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| 9 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: |
| HEARING EXAMINER: No, as we go. <br> MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead. <br> MS. LEE-CHO: Thank you. So Lee-Cho again for the <br> Applicants. I just want to give a brief overview of the <br> project and address a few legal issues that have been raised <br> by the hearing examiner, just some questions that I think <br> we -- it would be clarifying just to dispense with early on. <br> So this project is a conditional use application <br> for a residential care facility for over 16 persons in the <br> R-200 TDR zone. The property is known as Outlot A Block B <br> of Valley StreamEstates subdivision. It's platted as an <br> outlot on platt 23347. It is approximately 5.86 acres and <br> located at the intersection of Dino Drive and Valley Stream <br> in Burtonsville. We are proposing a four-story senior care <br> facility to include 88 independent units, 64 assisted living <br> units -- beds, not units -- and 27 memory care. That's with <br> 133 surface parking spaces. <br> Several legal issues that are questions that were <br> raised by the hearing examiner, one of which dealt with a <br> question regarding a TDR on the zone and whether the master <br> plan, which is the 1997 Fairland Master Plan, required its <br> removal. I did review it, and I don't think that it <br> requires removal. The master plan was trying to address <br> something that under the 1981 Fairland Master Plan, there | relative to the question you had about MPDU <br> compliance, there is a reason why there is not an explicit <br> statement on that issue. Currently DHCA is considering a <br> proposal to create a new moderately priced -- <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Wait. <br> MS. LEE-CHO: I'm just -- well, okay. I don't have <br> to address it but -- <br> HEARING EXAMINER: That's what I want to hear in testimony. <br> MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. Do you want to hear who's <br> going to sign the surety maintenance agreement? <br> HEARING EXAMINER: No. <br> MS. LEE-CHO: No, you don't want to hear that. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Testimony. <br> MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. I thought I might just <br> dispense of that. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: No. <br> MS. LEE-CHO: Then with that, it's fine. I'm <br> flexible. I don't want to testify at all. So for my first witness, I would call Chris Hoard, who is with Distinctive <br> Living. He is president and chief development officer. <br> After Chris Hoard, we would have Chris Palkowitsch. He is <br> our architect and expert in land planning. John Nielson <br> 24 is -- and Chris Palkowitsch is on Zoom as is Chris Hoard. <br> 25 In the room we have John Nielson. He'll be our third |
| 10 | 12 |
| was a number of TDRs is. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Well, wait, this is testimony. <br> So, you know, we're going to have to wait on this for your land planner. <br> My question, except or the master plan, it was also in the past we extinguished the TDRs because they aren't going to be used. <br> MS. LEE-CHO: And that's what I was -- I couldn't <br> find any, you know, reference or recommendation in the master plan to extinguish TDRs when you're doing special <br> exemptions or conditional uses. So I wasn't finding anything like that. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Well, because we don't want the <br> additional development potential. <br> MS. LEE-CHO: Understood, yeah. I think it would <br> be appropriate if there was any land left to develop or if <br> it were dealing with a different property. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Right, okay. <br> MS. LEE-CHO: This is a site where the entire site <br> is being encompassed by the conditional use. And I don't <br> know that you can, by condition, remove a TDR overlay and extinguish it. That's just a concept that I've never heard of before. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. <br> MS. LEE-CHO: The other note I did want to make | witness. He's our landscape architect and urban designer. <br> He's with BKV Group. Next will be Carl Wilson with the <br> traffic group on traffic engineering, and then fifth we have <br> George Draghiceanu also with Distinctive Living to <br> testify -- he's the chief operating officer. And then <br> finally we have Dean Packard, civil engineer in land <br> planning. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, let me just ask <br> everyone who testifies that -- what I'm going to ask you to <br> 0 do, after I swear you in, is state your name, street <br> address, or business address and e-mail. It's really <br> important for us to get e-mails because that allows us to <br> communicate to you much more quickly. Every communication <br> that you submit -- anyone submits to this office has to be <br> copied to everyone else who appears -- not the expert <br> witnesses, but Ms. Lee-Cho and then everyone else who <br> testifies. So I'm going to ask you for your e-mail, too. <br> If for some reason I forget to do that, please make sure you state it. <br> Okay. With that please call Mr. -- <br> MS. LEE-CHO: Chris Hoard. <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Chris Hoard. Okay. <br> MS. LEE-CHO: He's On Zoom <br> HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Please raise your right <br> hand. |


| 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 CHRIS HOARD | 1 Living is to bring excitement, inspiration, meaning and |
| 2 after having being duly sworn or affirmed testified as | 2 impact to the senior living industry by offering deliberate |
| 3 follows | 3 comprehensive care for our residents by providing impactful |
| 4 MS. LEE-CHO: I'm not seeing him. Oh, there he | 4 work environments for our team members and rigorously return |
| 5 is. | 5 -- excuse me, rigorously working towards rewarding returns |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER: You're not -- you're muted, | 6 for our investors. Currently we are in 11 states operating |
| 7 okay. Please state your name, business address, and e-mail | 7 with almost 40 assets under a contract, and we have a |
| 8 address for the record. And can you spell your last name? | 8 pipeline and development of about 12 projects with about |
| 9 THE WITNESS: It's H O A R D, my name is | 9 four to break ground this year. |
| 10 Christopher Hoard. | 10 Q And can you tell us a little bit about sort of why |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Wait. Can you turn your volume | 11 you are in this industry, or how you got started here? |
| 12 up or speak up? | 12 A Certainly. I'll back it up just a little bit. For |
| 13 THE WITNESS: I can try and speak up. Is that any | 13 me , I grew up in a -- my father was a deputy sheriff, and in |
| 14 better? | 14 my early teenage years, moved into construction. Had the |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER: We've never had all these | 15 opportunity to grow up as an 80s kids finding opportunity, |
| 16 technical difficulties. | 16 specifically to work with family which led us, at that time, |
| 17 MR. BOZZONETTI: This is only my second time doing | 17 into senior housing, which was really nursing homes back |
| 18 this. I'm still figuring this out. | 18 then as a place where almost it felt as though you -- you |
| 19 (Discussion held off the record.) | 19 put somebody. You placed somebody. |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Hoard, can you speak again? | 20 And when we hit -- when we had to -- as I began my |
| 21 THE WITNESS: I can. Christopher Hoard. | 21 career or when it was time for me to kind of take off and |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER: That's much better. Thank | 22 decide which way I wanted to go, I got into the construction |
| 23 you. | 23 a little bit more than what our family business was, which |
| 24 THE WITNESS: No problem | 24 was mostly finishes. I became a general contractor. And |
| 25 MS. LEE-CHO: That's not much better. | 25 because we had been around nursing homes, I had a lot of |
| 14 | 16 |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER: We need to -- The last hearings | 1 ends, a lot of people knew who I was, and it allowed me to |
| 2 have gone very well. I don't know why this one is having s | 2 expand with some pretty large companies. Worked all over |
| 3 many -- try this, Mr. Hoard. Can you state your address, | 3 the country. |
| 4 business address, and e-mail for the record, please? | 4 But one of the first projects I ever did was some |
| 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. It's 1 West Main | 5 room turns. In those room turns, I happened to - this is |
| 6 Street, Freehold, New Jersey 07728. | 6 just to kind of set the story of the why so bear with me one |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Did you say your e-mail? | 7 moment. But these room turns, as I came into a room, I was |
| 8 THE WITNESS: I will. It's Chris, C-H-R-I-S at | 8 probably 21, 22 at the time, my dad was a World War II |
| 9 distinctivedev, D-I-S-T-I-N-C-T-I-V-E-D-E-V, dot com | 9 buff, and I noticed on the wall a hat that said U.S.S. |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. | 10 Indianapolis. I knew that story. It was a pretty famous |
| 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. | 11 story. It was battleship that went down during Wordd War |
| 12 THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Lee-Cho. | 12 II. It had 1900 crew men, and 900 went into the water when |
| 13 BY MS. LEE-CHO: | 13 it was hit, and 300 survived. |
| 14 Q All right. Mr. Hoard, can you please give us your | 14 I looked over into this bed and saw a man and asked |
| 15 title and role at the company? | 15 him if he served. He said he did. And then he said - I |
| 16 A Yes. I'm president and co-founder of Distinctive | 16 said on the U.S.S. Indianapolis, he said I did. I said only |
| 17 Living Development and chief operating - excuse me, chief | 17300 survived. I said you're one of the 300 . He said 333 |
| 18 development officer for Distinctive Living. | 18 actually. And for the next couple days, I got to hear |
| 19 Q And how many years of experience do you have in | 19 personal story after personal story of this man who I had |
| 20 senior housing? | 20 never met before, didn't know him, but grew very quickly to |
| 21 A Almost 25 now | 21 appreciate and to really respect him and all he had done. |
| 22 Q And can you give us some background on Distinctive | 22 What that led to is, as we were renovating his room |
| 23 Health Care Distinction Living, its corporate mission, other | 23 and moving him back into his room, noticed himgetting a |
| 24 locations that you serve? | 24 little bit emotional. And I thought, oh my gosh, maybe we |
| 25 A Absolutely. Our mission statement at Distinctive | 25 messed something up, what did we break, what did we lose. |


| 17 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 And turned that into - I turned to him and asked him, you | 1 demand in this area. And with that, we really |
| 2 know, what did we do? Did we mess something up? He said | 2 can make a bigger impact on the lives of the senions in this |
| 3 no. I said, well, how come you're so emotional? And he | 3 space or in the community to allow them to stay closer to |
| 4 said you're the first person in two years to come see me | 4 family so that they didn't have to move too far away from |
| 5 |  |
| 6 of age. But it hit me where I was already had an impact | 6 |
| 7 from this man's stories for the last couple days, | 7 witnesses |
| 8 realized that even as a young contractor who worked in th | 8 interior organi |
| 9 |  |
| 10 | 10 to share that may |
| 11 Fr | 11 Living project, or is there something unique about th |
| 12 always wanting to have some kind of ultimate decision ma | 12 particular building? |
| 13 in how we supported, how we housed, how we respected a | 13 A I think for us |
| 14 | 14 its a larger asset. Its on the larger side for us and |
| 15 So for the last 25 | 15 that we really like, because it brings what we call a full |
| 16 As a company, we're just about three years old actually at | 16 continuum of care. It allows for very nice grounds for the |
| 17 | 17 residents to enjoy. We look at natu |
| 18 | 18 that are going to provide |
| 19 specifically for over 20 years. My partners Joe, George, | 19 probably even more so a peaceful setting that will allow |
| 21 comes down to we do - it is real estate. We are doing | 21 is going to come to - to be one of our most favorit |
| 22 real e |  |
| 23 | 23 |
| 24 to anybody until we actually serve the people who are in 25 that community. | 24 senior projects in varying degrees of unit mix, and here we |
| 25 |  |
| 10 | 1 |
| 2 we're b | 2 service levels that you p |
| 3 tapestry where we all come toge ther and paint a beautiful | 3 A It is. Not only is it a typical, it's probably one |
| 4 p | 4 of our favorites. We don't always have the ability to p |
| $5 \quad$ That's really | 5 in independent living as part of the model. But that's |
| 6 personally drives me but also us as a company, is being able | 6 really important for us. Personally, I have my parents |
| 7 to serve those seniors, their residents, family members and | 7 both - my father has early onset dementia or alzheimer's, |
| 8 those who serve them, which are our team members. So I hope | 8 and my mother is aging. So this is a type community |
| 9 that gives a little flavor of the | 9 specifically that we have looked for for my parents where, |
| 10 Distinctive Living. | 10 although my father needs a lot more care. a lot more |
| 11 Q | 11 attention, a lot more support and time that my mother |
| 12 Burtonsville are generally and the subject site specifically | 12 only doesn't have the energy for, but the capabilities to |
| 13 did Distinctive Living find attractive for one of your | 13 help him with what his needs are today. And then i |
| 14 projects? | 14 turn, hers. So in this type of community setting, my mo |
| 15 | 15 would be able to, and other seniors, aging adults would have |
| 16 saw a need. We think there are seniors who need our help | 16 the opportunity to come in and still live an active |
| 17 It's a high bearing entry market. That's one that we lov | 17 lifestyle, an engaging lifestyle. But as they progress or |
| 18 to participate in specifically because in the high beari | 18 as their needs arise to higher levels, they actually have |
| 19 in the | 19 the chance in this community to not have to be uprooted and |
| 20 process, and not everybody can hang in there during th | 20 moved but actually be close to the loved one and find |
| 21 process. So that's one that we felt we were very good at | 21 additional levels of care that we can provide. |
|  |  |
| $23$ | 23 There is engagement. There is activities. Fitness is a |
| 24 to provide a little more variety to our residents and their <br> 25 family me mbers, and probably most importantly there's a high | 24 very high priority for us and focus on wellness. But even 25 the little things like getting your nails done. This |
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community that we're - we want to build will bring more
activities to allow all the generations places to gather
together as family and really enjoy some of the best years
of their lives.
    Q I know I said final question, but I just thought of
one more. What you said kind of triggered a question.
    So what is the average or typical age entry age for
a community with this mix of levels of service?
    A Depending on-
    Q Yeah, in particular the independent units?
    A So independent living - and George my business
partner is on so he can correct me if I'm wrong. But I
think at the independent level we're seeing average age
around 78 to 80. That starts to go up progressively, 82 to
84 in the assisted living and the memory care.
    MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. Thank you. That is all I have
for him
    HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Does anyone on the
Zoom meeting remotely have any questions for Mr. Hoard.
Again, this is your time for questions. Okay, hearing
none.
    MS. TAYLOR: Yes.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Before you ask the question, can
-- I'm going to have you identify yourself now, because it
gets confusing. Can you just state your -- I'm not putting
you under oath, so don't testify, just ask a question.
    MS. TAYLOR: Okay.
    THE COURT: But please state your name, street
address, and e-mail for the record.
    MS. TAYLOR: My name is Eileen Taylor. I live at
4320 Valley Stream Avenue in Burtonsville, Maryland. And my
e-mail is taylora74@hotmail.com.
    THE COURT: Thank you. Okay, go ahead.
    9 MS. TAYLOR: My question for Mr. Hoard was he said
10 that there is a need in this community for senior housing.
11 Based on what statistics is that? Because when I was
looking at the census, census.gov, it says the Montana
county Maryland has only 17.2 percent over age 65 , and
Burtonsville only has 13.4 percent. So how is that -- what
are you using to base that assumption on.
    THE WITNESS: That's a great question. Thank you
for it. So we use a -- we have a partnership with Nic data
which is the largest investment data in seniors housing that
provides that. There's a lot of algorithms and everything
else that goes that I'm not smart enough to know, but I do
know how to read the data and input and ask questions.
    So we look at a radius around this specific site
that we're looking at. And for this specific site, within a
-- I believe it was a seven mile radius in the individual
report that I pulled -- the most recent was just a couple
weeks ago -- it provides not only the total population, but it breaks it down by, you know, 65 plus, 75 plus, 85 plus, and then based on those determinations it gives you. it gives you -- after it factors in all the inventory that's currently built or even in the pipeline that's coming on line over the next three years. So it's a forward looking algorithm. And based on those data, currently there is today a demand of almost 600 units -- excuse me, over 600 units for independent living. There is -- excuse me, I'm sorry, 500 units is what it was. 600 units of assisted living, and just under 600, about 580 for memory care. That's what it's based on as well as we pull market studies with larger national groups, and then those market studies that also supports that data 9 can't tell you exactly how that is. What I can tell you is for the last 25 years, I've served in senior living specifically. I feel like. and I might -- I'm over 50 now, and I'm losing some of my -- but I feel like I've listened in the industry reports and conferences, which we attend literally every month for the last 20 years, we've talked about what we call the silver tsunami where the generation,
right, coming into our space. It's very interesting, as you
look at the data, it's very -- it goes from the greatest generation which we're serving and losing now, right? So I looked at a report the other day on another area, and the numbers are, I would say, almost double from that when we see the boomer generation coming in, which is now just starting to happen.

So I think when you say what is the greatest need, I think there's a lot of -- there's a lot of baby boomers. There was recently a report by the Nic Association 1 as well organization that came out early in January said 12 that we'll be nationwide, almost 2500 units is what we'll 3 have to produce by the year 2025 to meet the demand that's 4 coming. By 2030 it's over 8,000 . And so I don't know how 15 to answer the question about, you know, which one's the 16 greatest need, but there is a humongous need.
17 Just to put that in perspective, an average
18 community is 150 units on average, let's say. It's almost
9800 communities that has to be -- would have to be built per 0 year just to maintain that. And right now we probably
average around 50 to 75 a year. So there's an enormous jump that's getting ready to happen.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
HEARING EXAMINER: Anyone else? Okay, hearing
none, Ms. Lee-Cho, do you want to proceed with your next witness?

MS. LEE-CHO: Yes. Let me upon.
4 HEARING EXAMINER: Let the Zoom operator do it. MS. LEE-CHO: I have to do it for this computer.
He does it for everybody else.
HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, okay.
MS. LEE-CHO: I'm not doing it for everyone.
Our next witness is Chris Palkowitsch. He is our architect and land planner, and he is on line as well. I have his resume'.

I think is resume' -- is his resume' already in the record?

MS. LEE-CHO: It is not. I provided it to your
Zoom operator so he can call it up for others as well.
HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Palkowitsch, while we're waiting for the Zoom operator to bring it up, can you please raise your right hand.

\section*{CHRIS PALKOWITSCH}
after having been duly sworn or affirmed testified as follows:
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. It's going to come up. And then I'm going to ask does anybody have an objection to admitting his resume' in the record, but we're going to wait until it comes up.

Please state your name, business address, and e-mail address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Good morning. Thank you. My name is Chris or Christopher Palkowitsch,
P-A-L-K-O-W-I-T-S-C-H, and I work at BKV Group Architects
and Engineers, and my office is located at 222 North Second,
Minneapolis, Minnesota.
HEARING EXAMINER: And did you say your e-mail?
9 THE WITNESS: I did not, thank you. Cpalkowitsch
-- I'll spell it again, P-A-L-K-O-W-I-T-S-C-H and that's at
BKVgroup.com
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Now his resume' is
showing on the screen. Does anyone have an objection to
admitting this as Exhibit 45? Okay, hearing none, Exhibit
45 will be resume' of Chris Palkowitsch. I hope I said that right.

THE WITNESS: You did. Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Go ahead, Ms. Lee-Cho.
BY MS. LEE-CHO:
Q Mr. Palkowitsch, can you state your profession for the record?
A Yes. I am architect and senior design lead.
Q And based on your resume', it's evident that you
have considerable experience and expertise in the design and
development of senior housing projects in particular. Can
you briefly describe your experience?
A Yes. Education first, I've got a Masters in Architecture from Clemson University. A Bachelor Of Science an architecture from the University of Minnesota, and additionally active and continue my education through courses, participation, professional organizations, and through conference attendance. And moreover, I've been on panels or spoken for panels over dozen times in relation to architecture and design.
Q And what is your years of experience?
A I've got 21 years total experience, and 12 years of that focused on senior housing.
Q And can you give us a sense of the type of -- a
14 sampling of your projects and jurisdictions?
15 A Sure. I've worked on over 20 completed senior 16 housing projects across the United States overall, that
17 within the senior realm. That includes age restrictive, 8 active adult, independent living, assisted living, memory 18 care, and skill - and to just pick a few that are quite similar to the Brookstone Project, the Anthology of Tuckahoe, Richmond, Virginia; Anthology of Novi, Novi, Michigan; and (inaudible) in Minneapolis, Minnesota. And those three have similar and there's overlapping relationships but inaudible.

And lastly I worked on two previous Montgomery
County located projects but neither -- some experience but did not complete that. But can I share fromBKV Group that's done a handful of projects within the county as --

HEARING EXAMINER: May I ask, have you ever qualified before a court or an administrative agency as an expert in architecture?

