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| 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 PROCEEDINGS | 1 testify? Do you want to be called by -- are you going to be |
| 2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Application of Telecom | 2 called by Ms. Themak or are you a citizen that wants to |
| 3 Capital Group and Rhodes Brothers LLC to construct a | 3 express their |
| 4 telecommunication facility at 28025 Ridge Road. Will the | 4 MR. MARZULLO: Good morning. Are you addressing |
| 5 parties please identify themselves for the record? | 5 Mark Marzullo |
| 6 MR. DONOHUE: Good morning Madam Director. My name | 6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: No, I'm addressing -- |
| 7 is Ed Donahue. I'm the attorney of record with Donahue, | 7 MR. FILIPOVIC: Can you hear me? |
| 8 Themak, and Miller | 8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Whoever is showing up on the |
| 9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you | 9 guest list as Laurel L |
| 10 MS. THEMAK: Good morning. | 10 MR. FILIPOVIC: Yes, can you hear me? |
| 11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is there anyone on this call | 11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Are you a witness for |
| 12 or on this hearing now that is not going to be called by Ms. | 12 Ms. Themak? Or do you want to add independent testimony? I |
| 13 Themak? Is anyone that wishes to testify that is not want to | 13 think you're muted. |
| 14 be one of Ms. -- one of Mr. Donohue's or Themak's witnesses? | 14 MR. FILIPOVIC: I don't -- can you hear me now? |
| 15 MR. DURHAM: Yes. | 15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes I can, thank you. |
| 16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And you are? Can you | 16 MR. FILIPOVIC: No, I just live in the |
| 17 identify your name and address and also email address for the | 17 neighborhood, so I'm listening. There are signs all over my |
| 19 MR. DURHAM: My name is John Durham. My address is | 19 <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, let me do this |
| 2028020 Ridge Road, Manassas, Maryland 20872. And my email is | 20 in case you just -- well, we'll get to that if you decide |
| 21 Durhaj@gmail.com | 21 |
| 22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, very much. A few | 22 MR. FILIPOVIC: Thank you. |
| 23 ground rules before we begin. This is on a remote format th | 23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: -- to testify. But thank |
| 24 has some idiosyncrasies. And so we have -- one of them | 24 you. Anyone else on the call now that is not going to be |
| 25 there -- I didn't -- one of them is we're recording this on | 25 called as the Applicant's witness? Okay. Seeing none, we |
| 6 | 8 |
| 1 Microsoft Teams. It is not the official case, but we record | 1 will -- are there any preliminary matters? Hearing none, Mr. |
| 2 it as a backup for the court reporter in case they have | 2 Donohue, will proceed. |
| 3 questions. This is no different. Since the transcript is | 3 Just for the people who are not being called by the |
| 4 verbatim, the recording is, as I said, nothing secret abo | 4 Applicant, this is an informal hearing, but it does have |
| 5 the recording. Everything will be on the public record. | 5 certain formalities. Testimony is under oath and subject to |
| 6 The other thing is, avoid crosstalk, which is don't | 6 cross-examination. You will have an opportunity to ask |
| 7 interrupt each other because -- I'm sorry -- that garbles the | 7 questions of the witnesses, but when you -- which is cross- |
| 8 transcription. That garbles the ability of the cour | 8 examination. But when you do that, it's not your turn to |
| 9 reporter -- I'm sorry -- to understand what's going on in the | 9 testify. If you want to say something, you will get a chance |
| 10 Microsoft Teams format. If you have an objection, because of | 10 testify. We begin with the Applicant's case and then you get |
| 11 the problem with crosstalk or you want to speak, there is a | 11 a chance to testify. |
| 12 reaction button at the top of the screen where you can raise | 12 Now, I do have a question for Mr. Durham. Would it |
| 13 your hand. Wait, amI doing -- yeah, there it is. For the | 13 be helpfil if you said what you want to say early? And you'll |
| 14 record, I'm showing them the raised hand under the reactions | 14 get a chance -- so the Applicant can address it in their |
| 15 button. | 15 presentation -- |
| 16 If that's of course if you have an objection and | 16 MR. DURHAM: Su |
| 17 you need to stop it more quickly than this would permit, y | 17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: -- and that way you don't |
| 18 can object. Note, I'm asking you on the consultant and the | 18 have to wait for them to go through their whole hearing. |
| 19 citizen -- if any citizens are -- no texting to witnesses | 19 MR. DURHAM: Okay. So my -- |
| 20 during the hearing because we've had cases where people were | 20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Just do -- wait. Just a |
| 21 prompting the witnesses with answers by text and that's not | 21 second. Do you have any objections? |
| 22 allowable. | 22 MR. DURHAM: Yes. |
| 23 I see one more person on the -- that just came on | 23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Themak? Ms. Themak? |
| 24 the call. It looks like Laurel -- I can't here -- is that | 24 Mr . -- I can't hear, you're muted. |
| 25 person -- can you -- are you going to be -- do you wish to | 25 MR. DONOHUE: MadamDirector, I don't know that I |

checked, but we do have the rules of procedure that the
Hearing Examiner follows and the case is called and the
Applicant presents its case. We would prefer to hear from the
project engineer, from the RF engineers and to go over the
PowerPoint that we presented to you before the neighbors have
an opportunity to address the Director.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I believe you
requested the opportunity to streamline the hearing. In my
experience, but it's up to you, having the concerns expressed
up front allows you to address them in your case in chief
rather than having -- so if you object, I will not -- I can
alter the order of proceedings, but if you object then I
won't.
MR. DONOHUE: No, I guess I would like to withdraw the objection and proceeded as you suggest.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Now if we do this, I'm going to give Mr. Durham a chance after you've gone through your case.

MR. DONOHUE: Yes, ma'am
THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm going to give Mr. Durham a chance to add anything he wants to add if he disagrees with what you said.

MR. DONOHUE: Yes ma'am understood.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. With that, Mr. Durham, can you turn your camera on, please? We're required
to have your camera. So part of what we do is assess the credibility of the witnesses. Mr. Durham, would you please raise your right hand?

Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury that the statements you're about to make are the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. DURHAM: I do.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. You've already stated your name and address for the record. So why don't you let us know what you would like to say?
11 MR. DURHAM: Okay. I'll just keep it brief. My main concern is the distance from the house and the health concerns that come within the -- what's been shown through numerous studies of the 400 meters and that proximity to several residences including my own where the location is set now.
17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me pull up -- if you could identify -- let me see what the best -- are you next (phone ringing) are you next to the property or within 400 feet of the property?

MR. DURHAM: Within 400 yards or 400 meters.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Meters, okay.
MR. DURHAM: The picture which was taken that
showed the tower behind the tree was taken from my driveway. THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

9
1

## MR. DONOHUE: Tracey, that's you.

## MS. THEMAK: I'm sorry. I don't have any

questions. We can address this as -- when I'm acting as a witness and I take you through the presentation we can address it.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
MS. THEMAK: But I don't have any questions.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Durham, you will have an opportunity to respond to their presentation. Thank you for your testimony. All right.

So with that, Mr. Donohue, did you have an opening statement?

MR. DONOHUE: What I would like to do, Madam
Director, is to introduce the team and then hand the
microphone over to Tracey Themak. As you know from the
correspondence, she's been on this day to day. So let me just
introduce those who will be speaking to you in addition to
Tracey and my --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, is that testimony?
MR. DONOHUE: Is --

| 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I mean, that's testimony. | 1 just going to give an opening statement as to the need in the |
| 2 MR. DONOHUE: And so the -- | 2 wireless industry and a little bit of background on the |
| 3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You don't need to introduce | 3 application. |
| 4 them. Ms. Themak will introduce them under oath as they come, | 4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. That's testimony. So |
| 5 as they appear | 5 do you have a radiofrequency engineer? |
| 6 MR. DONOHUE: Very good. | 6 MS. THEMAK: |
| 7 microphone over to Ms. Themak | 7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let him do that. I'm |
| 8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you | 8 skipping the PowerPoint and I just -- I don't understand why |
| 9 MS. THEMAK: Director Robeson, do want to swear me | 9 this wasn't -- so just call your first witness. If you want |
| 10 in as I will be testifying as a witness? | 10 to do the radiofrequency engineer, you can do him. There's a |
| 11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Wait, wait, wait, wait. | 11 lot of maps in the record. |
| 12 are you -- isn't that prohibited | 12 MS. THEMAK: Add, then why don't we have |
| 13 MS. THEMAK: Ed Donohue is handling the -- he is | 13 Narendra -- call Narendra and they can take you through the |
| 14 conducting -- he is asking me to present the PowerPoint. I | 14 propagation study? |
| 15 was a project manager for this case. So I compiled and I'm | 15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's fine. Mr. -- I can't |
| 16 going to ta | 16 read the name. Please turn your camera on. |
| 17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: No, but you've been acting | 17 MR. MANGRA: Hi, my name is Narendra. |
| 18 as an attorney in this case. You can't do this. You've been | 18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay it is on. Okay. |
| 19 acting as an attorney in this case and for the first time | 19 Please state your name and address for the record. |
| 20 you're telling me you're going to be a witness and that's | 20 Mr. MANGRA: Sure. First name Narendra, last name |
| 21 prohibited under the ethical laws. | 21 Mangra. My address is 423440 Street NW, Washington DC 20016. |
| 22 MS. THEMAK: Well, I was going to take you to the | 22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Please raise your right |
| 23 PowerPoint and | 23 hand. Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury that |
| 24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You don't need to. Why | 24 the statements you're about to make are the truth, the whole |
| 25 don't you have -- you don't need to take me -- I want the | 25 truth, and nothing but the truth? |
| 14 | 16 |
| 1 witnesses to take me through the PowerPoint, not you. I mean, | 1 MR. MANGRA: I do. |
| 2 this is ethics 101. You can't be a witness in a case where | 2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Go ahead Ms. Themak. |
| 3 you've been the attorney. | 3 MS. THEMAK: I'm going to let Mr. Mangra take you |
| 4 MS. THEMAK: Well, we were going to give the -- I | 4 through the exhibit that shows the propagation maps. |
| 5 think you are muted. I think we were going to get the | 5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. What |
| 6 PowerPoint as our opening overview of the project and then | 6 exhibit is that? Because I can pull it up. |
| 7 have the witness -- |  |
| 8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's testimony. | 8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Coverage exhibit? |
| 9 MR. DONOHUE: Why don't you walk Mark Fisher | 9 MS. THEMAK: Yes. |
| 10 through the PowerPoint Tracey? | 10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I am going to -- |
| 11 MS. THEMAK: Okay. Mark, are you there? | 11 okay. I'm sharing my screen. It should be visible. Are you |
| 12 MR. FISHER: Yes, I am. | 12 seeing it? |
| 13 MS. THEMAK: Okay. I will -- I'm going to bring up | 13 MR. MANGRA: I am. |
| 14 the PowerPoint and see if I can share this. | 14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Go ahead with your |
| 15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Now, is this PowerPoint in | 15 testimony Mr. Mangra. |
| 16 the record? | 16 <br> MR. MANGRA: Sure. So there was extensive |
| 17 MS. THEMAK: No, this was prepared for th | 17 engineering studies done for the feasibility of the proposed |
| 18 witnesses to go by and explain the presentation. | 18 site. So it was broken down into three basic parts and that |
| 19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, it's not in the | 19 is, is service required in that particular area to provide |
| 20 record. So why -- and you were supposed to submit everything. | 20 adequate coverage to Route 27 as well as nearby residences. |
| 21 I mean, this is supposed to be on the website so people had | 21 And Ridge Road essentially had a lack of coverage and you will |
| 22 notice of it. | 22 see subsequent plots to that effect. |
| 23 MS. THEMAK: We can go directly to the -- all of | 23 There was another study done, a series of maps that |
| 24 the materials fromslide 7 on our materials that were on the | 24 you will see shortly, that addresses that -- can we use |
| 25 website and submitted as part of the application. We were | 25 alternative structures? And FirstEnergy poles were also |


| 17 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 considered. And you will see plots to support that. As well | 1 of the analysis is to say what if we were to use FirstEnergy |
| 2 as looking at all of the external or surrounding areas to see | 2 resources and facilities. So there were some existing power |
| 3 if there was a way that the existing structures can be used, | 3 pole that was provided to us for consideration. And we looked |
| 4 |  |
| 5 see over and above, is the site required. A | 5 following sides. |
| 6 plots that support that the site is indeed requi | 6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: May I stop -- may I stop you |
| $7 \quad$ Most of the drivers for the nation is actually | 7 a minute? I don't understand. What's |
| 8 going to be based on the terrain. And you will see | 8 explain for me what the FirstEnergy analysis is? What |
| 9 reference | 9 FirstEnerg |
| 10 wouldn't mind proceed | 10 MR. MANGRA: It's essentially |
| 11 Oh, if you could, just p | 11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Does that mean trying |
| 12 was actually | 12 find another location that will |
| 13 | 13 MR. MANGRA: When the proposal or the plots were |
| 14 So you will see the vehicular traffic anywhere | 14 provided, the County essentially responded back and said there |
| 15 closer to about 20,000 vehicles per day. And the surrounding | 15 were some power poles, would you like to take a look |
| 16 roads could be anywhere from 5,000 to 10,000 as well as the | 16 see if they are feasib |
| 17 residences along Gue Road and of course Honey | 17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay |
| 18 Moxley and a number of different streets. So those are really | 18 MR. MANGRA: And they were existing structures an |
| 19 part of the considerations for that particular site. I | 19 power poles basically. And that is essentially the analy |
| 20 draw your | 20 that you are seeing here as an alternative to the proposed |
| 21 that impacts | 21 |
| 22 characterist | 22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I see. Okay, thank you. |
| 23 If you could, proceed to the next slide please. | 23 MR. MANGRA: So -- and actually, if you look at the |
| 24 here it's rea | 24 next slide over the terrain analysis, you will see essentially |
| 25 need another site at this location. And the answer is clearly | 25 the problemjust in one graphic on the right-hand side for |
| 18 | 20 |
| 1 yes, as you can see from the red circles here. You will see | 1 example. The ba |
| 2 in the left-hand panel, without a site there, there | 2 side of the circle, there's about six towers there we |
| 3 of gaps in coverage. So in some areas it's underserved and | 3 identified that may be a potential. And you can -- if you |
| 4 some areas it's unserved | 4 look at the difference in elevation, there is a difference of |
| $5 \quad$ So on the right-hand side there was essen | 5 about 40 feet from the first power pole all the way to the |
| 6 proposed site and you will see that it does cover the area | 6 sixth power pole. So we are already losing height as far as |
| 7 that you -- that's there. There are some gaps there. That is | 7 the ground elevation |
| 8 due to terrain, but fortunately that is not essentially -- | 8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I see. Okay |
| 9 it's basically open land in those particular areas. So the | 9 MR. MANGRA: And if you look in towards the midd |
| 10 right hand panel, you see the proposed site of 140 feet. And | 10 along Ridge Road, you will see there is a higher elevation |
| 11 subsequent slides that you will see different heights. | 11 there. So there is a natural boundary of sorts. And of |
| 12 You can scroll to the next slide, please. So here | 12 course that ground dips between the main streets, the main |
| 33 we have the site in a little bit more zoomed in plot, seemed | 13 roads. And that will also play -- have a factor as far as the |
| 14 to imply. And you will see for example what are some of the | 14 propagation characteristics. |
| 15 areas that we are looking at with this particular site. And | 15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 16 you will see at 140 feet, and that is essentially what we ar | 16 MR. MANGRA: On the left-hand graphic it is more of |
| 17 looking at to be able to provide a lot of good coverage here. | 17 a 3-D version of what you're seeing in the right-hand side |
| 18120 , obviously as we go lower, you will see this going to be a | 18 But basically to try to explain as far as the rolling hills |
| 19 trend that the coverage is going to decrease a little bit, but | 19 that we are looking at, that's going to impact terrain that |
| 20 it's still acceptable. So 120 feet is there, but it opened up | 20 you will see in the subsequent slides. |
| 21 a bit m | 21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, thank you. |
| 22 And if you look at the slide | 22 MR. MANGRA: And for this one essentially there |
|  | 23 were -- there were essentially -- we looked at the first power |
| 24 lot more breaking points as far as services are concerned. <br> 25 And that is essentially the proposed site. So the next stage | 24 pole here and there is a comparison on the right hand side. 25 You will see the proposed site at 140 . That will remain |

really to determine that location matters. In this case, that is the location that is best suited. And to determine the heights that are necessary to provide service.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, thank you.
Ms. Themak, do you have follow-up questions?
MS. THEMAK: Just to be clear, this site is designed to hold not only Verizon at the top spot, but also four additional carriers. So it's going to have the capacity to host a total of five carriers. Would that be possible -the height --

I guess Mr. Mangra, my question is, does that become possible as we lowered the height of the tower?

MR. MANGRA: As you lower the height of the tower you will have decreased coverage area to be able to serve the 15 area. So at the requested 140 feet you would be able to 6 support five carriers. And going lower, you will not.

MS. THEMAK: Is it true that as we reduce the 18 height of the pole as well we would have less space or less feasible propagation space, less effective RAD centers for future carriers occupy?

MR. MANGRA: It is true. That is correct.
MS. THEMAK: Okay. Okay. Does that site --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: I just have a question. 4 Well, do you want to -- I'm sorry to interrupt, Ms. Themak. Go -- finish your question.

MS. THEMAK: No, I would leave them for your questions for anything more specific.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Why did the -- what is the driving force behind requiring the co-location?

MR. MANGRA: It allows multiple opportunities for providing service to that particular area for different carriers. And --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: But why did they say -- is it -- why did they not recommend it unless you added the specific co-location application?

MS. THEMAK: Mr. Mangra I think -- are you
referring -- Director Robeson, are you referring to the tower committees?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, I'm sorry.
MS. THEMAK: Yes, the tower committee, it's a little confusing.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: No, he can answer.
MS. THEMAK: I don't know if he knows about that comment.

MR. MANGRA: That is true. I was not part of the co-location committee.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. You can have another witness address it.

