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| 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 PROCEEDINGS | 1 looks like 21 binding elements. It looks like it's been a |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER: This is a public hearing in the | 2 lot of work. And so I do commend the parties for doing that. |
| 3 matter of local map amendment $\mathrm{H}-148$, the application of Corso | 3 MR. ROBINS: Thank you. |
| 4 DC, LLC requesting rezoning -- requesting to rezone property | 4 HEARING EXAMINER: But we're going to get into |
| 5 located at 7100 Connecticut Avenue, Chevy Chase, Maryland, | 5 that in a minute. Okay. And I think -- anyone else that is |
| 6 from the R-60 to the commercial, residential neighborhood | 6 going to be testifying or presenting witnesses? |
| 7 floating zone CRNF. And I'm not going to repeat all the | 7 MR. RUSH: Yes. I'mBarney Rush. I'm the mayor |
| 8 numbers. | 8 of the Town of Chevy Chase. |
| 9 My name is Lynn Hannan. I will -- I'm the hearing | 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. |
| 10 examiner. I will listen to what you have to say and consider | 10 MR. DALRYMPLE: Good morning. Bob Dalrymple with |
| 11 the evidence you present and I will write a report and | 11 Selzer Gurvitch on behalf of the Town |
| 12 recommendation to the County Council who makes the final | 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 13 decision in the case. If you disagree with the findings in | 13 MR. BOLT: Good morning. Ron Bolt on behalf of |
| 14 my report or my recommendation, you have the right to request | 14 the Town of Chevy Chase as well. |
| 15 oral argument before the council. Oral argument is based | 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay |
| 16 solely on the testimony and evidence that is presented today. | 16 MR. HOFFMAN: Good morning. Todd Hoffman, town |
| 17 So everything that you want to say, you should say it here. | 17 manager, Town of Chevy Chase. |
| 18 I'mjust going to go through a word about our | 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And any -- I see a Mr. |
| 19 remote hearings. We have -- hopefully we will be hyb | 19 Gary. |
| 20 soon. But we have some supply chain delays. But for the | 20 MR. ROBINS: He's one of our wi |
| 21 remote hearings, the hearing today is being held remotely via | 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Now I do see -- is the |
| 22 Microsoft Teams. And we do have some rules when we use in | 22 anyone here -- I see some names I do not recognize. Is there |
| 23 this platform Crosstalk, which means interrupting each <br> 24 other, please don't do that, because it makes it very | 23 anyone here that is not going to be called by any of the 24 Towns that wish -- is going to want to testify in this case? |
| 25 difficult for the court reporter to pick up what you're | 25 I see -- okay. Hearing none, we'll go forward. And just a |
| 6 | 8 |
| 1 saying. So if you wish -- | 1 word for those who -- I know there's lots of experience in |
| 2 I'm going to share my screen. I'm sure you're all | 2 this room, but -- or in this virtual room |
| 3 more than familiar with this, but -- whoops. Let me do this. | 3 But I will say for anyone else that the nature of |
| 4 At the top there is a -- at the top of your screen there | 4 our proceedings are informal with certain formalities. What |
| 5 the raise your hand button. If you want to be recognized | 5 you say will be under oath and recorded. You may be asked |
| 6 please use that. And I do monitor that. Now if you have an | 6 questions about the testimony and it's subject to cross- |
| 7 objection, you can interrupt. And then if someone hears an | 7 examination. The order of proceedings is the Applicant's |
| 8 objection, please just stop speaking and wait a few moments | 8 opening statements, the case in chief, cross-examination of |
| 9 until you're recognized. | 9 the Applicant's witnesses, the opposition -- any opposition's |
| 10 The hearing is also -- you'll see a recording and | 10 case, cross-examination of their witnesses, and then closing |
| 11 transcription box at the top. Now the session is being | 11 statements. Is there -- are there any other procedural |
| 12 recorded in Microsoft Teams and by the court reporter. The | 12 matters? Okay. |
| 13 official record is the court reporter's verbatim transcript. | 13 Hearing none, I just had a couple of questions. |
| 14 The Teams recording is used only as a backup for the reporter | 14 There is a graphic showing the building heights in the |
| 15 in case something is garbled. Okay. | 15 record. Let me get -- and my question was, is that graphic |
| 16 Will the parties identify themselves for the | 16 still valid given -- I saw something about the façade being |
| 17 record? | 1755 feet and the corners and the edges being another height. |
| 18 MR. ROBINS: Good morning. Steve Robins with the | 18 Is that graphic still valid? |
| 19 law firmLerch, Early, \& Brewer, here with my colleague | 19 MS. ROGERS: It is. |
| 20 Elizabeth Rogers. Do you want me to also identify all of our | 20 MR. ROBINS: It is. |
| 21 witnesses today? | 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And the other thing is, |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER: No. | 22 and we don't have to address it now. We can address in your |
| 23 MR. ROBINS: Okay. | 23 testimony. But I did have a question about the threshold |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. We're going to -- I | 24 findings I need to make because what I see that the parties |
| 25 commend the parties here for working together on this. It | 25 have agreed to is to defer the traffic impact, to later |
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proceedings. But there's no -- the binding element says you
have to work with them. And believe me, I trust all the parties to do that in good faith. But I have to make a finding that traffic isn't going to have a negative impact. So I say that as well. I don't see Nancy Randall on the list.

MR. ROBINS: She's here.
HEARING EXAMINER: She is? Okay. So I raised that in my emails prior to this. So that's a question I had. All right. With that, are there opening statements? And who -- I guess Mr. Robins or --

MR. ROBINS: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER: Or Ms. Rogers would start.
MR. ROBINS: Thank you. I appreciate it. So good
morning. It's a pleasure to see the Hearing Examiner and everyone else online virtually. Hopefully one day we will be able to do this back in person. But this is I guess the second best way to do this.

For the record, I'mSteve Robins with the law firm of Lerch, Early, \& Brewer. And as I mentioned before, I'm here with my colleague, Elizabeth Rogers, also fromLerch, Early, \& Brewer. And our law firm represents the Applicant in this case, Corso DC, LLC. Now with us on behalf of the Applicant are Tim Gary. You can actually see him online. Tim Gary with Galarie Senior Living. Grant Epstein who is to
my immediate right with Community Gray. We have Timothy
Hoffiman, Jane Przygocki, and Daniel Park with Soltez, our
civil engineer, land planner, and landscape architects
respectfully. We have Sarah Alexander with Torti Gallas +
Partners, who is our architect. And then Nancy Randall with
Wells \& Associate who is our traffic and transportation consultant.

I did have one procedural matter. We do have the
affidavit of posting. And I hope I can do this right. Let's
see. I'm going to show it on the -- I'm going to share my screen, okay?

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. ROBINS: I don't -- I'm going to let Liz and share my screen. Okay. So we have the affidavit of posting as well as the draft declaration of covenants that reflect the --

HEARING EXAMINER: I wondered about that. Okay.
MR. ROBINS: Yeah, that was the currently proposed binding elements.

HEARING EXAMINER: Now --
MR. ROBINS: And we would like to submit them into
the record. And of course when the hearing is over, we will
immediately get them over to the Hearing Examiner in the procedures that you suggest we do that.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I don't -- we can't post
these in real time online. Does anyone have an objection to accepting them now? And I had a question on the covenants. Do they reflect -- there's two things, the binding element have been changed -- were changed by the Planning Board.

MR. ROBINS: Right.
HEARING EXAMINER: To these --
MS. ROGERS: The binding elements reflect the changes made both by staff through their staff report and then by the Planning Board and the Planning Board transmittal. Like you can see here, this was the extra sentence that the Planning Board added about coordination with Section 3 to binding element 2. So all of those changes have been reflected.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. But also the FZP, the floating zone plan, has that been amended to update the 6 binding elements --
(Crosstalk)
MS. ROGERS: -- introducing that as an exhibit during our expert testimony.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Now this is a little -1 okay. Could you stop? Do any of the parties object? I know you haven't seen this before. Do any of the parties object 3 to admitting it now? Or would you like to -- I have to leave the record open for 10 days anyway to get the transcript into the record. Does anyone object to admitting it now? Or would you like an opportunity to comment?

MR. DALRYMPLE: I think on behalf of the Town, we don't have a problem with it being admitted now. And I trust that the binding elements that have been agreed upon between the Applicant and the Town are reflected in that document. I do think that it is, in a way, a bit of a living document meaning that to the extent binding elements change or there are additional binding elements that come about as a result of this hearing, that there may be a need to further amend 0 the document before it's considered to be final in the record, if that makes sense.

HEARING EXAMINER: I completely agree. So this -admitting it doesn't mean the binding elements are set in stone. It just means that we're admitting it as evidence for reflecting the current stage.

MR. DALRYMPLE: Right.
HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Thank you for presenting them. We will add them to the record, but we can't put them on the website at the moment.

MR. ROBINS: Okay.
MS. ROGERS: Ms. Hannan, let me clarify. As we go through we will have a few additional exhibits. So can we do
23 note which one will be Exhibit 52 and which will be Exhibit
43 for the declaration and the affidavit of posting, just to 25 follow along?

| 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Say that again. | 1 residential care facility for over 16 persons including a |
| 2 MS. ROGERS: I just wanted to -- when we've done | 2 senior care facility containing independent living, assisted |
| 3 these virtual proceedings we'll note what new exhibit number | 3 living, and memory care uses on the property. A unique |
| 4 will go with each exhibit that we are introducing into the | 4 feature of the property is the dense existing tree canopy |
| 5 record. | 5 forests that surround the property on three sides and |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Right | 6 provides both a visual and physical buffer from the |
| 7 MS. ROGERS: So if it's okay with you | 7 surrounding residential community, which we are largely |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, the affidavit will be | 8 maintaining and preserving with this application. |
| 9 Exhibit 52. And the declaration will be Exhibit 53. | $9 \quad$ As such, there are limited views of the buildings |
| 10 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. | 10 from the surrounding neighborhood. However, as our team will |
| 11 MR. ROBINS: Okay. And then the last just | 11 describe, the Applicant has paid particular attention to |
| 12 procedural matter is that when we call our witnesses, we're | 12 ensuring compatibility of the project's design with the |
| 13 going to have -- we're going to use a PowerPoint presentation | 13 surrounding neighborhood and has worked closely with the |
| 14 that will have images that our expert, experts plan to | 14 various stakeholders, particularly the Town of Chevy Chase, |
| 15 reference during the testimony. Many of these images are | 15 the municipality in which the property is situated, to |
| 16 already in the record. They are just compiled in one place | 16 accomplish this goal. |
| 17 And then there are a few new images that will have some -- | 17 The Montgomery County Planning Board reviewed and |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. You're supposed to put | 18 voted to unanimously recommend approval of the LMA at the |
| 19 everything -- submit everything two days in advance so we can | 19 regularly scheduled meeting on March 9, 2023. The Planning |
| 20 get it on so that everybody can see it. To the extent that | 20 Board recommended modifications to certain binding elements |
| 21 there is new images, you're going to have to identify the | 21 in response to the Town of Chevy Chase and community |
| 22 images and send us the PowerPoint and I'll have to -- all | 22 testimony. The Applicant agreed to these modifications as |
| 23 have to -- no, I'm not objecting. I'll have to ask if | 23 reflected in the Planning Board's transmittal letter. And to |
| 24 there's any objections. | 24 follow up on your question, is also shown in the covenants |
| 25 MR. ROBINS: I understand. | 25 and also in the floating zone plan that we will be using and |
| 14 | 16 |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER: All right? | 1 then introducing those binding elements as modified are on |
| 2 MR. ROBINS: I understand. | 2 that plan as well. |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER: It's a little like old home | 3 Over the past year the Applicant has engaged in |
| 4 week. All right. | 4 meaningful and extensive community outreach, particularly |
| 5 MR. ROBINS: Okay. Can I keep -- can I just keep | 5 with the immediately surrounding neighbors in the Town of |
| 6 going? | 6 Chevy Chase, to work towards consensus on the application |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER: You may. Just go. | 7 that met the Applicant's needs, but also insured the end |
| 8 MR. ROBINS: Okay. | 8 product would be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Does no more exhibits, okay? | 9 and fit in well with the Town of Chevy Chase, particularly as |
| 10 MR. ROBINS: No. Well -- okay. So as the Hearing | 10 I mentioned before, since this property is within the town's |
| 11 Examiner is aware from the application materials, the | 11 municipal limits. |
| 12 Applicant is here today to request approval of the local map | 12 The Applicant engaged in extensive outreach that |
| 13 amendment to rezone the national 4-H conference center | 13 you will hear about which resulted in the application that is |
| 14 property located at 7100 Connecticut Avenue from the R-60 | 14 before you with the binding elements, and supported by the |
| 15 zone to the CRNF zone. And I'll do the same thing as you, | 15 Town of Chevy Chase. The Applicant, and we think the Town |
| 16 not mention all the taglines that go along with that. That's | 16 would agree, that this agreement and relationship is a |
| 17 in the record. | 17 significant accomplishment that we are all proud of. |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. | 18 We are pleased to say that as a result of these |
| 19 MR. ROBINS: Of course. To allow for the | 19 collaborative working efforts and the genuine desire by all |
| 20 rezoning - | 20 to reach consensus, the Applicant was able to revise the |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER: They told me they were going to | 21 plans to address the comments and concerns raised by the town |
| 22 simplify the zoning ordinance. Okay. Go ahead. I'mjoking. | 22 and other stakeholders and planning staff. The agreed-upon |
| 23 MR. ROBINS: No, I -- well, I didn't want to | 23 plan revisions are reflected in the application materials |
| 24 comment on that, but that's actually true. For the | 24 that are and will be before the hearing examiner. |
| 25 redevelopment of the long-standing institutional use with a | 25 I do want to publicly thank the town, its mayor, |
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Mr. Gary, what you believe the site is so well-suited for the
proposed residential care facility. When I refer to the site
I'mobviously referring to the property in question.
    MR. GARY: Yes. Galarie Living chose the site
because it has great proximity to families which provide
great dignity to families and residents to age gracefully in
a beautiful amenity in this location. Second of all, there
is a huge unmet demand for senior living in this market. And
also, we saw this as a long-standing institutional property
that provides a unique opportunity to redevelop the site with
a more compatible residential use.
    MR. ROBINS: Thank you. What levels of care are
you proposing for this senior living facility?
    MR. GARY: We are proposing an independent living,
assisted living, and memory care services.
    MR. ROBINS: And can you briefly describe the
difference between the three?
    MR. GARY: Yes. Independent living has minimal
levels of care and assistance when needed or on demand.
Assisted living is an additional level of care. It has
qualifications around activities of daily living which we
provide, which include food, medication, and activities such
as bathing and grooming. Memory care is a more secure space
with the same activities of daily living services.
    MR. ROBINS:Thank you. And how many units and
```

beds are proposed for this facility?
MR. GARY: We have proposed 287 independent
dwelling units, 190 assisted living, and 30 memory care.
MR. ROBINS: And approximately how many employees
will be employed at the facility? I know it's early on in
the process, but just at least what's your thinking now.
MR. GARY: We presently envision our community
will have approximately 150 people on staff at any one time.
The first shift would have approximately 40 . The second
shift would have approximately 30 . And the third shift would
have approximately 12 .
HEARING EXAMINER: Can I interrupt? I didn't pick
up -- you said 150 staff at one time?
MR. GARY: No, ma'am.
HEARING EXAMINER: No, total?
MR. GARY: Total.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. ROBINS: Yeah. I was going to actually go
back to that because it may have been a little confusing.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So it's --
MR. ROBINS: I think the answer is total.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is it 40 for the first
shift?
MR. GARY: Yes, ma'am
HEARING EXAMINER: And then what was -- what were
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the other shifts?
MR. GARY: It's approximately 30 on the second
shift, and approximately 12 on the third shift.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, thank you.
MR. ROBINS: And the third shift, just -- the
first shift would be in the morning, afternoon second shift,
and then the evening is the third shift. Is that your
thinking?
MR. GARY: Yes.
MR. ROBINS: Okay. And what type of employees
will be employed at this development?
MR. GARY: Yes. So we will have our
administrative staff. We will have the food and beverage
4 staff which will include chefs, assistant cooks, waitstaff,
5 bus people, people in the food and beverage community. We
6 will have marketing teams. We will have maintenance. We
7 will have a security team, valet teams, and doormen. We will
8 have activities, nurses, med techs, and CNAs.
MR. ROBINS: And --
MR. DALRYMPLE: I'm not very good at math. But 1 the numbers that he indicated don't add up to 150 , at least 2 by way of my math.

MR. GARY: Yeah, you're correct. Because what we 4 have is that we will have seven day. And so we have multiple people hired to cover the seven day timeframe. And so then
it goes -- so your average employee is running about 35 to 40
hours a week.
MR. DALRYMPLE: Thank you for that.
HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I'm confused. I'm not good at math. So it's 150 total employees?

MR. GARY: Yeah, this is an approximate number.
I'm not giving you an exact number.
HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, the shiffs don't reflect
the employees because you have part-time employees?
MR. GARY: Yes, ma'am. We have part-time
employees, full-time employees. And we have to cover seven days a week 365 days a year. So we also have holidays and so we have to cover time off, sick days and so forth.

HEARING EXAMINER: Correct. I understand. Thank you. Mr. Dalrymple's math is better than mine. Okay, go ahead.

MR. GARY: Yes. Just to give you an example of some of those healthcare services that we will have on site,
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we will have certified med techs who will provide medication management. We will have nurses on site that will oversee the activities of daily living for the site. And then we will have a food and beverage team And it is necessary to have all of these -- it's essential to have all of these components to be qualified as assisted living because that's part of the requirement to provide food, medication management, and the activities of daily living.

MR. ROBINS: And Mr. Gary, what kind of amenities are unique to this proposed facility?

MR. GARY: Yeah, this project is very unique and it's been exciting to work with the community because they've embraced some of the most exciting parts of the amenity packages that we like to see. And that is we have indoor and outdoor amenity spaces in which we can have intergenerational connectivity. It allows us to create dignified spaces and comfortable spaces for families to interact and enjoy. Some of the amenity spaces within the building are a theater, spa, pool, a greenhouse, clubhouse.
We have multiple lounges. They have various restaurants. And we have three chef prepared meals per day which are of high quality. We also provide transportation services for off-site excursions. The transportation could also include transportation to organized community services, volunteer events, holiday events, and planned social events.

Our residents continue to say very active in the community and continue to dissipate in their volunteer services. And we make sure that they can do that with these transportation services. We also provide limited shop spaces. This is also very important to us to make sure that we can continue to support that intergenerational connectivity between the families and the residents and the local residents who are within walking distance.

MR. ROBINS: Will the faciility provide any sort of regional connectivity for the residents to access off-site services and amenities?

MR. GARY: Yes. We provide private transportation to medical appointments, the local shopping, and local social events. The exact operation of the shuttle varies based on the community. We currently anticipate it will run seven days a week. During the week you have most of your medical services and social events. Weekends you have theater events and local religious services. This site is also very blessed to be next to public transportation. It is connected to various bus routes and the proximity to the fiture purple
line and (indiscernible) Metro station. So we're very excited about that.

MR. ROBINS: Is there anything else that you would like to add for the record?

MR. GARY: I just like to say I'm very thankfiul
that the community has worked with us through this very long procedure. But it has been a necessary process for us to come to the high detail in which we've been able to design this community. And we're very thankful that we were able to accommodate work to a lot of these accommodations in the redesign so that we could meet the needs of all of the stakeholders in the community. We look forward to continuing this process. We know it is not complete. We know we will continue to have many more meetings. And last of all, we look forward to being a long-term amenity to this community.

MR. ROBINS: Thank you. That's all I have for Mr. Gary.

HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Does anyone have any questions? And anyone who's on the call was not represented can ask questions as well. But will start with Mr. -- does anyone have any questions for this witness based on his testimony?

MR. DALRYMPLE: Not from the Town.
HEARING EXAMINER: Anyone else? Okay. Hearing
none, you may be excused for the time being, athough I'm
sure you want to hang around. Thank you.
Mr. Robins, do you want to call your next witness?
MR. ROBINS: Yeah, I do. Thank you. I'm going to
call Grant Epstein, who is to my immediate right. Can you see him on the screen?
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1 HEARING EXAMINER: Just a minute. I have to unpin some names.

MR. ROBINS: So he's my immediate right.
HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, I can see him Thank you.
MR. ROBINS: Okay. Thank you, very much.
HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Epstein, please raise your right hand.

Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury that the statements you're about to make are the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. EPSTEIN: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead, Mr. Robbins.
MR. ROBINS: Thank you. Can you please state your full name, primary occupation, your business address, and your email address?

MR. EPSTEIN: Thank you. Thank you. Yes. Grant Epstein, I'm president of Community Three with a business address of 700 K Street Northwest, Washington, DC 20001. My email address is GWE@CommunityThree.com. And that's all spelled out; T-H-R-E-E.

MR. ROBINS: Thank you. Can you please provide a brief description of your professional background?

MR. EPSTEIN: Yes, I'ma real estate developer
with over 25 years experience in the real estate industry.
25 I'malso a licensed architect registered in the District of

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| lumbia. And I'm responsible for leading all of the | 1 all of the presentations were posted to the Town of Chevy |
| pany's development and entitlement activ | 2 Chase's website. We also held many smaller meetings with the |
| MR. ROBINS: Please provide some background on | 3 immediate surrounding neighbors to discuss the project. Some |
| Community Three's experience and what is your position with | 4 of them were held on street corners and others within the |
| mmmunity Three? | 5 living rooms of nearby residents. |
| MR. EPSTEIN: Community Three is a full-service | 6 We facilitated a walk-inar, which was new to me at |
| real estate development company that was founded in 2007. | 7 the time, in September 2022. And it focused on mainly the |
| 've developed over a dozen projects in and around the | 8 forest stand delineation and stormwater management and |
| ashington metropolitan area including several in Montgomery | 9 landscaping strategies that we would be looking at for this |
| anty during this time. We specialize in both adaptive | 10 project. The walk-inar was open to the public, shared on the |
| 11 reuse and ground-up projects, and emphasize neighborhood | 11 Town of Chevy Chase's website calendar, and attended by over |
| 12 integration and contextualism in each of those projects. | 1250 community members and included some of the County planning |
| MR. ROBINS: And are you familiar with the local | 13 |
| 14 map amendment application that is the subject to today's | 14 To further facilitate community interaction and |
| 15 hearing? | 15 understanding the project, we invited several of the town |
| MR. EPSTEIN: Yes | 16 council members and members of the town council special |
| 17 MR. ROBINS: And what has been your role in the, | 17 committee on the project to one of its similar projects in |
| 18 what I'll call the LMA proces? | 18 Atlanta, Georgia in April 2022. We also reached out to the |
| 19 MR. EPSTEIN: I'm the Applicant's point person for | 19 adjacent community groups including Section 3 of the village |
| 20 the LMA application. I manage and coordinate between all the | 20 Chevy Chase and held a virtual meeting with several members |
| 21 team members, consultants, and county staff. And I'm also | 21 of the Council and the village manager. We continue our |
| 22 responsible for community outreach. | 22 efforts cases from the community after fling the |
| MR. ROBINS: And can youpl | 23 application, and will contimue to do so throughout the entire |
| 24 community outreach that has been undertaken in connection | 24 process. |
| 25 with this proposed local map amendment? | 25 MR. ROBINS: And are you familiar with the binding |
| 30 | 32 |
| MR. EPSTEIN: Sure. Along -- as a long-term owner | 1 elements proposed by the application as modified through the |
| operator of course with Chevy Chase, community outreach | 2 Planning Board's transmittal letter? |
| f utmost important to us as we plan to be a part of this | 3 MR. EPSTEIN: Yes. These binding elements are the |
| mittee for quite some time. A primary focus of that our | 4 result of our highly collaborative process with the Town of |
| riven by our desire to ensure that the project is | 5 Chevy Chase and we are pleased that the town is supporting |
| 6 integrated and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood. | 6 this development, which is a testament to the result of this |
| We expended significant efforts to engage the | 7 collaboration. |
| 8 community and get feedback on the plan even before filing | 8 MR. ROBINS: And you're comfortable with those |
| application. Understandably, a large focus of | 9 binding elements? |
| 10 outreach was focused on the Town of Chevy Chase since the | 10 MR. EPSTEIN: Yes, we are comfortable with those |
| 11 property is within the municipal boundaries of the town. | 11 binding elements and the revisions proposed by the Planning |
| 12 We're going to be building something within the town and our | 12 Board. |
| 13 residents will ultimately be residents of the town. So it | 13 MR. ROBINS: Now as the expert will discuss in |
| 14 was very important for us to start off that process well. | 14 greater detail, there is a small amount of commercial density |
| 15 We did conduct broader outreach with the | 15 proposed by this application. Can you give some background |
| 16 surrounding mumicipalities in the greater community as well. | 16 on what that is? |
| 17 Several of our outreach efforts include several formal | 17 MR. EPSTEIN: Sure. These are really shops and we |
| 18 community meetings with the Town of Chevy Chase, notably in | 18 believe that shops is best to describe them, because they are |
| 19 September 2021, February 2022, and June 2022, where we shared | 19 primarily there to support the residents and their business |
| 20 our vision for the project and answer questions from the town | 20 and guests. However, we wanted to make sure that these were |
| 21 Council and the town select committee created specifically | 21 publicly accessible to serve as a community amenity. We |
| 22 for this purpose. | 22 think that the interaction between the residents in the |
| 23 We also answered questions from the members of the | 23 community will be a great benefit to this project and these |
| 24 community at large. These public meetings were webcas | 24 neighborhood serving uses are an organic way to facilitate |
| ell attended with between 100 and 200 attendees each. And | 25 those interests. |
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| 37 | 39 |
| :---: | :---: |
| HEARING EXAMINER: Then why have the parking demand analysis? <br> MS. ROGERS: Well, we've added the additional <br> parking demand analysis as additional safeguards give the <br> Town comfort that that requirement in the zoning ordinance which has been established by the County Council is going to provide a no parking on site through that there's no impacts to the surrounding neighborhood. And that binding element in particular requires that we will commission the parking demand analysis for on-site parking for all employees, visitors during the uses is adequate. <br> So I think by the very wording of that binding element and we are considering additional changes, is that the recommendations of that parking demand analysis will be implemented to ensure that adequate parking is provided on site. Additional safeguards in addition to those findings that the Hearing Examiner must make based on the code. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let me get to Ms. <br> Harney. Ms. Harney, did you -- you have had your hand raised. <br> MS. HARNEY: All I wanted to say that the <br> developer has gone through his whole presentation, Section 3 <br> would like to address our concerns both about parking and <br> traffic. So I would rather wait for them to go through their <br> whole presentation and then speak to the very narrow issues | that the statements you've made and the statements you will make are the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? <br> MR. RUSH: I do. <br> MS. HARNEY: I do. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: And later in the testimony <br> we're going to get your name and email address for the record. All right. So I will follow Ms. Harney's -- and Mr. <br> Rush, do you mind lowering your -- taking your hand down? <br> MR. RUSH: I hadn't finished my point. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. <br> MR. RUSH: You wanted me to take the oath before I <br> could finish my sentence. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. <br> MR. RUSH: Which I'm certainly willing to do. I <br> wanted to say I agree with Ms. Harney. I think it would be <br> best to have this conversation after we've heard from the <br> various testimony. But I do want to just make the point that <br> the parking study is something that very much a request <br> writing from the Town because we had seen only frankly what <br> we consider to be a rather gross miscalculation in metric for <br> the number of parking spaces. <br> And what we wanted to see with the detailed <br> buildup of what would be required by residents, what would be <br> required by employees, and what would be required by visitors |
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| of parking and traffic. If that's all right with you. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Section 3, when you say Section <br> 3, you're talking about the Village, not the town, correct? <br> MS. HARNEY: Section 3 of the Village of Chevy <br> Chase is directly opposite the -- <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Correct. Okay. And Mr. Rush <br> has his hand up. Ms. Harney, can you lower your -- make sure to lower your hand on the Teams? <br> MS. HARNEY: Yes. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Rush, your hand is up. <br> MR. RUSH: Sure. By the way, just for <br> clarification, there is Chevy Chase Village, which is a <br> completely separate municipality. And Ms. Harney represents <br> Section 3 of the Village of Chevy Chase. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: I understand. <br> MR. RUSH: Okay. You're clear with that, all <br> right. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. <br> MR. RUSH: I agree very much with what Ms. Harney <br> just said. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let me do this. Neither <br> of you were under oath. So can you both raise your right hand? <br> Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury | on peak days. And that's what we need to see to really come to our own determination as to the adequacy of the parking. And for the binding element, it's certainly our view, we are happy if there has to be some additional clarity of the language. <br> But our view is that indeed this does obligate the <br> Applicant to ensure that there will be enough parking, full stop. Obviously the study itself doesn't itself make that happen. But the recommendations arising from the study 10 could. And we would expect the developer to undertake those recommendations if they turned out to be different than what they had previously thought. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'mjust taking a note. <br> That's why -- all right. Thank you very much. Are there any questions of anyone who hasn't spoke? All right. Seeing none, now Mr. -- yes, you're very good at this. Okay. So let's -- I'm going to take Ms. Harney's suggestion to deal with this once we've heard all of the testimony. And we will revisit this later. And I apologize for interrupting your presentation, Mr. Robins. If you can -- if you're finished with this witness, we can proceed to your next witness. <br> MR. ROBINS: Yeah, we're done with this one. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, thank you. <br> MR. ROBINS: We're going to -- we are just going <br> to switch chairs. |


| 41 | 43 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. | 1 assistance with the preparation of the land-use report. |
| 2 MS. ROGERS: Okay. We are ready to proceed with | 2 MS. ROGERS: You mentioned that you assisted with |
| 3 our next witness if I could. | 3 the preparation of the land-use report. Did you prepare a |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Please raise your right | 4 written civil engineering report that was part of the record? |
| 5 hand. | 5 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, we assisted with the |
| 6 Do you solemnly affirmunder penalties of perjury | 6 preparation of the civil engineer components of the land-use |
| 7 that the statements you're about to make are the truth, the | 7 report, which is in the record as Exhibit 29A. |
| 8 whole truth, and nothing but the truth? | 8 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Have you or other members |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Please go ahead, Ms. Rogers | 9 of your firmmade a personal inspection of the subject |
| 10 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Can you please state your | 10 property? |
| 11 full name and primary occupation? | 11 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes. In addition to my colleagues, |
| 12 MR. HOFFMAN: I'm Timothy Hoffman. I'm a civil | 12 I visited the site many times. I'm very familiar with the |
| 13 engineer with a professional engineers license for the state | 13 property. |
| 14 of Maryland. | 14 MS. ROGERS: Great. As Mr. Robins mentioned, I'm |
| 15 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And can you please state | 15 going to share my screen to share a PowerPoint. Give me one |
| 16 it was her current employer, your full business address, | 16 momen |
| 17 your email address for the record? | 17 HEARING EXAMINER: So the PowerPoint will be |
| 18 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes. I'memployed by Soltez located | 18 exhibit -- I think we're 52, 53 |
| 19 at 3 Research Place, Suite 400, Rockville, Maryland, 20850. | 19 (Crosstalk) |
| 20 My email address is THoffman@SoltezCo.com | 20 HEARING EXAMINER: So this will be 54. |
| 21 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And how long have you | 21 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And for the ease of the |
| 22 been employed as a civil engineer? | 22 record, as you will see I think certain of these exhibits |
| 23 MR. HOFFMAN: For 23 year | 23 that are within the PowerPoint will get their own exhibit |
| 24 MS. ROGERS: Can you please describe - | 24 references. But we can address that when we get there. |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Let me just interrupt. Mr. | 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Then I -- |
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| 1 Hoffman has been qualified as an expert in civil engineering | 1 MS. ROGERS: And -- |
| 2 many times before OHZA. Is there anyone -- and his resume is | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: I take back what I said. And |
| 3 in the record. Is there anyone who would object to his been | 3 we'll do it page by page. Okay. Go ahead. |
| 4 qualified as an expert in civil engineering? | 4 MS. ROGERS: So just for the record, this is a |
| 5 MR. DALRYMPLE: No objections from the Town. | 5 reproduction of Exhibit 24 that's already in the record. Mr. |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Hearing none, I'm going | 6 Hoffiman, did Soltez prepare a natural resource inventory |
| 7 to qualify Mr. Hoffman as an expert in civil engineering. | 7 forest delineation for the project? |
| 8 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Mr. Hoffman, are you | 8 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, the NRIFSD has been approved by |
| 9 familiar with the local map amendment application that's | 9 Park and Planning, which is Exhibit 24. |
| 10 before the Hearing Examiner today? | 10 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Can you please describe |
| 11 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, I am. The LMA application | 11 the location and general characteristics of the property? |
| 12 request for rezoning for the 12.29 acre parcel of land | 12 For this, Ms. Robeson, I have a -- Ms. Hannan, sorry -- I |
| 13 located at 7100 Connecticut Avenue from R-60 zone to the | 13 have an aerial view of the property that we'd like to |
| 14 commercial residential neighborhood floating zone to allow | 14 introduce as an exhibit for the experts to use during their |
| 15 for the element of the long-standing institutional use with a | 15 testimony. |
| 16 more compatible with senior living community. | 16 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Are there any objections |
| 17 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And can you please | 17 to using this aerial photograph? Hearing none -- |
| 18 describe the scope of services being provided by Soltez with | 18 MR. DALRYMPLE: None from the Town. |
| 19 respect to civil engineering matters for the local map | 19 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Hearing none, I will |
| 20 amendment application? | 20 admit that as Exhibit 53. |
| 21 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes. The scope of services provided | 21 MS. ROGERS: 54, I believe. |
| 22 by Soltez includes analysis of the existing site and | 22 HEARING EXAMINER: I mean, I'm sorry, yes. You're |
| 23 suitability of the site for its use, preparation of site | 23 right. Okay, go ahead. |
| 24 layout plans including the floating zone plan, water and <br> 25 sewer, and the storm water management narrative, and | 24 MR. HOFFMAN: Thank you. The property is located 25 within the Town of Chevy Chase on the west side of |
| 25 sewer, and the storm water management narrative, and | 25 within the Town of Chevy Chase on the west side of |


| 45 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Connecticut Avenue within the Lower Rock Creek watershed. | 1 application when the building designs are finalized. |
| 2 The existing condition of the property includes various aging | 2 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Have you evaluated the |
| 3 buildings as well as the associated surface parking. The | 3 availability of water, sewer, gas, and other utilities at |
| 4 existing site access is off-- along Connecticut Avenue and | 4 this location? And are capacities adequate to serve the |
| 5 is proposed to be retained. There is pre-2000 storm water | 5 proposed development? |
| 6 management on the site consisting of oil rich separators | 6 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes. There is adequate electric |
| 7 which will be replaced with practices meeting the curren | 7 power, water, gas, and sewer available to property. The |
| 8 code. There are no threatened or endangered species on the | 8 property is within WSNC water category W1 and sewer category |
| 9 property. There are no floodplains or protected wheels on | 9 S1. |
| 10 the property in the property is not within a specific | 10 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Is the stormwater |
| 11 protection area. | 11 management concept plan required for this project? |
| 12 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Are there any streams, | 12 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, a storm water strategy |
| 13 wetlands, or other environmental features on the property? | 13 narrative has already been submitted describing how the storm |
| 14 MR. HOFFMAN: There are no streams and wetlands on | 14 water management will be addressed. The stormwater concept |
| 15 the property. There is existing forest which we are largely | 15 is not required for the LMA. The stormwater concept will be |
| 16 preserving through this applicatio | 16 submitted in connection with the preliminary site plan which |
| 17 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. For the record, this is | 17 will fully anticipate the concept plan as approvable. The |
| 18 just a production of Exhibit 32 from the record. Mr. | 18 stormwater concept required prior to the application for the |
| 19 Hoffman, can you please describe the particular access and | 19 sediment control permit. And the sediment control permit and |
| 20 circulation of the proposed project? | 20 stormwater approvals are required prior to construction of |
| 21 MR. HOFFMAN: Sure. There are two existing access | 21 the proposed improvements. |
| 22 points off of Connecticut Avenue that have long served the 4- | 22 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And although from your |
| 23 H conference center and are proposed remain. | 23 testimony it's not required for the approval of the local map |
| 24 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. | 24 amendment, can you please briefly describe the stormwater |
| 25 MR. HOFFMAN: Each -- | 25 strategies that will be employed on the site? |
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| 1 MS. ROGERS: Okay. Please, go ahead. | 1 MR. HOFFMAN: Sure. The proposed stormwater |
| 2 MR. HOFFMAN: Give you a little bit more. Each | 2 management system includes green roofs and micro-bio |
| 3 access point will provide one way vehicle movements with the | 3 retention facilities throughout the site in sequence with |
| 4 northern access point providing for the entrance or egress, | 4 underground structural treatment practices to provide the |
| 5 in the southern access point providing for the exit so as to | 5 required treatment volumes. The stormwater management design |
| 6 avoid merging and confusing traffic flows. The proposed | 6 will be further developed at the time the preliminary site |
| 7 roundabout seen on screen allows for exiting from the site | 7 plan -- I'm sorry -- preliminary and site plan and then |
| 8 without passing through the gates. And then the proposed | 8 finalized as part of the sediment control permit. |
| 9 gatehouse has two entrances to allow for both residents and | 9 MS. ROGERS: And I believe he stated this, but |
| 10 visitors. | 10 just so is clear for the record, is the property located in a |
| 11 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And did Soltez provide a | 11 special protection area? |
| 12 site distance analysis? And if so, what did it so | 12 MR. HOFFMAN: No, it is not. |
| 13 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes. The site distance analysis of | 13 MS. ROGERS: In your professional opinion, the |
| 14 the existing site distance at the existing access ports is | 14 proposed loading zone plan satisfy all applicable zoning |
| 15 adequate. No changes are proposed and as such the project | 15 requirements? |
| 16 will continue to meet the SHA site distance requirements. | 16 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, it does. |
| 17 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. For the record, this is a | 17 MS. ROGERS: And do you agree with the findings |
| 18 reproduction of Exhibit 31 from the record. Mr. Hoffman, is | 18 made by MNTPPC staff in their staff report? |
| 19 there adequate fire access provided at this site? | 19 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, I do. |
| 20 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes. Fire access is provided from | 20 MS. ROGERS: And is there anything else you would |
| 21 Connecticut Avenue with turning movements safely within the | 21 like to add? |
| 22 site for Montgomery County fire and service rescue vehicles | 22 MR. HOFFMAN: No. Thank you, very much. |
| 23 and serving adequate space for turning to the front and the | 23 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. That concludes our |
| 24 rear of the buildings. We will obtain approval for the fire | 24 questions of Mr. Hoffiman. |
| 25 access plan in connection with the preliminary site plan | 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Are there any |

the local map amendment application before the Hearing Examiner today?

MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, I am
MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Can you please describe your responsibilities with regard to the local map amendment application?

MS. PRZYGOCKI: I reviewed the 1990 approved and adopted Bethesda, Chevy Chase master plan and the zoning ordinance, and evaluated conformance with the message when and the zoning ordinance as well as compatibility of the proposed development with the surrounding neighborhood. Soltez participated in the review and preparation of the land report as Exhibit 45.
,

MS. ROGERS: I think for clarification the land report is Exhibit 29A of the record.

MS. PRZYGOCKI: Oh, excuse me. Yes, so Soltez 18 prepared or contributed to the preparation of the land use 8 report, Exhibit 29.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And have you made a personal inspection of the property that's subject to today's
local map amendment application? And are you familiar with the surrounding area?

MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, I have been to the site, website, and studied the neighborhood, drove around the entire neighborhood. And I'm familiar with the general area.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you. For the record, this is just an enlargement of the neighborhood without the delineation that is shown in the staff report, which is Exhibit 45 of the record.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MS. ROGERS: Ms. Przygocki, are you familiar with the surrounding neighborhood boundaries that were identified by staff and their staff report, which is Exhibit 45?

MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, I am And staff provided this document in page 7 of the staff report. Staff defines a neighborhood by major highways including East-West Highway to the north, Bradley Lane to the south, Brookville Road to the east, excuse me, and as shown in this diagram, Maple Avenue to the west.

MS. ROGERS: Was there any discrepancy between the exhibit shown in the staff report on page 7 and staff's
written description of the surrounding neighborhood?
MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes. In the text immediately above this exhibit, they describe the neighborhood as 20 being -- the western boundary being the western boundary of 21 the Town of Chevy Chase in the text. However, the graphic 22 shows it as being along Maple Avenue. I would agree more 24 a little bit large and this would be more remote site. So I 25 would definitely concur with their black line shown in the
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questions based on the questions that -- based on Mr.
Hoffman's testimony?
    MR. DALRYMPLE: None from the Town.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hoffman.
You may be excused, but you may want to hang around until we
get to the end of this.
    MR. HOFFMAN: Thank you.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Robins or Ms.
Rogers, do you want to call your next witness?
    MR. HOFFMAN: Yeah. She's walking over. Our next
witness will be Jane Przygocki if you would like to swear her
in inefore we begin questioning.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Sure. Please raise your right
hand. Do you solemnly affirm -- are you still sharing your
screen, Ms. Rogers?
    MS. ROGERS: Oh, I can stop and then re-share in a
moment.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Ms. Przygocki,
please raise your right hand.
    Do you solemnly affirm under penatties of perjury
that the statements you're about to make are the truth, the
whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
    MS. PRZYGOCKI: I do.
    HEARING EXAMINER: I'mjust going to briefly -- I
know she's got to state her name and address for the record,
Mr
MS. PRZYGOCKI: I do.
know she's got to state her name and address for the record,
```

but Ms. Przygocki has been accepted many times in OHZA cases
as an expert in land planning. I assume that's how you're
going to qualify her today.
MS. ROGERS: Yes, that's correct.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is there anyone that
objects to admitting -- her resume is in the record. Is
there anyone that objects to admitting Ms. Przygocki as an
expert in land plaming?
MR. DALRYMPLE: No objections.
HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Hearing none I'm
going to qualify her ahead of time as an expert land planner.
And you can go ahead with the name and address and email.
Thank you.
MS. PRZYGOCKI: All right. Thank you and good
morning. My name is Jane Przygocki. And I'm employed at
Soltez The address B2 Research Place, Suite 100 in
Rockville, Maryland, 20850. My email address is
JPrzygocki@SoltezCo.com. And I can spell that for you if
need be.
HEARING EXAMINER: I know how to spell your name.
MS. PRZYGOCKI: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER: I have spell checked it many
times. Go ahead.
MS. ROGERS: Okay, thank you. I am going to re-
share my screen. Well, Ms. Przygocki, are you familiar with
but Ms. Przygocki has been accepted many times in OHZA cases
as an expert in land planning. I assume that's how you're
going to qualify her today.
MS. ROGERS: Yes, that's correct.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is there anyone that
objects to admitting -- her resume is in the record. Is
there anyone that objects to admitting Ms. Przygocki as an
expert in land plamning?
MR. DALRYMPLE: No objections.
HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Hearing none I'm going to qualify her ahead of time as an expert land planner.
And you can go ahead with the name and address and email. Thank you.