THE WITNESS: I have routinely testified in other jurisdictions that city councils planning commissions or supervisory treasure, but not quite at the state process to become an expert. But that's going to end up for these different jurisdictions.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. MS. LEE-CHO: Based on Mr. Palkowitsch's experience and resume', in particular in the senior housing field, I
would offer himup as an expert in the field of
architecture. I also would like -- well, let me ask you a
further question Mr. Palkowitsch.
Q In your representation of these projects, have you considered -- or does land planning principles come into play when you evaluate projects?
A Yes, absolutely it does. It's part of - go ahead. HEARING EXAMINER: Are you -MS. LEE-CHO: I'm trying to qualify him in land planning as well based on his experience and ability to 25 evaluate land planning principles for projects.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 29 & 31 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I haven't heard any of that. \\
MS. LEE-CHO: That's why I'm asking him to explain further. \\
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. \\
Q So can you specify, when you evaluate a project and design a project, how land planning is -- how you employ land planning in your practice? \\
A Yes. It's part of my standard process to review 10 land planning principle prior to (inaudible) paper. And 11 where we start the project, it's critical to understand there are multiple factors. We review comprehensive plans, we review master plans and how the Zoning Department to 4 understand their goals policies. And then further when 15 reviewing you this, you look at few key items that are 16 really focused on that we look at the local contacts, 17 whether that be historical, with the building offers that 8 the interstate the sight. We look at the local character, 9 we look at connectivity of the site to the general. And that's, you know, automobile, pedestrian, travel paths. And beyond that within like architecture practice, I work on a few different master plans projects and brought this to fruition. One would be the Viking Lakes Project in Eagan, 24 Minnesota that was -- there was Minnesota Viking football 25 training facility that collected apartments and some other
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Department? \\
A Yes, I have. \\
Q And do you agree with the neighborhood delineation indicated in that report on Page 4? \\
A Yes, I do. I generally agree with the delineation. \\
There are a couple of holes I wouldn't that could have also been considered, but they are at a distance that maybe you know, that our project would not be (inaudible). \\
Q All right. What about the other boundaries of the 10 neighborhood delineation? Do you agree with that? \\
A Sure. Ido. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Well -- can I stop you a minute? \\
What were the part that you think could have been included? \\
THE WITNESS: On the east side of the delineation, \\
there are a few homes just by the eastside, prior to getting \\
to those lots, and those white bulbs could have interest in \\
standard (indiscernible) \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I think I know where \\
you're -- are you looking at the southern boundary or the -- \\
MS. LEE-CHO: Eastern I believe. \\
THE WITNESS: The eastern as you come up to -- next \\
as it approaches Sandy Spring. \\
HEARING EXAMINER Okay. Can you pull up exhibit -- \\
what is the staff report? \\
25 THE WITNESS: Is it Exhibit 36? And then it's Page
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
commercial areas or land development, but we were primary \\
planner for the buildings -- \\
HEARING EXAMINER: When you said we, you mean your firm or you? \\
THE WITNESS: I make the effort but the firm as well. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let me ask, is there \\
anyone that objects to qualifying Mr. Palkowitsch as an \\
expert in architecture and land planning? Okay, hearing 0 none, I will qualify him as that. \\
Go ahead, Ms. Lee-Cho. \\
MS. LEE-CHO: Thank you. \\
BY MS. LEE-CHO: \\
Q So proceeding with your testimony, we'll start by I \\
will ask you if you reviewed the applicable portion of the \\
county zoning map and applicable master plan in this case? \\
A Yes, I have. \\
Q What is the property zoning? \\
A It is R-200, and the - depending on what's going \\
on with the transfer of the rights, it's TDR 3. - but, \\
again, we're not (inaudible). \\
Q What is the applicable master plan? \\
A It is the \(\mathbf{1 9 9 7}\) Fairland Master Plan. \\
Q And in the case, have you reviewed planning staff \\
report issued by the Montgomery Land and Planning
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
4, the staff report. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Using this delineation -it doesn't have a -- \\
THE WITNESS: Page 4. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, that's the correct exhibit \\
now. If you could go to the -- I need to have the witness \\
pinned on one side and the exhibit up on the other. There \\
you go. Okay, now go to page -- \\
THE WITNESS: I'll share that we cannot see your video at this point. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Go to page -- no, go -click on the exhibit. You're fine right there. Go to page 13. \\
MR. BOZZONETTI: It's on Page 4.. \\
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, maybe it's Page 5. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: It is Page 5 of the PDF. It's \\
Page 4 from the document, Page 5 of the PDF. There we go. Okay, can you be more specific. \\
MS. LEE-CHO: Is it being shared? Because it's not coming up here. \\
THE WITNESS: It's not being shared at this point. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, this is fine. This is \\
fine. Just leave it there. Okay, now. \\
THE WITNESS: So on the east side, if you can \\
scroll down --
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 33 & 35 \\
\hline 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Just a second. This isn't & 1 Page 70 or Page 83. Those are the plan pages, not the PDF \\
\hline 2 coming out & 2 pages \\
\hline 3 THE WITNESS: We're seeing it. & 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Now, I read, and I didn't look \\
\hline 4 MS. LEE-CHO: You are seeing it now? & 4 at this because, when I went through the staff report, the \\
\hline 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. & 5 land use map in Chapter 4, I think, says it's single-family \\
\hline 6 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. They have it now. & 6 zoning. \\
\hline 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Using this exhibit, where & 7 THE WITNESS: That is correct. It is single-family \\
\hline 8 is the part you would have added? & 8 zoning but, within the Fairland Master Plan, it lists that \\
\hline 9 THE WITNESS: On the east side of the site just & 9 elder homes is one of the key goals of master plan, and it \\
\hline 10 south of Sandy Spring Road. & 10 says the elder housing can be placed within any residential \\
\hline 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Wait, I couldn't understand you. & 11 district with approval. And that further beyond that, it \\
\hline 12 THE WITNESS: There is a wedge of homes that could & 12 has drawings on that page -- if we're able to find it here \\
\hline 13 have been considered between the delineation line and Moose & 13 -- that shows the site that's in this exhibit here. \\
\hline 14 Lodge that's -- & 14 HEARING EXAMINER: Is that the record Page 70? \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER: I don't know where the Moose & 15 THE WITNESS: The record may not, but in the \\
\hline 16 Lodge is. & 16 statement of qualifications here. \\
\hline 17 THE WITNESS: Whoever has the curser, if they could & 17 HEARING EXAMINER: You're looking at the staff \\
\hline 18 move to the right just to the commercial building. That's & 18 report again? \\
\hline 19 the larger building. & 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. \\
\hline 20 MS. LEE-CHO: This is the Moose Lodge & 20 MS. LEE-CHO: So we are \\
\hline 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. & 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Just a second. Don't interrupt. \\
\hline 22 THE WITNESS: So that is the Moose Lodge there. & 22 I want to hear what he says. \\
\hline 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Is that a road there? & 23 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. \\
\hline 24 MS. LEE-CHO: That is Wooden. & 24 HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead. \\
\hline 25 HEARING EXAMINER: No, is the boundary a road? & 25 THE WITNESS: Our Exhibit 7, our statement of \\
\hline 34 & 36 \\
\hline 1 THE WITNESS: The boundary is part of the road, and & 1 qualifications, you can switch to that - \\
\hline 2 that the road curves and then turns back & 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibit 7? \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Why not the houses on & 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. \\
\hline 4 the -- looks like there's some houses down further south on & 4 MS. LEE-CHO: I would -- there is an additional \\
\hline 5 that eastern boundary. Why not down? & 5 exhibit that I provided to the Zoom operator that would be \\
\hline 6 THE WITNESS: The -- that's what I'm suggesting is & 6 easier. \\
\hline 7 sticks homes on the other side that -- & 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Let's just go through this. \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Boundary & 8 THE WITNESS: So this and Page 7 of this. So \\
\hline 9 THE WITNESS: -- further south you switch to the & 9 again, this figure 30, it's from the Fairland Master Plan, \\
\hline 10 townhome developments overall, I feel like those are -- & 10 the site -- our site identified in yellow, and it's listed \\
\hline 11 would be far enough away that -- & 11 as an elder housing use. \\
\hline 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Where the impacts are more & 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is the site on that \\
\hline 13 attenuated? & 13 exhibit identified in yellow? \\
\hline 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. & 14 THE WITNESS: It is, correct. \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Yea. All right, thank you. Go & 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I see that. Okay. \\
\hline 16 ahead. & 16 MS. LEE-CHO: I had -- like I said, I provided the \\
\hline 17 BY MS. LEE-CHO & 17 Zoom operator with another file. It's called Fairland \\
\hline 18 Q Okay. I've lost track where I am & 18 Master Plan relevant -- excerpt to relevant pages. I \\
\hline 19 Turning to the 1997 Fairland Master Plan, what does & 19 believe Mr. Palkowitsch also has that available to him if he \\
\hline 20 the plan recommend in terms of the property? & 20 wants to refer to that. If you want to enter that into the \\
\hline 21 A The plan recommends that for the use of elder homes & 21 record, I have highlighted various pages to indicate \\
\hline 22 on property. & 22 where -- \\
\hline 23 Q Can you direct the hearing examiner to a particular & 23 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Can we display that \\
\hline 24 page in the master plan? & 24 on Zoom? \\
\hline 25 A Yes. It's located in the few different spots, but & 25 MR. BOZZONETTI: I'm trying to pull it up. This \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 37 & 39 \\
\hline 1 document you're referring to? The Fairland Master Plan? & 1 exhibit? Because I don't see 19. No, the other way. Other \\
\hline 2 MS. LEE-CHO: Yes. Those are just various pages & 2 way, scroll down. Okay, that's better. \\
\hline 3 excerpts. & 3 Q So by this image, it looks like it's not within 19 \\
\hline 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Please scroll through it so the & 4 but mostly adjacent to 19? \\
\hline 5 people in the audience. We want exhibits two days in & 5 A That is correct. If you'll see additional \\
\hline 6 advance, because we can't -- we don't have the staffing to & 6 information on that in the way that they got back - the 1 \\
\hline 7 post them on the website, especially this many exhibits. & 7 was an area to identify the development, but there's - with \\
\hline 8 Okay. Why don't you -- before we go through & 8 their drawing, it (inaudible). \\
\hline 9 this, is this all, except for highlighting, is this all from & \(9 \quad\) Q But the Area 19 itself is identified in the master \\
\hline 10 the 1997 Fairland Master Plan? & 10 plan as what type of area? \\
\hline 11 MS. LEE-CHO: Yes, correct. & 11 A An industrial area. \\
\hline 12 THE COURT: Does anyone have an objection to these & 12 Q Okay. And the -- our subject site is zoned \\
\hline 13 pages of the master plan with the highlights being admitted & 13 residential TDR, but it's immediately adjacent to this \\
\hline 14 into the record? Okay, hearing none, I'm going to say & 14 industrial pocket? Is that what you're -- \\
\hline 15 excerpts from Fairland Master Plan, and I will get the & 15 A That is correc \\
\hline 16 exhibit numbers to everyone. We've had so many come in & 16 Q Okay. \\
\hline 17 the last minute, we haven't been able to update the exhibit & 17 MR. SING: There's a technical issue, but can I \\
\hline 18 numbers -- coordinate the exhibit numbers. & 18 object to her using this, because there was a special \\
\hline 19 Okay, Mr. Palkowitsch, do you want to testify about & 19 exception that was granted for this to be elderly care back \\
\hline 20 this? & 20 then, and that was removed like in about 2003 or 2004. Th \\
\hline 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, for just one moment. If you can & 21 \\
\hline 22 volume back up, please, right there where the highlighted & 22 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Let me do \\
\hline 23 portion. So this is Page 30 of the document, and I had & 23 this just for the court reporter. Can you identify your \\
\hline 24 mentioned there's an encouraged use of elderly housing & 24 name, address, and e-mail address for the record, please. 25 MR SINGH: Piara Singh P-I-A-R-A last name \\
\hline & \\
\hline 38 & 40 \\
\hline 1 is saying that elderly housing with special exemptions can & 1 Singh, S-I-N-G-H. E-mail, piara99@gmail.com \\
\hline 2 be located (indiscernible). & 2 HEARING EXAMINER: I didn't get the -- I got P-I-A \\
\hline \(3 \quad\) With that, if we can scroll & 3 and then I didn't get what came after that. \\
\hline 4 There are more that we had shown than one image of the & 4 MR. SINGH: I'll start over. P I A R A 99 at \\
\hline 5 master plan calling up the spike for elderly housing, but it & 5 Gmail.com. \\
\hline 6 in fact goes more in depth with -- if can you scroll down & 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Now I got it. Okay. \\
\hline 7 another few pages -- it goes a little more in depth with a & 7 MR. SINGH: Thank you. \\
\hline 8 few more drawings of what it could be. So we are -- scroll & 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Lee-Cho, do you have \\
\hline 9 down. & 9 any -- he's made an objection, because this used to -- this \\
\hline 10 MS. LEE-CHO: Can you go back up. & 10 was designated as a special exception -- or this did have a \\
\hline 11 THE WITNESS: So just to familiarize ourselves & 11 special exception on it that's since been either expired -- \\
\hline 12 here, there's the site there, the highlighted orange color, & 12 MS. LEE-CHO: Well, that's why we have a new \\
\hline 13 and we are at the edge of the Area 19 for development. & 13 application here. \\
\hline 14 BY MS. LEE-CHO: & 14 HEARING EXAMINER: No, just get to the objection. \\
\hline 15 Q Now, Mr. Palkowitsch, this particular figure -- if & 15 MS. LEE-CHO: I challenge the objection. There's \\
\hline 16 you could scroll up a little bit to the top of the page & 16 no basis for it. I don't know what you want me to -- \\
\hline 17 -- can you just -- what area of the master plan in & 17 HEARING EXAMINER: What I think, Mr. Singh, is that \\
\hline 18 particular is it being identified as part of? & 18 you have a -- that's a good point to raise -- objections go \\
\hline 19 A So within the master plan in the land use area, & 19 to whether the exhibit is relevant. Now, I guess it could \\
\hline 20 it's projecting future uses upon sites. And what they've & 20 go to that, but -- or accurate, and I think that's a good \\
\hline 21 done is they've identified a number of locations throughout & 21 point that you would raise in your testimony. But it \\
\hline 22 the area, and they create sub - and 19 is one of those & 22 doesn't -- this is just a page from the master plan, so I \\
\hline 23 submers that they provided additional information in about & 23 think, at this point, I'm going to let the exhibit in \\
\hline 24 the development. & 24 because it's an official document and then you can raise you \\
\hline 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Can you scroll up on that & 25 your point in the testimony, okay? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 41 & 43 \\
\hline 1 MR. SINGH: Okay. Is there not a more correct, & 1 hold on. I apologize. \\
\hline 2 like, master plan? & 2 In looking at Page 80 to 84, of the master plan and \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER: We're going to hear fromMr. & 3 that image area of 19, what is your observations in terms of \\
\hline 4 Palkowitsch on that I'm s & 4 the site's location relative to the surrounding uses? \\
\hline 5 Mr. Palkowitsch, are you going to testify about & 5 A So what I observed the site was that the - with \\
\hline 6 that & 6 the industrial uses to the west and the residential homes to \\
\hline 7 THE WITNESS: I never tested the use of the & 7 the east, that the planners were thoughtfully using the \\
\hline 8 document that Mr. Singh is speaki & 8 elderly housing as a buffer between the two areas, that it \\
\hline 9 HEARING EXAMINER: You don't have any information & 9 gives you a bigger building in essence that has a \\
\hline 10 on the. I think the -- there's a currently pending master & 10 residential -- has a residential (inaudible) so again \\
\hline 11 plan -- it's not adopted -- from, I think it's 2024. & 11 experience in this master plan review and in other master \\
\hline 12 MR. SINGH: If you look at the lining of 29, like & 12 plan, we did not (inaudible) that the elder housing was \\
\hline 13 that's not how it is anymore. Like that whole area has & 13 often used as a ho \\
\hline 14 changed, like 29 was rerouted around the other side of & 14 Q So you're saying that a use in and of itself could \\
\hline 15 Zimmerman . Everything in that area is changed from where it & 15 serve as a transition between \\
\hline 16 was in '97, including the fact that this exception was & 16 A Correct. Rather than being a traditional \\
\hline 17 removed. & 17 industrial site and having hard edge to single-family homes, \\
\hline 18 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. So the characterization that & 18 it's used as a transitional use in use of the building and \\
\hline 19 it's removed is inaccurate, so I would object to that & 19 the scale between the larger industrial to the smaller. \\
\hline 20 characterization. This is the current master plan, the & 20 Q And -- but the zoning is R-200, so it could have \\
\hline 211997. & 21 gone to a single-family use; however, the master plan \\
\hline 22 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I think what we are just & 22 allowed for special exceptions for elderly housing, is that \\
\hline 23 for the purpose, Mr. Singh, I think you're bringing in good & 23 your testimony? \\
\hline 24 points, but they really go to the merits of the case rather & 24 A That is my testimony. \\
\hline 25 than admitting just this exhibit, because it is a page from & 25 Q Okay. Moving on from the master plan. What is \\
\hline 42 & 44 \\
\hline 1 an official document. So I'm going to let it in. And then & 1 your understanding of the site and surrounding area analysis \\
\hline 2 I think you should point out -- I think I understand what & 2 undertaken by Distinctive Living in determining the \\
\hline 3 you're your point would be in your testimony, but you should & 3 viability desirability of the site for senior living use? \\
\hline 4 bring it out in your testimony. Okay? & 4 A Yes, thank you. The - \\
\hline 5 MR. SINGH: When will the testimony be & 5 HEARING EXAMINER: What exhibit are you talking \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER: That's after the Applicant's & 6 just a second. What exhibit are you talking about? \\
\hline 7 case. So I'm trying to get through the Applicant's & 7 MS. LEE-CHO: I'mjust asking him to testify. \\
\hline 8 witnesses so we can get to your testimony. & 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. \\
\hline 9 MR. SINGH: Okay. & 9 A If we did have that an exhibit, that can be shared. \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER: So please hang in there. & 10 Q We do. There is an aerial? \\
\hline 11 Ms. Lee-Cho, do you have any abbreviations in the & 11 A The Brookstone area map. \\
\hline 12 testimony that we can look at or -- well, let's jut proceed & 12 Q It's what we provided. \\
\hline 13 and try to get as much done so people aren't hanging out all & 13 HEARING EXAMINER: So this is a new exhibit? \\
\hline 14 day. But I'll tell you this, if at some point someone has & 14 MS. LEE-CHO: It's just an aerial view of the -- \\
\hline 15 to leave, please let me know -- someone in the opposition, & 15 THE WITNESS: The bottom center image. \\
\hline 16 please let me know, and we will try to fit you in before the & 16 HEARING EXAMINER: We can't use the staff report \\
\hline 17 end of the applicant's case. But you might want to hear the & 17 surrounding area? \\
\hline 18 applicant's case because then you know what they're saying. & 18 MS. LEE-CHO: It doesn't go up far enough. \\
\hline 19 So anyway, with that, Mr. Palkowitsch, please continue. & 19 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. \\
\hline 20 BY MS. LEE-CHO: & 20 THE WITNESS: So as it's loading, I will speak to \\
\hline 21 Q Okay. So let me see, I lost my place. & 21 it . \\
\hline 22 A Sorry. So after you established this area, the sub & 22 HEARING EXAMINER: Wait, I haven't admitted it yet. \\
\hline 23 area, if you scroll up a couple pages, please. & 23 People have to see it before we can admit it. \\
\hline 24 Q Okay. So, Mr. Palkowitsch, if you could maybe & 24 THE WITNESS: Sure. \\
\hline 25 speak to the conformants of -- no, I don't want to go to & 25 HEARING EXAMINER: This is why we don't allow this \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 45 & 47 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
typically. We get to see why we're not robots. You better get right. You better get all the pictures right. \\
THE WITNESS: The bottom image. \\
MS. LEE-CHO: No, not that one. The bottom center. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Now, you know that we have to \\
adjourn at 4:00? Okay, go ahead, Mr. Palkowitsch. \\
THE WITNESS: All right. So this Mr. Hoard's \\
testimony he spoke to one layer of home second houses, but there's also -- we spoke to demographics -- there's also another lawyer, and it's really on the east side looking at the -- looking at the site in general through those areas I mentioned previously of -- that the connectivity is one example there is (inaudible) that this will -- this site is \\
very connected between area that really creates access for families to visit. Additionally nearby is the UM Medical Center. They have that with a variety of your residents and buildings that that's definite to the site -- the selection of the site, and the shopping districts if you live in Burtonsville as well, (inaudible) you just want pizza, the living residents are able to, you know, go up to be part of the public that the (inaudible). So it's desirable site for a multiple of things. \\
MS. LEE-CHO: All right. We want to just move on to describing the project, and for that, all of the exhibits are in the record already that we'll be referring to.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
plan east side of this as well where those trees are at the edge of Dino Drive. \\
Q So using this exhibit, can you please describe the proposed project? \\
A Yes. So we are proposing primarily a four-story building with - we have a two story centralized resident entry at the east center and within the - within the ways we have the north wing plant - wing it's 88 independent living units. The south wing that's more U-shaped. It's the first floor of that is \(\mathbf{2 7}\) memory care units and then 64 assisted living. Within the site plan, when we're laying out the site, just to give a perspective. The first thing we're procuring where the point of entry can be. We looked - we looked at Dino Drive, we looked at Valley Stream, and the traffic engineer will ask speak to this more, but we found in order to create the best buffer between ourselves and the single-family, we're really with the drive parking toward the plant side to separate ourselves from the homes the best we can. And we have site section we can show if we have to provide additional. \\
Additionally, the site of the building you can see \\
is placed pretty far to the plant door. So we're putting 20 feet from the property. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Wait, you're saying plan nor -- \\
5 you mean plan the top of the plan
\end{tabular} \\
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
THE WITNESS: The top of the page, yes. \\
Q And to that point, where is the industrial area relative to this? \\
A The industrial area is just to the north of - I'm \\
going to direct us to one different exhibit that I think \\
will clarify. This is one of the new exhibits. If you can open up the new exhibit. \\
MR. BOZZONETTI: Which exhibit? \\
MS. LEE-CHO: It's in the download. \\
THE WITNESS: In the share file. The one that your \\
curser that -- the 2024, the second to the leff. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: What is this called? Updated \\
site building sections? \\
THE WITNESS: Yes. Okay. This is the same \\
orientation of the landscape plan we were just reviewing. I \\
will state that the -- \\
MS. LEE-CHO: Can we enter this into the record? \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Does anyone have any objection \\
to having this in the record? Hearing none, I'm going to \\
get you the exhibit numbers updated, but we'll admit it. \\
MS. LEE-CHO: Can we zoom into the building? \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Did you have a pre-hearing \\
statement. \\
MS. LEE-CHO: No. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: That's typically required.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 49 & 51 \\
\hline 1 MS. LEE-CHO: I know. & 1 MS. LEE-CHO: If you zoom at the -- yeah, that home \\
\hline 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So how -- let me -- I'm & 2 at the bottom. \\
\hline 3 concerned about time and the questions we're going to get. & 3 \\
\hline 4 So how many witnesses do you ha & 4 THE WITNESS: So there's a seven foot difference \\
\hline 5 MS. LEE-CHO: We have our traffic engineer and & 5 that our building is depressed would be fully (inaudible) it \\
\hline 6 landscape architect and a civil eng & 6 can continues to increase as you go around. \\
\hline 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Now, why do you have -- is there & \(7 \quad\) Q Can you scroll up a little bit police. Would it be \\
\hline 8 something that M & 8 helpful to see cross section -- what is the cross section \\
\hline 9 landscape plan? & 9 number? Can you scroll up a little bit. Cross section 1. \\
\hline 10 MS. LEE-CHO: Correct & \(10 \mathrm{We}-\) - there is a cross section view that's part of this set \\
\hline 11 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Can we really try to & 11 that cuts through house and the building. \\
\hline 12 move? Unfortunately pulling up these exhibits that aren't & 12 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Pull it up. \\
\hline 13 in the record take time. So I suspect that people are going & 13 THE WITNESS: It's in that separate PDF, so that -- \\
\hline 14 to have a lot of questions from the traffic engineer & 14 it's a PDF. It should be that o \\
\hline 15 MS. LEE-CHO: We can -- & 15 MS. LEE-CHO: Yeah, that one \\
\hline 16 HEARING EXAMINER: Having read their -- the letter. & 16 HEARING EXAMINER: So I'm going to say if we ever \\
\hline 17 So let's keep going but & 17 get to see this exhibit, looks like it's buffering. This \\
\hline 18 MS. LEE-CHO: We can pull that -- pull the traffic & 18 might be a huge exhibit. Okay. Is this what you want? \\
\hline 19 engineer before the landscape architect. & 19 THE WITNESS: This is not the one. \\
\hline 20 HEARING EXAMINER: That would be good. So if we & 20 MS. LEE-CHO: That's not the one \\
\hline 21 could go forward, but just keep in mind we've got a lot of & 21 THE WITNESS: This is the same we just. \\
\hline 22 people and we end at 4:00. & 22 MS. LEE-CHO: The same as before. There it is \\
\hline 23 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay & 23 THE WITNESS: There it \\
\hline 24 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. & 24 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I see the cross -- \\
\hline 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. So as in response to the & 25 this is. \\
\hline 50 & 52 \\
\hline 1 question, the industrial state just to the north, and you & 1 MS. LEE-CHO: So if you scroll down -- \\
\hline 2 can see more clearly here that relationship to some speci & 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Updated site building cross \\
\hline 3 rules (inaudible). & 3 section. Does anyone have an objection to admitting this? \\
\hline \(4 \quad\) One other side note to consider is that from Dino & 4 Okay, hearing none. We'll admit it. \\
\hline 5 Drive to the rear of the site, we drop about one story & 5 MS. LEE-CHO: And if you zoom in to that orange box \\
\hline 6 each to our building site is one of the below. And that & 6 page. \\
\hline 7 site continues to drop off to the back. & 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead, Mr. Palkowitsch. \\
\hline 8 HEARING EXAMINER: So the grading slopes down from & 8 THE WITNESS: Sure. So this a section one where \\
\hline 9 Dino Drive to the forest, the wooded area there? & 9 is the -- where in this instance this is that first pole \\
\hline 10 THE WITNESS: Correc & 10 that's -- that's after you pass or drive on something \\
\hline 11 BY MS. LEE-CHO & 11 street. We got a pool (inaudible) but we have approximately \\
\hline 12 Q And on that topic & 1295 feet from our building to the property line. And then \\
\hline 13 between the single family neighborhood area down to the & 13 you can see from the placement along their property, there's \\
\hline 14 subject site? & 14 an additional 107 seven feet. So we have about 200 feet of \\
\hline 15 A The on the north side that first home that we see & 15 proper, you know, truly between the building and in \\
\hline 16 on Valley Street that has the red line going to to it, our & 16 that -- we place the storm liner (inaudible) to the left for \\
\hline 17 building is about two feet at the (inaudible) two feet below & 17 just additional and truly between the buildings. The top \\
\hline 18 that home. Cut as you continue further back, where section & 18 section really shows what I'm speaking to in that \\
\hline 19 one cuts through, the difference is the home -- the home is & 19 transition. You can see the light back and you can possible \\
\hline 20 seven feet -- the floor of the home -- the first floor of & 20 nature of that and how we continue some of that in the \\
\hline 21 the home is seven feet above the first floor by section one & 21 facade. But truly the function of our much like the \\
\hline 22 and. & 22 function is you know that we can we look to (inaudible) \\
\hline 23 HAERING EXAMINER: Wait. Wait. The floor of what & 23 BY MS. LEE-CHO: \\
\hline 24 home? & 24 Q And can we bring up the perspective drawings and \\
\hline 25 THE WITNESS: If can you zoom & 25 it's Exhibit 27? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 53 & 55 \\
\hline 1 A If we go to 27D. & 1 on Dino Drive. The Dino Drive facade, we were -- we were \\
\hline \(2 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) D. & 2 competing a with couple of factors with wall science where \\
\hline 3 A First, yes. & 3 there's -- within some of the study of this area, there was \\
\hline 4 Q Can you describe what we're looking at in this & 4 mentioned to have a residential field for a facade. But we \\
\hline 5 exhibit? & 5 were also challenged by planning staff that they wanted to \\
\hline 6 A Hold on. My apologies. Scroll up. 27 C is the & 6 us to have a more significant architectural preference. So \\
\hline 7 one. I had the wrong exhibit. So this is the - you're see & 7 we in our -- in our efforts and (inaudible) we we went back \\
\hline 8 Valley Street the drive entry point roughly centered in that & 8 and forth with them with the facades here, and we felt this \\
\hline 9 where you can see the vehicle here, and this is the really & 9 was balancing between the two. Between the two homes that \\
\hline 10 where we see representational of the project on the right & 10 the windows are residential in nature and, again, breaking \\
\hline 11 side. This green really the (inaudible) drive and you can & 11 these up into separate pieces, but then the corner canopy is \\
\hline 12 see how we've broken up this building. There's two primary & 12 something that -- that clearly gets a little bit more of a \\
\hline 13 way in that the two, two-and-a-half story entry in that & 13 residential feel than that is the (inaudible) to that \\
\hline 14 area. I'll show that on next slide. But here you can see & 14 persona. And we would do it would have been a little bit \\
\hline 15 that how -- you know with in between that & 15 below here as well just give it more significant present at \\
\hline 16 frrst (inaudible) project we're quite intentional to make & 16 Dino Drive was something that to get to this agreement with \\
\hline 17 sure that there was enough buffer between that the home and & 17 plan staff. \\
\hline 18 that building broken in multiple forms that we didn't -- we & 18 Q What next exhibit would you like to, maybe the rear \\
\hline 19 wanted to have as much upward in the industrial and & 19 side adjacent? \\
\hline 20 residential that we used building articulation, material & 20 A Yeah, 27B, please \\
\hline 21 change to change the size of the different pieces within the & 21 Q The main entry? \\
\hline 22 building. So on the right-hand-side you can see we got the & 22 A Yeah. So as I mentioned that the main entry \\
\hline 23 grade portions that are brick, and those are about 35 feet, & 23 before, we want to have a clear point of presence and \\
\hline 24 and then we have what we do with (inaudible) and they 25 provided the white -- more light gray portions with about & 24 orientation particularly on senior projects but so people 25 can find their way to the project but then their way to the \\
\hline 54 & 56 \\
\hline 1 another like 35 feet. So we were creating ins and outs so & 1 main entry, so that a number of guests as well. So we have \\
\hline 2 that the -- we were not just a solid building face and that & 2 this two and a half story portion, which I covered for it to \\
\hline 3 further we are -- we provided an architectural feature on & 3 show as our central building entry point and then that \\
\hline 4 the corner of Dino Drive that -- the Dino Drive, if we can & 4 what's the four stories each break off of that. And \\
\hline 5 go to Exhibit 27G now. & 5 additionally to the right side you can see there's another \\
\hline 6 Q I'm sorry, before you leave this exhibit, this & 6 canopy area with the cover trees. That was an additional \\
\hline 7 exhibit doesn't seem to show all of the trees on -- near the & 7 entry into the the building so that they don't necessarily \\
\hline 8 entrance and landscaping that are on our plan sheet. So can & 8 have to go all the way through, you know, to the main every \\
\hline 9 you speak to whether this perspective includes all of the & 9 time they enter (inaudible) \\
\hline 10 actual plantings represented? & 10 I'm speaking in materiality from a moment as well \\
\hline 11 A This is a representational image, so it does not & 11 but we're looking at a gray brick that you're seeing gray \\
\hline 12 show that every planting, but the one piece that is --that & 12 area that are dealing with (inaudible) and other binding \\
\hline 13 we've done so that this exhibit can show more of the & 13 planning. We are we utilize siding the wood sections here \\
\hline 14 building is you can see that we have kind of gathered & 14 that would have the state wood look overall. That's not the \\
\hline 15 transparency to a number of the trees across the project, & 15 material that kind of ties (inaudible) homes and other areas \\
\hline 16 really from the center of the edge all the way to the right & 16 but utilize in that photograph would promote can reduce \\
\hline 17 of that to block that trees that would really - would & 17 maintenance and weather. \\
\hline 18 conceal this building much more, so if we're intentionally & 18 We can see quite a bit of glass throughout these \\
\hline 19 not so that people can see, you know, more so at this & 19 areas particularly at the main entry that we are looking at. \\
\hline 20 moment. & 20 Natural light is very important to the development of senior \\
\hline 21 Q Okay. If we can move on to the -- what did you ask & 21 living experience and that's something that we are very \\
\hline 22 for? 27D? & 22 thoughtfil to integrate everywhere be possible in here. \\
\hline 23 A 27. & 23 Then we do have some other -- the very light gray portion \\
\hline 24 HEARING EXAMINER: D? & 24 also in white in this image, is the -- it is just another \\
\hline 25 THE WITNESS: D as in dog, yes. This is our facade & 25 secondary facade treatment that we saw along the overall \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 57 & 59 \\
\hline 1 perspective view of the project. Then we have a dark bronze & 1 landscape plan. \\
\hline 2 that over here and that over frames a touch of traditional & 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Why does it matter why Dino \\
\hline 3 into but also gives it a clean kind of integrity & 3 Drive is? \\
\hline 4 (inaudible). & 4 MS. LEE-CHO: Well, because that image looked like \\
\hline 5 Q So based on what you've shown us, what in your & 5 it was adjacent to the industrial side, not the Stream \\
\hline 6 opinion is the proposed conditional use and appropriate use & 6 Valley, so I'm confused. \\
\hline 7 adjacent the single-family residential use to the east? & 7 HEARING EXAMINER: I didn't think so. But go \\
\hline 8 A I believe so. I believe we done to - as I spoke & 8 ahead. \\
\hline 9 before of having a buffer between the industrial warehouse & 9 THE WITNESS: This image as you can see, the on the \\
\hline 10 uses and the single-family homes that we are providing a & 10 left side of this plant there is the access point. \\
\hline 11 high transitional design. & 11 Q Do we have a perspective of the building on the \\
\hline 12 Q And what is the height of the building? & 12 industrial side? \\
\hline 13 A It is \(\mathbf{5 0}\) feet, and that's the maximum allowed. & 13 A We do not. \\
\hline 14 Q From this image we're seeing different variations & 14 Q We do not? \\
\hline 15 it seems of pieces of the building at different heights. So & 15 A No. We do have Exhibit 24 would show that. \\
\hline 16 are you saying it's 50 feet at the -- what point? Which one & 16 MS. LEE-CHO: Just want to give you -- \\
\hline 17 are you looking at. & 17 HEARING EXAMINER: I understand. So which one is \\
\hline 18 A One moment. & 18 the industrial side? \\
\hline 19 Q Maybe the four story? & 19 THE WITNESS: Scroll further back, it's bottom \\
\hline 20 A Yes. So from my finished we are 50 feet to the & 20 right there. The west elevation. \\
\hline 21 (inaudible) lines. So as you look at that secondary point & 21 HEARING EXAMINER: The what? \\
\hline 22 of entry, that living entry going up, it's 50 feet to the & 22 THE WITNESS: The west elevation. \\
\hline 23 top & 23 HEARING EXAMINER: I can't see the bottom of the \\
\hline 24 MS. LEE-CHO: Is it hearing examiner clear on the & 24 one on the bottom of this exhibit. \\
\hline 25 two points of entry? & 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's the side facing the \\
\hline 58 & 60 \\
\hline 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. & 1 industrial. \\
\hline 2 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay & 2 MS. LEE-CHO: I have nothing further on elevations \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER: The 2 -- well. one order to -- I & 3 or lands. The only other questions -- \\
\hline 4 don't know what that called canopy on the other side, yes, I & 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Who is going to testify about \\
\hline 5 get it. & 5 lighting? \\
\hline 6 MS. LEE-CHO: All right. & 6 MS. LEE-CHO: Mr. John Nielson. \\
\hline 7 Q Mr. Palkowitsch, are there any othe & 7 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. All right. \\
\hline 8 elevations, images exhibits that you'd like to show? & 8 MS. LEE-CHO: Well -- \\
\hline 9 A Yes, one more. Exhibit 27B would show the rear & 9 HEARING EXAMINER: What were you going to say. \\
\hline 10 facade. & 10 MS. LEE-CHO: Just while I have Mr. Palkowitsch to \\
\hline 11 HEARING EXAMINER: And the rear faces which side? & 11 go through some of the general findings, if we could just \\
\hline 12 What does the rear face on the abutting property? & 12 wait on that until the end. \\
\hline 13 THE WITNESS: It really faces just the Parkland & 13 THE COURT: Well, no, why don't we -- can we say \\
\hline 14 Forest, so this is -- this image is exaggerated that there & 14 does he adopt a findings of staff and the technical staff \\
\hline 15 would actually be -- you would never really see this facade. & 15 report. \\
\hline 16 It would be trees all over the foregrounds here. But we, & 16 MS. LEE-CHO: We can. \\
\hline 17 you know, to just show that, you know, we are creating a & 17 Q So Mr. Palkowitsch, in terms of the zoning \\
\hline 18 four-sided building (inaudible) & 18 ordinance specific standard requirements under Section \\
\hline 19 Q I'ma little confused. I thought -- is Dino Drive & \(1959.3 .32(2)(\mathrm{E})(\mathrm{C})(2)\) of of the zoning ordinance as that's as \\
\hline 20 on the image on the land left? & 20 well as the general necessary findings of section \\
\hline 21 A Dino Drive is - this is rear side of the building & 2159.7 .31 (e), do you have adopt and concur with all of the \\
\hline 22 that Dino Drive - well, that's kind of straight ahead 500 & 22 findings in the staff report as well as as outlined in the \\
\hline 23 feet would be like the way to describe that. & 23 statement of justification? \\
\hline 24 Q I'm sorry. I can't -- & 24 A I do adopt that. I concur with the staff plans. \\
\hline 25 A If you could pull up the second tab you have of the & 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Anything else? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 61 & 63 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1 \\
MS. LEE-CHO: No.
\end{tabular} & 1 HEARING EXAMINER: These are important. Because \\
\hline 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. For those remote that are & 2 they -- \\
\hline 3 appearing in opposition, this is your time you can remove & 3 S. MEYER: If it's easier I can submit it by the \\
\hline 4 that exhibit unless you want -- this is your time to be able & 4 chat, but it's also listed as my participant name \\
\hline 5 to ask questions. And if you want one of the exhibits up, & 5 khater@gmail. And I corresponded with the office of Zoning \\
\hline 6 you can ask for that as well. So does anybody want to ask & 6 and Administrative hearings on e-mail as well, so that \\
\hline 7 Mr . Palkowitsch questions? & 7 should be on record. I was the one who submitted the \\
\hline 8 MS. TAYLOR: Eileen Taylor. I have one question. & 8 written testimony on behalf of the Valley Stream \\
\hline 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Uh-huh. & 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. \\
\hline 10 MS. TAYLOR: When was the aerial photograph taken & 10 MS. MEYER: Yes, of course. Thank you, Mr. \\
\hline 11 that was used in the exhibit? & 11 Palkowitsch for your testimony. I wanted to ask regarding \\
\hline 12 THE WITNESS: I could not speak to that. We & 12 the design of the building, I looked at some of the other \\
\hline 13 developed these documents maybe about two years ago, so & 13 facilities that you mentioned in your testimony and they \\
\hline 14 about 2022 when we started developing this land project and & 14 have a much more residential appearance, and while I \\
\hline 15 it would have been you know from that Google Earth at that & 15 understand that there is sort of dueling architectural \\
\hline 16 point. & 16 facades to work with. We are, you know, an older \\
\hline 17 MS. TAYLOR: From Google Earth, not from your & 17 neighborhood with most of these houses being built in the \\
\hline 18 own -- you did take the picture it is used if Google & 1860 s to 80 s which a very distinctive feel. The industrial \\
\hline 19 earth. & 19 area that you mentioned has a very soviet block field if you \\
\hline 20 THE WITNESS: For the -- from the staff, ye & 20 will cement facades. And because the industrial area will \\
\hline 21 MS. LEE-CHO: I'm sorry, what exhibit was she & 21 really not be as impacted by the visual as the residents \\
\hline 22 asking about? & 22 here, why is it that the design seems to favor that more \\
\hline 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I don't have a number but & 23 rigid rectangular block appearance as opposed to the roofing \\
\hline 24 it was the aerial that was not in the record, probably & 24 that you had in someone, for example, Anthology in Novi \\
\hline 25 Brookstone aerial map. & 25 Michigan which would looked a bit more like houses or like a \\
\hline 62 & 64 \\
\hline 1 MS. TAYLOR: Yes, that one. & 1 residential property. \\
\hline 2 THE WITNESS: Oh, this was done -- this was done. & 2 THE WITNESS: Sure. Thank you. When we start doing \\
\hline 3 MS. LEE-CHO: Last night. & 3 design work, we look around the community and and get a feel \\
\hline 4 THE WITNESS: Three days ago from Bing Maps & 4 for what some of the current architectural character of the \\
\hline 5 HEARING EXAMINER: From what? & 5 area is. And then we utilize that to begin to create some \\
\hline 6 THE WITNESS: Bing Maps. & 6 different visionary concepts and work with the ownership \\
\hline 7 MS. TAYLOR: Bing Maps, okay, thank you. & 7 team to create a shared vision of the one project they want \\
\hline 8 THE COURT: Anyone else? & 8 to become. Just giving a little background of the process. \\
\hline 9 MS. TAYLOR: But you don't have a date when this & 9 And in this instance, you know fromI think from our \\
\hline 10 photo was taken. & 10 experience it's the site that just of impact at Dino Drive \\
\hline 11 THE WITNESS: No, I do not. I am not aware of when & 11 of the industrial properties, that it left us and building \\
\hline 12 it was. & 12 that clearly to look at more flatwood project. When you do \\
\hline 13 MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you. & 13 a pitch group project, it will add usually about an \\
\hline 14 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else have a question? & 14 additional seven feet in height to the of the pitch of the \\
\hline 15 MS. MEYER: Yes, ma'am. My name is Lauren Meyer. & 15 roof, and that was something we were trying to be sensitive \\
\hline 16 M-E-Y-E-R. I'ma resident at 4324 Valley Stream Avenue & 16 of looking at what the right height was. I do sites \\
\hline 17 Burtonsville, Maryland. And my e-mail address & 17 specially the (inaudible) and that led us to going to a flat \\
\hline 18 Lauren.khater@gmail.com & 18 roof design. \\
\hline 19 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, just a second it's Lauren & 19 If regards to the other elements, we did look at \\
\hline \(20 \operatorname{dot}\) K H at G mail. & 20 the bright fields or brick colored of different materiality \\
\hline 21 MS. MEYER: TE R at G mail dot com, so the second & 21 and felt that the more, you know, contemporary but \\
\hline 22 the second -- should read khater how it would be written out & 22 transitional was most appropriate. \\
\hline 23 but phonetically it's khater. & 23 MS. MEYER: Can I ask just one follow-up question \\
\hline 24 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. K H T E at G mail. & 24 to that, please? \\
\hline 25 MS. MEYER: KHA as in apple after the H. & 25 HEARING EXAMINER: HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 65 & 67 \\
\hline 1 MS. MEYER: Thank you. I understand the need to & 1 MR. SINGH: The only thing is my computer doesn't \\
\hline 2 sort of transition between these different styles, but given & 2 have, what you call, it a ca \\
\hline 3 that the building is 50 feet tall at its highest will & 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, does the applicant waive \\
\hline 4 require, you know, years, decades of tree growth to really & 4 the right to see himtestify \\
\hline 5 conceal that. That's going to be very visible behind these & 5 MS. LEE-CHO: I d \\
\hline 6 homes. The people that have been most likely is see it from & 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Singh. \\
\hline 7 the industrial side are people who are just driving past or & 7 MR. SINGH: Y \\
\hline 8 picking up their stuff from the storage unit or the drivers & 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Ask just a question, not \\
\hline 9 come out of the private shuttle facility that's just off & 9 testimo \\
\hline 10 Dino, so it seems to me odd that the priorities been given & 10 MR. SINGH: Okay. So my question I guess falls \\
\hline 11 to what it looks like in terms of conforming to that style & 11 with what the last person just said. Could this have been \\
\hline 12 versus conforming to people who live there. And perhaps & 12 designed as a three-story building because the view \\
\hline 13 that's not something you can answer and I apologize if & 13 of -- you presented a elevation from as seen from Valley \\
\hline 14 that's the case, but I guess was that a negotiation that & 14 Stream Avenue and that looks to be like exactly how this \\
\hline 15 guys took to -- you know, seven feet on top of 50 feet & 15 project will look frommy home. And basically it's massive, \\
\hline 16 doesn't really seem that much of a difference, but from the & 16 and my question is right now like we don't even see the \\
\hline 17 visual aspect for us, that's a pitched roof versus a & 17 molding warehouse, because one of the I guess, the benefits \\
\hline 18 flatroof as you know that those are pretty significan & 18 that was proposed was that this acts as a buffer, but right \\
\hline 19 changes. & 19 now like in that from my home like we can't even see the \\
\hline 20 THE WITNESS: For the build & 20 molding warehouse. So the question is was there any way to \\
\hline 21 know, I don't really necessarily have more to add to that & 21 make this like a two-story or three-story building? \\
\hline 22 piece but within the site placement, we're really basically & 22 THE WITNESS: You know, there are definitely a \\
\hline 23 we put this 20 foot light up near the industrial really & 23 two-story would not have worked with the mix that we need. \\
\hline 24 pushed the site to create as much buffer to as we ca & 24 There's more of developer's fact. There's certain, you \\
\hline 25 really try to respect the you know & 25 know, financial problems of the right mix that you need to \\
\hline 66 & 68 \\
\hline 1 and you are correct that some of these trees are going to & 1 do to make the project (inaudible) they can proceed forward \\
\hline 2 take some time to block at least and we have trying to save & 2 with it. You know if we do, we four story appropriate way \\
\hline 3 existing trees as possible, especially in (inaudible) & 3 to go with the 50 feet height limit. So we always worked on \\
\hline 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. & 4 the assumption on four. But we never really studied three \\
\hline 5 MS. MEYER: Just one last questions I promise this & 5 to begin. \\
\hline 6 is the last one. Did providing the flat tops have a pitched & 6 MR. SINGH: Okay. Thank you. \\
\hline 7 roof allow the developer to create more beds or units & 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Anyone else? Hearing none, Ms. \\
\hline 8 overall? Would it have been on a three-story building if & 8 Lee-Cho do you have any redirect? \\
\hline 9 you had not done the flat top? & 9 MS. LEE-CHO: Yes, I do. \\
\hline 10 THE WITNESS: I'm not. I'm not sure. It would be & 10 Q Mr. Palkowitsch, in terms of from a residential \\
\hline 11 a lot of different ways to look at how the style could be & 11 character architectural discipline perspective, is there \\
\hline 12 done to try and pick the right mix. & 12 anything that makes necessarily a flat roof contemporary \\
\hline 13 MS. MEYER: No further questions at this time & 13 more modern design building less residential? \\
\hline 14 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Anyone else? & 14 A I don't believe so. I believe it's really more \\
\hline 15 MR. SINGH: I have a question. & 15 layered than of the pieces are designed. And it's - \\
\hline 16 HEARING EXAMINER: Your name. & 16 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I thought they have to \\
\hline 17 MR. SINGH: Piara Singh. & 17 make residential roofs at least a 3 over 12 pitch? \\
\hline 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Singh, okay. & 18 THE WITNESS: Maybe I misunderstood the question. \\
\hline 19 MR. SINGH: Yes. So one of the elevations yo & 19 So on a pitched roof it -- a pitched roof does give a more \\
\hline 20 showed was & 20 residential feel, yes, and it also gives a taller feel of \\
\hline 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Just let me stop you really & 21 the building. So it would be bit more. \\
\hline 22 quickly. It's not that important on cross-examination but & 22 Q I guess -- \\
\hline 23 when you speak, you should turn your cameras on. When you & 23 A Can you reask the question. \\
\hline 24 testify, you should turn your -- you must turn your cameras & 24 Q Yes. My question is there anything that makes \\
\hline 25 on. & 25 pitch roofs the only residential type of roof? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 69 & 71 \\
\hline 1 A No. There's many other design choices that go into & 1 that's used. \\
\hline 2 that. & 2 MS. TAYLOR: When when you mixed together with the \\
\hline 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, you can't build flat roofs & 3 other items, does it not lead Aa more contemporary feel to \\
\hline 4 in & 4 just building? \\
\hline 5 MS. LEE-CHO: Why not? & 5 THE WITNESS: This is a more contemporary building, \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Because it -- doesn't have it to & 6 yes. \\
\hline 7 to be 3 over 12? & 7 MS. TAYLOR: Do you feel the building reflects the \\
\hline 8 MS. LEE-CHO: I mean, you have flat contemporary & 8 neighborhood homes? Most of the homes in the neighborhood \\
\hline 9 roof houses everywhere. & 9 were built in the 196 s 0 . They have been updated, but it is \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, & 10 not indicative -- to my opinion, it is not indicative of-- \\
\hline 11 everywhere. & 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, that's not -- you \\
\hline 12 MS. LEE-CHO: Well, I mean a lot of places. & 12 can say that in testimony. But we're not -- \\
\hline 13 Q. That's my question. In terms of it froman & 13 MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you. \\
\hline 14 architect -- from an architectural disciplinary perspective & 14 HEARING EXAMINER: Anyone else? Okay. Any \\
\hline 15 is there something that makes a flat roof less residential & 15 question? Any redirect based on those questions? \\
\hline 16 just by being flat? & 16 MS. LEE-CHO: Yes. \\
\hline 17 A The answer by saying there's more factors than just & 17 Q Mr. Palkowitsch, is it required under the Zoning \\
\hline 18 the roof of what makes something residential (inaudible) or & 18 Ordinance that the building be -- mimic the same residential \\
\hline 19 and it's the way that the building is laid out, it's the & 19 style of the adjacent neighborhood? \\
\hline 20 window articulations, how the windows are gained & 20 A It does not. \\
\hline 21 together, it's the (inaudible) incorporated into a project. & 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, isn't it a compat -- it's \\
\hline 22 Those are some of the layers that make the project feel & 22 not required, but isn't it compatibility is required, right? \\
\hline 23 residential versus being neighbor height or opposite of what & 23 Q Yes. And do you believe that the building, as \\
\hline 24 is mentioned on this industrial, but they're kind of & 24 designed, is compatible with the residential character of \\
\hline 25 concrete blocks so -- & 25 the surrounding area? \\
\hline 70 & 72 \\
\hline 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, let me ask you this. Did & 1 A As the transitional site, yes, I do. \\
\hline 2 you notice any flat roofs in your tour of the neighborhood? & 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. \\
\hline 3 THE WITNESS: On the single-family homes, I could & 3 MS. LEE-CHO: I have nothing further. \\
\hline 4 not really state. But as mentioned this -- we looked at & 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I just going to say let's \\
\hline 5 this as a transitional design between the two areas. & 5 now two hours into our hearing. So we are going to take a \\
\hline 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. & 6 five minute break, because I need to make sure I have the \\
\hline 7 THE WITNESS: So that's what we took into account & 7 exhibit list correct. Because some have been added at the \\
\hline 8 as I transition, we can work between the options. & 8 last minute, and then we're going to get to your \\
\hline 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Any other questions? Any & 9 transportation planner, correct? \\
\hline 10 questions? & 10 MS. LEE-CHO: Correct. \\
\hline 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Just a second, Ms. Taylor, go & 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So we're off the record \\
\hline 12 ahead. & 12 well -- \\
\hline 13 MS. TAYLOR: Of course. Eileen Taylor. I have & 13 MS. LEE-CHO: I would just say we have Mr. -- what \\
\hline 14 another question. I agree with you that a flat roof alone & 14 is his name Nielson he was going to deal with lighting, so \\
\hline 15 doesn't necessarily constitute a non-residential building, & 15 you prefer doing the traffic first? \\
\hline 16 but you also mentioned there other factors. Do you feel & 16 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, since we're on \\
\hline 17 that because it's using a flat roof as well as cement board & 17 compatibility, we can do the landscape architect and he \\
\hline 18 gray brick, excessive glass, those are more indicative of a & 18 can -- then we'll get to traffic. But please keep in mind I \\
\hline 19 contemporary industrial look. Do you find those mostly in & 19 don't want the bring all your witnesses back you know for a \\
\hline 20 residential homes? & 20 second day of hearing and -- how many people are on the zoom \\
\hline 21 THE WITNESS: I would not say this is an industrial & 21 meeting that would like to testify? \\
\hline 22 look because it covered that, but I would say that cement & 22 MR. SINGH: I would like to testify. \\
\hline 23 board is a material often used by homes otherwise really in & 23 MS. TAYLOR: Eileen Taylor, I would like to \\
\hline 24 it's a product to be more durable than, like, wood lapse & 24 testify. \\
\hline 25 homes so it's a tradition after -- a traditional material & 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Anyone else and -- Miss -- your \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 73 & 75 \\
\hline 1 name was Meyer & 1 Group. \\
\hline 2 MR. MEYER: Yes. And I also have with me two of my & 2 Q And can you briefly describe -- \\
\hline 3 neighbors who would like to testify as well. & 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Are you trying to qualify? \\
\hline 4 HEARING EXAMINER: How many of your neighbors? & \(4 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) Before I do that I will -- \\
\hline 5 MS. MEYER: Two. Ms. Kathleen Smith and Joanie & 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Are you trying to qualify him? \\
\hline 6 Rackley. & 6 Q I will provide the resume'. Mr. Nielson's resume' \\
\hline 7 HEARING EXAMINER: So five people. Okay. Let's & 7 is also provided to the Zoom operator. \\
\hline 8 get to the landscape architect. He's going to describe the & 8 HEARING EXAMINER: You have to put it up please. \\
\hline 9 landscaping and buffering and lighting, and then we'll do & 9 That would be 49. I'm not sure. It's in the exhibits she \\
\hline 10 the traffic engineer. I'm going to try to get through this. & 10 provided Ms. Lee-Cho provided separately. Are these all new \\
\hline 11 We'll probably only take a half hour for lunch, so that's & 11 exhibits? \\
\hline 12 how we'll do it. And I'm going to go off the record until & 12 MS. LEE-CHO: No. We're just talking about what \\
\hline 13 for about, I'll say, ten minutes because I need to make sure & 13 he's going to use. \\
\hline 14 the exhibit numbers are correct. & 14 (Discussion held off the record.) \\
\hline 15 (Discussion held off the record.). & 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Now, the purpose of filing the \\
\hline 16 HEARING EXAMINER: We added the second e-mail from & 16 pre-hearing statements so at least I can look at them \\
\hline 17 Mark Beall as 42A. The affidavit of posting is 43. Mr. & 17 beforehand. So getting with them last minute delays our \\
\hline 18 Palkowitsch's resume' is 44.45 is excerpts fromFairland & 18 hearings. \\
\hline 19 Master Plan, 46 is Brookstone aerial map. 47 is updated & 19 MS. LEE-CHO: We'll only be using plans that you \\
\hline 20 site building sections. And 48 is updated site building & 20 did get, and we just want to let the zoom operator. \\
\hline 21 sections. So what we're going to have to do. & 21 HEARING EXAMINER: I'm just saying it's hard for me \\
\hline 22 MS. LEE-CHO: Cross-section one or cross-sections & 22 with the voir dire to cut through everything when I haven't \\
\hline 23 so the 48. & 23 ever seen this resume' and didn't even know he was -- \\
\hline 24 HEARING EXAMINER: Is cross-sections. Now, if I & 24 MS. LEE-CHO: Understood. I apologize. \\
\hline 25 have questions because they weren't marked on the plan, I & 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Are we ready? Okay. His \\
\hline 74 & 76 \\
\hline 1 may ask to you confirm is this 46, 47, and 48. Because & 1 resume', can you pull it up so people can see it? Has he \\
\hline 2 normally we have them marked either at the hearing or on the & 2 ever qualified as an expert in a court or administrative \\
\hline 3 planner. So that will be a follow up. & 3 proceeding? \\
\hline 4 (A brief recess was taken.) & 4 MS. LEE-CHO: Not as an expert witness, but similar \\
\hline 5 HEARING EXAMINE: All right. Are we ready to & 5 to Mr. Palkowitsch, he's got 20 plus years of experience \\
\hline 6 proceed with your next witness? & 6 in. \\
\hline 7 MS. LEE-CHO: Yes, we are. We have Mr. Nielsen, & 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, is your experience fairly \\
\hline 8 our landscape architect. & 8 and accurately represented in your resume'? \\
\hline 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Please raise your right hand. & 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. \\
\hline 10 JOHN NIELSON & 10 HEARING EXAMINER: Can you pull that resume' up so \\
\hline 11 after having been duly sworn or afffrmed testified as & 11 they can see it at home? Or make it smaller? Are you \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
12 \\
follows:
\end{tabular} & 12 licensed in Maryland or do you work under the supervision of \\
\hline 13 HEARING EXAMINER: Please state your name, business & 13 someone licensed in Maryland? \\
\hline 14 address, and e-mail address for the record. & 14 THE WITNESS: I work with someone under advice of \\
\hline 15 THE WITNESS: My ma'am is John Nielson, & 15 license. \\
\hline 16 N-E-I-L-S-O-N. I work for BKV group, BKV group in & 16 HEARING EXAMINER: Were you able to get it smaller \\
\hline 17 Washington, D.C.. And the business address is 1054 31st & 17 so people can see the whole resume'? I'm sorry did you say \\
\hline 18 street Northwest Canal Square Washington, D.C.. & 18 you did work under the supervision of someone licensed in \\
\hline 19 HEARING EXAMINER: And e-mail. & 19 Maryland? \\
\hline 20 THE WITNESS: J-N-E-I-L-S-O-N@BKVgroup.com. & 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. \\
\hline 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Go ahead, Ms. Lee-Cho. & 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Are you licensed in Maryland? \\
\hline 22 BY MS LEE-CHO: & 22 THE WITNESS: I'm currently not. \\
\hline 23 Q Mr. Nielson, just for the record, what is your & 23 HEARING EXAMINER: What? \\
\hline 24 profession? & 24 THE WITNESS: Not in Maryland. \\
\hline 25 A I'm a director of landscape architecture of BKV & 25 THE COURT: Can you speak up a little? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 85 & 87 \\
\hline 1 memory care and assisted living courtyard will be. The & 1 foot-candle. If you do zoominto the plan, to the lowest \\
\hline 2 memory care courtyard will be enclosed, and then next to it & 2 south side of the plan, you'll see that the photometric data \\
\hline 3 you have this assisted living courtyard which is the -- both & 3 which gives you points of the level \\
\hline 4 of those elevated, as I think Chris showed on one of the & 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Can you zoom in a little bit. \\
\hline 5 render & 5 I'm talking -- for the record, I'm speaking to the zoom \\
\hline 6 The last primary external amenities areas that are & 6 operator. \\
\hline 7 on the north side of the building where you got the & 7 THE WITNESS: If you got the U section of the \\
\hline 8 retail, there is a podium level independent living courtyard & 8 building and use south point and you zoom in. Yeah just \\
\hline 9 is there as well many so looking out towards that & 9 towards the right -- left apologies. Yeah, you see we got \\
\hline 10 there's a few -- there also a can't deck on that sid & 10 those red numbers, it's kind of that one. I'm trying to \\
\hline 11 looking out. Which will add kind of seating element that & 11 make everyone be able to see it. \\
\hline 12 kind of thing. & 12 MS. LEE-CHO: Can you zoom in close or the U? \\
\hline 13 THE WITNESS: I think that was kind of the summary & 13 THE WITNESS: You can see there on this land that bottom \\
\hline 14 that I had overall landscape framework. & 14 side against the boundary that, that shows the lighting \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER: I'm getting feed back. Does. & 15 levels. So we have that nor . 1 and we still have a little \\
\hline 16 MS. LEE-CHO: I'll turn this off. & 16 bit of kind of buffer space. \\
\hline 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead. & 17 Q And so just to answer a question I think that was \\
\hline 18 THE WITNESS: Yeah, so should I go to the & 18 raised, the zero point one doesn't come all the way to the \\
\hline 19 lighting. & 19 property line, but why doesn't it? Why does it stop short \\
\hline 20 Q Well, let me ask you, are you familiar with outdoor & 20 of the property line? \\
\hline 21 lighting provisions of Section 6447? & 21 A So basically I think that that's where the light \\
\hline 22 A Yes. & 22 never gets below zero point one, so we're only basically \\
\hline 23 Q Can you please describe how the lighting plan for & 23 recording. \\
\hline 24 the project? & 24 Q So the plan just records where? \\
\hline 25 A Okay. Can we pull out the lighting plan Exhibit 13 & 25 A It records that zero point one. \\
\hline 86 & 88 \\
\hline 1 in the exhibits I think it's 13. Yeah. Okay so as part & 1 HEARING EXAMINER: And there's nothing intervening? \\
\hline 2 of the submission, this is the site lighting the photometric & 2 I see some black squares right on the southern. \\
\hline 3 plan which is documents the proposed column lighting that we & 3 THE WITNESS: So the black squares need to \\
\hline 4 have on the site. Would you like me just go through an & 4 be -- they're not part of -- they're not any kind of \\
\hline 5 describe? & 5 lighting fixture. \\
\hline 6 Q Well, frist before you, can you give us a & 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. That's where I was \\
\hline 7 understanding of the coloration that we're seeing on the & 7 confused. \\
\hline 8 land and what those plan and those very small number & 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. They're basically the \\
\hline 9 represent? If we could zoom can closer to the building. & 9 basically gravel kind of invert way of the storm water. \\
\hline 10 A So the coloration, the darker colors that kind of & 10 HEARING EXAMINER: So there's nothing intervening \\
\hline 11 red, blue, magenta lines, as they kind of bleed - they're & 11 between the zero point one -- \\
\hline 12 located closer towards the buildings indicate a higher light & 12 THE WITNESS: It hasn't been recorded. \\
\hline 13 level. And as they kind of bleed towards the edges of the & 13 HEARING EXAMINER: -- shown on the plan that would \\
\hline 14 site, when they become green and yellow, that's happening is & 14 increase lighting. \\
\hline 15 that light level is disbursing and it's less of glare. So & 15 THE WITNESS: No. And if you look at the pathway \\
\hline 16 as per in code in terms of what we've done. One of the key & 16 that goes along the building, that's just adjacently to the \\
\hline 17 things with this plan in terms of meeting the code is that & 17 car parking spaces, you can kind of see a circle on them \\
\hline 18 we're edge not to exceed nor. 1 foot-candle. And to adhere & 18 That's a lighting column. \\
\hline 19 to that and to meet that code requirement, what we're doing & 19 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. \\
\hline 20 is that we're showing any column lighting located on the car & 20 THE WITNESS: And you can see how that -- \\
\hline 21 park side against the building. What this lighting plan & 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Now, did this does show the \\
\hline 22 does is it demonstrates the light intensity you know just & 22 lighting -- does this account for any exterior lights on the \\
\hline 23 described coming from that in that color bleeding out which & 23 building or just the pole lights. \\
\hline 24 towards the external area to the site with the yellow color. & 24 THE WITNESS: This lighting plan does not account \\
\hline 25 And the yellows is representation of that kind of nor. 1 & 25 for the exterior lights on the building. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 89 & 91 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HEARING EXAMINER: In your opinion, would the \\
exterior lighting on the building affect the lighting at the \\
property line? \\
THE WITNESS: No, not nor point one. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: What's your basis for that? \\
THE WITNESS: Well, the proposed lighting that we \\
would use on the building would be very soft lit, accent \\
lighting to kind of highlight. you know, the entry and \\
elements on the building, so the level is not going to \\
affect that nor point one foot-candle. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Because usually they have \\
details of that on the plan. \\
THE WITNESS: Yes. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Can you describe the type of \\
lighting that's typically -- \\
THE WITNESS: Yes. \\
MS. LEE-CHO: Can we bring the plan down a little \\
bit to show the entry? \\
THE WITNESS: No, up. \\
Q Yeah up. So if you, can for the record, speak to \\
what type of lighting might be on the building with an entry area? \\
A So I think if we pulled up that Exhibit 27B that \\
Chris showed earlier, one of the comments I think fromHEARING EXAMINER: Just a second. Let's D, I
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HEARING EXAMINER: So you going to light the sign? \\
THE WITNESS: Yes. \\
MS. LEE-CHO: And we brought an example, but it is \\
part of the not introduced exhibits, so if you wanted to see it. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah, let's see it. \\
MS. LEE-CHO: The file is called backlit. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. This would be 50, I \\
think. \\
THE WITNESS: This is just an intervention that \\
just, you know, what kind of -- \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Representative sign. \\
THE WITNESS: Yeah, just that kind of just \\
providing all the fixtures that we would be you know would \\
be proposing would kind of best (inaudible) \\
HEARING EXAMINER: And that would be at the entrance? \\
THE WITNESS: That would be at the entrance, yes. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Which does not abut residential? \\
THE WITNESS: Yes. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Does it directly? \\
MS. LEE-CHO: Well, it does. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Abut Dino Drive? \\
MS. LEE-CHO: It's up -- \\
THE COURT: I'm going to pull up the conditional
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
think. \\
MS. LEE-CHO: Can we do the courtyard first before we do the -- \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Well -- \\
THE WITNESS: I'll just show you. \\
MS. LEE-CHO: We can do Dino Drive first. \\
THE WITNESS: Just the arrival, and so you can see \\
here there's a very soft lighting on the building providing \\
a kind of wash on the facade. That's just kind of \\
0 accentuating kind of elements the different materiality. \\
That would kind of be one type of lighting. That's soft kind of warm light. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. That's helpful. \\
Q This is the Dino Drive facade, is it not? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And what is the foot candle requirement along right of way? \\
HEARING EXAMINER: I know it's not zero point one, 9 I'm just trying to get an idea of the types of fixtures and 0 lighting that he will have that are normally included on the lighting plan. So I get that. Thank you. \\
THE WITNESS: Yes. And then the other lighting 3 would be there would be a signage -- where you have the 24 signage there would be resign of warm back lit light to that 25 as well.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
use plan which is -- well, I don't know which sheet to go to cover sheet. \\
MS. LEE-CHO: Well even if you go to the \\
photometric plan, there you can see the placement of the lighting. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Which number the is photometric plan? \\
THE WITNESS: If we go back to that one, we can \\
MS. LEE-CHO: If you could zoom at the driveway entrance. As big as possible. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. We cannot hear \\
you -- okay. Do we have it now? Did we capture it? \\
MS. LEE-CHO: I can see it. I'm not sure if you can see it. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Why don't you try to describe in words where it is? \\
THE WITNESS: So it's -- as you're coming off \\
Valley Stream Avenue into the site, as you literally as \\
you're kind of driving into the site on the left-hand side \\
there is an entrance sign location. \\
HEARING EXAMINER: Does -- is it okay. It's \\
labeled entrance sign. I looked for it I couldn't find it, but I do see it now. \\
25 THE WITNESS: Yeah. And that has the back to the
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

