MEMORANDUM

March 1, 2019

TO:

County Council

FROM:

Robert H. Drummer, Senior Legislative Attorney

SUBJECT:

Bill 4-19, Personnel - Merit System - Requesting Salary History - Prohibited

PURPOSE:

Introduction – no Council votes required

Bill 4-19, Personnel - Merit System - Requesting Salary History - Prohibited, sponsored by Lead Sponsor Councilmember Glass and Co-Sponsors Council President Navarro, Council Vice-President Katz, Councilmembers Friedson, Jawando, Rice, Hucker, Riemer and Albornoz, is scheduled to be introduced on March 5, 2019. A public hearing is tentatively scheduled for March 26, 2019 at 1:30 p.m.¹

Bill 4-19 would prohibit the County from requesting salary history from an applicant for County employment and prohibit the County from relying on salary history to determine an applicant's starting salary.

Background

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibited employment discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, or national origin. Although this landmark legislation took effect on July 2, 1964 for the private sector, State and local government employees were included by amendment in 1972.²

The landmark Civil Rights Act of 1964 was a direct result of a long campaign against institutional race discrimination against African-Americans. The addition of "sex" to the Act was a late amendment introduced by an opponent of the Bill, Representative Howard Smith of Virginia.3

¹#MoCoEqualPay

Other search terms: equal pay, salary history, wage gap and pay disparities

² Congress did not extend these protections to their own staffs until 1991.

³ As described in a 2014 article by Louis Menand in *The New Yorker*, some historians believe Mr. Smith introduced this amendment to kill the Bill, but there is also evidence that Mr. Smith was a long-time supporter of women's rights and a personal friend of women's rights activist Alice Paul. See:

https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2014/07/21/sex-amendment

As pointed out in Councilmember Glass's memorandum at ©5-6, the existence of Federal, State, and County laws prohibiting discrimination based on gender for at least 55 years have not resulted in wage equity between male and female workers in the County, State, or Nation.

Each County merit position has a grade with a minimum and a maximum salary. An employee is eligible for a pre-determined percentage increase each year called a service increment. With few exceptions, a merit system employee must work herself up the salary range, absent a promotion to a higher graded position, through these incremental steps. However, all new hires do not start at the minimum salary for the grade. County Personnel Regulation, COMCOR §33.07.01.10-5 states in relevant part:

- (b) Salary on appointment and reappointment for employees on the General Salary Schedule and Management Leadership Service Salary Schedule. A department director must set the base salary of a newly appointed or reappointed employee within the applicable pay grade or pay band under these Regulations and guidance established by the OHR Director and CAO.
 - (1) Departments and agencies have the authority to negotiate and determine salaries for candidates equal to or less than the midpoint of the salary range or pay band.
 - (2) A department director may submit a request to the OHR Director for approval to hire a candidate at a salary that is above the midpoint of the salary range or pay band. The director should include in the memorandum:
 - (A) the reason the candidate should be hired above the midpoint of the salary range;
 - (B) a copy of the candidate's resume;
 - (C) proof of the candidate's prior or current salary (i.e. most recent pay slip, W-2, 1099 or other wage documentation); and
 - (D) the names of other incumbents in the same class in the department with their salaries.

Therefore, an employee's starting salary can have a long term effect on that employee's salary in the same grade. Since wages for women generally lag behind wages for men, and wages for women of color lag even further behind wages of white men, basing a starting salary on a person's current salary is likely to result in an adverse impact on the future wages of women employees. Bill 4-19 is an attempt to eliminate this possible reason for wage inequity between the genders.

This packet contains:	Circle #
Bill 4-19	Chele #
Legislative Request Report	1
Councilmember Glass Memorandum	4
Councilineinder Glass Memorandum	5

 $F: LAW \setminus BILLS \setminus 1904 \ Personnel - Merit System - Requesting \ Salary \ History \setminus Intro \ Memo. Dock$

Bill No.	<u>4-19</u>
Concerning: F	ersonnel - Merit System -
Requesting	Salary History - Prohibited
Revised: Febru	ary 27, 2019 Draft No. 7
Introduced:	March 5, 2019
Expires:	September 5, 2020
Enacted:	[date]
Executive:	[date signed]
Effective:	[date takes effect]
Sunset Date:	None
Ch [#] av	vs of Mont Co [vear]

_....