MS. PRZYGOCKI: All right. Thank you and good morning. My name is Jane Przygocki. And I'm employed at Rockville, Maryland, 20850. My email address is JPrzygocki@SoltezCo.com.And I can spell that for you if need be.
20 HEARING EXAMINER: I know how to spell your name.
21 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER: I have spell checked it many
times. Go ahead.
share my screen. Well, Ms. Przygocki, are you familiar with
graphic.
MS. ROGERS: For clarification of the record, do you agree with staff's delineated boundary as shown in this exhibit, figure 1 , within the staff report?

MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, I do.
MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And in your professional opinion, I guess can you please briefly describe kind of what is the character of the surrounding neighborhood within the
affiliated boundary that's shown on the screen? And also
state in your professional opinion if the proposed
development will impact the character of the surrounding neighborhood?

MS. PRZYGOCKI: Well, the character of the surrounding neighborhood is largely residential in nature,
but it also includes some institutional uses including Chevy
Chase Elementary School and Chevy Chase United Methodist
Church in addition to the long-standing institutional use that the 4 -H property occupied. And I believe that the character of this neighborhood would be -- is -- would be the same whether they used the large boundary or the smaller boundary. It's largely residential.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And in your professional opinion, with the proposed development impact the character of that surrounding neighborhood?

MS. PRZYGOCKI: I think it will in a positive way.

The project has been very carefully designed. The significant feedback from the Town of Chevy Chase to ensure compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood and addressing all of their concerns and their wishes for the property. The project proposes to replace an institutional use with the residential use, which in my opinion would be more compatible with the surroundings.

And as the architect will testify to, the
buildings themselves have been designed to have a full appearance comparable with the character of the surrounding neighborhood. The bulk and the massing of the buildings have been designed to ensure compatibility with the scale of the surrounding homes. And setbacks are comparable to the building line on the west side of Connecticut Avenue as described by the cross Section on page 20 of the staff report.
(Crosstalk)
MS. PRZYGOCKI: That cross-Section--
MS. ROGERS: For later in your testimony.
MS. PRZYGOCKI: Okay. Well, I can just speak to
it. Inasmuch as the -- on the side of Connecticut Avenue
that the subject property is on, which is the west side,
there has already been additional dedication on that side of
the road and in order to meet the master plan right-of-way
for the future. So while the setback for the building from

## \section*{53} <br> 3

the right-of-way may be less than that and the buildings on
the opposite side of the street, they are actually very comparable in terms of their setback from the curb. I can tell you at the closest point, the building will be set back 44 feet from the curb. Although this is expressed on the plans as, for zoning purposes because that's how it's measured, as an 18 foot setback from the right-of-way. There's cross-Section here.

MS. ROGERS: And so this is that one of the Sections was in the staff report. The other one which is 11 taken at a different point on Connecticut was not. So for the record we would like to introduce this as a new exhibit in the record.
14 HEARING EXAMINER: And this would be 55 I think. 15 Hold on one second.
16 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Right. So in the town -HEARING EXAMINER: Wait, just stop. Stop talking, 8 please.
19 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry.
MS. PRZYGOCKI: That's okay.
HEARING EXAMINER: 55. Which one was in the record before? Which view?
24 MS. ROGERS: The aerial view was Exhibit 54.
25 That's what we put in the record last.

MS. PRZYGOCKI: Right. But I'm looking at this
slide. I'm going to call this Exhibit 55, compatibility
cross-Section. But which -- is at the top or bottom one that --

MS. ROGERS: I believe it's the top one that was not in the staff report. The bottom one was shown in the staff report. I could find it for you --
(Crosstalk)
HEARING EXAMINER: No, it's okay.
MS. ROGERS: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER: I'll take your word for it.
All right. Are there any objections to admitting this entire slide as Exhibit 55?

MR. DALRYMPLE: No objections.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Hearing none, I will admit this as Exhibit 55, the slide.

MS. PRZYGOCKI: Thank you Ms. Hannan. As you can 18 see, on the left, the red line is -- shows the location of 9 where the cross-Section was taken. And on the right is what that Section looks like in the cross Section and in real life. So as you can see, the distance to the homes from the curb to the building on the east side of the centerline of Connecticut Avenue, the buildings are set back 41 feet. And on the left side, the buildings are -- and that is from the curb --

| 57 | 59 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 (Crosstalk) | 1 MS. PRZYGOCKI: In addition, as Timothy Hoffman |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Wait just a second. Someone is | 2 mentioned, the particular access to the site will be |
| 3 talking in the background and it makes it difficult for me to | 3 maintained as it is today with a one way in and one way out |
| 4 hear. You can mute. And also, Ms. Przygocki, you can't use | 4 onto Connecticut Avenue. As detailed in the binding element |
| 5 left or right because the transcript doesn't understand that. | 5 number two, the Applicant will continue to coordinate with |
| 6 So I think what you're saying is the setback is 41 | 6 the SHA and the Town of Chevy Chase and Section 3 of C |
| 7 t | 7 Chase Village regarding the particular access in connection |
| 8 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Correct. And on the west side | 8 with the preliminary and site plan applications. |
| 9 the setback from the curb is 53 feet. On the diagram to th | 9 The project will also provide porosity and |
| 10 bottom in the plan view on the left -- excuse me. The plan | 10 connectivity for pedestrians of the neighborhood as there are |
| 11 view and then there is a cross-Sectional view. This is | 11 pedestrian connections from Thorn Apple that allow residents |
| 12 the narrowest point. Although it's identified as 18 feet | 12 in the surrounding neighborhoods to access the site as well |
| 13 from the property line, it is actually 44 feet from the | 13 as the access fromConnecticut Avenue. The project provides |
| 14 actual curb of the road. So the setback from the buildings | 14 environmental benefits such as modern storm water management |
| 15 from the curb line is actually very comparable and in this | 15 replacing the pre-2000 storm water management facilities with |
| 16 case more than that of the buildings on the opposite side of | 16 facilities that are compliant with current code. |
| 17 the street. And I know this was a concern for compatibility | 17 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. For the record, this is |
| 18 And I believe that this shows compatibility with the street | 18 just a reproduction of Exhibit 6 from the record, the |
| 19 Section and the character of the roadway. So in addition to | 19 certified zoning map. Ms. Przygocki, have you official |
| 20 that, the project will be substantially screened from view on | 20 zoning definity map for the property and the surrounding |
| 21 three sides. The project largely preserves the existing | 21 area? |
| 22 forest on the northern and western- | 22 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, I have. And as you can see |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Wait. Can you stop? Because | 23 from this exhibit, the zoning existing on the property is R- |
| 24 think -- which exhibit are we supposed to be looking at now? | 2460 as well as the surrounding neighborhood. |
| 25 MS. ROGERS: Well, I was just going back to the | 25 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And what is the proposed |
| 58 | 60 |
| 1 last exhibit that we had seen, which | 1 zoning classification of the property? |
| 2 reproduction, just to get back in order | 2 MS. PRZYGOCKI: The property is proposed to be |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Go | 3 zoned in the commercial residential neighborhood protein |
| 4 ahead. | 4 zone, or CRNF, with a different fee of 1.5 FAR with |
| 5 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Okay. So in terms of fitting in | 5 commercial 0.25 and residential being 1.25 FAR and a maximum |
| 6 with the character of the neighborhood, the project preserves | 6 height of 70 feet. |
| 7 the existing forest on the northern and western property | 7 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And as a residential care |
| 8 boundaries and a portion of the southern boundary with | 8 facility over six persons and retail service establishments |
| 9 provide both a visual and physical buffer from the | 9 up to 5000 square foot permitted in the CRNF zone? |
| 10 surrounding neighborhood. And as shown in the loading zone | 10 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, pursuant to Section 5.3.3.a. 1 |
| 11 plan, which we can call up, the setbacks proposed by the | 11 and 3.1.6 of the Montgomery County zoning ordinance, retail |
| 12 project significantly exceed that which is required by the | 12 and service establishments up to 5000 square feet are |
| 13 zoning ordinance. | 13 permitted by right in CRNF zone. And a residential care |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER: From the curb line? | 14 facility over 16 persons is permitted as a limited use in the |
| 15 MS. PRZYGOCKI: No, actually -- well, the setbacks | 15 CRNF zones subject to compliance with requirements of Section |
| 16 prescribed by the zoning ordinance are set from the property | 16 3.3.2.e.2.c.i of the zoning ordinance. That requirement for |
| 17 boundary, not from the curb. | 17 the limited use requires that the project after rezoning go |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay | 18 through a site plan review. So adding further protection to |
| 19 MS. PRZYGOCKI: But even so, the project proposes | 19 the community and interest of the County. |
| 20 setbacks that are well in excess of those setbacks as they | 20 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And as Mr. Epstein |
| 21 preserve forest that is within their setbacks in order to | 21 testified, the project will subsequently go through site plan |
| 22 provide the substantial buffer both visually and distance- | 22 review. So in your professional opinion, does the |
| 23 wise to the building and preserve a lot of the natural | 23 application meet those limited use standards for a |
| 24 characteristics of the site. | 24 residential care facility? |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. | 25 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes. |


| 1 | MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Can you please briefly -- |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | well, I will actually, just before we begin, Ms. Hannan, this |
| 3 | is a revised floating zone plan that was revised to reflect |
| 4 | the updated binding elements that we would like to introduce |
| 5 | to the record. |
| 6 | HEARING EXAMINER: And that will be Exhibit 56, |
| 7 | revised FZP. |
| 8 | MR. DALRYMPLE: No objection. |
| 9 | HEARING EXAMINER: Anyone else have an objection? |
| 10 | Okay. Hearing none, it will be admitted. |
| 11 | MS. ROGERS: Excuse me. Ms. Przygocki, can you |
| 12 | please briefly explain the overall planning concepts for |
| 13 | development proposal of this property? |
| 14 | $\quad$ MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes. Sarah Alexander will go into |
| 15 | greater detail in her testimony regarding architecture, but |
| 16 | regarding the other central concepts that were achieved here, |
| 17 | is preserving existing forest, to provide both physical and |
| 18 | mental barrier and buffer to the community, preserving site |
| 19 | access off of Connecticut Avenue, inclining development to |
| 20 | the existing areas of disturbance, and assuring compatible |
| 21 | building height with taller heights strategically oriented |
| 22 | towards the internal center of the property and stepping down |
| 23 | towards the neighborhood. |
| 24 | MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Next I'm going to switch |
| 25 | to some questions regarding master plan conformance. Ms. |
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Przygocki, can you please state for the record what is the relevant master plan for this property?

MS. PRZYGOCKI: It's the 1990 approved and adopted
Bethesda, Chevy Chase master plan.
MS. ROGERS: And have you reviewed the 1990
Bethesda, Chevy Chase master plan?
MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes.
MS. ROGERS: Based on your review of the master
plan and in your professional opinion, is the proposed
development in substantial conformance with the overall goals
and recommendations contained in the master plan?
MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, I would say it is. And I
would note for the record, that the master plan is over 30
years old and usually they forecast out roughly 20 years. So
it is a little bit past its life, but I think the policies
and the zoning changes that have occurred since then even
this would -- excuse me -- nevertheless, the project
substantially conforms with the goals and recommendations of
the plan and is reflective of the current land use policies
for the county.
MS. ROGERS: Does the master plan include any
site-specific recommendations for the property?
MS. PRZYGOCKI: No, it doesn't specifically
mention this site. However, it does make references to
institutional uses. And at the time the 4-H center was fully
functioning and stable and they did not anticipate any changes on this land to the use. However, they did mention that of the industrial uses that are in this area, that if they at some time were to change views, that it would be -if they were redeveloped, that the primary alternative would be to convert them to a residential use.

MS. ROGERS: And just for the record, I think you meant the existing industrial uses. Did you meet the existing institutional uses?

MS. PRZYGOCKI: I'm sorry. Did I say industrial? I meant institutional. My apologies. I could go on.

MS. ROGERS: Yes, do.
MS. PRZYGOCKI: The master plan include several overarching goals and recommendations which are pertinent to this project and includes an emphasis on the need to meet the housing and service needs of the elderly. It promotes the following goals including providing for a balanced housing supply so that persons of varying income level, age, backgrounds, and household characteristics may find suitable housing appropriate to their needs. And the project meets this objective by providing diverse housing opportunities on site that will help meet the needs of the surrounding community.

The project as Mr. Gary referred to, it includes a variety of unit sizes, a variety of layouts, three levels of care including independent living, assisted living, and memory care, and to allow residents of the existing community in the County to enjoy being able to age in place basically and stay in the neighborhood that they are very familiar with and have connections and ties to.

Another goal is -- of the master plan, is to protect the high quality residential communities throughout the planning area as well as the services and environmental -- qualities that enhance the area. Here I would say the project layout has been carefully designed to protect those qualities being the existing tree canopy that exists along the northern, western, and southern boundaries, and the natural screening provides a significant physical and visual barrier from the surrounding community, but it also protects the environmental benefits and features that the forest itself present.

The building design is compatible with the neighborhood and incorporates classic design elements and environmental -- and materials, excuse me, of the surrounding architecture. The third goal of the master plan is to
21 protect the natural resources and the environmental qualities of the planning area. This project will preserve and enhance 3 the natural resources that exist on the site and the 4 environment and provide for permanent protection through forest conservation easements.

| 65 | 67 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 It includes the protection of the steep slopes on | 1 provisions related to the CRNF zone? |
| 2 the side that exists along the west boundary and the natural | 2 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes. |
| 3 habitats within these areas. It also provides stormwater | 3 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Section 59-5.1.2 sets |
| 4 management on-site, replacing the outdated methods and | 4 forth the intents and purposes of the floating zones. Did |
| 5 facilities with current best practices. Fourth, the goal is | 5 you evaluate the rezoning application in connection with this |
| 6 to contribute to a strong sense of community and help | 6 s |
| 7 reinforce community cohesion. | 7 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes. |
| 8 I think we've already seen a great deal of | 8 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. There are three intents |
| 9 cooperation with the community and the Applicant seeks to be | 9 of the zone, first of which seeks to implement the |
| 10 good neighbor in the community as well as through their | 10 comprehensive planning objectives. That's 5.1.2.a of the |
| 11 planning efforts to bring this facility to the community. | 11 zoning ordinance. In your professional opinion, can you |
| 12 It's been thoughtfully designed to be compatible with and | 12 please explain how the proposed project furthers this goal? |
| 13 complement the character of the neighborhood. And as Sarah | 13 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes. Section 5.1.2.a lists three |
| 14 will describe, building architecture, it takes cues from the | 14 ways in which the intent to implement comprehensive planning |
| 15 architectural styles that exist and the architecture in the | 15 activities to be achieved. One, by for the goals of the |
| 16 community. | 16 control plan and the master plan for the area and functional |
| 17 And the master plan recognizes the special needs | 17 master plans. And as prescribed in my testimony earlier, the |
| 18 of our elderly also relates to our sense of the community | 18 project furthers that goal of the master plan by redeveloping |
| 19 that cares about our residents. And in this way the project | 19 this use as a -- that was an institutional use with a |
| 20 accomplishes this by providing a range of services for those | 20 residential senior living community that supports the needs |
| 21 that are over the age of 62 and would serve the County | 21 of the elderly, which is a master plan goal. |
| 22 residents and allow Chevy Chase residents to age in place. | 22 And two, by ensuring that the proposed uses are in |
| 23 And the master plan also supports increased housing densities | 23 balance with and supported by the existing and planned |
| 24 and types where compatible with nearby properties. | 24 infrastructure in the general plan, the applicable master |
| 25 And this is in the master plan on page 21. It | 25 plan and functional master plan staging and applicable public |
| 66 | 68 |
| 1 emphasizes the need to meet housing and services needs of | 1 facility requirements, the project is supported by existing |
| 2 the -- excuse me, I'm sorry. To meet the housing and service | 2 infrastructure and will be -- provide a compatible infill |
| 3 needs of the elderly which has also been identified as a need | 3 development in furtherance of smart growth principles. Just |
| 4 county wide. This project accomplishes both of these | 4 the fact that it is currently served and it is a |
| 5 objectives for the redevelopment of this long-standing | 5 redevelopment of an area currently served by utilities, |
| 6 institutional use with a full senior housing development that | 6 transit, transportation, and corridors and -- pedestrian |
| 7 is compatible with the surrounding neighborhood. | 7 corridors is testament to smart growth principles. |
| 8 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Did the Maryland National | 8 The third way is by allowing design flexibility to |
| 9 Park and Planning commission staff and the Planning Board, in | 9 integrate development to circulation that works, land-use |
| 10 making their recommendation, agree with your conclusions that | 10 patterns and natural features within and connected to the |
| 11 the proposed project is in substantial conformance with th 12 goals and recommendations of the master plan? | 11 property. The application of the floating zone in this 12 instance will allow the property to be integrated into the |
| 13 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, they did. The staff | 13 existing committee and will enhance the existing community. |
| 14 discusses the master plan on pages 21 through 23 and | 14 It will provide needed senior housing in some neighborhood |
| 15 specifically find conformance with the master plan as well as | 15 serving shops, which although they are primarily designed for |
| 16 the Planning Board transmittal adopts the findings of the | 16 the rest of, they will also be available to the visitors and |
| 17 staff report and specifically notes that the Planning Board | 17 the neighborhood. |
| 18 found the LMA application to be in harmony with the general | 18 It protects and maintains the tree canopy and |
| 19 intent and objectives of the master plan. | 19 steep slopes around the property and it puts that in |
| 20 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Just for the record, the | 20 permanent easements and provides a physical and visual buffer |
| 21 staff report is Exhibit 45 and the Planning Board transmittal | 21 to the property. And it is integrated with the existing |
| 22 memo is Exhibit 47 in the record. | 22 infrastructure and transportation facilities. |
| 23 Next, Ms. Przygocki, I'm going to ask you a few | 23 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. The second content |
| 24 questions related to development standards. Are you familiar 25 with the zoning ordinance for Montoomery County including its | 24 provided in 5.1.2.e is to encourage the appropriate use of 25 land Can you please describe how the project promotes the |
| 25 with the zoning ordinance for Montgomery County including its | 25 land. Can you please describe how the project promotes the |

## appropriate use of land?

MS. PRZYGOCKI: The intent to encourage the appropriate use of land here can be achieved by providing flexible ability to respond to a changing economic and demographic and planning trends. And specifically as master plans are developed, sometimes there is a space between when sexual maps are updated. So by providing flexibility into the zone, it can help achieve some of the goals of the master plan.

It allows various uses, building types, and density as determined by the property size and its base zone as well. And it ensures that the development satisfies basically sustainability requirements. The proposed floating zone meets this intent. It will allow the petitioner the flexibility to redevelop the long-standing institutional use with a compatible mixed-use predominantly residential.

The project promotes sustainability and smart growth principles through infill development. It is already served by public infrastructure, transportation, and services. The project will also provide the needed additional senior housing, which is a need in not only the Town of Chevy Chase, but the county at large. And I think by these methods it is very appropriate that this land-use be permitted and this be allowed.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And lastly, section
5.1.2.c, the content statement requires that established
neighborhoods be protected. Is it your professional opinion
that the project will protect and be compatible with the existing surrounding neighborhood?

MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, I do believe that the
Applicant has gone to great lengths to protect the
neighborhood, protecting the environmental aspects, and
protecting the neighborhood through compatibility and
engaging with the community to really have their input and -into the form and structure of the community.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Section 5.3.2 sets forth the purposes of the CR floating zones. Can you please describe how the project satisfies the purpose of a CR floating zone?

MS. PRZYGOCKI: So the purposes of the CR floating zones are one, to allow development of mixed-use centers and communities at a range of densities and heights flexible enough to respond to various settings, to allow flexibility and uses for a site, and to provide mixed-use element that is compatible with adjacent development. The proposed CRNF zone
facilitates the construction of desirable addition of the
senior housing at this site in support of the master plan's
goal of providing additional housing and services for the
elderly.
Furthermore, the flexible design standards of the

1 CRNF zone allow for the project layout that promotes compatibility with the surrounding fully established neighborhood. Zone allows us to provide limited but desirable retail uses and providing shops that the residents and community members can both enjoy. And it provides some variety and density in character the neighborhood, which satisfies that goal.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And next I would like to ask you some questions regarding the applicability of the CRNF floating zone.

HEARING EXAMINER: Well, can I interrupt for a minute? Do you have any -- do you -- can we do this by simply -- do you have any argument with staff's analysis of the applicability requirements? And can you adopt that as your own testimony? I'mjust concerned we've got a couple of people we are going to need to get in today. So rather than go through every requirement, does anyone object if you just state that you agree with the staff report?

MR. DALRYMPLE: I would object if they didn't accept your recommendation.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Can you just say whether you agree with staff's findings as the applicability of the applicability requirements of the floating zone?

MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes. I would agree to that. I think the staff did a thorough analysis and it was our intent
only to get each of those purposes and requirements in the record and show how this application does meet those. But I'm happy to accept the staff analysis with basically the same thing, Ms. Hannan, that we are trying to get into the record. And those are spelled out in staff report. I'm sorry, did you have a --

MS. ROGERS: And they are spelled out, I think Mr.
Robins was just noting, in our land planning report which
Soltez contributed to. We also go through each of those
requirements including a prerequisite, which I think is what
the Hearing Examiner is getting to, some of those underlying floating zone requirements are part of what Ms. Przygocki is accepting the staff's analysis for.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, thank you. And if we're going to development standards now in article 4 , can we do the -- do you have any problem doing the same thing rather than going through each development standard?

MS. PRZYGOCKI: No, I think that the staff report adequately explained all of those. I will say that we did introduce this to vote some plan in which there was a typo on the open space where we correct that. The open space was listed as 11.1 percent, and it is in actuality 10.6 percent. And we apologize for the error, but once we recognize that we were quick to submit it to the record as a correction.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, thank you.

| 73 | 75 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MS. ROGERS: For the record, Ms. Przygocki, was | 1 getting concerned that we have several people that want to |
| 2 the open space exhibit that is part of the record correct in | 2 testify and I want to make sure we get to hear everybody. |
| 3 terms of expressing the open space as 10.6 percent? | 3 MS. ROGERS: Un |
| 4 MS. PRZYGOCKI: I'm sorry. Can you repeat? | 4 HEARING EXAMINER: So to the extent you feel |
| 5 MS. ROGERS: So was the standalone open space | 5 |
| 6 exhibit that staff referred to | 6 MS. ROGERS: Okay, thank you. So section |
| 7 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Oh, yeah | 7 7.2.1. -- 7.2.1.e.2.a requires that the loading zone |
| 8 MS. ROGERS: Did that correctly express the open | 8 substantially conform with the master plan. Is your |
| 9 space? | 9 professional opinion that we meet this binding? |
| 10 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, that exhibit also identified | 10 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, as previously testified this |
| 11 that it was 10.6. It just did not make it into th | 11 meets the require |
| 12 development charge | 12 MS. ROGERS: Section 7.2.1.e.2.b requires the |
| 13 MS. ROGERS: Thank y | 13 floating zone plan to further the public interest. Is the |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER: O | 14 public interest met by this floating zone application in your |
| 15 MS. ROGERS: I guess just because it is a -- the | 15 professional opinion? |
| 16 only one that I will ask a specific question on since I know | 16 MS. PRZYGOCKI: I believe it is, yes, by providing |
| 17 this will come up later in testimony, Ms. Przygocki, could | 17 additional services and housing opportunities for the |
| 18 you just say in your professional opinion whether adequate | 18 Applicant. Excuse me, for the neighborhood and the county. |
| 19 parking will be provided on site for the proposed | 19 MS. ROGERS: Section 7.2.1.e.2.c requires that the |
| 20 development? | 20 floating zone plan satisfy the intent, purposes, and |
| 21 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes. I reviewed the parking | 21 standards of the zoning ordinance. Based on your previou |
| 22 calculations and they are in accordance with the requirements | 22 testimony and in your professional opinion, is this binding |
| 23 of zone and proposing 503 vehicular spaces as well as tandem, | 23 satisfie |
| 2442 tandem spaces. There are bicycle parking spaces both | 24 MS. PRZYGOCKI: |
| 25 short-term and long-term. So it is in conformance with -- | 25 MS. ROGERS: Section 7.2.1.e.2.d requires that the |
| 74 | 76 |
| 1 and is also in conformance with the binding element which has | 1 proposed floating zone be compatible with existing approved |
| 2 been offered, that they will conduct a parking demand | 2 and adjacent development. Can you please elaborate a little |
| 3 analysis later in the process at the site. But the | 3 bit on your specific professional opinion regarding how the |
| 4 development meets the current requirements and is in excess | 4 project meets this requirement? |
| 5 in order to provide for visitors and other folks that work at | 5 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Okay. I'm going to try to keep |
| 6 the facility. | 6 this brief. As I have already testified, the project has |
| 7 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Next then, accepting | 7 been carefully designed. It's been -- incorporated feedback |
| 8 as you've accepted staff and the Planning Board's evaluation | 8 from the surrounding community. It is in conformance with |
| 9 that the project meets the development standards and the | 9 all compatibility regulations in terms of setbacks on height. |
| 10 floating zone requirements, we'll move on to the local map | 10 It's providing a buffer and it incorporates architecture of |
| 11 amendment requirements and the findings that the district | 11 the surrounding community making it very compatible. The |
| 12 council must make. As you know a zoning map changed to apply | 12 staff in the report has also agreed with this as well is the |
| 13 a floating zone requires through the local map amendment, | 13 Planning Board in their letter. Both of those being Exhibits |
| 14 district council must approve a local map amendment and make | 1445 and 47. |
| 15 specific findings in connection with the application set | 15 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And section 7.2.1.e.2.e |
| 16 forth in 7.2.1.e in the zoning ordinance. Have you reviewe | 16 requires that the floating zone plan will generate traffic |
| 17 these criteria in connection with this local map amendment | 17 that does not exceed a critical lane volume. That is one |
| 18 application? | 18 that we will be asking Ms. Randall in her testimony. |
| 19 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes. | 19 MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yeah. So I would refer to Ms. |
| 20 MS. ROGERS: With regard to the specific findings, | 20 Randall for that testimony. |
| 21 and if the Hearing Examiner accepts, we can abbreviate th | 21 MS. ROGERS: Section 7.2.1.e.2.f requires the |
| 22 testimony by referring back to the local map amendment and | 22 finding that the proposed loading zone plan will not |
| 23 testimony that's already in the record to quickly walk | 23 adversely affect the character of the surrounding |
| 24 through the findings. | 24 neighborhood. In your professional opinion, is this finding |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER: That would be great. I just am | 25 met? |


| 77 | 79 |
| :---: | :---: |
| MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, I believe it will not <br> adversely affect the surrounding neighborhood. Instead, it will replace an institutional use with the residential use that is more compatible and will be beneficial to the community. The site and the architecture are designed to be in character with the existing community and the staff again agree and found that the use will be more compatible than the previous use. On page 31, the staff recognizes that the project will provide more compatible use by transforming this long-standing institutional use into a residential scaled age restricted community. Furthermore, the project's architecture and building layout has been carefully designed to promote compatibility with the surrounding residential communities and the architecture and scale found therein. <br> MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Did you have an opportunity to review the floating zone plan in connection with its impact on public facilities? <br> MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, I did. <br> MS. ROGERS: Would you please briefly just address those couple of facilities that you reviewed? <br> MS. PRZYGOCKI: In terms of school capacity the project will have no impact on the school capacity because 23 this is the age restricted senior living and will not <br> 24 generate any students to the public school state service. <br> 25 Fire and police is of adequate service to the site. Chevy | none, you may be excused for the time being. You maybe -unless you are recalled. So, thank you. <br> MS. PRZYGOCKI: All right. Thank you, very much. <br> MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Our next witness will be <br> Daniel Park. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Before Mr. Park starts, <br> is there anyone here in the meeting today that would like to <br> express a concern about the landscape architecture workforce <br> conservation or any of the natural features that are supposed <br> to be preserved in the SCP? Okay. I see -- <br> MR. DALRYMPLE: Provided that the binding elements <br> are adopted by the Hearing Examiner we would not have any issues. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. What I'd like to do is <br> rather than going through -- I don't mean to shortcut you, <br> but I think there are some issues that people have concerns <br> about, both Ms. Harney and myself, and perhaps the town. So <br> what I'd like to do is swear Mr. Park in. So let me do that. <br> But then I'm going to ask him if there's any way he can <br> either rely on the staff report or abbreviate, touch the <br> highlights so we can get through to the other people that <br> wish to testify. If you want to go off the record and <br> discuss it for five minutes, that's fine. <br> 24 MR. ROBINS: No, I think we're okay with this <br> witness. |
| 78 | 80 |
| ```Chase Fire Deparment Station 7 is located at 8001 Connecticut Avenue, only 1.1 mile from the site. And the Montgomery County Police Department 2D is located at 4823 Rugby Avenue, only 2.2 miles from the site, or an eight minute drive. And Chevy Chase Village also has a Police Department at 5906 Connecticut Avenue, about 0.8 miles from the site. In terms of traffic, again, Nancy Randall will discuss the traffic implications. MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Just a few very concluding questions. In your professional opinion, does the floating zone plan satisfy all applicable code requirements? MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, it does. MS. ROGERS: Do you agree with the findings made by park and planning staff in their staff report, Exhibit 45, and the Planning Board and their recommendation which is Exhibit 47? MS. PRZYGOCKI: Yes, I do. MS. ROGERS: Is there anything else you would like to add? MS. PRZYGOCKI: No, I think that should (inaudible). HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Any questions of Ms. Przygocki based on her testimony? MR. DALRYMPLE: None from the Town. HEARING EXAMINER: Anyone else? Okay. Hearing``` | HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. <br> MR. ROBINS: We're here for the entire proceeding. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Park, please raise <br> your right hand. <br> Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury <br> that the statements you're about to make are the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? <br> MR. PARK: Yes. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'm going to -- Ms. <br> Rogers is going to ask you to state your name and address and email address for the record. But before that I'm going to <br> say, Mr. Park has qualified many times as an expert in <br> landscape architecture before OHZA. And is there anyone <br> 14 that's going -- and his resume is in the record. Is there <br> anyone that would object to qualifying him as an expert in <br> 6 landscape architecture? <br> MR. DALRYMPLE: No objections from the Town. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Hearing no other <br> objections, I will jump ahead and qualify him as an expert in <br> landscape architecture. And Ms. Rogers, you can go ahead <br> with her questioning including his name and address and email address. <br> MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Mr. Park, can you please <br> 4 state your name, your primary occupation, your address, and <br> 25 your email address for the record? |