development and then --
HEARING EXAMINER: Now, that does abut residential
but you -- is it your opinion that the lit sign is not going
to increase those?
THE WITNESS: Yes, based on the plan that we showed
with that kind of back lit warm lighting.
HEARING EXAMINER: Do you have a problem with the
conditions staying since we don't have it figured into the
plan saying that lighting shall not cause the lit candles to
exceed zero point one?
MS. LEE-CHO: No problem with that condition.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: The other lighting that we would have
for the building on the main arrival points, so kind of on
the canopy, the property share we probably have some kind of
inaudible lighting on that as you arrive and on the canopy
on the other side. We also have where you got egress coming
out the building to step, you know, you come out, we need
some kind of lighting above the --
HEARING EXAMINER: Are these -- okay. The share is
in the interior sort of that northernmost semi-square?
THE WITNESS: Yes. If you look at the -- towards
the left of the cement where you got the squares in the
middle, they indicate the columns. So we would have some
kind of lighting probably on those columns to kind of

```
illuminate them, illuminate underneath to provide that kind
of lit arrival experience.
    HEARING EXAMINER: And what was the other area?
    THE WITNESS: The other area would be the opposite
where you have the other arrival with the canopy. I would
assume there would be some kind of lighting under there.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So --
    THE WITNESS: If you look at plan north almost
where you got the finished floor elevation.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah.
    THE WITNESS: Just below that there's two columns.
There wore some kind of accent lighting there as well.
BY MS. LEE-CHO:
    Q Let me ask a question. So with these accent
ights?
A Yes.
Q They're clearly within an area that has more -- has
18 the pole lights, which have been measured?
19 A Yes.
Q Are they -- would they be less intense than the
pole such that we could reasonably conclude that they would
not --
    A Yes.
    Q -- cause the site the exceed zero point one?
    A They would be less intense than any of the pole

93
lighting, and they wouldn't be additive to any of the light that was - would not affect the nor point one lighting level at the boundary.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Do you have any
perspectives showing what people are going to see once the landscaping is installed?

THE WITNESS: Not at this moment, no.
HEARING EXAMINER: Can you just describe what it's
going to look like when first installed versus -- and how
long is that going to be?
THE WITNESS: So we specified as per the county ordinance 6.2 , we put in a mix of different tree types along the canopy to provide that kind of natural edge. Specific trees will be kind of a three caliber so they're going in depending on species of tree that they will be going in about kind of 14, you know, 14 feet. So, I mean, from day one they will have some kind of height for them and provide that screen.

HEARING EXAMINER: Are they a mix of evergreens and canopy trees?

THE WITNESS: Yes. We do have some evergreens. We have the Magnolia (indiscernible) then there's some kind of under story flowering tree there as well to provide color.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: So it's -- I kind of -- it's a mix
because we want to make sure we have that sign of little as well as the kind of screening element as well along as a buffer.

And then in terms, you know, if going in that kind of 14 feet to little bit -- species day one and then I think we would be looking at that kind of five year period as it start to get a bit higher, you know, years to 25 feet. So given the kind of width of the buffer this species -HEARING EXAMINER: What about this five to ten 10 years maturity or is 20 years maturity?
11 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think probably about -- we would be looking at ten years maturity.

HEARING EXAMINER: And what about screening for headlights in the parking lot?
15 THE WITNESS: So because the site is -- the way 6 it's been graded and the way the building is being
17 positioned, the -- any parking that drives up and kind of
faces outward when you're parking towards the buffer, the
9 headlights are shining on the embankment, an then you would 0 have planting behind that. So that would kind of mitigate that light.

HEARING EXAMINER: So you say it's going to be 3 mitigated by the grade.

THE WITNESS: Grading and planting on that
5 buffer.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 97 & 99 \\
\hline 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Do you have shrubbery there? & 1 MS. LEE-CHO: Right. \\
\hline 2 THE WITNESS: We -- at the moment, I think we just & 2 HEARING EXAMINER: General building type. \\
\hline 3 have the tree planting that the various canopy trees and the & 3 MS. LEE-CHO: I think if you continue to scroll \\
\hline 4 flowering trees in that area. & 4 down there's a conditional use. I thought I saw a \\
\hline 5 MS. LEE-CHO: We're just showing one portion of the & 5 conditional use. \\
\hline 6 area down here where the U-shaped & 6 HEARING EXAMINER: No, I don't see it. There is a \\
\hline 7 HEARING EXAMINER:: It may be helpful to go to the & 7 section on conditional uses in 6.5. -- I always get halfway \\
\hline 8 same plan. & 8 through the number and can't remember the end. If you look \\
\hline 9 THE WITNESS: & 9 at 6.5 \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER: I think it's 15 & 10 MS. LEE-CHO: A. \\
\hline 11 THE WITNESS: It's 17A. & 11 HEARING EXAMINER: A, it says there is -- oh, no I \\
\hline 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, 17 sorry & 12 gave you the wrong one. A conditional use in any building \\
\hline 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So you can see that we have & 13 type except a single family detached house must provide \\
\hline 14 kind of really a mixture of three plants along that edge. & 14 screening under 6.53. If a subject property abuts property \\
\hline 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Is it your opinion -- well, go & 15 in an agricultural rural residential or residential detached \\
\hline 16 ahead. & 16 zone that is vacant or improved with the resident \\
\hline 17 THE WITNESS: So do you want me to -- I have some & 17 agricultural residential use. So that puts you into 6.53 \\
\hline 18 of that planting? & 18 for perimeter screening. Now, you've taken because the \\
\hline 19 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, does it -- is it -- does & 19 exterior is the parking lot, you've used 6.2.9. \\
\hline 20 it meet the standard in the 6.5 of the seasoning & 20 MS. LEE-CHO: Right, because I believe under 6.53 \\
\hline 21 ordinance & 21 it says where there is a parking lot between the building. \\
\hline 22 THE WITNESS: Yeah, we're meeting the standard set & 22 HEARING EXAMINER: I don't see it, but you can give \\
\hline 23 for -- we're above and beyond the ten foot width buffer. & 23 me the quote later instead of having a transcript full of \\
\hline 24 We're leaving -- we have the mix of the canopy trees that & 24653.29 AB . But you can take a minute and look at it and \\
\hline 25 we, I think in those standards, they need to be kind of 30 & 25 provide it to me after the hearing. You don't have to do it \\
\hline 98 & 100 \\
\hline 1 foot apart, an we're -- we have a tighter compaction of the & 1 now. I don't even know if the two standards are different. \\
\hline 2 trees. So it in terms of trees, the canopy trees and & 2 So they may be very similar. So go ahead. \\
\hline 3 deciduous, we are meeting that standard and the width of the & 3 I guess what I'm getting at, when I heard the \\
\hline 4 buffer. & 4 gentleman ask is can you even verbalize a description of \\
\hline 5 MS. LEE-CHO: What section did you say -- for the & 5 what they're going to see from different perspectives. If \\
\hline 6 hearing examiner, which section did you just mention? & 6 you can't, that's fine. You didn't know this question was \\
\hline 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Let me get to it. & 7 coming. But I just wondered if you would -- could possibly \\
\hline 8 MS. LEE-CHO: My understanding the 6.29 & 8 just describe with the topography and the landscaping what \\
\hline THE WITNESS: Yeah 6.2. & 9 the view is going to be like. If you can. If you \\
\hline 10 HEARING EXAMINER: Because it's parking lot & 10 can't, it's fine. \\
\hline 11 lighting. & 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So frommy experience, I mean \\
\hline 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah & 12 just in terms of the -- because we are providing the wider \\
\hline 13 HEARING EXAMINER: I was thinking of the site & 13 buffers that are, you know, above and ten feet. \\
\hline 14 perimeter lighting in 6.5 . There's two kinds of & 14 HEARING EXAMINER: When you say setback, are \\
\hline 15 requirements. There's 6.2 which is the parking lot & 15 you -- when you say buffer, are you talking setbacks, \\
\hline 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah, where we have the islands that & 16 building setbacks? \\
\hline 17 break that. & 17 THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the kind of \\
\hline 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Right and then there's 6.5. & 18 landscapes. \\
\hline 19 MS. LEE-CHO: I believe in 6.5 it makes reference & 19 HEARING EXAMINER: Width of the landscaping strip? \\
\hline 20 to conditional uses referred to 6.29 , so 6.5 I think that's & 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah. \\
\hline 21 enterprised to a certain other land reviews, but I did see a & 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. \\
\hline 22 reference for conditional uses to comply with 6.29. & 22 THE WITNESS: So the width of the landscaping strip \\
\hline 23 HEARING EXAMINER: It says must provide screening & 23 because it's widened, because it has the storm water because \\
\hline 24 under 6.5.3. Which is 6.5 .3 and then there's these & 24 we have -- we have a buffer that's widened and then we have \\
\hline 25 screening by building type and then there is. & 25 the storm water, then we have the car parking which has \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

trees in it as well. And on the buffer itself, we are
providing, you know, to giving compacting canopy trees then
underscoring layer of flowering trees as well to kind of --
that we're providing a layers landscape on that buffer to
provide that kind of screen. So and when they are going in,
they're going at the three caliber, so they will be kind of
bigger for that.
HEARING EXAMINER: Is that bigger than what would
normally -- or what would normally be required?
THE WITNESS: Yeah, it -- required that we're kind
of matching that code.
HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry, I missed the first
part.
THE WITNESS: I believe the three caliber is what's
required for the buffers.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
THE WITNESS:To kind of mitigate the, you know,
make sure the buffer is kind of working. So I think from
day one we will have that kind of nice screened landscape
and as mentioned overtime, you know those trees will
obviously grow and provide much more screening for the
development.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I think the last thing to note is
that just the kind of grading as well that, you know, that
along that edge you alluded to sort of car park lights as
well. That's going into the embankment, so, again, we're
kind of -- that buffer is providing a visual from one side
in terms of residential, and that screen would also from the
other side with the level change.
HEARING EXAMINER: How high -- do you know how high
above grade the bank is from the car park? Now you've got
me saying car park. Parking lot.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, apologies.
HEARING EXAMINER: No, it's not --
THE WITNESS: I can't shake that. I would have to
look at that section to confirm the exact grade change.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I don't know that, but it's higher
than a car headlight, you know.
HEARING EXAMINER:: Okay.
THE WITNESS: It's probably, you know --
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So then are the trees at
the -- on the top of the brim?
THE WITNESS: They would be planted on along the
edge on it as well so on the grade. So the way that we got
it shown here.
HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah.
THE WITNESS: It's layered. So the landscaped
trees you're going to have some at the back and then some
smaller ones at the front. You're creating a kind of varied edge which to provide that kind of screen.

HEARING EXAMINER: It's not like shrubs that you would provide a --

THE WITNESS: No, it's not like that.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. That's what I wanted to know.
BY MS. LEE-CHO:
Q Can you give us a sense of the height of the 10 embankment, because it continues to get deeper or higher as you move into the site, does it not?
A Yes. So as you come from --
HEARING EXAMINER: Wait. Higher? Now, I'm 14 confused.

MS. LEE-CHO: Higher on our side. So we're below, 16 so as you move around the parking lot --

HEARING EXAMINER: Wait, I'm sorry. Let him 18 testify. Okay, ahead.

THE WITNESS: So as you come into the side from the main entrance, basically as you're coming straight towards 1 the main entrance, the left-hand side where you have the buffer, it's shallower at that point, and as you start the turn left into the development where you've got the U-shaped wing, that becomes a much deeper landscape buffer and grade change along that whole edge.

1 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MS. LEE-CHO: You're talking about the triangle?
HEARING EXAMINER: I'm talk about the -- maybe the engineer can --

THE WITNESS: The below the right -- I think they're.

HEARING EXAMINER: Maybe the engineer can give us an idea of the elevation.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I guess I usurped you,
Ms. Lee-Cho. Do you have any other questions?
MS. LEE-CHO: I don't mine.
BY MS. LEE-CHO:
Q Mr. Nielson, did you want to say something
generally about the plan with best practice principles? Did
you already testify to that on lighting?
A Yeah. I think I mentioned it, but, you know, any
kind of lighting fixtures throughout the development we would propose, you know, best practice lighting principles
in terms of reducing lighting spill to, you know, colored
1 lights would have a shield on it so you could kind of has that light downwards.

HEARING EXAMINER: I assume the parking lot poles
have cut-off fixtures, correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes. So they would have the -- so in

| 105 | 107 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 terms of best practice for lighting that we wouldn't have | 1 similar type of project in co |
| 2 any outlights providing that kind of, you know, for | 2 A Yeah, I think lighting proposed is typically what |
| 3 pedestrian experience so -- | 3 you would f |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER: What about the sconces on the | 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, the question is is it |
| 5 side projecting upwards? | 5 compatible with surrounding area? That's the question. |
| 6 THE WITNESS: I think | 6 THE WITNESS: I think it is, yeah. Because of |
| 7 typically | 7 we're meeting the requirements in terms of the photometric |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Can they project downwards? | 8 we kind of a lot of strategic moves putting the light |
| 9 Just downwards? | 9 towards the building could be compliant around the edges of |
| 10 | 10 the residential. So I would |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Would you have an issue | 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Anything else? |
| 12 MS. LEE-CHO: On the wall? | 12 MS. LEE-CHO: I have nothing else. |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah | 13 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. With that, it's time for |
| 14 THE WITNESS: 27 was showing the light going up and | 14 anyone in opposition to ask questions |
| 15 down. | 15 Does anyone have any questions of Mr. Nielson? |
| 16 Q I guess that is that's an accent, thoug? | 16 MS. MEYER: Yes, I have a few questions of Mr. |
| 17 A Yeah, it's an accent light, so I do | 17 Nielson. Please forgive any little noise from my two year |
| 18 would have any impact in terms of it's more kind of warm | 18 old in the background. Back from preschool. This is Lauren |
| 19 light that just kind of highlights that archi | 19 Meye |
| 20 element. | 20 So my first question is regarding the parking lot |
| 21 HEARING EXAM | 21 lights, the car park lots, what are those going to look |
| 22 MS. LEE-CHO: I'm trying to think | 22 like? Do you have an example in the application? I thought |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER: I'mjust looking for | 23 I saw one that was kind of a very basic industrial post with |
| 24 illumination not the zero point one at the property | 24 an outward facing and downward facing bulb. |
| 25 just is it -- you have going to at night, is this going to | 25 THE WITNESS: So on Exhibit 13 we have an example |
| 106 | 108 |
| 1 | 1 of this specification, the image of that light. So it's a |
| 2 THE WITNESS: No. I think | 2 column light, yeah, I guess we could just look at that and |
| 3 just get acentric to the building and his | 3 then I can show you what that is. So it's column light that |
| 4 some of the kind of architectural design. So just going to | 4 kind of shield all the way around it, so the lighting is |
| 5 be a very soft light, which really kind of picks up on the | 5 facing down. It's toward the right-hand side you can se |
| 6 architectural design, you know, where Chris is kind of | 6 it. This this the light fixing that if you go up north you |
| 7 looked at some of that territory and that -- that lighting | 7 can see going that's the head of the light. So you have |
| 8 on the building really just defining kind of arrival | 8 column light and then the dark -- the dark fixture is what |
| 9 points. | 9 that kind of light looks like. |
| 10 Q | 10 HEARING EXAMINER: Wait, are those -- did they have |
| 11 terms of house lighting that you often see driving through | 11 shields that extend? I don't know what the adjustable slip |
| 12 neighborhood of lights on the house from the ground? | 12 fitter the pole mount does that have a shield that prevents |
| 13 A | 13 the globe going outward? Or is it just directed down? |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER: It just said it going to be much | 14 THE WITNESS: This -- it would have a shield, so |
| 15 higher. | 15 the lighting would be directed down. |
| 16 THE WITNESS | 16 HEARING EXAMINER: And where is that shield on |
| 17 building. | 17 this? |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Do you have any more | 18 THE WITNESS: It's not showing. |
| 19 questions? | 19 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 20 Q I do ju | 20 THE WITNESS: It's the actual specification, this |
| 21 and the inherent | 21 is kind of overa |
| 22 | 22 HEARING EXAMINER: Would you object to a condition |
| 23 professional opinion whether the lighting proposed | 23 requiring a shield directing? |
| 24 of this applicatio | 24 THE WITNESS: No. |
| 25 is not any more adverse than would typically be found in a | 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'm sorry, Ms. Meyer, I |


| 109 | 111 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 interrupted your questions. Go ahead. | 1 architect. |
| 2 MS. MEYER: Actually, no, it was -- that was a | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Oh the architect, yes. |
| 3 follow-up question that I had regarding the shield, so I | 3 THE WITNESS: 3,000 caliber is a nice number to |
| 4 thank you for bringing that up. | 4 utilize for the -- for higher prism. |
| 5 How tall are those light posts? I believe you | 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And is that going to |
| 6 mentioned early contrary -- and my son might have been | 6 address |
| 7 getting into trouble so I missed it -- but I wanted th | 7 MR. PALKOWITSCH: I didn't her concerns. I guess |
| 8 confirmation | 8 on that with the 3,000 is a yellow light but it's has a bit |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER: I've been there | 9 white to it where anything of 4,000 above gets to be too |
| 10 THE WITNESS: They're 16 feet tall. | 10 white in color. |
| 11 MS. MEYER: Okay. I did have two more questions. | 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So it's not going to be a |
| 12 Does this lighting plan account for the use of warm LEDs | 12 blue light, it's going to be a yellow light. |
| 13 opposed to blue lit LEDs to help reduce the light pollution | 13 MR. PALKOWITSCH: No, it would be a blue light. |
| 14 that eastbound illuminates the various lighting fixtures | 14 HEARING EXAMINER: A blue light, okay. |
| 15 that are around? I know that entry sign features -- | 15 MR. PALKOWITSCH: I apologize, it would be a yellow |
| 16 examples features warm light, but it was unclear the image | 16 light. Yellow light. |
| 17 here in the exhibit on screen looks very blue light to me, | 17 MR. MEYER: Thank you for that clarification. Just |
| 18 and that's obviously something of concern in terms of like | 18 two more quick questions and Mr. Nielson, I apologize if one |
| 19 pollution and, you know, bird activity in the area | 19 of these isn't directly related to your presentation you |
| 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think that's something that | 20 might rely on Mr. Palkowitsch again. But the first is can |
| 21 we could look at. They can be, yeah. They could have the | 21 you confirm the mature tree height? I know that you |
| 22 warm LED. | 22 mentioned initially some of the trees would be about 14 |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER: How would you phrase that? Warm | 23 feet, but over ten years they would mature to 25 feet. Did |
| 24 LED? Is that a warm LED? | 24 I have that correct? |
| 25 THE WITNESS: It's actually it within our | 25 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean it varies based on the |
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| 1 specifications we'll have to make sure that kind of the | 1 species that we put in, so what that mature height is going |
| 2 wattage you would specify, so it would be whether -- I think | 2 to be. So we are to see -- we have canopy trees in there |
| 3 3,000 would be a kind of the warmer, I think 4,000 would be | 3 that would be going up to 25 feet, maybe a bit taller, and |
| 4 a warmer kind of light glow from the light. So it would | 4 then there's a understory layer as well, so that kind of |
| 5 just be a specification that we just need to look at for | 5 smaller flowering trees that -- like which kind of be about, |
| 6 that. | 6 you know, 20 feet understory. And then I think we would |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. But I'm trying to get us | 7 have the canopies would be probably, after sort of ten |
| 8 a specification to use for a condition requiring you to put | 8 years, they would mature height, you know, 20 years would be |
| 9 these lights on. So that's -- that's why I'm asking these | 9 a sort of 30 feet 35 feet. So you'll get two different |
| 10 technical. How would I phrase that? | 10 layers of mature height tree to provide that screening. |
| 11 THE WITNESS: So we would need -- it would be on | 11 HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry, wait. Can I just -- |
| 12 this sheet we'd just -- we show 4,000 light specific | 12 I'm not sure I got what you said. The canopy trees. |
| 13 specification to -- | 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah, so we'll have -- |
| 14 MS. LEE-CHO: I mean is warm LED sufficient? | 14 HEARING EXAMINER: They'll be 25 feet, and what's |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER: No, because we -- I get | 15 their maturity, roughly? |
| 16 to -- when I got the DPS, they want to know exactly what is | 16 THE WITNESS: Well, the ones that we're specifying, |
| 17 permitted or not. | 17 I would say between about 25 to 40 feet. |
| 18 MS. LEE-CHO: Is there a technical description? | 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So the canopy trees, 25 |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER: Why don't we do this? I'll put | 19 to 40 feet at what age? |
| 20 that as a note to come back to. But basically you're saying | 20 THE WITNESS: About 20 years. |
| 21 you will do the warm LED lights, whatever that means, and | 21 HEARING EXAMINER: 20 years. And the other trees |
| 22 then if we can get a technical description, we'll do that. | 22 are the understory trees? |
| 23 MR. PALKOWITSCH: I apologize, may I interrupt? | 23 THE WITNESS: We have some understory trees that |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER: Who's speaking? | 24 would be a smaller 20, 25 feet. |
| 25 MR. PALKOWITSCH: This is Chris Palkowitsch, the | 25 HEARING EXAMINER: And what is their maturity? |


| 113 | 115 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 THE WITNESS: I say about 10 to 15 years. | 1 Q And are we talking like we heard of window |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER: And do you mix -- what goes | 2 treatments and other things to mitigate the light initially |
| 3 closer to the brim? | 3 from the windows? |
| 4 THE WITNESS: I would have the bigger ones at the | 4 A Yeah, I mean, that could be an architectural |
| 5 -- towards the back, and then the understory ones slightly | 5 feature on the kind of the way that the windows are treated |
| 6 pushed forward. | 6 to kind of |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. When you say toward the | 7 Q Well, I mean on the interior? |
| 8 back, is that toward the back top of the | 8 A |
| 9 THE WITNESS: (Inaudible) | 9 Q Are there window treatment coverages on the |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry, Ms. Meyer, I cut | 10 interior of the site or interior of the rooms? Curtains and |
| 11 off again. | 11 blinds? |
| 12 MS. MEYER: No apologies. I appreciate | 12 A Yes, there's curtains, blinds. There would be |
| 13 clarification. So based on what information you provide | 13 applied that kind of, again, buffer that light. |
| 14 Mr . Nielson, none of the trees will ever mature fully to | 14 MS. LEE-CHO: So in your. |
| 15 block the lighting coming inside the building, and the | 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So I think that -- I'm |
| 16 design show a lot of natural lighting that's takin | 16 sorry this is cross right. |
| 17 advantage of that through windows. To what extent has the | 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. I think that's your |
| 18 impact been measured for the lighting from inside that | 18 answer Ms. Meyer. Do you have another question? |
| 19 building, which presumably for some of the areas, will be on | 19 MS. MEYER: No, but I believe there are residents |
| 20 all the time due to staffing needs and then coming out of | 20 who did, so I will defer to them. Thank you. |
| 21 that building and facing towards the property? Right now | 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Anyone else? |
| 22 just see darkness. There's no street lights or parking | 22 MR. GOSCH: Hey, this is Arpan Gosch. |
| 23 anything like that over the | 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Wait, stop just a minute. Can |
| 24 So what's the measured impact of the interio | 24 you just state your full name. I heard it but I didn't |
| 25 lights and perhaps this might be a question that you relay | 25 MR. GOSCH: Yeah, first name Arpan, last name |
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| 1 back to your architect colleague, but you have some conc | 1 Gosh. |
| 2 that there be just be lighting kind of showing out from the | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: How do you spell that. |
| 350 foot tall building over the residential area without an | 3 MR. GOSCH: A-R-P-A-N-G-O-S-C-H. |
| 4 tree cover 10, 20, 30 years down the line even. | 4 HEARING EXAMINER: And can you state your name an |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Lee-Cho, you can't prompt | 5 address and your e-mail address? |
| 6 him | 6 MR. GOSCH: So yeah the name again, Arpan Gosh, |
| 7 THE WITNESS: I think | 7 address 4308 Valley Stream Avenue. And e-mail is my frist |
| 8 mean, any the lighting from the building is kind of the | 8 name, last name 95@gmail.com So arpangosh95@gmai.com |
| 9 light given you know as it kind of projects outwards toward | 9 HEARING EXAMINER: No dot between Arpan and Gosh? |
| 10 the site. It's not going to have the significant glare. I | 10 MR. GOSCH: That's correct. |
| 11 mean the lighting from the site, you know, the kind of | 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Got it. Okay. You can |
| 12 colored lighting on the exterior that's been measured that's | 12 ask a question. Again, this is your time to ask questions. |
| 13 going to have the highest lighting kind of glare, which the | 13 You will get a chance to testify later. Go ahead, Mr. |
| 14 trees along the boundary are really starting to kind of | 14 Mr. Gosch. |
| 15 mitigate that, and we're meeting the code compliance in that | 15 MR. GOSCH: I appreciate it. Thank you. So I want |
| 16 area. So I guess in my opinion, I think with the boundary | 16 the kind of ask more about this .01 intensity rating. Is |
| 17 and set back at the building, I don't think it's going to | 17 that after the trees are mature after height of -- of decade |
| 18 have that sort of significant visual impact. | 1815 years, 25 years, that -- when .01 is achieved. |
| 19 MS. LEE-CHO: I mean just to repeat -- | 19 THE WITNESS: No, that's the first rating with the |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. | 20 lights installed. So when the column lights go in with the |
| 21 BY MS. LEE-CHO: | 21 fixture that we're specifying, that's the kind of light |
| 22 Q What is the distance that we're talking about? | 22 level. So it's kind of day one. It's not a light level |
| 23 A Talking about, you know, the windows to the | 23 that's projected out, if that makes sense. |
| 24 residents was sort of 200 feet. So there are other trees, | 24 MR. GOSCH: Sort of. So like the columns that I |
|  |  |


| 11 | 119 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 that's the specification and how exactly is . 01 kind of | 1 there's no information and you got the boundary. That's |
| 2 m | 2 because we're kind of meeting the criteria and then nothing |
| 3 THE WITNESS: Well, that's required so it's | 3 else that's not on here is lower than that level. So it's |
| 4 basically | 4 lower than nor point one on those two southern lots. That's |
| HEARING EXAMINER: He wants to know what | 5 where you got lot 14 on the left-hand side the lighting |
| 6 foot-candle is. It's 0.1 foot-candle. | 6 column is closer to the boundary. So you've got the -- your |
| 7 THE WITNESS: So it's basically | 7 can see the yellow line which is the nor point one, that's |
| 8 measurement as per the code standard. It measures th | 8 your kind of -- it's -- you got the high level on that side |
| 9 candles. So along the boundary the requirement is that we | 9 of the building towards the creek. So that's why you've got |
| 10 need that client of nor point one, so from day one that nor | 10 more data on one side than on candle because it's ju |
| 11 point foot-candle is a lot kind of | 11 recording that nor point one |
| 12 light glare, | 12 MR. GOSCH: Okay. And then in terms of the |
| 13 that -- it's for measurement where you don't get | 13 kind of confusing. I've heard this is going to be a barrier |
| 14 light spell. So can you see that there's a requiremen | 14 or a buffer of landscaping. I think just a little bit ago |
| 15 where the column is that we need a higher foot candle at | 15 you mentioned there's two layers of trees that are differe |
| 16 rating along pathways to provide that kind of -- and where | 16 variety -- different species to reach varying levels of |
| 17 we have the car parking, and that provides a kind of the | 17 height, and that date or so. I didn't see that reflected in |
| 18 light safety requirement element in terms of access into | 18 the landscape diagram. I thought I only saw one layer, so |
| 19 building. But we we have the kind of the more passive area | 19 I'm a little confused about that. Can you clarify that? |
| 20 along that kind of residential you're going to do a lower | 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. So if you're on the landscape |
| 21 foot-candle which basically means that per code that that | 21 plan, the planting land that I showed, there's varies of |
| 22 kind of lower foot-candle of the nor point one is really | 22 different -- so the way that the landscape has been set |
| 23 that kind of threshold where the lighting is | 23 out, we want it to be a kind of a natural edge, so it's not |
| 24 really impeding on to any, you know, adjacent development. | 24 just like, you know, how it's planted. It's a linear line, |
| 25 So it's basically a measurement of lighting to -- |  |
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| 1 that we use on when developing a kind of p | 1 done is we created a natural |
| 2 for the plan. So from day one, when the column goe | 2 staggered, and that front layer you'll see -- I don't know |
| 3 in , there won't be a lighting level that's higher than no | 3 if you want to bring up the landscape plan again. But |
| 4 point one per code along that boundary. And the trees will | 4 you'll see that there's different symbols, and those |
| 5 also, just to your point, you know, when you start putting | 5 different symbols representation of there's a tree which |
| 6 the trees in the level change that, you know, it's -- | 6 a solid and a dot in the middle, that's kind of a canopy |
| 7 improves it further. | 7 tree. And then there's other trees kind of lines in the |
| 8 MR. GOSCH: Okay. Would it be possible fo | 8 middle which more of kind of flowering trees. It's much |
| 9 Zoom operator to kind of show abutting property line kind of | 9 more of a staggered organic edge to it. So you've got |
| 10 towards the west side of the building? | 10 layers in terms of how it's on the plan, but also layers of |
| 11 THE COURT: I think you can -- yeah | 11 height from kind of towards your resident side going toward |
| 12 MR. GOSCH: All right. Right there. Would it b | 12 the development. |
| 13 possible to Zoom in a little more here, okay. So I see a | 13 HEARING EXAMINER: I think the best exhib |
| 14 lot of .1 s and decimals kind of leading to the left of the | 14 |
| 15 screen where there's white space. And then it kind of cuts | 15 THE WITNESS: The planting plan, it's probably 17A |
| 16 off right up that kind of that edge in the bottom and then | 16 again to look at that. |
| 17 there's nothing towards lot 14 and lot 13. Is that | 17 THE COURT: Ye |
| 18 accurate? Because I'm just confused why are there markings | 18 THE WITNESS: You can Zoom in. Yeah so where |
| 19 where it says B kind of in the middle left but then there's | 19 you've got lot 14 we just about, there's different -- the |
| 20 nothing at the bottom just | 20 different tree symbols represent. |
| 21 THE WITNESS: So the data recorded when it's | 21 THE COURT: Lot 14 is plan. |
| 22 recording the foot-candle data, you can see on the plan that | 22 THE WITNESS: South. |
| 23 you've got the nor point one and the various numbers. The | 23 THE COURT: South. |
| 24 reason that you know the nor -- along that southern edge | 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So you can see it's a double |
| 25 where you got nor point one and then it goes white and | 25 layer of tree planting there, and you've kind of got a |


| 121 | 123 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 canopy tree, the flowering tree, you got a real mix of it, | 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah, so you can stop a minute. |
| 2 the different types to provide that kind of layering | 2 Ms. Lee-Cho asked if this is testimony and there is -- it |
| 3 That's what I was trying to describe when I was kind of | 3 testimony. You'll get a chance to say it, but your question |
| 4 going through it. | 4 I think is did you perform any -- was light study or a |
| 5 MR. GOSCH: Okay. So that's still a -- I mean, I | 5 da |
| 6 see double layers and clearly there are circles on top o | 6 MR. GOSCH: As part of the light study here, I mean |
| 7 other circles. But then near lot 13 , that's j | 7 was it just the light spillage or was there anything to do |
| 8 right? Is there a plan for there to be a solid fence or | 8 with light? |
| 9 something to block any of the headlights or anything else | 9 THE WITNESS: No. No, we didn't -- I mean, a lot |
| 10 that might protrude into the property? | 10 of lights, you know, the photometric plan is kind of, you |
| 11 THE WITNESS: Currently on the plan, we're not | 11 know, aimed to demonstrate that we meet to code in terms of |
| 12 showing that. | 12 light spillage. We didn't do a study as you're describing. |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER: What's the grade there? Between | 13 Typically a lot of the fixtures that, you know, we'll be |
| 14 the two -- does it have the brim there? | 14 selecting will apply inherent of the dark sky principles. |
| 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. Along that so there's a grade | 15 We talked about kind of shielding for the column lights, so |
| 16 differential in that corner that you've mentioned which h | 16 that lighting is projected downwards. We wouldn't have any |
| 17 an embankment that would kind of mitigate that the ca | 17 kind of up lighting, so that kind of doesn't have a glare. |
| 18 parking glare that you've just referenced. | 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Except for the accent lights. I |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER: would that -- what do you mean | 19 know they're accents but |
| 20 kind of mitigate? | 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I was kind of talking -- |
| 21 THE WITNESS: Well, mitigate the light gl | 21 HEARING EXAMINER: It's a concern why to the acce |
| 22 because of the embankment height. | 22 lights, the sconces that light upwar |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Which is -- didn't you state was | 23 THE WITNESS: I think. |
| 24 higher than the car? | 24 HEARING OFFICER: If it's just architectural. |
| 25 THE WITNESS: Yeah, its higher than the car, but | 25 THE WITNESS: It could be that they could just go |
| 122 | 124 |
| 1 I'd have to confirm that height. I don't know off of top of | 1 shine downwards in terms of to kind of, you know, still get |
| 2 my head. | 2 that affect for the building and we can still highlight. |
| 3 MS. LEE-CHO: We'll have the civil engineer | 3 HEARING EXAMINER: I interrupted you were |
| 4 THE WITNESS: We'll have the civil engineer confirm | 4 explaining how the lights are cut off. |
| 5 the height. | 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So, you know, in terms of dark |
| 6 MS. GOSCH: And I would have one or maybe two other | 6 sky principles, you know, not having that kind of uplight |
| 7 questions, so I really appreciate your time. So I know | 7 prevention of glare as well as making sure that, you know, |
| 8 we've been focusing a lot on light spillage onto the | 8 things are back lit as well. That's the kind of elements |
| 9 neighboring properties. I want to talk about light | 9 that, you know, prevent those concerns and sort of the |
| 10 pollution and dark side reservation. One of the best parts | 10 lighting pollution that you're talking about. |
| 11 about living in Burtonsville and one of the main reasons I | 11 MR. GOSCH: Okay. And then the follow-up to that |
| 12 bought the house where I did here in Valley Stream Estates a | 12 was these exterior lights, are they on dimmers, timers? How |
| 13 couple years ago is because I like the idea of there being | 13 easy would it be to move it or kind of like you guys |
| 14 so many trees, and you can see quite a lot from in part the | 14 mentioned or just scattered from day one. |
| 15 county, but you can't -- you know the closer you get to the | 15 THE WITNESS: They're not on timers. I think at |
| 16 city. | 16 the moment in terms of the building ones, they're kind of |
| 17 Were there any studies that you guys conducted | 17 really just highlighting the entry. There are different |
| 18 outside of the light spillage when it comes to like | 18 lights that can be on a motion detector at the moment, but |
| 19 pollution? I also read the Montgomery County climate action | 19 we're not proposing. |
| 20 plan that light pollution is one of the main things that | 20 HEARING EXAMINER: What about the parking lot |
| 21 we're trying to avoid, and dark preservations also brought | 21 lights? |
| 22 up, you know, a study that was referenced in that actual | 22 THE WITNESS: That's what I was kind of alluding |
| 23 plan. | 23 to. They're just kind of on a dusk to dawn setting. And |
| 24 MS. LEE-CHO: Is this testimony? | 24 then I think the kind of building lights that, you know, |
| 25 THE WITNESS: Of university of Maryland. | 25 because of the accent lighting, they're not really going to |


| reat impact. | 1 everything on mute on your side because we can hear what you |
| :---: | :---: |
| HEARING EXAMINER: Now, do you need the parking lot | 2 say. Okay? And for the testimony this afternoon, people |
| lights for security at night? | 3 have to have their cameras on unless you don't have a camera |
| THE WITNESS: Yeah, we would need the - | 4 like Mr. Singh. But otherwise you have to have your camera |
| AMINER: So they can't be dusk to dawn. | 5 on. Okay, with that we're going to go off until 1:40. |
| THE WITNESS: Yeah, yeah, sorry. So the parking | 6 Thank you. |
| lot lights would be needed for -- obviously they'll be for | 7 (A lunch recess was taken.) |
| staff changes and acces | 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Is everyone on Zoom ready? |
| car park and sidewalk. | 9 Just making sure someone is there. Okay. We're back on the |
| HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I just am trying to make | 10 record. It's 1:45. Ms. Lee-Cho, do you want to call your |
| sure I understand the parameters. I saw the lighting plans | 11 next witnes |
| said dusk to dawn, but most places want them lit because of | 12 MS. LEE-CHO: If I could do one thing before I call |
| rrity. | 13 Mr . Wilson. Chris Palkowitsch is on still on virtual and he |
| ESS | 14 -- I've asked him to address the question about the Fairland |
| HEARING EXAMINER: But you will have the full cut | 15 Master Plan and the pending final plan and Briggs Chaney |
| eatures? | 16 plan and address whether -- that applies to us at all this |
| HEARING EXAMINER: Anything else? | 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, very well then. They got |
| MR. GOSCH: Nothing fromme. Thank you | 19 to take questions. All right. |
| HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Okay. With that then, we're going to try and take a half -- how many more | 20 MS. LEE-CHO: It came up before. We can certainly 21 do it after the traffic. |
| esses? We have five witnesses from Valley Stream and we | 22 THE COURT: Let's do it after the traffic. |
| he civil and the tra | 23 MS. LEE-CHO: I just want to let you know we have |
| -CHO: Yes. And we have someone just on | 24 an answer to that question. |
| operational from the state that we can skip that, but it's | 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Okay. Please raise your |
| 126 | 28 |
| he record. | 1 right hand. |
| HEARING EXAMINER: Is the opera | 2 CARL WILSON |
| operational aspects in your statement of justification? | 3 after having been duly sworn or affirmed testified as |
| MS. LEE-CHO: It is. But we need to making one | 4 follows: |
| correction. So I can try to have that correction made by | 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Please state your name, business |
| somebody else. | 6 address, and e-mail for the record. |
| HEARING EXAMINER: What I can do -- those in | 7 THE WITNESS: Sure. My name is Carl Wilson. |
| opposition we're going to take a | 8 Business address 9900 Franklin Square Drive Suite H, that's |
| those in opposition would like to review the statement of | 9 in Baltimore, 21236, and e-mail is cwilson@traffic |
| ations, which is exhibit -- I saw it, Exhib | 10 group.com. |
| cription operational feature, that's when the shifts | 11 MS. LEE-CHO: This is Mr. Wilson's resume'. His |
| ing in. It's a little tied into -- we can just review | 12 resume' is included in the shared file as well. |
| and if you have any questions | 13 HEARING EXAMINER: Can you bring it up, please. |
| ures, what I was hoping to do and you may also want to | 14 Thank you. And I'm going to mark this as Exhibit 51. |
| at the statement of justification, which is Exhibit 7. | 15 BY MS. LEE-CHO |
| at I was hoping to do is get to the traffic testimony | 16 Q So Mr. Wilson was qualified as a witness before, |
| , and then if there's anyway to abbreviate the civil | 17 and so I would just ask him to recall the case in which he |
| neering testimony, except I think I want to know how | 18 was qualified in? |
| hat brim is. And then we can get to the opposition | 19 A Sure case was S2 850. That was for creating |
| nesses. Does anyone have an objection to that? | 20 Memories Child Learning center back in January 2013, |
| MS. LEE-CHO: That's fin | 21 actually. |
| HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. We'll try that and see if | 22 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And, yes, that's fine. |
| can get everybody out, so we will be back at 140 . We're | 23 We have Mr. Wilson seeking to be qualified. Are you a |
| the record. | 24 traffic engineer? |
| Please when we take this break, please put | 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am |

everything on mute on your side because we can hear what you
say. Okay? And for the testimony this afternoon, people
have to have their cameras on unless you don't have a camera
like Mr. Singh. But otherwise you have to have your camera
on. Okay, with that we're going to go off until 1:40.
Thank you.
(A lunch recess was taken.)
HEARING EXAMINER: Is everyone on Zoom ready?
Just making sure someone is there. Okay. We're back on the record. It's 1:45. Ms. Lee-Cho, do you want to call your next witness?

MS. LEE-CHO: IfI could do one thing before I call
Mr. Wilson. Chris Palkowitsch is on still on virtual and he
-- I've asked him to address the question about the Fairland
Master Plan and the pending final plan and Briggs Chaney
plan and address whether -- that applies to us at all this
site. Can I have him do that very quickly.
HEARING EXAMINER: Well, very well then. They got
to take questions. All right.
MS. LEE-CHO: It came up before. We can certainly

THE COURT: Let's do it after the traffic.
MS. LEE-CHO: I just want to let you know we have
an answer to that question.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Okay. Please raise your
right hand.
CARL WILSON
after having been duly sworn or affirmed testified as follows:
HEARING EXAMINER: Please state your name, business address, and e-mail for the record.

THE WITNESS: Sure. My name is Carl Wilson.
Business address 9900 Franklin Square Drive Suite H, that's
in Baltimore, 21236, and e-mail is cwilson@traffic group.com.

MS. LEE-CHO: This is Mr. Wilson's resume'. His
resume' is included in the shared file as well.
HEARING EXAMINER: Can you bring it up, please.
Thank you. And I'm going to mark this as Exhibit 51.
BY MS. LEE-CHO
Q So Mr. Wilson was qualified as a witness before,
and so I would just ask him to recall the case in which he
was qualified in?
A Sure case was S2 850. That was for creating
Memories Child Learning center back in January 2013, actually.

HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And, yes, that's fine. We have Mr. Wilson seeking to be qualified. Are you a traffic engineer?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I am

| 1 | $\quad$ THE COURT: As A traffic expert in traffic |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | engineering. Do I hear his resume' is shown on the page. I |
| 3 | am aware at least of his firm, and he was qualified before |
| 4 | as a traffic expert in trafficking in engineering. Does |
| 5 | anyone have an objection? Hearing none, I will so qualify |
| 6 | him. Go ahead, Ms. Lee-Cho. |
| 7 | $\quad$ MS. LEE-CHO: Thank you. |
| 8 | Q $\quad$ Mr. Wilson, did you prepare the traffic statements |
| 9 | in support of this senior care facility application? |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | A Yes, I did. |
| 11 | Q $\quad$ Can you please walk us through the analysis |
| 12 | methodology set forth in that statement, I believe Exhibit 9 |
| 13 | in the record? |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | A Yes, I can. For this site, the first step that we |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | do is we look at the proposed land use and determine the |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | number of trips that the site is going to generate. In this |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ case, there were $\mathbf{8 8}$ independent living units, 27 memory care |  |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | units, and $\mathbf{6 4}$ assisting living beds. And we used the |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Institute of Transportation Engineers national standard in |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | the industry to determine the base trip generation for those |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | uses. Then Park and Planning Montgomery County Park and |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | Planning Commission has separate adjustment factors |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | basically that occur to those rates to determine number of |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | person trips that a site is going to generate. The number |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | of person trips, the total of number of people that arrive |

1 to the site during the a.m. peak hour and then the p.m. peak
hour.
In this case we found that the site itself would 4 generate a total of 21 a.m. vehicular trips and 36 p.m.
5 vehicular trips based on the variable of assisting living
6 beds and congregate care units. Once we apply the
7 adjustment factors to the policy area, I then divide by a
8 factor of 73.9 is what MNCPPC uses for the adjustment
9 traffic for person trips. That results in 27 person trips
10 during the morning peak hour and 47 person trips in p.m.
11 peak hour. So we're below that 50 threshold the traffic
12 statement is the end of the line, so to speak, in terms of
13 traffic analysis that we're typically required to put
14 together for a site like this.
15 Now, it's important to recognize that the trip 16 generation, even though we're using of number of beds, a lot 17 of the residents to a site like this aren't going to drive.
18 They might have cars on site, but a lot of the trips are
19 actually more staff related, they could be visitor related,
20 you know, those sort of things. You know, it's not to say
21 that the residents won't drive, but a lot of it is related
22 to, you know, staff or workers or deliveries to the site.
23 Again, we use the variable of beds and units, because that's
24 typically what's used. It's a physical attribute to the
25 site that's used, but ITE does have other variables that
could be used as well.
And Ms. Lee-Cho asked me to note that one of the corrections in the report is the number of staff that the site will have.

HEARING EXAMINER: In what report?
MS. LEE-CHO: Before we get do that.
HEARING EXAMINER: I'm looking at exhibit 8 .
THE WITNESS: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER: Description of operational features.
11 Q Before we get to that, I want to focus a little bit
12 on the ITE rate regarding -- based on the methodology that
you did apply based on unit and beds?
A Yes.
15 Q You said -- so it's not -- the number of employees
6 to service that amount of unit and beds that you're saying
is based on that number in the ITE?
A That's correct. ITE is based on studies that are undertaken at similar facilities throughout the country. Basically there's driveway counts that would occur, you 1 know, so somebody will be counting the total number of vehicles going into a site and total number of vehicles going out after site during the a.m. and p.m. peak period. 4 And then they determine - they apply that to the number of beds to the square footage, the number of employees to

determine rates that we can use that rate to apply it to, you know, a new proposed site.

Now, in this case, or in any case really, they're not really interviewing the people to find out if they're a.m. workers. if they're visitons, if they're staff.
whatever. It's really just the total number of vehicles, so that account for any sorts of trip to the site during those peak hours.

Q Now, there's a another methodology for ITE rate 10 that you could have used for this project?
11 A Yes. I mean, land use classifications there are 12 square footage, there's number of employees, so there are 13 different variables that we could use.
14 Q And I believe as for point of exercise, I did ask
15 you to look at the study in terms of an employee based ITE 6 rate, and did you do that?
17 A We did, yeah. And if we were to use the number of 18 employees that would actually result in fewer trips to the 19 site than the - we're counting for the number of beds.
20 Q Okay. So what number of employees did you assume 21 in that analysis?

A We ran that with a total of 44 employees for the site, and basically that would have resulted in 17 a.m. peak 4 hour person trips and 20 p.m. peak hour person trips. HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry what was the p.m?

| 133 | 135 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 THE WITNESS: The p.m would have been 27 person | 1 the driveways at those other uses during those times and |
| 2 trips. If we consider the number of employees. It's also | 2 came up with a highest amount between 7:00 to 9:00 and 4:00 |
| 3 important to recognize for a site such as this is that | 3 to 6:00 the highest single hour within a 15 minute period |
| 4 there's a lot of activity that's going to occur during those | 4 within that time frame. |
| 5 known people hours as well. So this is only the single hour | $5 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ So looking at this shift schedule, I see a morning |
| 6 during the morning and the single hour during the afternoon | 6 shiff that starts at 7:00 a.m. So there is a shift change |
| 7 we're focusing on, but there's still going to be potential | 7 that's occurring at 7:00 a.m. which would get caught up in |
| 8 trips that are occurring for staff mem | 8 the peak hour, |
| 9 visitors, you know, during other times of the day as well. | 9 A Some of that could be for the morning peak, yes. |
| 10 Q So those numbers that you recited, they're | 10 Q And then when it goes from a morning shift to |
| 11 significantly less than the numbers that we relied on to | 11 evening shift, that happens at three o'clock? |
| 12 support this application under the traffic statement that | 12 A And that's prior to what we would consider a |
| 13 was reviewed by the Planning Department, correct? | 13 typically p.m. peak hour. |
| 14 A Yes, that's correct. | 14 Q And similarly for the food and beverage staff, |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER: But they don't take into accou | 15 there's a shift change at two o'clock? |
| 16 the actual shifts schedule. They're fromITE? | 16 A Similarly prior to the typical peak hour. |
| 17 THE WITNESS: That's correct, yes. | 17 Q So that would not -- that's outside peak? |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. | 18 A That's correct. |
| 19 Q So would you say that the methodology employed | 19 Q And then the night shift, they come in -- there's a |
| 20 under the official traffic statement that we filed for the | $203: 00$ to $11: 00$ p.m shift. Then there's a shift change at |
| 21 application to more conservative approach on the number of | $2111: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$, which is completely off peak, I guess? |
| 22 trips? | 22 HEARING OFFICER: So is your point the exception |
| 23 A Yes, I do. | 23 for the morning shift, they're outside of peak hours? |
| 24 Q A higher number? | 24 THE WITNESS: That's correct. |
| 25 A It's high, right, correct. The traffic statement | 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 134 | 136 |
| 1 that we submitted showed a potential higher number of trips | 1 HEARING OFFICER: Let's move forward. |
| 2 if we consider the number of units and beds versus 44 staff | 2 Q Okay. So the correction -- what is the correction |
| 3 members on site. | 3 to this document? |
| 4 Q Okay. And then now we can address the correction | 4 A Instead of the $\mathbf{2 2}$ staff that's mentioned in the |
| 5 to exhibit -- Exhibit 8 which is the description of | 5 document here, my understanding now is it could be up to 44 |
| 6 operational features which we did have up? | 6 staff on site. |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Now, in the past, we have made | 7 Q Well, no. I don't |
| 8 shift times and staffing a condition of approval because | 8 HEARING OFFICER: Wait. What does that do to |
| 9 that's what we base our decision on. Do you -- with this | 9 parking? Do you have enough parking for that? |
| 10 correction, do you have a problem of doing that? | 10 MS. LEE-CHO: The the parking was based on 44. I |
| 11 MS. LEE-CHO: Well, maybe you want to hear what the | 11 mean, we can look at civil testimony. |
| 12 correction is first. | 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. | 13 Q So that was an error on this document to be |
| 14 MS. LEE-CHO: | 14 consistent? |
| 15 Q But before I get to the correction, so just to | 15 HEARING EXAMINER: So I guess I'm saying -- all |
| 16 clarify Mr. Wilson, this shift schedule, are you saying that | 16 right. So when are those people coming? When are the |
| 17 the traffic statement relied upon the changing shift | 17 additional people coming? |
| 18 schedule to analyze the trip generation impasse? | 18 MS. LEE-CHO: They're not additional. It was an |
| 19 A So the variable in ITE considers the adjacent | 19 error on this document. The 44 was always the number, and |
| 20 street peak hour. Which it addresses it at 7:00 to 9:00 | 20 it's represented in the parking count. |
| 21 a.m. and 4:00 to 6:00 p.m. So basically the studies that | 21 HEARING EXAMINER: But that doesn't change your |
| 22 would have been under taken if ITE looked at those hours | 22 analysis for the purpose of the trip generation? |
| 23 from 7:00 to 9:00, 4:00 to 6:00 because that's typically | 23 THE WITNESS: No, it does not. Because, again, |
| 24 peak hours on the road. That's when, you know, the most | 24 from the traffic statement that we prepared was based on the |
| 25 intense surrounding traffic occurs. So it basically counted | 25 number of units and beds. And again, for any type of |



| 14 | $143$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 intersection. There are two rear-ends, and then there were | 1 A So we did actually review the intersection around |
| 2 th | $2 \mathbf{1 9 8}$ for our client's interest just to determine if it might |
| 3 reported crash not exactly at the intersection that wa | 3 actually meet some of the needs for a traffic signal based |
| 4 listed as the same direction | 4 on the volume. |
| 5 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And what do you draw from | 5 HEARING EXAMINER: This is Dino Drive and 1 |
| 6 those st |  |
| $7 \quad$ THE WITNESS: That there's not really a safety | 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Oka |
| 8 concern | 8 THE WITNESS: So back in Ju |
| $9$ | 9 |
| $10$ | $10$ |
| 11 crashes | 11 need for the traffic signal based on the |
| 12 typical pe | 12 traffic control devices. And then this was not submitted |
| 13 us that there | 13 reviewed. This was basically |
| 14 intersection. | 14 information. Also just to be |
| 15 | estions that we thought might come up |
| 16 crashes ov |  |
| 17 THE WITNESS: Angle crashes are more typically | 17 The METCD is basical |
| 18 type that | 18 outlines the criteria for the need for a traffic sig |
| $19$ | 19 And there's different combinations of traffic volum |
| 20 | 20 we look at both on the major street 198 in the case and the |
| 21 | 21 minor street which is Dino Drive in this |
| 22 in a conge | 22 found was that there is not enough traffic volume on Dino |
| 23 paying as | 23 Drive to warrant traffic signalization. |
| $24$ | 24 existing condition back from 2022, and then also we went |
| 25 you know, typically angle crashes are more of concern, you | 25 through and we added in what we call the diurnal trips that |
| 142 | 144 |
| 1 know, | 1 throughout the day trips that our site |
| 2 | 2 So those were also added into the mix to review the |
| 3 to asses | 3 per hour that would be exiting Dino Drive and ultimately |
| 4 of numbers and types of | 4 accessing Maryland 198 |
| 5 know, alert like that a sig | 5 And even with the s |
| 6 is warranted if you -- if | 6 found that we are still in a situation where traffic sign |
| 7 insufficient, what | 7 is not warranted under any of the hours throughout the day. |
| $8 \quad$ A | 8 Basically that's a fact a result of the minor street left |
| 9 criteria | 9 turns being very low. So the major volumes of high enou |
| 10 | 10 along Maryland 198 to potentially warrant a traffic sign |
| 11 combinations of traffic | 11 but the volumes on Dino Drive throughout the entire course |
| 12 of the warrants has to do with crash examine experience and | 12 of the day are too low on a hourly basis for that signal to |
| 13 what that crash experience, if we wanted to staff a warrant | 13 be warran |
| 14 for traffic signal to | 14 |
| 15 experience alone, we would expect five or more crashes to | 15 than -- an idea of what the slow that you found? |
| 16 occur in a single 12 month period that would be what we call | 16 A Absolute |
| 17 susceptible to correction by signalization, which is getting | 17 Q And then sort of give us a sense of what would |
| 18 into that angle crash. So a rear-end crash is not something | 18 the high to warrant a si |
| 19 that a traffic signal is going to help. In fact | 19 A Sure. So there's |
| 20 actually sometimes - actually increase the occurrence of | 20 what we call warrant number one and that's the - that |
| 21 rear-end crashes with a signal going in. But it's the type | 21 |
| 22 that are potentially correctable by a signal that would be | 22 which controls this intersection that's if they want th |
| 23 five or more in | 23 usually most interested in because they want to m |
| 24 Q | 24 that if a signal is going in, it's not going to unduly delay |
| 5 warrant requirement for a traffic signalization? | 25 traffic during the non-peak hours and everything else. They |



| 149 | 151 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 at 198 Dino? | 1 that's turning -- that's on 19 making a U-turn? |
| 2 THE WITNESS: That would be considered a part of | 2 MS. TAYLOR: Yes. Because at that intersection it |
| 3 the major street volume, not the minor street volume at that | 3 was expanded to allow large trucks. So it is used often for |
| 4 intersection. | 4 U-turns and yet what I'masking is why couldn't that be |
| 5 MS. TAYLOR: Does it take into account the number | 5 included in the number of left turns, since technically |
| 6 of people who turn right and m | 6 they'll make a U-turn, it is a left turn, and because that |
| 7 because it's dangerous to turn left at that intersection? | 7 can even sometimes back up and we can't make a left turn out |
| 8 THE WITNESS: We counted the number of right turns | 8 of 198 and Dino Drive because of the visibility. So |
| 9 exiting site, but we do not track them down the street the | 9 shouldn't those be included, I guess, in the left turn? |
| 10 determine if they make a U-turn. | 10 THE WITNESS: Those are what we consider to be |
| 11 MS. TAYLOR: Okay. That was my question. Thank | 11 the major approach. So, you know, basically any traffic |
| 12 you | 12 that's arriving along Maryland 198 is considered a part of |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, let me follow up on that. | 13 the major approach. So it's for different -- it's a |
| 14 If there are vehicles using the median, is it a median brea | 14 different criteria for major versus minor, because basically |
| 15 there or | 15 that U-turn is only conflicted by the opposing traffic from |
| 16 MS. TAYLOR: Yes. | 16 the west as opposed to turning leff fromDino Drive on the |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER: -- to get to 198 east or west? | 17198 where you're conflicted by both eastbound and westbound |
| 18 Sorry does that change your analysis or would it depend on | 18 traffic. So the major street left turns are a different |
| 19 how many of these were doing that? | 19 criteria because they are -- you know, experiences less |
| 20 THE WITNESS: That -- to answer that question that | 20 delay anyway. |
| 21 she was asking about the U-turns, that would involve a much, | 21 MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. |
| 22 much more detailed study, because when we do a signal | 22 HEARING EXAMINER: Anyone else? Okay, hearing |
| 23 warrant analysis, SHA basically requires us to not account | 23 MS. MEYER: I have a question. This is Ms. Meyer |
| 24 for minor street right turns. Becauch | 24 again. Can you hear me? |
| 25 street right turns don't typically incur nearly as much | 25 THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 150 | 152 |
| 1 delay as left turns. Often times they would be making a | 1 MS. MEYER: Thank you. Sorry I had to switch from |
| 2 right turn on red at a traffic signal anyway. So SHA does | 2 my mics so that you guys hopefully can't hear my so |
| 3 not like us to use those basically to determine whether a | 3 With regards to Mr. Wilson, you said that that |
| 4 signal is appropriate or not. We -- like I said, we did | 4 study was done in 2022. Have you been back to the property |
| 5 count the right turns, but we did not track them to | 5 to look at traffic patterns since then? |
| 6 determine if they wanted to go to the east on 19 or if they | 6 THE WITNESS: I've drive through the site, but I |
| 7 ultimately wanted to make a U-turn and come back. | 7 have not recounted traffic, no. |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER: But your -- | 8 MS. MEYER: Are you aware of the newly installed |
| 9 MS. LEE-CHO: Your question was did we track the | 9 private transportation services on Dino Drive just across |
| 10 left turns out of Dino Drive? | 10 from the proposed applicant lot? |
| 11 THE COURT: No, -- I think she was -- Ms. Taylo | 11 THE WITNESS: I'm aware that it's there, yes. |
| 12 was asking about the right turn and then you down a little | 12 MS. MEYER: Okay. How is that going to be factored |
| 13 and there's a U-turn, and then you head back west either to | 13 in -- it's a massive fleet of shuttles and private SUV type |
| 1429 north or somewhere else | 14 cars that are often making left turns or right turns out of |
| 15 THE WITNESS: That's right. We did NOT track that | 15 the Dino onto 198. How is that going to be factored in? We |
| 16 volume. | 16 have noticed increased traffic just from them, but there are |
| 17 MS. TAYLOR: I had a follow-up question to the fact | 17 also other businesses from the Starpoint land area that use |
| 18 that at the median, the median was expanded to allow larger | 18 Dino Drive as an exit, many of which are new since 2022. |
| 19 trucks to make U-turns at 198 and Dino Drive. So those | 19 THE WITNESS: So again, you know, we studied this |
| 20 U-turns, because that was used often, how -- that often | 20 back in 2022. We have not been back again to study it in |
| 21 blocks us from visibility to make that left turn. So why is | 212023 or obviously in 2024 at this point. What I can testify |
| 22 that not included in the study then of how many times trucks | 22 to is that back then the volumes were so far below the |
| 23 or other vehicles are using that to make a U-turn to turn | 23 threshold for warranting a signal, that even if there was |
| 24 west on the 198 | 24 some additional traffic associated with the land use that's |
| 25 THE WITNESS: You're talking about the traffic | 25 being described here, that's, you know, just south of our |


| 5 | 155 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 site, it likely wouldn't make a difference in the warrants | 1 MS. LEE-CHO: I have nothing further. |
| 2 needed for a traffic signal. | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Anyone else? Hearing |
| 3 It's also important to note that the proposed sie | 3 none, we will move on to your next witness. |
| 4 you know, throughout the day is basically single digit left | 4 Thank you, Mr. Wilson. |
| 5 turns froma projection standpoint is single digit | 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. |
| 6 turns during all but two of peak hours -- all but two of the | 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Are we going to call Mr |
| 7 eight hours that we have 11 at 2:00 o'clock and 10 at 4:00 | 7 Palkowitsch? |
| 8 o'clock. But aside from that, we're single digits additions | 8 MS. LEE-CHO: Yes, Chris Palkowitsch. I can just |
| 9 of left turns, which again don't have anywhere near staffing | 9 ask the question or |
| 10 to warrant | 10 HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, go ahea |
| 11 MS. MEYER: Thank you. | 11 CHRIS PALKAWITSCH |
| 12 question. In exhibit 9 under the table the trip generations | 12 after having been previous sworn or affirmed, testified as |
| 13 for subject site, your final column is average daily trips. | 13 |
| 14 Those numbers does not include trips not associated with | 14 BY MS. LEE-CHO: |
| 15 average Brookstone Living -- he put that word in so I know | 15 Q So, Chris, this going back to? |
| 16 what it said earlier. It's unclear from the chart | 16 THE COURT: You're still under oath, M |
| 17 wanted the clarify how you 411 trips from the, as you said | 17 Palkowitsch. |
| 18 relatively small numbers by compar | 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. thank you. |
| 19 THE WITNESS: So that last column table 2 of our | 19 Q Just going back to the question of the |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry, which exhibit are yo | 20 applicability of -- 1997 Fairland Master Plan as opposed to |
| 21 referring to | 21 what the county is currently, I guess working on finalizing |
| 22 THE WITNESS: It's shown | 22 which is the Fairland and Briggs Chaney Master Plan, if you |
| 23 MS. LEE-CHO: Exhibi | 23 could clarify for the record whether the Fairland and Brigg |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, okay. Thank you. E | 24 Chaney Master Plan applies to the project? |
| 25 Page 5, I think. Yes. Okay, go ahead. | 25 A Yes. I apologize for my lack of clarity on the |
| 154 | 156 |
| 1 T | 1 answer previous. The Briggs Chaney new master plan does not |
| 2 the end ave | 2 include this parc |
| 3 number of trips in and out of the site over a 24 h | 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Does not include this -- I |
| 4 period. So basically that's saying that the 205 trips would | 4 couldn't hear what you said for some reason. |
| 5 be coming in, 205 trips would be leaving over the course of | 5 THE WITNESS: It does not include the parcel that |
| 6 an entire 24 hour period | 6 we're reviewing today. |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay | 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 8 MS. MEYER: Just from Brookstone Living itself? | 8 Q What are you looking at to determine that |
| 9 THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. That's the | 9 A I am looking at the online county plan and if -- |
| 10 figure based on the rate in IT | 10 after there are two maps that are provided on the left side |
| 11 MS. MEYER: And I realize this is not necessar | 11 to show what that outlines property is. And at the very |
| 12 something that you may be -- this might be to the county | 12 northern edge of that property is where our site is located, |
| 13 code, but that's still not a sufficient number of trips per | 13 but we are outside the the new master plan area. That's why |
| 14 day to warrant any kind of more in-depth traffic analysis | 14 I answered the way previously that I was unaware of its |
| 15 especially considering the extra businesses in the area? | 15 impasse on our site because it's outside the -- I'm aware of |
| 16 THE WITNESS: No. So what's required of the | 16 the plan. |
| 17 development process is that if we are below 50 person peak | 17 Q We don't have an electronic exhibit of the |
| 18 hour trips, then the traffic statement is all that is | 18 that, but I do have a printout if you're interested |
| 19 required. So the analysis that we ran at Maryland 198 and | 19 taking it from me? |
| 20 Dino Drive was above and beyond what typically would have | 20 HEARING OFFICER: Well, let me just say I will take |
| 21 been required. We're below 50 peak hour person trips, so | 21 official notice. Now, you can object to me taking official |
| 22 therefore there's no additional requirements from the county | 22 notice, but the plan is on the planning website I get -- I |
| 23 at that point. | 23 get mid counties versus -- versus up county. I'm going to |
| 24 MS. MEYER: Thank you. No further questions from | 24 choose down county now. |
| 25 me . | 25 MS. LEE-CHO: I find it better just to type in the |

