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| 1 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 application pursuant to the criteria set forth, not only in |
| 2 | 2 that use standard that I just cited, but pursuant to Article |
| 3 | 3 59, Section 7.3.1. This is a remand. But, however, I will be |
| 4 | 4 issuing a decision. And if you disagree with my decision, you |
| 5 | 5 may appeal it back to the Board of appeals within 10 days after |
| 6 | 6 my issue -- after my decision is issued. |
| 7 | $7 \quad$ So what I'd like to do right now is go through |
|  | 8 and letts identify all of the parties. Let's make sure |
| 9 transcript of audio-recorded hearing | 9 everybody's microphones work, cameras work that we need to. |
| 10 in re: chapingo investments, llc | 10 So I will start with, let's see, Mr. Hughes. |
| 11 LandScape contractor business application | 11 <br> MR. HUGHES: Yes. Hi. Good morning. Sean |
| 12 TUESDAY, JUNE 27, 2023 | 12 Hughes here. I'mhere with my client, Mr. Alvarez, Mr. |
| 13 ll 13 9:30 A.M. | 13 Sekerak; and also, Mr. Alvarez's general counsel, Nelson |
| 15 CASE No. CU 22-07 | 14 Moskowitz, who also assisted me. And remotely, we have |
| 16 | 15 Mike -- I know that you're going to have them |
| 17 | 16 introduce -- Mike Nalepa and Kim Currano. |
| 18 | 17 My screen may show up as Jody Klein. If it does, |
| 19 | 18 I'm sorry, Ms. Byrne. He's my manager, and I guess the |
| 20 | 19 account is under him, but Sean Hughes here. Thank you. |
| 21 | 20 MS. BYRNE: Okay. All right. Awesome. Thank |
| 22 | 21 you. All right. |
| 23 Job No.: 486895 | 22 We'll go -- I see Ms. Currano. Ms. Currano, if |
| 24 Pages: 1-134 | 23 you could just make sure that your microphone works, and if |
| 25 Transcribed by: Bonnie Torrez, CET-1213 | 24 you could identify yourself. |
|  |  |
| 2 | 4 |
| $1 \quad$ PROCEEDINGS | 1 a professional engineer for Stantec, and I'm working with |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER: (Audio begins | 2 Sean Hughes. |
| 3 midsentence) -- I will be the hearing examiner today for | 3 MS. BYRNE: All right. Thank you so much. |
| 4 the remand of 22-07 Chapingo from the -- back from the | 4 Mr. Nalepa? |
| 5 Board of Appeals. | 5 MR. NALEPA: Yep. Mike Nalepa with Street |
| 6 So what I'm going to do right now is I'm going to | 6 Traffic Studies, again, working with the applicant. |
| 7 start the record button. And this recording is for | 7 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Awesome. Thank |
| 8 the -- let's see -- it's for the court reporter only. | 8 you. |
| 9 It's not letting me record. Give me one second. | 9 Mr. Ryan? |
| 10 Well, for whatever reason, it's not allowing me | 10 MR. RYAN: Jim Ryan and Karen Ryan. And we |
| 11 to record, which is great because we have a court reporter | 11 are -- we live on Burnt Hill Road, and we're going to speak |
| 12 here. So hopefully, all will go well. It's rare that we | 12 in opposition. |
| 13 ever have to go back to the Teams recording for the court | 13 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. |
| 14 reporter. | 14 Mr. Hartsock? |
| 15 And Ms. Johnson, if you wouldn't mind giving it a | 15 MR. HARTSOCK: Also off Burnt Hill Road. |
| 16 try to see if maybe you can record on your end. It should | 16 Everything seems to be working. Thank you. |
| 17 allow me because I am the person that organized the | 17 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. And you'll be |
| 18 meeting, but if not, again, not the end of the world. | 18 testifying in opposition, sir? |
| 19 All right. So we'll go ahead and get started. | 19 MR. HARTSOCK: I will be, yes. Thank you. |
| 20 This is a public hearing on the remand of conditional use | 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. |
| 21 application for the operation of a landscape contractor | 21 Mr. Hunt? |
| 22 business in an AR zone under use standards as set forth in | 22 MR. HUNT: It's Tim and Jackie Hunt. We own the |
| 23 Article 59, Section 3.5.5. | 23 farm on the south edge of the Chapingo property. And we |
| 24 Again, my name is Katie Byrne. I will listen to | 24 will be speaking in opposition. |
| 25 testimony, review evidence, and render an opinion on the | 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Let's see. |
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| ( 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 I have a Jacqueline Newell Hunt? | 1 particular order. |
| 2 MS. HUNT: Yes. Timothy just introduced himself. | 2 Either way I can run the share-the-screen, or if |
| 3 He will be speaking on our behalf. Our -- as he said, we | 3 you want to do that for your exhibits, you can. Up to you. |
| 4 are adjacent to the Chapingo property, and we live at 12304 | 4 MR. HUGHES: In the past I've had -- or OZAH has |
| 5 Prices Distillery Road. | 5 always led that, so I'll continue with th |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. Thank you. | 6 if that's okay. Thank you |
| 7 Ramon Espin? Mr. Espin? | 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Perfectly fine. Absolutely. |
| 8 MR. ESPIN: Yes. Good morning, everyone. Ramon | 8 A hundred perce |
| 9 Espin here. I am just observing today's hearing. | 9 So the way that -- as far as -- for those of you |
| 10 | 10 who are here in opposition, is there one -- I understand |
| 11 I'mjust trying to get a handle on who's speaking and who's | 11 one or two of you are speaking for others. Is there any |
| 12 not. So what might happen, just for everybody, as we go | 12 particular order in which you want to testify, or should we |
| 13 through, I'll probably call people's names out to make sure | 13 just roll through it when we get there? |
| 14 that we cover everybody and make sure everybody who wants | 14 Mr. Hartsock, I'm sorry. You're muted. I can't |
| 15 to speak has an opportunity to speak | 15 hear you. |
| 16 And Peter Atta? Again, looks like DHCA | 16 MR. HARTSOCK: I'm okay with going with the flow. |
| 17 MR. ATTA: Yeah, I'mjust here to observe as | 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. Sounds |
| 18 w | 18 good. All right. So just to go through what the procedure |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Awesome. Thank | 19 w |
| 20 | 20 What you say will be under oath, and you may b |
| 21 | 21 asked questions about your testimony. The proceedings will |
| 22 looks like I think I've gotten everybody, going through the | 22 follow in a certain order. We can get opening statements. |
| 23 list of participants. So I'mjust going to go through a | 23 Mr. Hughes, we'll get an opening statement from |
| 24 little housekeeping | $24 \text { you. }$ |
| 25 The first thing I would ask is if you are not | 25 I would ask that we get -- if an opening |
| $\square 6$ | 88 |
| 1 speaking that you keep your microphone muted; it helps keep | 1 statement would like to be made by those in opposition, I |
| 2 down with the feedback. You can keep your cameras on | 2 would request that just one of you make that opening |
| 3 you'd like, but -- or you can turn them off when you're no | 3 statement, if you have a necessarily a speaker for the |
| 4 speaking. What I would ask is if you are speaking that | 4 group. The order will be that the appellant will go first, |
| 5 your camera and mic both be o | 5 meaning Mr. Hughes and his client, in their factual case. |
| 6 We ask that people not interrupt each other or | 6 Then the party in opposition will go with their factual |
| 7 conduct crosstalk because it's a really difficult for th | 7 case upon completion of the appellant's case. And then any |
| 8 court reporter to record two people talking at one time. | 8 other interested persons will present a factual case with |
| 9 Right? I'll also try to do that myself. Sometimes, I | 9 an opportunity for cross-examination. The appellant will |
| 10 think we all will tend to get a little sometimes excited | 10 have essentially the last word; they will be allowed to put |
| 11 and we start to talk over each other. So just remind each | 11 on rebuttal. |
| 12 other that we need to not speak when someone else is | 12 And then closing statements. Again, Mr. Hughes |
| 13 speaking. | 13 will have an opportunity to make a closing statement for |
| 14 Let's see. If you have a question, we're going | 14 his client. And if possible, I would -- there could be one |
| 15 to use the raise-your-hand feature or function. So please | 15 speaker for the group in opposition who would like to sum |
| 16 don't use the chat because what I find is I'm not able to | 16 up and give a closing statement. |
| 17 pay attention and watch and keep track of the chat as well | 17 The first thing that I would like to do right now |
| 18 So you can turn your camera on and wave if you're having an | 18 is go through and share screen on the list of exhibits and |
| 19 issue or have a question, or use the raise-your-hand | 19 see if we can identify. Can everyone see this? |
| 20 function that we have in Team | 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. |
| 21 Mr. Hughes, I will ask, do you wish to run th | 21 HEARING EXAMINER: On the screen? All right. |
| 22 share-the-screen and pull the exhibits from the website, or | 22 MR. HUGHES: I can. |
| 23 would you like me to do that? I'm happy to go either way, | 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And if we could go |
| 24 because sometimes I know applicants like to jump around | 24 through, is there anyone that has any specific objection to |
| 25 from exhibit to exhibit and they might have things in a | 25 any of the exhibits? Now I'm going to scroll down a little |

bit to, really, the ones that have been submitted here at the end. So are there any specific objections to any of the exhibits that we have identified on OZAH's website?

All right. Hearing none, Then we're going to deem that all the -- all of the exhibits that are listed on the website are going to be deemed admitted and can be referred to during testimony if need be.

All right. Back again to procedure and testimony. Cross-examination is your opportunity to ask questions. It's not your opportunity to necessarily give speeches or testimony. Everybody has an opportunity to give testimony and have the opportunity to cross-examine witnesses.

All right. So based on -- approval of this will be based on the criteria as I had mentioned before in Article 59.7.3.1. And so please focus that testimony on that.

And I would remind everybody that this is a remand. Right? So there were three very specific points that the Board of Appeals ask that we focus our testimony and review on. And that included the onsite bathroom requirement, the mobile workforce requirement, and the Rustic Roads -- conformance with the 1996 Rustic Roads functional plan.

All right. So if there are no further questions
on procedure, we will go ahead and get started. So we can
start with opening statements.
Mr. Hughes?
MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam Hearing Examiner.
Before I do that, I just had a quick preliminary matter or two to run by you.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. HUGHES: Let's see. The first one is when I
-- I do have, like I said -- well, and I can reveal. My
0 plan proactively is to probably only utilize two
witnesses --

## HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. HUGHES: -- although I have (inaudible) here, 14 so that is Mr. Sekerak and Mike Nalepa. For Mr. Sekerak's 15 testimony, he's here with me, I will attempt to kind of 16 turn my computer so that he's more visible than me. I'll 17 be off camera or slightly visible for questions if that's 18 okay.
19 HEARING EXAMINER: That's perfect. 20 MR. HUGHES: Okay. The other item I wanted to 21 run by you is -- and we are obviously fully committed to
22 being here as long as we need to be today. But we do
23 have -- and so I'm here all day. We do have two items I
24 want to bring to your attention.
25
to testify at least proactively, he does have a international flight tonight for a family legal matter out of the country. So he will have to leave around 2-ish if we're not done. But he knows that we can continue, that this is going to be -- there's a transcript, and that we can -- the team here can continue with or without his presence --

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. HUGHES: -- with your permission. And the second one is Mr. Sekerak, he can be here as late as 5 p.m. And I'll make sure I'm done with him. If we're not done by then, he also has a flight out of -- out of the state that he needs to leave to by 5 p.m. today.
14 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'm hopeful that we 15 will not be here past 5:00. My goal is that we will go as 16 long as we can. We, you know, take consensus breaks for 17 those of us if we need a bathroom break or if there's a 18 natural stopping point for if people need to grab something 9 to eat.
20 But my hope is that we do 10 - or 15-minute 21 bathroom breaks. And if we need to break for lunch, it 2 would be a 30-minute break, if that's all right with 3 everybody. I think everyone on hand would like to just 4 move forward and try to finish if we can today.

MR. HUGHES: That sounds good to me.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
MR. HUGHES: Okay. So appreciate that. With that, I will start my opening statement. Thank you, Hearing Examiner.

And Sean Hughes here from Miller, Miller \& Canby here for the applicant, Mr. Jose Alvarez, who is here with me in the room. Mr. Alvarez is the owner of Chapingo Tree Care and also of Chapingo Investments. Chapingo Investments owns the 32 -acre farm. Chapingo Tree Care is asking to utilize about three-plus acres in the middle of the farm and obviously access to that conditional use area. 1 Mr. John Sekerak, again, he's here with me. He's Stantec -- from Stantec and was our expert witness last 6 hearing for the land -- as a land use planner, a land use 7 landscape architect.
18 Another point of clarification: since this is a 19 remand, I'm assuming that the expert witnesses were already
20 recognized as that and I can go right into their testimony? 1 Okay. Thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER: You can, yeah. There's no 3 need to pre-qualify them. I have the transcript from 24 before. We have the hearing from before. So if they were 25 admitted as experts previously, they're admitted as experts

## for this hearing.

MR. HUGHES: And as we said, Mr. Mike Nalepa from
Street Traffic Studies. He was recognized as an expert in
transportation planning and traffic engineer, and he is remote, as you saw.

Kim Currano, Stantec PE and civil engineering, is remote. Again, I mentioned Mr. Nelson Moskowitz, who's Mr. Alvarez's general counsel, and he has been -- he and I have been working together on this application.

So I think I got through kind of the preliminary
introduction. So I guess I'd like to start by saying that,
well, appreciate the opportunity. And I'm a big believer
in that a picture's worth a thousand words. And there's
some very nice exhibits and visuals in this case. And as
attorneys, we have a tendency to talk too much. I've said
this before, and I'll probably talk too much today, but
this is a pretty robust case as far as the exhibits and
evidence in it.
But if I could, I would ask MadamHearing
Examiner, if you could first pull up Exhibit 51, and also
your guidance. I know -- you gave good guidance that we're
going to focus on the three topics, which certainly makes
sense. That's the remand. I just want to see if Madam
Hearing Examiner would like a quick two- or three-minute
overview of the case, the facts, and then go right into
those points. Or if you want me to skip right over a
little summary of where the property's located and what we're asking for.

HEARING EXAMINER: It's up to you. I've read the prior decision. I've reviewed the transcript. I've read
the Board of Appeals decision, looked at the exhibits. If you'd like to give me a quick summary of what happened before, that's fine. And then go through the site plan.

MR. HUGHES: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER: Or, I'm sorry, the aerial.
MR. HUGHES: Yeah. Thank you. Okay. Could you slide -- or maybe I can do it. No, I can't. Can you slide it down just a little bit?

HEARING EXAMINER: Let's see. Oh, like this?
MR. HUGHES: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. HUGHES: Like that. Yep. Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER: There you go.
MR. HUGHES: There you go.
HEARING EXAMINER: I see.
MR. HUGHES: So, yes, the dark yellow line shows
the Chapingo property. You can see there's a long access
23 drive. It's about 1,200 feet off of Prices Distillery. It
24 takes you down to the middle, which is the conditional use
25 area.
13

1
2 acre farm. A landscape contractor conditional use is what we're asking for. They're going to use about three-plus acres in that middle area that's marked and obviously have access to it. It is, like I said, about 1,200 feet off the road, which is about a little over 13-plus basketball courts in a row.

There are no new structures being proposed here. There's two existing ag buildings that have been there.
One of them is old, been there a long time. The other one 1 was built around 2012, which was before Mr. Alvarez bought 2 the property in -- around 2020.

I say no new structures. I guess technically 4 there is some fencing that's required under the application 15 being proposed down by the compound area. So there's some 16 fencing, which would be technically a new structure, but 17 we're really not looking to alter the property or we don't 8 desire to alter the property.

And then as -- and the record mentioned that there is some alteration to the access drive related to making sure we would comply with fire access. And there's kind of a unique thing -- it's in the record -- also shows a telephone pole -- or power pole, I should say, that's 4 just slightly off the road as you start going down the access drive but very close to the road.

1 So what we're asking for here is, as was amended at the last hearing, maximum of 19 staff members on site at one time, maximum of 14 company vehicles overnight on site, and that would include two small pickups, and a maximum of 10 company vehicles being utilized during the day. And that includes three small pickup vehicles.

Staff comes in in the morning time. I think our official hours we're asking for are 6 a.m to $6: 30$ p.m, although staff may come in closer to 6:30 a.m. But they're 0 in there for about 45 minutes, kind of getting set up, getting organized, having a safety meeting, and then going out to their clients' properties to work on them

And the property is pretty much vacant the rest 4 of the day. They will come back towards the end of the day 15 when they're done on their different job sites. They come 16 in ; they drop off the vehicles, do a little cleanup, put 17 some equipment away, and essentially, then can head home. And, you know, that takes about 30 minutes typically.

So I say it's pretty much vacant. There's no 20 office there. We're not asking for an office. There's no
21 house on site. Occasionally, management or staff may have
22 to come back and switch out a vehicle, pick up a tool, or 23 do some additional cleaning, but that's the exception.
24 It's pretty rare. Usually it's very quiet during the day
25 for the business, and certainly it's silent at nighttime

| 17 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 when we're not there for business hours and silent and | 1 contractor also means providing snow removal |
| 2 quiet on Sundays when the business is closed | 2 services with vehicles, equipment, supplies th |
| 3 I said we don't need an office. Mr. Alvarez has | 3 are stored, parked, serviced, or loaded at the |
| 4 a typical office, which you can see Exhibit 42-A. We have | 4 business location. Landscape contractor includes |
| 5 pictures of that office. It's up in Urbana/Frederick | 5 tree installation, maintenance, or remo |
| 6 which is a few miles north. And that traditional office is | 6 Landscape contractor does not include lawn and |
| 7 where office-type work is done. They communicate with | 7 maintenance services (see Section 3.5.14 G, Law |
| 8 customers. If you were to continue, it would | 8 Maintenance Services). |
| 9 show -- that's the map of the area, and a few more pictur | 9 So why I bring that up is, so I said tree care |
| 10 shows that's the outside of the business, and some inside | 10 does fit that definition towards the end. It's a part of |
| 12 So they do, you know, accounting there. They do | 12 things happening for landscape contractor. They could |
| 13 billing. They do marketing. They do communications and | 13 happen. |
| 14 calls with clients. Mr. Alvarez himself is usually in | 14 So why, again, I think this is an ideal location, |
| 15 there ab | 15 an ideal application is it's |
| 16 so he's at the site in the morning. | 16 give some comparisons to some other ones that have |
| 17 doing sales or wo 18 office quite a bit. | 17 approved. And the actual activity there is much less t 18 a typical landscape contractor, a full-scale landscape |
| 19 So essentially, this is what we're asking for | 19 contractor, and thus, much less than the legislature or |
| 20 And I -- it's called a landscape contractor. That's what | 20 county council imagined when they permitted these by |
| 21 fits the definition. I'll go into that a little bit more | 21 conditional use in these areas and in this zone. So, you |
| 22 later. But it is a tree care company. And tree car | 22 know, we -- we're trees. That's what we do, everything |
| 23 actually is a lot less impactful, I would | 23 tree relat |
| 24 full-fledged landscape contractor. And I'll go into that a | 24 So there's no hardscape, there's no mulch on |
|  |  |
| 18 | 20 |
| $1 \quad$ But as was noted, the decision by | 1 materials, no office, no staff on site throughout the day. |
| 2 met all the code criteria except for the two big a | 2 There's no snow plowing. So whenever there's snow storms, |
| 3 which were the adequate public facilities and then the | 3 we don't have 24/7 all-hours-of-the-day trucks coming and |
| 4 rustic road, which as you noted earlier, is those | 4 going, people coming and going. We don't work on |
| 5 buckets. But there's three questions on the remand from | 5 stormwater management issues, which is permitted by a |
| 6 the Board of Appeals | 6 landscape contractor. Customers do not come visit th |
| 7 So with that, I will go into a little bit more of | 7 site. |
| 8 what we're trying to accomplish here through those three | 8 And again, I think we have small number |
| 9 points. | 9 relatively speaking, of staff and vehicles versus others |
| 10 I would say we believe this is an ideal locatio | 10 that were anticipated and others that have been approved in |
| 11 for those -- for this type of use, considering the size of | 11 recent years. Again, I'll -- I'm going to mention that in |
| 12 the property and the size of the business. County council | 12 a little bit, give some examples. |
| 13 certainly allows this type of use by conditional use in | 13 One of the things I'd like to do is just |
| 14 this zone, in this area. One of the things they require is | 14 briefly -- if you could go back, Madam Hearing Examiner, to |
| 15 that the lot size be at least two acres. So in this case, | 15 the first exhibit we pulled up, which is 51. And I just |
| 16 we're 32 acres; we're 16 times the minimum requirement. | 16 want to offer up the words from Exhibit 45-B, which you |
| 17 And regarding the definition of landscape | 17 don't need to pull up. I'm just going to read quickly. |
| ntractor. That's in 3.55, it says, | 18 This is from -- once 51 comes up. This is from the |
| 19 'Landscape contractor means b | 19 property owner and the farmer right across the street |
| 20 designing, installing, planting, or maintaining | 20 Their driveway looks directly into ours. That's Mr. Gene |
| 21 lawns, gardens, hardscapes, water features, | 21 Walker. |
| 22 outdoor structures, decorative featur | 22 And what Mr. Walker wrote in his email -- or I'll |
| 23 stormwater and drainage feature, or other | 23 read part of what he wrote in Exhibit 45-B. But |
| 24 activities intended to enhance the appearance or | 24 his -- again, his property is right across the street from |
| 25 usefulness of outdoor areas. Landscape | 25 our property in the driveway. I believe his farm is over a |

hundred acres.
And he wrote, The Alvarez property is directly
across from the entrance of our farm/home. We
have a complete view of the property in the
appeal. We've met Mr. Alvarez and used the
services of his company for tree maintenance on
our farm. His buildings sit in the middle of a
32-plus acres. No neighbor is closer than a
thousand feet from the building he is using.
His last paragraph, he wrote, We support Mr.
Alvarez's operation as it's no different,
distracting, dangerous (twice a day, coming and
going) or violating of agricultural use than the
school buses, dump trucks, tractor trailers, farm
equipment, and speeding cars are on our
(indiscernible) country road. As far as we
concerned, we -- as far as we are concerned, tree
removal/care is agricultural, as is our farming
the code criteria and make a decision based on that. But I
did want to bring it up for the context of his position and
kind of what falls into our arguments of impact.
So we are -- I'd like to move into the substance
of the topic areas.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. HUGHES: So some of the other landscaping
cases that I mentioned I was going to talk about earlier
that have been applied for and/or approved. There's one
that's -- there's a fair amount in the record about -- and
I'm going to talk about some today as well. And that's the
Emerald Landscaping case, which was right around the corner
at 25538 Burnt Hill Road. It's about 200 feet south of
intersection of Prices Distillery and Burnt Hill Road.
It did get a positive staff report. It did not
go all the way through to OZAH. Case number 1609. So why
I bring that up is that's a landscape contractor. It was
literally one-tenth of a mile as the crow flies away from
our property. It was also much more intense application.
Had -- was asking for 60 staff members, which is more than
three times our 19, 20-plus trucks. And it was only on
four plus acres. So it was near -- we're 32 acres. It was
nearly eight times smaller than our application.
It required developing an undeveloped property,
so putting a new drive onto the rustic road. And two new
buildings were being proposed. It was going to have an office there and staff there all day. It was going to have deliveries, and it was a full-fledged landscape contractor versus a tree care company.

One of the things that came up in that case was talking about access and the road to -- and Burnt Hill Road and weight restrictions and bridge restriction, which is obviously a topic in our case as well.

So it actually had, from staff, a proposed condition of approval to deal with that. And that said, All vehicles with more than four wheels that are associated with the applicant's business, including those belonging to employees, must gain ingress and egress from Prices Distillery Road; vehicles with four wheels, brackets, with or without trailer, may use the Burnt Hill Road bridge.

And then it said something which is -- doesn't apply to us, but, All delivery vehicles must gain ingress and egress from Prices Distillery Road; their drivers must be advised of the bridge restriction by the applicant.

So again, I brought that up because there's -- as a landscape contractor, it was literally a few lots away and it also talked and did some fair analysis on the bridge issue but also on the Rustic Road Functional Master Plan. I'll get into that in a little bit.

HEARING EXAMINER: Do you know why it never got
to OZAH? Did they just scrap the plan?
MR. HUGHES: It had plenty of staff support, and
I understand it ran in some challenges at the Planning
Board, so they pulled the application.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. HUGHES: Somewhat interestingly, though,
it -- I did notice that the Rustic Roads Advisory Committee
noted and wrote that it would not testify against that
application at the Planning Board or the OZAH hearing.
Another case I wanted to mention is Board of
Appeals number S2715/2716, which is two special exceptions
from 2015. And OZAH -- I'm sorry. OZAH 8-14 and 8-15. So
that was -- and it was two special exceptions, one for
landscape contractor and one for a wholesale nursery. That
was up to 40 staff members, 23 trucks, and that was on 11
acres. And they were going to use four acres. That was approved.

