:8, 84:12,
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``` & ```
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17:5, 17:19,
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53:13, 63:25
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154:2
collectively
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college
231:21, 231:22
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185:12
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combination
35:6, 75:11,
191:11
combine
52:24
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17:2, 27:1,
46:6, 65:25,
72:8, 75:2,
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171:12, 221:16,
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comfort
11:11, 11:13,
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
comply 140:20, 213:14 component 75: 6 \\
components 169:4 \\
comports 159:15 composite 105:3, 105:5 comprises 236:3 computation 171:16 compute 171:25 computed 172:2, 172:9 concealed 106:25 conceivably 60:13 concentrating 135:3 \\
concentration 154:10 concentrations 131:13, 131:14 concept
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 135: 5, \quad 145: 14, \\
& 179: 24, \quad 180: 5, \\
& 180: 19, \\
& 181: 22, \\
& 195: 11 \\
& \text { concern } \\
& 42: 20, \\
& 63: 15, \\
& 63: 23, \\
& 1455: 135: 22, \\
& 153: 150: 13, \\
& 180: 18, \\
& 157: 4, \\
& 205: 24, \\
& 209: 207
\end{aligned}
\] \\
concerned
\[
22: 12
\] \\
concerns
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 8: 24, \quad 17: 1, \\
& 22: 17, \quad 32: 2, \\
& 45: 17, \quad 111: 11, \\
& 211: 17, \quad 244: 24
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline conclude & 18:20, 18:21, & 61:25 & consistent \\
\hline 36:4, 36:16, & 42:11, 45:19, & connection & 14:24, 73:4, \\
\hline 56:19, 216:19, & 78:3, 203:14, & 8:14, 30:15, & 75:4 \\
\hline 238:4 & 229:2, 234:2, & 33:17, 47:21, & construct \\
\hline concluded & 238:13 & 70:7, 70:9, & 45:3, 66:15 \\
\hline 26:12, 30:25, & condominium & 185:22, 208:1 & constructed \\
\hline 135:21 & 125:3 & conservation & 67:8, 67:24, \\
\hline concludes & conduct & 184:1, 184:6, & 70:11, 70:13, \\
\hline 217:5 & 85:15 & 189:20, 193:19, & 70:14, 174:20, \\
\hline conclusion & conducted & 193:24, 194:14, & 194:6, 235:3 \\
\hline 51:13, 64:18, & 16:25, 19:8, & 197:17, 200:17, & construction \\
\hline 86:18, 97:23, & 86:11, 207:17, & 203:18, 219:14, & 44:19, 66:17, \\
\hline 133:10, 159:13, & 235:3 & 220:8, 233:1, & 66:19, 66:22, \\
\hline 160:7, 242:23 & confer & 234:9, 234:21 & 67:1, 67:2, \\
\hline conclusions & 189:4 & conservative & 67:6, 67:13, \\
\hline 38:8, 242:19 & conferred & 19:9, 19:22, & 68:1, 68:12, \\
\hline concurs & 228:24 & 20:25, 53:5, & 68:16, 69:18, \\
\hline 219:13 & configuration & 56:9, 104:6 & 69:21, 70:19, \\
\hline condition & 147:22 & consider & 72:20, 73:6, \\
\hline 16:1, 16:8, & configurations & 5:16, 20:9, & 90:10, 110:10, \\
\hline 16:9, 16:20, & 164:21 & 23:22, 34:22, & 185:23, 193:2, \\
\hline \(33: 16,43: 15\), & confirm & 81:23, 82:25, & 195:2, 195:7, \\
\hline 43:16, 62:11, & 8:20, 34:11, & 89:5, 90:21, & 195:14, 199:18, \\
\hline 77:7, 77:22, & 74:24, 240:14, & 91:4, 91:7, & 203:3 \\
\hline 78:9, 78:24, & 240:25, 241:11 & 93:2, 93:4, & consult \\
\hline 94:23, 209:15, & confirmed & 118:12, 124:10, & 68:15 \\
\hline 209:18, 219:23, & 206:20, 241:3 & 131:11, 147:14, & consultant \\
\hline 221:9, 221:10, & confirms & 180:14, 203:15 & 111:9, 114:3, \\
\hline 221:11, 221:17, & 19:5 & considerably & 211:15, 231:17, \\
\hline 222:12, 227:1, & conflicts & 136:11, 137:23, & 232:1 \\
\hline 228:20, 229:11, & 61:23, 62:10 & \[
166: 15
\] & consultants \\
\hline 229:13, 236:24, & conformance & consideration & \[
216: 23
\] \\
\hline 237:16, 241:12, & 159:9, 197:25 & \[
43: 2,45: 1,
\] & consultation \\
\hline 241:13, 241:18 & confused & 50:7, 92:16, & 10:14, 14:12, \\
\hline conditional & 107:7, 142:12 & 92:17, 122:21, & 44:20 \\
\hline 7:21, 8:2, 8:7, & confusing & 198:22, 205:25, & consulted \\
\hline 8:15, 30:16, & \[
184: 18
\] & \[
222: 13
\] & 181:15 \\
\hline 73:18, 104:8, & congestion & considerations & consulting \\
\hline 140:12, 145:10, & 13:22, 13:24, & 97:5 & 211:14 \\
\hline 145:11, 153:10, & 14:2, 19:2, & considered & contacted \\
\hline 153:12, 167:18, & 19:10, 33:3, & 42:6, 92:11, & 46:2, 198:7, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 182: 22, ~ 198: 21, \\
& 208: 2, ~ 213: 9,
\end{aligned}
\] & 36:9, 49:14, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 96: 7,119: 7, \\
& 123: 17,124: 7,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
198: 13
\] \\
\hline \(208: 2, ~ 213: 9\),
\(213: 19, ~ 239: 10\) & 64:9
congregant & \(\begin{array}{lll}123: 17, ~ & 124: 7 \\ 181: 20, & 202: 11\end{array}\) & contained
\[
70: 12, \quad 101: 4,
\] \\
\hline conditioned & \[
161: 3,161: 16
\] & considers & \[
126: 25,128: 6
\] \\
\hline 117:9, 117:10, & congregation & \[
213: 17
\] & contemplated \\
\hline |17:20 & \[
11: 3,22: 8,
\] & consist
207:9 & 208:18 \\
\hline conditions & \[
31: 11, \quad 31: 13,
\] & 207:9 & context \\
\hline 16:11, 17:15, & & & 80:24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline continually & conversely & 200:22, 204:24, & couldn't \\
\hline 227:13 & 75:13 & 204:25, 207:6, & 69:10, 88:22, \\
\hline continue & conveys & 209:7, 210:17, & 144:18 \\
\hline 19:1, 31:4, & 135:8 & 211:3, 211:4, & council \\
\hline 33:2, 64:9, & cook & 218:12, 218:25, & 132:10, 138:18, \\
\hline 73:7, 104:11, & 3:6, 74:9 & 221:20, 222:3, & 155:2 \\
\hline 161:2, 163:11, & cooler & 222:9, 247:3 & council's \\
\hline 192:8, 195:11, & 237:14 & correctly & 128:19 \\
\hline 226:8, 230:14, & coordinate & 40:16, 41:2, & counsel \\
\hline 244:19, 245:25 & 43:25 & 144:2 & 132:4, 132:7, \\
\hline continued & coordinated & correspondence & 132:8, 247:6 \\
\hline 214:11 & 186:23 & 206:2 & count \\
\hline continues & copies & corridor & 17:21, 63:10, \\
\hline 213:1 & 245:3 & 32:9 & 168:20 \\
\hline continuing & copy & corridors & counted \\
\hline 17:9, 130:20 & 166:9, 224:15 & 154:11, 154:13 & 214:8, 214:21 \\
\hline continuous & corner & cottage & counter \\
\hline 205:19 & 152:9, 152:13, & 66:18, 66:25, & 93:17, 95:23 \\
\hline contract & 152:15, 204:8, & 67:3, 67:24, & counties \\
\hline 227:21 & 239:19, 239:24 & 68:9, 68:14, & \[
85: 14
\] \\
\hline contractor & corporations & 70:9, 71:1, & country \\
\hline 207:21, 225:17, & 46:2, 46:22 & 100:5, 100:6, & \[
65: 11, \quad 92: 6
\] \\
\hline 227:21 & corps & 100:13, 101:4, & counts \\
\hline contrary & 232:23 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 101: 7, \quad 101: 22, \\
& 102: 12, \quad 102: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
12: 15, \quad 12: 17,
\] \\
\hline 135:15 & correct & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 102: 12, ~ 102: 13, \\
& 103: 4,104: 7,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
12: 21, \quad 12: 22,
\] \\
\hline contribute & \[
6: 17, \quad 20: 1
\] &  & \[
13: 1,13: 2,
\] \\
\hline 27:17 & \[
21: 9,39: 8,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
104: 13, & 104: 14, \\
109: 10 . & 115: 3
\end{array}
\] & \[
13: 7, \quad 13: 12,
\] \\
\hline contributed & \[
39: 9, \quad 40: 6,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
109: 10, & 115: 3, \\
116: 18, & 118: 13
\end{array}
\] & \[
13: 15,13: 16,
\] \\
\hline \[
129: 10
\] & \[
43: 8,49: 24
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 116: 18, \quad 118: 13, \\
& 141: 4, \quad 167: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
16: 16, \quad 16: 25,
\] \\
\hline contribution
\[
70: 8
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 51: 4, \quad 61: 12, \\
& 63: 21, \\
& 65: 7,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 141: 4,167: 2, \\
& 170: 25,171: 8,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 17: 4, \quad 17: 12, \\
& 17: 18, \quad 17: 19
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline control & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
63: 21, & 65: 7, \\
76: 23, & 76: 24,
\end{array}
\] & \[
171: 11,198: 2
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
17: 18, & 17: 19, \\
17: 22, & 17: 24,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 27:23, 28:18, & 78:11, 78:12, & cottages
\[
8: 9.18: 2
\] & \[
18: 22, \quad 18: 24,
\] \\
\hline \[
77: 25, \quad 78: 11,
\]
\[
178 \cdot 17.80 \cdot 13
\] & \[
78: 13, \quad 78: 18,
\] & \[
66: 23,67: 2,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 29: 8, \quad 29: 9, \\
& 46: 12, \quad 52: 9,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
78: 17, \quad 80: 13,
\] & \[
87: 12, \quad 87: 16,
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 66: 23, \quad 67: 2, \\
& 67: 6, \quad 68: 3,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
46: 12, & 52: 9, \\
53: 13, & 63: 11
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 80:15, 81:5,
\[
83: 6,86: 21,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 87: 17, \quad 88: 16, \\
& 90: 8, \quad 90: 15,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
68: 13,70: 7
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 53: 13, ~ 63: 11, \\
& 63: 12, ~ 63: 25,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 87:15, 114:6, & 96:9, 110:5, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
70: 18, & 70: 19, \\
70: 20, & 72: 24,
\end{array}
\] & \[
64: 4,64: 5,64: 8
\] \\
\hline 134:24, 142:25, & 119:12, 120:9, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
70: 20, & 72: 24, \\
102: 7, & 106: 11,
\end{array}\right.
\] & county \\
\hline 165:16, 192:23, & 121:3, 121:5, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 102: 7, \quad 106: 11, \\
& 106: 14, \quad 107: 6,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1: 2, \quad 2: 2, \quad 4: 13, \\
& 8: 19, \quad 10: 15,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 195: 13, ~ 196: 20, \\
& 196: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 121: 23, \quad 123: 13, \\
& 130: 9, \quad 134: 16,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
107: 10,110: 4
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8: 19, \quad 10: 15, \\
& 13: 13, \quad 13: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline controlled & 157:22, 165:10, & 111:5, 111:13, & 17:13, 17:14, \\
\hline 27:24, 28:1, & 168:18, 174:23, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
111: 22, & 112: 20, \\
121: 10, & 129: 23 .
\end{array}
\] & 21:23, 23:21, \\
\hline 81:19, 84:15, & 183:9, 185:14, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
121: 10, & 129: 23, \\
138: 12, & 141: 3,
\end{array}
\] & \[
28: 5,28: 10,
\] \\
\hline 183:1 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
185: 15, & 186: 17, \\
186: 18 . & 192: 22
\end{array}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 138: 12, \quad 141: 3, \\
& 151: 8, \quad 169: 4,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 28: 14, \quad 35: 23, \\
& 39 \cdot 8
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline controls & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 186: 18, \quad 192: 22, \\
& 193: 1, \quad 198: 16,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
195: 3
\] & \[
39: 8,44: 6,
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline  & ```
209:1, 227:16,
228:1, 228:3
covered
100:21, 107:24,
107:25, 113:2,
113:5, 172:3
covering
65:3, 151:5
covid
17:8
cr
213:11
crash
21:17, 21:22,
21:24, 22:9,
22:13, 22:23,
22:25
crashes
22:2, 22:4,
22:7, 22:18,
22:21, 23:1,
23:2, 32:10,
35:25, 36:1
crawling
209:10
create
30:17, 140:18,
184:9, 209:17,
221:15, 237:7
created
53:25, 184:12,
224:6
creating
131:7, 222:12
credentials
231:13
credit
15:14, 19:14,
20:13, 20:23,
33:13
criteria
30:16, 32:16,
47:19, 73:18,
73:19, 73:21,
155:24, 170:15
critical
14:3, 14:4,
16:11, 21:4,
``` & ```
33:3, 129:17,
188:2, 188:3
cross
5:1, 24:22,
29:4, 40:3,
51:8, 54:21,
54:23, 87:1,
144:17, 144:20,
149:9, 150:1
cross-examination
99:5
cross-examine
5:10, 72:6
cross-examined
98:6
cross-sections
158:23
crosstalk
49:6, 130:6,
158:5, 182:5,
222:15
cryptomeria
199:24, 200:11
crz
199:10, 199:12,
199:16
cu
1:5
cueing
23:25
cultivated
205:4
cumulative
16:10
current
19:8, 24:12,
63:16, 122:17,
127:21, 133:9,
164:24, 167:1,
185:24, 186:8,
186:12, 190:17,
232:5, 232:10,
232:11, 236:24,
238:13, 239:16
currently
31:8, 177:12,
184:25, 214:18,
237:7
``` &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline database & 106:22, 107:10, & 204:4, 214:1, & denoted \\
\hline 91:13 & 107:19, 107:25, & 214:13, 229:14 & 186:15 \\
\hline date & 108:7, 108:8, & degree & dense \\
\hline 127:4, 247:13 & 108:12, 108:15, & 122:18, 167:9, & \[
199: 24,205: 17
\] \\
\hline dated & 108:19, 110:4, & 231:20, 231:21 & density \\
\hline 10:13, 182:4 & 110:19, 175:21 & delay & 134:12, 134:13, \\
\hline david & decking & \[
14: 7, \quad 72: 9
\] & 134:21, 134:24, \\
\hline 2:14, 4:11 & 105: 6 & delineates & 135:3, 135:4, \\
\hline day & decks & 171:4 & 136:5, 136:8, \\
\hline 23:3, 25:25, & 100:19, 107:25, & delineation & 136:12, 136:21, \\
\hline 26:4, 26:6, & 115:24 & 171:7, 189:18, & 137:8, 154:22 \\
\hline 26:16, 26:19, & decline & 232:15, 243:7 & department \\
\hline 31:3, 216:19, & 92:13 & delineators & 129:6, 129:7, \\
\hline 228:8, 230:23 & decorative & 232:23 & 129:8, 179:23, \\
\hline days & 109:8, 112:21 & deliver & 187:18, 187:19, \\
\hline 24:8, 25:21, & dedicated & 73:8, 76:16 & 190:14 \\
\hline 26:6, 46:25, & 135:10, 138:6 & delivered & depend \\
\hline 47:2, 47:15, & deem & 67:17 & \[
96: 19, \quad 117: 19
\] \\
\hline 63:18, 90:3, & 17:15 & deliveries & dependent \\
\hline 161:24 & deemed & 10:6, 34:5, & 81:9 \\
\hline daytime & 13:14, 13:23, & 52: 6 & depending \\
\hline 27:14 & 142:9, 143:14 & delivery & 72:17, 76:8, \\
\hline deadhome & deep & 65:16 & 160:24 \\
\hline 136:2 & 147:23, 186:9 & demand & depends \\
\hline deadline & deeper & \[
68: 2, \quad 131: 3
\] & 141:18 \\
\hline 73:9 & 88:19 & democracy & depicted \\
\hline deal & deer & 11:1, 13:6, & 169:19 \\
\hline 218:24 & 207:18, 207:19, & 19:4, 24:23, & describe \\
\hline dealing & 225:9, 226:4, & 37:12, 40:19, & 8:14, 28:24, \\
\hline 173:16 & 226:5, 226:8, & 49:12, 49:16 & 31:8, 66:13, \\
\hline dealt & 226:10, 226:16, & demographics & 150:6, 158:24, \\
\hline 189:19 & 226:17, 226:22, & 127:21, 132:11, & 173:11, 197:23, \\
\hline dear & 227:5, 227:7, & 133:10 & 214:3, 231:18 \\
\hline 227:6 & 227:13 & demonstrate & described \\
\hline december & defects & 148:12, 156:3, & 68:21, 106:15, \\
\hline 12:21, 24:7, & 34:20 & \[
156: 7, \quad 156: 16
\] & \[
141: 19,178: 2,
\] \\
\hline 24:10 & defer & 199:9 & \[
194: 21
\] \\
\hline decided & \[
239: 13
\] & demonstrated & description
\[
148: 18, \quad 171: 1
\] \\
\hline 19:19, 20:4, & deference
\[
152: 14
\] & 155:22, 176:16 & 148:18, 171:1 descriptions \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 20: 6, \quad 92: 11, \\
& 108: 4, \quad 157: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
152:14 \\
define
\end{tabular} & demonstrates & descriptions
|202:3 \\
\hline 159:2' & 44:7, 243:17 & demonstrating & design \\
\hline deciduous & defined & 155:15 & 97:16, 110:6, \\
\hline 201:23 & 218:4 & den & 118:6, 121:25, \\
\hline decision & defines & 125:14, 125:16 & 122:3, 159:25, \\
\hline 81:17 & \[
243: 18
\] & dennis & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
180: 12, \quad 181: 7
\] \\
designated
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
deck \\
104:1
\end{tabular} & definitely & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3: 8, \quad 99: 23, \\
