









FILE PATH: Q:\PROJECTS\6219608 GUDE PHASE 1\GROUNDWATER\FIG 4-1_4-2_4-3 GWFIGURE FINAL.DWG [FIG 4-2] 11/18/10





PATH: Q:\PROJECTS\6219608 GUDE PHASE 1\GROUNDWATER\TAG MAP.DWG [FIG 4-1] 11/18/10

| B07A | | 1.19 | | | Se | 1 | | | |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-----|
| 10 | | 0B07 | | | 24 | | Nr. | | |
| 10 | | 10 | 1 | | E. | | 142 | C- C | |
| 3 | | 10 | | - | 112. | | a de la | T. C.A. | |
| 10 | 1 | 2 | | . 6 | | | | 2015 | N |
| 2 | 2 | 10 | eur | 13268 | Stat. | 10 | 27 | P. L. P. | |
| 0.8 J | | 1 | | 124 1 | Krop A | A MAL | | (Notes | |
| 10 074 | | 10 | | CH IA | 1 | | 25 | Ser a ser | Ϋ́Ι |
| | | 10 | | | | A | 1 | | • |
| DR01 | | | | 和利用 | | | | 1 - Andrews | |
| | _ | MW-2B | | /W-2A | | | 1. 19 | | |
| 06 | <u> </u> | 10 | and the second sec | 10 | 1 | 100 | 亦行派 | | |
| U | | 10 | E. | 10 | | YAN | | AS M | |
| U | - | 11 | 10 | 10 | 41 | 5-1 | | The second | |
| | | 3 | | 10 | | | | - 11 C | |
| | | 10 | A.C. | 3 | | 1.8 | 145 | 12 | |
| | | 10 | P. | 10 | | - APR | 1 and | - Pers | |
| | / | 11 × 4 | - | 10 | | 1 | Part . | | |
| a la la | ture to | | 1.2 | MW-3B | | | | 1416 | |
| MW- | 2B | OB08A | 北京 | 10 | | | | 合理論 | |
| | | 10 | 1 | 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | | 0.9 J | 12- | 0.9 J | 1 | | 1 | | |
| 08.1 | | 16 | - K. | 10 | and the second | | 1.2 % | 53.6 | |
| 11 | | | 1 | 11 | A.C. | | | | |
| 10 | | | 1 | _10 | | | | Sec. | |
| 10 | | 3* | | 124 | MV | V-3A | | 144 | |
| 10 | | | W-3 | 3 | | 10 | 1.5 | ALC: NO | |
| 3* | OB0 | | MW_ | 34 | | 10 | | | |
| 111 | | | | | | 10 | | | |
| | UBU | | | | | 10 | | . 40 | |
| - 57 | | 1 | 招导 | St. A.S. | | 10 | A SI | NA A | |
| <u>0B10</u> | | ante | | e states | | 10 | | Part . | |
| W-4 | · The | | | ANUS | | | | A Michael | |
| | Dr. | | | | | | | ~ (| A |
| 500 | | B10 | XISTIN | IG MONITO | JRING | WELL | (ORX) | () | |
| Sur Co | 0 | 1U N | EW M | ONITORIN | G WE | LL | (MW-X) | K) 🧯 | ð |
| -4 | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | 29 V | ALUE | IS ESTIM | ATED | | | L | |
| | | 10 | | | | | | | , |
| J | | 4 N | | | | | | Ĺ | ' |
| 14 | _ | 16* MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVELS * | | | | | | | |
| | _ | 7* (1 | MCL) | EXCEEDA | NCES | | | | |
| | Dim o | | / | | | | | | |
| North Land | in the second | a. | | ///// | No. | and the second | 3217 | | |
| | | DESIGNED BY | (| DRAWN BY | | | 2010 | PROJECT NO | |
| ONCERN | IN | | , | | R | | 2010 NO. | | .00 |
| 010 | | RR | | PROJECT MGR. | | DRAWING NO. | | 5-2 | |