| 81 | 83 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. PARK: Yes. My name is Daniel Park. I'ma | 1 they come in. Thank you. |
| 2 landscape architect and land-use planner. I work at Soltez | 2 MS. ROGERS: Mr. Park, and relying on the |
| 3 located at 2 Research Place, Suite 100 in Rockville, | 3 underlying Plamning Board approval and staff testimony in the |
| 4 Maryland, 20850. My email address is DPark@SoltezCo.com. | 4 land-use report, if you could be very brief and just |
| 5 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. | 5 highlight anything of note regarding the preliminary forest |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. | 6 conservation plan that you think is relevant to the Hearing |
| 7 MS. ROGERS: And we will abbreviate Mr. Park's | 7 Examiner's consideration of this local map amendment. |
| 8 testimony in reliance on information already in the record | 8 MR. PARK: Yes. I just want to clarify that |
| 9 and ask just a very few questions. Mr. Park, are you | 9 because the project is preserving such a large portion of the |
| 10 familiar with the local map amendment before the Hearing | 10 existing forest buffer on the northern, western, and a |
| 11 Examiner today? | 11 portion of the southern boundary, and the tree variance also |
| 12 Mr. PARK: Yes, I am | 12 has been improved with would require planting of 35 non- |
| 13 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And did Soltez prepare a | 13 deciduous or evergreen trees. Along the remainder of the |
| 14 written report that you contributed to that's part of the | 14 southern border we are seeking alternative compliance under |
| 15 record? | 15 section 6.8.1, Ms. Robeson, to meet the requirements of |
| 16 MR. PARK: That's correct. | 16 section 6.5.3. So both the dimensional requirements |
| 17 MS. ROGERS: And could you confirm whether you | 17 obviously, as well as the plant density requirements are far |
| 18 agree with the planning staff and Planning Board findings | 18 exceeded, but just wanted to put that on the record as well |
| 19 that the project meets the requirements of the forest | 19 Ms . Robeson. |
| 20 conservation ordinance as expressed through the preliminary | 20 MS. ROGERS: And to clarify the record Mr. Park -- |
| 21 forest conservation plan? | 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Is 6.5.3 the perimeter, the |
| 22 MR. PARK: Yes, I do confirm as I prepared the | 22 site perimeter landscaping requirement? |
| 23 NRIFSD the preliminary forest conservation plan and the tree | 23 MR. PARK: Yes, it's the landscape screening |
| 24 variance with the Soltez team, but I am the person who seals | 24 requirements around the property, correct. |
| 25 the plans and I have thoroughly reviewed these plans as well | 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
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| 1 as the staff report and concurrent with the staff's | 1 MS. ROGERS: And just to clarify for the record |
| 2 assessment of these plans and of the zoning ordinance | 2 Mr . Park, that is not an alternative compliance we are |
| 3 requirements. | 3 seeking the Hearing Examiner's approval of, but we will seek |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. | 4 alternative compliance at the time of site plan, correct? |
| 5 MS. ROGERS: Just for the record, this was just a | 5 MR. PARK: That's correct. And that is also noted |
| 6 reproduction of Exhibit 30 that is the approved preliminary | 6 on the floating zone plan as well. |
| 7 forest conservation plan. | 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is that a binding |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Now do you have the resolution | 8 element? No ? |
| 9 approving the preliminary -- the approved -- the -- okay, | 9 MS. ROGERS: I do not believe it's a binding |
| 10 just forget it. Do have the resolution -- | 10 element. |
| 11 MS. ROGERS: (Inaudible) board approving the | 11 HEARING EXAMINER: I'm not sure it needs to be |
| 12 preliminary forest conservation plan, yes. | 12 because you're going to have to comply. |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER: Is that in the record? | 13 MS. ROGERS: Correct. |
| 14 (Crosstalk) | 14 MR. ROBINS: Right. |
| 15 MR. ROBINS: I don't think it is. | 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Did you do the -- did you do |
| 16 MS. ROGERS: I don't think it has been submitted | 16 the analysis of the intent in your -- any of the documents in |
| 17 to the record, but we can submit it. | 17 our record? |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER: That would be great. I'll | 18 MS. ROGERS: No, I cannot -- I would have to look |
| 19 leave the record open for whatever period of time we might | 19 at the latest report to confirm whether we went through that. |
| 20 need. I just -- I can't approve a plan under Chapter 22A | 20 Mr . Park, could you just explain for the record kind of |
| 21 without consideration of the approved. So if you could just | 21 the -- why, although we meet the dimensional requirements of |
| 22 submit the resolution, that would be great. <br> 23 MS. ROGERS: Would you like to make that Exhibit | 22 the screening requirements of the zoning ordinance, we can't 23 make the very specific planting requirements of section |
| 23 MS. ROGERS: Would you like to make that Exhibit 2457 now? Or wait until we -- | 23 make the very specific planting requirements of section 24 6.5.3? |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER: No. No, will go in order as | 25 MR. PARK: Right. So it's an alternative |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 compliance to the minimum requirements of the buffer. So the | 1 MR. PARK: Yes, it does. |
| 2 buffer, under 6.5.3 has a 10 foot dimensional option as well | 2 MS. ROGERS: And do you agree with the findings |
| 3 as a visual dimensional option with planting that a canopy | 3 made by MNCPPC staff in their staff report in Exhibit 45 and |
| 4 level, understory level, or evergreen level, and then at a | 4 the Planning Board and their recommendation in Exhibit 47? |
| 5 shrub level. And because the project is retaining forest | 5 MR. PARK: Yes, I d |
| 6 that far exceeds that requirement -- I mean, I can give you | 6 MS. ROGERS: Is there anything else you would lik |
| 7 an example. The average number of trees per acre is 156 , the | 7 to add to your testimony? |
| 8 average number of shrubs is 700 in this existing forest | 8 MR. PARK: No, thank you, Ms. Rogers. Thank you, |
| 9 stand. So there is no need for a buffer beyond that as it | 9 Ms. Rob |
| 10 would compete with what's there. So that's our reason for | 10 HEARING EXAMINER: And I do appreciate your |
| 11 the alternative compliance in this scen | 11 streamlining this. It's quite helpfil. I'm going to |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, thank you | 12 recognize Mr. Rush. Mr. Rush, do you have any questions for |
| 13 MS. ROGERS: How will the project satisfy open | 13 Mr . Park? |
| 14 space requirements for the CRNF zone on site? For the | 14 MR. RUSH: Yes, I do. Would you be kind enough |
| 15 record, which is Exhibit 42 of the reco | 15 please to put back up on the screen, the map showing the ope |
| 16 MR. PARK: Yes, Ms. Rogers. As Ms. Przygocki | 16 space? |
| 17 mentioned in her testimony, the project as required to | $17$ <br> MS. ROGERS: Sure |
| 18 provide 10 percent of the net lot area of public open space | 18 MR. RUSH: Sure. Here's my question. We know |
| 19 As depicted here on Exhibit 42 the project is proposing to | 19 there will be forest conservation areas to the west and |
| 20 provide 10.6 percent public open space on site. This space | 20 north. Could you please explain the distinction you're |
| 21 is provided along Connecticut Avenue and Thorn Apple Street | 21 trying to make regarding what you call open space is a lot of |
| 22 in accordance with section 6.3.6. This open space will abut | 22 the open space is a forest conservation area much like the |
| 23 the public sidewalk. It will be a minimum of 15 feet. | 23 conservation area on the west that's not deemed to be open |
| 24 will include seating and the contiguous except when crossing | 24 space? I just really don't understand the distinction you're |
| 25 the driveway there, which is allowed per the zoning | 25 trying to make. |
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| 1 ordinance. | 1 MR. PARK: Yes, sir. So the forest conservation |
| 2 access. | 2 area per the zoning ordinance can be a part of the public |
| 3 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And can you please | 3 open space. We do have a walkway that follows that forest |
| 4 describe how the proposed site lighting will comply with the | 4 conservation area. So the public experience through that can |
| 5 requirements of the zoning ordinance? | 5 be enjoyed by the general public. And so that the entire |
| 6 MR. PARK: Yes. We will prepare a lighting and | 6 area would qualify as a public open space for the enjoyment |
| 7 photometric plan in connection with the site plan application | 7 of the public. |
| 8 to demonstrate the project's compliance with the lighting | $8 \quad$ MR. RUSH: Because of the path going through it? |
| 9 requirements contained in the zoning ordinance. However, in | 9 Is that what you're really saying here? |
| 10 conjunction with the LMA the binding element number 12, that | 10 MR. PARK: Yes, it's the path going through it and |
| 11 was really created to ensure that the Applicant shall provide | 11 obviously the shade and the experience of being right next |
| 12 site lighting according to the applicable county regulations | 12 to -- the natural experience there contributes to that, |
| 13 and coordinated so as to minimize impact on the adjacent | 13 correct. |
| 14 properties. | 14 MR. RUSH: Okay. I was just curious. Because |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I see -- just for the | 15 otherwise a lot of our residents have wondered what was the |
| 16 record, I see two hands up. Mr. Rush and -- oh, I thought I | 16 definition of that open space in direct contrast to the open |
| 17 saw some -- Ms. Rush. I see Mr. Rush's hand is up. Let me | 17 space -- or to the forest conservation elsewhere on the west |
| 18 finish Mr. Park's testimony and then you can ask a question. | 18 and the south side |
| 19 It's your time just to ask questions. You'll get a chance to | 19 MR. DALRYMPLE: And for the record, Mr. Rush was |
| 20 testify in your own right later on. | 20 referring to Exhibit 42 I believe. |
| 21 MR. RUSH: I understand | 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, the public open space. |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, go ahea | 22 Thank you Mr. Dalrymple. |
| 23 MS. ROGERS: Mr. Park, in your professional | 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Any other questions? |
| 24 opinion, does the proposed floating zone plan satisfy all of 25 the applicable landscape and open space requirements? | 24 Okay. Hearing none, we can -- I do appreciate again, you're 25 abbreviating this. Is there -- as there's no other questions |

we'll excuse Mr. Park for the time being. Who would your next witness be?

MS. ROGERS: We have two more witnesses. Our next witness was going to be Sarah Alexander, the architect from Torti Gallas. And that we have Ms. Nancy Randall from Wells \& Associates.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. What I'm going to do is take a 10 minute break. And we'll come back with your next witness.

MS. ROGERS: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER: Please make sure to -- don't leave the meeting, but please make sure to mute microphones because I can hear everything you say. So please mute. Thanks.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you.
(Off the record at 11:57 a.m. resuming at 11:48 a.m)

HEARING EXAMINER: On the record. And we -please -- we have Ms. Rogers's next witness. Please raise your right hand.

Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury
that the statements you're about to make are the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MS. ALEXANDER: I do.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Go ahead, Ms. Rogers.
MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Can you please state your
full name, your occupation, and your business address and email address for the record?

MS. ALEXANDER: Sure. Sarah Alexander, I'm an architect at Torti Gallas + Partners. Our address is 1923 Vermont Avenue Northwest, 2nd floor, Washington DC. And my email address is SAlexander@TotiGallas.com.

MS. ROGERS: Now Ms. Hannan, Ms. Alexander has not been previously qualified as an expert in architecture, although her resume was submitted into the record as part of Exhibit 27. Would you like to qualify her as an expert in architecture? Or for us to walk through some qualifying questions?

HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry. If you could, just briefly walk through. I do have a resume. Is there anything you would like to add to your -- I have two questions. Is there anything you would like to add to your resume? And have you ever qualified as an expert before another administrative or court proceeding?
20 MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, I have. I have been
qualified as an expert witness before DC zoning commission
and their board of zoning adjustment.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'll go ahead and -unless someone has an objection. Does anyone have an objection to qualifying Ms. Alexander as an expert in
89 91
architecture?
MR. DALRYMPLE: None from the Town.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, none from the Town.
Okay, hearing none, I'll go ahead and qualify you as an
expert in architecture.
MS. ALEXANDER: Thank you.
MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Ms. Alexander, are you
familiar with the local map amendment application that's
before us today?
MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, I am
HEARING EXAMINER: Did she state her name and address for the record?

MS. ROGERS: She did.
HEARING EXAMINER: I was choking on an almond. So I may -- I was a little distracted. Okay, go ahead.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And have you had an opportunity to visit the site and are you familiar with the surrounding area?

MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, I have had the privilege of visiting the site and I understand and appreciate the
surrounding context. And I understand how vitally important that is to our design process.
(Crosstalk)
MS. ROGERS: Based on your observations during
your site visit, can you please describe the architectural
character of existing buildings and surrounding community?
And I am just going to re-share my screen. We do have a few additional exhibits. But Ms. Alexander will -- okay. This is an existing site condition photo, which would be Exhibit 57.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Any objection to this?
MR. DALRYMPLE: None.
HEARING EXAMINER: Anyone else? Okay, hearing none I will accept this as Exhibit 57, existing photos of 0 existing site conditions. And I don't mean to be a dead horse, but we really -- our website says we have to -- and 12 the notice says you have to introduce the exhibits two days in advance so we can post them. So for next time.

MS. ROGERS: (Inaudible).
HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
MS. ROGERS: We will do that in the future. In 17 the past we've done it this way, but we will be sure to 8 follow that procedure.
19 HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah, we had to change -- we 20 put in the -- we had to change our procedures because it was
21 leading to issues at the hearings. Anyway, go ahead. Thank you.

MS. ROGERS: So go ahead, please.
MS. ALEXANDER: All right. So as we start all of 5 our design process is we always visit the site, get familiar
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| :---: | :---: |
| with the area, and make sure that whatever we come up with is compatible with the surrounding area and the built features of the surrounding environment. This property as you all know is an existing campus and collectively the existing campus is about 250,000 square feet of gross floor area. <br> There is also a large existing tree canopy that we've talked about numerous times that we are looking to serve. <br> MS. ROGERS: And if it's okay with the Hearing <br> Examiner, Ms. Alexander has -- we have one (inaudible) we can introduce I guess each of these as an exhibit or we can <br> introduce them together if you want me flip through them quickly for ease of the record. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So this, we'll just take this as 57A. Does anyone have objections admitting this as part of the record? <br> MR. DALRYMPLE: None. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, thank you. Hearing none, go ahead. <br> MS. ALEXANDER: The surrounding neighborhood has a <br> rich mix of architectural -- <br> HEARING EXAMINER: I'm going to call this photos <br> of neighborhood -- is this houses in the neighborhood? <br> (Crosstalk) <br> MS. ALEXANDER: Contact, yes. <br> MS. ROGERS: Existing buildings in the | HEARING EXAMINER: All right. These photos, Ms. <br> Alexander do they fairly and accurately represent the conditions at the time you took them? The photographs. <br> MS. ALEXANDER: On the previous slide? <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. <br> MS. ALEXANDER: Yes. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Okay. Go ahead <br> with 57B. <br> MS. ALEXANDER: Okay. From the start of this <br> 10 process, the desire was to craft a set of buildings that <br> complement the rich and diverse character of the surrounding <br> neighborhood. Given this property has always been more of a <br> campus, we continued that tradition by creating a series of <br> smaller buildings with a variety of sizes and heights. By <br> breaking down the scale, the project with the smaller <br> buildings versus just creating one larger structure, the <br> project better mimics the scale and rhythm of the adjacent <br> neighborhood. <br> One of the largest decisions was to provide sloped <br> roofs with dormers and gables style windows. This decision, <br> as opposed to the more typical solution for this type of <br> architecture of flat roofs with a parapet allows the <br> buildings to blend into a series of gables and pitched roofs <br> of its neighbors when viewed from afar, such as from <br> Connecticut Avenue. |
| neighborhood. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Hold on. <br> MS. ROGERS: Houses and a church. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: I'll just say photos of <br> neighborhood context. Okay, go ahead. <br> MS. ALEXANDER: All right. I was saying the surrounding neighborhood has a rich mix of architectural styles and they generally fall under the diverse umbrella of the 20th century revival. You see some of those on the 0 screen. I'll mostly point out the Nichols house directly to the south of the site. It's the lower left corner. I know I can't say for the transcript, but that one. And then also wanted to note that there is the United Methodist Church 14 across the street from the site. With regard to massing of 5 homes in the surrounding communities they are mostly two6 story homes ranging in size from bungalows up to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ story colonial revivals and have a diverse richness of materials, which we are tied into with our design. <br> MS. ROGERS: Thank you. This, for the record would be, if no one objects, Exhibit 57B, which is just some images illustrating how the design will integrate within the surrounding neighborhood. Thank you. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Just a second. Does anyone object to admitting this exhibit? <br> 25 MR. DALRYMPLE: No objection. | And lastly, our choices for building materials and <br> architectural details were directly influenced from the <br> materials and details found throughout the neighborhood of <br> bricks, stones, slate roofs, bay windows, chimneys, dormers <br> and balconies. And I'm going to note the building material <br> is a binding element. <br> MS. ROGERS: This exhibit was submitted to the <br> Planning Board, but I don't think I made it to OZHA's <br> official record. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: That will be 57C. And can you <br> just tell us what it is? And then give me a minute to see if <br> there are objections? <br> MS. ROGERS: This exhibit compares the proposed <br> building footprints are overlaid in blue on top of the <br> existing site conditions to show the relationship of the <br> proposed development to the existing conditions. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Does anyone object to <br> admitting this into the record? <br> MR. DALRYMPLE: No objection. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Hearing none, I will <br> admit it as 57C comparison of building footprint. Thank you. Go ahead. <br> MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Ms. Alexander, can you <br> briefly describe how the overall planning and design concept <br> said that were applied, and the principles upon which the |
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design of this project is based?
    MS. ALEXANDER: Sure. Thank you. As you can see
here with the blue outline the proposed design largely
confines of the building to the existing developed area. The
site layout largely preserves the existing forest that
surrounds the property which provides for the physical and
visual buffer. And as a result of the preservation of this
tree canopy, the building substantially exceeds the minimum
setback requirements.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
    MS. ROGERS: And we apologize. In the future we
will change how we handle this, but this would be a new
exhibit 57D. It's just an illustrative of the site plan.
    HEARING EXAMINER: I'll call it illustrative
concept plan. Any objection? Hearing none, I will admit it.
    MS. ALEXANDER: Okay. Here, we see the
preliminary concept plan which shows the collection of
smaller buildings which define a variety of landscaped
courtyard. A series of walking paths both inside and
throughout the site linked to the adjacent community to allow
residents and neighbors opportunities to meet and interact,
creating opportunities for greater health and enjoyment for
the whole community.
    The project will also include up to }5000\mathrm{ square
feet of publicly available neighborhoods serving retail
```

space. These retail areas have been oriented towards
Connecticut Avenue and are intended to create interaction
between the senior living community and the surrounding
neighborhood. This retail will provide neighborhoods serving
commercial uses for the surrounding community, but also
provide for an opportunity for important interactions between
the senior resident and the community.
MS. ROGERS: For the record, this is just a
reproduction of Exhibit 37.
MS. ALEXANDER: And as requested by the Town of
Chevy Chase, taller building heights have been oriented to
the center of the site. You can see here on this diagram the
green as the four-story, the blue the five stories, and we
have stepped down at the perimeter of the site, as requested
by the Town of Chevy Chase. Again, tapering down to the
community. And on the north, west, and southern boundaries
you can see here the binding element number 17 is a agreement
to improvement limitation in terms of feet, which is
acceptable to the Applicant. Building heights on Connecticut
Avenue will also be limited to 60 feet, for a distance of 80
feet from Connecticut Avenue. And that's the binding element
number 7 .
MR. DALRYMPLE: Can you identify where the
established building measuring point is?
MS. ALEXANDER: Yes. It is on Connecticut Avenue

## 97

midpoint of the frontage on Connecticut Avenue.
MS. ROGERS: It's not shown on this building height exhibit. And we can have someone else testify again to the floating zone plan, but it is -- I think it is -- Ms.
Alexander testified where it's located.
MR. DALRYMPLE: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead, Ms. Alexander.
MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Did the project design
evolved at all from your initial concept based on
interactions with both the community and Park and Planning staff?

MS. ALEXANDER: Yes. The design has gone through several dramatic iterations based on a collaboration with key stakeholders, including the community, Town of Chevy Chase and the Park and Planning. Of note, we did commit to preserving a majority of the existing forest to the around the property, between 80 and 145 feet deep in most places. We have restricted the vehicular access to the long-standing existing access points along Connecticut Avenue. And we will continue to work with SHA and DOT on the final design of this intersection.

We have lowered the height of the building façade to the northern, southern, and western properties from 5 to 4 stories. And although the Parking Planning staff noticed it would be appropriate to increase the height of the buildings
along Connecticut Avenue to compensate for the loss of density, we did not consider this in respect to Section 3, which confronts of the property on the other side along the 120 foot Connecticut Avenue right of way.

And lastly, the project has been designed to be open to the community, for example, the shops and features which I mentioned before.

MS. ROGERS: And just for the record, I know you mentioned that the 120 foot right-of-way. For clarity of the record, that is the recommended we get of the master plan. Ms. Alexander, is the existing right-of-way only 100 feet based on the fact that on the opposite side of Connecticut Avenue has a dedication of the full width from the centerline?

MS. ALEXANDER: That is correct.
MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Ms. Alexander, are you familiar with the Montgomery County zoning ordinance and the provisions of the CNRS zone as it relates to the design and development of this project?

MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, I am And the project meets or exceeds these requirements.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Is the project subject to 3 the height and setback compatibility requirements contained 4 in Sections 4.1.8 of the zoning ordinance, and if so, does 25 the project comply with these standards?

| 101 | 103 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, it does. Under Section | 1 measured at a 45 degree angle, as you can see on these |
| 2 4.1.8.a given the CRNS zoning imposes general building types, | 2 drawings, projecting over the subject property measured from |
| 3 the project is subject to the setback compatibility | 3 a height equal to the height allowed for a detached house in |
| 4 requirements on the south and west property boundary square | 4 an abutting zone, the setback line is determined by Section |
| 5 the property abuts a residential detached zone and is | 5 4.1.8.a |
| 6 approved for residential use. We do, I guess, on the | $6 \quad$ Where the property confronts |
| 7 here you can see those required setbacks. The minimum side | 7 detached zone, the property is across the height |
| 8 setback among the southern boundary is 1.5 times the minimum | 8 compatibility is measured at a 45 degree angle plane, because |
| 9 setback required for a detached house on the abutting | 9 you can see on these drawings, projecting over the subject |
| 10 property. This results in an effective minimum side yard | 10 property, measured from a height equal to the height of the |
| 1112 feet as shown in the floating zone plan. It rather | 11 allowed for a detached house in the confronting zone at the |
| 12 significantly increases privacy, as you can see. | 12 front or side street setback line determines according to |
| 13 So the minimum rear yard boundary is equal to 1.5 | 13 Article 59.4. And note because Connecticut Avenue is greater |
| 14 times the minimum rear setback requirement for a detached | 14 than 8 feet, the properties on the opposite side of |
| 15 home on an abutting property on the western boundary. This | 15 Connecticut Avenue are not confronting her definition in |
| 16 results in an effective minimum rear yard of 30 feet. Again, | 16 Section 1.4.2 of the zoning ordinance. |
| 17 I think you can say we significantly exceed that requirement. | 17 MS. ROGERS: And so based on the exhibits that are |
| 18 And then, the front and side street setbacks, just | 18 in the record does this project comply with those standards? |
| 19 to note, are not modified by this Section, N.A.S.A. and | 19 MS. ALEXANDER: Yes it does. |
| 20 instead, see Section 4.1.8.8.2.c. And along the northern | 20 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Moving on to the |
| 21 portion of the property the building will be setback 81 feet | 21 findings, the applicable findings of the -- that the district |
| 22 of the current property line. | 22 council must make in approving the local map amendment in |
| 23 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. I believe one of these | 23 Section 7.2.1.2.e requires the Floating Zone to be compatible |
| 24 was entered within the staff report. But for the record, we | 24 with existing and improved adjacent development. In your |
| 25 would like to introduce in this exhibit, compatibility -- | 25 professional opinion, can you please comment on, and explain |
| 102 | 104 |
| 1 (Crosstalk) | 1 how the project satisfies this finding? |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, this says this exhibit -- | 2 MS. ALEXANDER: Yes. As I have previously |
| 3 MS. ROGERS: I'm sorry, Exhibit 35. Yes, than | 3 testified to you the project will be compatible with existing |
| 4 you. | 4 improved adjacent development. Compatibility is inherently |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER: 36. | 5 and an evaluation of the built environment and as it relates |
| 6 MR. ROBBINS: They sent you 35. | 6 to its surroundings, and the building massing and setbacks |
| 7 MS. ROGERS: Let me see if I wrote that down | 7 have been strategically designed to promote compatibility |
| 8 wrong. Building Section -- | 8 with the surrounding residential neighborhood. Taller |
| 9 Mr. ROBINS: No, it's 35. Those are the | 9 buildings heights internal to the property stepping down |
| 10 elevations. | 10 around the edges, retaining tree canopy provides large |
| 11 MS. ROGERS: They are the elevations I believe | 11 physical and visual buffers to the side. And we meet the |
| 12 that is supposed to be 36 . Yes, it's right on the screen, | 12 height and setback requirements contained in Section 4.1.8 of |
| 13 Exhibit 36. | 13 the zoning ordinance. |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER: Well wait, it's -- hold on. | 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. In your professional |
| 15 Okay. It's a reconfiguration of Exhibit 35. Okay. All | 15 opinion does the proposed floating zone plan satisfy all |
| 16 right. Thank you. Go ahead. | 16 applicable code requirements? |
| 17 MS. ROGERS: Ms. Alexander, if you can please | 17 MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, it does. |
| 18 continue your testimony regarding how the project is in | 18 MS. ROGERS: And do you agree with the findings |
| 19 conformance with the height compatibility requirements of the | 19 made by Park and Planning staff and in the staff report at |
| 20 zoning ordinance. | 20 Exhibit 45, and the Planning Board and their recommendation |
| 21 MS. ALEXANDER: Sure. Under Section 4.1.8.b, | 21 at Exhibit 47? |
| 22 given the proposed CRNS zoning the project is subject to the | 22 MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, I do. |
| 23 height compatibility requirements of the policy. When the | 23 MS. ROGERS: Is there anything else you'd like to |
| 24 subject property abuts a residential detached zone, the | 24 add? |
| 25 property to the south and west, the height compatibility is | 25 MS. ALEXANDER: Not at this time. |
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| 105 | 107 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Any questions of Ms. Alexander? | 1 Randall. And just for the record, Ms. Hannan, Section |
| 2 MR. DALRYMPLE: I do have one or two quick | 2 5.3.5.c. 2 of the zoning ordinance says that setbacks from the |
| 3 questions. I understand that a lot of the architecture is to | 3 site boundary and maximum height are established by the |
| 4 be determined still unless there's some illustrative nature | 4 floating zone plan. |
| 5 to the exhibits, but could you just clarify for the record | 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 6 what aspects of Exhibits 57C, D, and Exhibits 36 and 37, | 6 MR. DALRYMPLE: And that's the simplified zoning |
| 7 which aspects of those are binding? And in particular I'm | 7 ordinance, right? |
| 8 getting to building footprints and the heights that are | 8 MS. ROGERS: Yes. |
| 9 established in those exhibits. | 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Oh please. They married, you |
| 10 MS. ALEXANDER: So the building heights and | 10 know, they mirrored the numbering in the fire code and |
| 11 mass -- the building heights and setbacks, those are the | 11 building code. Anyway, I digress. |
| 12 binding elements in those documents. | 12 Okay. Ms. Randall, please raise your right hand. |
| 13 MR. DALRYMPLE: So are the building footprints | 13 Do you solemnly affirmunder penalties of perjury that the |
| 14 that are shown binding aspects of the plan? | 14 statements you're about to make are the truth, the whole |
| 15 MS. ALEXANDER: No, those are still in | 15 truth and nothing but the truth? |
| 16 development and will continue to be developed d ring the | 16 MS. RANDALL: I do. |
| 17 site plan process. | 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Go ahead, Ms. Rogers. |
| 18 MR. DALRYMPLE: But e setbacks established in | 18 Now is she -- Ms. Randall has appeared numerous times as an |
| 19 those footprints are binding, correct? | 19 expert in transportation planning. Is that how you propose |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Dalrymple, I believe | 20 to qualify her today? |
| 21 there's a Section of the zoning ordinance said that says the | 21 MS. ROGERS: Yes, it is. |
| 22 site perimeter setback are determined by the floating zone | 22 HEARING EXAMINER: Does anyone have an objection |
| 23 plan. | 23 to qualifying Ms. Randall as an expert in transportation |
| 24 MR. DALRYMPLE: Right. Which would make them-- | 24 planning? |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Binding. | 25 MR. DALRYMPLE: No objections. |
| 106 | 108 |
| 1 MR. DALRYMPLE: Which would make thembinding as | 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Hearing none, I'll so |
| 2 shown. | 2 qualify her. Ms. Rogers, you can proceed. |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Correct. Now, I don't trust | 3 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Ms. Randall, can you |
| 4 myself. It somewhere, but building setbacks are determined | 4 please state your full name, occupation, and dress and email |
| 5 by the floating zone plan. | 5 address? |
| 6 MS. ROGERS: That's correct. | 6 MS. RANDALL: I can. My legal name is Ann N. |
| 7 MR. ROBINS: They are. The perimeter, but not | 7 Randall, but I go by Nancy. My business address is 1420 |
| 8 between each other. In other words, building to building | 8 Spring Hill Road Suite 610 in Tysons, Virginia 22102. My |
| 9 setbacks aren't, but from the property line they are. | 9 email address is AMRandall@MGWells.com |
| 10 MR. DALRYMPLE: Yes. What's important to the Town | 10 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Ms. Randall, have you |
| 11 are the perimeter setbacks and the building height and the | 11 prepared traffic reports and provided expert testimony in |
| 12 stepping of the buildings. So we want to ensure that those | 12 connection with other senior living facility cases? |
| 13 are, in fact, binding. | 13 MS. RANDALL: I have. |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, during a break I can | 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Are you familiar with the |
| 15 double check but I'm 99 percent sure that the heights are -- | 15 local map amendment application before the hearing examiner |
| 16 would be binding due to the binding elements. The setbacks, | 16 today? |
| 17 if they're addressed in the binding elements, but also, under | 17 MS. RANDALL: I am |
| 18 the code the building perimeter setbacks are determined by | 18 MS. ROGERS: And have you made a personal |
| 19 the floating zone code. | 19 inspection of the subject property? |
| 20 MR. DALRYMPLE: Okay. Thank you. | 20 MS. RANDALL: I have. |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Anyone else have any questions? | 21 MS. ROGERS: Can you please describe your |
| 22 Okay. Hearing none, Ms. Rogers, you can best Ms. | 22 responsibilities regarding the local map amendment |
| 23 Alexander can be excused for the time being, and you can call | 23 application? |
| 24 your next witness. | 24 MS. RANDALL: Yes, my responsibility was to |
| 25 MS. ROGERS: So our next witness will be Ms. Nancy | 25 determine what kind of a analyses is going to be necessary |


| 109 | 111 |
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| for this project, which included meeting with, phone calls, | that of the long-standing use. And again, because the site |
| and resume with County staff, going over the LATR guidelines | 2 is existing and because it's existed for more than 12 years. |
| and coordinating with them Preparing a trip generation | MS. ROGERS: For the record, this is just a |
| 4 comparison between the existing use and the proposed use, and | 4 reproduction of the chart in Exhibit 10. |
| found that between the existing use and the proposed use that | MS. RANDALL: Right. And in this exhibit team, |
| 6 this site would generate fewer trips than the existing use. | 6 the existing use currently generates 285 a.m peak hour and |
| And in expedited field number 38-10, which | 7299 p.m peak hour person trips. The proposed senior living |
| confirms that existing does not mean that the use must be | 8 use will generate $164 \mathrm{a.m}, 239 \mathrm{p}$.m peak hour person trips. |
| rently active to obtain a trip credit, but rather that the | 9 And the reduction on the street and neighboring pedestrian |
| 10 building must be in place. And I believe that that's Exhibit | 10 facilities would result in 121 fewer a.m and 60 fewer p.m |
| 11 49A through 49D in the exhibit list. We then prepared this | 11 peak hour person trips and then the existing long-standing 4- |
| 12 trip generation comparison of the long-standing institutional | 12 H conference center. Per the guidelines, given that this is |
| 13 use. | 13 a reduction in trips, a traffic statement is the only thing |
| 14 This was based on ITE trip generation requirements | 14 that was required. |
| 15 per the Montgomery County Guidelines, submitted that | 15 MS. ROGERS: And in planning staff and the |
| 16 statement, it was called -- in the LATR guidelines there is | 16 Planning Board agree with your approach and conclusions that |
| 17 what's called a traffic statement. And we prepared that | 17 atraffic statement was all that being LATR guidelines |
| 18 comparing the proposed use versus the 4-H Conference Center. | 18 required? |
| 19 I believe that's Exhibit 10 of the record. | 19 MS. RANDALL: They did. |
| 20 Under the LATR guidelines because the 4-H use was | 20 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. It was the LATR trip |
| 21 active for more than 12 years, it qualifies for this use | 21 credit -- had the LATR trip credit been accepted for other |
| 22 credit and as the Plamning Board and staff found 4-H operated | 22 local map amendment applications for a floating zone that you |
| 23 on the property for over 65 years and only recently ceased | 23 are aware of? And for the record, we have two exhibit so |
| 24 operation and that was at the end, I believe it was December | 24 that really go together that are excerpts from a previous |
| 25 of 2021 when they sold the property to the Applicant. We | 25 local map amendment H-110 and this also includes a press |
| 110 | 112 |
| also reviewed, or I also reviewed and was out in the field | 1 release regarding that application. If we could introduce it |
| looking at the proposed plans for vehicular access as well as | 2 into the record. |
| obvious existing access. | HEARING EXAMINER: Does anyone have an -- I can |
| MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Ms. Randall. For the | 4 either do one of two things. I can take official notice of |
| 5 record, can you please state whether the project is subject | 5 this subject to objection, or I can -- I'll introduce them as |
| he local area transportation review guidelines? | 6 exhibits. Does anyone have an objection to introducing |
| MS. RANDALL: Yes. Based on the LATR guideline -- | 7 the -- how would you describe things? These would be Exhibit |
| MS. ROGERS: And just for one second I'm going to | 8 59. How would you describe them? And what are they? |
| 9 reshare my screen. Ms. Hannan, this is just the excerpts | MS. ROGERS: 59A is an excerpt from the hearing |
| 10 from the LATR guidelines as a screenshot. | 10 examiner's report and recommendation in connection with local |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is this an exhibit? | 11 map amendment $\mathrm{H}-110$. |
| 12 MS. ROGERS: It's not an exhibit. We took | 12 Exhibit 59 B is an excerpt from the hearing |
| 13 excerpts from the LATR guidelines. If we could make this a | 13 examiner's report and recommendation for that local map |
| 14 new exhibit. They're just screenshots from the guidelines. | 14 amendment $\mathrm{H}-110$, and a copy of the press release from the |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Does anyone have an objection? | 15 Montgomery County Police Department regarding the relocation |
| 16 MR. DALRYMPLE: None. | 16 of the police station which is connected to that rezoning. |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Hearing none, this will be 58, | 17 HEARING EXAMINER: When did you put the picture in |
| 18 LATR excerpts. | 18 there? |
| 19 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. All right. Ms. Randall, | 19 MS. ROGERS: It's just that -- I just screenshot |
| 20 please proceed. | 20 of the press release from the police department. This was in |
| 21 MS. RANDALL: Yeah, the LATR guidelines | 21 that release. That picture just happens to be on the press |
| 22 specifically say that the guidelines apply to zoning cases. | 22 release. It demonstrates when that facility was vacated in |
| 23 This project is required to provide a traffic exemption | 23 connection with the subsequent rezoning. |
| 24 statement based on what I had mentioned previously, a | 24 MS. ROGERS: If everyone is comfortable, we can |
| 25 comparison of the potential trips from the proposed use to | 25 have Ms. Randall proceed with her testimony. |


| 113 | 115 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Just -- I'm writing. | 1 that threshold, but now it's based on person trips. Those |
| 2 MS. ROGERS: Of course | 2 factors were applied based on the requirements withing the |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER: I've called them A is excerpts | 3 LATR guidelines. It should be noted that trip generation for |
| 4 fromHE report in LMH-110. And B is excerpts from HE report | 4 any use, whether it's single family home or it is this -- the |
| $5 \mathrm{LMH}-110$ and photo of press release regarding opening of | 5 institutional use of 4-H or for this project for the senior |
| 6 development. All right. Go ahe | 6 housing project, it's based on all traffic coming and going |
| 7 |  |
| 8 question for the record. Was the LATR trip credit under the | 8 . So that is the residents, the employees, the |
| 9 LATR guideline accepted for other local map amendmen | 9 visitors, the trucks that may bring in delivery of goods, |
| 10 floating zone app | 10 doctors, nurses that ae coming on a visit. It's everything, |
| 11 | 11 trash collection. It includes all ve |
| 12 Project, Inc., for the rezoning of 801 | 12 specific just to the residents. It's all the services th |
| 13 the redevelopment of that police station just now discussed | 13 the resid |
| 14 And this project utilized the LATR trip cre | 14 Similarly, we did calculate for the retain, |
| 15 Specifically, in | 15 shops that are proposed. It's a small quantity but we did |
| 16 former | 16 take a reduction for what we are expecting in terms of an |
| 17 analysis the police station was no longer oper | 17 internal capture for that use. Again, to be conservative in |
| 18 The police station closed on May 14, 2014. The | 18 our trip generation comparison between the existing use and |
| 19 traffic statement was prepared by Craig Hedburg on August 14, | 19 this proposed use. |
| 202015 and the hearing examiner's report was issued on January | 20 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Did MMCPPC staff review |
| 21 21, 2016. And I believe the trip generation table that | 21 your topic statement and agree with your conclusion? |
| 22 shown, you can see that Art Space would have required | 22 MS. RANDALL: Yes, they did. On pages 30 and 31 |
| 23 traffic study without this credit. However, based on the | 23 of the staff report, the staff discusses their findings and |
| 24 LATR guidelines after applying the applicable trip credit for | 24 confirmed the trip credit was valid and agreed with our |
|  |  |
| 114 | 116 |
| 1 trips would not | 1 MS. ROGERS: Did staff agree with your conclusion |
| 2 Which is similar to the current LATR guidelines in | 2 that a traffic study was not required to satisfy the LATR |
| 3 that as long as a project will not generate more than 50 new | 3 test? |
| 4 person trips, a project is exempt from full traffic study in | 4 MS. RANDALL: They did. Again, this site is going |
| 5 the LATR. There is -- there in the analysis are the findings | 5 to have a net reduction of trips as stated earlier. I |
| 6 under Section 7.2.1.E.2.e, the hearing examiner found based | 6 think -- I believe, let me pull the number out. I'm sorry, |
| 7 on this traffic date meant that there was uncontroverted | 7121 fewer a.m. person trips and 60 fewer person trips in the |
| 8 evidence that the development is exempt from the LATR an | 8 p.m. p |
| 9 that roadway capacity is sufficient to serve in the proposal. | 9 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Do the LATR guidelines |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, the hearing examiner was | 10 require that the use be currently operating in order to take |
| 11 amazed. I remember this. And it was never raised as | 11 advantage of the trip credit? |
| 12 issue, but that's fine. We'll go with | 12 MS. RANDALL: No, they do not. |
| 13 MS. ROGERS: Ms. Randall, can you please expla | 13 MS. ROGERS: Can you please elaborate on why the |
| 14 how the trip generation for the residential care facility was | 14 LATR guidelines allow you to take a trip credit in this |
| 15 calculated? | 15 application? |
| 16 MS. RANDALL: Yes. Using the most cur | 16 MS. RANDALL: Yeah. The LATR guidelines only |
| 17 addition of the IGE trip generation manual that said the | 17 require that the proposed use is -- will be replacing an |
| 18 Institute of Transportation Engineers, as required by LATR, | 18 existing land use that that land was occupied for more than |
| 19 plus the adjustment factors that Montgomery County requires, | 1912 years. As I stated earlier, the $4-\mathrm{H}$ conference center has |
| 20 to take vehicle trips and convert them into person trips, <br> 21 which is the walker, somebody who is sharing a ride in the | 20 been in use for over 65 years. The building and the property <br> 21 continue to be actively maintained. There is owners on site |
| 22 automobile, the transit user, the by user, it is an | 22 and maintenance staff and they're currently operating with |
| 23 adjustment factor to develop the total person trips an | 23 all utilities operating, sewer, water, electricity, and gas. |
| 24 that's how we created the table that I had up before | 24 Montgomery's bill amendment to the adequate public |
| 25 And the requirement is based not on vehicle trips, | 25 facilities ordinance, bill 38-10 specifically removed the |


| 117 | 119 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 requirement that the buildings had to have been occupied | 1 HEARING EXAMINER: I get that. I guess my |
| 2 during the previous 12 months to obtain a credit. This | 2 question is, okay so you're this comprehensive vehicular site |
| 3 revision recognized that vacant building could be re-tenanted | 3 access study is focused on any issues relating just to the |
| 4 and should be evaluated with the view to that reality, which | 4 site access? |
| 5 is the case in this project. | 5 MS. RANDALL: That's correct, along with the |
| 6 The LATR methodology is how -- the methodology is | 6 parking, obviously. |
| 7 part of how adequate public facilities are determined. | 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, that's a parking |
| 8 Montgomery County Code Article 4, timely adequate public | 8 demand analysis -- |
| 9 facilities determination, Section 8-30 defines existing | 9 MS. RANDALL: Yes. |
| 10 building as a building that is substantially intact when an | 10 HEARING EXAMINER: Which is in the |
| 11 application for a building permit is filed. The effective | 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Yes |
| 12 removal of the previous language requiring occupancy is | 12 MS. RANDALL: A different part. |
| 13 consistent with how this credit has been interpreted and | 13 HEARING EXAMINER: A different binding element. |
| 14 applied by the Planning Board. | 14 MS. RANDALL: Yes, exactly. But it's -- |
| 15 The existing use credit in the LATR guidelines has | 15 HEARING EXAMINER: So what - |
| 16 long since been applied to projects in the county where prior | 16 MS. RANDALL: -- in that the parking that's |
| 17 uses have ceased. If the buildings have been occupied for | 17 required by code this project has met and the Town has asked |
| 18 more than 12 years. This was the case in the Art Space LMA | 18 for assurances and asked for that parking demand. Similarly, |
| 19 on Sligo Avenue. | 19 this site access is meeting all of -- or the property itself |
| 20 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Is any further traffic | 20 is meeting the requirements of the LATR, but the Town has |
| 21 analysis required under the LATR guidelines for this LMA 22 application? | 21 asked for more. And my client has accepted those binding 22 elements. |
| 23 MS. RANDALL: No. And the findings that the | 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, you've accepted doing a |
| 24 district council make in approving LMA are specifically tied 25 to the LATR guidelines. There are numerous LMA -- | 24 study. So -- but you haven't accepted what happens -- you 25 know, will the study address queuing at the intersection? |
| 118 | 120 |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, what about binding | 1 MS. RANDALL: I -- |
| 2 element number 2? | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: I'mjust trying to understand |
| 3 MS. RANDALL: Binding element number 2 is separate | 3 what these -- what exactly is being addressed by these |
| 4 and apart from the LATR guidelines. | 4 studies. I understand it's separate fromLATR and your |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. | 5 position is LATR doesn't apply and I submitted the background |
| 6 MS. RANDALL: This is with the Town and this | 6 and legislative history of 3817 or whatever the bill is. |
| 7 Applicant, and are not related to LATR. | 7 So -- but I'm trying to get what impacts are you looking at |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So what are you studying | 8 in this access study? So would it address queuing? |
| 9 in the comprehensive vehicular site access study and what | 9 MS. RANDALL: It's going to address queuing. It's |
| 10 issue are you going to work with in Chevy Chase III to | 10 going to look at changes to signing, changes to traffic |
| 11 address modified traffic impacts? Are you going to do | 11 control devices, that's why we met with County staff and with |
| 12 traffic counts or what's going to happen? | 12 SHA. As you know, this is a state road. And so we're in |
| 13 MS. RANDALL: We've actually started. We met with | 13 that the pinning stage. We'll have to go through this same |
| 14 staff and with the county. We've met with the State highway | 14 process again at preliminary -- and -- but we'll be looking |
| 15 administration. We've initially started this study. We have | 15 at all of those things for the Town. We have -- |
| 16 not completed it but we did do a count at the entrance. | 16 HEARING EXAMINER: But what else? You're looking |
| 17 We're looking at the location of the existing crosswalk. | 17 at whether a warrant is -- whether you can justify a warrant, |
| 18 Working with the State to see what enhancements, what | 18 correct? |
| 19 improvements we can make at this intersection. | 19 MS. RANDALL: Right. |
| 20 As you know, one of the other things that we're | 20 HEARING EXAMINER: I assume you're looking at what |
| 21 doing is making sure that we've got great connectivity for | 21 exactly are you looking at? You're looking at whether |
| 22 the pedestrian. We've got the bus stop located in front of | 22 signage would help? |
| 23 the site and so we're looking at all of those things in | 23 MS. RANDALL: We're going to be looking at the -- |
| 24 relation to this, but it's separate and apart from the | 24 HEARING EXAMINER: Signage is needed? |
| 25 findings of this LMA application. | 25 MS. RANDALL: -- whole of those things. And ifI |


| 121 | 123 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 can I'll read the binding element we're going to follow | 1 correct me if I'm wrong, what you're saying is that all those |
| 2 what's in that binding element with the Town. And again, | 2 factors, you're going to study will reflect on the safety of |
| 3 we're just in those initial stages but we're going to follow | 3 the intersection, and if those factors -- in other words, |
| 4 what's in that binding element and include those things. | 4 traffic counts, future traffic volume, they impact on the |
| 5 there are additional things that - | 5 safety of the intersection and if those show there's a |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER: But what -- I'm sorry to | 6 problem with the intersection, you'll work with SHA to |
| 7 interrupt. I get it. And I'm not trying to be hard on you. | 7 determine ways to mediate it; is that what I'm hearing you |
| 8 I just -- I need to make -- trying to get myself comforta |  |
| $9 \quad$ When you say you're looking at speed limits, what | 9 MS. RANDALL: No, I think it's more -- |
| 10 if there's a speeding problem? Nothing in this binding | 10 HEARING EXAMINER: But we don't know if there's |
| 11 element says you need to do anything about th | 11 a -- okay. |
| 12 MS. RANDALL: Well, first let me speak to speed | 12 MS. RANDALL: If I could, I believe that it's a |
| 13 limits. No private entity can change speed limits. | 13 more of the operational issues at this intersection. And I |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER: That's true. | 14 do have more information and more opportunities to provide |
| 15 MS. RANDALL: It's regulated by the state | 15 you with concerns to the safety is |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER: Right. | 16 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'll shut up then. And |
| 17 MS. RANDALL: So again, all of this is voluntary | 17 then, I also want to get into the parking demand analysis, |
| 18 and not a requirement to meet code requirements. And it | 18 but I'm going to let you proceed. |
| 19 is | 19 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Based on your testimony |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, why study speed limits | 20 in the record, you have confirmed that additional traffic |
| 21 if -- okay. What about -- | 21 analyses are not required? |
| 22 MS. RANDALL: I didn't say speed limits. I don't | 22 MS. RANDALL: That's correct. |
| 23 know where that came from | 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, they're not required |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER: It comes from your binding | 24 under the LATR. But there is a threshold finding that it's |
| 25 elements. What about existing turning movement? What are | 25 going to be the -- the development is going to be compatible |
| 122 | 12 |
| 1 you looking at there? What are you trying | 1 with -- |
| 2 MS. RANDALL: Again, this is | 2 MR. ROBINS: We've lost you. |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER: What impact are you trying to | 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Uh-oh. |
| 4 address by looking at existing turning movement? | 4 MR. ROBINS: You're on now, you're good. You're |
| 5 MS. RANDALL: I'm not quite sure how to address | 5 on now. |
| 6 that other than we're going to be looking to see whether or | 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, okay. Sorry. |
| 7 not the intersection would need the warrants for | 7 MR. ROBINS: Will you go back and think what you |
| 8 signalization. We'll look at the driveways to see whether or | 8 just said? |
| 9 not there are changes along Connecticut Avenue, changing how | 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah, there's no -- nothing |
| 10 the intersection functions as an example today. It is the | 10 as you argue, there's nothing required under the LATR but |
| 11 outbound lane which is the southern end or the southern - | 11 there is a compatibility requirement with adjacent uses. |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Right. It's a right in, right | 12 So -- and if we could just -- is there any inkling that you |
| 13 out. | 13 have now about whether there is an existing safety issue? |
| 14 MS. RANDALL: It is an exit only. It is one way | 14 MS. ROGERS: And I'm going to share my screen. |
| 15 and you must turn right. If this intersection were to be | 15 And Ms. Robeson Hanahan, I think as Ms. Alexander testified, |
| 16 signalized then there's opportunity for that intersection to | 16 to compatibility in terms of the built environment, how other |
| 17 allow for both left and right. So there would be potentially | 17 local map amendments applications have discussed that |
| 18 changes. But again, that requires the State Highway | 18 finding. We do have a follow-up question that Ms. Randall |
| 19 Administration and MCDOT to review those things. There is | 19 can speak to. |
| 20 opportunity to move the crosswalk, to lessen the interference | 20 HEARING EXAMINER: And Ms. Randall, I am -- is |
| 21 with different turning movements, whether it goes to the | 21 this the stuff that you are going to get to? I interrupted. |
| 22 northern end up by the entrance or to the southern end. All | 22 MS. RANDALL: Yeah. |
| 23 of these are going to be part of that review. | 23 MS. ROGERS: So we'll keep going because I think |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER: So what I -- I've got to put it | 24 we're going to answer your questions. So Ms. Randall, based |
| 25 in kind of plain language. I think what you're saying, but |  |



| 129 | 131 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 hose development. | 1 into the record to aid in -- |
| 2 And in the a.m. peak hour for Corso, the delay | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 3 drops down to 14.6. ... Wait a minute. Yes, sorry, 14.6. | 3 MS. ROGERS: It would be Exhibit 60. |
| 4 And for that same westbound approach and 27.6 for that same | 4 MS. RANDALL: And the 85 -- I give you a -- |
| 5 westbound approach. I wanted to show that not even the | 5 HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry. Is it Exhibit 60? |
| 6 approach has admit that. | 6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ye |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER: I under -- okay, thank you. | 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'm just going to call |
| 8 Okay. | 8 it |
| MS. RANDALL: You're welcome | 9 Does anyone object to introducing these? Okay. |
| 10 MS. ROGERS: And that Ms. Randall, just to confirm | 10 Hearing none, I'll admit them. Go ahead. |
| 11 for the record, in your professional opinion, is that | 11 MS. RANDALL: So the top left photograph is an |
| 12 additional level of analysis is that required under the local | 12 ariel shot of the stie and the two entrances. The top left |
| 13 map amendment finding district council MF | 13 photograph is a shot of the entrance into the site. Top -- |
| 14 MS. RANDALL: It is not. | 14 sorry, I'm left-handed, I always mix them up. |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I get your position on | 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Top right. |
| 16 that. And that's fine. | 16 MS. RANDALL: Top right, sorry. The bottom left |
| 17 MS. RANDALL: Okay. | 17 is a photograph of the exit and you can see the signing that |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER: You don't have to repeat | 18 is there. It's posted on both sides of that driveway |
| 19 Now, it says, in addition -- I'm on binding elements to, in | 19 indicating that no left turn is permitted. And then, also at |
| 20 addition, the Applicant will coordinate with Chevy Chase | 20 that entrance you can see the do not enter signs |
| 21 Section 3 to address traffic related impact to that | 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 22 neighborhood. Are you aware right now of any traffic | 22 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Ms. Randall, will the |
| I see Ms. Harney's hand up, but let me finish my question, | 23 proposed use have any adverse impacts on the existing site |
| 24 Ms. Harney, and you'll have a chance to ask questions. | 24 access and surrounding? |
| 25 Do you know of any traffic related impact to | 25 MS. RANDALL: No, it will not. And that's |
| 130 | 132 |
| 1 Section 3 right now? | 1 demonstrated again by the fact that this has been a long- |
| 2 MS. RANDALL: From this project? | 2 standing use at this site and this particular use will |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. | 3 generate 121 fewer a.m. and 60 fewer p.m peak person hour |
| 4 MS. RANDALL: No. | 4 trips than the 4-H center. |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Now, I've interrupted | 5 MS. ROGERS: Is the project proposing to retain |
| 6 you multiple times. If you can find your place where you | 6 the existing access points? |
| 7 were. | 7 MS. RANDALL: It is. |
| 8 MS. ROGERS: Yes. I think next we do have more | 8 MS. ROGERS: And so by virtue of the project |
| 9 questions, I think we'll get to some of the Hearing | 9 retaining the existing access points and your testimony |
| 10 Examiner's questions raised by email. But I'm going to | 10 regarding the reduction of trips, will the proposed project |
| 11 reshare my screen again. This is the ariel that we already | 11 have any adverse impacts? |
| 12 made part of the record earlier as Exhibit 54. | 12 MS. RANDALL: It will not. |
| 13 Ms. Randall, can you please describe how vehicles | 13 MS. ROGERS: Per binding element number 2, I think |
| 14 currently enter and exit the subject property and the | 14 the Hearing Examiner has noted the Applicant has voluntarily |
| 15 proposed access for the project? | 15 agreed to continue to coordinate regarding the vehicular |
| 16 MS. RANDALL: Yes. The northern entrance into the | 16 access at the site. In your professional opinion will any |
| 17 site provides the inbound access. The -- a southbound right- | 17 improvements that result from that coordination with DOT and |
| 18 hand turn or a northbound left turn at that median break. | 18 FHA , and various stakeholders, only result in further |
| 19 The southern access point is signed for right turn only | 19 improvement of the site access points? |
| 20 There is a single lane coming out and you can somewhat see | 20 MS. RANDALL: It will. |
| 21 the pavement marking striping for the southbound and you can | 21 MS. ROGERS: Is an analysis of crash data required |
| 22 also see the signs that say do not enter. | 22 for a local map amendment application? |
| 23 MS. ROGERS: All right. I just thought this would | 23 MS. RANDALL: Not for this, no. |
| 24 help in Ms. Randall's testimony. But this would be a new | 24 MS. ROGERS: And although from your testimony it's |
| 25 exhibit with existing site photos. If we could enter that | 25 not required, have you prepared an analysis regarding recent |


| 133 | 135 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 crash history in the vicinity of the property and for the | 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Right. |
| 2 record, this is an additional exhibit that Ms. Randall | 2 MS. RANDALL: That's what -- |
| 3 testified to that summarizes the crash data in response to | 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. |
| 4 the Hearing Examiner's request. | 4 MS. RANDALL: And these were all listed in the |
| 5 MS. RANDALL: Yes, I have | 5 State Highway Administration accident report as being |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER: I'm going to label that Exhibit | 6 associated with this area of the intersection be it Taylor |
| 7 61. You're going to send us all of these exhibits, right? | 7 Avenue or the two driveways associated with 4-H. |
| 8 MS. ROGERS: You ca get them immediately following | 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 9 the hearing, correct. | 9 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Ms. Randall, Section |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I think they like | 10 7.2.1.2.E.2.e requires a floating zone plan will generate |
| 11 accident data vs. just data. Okay. Go a | 11 traffic that does not exceed the critical lane volume or |
| 12 MS. RANDALL: We took a review. This is | 12 volume past the ration standard that is applicable under the |
| 13 information provided by the Maryland State Highway | 13 planning Board's LATR guideline or traffic exceeds the |
| 14 Administration, with all recorded accidents throughout the | 14 applicable standards that the Applicant has demonstrated an |
| 15 state whether they are in the -- on county roads or municipal | 15 ability to mitigate such adverse impact. |
| 16 roads or state roads, they're all collected and compiled by | 16 In your professional opinion, will the project |
| 17 the State Highway Administration. This summary is from that | 17 satisfy this finding? |
| 18 published data that we get through Montgomery County as well | 18 MS. RANDALL: It will. Again, it's going to be 82 |
| 19 as the State Highway Administration. | 19 fewer vehicular trips in the morning peak hour and 46 fewer |
| 20 We looked at the last five years and two months | 20 vehicular trips in the p.m peak hour. In addition, you have |
| 21 because we're two months in into 2023. And in -- starting in | 21 the provided analysis that, in addition to that, the very low |
| 222018 there were a total of three accidents. One was property | 22 traffic generator just by the nature of the senior housing |
| 23 damage. Two were an injury, but not severe injury, but two | 23 and assisted living, especially when compared to what 4-H w |
| 24 injury crashes. One was a sideswipe. One was a rear-end. | 24 and had the potential to generate. |
| 25 And one an angled accident. | 25 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Is this property served |
| 134 | 136 |
| 1 And that angled accident occurred with a vehicle | 1 by public transportation and if so what transportation? |
| 2 exiting Taylor Avenue, turning northbound on Connecticut | 2 MS. RANDALL: Yes, it is. It's served by the |
| 3 Avenue. And someone - | 3 Ride-on-Bus Route 1 and 11. It's also served by the metro |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER: So it was turning right coming | 4 bus line L8. The bus stops are located right here at this |
| 5 out of Taylor? | 5 intersection adjacent to our property and on the other side |
| 6 MS. RANDALL: That's correct. To travel north. | 6 of Connecticut Avenue. There are bus stops immediately |
| 7 All of these accidents on the northbound side of Connecticut | 7 adjacent to the property and Taylor Avenue. |
| 8 Avenue. | $8 \quad$ The purple line stop is about a mile to the north |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay | 9 and the Bethesda Metro station is about a mile to the west, |
| 10 MS. ROGERS: In 2019 there were no recorded | 10 as a crow flies in Bethesda. |
| 11 accidents. In 2020 there was one. In 2021, there were two | 11 MS. ROGERS: Do you believe from a traffic |
| 12 accidents and in 2022 and in 2023, the two months, there were | 12 standpoint that the use will be compatible with the |
| 13 no accidents reported. So we have a total of 6 accidents | 13 surrounding area? |
| 14 over five years. Three of which were property damage and | 14 MS. RANDALL: I do. |
| 15 three of which were injury accidents. | 15 MS. ROGERS: Is there -- and is your belief that |
| 16 The majority of the accidents were rear | 16 the use is compatible based on the testimony you gave |
| 17 accidents that occurred along this stretch of Connecticut | 17 regarding the LATR standards? |
| 18 avenue. Two were a sideswipe and one was that angled | 18 MS. RANDALL: It is. |
| 19 accident that I mentioned that occurred in 2018. | 19 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Is there anything else |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Did you say all six | 20 that you would like to add? |
| 21 accidents occurred on the eastern side of Connecticut exiting | 21 MS. RANDALL: I just wanted to reiterate that the |
| 22 the northbound flow direction of Connecticut. | 22 existing site and the prior use was generating significantly |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. | 23 more traffic than this. I think this will be a positive for |
| 24 MS. RANDALL: So on the east side of the roadway | 24 the surrounding neighborhoods as well as traffic operations |
| 25 or east of the median. | 25 on Connecticut Avenue. It's a quiet residential use. And |


| 137 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: |
| the access, long-standing access is going to go through as we indicated voluntarily a review an at this point we're not anticipating any major changes. There's no access, which I think is really important to both the Town as well as this project, there's no direct vehicular access to any other town streets. It's on Connecticut Avenue only. <br> And as we noted in the binding elements, not only are we going to meet the requirements of the County but we believe that there will be adequate parking on the site but in order to assure the Town we're going to be doing a parking demand analysis as well. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: And your -- is the basis of your opinion that there will be adequate parking on the site, is that because it meets the requirements of the zoning ordinance? Or have you -- do you have another basis? <br> MS. RANDALL: I think that my client would be the better one to answer his experience with Park and -HEARING EXAMINER: I see. Okay. <br> MS. ROGERS: But as far as the code is concerned, it's been my experience the code requirements are more likely to be generous and provide too much parking in many instances. So we've met the code, and I believe, correct me if I'm wrong, I think we're exceeding that code requirement. But I think the client would be the better person to talk about his demands on site. | on Connecticut Avenue. So Taylor Street, and thanks for the correction. I live in Annapolis and very close to Taylor Avenue so it's always in my mind, sorry. So Taylor Street, we look at the volume of traffic that wants to turn right as well as left and they're competing with the northbound flow on Connecticut Avenue and the southbound flow on Connecticut Avenue, particularly if you're making a left-hand turn. <br> In addition to that, for -- because of the offset, as you know, for our exits vehicles can only make the righthand turn through that intersection. So there is very little influence from our site traffic exiting, but there is influence for the northbound flow because we add volume for that -- that volume that will be turning left into our entrance. So there is influence from our project. We add volume to that northbound flow which goes past Taylor Street to turn left into the site. So that it is looked at and how we analyze what's happening on Taylor. <br> MS. HARNEY: Okay. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Do you have any other <br> questions, Ms. Harney? <br> MS. HARNEY: No, I have my own take on this, but <br> I'll wait until the appropriate time for that. I think <br> Barney has some questions. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Rush? <br> Mr. Rush? |
| 138 | 140 |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. | 1 MR. DALRYMPLE: |
| 2 MS. RANDALL: Based on other | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: |
| 3 worked on. | 3 MR. RUSH: I just took myself off mute. Thank |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Anything els | 4 you. |
| 5 MS. RANDALL: No. Just thank you for the | 5 HEARING EXAMINER: There you go. |
| 6 opportunity to speak before you today. Thank you. | 6 MR. RUSH: Happens to all of us all the time. |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, thank you. Okay. Ms. | 7 We're trying to be polite, and we don't notice. |
| 8 Harney has had her -- I think, Ms. Harney and Mr. Rush's hand | 8 HEARING EXAMINER: I know. |
| 9 is up and I don't know if Mr. Dalrymple has any questions. | 9 MR. RUSH: Thank you for this opportunity. And |
| 10 So Ms. Harney, you've been very patient 11 you ask questions. | $11 \text { HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, please do. }$ |
| 12 MS. HARNEY: I just have -- I have my own | 12 MR. RUSH: And like Andy, I have other comments to |
| 13 presentation which I'll wait until the end, until it's an | 13 make in my presentation but on the questions. Correct me if |
| 14 appropriate time, but I did have a question. | 14 I 'm wrong, but the assumption was that the amount of use |
| 15 You referred to Taylor, which is by the way Taylor | 15 of -- the traffic volume associated with the $4-\mathrm{H}$ when it was |
| 16 Street, not Taylor Avenue | 16 operating was deemed to be the equivalent of a 350 room |
| 17 MS. RANDALL: My apologie | 17 hotel; is that correct? |
| 18 MS. HARNEY: And the 4-H, talking about the | 18 MS. RANDALL: Let me pull out my trip generation |
| 19 intersection and all your calculations. It's not a | 19 table for you so I can read through what it was. It was 183 |
| 20 normalized intersection. It's an offset intersection | 20 room hotel. |
| 21 puzzled by how you could come up with statistics on delays | 21 MR. RUSH: Oh, a 183 room hotel. Okay. |
| 22 from where? At what point are there delays because it's an | 22 MS. RANDALL: Yes. And 93,301 square feet of |
| 23 offset intersection? | 23 corporate headquarters building. |
| 24 MS. RANDALL: Each approach in the analysis is 25 looked at by the demand, the northbound and southbound flow | 24 MR. RUSH: Okay. Was there ever any effort to 25 actually talk to the 4-H management as to what was the level |

of traffic that they actually generated at the site?
MS. RANDALL: No.
MR. RUSH: The reason why am asking these questions is because from the point of view of near residents, when they saw those numbers of trips and generated they were quite astonished and thought that was a great deal higher than what, in fact, we normally happened. You had kids coming in by buses occasionally, a lot of kids walking around, but the idea of ongoing, regular traffic was something which was not at least apparent to the residents. And I guess, the question I have is if this really the best proxy to get at the actual level of use of the $4-\mathrm{H}$ center, and should there have been something else, and should there have been some effort to have actually found out the levels of traffic that the management of the $4-\mathrm{H}$ center would have represented took place?

MS. RANDALL: The way the LATR guidelines work is for an existing use, or a proposed use, we are required to use the ITE trip generation rates. If I were to go to a specific gas station and run counsel for that particular gas station, if it was being replaced by something else, it's going to be different every time. We are required to use ITE. The site may have been more, it may have been less, sometimes higher and lower, this is the way the process works. Both with any study that I would do for the State

Highway Administration, Montgomery County, Prince George's
County, we go and use ITE. So we have a direct corresponding trip generation comparison.

For the assisted living and the memory care, this all comes, similarly, from national data. We don't go and collect at course those other facilities. We use ITE as our direct comparison.

MR. RUSH: Okay. So when you were saying that there are many fewer trips than existed, that's not a matter of fact, that they matter of supposition based off of the assumptions that you feel you're required to use through the ITE the data?

MS. RANDALL: I wouldn't say they're assumption. It's a requirement of data that has been collected over 30 or 40 years for the various uses that are listed. So that's our comparison. That's our Delta that we're using for this.

MR. RUSH: Understood. But again, I'm not blaming -- this is what you're saying you have to do, is the statement that this effectively was a hotel, and this effectively was a corporate headquarters, which the residents
believe was certainly not representative, it was actually a much lower level of traffic generated. But I understand what you're saying, which is this is what you're required to do.

Let me ask one other question. I just want to make clear the end, that all this was derived from that data
which was not data of the facility, and I just want to make sure that clear for the record. They -- and that is one of the reasons of a major discrepancy in the views of the residents versus the statements that are in your account.

The other is, I want to make sure, it's very important for us in regard to the study that going to be done, which is what we are really relying on, is that that is going to be based off of actual data, and then a view of what will be the use, the actual use in generated from the new project. That's what really matters to us. There isn't going to be any credit given against it deemed prior use in the context of that study; is that correct?

MS. RANDALL: That's correct because when we do this analysis, we will be looking at the existing volumes that are on the road today. Obviously, 4-H is no longer 6 operating on the building. So we want to understand exactly 17 what will be coming and going from this particular property. This is not about credit, this is not about the regulations and requirements or meeting the zoning test in this case.

This is separate and apart, and a voluntary study that we're going to be doing. So our base will be the existing volume plus the layering on top of that, the anticipated trip generation for this project into that analysis.

MR. RUSH: Fair enough. And just for the record, I would probably not use the term voluntary in this sense. It was the result of the discussion with the Town, which is fundamental to us, and part of the reason why we're supporting the project. So you can take that into account. MS. RANDALL: Yes. MS. ROGERS: I think she's trying to distinguish his zoning code requirements from --

MS. RANDALL: Well, it's separate and apart. That's correct. Sorry if that was a poor choice of words. MR. RUSH: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER: But you're only looking -MR. RUSH: Thank you. Those are my questions. HEARING EXAMINER: -- at safety, right? You're not looking at delay analysis or anything like that?
15 MS. RANDALL: We'll be looking at -- again, this 16 is why we met with staff and client we met with the State 17 Highway Administration. We're going to be looking at many 18 different things. You know, what traffic control devices? 19 You know, should this be signalized? Should immediately 20 break to be opened or closed, or -- there's just a whole host 21 of things. It's not just about safety, though that's an 22 element of it. Should we locate the crosswalk? Would it be 23 better served north or south? That doesn't necessarily mean
24 it's safety, much as usability of that crosswalk. And so
25 it's a whole host of things in looking at this intersection
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as a whole.
    MR. RUSH: Okay. Good. Bob, I don't know if you
had any questions you wanted to ask?
    MR. DALRYMPLE: I was going to go where you went
Barney, but I'll just -- I don't think it's a bad thing to
have it repeated again. But just for clarity. Binding
element number 2, the analyses will be based on existing
traffic and then traffic anticipated by the new Corso
project. There will not be a credit for existing 4-H use?
    MS. RANDALL: Yes, that is correct.
    MR. DALRYMPLE: Okay.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Is that language you want added
to the binding element, or is that -- you're just clarifying
both of the studies?
    MR. RUSH:The latter. We did not read it to
imply that there would be credit, or just wanted to confirm
that there would not be.
    MR. DALRYMPLE: I actually got confused by the
testimony because I had understood binding element 2 to be
what we just talked about and not a negative value for new
development being assigned to it.
    MS. RANDALL: Yes.
    MR. DALRYMPLE: As would be the case with the
LATR.
    MS. RANDALL: Yes, exactly. I was speaking in
```