& 176: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
219: 8,219: 19
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
220:16, 232:23
designed
121:1
designer
111:19, 112:4
desirable
44:24
desire
130:24, 131:22,
194:1, 194:3
desired
66:12
desires
45:4
detached
145:17, 213:10,
213:19
detail
36:18, 98:12,
105:8, 106:13,
155:20, 158:24,
191:11, 193:22,
199:3
detailed
66:11, 118:6,
169:20
details
78:7, 205:6,
218:1
determination
22:10, 42:25,
43:1, 45:2,
182:18, 192:10,
243:14
determine
20:14, 23:1,
33:8, \(44: 19\),
45:25, 46:3,
81:3, 88:20,
88:21, 183:10,
184:12
determined
9:6, 12:9,
15:9, 15:13,
25:25, 45:6,
46:18, 50:2,
50:12, 88:20,
181:18, 184:23,
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
218:2 \\
determining \\
84:5, 91:8 \\
detrimental \\
80:5 \\
develop \\
147:19, 169:1 \\
developable \\
135:6, 184:12 \\
developed \\
133:18, 133:21, \\
147:15, 174:9, \\
174:22, 175:12, \\
183:22, 184:2, \\
184:5, 195:24, \\
214:24, 215:1, \\
216:16 \\
developer \\
171: 6 \\
developing \\
182:20, 184:11 \\
development \\
18:11, 36:11, \\
60:9, 66:16, \\
72:22, 80:5, \\
91:15, 91:20, \\
93:5, 93:6, \\
124:5, 127:19, \\
131:11, 134:25, \\
135:12, 135:13, \\
140:17, 140:22, \\
140:24, 141:2, \\
141:23, 153:17, \\
159:14, 164:23, \\
167:17, 173:8, \\
173:13, 173:16, \\
173:23, 174:4, \\
174:9, 175:5, \\
175:17, 175:22, \\
175:25, 177:1, \\
177:11, 179:25, \\
180:8, 181:13, \\
181:20, 182:24, \\
184:13, 184:15, \\
186:1, 194:22, \\
194:24, 208:8, \\
214:15, 215:8, \\
215:13, 216:1,
\end{tabular} & ```
227:17
developments
14:10, 20:16
devoted
136:1
diagram
116:13, 141:12,
150:8, 169:19,
170:1
dialogue
227:24
die
227:15
differ
176:1
difference
70:18, 86:20,
88:6, 90:1,
96:24, 97:24,
116:2, 122:16,
146:23, 148:5,
234:24
differences
139:12
different
17:7, 17:25,
18:5, 27:19,
28:17, 47:12,
48:7, 51:16,
76:4, 81:9,
84:22, 87:19,
97:4, 101:15,
110:11, 120:3,
120:4, 122:23,
128:8, 132:15,
134:7, 143:17,
155:24, 164:8,
168:12, 195:16,
203:12, 203:13,
224:10
differentiating
80:18
difficult
226:11
difficulty
145:13, 145:15
diffuse
212:16
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
diffuser \\
113: 4 \\
dimensions \\
101:10, 103:14, 103:16 \\
dining
101:25 \\
direct
\[
5: 14,5: 23,
\]
\[
40: 13,88: 3,
\]
\[
98: 6,98: 9,
\]
\[
99: 4,99: 5 \text {, }
\] \\
114:19, 191:12 \\
directed
186:21 \\
direction
\[
10: 24,60: 6 \text {, }
\]
\[
60: 16, \quad 83: 16,
\]
\[
128: 21
\] \\
directions
23:15, 60:4,
\[
60: 5
\] \\
directly \\
38:21, 80:7, \\
80:12, 80:25, \\
88:5, 100:12, \\
102:19 \\
disagree \\
95:12, 115:22, \\
152:22, 154:14 \\
disagreement \\
124:21, 222:16 \\
disagrees \\
224:2 \\
disconnect
190:5 \\
discount
\[
88: 25
\] \\
discourage 78:15 \\
discriminate 65:11 \\
discuss
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 100: 5, \quad 109: 24, \\
& 188: 23, \quad 188: 24, \\
& 194: 13, \\
& 223: 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
discussed
15:5, 132:9,
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 188:3, 192:10, & 196:17, 218:9, & 63:16 & drawn \\
\hline 217:11, 223:9 & 218:15, 218:16 & double & 219:16 \\
\hline discussing & documentation & 75:1 & drive \\
\hline 189:22, 225:4 & 39:18, 131:25, & down & 24:16, 25:6, \\
\hline discussion & 188:22 & 11:3, 25:16, & 26:1, 27:25, \\
\hline 69:14, 180:17, & documents & 25:21, 55:15, & 28:1, 44:8, \\
\hline 208:16, 216:23, & 110:10, 128:25, & 56:13, 59:20, & 75:12, 82:24, \\
\hline 235:5 & 129:2, 159:16, & 69:9, 69:11, & 109:6, 140:3, \\
\hline dishwasher & 189:16, 190:23, & 75:7, 76:7, & 144:17, 144:21, \\
\hline 162:15, 162:16 & 218:6, 243:9 & 76:15, 76:22, & 149:15, 166:14 \\
\hline dismissed & doing & 78:16, 78:24, & driveway \\
\hline 140:19, 178:25 & 60:2, 60:18, & 79:1, 94:12, & 11:2, 11:8, \\
\hline dispatch & 100:20, 102:25, & 107:16, 108:16, & \(31: 10,31: 11\), \\
\hline 162:17, 162:23 & 144:1, 162:24, & 110:25, 114:10, & 31:12, 31:14, \\
\hline disregard & 165:5, 175:20, & 122:16, 122:18, & 31:17, 31:21, \\
\hline 135:7 & 176:24, 200:14, & 123:18, 123:19, & 31:23, 32:6, \\
\hline dissipated & 203:16, 204:17, & 125:22, 131:14, & 35:25, 36:8, \\
\hline 26:10 & 210:3, 221:18, & 151:8, 151:12, & 60:8, 60:9, \\
\hline distance & 237:8, 237:15, & 176:21, 177:2, & 60:10, 60:14, \\
\hline 32:8, 35:24, & 243:2 & 178:3, 197:3, & 61:22, 61:25, \\
\hline 41:4, 41:7, & dollar & 210:2, 239:18, & 62:5, 62:7, \\
\hline 41:12, 62:3, & 91:16 & 240:11, 240:19, & 62:9, 205:13, \\
\hline 62:4, 62:6, & done & 240:20, 240:21, & 215:3, 241:4 \\
\hline 62:9, 138:20, & 21:2, 28:16, & 240:23, 240:24, & driving \\
\hline 170:20 & 45:24, 45:25, & 241:12, 241:16, & 47:9, 47:13, \\
\hline distances & 48:14, 49:1, & 242:16 & 47:14, 49:12, \\
\hline 31:24, 61:16 & 51:1, 52:18, & downlight & 52:4, 205:20 \\
\hline distorting & 63:2, 63:3, & 107:4 & drop \\
\hline 126:8 & 67:7, 88:14, & downlights & 49:17 \\
\hline distributes & 118:6, 118:24, & 112:15 & dry \\
\hline \[
29: 5
\] & 147:11, 168:20, & downsize & 242:24 \\
\hline disturbance & 168:24, 171:15, & 102:13, 130:25 & due \\
\hline \[
190: 22,193: 11,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lr}
177: 3, & 178: 11, \\
212 \cdot 00 & 218 \cdot 8
\end{array}
\] & downsized & \[
8: 21
\] \\
\hline 199:16, 240:12, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
212: 20, & 218: 8, \\
224: 22, & 243: 7
\end{array}
\] & \[
102: 10
\] & dug \\
\hline \[
240: 15,240: 22,
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 224: 22,243: 7 \\
& \text { door }
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { downsizing } \\
\text { 102:22 }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 88: 19 \\
& \text { duplex }
\end{aligned}\right.
\] \\
\hline 241:2, 241:6
disturbances & \[
76: 16,85: 4,
\] & downward & 103:11, 103:24, \\
\hline 199:10, 199:13 & \[
\begin{array}{|ll}
91: 18, & 91: 21, \\
91: 22, & 104: 19,
\end{array}
\] & \[
29: 7, \quad 212: 15
\] & \[
116: 6, \quad 116: 13,
\]
\[
116: 14,119: 22
\] \\
\hline diverse
131:5 & 104:23, 106:17, & 195:12 & \[
119: 24,120: 4,
\] \\
\hline dobbins & 107:12, 107:16, & drainage & 146:4, 176:14 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 140: 3, \quad 149: 15 \\
& 203: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & 111:17 doors & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 180: 16, \quad 238: 1 \\
& \text { dramatic }
\end{aligned}
\] & duplexes
\[
100: 14,100: 15,
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
doctor's \\
51:22
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 110: 22, \quad 112: 22 \\
& \text { dormers }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 148:5 } \\
& \text { drawing }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 165: 9, \quad 165: 20, \\
& 165: 24
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline document & \[
104: 14
\] & \[
150: 7, \quad 150: 15,
\] & during
\[
23 \cdot 3 \quad 25 \cdot 12
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
130: 21, & 163: 8, \\
188: 15, & 188: 21,
\end{array}
\] & \[
10: 16,44: 20
\] & 171:1
drawings & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
23: 3, & 25: 12, \\
27: 7, & 29: 11,
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline emanates & encumbered & 68:5, 72:24, & equate \\
\hline 111:8 & 134:20 & 119:24, 171:20, & 199:17 \\
\hline emanating & end & 172:3, 183:6, & equates \\
\hline 109:1 & 5:3, 27:15, & 195:21 & \[
136: 20, \quad 235: 22
\] \\
\hline embraced & 104:12, 178:15, & entirely & equivalent \\
\hline 128:8 & 207:25 & 5:4, 5:16 & 100:25 \\
\hline emergency & ended & entitled & era \\
\hline 107:12 & 226:5 & 33:13, 40:14, & 17:1 \\
\hline emitting & ends & 129:4, 173:22 & ere \\
\hline 122:10 & 193:19 & entrance & 57:13 \\
\hline emphasize & energy & 43:5, 82:22, & erosion \\
\hline \[
208: 4
\] & \[
122: 17
\] & 112:21, 211:25 & \[
180: 10, \quad 180: 16,
\] \\
\hline employ & enforcement & entry & \[
180: 18, \quad 181: 19,
\] \\
\hline 9:24 & 23:23 & 37:22, 111:16, & 182:9, 182:15, \\
\hline employed & engage & 112:22 & 182:17, 182:22, \\
\hline 247:7 & 204:5, 206:18, & entryway & 195:13, 237:19 \\
\hline employee & 227:20 & 105:1, 106:24, & especially \\
\hline 40:16, 65:7, & engineer & 107:3, 107:5, & 66:23, 78:22, \\
\hline 65:15, 78:3, & 5:14 & 107:6 & 108:22, 108:23, \\
\hline 78:21, 78:23 & engineering & environment & 110:16, 113:21, \\
\hline employee's & 7:10, 7:17, & 76:14, 159:22, & 128:23, 201:10, \\
\hline 78:17 & 181:16, 222:1 & 191:8, 237:15 & 204:2 \\
\hline employees & engineers & environmental & esquire \\
\hline 9:17, 9:20, & 14:24, 52:19, & \[
134: 20,135: 1
\] & \[
2: 7,2: 14
\] \\
\hline 10:4, 27:13, & 232:23 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
135: 11, & 135: 20, \\
180: 12 & 180: 22
\end{array}
\] & essentially \\
\hline 34:4, 36:23, & enormous & 180:12, 180:22, & 10:20, 12:13, \\
\hline 46:4, 47:3, & 190:17 & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
181: 23, & 182: 19, \\
183 \cdot 13, & 183 \cdot 18
\end{array}
\] & \[
24: 9,24: 15
\] \\
\hline 52:5, 57:21, & enough & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
183: 13, & 183: 18, \\
186: 16 . & 189: 4 .
\end{array}
\] & 24:19, 26:24, \\
\hline 57:22, 64:15, & 54:21, 156:20, & \[
186: 16,189: 4,
\] & \[
29: 3,107: 5
\] \\
\hline 64:16, 74:21, & \[
187: 10, \quad 188: 19
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
190: 6, & 190: 14, \\
191: 1, & 191: 7,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 107: 15, \quad 108: 16, \\
& 211: 21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 76:21, 76:22, & ensure & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 191: 1, \quad 191: 7, \\
& 191: 13 .
\end{aligned}
\] & 211:21 \\
\hline 77:9, 77:16, & \[
80: 17, \quad 202: 13
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 191: 13, ~ 192: 22, \\
& 192: 23, ~ 193: 4 .
\end{aligned}
\] & established \\
\hline 77:22, 77:24, & ensures & 192:23, 193:4, & 44:17 \\
\hline \(78: 15, ~ 78: 22, ~\) & \[
79: 1
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
210: 24, & 218: 7, \\
219: 23, & 231: 17,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { establishing } \\
& 227: 3
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 79:1 employer & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ensuring } \\
& \text { 191:8 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 219: 23,231: 17, \\
& 231: 23,231: 25,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
227: 3 \\
\text { establishment }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 76:13, 232:5 & entailed & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 232: 3, \quad 232: 14, \\
& 232: 16, \quad 232: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
234: 8
\] \\
\hline employment & 21:10 & 233:7, 233:11, & \begin{tabular}{l}
estate \\
80.6, 160:2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 47:3, 213:11 enacted & enter
\[
31: 8,109: 6,
\] & \[
239: 14, \quad 240: 18,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 80: 6, \quad 160: 2, \\
& 160: 16, \quad 161: 2
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 128:14 & \[
111: 17
\] & \[
241: 6
\] & estimate \\
\hline encompass & entering & environmentally
|192:17, 221:17 & \[
52: 3, \quad 56: 3
\] \\
\hline 183:6 & \[
52: 3,57: 17,
\] & envision & estimated \\
\hline encourage & 65:13, 65:14, & \[
245: 11
\] & 150:20 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 128: 10 \\
& \text { encouraged }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 82: 23 \\
& \text { entice }
\end{aligned}
\] & envisioning & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { estuary } \\
& \text { 231:23 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 153:14 & 44:7 & \[
5: 2
\] & et \\
\hline encroachments & entire & \[
210: 15
\] & 77:3, 137:6, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & ```
87:24, 128:1,
237:8, 237:19
exit
50:7, 50:9,
50:21, 51:6,
107:12
exiting
51:10, 65:13,
65:14
expand
131:1, 237:5
expanded
22:17, 128:20
expect
30:3, 57:16,
81:17, 82:9,
82:19, 101:23,
101:25, 102:21
expectation
121:1
expectations
83:15, 83:16
expected
38:14, 75:4,
75:12, 131:19
expeditious
7:7
expeditiously
4:16
experience
25:3, 46:24,
47:22, 47:23,
58:8, 83:24,
92:12, 95:15,
102:20, 146:21,
161:5, 183:8
experiencing
73:3
experiment
165:4
expert
7:9, 7:10,
7:16, 59:17,
84:5, 85:17,
86:8, 86:12,
152:21, 232:17,
233:6, 233:10
expertise
98:3
``` & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { explain } \\
& 4: 25, \quad 5: 1, \quad 8: 6, \\
& 21: 9,26: 21, \\
& 37: 2, \quad 63: 21, \\
& 143: 16, \quad 183: 9, \\
& 193: 21, \quad 201: 7, \\
& 214: 24, \quad 215: 17, \\
& 215: 21, \quad 233: 20 \\
& \text { explained } \\
& 64: 19, \quad 130: 1 \\
& \text { explaining } \\
& 36: 18 \\
& \text { explanation } \\
& 88: 21 \\
& \text { explore } \\
& 131: 6, \quad 168: 2 \\
& \text { express } \\
& 222: 16 \\
& \text { expressed } \\
& 63: 15, \quad 153: 23, \\
& 160: 22, \quad 198: 18 \\
& \text { extend } \\
& 183: 14 \\
& \text { extended } \\
& 24: 20, \quad 57: 12, \\
& 84: 3, \quad 183: 6 \\
& \text { extending } \\
& 25: 13 \\
& \text { extends } \\
& 27: 23 \\
& \text { extensive } \\
& 218: 7 \\
& \text { extensively } \\
& 217: 11 \\
& \text { extent } \\
& 45: 25, \quad 180: 12, \\
& 183: 10, \quad 206: 10 \\
& \text { extents } \\
& 221: 25 \\
& \text { exterior } \\
& 100: 19, \quad 105: 3, \\
& 106: 5, \quad 106: 7, \\
& 117: 14, \quad 211: 6, \\
& 212: 20 \\
& \text { extra } \\
& 114: 6 \\
& \text { extraneous } \\
& 184: 3 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline filed & 102:15, 114:19, & fixtures & 162:8, 170:2, \\
\hline 14:15 & 126:14, 134:23, & 106:12, 106:15, & 170:9, 209:2 \\
\hline final & 152:23, 162:8, & 107:2, 107:7, & floors \\
\hline 42:25, 64:11 & 169:9, 179:15, & 107:11, 107:17, & 102:12, 113:7, \\
\hline finally & 182:17, 184:21, & 107:19, 107:23, & 170:3, 170:6 \\
\hline 36:3, 103:11, & 191:25, 194:22, & 108:3, 108:6, & flowed \\
\hline 187:12 & 195:1, 197:24, & 109:3, 109:8, & 25:16 \\
\hline finance & 199:4, 213:13, & 109:9, 109:13, & flung \\
\hline 129:7 & 217:13, 217:15, & 110:5, 110:16, & 85:13 \\
\hline financial & 227:13, 231:1, & 111:12, 111:21, & focus \\
\hline 247:8 & 231:11, 233:19, & 113:19, 211:16, & 81:7, 81:11, \\
\hline financing & 245:17 & 211:18, 211:21, & 84:20, 169:6, \\
\hline 72:17, 72:22 & fish & 212:13, 213:1, & 184:7 \\
\hline find & 231:25 & 214:1, 214:8, & focused \\
\hline 23:14, 25:20, & fit & 214:22 & 24:13, 81:15 \\
\hline 57:4, 104:13, & 120:18 & flex & focuses \\
\hline 108:17, 114:4, & fits & 102:2 & 84:21 \\
\hline 188:4 & 160:1 & flexibility & foliage \\
\hline finding & five & 47:3, 47:8, & \[
113: 25
\] \\
\hline 19:10, 20:22, & 21:22, 22:3, & \[
68: 24, \quad 128: 2,
\] & folks \\
\hline 35:3 & 25:20, 25:23, & 128:14, 215:7 & 27:15, 27:16, \\
\hline findings & 26:6, 46:25, & flip & 46:17, 76:9, \\
\hline 21:10 & 47:2, 60:17, & 210:5 & 76:14, 194:13, \\
\hline finds & 63:18, 98:21, & flood & 218:22 \\
\hline 180:20 & 103:10, 207:11 & 235:15, 239:16, & follow \\
\hline fine & five-mile & 239:17, 239:23, & 39:20, 240:23, \\
\hline 48:6, 62:15, & 46:3 & 240:4, 240:5, & 244:22 \\
\hline 110:2, 155:2, & five-minute & \[
240: 7, \quad 240: 9
\] & follow-up \\
\hline 169:9, 173:2, & \[
178: 17
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
240: 10, & 240: 12, \\
240: 14, & 240: 17
\end{array}
\] & 19:22, 40:12, \\
\hline 189:1, 206:13, & fix & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
240: 14, & 240: 17 \\
240: 21, & 240: 23,
\end{array}\right.