MS. THEMAK: Is Mark Fisher available? Mark?
MR. FISHER: Yes I am, hi.

| 25 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MS. THEMAK: Mark is here for Telecom Capital | 127. |
| 2 Group. And -- | 2 MR. MANGRA: Perhaps if you look at the next slide |
| 3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Wait just a second. Mr. | 3 that's -- it will show you the elevation. As you can see |
| 4 Fisher? | 4 right there, the further away you move away from that |
| MR. FISHER: Yes, ma'am. | 5 particular location you will see that you are going into |
| 6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Can you please state -- oh, | 6 different elevation. That's going to impact as far as your |
| 7 wait. I have to let Mr. Durham or anyone else who's listening | 7 ability to provide service to different areas. |
| 8 ask questions of Mr. Mangra. So is anyone that is not | 8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: When you say further away, |
| 9 represented by the Applicant, do they have questions about Mr. | 9 are you talk about further away on the same property? |
| 10 Mangra's presentation? | 10 MR. DURHAM: Yes, ma'am. |
| 11 MR. DURHAM: Yes. | 11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: No, this is for Mr. Mangra. |
| 12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay Mr. Durham, go ahead. | 12 MR. DURHAM: Okay. |
| 13 MR. DURHAM: Okay. So I have one thing. When you | 13 MR. MANGRA: It's a matter of how much further away |
| 14 talk about the height, there is -- and you had mentioned the | 14 if we're going towards the ground elevation. As far as every |
| 15 elevation changes, how could the height of the tower change | 15 single area of the property, no, I did not look at every |
| 16 with (inaudible) differences? Would it be able to be moved | 16 single area of the particular property. |
| 17 and stay at a different level that it would still be just as | 17 MS. THEMAK: And is that -- if I may. Mr. Mangra |
| 18 functional? | 18 is that due to -- we're directed by the landlord as to where |
| 19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Mangra, you're muted. | 19 on the property -- |
| 20 You thought you got away. | 20 MR. MANGRA: That is correct. |
| 21 MR. MANGRA: Sorry about that | 21 MS. THEMAK: -- given the agricultural use we would |
| 22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead. | 22 need to be -- okay. |
| 23 MR. MANGRA: So it really depends. If it's a flat | 23 MR. MANGRA: That is correct, yes. |
| 24 terrain, then yes, you might be able to move it to some | 24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Durham, do you have any |
| 25 degree. In this case as you can see, we did look at that. | 25 other questions? |
| 26 | 28 |
| 1 And the FirstEnergy poles were a great example that even if | 1 MR. DURHAM: No |
| 2 you move it you will have a difference in elevation, but also | 2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Mangra, you can |
| 3 your surrounding area and the relevant geometry associated | 3 be excused for now. I am going to let Mr. Durham respond. |
| 4 with that will change. And that is essentially the issue with | 4 Is there anyone else that has questions of Mr . |
| 5 this particular location. | 5 Mangra? I see an NO. Okay. I see no hands raised. So Mr. |
| 6 MR. DURHAM: Because my question would be if you | 6 Mangra, you can be excused for now, although my suspicion is |
| 7 moved it further from the road where it gets a little bit | 7 you may come back. But, okay. |
| 8 higher elevation, and you had talked about the 120 versus 140 | 8 MR. MANGRA: Thank you. |
| 9 foot poles, if it were back further would 120 foot pole | 9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Themak, please call your |
| 10 suffice the same as 140 at the location which it is? | 10 next witnes |
| 11 MR. MANGRA: It's all a matter of how much further | 11 MS. THEMAK: I would like -- Ed and I have Shea |
| 12 back we are looking at it. So we looked at a number of | 12 Beltran here from Verizon. They are the lead carrier on the |
| 13 different areas there that make sense for that location and | 13 proposed monopole and Shea can provide a little bit of |
| 14 that is the elevation that is the most feasible for that -- to | 14 information about the selection of this site. |
| 15 serve that particular area. So if you move it further back, | 15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Okay. I see you. |
| 16 you're going to look at a difference in geometry. And in that | 16 Mr . Beltran, please raise your right hand. |
| 17 case there may be a dip in the hills between two higher | 17 Do you solemnly affirmunder penalties of perjury |
| 18 elevations. That's going to impact the coverage beyond that | 18 that the statements you're about to make are the truth, the |
| 19 area. As you saw in the FirstEnergy plots. If you look at | 19 whole truth, and nothing but the truth? |
| 20 power pole 1 versus 6 you will see that it does make a | 20 MR. BELTRAN: Yes. |
| 21 difference. And the same case, if you move the proposed TCG | 21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Please state your |
| 22 site further away you will also see a difference. So it's a | 22 name and address for the record. |
| 23 matter of what particular locations are we looking at | 23 MR. BELTRAN: My name is Shea Beltran at 6095 |
| 24 MR. DURHAM: Okay. I'm talking about the same | 24 Marshall Lee Drive, Elkridge, Maryland 21075. |
| 25 property, but further away from the residences that are along | 25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Now we did not |


| 29 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 qualify Mr. Mangra as an expert. Ms. Themak do you wish to -- | 1 Hearing none, Mr. Beltran, thank you for your |
| 2 has -- Mr. Beltran, what expertise are you looking to qualify | 2 testimony. You may be excused, but stick around in case they |
| 3 l | 3 are -- because we're not finished all the presentat |
| 4 MS. THEMAK: I'm not looking to qualify Mr. Beltran | 4 MR. BELTRAN: Okay. Thank you, so much. |
| 5 as an expert. Mr. Mangra is an RF engineer. So -- and I- | 5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Ms. Themak, do |
| 6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: | 6 |
| 7 | 7 MS. THEMAK: I wou |
| 8 MS. THEMAK: Okay | 8 from Telecom Capital Group. |
| 9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And I'll just make a | 9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, Mr. Fisher. |
| 10 to -- whoops. What did I do? Pa | 10 MR. FISHER: Good morning |
| 11 | 11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Please raise your -- good |
| 12 get himqualified. Okay. So Mr. -- go ahead Ms. Themak wit | 12 morning. |
| 13 any questions of Mr. Beltran | 13 Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury |
| 14 MS. THEMAK: Can you | 14 that the statements you're about to make are the truth, the |
| 15 overview of the site acquisition part of this? | 15 whole truth, and nothing but the truth? |
| 16 parcel was selected | 16 MR. FISHER: |
| 17 MR. BELTRAN: Sure, ye | 17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Please state your |
| 18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, why don't you tell me | 18 name, business address for the record. |
| 19 who you-- you know, who are you and why do you know this? | 19 Mr. FISHER: Sure. It's Mark N. Fisher. Business |
| 20 MR. BELTRAN: So again, I'm Shea Beltran. I'ma | 20 addresses 5150 Sheraton Point Road, Prince Frederick, Maryland |
| 21 land use project manager with Network Building and Consulting | 2120678. |
| 22 representing Verizo | 22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Ms. Themak, do you -- |
| 23 THE HEARING EXAM | 23 you can go ahead and que |
| 24 MR. BELTRAN: Part of what Network Building and | 24 MS. THEMAK: Could we bring up the photos |
| 25 Consulting does is we receive what we call a search ring from | 25 simulations that are posted as -- and I'm trying to find them |
| 30 | 32 |
| 1 Verizon which is an identified area of need for a new cellular | 1 here. The exhibits |
| 2 facility. And we do our bidding process to try to find a | 2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's Exhibit 15? |
| 3 suitable location for a new cellular facility. We identifie | 3 MS. THEMAK: 15. |
| 4 a couple of properties and locations that would have fit our | 4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, I'mjust getting ready |
| 5 needs, went through the processes with landlords, one of which | 5 to share |
| 6 there was an existing lattice tower. I believe it's a mile- | 6 MS. THEMAK: And Mark, if you could, just take us |
| 7 ish north of this property. And it was identified that that | 7 through how these were developed and the purpose behind them |
| 8 tower would not be structurally feasible to accommodate new | 8 MR. FISHER: Sure. So I think we have the company |
| 9 carriers. | 9 also on the line as well that made these simulations and |
| 10 We had another property across the street from | 10 provided them. But the purpose of the photos simulations as |
| 11 that. We got into the leasing discussions with the landlord | 11 most folks already know, but I'll certainly explain, is to |
| 12 and they backed out at the last minute. They did not want to | 12 choose a site and -- or rather once a site is chosen to |
| 13 do business with Verizon Wireless. It was at that time we | 13 determine whether the site that is chosen has visibility from |
| 14 learned that TelecomCapital Group, TCG was interested in | 14 other locations. So TCG contracts out, TCG being Telecom |
| 15 developing a facility on this subject property. And we agreed | 15 Capital Group, which is the company that I work for. It's my |
| 16 and Verizon agreed to join on as the anchor tenant on the | 16 company. Contracts out to a company -- |
| 17 proposed monopole. | 17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well wait, why don't you |
| 18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, thank you. | 18 just identify your position with Telecom Capital Group? |
| 19 Ms. Themak. | 19 MR. FISHER: Of course. Of course. I'm managing |
| 20 MS. THEMAK: That is the background for this | 20 member of Telecom Capital Group. |
| 21 particular property and how it was selected. I don't have | 21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, thank you. |
| 22 anything additional for Mr. Beltran. | 22 MR. FISHER: Yes, ma'am. |
| 23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are there any questions from | 23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, continue. |
| 24 anyone in the -- who is not represented by the Applicant -25 arethere any questions of Mr. Beltran? | 24 MR. FISHER: And so then the company is contracted 25 out to provide these photos sims. And based on the site |

## location and the location from which you can, in theory, see the actual site, and that's what we are looking at here. <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Okay. Ms. Themak? <br> MS. THEMAK: I guess I wanted to address a question that had come up earlier about the co-location and the reason and that the interaction between the two applications that are joined here under this conditional use. <br> Director, you had asked about the requirement for the co-location application. There were two applications submitted to tower committee. And I believe one of the exhibits is the October 6th notice of action from the tower committee. What they note in that notice of action is that there is one application for the tower, the monopole itself. However, in order to demonstrate the need that the tower committee would need to determine to approve the site as a whole, they need to have a carrier. The monopole application did not have a carrier associated with it. That is the separate co-location application that required approval. <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: Wait, you're testifying. So can we get Mr. Fisher to testify? <br> MS. THEMAK: Mark, is it true that TCG -- <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: No, no, not is it true. Mr. Fisher, why is -- why did the TSCG Tower Communications Facilitating Group, why did they condition their

recommendation on co-location?
MR. FISHER: So I don't think Telecom Capital Group conditioned it's actual proposal on co-location. We, because we always submit cell sites to counties no matter where they are so that they have a maximum amount of co-location on those cell sites. And the purpose of that is very straightforward, and that is to mitigate the number of cell sites that are needed. So to the extent the cell site is built at the proposed site we can accommodate additional carriers which is the goal.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, why did they specifically recommend co-location with this application?

MR. FISHER: So I can't testify as to why someone else does what they do and I'm probably not going to be able to help you with that.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, then don't -MR. FISHER: But I do --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Don't speculate.
MR. FISHER: No ma'am, I won't. But I do want to say that certainly Verizon has committed to going on the site and committed in writing to do so. And as to why they have required a second carrier to commit to doing so prior to the site being built, I do not know why that is the case.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Do you object to a condition requiring that co-location application to be located

MR. FISHER: I do not object to it. I do think it could delay better coverage in area.

MS. THEMAK: Director Robeson if I may, I think
there is a confusion of what's going on here. Can I offer some clarification?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, your witness is -- is there anybody in your witness list that knows the background of this?

MS. THEMAK: It's in the exhibit of the TC, the tower committee's notice of action. I think there's just confusion on what the question is to Mr. Fisher. The colocation I believe that you're inquiring about is, there were two applications before the tower committee, one for the monopole, one for Verizon's co-location.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Correct.
MS. THEMAK: Mr. Fisher, do you agree that the -- I think the condition that the Director is proposing, is that
the very last page, is that the tower cannot be built unless
the co-location application for Verizon is also installed.
And that's what we are asking -- TCG is being asked to agree
to, not a second co-location carrier, Verizon as the co-
locating carrier?
MR. FISHER: Yes.
MS. THEMAK: Is that what TCG has agreed to?
MR. FISHER: Yes, and I appreciate the
clarification.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, okay.
MR. FISHER: I appreciate the clarification.
That's helpful. Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Are there any other questions of Mr. Fisher from the Applicant? Okay.

Are there any questions from any -- Mr. Fisher,
don't go yet.
MR. FISHER: No.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are there any -- are there
any questions from individuals who are not represented by the
Applicant about Mr. Fisher's testimony?
Okay. Hearing none, now you can go, Mr. Fischer.
Thank you.
MR. FISHER: And what do I need to do here? I'm at a loss for what's next.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, you can just -- you
should stay on the meeting, but you can turn your video off.
MR. FISHER: Yes ma'am. You got it.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Okay. Now Ms.
Themak, do you want to call your next witness?
MS. THEMAK: Yeah. Mr. Donohue and I would like to
call Marc Marzullo to just explain the -- if he's available.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good morning Mr. Marzullo.

```
Please raise your right hand. Is that your -- yeah.
    Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury
that the statements you're about to make are the truth, the
whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
    MR. MARZULLO: I do.
    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Please state your
name and business address for the record.
    MR. MARZULLO: My name is Marc A. Marzullo. And my
business address is 6100 Executive Boulevard, Suite 430,
Rockville, Maryland.
    THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Ms. Themak?
    MS. THEMAK: Mr. Marzullo, did Entrex, your
company, did you prepare the photo sims of the site?
    MR. MARZULLO: Yes, we did.
    MS. THEMAK: Can you just give a little bit more
background on how they are developed and what their intent is?
    MR. MARZULLO:Well frrstly I'll start with the
intent. The intent is to demonstrate what the monopole
installation would look like after it's constructed from
various locations around the site predominantly from the
public right-of-way, not on other people's property, but to
the north of the site and to the south of the site and a
little to the west of the site from the public right of ways.
And in this particular --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: For the record, I just
Please raise your right hand. Is that your -- yeah.
Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury
that the statements you're about to make are the truth, the
whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
MR. MARZULLO: I do.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Please state your
name and business address for the record.
MR. MARZULLO: My name is Marc A. Marzullo. And my
business address is 6100 Executive Boulevard, Suite 430,
Rockville, Maryland.
mehearing examiner: Okay. Ms. Themak?
company, did you prepare the photo sims of the site?
MR. MARZULLO: Yes, we did.
MS. THEMAK: Can you just give a little bit more
6 background on how they are developed and what their intent is?
MR. MARZULLO: Well firstly I'll start with the
intent. The intent is to demonstrate what the monopole
installation would look like after it's constructed from
various locations around the site predominantly from the
public right-of-way, not on other people's property, but to
the north of the site and to the south of the site and a
little to the west of the site from the public right of ways.
And in this particular --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: For the record, I just
```

pulled up Exhibit 15, which is the photo sims.
MR. MARZULLO: Right. And that exhibit shows where
the site is located and there were in particular three photo
sims prepared. Photo sim for photo number 1 is located to the
south of the site, photo 2 is to the west of the site, and
photo 3 is to the north of the site looking back at the site.
And in this particular case, the photo sims were prepared with
three-dimensional survey CAD facilities because the subject
property had the cornfield planted at the time and we were not
allowed to enter onto the field.
So that's how these particular photo sims were
developed. We took the photos and then using CAD software
we -- based on ground elevations and tree heights and so on,
we prepared the photo sims.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me stop you for a
minute. Ms. Themak is Mr. -- is he testifying as an expert?
MS. THEMAK: I would like Mr. Marzullo to be
qualified as an expert if we can do that, yes.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, please tell me
what you want him to be qualified as and what his -- and then
can he testify as to his qualifications for that?
MS. THEMAK: Sure. Marc, will you -- will you tell
them about your qualifications as an engineer, architect, and
civil engineer?
MR. MARZULLO: Yes. Okay, my name is --
pulled up Exhibit 15, which is the photo sims.
MR. MARZULLO: Right. And that exhibit shows where
the site is located and there were in particular three photo
sims prepared. Photo sim for photo number 1 is located to the
south of the site, photo 2 is to the west of the site, and
photo 3 is to the north of the site looking back at the site.
And in this particular case, the photo sims were prepared with
three-dimensional survey CAD facilities because the subject
property had the cornfield planted at the time and we were not allowed to enter onto the field.
11 So that's how these particular photo sims were
developed. We took the photos and then using CAD soffware
we -- based on ground elevations and tree heights and so on,
we prepared the photo sims.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me stop you for a
minute. Ms. Themak is Mr. -- is he testifying as an expert?
qualified as an expert if we can do that, yes.
19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, please tell me
20 what you want him to be qualified as and what his -- and then
21 can he testify as to his qualifications for that?
22 MS. THEMAK: Sure. Marc, will you -- will you tell
23 them about your qualifications as an engineer, architect, and civil engineer?
MR. MARZULLO: Yes. Okay, my name is --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Wait, what is the engineer? I didn't hear the type.

MR. MARZULLO: Civil engineer and --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry. I'm getting a
garbled -- I can't hear the first word.
MR. MARZULLO: Civil engineer.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, I'm sorry, okay. I
apologize. Okay, go ahead.
MR. MARZULLO: (Inaudible) in civil engineering
1976 from the University of Massachusetts, got a master of
science in civil engineering from -- in 1978 fromLehigh
University. And I've been practicing in this particular
practice area of designing and engineering cellular
communications facilities since 1995, that's 27 years.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And are you licensed 6 as a civil engineer --

MR. MARZULLO: Yes, I am.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: -- in the State of Maryland?
MR. MARZULLO: I am licensed in the State of
Maryland. My PE Maryland licenses number 12913.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: You must have that 2 memorized.

MR. MARZULLO: I do.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And have you ever testified 5 as an expert in an administrative or court hearing?

MR. MARZULLO: Yes, I have.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And where was that?
MR. MARZULLO: In Montgomery County, in Frederick
County, in Howard County, in St. Mary's County, in Calvert
County, in Prince George's County, in Baltimore.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Why don't you just list the ones where you didn't testify? I'm teasing. And were these administrative boards or courts?

MR. MARZULLO: Both.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is there any
objection to qualifying this witness as an expert in civil
engineering?
Okay. Hearing no objections, I will so qualify
him. And if you can continue, Ms. Themak. And I interrupted,
but I wanted to make sure I can say this is expert -- you
know, that we know whether he's an expert or not.
MS. THEMAK: Understood, thank you.
Thank you for the explanation of the photo sims.
Mr. Marzullo, Entrex also prepared the zoning drawings for the site; is that correct?

MR. MARZULLO: That is correct.
MS. THEMAK: So if we could, could we bring up the drawings? They are exhibit --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is this -- what are you 5 referring to? The last exhibits for the conditional use?

residential property, and the fact that there is a deeded
access to the south side of the site, that location was chosen. In addition, we were also trying to choose a location that had minimum impact, or as minimal impact as possible to the agricultural operation. That being said, we were also trying to keep the total disturbed area of the project at, or under 10,000 square feet to mitigate other things that we would need to do to develop the site. So that is the background as to why the site is sited in this particular location, beyond the fact that it may need to be in that northwest corner of the property for RF reasons as well.

MS. THEMAK: Thank you. I think Mr. Marzullo, you and I, I believe that there were inquiries from the hearing examiner as to an additional residence that was located across the street. And this goes to exhibit, let me see if I can find it. I believe it's Exhibit 20, Director Robeson. And Mr. Marzullo, I believe Entrex confirmed that they, and I'm asking you if you can confirm this, the property to the north is the Gladhope Brothers John Deere Tractor Supply, so that's a commercial property?

MR. MARZULLO: Correct.
MS. THEMAK: To the west is Broadleaf Ground Works, that was the structure across the street, and then, I believe it is the Durham residence that is located across the street. And you determined that to be 435 feet from the monopole, is
that correct?
MR. MARZULLO: That is correct.
MS. THEMAK: 435 feet, which is over the 300
foot -- the minimum that we saw required for residences, was how many feet?

MR. MARZULLO: 300.
MS. THEMAK: 300. Okay, so those were the additional structures.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Would you kindly tell me which building -- the Durham residence, for the record, because the record can't see the exhibit, does the Durham residence have two Xs on it?

MR. MARZULLO: Two small Xs.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And across the road and south of the subject property?

MR. MARZULLO: I would describe it as immediately west of the house that's identified as being 300 feet from the site.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So it's labeled on this exhibit as existing house?
21 MR. MARZULLO: No, it's the house directly opposite to the west.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I know, but you're saying it's directly to the west of what's marked as existing house? MR. MARZULLO: Correct.
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THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. -- does anyone have any questions about Mr. Marzullo's testimony?

MR. DURHAM: Yes.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Just a second. Okay, Mr. Durham, go ahead.

MR. DURHAM: Okay. It keeps coming up of the least disturbance to agriculture, and would that disturbance be less if the entrance were not off of 27 , and having a driveway back, but off of one of the existing driveways that basically frame both sides of this property?

MR. MARZULLO: You're addressing that question to Mr. Marzullo?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
MR. MARZULLO: Okay. Technically, I would say yes. However, I would say that some things we need to keep in -take into consideration, is the driveways to both sides are private driveways, and you know, that adds another complication into what we're trying to do here. We are trying to make sure that we have access to the property from a public right-of-way.

MR. DURHAM: The driveway to the south is the same owner as the subject property.

MR. MARZULLO: That probably --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is that a question? Okay, Mr. Durham, for some reason I'm having a little trouble hearing you. Can you repeat that question?

MR. DURHAM: The driveway to the south, basically where on this -- it's showing the 217.5, it looks like -- that driveway is owned by the same owners as the subject property where the tower construction would be. So if the tower -- if we're worried about the agricultural use, the driveway coming off of 27 seems like it's not a -- it's, you know, as good of an idea as coming off of a shorter distance. And so location wise, I'mjust wondering -- you said that (inaudible) short distance from the access if you could access it off of a driveway, would that be a simpler idea?