```
words fairland in the search.
    HEARING OFFICER: On the search button, okay, I'll
do that.
    THE WITNESS: Is there a chat feature in the
meeting I can just drop it.
    HEARING EXAMINER: No, we can't use chat because
it's ex parte -- I can't monitor it and would be ex parte to
try to use it so --
    THE WITNESS: I understand.
    HEARING EXAMINER: So parking planning does have a
website. I'm sorry to take so long the find it for you.
It's right on the cups, might be uptown.
    THE WITNESS: It is on uptown.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So what you do is you go
-- I'm going to read the website so everybody has access to
the plan Fairland and Briggs Chaney. So the website is
Montgomery County planning, one word, dot org, ORG,
backslash planning backslash communities backslash up county
backslash fairland backslash fair land-master-plan -- oh, no
I think I did the wrong one. Wait it says recently approved
plans. Is this approved?
    MS. LEE-CHO: I think there's an interm that was
approved.
    HEARING EXAMINER: county counsel approved interim
draft. I was at the wrong -- I apologize. I'm going to
give you another one. It's -- the front stays the same
Montgomery Planning dot org backslash WP-content backslash
uploads backslash }2023\mathrm{ backslash 12 backslash FBCM
P-approved-counsel draft dash interm dot PDF. Okay. We'll
figure out a way we have everyone's e-mail and we can send
the link the people. And I will -- Monday is a county
holiday, we're closed for business but, we will try to do it
Tuesday. So what page are you referring to in this plan?
    THE WITNESS: I apologize, I don't have that direct
plan on the left side of the plan and there are two plan
docks on the website.
    MS. LEE-CHO: He said boundary maps. Chris, your
audio is like crackly. It's hard to tell what you're
saying.
    THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm not sure what's going on.
I'll just speak up.
    HEARING EXAMINER: I see it and then it's south of
the boundary. The boundary is --
MS. LEE-CHO: Is the boundary south of the
property.
    HEARING EXAMINER: East or west of this property.
Is that your conclusion, Mr. Palkawitsch?
    THE WITNESS: Yes, that is my conclusion.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.So we will try to get the
link to everyone on Tuesday. Anything else you wanted to
say?
```

say?
MS. LEE-CHO: No. That's all I have for
Mr. Palkawitsch.
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Any questions of Mr. Palkawitsch?

MS. TAYLOR: Mr. Palkawitsch, this is Eileen Taylor again. I have a quick question. Since I really first of all appreciate clarifying that the parcel is not included in the new proposed Fairland plan. My question though is since you are -- does this disqualify your argument that based on the 1997 land you were arguing that the land was allowed to
be used for conditional use but since it's to longer being
included in the master plan, doesn't that null and void that argument?

THE WITNESS: It would -- that's a good question an dI don't have, like, a clean answer for you.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay.
THE WITNESS: It might (inaudible) site has to go to, you know, somebody master plan since it's not included in the new one. In my perspective. I would fall back to the previous one.

MS. TAYLOR: Wouldn't you have to do due diligence, though, to be determine if it is in another master plan and how it is approved for zoning there?

HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry, I couldn't understand
your question.
MS. TAYLOR: Oh, I'm sorry. My question is since it's not in the new proposed master plan, Mr. Palkawitsch had said that it might be inside another master plan, but that is why he fell back to to the 1997.

THE WITNESS: No, I was was saying there was since it is outside -- since our -- with reviewing this plan and that being updated in that our properties on side of that, the master plan wouldn't be considered to change anything in my perspective to our properties, how the property is being utilized, so the zoning or the other zoning that come with it.
13 MS. TAYLOR: And yet your argument was based on the 1997, that it was allowed for conditional use. My question is what -- wouldn't this void that argument since it's no longer in the new proposed master plan?

HEARING EXAMINER: No. I'll jump in here. It says in the 1997 master plan unless there's an intervening master plan that covers the same area. So when you're looking at master -- unless somebody wants to argue that I'm wrong, unless there's -- if there is no other master plan applicable, then the 1997 one still applies.

MS. TAYLOR: Would there be another master plan that covers that area?

HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Palkawitsch, do you know of