Another one that was approved is Greenskeeper,
which is CU 15-04, on 31 acres, up to 53 staff members and 30 trucks.
So again, to -- my point of these are there's
some precedent, but also that these are full-fledged
landscape contractors are more and much larger ones and
oftentimes on smaller properties.
25 Another interesting one is Goshen CU 18-06. That

| 25 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 was between 30 to -- 38 to 50 staff members. I say that | 1 quickly note what they say. So on page 13, first |
| 2 because it approved for 38, and I think the caveat was they | 2 paragraph, 2, it says, To reconsider whether the proposed |
| 3 could get up to 50 later, I think, if they -- I actually | 3 use would be served by adequate public services and |
| 4 have in front of me. I think it was if they got some | 4 facilities, namely sanitary sewer, in light of the mobile |
| 5 additional septic approval or enhancements. Twenty trucks. | 5 workforce argument that was put forth by Mr. Hughes, which |
| 6 That was on 30 acres, and they were going to use 6.3. They | 6 the Board generally found to be persuasive. |
| 7 were going to put in some new buildings. They would have | 7 And then under next paragraph, 3, they wrote, To |
| 8 offices and staff on site. | 8 reconsider whether the proposed use conforms with the 19 |
| $9 \quad$ There was a -- also a restricted bridge app. | 9 Rustic Roads Functional Master Plan; in this regard, the |
| 10 there's another condition of approval that was proposed by | 10 hearing examiner found the proposed use would impact the |
| 11 staff, proposed by Planning Board, and accepted in part of | 11 viewshed of a smaller -- and they write historic barn. I'm |
| 12 the approval by OZAH. And essentially, it said, All | 12 going to take issue with the historic point. I'll talk |
| 13 vehicles with more than four wheels that are associated | 13 about that a little bit later. |
| 14 with the applicant's business, including those belonging | 14 But they wrote smaller historic barn on the |
| 15 employees, must not travel north on Zion Road from the | 15 petitioner's property. But the Board observes, based on |
| 16 property; all trucks must enter from the south. So again, | 16 Exhibit 54-I, and that's a key exhibit that's in the case, |
| 17 that there are conditions of approval that can help and | 17 54-I. Ad I would -- perhaps I could ask if you could pull |
| 18 guide certain transportation routes to make sure that | 18 up 54-I, please. |
| 19 they're complying with any weight-restricted issues. | 19 HEARING EXAMINER: Sure. |
| 20 Another case I'll mention that was approved is JB | 20 MR. HUGHES: That is very difficult to see the |
| 21 Kline, S-2807, OZAH 11-31. That was for 25 staff members, | 21 smaller, again, historic barn -- I'll call it old |
| 2212 trucks, and on 5.77 acres | 22 barn -- fromPrices Distillery Road or fromBurnt Hill |
| 23 I think the last one that I will to | 23 Road; and accordingly, it is the sense of the Board that |
| 24 little more depth as we go through today | 24 any impact of the proposed use on the viewshed of that barn |
| 25 case, CU 19-04. That was 19 staff, 16 trucks, 6.3 acres, | 25 from the surrounding Rustic Roads would be minimal. |
| 26 | 28 |
| 1 and no bathroom. And that one I know well. I was involved | 1 So there is definitely some guidance. |
| 2 in that one. That one was -- it had staff support. It got | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Is this where you want me to |
| 3 plenty of board support. And OZAH, Mr. Grossman, former | 3 be? |
| 4 director, did deny it, but he noted three times in the | 4 MR. HUGHES: Yeah. Thank you. |
| 5 decision that it was a close call, and he denied it sol | 5 from the road showing down towards the conditional use |
| 6 on the basis of I guess an insufficient road. The road was | 6 area. And, you know, close to 1,200 -plus feet down there, |
| 7 very thin in this traditional neighborhood, but he noted | 7 the elevation does dip down. And you'll hear more |
| 8 that adequate public facilities were met in that case. | 8 from -- description from Mr. Sekerak (indiscernible). But |
| 9 And as I mentioned, you know, 32-plus acre farm | 9 it's difficult to see the barns or at least to see any |
| 10 here. Plan is to use three-plus acres for the landscape | 10 detail of them -- or ag buildings. |
| 11 contractor, and then to utilize the rest of the property as | 11 And if you could scroll down, I think there's two |
| 12 a farm. | 12 more pictures below that, Ms. Byrne. |
| 13 Your indulgence, Madam Hearing Examiner, just for | 13 Or at least one more. But yeah, if you could go |
| 14 a second, please. Thank you. | 14 back up to the other one, it's probably a better -- |
| 15 Okay. As we look at adequate public | 15 HEARING EXAMINER: These two? |
| 16 facilities/sanitary sewer/bathroom topic, I certainly would | 16 MR. HUGHES: Yeah. So also in the record on the |
| 17 rely heavily upon Exhibit 84-A, which is our -- the brief | 17 topic of adequate public facilities, we certainly have the |
| 18 we filed in September of last year to the Board asking for | 18 expert testimony in the transcript, a significant number of |
| 19 an appeal for oral argument, and also, obviously, the | 19 case citations, local county conditional use, landscaping, |
| 20 Board's remand written decision, which is Exhibit 84, in | 20 other cases, utilities, cell towers. |
| 21 particular pages 12 through 13 , which is where they talk | 21 I've added a case, and I'll reference additional |
| 22 about the three topic areas of -- the three topic areas | 22 case, which I think I put in some of my written briefs that |
| 23 that they want the remand to visit. | 23 came in late. CU 22-03, which is related to an equestrian |
| 24 And I would note under 2 and 3, the Board does | 24 center. I'll talk a little bit more about that in a bit. |
| 25 indicate support of applicant's positions. And I'll just | 25 And also, an additional cell tower case. These are ones |



| 33 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 the most populated, traveled, and sophisticated | 1 related to adequate public facilities. What does that |
| 2 jurisdictions in the entire nation | 2 really mean? How is it supposed to be applied? It comes |
| 3 As the hearing examiner is well aware, you know, | 3 down from the State of Maryland. |
| 4 the key -- one of the key cases that gives us guidance on | 4 And so I'll reference the hearing examiner to |
| 5 special exceptions and conditional uses is Schultz versus | 5 Exhibit 42-B from the State of Maryland Planning |
| 6 Pritts case. And what that said, to give us some setting | 6 Department. It's a publication titled, 'Models and |
| 7 here, is that special exception or conditional use involve | 7 Guidelines 24." And on page 3 of that -- I'm just g |
| 8 a use which is permitted once certain statutory criteria | 8 to pull that up real quick. |
| 9 have been satisfied; it is a desirable use which is a | 9 Page 3 in the introduction says, In plain Englis |
| 10 attended with detrimental effects, which require that | 10 and A-F -- and I'm sorry |
| 11 certain conditions be met, and once met, it's for permitted | 11 "In plain English, an APFO says," this is third |
| 12 use because the legislative body has made that policy | 12 paragraph, "says that if the roads are too |
| 13 decision | 13 congested, if the school classrooms are too |
| 14 It goes on to say, It is irrelevant whether a | 14 crowded, if the water system cannot provide |
| 15 special exception conditional use is compatible with | 15 enough water, if the sewer pipes or treatment |
| 16 permitted use because the legislative body has deemed it | 16 plants are full, or if there is not enough |
| 17 be generally compatible once the standards for approval | 17 playing fields for recreational use, then |
| 18 have been met; furthermore, it's not whether a use | 18 development cannot be approved until the problem |
| 19 permitted by way of special exception will have adverse | 19 is corrected. At the same time, however, an APFO |
| 20 effects, since such effects are already presumed by the | 20 is not the appropriate tool to stop growth that |
| 21 legislature; it's whether the adverse effects | 21 is otherwise consistent with local zoning. |
| 22 particular location that are greater or more severe than | 22 So my position here is that I don't believe |
| 23 adverse effects ordinarily associated with a particular use | 23 staff, in their staff report and in the decision, is even |
| 24 that is be considered by the agen | 24 correctly applying this. There's not a question of |
| 25 Again, so I would say that Montgomery County | 25 whether, as said here, the sewer pipes or treatment plants |
| 34 | 36 |
| 1 allows landscape contractors in this area, in this zone, | 1 are full. It's not like there's a bad septic system here |
| 2 made no distinction about rustic roads, and this landscape | 2 or septic system cannot work. There is none. There's no |
| 3 contractor, tree care company, much less impact than a | 3 need for one. There's no bathroom on site. There's no |
| 4 full-scale landscape contractor. And the size of it is | 4 office on site. There's no house on site. We're not |
| 5 very modest, much smaller numbers than many that have been | 5 adding to that. |
| 6 approved or anticipated to be approved, and much less | 6 So my first argument could be that if you agree |
| 7 operations because it's tree care. | 7 that they're incorrectly applying it here, then it's game |
| 8 So the other thing that we noted in our brief to | 8 over on this topic. That's -- I believe that's the correct |
| 9 the Board for oral argument is that the decisions obviously | 9 interpretation. |
| 10 cannot be made just based upon unsupported conclusions of | 10 But if Madam Hearing Examiner is not certain of |
| 11 witnesses; you must have probative evidence. Speculation | 11 that or does not concur, as we write in our briefs, there's |
| 12 and absence of direct evidence to sustain it is not a | 12 multiple additional reasons that we can be found to meet |
| 13 proper reason to deny; otherwise, the decision is arbitrary | 13 the criteria here and essentially not to have to have a |
| 14 and capricious. We believe the evidence is really strong | 14 bathroom. And we note those as well. And I would first |
| 16 speculation, so to speak, no expert witnesses. | 16 The first one is what the Planning Board -- or |
| 17 So on our theories, what I would say is on the | 17 I'm sorry, the Board of Appeals noted that they found |
| 18 first -- in the Board's remand, number one is dealing with | 18 persuasive, which was the mobile crews, which is under OS |
| 19 bathroom, adequate public facilities, sanitary sewer. We | 19 federal law, OSHA Section -- and it's also Exhibit |
| 20 have multiple legal theories that we believe support that | 2068 -- OSHA Section 1910.141; I believe it's (c)(1)(i). |
| 21 we are approvable without a bathroom here, essentially. | 21 It's also in the transcript 28 -- page 28, 247, and 248. |
| 22 And we only need one of them. And only one of them has to | 22 It says, Sanitation requirements do not apply to |
| 23 be proven by preponderance of the evidence. And I believe | 23 mobile crews or to normally unattended work locations if |
| 24 actually all of them apply. | 24 the employees have transportation readily available to |
| 25 But the first one I guess I would start with is | 25 nearby facilities. And OSHA has defined prompt accesses |


| 37 | 39 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 within 10 minutes. | 1 So early on, we are being told by staff that there was no |
| 2 So that situation applies here. We have Exhibit | 2 s |
| 353 in the record, which shows multiple locations where | 3 First of all, there's no law that says in this |
| 4 there are bathrooms within 10 minutes. Mr. Sekerak | 4 case we have to have -- there's no county law that says your |
| 5 testified to that last time and may touch upon that again | 5 have to have a bathroom for landscape contractor or eve |
| 6 today. But there are many locations, and we believe we | 6 generally. And there's a lot of precedent that we have |
| 7 clearly fit within this as it seemed the Board of Appeals | 7 cited. And so there are multiple -- I'll call them cell |
| 8 did as well. So that's an additional theory | 8 tower cases in which involve special exceptions for |
| 9 Another reason I think that we could, so to | 9 conditional uses. And those cases essentially said, oh |
| 10 speak, win on this issue or topic is under COMAR, | 10 yeah, the use is so minimal, you know, people only there |
| 11 law. Exhibit 47, COMAR 09.12.36.03(C)(2). Essentially, | 11 occasionally for not that much time, you don't have to have |
| 12 says, Requires employers to provide bathrooms for | 12 a bathroom there; it's suf |
| 13 agricultural workers | 13 Well, you know, they do get regularly service |
| 14 location for three hou | 14 They also have construction, which can go on for weeks. |
| 15 So Maryland has also weighed in on this topic. | 15 They are upgraded -- the equipment is upgraded. The |
| 16 And you know, if you work in the fields for up to three | 16 additional providers are put on those. They go there for |
| 17 hours, and I think it includes transportation too. But an | 17 emergencies. And when they go there, they're usually going |
| 18 employer doesn't have to supply it for their staff or the | 18 to be there a few hour |
| 19 people working. So some strong federal guidance from | 19 So -- but we get where the county's coming from |
| 20 strong federal government from Maryland that I think, | 20 And we agree with those position, that when you have |
| 21 again, both allow us to be successful on this | 21 minimal time, minimal impact, for adult -- and most of |
| 22 And then an additional theory ties into that is | 22 are adults so we can plan our bathroom breaks, and it kind |
| 23 the Rosenberg case in which -- that's in the record. Let | 23 of goes into the mobile workforce argument too, that, yo |
| 24 me see if I have everything h | 24 know, people are adults; that we have to learn how to do |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER: That's okay. | 25 this. There are mobile -- there are lots of companies, not |
| 38 | 40 |
| 1 MR. HUGHES: What I may do is I'm going to skip | 1 just blue collar, that are out in the field a lot. There's |
| 2 that one and come back. Actually, wait. I got help here. | 2 a lot. There's salespeople who are out in the field all |
| 3 So in the Rosenberg versus Maryland, which is 269 Md 520 | 3 day. |
| 4 and is in the record -- it was cited in our briefs and in | 4 So -- but I guess my |
| 5 the transcript, I believe, also -- essentially says, APFO | 5 cases is, and there's multiple ones -- and then |
| 6 should set quantifiable levels of service for public | 6 there's -- as I said, there was a new one that just came |
| 7 facilities and services, since these standards provide a | 7 out this year, and OZAH also reviewed the topic. And so |
| 8 basis for evaluation of their proposed projects in relation | 8 that was CU 23-07. And it says on page 21, By its nature, |
| 9 to existing or planned facilities; lack of identical | 9 an unmanned and unoccupied telecom tower would have no |
| 10 standards can lead to invalidation of the regulations or | 10 significant impact on schools, police, and fire protection, |
| 11 conditions as applied. | 11 water, sanitary sewer, and public roads. |
| 12 In that case from '73, versus Maryland-National | 12 That's similar to us. We're -- the facility is |
| 13 Capital Park and Planning, it was found the standards to be | 13 unmanned almost the entire day. They're there for a sho |
| 14 insufficient. And I guess what I would be saying her | 14 time period in the morning, a short time period in the |
| 15 that we have some standards that are kind of set from the 16 federal and the OSHA reg I talked and COMAR, and it's not | 15 afternoon. And these are adults, and they can plan their 16 bathroom breaks. |
| 17 real clear what Montgomery County is trying to say here, is | 17 Another interesting case that I noted in some of |
| 18 one way to interpret it. So that's another theory as to | 18 the more recent exhibits that I filed is CU 22-03, which is |
| 19 how we would be successful in this topic. | 19 an additional use. So I've talked about the cell towe |
| $20 \quad$ And then maybe the most straightforward, maybe | 20 cases, and there's a bunch of those in the record. I think |
| 21 the easiest, cleanest one perhaps is actual Montgomery | 21 we've cited five or six, and they were noted in the remand |
| 22 County conditional use and special exception cases | 22 from the Board. |
| 23 precedent that has allowed many different uses and | 23 But this one, CU 22-03, is a new use. Also going |
| 24 different cases to be approved and/or deemed to have | 24 to talk about utility cases, which are also cited by the |
| 25 adequate public facilities when there is not a restroom. | 25 Board in the remand. So one bucket here is the cell tower |


| 41 | 43 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 cases. Then there's utility station cases, you know, the | 1 the smaller, older barn which has not been designated as |
| 2 Washington Gas, the Pepcos. And then this one is another | 2 historic. We've seen -- we've -- it has a locational atlas |
| 3 use: equestrian center. | 3 designation, but that does not make it historic. It has |
| 4 And in this case, it wasn't a large eque | 4 not been reviewed, I think, since that designation was |
| center, but it was approved for two horses and allowed for | 5 |
| 6 up to two lessons per day and also allowed for boarding of | 6 It is an old barn. We will concede |
| 7 up to two horses, board two horses, maximum two. So I | 7 There's also evidence in the record and testimony from Mr . |
| 8 guess what I would say is that there's people coming there | 8 Sekerak and also at the last hearing from one of the |
| 9 on a daily basis for these horse riding lessons. A lot of | 9 opponents, Ms. Saville, and they both noted that the |
| 10 those would be not adults, could be children, and they | 10 smaller, older barn had been modernized at some poin |
| 11 would be driven by people. They would have guests, family | 11 before our client bought the property in 2020 |
| 12 members who might want to come watch. People boarding | 12 Getting close, Ms. Byrnes, to wrapping up my |
| 13 would come check out their horses, visit with the horse | 13 thorough -- and I acknowledge it's a thorough opening, |
| 14 And those visits are going to be | 14 because it does involve a lot of legal argument and th |
| 15 more, maybe two hour | 15 am going to limit my witnesses, at least on the proactive |
| 16 But in that case, it was noted that there is | 16 si |
| 17 water and sewer there. No problems with it. But no new | 17 We touched upon the -- little bit of the weight |
| 18 water sewer facilities were proposed. There was no mention | 18 restrictions, the concern about Burnt Hill Road weight |
| 19 of a bathroom or those taking lessons or whether they're | 19 restrictions and a bridge. And I gave examples of |
| 20 people driving in there or they're visitors or the guests | 20 conditions of approval including an Emerald case, which |
| 21 watching. There's, again, minimal use, mostly adults, | 21 involved the same road and also in the Goshen case. And we |
| 22 although there's kids there. I would say that there's moremer | 22 certainly think that a condition of approval similar to the |
| 23 time spent there than our property per setting and more | 23 one that was proposed in Emerald could certainly also work |
| 24 a need when you have younger children who might not be as 25 good planning their bathroom breaks, so to speak | 24 in this situation. And we have some exhibits that we 25 submitted recently that we'll talk a little bit today |
|  |  |
| 1 And then we have the utility cases. Several of 42 | 1 through Mr. Sekerak and Mr. Nalepa, Exhibit 98. 44 |
| 1 And then we have the utility cases. Several of | 1 through Mr. Sekerak and Mr. Nalepa, Exhibit 98. |
| 2 those have been cited. And ag | 2 So I guess to kind of try to summarize my opening |
| 3 determined -- you know, they're out there occasionally | 3 here, I'd like to say that we believe the exhibits and the |
| 4 fixing things, there for a few hours, maybe a few days at a | 4 evidence and expert testimony were very strong in the |
| 5 time, but there's adequate public facilities. There's no | 5 record and in the prior hearing. We believe this is -- the |
| 6 reason for a bathroom there. So again, there's quite a bit | 6 pictures show quite a bit, as we started out with Exhibit |
| 7 of precedent right on point determining how much is enough, | 7 51. And we also have -- if I could ask Madam Hearing |
| 8 what do you need. | 8 Examiner to pull up Exhibit 52, which the -- is a rendering |
| 9 And we think that the need is one. Each of these | 9 and was talked about quite a bit in the initial hearing. |
| 10 legal arguments meets our criteria, but we only need one | 10 We believe this is an ideal setting for this use. |
| 11 them and by a preponderance of the evidence. | 11 It's 32-plus acre farm. Yes. Thank you. So this is a |
| 12 Okay. Rustic Roads Functional Master Plan. So | 12 rendering of roughly what it would look like with the |
| 13 there's a lot of expert testimony in the case from Mr. | 13 additions of some landscaping. It's hard to make out any |
| 14 Sekerak. There are some key exhibits such as 54 and the | 14 fencing, but it would be on the west side down by the |
| 15 one we're going to talk about today, 101. And there was | 15 conditio |
| 16 certainly the Board's position that they thought any impact | 16 Ideal setting, 32-plus acres. Going to use |
| 17 of the proposed use of -- on the viewshed of that barn from | 17 three-plus in the middle of -- essentially in the middle of |
| 18 the surrounding rustic roads will be minimal. We agree | 18 the property. Twelve hundred feet off the road. The |
| 19 with that. | 19 elevation actually drops down as you go down the driveway. |
| 20 And there was also some -- as I mentioned, some | 20 No material alterations to the property. It'd be vacant |
| 21 really good, you know, on-point analysis by Planning staff | 21 the vast, vast majority of each day, and certainly vacant |
| 22 in the Emerald case 16-09 that evaluated the Rustic Roads | 22 from a -- from the business perspective on Sunday, vacant |
| 23 Functional Master Plan. | 23 at nighttime. |
| 24 And we touched upon -- I had mentioned I was | 24 Very modest numbers. Up to 19 staff. Up to 14 |
| 25 going to talk a little bit about the larger -- I'm sorry, | 25 vehicles stored, including two small pickups. Daily, only |

```
up to 10-- up to 10. So some days would be less than 10,
but up to }10\mathrm{ vehicles used a day, which includes }3\mathrm{ small
pickups. That's a small operation. I don't believe APFO
or Rustic Road Functional Master Plan were intended to stop
this type of small operation in this what we believe is an
ideal location.
We believe the facts and the law support this position and the approval of this conditional use. As your -- as Hearing Examiner is well aware, the standard here, as I mentioned before, is preponderance of the evidence.
So with that, I appreciate your indulgence, and I will be prepared to call my first witness.
HEARING EXAMINER: Well, let me give the opposition an opportunity to make an opening statement.
So I would ask either, I guess, Mr. Hartsock, Mr. 7 and Mrs. Ryan, would either of you like to make an opening, or are you prepared just to move forward with testimony?
MR. HARTSOCK: This is Tom Hartsock. I am prepared to move ahead with testimony and not do an opening statement.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. Ryan?
MR. RYAN: Same with us.
HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Sounds good.
```

Thank you very much.
Okay. Mr. Hughes, your first witness. Oh,
you're muted, sir.
MR. HUGHES: Okay. I'm sorry. We're trying to
coordinate how we're going to do our camera.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. HUGHES: Mr. Sekerak, you're suggesting you
come sit next to me?
MR. SEKERAK: Yes.
MR. HUGHES: Okay. So we'll do that if that's
okay. If there's any issues --
HEARING EXAMINER: No worries.
MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Ms. Byrne.
HEARING EXAMINER: And then before we start, Mr.
Sekerak, if you could raise your right hand, sir.
Do you promise to tell the whole truth and
nothing but the truth?
MR. SEKERAK: I do.
HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you very much. And then
before you start, if you could give your full name and
spelling for the court reporter.
MR. SEKERAK: My name is John Sekerak. It's
S-E-K-E-R-A-K. I'ma land use planner and landscape
architect with Stantec.
HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

## 45

1 Mr. Hughes, you can proceed.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
Mr. Sekerak, are you familiar with the 1996
Rustic Roads Functional Master plan?
MR. SEKERAK: Very much.
MR. HUGHES: Okay. And are you familiar with the remand directive from the Board of Appeals related to that topic of the 1996 Functional Roads -- Rustic Roads Functional Master Plan?

MR. SEKERAK: Yes, I am.
11 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Okay. And you testified in 12 the prior hearing and on this topic; is that correct?
13 MR. SEKERAK: I did.
14 MR. HUGHES: Mr. Sekerak, could you share with us
15 your knowledge of Exhibit 101 and what it shows?
16 And Madam Hearing Examiner, could you pull up
17 Exhibit 101 for us, please?
18 HEARING EXAMINER: Absolutely. All right. So
19 I've got -- we'll start there, and then we've got the
20 multiple subsets. So however you want me to work through.
21 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Yeah. First there's a
22 map. Thank you.
23 MR. SEKERAK: Yes. If we could start off with
24 that and just get our orientation, and then we'll go
5 through the photos one by one as we effectively travel
along the peripheral of the two roads.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. HUGHES: All right. Mr. Sekerak, if you
could just tell us what this map, which is 101 --
HEARING EXAMINER: I think it's just straight 101.

MR. HUGHES: 101. Yes. Thank you.
MR. SEKERAK: The air photo of the area. It shows the two closest roads, the Prices Distillery, which 0 the property fronts on for a short distance of frontage, and Burnt Hill Road, which is further removed to the east.

Both of those roads are identified on the '96 3 Functional Master Plan as rustic. It indicates the 14 locations of the photographs that we're about to go through 15 one by one near, starting on Burnt Hill Road and going 16 along Prices Distillery. What we're going to be looking at 17 is the degree of visibility that the subject property has 18 from these various locations.
19 Another element on this plan I want to bring to 20 your attention is the yellow dash line, which is a
21 quarter-mile distance. And -- and that's an important
22 element to this. A quarter-mile is -- to give it some
23 context. It would be the distance between the hearing
24 examiner's office in Rockville and the Rockville Town
25 Square.

| 49 | 51 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Another way of visualizing what that distance is, | 1 photo, though, where Sugarloaf Mountain is visible off in |
| 2 is if, you know, the sign companies out there have | 2 the distance, which is recognized component of the Rustic |
| 3 guidelines of how large type would need to be to be | 3 Roads Master Plan when -- not so much for Burnt Hill Road, |
| 4 effective and legible. So from a quarter-mile away, | 4 but it does mention that for Prices Distillery Road, th |
| 5 size would -- would need to be four feet -- roughly | 5 there are locations along there are views of Sugarloaf |
| 6 feet high for it to be legible. It's a long ways | 6 Mountain that are very distant but still |
| 7 The -- the site is always lower in elevation than | 7 The discussion of the driving experience |
| 8 all of these points of view. From the photo number 6 | 8 Burnt Hill Road in the Rustic Roads Master Plan indicat |
| 9 there, for | 9 that as you |
| 10 There are -- you can see the existing forested stream | 10 you -- you've got views of the open fields to the |
| 11 buffer between the subject property and Burnt Hill Road. | 11 And all these are photos show what that driving experience |
| 12 There -- there will be additiona | 12 is and will be -- not be harmed by the proposed use of |
| 13 reforestation -- affore | 13 existing structures out there. |
| 14 stream going northwa | 14 There are a couple new houses along -- along here |
| 15 So I think we're ready to go through the photo | 15 since the master plan, but no new -- but the remaining |
| 16 now, but that's | 16 views of the open fields as it was identified in the master |
| 17 MR. HUGHES: And Madam Hearing Examiner, yeah, I | 17 plan will rema |
| 18 think they go through, as you're well awar | 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I already -- I jumped |
| 19 HEARING EXAMIN | 19 to E which is your photo 5 |
| 20 MR. HUGHES: -- I guess, photo 1 throu | 20 MR. SEKERAK: All right. So a little further up, |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And we'll just | 21 we are able to, you know, start seeing the -- the existing |
| 22 in order then. | 22 barn on there through -- through some roadside vegetatio |
| 23 | 23 and the topography allows a view of that area. Now, this |
| 24 | 24 is where very low -- effectively, no crop cover at this |
| 25 Exhibit A, so this is 101-A, which is photo | 25 point. Many times of the year, there will be crops or |
| 50 | 52 |
| 1 MR. SEKERAK: So this is on Burnt Hill Road at | 1 nursery stock or whatever along there. But it's -- this is |
| 2 the entrance to a park trailhead nearby, directly towards | 2 an example of the -- one of the more viewable areas of the |
| 3 the -- the site. It's difficult to tell, but rig | 3 site from Burnt Hill Roa |
| 4 up -- right in the middle there is a little bit of | 4 Again, 20-some feet lower in elevatio |
| 5 one of the two barn rooftops. The older barn is smaller, | 5 slopes, some road type -- roadside vegetation, and -- but |
| 6 but it's a little taller, so depending upon the views along | 6 the views of the open field -- open fields to the left as |
| 7 here, which one you can actually see | 7 described in the master plan are preserved and remain |
| 8 So there -- there is some topographic change | 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 9 between there, but it -- but the primary -- you know, | 9 MR. SEKERAK: Next one. So we're on to number 6, |
| 10 between the topography and the tree line, a rooftop may | 10 which is F. And this is straight down the driveway towards |
| 11 visible but the yard area of the -- of the proposed use | 11 the subject property where both barns are visible. And you |
| 12 would not be visible. | 12 see the shorter but larger newer barn in the foreground. |
| 13 Go on to the next one. And similarly here, | 13 The older but taller barn in the background. |
| 14 another driveway along there. And we don't need to dwel | 14 This is a little over 1,200 square feet. D |
| 15 on this one, but very similar in that the -- the | 15 recall that quarter-mile circle that was on Exhibit 101? |
| 16 conditional use area would -- any views of it would only be | 16 Some of these views are within a quarter-mile. Some are |
| 17 rooftops and only in -- in winter. But no -- no views of | 17 outside of a quarter-mile, but I think it'd be fair to |
| 18 the yard area, which is on the other side of the buildings. | 18 characterize them all as, you know, |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. | 19 quarter-mile -- quarter-mile -- |
| 20 MR. SEKERAK: Go on to the next one. And another | 20 MR. HUGHES: Mr. Sekerak, sorry to interrupt. |
| 21 view along that same route, from the rustic road. Not | 21 One question. Can you tell us, first of all, if you've |
| 22 visible at all at that location. | 22 been out on the site, and second of all, when -- do you |
| 23 Photo number 4, where it's not only the forest | 23 know when these pictures were taken and were you involved |
| 24 buffer but intervening topography that blocks the view. | 24 with those pictures? |
| 25 This is -- you know, you can see right in the middle of the | 25 MR. SEKERAK: Yeah. I -- yeah, I've been out to |


| 53 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 the site many times as recently as Sunday, day before | 1 And photo number 8, where the site is not obscured -- or |
| 2 yesterday. And yes, I took all of these pictures, I'd have | 2 the site is obscured from view |
| 3 to look up the date, but roughly a month ago. So they are | 3 So just a characterization as you drive -- the |
| 4 very current. | 4 traveling public driving along these -- these two roads, |
| 5 MR. HUGHES: Very good. Thank you. You may | 5 off not in the -- you know, off in the periphery, no, you |
| 6 continue. Sorry about th | 6 know -- no, you know, focused line of sight, quarter-mile |
| 7 MR. SEKERAK: So y | 7 away, much lower in elevation, the visual impact |
| 8 see the two barns. You -- there are views of the yard area | 8 is -- well, from a visual impact analysis standpoint, there |
| 9 there off of the distance, albeit a quarter-mile away, but | 9 is no visual impact to the rustic roads by our -- by our |
| 10 this is the existing entrance to the property. No signage | 10 proposed use |
| 11 identified in the use | 11 So when it comes to the -- well, let's -- if we |
| 12 MR. HUGHES: And none are proposed -- no signage | 12 could go to the landscape plan, I'll describe the |
| 13 is proposed, correct? | 13 (indiscernible) 48? It's 49.49? |
| 14 MR. SEKERAK: That's correct | 14 MR. HUGHES: Let me check. Oh, no. Rustic plan |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay | 15 would probably be 29, I believe, unless there was a revised |
| 16 MR. SEKERAK: Moving on to the next one. This is | 16 one later |
| 17 Gene along Prices Distillery Road. This is where you | 17 HEARING EXAMINER: No. |
| 18 told -- at the entrance, there was no -- the topography did | 18 MR. SEKERAK: Okay. 29. Yeah. Yes. |
| 19 not interrupt the view of the distant conditional use area. | 19 MR. HUGHES: Madam Hearing Examiner, thank you. |
| 20 Further up, this is an example of a location | 20 Please tell us about this exhibit. |
| 21 along the Prices Distillery Road where quickly, the | 21 MR. SEKERAK: All right. It shows the finger of |
| 22 topography does interrupt that view. In this particular | 22 afforestation extending the existing stream valley buffer |
| 23 case, you can still see the two rooftops | 23 forest further northward. And I don't know if you could |
| 24 And then lastly, further down the r | 24 place your -- |
| 25 is entirely obstructed by intervening topography and, | 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Is this the area you're |
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| 1 again, without any crops. Well, I tell you when -- when | 1 talking about? |
| 2 there's corn growing out there, you sure can't -- you sure | 2 MR. SEKERAK: Yes, exactly. Just want to make |
| 3 can't see the site | 3 sure that we're all looking at the same. |
| 4 And if you'd like to just revisit 101 and -- now | 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So this would be |
| 5 that we've gone through the photos. Let me see. So for 1 | 5 reforested if approval was granted? |
| 6 through 4, the -- you can see the back of the -- the backs | 6 MR. SEKERAK: Correct. Correct. To further the |
| 7 of the barns are obscured by existing forest cover. Photo | 7 county's forest conservation efforts, stream -- stream |
| 8 number 5, you can see the end of the larger, newer barn. | 8 valley buffer preservation efforts, water quality -- well, |
| 9 But that will be obscured at some time in the future by | 9 for all the benefits that the forest conservation law |
| 10 future reforestation going up that stream valley area. | 10 provides. The -- the planting down towards the -- towards |
| 11 Photo number 6 is where the site is most visible. | 11 the conditional use area shows some additional planting of |
| 12 And we intend to -- again, still a quarter-mile away, but | 12 expanding the existing forest buffer down there, some trees |
| 13 we intend to mitigate that with additional evergreen | $13 \mathrm{up} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{yard} \mathrm{area}$, |
| 14 plantings that I can describe in more detail. | 14 buffer along the north side of the yard. |
| 15 MR. HUGHES: And Mr. Sekerak, the landscaping and | 15 And if you could move your cursor there, I'll |
| 16 plantings you're talking about, are those being required | 16 make sure we're talking about the same -- |
| 17 through county regulations? | 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Are you talking here? |
| 18 MR. SEKERAK: Well, yes, in the sense | 18 MR. SEKERAK: Those, yes. |
| 19 afforestation for along the stream buffer and then | 19 MR. HUGHES: Yeah, thank you. |
| 20 evergreen buffering for conditional use (indiscernible), | 20 HEARING EXAMINER: And then the zoom-in would b |
| 21 yes, (indiscernible). | 21 here? |
| 22 MR. HUGHES: Continue. I'm sorry. | 22 MR. HUGHES: Yeah. |
| 23 MR. SEKERAK: And then if you recall those last | 23 MR. SEKERAK: Correct. Correct. |
| 24 two photos, 7, where topographically, you can only see | 24 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 25 rooftops because of -- because of the intervening topo. | 25 MR. SEKERAK: So we had a dense evergreen buffer |


