A. Identification and Coding Information				2 Dat	2. Date: October 1, 2009			7. Pre PDF Pg.No.: 8. Req. Adeq. Pub. Fac.				
1. Project Number Agency Number		Update	Update Code									
073802 W-139.02		Change	Change		Revised:					· -		
3. Project Name: Duckett & Brighton Dam Upgrades			_					W	SSC			
4. Program: S	anitation 6	S. Planning	g Area:	Bi-Co	unty							
В.		<u> </u>	E	xpenditu	re Sched	ule (000'	s)					<u>*-</u>
Cost Elements		(8) Total	(9) Thru FY '09	(10) Estimate FY '10	(11) Total 6 Years	(12) Year 1 FY '11	(13) Year 2 FY '12	(14) Year 3 FY '13	(15) Year 4 FY '14	(16) Year 5 FY '15	(17) Year 6 FY '16	(18) Beyond 6 Years
Planning, Design & Supervision		3,487	1,471	780	1,236	515	618	103				
Land												
Site Improvements	& Utilities							1				
Construction		21,218			21,218	8,841	10,609	1,768	-		1	
Other		2,324		78	2,246	936	1,123	187				
Total		27,029	1,471	858	24,700	10,292	12,350	2,058				
C.				Funding	Schedul	e (000's)						
WSSC Bonds		27,029	1,471	858	24,700	10,292	12,350	2,058				-
D. Description & J	ustification									1		

#### DESCRIPTION

This project provides for the planning, design and construction of the selected alternative for the potential upgrades required to enable the T. Howard Duckett Dam to meet current Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) dam safety standards, including the ability to safely pass the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) criteria and withstand the maximum credible earthquake loadings. This project also includes improvements to the Brighton Dam to assure continued safe operation.

# JUSTIFICATION

### Plans & Studies

December 13, 2004 letter from MDE; "Comprehensive Safety Evaluation of the T. Howard Duckett Dam", URS Corporation (January, 2007); June 28, 2007 letter from MDE.

#### Specific Data

The MDE requested that WSSC perform a safety analysis of the T. Howard Duckett Dam to ensure that the dam can safety pass the Probable Maximum Flood criteria. MDE also requested that the evaluation include an analysis of the dam's ability to withstand the maximum credible earthquake loadings. The safety analysis includes geotechnical and structural evaluations.

### Cost Change

Costs were increased due to additional design work required at Brighton Dam.

# STATUS Preliminary Design (WSSC Contract No. BD4144A05, ).

### OTHER

The project scope has remained the same. Expenditures shown above represent a very preliminary order of magnitude estimate from the engineering consultant of the potential upgrades required at Duckett Dam. A report with a presentation of alternatives to enable the dam to safely pass the PMF and any other safety requirements was delivered to MDE in January 2007. In June 2007, MDE formally concurred with the recommended alternative. A more detailed cost estimate will be developed for the selected alternative during the 30% design stage.

### COORDINATION

Maryland State Highway Administration, Montgomery County Government, Prince George's County Government, Howard County Government, City of Laurel, Maryland Department of the Environment and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

NOTE This project supports 100% System Improvement.

E. Annual Operating Budget Impact (000's)				FY of impact	
Program Costs	Program Costs Staff				
	Other		•		
Facility Costs	Maintenance		••••		
	Debt Service	2373	****	14	
Total Costs				14	
Impact on Water or Sewer Rate5				14	

## F. Approval and Expenditure Data (000's)

1	Date First in Capital Program	FY 07
١	Date First Approved	FY 07
1	Initial Cost Estimate	575
	Cost Estimate Last FY	26,165
1	Present Cost Estimate	27,029
	Approved Request, Last FY	661
	Total Expenditures & Encumbrances	1,471
	Approval Request FY 11	10,292
	Supplemental Approval Request Current FY (10)	

### G. Status Information

Land Status:

Not determined

% Project Completion: D-10% Est. Completion Date: FY 2013

H. Map Map Reference Code:

MAP NOT AVAILABLE