```
any other master plan that covers this area?
    THE WITNESS: I'm unaware of additional master
plan.
    HEARING EXAMINER: We do have a new general plan,
but I don't believe it has site called -- Thrive 2050, but I
don't know -- I doubt it has any specific land use
recommendations on the site with an overall plan for the
county, but you're more than welcome to look it up. It's
called Thrive 2050, and if anyone sees a -- something
relating to this property, it containing more
general -- general goals for the county. But can
you -- you're welcome to look.
    MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. Appreciate it.
    HEARING EXAMINER: I don't want to get involved too
much if somebody doesn't agree, but, okay, go ahead. Anyone
else? Okay, hearing none. Do you have any redirect based
on the questions? Just a minute, Mr. Wilson. Just a
minute.
    MS. LEE-CHO: Not in light of your particular
indication on the master plan but --
    HEARING OFFICER: Well, now can you go.
    MS. LEE-CHO: Yes. Okay. Your next -- how close
are we to ending? Because I really want to get -- we have
an hour left unless I get special permission to go later.
Can you stay -- okay, we have a little more flexibility to
```

5:00.
MS. LEE-CHO: We're going to be very brief because
these are technical plans and --
HEARING EXAMINER: And I really want to hear from
the people that have been patiently waiting all day.
MS. LEE-CHO: Yes. We're going to hit the
highlights with Mr. Packard.
HEARING EXAMINER: Please raise your right hand.
DEAN PACKARD
after having been duly sworn or affirmed, testified as
follows:
follows:
HEARING EXAMINER: Please state your name, business
address, and e-mail address for the record.
THE WITNESS: My name is Dean Packard. My business
address is 204 Monroe Street, Suite 201 in Rockville
Maryland, and my e-mail is dean@packardassociatesll.com
HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead, Ms. Lee-Cho.
MS. LEE-CHO: So we like to qualify or introduce --
HEARING EXAMINER: And do you have his resume'?
MS. LEE-CHO: Yes.
THE COURT: Is it in the packet that you downloaded
earlier?
MS. LEE-CHO: Mr. Packard has testified numerous
times and qualified as an expect before this body and the
Board of Appeals as well as in the profession of civil
engineering and land planning.
THE WITNESS: And land surveying.
MS. LEE-CHO: Land surveying.
HEARING EXAMINER: That will be 52, Dean Packard
resume'. Okay. I will say he has qualified as a civil
engineer several times before our agency.
Does anyone have an objection to qualifying him as
a civil engineer in this case? Okay, hearing none. Go
ahead, Mr. Packard.
BY MS. LEE-CHO:
Q So just to begin, very, very briefly if you could
2 just use the MRIFSD Exhibit 11, identify for the record
3 notable features, size, topography, environmental features,
sensitive areas on the property.
A It would be 11 A , and $I$ guess $I$ did have - this 6 needs to be rotated. There you go. Generally early the
17 site, you know, rises slightly from Dino Drive and Valley
18 Stream Drive to a high point. It's pretty much in the
19 center of the property, and it drops plan north, you know,
20 eight feet, and toward the plan south it drops 30 feet. So
21 this property does have 4.1 acres of forest on it and our
22 priority was to preserve and - a forest to open areas down
23 near stream which is on the land west side of the
24 property.
25 HEARING EXAMINER: Is it on the property or just
1 outside the property boundary?
2 THE WITNESS: It runs parallel to the plan west 3 property line just on our property and then veers off to the 4 left toward the rectangular box in the inner left-hand 5 corner.
6 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
7 THE WITNESS: As I said, our priority was to 8 preserve the Stream Valley buffer. We are preserving it a 9 hundred percent, you know, from the flood plan and wetlands, 10 and the Stream Valley buffer we are preserving additional
11 forest in the -- I would say southwest -- plan southwest
12 corner of the property. And in the plan northwest of the
13 property is an open area that we are replanting with trees
14 and forestation. The question did come up if there are
15 other areas on the site that could be reforested, and there
16 are no other, you know -- our focus was on the Stream Valley
17 buffer and the areas on the plan west side of the property.
18 There are no other areas on the property that would qualify
19 for, you know, reforestation or a forestation. So the plan
20 was to over emphasize the proposed landscaping as previously
21 testified to, you know, further enhance, you know,
replacement of trees to the maximum extent possible.
23 Q What has been approved by the planning board and is
24 in the record, the resolution of approval, Exhibit 41, that
25 is the preliminary forest conservation plan, correct?

| 165 | 167 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A Correct. | 1 MS. LEE-CHO: Can you guide the curser as you |
| 2 Q So there is -- is there another phase of forest | 2 testify? |
| 3 conservation plan review and approval? | 3 THE WITNESS: So when you come in the front |
| 4 A There will be another place. It would be called | 4 entrance, right underneath sheet two there is an oval-shaped |
| 5 the final forest conservation plan, and that could be | 5 just right there. That's -- let's call that by retention |
| 6 typically done at the main plan stage, but it's required to | 6 facility one. And those by retention facilities are |
| 7 be approved prior to permitting for grading. | 7 designed to capture all the run-off from the paved areas and |
| 8 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay. I would like to move on to the | 8 the impervious areas and treat them and infiltrate theminto |
| 9 storm water concept, if there is nothing else on the fores | 9 the ground to the extent that the county and state require, |
| 10 conserv | 10 and then, you know, any flows above that is -- is picked up |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER: No, I'm fine with that. | 11 in an underground drainage system and conveyed down to the |
| 12 MS. LEE-CHO: Okay, | 12 stream area. So going around the property as you travel to |
| 13 Q So if you could just very briefly describe how | 13 the left is another facility, and continuing around the |
| 14 the | 14 corner there is a facility. |
| 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Just are there any issues with | 15 HEARING EXAMINER: Those long oval shapes are the |
| 16 the storm water? Does the audience have any questions on | 16 facilities? |
| 17 the storm water? If see some in the Valley Stream left. | 17 THE WITNESS: They are the facilities. |
| 18 MS. MEYER: If I can speak briefly to some of those | 18 HEARING EXAMINER: I'mjust clarifying it for the |
| 19 concerns. I think largely - | 19 record. |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Why don't you just pose them a | 20 THE WITNESS: And they are -- they are underground |
| 21 questions, and we'll just do that instead of having them go | 21 facilities, and they are mulched and planted with |
| 22 through everything. | 22 vegetation, grasses, and shrubbery an |
| 23 MS. MEYER: If the counsel and the witness are fine | 23 HEARING EXAMINER: And do they capture water and |
| 24 with that. I think for us it was just one | 24 then release it more slowly, or does the water absorb into |
| 25 question which was, to what extent is excess run-off froma | 25 the soil from there? |
| 166 | 168 |
| 1 paved area that's not being reforested and we're losing | 1 THE WITNESS: They're designed to absorb the water |
| 2 about close to four acres of forest of land and replacing it | 2 into the soil and any overflow that is captured. Those |
| 3 with a flat top building and paved roads with minimal | 3 boxes that you were asking out before -- |
| 4 landscaping by comparison. What impact on the storm waters | 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. |
| 5 are actually going to have and the pollution that come | 5 THE WITNESS: -- those boxes are the structures |
| 6 from, you know, vehicles coming in those kind of human | 6 the facility that weren't turned off on the lighting plan, |
| 7 activities that are in the area? | 7 and they convey to water to a drainage system underneath the |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Can you answer that? | 8 paving. |
| 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. We are proposing at the | 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. |
| 10 perimeter where the buffer area was previously acknowledged | 10 THE WITNESS: So that's facility number three. And |
| 11 between the parking lot and the -- | 11 going down the page, e it's facility 4 and $5,7,8,9,10$, |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER: What's a good plan for this? One | 12 11, there's 12 facilities in total, which, you know -- you |
| 13 that has storm water? The conditional use plan. | 13 know meet or exceed the requirements of county and state to |
| 14 MS. LEE-CHO: It's 29, Exhibit 29. There's A | 14 capture the water and infiltrate it into the ground. |
| 15 through F. Which one should - | 15 BY MS. LEE-CHO: |
| 16 THE WITNESS: The cover sheet | 16 Q Are there any up on Dino Drive? |
| 17 MS. LEE-CHO: 29A. | 17 A Between the building an Dino Drive is howit - I |
| 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Can you bring 29A up? | 18 would call it facility 13. If you want to push the curser |
| 19 THE WITNESS: You know, walking quickly around the | 19 right next to Dino Drive to the left slightly, a little bit |
| 20 property as you come in the main entrance, the oval-shaped | 20 more, and there's a oval facility between the building and |
| 21 features are by retention facilities, and, as you go around 22 the perimeter boundary -- | 21 the road right at the top of the page. Down, down, down, 22 down, down to the left south, down, down, right there. So |
| 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Can you expand that a little bit | 23 that is a facility that will capture, you know, roof drains |
| 24 at the entrance and so we can follow and then just move it | 24 from that portion of the building. Why there's 12 |
| 25 as he testifies? Is that too hard to do? | 25 facilities is because there are area requirements of $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0}$ |


little one. Oh, no it was --
MS. MEYER: Can you please repeat the question?
Sorry I'm trying to do snack time and hearing time at the
same time.
HEARING EXAMINER: It's hard. It's definitely
hard. We can have you give your testimony after snack time.
MS. MEYER: That sounds good.
HEARING EXAMINER: Did you have -- he covered the
drain and and how the drainage will be handled. Did you
have other questions about this storm water? Did you have
questions about pollution?
MS. MEYER: Yes. I think our concern was just
that, you know, with the added traffic as vehicles sort of
more -- the more commercial aspects that there is an
increase in pollution with any human activity, and what
these facilities are doing to reduce the pollution run-off
with the storm water from our local water shed and just from the local area.

HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Packard, can you answer that part of the question?
21 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. The idea of storm water management is designed and created by the Department of
23 Maryland Department Environment and Montgomery County is to
24 create a basic situation where the -- the water run off and
the treatment as if it was reverted back to natural forest.

So the property is, you know, mostly forest now, and the property will discharge water as mostly forest after the proposed development, and that is by treating and filtering and infiltrating and capturing the water on site. That's how the state and county have developed these best minute management practices to work, and that's what we are providing.

HEARING EXAMINER: Any other questions? Okay, hearing none.

I don't want to cut you off, but is there -- I
would like to know about the brim, how high is that brim and will it cover cars and that headlights.

MS. LEE-CHO: So can we go back to the landscape plan?

HEARING EXAMINER: Which is 17 , the planting plan? MS. LEE-CHO: 17A. I have just a few issues to cover with. HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Go ahead. BY MS. LEE-CHO:
Q So Mr. Packard, if you can address the question at hand which is the varying embankment height. Using
landscape plan 17 -- Exhibit 17A, if you could sort of walk
us around the parking lot and testify as to the varying heights?

A Okay. From the entering cite from Valley Stream

Drive and as you run parallel to the building to where we
just spoke to the by retention facilities one and two, the
parking lot drops away from the building. So, you know,
water flows downhill, so naturally we slope the parking lot
from the building down toward the -
HEARING EXAMINER: Bioretention facility.
THE WITNESS: The facility. And that drops the grade there. So at the entrance --

HEARING EXAMINER: The entrance by Dino Drive?
THE WITNESS: By Dino Drive on the first facility
is approximately two feet below the grade of the surrounding
residential property. And as you go toward the facilities
to three and four, the grade drops significantly more so by
the time you get to bioretention facility three, we are
approximately eight feet below the grade of the adjoining 16 property.
Q So just to clarify, the parking -- the pavement of 18 the parking is eight feet below the top of the embankment at the turn at the biofacility three, the corner?
A The paving parking is eight feet below the grade at the property line of the adjoining property.

Q Okay.
A So there is no technically a brim. It's a drop. HEARING EXAMINER: It's just a grading a slope. THE WITNESS: It sloped downward to the parking
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lot, and that was, you know -- that is.
    HEARING EXAMINER: Is that at the point -- I can't
remember where three is, the point of plan south.
    THE WITNESS: It's the point of the L -- the corner
of the L-shaped as you come in.
    Q So what is the height after bioretention one and
two, you know, that's parallel?
    HEARING EXAMINER: I think he said that's two
feet.
    THE WITNESS: It starts at two feet, and
bioretention facility two is approximately four feet and
then it drops to eight feet around the corner.
    Q And then can you continue to take us down to each
biofacility and give us the height difference?
    A So one, two, three, four, five, as we turn the
comer around the - near the U-shaped portion of the
building, bioretention facility five and six are on the plan
south view. Down there. Now, those facilities are
approximately ten feet below the grade, that property line.
So as was testified before, there was, you know - there was
- it was brought up that there wouldn't be car lights
shining, and the car lights wouldn't be shining because the
grade is ten feet below.
    HEARING EXAMINER: What about around the back? Are
there homes?Let's just take it's all the way -- does five
and six cover all the boundaries with single-family homes?
    THE WITNESS: I guess that the corner of between
facility six and seven where the property starts to rotate
around the back of the building, that is the edge of the
single-family property.
    HEARING EXAMINER: And how low is it there?
    THE WITNESS: There -- it's the property -- the
ground is starting to drop there, so it's approximately six
feet below the adjoining property at that point, and, as you
rotate around the back of the property, the -
Q Plan west?
A Plan west. On the back of the building on the plan
west, the building stays roughly the same height, and the
ground drops off significantly. So the wall reverses from a
- I refer to it as a cut wall to a fill wall. So, you
know, as you turn the corner around -
17 HEARING EXAMINER: I don't know what that means. I
just tell you -
THE WITNESS: Around the plan west side of the
building, the parking lot's higher than the existing GROUND
HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So but the question is
how high is it in comparison with the adjacent properties on
the western side?
    Q So there are properties --
    HEARING EXAMINER: Did the grade from the -- did
```