\] & 175:20, 222:21 \\
\hline 217:25 & \[
165: 11
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 240: 21, ~ 240: 23, \\
& 241: 1.241: 2 .
\end{aligned}
\] & following \\
\hline finish & fixture & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 241: 1, \quad 241: 2, \\
& 241: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & 52:12, 68:17, \\
\hline 37:24, 49:7, & 104:19, 104:23, & \begin{tabular}{l}
241: 6 \\
flooding
\end{tabular} & 127:6, 127:25, \\
\hline 68:6, 89:11, & 106:18, 106:21, & \[
\text { | } 180: 11
\] & 182:8, 190:6, \\
\hline \(159: 7,164: 4\),
\(195: 3\) & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
106: 23, & 107: 9, \\
107: 15, & 108: 23
\end{array}
\] & 180:11
floodlights & \[
240: 21
\] \\
\hline finished & 109:4, 109:8, & \[
214: 9
\] & \[
43: 25
\] \\
\hline 49:20, 90:10 & 109:23, 109:25, & floodplain & foot \\
\hline fire & \[
\begin{array}{cc}
110: 1, \quad 110: 3, \\
110 \cdot 11 & 110 \cdot 15
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
184: 8, & 186: 10, \\
235: 9 . & 235: 14
\end{array}
\] & 11:24, 101:21, \\
\hline 187:18, 187:19 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
110: 11, & 110: 15, \\
110: 17, & 110: 19,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 235: 9, \quad 235: 14, \\
& 237: 23, \quad 237: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 139: 8, \quad 141: 5, \\
& 141: 18, \quad 147: 24,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline firm
\(2: 15, ~ 181: 17\) & \[
110: 22,111: 6,
\] & floor & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 141: 18, \quad 147: 24, \\
& 148: 2, \quad 157: 21,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline first & 111:17, 112:1, & 101:6, 101:9, & 166:18, 166:19, \\
\hline 5:23, 36:19, & 112:8, 112:19, & 101:14, 101:24, & 166:23, 170:8, \\
\hline 40:15, 61:1, & 113:2, 113:3, & 102:2, 102:3, & 174:12, 200:1, \\
\hline 66:15, 67:2, & 113:4, 212:6 & 102:15, 102:19, & 200:2, 202:15, \\
\hline 67:10, 67:14, & fixture's & 117:4, 117:25, & 203:20, 204:2, \\
\hline 68:8, 102:2, & 108:18 & 137:19, 162:6, & 204:12, 205:3, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on May 31, 2022


PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on May 31, 2022
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline gap & generated & gist & 26:8, 27:6, \\
\hline 54:11, 54:21 & 19:15, 52:17, & 43:4 & 27:7, 27:18, \\
\hline gaps & 52:22, 57:16, & give & 28:10, 28:14, \\
\hline 53:18, 53:19, & 65:8 & 6:21, 13:4, & 28:24, 29:1, \\
\hline 53:21, 53:22, & generates & 21:24, 29:9, & 29:6, 29:10, \\
\hline 53:25, 54:7, & 12:9, 15:19 & 42:7, 55:6, & \(30: 6,30: 10\), \\
\hline 55:2, 55:7 & generation & 67:21, 71:21, & \(31: 1,31: 25\), \\
\hline garage & 8:22, 9:22, & 97:22, 111:9, & \(32: 3,32: 6\), \\
\hline 104:19, 104:23, & 10:1, 10:2, & 111:20, 189:5, & \(32: 8,34: 12\), \\
\hline 106:17, 110:22, & 10:22, 10:23, & 202:23, 205:24, & 35:24, 36:20, \\
\hline 117:16, 118:1, & 11:16, 11:23, & 223:12, 227:23, & 42:1, 42:5, \\
\hline 120:14, 120:15, & 12:7, 14:22, & 231:6, 239:2 & 42:15, 42:16, \\
\hline 120:19 & 14:24, 15:7, & given & 42:21, 43:11, \\
\hline garages & 17:25, 18:5, & 28:7, 61:2, & 48:17, 49:15, \\
\hline 109:10, 112:20, & 18:14, 21:6, & 66:24, 76:17, & 50:4, 50:24, \\
\hline 117:25, 120:22, & 56:2, 56:8, & 111:10, 135:22, & 51:15, 54:11, \\
\hline 123:10 & 57:21, 59:13, & 147:23, 177:7, & 54:18, 55:3, \\
\hline garden & 64:20 & 198:1, 219:24, & 55:21, 57:2, \\
\hline 7:20 & generations & 233:24, 235:2 & 57: 6, 60:4, \\
\hline gardens & 59:3 & giving & 62:8, 63:8, \\
\hline 1:5, 4:3, & generator & 84:1, 157:1, & 63:13, 67:4, \\
\hline 27:11, 138:24 & 32:8, 32:22, & 157:3 & 76:22, 77:23, \\
\hline gary & 35:18, 51:10 & glad & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 78: 16, \quad 78: 24, \\
& 79: 2 . \quad 92: 1 .
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 24:20, 25:13, & gentleman & 38:23, 178:14 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 79: 2, \quad 92: 1, \\
& 104: 1, \quad 104: 3,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 25:17, 50:3 & 187:1, 189:22 & glance & 104:1, 104:3,
\[
116: 9,139: 17
\] \\
\hline gather & gently & 139:14 & \[
139: 18,139: 19,
\] \\
\hline 169:12 & 212:8 & glare
\(110.25,111.12\) & \[
139: 20,150: 2,
\] \\
\hline gave
181:14 & geo-structural
181:16 & \(110: 25,111: 12\),
\(114: 3,114: 6\), & 150:7, 150:10, \\
\hline general & geographically & 114:9, 122:16, & 167:24, 187:14, \\
\hline 14:14, 47:18, & 219:15 & 212:16 & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 187: 15, \quad 204: 8, \\
& 225: 13
\end{aligned}\right.
\] \\
\hline 50:17, 51:5, & geometry & glass & globe \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{ll}51: 22, & 86: 17, \\ 100: 24, & 159: 9,\end{array}\) & 221:25 & \(\begin{array}{ll}112: 23, & 113: 18, \\ 122: 14, & 122: 17,\end{array}\) & \[
110: 24,112: 23
\] \\
\hline 100:24, 159:9, & george's & 122:14, 122:17, & glow \\
\hline 234:14 & 17:14, 46:10 & 122:18 & \[
110: 25
\] \\
\hline generalizations
\[
88: 12
\] & georgia & glazing & goal \\
\hline 88:12 & 125:17 & glen & 133:8, 133:14, \\
\hline 87:23 & 181:16, 181:20 & 4:12, 11:2, & 180:7, 184:18 \\
\hline generally & getting & 11:6, 13:6, & goals \\
\hline 9:24, 25:11, & 17:8, 94:20, & \(13: 8, ~ 13: 10\),
\(17: 5,17: 22\), & \begin{tabular}{l}
159:15 \\
goes
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 25:16, 26:11, & 95:11, 161:15, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
17: 5, & 17: 22, \\
22: 9 . & 22: 22
\end{array}
\] & \[
42: 24,47: 4
\] \\
\hline 28:24, 44:16, & 169:14, 224:15, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
22: 9, & 22: 22, \\
23: 9, & 23: 15,
\end{array}
\] & \[
107: 16,109: 5,
\] \\
\hline 81:9, 83:4, & 226:11 & \(\begin{array}{ll}23: 9, & 23: 15, \\ 23: 23, & 23: 25,\end{array}\) & 144:23, 173:8, \\
\hline 83:19, 91:10, & giant & \[
24: 2,24: 3,
\] & \[
173: 22,221: 12,
\] \\
\hline 92:17, 101:1,
127:3 & 199:23, 205:3, & \(24: 2,24: 3\),
\(24: 13, ~ 24: 14\), & 236:20, 241:19 \\
\hline generate & gis & 25:5, 25:16, & gone \\
\hline 15:9, 18:12 & 21:25, 100:20 & & 98:12, 124:13, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
134:10, 155:19 good \\
36:17, 40:11, \\
69:1, 76:13, \\
83:5, 85:10, \\
93:20, 95:16, \\
107:3, 107:4, \\
168:6, 178:25, \\
204:3, 210:24, \\
227:3, 228:1 \\
gosh \\
144:13 \\
gotcha \\
222:18 \\
gotten \\
184:18 \\
gov \\
71:25 \\
grab \\
142:24 \\
grade
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 103: 25, \quad 104: 1, \\
& 149: 20, \quad 152: 7, \\
& \text { 208:12 } \\
& \text { grading } \\
& \text { 66:19, } 104: 4, \\
& 190: 11, \quad 194: 25, \\
& 195: 14, \quad 208: 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
grandchildren \\
120:25 \\
grandkids \\
102:24 \\
graphics \\
151:19 \\
grass
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 208: 25, \quad 218: 23, \\
& 220: 2, \quad 222: 14, \\
& 236: 9 \\
& \text { gray } \\
& 213: 6 \\
& \text { great } \\
& 100: 10,179: 13, \\
& 198: 22,205: 9, \\
& 225: 21 \\
& \text { greater } \\
& 4: 12,131: 7, \\
& 133: 24,150: 13, \\
& 184: 1,184: 11,
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
187:20, 198:2 greatest \\
131:18 \\
green \\
24:25, 28:3, 28:12, 28:16, 137:8, 137:13, 137:25, 138:2, 138:3, 138:5, 181:10, 184:5, 184:11, 184:22, 193:18, 193:19, 195:8, 199:23, 200:10, 200:21, 201:17, 202:25, 204:20, 205:3, 210:14, 226:17 greenish
\[
236: 19
\] \\
grew \\
134:1 \\
grocery \\
51:21 \\
gross \\
101:6, 135:16 grosvenor \\
85:8, 88:3, 91:19 ground 112:11, 151:9, 209:10 group 23:17, 87:15, 131:22, 132:24, 163:15, 163:17, 224:13 \\
grouped 69:22 \\
grow
\[
170: 9,203: 6
\]
\[
205: 1, \quad 228: 10
\] \\
growing
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
129: 16, & 131: 2, \\
156: 17, & 159: 17, \\
160: 14, & 160: 15, \\
161: 12, & 163: 9, \\
163: 14, & 163: 16 \\
\text { growth } & \\
128: 9, & 202: 21,
\end{array}
\]
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 202: 23, \quad 228: 3, \\
& 228: 10 \\
& \text { guarantee } \\
& 77: 12 \\
& \text { guess } \\
& 6: 15, \quad 9: 1, \\
& 12: 25, \quad 27: 9, \\
& 36: 20, \quad 46: 14, \\
& 50: 17, \quad 70: 17, \\
& 98: 1, \quad 109: 14, \\
& 118: 5, \quad 118: 24, \\
& 138: 8,144: 12, \\
& 154: 15, \quad 170: 20, \\
& 171: 3,182: 17, \\
& 188: 10, \quad 192: 1, \\
& 217: 15, \quad 226: 15, \\
& 236: 19 \\
& \text { guests } \\
& 102: 4,102: 18, \\
& 121: 21 \\
& \text { guidance } \\
& 182: 11, \quad 192: 24 \\
& \text { guidelines } \\
& 14: 25, \quad 21: 19, \\
& 23: 8,153: 8, \\
& 182: 19, \quad 190: 6 \\
& \text { gum } \\
& 237: 1
\end{aligned}
\] &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 157:3, 211:13 & 141:20, 148:8, & 126:14, 128:16, & hi \\
\hline hardly & 150:19, 150:23, & 133:22, 135:25, & 58:24 \\
\hline 206:23 & 151:10, 155:18, & 141:3, 141:17, & high \\
\hline harm & 170:8, 170:10, & 142:25, 143:7, & 32:9, 83:25, \\
\hline 7:21, 9:6, & 175:1, 200:1, & 143:9, 143:12, & 93:5, 122:10, \\
\hline 31:6, 35:8 & 201:25, 203:1, & 143:13, 144:6, & 123:11, 123:23, \\
\hline harris's & 203:5, 211:19 & 144:8, 144:10, & 199:17, 202:15, \\
\hline 99:17, 244:22 & heights & 144:11, 144:14, & 209:21, 215:6, \\
\hline hazard & 103:17, 116:18, & 144:15, 144:16, & 228:5 \\
\hline 182:15, 182:17, & 141:5, 148:7, & 144:17, 146:20, & high-end \\
\hline 235:15 & 151:1, 202:13 & 147:2, 147:8, & 101:23 \\
\hline head & held & 148:13, 150:2, & higher \\
\hline 59:8, 125:7 & 1:9, 189:15 & 155:3, 156:21, & 17:18, 17:19, \\
\hline headed & help & 159:8, 160:21, & 18:7, 18:25, \\
\hline 29:19 & 59:20, 94:15, & 161:20, 162:13, & 21:5, 28:9, \\
\hline headlight & 144:4, 162:23, & 166:9, 169:21, & 30:3, 56:8, \\
\hline 123:25 & 163:2, 192:21, & 172:17, 172:19, & 59:9, 59:13, \\
\hline headlights & 234:23, 237:9, & 173:16, 174:13, & 64:4, 64:8, \\
\hline 122:22, 123:22, & 237:11, 237:13, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 184: 18, \quad 185: 19, \\
& 191: 3, \quad 196: 17,
\end{aligned}
\] & 83:23, 85:24, \\
\hline \[
124: 6, \quad 124: 20
\] & \[
241: 9
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 191: 3, \quad 196: 17, \\
& 197: 2, \quad 197: 13 .