| 57 | 59 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 there. These are ilex opaca, American Hollys, so very | 1 MR. SEKERAK: Just showing the entire site and -- |
| 2 effective evergreen buffer planted at eight feet tall at | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Right. |
| 3 time of installation, which is the height of this | 3 MR. SEKERAK: -- you can see -- just show the |
| 4 ceiling -- at time of installation. Obviously, it wi | 4 existing conditions. You can see the -- how it relates to |
| 5 grow as | 5 the surrounding roads. Again, there are a couple new homes |
| 6 These are placed down at the conditional use | 6 along Burnt Hill Road, not only since Rustic Roads Master |
| 7 area. They are not blocking views from the -- from the | 7 Plan was approved but even since this Google air photo was |
| 8 roads, the | 8 |
| 9 So consider | $9 \quad$ But other than that it, it's an accurate |
| 10 conformance of the functional master plan, we're proposing | 10 representation of the existing conditions out there. |
| 11 no changes to the roads, no signage to identify the use, no | 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay |
| 12 new buildings. And considering the modest scope of this | 12 MR. SEKERAK: To the -- and then go on to Exhibit |
| 13 use, only 14 trucks. And it's effectively not visible | 1352 |
| 14 other than now and even less so with the | 14 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Can you see that? |
| 15 plantings, | 15 MR. SEKERAK: Right. It shows in that lighter |
| 16 It's hard to imagine a landscape contracting | 16 green, the proposed reforestation and the -- and the |
| 17 that it would be -- in the AR zone that would be mor | 17 plantings down in the area and intermittent trees along the |
| 18 consistent with the Rustic Roads Master Plan | 18 entrance dri |
| 19 HEARING EXAMIN | 19 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 20 MR. HUGHES: Mr. Sekerak, are there any othe | 20 MR. SEKERAK: But those are the changes to the |
| 21 visuals that you wanted to show on this topic, or did | 21 site. And we'd like to -- Mr. Hughes mentioned the -- a |
| 22 pretty much cove | 22 fence. There is a six-foot -- I'm trying -- six-foot |
| 23 | 23 board-on-board fence that will be built along the -- we'll |
| 24 you -- that Mr. Hughes had already asked that be pulled up | 24 call it the western property line, but ju |
| 25 The air photo -- and I'll leave this up to you whether or | 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Here? |
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| 1 not you would like to do this. But the air photo and the | 1 MR. SEKERAK: Exactly. Just in -- just in that |
| 2 illustrative plan, and jus | 2 area of the conditional use area. It doesn't go along the |
| 3 effectively identical other than the new plantings | 3 whole driveway. It doesn't block views from the -- from |
| 4 we've discussed | 4 either of the roads. It's simply to satisfy a development |
| 5 MR. HUGHES: So Exhibit 51 is the aerial. You | 5 standard for the -- you know, for any parking, adjoining |
| 6 want to see that one first, and then 52 , the rendering | 6 another property. |
| 7 second? | $7 \quad$ Very ineffective in -- in the sense of this |
| 8 MR. SEKERAK: | 8 particular use, but in order to satisfy the development |
| 9 MR. HUGHES: Thank you, MadamHearing. | 9 standard. That's the only new structure being proposed for |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Do you need me | 10 the conditional use. |
| 11 rotate it? | 11 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. |
| 12 MR. SEKERAK: If you can rotate at 90 degr | 12 MR. SEKERAK: So we have discussed the master |
| 13 counterclockwise, that'd be great | 13 plan, but I haven't gone over the weight restriction when |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Stick with me | 14 it comes to the road, if you would like -- |
| 15 MR. SEKERAK: Okay. | 15 MR. HUGHES: Yeah. Yeah. We can move on to that |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER: I'm doing it -- I think now | 16 topic. Let me -- before I do that, I just -- I think |
| 17 I've done it completely | 17 you've already said this, but just so if you can explain |
| 18 MR. HUGHES: One more b | 18 In your professional opinion as a recognized expert in this |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER: And I've got to move it around | 19 case, you're saying that this application does comply with |
| 20 a little bit. Hold on one second. Let's g | 20 the Rustic Road Functional Master Plan? |
| 21 we were. So one mo | 21 MR. SEKERAK: I do. I'm -- difficult to imagine |
| 22 MR. SEKERAK: | 22 a landscape contracting use that would have less impact |
| 23 MR. HUGHES: Yes. Right there. Thank you. | 23 on -- on the roads. So when it comes to the Rustic Road |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER: I think this should do it. | 24 Master Plan that -- like you had indicated and within |
| 25 Yeah. Okay. | 25 previous testimony, on page 5, it's not intended to |


| 61 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 regulate uses adjoining the site, but in the designation of | 1 rustic. |
| 2 the rustic roads, the -- these two as rustic roads, it does | 2 So the fact that this use will have some larger |
| 3 mention the views from them. And that's why my testimony | 3 trucks is, well, inherent to the use and identified in the |
| 4 was very much focused on that. | 4 Rustic Roads Master Plan's expectation on those roads. |
| 5 It's not that rustic roads were meant to preclude | 5 MR. HUGHES: Evidence as -- was your testimony |
| 6 this type of use or preclude trucks on the thing. Rustic | 6 just a minute or two ago where you talked about the |
| 7 roads -- the page reference -- even recognizes that | 7 landscaping vehicles and other large vehicles on |
| 8 s |  |
| 9 number for th | 9 MR. SEKER |
| 10 MR. HUGHES: Sure | 10 MR. HUGHES: 27. Correct? |
| 11 MR. SEKERAK: On page 27, second paragraph on the | 11 MR. SEKERAK: Correct. Right. So now we'll be |
| 12 left, the ending sentence, Two types of traffic are | 12 talking regarding the weight-restricted roads in the areas. |
| 13 associated with landscape -- oh, let's see. This is under | 13 And, you know, also -- like I just also said, keep in mind |
| 14 a section titled "Roadway Users," and it's an entire | 14 trucks are inherent to any landscape contractor use. So |
| 15 section, Various types of large trucks and automobiles that | 15 when the district council allowed landscape contractor use |
| 16 use for travel on the roads within study area | 16 in the AR zone, that was an expectation that there would be |
| 17 And then -- so not only identifying vario | 17 large trucks in the AR zone. And the AR zone is, you know, |
| 18 of large trucks and all the fields, but also specifically | 18 just replete with rustic roads throughout. |
| 19 identifies in the end of that paragraph two types of | 19 Much of the testimony -- or I'm sorry, the data |
| 20 traffic are associated with landscapers and nurseries that | 20 that's in the record regarding the number of miles of |
| 21 are -- that are located in this area. Trucks delivering | 21 rustic roads in the $A R$ zone. So the district council |
| 22 bulk products and supplies and consumer tra | 22 was -- when they crafted and zoned and allowed it as a |
| 23 This particular landscape contracting use doesn't | 23 conditional use in the AR zone, there was an awareness that |
| 24 have delivery of bulk products and it doesn't | 24 large trucks would be p |
| 25 customers. So this is even much less impactful than a | 25 Same is true for mining and excavation. That's |
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| 1 typical landscape contracting. So long-winded answer that | 1 another use allowed in the AR zone. And those would have |
| 2 yes, this is consistent and compatible with the 1996 Rustic | 2 an expectation of having much larger trucks, much heavier |
| 3 Roads Functional Master Plan. | 3 trucks than what a landscape contractor. So -- and usual |
| 4 MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Mr. Sekerak. Yeah, so | 4 that these can -- the way these uses can be done in AR in |
| 5 can move over to item 3 from the Board's remand, kind of | 5 keeping with that zone. |
| 6 topic C where it says, Revisit concerns about the weight of | 6 So the next point we need to address, then, is |
| 7 the petitioner's various vehicles and it result in impact | 7 being able to get safe and adequate access to the site with |
| 8 on the surrounding rustic roads. I'm going to reference | 8 recognition of the weight restrictions in the area. And |
| 9 Mr. Sekerak to Exhibit 98. There's an A, B and a C. And I | 9 you know, from a practical standpoint, there's both weight |
| 10 believe we could start with 98-A. | 10 restriction sections limiting to no trucks over 10,000 |
| 11 Is that what you'd want to start with, Mr | 11 pounds for through trucks on road segments. And then there |
| 12 Sekerak? | 12 are some bridges out there that have restrictions on either |
| 13 MR. SEKERAK: Sounds good, yes. | 1330 - or 50 - or 80,000 pounds. So we recognize where those |
| 14 MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam Hearing Examiner. | 14 |
| 15 Thank you, Madam Hearing Examiner. | 15 You know, we have up to 14 trucks -- maximum of |
| 16 MR. SEKERAK: I'd like to start off that | 16 up to 14 trucks on this site for this use. |
| 17 we're -- these are effectively two separate subjects. | 17 MR. HUGHES: For storage overnight. |
| 18 Weight restrictions and rustic roads are two different | 18 MR. SEKERAK: Yes. |
| 19 subjects. | 19 MR. HUGHES: And how many -- is it correct that |
| 20 MR. HUGHES: Can you explain what you mean by | 20 it's up to 10 trucks per day, including three pickups |
| 21 that? | 21 maximum? |
| 22 MR. SEKERAK: Well, there -- there are rustic | 22 MR. SEKERAK: Correct. |
| 23 roads without weight restrictions. Prices Distillery is an | 23 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Thank you. So continue. |
| 24 example of one of those. And there are, you know, | 24 MR. SEKERAK: So 14 trucks total, only 10 being |
| 25 weight -- weight-restricted roads that are -- that are not | 25 used at any given day. |


| 65 | 67 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER: So hold on just one second. | 1 left where it says, Head north -- northwest on Prices |
| 2 Mr. Hartsock, I see you have your hand raised. | 2 Distillery Road to Lewisdale Road. |
| 3 Is it for a technical difficulty, or is it a question you | 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 4 want to ask the witness? Because if it's a question you | 4 MR. SEKERAK: This shows the route that all |
| 5 want to ask the witness, we can do that after he's done | 5 Chapingo trucks would need to take to get to 270. |
| 6 testifying. | 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Quick question. Are all the |
| 7 MR. HARTSOCK: No, it's just a question on the | 7 trucks labeled with Chapingo's name, address, all of th |
| 8 map. I note that Germantown on that map is | 8 on there? Do we know? |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well -- well | 9 MR. SEKERAK: It's certainly common. I don't |
| 10 MR. HARTSOCK: I just don't know -- I don't | 10 know if all is -- might be over -- |
| 11 understand where the map is because Germantown isn't up | 11 MR. ALVAREZ: There are -- we've got trucks that |
| 12 there. | 12 they are four whee |
| HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So we'll -- I'll as | 13 MR. SEKERAK: Okay. So |
| 14 him to explain that, s | 14 MR. ALVAREZ: Do not have a (indiscernible). |
| 15 MR. HARTSOCK: Okay. Thank you | 15 MR. SEKERAK: Yes. So I don't -- and I'm |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER: Uh-huh (affirmative) | 16 discussing this with Mr. Alvarez. So he was saying there |
| 17 MR. SEKERAK: And yeah, but I share your | 17 are some pickup -- four-wheel pickup trip -- trucks that |
| 18 (indiscernible) on that. I'll -- I will be able to explain | 18 are not labeled. And I'll ask him if all trucks over |
| 19 that. | 19 10,000 pounds are -- do have the Chapingo |
| 20 So again, in the -- this use has some trucks o | 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 2110,000 , other vehicles under 10,000 pounds. For those th | 21 MR. SEKERAK: -- logo on them. |
| 22 are over 10,000 pounds, we're aware of the current | 22 So the conclusion to this exhibit, what -- this |
| 23 locations of limited weight for through trucks. And some | 23 is to demonstrate that the most direct route may be using |
| 24 of those also have weight-restricted bridges for a higher | 24 Burnt Hill Road, but the alternative that is not |
| 25 threshold of -- or of weight being, you know, 30-, 50-, or | 25 weight-restricted to get over to Clarksburg Road and then |
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| 180,000 pound | 1 go north or south on Clarksburg Road is not |
| 2 Primarily pertinent is -- are the -- is Burn | 2 weight-restricted. And to get to 270 would only take one |
| 3 Hill Road, both for the limitation of through trucks over | 3 or two minutes longer than that. So it's a perfectly |
| 410,000 pounds and for the weight-restricted bridges. So | 4 viable road. And we -- you know, we'd be happy to make |
| 5 despite those -- that weight-restricted road, there is | 5 that commitment that all trucks over 10,000 pounds would |
| 6 convenient alternative to that. And that's what this | 6 use that route. |
| 7 exhibit is for. | 7 MR. HUGHES: And so then -- oh, sorry, |
| 8 MR. HUGHES: And this is Exhibit 98-A, correct? | 8 Madam -- so this Exhibit 98-A, you've been talking about |
| 9 MR. SEKERAK: Yes. 98-A. So this is | 9 the blue route. |
| 10 straight -- straight from Google with the starting point | 10 MR. SEKERAK: The blue route. |
| 11 selected right at the driveway entrance to the subject | 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Uh-huh (affirmative). I see |
| 12 property. I do not know why Google labeled that as | 12 that. |
| 13 Germantown, but I couldn't -- I didn't want to manipulate | 13 MR. HUGHES: And then the gray root is for |
| 14 the exhibit. | 14 the -- potentially for vehicles under 10,000 ? |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Right. Understood. And I see | 15 MR. SEKERAK: Any vehicles under 10,000, whether |
| 16 here it says Clarksburg to Clarksburg, which would be here. | 16 it's associated with this use or any others. |
| 17 So you started -- so you put the address in, and it -- for | 17 MR. HUGHES: And so I guess you're kind of |
| 18 whatever reason, it gave you Germantown; is that what | 18 referencing potentially a condition of approval. We've |
| 19 you're saying? | 19 talked about that in our cases. And Emerald had a similar |
| 20 MR. SEKERAK: Exactly. I did. I selected the | 20 situation because of its location and a proposed condition |
| 21 point on Prices Distillery Road, right at the driveway | 21 of approval, correct? |
| 22 entrance to the property. | 22 MR. SEKERAK: Correct. Correct. |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. | 23 MR. HUGHES: And at this juncture, would you want |
| 24 MR. SEKERAK: And that is the -- they labeled it | 24 to propose a condition -- a potential condition of |
| 25 as Germantown. And that is the frrst text there to the | 25 approval, or do you want to talk more about this visual or |

```
some of the other visuals?
MR. SEKERAK: I think that's it for the visuals
that I -- that I had in mind. (Indiscernible) include on
that weight restricted. I think trucks are inherent to
landscape contracting use, and we have safe and adequate
access for all vehicles, including those that are greater
than 10,000 -- 10,000 pounds.
    MR. HUGHES: So in -- in your professional
opinion as a planner, these are -- as you've testified,
these are all viable routes for Chapingo vehicles in the
area, correct?
    MR. SEKERAK: Correct.
    MR. HUGHES: And these routes are all on public
roads, correct?
    MR. SEKERAK: All -- all on public roads, right.
    MR. HUGHES: And as such, these public roads are
suitable for this sort of traffic you've testified to,
correct?
    MR. SEKERAK: Correct.
    MR. HUGHES: In your professional experience, are
conditions of approval common in Montgomery County land use
cases?
    MR. SEKERAK: Extremely. It would be uncommon,
and I haven't seen any case in years that did not have
conditions of approval for -- for conditional use, special
    MR. SEKERAK: I think that's it for the visuals
```

exceptions, or similar entitlements.
MR. HUGHES: And what are they used for? What's
the purpose?
MR. SEKERAK: It can be for a variety of
purposes, but for something like this, an assurance that
the applicant -- or the applicant's representations and
plans, et cetera, are enforceable so -- or any concerns
that the regulatory body could have. It -- it's an
enforcement mechanism in order to ensure that we are
representing that, you know, maximum 14 trucks; we -- we
will only go along these unrestricted routes. And
2 condition of approval would provide that additional
enforcement ability in addition to (indiscernible).
14 MR. HUGHES: And are you familiar with any other
15 special exception or conditional uses where they had
16 conditions related to the routes?
17 MR. SEKERAK: Yeah, absolutely. You had
18 mentioned them previously in your introductory remarks, but
19 Goshen and Emerald are the two that come off the top of my
20 mind.
21 MR. HUGHES: And Emerald --
22 MR. SEKERAK: And those are, you know, just for
23 landscape contractors.
MR. HUGHES: Right.
MR. SEKERAK: There -- there are others out

## 9

there, so it's common and appropriate in certain cases.
And you know, the applicant is willing to accept that condition on this one.

MR. HUGHES: And in your professional opinion, do you have any possible potential language that could be considered for conditional -- condition of approval for the travel routes here?

MR. SEKERAK: I do. If --
MR. HUGHES: You need a moment?
MR. SEKERAK: It's over there on that computer
11 that I moved over here. I did not print.
12 MR. HUGHES: Or do you want to grab your computer 13 real quick?
14 MR. SEKERAK: No, that's it. That'll work. All
15 right. So it -- an example of a conditional -- or I'm
16 sorry, condition of approval for this. All vehicles with a
17 Gross Vehicle Weight, GVW, of more than 10,000 pounds
18 associated with the applicant's business, including any
19 belonging to employees, must avoid any weight-restricted
20 road sections or bridges on Burnt Hill Road to ingress and 21 egress the subject property.
22 So we don't even need to get into the weights
23 that the bridges can because no vehicles more than 10,000
24 pounds will be -- will be using those roads for -- for the
25 through traffic.

MR. HUGHES: Okay. Thank you. And so in y professional opinion, what would this accomplish, such a type of condition of approval?

MR. SEKERAK: Well, it'd be of no inconvenience to the applicant other than one minute of travel time to get to 270 , but it would provide that enforce -- additional enforcement abilities that we discussed.

MR. HUGHES: Mr. Sekerak, before we sum up your testimony, are there any other exhibits or points that you 10 wanted to bring up that we have not touched upon yet?

MR. SEKERAK: I'd like to go over the APF --
MR. HUGHES: Yes.
MR. SEKERAK: -- aspects. Thank you. You can 4 bring up 53 again. So this is restroom facilities in the 15 area less than 10 minutes away from the subject property, 16 all publicly available restroom facilities.
17 Let me start off. Not all conditional uses 18 require restroom facilities like -- like you had indicated: 19 utilities, the cemeteries, the equestrian centers.
20 It -- it's kind of like those particular uses that do not
21 need to have restroom facilities.
22 Similarly, there are conditional uses that do not 23 impact schools. So those are considered adequate.
24 So -- and you know, I've done many special exception
25 conditional uses where that type -- that type of public


```
MR. HUGHES: Very good. Thank you. Any last points you want to note for the record, Mr. Sekerak?
MR. SEKERAK: Those are -- those are the biggies. I'd be happy to, you know, field any questions or --
MR. HUGHES: Madam Hearing Examiner, if you have questions, or certainly, I know you may open it up to the floor.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Yeah. I think I'm good as far as my questions go.
Mr. Hartsock, I'll start with you. Do you have any questions for the witness?
I see -- Mr. Ryan, I see you're unmuted. Do you have questions for the witness?
MR. RYAN: No. I'll --
MS. RYAN: I have a question.
MR. RYAN: I'll rebut some of what he said during
our testimony. My wife has a question. Go ahead.
MS. RYAN: I would like to ask Mr. Sekerak if
he -- what was the last date that he rode the blue route?
MR. SEKERAK: Sunday.
MS. RYAN: Okay. Thank you.
MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Ryan.
Mr. Hartsock, anything?
MR. HARTSOCK: Yes. Photo 6 on Exhibit 101 shows
```

the apron of the entrance to the facility. And I wanted to ask Mr. Sekerak if he could comment on how -- if that apron complies with rustic road regulations.

MR. SEKERAK: Rustic roads --
MR. HARTSOCK: I would like him either to go on the record saying how it does or does not comply with Rustic Road regulations.

MR. SEKERAK: Rustic Roads doesn't -- the master plan describes the character along rustic roads, including 0 entrances to subdivisions and -- which effectively, this is. The previous --
12 MR. HARTSOCK: No, that is not an entrance to a 13 subdivision.
14 MR. SEKERAK: So -- but that -- I'm using that 15 term because the master plan use the term. It's not $16 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{it}$ is not a subdivision. It's the access to an 17 adjoining land use. If the point is it's not a subdivision 18 so that wouldn't be applicable, we'd be happy to accept 19 that.
20 But the point of the -- of this regarding the 21 master plan is the character of the roadway, which includes 22 access, which could include -- yeah, I won't -- I keep
23 trying to say the term access to the adjoining subdivision
24 because that's a term used in the master plan.
25 But if it -- our previous testimony was that this
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driveway entrance is very consistent with access to other
properties along these roads. And so that affects -- let
me pull up 42-G.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Oops, pushed the wrong
button. Stick with me.
    MR. SEKERAK: G might not be --
    HEARING EXAMINER: 42-G?
    MR. SEKERAK: Yeah, it might not be Exhibit G.
    HEARING EXAMINER: There's multiple pictures --
    MR. SEKERAK: Right. Right.
    HEARING EXAMINER: -- for 42-G.
    MR. HUGHES: I, G, 1 through -- it's G 1 through
5, I think.
    MR. SEKERAK: Yeah. 1 and 3 would be two good
examples.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Here's G-1.
    MR. SEKERAK:That's just up the street on Prices
Distillery Road. When I say just up the street, what would
that be? A thousand --
    MR. HUGHES: That's a larger property that's
occupied by Mr. Styles.
    MR. SEKERAK: Yeah, roughly a thousand feet north
of our -- northwest of our driveway entrance.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
    MR. SEKERAK: So in the immediate area, similar
driveway entrance is very consistent with access to other
properties along these roads. And so that affects -- let
me pull up 42-G.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Oops, pushed the wrong
button. Stick with me.
MR. SEKERAK: G might not be --
HEARING EXAMINER: 42-G?
MR. SEKERAK: Yeah, it might not be Exhibit G.
HEARING EXAMINER: There's multiple pictures --
MR. SEKERAK: Right. Right.
HEARING EXAMINER: -- for 42-G.
MR. HUGHES: I, G, 1 through -- it's G 1 through
5, I think.
MR. SEKERAK: Yeah. 1 and 3 would be two good examples.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Here's G-1.
MR. SEKERAK: That's just up the street on Prices
Distillery Road. When I say just up the street, what would
that be? A thousand --
MR. HUGHES: That's a larger property that's occupied by Mr. Styles.
MR. SEKERAK: Yeah, roughly a thousand feet north
of our -- northwest of our driveway entrance.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. SEKERAK: So in the immediate area, similar
```

    materials much wider than ours, the use is much closer to
    the street. Curiously has a telephone pole in the middle
    of its driveway also. But that's an example of a -- of the
    character of one of the -- one of the driveways.
    Go on to number 3.
    MR. HARTSOCK: Can we stay with that one? I'd
    like to comment on that. I'm intimately familiar --
HEARING EXAMINER: Well --
MR. HUGHES: Madam Hearing Examiner, yeah, can he
finish his answer first, please?
HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. So Mr. Hartsock, I'm
happy to pull this up for you to talk about it, but I'll
let -- I'll let the witness go ahead and finish here. But
if you want to put a pin in this and testify to this
and -- and basically contrary to what he says, we can
absolutely do that.
MR. HARTSOCK: Okay. I'm-- that's fine.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. So I think
it was number 3 that you wanted to look at --
MR. SEKERAK: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER: -- for similar driveways. I
think this was where you -- is this accurate?
MR. SEKERAK: Correct. That's along Burnt Hill
Road. Within that stretch that we had done the other
photographs of the site fromBurnt Hill Road, this would be
materials much wider than ours, the use is much closer to
the street. Curiously has a telephone pole in the middle
of its driveway also. But that's an example of a -- of the
character of one of the -- one of the driveways.
Go on to number 3.
MR. HARTSOCK: Can we stay with that one? I'd
like to comment on that. I'm intimately familiar --
HEARING EXAMINER: Well --
MR. HUGHES: Madam Hearing Examiner, yeah, can he
finish his answer first, please?
HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. So Mr. Hartsock, I'm
happy to pull this up for you to talk about it, but I'll
let -- I'll let the witness go ahead and finish here. But
if you want to put a pin in this and testify to this
abolul
MR. HARTSOCK: Okay. I'm-- that's fine.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. So I think
it was number 3 that you wanted to look at --
MR. SEKERAK: Yes.
21 HEARING EXAMINER: -- for similar driveways. I
think this was where you -- is this accurate?
MR. SEKERAK: Correct. That's along Burnt Hill
Road. Within that stretch that we had done the other
25 photographs of the site from Burnt Hill Road, this would be
rong
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1
on the other side of the street from the other
(indiscernible), so on -- on the east side of Burnt Hill Road.

Another example, gravel driveway, considerable width, much more than would be necessary for, you know, two vehicles to pass. And --

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. SEKERAK: -- it's all minimal.
HEARING EXAMINER: Just for my own clarification,
I think, I guess what Mr. Hartsock was asking potentially
was the driveway that we have at the subject property. Now
you've testified that it is similar to others along Prices
Distillery Road. Is there anything in the master plan or
in any other code section that would require it to be altered or upgraded or changed?

MR. SEKERAK: So with the --
HEARING EXAMINER: I think he's asked
specifically about the master plan, right? So is it in
conformance with the master plan? Just because it looks
like everything else, does that mean it's in conformance
with the master plan?
MR. SEKERAK: I would say yes. I'm not aware of
any part of the '96 Rustic Roads Functional Master Plan
that dictates a design standard for a driveway entrance.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Hartsock, sorry to
kind of jump -- jump in your questioning there, but I
just -- that's what I heard you were saying. And I wanted
to make sure that -- and then I had the same questions. So
I wanted to make sure that that got answered.

MR. HARTSOCK: But I -- when would you like me to
address these photographs, now or later? 12 that there's no design standard. We've looked at these additional pictures. So when it's your turn to testify, you can tell me -- we can pull up these same exhibits, and 15 then you can tell me why you believe he's right or he's 6 wrong. Okay?

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay?
MR. HARTSOCK: Yes. Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER: Sounds good. All right. Any 1 other questions for this witness from anyone in opposition based on what he's testified to today?

| 81 | 83 |
| :---: | :---: |
| your next witness or if you have any follow-up questions for this witness. <br> MR. HUGHES: I did have one follow-up question related to the question from you and Mr. Hartsock. I guess it would just be about asking Mr. Sekerak about Exhibit 42-E which is titled, "Revised Fire Marshal Approval Letter and Stamp Plan." If you're able to pull that up, Madam Hearing Examiner. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: 42-E. Okay. Want me to 0 scroll down at all? There we go. <br> MR. HUGHES: Mr. Sekerak, can you just tell us what this exhibit is and what it -- what it means for this application? <br> MR. SEKERAK: It indicates that the driveway entrance meets the DPS Department of Fire and Rescue Services criteria for access to the site. <br> MR. HUGHES: So is it fair to say, then, if this <br> 8 is approved, this -- if this application is approved, that 9 this would be how the entranceway would be designed to fit 20 this plan in this criteria and dimensions? <br> 21 MR. SEKERAK: Yeah. This indicates the existing dimensions chapter. <br> MR. HUGHES: Okay. Very good. Thank you. <br> Madam Hearing Examiner, could we take a short <br> 25 break? | call you for tree service and I have a tree that needs to be cut down or limbs that need to be pruned, your guys -- you know, tell me what in this scenario is inaccurate as far as your operation goes. <br> So your crew would report to this site. They would pick up their truck and their tools. They would come to my home. They would cut down, trim the tree, whatever excess. Where does that go? <br> MR. ALVAREZ: Yes. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Where would they go after? <br> MR. ALVAREZ: We have multiple dump sites for <br> wood chips specifically and also for different sites of logs, depending the length, the size, quality, and even the 4 species. Some places are -- let us dump for free, depending the quality of the logs. Other ones we have to pay for them. <br> And the sites of it, we use very heavily a -- an 18 app called ChipDrop. That shows all the entire area here 19 who is requesting a load of wood chips or logs. So that 20 way, we look at the next one closer to our job site, and we 21 try to supply the load even of wood or logs to the nearest 22 point. And that way, we avoid dumping on site that 23 material that I -- where we are. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: So are there times that <br> 25 there's no place to take this material that you've removed |
|  | from a job site to and it comes back to this particular location? <br> MR. ALVAREZ: Typically -- we have the policy <br> that if the truck is over half a load, try to dump at the <br> end of the day because we have dump sites close by that we <br> are allowed to dump 24/7. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. <br> MR. ALVAREZ: And that way, we avoid to kind of <br> have the truck full the next day. Very few times, we keep <br> the wood chips in -- behind, inside the trucks because the <br> dump sites require, like, morning deliveries and/or for <br> traffic convenience. But we don't -- we don't drop the <br> wood chips on site and reload them. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Do you engage in planting, or <br> is it mostly removal? <br> MR. ALVAREZ: We do very limited planting for the <br> reason that it is the -- the warranty liability. And when <br> we do, we bring the material directly from the nursery to <br> the job site and plant it the same day. Majority for scope <br> of work is based on pruning, tree care, and removals when <br> the trees become hazardous or when they die or people <br> desires to remove them <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. So <br> the -- so pruning, removal, tree care. Okay. <br> 25 MR. ALVAREZ: Uh-huh (affirmative). |