    terms of the production speaking strictly in relation to the
    LATR.
    MR. DALRYMPLE: Understood, ma'am. And then, I
    guess, just out of curiosity, with the exhibit that was a
photo of the egress, if it's right only, why are there two
lines?

MS. RANDALL: One of those lanes is actually marked off. If you were to zoom back towards the building, it's almost functioning like a parking lane. You can't enter that lane. It channelized since everybody to the south lane through the use of pavement markings.

MR. DALRYMPLE: With a split intersection such as this, how is the warrant study done for the state highway? Is it -- I'm just wondering if it was a consolidated entrance with the signalization warrant be a different study?

MS. RANDALL: No, it wouldn't. Because of the way 17 warrant studies are done the first test is the major street 18 which is Connecticut Avenue. The volume on Connecticut 19 Avenue both northbound and southbound is added together to 20 get a value for 13 hours through the course of the day, based 21 on the number of lanes. So we are looking at a total volume.
22 Then, the side streets are looked at separately. They are 23 never added together. So it doesn't matter whether they're 24 offset or not, there are plenty of offset intersections 25 around the state that are signalized, and coordinated
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together.
And so you look at the side streets to see whether or not the side street, whether it's the east side, or the west side will meet the volume warrants that are required for that side street. And that's again, based on the number of lanes, and the volume exiting, not entering, exiting. The volume on the main line, whether it's making a turn, a right or a left, is just counted as part of that base volume on Connecticut Avenue. The turns into an out of a entrance from Connecticut Avenue are not factored into a warrant study the way the exiting volume from Taylor Street and the side access would be.

And we're going to look at this in relation to not
just the right turns out of our site, but to see whether or
not Lance -- whether it would work with left turn volume. So
we are going to be looking, as I said, at a lot of different
things at this intersection when we do that warrant study.
MR. DALRYMPLE: Just a couple of other questions or clarifications. On the parking demand study that you anticipate doing, will that take into consideration overlapping shifts?

MS. RANDALL: It will.
MR. DALRYMPLE: In other words, the spaces won't be freed up until the last shift leaves?

MS. RANDALL: Yes. Yes, it will.
1 MR. DALRYMPLE: Okay. And then, also just for curiosity, because I think the Town does have some concerns about the use, the ITE use categories that are being used for the previous 4-H use. If you were to -- I think that -- how should I put this. Using a hotel category with a conference, or a headquarter site, not sure is an adequate description of what that use was. And I'm just wondering if you contemplated if there were other use categories in ITE that might more accurately reflect what the impact of that truly work?
11 MS. RANDALL: Yeah. And we did. And we had 12 conversations, obviously, with staff. ITE is somewhat 3 limited, but it was felt that this was the best fit. But, we 14 did the lowest of volume based on the options that staff had 15 given and through their discussions. There is meeting space 16 on this campus. And we could have taken that meeting space 17 and counted it as part of the corporate headquarter portion 18 of this.
19 Instead, we put that meeting space into the hotel 20 because hotels have it meeting space, and so as I described 21 before, all of the trips associated with the use are counted 22 within that traffic impact study. So rather than pulling 23 that out and put in that space into corporate headquarters, 24 we put it into the hotel use. If we had pulled it out, this 25 trip generation comparison would have been a much greater
1
15

| 149 | 151 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 reduction. So we were careful to take the most conservative | 1 higher? |
| 2 approach on this. | 2 MS. RANDALL: It would have. |
| 3 MR. DALRYMPLE: If you were to have not taken any | 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Not just for the corporate |
| 4 credit for existing use and you were just doing your study | 4 headquarters, but for the whole site? |
| 5 based on existing counts, as we're going to do with binding | 5 MS. RANDALL: Well, it's a combination. It is the |
| 6 element number 2, where you just do an existing count with | 6 site. It was -- it is the hotel portion of the site as well |
| 7 traffic from the Corso project would that have triggered a 50 | 7 as the corporate headquarters. Okay? That's all part of |
| 8 person threshold, do you know? | 8 what 4-H w |
| 9 MS. RANDALL: Ofcourse. Yes. | 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Right. But if you would added |
| 10 MR. DALRYMPLE: Okay. I don't have any other | 10 the meeting rooms to the corporate -- as a corporate |
| 11 questions. I don't know, Madame Hearing Examiner, if you do, | 11 headquarters use under the ITE would the trip generation for |
| 12 or Mayor Rush, any additional questions? | 12 the entire site have been higher? |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER: I just had -- but what you're | 13 MS. RANDALL: For the existing 4-H side, yes, it |
| 14 saying, the hotel is a higher trip generation? | 14 would have been. Okay. |
| 15 MS. RANDALL: The hotel. | 15 HEARING EXAMINER: So why did you not add |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER: Full disclosure, I was a 4-Hr | 16 meeting space? Why did you choose -- you chose to add it to |
| 17 fromDerek County and I've been at that p | 17 hotel because the conventio |
| 18 But the hotel was the higher trip generation? | 18 MS. RANDALL: Because it doesn't get counted in |
| 19 MS. RANDALL: No, that's incorrect | 19 the hotel. I'm trying to be conservative in my analysis. |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay | 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, wouldn't that be less |
| 21 MS. RANDALL: What we did for the hotel to work | 21 conservat |
| 22 the trip generation was, it wasn't based on the number of | 22 MS. RANDALL: No. No. Because if-- I'mjust -- |
| 23 rooms. That's the independent variable in ITE. It's a based | 23 HEARING EXAMINER: I told you |
| 24 on rooms. It's not based on | 24 MS. RANDALL: Can I do a quick example? So if |
| 25 (Crosstalk) | 25 I've got an existing use on the site and I run the |
| 150 | 52 |
| HEARING EXAMINER: For a hotel? | 1 calculation and I'm just going to use raw numbers because |
| 2 MS. RANDALL: -- of a meeting space. | 2 it's far easier. So if I've got an existing use on the site |
| 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. | 3 and my hotel is going to generate 50 trips. Okay? And in |
| 4 MS. RANDALL: Based on the number of rooms. And | 4 that hotel I have some meeting space. Its' still going to be |
| 5 so hotels, generally, have meeting space. They have | 550 trips because it's based on, and I'm throwing a number |
| 6 restaurant space. They have all these different things | 6 out, 50 rooms. Okay? So I've got 50 trips, 50 rooms. |
| 7 contained within a hotel. But you don't pull those | 7 It has a whole bunch of meeting space. Then, I've |
| 8 individual things out. In ITE hotels are based on the number | 8 got corporate headquarters and it's 50,000 square feet and |
| 9 of rooms provided, knowing that they got all of these other | 9 it's going to generate 100 trips. Okay? Now, I've got this |
| 10 ancillary services. So I use the hotel rooms. | 10 meeting space, but it's sitting in this separate building and |
| 11 If I had pulled this out, because that meeting | 11 let's say that meeting space is 25,000 square feet. So now, |
| 12 space is not within that hotel building itself, on the | 12 I can either say it's associated with the hotel, it doesn't |
| 13 campus. It was its own separate entity. So if I had counted | 13 generate anything different. Okay? Or I can put it into |
| 14 that meeting space as part of the corporate headquarter | 14 corporate headquarters so now I've got 75,000 square feet of |
| 15 space, that would have increased the square footage of the | 15 headquarters. So instead of the, I believe I used -- |
| 16 corporate headquarter space, which is how we calculate the | 16 MS. ROGERS: You said 100. |
| 17 trip generation for that use, which is based on square | 17 MS. RANDALL: -- a hundred, thank you. I'm now |
| 18 footage. So the corporate headquarters trip generation would | 18 going to generate 125 trips for the corporate headquarters. |
| 19 have gone up. It wouldn't have changed my hotel number at | 19 Okay? So by not putting it into that 100 trips and leaving |
| 20 all. It's I -- | 20 it in hotel, I have this baseline of 150 trips. Okay? |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER: But which -- okay. I get it. | 21 Headquarters at 100, the hotel at 50 and we're pretending |
| 22 MS. RANDALL: Okay. | 22 that the meeting space is in the hotel. All right? So it's |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER: So if you had added it to | 23 not generating anything more. |
| 24 the -- is corporate headquarters -- so if you had added it to | 24 Then, I'm comparing it to what Corso wants to do |
| 25 corporate headquarters, would the trip generation have been | 25 and they're going to generate 25 trips and I'm throwing, |
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again -- throwing a number out. If my subtraction is from
150, all right, versus 200, what's more --
    HEARING EXAMINER: I get it. I'm sorry. I
understand. It's just that the credit --
    MS. RANDALL: It's the credit.
    HEARING EXAMINER: -- would be higher.
    MR. DALRYMPLE: Right.
    MS. RANDALL: Exactly. Exactly.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
10 MS. RANDALL: I was trying to be as conservative
as I could be with this.
    HEARING EXAMINER: I understand and I apologize.
I apologize if I'm slow.
    Are there any other questions? Okay. Hearing
15 none, we have lunch to talk about. Do you want to shorten -
6 - how many people would like to testify that are going to be
1 7 \text { called either by Mr. Dalrymple or Ms. Harney? How many}
18 people do we have that want to testify after this?
MS. HARNEY: It's just me from Section 3, and
20 Barney
MR. RUSH: Yeah, I'll be testifying as well.
22 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So I guess are we
2 3 \text { comfortable with taking an hour for lunch, or would you}
24 prefer to take less?
25 MR. ROBINS: Yeah, I -- we would be fine with less
time if that's good with you.
    MR. DALRYMPLE: Yeah, a half-hour would be fine.
    MS. HARNEY: I agree.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. If it's all right with
the parties, what I really want to do is make sure this
```



```
right?
    HEARING EXAMINER: Well is it -- let me just
check, is Ms. Harney here?
    MS. HARNEY: I am here, and I defer to Barney
because it's his turf.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
    MR. RUSH: That's a rather grandiose way to refer
to the capacity of being mayor. It's not my turf.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Rush, please state
your name and address? I think I already swore you in?
    MR. RUSH: Yes, you did.
    HEARING EXAMINER: But I do need you to state your
name, address, and email address for the record, please.
    MR. RUSH: Sure. My name is Barney Rush. The
address is 6917 Maple Avenue, Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815,
and my email address is Brush@townofchevychase.org.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. What would
you like to say?
    MR. RUSH: Okay. Thank you. Well, thank you very
much Ms. Robeson Hannan, I appreciate the chance to testify
here today. For the opportunity to comment on local map
amendment H-148. First, we'd like to -- and the Town, with
like to thank the Planning Department staff for the vital
role that they have played for doing the plan and
development. They have been available to hear our views and
be sure that we received information on a timely basis, and
made the process clear to us. And overall, the planning
staff and the Town agreed on many of the important elements
in this application,
doesn't carry over into a second day. And you want some --
the Applicant may want some follow up after we hear from Ms.
Harney and Mr. Rush. So I appreciate your patience and if
I've beat dead horses it's because I'mhungry. All right.
So we will take -- we will be back -- we're going to adjourn
for a half an hour. We'll all be back at 2:00 p.m
    COURT REPORTER: Ma'am, we should leave the link
up and just mute it.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Leave the meeting up, but
please, mute your (inaudible).
    MS. ROGERS: Oh, you --
    (Off the record at 1:29 p.m, resuming at 2:02
p.m)
19 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. We are back on the
20 record. Ms. Rogers, and Mr. Robins, I think you had finished
your case in chief, is that correct?
    MS. ROGERS: That's correct.
    HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So I think we have
Ms. Harney and Mr. Rush up to -- want to speak. Who wants to
go first?
again -- throwing a number out. If my subtraction is from 150, all right, versus 200, what's more --

HEARING EXAMINER: I get it. I'm sorry. I
understand. It's just that the credit --
MS. RANDALL: It's the credit.
HEARING EXAMINER: -- would be higher.
MR. DALRYMPLE: Right.
MS. RANDALL: Exactly. Exactly.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
10 MS. RANDALL: I was trying to be as conservative as I could be with this.
12 HEARING EXAMINER: I understand and I apologize. 13 I apologize if I'm slow.
14 Are there any other questions? Okay. Hearing
15 none, we have lunch to talk about. Do you want to shorten 16 - how many people would like to testify that are going to be 17 called either by Mr. Dalrymple or Ms. Harney? How many 18 people do we have that want to testify after this?
19 MS. HARNEY: It's just me from Section 3, and
20 Barney.
MR. RUSH: Yeah, I'll be testifying as well.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So I guess are we comfortable with taking an hour for lunch, or would you prefer to take less?