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
94: 20,148: 9,
\] \\
\hline headroom & helped & 199:9, 201:9, & 149:15, 149:18, \\
\hline 118:3 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 111: 10 \\
& \text { helofin }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
201: 13,201: 22,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 149: 21, \quad 150: 21, \\
& 152: 6, \quad 152: 7
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline health
\[
9: 23,129: 7,
\] & 39:19, 81:6, & \[
201: 23,202: 18 \text {, }
\] & highest \\
\hline \[
159: 22
\] & 103:6, 114:25, & \[
202: 20,202: 24,
\] & 19:3, 52:10, \\
\hline healthy & \[
140: 10,142: 22
\] & 203:16, 204:10,
\[
206: 9, \quad 206: 11,
\] & \[
57: 21,65: 4
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 145: 7, \quad 206: 20 \\
& 209: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 199: 3, \quad 202: 2, \\
& 205: 25, \quad 211: 12,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
206: 23,208: 24,
\] & highlight \\
\hline hear & 217:1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 209: 2, \quad 209: 3, \\
& 209: 17, \quad 209: 22,
\end{aligned}
\] & 130:22 \\
\hline \[
60: 22,74: 15,
\] & helping & \[
213: 25,226: 25
\] & highlighted \\
\hline 98:24, 108:10, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 129:17 } \\
& \text { helps }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
227: 9,230: 21,
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
184:24, 216:4 \\
highlights
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 108:11, 144:18, & 214:1 & 230:22, 240:24 & \[
185: 5,216: 4
\] \\
\hline \[
172: 25,220: 21,
\] & hemlock & hereby & highway \\
\hline 230:22 & 203:15 & 247:2 & 13:14, 38:7, \\
\hline heard & herbaceous & heritage & 38:18, 46:9 \\
\hline 45:21, 78:22, & \[
209: 1
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1: 5,4: 3,7: 20, \\
& 16: 3,27: 10,
\end{aligned}
\] & hill \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 146: 1, \quad 205: 23 \\
& 230: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & here
\[
9: 4,13: 13,
\] & \[
27: 11, \quad 31: 14,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 60: 5, \quad 60: 19, \\
& 82: 20, \quad 125: 3,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline hearings & \[
14: 8,14: 23,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
36: 6, & 36: 11, \\
52: 17 . & 66: 13 .
\end{array}
\] & \[
125: 13
\] \\
\hline 1:1, 2:3, & 15:17, 18:7, &  & hillis \\
\hline 22:15, 24:5, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 19: 19, \quad 52: 13, \\
& 55: 4 .
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
138: 3,138: 24,
\] & \[
181: 15
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 63: 6,232: 18, \\
& 233.3
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
55: 4, & 56: 25, \\
57: 5, & 62: 13,
\end{array}
\] & \[
139: 13,140: 5
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hills } \\
& 60: 10
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline height & 75:8, 99:25, & \[
141: 16,141: 21,
\] & himself \\
\hline 103:20, 104:5, & \[
103: 20,103: 21,
\] & \[
148: 9
\] & \[
239: 7
\] \\
\hline 128:5, 128:7, & 104:20, 116:8, & heritage's & hindsight \\
\hline 128:12, 141:3, & 117:8, 125:13, & \[
137: 20
\] & 204:4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline hinged & 80:17, 80:22, & 52:10, 52:12, & 123:5, 127:13, \\
\hline 107:15 & 80:25, 81:18, & 56:5, 56:7, & 128:9, 128:10, \\
\hline hire & 81:22, 81:24, & 56:18, 56:22, & 128:17, 128:20, \\
\hline 77:14 & 82:3, 82:5, & 57:2, 57:8, & 128:21, 128:23, \\
\hline hit & 82:17, 83:21, & 64:13, 64:24, & 129:5, 129:8, \\
\hline 14:5 & 84:6, 85:6, & 65:2, 76:3, & 129:13, 129:15, \\
\hline hits & 85:9, 86:16, & 76:4, 99:10, & 129:16, 129:18, \\
\hline 183:7 & 88:4, 88:15, & 196:11, 230:8 & 130:4, 130:5, \\
\hline hoa & 91:16, 97:16, & hours & 130:10, 130:13, \\
\hline 207:17, 229:10 & 101:1, 101:5, & 18:9, 23:12, & 130:23, 131:3, \\
\hline hoas & 101:18, 101:20, & 29:12, 30:20, & 131:5, 131:7, \\
\hline 227:19 & 102:10, 115:4, & \(31: 6,32: 22\), & 131:12, 131:23, \\
\hline hobbies & 130:25, 139:12, & 51:11, 52:11, & 132:1, 132:2, \\
\hline 102:24 & 143:14, 144:7, & 52:20, 153:25 & 132:5, 132:12, \\
\hline hold & 144:12, 144:13, & house & 132:15, 132:22, \\
\hline 15:23, 69:16, & 144:17, 145:17, & 88:11, 88:25, & 133:24, 152:25, \\
\hline 116:4, 165:1, & 145:19, 145:20, & 90:4, 92:5, & 154:4, 156:18, \\
\hline 181:1, 201:6, & 145:21, 146:20, & 100:23, 116:22, & 159:17, 159:18, \\
\hline 236:14 & 147:8, 147:9, & 117:12, 117:13, & 160:14, 163:4, \\
\hline holder & 147:19, 147:25, & 120:21, 139:11, & 163:15, 165:25, \\
\hline 171:5 & 148:1, 148:5, & 140:1, 140:3, & 213:10 \\
\hline holding & 148:6, 148:8, & 150:8, 151:9, & how's \\
\hline \[
160: 16
\] & 149:10, 149:12, & 151:11, 151:15, & 126:9, 178:24 \\
\hline home & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
150: 9, & 150: 24, \\
151: 1, & 155: 18
\end{array}
\] & \(158: 8,161: 22\),
\(162: 2,164: 8\), & however \\
\hline 17:9, 17:10, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
151: 1, & 155: 18, \\
158: 1, & 160: 2,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 162: 2, \quad 164: 8, \\
& 166: 15, \quad 170: 1,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4: 23, \quad 8: 25, \\
& 16: 23, \quad 22: 13,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
18: 4, & 47: 5, \\
67: 4 . & 80: 9 .
\end{array}
\] & \[
167: 18,169: 2,
\] & \[
170: 2, \quad 170: 19,
\] & \[
38: 23,56: 15,
\] \\
\hline \[
80: 21,81: 7,
\] & 176:12, 176:15 & 173:12, 174:20, & \[
64: 7, \quad 82: 3,
\] \\
\hline \[
81: 8,83: 2,
\] & honest & 177:25, 213:19, & \[
162: 23,164: 10,
\] \\
\hline \[
83: 11, \quad 83: 17
\] & 198:24 & 225:4 & 166:17, 167:10, \\
\hline \[
84: 8, \quad 84: 12,
\] & honeysuckle & houses & 168:21, 172:15, \\
\hline \[
84: 19,84: 20
\] & 208:25 & 43:21, 88:5, & 198:7, 199:9, \\
\hline 85:12, 86:23, & hope & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 92: 12, \quad 93: 10, \\
& 94: 13, \quad 95: 6,
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
200: 11,235: 13
\] \\
huge
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 92:16, 93:7, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
4: 15, & 73: 7, \\
73: 11
\end{array}\right.
\] & 94:13, 95:6,
117:22, 117:24, & \[
68: 2,171: 23
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 94: 7, \quad 102: 10, \\
& 146: 3, \quad 146: 20,
\end{aligned}
\] & hopefully & 119:8, 119:10, & human \\
\hline \[
147: 4, \quad 150: 19,
\] & 195:3 & 119:11, 119:12, & 129:7 \\
\hline 161:6, 162:11, & horizontal & 119:14, 120:5, & hungry \\
\hline 163:11, 164:12, & 212:14 & 120:13, 120:14, & 227:7 \\
\hline 164:14, 167:11, & hotel & 120:19, 158:11,
\[
166: 21, \quad 167: 6,
\] & hyper \\
\hline 216:18, 229:6 & 111:16
hour & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 166: 21, ~ 167: 6, \\
& 176: 14, ~ 178: 5,
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
172: 18
\] \\
hypothetical
\end{tabular} \\
\hline home's & hour
15:9, 15:10, & \[
215: 12
\] & hypothetical
52:13, 165:19, \\
\hline 93:21, 93:22 homeowner & \[
18: 12,18: 13,
\] & housing & \[
173: 20
\] \\
\hline 95:19 & 18:16, 23:18, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
15: 3, & 18: 11, \\
59: 1 . & 59: 4 .
\end{array}
\] & I \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { homeowner's } \\
& 228: 21 \\
& \text { homes }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
27: 8, & 30: 8, \\
36: 15, & 42: 3, \\
51: 16, & 52: 4,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 59: 1, \quad 59: 4, \\
& 59: 10, \\
& 59: 11, \\
& 59: 13, \\
& 80: 4,
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
idea \\
29:10, 55:7,
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline inconvenient & indication & inside & intensity \\
\hline 162:10 & 83:12, 83:15, & 101:16, 108:3, & 110:7 \\
\hline incorporated & 83:19, 84:10, & 109:11, 113:2, & intent \\
\hline 39:16 & 93:21, 93:22 & 167:5 & 5:23, 6:7, \\
\hline incorporates & individual & insights & 45:9, 66:14, \\
\hline 65:6, 114:13 & 9:23, 29:5, & 62:20 & 67:1, 201:13, \\
\hline incorporating & 88:11, 119:16, & inspection & 205:10 \\
\hline 114:2, 212:21 & 146:3 & 9:13, 215:14, & intentionally \\
\hline increase & individuals & 215:24 & 74:25 \\
\hline 14:16, 48:9, & 33:23 & inspections & interest \\
\hline 50:8, 123:4, & indoor & 207:17 & 68:24, 69:2, \\
\hline 128:21, 131:21, & 159:22 & install & 72:17, 73:15, \\
\hline 133:7, 133:9, & indulge & 202:25 & 94:16, 94:19, \\
\hline 181:11, 212:17, & 230:7 & installation & 247:8 \\
\hline 237:13 & industry & 66:20, 202:15 & interested \\
\hline increased & 59:2 & installed & 176:25 \\
\hline 51:3, 132:25, & infected & 202:1 & interior \\
\hline 182:25 & 227:15 & instance & 122:9, 209:19, \\
\hline increases & inference & 73:7, 140:2, & 209:20 \\
\hline 131:18 & 154:11 & 143:7, 144:6, & interpret \\
\hline indeed & infiltration & 158:8, 234:12 & 54:25, 154:15 \\
\hline 140:19 & 181:12, 237:11, & instances & interpretation \\
\hline independent & 237:12 & 83:10, 130:18 & 19:13, 20:8, \\
\hline 8:8, 10:17, & informal & instead & 20:17, 20:21, \\
\hline 12:2, 12:3, & 39:15 & 215:2 & 33:12 \\
\hline 15:1, 15:18, & information & institute & interrupt \\
\hline 16:18, 18:1, & 58:24, 133:1 & 14:23, 52:18 & 11:25, 40:20, \\
\hline 19:5, 70:11, & infrastructure & institution & 94:4, 129:22 \\
\hline 101:23, 102:5, & 66:16, 127:20, & \[
205: 15
\] & intersected \\
\hline 102:7, 102:9, & 190:12, 191:24, & instruct & 183:16 \\
\hline 102:12, 105:17, & \[
192: 4,192: 5,
\] & \[
23: 8
\] & intersection \\
\hline 121:9, 125:3, & \[
193: 13,234: 18
\] & insulated & \[
10: 24, \quad 10: 25
\] \\
\hline 125:10, 134:6, & ingleside & 105:3 & \[
11: 1,11: 4
\] \\
\hline 134:9, 134:13, & 125:15 & integral & 11:6, 13:7, \\
\hline 136:8, 136:9, & inherent & 135:12 & 13:8, 13:17, \\
\hline 136:10, 136:17, & 33:21, 33:24, & integrated & 19:4, 19:7, \\
\hline 138:11, 160:23, & \(34: 16,35: 6\), & 200:12 & 19:10, 24:16, \\
\hline 163:17 & 35:11, 123:14, & integration & 24:24, 25:2, \\
\hline indicated & 153:23, 216:15 & 200:12 & 27:23, 27:24, \\
\hline 40:21, 190:18, & initially & intend & 28:1, 28:19, \\
\hline 213:5, 217:17, & 204:3 & 67:3, 69:4 & \(31: 22,35: 22\), \\
\hline 218:23, 239:15, & initiative & intended & \(37: 11,41: 13\), \\
\hline 241:12 & 130:11 & \[
29: 3,66: 13,
\] & 49:12, 50:13, \\
\hline indicates & injury & \[
115: 2, \quad 127: 5,
\] & 57:6, 57:8, \\
\hline 36:10, 86:22, & \[
22: 24
\] & \[
134: 24
\] & \[
60: 21,61: 15
\] \\
\hline ```
135:16
indicating
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
inquired \\
187:4
\end{tabular} & intending & ```
61:22
intersections
``` \\
\hline 128:10 & \begin{tabular}{l}
inquiry \\
187:13
\end{tabular} & intense
\[
30: 21
\] & 10:21, 12:23, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 13:1, 13:21, & ironwood & jefferson & 93:19, 93:24, \\
\hline 13:23, 14:6, & 237:2 & 2:16 & 94:6, 94:17, \\
\hline 14:19, 14:22, & isolate & jim & 96:5, 96:11, \\
\hline 16:12, 16:19, & 81:12 & 206:21 & 96:16, 96:20, \\
\hline 17:19, 19:1, & issue & job & 97:3, 97:15, \\
\hline 22:20, 31:1, & 28:13, 35:3, & 1:23, 36:17, & 98:6, 98:17, \\
\hline 31:4, 33:2, & 38:13, 39:12, & 68:10, 205:9 & 98:18 \\
\hline 36:7, 50:11, & 40:23, 63:20, & john & kagen's \\
\hline 50:14, 50:15, & 112:18, 114:11, & 187:1 & 98:11 \\
\hline 52:15, 63:11, & 129:12, 134:12, & johnson & kagens \\
\hline 64:9, 65:5 & 140:15, 146:1, & 61:2, 61:3 & 87:6 \\
\hline interspersed & 146:2, 146:7, & jump & keep \\
\hline 69:23 & 152:2, 152:18, & 64:2 & 14:14, 33:12, \\
\hline interstates & 154:23, 154:25, & june & 58:16, 158:15, \\
\hline \[
46: 13
\] & 182:9, 183:4, & 84:9, 84:13, & 186:2, 202:14, \\
\hline interviewed & 208:17, 227:6 & 244:20, 245:25, & 237:14 \\
\hline 46:22 & issued & 247:13 & keeping \\
\hline intrinsically & 235:4 & K & 135:2, 181:15, \\
\hline \[
219: 15
\] & issues & kabatt's & 181:22, 182:18, \\
\hline introduce & \[
66: 24,69: 14,
\] & \[
36: 16
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 195: 8 \\
& \text { lo } 17
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
5: 25
\] & \[
114: 4, \quad 114: 9
\] & kagan & kelly \\
\hline introduced & 129:19, 137:11, & \[
3: 7,79: 13,
\] & \[
3: 6,74: 9
\] \\
\hline \[
191: 25
\] & \[
153: 3,162: 5,
\] & \[
79: 15,79: 17
\] & ken \\
\hline invading & \[
162: 9,164: 10,
\] & \[
79: 22, \quad 79: 23
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3: 5 \\
& \text { kent }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 219:1 & 189:4, 218:21, & kagan's & kept \\
\hline invasive & 225:4 & 79:20 & 152:14 \\
\hline \[
208: 13,208: 24,
\] & ite & kagen & kevin \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 219:5, } 219: 25 \\
& \text { invasives }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 64: 13, \quad 64: 15, \\
& 65: 8, \quad 65: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
80: 1, \quad 80: 3,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3: 7 \\
& \text { key }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 208:21, 209:8, & items & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
80: 10, & 80: 16, \\
80: 24, & 81: 8,
\end{array}
\] & \[
36: 7,50: 13,
\] \\
\hline 209:10, 209:16, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 180: 14 \\
& \text { itself }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
82: 1,82: 15,
\] & \[
50: 14
\] \\
\hline 209:24, 219:22, & itself & \[
83: 4, \quad 83: 14
\] & killed \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 220: 3, \quad 221: 7 \\
& 221: 12, \quad 222: 11
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 112: 8, \quad 213: 22 \\
& 240: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
83: 24, \quad 84: 10,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 221: 7 \\
& \text { kind }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline inventories & J & \[
85: 20, \quad 85: 23,
\] & 46:11, 54:3, \\
\hline 232:16 & j & 86:19, 86:25, & 81:13, 103:14, \\
\hline inventory & 197:11 & 87:8, 87:9, & 104:21, 106:24, \\
\hline \[
\text { | } 243: 2
\] & jane & \[
87: 10, \quad 87: 11,
\] & 107:3, 107:22, \\
\hline involve & \[
3: 9, \quad 126: 4
\] & \[
87: 17, \quad 87: 22,
\] & 113:19, 146:7, \\
\hline \[
23: 4
\] & janet & \[
87: 24, \quad 88: 17,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 162: 12, \quad 169: 3, \\
& 171: 7 . \quad 177: 3 .