MR. MARZULLO: Well, I would say that it's certainly shorter to go the 160 feet to the north to that driveway. The distance to the driveway to the southwest is going to be longer, probably on the order of 200 feet. But it would be shorter than what we're proposing currently.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, if you -- you could only shorten the distance to the northwest by 15 feet; amI correct? Because the tower has to be set back 145 feet.

MR. MARZULLO: Well, I think the question really 4 was, we're not talking about moving the tower, we just talking 25 about where the access driveway is going to.

| 49 | 51 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I see. | 1 MR. DURHAM: Okay. |
| 2 MR. DURHAM: Well, so I have a two part question to | 2 MR. MARZULLO: Which this does. That's essentially |
| 3 it. So right now the driveway is 254.2 feet and a lot of the | 3 over a football field in length. |
| 4 things are -- keep talking about the impact to the | 4 MR. DURHAM: Right, but (inaudible), 400 meters in |
| 5 agricultural use. Whereas a shorter driveway would affect | 5 many -- well, even say 300 meters, that's a third of that |
| 6 less impact on the agricultural use. And again, the setback | 6 distance, so you're within the -- what many studies have |
| 7 from 27 -- and I guess my questions are kind of a couple of | 7 shown, 150 meters, which increases the amount because 300 feet |
| 8 and I'll move on to this for one second. | 8 is $150-$ or 100 yards which is way less than 150 meters. |
| 9 So the 300 feet, and how is that numbe | 9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, let me jump in here |
| 10 established? Because I was doing the research for these and | 10 for a moment because Mr. Durham, we are prohibited by federal |
| 11 everything kept coming up with, for rural area communication | 11 law from considering the health impact of these towers. |
| 12 towers, a 400 meter distance. Whereas, ones that are used, | 12 MR. DURHAM: Okay. |
| 13 say in the city, are a lower tower and can be mounted on the | 13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm letting it in, in case |
| 14 top of apartment buildings, let's say, but they don't have as | 14 you want to appeal on that basis and there is a very |
| 15 much signal strength. They don't go as far of a distance. So | 15 complicated situation that the FCC and the courts about |
| 16 how does that 300 feet -- where does that number come from? | 16 whether that's a valid law, or whether the health impacts -- |
| 17 MR. MARZULLO: Well, it's my understanding that the | 17 but, that is the law. That we cannot consider health effects. |
| 18 Montgomery County Zoning sets the criteria for siting a | 18 So I'mjust jumping in here because I don't want you to think |
| 19 monopole requires that a monopole has to be a minimum of 300 | 19 by my taking your testimony I'm letting it in, but we cannot |
| 20 feet from the closest residential structure. In this | 20 deny a use based on health effects and whether I think that's |
| 21 particular case the monopole is sited such that it is 310 feet | 21 right or wrong is beyond my jurisdiction. But I will tell you |
| 22 to give a little buffer to that number. So that's where that | 22 that so that we don't prolong this with regard to health |
| 23 comes from. It's just a -- that is a County zoning ordinance | 23 effects. |
| 24 requirement for siting a monopole. | 24 And I also think that you may be -- well, I suspect |
| 25 MR. DURHAM: So -- but my question is, you said | 25 you may be confusing 5 G and 4 G poles, but you know, I can't |
| 50 | 52 |
| 1 it's a minimum of 300 feet. | 1 get into that. So I just wanted to let you know, the |
| 2 MR. MARZULLO: Right. | 2 Applicant hasn't objected, but I just wanted you to know that |
| MR. DURHAM: Is that number going off of a pole | 3 at the moment I'm letting you testify in case you would like |
| 4 that is, say, in a more, you know, populated area? Or is | 4 to assert that as an argument at some point. But that is what |
| 5 that -- | 5 the federal law states. |
| 6 MR. MARZULLO: No, it doesn't matter. It doesn't | 6 MR. DURHAM: Understood. I have just one other |
| 7 have anything to do with the population. It's just zoning | 7 question, or I guess it's two questions. As far as the first |
| 8 ordinance. | 8 slide that was up, with the photos, showing the visual impact |
| 9 MR. DURHAM: I just didn't know, as far as signal | 9 to the area -- |
| 10 strength if there's a difference in distance of what is | 10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm getting them Okay. |
| 11 considered a healthy distance versus what the minimum standard | 11 You should be seeing them, it's Exhibit 15, I think. |
| 12 is that they have to be away from residences. | 12 MR. DURHAM: Okay. So the photos -- photo 1 was |
| 13 MR. MARZULLO: I think the logic is -- I mean, it's | 13 taken looking northbound from the southbound lanes, photo 2 |
| 14 certainly not a fall zone criteria because the monopole is 145 | 14 was taken not even on the roadway, and photo 3 was taken |
| 15 feet. But I think the logic when the zoning ordinance was | 15 facing southbound from the northbound lane?. |
| 16 established was that they want to make sure that the | 16 MR. MARZULLO: Well, the point of the photo sims |
| 17 telecommunications towers are enough, generally, away from any | 17 was to take them from areas away from the property where you |
| 18 residential house so that they're not obtrusive, either | 18 can see the effects of the monopole. I think it's pretty |
| 19 visually. I think, essentially, it's a visual issue as | 19 obvious in looking at the photo sims that you're going to be |
| 20 opposed to an RF criteria. | 20 able to see the 145 foot monopole from various locations. In |
| 21 I'm not -- I didn't write the ordinance. You know, | 21 the particular case of your house, yours is pretty much |
| 22 I can't really address it any further than that. It makes | 22 blocked because of the existing tree foliage that's to the |
| 23 good common sense that you would want to have a zoning | 23 south side of the property. |
| 24 ordinance that would keep these type of structures, as | 24 MR. DURHAM: Well, except when (inaudible). |
| 25 practically as far from residential properties as you can. | 25 MR. MARZULLO: I think that's the entrance to your |

```
driveway in the south?
    MR. DURHAM: Correct.
    MR. MARZULLO: The southwest corner there.
    MR. DURHAM: Right. Where the picture is not what
is laid out. It would be just off of the back corner of the
small white building that sits just to the east of 27.
    MR. MARZULLO: Well, when you refer --
    THE HEARING EXAMINER: For the record, were looking
at photo 1 of Exhibit 15.
    MR. MARZULLO: Can I ask a question?
    MR. DURHAM: Yes.
    MR. MARZULLO: So when you say laid out, what are
you referring to?
    MR. DURHAM: Where the stakes are all staked out
right now.
    MR. MARZULLO: Yeah. Okay. Well, I want to point
out the stakes weren't where the monopole is supposed to be.
The stakes were for putting borings in for the storm water
management facility testing that's to the west side of the
site.
    MR. DURHAM: Okay.
    MR. MARZULLO: So if you are using that as a
reference, it's probably not correct.
    MR. DURHAM: Okay. Because some of the stakes are
    actually behind that white building from where I'm looking.
```

    MR. MARZULLO: Okay. Knowing the lay of the land,
    the pictures don't represent the same location of the pole in
    each picture is what I'm wondering. Why no one's going to be
    driving northbound looking at how it affects versus if they
    were driving northbound what the view is, and vice versa. If
    they were driving southbound what the view would be from the
    southbound lanes of verses the northbound lanes, they're not
    going to be driving southbound in the northbound lane.
    MR. MARZULLO: Okay. I would just say this. You
    10 know, the guidance from making photo simulations, is not
    11 directed by what a driver would see driving in a particular
    driveline. These are general considerations. There could be
    somebody walking on the side of the road where this picture
    14 was taken. So what we tried to do is -- were not trying to
    15 hide the monopole, obviously, we're trying to show you what it
    16 is going to look like from reasonable locations.
17 You can find lots of locations where the tree
18 infrastructure is going to block the monopole, but what we're
19 trying to show is if you come down the street, this is about
20 the first place you're going to see that monopole, as you come
21 down Ridge Road on the side. Just because of existing houses
22 and infrastructure. Similarly, you know, what we were trying
23 to do is to depict what is it going to look like near that
24 church looking across the street?
25 Obviously, it's going to be a visible structure.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | king |
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1 MR. MARZULLO: Okay. Knowing the lay of the land,
2 the pictures don't represent the same location of the pole in
3 each picture is what I'm wondering. Why no one's going to be
4 driving northbound looking at how it affects versus if they
5 were driving northbound what the view is, and vice versa. If
6 they were driving southbound what the view would be from the
8 going to be driving southbound in the northbound lane.
9 MR. MARZULLO: Okay. I would just say this. You
10 know, the guidance from making photo simulations, is not
11 directed by what a driver would see driving in a particular
12 driveline. These are general considerations. There could be
13 somebody walking on the side of the road where this picture
14 was taken. So what we tried to do is -- were not trying to
15 hide the monopole, obviously, we're trying to show you what it
16 is going to look like from reasonable locations.
infrastructure is going to block the monopole, but what we're
trying to show is if you come down the street, this is about
the first place you're going to see that monopole, as you come
down Ridge Road on the side. Just because of existing houses
and infrastructure. Similarly, you know, what we were trying
to do is to depict what is it going to look like near that

Obviously, it's going to be a visible structure.
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3
4
And similarly, we went as far to the north side of the
property to look back over the John Deere Tractor facility to see what it was going to look like. So you know, that's what the photo Sims show, basically.

MS. THEMAK: IfI may, Mr. Marzullo, can I ask you a question? We heard from staff that as you (inaudible) we know that the monopole is going to be visible at 145 feet. However, staff recommended changes to the plans to decrease the visibility of the equipment compound at its base. Can you describe what changes we agreed to make given that we can't --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, let me finish with Mr. Durham's cross-examination, and then you can get to rebuttal testimony. Okay? Or you can get to redirect testimony. I'm sorry.

Go ahead -- Mr. Durham, are you finished with your questions?

MR. DURHAM: That's all I have. Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Any redirect Ms. Themak?

MS. THEMAK: Yes. This is just the -- if Mr.
Marzullo can provide a description of the additional measures
that were agreed to by the Applicant to screen the compound
given the open area.
MR. MARZULLO: Maybe we could pull up that exhibit. There we go.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: For the record, we're looking at Exhibit 48.

MR. MARZULLO: Right. Montgomery County Zoning requested to help minimize the visual impact of the communication site that we one, remove the chain-link fence and replace it with a wood fence to soften the look. And they recommended that we put in some low maintenance shrubbery. And we did. We've made the changes that they requested to help mitigate the appearance of the site at the ground level.

MS. THEMAK: Thank you. And that's all I have for Mr. Marzullo.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. Do you -why don't you -- Ms. Themak do you want to call your next witness?

MS. THEMAK: I believe -- we don't want to have anybody take you through the voluminous statement of justification or all the points of Section 59352, and we rely on the staff work and their technical expertise --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MS. THEMAK: -- as related therein.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: I just have one -- and I
think I put this in an email to you. I have one question
3 about compliance with the master plan, and visit -- vistas,
24 not visit, vistas in Damascus. And I think Mr. Marzullo --
25 no, well I think that, yes, Mr. Marzullo's testimony, this is
1

| pretty visible. And so what is your response to the master plan? And I know what staff says, but do you have any additional response, justification for the master plan compliance? | ```1 of these rural vistas and even in this area, the Damascus 2 \text { area, you are proposing -- the reason that you are here in} 3 this specific location is driven by Verizon's needs; is that 4 correct?``` |
| :---: | :---: |
| MS. THEMAK: We do believe -- | 5 Okay. I think you're on mute. |
| THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do you have a witness with additional response? | 6 <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. -- wait a minute. Mr. <br> 7 Fisher, you're muted. |
| MS. THEMAK: I think we would rely on, again, on | 8 MR. FISHER: That's correct. |
| Mr. Marzullo's testimony that it is impossible to make this | 9 MS. THEMAK: Okay. So would there be a way that |
| tower invisible. We've done our best with the compound as he described. | 10 you know of to bring service via a monopole with Verizon at 11 the height it needs and everything we heard from Mr. Mangra, |
| THE HEARING EXAMINER: Did he say -- I don't | 12 without a tall structure such as that proposed here? |
| 3 remember him saying that but -- | 13 MR. FISHER: There is not a way that I'maware of. |
| MS. THEMAK: Can we call himback and I will -- | 14 MS. THEMAK: Okay. I think that would be what I |
| THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sure. Mr. Marzullo, can | 15 would say our witnesses would provide to the master plan. |
| 硡 | 16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Understood. All right. M |
| possibility -- well, you may not know what the recommendations | 17 Fisher? |
| of the master plan are. But they say that you -- they | 18 MR. DURHAM: I guess I will address this to Mr. |
| courage uses that are going | 19 Fisher. During the -- based on the realty there shows within |
| mascus -- the 1 | 201,500 feet of the tower, a cell phone tower, that there is |
| area. And what is your response to that because that's | 21 approximately a 7-1/2 percent home value decline. Do you know |
| MS. THEMAK: Can I ask hima question to help focus | 23 MR. FISHER: No, I do not. |
| on this? | 24 MR. DURHAM: Okay. |
| THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. | 25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Any redirect Ms. |
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| MS. THEMAK: Given the master plans' | 1 Themak? |
| commendations for this protection, is it possible -- would | 2 MS. THEMAK: No thank you. |
| it be possible to completely eliminate the visibility of the | 3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Do you have any |
|  |  |
| MR. MARZULLO: No. | 5 MS. THEMAK: That's all we have. |
| MS. THEMAK: Given the height? | 6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Durham, do you |
| MR. MARZULLO: No. | 7 have any testimony you want to offer in response to what |
| MS. THEMAK: Referring to your testimony before, | 8 you've heard from the Applicant? |
| you indicated that a fence and landscaping has been provided | 9 MR. DURHAM: I will just say that again, we can't |
| to mitigate the visual impact to the greatest degree possible; | 10 go off of the health impact, it is an agricuttural area. I |
| is that correct? Is that what you testified? | 11 myself am involved in agriculture as well, and you know, it |
| MR. MARZULLO: I did. That's correct. | 12 does prohibit the view of what of known as Claggettsville, |
| MS. THEMAK: And I would ask -- I guess I would ask | 13 actually. It was a historic district in this area of what's |
| Mr . Fisher if we could bring him up. | 14 called Claggettsville, that was based upon this intersection, |
| THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Fisher? | 15 and that was known for its rolling hills and unobstructed |
| MS. THEMAK: Mark Fisher. | 16 views. And so that's one thing that I do think is an impact |
| THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, first let me say real | 17 upon that. |
| quick, Mr. Durham, do you on what we just heard fromMr. | 18 The second is just that the pricing value of homes |
| Marzullo? | 19 within the area being affected by cell towers. Those would be |
| MR. DURHAM: No, I do not. | 20 my only two objections. |
| on. THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Fisher, you're | 21 <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I am pulling -- Mr. <br> 22 Durham I'm pulling up Exhibit 32, which is the staff report, |
| MS. THEMAK: Okay. | 23 and it shows an aerial photograph of the surrounding area, |
| THE HEARING EXAMINER: You're still under oath. | 24 which is a zoning term of art. Can you describe for me where |
| MS. THEMAK: And we're talking about the protection | 25 Claggettsville is? Can you see it? |

of these rural vistas and even in this area, the Damascus
area, you are proposing -- the reason that you are here in
this specific location is driven by Verizon's needs; is that correct?

Okay. I think you're on mute.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. -- wait a minute. Mr.
Fisher, you're muted.
MR. FISHER: That's correct.
MS. THEMAK: Okay. So would there be a way that you know of to bring service via a monopole with Verizon at
the height it needs and everything we heard fromMr. Mangra, without a tall structure such as that proposed here?

MR. FISHER: There is not a way that I'm aware of.
MS. THEMAK: Okay. I think that would be what I
would say our witnesses would provide to the master plan.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Understood. All right. Mr. Fisher?

MR. DURHAM: I guess I will address this to Mr.
Fisher. During the -- based on the realty there shows within
1,500 feet of the tower, a cell phone tower, that there is
approximately a $7-1 / 2$ percent home value decline. Do you know
about that?
MR. FISHER: No, I do not.
MR. DURHAM: Okay.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Any redirect Ms.

Themak?
MS. THEMAK: No thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Do you have any

MS. THEMAK: That's all we have.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Durham, do you
have any testimony you want to offer in response to what
you've heard from the Applicant?
MR. DURHAM: I will just say that again, we can't go off of the health impact, it is an agricultural area. I
myself am involved in agriculture as well, and you know, it
does prohibit the view of what of known as Claggettsville,
actually. It was a historic district in this area of what's
called Claggettsville, that was based upon this intersection,
and that was known for its rolling hills and unobstructed
views. And so that's one thing that I do think is an impact
upon that.
The second is just that the pricing value of homes
within the area being affected by cell towers. Those would be my only two objections.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I am pulling -- Mr.
Durham, I'm pulling up Exhibit 32, which is the staff report,
and it shows an aerial photograph of the surrounding area,

