FY24 NOFO MD-601 CoC Competition Renewal Project Evaluation Criterion

Program Effectiveness (20 pts maximum)

Available Pts	Description					Score		
	Cost Effectiveness For RRH- Annual cost per slot compared to average annual cost per household in the CoC. Benchmark- \$23,740 per slot							
10	10 Pts 89% of CoC average or below (10% or more below the cost)	8 Pts 90-99% of CoC average	6 Pts 100-109% of CoC average	4 Pts 110-120% of CoC average	0 Pts 121% or more of the CoC average			
10	Cost Effectiveness For PSH-Annual cost per slot compared to average annual cost per household in the CoC. Benchmark \$26,110 per slot 10 Pts 8 Pts 6 Pts 4 Pts 0 Pts							
	89% of CoC average or below (10% or more below the cost)	90-99% of CoC average	100-109% of CoC average	110-120% of CoC average	121% or more of the CoC average			
5	Bed Utilization: Th	reshold 85 %						
5	5 Pts 97% or more	4 Pts 93-96%	3 Pts 89-92%:	2pts 85-88%	OPts less than 85%			
5	Participation in Coordinated Entry System: For PSH and RRH projects - 100% of referrals come through CES							
	Total Effectiveness Points							

Past Performance (10 pts maximum)

Available Pts	Description					
5	HUD and DHHS Audit and Monitoring Reports: No unresolved findings and no reported monitoring concerns. Zero non-compliance findings for two consecutive years					
5	HUD and DHHS Expenditures: Consistent quarterly drawdowns and at least 95% of funds expended for both HUD and DHHS grants/contracts					
	5pts - 95% 3pts - 90% 1pt - 85% 0pts - less than 85%					
	Total Past Performance Points					

Severity of Needs (15 pts maximum)

Available Pts	Description: The extent to which the project reaches the hardest-to-serve populations, including but not limited to households with a history of victimization/abuse, criminal histories, chronic homelessness, low or no income, severe substance use or mental health challenges, or significant medical issues. The severity of need is determined by reviewing the acuity assessment of program participants.						Score
			erved using the Acui	·	Acuity (36-49) Low	Acuity	
15	15pts 56% with High acuities		11 pts 51-54% with at least Mid Acuity	9pts 36-49% with at least (Mid Acuity	6pts 30-35% with at least Mid Acuity	0 pts less than 30% with at least mid- acuity	
	For PSH- % of households served using the Acuity Scale.						
15	15pts 35-50+% with Extreme Acuity	13 pts 50+% with High Acuity	11 pts 35-49% with High Acuity or 60+% with Mid and High acuities)	9pts 50-59% with at least Mid Acuity	6pts 35-49% with at least Mid Acuity	0 pts less than 35% with at least Mid Acuity	
	Total Severity of Needs Points:						

Contribution of CoC System Performance Measures (20 pts-maximum)

	Description, Th	o ovtopt to	bich pro		unnart or ha	rm o	vorall system	norfo	rmanco	Score
	Description: The extent to which projects support or harm overall system performance.							30016		
Available	All projects are benchmarked against the CoC System Performance Measures.									
Pts										
	For RRH - exit to	Permane	nt Housing							
	5 Pts-	4 Pts		3 Pts		2 Pt	:S	0pt	s	
5	97% and more	95-96%		88-9	4%	83-	87%	und	ler 83%.	
-	For PSH - retain	or exit to I	Permanent	Housi	ng.					
5	5 Pts-	4	Pts		3 Pts		2 Pts		0pts	
	97% and more	95-	96%	88-94%			83-87%		Under 83%.	
5	Rate of Returns to Homelessness: No more than 15% after two years									
•	5pts	4pts		3 pts			2 pts		0pts	
	5% returns or below 6-8% returns 9-3		9-12% retu	rns 13-15% returns		More than 15%				
_	For RRH- At leas	st 40% incr	eased inco	me vi	a any sources	;				
5	5Pts	4	1 Pts		3Pts		2Pts		0Pts	
	60% or more	52	L-59%		46-50%		40-45% below 40%		below 40%	
_	For PSH - At lea	st 30% inc	reased or i	mainta	ained income	٠.				
5	5Pts	4	1 Pts		3Pts		2Pts		0 Pts	
	56% or more	46	5-55%	41-45%			30-40%	Below 30%		
	Rapid Exit to PH	l: % of hou	ferred	who obtain	perm	nanent housin	g wit	hin 30		
_	days of accepta	acceptance to the program.								
5	5 5pts-75-100% 4pts-60-74% 3pts-50-59							0pts- 49% & below		
	Total System Performance Points									

