

The Montgomery County Council has been entrusted with the task of exhibiting fiscal responsibility with every action that it proposes to undertake on behalf of the residents of Montgomery County. In the proposal to allocate \$1 million for abortion access, the Council proposes

1. To take away the funding source of the Council by its proposal to support the aborting of its next generation of taxpayers, the generation that will support the retired seniors of today. Who will support the seniors when the lives of the next generation of workers are taken away? How does the Council propose to fund the loss of income by this loss of life? Is it by an increase of taxes?
2. To have the ability to fund non-residents of Montgomery County in securing their abortions using public funds belonging to the residents of Montgomery County.
3. To fund abortion providers without proper accountability of how public funds will be used. What does the Montgomery County residents get back in return fiscally in giving the residents' funds to these providers? What costs and benefits analyses have been done? Will the Council members accept donations from these providers when Council members look to fund their own re-election campaigns as is done nationally by the Democrat Party in accepting Planned Parenthood donations? What is the benefit to the Council members themselves in funding these providers?

For all of the above reasons, the Council's proposal to allocate \$1 million for abortion access exhibits fiscal irresponsibility.

As a Montgomery County resident, I urge the Council to act in a fiscally responsible manner with the public funds that belongs to all of us who live in this county. These funds are entrusted to you to be distributed in a fiscally responsible manner; the implementation of the Council's proposal will certainly break that trust that the residents of Montgomery County have placed in the Council.