```
```

Installations -- we call installations. We do a few other

```
```

Installations -- we call installations. We do a few other
services related to inspections of the trees, conditions,
services related to inspections of the trees, conditions,
but pretty much that's what we do.
but pretty much that's what we do.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So you're saying it's a
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So you're saying it's a
rare event that materials would be taken back to this site.
rare event that materials would be taken back to this site.
If they are taken back, do they essentially remain on the
If they are taken back, do they essentially remain on the
truck until the next day?
truck until the next day?
MR. ALVAREZ: Yes.
MR. ALVAREZ: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So nothing is really
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So nothing is really
offloaded and leff at the site?
offloaded and leff at the site?
MR. ALVAREZ: We sometimes have few logs in one
MR. ALVAREZ: We sometimes have few logs in one
truck, and we put it on the ground in order to just load it
truck, and we put it on the ground in order to just load it
up -- load them up back to the bigger truck to take them in
up -- load them up back to the bigger truck to take them in
a full load, more than multiple trips to the dumping place
a full load, more than multiple trips to the dumping place
for few logs each. That's how --
for few logs each. That's how --
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is --
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is --
MR. ALVAREZ: -- (indiscernible) we do.
MR. ALVAREZ: -- (indiscernible) we do.
HEARING EXAMINER: So because you're charged by
HEARING EXAMINER: So because you're charged by
the load, for the most part?
the load, for the most part?
MR. ALVAREZ: We are charged by the load, by
MR. ALVAREZ: We are charged by the load, by
weight sometimes.
weight sometimes.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right.
MR. ALVAREZ: And so we try to send full loads.
MR. ALVAREZ: And so we try to send full loads.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. Thank you.
That's very helpful. So --

```
```

That's very helpful. So --

```
```

    MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
    HEARING EXAMINER: -- based on that, Mr. Hughes,
    obviously still your witness. Anything -- and then --
MR. HUGHES: No, but (crosstalk) --
HEARING EXAMINER: -- since he is a witness, I
would open him up to cross-examination based on the
operational questions.
MR. HUGHES: Okay.
9 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So Mr. Hughes, I
10 guess if you don't have any questions for him, then I would
11 ask Mr. Ryan or Mr. Hartsock, you may ask Mr. Alvarez
10 guess if you don't have any questions for him, then I would
11 ask Mr. Ryan or Mr. Hartsock, you may ask Mr. Alvarez
12 questions but limited to essentially what I asked him
that -- regarding operations.
MR. RYAN: I have no questions.
HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Ryan.
MR. HARTSOCK: My only question -- Tom Hartsock.
My only question is how -- why did you end up with such a
HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Ryan.
MR. HARTSOCK: My only question -- TomHartsock.
My only question is how -- why did you end up with such a
HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Ryan.
MR. HARTSOCK: My only question -- Tom Hartsock.
My only question is how -- why did you end up with such a
lot of materials stored there when you first purchased the
property?
20 MR. ALVAREZ: Thank you for the question. During
21 the pandemic -- it was the first months of the pandemic
22 2020. And we were -- we were about shutting down. So my
23 cost reduction on operations was saving the disposal
24 expense that I had at the time. And I put on this position
5 of paying the guys that they couldn't work on those months.
14
15
16
HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Ryan.
MR. HARTSOCK: My only question -- Tom Hartsock.
My only question is how -- why did you end up with such a
86

So that's why I brought the material to the property at the beginning in order for me to save that money and pay some hours to the -- to the crews. After we could catch up, we did the cleanup immediately after requesting, which it was my intention from the beginning. It was just like a survival mechanism during the pandemic first month when it got very critical.

MR. HARTSOCK: But Mr. Alvarez, when you visited my home, you told me that those materials were to mulch 10 fruit trees that you were going to plant on the farm. I don't understand. You brought a massive amount of
material, and you -- one of the reasons you gave for that
13 in prior testimony -- or Mr. Hughes gave on your behalf,
14 I'm sorry, was that all the receiving companies were
15 closed. And that simply was not true. Pogo's never
16 closed.
17 MR. HUGHES: Objection.
18 HEARING EXAMINER: Basis?
19 MR. HUGHES: I'm not sure he's asking a question.
20 He 's not asking a question.
21 HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
22 MR. HARTSOCK: I'm asking why the material was
23 stored there when Pogo's --
24 MR. HUGHES: He answered that.
25 HEARING EXAMINER: And Mr. Hartsock, he did
answer that. You'll have the opportunity to tell me about
your conversation with him and what your observations were
during that time.
MR. HARTSOCK: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER: And to tell me about the
places that were not closed.
MR. HARTSOCK: Okay. I just -- I thought it
would be unfair to Mr. Alvarez if we discussed that after
he has to leave. That was my reason for asking the
question when I did.
HEARING EXAMINER: Understood.
So Mr. Hughes, if -- oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Hartsock.
Any additional questions of Mr. Alvarez?
MR. HARTSOCK: No.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. Hughes, any follow-up?
MR. HUGHES: Yes. Thank you.
And good and fair question by Mr. Hartsock.
9 Thank you, sir.
20 So I think you've -- you just testified that you
21 did -- there was a time period when -- early on when you
2 bought the property where you did store a significant
3 amount of logs for a time period; is that correct?
24 MR. ALVAREZ: Yes. Correct.
25 MR. HUGHES: And then you've also testified that

