

MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLANNING BOARD

MCPE No. 19-114 Forest Conservation Plan No. H-134 Cheng Property Date of Hearing: September 26, 2019

DET 1 0 2619

WHEREAS, under Montgomery County Code Chapter 22A, the Montgomery County Planning Board is authorized to review forest conservation plan applications; and

WHEREAS, on May R. 2015, HONG CHENG, LLC & DONO YA, LLC, splicard? God an application for approval of a forest conservation plat on continuity 3.38 areses of Liral Interest at 15885 and 1589 OH. Oklanika Band, transville (Subject Property) in the ParlendeWhite Oak Policy Area and 2012 touswille (Subject Property) in the ParlendeWhite Oak Policy Area and 2012 touswille Subset Policy Marce Parley Poses, and

WHEREAS, following review and analysis of the Application by Planning Board Staff ('Staff') and other governmental agencies. Staff issued a memoradum to the Planning Board dated Suprember 13, 2019, secting forth its analysis and recommendation for approval of the Application, subject to certain conditions ('Staff

WHEREAS, on September 26, 1016, the Planning Board field a public hearing on the Application at which it beard testimene and received evidence submitted for the record on the Application and

WHEREAS, at the hearing, the Planning Board approved the Application subject to certain conditions, by the vote certified below.

Approved as to Legal Sufficiency: PLATER SERVE S

Fragerty, and the Liber Takio for the consense must be referenced as the record pile.

1 The Application than a practic financial source to tas MACDPP Planning and the Application for the Gall series of new forces planning peror to the state of any demolitics, diserting or entitle to the Property.

4 The Application most adults it NOPPO flower and Management of the Application most adults it NOPPO flower General Cosmol price to the state of any demolitics, cleaning or gradient on the Preparty.

5 The Application most install pervaneurs Category I Connected Cosmol price to the state of any demolitics, described entitled the State of the Application most install pervaneurs. Category I Connected States and the state of the Application of the Cosmolitics of the Application and Cosmolitics and Application of the Application must comply with all tree procedure and the Application methods.

BE IT PUFTHER RESOLVED that having given full consideration to the recommendations and findings of its Smaff as presented at the hearing and as set forch in the Staff Report, which the Board heroby adopts and incorporates by reference (except

MCPB No. 19-114 Forest Conservation Plan No. H-134 Cheng Property Page 3

The Application satisfies all the applicable requirements of the Fenest Conservation Law, Montgomery County Code Chapter 22A, and ensures the protection of environmentally sensitive features.

A. Forest Conservation.

The Board finds that as conditioned, the Forest Conservation Plan complies with the requirements of the Forest Conservation Law.

The PFCP shows 0.55 forest within the not tract great and proposes 0.06 areas of force removal and 0.34 of forest stretches. Associately, the Forest Conservation Workshot could in a 4-Maheur diffraction for the conservation without could be conservation without could be conserved to the conservation of th

B. Ropest Conservation Variance

Section 224-12(b)(3) of the Fevent Conservation Law identifies certain individual trees as high priority for intention and protection (Proceeded Trees). Any impact to these Protected Trees including removal or any disturbance withma Protected Trees indicated removal from a variance under Section 224-12(b)(3) ("Variance"). Distervise such resources such to left in an undisturbed confidient.

This Application will require the removal or CRZ impact to one Protected Tree as identified in the Staff Report. In accordance with Scotten 124-12(6), the Applicant required at Variance, and the Beard agree that Applicant would suffer unwarranted hardship by bring distult reasonable and significant use of the Subject Property without the Variance.

The Board makes the following finding a necessary to grant the Variance:

Granting the Variance will not confer on the Applicant a special privilege that would be denied to other applicants.

Granting the variants will not confer a special privilege on the Applicant as the impact of the one tree is due to necessary improvements within the public right-of-way to construct a shared use

MDPB No. 19-114 Forest Conservation Plan No. H-184 Cleang Property Page 4

path. The Applicant is proposing a 24% impact to the critical root some of a white oak tree. White oaks have good resilence to construction impacts, as if the tree is in good condition it should be side to overcome the impacts. Therefore, Staff believes what the greating of this variance is not a opecial privilege that would be deried to other applicants.

The requested variance is not based on confitness or circumstances which are the result of actions by the Applicant. The requested variance is based toost the satisfact sits conditions and need to build shared use path in the politic right-of-way.

The requested variance is a result of the existing conditions and not as a result of land or building use on a neighboring property.

Greating the Variance will not violate State water quality standards or cause messurable degradation in water quality.

The variance will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality. The specimen tree will be restined, and the canopy is not proposed for proming. Therefore, Staff concurs that the Project will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality.

No mitigation is required for Protected Trees impacted but retained.

HE IT FURTHER RESCLVEID that this Resolution constitutes the written opinion of by Planning Board in this matter, and the date of this Resolution is UCI 0210 (which is the date that this Resolution is mailed to all parties of the opinions) and the date of this resolution is mailed to all parties of the opinions are the opinions of the opinions and the opinions are the opinions and the opinions are the opinions

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that any party authorized by law to take an existentive spencel must initiate such an appeal within thirty days of the date of this

MCPB No. 19-184 Forest Conservation Flan No. H-184 Cheng Property Page 5

Resolution, consistent with the procedural rules for the judicial review of administrative agency decisions in Circuit Court (Rule 7-203, Maryland Rules).

.

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct oxy of a reconstruct members the Monogenery Country Paraming Doned of the Machiner-Section Congression of the Congression of C

0 Casey Anderson, Chair Montgomery Courty Planning Board

PERMITTING 150 HOUNDLE PRE, 2⁹⁰ FLOOR ROCKYLLE, MD 20850

-THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

PRELIMINARY FOREST CONSERVATION PLAN CONDITIONAL APPROVAL Plan No 4-134



DEVELOPER'S CERTIFICATE The Indensity of the Approved Pheliuman Forest Cases of the Approved Pheliuman Forest Conservation Flor No. H-B4. Including frontal photologic forest printing anteresons and all other applicable agreements.

Developer's Name: Kuc-Chien Cheng

Contact Person or Dissen Suc-Chien Sheng Address: 9105 Shad Lane Potamas, ND 20054 1300 926-2429 Allo Chian C Signatures _ G. L. N. FILE N

GLW KAB KAF

Exhibit 14(b)

OZAH Case No: CU 24-15



| | PREPARED FOR: | SCALE | ZONING |
|--|--|------------|------------------------|
| | Kuo-Chien Cheng 8106 Shod Lane Patomac, MD 20854 301-928-2429 | 1"=30" | SEE SITE PLAN NOTES |
| | | DATE | TAX MAP - CRD |
| | | APRIL 2019 | KS-562 |

18060