Organization Name: Project Name:

Implementation of Housing First (20 points)

Available Pts	Description: The extent to which the program meets fidelity to the Housing First model as detailed in the Housing First Section of the Addendum.	Score
5	Eliminating Barriers to Entry: The program does not require preconditions for housing, such as sobriety, medication, or treatment compliance. All services are voluntary. The intake/interview process is minimal. The program does not restrict access based on ability to work, minimal income, available documentation, or anything beyond HUD minimum standards.	
5	Ability to Rapidly Connect to Permanent Housing and Commitment to Rehouse: The program has a landlord recruitment and retention plan. Participants are offered choices in housing, including location and furnishings.	
5	Sustaining Housing: The program is committed to rehousing households and severely limits terminations. Rehousing is not contingent on service participation, medical compliance, or mandated behavioral health treatment.	
5	Wraparound Services: The program provides supportive services to the proposed population, including the type and scale, assistance to obtain mainstream benefits and employment, community integration, connection to additional support services such as home health care and behavioral health, low barrier access, and person-centered goal planning.	
	Total Housing First Points	

Meaningful Engagement of People with Lived Experience of Homelessness (5 pts)

Available Pts	Description: Through the People's Committee, an extension of the Interagency Commission on Homelessness, all renewal applications will be reviewed by a group of people with lived experience of homelessness.	
5	People's Committee Review: The committee will score projects based on the extent to which people with lived experience had meaningful participation in the program design and policy creation. If the Project implements measurable programmatic changes in response to feedback solicited from PWLEH. If the project will meet the community's needs and the extent to which the project includes a plan to ensure all staff receives training on best practices.	
	Total Points	

Organization Name: Project Name:

Advancing Racial Equity (8 pts)

Available Pts	Description: Applicants must describe how the renewal project advances racial equity and reduces the disproportionality of BIPOC households in the homeless continuum.	
3	The program provides culturally, and linguistically competent services based on community needs.	
2	The program has a diversity and inclusion plan that seeks to increase the racial diversity of agency leadership and frontline staff.	
3	The project applicant identifies barriers to participation faced by those overrepresented in the local homeless population and has taken or will take steps to eliminate barriers.	
	Total Equity Points	

Addressing the Needs of LGBTQ+ households (2 points)

	Description: Applicants must describe how the project applicant addresses the needs of LGBTQ+ households and has anti-discrimination policies	
1	The project applicant has an anti-discrimination policy that specifically addresses the unique challenges faced by the LGBTQ+ population.	
1	The project applicant provides trauma-informed/culturally competent services to LGBTQ+ households.	
	Total LGBTQ+ Needs Points	

Special Considerations (Bonus Points)

Available	Description: Special Considerations are a method by which the MD CoC can encourage and	
Bonus	incentivize projects to align with local funding needs, serve a specifically vulnerable	Score
Points	community, or support the reinvestment of funds.	
5	Project leverage Cash funds from non-DHHS sources/source from private- exceed the required match of 25% receive full points. 5pts- 25% 4pts -20% 3pts 10%	
5	Projects which voluntarily reallocate 15% or greater of their Annual Renewal Amount	
5	The project accepts individuals facing the most significant barriers to entry, including those related to immigration status, identification and vital documents requirements, and Medicaid eligibility.	
	Total Special Considerations Bonus Points	