```
those logs, for some time now, have been gone off the
property, correct?
    MR. ALVAREZ: Yes.
    MR. HUGHES: And is it your commitment and you
would accept an -- a condition that you will -- you will
not be storing any more logs on the property; is that
correct?
    MR. ALVAREZ: That's correct.
    MR. HUGHES: Thank you. No further questions,
MadamHearing Examiner.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you very much.
All right. For some reason, I can't see you, Mr. Hughes.
Is anybody else having this issue or is it just me?
    MR. HARTSOCK: I can see him clearly.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Dang it.
    MR. HUGHES: You're the lucky one.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Well, it must just be me. Let
me turn myself on and turn myself back off. Hold on.
    Well, that didn't do anything. That's okay. If
lol}20\mathrm{ everybody else can see him clearly, it's something on -- on 
l
l
l
l
l
```

your next witness, Mr. Hughes.
Thank you, Mr. Alvarez.
MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam Hearing Examiner.
MR. ALVAREZ: You're welcome.
MR. HUGHES: I would call next Mr. Mike Nalepa.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. Mr. Nalepa,
can you please raise your right hand? Promise to tell the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
MR. NALEPA: Yes.
10 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Thank you very
10 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Thank you very
examiner.
MR. NALEPA: It's N-A-L-E-P-A.
HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
MR. HUGHES: Okay to start, Madam Hearing
Examiner?
HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, please do.
MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
Good afternoon, Mr. Nalepa.
I'd like to ask Madam Hearing Examiner to please
pull up Exhibit 98-B, and also then we'll be talking about
98-C. What I would ask Mr. Nalepa, once it comes up here.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Oh, and there you are,
Mr. Hughes. You just appeared back on my screen.
MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Mr. Nalepa, this -- I
5
17
17
19
19
20
1 think this Exhibit 98-B is I guess three pages, including ${ }^{91}$
the first page being a cover part, which we don't need.
3 But can you -- the second page here, can you explain to us
what 98 -B is and what it shows?
MR. NALEPA: Those are pictures looking on
Clarksburg Road, heading south at the intersection at
Lewisdale Road.
MR. HUGHES: And what does it tell us about those
roads and those intersections? Well, let me back up.
Have you visited this site in this area?
MR. NALEPA: Yes, I have.
MR. HUGHES: Okay. And are these pictures that
3
4
4

| 89 | 91 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 those logs, for some time now, have been gone off the | 1 think this Exhibit 98-B is I guess three pages, including |
| 2 property, correct? | 2 the first page being a cover part, which we don't need. |
| 3 Mr. ALVAREZ: Yes. | 3 But can you -- the second page here, can you explain to us |
| 4 MR. HUGHES: And is it your commitment and you | 4 what 98-B is and what it shows? |
| 5 would accept an -- a condition that you will -- you will | 5 MR. NALEPA: Those are pictures looking on |
| 6 not be storing any more logs on the property; is that | 6 Clarksburg Road, heading south at the intersection at |
| 7 correct? | 7 Lewisdale Road. |
| 8 MR. ALVAREZ: That's correct. | 8 MR. HUGHES: And what does it tell us about those |
| 9 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. No further questions, | 9 roads and those intersections? Well, let me back up. |
| 10 MadamHearing Examiner | 10 Have you visited this site in this area? |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you very much. | 11 MR. NALEPA: Yes, I have. |
| 12 All right. For some reason, I can't see you, Mr. Hughes. | 12 MR. HUGHES: Okay. And are these pictures that |
| 13 Is anybody else having this issue or is it just me? | 13 you took? |
| 14 MR. HARTSOCK: I can see him clearly. | 14 MR. NALEPA: Yes, they are. |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Dang it. | 15 MR. HUGHES: And do you know when you |
| 16 MR. HUGHES: You're the lucky one. | 16 were -- when you took those pictures or about when? |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, it must just be me. Let | 17 MR. NALEPA: June 7th. |
| 18 me turn myself on and turn myself back off. Hold on. | 18 MR. HUGHES: Okay. So Madam Hearing Examiner, |
| 19 Well, that didn't do anything. That's okay. If | 19 could you scroll down? The next page I think might |
| 20 everybody else can see him clearly, it's something on -- on | 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Sure. |
| 21 my end, and hopefully it will pop back up. All right. | 21 MR. HUGHES: -- might be a short email from -- |
| 22 Okay. | 22 HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. |
| 23 So if we're done with Mr. Alvarez-- maybe I'll | 23 MR. HUGHES: -- him to me about the day. Okay. |
| 24 change my view. Yeah, nope. That didn't help either. All | 24 All right. |
| 25 right. Okay. So we're -- if we're done with Mr. Alvarez, | 25 So can you explain what this -- what these |
| 90 | 92 |
| 1 your next witness, Mr. Hughes. | 1 pictures show? What -- |
| 2 Thank you, Mr. Alvarez. | 2 MR. NALEPA: Well, hopefully they show -- they |
| 3 MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam Hearing Examiner. | 3 show that there is no weight restriction on Clarksburg |
| 4 MR. ALVAREZ: You're welcome. | 4 Road. And the reason I took the pictures is we had gotten |
| 5 MR. HUGHES: I would call next Mr. Mike Nalepa. | 5 a letter from the Ryans, and they had -- there was a |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. Mr. Nalepa, | 6 picture of a sign at that location that had -- it was a |
| 7 can you please raise your right hand? Promise to tell the | 7 weight-restricted sign. |
| 8 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? | $8 \quad$ And I was confused by that after I had gone out |
| 9 MR. NALEPA: Yes. | 9 and visited the site. So I took the pictures, and then I |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Thank you very | 10 contacted DPW at the county and inquired about it. And |
| 11 much. And if you could spell your name for the hearing | 11 they said that they had mistakenly installed that sign on |
| 12 examiner. | 12 Clarksburg Road, when it should have in fact been installed |
| 13 MR. NALEPA: It's N-A-L-E-P-A. | 13 on Lewisdale Road. And the reason there's a discrepancy in |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you | 14 the picture that I took versus the one that the Ryans took |
| 15 MR. HUGHES: Okay to start, Madam Hearing | 15 was corrected by the county. |
| 16 Examiner? | 16 MR. HUGHES: Very good. And when you say DPW, |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, please do | 17 can you tell us what that stands for? |
| 18 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. | 18 MR. NALEPA: Department of Public Works. |
| 19 Good afternoon, Mr. Nalepa. | 19 MR. HUGHES: Very good. And when you referenced |
| 20 I'd like to ask Madam Hearing Examiner to please | 20 the Ryans' letter and picture -- |
| 21 pull up Exhibit 98-B, and also then we'll be talking about | 21 I believe that's Exhibit 95, Madam Hearing |
| 22 98-C. What I would ask Mr. Nalepa, once it comes up here. | 22 Examiner. |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Oh, and there you are, | 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 24 Mr . Hughes. You just appeared back on my screen. | 24 MR. HUGHES: Can you pull that up? Thank you. |
| 25 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. Mr. Nalepa, this -- I | 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Sure. |


| 93 | 95 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. HUGHES: And if there's a -- is this | 1 And so can -- in your professional opinion, can |
| 2 the -- no. Additional pictures, Mr. Nalepa. Can you | 2 you explain what this sho |
| 3 scroll down some more? Keep going, I think | 3 MR. NALEPA: Well, that shows of two routes that |
| 4 MR. NALEPA: Yeah, | 4 Mr . Alvarez's vehicles could take to access 270. The one |
| 5 MR. HUGHES: Right there. Okay. So that -- is | 5 in blue being completely open to any size vehicle, and then |
| 6 it your testimony that that sign was up but is no longer up | 6 the gray route are available to any vehicles that are less |
| 7 | 7 |
| 8 MR. NALEPA: That's correc | 8 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Okay. So in your expert |
| 9 MR. HUGHES: And what's that information based | 9 opinion, these routes are legal per those parameters you |
| 10 upon, your position at -- that it was incorrectly put up? | 10 just explained, the weight restrictions and -- or weight |
| 11 I think you've already testified to the | 11 restrictions on the gray and no weight restrictions on the |
| 12 MR. NALEPA: Well, right. I -- when I visit | 12 blue; is that cor |
| 13 the site, I didn't see that sign. And | 13 MR. NALEPA: That's correct. |
| 14 public works to find out if the sign had gotten knocked | 14 MR. HUGHES: And in your expert opinion, are they |
| 15 down or if there was a weight -- weight restriction on | 15 both viable routes for Mr. Alvarez and Chapingo's business |
| 16 Clarksburg Road. And they informed me that that sign had | 16 need |
| 17 been incorrectly installed there and had since been re | 17 MR. NALEPA: |
| 18 and installed on Lewisdale Road where they intended to have | 18 MR. HUGHES: Did you hear Mr. Sekerak testify to |
| 19 it installed. | 19 a possible condition of approval language related to these |
| 20 MR. HUGHES: Okay. And in your professional | 20 routes? |
| 21 opinion, would you be surprised to see that sign on | 21 MR. NALEPA: Yes. |
| 22 Clarksburg at that location? | 22 MR. HUGHES: And in your expert opinion, would |
| 23 MR. NALEPA: Oh, yeah. Yeah, absolutely. It's | 23 that language or similar language be appropriate here from |
| 24 an arterial road. Trucks have to go somewhere | 24 a legal and operational standpoint for Chapingo? |
| 25 MR. HUGHES: Got you. Okay. | 25 MR. NALEPA: Oh, yeah, absolutely. |
| 94 | 96 |
| 1 And Madam Hearing Examiner, could you pull up | 1 MR. HUGHES: And can you just tell us a little |
| 2 98-C, please? | 2 bit -- well, what type of vehicles can and do travel in and |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Do you want me to rotate | 3 around this area? |
| 4 MR. HUGHES: To the left. There you go | 4 MR. NALEPA: Oh, there's school buses, trash |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay | 5 trucks, county maintenance vehicles, farming equipment, you |
| 6 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. | 6 know, delivery vehicles that are, well, either making |
| 7 Mr. Nalepa, can you tell us what this is and what | 7 deliveries to some of the farm uses or even the single |
| 8 it shows? And well, first of all, is this a -- yeah, tell | 8 family homes, you know, moving vans. Really, |
| 9 us what this is and what it shows. Thank you | 9 there -- there really are no restrictions on which types |
| 10 MR. NALEPA: Well, it's a copy of the grid map | 10 trucks can use it, with the exception of on Burnt Hill |
| 11 that the Maryland State Highway Administration publishes | 11 Road; there are weight restrictions on two of the bridge |
| 12 and maintains. And what it's showing is the location of | 12 crossings. Those are hard restrictions that have to be |
| 13 Mr . Alvarez's site there on Prices Distillery Road. And | 13 observed. |
| 14 then it's showing the section of Lewisdale Road and Burnt | 14 But for example, Burnt Hill Road has a no-through |
| 15 Hills Road that have weight restrictions on them. And | 15 trucks restriction for vehicles over 10,000 pounds. School |
| 16 there's -- those are highlighted by the blue. | 16 buses can obviously go on there. Trash trucks can go on |
| 17 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Okay. All right. And are | 17 there, even though they're in excess of (inaudible). |
| 18 you familiar with the exhibit Mr. Sekerak showed, 98-A, | 18 MR. HUGHES: (Inaudible) or they're able to |
| 19 that Google map? | 19 handle such vehicles; is that correct? |
| 20 MR. NALEPA: Yes. Yes, I am | 20 MR. NALEPA: That's correct. |
| 21 MR. HUGHES: I'm sorry. Madam Hearing | 21 MR. HUGHES: And are Chapingo's vehicles any |
| 22 Examiner -- | 22 different than the other types of vehicles that are able to |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Sure. | 23 and do travel this area? |
| 24 MR. HUGHES: -- if you could pull that one up as | 24 MR. NALEPA: No. |
| 25 well, please. 98-A. | 25 MR. HUGHES: In your professional opinion, would |


| 97 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Chapingo's modest number of vehicles make a material impact | 1 sir. I apologize. |
| 2 beyond what's already permitted on these local roads? | 2 MR. NALEPA: You're not the first. |
| 3 MR. NALEPA: No, not -- it'd be de minimis, | 3 MR. HUGHES: I've done it. |
| 4 really. | 4 MR. HARTSOCK: I would like to ask him the same |
| 5 MR. HUGHES: And what's that based upon, your | 5 question I asked Mr. Sekerak. Full disclosure, many years |
| 6 professional opinion? | 6 ago, I was on the Rustic Roads Advisory Committee, and I am |
| 7 MR. NALEPA: Well, prior to -- our firs | 7 recalling that we had restrictions on the widths of aprons |
| 8 involvement with this case is we had to submit a traffic | 8 of driveways that attached to rustic and exceptional rustic |
| 9 scoping request to Park and Planning. And in that form, we | 9 roads. I would like to ask Mr. -- and I'm going to say Mr. |
| 10 had to list the projected trips that would be generated by | 10 N because I don't want to mess up your name -- |
| 11 this site and then determine whether or not the county was | 11 MR. NALEPA: Mike is fine |
| 12 going to require a traffic study or not. | 12 MR. HARTSOCK: -- if you are aware of those. I |
| 13 So we submitted that, put the -- we used a -- the | 13 don't know if they were regulations that were developed |
| 14 trip generation that we used was for a nursery because | 14 after the master plan was put together or if they're in the |
| 15 there aren't, I -- the Institute of Transportation | 15 master plan and I'm sorry, I just don't recall. It's been |
| 16 Engineers, which is the publication we use for trip | 16 too many years. Could you give us some insight on how wide |
| 17 generation, doesn't have a trip generation rate for this | 17 aprons can be for driveways attaching to rustic and |
| 18 type of use. So anyway, we used that, sent it | 18 exceptional rustic roads? |
| 19 The -- the trips generated were far less than the 50 | 19 MR. NALEPA: Yeah, and I'm-- I have to say that |
| 20 peak-hour trips that would've triggered a traffic study | 20 I'm not aware that there is a restriction. I know our |
| 21 Park and Planning agreed with that and didn't require a | 21 company does -- we're under contract with Montgomery County |
| 22 traffic study. | 22 DOT to do work for them from time to time. And I have |
| 23 MR. HUGHES: And that's based upon the numbers | 23 worked on rustic roads with guardrail installations, for |
| 24 were so low? | 24 example. And of course, you know, you -- we have to use |
| 25 MR. NALEPA: Yes. | 25 the -- the county has to use the brown ones instead of the |
| 98 | 100 |
| 1 MR. HUGHES: Very good. Okay. So just in -- in | 1 galvanized -- |
| 2 closing out here. In -- in your expert opinion, Exhibit | 2 MR. HARTSOCK: Right. |
| 3 98-A is very viable for the travel of Chapingo's vehicles, | 3 MR. NALEPA: -- and I'm-- I'mjust not aware |
| 4 is that correct? | 4 that there is any kind of restriction on any type of |
| 5 MR. NALEPA: Yes. | 5 physical improvements on a rustic road, given that it's |
| 6 MR. HUGHES: And -- and I apologize. I think you | 6 required for a safety or operational type use. So I -- the |
| 7 already testified to this. But the condition of approval | 7 short answer to your question is I'm not aware of any |
| 8 suggested that Mr. Sekerak talked about, that's one, in | 8 restrictions on apron widths. |
| 9 your professional opinion, you also support as viable and | 9 And even the other restrictions, it's more |
| 10 legal; is that correct? | 10 of -- it's almost more of an aesthetic designation than it |
| 11 MR. NALEPA: Yes. And I might add, not necessary | 11 is in a geometric engineering restriction. I mean, the |
| 12 because even if there wasn't a condition of approval on | 12 road still has to be able to safely handle traffic. You're |
| 13 that, their trucks wouldn't be allowed to travel on Burnt | 13 not going to be able to widen a rustic road as easily as |
| 14 Hill Road if they were in excess of the weight restrictions | 14 you would a non-rustic road. But if there were a safety |
| 15 on the bridge or going through that section and over 10,000 | 15 issue, say, at a narrow bridge or a narrow curve or |
| 16 pounds. | 16 something where there was a documented safety problem, th |
| 17 MR. HUGHES: Very good. Thank you. | 17 rustic road designation wouldn't preclude the county from |
| 18 That would be -- that would be my direct | 18 going in there and making a modest improvement to improve |
| 19 from-- for Mike, MadamHearing Examiner. | 19 the safety of the road. |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you very much. I | 20 MR. HARTSOCK: And rightfully so. |
| 21 don't have any questions for this particular witness. | 21 MR. NALEPA: Right. |
| 22 I would ask Mr. Hartsock, Mr. and Ms. Ryan, | 22 MR. HARTSOCK: Thank you. That's all. |
| 23 anyone else in opposition, if you have any questions for | 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Hartsock. |
| 24 Mr. Nalepa. | 24 Mr. and Mrs. Ryan, anything? |
| 25 Or -- I know I'm totally butchering your name, | 25 MR. RYAN: No questions. |


| 101 | 103 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you so much. | 1 my former friends and colleagues running landscape |
| 2 MR. RYAN: We'll talk about the changes later. | 2 businesses in the local area. Let's not forget that this |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Okay. Mr. Hughes, if | 3 whole saga started out with Chapingo illegally dumping |
| 4 you have no additional questions for this witness, we can | 4 massive amounts of tree debris on a farm that they |
| 5 move on to your next witness, or let me know where you are. | 5 purchased in Montgomery County's agricultural reserve. |
| 6 MR. HUGHES: No follow up with Mike, and that | 6 After the debris covered so much acreage that |
| 7 my plan as far as direct, proactive testimony of our 8 witnesses. | 7 dumping areas were limited, equipment was brought in to 8 push the piles together and pile them higher. The debris |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. Thank | 9 was deposited on a slope where the runoff from this field |
| 10 very muc | 10 goes into a tributary of Little Bennett Creek. We |
| 11 All right | 11 neighbors reported this violation, and the DPS inspector |
| 12 case. Now we will move on to opposition. So just -- I | 12 came, but the fines must have been few enough and/or small |
| 13 just want to make sure I have our list of people who wish | 13 enough to simply be a reasonable business expense for |
| 14 to speak in opposition ready to go. So I | 14 Chapingo. And no runoff control was mandated and put into |
| 15 Hartsock. | 15 place. The disappearance of tree debris later seemed to |
| 16 MR. RYAN: Yep. | 16 coincide appropriately with Chapingo's application for |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER: I know -- let's see. I think | 17 conditional use approval |
| 18 it was - | 18 All of this might have been a forgivable rookie |
| 19 MR. HARTSOCK: Mr. Hunt, I think, wanted to | 19 mistake by a naïve or novice business person, except that |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Okay. So I see Mr. and | 20 Mr . Alvarez had done this exact same thing a while earlier |
| 21 Mrs. Hunt. So Mr. Hunt as well. Is there anyone else? | 21 on Old Hundred Road: accumulated tree debris, drainage |
| 22 All right. So then Mr. Hunt. All right | 22 problem -- |
| 23 Whoever needs to go first, please proceed. Anyone? | 23 MR. HUGHES: Objectio |
| 24 MR. HARTSOCK: We haven't choreographed this. | 24 MR. HARTSOCK: -- reports from affected |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. So Mr. | 25 neighbors -- |
| 102 | 4 |
| 1 Hartsock? | 1 MR. HUGHES: Objection. |
| 2 MR. HARTSOCK: I'll be happy to go first -- | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Hartsock, hold on one |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. | 3 second. I need to find out why Mr. Hughes is objecting to |
| 4 MR. HARTSOCK: -- just to keep things rolling. | 4 your testimony. |
| 5 My name is Tom Hartsock. I'm a neighboring farmer and | 5 Mr. Hughes? |
| 6 resident with my farm and home lying to the west of and | 6 MR. HUGHES: He's -- yeah, he's starting to talk |
| 7 sharing a short border with the subject property. The | 7 about another property that's not relevant to here. |
| 8 stream that accepts the runoff from the subject property | 8 There's -- and in -- in the record, there's never been any |
| 9 runs through my farm and past my home. | 9 formal allegations or even proof about this. I think it's |
| 10 I am retired from the University of Maryland, | 10 just -- it's not relevant to the case and it's just trying |
| 11 where for my last six years, I served as director of the | 11 to pile on. |
| 12 Institute of Applied Agriculture, a two-year program in the | 12 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. I understand. |
| 13 College of Ag and Natural Resources that includes a | 13 I'll take it for -- I'll take it fromMr. Hartsock for what |
| 14 landscape management major. As part of our curriculum, we | 14 it's worth. And I'll note your objection, but I'll allow |
| 15 offered a course in arboriculture. Therefore, I am | 15 him to continue to speak. |
| 16 familiar with the commercial landscaping industry and the | 16 MR. HUGHES: Thank you. |
| 17 valuable service -- services this industry provides. I am | 17 MR. HARTSOCK: Thank you. In lawyer parlance, |
| 18 also sympathetic to the difficulty in finding appropriate | 18 this is precedent. Accumulated -- basically the same thing |
| 19 and affordable sites for their operations and Montgomery | 19 that happened here happened on Old Hundred Road a couple |
| 20 County's failure, in my opinion, to identify appropriate | 20 years ago. This is not a mistake; it's a business plan |
| 21 sites for their location to make their lives a little bit | 21 is -- if anyone in our local government paying attention |
| 22 easier. | 22 and keeping track. |
| 23 That said, however, I am saddened and concerned | 23 A conditional use approval needs to be granted |
| 24 about how the illegal and unethical actions of a company | 24 before a business begins operations in the Ag Reserve. If |
| 25 like Chapingo will negatively influence the reputations of | 25 they start the business illegally, they should be required |


| 1 | to cease and desist while the approval process plays out, |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | especially in the case of a repeat offender. Chapingo |
| 3 | operated illegally on Old hundred Road, and they have |
| 4 | continued to operate on Prices Distillery Road for nearly |
| 5 | another three years. |
| 6 | $\quad$ Just downstream from Chapingo, I run a farm where |
| 7 | I'm required to document and report all nutrients, |
| 8 | including chemical fertilizers, collected animal manures, |
| 9 | compost, and other organic materials like wood chips that I |
| 10 | apply to my fields as fertilizer, all in an effort to keep |
| 11 nutrients from running into the stream. And these field |  |
| 12 nutrient applications are limited to the nutrients needed |  |
| 13 by the crops being grown. Why doesn't the county require |  |
| 14 the same of Chapingo? |  |
| 15 | I alluded to this before. One of the excuses |
| 16 | that Mr. Alvarez used was that the receiving companies that |
| 17 took his tree debris were closed down during -- during the |  |
| 18 | pandemic. I suspect it was more a cost thing because it's |
| 19 much cheaper to dump tree debris on a farmland area than it |  |
| 20 | is to have to pay a dumping fee. Those outlets weren't all |
| 21 | closed, so that -- in previous testimony, that was a -- at |
| 22 best, a statement by the applicants. |  |
| 23 | The other -- another part -- and I'm going |
| 24 through these things because my concern is if there's an |  |
| 25 approval, what's the enforcement mechanism to make sure |  |

to cease and desist while the approval process plays out, especially in the case of a repeat offender. Chapingo operated illegally on Old hundred Road, and they have continued to operate on Prices Distillery Road for nearly another three years.

Just downstream from Chapingo, I run a farm where I'm required to document and report all nutrients, including chemical fertilizers, collected animal manures, compost, and other organic materials like wood chips that I apply to my fields as fertilizer, all in an effort to keep ents from running into the stream. And these field by the crops being grown. Why doesn't the county require the same of Chapingo? that Mr. Alvarez used was that the receiving companies that took his tree debris were closed down during -- during the pandemic. I suspect it was more a cost thing because it's much cheaper to dump tree debris on a farmland area than it is to have to pay a dumping fee. Those outlets weren't all closed, so that -- in previous testimony, that was a -- at best, a statement by the applicants.

The other -- another part -- and I'm going approval, what's the enforcement mechanism to make sure
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that the conditions of the approval are actually met?
Because DPS has obviously allowed this company to operate for almost three years when they were obviously operating illegally.

I appreciate the fact that Mr. Alvarez's commitment to not do snow removal at this site. I am concerned that that might not be the case once it snows again. That's an easy commitment to make when it doesn't snow. And I'm very much aware of the profitability of snow removal, and I'm very much in favor of landscape companies doing this because it keeps their trucks busy and it also keeps employees who might otherwise be seasonal, keeps them more fully employed throughout the wintertime.

With regard to Emerald, in testimony before the previous hearing examiner and in subsequent filings, Mr . Hughes cited the precedent of the Planning staff recommending approval for Emerald Landscaping at a site not far from the current subject property. The implication was that the Planning staff were being inconsistent in recommending approval for Emerald but denial for Chapingo.

What Mr. Hughes failed to mention was that on
page 6 of staff's March 11th, 2016 report is a statement
that the proposed Emerald site plan included sanitary
facilities per a septic plan approved by MCDPS on March 2nd, 2016. Comparing the two proposed facilities is not
apples to apples.
That said, I don't think the Planning staff and the Rustic Roads Advisory Committee should have approved Emerald's proposal either, and a number of us pointed out why in the Planning Board hearing. The Emerald folks and their representatives recognized that approval after further review was on unlikely and pulled out of the process.

The mistake was not in denying Chapingo but in approving Emerald. That was rectified during a public hearing process. Erroneous precedents should not be used to justify subsequent decisions.

With regard to the issue of no well and septic on this property, I believe that Planning staff have addressed the legalities of the work -- global workforce and the 16 absence of sanitary facilities quite accurately. But in case their conclusions are subject to any questions, I 8 would like to very briefly address the practical issues.

First and foremost, no male worker will get in a 0 truck and drive several miles from the subject property to 1 Damascus or Clarksburg just to pee when they can just walk behind a building and do it. And I say this as a man who's worked on crews like this in my youth. Nobody would drive three miles to do that.

And I, as -- as a farmer, I run into that same
problem. If I feel the urge when I'm doing field work more than a quarter mile from my house, I can assure you that I don't unhitch my tractor and drive it back to my house. I likely wouldn't make it anyway. Being able to urinate easily in the field is just a benefit of my being born with external plumbing.

The difference between me and the Chapingo crew is that I do that a couple times a year on a hundred acres in the middle of a growing animal crop field where my liquid deposits become crop fertilizer. Twenty or so Chapingo employees will be doing that almost daily, as well as other coffee-induced activities, in a small area within a stream buffer that is frequented by other humans.

The examples given above have been presented as evidence and precedent bearing on the reliability of the applicant to operate honestly, legally, and ethically in the conditional -- should the conditional use approval be 18 granted. And that is the why, is why I vigorously oppose approval of the application.

I -- in addition, I have a few comments on Mr. Hughes' testimony and his expert's testimony. Is it appropriate that I present those now?

## HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.

MR. HARTSOCK: He cited staff requirements
25 regarding the use of Burnt Hill Road by larger trucks.


| 113 | 115 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 My concern is that if they get approval, it may | 1 And a portion of Lewisdale as cited in the blue route, so |