| 8 07A 0807 3 | | | | N | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3 MW-2B A 31.6 080 | 0.9 MW-31 MW- | BI 3A.1 | | | | | | | |
| 22.8 0B0 | EXISTIN | <u>LE</u> ig monitoring | <u>GEND</u> well (obx> | <) 🗢 | | | | | |
| W-4 | LANE NEW M | IONITORING WE | LL (MW-X) | <) 😁 | | | | | |
| 5 SOUTHIAM | | VOC CONCENT GRAMS PER LIT | ration in ER (µg/L) | 79 | | | | | |
| | NOT D | | | ND | | | | | |
| | LINE (I | TOTAL VOC ISOCONCENTRATION | | | | | | | |
| | ND/<1 1-10 10-10 >100 | (μg/L) (μg/L) Ο (μg/L) (μg/L) | | | | | | | |
| | <mark>بر المراجع</mark> DESIGNED BY | S EQUIVALENT | TO PPB | PROJECT NO. | | | | | |
| ` | | JP | OCT. 2010 | 62196.08 | | | | | |
| 010 | BR | JK | ukawing NO. — | 5-3 | | | | | |



FIGURE 6-1 HUMAN HEALTH CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL GUDE LANDFILL

Summary: The risk evaluation determined no potential concerns for human contact with complete exposure pathways. Only complete exposure pathways are evaluated in the risk evaluation. A complete exposure pathway requires the following four components: a source of chemicals, a transport/release mechanism for chemicals, a point for potential human contact, and a route of human exposure. Potential risk to humans from chemicals requires a complete exposure pathway. Incomplete exposure pathways do result in a risk to human receptors.

References: EPA. 1989. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume 1 Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A). EPA/540/1-89/002, December. EPA. 2010. Regional Screening Levels, User's Guide. May. Available at http://www.epa.gov/reg3hwmd/risk/human/rb-concentration_table/usersguide.htm. MDE. 2008. Cleanup Standards for Soil and Groundwater. Interim Final Guidance (Update 2.1). June.

| | | SECONDARY SOURCE | SECONDARY RELEASE MECHANISM | EXPOSURE ROUTE | POTENTIAL RECEPTORS | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| PRIMARY SOURCE | PRIMARY RELEASE MECHANISM | | | | Residents (Adult and Child) | County Workers | Recreational Users | Residents of Men's Shelter | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Ingestion | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | | |
| Gude Landfill | Groundwater Transport/ | | > | Dermal Contact | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | | |
| | Ecacitate Sceps | | | Inhalation VOCs | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | | |
| | | | Vapor Intrusion | Inhalation VOCs Indoor Air | С | I | I | С | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Ingestion | I* | Ι | С | Ι | | |
| | | Surface Water | | Dermal Contact | I* | Ι | С | I | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ¥ | Subsurface Soil | | Ingestion | C [*] | С | Ι | Ι | | |
| | | Subsuitace Soli | - | Dermal Contact | C [*] | С | Ι | Ι | | |
| | | | | Inhalation of Particulate | C^* | С | Ι | Ι | | |
| | | · | | | | | | | | |
| ŧ | | Surface Soil | | Ingestion | I [*] | С | С | С | | |
| | | Burnete Bon | | Dermal Contact | I* | С | С | С | | |
| | | ↓ | Particulate Emission/Suspension | Inhalation of Particulate | I^* | С | С | С | | |
| LEGEND | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ι | Incomplete or not significant exposure pa | thway | | | | | | | | |
| I^* | Incomplete pathway for a residential scenario, evaluated as part of the recreational user scenario | | | | | | | | | |
| С | Potentially complete exposure pathway | | | | | | | | | |
| C^* | Potentially complete exposure pathway only at subsurface sample locations MW-9, MW-10, MW-11A, MW-11B, and MW-12 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

FIGURE 6-2 ECOLOGICAL CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL GUDE LANDFILL

Summary: The risk evaluation determined no potential concerns for ecological receptors contact with complete exposure pathways. Only complete exposure pathways are evaluated in the risk evaluation. A complete exposure pathway requires the following four components: a source of chemicals, a transport/release mechanism for chemicals, a point for potential ecological contact, and a route of ecological exposure. Potential risk to ecological receptors from chemicals requires a complete exposure pathways. Incomplete exposure pathways do result in a risk to ecological receptors.

References: EPA. 1998. *Guidelines for Ecological Risk Assessment*. EPA/630/R-95/002F. April. EPA. 2005. Guidance for Developing Ecological Soil Screening Levels. OSWER Directive 9285.7-55. Revised February.