| 177 | 179 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 lot, then there would be. | 1 plan, it did take into consideration shielding of the pole |
| 2 HEARING EXAMINER: With the exterior -- no, wait, | 2 parking lot lights when it measured -- when it did the |
| 3 say this again. | 3 measurements that we're seeing on paper of -- for the foot |
| 4 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. The fence would be at the | 4 candles. The photo metric plan was generated from the |
| 5 property line. | 5 detail that was on the plan that wasn't showing shielding. |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER: The fence would be at the | 6 So if there's shielding, then the lighting spread will be |
| 7 property line, and then what do you have? | 7 even les |
| 8 THE WITNESS: Then we have landscaping. | 8 MS. LEE-CHO: |
| 9 HEARING EXAMINER: The ten foot strip or with the | 9 plan has? |
| 10 mix of trees and then you have the biotention facilities? | 10 HEARING EXAMINER: That's Exhibit 1 if anybody |
| 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. | 11 wants to look it up on their home computer. So you're |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Then you have the parking lo | 12 saying with the full -- with the cut off shielding, |
| 13 THE WITNESS: Correct. | 13 illumination will be less than what's shown on the Exhibit |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER: Did you understand that? | 14 13? |
| 15 MS. MEYER: Yes, that helped clarify. Thank you | 15 THE WITNESS: The point -- the 0.1 number will b |
| 16 very much. The height of the fence was six feet? | 16 closer to the building than it is now. |
| 17 THE WITNESS: Yes | 17 MS. LEE-CHO: And just so that we're clear, we're |
| 18 MS. MEYER: Thank you. | 18 seeing -- to the extent that on the photometric plan, the |
| 19 MS. LEE-CHO: But just to clarify, that is not the | 19 numbers drop -- stop mid before it reaches the property line |
| 20 full extent of the surround, it's just at that biotention | 20 on plan west. So, you know, the back of the building as you |
| 21 one two and three. | $21-$ and toward the Stream Valley, what is your explanation as |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER: With the proffer, was | 22 to why it just goes wh |
| 23 along the property edge there would be a six feet | 23 THE WITNESS: When you plug certain -- it's a |
| 24 board-on-board fence until the parking -- the difference | 24 computer program. So when you plug the number in as to |
| 25 between the brim and the parking lot is six feet. So until | 25 where you want the definitions to be, the lowest definition |
| 178 | 180 |
| 1 you get to where the brim is six feet above the parking lot, | 1 that we plugged in was 0.1, and that was the requirement. |
| 2 because then the fence wouldn't do anything, that you don't | 2 Anything outside of the 0.1 line plan west, plan south, and |
| 3 need it. When it's two and four and five and five | 3 so on is less than. 1 or zer |
| 4 feet, they'll put a fence at the adjacent property line | 4 HEARING EXAMINER: I have a suggestion just for you |
| 5 But then when the parking lot is six feet or more below the | 5 to put in zero, okay? Just so we don't have to go through |
| 6 property line, then they wouldn't have a fence. They would | 6 explaining, but that's okay. |
| 7 just have the landscaping, the bioretention facilities, and | 7 MS. LEE-CHO: I agree. Lesson learned. |
| 8 then the parking lot. | 8 HEARING EXAMINER: So did everyone understand that? |
| 9 MS. MEYER: Understood. Would the applicant be | 9 Okay, I hear no comments. Do you have anything else for |
| 10 able to provide a diagram that shows exactly where that | 10 Mr . Packard or -- I can't remember if we were on |
| 11 fence would go for us those of us who or topographically | 11 cross-examination or |
| 12 challenged? | 12 BY MS. LEE-CHO: |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER: Well I think my speech is | 13 Q The only other thing I would ask him to recite the |
| 14 getting -- I'm having trouble articulating it, too. So, | 14 general finding, his concurrence that on the necessary |
| 15 yes, is that okay? Ms. Lee-Cho is nodding, yes, they can do | 15 finding for 1 F and G . F being the adequacy of public |
| 16 that. | 16 facilities to support the project, what would is his |
| 17 MS. MEYER: Okay. Thank you very much. And I | 17 opinion? |
| 18 wanted to have Mr. Packard make a clarification regarding | 18 A I opine that we're providing adequate water supply, |
| 19 some of the testimony on the photometric plan, because he | 19 sewer supply for, and obviously there will wet utilities and |
| 20 actually -- his firm was part -- they were the ones who 21 actually commissioned it. | 20 dry utilities to the site to meet the fire marshall and WSSC 21 requirements. |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Are people -- can they | 22 HEARING EXAMINER: Don't you usually do police and |
| 23 hear what's going on? He's going to clarify the photometric | 23 fire, too? |
| 24 plan. Go ahead Mr. Packard. | 24 THE WITNESS: The fire marshall, yes. |
| 25 THE WITNESS: So with regard to the photometric | 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, fire marshall, okay. |


| 181 | 183 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Is the fire department access plan was approved? | 1 son is content. |
| 2 A Right. The fire department access plan was | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Is it naptime? |
| 3 approved and was exhibit | 3 MS. MEYER: This is Ms. Meyer. |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Don't they tell you the nearest | 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, Ms. Meyer, go ahead. |
| 5 police s | 5 MS. MEYER: So I actually had an opportunity to be |
| 6 report? | 6 before you guys today and to speak to this development. I |
| 7 THE WITNESS: That's in the staff report, and | 7 want to start by saying that I am not opposed to senior |
| 8 that's the p | 8 housing. I think it's really important that housing is |
| 9 identified. | 9 available for people from diverse ages, diverse groups. |
| 10 HEARING EXAMIN | 10 That's one of the beautiful things about our neighborhood is |
| 11 Q And then necessary finding 1 G , which is the | 11 that we're intergenerational. We have multiple races and |
| 12 whether the proje | 12 religions that are represented |
| 13 neighborhood as a res | 13 Most of my concerns stem from the fact that we |
| 14 alone or combination of inherent and noninherent effect, | 14 currently in a residential community with no real view of an |
| 15 your opinion that the project needs meets the requirement? | 15 industrial area, so the commentary about this being |
| 16 A Yes, it is my opinion that it meets the requirement | 16 transitional, understandable but not really applicable from |
| 17 and, you know, all drainage and everything is directed away | 17 my perspective in that currently my view is my other |
| 18 from any neighboring | 18 neighbors and the lovely forest behind them. |
| 19 MS. LEE-CHO: I have nothing | 19 When we moved into this area 2020, we were really |
| 20 up the landscape section of the code that | 20 excited to find a quiet neighborhood. We were excited to be |
| 21 I don't kno | 21 a part of the community that was growing. We were looking |
| 22 HEARING EXAMINER: No, just tell m | 22 forward to relocation of Burtonsville Elementary and |
| 23 MS. LEE-CHO: The code numb | 23 hopefully the creation of sidewalks down 198 to make it a |
| 24 HEARING EXAMIN | 24 safer walking environment for all of us. But when |
| 25 MS. LEE-CHO: 6.53 does not apply | 25 (inaudible) dedicated to other residential communities and |
| 182 | 184 |
| 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. That's good to know. And | 1 the idea of having more young families with young kids in |
| 2 I will direct you to why. So under Section 6.53 A which is | 2 the area was really a feeling. To have differe |
| 3 the location, it says under A4 that screen asking not | 3 development instead take place, a 50 foot tall structure |
| 4 required between a lot line and the subject structure or use | 4 that does not really resonating with the architectural |
| 5 of the structure or use as separated from the lot line by | 5 culture of this neighborhood is disappointing and certainly |
| 6 surface parking lot. | 6 will be knowing and meaningful. I'm not denying that we |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Perfect | 7 need to make housing available to people, but I also wonder |
| 8 MS. LEE-CHO: Instead, landscaping must be provided | 8 where the housing is for people in the missing middle, |
| 9 under section | 9 people who need access to housing in this county that is |
| 10 THE COURT: Okay. That's good. That's what I | 10 affordable and for growing families, especially in this part |
| 1 wanted to know. It's covered. | 11 of the county. |
| 12 HEARING OFFICER: Anything else for this witness? | 12 Additionally, I retain my concerns on light |
| 13 And then I'mjust going to see if there's any other | 13 pollution, the fact there's currently nothing there but |
| 14 questions for this witness from those in opposition? | 14 there will something reaching over tree lines with lights, |
| 15 MS. LEE-CHO: I think we're good. I think we're | 15 and that's going to have an impact, even with these |
| 16 done. | 16 wonderful diagrams with little numbers and introduction. |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Anyone have any other questions | 17 But the fact remains that light travels until it hits a |
| 18 of Mr. Packard? Okay, hearing none, let's go -- we have one | 18 stopping point, and sometimes that stopping point is near |
| 19 more witne | 19 and sometimes it's further |
| 20 MS. LEE-CHO: We're do | 20 In addition, I think our concerns about traveling |
| 21 THE COURT: Your done? Okay. Those in opposition, | 21 remain. We already have people who get load on their way to |
| 22 you have been troopers, and this is your time to say what | 22 the post office, which is a much smaller venue. It takes |
| 23 you would like to say. Who would like to start? I'm not | 23 more fewer trips, and they come speeding down our road and |
| 24 hearing one. | 24 ripping around our cul-de-sac. I feel that's going to be |
| 25 MS. MEYER: I'll try and speak in. Hopefilly my | 25 the same experience. I didn't see anything in the traffic |


| 185 | 187 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 court. | 1 follows |
| 2 Other testimony that implicated how the delivery | 2 MS. TAYLOR: Well, thank you again for allowing us |
| 3 trucks are leaving the facility, how many ambulance trucks | 3 to speak and for your clarification questions. It was |
| 4 will be coming, all of which are interruptive and add more | 4 really helpful to hear and understand the issues and topics. |
| 5 traffic. This is community that, as I said, is quiet. We | 5 I echo a lot of what Lauren said. I'm fast |
| 6 value it for its close-knitness and have -- and just feel as | 6 approaching at 55 plus age, so I am not opposed to senior |
| 7 if the opportunity to create an expansion of that community | 7 housing or care for seniors at all. But I have been in this |
| 8 that we value is being -- is given oversight to create a | 8 neighborhood for over 32 years, and it is a wonderful close |
| 9 very large building that doesn't really resonate with the | 9 neighborhood. We all know each other, we care for each |
| 10 existing community. | 10 other. My concern that the (inaudible) building which is in |
| 11 I'm gratefil for the opportunity, I'm gratefiul for | 11 January will not fit in with the residential area we have. |
| 12 Montgomery County's willingness to host these hearings | 12 We currently have trees, we don't see -- there is an |
| 13 for the time that has been taken by all the witnesses. I | 13 industrial complex just on the other side, but we don't see |
| 14 know this is your time as well. | 14 it . And we would continue to not to see something that |
| 15 But these are my concerns. I feel very strongly | 15 looks so similar to that, which is what this building looks |
| 16 that there could be amendments made, and many of them | 16 like with it's flat roofs and large glass windows and |
| 17 in our written testimony that would perhaps make this | 17 facades that they're using and different things. So we are |
| 18 development just a little bit better suited to the area | 18 concerned about the look of that facility. |
| 19 something that fits better with the residential community | 19 The second thing and really something that's really |
| 20 rather than taking so much inspiration and transitioning to | 20 upsetting to me is the traffic. I understand that there are |
| 21 the industria | 21 protocols that need to be followed and, you know, |
| 22 I appreciate attention to those and consideratio | 22 regulations set forth in order to have -- in order to have a |
| 23 of those, and I thank you again and to the hearing examiner | 23 traffic light, but unfortunately those regulations don't -- |
| 24 for taking the time and to everyone else who is in here | 24 the don't address all the different variables that we face |
| 25 today. Thank you so | 25 on a day-to-basis at the intersection of 109 and Dino Drive. |
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| 1 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Ms. Lee-Cho, do have | 1 Yes, it's going to -- I forgot the number was but |
| 2 any questions? | 2 about 200 trips a day will be adding and not during the peak |
| 3 MS. LEE-CHO: No. | 3 hours I understand, but since they looked at traffic in |
| 4 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Who would like to speak | 4 2022, which was a year and a half ago, June 2022, there have |
| 5 next? | 5 other buildings, other facilities that have moved in the |
| 6 MS. TAYLOR: Hi, this is Eileen Taylor. I can go | 6 area. As Lauren previously said, there was DTS |
| 7 next. | 7 Transportation Service that has moved in on 198 right at |
| 8 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Oh, I forgot to -- I | 8 that intersection. They house and use many limousines, |
| 9 don't think Ms. Meyer -- I don't think I ever swore you in, | 9 SUVS, et cetera for moving people. They just provide all |
| 10 so -- | 10 kinds of transportation services. What else that uses that |
| 11 MS. MEYER: You did not. If you would like to do | 11 intersection is a storage facility that uses that |
| 12 so | 12 intersection. |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER: Can you please raise your right | 13 The large industrial complex that uses that |
| 14 hand, if you can. Are you raising your right hand? Can you | 14 intersection houses a post office, a couple churches, one of |
|  |  |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Please raise your right hand |  |
| $\begin{array}{lc}17 & \text { HEARING EXAMINER: Please raise your right hand. } \\ 18 & \text { LAUREN MEYER }\end{array}$ | 17 neighborhood on Sunday, it's very difficult. It houses a 18 dance studio that just moved in, so that would not have even |
| 19 after having been sworn or affirmed testified previously | 19 been shown on the 2022 traffic counts. It houses -- the |
| 20 MS. MEYER: Thank you again for your time. | 20 opened up a new event venue that hosts events in that area. |
| 21 HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Taylor? | 21 There is a large daycare facility for the disabled. |
| 22 MS. TAYLOR: Oh, yes. Please raise your right | 22 There are so many businesses that use this one |
| 23 hand. | 23 intersection, and now we will have an additional senior |
| 24 EILEEN TAYLOR | 24 facility that's going to be using the same intersection, and |
| 25 after having been duly sworn or affirmed testified as | 25 they don't -- you don't take into account the problems we |


| 189 | 191 |
| :---: | :---: |
| have with trucks making U-turns, which is frequent. We | 1 MR. SINGH: I wanted to touch back on the 1997 |
| 2 can't turn left into -- if you're heading 109 West on -- | 2 master plan and the special exception that existed at that |
| 3 headed 109 West and you want to make a left onto Dino Drive | 3 time for this facility. Just wanted to comment on the |
| r neighorhood, vis | 4 record that, at that time, Elder Homecare or whatever is was |
| 5 trucks and the vans making U-turns, we can't be around them. | 5 had special exception for about 75 elderly condo units or |
| 6 All of these are factors that don't seem | 6 assisted living. So the scale of that project that was |
| 7 applying to the traffic, and this is a concern for | 7 approved at that time, which, you know, expired and was |
| 8 neighborhood. The reason is probably why there's so few | 8 removed as much smaller in scale than this. We're talking |
| 9 lefts is because you can't make lefts off it. Sometimes | 9 about 220 beds here versus about 75 , which was, you know, 10 didn't create as much traffic. |
| und them, so I go right. When I taught my daughter how | 11 And, you know, we live right across the street from |
| 12 to drive, she was prohibited from making a left because | 12 there, and this scale of this building, four-story monster |
| 13 visibility is | 13 building is not -- does not fit into what the rest of the |
| 14 So these type of issues aren't addressed by your | 14 community looks like. You know, there's no -- we don't see |
| 15 typical traffic counts, and that is a major concern for this | 15 the industrial areas at all from our home, and so there's no |
| 16 neighborhood when we add in the senior facility with so many | 16 benefit to this being a buffer, and so we strongly would |
| 17 more trips every day, regardless if they're during the peak | 17 hope that the property is used for what it's currently zoned |
| 18 period or not. It will negatively impact traffic at th | 18 for, which is residential homes. |
| 19 area and also, to be honest, I feel sorry for the seniors | 19 Thank you very much. |
| 20 who move into that area and that have to be the intersectio | 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Ms. Lee-Cho any |
| 21 they use without a light, because if -- I know they | 21 questions? |
| 22 they only had two left turns a day, I don't see how that' | 22 MS. LEE-CHO: I don't have any questions. I would |
| 23 possible when there's major grocery shopping is to the let | 23 just note that the previous approval -- his street is |
| 24 They're adding much more shops. They just opened a Sprouts, 25 a TJ Maxx is opening, some restaurants all to the left. | 24 outlined in the statement of justification, and the number 25 of beds senior residents is indicated in there as 120 on the |
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| That would require much more left turns. | 1 property. But nonetheless, that's an old application, was |
| So I wish there was a way that that could addressed | 2 not implemented, and we are here on a new application. |
| with the senior housing, so that -- not just the senior | 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Anyone else, please? |
| ing but all of us. A new traffic study taking into | 4 MS. MENASCO-SMITH: I'd like to speak. |
| ount these variables | 5 HEARING EXAMINER: And you are? |
| Thank you. That's really all I wanted to say. | 6 MS. MENASCO-SMITH: My name is Kathy Menasco-Smith. |
| Thank you very much for listening. | 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Can you spell that. |
| HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Any questions, Ms. | 8 MS. MENASCO-SMITH: Sure. Kathy, K-A-T-H-Y, |
| -Cho? | 9 Menasco, M as in Mary, E, N as in Nancy, A, S am in Sam, C |
| MS. LEE-CHO: No. | 10 as in cat, O hyphen Smith, S-M-I-T-H. I live at 4327 Valley |
| 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Anyone else who would like to | 11 Stream Avenue, Burtonsville. My e-mail is smithkjk@aol.com, |
| 12 testify? | 12 and I oppose this proposed facility on the side of Valley |
| MR. SINGH: Can I speak? | 13 Stream Avenue at the very end, at the bottom. |
| 14 HEARING EXAMINER: And you are? | 14 KATHY MENASCO-SMITH |
| 15 MR. SINGH: This is Piara Singh at 4300 Valley | 15 after having been duly sworn or affirmed testified as |
| am Avenue. | 16 follows: |
| 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Did you already testify? | 17 MS. MENASCO-SMITH: Thank you. |
| MR. SINGH: No. I asked a question before, so we | 18 HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead. |
| 19 got our camera working. | 19 MS. MENASCO-SMITH: Thank you for the opportunity |
| 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Yay. Okay, I think I have your | 20 of speaking and thank you for allowing us to submit our |
| 21 e-mail address. Okay, go ahead. Oh, please raise your | 21 comments. I, too, would like to reiterate that I am |
| 22 right hand. | 22 definitely not opposed to senior housing or senior living |
| PIARA SINGH | 23 centers. I think it's much needed; however, I strongly |
| after having been duly sworn or affirmed testified as | 24 oppose the property being rezoned from the current |
| 25 follows: | 25 residential lots. |


| 193 | $195$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 I was concerned about the height of the property, | 1 decision as to whether to rezone this property. |
| 2 the building, and it was pointed out they will be 50 feet | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I think Mr. Palkawitsch |
| 3 tall. Even if some of the land on our street is seven feet | 3 testified that the new plan doesn't cover this area. The |
| 4 longer, that's still 43 feet that we'll be able to | 4 boundary of the new plan is north of 198 -- south of 198 |
| 5 Even with the six-foot fence and the trees in 20 years | 5 sorry. But |
| 6 likely 25 being tall, that's still almost two stories of | 6 MS. MENASCO-SMITH: Can he provide a copy of the |
| 7 building that we currently do not have to look at. And as | 7 master plan that does cover this area |
| 8 couple people pointed out, the building just doesn't fit | 8 HEARING EXAMINER: That is the 199 |
| 9 with our comm | 9 MS. MENASCO-SMITH: Okay. Thank you |
| 10 I, too, and very much concerned about the trater | 10 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you for staying around |
| 11 Number one, there are no sidewalks on our street except | 11 voicing your concerns. |
| 12 right there in fro | 12 MS. MENASCO-SMITH: Thank you. And thank you |
| 13 curb. I freque |  |
| 14 have to be very careful of cars zooming down to go dow | 14 HEARING EXAMINER: Is there anyone else that would |
| 15 cul-de-sac and zoom back out. You know, somebody m | 15 like to testify |
| 16 it's very di | 16 MR. GOSCH: I would like to testify |
| 17 because of the | 17 HEARING EXAMINER: I think we have your name and |
| 18 fact that it's very difficult to cross over from | 18 |
| 19 Stream Avenue to the sidewalk on Dino Drive beca | 19 MR. GOSCH: Yes. |
| 20 the cars | 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Gosc |
| 21 | 21 MR. GOSCH: Ye |
| 22 198, it's very difficult, and I agree with Eileen that | 22 HEARING EXAMINER: Please raise your rig |
| 23 left-hand turns are not as high as they normally would be | 23 ARPAN GO |
| 24 because you simply cannot make a left turn out of 198. You | 24 after having been duly sw |
| 25 got a light at certain times of the day, especially -- I |  |
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| 1 mean, if I try to go out at 5:00 p.m., there's no way I can | 1 MR. GOSCH: Well, thanks so much for hearing all |
| 2 make a left-hand turn to go west, and I h | 2 this out. I, too, am not opposed to senior housing. I was |
| 3 and turn and go down and make a big U-t | 3 born and raised in Silver Spring, Maryland on Arcola Avenue |
| 4 scary. Sometimes you only get to the middle of the median, | 4 at University Tower Condominiums. Right across from it |
| 5 and I do drive a van, so I sit up higher. But the | 5 Northwood High School and a senior care facility. One thing |
| 6 divider between the two sides of 198 is high for small | 6 I remember that when I was trying to fly out back in 2020 |
| 7 cars, and it's a visual barrier. So in 2022, I wasn't quit | 7 was I'm looking up, you know, what's the neighborhood like, |
| 8 sure who did that traffic study, but I think we definitely | 8 what's the look and feel (inaudible). That's the only place |
| 9 need another traffic study | 9 I grew up in. I want to be here. |
| 10 I am opposed to the zoning needing chang | 10 But I remember growing up there was always |
| 11 an exception being made to current zoning. I'd rather hav | 11 ambulances and there was incidents, cars coming in and out. |
| 12 single-family homes so that there would be more children, | 12 I mean, that intersection was always crazy. I wanted to get |
| 13 more neighbors to get to know. Our neighborhood, yeah, I've | 13 away from that environment where you've got all those |
| 14 been here since 1988, and that's one of the reasons that we | 14 vehicles coming in. Burtonsville is the perfect place while |
| 15 bought our house was the great view in the back and the dead | 15 still be closing to the city. You're right off the highway. |
| 16 end street and not much tra | 16 It 's got that feel the that rural aesthetic here. We got |
| 17 The visual of this new buildin | 17 plots of land right next to us we got horses, we barns. |
| 18 heartbreaker for me. I also frequently see many birds, many | 18 It's just great. |
| 19 foxes in the back or the trees. I don't understand why, out | 19 Well, now this Valley Stream Estates, back up there |
| 20 of four plus acres of the forestation, why only a half an | 20 is these homes, there's only a few of them here separated by |
| 21 acre is being required to be looked on. That's nothing. | 21 large blocks of land, and the of course essentially woods |
| 22 So I hope that we're going to take into | 22 that are untouched was a big part of it. Right next to me |
| onsideration -- and the 1997 Fairland Master Plan, okay, it | 23 is an historic house. It's got -- it's going to look |
| 24 is time being attempted to be amended, why you cannot wait | 24 nothing like this new facility that's trying to be -- trying |
| 25 to see what they say about that before you make a final |  |


| 197 | 199 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 and lighting and all that is, it doesn't match the | 1 under oath. |
| 2 neighborhood. It doesn't match the character of our | 2 MR. PACKARD: Yes, thank you. There is a utility |
| 3 neighborhood. Nor does the limousine and these other SUVS | 3 transmission line that runs on -- where you're looking at |
| 4 and buses that come in that are parked on the corner. And | 4 the plan south between the proposed building and the |
| 5 no one is looking at that. No one is thinking about the | 5 adjoining residential neighborhood that these people are |
| 6 safety of the senior citizens who are going to be living | 6 speaking from. Our plan is not to effect the utility poles |
| 7 here if this plan does go into fruition, and I think that's | 7 or the utility lines, so as they exist now would be the way |
| 8 a mistake. | 8 they exist in the future. |
| 9 Among other things that I was concerned and didn't | 9 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And anything else from |
| 10 hear about are the utility power lines that are on the | 10 Mr . Packard? |
| 11 property. They were talking about 25 foot trees to prevent | 11 MS. LEE-CHO: No. |
| 12 light spillage. Well, those lines are not insulated. Why | 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Do you have information, I think |
| 13 is there only 15 and a half feet from the ground. None of | 13 it was Meyer mentioned that she hadn't heard anything about |
| 14 this was discussed. So there's a lot of things that I'm-- | 14 truck deliveries and |
| 15 sounds great that they think there's solutions to this, but | 15 MS. LEE-CHO: So operational document has that |
| 16 there's also I think convenient -- there was some convenient | 16 information, and we opted to instead rely on that document |
| 17 things that have been left out. | 17 with that one correction as opposed to providing additional |
| 18 I, too, and heavily opposed to this. But another | 18 testimony. |
| 19 factor is silver people are staging. That's (inaudible) | 19 HEARING EXAMINER: Is that Exhibit 7? |
| 20 lands already that are looking to be sold. Sandy Spring | 20 MS. LEE-CHO: That is Exhibit (inaudible) and I |
| 21 like literally not even like three blocks away fromus. | 21 believe we received some input from planning on staff on |
| 22 Those lands are ready for residential homes that wouldn't | 22 your question related to number of trucks. It's in the |
| 23 require reforestation because it's already done. I just | 23 e-mail that was received. |
| 24 don't see how it's logical to have to increase the density | 24 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And where is loading? |
| 25 of folks who live here and at the same time, you know, you | 25 Where is solid waste? |
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| 1 have to fight for every inch to get against our property | 1 MS. LEE-CHO: On the plan? |
| 2 line if you need those parking spots. You don't have to | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Uh-huh. |
| 3 worry about any of that in other parts of this county even a | 3 MS. LEE-CHO: Mr. Packard can address it. |
| 4 couple blocks away. | 4 Conditional use plan? |
| 5 So and I know Mr. Norris has lived in this area as | 5 MR. PACKARD: Conditional use plan which is 12, |
| 6 well for a long time, so he's very aware of the challenges | 6 cover sheet 12A. |
| 7 that we have at Valley Stream. So it just surprises me that | 7 HEARING EXAMINER: That's the lighting plan. There |
| 8 year after year, the last time this was also brought in | 8 it is. |
| 9 2004, you know, here we are again and so have even gone this | 9 MR. BOZZONETTI: It's loading. |
| 10 far. | 10 MR. PACKARD: What's on the screen now is the cover |
| 11 So that's all I wanted to say. I'll pass it over. | 11 sheet, the conditional use plan Exhibit 12A, and the loading |
| 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Any questions, Ms. Lee-Cho? | 12 area is -- I'm trying to describe it -- on the back side of |
| 13 MS. LEE-CHO: I don't have any questions, but I did | 13 the building on plan west at the of the U that was referred |
| 14 have an answer for the utility power lines and why it wasn't | 14 to before. |
| 15 provided. | 15 HEARING EXAMINER: I see it now. Thank you. |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER: Well, you can do that on | 16 MR. PACKARD: And then that is the loading area and |
| 17 rebuttal. | 17 just plan north of that is -- |
| 18 Thank you very much. You may be excused or hang | 18 HEARING EXAMINER: I see it, the dumpster. |
| 19 around, which you prefer. | 19 MR. PACKARD: There's a dumpster and -- |
| 20 Anyone else that would like to testify? Okay, | 20 HEARING EXAMINER: So it's not adjacent to any |
| 21 hearing none, Ms. Lee-Cho, we're going to turn -- do you | 21 residential property? |
| 22 have any rebuttal witnesses or testimony. | 22 MR. PACKARD: No. The whole purpose was to push as |
| 23 MS. LEE-CHO: I would just ask Mr. Packard to | 23 many of these items on the property as far away from the |
| 24 address the utility power line issue raised by Mr. Gosch. | 24 residential property as possible, including the building. |
| 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Packard, you're still | 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Does anyone have any |


| 201 | 203 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 questions based on my questions? Anyone from either side? | 1 HEARING EXAMINER: So I think I have one question |
| 2 Hearing none. Ms. Lee-Cho do you have anything else? | 2 on the accent lights. I heard a lot of concern about the |
| 3 MS. LEE-CHO: I do not other than I tried to just | 3 dark sky and the semi-rural nature and -- I was proposing a |
| 4 -- couple legal issues I made, need to submit something to | 4 condition where you don't have any access lights that shine |
| 5 you on the TDR non-applicability and the MPDU issue. | 5 up |
| 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Did you have a witness that was | 6 MS. LEE-CHO: If it's a significant enough issues, |
| 7 going to | 7 yeah, we can accommodate that. I think the accent lights |
| 8 MS. LEE-CHO: I don't. It's so new, the team is | 8 are minimal and we understand that they are sky shape but we |
| 9 not aware of it. | 9 don't think it will affect or change that and create light |
| 10 HEARING EXAMINER: What? | 10 invasion, but we won't fall on our sword for that issue. |
| 11 MS. LEE-CHO: There's a pending proposal to change | 11 HEARING EXAMINER: I'mjust going through my |
| 12 the MPDU as it applies to senior living units. | 12 A condition of maximum of 44 employee at any one time, the |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, to get rid of it? | 13 board fence which you're going to give us the details so we |
| 14 MS. LEE-CHO: To require a lower percentage for | 14 can see, and that will probably with the conditional use |
| 15 independent and potentially a very low assisted and memory | 15 plan. The 3,000 kelvin requiring cut off features and 3,000 |
| 16 care as affordable. Right now assisted and memory care are | 16 kelvin. |
| 17 not subject to MPDU, so DHCA is crafting a potential | 17 MS. LEE-CHO: That's acceptable. |
| 18 proposal. That still has to go through the process it's | 18 HEARING EXAMINER: That's what I have as far as |
| 19 going through now. It can either comply with the old law or | 19 potential conditions. Okay. |
| 20 be grandfathered -- grandfathered under the old law or up to | 20 So, again, I have not decided the case but we will |
| 21 go into the new law depending on what the preliminary plan | 21 await Ms. Lee-Cho has to submit a revised conditional use |
| 22 is filed. So that is why we're trying to not be boxed in | 22 plan showing the fence. How long is going to take you, Ms. |
| 23 too early and -- | 23 Lee-Cho to submit that. |
| 24 HEARING EXAMINER: Because you don't know what the | 24 MS. LEE-CHO: Wednesday. |
| 25 law is going to be and if there will be a new law. | 25 HEARING EXAMINER: Wednesday the 21st. |
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| 1 MS. LEE-CHO: So the condition if you were to | 1 MS. LEE-CHO: This coming Wednesday so, yes. |
| 2 consider it, is just to comply affordable housing | 2 HEARING EXAMINER: Now, we have to give people in |
| 3 requirements as applicable either under the current law or | 3 opposition a chance to comment. How long would you like? I |
| 4 under -- and at this point, because we don't what that | 4 have to leave the record open for ten days anyway to be able |
| 5 future law will be, we don't know whether we'll opt to go | 5 to comment, ten business days -- or, no, ten calendar days. |
| 6 under the current law. | 6 If she submits -- if the applicant submits the revised plan |
| 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I understand that. | 7 by the 21st, would you feel comfortable submitting comments |
| 8 Anything else? | 8 by Tuesday the 27th? Do we have any kind of input from |
| 9 MS. LEE-CHO: Just to answer you very simple | 9 those in opposition? |
| 10 question about the surety maintenance agreement, it will be | 10 MS. TAYLOR: Eileen Taylor, that works for me. |
| 11 equally obligated. It will be the landowner Elder Homeland | 11 MS. MENASCO-SMITH: That's fine. |
| 12 LCC as the applicant on this case. | 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Is that enough time? |
| 13 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. | 13 MS. MENASCO-SMITH: Yes. |
| 14 MS. LEE-CHO: So other than the TDR question, I'm | 14 HEARING EXAMINER: So Ms. Lee-Cho is going to send |
| 15 not going to go into it. | 15 the revised conditional use plan to everyone that's on this |
| 16 HEARING EXAMINER: So the only thing we need a | 16 call and the Park and Planning Staff, and then I have to |
| 17 revised plan showing the fence, correct. | 17 give Park and Planning Staff, I'll give them heads up it's |
| 18 MS. LEE-CHO: Yes. | 18 coming but I have to give them a copy and get your comments. |
| 19 HEARING EXAMINER: And there will be condition | 19 So hopefully they -- so I can get the citizens comments by |
| 20 requiring the shields on the light, if I can just -- I don't | 20 the 27th and hopefully Park and Planning, hopefully they |
| 21 know if I need a condition, but there will be -- and I | 21 will get me their comments by the 27th so the record will |
| 22 haven't -- I haven't decided the case. I'm just saying | 22 close on the 27th. Then for those in the opposition, I have |
| 23 these are the conditions that we've discussed and you've | 2330 days to issue a decision in the case. You will get an |
| 24 agreed to, correct? | 24 e-mail of the decision and a memo just notifying you of the |
| 25 MS. LEE-CHO: Correct. | 25 decision. You have ten days within the date the decision is |