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

Lead Sponsor: Councilmember Glass

Co-Sponsors: Council President Navarro, Council Vice-President Katz, Councilmembers Friedson, Jawando, Rice, Hucker, Riemer and Albornoz

AN ACT to:

- (1) prohibit the County from requesting salary history from an applicant for County employment;
- (2) prohibit the County from relying on salary history to determine an applicant's starting salary; and
- (3) amending the law governing the County merit system.

By adding

Montgomery County Code Chapter 33. Personnel and Human Resources Article II. Merit System Section 33-25

Boldface
Underlining
Added to existing law by original bill.

[Single boldface brackets]
Double underlining
Added by amendment.

[[Double boldface brackets]]

* * *

Heading or defined term.

Added to existing law by original bill.

Added by amendment.

Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.

Existing law unaffected by bill.

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland approves the following Act:

Sec. 1. Section 33-25 is added as follows:

33-25.	[Reserved]	County 1	Pay	Equity	Act.
--------	------------	----------	-----	---------------	------

1

_	22 221 [210		-, <u>-, -, -</u>	mey Luy Educy 1100.	
3	<u>(a)</u>	<u>Defi</u>	<u>initions</u>	s. As used in this section:	
4			<u>App</u>	licant means a person seeking a merit system position with the	
5			Cou	nty. Applicant does not include a County merit system	
6			emp	loyee seeking a new position with the County.	
7	Salary means an applicant's financial compensation in exchange				
8	for labor, including wages, commissions, and bonuses.				
9	Salary history means an applicant's current and past salary in the				
10	applicant's current or prior position with another employer.				
11	<u>(b)</u>	Regi	<u>uesting</u>	salary history prohibited.	
12		<u>(1)</u>	<u>The</u>	County must not consider or rely on an applicant's salary	
13			<u>histo</u>	ry as a factor in determining whether to offer employment to	
14			an ar	oplicant or determining pay.	
15		<u>(2)</u>	<u>The</u>	The County must not seek an applicant's salary history.	
16		<u>(3)</u>	The County must not refuse to hire or retaliate against an applicant		
17			for refusing to disclose the applicant's salary history.		
18		<u>(4)</u>	<u>This</u>	Section does not prohibit:	
19			<u>(A)</u>	an applicant from voluntarily, and without prompting,	
20				disclosing the applicant's salary history, provided the	
21				County does not rely on that voluntary disclosure to	
22				determine an initial offer of starting salary for the applicant;	
23			<u>(B)</u>	the County from discussing an applicant's expectations with	
24				respect to salary without inquiring about salary history; and	
25			<u>(C)</u>	the County from verifying non-salary information disclosed	
26				by an applicant or received from a background check,	
27				provided that any salary history disclosed by a background	

28		<u>check</u> is not u	<u>sed to refuse to</u>	hire or determine an		
29		applicant's salar	<u>/.</u>			
30	(D) the County from	<u>n relying on sal</u>	ary history voluntarily		
31		provided by the a	pplicant to pay the	applicant a higher wage		
32		than initially offe	ered, if reliance or	salary history does not		
33		result in unequal	pay for equal worl	k based on gender.		
34	Sec. 2. Repor	t.				
35	The Executive must:					
36	(1) study th	ne effect of laws in ot	her jurisdictions p	rohibiting an employer		
37	from co	nsidering an applicant	s salary history or	a pay disparity based on		
38	gender :	and submit a report to	the Council on th	is analysis on or before		
39	July 1, 2	2020; and				
40	(2) provide	the Council with a rej	oort on gender pay	equity among County		
41	employe	es every 2 years begin	ning on or before.	July 1, 2022.		
42	Approved:					
43						
4.4	Nancy Navarro, Presiden	t, County Council	·	Date		
44	Approved:					
45			•			
	Marc Elrich, County Exec	cutive		Date		
46						
47						
48	Megan Davey Limarzi, Es	sq., Clerk of the Council		Date		