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline involved & 172:15, 172:18 & 89:16, 89:20, & 171:7, 177:3, \\
\hline \(11: 12, ~ 32: 11\),
\(32: 13\) & january & 89:24, 90:1, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
191: 24, & 195: 1, \\
202: 18, & 211: 13,
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\text { | } 32: 13
\] \\
involvement
\end{tabular} & 9:1, 13:9, & 90:9, 90:13, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 202: 18,211: 13, \\
& 226: 23
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
243: 10
\] & 17:20, 29:8, & 90:17, 91:1, & king \\
\hline involves & \[
181: 17
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 91: 10, \quad 92: 2, \\
& 92: 8, \quad 92: 19,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & 125:16 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8: 6, \quad 8: 8 \\
& \text { iron }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
jeep \\
112:19
\end{tabular} & 92:22, 93:3, & kitchen
\[
90: 5,101: 25,
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline leave & left-hand & level & 122:15, 122:19, \\
\hline 27:13, 79:2, & 60:12, 150:14, & 11:11, 11:13, & 123:17, 211:17, \\
\hline 192:16, 192:18, & 150:18 & 11:18, 28:24, & 211:19, 211:24, \\
\hline 194:4, 206:8 & legal & 42:3, 42:10, & 212:6, 212:12, \\
\hline leaves & 70:2, 172:11, & 102:19, 108:16, & 212:15, 212:16, \\
\hline 113:22 & 190:24, 234:16 & 151:9, 211:16, & 213:2, 213:18, \\
\hline leaving & legislation & 215:10 & 213:21, 214:9, \\
\hline 51:21, 52:3, & 138:18 & levels & 214:11, 214:17, \\
\hline 56:4, 57:22, & lend & 114:7, 132:16, & 214:21, 215:25 \\
\hline 64:16, 233:24 & 44:25 & 211:20, 213:2, & light's \\
\hline led & length & 213:14, 213:18, & 110:8 \\
\hline 110:15, 110:22, & 24:24, 54:9, & 215:25 & lighting \\
\hline 112:24 & 73:20, 191:23 & library & 11:12, 105:8, \\
\hline lee & lens & 102:2 & 106:1, 106:2, \\
\hline 172:21, 172:22, & 212:14, 212:15 & licensed & 106:3, 106:5, \\
\hline 172:24, 173:2, & lerch & 207:21, 227:20 & 106:6, 106:8, \\
\hline 189:4, 189:11, & 2:8, 4:9 & licenses & 106:9, 106:10, \\
\hline 189:12, 189:13, & less & 232:20 & 106:14, 107:9, \\
\hline 189:14, 190:4, & 80:22, 104:9, & lie & 108:3, 109:10, \\
\hline 191:5, 191:17, & 111:13, 116:22, & 216:11 & 109:13, 109:17, \\
\hline 192:3, 193:9, & 126:22, 127:8, & lies & 110:7, 110:12, \\
\hline 194:8, 194:11, & 128:11, 133:23, & 191:14 & 111:9, 111:18, \\
\hline 194:12, 194:17, & 136:11, 137:23, & lieu & 111:24, 112:4, \\
\hline 217:8, 217:12, & 139:6, 139:16, & 44:18, 45:8 & 114:3, 114:11, \\
\hline 217:25, 218:6, & 140:1, 140:4, & life & 114:14, 122:7, \\
\hline 218:11, 218:18, & 141:21, 147:23, & 74:9, 128:24, & 122:8, 122:9, \\
\hline 219:7, 219:15, & 153:18, 155:1, & 160:22, 162:25 & 122:22, 123:2, \\
\hline 220:2, 220:24, & 199:10, 199:13, & lifestyle & 123:18, 123:19, \\
\hline 221:15, 221:18, & 199:14, 199:16, & 132:11 & 123:20, 123:24, \\
\hline 222:7, 222:14, & 213:10 & light & 123:25, 154:3, \\
\hline 222:18, 239:13, & let's & 24:25, 26:20, & 211:6, 211:7, \\
\hline 239:15, 240:6, & 21:11, 40:25, & 28:3, 104:19, & 211:14, 212:3, \\
\hline 240:9, 240:19, & 41:4, 55:9, & \[
104: 22,104: 25 \text {, }
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 212: 20, \quad 212: 21, \\
& 213: 9, \quad 213: 20,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 241:8, 241:16, & 100:4, 111:21, & 106:20, 107:5, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 213: 9, ~ 213: 20, \\
& 214: 4, ~ 214: 25,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \(241: 18, ~ 242: 3, ~\) & 113:1, 116:7, & 108:25, 109:1, & 214:4, 214:25,
\[
216: 7,216: 8 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline \(242: 5,242: 9\),
\(242: 14, ~ 242: 18\), & 116:16, 116:24, & 109:4, 109:7, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 216: 7, \quad 216: 8, \\
& 216: 13, \quad 216: 14,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] \\
\hline \(242: 14, ~ 242: 18, ~\)
\(242: 25,243: 6\), & 125:5, 134:11, & 109:25, 110:8, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
216: 13, & 216: 14, \\
216: 17, & 216: 18,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lll}242: 25, & 243: 6, \\ 243: 12, & 243: 22,\end{array}\) & 135:23, 142:25, & 110:9, 110:14, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
216: 17, & 216: 18, \\
216: 21, & 217: 1,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \(243: 12,243: 22\),
\(244: 3,244: 7\), & 143:22, 146:25, & 110:18, 110:21, & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
216: 21, & 217: 1, \\
224: 10, & 224: 17
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 244: 3, \quad 244: 7, \\
& 246: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & 151:3, 152:16, & 111:2, 111:7, & lights \\
\hline left & 152:17, 164:20, & 111:8, 111:17, & 90:23, 107:7, \\
\hline 100:15, 108:18, & 196:25, 197:6, & \(112: 1, ~ 112: 2\),
\(112: 7,112: 11\), & 114:1, 198:20, \\
\hline 109:23, 135:7, & 211:5, 216:19 & 112:13, 112:16, & 212:1, 212:8, \\
\hline 137:17, 141:11, & letter & 112:20, 112:21, & 212:13, 214:14, \\
\hline 150:7, 151:9, & 38:7, 38:10, & 112:25, 113:17, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
215: 4, & 215: 14 \\
216: 3, & 216: 4
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 169: 25, \quad 190: 20, \\
& 214: 6 . \quad 239: 19
\end{aligned}
\] & 71:6, 71:8, & 113:23, 114:6, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 216: 3, \quad 216: 4 \\
& \text { liked }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 214:6, 239:19 & 181:17 & 114:8, 114:10, & \[
5: 8, \quad 214: 16
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline likely & 197:16, 197:18, & 188:23, 189:5, & local \\
\hline 68:2, 123:12, & 197:19, 197:22, & 191:15, 193:22, & 8:17, 9:9, \\
\hline 131:22, 148:2, & 198:8, 199:12, & 202:18, 203:13, & 10:12, 180:11, \\
\hline 148:8, 173:12, & 203:14, 203:21, & 204:18, 205:5, & 190:8, 232:18 \\
\hline 199:18, 205:19 & 206:18, 206:19, & 240:20, 240:21, & localities \\
\hline likewise & 206:22, 213:2, & 241:16 & 87:15 \\
\hline 92:1, 96:10, & 213:4, 213:10, & littman & located \\
\hline 123:11, 202:16 & 213:15, 219:16, & 79:21 & 82:21, 88:11, \\
\hline limit & 234:10, 240:11 & live & 88:23, 90:20, \\
\hline 23:18, 42:2, & linear & 17:11, 45:18, & 91:2, 92:14, \\
\hline 72:18, 72:20, & 147:23, 174:22 & 161:14, 161:23 & 136:3, 153:6, \\
\hline 73:11, 73:14, & lines & lived & 211:18, 213:11, \\
\hline 193:11 & 82:2, 106:19, & 162:2 & 233:22, 234:13, \\
\hline limitation & 108:15, 150:6, & living & 235:8, 235:10, \\
\hline 190:9 & 150:23, 166:16, & 8:9, 8:11, & 235:11, 235:13, \\
\hline limitations & 172:5 & 10:17, 12:2, & 235:14, 237:23 \\
\hline 128:7 & link & 12:3, 12:8, & location \\
\hline limited & 193:5, 246:1 & 14:20, 15:2, & 62:5, 76:12, \\
\hline 156:18, 156:19, & list & 15:3, 15:4, & 83:20, 87:21, \\
\hline 183:17 & 38:22, 61:4, & 15:8, 15:18, & 152:18, 156:10, \\
\hline limiting & 95:23, 210:11, & 16:18, 18:1, & 186:8, 186:12, \\
\hline 141:4, 208:11 & 220:5, 221:6, & 19:5, 19:6, & 221:23 \\
\hline limits & 238:23 & 70:11, 81:3, & locations \\
\hline 110:19, 190:22, & listened & 81:16, 81:18, & 91:11, 106:12, \\
\hline 239:25, 240:12, & 71:18 & 82:2, 82:7, & 187:21, 211:22 \\
\hline 240:15, 240:16, & listening & 87:13, 88:22, & lockland \\
\hline 240:22, 241:1, & 140:13, 216:22 & 89:7, 101:23, & 24:20, 25:13, \\
\hline 241:5 & listing & 102:1, 102:5, & 49:23, 50:1, \\
\hline line & 96:9, 117:13 & 102:7, 102:9, & 50:2, 50:20, \\
\hline 37:8, 37:9, & lists & 102:13, 105:17, & 88:23, 93:10 \\
\hline 37:10, 68:6, & 238:21 & 105:18, 105:23, & lod \\
\hline 68:24, 75:2, & lit & 120:8, 120:11, & 239:25 \\
\hline 75:6, 76:6, & 214:10 & 121:7, 121:10, & lode \\
\hline 88:18, 88:24, & little & 121:12, 125:4, & 212: 6 \\
\hline 94:13, 97:17, & 4:20, 12:18, & 125:10, 128:23, & lodge \\
\hline 97:18, 108:17, & 14:8, 21:4, & 132:8, 134:6, & 8:10, 66:18, \\
\hline 108:20, 108:21, & 22:25, 30:12, & 134:7, 134:8, & 66:22, 66:25, \\
\hline 109:9, 123:5, & 42:7, 54:25, & 134:10, 134:13, & 67:1, 67:23, \\
\hline 138:12, 138:15, & \(77: 1,77: 4\), & 134:14, 136:8, & 67:25, 68:1, \\
\hline 148:2, 150:14, & 85:6, 90:3, & 136:9, 136:11, & 69:2, 70:10, \\
\hline 150:15, 150:16, & 104:4, 104:5, & 136:15, 136:17, & 70:12, 70:13, \\
\hline 150:18, 157:5, & 105:10, 107:16, & 136:18, 137:18, & 70:14, 105:12, \\
\hline 157:7, 157:13, & 107:21, 109:3, & 138:11, 160:24, & 105:13, 105:15, \\
\hline 158:2, 158:11, & 112:14, 115:6, & 161:4, 161:13, & 107:14, 108:16, \\
\hline 167:22, 169:10, & 130:1, 131:14, & 162:15, 163:10, & 111:24, 112:3, \\
\hline 174:11, 187:5, & 143:17, 151:4, & 163:17, 164:16, & 112:22, 151:7, \\
\hline 187:10, 187:16, & 151:6, 159:4, & 169:2, 169:3 & \[
162: 17,165: 7,
\] \\
\hline 188:1, 192:6, & 166:10, 166:21, & \[
\text { lle } \begin{aligned}
& \text { llc } \\
& 1: 5, ~ 4: 3, ~ 7: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & 169:4, 171:21, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  & ```
202:19, 240:5,
241:15
loop
165:5, 165:19,
165:25, 166:7,
167:3, 167:5
loosen
237:11
loretto
230:10
loss
165:14, 235:22
losses
198:10
lost
103:21
lot
5:7, 22:8,
56:16, 59:16,
64:2, 77:1,
82:2, 88:18,
88:24, 90:6,
97:6, 104:3,
118:12, 118:17,
140:11, 140:22,
147:22, 164:7,
170:23, 171:8,
171:10, 171:11,
171:17, 171:18,
171:19, 171:21,
172:1, 172:2,
172:3, 172:6,
172:8, 174:15,
177:17, 177:18,
177:24, 178:1,
184:7, 184:17,
193:10, 200:10,
202:17, 206:22,
207:2, 213:10,
235:19, 237:8,
238:1, 240:17
lots
91:16, 103:13,
118:13, 118:14,
138:22, 143:14,
144:8, 144:16,
145:21, 145:22,
145:23, 146:10,
``` &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \(195: 1\)
majority
\(56: 14, \quad 209: 14\),
\(221: 5, \quad 221: 13\)
make
\(8: 5, \quad 10: 3\),
\(20: 24, \quad 45: 8\),
\(50: 16, \quad 51: 22\),
\(53: 6, \quad 60: 3\),
\(60: 12, \quad 70: 8\),
\(80: 15, \quad 80: 17\),
\(87: 25, \quad 95: 23\),
\(96: 24, \quad 106: 19\),
\(111: 10, \quad 143: 25\),
\(155: 21, \quad 157: 22\),
\(168: 24, \quad 169: 3\),
\(169: 14, \quad 188: 9\),
\(201: 11, \quad 214: 19\),
\(242: 18, \quad 242: 22\),
\(245: 2\)
makes
\(68: 19, \quad 104: 2\),
\(122: 15, \quad 156: 14\),
\(209: 15\)
making
\(65: 12, \quad 88: 12\),
\(170: 18\)
mall
\(75: 23, \quad 76: 8\)
man
\(196: 10\)
manage
\(232: 18\)
managed
\(114: 3\)
management
\(160: 21, \quad 179: 24\),
\(180: 5\)
manager
\(232: 13\)
manchkin
\(243: 1\)
manner
\(73: 8, \quad 145: 2\),
\(145: 7\)
manual
\(14: 24, \quad 15: 1\),
\(182: 10, \quad 182: 14\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
manufacture \\
84:25, 91:2, \\
91:3 \\
many \\
7:8, 27:25, \\
42:17, 55:23, \\
81:9, 85:11, \\
96:22, 97:16, \\
97:18, 98:19, \\
101:1, 101:20, \\
119:9, 120:18, \\
120:21, 124:13, \\
125:18, 161:9, \\
161:14, 169:18, \\
214:17 \\
map \\
142:21, 143:10, \\
143:18, 144:24, \\
240:20 \\
maple \\
237:1 \\
maps \\
219:19 \\
march \\
10:13, 12:25, \\
13:7, 13:8, \\
13:10, 13:11, \\
17:11, 17:12, \\
17:20, 20:13, \\
24:4, 24:12, \\
25:3, 180:2, \\
186:24 \\
marcia \\
89:16, 89:20 \\
marie \\
59:23 \\
marine \\
231:23 \\
marked \\
3:15 \\
market \\
59:12, 79:21, \\
83:1, 83:22, \\
84:3, \(90: 3\), \\
94:9, 94:11, \\
94:23, 102:8, \\
102:14 \\
marketing \\
83:17
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  & ```
measurement
123:16, 124:7,
141:18
measures
23:22, 44:7,
44:12, 195:6,
216:12, 227:8
mechanism
227:23
med
55: 6
meet
14:1, 34:2,
102:8, 127:13,
153:2, 153:7,
155:11, 191:18,
206:3
meeting
129:5, 133:14,
189:10, 201:10,
202:7
meetings
216:23
meets
153:15, 155:9,
159:17
members
102:18, 120:24,
121:8, 121:17,
122:2, 123:13
memo
38:13, 39:12,
39:21, 66:11,
67:18
memorandum
189:17
memory
8:10, 10:18,
19:6, 62:23,
79:14, 105:18,
105:24, 121:13,
134:15, 136:15,
136:18, 223:6
mention
45:21, 108:7,
110:4, 134:4,
170:19, 238:23
mentioned
15:12, 15:17,
``` & \(15: 19, \quad 16: 13\),
\(16: 23, \quad 20: 16\),
\(21: 12, \quad 32: 11\),
\(34: 5, \quad 34: 7\),
\(35: 16, \quad 36: 1\),
\(39: 24, \quad 48: 8\),
\(50: 12, \quad 61: 18\),
\(76: 19, \quad 82: 12\),
\(85: 4, \quad 90: 1\),
\(103: 7, \quad 112: 19\),
\(115: 5, \quad 131: 1\),
\(132: 25, \quad 163: 4\),
\(164: 22, \quad 213: 1\),
\(221: 8, \quad 227: 10\)
mentioning
\(56: 16\)
mesh
\(207: 19\)
met
\(111: 9, \quad 198: 8\)
method
\(166: 20\)
methodology
\(42: 4, \quad 172: 7\),
\(183: 10\)
methods
\(98: 2\)
metro
\(37: 9, \quad 75: 19\)
mice
\(197: 13\)
michael
\(3: 12, \quad 231: 16\)
microbio
\(181: 8\)
microphone
\(74: 14\)
mid
\(141: 5\)
middle
\(23: 2, ~ 90: 24\),
\(91: 15, ~ 91: 20\),
\(103: 20\),
\(137: 22\),
\(150: 6, \quad 147: 20\),
\(165: 6, \quad 165: 10\),
\(165: 17\),
\(165: 20\),