3
4
25

```
issued, which is why we try to use e-mail now, you know, ten
days from the date the decision is issued. If you don't
like what I decided, you can file an appeal with the board
of appeals.
    So with that, I'm going to leave the record open
with those dates in mind. If anyone feels like they need a
longer period of time, please let me know. And we will be
posting and update exhibit list with the new exhibits on the
website.
    MS. LEE-CHO: What about the Planning Staff's
comments?
    HEARING EXAMINER: I'm going to ask for them on the
same date. If they can't, I may have to reopen the record
for a short period of time. Or I can say the 28th. I'll be
retired on May 1st.
    Ms. Lee-Cho, make sure your time frame is realistic
because --
    MS. LEE-CHO: I was asking putting the fence on the
conditional use or landscape plan?
    HEARING EXAMINER: It has to be one or the other
and you have to have a site detail that is a rendering if
you want a wooden board-on-board you have to have a site
detail of what it's going to look like so people can see
what it's going to look like.
    If you need more time, I can give you more time.
issued, which is why we try to use e-mail now, you know, ten days from the date the decision is issued. If you don't like what I decided, you can file an appeal with the board of appeals.
So with that, I'm going to leave the record open with those dates in mind. If anyone feels like they need a longer period of time, please let me know. And we will be posting and update exhibit list with the new exhibits on the website.
```
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    It's easier now to get -- so Wednesday the 21 st is when your
    revised submission is due, and those in opposition please
    submit -- I can't take the comments after \(2 / 27\) if that's
    when we decide to close the record. If you are worried
    about that and need a little longer, let me know now. It's
    close of business which is five o'clock.
    MS. MENASCO-SMITH: I have a question about that
    date. Does it have to be signed by -- you know, because we
had to run around in the neighborhood to get original
signatures and took a picture and submitted by e-mail.
HEARING EXAMINER: Now that you've appeared here,
you can submit it by e-mail. The signature is for a
submission anyone who's not going to show up and we don't
know who's going to show up until the day of the hearings.
Now that you're parties, you communicate by e-mail and you
will get copies of every communication in this case.
MS. MENASCO-SMITH: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER: Last call, anyone else. Thank
you everyone for appearing on Zoom and taking your time out
of your day. Thank you, Ms. Lee-Cho for your presentation
and, with that, we're going off the record.
(Off the record at 4:00 p.m)
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| 65:14, 128:17, $128: 19,129: 17$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 207:1, 208:1 } \\ & \text { certify } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 157: 6 \\ & \text { chief } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 163: 8 \\ & \text { clarification } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 130: 3, \quad 132: 3, \\ & 137: 11, \quad 140: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 207: 3, \quad 208: 2 \\ & \text { cetera } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 21, \quad 12: 5, \\ & 14: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111: 17,113: 13, \\ & 178: 18,187: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
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| ```clarify 48:6, 119:19, 134:16, 153:17, 155:23, 172:17, 176:18, 177:15, 177:19, 178:23 clarifying 9:8, 159:8, 167:18 clarity 155:25 classifications 132:11 clean 57:3, 159:16 clear 55:23, 57:24, 175:11, 179:17 clearly 50:2, 55:12, 64:12, 94:17, 121:6 clemson 27:3 click 32:12 client 117:10 client's 143:2, 143:13 climate 122:19 clockwise 46:23 close 20:20, 46:16, 87:12, 145:7, 161:22, 166:2, 187:8, 204:22, 206:4, 206:6 close-knitness 185:6 closed 158:7 closer 19:3, 86:9, 86:12, 113:3, 119:6, 122:15,``` | ```175:20, 179:16 closing 196:15 co-founder 14:16 code 86:16, 86:17, 86:19, 101:11, 114:15, 117:8, 117:21, 118:4, 123:11, 154:13, 181:20, 181:23 cody 2:13, 207:2 colleague 114:1 collected 29:25, 82:21, 143:10 collins 207:17 collisions 141:21 color 38:12, 86:23, 86:24, 95:23, 111:10 coloration 86:7, 86:10 colored 64:20, 104:20, 114:12 colors 86:10 column 86:3, 86:20, 88:18, 108:2, 108:3, 108:8, 116:20, 117:15, 118:2, 119:6, 123:15, 153:13, 153:19, 154:1 columns 93:24, 93:25, 94:11, 116:24 com 14:9, 22:7, 26:11, 40:1,``` |  | 204:1, 204:18 comment <br> 138:6, 191:3, 204:3, 204:5 commentary 183:15 comments $\begin{aligned} & 89: 24, \quad 180: 9, \\ & 192: 21, \quad 204: 7, \\ & 204: 18, \\ & 204: 19, \\ & 204: 21, \\ & 206: 3 \end{aligned}$ commercial $30: 1,33: 18,$ $170: 14$ <br> commission $129: 22,181: 8$ <br> commissioned 178:21 commissions 28:8 communicate 12:13, 206:15 communication 12:13, 206:16 communities $\begin{aligned} & 24: 19, \quad 157: 18, \\ & 183: 25 \\ & \text { community } \\ & 4: 15,5: 16, \\ & 17: 25,19: 3, \\ & 20: 8,20: 14, \\ & 20: 19, \quad 21: 1, \\ & 21: 8, \quad 22: 10, \\ & 24: 18, \quad 64: 3, \\ & 183: 14,183: 21, \\ & 185: 5,185: 7, \\ & 185: 10,185: 19, \\ & 191: 14,193: 9 \\ & \text { compacting } \\ & 101: 2 \\ & \text { compaction } \\ & 98: 1 \\ & \text { companies } \\ & 16: 2 \\ & \text { company } \\ & 14: 15,17: 16, \\ & 18: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| compare | 187:10, 189:7, | condominiums | 133:2, 134:2, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:15 | 189:15, 203:2 | 196:4 | 135:12, 151:10, |
| comparison | concerned | conducted | 202:2 |
| 153:18, 166:4, | 49:3, 146:25, | 122:17 | considerable |
| 174:22 | 187:18, 193:1, | conference | 26:24 |
| compat | 193:10, 197:9 | 27:7 | consideration |
| 71:21 | concerns | conferences | 179:1, 185:22, |
| compatibility | 111:6, 111:7, | 23:23 | 194:23 |
| 71:22, 72:17 | 114:1, 124:9, | confirm | considered |
| compatible | 165:19, 183:13, | 74:1, 102:12, | 28:19, 31:7, |
| 71:24, 107:5 | 184:12, 184:20, | 111:21, 122:1, | 33:13, 149:2, |
| competing | 185:15, 195:11 | 122: 4 | 151:12, 160:9 |
| 55:2 | conclude | confirmation | considering |
| complete | 94:21 | 109:8 | 11:3, 154:15 |
| 28:2 | conclusion | conflicted | considers |
| completed | $\begin{aligned} & 158: 22,158: 23 \\ & \text { concrete } \end{aligned}$ | $151: 15, \quad 151: 17$ | $134: 19, \quad 144: 21$ |
| 27:15 | concrete | conformants | consistent |
| completely | 69:25 | 42:25 | 136:14, 146:24 |
| 135:21 | concur | conforming | constitute |
| complex | 60:21, 60:24 | 65:11, 65:12 | 70:15 |
| 187:13, 188:13 | concurrence | confronts | construction |
| compliance | 180:14 | 147:25 | 15:14, 15:22 |
| 11:2, 114:15 | condition | confused | contacts |
| compliant | 10:21, 93:11, | 58:19, 59:6, | 29:16 |
| 107:9 | 108:22, 110:8, | 88:7, 103:14, | containing |
| comply | 134:8, 137:9, | 118:18, 119:19 | 161:10 |
| 98:22, 201:19, | 137:12, 143:24, | confusing | contemporary |
| $202: 2$ | 145:3, 145:4, | 21:25, 119:13 | 64:21, 68:12, |
| component | 176:7, 202:1, | congested | 69:8, 70:19, |
| $82: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & 202: 19, \quad 202: 21, \\ & 203: 4, \quad 203: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $141: 22$ | $71: 3, \quad 71: 5$ |
| comprehensive <br> 15.3, 29.12 | conditional | congregate $130: 6$ | content $183: 1$ |
| computer | $9: 9,10: 11,$ | connected | context |
| 25:5, 67:1, | $\begin{array}{ll} 10: 20, & 57: 6, \\ 81: 12, & 81: 14 \end{array}$ | $45: 14$ | $83: 20$ |
| $179: 11,179: 24$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 81: 12, & 81: 14, \\ 91: 25, & 98: 20, \end{array}$ | connection | continue |
| conceal | $\begin{array}{ll} 91: 25, & 98: 20, \\ 98: 22, & 99: 4, \end{array}$ | $81: 4,82: 2,$ | $27: 5,42: 19,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 54: 18, \quad 65: 5 \\ & \text { concept } \end{aligned}$ | $99: 5, \quad 99: 7,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 137: 22 \\ & \text { connectivity } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 18, \quad 52: 20, \\ & 99: 3,173: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| 10:22, 165:9, | $99: 12, \quad 107: 1,$ | 29:19, 45:12 | 187:14 |
| 169:12 | $\begin{array}{ll} 159: 12, & 160: 14 \\ 166: 13, & 200: 4, \end{array}$ | connects | continues |
| concepts | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 166: 13, ~ 200: 4, \\ & 200: 5, \quad 200: 11, \end{aligned}\right.$ | $80: 18, \quad 80: 19$ | $50: 7,51: 6,$ |
| $64: 6$ <br> concern | 203:14, 203:21, | 81:3 <br> conservation | continuing |
| 109:18, 123:21, | 204:15, 205:19 conditions | 164:25, 165:3, | $167: 13$ |
| $138: 8, \quad 138: 15,$ | 93:8, 144:19, | $165: 5, \quad 165: 10$ | continuously $7: 6$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 139: 25, \quad 140: 14, \\ & 141: 8, \quad 141: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $202: 23,203: 19$ | $133: 21$ | continuum |
| 141:25, 170:12, | condo | consider | 19:16 |
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| ```crafting 201:17 crash 139:23, 140:1, 140:5, 140:14, 140:16, 140:17, 141:3, 141:8, 142:2, 142:12, 142:13, 142:14, 142:18 crashes 140:4, 140:12, 140:13, 140:23, 141:2, 141:9, 141:11, 141:16, 141:17, 141:25, 142:4, 142:15, 142:21 crashing 140:19 crazy 196:12 create 11:4, 38:22, 47:16, 64:5, 64:7, 65:24, 66:7, 81:24, 83:8, 147:3, 170:24, 185:7, 185:8, 191:10, 203:9 created 120:1, 170:22 creates 45:14, 84:22 creating 54:1, 58:17, 103:1, 128:19 creation 183:23 creek 83:24, 119:9 creeks 19:17 crew 16:12 criteria 119:2, 142:9,``` | ```142:11, 143:18, 148:5, 151:14, 151:19, 153:10 critical 29:11 cross 51:8, 51:9, 51:10, 51:24, 52:2, 115:16, 193:18 cross-examination 5:20, 66:22, 180:11 cross-section 73:22 cross-sections 73:22, 73:24 Cu 1:8, 4:2 cul-de-sac 184:24, 193:15 culture 184:5 cups 157:12 curb 193:13 curran 1:29, 208:2, 208:15 current 41:20, 64:4, 77:10, 192:24, 194:11, 202:3, 202: 6 currently 11:3, 15:6, 23:5, 23:7, 41:10, 76:22, 121:11, 138:12, 138:23, 139:14, 155:21, 183:14, 183:17, 184:13, 187:12, 191:17, 193:7 curser 33:17, 48:11, 167:1, 168:18``` | ```curtains 115:10, 115:12 curves 34:2 cut 50:18, 75:22, 113:10, 124:4, 125:15, 171:10, 174:15, 179:12, 203:15 cut-off 104:24 cuts 50:19, 51:11, 118:15 cwilson@traffic 128:9 \frac{D}{d-i-s-t-i-n-c-t--} i-v-e-d-e-v 14:9 dad 16:8 daily 153:13, 154:2 damage 140:6 dance 188:18 dangerous 149:7 dark 57:1, 108:8, 122:10, 122:21, 123:5, 123:14, 124:5, 203:3 darker 86:10 darkness 106:1, 113:22 dash 158:4 data 22:17, 22:18, 22:21, 23:7, 23:14, 24:2, 87:2, 118:1,``` | ```118:21, 118:22, 119:10, 140:10, 142:2 date 62:9, 119:17, 204:25, 205:2, 205:13, 206:8 dates 205:6 daughter 189:11 dawn 124:23, 125:5, 125:12 day 17:11, 24:4, 42:14, 72:20, 95:16, 96:5, 101:19, 116:22, 117:10, 118:2, 124:14, 133:9, 143:9, 144:1, 144:7, 144:12, 145:2, 145:8, 145:12, 153:4, 154:14, 162:5, 188:2, 189:17, 189:22, 193:25, 206:14, 206:20, 207:13 day-to-basis 187:25 daycare 188:21 days 16:18, 17:7, 37:5, 62:4, 204:4, 204:5, 204:23, 204:25, 205:2 dead 194:15 deal 72:14 dealing 10:17, 56:12 deals 106:21``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| dealt | definitions | 95:15, 201:21 | detached |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9:20 | 179:25 | depressed | 99:13, 99:15 |
| dean | degree | 51:5 | detail |
| 12:6, 162:9, | 46:23, 83:4 | depth | 179:5, 205:21, |
| 162:14, 163:4 | degrees | 38:6, 38:7 | 205:23 |
| dean@packardasso- | 19:24 | deputy | detailed |
| ciatesll | delay | 15:13 | 149:22 |
| 162:16 | 144:24, 147:3, | describe | details |
| death | 150:1, 151:20 | 27:1, 46:6, | 89:12, 203:13 |
| 79:20 | delays | 47:3, 53:4, | detector |
| decade | 75:17 | 58:23, 73:8, | 124:18 |
| 116:17 | deliberate | 75:2, 80:4, | determinations |
| decades | 15:2 | 85:23, 86:5, | 23:3 |
| 65:4 | delineation | 89:14, 92:16, | determine |
| decide | 31:3, 31:5, | 95:8, 100:8, | 129:15, 129:20, |
| 15:22, 176:10, | $31: 10,31: 14$, | $121: 3, \quad 165: 13$ | 129:23, 131:24, |
| 206:4 | 32:2, 33:13 | $175: 23,200: 12$ | 132:1, 143:2, |
| decided | deliveries | described | 149:10, 150:3, |
| 202:22, 203:20, | 130:22, 199:14 | 86:23, 152:25 | 150:6, 156:8, |
| 205:3 | delivery | describing | 159:23 |
| deciduous | 185:2 | 45:24, 123:12 | determining |
| 98:3 | demand | description | 44:2 |
| decimals | 19:1, 23:8, | 100:4, 110:18, | develop |
| $118: 14$ | $24: 13$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 110: 22, ~ 126: 11, ~ \\ 131 \cdot 9 & 14.5 \end{array}$ | $10: 16$ |
| decision | dementia | 131:9, 134:5 | developed |
| 17:12, 134:9, | $20: 7$ | design $26: 22,26: 24$ | $61: 13,171: 5$ |
| $\text { 195:1, } 204: 23,$ | demographics | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 22, \quad 26: 24, \\ & 27: 9, \quad 29: 7, \end{aligned}$ | developer $66: 7$ |
| $205: 2$ | demonstrate | $57: 11,63: 12,$ | developer's |
| decisions | 81:1, 82:10, | 63:22, 64:3, | 67:24 |
| 83:8 | 123:11 | 64:18, 68:13, | developing |
| deck | demonstrates | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 69: 1, \quad 70: 5, \\ 82: 20, \\ \hline 23: 8, \end{array}$ | $61: 14,118: 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 85: 10 \\ & \text { dedicated } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 22 \\ & \text { dense } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 82: 20, & 83: 8, \\ 106: 4, & 106: 6, \end{array}$ | development \|10:14, 11:21, |
| $183: 25$ | $139: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113: 16 \\ & \text { designated } \end{aligned}$ | $14: 17,14: 18,$ |
| deeper | density | $40: 10$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 15: 8, & 26: 25, \\ 30: 1, & 38: 13 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 103: 10,103: 24 \\ & \text { defer } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 139:7, 197:24 } \\ & \text { denying } \end{aligned}$ | designed | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 30: 1, & 38: 13, \\ 38: 24, & 39: 7, \end{array}\right.$ |
| $115: 20$ | $184: 6$ | $67: 12, \quad 68: 15,$ | $56: 20, \quad 81: 25$ |
| defining | department | 71:24, 84:16, $167: 7, \quad 168: 1,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 83: 9, & 83: 23, \\ 84 \cdot 7 & 93 \cdot 1 \end{array}$ |
| $106: 8$ | 29:13, 31:1, | $170: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 7, \quad 93: 1, \\ & 101: 22, \quad 103: 23, \end{aligned}$ |
| definite $45: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 133: 13, ~ 169: 13, \\ & 170: 22, ~ 170: 23, \end{aligned}$ | designer | 104:18, 117:24, |
| definitely | $181: 1, \quad 181: 2$ | $12: 1$ | $120: 12, \quad 146: 2,$ |
| 67:22, 170:5, | depend | desirability $44: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 146: 5, \quad 146: 11, \\ & 147: 24, \quad 154: 17, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 192:22, } 194: 8 \\ & \text { definition } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 149: 18 \\ & \text { depending } \end{aligned}$ | desirable | $171: 3,183: 6,$ |
| 176:7, 179:25 | 21:9, 30:19, | 45:22 | 184:3, 185:18 |
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|  | ```draghiceanu 12:4 drain 169:2, 170:9 drainage 147:14, 167:11, 168:7, 170:9, 181:17 drains 168:23 draw 141:5 drawing 39:8 drawings 35:12, 38:8, 52:24 drive 1:10, 4:4, 9:14, 46:22, 46:25, 47:2, 47:14, 47:18, 50:5, 50:9, 52:10, 53:8, 53:11, 54:4, 55:1, 55:16, 58:19, 58:21, 58:22, 59:3, 64:10, 78:11, 78:19, 79:2, 79:4, 79:6, 80:8, 80:16, 81:4, 84:12, 90:6, 90:14, 91:23, 128:8, 130:17, 130:21, 139:13, 140:1, 143:5, 143:21, 143:23, 144:3, 144:11, 146:5, 146:7, 150:10, 150:19, 151:8, 151:16, 152:6, 152:9, 152:18, 154:20, 163:17, 163:18, 168:16, 168:17, 168:19, 172:1, 172:9,``` | 172:10, 187:25, 189:3, 189:12, <br> 193:19, 193:21, 194:5 <br> driven $\text { \| } 140: 7$ <br> driver <br> 141:20 <br> drivers <br> 65:8 <br> drives <br> 18:6, 96:17 <br> driveway <br> 92:10, 131:20 <br> driveways <br> 135:1 <br> driving <br> 65:7, 92:20, <br> 106:11 <br> drop <br> 50:5, 50:7, <br> 157:5, 172:23, <br> 174:8, 179:19 <br> drops <br> 163:19, 163:20, <br> 172:3, 172:7, <br> 172:13, 173:12, <br> 174:14 <br> dry <br> 180:20 <br> dts <br> 188:6 <br> due <br> 113:20, 159:22, <br> 194:10, 206:2 <br> dueling <br> 63:15 <br> duly <br> 13:2, 25:20, <br> 74:11, 128:3, <br> 162:10, 186:25, <br> 190:24, 192:15, <br> 195:24 <br> dumpster <br> 200:18, 200:19 <br> durable <br> 70:24 <br> during <br> 16:11, 18:20, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```89:24, 153:16, 162:22 early 9:8, 15:14, 20:7, 24:11, 109:6, 163:16, 201:23 earth 61:15, 61:17, 61:19 easier 36:6, 63:3, 206:1 east 31:14, 32:24, 33:9, 43:7, 45:10, 47:1, 47:7, 57:7, 138:13, 149:17, 150:6, 158:21 eastbound 109:14, 151:17 eastern 31:20, 31:21, 34:5, 138:15 eastside 31:15 easy 124:13 echo 187:5 economic 147:24 edge 38:13, 43:17, 46:24, 47:2, 54:16, 82:13, 82:17, 83:5, 83:25, 86:18, 95:13, 97:14, 102:1, 102:21, 103:2, 103:25, 118:16, 118:24, 119:23, 120:1, 120:9, 156:12, 174:4, 177:23 edges 86:13, 107:9``` | ```education 27:2, 27:5 effect 106:24, 137:14, 147:21, 147:23, 181:14, 199:6 effects 181:13 effort 30:5 efforts 55:7 egress 93:17 eight 144:21, 145:6, 146:10, 153:7, 163:20, 172:15, 172:18, 172:20, 173:12 eileen 22:5, 61:8, \(70: 13,72: 23\), 148:16, 159:6, 186:6, 186:24, 193:22, 204:10 either 5:1, 40:11, 74:2, 150:13, 201:1, 201:19, 202:3 elder 1:12, 4:9, 34:21, 35:9, 35:10, 36:11, 43:12, 191:4, 202:11 elderly 37:24, 38:1, 38:5, 39:19, 43:8, 43:22, 191:5 electronic 156:17 element 81:8, 82:18, 85:11, \(96: 2\), 105:20, 117:18``` | ```elementary 138:8, 138:11, 183:22 elements 64:19, 89:9, 90:10, 124:8 elevated 85:4 elevation 59:20, 59:22, 67:13, 82:15, 94:9, 104:8 elevations 58:8, 60:2, 66:19 else 8:9, 12:15, 12:16, 22:20, 24:25, 25:6, 60:25, 62:8, 62:14, 66:14, 68:7, 71:14, 72:25, 107:11, 107:12, 115:21, 119:3, 121:9, 125:18, 126:6, 141:24, 144:25, 150:14, 151:22, 155:2, 158:25, 161:16, 165:9, 180:9, 182:12, 185:24, 188:10, 190:11, 192:3, 195:14, 198:20, 199:9, 201:2, 202:8, 206:18 embankment 82:14, 96:19, 102:2, 103:10, 121:17, 121:22, 171:21, 172:18 emotional 16:24, 17:3 emphasize 164:20 employ 29:7 employed 133:19, 207:9,``` | ```208:7 employee 132:15, 203:12 employees 131:15, 131:25, 132:12, 132:18, 132:20, 132:22, 133:2, 137:7, 137:18, 137:24, 148:3 enclosed 85:2 encompassed 10:20 encouraged 37:24 encroaching 83:23 end 17:17, 28:10, 42:17, 49:22, 60:12, 99:8, 130:12, 154:2, 192:13, 194:16 ending 161:23 ends 16:1 energy 20:12 engagement 20:23 engaging 20:17 engineer 12:6, 47:15, 49:5, 49:6, 49:14, 49:19, 73:10, 82:19, 104:4, 104:7, 122:3, 122:4, 128:24, 163:6, 163:8 engineering 12:3, 126:18, 129:2, 129:4, 163:1 engineers 26:6, 129:19``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| enhance | 57:22, 57:25, | 130:16, 140:9, | evident |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80:15, 164:21 | 84:13, 84:14, | 141:9, 144:5, | 26:23 |
| enhanced | 89:8, 89:18, | 146:10, 151:7, | ex |
| 78:14, 79:5, | 89:21, 109:15, | 152:23, 179:7, | 157:7 |
| 80:22, 83:8 | 124:17, 125:8 | 184:15, 185:21, | exact |
| enjoy | environment | 188:18, 193:3, | 102:12 |
| 19:17, 19:20, | 170:23, 183:24, | 193:5, 197:21, | exactly |
| 21:3 | 196:13 | 198:3, 198:9 | 23:19, 67:14, |
| enjoyment | environmental | evening | 110:16, 117:1, |
| 147:24 | 163:13 | 135:11 | 141:3, 175:23, |
| enormous | environments | event | 178:10 |
| 24:21 | 15:4 | 188:20 | exaggerated |
| enough | equally | events | 58:14 |
| 22:20, 34:11, | 202:11 | 188:20 | examine |
| 44:18, 53:17, | ericson | ever | 74:5, 142:12 |
| 136:9, 140:6, | 77:14 | 16:4, 28:4, | examiner: |
| 143:22, 144:9, | error | 51:16, 75:23, | 97:7, 102:16 |
| 203:6, 204:12 | 136:13, 136:19 | 76:2, 113:14, | example |
| enter | especially | 186:9 | 45:13, 63:24, |
| 36:20, 48:17, | 37:7, 66:3, | evergreens | 91:3, 107:22, |
| 56:9, 175:17 | 154:15, 184:10, | 95:19, 95:21 | 107:25 |
| entered | 193:25 | every | examples |
| 6:22 | esquire | 12:13, 23:24, | $109: 16$ |
| entering | 3:11 | 54:12, 56:8, | exceed |
| $171: 25$ | essence | 189:17, 198:1, | $86: 18,93: 10,$ |
| enterprised | $43: 9$ | $206: 16$ | $94: 24, \quad 168: 13$ |
| 98:21 | essentially | everybody | except |
| entire | 196:21 | 18:20, 25:6, | 10:5, 37:9, |
| 10:19, 144:11, | established | 126:23, 157:15 | 99:13, 123:18, |
| 154:6 | 42:22 | everyone | 126:18, 193:11 |
| entrance | estate | 12:9, 12:15, | exception |
| 54:8, 81:19, | 17:21, 17:22 | 12:16, 25:8, | 39:19, 40:10, |
| $82: 3, \quad 91: 17,$ | estates | 37:16, 78:5, | $40: 11, \quad 41: 16,$ |
| 91:18, 92:11, | $4: 16, \quad 5: 16,$ | 79:19, 80:4, | $135: 22,191: 2,$ |
| $92: 21,92: 23,$ | $9: 12,122: 12,$ | 87:11, 127:8, | $191: 5, \quad 194: 11$ |
| $103: 20,103: 21,$ | $196: 19$ | 158:25, 180:8, | exceptions |
| 166:20, 166:24, | et | 185:24, 204:15, | $43: 22$ |
| 167:4, 172:8, | 188:9 | $206: 19$ | excerpt |
| $172: 9$ | evaluate | everyone's 158:5 | $36: 18$ |
| entries | 28:20, 28:25, | 158:5 <br> everything | excerpts |
| $81: 8$ | $29: 6$ | everything $20: 22,22: 19$, | $37: 3, \quad 37: 15,$ |
| entry | even | 20:22, 22:19, | $73: 18$ |
| 18:17, 21:7, | $17: 8,19: 18,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 41: 15, & 75: 22, \\ 127: 1, & 144: 25 \end{array}$ | excess |
| 47:7, 47:13, | $19: 19, \quad 20: 24,$ | 127:1, 144:25, | $165: 25$ |
| 53:8, 53:13, | $23: 5,67: 16,$ | $165: 22,181: 17$ | excessive |
| 55:21, 55:22, | $67: 19, \quad 75: 23$ | everywhere | $70: 18$ |
| 56:1, $56: 3$, | $92: 3, \quad 100: 1,$ | $56: 22,69: 9,$ | excited |
| 56:7, 56:19, | 100:4, 114:4, | 69:11 | 183:20 |
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| excitement | 156:17, 163:12, | 81:25, 94:2, | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:1 | 164:24, 166:14, | 100:11, 105:3, | facade |
| excuse | 171:22, 179:10, | 142:2, 142:12, | 52:21, 54:25, |
| 14:17, 15:5, | 179:13, 181:3, | 142:13, 142:15, | 55:1, 55:4, |
| 23:8, 23:9 | 199:19, 199:20, | 184:25 | 56:25, 58:10, |
| excused | 200:11, 205:8 | experiences | 58:15, 90:9, |
| 198:18 | exhibits | 151:19 | 90:14 |
| exemptions | 6:14, 6:16, | expert | facades |
| 10:11, 38:1 | 37:5, 37:7, | 4:10, 8:22, | 55:8, 63:16, |
| exercise | 45:24, 46:6, | 8:24, 11:23, | 63:20, 187:17 |
| 132:14, 193:13 | 48:6, 49:12, | 12:15, 28:6, | face |
| exhibit | 58:8, 61:5, | 28:10, 28:15, | 54:2, 58:12, |
| 6:22, 7:7, | 75:9, 75:11, | 30:9, 76:2, | 187:24 |
| 7:14, 7:15, | 86:1, 91:4, | 76:4, 77:16, | faces |
| 26:14, 31:23, | 205:8 | 79:22, 80:1, | 58:11, 58:13, |
| 31:25, 32:5, | exist | 129:1, 129:4 | 96:18 |
| 32:7, 32:12, | 199:7, 199:8 | expertise | facilities |
| 33:7, 35:13, | existed | 26:24 | 63:13, 131:19, |
| 35:25, 36:2, | 191:2 | expired | 147:12, 147:13, |
| $36: 5,36: 13$, | existing | 40:11, 191:7 | 147:15, 166:21, |
| $37: 16,37: 17$, | 7:13, 66:3, | explain | 167:6, 167:16, |
| 37:18, 39:1, | 138:19, 139:1, | 29:3 | 167:17, 167:21, |
| 40:19, 40:23, | 143:24, 174:20, | explaining | 168:12, 168:25, |
| 41:25, 44:5, | 185:10 | 124:4, 180:6 | 169:6, 169:8, |
| 44:6, 44:9, | exit | explanation | 169:19, 170:16, |
| 44:13, 46:9, | 152:18 | 179:21 | 172:2, 172:12, |
| 46:14, 46:18, | exiting | explicit | 173:18, 176:1, |
| 47:3, 48:5, | 144:3, 145:10, | 11:2 | 177:10, 178:7, |
| 48:7, 48:8, | 149:9 | extend | 180:16, 188:5 |
| 48:20, 51:17, | expand | 108:11 | facility |
| 51:18, 52:25, | 16:2, 166:23 | extent | $1: 7,1: 9,4: 4,$ |
| 53:5, 53:7, | expanded | 113:17, 164:22, | $9: 10,9: 16,$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll}54: 5, & 54: 6, \\ 54: 7, & 54: 13\end{array}$ | 150:18, 151:3 | 165:25, 167:9, | 29:25, 65:9, |
| 54:7, 54:13, | expanding | 177:20, 179:18 | 129:9, 137:1, |
| 55:18, 58:9, | 18:23 | exterior | 167:6, 167:13, |
| 59:15, 59:24, | expansion | 88:22, 88:25, | 167:14, 168:6, |
| 61:4, 61:11, | 185:7 | 89:2, 99:19, | 168:10, 168:11, |
| 61:21, 72:7, | expect | 114:12, 124:12, | 168:18, 168:20, |
| 73:14, 77:21, | 142:15, 162:24 | 177:2 | 168:23, 169:1, |
| 78:3, 78:6, | experience | external | 169:3, 172:6, |
| 80:4, 85:25, | 14:19, 26:24, | 84:6, 85:6, | 172:7, 172:10, |
| 89:23, 107:25, $109: 17,120: 13$, | 27:1, 27:10, | 86:24 | 172:14, 173:11, |
| 109:17, 120:13, | 27:11, 28:1, | extinguish | 173:17, 174:3, |
| $126: 10, ~ 126: 15$, $128: 14, ~ 129: 12$, | 28:13, 28:24, | 10:10, 10:22 | 176:2, 176:24, |
| $128: 14,129: 12$, $131: 7,134: 5$, | 43:11, 56:21, | extinguished | 185:3, 187:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 131: 7, \quad 134: 5, \\ & 153: 12,153: 20, \end{aligned}$ | 64:10, 65:25, | 10:6 | 188:11, 188:21, |
| $153: 23,153: 24,$ | 76:5, 76:7, | extra | 188:24, 189:16, |
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| 191:3, 192:12, | family | feel | 19:1, 55:8, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 196:5, 196:24 | 15:16, 15:23, | 23:21, 23:22, | 64:21 |
| facing | 18:7, 18:25, | 34:10, 55:13, | female |
| 59:25, 107:24, | 19:4, 19:20, | 63:18, 64:3, | $4: 14,4: 22,5: 3$ |
| 108:5, 113:21 | 21:3, 50:13, | 68:20, 69:22, | fence |
| fact | 84:17, 99:13 | 70:16, 71:3, | 121:8, 175:22, |
| 38:6, 41:16, | famous | 71:7, 184:24, | 176:19, 176:22, |
| 67:24, 141:9, | 16:10 | 185:6, 185:15, | 176:23, 176:25, |
| 142:19, 144:8, | far | 189:19, 196:8, | 177:4, 177:6, |
| 150:17, 183:13, | 19:4, 34:11, | 196:16, 204:7 | 177:16, 177:24, |
| 184:13, 184:17, | 44:18, 47:22, | feeling | 178:2, 178:4, |
| 193:18 | 147:7, 152:22, | 17:11, 184:2 | 178:6, 178:11, |
| factor | 198:10, 200:23, | feels | 193:5, 202:17, |
| 130:8, 197:19 | 203:18 | 205:6 | 203:13, 203:22, |
| factored | fashion | feet | 205:18 |
| 152:12, 152:15 | 140:20 | 47:23, 50:17, | fender-bender |
| factors | fast | 50:20, 50:21, | 140:10 |
| 23:4, 29:12, | 187:5, 193:20 | 52:12, 52:14, | fender-benders |
| 55:2, 69:17, | fatality | 53:23, 54:1, | 140:9 |
| 70:16, 129:22, | 140:8 | 57:13, 57:16, | few |
| 130:7, 142:24, | father | 57:20, 57:22, | 9:6, 27:19, |
| 189: 6 | 15:13, 20:7, | 58:23, 64:14, | 29:15, 29:22, |
| fair | 20:10 | 65:3, 65:15, | $31: 15,34: 25$, |
| 157:19 | favor | 68:3, 95:16, | 38:7, 38:8, |
| fairland | 63:22 | 96:5, 96:7, | 85:10, 107:16, |
| 9:22, 9:25, | favorite | 100:13, 109:10, | 171:16, 189:8, |
| 30:23, 34:19, | 19:21 | 111:23, 112:3, | 196:20 |
| 35:8, 36:9, | favorites | 112:6, 112:9, | fewer |
| 36:17, 37:1, | 20:4 | 112:14, 112:17, | 132:18, 184:23 |
| $37: 10,37: 15$, | fb cm | 112:19, 112:24, | field |
| 73:18, 127:14, | 158:3 | 114:24, 140:25, | 18:19, 28:14, |
| 155:20, 155:22, | feature | 163:20, 169:1, | 28:15, 55:4, |
| 155:23, 157:1, | 54:3, 81:2, | 172:11, 172:15, | 63:19 |
| 157:16, 157:19, | 83:19, 115:5, | 172:18, 172:20, | fields |
| 159:9, 194:23 | 126:11, 157:4 | 173:9, 173:10, | 64:20 |
| fairly | features | 173:11, 173:12, | fifth |
| 76:7 | 109:15, 109:16, | 173:19, 173:23, | 12:3 |
| fall | 116:25, 125:16, | 174:9, 175:21, | fight |
| 159:20, 203:10 | 126:14, 131:10, | $\begin{array}{ll} 176: 2, & 176: 3, \\ 176: 5, & 176: 7, \end{array}$ | $198: 1$ |
| falls | 134:6, 163:13, | $\begin{array}{lr} 176: 5, & 176: 7, \\ 177: 16 . & 177: 23 . \end{array}$ | figure |
| 67:10 | 166:21, 203:15 | 177:16, 177:23, | $36: 9,38: 15,$ |
| familiar | february | 177:25, 178:1, | $154: 10, \quad 158: 5$ |
| 85:20 | $1: 16,207: 4,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 178: 4, & 178: 5, \\ 193: 2, & 193: 3, \end{array}$ | figured |
| familiarize | $207: 13$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 193: 2, & 193: 3, \\ 193: 4, & 197: 13 \end{array}$ | $93: 8$ |
| 38:11 | federal | 193:4, 197:13 <br> fell | figuring |
| families | 143:17, 146:18, | $160: 5$ | 13:18 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 45: 15, & 139: 11, \\ 184: 1, & 184: 10 \end{array}$ | 146:19 | felt | file <br> 36:17, 48:10, |
| 184:1, 184:10 | $85: 15$ | 15:18, 18:21, | 36:17, 48:10, |
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| 91:7, 128:12, | finishes | fixing | focused |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 205:3 | 15:24 | 108:6 | 27:12, 29:16 |
| filed | fire | fixture | focusing |
| 7:6, 133:20, | 147:13, 180:20, | 88:5, 108:8, | 122:8, 133:7 |
| 201:22 | 180:23, 180:24, | 116:21 | folks |
| filing | 180:25, 181:1, | fixtures | 197:25 |
| 75:15 | 181:2, 181:5 | 90:19, 91:14, | follow |
| fill | firm | 104:18, 104:24, | 74:3, 149:13, |
| 174:15 | 4:8, 30:4, | 109:14, 123:13 | 166:24 |
| filtering | 30:5, 129:3, | flat | follow-up |
| 171:3 | 178:20 | 64:17, 66:6, | 64:23, 109:3, |
| final | first | 66:9, 68:12, | 124:11, 150:17, |
| 19:23, 21:5, | 4:5, 5:21, | 69:3, 69:8, | 153:11 |
| 127:15, 153:13, | 11:19, 16:4, | 69:15, 69:16, | followed |
| 165:5, 194:25 | 17:4, 18:15, | 70:2, 70:14, | 187:21 |
| finalizing | 27:2, 37:25, | 70:17, 166:3, | following |
| 155:21 | 47:10, 47:12, | 187:16 | 147:23 |
| finally | 50:15, 50:20, | flatroof | follows |
| 12:6 | 50:21, 52:9, | 65:18 | 13:3, 25:21, |
| financial | 53:3, 53:16, | flatwood | 74:12, 128:4, |
| 67:25, 207:10, | 72:15, 78:13, | 64:12 | 155:13, 162:11, |
| 208:8 | 86:6, 90:2, | flavor | 187:1, 190:25, |
| find | 90:6, 95:9, | 18:9 | 192:16, 195:25 |
| 10:9, 18:13, | 101:12, 107:20, | fleet | food |
| 20:20, 35:12, | 111:20, 115:25, | 152:13 | 135:14 |
| 55:25, 70:19, | 116:7, 116:19, | flexibility | foot |
| 92:23, 107:3, | 129:14, 134:12, | 161:25 | 51:4, 65:23, |
| 132:4, 156:25, | 159:7, 172:10 | flexible | $90: 16, \quad 97: 23,$ |
| 157:11, 183:20 | fit | 11:19 | $98: 1,114: 3$ |
| finding | 42:16, 187:11, | flip | 117:8, 117:15, |
| 10:11, 15:15, | $191: 13,193: 8$ | 139:19 | 177:9, 179:3, |
| 147:11, 147:17, | fitness | flood | 184:3, 197:11 |
| 147:20, 148:6, | 20:23 | 164:9 | foot-candle |
| 180:14, 180:15, | fits | floor | 86:18, 87:1, |
| 181:11 | 185:19 | 47:10, 50:20, | 89:10, 117:6, |
| findings | fitter | 50:21, 50:23, | 117:11, 117:21, |
| 60:11, 60:14, | 108:12 | 94:9 | 117:22, 118:22 |
| 60:20, 60:22, | five | flowering | footage |
| 106:20, 147:9 | 72:6, 73:7, | 95:23, 97:4, | 131:25, 132:12 |
| fine | 77:11, 96:6, | 101:3, 112:5, | football |
| 11:18, 32:12, | 96:9, 125:22, | 120:8, 121:1 | $29: 24$ |
| 32:22, 32:23, | 140:22, 142:15, | flows | foregoing |
| 100:6, 100:10, | 142:23, 145:17, | 167:10, 172:4 | 207:3, 208:3 |
| 126:21, 128:22, | 173:15, 173:17, | fly | foregrounds |
| 165:11, 165:23, | 173:25, 178:3, | 196:6 | 58:16 |
| 204:11 | $206: 6$ | focus | foremost |
| finished | fixed | 20:24, 131:11, | 18:15 |
| 57:20, 94:9 | 5:12, 5:13 | 164:16 | forest |
|  |  |  | 50:9, 58:14, |
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|  | frames <br> 57:2 <br> framework <br> 85:14 <br> framing <br> 147:15 <br> franklin <br> 128:8 <br> freehold <br> 14:6 <br> frequency <br> 139:24 <br> frequent <br> 189:1 <br> frequently <br> 193:13, 194:18 <br> friday <br> 1:16 <br> front <br> 8:25, 103:1, <br> 119:25, 120:2, <br> 147:25, 158:1, <br> 167:3, 193:12 <br> frontage <br> 84:21 <br> fruition <br> 29:23, 197:7 <br> full <br> 19:15, 99:23, <br> 115:24, 125:15, <br> 143:9, 147:24, <br> 177:20, 179:12 <br> fully <br> 6:1, 51:5, <br> 113:14 <br> function $\begin{aligned} & 17: 23, \quad 52: 21, \\ & 52: 22 \\ & \text { further } \\ & 28: 17,29: 4, \\ & 29: 14,34: 4, \\ & 34: 9,35: 11, \\ & 50: 18,54: 3, \\ & 59: 19,60: 2, \\ & 66: 13,72: 3, \\ & 118: 7,138: 21, \\ & 146: 7,154: 24, \\ & 155: 1,164: 21, \end{aligned}$ | 181:19, 184:19 future $\begin{aligned} & 38: 20,199: 8, \\ & 202: 5 \end{aligned}$ <br> G <br> gained <br> 69:20 <br> garden <br> 84:15 <br> gather <br> 21:2 <br> gathered <br> 54:14 <br> gave <br> 99:12 <br> general <br> 15:24, 29:19, <br> 45:11, 60:11, <br> 60:20, 99:2, <br> 106:20, 147:9, <br> 148:1, 161:4, <br> 161:11, 180:14 generally <br> 18:12, 31:5, 104:15, 163:16 generate <br> 129:16, 129:24, 130:4, 138:16 generated <br> 179:4 <br> generating <br> 144:1 <br> generation $\begin{aligned} & 23: 25, \quad 24: 3, \\ & 24: 6, \quad 129: 20, \\ & 130: 16, \quad 134: 18, \\ & 136: 22 \end{aligned}$ <br> generations <br> 21:2, 153:12 <br> gentleman <br> 100:4 <br> george <br> 12:4, 17:19, <br> 21:11 <br> getting $\begin{aligned} & 16: 23, \quad 20: 25, \\ & 24: 22, \quad 31: 15, \end{aligned}$ | 75:17, 79:7, 85:15, 100:3, 109:7, 142:17, 178:14 <br> ghost <br> 79:1 <br> gilday $3: 12,4: 9$ <br> give <br> 9:5, 14:14, <br> 14:22, 27:13, <br> 47:12, 55:15, <br> 59:16, 68:19, <br> 77:2, 79:19, <br> 79:21, 86:6, <br> 99:22, 103:9, <br> 104:7, 144:14, <br> 144:17, 158:1, <br> 170:6, 173:14, <br> 203:13, 204:2, <br> 204:17, 204:18, 205:25 <br> given <br> 65:2, 65:10, <br> 83:20, $96: 8$, $114: 9, \quad 185: 8$ <br> gives <br> 18:9, 23:3, <br> 23:4, 43:9, <br> 57:3, 68:20, <br> 87:3 <br> giving <br> 64:8, 101:2 <br> glare <br> 86:15, 114:10, <br> 114:13, 117:12, <br> 121:18, 121:21, <br> 123:17, 124:7 <br> glass <br> 56:18, 70:18, 187:16 <br> globe <br> 108:13 <br> glow <br> 110:4 <br> gmail <br> 40:5 <br> goals $29: 14,35: 9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 161:11 <br> goes $\begin{aligned} & 22: 20, \quad 24: 2, \\ & 38: 6, \quad 38: 7, \\ & 82: 12, \quad 88: 16, \\ & 113: 2, \quad 118: 2, \\ & 118: 25, \quad 135: 10, \\ & 179: 22 \\ & \text { gone } \\ & 14: 2, \quad 43: 21, \\ & 198: 9 \end{aligned}$ <br> good | 121:15, 172:8, 172:11, 172:13, 172:15, 172:20, 173:19, 173:23, 174:25, 176:3 grade's 176:2 graded 96:16 grading 50:8, 82:11, 96:24, 101:25, 165:7, 172:24 grandfathered 201:20 granted 39:19 <br> grasses <br> 167:22, 169:8 grateful <br> 185:11 <br> gravel $88: 9$ <br> gray $\begin{array}{ll} 53: 25, & 56: 11, \\ 56: 23, & 70: 18 \end{array}$ <br> great <br> 22:16, 125:1, 194:15, 196:18, $197: 15$ <br> greatest $23: 17,24: 2$ $24: 8, \quad 24: 16$ <br> green $\begin{array}{ll} 53: 11, & 80: 22, \\ 80: 25, & 81: 23, \\ 82: 17, & 86: 14 \end{array}$ <br> grew $15: 13,16: 20$ $196: 9$ <br> grocery $189: 23$ <br> ground $15: 9,106: 12$ $167: 9,168: 14$ $174: 8, \quad 174: 14$ <br> 174:20, 197:13 <br> grounds <br> 19:16 | group $\begin{aligned} & 8: 25, \quad 12: 2, \\ & 12: 3, \quad 26: 5, \\ & 28: 2, \quad 64: 13, \\ & 74: 16, \quad 75: 1, \\ & 128: 10 \\ & \text { grouping } \\ & 20: 1 \\ & \text { groups } \\ & 23: 13,183: 9 \\ & \text { grow } \\ & 15: 15,101: 21 \\ & \text { growing } \end{aligned}$ <br> 183:21, 184:10, 196:10 <br> growth <br> 65:4 <br> guess $\begin{aligned} & 40: 19, \quad 65: 14, \\ & 67: 10, \quad 67: 17, \\ & 68: 22, \quad 100: 3, \\ & 104: 10, \quad 105: 16, \\ & 108: 2, \quad 111: 7, \\ & 114: 16,135: 21, \\ & 136: 15,137: 23, \\ & 139: 4, \quad 151: 9, \\ & 155: 21, \quad 163: 15, \\ & 174: 2 \\ & \text { guests } \\ & 56: 1 \\ & \text { guidance } \\ & 146: 24 \\ & \text { guide } \\ & 167: 1 \\ & \text { guys } \\ & 65: 15, \\ & 124: 13,15: 17, \\ & 182: 2, \\ & 183: 6 \end{aligned}$ <br> H <br> haering <br> 50:23 <br> half <br> 56:2, 73:11, <br> 79:21, 125:21, 126:8, 188:4, <br> 194:20, 197:13 <br> halfway <br> 99:7 | hand $12: 25,25: 18$ $74: 9, \quad 128: 1$ $162: 8,171: 21$ $186: 14,186: 17$ $186: 23, \quad 190: 22$ $195: 22, \quad 207: 12$ <br> handful $28: 3$ <br> handled $170: 9$ <br> handlir $2: 13,207: 2$ <br> hang $18: 20,42: 10$ $198: 18$ <br> hanging $42: 13$ <br> hannan $1: 15$ <br> hannen $3: 3$ <br> happen <br> 24:7, 24:22 <br> happened <br> 16:5 <br> happening <br> 86:14 <br> happens <br> 135:11 <br> hard $\begin{aligned} & 43: 17, \quad 75: 21, \\ & 158: 13, \quad 166: 25, \\ & 170: 5,170: 6 \\ & \text { harm } \\ & 147: 20, \quad 181: 12 \\ & \text { hat } \\ & 16: 9 \\ & \text { he'11 } \\ & 11: 25 \\ & \text { head } \\ & 108: 7, \quad 122: 2, \\ & 150: 13 \\ & \text { headed } \\ & 189: 3 \\ & \text { heading } \\ & 189: 2 \\ & \text { headlight } \\ & 102: 15,176: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| headlights | held | 57:11, 102:6, | 11:24, 12:21, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 96:14, 96:19, | 2:1, 4:18, 5:9, | 119:8, 133:25, | 12:22, 13:1, |
| 121:9, 171:12 | 13:19, 73:15, | 144:9, 144:18, | 13:10, 13:20, |
| heads | 75:14, 84:11, | 163:18, 171:11, | 13:21, 14:3, |
| 204:17 | 148:11 | 174:22, 193:23, | 14:14, 21:19, |
| health | help | 194:6, 196:5 | 22:9 |
| 14:23, 148:2 | 18:16, 20:13, | higher | hoard's |
| hear | 82:15, 109:13, | 20:18, 86:12, | 45:7 |
| 5:1, 5:6, 11:8, | 142:19, 176:20 | 96:7, 102:14, | hold |
| $11: 10,11: 13,$ | helped | 103:10, 103:13, | 43:1, 53:6, |
| 16:18, 35:22, | 177:15 | 103:15, 106:15, | 79:10 |
| 41:3, 42:17, | helpful | 106:16, 111:4, | holes |
| 79:24, 92:12, | 51:8, 90:13, | 117:15, 118:3, | 31:6 |
| 127:1, 129:2, | 97:7, 187:4 | 121:24, 121:25, | holiday |
| 134:11, 151:24, | here | 133:24, 134:1, | 158:7 |
| 152:2, 156:4, | 4:5, 15:11, | 174:20, 175:3, | home |
| 162:4, 178:23, | 19:24, 32:20, | 194:5 | 43:13, 45:8, |
| 180:9, 186:15, | 35:12, 35:13, | highest | 50:15, 50:18, |
| 187:4, 197:10 | 35:16, 38:12, | 65:3, 114:13, | 50:19, 50:20, |
| heard | 40:13, 50:2, | 135:2, 135:3, | 50:21, 50:24, |
| 5:15, 10:22, | 53:9, 53:14, | 145:8, 145:16 | 51:1, 53:17, |
| 29:1, 100:3, | 55:8, 55:15, | highlight | 67:15, 67:19, |
| 115:1, 115:24, | 56:13, 56:22, | 81:7, 89:8, | 76:11, 179:11, |
| 119:13, 176:17, | 57:2, 58:16, | 106:3, 124:2 | 191:15 |
| 199:13, 203:2 | 63:22, 90:8, | highlighted | homecare |
| hearings | 97:6, 102:22, | 36:21, 37:22, | 191:4 |
| 1:1, 3:5, 14:1, | 109:17, 118:13, | 37:25, 38:12 | homeland |
| $63: 6, \quad 75: 18,$ | 119:3, 122:12, | highlighting | 1:12, 4:9, |
| 185:12, 206:14 | 123:6, 136:5, | $37: 9, \quad 124: 17$ | 202:11 |
| heartbreaker | 145:9, 152:25, | highlights | homes |
| 194:18 | 160:17, 169:17, | 37:13, 105:19, | 15:17, 15:25, |
| heavily | 185:24, 191:9, | 162:7 | 23:16, 31:15, |
| 197:18 | 192:2, 194:14, | highway | 33:12, 34:7, |
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| $177: 16, \quad 193: 1$ | $18: 17,18: 18,$ | hoard | $146: 17$ |
| heights $57: 15,171: 24$ | 18:25, 20:24, | 11:20, 11:22, | hope $18: 8,26: 15,$ |