Claggettsville is? Can you see it?
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. DURHAM: Yes. So Claggettsville, would | 1 and Calvert. |
| 2 basically fall -- I can't see -- where the red line is is a | 2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And what are the |
| 3 little bit shy -- I believe, so it's basically Moxley Road. | 3 credentials? Do you have, like, a PE? |
| 4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You have to tell me where | 4 MR. MANGRA: I have a bachelors and a masters in |
| 5 Moxley Road is. | 5 radiological engineering from Apollo Tech University, 1993 and |
| 6 MR. DURHAM: So Route 80, which is the left side of | 61995 respectively. And I have also been involved in wireless |
| 7 the fork there in the roadway that goes | 7 network engineering since 1995 and have deployed a lot of the |
| 8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I know that, yes. | 8 networks from the ground up. |
| 9 MR. DURHAM: And so Moxley Road would be, basically | 9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And do you -- are you |
| 10 where there's -- just south of there -- so right along 80. | 10 required to have a license? |
| 11 Basically, there's a like a, a kind of a shark tooth looking | 11 MR. MANGRA: It is not a requirement. |
| 12 property. It keeps going over it too quickly for me to stop | 12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. Does |
| 13 you, just head towards 80 from there, just straight up. And | 13 anyone have any objection to offering Mr. Mangra as an expert |
| 14 yes -- well, you went past. There's a like a white spot | 14 in radiofrequency engineering? |
| 15 almost. Right there. Yes. I believe that would be where | 15 Okay. Hearing none, Mr. Mangra, you're so |
| 16 Moxley Road is. It's hard to tell from this picture but | 16 qualified. |
| 17 basically, Moxley Road. And so outside of that would pretty | 17 MR. MANGRA: Thank you. |
| 18 much encompass what is in the red circle, plus that area. | 18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Ms. Themak, do you |
| 19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you for | 19 have any rebuttal, or do you have any other testimony? |
| 20 clarifying that. So your testimony is that it impedes views | 20 MS. THEMAK: No, we're concluding our presentation |
| 21 fromClaggettsville? | 21 at this time. |
| 22 MR. DURHAM: Just that Claggettsville was known, | 22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Do you have a |
| 23 and for it's agricultural as Damascus was, you know, for its | 23 closing -- would you like to present a closing statement based |
| 24 agriculture and rolling hills views, but that's obst | 24 on the evidence we've had? |
| 25 views of that agricultural use, you don't find in many parts | 25 MS. THEMAK: Yes. We do believe that we submitted |
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| 1 of the county anymore. But that's -- Claggettsville was and | 1 a complete application. We are in agreement with and |
| 2 if you look, that was part of this key feature because it | 2 appreciate staff's consideration of all of the materials that |
| 3 open, rolling hills. | 3 were submitted. And I believe we've agreed to the conditions |
| 4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Ms. Themak, any | 4 as proposed by staff and by yourself. We're happy to consider |
| 5 questions of Mr. Durham? | 5 other proposed conditions to the application. And we rely on |
| 6 MS. THEMAK: No, we don't have any questions. | 6 the technical expertise of staff as published in the staff |
| 7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Durham, did you | 7 report as well as the experts presented today, and we'll close |
| 8 finish what you wanted to say? I may have assumed. | 8 with that. |
| 9 MR. DURHAM: Yes. | 9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let me do this. Just |
| 10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Let me do | 10 as a -- I think I forwarded you a prior report with the |
| 11 this, I'd like to get Mr., is it Mangra? Let's get him back. | 11 conditions we've imposed, not all of them, of course, are |
| 12 I wasn't sure if he was testifying as an expert or not. And | 12 applicable but I'd just like to go through them and double |
| 13 I'd like to clarify that on the record. | 13 check, you know, whether -- is a -- whether they're -- you |
| 14 So Mr. Mangra, can you turn your camera on, please? | 14 would agree to them. Is there a -- you do not have a forest |
| 15 Thank you. You're still under oath, of course. | 15 conservation plan, correct? |
| 16 Ms. Themak, are you offering Mr. Mangra as an | 16 MS. THEMAK: We got an exemption. I believe -- and |
| 17 expert, and if so, in what capacity? | 17 I want to - |
| 18 MS. THEMAK: Yes. The Applicant is submitting that | 18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 19 Mr . Mangra is an expert in radiofrequency engineering. | 19 MS. THEMAK: And I want to make sure that that's an |
| 20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And has Mr. Mangra -- or | 20 accurate statement. I believe that was Exhibit -- |
| 21 have you ever qualified as an expert in this in administrative | 21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I think it's in the record. |
| 22 or court proceedings? | 22 MS. THEMAK: Yes. The approved forest exemption -- |
| 23 MR. MANGRA: I've testified prior -- previously for | 23 forest conservation plan exemption is Exhibit 7. |
| 24 different zoning hearings in Oklahoma, and of course, here in | 24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 25 the -- in Maryland in Charles County and St. Mary's County, | 25 MS. THEMAK: The plan itself was Exhibit 8. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And for the record, | 1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: No, outside of the support |
| 2 I'm going through the hearing examiner's opinion in CU 19-01, | 2 structure equipment building. Do you -- and that's another |
| 3 which is our most recent monopole case, and it was forwarded | 3 zoning ordinance requirement. |
| 4 to Ms. Themak by email, which is in the record. | 4 MR. FISHER: No objection. |
| 5 Okay. Do you have -- I'm looking at condition 3. | 5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And then, there is |
| 6 Do you have any issue with that con | 6 requirement that you, and owner -- all the Applicants are |
| 7 MS. THEMAK: Since we have Mr. Fisher, the | 7 responsible for maintaining this facility in a safe condition. |
| 8 representative directly from Telecom Capital Group, I would | 8 MR. FISHER: No objection. |
| 9 ask him if he had any objection to that. | 9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: 11 says there must be no |
| 10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Fisher, can you | 10 antenna lights or stroboscopic lights unless required by the |
| 11 turn your camera on, please? | 11 FCC, the FAA, or the County; do you have an objection to that? |
| 12 MR. FISHER: I had turned it on, I'm not sure -- | 12 MR. FISHER: I don't -- |
| 13 yeah, there it is. | 13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I don't think you're |
| 14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Do you | 14 proposing any. |
| 15 see condition number 3 in the prior report of CU 19-01? It | 15 MR. FISHER: No. |
| 16 requires you to maintain growth. | 16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: The second one isn't |
| 17 MR. FISHER: I do. | 17 applicable, and the third is our standard -- the last one, 13, |
| 18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do you have an objection to | 18 is our standard condition that we include in every special |
| 19 that condition? | 19 exception case, just requiring you to comply with all laws, |
| 20 MR. FISHER: I have no objection. | 20 and annual payment of conditional use administrative fees by |
| 21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: What about condition 4? | 21 DPS. |
| 22 MR. FISHER: I have -- | 22 Okay. Now your counsel also stated that you would |
| 23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: It says | 23 agree that this approval is contingent on the PFC co-location |
| 24 MR. FISHER: I have no objection. | 24 of the application mentioned in the tower committee report. |
| 25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: This requires you to certify | 25 Your counsel already indicated that was acceptable. I'll |
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| 1 to DPS the height and location of this support structure is in | 1 check with you. Is that acceptable to you? |
| 2 conformance with what you presented here | 2 MR. FISHER: Yes, it is. |
| 3 MR. FISHER: I have no objection. | 3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And then, staff |
| 4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Do you have a | 4 conditions -- well, let me try pulling the staff report up |
| 5 condition -- do you have an objection for providing space for | 5 again online. That might be a better way to do it. Okay. If |
| 6 five providers? | 6 I can pull -- okay. There's a list of staff conditions, |
| 7 MR. FISHER: I have no objection | 7 recommended in their report. Do you agree to abide by those |
| 8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Now -- and 7, | 8 conditions as well? |
| 9 condition 7 says, the equipment compound, which we've already | 9 MR. FISHER: Yes, I do. |
| 10 seen, must provide sufficient area for a total of 5 providers. | 10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Except 5, you've already |
| 11 Do you have an issue with that? | 11 agreed to the wood board screening fence and landscaping. So |
| 12 MR. FISHER: I have no objection. | 12 there will be a condition saying all development on the site |
| 13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Condition 8, I don't | 13 must conform. |
| 14 know if it really needs to be a condition, but the zoning | 14 All right. With that, Mr. Durham, do you have any |
| 15 ordinance requires you to remove, at the cost of the owner, if | 15 questions of what I just asked Mr. Fisher? |
| 16 the telecommunications tower is no longer in use by any | 16 MR. DURHAM: No, I do not. |
| 17 wireless communication carrier for more than 12 months, and | 17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Ms. Themak, any |
| 18 that's a requirement of the zoning ordinance. Do you have a | 18 final words? |
| 19 problem with the condition -- that condition? | 19 MS. THEMAK: No. We appreciate all the time that |
| 20 MR. FISHER: I have no objection. | 20 staff and you have put into this. |
| 21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And I assume that your | 21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And Mr. Durham, any final |
| 22 telecommunication compound, the zoning ordinance requires you | 22 words? |
| 23 to display a contact information sign on the compound for the | 23 MR. DURHAM: My final thought would be if there |
| 24 support structure. | 24 were a feasibility to, again, move the distance to a different |
| 25 MR. FISHER: I have no objection. | 25 location. I understand that the pole cannot be completely |
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| :---: | :---: |
| hidden, but just that it would not be so close to the roadway, and so close to the houses where it obstructs the historical value of the area. <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And any final thing, <br> Ms. Themak? You're the Applicant, you get the final word. <br> MS. THEMAK: No. <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. With that, I have -- <br> I'm going to leave the record open for 10 days solely to receive the transcript. No other testimony, no other exhibits, just to receive the transcript. I have some days, typically 30 -- awake, I see a Mark Filipovic with his hand up. Mr. Filipovic, did you want to say something? <br> MR. FILIPOVIC: Yeah, positively. I was on there <br> earlier whenever you guys first started, and I was at the house, the residence. I just have a -- <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: Wait, before you start -- <br> MR. FILIPOVIC: Yeah? <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: Before you start, please <br> turn your camera on. <br> MR. FILIPOVIC: I'll try my best to. Camera, camera, camera. <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: I apologize, if I did not see your hand until now. Okay. Well, let me ask this. Does anyone object to taking Mr. Filipovic's testimony without his camera on? | ```email address, and street address for the record. MR. FILIPOVIC: All right. So Mark Filipovic, email address is laurallawns@gmail.com. My address is 28062 Ridge Road, Damascus, Maryland 20872. THE HEARING EXAMINER: And you gave me the email address? MR. FILIPOVIC: Yeah, it's Laurellawns@Gmail. THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. MR. FILIPOVIC: L-A-U-R-E-L -- THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh I saw you there. You were there earlier. MR. FILIPOVIC: Yeah, it was earlier and I had to go to work. But I was on the property, then I had to leave to come up, over for -- to work. THE HEARING EXAMINER: Isn't that sad that we all have to work? MR. FILIPOVIC: It is, but you know, it is what it is. My little issue is, I'm okay with the antenna itself. You know, I know having communication is important that's why I had -- I couldn't get reception when I was there on the property. But, I'mjust looking at this on, you guys have the aerial key maps? You guys have -- THE HEARING EXAMINER: They're photo -- I think you're talking about the photo Sims? 5 MR. FILIPOVIC: Yes, the photos. Those are four``` |
| MS. THEMAK: No. The Applicant doesn't object, as long as we have the time -- we're allowed to respond to any statements he makes. <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: Absolutely. <br> Mr. Filipovic, how's your camera doing? He may be <br> rebooting because I don't see him on the participant list. <br> We're coming in on -- oh no, he is on the participant list. <br> No, that's Mr. Fisher. <br> I'll tell you what, let's go off the record -- <br> 0 well, if he comes back on, he's not going to know we're off the record. <br> Let me just make sure he's not trying to contact us at the office. <br> THE COURT REPORTER: This is the court reporter, do we want to go off the record? <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: I think we'll go off. I'm <br> going to ask the court reporter to do something unusual, which 18 is, if he comes back on -- <br> (Off the record) <br> (On the record) <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do you solemnly affirm under <br> penalties of perjury that the statements you're about to make are the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? <br> MR. FILIPOVIC: Yeah, no problem Yes. <br> 25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Please state your name, | photos, and you guys have some errors on that -- I do <br> everything in detail when I can, but you guys have the word <br> Damascus spelled incorrectly. I would like to see you guys to <br> redo this whole thing where you have the word Damascus spelled <br> properly. (inaudible) says C -- it goes T-C-G, down the other <br> side, it to the right hand side. Yeah. The word Damascus, <br> for some reason, I can't find it in the dictionary as that <br> same spelling. I was wondering if there was a way you guys <br> could update the whole thing to where it is correct on all those four pictures? <br> MS. THEMAK: Uh, well -- <br> MR. FILIPOVIC: So you have it spelled correctly? <br> Or is that asking too much? <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Did you have any other comments? <br> MR. FILIPOVIC: Yes. The spelling of Damascus is not correct. <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, that's your main comment? <br> MR. FILIPOVIC: Yeah. I have no problem with the -- <br> THE HEARING EXAMINER: This is your time to testify, so I have to keep in order. That's it? <br> MR. FILIPOVIC: Yeah, I don't -- I don't have a <br> problem with the site. I'mjust looking at the -- whoever put |

January 12, 2023


January 12, 2023

| CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER <br> I, Molly Bugher, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio recording as provided; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by and of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome. <br> MOLLY BUGHER, CDLT-161 <br> January 23, 2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ```None \\ CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC \\ I, Joe Lorete, the officer before \\ whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby \\ certify that said proceedings were electronically \\ recorded by me; and that I am neither counsel for, \\ related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this \\ case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome. \\ IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set My hand and affixed my notarial seal this 23rd day of January, 2023. \\ Voe larete \\ Joe Lorete, Notary Public \\ for the State of Maryland ``` |  |