\(167: 10, \quad 178: 3\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
midnight
23:13
might
4:22, 14:10,
22:18, 24:25,
42:19, 44:7,
44:23, 46:17,
53:3, 87:19,
94:9, 164:22,
168:23, 174:3,
204:3, 205:25
might've
12:25
migrate
235:17
migrating
243:24
migration
237:10
mike
230:20
mile
41:15, 46:22,
76:19
miles
23:18, 42:3
millersville
232:8
million
89:2, 94:7,
94:8
mind
68:11, 125:22,
149:23, 186:25,
202:14, 236:1
minimal
27:16, 63:7
minimize
62:9, 113:24,
208:18, 222:1
minimized
153:4, 153:21,
184:14, 190:13,
221:25
minimizes
31:21, 61:23
minimum
139:7, 140:24,
``` & ```
140:25, 157:16,
157:18, 174:10,
177:25, 237:24,
244:6
minimums
153:19
minus
171:20
minute
25:20, 25:23,
26:6, 26:9,
26:24, 27:3,
29:13, 29:22,
29:25, 30:7,
30:11, 30:13,
52:11, 54:19,
54:25, 55:1,
55:6, 55:13,
55:16, 65:20,
65:25, 108:24,
143:22, 178:19,
178:23, 206:14
minutes
5:3, 26:19,
26:20, 26:21,
26:25, 32:24,
32:25, 54:20,
55:5, 75:17,
224:15, 245:20
mispronounced
179:10
miss
52:24, 77:13
missed
100:21, 116:13
missing
191:3
misspoke
34:10
misstated
182:7
mistake
90:17
misunderstanding
183:24
mitchell
79:21
mitigate
13:25, 181:12,
``` &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { n2 } \\
& 199: 6 \\
& \text { n3 } \\
& 199: 6 \\
& \text { name } \\
& 6: 25, \quad 7: 1, \\
& 61: 4, \quad 71: 22, \\
& 87: 6, \quad 126: 15, \\
& 144: 14, \quad 144: 19, \\
& 153: 11, \quad 172: 15, \\
& 172: 18, \quad 186: 25, \\
& 231: 14, \quad 242: 25 \\
& \text { nana } \\
& 61: 2, \quad 61: 3 \\
& \text { narrowed } \\
& 98: 13, \quad 210: 2 \\
& \text { narrower } \\
& 113: 17 \\
& \text { narrows } \\
& 167: 25 \\
& \text { national } \\
& 10: 14, \quad 191: 2 \\
& \text { native } \\
& 236: 25, \quad 238: 23 \\
& \text { natural } \\
& 160: 3, \quad 232: 15, \\
& 237: 11 \\
& \text { nature } \\
& 88: 15, \quad 91: 4, \\
& 96: 19, \quad 120: 6, \\
& 147: 23, \quad 216: 5 \\
& \text { near } \\
& 14: 11, \quad 25: 6, \\
& 26: 2, \quad 67: 2, \\
& 92: 14, \quad 171: 12, \\
& 206: 17 \\
& \text { nearby } \\
& 87: 15, \quad 88: 24, \\
& 94: 11, \quad 140: 18 \\
& \text { nearest } \\
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91:15, 94:13,
105:4, 107:23,
107:25, 108:2,
113:3, 119:4,
120:6, 146:9,
147:6, 147:8,
176:13, 177:20,
177:22, 201:9,
201:11, 203:17,
211:22, 237:19
similarly
46:10, 82:20,
150:22
simple
165:18, 165:19
simplify
184:19
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline simply & 22:23, 31:16, & 74:23, 85:1, & slowly \\
\hline 149:20 & \(32: 6,32: 8\), & 86:14, 87:19, & 94:12 \\
\hline simultaneously & 32:10, 34:4, & 147:6, 162:9, & small \\
\hline 68:3 & 34:8, 34:14, & 183:15, 222:6, & 102:16, 222:3, \\
\hline since & 34:24, 35:24, & 222:11 & 222:5, 236:5 \\
\hline 30:25, 43:9, & 35:25, 36:1, & situations & smaller \\
\hline 45:23, 67:16, & 36:8, 36:24, & 94:10, 127:18, & 130:25, 146:10, \\
\hline 104:12, 133:18, & 44:18, 51:11, & 213:18 & 168:22, 181:9, \\
\hline 134:5, 150:25, & \(52: 3,56: 4\), & six & 238:1 \\
\hline 184:7, 184:10, & 61:25, 62:18, & 46:20, 84:7, & smart \\
\hline 185:22, 197:9, & 65:13, 65:14, & 84:11, 123:9, & 128:8 \\
\hline 224:9 & 66:16, 70:7, & 198:9, 198:14, & soames \\
\hline single & 75:25, 76:20, & 198:15, 200:21, & 188:11 \\
\hline 18:3, 18:5, & 106:2, 106:10, & 208:22, 211:25, & society \\
\hline 18:25, 23:2, & 109:13, 128:7, & 212:7 & 232:24 \\
\hline 32:11, 56:10, & 130:2, 132:9, & six-year-old & soil \\
\hline 78:3, 78:11, & 136:22, 137:20, & 202:12 & 180:16, 182:11, \\
\hline 85:16, 132:16, & 138:6, 152:20, & size & 182:12, 237:9, \\
\hline 148:7, 165:7, & 154:5, 155:19, & 11:23, 21:20, & 237:12 \\
\hline 166:14, 173:8, & 156:9, 164:24, & 23:7, 100:24, & soils \\
\hline 173:13, 173:16, & 173:9, 173:13, & 102:7, 105:13, & 181:18, 227:1 \\
\hline 174:9, 175:5, & 173:21, 177:8, & 117:18, 120:11, & sold \\
\hline 175:25, 177:11, & 177:25, 180:12, & 142:8, 143:14, & 67:8, 83:11, \\
\hline 201:15, 204:12, & 180:15, 180:20, & 145:6, 176:14, & \[
88: 25,89: 2,
\] \\
\hline 225:23, 226:2 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
181: 22, & 184: 22, \\
184: 25 & 185: 21
\end{array}
\] & 176:16, 177:20, & \[
93: 10
\] \\
\hline single-family & 184:25, 185:21, & 188:20, 199:9, & solely \\
\hline 18:11, 120:7, & 187:8, 194:3,
\[
194: 23,195: 22,
\] & 201:21, 201:25 & 221:19 \\
\hline 120:14, 120:19, & 195:23, 197:18, & slide & solemnly \\
\hline \(120: 21, ~ 145: 17\),
\(147: 16, ~ 147: 19\), & 200:6, 200:8, & 202:16, 210:6, & 6:20, 231:5 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 147: 16, \quad 147: 19, \\
& 148: 6, \quad 167: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] & 200:17, 203:16, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 210: 12, \quad 210: 19, \\
& 210: 21
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & solid \\
\hline \(148: 6, ~ 167: 18\),
\(169: 1,213: 19\), & 211:7, 211:24, & 210:21 & \[
110: 23
\] \\
\hline 215:1, 215:8 & 222:9, 233:23, & 18:7, 18:25, & soltesz
\[
31: 23,62:
\] \\
\hline sir & 234:19, 236:5, & 110:11, 113:3, & solutions \\
\hline 87:7, 162:21, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 238: 5, \quad 238: 12, \\
& 241: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & 113:4, 181:3 & 232:7 \\
\hline 225:16, 227:23, & \begin{tabular}{l}
|241:4 \\
sites
\end{tabular} & slipping & somebody \\
\hline 228:17 & \[
65: 10,156: 19
\] & 186:25 & 60:14, 75:19, \\
\hline sit & sitting & slope & 77:13, 108:11, \\
\hline \(48: 20, ~ 84: 3\),
\(94: 9,124: 1\), & \[
28: 2,60: 1
\] & 170:17, 218:12, & 118:18, 162:17, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 94: 9,124: 1, \\
& 205: 12,239: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
60: 3, \quad 60: 12,
\] & 218:13, 218:20 & 177:25 \\
\hline site & 60:17 & \[
218: 17 \cdot 218: 20
\] & somehow \\
\hline 8:8, 8:21, & situated & slow & 191:5 \\
\hline 9:20, 10:24, & 25:15 & 131:14 & someo \\
\hline 11:7, 11:11, & situation & slowed & \[
63: 1, \quad 93: 1,
\] \\
\hline 11:23, 15:14, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 24: 12, \quad 42: 13, \\
& 44: 4 .
\end{aligned}
\] & 25:16 & \[
122: 11,162: 8,
\] \\
\hline 20:18, 20:20, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
44: 4, & 46: 19, \\
53: 4, & 54: 9 .
\end{array}
\] & slowing & \(122: 11, ~ 162: 8, ~\)
\(164: 25, ~ 235: 3\) \\
\hline 22:3, 22:7, & 53:4, 54:9, & 25:21 & something \\
\hline & & & 53:2, 59:2, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
34:7, 34:9, \\
43:20, 51:9, \\
55:21, 62:8, \\
64:21, 76:15, \\
88:5, 144:14, \\
144:15, 147:12, \\
150:8, 167:12, \\
194:24, 211:18, \\
211:22, 215:3, \\
215:7 \\
streets \\
24:22, 54:14, 123: 4 \\
stress \\
68:23 \\
strict \\
19:13, 128:12, 190:9 \\
strong
191:7 \\
structure
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 67: 3,109: 7, \\
& 117: 18, \quad 138: 21, \\
& 139: 13,142: 6, \\
& 157: 13, \quad 190: 10, \\
& 192: 8, \quad 193: 24, \\
& 206: 21, \quad 206: 23, \\
& 233: 22, \quad 234: 5, \\
& 235: 13, \\
& 236: 18
\end{aligned}
\] \\
structures
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 68: 18, \quad 100: 7, \\
& 100: 13, \quad 100: 25, \\
& 138: 22, \\
& 148: 1, \\
& 149: 19, \\
& 234: 198: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
stucco \\
105: 4 \\
student \\
14:16 \\
studied \\
33:1, 49:1, \\
51:4, 53:7, \\
80:9, 80:10, \\
80:11, 87:13, \\
168:9 \\
studies
\[
30: 14,52: 18,
\]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & ```
149:16, 149:17,
149:18, 150:9,
150:15, 150:16,
175:13, 188:1,
193:22, 197:8,
205:16, 205:21
submit
38:20, 38:23,
38:25, 39:1,
39:3, 191:13
submitted
4:23, 6:1,
8:19, 20:12,
38:15, 38:18,
38:21, 41:22,
66:11, 129:14,
130:21, 148:16,
222:25
submitting
40:21
subregion
127:1, 130:14,
131:15, 133:4,
133:14, 147:12,
153:1, 153:6,
207:23
subregion's
127:14
subsection
35:3
subsequent
67:6, 159:16
subsequently
128:13
substance
7:11
substantial
219:12
subtract
137:5
subtracted
136:16
successful
208:20
sued
64:5
sufficient
32:8, 34:2,
``` & \(44: 21, \quad 55: 7\),
\(55: 19, \quad 55: 21\)
suggest
\(87: 12, \quad 95: 20\),
\(99: 9, \quad 119: 11\),
\(153: 13, \quad 178: 18\),
\(183: 13, \quad 229: 1\)
suggested
\(67: 18, \quad 119: 9\),
\(236: 6\)
suggesting
\(47: 17, \quad 95: 19\),
\(183: 5\)
suggestion
\(49: 22\)
suggests
\(51: 13, \quad 154: 12\)
suitable
\(201: 12\)
suite
\(2: 9, \quad 7: 2, \quad 232: 8\)
sum
\(216: 6\)
summarize
\(10: 8, \quad 206: 11\)
summer
\(113: 25\)
sunday
\(76: 2\)
sunken
\(151: 8, \quad 151: 11\)
sunrise
\(82: 20\)
supplemental
\(8: 23,169: 11\),
\(201: 14, \quad 201: 16\),
\(207: 6, \quad 210: 23\),
\(223: 20, ~ 223: 21\),
\(223: 25, \quad 236: 11\)
supply
\(66: 24\)
support
\(132: 1\)
supporting
\(128: 21\)
supports
\(133: 2, \quad 161: 12\)
supposed
\(21: 19, \quad 167: 1\) &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(121: 4, \quad 121: 9\), \\
\(121: 12, \quad 122: 5\), \\
\(122: 12, \quad 123: 1\), \\
\(123: 7,123: 14\), \\
\(123: 20,124: 8\), \\
\(124: 12, \quad 124: 24\), \\
\(125: 2, \quad 125: 5\), \\
\(125: 12, \quad 125: 25\), \\
\(126: 1, \quad 141: 19\), \\
\(176: 17, \quad 211: 6\), \\
\(211: 15, \quad 212: 12\), \\
\(212: 21\) \\
swihart's \\
\(105: 10\) \\
switch \\
\(163: 10\) \\
switched \\
\(91: 12\) \\
sycamore \\
\(220: 12\) \\
synagogue \\
\(60: 10\), \\
\(205: 15\), \\
system \\
\(11: 10\), \\
\(11: 15\), \\
\(11: 22, \quad 40: 15\), \\
\(100: 21, \quad 105: 4\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} &  & ```
220:3, 222:4,
242:13
talks
43:16
tall
103:23, 151:10,
202:22, 202:24,
203:20, 204:2,
205:1, 205:3,
210:8, 215:12
taller
149:20, 150:25,
151:7, 152:8,
215:4, 216:3
taxes
160:17
team
68:16, 198:19,
213:24, 219:11
technical
69:14, 137:11,
182:10, 207:23,
243:18
technically
224:10
technology
127:20
tell
55:6, 69:20,
170:13, 178:8,
189:6, 226:3
telling
162:14
tells
23:20, 36:9
temperatures
237:14
temple
205:13
temporary
195:6
tenants
97:5
tend
75:6
tent
122:17
term
14:14, 202:14
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline terminus & 58:13, 58:22, & 23:14, 23:16, & 95:18, 103:21, \\
\hline 68:19 & 61:14, 69:24, & 23:19, 72:19 & 115:17, 119:21, \\
\hline terms & 74:22, 79:15, & thanks & 135:23, 148:15, \\
\hline 9:16, 28:23, & 95:2, 118:16, & 124:24 & 151:22, 156:9, \\
\hline 36:5, 39:20, & 118:19, 144:25, & that'd & 165:4, 172:22, \\
\hline 68:16, 73:1, & 151:16, 158:13, & 225:21 & 173:17, 184:9, \\
\hline 128:19, 133:14, & 169:21, 190:2, & themselves & 245:19 \\
\hline 138:5, 147:6, & 244:23 & 10:3, 44:25, & threat \\
\hline 159:6, 159:9, & testifying & 159:19, 161:20 & 237:21, 238:14 \\
\hline 160:20, 161:9, & 88:10, 92:2, & theoretical & three \\
\hline 162:20, 192:24, & 92:8, 137:13 & 53:1 & 15:6, 52:9, \\
\hline 203:24, 209:7, & testimonies & therapist & 52:11, 55:5, \\
\hline 213:23 & 191:12, 216:22 & 161:21 & 55:6, 55:8, \\
\hline terrace & testimony & therefore & 55:9, 55:12, \\
\hline 82:12, 113:2 & 3:3, 5:14, & 31:5, 33:14 & 55:16, 64:23, \\
\hline terraces & 5:20, 5:23, & thereof & 65:2, 85:3, \\
\hline 113:20 & \(36: 16,36: 19\), & 180:5 & 102:6, 105:21, \\
\hline test & 40:16, 40:22, & thing & 120:3, 120:8, \\
\hline 10:18, 10:20, & 41:2, 64:16, & 5:13, 55:4, & \[
120: 11,120: 21,
\] \\
\hline 11:9, 11:10, & 67:17, 71:18, & 79:5, 110:4, & \[
121: 21,125: 10,
\] \\
\hline 11:14, 11:15, & 72:15, 91:24, & 154:22, 156:1, & \[
125: 14,139: 15 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline 11:21, 13:21, & 93:13, 95:6, & 162:12, 178:4, & 141:15, 148:9, \\
\hline 14:9, 16:21, & 95:11, 95:19, & 191:25, 217:15, & 152:10, 152:14, \\
\hline 40:15 & 98:5, 98:9, & 222:17, 226:6, & 166:2, 166:11, \\
\hline testified & 98:11, 99:3, & 229:10 & 170:3, 170:6, \\
\hline 7:16, 33:1, & 100:6, 103:3, & things & 199:5, 202:8, \\
\hline 48:14, 52:1, & 103:9, 105:10, & 28:16, 47:18, & 202:11, 202:21, \\
\hline 54:15, 61:6, & 134:18, 138:13, & \[
52: 23,103: 15
\] & 208:11, 215:12, \\
\hline 61:21, 63:15, & 140:11, 140:14, & \[
154: 20,191: 9,
\] & \[
232: 18
\] \\
\hline 64:12, 74:10, & 142:2, 152:19, & \[
192: 13,195: 8
\] & three-hour \\
\hline 75:4, 83:10, & 169:20, 178:15, & 208:8, 211:13, & \[
64: 22
\] \\
\hline 93:4, 93:20, & 180:18, 183:5, & \[
216: 5, \quad 220: 15
\] & three-year \\
\hline 98:6, 98:14, & 183:20, 184:18, & \[
226: 23,228: 25
\] & 202:23, 202:25 \\
\hline 101:3, 120:23, & 184:19, 188:17, & thinking & threshold \\
\hline 134:12, 138:9, & 188:22, 191:14, & \[
99: 12
\] & 14:4, 14:5, \\
\hline 138:10, 141:1, & 191:16, 197:8, & third & \[
16: 14
\] \\
\hline 141:8, 141:22, & 197:10, 197:20, & \[
11: 14,25: 7
\] & thriving \\
\hline 191:11, 191:23, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 201: 21, \quad 206: 12, \\
& 214 \cdot 5 .