PLANET DEPOS

Conducted on February 16, 2024

| 191:17, 194:22 hopefully $152: 2,182: 25,$ 183:23, 204:19, $204: 20$ <br> hoping | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63:25, 69:9, } \\ & 83: 4,188: 14, \\ & 188: 17,188: 19 \\ & \text { housing } \\ & 14: 20,15: 17, \\ & 22: 10,22: 18, \\ & 23: 15,26: 25, \\ & 27: 12, \quad 27: 16, \\ & 28: 14, \quad 35: 10, \\ & 36: 11, \quad 37: 24, \\ & 38: 1,38: 5, \\ & 43: 8,43: 12, \\ & 43: 22,183: 8, \\ & 184: 7,184: 8, \\ & 184: 9,187: 7, \\ & 190: 3,190: 4, \\ & 192: 22,196: 2, \\ & 202: 2 \\ & \text { however } \\ & 17: 22,43: 21, \\ & 192: 23 \\ & \text { huge } \\ & 51: 18 \\ & \text { human } \\ & 166: 6,170: 15 \\ & \text { humongous } \\ & 24: 16 \\ & \text { hundred } \\ & 140: 25, ~ 164: 9 \\ & \text { hyphen } \\ & 192: 10 \end{aligned}$I <br> idea <br> $90: 19, \quad 104: 8$, <br> $122: 13, \quad 144: 15$, <br> $169: 9, \quad 170: 21$, <br> $184: 1$ <br> identified <br> $36: 10, \quad 36: 13$, <br> $38: 18, \quad 38: 21$, <br> $39: 9, \quad 181: 9$ <br> identify <br> $4: 6, \quad 4: 20$, <br> $21: 24, \quad 39: 7$, <br> $39: 23, \quad 163: 12$ <br> ii <br> $16: 8, \quad 16: 12$ | ```illuminate 94:1 illuminates 109:14 illumination 105:24, 148:2, 179:13 image 38:4, 39:3, 43:3, 44:15, 45:3, 54:11, 56:24, 57:14, 58:14, 58:20, 59:4, 59:9, 108:1, 109:16 images 58:8 immediately 39:13 impact 15:2, 17:6, 17:9, 19:2, 64:10, 105:18, 113:18, 113:24, 114:18, 125:1, 146:7, 166:4, 184:15, 189:18 impacted 63:21 impactful 15:3 impacts 34:12 impasse 134:18, 156:15 impeding 117:24 impervious 167:8 implemented 192:2 implicated 185:2 implications 142:1 important 12:12, 20:6, 56:20, 63:1,``` | ```66:22, 84:10, 130:15, 133:3, 153:3, 183:8 importantly 18:25 impressive 196:25 improved 99:16 improves 118:7 in-depth 154:14 inaccurate 41:19 inch 198:1 incidents 196:11 include 9:16, 145:20, 146:2, 153:14, 156:2, 156:3, 156:5 included 31:13, 90:20, 128:12, 145:22, 150:22, 151:5, 151:9, 159:8, 159:13, 159:19 includes 27:17, 54:9 including 41:16, 147:13, 200:24 incorporated 69:21 increase 51:6, 88:14, 93:4, 142:20, 146:11, 170:15, 197:24 increased 137:22, 152:16 increasing 83:7 incur 149:25``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 202:12 } \\ & \text { lead } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 153: 4, \quad 153: 5, \\ & 153: 9, \quad 156: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119: 3, \quad 119: 8, \\ & 119: 11, \quad 142: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 189: 21, ~ 193: 25, \\ & 197: 12, ~ 202: 20, \end{aligned}$ |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on February 16, 2024

| 203:9 | 113:25, 116:20, | 197:10, 197:12, | 161:25, 166:23, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| $23: 11,60: 18 \text {, }$ | undue | update | $\begin{aligned} & 161: 6, \quad 163: 12, \\ & 166: 13, \quad 182: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| $71: 17,76: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 147:20, 181:12 } \\ & \text { unduly } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 37:17, } 205: 8 \\ & \text { updated } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 166: 13, \quad 182: 4, \\ & 182: 5, \quad 188: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| $76: 14, ~ 76: 18$, $94: 6, ~ 95: 23$, | $144: 24$ | $48: 12, \quad 48: 20,$ | 188:22, 189:21, |
| 94:6, 95:23, $98: 24, \quad 99: 14,$ | unfortunately | $52: 2,71: 9,$ | 193:13, 200:4, |
| $99: 20,116: 25$ | 5:4, 49:12, | 73:19, 73:20, | 200:5, 200:11, |
| 133:12, 133:20, | 187:23 | 160:8 | 203:14, 203:21, |
| 134:22, 143:23, | unidentified | uplight | 204:15, 205:1, |
| $144: 7,144: 19,$ | 4:14, 4:22, 5:3 | 124:6 | 205:19 |
| $153: 12, \quad 155: 16,$ | uniform | uploads | uses |
| $182: 2,182: 3$ | $142: 8,143: 11,$ | $158: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 11, \quad 38: 20, \\ & 43: 4,43: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| 182:9, 199:1, | $146: 20$ | uprooted <br> 20:19 | $\text { 57:10, } 98: 20 \text {, }$ |
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|  | 160:20, 179:11 war <br> 16:8, 16:11 <br> warehouse <br> 57:9, 67:17, 67:20 <br> warm <br> 90:12, 90:24, <br> 93:6, 105:18, <br> 109:12, 109:16, <br> 109:22, 109:23, <br> 109:24, 110:14, 110:21 <br> warmer $110: 3, \quad 110: 4$ <br> warrant <br> 142:13, 142:25, <br> 143:23, 144:10, <br> 144:18, 144:20, <br> 145:3, 146:14, <br> 149:23, 153:10, <br> 154:14 <br> warranted <br> 142:6, 144:7, <br> 144:13 <br> warranting <br> 152:23 <br> warrants <br> 142:12, 153:1 <br> wash $90: 9,138: 25$ $139: 4, \quad 139: 5$ <br> washington |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 202:23 | wet | wilson's | wonderful |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| weaker | 180:19 | 128:11 | 184:16, 187:8 |
| 117:11 | wetlands | window | wood |
| weather | 164:9 | 69:20, 115:1, | 56:13, 56:14, |
| 56:17 | whatever | 115:9 | 70:24 |
| website | 110:21, 132:6, | windows | wooded |
| 37:7, 156:22, | 175:1, 191:4 | 55:10, 69:20, | 50:9 |
| 157:11, 157:15, | whatsoever | 113:17, 114:23, | wooden |
| 157:16, 158:11, | 141:10 | 115:3, 115:5, | 33:24, 205:22 |
| 205:9 | whereof | 187:16 | woods |
| wedge | 207:12 | wing | 196:21 |
| 33:12 | whether | 47:8, 47:9, | word |
| wednesday | 9:21, 29:17, | 84:25, 103:24 | 153:15, 157:17 |
| 203:24, 203:25, | 40:19, 54:9, | wisconsin | words |
| 204:1, 206:1 | 106:23, 110:2, | 3:13 | 92:17, 157:1 |
| weeks | 127:16, 138:8, | wish | wore |
| 23:1 | 148:5, 150:3, | 5:17, 6:1, | 94:12 |
| welcome | 155:23, 181:12, | 190:2 | work |
| 161:8, 161:12 | 195:1, 202:5 | within | 6:15, 15:4, |
| welfare | white | 18:2, 22:23, | 15:16, 26:5, |
| 148:3 | 31:16, 53:25, | 27:17, 28:3, | 29:21, 63:16, |
| wellness | 56:24, 111:9, | 29:21, 35:8, | 64:3, 64:6, |
| 20:24 | 111:10, 118:15, | 35:10, 38:19, | 70:8, 74:16, |
| went | 118:25, 179:22 | 39:3, 47:7, | 76:12, 76:14, |
| 16:11, 16:12, | whoever | $47: 11, \quad 53: 21$ | 76:18, 171:6 |
| 35:4, 55:7, | 33:17 | $55: 3,65: 22$ | worked |
| 143:8, 143:24 | whole | 83:20, 84:12, | 5:5, 16:2, |
| weren't | 41:13, 76:17, | 84:19, 94:17, | 17:8, 27:15, |
| 73:25, 168:6 | 103:25, 146:13, | 109:25, 135:3, | 27:25, 67:23, |
| west | 200:22 | 135:4, 138:14, | 68:3, 77:4, |
| 3:14, 14:5, | widened | 138:19, 140:25, | 77:10 |
| 43:6, 59:20, | 100:23, 100:24 | 204:25 | workers |
| 59:22, 84:3, | wider | without | 130:22, 132:5 |
| 118:10, 138:12, | 100:12 | 114:3, 189:21 | working |
| 138:22, 138:24, | width | witnesses | 15:5, 82:19, |
| 139:14, 149:17, | 83:7, 96:8, | 4:10, 5:22, | 101:18, 155:21, |
| 150:13, 150:24, | 97:23, 98:3, | 6:4, 8:18, 8:19, | 190:19 |
| 151:16, 158:21, | 100:19, 100:22 | 8:23, 8:25, | works |
| 163:23, 164:2, | willingness | 12:16, 19:7, | 204:10 |
| 164:17, 174:11, | 185:12 | 42:8, 49:4, | world |
| 174:12, 174:13, | wilson | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 19, \quad 125: 22, \\ & 126: 20, \\ & 185: 13, \end{aligned}$ | 16:8, 16:11 |
| 174:19, 175:7, | 12:2, 127:13, | 126:20, 185:13, | worried |
| 179:20, 180:2, | 128:2, 128:7, | $198: 22$ | 206:4 |
| 189:2, 189:3, | 128:16, 128:23, | woman | worry |
| $\begin{aligned} & 194: 2, \quad 200: 13 \\ & \text { westbound } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129: 8, \quad 134: 16, \\ & 148: 13, \quad 148: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 20 \\ & \text { wonder } \end{aligned}$ | 198:3 |
| westbound | 148:13, 148:14, | 184:7 | worth |
| 151:17 western | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 148: 19, \quad 152: 3, \\ & 155: 4, \quad 161: 17 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $184: 7$ wondered | $\begin{aligned} & 144: 21 \\ & \text { wouldn't } \end{aligned}$ |
| 174:23 |  | 100:7 | 8:5, 31:6, |
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| 105 | 109:10, 145:13, | 194:23, 195:8 | 152:18, 152:20, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145:4 | 207: 4 | 1 a | 188:4, 188:19, |
| 1054 | 17 | 77:21 | 194:7 |
| 74:17 | 46:15, 78:7, | 1f | 2023 |
| 107 | 78:8, 97:11, | 147:11, 180:15 | 141:2, 141:9, |
| 52:14 | 97:12, 120:15, | 1 g | 152:21, 158:3 |
| 109 | 132:23, 171:15, | 147:19, 181:11 | 2024 |
| 187:25, 189:2, | 171:16, 171:22 | 1st | 1:16, 41:11, |
| 189:3 | 17.2 | 205:15 | 48:11, 152:21, |
| 11 | 22:13 | 2 | 207:4, 207:13 |
| 15:6, 135:20, | $19$ | $\overline{2}$ | 2025 |
| $135: 21, \quad 153: 7,$ | $38: 13,38: 22,$ | $153: 7$ | 24:13 |
| $163: 12,163: 15 \text {, }$ | $39: 1, \quad 39: 3,$ | 2) (e) (c) (2 | 2030 |
| $168: 12$ | $39: 4,39: 6$ | $60: 19$ | $24: 14$ |
| $12$ | $39: 9,43: 3,$ | $20$ | $204$ |
| 1:8, 15:8, | $150: 6, \quad 151: 1$ | $17: 19,23: 24,$ | $162: 15$ |
| 27:11, 46:14, | $1900$ | $27: 15, \quad 47: 22,$ | $205$ |
| 68:17, 69:7, | 16:12 | 65:23, 76:5, | 154:4, 154:5 |
| 142:16, 158:3, | 19400 | $96: 10,112: 6$ | 2050 |
| 168:12, 168:24, | $116: 25$ | $112: 8,112: 20,$ | $161: 5,161: 9$ |
| 169:4, 200:5, | $196$ | 112:21, 112:24, | $208$ |
| 200:6, 200:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 9 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $114: 4,132: 24,$ | $1: 28$ |
| $120$ | $198$ | $145: 18,193: 5$ | $20814$ |
| $191: 25$ | 138:17, 139:1, | 20,000 | 3:15 |
| $13$ | 139:4, 139:9, | 168:25 | 20850 |
| 85:25, 86:1, | 139:13, 140:1, | 200 | 2:5 |
| 92:9, 107:25, | 143:2, 143:5, | 2:4, 9:11, | 21 |
| 118:17, 121:7, | 143:20, 144:4, | 30:19, 43:20, | 16:8, 17:5, |
| 168:18, 179:14 | 144:10, 146:16, | 52:14, 114:24, | 27:11, 130:4, |
| 13.4 | 146:25, 149:1, | 188:2 | 203:25, 204:7, |
| 22:14 | 149:17, 150:19, | 2003 | 206:1 |
| 133 | 150:24, 151:8, | 39:20 | 21236 |
| 9:18 | 151:12, 151:17, | $2004$ | 128:9 |
| $14$ | 152:15, 154:19, | $39: 20,198: 9$ | $22$ |
| 95:16, 96:5, | $\begin{array}{ll} 183: 23, & 188: 7, \\ 193 \cdot 22 & 193 \cdot 24 \end{array}$ | $201$ | $16: 8, \quad 17: 5,$ |
| 111:22, 118:17, | 193:22, 193:24, | 162:15 | 136:4, 137:23 |
| 119:5, 120:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 194: 6, \quad 195: 4 \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 2013 | 220 |
| $120: 21$ 140 | 1981 | 128:20 | 191:9 |
| 140 $126: 23$ | 9:25 | 2020 | 222 |
| 15:23 | 194:14 | 183:19, 196:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 6 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| 97:10, 113:1, | 1997 | 2021 $140: 12$ | 1:8, 145:18 |
| 116:18, 135:3, | $9: 22,30: 23,$ | $2022$ | 2312 |
| 197:13 | 34:19, 37:10, | $61: 14,140: 15,$ | 4:2 |
| 150 | 41:21, 155:20, | $140: 22,141: 2,$ | 23347 |
| 24:18 | $\begin{array}{ll} 159: 11, & 160: 5, \\ 160: 14 . & 160: 18 \end{array}$ | $143: 8, \quad 143: 24,$ | 9:13 |
| $16$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 160: 14, & 160: 18, \\ 160: 22, & 191: 1, \end{array}$ | $148: 19,152: 4,$ | 24 |
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| 154: 6 | 31 | 4327 | 580 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 240 | 74:17 | 192:10 | 23:11 |
| 2:6, 3:8 | 310 | 44 | 59.3 |
| 25 | 3:16 | 73:18, 132:22, | 60:19 |
| 14:21, 17:15, | 32 | 134:2, 136:5, | 59.7 |
| 23:20, 96:7, | 187:8 | 136:10, 136:19, | 60:21 |
| 111:23, 112:3, | 333 | 137:12, 137:24, | 59731 |
| 112:14, 112:17, | 16:17 | 145:9, 145:16, | 147:10 |
| 112:18, 112:24, | 35 | 203:12 | 6 |
| 116:18, 193:6, | 53:23, 54:1, | 45 | 6 |
| 197:11 | 112:9 | 26:14, 26:15, | $134: 21.134: 23$ |
| 2500 | 36 | 73:18, 127:10 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 134: 21, ~ 134: 23, \\ 135: 3 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 24:12 | 31:25, 130:4 | 46 | 6.2 |
| $27$ | 37 | 73:19, 74:1 | $81: 21, \quad 95: 12,$ |
| 9:17, 47:10, | 1:17 | $47$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 81: \angle 1, ~ \\ 98: 9, ~ 98: 15, ~ \end{array}$ |
| 52:25, 53:1, | 4 | 73:19, 74:1, | 99:19 |
| 53:6, 54:5, | $4$ | 130:10 | 6.29 |
| 54:22, 54:23, | $45: 6,49: 22$ | $48$ | 98:8, 98:20, |
| 55:20, 58:9, | $134: 21,134: 23,$ | 73:20, 73:23, | 98:22 |
| 89:23, 105:14, | 135:2, 153:7, | 74:1 | 6.5 |
| 129:17, 130:9, | 206:22 | 49 - 77.25 | 97:20, 98:14, |
| 133:1, 204:8, | 4,000 | 75:9, 77:25 | 98:18, 98:19, |
| 204:20, 204:21, | 110:3, 110:12, | 5 | 98:20, 98:24, |
| $204: 22,206: 3$ 2707 | 111:9 | 5 | 99:7 |
| 3:16 | 4.1 | 162:1, 194:1 | 6.53 |
| 28 | 163:21 | 5.86 | 99:9, 99:14, |
| 205:14, 207:13 | 40 | 9:13 | 99:17, 99:20, |
| 29 $20.14,207.13$ | 15:7, 112:17, | 50 | 181:25, 182:2 |
| 41:12, 41:14, | 112:19, 127:5 | 23:21, 24:21, | 60 |
|  | 41 | 57:13, 57:16, | 63:18 |
| $150: 14, \quad 166: 14,$ | 7:16, 164:24 | 57:20, 57:22, | 600 |
| $166: 17,166: 18$ | 411 | 65:3, 65:15, | 23:8, 23:10, |
| 3 | $153: 17$ 42 | 68:3, $91: 8$, $114: 3,130: 11$, | $23: 11$ 629 |
| $3$ | 7:10, 7:14, | 154:17, 154:21, | 182:9 |
| $135: 20$ | $7: 17,73: 17$ | 184:3, 193:2 | 64 |
| 3,000 | 43 析 | 500 | 9:16, 47:10, |
| 110:3, 111:3, | 7:7, 7:8, 7:23, | 23:10, 58:22 | 129:18 |
| 111:8, 203:15 | 73:17, 193:4 | 51 | 6447 |
| 30 | 4300 | 128:14 | 85:21 |
| 36:9, 37:23, | 190:15 | 511503 | 65 |
| 78:20, 78:23, | 4308 | 1:27 | 22:13, 23:2 |
| 79:15, 97:25, | 116:7 | 52 | 653.29 |
| 112:9, 114:4, | 4320 | 163:4 | 99:24 |
| 163:20, 204:23 | 22:6 | 53 | 6660 |
| 300 | 4324 | 145:4, 145:7 | 2:6, 3:8 |
| 16:13, 16:17 | 62:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 187: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
|  |  |  | 7 |
|  |  |  | 134:20, 134:23, |
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