MR. ROBINS: Yeah, I -- we would be fine with less
time if that's good with you.
MR. DALRYMPLE: Yeah, a half-hour would be fine.
MS. HARNEY: I agree.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. If it's all right with
the parties, what I really want to do is make sure this
doesn't carry over into a second day. And you want some --
the Applicant may want some follow up after we hear from Ms.
Harney and Mr. Rush. So I appreciate your patience and if
I've beat dead horses it's because I'mhungry. All right.
So we will take -- we will be back -- we're going to adjourn
for a half an hour. We'll all be back at 2:00 p.m
COURT REPORTER: Ma'am, we should leave the link up and just mute it.

HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Leave the meeting up, but please, mute your (inaudible).

MS. ROGERS: Oh, you --
(Off the record at 1:29 p.m, resuming at 2:02 p.m)

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. We are back on the record. Ms. Rogers, and Mr. Robins, I think you had finished your case in chief, is that correct?

MS. ROGERS: That's correct.
HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So I think we have
Ms. Harney and Mr. Rush up to -- want to speak. Who wants to go first?
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. RUSH: And now, to reverse the complement that
we all heard earlier today from the side of the developers,
we would also like to thank the developers, Community 3 and
10 its president, Grant Epstein and the Galerie living and its
11 president Tim Carey -- Tim Gary. They have made several
2 presentation to our Town and residents including the
3 Walkinour, that grant you referred to around the facility,
4 hosted us for a visit at one of their retirement communities
15 in Atlanta, which was very helpful to us, and in general,
16 paid very close attention to the Town views and concerns.
17 As a result of this productive engagement, Corso
18 has committed in the proposed binding elements to address
19 issues that matter a great deal to our residence, and to
20 ensure compatibility with the single-family homes in our
21 community. For example, Corso has agreed to prohibit
22 construction of new public streets through the property,
23 provide -- it will provide certain paths connecting the
24 development to our community. It will place 3 acres of their
25 land in a category I conservation easement, and reducing the

MR. RUSH: I guess I will go first if that's all right?

HEARING EXAMINER: Well is it -- let me just
check, is Ms. Harney here?
MS. HARNEY: I am here, and I defer to Barney
because it's his turf.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. RUSH: That's a rather grandiose way to refer to the capacity of being mayor. It's not my turf.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Rush, please state your name and address? I think I already swore you in?

MR. RUSH: Yes, you did.
HEARING EXAMINER: But I do need you to state your name, address, and email address for the record, please.

MR. RUSH: Sure. My name is Barney Rush. The address is 6917 Maple Avenue, Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815, and my email address is Brush@townofchevychase.org.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. What would you like to say?

MR. RUSH: Okay. Thank you. Well, thank you very much Ms. Robeson Hannan, I appreciate the chance to testify here today. For the opportunity to comment on local map amendment \(\mathrm{H}-148\). First, we'd like to -- and the Town, with like to thank the Planning Department staff for the vital role that they have played for doing the plan and
development. They have been available to hear our views and be sure that we received information on a timely basis, and made the process clear to us. And overall, the planning staff and the Town agreed on many of the important elements in this application,

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. RUSH: And now, to reverse the complement that we all heard earlier today from the side of the developers,
9 we would also like to thank the developers, Community 3 and
10 its president, Grant Epstein and the Galerie living and its
11 president Tim Carey -- Tim Gary. They have made several
12 presentation to our Town and residents including the
13 Walkinour, that grant you referred to around the facility, 14 hosted us for a visit at one of their retirement communities 15 in Atlanta, which was very helpful to us, and in general, 16 paid very close attention to the Town views and concerns.
17 As a result of this productive engagement, Corso 18 has committed in the proposed binding elements to address 19 issues that matter a great deal to our residence, and to 20 ensure compatibility with the single-family homes in our 21 community. For example, Corso has agreed to prohibit 2 construction of new public streets through the property, 3 provide -- it will provide certain paths connecting the 4 development to our community. It will place 3 acres of their 25 land in a category I conservation easement, and reducing the
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 157 & 159 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
heights of the façades facing the Town on the perimeter buildings. \\
The commitments made by Corso to undertake the comprehensive traffic and parking studies are also of vital importance to us. And I'll say more about those down below. \\
Considering the modifications made to the plan \\
providing elements set out in the application, and Corso's commitment to enter into a separate declaration of covenants with the Town, which will include certain items not included 0 in these and binding elements, the Town of Chevy Chase supports this LMA application. This is the unanimous view of the town council, and we've come to that view after carefiul solicitation of views of the residents and particularly the residents and near the development. Therefore, we look forward to the timely development and construction of this project and to the Corso community becoming a part of the Town of Chevy Chase. \\
Regarding the draft set of binding elements now before you, we have one significant comment. The traffic in the parking studies should be undertaken and completed sufficiently in advance of preliminary plan of subdivision and site plan reviews so that the Town and other interested parties can review these studies and thereby determine \\
24 appropriate positions to be taken at the time of such \\
25 reviews. The relevant binding elements in the transmittal
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
forest ordinance and seek applicable variances thereof. The Town will see, to the extent feasible, to preserve trees along the perimeter of the limits of disturbance, and where possible, other trees that are not within the footprints of the proposed buildings. Traffic. Corso commits to a comprehensive vehicular site at this study referred to previously, which will include a forecast of future traffic volumes and a signal warrant analysis. The Applicant also commits to working with the State Highway Administration and the Town to determine -- and it also now includes Section 3, to determine the need for traffic management measures along Connecticut Avenue. We appreciate the specificity of this binding element as the issue is of vital interest to the Town. \\
And I want to make sure, I'm going to ad lib from my written testimony. As you heard from the questioning I had before, the Town is deeply skeptical of the estimated amount of traffic arising previously from the \(4-\mathrm{H}\) center. We can't -- we're not technical experts, and we can't speak to the right proxies to use. We will simply observe that the headquarters here had a very small staff. We will observe that the residents of this quote/unquote hotel for students who did not have cars, did not drive, and by and large robust around. The idea that they represent the right proxies to use it's what we referred to before by Ms. Randall, strikes
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
from the Planning Board state only that the studies need to be commissioned by the time of the submission of plan applications. \\
Now, what they interpret the word, commissioned, to be authorized and perhaps initiated, but we feel strongly that the studies need to be completed before submission of these applications. That's really the only comment I have on the binding elements themselves. We also recognize that there are many issues of great importance to the Town that 0 are not resolved during the LMA application process, but will be determined at the subsequent preliminary plan and subdivision and site plan. \\
And while we are pleased though, is that the LMA \\
application commits Corso to specific measures that ensure town engagement on these issues. And I'm going to list a few that are most important to us. First, stormwater management. \\
The town appointed engineer will be included in the review of stormwater management plans and strategies. \\
In the revised plans, we take note of the use of green roofs. We find these a very desirable feature and encourage their implementation. Forest conservation. The town forester will be included in discussions regarding the creation and maintenance of the conservation easement. In 24 addition, Corso has committed in its letter to the town dated 25 January 13, 2023, that it will comply with the Town's urban
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
us as wildly excessive. We do not believe that that's an accurate representation of what actually happened at the site regardless of what might be required by other regulations. \\
This does not inherently disturb us because -because of the obligation to undertake the study. And the clarification that both Bob Dalrymple and I went over is very important, we want the study to be as realistic as possible. So it should take a count of current counts and a realistic understanding. That, to us, is a sound basis to determine 10 appropriate traffic are potentially traffic mitigation measures. So that's why we care so much about the study. We do not accept the representations of materially reduced traffic against previous use of proxies that we don't think 4 are appropriate. \\
On parking adequacy, I think there too that study 16 is very important to us. We fully understand that the 17 Applicant believes that that there is a very adequate amount 8 of parking and we certainly respect the professional care 9 with which they would have developed any estimates based upon their vast experience in retirement communities, that obviously we in the Town don't have. \\
And offhand, 500 spaces sounds like a very \\
reasonable number, so maybe that's just fine. But what we \\
24 have not seen in the background materials was that careful, \\
25 kind of buildup that would say okay, here is the amount of
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
use of that's expected of residents, here's the use and the timing of the use from employees, and then, most importantly, visitors and the visitors schedule, especially on heavy visitor days. So what we're looking for are those, much more concrete building up of a stack of traffic, if you will, of parking requirements, if you will, than we have seen before. \\
And in terms of the binding element, and to the point that you've been making Ms. Hearing Examiner, I think the issue for us is the -- the way we've interpreted the draft, as it is currently stated is that if the parking study, built on the different elements that that I mentioned proof to show there was an in adequate amount of traffic, then per se, that binding element would not be met because they wouldn't be able to assure us that there is enough parking. \\
It would, in fact, require some additional changes in the physical plans, such as that the study then, if it had to be redone or amended would be adequate. So we felt that there was protection built into that. Having said that, if there's wording that certain more felicitous or clear to make the intent more clear, we're happy to think about that as well. \\
And clearly, from the point of view of the Town, our perspective is that we want to see that kind of detail in a parking analysis. It may show that everything is fine and
\end{tabular} & ```
to that continuing as we work through the remaining stages of
this process.
    Thank you very much for the chance to give some
comments.
    HEARING EXAMINER:Well, thank you very much. And
the letter submitted by Mr. Dalrymple is in the record as
well.
    Does the Applicant have any questions of Mr. Rush?
Okay. Hearing none, does anyone else have any questions of
Mr. Rush?
    All right. Hearing none, I am going to go next --
thank you, Mr. Rush, it sounds like this has been a lot of
work between all the parties so that way --
    MR. RUSH: I think we can attest to the truth of
that.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Okay. Thank you for your
efforts, and the Applicant. Ms. Harney, you are up next.
    MS. HARNEY: So my name is Andy Leon Harney. I am
the village manager of Section 3 of the village of Chevy
Chase. And the address is PO Box 15070.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Harney, you're muted.
    MS. HARNEY: Sorry. So sorry. My name is --
    HEARING EXAMINER: We'll --
    MS. HARNEY: -- Andy Leon Harney, and I'mthe
village manager of Section 3 of the Village of Chevy Chase,
``` \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
I'm sure the developers feel very confident about it, but to us, the cornerstone of this is not just an obligation for a study. It is that at the end of the day there be enough parking on-site and that there will not be the use of town streets. \\
Then, the other element that is very important to us is the construction management agreement. Corso has agreed in concert with the town to prepare and codify construction regulations to minimize impacts of construction, including noise disturbances on town residents. In addition, the Town will monitor compliance of all the other building binding elements, and we expect that town officials and consultants will be included as members of the developer review committee, which will discuss the issues to be addressed at the preliminary plan of subdivision and site plan reviews. And we will provide comments for the planning staff for consideration at the time of these reviews. \\
The Town also remains a very interested in the final architectural designs that will be reviewed more intently at the site plan review. So, in sum, we look forward to a productive engagement with Corso and the County agencies, and the Plan Department to satisfactorily address the issues that will be resolved during the upcoming reviews. And again, we think we've made a very good start, a very cordial relationship with the Applicant, and we look forward
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
PO Box 15070, Chevy Chase, Maryland. And I -- \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Were you sworn in before? \\
MS. HARNEY: Yes, I was, with Barney. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I just wanted to double \\
check. In your email, your village email. \\
MS. HARNEY: Villagemanager@chevychaseSection3.org. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. \\
MS. HARNEY: So I'm here at the behest of our \\
elected council to share with you some of the concerns of our residents, as you review the Corso Chevy Chase LMA. And my focus -- everyone here has talked in broader terms. I'm going to be very narrow and more informal in my discussion, exclusively related to traffic and parking. Because those \\
are the concerns our council, and our community feel most \\
acutely, because we are directly opposite, as you noticed on the map fromCorso Chevy Chase. \\
We are concerned about cut through traffic by \\
people -- ways to avoid traffic jams on Connecticut Avenue by \\
using Taylor Street just opposite Corso. And were concerned \\
about the proposed entry and exit configuration that will \\
result, we think, in multiple traffic accidents, and an \\
unsafe crosswalk. \\
I'm going to try and share a -- \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Is that what you -- do you want \\
to share what you submitted the other day?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 1 MS. HARNEY: Yes. & 1 assessment is not merely a simple mathematical equation where \\
\hline 2 HEARING EXAMINER: I can share that. Hold on a & 2 you take the numbers provided under LATR and combine them \\
\hline 3 second. & 3 with the average age of the potential residents and come up \\
\hline 4 MS. HARNEY: That would be wonderful because I & 4 with a no worries for the neighborhood peak visits fall under \\
\hline 5 have it up on my screen, but I don't think you can see it, 6 can you? & \begin{tabular}{l}
5 the threshold result. In December of 2022 the Town of Chevy \\
6 Chase wrote the Planning Board and said, this is sort of
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{lll}
7 & & \text { HEARING EXAMINER: No. But I know how to get to } \\
8 & \text { it. }
\end{array}
\] & 7 mirroring what Barney has also said, but this is what they 8 wrote in December of 2022. \\
\hline 9 MS. HARNEY: So the first one is - & \(9 \quad\) "Corso has determined that the plans are not \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER: Give me a minute to -- & 10 subject to the local area transportation review \\
\hline 11 MS. HARNEY: Thank you for doing that. & 11 because of the Wells \& Associates study that \\
\hline 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Wait. I think this is & 12 demonstrates traffic will be reduced compared to \\
\hline 13 your email. I apologize. & 13 the time when the property was active as the 4-H \\
\hline 14 MS. HARNEY: It's a -- & 14 center. This study presents a grossly inaccurate \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER: That's the email. I'll get the & 15 account of the traffic present when the 4-H center \\
\hline 16 exhibit. & 16 was active. It was a never an active hotel, \\
\hline 17 MS. HARNEY: It's 50A. & 17 generating the hypothetical amount of traffic \\
\hline 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. We are looking at & 18 attributed to that use. \\
\hline 19 Exhibit 50A. & 19 Most of the students who attended sessions at the \\
\hline 20 MS. HARNEY: It should be a picture of -- it & 20 center were transported in buses, and the number \\
\hline 21 should have the numbers 1, 2, and 3 on it. And I don't -- & 21 of staff was few. We are certain that Corso will \\
\hline 22 HEARING EXAMINER: It does. & 22 bring substantial increase in traffic, including \\
\hline 23 MS. HARNEY: Oh it does. Okay & 23 employees and visitors, compared to the time when \\
\hline 24 HEARING EXAMINER: Can everyone else see it? & 24 the 4-H center was active. Therefore, we reject \\
\hline 25 MS. HARNEY: I can't see it. & 25 the developers assertion that they are exempt from \\
\hline 166 & 168 \\
\hline 1 MR. ROBINS: I cannot. & 1 LATR and we view the commitment for a new \\
\hline 2 MR. DALRYMPLE: No. & 2 comprehensive traffic study set in the binding \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. How about now? & 3 elements, as a vital undertaking." \\
\hline 4 MS. HARNEY: Yes. & 4 And that's the end of the quote, but I would echo \\
\hline 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Go ahead. & 5 the fact that we too in Section 3 believe that the agreement \\
\hline 6 MS. HARNEY: Wonderful. So this is Connecticut & 6 to do a traffic study is vital. But our concern is that it \\
\hline 7 Avenue by the proposed development, as it now stands. Each & 7 will be inevitably slanted to reflect what the developers \\
\hline 8 of the numbers references and opening in the median strip, & 8 want, instead of taking into account, as you yourself point \\
\hline 9 which makes it possible for visitors to exit from the & 9 out, you, Ms. Robeson Hannan, what assurance is that there \\
\hline 10 southernmost driveway onto northbound Connecticut Avenue. & 10 that traffic will not ultimately adversely affect Section 3? \\
\hline 11 That's number 3. & 11 I would posit that the traffic problems would have a domino \\
\hline 12 Number 2, there are arrows that preclude that & 12 effect that could affect, not only residents of Section 3, \\
\hline 13 apparently now, but that supply that cut in the median strip & 13 and the Town who live on Connecticut Avenue, but all the \\
\hline 14 was made. Number 2 shows where drivers coming out of Taylor & 14 neighborhoods south of the proposed development, up to Chevy \\
\hline 15 Street on the right-hand side, or on the east side can access & 15 Chase Circle. \\
\hline 16 southbound Connecticut Avenue. And number 1, shows the entry & 16 Current accident data which was presented by \\
\hline 17 to the old 4-H used by buses coming from northbound & 17 Wells, is irrelevant because police reports now only cover \\
\hline 18 Connecticut Avenue. As you can see, the crosswalk is in a & 18 personal injury accidents are ones where someone thinks that \\
\hline 19 crazy location without signals, south of Taylor Street, but & 19 there might be a personal injury, and the police come. \\
\hline 20 north of the current exit that. There is a safer walk, & 20 Fender benders are not reported and are not part of the \\
\hline 21 several signals -- several blocks farther north for & 21 statistical database. So to say there were only six \\
\hline 22 pedestrian, and bicyclist, and children crossing to go to & 22 accidents, and they're mainly on the northbound side, is not \\
\hline 23 Chevy Chase Elementary School, which is just one block in & 23 a reflection of the accurate number of problems that occur. \\
\hline 24 fromConnecticut Avenue on Rosemary Street. & 24 And part of this, I should say, the reason why there often on \\
\hline 25 So let's look at the traffic situation. A proper & 25 the northbound side is because the lanes are only 9 feet wide \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 169 & 171 \\
\hline 1 at that point. That's a substandard size lane. None of that & 1 the construction which will be two years, and large trucks \\
\hline 2 seems to have been taken into account in any -- it needs to & 2 filled with debris from the demolition and building materials \\
\hline 3 be taken-- all of that needs to be taken into account, and & 3 having to make a right on exiting that facility. Where are \\
\hline 4 any traffic study. & 4 they going to turn around? Do you think they're going to go \\
\hline \(5 \quad\) We asked the Plan Board to be included & 5 all the way down to Chevy Chase Village before they turn back \\
\hline 6 binding elements because our residents are really concerned & 6 up to go to the Beltway where they are probably headed \\
\hline 7 about this traffic. And the exit configuration which the & 7 anyway? So we're worried about potential accidents which \\
\hline 8 developer proposes. The developer objected to the wording & 8 will lead to personal injuries, traffic jams along the \\
\hline 9 that we suggested to be put into the binding elements and & 9 corridor because of those things. We can't simply prohibit \\
\hline 10 sort of dismissed us as too many cooks. I think, because I & 10 left turns from southbound Connecticut Avenue onto Taylor or \\
\hline 11 said I don't want to be rubberstamped. But we did -- the & 11 Shepherd because our residents indeed to get to their homes. \\
\hline 12 Plan Board overruled them and dictated that we should be & 12 So this is -- this seems like a common s \\
\hline 13 coordinating with them, and it should be included in the & 13 approach. When we asked State Highway engineers about \\
\hline 14 binding ele & 14 problem, they agreed that this configuration does present \\
\hline 15 Clearly, the breaks that you see there in the & 15 serious public safety hazards. As Kwesi Woodroffe Regional \\
\hline 16 median strip would be dangerous for the ongoing community. & 16 District Engineer -- Regional Engineer for district 3 access \\
\hline 17 It's hard to miss a bus coming to the \(4-\mathrm{H}\), but traffic with & 17 management for State Highway said, in a December email to \\
\hline 18 the new proposed use will be primarily motor vehicles and & 18 Grace Bogden, the lead reviewer for the Planning Board, the \\
\hline 19 those breaks in the median strip will definitely have to be & 19 main concern is the separated access points which creates a \\
\hline 20 closed for safety reasons. & 20 somewhat offset intersection with Taylor Street. \\
\hline 21 And one doesn't have to be a traffic engineer & 21 At that point, they and, I must admit, Section 3 \\
\hline 22 understand that someone exiting the southernmost and drive & 22 were looking for a normalized four-way intersection. \\
\hline 23 and the proposed permanent exit, who wishes to travel north & 23 However, we realized that solution would inevitably lead to \\
\hline 24 say to the Beltway, will be forced to go south. Where are & 24 lot of cut through traffic on Taylor Street. In later \\
\hline 25 they going to make that fateful U-turn? The next possible & 25 discussions with developers and planners, and Planning Board \\
\hline 170 & 172 \\
\hline 1 intersection? Shepherd Street, in Section 3, a 16 foot wide & 1 staff, they developed two scenarios which I want to share \\
\hline 2 street south of Taylor Street, right by the church that you & 2 with you here. If you could put up number 50B, I think \\
\hline 3 may have seen in the photograph earlier, would mean & 3 Pattern of signals is more helpful in one than the \\
\hline 4 crossing -- quickly crossing three lanes of southbound & 4 other. The first example shows the separate entries - \\
\hline 5 traffic. That's not very easy. & 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Just as just for the \\
\hline 6 If they made the turn there, the driver would have & 6 record, were looking at Exhibit 50B. Go ahead, Ms. Harney. \\
\hline 7 to insert themselves into three narrow lanes of northbound & 7 MS. HARNEY: Sure. The first option created by \\
\hline 8 traffic. I should point out, again, that those lanes are & 8 State Highway traffic engineer to satisfy the developer's \\
\hline 9 only 9 feet wide. The next closest intersection in the Town & 9 desire to retain the separate entry and exits is labeled -- \\
\hline 10 is Rosemary Raymond. You can't make a left turn onto Raymond & 10 it's labeled split phase lanes, alternative 2 . It shows \\
\hline 11 there because there is a safety hazard and is not allowed. & 11 lights controlling both the northbound and southbound \\
\hline 12 So how about a U-turn, right at Rosemary? This street's & 12 traffic, but it creates an easy cut through at Taylor Street. \\
\hline 13 opening onto Connecticut Avenue is wide because it quickly & 13 As you can see, there's a light right at the end of Taylor. \\
\hline 14 branches off into Meadow Lane. & 14 And it doesn't prevent vehicles from exiting the community to \\
\hline 15 It's wide enough to make a quick U-turn if there & 15 make dangerous U-turns. You see the exit still is southbound \\
\hline 16 isn't a lot of waiting traffic. More than once, I've seen & 16 only. You see the green arrow, so where are those people if \\
\hline 17 cars make this U-turn at this intersection to get in line at & 17 they want to go northbound? Where are they going to go? \\
\hline 18 the light to turn left to go north on Connecticut Avenue. & 18 I would ask that you ignore the signal that is on \\
\hline 19 And, I confess, I've even done it myself. You can't make a & 19 the photograph to the north of Taylor Street. That was an \\
\hline 20 U-turn at Brandon Lane because there are no left turns & 20 inadvertent left over from the next solution. Because that \\
\hline 21 allowed. So without going northbound from this a large & 21 has no purpose in this one. So in this, the pattern that the \\
\hline 22 facility, cars and trucks are going to have to -- are going & 22 developer now wants has some problems from our point of view \\
\hline 23 to try and make risky U-turns to shave off a few minutes to & 23 because it's still doesn't answer the where are people going \\
\hline 24 hand in their intended direction & 24 to make a U-turn if they want to go north on Connecticut \\
\hline 25 I'mparticularly concerned, as we all are, about & 25 Avenue from-- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 173 & \\
\hline 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Where's -- & 1 all of our concerns. Ultimately, we would like to see the \\
\hline 2 MS. HARNEY: -- this & 2 study recommend the safest solution, and their justification \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Can I just -- I missed one & 3 for that solution. While we are not traffic engineers, it's \\
\hline 4 thing you said, one problem you have with this proposed & 4 clear to us that the status quo will lead to illegal, \\
\hline 5 configuration is that it encourages U-turns. It doesn't & 5 dangerous U-turns resulting in backups and accidents at \\
\hline 6 provide for U-turns to go north. Did you have a second issue & 6 worst. In short, unsafe conditions for both vehicles a \\
\hline 7 with it? & 7 pedestrians. \\
\hline 8 MS. HARNEY: & 8 \\
\hline 9 HEARING EXAMINER: No. Okay. I thought - & 9 Section 3 and the Town of Chevy Chase have expressed \\
\hline 10 MS. HARNEY: Our only concern about this one. And & 10 understandable concerns regarding parking. Neither of us \\
\hline 11 if you could put up the next final slide labeled 50, I think & 11 wants spillover parking in our communities. And we concur \\
\hline 12 C in the exhibits. Yeah. It's the label on it is split & 12 with the Town that the parking study needs to be done well in \\
\hline 13 phase alternative 1. It shows the northernmost drive as & 13 advance of the approval stage. I will point out that in the \\
\hline 14 two-way controlled by a light so visitors can go north or & 14 numbers that they provided for in the parking spaces that \\
\hline 15 south safely. The southernmost entry would be reserved for & 15 they are offering, those are all based on standards \\
\hline 16 truck deliveries and fire rescue vehicles. & 16 established by the County and a formula that may well work, \\
\hline 17 These two injuries could be artfully des & 17 except I would say 42 tandem spaces. I don't know if you've \\
\hline 18 the northernmost of being wider to accommodate two way & 18 ever parked in a public parking lot with tandem spaces, but \\
\hline 19 traffic and the southernmost narrower and well signe & 19 it is pretty much a nightmare. \\
\hline 20 exclusively for service vehicles. The service vehicles could & 20 So I discount those 42 because that's really only \\
\hline 21 then flow into the loading diagrams which are already in the & 2121 from my point of view. It's just very difficult to manage \\
\hline 22 exhibits prepared by the develope & 22 at that, and so I know the County allows it, but it doesn't \\
\hline 23 While this may not be the ultimate & 23 help, or encourage people to park on site if they know \\
\hline 24 at least this one allows for entry and exit only on & 24 they're going to have to leave their keys, you know and \\
\hline 25 Connecticut Avenue, which is what the Town and the developer & 25 juggle their cart with someone else. \\
\hline 174 & 76 \\
\hline 1 both want to keep traffic off their street. The required & 1 A better solution froma municipal point of view \\
\hline 2 second entry which is needed for fire rescue is on & 2 would be if the developer would agree to a binding element \\
\hline 3 Connecticut Avenue, and there is signal controls which allow & 3 that all parking would be on site. If we rely on the \\
\hline 4 for the safe passage of both vehicles and pedestrians alike & 4 facility staffing and projected use of numbers as, you know, \\
\hline 5 without encouraging cut through traffic on Taylor Stree & 5 they dictated earlier in this very hearing, I think we miss \\
\hline 6 because of the offset of the signal. & 6 an important element because there are spec formulas for the \\
\hline 7 A traffic study should evaluate these and othe & 7 number of independent seniors, staff, and visitors who would \\
\hline 8 solutions froma public safety point of view and provide us & 8 need a parking space. I understand that. But there's also \\
\hline 9 with reasons why one solution or another does not adversely & 9 going to be retail space in there. They want the community \\
\hline 10 affect Section 3 -- residents of both Section 3 and the Town & 10 to come in and out. \\
\hline 11 of Chevy Chase, and the thousands of commuters who travel & 11 And more importantly, with 190 assisted living \\
\hline 12 Connecticut Avenue, who would be faced with traffic problems & 12 beds, we don't know how many units, and 30 memory care units, \\
\hline 13 if this issue isn't well resolved. & 13 families of those two groups often hire additional staff for \\
\hline 14 We concur with the Town of Chevy Chase that we & 14 their loved ones, adding to the population serving the \\
\hline 15 need a traffic study well in hand prior to the preliminary & 15 community and possibly commuting by car. In higher income \\
\hline 16 stage so we can evaluate these conclusions. We are concerned & 16 areas like ours, the ratio of private aids to staff is even \\
\hline 17 that the traffic study will rely on LATR data and rationalize & 17 higher. So we want to be assured that the study takes into \\
\hline 18 and rubberstamp the existing configuration. We need & 18 account privately hired staff in their analysis so there's a \\
\hline 19 assurances that this stage through OZHA's process that we've & 19 no spillover parking in the Town of Chevy Chase or in Section \\
\hline 20 done everything possible to mandate an outcome that addresses & 203 streets. \\
\hline 21 the concerns of the neighboring jurisdictions. & 21 The Town of Chevy Chase has done a really \\
\hline 22 Perhaps there's a way to word the traffic binding & 22 impressive job of working through many of the issues th \\
\hline 23 element so that the study would be required to take into & 23 will impact our communities in the binding elements. And \\
\hline 24 consideration more than peak traffic flows and LATR standards 25 about would demonstrate and optimal solution that embraces & 24 community 3 has been good about working through many of these 25 complex issues with them as evidenced by many of the binding \\
\hline 25 about would demonstrate and optimal solution that embraces & 25 complex issues with them as evidenced by many of the binding \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 177 & 179 \\
\hline 1 elements. We urge you though, however, to consider these two & 1 binding element 2 to denote the elements that we had agreed \\
\hline 2 crucial issues of traffic and parking and perhaps craft a & 2 to study to address the Town's concerns. \\
\hline 3 revised set of binding elements that would give us both & 3 MR. ROBINS: And your concern, which is how this \\
\hline 4 greater assurances that our concerns are being met so that & 4 operates with Taylor Street. The three examples that you \\
\hline 5 this large facility will be compatible with a neighborhood in & 5 showed -- well, actually one was just a curb cut, Andy, but \\
\hline 6 which it sits. Because if not, once set in stone, it's not & 6 the other two were things that would be looked at in this \\
\hline g to be easy to alter. & 7 study as well, to determine how that whole access point, not \\
\hline 8 And I thank you for & 8 only with us but with Taylor Street works and whether it \\
\hline 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you for coming and & 9 should be modified, including but not limited to the \\
\hline 10 I know that you spent quite a bit of as well on this. & 10 pedestrian crosswalks that you mentioned. \\
\hline 11 Is there anyone else at this point who would like & 11 Whether there's any signalization, and adjustment \\
\hline 12 to testify? Okay. Then what we're going to do is go to & 12 in the turning movements. All of this would be reviewed. So \\
\hline uttal witne & 13 not only what we had come up with as a preliminary \\
\hline 14 Ms. Rogers, do you have any rebuttal witnesses? & 14 evaluation, but also these other drawings to take into \\
\hline 15 MR. ROBINS: Just some comments. & 15 consideration all of this information and provide it in a \\
\hline 16 MS. ROGERS: We have some comments. & 16 timely fashion so that we could deal with it as part of the \\
\hline 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, you've heard a & 17 applications. \\
\hline 18 request for some changes to the binding elements. & 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Rush, you understand that \\
\hline 19 MR. ROBINS: And I think -- & 19 this is just an access study of this one intersection, \\
\hline 20 MS. ROGERS: Sorry. & 20 correct? \\
\hline 21 (Crosstalk) & 21 You're on mute. \\
\hline 22 MR. ROBINS: Tim might be saying something but & 22 MR. RUSH: Well, it's called that. When you \\
\hline 23 he's on mute. Tim, are you trying to say something? & 23 actually look at the individual elements that will be \\
\hline 24 HEARING EXAMINER: I couldn't. Wait, when you all & 24 included, which include the existing traffic counts and \\
\hline 25 talk it's hard for me to understand. Okay. So do you- & 25 proposed programat the proposed site, there is less it took \\
\hline 178 & 180 \\
\hline 1 you've heard some requests to change the binding elements and & 1 into account. Whatever it was called, the actual content is \\
\hline 2 I think the Town of Chevy Chase and Mr. Rush, you can correct & 2 effectively, a traffic study. So we were not troubled by it. \\
\hline 3 me if I'm wrong, but they -- well, it sounds like both the & 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let me just say, as I \\