| 2 happen again. And given DPS' response and allowing this to | 2 to speak, is still available, although why? Because it's |
| 3 go on for a year, year and a half after we first reporte | 3 the worst part of the road, but it is |
| 4 it is my problem. | 4 And -- but the intersection between Lewisdale and |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you, sir | 5 Clarksburg, from that point on, Lewisdale is |
| 6 MR. HARTSOCK: Thank you. | 6 10,000 -- less-than-10,000 pound r |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Hughes, any questions for | 7 We have provided pictures of -- first in ou |
| 8 Mr. Hartsock? | 8 figure 1 is the picture of the sign for the |
| 9 MR. HUGHES: No, but thank you. | 9 weight-restricted bridge on Burnt Hill Road. Figure 2is |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. Thank you | 10 the weight limit sign on Burnt Hill Road itself. And then |
| 11 so much, Mr. Hartsock. | 11 pictures of the intersection of Mountain View Road, Prices |
| 12 We'll move to Mr. and Mrs. Ryan, if that's okay | 12 Distillery Road, and Purdum Road, all of which are rustic |
| 13 MR. RYAN: Okay. And so my testimony, I'm going | 13 roads, although Prices Distillery is not weight limited. |
| 14 to alter it as we go through because real time, we went out | 14 So what I'm going to do is go past the pictures |
| 15 and checked on the expert. And indeed, there is no weight | 15 since Mr. Hughes's expert has shown the picture that's |
| 16 limitation sign on Clarksburg Road. There was when we took | 16 accurate today, which is that there is no restriction on |
| 17 the picture of it, but that has changed. | 17 Clarksburg Road. And the weight limit sign on Lewisdale |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay | 18 Road is still there. And we took a picture of it from both |
| 19 MR. RYAN: Okay. We took the picture on May 5th. | 19 ends of Lewisdale, and Lewisdale is further restricted in |
| 20 And you know, we -- I think most of our pictures are from | 20 Frederick County as well. |
| 21 the May time frame, so. | 21 So I'mjust trying to go through and sort of edit |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER | 22 on the fly |
| 23 MR. RYAN: Okay? | 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Is there anything you want me |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you | 24 to pull up, Mr. Ryan? |
| 25 MR. RYAN: So we are Karen and James Ryan. We | 25 MR. RYAN: You have all the pictures in my |
| 114 | 16 |
| 1 live at 25602 Burnt Hill Road, in close proximity to the | 1 letter? |
| 2 Chapingo site from Mr. Hughes' expert. Took a beautiful | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: I do |
| 3 picture of our mailbox, for instance. So that's how close | 3 MR. RYAN: Okay. So -- and some of them have |
| 4 we are. | 4 been used already. |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay | 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 6 MR. RYAN: Our property does not border Chapingo, | 6 MR. RYAN: Okay. So I'm going to go a little bit |
| 7 but we are close enough to hear workers and work noise on | 7 further ahead. Even though Chapingo's trucks should not be |
| 8 the Chapingo site and have an excellent view of the | 8 on weight-limited roads, rustic roads, we all agree about |
| 9 Chapingo driveway and its exit onto Prices Distillery Road. | 9 that, I believe, they travel on them anyway every workday. |
| 10 We have testified in previous hearings in | 10 Okay. And for example -- and what we did is took pictures |
| 11 opposition to Chapingo, LLC conditional use application | 11 on 5/31 of a set of trucks leaving the Chapingo site |
| 12 And we would like to again provide testimony. | 12 between 7 a.m. and 7:30. And those photographs are figures |
| 13 Now, what we tried to focus on is not repetitive | 137 to 19 in the letter. And they show the directions that |
| 14 but what we thought was new information. And our previous | 14 the heavy trucks took. |
| 15 testimony focused on rustic roads and their -- and | 15 So, you know, as they begin to roll out, and then |
| 16 Chapingo's heavy trucks. And as noted in the Montgomery | 16 at the corner, if they turn right, then the only way to get |
| 17 Planning Department Upcountry [sic] Planning Division | 17 out of that area is by a rustic road, a weight-limited |
| 18 report to hearing examiner -- to the hearing examiner, the | 18 rustic road. If you turn left, then you could go down |
| 19 Chapingo heavy trucks may cause damage to the rustic roads | 19 Lewisdale to -- continue on Lewisdale or to go Clarksburg. |
| 20 and present a danger to -- to other motorists. | 20 And Lewisdale to Clarksburg apparently is the preferred |
| 21 The testimony that we'll provide -- or that we | 21 way. And if it's not weight-limited, it should be open. |
| 22 are providing involves new information. And originally, it | 22 So of the eight trucks that left that morning, |
| 23 was the change of weight limitation on two rustic roads. | 23 then six turned toward Mountain View Road, and they did not |
| 24 Now we -- obviously, the Clarksburg road change was an <br> 25 error. But the other road that was changed was Lewisdale. | 24 come down Burnt Hill. So they had to get -- they had to go 25 to the intersection to Prices Distillery, Mountain View, |
| 25 error. But the other road that was changed was Lewisdale. |  |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| and Purdum. |  |
|  | So what we see |
| long time, as Tom mentioned, it's been going on three years |  |
| now, that the designation of a weight limit on the road |  |
| 5 doesn't seem to matter. And these are pictures of their |  |
| 6 trucks. Some of them are sort of medium-sized trucks, but |  |
| 7 they pull chippers. So the gross vehicular weight should |  |
| 8 exceed 10,000 pounds. And some are actually pretty |  |
| 9 good-sized trucks |  |
| 10 So the last point here in addition to the road |  |
| 11 signage, I believe that my wife and I-- we dispute the |  |
| 12 Chapingo claim that no workers are on the Prices Distillery |  |
| 13 Road site during the day. They are there some days. Okay? |  |
| 14 And we have been assured that workers leave by 6:30 a.m. |  |
| 15 and return by 6:30 p.m. It's actually mostly between 7 and |  |
| 16 7:30 a.m. that they leave. However, quite often, 17 people -- we hear people during the day sometimes running |  |
|  |  |
| 18 what sounds like chainsaws and moving large equipment |  |
| 19 around |  |
| 0 We can't see because there is -- as the expert |  |
| 21 said, there's a tree barrier. We can see the roof. And |  |
| 22 during the fall, we can see more, of course. But at times, 23 it often sounds like material is being dumped. And |  |
|  |  |
| 24 although the information below was only over a short |  |
| 25 period, May 4th through May 6th and also May 12th, these |  |
| 118 |  |
| 1 are by no means the only times that workers have been <br> 2 present during the day on the Prices Distillery site. |  |
|  |  |
| 3 We were working in our yard on the date specified |  |
| 4 in the letter. And what we heard and saw is documented. |  |
| 5 So on 5/4, workers were on the site all day, at least by |  |
| 6 the voices we heard. $5 / 5$, workers were there part of the |  |
| 7 day. And 5/6, workers were at the site all day, and there |  |
| 8 was a bobcat, earth-moving vehicle, that was spreading |  |
| 9 material on the driveway. |  |
| 10 On 5/12 workers were on site much of the day. It |  |
| 11 sounded like earth-moving -- earth-moving vehicles and |  |
| 12 rocks or debris being dumped and moved around. So we don't |  |
| 13 dispute that they're -- most of them have left, but they do |  |
| 14 come back during the day. And again, you know, why did you |  |
| 15 need the portable toilet on the site? And I think I know |  |
| 16 why. |  |
|  |  |
|  | 8 be opposed to the Chapingo, LLC conditional use |
| 19 application. And thank you for allowing the testimony a |  |
| 20 the real-time update and correction. |  |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay? Thank you, Mr. Ryan. |  |
|  | ghes, any cross-examination? |
|  |  |
|  | sing |
|  |  |

know, it's in Exhibit 98, reply to the Ryans' letter. But thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. and Mrs. Ryan.

Mr. Hunt?
MR. HUNT: Yes. Can you hear me okay?
HEARING EXAMINER: I can. Thank you.
MR. HUNT: Okay. I'm not going to go over -- Tom
Hartsock pretty much covered almost all the points I had.
I do have a few points, one being the Emerald Landscaping
keeps being pulled up as the gold standard. The fact of the matter is is that the report that was done, they blew it. And that is in the words of the county council 4 chairman. He said people were fired over this report, and he said, asked not -- that it not be brought up again as 16 evidence for Chapingo as to getting their permit.

My second point is that the proposed site is very
18 small. Fact of the matter is they did clean up all 9 the -- most of the debris that you can see from Burnt Hill 0 and Prices Distillery Road, but there's still a substantial 1 amount of chips on the property -- or the back of the property that borders my house, right above the stream bed.

My third point is, or -- if they're asking or they're saying no bulk things are being stored on site. The fact of the matter is, is that there is a mountain of 120
asphalt millings sitting right in front of the barn. And I can only think that is to increase the amount of parking area there. It is also illegal to stockpile millings as far as the county goes, but they've been sitting there for over a year.

That pretty much covers anything I want -- oh, one more point. Mr. Alvarez stated the only reason they were dumping stuff on the property was because it was a way to get -- get his workers paid. My question to Mr. Alvarez is, if they weren't working, where did all the chips and logs come from?

That's all the points I have for today. I 3 definitely remain in opposition. If things had started off differently and he didn't open a dump on the back of the property bordering mine, disturbed the peace, the -- the 16 sound of trucks, the sound of heavy equipment, I might not 17 be as opposed. But that's how he started off. And I 8 suspect that if they're given approval, they will go right back to their previous business practices.

That's all I have to say. Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Hunt.
Mr. Hughes, anything for Mr. Hunt?
MR. HUGHES: No, but thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Does anybody else wish to testify in opposition
to the application? If so, please unmute and turn your
camera on. Just going through looking to see if I see any
hands, see if we've missed anyone.
Okay. Seeing no raised hands, I believe that
concludes the testimony from the opposition.
So Mr. Hughes, you can go forward with your
rebuttal if you have any. If there is no rebuttal in
particular, if you want to go to closing, we can go right
to closing.
$0 \quad$ Oh, you're muted. I'm sorry, sir.
$1 \quad$ MR. HUGHES: Could we take another short break
2 before we go into those? Would that be appropriate?
3 HEARING EXAMINER: Sure. It's two minutes to 1.
4 So you want to come back at 1:10? Does that work for
5 everyone?
$6 \quad$ MR. HUGHES: That's good for us.
7
8 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, let's all go off
8 the record for 10 minutes. We'll come back at $1: 10$, and
9 we'll wrap up.
0 $\quad$ MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
rebuttal testimony, or if you would like to go directly to closing. Up to you.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam Hearing Examiner.
We're prepared to move to our closing. And my closing will be much shorter than my opening.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'll take it.
MR. HUGHES: Thank you. So thank you, Madam
Hearing Examiner, and for everyone who participated.
So what does this case come down to? We think 10 that the visuals are crucial in this case. Exhibit 51, 52, $1154,101,53$ really help set the stage of what we're asking for and where it's set. 51 is the aerial. 52 is the rendering.
14 And the facts here are, as we said: up to 19 15 staff members on site at one time; up to 14 trucks stored 16 overnight, 2 being pickups; up to 10 vehicles, work 17 vehicles being used a day with 3 of those being pickups; 18 pretty small operation on a 32 -acre farm, in the middle of $19 \mathrm{it}, 1,200$ feet off the road. Some nice screening already 20 out there, drop in elevation.
21 It's landscape contractor; it fits that
22 definition. We've talked about it. It's a tree care
23 company, so it's even much less impactful than county
24 council envisioned and allowed by conditional use in the
25 county, in this area, in this zone by conditional use. As

Mr. Sekerak, I think, testified that, you know, it'd be hard to imagine a landscape contractor with less impact.

It's not invisible. I'm not going to say it's a vacant property, but that's anticipated. All the things that are anticipated, expected with landscape contractor are what's part of conditional use, and we are much less impactful than the traditional one. We've talked about other cases and sizes.

So I think it's a very compelling case. There's a lot of evidence in here. It's a very good use of land here. We really believe it should be approved. Some of the additional reasons we -- so two big topics, right? The remand -- or two big topics with three questions.

The first one being the sanitary sewer or 15 adequate public facilities bathroom. And as we 16 have -- there's a expert testimony in the record. There's 17 exhibits. There's cases. There are code sections on the 18 federal, state, and local level arguments. As I said, we think we have at least five different legal alternative positions that would get us successful on this topic.

Quick summary. The first one is just -- goes back to the Maryland planning document, brochure 24, which is -- apologies -- Exhibit 42-B, and I believe that -- that showed this isn't what they were trying to prevent in saying you need a bathroom. The question of adequate
public facilities is, is it sufficient? If-- are you
going to jamup the roads? Are you going to overflow the bathroom? No bathrooms needed. No bathrooms out there. No office is out there.

We think you don't even get to really the threshold question, first position. And then multiple additional arguments. One is the one that the Board seemed persuaded by, related to the mobile workforce, again where they said the Board generally found to be persuasive. 10 Mobile workforce, which is under OSHA, which there's -- you know, in the record that citation is in there.

We also have Exhibit 53, which showed the multiple bathrooms available within 10 minutes. That's part of that OSHA regulation. Also the COMAR code about -- talking about the topic of farm workers. If 6 you're out in the fields less than three hours, you don't have to have a bathroom on site. Another strong indicator.

And then tied to the federal and the state is 9 going back to the Rosenberg case, which I mentioned, which is 269 Md . 520 , which essentially says, you know, if you're
21 going to have these APFO, Adequate Public Facility
22 Ordinances, you have to have measurable criteria. That
23 case was determined not to be. So I don't know if it's
24 measurable under the county code, but we also have these
25 federal and state guidance on it.

| 125 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 And then again, the one that I think is the | 1 disharmony to the operation of the comp plan, denial of the |
| 2 easiest and cleanest -- I think these all apply, I didn't | 2 application for conditional use is arbitrary, capricious, |
| 3 a | 3 |
| 4 the code itself. They certainly could have put it in 3.55 | $4 \quad$ But essentially what these cases say that we've |
| 5 for landscape contractor if they wanted a bathroom. | 5 cited is there -- there has to be a connection; there ha |
| 6 There also is the multiple cases, many | 6 to be real probative evidence to |
| 7 conditional use and special exception cases w | $7 \quad$ Also, we've talked about that the |
| 8 looked at APF and determined not necessary because the use | 8 anticipated by the legislature. That's why they made |
| 9 is so minimal of time impact. And that's certainly our | 9 condition to use. They allow landscape contractors in this |
| 10 position here. It's -- I mean, the property is vacant the | 10 area, in this zone, in the agricultural zone. They |
| 11 vast majority of the day. As I said, they're there in the | 11 anticipated all the typical use and activity that comes |
| 12 morning, they're there in the evening, 45 minutes, abou | 12 along with it and equipment. |
| 13 minutes. And I did say occasionally they are there; they | 13 And we've talked about at length that this |
| 14 have to switch a truck out or come get a tool. Sometimes | 14 particular use -- again, first of all, the benefit of bein |
| 15 there might be a little additional cleaning, but | 15 3-plus acres in the middle of a 32 -acre farm that sits |
| 16 minimal, by and large, property, very quiet | 161,200 feet off the road, and it goes into a little bit o |
| 17 Those cases -- there's multiple cell tower | 17 valley, the elevation goes down; it's hard to see down |
| 18 There's multiple public utility cases. There's the | 18 there. And it's not a large operation, and it's not a |
| 19 equestrian case which I like, and it didn't evaluat | 19 full-fledged landscape contractor. It's a tree care |
| 20 whether it was 2 horses or 20 horses. It just didn't | 20 company. No customers. They don't come there. No |
| 21 evaluate it. It just felt the impact is so low because | 21 deliveries. They don't have an office there, so they're |
| 22 people -- I believe because the people were there for | 22 not there during the day. They're not moving equipment |
| 23 short time period. Sometimes common sense should rule out <br> 24 and -- what is really needed | 23 around. They're not moving material around. It's much 24 less than even anticipated by the legislature. This is |
| 25 So we think there's multiple arguments on that | 25 really almost an ideal location for the size of this |
| 126 | 128 |
| 1 point. There's expert testimony, cases, code and exhibits. | 1 operation and the type of operation. |
| 2 The second point, obviously, it was about | 2 Condition of approval that we've had much |
| 31996 Rustic Roads Functional Master Plan. And in that | 3 discussion about, Exhibits 98-A, 98-B, 98-C, regarding the |
| 4 again, we had expert testimony from Mr. Sekerak, expert | 4 roots and any restrictions from Burnt Hill Road, |
| 5 testimony fromMike, and we have a lot of exhibits on the | 5 weight-limited road part of it, and also the bridge. And |
| 6 topic. We have the actual words of the Rustic Roads | 6 there's expert testimony from Mr. Sekerak, Mr. Nalepa about |
| 7 Functional Master Plan. We have some value and precedent, | 7 access to and from the property for Chapingo, Mr. Alvarez's |
| 8 not from a case that went all the way through, but Emerald | 8 staff. And it can be done legally, efficiently, and it |
| 9 from professional planning review on this exact topic, in | 9 will be done. We proposed some sort of condition of |
| 10 this exact area that is compelling. | 10 approval that would be accepted and would be followed. |
| 11 We have visuals, Exhibits 101 and 54, which the | 11 If it's not accepted, if it's not followed, there |
| 12 Board found compelling as well as they wrote that any | 12 are repercussions that can happen from Montgomery County. |
| 13 impact of the proposed use on a viewshed from -- of that | 13 That's why we have condition of uses approved, and if |
| 14 barn from the surrounding rustic roads would be minimal. | 14 there's conditions of approval, if they're not followed, |
| 15 No expert testimony to counter anything. A lot of innuendo | 15 the approval can be at risk. |
| 16 and allegations, but that doesn't make it under this law. | 16 There's also testimony from Mr. Alvarez that |
| 17 As we've talked about, the standard is | 17 approved, he would certainly accept a condition that says |
| 18 beyond -- or is preponderance of evidence. And as in our | 18 no storing of logs or trees. I guess the only caveat |
| 19 brief, 84-A and in the transcript from the prior case, | 19 is -- and I think even Mr. Hartsock was fair in that. I |
| 20 there has to be -- has to be connection or it's arbitrary | 20 would hope the hearing examiner, if we're fortunate enough |
| 21 and capricious. Some of the key cases on that are Anderson | 21 to get approval and there was any type of condition like |
| 22 versus Sawyer, 23 Md. App. 612, and Turner versus Hammond, | 22 that, would just recognize overnight or staying inside of |
| 23270 Md .41 , which said -- second -- said, But if there is | 23 the trucks, but no excessive storage of logs or no logs |
| 24 no probative evidence of harm or disturbance in light of 25 the nature of the zone involved or of factors causing | 24 that remained for any substantial period of time. <br> 25 So there's -- there's ample discussion about the |
| 25 the nature of the zone involved or of factors causing | 25 So there's -- there's ample discussion about the |


| 1 | routes and a condition of approval. And I guess, I |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | just -- to try to wrap this up, I would say that there's a |
| 3 | lot of evidence in this case, a lot of testimony, a lot of |
| 4 | expert testimony on our side, a lot of exhibits, a lot of |
| 5 | cases, a lot of statutes. And I think it just comes down |
| 6 | to -- obviously, it's got to come down to law, but the |
| 7 | visuals help sell what we're asking for and what the |
| 8 | operations are. |
| 9 | And I think there was also really good guidance |
| 10 | from the Board in their remand. And in particular, it does |
| 11 | say that, To reconsider whether the proposed use would be |
| 12 | served by adequate public services facilities, namely |
| 13 | sanitary sewer, in light of the mobile workforce argument |
| 14 | that was put forth by Mr. Hughes, which the Board generally |
| 15 | found to be (inaudible), at least five different |
| 16 | alternative legal avenues there, we believe we've met that |
| 17 | burden. |
| 18 | And on item 3 in their remand, they said, |
| 19 | Reconsider whether proposed use conforms with the 1996 |
| 20 | Rustic Roads Functional Master Plan; in this regard, the |
| 21 | hearing examiner found that the proposed use would impact |
| 22 | the viewshed of a smaller historic barn on the petitioner's |
| 23 | property, but the Board observes, based on Exhibit $54-I$, |
| 24 | that it's very difficult to see the smaller historic barn |
| 25 | from Prices Distillery Road or Burnt Hill Road; and | 130 accordingly, it's a sense of the Board that any impact of the proposed use on the viewshed of that barn from the surrounding rustic roads would be minimal.

It went on to say, The board directs the hearing examiner to remand the case, review the existing evidence regarding impact of the proposed use on the viewshed, including expert testimony of Mr. Sekerak, and develop any evidence that's necessary to assess the impact of use on 9 the viewshed and conforming with the Rustic Roads 10 Functional Master Plan.
11 And it closed by saying, In this regard, the 12 Board notes that there is a precedent for conditioning the 13 grant of a conditional use on the petitioner's use or 14 non-use of certain roads to access the property, and that 15 fear of non-compliance with conditions of approval is not a 16 reason to deny.
17 So we believe we meet the code criteria. We 18 believe the facts and the law are on our side. There 19 certainly could be -- (indiscernible) to get approval,
20 there certainly could be conditions that would ensure
21 compliance and I think meet the -- some of the concerns
22 from some of the neighbors. And as we've noted, we would
23 be certainly willing to abide by conditions that we have
24 suggested related to the access of the road and also about
25 any non-storage of -- of excess tree material.

So we greatly appreciate the time. We ask that the hearing examiner please give due consideration to all the exhibits, all the case law, all the code criteria from federal, state, and county, all the expert testimony. And we would ask for an approval of this conditional use. Thank you very much.

HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Hartsock, anything you'd like to say in conclusion?

MR. HARTSOCK: I'm going to resist the temptation to repeat anything.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. HARTSOCK: I find it personally annoying
hearing the same thing six times. So I won't. I will make 14 one statement with regard to conditions of approval. They 5 sound good on paper, but if they are not enforced or they 16 aren't enforceable, they are worthless and leave the 17 community with few alternatives. And DPS has shown a 18 distinct reluctance to enforce. Thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, sir.
Mr. Ryan, Mr. Hunt, any concluding statements Mr. Ryan, Mr
21 from either of you?

MR. RYAN: I think Tom said it very well. I don't think you're going to be able to repair the relationship with at least some of the neighbors because we've seen three -- almost three years of this behavior. 132
But I believe it'll be almost unenforceable. And unless every time we see somebody driving down the wrong road, we call the police, it's -- this is going to be impossible. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you, sir. Mr. Hunt?
MR. HUNT: I'd just like to reiterate the same thing. Over and over again, we see trucks on the roads, roads they're not supposed to be on. That stops right 10 around the time we come up for another hearing and then 11 continues right on after that hearing is done. I don't see them complying with anything they promised to do, and I've seen it over the last three years.

You can't convince me they're not just going to 15 go back to the way they were doing things before.

HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, sir.
Okay. That concludes our hearing today. I
8 believe that's -- we have closing from the applicant. We 19 have closing statements from those in opposition.

All of the exhibits will be admitted. As we 1 stated earlier, there's no objection any of the exhibits that were identified on the exhibit list. So they are now 3 all deemed admitted.

We will hold the record open for a minimum of 10 24
25 calendar days for the transcript to be prepared.
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| 119:15 <br> brown | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 98: 13, & 108: 25, \\ 109: 2, & 110: 22, \end{array}\right.$ | 27:21, 39:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 17, ~ 13: 25, \\ & 18: 15, ~ 22: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
| 99:25 | 114:1, 115:9, | $80: 25, \quad 83: 1,$ | 22:16, 23:5, |
| bucket | 115:10, 116:24, | 85:1, 90:5, | 23:8, 24:10, |
| 40:25 | 119:19, 128:4, | 132:3 | 25:20, 25:25, |
| buckets | 129:25 <br> buses | called | $\begin{aligned} 26: 8, & 27: 16, \\ 28: 19, & 28: 21,\end{aligned}$ |
| 18:5 | buses | 17:20, 83:18 | $\begin{array}{ll} 28: 19, & 28: 21, \\ 28: 22, & 28: 25 \end{array}$ |
| buffer | $96: 4, \quad 96: 16,$ | calls | $29: 2,30: 4,$ |
| $49: 11, ~ 50: 24$, $54: 19,55: 22$, | 109:10 | 17:14 | $33: 6,34: 15,$ |
| 56:8, 56:12, | business | came | 37:23, 38:12, |
| 56:14, 56:25, | 1:11, 2:22, | $\begin{array}{ll} 23: 5, & 28: 23, \\ 0 & 6 \end{array}$ | 39:4, 40:17, |
| 57:2, 108:13 | 16:25, 17:1, | camera | 41:4, 41:16, |
| buffering | 17:2, 17:10, | camera | 42:13, 42:22, |
| 54:20 | 17:15, 18:12, | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 5,6: 18, \\ & 10: 17,46: 5, \end{aligned}$ | 43:20, 43:21, |
| building | 18:19, 19:4, |  | 53:23, 60:19, |
| 21:9, 29:25, | 23:12, 25:14, | 121:2 | 69:24, 97:8, |
| 107:22 | 31:6, 44:22, | $3: 9,6: 2$ | 101:12, 104:10, |
| buildings | 71:18, 95:15, <br> 103:13, 103:19 | can't | $\begin{array}{ll} 105: 2, & 106: 7, \\ 107: 17, & 118: 24 \end{array}$ |
| $15: 9$, $23: 1,7$, $25: 7$, | $\begin{aligned} & 103: 13, \\ & 104: 20, \\ & 104: 194 \end{aligned}$ | $7: 14,14: 12,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107: 17, \quad 118: 24, \\ & 122: 9, \quad 122: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| $23: 1$, $28: 10,7$, $29: 23$, | $104: 25, \quad 120: 19$ | 32:8, 32:9, | 123:9, 124:19, |
| $50: 18, \quad 57: 12$ | businesses | 54:2, 54:3, | 124:23, 125:19, |
| $74: 10,74: 11$ | 103:2 | 89:12, 117:20, | 126:8, 126:19, |
| built | busy | 132:14 | 129:3, 130:5, |
| 15:11, 59:23 | 17:15, 32:23, | canby | 131:3, 134:8 |
| bulk | 106:11 | 12: | case-in-chief |
| 61:22, 61:24, | butchering | cannot | 121:23 |
| 112:13, 112:14, | 98:25 | $34: 10,35: 14$, | cases |
| $119: 24$ | button | 35:18, 36:2 | 21:22, 22:8, |
| bunch | 2:7, 77:5 | capital | 28:20, 33:4, |
| 40:20, 109:15 | byrne | $32: 25, ~ 38: 13$ capricious | 38:22, 38:24, |
| burden | 2:24, 3:18, | $34: 14 \cdot 126: 21$ | $\begin{array}{ll}39: 2, & 39: 8, \\ 39: 9, & 40: 5\end{array}$ |
| 129:17 | $3: 20,4: 3,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 14, \quad 126: 21, \\ & 127: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $39: 9,40: 5,$ |
| burnt | $28: 12,46: 13$ | care | $40: 20,40: 24,$ |
| 4:11, 4:15, | $43: 12$ | 12:10, 12:11, | 41.1, 42.1, |