Transcript of Hearing
January 12, 2023

| A | accommodate | 59:18, 71:1, | agreed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| abide | 30:8, 34:9 | 71:3, 71:6 | 30:15, 30:16, |
| 68:7 | accurate | addresses | 35:25, 55:10, |
| ability | 64:20 | 16:24, 31:20 | 55:22, 64:3, |
| $6: 8,27: 7,77: 5$ | acquisition | addressing | 68:11 |
| able | 29:15, 43:24 | 7:4, 7:6, 47:14 | agreement |
| 18:17, 21:21, | acres | adds | 2:9, 64:1 |
| 22:10, 23:14, | $43: 18$ | 47:20 | agricultural |
| 23:15, 25:16, | across | adequate | 27:21, 43:20, |
| 25:24, 34:14, | 30:10, 45:14, | 16:20, 21:23, | 45:5, 48:9, |
| 52:20 | 45:23, 45:24, | 22:12 | 49:5, 49:6, |
| about | 46:14, 54:24 | adjourn | 60:10, 61:23, |
| 6:4, 7:18, | acting | 75:25 | 61:25 |
| 10:5, 15:24, | 12:8, 13:17, | administrative | agriculture |
| $17: 15, \quad 20: 2$ | 13:19 | 1:1, 3:4, | 47:10, 60:11, |
| $20: 5,24: 18,$ | action | 39:25, 40:8, | 61:24 |
| $25: 9, \quad 25: 14,$ | 33:12, 33:13, | 62:21, 67:20 | ahead |
| 25:21, 26:8, | 35:11 | aerial | 16:2, 16:14, |
| 26:24, 27:9, | actual | 60:23, 71:22 | 25:12, 25:22, |
| 28:14, 28:18, | 11:1, 33:2, | affect | 29:12, 31:23, |
| 31:14, 33:6, | 34:3 | 49:5 | 39:8, 41:12, |
| 33:9, 35:13, | actually | affected | 47:8, 55:15 |
| 36:13, 37:3, | 17:7, 17:12, | 60:19 | alexandria |
| 38:23, 42:10, | 19:23, 21:23, | affects | 3:12 |
| 47:5, 48:9, | 22:2, 53:25, | 54:4 | all |
| 48:24, 48:25, | 60:13 | affirm | 7:17, 7:18, |
| 49:4, 51:15, | add | 10:4, 15:23, | 12:1, 12:15, |
| 54:19, 56:23, | 7:12, 9:21, | 28:17, 31:13, | 14:23, 17:2, |
| 58:25, 59:22, | 15:12 | 37:2, 70:21 | 17:4, 20:5, |
| 65:21, 70:22, | added | affirmative | 22:4, 22:17, |
| 71:24, 73:11, | 24:9 | 74:21, 74:22 | 26:11, 31:3, |
| 73:15, 73:25 | addition | affixed | 43:14, 47:3, |
| above | 12:22, 45:3 | 78:10 | 53:14, 55:17, |
| 17:5 | additional | afraid | 56:10, 56:12, |
| absolutely | 23:8, 30:22, | 12:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 17, \quad 59: 16, \\ & 60 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 70:4 | 34:9, 45:14, | after | $\begin{array}{ll} 60: 5, & 63: 12, \\ 64: 2, & 64: 11, \end{array}$ |
| abutter | $46: 8,55: 21$, $57.3,57: 7$, | 9:17, 37:19, | $\begin{array}{ll} 64: 2, & 64: 11, \\ 67: 6, & 67: 19, \end{array}$ |
| 43:15 | $57: 3, ~ 57: 7$, $75: 3$, | 75:12 | $68: 12,68: 14,$ |
| abutters | $75: 3,75: 18$ address | again | $68: 17,68: 19,$ |
| 43:14 | address | 21:16, 21:18, | $71: 2,71: 15$ |
| acceptable | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 5: 17, & 5: 19, \\ 8: 14, & 9: 6, \end{array}\right.$ |  | $72: 9, \quad 73: 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18: 20,67: 25, \\ & 68: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 14, \quad 9: 6, \quad 9: 10, \\ & 10: 9, \\ & 12: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $49: 6,57: 8$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 72: 9, & 73: 1, \\ 74: 2, & 74: 8, \end{array}$ |
| access | 12:9, 15:19, | $68: 24,73: 23$ | 74:18, 74:24, |
| 41:24, 44:11, | 15:21, 24:23, | agree | 75:24 <br> allowable |
| 45:2, 47:22, | 28:22, 31:18, | 35:17, 35:21, | $6: 22$ |
| 48:13, 48:25 | $\begin{array}{lll} 33: 5, & 37: 7, \\ 37: 9, & 50: 22, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 14, \quad 67: 23, \\ & 68: 7 \end{aligned}$ | allowed |
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| allows | answers | apollo | approved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9:10, 24:5 | 6:21 | 63:5 | 64:22 |
| almost | antenna | apologize | approximately |
| 61:15 | 67:10, 71:18 | 39:8, 69:22 | 59:21 |
| along | any | appeal | architect |
| 17:17, 20:10, | 6:19, 8:1, | 51:14, 75:5, | 38:23 |
| 21:2, 26:25, | 8:21, 12:5, | 75:6, 75:7, | area |
| 61:10 | 12:7, 12:12, | 75:13 | 16:19, 18:6, |
| already | 27:24, 29:13, | appeals | 21:21, 23:14, |
| 10:8, 11:20, | 30:23, 30:25, | 75:6, 75:13 | 23:15, 24:6, |
| 12:2, 20:6, | 36:6, 36:8, | appear | 26:3, 26:15, |
| 22:9, 32:11, | 36:11, 36:12, | 13:5 | 26:19, 27:15, |
| 66:9, 67:25, | 40:10, 43:18, | appearance | 27:16, 30:1, |
| 68:10 | 47:5, 50:17, | 56:9 | 35:3, 39:13, |
| also | 50:22, 55:18, | applicable | 45:6, 49:11, |
| 3:15, 5:17, | 57:2, 57:16, | 64:12, 67:17 | 50:4, 52:9, |
| 11:20, 16:25, | 57:22, 59:25, | applicant | 55:23, 57:21, |
| 20:13, 22:9, | 60:3, 60:7, | 3:7, 8:4, 8:14, | 59:1, 59:2, |
| 23:7, 26:2, | 62:4, 62:6, | 9:3, 25:9, | 60:10, 60:13, |
| 26:22, 32:9, | 63:13, 63:19, | 30:24, 36:7, | 60:19, 60:23, |
| 35:20, 40:19, | 65:6, 65:9, | 36:13, 52:2, | 61:18, 66:10, |
| 45:3, 45:5, | 66:16, 67:14, | 55:22, 60:8, | 69:3 |
| 51:24, 63:6, | 68:14, 68:17, | 62:18, 69:5, | areas |
| 67:22 | 68:21, 69:4, | $70: 1,73: 3$ | 17:2, 18:3, |
| alter | 70:2, 72:14, | applicant's | 18:4, 18:9, |
| 9:12 | $73: 6,74: 3$, | 7:25, 8:10 | 18:15, 22:11, |
| alternative | 75:18, 78:6 | applicants | 22:22, 26:13, |
| 16:25, 19:20 | anybody | 67:6 | 27:7, 52:17 |
| although | 35:8, 56:16 | application | arethere |
| 28:6 | anymore | 5:2, 14:25, | 30:25 |
| always | 62:1 | 15:3, 24:10, | argument |
| 11:23, 34:4 | anyone | $33: 10,33: 14$, | 52:4 |
| amount | 5:11, 5:13, | 33:17, 33:19, | around |
| 34:5, 51:7 | 7:24, 25:7, | 34:12, 34:25, | $31: 2,37: 20$ |
| analysis | $25: 8$, $30: 24$, 37 | 35:20, 64:1, | $43: 16$ |
| 19:1, 19:8, | $\begin{array}{ll} 30: 24, & 47: 4, \\ 63: 13, & 69: 24 \end{array}$ | 64:5, 67:24 | arrow |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 19: 19, & 19: 24, \\ 22: 16, & 22: 25 \end{array}$ | $74: 3, \quad 75: 14$ | applications | 11:11, 11:14 |
| 22:16, 22:25 anchor | anything | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 33: 7, \quad 33: 10, \\ & 35: 14 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { art } \\ & 60: 24 \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| 30:16 | 9:21, 24:2, | appreciate | asked |
| annual | 30:22, 50:7, | 36:1, 36:4, | 33:9, 35:21, |
| $67: 20$ | 75:24 anyway | 64:2, 68:19 | $68: 15$ |
| another 16:23, 17:25, | 74:12 | approach $22: 19$ | 6:18, 13:14, |
| $19: 12, \quad 24: 22,$ | anywhere | approval | $35: 21,45: 18 \text {, }$ |
| $30: 10, \quad 44: 10,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 14,17: 16 \\ & \text { apartment } \end{aligned}$ | $33: 19,67: 23$ | $72: 13$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 47: 20,67: 2 \\ & \text { answer } \end{aligned}$ | apartment $49: 14$ | approve $\text { \| } 33: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { assert } \\ & 52: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
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| brothers | can't | cdlt | choose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:6, 5:3, 45:19 | 6:24, 8:24, | 77:12 | $32: 12, \quad 45: 3$ |
| buffer | 11:23, 13:18, | cell | chosen |
| 49:22 | 14:2, 15:15, | 34:4, 34:6, | 32:12, 32:13, |
| bugher | 34:13, 39:5, | 34:7, 34:8, | 45:3 |
| 1:25, 77:2, | 46:11, 50:22, | 59:20, 60:19 | church |
| 77:12 | 51:25, 55:10, | cellular | 54:24 |
| building | 60:9, 61:2, 72:7 | 30:1, 30:3, | circle |
| 29:21, 29:24, | cannot | 39:13 | 20:2, 61:18 |
| 46:10, 53:6, | 35:19, 51:17, | centered | circles |
| 53:25, 67:2 | 51:19, 68:25 | $42: 9$ | $18: 1$ |
| buildings | capacity | centers | citizen |
| 49:14 | 23:8, 62:17 | 23:19 | 3:16, 6:19, 7:2 |
| built | capital | certain | citizens |
| 34:8, 34:23, | 5:3, 25:1, | 8:5, 44:2, | 6:19 |
| 35:19 | 30:14, 31:8, | 74:14, 74:15 | city |
| business | 32:15, 32:18, | certainly | 49:13 |
| 30:13, 31:18, | 32:20, 34:2, | 32:11, 34:20, | civil |
| 31:19, 37:7, | 65:8 | 48:16, 50:14 | $38: 24,39: 3,$ |
| 37:9 | carrier | certificate | $39: 6,39: 9,$ |
| button | $\begin{array}{ll} 28: 12, & 33: 17, \\ 33: 18, & 34: 27 \end{array}$ | $77: 1, \quad 78: 1$ | $39: 11, \quad 39: 16,$ |
| 6:12, 6:15 | $33: 18, \quad 34: 22,$ | certified | $40: 11$ |
| C | 35:22, 35:23, | 11:9 | claggettsville |
| cad | $66: 17$ | certify $65: 25,77: 2$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 60: 12, & 60: 14, \\ 60: 25, & 61: 1 . \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38: 8, \quad 38: 12 \\ & \text { call } \end{aligned}$ | carriers | $78: 4$ | $61: 21,61: 22 \text {, }$ |
| $5: 11,6: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 8, \quad 23: 9, \\ & 23: 16, \quad 23: 20, \end{aligned}$ | chain-link | $62: 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7: 24, \quad 15: 9, \\ & 15: 13, \quad 28: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $24: 7, \quad 30: 9,$ | 56:5 chance | clarification $35: 6,36: 2,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29: 25, \quad 31: 6, \\ & 31: 7, \quad 36: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 9, \quad 41: 21 \\ & \text { case } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 9, \quad 8: 11, \\ & 8: 14, \quad 9: 17, \quad 9: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 4 \\ & \text { clarify } \end{aligned}$ |
| $36: 24,56: 13,$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 1: 5, & 6: 1, & 6: 2, \\ 7: 20 . & 8: 10 . & 9: 2 \end{array}$ | change | 62:13 |
| $57: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 20, ~ 8: 10, ~ 9: 2, \\ & 9: 3, ~ 9: 10, ~ 9: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 17, \quad 25: 15 \\ & 26: 4 \end{aligned}$ | clarifying $61: 20$ |
| called 5:12, 7:1, 7:2, | 13:15, 13:18, | changes | clear |
| $7: 25,8: 3,9: 2,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 19, \quad 14: 2, \\ & 21: 4, \quad 22: 3, \end{aligned}$ | 25:15, 55:8, | 23:6 |
| $60: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 4, \quad 22: 3, \\ & 22: 11, \quad 22: 16, \end{aligned}$ | 55:10, 56:8 | clearly |
| calvert | $23: 1,25: 25$ | characteristics | 17:25 |
| 40:4, 63:1 | 26:17, 26:21, | 17:22, 20:14 | clock |
| came | $31: 2,34: 23,$ | charles | 75:2, 75:10 |
| $6: 23$ | $38: 7,49: 21,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 25 \\ & \text { check } \end{aligned}$ | close <br> 11:18, 43:22, |
| camera | 51:13, 52:3, | $64: 13, \quad 68: 1$ | $43: 24,64: 7,$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 9: 25, & 10: 1, \\ 15: 16, & 62: 14, \end{array}$ | $52: 21,65: 3$ | checked | $69: 1,69: 2$ |
| $65: 11,69: 19,$ | $78: 7$ | 9:1 | 74:20, 75:17, |
| 69:20, 69:21, | cases | chief | $75: 20, \quad 75: 21$ |
| 69:25, 70:5 | 6:20, 75:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 10 \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ | closer $11: 2,17: 15$ |
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| closes | committees | concur | considering |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75:12 | 24:13 | 74:17 | 51:11 |
| closest | common | condition | constant |
| 42:18, 44:7, | 50:23 | 33:25, 34:25, | 21:1 |
| 44:25, 49:20 | communication | 35:18, 65:5, | constraints |
| closing | 49:11, 56:5, | 65:6, 65:15, | 44:8, 44:24 |
| 63:23 | 66:17, 71:19 | 65:19, 65:21, | construct |
| co-location | communications | 66:5, 66:9, | 5:3 |
| 24:4, 24:10, | 33:24, 39:14 | 66:13, 66:14, | constructed |
| 24:21, 33:6, | company | 66:19, 67:7, | 37:19 |
| 33:10, 33:19, | 32:8, 32:15, | 67:18, 68:12 | construction |
| 34:1, 34:3, | $32: 16,32: 24,$ | conditional | 48:8 |
| 34:5, 34:12, | 37:13 | 33:8, 40:25, | consultant |
| 34:25, 35:15, | comparison | 67:20 | 6:18 |
| 35:20, 35:22, | 20:24, 21:1 | conditioned | consulting |
| 67:23 | compiled | 34:3 | 29:21, 29:25 |
| com | 13:15 | conditions | contact |
| 5:21, 71:3 | complete | 64:3, 64:5, | $66: 23, \quad 70: 12$ |
| combinations | 64:1 | 64:11, 68:4, | contingent |
| 17:4 | completely | 68:6, 68:8 | $67: 23$ |
| come | $58: 3, \quad 68: 25$ | conducted | continue |
| 10:13, 13:4, | compliance | 1:11, 2:1 | 32:23, 40:14, |
| 28:7, 33:6, | 56:23, 57:4 | conducting | $44: 23$ |
| 49:16, 54:19, | complicated | 13:14 | contracted |
| 54:20, 71:14 | 51:15 | confirm | 32:24 |
| comes | complication | 45:18, 74:15 | contracts |
| 49:23, 70:10, | 47:21 | confirmed | 32:14, 32:16 |
| 70:18 | comply | 45:17 | corner |
| coming | 67:19 | conform | 41:22, 45:11, |
| 47:9, 48:9, | compound | 68:13 | 53:3, 53:5 |
| 48:11, 49:11, | 41:14, 41:17, | conformance | cornfield |
| 70:7 | 41:18, 42:9, | 66:2 | 38:9 |
| comment | 42:13, 55:9, | confusing | correct |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24: 19, \quad 72: 19 \\ & \text { comments } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 22, \quad 57: 10, \\ & 66: 9, \quad 66: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $24: 16,51: 25$ <br> confusion | 23:21, 27:20, |
| 72:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 9, \quad 66: 22, \\ & 66: 23 \end{aligned}$ | confusion $35: 5,35: 12$ | $27: 23, ~ 35: 16$, $40: 20, ~ 40: 21$, |
| commercial | concentrating | conservation | 42:3, 42:15, |
| $45: 20$ | $11: 25$ | $64: 15,64: 23$ | 42:16, 45:21, |
| commit | concern | consider | 46:1, 46:2, |
| $34: 22$ | 10:12 | $51: 17,64: 4$ | 46:25, 48:22, |
| committed | concerned | consideration | 53:2, 53:23, |
| $34: 20,34: 21$ | 18:24, 22:10 | 19:3, 44:10, | 58:11, 58:12, |
| committee | concerns | 47:19, 64:2 | 59:4, 59:8, |
| 24:15, 24:21, | 9:9, 10:13 | considerations | 64:15, 72:9, |
| 33:11, 33:13, | concluded | 17:19, 43:25, | 72:17, 73:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33: 16, \quad 35: 14, \\ & 67: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $76: 4$ | $54: 12$ <br> considered | 73:18, 77:3 corrected |
| committee's | $63: 20$ | 17:1, 50:11 | 73:7, 74:10, |
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| directed | 9:19, 9:23, | dropping | durham's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27:18, 54:11 | 12:4, 12:6, | 22:8 | 55:12 |
| directly | 12:16, 12:18, | due | during |
| 14:23, 46:21, | 12:25, 13:2, | 12:2, 18:8, | 6:20, 59:19, |
| 46:24, 65:8 | 13:6, 13:13, | 27:18 | $74: 9$ |
| director | 14:9, 36:23 | durhaj@gmail | E |
| 5:6, 8:25, 9:6, | donohue's | 5:21 | each |
| 12:19, 13:9, | 5:14 | durham | 6:7, 21:2, 54:3 |
| 24:12, 33:9, | double | 3:16, 4:5, | earlier |
| 35:4, 35:18, | 64:12 | 5:15, 5:19, | 33:6, 69:14, |
| 45:16 | down 16 | $8: 12,8: 16$, | 71:11, 71:12, |
| disagrees | 11:23, 16:18, | 8:19, 8:22, | 75:2 |
| 9:21, 75:14 | 22:8, 54:19, | 9:17, 9:20, | early |
| discourage | 54:21, 72:5 | 9:25, 10:2, | 8:13 |
| 57:19 | dps | 10:7, 10:11, | easier |
| discussions | 66:1, 67:21 | 10:21, 10:23, | 43:9 |
| 30:11 | draw | 11:1, 11:7, | east |
| disorders | 17:20 | 11:11, 11:16, | 44:12, 53:6 |
| 11:24 | drawings | $12: 5,12: 13,$ | ed |
| display | 4:20, 40:19, | $\begin{array}{lr} 25: 7, & 25: 11, \\ 25: 12, & 25: 13 \end{array}$ | 3:8, 5:7, |
| $66: 23$ | $40: 23,41: 3,$ | $25: 12, \quad 25: 13,$ | 13:13, 28:11 |
| distance | $41: 15,42: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 6, \quad 26: 24, \\ & 27: 10 . \\ & 27: 12 \end{aligned}$ | effect |
| $10: 12, ~ 42: 14$, $48: 11$, | drive <br> 17:17, 28:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 10, \\ & 27: 24, \\ & 28: 12, \end{aligned}$ | 16:22 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 48: 11, & 48: 13, \\ 48: 17, & 48: 21, \end{array}$ | driveline | $28: 3,45: 24,$ | effective |
| 49:12, 49:15, | 54:12 | 46:10, 46:11, | 23:19 |
| 50:10, 50:11, | driven | 47:6, 47:8, | effects |
| 51:6, 68:24 | 59:3 | 47:9, 47:24, | $51: 23,52: 18$ |
| district | driver | $\begin{array}{lll} 48: 3, & 48: 5 \\ 49: 2, & 49: 25 \end{array}$ | either |
| 60:13 disturbance | 44:5, 54:11 drivers | $50: 3, \quad 50: 9$ | 50:18 |
| disturbance | drivers | $51: 1, \quad 51: 4,$ | electronically |
| $47: 10$ disturbed | 17:7 drives | 51:10, 51:12, | 78:4 |
| disturbed $45: 6$ | drives $22: 2$ | 52:6, 52:12, | elevation |
| dizziness | 22:2 | 52:24, 53:2, | 20:4, 20:7, |
| 11:24 | 10:24, 11:2, | 53:4, 53:11, | 20:10, 22:9, |
| doing | 47:11, 47:24, | $53: 14, ~ 53: 21$, 53.24, $55: 15$, | $25: 15, ~ 26: 2$, $26: 8,26: 14$, |
| 6:13, 34:22, | 48:5, 48:7, | 53:24, 55:15, | $\begin{array}{lll}26: 8, & 26: 1 \\ 27: 3, & 27: 6\end{array}$ |
| 49:10, 70:5 | 48:9, 48:14, | 55:17, 58:18, | 27:14, 41 |
| donahue | 48:17, 48:25, | $\begin{array}{ll} 58: 20, & 59: 18, \\ 59: 24, & 60: 6, \end{array}$ | $41: 25,42: 5$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 10, \quad 5: 7 \\ & \text { done } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:3, 49:5, } 53: 1 \\ & \text { driveways } \end{aligned}$ | $60: 9,60: 22,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 10 \\ & \text { elevations } \end{aligned}$ |
| 16:17, 16:23, | 47:12, 47:19, | $\begin{array}{ll} 61: 1, & 61: 6, \\ 61: 9, & 61: 22, \end{array}$ | elevations $26: 18,38: 13$ |
| 57:10, 73:22, | 47:20 driving | 62:5, 62:7, | eliminate |
| $73: 24$ donohue | driving $24: 4,54$ | 62:9, 68:14, | 58:3 |
| 3:8, 5:6, 8:2, | 54:5, 54:6, | 68:16, 68:21, | elkridge |
| 8:25, 9:14, | 54:8, 54:11 | 68:23 | 28:24 |
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| ```else 7:24, 25:7, 28:4, 34:14, 75:24 email 4:17, 5:17, 5:20, 56:22, 65:4, 71:1, \(71: 3,71: 5,75: 3\) employed 77:7, 78:6 encompass 61:18 engineer 9:4, 15:5, 15:10, 29:5, 38:23, 38:24, 39:1, 39:3, 39:6, 39:16 engineering 16:17, 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 40:12, 62:19, 63:5, 63:7, 63:14 engineers 9:4 enlarge 43:2 enough 50:17 enter 38:10 entire 43:14 entrance 47:11, 52:25 entrex 37:12, 40:19, 45:17 equipment 55:9, 66:9, 67:2 errors 72:1 esq 3:8, 3:9 essentially 16:21, 18:5,``` | 18:8, 18:16, 18:25, 19:10, <br> 19:14, 19:19, <br> 19:24, 20:22, <br> 20:23, 26:4, <br> 50:19, 51:2 <br> established <br> 49:10, 50:16 <br> ethical <br> 13:21 <br> ethics <br> 14:2 <br> even <br> 18:23, 22:19, <br> 26:1, 51:5, <br> 52:14, 59:1 <br> ever <br> 39:24, 62:21 <br> every <br> 27:14, 27:15, <br> 67:18 <br> everybody $43: 9$ <br> everything $6: 5, \quad 14: 20$ $22: 20,49: 11$ $59: 11, \quad 72: 2$ <br> evidence $63: 24,75: 18$ <br> exacerbate $12: 3$ <br> exactly $44: 1$ <br> examination $8: 8$ <br> examiner's $65: 2$ <br> example $\begin{aligned} & 18: 14, \quad 20: 1, \\ & 26: 1 \\ & \text { except } \\ & 52: 24, \quad 68: 10, \\ & 75: 19 \end{aligned}$ <br> exception $67: 19$ <br> exchange $4: 17$ <br> excused $28: 3,28: 6$ | 31:2, 74:7 <br> executive <br> 37:9 <br> exemption <br> 64:16, 64:22, 64:23 <br> exhibit <br> 4:14, 4:15, <br> $4: 16,4: 17$, <br> 4:18, 4:19, <br> 4:20, 4:21, <br> 11:6, 11:8, <br> 16:4, 16:6, <br> 16:7, 16:8, <br> 32:2, 35:10, <br> 38:1, 38:2, <br> 40:23, 41:9, <br> 41:11, 41:16, <br> 42:2, 42:4, <br> 42:22, 42:25, <br> 43:1, 45:15, <br> 45:16, 46:11, <br> 46:20, 52:11, <br> 53:9, 55:24, <br> 56:2, 60:22, <br> 64:20, 64:23, <br> 64:25, 73:15, <br> 74:11, 75:19, <br> 75:22 <br> exhibits <br> 32:1, 33:12, <br> 40:25, 41:5, <br> 69:10, 74:10 <br> existing <br> 17:3, 19:2, <br> 19:18, 22:17, <br> 30:6, 46:20, <br> 46:24, 47:12, <br> 52:22, 54:21 <br> experience <br> 9:9 <br> expert <br> $\begin{array}{ll}29: 1, & 29: 5, \\ 38: 16, & 38: 18, \\ 39: 25, & 40: 11, \\ 40: 15, & 40: 16, \\ 62: 12, & 62: 17, \\ 62: 19, & 62: 21,\end{array}$ | ```63:13 expertise 29:2, 56:18, 64:6 experts 64:7 explain 14:18, 19:8, 20:18, 32:11, 36:24 explanation 40:18 express 7:3 expressed 9:9 extensive 16:16 extent 22:25, 34:8 external 17:2 \\ faa \\ 67:11 \\ facilitating \[ 33: 25 \] \\ facilities \[ \text { 19:2, } 38: 8 \text {, } \] \[ 39: 14,41: 23 \] \\ facility \\ 5:4, 30:2, \\ \(30: 3,30: 15\), \\ 42:13, 53:19, \\ 55:2, 67:7 \\ facing \\ 52:15 \\ fact \\ 44:10, 45:1, \\ 45:10 \\ factor \[ 20: 13 \] \\ fairly \\ 74:14, 74:15 \\ fall \\ 50:14, 61:2 \\ far \\ 17:12, 18:24,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
January 12, 2023