\hline 4 Town of Chevy Chase and the Village would like the access & 4 understand that from Ms. Wells (sic), it's going to look at \\
\hline 5 study done in advance of applying for the site plan or & 5 how efficiently and safely the intersection operates, using \\
\hline 6 preliminary plan approval. & 6 existing -- using the elements that you discussed. And so -- \\
\hline 7 Or at least -- I don't know how you'd pick the & 7 but it's not like a traffic study can include traffic volumes \\
\hline 8 timeframe, but they want time to look at it before this goes & 8 at other intersections, but this is just going to be focused \\
\hline 9 to staff and the Planning Board. & 9 on this one intersection, and seeing what improvements would \\
\hline 10 MR. ROBINS: So okay. Okay. So yes, we have & 10 be optimal. \\
\hline 11 given that some thought and they're reasonable requests. As & 11 MR. RUSH: True. But I guess part of this is \\
\hline 12 far as the two studies we are willing to complete those & 12 because this is the only place where traffic from-- is going \\
\hline 13 studies before we file the applications. So we can -- & 13 into our coming out of the facility. \\
\hline 14 MS. ROGERS: I was just going to say we were going & 14 HEARING EXAMINER: Right. \\
\hline 15 to propose to change the word commission in binding element & 15 MR. RUSH: So it seems to me you're capturing in \\
\hline 16 number 2 to say the Applicant will complete a comprehensive & 16 this 100 percent of the impact of the project. I think Andy \\
\hline 17 access study and then same with binding element number 3 & 17 made a, you know, a good point. Obviously when we're looking \\
\hline 18 change the word commission there to say complete because the & 18 at signalization, what would you have to consider \\
\hline 19 Applicant will complete a parking demand analysis. & 19 signalization here? Well, it's because otherwise people who \\
\hline 20 MS. HARNEY: I just have a question about why we & 20 are turning south on Connecticut and had to do you terms or \\
\hline 21 only speak to access and not traffic? & 21 elsewhere that can be a real problem. We're presuming that's \\
\hline 22 MS. ROGERS: There is not a formal traffic study & 22 part of the study because that's what's going to drive the \\
\hline 23 for the County's purposes that's being triggered, as you & 23 review of whether you need to put some additional \\
\hline 24 heard fromour expert, by virtue of the County's regulations, & 24 signalization at that intersection. \\
\hline 25 and policies and practices. So this language was provided in & 25 So I don't think we have seen this as something \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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which is somehow leaving out, if you will, some key aspects of what you looked at. We felt that it was simply a way of focusing on where, in fact, the activity really is as a being generated by this project is at this intersection.

HEARING EXAMINER: No, that's fine. I just wanted to -- when you say -- when Ms. Harney and other people cite traffic study, there can be -- traffic studies that deal with congestions at intersections be on the site, caused by trips coming into the site and leaving the site. So I just wanted to clarify that because Ms. Harney keeps saying that I want a traffic study.

But what I'm hearing you say, and correct me if I'm wrong, what I'm hearing you say is you want this access point studied to find the most optimal intersection operation?

MR. RUSH: Right. Optimal. And it does involve -- in order to come to a determination about that, it 18 has to involve a question of what would be the alternatives by which traffic coming in or out of that site is a moving.
So it's certainly not meant to exclude what would happen if
signalization were not put in. So that does require looking, as Andy said, and where else traffic would have to go, and what it would do.

HEARING EXAMINER: I understand.
MR. RUSH: But it's focused on what you're going
to do at this intersection to solve the problems that could arise because of traffic at the site.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So we're all clear on that.

MS. HARNEY: We are in agreement on that. We were worried about the upstream and downstream effects of whatever is proposed at that intersection.

HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. This reminds me of a conditional use that was on Rockville Pike just south of the Bettway, and all their traffic came in fromRockville Pike.

MR. RUSH: If I could make one other comment, which is, I think also here that's something we pondered a lot in the town. Is that ultimately, this location is -everything that happens on Connecticut, is determined by SHA, you know. The Town could have a few, Section 3 could have a view. We can all be -- the developers could have a view, we could all be in complete agreement as to what, in fact, the optimal solution, but we ultimately, still have to get
approval and support from SHA.
HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, I truly --
MR. RUSH: That's a whole other matter.
HEARING EXAMINER: I fully understand that, and that's why with this intersection I don't have a problem. I
24 mean, saying you'll do a study and work with the Town. I'm 25 just trying to clarify what your understanding is as far as
what the study encompasses.
MR. RUSH: Right. Our --
HEARING EXAMINER: And yes, at the end of the day, SHA is going to -- it's out of the Applicant's -- so there is a power greater than all of us, and that the SHA.

MR. RUSH: Right.
HEARING EXAMINER: So I am just trying to make sure everybody -- and that I understand the scope of what this binding element does.

MR. ROBINS: The way you described it is the way, I think, we understand that as -- and I think that leftover with the Town, and also Andy who was shaking her head about really focusing in on this intersection and making sure that there is a study -- and access study, whatever you call it, that said and done that takes into consideration the various concerns, and comes up with whatever is the best solution. And I think the mayor's point about SHA, I mean you're right. We all clearly understand that it's the jurisdiction of Connecticut Avenue is under SHA. But we're committed to working closely, not only with you all, but with them to get this right.

HEARING EXAMINER: So you don't have a problem-so no one has a problem, as I understand it, the Applicant doesn't, if you change the word, "commission" to "complete"?

MR. ROBINS: That's right. So will have the
study --
HEARING EXAMINER: Can you do this? Can you send a -- just to make sure nobody is confused about the wording, can you send the proposed binding element -- the record is going to be open for 10 days just to get the transcript, and we're going to need a new SEP and declaration of covenants with the revised conditions. But it may be helpful just to send the exact wording of the condition to Ms. Harney, to us, and Ms. Harney, and Mr. Rush, and Mr. Dalrymple ahead of time. And just so we're all on the same page.

MR. ROBINS: Sure.
MS. HARNEY: I just have a question of the
developers. If your traffic study determines that that the configuration that you are now presenting was not the right one, are you willing to make that change?

MR. GARY: We are willing to work with the Town to make whatever changes are necessary based on the State Highway Department, the Town, and -- I mean it's going to be a collaborative effort. No one of-- I don't think any of us can come to the conclusion right now of what's it going to be the perfect answer here. It's a complex traffic study so obviously were going to be working with everybody to get to a solution here.

MR. ROBINS: The one thing that I would say is that we are committed to come getting the study into taking
 DEPOS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 189 & 191 \\
\hline 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I'm not saying that & 1 MR. ROBINS: As approved by the -- and this is \\
\hline 2 you're doing the parking demand analysis and that's going to & 2 just language to the little, I might need to work on this. \\
\hline 3 tell you, but it's premature to say you have to do that. I'm & 3 But is approved by the necessary governmental authorities. \\
\hline 4 just -- & 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. \\
\hline 5 MR. ROBINS: I think Mr. Gary wanted to make a & 5 MR. ROBINS: Or as approved \\
\hline 6 responsive comment to what you just said, Madam Hearing & 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So how is everybody with \\
\hline Examine & 7 those binding elements? \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Sorry, Mr. Gary. I didn't see & 8 Well, what we'll do is this. If the Applicant \\
\hline 9 your hand. Go ahead & 9 will kindly just draft the revisions of binding elements 2 \\
\hline 10 MR. GARY: So the one thing I would like to say & 10 and 3 and send them to all the parties, which is everybody \\
\hline 11 about the parking demand, what's unique about senior livin & 11 that testified today. I mean, not the experts, but \\
\hline 12 is that that over time our parking demands, based on the & 12 MR. ROBINS: Right. We can. Yeah. \\
\hline 13 residents living in the communities that decrease, and that & 13 HEARING EXAMINER: -- the attorneys and the people \\
\hline 14 because of, you know, the average acuity levels increasing & 14 that testified if you can do that, I can -- the record is \\
\hline 15 or sometimes there is, you know, the optimistic view that & 15 going to be open -- when do you think you could get those to \\
\hline 16 they will continue to use their car, and o & 16 people? \\
\hline 17 becomes less & 17 MR. ROBINS: That is the elements? Yeah. \\
\hline 18 So there is -- are demand does decrease over time, & 18 (Crosstalk) \\
\hline 19 and will stabilize, and that typically happens around 2 to 3 & 19 MR. DALRYMPLE: Steve, let -- \\
\hline 20 years after our maximum number of units have been occupied & 20 MR. ROBINS: -- we can get it on the -- \\
\hline 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. & 21 MR. DALRYMPLE: Steve, let Liz draft it. \\
\hline 22 MR. GARY: When it comes to actual events like & 22 MR. ROBINS: What is that? \\
\hline 23 Mother's Day, because Mother's Day is a bigger event, w & 23 MR. DALRYMPLE: Let Liz draft it, we want it to be \\
\hline 24 typically spread those out over time's that we don't have & 24 right. \\
\hline 25 just one meal. We try to accommodate everybody because we & 25 MR. ROBINS: You know, that's not a bad point. \\
\hline 190 & 192 \\
\hline 1 have internal traffic concerns with our food and beverage & 1 We'll send it over to Matt. \\
\hline 2 teams. So we spread those out throughout the day, and then, & 2 Monday is fine. \\
\hline 3 we accommodate that with extra valet parking because we make & 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Full disclosure. Mr. \\
\hline 4 sure that we do additional double stacking car parking. & 4 Dalrymple, Mr. Robins, and I all worked for the same firm at \\
\hline 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Thanks for that information. & 5 one point, many, many -- I'm not saying how many years ago. \\
\hline 6 Well, I guess my bottom line question is aside from changing & 6 MR. DALRYMPLE: Yeah, don't. \\
\hline 7 the requirement from "commission" to will "complete" a & 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Many decades. Decades. \\
\hline 8 traffic -- a parking demand study, are there any other -- or & 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I was going to say, Madam \\
\hline 9 is the Applicant suggesting a change saying they will & 9 Examiner, that the brilliance of your conduct of this hearing \\
\hline 10 accommodate parking on-site, or is everyone comfortable with & 10 reflects that you had a very good early training. \\
\hline 11 the other -- just changing it from complete -- I'm sorry. & 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Which was them Okay. \\
\hline 12 Commissioned to completed. & 12 MR. ROBINS: Of course, Bob, you were just a young \\
\hline 13 MR. ROBINS: Yes, we did say that. & 13 associate then. \\
\hline 14 MS. HARNEY: I personally -- & 14 MR. DALRYMPLE: I was. I'm still young. \\
\hline 15 MR. ROBINS: let me read you what I had written & 15 HEARING EXAMINER: It was your dad. Okay. We \\
\hline 16 down. Okay? & 16 digress. Let's stay on topic. \\
\hline 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Go slow. Go slow & 17 MR. ROBINS: Yeah, we'll get the -- \\
\hline 18 MR. ROBINS: All the number -- & 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So you can do the -- \\
\hline 19 MS. ROGERS: You need the numbers. & 19 let's do this. If you can do that by Monday, then I'm going \\
\hline 20 MR. ROBINS: Right. & 20 to give the -- for the Town and the Village, how long do you \\
\hline 21 MS. ROGERS: Number 3, yeah. & 21 think you need to respond? \\
\hline 22 MR. ROBINS: Thank you. The Applicant will & 22 MS. HARNEY: I think by the end of the week. By \\
\hline 23 implement the recommendations of the study to ensure that all & 23 the end of the same week. \\
\hline 24 parking requirements for the project are contained on-site. & 24 MR. DALRYMPLE: Yeah. We can do that easily. \\
\hline & 25 Yeah. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 193 & 1 \\
\hline 1 MS. HARNEY: Yeah. & 1 HEARING EXAMINER: -- you can have your agreement. \\
\hline 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I just -- what I want to & 2 I just can't base my decision on it. \\
\hline 3 do is just double check our timing here because I like to get & 3 MR. DALRYMPLE: No. I understand. And I -- we \\
\hline 4 these done. So 10 days, I think would be April 4th. So if & 4 could -- I'll talk to Steve and Liz offline on that and we \\
\hline 5 you get yours in by Friday -- if the Town and the Village & 5 can figure out what's best. \\
\hline 6 could get theirs in by Friday the 31st, your comments. & 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, right now I'm \\
\hline 7 MR. RUSH: Right. & 7 going to say the binding element revisions are due by Monday \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Can we finalize it by the 3rd? & 8 from the Applicant. The response is due by Thursday from the \\
\hline 9 MR. RUSH: Yeah. & 9 Town and the Village. And then, a revised SCP and \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER: See, what I'm trying to do and & 10 declaration of covenants would be due by April 4th. And \\
\hline 11 let me do this -- they're going to have to change the & 11 right now, that's when the record will close. If you need \\
\hline 12 declaration of covenants and the SCP. So is there any way & 12 more time, based on this declaration of covenants, -- I \\
\hline 13 that the Town and the Village could get your comments in by & 13 mean -- sorry. Based on your private agreement, if you need \\
\hline 14 Thursday, the 30th? & 14 more time let me know. It won't delay the decision. \\
\hline 15 MR. RUSH: I think we could. I'm okay with that. & 15 MR. ROBINS: No, I think we'll be fine. \\
\hline 16 HEARING EXAMINER: If you could do that and & 16 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Anyone else have \\
\hline 17 hopefilly you'll all be copacetic then, we can get the & 17 anything to say? I skipped closing -- \\
\hline 18 revised SCP and declaration of covenants in by the 4th, and & 18 MR. ROBINS: Just a quick question. \\
\hline 19 then the record will close. & 19 MS. HARNEY: I do have a question too. \\
\hline 20 Mr. ROBINS: I mean, we're going to make changes & 20 MR. ROBINS: Just about the submission. Do you \\
\hline 21 to just these two binding elements. They are relatively & 21 want to be copied on -- for the record, do you want to be \\
\hline 22 simple changes. I don't think it's going to take a lot of & 22 copied on our transmittal to the Town and to Section 3 on the \\
\hline 23 review. And I'm assuming that, you know, any comments there & 23 revised binding element, or do you want us to just to get you \\
\hline 24 will be a little bit of wordsmithing here and there, if that. & 24 back, like, the final? \\
\hline 25 The comments are restricted, essentially, to dealing with & 25 HEARING EXAMINER: The final. I don't need to be \\
\hline 194 & 196 \\
\hline 1 these two binding elements. & 1 in on the negotiation, if there are any. I just need the \\
\hline 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Right. & 2 final revised SCP and the revised declaration of covenants. \\
\hline 3 MR. ROBINS: So I think they'll -- & 3 MR. ROBINS: Okay. \\
\hline 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah, that's it. I can make -- & 4 HEARING EXAMINER: And just a wordsmithing thing, \\
\hline 5 MR. ROBINS: -- look at it. It's going to be very & 5 you don't need the words, in addition. My sister is an \\
\hline 6 simple. I'm guessing it's going to be pretty simple. & 6 English professor. You don't need the words in addition some \\
\hline 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I will make that clear & 7 of the binding elements. You can just say what's required. \\
\hline 8 that the record is not open for anything except the comments & 8 Okay. Anything else? Ms. Harney? \\
\hline 9 on the binding elements, no more exhibits, and no more & 9 MS. HARNEY: Yes. Two things I want to clarify. \\
\hline 10 testimony, and no more new issues. Okay. We're going to get & 10 You want us to get back to you, the Town and Section 3 to get \\
\hline 12 MR DALRYMPLE. I will just comment that we have & 12 MR. DALRYMPLE: No, the Thursday \\
\hline 13 outstanding a letter of agreement with the developer about a & 13 MR. RUSH: Thursday, the 30th. \\
\hline 14 further declaration of covenants that will be put in to place & 14 MS. HARNEY: Oh the Thursday, okay. Because I -- \\
\hline 15 at the time of a certified site plan that reflects private & 15 originally it was Friday. Okay. And then, do we have a \\
\hline 16 agreements and between the town and the developer. I had & 16 commitment from the developer about when this traffic study \\
\hline 17 intended to put that letter of agreement into the record, but & 17 is going to be completed? \\
\hline 18 I don't -- I guess I don't feel that strongly one way or & 18 MR. ROBINS: Prior to filing. \\
\hline 19 another about it. If we just have -- & 19 HEARING EXAMINER: I think that was the binding \\
\hline 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, it's up to you. I can -- & 20 elements they are changing to say it will be completed before \\
\hline 21 I mean, it's not going to delay my decision because I'll be & 21 they apply for the subsequent approval. \\
\hline 22 working on the decision. I can work on the decision while & 22 MR. ROBINS: Right. \\
\hline 23 the record is open. So if you feel that it's something you & 23 MS. HARNEY: But like the day before is not \\
\hline 24 want to put in, but it, you know, contract is zoning -- & 24 helpful. \\
\hline 25 MR. DALRYMPLE: Yeah. & 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Well. That is something I'm \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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going to let you argue about, although, you know, the
application process, it takes, I don't know what it takes
now, but it used to take --
MR. RUSH: Yeah, I think -- if I can speak --
might recollection --
HEARING EXAMINER: I think a couple of months.
MR. RUSH: Right. Well we've been told they
actually have up to four months for approval of the plans.
HEARING EXAMINER: Well, yeah.
MR. RUSH: It will be faster than that, you think?
MR. DALRYMPLE: I think as long as the studies are
completed and distributed before they file, which can all be
reviewing them as part of the review of the applications.
I'm not concerned about that.
MR. ROBINS: We agree --
HEARING EXAMINER: It's a political question, how
long they have. But yeah.
(Crosstalk)
MR. RUSH: Or whether we actually have a Planning
Board or not, right?
HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. Okay. Well, they're all leaving in June I think.
(Crosstalk)
MS. HARNEY: -- in place.
HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So are we clear
about the timeline? And then, I think that if you can just
comply with the time -- I guess you better send the proposal
to me when you send to each other. I don't mean to say, the
back-and-forth, but just so I have proof that you met the
time deadline, and there's no argument about that. And
then, -- so right now the record is open until April 4. The
Applicant will submit its revisions to the binding elements
by Monday, this coming Monday. The Town and Village will
respond, send any comments by March 30th, which is a
Thursday. And the final SCP and declaration of covenants
will be in by April 4th. And if you decide you want the
private agreement with the Town in the record, you can either
ask for extra time or get it in by April 4th. Okay.
MR. ROBINS: Yeah. All good.
HEARING EXAMINER: And just, you know, I know
every -- most people are represented here, but I have 45 days
to write my decision. We try not to take that long. You
will get notification by email. It's not a decision in a
rezoning case, it's a recommendation.
You have 10 days if you don't like what I
recommend, you have 10 days to request oral argument before
the district county council. And all that will be spelled
out in the decision and the notification that the decision --
I keep saying decision, recommendation. Okay?
So with that, I thank you for everyone's time and
hard work and painstaking explanations. And so with that I will adjourn the public hearing subject to the items for which the record is being held open.

MR. RUSH: Thank you very much.
MR. ROBINS: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you all very much. (Off the record at 3:10 p.m.) to the best of my ability from the audio recording as provided; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor provided; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor
employed by and of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
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covenants
10:15, 11:2,
\[
15: 24, \quad 157: 8 \text {, }
\]
184:6, 193:12,
193:18, 194:14,
195:10, 195:12,
\[
196: 2,198: 10
\] \\
cover
\[
23: 25,24: 11,
\]
\[
24: 13,168: 17
\] \\
covid \\
125:11, 125:16 \\
cr \\
70:12, 70:13, 70:15 \\
craft \\
95:10, 177:2 \\
craig \\
113:19 \\
crash \\
4:13, 132:21, \\
133:1, 133:3 \\
crashes \\
133:24 \\
crazy \\
166:19, 186:22 \\
create \\
25:16, 98:2 \\
created
\[
30: 21, \quad 86: 11 \text {, }
\] \\
114:24, 172:7 \\
creates \\
171:19, 172:12 \\
creating \\
95:13, 95:16, 97:22 \\
creation \\
158:23
\end{tabular} & ```
credit
109:9, 109:22,
111:21, 113:8,
113:14, 113:15,
113:23, 113:24,
115:24, 116:11,
116:14, 117:2,
117:13, 117:15,
143:11, 143:18,
145:9, 145:16,
149:4, 153:4,
153:5
creek
45:1
criteria
74:17
critical
76:17, 125:10,
135:11
crnf
5:7, 14:15,
17:12, 60:4,
60:9, 60:13,
60:15, 67:1,
70:20, 71:1,
71:10, 85:14
crns
101:2, 102:22
cross
3:20, 8:6,
54:15, 56:20
cross-examination
8:8, 8:10
cross-section
54:18, 55:8,
56:3, 56:19
cross-sectional
57:11
crossing
85:24, 166:22,
170:4
crosstalk
5:23, 11:17,
43:19, 54:17,
56:8, 57:1,
82:14, 91:23,
93:23, 102:1,
149:25, 177:21,
``` &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline daily & damage & deal & defines \\
\hline 21:21, 21:24, & 133:23, 134:14 & 40:17, 65:8, & 52:10, 117:9 \\
\hline 25:3, 25:8 & dangerous & 141:6, 156:19, & definitely \\
\hline dalrymple & 169:16, 172:15, & 179:16, 181:7 & 52:25, 169:19 \\
\hline 7:10, 12:2, & 175:5 & dealing & definition \\
\hline 12:16, 18:13, & daniel & 186:14, 193:25 & 88:16, 103:15 \\
\hline 23:20, 24:3, & 3:8, 10:2, & debris & definity \\
\hline 24:17, 27:18, & 17:23, 79:5, & 171:2 & 59:20 \\
\hline 33:21, 35:22, & 81:1 & decades & degree \\
\hline \(36: 1,36: 2\), & data & 192:7 & 103:1, 103:8 \\
\hline 36:15, 42:5, & 4:13, 132:21, & december & delay \\
\hline 44:18, 49:3, & 133:3, 133:11, & 109:24, 167:5, & 126:19, 126:20, \\
\hline 50:9, 56:14, & 133:18, 142:5, & 167:8, 171:17 & 126:25, 127:13, \\
\hline 61:8, 71:19, & 142:12, 142:14, & decide & 127:15, 127:16, \\
\hline 78:24, 79:11, & 142:25, 143:1, & 198:11 & 127:18, 127:19, \\
\hline 80:17, 88:19, & 143:8, 168:16, & deciduous & 127:20, 127:21, \\
\hline 88:22, 91:2, & 174:17 & 83:13 & 128:18, 128:24, \\
\hline 92:7, 93:16, & database & decision & 129:2, 144:14, \\
\hline 94:25, 96:19, & 168:21 & 5:13, 95:20, & 194:21, 195:14 \\
\hline \[
98: 23,99: 6,
\] & date & 194:21, 194:22, & delays \\
\hline 105:2, 105:13, & 114:7 & 195:2, 195:14, & 5:20, 138:21, \\
\hline 105:18, 105:20, & dated & 198:17, 198:18, & 138:22 \\
\hline 105:24, 106:1, & 158:24 & 198:23, 198:24 & delineated \\
\hline 106:10, 106:20, & day & decisions & 53:3 \\
\hline 107:6, 107:25, & 9:16, 23:24, & 95:19 & delineation \\
\hline 110:16, 138:9, & 23:25, 25:21, & declaration & 31:8, 44:7, \\
\hline 140:1, 145:4, & \[
35: 3,146: 20,
\] & \[
3: 18,10: 15,
\] & \[
52: 3
\] \\
\hline 145:11, 145:18, & \[
154: 6,162: 3,
\] & \[
12: 24,13: 9,
\] & deliveries \\
\hline 145:23, 146:3, & \[
164: 25,183: 3
\] & \[
157: 8,184: 6,
\] & 173:16 \\
\hline \[
146: 12,147: 18,
\] & 188:22, 189:23, & 193:12, 193:18, & delivery \\
\hline \[
147: 23,148: 1
\] & 190:2, 196:23 & 194:14, 195:10, & 115:9 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
149: 3, & 149: 10, \\
153: 7, & 153: 17
\end{array}
\] & days & 195:12, 196:2, & delta \\
\hline 153:7, 153:17, & 11:24, 13:19, & 198:10 & 142:16 \\
\hline 154:2, 160:6, & 24:12, 24:13, & decrease & demand \\
\hline 163:6, 166:2, & 26:16, 40:1, & 189:13, 189:18 & 21:8, 21:19, \\
\hline 184:9, 185:3, & 92:12, 161:4, & dedication & \[
35: 2, \quad 35: 16,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 185: 16, ~ 186: 1, \\
& 187: 15, \\
& 191: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & 184:5, 193:4, & 54:23, 100:13 & \[
37: 2,37: 4,
\] \\
\hline 191:21, 191:23, & 198:16, 198:20, & deemed & \(37: 10,37: 14\), \\
\hline 192:4, 192:6, & 198:21 & 87:23, 140:16, & 74:2, 119:8, \\
\hline 192:14, 192:24, & & 143:11 & 119:18, 123:17, \\
\hline 194:12, 194:25, & 1:4, 5:4, 9:23, & deep & 137:11, 138:25, \\
\hline 195:3, 196:12, & 19:17, 19:23, & 99:17 & 147:19, 178:19, \\
\hline 197:11 & 20:2, 28:18, & deeply & 187:23, 189:2, \\
\hline dalrymple's & 90:6, \(90: 21\)
dead & 159:17 & 189:11, 189:18, \\
\hline 24:15 & 92:10, 154:9 & defer
\[
8: 25, \quad 155: 5
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
190:8 \\
demands
\end{tabular} \\
\hline dalyrmple & deadline & define & 137:25, 189:12 \\
\hline 2:13 & 198:5 & 97:18 & demographic \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline demolition & description & 159:11, 160:9, & 72:17, 73:12, \\
\hline 171:2 & 3:16, 4:4, & 179:7 & 73:20, 74:4, \\
\hline demonstrate & 28:22, 52:17, & determined & 74:9, 76:2, \\
\hline 17:7, 86:8, & 148:6 & 69:11, 103:4, & 96:16, 100:19, \\
\hline 174:25 & design & 105:4, 105:22, & 103:24, 104:4, \\
\hline demonstrated & 3:24, 15:12, & 106:4, 106:18, & 105:16, 113:6, \\
\hline 132:1, 135:14 & 27:3, 48:5, & 113:25, 117:7, & 114:8, 123:25, \\
\hline demonstrates & 64:17, 64:18, & 158:11, 167:9, & 129:1, 145:21, \\
\hline 112:22, 167:12 & 68:8, 70:25, & 182:14 & 156:1, 156:24, \\
\hline denote & 91:22, 92:25, & determines & 157:14, 157:15, \\
\hline 179:1 & 94:18, 94:21, & 103:12, 184:13 & 166:7, 168:14, \\
\hline dense & 96:24, 97:1, & develop & 186:17 \\
\hline 15:4 & 97:3, 99:8, & 114:23 & devices \\
\hline densities & 99:12, 99:20, & developed & 120:11, 144:18 \\
\hline 65:23, 70:17 & 100:18 & 29:8, 48:6, & diagram \\
\hline density & designed & 69:6, 97:4, & 52:13, 57:9, \\
\hline 32:14, 69:11, & \[
54: 1,54: 9,
\] & \[
105: 16,160: 19,
\] & \[
98: 12
\] \\
\hline \(71: 6,83: 17\), & \[
54: 12,64: 10,
\] & \[
172: 1
\] & diagrams \\
\hline 100:2 & 65:12, 68:15, & developer & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 173: 21 \\
& \text { dictated }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline department & 76:7, 77:5, & 19:17, 20:12, & dictated \\
\hline 78:1, 78:3, & 77:12, 100:5, & 28:23, 37:22, & \[
169: 12,176: 5
\] \\
\hline 78:6, 112:15, & 104:7, 173:17 & 40:10, 162:13, & difference \\
\hline 112:20, 155:24, & designs & 169:8, 172:22, & 21:17, 127:14 \\
\hline 162:22, 184:18 & \[
47: 1, \quad 162: 19
\] & 173:22, 173:25, & different \\
\hline depicted & desirable & 176:2, 194:13, & 40:11, 55:11, \\
\hline 85:19 & 70:21, 71:4, & 194:16, 196:16 & 60:4, 119:12, \\
\hline derek & 158:20 & developer's & 119:13, 122:21, \\
\hline 149:17 & desire & 172:8 & 141:22, 144:18, \\
\hline derived & 16:19, 30:5, & developers & 146:15, 147:16, \\
\hline 142:25 & 95:10, 172:9 & 156:8, 156:9, & 150:6, 152:13, \\
\hline describe & detached & 162:1, 167:25, & 161:11 \\
\hline 15:11, 20:18, & 101:5, 101:9, & 168:7, 171:25, & difficult \\
\hline 20:25, 21:16, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 101: 14, ~ 102: 24, \\
& 103: 3,103: 7,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 182:16, } 184: 13 \\
& \text { developing }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5: 25, \quad 57: 3, \\
& 175: 21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \(29: 23, ~ 32: 18\),
\(41: 24, ~ 42: 18\), & \[
103: 11
\] & |developing
\[
20: 5
\] & dignified \\
\hline 44:10, 45:19, & detail & development & 25:16 \\
\hline 47:24, 51:4, & 27:3, 32:14, & 4:11, 17:11, & dignity \\
\hline 52:19, 53:7, & 61:15, 161:24 & 17:13, 17:16, & 21:6 \\
\hline 65:14, 68:25, & detailed & 23:11, 29:2, & digress \\
\hline 70:13, 86:4, & 39:23, 59:4 & 29:7, 32:6, & 107:11, 192:16 \\
\hline 91:25, 96:24, & details & 47:5, 51:11, & dimensional \\
\hline \[
108: 21,112: 7
\] & 96:2, 96:3 & 53:11, 53:23, & 83:16, 84:21, \\
\hline 112:8, 130:13 & determination & 61:13, 61:19, & 85:2, 85:3 \\
\hline described & 40:2, 117:9, & 62:10, 66:6, & direct \\
\hline 54:15, 148:20, & 181:17 & 66:24, 68:3, & 88:16, 137:5, \\
\hline 183:10 & determine & 68:9, 69:12, & \[
142: 2, \quad 142: 7
\] \\
\hline describing & 108:25, 123:7, & 69:18, 70:16, & direction \\
\hline 47:13 & 157:23, 159:10, & 70:20, 72:15, & 134:22, 170:24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline directly & disturb & 127:14, 129:3, & 117:2, 158:10, \\
\hline 38:5, 94:10, & 160:4 & 157:5, 171:5, & 162:23 \\
\hline 96:2, 164:15 & disturbance & 190:16 & dwelling \\
\hline disagree & 61:20, 159:3 & downstream & 22:3 \\
\hline 5:13 & disturbances & 182: 6 & E \\
\hline disclosure & 162:10 & dozen & each \\
\hline 149:16, 192:3 & diverse & 29:8 & \[
5: 23,13: 4,
\] \\
\hline discount & 63:21, 94:8, & dpark@soltezco & \[
29: 12,30: 25,
\] \\
\hline 175:20 & 94:17, 95:11 & 81:4 & 33:12, 45:25, \\
\hline discrepancy & doctors & draft & 46:2, 72:1, \\
\hline \[
52: 15,143: 3
\] & 115:10 & 10:15, 157:18, & 72:9, 72:17, \\
\hline discuss & document & 161:10, 191:9, & 93:10, 106:8, \\
\hline 31:3, 32:13, & 12:5, 12:6, & 191:21, 191:23 & 138:24, 166:7, \\
\hline 78:8, 79:23, & 12:10, 52:10 & dramatic & 198:3 \\
\hline 162:14 & documents & 99:13 & earlier \\
\hline discussed & 84:16, 105:12 & drawings & 67:17, 116:5, \\
\hline 113:13, 124:17, & doing & 103:2, 103:9, & 116:19, 130:12, \\
\hline 180:6 & 7:2, 34:22, & 179:14 & 156:8, 170:3, \\
\hline discusses & 34:24, 72:16, & dress & 176:5 \\
\hline 66:14, 115:23 & 118:21, 119:23, & 108:4 & early \\
\hline discussion & 137:10, 143:21, & drive & 2:9, 6:19, \\
\hline 144:2, 164:12 & 147:20, 149:4, & 78:5, 159:23, & 9:20, 9:22, \\
\hline discussions & 155:25, 165:11, & 169:22, 173:13, & 22:5, 192:10 \\
\hline 33:16, 148:15, & 189:2 & \[
180: 22
\] & ease \\
\hline 158:22, 171:25 & domino & driven & 43:21, 93:12 \\
\hline dismissed & 168:11 & 30:5 & easement \\
\hline 169:10 & done & driver & 156:25, 158:23 \\
\hline dissipate & 13:2, 33:14, & 170:6 & easements \\
\hline 26:2 & 40:22, 92:17, & drivers & 64:25, 68:20 \\
\hline distance & 143:7, 146:13, & 166:14 & easier \\
\hline 26:8, 46:12, & 146:17, 170:19, & driveway & \[
152: 2
\] \\
\hline 46:13, 46:14, & 174:20, 175:12, & \[
85: 25,131: 18,
\] & easily \\
\hline \[
46: 16, \quad 56: 21,
\] & 176:21, 178:5, & \[
166: 10
\] & \[
192: 24
\] \\
\hline \[
58: 22, \quad 98: 20
\] & \[
183: 15,193: 4
\] & driveways & east \\
\hline distinction & doormen & 122:8, 135:7 & 52:13, 56:22, \\
\hline 87:20, 87:24 & \[
23: 17
\] & drops & 57:7, 126:4, \\
\hline distinguish & dormers & 129:3 & 128:2, 134:24, \\
\hline 144:6 & 95:20, 96:4 & drove & \[
134: 25, \quad 147: 3
\] \\
\hline distracted & dot & 51:24 & \[
166: 15
\] \\
\hline 91:15 & 99:20, 132:17 & due & east-west \\
\hline distributed & double & 106:16, 195:7, & \[
52: 11
\] \\
\hline \[
197: 12
\] & 106:15, 164:4, & \[
195: 8, \quad 195: 10
\] & eastern \\
\hline district & 190:4, 193:3 & during & 134:21 \\
\hline 17:10, 28:25, & down & 11:19, 13:15, & easy \\
\hline 74:11, 74:14, & \[
36: 7,39: 9,
\] & 17:5, 26:16, & 17:3, 170:5, \\
\hline 103:21, 117:24, & \[
61: 22, \quad 95: 15,
\] & 29:10, 33:16, & 172:12, 177:7 \\
\hline 129:13, 171:16, & \[
98: 14, \quad 98: 15,
\] & \[
37: 11, \quad 44: 14,
\] & echo \\
\hline 198:22 & 102:7, 104:9, & 91:24, 106:14, & 168: 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline economic & 11:12, 35:9, & 177:18, 178:1, & embraces \\
\hline 69:4 & 37:8, 37:13, & 179:1, 179:23, & 174:25 \\
\hline edges & 40:3, 42:15, & 180:6, 187:5, & emory \\
\hline 8:17, 104:10 & 59:4, 70:19, & 191:7, 191:9, & 20:6 \\
\hline effect & 74:1, 84:8, & 191:17, 193:21, & emphasis \\
\hline 168:12 & 84:10, 86:10, & 194:1, 194:9, & 63:15 \\
\hline effective & 96:6, 98:17, & 196:7, 196:20, & emphasize \\
\hline 101:10, 101:16, & 98:21, 118:2, & 198:7 & 29:11 \\
\hline 117:11, 185:25 & 118:3, 119:13, & elevations & emphasizes \\
\hline effectively & 121:1, 121:2, & 102:10, 102:11 & 66:1 \\
\hline 142:19, 142:20, & 121:4, 121:11, & elizabeth & employed \\
\hline 180:2 & 126:16, 132:13, & 2:8, 6:20, 9:21 & 22:5, 23:11, \\
\hline effects & 144:22, 145:7, & else & 41:18, 41:22, \\
\hline 182:6 & 145:13, 145:19, & 7:5, 8:3, 9:16, & 47:25, 50:15, \\
\hline efficient & 149:6, 159:13, & 18:17, 26:23, & 200:7 \\
\hline 185:18, 185:23, & 161:7, 161:13, & 27:19, 33:6, & employee \\
\hline \[
185: 25
\] & 162:6, 174:23, & 48:20, 61:9, & \[
24: 1
\] \\
\hline efficiently & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 176: 2, \quad 176: 6, \\
& 178: 15, \quad 178: 17
\end{aligned}
\] & 78:18, 78:25, & employees \\
\hline 180:5 & \[
179 .
\] & \(87: 6, ~ 92: 8\),
\(99: 3, ~ 104: 23\), & 22:4, 23:10, \\
\hline effort & \[
184: 4, \quad 195: 7,
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 99: 3, \quad 104: 23, \\
& 106: 21, \quad 120: 16,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \[
24: 5,24: 9,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 140: 24, \quad 141: 14 \\
& 184: 19
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
195: 23
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
106: 21, & 120: 16, \\
136: 19, & 138: 4,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
24: 11, & 37: 10, \\
39: 25, & 115: 8,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline efforts & elementary & 141:13, 141:21, & 161:2, 167:23, \\
\hline 16:19, 17:3, & \[
53: 16,166: 23
\] & 163:9, 165:24, & 188:7, 188:8, \\
\hline \[
30: 7, \quad 30: 17,
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
elements \\
7:1, 10:19,
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
175: 25, & 177: 11, \\
181: 22, & 195: 16 .
\end{array}
\] & 188:11 \\
\hline 31:22, 65:11, & \[
11: 7, \quad 11: 16,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 181: 22,195: 16, \\
& 196: 8
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
employer \\
41.16
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 163:17, 185:24 egress & \[
12: 4, \quad 12: 7,
\] & elsewhere & encompasses \\
\hline 46:4, 146:5 & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 12: 8, \quad 12: 13, \\
& 15: 20, \quad 16: 1,
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 88: 17, \quad 180: 21 \\
& \text { email }
\end{aligned}
\] & 183:1 \\
\hline eight & \[
16: 14, \quad 32: 1,
\] & 19:7, 19:10, & encourage \\
\hline 78:5 & \[
32: 3,32: 9,
\] & 19:14, 19:18, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 68: 24, \quad 69: 2, \\
& 158: 21, \quad 175: 23
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
79: 20,112: 4
\] & \(32: 11,34: 10\), & 28:15, 28:19, & encourages \\
\hline 152:12, 153:17, & 34:21, 35:19, & 39:7, 41:17, & 173:5 \\
\hline 198:12 & 36:3, 36:13, & 41:20, 50:12, & encouraging \\
\hline elaborate & \[
79: 11, \quad 105: 12
\] & \[
50: 17, \quad 80: 11 \text {, }
\] & 174:5 \\
\hline 76:2, 116:13 & 106:16, 106:17, & \[
81: 4, \quad 90: 3,
\] & end \\
\hline elderly & 119:22, 121:25, & \[
90: 7,108: 4
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
16: 7, & 35: 3, \\
49: 6, & 109: 24
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 63: 16, \quad 65: 18, \\
& 66: 3, \quad 67: 21,
\end{aligned}
\] & 129:19, 137:7, & \[
108: 9,130: 10,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 49: 6,109: 24, \\
& 122: 11,122: 22,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
70: 24
\] & 156:4, 156:18, & 155:14, 155:17, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
1 \angle L: 11, & 1 \angle 2: \angle Z, \\
126: 20, & 127: 12,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline elected & 157:7, 157:10, & 164:5, 165:13, & \[
134: 16,138:
\] \\
\hline 164:9 & 157:18, 157:25, & 165:15, 171:17, & 142:25, 162:3, \\
\hline electric & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 158: 8, \\
& 162: 12, \\
& 168: 3,
\end{aligned}
\] &  & 168:4, 172:13, \\
\hline 47:6 & \[
169: 6, \quad 169: 9,
\] & \[
9: 9
\] & 183:3, 192:22, \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
electricity \\
116:23
\end{tabular} & \[
169: 14,176: 23,
\] & embraced & 192:23 endangered \\
\hline element & 177:1, 177:3, & 25:13 & \[
45: 8
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
March 24, 2023


