

To Montgomery County Councilwoman Marilyn Balcombe and the rest of the Montgomery County Council:

I do not support *Zoning Text Amendment (ZTA) 23-09, Farming - Incidental Outdoor Stays* as currently written. Please vote against ZTA 23-09.

My objection is in regard to removing in Section 3.2.6.F the following wording:

The maximum footprint for any structure and the total footprint of all structures primarily used for education or tourism is limited to 10% of the total footprint square footage of all structures on the site used for agriculture.

Without including any further limitations. This is not acceptable. Limits have been put in place for a reason. If you want to change the limits, that might be acceptable, but without those limits, it is not.

Likewise, I have the same objection to adding in Section Section 3.7.4.A.2. (a) and Section 4.2.1.F.3.(c) the following wording:

In Agricultural zones, buildings used for incidental outdoor stays under Section 3.2.6.G. are exempt from this size restriction.

Completely removing the size restriction is not acceptable. If you want to change the size restriction, that might be acceptable, but without a size restriction, which has been put in place for a reason, it is not.

Sincerely,
Lucinda Snow
Germantown, MD