| 19:4, 20:6, | 56:5, 56:6, | 20:23, 21:5, | fit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20:13, 20:18, | 58:9, 68:11 | 39:5, 52:7, | 21:15, 30:4 |
| 21:11, 21:20, | fenced | 54:20, 58:17, | five |
| 22:2, 22:10, | 41:18 | 69:14, 73:23 | 23:9, 23:16, |
| 27:6, 27:14, | few | firstenergy | 41:20, 66:6 |
| 44:9, 44:12, | 5:22 | 16:25, 19:1, | fix |
| 49:15, 50:9, | field | 19:8, 19:9, | 73:12 |
| 50:25, 52:7, | 38:10, 51:3 | 26:1, 26:19 | flat |
| 55:1 | figure | firstly | 25:23 |
| fatigue | 75:10 | 37:17 | focus |
| 11:25 | filipovic | fischer | 11:25, 57:23 |
| fcc | 4:10, 7:7, | 36:14 | foliage |
| 51:15, 67:11 | 7:10, 7:14, | fisher | 52:22 |
| feasibility | 7:16, 7:22, | 4:8, 14:9, | folks |
| 16:17, 68:24 | 69:11, 69:12, | 14:12, 24:24, | 32:11, 43:24 |
| feasible | 69:13, 69:17, | 24:25, 25:4, | follow-up |
| 19:16, 21:12, | 69:20, 70:5, | 25:5, 31:7, | 23:5 |
| 22:18, 23:19, | 70:24, 71:2, | 31:9, 31:10, | following |
| 26:14, 30:8 | 71:7, 71:9, | $31: 16,31: 19$, | 19:5 |
| feature | 71:12, 71:17, | 32:8, 32:19, | follows |
| 62:2 | 71:25, 72:12, | 32:22, 32:24, | 9:2, 44:2 |
| federal | 72:16, 72:20, | 33:21, 33:24, | foot |
| 51:10, 52:5 | 72:24, 73:15, | $34: 2,34: 13$, | $26: 9,41: 22,$ |
| fees | 73:17, 73:19, | 34:17, 34:19, | $41: 23,42: 5$ |
| 67:20 | 74:4, 74:7 | 35:2, 35:12, | $46: 4,52: 20$ |
| feet | filipovic's | $35: 17,35: 24$, | football |
| 10:20, 18:10, | 69:24 | $36: 1,36: 4$, | $51: 3$ |
| 18:16, 18:20, | final | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 7, \quad 36: 8, \\ & 36: 10 . \quad 36: 16 \end{aligned}$ | force |
| 20:5, 21:6, | 68:18, 68:21, | $\begin{array}{ll} 36: 10, & 36: 16, \\ 36: 20, & 58: 14 \end{array}$ | $24: 4$ |
| 21:8, 21:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 23, \quad 69: 4 \\ & 69: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 36: 20, & 58: 14, \\ 58: 15, & 58: 16, \end{array}$ | foregoing |
| $\begin{array}{ll}21: 15, & 21: 16, \\ 21: 18, & 21: 19,\end{array}$ | $69: 5$ <br> financial | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 15, \quad 58: 16, \\ & 58: 21, \quad 59: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 77:3, 78:3 |
| $21: 18, ~ 21: 19$, $21: 22, ~ 22: 7, ~$ | financial $77: 8,78: 7$ | $59: 8,59: 13,$ | forest |
| $21: 22, ~ 22: 7$, $22: 8,22: 12$, | find | 59:17, 59:19, | 64:14, 64:22, |
| 22:13, 22:14, | 19:12, 30:2, | 59:23, 65:7, | 64:23 |
| 22:21, 23:15, | $31: 25,45: 16$, | 65:10, 65:12, | fork |
| 44:7, 45:7, | 54:17, 61:25, | 65:17, 65:20, | formalities |
| 45:25, 46:3, | 72:7 | $65: 22,65: 24,$ | $8: 5$ |
| 46:5, 46:17, | fine | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 3, \quad 66: 7, \\ & 66: 12, \quad 66: 20, \end{aligned}$ | format |
| 48:16, 48:18, | $15: 15$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 66: 12, & 66: 20, \\ 66: 25, & 67: 4 . \end{array}$ | 5:23, 6:10 |
| 48:21, 48:22, | finish | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 25, \quad 67: 4, \\ & 67: 8,67: 12, \end{aligned}$ | fortunately |
| $49: 3, ~ 49: 9, ~$ $49: 16, ~ 49: 20, ~$ | 23:25, 55:11, |  | 18:8 |
| $49: 16, ~ 49: 20$, $49: 21, ~ 50: 1$, | 62:8 | $68: 9,68: 15,$ | forward |
| $49: 21, ~ 50: 1$, $50: 15,517$ | finished |  | 17:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 50: 15, \quad 51: 7, \\ & 55: 7, \quad 59: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 31:3, 55:15 first | $73: 5, \quad 73: 8, \quad 76: 3$ | forwarded |
| fence | 13:19, 15:9, | fisher's | 64:10, 65:3 |
| 41:19, 42:9, | 15:20, 20:5, | 36:13 | four $23: 8,71: 25,$ |
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| 72:10 | 14:4, 15:1, | 27:6, 27:14, | guess |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| frame | 21:12, 29:14, | 28:3, 34:14, | 9:14, 23:11, |
| 47:13 | 37:15, 41:13, | 34:20, 35:5, | 33:5, 43:24, |
| frederick | 49:22, 75:5 | 41:15, 43:16, | 49:7, 52:7, |
| 31:20, 40:3 | given | 48:18, 48:25, | 58:13, 59:18, |
| front | 27:21, 44:8, | $50: 3,52: 19$, | 73:4 |
| 9:10, 41:22, | 44:24, 55:10, | 54:3, 54:8, | guest |
| 41:23 | 55:23, 58:1, | 54:16, 54:18, | 7:9 |
| full | 58:6, 75:3 | 54:20, 54:23, | guidance |
| 42:12 | gladhope | 54:25, 55:3, | 54:10 |
| functional | 45:19 | 55:7, 57:19, | guys |
| 25:18 | go | 61:12, 65:2, | 69:14, 71:21, |
| further | 8:18, 9:4, | 69:8, 70:10, | 71:22, 72:1, |
| 26:7, 26:9, | 14:18, 14:23, | 70:17, 73:1, | $72: 2,72: 3,72: 8$ |
| 26:11, 26:15, | 16:2, 16:14, | 73:22, 75:2 | H |
| 26:22, 26:25, | 18:18, 23:25, | gone | hand |
| 27:4, 27:8, | 25:12, 25:22, | 9:17 | $6: 13,6: 14$ |
| 27:9, 27:13, | 29:12, 31:23, | good | $10: 3, \quad 12: 19,$ |
| 50:22, 60:4 | $\begin{array}{ll} 36: 9, & 36: 14, \\ 30.8 & 11.10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 6, \quad 5: 10,7: 4, \\ & 13: 6,18: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $15: 23, \quad 18: 10,$ |
| future | $\begin{array}{ll} 39: 8, & 41: 12, \\ 43: 4, & 43: 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 6, \quad 18: 17, \\ & 31: 10, \quad 31: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 20:24, 28:16, |
| 23:20, 42:8 | 43:4, 43:5, | 31:10, 31:11, | 37:1, 69:11, |
| G | 47:8, $48: 16$, | $36: 25,48: 10,$ $50: 23$ | 69:23, 72:6, |
| gaps | $\begin{array}{ll} 49: 15, & 55: 15, \\ 55: 25, & 60: 10, \end{array}$ | governed | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 10 \\ & \text { handling } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18: 3, \quad 18: 7, \\ & 21: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 64:12, $70: 9$, | 75:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { handling } \\ & 13: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| garbled | 70:15, 70:16, | granted | hands |
| 39:5 | $71: 13$ goal | 22:17 <br> graphic | 28:5 |
| garbles | $34: 10$ | $19: 25, \quad 20: 16$ | hannan |
| 6:7, 6:8 | goes | great | 1:10, 3:5 |
| gate | 45:15, 61:7, | 26:1, 43:12 | happy |
| 41:22 | $72: 5$ | greatest | 64:4, 73:12 |
| gave | going | $58: 10$ | hard |
| 71:5 | $5: 12,6: 9,$ | ground | 61:16 |
| general | $6: 25,7: 1,7: 24$ | $5: 23, \quad 20: 7$ | hatched |
| 54:12 | $9: 16, \quad 9: 20$ | $20: 12,27: 14,$ | $44: 20$ |
| generally | $11: 3,11: 4,$ | $38: 13,41: 20,$ | head <br> 61:13 |
| 50:17 <br> geometry | $13: 16,13: 20,$ | $42: 10,45: 22,$ | heading |
| geometry | $13: 22, \quad 14: 4,$ | $56: 9,63: 8$ | heading |
| 22:9, 26:3, | 14:5, 14:13, | group | $41: 24$ |
| 26:16 | 15:1, 16:3, | $5: 3,25: 2,$ | health 10:12, 51:11, |
| george's | 16:10, 17:8, | 30:14, 31:8, |  |
| 40:5 getting | 18:18, 18:19, | 32:15, 32:18, | 51:16, 51:17, |
| getting $32: 4,39: 4$, | 20:19, 21:10, | 32:20, 33:25, | 51:20, 51:22, |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 32: 4, & 39: 4, \\ 52: 10, & 74: 8 \end{array}$ | 21:14, 21:20, | $34: 2,65: 8$ | healthy |
| give | $22: 8,23: 8$, $23: 16, ~ 26: 16, ~$ | growth 65:16 | 50:11 |
| 9:17, 9:20, | 26:18, 27:5, | gue | hear |
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| 7:14, 8:24, 9:3, | 26:17 | 10:18, 32:18 | informal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39:2, 39:5 | hills | idiosyncrasies | 8:4 |
| heard | 20:18, 22:10, | 5:24 | information |
| 55:6, 58:18, | 26:17, 60:15, | immediately | 28:14, 66:23 |
| 59:11, 60:8 | 61:24, 62:3 | 11:14, 46:16 | infrastructure |
| hearings | hilly | impact | 54:18, 54:22 |
| 1:1, 3:4, 62:24 | 17:9, 17:20 | 20:19, 26:18, | injury |
| height | historic | 27:6, 45:4, | 11:20, 12:2 |
| 20:6, 21:6, | 60:13 | 49:4, 49:6, | inquiries |
| 23:10, 23:12, | historical | 51:11, 52:8, | 45:13 |
| 23:13, 23:18, | 69:2 | 56:4, 58:10, | inquiring |
| 25:14, 25:15, | hold | 60:10, 60:16 | 35:13 |
| 44:4, 58:6, | 23:7, 41:6 | impacts | installation |
| 59:11, 66:1 | hole | 17:21, 51:16 | 37:19 |
| heights | 22:20 | impede | installed |
| 18:11, 23:3, | home | 57:19 | 35:20 |
| 38:13 | 59:21 | impedes | intending |
| help | homes | 61:20 | 22:23 |
| 34:15, 56:4, | 60:18 | imply | intent |
| 56:9, 57:23 | honeysuckle | 18:14 | 37:16, 37:18 |
| helpful | 17:17 | important | interaction |
| 8:13, 11:15, | host | 71:19 | 33:7 |
| 36:5, 44:22 | 23:9 | imposed | interest |
| here | house | 64:11 | 77:8, 78:7 |
| 6:24, 17:11, | 10:12, 11:20, | impossible | interested |
| 17:24, 18:1, | 46:17, 46:20, | 57:9 | 30:14 |
| 18:12, 18:17, | 46:21, 46:24, | improvements | interrupt |
| 19:20, 20:24, | 50:18, 52:21, | 21:19 | $6: 7, \quad 23: 24$ |
| 22:15, 25:1, | 69:15 | include | interrupted |
| 28:12, 32:1, | houses | 11:21, 67:18 | $40: 14$ |
| $33: 2,33: 8$, | 54:21, 69:2 | including | intersection |
| 35:5, 36:16, | how's | $10: 15$ | $60: 14$ |
| 42:5, 43:13, | $43: 11, \quad 70: 5$ | incorrectly | introduce |
| 47:21, 51:9, | howard | 72:3 | 12:19, 12:22, |
| 51:18, 59:2, | 40:4 | increased | 13:3, 13:4 |
| 59:12, 62:24, | however | $11: 24$ | invisible |
| hereby | $33: 15,47: 18$, $55: 8$ | increases | $57: 10$ |
| 77:2, 78:3 hereunto | 55:8 | 51:7 indeed | involved |
|  | I | indeed | 60:11, 63:6 |
| 78:9 | idea | independent | irritability 11:24 |
| hi ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 12,48: 11, \\ & 48: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $7: 12$ | ish |
| $15: 17,24: 25$ | identified | indicated | 30:7 |
| $69: 1$ | 20:3, 30:1, | 58:9, 67:25 | issuance |
| hide | 30:3, 30:7, | individuals | $75: 7$ |
| 54:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 17 \\ & \text { identify } \end{aligned}$ | 36:12 industry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { issue } \\ & 26: 4,50: 19, \end{aligned}$ |
| higher | $5: 5,5: 17,$ | $15: 2$ | 65:6, 66:11, |
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 71:18 \& kindly \& landscaping \& left-hand \\
\hline it'll \& 46:9 \& 58:9, 68:11 \& 18:2, 20:1, \\
\hline 43:8 \& know \& lane \& 20:16 \\
\hline itself \& 8:25, 10:10, \& 52:15, 54:8 \& legging \\
\hline 33:14, 42:13, \& 12:20, 24:18, \& lanes \& 42:6 \\
\hline 43:21, 43:23, \& 29:19, 32:11, \& 52:13, 54:7 \& lehigh \\
\hline 64:25, 71:18 \& 34:23, 40:16, \& large \& 39:11 \\
\hline J \& 46:23, 47:20, \& 43:18 \& length \\
\hline january \& 48:10, 50:4, \& last \& 51:3 \\
\hline 1:12, 75:21, \& 50:9, \(50: 21\), \& 15:20, 30:12, \& less \\
\hline 77:13, 78:11 \& \[
51: 25,52: 1,
\] \& \[
35: 19, \quad 40: 25
\] \& \[
23: 18, \quad 23: 19,
\] \\
\hline job \& 52:2, 54:10, \& 67:17 \& 47:10, 49:6, \\
\hline 1:23 \& 54:22, 55:3, \& later \& 51:8 \\
\hline joe \& 55:7, 57:2, \& 17:21 \& let's \\
\hline \[
2: 9,78: 2,
\] \& 57:17, 59:10, \& lattice \& 43:3, 49:14, \\
\hline \[
78: 15
\] \& 59:21, 60:11, \& 30:6 \& 62:11, 70:9, \\
\hline john \& \[
61: 8,61: 23,
\] \& laurallawns@gmail \& 73:22 \\
\hline 3:16, 4:5, \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 64: 13, \\
\& 70: 10, \\
\& 71: 14,
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
71: 3
\] \& letting \\
\hline 5:19, 45:19, \& \[
\begin{array}{ll}
70: 10, \& 71: 17, \\
71: 19, \& 74: 1
\end{array}
\] \& laurel \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 51: 13, \quad 51: 19, \\
\& 52: 3
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
55: 2
\] \& knowing \& \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { 6:24, } 7: 9 \\
\text { laurellawns@gmail }
\end{array}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
52:3 \\
level
\end{tabular} \\
\hline join \(30: 16\) \& 54:1 \& 71:7

laurellawnegmail \& 25:17, 56:9 <br>
\hline joined \& known \& law \& license <br>

\hline $$
\text { 33: } 8
$$ \& 60:12, 60:15, 61:22 \& 51:11, 51:16, \& \[

63: 10
\] <br>

\hline jump \& knows \& 51:17, 52:5 \& licensed <br>
\hline 51:9 \& 24:18, 35:8 \& lawns \& 39:15, 39:19 <br>
\hline jumping \& $\frac{24.18, ~}{L}$ \& 7:9 \& licenses <br>
\hline 51:18 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$\frac{L}{1-a-u-r-e-1}$} \& laws \& 39:20 <br>
\hline jurisdiction \& \& 13:21, 67:19 \& light <br>
\hline 51:21 \& 71:9 \& lawyer \& 44:19 <br>
\hline justification \& label \& 73:24 \& lights <br>
\hline 56:17, 57:3 \& 73:15, 74:11, \& lay \& 67:10 <br>
\hline K \& $75: 19$
labeled \& 54:1
lead \& line

$$
32: 9, \quad 43: 16,
$$ <br>

\hline keep \& 46:19 \& 28:12 \& 44:4, 44:20, <br>
\hline  \& lack \& learned \& 61:2 <br>
\hline  \& 16:21 \& 30:14 \& list <br>
\hline  \& laid \& leasing \& 7:9, 35:8, <br>

\hline $$
75: 9
$$ \& 53:5, 53:12 \& 30:11 \& 40:6, 68:6, <br>

\hline keeps \& land \& least \& 70:6, 70:7 <br>
\hline 47:9, 61:12 \& 18:9, 29:21, \& 44:3, 44:7, \& listening <br>
\hline kept \& 54:1 \& 47:9 \& 7:17, 25:7 <br>
\hline 49:11 \& landlord \& leave \& little <br>

\hline key \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 27: 18, \quad 30: 11 \\
& \text { landlords }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

24: 1, \quad 69: 8 ,

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 15: 2, \quad 18: 13, \\
& 18: 19, \quad 24: 16,
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline $$
62: 2,71: 22
$$ \& \[