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
154: 9
\] & \[
204: 16
\] \\
\hline 199:7, 203:19, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
214: 5, & 217: 6, \\
217: 9, & 221: 6,
\end{array}
\] & thirds & through \\
\hline 205:11, 208:5, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 217: 9, \quad 221: 6, \\
& 232: 17, \quad 233: 7,
\end{aligned}
\] & 150:17 & \[
4: 16,5: 7,5: 8,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 209:25, 212:21, } \\
& \text { 212:22, } 242: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
233: 24
\] & thirty & 6:1, 10:11, \\
\hline \[
244: 5
\] & tests & 199:16
thought & \[
21: 12,21: 24,
\] \\
\hline testifies & \(21: 12\)
text & 4:19, 21:25, & 21:25, 22:1, \\
\hline 118:17 & text & 26:19, 38:21, & 23:13, 24:16, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { testify } \\
& 47: 25,
\end{aligned}
\] & th & 45:21, 53:23, & \(24: 23, ~ 25: 2\),
\(28: 1,28: 11\), \\
\hline 58:8, 58:12, & 13:9, 23:13, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 64: 19, \quad 70: 4, \\
& 71: 9, \quad 85: 10,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & 28:16, 32:15, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 35:23, 45:6, & 60:20, 61:14, & 98:14, 99:3, & 151:12, 151:23, \\
\hline 46:12, 46:14, & 62:1, 64:3, & 99:8, 216:18, & 152:3, 155:17 \\
\hline 48:4, 49:12, & 65:2, 67:8, & 222:17, 224:22, & topping \\
\hline 50:12, 64:7, & 67:12, 70:8, & 230:16, 237:8 & 54:2 \\
\hline 68:17, 68:18, & 70:13, 70:25, & together & total \\
\hline 68:25, 74:17, & 72:18, 72:20, & 52:24, 69:23, & 16:3, 16:9, \\
\hline 99:2, 103:5, & 73:10, 73:20, & 100:11, 147:9, & 18:20, 101:12, \\
\hline 105:8, 106:11, & \(77: 2,83: 1\), & 161:12, 205:8, & 135:25, 136:24, \\
\hline 107:2, 109:18, & 83:8, 84:3, & 228:11 & 136:25, 137:2, \\
\hline 114:13, 123:24, & 85:15, 90:8, & tomorrow & 174:18, 186:4, \\
\hline 124:14, 131:12, & 90:10, 98:7, & 38:25, 46:20, & 236:5 \\
\hline 135:24, 147:20, & 98:13, 108:8, & 98:23, 99:9, & touched \\
\hline 149:10, 153:10, & 118:24, 126:23, & 206:9, 206:11, & 184:8 \\
\hline 155:17, 156:2, & 127:8, 133:12, & 206:15, 222:17, & touching \\
\hline 167:10, 167:20, & 133:16, 157:1, & 223:8, 230:13, & 228:8 \\
\hline 173:1, 177:1, & 157:4, 171:3, & 230:14, 244:20, & toward \\
\hline 184:13, 184:17, & 175:20, 194:11, & 245:25 & 212:8, 237:10, \\
\hline 184:20, 187:17, & 204:23, 205:14, & tones & 238:2 \\
\hline 199:2, 208:7, & 217:3, 225:3, & 103:12 & towards \\
\hline 218:1, 218:3, & 226:11, 230:2, & took & 25:8, 25:22, \\
\hline 224:5, 226:11, & 246:4 & 12:16, 12:21, & 26:9, 29:17, \\
\hline 226:23, 231:13 & timeline & 12:22, 12:24, & 30:4, 30:5, \\
\hline throughout & 68:1 & 13:1, 13:4, & 45:9, 54:2, \\
\hline 46:17, 64:25, & timely & 13:7, 13:11, & 56:14, 56:18, \\
\hline 90:6, 114:4, & 51:7, 73:8 & 17:12, 17:18, & 130:4, 130:13, \\
\hline 128:22, 130:5, & times & 26:20, 29:8, & 150:17, 151:4, \\
\hline 138:6, 152:25, & 7:8, 7:16, & 135:24, 201:9, & 178:7 \\
\hline 207:20, 236:23 & 28:2, 30:22, & 206:19 & town \\
\hline throw & \(31: 2,31: 3\), & tool & 102:18 \\
\hline 55:2 & \(31: 5,35: 16\), & 83:17 & townhouse \\
\hline tikvah & 47:14, 64:21, & tools & 59:11, 59:12 \\
\hline 11:3, 31:12, & 64:22, 83:7, & 131:2 & townhouses \\
\hline 31:21 & 83:8, 94:25, & top & 145:15, 145:16 \\
\hline tim & 113:24, 120:21, & 16:2, 44:11, & track \\
\hline 179:5 & 140:25, 155:13, & 52:21, 53:2, & 59:21, 73:7 \\
\hline time & 157:20, 162:4, & 53:8, 59:8, & tract \\
\hline 9:20, 17:7, & 245:20 & 60:7, 60:9, & 133:1, 135:17, \\
\hline 18:23, 24:5, & timing & 65:2, 110:17, & 135:25, 137:4 \\
\hline 24:10, 25:1, & 28:7, 28:20, & 110:23, 111:1, & traditional \\
\hline 27:13, 27:19, & 69:18 & 112:24, 125:7, & 145:22 \\
\hline 28:12, 28:16, & timothy & 137:16, 138:5, & traditionally \\
\hline \(30: 21,33: 7\), & 3:10 & 144:4, 151:5, & 156:5, 156:6 \\
\hline \(37: 5,48: 5\), & today & 162:6, 205:18 & traffic \\
\hline 48:10, 48:12, & 8:2, 9:4, & topic & \[
5: 14, \quad 5: 24,
\] \\
\hline 49:14, 49:17, & \[
15: 14, \quad 17: 10,
\] & 134:3, 235:4 & \[
7: 10, \quad 7: 17
\] \\
\hline 51:16, 52:7, & \[
17: 15, \quad 51: 17,
\] & topography & \[
7: 22, \quad 8: 19
\] \\
\hline \[
54: 21, \quad 55: 19,
\] & \[
60: 22,64: 5,
\] & \[
149: 5,149: 11,
\] & \[
8: 20, \quad 9: 3, \quad 9: 7,
\] \\
\hline 55:22, 57:14, & 74:14, 76:13, & 149:15, 150:20, & \[
9: 8, \quad 12: 15,
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 167: 19, \quad 167: 20, \\
& 167: 21, \quad 168: 16, \\
& 168: 17, \quad 173: 21, \\
& 181: 10 \\
& \text { university } \\
& 231: 22 \\
& \text { unless } \\
& 98: 23, \quad 178: 1, \\
& 224: 2 \\
& \text { unlike } \\
& 148: 1 \\
& \text { unlikely } \\
& 113: 21 \\
& \text { unmet } \\
& 130: 23 \\
& \text { unrealistic } \\
& 83: 16, \quad 84: 1 \\
& \text { unreasonable } \\
& 95: 24, \quad 96: 1 \\
& \text { unrelated } \\
& 119: 19, \quad 120: 8 \\
& \text { unsafe } \\
& 45: 19 \\
& \text { unseen } \\
& 89: 5 \\
& \text { unshielded } \\
& 214: 9 \\
& \text { until } \\
& 53: 14, \quad 60: 6, \\
& 68: 18, \\
& 94: 12,19, \\
& 189: 15 \\
& \text { unusable } \\
& 135: 1 \\
& \text { updated } \\
& 5: 8, ~ 41: 21, \\
& 127: 5 \\
& \text { updates } \\
& 90: 6 \\
& \text { upper } \\
& 109: 23, ~ 113: 7 \\
& \text { upstairs } \\
& 102: 17, \quad 121: 21, \\
& 122: 6 \\
& \text { urban } \\
& 237: 20 \\
& \text { usable } \\
& 137: 5 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 92:9, 92:13, & 55:5, 55:10, & videos & visual \\
\hline 93:21, 93:22, & 55:17, 56:4, & 25:11 & 141:19, 205:19, \\
\hline 94:7, 94:15, & 57:21, 65:13, & view & 212:17, 215:14, \\
\hline 95:25, 97:22, & 153:25 & 22:17, 83:25, & 215:24, 216:21, \\
\hline 135:7, 213:2 & vehicles & 96:1, 126:8, & 228:12, 228:13 \\
\hline values & 26:23, 29:11, & 152:15, 173:11, & visually \\
\hline 80:21, 80:22, & 29:24, 30:4, & 173:12, 181:3, & 141:21 \\
\hline 81:7, 84:16, & 30:9, 30:11, & 181:21, 202:3, & volume \\
\hline 84:19, 213:3 & \(30: 12,30: 13\), & 202:11, 202:16, & 16:12, 28:19, \\
\hline van & 31:8, 32:2, & 203:11, 205:20 & 29:2, 30:3, \\
\hline 76:11 & 32:11, 42:17, & viewed & 30:25, 31:3, \\
\hline variable & 51:10, 54:10, & 24:18, 26:14, & 42:19, 42:24, \\
\hline 42:23 & 54:18, 55:6, & 82:8 & 62:8 \\
\hline variance & 55:9, 55:13, & views & volumes \\
\hline 209:14 & 55:16, 55:23, & 135:11, 198:19 & 14:3, 14:4, \\
\hline varied & 56:12, 56:15, & vii & 33:3, 42:17, \\
\hline 153:25 & 62:18, 65:4, & 127:3 & 46:16 \\
\hline varies & 65:7, 122:23, & village & voluntarily \\
\hline 187:16 & 154:1, 205:21 & 76:25, 156:12, & 210:22 \\
\hline varieties & vehicular & 159:24 & W \\
\hline 203:15 & 34:3, 35:19, & vincent & w-a-n-k \\
\hline variety & 43:6 & \[
189: 13
\] & 71:15 \\
\hline 103:13, 155:17 & velocity & vines & wait \\
\hline various & \[
237: 13
\] & \[
209: 11
\] & 20:2, 37:15, \\
\hline \[
5: 25,6: 1,6: 7,
\] & verbal & visibility & \(47: 24,58: 12\), \\
\hline 46:13, 46:14, & \[
148: 18
\] & 149:5, 149:12, & \[
118: 22,142: 12,
\] \\
\hline 47:14, 47:15, & |verify
\[
187: 9
\] & 205:24, 211:17 & \[
150: 3, \quad 165: 15
\] \\
\hline 83:18, 137:13, & version & visible & 165:23, 167:14, \\
\hline 180:21, 191:12, & \[
42: 4
\] & 104:13, 122:15, & 224:23, 230:25, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 237: 1, \quad 238: 21 \\
& \text { vector }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
42:4 \\
versus
\end{tabular} & \(149: 19\)
vision & 242:8, 243:3 \\
\hline 152:24 & 27:19, 81:24, & 21:8, 21:13, & waiting
\[
28: 2,39: 7,
\] \\
\hline vegetated & 90:23, 92:6, & 21:14, 21:23, & \[
75: 17, \quad 223: 15
\] \\
\hline 185:25 & 97:1, 97:18, & 23:7 & walk \\
\hline vegetative & 116:13, 138:22, & visit & 7:8, 63:2, \\
\hline \[
114: 5, \quad 114: 8
\]
vehicle & 205:7, 215:6 vertical & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 120: 24, \quad 120: 25, \\
& 122: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & 103:5, 114:13, \\
\hline vehicle
\(10: 4,10: 20\), & \[
66: 17, \quad 169: 10
\] & 122:2, 187:8
visiting & 184:13, 184:17, \\
\hline \(10: 4,10: 20\),
\(10: 23, ~ 13: 21\), & vertically & visiting
\(102: 4,102: 23\), & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 184: 20,199: 2, \\
& 231: 13
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 14:9, 14:21, & 203:6 & 121:17, 123:13 & walked \\
\hline 16:2, 16:20, & viable & visitor & 242:16 \\
\hline 18:9, 23:2, & 105:22 & 65:16 & walking \\
\hline 23:25, 24:1, & vicinity & visitors & \[
36: 20,63: 8,
\] \\
\hline 24:2, 26:25, & 36:1, 137:9, & 10:5, 34:4, & \[
76: 14,76: 22,
\] \\
\hline 27:25, 28:25, & 139:22 & 52:6, 121:21 & \[
78: 16,78: 22,
\] \\
\hline 31:21, 52:2, & victory & visits & \[
78: 24,79: 1
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
52: 16, & 54: 17, \\
54: 19, & 54: 20,
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
82: 12
\] \\
video
\end{tabular} & \[
121: 2,121: 8 \text {, }
\] & \[
194: 3, \quad 200: 6
\] \\
\hline 54:19, 54:20, & 26:7, 63:2 & 187.9 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 67:9, 67:16, & 73:1, 73:13, & 61:20, 64:25, & zoned \\
\hline 67:22, 67:25, & 73:15, 74:6, & 72:18, 73:11, & 142:11, 145:20, \\
\hline 68:12, 68:15, & 78:19, 80:16, & 126:19, 127:4, & 152:23 \\
\hline 68:23, 69:24, & 83:4, 88:9, & 127:5, 133:3, & zones \\
\hline 71:19, 72:21, & 95:18, 97:11, & 133:4, 162:2, & 128:14, 131:6, \\
\hline 73:13, 74:3, & 100:10, 101:8, & 169:18, 183:8, & 143:13 \\
\hline \(74: 4,74: 6\), & 103:21, 104:14, & 192:8, 202:12, & zoning \\
\hline 194:21 & 106:7, 107:3, & 202:19, 203:2, & 1:1, 2:2, 7:19, \\
\hline wormald's & 108:14, 109:19, & 203:3, 204:21, & 32:15, 32:19, \\
\hline 72:15 & 109:22, 109:23, & 204:22, 204:23, & 34:3, 103:19, \\
\hline worried & 110:2, 110:20, & 205:1, 221:16, & 103:21, 103:24, \\
\hline 78:7 & 111:25, 112:1, & 226:14, 228:2, & 128:13, 131:5, \\
\hline worth & 113:2, 113:9, & 231:24, 232:1, & 131:8, 131:12, \\
\hline 228:2 & 113:10, 113:14, & 232:4, 234:20, & 132:4, 135:5, \\
\hline wouldn't & 113:16, 114:8, & 243:21, 243:23, & 135:15, 135:16, \\
\hline 21:2, 27:16, & 115:5, 116:8, & 243:25 & 136:7, 140:16, \\
\hline 50:23, 167:4, & 117:7, 120:10, & yellow & 141:13, 142:17, \\
\hline 193:22, 222:8 & 120:20, 121:4, & 184:23, 220:10, & 143:7, 143:9, \\
\hline wrap & 122:5, 122:6, & 220:11 & 143:10, 144:24, \\
\hline 230:17 & 122:13, 123:8, & yield & 145:1, 145:9, \\
\hline writing & 124:22, 125:12, & 156:23, 168:13, & 149:4, 153:1, \\
\hline 227:12 & 125:13, 125:18, & 168:14 & 153:2, 153:16, \\
\hline written & 129:3, 133:22, & Yourself & 155:6, 164:21, \\
\hline 55:15, 133:12, & 134:4, 143:1, & 190:25 & 172:7, 174:16, \\
\hline 133:17, 133:19, & 144:4, 144:5, & yourselves & 176:15, 176:21, \\
\hline 146:6, 153:11 & 149:1, 150:5, & 4:8 & 186:21, 187:3, \\
\hline wrong & 162:4, 163:7, & Z & 187:13, 198:1, \\
\hline 201:2 & 172:10, 179:1, & zero & 198:21, 199:25, \\
\hline Y & \[
190: 1,213: 25
\] & 21:8, 21:13, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 213: 8, \quad 213: 17, \\
& 216: 12, \quad 222: 23,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline yard & \[
220: 23,222: 1,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 21: 15, \quad 21: 23, \\
& 23: 7, \quad 118: 7,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
233: 3
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 139: 7, \quad 139: 8, \\
& 147: 24, \quad 166: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] & 224:7, 225:21, & \[
213: 3,216: 11,
\] & zoom \\
\hline 166:19, 174:14, & 239:8, 240:16, & \[
235: 10
\] & 103:18, 107:2, \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{lll}
166: 19, & 174: 14, \\
176: 13, & 177: 14
\end{array}
\] & 241:18, 241:19, & zip & 107:13, 107:16, \\
\hline yards & 245:10 & 84:8, 84:12 & 107:21, 109:3, \\
\hline 168:1 & year & zo & 109:22, 143:18, \\
\hline 168:1
yeah & 17:20, 22:15, & \[
216: 11
\] & 143:23, 143:24, \\
\hline yeah & 48:23, 72:19, & zone & \[
151: 6, \quad 181: 2,
\] \\
\hline 6:2, 13:4, & 133:3, 133:20, & zone & \[
204: 9,211: 11
\] \\
\hline 40:5, 41:3, & 180:2, 202:21, & \[
4: 4,139: 5,
\] & zoomed \\
\hline 41:9, 54:2, & 207:18, 207:20, & \[
139: 6,142: 6,
\] & 150:24 \\
\hline 54:25, 55:8, & 227:14, 235:14, & 142:10, 144:7, & zta \\
\hline 55:11, 55:16, & \[
237: 23
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
144: 24, & 152: 20, \\
152: 24, & 156: 5
\end{array}
\] & \[
132: 14,155: 2,
\] \\
\hline 56:14, 56:25, & year-old & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 152: 24,156: 5, \\
& 156: 6.170: 23 .
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
155: 6
\] \\
\hline 61:9, 64:18, & 131:20, 202:13 & \[
171: 12,177: 4,
\] & \$ \\
\hline \[
68: 1,68: 7,
\] & years
\[
17: 8, \quad 21: 22,
\] & 188:2, 188:4, & \$1,547,639 \\
\hline 69:3, 72:21, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
17: 8, & 21: 22, \\
22: 3, & 22: 16,
\end{array}\right.