PLANET DEPOS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
12:14, 114:8
evidenced
176:25
evolved
99:9
exact
24:7, 26:14,
184:8
exactly
119:14, 120:3,
120:21, 143:16,
145:25, 153:8,
186:13
examination
8:7
examiner's
83:7, 84:3,
112:10, 112:13,
113:20, 130:10,
133:4
example
24:24, 36:22,
85:7, 100:6,
122:10, 151:24,
156:21, 172:4
examples
179: 4
exceed
58:12, 76:17,
101:17, 135:11
exceeded
83:18
exceeding
137:23
exceeds
85:6, 97:8,
100:21, 135:13
except
85:24, 175:17,
194:8
excerpt
112:9, 112:12
excerpts
4:8, 4:9,
110:9, 110:13,
110:18, 111:24,
113:3, 113:4
excess
58:20, 74:4
``` & ```
excessive
160:1
excited
26:22
exciting
25:12, 25:13
exclude
181:20
exclusively
164:13, 173:20
excursions
25:23
excuse
51:16, 52:13,
57:10, 61:11,
62:17, 64:19,
66:2, 75:18,
89:1
excused
27:20, 49:5,
79:1, 106:23
exempt
114:4, 114:8,
167:25
exemption
110:23
exhibit
11:18, 12:23,
13:3, 13:4,
13:9, 18:7,
43:7, 43:18,
43:23, 44:5,
44:9, 44:14,
\(44: 20,45: 18\),
46:18, 51:13,
51:15, 51:18,
52:4, 52:8,
52:16, 52:19,
53:4, 55:12,
55:24, 56:2,
\(56: 13,56: 16\),
57:24, 58:1,
59:18, 59:23,
61:6, 66:21,
66:22, 73:2,
73:6, 73:10,
\(78: 14,78: 16\),
82:6, 82:23,
``` &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline expect & extensive & 182:17 & façade \\
\hline 40:10, 162:12 & 16:4, 16:12 & factor & 8:16, 99:22 \\
\hline expected & extent & 114:23, 185:1, & façades \\
\hline 161:1 & 12:7, 13:20, & 185:5 & 157:1 \\
\hline expecting & 75:4, 159:2 & factored & feasible \\
\hline 115:16 & extra & 147:10 & 159:2 \\
\hline expedited & 11:10, 190:3, & factors & feature \\
\hline 109:7 & 198:13 & 114:19, 115:2, & 15:4, 158:20 \\
\hline expended & F & 123:2, 123:3, & features \\
\hline \[
30: 7
\] & faced & 185:1 & 45:13, 64:15, \\
\hline experience & 174:12 & fair & 68:10, 79:9, \\
\hline 8:1, 19:24, & facilitate & 143:25 & 93:2, 100:6 \\
\hline 28:24, 29:4, & 31:14, 32:24 & fairly & february \\
\hline 88:4, 88:11, & facilitated & 95:2 & 30:19 \\
\hline 88:12, 137:17, & 31:6 & faith & fee \\
\hline 137:20, 160:20 & facilitates & 9:3 & 60:4 \\
\hline expert & \[
70: 21
\] & fall & feedback \\
\hline 11:19, 13:14, & facilities & 94:8, 167:4 & 30:8, 54:2, \\
\hline 32:13, 36:19, & 17:12, 48:3, & familiar & 76:7 \\
\hline 42:1, 42:4, & \[
59: 15, \quad 59: 16
\] & 6:3, 20:14, & feel \\
\hline \[
42: 7, \quad 50: 2,
\] & \[
65: 5,68: 22,
\] & \[
29: 13,31: 25,
\] & \[
75: 4, \quad 142: 11,
\] \\
\hline \[
50: 8, \quad 50: 11,
\] & 77:17, 77:20, & 42:9, 43:12, & 158:5, 162:1, \\
\hline 80:12, 80:15, & \[
111: 10,116: 25 \text {, }
\] & 50:25, 51:21, & 164:14, 194:18, \\
\hline 80:19, 90:9, & \[
117: 7,117: 9
\] & 51:25, 52:6, & 194:23 \\
\hline 90:11, 90:18, & 142:6 & 64:4, 66:24, & feet \\
\hline 90:21, 90:25, & facility & 81:10, 91:8, & 8:17, 55:5, \\
\hline 91:5, 107:19, & \[
15: 1,15: 2,
\] & 91:17, 92:25, & \(56: 23,57: 6\), \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 107: 23,108: 11, \\
& 178: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
21: 2,21: 13
\] & \(100: 17, ~ 108: 14\)
families & 57:9, \(57: 12\), \\
\hline experts & 22:1, 22:5, & families
\[
21: 5,21: 6,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
57: 13, & 60: 6, \\
60: 12, & 85: 23,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 13:14, 36:22, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 24: 22, \quad 25: 10, \\
& 26: 9, \quad 60: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] & 25:17, 26:7, & 93:5, 97:25, \\
\hline 44:14, 159:19, & \[
60: 14,60: 24,
\] & 176:13 & 98:18, 98:20, \\
\hline 191:11 & \[
65: 11, \quad 68: 1
\] & family & 98:21, 99:17, \\
\hline explain & \[
74: 6, \quad 108: 12,
\] & 115:4 & 100:11, 101:11, \\
\hline 61:12, 67:12, & \[
112: 22,114: 14,
\] & far & 101:16, 101:21, \\
\hline \[
84: 20,87: 20,
\] & \[
143: 1,156: 13,
\] & \[
33: 15,60: 4,
\] & \[
103: 14, \quad 140: 22,
\] \\
\hline \[
103: 25,114: 13
\] & \[
170: 22, \quad 171: 3
\] & \[
60: 5, \quad 83: 17,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lr}
152: 8, & 152: 11, \\
152 \cdot 14 & 168 \cdot 25
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline explained
\[
72: 19
\] & \[
176: 4, \quad 177: 5,
\] & \(85: 6,137: 19\),
\(152: 2,178: 12\), & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 152: 14, \quad 168: 25, \\
& 170: 9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline explanations & facing & \[
182: 25
\] & felicitous \\
\hline 199:1 & 157:1 & farther & 161:20 \\
\hline express & fact & 166:21 & felt \\
\hline 73:8, 79:8 & \[
33: 11,68: 4,
\] & fashion & 148:13, 161:18, \\
\hline expressed & \[
100: 12,106: 13,
\] & 33:15, 179:16 & 181:2 \\
\hline 55:5, 81:20, & \[
132: 1,141: 7
\] & faster & fender \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
175: 9
\] \\
expressing
\end{tabular} & \[
142: 10,161: 16 \text {, }
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 197: 10 \\
& \text { fateful }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 168: 20 \\
& \text { few }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
73: 3
\] & 168:5, 181:3, & \[
169: 25
\] & 6:8, 12:22, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 13:17, 66:23, & 124:18, 129:13, & 172:7 & 173:21 \\
\hline 78:9, 81:9, & 135:17 & fit & flows \\
\hline 92:2, 158:15, & findings & 16:9, 148:13 & 46:6, 174:24 \\
\hline 167:21, 170:23, & 5:13, 8:24, & fitting & focus \\
\hline 182:15 & 17:9, 37:16, & 58:5 & 30:4, 30:9, \\
\hline fewer & 48:17, 66:16, & five & 140:10, 164:11 \\
\hline 109:6, 111:10, & 71:22, 74:11, & 79:23, 98:13, & focused \\
\hline 116:7, 132:3, & 74:15, 74:20, & 133:20, 134:14 & 30:10, 31:7, \\
\hline 135:19, 142:9 & 74:24, 78:13, & flat & 119:3, 180:8, \\
\hline fha & 81:18, 87:2, & 95:22 & 181:25 \\
\hline 132:18 & 103:21, 104:18, & flexibility & focusing \\
\hline field & 114:5, 115:23, & 68:8, 69:7, & 181:3, 183:13 \\
\hline 109:7, 110:1 & 117:23, 118:25, & 69:15, 70:18 & folks \\
\hline figure & 125:1 & flexible & 74:5 \\
\hline 53:4, 195:5 & fine & 69:4, 70:17, & follow \\
\hline file & 18:16, 79:23, & 70:25 & 12:25, 15:24, \\
\hline 178:13, 197:12 & 114:12, 128:4, & flies & 35:15, 39:8, \\
\hline filed & 129:16, 153:25, & 136:10 & 92:18, 121:1, \\
\hline 117:11 & 154:2, 160:23, & flip & 121:3, 154:7 \\
\hline filing & 161:25, 181:5, & 93:11 & follow-up \\
\hline 30:8, 31:22, & \[
192: 2,195: 15
\] & floating & 124:18 \\
\hline 196:18 & finish & 5:7, 11:15, & following \\
\hline filled & 39:13, 86:18, & 15:25, 42:14, & 63:17, 133:8 \\
\hline 171:2 & 129:23 & 42:24, 61:3, & follows \\
\hline final & finished & 67:4, 68:11, & 88:3 \\
\hline 5:12, 12:10, & 39:10, 40:20, & 69:13, 70:12, & food \\
\hline 99:20, 162:19, & 154:20 & 70:14, 70:15, & 21:22, 23:13, \\
\hline 173:11, 195:24, & fire & 71:10, 71:23, & \[
23: 15, \quad 25: 4
\] \\
\hline 195:25, 196:2, & 46:19, 46:20, & 72:12, 74:10, & 25:7, 190:1 \\
\hline 198:10 & 46:22, 46:24, & 74:13, 75:13, & foot \\
\hline finalize & 77:25, 78:1, & 75:14, 75:20, & 55:7, 60:9, \\
\hline 193:8 & 107:10, 173:16, & 76:1, 76:16, & 85:2, 100:4, \\
\hline finalized & 174:2, 185:5, & 77:16, 78:11, & 100:9, 170:1 \\
\hline 47:1, 48:8 & 185:6, 185:7, & 84:6, 86:24, & footage \\
\hline finally & 185:8 & 99:4, 101:11, & 150:15, 150:18 \\
\hline 17:25 & firm & 103:23, 104:15, & footprint \\
\hline financial & 6:19, 9:19, & 105:22, 106:5, & 4:5, 96:21 \\
\hline 200:8 & 9:22, 43:9, & 106:19, 107:4, & footprints \\
\hline find & \[
\text { 192: } 4
\] & & \[
96: 14, \quad 105: 8,
\] \\
\hline \[
36: 16,56: 7,
\] & first & \[
135: 10
\] & \[
105: 13,105: 19,
\] \\
\hline \[
63: 19,66: 15
\] & \[
18: 10,18: 19,
\] & floodplains & \[
\text { 159: } 4
\] \\
\hline 130:6, 158:20, & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
18: 21, & 22: 9, \\
22 \cdot 22 & 23 \cdot 6
\end{array}
\] & \[
45: 9
\] & forced \\
\hline 181:14 & 22:22, 23:6, & floor & 169:24 \\
\hline finding & 67:9, 121:12, & 90:6, 93:5 & forecast \\
\hline 9:4, 34:13, & 125:8, 146:17,
\[
154: 25, \quad 155: 1
\] & flow & \[
62: 14, \quad 159: 7
\] \\
\hline 35:21, 36:20, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 154: 25, ~ 155: 1, \\
& 155: 23, \\
& 158: 16,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 134: 22, ~ 138: 25,
\end{aligned}
\] & foregoing \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lll}76: 22, & 76: 24, \\ 104: 1, & 123: 24,\end{array}\) & \[
165: 9,172: 4
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 139: 5, \quad 139: 6, \\
& 139: 12, \quad 139: 15,
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
200: 3
\] \\
forest
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 104.1, 123.24, & & 139:12, 139:15, & \[
31: 8,44: 7,
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 45:15, 57:22, & four & functions & 19:12, 19:16, \\
\hline 58:7, 58:21, & 186:10, 197:8 & 122:10 & 20:1, 20:10, \\
\hline 61:17, 64:16, & four-story & fundamental & 20:17, 21:1, \\
\hline 64:25, 81:19, & 98:13 & 144:3 & 21:4, 21:14, \\
\hline 81:21, 81:23, & four-way & further & 21:18, 22:2, \\
\hline 82:7, 82:12, & 171:22 & 12:9, 19:9, & 22:7, 22:14, \\
\hline 83:5, 83:10, & fourth & 31:14, 48:6, & 22:16, 22:24, \\
\hline 85:5, 85:8, & 65:5 & 60:18, 75:13, & 23:2, 23:9, \\
\hline 87:19, 87:22, & framework & 117:20, 132:18, & 23:12, 23:23, \\
\hline 88:1, 88:3, & 36:8 & 194:14 & 24:6, 24:10, \\
\hline 88:17, 97:5, & frankly & furtherance & 24:19, 24:24, \\
\hline 99:16, 158:21, & 39:20 & 68:3 & 25:9, 25:11, \\
\hline 159:1 & freed & furthermore & 26:12, 26:25, \\
\hline forester & 147:24 & 70:25, 77:11 & 27:12, 63:24, \\
\hline 158:22 & french & furthers & 156:11, 184:16, \\
\hline forests & 186:8, 186:18, & 67:12, 67:18 & 186:1, 186:7, \\
\hline \[
15: 5
\] & \[
186: 20,188: 3
\] & future & 189:5, 189:8, \\
\hline forget & frequently & 26:20, 54:25, & 189:10, 189:22 \\
\hline 82:10 & 188:21 & 92:16, 97:11, & gas \\
\hline form & friday & 123:4, 159:7 & 47:3, 47:7, \\
\hline 70:10 & 1:11, 193:5, & fzp & 116:23, 141:20 \\
\hline formal & 193:6, 196:11, & \[
3: 21, \quad 11: 14,
\] & gatehouse \\
\hline 30:17, 178:22 & \[
196: 15
\] & \[
61: 7
\] & 46:9 \\
\hline formalities & front & G & gates \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\text { | } 8: 4
\] \\
former
\end{tabular} & 46:23, 101:18, & g-a-l-a-r-i-e & \(46: 8\)
gave \\
\hline 113:16, 113:25 & 103:12, 118:22 & 19:20 & \[
136: 16
\] \\
\hline formula & frontage
\(99: 1\) & gables & leneral \\
\hline 175:16 & full & galarie & 44:11, 51:25, \\
\hline formulas & 19:13, 28:14, & \[
9: 25,17: 19,
\] & 66:18, 67:24, \\
\hline \[
176: 6
\] & 40:7, 41:11, & \[
19: 24,20: 1
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 88: 5, \quad 101: 2, \\
& 156: 15
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline forth & 41:16, 54:9, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
19: \angle 4, \\
20: 8, & 20: 10,
\end{array}\right.
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
156:15 \\
generally
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
24: 13, & 67: 4, \\
70: 11, & 74: 16,
\end{array}
\] & \[
66: 6, \quad 90: 2,
\] & \[
20: 12, \quad 21: 4
\] & \[
94: 8, \quad 150: 5
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 70: 11, \quad 74: 16, \\
& 186: 3
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 100: 13, \quad 108: 4, \\
& 114: 4, \quad 149: 16,
\end{aligned}
\] & galarie's & generate \\
\hline forward & 188:11, 192:3 & \[
19: 24
\] & 76:16, 77:24, \\
\hline 7:25, 27:7, & full-service & \[
156: 10
\] & 109:6, 111:8, \\
\hline 27:10, 33:15, & \[
29: 6
\] & gallas & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 114: 3, \quad 132: 3, \\
& 135: 10, \quad 135: 24
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 157: 15, \quad 162: 21, \\
& 162: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & full-time & \[
10: 4, \quad 17: 24
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 135: 10, ~ 135: 24, \\
& 152: 3,152: 9,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline found & 24:11 & \[
89: 5, \quad 90: 5
\] & 152:13, 152:18, \\
\hline 66:18, 77:7, & \[
47: 17,62: 25
\] & garbled
\(6: 15\) & 152:25 \\
\hline 77:14, 96:3, & \[
71: 2,160: 16 \text {, }
\] & 6:15 & generated \\
\hline 109:5, 109:22, & 182:22 & gary \({ }^{\text {a }}\), 7.19 & 141:1, 141:5, \\
\hline 114:6, 141:14 & functional & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
3: 4, & 7: 19, \\
9: 24, & 9: 25
\end{array}
\] & 142:22, 143:9, \\
\hline founded & 67:16, 67:25 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9: 24, \quad 9: 25, \\
& 17: 19, \quad 18: 21,
\end{aligned}
\] & 181:4 \\
\hline 29:7 & functioning & \[
18: 25,19: 5,
\] & generates \\
\hline founder & \[
63: 1, \quad 146: 9
\] & & 111: 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
69:8 \\
goes
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 24: 1, \quad 122: 21, \\
& 139: 15, \quad 178: 8 \\
& \text { gone } \\
& 37: 22, \quad 70: 6, \\
& 99: 12, \quad 150: 19
\end{aligned}
\] \\
good
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 6: 18,7: 10, \\
& 7: 13, \quad 7: 16, \quad 9: 3, \\
& 9: 14, \quad 23: 20, \\
& 24: 5, \quad 40: 16, \\
& 50: 14, \quad 65: 10, \\
& 124: 4, \quad 145: 2, \\
& 154: 1, \quad 162: 24, \\
& 176: 24, \quad 180: 17, \\
& 192: 10,198: 14 \\
& \text { goods } \\
& 115: 9 \\
& \text { gotcha }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
127: 10, \quad 128: 16
\]
governmental
187:13, 188:14,
\[
191: 3
\] \\
grace \\
171:18 \\
gracefully \\
21: 6 \\
grandiose \\
155:8 \\
grant \\
3:5, 9:25, 17:20, 27:24, 28:16, 156:10, 156:13 graphic
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS


PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
March 24, 2023
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 196:24 & 133:13, 133:17, & 115:4 & 128:21, 129:2, \\
\hline helps & 133:19, 135:5, & homes & 132:3, 135:19, \\
\hline 19:8 & 142:1, 144:17, & 54:13, 56:21, & 135:20, 153:23, \\
\hline here & 146:13, 159:9, & 94:15, 94:16, & 154:11 \\
\hline 5:17, 6:19, & 171:13, 171:17, & 156:20, 171:11 & hours \\
\hline 6:25, 7:22, & 172:8, 184:18 & hope & 24:2, 146:20 \\
\hline 7:23, 9:7, 9:21, & highways & 10:9 & house \\
\hline 11:10, 14:12, & 52:11 & hopefully & 94:10, 101:9, \\
\hline 20:4, 20:6, & hill & 5:19, 9:16, & 103:3, 103:11 \\
\hline 33:23, 33:25, & 108:8 & 193:17 & household \\
\hline 55:8, 61:16, & hire & horse & 63:19 \\
\hline 64:9, 69:3, & 176:13 & 92:11 & houses \\
\hline 79:7, 80:2, & hired & horses & 93:22, 94:3 \\
\hline 85:19, 88:9, & 23:25, 176:18 & 154:9 & housing \\
\hline 97:3, 97:16, & history & hose & 63:16, 63:17, \\
\hline \[
98: 12, \quad 98: 17
\] & 120:6, 133:1 & 129:1 & 63:20, 63:21, \\
\hline 101:7, 136:4, & hoffman & host & 65:23, 66:1, \\
\hline 140:2, 140:10, & 2:21, 3:6, & 144:20, 144:25 & 66:2, 66:6, \\
\hline 155:4, 155:5, & 7:16, 10:2, & hosted & 68:14, 69:21, \\
\hline 155:22, 159:21, & 17:2, 17:22, & 156:14 & 70:22, 70:23, \\
\hline 160:25, 164:8, & 33:22, 33:23, & hotel & 75:17, 115:6, \\
\hline 164:11, 172:2, & 41:12, 41:18, & 140:17, 140:20, & 135:22 \\
\hline 180:19, 182:12, & 41:23, 42:1, & 140:21, 142:19, & however \\
\hline 184:21, 184:23, & 42:7, 42:8, & \[
148: 5,148: 19,
\] & 15:10, 32:20, \\
\hline 188:2, 193:3, & 42:11, 42:21, & \[
148: 24,149: 14,
\] & 52:21, 62:24, \\
\hline 193:24, 198:16 & \[
43: 5,43: 11
\] & \[
149: 15,149: 18,
\] & \[
63: 2, \quad 86: 9
\] \\
\hline here's & \[
44: 6,44: 8,
\] & \[
149: 21, \quad 150: 1
\] & \[
113: 23,125: 2
\] \\
\hline 87:18, 161:1 & \[
44: 24,45: 14
\] & \[
150: 7, \quad 150: 10,
\] & \[
171: 23,177: 1
\] \\
\hline hereby & \[
45: 19,45: 21,
\] & 150:12, 150:19, & huge \\
\hline 200:2 & 45:25, 46:2, & 151:6, 151:17, & \[
21: 8
\] \\
\hline high & 46:13, 46:18, & 151:19, 152:3, & hundred \\
\hline 25:22, 27:3, & 46:20, 47:6, & 152:4, 152:12, & 152:17 \\
\hline 64:7 & 47:12, 48:1, & 152:20, 152:21, & hungry \\
\hline higher & 48:12, 48:16, & 152:22, 159:22, & 154:9 \\
\hline 141:7, 141:24, & 48:19, 48:22, & 167:16 & hybrid \\
\hline 149:14, 149:18, & 48:24, 49:4, & hotels & 5:19 \\
\hline 151:1, 151:12, & 49:7, 49:10, & \[
148: 20,150: 5 \text {, }
\] & hypothetical \\
\hline 153:6, 176:15, & 59:1 & \[
150: 8
\] & \[
167: 17
\] \\
\hline 176:17 & hoffman's & hour & I \\
\hline highlight & \(49: 2\)
hold & 111:6, 111:7, & idea \\
\hline 83:5 & 55:15, 94.2 & 111:8, 111:11, & \[
141: 9, \quad 159: 24
\] \\
\hline highlights
\[
79: 21
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 55: 15, \quad 94: 2, \\
& 102: 14, \quad 165: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & 113:25, 116:8, & identified \\
\hline highly & holiday & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
125: 14, & 125: 15, \\
125: 19 . & 126: 11,
\end{array}
\] & 18:7, 52:7, \\
\hline 32:4 & 25:25 & 126:12, 126:20, & 57:12, 66:3, \\
\hline highway & holidays & \[
126: 24,127: 14,
\] & identify \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 52: 11, \quad 118: 14, \\
& 122: 18, \quad 126: 4,
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
24: 12
\] \\
home
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 127: 15, ~ 127: 19, \\
& 127: 22, ~ 128: 20,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { identify } \\
& 6: 16,6: 20,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
March 24, 2023
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 13:21, 36:10, & implementation & 62:21, 63:13, & 64:1, 149:23, \\
\hline 98:23 & 36:4, 158:21 & 85:24, 97:24, & 176:7 \\
\hline ige & implemented & 121:4, 157:9, & indicated \\
\hline 114:17 & 37:15 & 159:7, 179:24, & 23:21, 126:15, \\
\hline ignore & implications & 180:7, 187:16 & 137:2 \\
\hline 172:18 & 78:8 & included & indicating \\
\hline iii & imply & 31:12, 109:1, & 131:19 \\
\hline 118:10, 127:17 & 145:16 & 157:9, 158:17, & individual \\
\hline illegal & importance & 158:22, 162:13, & 150:8, 179:23 \\
\hline 175:4 & 127:17, 157:5, & 169:5, 169:13, & individuals \\
\hline illustrating & 158:9, 185:5 & 179:24 & 17:4 \\
\hline 94:21 & important & includes & indoor \\
\hline illustrative & 26:5, 30:3, & 42:22, 45:2, & 25:14 \\
\hline 4:6, 97:13, & 30:14, 91:21, & \[
48: 2,53: 15,
\] & industrial \\
\hline 97:14, 105:4 & 98:6, 106:10, & 63:15, 63:24, & 63:3, 63:8, \\
\hline image & 137:4, 143:6, & 65:1, 111:25, & 63:10 \\
\hline 18:8 & 156:4, 158:16, & 115:11, 159:10, & industry \\
\hline images & 160:7, 160:16, & 188:9 & 28:24 \\
\hline 3:24, 13:14, & 162:6, 176:6 & including & inevitably \\
\hline 13:15, 13:17, & importantly & 15:1, 29:9, & 168:7, 171:23 \\
\hline 13:21, 13:22, & 161:2, 176:11 & 31:19, 42:24, & infill \\
\hline 94:21 & imposes & 52:11, 53:15, & 68:2, 69:18 \\
\hline immediate & 101:2 & 63:17, 64:1, & influence \\
\hline 10:1, 27:24, & impressive & 66:25, 72:10, & 139:11, 139:12, \\
\hline 28:3, 31:3 & 176:22 & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
80: 21, & 99: 14, \\
156: 12, & 162: 10,
\end{array}\right.
\] & \[
139: 14
\] \\
\hline immediately & improved & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
156: 12, & 162: 10, \\
167: 22, & 179: 9
\end{array}
\] & influenced \\
\hline 10:23, 16:5, & 83:12, 103:24, & income & \[
96: 2
\] \\
\hline 52:18, 133:8, & \[
104: 4
\] & income
\[
63: 18, \quad 176: 15
\] & informal \\
\hline \[
136: 6, \quad 144: 19
\] & improvement & \begin{tabular}{l}
63:18, 176:15 \\
incorporated
\end{tabular} & 8:4, 164:12 \\
\hline impact & 98:18, 132:19 & incorporated
\[
76: 7
\] & information \\
\hline 8:25, 9:4, & improvements & 76:7 & 81:8, 123:14, \\
\hline 34:14, 35:14, & 47:21, 118:19, & incorporates & 125:5, 125:8, \\
\hline 35:21, 36:6, & 132:17, 180:9 & 64:18, 76:10 & 126:3, 133:13, \\
\hline 36:22, 53:11, & inaccurate & incorrect & 156:2, 179:15, \\
\hline 53:23, 77:17, & 167:14 & 149:19 & 190:5 \\
\hline 77:22, 86:13, & inadvertent & increase & infrastructure \\
\hline 122:3, 123:4, & 172:20 & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
99: 25,167: 22
\] \\
increased
\end{tabular} & \[
67: 24,68: 2,
\] \\
\hline 129:21, 129:25, & inasmuch & increased & \[
68: 22, \quad 69: 19
\] \\
\hline 135:15, 148:9, & 54:21 & \[
65: 23, \quad 150: 15
\] & inherently \\
\hline 148:22, 176:23, & inbound & increases & 104:4, 160:4 \\
\hline 180:16 & 130:17 & \[
101: 12
\] & initial \\
\hline impacts & inc & increasing & \[
99: 9,121: 3
\] \\
\hline 37:7, 118:11, & 113:12 & 189:14 & initially \\
\hline 120:7, 131:23, & inclining & indeed & \[
118: 15
\] \\
\hline 132:11, 162:9 & \[
61: 19
\] & \[
40: 6, \quad 171: 11
\] & initiated \\
\hline implement & include & independent & 158:5 \\
\hline 67:9, 67:14, & 21:22, 23:14, & 15:2, 21:14, & injuries \\
\hline 187:10, 190:23 & 25:24, 30:17, & 21:18, 22:2, & 171:8, 173:17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline injury & 194:17 & intersection & issue \\
\hline 133:23, 133:24, & intent & 99:21, 118:19, & 34:12, 114:12, \\
\hline 134:15, 168:18, & 66:19, 67:14, & 119:25, 122:7, & 118:10, 123:15, \\
\hline 168:19 & 69:2, 69:14, & 122:10, 122:15, & 124:13, 159:13, \\
\hline inkling & 71:25, 75:20, & 122:16, 123:3, & 161:9, 173:6, \\
\hline 124:12 & 84:16, 161:21, & 123:5, 123:6, & 174:13 \\
\hline input & 187:5 & 123:13, 126:2, & issued \\
\hline 70:9 & intently & 126:4, 126:8, & 113:20 \\
\hline insert & 162:20 & 126:19, 126:22, & issues \\
\hline 170:7 & intents & 128:15, 135:6, & 37:25, 79:13, \\
\hline inside & 67:4, 67:8 & 136:5, 138:19, & 79:16, 92:21, \\
\hline 97:19 & interact & 138:20, 138:23, & 119:3, 123:13, \\
\hline inspection & 25:17, 97:21 & 139:10, 144:25, & 156:19, 158:9, \\
\hline 43:9, 51:20, & interaction & 146:12, 147:17, & 158:15, 162:14, \\
\hline 108:19 & 31:14, 32:22, & 170:1, 170:9, & 162:23, 176:22, \\
\hline instance & 98:2 & 170:17, 171:20, & 176:25, 177:2, \\
\hline 68:12 & interactions & 171:22, 179:19, & 185:15, 187:21, \\
\hline instances & 98:6, 99:10 & 180:5, 180:9, & 194:10 \\
\hline 137:22 & interest & 180:24, 181:4, & ite \\
\hline instead & 60:19, 75:13, & 181:14, 182:1, & 109:14, 141:19, \\
\hline 77:2, 101:20, & 75:14, 159:13, & 182:7, 182:23, & 141:23, 142:2, \\
\hline 148:19, 152:15, & 185:17, 185:20, & 183:13 & 142:6, 142:12, \\
\hline 168:8 & 200:8 & intersections & 148:3, 148:8, \\
\hline institute & interested & 125:3, 125:6, & 148:12, 149:23, \\
\hline 114:18 & 157:22, 162:18, & 146:24, 180:8, & 150:8, 151:11 \\
\hline institutional & 186:2, 186:4 & 181:8 & items \\
\hline 14:25, 21:9, & interests & introduce & 157:9, 199:2 \\
\hline \[
42: 15, \quad 53: 15
\] & 32:25 & 44:14, 55:12, & iterations \\
\hline \[
53: 17,54: 5,
\] & interference & 61:4, 72:20, & 99:13 \\
\hline 62:25, 63:9, & 122:20 & 92:12, 93:10, & itself \\
\hline 63:11, 66:6, & intergenerational & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
93: 11, & 101: 25, \\
112: 1, & 112: 5
\end{array}
\] & 40:8, 64:16, \\
\hline 67:19, 69:15, & 25:15, 26:6 & \begin{tabular}{l}
112:1, 112:5 \\
introduced
\end{tabular} & 119:19, 150:12 \\
\hline 77:3, 77:10, & interject & \[
3: 15, \quad 4: 3
\] & J \\
\hline 109:12, 115:5
insured & 35:22 & introducing & jams \\
\hline insured
16:7 & 61:22, 104:9 & 11:18, 13:4, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 164: 18, \quad 171: 8 \\
& \text { jane }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline intact & 115:17, 190:1 & 16:1, 112:6, & \[
3: 7,10: 2,
\] \\
\hline 117:10 & interpret & 131:9
inventory & 17:22, 49:11, \\
\hline integrate & 158:4 & inventory & 50:15 \\
\hline 68:9, 94:21 & interpreted & invited & january \\
\hline integrated & 117:13, 161:9 & invited
\[
31: 15
\] & 113:20, 158:25 \\
\hline \[
30: 6, \quad 68: 12
\] & interrupt & \begin{tabular}{l}
31:15 \\
involve
\end{tabular} & jefferson \\
\hline \[
68: 21
\] & \[
6: 7,22: 12,
\] &  & 2:15 \\
\hline integration & 41:25, 71:11, & 181:17, 181:18 involved & job \\
\hline \[
29: 12
\] & 121:7 & involved & 1:23, 176:22 \\
\hline intend & interrupted & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
17: 4
\] \\
irrelevant
\end{tabular} & joking \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 187: 6 \\
& \text { intended }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 124: 21, \quad 130: 5 \\
& \text { interrupting }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { irrelevant } \\
& \text { 168:17 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 14:22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline jprzygocki@solte- & 107:10, 118:20, & 43:6, 68:9, & last \\
\hline zco & 119:25, 120:12, & 69:23, 81:2, & 13:11, 17:25, \\
\hline 50:18 & 121:23, 123:10, & 83:4 & 27:9, 55:25, \\
\hline juggle & 127:16, 128:8, & landscape & 58:1, 133:20, \\
\hline 175:25 & 129:25, 138:9, & 10:3, 17:24, & 147:24 \\
\hline jump & 139:9, 140:8, & 79:8, 80:13, & lastly \\
\hline 80:19 & 144:18, 144:19, & 80:16, 80:20, & 69:25, 96:1, \\
\hline june & 145:2, 149:8, & 81:2, 83:23, & 100:5 \\
\hline 30:19, 197:22 & 149:11, 165:7, & 86:25 & later \\
\hline jurisdiction & 175:17, 175:22, & landscaped & 8:25, 34:12, \\
\hline 183:18 & 175:23, 175:24, & 97:18 & 39:6, 40:19, \\
\hline jurisdictions & 176:4, 176:12, & landscaping & 54:19, 73:17, \\
\hline \[
174: 21
\] & 177:10, 178:7, & 31:9, 83:22 & 74:3, 86:20, \\
\hline justification & 180:17, 182:15, & lane & 171:24 \\
\hline 175:2 & 185:16, 185:20, & 52:12, 76:17, & latest \\
\hline justify & 187:15, 188:22, & 122:11, 125:10, & 84:19 \\
\hline 120:17 & 189:14, 189:15, & 130:20, 135:11, & latr \\
\hline K & \[
194: 24,195
\] & 146:9, 146:10, & 4:7, 109:2, \\
\hline keep & 197:1, 197:2, & \[
170: 20
\] & \[
110: 7,110: 10,
\] \\
\hline 14:5, 76:5, & 198:15 & lanes & 110:13, 110:18, \\
\hline 124:23, 174:1, & knowing & 146:7, 146:21, & 110:21, 111:17, \\
\hline 185:21, 198:24 & 150:9 & 147:6, 168:25, & 111:20, 111:21, \\
\hline keeping & kwesi & 170:4, 170:7, & 113:8, 113:9, \\
\hline 185:20 & 171:15 & 170:8, 172:10, & 113:14, 113:24, \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
keeps \\
181:10
\end{tabular} & L & 186:11 & 114:2, 114:5, \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
181:10 \\
key
\end{tabular} & 18 & language & 114:8, 114:18, \\
\hline \[
99: 13,181: 1
\] & 136:4 & 40:5, 117:12, & 115:3, 116:2, \\
\hline keys & label & 122:25, 145:12, & 116:9, 116:14, \\
\hline keys
\(175: 24\) & 133:6, 173:12 & 178:25, 187:9, & 116:16, 117:6, \\
\hline kids & labeled & 187:16, 191:2 & 117:15, 117:21, \\
\hline 141:8 & 172:9, 172:10, & large & 117:25, 118:4, \\
\hline kind
kind & 173:11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 17: 4, \quad 30: 9, \\
& 30: 24, \quad 52: 24,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|ll}
118: 7, & 119: 20, \\
120: 4, & 120: 5,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 25:9, 53:7, & lance
\[
147: 15
\] & 53:20, 69:22, & 123:24, 124:10, \\
\hline 84:20, 87:14, & land & \[
83: 9, \quad 93: 6,
\] & 135:13, 136:17, \\
\hline 108:25, 122:25, & 10:3, 17:23, & 104:10, 159:23, & 141:17, 145:24, \\
\hline 160:25, 161:24 & \[
42: 12, \quad 50: 2 \text {, }
\] & 170:21, 171:1, & 146:2, 167:2, \\
\hline kindly & \[
50: 8, \quad 50: 11,
\] & 177:5 & 168:1, 174:17, \\
\hline 191:9 & \[
51: 12,51: 14,
\] & largely & 174:24 \\
\hline know & \[
51: 17,62: 19,
\] & 15:7, 45:15, & latter \\
\hline 8:1, 11:21, & \[
63: 2,68: 25,
\] & 53:14, 53:21, & 145:15 \\
\hline 22:5, 27:8, & \[
69: 1, \quad 69: 3
\] & 57:21, 97:3, & law \\
\hline 34:6, 49:25, & \[
72: 8, \quad 116: 18
\] & 97:5 & 6:19, 9:19, \\
\hline 50:20, 57:17, & \[
156: 25
\] & larger & 9:22 \\
\hline 73:16, 74:12, & land-use & 95:16 & layered \\
\hline 87:18, 93:4, & 43:1, 43:3, & largest & 126:17, 126:20, \\
\hline 94:11, 100:8, & 43.1, 43.3, & 95:19 & 127:12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline layering & legislative & 173:14 & 46:2, 52:24, \\
\hline 126:25, 143:22 & 120:6 & lighting & 62:15, 76:2, \\
\hline layout & lend & 86:4, 86:6, & 91:15, 139:10, \\
\hline 42:24, 64:10, & 185:23 & 86:8, 86:12 & 191:2, 193:24 \\
\hline 71:1, 77:12, & lengths & lights & live \\
\hline 97:5 & 70:6 & 172:11 & 139:2, 168:13 \\
\hline layouts & leon & likely & living \\
\hline 63:25 & 2:19, 3:12, & 137:20 & 9:25, 12:6, \\
\hline lead & 163:18, 163:24 & limitation & 15:2, 15:3, \\
\hline 171:8, 171:18, & lerch & 98:18 & 17:20, 19:24, \\
\hline 171:23, 175:4 & 2:9, 6:19, & limited & 20:1, 20:8, \\
\hline leading & 9:20, 9:21 & 15:9, 26:4, & 20:11, 21:4, \\
\hline 29:1, 92:21 & less & 60:14, 60:17, & 21:8, 21:13, \\
\hline learn & 55:1, 141:23, & 60:23, 71:3, & 21:14, 21:15, \\
\hline 33:10 & 151:20, 153:24, & 98:20, 148:13, & 21:18, 21:20, \\
\hline least & 153:25, 179:25, & 179:9 & 21:21, 21:24, \\
\hline 18:1, 22:6, & 189:17 & limits & 22:3, 25:3, \\
\hline 23:21, 141:10, & lessen & 16:11, 121:9, & 25:6, 25:8, \\
\hline 173:24, 178:7 & 122:20 & 121:13, 121:20, & 31:5, 42:16, \\
\hline leave & let's & 121:22, 159:3 & 64:1, 67:20, \\
\hline 11:23, 82:19, & 10:9, 40:17, & line & 77:23, 98:3, \\
\hline 89:12, 154:12, & 152:11, 166:25, & 26:21, 52:25, & 108:12, 111:7, \\
\hline 154:14, 175:24 & 192:16, 192:19 & 54:14, 56:18, & 135:23, 142:4, \\
\hline leaves & letter & 57:13, 57:15, & 156:10, 176:11, \\
\hline 147:24 & 15:23, 32:2, & 58:14, 101:22, & 189:11, 189:13 \\
\hline leaving & 76:13, 158:24, & 103:4, 103:12, & liz \\
\hline 20:12, 152:19, & 163:6, 194:13, & 106:9, 136:4, & 10:13, 191:21, \\
\hline 181:1, 181:9, & 194:17 & 136:8, 147:7, & 191:23, 195:4 \\
\hline 197:22 & level & 170:17, 190:6 & llc \\
\hline left & 21:20, 63:18, & lines & 1:4, 5:4, 9:23, \\
\hline 56:18, 56:24, & 85:4, 85:5, & 146:6 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 19: 23, \quad 20: 2 \\
& \text { lma }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 57:5, 57:10, & 125:4, 129:12, & link & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lma } \\
& 4: 8, \quad 15: 18 .
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 94:11, 122:17, & 140:25, 141:12, & 154:12 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
4: 8, & 15: 18, \\
17: 8 & 17: 10
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 130:18, 131:11, & 142:22 & linked & \[
\begin{array}{cc}
17: 8, & 17: 10, \\
29: 18 . & 29: 20
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 131:12, 131:16, & levels & 97:20 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
29: 18, & 29: 20, \\
42: 11, & 47: 15,
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 131:19, 139:5, & 21:12, 21:19, & list & \[
42: 11,47: 15,
\] \\
\hline 139:13, 139:16, & 63:25, 141:14, & 9:6, 109:11, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 66: 18, \quad 86: 10, \\
& 117: 18, \quad 117: 21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 147:8, 147:15, & 189:14 & 158:15 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
117: 18, & 117: 21, \\
117: 24 . & 117: 25
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 170:10, 170:18, & lib & listed & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 117: 24, \\
& 1117: 25, \\
& 118 \cdot 25
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 170:20, 171:10, & 159:15 & 72:22, 135:4, & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
118: 25, & 157: 11, \\
158 \cdot 10 & 158 \cdot 13
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline 172:20 & license & 142:15 & 158:10, 158:13, \\
\hline left-hand & 41:13 & listen & 164:10 \\
\hline 139:7 & licensed & 5:10 & lmah \\
\hline left-handed & 28:25 & lists & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 113: 11 \\
& \text { lmh }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 131:14 & life & 67:13 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{lmh} \\
& 4: 9, \quad 113: 4,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline leftover & 56:21, 62:15 & little & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4: 9, \quad 113: 4, \\
& 113: 5
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 183:11 & light & 11:20, 14:3, & loading \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { legal } \\
& \text { 108:6 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 170:18, 172:13, & 22:19, 36:7, & \[
48: 14,58: 10,
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 75:7, 76:22, & 66:5, 69:15, & 185:4 & 39:1, 43:9, \\
\hline 173:21 & 77:10, 99:18, & lot & 48:18, 51:19, \\
\hline local & 109:12, 111:1, & 7:2, 27:5, & 78:13, 87:3, \\
\hline 5:3, 14:12, & 111:11, 137:1 & 35:19, 58:23, & 96:8, 104:19, \\
\hline 20:14, 26:8, & long-term & 85:18, 87:21, & 108:18, 130:12, \\
\hline 26:13, 26:18, & 27:10, 30:1, & 88:15, 105:3, & 156:3, 156:11, \\
\hline 29:13, 29:25, & 73:25 & 141:8, 147:16, & 157:3, 157:6, \\
\hline 33:1, 33:3, & longer & 163:12, 170:16, & 162:24, 166:14, \\
\hline 36:21, 42:9, & 113:17, 126:5, & 171:24, 175:18, & 170:6, 180:17 \\
\hline 42:19, 47:23, & 143:15 & 182:13, 188:21, & main \\
\hline 51:1, 51:5, & look & 188:23, 193:22 & 147:7, 171:19 \\
\hline 51:21, 74:10, & 27:7, 27:10, & lots & mainly \\
\hline 74:13, 74:14, & 33:15, 84:18, & 8:1, 186:24 & 31:7, 168:22 \\
\hline 74:17, 74:22, & 120:10, 122:8, & loud & maintained \\
\hline 81:10, 83:7, & 125:6, 139:4, & 20:23, 20:24 & 59:3, 116:21 \\
\hline 91:8, 103:22, & 147:2, 147:13, & lounges & maintaining \\
\hline 108:15, 108:22, & 157:14, 162:20, & 25:20 & 15:8 \\
\hline 110:6, 111:22, & 162:25, 166:25, & loved & maintains \\
\hline 111:25, 112:10, & 178:8, 179:23, & 176:14 & 68:18 \\
\hline 112:13, 113:9, & 180:4, 186:11, & low & maintenance \\
\hline 124:17, 125:1, & 187:1, 194:5 & 135:21 & 23:16, 116:22, \\
\hline 129:12, 132:22, & looked & lower & 158:23 \\
\hline 155:22, 167:10 & 125:21, 133:20, & 38:7, 38:8, & major \\
\hline locate & 138:25, 139:16, & 45:1, 94:11, & 52:11, 137:3, \\
\hline 144:22 & 146:22, 179:6, & 141:24, 142:22 & 143:3, 146:17 \\
\hline located & 181:2 & lowered & majority \\
\hline 5:5, 14:14, & looking & 99:22 & \[
99: 16, \quad 125: 18
\] \\
\hline 19:17, 35:11, & 31:9, 56:1, & lowering & \[
134: 16
\] \\
\hline 41:18, 42:13, & 57:24, 93:7, & 39:9 & make \\
\hline 44:24, 48:10, & 110:2, 118:17, & lowest & 8:24, 9:3, \\
\hline 78:1, 78:4, & 118:23, 120:7, & 148:14 & 19:3, 20:21, \\
\hline 81:3, 99:5, & 120:14, 120:16, & lunch & 26:3, 26:5, \\
\hline 118:22, 136:4 & 120:20, 120:21, & 153:15, 153:23 & 28:9, 32:20, \\
\hline location & 120:23, 121:9, & lynn & \(34: 13,35: 21\), \\
\hline 21:7, 44:11, & 122:1, 122:4, & 1:9, 2:4, 5:9 & \(36: 20,37: 17\), \\
\hline 47:4, 56:18, & 122:6, 143:14, & M & 38:7, 39:2, \\
\hline 118:17, 126:6, & 144:11, 144:14, & ma 'am & 39:18, 40:8, \\
\hline \[
166: 19, \quad 182: 13
\] & 144:15, 144:17, & ma' am & 41:7, 49:21, \\
\hline long & 144:25, 146:21, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
20: 18, & 22: 14, \\
22: 24, & 24: 10
\end{array}
\] & 62:24, 73:11, \\
\hline 20:7, 27:1, & 147:16, 161:4, & 22:24, 24:10, & 74:12, 74:14, \\
\hline 41:21, 45:22, & 165:18, 171:22, & 146:3, 154:12 madam & \[
75: 2, \quad 80: 6
\] \\
\hline 114:3, 117:16, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
172: 6, \quad 180: 17, \\
181 \cdot 21
\end{array}
\] & \[
35: 23,189: 6
\] & \[
82: 23,84: 23
\] \\
\hline 132:1, 192:20, & \[
181: 21
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 35: 23,189: 6, ~ \\
& 192 \cdot 8
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
87: 21, \quad 87: 25
\] \\
\hline 197:11, 197:17, & looks & 192: 8 madame & \[
89: 11, \quad 89: 12
\] \\
\hline 198:17 & 7:1, 56:20 & madame & 89:22, 93:1, \\
\hline long-standing & loss & made & 103:22, 105:24, \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
14: 25, & 21: 9, \\
40 \cdot 15, & 53 \cdot 17
\end{array}
\] & \[
100: 1
\] & made
11:8, 18:9, & 106:1, 107:14, \\
\hline 42:15, 53:17, & lost
124:2, 185:2, & 11:8, 18:9, & 110:13, 117:24, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline  &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
24:18 \\
mathematical \\
167:1 \\
matt \\
192:1 \\
matter \\
5:3, 10:8, \\
13:12, 142:9, \\
142:10, 146:23, \\
156:19, 182:21 \\
matters \\
8:12, 42:19, \\
143:10 \\
maximum \\
60:5, 107:3, \\
188:11, 188:14, \\
188:17, 188:18, \\
189:20 \\
maybe \\
34:9, 79:1, \\
160:23 \\
mayor \\
2:18, 7:7, \\
16:25, 149:12, \\
155:9, 185:15, \\
187:18 \\
mayor's \\
183:17 \\
mcdot \\
122:19 \\
md \\
2:16 \\
meadow \\
170:14 \\
meal \\
189:25 \\
meals \\
25:21 \\
mean
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 12: 13, \quad 44: 22, \\
& 79: 15, \quad 85: 6, \\
& 92: 10, \quad 109: 8, \\
& 144: 23, \quad 170: 3, \\
& 182: 24, \quad 183: 17, \\
& 184: 18, \quad 187: 25, \\
& 191: 11, \quad 193: 20, \\
& 194: 21, \quad 195: 13, \\
& 198: 3
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline meaning & 148:16, 148:19, & message & minimal \\
\hline 12:7 & 148:20, 150:2, & 51:9 & 21:18 \\
\hline meaningful & 150:5, 150:11, & met & minimize \\
\hline 16:4 & 150:14, 151:10, & 16:7, 75:14, & 86:13, 162:9 \\
\hline means & 151:16, 152:4, & 76:25, 118:13, & minimum \\
\hline 5:23, 12:14 & 152:7, 152:10, & 118:14, 119:17, & 85:1, 85:23, \\
\hline meant & 152:11, 152:22, & 120:11, 137:22, & 97:8, 101:7, \\
\hline 63:8, 63:11, & 154:14 & 144:16, 161:13, & 101:8, 101:10, \\
\hline 114:7, 181:20 & meetings & 177:4, 198:4 & 101:13, 101:14, \\
\hline measured & 27:9, 30:18, & methodist & 101:16 \\
\hline 55:7, 103:1, & 30:24, 31:2 & 53:16, 94:13 & minute \\
\hline 103:2, 103:8, & meets & methodology & 7:5, 28:1, \\
\hline 103:10 & 17:10, 63:20, & 117:6 & 71:12, 78:5, \\
\hline measures & 69:14, 74:4, & methods & 89:8, 96:11, \\
\hline 158:14, 159:11, & 74:9, 75:11, & 65:4, 69:23 & 129:3, 165:10 \\
\hline 160:11 & 76:4, 81:19, & metric & minutes \\
\hline measuring & \[
100: 20,137: 14
\] & 39:21 & 79:23, 170:23 \\
\hline 98:24 & members & metro & mirrored \\
\hline med & 17:1, 29:21, & 26:21, 136:3, & 107:10 \\
\hline 23:18, 25:1 & \(30: 23,31: 12\), & 136:9, 187:21 & mirroring \\
\hline median & 31:16, 31:20, & metropolitan & 167:7 \\
\hline 130:18, 134:25, & 43:8, 71:5, & 29:9 & miscalculation \\
\hline 166:8, 166:13, & 162:13 & mfa & 39:21 \\
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\end{aligned}
\] & \[
95: 9,162: 24
\] & station \\
\hline \[
60: 9, \quad 60: 12,
\] & 176:16, 176:18,
178:9 & started & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 26: 21, \quad 78: 1, \\
& 112: 16, \quad 113: 13,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 93: 5, \quad 97: 24, \\
& 101: 4, \quad 140: 22,
\end{aligned}
\] & staff's & 118:13, 118:15 starting & 113:16, 113:17, \\
\hline 150:15, 150:17, & 52:16, 53:3, & 133:21 & 113:18, 136:9, \\
\hline 152:8, 152:11, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 71: 13, \\
& 72: 13, \\
& 72: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & starts & statistical \\
\hline st & staffing & state & 168:21 \\
\hline 193:6, 196:11 & 176:4 & 19:13, 28:13, & statistics \\
\hline stabilize & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { stage } \\
& \text { 12:15, } 120: 13,
\end{aligned}
\] & 41:10, 41:13, & \begin{tabular}{l}
138:21 \\
status
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 189: 19 \\
& \text { stable }
\end{aligned}
\] & \(12: 15,120: 13\),
\(174: 16, ~ 174: 19\), & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 41: 15, \quad 49: 25, \\
& 53: 10, \quad 62: 1,
\end{aligned}
\] & status
\[
175: 4
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
March 24, 2023
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
stay
64:4, 192:16
steep
65:1, 68:19
stepped
98:14
stepping
61:22, 104:9,
106:12
steps
33:2
steve
6:18, 9:19,
191:19, 191:21,
195:4
steven
2:7
stie
131:12
still
8:16, 8:18,
49:14, 105:4,
105:15, 152:4,
172:15, 172:23,
182:18, 192:14
stone
12:14, 177:6
stones
96:4
stop
6:8, 11:21,
40:8, 49:16,
55:17, 57:23,
118:22, 136:8
stops
136:4, 136:6
stories
98:13, 99:24
storm
42:25, 45:5,
47:10, 47:12,
47:13, 59:14,
59:15
stormwater
31:8, 47:14,
\(47: 15,47: 18\),
47:20, 47:24,
48:1, 48:5,
``` & ```
65:3, 158:16,
158:18
story
94:16
strategically
61:21, 104:7
strategies
31:9, 47:25,
158:18
strategy
47:12
streamlining
87:11
streams
45:12, 45:14
street
19:8, 28:18,
31:4, 55:2,
57:17, 57:18,
85:21, 94:14,
101:18, 103:12,
111:9, 138:16,
139:1, 139:3,
139:15, 146:17,
147:3, 147:5,
147:11, 164:19,
166:15, 166:19,
166:24, 170:1,
170:2, 171:20,
171:24, 172:12,
172:19, 174:1,
174:5, 179:4,
179:8
street's
170:12
streets
137:6, 146:22,
147:2, 156:22,
162:5, 176:20
stretch
134:17
strictly
146:1
strikes
159:25
strip
166:8, 166:13,
169:16, 169:19
``` &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(47: 16, \quad 82: 16\),
\(90: 10, \quad 96: 7\),
\(109: 15,120: 5\),
\(163: 6,164: 25\)
subsequent
\(112: 23,158: 11\),
\(196: 21\)
subsequently
\(60: 21\)
substandard
\(169: 1\)
substantial
\(58: 22, \quad 62: 10\),
\(66: 11,167: 22\)
substantially
\(17: 14,57: 20\),
\(62: 18,75: 8\),
\(97: 8,117: 10\)
subtraction
\(153: 1\)
sufficient
\(114: 9\)
sufficiently
\(157: 21\)
suggest
\(10: 24\)
suggested
\(169: 9\)
suggesting
\(35: 8, \quad 35: 13\),
\(187: 20,190: 9\)
suggestion
\(40: 17\)
suing
\(128: 13\)
suitability
\(42: 23\)
suitable
\(63: 19\)
suite
\(2: 10,2: 15\),
\(41: 19,50: 16\),
\(81: 3, ~ 108: 8\)
sum
\(162: 20\)
summarizes
\(133: 3\)
summary
\(133: 17\) & ```
supply
5:20, 63:18,
166:13
support
26:6, 32:19,
33:9, 70:22,
182:19
supported
16:14, 67:23,
68:1
supporting
32:5, 144:4
supports
65:23, 67:20,
157:11
supposed
13:18, 57:24,
79:9, 102:12
supposition
142:10
sure
6:2, 20:21,
26:3, 26:5,
27:21, 30:1,
32:17, 32:20,
38:7, 38:12,
45:21, 48:1,
49:13, 75:2,
84:11, 87:17,
87:18, 89:11,
89:12, 90:4,
92:17, 93:1,
97:2, 102:21,
106:15, 118:21,
122:5, 126:1,
143:2, 143:5,
148:6, 154:5,
155:15, 156:2,
159:15, 162:1,
172:7, 183:8,
183:13, 184:3,
184:11, 190:4
surface
45:3
surround
15:5
surrounding
15:7, 15:10,
``` &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline taylor & testified & theirs & thoroughly \\
\hline 126:16, 127:4, & 60:21, 75:10, & 193:6 & 81:25 \\
\hline 127:6, 127:8, & 76:6, 99:5, & themselves & thought \\
\hline 128:2, 134:2, & 104:3, 124:15, & 6:16, 54:9, & 40:12, 86:16, \\
\hline 134:5, 135:6, & 133:3, 191:11, & 158:8, 170:7 & 130:23, 141:6, \\
\hline 136:7, 138:15, & 191:14 & thereby & 173:9, 178:11 \\
\hline 138:16, 139:1, & testify & 157:23 & thoughtfully \\
\hline 139:2, 139:3, & 7:24, 34:2, & therefore & 65:12 \\
\hline 139:15, 139:17, & 36:23, 54:8, & 157:14, 167:24 & thousands \\
\hline 147:11, 164:19, & 75:2, 79:22, & therein & 174:11 \\
\hline 166:14, 166:19, & 86:20, 99:3, & 77:14 & threatened \\
\hline 170:2, 171:10, & 153:16, 153:18, & thereof & 45:8 \\
\hline 171:20, 171:24, & 155:21, 177:12 & 159:1 & three \\
\hline 172:12, 172:13, & testifying & thing & 15:5, 17:21, \\
\hline 172:19, 174:5, & 7:6, 153:21 & 8:21, 14:15, & 21:17, 25:21, \\
\hline 179:4, 179:8 & testimony & 72:4, 72:16, & 28:17, 29:5, \\
\hline team & 3:3, 5:16, 8:6, & 111:13, 128:14, & 29:6, 57:21, \\
\hline 15:10, 23:17, & 8:23, 11:19, & 145:5, 173:4, & 63:25, 67:8, \\
\hline 25:4, 29:21, & 13:15, 15:22, & 184:24, 186:22, & 67:13, 133:22, \\
\hline 81:24, 111:5 & 18:6, 27:17, & 187:3, 188:5, & 134:14, 134:15, \\
\hline teams & 39:6, 39:18, & 188:20, 189:10, & 170:4, 170:7, \\
\hline 5:22, 6:12, & 40:18, 44:15, & 196:4 & 179:4 \\
\hline 6:14, 23:16, & 47:23, 49:2, & things & three's \\
\hline 23:17, 38:8, & 54:19, 61:15, & 11:3, 17:8, & 29:4 \\
\hline 190:2 & 67:17, 71:15, & 36:10, 112:4, & threshold \\
\hline technical & 73:17, 74:22, & 112:7, 118:20, & 8:23, 115:1, \\
\hline 159:19 & 74:23, 75:22, & 118:23, 120:15, & 123:24, 149:8, \\
\hline techs & 76:18, 76:20, & 120:25, 121:4, & 167:5 \\
\hline 23:18, 25:1 & 78:23, 81:8, & 121:5, 122:19, & through \\
\hline tell & 83:3, 85:17, & 144:18, 144:21, & 5:18, 11:8, \\
\hline 55:4, 96:11, & 86:18, 87:7, & 144:25, 147:17, & 12:22, 17:7, \\
\hline 189:3 & 102:18, 108:11, & 150:6, 150:8, & 27:1, 32:1, \\
\hline term & 112:25, 123:19, & 171:9, 179:6, & 36:19, 37:7, \\
\hline \[
144: 1
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 124: 25, \quad 130: 24, \\
& 132: 9.132: 24 .
\end{aligned}
\] & 188:21, 196:9 & 37:22, 37:24, \\
\hline terms & 132:9, 132:24,
\[
1136 \cdot 16.145 \cdot 19
\] & thinking & 45:16, 46:8, \\
\hline 55:3, 58:5, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 136: 16, ~ \\
& 145: 19, \\
& 159: 16, \\
& 194: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & 22:6, 23:8 & 60:18, 60:21, \\
\hline \(73: 3\),
\(77: 21\),
\(78: 9\),
78 & \begin{tabular}{l}
159:16, 194:10 \\
text
\end{tabular} & thinks & 64:24, 65:10, \\
\hline 77:21, 78:7, & text
\[
52 \cdot 18.52 \cdot 21
\] & 168:18 & 66:14, 69:18, \\
\hline 98:18, 115:16, & \[
52: 18,52: 21
\] & third & 70:8, 71:17, \\
\hline 124:16, 146:1, & th 193.14 & 22:10, 23:3, & 72:9, 72:17, \\
\hline 161:7, 164:11, & 94:9, 193:14, & 23:5, 23:7, & 74:13, 74:24, \\
\hline 180:20 & 196:13, 198:9 & 64:20, 68:8 & 79:15, 79:21, \\
\hline test & thankful & thoffman@soltezco & 81:20, 84:19, \\
\hline 116:3, 126:14, & 26:25, 27:4 & 41:20 & 88:4, 88:8, \\
\hline 128:17, 143:19, & thanks & thorn & 88:10, 90:12, \\
\hline \[
146: 17
\] & 89:14, 139:1, & 59:11, 85:21 & \[
90: 15, \quad 93: 11,
\] \\
\hline testament & 190:5 & thorough & \[
99: 12,109: 11,
\] \\
\hline 32:6, 68:7 & theater
\[
25: 18,26: 17
\] & \[
71: 25
\] & 120:13, 126:14, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
126:15, 133:18,
137:1, 139:10,
140:19, 142:11,
146:11, 146:20,
148:15, 156:22,
163:1, 164:17,
171:24, 172:12,
174:5, 174:19,
176:22, 176:24,
186:25
throughout
31:23, 33:10,
48:3, 64:7,
96:3, 97:20,
133:14, 190:2
throwing
152:5, 152:25,
153:1
thursday
193:14, 195:8,
196:12, 196:13,
196:14, 198:10
tied
94:18, 117:24
ties
64:5
tim
3:4, 9:24,
9:25, 17:18,
17:22, 18:21,
19:16, 156:11,
177:22, 177:23
tim@galarieliving
19:19
time
11:1, 22:8,
22:13, 24:13,
27:20, 29:10,
30:4, 31:7,
48:6, 50:11,
62:25, 63:4,
79:1, 82:19,
84:4, 86:19,
89:1, 92:13,
95:3, 104:25,
106:23, 113:16,
138:14, 139:22,
140:6, 141:22,
``` &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
17:2 \\
together \\
6:25, 33:12, \\
93:11, 111:24, \\
146:19, 146:23, \\
147:1 \\
told \\
14:21, 151:23, 197:7 \\
took \\
95:3, 110:12, \\
126:16, 127:11, \\
133:12, 140:3, \\
141:16, 179:25 \\
top \\
6:4, 6:11, \\
56:3, 56:5, \\
96:14, 131:11, \\
131:12, 131:13, \\
131:15, 131:16, \\
143:22 \\
topic \\
115:21, 192:16 topics \\
187: 4 \\
torti
\[
10: 4,17: 24,
\]
\[
89: 5, \quad 90: 5
\] \\
total
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 22: 15, \quad 22: 16, \\
& 22: 21, \quad 24: 5, \\
& 114: 23, \\
& 133: 22, \\
& 134: 13, \\
& 146: 21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
touch
\[
79: 20
\] \\
towards
\[
16: 6,17: 3,
\]
\[
17: 5,61: 22
\]
\[
61: 23,98: 1 \text {, }
\] \\
146:8 \\
town's
\[
16: 10,33: 8 \text {, }
\]
\[
36: 2,158: 25
\]
\[
179: 2
\] \\
towns
\[
7: 24
\] \\
tradition \\
95:13
\end{tabular} & ```
training
192:10
transcribed
1:25, 200:4
transcriber
200:1
transcript
6:13, 11:24,
57:5, 94:12,
184:5, 194:11,
200:3
transcription
6:11
transforming
77:9
transit
68:6, 114:22,
187:24
transmittal
11:10, 15:23,
32:2, 66:16,
66:21, 157:25,
195:22
transportation
10:6, 18:2,
25:22, 25:23,
25:24, 26:4,
26:12, 26:19,
68:6, 68:22,
69:19, 107:19,
107:23, 110:6,
114:18, 136:1,
167:10
transported
167:20
trash
115:11
travel
134:6, 169:23,
174:11
treatment
48:4, 48:5
tree
15:4, 19:18,
64:11, 68:18,
81:23, 83:11,
93:6, 97:8,
104:10
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline trees & 171:1 & 121:25, 122:4, & 173:5, 173:6, \\
\hline 83:13, 85:7, & true & 122:21, 134:2, & 175:5, 186:5, \\
\hline 159:2, 159:4 & 14:24, 121:14, & 134:4, 139:13, & 186:9, 186:24 \\
\hline trends & 180:11, 188:1, & 179:12, 180:20 & uh-oh \\
\hline 69:5 & 200:3 & turns & 124:3 \\
\hline triggered & truly & 147:9, 147:14, & ultimate \\
\hline 149:7, 178:23 & 148:9, 182:20 & 170:20, 171:10 & 173:23 \\
\hline trip & trust & two & ultimately \\
\hline 109:3, 109:9, & 9:2, 12:3, & 11:3, 13:19, & 30:13, 168:10, \\
\hline 109:12, 109:14, & 106:3 & 45:21, 46:9, & 175:1, 182:13, \\
\hline 111:20, 111:21, & truth & 59:5, 67:22, & 182:18, 187:14 \\
\hline 113:8, 113:14, & 19:3, 19:4, & 86:16, 89:3, & umbrella \\
\hline 113:15, 113:21, & 28:9, 28:10, & 90:16, 92:12, & 94:8 \\
\hline 113:24, 114:14, & 39:2, 39:3, & 94:15, 105:2, & unanimous \\
\hline 114:17, 115:3, & 41:7, 41:8, & 111:23, 112:4, & 157:11 \\
\hline 115:18, 115:24, & 49:21, 49:22, & 131:12, 133:20, & unanimously \\
\hline 116:11, 116:14, & 80:6, 80:7, & 133:21, 133:23, & 15:18 \\
\hline 126:18, 140:18, & 89:22, 89:23, & 134:11, 134:12, & uncontroverted \\
\hline 141:19, 142:3, & 107:14, 107:15, & 134:18, 135:7, & 114:7 \\
\hline 143:23, 148:25, & 163:14 & 146:5, 171:1, & under \\
\hline 149:14, 149:18, & try & 172:1, 173:17, & 8:5, 19:2, \\
\hline 149:22, 150:17, & 76:5, 164:23, & 173:18, 176:13, & 28:8, 38:23, \\
\hline 150:18, 150:25, & 170:23, 185:24, & 177:1, 178:12, & 38:25, 41:6, \\
\hline 151:11 & 189:25, 198:17 & 179:6, 193:21, & 49:20, 80:5, \\
\hline trips & trying & 194:1, 196:9 & 82:20, 83:14, \\
\hline 109:6, 110:25, & 72:4, 87:21, & two-way & 85:2, 89:21, \\
\hline 111:7, 111:8, & 87:25, 120:2, & 173:14 & 94:8, 101:1, \\
\hline 111:11, 111:13, & 120:7, 121:7, & type & 102:21, 106:17, \\
\hline 114:1, 114:4, & 121:8, 122:1, & 23:10, 95:21 & 107:13, 109:20, \\
\hline 114:20, 114:23, & 122:3, 140:7, & types & 113:8, 114:6, \\
\hline 114:25, 115:1, & 144:6, 151:19, & 65:24, 69:10, & 117:21, 123:24, \\
\hline 115:25, 116:5, & 153:10, 177:23, & 101:2 & 124:10, 129:7, \\
\hline 116:7, 132:4, & 182:25, 183:7, & typical & 129:12, 135:12, \\
\hline 132:10, 135:19, & 193:10 & 95:21 & 151:11, 167:2, \\
\hline 135:20, 141:5, & turf & typically & 167:4, 183:19 \\
\hline 142:9, 148:21, & \[
155: 6, \quad 155: 9
\] & 189:19, 189:24 & underground \\
\hline 152:3, 152:5, & turn & typo & 48:4 \\
\hline 152:6, 152:9, & 122:15, 130:18, & 72:20 & underlying \\
\hline 152:18, 152:19, & 130:19, 131:19, & tysons & 72:11, 83:3 \\
\hline 152:20, 152:25, & 139:4, 139:7, & 108:8 & understand \\
\hline 181:8 & 139:10, 139:16, & U & 13:25, 14:2, \\
\hline trouble & 147:7, 147:15, & u-turn & \[
24: 14, \quad 33: 12,
\] \\
\hline \[
24: 17
\] & 170:6, 170:10, & 169:25, 170:12, & \[
38: 16,57: 5,
\] \\
\hline troubled & 170:18, 171:4, & 170:15, 170:17, & 86:21, 87:24, \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
180:2 \\
truck
\end{tabular} & 171:5
turned & 170:20, 172:24, & 91:20, 91:21, \\
\hline & turned & 186:11 & 105:3, 120:2, \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
173:16 \\
trucks
\end{tabular} & 40:11 turning & u-turns & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 120: 4, \quad 142: 22, \\
& 143: 16, \quad 153: 4
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 115:9, 170:22, & 46:21, 46:23, & 170:23, 172:15, & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(153: 12,160: 16\),
\(169: 22,176: 8\),
\(177: 25,179: 18\),
\(180: 4,181: 24\),
\(182: 22,183: 8\),
\(183: 11,183: 18\),
\(183: 23,195: 3\)
understandable
\(175: 10\)
understandably
\(30: 9\)
understanding
\(31: 15, \quad 160: 9\),
\(182: 25\)
understood
\(75: 3,142: 17\),
\(145: 19,146: 3\)
understory
\(85: 4\)
undertake
\(40: 10, \quad 157: 3\),
\(160: 5\)
undertaken
\(29: 24, \quad 157: 20\)
undertaking
\(168: 3\)
unidentified
\(131: 6, \quad 192: 8\)
unique
\(15: 3\),
\(25: 10\),
\(189: 11\)
\(15: 11\),
unit
\(63: 25\)
united
\(53: 16, \quad 94: 13\)
units
\(21: 25, \quad 22: 3\),
\(176: 12,189: 20\)
university
\(20: 6\)
unless
\(79: 2\),
\(105: 4\)
unmet
\(21: 8\)
unpin
\(28: 1\) & ```
unquote
159:22
unsafe
164:22, 175:6
until
6:9, 18:14,
49:5, 82:24,
138:13, 139:22,
147:24, 198:6
upcoming
162:23
update
11:15
updated
18:5, 61:4,
69:7
upstream
182:6
urban
158:25
urge
177:1
usability
144:24
use
5:22, 6:6,
13:13, 14:25,
18:5, 21:11,
42:15, 42:23,
44:14, 51:17,
53:17, 54:6,
57:4, 60:14,
60:17, 60:23,
62:19, 63:2,
63:6, 66:6,
67:19, 68:24,
69:1, 69:3,
69:15, 77:3,
77:7, 77:8,
77:9, 77:10,
101:6, 109:4,
109:5, 109:6,
109:8, 109:13,
109:18, 109:20,
109:21, 110:25,
111:1, 111:6,
111:8, 113:16,
113:25, 115:4,
``` &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
utilized \\
113:14 \\
utmost \\
30:3 \\
vacant \\
117:3 \\
vacated \\
112:22 \\
valet \\
23:17, 190:3 \\
valid \\
8:16, 8:18, \\
115:24 \\
value \\
145:20, 146:20 \\
variable \\
149:23 \\
variance \\
81:24, 83:11 \\
variances \\
159:1 \\
varies \\
26:14 \\
variety
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 63: 25, \quad 71: 6, \\
& 95: 14, \quad 97: 18 \\
& \text { various } \\
& 15: 14, \quad 25: 20, \\
& 26: 20, \quad 34: 12, \\
& 36: 14, \quad 39: 18, \\
& 45: 2, \quad 69: 10, \\
& 70: 18, \quad 132: 18, \\
& 142: 15, \quad 183: 15 \\
& \text { varying } \\
& 63: 18 \\
& \text { vast } \\
& 160: 20 \\
& \text { vehicle } \\
& 46: 3,114: 20, \\
& 114: 25, \quad 126: 14, \\
& 134: 1 \\
& \text { vehicles } \\
& 46: 22, \quad 115: 11, \\
& 125: 10, \quad 130: 13, \\
& 139: 9, \quad 169: 18, \\
& 172: 14, \quad 173: 16,
\end{aligned}
\]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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PLANET DEPOS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 37: 3, \quad 39: 17, \\
& 40: 18, \quad 65: 8, \\
& 71: 15, \quad 92: 17, \\
& 93: 6, \quad 118: 13, \\
& 118: 14, \quad 118: 15, \\
& 118: 21, \quad 118: 22, \\
& 124: 2, \quad 126: 19, \\
& 128: 14, \quad 137: 22, \\
& 157: 12, \quad 161: 9, \\
& 162: 24, \quad 174: 19, \\
& 197: 7 \\
& \text { webcasts } \\
& 30: 24 \\
& \text { website } \\
& 12: 19, \quad 31: 2, \\
& 31: 11, \quad 51: 24, \\
& 92: 11, \quad 125: 6 \\
& \text { week } \\
& 14: 4, \quad 24: 2, \\
& 24: 12, \quad 26: 16, \\
& 192: 22, \quad 192: 23 \\
& \text { weekends } \\
& 26: 17 \\
& \text { weigh } \\
& 35: 18 \\
& \text { weighted } \\
& 126: 21 \\
& \text { welcome } \\
& 19: 22, \quad 129: 9 \\
& \text { well-suited } \\
& 21: 1 \\
& \text { wells } \\
& 10: 6, \quad 18: 1, \\
& 89: 5, \\
& 168: 17, \quad 180: 4, \\
& \text { went } \\
& \text { went } \\
& 84: 19, \quad 145: 4, \\
& 160: 6 \\
& \text { west } \\
& 44: 25, \quad 52: 14, \\
& 54: 14, \quad 54: 22, \\
& 57: 8, \quad 65: 2, \\
& 87: 19, \quad 87: 23, \\
& 88: 17, \quad 98: 16, \\
& 101: 4, \quad 102: 25, \\
& 126: 4, \quad 136: 9, \\
& \text { westbound } \\
& 127: 167: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  &  & ```
112:14, 113:4,
113:5, 113:11
112
4:8, 4:9
1184
125:19
12
22:11, 23:3,
86:10, 101:11,
109:21, 111:2,
116:19, 117:2,
117:18
12.29
42:12
120
100:4, 100:9
121
111:10, 116:7,
132:3
1221
125:15
125
152:18
1281
125:19
13
3:17, 3:18,
146:20, 158:25
1305
126:12
131
4:12
133
4:13
1377
126:12
1386
125:14
14
113:18, 113:19
14.6
127:22, 129:3
1420
108:7
145
99:17
1463
126:10
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1479 & 62:5 & 158:25, 200:13 & 2nd \\
\hline 126:11 & 1996 & 20814 & 90:6 \\
\hline 148 & 20:3, 20:4, & 2:11 & \(2^{1 / 2}\) \\
\hline 1:4, 5:3, & 20:12 & 20815 & 94:16 \\
\hline 155:23 & 2 & 155:16 & 3 \\
\hline 15 & 2 & 20850 & 3 \\
\hline 85:23 & 154:11, 154:17 & 41:19, 50:17, & 199:7 \\
\hline 150 & 2.2 & 81: 4 & 3.1 \\
\hline 22:8, 22:13, & 78:4 & \[
20852
\] & 60:11 \\
\hline 23:21, 24:5, & 20 & \[
2: 16
\] & 3.3 \\
\hline 152:20, 153:2, & 54:15, 62:14, & 21 & 60:16 \\
\hline 188:7 & 94:9, 113:18, & 7:1, 65:25, & 30 \\
\hline 15070 & 127:22 & 66:14, 113:21, & 22:3, 22:10, \\
\hline 163:20, 164:1 & 200 & 175:21 & \[
23: 2,62: 13,
\] \\
\hline 155 & 1:24, 30:25, & 22 & \[
82: 6, \quad 101: 16,
\] \\
\hline 3:11 & \[
153: 2
\] & 82:20 & \[
115: 22,117: 9
\] \\
\hline 156 & \[
2000
\] & 22102 & 142:14, 176:12, \\
\hline 85:7 & 45:5, 59:15 & 108:8 & 193:14, 196:13, \\
\hline \[
16
\] & 20001 & \[
23
\] & 198:9 \\
\hline 15:1, 60:14, & 28:18 & 41:23, 66:14 & 30326 \\
\hline 170:1 & 2007 & 239 & 19:18 \\
\hline 16.5 & 29:7 & 111:8 & 308 \\
\hline 127:19, 128:17 & 2014 & 24 & 2:15 \\
\hline 1600 & 20:13, 113:18 & 1:11, 44:5, & 31 \\
\hline 125:10, 125:20 & \[
2015
\] & 44:9 & \[
46: 18,77: 8,
\] \\
\hline 161 & \[
113: 20
\] & \[
25
\] & \[
115: 22,193: 6,
\] \\
\hline 200:12 & \[
2016
\] & \[
28: 24,152: 25
\] & \[
196: 11
\] \\
\hline 163 & 113:21 & \[
25,000
\] & 32 \\
\hline 3:12 & 2018 & 152:11 & 45:18 \\
\hline 164 & 133:22, 134:19 & 250,000 & 34 \\
\hline 111: 8 & \[
2019
\] & 93:5 & 1:12 \\
\hline 17 & 125:11, 126:8, & 27 & 3424 \\
\hline \[
98: 17
\] & \[
126: 9, \quad 134: 10
\] & \[
90: 11
\] & 19:18 \\
\hline \[
18
\] & \[
2020
\] & \[
27.6
\] & 35 \\
\hline \[
55: 7, \quad 57: 12
\] & \[
134: 11
\] & \[
129: 4
\] & 24:1, 83:12, \\
\hline \[
1800
\] & \[
2021
\] & \[
28
\] & 102:3, 102:6, \\
\hline 126:7, 126:9, & 30:19, 109:25, & 3:5 & 102:9, 102:15 \\
\hline 126:14 & \[
134: 11
\] & 285 & 35.8 \\
\hline 183 & 2022 & 111: 6 & 127:20, 128:20 \\
\hline 140:19, 140:21 & \[
30: 19, \quad 31: 7,
\] & 287 & 350 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{ll}19 & \\ 3 \cdot 4 & 20: 13\end{array}\) & \[
31: 18, \quad 125: 15,
\] & 22:2 & 140:16 \\
\hline 3:4, 20:13 & 125:18, 125:22, & 29 & 36 \\
\hline 190 & 126:11, 134:12, & \[
43: 7,51: 15,
\] & \[
102: 5,102: 12,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 22: 3, \quad 176: 11 \\
& 1923
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 167: 5, \quad 167: 8 \\
& 2023
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 51: 18,154: 17 \\
& 299
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 102: 13,105: 6 \\
& 365
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1923 & 2023 & 299 & 365 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 90: 5 \\
1990
\end{array}
\] & 1:11, 15:19, & 111:7 & 24:12 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1990 \\
& 51: 7,62: 3
\end{aligned}
\] & 133:21, 134:12, & 2d & \[
37
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 38 & 44 & 5000 & 84:24, 85:2 \\
\hline 109:7, 116:25, & 3:19, 55:5, & 60:9, 60:12, & 6.8 \\
\hline 127:20 & 57:13 & 97:24 & 83:15 \\
\hline 3817 & 45 & 503 & 60 \\
\hline 120: 6 & 51:13, 52:4, & 73:23 & 4:12, 5:6, \\
\hline 3 rd & 52:8, 66:21, & 52 & 14:14, 42:13, \\
\hline 193:8 & \(76: 14,78: 14\), & 3:17, 12:23, & 59:24, 98:20, \\
\hline 4 & 87:3, 103:1, & 13:9, 43:18 & 111:10, 116:7, \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \\
& 45: 22, \quad 111: 11 \\
& 4-\mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
\]} & 103:8, 104:20, & 53 & 131:3, 131:5, \\
\hline & 198:16 & 3:18, 12:24, & 132:3 \\
\hline & 46 & 13:9, 43:18, & 600 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{14:13, 53:18,} & 135:19 & 44:20, 57:9 & 2:15 \\
\hline & 47 & 54 & 61 \\
\hline 109:20, 109:22, & \[
66: 22,76: 14,
\] & \[
3: 19,43: 20,
\] & \[
3: 21,4: 13
\] \\
\hline 115:5, 116:19, & \[
78: 16, \quad 87: 4
\] & \[
44: 21, \quad 55: 24
\] & \[
133: 7
\] \\
\hline 125:13, 125:17, & \[
104: 21
\] & \[
130: 12
\] & \[
610
\] \\
\hline 126:10, 126:17, & 48 & 55 & 108:8 \\
\hline 127:1, 127:18, & 89:16 & 3:20, 8:17, & 62 \\
\hline \[
128: 8,128: 14,
\] & 481569 & 55:14, 55:22, & 65:21, 188:4 \\
\hline \[
128: 21, \quad 132: 4 \text {, }
\] & 1:23 & 56:2, 56:13, & 65 \\
\hline 135:7, 135:23, & 4823 & 56:16 & 109:23, 116:20 \\
\hline 138:18, 140:15, & 78:4 & 56 & 6917 \\
\hline 140:25, 141:12, & 49 & 3:20, 3:21, & 155:16 \\
\hline 141:15, 143:15, & 109:11 & 61: 6 & 7 \\
\hline 145:9, 148:4, & 4th & 57 & 7.2 \\
\hline 151:8, 151:13, & 193:4, 193:18, & 3:22, 3:23, & 74:16, 75:7, \\
\hline 159:18, 166:17, & 195:10, 198:11, & 3:24, 4:5, 4:6, & 75:12, 75:19, \\
\hline \[
167: 13, \quad 167: 15
\] & 198:13 & 82:24, 89:16, & 75:25, 76:15, \\
\hline \[
167: 24,169: 17
\] & 5 & 92:5, 92:9, & 76:21, 103:23, \\
\hline 4-hr & 5.1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 93: 14, \quad 94: 20, \\
& 95: 8, \quad 96: 10,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
114: 6, \quad 135: 10
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(149: 16\)
4.1} & 67:3, 67:10, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 95: 8, \quad 96: 10, \\
& 96: 21, \quad 97: 13 .
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
70
\] \\
\hline & \[
67: 13,68: 24
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 96: 21, \quad 97: 13, \\
& 105: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
60: 6
\] \\
\hline 100:24, 101:2, & \[
70: 1
\] & \[
58
\] & \[
700
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 101: 20, \quad 102: 21, \\
& 103: 5, \quad 104: 12
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
5.3
\] & \[
4: 7, \quad 110: 17
\] & \[
2: 10,28: 18,
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{40 -} & 60:10, 70:11, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4: 7 \\
& 59
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
85: 8
\] \\
\hline & 107:2 & \[
4: 8, \quad 4: 9, \quad 67: 3
\] & 7100 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
22: 9, & 22: 22, \\
24: 1, & 142: 15
\end{array}
\] & \[
50
\] & 112:8, 112:9, & \[
5: 5,14: 14,
\] \\
\hline \[
400
\] & \(3: 7,31: 12\),
\(114: 3,149: 7\) & \[
112: 12
\] & \[
42: 13
\] \\
\hline 41:19 & \(\begin{array}{lll}114: 3, & 149: 7, \\ 152: 3, & 152: 5,\end{array}\) & 59.4 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 75,000 \\
& 152: 14
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 41 & 152:6, 152:21, & 103:13 & 7600 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(3: 6,56: 23\),
\(57: 6\)} & 165:17, 165:19, & 5906 & 2:10 \\
\hline & 172:2, 172:6, & 78:6 & 8 \\
\hline 42 & 173:11 & 6 & \\
\hline 73:24, 85:15, & 50,000 & & 117:9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 85: 19, \quad 88: 20, \\
& 175: 17, \quad 175: 20
\end{aligned}
\]} & 152:8 & \[
85: 22
\] & \[
80
\] \\
\hline & \[
160: 22
\] & 83:16, 83:21, & 98:20, 99:17, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
March 24, 2023
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \(126: 18\) \\
8001 \\
\(78: 1\) \\
801 \\
\(113: 12\) \\
81 \\
\(3: 8,101: 21\) \\
82 \\
\(135: 18\) \\
85 \\
\(131: 4\) \\
\hline \\
\hline 9 \\
\(1: 12\) \\
90 \\
\(3: 9\) \\
92 \\
\(3: 22\) \\
93 \\
\(3: 23\) \\
93,301 \\
\(140: 22\) \\
94 \\
\(3: 24\) \\
96 \\
\(4: 5\) \\
97 \\
\(4: 6\) \\
99 \\
\(106: 15\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```