30: 5

\] \& lee \& \[

26: 7,28: 13
\] <br>

\hline 49:7, 61:11 \& landscape \& 28:24 \& 37:15, 37:23, <br>

\hline 49:7, 61:11 \& $$
4: 21
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { left } \\
& 41: 24,61: 6
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 42:24, 43:5, <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}
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| ```43:6, 48:3, 49:22, 61:3, 71:18, 74:8, 74:9, 75:16 live 7:16, 73:21 lives 73:25 llc 1:6, 5:3 locate 42:17 located 34:25, 38:3, 38:4, 42:14, 43:21, 44:8, 45:14, 45:24 locating 35:23 location 10:15, 11:18, 17:25, 19:12, 21:24, 22:2, 23:1, 23:2, 26:5, 26:10, 26:13, 27:5, 30:3, 33:1, 35:13, 45:2, 45:3, 45:10, 48:11, 54:2, 59:3, 66:1, 68:25, 73:11, 73:12 locations 26:23, 30:4, 32:14, 37:20, 42:8, 52:20, 54:16, 54:17 logic 50:13, 50:15 long 70:2, 74:9 longer 48:18, 66:16 look 18:22, 19:5, 19:15, 19:23, 20:4, 20:9,``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 26:2, 26:15, | near | 31:1, 36:14, | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:21, 27:4, | 54:23 | 63:15, 74:5 | oath |
| 49:8, 68:24 | nearby | normal | 8:5, 13:4, |
| moved | 16:20 | 75:16 | 29:7, 58:24, |
| 25:16, 26:7 | necessary | normally | 62:15 |
| moving | 23:3 | 74:25 | object |
| 48:24 | need | north | 6:18, 9:11, |
| moxley | 6:17, 13:3, | 30:7, 37:22, | 9:12, 34:24, |
| 17:18, 61:3, | 13:24, 13:25, | 38:6, 44:9, | 35:2, 69:24, |
| 61:5, 61:9, | 15:1, 17:11, | 45:18, 48:16, | 70:1 |
| 61:16, 61:17 | 17:24, 17:25, | $55: 1$ | objected |
| much | 27:22, 30:1, | northbound | 52:2 |
| 5:22, 26:11, | 33:15, 33:16, | 52:13, 52:15, | objection |
| 27:13, 31:4, | 33:17, 36:16, | 54:4, 54:5, | 6:10, 6:16, |
| 43:3, 49:15, | 42:13, 44:2, | 54:7, 54:8 | 9:15, 40:11, |
| 52:21, 61:18, | 45:8, 45:10, | northwest | 63:13, 65:9, |
| 72:13, 75:2 | 47:18, 74:21 | 45:11, 48:21 | 65:18, 65:20, |
| multiple | needed | notarial | 65:24, 66:3, |
| 24:5 | 34:8 | 78:10 | 66:5, 66:7, |
| must | needs | notary | 66:12, 66:20, |
| 39:21, 66:10, | 30:5, 59:3, | 2:9, 78:1, | 66:25, 67:4, |
| 67:9, 68:13 | 59:11, 66:14 | 78:15 | 67:8, 67:11, |
| mute | neighborhood | note | 73: 6 |
| $59: 5$ | 7:17, 7:18 | 6:18, 29:9, | objections |
| muted | neighbors | 29:11, 33:13 | 8:21, 40:13, |
| 7:13, 8:24, | 9:5 | nothing | 60:20, 73:8 |
| 14:5, 25:19, | neither | 6:4, 10:6, | obstructed |
| 59:7 | 77:6, 78:5 | 15:25, 28:19, | 61:24 |
| myself | network | 31:15, 37:4, | obstructs |
| 60:11 | 29:21, 29:24, | 70:23 | 69:2 |
|  | 63:7 | notice | obtrusive |
| name | networks | $\begin{array}{ll} 14: 22, & 33: 12, \\ 33: 13, & 35: 11 \end{array}$ | $50: 18$ |
| 5:6, 5:17, | 63:8 | 33:13, 35:11 notification | obvious |
| 5:19, 10:9, | $30 \cdot 1 \cdot 30 \cdot 3$ | $75: 8,75: 13$ | 52:19 |
| 15:16, 15:17, |  |  | obviously |
| 15:19, 15:20, | 30:8 | nrh | 18:18, 54:15, |
| 28:22, 28:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { next } \\ & 10: 18, \quad 10: 19, \end{aligned}$ | number | 54:25 |
| $31: 18,37: 7$, $37: 8,38: 25$, | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 18, \\ & 17: 10,19, \\ & 17: 23, \end{aligned}$ | 17:18, 26:12, | occupy |
| $70: 25$ | 18:12, 18:25, | 34:7, 38:4, | october |
| narendra | 19:24, 21:8, | 39:20, 49:9, | 33:12 |
| 4:6, 15:13, | 21:13, 21:16, | 49:16, 49:22, | offer |
| 15:17, 15:20 | 22:13, 22:15, | 50:3, 65:15 | $35: 5, \quad 60: 7$ |
| nation | 27:2, 28:10, | numbered | offering |
| 17:7 | $31: 6, ~ 36: 17, ~$ $36: 22$, | 43:15 | 62:16, 63:13 |
| natural | 36:22, 41:25, | numerous | office |
| 20:11 | 56:13, 74:16 none | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 14 \\ & \text { nw } \end{aligned}$ | $1: 1,3: 3,70: 13$ |
|  | 7:25, 8:1, | 15:21 |  |
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| officer | openings | over | 26:5, 26:15, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3:5, 78:2 | 21:8 | 7:17, 9:4, | 26:23, 27:5, |
| official | opens | 12:20, 13:7, | 27:16, 30:21, |
| 6:1 | 18:23 | 17:5, 19:24, | 37:24, 38:3, |
| oh | operation | 46:3, 51:3, | $38: 7,38: 11$, |
| 17:11, 21:3, | 45:5 | 55:2, 61:12, | 39:12, 43:17, |
| 25:6, 36:3, | opinion | 71:14 | 45:9, 49:21, |
| 36:18, 39:7, | 65:2 | overkill | 52:21, 54:11 |
| 70:7, 71:10 | opportunities | 17:4 | parties |
| oklahoma | 24:5 | overview | 5:5, 77:7, 78:6 |
| 62:24 | opportunity | 14:6, 29:15 | partners |
| once | 8:6, 9:6, 9:8, | own | 1:5 |
| 32:12 | 12:14 | 10:15 | parts |
| one | opposed | owned | 16:18, 61:25 |
| 5:14, 5:24, | 50:20 | 48:7 | past |
| 5:25, 6:23, | opposite | owner | 61:14 |
| 19:25, 20:22, | 46:21 | 47:25, 66:15, | pause |
| 25:13, 30:5, | order | 67:6 | 17:11, 22:15 |
| 33:11, 33:14, | 9:12, 33:15, | owners | payment |
| 35:14, 35:15, | $48: 18, \quad 72: 23$ | 48:7 | 67:20 |
| 41:21, 41:25, | ordinance | P | pe |
| 42:21, 43:10, | 44:6, 49:23, | page | $39: 20, \quad 63: 3$ |
| 44:2, 44:5, | 50:8, 50:15, | $4: 2,4: 13,$ | penalties |
| 47:12, 49:8, | 50:21, 50:24, | 35:19 | 10:4, 15:23, |
| 52:6, $56: 5$, | 66:15, 66:18, | pages | 28:17, 31:13, |
| 56:21, 56:22, | 66:22, 67:3 | $1: 24$ | 37:2, 70:22 |
| $60: 16, \quad 67: 16,$ | oronoco | panel | people |
| $67: 17$ | 3:11 | 18:2, 18:10 | $6: 20,8: 3$ |
| one's 54.3 | other | parcel | 14:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 54: 3 \\ & \text { ones } \end{aligned}$ | $6: 6,6: 7$, $27: 25,32: 14$, | 29:16, 44:11, | people's <br> 37:21 |
| 40:7, 49:12 | 36:6, 37:21, | $44: 12$ pardon | percent |
| online | 43:25, 45:7, | 29:10 | 59:21 |
| $68: 5$ | 52:6, 63:19, | part | perhaps |
| only | 64:5, 69:9, | 10:1, 14:25, | 27:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23: 7, \quad 48: 21, \\ & 60: 70 . \\ & \hline 5: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $72: 5, \quad 72: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 1, \quad 24: 20, \\ & 17: 19, \quad 24, \end{aligned}$ | perjury |
| $60: 20, \quad 75: 17,$ | otherwise | $29: 15,29: 24,$ | $10: 4, \quad 15: 23,$ |
| 75:22 open | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 8,78: 7 \\ & \text { out } \end{aligned}$ | $42: 11,49: 2,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 17, \quad 31: 13, \\ & 37: 2, \quad 70: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18:9, 55:23, | $11: 1, \quad 30: 12,$ | participant | permit |
| $62: 3, ~ 69: 8$, $74: 12, ~ 75: 17$, | $32: 14, ~ 32: 16, ~$ $32: 25,53: 5$, | $70: 6, \quad 70: 7$ | $6: 17$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 74: 12, \quad 75: 17, \\ & 75: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}32: 25, & 53: 5, \\ 53: 12, & 53: 14,\end{array}$ | participation | person |
| opened | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 12, ~ 53: 14, \\ & 53: 17, ~ 75: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 1 \\ & \text { particular } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 23,6: 25, \\ & 21: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18:20 | $75: 15$ | $16: 19,17: 19,$ | pfic |
| opening $12: 16,14: 6$, | outcome | $18: 9,18: 15,$ | 67:23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12: 16, \quad 14: 6 \\ & 15: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 77:8, 78:8 outside | $22: 11,24: 6,$ | phone <br> 10:19, 59:20 |
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| photo | 17:10, 17:23, | possible | 12:14, 14:18, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37:13, 38:1, | 18:12, 25:6, | 23:9, 23:12, | 25:10, 31:3, |
| 38:3, 38:4, | 28:9, 28:16, | 43:25, 45:4, | 63:20 |
| 38:5, 38:6, | 28:21, 31:11, | 58:2, 58:3, | presented |
| 38:7, 38:11, | 31:17, 37:1, | 58:10 | 9:5, 64:7, 66:2 |
| 38:14, 40:18, | $37: 6,38: 19$, | possibly | presents |
| 52:12, 52:13, | 62:14, 65:11, | 43:2 | 9:3 |
| 52:14, 52:16, | 69:18, 70:25 | posted | pretty |
| 52:19, 53:9, | plot | 31:25 | 52:18, 52:21, |
| 54:10, 55:4, | 18:13 | potential | 57:1, 61:17 |
| 71:23, 71:24, | plots | 20:3 | previously |
| 73:7 | 16:22, 17:1, | power | 62:23 |
| photograph | 17:6, 19:13, | 19:2, 19:15, | pricing |
| 60:23 | 22:1, 22:22, | 19:19, 20:5, | 60:18 |
| photos | 26:19 | 20:6, 20:23, | primarily |
| 4:16, 31:24, | plus | 21:5, 21:6, | $43: 19$ |
| 32:10, 32:25, | 61:18 | 21:7, 21:9, | prince |
| 38:12, 52:8, | point | 21:11, 21:19, | 31:20, 40:5 |
| 52:12, 71:25, | 22:2, 31:20, | 22:6, 26:20, | prior |
| $72: 1$ | 52:4, 52:16, | 41:22 | 34:22, 62:23, |
| picture | 53:16, 75:15 | powerpoint | 64:10, 65:15 |
| 10:23, 53:4, | points | 9:5, 13:14, | private |
| 54:3, 54:13, | 18:24, 56:17 | 13:23, 14:1, | 47:20 |
| 61:16 | pole | 14:6, 14:10, | probably |
| pictures | 19:3, 20:5, | 14:14, 14:15, | 34:14, 48:1, |
| 54:2, 72:10 | 20:6, 20:24, | 15:8 | 48:18, 53:23 |
| pink | 21:5, 21:6, | practically | problem |
| 11:14 | 21:7, 21:9, | 50:25 | 6:11, 11:16, |
| place | 21:11, 21:20, | practice | 19:25, 66:19, |
| $54: 20$ | 22:6, 23:18, | 39:13 | 70:24, 72:20, |
| plan | 26:9, 26:20, | practicing | 72:25 |
| 4:19, 4:21, | 50:3, 54:2, | 39:12 | problems |
| 41:17, 42:20, | 68:25 | predominantly | $11: 21,11: 22$ |
| 43:14, 56:23, | poles | 37:20 | procedure |
| 57:2, 57:3, | 16:25, 19:15, | prefer | $9: 1$ |
| 57:18, 59:15, | 19:19, 26:1, | 9:3 | proceed |
| 64:15, 64:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 9,51: 25 \\ & \text { nonilatar } \end{aligned}$ | preliminary | $8: 2,17: 23$ |
| $64: 25$ | populated | $8: 1$ | proceeded |
| plans | $50: 4$ | prepare | 9:15 |
| $55: 8, \quad 58: 1$ | population | $37: 13$ | proceeding |
| planted | $50: 7$ | prepared | 17:10 |
| $38: 9$ | position | 14:17, 38:4, | proceedings |
| play | 11:1, 32:18, | 38:7, 38:14, | 4:3, 9:12, |
| 20:13 | 42:7 | 40:19 | 62:22, 76:4, |
| please | positively | present | $77: 4, \quad 78: 4$ |
| $5: 5,9: 25$ | $69: 13$ | $3: 15,13: 14,$ | process |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 10: 2, \quad 15: 16, \end{array}$ | possibility | $63: 23$ | 30:2 |
| 15:19, 15:22, | $57: 17$ | presentation | processes |
|  |  | 8:15, 12:9, | 30:5 |
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| professional | 73:21 | pulled | 29:13, 30:23, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73:20, 73:24 | proposal | 38:1 | 30:25, 36:7, |
| prohibit | 19:13, 34:3 | pulling | 36:8, 36:12, |
| 60:12 | proposed | 60:21, 60:22, | 47:5, 49:7, |
| prohibited | 16:17, 18:6, | 68:4 | 52:7, 55:16, |
| 13:12, 13:21, | 18:10, 18:25, | purple | 62:5, 62:6, |
| 51:10 | 19:20, 20:25, | 11:14 | 68:15, 74:3 |
| project | 21:2, 21:22, | purpose | quick |
| 9:4, 13:15, | 26:21, 28:13, | 32:7, 32:10, | 58:18 |
| 14:6, 29:21, | 30:17, 34:9, | 34:6 | quickly |
| 45:6 | 59:12, 64:4, | pursuant | 6:17, 61:12 |
| prolong | 64:5 | 2:9 | R |
| 51:22 | proposing | put | rad |
| prompting | 35:18, 48:19, | 44:9, 56:7, | 23:19 |
| 6:21 | 59:2, 67:14 | 56:22, 68:20, | radiofrequency |
| propagation | protection | 72:25, 73:10 | $15: 5,15: 10,$ |
| 4:15, 15:14, | 58:2, 58:25 | putting | $62: 19,63: 14$ |
| 16:4, 17:21, | provide | $53: 18$ | radiological |
| 20:14, 23:19 | 16:19, 18:17, | $\mathrm{Q}$ | $63: 5$ |
| proper | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 12, \quad 22: 14, \\ & 23: 3, \quad 27: 7, \end{aligned}$ | qualifications | raise |
| $73: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 3, \quad 27: 7, \\ & 28: 13, \quad 32: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $38: 21,38: 23$ | $6: 12,10: 3$ |
| properly $72: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 13, \\ & 55: 21, \\ & 59: 15, \end{aligned}$ | qualified | 15:22, 28:16, |
| 72:5 | $66: 10$ | 29:12, 38:18, | $31: 11, \quad 37: 1$ |
| 30:4, 43:15, | provided | 38:20, 62:21, | raised |
| 50:25 | 19:3, 19:14, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 63:16 } \\ & \text { qualify } \end{aligned}\right.$ | rather |
| property | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 10, \quad 58: 9, \\ & 77: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $29: 1,29: 2$ | $9: 11, \quad 32: 12$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll}10: 19, & 10: 20, \\ 11: 10, & 11: 14,\end{array}$ | provider | $29: 4, \quad 40: 13$ | rd |
| $11: 10, ~ 11: 14$, $11: 17, ~ 26: 25$, | 22:18 | qualifying | 75:22, 78:10 |
| 27:9, 27:15, | providers | 40:11 | reaction |
| 27:16, 27:19, | 66:6, 66:10 | question | $6: 12$ |
| 30:7, 30:10, | provides | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 12, \quad 23: 11, \\ & 23: 23, \quad 23: 25, \end{aligned}$ | reactions $6: 14$ |
| $30: 15, \quad 30: 21,$ | 21:22 | 26:6, 31:23, | read |
| $37: 21,38: 9$ | providing | 33:5, 35:12, | 15:16 |
| $43: 14$, $43: 17$, $43: 15$, | 24:6, 66:5 <br> proximity | 47:14, 48:2, | ready |
| 43:17, 43:18, | proximity | 48:4, 48:23, | 32:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 43: 19, \quad 43: 22, \\ & 44: 1,44: 4, \end{aligned}$ | 10:14, 11:18 public | 49:2, 49:25, | real |
| 44:8, $44: 9$, | 2:9, 6:5, | 52:7, 53:10, | 58:17 |
| $44: 13,45: 1$, | 37:21, 37:23, | $55: 6,56: 22$, $57: 23$ | really |
| 45:11, 45:18, | $47: 22,75: 25$ | questions | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 18, \quad 17: 24, \\ & 21: 1, \quad 22: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| 45:20, 46:15, | $78: 1, \quad 78: 15$ | $6: 3, \quad 8: 7,12: 5$ | $23: 1, \quad 25: 23,$ |
| $47: 13$, $47: 25,22$, $48: 7$, | published | 12:8, 12:12, | $48: 23, \quad 50: 22,$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll}47: 25, & 48: 7, \\ 52: 17, & 52: 23,\end{array}$ | 64:6, 75:9 | 23:5, 24:2, | 66:14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 52: 17, \quad 52: 23, \\ & 55: 2, \quad 61: 12, \end{aligned}$ | pull $10: 17, \quad 16: 6$ | 25:8, 25:9, | realty |
| $71: 13,71: 21,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 10: 17, & 16: 6, \\ 55: 24, & 68: 6 \end{array}$ | 27:25, 28:4, | 59:19 |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
January 12, 2023