\] & 213:11 & 84:13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \$1. 5 & 99:19 & 10610 & 122 \\
\hline 94:7 & 1,000 & 139:21 & 131:21, 132:25 \\
\hline \$2 & 16:13 & 107 & 124,824 \\
\hline 89:2, 94:8 & 1,350 & 100:15 & 105:16 \\
\hline \$2.6 & 14:4, 16:15 & 10811 & 125 \\
\hline 89:2 & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1,450 \\
& 14: 3, \quad 16: 14 \\
& 1-1
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 116: 11 \\
& 10817
\end{aligned}
\]} & \[
11: 20
\] \\
\hline & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 125,000 \\
& 105: 16
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline . 1 & & 139:17 & \\
\hline 213:9, 213:15 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1-1 \\
& 140: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & 10821 & \[
126
\] \\
\hline 213.9, 1213.15 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 140: 25 \\
& \mathbf{1 . 0 2}
\end{aligned}
\] & 116:10, 203:8 & 3:8, 3:9 \\
\hline 236:4 & \[
183: 25
\] & 10825 & 127 \\
\hline . 21 & 1.13 & 139:18 & 220:8 \\
\hline 137:22 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 234: 8 \\
& 1.2
\end{aligned}
\]} & 10827 & 129 \\
\hline . 58 & & 88:23 & 220:10 \\
\hline 210:2, 210:3, & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 42: 4 \\
& 1.5
\end{aligned}
\]} & 10835 & 13 \\
\hline 210:10, 210:15 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 139: 19 \\
& 10837
\end{aligned}
\]} & 168:4 \\
\hline \[
.59
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 32: 25 \\
& 10
\end{aligned}
\]} & & 13.4 \\
\hline 137:22 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 139: 20 \\
& 10901
\end{aligned}
\]} & 172:3 \\
\hline . 77 & 65:20, 65:25, & & 13.67 \\
\hline 137:21 & 72:18, 72:19, & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 139: 18 \\
& 10921
\end{aligned}
\]} & 136:1 \\
\hline 0 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
116: 8, & 118: 7 \\
127: 5, & 136: 18,
\end{array}
\] & & 1300 \\
\hline 0.0 & \(127: 5,136: 18\),
\(162: 2,168: 17\), & \[
72: 2, \quad 116: 14
\] & \(2: 11\)
134 \\
\hline 213:2 & 170:8, 178:19, & \[
99: 9,168: 22,
\] & 10:10, 41:22 \\
\hline 0.21 & 178:23, 200:1, & 192:8, 226:14, & \[
135
\] \\
\hline 137:21 & 202:13, 202:15, & 228:2 & 130:22 \\
\hline \[
00
\] & 202:19, 203:20, & 11.6 & 135,000 \\
\hline \[
27: 12,27: 14,
\] & 204:2, 204:21, & 140:23 & 105:24 \\
\hline \[
27: 19, \quad 30: 9,
\] & 205:2, 205:3, & 11001 & 1350 \\
\hline \[
47: 2,47: 16
\] & 228:5, 228:14, & 139:19 & \[
19: 3
\] \\
\hline \(48: 12, ~ 48: 19\),
\(49: 13, ~ 51: 11\), & \[
230: 4
\] & \[
111
\] & \[
136
\] \\
\hline \(49: 13,51: 11\),
\(52: 14,52: 23\), & 10.3 & \[
30: 12
\] & 218:19, 220:10 \\
\hline \(52: 14,52: 23\),
\(53: 7,53: 12\), & 134:15 & 1110 & 137 \\
\hline \(53: 7,53: 12\),
\(53: 14,56: 17\), & \[
100
\] & 7:2 & 141:10 \\
\hline \(53: 14, ~ 56: 17\),
\(56: 18,57: 24\), & 90:3, 124:20, & 1131 & 138 \\
\hline \(56: 18, ~ 57: 24\),
\(58: 1, ~ 99: 9\), & 235:14, 237:23 & 232:8 & 220:12 \\
\hline 58:1,
\(99: 13, ~ 99: 16, ~\) & 1000 & 115 & 139 \\
\hline \[
230: 5,246: 4
\] & \(111: 13, ~ 111: 19\),
\(112: 25\) & 182:10 & 100:9, 114:19, \\
\hline \[
01
\] & \(112: 25\)
10210 & 116 & 114:23, 114:24, \\
\hline \(1: 5,4: 2,8: 3\) & 13210 & 182:12, 182:16 & 220:11 \\
\hline \[
04
\] & \(139: 20\)
104 & \[
12
\] & 14. \\
\hline 99:19, 213:4 & 133:7 & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(76: 11\), \\
\(131: 9\), \\
\hline \(136: 17\),
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
201:25, 215:5 \\
14,000
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1 & 105 & 131:9, 136:17, & \[
14,000
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 99: 13, ~ 99: 16
\end{aligned}
\]} & 12:4, 12:8, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 201: 25, \quad 211: 19, \\
& 215: 6, \quad 246: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & 140 \\
\hline & 10609 & 23:19 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 101: 11, ~ 116: 24, \\
& 117: 4, \quad 218: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & 116:9 & 1200 & 220:11 \\
\hline & & 136:18 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 141 & 158 & 1f & 2014 \\
\hline 103:6, 220:12 & 184:13 & 32:16 & 128:13 \\
\hline 142 & 16 & 1st & 2015 \\
\hline 164:24 & 23:14, 33:23, & 180:2, 244:20, & 131:19 \\
\hline 143 & 108:16, 108:18, & 245:25 & 2016 \\
\hline 149:9, 169:6 & 108:19, 134:13, & 2 & 17:5 \\
\hline 144 & 174:25, 215:5 & 2,500 & 2017 \\
\hline 197:11, 223:23, & 16,000 & 101:6, 101:17, & 83:3 \\
\hline 224:2, 224:5 & 142:7 & 101:19 & 2018 \\
\hline 145 & 16.93 & 2-1 & 12:17, 16:24, \\
\hline 202: 6 & 136:4, 136:6, & 30:11 & 17:18, 17:21, \\
\hline 1450 & 136:9, 137:5 & 2.1 & 17:24, 18:21, \\
\hline 19:2, 33:3 & 160 & 211:3 & 18:24, 46:17, \\
\hline 146 & 100:16 & 2.10 & 47:12, 47:16, \\
\hline 206:1, 206:5 & 161 & 210:22 & 64:1, 163:5 \\
\hline 147 & 236:11, 247:12 & 2.64 & 2019 \\
\hline 209:4 & 163 & 136:22 & 16:24, 24:4, \\
\hline 148 & 30:9 & 20 & 24:7, 24:11, \\
\hline 211:8 & 168 & 25:21, 27:9, & \[
24: 12,25: 3
\] \\
\hline 149 & 225:7 & 27:19, 48:15, & \[
25: 5,26: 4
\] \\
\hline 215:22 & 17 & 55:10, 55:17, & 26:7, 45:24, \\
\hline 15 & 56:4, 56:12, & 55:19, 55:20, & \[
63: 20,63: 22,
\] \\
\hline 5:3, 23:13, & 64:13, 64:19, & 57:14, 70:11, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 64: 1, \quad 84: 9, \\
& 84: 12, \quad 84: 13,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \(23: 14\),
\(27: 19\),
\(29: 12\), & 65:6, 177:15, & 127:4, 136:18, & \[
133: 6, \quad 133: 7
\] \\
\hline \(27: 19, ~ 29: 12\),
\(57: 9,75: 17\), & 190:9 & 138:15, 157:5, & \[
2020
\] \\
\hline 57:9, \(75: 17\),
\(136: 8,168: 17\), & 170 115:8, & 157:13, 157:21, & 127:13, 133:8, \\
\hline \(136: 8,168: 17\),
\(168: 23,174: 18\), & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 115: 8, \quad 115: 21 \\
& 173
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(162: 2, ~ 187: 20\), \\
\(237: 24\), \\
\hline 1330
\end{tabular} & 133:22, 181:17, \\
\hline \(168: 23,174: 18\),
\(199: 10,245: 20\) & 66:11 & \(237: 24,243: 20\),
\(243: 22,243: 25\), & 182:4 \({ }^{\text {133.22, }}\) \\
\hline 150 & 174 & \[
244: 6,245: 20
\] & 2021 \\
\hline 124:6, 201:4, & 188:7 & 20.5 & 12:20, 12:21, \\
\hline 224:2 & 179 & 187:17 & 12:22, 13:12 \\
\hline 1500 & 3:10 & 200 & 2022 \\
\hline 112:4, 112:5 & 18 & 7:2, 29:13, & 1:12, 10:13, \\
\hline 151 & 165:13, 165:14, & 142:6, 142:10, & 12:19, 12:22, \\
\hline 199:2, 200:19, & 165:20, 183:8 & 142:11, 142:16, & 13:7, 13:9, \\
\hline 224:1, 224:3 & 19 & 142:17, 143:9, & 13:10, 13:11, \\
\hline 152,655 & 203:1 & 143:12, 143:15, & 13:13, 13:17, \\
\hline 105:25 & 19.8 & 143:19, 144:7, & 17:11, 17:12, \\
\hline 154 & 133: 6 & 144:16, 144:24, & 20:13, 23:12, \\
\hline 138:25, 139:13, & 1920 & 145:15, 145:20, & 24:11, 25:24, \\
\hline 139:16, 140:8, & 180:7 & 146:9, 146:20, & 26:3, 29:8, \\
\hline 158:7, 180:4 & 1941 & 157:11, 175:6 & 48:24, 61:19, \\
\hline 155 & 125:14 & 2000 & 64:6, 133:23, \\
\hline 181: 4 & 196 & 133:2, 133:3 & 182:6, 247:13 \\
\hline 156 & 3:11 & \[
2011
\] & 2040 \\
\hline 182:10 & 1994 & 226:14 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 131: 19,132: 23 \\
& 206
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
157
\] & 128: 6 & & \[
2: 16
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 20814 & 232:1 & 34 & 447896 \\
\hline 2:10 & 29.24 & 16:5, 220:9 & 1:23 \\
\hline 20850 & 136:12 & 342 & 45 \\
\hline 2:17 & 3 & 133:17 & 8:9, 18:2, \\
\hline 20854 & 3 & 35 & 18:6, 26:11, \\
\hline 72:3, 84:8, & 178:24 & 139:8, 148:2, & 122:20, 137:4 \\
\hline 84:12 & 3,000 & 150:20, 158:2, & 45,096 \\
\hline 21,000 & 41:14, 101:6, & 167:9, 174:12, & 105:17 \\
\hline 190:19 & 101:17, 101:19 & 177:19, 178:9 & 4592 \\
\hline 21.5 & 3,300 & 35,000 & 116:14 \\
\hline 187:17 & 101:13, 101:16, & 190:18 & \[
46
\] \\
\hline 21108 & 102:12 & \[
36
\] & 220:10, 221:9 \\
\hline 232:9 & 30 & 15:21, 127:12, & 46,000 \\
\hline 22 & 5:3, 9:20, & 138:16 & 132:23 \\
\hline 1:5, 4:2, 8:2, & 23:18, 26:9, & 36,000 & 47 \\
\hline 63:17, 136:21 & 26:11, 26:18, & 185:1 & 76:7 \\
\hline 22,000 & 26:20, 26:21, & 360 & 48 \\
\hline 142:7 & 26:24, 26:25, & 206:22 & 23:11 \\
\hline 225 & 27:3, 27:9, & 38 & 5 \\
\hline 100:22, 116:4 & 27:19, 42:2, & 18:17, 141:17, & \\
\hline 227 & 53:13, 53:14, & 141:18, 152:25, & 30:9, 47:2, \\
\hline 30:3 & 73:11, 75:3, & 220:12 & 47:16, 230:5, \\
\hline 231 & 99:19, 141:5, & 39 & 246:5 \\
\hline 3:12 & 158:3, 162:2, & 40:15, 41:18, & 50 \\
\hline 24 & 168:16, 199:13, & 41:25, 209:1, & \[
15: 9,15: 10,
\] \\
\hline 178:24, 214:8 & 199:15, 222:9, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 219: 25,220: 11 \\
& 3 \text { rd }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
15: 11,15: 20,
\] \\
\hline 247 & \[
244: 20
\] & | 3rd & 138:16, 141:4, \\
\hline 1:24 & \[
30,000
\] & 13:10 & 141:13, 141:15, \\
\hline 25 & 185:6, 185:16, & 4 & 147:24, 148:8, \\
\hline 13:9, 137:4, & 186:6 & 4 & 166:18, 166:19, \\
\hline 170:23, 171:13, & 30.6 & 53:14 & 166:23, 175:3, \\
\hline 218:13, 218:19 & 135:25, 137:20 & 4.93 & 177:14, 178:6, \\
\hline 250 & 300 & 136:13, 136:16 & 178:9, 198:2, \\
\hline 11:17, 11:18, & 146:3 & 40 仡 & 215:12 \\
\hline 21:21, 22:3, & 3000 & 40:15, 75:7, & 500 \\
\hline 136:9 & 41:14, 146:3 & \[
75: 8,75: 11,
\] & \[
11: 24,111: 7,
\] \\
\hline 251 & \[
301
\] & 75:13, 104:7, & \[
111: 15
\] \\
\hline 18:10 & 2:11, 2:18 & 141:5, 141:6, & 53 \\
\hline 252 & \[
31
\] & 141:17, 162:2, & 138: 4 \\
\hline 15:2 & 1:12, 10:13, & 220:11, 220:13 & 54 \\
\hline 254 & 138:16, 203:4, & 400 & 18:12, 140:7 \\
\hline 15:3 & 232:4 & 138:25, 140:7, & 545 \\
\hline 26 & 32 & 140:8, 158:9 & 2:18 \\
\hline 103:24 & 103:23, 104:9, & 401 & 5489 \\
\hline 28 & 220:8, 220:12 & 2:16 & 235:12, 236:3, \\
\hline 220:9 & 33 & \[
435
\] & \[
236: 8
\] \\
\hline \[
29
\] & 1:13 & 136:19, 136:20 & \[
55
\] \\
\hline 8:9, 136:11, & & & 180:24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 550 & 7.31 & 29:12, 47:2, & 136:20, 136:22 \\
\hline 112:16 & 32:16 & 47:16, 48:12, & 986 \\
\hline 5800 & 7.67 & 48:15, 48:19, & 2:11 \\
\hline 116:6, 116:13, & 137:2 & 49:13, 52:14, & \\
\hline 116:15 & 70 & 52:23, 53:12, & \\
\hline 6 & 139:7, 142:21, & 56:18, 57:9, & \\
\hline 6 & 214:7, 214:21 & 57:14 & \\
\hline 30:9, 53:13, & 700 & 8,000 & \\
\hline \[
75: 3
\] & 2:9 & 101:20 & \\
\hline 6,000 & 7000 & 800 & \\
\hline 101: 4 & 186:5 & 111:19 & \\
\hline 6.44 & 73 & 8000 & \\
\hline 213:8 & 8:10 & 157:11, 157:12 & \\
\hline 60 & 74 & \[
84
\] & \\
\hline 52:10, 75:7, & 3:6, 8:8, & 131:20, 132:24 & \\
\hline 75:8, 75:11, & 10:16, 12:1, & 841 & \\
\hline 75:13, 214:9 & 14:20, 15:8, & 125:14 & \\
\hline 61 & 16:18, 18:1, & 85 & \\
\hline 235:20 & 100:16, 131:19, & 23:16, 23:19, & \\
\hline 6100 & 136:10, 136:13, & 108:20, 122:19, & \\
\hline 2:18 & 239:22, 247:10 & 131:20, 132:24 & \\
\hline 64 & 75 & 8750 & \\
\hline 12:3, 12:8, & 131:20, 132:24, & 116:7, 116:10, & \\
\hline 15:9, 15:10, & 160:16, 163:10 & 116:11 & \\
\hline 15:15, 15:18, & 750 & 8th & \\
\hline 15:20, 18:14 & 127:13, 133:14, & 13:8 & \\
\hline 65 & 133:17, 133:21 & 9 & \\
\hline 131:13, 131:15, & 7520 & 9 & \\
\hline 133:4, 138:4 & 116:10 & 1:13, 52:14, & \\
\hline 66 & 76 & 52:23, 53:7, & \\
\hline 3:5 & 29:11, 29:24, & 53:12, 53:14, & \\
\hline 6782 & 54:17, 54:18, & 244:20, 246:4 & \\
\hline 116:11 & 138:3 & 9,000 & \\
\hline 68 & 76,106 & 101:20, 102:11 & \\
\hline 18:13, 18:15 & 105:18 & 9.9 & \\
\hline 6834 & 7600 & 133:3 & \\
\hline 116:11 & 2:9 & 90 & \\
\hline 7 & 77 & 102:20, 161:14, & \\
\hline \[
7
\] & \(138: 4\)
79 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 171: 13 \\
& 9000
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
27: 12, & 27: 14, \\
27: 19, & 29: 12,
\end{array}
\] & 3:7 & 120:5 & \\
\hline 27:19, 29:12, & 7th & 94 & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 48: 19,51: 11, \\
& 53: 7.53: 12 .
\end{aligned}
\] & 186:24 & 241:10 & \\
\hline  & 8 & 96 & \\
\hline 57:9, 57:24, & & \[
8: 11, \quad 10: 17,
\] & \\
\hline 58:1, 75:3 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 25: 21, \quad 26: 11, \\
& 27: 9, \quad 27: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll}
12: 2, & 14: 21, \\
15: 8, & 16: 18,
\end{array}\right.
\] & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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