LEGISLATIVE REQUEST REPORT

Bill 4-19

Personnel - Merit System - Requesting Salary History - Prohibited

DESCRIPTION:

Bill 4-19 would prohibit the County from requesting salary history from an

applicant for County employment and prohibit the County from relying on

salary history to determine an applicant's starting salary.

PROBLEM:

Wage inequity between the genders in County employment can partially be

explained by differences in starting salaries calculated based on salary

history.

GOALS AND

OBJECTIVES:

To eliminate this reason for wage inequity between genders.

COORDINATION: OHR, County Attorney

FISCAL IMPACT: To be provided

ECONOMIC

To be provided

IMPACT:

EVALUATION: To be provided

EXPERIENCE

At least 12 States and 10 local governments have similar laws.

ELSEWHERE:

SOURCE OF

Robert H. Drummer, Senior Legislative Attorney

INFORMATION:

APPLICATION

N/A

WITHIN

MUNICIPALITIES:

PENALTIES:

N/A

F:\LAW\BILLS\1904 Personnel - Merit System - Requesting salary history\LRR.docx



MONTGOMERY COUNTY COUNCIL ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

EVAN GLASS COUNCILMEMBER AT-LARGE

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Councilmembers

FROM:

Evan Glass

DATE:

February 5, 2019

SUBJECT:

Introduction of legislation to prohibit the County from requesting the salary

history of an applicant during the hiring process of a County merit system

employee.

On March 5th, I will be introducing Bill xx-19, Personnel – Merit System - Requesting Salary History - Prohibited, also referred to as the **Montgomery County Pay Equity Act**. This legislation would prohibit the County from relying on an applicant's salary history in hiring or determining the starting salary for a County merit system employee. The bill would also require the Executive to study the effect of this type of law on the private sector in other jurisdictions and provide a report to the Council.

- (1) prohibit the County from requesting salary history from an applicant for County employment;
- (2) prohibit the County from relying on salary history to determine an applicant's starting salary; and
- (3) amending the law governing the County merit system.

On average, women earn 79 cents for every dollar their male counterparts make; and for women of color the gap is starker. Black women earn 60 cents and Hispanic/Latina women earn just 55 cents relative to white men.

Studies show that the gender pay gap starts early in a career and that female job applicants, particularly women of color, carry lower earnings from job to job. In Maryland, the gender gap reflects the national trend. The median annual pay for a woman who works full-time is \$50,635 compared to \$60,591 for her male counterpart. For Maryland women of color holding full-time jobs, this translates to 69 cents for Black women, 47 cents for Latinas, and 83 cents for Asian women. Women are, in general, less likely to negotiate higher salaries, and to start their careers with lower wages.

Montgomery County would be joining a growing list of over twelve states and ten local jurisdictions that have implemented laws banning employers from requesting a prospective employee's salary history. These include Massachusetts, California, New York, Delaware, Oregon, Puerto Rico, Connecticut, Hawaii, Vermont, Illinois, Michigan, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, New Orleans, Chicago, the District of Columbia, Salt Lake City, Kansas City, Louisville, New York City, Pittsburgh, San Francisco, and Westchester and Albany counties.

It is my goal that by eliminating the reliance on salary history in the hiring process, candidates, regardless of gender, will be paid based on experience and the responsibilities within the position, rather than past earning history. This is what I hope will be the first step in helping Montgomery County move towards systematically eliminating gender pay discrepancies.

If you have any questions or would like to cosponsor the Montgomery County Pay Equity Act, please contact Valeria Carranza in my office.

Thank you.

Evan Glass

Councilmember At-Large Montgomery County Council