| reason | 75:21, 77:3 | repetitive | residence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33:6, 48:3, | record's | 21:14, 22:6 | 11:19, 42:18, |
| 59:2, 72:7, | 75:17 | replace | 45:14, 45:24, |
| 73:17 | recorded | 56:6 | 46:10, 46:12, |
| reasonable | 77:4, 78:5 | replacement | 69:15 |
| 54:16 | recording | 41:7 | residences |
| reasons | 5:25, 6:4, 6:5, | report | 10:15, 16:20, |
| 11:19, 45:11 | 77:5 | 4:18, 60:22, | 17:17, 26:25, |
| rebooting | red | 64:7, 64:10, | 42:15, 46:4, |
| 70:6 | 18:1, 61:2, | 65:15, 67:24, | 50:12 |
| rebuttal | 61:18 | 68:4, 68:7 | residential |
| 55:12, 63:19 | redirect | reporter | 43:19, 44:7, |
| receive | 55:13, 55:19, | 6:2, 6:9, | 45:1, 49:20, |
| 29:25, 69:9, | 59:25 | 70:14, 70:17, | 50:18, 50:25 |
| 69:10, 74:13, | redo | 78:1 | resources |
| 75:18 | 72:4 | represent | 19:2 |
| recent | reduce | 54:2 | respectively |
| 65:3 | 23:17 | representative | 63: 6 |
| reception | refer | 65:8 | respond |
| $71: 20$ | $53: 7$ | represented | $12: 14,28: 3,$ |
| recommend | reference | $25: 9, \quad 30: 24$ | $70: 2$ |
| 24:9, 34:12 | 17:9, 53:23 | 36:12, 44:18, | responded |
| recommendation | referring | 44:19 | $19: 14$ |
| 34:1, 57:22 | 24:12, 40:25, | representing | response |
| recommendations | 53:13, 58:8 | 29:22 | 57:1, 57:3, |
| 57:17, 58:2 | regard | requested | 57:7, 57:21, |
| recommended | 51:22 | 9:8, 23:15, | 60:7 |
| 55:8, 56:7, | related | 56:4, 56:8 | responsible |
| 68:7 | 56:20, 77:6, | required | $67: 7$ <br> resubmit |
| record $5: 5,5: 7,5: 18$, | 78:6 | 9:25, 16:19, | $73: 9$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll}5: 5, & 5: 7, & 5: 18, \\ 6: 1, & 6: 5, & 6: 14,\end{array}$ | relevant | $17: 5,17: 6$, 33.19, $34: 22$, | resubmitting |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 1, \quad 6: 5, \quad 6: 14, \\ & 10: 9, \quad 11: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $26: 3$ rely | $33: 19, ~ 34: 22$, $46: 4,63: 10$, | resubmitting $73: 7,73: 8$ |
| 14:16, 14:20, | 56:17, 57:8, | 67:10 | revised |
| 15:11, 15:19, | 64:5 | requirement | 75:19, 75:22 |
| 28:22, 31:18, | remain | 33:9, 49:24, | revision |
| 37:7, 37:25, | 20:25 | 63:11, 66:18, | 41:10 |
| 43:1, 46:10, | remember | 67:3, 67:6 | rf |
| 46:11, 53:8, | 11:23, 22:8, | requirements | 9:4, 29:5, |
| 56:1, 62:13, | 57:13 | 44:25 | 45:11, 50:20 |
| 64:21, 65:1, | remembering | requires | rhodes |
| 65:4, 69:8, | $11: 25$ | $49: 19,65: 16,$ | $1: 5, \quad 5: 3$ |
| 70:9, 70:11, | remote | 65:25, 66:15, | ridge |
| 70:15, 70:19, | 5:23 | 66:22 | 5:4, 5:20, |
| 70:20, 71:1, | remove | requiring | 16:21, 20:10, |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 73: 10, & 74: 12, \\ 74 \cdot 20 & 75 \cdot 12 \end{array}$ | $56: 5,66: 15$ | $24: 4,34: 25,$ | $43: 22, \quad 44: 19$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 74: 20, \\ & 75: 17, \\ & 75: 20, \end{aligned}$ | repeat <br> $48 \cdot 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 19 \\ & \text { research } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 21, \quad 71: 4 \\ & \text { right } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 48.4 | 49:10 | 10:3, 11:12, |
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| series | 48:19, 49:5 | signs | 42:20, 43:13, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:23 | shortly | 7:17 | 43:21, 43:23, |
| serve | 16:24, 75:12 | sim | 43:24, 44:1, |
| 23:14, 26:15 | shot | 38:4 | 44:6, 44:8, |
| service | 75:1, 75:10 | similar | 44:11, 45:2, |
| 16:19, 21:5, | should | 22:7 | 45:8, 45:9, |
| 22:18, 23:3, | 16:11, 36:19, | similarly | 46:18, 53:20, |
| 24:6, 27:7, | 41:8, 52:11 | 54:22, 55:1 | 56:5, 56:9, |
| 59:10 | show | simpler | 58:4, 68:12, |
| services | 27:3, 54:15, | 48:14 | 72:25, 73:10, |
| 18:24 | 54:19, 55:4 | sims | 73:12, 74:1 |
| set | showed | 32:25, 37:13, | sited |
| 10:15, 17:10, | 10:24 | $38: 1,38: 4,$ | 45:9, 49:21 |
| 21:13, 22:1, | showing | $38: 7,38: 11$, | sites |
| 22:15, 42:12, | 6:14, 7:8, | 38:14, 40:18, | 17:21, 34:4, |
| 48:22, 78:9 | 48:6, 52:8 | 52:16, 52:19, | 34:6, 34:7 |
| setback | shown | 55:4, 71:24 | siting |
| 44:2, 44:25, | 10:13, 11:21, | simulations | 49:18, 49:24 |
| 49:6 | 42:10, 43:14, | 31:25, 32:9, | sits |
| sets | 44:11, 51:7 | 32:10, 54:10 | 53:6 |
| 49:18 | shows | since | situation |
| several | 16:4, 22:22, | 6:3, 39:14, | 51:15 |
| 10:15, 11:19, | 38:2, 41:17, | $63: 7,65: 7$ | six |
| 11:21 | 42:4, 59:19, | single | 20:2 |
| share | 60:23 | 22:18, 27:15, | sixth |
| 11:3, 11:4, | shrubbery | 27:16 | 20:6, 21:11, |
| 14:14, 32:5 | 56:7 | site | 22:6 |
| sharing | shy | 4:19, 16:18, | skipping |
| 16:11 | 61:3 | 17:5, 17:6, | 15:8 |
| shark | side | 17:11, 17:19, | sleep |
| $61: 11$ | $18: 5,19: 25$ | $17: 25, \quad 18: 2,$ | $11: 22,11: 24$ |
| shea | $20: 2, \quad 20: 17,$ | $18: 6,18: 10,$ | sleepless |
| 4:7, 28:11, | $20: 24, \quad 21: 21,$ | 18:13, 18:15, | $11: 22$ |
| 28:13, 28:23, | 41:23, 43:21, | 18:25, 19:21, | slide |
| 29:20 | 44:19, 45:2, | 20:25, 21:2, | 14:24, 17:23, |
| sheet | 52:23, 53:19, | 21:22, 22:21, | 18:12, 18:22, |
| 42:17, 42:19 | 54:13, 54:21, | 23:6, 23:22, | 19:24, 21:7, |
| sheets | 55:1, 61:6, 72:6 | 26:22, 28:14, | 21:9, 21:16, |
| 41:4, 41:15 | sides | 29:15, 32:12, | 22:13, 27:2, |
| sheraton | 19:5, 47:13, | $32: 13,32: 25$, | 52:8 |
| 31:20 | 47:19 | $33: 2,33: 16$, | slides |
| short | sign | $34: 8, \quad 34: 9$, | 17:10, 18:11, |
| $48: 12$ | $66: 23$ <br> signal | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 20, \quad 34: 23, \\ & 35: 1, \quad 37: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 20: 20, & 21: 13 \\ s l o t s \end{array}$ |
| shorten | signal | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 1, \quad 37: 13, \\ & 37: 20, \quad 37: 22, \end{aligned}$ | slots $\text { \| } 21: 10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 48: 21 \\ & \text { shortens } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 49:15, } 50: 9 \\ \text { signature-5tm1q } \end{array}$ | $37: 23,38: 3,$ | small |
| 75:11 <br> shorter | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 13 \\ & \text { signature-bi6ds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 5, \quad 38: 6, \\ & 40: 20, \quad 42: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 46:13, 53:6 soften |
| 48:11, 48:16, | 77:10 |  | 56:6 |
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| software | southwest | standard | streamline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38:12 | 48:17, 53:3 | 50:11, 67:17, | 9:8 |
| solely | space | 67:18 | street |
| 69:8 | 23:18, 23:19, | start | 3:11, 15:21, |
| solemnly | 66:5 | 37:17, 69:16, | 30:10, 45:15, |
| 10:4, 15:23, | spaces | 69:18 | 45:23, 45:24, |
| 28:17, 31:13, | 41:20 | started | 54:19, 54:24, |
| 37:2, 70:21 | speak | 69:14 | 71:1 |
| solid | 6:11 | starting | streets |
| 41:18 | speaking | 18:23 | 17:18, 20:12 |
| some | 12:22 | state | strength |
| 5:24, 11:23, | special | 2:10, 15:19, | 49:15, 50:10 |
| 18:3, 18:4, | 67:18 | 25:6, 28:21, | stroboscopic |
| 18:7, 18:14, | specific | 31:17, 37:6, | 67:10 |
| 19:2, 19:15, | 24:2, 24:10, | 39:18, 39:19, | structurally |
| 21:8, 21:9, | 42:14, 59:3 | 70:25, 78:16 | 30:8 |
| 21:17, 21:18, | specifically | stated | structure |
| 25:24, 35:6, | 34:12, 41:4 | 10:8, 67:22 | 45:23, 49:20, |
| 43:25, 47:18, | speculate | statement | 54:25, 59:12, |
| 48:3, 52:4, | 34:18 | 12:17, 15:1, | 66:1, 66:24, |
| 53:24, 56:7, | spelled | 56:16, 63:23, | 67:2 |
| 69:10, 72:1, | 72:3, 72:4, | 64:20 | structures |
| $72: 7,73: 17$ | $72: 12,73: 16$ | statements | 16:25, 17:3, |
| somebody | spelling | 10:5, 15:24, | $19: 18,22: 17,$ |
| $54: 13,73: 25$ | 72:8, 72:16, | 28:18, 31:14, | $43: 19,46: 8,$ |
| someone | 73:7, 73:9 | 37:3, 70:3, | 50:24 |
| 34:13 | spot | 70:22 | studies |
| something | 23:7, 61:14 | states | 10:14, 16:17, |
| 8:9, 69:12, | square | 52:5 | 51:6 |
| 70:17, 73:22 | 45:7 | stay | study |
| sorry | st | 25:17, 36:19 | 15:14, 16:23 |
| 6:7, 6:9, | $40: 4, \quad 62: 25$ | stick | stuff |
| 11:22, 12:7, | staff | 31:2 | 73:21 |
| 23:24, 24:14, | 4:18, 55:6, | still | subject |
| 25:21, 39:4, | 55:8, 56:18, | 18:20, 21:8, | $8: 5,30: 15,$ |
| 39:7, 55:14, | 57:2, 60:22, | 21:9, 21:15, | 38:8, 46:15, |
| 75:4 | 64:4, 64:6, | 21:17, 22:20, | 47:25, 48:7 |
| sorts | 68:3, 68:4, | 25:17, 58:24, | submit |
| $17: 4, \quad 20: 11$ | 68:6, 68:20 | 62:15 | 14:20, 34:4 |
| south | staff's | stop | submitted |
| $37: 22,38: 5,$ | 64:2 | 6:17, 19:6, | 14:25, 33:11, |
| $45: 2, ~ 46: 15$, $47: 24$, $48: 5$, | stage | 38:15, 44:14, | 63:25, 64:3 |
| 47:24, 48:5, | 18:25 | 61:12 | submitting |
| 52:23, 53:1, | staked | storm | 62:18 |
| 61:10 <br> southbound | 11:1, 53:14 | 53:18 | subsequent |
| 52:13, 52:1 | stakes | straight | 16:22, 18:11, |
| $54: 6,54: 7,54: 8$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 53: 14, & 53: 17, \\ 53: 18, & 53: 24 \end{array}$ | 61:13 straightforward | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 20, ~ 21: 10, \\ & 22: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
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| suffer | symptoms | technically | 13:1, 14:8, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:2 | 12:3 | 47:17 | 15:4, 16:15, |
| suffice | T | telecom | 31:2, 36:13, |
| 26:10 | t-c-g | 1:5, 5:2, 25:1, | 47:5, 51:19, |
| sufficient | 72:5 | 30:14, 31:8, | 55:13, 56:25, |
| 66:10 | take | $32: 14,32: 18$, | 57:9, 58:8, |
| suggest | 12:9, 13:16, | 32:20, 34:2, | 60:7, 61:20, |
| 9:15 | $13: 22,13: 25$ | 65:8 | 63:19, 69:9, |
| suitable | $\text { 14:1, } 15: 13,$ | telecommunication | 69:24 |
| 30:3 | 16:3, 19:15, | 5:4, 66:22 telecommunicatio- | testing 15:19 |
| suite | $32: 6,47: 19,$ | telecommunicatio- | 53:19 <br> text |
| 37:9 | $52: 17, \quad 56: 16$ | ns $50: 17,66: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { text } \\ & 6: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| suited | 74:10 | $50: 17,66: 16$ | texting |
| 23:2 | taken | telephone | $6: 19$ |
| sunday | 10:23, 10:24, | 41:23 | thank |
| 75:21 | 52:13, 52:14, | tell $29: 18,38: 19$ | $5: 9,5: 22,$ |
| supply $45: 19$ | 54:14, 78:3 taking | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 18, \\ & 38: 22, \\ & 36: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 9, \quad 5: 22, \\ & 7: 15, \quad 7: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| support | 51:19, 69:24 | 51:21, 61:4, | 7:23, 11:15, |
| 17:1, 17:6, | talk | 61:16, 70:9, | 12:11, 12:14, |
| 23:16, 66:1, | 25:14, 27:9 | 75:5 |  |
| 66:24, 67:1 | talked | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { telling } \\ \text { 13:20 } \end{array}$ | $23: 4,28: 8,$ |
| supposed | $26: 8$ | 13:20 <br> tenant |  |
| 14:20, 14:21, | talking | tenant $30: 16$ | 31:4, 31:5, |
| $53: 17$ sure | 26:24, 48:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 16 \\ & \text { term } \end{aligned}$ | $32: 21,33: 3,$ |
| sure | 49:4, 58:25, | term | $36: 5,36: 15$, |
| $8: 16, ~ 15: 20$, $16: 16, ~ 29: 17$, | 71:24, 73:11, | 60:24 <br> terrain | 36:21, 40:17, |
| $16: 16, ~ 29: 17$, $31: 19, ~ 32: 8$, | 73:15 | terrain 17:8, 17:9, | 40:18, 42:11, |
| $\begin{array}{ll}31: 19, & 32: 8, \\ 38: 22, ~ & 40: 15,\end{array}$ | tall | 17:8, 17:9, $17: 20,18: 8$ | 44:23, 45:12, |
| $\begin{array}{lll}38: 22, & 40: 15, \\ 47: 22, & 50: 16,\end{array}$ | 41:19, 42:6, | $17: 20, ~ 18: 8$, $19: 24, ~ 20: 19$, | 47:1, 47:2, |
| $47: 22, ~ 50: 16$, $57: 15, ~ 62: 12$, | 59:12 | 19:24, 20:19, $25: 24$ | 55:17, 55:18, |
| $64: 19, \quad 65: 12,$ | tc | testified | 56:10, 57:16, |
| 70:12, 73:1, | 35:10 | 39:24, 58:11, | 60:2, 61:19, |
| 73:2, 73:22, |  | 62:23 | 62:10, 62:15, |
| 75:20 | $\begin{array}{ll} 20: 21, & 30: 14, \\ 32: 14, & 33: 22, \end{array}$ | testify | 63:17, 65:14, |
| surrounding | $35: 21,35: 25$ | 5:13, 7:1, | 74:2, 74:6, |
| 17:2, 17:15, | team | $7: 23,8: 9,8: 10$, | themak's |
| 26:3, 42:14, | 12:19 | 8:11, 33:21, | $5: 14$ |
| 60:23 | teams | $34: 13, ~ 38: 21$, $40: 7,52: 3$, | themselves |
| survey | 6:1, 6:10 | $40: 7,52: 3$ $72: 23$ | 5:5 |
| $38: 8$ suspect | teasing | 72:23 <br> testifying | theory |
| suspect $51: 24$ | 40:7 |  | 33:1 |
| suspicion | tech | 38:16, 62:12 | therein |
| 28:6 | technical | testimony | 56:20 |
| swear | 56:18, 64:6 | 4:4, 7:12, 8:5, | thickened |
| 13:9 |  | 12:15, 12:24, | 43:16 |
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| unobstructed | 35:22, 41:21, | 8:20, 13:11, | we 're |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60:15 | 42:7, 59:10 | 25:3, 25:7, | 5:25, 9:25, |
| unserved | verizon's | 32:17, 33:20, | 12:3, 18:23, |
| 18:4 | 35:15, 59:3 | 39:1, 59:6, | 27:14, 27:18, |
| until | versa | 69:16, 74:3, | 31:3, 41:14, |
| 69:23 | 54:5 | 74:21 | 41:16, 43:12, |
| unusual | verses | walk | 47:21, 48:9, |
| 70:17 | 54:7 | 14:9 | 48:19, 48:24, |
| update | version | walking | 54:15, 54:18, |
| 72:9 | 20:17 | 54:13 | 56:1, 58:25, |
| use | versus | want | 63:20, 64:4, |
| 16:24, 19:1, | 26:8, 26:20, | 5:13, 6:11, | 70:2, 70:7, |
| 22:17, 22:20, | 50:11, 54:4 | $7: 1,7: 12,8: 9$, | 70:10, 73:11 |
| 22:21, 27:21, | via | 8:13, 13:9, | we ' ve |
| 29:21, 33:8, | 59:10 | 13:25, 15:9, | 6:20, 56:8, |
| 40:25, 43:20, | vice | 21:13, 23:24, | 57:10, 63:24, |
| 48:9, 49:5, | 54:5 | 30:12, 31:6, | 64:3, 64:11, |
| 49:6, 51:20, | video | 34:19, 36:22, | $66: 9$ |
| 61:25, 66:16, | 36:19 | 38:20, 42:23, | website |
| 67:20 | view | 50:16, 50:23, | $14: 21,14: 25$ |
| uses | 41:25, 54:5, | 51:14, 51:18, | $75: 9$ |
| 57:19 | 54:6, 60:12 | 53:16, 56:13, | week |
| using | views | $56: 15,60: 7$ |  |
| 38:12, 53:22 | 7:3, 60:16, | $64: 17,64: 19,$ | welcome |
| V | 61:20, 61:24, | $69: 12,70: 15,$ | 41:6 |
|  | 61:25 virtually | wanted | $\begin{aligned} & \text { went } \\ & 30: 5,55: 1, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 12 \\ & \text { valid } \end{aligned}$ | virtually | 33:5, 40:15, | 61:14 |
| 51:16 <br> value | visibility | 52:1, 52:2, | weren't |
|  | 32:13, 55:9, | 62:8, 73:21, | 53:17 |
| $59: 21,60$ | 58:3 | $75: 15$ wants | west |
| 69:3 | visible | $7: 2, \quad 9: 21$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 37: 23, & 38: 5, \\ 43: 21, & 44: 9, \end{array}$ |
| various | 16:11, 54:25, $55: 7, \quad 57: 1$ | washington | $45: 22,46: 17$ |
| $37: 20,52: 20$ vehicles | visit | 15:21 | 46:22, 46:24, |
| 17:15 | 56:23, 56:24 | water | 53:19 |
|  | vistas | 53:18 | western |
| vehicular | 56:23, 56:24, | way | 44:19 |
| 17:14 | 57:19, 57:20, | 8:17, 17:3, | whenever |
| verbal | 59:1 | 20:5, 51:8, | 69:14 |
| 74:21 verbatim | visual |  | whereas |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 4 \\ & \text { verizon } \end{aligned}$ | 50:19, 52:8, | 68:5, 72:8 | 49:5, 49:12 |
|  | 56:4, 58:10 | ways | whereof |
| 23:7, 28:12, | visually | $37: 23$ we'll | $78: 9$ |
| 29:22, 30:1, | $50: 19$ <br> voluminous | $7: 20, \quad 29: 6,$ | $32: 13, \quad 40: 16,$ |
| $34: 20,35: 20$, | voluminous $56: 16$ | $29: 11,64: 7,$ | $51: 16,51: 20,$ |
|  | W | 70:16 | 64:13 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wait } \\ & 6: 13,8: 18, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { white } \\ & 53: 6, \quad 53: 25, \\ & 61: 14 \\ & \text { whoever } \\ & 7: 8, \quad 72: 25 \\ & \text { whole } \\ & 8: 18, \quad 10: 6, \\ & 15: 24, \quad 21: 20, \\ & 22: 3, \quad 28: 19, \\ & 31: 15, \quad 33: 17, \\ & 37: 4, \quad 70: 23, \\ & 72: 4, \quad 72: 9 \\ & \text { whoops } \\ & 29: 10 \\ & \text { wireless } \\ & 15: 2, \quad 30: 13, \\ & 41: 21, \quad 42: 7, \\ & 63: 6, \quad 66: 17 \\ & \text { wise } \\ & 48: 12 \\ & \text { wish } \\ & 6: 25, \quad 29: 1 \\ & \text { wishes } \\ & 5: 13 \\ & \text { withdraw } \\ & 9: 14 \\ & \text { within } \\ & 10: 13, \quad 10: 19, \\ & 10: 21, \quad 51: 6, \\ & 59: 19, \quad 60: 19, \\ & 74: 15, \quad 74: 18, \\ & 74: 25, \quad 75: 7 \\ & \text { without } \\ & 18: 2, \quad 59: 12, \\ & 69: 24 \\ & \text { witness } \\ & 7: 11, \quad 7: 25, \\ & 12: 9, \quad 13: 10, \\ & 13: 20, \quad 14: 2, \\ & 14: 7, \quad 15: 9, \\ & 24: 23, \quad 28: 10, \\ & 31: 6, \quad 35: 7, \\ & 35: 8, \quad 36: 22, \\ & 40: 11, \quad 56: 14, \\ & 57: 6, \quad 78: 9 \\ & \text { witnesses } \\ & 5: 14, \quad 6: 19, \\ & 6: 21, \quad 8: 7,10: 2, \end{aligned}$ | 14:1, 14:18, 59:15, 60:4 wondering $48: 12,54: 3$ $72: 8,73: 2$ <br> wood $\begin{aligned} & 41: 18, \quad 41: 19, \\ & 42: 9, \quad 56: 6, \\ & 68: 11 \end{aligned}$ <br> word $39: 5,69: 5$ $72: 2,72: 4$ $72: 6, \quad 73: 12$ <br> wording $73: 20$ <br> words $68: 18,68: 22$ <br> work <br> 19:12, 21:16, <br> 21:17, 32:15, <br> 56:18, 71:13, <br> 71:14, 71:16 <br> works $45: 22$ <br> worried $48: 9,73: 25$ wouldn't <br> 17:10 <br> wrinkle <br> 74:9 <br> write <br> 50:21, 75:1, <br> 75:11 <br> writing <br> 34:21 <br> written <br> 75:7 <br> wrong <br> 51:21 <br> wrote <br> 11:23 | yeah <br> $6: 13, \quad 22: 5$, <br> $29: 17, \quad 36: 23$, <br> $37: 1, \quad 43: 8$, <br> $53: 16, \quad 65: 13$, <br> $69: 13$, <br> $70: 17$, <br> $71: 24,71,7$, <br> $71: 12,72: 6$, <br> $72: 20$, <br> $73: 14$, <br> $73: 17$, <br> $73: 20$ <br> years <br> $39: 14$ <br> young <br> $11: 19$ <br> yourself <br> $64: 4$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 42:7 | 2023 | 46:17, 49:9, | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145 | 1:12, 75:22, | 49:16, 49:19, | 5,000 |
| 42:5, 48:22, | 77:13, 78:11 | $50: 1,51: 5,51: 7$ | 17:16 |
| 50:14, 52:20, | 20678 | 31 | 50 |
| 55:7 | 31:21 | 4:8 | 41:18 |
| 15 | 20872 | 310 | 5150 |
| 4:16, 32:2, | 5:20, 71:4 | 49:21 | 31:20 |
| $32: 3,38: 1$, | 21075 | 32 | 548 |
| 44:16, 48:21, | 28:24 | 4:16, 4:18, | 3:13 |
| 52:11, 53:9, | 217.5 | 60:22 | 56 |
| 73:15, 74:11, | 48:6 | 37 | 4:21 |
| 75:19 | 22 | 4:9 | 59 |
| 150 | 75:20 | 39 | 4:8 |
| 51:7, 51:8 | 22314 | 4:19, 43:1 | 59352 |
| 16 | 3:12 | 4 | 56:17 |
| 4:6, 4:15 | 23 , | 4-foot | 5 g |
| $160$ | 1:5, 75:22, | 42: 6 | 51:25 |
| $48: 16$ 161 | 77:13, 78:10 | 40 | 6 |
| 77:12 | 25 41.5 | 15:21, 20:5, | 60 |
| 180 | 254.2 | $22: 8$ 400 | 4:18 |
| 21:7, 21:16, | 49:3 | 400 $10: 14$ | 6095 |
| 22:13 | 26 | $\begin{array}{ll} 10: 14, & 10: 19, \\ 10: 21, & 49: 12, \end{array}$ | 28:23 |
| 19 | 41:5, 43:25 | $51: 4$ | 6100 |
| 65:2, 65:15 | 27 | 41:4 | 37:9 |
| 1976 | 16:20, 27:1, | 4:20 | 62 |
| 39:10 | 39:14, 43:22, | 42 | 4:6 |
| 1978 | 44:12, 47:11, | 41:9, 41:11 | 6th |
| 39:11 | 48:10, 49:7, | $4234$ | 33:12 |
| 1993 | 53:6 | $15: 21$ | 7 |
| 63:5 | 28020 | 43 | 7-1 |
| 1995 | 5:20 | 4:19, 4:20, | 59:21 |
| 39:14, 63:6, | 28025 | 41:11, 42:2 | 70 |
| 63:7 | 5:4 | 430 | 4:10 |
| 1: | 28062 | 37:9 | 703 |
| 43:25 | 71:3 | 435 | 3:13 |
| 2 | 29 | 45:25, 46:3 | 753 |
| 20 | 4:7, 76:4 | 45 | 42:10 |
| 4:17, 45:16, | 3 | 4:17, 11:15 | 78 |
| 75:22, 77:13 | 3-d | 45.47 | 1:24 |
| 20,000 | 20:17 | 43:17 | 8 |
| 17:15 | 30 | 472921 | 8-foot |
| 200 | 1:13, 69:11, | 1:23 | 41:19, 42:9 |
| 21:9, 21:18, | 74:25 | 48 | 80 |
| 21:19, 22:14, | $300$ | $4: 21, \quad 56: 2$ | 61:6, 61:10, |
| 48:18 | $44: 7,46: 3$ | $4 \mathrm{~g}$ |  |
| 20016 | 46:6, 46:7, | 51:25 |  |
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