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| ```68:19, 69:22, 71:1, 123:8, 123:17, 125:6, 125:7, 125:17, 125:18, 126:1, 126:21, 127:4, 129:5 catch 87:4 cause 114:19 causing 126:25 caveat 25:2, 128:18 cease 105:1 ceiling 57:4 cell 28:20, 28:25, 29:2, 39:7, \(40: 4,40: 19\), 40:25, 109:20, 125:17 cemeteries 72:19 center 28:24, 41:3, 41:5 centers 72:19 certain 7:22, 25:18, \(33: 8,33: 11\), 36:10, 71:1, 130:14 certainly 13:22, 16:25, 18:13, 26:16, 28:17, 32:3, \(42: 16,43: 22\), 43:23, 44:21, 67:9, 75:6, 109:24, 125:4, 125:9, 128:17, 130:19, 130:20, 130:23``` | ```certificate 134:1 certify 134:3 cet 1:25, 134:15 cetera 32:21, 70:7, 73: 6 chainsaws 117:18 chairman 119:14 challenges 24:3 challenging 29:22 change 50:8, 89:24, 114:23, 114:24 changed 79:15, 113:17, 114:25 changes 57:11, 59:20, 101:2 chapingo 1:10, 2:4, 4:23, 5:4, 12:9, 12:10, 12:11, 14:22, 67:5, 67:19, 69:10, 95:24, 102:25, 103:3, 103:14, 105:2, 105:6, 105:14, 106:20, 107:9, 108:7, 108:11, 109:1, 109:17, 111:14, 111:22, 114:2, 114:6, 114:8, 114:9, 114:11, 114:19, 116:11, 117:12, 118:18, 119:16, 128:7 chapingo's 67:7, 95:15, 96:21, 97:1,``` | ```98:3, 103:16, 114:16, 116:7 chapter 81:22 character 76:9, 76:21, 78:4 characterization 55:3 characterize 52:18 charged 85:18, 85:20 chat 6:16, 6:17 cheaper 105:19 check 41:13, 55:14 checked 113:15 chemical 105:8 children 41:10, 41:24 chipdrop 83:18 chippers 117:7 chips 83:12, 83:19, 84:10, 84:13, 105:9, 119:21, 120:10 choreographed 101:24 circle 52:15 citation 124:11 citations 28:19 cite 110:17, 111:12 cited 3:2, 38:4, 39:7, 40:21, 40:24, 42:2,``` | ```106:16, 108:24, 109:8, 110:6, 115:1, 127:5 citing 109:20 civil 13:6 claim 117:12 clarification 12:18, 79:9, 82:12 clarksburg 66:16, 67:25, 68:1, 91:6, 92:3, 92:12, 93:16, 93:22, 107:21, 113:16, 114:24, 115:5, 115:17, 116:19, 116:20 classrooms 35:13 clean 119:18 cleanest 38:21, 125:2 cleaning 16:23, 125:15 cleanup 16:16, 87:4 clear 38:17 clearly 37:7, 89:14, 89:20 client 3:12, 8:5, 8:14, 10:25, 43:11 clients 16:12, 17:14 close 15:25, 26:5, 28:6, 43:12, 84:5, 114:1, 114:3, 114:7 closed 17:2, 87:15,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```87:16, 88:6, 105:17, 105:21, 130:11 closer 16:9, 21:8, 78:1, 83:20 closest 48:9 closing 8:12, 8:13, 8:16, 98:2, 118:24, 121:8, 121:9, 122:2, 122:4, 132:18, 132:19 coach 32:15 code 18:2, 22:1, 79:14, 123:17, 124:14, 124:24, 125:4, 126:1, 130:17, 131:3 coffee-induced 108:12 coincide 103:16 collar 40:1 colleagues 103:1 collected 105:8 college 102:13 comar 29:5, 37:10, 37:11, 38:16, 124:14 come 16:9, 16:14, 16:15, 16:22, 20:6, 29:15, 38:2, 41:12, 41:13, 46:8, 61:8, 70:19, 83:6, 116:24, 118:14, 120:11,``` | 121:14, 121:18, <br> 122:9, 125:14, <br> 127:20, 129:6, $132: 10,133: 4$ <br> comes <br> 16:7, 20:18, <br> 35:2, 55:11, <br> 57:9, 60:14, <br> 60:23, 74:25, <br> 84:1, 90:22, <br> 127:11, 129:5 <br> coming $20: 3,20: 4$ <br> 21:12, 39:19, <br> 41:8 <br> comment <br> 76:2, 78:7 <br> comments <br> 108:20 <br> commercial <br> 102:16 <br> commitment <br> 68:5, 89:4, <br> 106:6, 106:8 <br> committed <br> 10:21 <br> committee $24: 7,99: 6$ 107:3 <br> common $67: 9,69: 21,$ <br> 71:1, 125:23 <br> communicate <br> 17:7 <br> communications <br> 17:13 <br> community $30: 23,131: 17$ <br> comp <br> 127:1 <br> companies $\begin{aligned} & 39: 25, \quad 49: 2, \\ & 87: 14, \quad 105: 16, \\ & 106: 10 \\ & \text { company } \\ & 16: 3,16: 5, \\ & 17: 22, \quad 21: 6, \\ & 23: 4,34: 3, \end{aligned}$ | ```74:15, 99:21, 102:24, 106:2, 112:20, 122:23, 127:20 comparing 106:25 comparisons 19:16 compatible 33:15, 33:17, 62:2 compelling 123:9, 126:10, 126:12 complete 21:4 completely 58:17, 95:5 completion 8:7 complex 110:15 compliance 130:21 complies 76:3 comply 15:21, 60:19, 76:6, 109:18 complying 25:19, 132:12 component 51:2 compost 105:9 compound 15:15 computer 10:16, 71:10, 71:12 concede 43:6 concept 112:21 concern 43:18, 105:24, 109:5, 113:1 concerned 21:17, 102:23,``` | 106:7 <br> concerns <br> 62:6, 70:7, 109:2, 130:21 concludes <br> 101:11, 121:5, 132:17, 133:8 concluding 131:20 conclusion 67:22, 131:8 conclusions 34:10, 107:17 concrete 32:20 <br> concur 36:11 condition 23:10, 25:10, 43:22, 68:18, 68:20, 68:24, 70:12, 71:3, 71:6, 71:16, 72:3, 89:5, 95:19, 98:7, 98:12, 127:9, 128:2, 128:9, 128:13, 128:17, 128:21, 129:1 conditional $2: 20,12: 13$ $14: 24,15: 2$ $18: 13,19: 21$ $28: 5,28: 19$ $33: 5,33: 7,$ $33: 15,38: 22$ $39: 9,44: 15$ $45: 8, \quad 50: 16$ $53: 19, \quad 54: 20$ $56: 11, \quad 57: 6$ $60: 2,60: 10$ $63: 23,69: 25$ $70: 15, \quad 71: 6$ <br> $71: 15,72: 17$, <br> 72:22, 72:25, <br> 73:4, 74:17, <br> 74:19, 103:17, <br> 104:23, 108:17, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| country | creek | current | 21:12, 23:2, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:3, 21:16 | 103:10 | 53:4, 65:22, | 40:3, 40:13, |
| county | crew | 106:18 | 41:6, 44:21, |
| 18:12, 19:11, | 83:5, 108:7 | curriculum | 45:2, 53:1, |
| 19:20, 28:19, | crews | 102:14 | 64:20, 64:25, |
| $31: 19,31: 20$, | 36:18, 36:23, | cursor | 84:5, 84:9, |
| 31:24, 32:2, | 87:3, 107:23 | 56:15 | 84:19, 85:7, |
| 32:4, 32:18, | criteria | curve | 91:23, 112:23, |
| 32:22, 32:24, | 3:1, 9:15, | 100:15 | 117:13, 117:17, |
| $33: 25,38: 17$, | 18:2, 22:1, | customer | 118:2, 118:5, |
| 38:22, 39:4, | 33:8, 36:13, | 82:25 | 118:7, 118:10, |
| 54:17, 69:21, | 42:10, 81:16, | customers | 118:14, 122:17, |
| 92:10, 92:15, | 81:20, 124:22, | 17:8, 17:17, | 125:11, 127:22 |
| 93:7, 96:5, | 130:17, 131:3 | 20:6, 61:25, | days |
| 97:11, 99:21, | critical | 127:20 | 3:5, 42:4, |
| 99:25, 100:17, | 87:7 | cut | 45:1, 117:13, |
| 105:13, 109:23, | crop | 83:2, 83:7 | 132:25, 133:2, |
| 115:20, 119:13, | $51: 24,108: 9,$ | D | 133:3, 133:4, |
| 120:4, 122:23, | $108: 10$ | daily | $133: 5$ |
| 122:25, 124:24, | crops | $32: 14,32: 24,$ | de |
| $\begin{aligned} & 128: 12,131: 4 \\ & \text { county's } \end{aligned}$ | 51:25, 54:1, | $41: 9,44: 25,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 2, \quad 97: 3 \\ & \text { deal } \end{aligned}$ |
| 31:4, 39:19, | cross-examination | 108:11 | 23:10 |
| 56:7, 102:20, | 8:9, 9:9, 86:6, | dairy <br> 110:13, 110:15 | dealing |
| $103: 5$ | $118: 22$ | damage | $34: 18$ |
| couple | cross-examine | $114: 19$ | debris |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 51: 14, & 59: 5, \\ 82: 19, & 104: 19, \end{array}$ | $9: 12$ | damascus | $\begin{array}{ll} 103: 4, & 103: 6, \\ 103: 8, & 103: 15, \end{array}$ |
| 108:8 | crossings | $107: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103: 8, ~ 103: 15, \\ & 103: 21, ~ 105: 17, \end{aligned}$ |
| course | crosstalk | dang | 105:19, 118:12, |
| 99:24, 102:15, | $6: 7, \quad 86: 4$ | $89: 15$ <br> danger | 119:19 |
| 117:22 | crow | danger $114: 20$ | decision |
| court | 22:18 | dangerous | $3: 4,3: 6,14: 5$, |
| 2:8, 2:11, | crowded | dangerous \| 21:12 | 14:6, 18:1, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2: 13,6: 8,46: 21 \\ & \text { courts } \end{aligned}$ | 35:14 | dark | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 1, \quad 26: 5, \\ & 26: 20, \quad 33: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| courts 15:7 | crucial | 14:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 20, \\ & 34: 13: \\ & 35: 23, \end{aligned}$ |
| cover | 122:10 | dash | 133:6, 133:7 |
| 5:14, 51:24, | $\text { 1:15, } 24: 19,$ | 48:20 | decisions |
| 54:7, 57:22, | $\begin{array}{lll} 1: 15, & 24: 19, \\ 24: 25, & 25: 25, \end{array}$ | data | 34:9, 107:12 |
| $91: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 25, \\ & 28: 23,25, \end{aligned}$ | 63:19 | decorative |
| covered | $\begin{array}{ll} 28: 23, & 40: 8, \\ 40: 18, & 40: 23, \end{array}$ | date | 18:22 |
| 103:6, 119:9 | $109: 5$ | 53:3, 75:19, | deem |
| covers | curiously | 118:3 | 9:5 |
| 120:6 | $78: 2$ | day | deemed |
| crafted | currano | $\begin{array}{lll} 10: 23, & 16: 5, \\ 16: 14 . & 16: 24 \end{array}$ | $9: 6,33: 16,$ |
| $63: 22$ | $3: 16, \quad 3: 22,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 16: 14, & 16: 24, \\ 17: 15, & 20: 1, \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 38: 24, & 73: 1, \\ 73: 12, & 73: 13 \end{array}$ |
| created | $3: 25,13: 6$ | 17:15, 20:1, | $73: 12,73: 13,$ |
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| distracting | 91:19, 93:3, | 122:20 | easiest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21:12 | 93:15, 105:17, | drops | 38:21, 125:2 |
| district | 111:9, 116:18, | 44:19 | easily |
| 63:15, 63:21 | 116:24, 122:9, | due | 41:14, 100:13, |
| disturbance | 127:17, 129:5, | 131:2, 133:6 | 108:5 |
| 126:24 | 129:6, 132:2 | dump | east |
| disturbed | downstream | 21:14, 83:11, | 48:11, 79:2 |
| 120:15 | 105:6 | 83:14, 84:4, | easy |
| division | dps | 84:5, 84:6, | 106:8 |
| 114:17 | 81:15, 103:11, | 84:11, 105:19, | eat |
| document | 106:2, 113:2, | 120:14 | 11:19 |
| $105: 7, \quad 123: 22$ | 131:17 | dumped | economy |
| documented | dpw | 117:23, 118:12 | 31: 4 |
| 100:16, 118:4 | 92:10, 92:16 | dumping | edge |
| documents | drainage | 83:22, 85:14, | 4:23 |
| 29:12 | 18:23, 103:21 | 103:3, 103:7, | edit |
| doing | drill | 105:20, 112:21, | 115:21 |
| 17:17, 58:16, | 29:10 | 120:8 | effective |
| 106:11, 108:1, | drive | during | 49:4, 57:2 |
| 108:11, 132:15 | 14:23, 15:20, | 9:7, 16:5, | effectively |
| done | 15:25, 22:25, | 16:24, 75:16, | 47:25, 51:24, |
| 11:4, 11:11, | 55:3, 59:18, | 86:20, 87:6, | 57:13, 58:3, |
| 16:15, 17:7, | 107:20, 107:23, | 88:3, 105:17, | $62: 17, \quad 74: 18$ |
| $58: 17, \quad 64: 4$ | 108:3, 109:14 | 107:10, 117:13, | $76: 10$ |
| $65: 5,72: 24,$ | driven | 117:17, 117:22, | effects |
| 78:24, 89:23, | 41:11 | 118:2, 118:14, | $33: 10,33: 20$, |
| 89:25, 99:3, | drivers | 127:22 | 33:21, 33:23 |
| 103:20, 111:19, | 23:18 | dwell | efficiently |
| 119:12, 128:8, | driveway | 50:14 | 128:8 |
| 128:9, 132:11 | 20:20, 20:25, | E | effort |
| dormitory | 44:19, 50:14, |  | $105: 10$ |
| 111:11 | 52:10, 60:3, | $81: 6,81: 9,$ | efforts |
| dot | 66:11, 66:21, | $111: 17$ | 56:7, 56:8 |
| 99:22 | 77:1, 77:23, | each | egress |
| down | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 3, \quad 79: 4, \\ & 79: 11, \quad 79: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $6: 6,6: 11,$ | $23: 13, \quad 23: 18,$ |
| $6: 2,8: 25$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 79: 11, & 79: 24, \\ 81: 14, & 110: 16, \end{array}$ | $42: 9,44: 21$ | $71: 21$ |
| 14:13, 14:24, | 81:14, 110:16, 110:18, 114:9, | $74: 1, \quad 85: 15$ | eight |
| $15: 15, ~ 15: 24, ~$ $28: 5,28: 6$, | $118: 9$ | earlier | 22:23, 57:2, |
| $28: 5, ~ 28: 6$, $28: 7,28: 11$, | $118: 9$ driveways | 18:4, 22:8, | 116:22 |
| $28: 7,28: 11$, $29: 10, ~ 29: 22$, | 78:4, 78:21, | 30:5, 74:9, | either |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29: 10, \quad 29: 22, \\ & 35: 3,44: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l} 78: 4, \\ 99: 8, \\ 99: 17, \end{array}\right.$ | 103:20, 112:11, | $6: 23,7: 2$ |
| $35: 3,44: 14$, $44: 19,52: 10$, | 110:6 119, | $\begin{aligned} & 132: 21 \\ & \text { early } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 1, \quad 30: 23, \\ & 45: 16, \quad 45: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 53:24, 56:10, | driving | $39: 1, \quad 88: 21$ | $60: 4,64: 12$ |
| 56:12, 57:6, | $\begin{array}{ll} 41: 20, & 51: 7, \\ 51 \cdot 11 & 55 \cdot 4 \end{array}$ | earth-moving | $76: 5,89: 24$ |
| $59: 17,73: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 11, \quad 55: 4, \\ & 132: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $118: 8,118: 11$ | 96:6, 107:4, |
| $81: 10, ~ 83: 2$, $83: 7,86: 22$, | 132:2 <br> drop | easier | $111: 9,131: 21$ |
| 83.7, 86.22, | 16:16, 84:12, | 102:22 | $48: 19,48: 22$ |
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| elevation | ends | 77:23, 79:24, | evaluation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28:7, 44:19, | 115:19 | 81:15 | 38:8 |
| 49:7, 52:4, | enforce | entrances | even |
| 55:7, 122:20, | 72:6, 131:18 | $76: 10$ | 17:23, 35:23, |
| 127:17 | enforceable | entranceway | 39:5, 57:14, |
| else | 70:7, 131:16 | 81:19 | 59:7, 61:7, |
| 6:12, 79:20, | enforced | envisioned | 61:25, 71:22, |
| 89:13, 89:20, | 109:7, 131:15 | 19:11, 122:24 | 83:13, 83:21, |
| 98:23, 101:21, | enforcement | epitome | 96:7, 96:17, |
| 120:25 | 70:9, 70:13, | 110:1 | 98:12, 100:9, |
| email | 72:7, 105:25 | equestrian | 104:9, 109:3, |
| 20:22, 31:22, | engage | 28:23, 41:3, | 109:5, 116:7, |
| $91: 21$ | $84: 14$ | $41: 4,72: 19,$ | $\text { 122:23, } 124: 5$ |
| emerald | engineer | $125: 19$ | $127: 24,128: 19$ |
| $22: 12,30: 5,$ | 4:1, 13:4 | equipment | evening |
| $30: 9, \quad 31: 9,$ | engineering | $16: 17,19: 2,$ | $125: 12$ |
| 42:22, 43:20, | 13:6, 100:11 | 21:15, 30:23, | event |
| 43:23, 68:19, | engineers | 31:1, 39:15, | 85:5 |
| 70:19, 70:21, | 97:16 | 82:21, 96:5, | eventually |
| 106:14, 106:17, | english | 103:7, 117:18, | 111:9 |
| 106:20, 106:23, | 35:9, 35:11 | 120:16, 127:12, | ever |
| 107:5, 107:10, | enhance | 127:22 | 2:13 |
| 119:10, 126:8 | 18:24 | erroneous | evergreen |
| emerald's | enhancements | 107:11 | 54:13, 54:20, |
| 107:4 | 25:5 | error | 56:13, 56:25, |
| emerge | enough | 114:25 | 57:2 |
| $51: 9$ | 35:15, 35:16, | especially | every |
| emergencies | 42:7, 103:12, | 29:14, 82:21, | 116:9, 132:2 |
| 39:17 | 103:13, 114:7, | 105:2 | everybody |
| employed | $128: 20$ | espin | 5:12, 5:14, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 106: 13,134: 8 \\ & \text { emolovees } \end{aligned}$ | ensure | $5: 7, \quad 5: 8,5: 9$ essentially | $\begin{array}{ll} 5: 22, & 9: 11, \\ 9: 18, & 11: 23, \end{array}$ |
| employees $23: 13,25: 15,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 9, \quad 130: 20 \\ & \text { enter } \end{aligned}$ | essentially 8:10, 16:17, | $\begin{array}{ll} 9: 18, & 11: 23, \\ 82: 2, & 89: 20 \end{array}$ |
| 36:24, 37:13, | 25:16 | 17:19, 25:12, | everybody's |
| 71:19, 106:12, | entire | $31: 23,34: 21$, | 3:9 |
| 108:11 | 33:2, 40:13, | $36: 13,37: 11$, | everyone |
| employer $37: 18$ | 59:1, 61:14, | $\begin{array}{ll}38: 5, & 39: 9, \\ 44: 17, & 82: 17\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 8, \quad 8: 19, \\ & 11: 23, \quad 121: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| 37:18 employers | $83: 18$ | $44: 17,82: 17$, $85: 6,86: 12$, | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 23,121: 15, \\ & 122: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 37:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { entirely } \\ & 53: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 124:20, 127:4 | everything |
| end | entitlements | et | 4:16, 19:22, |
| 2:16, 2:18, | 70:1 | 32:20, 32:21, | 37:24, 79:20, |
| 9:2, 16:14, | entrance | 70:7, 73:6 | 111:19 |
| 19:10, 54:8, | $21: 3,50: 2$ | ethically | evidence |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 61: 19, & 84: 5, \\ 86: 17, & 89: 21, \end{array}$ | $53: 10, \quad 53: 18,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108: 16 \\ & \text { evaluate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 25, \quad 13: 18, \\ & 21: 25, \quad 29: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
| $112: 4$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 59: 18, & 66: 11, \\ 66: 22, & 76: 1 . \end{array}$ | 125:19, 125:21 | $34: 11,34: 12,$ |
| ending | $76: 12,77: 1,$ | evaluated | 34:14, 34:15, |
| 61:12 |  | 42:22 |  |
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| $\begin{aligned} & 34: 23, \quad 42: 11, \\ & 43: 7, \quad 44: 4, \\ & 45: 11, \quad 63: 5, \\ & 108: 15, \quad 119: 16, \\ & 123: 10, \quad 126: 18, \\ & 126: 24, \quad 127: 6, \\ & 129: 3, \quad 130: 5, \\ & 130: 8 \\ & \text { exact } \\ & 103: 20, \quad 126: 9, \\ & 126: 10 \\ & \text { exactly } \\ & 56: 2,60: 1, \\ & 66: 20 \end{aligned}$ <br> examiner's $21: 25,48: 24$ <br> example $52: 2,53: 20,$ $62: 24,71: 15,$ $78: 3,79: 4$ $96: 14, \quad 99: 24$ 116:10 <br> examples $20: 12,43: 19,$ $74: 20, \quad 77: 15,$ $108: 14$ <br> excavation $63: 25$ <br> exceed <br> 117: 8 <br> exceeds <br> 112:1 <br> excellent <br> 5:10, 114:8, 121:22 <br> except <br> 18:2, 30:14, 31:14, 103:19 exception $16: 23,32: 3,$ $33: 7,33: 15 \text {, }$ $33: 19, \quad 38: 22$ $70: 15, \quad 72: 24$ $96: 10,109: 24,$ | ```33:5, 39:8, 70:1 excess 83:8, 96:17, 98:14, 130:25 excessive 128:23 excited 6:10 exclusively 73:9, 74:21 excuses 105:15 exhibit 6:25, 13:20, 17:4, 20:15, 20:16, 20:23, 26:17, 26:20, 27:16, 29:18, 30:10, 31:18, 31:20, 35:5, 36:19, 37:2, 37:11, 44:1, 44:6, 44:8, 47:15, 47:17, 49:25, 52:15, 55:20, 58:5, 59:12, 62:9, 66:7, 66:8, 66:14, 67:22, 68:8, 73:13, 73:19, 74:5, 75:25, 77:8, 81:5, 81:12, 90:21, 91:1, 92:21, 94:18, 98:2, 110:2, 110:6, 110:7, 118:25, 119:1, 122:10, 123:23, 124:12, 129:23, 132:22 exhibits 6:22, 7:3, 8:18, 8:25, 9:3, 9:5, 13:14, 13:17, 14:6, 29:17, 40:18, 42:14, 43:24,``` | ```44:3, 72:9, 80:14, 123:17, 126:1, 126:5, 126:11, 128:3, 129:4, 131:3, 132:20, 132:21 existing 15:9, 31:17, 31:25, 38:9, 49:10, 51:13, 51:21, 53:10, 54:7, 55:22, 56:12, 57:15, 59:4, 59:10, 81:21, 130:5 exit 114:9 expanding 56:12, 111:22 expect 10:25 expectation 63:4, 63:16, 64:2 expected 109:10, 123:5 expedited 133:1 expense 86:24, 103:13 experience 51:7, 51:11, 69:20 expert 12:15, 12:19, 13:3, 28:18, 29:15, 29:16, 34:16, 42:13, 44:4, 60:18, 95:8, 95:14, 95:22, 98:2, 109:8, 113:15, 114:2, 115:15, 117:20, 123:16, 126:1, 126:4, 126:15, 128:6, 129:4, 130:7, 131:4``` | ```expert's 108:21 experts 12:25 explain 60:17, 62:20, 65:14, 65:18, 73:20, 91:3, 91:25, 95:2 explained 95:10 extending 55:22 extensively 109:3 extent 73:12 external 108:6 extremely 69:23 facilities 18:3, 26:8, 26:16, 27:4, 28:17, 29:1, 34:19, 35:1, 36:25, 38:7, 38:9, 38:25, 41:18, 42:5, 72:14, 72:16, 72:18, 72:21, 73:2, 73:5, 74:22, 74:25, 106:24, 106:25, 107:16, 109:20, 109:25, 123:15, 124:1, 129:12 facility 40:12, 73:1, 73:11, 76:1, 109:19, 109:21, 111:21, 124:21 fact 63:2, 92:12, 106:5, 119:11, 119:18, 119:25``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| factors | farmer | fence | fines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126:25 | 20:19, 102:5, | 29:25, 59:22, | 103:12 |
| facts | 107:25 | 59:23, 111:11 | finger |
| 13:25, 45:7, | farmers | fencing | 55:21 |
| 122:14, 130:18 | 31:1, 109:12 | 15:14, 15:16, | finish |
| factual | farming | 44:14 | 11:24, 78:10, |
| 8:5, 8:6, 8:8 | 21:18, 30:22, | fertilizer | 78:13 |
| failed | 31:6, 96:5, | 105:10, 108:10 | finished |
| 106:21 | 110:17 | fertilizers | 121:23, 121:24 |
| failure | farmland | 105:8 | fire |
| 102:20 | 105:19 | few | 15:21, 32:19, |
| fair | farms | 17:6, 17:9, | 40:10, 81:6, |
| 22:10, 23:22, | 31:2 | 23:21, 39:18, | 81:15, 111:17, |
| 52:17, 74:8, | fault | 42:4, 84:9, | 111:18, 111:19, |
| 81:17, 88:18, | 112:19 | 85:1, 85:11, | 111:23, 112:1, |
| 128:19 | favor | 85:15, 103:12, | 112:2 |
| fall | 106:10 | 108:20, 119:10, | fired |
| 117:22 | fear | 131:17 | 119:14 |
| falls | 130:15 | field | first |
| 22:3 | feature | 40:1, 40:2, | 5:25, 8:4, |
| familiar | 6:15, 18:23 | 52:6, 75:4, | 8:17, 10:8, |
| 32:4, 47:3, | features | 103:9, 105:11, | 13:20, 20:15, |
| 47:6, 70:14, | 18:21, 18:22 | 108:1, 108:5, | 21:20, 27:1, |
| 78:7, 94:18, | federal | 108:9 | 34:18, 34:25, |
| 102:16 | 29:4, 36:19, | fields | 36:6, 36:14, |
| family | 37:19, 37:20, | 29:6, 35:17, | 36:16, 39:3, |
| 11:2, 41:11, | 38:16, 123:18, | 37:16, 51:10, | $45: 13,46: 2$ |
| 96:8 | 124:18, 124:25, | 51:16, 52:6, | $47: 21, \quad 52: 21,$ |
| far | 131:4 | 61:18, 105:10, | $58: 6,66: 25,$ |
| 7:9, 13:17, | fee | $124: 16$ | $78: 10, \quad 86: 18,$ |
| 21:16, 21:17, | 105:20 | figure | $86: 21, \quad 87: 7,$ |
| 75:9, 83:4, | feedback | 115:8, 115:9 | $91: 2,94: 8,$ |
| 97:19, 101:7, | 6:2 | figures | $97: 7, \quad 99: 2,$ |
| 106:18, 120:4 | feel | 116:12 | 101:23, 102:2, |
| farm | 108:1 | filed | 107:19, 110:3, |
| 4:23, 12:11, | fees | 26:18, 40:18 | 110:10, 110:12, |
| 12:13, 15:2, | 112:21 | filings | 113:3, 115:7, |
| 20:25, 21:3, | feet | 106:15 | 123:14, 123:21, |
| 21:7, 21:14, | 14:23, 15:5, | financial | 124:6, 127:14 |
| 26:9, 26:12, | 21:9, 22:13, | 134:9 | fit |
| 29:5, 30:23, | 28:6, 44:18, | find | 19:10, 37:7, |
| $31: 1,31: 12$, | 49:5, 49:6, | 6:16, 93:14, | 81:19 |
| 32:18, 44:11, | 49:9, 52:4, | 104:3, 131:12 | fitness |
| 87:10, 96:7, | 52:14, 57:2, | finding | $31: 12$ |
| 102:6, 102:9, | 77:22, 122:19, | 102:18 | fits |
| 103:4, 105:6, | 127:16 | fine | $17: 21,122: 21$ |
| 110:13, 122:18, | felt | 7:7, 14:8, | five |
| 124:15, 127:15 | 125:21 | 78:17, 99:11 | 40:21, 123:19, |
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| 129:15 | formal | full | gain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fixing | 104:9 | 35:16, 36:1, | 23:13, 23:17 |
| 42:4 | former | 46:20, 84:9, | galvanized |
| flies | 26:3, 103:1, | 85:14, 85:23, | 100:1 |
| 22:18 | 110:13 | 99:5, 112:22, | game |
| flight | forth | 112:24 | 36:7 |
| 11:2, 11:12 | 2:22, 3:1, | full-fledged | gardens |
| floor | 27:5, 129:14 | 17:24, 23:3, | 18:21 |
| 75:7 | fortunate | 24:22, 127:19 | gas |
| flow | 128:20 | full-scale | 32:20, 41:2 |
| 7:16 | forward | 19:18, 34:4 | gave |
| fly | 11:24, 45:18, | fully | 13:21, 43:19, |
| 115:22 | 121:6 | 10:21, 106:13 | 66:18, 87:12, |
| focus | found | function | 87:13, 109:23 |
| 9:16, 9:20, | 27:6, 27:10, | 6:15, 6:20 | gene |
| 13:22, 114:13 | 29:4, 36:12, | functional | 20:20, 53:17 |
| focused | 36:17, 38:13, | 9:24, 23:23, | general |
| 55:6, 61:4, | 124:9, 126:12, | 27:9, 29:11, | 3:13, 13:8 |
| 114:15 | 129:15, 129:21 | $30: 4,30: 7$, | generally |
| folks | four | 30:12, 31:11, | 27:6, 33:17, |
| 107:5 | 22:22, 23:11, | 42:12, 42:23, | 39:6, 124:9, |
| follow | 23:14, 24:16, | 45:4, 47:4, | 129:14 |
| 7:22, 101:6 | 25:13, 49:5, | 47:8, 47:9, | generated |
| follow-up | 67:12 | 48:13, 57:10, | 97:10, 97:19 |
| 81:1, 81:3, | four-lane | 60:20, 62:3, | generation |
| 88:16 | 111:20 | 79:23, 126:3, | 97:14, 97:17 |
| followed | four-wheel | 126:7, 129:20, | gentle |
| 128:10, 128:11, | 67:17 | 130:10 | $52: 4$ |
| 128:14 | frame | further | geographically |
| force | 113:21 | 9:25, 48:11, | 32:25 |
| 73:7 | francisco | 51:9, 51:20, | geometric |
| foregoing | 25:24 | 53:20, 53:24, | $100: 11$ |
| $134: 4$ | frederick | $55: 23,56: 6,$ | germantown |
| foreground | $17: 5, \quad 115: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89: 9, \quad 107: 7, \\ & 115: 19, \quad 116: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 65:8, 65:11, |
| $52: 12$ | free | furthermore | $66: 13,66: 18,$ |
| foremost | 83:14 | furthermore $33: 18$ | $66: 25$ |
| $107: 19$ | frequented | 33:18 <br> future | getting |
| forest | $108: 13$ | future $31: 8, \quad 54: 9,$ | $16: 10,16: 11$ |
| 50:23, 54:7, | friends | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 8, \quad 54: 9, \\ & 54: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 30:24, 43:12, |
| 55:23, 56:7, | 103:1 | G | 119:16 |
| 56:9, 56:12 | front | G | gis |
| forested | 25:4, 120:1 | g | 31:24 |
| 49:10 | frontage | 77:3, 77:7, | give |
| forget | 48:10 | 77:11, 110:7, | 2:9, 8:16, |
| 103:2 | fronts | 110:8 | 9:10, 9:12, |
| forgivable | 48:10 | g-1 | 14:7, 19:16, |
| 103:18 | fruit | 77:16 | 20:12, 33:6, |
| form | 87:10 |  | 45:14, 46:20, |
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| 48:22, 82:2, | 121:17, 122:1, | 89:1, 92:8 | greatly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99:16, 131:2 | 132:15 | good | 131:1 |
| given | goal | 3:11, 5:8, | green |
| 64:25, 100:5, | 11:15 | 7:18, 11:25, | 59:16 |
| 108:14, 113:2, | goes | 13:21, 41:25, | greenskeeper |
| 120:18 | 33:14, 39:23, | 42:21, 45:25, | 24:18 |
| gives | 57:5, 83:4, | 53:5, 62:13, | grid |
| 33:4 | 103:10, 120:4, | 74:3, 74:5, | 94:10 |
| giving | 123:21, 127:16, | 74:6, 74:9, | gross |
| 2:15 | 127:17 | 75:1, 75:8, | 71:17, 95:7, |
| global | going | 77:14, 80:20, | 117:7 |
| 107:15 | 2:6, 3:15, | 81:23, 88:18, | grossman |
| go | 4:11, 5:22, | 90:19, 92:16, | 26:3 |
| 2:12, 2:13, | 5:23, 6:14, | 92:19, 98:1, | ground |
| 2:19, 3:7, 3:22, | 7:16, 8:25, 9:4, | $98: 17, \quad 121: 16,$ | $85: 12$ |
| $5: 12,5: 23$ | $9: 6, \quad 11: 5,$ | $123: 10,129: 9,$ | group |
| $6: 23,7: 18,8: 4,$ | $13: 22,15: 3,$ | $131: 15$ | $8: 4,8: 15$ |
| $8: 6,8: 18,8: 23,$ | $15: 24,16: 11,$ | good-sized | grow |
| 10:1, 11:15, | $20: 4, \quad 20: 11,$ | $117: 9$ | $57: 5$ |
| 12:20, 13:25, | $20: 17,21: 13,$ | goods | growing |
| 14:8, 14:18, | 21:25, 22:8, | 109:12 | $54: 2, \quad 108: 9$ |
| 14:19, 17:21, | 22:11, 23:1, | google | grown |
| 17:24, 18:7, | 23:2, 24:16, | 59:7, 66:10, | $105: 13$ |
| 20:14, 22:16, | 25:6, 25:7, | 66:12, 94:19 | growth |
| 25:24, 28:13, | 27:12, 29:10, | goshen | 35:20 |
| 39:14, 39:16, | $35: 7,38: 1,$ | $24: 25, \quad 43: 21,$ | guardrail |
| 39:17, 44:19, | $39: 17,40: 23,$ | $70: 19$ | 99:23 |
| 47:24, 48:14, | $41: 14,42: 15,$ | gotten | guess |
| 49:15, 49:18, | $42: 25,43: 15,$ | $5: 22,92: 4,$ | $3: 18,13: 11$, |
| $50: 13, \quad 50: 20,$ | $44: 16,46: 5$ | $93: 14$ | 15:13, 26:6, |
| $55: 12,57: 23,$ | $48: 15, \quad 48: 16,$ | government | $30: 3,34: 25$, |
| $59: 12,60: 2,$ | $49: 14, \quad 54: 10,$ | $37: 20, \quad 104: 21$ | $38: 14, \quad 40: 4,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 68: 1, \quad 70: 11, \\ & 72: 11, \quad 75: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 8, \quad 82: 5, \\ & 87: 10, \quad 93: 3, \end{aligned}$ | grab | $41: 8,44: 2,$ |
| 75:17, $76: 5$, | $97: 12, \quad 98: 15,$ | 11:18, 71:12 <br> grant | $45: 16, \quad 49: 20$ |
| 78:5, 78:13, | 99:9, 100:13, | $130: 13$ | $81: 4, \quad 86: 10,$ |
| 81:10, 83:8, | $100: 18,105: 23,$ | granted | $91: 1,128: 18,$ |
| 83:10, 93:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 113: 13, ~ 115: 14, \\ & 116: 6, \quad 117: 3, \end{aligned}$ | 56:5, 104:23, | 129:1 |
| $94: 4, ~ 96: 16$, $101: 14,101: 23$, | 119:8, 121:2, | 108:18 | guests |
| 101:14, 101:23, $102: 2, ~ 110: 20$, | $123: 3,124: 2,$ | gravel | 41:11, 41:20 |
| $102: 2, ~ 110: 20, ~$ $110: 24, ~ 113: 3$, | 124:19, 124:21, | 79:4 | guidance |
| 113:14, 115:14, | 131:9, 131:23, | gray $68.13,95.6$ | 13:21, 28:1, |
| 115:21, 116:6, | 132:3, 132:14 | 68:13, 95:6, | 33:4, 37:19, |
| 116:18, 116:19, | gold | great | 124:25, 129:9 guide |
| 116:24, 119:8, | 119:11 | $2: 11,58: 13,$ | $25: 18$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 120: 18, \quad 121: 6, \\ & 121 \cdot 8 \end{aligned}$ | gone $54: 5, \quad 60: 13$ | 80:23, 82:4 | guidelines |
| 121:8, 121:12, | 54:5, 60:13, | greater <br> 33.22.69.6 |  |
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| guy | 7:16, 45:16, | 80:11, 118:4, | 74:11, 78:13, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:15 | 45:19, 65:2, | 118: 6 | 83:18, 90:22, |
| guys | 65:7, 65:10, | hearings | 91:3, 95:23, |
| 83:3, 86:25 | 65:15, 75:10, | 114:10 | 98:2, 104:7, |
| gvw | 75:24, 75:25, | heavier | 104:19, 115:22, |
| 71:17 | 76:5, 76:12, | 64:2 | 117:10, 122:14, |
| H | 78:6, 78:11, | heavily | 123:10, 123:11, |
| half | $\begin{array}{ll} 78: 17, & 79: 10, \\ 79: 25, & 80: 5, \end{array}$ | 26:17, 83:17 | $125: 10$ <br> here's |
| $17: 15, ~ 84: 4$, $112: 20, ~$ | 80:7, 80:10, | 114:16, 114:19, | 77:16, 110:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 112: 20, ~ 112: 22, \\ & 112: 23, ~ 113: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 80:17, 80:19, | $116: 16, ~ 120: 16$ | hereby |
| hammond | 81:4, 86:11, | height | 134:3 |
| 126:22 | 86:16, 87:8, | 57:3 | hi |
| 126:22 | 87:22, 87:25, | help | 3:11 |
| 11:23, 46:15, | 88:4, 88:7, | 25:17, 38:2, | high |
| $65: 2, \quad 90: 7$ | 88:12, 88:14, | 89:24, 122:11, | 49:6 |
| handle | 88:18, 89:14, | 129:7 | higher |
| handle | 98:22, 99:4, | helpful | 65:24, 103:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 11, \\ & 100: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 99:12, 100:2, | 85:25 | highlighted |
| hands | 100:20, 100:22, | helps | 94:16 |
| 121:3, 121:4 | 100:23, 101:15, | 6:1 | highway |
| happen | $\begin{aligned} & 101: 19, ~ 101: 24, \\ & 102: 1, \quad 102: 2, \end{aligned}$ | hence | 94:11, 111:20 |
| 5:12, 19:13, | $102: 4, \quad 102: 5$ | 29:25 | hill |
| 113:2, 128:12 | $103: 24, \quad 104: 2,$ | here | 4:11, 4:15, |
| happened | 104:13, 104:17, | 2:12, 3:12, | 22:13, 22:14, |
| 14:7, 104:19 | 108:24, 110:5, | $3: 19,5: 9,5: 17$, | 23:6, 23:15, |
| happening | 110:9, 110:12, | 7:10, 9:1, | 27:22, 31:12, |
| 19:12 | 110:22, 111:1, | 10:13, 10:15, | 43:18, 48:11, |
| happy | 111:4, 111:6, | 10:22, 10:23, | 48:15, 49:11, |
| 6:23, 68:4, | 111:16, 112:8, | 11:6, 11:10, | 50:1, 51:3, |
| 75:4, 76:18, | 112:10, 112:16, | 11:15, 12:7, | 51:8, 52:3, |
| 78:12, 102:2 | 112:19, 113:6, | 12:8, 12:14, | 59:6, 66:3, |
| hard | 113:8, 113:11, | 15:8, 16:1, | 67:24, 71:20, |
| 44:13, 57:16, | 119:9, 128:19, | 18:8, 26:10, | 78:23, 78:25, |
| 96:12, 123:2, | 131:7, 131:9, | 29:23, 33:7, | 79:2, 96:10, |
| 127:17 | 131:12 | 34:21, 35:22, | 96:14, 98:14, |
| hardscape | hazardous | $35: 25,36: 1$, | 108:25, 109:3, |
| 19:24 | 84.21 | $36: 7,36: 13$, | 110:22, 114:1, |
|  | 84.21 | 37:2, 37:24, | 115:9, 115:10, |
| $18: 21$ | $16: 17.67 \text { : }$ | $38: 2,38: 14$, | 116:24, 119:19, |
| harm | 16:17, 67:1 | 38:17, 40:25, | 128:4, 129:25 |
| 126:24 | heading | 44:3, 45:10, | hills |
| harmed | 91:6 | $50: 7,50: 13$, | 94:15 |
| harmed | hear 7.15 28:7 | 51:14, 56:17, | himself |
| $51: 12$ hartsock | 7:15, 28:7, | 56:21, 59:25, | 5:2, 17:14 |
| hartsock | 95:18, 114:7, | 66:16, 71:7, | historic |
| $4: 14, \quad 4: 15$ | 117:17, 119:6 | 71:11, 73:13, | $27: 11,27: 12 \text {, }$ |
| 4:19, 7:14, | heard $80: 2, \quad 80: 5,$ | 73:19, 74:10, | 27:14, 27:21, |
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| information | intend | invalidation | jacqueline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93:9, 114:14, | 54:12, 54:13 | 38:10 | 5:1 |
| 114:22, 117:24, | intended | investments | jam |
| 134:7 | 18:24, 30:13, | 1:10, 12:10, | 124:2 |
| informed | 30:16, 31:14, | 12:11 | james |
| 93:16 | 45:4, 60:25, | invisible | 113:25 |
| ingress | 93:18 | 123:3 | jb |
| 23:13, 23:17, | intense | involve | 25:20 |
| 71:20 | 22:19 | 39:8, 43:14 | jim |
| inherent | intention | involved | 4:10 |
| 63:3, 63:14, | 87:5 | 26:1, 43:21, | job |
| 69:4 | interest | 52:23, 126:25 | 1:23, 16:15, |
| initial | 134:9 | involvement | 83:20, 84:1, |
| $44: 9, \quad 112: 1$ | interested | $97: 8$ | $84: 19$ |
| initially | $8: 8$ | involves | jody |
| 112:25 | interesting | 33:7, 114:22 | 3:17 |
| innuendo | 24:25, 40:17 | irrelevant | john |
| 126:15 | interestingly | 33:14 | 12:14, 46:22 |
| inquired | 24:6 | issue | johnson |
| 92:10 | intermittent | 3:6, 6:19, | 2:15 |
| inside | 59:17 | 23:23, 27:12, | jose |
| $17: 10,84: 10$ | international | $32: 8, \quad 37: 10,$ | $12: 8$ |
| $128: 22$ | $11: 2$ | $89: 13, \quad 100: 15,$ | july |
| insight | interpret | $107: 13$ | 134:16 |
| 99:16 | 38:18 | issued | jump |
| inspections | interpretation | 3:6 | 6:24, 80:1 |
| 85:2 | 36:9 | issues | jumped |
| 103:11, 111:8 installation | interrupt | 20:5, 25:19, | $51: 18$ |
|  | 6:6, 52:20, | $46: 11,107: 18,$ | juncture |
|  | $53: 19,53: 22$ | $109: 1$ | $68: 23$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19: 5, \quad 57: 3 \\ & 57: 4 \end{aligned}$ | intersection | issuing | june |
|  | $22: 14,91: 6,$ | $3: 4$ | $1: 12, \quad 91: 17$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 57: 4 \\ & \text { installations } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115: 4, \quad 115: 11, \\ & 116: 25 \end{aligned}$ | it'd | jurisdictions |
| installations 85:1, 99:23 installed |  | 44:20, 52:17, | 33:2 |
|  | intersections | 72:4, 97:3, | justification |
| installed 92:11, 92:12, | 91:9 | 123:1 | 109:14, 110:18 |
| $92: 11, ~ 92: 12, ~$ $93: 17, ~ 93: 18, ~$ | intervening | it'll | justifies |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on June 27, 2023


PLANET DEPOS

| oh | 52:21, 53:16, | oops | 98:2, 98:9, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:14, 39:9, | 55:16, 58:6, | 77:4 | 102:20, 133:4 |
| 46:2, 55:14, | 58:18, 58:20, | opaca | opponents |
| 61:13, 68:7, | 58:21, 62:24, | 57:1 | 43:9 |
| 88:12, 90:23, | 65:1, 68:2, | open | opportunity |
| 93:23, 95:25, | 71:3, 72:5, | 51:10, 51:16, | 5:15, 8:9, |
| 96:4, 110:5, | 74:1, 78:4, | 52:6, 75:6, | 8:13, 9:9, 9:10, |
| 120:6, 121:10 | 78:6, 81:3, | 86:6, 95:5, | 9:11, 9:12, |
| old | 83:20, 85:11, | 116:21, 120:14, | 13:12, 45:15, |
| 15:10, 27:21, | 87:12, 89:16, | 132:24 | 88:1, 112:6 |
| 43:6, 103:21, | 92:14, 94:24, | opening | oppose |
| 104:19, 105:3 | 95:4, 98:8, | 7:22, 7:23, | 108:18 |
| older | 104:2, 105:15, | $7: 25,8: 2,10: 2$, | opposed |
| 43:1, 43:10, | 110:12, 111:1, | 12:5, 43:13, | 118:18, 120:17 |
| 50:5, 52:13 | 111:16, 119:10, | 44:2, 45:15, | opposition |
| on-point | 120:7, 122:15, | 45:17, 45:20, | 4:12, 4:18, |
| 42:21 | 123:7, 123:14, | 122:5 | 4:24, 7:10, 8:1, |
| once | 123:21, 124:7, | operate | 8:6, 8:15, |
| 20:18, 33:8, | 125:1, 125:3, | 105:4, 106:2, | 45:15, 80:21, |
| $33: 11, \quad 33: 17,$ | 131:14, 133:1 | $108: 16$ | $98: 23, \quad 101: 12,$ |
| $90: 22, \quad 106: 7$ | one's | operated | 101:14, 112:5, |
| one | 110:22 | 105:3 | 112:6, 114:11, |
| 2:9, 6:8, 7:10, | one-horse | operating | 120:13, 120:25, |
| 7:11, 8:2, 8:14, | 110:1 | 106:3 | 121:5, 121:24, |
| 10:8, 11:10, | one-tenth | operation | 132:19 |
| 15:10, 16:3, | 22:18 | 2:21, 21:11, | options |
| 18:14, 20:13, | ones | 21:19, 45:3, | 73:14 |
| 21:23, 21:24, | 9:1, 19:16, | 45:5, 83:4, | oral |
| 22:9, 23:5, | 24:23, 28:25, | 109:24, 109:25, | 26:19, 34:9 |
| 24:13, 24:14, | 29:18, 40:5, | 110:1, 110:13, | order |
| 24:18, 24:25, | 83:15, 99:25 | 110:17, 111:7, | 7:1, 7:12, |
| 25:23, 26:1, | only | 111:13, 122:18, | $7: 22,8: 4,$ |
| 26:2, 28:13, | 2:8, 3:1, | 127:1, 127:18, | $49: 22,60: 8,$ |
| 28:14, 29:18, | 10:10, 22:21, | $128: 1$ | 70:9, 85:12, |
| 32:25, 33:4, | $34: 22,37: 13$, | operational | 87:2 |
| 34:18, 34:22, | 39:10, 42:10, | 82:14, 86:7, | ordinances |
| 34:25, 36:3, | 44:25, 50:16, | 95:24, 100:6 | 124:22 |
| 36:16, 38:2, | 50:17, 50:23, | operations | ordinarily |
| 38:18, 38:21, | 54:24, 57:13, | 34:7, 86:13, | 33:23 |
| 40:6, 40:23, | 59:6, 60:9, | 86:23, 102:19, | organic |
| 40:25, 41:2, | 61:17, 64:24, | 104:24, 129:8 | 105:9 |
| 42:9, 42:10, | 68:2, 70:11, | opinion | organized |
| 42:15, 43:8, | 82:18, 86:16, | 2:25, 60:18, | 2:17, 16:11 |
| 43:23, 47:25, | 86:17, 112:16, | 69:9, 71:4, | orientation |
| 48:15, 50:5, | 116:16, 117:24, | 72:2, 93:21, | 47:24 |
| $50: 7,50: 13,$ | $118: 1,120: 2,$ | 95:1, 95:9, | originally |
| $\begin{aligned} & 50: 15, \quad 50: 20, \\ & 52: 2, \quad 52: 9, \end{aligned}$ | 120:7, 128:18 onsite | $\begin{array}{ll} 95: 14, & 95: 22, \\ 96: 25, & 97: 6, \end{array}$ | $114: 22$ |
| 52.2, 52.9, | $9: 21, \quad 73: 5$ | 96:25, 97:6, | osha $29: 4,36: 18,$ |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on June 27, 2023

| 36:19, 36:20, | 73:16, 92:8, | Owns | parks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36:25, 37:19, | 93:14, 98:2, | 12:11 | 32:18, 73:23 |
| 38:16, 124:10, | 103:3, 104:3, | ozah | parlance |
| 124:14 | 105:1, 107:4, | 7:4, 18:1, | 104:17 |
| other | 107:7, 113:14, | 22:16, 24:1, | part |
| 6:6, 6:11, | 116:15, 116:17, | 24:9, 24:12, | 19:10, 20:23, |
| 6:12, 8:8, | 122:20, 124:3, | 25:12, 25:21, | 25:11, 63:24, |
| 10:20, 15:10, | 124:4, 124:16, | 26:3, 40:7 | 79:23, 85:19, |
| 18:23, 19:16, | 125:14, 125:23, | ozah's | 91:2, 102:14, |
| 22:7, 28:14, | 133:4, 133:6 | 9:3 | 105:23, 109:11, |
| 28:20, 34:8, | outcome | P | 115:3, 118:6, |
| 50:18, 57:14, | 134:9 | page | 123:6, 124:14, |
| 57:15, 57:20, | outdoor | $27: 1, \quad 30: 10$ | 128:5 |
| 58:3, 59:9, | 18:22, 18:25 | $30: 12, \quad 31: 10,$ | participants |
| 63:7, 65:21, | outlets | $31: 12,31: 21,$ | $5: 23$ |
| 69:1, 70:14, | $105: 20$ | $35: 7,35: 9,$ | participated |
| 72:5, 72:9, | outside | 36:21, 40:8, | 122:8 |
| 73:3, 73:8, | 17:10, 52:17 | 60:25, 61:7, | particular |
| $74: 16,77{ }^{7}$, | outstanding | 61:8, 61:11, | 7:1, 7:12, |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 78: 24, & 79: 1, \\ 79: 14, & 80: 21 \end{array}$ | $31: 12$ | $63: 8, \quad 91: 2,$ | 26:21, 33:22, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 79: 14, \quad 80: 21, \\ & 83: 15, \quad 85: 1, \end{aligned}$ | over | $91: 3,91: 19,$ | 33:23, 53:22, |
| $96: 22,100: 9,$ | 6:11, 14:1, | 106:22 | 60:8, 61:23, |
| 105:9, 105:23, | $15: 6, ~$ $31: 24, ~ 36: 8$, | pages $1: 24, \quad 26: 21$ | $73: 10, \quad 73: 11,$ |
| 108:12, 108:13, | 52:14, 60:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 24, \quad 26: 21, \\ & 29: 14, ~ 31: 21, \end{aligned}$ | 74:14, 84:1, |
| 109:15, 111:16, | 62:5, 64:10, | 29:14, 91:1 | 98:21, 121:8, |
| 114:20, 114:25, | 65:20, 65:22, | paid | 127:14, 129:10 |
| 123:8 | 66:3, 67:10, | 120:9 | particularly |
| others | 67:18, 67:25, | pandemic | 111:24 |
| $7: 11, \quad 20: 9$, $20.10, ~$ | $68: 5,71: 10,$ | 86:21, 87:6, | parties |
| 20:10, 68:16, | $71: 11, \quad 72: 11,$ | 105:18 | 3:8, 134:8 |
| 70:25, 79:12 | $82: 3,84: 4,$ | paper | party |
| otherwise $34: 13,35: 21$, | 96:15, 98:15, | 131:15 | 8: 6 |
| $34: 13$, $106: 12, ~ 134: 9$ | 117:24, 119:8, | paragraph | pass |
| 106:12, 134:9 <br> out | $\begin{aligned} & 119: 14, \quad 120: 5, \\ & 132: 8,132: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 21:10, 27:2, | 79:6 |
| 5:13, 11:2, | overflow | 27:7, 35:12, | past |
| 11:12, 16:12, | $124: 2$ | 61:11, 61:19 parameters | $102: 9, \quad 115: 14$ |
| 16:22, 17:16, | overnight | parameters $95: 9$ | patterns |
| $31: 25,32: 13$, | 16:3, 64:17, | park | 31:7 |
| 40:1, 40:2, | 122:16, 128:22 | $38: 13,50: 2,$ | pay |
| $40: 7,41: 13,$ | overview | $97: 9, \quad 97: 21$ | 6:17, 83:16, |
| $42: 3,44: 6,$ $44: 13,49: 2$ | 13:25 | parked | 87:3, 105:20 |
| $51: 13,52: 22,$ | Own 4.22 .79 .9 | 19:3 | paying |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 51: 13, & 52: 22, \\ 52: 25, & 54: 2, \end{array}$ | $4: 22,79: 9$ owner | parking | 86:25, 104:21 |
| 58:2, 59:10, | Owner $12: 9, ~ 20: 19$ | 60:5, 110:16, | pe |
| 64:12, 70:25, | $12: 9,20: 19$ owners | 120:2 | $13: 6$ peace |
|  | 111:9 |  | 120:15 |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on June 27, 2023

| peak-hour | persons | 113:17, 113:19, | 40:15, 42:12, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97:20 | 8:8 | 114:3, 115:8, | 42:23, 45:4, |
| pee | perspective | 115:15, 115:18 | 47:4, 47:9, |
| 107:21 | 44:22 | picture's | 48:13, 48:19, |
| people | persuaded | 13:13 | $51: 3,51: 8$, |
| 6:6, 6:8, | 124:8 | pictures | 51:15, 51:17, |
| 11:18, 20:4, | persuasive | 17:5, 17:9, | 52:7, 55:12, |
| 21:23, 21:24, | 27:6, 29:4, | 17:11, 28:12, | 55:14, 57:10, |
| 37:19, 39:10, | 36:18, 124:9 | 29:20, 44:6, | 57:18, 58:2, |
| 39:24, 41:8, | pertinent | 52:23, 52:24, | 59:7, 60:13, |
| 41:11, 41:12, | 66:2 | 53:2, 77:9, | 60:20, 60:24, |
| 41:20, 74:11, | peter | 80:13, 91:5, | 62:3, 76:9, |
| 84:21, 101:13, | 5:16 | 91:12, 91:16, | 76:15, 76:21, |
| 117:17, 119:14, | petitioner's | 92:1, 92:4, | 76:24, 79:13, |
| 125:22 | 27:15, 62:7, | 92:9, 93:2, | 79:18, 79:19, |
| people's | 129:22, 130:13 | 110:3, 110:10, | 79:21, 79:23, |
| 5:13 | ph | 113:20, 115:7, | 81:7, 81:20, |
| pepcos | 110:13 | 115:11, 115:14, | 99:14, 99:15, |
| 41:2 | photo | 115:25, 116:10, | 101:7, 104:20, |
| percent | 48:8, 49:8, | 117:5 | 106:23, 106:24, |
| 7:8 | 49:20, 49:25, | piece | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 126: 3, & 126: 7 \\ 127: 1, & 129: 20 \end{array}\right.$ |
| perfect | 50:23, 51:1, | 82:13 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 127: 1, & 129: 20, \\ 130: 10 & \end{array}\right.$ |
| 10:19 | 51:19, 54:7, | pile | $\begin{aligned} & 130: 10 \\ & \text { plan's } \end{aligned}$ |
| perfectly | $54: 11, \quad 55: 1,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 103: 8, & 104: 11 \\ \text { nitoc } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { plan's } \\ & 63: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7:7, 68:3 | $57: 25,58: 1,$ | piles | $\begin{aligned} & 63: 4 \\ & \text { planned } \end{aligned}$ |
| perhaps | $59: 7,75: 25$ | $103: 8$ | planned $38: 9$ |
| 27:17, 38:21 | $110: 20$ | pin | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 9 \\ & \text { planner } \end{aligned}$ |
| period | photographs | $78: 14$ | planner |
| 40:14, 88:21, | 48:14, 78:25, | pipes <br> 35.15, 35.25 | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 16,46: 23, \\ & 69: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 88:23, 117:25, | 80:8, 116:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 15, \quad 35: 25 \\ & \text { place } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:9 } \\ & \text { planning } \end{aligned}$ |
| 125:23, 128:24 peripheral | photos $47: 25,49: 15$, | place $55: 24,83: 25,$ | $13: 4,24: 3,$ |
| 48:1 | 51:11, 54:5, | 85:14, 103:15, | 24:9, 25:11, |
| periphery | 54:24 | 109:18, 111:14 | $\begin{array}{ll} 29: 16, & 31: 9, \\ 31: 19, & 31: 23 \end{array}$ |
| 55:5 | physical | placed | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 19, \quad 31: 23, \\ & 35: 5,36: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
| permission | 100:5 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 57: } 6 \\ & \text { places } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 5, \quad 36: 16, \\ & 38: 13, \quad 41: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| 11:9 permit | pick $16: 22, ~ 83: 6$ | 83:14, 88:6 | 42:21, 97:9, |
| 119:16 | pickup | plain | 97:21, 106:16, |
| permitted | 16:6, 67:17 | 35:9, 35:11 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lc} 106: 19, & 107: 2, \\ 107: 5, & 107: 14 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 19:20, 20:5, | pickups | plan | 114:17, 123:22, |
| $\begin{array}{lll}33: 8, ~ & 33: 11, \\ 33: 16, & 33: 19,\end{array}$ | 16:4, 44:25, | $\begin{array}{ll} 9: 24, & 10: 10, \\ 14: 8, & 23: 23, \end{array}$ | $126: 9$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33: 16, \quad 33: 19, \\ & 97: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 3, \quad 64: 20, \\ & 122: 16, \quad 122: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $24: 1, \quad 26: 10,$ | plans |
| person | picture | 27:9, 29:11, | 70:7 |
| 2:17, 103:19 | 92:6, 92:14, | 30:4, 30:7, | plant |
| personally | 92:20, 110:14, | $30: 12, \quad 31: 2,$ $31: 11,39: 22$ | 84:19, 87:10 planted |
| 131:12 | 110:15, 111:6, | 31:11, 39:22, | $57: 2$ |
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| prior | projected | 125:16, 128:7, | pruned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:5, 44:5, | 97:10 | 129:23, 130:14 | 83:2 |
| 47:12, 87:13, | projects | property's | pruning |
| 97:7, 126:19 | 38:8 | 14:2 | 84:20, 84:24 |
| pritts | promise | proposal | public |
| 33:6 | 46:16, 82:8, | 107:4 | 2:20, 18:3, |
| proactive | 90:7 | propose | 26:8, 26:15, |
| 43:15, 101:7 | promised | 68:24 | 27:3, 28:17, |
| proactively | 132:12 | proposed | 29:1, 34:19, |
| 10:10, 11:1 | prompt | 15:8, 15:15, | 35:1, 38:6, |
| probably | 36:25 | 23:1, 23:9, | 38:25, 40:11, |
| 5:13, 10:10, | proof | 25:10, 25:11, | 42:5, 55:4, |
| 13:16, 28:14, | 104:9 | 27:2, 27:8, | 57:8, 69:13, |
| 55:15 | propane | 27:10, 27:24, | 69:15, 69:16, |
| probative | 32:20 | 38:8, 41:18, | 72:25, 73:2, |
| 34:11, 126:24, | proper | 42:17, 43:23, | 73:11, 73:14, |
| 127:6 | 34:13 | 50:11, 51:12, | 73:19, 73:23, |
| problem | properties | 53:12, 53:13, | 74:25, 92:18, |
| 35:18, 100:16, | 16:12, 24:24, | 55:10, 57:14, | 93:14, 107:10, |
| 103:22, 108:1, | 77:2 | 59:16, 60:9, | 123:15, 124:1, |
| 110:8, 112:23, | property | 68:20, 106:23, | 124:21, 125:18, |
| 113:4 | 4:23, 5:4, | 106:25, 111:25, | 129:12 |
| problems | 14:22, 15:12, | 119:17, 126:13, | publication |
| 41:17 | 15:17, 15:18, | 128:9, 129:11, | 35:6, 97:16 |
| procedure | 16:13, 18:12, | 129:19, 129:21, | publicly |
| 7:18, 9:8, 10:1 | 20:19, 20:24, | $130: 2, \quad 130: 6$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 72: 16 \\ & \text { nublishes } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| proceed | 20:25, 21:2, | proposing <br> 29:23, 57:10 | publishes $94: 11$ |
| $47: 1, \quad 49: 21,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 4, \quad 22: 19, \\ & 22: 24, \quad 25: 16, \end{aligned}$ | 29:23, 57:10 <br> protection | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 11 \\ & \text { pull } \end{aligned}$ |
| proceedings | $22: 24, ~ 25: 16$, $26: 11, ~ 27: 15$, | 40:10 | 6:22, 13:20, |
| 7:21, 134:5 | 31:16, 41:23, | proven | 20:17, 27:17, |
| process | 43:11, 44:18, | 34:23 | 35:8, 44:8, |
| 105:1, 107:8, | 44:20, 48:10, | provide | 47:16, 77:3, |
| 107:11 | 48:17, 49:11, | 35:14, 37:12, | 78:12, 80:14, |
| products | 52:11, 53:10, | 38:7, 70:12, | 81:7, 90:21, |
| 30:24, 61:22, | 59:24, 60:6, | 72:6, 114:12, | 92:24, 94:1, |
| 61:24 | 66:12, 66:22, | 114:21 | 94:24, 110:2, |
| professional | 71:21, 72:15, | provided | 115:24, 117:7 |
| 4:1, 60:18, | 77:20, 79:11, | 115:7 | pulled |
| 69:8, 69:20, | 86:19, 87:2, | providers | 20:15, 24:4, |
| 71:4, 72:2, | 88:22, 89:2, | 39:16 | 57:24, 107:7, |
| 93:20, 95:1, | 89:6, 102:7, | provides | 119:11 |
| 96:25, 97:6, | 102:8, 104:7, | 56:10, 102:17 | purchased $86: 18,103: 5$ |
| 98:9, 126:9 | $\begin{array}{ll} 106: 18, & 107: 14, \\ 107: 20, & 114: 6, \end{array}$ | providing 19:1, 30:22, | 86:18, 103:5 purdum |
| profitability $106: 9$ | 119:21, 119:22, | $19: 1$, $114: 22$ | 115:12, 117:1 |
| program | 120:8, 120:15, | proximity | purpose |
| 102:12 | 123:4, 125:10, | 114:1 | 70:3 |
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| purposes | 88:18, 99:5, | rare | 122:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70:5 | 100:7, 112:9, | 2:12, 16:24, | recall |
| pursuant | 120:9, 123:25, | 85:5 | 52:15, 54:23, |
| 3:1, 3:2 | 124: 6 | rate | $99: 15$ |
| push | questioning | 97:17 | recalling |
| 103:8 | 80:1, 112:12 | reached | 99:7 |
| pushed | questions | 43:5 | receiving |
| 77:4 | 7:21, 9:10, | read | 87:14, 105:16 |
| put | 9:25, 10:17, | 14:4, 14:5, | recent |
| 8:10, 16:16, | 18:5, 75:4, | 20:17, 20:23, | 20:11, 40:18, |
| 25:7, 27:5, | 75:6, 75:9, | 82:12 | 112:15 |
| 28:22, 32:9, | 75:11, 75:13, | readily | recently |
| 39:16, 66:17, | 80:3, 80:21, | 36:24 | 43:25, 53:1 |
| 78:14, 85:12, | 80:24, 81:1, | ready | recess |
| 86:24, 93:7, | 86:7, 86:10, | 49:15, 101:14 | $82: 6,82: 7,$ |
| $93: 10,97: 13,$ | 86:12, 86:14, | real | $121: 21$ |
| 99:14, 103:14, | 88:13, 89:9, | $35: 8, \quad 38: 17,$ | recognition |
| 111:11, 121:25, | $98: 21, \quad 98: 23$ | 71:13, 113:14, | 64:8 |
| 125:4, 129:14 | $100: 25, \quad 101: 4$ | 127:6 | recognize |
| puts | 107:17, 113:7, | real-time | 21:21, 64:13, |
| $133: 5$ | $123: 13$ | $118: 20$ | $128: 22$ |
| putting | quick | really | recognized |
| 22:25, 109:15 | 10:5, 13:24, | 6:7, 9:1, | 12:20, 13:3, |
| Q | 14:7, $35: 8$, | 15:17, 34:14, | 31:13, 51:2, |
| quality | $112: 9, \quad 123: 21$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 35: 2, & 42: 21, \\ 85: 9, & 96: 8, \end{array}$ | $60: 18, \quad 107: 6$ recognizes |
| $\begin{aligned} & 56: 8, \quad 83: 13, \\ & 83: 15 \end{aligned}$ | quickly | $96: 9, \quad 97: 4,$ | $61: 7$ |
| quantifiable | $20: 17,27: 1,$ | 110:16, 122:11, | recommending |
| $38: 6$ | quiet | $\begin{aligned} & 123: 11, ~ 124: 5, \\ & 125: 24, ~ 127: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $106: 17, \quad 106: 20$ reconsider |
| 108:2 | 16:24, 17:2, | 129:9 | 27:2, 27:8, |
| quarter-mile | $125: 16$ | reason | $129: 11,129: 19$ |
| $48: 21,48: 22$ | $17: 18,19: 15$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2: 10, & 31: 5, \\ 34: 13, & 37: 9 \end{array}$ | record |
| $\begin{aligned} & 49: 4, \quad 52: 15, \\ & 52: 16, \quad 52: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $42: 6,44: 6,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 13, \quad 37: 9, \\ & 42: 6,66: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 7, \quad 2: 9,2: 11, \\ & 2: 16,6: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| 52:19, 53:9, | 44:9, 107:16, | 84:17, 88:9, | 15:19, 15:22, |
| 54:12, 55:6 | 111:20, 117:16 | 89:12, 92:4, | 22:10, 28:16, |
| question | R | 92:13, 109:24, | $37: 3,37: 23$, |
| 6:14, 6:19, | raise <br> 46.15 90.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 120: 7,130: 16 \\ & \text { reasonable } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 38: 4, & 40: 20, \\ 43: 7, & 44: 5, \end{array}$ |
| $35: 24, \quad 52: 21,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 15, \quad 90: 7 \\ & \text { raise-your-hand } \end{aligned}$ | reasonable <br> 103:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 7, \quad 44: 5, \\ & 63: 20, \quad 75: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 65: 3, & 65: 4, \\ 65: 7, & 67: 6, \end{array}$ | $6: 15,6: 19$ | reasons | $76: 6,104: 8,$ |
| $75: 15, \quad 75: 17,$ | raised | 36:12, 36:15, | 121:18, 123:16, |
| 81:3, 81:4, | 65:2, 121:4 | 87:12, 123:12 | 124:11, 132:24, |
| 86:16, 86:17, | ramon | rebut | 133:9, 133:10, |
| 86:20, 87:19, | $5: 7, \quad 5: 8$ | $75: 16$ rebuttal | $134: 4$ |
| 87:20, 88:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ran } \\ & 24: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 8:11, 121:7, | $134: 5$ |
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| residents | 130:5 | 100:21, 101:9, | 71:24, 76:4, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109:12 | reviewed | 101:11, 101:22, | 76:8, 76:9, |
| resist | 14:5, 31:10, | 101:25, 104:12, | 77:2, 79:23, |
| 131:9 | 40:7, 43:4 | 110:11, 110:14, | 91:9, 97:2, |
| resources | revised | 111:3, 113:10, | 99:6, 99:9, |
| 102:13 | 55:15, 81:6 | 116:16, 119:3, | 99:18, 99:23, |
| response | revisit | 119:22, 120:1, | 107:3, 109:10, |
| 113:2 | 54:4, 62:6 | 120:18, 121:8, | 109:15, 114:15, |
| rest | riding | 121:22, 123:12, | 114:19, 114:23, |
| 16:13, 26:11 | 41:9 | 132:9, 132:11 | 115:13, 116:8, |
| restricted | rigging | right-hand | 124:2, 126:3, |
| 25:9, 69:4, | 82:21 | 73:24 | 126:6, 126:14, |
| 115:19 | right | rightfully | 129:20, 130:3, |
| restriction | 2:6, 2:19, 3:7, | 100:20 | 130:9, 130:14, |
| 23:7, 23:19, | $3: 20,3: 21, ~ 4: 3$, | risk | 132:8, 132:9 |
| 60:13, 64:10, | 4:7, 4:17, 5:6, | 128:15 | roadside |
| 92:3, 93:15, | 5:19, 6:9, 7:17, | road's | 51:22, 52:5 |
| 96:15, 99:20, | 7:18, 8:17, | 30:4 | roadway |
| 100:4, 100:11, | 8:21, 9:4, 9:8, | roads | 61:14, 76:21 |
| 115:16 | 9:14, 9:19, | 9:23, 24:7, | robust |
| restrictions | 9:25, 11:22, | 27:9, 27:25, | 13:17 |
| 23:7, 43:18, | 12:3, 12:20, | 29:9, 29:11, | rocks |
| 43:19, 62:18, | 13:25, 14:1, | $30: 7,30: 11$, | 118:12 |
| 62:23, 64:8, | 20:19, 20:24, | $30: 13,30: 17$, | rockville |
| 64:12, 94:15, | 21:21, 22:12, | 30:18, 30:21, | 48:24 |
| 95:10, 95:11, | 30:6, 42:7, | $30: 22,30: 25$, | rode |
| 96:9, 96:11, | 45:25, 46:15, | $31: 11,31: 19$, | 75:19 |
| 96:12, 98:14, | 47:18, 48:3, | $31: 25,32: 5$, | roll |
| 99:7, 100:8, | 49:24, 50:3, | 32:9, 32:10, | 7:13, 116:15 |
| 100:9, 128:4 | 50:4, 50:25, | 34:2, 35:12, | rolling |
| restroom | 51:20, 55:21, | 40:11, 42:12, | 102:4 |
| 38:25, 72:14, | 58:10, 58:14, | 42:18, 42:22, | roof |
| 72:16, 72:18, | 58:23, 59:2, | 47:4, 47:8, | 117:21 |
| 72:21, 73:5, | 59:15, 63:11, | 48:1, 48:9, | rooftop |
| 73:12, 74:19 | 66:11, 66:15, | 48:12, 51:3, | 50:10 |
| restrooms | 66:21, 69:15, | 51:8, 55:4, | rooftops |
| 73:14 | 70:24, 71:15, | 55:9, 57:8, | 50:5, 50:17, |
| result | 77:10, 78:18, | 57:11, 57:18, | $53: 23,54: 25$ |
| 62:7 | 79:18, 80:15, | $\begin{array}{ll} 59: 5, & 59: 6, \\ 60: 4, & 60: 23 \end{array}$ | $57: 15$ |
| retired | $80: 20,82: 5$, $84: 23,85: 22$, | $\begin{array}{ll} 60: 4, & 60: 23, \\ 61: 2, & 61: 5, \end{array}$ | rookie |
| 102:10 | $\begin{array}{ll} 84: 23, & 85: 22, \\ 85: 24, & 86: 9, \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 61: 2, & 61: 5, \\ 61: 7, & 61: 16, \end{array}$ | $103: 18$ |
| return | $87: 21, \quad 89: 12,$ | $62: 3,62: 8,$ | room |
| 117:15 | $89: 21,89: 25$ | $62: 18,62: 23,$ | 12:9 |
| reveal $10: 9$ | 90:6, 90:7, | $62: 25,63: 4$ | root |
| review | 90:10, 91:24, | 63:12, 63:18, | $68: 13$ roots |
| 2:25, 9:21, | 93:5, 93:12, | $63: 21, \quad 69: 14,$ | 128:4 |
| 107:7, 126:9, | 94:17, 100:2, | 69:15, 69:16, | ropes |
|  |  |  | 82:23 |
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|  |  | ```101:17, 101:20, 117:2, 117:20, 117:21, 117:22, 119:19, 121:2, 121:3, 127:17, 129:24, 132:2, 132:8, 132:11 seeing 51:21, 121:4 seem 117:5 seemed 37:7, 103:15, 124:7 seems 4:16 seen 43:2, 69:24, 112:24, 117:2, 131:25, 132:13 segments 64:11 sekerak's 10:14 selected 66:11, 66:20 sell 129:7 send 85:23 sense 13:23, 27:23, 54:18, 60:7, 125:23, 130:1 sent 97:18 sentence 61:12 separate 62:17 september 26:18, 29:8 septic 25:5, 32:20, 36:1, 36:2, 106:24, 107:13 serve 30:19``` | ```served 27:3, 102:11, 129:12 service 38:6, 83:1, 102:17 serviced 19:3, 39:13 services 19:2, 19:7, 19:8, 21:6, 27:3, 38:7, 81:16, 85:2, 102:17, 109:12, 129:12 set 2:22, 3:1, 16:10, 38:6, 38:15, 116:11, 122:11, 122:12 setting 33:6, 41:23, 44:10, 44:16 several 42:1, 107:20, 110:9 severe 33:22 sewer 26:16, 27:4, 34:19, 35:15, 35:25, 40:11, 41:17, 41:18, 123:14, 129:13 share 8:18, 47:14, 65:17, 109:2 share-the-screen 6:22, 7:2 sharing 102:7 sheer 73:7 sheet 73:13 short 40:13, 40:14, 48:10, 81:24,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 91:21, 100:7, | side | 46:15, 65:14, | situation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 102:7, 117:24, | 43:16, 44:14, | 88:19, 99:1, | 37:2, 43:24, |
| 121:11, 125:23 | 50:18, 56:14, | 113:5, 121:10, | 68:20 |
| shorter | 79:1, 79:2, | 131:19, 132:5, | six |
| 52:12, 122:5 | 129:4, 130:18 | 132:16, 133:2 | 40:21, 102:11, |
| should | sight | sit | 116:23, 131:13 |
| 2:16, 7:12, | 55:6 | 21:7, 46:8 | six-foot |
| 15:23, 30:12, | sign | site | 59:22 |
| 38:6, 58:24, | 49:2, 92:6, | 14:8, 16:2, | size |
| 73:11, 74:24, | 92:7, 92:11, | 16:3, 16:21, | 18:11, 18:12, |
| 92:12, 104:25, | 93:6, 93:13, | 17:16, 19:25, | 18:15, 19:15, |
| 107:3, 107:11, | 93:14, 93:16, | 20:1, 20:7, | 34:4, 49:5, |
| 108:17, 116:7, | 93:21, 113:16, | 25:8, 29:24, | 83:13, 95:5, |
| 116:21, 117:7, | 115:8, 115:10, | 36:3, 36:4, | 127:25 |
| 123:11, 125:23 | 115:17 | 49:7, 49:9, | sizes |
| shouldn't | signage | 50:3, 52:3, | 123:8 |
| 109:4 | 53:10, 53:12, | 52:22, 53:1, | skip |
| show | 57:11, 117:11 | 54:3, 54:11, | 14:1, 38:1 |
| 3:17, 17:9, | signature-p1kal | 55:1, 55:2, | slide |
| 29:21, 44:6, | 134:12 | 59:1, 59:21, | 14:12 |
| 51:11, 57:21, | significant | 61:1, 64:7, | slightly |
| 59:3, 73:19, | 28:18, 40:10, | 64:16, 74:11, | 10:17, 15:24 |
| 92:1, 92:2, | 88:22 | 78:25, 81:16, | slope |
| 92:3, 116:13 | signs | 82:15, 83:5, | 103:9 |
| showed | 109:4 | 83:20, 83:22, | slopes |
| 94:18, 123:24, | silent | 84:1, 84:13, | $52: 5$ |
| 124:12 | 16:25, 17:1 | $84: 19,85: 5,$ | small |
| showing | similar | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 10, ~ 91: 10, \\ & 92: 9 . \end{aligned}$ | 16:4, 16:6, |
| 28:5, 59:1, | 29:19, 40:12, | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 9, \quad 93: 13, \\ & 94: 13, \quad 97: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $20: 8, \quad 29: 25$ |
| 94:12, $94: 14$ shown | $\begin{array}{ll}43: 22, & 50: 15, \\ 68: 19, & 70: 1,\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 13, \\ & 106: 6, \\ & 106: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $32: 17,44: 25$ |
| shown $115: 15,131: 17$ | $\begin{array}{lll}68: 19, & 70: 1, \\ 77: 25, & 78: 21\end{array}$ | $106: 6,106: 17$, $106: 23, ~ 112: 15$, | $45: 2, \quad 45: 3$ |
| shows | 79:12, 95:23 | 114:2, 114:8, | 103:12, 108:12, |
| 14:21, 15:22, | similarly | $116: 11,117: 13$, $118: 2,118: 5$, | 112:16, 119:18, |
| $17: 10, ~ 31: 24$, $37: 3,47: 15$, | 50:13, 72:22 simply | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 118: 2, & 118: 5, \\ 118: 7, & 118: 10, \end{array}\right.$ | $122: 18$ |
| $37: 3, ~ 47: 15$, $48: 9,55: 21$, | simply | $\begin{aligned} & 188: 1,118: 10, \\ & 118: 15,119: 17, \end{aligned}$ | smaller |
| $48: 9,55: 21$, $56: 11, ~ 59: 15$, | 60:4, 87:15, | $\begin{array}{ll} 18: 15, & 19: 1 /, \\ 119: 24, & 122: 15, \end{array}$ | 22:23, 24:24, |
| $56: 11, ~ 59: 15$, $67: 4,75: 25$, | 103:13 | $124: 17$ | 27:11, 27:14, |
| 67:4, 75:25, $83: 18, ~ 91: 4$, | since | sites | 27:21, 34:5, |
| 83:18, $91: 4$, $94: 8, ~ 94: 9$, | 12:18, 33:20, | $16: 15,83: 11,$ | 43:1, 43:10, |
| $94: 8, ~ 94: 9$, $95: 2, ~ 95: 3$ | 38:7, 43:4, | $83: 12, \quad 83: 17,$ | 50:5, 129:22, |
| 95:2, 95:3 shut | 51:15, 59:6, | $84: 5, \quad 84: 11,$ | 129:24 |
| 111:8 | 59:7, 86:5, | $102: 19,102: 21$ | snow |
| 111:8 shutting | 93:17, 115:15 | sits | 19:1, 20:2, |
| 86:22 | single | 127:15 | 32:16, 106:6, |
| sic | 96: sir | sitting | snows |
| 114:17 | 4:18, 46:3, | 120:1, 120:4 | 106:7 |
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|  | ```6:24, 17:16, 82:13, 85:11, 85:21, 117:17, 125:14, 125:23 somewhat 24:6 somewhere 93:24 sophisticated 33:1 sorry 3:18, 7:14, 14:10, 24:12, 35:10, 36:17, 37:24, 42:25, 46:4, 51:19, 52:20, 53:6, 54:22, 63:19, 68:7, 71:16, 79:25, 87:14, 88:12, 94:21, 99:15, 110:5, 121:10 sort 69:17, 115:21, 117:6, 128:9 sorts 32:16 sound 80:23, 120:16, 131:15 sounded 118:11 sounds 7:17, 11:25, 45:25, 62:13, 80:20, 117:18, 117:23 south 4:23, 22:13, 25:16, 51:9, 68:1, 91:6 speak 4:11, 5:15, 6:12, 34:16, 37:10, 41:25, 101:14, 104:15, 115:2``` | speaker <br> 8:3, 8:15, 8:20 speaking <br> 4:24, 5:3, <br> 5:11, 6:1, 6:4, <br> 6:13, 7:11, 20:9 <br> special <br> 24:11, 24:13, <br> 32:2, 33:5, <br> 33:7, 33:15, <br> 33:19, 38:22, <br> 39:8, 69:25, <br> 70:15, 72:24, <br> 125:7 <br> species <br> 83:14 <br> specific <br> 8:24, 9:2, 9:19 <br> specifically <br> 61:8, 61:18, <br> 79:18, 83:12 <br> specified <br> 118:3 <br> speculation <br> 34:11, 34:16 <br> speeches <br> 9:11 <br> speeding <br> 21:15 <br> spell <br> 90:11 <br> spelling <br> 46:21 <br> spent <br> 41:23 <br> spreading <br> 118:8 <br> square <br> 48:25, 52:14 <br> staff <br> 16:2, 16:7, <br> 16:9, 16:21, <br> 20:1, 20:9, <br> 22:15, 22:20, <br> 23:2, 23:9, <br> 24:2, 24:15, <br> 24:19, 25:1, <br> 25:8, 25:11, | ```25:21, 25:25, 26:2, 30:9, 31:9, 31:10, 31:19, 31:22, 31:24, 35:23, 37:18, 39:1, 42:21, 44:24, 73:6, 106:16, 106:19, 107:2, 107:14, 108:24, 122:15, 128:8 staff's 106:22 stage 122:11 stamp 81:7 standard 3:2, 45:9, 60:5, 60:9, 79:24, 80:12, 119:11, 126:17 standards 2:22, 33:17, 38:7, 38:10, 38:13, 38:15 standpoint 55:8, 64:9, 82:14, 95:24 stands 92:17 stantec 4:1, 12:15, 13:6, 46:24 start 2:7, 3:10, 6:11, 10:2, 12:5, 13:11, 15:24, 34:25, 36:15, 46:14, 46:20, 47:19, 47:23, 51:21, 62:10, 62:11, 62:16, 72:17, 75:10, 90:15, 104:25 started 2:19, 10:1,``` |
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| $20$ | 2715 | $133: 5$ | 72:14, 122:11, |
| 22:21, 49:9, | 24:11 | $41$ | 124:12 |
| 52:4, 125:20 | 2716 | $30: 10,31: 18,$ | $54$ |
| 200 | 24:11 | $31: 20, \quad 126: 23$ | 27:16, 27:17, |
| 22:13 | $28$ | $42$ | 27:18, 29:20, |
| 2012 | 36:21 | 17:4, 35:5, | 42:14, 122:11, |
| 15:11 | 2807 | 77:3, 77:7, | 126:11, 129:23 |
| 2015 | 25:21 | 77:11, 81:6, | 55 |
| 24:12 | 29 | 81:9, 82:2, | 82:2, 82:6 |
| 2016 | 55:15, 55:18 | 110:7, 110:8, | 59 |
| 106:22, 106:25 | 2is | 111:17, 123:23 | 2:23, 3:3 |
| $2020$ | 115:9 | 45 | 59.7 |
| 15:12, 43:11, | 2nd | 16:10, 20:16, | 9:16 |
| 86:22, 112:14 | 106:25 | 20:23, 125:12 | 5th |
| 2023 ( | 3 | 47 | 113:19 |
| 1:12, 134:16 | 3 | 37:11 | 6 |
| 21 | 31:21 | 48 | 6 |
| 40:8 | 3-plus | 55:13 | 16:8, 16:9, |
| 22 | 127:15 | 486895 | 117:14, 117:15 |
| 1:15, 2:4, | 3.5 | 1:23 | 6.3 |
| 28:23, 40:18, | 2:23, 19:7 | 49 | 25:6, 25:25 |
| 40:23 | 3.55 | 55:13 | 60 |
| 23 | 18:18, 125:4 | 4th | 22:20 |
| 24:15, 29:2, | 30 | 117:25 | 612 |
| 40:8, 126:22 | 1:13, 11:22, | 5 | 126:22 |
| 24 | 16:8, 16:9, | 5 | 62 |
| 20:3, 35:7, | 16:18, 24:20, | 11:15 | 31:21 |
| 84:6, 123:22 | 25:1, 25:6, | 5.77 | 68 |
| 247 | 64:13, 65:25, | 25:22 | 31:13, 31:25, |
| 36:21 | 116:12, 117:14, | 50 | 36:20 |
| 248 | 117:15, 117:16, | $25: 1,25: 3,$ | 6th |
| $36: 21$ | $125: 12,133: 3,$ | $64: 13,65: 25$ | 117:25 |
| $25$ | $133: 4$ | $97: 19$ | 7 |
| 25:21, 63:8 | 31 | 51 | 7 |
| 25538 | $24: 19,25: 21,$ | $13: 20,20: 15,$ | $116: 12, \quad 117: 16$ |
| 22:13 | 116:11, 133:10 | $20: 18,44: 7,$ | $7.3$ |
| 25602 | $32$ | $58: 5, \quad 122: 10,$ | 3:3 |
| 114:1 | $12: 11,15: 1,$ | $122: 12$ | 73 |
| $269$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 18: 16, & 21: 8, \\ 22: 22, & 26: 9 \end{array}$ | $52$ | 38:12 |
| $38: 3, ~ 124: 20$ $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 22: 22, & 26: 9, \\ 44: 11, & 44: 16 \end{array}$ | 44:8, 58:6, | 7th |
| 1:12, 61:11, | 122:18, 127:15 | 5 | 91: |
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